

## Math 325 Problem Set 2 Solutions

4. [Lay, p.115, # 11.5] We define  $|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$ . Show that for every pair of real numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x| \cdot |y| = |xy|$ .

There are, essentially, nine cases, depending on the sign of each of  $x$  and  $y$ . But if either of  $x$  or  $y$  is 0, then  $xy = 0$ , so  $|xy| = 0$ ; but then also either  $|x| = 0$  or  $|y| = 0$ , so  $|x| \cdot |y| = 0$ . This deals with five of the cases!

If  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$ , then  $xy > 0$  and  $|x| = x$ ,  $|y| = y$ , so  $|xy| = xy = |x| \cdot |y|$ .

If  $x > 0$  and  $y < 0$ , then  $xy < 0$  and  $|x| = x$ ,  $|y| = -y$ , so  $|xy| = -(xy) = (x)(-y) = |x| \cdot |y|$ .

If  $x < 0$  and  $y > 0$ , then  $xy < 0$  and  $|x| = -x$ ,  $|y| = y$ , so  $|xy| = -(xy) = (-x)(y) = |x| \cdot |y|$ .

If  $x < 0$  and  $y < 0$ , then  $xy > 0$  and  $|x| = -x$ ,  $|y| = -y$ , so  $|xy| = xy = (-x)(-y) = |x| \cdot |y|$ .

So in every case, we find that  $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$ , so the result holds for any pair of real numbers.

5. [Lay, p.127, # 12.6(a)] Show that the least upper bound of a set  $S$  is unique; that is, if  $S$  is bounded from above, and if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  both satisfy the properties required so be the supremum of  $S$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha = \beta$  is false. Then it must be the case that either  $\alpha < \beta$  or  $\alpha > \beta$ .

But if  $\alpha < \beta$ , then since  $\beta$  is a least upper bound,  $\alpha$  cannot be an upper bound (there is an  $x \in S$  so that  $\alpha < x$ ). But since  $\alpha$  is a supremum, it must in particular be an upper bound!, a contradiction. so  $\alpha < \beta$  is impossible.

But by a symmetric argument, if  $\alpha > \beta$  then since  $\alpha$  is a least upper bound,  $\beta$  cannot be an upper bound ( $\beta < \alpha$  implies that there is an  $x \in S$  with  $\beta < x$ ). So  $\beta < \alpha$  is also impossible.

So  $\alpha \neq \beta$  leads, in all cases, to a contradiction, so it must be the case that  $\alpha = \beta$ .

6. [Lay, p.127, # 12.3, 12.4(g,h)]

Find the supremum (= lub) and infimum (= glb) of each of the following sets:

$$(\alpha) \left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = A$$

Writing out a few terms suggests that the elements of the set get larger as  $n$  increases, and calculus tells us that they limit on 1. So we would assert that  $\sup(A)=1$  and  $\inf(A)=1/2$ = the 'first' element of the set.

Verifying these can be done by noting that  $1/2 \in A$ , and for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1/2 \geq n/(n+1)$ , since  $n \geq 1$  implies that  $2n = n + n \geq n + 1$ , so  $n \geq (n+1)/2$  [since  $1/2 > 0$ ], so  $n/(n+1) \geq 1/2$  [since  $1/(n+1) > 0$ ].

$\sup(A) = 1$ , since  $0 < 1$  implies that  $n < n + 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , so  $n/(n + 1) < 1$  for all  $n$  (showing that 1 is an upper bound), and if  $x < 1$  then  $1 - x > 0$ , so  $(n + 1)(1 - x) > 1$  for some  $n$  (by a result from class), so  $1 - x > 1/(n + 1)$ , so  $x < 1 - 1/(n + 1) = n/(n + 1)$ , showing that  $x$  cannot be an upper bound for  $A$ .

$$(\beta) \left\{ (-1)^n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = B$$

Again, writing out a few terms convinces us that the odd-numbered terms are negative and increase from  $-2$  towards  $-1$ , and the even-numbered terms decrease from  $3/2$  towards  $1$ . So we assert that  $\sup(B) = 3/2$  and  $\inf(B) = -2$ .

Verifying this can be done by noting that  $3/2$  and  $-2$  are in  $B$ , and then showing that, if  $n \geq 1$  is odd, then  $-2 \leq (-1)^n(1 + 1/n) < 0 < 3/2$  and if  $n \geq 1$  is even then  $-2 < 0 < (-1)^n(1 + 1/n) \leq 3/2$ . This is because (using our knowledge of the sign of  $-1)^n$ , these assert that  $0 < 1 + 1/n \leq 2$  for  $n$  odd and  $0 < 1 + 1/n \leq 3/2$  for  $n$  even. These in turn follow from (multiplying by  $n > 0$  and  $2n > 0$ , respectively)  $0 < n + 1 \leq 2n$  and  $0 < 2n + 2 \leq 3n$ , which assert that  $1 \leq n$  and  $2 \leq n$  respectively.

7. For subsets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define their ‘sum’  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are both bounded from above, then

$$\text{lub}(A + B) = \text{lub}(A) + \text{lub}(B) .$$

[Hint: show that  $\text{lub}(A) + \text{lub}(B)$  is an upper bound! Then worry about whether there might be a smaller one...]

Some of you pointed out, in a burst of honesty, that this result can be found in the textbook... [All that I noticed was that it wasn't in the exercise sets.] The idea is that since  $a \leq \text{lub}(A)$  and  $b \leq \text{lub}(B)$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we then know that  $x = a + b \leq \text{lub}(A) + \text{lub}(B)$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , i.e., for every  $x \in A + B$ . So  $\text{lub}(A) + \text{lub}(B)$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$ .

To so that it is the least upper bound, we suppose we are given a number  $\mu < \text{lub}(A) + \text{lub}(B) = \alpha + \beta$ . From this what we want to do (at least, this is one approach) is to construct a pair of numbers less than  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (to use that fact that these are suprema). If we set  $(\alpha + \beta) - \mu = \epsilon > 0$ , then we can ‘split’ this excess between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , setting  $\alpha' = \alpha - \epsilon/2 < \alpha$  and  $\beta' = \beta - \epsilon/2 < \beta$ .

Then  $\alpha' + \beta' = (\alpha + \beta) - \epsilon = \mu$ , and by the properties of the suprema, we know that there is an  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  with  $\alpha' < a$  and  $\beta' < b$ , so  $\mu = \alpha' + \beta' < \alpha' + b < a + b$  with  $a + b \in A + B$ . So  $\mu$  is not an upper bound for  $A + B$ , showing that  $\alpha + \beta = \sup(A + B)$ , as desired.