

# LARGEST SMITH NUMBER

MARLON TRIFUNOVIC

ABSTRACT. We find large Smith numbers by explicitly calculating digit sums through several methods relying on computer programs. This paper explicitly constructs a Smith number with 130,123,390,485 digits, exceeding previous record of 32,066,910 digits.

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Notation and Basic Facts	1
3.	An update on Costello 2002	1
4.	Best by adding coefficients	3
5.	Best by overlapping coefficients	3
	References	3

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Smith number is defined by A. Wilansky as “a composite number the sum of whose digits is the sum of all digits of all its prime factors”[5].

## 2. NOTATION AND BASIC FACTS

The following notation and basic facts are taken from Patrick Costello [3]. For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $S(n)$  denote the sum of the digits of  $n$ . For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $S_p(n)$  denote the sum of digits of the prime factorization of  $n$ . For example,  $S(12) = 1 + 2 = 3$  and  $S_p(12) = S_p(2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3) = 2 + 2 + 3 = 7$ .

## 3. AN UPDATE ON COSTELLO 2002

Patrick Costello was able to construct a 32,066,910 digit Smith number by using the known prime repunit  $R_{1031}$  and Chris Caldwell’s large palindromic prime  $M = 10^{28572} + 8 \cdot 10^{14286} + 1$ . I will briefly go through the similar steps as Costello with more recently verified primes to construct a new largest Smith number.

Firstly, two facts are necessary

**Fact 1** (Lewis [??]). If you multiply  $9R_n$  by any natural number less than  $9R_n$ , then the digit sum is  $9n$ , i.e.,  $S(M \cdot 9R_n) = 9M = S(9R_n)$  when  $M < 9R_n$ .

**Fact 2** (Wayland, Oltikar [??]). If  $S(u) > S_p(u)$  and  $S(u) = S_p(u) \pmod{7}$ , then  $10^k \cdot u$  is a Smith number, where  $k = \frac{S(u) - S_p(u)}{7}$ .

---

*Date:* June 8, 2022.

Chris Caldwell's list of large proven primes[?] lists the prime  $M = 3 \cdot 10^{665829} + 1$ . It additionally lists the large prime repunit  $R_{49081}$  discovered and proven by Paul Underwood[?]. Notice that  $M$  is not palindromic and requires different method for bounding coefficients than Costello's 2002 paper.

For a power  $t$ , the term  $M^t$  can be represented as a sum of coefficients multiplied by powers of  $10^{665829}$ .

$$M^t = \sum_{k=0}^t c_k 10^{665829k}, \quad c_k = \binom{t}{k} 3^k$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $k_0 = \lceil \frac{3t-1}{4} \rceil$ , then  $c_{k_0} \geq c_k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq t$ .*

*Proof.* Define the ratio of coefficients  $r_k = \frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k} \\ &= \frac{\binom{t}{k+1} 3^{k+1}}{\binom{t}{k} 3^k} \\ &= \frac{3 \frac{1}{(k+1)!(t-(k+1))!}}{\frac{1}{k!(t-k)!}} \\ &= \frac{3(t-k)}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $b_k$  is a decreasing function with  $b_0 > 1$  and  $b_{t-1} < 1$ . Let  $k_0 = \lceil \frac{3t-1}{4} \rceil$ , then  $k_0$  is the minimal integer so that  $b_{k_0} < 1$ . Therefor,  $c_{k_0}$  is the coefficient with largest value.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $N = 9R_{49081}M^t$  for a power  $t \leq 81525$ , then for all  $0 \leq k \leq t$ ,  $c_k < 9R_{49081}$  and  $9R_{49081}c_k < 10^{665829}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t_0 = 81525$ , then by explicit calculation,  $c_{k_0}^{t_0} < 9R_{49081}$  while  $c_{k_0}^{t_0+1} \geq 9R_{49081}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &\leq c_{k_0} \\ &\leq \binom{t}{k_0} 3^{k_0} \\ &\leq \binom{t}{\lceil \frac{3t-1}{4} \rceil} 3^{\lceil \frac{3t-1}{4} \rceil} \end{aligned}$$

Define that last line as  $f(t)$ , an increasing function. By explicit calculation,

$$f(81525) < 9R_{49081} < f(81526)$$

$\square$

Now suppose  $N = 9R_{49081}M^t$  for a power  $t \leq 81525$ . We know each coefficient  $c_k < 9R_{49081}$  and  $9R_{49081}c_k < 10^{665829}$ . The latter constraint means the digit sum of  $N$  is the sum of the digit sums of  $9R_{49081}c_k$ . The first constraint allows us to apply fact 1 to prove the digit sum of  $9R_{49081}c_k$  is  $9 \cdot 49081$ . Since  $k$  varies from  $0 \leq k \leq t$ , then

$$S(N) = (t+1) \cdot 9 \cdot 49081$$

The prime factorization of  $N$  is simply  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot R_{49081} \cdot M^t$ . Keeping in mind  $S(M) = 4$ , then

$$S_p(N) = 3 + 3 + 49081 + 4t$$

Note that  $S(N) > S_p(N)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} S(N) - S_p(N) &= (t + 1) \cdot 9 \cdot 49081 - (3 + 3 + 49081 + 4t) \\ &= 441725t + 392642 \\ &= 4t + 5 \pmod{7} \\ &= 4(t + 3) \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $t = 81519$ , then  $t \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$  and  $t \leq 81525$ . By above,  $S(N) - S_p(N) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . Calculate  $k$

$$k = \frac{S(N) - S_p(N)}{7} = \frac{441725t + 392642}{7} = 5144196131$$

then Fact 2 to proves  $10^k N$  is a Smith number. This Smith number has 130,123,390,485 digits.

#### 4. BEST BY ADDING COEFFICIENTS

#### 5. BEST BY OVERLAPPING COEFFICIENTS

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Amin Witno, *Another Simple Construction of Smith Numbers*, Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences **22** (2010), no. 2, 97 – 101, DOI 10.35834/mjms/1312233139.
- [2] Patrick Costello, *Smith Numbers From Primes with Small Digits*, Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences **27** (2015), no. 1, 10 – 15, DOI 10.35834/mjms/1449161363.
- [3] Patrick Costello, *A new largest Smith number*, The Fibonacci Quarterly **40** (2002).
- [4] Kathy Sharon Lewis, *Smith numbers: an infinite subset of  $N$* , Eastern Kentucky University, 1994.
- [5] A. Wilansky, *Smith Numbers*, The Two-Year College Mathematics Journal **13** (1982), no. 1, 21-21, DOI 10.1080/00494925.1982.11972573.