An eigenvector of an nxn matrix A is a nonzero vector  $\vec{x}$  such that  $A\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}$  for some scalar  $\lambda$ . A scalar  $\lambda$  is called an eigenvalue of A if there is a nontrivial solution

 $\vec{z}$  of  $A\vec{z} = \lambda \vec{z}$ .  $\vec{E}\vec{x}$ . Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Are  $\vec{u}$ 

and 
$$\vec{v}$$
 eigenvectors of  $A^{?}$ 

 $A\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -24 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix} = -4\vec{u},$ 

$$A\vec{\nabla} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \neq \lambda \vec{\nabla}.$$

=> 
$$\vec{u}$$
 is an eigenvector (with  $\lambda = -4$ ) and  $\vec{v}$  is not an eigenvector.

(=> (A-XI) = 0 ~ Honogeneous!

For the note, we find nonzero solutions to 
$$(A-(-4)I)\vec{x}=\vec{0}$$

$$A - (-4) I = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 3 & 3 \\ 6 & 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & 7 & 3 \\ 6 & 6 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \times_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \times_3 \\ \times_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \times_3 \\ \times_3 \text{ is } \text{ free} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \times_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \times_3 \\ \times_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \times_3 \\ \times_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \times_3 \end{array}$$

Take  $x_3 = 2$ . Then  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of A (including all other multiples).

we call the solution set of  $(A-XI)\vec{x}=\vec{0}$ 

e eigenspace it A corresponding to 1.

Theorem The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the entries on its main diagona

Theorem If  $\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_r$  are eigenvectors that correspond to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r$  of an  $n \times n$  matrix A, then the set  $\{\vec{v}_1, ..., \vec{v}_r\}$  is linearly independent.

Suppose that  $\{\vec{v}_1,...,\vec{v}_r\}$  is linearly dependent. Since  $\vec{v}_1 \neq \vec{o}_r$ , we know that one of the vectors in the set is a linear combination of the preceding vectors. Let p be the least index such that  $\vec{v}_{p+1}$  is a linear combination of the preceding (linearly independent) vectors, then for some scalars  $c_{1,...,r}$  op we have  $c_{1}\vec{v}_{1} + ... + c_{p}\vec{v}_{p} = \vec{v}_{p+1}$ .

$$=> c_1 A \overline{v}_1 + \dots + c_p A \overline{v}_p = A \overline{v}_{p+1}$$

$$=> c_1 \lambda_1 \overline{v}_1 + \dots + c_p \lambda_p \overline{v}_p = \lambda_{p+1} \overline{v}_{p+1} \qquad (4)$$

We also have  $c_1 \lambda_{pe_1} \vec{\nabla}_1 + \dots + c_p \lambda_{pe_1} \vec{\nabla}_p = \lambda_{p+1} \vec{\nabla}_{p+1} ( \not= \not= ).$ Now compute  $(\not=) - (\not= \not=)$ :

Since  $\{\overline{V}_{1},...,\overline{V}_{p}\}$  are linearly independent we have  $c_{1}(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{p+1})=...=c_{p}(\lambda_{p}-\lambda_{p+1})=0$ . But  $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{p+1}$  is nonzero since the  $\lambda_{1}$ 's are distinct. Thus  $c_{1}=...=c_{p}=0$ . But this implies that  $\overline{V}_{p+1}=\overline{0}$  which is impossible. Hence  $\{\overline{V}_{1},...,\overline{V}_{p}\}$  must be linearly independent.