# Cimarron

## Stabilisation of videos in modern C++

Marius Herget

 $in\ partnership\ with$ 

Institute for Computer Science Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München



12th October 2018

#### 1 Idea

Video stabilization is used ever since cameras evolved. In the early days physicial stabilization techniques as tripods were used. In the following centuries cameras enhanced step by step. New solid and dynamic methods were invented like steady cams, dollys, shoulder rigs and many more. With the invention of digital photography and videos another possible solutionwas found: digital image stabilization. Different techniques like optical flow analysis or warp stabilization were developed. Cimarron implements such a feature tracking method for motion compensation.

#### 2 Theoratical introduction

New technologies emerge each year. In the last years espacially phones and small cameras were published. Under ideal condition recent smartphone's cameras pictures cannot be distinguished from professional cameras anymore. Nevertheless, a smartphone video is often detectable by its *handheld*, shaky look. As already mentioned within the short introduction different methods can be used to compensate this motion.

The general idea of video stabilization is to counter, smoothen or to minimize unwanted shakes. In general video motion stabilization can be classified in three categories: mechanical based, optical based and electronical based. Instead of using specific hardware like the first two methods, the electronical approach uses computing power to implement image processing techniques in the postproduction step. [4]

In order to compensate the unwanted movement of the camera, motion can be described in various forms. *Translation* is the simplest form of expression. In this concept direct, linear movement of a single point is described as the distance it covered within a certain time. This can enhanced with the combination of *rotational motion*. In comparision to translational movement it specifies the angle a point / body covers in a given timeframe. Examples can be seen in fig. 1.

Another motion model is *perspective*. As well as translational and rotational movement it can be described as vectors and scalars. The inverse of each model can be used as the source for the stabilization.




Figure 1: Differnt motion models

## 3 Modeling

## 4 Implementation

Cimarron is implement in C++14 and heavily depends on the OpenCV library. Figure 2




Figure 2: High-level system diagram

shows the general structure of the application. The differend modules each describe a specific step to achieve a smooth video. The first step is to decode the input video and to extract each frame. Therefore, it uses the *frame* concept described earlier. *Track* is the implementation of the OpenCV Continuously Adaptive Meanshift (CAMshift) algorithm, which is an improved version of the *Meanshift* algorithm. It generates motionData of the tracked objects which are then compared, filtered and aggregated to achieve one global difference vector for each frame of the video. In the end these vectors are used to transform the specific frames to compensate the shaky movement. In the end these modified frames are reframed with a simple, non dynamic mask and encoded in an *avi* videofile. Decoding and Encoding are trivial due to the use of the video++ framework and are not discussed in this documentation. More information

on these topics can be found in [2, 3].

#### 4.1 Feature tracking

The general concept of Cimarron is to track objects within the frames and follow those throughout the frames. Therefore, the CAMshift algorithm is the best way to implement the tracking. It is based on the Meanshift algorithm, which uses the simple approach of finding the maximum density region of points in a given search window. This data can be be a pixel distribution like histogram backprojection.

Firstly, Meanshift analyses the initial search window and calculates the centroid of the data. Afterwards, it uses this centroid as the new center of the search window and repeats this process, until the center of the area and the new calculated centroid are within a margin of error.

One disadvantage of Meanshift which is adressed by CAMshift ist that the tracking area has always the same size. Therefore, it is not possible to address moving objects which change their size. CAMshift was published by Gary Bradsky in his paper "Computer Vision Face Tracking for Use in a Perceptual User Interface" in 1998 and works in the following steps:

- 1. Apply Meanshift until it converges.
- 2. Updates the size of the search window.
- 3. Update the rotation of the search area.
- 4. Repeat step 1. until the required accuracy is met.

A detailed explanation with specific mathematical formulas can be found in [1].

Figure 3 shows the implementation of the CAMshift algorithm in Cimarron. The nine inital tracking areas are ordered with a  $3 \times 3$  Grid in the frame and can be seen as the red rectangles in fig. 4. Each tracking area is indepent and uses special camShiftTracker class.

The second step is to prepare each frame within the tracker. Therefore, a back projection is used. This methods uses the histogram of the inital tracking area in an image to show up probabilities of colors may appear in each pixel [5]. The steps of creating such this is shown in listing 1:

1. Transform image in an color space which saves the *hue* of each pixel.




Figure 3: Detailed system diagram of Track

- 2. Extract the hue information to receive a grayscale image and normalize its histogram.
- 3. Use OpenCV's calcBackProject.

The result is an color-weighted grayscale projection of the image. calcBackProject() functions as follows: (i) Calculate weigth of each color by the histogram and (ii) Multiply each color of each pixel with its weigth.

From there OpenCV takes it for us by simply calling cv::CamShift with the created




Figure 4: Example frame: tracking

back projection, the tacking area and a terminating variable.

Listing 2: CAMshift call

```
cv::CamShift(
    backproj, _trackWindow,
    cv::TermCriteria(CV_TERMCRIT_EPS | CV_TERMCRIT_ITER, 10, 1));
```

For each frame and tracking area this process is run through. The result can be seen in fig. 4. The green rectangles are the tracked elements, the blue ones their bounding rectangles. Small lines indicate their movement so far through the frames.

## 4.2 Movement identification of tracked objects

The feature tracking returns a std::vector<motionVector> aka motionData. Whereby, motionVector is a struct of all tracking vectors in the frame and its index. This data needs to be transformed in difference vectors between frames. These results should imply how the object moved between two frames.

This is simply achieved by comparing each tracking vector by its corresponding one in the previous frame:

$$\Delta_{TrackingVector_i(m(n))} = TrackingVector_i(m(n-1)) - TrackingVector_i(m(n))$$

This results in the difference of following properties (i)  $\delta CenterPoint$ , (ii)  $\delta Angle$  and (iii)  $\delta Area$ . These results are combined to a frame delta data vector.

The next step is to filter tracking errors by simply looking up delta vectors which exceed a threshhold of over 10% movement between two frames and deleting those.

Since the goal is to counter shaky movement by its translational and rotational movement, it is important to recognize intentional movement of objects within the frame and shakes of the camera. To achieve this, an aggregation of the delta vectors neebs to be done. The exceptions are: 1. There are tracked objects in the image and 2. there is only one tracked object. The first case returns the single delta vector as the global delta vector. The second one returns a zero vector which indicates that there is no movement between the images. The general case is that there are multiple motion vectors in each frame and therefore, multiple delta vectors.

In this case each vector is compared on time to each other vector and its similarity in translational and rotational movement is calculated.

**Vector similarity** is computed by the cosine similarity:

$$cos\_sim = \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \|B\|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i B_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i^2}}$$

It shows the angle between two vector by calculating the dot product of each member of the vector and dividing it by the multiplication of the vector's magnitude. A result of 1 indicates that the vectors are the same. Otherwise, a result below 0 shows that the vectors are dissimiliar and an exact 0 means they are orthogonal.




Figure 5: Translational compensation

```
std::pow(B->deltaPosition.y, 2.0);
auto ret = dot / (std::sqrt(denom_a) * std::sqrt(denom_b));
```

**Angle similarity** is simply calculated by the percantage difference between the two angles.

In the end, the analysis checks whether there are enough delta tracking vectors which are similar:

- 1. Count translational and rotational data which exceeds the custom threshold of similarity (Vectors: > 0.7, Angles: < 10%).
- 2. If at least 75% of all combinations exceed the similarity threshold, calculate their average value. Otherwise, the delta is zero.
- 3. Create a global delta vector with the computed delta data.

#### 4.3 Stabilization

As already described in section 2 the inverse can be used to compensate movement based on the moving vector. Figures 5 and 6 show how it can be accomplish.

The implementation is thanks to OpenCV quite simple. Firstly, each frame is resized to:

```
(width_{new}, height_{new}) =
(width_{original} + max(globalDeltaData_x),
height_{original} + max(globalDeltaData_y))
```




Figure 6: Rotational compensation

The translational and rotational transformations can be seen in listing 4. cv::Mat fullfills the frame concept and only differs in its memory management. One flaw in the current implementation, is that the image is rotated around its center. A better solution would be calculation the middle of all relevant tracking areas and using this point as center for the rotation.

### 4.4 Reframing

#### 5 Results and future work

### References

- [1] Gary R. Bradski. Computer Vision Face Tracking For Use in a Perceptual User Interface. 1998.
- [2] M. Garrigues and A. Manzanera. "Video++, a modern image and video processing C++ framework". In: *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Design and Architectures for Signal and Image Processing*. 2014, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/DASIP.2014.7115639.
- [3] Matthieu Garrigues. matt-42/vpp: Video++, a C++14 high performance video and image processing library. https://github.com/matt-42/vpp. (Accessed on 10/12/2018).
- [4] Chongwu Tang et al. A fast video stabilization algorithm based on block matching and edge completion. Oct. 2011.
- [5] Eric Yuan. OpenCV, meanShift, camShift. http://eric-yuan.me/continuously-adaptive-shift/. (Accessed on 10/12/2018). 2013.