

Symbolic powers in characteristic p

joint work with Craig Huneke

Nebraska Commutative Algebra Seminar, 29--30/03/17

R regular ring, containing a field.

$I \subseteq R$ radical ideal.

$Q \subseteq R$ prime ideal

$$h = \max \{ \text{ht } \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{P} \in \text{Ass}(R/I) \}$$

n -th symbolic power of Q :

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(n)} &= Q^n R_Q \cap R = \{ f \in I : sf \in Q^n \text{ for some } s \notin Q \} \\ &= Q\text{-primary component in a primary decomposition of } Q^n \end{aligned}$$

Recall: a power of a prime might not be primary

$$I^{(n)} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} (I^n R_{\mathcal{P}} \cap R) = \{ f \in I : sf \in I^n, \text{ associated prime of } I \}$$

Facts:

$$1) I^n \subseteq I^{(n)}$$

$$2) I^n \neq I^{(n)} \text{ in general} \rightarrow \text{examples soon}$$

$$3) \text{ If } I \text{ is generated by a regular sequence in a CM ring, then } I^n = I^{(n)}.$$

$$4) I^{(n+1)} \subseteq I^{(n)}$$

$$5) I^{(a)} I^{(b)} \subseteq I^{(a+b)}$$

Example: $I = (xy) \cap (x,z) \cap (y,z) = (xy, xz, yz) \subseteq k[x, y, z]$.

$$I^{(2)} = (xy)^2 \cap (x,z)^2 \cap (y,z)^2 \ni xyz$$

$$\text{But } xyz \notin I^2 \Rightarrow I^2 \neq I^{(2)} \quad \text{But } I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2.$$

Comments to make here:

- 1) Characterizing the ideals I with $I^{(n)} = I^n$ is open in general.
- 2) Determining symbolic powers can be very hard
- 3) Even determining what degrees $I^{(n)}$ lives in is a difficult question.

$I^{(n)} \neq I^n$ even for prime ideals:

Example: (Macaulay) $R = K[x, y, z] \xrightarrow{\phi} K[t]$
 $x \mapsto t^3, y \mapsto t^4, z \mapsto t^5$

$$I = \ker \phi = (\underbrace{xz - y^2}_f, \underbrace{x^3 - yz}_g, \underbrace{x^2y - z^2}_h)$$

$I^{(2)} \neq I^2$: Can show that $f h - g^2 = xg \Rightarrow g \in I^{(2)}$, but $g \notin I^2$ for degree reasons

However, $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.

Fun fact: We can consider maps like this one, where we take each variable to a different power of t , and study the family of height 2 primes we obtain this way. Some of them are complete intersections, so their symbolic powers are the usual powers, but for all the other ones we see the same behavior: $I^{(2)} \neq I^2$, but $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.

This is the kind of containments we'd like to study:

Question When is $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$?

Comments:

- 1) The question makes sense: given b , there exists a .

this has been known since the 60's, by work of Schenzel.

Theorem (Ein - Lazarsfeld - Smith, 2001, Hochster - Huneke, 2002)

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n$$

this is a more technical version of this theorem than what you may have seen before. We can always replace hn by a larger number (meaning a smaller symbolic power): so we could replace h with the dimension of the ring, and we would get a uniform containment result for all radical ideals in R .

We will talk more about this theorem later, but let's see what it says in our examples:

Example 1: $I = (x, y) \cap (x, z) \cap (y, z) \rightarrow h = 2$

theorem says: $I^{(2n)} \subseteq I^n$. In particular, $I^{(4)} \subseteq I^2$.

But actually, we can do better: $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$.

We also said that for these monomial curve examples, $P^{(3)} \subseteq P^2$.

Question (Huneke, 2000) If P is a prime of height 2, is $P^{(3)} \subseteq P^2$?

Conjecture (Harbourne, ≤ 2006) $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$.

Note: Harbourne had examples with $I^{(hn-h)} \not\subseteq I^n$.

We will talk more about that tomorrow.

Cases where Harbourne's Conjecture holds:

- char 2
- (Squarefree) monomial ideals
- General points in \mathbb{P}^2 (Bocsi-Harbourne) and \mathbb{P}^3 (Dumnicki)
- In char $p > 0$, if R/I is \mathbb{F} -pure and $h=2$ (Hochster-Huneke)
(What they actually prove is that $I^{(hn-1)} \subseteq I^n$)

But we will talk about what an \mathbb{F} -pure ring is tomorrow.

Bad news: Example (Dumnicki, Szemberg, Tutaj-Guzińska)

$$I = (x(y^3 - z^3), y(z^3 - x^3), z(x^3 - y^3)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$$

this is a radical ideal of pure height 2

$$\text{But } I^{(3)} \not\subseteq I^2.$$

→ May replace the cubes with any fixed $n \geq 3$ (Harbourne-Secombes)
and \mathbb{C} by any field, as long as there are enough roots of unity.

→ there are other counterexamples in \mathbb{P}^2 , all of special configurations of points.

→ there is a counterexample in \mathbb{P}^3 (arrangement of lines) (Malara-Szpond)

→ You can build other counterexamples out of the ones we have (Akers)

Note this is a very active area of research, new examples are still being found, and we are still trying to understand them

Good news: there are no counterexamples for primes nor higher containments. And tomorrow we will discuss more classes of ideals that do verify Harbourn's Conjecture.

Goal: Use characteristic p techniques to study symbolic powers (and in particular, to prove Harbourn's Conjecture in some cases)

Theorem (Ein - Lazarsfeld - Smith, 2001, Hochster - Huneke, 2002)

$$I^{(kn)} \subseteq I^n$$

Some history:

→ ELS proved the theorem over \mathbb{C} , using multiplier ideals.

→ HH extended the result to any regular ring containing a field.

Sketch of their proof:

0) Reduction to characteristic $p > 0$:

the idea is as follows: we have some statement you want to show in equicharacteristic 0 (in this case, a containment).

We can take our ideal I and look at it in char $p > 0$ (imagine replacing $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by $\mathbb{Z}/p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$). The idea is to

show that as you vary the characteristic, the statement we want may fail sometimes, but only for a finite number of

characteristics. So if we prove our statement holds in char p ,

we are done.

1) Prove the statement in char $p > 0$.

From now on:

R regular ring of char $p > 0$

Now we gain a powerful tool: the Frobenius homomorphism.

$$F(a) = a^p \qquad (a+b)^p = a^p + b^p$$

When we apply F to an ideal (as many times as we like), we get its Frobenius powers: given $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^e$, $\mathfrak{I}^{[\mathfrak{q}]} = (a^q : a \in \mathfrak{I})$

We will show that if \mathfrak{Q} is a prime of height h , then

$$\mathfrak{Q}^{(hn)} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Ingredients:

1) to show a containment of ideals $a \subseteq b$, we only need to show the containment holds locally - and it's enough to show it holds after localizing at the associated primes of b .

In our case, the issue is precisely that we don't know what the associated primes of \mathfrak{Q}^n are (the difference between the symbolic vs ordinary powers of \mathfrak{Q} is the potentially bad primes of \mathfrak{Q}^n), so we need to replace \mathfrak{Q}^n by something unmixed.

2) $Q^{[q]}$ is unmixed! Q is the only associated prime of $Q^{[q]}$.

Here it is crucial that we are in a regular ring.

The Frobenius map is flat over regular rings, and that property actually characterizes regular rings.

\leadsto We can show $Q^{(hq)} \subseteq Q^{[q]}$

Proof: Localize at Q . Now we live in a RLR of dim h , so our maximal ideal is $m = (x_1, \dots, x_h)$, and we want to show $m^{hq} \subseteq m^{[q]}$. The generators of m^{hq} look like

$$x_1^{a_1} \dots x_h^{a_h} \quad \text{where } a_1 + \dots + a_h = hq \Rightarrow a_i \geq q \text{ for some } i.$$

(this is just the pigeonhole principle!)

In fact, if we count it carefully, we can show

$$\boxed{Q^{(h(q-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^{[q]}}$$

this is
Harbourne's Conjecture!

----- end of day 1 ----- If it's early:

3) to show $Q^{(hn)} \subseteq Q^n$, we use tight closure!

We are in a regular ring, so ideals are tightly closed.

ETS: Given $u \in Q^{(hn)}$, $cu^q \in (Q^n)^{[q]}$ for all $q \gg 0$ and some $c \neq 0$.

Proof: Write $q = an + r$, $0 \leq r < n$. then:

$$u^a \in (Q^{(hn)})^a \subseteq Q^{(hna)} \Rightarrow Q^{hn} u^a \subseteq Q^{ra} u^a \subseteq Q^{(hq)} \subseteq Q^{[q]}$$

$$\text{Take } n \text{ powers: } Q^{hn^2} u^{an} \subseteq Q^{[q]} \Rightarrow \underbrace{Q^{hn^2}}_{\exists c \neq 0 \text{ here!}} u^q \subseteq Q^{[q]}$$

□

Part II: R regular ring of char $p > 0$

I radical ideal in R

$$h = \max \{ \text{ht } I : I \in \text{Ass}(R/I) \}$$

Harbourne's Conjecture: $I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n$

Recall: It holds for monomial ideals.

Luis' philosophy: If something holds for monomial ideals, it holds for ideals defining \mathbb{F} -pure rings.

Goal: Study this conjecture in char $p > 0$ (under Luis' philosophy)

$$\mathbb{F}(a) = a^p \quad \mathbb{F}\text{-Frobenius map}$$

We want to study ideals for which R/I verifies some condition:

\mathbb{F} -pure: $S = R/I$ is \mathbb{F} -pure if the Frobenius map is pure:

given a f.g. R -module M , $S \otimes M \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F} \otimes 1} S \otimes M$ is injective.

or

if S is \mathbb{F} -finite, which means that S is a f.g. module over itself via the action of Frobenius, S is \mathbb{F} -pure if and only if the Frobenius map splits (as a map of S -modules).

Examples of rings that are \mathbb{F} -pure:

1) If I is a squarefree monomial ideal, then R/I is \mathbb{F} -pure.

2) Consider a generic matrix (meaning, the entries are just variables) and take all minors of a fixed size.

→ the ideal they generate defines an \mathbb{F} -pure ring

→ the algebra they generate is an \mathbb{F} -pure ring

3) Veronese rings are \mathbb{F} -pure.

4) Rings of invariants are \mathbb{F} -pure, under some mild assumptions

Need: linearly reductive group acting on a polynomial ring over a field.

these include: finite groups, tori, GL_n , O_n

Fedder's Criterion (83) (R, m) RLR of char $p > 0$, $I \subseteq R$ ideal

$$R/I \text{ } \mathbb{F}\text{-pure} \Leftrightarrow (I^{[q]} : I) \not\subseteq m^{[q]} \text{ for all/some/large } q = p^e.$$

this is the key ingredient to prove

Theorem (-, Huneke) $R/I \text{ } \mathbb{F}\text{-pure} \Rightarrow I$ verifies Harbourne's Conjecture.

Proof: Main idea: $a \subseteq b \Leftrightarrow (b : a) = R$.

Will show: Given n fixed,

$$(I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq (I^n : I^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \text{ for all } q = p^e \gg 0.$$

Note: this holds even if R/I is not \mathbb{F} -pure.

Also, can reduce to the local case.

Once we show this, if $I^{(hn-h+1)} \not\subseteq I^n$, then $(I^n : I^{(hn-h+1)}) \subseteq m$, so

$$(I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq (I^n : I^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \subseteq m^{[q]} \Rightarrow R/I \text{ NOT } \mathbb{F}\text{-pure}.$$

— — — — right skip entire page, except for key ingredients — — —

Recall: R regular \Leftrightarrow Frobenius is flat.

Consequence: $(I^n : I^{(kn-k+1)})^{[q]} = ((I^n)^{[q]} : (I^{(kn-k+1)})^{[q]})$

Want to show: given $u \in (I^{[q]} : I)$, $u(I^{(kn-k+1)})^{[q]} \subseteq (I^n)^{[q]}$.

Key ingredients:

Lemma 1 $I^{(kq)} \subseteq I^{[q]}$ (we proved that yesterday!)

Theorem (HH) $I^{(ks+ks)} \subseteq (I^{(k+1)})^s$ this is what they actually proved!

$$u(I^{(kn-k+1)})^{[q]} \subseteq u \underbrace{I^{(kn-k+1)}}_{\substack{I^n \\ I}} (I^{(kn-k+1)})^{q-1} \subseteq I^{[q]} (I^{(kn-k+1)})^{q-1}$$

Want: $\subseteq (I^n)^{[q]}$

Enough: $(I^{(kn-k+1)})^{q-1} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^{n-1}$

Lemma 1 $\Rightarrow (I^{(kq)})^{n-1} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^{n-1}$

Theorem $\Rightarrow \underbrace{I^{(k(n-1) + (kq-1)(n-1))}}_{\otimes} \subseteq (I^{(kq)})^{n-1}$

so in the end all we need is $(I^{(kn-k+1)})^{q-1} \subseteq I^{((kn-k+1)(q-1))} \subseteq \otimes$

But it's really enough to see that

$(kn-k+1)(q-1) \geq \text{exponent of } \otimes \text{ when } q \gg 0.$

— — — —

Is the result sharp? Yes!

Example: $I = \bigcap_{i \neq j} (x_i, x_j) = (x_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_d : 1 \leq i \leq d) \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$
(HH)

$h=2$, theorem says $I^{(2n-1)} \subseteq I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$

Is $I^{(2n-2)} \subseteq I^n$?

$$I^{(2n-2)} = \bigcap_{i \neq j} (x_i, x_j)^{2n-2} \ni (x_1 \dots x_d)^{n-1}$$

However, I is generated in degree $d-1$, so if $n < d$,

elements in I^n have degree $n(d-1) = nd - n < nd - d = d(n-1)$

Note Can generalize this example to any h .

Examples:

1) $R = K[a, b, c, d] \xrightarrow{\phi} K[s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3] \quad (\text{Veronese!})$

$$I = \ker \phi = (c^2 - bd, bc - ad, b^2 - ac) \Rightarrow I^{(n)} = I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

2) (Singh) $I = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & b & d \\ c & a^2 & b^2d \end{pmatrix} \subseteq K[a, b, c, d] \quad \text{any } n.$

$$I^{(n)} = I^n.$$

Can we restrict the assumptions on I and get better containments?

$S = R/I$ F -finite reduced ring is strongly F -regular if
given $f \in S, f \neq 0, \exists q = p^e: f^{1/q} S \rightarrow S^{1/q}$ splits.

"there are lots of splittings, rather than just one"

Glassbrenner's Criterion (96) (R, m) F-finite RLR, char $p > 0$

c not in a minimal prime of I

R/I strongly F-regular $\Leftrightarrow c(I^{[q]} : I) \not\subseteq m^{[q]}$ for all/some/large $q = p^e$

Examples All of the above except monomial ideals.

Theorem (—, Huneke)

R/I strongly F-regular $\Rightarrow I^{((h-1)n+1)} \subseteq I^{n+1}$

Remark this is Harbourne's Conjecture replacing h by $h-1$.

Sketch of proof Given d , show that for all $q = p^e$,

$$(I^d : I^{(d)}) (I^{[q]} : I) \subseteq (I I^{(d-h+1)} : I^{(d)})^{[q]}$$

Idea: $(I^d : I^{(d)})$ always contains an element \notin minimal prime of I

R/I strongly F-regular $\Rightarrow I^{(d)} \subseteq I I^{(d-h+1)}$

Use induction.

Is this sharp? We don't know, but it is sharp when $h=2$:

Corollary $h=2 \Rightarrow I^{(n)} = I^n \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

Example (Determinantal ideals)

$R = K[X]$, where X is a generic $n \times n$ matrix

$$I = I_t(X).$$

then $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$ if and only if $a \geq \frac{t(n-t+1)}{n} b$.

$$\text{So if } n=2t, \quad I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b \iff a \geq \frac{t(t+1)}{2t} b = \frac{t+1}{2} b.$$

In this case, $\text{ht } I = (n-t+1)^2$. If $n=2t$, get $(t+1)^2$.

Char 0 results: Need R to be essentially of finite type over a field

- 1) Harbourne's Conjecture holds for R/I of dense F -pure type.
- 2) Harbourne-1 holds for R/I with log-terminal singularities