## Logic and Foundation with Haskell

## Exercise sheet 4

Exercise 1. Prove the following sequents involving conjunction:

- (i)  $\{\varphi\} \vdash (\varphi \land \varphi)$ ,
- (ii)  $\{\varphi, \psi, \chi\} \vdash (\varphi \land (\psi \land \chi)).$

**Exercise 2.** Show that  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} \vdash \psi$  if and only if  $\{\varphi_1 \land \varphi_2\} \vdash \psi$ . Hence, we can view the set of assumptions as being a big conjunction.

**Exercise 3.** Show the following sequents involving implication:

- (i)  $\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \psi))$ ,
- (ii)  $\vdash ((\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \psi)),$
- (iii)  $\{(\varphi \to \psi), (\varphi \to \chi)\} \vdash (\varphi \to (\psi \land \chi)).$

**Exercise 4.** Show that  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash \psi$  if and only if  $\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ . Hence implication 'internalizes'  $\vdash$ .

**Exercise 5.** Write down sequent rules  $(\leftrightarrow I)$  and  $(\leftrightarrow E)$  for equivalence.

**Exercise 6.** Prove the following sequents involving equivalence:

- (i)  $\{\varphi, (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)\} \vdash \psi$ ,
- (ii)  $\vdash (\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi)$ ,
- (iii)  $\{\varphi \leftrightarrow (\psi \leftrightarrow \psi)\} \vdash \varphi$ .

**Exercise 7.** Show that the relation  $\varphi \sim \psi$  defined by  $\vdash (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  is an equivalence relation.

Exercise 8. Prove the following sequents without (RAA):

- (i)  $\vdash (\neg(\varphi \land (\neg\varphi))),$
- (ii)  $\vdash ((\neg(\varphi \to \psi)) \to (\neg\psi)),$
- (iii)  $\{(\varphi \to \psi)\} \vdash ((\neg \psi) \to (\neg \varphi)),$
- (iv)  $\{(\varphi \to \psi)\} \vdash (\neg(\varphi \land (\neg\psi)))$ .

**Exercise 9.** Show using (RAA) that  $\{((\neg \psi) \to (\neg \varphi))\} \vdash (\varphi \to \psi)$ .

**Exercise 10.** Prove the following sequents without  $(\vee E)$ :

- (i)  $\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi)),$
- (ii)  $\{(\neg(\varphi \lor \psi))\} \vdash ((\neg\varphi) \land (\neg\psi)),$
- (iii)  $\vdash ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \lor \psi)).$

**Exercise 11.** Prove the following sequents with  $(\vee E)$ :

- (i)  $\{(\varphi \lor \psi)\} \vdash (\psi \lor \varphi)$ ,
- (ii)  $\{(\varphi \lor \psi), (\varphi \to \chi), (\psi \to \chi)\} \vdash \chi$ ,
- (iii)  $\{(\varphi \lor \psi), (\neg \varphi)\} \vdash \psi$ ,
- (iv)  $\{((\neg \varphi) \land (\neg \psi)\} \vdash (\neg(\varphi \lor \psi)).$