Midterm Assignment

1. Types, Classes, Objects and their State

1.1 Object Definition

Assuming you are confronted with a class that supports the following use case:

```
DateParser date_parser;
date_parser.set_date(today);
auto day = date_parser.day_of_week();
```

What is your objection to this? How would you change the interface of class DateParser?

Possible improvements:

- 1. Konstruktoren, in denen man direkt die Zeit setzen kann
- 2. set_date stellt nicht klar welche Formate es annimmt (entweder alle oder genauer spezifizieren)
- 3. day_of_week() mit Uebergabeparametern

1.2 Standard Object Semantics

Given the following implementation of class SemanticSurprise:

```
class SemanticSurprise {
public:
    SemanticSurprise(int value)
    : _value(value) { }
    SemanticSurprise(const SemanticSurprise & other)
```

```
: _value(other._value) { }

bool operator==(const SemanticSurprise & other) const {
   return _value == other._value;
}

int value() {
   return _value;
}

private:
   int _value;
};
```

• Are there compiler errors? If so: why?

```
Nope: https://godbolt.org/g/ihDPeV
```

- Name the method/operation on SemanticSurprise in every line marked with Op?, including those that lead to compiler errors.
- Which values are returned in the lines marked with value? (given the line does not lead to a compiler error). Explain why these values are returned.
- How can this implementation be simplified? What is the requirement for this simplification?

```
Copy Constructor can be defaulted.
```

```
SemanticSurprise s1;
                              // Default Constructor
s1.value();
                              // Compiler Error (No matching constructor)
                              // Parameterized (Assignment) Constructor
SemanticSurprise s2(4);
s2.value();
                              // 4 --> constructor defined
SemanticSurprise s3 = s2;
                              // Copy-assignment Constructor
s3.value();
                              // 4 --> assigned in s2
SemanticSurprise s4(s3);
                              // Copy Constructor
                              // True --> Copy constructor fullfill the operator==
s4 == s3:
s2 != s3;
                              // Compiler Error (invailed operands to binary expression)
```

Given the implementation of SemanticSurprise above, the following use case leads to a compiler error:

```
void print_surprise(const SemanticSurprise & s) {
  std::cout << "SemanticSurprise.value: " << s.value() << std::endl;</pre>
```

```
}
print_surprise(SemanticSurprise(10));
```

Explain the compiler error and how the implementation of SemanticSurprise
has to be corrected.

The given SemanticSurprise is marked as const but the function value() is not marked as const. Therefore it could potentially modify the object. Possible solutions:

- Mark value() as const
- Implement a second function:
 int value() const { return _value; }

1.3 Resource Ownership

Consider the following use case:

```
// ...
if (condition) {
        LogFileReader log_reader("performance.log");
        if (log_reader.lines().size() > 1024) { return; }
}
// ...
```

• Judging from its usage illustrated above: which rule must be satisfied in the implementation of class LogFileReader (or one of its members)?

Potential memory leak when the if condition throws an error. The concept needs to fullfill the Rule of Three/Five.

• Name a popular technique in resource management that depends on this rule, and briefly explain its principle.

Resource Allocation is Initialization (RAII) is a common technique which depends on this rule. In this technique the ressources are allocated during the initialization (via constructor) and hold until the object is destroyed (via destructor.)

2. Iterators

2.1 Algorithm Basics

The following algorithm dereferences and returns a given iterator's successor unless the successor's referenced value matches some condition. The algorithm is semantically correct but does not compile for iterators of some containers.

 Which containers? Briefly explain why the algorithm does not work for these and how it has to be changed.

```
template <typename Iterator, typename Value = typename Iterator::value_type>
Value next_value_or_default(Iterator it, Iterator end, Value default) {
  if (it == end || it+1 == end) { return default; }
  return it[1];
}
```

First it does not compile. default is in some compilers a reserved keyword. Renamed it to dfault.

The problem of this implementation is the increment of the iterator it+1. This is only suitable for RandomAccessIterators. The correct implementation should be it++ to be also suitable for ForwardIterator, BidirectionalIterator and InputIterator.

Therefore all container using the RandomAccessIterator Concept should work with this implementation.

https://godbolt.org/g/8hrmHb

2.2 Container Wrapper

The STL's std::vector guarantess that its elements are stored in a contiguous memory region and is therefore compatible to C-style arrays. The member function .data() returns a pointer to the vector's underlying raw memory.

For many hardware-tuning techniques, data is accessed in chunks. Assuming a std::vector<uint32_t> and 64 bytes per cache line, for example, vector elements could be loaded in chunks of 64/(32/8) = 16 elements.

• Write a container wrapper

```
cpppc::chunks<B, T, Container>
```

that provides a sequential container interface on elements in Container in chunks of maximum size B bytes.

The template signature is derived from std::stack, a similar wrapper type defined in the STL:

http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/container/stack

You only need to implement a minimal interface like constructors and begin(), end(), however.

Example use case:

```
std::vector<uint16 t> v us;
                                      ,-- wrapped container
cpppc::chunks<128, uint16t_t, std::vector<uint16_t>> v_chunks(v_us);
                   '-- element type
              '-- maximum size of a
                 single chunk in bytes
// Iterate chunks:
auto first_chunk = v_chunks.begin();
auto chunk_size = std::distance(v_chunks.begin(), v_chunks.end());
                   // --> 128/(16/8) = 64
// Iterators on elements in a chunk:
uint16_t first_chunk_elem = *first_chunk.begin();
uint16_t third_chunk_elem = first_chunk[2];
// Pointer to data in second chunk:
uint16_t chunk_1_data = v_chunks[1].data();
// Pointer to data in third chunk (= end pointer of data in second chunk):
uint16 t chunk 2 data = v chunks[2].data();
```

Note that you need two iterator types:

- cpppc::chunks<...>::iterator for iterating chunks; it references cpppc::chunks<...>::value_type (= type of single chunks)
- and another iterator type to access chunk elements.

It might be best if you use existing STL containers like std::vector<T> or std::array<T, ChunkSize> as chunk type: these would already provide the sequence container interface to chunk elements you need.

Note

The cpppc::chunks container adapter can (and should) be implemented as a *view*, that is: without copying elements of the underlying vector.

2.X Bonus

If you want to implement more sophisticated type size calculations like alignment requirements, refer to the C++ type support utilities:

http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/types

Or make yourself familiar with the boost Align library (brace for impact):

http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_63_0/doc/html/align.html

3. Algorithms, Function Templates, Type Deduction

- Implement a function interface void log10(X) that accepts a numeric value of type X and:
 - prints the base 10 logarithm of the value if it is an integer
 - prints the base 10 logarithm of the value's square root if it is a floating point value
- Implement a function interface void print_walk(T begin, T end) that accepts a range of iterators of type T and prints all values in the range. In this, the iteration order depends on the iterator type:
 - for random-access iterators, the order should be (pseudo) random but every element in the range must only be printed once

Is the implementation random enough?

- for input iterators, elements are printed in order from begin to end-1
- for bidirectional iterators, elements are printed in reverse order from end-1 to begin

4. Thread-Safety

4.1 Parallelism and STL Containers

Given the following operations on an instance of std::vector, consider operations in the same table row to be executed by multiple threads in parallel:

```
All mentioning of rules (e.g. Rule 1.) are based on https://en.cppreference. com/w/cpp/container\#Thread\_safety
```

```
// Shared vector instance accessed by thread A and thread B:
std::vector<int> v;
        / thread B:
// thread A:
// Safe: no shared resources (Rule 1.)
// -----
·
// ------
             | v[4] = 345;
 v[3] = 123;
// Safe: Rule 3.
// -----
 v[3] = 123; | int xb = v[3];
// Not safe: Modyfing and reading the same element in the same
// container can result in ambigious behaviour
// -----
 // Not safe: The underlying container is modified in A and its
// properties (which change) is read in B -> ambigious behaviour
// -----
        | v.push_back(54);
 v.back();
// Not safe: Rule 2. is violated.
 v.begin(); | v.push_back(34);
// Not 100% safe: push_back can invailidate the iteratos
// Not safe: The underlying container is modified and read at the
// same time & pop_back can invailidate the iterators
```

• For every pair of operation on the same table row, give a brief explanation on the guarantees with respect to thread-safety according to the C++ standard.

4.2 Producer-Consumer Problem

Simply put, one thread is producing goods and another thread is consuming goods. We want the consumer thread to wait **using a condition variable**, and

we want goods.push(i) to be mutually exclusive to goods.pop().

We are letting c++ and c- be surrogates for this mutual exclusion, since we can easily check if we correctly end up with 0 in the end.

Run the code as it is, and you will see that the net value is way off:

Note:

I know there is an easier solution, however the condition variable approach is more efficient in many cases and not as commonly known as it should be.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
#include <condition variable>
#include <mutex>
#include <chrono>
#include <queue>
using namespace std;
int main() {
    int c = 0;
    bool done = false;
    queue<int> goods;
    thread producer([&]() {
        for (int i = 0; i < 500; ++i) {
            goods.push(i);
            c++;
        }
        done = true;
    });
    thread consumer([&]() {
        while (!done) {
            while (!goods.empty()) {
                goods.pop();
                c--;
        }
    });
    producer.join();
    consumer.join();
    cout << "Net: " << c << endl;</pre>
}
```