

Modules; Recursive Descent Parsing, pt 3

CS 440: Programming Languages and Translators, Spring 2020

A. Concentrating on the non-Nothing cases in the Recursive Descent Parser

- The parser code above gets pretty deeply nested with `case` expressions, almost all of which are of the form `(case exp of Nothing -> Nothing ; Just (tree, leftover) -> code using tree, leftover)`
- We can make the code simpler by only writing the useful code (takes a `tree` and `leftover` and does something with them).
- The `bind` routine below takes a function and a `Maybe` value; if the value is `Nothing`, it returns `Nothing`; if the value is `Just val`, it runs the function on `val`.

```
bind :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
bind Nothing f = Nothing
bind (Just val) f = f val
```

- Using `bind`, instead of writing

```
case expr of
  Nothing -> Nothing
  Just val -> ... computation involving val ...
```

we can use

```
bind expr fcn
```

where the “**continuation**” function is defined as

```
fcn val = ... computation involving val ...
```

A first attempt

- As a first try at simplifying our code, here's `parse_paren` defined using `bind` and some assistant functions:

```
-- *** Doesn't work ***
parse_paren_E input      = bind (next_symbol '(' input) level1
level1 (lparen, input1)   = bind (parse_E input1) level2
level2 (expr_tree, input2) = bind (next_symbol ')' input2) level3
level3 (rparen, input3)   = Just (expr_tree, input3) -- ** ERR **
```

----- 2020-02-18 [roughly, before the rewrite]

- The above doesn't work because `level3` wants to use `expr_tree`, which is part of `level2`, not `level3`.
- We'll look at different ways to solve this problem and work our way up to a reasonably simple solution.

A second attempt

- If we embed the definition of `level3` within `level2`, then `level3` can use `expr_tree`. Since `level2` doesn't use any nonlocal variables, we don't need to embed it within `level1`. (Note I've given it a different name: `parse_paren_E2`.)

```
parse_paren_E2 input =
  let level1 (lparen, input1) =
```

```

        bind (parse_E input1) level2
    level2 (expr_tree, input2) =
        let level3 (rparen, input3) = Just (expr_tree, input3)
        in bind (next_symbol ')' input2) level3
    in bind (next_symbol '(' input) level1

```

A third and fourth attempt

- For completeness, here each level is completely embedded in the one above it. (Wow, this is ugly ?!)

```

parse_paren_E3 input =
    let level1 (lparen, input1) =
        let level2 (expr_tree, input2) =
            let level3 (rparen, input3) =
                Just (expr_tree, input3)
            in bind (next_symbol ')' input2) level3
        in bind (parse_E input1) level2
    in bind (next_symbol '(' input) level1

```

- The level1, level2, and level3 functions only get called once each, so it's worth trying to replace them with unnamed lambdas. This version does that, using bind in prefix. Just for an alternate style, each closing right paren appears in the same column as its left paren (if it doesn't go on the same line as the left paren).

```

parse_paren_E4 input =
    bind (next_symbol '(' input)
        (\(lparen, input1) ->
            bind (parse_E input1)
                (\(expr_tree, input2) ->
                    bind (next_symbol ')' input2)
                        (\(rparen, input3) ->
                            Just (expr_tree, input3)
                        )
                    )
            )
    )

```

The final solution

- The final solution I'll present uses the same code but formats it differently. The lambda headers (which name the parameters) are on the right; actions we want to carry out are on the left.

```

parse_paren_E5 input =
    (next_symbol '(' input)      `bind ` (\(lparen, input1) ->
    (parse_E input1)              `bind ` (\(expr_tree, input2) ->
    (next_symbol ')' input2)     `bind ` (\(rparen, input3) ->
    Just (expr_tree, input3) )))

```

- The different versions of parse_paren are all contained in a file you can load into ghci. It's called Using_bind.hs (attached to this handout). It loads in the Parse_Short code automatically. Here's output from ghci showing how the five different versions of parse_paren_E all produce the same output.

```

Prelude> :l using_bind.hs
[1 of 2] Compiling Parse_Short      ( Parse_Short.hs, interpreted )
[2 of 2] Compiling Main                ( using_bind.hs, interpreted )
Ok, two modules loaded.
*Main> parse_paren_E "(x+y*z)"
Just (Exp (Id "x") (Ttail '+' (Term (Id "y") (Ftail '*' (Id "z") Empty)) Empty), "")
*Main> parse_paren_E2 "(x+y*z)"
Just (Exp (Id "x") (Ttail '+' (Term (Id "y") (Ftail '*' (Id "z") Empty)) Empty), "")
*Main> parse_paren_E3 "(x+y*z)"
Just (Exp (Id "x") (Ttail '+' (Term (Id "y") (Ftail '*' (Id "z") Empty)) Empty), "")
*Main> parse_paren_E4 "(x+y*z)"
Just (Exp (Id "x") (Ttail '+' (Term (Id "y") (Ftail '*' (Id "z") Empty)) Empty), "")
*Main> parse_paren_E5 "(x+y*z)"
Just (Exp (Id "x") (Ttail '+' (Term (Id "y") (Ftail '*' (Id "z") Empty)) Empty), "")

```

Studying the bind code; the Maybe Monad

- To review: The bind routine takes a `Maybe` value and a function that expects an actual value (not a `Maybe` value). If the `Maybe` value holds an actual value (`Just value`), then it calls the function on that value.
- Using bind here lets us take the code pattern `case expr of Nothing -> Nothing` and rewrite it just mentioning what happens if we pass in an actual value; The repetitive `Nothing -> Nothing` code is bundled up inside of bind.

```

bind :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
bind Nothing f = Nothing
bind (Just val) f = f val

```

- Using `Maybe` in this way is an example of a more general pattern called a **monad**. We'll look at monads in more detail later in the semester, but briefly, there are two parts to a monad.
 - A way to modify or augment data. (For `Maybe`, it was by using `Just` or `Nothing`.)
 - A bind function of type $(\text{modified data}) \rightarrow (\text{unmodified data} \rightarrow \text{fcn result}) \rightarrow \text{possibly fcn result}$.
 - In general, bind has the job of taking modified data and trying to retrieve the original unmodified data from it. If successful, it calls the function to get a result. If bind is unable to retrieve unmodified data, then it has to do something else.
 - For `Maybe`, the bind routine looks for the unmodified data in a `Just` expression. The bind call returns tries to return the result of the function (called un unmodified data), but if there's no data (the `Nothing` alternative), bind returns something else (`Nothing`, in our case).
- All bind routines just apply the function to actual data (if bind can find that data). Different monads modify data in different ways, so they require different bind routines to access data. They also need to return some sort of value if there was no actual data.

What if we don't want Nothing?

- Our bind routine always returns `Nothing` if it's given `Nothing`. This is fine if we're trying to sequence some actions. But what do we do if we want to make a choice between `Nothing` and `Just val`?

- This is the opposite of `bind`, which serves as a pipe for `Nothing` but calls a function if given `Just val`.
- We want a routine that pipes through `Just val` but calls a function if given `Nothing`. It's called `fails`:


```
fails :: Maybe a -> (() -> Maybe a) -> Maybe a
fails Nothing f = f()
fails ok _ = ok
```
- The function call `f()` looks strange: We're passing a zero-tuple to `f`. The zero-tuple, spelled `()` and sometimes pronounced “nil” is handy if you need a value for syntax's sake but don't actually need the value.
- The zero-tuple has a type that's also spelled `()`. The type is what's used in `(() -> Maybe a)`, the type of function that's the second argument to `fails`.
- As an example of using `fails`, let's look at the basic parse factor routine: It tries to parse an identifier, and if that fails, it tries to parse an parenthesized expression. Using `fails`, we can write it as:


```
parse_F3 input =
  parse_id input `fails` (\() ->
    parse_paren_E input )
```
- We run `parse_id` on the input; if that succeeds and produces `Just` a parse tree and leftover input, then the `fails` routine just yields that. But if `parse_id` returns `Nothing`, then `fails` calls the lambda function (using argument `()`), which calls `parse_paren_E` on `input`. (Note the body of the `(\() -> ...)` function is part of the body of `parse_F3`, so it has access to the parameters of `parse_F3`.

Activity Questions for Lecture 12

Changes to `Parse_Bind_Fail_activity.hs`

1. Replace the stubs for `Parse_F` and `parse_Ftail` with working code..
2. What happens if you remove the `make_tail` call in your answer to question 1?
3. Rewrite `parse_id` using `bind` instead of `case`.
4. Try evaluating `bind (Just x) Just` for various values of x . Why does it do what it does?
5. Add a new kind of parse tree data `Ptree = ... | Negative Ptree` and modify the grammar for `Factor`:
$$Factor \rightarrow id \mid - Factor \mid (E)$$

If a minus sign appears, then build and return the `Negative` of the factor parse tree.

Solution to Selected Activity Problems

1. (Fill out `Parse_T` and `parse_Ftail`) These routines are analogous to `parse_E` and `parse_Ttail`

```

parse_T input =
  parse_F input      `bind`  (\ (factor, input1) ->
  parse_Ftail input1 `bind`  (\ (ftail, input2) ->
  Just (make_tail Term factor ftail, input2) ))

parse_Ftail input =
  next_symbol '*' input      `bind`  (\ (symbol, input1) ->
  parse_F input1             `bind`  (\ (factor, input2) ->
  parse_Ftail input2         `bind`  (\ (ftail, left3) ->
  Just (Ftail symbol factor ftail, left3) )))
                                `fails`  \() ->

  parse_Empty input )

```

2. If we take out the `make_tail` in `parse_T`, then the Tail factor `ftail` that remains builds a taller parse tree (if `ftail` is empty, we get Term factor Empty).

3. (Rewrite `parse_id` using `bind` instead of `case`)

```

parse_id input =
  getId (dropSpaces input) `bind`  (\(idstring, input1) ->
  Just(Id idstring, input1) )

```

4. (Results of `bind (Just x) Just`)

For all values, `bind (Just x) Just = Just x`. From the `bind` definition `bind (Just val) f = f val`, we get (by referential transparency) that `bind (Just x) Just = Just x`.

5. (Negative factors) This is what you get if $\text{parse } F \rightarrow id \mid -F \mid \backslash (E \backslash)$

```

parse_F input =
  parse_id input `fails`  \() ->
  next_symbol '-' input `bind`  (\(minus, input1) ->
  parse_F input1       `bind`  (\(factor, input2) ->
  Just (Negative factor, input2) ))
                                `fails`  \() ->

  parse_paren_E input )

```

Reordering the rules as $F \rightarrow id \mid \backslash (E \backslash) \mid -F$ makes for code that's a little easier to read (my opinion):

```

parse_F input =
  parse_id input      `fails`  \() ->
  parse_paren_E input `fails`  \() ->
  next_symbol '-' input `bind`  (\(minus, input1) ->
  parse_F input1       `bind`  (\(factor, input2) ->
  Just (Negative factor, input2) )))

```