

We remember the transfer operator  $T$ ,

$$[Tf](y) = \frac{1}{\mu(y)} \int_{\Omega} dx p(x, y) \mu(x) f(x),$$

and its spectral decomposition,

$$Tf = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mu} \psi_i,$$

with

$$T\psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mu} = \delta_{i,j}.$$

## The variational principle

**Theorem:** The scalar product of  $Tf$  and  $f$  has an upper bound given by

$$\langle Tf, f \rangle_{\mu} \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \langle f, f \rangle_{\mu} = 1 \\ \lambda_k, & \langle f, f \rangle_{\mu} = 1, \langle f, \psi_i \rangle_{\mu} = 0 \forall i < k. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* We choose a  $k > 1$  and expand  $f$  in the basis of the transfer operator's eigenvectors,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \psi_i, \quad \text{with} \quad c_i = 0 \forall i < k.$$

Thus, the scalar product reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tf, f \rangle_{\mu} &= \sum_{i,j=k}^{\infty} c_i c_j \langle T\psi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mu} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=k}^{\infty} c_i c_j \lambda_i \langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle_{\mu} \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} c_i^2 \lambda_i \\ &\leq \lambda_k \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} c_i^2 = \lambda_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

The idea of the variational principle is to choose a basis  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N$  and find a linear combination

$$f(\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i \chi_i \quad (2)$$

which satisfies  $\|f(\mathbf{b})\|_2 = 1$  and maximises the scalar product  $\langle Tf(\mathbf{b}), f(\mathbf{b}) \rangle_\mu$  over the set of all possible linear combinations.

**Theorem:** The vector  $\mathbf{b}$ , with  $\langle Tf(\mathbf{b}), f(\mathbf{b}) \rangle_\mu = \max$ , is determined by the eigenvector to the largest eigenvalue of the generalised eigenvalue problem

$$C^\tau \mathbf{b} = \hat{\lambda} C^0 \mathbf{b}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$C_{i,j}^\tau = \langle T\chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad C_{i,j}^0 = \langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_\mu. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* We choose a suitable cost function,

$$\begin{aligned} L((\mathbf{b})) &= \langle Tf(\mathbf{b}), f(\mathbf{b}) \rangle_\mu - \xi \left( \langle f(\mathbf{b}), f(\mathbf{b}) \rangle_\mu - 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N b_i b_j \langle T\chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_\mu - \xi \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N b_i b_j \langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_\mu - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and set its derivative w.r.t. the components of  $(\mathbf{b})$  to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\partial L}{\partial b_{i'}} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \langle T\chi_{i'}, \chi_j \rangle_\mu - 2\xi \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \langle \chi_{i'}, \chi_j \rangle_\mu \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \langle T\chi_{i'}, \chi_j \rangle_\mu = \xi \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \langle \chi_{i'}, \chi_j \rangle_\mu \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^N C_{i',j}^\tau b_j = \xi \sum_{j=1}^N C_{i',j}^0 b_j \end{aligned}$$

□

## The relation of $C^\tau$ and $C^0$ to MSMs and TICA

Remembering the integral expressions from last week's lecture, we can rewrite

$$C_{i,j}^0 = \langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_\mu = \int_{\Omega} dx \mu(x) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(x) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j}^\tau &= \langle T\chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_\mu \\
&= \int_{\Omega} dy \mu(y) (T\chi_i)(y) \chi_j(y) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} dy \mu(y) \frac{1}{\mu(y)} \int_{\Omega} dx p(x, y) \mu(x) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(y) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} dy \int_{\Omega} dx \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x, X_1 = y) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(y).
\end{aligned}$$

To understand the relation between  $C^\tau$ ,  $C^0$  and Markov state models, we define a decomposition of the configuration space,

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{S}_i,$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_i \cap \mathcal{S}_j = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

and choose indicator functions as basis:

$$\chi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathcal{S}_i \\ 0, & x \notin \mathcal{S}_i. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

By substituting this choice into the integral expressions, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j}^0 &= \int_{\Omega} dx \mu(x) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(x) \\
&= \delta_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} dx \mu(x) \chi_i(x) \\
&= \delta_{i,j} \int_{\mathcal{S}_i} dx \mu(x) \\
&= \delta_{i,j} \pi_i
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{i,j}^0 &= \int_{\Omega} dy \int_{\Omega} dx \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x, X_1 = y) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{S}_j} dy \int_{\mathcal{S}_i} dx \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x, X_1 = y) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(X_0 \in \mathcal{S}_i, X_1 \in \mathcal{S}_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(C^0)^{-1}$  exists and we can write

$$\left((C^0)^{-1} C^\tau\right)_{i,j} = \frac{C_{i,j}^\tau}{C_{i,j}^0} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_0 \in \mathcal{S}_i, X_1 \in \mathcal{S}_j)}{\mathbb{P}(X_0 \in \mathcal{S}_i)} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \in \mathcal{S}_j | X_0 \in \mathcal{S}_i), \quad (7)$$

and we have recovered a transition matrix from  $C^\tau$  and  $C^0$  via the variational principle.

The time-lagged independent component analysis (TICA) is a method for dimensionality reduction. In case of TICA, we choose the basis of the configuration space  $\Omega$  as  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N$  and sample the matrices  $C^\tau$  and  $C^0$  from trajectory data:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,j}^0 &= \int_{\Omega} dx \mu(x) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(x) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{t=1}^M \chi_i(x_t) \chi_j(x_t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,j}^\tau &= \int_{\Omega} dy \int_{\Omega} dx \mathbb{P}(X_0 = x, X_1 = y) \chi_i(x) \chi_j(y) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{M-1} \sum_{t=1}^{M-1} \chi_i(x_t) \chi_j(x_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$