

Lecture 29

Prop 28.4. 1. Want to show $1_S \in \text{im} \varphi$. By definition of φ , $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ which implies $1_S \in \text{im} \varphi$. (The rest of this proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 16.1 for groups.

2. (\implies) Suppose $\ker \varphi$ is a subring. By definition of subring, $1_R \in \ker \varphi$. Therefore $\varphi(1_R) = 0_S$. On the other hand, $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ by definition of ring homomorphism. Let $s \in S$. Then $s = s \cdot 1_S = s \cdot \varphi(1_R) = s \cdot 0_S$. Thus by Proposition 26.3, $s = 0_S$ and therefore $S = \{0_S\}$.

(\impliedby) Suppose $S = \{0_S\}$. Then $\forall r \in R$, $\varphi(r) = 0_S$. Therefore $\ker \varphi = R$. Every ring is a subring of itself. ■

Definition (29.1). Let R be a ring. A subset $I \subseteq R$ is an **ideal** iff

1. I is an additive subgroup of R , i.e. $(I, +, 0_R) \leq (R, +, 0_R)$ and
2. $\forall a \in I$ and $\forall r \in R$, $ra \in I$ and $ar \in I$.

We write $I \trianglelefteq R$.

Examples

1. Let R be a ring. Then $0 = \{0_R\}$ and R are both ideals of R .
2. Let $n \geq 1$. Then $n\mathbb{Z} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal.
3. Let $R = \mathbb{R}[x]$, let $g \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, and let $I := \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] : g|f \text{ i.e. } \exists h \in \mathbb{R}[x] \text{ such that } f = gh\}$. Then $I \trianglelefteq \mathbb{R}[x]$.

Lecture 30

Non-Examples of Ideals

1. None of $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.
2. Let R be commutative. Then $R \leq R[x]$ is not an ideal (Axiom 2 does not hold).
3. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $C = 2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, Axiom 2 holds, but Axiom 1 fails since $3(1) + 2(-1) = 1 \notin C$.

Proposition (30.1). Let R be commutative, $I, J \trianglelefteq R$ be ideals.

1. $I \cup J$ is not, in general, an ideal of R . However, $I \cap J \trianglelefteq R$.
2. The subset $I + J := \{a + b \in R | a \in I, b \in J\}$ is an ideal of R .
3. The subset $IJ := \{a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \cdots + a_n b_n | n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in I, b_i \in J\}$ is an ideal of R .

Kernels Revisited

Proposition (30.2). Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then...

1. $\ker \varphi \trianglelefteq R$
2. $\ker \varphi = 0$ iff φ is injective.

Proof. 1. Since $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \forall a, b \in R$, φ is an additive group homomorphism. Therefore $\ker \varphi$ is an additive subgroup of $(R, +, 0_R)$ by Proposition 16.2.

2. This follows directly from Proposition 17.1. ■

Quotient Rings

Let $I \trianglelefteq R$ be an ideal. Forget about multiplication for a moment. We know $(I, +) \leq (R, +)$ is a subgroup.

Let $r \in R$. The left cosets of I are of the form $r + I := \{r + a \mid a \in I\}$. Recall that $R/I := \{r + I \mid r \in R\}$ is the set of left cosets. This is a group with respect to addition since $(R, +)$ is abelian.

Lecture 31

Generalize Construction of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as a Ring

Theorem (31.1 PROVE ON EXAM!).

1. The binary operation $\bar{*} : R/I \times R/I \rightarrow R/I$, $(r_1 + I)\bar{*}(r_2 + I) := r_1 r_2 + I$ is well-defined.
2. $(R/I, \bar{+}, 0_{R/I}, \bar{*}, 1_{R/I})$ is a ring, where $1_{R/I} := 1_R + I$.
3. The surjective function $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$, $\pi(r) := r + I$ is a ring homomorphism.

Proof. 1. Suppose $r_1 + I = r'_1 + I$ and $r_2 + I = r'_2 + I$ (1). Want to show $r_1 r_2 + I = r'_1 r'_2 + I$. Since $r_1 \in r_1 + I$, $r_2 \in r_2 + I$, (1) implies $\exists a_1, a_2 \in I$ such that $r_1 = r'_1 + a_1$, $r_2 = r'_2 + a_2$. Therefore $r_1 r_2 = (r'_1 + a_1)(r'_2 + a_2) = r'_1 r'_2 + r'_1 a_2 + a_1 r'_2 + a_1 a_2$. By Axiom 2 of Definition 29.1 of ideal, $r'_1 a_2, a_1 r'_2, a_1 a_2 \in I$. Axiom 1 implies $r_1 r_2 - r'_1 r'_2 \in I$. Therefore by PS5 #1, $r_1 r_2 + I = r'_1 r'_2 + I$.

2. Straightforward. Skip because this is L O N G.
3. Check the axioms of ring homomorphism:

- (a) $\pi(r_1 + r_2) = (r_1 + r_2) + I = (r_1 + I) \bar{+} (r_2 + I) = \pi(r_1) \bar{+} \pi(r_2)$.
- (b) $\pi(r_1 r_2) = r_1 r_2 + I = (r_1 + I) \bar{*} (r_2 + I) = \pi(r_1) \bar{*} \pi(r_2)$.
- (c) $\pi(1_R) = 1_R + I = 1_{R/I}$.

■

Example (Non-Example). (Replace ideal with a subring in R/I). Consider: $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Q}$ and the quotient group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . $(q_1 + \mathbb{Z}) \bar{+} (q_2 + \mathbb{Z}) = (q_1 + q_2) + \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}) \bar{+} (\frac{1}{3} + \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{6} + \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} = \frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(\frac{3}{2} + \mathbb{Z}) \bar{+} (\frac{1}{3} + \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \neq \frac{1}{6} + \mathbb{Z}$.

1st Isomorphism Theorem for Rings

Theorem (31.2). let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then the function $\bar{\varphi} : R/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi$, $\bar{\varphi}(r + \ker \varphi) := \varphi(r)$ is a well-defined ring isomorphism.