

Item Category Finder - Algorithm Analysis Report

Problem Introduction

The Item Category Finder is an inventory management system that allows users to organize products by categories, subcategories, and shelves. The system needs to efficiently search through potentially large datasets of products, handle relationships between different data entities, and provide quick access to information.

The primary algorithmic challenges in this application include:

1. Efficiently searching through products based on user input
2. Finding specific items by ID
3. Managing relationships between hierarchical data (categories → subcategories → shelves → items)
4. Optimizing data retrieval for display and manipulation

Algorithm Description

The application implements two main algorithmic approaches:

1. Divide and Conquer Search

The application uses a divide and conquer approach for searching products. This algorithm recursively divides the array of products into smaller subarrays until they become trivially small (0 or 1 element), then combines the results.

How it works:

1. If the array has 0 or 1 elements, check if that element matches the search criteria
2. Otherwise, divide the array into two halves
3. Recursively search both halves
4. Combine the results from both halves

This approach is used for the global search functionality, allowing users to find products by name or barcode.

2. Binary Search

The application implements binary search to efficiently find items by their ID. Binary search requires a sorted array and works by repeatedly dividing the search interval in half.

How it works:

1. Sort the array by ID (if not already sorted)
2. Compare the search ID with the middle element
3. If they match, return the element
4. If the search ID is less than the middle element, search the left half
5. If the search ID is greater than the middle element, search the right half

6. Repeat until the element is found or the search interval is empty

Pseudocode

Divide and Conquer Search

```
function divideAndConquerSearch(items, searchTerm):
    // Base case: array with 0 or 1 elements
    if items.length <= 1:
        if items.length == 1:
            item = items[0]
            if item.name contains searchTerm OR item.barcode contains searchTerm:
                return [item]
            else:
                return []
        else:
            return []
    else:
        return []

    // Divide the array into two halves
    mid = floor(items.length / 2)
    leftHalf = items[0...mid-1]
    rightHalf = items[mid...items.length-1]

    // Recursively search both halves
    leftResults = divideAndConquerSearch(leftHalf, searchTerm)
    rightResults = divideAndConquerSearch(rightHalf, searchTerm)

    // Combine results
    return leftResults + rightResults
```

Binary Search by ID

```
function binarySearchById(items, id):
    // Sort items by ID
    sortedItems = sort(items by id)

    left = 0
    right = sortedItems.length - 1

    while left <= right:
        mid = floor((left + right) / 2)

        if sortedItems[mid].id == id:
            return sortedItems[mid]
```

```
    if sortedItems[mid].id < id:
        left = mid + 1
    else:
        right = mid - 1

return null // Not found
```

Overall Application Performance

The application uses localStorage for data persistence, which has limitations:

- Storage limit (usually 5-10MB)
- Synchronous operations that can block the main thread
- All data must be serialized/deserialized

For small to medium-sized inventories (hundreds to a few thousand products), the implemented algorithms provide adequate performance. However, for larger inventories, more sophisticated data structures and server-side processing would be beneficial.

Code Snippets

Divide and Conquer Search Implementation

```
function divideAndConquerSearch(items, searchTerm) {
    // If the array is empty or has only one element
    if (items.length <= 1) {
        // Check if the single item matches
        if (items.length === 1) {
            const item = items[0];
            const nameMatch = item.name.toLowerCase().includes(searchTerm);
            const barcodeMatch =
                item.barcode && item.barcode.toLowerCase().includes(searchTerm);
            return nameMatch || barcodeMatch ? [item] : [];
        }
        return [];
    }

    // Divide the array into two halves
    const mid = Math.floor(items.length / 2);
    const leftHalf = items.slice(0, mid);
    const rightHalf = items.slice(mid);

    // Recursively search both halves
    const leftResults = divideAndConquerSearch(leftHalf, searchTerm);
```

```
const rightResults = divideAndConquerSearch(rightHalf, searchTerm);

// Combine the results
return [...leftResults, ...rightResults];
}
```

Binary Search Implementation

```
function binarySearchById(items, id) {
  // First, ensure the array is sorted by id
  const sortedItems = [...items].sort((a, b) => a.id - b.id);

  let left = 0;
  let right = sortedItems.length - 1;

  while (left <= right) {
    const mid = Math.floor((left + right) / 2);

    if (sortedItems[mid].id === id) {
      return sortedItems[mid];
    }

    if (sortedItems[mid].id < id) {
      left = mid + 1;
    } else {
      right = mid - 1;
    }
  }

  return null; // Not found
}
```

Testing and Evaluation Results

Divide and Conquer Search:

- For small datasets (<100 items): Performs well with negligible delay
- For medium datasets (100-1000 items): Still performs adequately but may show slight delays
- For large datasets (>1000 items): May cause noticeable UI delays due to the $O(n)$ complexity

Binary Search:

- Performs well across all dataset sizes due to $O(\log n)$ complexity
- The initial sorting operation may cause delays for very large datasets
- Most effective when repeatedly searching the same dataset

The application's use of localStorage means that all data is loaded into memory at once, which can become a bottleneck for very large inventories.

Conclusion and Reflections

What Worked Well

1. **Divide and Conquer Approach:** While not more efficient than a linear search in terms of time complexity, the divide and conquer implementation provides a clear structure and could be optimized further.
2. **Binary Search for IDs:** The binary search implementation provides efficient lookups by ID, which is important for operations like editing products or viewing details.
3. **Data Organization:** The hierarchical organization of data (categories → subcategories → shelves → items) provides a logical structure that matches real-world inventory systems.

What Could Be Improved

1. **Search Algorithm Efficiency:** The current divide and conquer search still has $O(n)$ time complexity. For large datasets, implementing indexing or trie data structures could improve search performance.
2. **Caching and Pagination:** For large datasets, implementing pagination and caching of search results would improve performance.
3. **Database Integration:** Moving from localStorage to a proper database system would allow for more efficient queries and better handling of large datasets.
4. **Optimized Data Structures:** Using more specialized data structures like hash maps for lookups and B-trees for range queries could significantly improve performance.
5. **Asynchronous Processing:** The current implementation performs all operations synchronously, which can block the UI. Moving heavy operations to Web Workers would improve responsiveness.

Future Enhancements

1. **Implement true $O(\log n)$ search algorithms** by using more sophisticated data structures like B-trees or inverted indices.
2. **Add server-side processing** for handling large datasets and complex queries.
3. **Implement real-time collaborative features** using WebSockets or similar technologies.
4. **Add machine learning components** for inventory prediction and optimization.
5. **Optimize mobile performance** with progressive loading and reduced data transfer.

In conclusion, while the current implementation provides a functional inventory management system with reasonable performance for small to medium-sized inventories, there are significant opportunities for algorithmic and architectural improvements to handle larger datasets and more complex operations.

**ITEM CATEGORY FINDER OR
PRODUCT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
DIVIDE AND CONQUER METHOD & BINARY SEARCHING
APPROACH**

**Web App By:
Mark Jhon Angelo L. Cruz**