Exam 3 Comprehensive Study Guide

Monoids

Monoid Class Definition

A **Monoid** is an algebraic structure used to model combining and accumulating values. In Haskell, the class is defined as:

Monoid Functions

- mempty: Represents the identity element that does not change other elements when combined.
- mappend or <> : Combines two elements.
- mconcat: Reduces a list of elements into a single result using the Monoid operations.

Monoid Laws

Monoids must satisfy these laws:

1. Identity/Unit Laws:

```
\circ x <> mempty = x (Right identity)
```

```
\circ mempty <> x = x (Left identity)
```

2. Associativity:

$$\circ$$
 (x <> y) <> z = x <> (y <> z)

These laws ensure consistent and predictable behavior when combining elements.

Examples of Monoids

Lists

- **Identity**: [] (empty list)
- **Operation**: (++) (concatenation)

```
[1,2] <> [3,4] = [1,2,3,4]
[] <> [5] = [5] -- Identity law
```

Numbers (Sum)

- Identity: Sum 0
- Operation: (+)

```
Sum 5 <> Sum 10 = Sum 15
Sum 0 <> Sum 3 = Sum 3 -- Identity law
```

Numbers (Product)

- Identity: Product 1
- Operation: (*)

```
Product 4 <> Product 3 = Product 12

Product 1 <> Product 7 = Product 7 -- Identity law
```

Maybe

If the inner type is a Monoid, Maybe can also form a Monoid.

- Identity: Nothing
- Operation: Combines inner values if both are Just .

```
Just (Sum 3) <> Just (Sum 5) = Just (Sum 8)
Nothing <> Just (Sum 5) = Just (Sum 5) -- Identity law
```

Monads

Monad Class Definition

Monads are used to chain computations where each step may depend on the result of the previous step. In Haskell, the class is defined as:

```
      class Monad m where

      (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b -- Bind operator

      return :: a -> m a -- Wraps a value in the monad

      (>>) :: m a -> m b -> m b -- Sequential execution
```

Monad Functions

- >>= **(bind)**: Chains two computations, passing the result of the first to the second.
- return: Injects a value into the monadic context.
- >>: Sequences two computations, discarding the result of the first.

Monad Laws

Monads must satisfy these laws:

1. Identity/Unit Laws:

```
o [return a >>= f = f a] (Left identity)
o [m >>= return = m] (Right identity)
```

2. Associativity:

```
\circ (m >>= f) >>= g = m >>= (\x -> f x >>= g)
```

Note: While Monads are conceptually related to Monoids, in Haskell, an instance of Monad may not necessarily also be an instance of Monoid unless explicitly defined as such.

Do-Notation

Haskell provides do-notation for working with Monads, making code more readable. It acts as syntactic sugar for >>= and sequencing operations.

Example: Using do -notation with IO

```
main = do
putStrLn "Enter your name:"
name <- getLine
putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name)</pre>
```

Examples of Monads

Maybe Monad

Handles computations that may fail.

```
Just 5 >>= (\x -> Just (x + 1)) -- Just 6
Nothing >>= (\x -> Just (x + 1)) -- Nothing
```

List Monad

Represents non-deterministic computations.

```
[1,2] >>= (\x -> [x, x*2]) -- [1,2,2,4]
```

IO Monad

Sequences I/O actions.

```
main = do
  putStrLn "Enter a number:"
  num <- readLn
  print (num * 2)</pre>
```

Zippers

Basics

A Zipper is a data structure that allows focused traversal and updates of complex structures like lists or trees. It splits the structure into:

- 1. Focus: The current element.
- 2. **Context**: The rest of the structure.

List Zipper

Representation:

Operations:

1. Move Focus Right:

```
([1], 2, [3,4]) -> ([1,2], 3, [4])
```

2. Modify Focus:

```
([1], 2, [3,4]) -> ([1], 5, [3,4])
```

Tree Zipper

For binary trees:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)

type Breadcrumb a = (a, Tree a, Tree a)

type TreeZipper a = (Tree a, [Breadcrumb a])
```

Equational Reasoning

Substitution

- 1. Identify sub-expressions matching known definitions or results.
- 2. Replace using equivalences.
- 3. Simplify step-by-step.

Basic Proof Construction

1. Two-Column Proof:

 Write each step of the proof in two columns: the expression being manipulated and the justification.

2. **Induction**:

- Base Case: Prove the simplest instance.
- **Inductive Step**: Assume for n, prove for n+1.

Lazy Evaluation Semantics

Evaluation Forms

- 1. Normal Form (NF): Fully evaluated expression.
- 2. **Weak Head Normal Form (WHNF)**: Partially evaluated, stopping at the outermost constructor.

Example

Lazy Semantics

- Be able to reduce an expression to WHNF to simulate lazy semantics.
- Identify sub-expressions that are never evaluated under lazy semantics.