# Expression Tree Code Demo (cont.)

Cpt S 321

Washington State University

## Problems you identified last time

- Switch statement in the evaluate method: hardcoded and difficult to evolve
- The algorithm for building the expression tree is not intuitive
- Things are defined in one single file
- The design is very coupled and confusing
- Not sure if it actually works (precedence etc.)

- Hard to read and understand
- All nodes inherit from an empty abstract class
- Don't need nested classes
- Exceptions are all general
- Limited commenting
- No tests
- Duplicated code
- The evaluate method should be defined for class Node

## THINGS (NOT PROBLEMS) you identified last time i.e., Things I disagree with

 Having a class for each node is an overkill

- "cannot handle negative numbers": Not a problem at this point – this is a feature ☺
- "when a number is divided by 0, we will get ∞": Not a problem this is a feature ©: Dividing a floating-point value by zero results in positive infinity, negative infinity, or not a number (NaN) according to the rules of IEEE 754 arithmetic.

### Summary of solutions

- Throw more descriptive exceptions
- Get rid of the hardcoded operators
- Allow support for new operators without needing to change the logic in every method
- Extract classes into separate files
- Consider operator precedence/associativity
- Parse the expression string and build the expression tree more elegantly
- Get rid of the redundant code

As we go, improve documentation, naming, style

Where do we start?

And in what order do we refactor?

Why is the order important?

## STOP!

Before you start improving the design make sure ALL your test cases are PASSING!

#### Pointers for solutions ExpressionTreeCodeDemo

#### Extract classes into separate files

- I.e., Node, ConstantNode, VariableNode, and OperatorNode should be in their own files.
- How do we implement them?
  - How are they related?
  - What should each of those contain?
    - Operator precedence/associativity (<u>useful</u>):
      - How to define them (instance vs class properties)?
      - Where to define them Base class (i.e., OperatorNode) versus child classes (e.g., PlusOperatorNode)?

## STOP!

Don't forget to adapt your test cases and run them the same number should pass before you continue!