
Fr. Conceicao Rodrigues College of Engineering Fr. Agnel Ashram, Bandra (W) Mumbai 400 050.

Software Engineering Lab (CSL501)



Department of Computer Engineering

University of Mumbai

Sem – V-Div A - AY 2425

by

Dr. B. S. Daga

## LAB OBJECTIVES

Welcome to the Software Engineering Lab! This lab serves as a practical and hands-on platform for students to delve into the fascinating world of software engineering. The primary focus of this lab is to equip students with essential skills and knowledge required to develop high-quality and efficient software solutions that meet real-world demands and challenges.

The objectives of this lab are multifaceted, aiming to cultivate a comprehensive understanding of various aspects of software engineering. Throughout this course, students will embark on a journey to achieve the following outcomes:

- 1. **Identify Requirements and Apply Software Process Models**: Understanding the requirements of a software project is the cornerstone of building successful software solutions. In this lab, students will learn various techniques to elicit, analyze, and document software requirements. Furthermore, they will gain hands-on experience in applying different software process models, such as the Waterfall, Agile, and Spiral models, to selected case studies. By doing so, students will appreciate the importance of selecting the right process model based on the project's characteristics and goals.
- 2. **Develop Architectural Models for the Selected Case Study:** Creating a robust and scalable software architecture is pivotal in ensuring the long-term success of any software project. In this lab, students will explore architectural design principles and methodologies to develop comprehensive architectural models for the chosen case study. Through practical exercises, they will gain insights into how to make informed architectural decisions and strike the right balance between performance, maintainability, and scalability.
- 3. Use Computer-Aided Software Engineering (CASE) Tools: Modern software engineering heavily relies on cutting-edge tools and technologies to streamline development processes and enhance productivity. In this lab, students will be introduced to various Computer-Aided Software Engineering (CASE) tools. They will learn how to leverage these tools effectively to automate tasks like code generation, debugging, testing, and documentation. Hands-on experience with CASE tools will empower students to optimize their development workflow and create high-quality software in a more efficient manner.

This lab is structured to foster a dynamic and interactive learning environment. Students will engage in practical exercises, individual and group projects, case studies, and discussions to reinforce their understanding of theoretical concepts.

As technology continues to evolve, the significance of software engineering in shaping the future becomes even more profound. I believe that through this lab, students will not only gain the technical skills needed for their careers but also develop problem solving abilities and a mindset that embraces innovation and continuous learning.

I hope that this software engineering lab will ignite your passion for software development and equip you with the expertise to contribute meaningfully to the ever changing landscape of the software industry.

# **INDEX**

Experiment No.	Experiment Name	СО
1	Software Requirement Specification of the given project	CSL501.1
2	Implement Given problem statement using SCRUM method on JIRA Tool	CSL501.1
3	Implement Given problem statement System using KANBAN method on JIRA Tool	CSL501.1
4	To calculate function point for given problem statement System.	CSL501.1
5	To estimate project cost using COCOMO Model for given problem statement	CSL501.2
6	Develop diagrams for data flow analysis on given problem statement System	CSL501.2
7	Do design using Object Oriented approach and hence highlight Cohesion and Coupling in the given design	CSL501.2
8	To design test cases for performing black box testing for the given project	CSL501.3
9	To design test cases for performing white box testing for given project	CSL501.3
10	Version controlling & Risk Analysis of the project under consideration	CSL501.3

### Experiment Name: Software Requirement Specification of the given project

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to guide students in creating a Software Requirement Specification (SRS) document following the IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) standard format. The IEEE format ensures a structured and consistent approach to capturing software requirements, facilitating effective communication among stakeholders and streamlining the software development process.

**Introduction:** Software Requirement Specification (SRS) is a formal document that precisely defines the functional and non-functional requirements of a software project. The IEEE standard format provides a systematic framework for organizing the SRS, making it comprehensive, clear, and easily understandable by all parties involved in the project.

# **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to IEEE Standard: The lab session begins with an overview of the IEEE standard format for SRS. Students are introduced to the various sections and components of the SRS as per the standard.
- 2. Selecting a Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project or case study for which they will create the SRS. The project should be of moderate complexity to cover essential elements of the IEEE format.
- 3. Requirement Elicitation and Analysis: Students conduct requirement elicitation sessions with the project stakeholders to gather relevant information. They analyze the collected requirements to ensure they are complete, unambiguous, and feasible.
- 4. Structuring the SRS: Using the IEEE standard guidelines, students organize the SRS document into sections such as Introduction, Overall Description, Specific Requirements, Appendices, and other relevant subsections.
- 5. Writing the SRS Document: In this phase, students write the SRS document, ensuring it is well structured, coherent, and adheres to the IEEE format. They include necessary diagrams, use cases, and requirements descriptions.
- 6. Peer Review and Feedback: Students exchange their SRS documents with their peers for review and feedback. This review session allows them to receive constructive criticism and suggestions for improvement.
- 7. Finalization and Submission: After incorporating the feedback received during the review session, students finalize the SRS document and submit it for assessment.

**Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

- Understand the IEEE standard format for creating an SRS document.
- Develop proficiency in requirement elicitation, analysis, and documentation techniques. Acquire the skills to structure an SRS document following the IEEE guidelines.

- Demonstrate the ability to use diagrams, use cases, and textual descriptions to define software requirements.
- Enhance communication and collaboration skills through peer reviews and feedback sessions.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should review the IEEE standard for SRS documentation, familiarize themselves with the various sections and guidelines, and understand the importance of clear and unambiguous requirements.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- IEEE standard for SRS documentation
- Sample software project or case study for creating the SRS
- Computers with word processing software for document preparation
- Review feedback forms for peer

assessment SRS Document:

# Case Study 1—Requirements Specification Document

### 1 Abstract

This is the requirements document for the case study that will be used throughout the book. The system to be developed is for **scheduling the courses in a computer science department**, based on the input about classrooms, lecture times, and time preferences of the different instructors. **Different conditions have to be satisfied by the final schedule**. This document follows the IEEE standard for a requirements specification document, with some variations.

### 2 Introduction

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of this document is to describe the external requirements for a course scheduling system for an academic department in a University. It also describes the interfaces for the system.

#### Scope

This document is the only one that describes the requirements of the system. It is meant for use by the developers and will be the basis for validating the final delivered system. Any changes made to the requirements in the future will have to go through **a formal change approval process.** The developer is responsible for asking for clarifications, where necessary, and will not make any alterations without the permission of the client.

### **Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations**

Not applicable.

#### References

Not applicable.

# **Developer's Responsibilities**

The developer is responsible for (a) developing the system, (b) installing the software on the client's hardware, (c) conducting any user training that might be needed for using the system, and (d) maintaining the system for a period of one year after installation.

# 3 General Description Product Functions Overview

In the computer science department there are a set of classrooms. Every semester the department offers courses, which are chosen from the set of department courses. A course has expected enrollment and could be for graduate students or undergraduate students. For each course, the instructor gives some time preferences for lectures.

The system is to produce a schedule for the department that specifies the time and room assignments for the different courses. Preference should be given to graduate courses, and no two graduate courses should be scheduled at the same time. If some courses cannot be scheduled, the system should produce a "conflict report" that lists the courses that cannot be scheduled and the reasons for the inability to schedule them.

#### **User Characteristics**

The main users of this system will be department secretaries, who are somewhat literate with computers and can use programs such as editors and text processors.

#### **General Constraints**

The system should run on Sun 3/50 workstations running UNIX 4.2 BSD.

### **General Assumptions and Dependencies**

Not applicable.

# 4 Specific Requirements

# **Inputs and Outputs**

The system has two file inputs and produces three types of outputs.

*nput file 1:* Contains the list of room numbers and their capacity; a list of all the courses in the department catalog; and the list of valid lecture times. The format of the file is:

```
rooms
room1: cap1 room2:
cap2
;
courses
course1, course2, course3, ;
time
time1, time2, time3;
```

where room1 and room2 are room numbers with three digits, with a maximum of 20 rooms in the building; cap1 and cap2 are room capacities within the range [10, 300]; course1, course2 are course numbers, which are of the form "csddd," where *d* is a digit. There are no more than 30 courses. time1 and time2 are valid lecture times of the form "MWFd" or "MWFdd" or "TTd" or "TTd:dd" or "TTdd:dd". There are no more than 15 such valid lecture times. An example of this file is:

```
rooms

101:25

115:50

200:250;

courses

cs101, cs102, cs110, cs120, cs220, cs412, cs430, cs612, cs630;

times

MWF9, MWF10, MWF11, MWF2, TT9, TT10:30, TT2, TT3:30;
```

Input file 2: Contains information about the courses being offered. For each course, it specifies the course number, expected enrollment, and a number of lecture time preferences. A course number greater than 600 is a post-graduate course; the rest are undergraduate courses. The format of this file is:

```
course enrollment preferences
c#1 cap1 pre1 , pre2 , pre3 ... c#2
cap2 pre1 , pre2 , pre3 ...
:
```

where c#1 and c#2 are valid course numbers; cap1 and cap2 are integers in the range [3..250]; and pre1, pre2, and pre3 are time preferences of the instructor (a maximum of 5 preferences are allowed for a course). An example of this file is

course	enrollment	preferences
cs101	180	MWF9, MWF10, MWF11, TT9
cs412	80	MWF9, TT9, TT10:30
cs612	35	
cs630	40	

Output 1: The schedule specifying the class number and time of all the schedulable courses. The schedule should be a table having the lecture times on the *x*-axis and classroom numbers on the *y*-axis. For each slot (i.e., lecture time, classroom) the course scheduled for it is given; if no course is scheduled the slot should be blank.

Output 2: List of courses that could not be scheduled and why. For each preference, the reason for inability to schedule should be stated. An example is:

cs612: Preference 1: Conflict with cs600. Preference 2: No room with proper capacity.

Output 3: Error messages. At the minimum, the following error messages are to be given:

- e1. Input file does not exist.
- e2. Input-file-1 has error
  - e2.1. The course number has wrong format
  - e2.2. Some lecture time has wrong format.
  - e2.3. Classroom number has wrong format.
  - e2.4. Classroom capacity out of range.
- e3. Input-file-2 has error
  - e3.1. No course of this number.
  - e3.2. No such lecture time.
- e4. More than permissible courses in the file; later ones ignored.
- e5. There are more than permissible preferences.

Later ones are ignored.

# **Functional Requirements**

- 1. Determine the time and room number for the courses such that the following con- straints are satisfied:
  - (a) No more than one course should be scheduled at the same time in the same room.
  - (b) The classroom capacity should be more than the expected enrollment of the course
  - (c) Preference is given to post-graduate courses over undergraduate courses for scheduling.
  - (d) The post-graduate (undergraduate) courses should be scheduled in the order they appear in the input file, and the highest possible priority of an instructor should be given. If no priority is specified, any class and time can be assigned. If any priority is incorrect, it is to be discarded.
  - (e) No two post-graduate courses should be scheduled at the same time.
  - (f) If no preference is specified for a course, the course should be scheduled in any manner that does not violate these constraints.

Inputs: Input file 1 and Input file 2.

Outputs: Schedule.

2. Produce a list of all courses that could not be scheduled because some constraint(s) could not be satisfied and give reasons for unschedulability.

**Inputs:** Input file 1, and Input file 2.

Outputs: Output 2, i.e., list of unschedulable courses and preferences and why.

3. The data in input file 2 should be checked for validity against the data provided in input file 1. Where possible, the validity of the data in input file 1 should also be checked. Messages should be given for improper input data, and the invalid data

Inputs: Input file 1 and Input file 2.

Outputs: Error messages.

### **External Interface Requirements**

**User Interface:** Only one user command is required. The file names can be specified in the command line itself or the system should prompt for the input file names.

#### **Performance Constraints**

For input file 2 containing 20 courses and up to 5 preferences for each course, the reports should be printed in less than 1 minute.

### **Design Constraints**

#### **Software Constraints**

The system is to run under the UNIX operating system.

#### **Hardware Constraints**

The system will run on a Sun workstation with 256 MB RAM, running UNIX. It will be connected to an 8-page-per-minute printer.

#### **Acceptance Criteria**

Before accepting the system, the developer must demonstrate that the system works on the course data for the last 4 semesters. The developer will have to show through test cases that all conditions are satisfied.

Conclusion: The Software Requirement Specification (SRS) lab experiment in accordance with the IEEE standard format equips students with essential skills in documenting software requirements systematically. Following the IEEE guidelines ensures that the SRS document is well-organized, comprehensive, and aligned with industry standards, facilitating seamless communication between stakeholders and software developers. Through practical hands-on experience in creating an SRS as per the IEEE format, students gain a deeper understanding of the significance of precise requirement definition in the success of software projects. Mastering the IEEE standard for SRS documents prepares students to be effective software engineers, capable of delivering high-quality software solutions that meet client expectations and industry best practices.

## **Experiment Name: Implement Given problem statement using SCRUM method on JIRA Tool**

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the Scrum framework and its implementation using the JIRA tool. Students will gain practical experience in managing a software project using Scrum principles and learn how to utilize JIRA as a project management tool to track and organize tasks, sprints, and team collaboration.

**Introduction:** Scrum is an agile project management methodology that promotes iterative development, collaboration, and continuous improvement. JIRA is a widely used tool that supports Scrum practices, providing teams with features to plan, track, and manage software projects effectively.

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**


- 1. Introduction to Scrum: The lab session begins with an overview of the Scrum framework, including its roles (Product Owner, Scrum Master, and Development Team), events (Sprint Planning, Daily Standup, Sprint Review, and Sprint Retrospective), and artifacts (Product Backlog, Sprint Backlog, and Increment).
- 2. JIRA Tool Introduction: Students are introduced to the JIRA tool and its capabilities in supporting Scrum project management. They learn to create projects, epics, user stories, tasks, and sub-tasks in JIRA.
- 3. Defining the Project: Students are assigned a sample software project and create a Product Backlog, listing all the required features, user stories, and tasks for the project. 4. Sprint Planning: Students organize the Product Backlog into Sprints, selecting user stories and tasks for the first Sprint. They estimate the effort required for each task using story points. 5. Implementation in JIRA: Students use the JIRA tool to create a Sprint Backlog, add the selected user stories and tasks, and assign them to team members.
- 6. Daily Standup: Students conduct a simulated Daily Standup meeting, where they update the progress of their tasks and discuss any impediments they are facing.
- 7. Sprint Review and Retrospective: At the end of the Sprint, students review the completed tasks, demonstrate the implemented features, and gather feedback from their peers. They also conduct a Sprint Retrospective to identify areas of improvement for the next Sprint.
- 8. Continuous Iteration: Students continue implementing subsequent Sprints, repeating the Sprint Planning, Daily Standup, and Sprint Review & Retrospective events.
- 9. Conclusion and Reflection: At the end of the lab experiment, students reflect on their experience with Scrum and JIRA, discussing the advantages and challenges they encountered during the project.


**Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

• Understand the Scrum framework and its principles in agile project management. • Gain practical experience in using the JIRA tool for project management in a Scrum environment.

• Learn to create and manage Product Backlogs, Sprint Backlogs, and track progress using JIRA. • Develop collaborative skills through Daily Standup meetings and Sprint Reviews. • Gain insights into the iterative nature of software development and the importance of continuous improvement.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with the Scrum framework and the basics of the JIRA tool. They should review Scrum roles, events, and artifacts, as well as the features of JIRA relevant to Scrum implementation.





#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Computers with internet access for accessing the JIRA tool
- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Whiteboard or projector for explaining Scrum concepts

Conclusion: The lab experiment on implementing a project using Scrum on the JIRA tool offers students a hands-on experience in agile project management. By utilizing Scrum principles and JIRA's capabilities, students learn to collaborate effectively, manage tasks efficiently, and adapt to changing requirements. The practical exposure to Scrum and JIRA enhances their understanding of agile methodologies, equipping them with valuable skills for real-world software development projects. The lab experiment encourages students to embrace the agile mindset, promoting continuous improvement and customer-centric software development practices.

# **Experiment Name: Implement Given problem statement System using KANBAN method on JIRA Tool**

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the Kanban method and its implementation using the JIRA tool. Students will gain practical experience in managing a software project using Kanban principles and learn how to utilize JIRA as a project management tool to visualize workflow, manage work items, and improve team productivity.

**Introduction:** Kanban is an agile project management method that emphasizes visualizing work, limiting work in progress, and continuously improving the workflow. JIRA is a popular tool that supports Kanban practices, allowing teams to manage their tasks and activities effectively.

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to Kanban: The lab session begins with an overview of the Kanban method, including the principles of visualizing work, managing flow, and making incremental improvements.
- 2. JIRA Tool Introduction: Students are introduced to the JIRA tool and its features for implementing Kanban. They learn to create boards, swimlanes, columns, and customize workflows.
- 3. Defining the Project: Students are assigned a sample software project and create a Kanban board in JIRA to visualize their workflow. They set up columns to represent different stages of their development process.
- 4. Creating Work Items: Students create work items (tasks, user stories, or issues) on the Kanban board, representing the work that needs to be done.
- 5. Managing Workflow: Students move work items through the columns on the Kanban board as they progress through their development process. They monitor work in progress limits to maintain an efficient workflow.
- 6. Continuous Improvement: Students conduct regular team meetings to discuss the workflow, identify bottlenecks, and make improvements to enhance their efficiency.
- 7. Completion and Review: At the end of the lab experiment, students review their project progress on the Kanban board. They discuss their experiences with implementing the Kanban method on JIRA and share insights on its effectiveness.
- 8. Conclusion and Reflection: Students reflect on their experience with Kanban and JIRA, discussing the benefits and challenges they encountered during the project. They also consider how Kanban principles can be applied to future software development projects.

#### **Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

• Understand the Kanban method and its application in agile project management. • Gain practical experience in using the JIRA tool to implement Kanban boards and workflows. • Learn to visualize work, manage flow, and limit work in progress using Kanban principles.


Develop team collaboration skills by continuously improving the workflow through regular team meetings.


• Appreciate the importance of visualizing and managing work items for better project management.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with the Kanban method and the basics of the JIRA tool. They should review Kanban principles, visualizing workflows, and the features of JIRA relevant to Kanban implementation.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Computers with internet access for accessing the JIRA tool
- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Whiteboard or projector for explaining Kanban concepts





Conclusion: The lab experiment on implementing a project using the Kanban method on the JIRA tool provides students with practical insights into agile project management. By applying Kanban principles and utilizing JIRA's capabilities, students learn to visualize their work, manage flow efficiently, and continuously improve their development process. The hands-on experience with Kanban and JIRA fosters teamwork, collaboration, and adaptability, enabling students to effectively manage software projects with a focus on efficiency and quality. The lab experiment encourages students to adopt Kanban's lean principles, promoting a culture of continuous improvement and optimizing their workflow to deliver valuable software products.

### **Experiment Name: To calculate function point for given problem statement System**

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the concept of Function Points and the Function Point Analysis (FPA) technique for measuring software size and complexity. Students will gain practical experience in calculating Function Points for a sample software project, enabling them to estimate development effort and assess project scope accurately.

**Introduction:** Function Points are a unit of measurement used in software engineering to quantify the functionality delivered by a software application. Function Point Analysis (FPA) is a widely used technique to assess the functional size of a software project based on its user requirements.

# **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to Function Points: The lab session begins with an overview of Function Points, explaining the concept and their significance in software size measurement.
- 2. Defining the Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project along with its user requirements. The project may involve modules, functionalities, and user interactions.

  3. Identifying Functionalities: Students identify and categorize the functionalities in the sample project, such as data inputs, data outputs, inquiries, external interfaces, and internal logical files. 4. Assigning Complexity Weights: For each identified functionality, students assign complexity weights based on specific criteria provided in the FPA guidelines.
- 5. Calculating Unadjusted Function Points: Students calculate the Unadjusted Function Points (UFP) by summing up the weighted functionalities.
- 6. Adjusting Function Points: Students apply adjustment factors (e.g., complexity, performance, and conversion) to the UFP to calculate the Adjusted Function Points (AFP).
- 7. Estimating Development Effort: Using historical data or industry benchmarks, students estimate the development effort required for the project based on the calculated AFP. 8. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the significance of Function Points in software estimation and reflect on their experience in calculating Function Points for the sample project.

### **Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

- Understand the concept of Function Points and their role in software size measurement. Gain practical experience in applying the Function Point Analysis (FPA) technique to assess software functionality.
- Learn to categorize functionalities and assign complexity weights in Function Point calculations. Develop estimation skills to assess development effort based on calculated Function Points. Appreciate the importance of accurate software size measurement in project planning and resource allocation.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with the concept of Function Points and the guidelines for their calculation. They should review the categorization of functionalities and the complexity weighting factors used in Function Point Analysis.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Function Point Analysis guidelines and complexity weighting criteria
- Calculators or spreadsheet software for performing calculations **Identify Functional Components** The course scheduling system has several major components based on the SRS:
  - External Inputs (EI): These are user inputs or data entry points in the system.
  - External Outputs (EO): These include the generated schedule, conflict report, and error messages.
  - External Inquiries (EQ): Not directly applicable here since the SRS does not mention inquiries or interactive querying.
  - Internal Logical Files (ILF): Files that contain data to be used within the system (e.g., course and room data).
  - **External Interface Files (EIF)**: External data that the system may use from outside.
  - Assign Weights for Each Component Based on the standard function point weighting system (low, average, high), assign weights to each functional component depending on its complexity.
  - 3. **Unadjusted Function Points Calculation (UFP)** Summing up the weighted values for each identified component will give the UFP.

**Step 1: Breakdown of Functional Components** 

Component	Typ e	Cou nt	Complexit y	Weight (Based on Complexity)	Total Points
Input file 1 (room data)	ILF	1	Average	7	7
Input file 2 (course data)	ILF	1	Average	7	7
Course data validation	EI	1	Average	4	4
Scheduling process	ЕО	1	High	7	7
Conflict report generation	ЕО	1	Average	5	5
Error message output	EO	1	Average	5	5
User interface command	EI	1	Low	3	3

# **Step 2: Calculate Unadjusted Function Points (UFP)**

Adding up all the points:

$$UFP=7+7+4+7+5+5+3=38$$
\text{ $UFP$ } = 7 + 7 + 4 + 7 + 5 + 5 + 3 = 38 $UFP=7+7+4+7+5+5+3=38$ 

# **Step 3: Adjusted Function Points (AFP)**

To adjust the UFP, apply complexity adjustment factors (CAFs) based on factors such as

performance, reliability, and data conversion needs. Let's assume an overall CAF of 1.1 (based on the specific system needs and the environment in which it operates).

```
AFP=UFP\times CAF=38\times1.1=41.8\approx42 \setminus \{AFP\} = \setminus \{UFP\} \setminus \{CAF\} = 38 \setminus 1.1=41.8 \setminus \{AFP\} = 1.1=41.8 \times 42 \setminus \{AFP
```

# **Step 4: Estimating Development Effort**

Using historical data or industry benchmarks, you can apply a productivity rate to the AFP to estimate development effort. For example, if the productivity rate is 10 hours per function point, then:

Development Effort= $42 \times 10 = 420$  hours\text{Development Effort} =  $42 \times 10 = 420 \times 10$ 

Conclusion: The lab experiment on calculating Function Points for a software project provides students with a practical approach to estimating software size and complexity. By applying the Function Point Analysis (FPA) technique, students gain insights into the importance of objective software measurement for project planning and resource allocation. The hands-on experience in identifying functionalities and assigning complexity weights enhances their estimation skills and equips them with valuable techniques for effective project management. The lab experiment encourages students to apply Function Point Analysis in real-world scenarios, promoting accuracy and efficiency in software size measurement for successful software engineering projects.

**Experiment Name: To estimate project cost using COCOMO Model for given problem statement** 

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the COCOMO (Constructive Cost Model) estimation technique for estimating software project cost and effort. Students will gain practical experience in using the COCOMO model to estimate the development effort, duration, and resources required for a sample software project.

**Introduction:** COCOMO is a widely used algorithmic cost estimation model in software engineering. It helps in quantifying the effort and resources needed for software development based on project size, complexity, and other factors.

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to COCOMO Model: The lab session begins with an introduction to the COCOMO model, explaining the different versions (Basic, Intermediate, and Advanced) and their application in software cost estimation.
- 2. Defining the Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project along with its functional and non-functional requirements, complexity, and size metrics.
- 3. COCOMO Parameters: Students learn about the COCOMO model parameters, such as Effort Adjustment Factor (EAF), Scale Factors, and Cost Drivers, and how they influence the project's effort estimation.
- 4. Effort and Duration Estimation: Using the COCOMO model formula, students estimate the effort and duration required to complete the sample project based on the provided size and complexity metrics.
- 5. Resource Allocation: Students estimate the number of required resources, such as developers, testers, and project managers, based on the calculated effort and project duration.
- 6. Sensitivity Analysis: Students perform sensitivity analysis by varying the COCOMO parameters to observe their impact on the project cost estimation.
- 7. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the significance of COCOMO in software project estimation and reflect on their experience in estimating project cost using the COCOMO model.

**Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

- Understand the COCOMO model and its application in software cost estimation. Gain practical experience in using the COCOMO model to• Learn to consider various project factors and adjust COCOMO parameters for accurate cost estimation.estimate effort, duration, and resources for a software project.
- Develop estimation skills for resource allocation and project planning.
- Appreciate the importance of data accuracy and project size metrics in project cost estimation.

Pre-Lab Preparations: Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with the

COCOMO model, its parameters, and the cost estimation formula. They should also review the factors that influence the project's size and complexity.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- COCOMO model guidelines and cost estimation formula
- Calculators or spreadsheet software for performing calculations

For a Semi-Detached project in COCOMO, the estimation equations are:

- Effort (E):  $E = a \times (KLOC)^b$
- Duration (TDEV):  $TDEV = c imes \overline{(E)^d}$

The parameters a, b, c, and d for Semi-Detached projects are:

• 
$$a = 3.0$$
,  $b = 1.12$ ,  $c = 2.5$ ,  $d = 0.35$ 

Using an approximate KLOC of 6 (assuming around 6,000 lines of code):

1. Effort (E):

$$E=3.0 imes(6)^{1.12}pprox3.0 imes8.04pprox24.12$$
 person-months

2. Duration (TDEV):

$$TDEV = 2.5 \times (24.12)^{0.35} \approx 2.5 \times 4.35 \approx 10.87 \text{ months}$$

This estimate suggests that, with adjustments for the size and complexity, the project would take approximately 24 person-months and a duration of about 11 months to complete.

Conclusion: The lab experiment on estimating project cost using the COCOMO model provides students with practical insights into software cost estimation techniques. By applying the COCOMO model to a sample software project, students gain hands-on experience in assessing effort, duration, and resource requirements. The sensitivity analysis allows them to understand the impact of various factors on cost estimation. The lab experiment encourages students to use COCOMO in real-world scenarios, promoting informed decision-making in software project planning and resource allocation. Accurate cost estimation using COCOMO enhances project management and contributes to the successful execution of software engineering projects

Experiment Name: Develop diagrams for data flow analysis on given problem statement System

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to Data Flow Analysis, a technique used in software engineering to understand the flow of data within a software system. Students will gain practical experience in analyzing the data flow of a sample software project, identifying data dependencies, and modeling data flow diagrams.

**Introduction:** Data Flow Analysis is a vital activity in software development, helping engineers comprehend how data moves through a system, aiding in identifying potential vulnerabilities and ensuring data integrity

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to Data Flow Analysis: The lab session begins with an overview of Data Flow Analysis, its importance in software engineering, and its applications in ensuring data security and accuracy.
- 2. Defining the Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project, which includes the data elements, data stores, processes, and data flows.
- 3. Data Flow Diagrams: Students learn how to construct Data Flow Diagrams (DFDs) to visualize the data flow in the software system. They understand the symbols used in DFDs, such as circles for processes, arrows for data flows, and rectangles for data stores.
- 4. Identifying Data Dependencies: Students analyze the sample project and identify the data dependencies between various components. They determine how data is generated, processed, and stored in the system.
- 5. Constructing Data Flow Diagrams: Using the information gathered, students create Data Flow Diagrams that represent the data flow within the software system. They include both high-level context diagrams and detailed level-0 and level-1 diagrams.
- 6. Data Flow Analysis: Students analyze the constructed DFDs to identify potential bottlenecks, inefficiencies, and security vulnerabilities related to data flow.
  - 7. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the significance of Data Flow Analysis in software development and reflect on their experience in constructing and analyzing Data Flow Diagrams.

## **Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

- Understand the concept of Data Flow Analysis and its importance in software engineering.
- Gain practical experience in constructing Data Flow Diagrams to represent data flow in a software system.
- Learn to identify data dependencies and relationships within the software components. Develop analytical skills to analyze Data Flow Diagrams for potential issues and vulnerabilities. Appreciate the role of Data Flow Analysis in ensuring data integrity, security, and efficiency.

Page | 16


**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with Data Flow Analysis concepts and the symbols used in Data Flow Diagrams. They should review data dependencies and data flow modeling in software systems.


#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Whiteboard or projector for constructing Data Flow Diagrams
- Drawing tools or software for creating the diagrams

Conclusion: The lab experiment on Data Flow Analysis of a software project equips students with essential skills in understanding data flow within a system. By constructing and analyzing Data Flow Diagrams, students gain insights into how data is processed, stored, and exchanged, enabling them to identify potential issues and security concerns. The practical experience in Data Flow Analysis enhances their ability to design efficient and secure software systems that ensure data integrity and meet user requirements. The lab experiment encourages students to apply Data Flow Analysis in real

world software development projects, promoting better data management and system design practices.





Experiment Name: Do design using Object Oriented approach and hence highlight Cohesion and Coupling in the given design

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the Object-Oriented (OO) approach in software design, focusing on the principles of cohesion and coupling. Students will gain practical experience in designing a sample software project using OO principles to achieve high cohesion and low coupling, promoting maintainable and flexible software.

**Introduction:** The Object-Oriented approach is a powerful paradigm in software design, emphasizing the organization of code into objects, classes, and interactions. Cohesion and Coupling are essential design principles that guide the creation of well-structured and modular software.

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to Object-Oriented Design: The lab session begins with an introduction to the Object Oriented approach, explaining the concepts of classes, objects, inheritance, polymorphism, and encapsulation.
- 2. Defining the Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project that requires design and implementation. The project may involve multiple modules or functionalities. 3. Cohesion in Design: Students learn about Cohesion, the degree to which elements within a module or class belong together. They understand the different types of cohesion, such as functional, sequential, communicational, and temporal, and how to achieve high cohesion in their design. 4. Coupling in Design: Students explore Coupling, the degree of interdependence between modules or classes. They understand the types of coupling, such as content, common, control, and stamp coupling, and strive for low coupling in their design.
- 5. Applying OO Principles: Using the Object-Oriented approach, students design classes and identify their attributes, methods, and interactions. They ensure that classes have high cohesion and are loosely coupled.
- 6. Class Diagrams: Students create Class Diagrams to visually represent their design, illustrating the relationships between classes and their attributes and methods.
- 7. Design Review: Students conduct a design review session, where they present their Class Diagrams and receive feedback from their peers.
- 8. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the significance of Object-Oriented Design principles, Cohesion, and Coupling in creating maintainable and flexible software. They reflect on their experience in applying these principles during the design process.

**Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

- Understand the Object-Oriented approach and its core principles, such as encapsulation, inheritance, and polymorphism.
- Gain practical experience in designing software using OO principles with an emphasis on Cohesion and Coupling.

- Learn to identify and implement high cohesion and low coupling in their design, promoting modular and maintainable code.
- Develop skills in creating Class Diagrams to visualize the relationships between classes. Appreciate the importance of design principles in creating robust and adaptable software.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should review Object-Oriented concepts, such as classes, objects, inheritance, and polymorphism. They should also familiarize themselves with the principles of Cohesion and Coupling in software design.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Whiteboard or projector for creating Class Diagrams
- Drawing tools or software for visualizing the design

#### Cohesion:

# • User Management Module:

Shares only essential role data with Schedule Management and Course Management (e.g., user ID and role).

Avoids exposing unnecessary user profile details, keeping dependencies minimal.

### • Schedule Management Module:

Manages all aspects of scheduling (creating, modifying, resolving conflicts in class schedules).

Retrieves only necessary data from User Management (e.g., user roles) and Course Management (e.g., course details, prerequisites) through interfaces.

#### • ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN:

Architectures Used: Model-View-Controller(MVC)

#### 1. Model

- The Model represents the data and the business logic of the application. It is responsible for managing the data, logic, and rules of the application.
- The Model in Schedulix interacts with the Firestore database to handle all data operations related to users, classes, subjects, and timetables.
- It defines the structure of the data (e.g., user profiles, classroom availability) and includes methods for retrieving, updating, and deleting this data
- Example: When a teacher logs in, the Model verifies their credentials against stored data in Firestore and retrieves their relevant information (e.g., assigned classes).

#### **2.** View

The View is responsible for displaying the data to the user and presenting the user interface elements. It receives input from the Controller and renders it for the user.

- The View is implemented using React JS to create a dynamic and responsive user interface for students and teachers.
- It presents information such as timetables, forms for entering class details, and options for printing schedules.
- The View listens for user interactions (e.g., button clicks) and requests updates from the Controller based on these interactions.
- Example: When a user selects a timetable template to print, the View presents this option through a dropdown menu.


#### 3. Controller

The Controller acts as an intermediary between the Model and the View. It processes user input, interacts with the Model to retrieve or update data, and returns results to the View.

- The Controller contains business logic that dictates how data flows between the Model and View.
- It handles requests from the View (e.g., when a user submits a form) and invokes appropriate methods on the Model to process this input.
- After processing, it updates the View with new or modified data.
- Example: When a teacher submits their availability for classes, the Controller takes
  this input from the View, updates the Model with this information, and refreshes
  the timetable displayed in the View.

### • Class Diagram:

**Conclusion:** The lab experiment on designing software using the Object-Oriented approach with a focus on Cohesion and Coupling provides students with essential skills in creating well-structured



and maintainable software. By applying OO principles and ensuring high cohesion and low coupling, students design flexible and reusable code, facilitating future changes and enhancements. The experience in creating Class Diagrams enhances their ability to visualize and communicate their design effectively. The lab experiment encourages students to adopt design best practices, promoting modular and efficient software development in their future projects. Emphasizing Cohesion and Coupling in the Object-Oriented approach empowers students to create high-quality software that meets user requirements and adapts to evolving needs with ease.

## Experiment Name: To design test cases for performing black box testing for the given project

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the concept of Black Box Testing, a testing technique that focuses on the functional aspects of a software system without examining its internal code. Students will gain practical experience in designing test cases for Black Box Testing to ensure the software meets specified requirements and functions correctly.

**Introduction:** Black Box Testing is a critical software testing approach that verifies the functionality of a system from an external perspective, without knowledge of its internal structure. It is based on the software's specifications and requirements, making it an essential part of software quality assurance.

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to Black Box Testing: The lab session begins with an introduction to Black Box Testing, explaining its purpose, advantages, and the types of tests performed, such as equivalence partitioning, boundary value analysis, decision table testing, and state transition testing.
- 2. Defining the Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project along with its functional requirements, use cases, and specifications.
- 3. Identifying Test Scenarios: Students analyze the sample project and identify test scenarios based on its requirements and use cases. They determine the input values, expected outputs, and test conditions for each scenario.
- 4. Equivalence Partitioning: Students apply Equivalence Partitioning to divide the input values into groups that are likely to produce similar results. They design test cases based on each equivalence class.
- 5. Boundary Value Analysis: Students perform Boundary Value Analysis to determine test cases that focus on the boundaries of input ranges. They identify test cases near the minimum and maximum values of each equivalence class.
- 6. Decision Table Testing: Students use Decision Table Testing to handle complex logical conditions in the software's requirements. They construct decision tables and derive test cases from different combinations of conditions.
- 7. State Transition Testing: If applicable, students apply State Transition Testing to validate the software's behavior as it moves through various states. They design test cases to cover state transitions.
- 8. Test Case Documentation: Students document the designed test cases, including the test scenario, input values, expected outputs, and any preconditions or postconditions.
- 9. Test Execution: In a simulated test environment, students execute the designed test cases and record the results.
  - 10. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the importance of Black Box Testing in software quality assurance and reflect on their experience in designing test cases for Black Box Testing.

**Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

• Understand the concept and significance of Black Box Testing in software testing. • Gain practical

experience in designing test cases for Black Box Testing based on functional requirements.

- Learn to apply techniques such as Equivalence Partitioning, Boundary Value Analysis, Decision Table Testing, and State Transition Testing in test case design.
- Develop documentation skills for recording and organizing test cases effectively. Appreciate the role of Black Box Testing in identifying defects and ensuring software functionality.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with Black Box Testing concepts, Equivalence Partitioning, Boundary Value Analysis, Decision Table Testing, and State Transition Testing techniques.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Whiteboard or projector for explaining Black Box Testing techniques
- Test case templates for documentation

# **Black Box Testing Documentation for Course Scheduling System**

# **Project Overview**

This documentation outlines black box test cases for the course scheduling system, which includes:

- Student Enrollment Form: Where students can enroll in courses.
- Admin Panel: Allows uploading of CSV files for subjects, teachers, classrooms, and students, and generates the timetable.
- Teacher Panel: Displays the list of students enrolled in each subject and the timetable for each teacher.

# **Testing Methodology**

Each test case includes:

- Test ID: Unique identifier for the test case.
- Description: Purpose of the test case.
- Input: Data input used to conduct the test.
- Expected Outcome: The expected system response or outcome.
- Actual Outcome: Record this once tests are executed.
- Pass/Fail: Result after comparing expected and actual outcomes.

# **Student Enrollment Form Testing**

Test ID	Description	Input	Expected Outcome	Actual Outcome	Pass/Fail
SEF-01	Verify mandatory fields	Form with missing fields	Error message indicating mandatory fields.		Pass
SEF-02	Successful enrollment	Complete valid form data	Success message confirming enrollment and form data stored in the database.		Pass
SEF-03	Invalid data in fields	Invalid email or phone	Error message specific to the field validation rules (e.g.,		Pass
			'Invalid email format').		
SEF-04	Subject selection per branch	Select a subject outside student's branch	Error message: 'Subject not available for selected branch.'	1	Pass
SEF-05	Elective subject selection	Select elective subjects	Enrolled successfully with elective subjects listed.	1	Pass
SEF-06	Duplicate enrollment attempt	Re-enroll after submitting	Error message: 'Student already enrolled in the semester.'		Pass

### **Admin Panel Testing**

Aumin Paner I	СостиВ				
Test ID	Description	Input	Expected Outcome	Actual Outcome	Pass/Fail
AP-01	Upload valid subjects CSV file	Valid subjects.csv file	File uploaded successfully, data stored correctly.		Pass
AP-02	Upload invalid CSV format	Invalid subjects.csv (wrong format)	Error message: 'Invalid CSV format.'		Pass
AP-03	Upload empty CSV file	Empty subjects.csv	Error message: 'CSV file is empty.'		Pass
AP-04	Generate timetable with all files uploaded	Valid CSV files for all categories	Success message, timetable generated without		Pass

# **Timetable Generation Testing**

Test ID	Description	Input	Expected Outcome	Actual Outcome	Pass/Fail
TG-01	Check timetable generation	Enrolled student, valid	Timetable displays the student's enrolled		Pass

# **Teacher Panel Testing**

reacner Paner	-				
Test ID	Description	Input	Expected Outcome	Actual Outcome	Pass/Fail
TP-01	Access student list under teacher's subject	Teacher login, valid subject	Teacher sees list of students enrolled in their subject(s).		Pass
TP-02	Verify access restriction for other subjects	Teacher login, other subject	Error message: 'You do not have access to this subject.'		Pass
TP-03	Display teacher's timetable	Teacher login	Teacher sees their personal timetable with subject, time, and classroom details.		Pass
TP-04	No timetable for teacher	Teacher login with no schedule	Message displayed: 'No scheduled classes for this semester.'		Pass
TP-05	Real-time timetable updates	Updated timetable in admin panel	Teacher's timetable reflects any updates (new classes or changes) immediately.		Pass

### Admin Panel Testing

Aumini Fanci i	esting				
Test ID	Description	Input	Expected Outcome	Actual Outcome	Pass/Fail
AP-01	Upload valid subjects CSV file	Valid subjects.csv file	File uploaded successfully, data stored correctly.		Pass
AP-02	Upload invalid CSV format	Invalid subjects.csv (wrong format)	Error message: 'Invalid CSV format.'		Pass
AP-03	Upload empty CSV file	Empty subjects.csv	Error message: 'CSV file is empty.'		Pass
AP-04	Generate timetable with all files uploaded	Valid CSV files for all categories	Success message, timetable generated without		Pass

Conclusion: The lab experiment on designing test cases for Black Box Testing provides students with essential skills in verifying software functionality from an external perspective. By applying various Black Box Testing techniques, students ensure comprehensive test coverage and identify potential defects in the software. The experience in designing and executing test cases enhances their ability to validate software behavior and fulfill functional requirements. The lab experiment encourages students to incorporate Black Box Testing into their software testing strategies, promoting robust and high-quality software development. Emphasizing test case design in Black Box Testing empowers students to contribute to software quality assurance and deliver reliable and customer oriented software solutions.

## Lab Experiment 09

## Experiment Name: To design test cases for performing white box testing for given project

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to the concept of White Box Testing, a testing technique that examines the internal code and structure of a software system. Students will gain practical experience in designing test cases for White Box Testing to verify the correctness of the software's logic and ensure code coverage.

**Introduction:** White Box Testing, also known as Structural Testing or Code-Based Testing, involves assessing the internal workings of a software system. It aims to validate the correctness of the code, identify logic errors, and achieve maximum code coverage.

### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to White Box Testing: The lab session begins with an introduction to White Box Testing, explaining its purpose, advantages, and the techniques used, such as statement coverage, branch coverage, and path coverage.
- 2. Defining the Sample Project: Students are provided with a sample software project along with its source code and design documentation.
- 3. Identifying Test Scenarios: Students analyze the sample project and identify critical code segments, including functions, loops, and conditional statements. They determine the test scenarios based on these code segments.
- 4. Statement Coverage: Students apply Statement Coverage to ensure that each statement in the code is executed at least once. They design test cases to cover all the statements.
- 5. Branch Coverage: Students perform Branch Coverage to validate that every branch in the code, including both true and false branches in conditional statements, is executed at least once. They design test cases to cover all branches.
- 6. Path Coverage: Students aim for Path Coverage by ensuring that all possible execution paths through the code are tested. They design test cases to cover different paths, including loop iterations and condition combinations.
- 7. Test Case Documentation: Students document the designed test cases, including the test scenario, input values, expected outputs, and any assumptions made.
- 8. Test Execution: In a test environment, students execute the designed test cases and record the results, analyzing the code coverage achieved.
  - 9. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the significance of White Box Testing in software quality assurance and reflect on their experience in designing test cases for White Box Testing.

### **Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:

- Understand the concept and importance of White Box Testing in software testing. Gain practical experience in designing test cases for White Box Testing to achieve code coverage. Learn to apply techniques such as Statement Coverage, Branch Coverage, and Path Coverage in test case design.
- Develop documentation skills for recording and organizing test cases effectively. •

Appreciate the role of White Box Testing in validating code logic and identifying errors.

**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with White Box Testing concepts, Statement Coverage, Branch Coverage, and Path Coverage techniques.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Project brief and details for the sample software project
- Whiteboard or projector for explaining White Box Testing techniques
- Test case templates for documentation

# White Box Testing Documentation

Project Overview

The course scheduling system has four primary modules that will undergo white box testing to ensure internal logic integrity and expected output. These include:

Student Enrollment Module

Admin CSV Upload and Parsing

Timetable Generation

Teacher Panel Access Control

#### 1. Student Enrollment Module

Objective: Validate the enrollment logic for correct data handling and boundary checks.

#### **Test Cases**

Test Case ID	Description	Expected Result	Status
ENR-001	Validate branch selection logic	Correct branches populate based on student input	Pass
ENR-002	Verify elective subject availability	Only electives relevant to branch displayed; all electives loaded for common option	Pass
ENR-003	Ensure input field validation	Rejects empty or invalid data entries	Pass
ENR-004	Boundary test on name field length	Accepts name within 1–100 characters; rejects overflows	Pass
ENR-005	Prevent duplicate enrollments	Does not allow re-enrollment for the same student	Pass

#### 2. Admin CSV Upload and Parsing

Objective: Ensure correct parsing and validation of uploaded data, and test error handling for CSV format.

**Test Cases** 

Test Case	Description	Expected Result	Status
10	Description	Expected Result	Julus
CSV-001	Valid CSV file upload for teachers	Correct parsing, all teacher data added to system	Pass
CSV-002	Invalid CSV structure (missing columns)	Throws error or rejects file, alerts admin	Pass
CSV-003	Validate classroom seating capacities	Accepts only positive integers; rejects any non-integer input	Pass
CSV-004	Handle duplicate entries	Ignores duplicates or throws a warning	Pass
CSV-005	Large file parsing test	Successfully parses large files (1,000+ records) without performance issues	Pass

## 3. Timetable Generation

Objective: Test timetable scheduling logic to ensure no conflicts and efficient classroom allocation. Test Cases

Test Case ID	Description	Expected Result	Status
TBL-001	Ensure no schedule conflicts	Classes do not overlap for students and teachers	Pass
TBL-002	Classroom capacity check during allocation	Classroom only assigned if capacity fits student enrollment	Pass
TBL-003	Confirm lunch break enforcement	1 PM - 2 PM slot remains empty for all schedules	Pass
TBL-004	Weekly subject frequency validation	Each subject occurs exactly 4 times per week, on separate days	Pass
TBL-005	Handle schedule for a maximum number of students	Timetable generated efficiently with large datasets	Pass
TBL-006	Test weekend constraints	No classes scheduled on weekends	Pass

## 4. Teacher Panel Access Control

Objective: Verify that teachers have appropriate access levels and can view relevant student data and schedules.

**Test Cases** 

Test Case ID	Description	Expected Result	Status
ACL-001	Verify teacher access control for student data	Teachers can view only students relevant to their assigned subjects	Pass
ACL-002	Test personalized timetable view	Teachers only see their own class schedule	Pass
ACL-003	Test unauthorized access for admin resources	Teachers cannot access admin functions or data	Pass
ACL-004	Validate logout and session termination	After logout, teacher cannot access panel until reauthenticated	Pass
ACL-005	Boundary test for maximum data display in panel	Teacher panel handles large amounts of student and schedule data smoothly	Pass

Conclusion: The lab experiment on designing test cases for White Box Testing equips students with essential skills in assessing the internal code of a software system. By applying various White Box Testing techniques, students ensure comprehensive code coverage and identify logic errors in the software. The experience in designing and executing test cases enhances their ability to validate code behavior and ensure code quality. The lab experiment encourages students to incorporate White Box Testing into their software testing strategies, promoting robust and high-quality software development. Emphasizing test case design in White Box Testing empowers students to contribute to software quality assurance and deliver reliable and efficient software solutions.

## **Lab Experiment 10**

### Experiment Name: Version controlling & Risk Analysis of the project under consideration

**Objective:** The objective of this lab experiment is to introduce students to version controlling and risk analysis in software engineering. Students will gain practical experience in using version control tools to manage project code and collaborating with team members effectively. Additionally, they will learn how to identify and analyze potential risks associated with software projects.

**Introduction:** Version controlling is a crucial practice in software development, enabling teams to track changes, collaborate efficiently, and manage codebase effectively. Risk analysis involves identifying potential project risks and implementing mitigation strategies to ensure project success.

#### **Lab Experiment Overview:**

- 1. Introduction to Version Controlling: The lab session begins with an introduction to version controlling, explaining its significance in software development, and the principles of version control systems like Git.
- 2. Version Control Setup: Students set up a version control repository using a version control system (e.g., Git) and create a project structure to store code and other project artifacts. 3. Collaboration and Version Control: Students learn to collaborate with team members using version control, understanding branching, merging, and resolving conflicts.
- 4. Version Control Operations: Students perform version control operations, such as committing changes, creating branches, merging branches, and tagging releases.
- 5. Risk Analysis: The lab introduces students to risk analysis, its purpose, and the types of risks that can occur during a software project's lifecycle.
- 6. Identifying Project Risks: Students analyze the sample software project and identify potential risks related to technology, scope, resources, scheduling, and external factors.
- 7. Risk Analysis and Mitigation: Students assess the impact and probability of each identified risk and develop mitigation strategies to address and minimize their potential effects.
- 8. Risk Management Plan: Students create a risk management plan, documenting the identified risks, their assessment, and the corresponding mitigation strategies.
- 9. Version Controlling and Risk Analysis in Practice: Students apply version controlling practices to manage project code, collaborate with team members, and incorporate risk management strategies in their project.
- 10. Conclusion and Reflection: Students discuss the importance of version controlling and risk analysis in software development projects and reflect on their experience in implementing version control and risk management techniques.

**Learning Outcomes:** By the end of this lab experiment, students are expected to:


- Understand the importance and benefits of version controlling in software development.
- Gain practical experience in using version control tools (e.g., Git) for managing project code and collaboration.
- Learn the concepts of branching, merging, and conflict resolution in version control. Identify potential risks in software projects and develop mitigation strategies for risk management.
- Appreciate the significance of risk analysis in ensuring project success and effective project planning.


**Pre-Lab Preparations:** Before the lab session, students should familiarize themselves with version control concepts, version control tools (e.g., Git), and the fundamentals of risk analysis in software projects.

#### **Materials and Resources:**

- Version control system (e.g., Git) and project repositories
- Sample software project details
- Risk analysis templates and risk management plan formats

#### Git Commits and versions:





Conclusion: The lab experiment on version controlling and risk analysis in software engineering equips students with crucial skills for effective project management and collaboration. By using version control tools, students learn how to manage code changes and coordinate their work with team members efficiently. The risk analysis component enhances their ability to identify and mitigate potential project risks, ensuring a more successful software development process. The lab experiment encourages students to incorporate version controlling and risk analysis in their future software projects, promoting better project organization, and decision-making. Emphasizing version controlling and risk analysis empowers students to contribute to high-quality software development and successful project delivery.

#### **APPENDIX**

### The IEEE standard format for Software Requirements Specification (SRS)

The IEEE standard format for Software Requirements Specification (SRS) is a guideline provided by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) to document software requirements in a consistent and structured manner. The standard is known as IEEE 830-1998, titled "IEEE Recommended Practice for Software Requirements Specifications." Below is an outline of the typical sections found in an SRS document following the IEEE 830-1998 standard:

- **1. Introduction** 1.1 Purpose 1.2 Document Conventions 1.3 Intended Audience 1.4 Scope 1.5 Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations 1.6 References 1.7 Overview of the SRS
- **2. Overall Description** 2.1 Product Perspective 2.2 Product Functions 2.3 User Classes and Characteristics 2.4 Operating Environment 2.5 Design and Implementation Constraints 2.6 User Documentation 2.7 Assumptions and Dependencies
- **3. Specific Requirements** 3.1 External Interfaces 3.1.1 User Interfaces 3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces 3.1.3 Software Interfaces 3.1.4 Communication Interfaces 3.2 Functional Requirements 3.2.1 [Requirement ID] [Requirement Description] ... 3.3 Performance Requirements 3.4 Design Constraints 3.5 Software System Attributes 3.5.1 Reliability 3.5.2 Availability 3.5.3 Security 3.5.4 Maintainability 3.5.5 Portability
- **4. Supporting Information** 4.1 Appendix A: Glossary 4.2 Appendix B: Analysis Models 4.3 Appendix C: To Be Determined 4.4 Appendix D: List of Figures 4.5 Appendix E: List of Tables

Please note that the actual content and level of detail may vary depending on the complexity of the software project and specific organizational requirements. The SRS document should be a comprehensive and unambiguous specification that serves as a basis for further development and validation of the software system.

Function Point Analysis (FPA) is a technique used to measure the functional size of a software application based on the user requirements. It helps in estimating effort, cost, and resources required for software development. Below is a basic template for calculating function points:

#### **Function Point Calculation Template**

#### 1. External Inputs (EI):

- Identify the number of unique user inputs that affect the application's processing logic.
- For each input, consider the complexity (low, average, high) based on the number of data elements involved.

No.	rnal Input Complexity e/High)	Weight
1		
2		
N		
Total		

## 2. External Outputs (EO):

• Identify the number of unique user outputs generated by the application. • For each output, consider the complexity (low, average, high) based on the number of data elements presented.

<b>No.</b>	rnal Output Complexity e/High) Weight	
2		
N		
Total		

## 3. External Inquiries (EQ):

• Identify the number of unique user inquiries that require information retrieval from the application.

<b>No.</b> 1	rnal Inquiry Complexity e/High) Weight	
2		

• For each inquiry, consider the complexity (low, average, high) based on the number of data elements involved.

N Total		
iviai		

## 3. Internal Logical Files (ILF):

• Identify the number of unique data storage areas maintained by the application. • For each logical file, consider the complexity (low, average, high) based on the number of data elements.

Dr. B. S. Daga Fr. CRCE, Mumbai

Page | 27

<b>No.</b> 1	rnal Logical File Complexity e/High) Weight	
2		
 N		
Total		
Total		

## 4. External Interface Files (EIF):

• Identify the number of unique data storage areas used by the application and maintained by external applications.

<b>No.</b>	rnal Interface File Complexity e/High) Weight
2	
 N	
Total	

• For each external interface file, consider the complexity (low, average, high) based on the number of data elements.

#### **5. Function Point Count:**

• Sum up the weights of all the identified function points to get the total function point count.

**Calculation Formula:** Total Function Point Count = EI Weight + EO Weight + EQ Weight + ILF Weight + EIF Weight

**Conclusion:** Function Point Analysis helps in quantifying the functional size of a software application, which can be used for estimating development effort, project cost, and resource allocation. By accurately calculating function points, software development teams can make informed decisions and effectively manage software projects.