

## Math 325 Problem Set 9 Solutions

Problems were due Friday, April 14.

32. [Zorn, p.182, # 6] Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are both continuous, and  $f$  is differentiable at  $x = 0$ , with  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ . Show that  $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$  is also differentiable at  $x = 0$  and  $h'(0) = 0$ .

[Note that since we do not know that  $g$  is differentiable at  $x = 0$ , we cannot use the product rule....]

What we need to show is that the difference quotient,

$$\frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{f(x)g(x) - f(0)g(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{f(x)g(x)}{x}$$

must be close to 0 so long as  $x - 0 = x$  is small enough. That is, given an  $\epsilon > 0$  we need to produce a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $0 < |x - 0| = |x| < \delta$  implies that  $\left| \frac{f(x)g(x)}{x} \right| < \epsilon$ .

But what we know is that  $f'(0) = 0$ , so we know that we can make  $\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{f(x)}{x}$  small, and that  $g$  is continuous (at  $x = 0$ ), so we can make  $|g(x) - g(0)|$  small. But this means that  $g(x)$  cannot get big; in particular, as we have taken advantage of several times, there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $|x - 0| = |x| < \delta$  implies that  $|g(x) - g(0)| < 11$ , so  $g(0) - 11 < g(x) < g(0) + 11$ , so  $|g(x)| < \max\{|g(0) - 11|, |g(0) + 11|\} = N$ . [This, formally, is because if  $g(x) \geq 0$ , then  $|g(x)| = g(x) < g(0) + 11 = |g(0) + 11| \leq N$ , while if  $g(x) \leq 0$ , then  $|g(x)| = -g(x) < -(g(0) - 11) = |g(0) - 11| \leq N$ ; so, no matter which case we are in, we have  $|g(x)| \leq N$ .] So, so long as  $|x - 0| < \delta$ , we have (\*) =  $\left| \frac{f(x)g(x)}{x} \right| = \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| \cdot |g(x)| < N \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right|$ . If we ensure that this is less than  $\epsilon$ , then we will have controlled (\*).

But this is something we can do! Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find a  $\delta' > 0$  so that

$0 < |x - 0| = |x| < \delta'$  implies that  $\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{N}$ . Then setting  $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta, \delta'\}$ , we have  $0 < |x| < \delta$  implies  $|g(x)| < N$  and  $\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{N}$ , so  $\left| \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x - 0} - 0 \right| = \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| \cdot |g(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{N} \cdot N = \epsilon$ , as desired.

33. As almost none of us learn, the angle sum formula for tangent is

$$\tan(a + h) = \frac{\tan a + \tan h}{1 - \tan a \tan h}$$

Use this to show directly from the ("limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$ " definition) that the derivative of  $f(x) = \tan x$  is what you were told it is in calculus class.

[If you want something extra to do, derive this angle sum formula from the angle sum formulas for  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$  (for fun!).]

We can go straight at this problem: starting from the angle sum formula, we can compute the difference quotient

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\tan(a+h) - \tan a}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{\tan a + \tan h}{1 - \tan a \tan h} - \tan a \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \cdot \frac{\tan a + \tan h - (\tan a)(1 - \tan a \tan h)}{1 - \tan a \tan h} = \frac{1}{h} \cdot \frac{\tan a + \tan h - \tan a + \tan^2 a \tan h}{1 - \tan a \tan h} \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \cdot \frac{\tan h + \tan^2 a \tan h}{1 - \tan a \tan h} = \frac{\tan h}{h} \cdot \frac{1 + \tan^2 a}{1 - \tan a \tan h} = \frac{\sin h}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{\cos h} \cdot \frac{1 + \tan^2 a}{1 - \tan a \tan h}\end{aligned}$$

But! As  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{\sin h}{h} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\cos h \rightarrow 1$ , and  $\tan h = \frac{\sin h}{\cos h} \rightarrow \frac{0}{1} = 0$ ; the first is a computation from class (or use L'Hôpital!), and the second and third are because  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$  are continuous at  $x = 0$ . Putting these all together, we have, as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\tan(a+h) - \tan a}{h} &= \frac{\sin h}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{\cos h} \cdot \frac{1 + \tan^2 a}{1 - \tan a \tan h} \\ &\longrightarrow 1 \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \frac{1 + \tan^2 a}{1 - (\tan a)(0)} = 1 + \tan^2 a = \sec^2 a\end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{since } 1 + \tan^2 a = \frac{\cos^2 a + \sin^2 a}{\cos^2 a} = \frac{1}{\cos^2 a} = \sec^2 a).$$

So, from the difference quotient,  $f(x) = \tan x$  has  $f'(a) = \sec^2 a$ , so  $f'(x) = \sec^2 x$ , just like your calculus instructor told you....

We can prove the angle sum formula for  $\tan x$  by combining the angle sum formulas for  $\sin x$  and for  $\cos x$ :

$$\tan(a+h) = \frac{\sin(a+h)}{\cos(a+h)} = \frac{\sin a \cos h + \cos a \sin h}{\cos a \cos h - \sin a \sin h}. \quad \text{Dividing top and bottom by } \cos a \cos h \text{ makes this}$$

$$\tan(a+h) = \frac{\frac{\sin a \cos h}{\cos a \cos h} + \frac{\cos a \sin h}{\cos a \cos h}}{\frac{\cos a \cos h}{\cos a \cos h} - \frac{\sin a \sin h}{\cos a \cos h}} = \frac{(\tan a)(1) + (1)(\tan h)}{(1)(1) - (\tan a)(\tan h)} = \frac{\tan a + \tan h}{1 - \tan a \tan h}, \text{ as desired.}$$

34. [Zorn, p.193, # 2 (parts)]

(a) Use the product and chain rules to derive a general formula for the second derivative  $(f \circ g)''(x)$ ; you should assume that  $f''(x)$  and  $g''(x)$  both exist.

$f''(x)$  exists means that  $f'(x)$  exists (so  $f$  is differentiable) and  $f'(x)$  is differentiable. Similarly,  $g'(x)$  exists, so  $g(x)$  is differentiable, and  $g'(x)$  is differentiable. Then we can apply the product rule to  $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$  and get  $h'(x) = f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x)$ . But

now each of the two products in this sum are differentiable, by the product rule, so their sum,  $h'(x)$  is differentiable, and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} h''(x) &= (f'(x)g(x))' + (f(x)g'(x))' = (f''(x)g(x) + f'(x)g'(x)) + (f'(x)g'(x) + f(x)g''(x)) \\ &= f''(x)g(x) + 2f'(x)g'(x) + f(x)g''(x) . \end{aligned}$$

(b) Find a ‘hybrid product-chain rule’ to express the derivative  $(f \circ (gh))'(x)$  ; you should assume that  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are all differentiable.

If we set  $k(x) = g(x)h(x)$ , then the product rule tells us that  $h$  is differentiable and  $k'(x) = g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x)$ . We also have  $(f \circ (gh))(x) = (f \circ k)(x)$  is differentiable, since  $f$  and  $k$  are, and the Chain Rule tells us that  $(f \circ k)'(x) = f'(k(x)) \cdot k'(x) = f'(g(x)h(x)) \cdot (g'(x)h(x) + g(x)h'(x))$  .

35. [Zorn, p.200, # 4] Use Rolle’s Theorem to show, by induction, that a polynomial  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$  of degree  $n$  has at most  $n$  distinct roots (i.e., solutions to  $p(x) = 0$ ).

[Hint: If  $p$  has degree  $n$ , then  $p'$  has degree  $n - 1$  ...]

Working by induction, for  $n = 1$  we have  $p(x) = ax + b = 0$  only for  $x = -b/a$ ; so there is one root if  $a \neq 0$  and no root if  $a = 0$ . (But if  $a = 0$  the polynomial actually has degree 0, not 1...)

Now suppose that we know that every polynomial of degree  $n - 1$  has at most  $n - 1$  distinct roots. Suppose that  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ ; we want to show that it has at most  $n$  distinct roots. Well, suppose it doesn’t! Suppose that  $p(x)$  has  $n + 1$  distinct roots,  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n+1}$  . Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have  $p(x_i) = p(x_{i+1})$ . But then  $p$  is continuous on  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$  (it is a polynomial), and  $p$  is differentiable on  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  (it is a polynomial!), so we can apply Rolle’s Theorem. This tells us that there is a  $c_i \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$  with  $p'(c_i) = 0$ .

But! since  $x_i < c_i < x_{i+1}$ , all of the  $c_i$  are distinct! This is because if  $i \neq j$ , then WOLOG  $i < j$ , so  $i + 1 \leq j$ , so  $x_{i+1} \leq x_j$ , so  $x_i < c_i < x_{i+1} \leq x_j < c_j$ , so  $c_i < c_j$ . This means that  $p'(x)$ , which has degree  $n - 1$ , has roots the  $n$  distinct numbers  $c_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (and possibly more!). But this contradicts our inductive hypothesis. So it is impossible for  $p$  to have  $n + 1$  distinct roots, so  $p$  has at most  $n$  distinct roots.

This completes our inductive step; so every polynomial of degree  $n$  has at most  $n$  distinct roots.

N.B.: You have probably seen this result before, proved in a different way: if  $p$  has no (real) roots, then we are done. But if  $c$  is a root of the degree- $n$  polynomial  $p(x)$  then  $p(x) = (x - c)q(x)$  for some polynomial  $q$  having degree  $n - 1$ . Then  $q$  (by an inductive argument) has at most  $n - 1$  roots, and  $p(r) = (r - c)q(r) = 0$  only if either  $r - c = 0$  (so  $r = c$ ) or  $q(r) = 0$  (so  $r$  is a root of  $q$ ). So the roots of  $p$  are the roots of  $q$  (at most  $n - 1$  of them) plus  $c$ , so  $p$  has at most  $n$  roots.