# Problem (13.7)

In this problem we consider the Gaussian (or normal) distribution  $N(\xi, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , i.e. the probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  with density

$$f_{\varepsilon,\sigma^2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\xi)^2\right), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ . We start by focusing on the case where  $\xi = 0$ , and  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , and we consider accordingly a random variable X on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , which is Gaussian distributed with parameters (0, 1).

(a) Use Dominated Convergence and integration by parts to verify the identity:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{p-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

for all p in  $\mathbb{N}$ , such that  $p \geq 2$ .

(b) Use an induction argument to verify that for any p in  $\mathbb{N}$ , it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = \begin{cases} (p-1)(p-3)\cdots 1, & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

- (c) Show that if Y is a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , which is Gaussian distributed with parameters  $(\xi, \sigma^2)$ , then the random variable  $(\sigma^2)^{-1/2} (Y \xi)$  is Gaussian distributed with parameters (0, 1).
- (d) Assume that Y is a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , which is Gaussian distributed with parameters  $(\xi, \sigma^2)$ . Argue then that Y has finite p' th moment for any p in  $\mathbb{N}$ , and calculate  $\mathbb{E}[Y]$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}[Y]$  and the moments  $\mathbb{E}[Y^3]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y^4]$ .

### Solution

#### Part (a)

Since exp is a positive operation, we use monotone convergence to rewrite the integral:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-n}^n x^p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

We now use integration by parts:

$$\int udv = uv - \int vdu$$

We identify:

$$u = x^{p-1} \Rightarrow du = (p-1)x^{p-2}$$

$$dv = xe^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \Rightarrow v = -e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$$

So we insert this into the formula:

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \left[ -x^{p-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right]_{-n}^n - \int_{-n}^n -(p-1)x^{p-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \left[ -x^{p-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right]_{-n}^n + \int_{-n}^n (p-1)x^{p-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{-n}^n (p-1)x^{p-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{p-2} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

### Part (b)

Case 1: p = 1

We use our result in a) to rewrite the expectation of the p'th moment to:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^1] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x) = 0$$

Or just because X has mean 0.

Case 2: p = 2 We use our result in a):

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

We notice that this is just the density of a N(0,1) distribution, and we know that it integrates to 1. Therefore:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = 1$$

**Inductive step:** Assume that the formula holds for some p = k. We now need to show that it holds for k + 1.

Case 1: k is even If k is even we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = (k-1)(k-3)\cdots 1$$

And we must show that  $\mathbb{E}[X^{k+1}] = 0$  since k+1 is odd. In a) we showed that if  $p \geq 2$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X^p] = (p-1)\mathbb{E}[X^{p-2}]$$

By this recursive structure, if we keep doing it we will end up where  $\mathbb{E}[X^{p-n}] = \mathbb{E}[X^1] = 0$  so therefore it holds for p = k + 1 odd.

Case 2: k is odd If k is odd we have k+1 is even. In a we showed that:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{p-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

for all p in  $\mathbb{N}$ , such that  $p \geq 2$ , and thus:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x) = (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{p-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

So if we put in k+1 in we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{k+1}] = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) \lambda(\mathrm{d}x)$$

Which is  $(k+1) - 1(E[X^{k-1}])$  and so on. So by the recursive nature of the moments, we have that it holds for p = k+1 if k+1 is even.

### Part (c)

Let  $Y \sim N(\xi, \sigma^2)$ , so the density function is given by:

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y-\xi)^2\right), \quad (y \in \mathbb{R})$$

Now we consider random variable  $Z = (\sigma^2)^{-0.5} (Y - \xi) = \frac{Y - \xi}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}}$ So the inverse is equal to  $Y = \xi + \sqrt{\sigma^2} Z$  with  $\frac{dY}{dZ} = \sqrt{\sigma^2}$ By 13.3.7 we have

$$f_Z(z) = f_Y(\xi + \sqrt{\sigma^2}z) \left| \frac{d}{dz} (\xi + \sqrt{\sigma^2}z) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((\xi + \sqrt{\sigma^2}z) - \xi)^2\right) \sqrt{\sigma^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((\xi + \sqrt{\sigma^2}z) - \xi)^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right)$$

Which is the desired result.

#### Part (d)

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \xi$$
$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \sigma^2$$
$$\mathbb{E}[Y^3] = \xi^3 + 3\xi\sigma^2$$
$$\mathbb{E}[Y^4] = 3\sigma^2\xi^3 + 6\xi^2\sigma^2 + \xi^4$$

# Problem (13.10)

Let X be a random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , and assume that  $X \in \mathcal{L}^2(P)$ .

- (a) Show that  $Var[X] = E[X(X-1)] \mathbb{E}[X](\mathbb{E}[X]-1)$ .
- (b) Use (a) to calculate Var[X], when X has a binomial distribution.
- (c) Use (a) to calculate Var[X], when X has a Poisson distribution.

## Solution

### Part (a)

Recall that

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$$

And express  $X^2 = X(X-1) + X$  Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] + \mathbb{E}[X]$$

We now input this into our equation for the variance:

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] + \mathbb{E}[X] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^{2}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] + \mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] - \mathbb{E}[X](\mathbb{E}[X] - 1)$$

### Part (b)

We have  $\mathbb{E}[X] = np$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] = n(n-1)^2$ So by (a) we have:

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X(X - 1)] - \mathbb{E}[X](\mathbb{E}[X] - 1)$$

$$= n(n - 1)p^{2} - np(np - 1)$$

$$= n(n - 1)p^{2} - np^{2} + np$$

$$= np(1 - p)$$

## Part (c)

We have  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \lambda$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] = \lambda^2$ So by (a) we have:

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X(X - 1)] - \mathbb{E}[X](\mathbb{E}[X] - 1)$$
$$= \lambda^2 - \lambda(\lambda - 1)$$
$$= \lambda^2 - (\lambda^2 - \lambda)$$
$$= \lambda$$

# Problem (13.12)

Let  $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be two sequences of random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Assume further that

$$P(|X_n - Y_n| > n^{-1}) \le n^{-2}$$
 for all  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Show then that the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) There exists a random variable X on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $X_n \to X$  P-n.o.
- (b) There exists a random variable Y on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $Y_n \to YP$ -n.o. Show also that if (a) and (b) are satisfied, then X = YP-n.o.

## Solution

### Part (a)

We have that it is enough to show one of the ways, since we have not assumed anything about the sequence  $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  that we have not assumed about  $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore we only show  $(a)\Rightarrow(b)$ . Note that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - Y_n| > n^{-1}) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty$$

So we use Borel-Cantelli I, where we set  $F_n = \{|X_n - Y_1| > n^{-1}\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n) = 0$ So if  $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ , then

$$U^C = \{ \omega \in \Omega : |X_n(\omega) - Y_n(\omega)| \le n^- \text{1for n large enough} \}$$

We set  $A = U^C \cap \{X_n \to X\}$  so for  $\omega \in A$  there exists  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|X_n(\omega) - Y_n(\omega)| \le \frac{1}{n} \forall n \ge N'$$

So  $(X_n(\omega))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges, it is a cauchy sequence. We now let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and choose  $N'' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

and

$$|X_n(\omega) - X_m(\omega)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{3} \forall n, m \ge N''$$

We now set  $N = \max\{N', N''\}$ . Then we have for  $n, m \ge N$  it holds that:

$$|Y_n(\omega) - Y_m(\omega)| \le |Y_n(\omega) - X_n(\omega)| + |X_n(\omega) - X_m(\omega)| + |X_m(\omega) - Y_m(\omega)| \le 3\frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

So  $(Y_n(\omega))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a cauchy sequence, so it converges. We set  $Y = \lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n \mathbb{1}_A$ . Then we have by 4.3.7(ii) that Y is a stochastic variable. Since we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(A^C) \le \mathbb{P}(U) + \mathbb{P}(\{X_n \to X\}^C) = 0 + 0 = 0$$

So  $Y_n \to Y$  p-a.e., so (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b)

Now assume (a) and (b) both holds. Then we have ofr

$$B = \omega \in \{X_n \to X\} \cap \{Y_n \to Y\} \cap U^C$$

it holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$|X(\omega) - Y(\omega)| \le |X(\omega) - X_n(\omega)| + |X_n(\omega) - Y_n(\omega)| + |Y_n(\omega) - Y(\omega)|$$

and since the RHS tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$  then  $X(\omega) = Y(\omega)$  and since  $\mathbb{P}(B^C) = 0$  as per the same argument as above, then X = Y p-a.e.

# Problem (13.15)

Let X and Y be random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

- (a) Assume that  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}^2(P)$ . Show then that if X and Y are independent, it holds that Cov[X, Y] = 0, and that Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y].
- (b) Show that X and Y are independent, if and only if Cov[f(X), g(Y)] = 0 for all bounded Borel functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Hint for the "if-part": Let f and g be indicator functions.

### Solution

### Part (a)

It follows from corollary 13.5.6(i) that  $XY \in \mathcal{L}^1(P)$ , since X and Y are independent and has the second moment, and it further holds that:

$$Cov[X, Y] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$$

and therefore it follows that:

$$Var[X+Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] - 2Cov[X,Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] - 2 \cdot 0 = Var[X] + Var[Y]$$

### Part (b)

First we show  $\Rightarrow$ . Assume X and Y are independent and let  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded borel-functions, then it follows from remark 13.5.2(ii) that f(X) and g(Y) are independent, and since f, g are bounded,  $f(X), g(Y) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{P})$ , and then by (a), Cov[f(X), g(Y)] = 0.

We now show  $\Leftarrow$ . Assume Cov[f(X), g(Y)] = 0 for all Borel-functions  $f, g : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathbf{1}_A, \mathbf{1}_B$  are bounded Borel-functions, then it follows that

$$0 = \operatorname{Cov} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{A}(X), \mathbf{1}_{B}(Y) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{A}(X) \mathbf{1}_{B}(Y) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{A}(X) \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{B}(Y) \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{X \in A, Y \in B\}} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{X \in A\}} \right] \mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{|Y \in B\}} \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(X \in A, Y \in B) - \mathbb{P}(X \in A) \mathbb{P}(Y \in B)$$
$$\Longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(X \in A, Y \in B) = \mathbb{P}(X \in A) \mathbb{P}(Y \in B)$$

so X and Y are independent.

# Problem (13.16)

Let X be a random variable defined on a probability space et  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , and assume that the distribution of X is the uniform distribution on [-1, 1], i.e. the measure with density

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(t), \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Show that  $Cov[X, X^2] = 0$ .
- (b) Decide whether X and  $X^2$  are independent.

### Solution

## Part (a)

We first see that  $X, X^2 \in \mathcal{L}^2(P)$  since  $f_X$  is bounded. Since  $x \mapsto x^2, x^3$  are borel functions, 13.3.6 entails that  $x \mapsto x, x^3$  are uneven functions, and it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Cov}[X, X^2] &= \mathbb{E}[X^3] - \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[X^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x^3 dx - \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x \cdot x^2 dx\right) \\ &= 0 - 0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x^3 dx\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

### Part (b)

No. We see that the mean for  $X \cdot X^2 = X^3$  does not split in one product since:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^3] = \int_{-1}^1 x \cdot x^3 \, dx = \int_{-1}^1 x^4 \, dx = \frac{2}{5}$$

but  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = 0$ 

# Problem (13.17)

Let X be a random variable defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , and assume that  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ .

(a) Prove Chebychev's inequality:

$$P(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} Var[X]$$

for any positive number  $\epsilon$ .

(b) Assume that  $X_1, X_2, X_3, ...$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the same distribution as X. Prove then for any positive number  $\epsilon$  that

$$P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}X_{k}-\mathbf{E}\right|X\right|\right|>\epsilon\right)\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0$$

This result is a version of the so-called Weak Law of Large Numbers; compare with Proposition 13.6.1.

#### Solution

#### Part (a)

We first notice that  $\Delta = \{(y, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \in R\}$  is a  $\lambda_2$ -null set as per remark 13.3.5 and since X and Y are independent, (X, Y) are absolutely continuous by example 13.5.7(A). So it follows from proposition 5.3.6(ii) that

$$\mathbf{P}(X = Y) = \mathbb{P}((X, Y) \in \Delta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{M}_{\Delta} d\mathbb{P}_{(X, Y)}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{(X, Y)}(x, y) \mathbf{1}_{\Delta}(x, y) \lambda_2(dx, dy) = 0$$

### Part (b)

Since  $\mathbf{P}(X = Y) = 0$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{P}(X < Y) + \mathbb{P}(X > Y) = 1$ , and since X and Y are identically distributed,  $\mathbb{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_Y$ , since X and Y are independent, and  $\mathbf{P}_{(X,Y)} = \mathbf{P}_X \otimes \mathbf{P}_Y$ , then it holds that,

$$\mathbf{P}(X < Y) = \mathbb{P}_{(X,Y)} \left( \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < y \right\} \right)$$

$$= (\mathbb{P}_X \otimes \mathbb{P}_Y) \left( \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < y \right\} \right)$$

$$= (\mathbb{P}_Y \otimes \mathbb{P}_X) \left( \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < y \right\} \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}_{(Y,X)} \left( \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < y \right\} \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(X > Y)$$

and therefore we have:

$$2\mathbb{P}(X < Y) = \mathbb{P}(X < Y) + \mathbb{P}(X > Y) = 1 \Longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(X < Y) = \mathbb{P}(X > Y) = \frac{1}{2}$$