

## Math 325 Problem Set 4 Solutions

12. [Lay, p.164, # 16.7(f) (sort of)] Show that if  $0 \leq x < 1$  then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $x^n < \epsilon$ . [Hint: Suppose not! Then look at lower bounds for  $A = \{x^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .] Conclude that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq n$  we have  $|x^m| = x^m < \epsilon$ , so  $x^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose not! Suppose there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\epsilon \leq x^n$  for all  $n$ . Then the set  $A = \{x^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded below by  $\epsilon$ , so it has a greatest lower bound  $\lambda$ . We then have  $0 < \epsilon \leq \lambda$ , so  $0 < \lambda$ , and if  $\lambda < \mu$  then there is an  $n$  with  $x^n < \mu$  (since  $\mu$  cannot be a lower bound for  $A$ ). But since  $0 < x < 1$  we have  $1/x > 1$  (since otherwise  $1/x \leq 1$  so either  $x < 0$  (which is false) or, multiplying through by  $x > 0$   $1 \leq 1 \cdot x = x$ , which is also false). So  $\mu = \lambda/x > \lambda$ , so  $x^n < \lambda/x$  for some  $n$ , implying that  $x^{n+1} < \lambda$  (by multiplying by  $x > 0$  on both sides), a contradiction. So our supposition is false; there must be an  $n$  so that  $x^n < \epsilon$ .

13. [Lay, p.165, # 16.13] (The ‘Squeeze Play’ Theorem) Suppose that  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  are sequences with  $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$  for all  $n$ . Suppose further that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L$ .

We want, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $N$  so that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|b_n - L| < \epsilon$ ; that is  $-\epsilon < b_n - L < \epsilon$ , i.e.,  $L - \epsilon < b_n < L + \epsilon$ .

What we know is that there is an  $N_1$  so that  $n \geq N_1$  implies that  $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ , which (as above) gives  $L - \epsilon < a_n$ . There is also an  $N_2$  so that  $n \geq N_2$  implies that  $|c_n - L| < \epsilon$ , which gives  $c_n < L + \epsilon$ .

So if we take  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , then  $n \geq N$  implies  $L - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < L + \epsilon$ , so  $L - \epsilon < b_n < L + \epsilon$ , yielding  $|b_n - L| < \epsilon$ .

So for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $N$  so that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|b_n - L| < \epsilon$ , so  $b_n \rightarrow L$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as desired.

14. Show, from the definition of limit (i.e., no limit theorems!) that

$$(a) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n+1}{3n+2} = \frac{2}{3}$$

We have  $|a_n - L| = \left| \frac{2n+1}{3n+2} - \frac{2}{3} \right| = \left| \frac{(3)(2n+1) - (2)(3n+2)}{(3)(3n+2)} \right| = \left| \frac{6n+3-6n-4}{9n+6} \right| = \left| \frac{-1}{9n+6} \right| = \frac{1}{9n+6} < \frac{1}{9n}$ ,  
since  $9n+6 > 9n > 0$ , so  $\frac{1}{9n+6} < \frac{1}{9n}$ .

So we can show that, for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $|a_n - L| < \epsilon$ , provided that  $\frac{1}{9n} < \epsilon$ , which we can arrange by making  $n$  large enough; we need  $n > \frac{1}{9\epsilon}$ , but since we can find

an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $N > \frac{1}{9\epsilon}$ , then  $n \geq N$  implies that  $n \geq N > \frac{1}{9\epsilon}$ , so  $\frac{1}{9n} < \epsilon$ , so  $|a_n - L| = \frac{1}{9n+6} < \frac{1}{9n} < \epsilon$ , as desired.

$$(b) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2n^2 + n - 1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n - M| &= \left| \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2n^2 + n - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{2(n^2 + n - 2) - (1)(2n^2 + n - 1)}{(2)(2n^2 + n - 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2n^2 + 2n - 4 - 2n^2 - n + 1}{(2)(2n^2 + n - 1)} \right| = \left| \frac{n - 3}{4n^2 + 4n - 2} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

But if  $n \geq 3$  then  $n - 3 \geq 0$  and  $4n^2 + 4n - 2 \geq 4n^2 + 12 = 2 = 4n^2 + 10 \geq 10 > 0$ , so  $\left| \frac{n - 3}{4n^2 + 4n - 2} \right| = \frac{n - 3}{4n^2 + 4n - 2} < \frac{n}{4n^2 + 4n - 2} < \frac{n}{4n^2} = \frac{1}{4n}$ , since  $0 \leq n - 3 < n$  and  $4n - 2 \geq 0$  so  $0 < 4n^2 \leq 4n^2 + 4n - 2$ ; making the numerator a larger positive number, and making the denominator a smaller positive number, yields a larger quotient.

So, as before, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can make  $|b_n - M| < \epsilon$  (when  $n \geq 3$ ) by making  $\frac{1}{4n} < \epsilon$ ; this we can do when  $n \geq N$  for an integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $N \geq 3$  and  $\frac{1}{4N} < \epsilon$ , i.e.,  $N > \frac{1}{4\epsilon}$ . (Choosing an  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $M > \frac{1}{4\epsilon}$  and setting  $N = M + 3$ , for example, works.)

So for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find an  $N$  so that  $n \geq N$  implies  $\left| \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2n^2 + n - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \epsilon$ , so  $\frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2n^2 + n - 1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ .

15. Show that if  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n a_n = L$ , then  $L = 0$ .

The alternative is that  $L > 0$  or  $L < 0$ . If for the sake of clarity we call  $(-1)^n a_n = b_n$ , then  $b_n \rightarrow L$  and  $L > 0$  implies, from class, that eventually  $b_n > 0$ , since eventually  $|b_n - L| < L/2$ , so  $-L/2 < b_n - L$ , so  $0 < L/2 = L - L/2 < b_n$ . So eventually every term in the sequence must be positive. But the terms in this sequence with odd index are  $(-1)a_n = -a_n \leq 0$ , a contradiction.

But  $b_n \rightarrow L$  and  $L < 0$  leads to a similar contradiction; eventually  $|b_n - L| < -L/2$ , so  $b_n = L < -L/2$  and  $b_n < L - L/2 = L/2 < 0$ . But since the terms with even index are  $(-1)^2 a_n = a_n \geq 0$ , this again is a contradiction.

So since  $L > 0$  and  $L < 0$  both lead to a contradiction, by trichotomy the only possibility we have is that  $L = 0$ , as desired.