

# Math 208H

## Why Stokes' Theorem is true

Let  $\Sigma$  = a region in the  $xyz$ -space, parametrized by a region  $R$  in the  $uv$ -plane.

$$x = x(u, v) , y = y(u, v) , z = z(u, v)$$

Let  $C = \partial R$  = the boundary of  $R$ , traversed counterclockwise, parametrized as

$$u = u(t), v = v(t) \quad , \quad a \leq t \leq b$$

Then the boundary of  $\Sigma$  is a curve  $E$  parametrized as

$$x = x(u(t), v(t)), y = y(u(t), v(t)), z = z(u(t), v(t)) \quad , \quad a \leq t \leq b$$

Let  $\vec{F} = \langle L, M, N \rangle$  = a vector field on  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \langle M_z - N_y, -(L_z - N_x), L_y - M_x \rangle$

Then Stokes' Theorem says that

$$(*) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \text{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet \vec{N} \, dA = \int_E \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{r}$$

To show this, we will translate both integrals to the  $uv$ -plane, and use Green's Theorem!

We will start with the path integral. Using the Chain Rule, we can compute that the curve  $\vec{r}$  in  $xyz$ -space has velocity vector

$$\vec{r}'(t) = \langle x'(t), y'(t), z'(t) \rangle = \langle x_u u_t + x_v v_t, y_u u_t + y_v v_t, z_u u_t + z_v v_t \rangle , \text{ so}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{r} &= \int_a^b \langle L, M, N \rangle \bullet \langle x_u u_t + x_v v_t, y_u u_t + y_v v_t, z_u u_t + z_v v_t \rangle \, dt \\ &= \int_a^b L \cdot (x_u u_t + x_v v_t) + M \cdot (y_u u_t + y_v v_t) + N \cdot (z_u u_t + z_v v_t) \, dt \\ &= \int_a^b (Lx_u + My_u + Nz_u)u_t + (Lx_v + My_v + Nz_v)v_t \, dt \end{aligned}$$

which, from the point of view of the  $uv$ -plane, is the integral of the vector field

$$\vec{G} = \langle Lx_u + My_u + Nz_u, Lx_v + My_v + Nz_v \rangle$$

over the curve  $C$ . (Technical point:  $L, M$ , and  $N$  are thought of here as functions of  $u$  and  $v$ , not of  $x, y$  and  $z$ . So these really stand for  $L(x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v))$ , etc.) But since  $C$  is the boundary of the region  $R$ , traversed counterclockwise, **Green's Theorem** tells us that

$$\int_C \vec{G} \bullet d\vec{r} = \int_R \text{curl}(\vec{G}) \, dA$$

But, using the Chain Rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl}(\vec{G}) &= (Lx_v + My_v + Nz_v)_u - (Lx_u + My_u + Nz_u)_v \\ &= (Lx_v)_u + (My_v)_u + (Nz_v)_u - (Lx_u)_v - (My_u)_v - (Nz_u)_v \\ &= (L_u x_v + L_{vu}) + (M_u y_v + M_{vu}) + (N_u z_v + N_{vu}) \\ &\quad - (L_v x_u + L_{uv}) - (M_v y_u + M_{uv}) - (N_v z_u + N_{uv}) \\ &= L_u x_v - L_v x_u + M_u y_v - M_v y_u + N_u z_v - N_v z_u \\ &\quad + (L_{vu} - L_{uv}) + (M_{vu} - M_{uv}) + (N_{vu} - N_{uv}) \\ &= L_u x_v - L_v x_u + M_u y_v - M_v y_u + N_u z_v - N_v z_u \end{aligned}$$

because mixed partials are equal. So

$$\int_E \vec{F} \bullet dr = \int_C \vec{G} \bullet d\vec{r} = \int \int_R L_u x_v - L_v x_u + M_u y_v - M_v y_u + N_u z_v - N_v z_u \, du \, dv$$

So to show that Stokes' Theorem is true, it is enough to show that

$$\int \int_{\Sigma} \text{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet \vec{N} \, dA$$

is equal to the integral over  $R$  above. But! Using our parametrization of  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\int \int_{\Sigma} \text{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet \vec{N} \, dA = \int \int_R \text{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet (T_u \times T_v) \, du \, dv$$

and so it is enough to show that

$$\text{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet (T_u \times T_v) = L_u x_v - L_v x_u + M_u y_v - M_v y_u + N_u z_v - N_v z_u$$

But!

$$\text{curl}(\vec{F}) = \langle N_y - M_z, -(N_x - L_z), M_x - L_y \rangle, \text{ and}$$

$$T_u = \langle x_u, y_u, z_u \rangle, T_v = \langle x_v, y_v, z_v \rangle, \text{ so } T_u \times T_v = \langle y_u z_v - y_v z_u, -(x_u z_v - x_v z_u), x_u y_v - x_v y_u \rangle$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet (T_u \times T_v) &= \langle N_y - M_z, -(N_x - L_z), M_x - L_y \rangle \bullet \langle y_u z_v - y_v z_u, -(x_u z_v - x_v z_u), x_u y_v - x_v y_u \rangle \\ &= (N_y - M_z)(y_u z_v - y_v z_u) + (N_x - L_z)(x_u z_v - x_v z_u) + (M_x - L_y)(x_u y_v - x_v y_u) \\ &= N_y y_u z_v - N_y y_v z_u - M_z y_u z_v + M_z y_v z_u + N_x x_u z_v - N_x x_v z_u \\ &\quad - L_z x_u z_v + L_z x_v z_u + M_x x_u y_v - M_x x_v y_u - L_y x_u y_v + L_y x_v y_u \\ &= (L_z z_u + L_y y_u)x_v + (M_x x_u + M_z z_u)y_v + (N_y y_u + N_x x_u)z_v \\ &\quad - (L_y y_v + L_z z_v)x_u - (M_x x_v + M_z z_v)y_u - (N_x x_v + N_y y_v)z_u \\ &= (L_y y_u + L_z z_u)x_v + (M_x x_u + M_z z_u)y_v + (N_x x_u + N_y y_u)z_v \\ &\quad + (L_x x_u x_v + M_y y_u y_v + N_z z_u z_v) \\ &\quad - (L_y y_v + L_z z_v)x_u - (M_x x_v + M_z z_v)y_u - (N_x x_v + N_y y_v)z_u \\ &\quad - (L_x x_u x_v + M_y y_u y_v + N_z z_u z_v) \\ &= (L_y y_u + L_z z_u)x_v + (M_x x_u + M_z z_u)y_v + (N_x x_u + N_y y_u)z_v \\ &\quad + (L_x x_u x_v + M_y y_u y_v + N_z z_u z_v) \\ &\quad - (L_y y_v + L_z z_v)x_u - (M_x x_v + M_z z_v)y_u - (N_x x_v + N_y y_v)z_u \\ &\quad - (L_x x_u x_v + M_y y_u y_v + N_z z_u z_v) \\ &= (L_x x_u + L_y y_u + L_z z_u)x_v + (M_x x_u + M_y y_u + M_z z_u)y_v \\ &\quad + (N_x x_u + N_y y_u + N_z z_u)z_v \\ &\quad - (L_x x_v + L_y y_v + L_z z_v)x_u - (M_x x_v + M_y y_v + M_z z_v)y_u \\ &\quad - (N_x x_v + N_y y_v + N_z z_v)z_u \\ &= L_u x_v + M_u y_v + N_u z_v - L_v x_u - M_v y_u - N_v z_u \\ &= L_u x_v - L_v x_u + M_u y_v - M_v y_u + N_u z_v - N_v z_u \end{aligned}$$

as desired!

Note that we used the Chain Rule again, showing that  $L_x x_u + L_y y_u + L_z z_u = L_u$ , etc.

Which is why Stokes' Theorem is true....