## Part 1.1 – Questions, Chapter 4

- 14. Boolean functions
  - a. Linearly separable
  - b. Linearly separable
  - c. Linearly separable
  - d. Not linearly separable has XOR

**15.** 

a. A and B and C is the same as: Y = 1 if A \* B \* C > 0 else 0

Α	В	С	A and B and C
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1

A or B is equivalent to Y = 1 if A + B > 0 else 0

Α	В	A or B
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

b. Disadvantage of using linear functions as activation functions for multi-layer neural networks is that having a multi-layer is useless. Multi-layer has no meaning because since its linear, the result is just a linear combination of all the linear functions which is just a linear function. Thus, it's the same as having 1 layer.

## Part 1.2

```
a) W = [1,1,-1,0.5,1,2]

z1 = max(0, w1 * x) = max(0, 1 * 4) = 4

z2 = max(0, w2 * x) = max(0, 1 * 4) = 4

z3 = max(0, w3 * x) = max(0, -1 * 4) = 0

y^2 = z1 * w4 + z2 * w5 + z3 * w6 = 4 * 0.5 + 4 * 1 + 0 * 2 = sigmoid(6) = 0.998

Predicted output = 0.998
```

- b) Error =  $(y y^{\wedge})^2 = (0 0.998)^2 = 0.996$
- c)  $\nabla E = [dE/dw1, dE/dw2, dE/dw3, dE/dw4, dE/dw5, dE/dw6]$ y = z1\*w4 + z2 \* w5 + z3 \* w6 dE/dw6 = dE/dsigmoid(y) \* dsigmoid(y)/dy \* dy/dw6

```
= -2(y - sigmoid(y^{\wedge})) * (sigmoid(y^{\wedge})(1-sigmoid(y^{\wedge})) * relu(z3)
    =-2(0-0.998)*(0.998*(1-0.998))*0=0
    dE/dw5 dE/dsigmoid(y) * dsigmoid(y)/dy * dy/dw5 = -2(0 - 0.998) * (0.998 * (1 - 0.998)) * 4 =
    0.016
    dE/dw4 = dE/dsigmoid(y) * dsigmoid(y)/dy * dy/dw4 = -2(0 - 0.998) * (0.998 * (1 - 0.998)) * 4
    = 0.016
    z1 = w1 * x = 4w1, z2 = w2 * x = 4w2, z3 = w3 * x = 4w3
    dE/dw3 = dE/relu(z3) * drelu(z3)/dz3 * dz3/dw3
    = (dE/dsigmoid(y) * dsigmoid(y)/dy * dy/drelu(z3)) * (1 if z3 > 0 else 0) * 4
    = (-2(0 - 0.998) * (0.998(1-0.998) * 2)) * (0) * 4 = 0
    dE/dw2 = dE/relu(z2) * drelu(z1)/dz2 * dz2/dw2
    = (-2(0 - 0.998) * (0.998(1-0.998) * 1)) * (1) * 4 = 0.016
    dE/dw1 = dE/relu(z1) * drelu(z1)/dz1 * dz1/dw1
    = (-2(0 - 0.998) * (0.998(1-0.998) * 0.5)) * (1) * 4 = 0.008
    Thus, \nabla E = [0.008, 0.016, 0, 0.016, 0.016, 0]
d) Wn = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{1}^* \nabla E
    = [1,1,-1,0.5,1,2] - [0.008, 0.016, 0, 0.016, 0.016, 0]
    = [0.992, 0.984, -1, 0.484, 0.984, 2]
    z1 = max(0, w1 * x) = max(0, 0.992 * 4) = 3.968
    z2 = max(0, w2 * x) = max(0, 0.984 * 4) = 3.936
    z3 = max(0, w3 * x) = max(0, -1 * 4) = 0
    y^{2} = z1 * w4 + z2 * w5 + z3 * w6 = 3.968 * 0.484 + 3.936 * 0.984 + 0 * 2 = 5.793
    sigmoid(5.793) = 0.997
    Predicted output = 0.997
    Error = (y - y^{\wedge})^2 = (0 - 0.997)^2 = 0.994
```

e) The difference between the loss values is 0.002, which isn't that much but it is a decrease in error which makes sense because the backpropagation should result in being closer to the actual output.