

# Assignment 7

## C++ Programming Course, Summer Term 2018

“Because I’m hard, you will not like me.  
But the more you hate me, the more you will learn.”  
– [Gunnery Sgt. Hartman](#)  
(gunned down by one of his recruits a few weeks later, so ...  
because of a private)

## 7-1 Fixing the Google Tech Interview

Google has an official YouTube channel for recruiting software engineers where they published this video of a staged tech interview, presumably to demonstrate what Google would expect from candidates:

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XKu\\_SEDAykw](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XKu_SEDAykw)

For reasons one can only speculate about, comments have been disabled for this video.

Wipe the floor with the C++ implementation that is showcased as an acceptable solution  
(at [15:29](#) in the video):

1. Shake your head in disbelief and disgust

```
(
    (
        -
    )
    (
        ~
    )
)
```

2. Provide an improved variant of the `HasPairWithSum` function  
(feel free to also improve its name)
3. Provide use cases to explain in which numerous ways the original implementation is lacking and why your improvements are essential  
(add insult to injury: discuss parallelization aspects in particular)
4. Become aware that you got pretty good at C++

## 7-2 Act Like You Got a Pair

The utility template `std::pair<A,B>` is a useful companion due to its utmost simplicity and predictability: just a struct of two values `first` and `second` with independent types.

Experiment with minimal examples to answer the following questions:

- How do comparison operators of `pair<A,B>` depend on types A and B?
- How can we specify comparison of `pair<A0,B0>` and `pair<A1,B1>`?
- What is the benefit of `std::get` over `pair.first` / `pair.second` (use compiler explorer)
- Why don't we just always use `std::get`?

The most traditional ways to represent a range are:

- a pair of iterators
- a pair of an iterator and an offset

Implement as minimal proof-of-concepts:

- Specialize `std::make_pair` for iterator and offset, that is:  
Define a variant of `std::make_pair(Iter,int)` which only matches parameter types `Iter` that provide a type definition `iterator_category`
- Define
  - `std::begin(std::pair<Iter,int> p)`  
returning `std::get<0>(p)`
  - `std::end(std::pair<Iter,int> p)`  
returning `std::advance(std::get<0>(p), std::get<1>(p))`

Use compiler explorer to check that your specializations have no overhead.

A usage example:

```
std::vector<std::string> v {
    "Monday", "Tuesday", "Wednesday",
    "Thursday", "Brainfryday", "Saturday", "Sunday" };

auto range = std::make_pair(v.begin(), 6);

for (const auto & value : range) {
    std::cout << value << '\n';
}
```

## 7-3 Iterate, Evaluate, Destroy

References:

- <http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/algorithm>

### 7-3-1 Algorithm Categories

Like container categories (sequential, associative, wrapper), STL algorithms are categorized into conceptual groups.

Two of those are *Modifying Sequence Operation* and *Non-Modifying Sequence Operation*.

- In the [overview of STL algorithms](#), algorithms like `std::sort` and `std::partition` are not in the *Modifying* category. Why?

`std::sort` and `std::partition` are in the Partitioning / Sorting operations.

- Are there algorithms that return their result as a new sequence? Why? Discuss a minimal use-case to illustrate this.

### 7-3-2 Iterator Invalidation

- Which algorithms allow to add or remove elements from their input ranges?
- Explain how `std::list`, `std::deque`, `std::vector` and `std::map` differ in iterator invalidation rules.  
Also discuss the differences between iterator invalidation rules for erasure (removing container elements) and insertion (adding elements).

## 7-4 Runtimes / They are a-Changin'

### 7-4-0 Prerequisites

Clone *Celero* from <https://github.com/DigitalInBlue/Celero> and experiment with the examples in the distribution.

### 7-4-1 Shaming Virtual

Let's assume a colleague of yours uses virtual for virtually everything.

- Implement micro-benchmarks using Celero that demonstrate the disadvantages of `virtual` (runtime polymorphism) in the most drastic way you can.
- Evaluate performance of CRTP vs. `virtual` in a micro-benchmark. You can use the CRTP iterator base classes from your solution to assignment 6 if you want.