Math 208H

Divergence-free vector fields are curls of things

We know that the curl of a vector field is a vector field which is divergence-free:

$$\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl}(\vec{F})) = 0$$

We wish to demonstrate that the reverse is also true. Suppose $\vec{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$ is a vector field that is divergence-free, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{F} = P_x + Q_y + R_z = 0$$

We want to show that there is a vector field $\vec{G} = \langle S, T, U \rangle$ with

$$\operatorname{curl} \vec{G} = \langle U_y - T_z, S_z - U_x, T_x - S_y \rangle = \vec{F}$$

The basic little trick that makes it possible to show this is the fact that for any function f(x, y, z), $\operatorname{curl}(\nabla f) = 0$; this is really the statement that mixed partial derivatives are equal $(f_{xy} = f_{yx}, f_{xz} = f_{zx}, f_{yz} = f_{zy})$.

So for any vector field \vec{G} and any function f, $\text{curl}\vec{G} = \text{curl}(\vec{G} + \nabla f)$, i.e, we can change the vector field \vec{G} in a controllable way without changing its curl.

This allows us to simplify the task of finding \vec{G} by first choosing a function f with $f_z = -U$ (e.g., integrate -U, dz!), so

$$G + \nabla f = \langle S + f_x, T + f_y, U + f_z \rangle = \langle S + f_x, T + f_y, 0 \rangle$$

and this has the same curl as \vec{G} . This means that to find the \vec{G} we want, we only need to look at vector fields with third coordinate 0! (In fact, we could make any one coordinate equal to 0, by a similar argument.)

So our problem now becomes to find, if $P_x + Q_y + R_z = 0$, a vector field $\vec{G} = \langle S, T, 0 \rangle$ with $\text{curl} \vec{G} = \langle -T_z, S_z, T_x - S_y \rangle = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$. That is, we want

$$T_z = -P$$
, $S_z = Q$, and $T_x - S_y = R$

So, we do the only thing we can! We integrate -P, dz, to get T, and Q, dz, to get S. But there are constants of integration involved, as well...

$$T = -\int P \ dz + C_1(x, y)$$
 (for some function C_1)

 $S = \int \dot{Q} dz$ (there is another constant of integration here, too, but we won't need it)

We can figure out what $C_1(x, y)$ should be by using our last condition:

(*)
$$R = T_x - S_y = -(\int P \ dz + C_1)_x - (\int Q \ dz)_y = -(\int P \ dz)_x + (C_1)_x - (\int Q \ dz)_y,$$
 so

(**)
$$(C_1)_x = R + (\int P \ dz)_x + (\int Q \ dz)_y$$
;

integrating the right-hand side of this equation, dx, gives us C_1 , and therefore gives us T (and S).

But where did we use that fact that $P_x + Q_y + R_z = 0$?! It was at (*); i.e, it insures that there is a function C_1 of x and y for which (*) is true. This is because in (**)

$$\begin{split} &((C_1)_x)_z = (R + (\int P \ dz)_x + (\int Q \ dz)_y)_z = R_z + (\int P \ dz)_{xz} + (\int Q \ dz)_{yz} = \\ &R_z + (\int P \ dz)_{zx} + (\int Q \ dz)_{zy} = R_z + ((\int P \ dz)_z)_x + ((\int Q \ dz)_z)_y = R_z + P_x + Q_y = 0 \end{split}$$

So $(C_1)_x$ (and therefore C_1), as defined by (*), doesn't depend on z, i.e., it is only a function of x and y!