

Peer evaluations are the core of peer-to-peer learning. The purpose of this document is to clarify what to do during the evaluation process. The purpose of peer evaluations is to introduce you to your peers and to facilitate mutual learning and code experience.

➤ **Here are some tips and best practices to keep in mind as an evaluator:**

- The evaluator should go to the evaluated student's workstation.
- On the evaluated student's session, open a new private browser window.
- Log into your intra account and click on [begin evaluation](#).
- Clone the repository on the terminal and start the evaluation.

➤ **After cloning the repository:**

- Make sure there's something in the repository. If it's empty, click on the "Empty Work" flag at the bottom of the page.
- Make sure the files are submitted in the correct directory.
- Make sure all files and functions are named according to the subject.
- Run norminette. If it displays an error, click on the "Norme" flag at the bottom of the page.
- Compile the code with "-Wall -Wextra -Werror" . If the code does not compile, click on the "Invalid Compilation" flag at the bottom of the page.
- Make sure that the name on the 42 Header belongs to the evaluated student. If not, click on the "Cheating" flag at the bottom of the page.

➤ **Discussion:**

- Ask numerous questions about any concept covered in the subject and evaluation sheet. If the student's explanation fails to convince you, click on the "Can't Support/Explain Code" flag at the bottom of the page.
- If you notice that the evaluated student is cheating, click on the "Cheating" flag at the bottom of the page.
- If you find a mistake or gap in someone's knowledge, stay with them and try to explain a better approach. Don't just grade and leave!
- If you haven't reached the level of the project you're evaluating, that's totally fine. Ask as many questions as you can and ensure you get the best out of this evaluation. After all, you're here to learn from each other.

➤ **Submission:**

- Write feedback that describes everything that happened during the evaluation. Where did you find the error? What was something that you found interesting? Was the evaluated student friendly and polite?