

Homework 2 Solution

CS 440: Programming Languages and Translators, Fall 2019

Problems

1. (Polymorphic type)

If $f\ x\ y\ z = x : ([y] : [z])$, then $f :: [a] \rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [[a]]$
2. (Typeclasses)
 - a. For `False < True`, `<` is provided by typeclass `Ord` and has type $(<) :: Ord\ a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$.
 - b. The ASCII integer for a character `c` is `fromEnum c`. The ASCII character for an integer `n` is `toEnum n :: Char`. (You need the type annotation because otherwise `toEnum` doesn't know what target type it should be aiming for.) Their types are


```
fromEnum :: Enum a => a -> Int
toEnum   :: Enum a => Int -> a
```
 - c. `Char` is an instance of `Enum`, which provides `fromEnum` and `toEnum`.
2. (6 = 3 * 2 points) To answer the following questions, use `:info Type` to see what typeclasses `Type` is an instance of, then use `:info TypeClass` to see what functions / operators the different typeclasses support.
 - a. The test `False < True` is allowed because `<` is provided by a typeclass that `Bool` is an instance of. What is the typeclass and what is the type of `<` (including the typeclass)?
 - b. What are the functions that give the ASCII code for a character and give the ASCII character for an integer (if you use a type annotation `:: Char`)? (I.e., `fcn1 'a'` yields `97`, `fcn2 97 :: Char` yields `'a'`.) Also, what are their types (including the typeclass)?
 - c. The functions in part (b) are provided by a typeclass that `Char` is an instance of. What is the typeclass?
3. (`twice x =` does some value occur twice in `x`?)
 - a. (Code problems) Let me number the lines of code to make referencing them easier:


```
1.  twice [] = False
2.  twice [_] = False
3.  twice [x,x] = True
4.  twice ( _ ++ [x] ++ _ ++ [y] ++ _ ) = x == y
5.  twice (h1 : h2 : t) == (h1 == h2 || twice h1 t)
```

So for errors,

 - Line 3: Can't use `x` twice in the pattern `[x,x]`. One fix: Replace the line by


```
twice {x,y} = (x == y)
```
 - Line 4: Uses a bad pattern: You can only try to match lists against `[...]` and `(:)`; you can't use a general function. The whole line needs to be deleted.

- Line 5: `twice h1 t` should be `twice (h1 : t)` because `twice` is supposed to be passed a list. We're also missing a test: We need to check for `h2` occurring twice in `h2 : t`, otherwise we'll miss lists like `[1, 2, 2]` where `h1 = 1 ≠ 2 = h2` and `twice (h1:t) = twice [1, 2] = False`, but `twice (h2:t) = twice [2, 2] = True`. The full line becomes

```
twice (h1 : h2 : t) = (h1 == h2 || twice (h1:t) || twice (h2:t))
```

- b. (Rewrite using function definition by cases)

```
twice [] = False
twice [_] = False
twice [x,y] = x == y
twice (h1 : h2 : t) = (h1 == h2 || twice (h1:t) || twice (h2:t))
```

- c. (Rewrite using only two cases)

```
twice (h1 : h2 : t) = (h1 == h2 || twice (h1:t) || twice (h2:t))
twice _ = False -- for [] and [_] arguments
```

- d. (Rewrite using cases and guards) The `otherwise -> False` case can be omitted; we'll just fall into the `twice _ = False` case.

```
twice (h1 : h2 : t)
  | h1 == h2 = True
  | twice (h1:t) = True
  | twice (h2:t) = True
  | otherwise = False
twice _ = False
```

- e. (Rewrite using a case expression and guards)

```
twice x = case x of
  (h1 : h2 : t)
    | h1 == h2 -> True
    | twice (h1:t) -> True
    | twice (h2:t) -> True
  _ -> False
```

4. Say we have $f :: \text{type1} \rightarrow \text{type2}$. For f to be higher-order, either *type1* or *type2* (or both) involve functions. In the first case, *type1* contains an arrow; in the second, *type2* contains an arrow. So f is higher-order iff it has at least two arrows.

5. (Function $f :: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$.)
 - a. If $f\ h\ x\ y = h\ x\ y$, then $f\ (*)\ 2\ 3$ has no syntax errors and yields 6.
 - b. Define $g\ (h,\ (x,y)) = h\ (x,y)$, then $g :: ((a, a) \rightarrow a, (a, a)) \rightarrow a$ and $g(\text{uncurry } (*), (2,3)) = 6$.

6. (Map & filter)
 6. (4 = 2 * 2 points) Let $f1 = \text{filter } (\lambda x \rightarrow x > 0)$ and $f2 = \text{filter } (\lambda x \rightarrow x < 10)$, and let $\text{nbrFilter } g\ x = \text{length } (\text{filter } g\ x)$.
 - a. $f1(f2[-5..15]) = (f1 . f2)[-5..15]$
 - b. $\text{nbrFilter } g\ x = \text{length } (\text{filter } g\ x)$ is equivalent to
 $\text{nbrFilter } g = \text{length} . (\text{filter } g)$. To see this, we can use substitutions:
 $\text{nbrFilter } g = \text{length} . (\text{filter } g)$ is the same as
 $\text{nbrFilter } g\ x = \text{length} . (\text{filter } g)\ x$ is the same as
 $\text{nbrFilter } g\ x = \text{length } ((\text{filter } g)\ x)$.

7. ($f\ g\ x\ y = g\ x\ (y\ x)$.)
 - a. $f\ g\ x = \lambda y \rightarrow g\ x\ (y\ x)$
 $f\ g = \lambda x\ y \rightarrow g\ x\ (y\ x)$
 $f = \lambda g\ x\ y \rightarrow g\ x\ (y\ x)$
 - b. Having $\text{var} = \text{unnamed lambda function}$ means that giving a function a name is just like giving any other kind of expression a name; treating functions like any other kind of value is what first-class functions are about. (The $f\ x = \text{expr}$ syntax is there to make life easier.)

8. (Redefine `foldl1` on lists)
 - a. (With a conditional expression)


```
foldl1 f a x
  = if x == [ ] then a else foldl1 f (f a (head x)) (tail x)
```
 - b. (Function definition by cases)


```
foldl2 _ a [ ] = a
foldl2 f a (h : t) = foldl2 f (f a h) t
```
 - c. (Using a `case` expression)


```
foldl3 f a x = case x of [ ] -> a
                    h : t -> foldl3 f (f a h) t
```

