

### THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHENZHEN

## DDA 3020 MACHINE LEARNING

# **Assignment 4 Report**

Author: Student Number:

Ma Kexuan ID 120090651

December 17, 2022

1 Written Problems

$$| (1) | P(||x_{i}|||x_{i}=k, M_{K}) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} M_{K_{i}}^{x_{i}} (1-M_{K_{i}})^{1-x_{i}}$$

$$| (M_{K})| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma_{ik} | M_{i} P(||x_{i}|||x_{i}=k, M_{K})$$

$$| \frac{\partial L}{\partial M_{K_{i}}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{K_{i}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma_{ik} (||x_{i}|| ||M_{K_{i}}|) + (1-x_{i}) M_{i} (1-M_{K_{i}}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (\frac{||x_{i}||}{M_{K_{i}}} - \frac{1-x_{i}}{1-M_{K_{i}}})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (\frac{||x_{i}||}{M_{K_{i}}} - \frac{1-x_{i}}{1-M_{K_{i}}})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (\frac{||x_{i}||}{M_{K_{i}}} - \frac{1-x_{i}}{1-M_{K_{i}}})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (\frac{||x_{i}||}{M_{K_{i}}} - \frac{M_{K_{i}}}{1-M_{K_{i}}} - \frac{M_{K_{i}}}{1-M_{K_{i}}}) = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot (x_{i}) - M_{K_{i}} = 0$$

(2) The lower bound  $L(M_{k})$  of the original likelihood function:  $L(M_{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma_{ik} \ln P(X_{i}, E_{i} = k, M_{k})$   $= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \Gamma_{ik} \ln P(Z_{i} = k, M_{k}) \cdot P(X_{i} | Z_{i} = k, M_{k}) \cdot \beta(M_{i} | A, \beta)$   $\frac{\partial L(M_{k})}{\partial M_{k}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{k}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \cdot \left( M_{i} T_{k} + X_{ij} \ln (M_{k}) + (1 - X_{ij}) \ln (1 - M_{k}) \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{k}} \ln \frac{\Gamma(A + \beta) M_{k,i}^{A-1} (1 - M_{k})^{B-1}}{\Gamma(A) \Gamma(B)} 1 (M_{k}(A, b))$ 

where I(A) is an indicator function in the set(A), 0 < a < b < 1.

Since 
$$\frac{\partial \ln \beta}{\partial M_{kj}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{kj}} \ln \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} M_{kj}^{\alpha-1} (1-M_{kj})^{\beta-1} = \frac{\alpha-1}{M_{kj}} - \frac{\beta-1}{1-M_{kj}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \lfloor M_{k} \rfloor}{\partial M_{kj}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma_{ik} \left( \frac{\chi_{ij}}{M_{kj}} - \frac{1-\chi_{ij}}{1-M_{kj}} \right) + \frac{\alpha-1}{M_{kj}} - \frac{\beta-1}{1-M_{kj}}$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial \lfloor (M_{k}) \rfloor}{\partial M_{kj}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{kj} = \frac{\sum_{i} \Gamma_{ik} \chi_{ij} + 2 - 1}{\sum_{i} \Gamma_{ik} + 2 + \beta - 2}$$

2. From the question, 
$$e_{ij} = g(x_i^+) - g(x_j^-)$$
,  $u(e_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_{ij} > 0 \\ 0 \cdot \int & \text{if } e_{ij} = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_{ij} < 0 \end{cases}$   $AUC = \frac{1}{m^+ m^-} \sum_{i=1}^{m^-} \frac{m^-}{j^-} U(e_{ij})$ 

If we'd like to prove  $AUC = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - (m^+)(m^++1)/2}{m^+ m^-}$ , it's the same to prove  $\sum_{i=1}^{m^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m^-} u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - (m^+)(m^++1)/2$ 

We assume  $\chi_i^+$  is the sample with  $i$ -th smallest  $g(x_i^+)$ , its rank is rank.

 $g(x_i^+)$  is larger than  $i$ -1 predictions in the positive samples, also  $g(x_i^+)$  is larger than rank;  $-1$  predictions in the total samples.

Thus,  $g(x_i^+)$  is larger than rank;  $-1 - (i-1) = rank_i - i$  and  $u(e_{ij}) = u(e_{ij}) = u(e_{ij}) = rank_i - i$ 

(Since  $g(x_i^+) > g(x_j^-) > e_{ij} > 0 > u(e_{ij}) = 1$ ).

 $u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} (rank_i - i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - \frac{m^+(m^++1)}{2}$ 
 $u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} (rank_i - i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - \frac{m^+(m^++1)}{2}$ 
 $u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} u(e_{ij}) = \frac{m^+}{i^-} (rank_i - i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - \frac{m^+(m^++1)}{2}$ 
 $u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} u(e_{ij}) = \frac{m^+}{i^-} (rank_i - i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - \frac{m^+(m^++1)}{2}$ 
 $u(e_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} u(e_{ij}) = \frac{m^+}{i^-} (rank_i - i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} rank_i - \frac{m^+(m^++1)}{2}$ 

3. 
$$M = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi^{(n)}$$
,  $\Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X^{(n)} - M)(X^{(n)} - M)^T$   
The code is attached below:

```
import numpy as np
                                           In [29]: print(mu)
                                                                              м
                                          [-0.4 0.8 0.2 0.2 -1.3]
X = np.array([[2,0,1,-3,-2],
                [0,2,-3,-3,-2],
                [1,2,1,3,-2],
                [-1,1,3,2,-1],
                                           In [30]: print(cov_matrix)
                [1,0,1,-1,1],
                                           [[ 3.04  0.82 -0.02 -0.82 -0.12]
                                                                                     We choose the largest 2 eigenvalues and the
                [2,3,-1,1,-2],
                                            [ 0.82 3.76 -2.16 1.04 1.04]
                [-2,3,-3,3,2],
[-2,-2,2,3,-2],
                                            [-0.02 -2.16 3.56 0.76 -0.84]
                                            [-0.82 1.04 0.76 5.56
                                                                         1.16]
                [-2,-3,1,-2,-3],
                                            [-0.12 1.04 -0.84 1.16 2.21]]
                                                                                    corresponding eigenvectors
                [-3,2,0,-1,-2]])
X = X.T
                                           In [31]: print(eigenvalue)
                                                                                                       Eigenvalue
mu = np.mean(X, axis = 1)
                                          [0.82311524 1.53027334 3.07614543 5.93067614 6.76978985]
cov_matrix = np.cov(X, ddof = 0)
eigen = np.linalg.eig(cov_matrix)
eigenvalue = eigen[0]
                                           In [32]: print(eigenvector)
eigenvector = eigen[1]
                                           [[-0.33192081 0.16894209 0.87848693 0.29809153 -0.02625442]
[ 0.60584079 -0.33313495 0.17625638 0.39493632 0.57873744]
U = eigenvector[:, 3:]
                                                                                                     Eigenvector
                                             0.61980825 \quad 0.08283824 \quad 0.40532527 \quad -0.58025264 \quad -0.32862419]
mumatrix = np.array([mu]*10).T
                                             -0.33144989 -0.15734291 0.14382432 -0.64618454
                                                                                        0.65356276]
new = np.dot(U.T, (X-mumatrix))
                                            [ 0.16960016  0.91041788  -0.11054589  0.03031732  0.35949345]]
 Final projection: UT(X(n)_ M)
```

```
In [33]: print(new)
[[ 1.98183693     4.49653708    -1.40348923     -2.87861204     0.48232827     1.74241301
     0.53945236     -4.45776178     -1.07184006     0.56913546]
[-3.13194616     -0.60746566     1.97315969     0.4956134     -0.72008587     1.87576557
     5.38313097     -0.591651     -4.46907149     -0.20744945]]
```

Final new projection

#### 2 Programming

#### 2.1 K-means and GMM Implementation

#### 2.1.1 K-means

For K-means, I use 4 functions to do the clustering, they are initialize\_centroid, assign centroids, assign cluster and fit kmeans.

```
def initialize_centroid(data, K):
    data = list(data)
    init_centroid = random.sample(data, K)
    return init_centroid
```

For initialize\_centroid, I randomly select 3 samples from the whole dataset to be the initial centroid.

```
def assign_centroids(curr_cluster, K):
    centroids = []
    for idx in range(K):
        centroid = np.mean(curr_cluster[idx], axis = 0)
        centroids.append(centroid)
    return centroids
```

For assign\_centroids, the current clusters generated by last loop should be the input, and we just calculate the mean value of the points in each cluster, then assign the mean value to the new centroids.

```
def assign_cluster(data, curr_centroids, K):
    curr_cluster = {}
    for i in range(K):
        curr_cluster[i] = []
    for i in data:
        clusteridx = -1
        dist = []
        for j in range(K):
            dist.append(compute_distance(i, curr_centroids[j]))
        clusteridx = dist.index(min(dist))
        curr_cluster[clusteridx].append(i)
    return curr_cluster
```

For assign\_cluster, we first generate an empty newly cluster, and iterate through all of the datapoints in the original dataset, compute the distance from each datapoint to the three newly generated centroids, then we grab the index which makes the distance from the datapoint to the centroids the smallest, to assign the datapoint to the new cluster, finally return the newly generated cluster.

```
def fit_kmeans(k, tolerence):
   K = k
   tol = tolerence
   centroids = initialize_centroid(X, K)
   current_clusters = assign_cluster(X, centroids, K)
   loop = True
   while loop:
       prev = centroids
       centroids = assign_centroids(current_clusters, K)
       current_clusters = assign_cluster(X, centroids, K)
        dist_sum = 0
        for i in range(len(centroids)):
           dist_sum += compute_distance(prev[i], centroids[i])
        if dist_sum < tol:</pre>
          loop = False
   return current_clusters
```

For the fit kmeans, we first initialize the centroids, and assign the initial clusters, and start a

loop, which stops when the distance between the centroids from the last loop and the new loop is smaller than the tolerance. Finally we output the final clusters.

#### 2.1.2 **GMM**

For GMM, I use 6 main functions to do the clustering. They are initialize\_para, update gamma, update mu, update sigma, predict and fit GMM.

```
def initialize_para(data, K):
    pi = [1 / K] * K
    split_data = np.array_split(data, K)
    mu = [np.mean(split, axis = 0) for split in split_data]
    sigma = [np.cov(split.T) for split in split_data]
    return pi, mu, sigma
```

For initialize\_para, I set the pi to be uniformly distributed probabilities, mu to be the mean value of each split from the whole data, sigma to be the covariance matrix of each split of the whole data.

For update\_gamma, I use the formula  $\gamma_k = \frac{\pi_k N(x \mid \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j N(x \mid \mu_j, \Sigma_j)}$  to update it.

```
def update_mu(data, K, gamma, N, mu):
    for k in range(K):
        musum = 0
        for n in range(len(data)):
        musum += gamma[n][k] * data[n]
        mu[k] = musum / N[k]
    return mu
    return mu
def update_sigma(data, K, mu, gamma, sigma, N):
    for k in range(K):
        musum = 0
        for n in range(len(data)):
        musum += gamma[n][k] * np.outer((data[n] - mu[k]). T)
        sigma[k] = musum / N[k]
    return sigma
```

For update mu and update sigma, I use the formulas below to update them.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mu}_k &= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_k^{(n)} \mathbf{x}^{(n)} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k &= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_k^{(n)} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \right) \left( \mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \right)^\top \\ &\overset{\text{def predict(data, K, pi, mu, sigma):}}{\underset{\text{gamma = update_gamma(data, K, pi, mu, sigma)}}{\underset{\text{label = []}}{\underset{\text{for i in range(len(data)):}}{\underset{\text{label. append(l)}}{\underset{\text{label. append(l)}}{\underset{\text{return label}}}} \end{split}$$

For predict, I choose the index which has the largest gamma value to be the cluster that it belongs to, and output the current labels.

```
def fit_GMM(data, K, pi, mu, sigma, tol):
    flag = True
    while flag:
        gamma = update_gamma(data, K, pi, mu, sigma)
        N = np. sum(gamma, axis = 0)
        mu = update_mu(data, K, gamma, N, mu)
        sigma = update_sigma(data, K, mu, gamma, sigma, N)
        prev = list(pi)
        pi = [N[k] / len(data) for k in range(K)]
        diffsum = 0
        for i in range(len(prev)):
            diffsum += (prev[i] - pi[i])**2
        if diffsum <= tol:
            flag = False
        return pi, mu, sigma</pre>
```

For fit\_GMM, I just create a loop to iterate the whole process, to update the parameters each time. The stopping criterion is that, when the distance between the current  $\pi_k$  and  $\pi_k$  in the last iteration is smaller than or equal to the tolerance. Then we finally output the last value of the three parameters.

#### 2.2 Silhouette Coefficient and Rand Index

We calculate silhouette coefficient and rand index for Kmeans clustering:

```
In [19]: curr_cluster = fit_kmeans(3, 0.000001)
    sil = silhouette_coefficient(curr_cluster, 3)
    ran = rand_index(curr_cluster, txt, 3)

In [20]: sil
Out[20]: 0.47193373191268945

In [21]: ran
Out[21]: 0.8743677375256322
```

We also calculate silhouette coefficient and rand index for GMM clustering:

```
In [25]: X_ = shuffle(X)
    pi, mu, sigma = initialize_para(X_, 3)
    pi, mu, sigma = fit_GMM(X_, 3, pi, mu, sigma, 0.000001)
    label = predict(X_, 3, pi, mu, sigma)
    current_clusters = get_curr_clusters(label, X_, 3)
    sil = silhouette_coefficient(current_clusters, 3)
    ran = rand_index(current_clusters, txt, 3)
In [26]: sil
Out[26]: 0.4442191107942514
In [27]: ran
Out[27]: 0.8769195716564138
```

From the results, we can see that for the two distinct clustering algorithms, for silhouette coefficient, Kmeans > GMM. Since silhouette coefficient represents the distance between a point to its same cluster and its nearest cluster, if the distance between the point and the points in the same cluster is larger, the performance for the clustering algorithm should be good. So the performance of Kmeans is better than GMM judged by the silhouette coefficient.

However, for the rand index, we can see that GMM > Kmeans, rand index is useful when the true label is provided, if the rand index is larger and closed to 1, we say that the clustering

algorithm generated a result that is closed to the ground truth. Thus if we judge the performance of these two algorithms by rand index, we can say that GMM has better performance than Kmeans.

#### 2.3 The sensitivity to the initialization of Kmeans and GMM

For each algorithm, we randomly assign the initialization, and run the two algorithms for ten times each.

We calculate the standard deviation of the two evaluation metrics for Kmeans:

```
In [22]: res = performance_evaluation_Kmeans()
    si1_std = res['silhouette_coef'].std()
    ran_std = res['rand_index'].std()

In [23]: si1_std

Out[23]: 0.001832990974720474

In [24]: ran_std

Out[24]: 0.0014527695986154717
```

We calculate the standard deviation of the two evaluation metrics for GMM:

From the above results, we can observe that the standard deviations for these two evaluation metrics are quite small, which further implies that these two clustering algorithms works properly with different initializations.