

PMATH 450: LEBESGUE INTEGRATION AND FOURIER ANALYSIS

MARK GAGARINE

ABSTRACT. This is a second course in real analysis, coming in the continuation of PMath 351. There are two main strands of the course: one of them concerns Hilbert spaces and elements of Fourier series for periodic functions (the so-called “harmonic analysis on the circle”). The other strand concerns the study of the Lebesgue measure on the real line and of the Lebesgue integral, which are then used in the treatment of the aforementioned harmonic analysis developments.

CONTENTS

1. Inner Product Space, Hilbert Space	2
1.1. May 6, Lecture 1	2
1.2. May 8, Lecture 2	3
2. Some Hilbert Space Geometry: <i>Distance to a closed convex set</i>	4
2.1. May 10, Lecture 3	4
2.2. May 13, Lecture 4	5
3. Orthogonal Projection onto a Closed Linear Subspace	7
3.1. May 15, Lecture 5	7
3.2. May 17, Lecture 6	7
References	9

1. INNER PRODUCT SPACE, HILBERT SPACE

1.1. May 6, Lecture 1.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} (could also be \mathbb{C}). The *inner product* over X is a rule that assigns values $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x, y \in X$, which satisfies the following rules.

Bilinearity:

$$(\text{Bi-Lin } 1) \quad \langle \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2, y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1, y \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle x_2, y \rangle, \forall x_1, x_2, y \in X, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\text{Bi-Lin } 2) \quad \langle x, \beta_1 y_1 + \beta_2 y_2 \rangle = \beta_1 \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \beta_2 \langle x, y_2 \rangle, \forall x, y_1, y_2 \in X, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Symmetry:

$$(\text{Sym}) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle, \forall x, y \in X$$

Positive Definite:

$$(\text{Pos Def}) \quad \langle x, x \rangle > 0, \forall x \in X$$

The pair $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called an *inner product space* (ips).

Remark 1.2. (1) **Redundancy in (Bi-Lin):** Bi-Lin 1 + Sym \Rightarrow Bi-Lin 2.

(2) **Rephrasing of (Pos Def):** Observe that $\langle 0_X, y \rangle = \langle y, 0_X \rangle, \forall y \in X$. *Why?*

$$2 \cdot 0_X = 0_X \Rightarrow \langle 2 \cdot 0_X, y \rangle = \langle 0_X, y \rangle \Leftrightarrow 2 \langle 0_X, y \rangle = \langle 0_X, y \rangle \Rightarrow \langle 0_X, y \rangle = 0$$

This allows us to rephrase Pos Def as

$$(\text{Pos Def}') \quad \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in X, \text{ with equality iff } x = 0_X.$$

This technique of checking if the inner product is 0 is a useful trick for proving identities.

Notation 1.3. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. For all $x \in X$, denote

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \in [0, \infty)$$

Proposition 1.4. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space.

(1) **Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality:**

$$(\text{C-S}) \quad |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|, \forall x, y \in X.$$

Moreover, C-S holds with equality iff x, y are dependent. That is, either one of $x = 0_X, y = 0_X$, or x, y are dependent. That is, $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x = \alpha y$.

(2) **Norm:** The function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in Notation 1.3 is a norm on X .

Proof. ...

□

Definition 1.5 (Hilbert Space). Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. When viewed as a normed vector space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (hence a metric space (X, d) with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$), if X is complete wrt d , then $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a *Hilbert Space*.

This comes from the fact that a complete normed vector space is a Banach space, so a Hilbert space is simply a collection within a Banach space.

Example 1.6 (A Hilbert Space). Consider $X = \mathbb{R}^k$ with the *standard inner product*. That is, for $x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)})$, $\langle x, y \rangle = \sqrt{x^{(1)}y^{(1)} + \dots + x^{(k)}y^{(k)}}$. We get $\|x\| = \sqrt{(x^{(1)})^2 + \dots + (x^{(k)})^2}$.

Example 1.7 (Not a Hilbert Space).

Recall: $X = c_{00} = \left\{ x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \dots) \mid \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } x^{(k)} = 0, \forall k > k_0 \right\}$.

For $x, y \in X$, set $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{(k)}y^{(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} x^{(k)}y^{(k)}$. It's easy to see $(c_{00}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space. We denote the norm on c_{00} associated to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as $\|\cdot\|_2$,

$$\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x^{(k)}]^2}$$

However, we can find a cauchy sequence in c_{00} that doesn't converge wrt $\|\cdot\|_2$. Hence $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_2)$ isn't complete, so $(c_{00}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is not a Hilbert space.

1.2. May 8, Lecture 2. How do we get a Hilbert space?

Recall $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_2)$ in Example 1.7 isn't complete, so how do we get a Hilbert space? We can *complete* $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_2)$. That is, we embed c_{00} into a larger complete normed vector space Z , such that c_{00} is dense in Z .

Denote $\ell^2 = \left\{ x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \dots) \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x^{(k)}]^2 < \infty \right\} \Leftrightarrow \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [x^{(k)}]^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$.

The following is a series of claims showing ℓ^2 is complete, proved in Assignment 1 Q1-3.

Claim 1. For $x, y \in \ell^2$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{(k)}y^{(k)}$ converges absolutely. ... □

So we can put $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{(k)}y^{(k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)}y^{(k)}$.

Claim 2. $(\ell^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space ... □

Claim 3. ℓ_2 is complete wrt $\|\cdot\|_2$... □

So $(\ell^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Hilbert space. We can also see that $c_{00} \subseteq \ell^2$, leading to the final claim.

Claim 4. c_{00} is dense in ℓ^2 (wrt $\|\cdot\|$) ... □

Example 1.8. Pick $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the vector space

$$C([a, b], \mathbb{R}) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$$

We saw in PMATH 351: $(C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space with

(Sup-Norm)
$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in [a, b]\}$$

In PMATH 450: For $f, g \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, we denote

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Claim. $(C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space. Observe $\langle f, f \rangle = \int_a^b [f(x)]^2 dx$.

Verifying the inner product space axioms is straight forward, but special attention is needed for $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$. That is, when f is the zero function in $C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, denoted $\underline{0} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\underline{0}(x) = 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$.

Recall: (Pos Def') states $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ with equality iff $x = 0_X$. So we need to check

$$f(x) \text{ continuous, } \int_a^b [f(x)]^2 dx = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$$

□

So we get that $(C([a, b], \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space. The associated norm is $\|\cdot\|_2$,

$$\|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_a^b [f(x)]^2 dx}$$

2. SOME HILBERT SPACE GEOMETRY: *Distance to a closed convex set*

2.1. May 10, Lecture 3. *Recap of convex sets and some metrics*

Definition 2.1. Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

(1) The *line segment* between two points x, y in X is denoted by

$$(\text{Lin-Seg}) \quad Co(x, y) = \{tx + (1-t)y \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$$

(2) The set $A \subseteq X$ is said to be *convex* if the following is satisfied.

$$(\text{Convex}) \quad x, y \in A \Rightarrow Co(x, y) \subseteq A$$

Remark 2.2. (1) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space over \mathbb{R} .

If $A \subseteq X$ is convex, then $\text{Cl}(A)$ is also convex.

(2) Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $A \subseteq X$ be a closed non-empty set.

Recall, in PMATH 351 we defined the *distance-to-A* function $d_A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\text{dist-to-}A) \quad d_A(x) = \inf\{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\}$$

Some properties associated with this function included

- $d_A(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in X$, with $d_A(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in A$.
- d_A is continuous, and contractive to mean

$$|d_A(x) - d_A(y)| \leq d(x, y), \forall x, y \in X$$

Combining these facts, we introduce the first theorem of the course.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space. Suppose we're given a closed, convex, non-empty set $A \subseteq X$, and the point $x_0 \in X$.

Then, there exists a unique point $a_0 \in A$ such that

$$\|x_0 - a_0\| = \inf\{\|x_0 - a\| \mid a \in A\} = d_A(x_0)$$

Proof. The case where $x_0 \in A$ is clear. Indeed, if $x_0 \in A$, then $d_A(x_0) = 0$, and the unique point $a_0 \in A$ is x_0 . So for the rest of the proof assume $x_0 \notin A$, so $d_A(x_0) > 0$.

Denote $\alpha = d_A(x_0) = \inf\{\|x_0 - a\| \mid a \in A\}$. It suffices to show $\exists a_0 \in A$ uniquely determined such that $\|x_0 - a_0\| = \alpha$.

*Proof of Uniqueness*¹

Suppose for a contradiction that we have two distinct points $a_1 \neq a_2 \in A$ such that $\|x_0 - a_1\| = \|x_0 - a_2\| = \alpha$. Denote the distance between these points as $\beta = \|a_1 - a_2\|$. Note that $\beta > 0$ since $a_1 \neq a_2$.

Let $a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2)$ be the midpoint between our points a_1 and a_2 , and observe $a_3 \in A$ since A is assumed to be convex. Question 5c says $\|x_0 - a_3\| = \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \frac{\beta^2}{4}}$

So then $\|x_0 - a_3\| < \alpha = \inf\{\|x_0 - a\| \mid a \in A\}$. **A contradiction** since the definition of α forces $\|x_0 - a\| \geq \alpha, \forall a \in A$. \square

2.2. May 13, Lecture 4. We start by completing the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Existence of a_0 . Moving along with the proof we take note of a few facts.

$$(\diamond) \quad \|x_0 - a\| \geq \alpha, \forall a \in A$$

$$(\diamond\diamond) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a_n \in A \text{ s.t. } \|x_0 - a_n\| < \alpha + \frac{1}{n}.$$

From $(\diamond\diamond)$ we get a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of points in A . The following are a list of claims finishing off the proof.

Claim 1. $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n - a_m\|^2 &\leq 4\alpha \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{m^2} + \frac{2}{n^2} \right) \\ &\leq 4\alpha \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{m} + \frac{2}{n} \right) \\ &= (4\alpha + 2) \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

¹We leverage question 5c from Homework 1

Verification. Write $\|a_m - a_n\|^2 = \|(x_0 - a_n) - (x_0 - a_m)\|^2$, and by (Par Law),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\spadesuit) \quad &= 2 \cdot \|x_0 - a_m\|^2 + 2 \cdot \|x_0 - a_n\|^2 - \|(x_0 - a_n) - (x_0 - a_m)\|^2 \\
 &\leq 2 \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{m} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \right)^2 - 4\alpha^2
 \end{aligned}$$

For the 3rd term in \spadesuit :

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(x_0 - a_n) - (x_0 - a_m)\|^2 = \|2x_0 - (a_n + a_m)\|^2 \\
 &= \|2 \left(x_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a_n + a_m) \right)\|^2 \\
 (\diamond) \quad &= 2^2 \|x_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a_n + a_m)\|^2 \geq 4\alpha^2
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us \spadesuit is bounded by $4\alpha \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{m^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)$, so we are done with *Claim 1*.

Claim 2. We now claim that $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a cauchy sequence.

Verification. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|a_m - a_n\| < \epsilon.$$

Pick n_0 such that $\frac{8\alpha+4}{n_0} < \epsilon^2$. Then $\forall m, n \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a_m - a_n\|^2 &\leq (4\alpha + 2) \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \leq (4\alpha + 2) \left(\frac{1}{m_0} + \frac{1}{n_0} \right) \\
 &= \frac{8\alpha + 4}{n_0} < \epsilon^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon, \forall m, n \geq n_0$ as required, and we are done with *Claim 2*.

Claim 3. We now claim that $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to the limit $a_0 \in A$.

Verification. Combining the above claim that $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is cauchy and using the fact since X is a Hilbert space, hence complete, it follows that $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} a_0 \in X$. But since $a_n \rightarrow a_0$, $a_n \in A$, and A is closed, it follows that $a_0 \in A$. So we are done with *Claim 3*.

Claim 4. We now claim the point $a_0 \in A$ in *Claim 3* satisfies $\|x_0 - a_0\| = \alpha$.

Verification. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from (\diamond) we have $\alpha \leq \|x_0 - a_0\| \leq \|x_0 - a_0\| + \|a_n - a_0\|$. Recall from $(\diamond\diamond)$ we have $\|x_0 - a_0\| \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{n}$. So we get

$$\|x_0 - a_0\| + \|a_n - a_0\| < \alpha + \frac{1}{n} + \|a_n - a_0\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha + 0 = \alpha$$

By applying the squeeze theorem we see $\|x_0 - a_0\| = \alpha$, thus completing the proof. \square

Remark 2.4. In Theorem (2.3), we note that the completeness of X is an essential part to the hypothesis.

3. ORTHOGONAL PROJECTION ONTO A CLOSED LINEAR SUBSPACE

3.1. May 15, Lecture 5.

Remark 3.1. Note that for the normed vector space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ over \mathbb{R} , if we consider the closed linear subspace $W \subseteq X$, then W is a non-empty, closed, convex set.

Definition 3.2. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. $x, y \in X$ are said to be *orthogonal* to each other when they satisfy $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, denoted

$$x \perp y$$

If we consider $A, B \subseteq X$, we say that A and B are *orthogonal* to each other to mean that

$$x \perp y, \forall x \in A, y \in B$$

A few observations to point out would be that $x \perp y$ is the same as $y \perp x$, and we have for $x \in X$, $x \perp x \Leftrightarrow x = 0_X$. We also have the special case for $x \in X, B \subseteq X$, we write $x \perp B$ to mean that $x \perp y, \forall y \in B$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space, and suppose we're given a closed linear subspace, $W \subseteq X$, and an $x_0 \in X$. Further, define $\alpha := d_W(x_0) = \{\|x_0 - w\| \mid w \in W\}$ and let w_0 be the unique point in W such that $\|x_0 - w_0\| = \alpha$.

Then,

$$(x_0 - w_0) \perp W$$

Proof. ... □

Definition 3.4. Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space, and take W to be a closed linear subspace of X . The *orthogonal compliment* of W , W^\perp , is defined

$$W^\perp := \{x \in X \mid x \perp W\} = \{x \in X \mid x \perp w, \forall w \in W\}$$

Note that W^\perp is also a closed linear subspace. Hence, $W \rightsquigarrow W^\perp$ is an operation we do with closed linear subspaces of X .

3.2. May 17, Lecture 6. Do we know anything about the converse of Theorem (3.3)?

Remark 3.5. *Converse to Theorem 3.3* Let $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, $W \subseteq X$, and $x_0 \in X$ be as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose we found a point, $w_0 \in W$, such that $(x_0 - w_0) \perp W$. Then,

$$\|x_0 - w\| > \|x_0 - w_0\|, \forall w \in W \setminus \{w_0\}$$

Why is this? For $w \neq w_0 \in W$ with $x_0 - w = (x_0 - w_0) + (w_0 - w)$, observe that $x_0 - w_0 \perp w_0 - w$. This is because $w_0 - w \in W$ and $(x_0 - w_0) \perp W$. So,

$$\|w_0 - w\|^2 = \|(x_0 - w_0) + (w_0 - w)\|^2 \stackrel{\text{Pythag.}}{=} \|x_0 - w_0\|^2 + \|w_0 - w\|^2 > \|x_0 - w_0\|^2$$

Since $\|w_0 - w\| > 0$ as $w \neq w_0$. This implies w_0 must be the unique point in W which is at minimal distance from x_0 .

Notation 3.6. Again, take $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, $W \subseteq X$, and $x_0 \in X$ as in Theorem 3.3. For every $x_0 \in W$, let $P_W(x_0)$ denote $w_0 \in W$ which is the unique point in W who's at minimal distance from x_0 , called the *orthogonal projection* of x_0 into W . The name is used in connection to Theorem 3.3 which asserts that $(x_0 - P_W(x_0)) \perp W$.

Furthermore, we view $P_W(x_0)$ as a function mapping from $X \rightarrow X$ which is a contractive linear operator on X (Shown in Question 4 of Homework Assignment 2).

Remark 3.7. Recall our definition (3.4) for the *orthogonal compliment* of W , W^\perp . We have that W^\perp is also a closed linear subspace of X !

But is there a formula for $(W_1 \cap W_2)^\perp$? For convenience, let's rewrite W^\perp as

$$W^\perp = \bigcap_{w \in W} \underbrace{\{x \in X \mid \langle x, w \rangle = 0\}}_{:= Y_w} = \bigcap_{w \in W} Y_w$$

To check that W^\perp is a closed linear subspace of X , it suffices to show each Y_w is so.

We already know

→ arbitrary intersections of linear subspaces are still linear subspaces, and

→ arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed.

We are then left to fix a point $w \in W$, and check both

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} 0_X \in Y_w & \\ x, y \in Y_w & \Rightarrow x + y \in Y_w \\ x \in Y_w, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} & \Rightarrow \alpha x \in Y_w \end{array} \right\} Y_w \text{ is a vector space of } X$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{If } (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in Y_w^\mathbb{N} \\ \text{with } x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x \in X \end{array} \right\} Y_w \text{ is closed.}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrew M. Bruckner, Judith B. Bruckner, Brian S. Thomson. *Real Analysis*, Prentice-Hall Publishers, 1997.
- [2] A. Nica. Lecture summaries for PMath 450 lectures in Summer Term 2024, available on the Learn web-site of the course.
- [3] H.L. Royden. *Real Analysis, 3rd edition*, Prentice-Hall Publishers, 1988.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
Email address: `magagri@uwaterloo.ca`