## Math 417 Problem Set 9 Solutions

Starred (\*) problems were due Friday, November 9.

(\*) 58. If  $\varphi: G \to H$  is a <u>surjective</u> homomorphism and  $N \leq G$  is a <u>normal</u> subgroup of G, show that  $\varphi(N) \leq H$  is a normal subgroup of H. Show, on the other hand, that if  $\varphi$  is not surjective, then  $\varphi(N)$  need not be a normal subgroup (hint: G is a normal subgroup of G!).

If  $h \in H$  and  $x \in \varphi(N)$ , we need to show that  $hxh^{-1} \in \varphi(N)$ . Since  $x \in \varphi(N)$ , we know that  $x = \varphi(y)$  for some  $y \in N$ . And since  $\varphi$  is surjective, we know that there is  $g \in G$  so that  $\varphi(g) = h$ . Then  $hxh^{-1} = \varphi(g)\varphi(y)\varphi(g)^{-1} = \varphi(g)\varphi(y)\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(gyg^{-1})$ . But! Since  $y \in N$  and  $g \in G$ , we have  $gyg^{-1} \in N$ , since N is normal. This means that  $hxh^{-1} = \varphi(gyg^{-1})$  is the image under  $\varphi$  of something in N, and so  $hxh^{-1} \in \varphi(N)$ . So the conjugate of anything in  $\varphi(N)$  lies in  $\varphi(N)$ , so  $\varphi(N)$  is a normal subgroup of H.

However, if  $\varphi$  is not surjective, this need not be true. Probably the quickest way to show this is to use the identity map for  $\varphi$  (or more exactly, the inclusion map). For example, In  $H = S_3$ ,  $G = \{e_H, (1, 2)\}$  is a subgroup, but not a normal subgroup (since, e.g.,  $(1,3)(1,2)(1,3) = (2,3) \neq (1,2)$ ). But the inclusion map  $\iota: G \to H$  sending x to x is an injective homomorphism, but not a surjective one, and the normal subgroup  $N = G \leq G$  is taken by  $\varphi$  to  $G \leq H$ , which is not a normal subgroup of H.

We can build more elaborate examples, as well. For example, the map  $\mathbb{Z}_8 \to S_8$  sending k to  $(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8)^k$  is a homomorphism, and  $2\mathbb{Z}_8$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ , but (you can check!)  $\varphi(2\mathbb{Z}_8) = \langle (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8)^2 \rangle = \langle (1,3,5,7)(2,4,6,8) \rangle$  is not a normal subgroup of  $S_8$ .

(\*) 60. (Gallian, p.222, # 42) Show that if  $N, K \leq G$  are <u>normal</u> subgroups of G and  $K \leq N$ , then N/K is a normal subgroup of G/K, and  $(G/K)/(N/K) \cong G/N$ . [This is the "Third Isomorphism Theorem" of Emmy Noether. One approach: start by looking at the 'natural' map  $G \to G/N$ .]

 $G/K = \{gK : g \in G\}$  is a group under multiplication of cosets, and  $N/K = \{nK : n \in G\}$  is a subset of G/K. We start by showing it is a subgroup:  $e \in N$  and so  $eK = K \in N/K$  (the identity element of G/K). If  $aK, bK \in N/K$ , then  $a, b \in N$  and so  $(ab) \in N$  and  $(aK)(bK) = (ab)K \in N/K$ . Finally, if  $a \in N$  then  $a^{-1} \in N$  and so  $(aK)^{-1} = a^{-1}K \in N/K$ . So N/K is closed under multiplication and inversion, and contains the identity, so N/K is a subgroup of G/K.

Even more, since N is normal in G, N/K is normal, since if  $nK \in N/K$  and  $gK \in G/K$ , then  $(gK)(nK)(gK)^{-1} = (gng^{-1})K \in N/K$ , since  $gng^{-1} \in N$ .

Consequently, (G/K)/(N/K) is a group. We have a 'natural' (surjective) homomorphism  $\varphi_1: G/K \to (G/K)/(N/K)$ , with kernel N/K. But we <u>also</u> have a 'natural' (surjective) homomorphism  $\varphi_2: G \to G/K$ , with kernel K. Composing these two homomorphisms, we get a (surjective!) homomorphism  $\psi: G \to (G/K)/(N/K)$ .

The first isomorphism theorem then tells us that the induced homomorphism  $\overline{\psi}$ :  $G/\ker(\psi) \to (G/K)/(N/K)$  will be an isomorphism; the only question is, what is  $\ker(\psi)$ ?

To figure that out, start with  $g \in G$  with  $\psi(g) = e$  in (G/K)/(N/K). This means  $\varphi_1(\varphi_2(g)) = \varphi_1(gK) = (gK)(N/K) = e_{(G/K)/(N/K)}$ . That is, (gK)(N/K) = N/K, so  $gK \in N/K$ , which means  $g \in N$ . So (!)  $\ker(\psi) \subseteq N$ . But conversely, if  $g \in N$ , then  $gK \in N/K$ , and so  $\psi(g) = (gK)(N/K) = (N/K)$ , so  $g \in \ker(\psi)$ , so  $N \subseteq \ker(\psi)$ . Together these give  $\ker(\psi) = N$ , and so

$$\overline{\psi}: G/N \to (G/K)/(N/K)$$
 given by  $\overline{\psi}(gN) = (gK)(N/K)$  is an isomorphism!

- [N.B. The suggested approach will also work: The surjection  $G \to G/N$  can be used to build a (surjective) homomorphism  $G/K \to G/N$  given by  $gK \mapsto gN$ . Then we can show that the kernel of this homomorphism is N/K, yielding an isomorphism  $(G/K)/(N/K) \to G/N$  (i.e., built in the opposite direction!). The diligent student can verify that this map is the inverse of the one built above....]
- (\*) 63. (Gallian, p.202, # 37) If H is a normal subgroup in G and G is finite, and  $g \in G$ , show that the order of gH in G/H divides the order of g in G.

The quickest approach is to use the fact that if  $x^n = e$  in a group then the order of x divides n. Translating that into the language of our problem, since what we want is that |gH| divides |g|, this means the we want gH to play the role of x, and |g| to play the role of x. So it is enough to establish that  $(gH)^{|g|} = e$  in G/H.

But this is true: since 
$$g^{|g|} = e_G$$
, we have  $(gH)^{|g|} = (gH)(gH) \cdots (gH) = (g \cdot g \cdot g)H = (g^{|g|})H = e_GH = H = e_{G/H}$  in  $G/H$ . So the order of  $gH$  divides the order of  $g$ .

## A selection of further solutions.

61. If G is a group, show that  $H = \{(g,g) : g \in G\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G \oplus G \Leftrightarrow G$  is abelian; when H is normal, show that  $(G \oplus G)/H$  is isomorphic to G.

[Hint: how would you build a homomorphism  $G \oplus G \to G$  so that H would be the kernel? Note that at this point in the problem you can <u>assume</u> that G is abelian!]

If H is normal, then for  $(x,y) \in G \oplus G$  we have, for every  $g \in G$ ,  $(x,y)(g,g)(x,y)^{-1} = (x,y)(g,g)(x^{-1},y^{-1}) = (xgx^{-1},ygy^{-1}) \in H$ , so  $(xgx^{-1},ygy^{-1}) = (h,h)$  for some h, so  $xgx^{-1} = h$  and  $ygy^{-1} = h$ . But then setting  $y = e_G$  we have  $ygy^{-1} = ege^{-1} = g$ , so h = g in this case (and for every choice of g!), and so for any  $x \in G$  we have  $xgx^{-1} = g$ . So xg = gx for every  $x \in G$  and  $g \in G$ , so G is abelian.

On the other hand, if G is abelian, then xg = gx for every  $g \in G$  and  $x \in G$ , and so for each  $(g,g) \in H$  and  $(x,y) \in G \oplus G$  we have  $(x,y)(g,g)(x,y)^{-1} = (x,y)(g,g)(x^{-1},y^{-1}) = (xgx^{-1},ygy^{-1}) = (xx^{-1}g,yy^{-1}g) = (g,g) \in H$ . So H is a normal subgroup.

To prove the final assertion, following the hint we want to build a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi: G \oplus G \to G$  so that  $\varphi(g,h) = e_G$  if and only if g = h, i.e.,  $gh^{-1} = e_G$ . This suggests that we try  $\varphi(g,h) = gh^{-1}$ ! Since we are assuming that  $H = \{(g,g) : g \in G\}$  is normal, we know that G is abelian, and then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism:  $\varphi(g,h)\varphi(u,v) = (gh^{-1})(uv^{-1}) = (gu)(v^{-1}h^{-1}) = (gu)(hv)^{-1} = \varphi(gu,hv) = \varphi((g,h)(u,v))$ , where we used that G is commutative to rearrange terms. So  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, and  $\varphi(g,e_G) = ge_G^{-1} = ge_G = g$  so  $\varphi$  is surjective. Finally,  $\varphi(g,h) = gh^{-1} = e_G$  if and only if g = h, so  $\varphi(g,h) = e_G$  if and only if  $(g,h) = (g,g) \in H$ , so  $(g,h) = gh^{-1} = e_G$  if and only if  $(g,h) = (g,g) \in H$ , so  $(g,h) = gh^{-1} =$ 

64. Show that in the symmetric group  $S_n$ , every <u>commutator</u>  $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$  is an element of the subgroup  $A_n$  = the alternating group. Show, in addition, that every 3-cycle (a, b, c) can be written as a commutator  $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ . Conclude that every element of  $A_n$  can be written as a product of commutators.

Whatever they are,  $\alpha$  can be expressed as a product of some number r of transpositions  $\alpha = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_r$ , and then  $\alpha^{-1} = \tau_r \cdots \tau_1$  (since  $\tau_i^{-1} = \tau_i$  is also a product of r transpositions). Similarly,  $\beta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m$  is a product of m transpositions, and  $\beta^{-1} = \sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1$ . Then

$$\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1} = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_r \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m \tau_r \cdots \tau_1 \sigma_m \cdots \sigma_1$$

is a product of 2r + 2m transpositions. In particular, it is a product of an even number of transpositions, and so is an even permutation, and so  $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1} \in A_n$ .

A 3-cycle can be expressed as a commutator of two 2-cycles, in fact; a little experimenting shows that  $(a, b, c) = (a, b)(a, c)(a, b)(a, c) = (a, b)(a, c)(a, b)^{-1}(a, c)^{-1}$ .

Finally, we have seen (in a previous problem set) that every element of  $A_n$  can be written as a product of 3-cycles. Since every 3-cycle can be expressed as a commutator, every element of  $A_n$  can then be expressed as a product of commutators.