Math 445 Number Theory

September 3, 2008

Our previous approaches to checking for primes are too labor intensive! Fermat's Little Theorem provides a better way.

$$(a,b) = \gcd(a.b) = \text{greatest common divisor}$$
; $a \equiv b \text{ means } p|b-a$;

FLT: If p is prime and (a,p)=1, then $p|a^{p-1}-1$ (i.e., $a^{p-1}\equiv 1$)

(Alternatively, if p is prime then $a^p \equiv a$ for all a .)

Main ingredients:

- (1) If p is prime, (a, p) = 1, and $ab \equiv ac$, then $b \equiv c$
- (2) If (a, n) = 1 and (b, n) = 1, then (ab, n) = 1

Then to prove FLT, look at

$$N = (p-1)!a^{p-1} = (1 \cdot a)(2 \cdot a) \cdots ((p-1) \cdot a) .$$

 $N=(p-1)!a^{p-1}=(1\cdot a)(2\cdot a)\cdots((p-1)\cdot a)\ .$ If we show that $N\equiv (p-1)!,$ then since ((p-1)!,p)=1 (by (2) and induction), we have $a^{p-1} \equiv 1$ by (1). But, again by (1), if $xa \equiv ya$ then $x \equiv y$, so each of $1 \cdot a, 2 \cdot a, \ldots, (p-1) \cdot a$ are distinct, mod p. I.e., this list is the same, mod p, as $1, 2, \ldots, p-1$, except for possibly being written in a different order. But then the products of the two lists are the same, as desired.

FLT describes a property shared by all prime numbers. What is remarkable is that most composite numbers don't have this property. A composite number n for which $a^n \equiv a$ is called a pseudoprime to the base a. If n is a pseudoprime to all bases relativfely prime to n, it is called a Carmichael number.

Unfortunately (for primality testing), Carmichael numbers do exist. The smallest is $561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$.

It is a fact that Carmichael numbers can be characterized precisely as those n for which their prime factorization $n = p_1 \cdots p_k$ has $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ (factors are distinct) and $p_i - 1|n-1$ for every i. We showed that numbers of this form *are* Carmichael numbers.

Next step: find a *better* property of primes to test for!