Energy consumption optimization of embedded systems software

Start of Block: Introduction
In scientific literature, efforts have been made to estimate and measure energy consumption of software. In this questionnaire, we wish to learn if these estimations and measurements would be useful when optimizing the energy consumption of embedded systems software.
In the first part of this questionnaire, we present different options for these estimations/measurements and ask you to evaluate these. We regularly ask you to elaborate on your answers to get more insight into your reasoning. In the second part we have a few more questions and give you the opportunity to share any relevant options or ideas that were left undiscussed. We close the questionnaire with a third and last part, consisting of a few questions regarding your professional experience.
Please enter the identifier provided to you by the researcher
End of Block: Introduction
Start of Block: Proxies
To optimize software energy consumption, one can rely on proxies (e.g. execution time). Other methods have instead tried to estimate or measure software energy consumption directly.

embedded systems software.)t
O Strongly disagree	
O Somewhat disagree	
O Somewhat agree	
O Strongly agree	
Please explain your reasoning for your answer to the previous question in 1 to 5 sen	tences
End of Block: Proxies	
Start of Block: Power	
Energy = Power * Time, therefore previous approaches have tried to estimate or meaning consumption or power draw.	asure either

Are measures of energy consumption or power draw more useful in optimizing energy consumption of embedded systems software?
O Power draw is much more useful
O Power draw is slightly more useful
Energy consumption is slightly more useful
Energy consumption is much more useful
Please explain your reasoning for your answer to the previous question in 1 to 5 sentences
End of Block: Power
Start of Block: Executions
From now on we will refer to measurements or estimations of either energy consumption or power draw as "energy measures". Energy measures can be collected for a single execution, several executions or all possible executions of software.
When would energy measures be most useful in optimizing energy consumption of embedded systems software? If energy measures are collected for
A single execution
O Several executions
All possible executions

Please explain your reasoning for your answer to the previous question in 1 to 5 sent	ences
End of Block: Executions	
Start of Block: CPU	
Energy measures can be collected for the central processing unit of the system (e.g. or for external hardware components controlled by this central processing unit.	the CPU)
In order to optimize the energy consumption of embedded systems software, would it useful if energy measures are collected for the central processing unit, or for the externardware components controlled by this central processing unit?	
O Central processing unit is much more useful	
O Central processing unit is slightly more useful	
External hardware components is slightly more useful	
External hardware components is much more useful	
Please explain your reasoning for your answer to the previous question in 1 to 5 sent	ences

End of Block: CPU	
Start of Block: Abstractions	
Energy measures can be related to different program abstractions.	
Please rank the following program abstractions in descending order of their usefulness for optimizing the energy consumption of embedded systems software. You can drag and drag abstractions to change the order ($Top = 1 = most useful$, $Bottom = 6 = least useful$)	
Code statements	
Code branches Functions	
Source code files	
The complete project	
Libraries	
Please explain your reasoning for your answer to the previous question in 1 to 5 sentenc	:es
	
End of Block: Abstractions	

Start of Block: Multiple

abstraction. Ho	squestion, we assumed that energy measures are related to a single program owever, energy measures can also be related to multiple different program Please indicate your agreement with the statements and select two or more multiple choice question.
	mize the energy consumption of embedded systems software, it would be useful sures are related to multiple different program abstractions.
O Strongl	y disagree
O Somew	hat disagree
O Somew	hat agree
O Strongl	y agree
*	
If the energy m	neasures are related to multiple different program abstractions, these should be:
	Code statements
	Code branches
	Functions
	Source code files
	The complete project
	Libraries

If the energy measures are related to multiple different program abstractions, it would be useful if they are shown simultaneously using their hierarchical relation .
O Strongly disagree
O Somewhat disagree
O Somewhat agree
O Strongly agree
Please explain your reasoning for your answers to the previous questions in 1 to 5 sentences

End of Block: Multiple
Start of Block: Proximity
Energy measures related to program abstractions can be shown close to or in conjunction with the source code in a code editor. Please indicate your agreement with the following statement:

if the energy measures are shown close to or in conjunction with the source code in a editor.	code
Strongly disagree	
O Somewhat disagree	
○ Somewhat agree	
O Strongly agree	
Please explain your reasoning for your answer to the previous question in 1 to 5 sente	ences
End of Placks Provinity	
End of Block: Proximity Start of Block: Usedware Combinations	
Start of Block: HardwareCombinations The same embedded systems software might be executed on different hardware combinations. Energy measures could be collected for different hardware combinations.	ons.

In order to optimize the energy consumption of embedded systems software, it would be useful

Always one specific hardware combination	
Often one specific hardware combination	
Often different hardware combinations	
Always different hardware combinations	
In order to optimize the energy consumption of embedded systems software, it would to see energy measures for different hardware combinations.	be useful
Strongly disagree	
○ Somewhat disagree	
○ Somewhat agree	
O Strongly agree	
Please explain your reasoning for your answer(s) to the previous question(s) in 1 to 5	sentences
End of Block: HardwareCombinations	
Start of Block: UsageScenarios	

Does the software that you write for embedded systems need to function on one specific

hardware combination or multiple different hardware combinations?

End of Block: UsageScenarios

In this second part, we ask you about any options that we have missed. Furthermore, we are curious to hear about any challenges that you face when optimizing the energy consumption of embedded systems software which energy measures can help to overcome. Additionally, we ask you to reflect on which goals would be useful steps towards optimizing the energy consumption of embedded systems. Lastly, we are curious about any final ideas or thoughts that you might have had during the questionnaire which you would like to share. End of Block: Part2
Start of Block: Options
In the first part of this questionnaire we have asked you to evaluate different options for energy measures. The list of options that we have presented might not be exhaustive.
Are there options for energy measures that have not been mentioned, but that you think would be very useful ?
Are there options for energy measures that have not been mentioned, but that you think would be very counterproductive ?

Start of Block: Part2

End of Block: Options
Start of Block: Goals
Energy measures have been proposed to help to understand the energy related behavior of embedded systems software or find energy consumption hotspots in it. Please indicate your agreement with the following two statements:
Understanding the energy related behavior of embedded systems software is a useful step in the process of optimizing its energy consumption.
Strongly disagree
○ Somewhat disagree
○ Somewhat agree
O Strongly agree
Finding energy consumption hotspots in embedded systems software is a useful step in the process of optimizing its energy consumption.
Strongly disagree
○ Somewhat disagree
○ Somewhat agree
O Strongly agree



Are there other subgoals which are useful steps in the process of optimizing the energy consumption of embedded systems software?	
○ No	
O Yes, namely	
Please explain your reasoning for your answers to the previous questions in 1 to 5 senten-	ces
End of Block: Goals	
Start of Block: Challenges	
Are there any challenges which you currently face when optimizing embedded systems so for performance, or energy consumption in particular, which energy measures (can) help to overcome?	

Do you have any other final ideas or thoughts that you wish to share?	
End of Block: Challenges	
Start of Block: Part3	
In this third and final part we have a few questions about your prior experience in devel and optimizing embedded systems software.	loping
End of Block: Part3	
Start of Block: Experience	
For how many years have you developed software for embedded systems?	
How regularly do you optimize the performance of embedded systems software?	
○ Never	
○ Rarely	
○ Sometimes	
Often	

How regularly do you optimize the energy consumption of embedded systems software?
○ Never
○ Rarely
○ Sometimes
Often
For which sector have you optimized embedded systems software?
For what kind of applications have you optimized embedded systems software?
What is your current job title and role?
End of Block: Experience