## Math 971 Algebraic Topology

February 1, 2005

Gluing groups: given groups  $G_1, G_2$ , with subgroups  $H_1, H_2$  that are isomorphic  $H_1 \cong H_2$ , how can we "glue"  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  together along their "common" subgroup? More generally (and with our eye on van Kampen's Theorem) given a group H and homomorphisms  $\phi_1 : H \to G_i$ , we wish to build the largest group "generated" by  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , in which  $\phi_1(h) = \phi_2(h)$  for all  $h \in H$ .

Idea: start with  $G_1 * G_2$  (to get the first part), and then take a quotient to insure that  $\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} = 1$  for every h. Using presentations  $G_1 = \langle \Sigma_1 | R_1 \rangle$ ,  $G_2 = \langle \Sigma_2 | R_2 \rangle$ , we can do this as

$$G = (G_1 * G_2) / < \phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{\phi_1(h)(\phi_2(h))^{-1} : h \in H\} > ^N = < \Sigma_1 \coprod \Sigma_2 | R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_2$$

This group  $G == G_1 *_H G_2$  is the largest group generated by  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in which  $\phi_1(h) = \phi_2(h)$  for all  $h \in H$ , and is called the amalgamated free product or free product with amalgamation (over H). [Warning! Group theorists will generally use this term only if both homoms  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are injective. Some people use the term pushout in our more general setting.]

Important special cases:  $G *_H \{1\} = G/<\phi(H)>^N = <\Sigma | R \cup \phi(H)>$ , and  $G_1 *_{\{1\}} G_2 \cong G_1 * G_2$ 

The relevance to  $\pi_1$ : the **Seifert-van Kampen Theorem**. If we express a topological space as the union  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , then we have inclusion-induced homomorphisms  $j_{1*}: \pi_1(X_1) \to \pi_1(X)$ ,  $j_{2*}: \pi_1(X_2) \to \pi_1(X)$  - to be precise, we should choose a common basepoint in  $A = X_1 \cap X_2$ . This gives a homomorphism  $\phi: \pi(X_1) * \pi_1(X_2) \to \pi_1(X)$ . When

 $X_1, X_2$  are open, and  $X_1, X_2, X_1 \cap X_2$  are path-connected

we can see that this homom is onto: Given  $x_0 \in X_1 \cap X_2$  and a loop  $\gamma: (I, \partial I) \to (X, x_0)$ , we wish to show that it is homotopic relationary endpoints to a product of loops which lie alternately in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . The idea: cut I into subintervals which alternately map into  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . Their endpoints, therefore, all map into  $X_1 \cap X_2$ . Setting  $y_k = \gamma(I_k \cap I_{k+1})$ , we can, since  $X_1 \cap X_2$  is path-connected, find a path  $\delta_k: I \to X_1 \cap X_2$  with  $\delta_k(0) = y_k$  and  $\delta_k(1) = x_0$ . Defining  $\eta_k = \gamma|_{I_k}$ , we have that, in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ ,

 $[\gamma] = [\eta_1 * \cdots * \eta_m] = [\eta_1 * (\delta_1 * \overline{\delta_1}) * \eta_2 * \cdots * \eta_{m-1} * (\delta_{m-1} * \overline{\delta_{m-1}}) * \eta_m] = [\eta_1 * \delta_1] [\overline{\delta_1} * \eta_2 * \delta_2] \cdots [\overline{\delta_{m-2}} * \eta_{m-1} * \delta_{m-1}] [\overline{\delta_{m-1}} * \eta_m]$ We can insert the  $\delta_k * \overline{\delta_k}$  into these products because each is homotopic to the constant map, and  $\eta_k * (\text{constant})$  is homotopic to  $\eta_k$  by the same sort of homotopy that established that the constant map represents the identity in the fundamental group.

This results in a product of loops (based at  $x_0$ ) which alternately lie in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . This product can therefore be interpreted as lying in  $\pi(X_1) * \pi_1(X_2)$ , and maps, under  $\phi$ , to  $[\gamma]$ .  $\phi$  is therefore onto, and  $\pi_1(X)$  is isomorphic to the free product modulo the kernel of this map  $\phi$ .

Loops  $\gamma:(I,\partial I)\to (A,x_0)$ , can, using the maps  $i_{1*}:\pi_1(A)\to\pi_1(X_1)$ ,  $i_{2*}:\pi_1(A)\to\pi_1(X_2)$ , be thought as either in  $\pi_1(X_1)$  or  $\pi_1(X_2)$ . So the word  $i_{1*}(\gamma)(i_{2*}(\gamma))^{-1}$ , in  $\pi(X_1)*\pi_1(X_2)$ , is sent to the identity in  $\pi_1(X)$  under  $\phi$ . So these elements lie in the kernel of  $\phi$ .

**Seifert - van Kampen Theorem:**  $\ker(\phi) = \langle i_{1*}(\gamma)(i_{2*}(\gamma))^{-1} : \gamma \in \pi_1(A) \rangle^N$ , so  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(X_1) *_{\pi_1(A)} \pi_1(X_2)$ .

Before we explore the proof of this, let's see what we can do with it!

Fundamental groups of graphs: Every finite connected graph  $\Gamma$  has a maximal tree T, a connected subgraph with no simple circuits. Since any tree is the union of smaller trees joined at a vertex, we can, by induction, show that  $\pi_1(T) = \{1\}$ . In fact, if e is an outermost edge of T, then T deformation retracts to  $T \setminus e$ , so, by induction, T is contractible. Consequently (Hatcher, Proposition 0.17),  $\Gamma$  and the quotient space  $\Gamma/T$  are homotopy equivalent, and so have the same  $\pi_1$ . But  $\Gamma/T = \Gamma_n$  is a bouquet of n circles for some n. If we let  $\mathcal{U} = a$  neighborhood of the vertex in  $\Gamma_n$ , which is contractible, then, by singling out one petal of the bouquet, we have  $\Gamma_n = (\Gamma_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{U}) \cup (\Gamma_1 \cup \mathcal{U}) = X_1 \cup X_2$  with  $\Gamma_k \cup \mathcal{U} \simeq (\Gamma_k \cup \mathcal{U})/\mathcal{U} \cong \Gamma_k$ . And since  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \mathcal{U} \simeq *$ , we have that  $\pi_1(\Gamma_n) \cong \pi_1(\Gamma_{n-1}) *_1 \pi_1(\Gamma_1) = \pi_1(\Gamma_{n-1}) *_2 \mathbb{Z}$  So, by induction,  $\pi_1(\Gamma) \cong \pi_1(\Gamma_n) \cong \mathbb{Z} * \cdots *_2 \mathbb{Z} = F(n)$ , the free group on n letters, where n = the number of edges not in a maximal tree for  $\Gamma$ . The generators for the group consist of the edges not in the tree, prepended and appended by paths to the basepoint.