FUNDAMENTALS OF BIOCHEMISTRY

LIFE AT THE MOLECULAR LEVEL

5TH EDITION

DONALD VOET • JUDITH G. VOET • CHARLOTTE W. PRATT

WILEY

One- and Three-Letter Symbols for the Amino Acids[a]

A	Ala	Alanine
B	Asx	Asparagine or aspartic acid
C	Cys	Cysteine
D	Asp	Aspartic acid
E	Glu	Glutamic acid
F	Phe	Phenylalanine
G	Gly	Glycine
H	His	Histidine
I	Ile	Isoleucine
K	Lys	Lysine
L	Leu	Leucine
M	Met	Methionine
N	Asn	Asparagine
P	Pro	Proline
Q	Gln	Glutamine
R	Arg	Arginine
S	Ser	Serine
T	Thr	Threonine
V	Val	Valine
W	Trp	Tryptophan
Y	Tyr	Tyrosine
Z	Glx	Glutamine or glutamic acid

[a]The one-letter symbol for an undetermined or nonstandard amino acid is X.

Thermodynamic Constants and Conversion Factors

***Joule* (J)**

$1\ \mathrm{J} = 1\ \mathrm{kg{\cdot}m^2{\cdot}s^{-2}}$ $\quad$ $1\ \mathrm{J} = 1\ \mathrm{C{\cdot}V}$ (coulomb volt)

$1\ \mathrm{J} = 1\ \mathrm{N{\cdot}m}$ (newton meter)

***Calorie* (cal)**

1 cal heats 1 g of H_2O from 14.5 to 15.5°C

$1\ \mathrm{cal} = 4.184\ \mathrm{J}$

***Large calorie* (Cal)**

$1\ \mathrm{Cal} = 1\ \mathrm{kcal}$ $\quad$ $1\ \mathrm{Cal} = 4184\ \mathrm{J}$

Avogadro's number (N)

$N = 6.0221 \times 10^{23}\ \mathrm{molecules{\cdot}mol^{-1}}$

***Coulomb* (C)**

$1\ \mathrm{C} = 6.241 \times 10^{18}$ electron charges

***Faraday* ($\mathscr{F}$)**

$1\ \mathscr{F} = N$ electron charges

$1\ \mathscr{F} = 96{,}485\ \mathrm{C{\cdot}mol^{-1}} = 96{,}485\ \mathrm{J{\cdot}V^{-1}{\cdot}mol^{-1}}$

***Kelvin temperature scale* (K)**

0 K = absolute zero $\quad$ 273.15 K = 0°C

Boltzmann constant (k_B)

$k_B = 1.3807 \times 10^{-23}\ \mathrm{J{\cdot}K^{-1}}$

Gas constant (R)

$R = Nk_B$ $\quad$ $R = 1.9872\ \mathrm{cal{\cdot}K^{-1}{\cdot}mol^{-1}}$

$R = 8.3145\ \mathrm{J{\cdot}K^{-1}{\cdot}mol^{-1}}$ $\quad$ $R = 0.08206\ \mathrm{L{\cdot}atm{\cdot}K^{-1}{\cdot}mol^{-1}}$

The Standard Genetic Code

First Position (5′ end)	*Second Position*				*Third Position (3′ end)*
	U	C	A	G	
U	UUU Phe	UCU Ser	UAU Tyr	UGU Cys	U
	UUC Phe	UCC Ser	UAC Tyr	UGC Cys	C
	UUA Leu	UCA Ser	UAA Stop	UGA Stop	A
	UUG Leu	UCG Ser	UAG Stop	UGG Trp	G
C	CUU Leu	CCU Pro	CAU His	CGU Arg	U
	CUC Leu	CCC Pro	CAC His	CGC Arg	C
	CUA Leu	CCA Pro	CAA Gln	CGA Arg	A
	CUG Leu	CCG Pro	CAG Gln	CGG Arg	G
A	AUU Ile	ACU Thr	AAU Asn	AGU Ser	U
	AUC Ile	ACC Thr	AAC Asn	AGC Ser	C
	AUA Ile	ACA Thr	AAA Lys	AGA Arg	A
	AUG Met[a]	ACG Thr	AAG Lys	AGG Arg	G
G	GUU Val	GCU Ala	GAU Asp	GGU Gly	U
	GUC Val	GCC Ala	GAC Asp	GGC Gly	C
	GUA Val	GCA Ala	GAA Glu	GGA Gly	A
	GUG Val	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	GGG Gly	G

[a]AUG forms part of the initiation signal as well as coding for internal Met residues.

FIFTH EDITION

Fundamentals of Biochemistry

LIFE AT THE MOLECULAR LEVEL

Donald Voet
University of Pennsylvania

Judith G Voet
Swarthmore College

Charlotte W. Pratt
Seattle Pacific University

WILEY

In memory of Alexander Rich (1924-2015), a trailblazing molecular biologist and a mentor to numerous eminent scientists

Vice President & Director: Petra Recter
Development Editor: Joan Kalkut
Associate Development Editor: Alyson Rentrop
Senior Marketing Manager: Kristine Ruff
Senior Production Editor: Elizabeth Swain
Senior Designers: Maddy Lesure and Tom Nery
Cover Designer: Tom Nery
Product Designer: Sean Hickey
Senior Product Designer: Geraldine Osnato
Photo Editor: Billy Ray

Cover molecular art credits (left to right): Bacteriorhodopsin, based on an X-ray structure determined by Nikolaus Grigorieff and Richard Henderson, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. Glutamine synthetase, based on an X-ray structure determined by David Eisenberg, UCLA. The KcsA K^+ channel based on an X-ray structure determined by Roderick MacKinnnon, Rockefeller University.

This book was typeset in 10.5/12 STIX at Aptara and printed and bound at Quad Versailles. The cover was printed by Quad Versailles.

Founded in 1807, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. has been a valued source of knowledge and understanding for more than 200 years, helping people around the world meet their needs and fulfill their aspirations. Our company is built on a foundation of principles that include responsibility to the communities we serve and where we live and work. In 2008, we launched a Corporate Citizenship Initiative, a global effort to address the environmental, social, economic, and ethical challenges we face in our business. Among the issues we are addressing are carbon impact, paper specifications and procurement, ethical conduct within our business and among our vendors, and community and charitable support. For more information, please visit our website: www.wiley.com/go/citizenship.

The paper in this book was manufactured by a mill whose forest management programs include sustained yield-harvesting of its timberlands. Sustained yield harvesting principles ensure that the number of trees cut each year does not exceed the amount of new growth.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

Copyright © 2016, 2013, 2008, 2006 by Donald Voet, Judith G. Voet, Charlotte W. Pratt

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying recording, scanning or otherwise, except as permitted under Sections 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 646-8600. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008.

Evaluation copies are provided to qualified academics and professionals for review purposes only, for use in their courses during the next academic year. These copies are licensed and may not be sold or transferred to a third party. Upon completion of the review period, please return the evaluation copy to Wiley. Return instructions and a free of charge return shipping label are available at www.wiley.com/go/returnlabel. If you have chosen to adopt this textbook for use in your course, please accept this book as your complimentary desk copy. Outside of the United States, please contact your local representative.

ISBN 978-1-118-91840-1

Binder-ready version ISBN 978-1-118-91843-2

Printed in the United States of America.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Donald Voet received his B.S. in Chemistry from the California Institute of Technology in 1960, a Ph.D. in Chemistry from Harvard University in 1966 under the direction of William Lipscomb, and then did his postdoctoral research in the Biology Department at MIT with Alexander Rich. Upon completion of his postdoc in 1969, Don became a faculty member in the Chemistry Department at the University of Pennsylvania, where he taught a variety of biochemistry courses as well as general chemistry and X-ray crystallography. Don's research has focused on the X-ray crystallography of molecules of biological interest. He has been a visiting scholar at Oxford University, U.K., the University of California at San Diego, and the Weizmann Institute of Science in Israel. Don is the coauthor of four previous editions of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry* (first published in 1999) as well as four editions of *Biochemistry*, a more advanced textbook (first published in 1990). Together with Judith G. Voet, Don was Co-Editor-in-Chief of the journal *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* from 2000 to 2014. He has been a member of the Education Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (IUBMB) and continues to be an invited speaker at numerous national and international venues. He, together with Judith G. Voet, received the 2012 award for Exemplary Contributions to Education from the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (ASBMB). His hobbies include backpacking, scuba diving, skiing, travel, photography, and writing biochemistry textbooks.

Judith ("Judy") Voet was educated in the New York City public schools, received her B.S. in Chemistry from Antioch College, and her Ph.D. in Biochemistry from Brandeis University under the direction of Robert H. Abeles. She did postdoctoral research at the University of Pennsylvania, Haverford College, and the Fox Chase Cancer Center. Judy's main area of research involves enzyme reaction mechanisms and inhibition. She taught biochemistry at the University of Delaware before moving to Swarthmore College, where she taught biochemistry, introductory chemistry, and instrumental methods for 26 years, reaching the position of James H. Hammons Professor of Chemistry and Biochemistry and twice serving as department chair before going on "permanent sabbatical leave." Judy has been a visiting scholar at Oxford University, U.K., University of California, San Diego, University of Pennsylvania, and the Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel. She is a coauthor of four previous editions of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry* and four editions of the more advanced text, *Biochemistry*. Judy was Co-Editor-in-Chief of the journal *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education* from 2000 to 2014. She has been a National Councilor for the American Chemical Society (ACS) Biochemistry Division, a member of the Education and Professional Development Committee of the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (ASBMB), and a member of the Education Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (IUBMB). She, together with Donald Voet, received the 2012 award for Exemplary Contributions to Education from the ASBMB. Her hobbies include hiking, backpacking, scuba diving, tap dancing, and playing the Gyil (an African xylophone).

Charlotte Pratt received her B.S. in Biology from the University of Notre Dame and her Ph.D. in Biochemistry from Duke University under the direction of Salvatore Pizzo. Although she originally intended to be a marine biologist, she discovered that biochemistry offered the most compelling answers to many questions about biological structure–function relationships and the molecular basis for human health and disease. She conducted postdoctoral research in the Center for Thrombosis and Hemostasis at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. She has taught at the University of Washington and currently teaches and supervises undergraduate researchers at Seattle Pacific University. Developing new teaching materials for the classroom and student laboratory is a long-term interest. In addition to working as an editor of several biochemistry textbooks, she has co-authored *Essential Biochemistry* and previous editions of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry*. When not teaching or writing, she enjoys hiking and gardening.

BRIEF CONTENTS

PART I **INTRODUCTION**

1 Introduction to the Chemistry of Life 1
2 Water 23

PART II **BIOMOLECULES**

3 Nucleotides, Nucleic Acids, and Genetic Information 42
4 Amino Acids 80
5 Proteins: Primary Structure 97
6 Proteins: Three-Dimensional Structure 131
7 Protein Function: Myoglobin and Hemoglobin, Muscle Contraction, and Antibodies 180
8 Carbohydrates 221
9 Lipids and Biological Membranes 245
10 Membrane Transport 293

PART III **ENZYMES**

11 Enzymatic Catalysis 322
12 Enzyme Kinetics, Inhibition, and Control 361
13 Biochemical Signaling 402

PART IV **METABOLISM**

14 Introduction to Metabolism 442
15 Glucose Catabolism 478
16 Glycogen Metabolism and Gluconeogenesis 523
17 Citric Acid Cycle 558
18 Electron Transport and Oxidative Phosphorylation 588
19 Photosynthesis: **Can be found at www.wiley.com/college/voet and in WileyPLUS Learning Space**
20 Lipid Metabolism 664
21 Amino Acid Metabolism 718
22 Mammalian Fuel Metabolism: Integration and Regulation 773

PART V **GENE EXPRESSION AND REPLICATION**

23 Nucleotide Metabolism 802
24 Nucleic Acid Structure 831
25 DNA Replication, Repair, and Recombination 879
26 Transcription and RNA Processing 938
27 Protein Synthesis 982
28 Regulation of Gene Expression 1033

Solutions: **Can be found at www.wiley.com/college/voet and in WileyPLUS Learning Space** **WPLS**

Glossary G-1
Index I-1

CONTENTS

Preface xv
Acknowledgments xix

PART I INTRODUCTION

1 Introduction to the Chemistry of Life 1

1 The Origin of Life 2
A. Biological Molecules Arose from Inanimate Substances 2
B. Complex Self-Replicating Systems Evolved from Simple Molecules 3

2 Cellular Architecture 5
A. Cells Carry Out Metabolic Reactions 6
B. There Are Two Types of Cells: Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes 7
C. Molecular Data Reveal Three Evolutionary Domains of Organisms 9
D. Organisms Continue to Evolve 10

3 Thermodynamics 11
A. The First Law of Thermodynamics States That Energy Is Conserved 11
B. The Second Law of Thermodynamics States That Entropy Tends to Increase 13
C. The Free Energy Change Determines the Spontaneity of a Process 14
D. Free Energy Changes Can Be Calculated from Reactant and Product Concentrations 16
E. Life Achieves Homeostasis While Obeying the Laws of Thermodynamics 18

BOX 1-1 Pathways of Discovery **Lynn Margulis and the Theory of Endosymbiosis** 10
BOX 1-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Biochemical Conventions** 12

2 Water 23

1 Physical Properties of Water 24
A. Water Is a Polar Molecule 24
B. Hydrophilic Substances Dissolve in Water 27
C. The Hydrophobic Effect Causes Nonpolar Substances to Aggregate in Water 27
D. Water Moves by Osmosis and Solutes Move by Diffusion 29

2 Chemical Properties of Water 31
A. Water Ionizes to Form H^+ and OH^- 32
B. Acids and Bases Alter the pH 33
C. Buffers Resist Changes in pH 36

BOX 2-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **The Consequences of Ocean Acidification** 34
BOX 2-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Blood Buffering System** 38

PART II BIOMOLECULES

3 Nucleotides, Nucleic Acids, and Genetic Information 42

1 Nucleotides 43

2 Introduction to Nucleic Acid Structure 46
A. Nucleic Acids Are Polymers of Nucleotides 46
B. DNA Forms a Double Helix 47
C. RNA Is a Single-Stranded Nucleic Acid 50

3 Overview of Nucleic Acid Function 50
A. DNA Carries Genetic Information 51
B. Genes Direct Protein Synthesis 51

4 Nucleic Acid Sequencing 53
A. Restriction Endonucleases Cleave DNA at Specific Sequences 54
B. Electrophoresis Separates Nucleic Acids According to Size 56
C. Traditional DNA Sequencing Uses the Chain-Terminator Method 57
D. Next-Generation Sequencing Technologies Are Massively Parallel 59
E. Entire Genomes Have Been Sequenced 62
F. Evolution Results from Sequence Mutations 63

5 Manipulating DNA 66
A. Cloned DNA Is an Amplified Copy 66
B. DNA Libraries Are Collections of Cloned DNA 70
C. DNA Is Amplified by the Polymerase Chain Reaction 71
D. Recombinant DNA Technology Has Numerous Practical Applications 72

BOX 3-1 Pathways to Discovery **Francis Collins and the Gene for Cystic Fibrosis** 61
BOX 3-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **DNA Fingerprinting** 73
BOX 3-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Ethical Aspects of Recombinant DNA Technology** 75

4 Amino Acids 80

1 Amino Acid Structure 81
A. Amino Acids Are Dipolar Ions 84
B. Peptide Bonds Link Amino Acids 84
C. Amino Acid Side Chains Are Nonpolar, Polar, or Charged 84
D. The p*K* Values of Ionizable Groups Depend on Nearby Groups 86
E. Amino Acid Names Are Abbreviated 87

2 Stereochemistry 88

3 Amino Acid Derivatives 91
A. Protein Side Chains May Be Modified 92
B. Some Amino Acids Are Biologically Active 92

BOX 4-1 Pathways to Discovery **William C. Rose and the Discovery of Threonine** 81
BOX 4-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **The *RS* System** 90
BOX 4-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Green Fluorescent Protein** 93

5 Proteins: Primary Structure 97

1 Polypeptide Diversity 98

2 Protein Purification and Analysis 99
A. Purifying a Protein Requires a Strategy 100
B. Salting Out Separates Proteins by Their Solubility 102
C. Chromatography Involves Interaction with Mobile and Stationary Phases 103
D. Electrophoresis Separates Molecules According to Charge and Size 106
E. Ultracentrifugation Separates Macromolecules by Mass 108

3 Protein Sequencing 110
A. The First Step Is to Separate Subunits 110
B. The Polypeptide Chains Are Cleaved 114

C. Edman Degradation Removes a Peptide's N-Terminal Amino Acid Residue 114
D. Peptides Can Be Sequenced by Mass Spectrometry 117
E. Reconstructed Protein Sequences Are Stored in Databases 118

4 Protein Evolution 119

A. Protein Sequences Reveal Evolutionary Relationships 120
B. Proteins Evolve by the Duplication of Genes or Gene Segments 122

BOX 5-1 Pathways of Discovery **Frederick Sanger and Protein Sequencing** 112

6 Proteins: Three-Dimensional Structure 131

1 Secondary Structure 132

A. The Planar Peptide Group Limits Polypeptide Conformations 132
B. The Most Common Regular Secondary Structures Are the α Helix and the β Sheet 135
C. Fibrous Proteins Have Repeating Secondary Structures 140
D. Most Proteins Include Nonrepetitive Structure 144

2 Tertiary Structure 145

A. Protein Structures Are Determined by X-Ray Crystallography, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, and Cryo-Electron Microscopy 145
B. Side Chain Location Varies with Polarity 149
C. Tertiary Structures Contain Combinations of Secondary Structure 150
D. Structure Is Conserved More Than Sequence 154
E. Structural Bioinformatics Provides Tools for Storing, Visualizing, and Comparing Protein Structural Information 155

3 Quaternary Structure and Symmetry 158

4 Protein Stability 160

A. Proteins Are Stabilized by Several Forces 160
B. Proteins Can Undergo Denaturation and Renaturation 162
C. Proteins Are Dynamic 164

5 Protein Folding 165

A. Proteins Follow Folding Pathways 165
B. Molecular Chaperones Assist Protein Folding 168
C. Many Diseases Are Caused by Protein Misfolding 173

BOX 6-1 Pathways of Discovery **Linus Pauling and Structural Biochemistry** 136
BOX 6-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Collagen Diseases** 143
BOX 6-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Thermostable Proteins** 162
BOX 6-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Protein Structure Prediction and Protein Design** 167

7 Protein Function: Myoglobin and Hemoglobin, Muscle Contraction, and Antibodies 180

1 Oxygen Binding to Myoglobin and Hemoglobin 181

A. Myoglobin Is a Monomeric Oxygen-Binding Protein 181
B. Hemoglobin Is a Tetramer with Two Conformations 185
C. Oxygen Binds Cooperatively to Hemoglobin 187
D. Hemoglobin's Two Conformations Exhibit Different Affinities for Oxygen 190
E. Mutations May Alter Hemoglobin's Structure and Function 197

2 Muscle Contraction 200

A. Muscle Consists of Interdigitated Thick and Thin Filaments 201
B. Muscle Contraction Occurs when Myosin Heads Walk Up Thin Filaments 208
C. Actin Forms Microfilaments in Nonmuscle Cells 210

3 Antibodies 212

A. Antibodies Have Constant and Variable Regions 212
B. Antibodies Recognize a Huge Variety of Antigens 214

BOX 7-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Other Oxygen-Transport Proteins** 185
BOX 7-2 Pathways of Discovery **Max Perutz and the Structure and Function of Hemoglobin** 186
BOX 7-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **High-Altitude Adaptation** 195
BOX 7-4 Pathways of Discovery **Hugh Huxley and the Sliding Filament Model** 203
BOX 7-5 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Monoclonal Antibodies** 216

8 Carbohydrates 221

1 Monosaccharides 222

A. Monosaccharides Are Aldoses or Ketoses 222
B. Monosaccharides Vary in Configuration and Conformation 223
C. Sugars Can Be Modified and Covalently Linked 225

2 Polysaccharides 228

A. Lactose and Sucrose Are Disaccharides 228
B. Cellulose and Chitin Are Structural Polysaccharides 230
C. Starch and Glycogen Are Storage Polysaccharides 231
D. Glycosaminoglycans Form Highly Hydrated Gels 232

3 Glycoproteins 234

A. Proteoglycans Contain Glycosaminoglycans 235
B. Bacterial Cell Walls Are Made of Peptidoglycan 235
C. Many Eukaryotic Proteins Are Glycosylated 238
D. Oligosaccharides May Determine Glycoprotein Structure, Function, and Recognition 240

BOX 8-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Lactose Intolerance** 228
BOX 8-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Artificial Sweeteners** 229
BOX 8-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Peptidoglycan-Specific Antibiotics** 238

9 Lipids and Biological Membranes 245

1 Lipid Classification 246

A. The Properties of Fatty Acids Depend on Their Hydrocarbon Chains 246
B. Triacylglycerols Contain Three Esterified Fatty Acids 248
C. Glycerophospholipids Are Amphiphilic 249
D. Sphingolipids Are Amino Alcohol Derivatives 252
E. Steroids Contain Four Fused Rings 254
F. Other Lipids Perform a Variety of Metabolic Roles 256

2 Lipid Bilayers 259

A. Bilayer Formation Is Driven by the Hydrophobic Effect 259
B. Lipid Bilayers Have Fluidlike Properties 260

3 Membrane Proteins 262

A. Integral Membrane Proteins Interact with Hydrophobic Lipids 262
B. Lipid-Linked Proteins Are Anchored to the Bilayer 267
C. Peripheral Proteins Associate Loosely with Membranes 268

4 Membrane Structure and Assembly 269

A. The Fluid Mosaic Model Accounts for Lateral Diffusion 269
B. The Membrane Skeleton Helps Define Cell Shape 271
C. Membrane Lipids Are Distributed Asymmetrically 274
D. The Secretory Pathway Generates Secreted and Transmembrane Proteins 276
E. Intracellular Vesicles Transport Proteins 280
F. Proteins Mediate Vesicle Fusion 284

BOX 9-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Lung Surfactant** 251
BOX 9-2 Pathways of Discovery **Richard Henderson and the Structure of Bacteriorhodopsin** 265
BOX 9-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Tetanus and Botulinum Toxins Specifically Cleave SNAREs** 286

10 Membrane Transport 293

1 Thermodynamics of Transport 294

2 Passive-Mediated Transport 295

A. Ionophores Carry Ions across Membranes 295
B. Porins Contain β Barrels 297
C. Ion Channels Are Highly Selective 297
D. Aquaporins Mediate the Transmembrane Movement of Water 304
E. Transport Proteins Alternate between Two Conformations 305

3 Active Transport 309

A. The (Na^+–K^+)-ATPase Transports Ions in Opposite Directions 310
B. The Ca^{2+}–ATPase Pumps Ca^{2+} Out of the Cytosol 312
C. ABC Transporters Are Responsible for Drug Resistance 314
D. Active Transport May Be Driven by Ion Gradients 315

BOX 10-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Gap Junctions** 306
BOX 10-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Differentiating Mediated and Nonmediated Transport** 308
BOX 10-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Action of Cardiac Glycosides** 312

PART III ENZYMES

11 Enzymatic Catalysis 322

1 General Properties of Enzymes 323

A. Enzymes Are Classified by the Type of Reaction They Catalyze 324
B. Enzymes Act on Specific Substrates 324
C. Some Enzymes Require Cofactors 326

2 Activation Energy and the Reaction Coordinate 327

3 Catalytic Mechanisms 330

A. Acid–Base Catalysis Occurs by Proton Transfer 330
B. Covalent Catalysis Usually Requires a Nucleophile 334
C. Metal Ion Cofactors Act as Catalysts 335
D. Catalysis Can Occur through Proximity and Orientation Effects 336
E. Enzymes Catalyze Reactions by Preferentially Binding the Transition State 338

4 Lysozyme 339

A. Lysozyme's Catalytic Site Was Identified through Model Building 340
B. The Lysozyme Reaction Proceeds via a Covalent Intermediate 342

5 Serine Proteases 345

A. Active Site Residues Were Identified by Chemical Labeling 345
B. X-Ray Structures Provide Information about Catalysis, Substrate Specificity, and Evolution 346
C. Serine Proteases Use Several Catalytic Mechanisms 350
D. Zymogens Are Inactive Enzyme Precursors 355

BOX 11-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Drawing Reaction Mechanisms** 331
BOX 11-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Effects of pH on Enzyme Activity** 332
BOX 11-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Nerve Poisons** 346
BOX 11-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Blood Coagulation Cascade** 356

12 Enzyme Kinetics, Inhibition, and Control 361

1 Reaction Kinetics 362

A. Chemical Kinetics Is Described by Rate Equations 362
B. Enzyme Kinetics Often Follows the Michaelis–Menten Equation 364
C. Kinetic Data Can Provide Values of V_{max} and K_M 369
D. Bisubstrate Reactions Follow One of Several Rate Equations 372

2 Enzyme Inhibition 374

A. Competitive Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding at an Enzyme's Substrate Binding Site 374
B. Uncompetitive Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding to the Enzyme–Substrate Complex 380
C. Mixed Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding to Both the Free Enzyme and the Enzyme–Substrate Complex 381

3 Control of Enzyme Activity 382

A. Allosteric Control Involves Binding at a Site Other than the Active Site 383
B. Control by Covalent Modification Usually Involves Protein Phosphorylation 387

4 Drug Design 391

A. Drug Discovery Employs a Variety of Techniques 392
B. A Drug's Bioavailability Depends on How It Is Absorbed and Transported in the Body 393
C. Clinical Trials Test for Efficacy and Safety 393
D. Cytochromes P450 Are Often Implicated in Adverse Drug Reactions 395

BOX 12-1 Pathways of Discovery **J.B.S. Haldane and Enzyme Action** 366
BOX 12-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Kinetics and Transition State Theory** 369
BOX 12-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **HIV Enzyme Inhibitors** 376

13 Biochemical Signaling 402

1 Hormones 403

A. Pancreatic Islet Hormones Control Fuel Metabolism 404
B. Epinephrine and Norepinephrine Prepare the Body for Action 405
C. Steroid Hormones Regulate a Wide Variety of Metabolic and Sexual Processes 406
D. Growth Hormone Binds to Receptors in Muscle, Bone, and Cartilage 407

2 Receptor Tyrosine Kinases 408

A. Receptor Tyrosine Kinases Transmit Signals across the Cell Membrane 409
B. Kinase Cascades Relay Signals to the Nucleus 412
C. Some Receptors Are Associated with Nonreceptor Tyrosine Kinases 417
D. Protein Phosphatases Are Signaling Proteins in Their Own Right 420

3 Heterotrimeric G Proteins 423

A. G-Protein–Coupled Receptors Contain Seven Transmembrane Helices 424
B. Heterotrimeric G Proteins Dissociate on Activation 426
C. Adenylate Cyclase Synthesizes cAMP to Activate Protein Kinase A 427
D. Phosphodiesterases Limit Second Messenger Activity 432

4 The Phosphoinositide Pathway 432

A. Ligand Binding Results in the Cytoplasmic Release of the Second Messengers IP_3 and Ca^{2+} 433
B. Calmodulin Is a Ca^{2+}-Activated Switch 434
C. DAG Is a Lipid-Soluble Second Messenger That Activates Protein Kinase C 436
D. Epilog: Complex Systems Have Emergent Properties 437

BOX 13-1 Pathways of Discovery **Rosalyn Yalow and the Radioimmunoassay (RIA)** 404
BOX 13-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Receptor–Ligand Binding Can Be Quantitated** 410
BOX 13-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Oncogenes and Cancer** 416
BOX 13-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Drugs and Toxins That Affect Cell Signaling** 431

PART IV METABOLISM

14 Introduction to Metabolism 442

1 Overview of Metabolism 443

A. Nutrition Involves Food Intake and Use 443

B. Vitamins and Minerals Assist Metabolic Reactions 444
C. Metabolic Pathways Consist of Series of Enzymatic Reactions 445
D. Thermodynamics Dictates the Direction and Regulatory Capacity of Metabolic Pathways 449
E. Metabolic Flux Must Be Controlled 450

2 "High-Energy" Compounds 452
A. ATP Has a High Phosphoryl Group-Transfer Potential 454
B. Coupled Reactions Drive Endergonic Processes 455
C. Some Other Phosphorylated Compounds Have High Phosphoryl Group-Transfer Potentials 457
D. Thioesters Are Energy-Rich Compounds 460

3 Oxidation–Reduction Reactions 462
A. NAD^+ and FAD Are Electron Carriers 462
B. The Nernst Equation Describes Oxidation–Reduction Reactions 463
C. Spontaneity Can Be Determined by Measuring Reduction Potential Differences 465

4 Experimental Approaches to the Study of Metabolism 468
A. Labeled Metabolites Can Be Traced 468
B. Studying Metabolic Pathways Often Involves Perturbing the System 470
C. Systems Biology Has Entered the Study of Metabolism 471

BOX 14-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Oxidation States of Carbon** 447
BOX 14-2 Pathways of Discovery **Fritz Lipmann and "High-Energy" Compounds** 453
BOX 14-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **ATP and ΔG** 455

15 Glucose Catabolism 478

1 Overview of Glycolysis 479

2 The Reactions of Glycolysis 481
A. Hexokinase Uses the First ATP 482
B. Phosphoglucose Isomerase Converts Glucose-6-Phosphate to Fructose-6-Phosphate 482
C. Phosphofructokinase Uses the Second ATP 484
D. Aldolase Converts a 6-Carbon Compound to Two 3-Carbon Compounds 484
E. Triose Phosphate Isomerase Interconverts Dihydroxyacetone Phosphate and Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate 485
F. Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Forms the First "High-Energy" Intermediate 489
G. Phosphoglycerate Kinase Generates the First ATP 491
H. Phosphoglycerate Mutase Interconverts 3-Phosphoglycerate and 2-Phosphoglycerate 492
I. Enolase Forms the Second "High-Energy" Intermediate 493
J. Pyruvate Kinase Generates the Second ATP 494

3 Fermentation: The Anaerobic Fate of Pyruvate 497
A. Homolactic Fermentation Converts Pyruvate to Lactate 498
B. Alcoholic Fermentation Converts Pyruvate to Ethanol and CO_2 498
C. Fermentation Is Energetically Favorable 501

4 Regulation of Glycolysis 502
A. Phosphofructokinase Is the Major Flux-Controlling Enzyme of Glycolysis in Muscle 503
B. Substrate Cycling Fine-Tunes Flux Control 506

5 Metabolism of Hexoses Other than Glucose 508
A. Fructose Is Converted to Fructose-6-Phosphate or Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate 508
B. Galactose Is Converted to Glucose-6-Phosphate 510
C. Mannose Is Converted to Fructose-6-Phosphate 512

6 The Pentose Phosphate Pathway 512
A. Oxidative Reactions Produce NADPH in Stage 1 514
B. Isomerization and Epimerization of Ribulose-5-Phosphate Occur in Stage 2 515
C. Stage 3 Involves Carbon–Carbon Bond Cleavage and Formation 515
D. The Pentose Phosphate Pathway Must Be Regulated 518

BOX 15-1 Pathways of Discovery **Otto Warburg and Studies of Metabolism** 479
BOX 15-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Synthesis of 2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate in Erythrocytes and Its Effect on the Oxygen Carrying Capacity of the Blood** 494
BOX 15-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Glycolytic ATP Production in Muscle** 502
BOX 15-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency** 518

16 Glycogen Metabolism and Gluconeogenesis 523

1 Glycogen Breakdown 524

A. Glycogen Phosphorylase Degrades Glycogen to Glucose-1-Phosphate 525
B. Glycogen Debranching Enzyme Acts as a Glucosyltransferase 528
C. Phosphoglucomutase Interconverts Glucose-1-Phosphate and Glucose-6-Phosphate 529

2 Glycogen Synthesis 532
A. UDP–Glucose Pyrophosphorylase Activates Glucosyl Units 532
B. Glycogen Synthase Extends Glycogen Chains 533
C. Glycogen Branching Enzyme Transfers Seven-Residue Glycogen Segments 535

3 Control of Glycogen Metabolism 536
A. Glycogen Phosphorylase and Glycogen Synthase Are under Allosteric Control 536
B. Glycogen Phosphorylase and Glycogen Synthase Undergo Control by Covalent Modification 536
C. Glycogen Metabolism Is Subject to Hormonal Control 542

4 Gluconeogenesis 544
A. Pyruvate Is Converted to Phosphoenolpyruvate in Two Steps 545
B. Hydrolytic Reactions Bypass Irreversible Glycolytic Reactions 549
C. Gluconeogenesis and Glycolysis Are Independently Regulated 549

5 Other Carbohydrate Biosynthetic Pathways 551

BOX 16-1 Pathways of Discovery **Carl and Gerty Cori and Glucose Metabolism** 526
BOX 16-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Glycogen Storage Diseases** 530
BOX 16-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Optimizing Glycogen Structure** 537
BOX 16-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Lactose Synthesis** 552

17 Citric Acid Cycle 558

1 Overview of the Citric Acid Cycle 559

2 Synthesis of Acetyl-Coenzyme A 562
A. Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Is a Multienzyme Complex 562
B. The Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex Catalyzes Five Reactions 564

3 Enzymes of the Citric Acid Cycle 568
A. Citrate Synthase Joins an Acetyl Group to Oxaloacetate 568
B. Aconitase Interconverts Citrate and Isocitrate 570
C. NAD^+-Dependent Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Releases CO_2 571
D. α-Ketoglutarate Dehydrogenase Resembles Pyruvate Dehydrogenase 572
E. Succinyl-CoA Synthetase Produces GTP 572
F. Succinate Dehydrogenase Generates $FADH_2$ 574
G. Fumarase Produces Malate 574
H. Malate Dehydrogenase Regenerates Oxaloacetate 574

4 Regulation of the Citric Acid Cycle 575
A. Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Is Regulated by Product Inhibition and Covalent Modification 576

B. Three Enzymes Control the Rate of the Citric Acid Cycle 577

5 Reactions Related to the Citric Acid Cycle 579

A. Other Pathways Use Citric Acid Cycle Intermediates 580
B. Some Reactions Replenish Citric Acid Cycle Intermediates 581
C. The Glyoxylate Cycle Shares Some Steps with the Citric Acid Cycle 582

BOX 17-1 Pathways of Discovery **Hans Krebs and the Citric Acid Cycle** 561
BOX 17-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Arsenic Poisoning** 568
BOX 17-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Evolution of the Citric Acid Cycle** 582

18 Electron Transport and Oxidative Phosphorylation 588

1 The Mitochondrion 589

A. Mitochondria Contain a Highly Folded Inner Membrane 590
B. Ions and Metabolites Enter Mitochondria via Transporters 591

2 Electron Transport 593

A. Electron Transport Is an Exergonic Process 593
B. Electron Carriers Operate in Sequence 594
C. Complex I Accepts Electrons from NADH 597
D. Complex II Contributes Electrons to Coenzyme Q 601
E. Complex III Translocates Protons via the Q Cycle 602
F. Complex IV Reduces Oxygen to Water 607

3 Oxidative Phosphorylation 609

A. The Chemiosmotic Theory Links Electron Transport to ATP Synthesis 610
B. ATP Synthase Is Driven by the Flow of Protons 613
C. The P/O Ratio Relates the Amount of ATP Synthesized to the Amount of Oxygen Reduced 618
D. Oxidative Phosphorylation Can Be Uncoupled from Electron Transport 619

4 Control of Oxidative Metabolism 620

A. The Rate of Oxidative Phosphorylation Depends on the ATP and NADH Concentrations 622
B. Aerobic Metabolism Has Some Disadvantages 623

BOX 18-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Cytochromes Are Electron-Transport Heme Proteins** 602
BOX 18-2 Pathways of Discovery **Peter Mitchell and the Chemiosmotic Theory** 611
BOX 18-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Bacterial Electron Transport and Oxidative Phosphorylation** 612
BOX 18-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Uncoupling in Brown Adipose Tissue Generates Heat** 621
BOX 18-5 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Oxygen Deprivation in Heart Attack and Stroke** 625

19 Photosynthesis 630

1 Chloroplasts 631

A. The Light Reactions Take Place in the Thylakoid Membrane 631
B. Pigment Molecules Absorb Light 632

2 The Light Reactions 635

A. Light Energy Is Transformed to Chemical Energy 635
B. Electron Transport in Photosynthetic Bacteria Follows a Circular Path 637
C. Two-Center Electron Transport Is a Linear Pathway That Produces O_2 and NADPH 639
D. The Proton Gradient Drives ATP Synthesis by Photophosphorylation 650

3 The Dark Reactions 651

A. The Calvin Cycle Fixes CO_2 651
B. Calvin Cycle Products Are Converted to Starch, Sucrose, and Cellulose 655
C. The Calvin Cycle Is Controlled Indirectly by Light 656
D. Photorespiration Competes with Photosynthesis 658

BOX 19-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Segregation of PSI and PSII** 649

CHAPTER 19 can be found at www.wiley.com/college/voet and in WileyPLUS Learning Space **WPLS**

20 Lipid Metabolism 664

1 Lipid Digestion, Absorption, and Transport 664

A. Triacylglycerols Are Digested before They Are Absorbed 665
B. Lipids Are Transported as Lipoproteins 667

2 Fatty Acid Oxidation 671

A. Fatty Acids Are Activated by Their Attachment to Coenzyme A 672
B. Carnitine Carries Acyl Groups across the Mitochondrial Membrane 672
C. β Oxidation Degrades Fatty Acids to Acetyl-CoA 674
D. Oxidation of Unsaturated Fatty Acids Requires Additional Enzymes 676
E. Oxidation of Odd-Chain Fatty Acids Yields Propionyl-CoA 678
F. Peroxisomal β Oxidation Differs from Mitochondrial β Oxidation 684

3 Ketone Bodies 685

4 Fatty Acid Biosynthesis 686

A. Mitochondrial Acetyl-CoA Must Be Transported into the Cytosol 687
B. Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase Produces Malonyl-CoA 688
C. Fatty Acid Synthase Catalyzes Seven Reactions 689
D. Fatty Acids May Be Elongated and Desaturated 695
E. Fatty Acids Are Esterified to Form Triacylglycerols 696

5 Regulation of Fatty Acid Metabolism 697

6 Synthesis of Other Lipids 700

A. Glycerophospholipids Are Built from Intermediates of Triacylglycerol Synthesis 700
B. Sphingolipids Are Built from Palmitoyl-CoA and Serine 703
C. C_{20} Fatty Acids Are the Precursors of Prostaglandins 704

7 Cholesterol Metabolism 706

A. Cholesterol Is Synthesized from Acetyl-CoA 707
B. HMG-CoA Reductase Controls the Rate of Cholesterol Synthesis 710
C. Abnormal Cholesterol Transport Leads to Atherosclerosis 713

BOX 20-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Vitamin B_{12} Deficiency** 680
BOX 20-2 Pathways of Discovery **Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin and the Structure of Vitamin B_{12}** 680
BOX 20-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Polyketide Synthesis** 694
BOX 20-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Sphingolipid Degradation and Lipid Storage Diseases** 706

21 Amino Acid Metabolism 718

1 Protein Degradation 719

A. Lysosomes Degrade Many Proteins 719
B. Ubiquitin Marks Proteins for Degradation 720
C. The Proteasome Unfolds and Hydrolyzes Ubiquitinated Polypeptides 721

2 Amino Acid Deamination 724

A. Transaminases Use PLP to Transfer Amino Groups 725
B. Glutamate Can Be Oxidatively Deaminated 728

3 The Urea Cycle 728

A. Five Enzymes Carry Out the Urea Cycle 729
B. The Urea Cycle Is Regulated by Substrate Availability 732

4 Breakdown of Amino Acids 733

A. Alanine, Cysteine, Glycine, Serine, and Threonine Are Degraded to Pyruvate 734
B. Asparagine and Aspartate Are Degraded to Oxaloacetate 736

C. Arginine, Glutamate, Glutamine, Histidine, and Proline Are Degraded to α-Ketoglutarate 737
D. Methionine, Threonine, Isoleucine, and Valine Are Degraded to Succinyl-CoA 738
E. Leucine and Lysine Are Degraded Only to Acetyl-CoA and/or Acetoacetate 743
F. Tryptophan Is Degraded to Alanine and Acetoacetate 744
G. Phenylalanine and Tyrosine Are Degraded to Fumarate and Acetoacetate 745

5 Amino Acid Biosynthesis 746
A. Nonessential Amino Acids Are Synthesized from Common Metabolites 748
B. Plants and Microorganisms Synthesize the Essential Amino Acids 752

6 Other Products of Amino Acid Metabolism 758
A. Heme Is Synthesized from Glycine and Succinyl-CoA 758
B. Amino Acids Are Precursors of Physiologically Active Amines 762
C. Nitric Oxide Is Derived from Arginine 763

7 Nitrogen Fixation 764
A. Nitrogenase Reduces N_2 to NH_3 764
B. Fixed Nitrogen Is Assimilated into Biological Molecules 768

BOX 21-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Homocysteine, a Marker of Disease** 740
BOX 21-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Phenylketonuria and Alcaptonuria Result from Defects in Phenylalanine Degradation** 746
BOX 21-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Porphyrias** 760

22 Mammalian Fuel Metabolism: Integration and Regulation 773

1 Organ Specialization 774
A. The Brain Requires a Steady Supply of Glucose 775
B. Muscle Utilizes Glucose, Fatty Acids, and Ketone Bodies 776
C. Adipose Tissue Stores and Releases Fatty Acids and Hormones 778
D. Liver Is the Body's Central Metabolic Clearinghouse 778
E. Kidney Filters Wastes and Maintains Blood pH 780
F. Blood Transports Metabolites in Interorgan Metabolic Pathways 780

2 Hormonal Control of Fuel Metabolism 781
A. Insulin Release Is Triggered by Glucose 782
B. Glucagon and Catecholamines Counter the Effects of Insulin 783

3 Metabolic Homeostasis: The Regulation of Energy Metabolism, Appetite, and Body Weight 786
A. AMP-Dependent Protein Kinase Is the Cell's Fuel Gauge 786
B. Adipocytes and Other Tissues Help Regulate Fuel Metabolism and Appetite 788
C. Energy Expenditure Can Be Controlled by Adaptive Thermogenesis 789

4 Disturbances in Fuel Metabolism 790
A. Starvation Leads to Metabolic Adjustments 790
B. Diabetes Mellitus Is Characterized by High Blood Glucose Levels 792
C. Obesity Is Usually Caused by Excessive Food Intake 795
D. Cancer Metabolism 796

BOX 22-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Intestinal Microbiome** 777
BOX 22-2 Pathways of Discovery **Frederick Banting and Charles Best and the Discovery of Insulin** 794

PART V GENE EXPRESSION AND REPLICATION

23 Nucleotide Metabolism 802

1 Synthesis of Purine Ribonucleotides 802
A. Purine Synthesis Yields Inosine Monophosphate 803
B. IMP Is Converted to Adenine and Guanine Ribonucleotides 806
C. Purine Nucleotide Biosynthesis Is Regulated at Several Steps 807
D. Purines Can Be Salvaged 808

2 Synthesis of Pyrimidine Ribonucleotides 809
A. UMP Is Synthesized in Six Steps 809
B. UMP Is Converted to UTP and CTP 811
C. Pyrimidine Nucleotide Biosynthesis Is Regulated at ATCase or Carbamoyl Phosphate Synthetase II 811

3 Formation of Deoxyribonucleotides 812
A. Ribonucleotide Reductase Converts Ribonucleotides to Deoxyribonucleotides 812
B. dUMP Is Methylated to Form Thymine 817

4 Nucleotide Degradation 820
A. Purine Catabolism Yields Uric Acid 822
B. Some Animals Degrade Uric Acid 825
C. Pyrimidines Are Broken Down to Malonyl-CoA and Methylmalonyl-CoA 827

BOX 23-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Inhibition of Thymidylate Synthesis in Cancer Therapy** 821
BOX 23-2 Pathways of Discovery **Gertrude Elion and Purine Derivatives** 826

24 Nucleic Acid Structure 831

1 The DNA Helix 832
A. DNA Can Adopt Different Conformations 832
B. DNA Has Limited Flexibility 838
C. DNA Can Be Supercoiled 840
D. Topoisomerases Alter DNA Supercoiling 842

2 Forces Stabilizing Nucleic Acid Structures 848
A. Nucleic Acids Are Stabilized by Base Pairing, Stacking, and Ionic Interactions 849
B. DNA Can Undergo Denaturation and Renaturation 850
C. RNA Structures Are Highly Variable 852

3 Fractionation of Nucleic Acids 856
A. Nucleic Acids Can Be Purified by Chromatography 856
B. Electrophoresis Separates Nucleic Acids by Size 857

4 DNA–Protein Interactions 859
A. Restriction Endonucleases Distort DNA on Binding 860
B. Prokaryotic Repressors Often Include a DNA-Binding Helix 861
C. Eukaryotic Transcription Factors May Include Zinc Fingers or Leucine Zippers 864

5 Eukaryotic Chromosome Structure 868
A. DNA Coils around Histones to Form Nucleosomes 868
B. Chromatin Forms Higher-Order Structures 870

BOX 24-1 Pathways of Discovery **Rosalind Franklin and the Structure of DNA** 833
BOX 24-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Inhibitors of Topoisomerases as Antibiotics and Anticancer Chemotherapeutic Agents** 848
BOX 24-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **The RNA World** 854

25 DNA Replication, Repair, and Recombination 879

1 Overview of DNA Replication 880

2 Prokaryotic DNA Replication 882
A. DNA Polymerases Add the Correctly Paired Nucleotides 883
B. Replication Initiation Requires Helicase and Primase 889

C. The Leading and Lagging Strands Are Synthesized Simultaneously 891
D. Replication Terminates at Specific Sites 895
E. DNA Is Replicated with High Fidelity 897

3 Eukaryotic DNA Replication 898
A. Eukaryotes Use Several DNA Polymerases 898
B. Eukaryotic DNA Is Replicated from Multiple Origins 900
C. Telomerase Extends Chromosome Ends 902

4 DNA Damage 904
A. Environmental and Chemical Agents Generate Mutations 905
B. Many Mutagens Are Carcinogens 907

5 DNA Repair 909
A. Some Damage Can Be Directly Reversed 909
B. Base Excision Repair Requires a Glycosylase 910
C. Nucleotide Excision Repair Removes a Segment of a DNA Strand 912
D. Mismatch Repair Corrects Replication Errors 913
E. Some DNA Repair Mechanisms Introduce Errors 914

6 Recombination 916
A. Homologous Recombination Involves Several Protein Complexes 916
B. DNA Can Be Repaired by Recombination 922
C. CRISPR–Cas9, a System for Editing and Regulating Genomes 925
D. Transposition Rearranges Segments of DNA 929

BOX 25-1 Pathways of Discovery **Arthur Kornberg and DNA Polymerase I** 883
BOX 25-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Reverse Transcriptase** 900
BOX 25-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Telomerase, Aging, and Cancer** 905
BOX 25-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry **DNA Methylation** 908
BOX 25-5 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Why Doesn't DNA Contain Uracil?** 911

26 Transcription and RNA Processing 938

1 Prokaryotic RNA Transcription 939
A. RNA Polymerase Resembles Other Polymerases 939
B. Transcription Is Initiated at a Promoter 942
C. The RNA Chain Grows from the 5′ to 3′ End 943
D. Transcription Terminates at Specific Sites 946

2 Transcription in Eukaryotes 948
A. Eukaryotes Have Several RNA Polymerases 949
B. Each Polymerase Recognizes a Different Type of Promoter 954
C. Transcription Factors Are Required to Initiate Transcription 956

3 Posttranscriptional Processing 961
A. Messenger RNAs Undergo 5′ Capping and Addition of a 3′ Tail 962
B. Splicing Removes Introns from Eukaryotic Genes 963
C. Ribosomal RNA Precursors May Be Cleaved, Modified, and Spliced 973
D. Transfer RNAs Are Processed by Nucleotide Removal, Addition, and Modification 977

BOX 26-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Collisions between DNA Polymerase and RNA Polymerase** 945
BOX 26-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Inhibitors of Transcription** 950
BOX 26-3 Pathways of Discovery **Richard Roberts and Phillip Sharp and the Discovery of Introns** 964

27 Protein Synthesis 982

1 The Genetic Code 983
A. Codons Are Triplets That Are Read Sequentially 983
B. The Genetic Code Was Systematically Deciphered 984
C. The Genetic Code Is Degenerate and Nonrandom 986

2 Transfer RNA and Its Aminoacylation 988
A. All tRNAs Have Similar Structures 988
B. Aminoacyl–tRNA Synthetases Attach Amino Acids to tRNAs 990
C. Most tRNAs Recognize More than One Codon 994

3 Ribosomes 996
A. The Prokaryotic Ribosome Consists of Two Subunits 997
B. The Eukaryotic Ribosome Contains a Buried Prokaryotic Ribosome 1002

4 Translation 1004
A. Chain Initiation Requires an Initiator tRNA and Initiation Factors 1006
B. The Ribosome Decodes the mRNA, Catalyzes Peptide Bond Formation, Then Moves to the Next Codon 1011
C. Release Factors Terminate Translation 1023

5 Posttranslational Processing 1024
A. Ribosome-Associated Chaperones Help Proteins Fold 1025
B. Newly Synthesized Proteins May Be Covalently Modified 1026

BOX 27-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Evolution of the Genetic Code** 986
BOX 27-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Expanding the Genetic Code** 996
BOX 27-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Effects of Antibiotics on Protein Synthesis** 1020

28 Regulation of Gene Expression 1033

1 Genome Organization 1034
A. Gene Number Varies among Organisms 1034
B. Some Genes Occur in Clusters 1037
C. Eukaryotic Genomes Contain Repetitive DNA Sequences 1039

2 Regulation of Prokaryotic Gene Expression 1043
A. The *lac* Operon Is Controlled by a Repressor 1043
B. Catabolite-Repressed Operons Can Be Activated 1046
C. Attenuation Regulates Transcription Termination 1048
D. Riboswitches Are Metabolite-Sensing RNAs 1050

3 Regulation of Eukaryotic Gene Expression 1052
A. Chromatin Structure Influences Gene Expression 1052
B. Eukaryotes Contain Multiple Transcriptional Activators 1063
C. Posttranscriptional Control Mechanisms 1069
D. Antibody Diversity Results from Somatic Recombination and Hypermutation 1076

4 The Cell Cycle, Cancer, Apoptosis, and Development 1080
A. Progress through the Cell Cycle Is Tightly Regulated 1080
B. Tumor Suppressors Prevent Cancer 1082
C. Apoptosis Is an Orderly Process 1085
D. Development Has a Molecular Basis 1089

BOX 28-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Trinucleotide Repeat Diseases** 1040
BOX 28-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry **X Chromosome Inactivation** 1053
BOX 28-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Nonsense-Mediated Decay** 1070

Glossary G-1 **Index** I-1

SOLUTIONS can be found at www.wiley.com/college/voet and in WileyPLUS Learning Space **WPLS**

WileyPLUS Learning Space

An easy way to help students learn, collaborate, and grow.

Designed to engage today's student, WileyPLUS Learning Space will transform any course into a vibrant, collaborative learning community.

Identify which students are struggling early in the semester.

Educators assess the real-time engagement and performance of each student to inform teaching decisions. Students always know what they need to work on.

Facilitate student engagement both in and outside of class.

Educators can quickly organize learning activities, manage student collaboration, and customize their course.

Measure outcomes to promote continuous improvement.

With visual reports, it's easy for both students and educators to gauge problem areas and act on what's most important.

www.wileypluslearningspace.com

WILEY

WileyPLUS Learning Space

In WileyPLUS Learning Space students can…

Visualize molecular processes

Guided Explorations: A set of self-contained presentations, many with narration, employ extensive animated computer graphics to enhance student understanding of key topics.

Animated Figures: A set of figures from the text, illustrating various concepts, techniques, and processes, are presented as brief animations to facilitate learning.

Animated Process Diagrams: The many Process Diagrams in the text have each been broken down into discrete steps that students can navigate at their desired pace.

These resources are intended to enrich the learning process for students, especially those who rely heavily on visual information. Whereas some resources, particularly the Animated Figures and Animated Process Diagrams, are brief and could easily be incorporated into an instructor's classroom lecture, all the resources are ideal for student self-study, allowing students to proceed at their own pace or back up and review as needed. All the media resources are keyed to specific figures or sections of the text, so students can explore molecular structures and processes as they work through a chapter.

Solve problems using real data, using the same analytical tools the experts use

Sample Calculation Videos: *Students come to biochemistry with different levels of math skills. These embedded videos, created by Charlotte Pratt, walk students through the Sample Calculations provided for key equations throughout the text.*

Brief Bioinformatics Exercises: *A series of 74 short, assessable, and content-specific bioinformatics projects (at least two per chapter) by Rakesh Mogul, Cal Poly Pomona. They introduce students to the rich variety of biochemical information and software tools available over the Internet and show them how to mine this information, thereby illuminating the connections between theory and applied biochemistry and stimulating student interest and proficiency in the subject.*

Extended Bioinformatics Projects: *A set of 12 newly updated exercises by Paul Craig, Rochester Institute of Technology, covering the contents and uses of databases related to nucleic acids, protein sequences, protein structures, enzyme inhibition, and other topics. The exercises use real data sets, pose specific questions, and prompt students to obtain information from online databases and to access the software tools for analyzing such data.*

Case Studies: *A set of 33 case studies by Kathleen Cornely, Providence College, using problem-based learning to promote understanding of biochemical concepts. Each case presents data from the literature and asks questions that require students to apply principles to novel situations, often involving topics from multiple chapters in the textbook.*

In WileyPLUS Learning Space instructors can…

Assign a robust variety of practice and assessment questions

Test Bank: *Over 1400 questions in a variety of question types (multiple choice, matching, fill in the blank, and short answer) by Marilee Benore, University of Michigan-Dearborn and Robert Kane, Baylor University, and revised by Amy Stockert, Ohio Northern University and Peter van der Geer, San Diego State University. Each question is keyed to the relevant section in the text and is rated by difficulty level. (Tests can be created and administered online or with test-generator software.)*

Exercises: *Over 1000 conceptually based questions by Rachel Milner and Adrienne Wright, University of Alberta, which can be sorted by chapter and/or topic and can be assigned as graded homework or additional practice.*

Practice Questions: *Quizzes, by Steven Vik, Southern Methodist University, to accompany each chapter, consisting of multiple-choice, true/false, and fill-in-the-blank questions, with instant feedback to help students master concepts.*

Prelecture Questions: *Multiple-choice questions that can be assigned prior to lecture to help students prepare for class.*

Discussion Questions: *Embedded within the WileyPLUS Learning Space etext, these thought-provoking questions serve as a point of departure for student discussion and engagement with the content.*

Access instructors' resources

PowerPoint Slides *contain all images and tables in the text, optimized for viewing onscreen.*

Interactive Protein PowerPoints *contain text images of a wide variety of proteins. Each slide includes a molecular structure and PDB code from the text that links students and instructors to the specific protein in the Protein Data Bank website (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do) The website provides a host of information about the 3D structures of large biological molecules, including proteins and nucleic acids.*

Classroom Response Questions ("Clicker Questions"), *by Rachel Milner and Adrienne Wright, University of Alberta, are interactive questions designed for classroom response systems to facilitate classroom participation and discussion. These questions can also be used by instructors as prelecture questions that help gauge students' knowledge of overall concepts, while addressing common misconceptions.*

PREFACE

Biochemistry is no longer a specialty subject but is part of the core of knowledge for modern biologists and chemists. In addition, familiarity with biochemical principles has become an increasingly valuable component of medical education. In revising this textbook, we asked, "*Can we provide students with a solid foundation in biochemistry, along with the problem-solving skills to use what they know?* We concluded that it is more important than ever to meet the expectations of a standard biochemistry curriculum, to connect biological chemistry to its chemical roots, and to explore the ways that biochemistry can explain human health and disease. We also wanted to provide students with opportunities to develop the practical skills that they will need to meet the scientific and clinical challenges of the future. This revised version of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry* continues to focus on basic principles while taking advantage of new tools for fostering student understanding. Because we believe that students learn through constant questioning, this edition features expanded problem sets, additional questions within the text, and extensive online resources for assessment. As in previous editions, we have strived to provide our students with a textbook that is complete, clearly written, and relevant.

New for the Fifth Edition

The fifth edition of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry* includes significant changes and updates to the contents. In recognition of the tremendous advances in biochemistry, we have added new information about prion diseases, trans fats, membrane transporters, signal transduction pathways, mitochondrial respiratory complexes, photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation, nucleotide synthesis, chromatin structure, and the machinery of DNA replication, transcription, and protein synthesis. New experimental approaches for studying complex systems are introduced, including next generation DNA sequencing techniques, cryo-electron microscopy, metabolomics, genome editing with the CRISPR–Cas9 system, and the role of noncoding RNAs in gene regulation. Notes on a variety of human diseases and pharmacological effectors have been expanded to reflect recent research findings.

Pedagogy

As in the previous four editions of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry,* we have given significant thought to the pedagogy within the text and have concentrated on fine-tuning and adding new elements to promote student learning. Pedagogical enhancements in this fifth edition include the following:

- **Gateway Concepts.** Short statements placed in the margin to summarize some of the general concepts that underpin modern biochemistry, such as Evolution, Macromolecular Structure/Function, Matter/Energy Transformation, and Homeostasis. These reminders help students develop a richer understanding as they place new information in the context of what they have encountered in other coursework.

> **GATEWAY CONCEPT Free Energy Change**
> You can think of the free energy change (ΔG) for a reaction in terms of an urge or a force pushing the reactants toward equilibrium. The larger the free energy change, the farther the reaction is from equilibrium and the stronger is the tendency for the reaction to proceed. At equilibrium, of course, the reactants undergo no net change and $\Delta G = 0$.

> **GATEWAY CONCEPT The Steady State**
> Although many reactions are near equilibrium, an entire metabolic pathway—and the cell's metabolism as a whole—never reaches equilibrium. This is because materials and energy are constantly entering and leaving the system, which is in a steady state. Metabolic pathways proceed, as if trying to reach equilibrium (Le Châtelier's principle), but they cannot get there because new reactants keep arriving and products do not accumulate.

- **Sample Calculation Videos within WileyPLUS Learning Space.** Students come to biochemistry with different levels of math skills. These embedded videos, created by Charlotte Pratt, walk students through the Sample Calculations provided for key equations throughout the text.
- **Animated Process Diagrams in WileyPLUS Learning Space.** The many Process Diagrams in the text have each been broken down into discrete steps that students can navigate at their desired pace.
- **Brief Bioinformatics Exercises in WileyPLUS Learning Space.** A series of 74 short, assessable, and content-specific bioinformatics projects (at least two per chapter) by Rakesh Mogul, Cal Poly Pomona. They introduce students to the rich variety of biochemical information available over the Internet and show them how to mine this information, thereby illuminating the connections between theory and applied biochemistry and stimulating student interest and proficiency in the subject.
- **Focus on evolution.** An evolutionary tree icon marks passages in the text that illuminate examples of evolution at the biochemical level.
- **Reorganized and Expanded Problem Sets.** End-of-chapter problems are now divided into two categories so that students and instructors can better assess lower- and higher-order engagement: **Exercises** allow students to check their basic understanding of concepts and apply them in straightforward problem solving. **Challenge Questions** require more advanced skills and/or the ability to make connections between topics. The fifth edition contains nearly 1000 problems, an increase of 26% over the previous edition. Most of the problems are arranged as successive pairs that address the same or related topics. Complete solutions to the odd-numbered problems are included in an appendix for quick feedback. (www.wiley.com/college/voet). Complete solutions to both odd- and even-numbered problems are available in the *Student Companion to Accompany Fundamentals of Biochemistry, Fifth Edition.*

Artwork

Students' ability to understand and interpret biochemical diagrams, illustrations, and processes plays a significant role in their understanding both the big picture and details of biochemistry. In addition to designing new illustrations and redesigning existing figures to enhance clarity, we have continued to address the needs of visual learners by usingseveral unique features to help students use the visuals in concert with the text:

- **Figure Questions.** To further underscore the importance of students' ability to interpret various images and data, we have added questions at the ends of figure captions that encourage students to more fully engage the material and test their understanding of the process being illustrated.

FIG. 11-7 Effect of a catalyst on the transition state diagram of a reaction. Here $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat} = \Delta G^{\ddagger}(\text{uncat}) - \Delta G^{\ddagger}(\text{cat})$.

? Does the catalyst affect $\Delta G_{reaction}$?

- **Molecular Graphics.** Numerous figures have been replaced with state-of-the-art molecular graphics. The new figures are more detailed, clearer, and easier to interpret, and in many cases, reflect recent refinements in molecular visualization technology that have led to higher-resolution macromolecular models or have revealed new mechanistic features.

FIG. 13-4 X-Ray structure of the insulin receptor ectodomain. One of its αβ protomers is shown in ribbon form with its six domains successively colored in rainbow order with the N-terminal domain blue and the C-terminal domain red. The other protomer is represented by its identically colored surface diagram. The β subunits consist of most of the orange and all of the red domains. The protein is viewed with the plasma membrane below and its twofold axis vertical. In the intact receptor, a single transmembrane helix connects each β subunit to its C-terminal cytoplasmic PTK domain. [Based on an X-ray structure by Michael Weiss, Case Western Reserve University; and Michael Lawrence, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Victoria, Australia. PDBid 3LOH.]

- **Media Assets.** WileyPLUS Learning Space plays a key role in students' ability to understand and manipulate structural images. Guided Explorations, Animated Figures, and Animated Process Diagrams employ extensive animations and three-dimensional structures so that students can interact with the materials at their own pace, making them ideal for independent study.

Traditional Pedagogical Strengths

Successful pedagogical elements from prior editions of *Fundamentals of Biochemistry* have been retained. Among these are:

- **Key concepts** at the beginning of each section that prompt students to recognize the important "takeaways" or concepts in each section, providing the scaffolding for understanding by better defining these important points.
- **Checkpoint** questions, a robust set of study questions that appear at the end of every section for students to check their mastery of the section's key concepts. Separate answers are not provided, encouraging students to look back over the chapter to reinforce their understanding, a process that helps develop confidence and student-centered learning.
- **Key sentences** printed in italics to assist with quick visual identification.
- **Overview figures** for many metabolic processes.
- **Detailed enzyme mechanism figures** throughout the text.
- **Process Diagrams.** These visually distinct illustrations highlight important biochemical processes and integrate descriptive text into the figure, appealing to visual learners. By following information in the form of a story, students are more likely to grasp the key principles and less likely to simply memorize random details.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 28-37 A mechanism of RNA interference. ATP is required for Dicer-catalyzed cleavage of RNA and for RISC-associated helicase unwinding of double-stranded RNA. Depending on the species, the mRNA may not be completely degraded. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Explain why RNAi is a mechanism for "silencing" genes.

- **PDB identification codes** in the figure legend for each molecular structure so that students can easily access the structures online and explore them on their own.
- **Reviews of chemical principles** that underlie biochemical phenomena, including thermodynamics and equilibria, chemical kinetics, and oxidation–reduction reactions.
- **Sample calculations** that demonstrate how students can apply key equations to real data.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 10-1

Show that $\Delta G < 0$ when Ca^{2+} ions move from the endoplasmic reticulum (where $[Ca^{2+}] = 1$ mM) to the cytosol (where $[Ca^{2+}] = 0.1$ μM). Assume $\Delta\Psi = 0$.

The cytosol is *in* and the endoplasmic reticulum is *out*.

$$\Delta G = RT \ln \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{in}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{out}} = RT \ln \frac{10^{-7}}{10^{-3}}$$
$$= RT(-9.2)$$

Hence, ΔG is negative.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

- **Boxes to highlight topics** that link students to areas beyond basic biochemistry, such as ocean acidification (Box 2-1), production of complex molecules via polyketide synthesis (Box 20-3), and the intestinal microbiome (Box 22-1).

  Biochemistry in Health and Disease essays highlight the importance of biochemistry in the clinic by focusing on the molecular mechanisms of diseases and their treatment.

  Perspectives in Biochemistry provide enrichment material that would otherwise interrupt the flow of the text. Instead, the material is set aside so that students can appreciate some of the experimental methods and practical applications of biochemistry.

  Pathways of Discovery profile pioneers in various fields, giving students a glimpse of the personalities and scientific challenges that have shaped modern biochemistry.
- **Caduceus symbols** to highlight relevant in-text discussions of medical, health, or drug-related topics. These include common diseases such as diabetes and neurodegenerative diseases as well as lesser known topics that reveal interesting aspects of biochemistry.
- **Expanded chapter summaries** grouped by major section headings, again guiding students to focus on the most important points within each section.
- **More to Explore guides** consisting of a set of questions at the end of each chapter that either extend the material presented in the text or prompt students to reach further and discover topics not covered in the textbook. In addition, WileyPLUS Learning Space offers over 1,000 concept-based questions that can be assigned and automatically graded, providing students with additional valuable practice opportunities.
- Boldfaced **Key terms**.
- **List of key terms** at the end of each chapter, with the **page numbers** where the terms are first defined.
- Comprehensive **glossary containing over 1200 terms**.
- List of **references** for each chapter, selected for their relevance and user-friendliness.

Organization

As in the fourth edition, the text begins with two introductory chapters that discuss the origin of life, evolution, thermodynamics, the properties of water, and acid–base chemistry. Nucleotides and nucleic acids are covered in Chapter 3, since an understanding of the structures and functions of these molecules supports the subsequent study of protein evolution and metabolism.

Four chapters (4 through 7) explore amino acid chemistry, methods for analyzing protein structure and sequence, secondary through quaternary protein structure, protein folding and stability, and structure–function relationships in hemoglobin, muscle proteins, and antibodies. Chapter 8 (Carbohydrates), Chapter 9 (Lipids and Biological Membranes), and Chapter 10 (Membrane Transport) round out the coverage of the basic molecules of life.

The next three chapters examine proteins in action, introducing students first to enzyme mechanisms (Chapter 11), then shepherding them through discussions of enzyme kinetics, the effects of inhibitors, and enzyme regulation (Chapter 12). These themes are continued in Chapter 13, which describes the components of signal transduction pathways.

Metabolism is covered in a series of chapters, beginning with an introductory chapter (Chapter 14) that provides an overview of metabolic pathways, the thermodynamics of "high-energy" compounds, and redox chemistry. Central metabolic pathways are presented in detail (e.g., glycolysis, glycogen metabolism, and the citric acid cycle in Chapters 15–17) so that students can appreciate how individual enzymes catalyze reactions and work in concert to perform complicated biochemical tasks. Chapters 18 (Electron Transport and Oxidative Phosphorylation) and 19 (Photosynthesis) complete a sequence that emphasizes energy-acquiring pathways. Not all pathways are covered in full detail, particularly those related to lipids (Chapter 20), amino acids (Chapter 21), and nucleotides (Chapter 23). Instead, key enzymatic reactions are highlighted for their interesting chemistry or regulatory importance. Chapter 22, on the integration of metabolism, discusses organ specialization and metabolic regulation in mammals.

Six chapters describe the biochemistry of nucleic acids, starting with their metabolism (Chapter 23) and the structure of DNA and its interactions with proteins (Chapter 24). Chapters 25–27 cover the processes of DNA replication, transcription, and translation, highlighting the functions of the RNA and protein molecules that carry out these processes. Chapter 28 deals with a variety of mechanisms for regulating gene expression, including the histone code and the roles of transcription factors and their relevance to cancer and development.

Additional Support

Student Companion to Fundamentals of Biochemistry, 5th Edition

ISBN 978 111 926793 5

This enhanced study resource by Akif Uzman, University of Houston-Downtown, Jerry Johnson, University of Houston-Downtown, William Widger, University of Houston, Joseph Eichberg, University of Houston, Donald Voet, Judith Voet, and Charlotte Pratt, is designed to help students master basic concepts and hone their analytical skills. Each chapter contains a summary, a review of essential concepts, and additional problems. The fifth edition features *Behind the Equations* sections and *Calculation Analogies* that provide connections between key equations in the text and their applications. The authors have also included new categories of questions for the student:

- *Graphical analysis questions,* which focus on quantitative principles and challenge students to apply their knowledge.
- *Play It Forward questions* that draw specifically on knowledge obtained in previous chapters.

The Student Companion contains complete solutions to all of the end of chapter problems in the text.

Customize your own course with Wiley Custom Select

Create a textbook with precisely the content you want in a simple, three-step online process that brings your students a cost-efficient alternative to a traditional textbook. Select from an extensive collection of content at **customselect.wiley.com**, upload your own materials as well, and select from multiple delivery formats— full-color or black-and-white print with a variety of binding options, or eBook. Preview the full text online, get an instant price quote, and submit your order. We'll take it from there.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This textbook is the result of the dedicated effort of many individuals, several of whom deserve special mention: Foremost is our editor, Joan Kalkut, who kept us informed, organized, and on schedule. Madelyn Lesure designed the book's typography and Tom Nery created the cover. Billy Ray acquired many of the photographs in the textbook and kept track of all of them. Deborah Wenger, our copy editor, put the final polish on the manuscript and eliminated grammatical and typographical errors. Elizabeth Swain, our Production Editor skillfully managed the production of the textbook. Kristine Ruff spearheaded the marketing campaign. Special thanks to Aly Rentrop, Associate Development Editor, and Amanda Rillo, Editorial Program Assistant.

Geraldine Osnato, Senior Product Designer and Sean Hickey, Product Designer developed the *WileyPLUS* Learning Space course.

The atomic coordinates of many of the proteins and nucleic acids that we have drawn for use in this textbook were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) maintained by the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB). We created the drawings using the molecular graphics programs PyMOL by Warren DeLano; RIBBONS by Mike Carson; and GRASP by Anthony Nicholls, Kim Sharp, and Barry Honig.

The Internet resources and student printed resources were prepared by the following individuals. Brief Bioinformatics Exercises: Rakesh Mogul, Cal Poly Pomona, Pomona, California; Extended Bioinformatics Projects: Paul Craig, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, New York; Exercises and Classroom Response Questions: Rachel Milner and Adrienne Wright, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; Practice Questions: Steven Vik, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas; Case Studies: Kathleen Cornely, Providence College, Providence, Rhode Island; Student Companion: Akif Uzman, University of Houston-Downtown, Houston, Texas, Jerry Johnson, University of Houston-Downtown, Houston, Texas, William Widger, University of Houston, Houston, Texas, Joseph Eichberg, University of Houston, Houston, Texas, Donald Voet, Judith Voet, and Charlotte Pratt; Test Bank: Amy Stockert, Ohio Northern University, Ada, Ohio, Peter van der Geer, San Diego State University, San Diego, California, Marilee Benore, University of Michigan-Dearborn, Dearborn, Michigan, and Robert Kane, Baylor University, Waco, Texas.

We wish to thank those colleagues who have graciously devoted their time to offer us valuable comments and feedback on the fifth edition. Our reviewers include:

Alabama

Nagarajan Vasumathi, *Jacksonville State University*

Arizona

Cindy Browder, *Northern Arizona University*
Wilson Francisco, *Arizona State University*
Matthew Gage, *Northern Arizona University*
Tony Hascall, *Northern Arizona University*
Andrew Koppisch, *Northern Arizona University*
Scott Lefler, *Arizona State University*
Kevin Redding, *Arizona State University*

Arkansas

Kenneth Carter, *University of Central Arkansas*
Sean Curtis, *University of Arkansas-Fort Smith*

California

Thomas Bertolini, *University of Southern California*
Jay Brewster, *Pepperdine University*
Rebecca Broyer, *University of Southern California*
Paul Buonora, *California State University Long Beach*
William Chan, *Thomas J. Long School of Pharmacy*
Daniel Edwards, *California State University Chico*
Steven Farmer, *Sonoma State University*
Andreas Franz, *University of the Pacific*
Blake Gillespie, *California State University Channel Islands*
Christina Goode, *California State University*
Tom Huxford, *San Diego State University*
Pavan Kadandale, *University of California Irvine*
Douglas McAbee, *California State University Long Beach*
Stephanie Mel, *University of California San Diego*
Jianhua Ren, *University of the Pacific*
Harold (Hal) Rogers, *California State University Fullerton*
Lisa Shamansky, *California State University San Bernardino*
Monika Sommerhalter, *California State University East Bay*
John Spence, *California State University Sacramento*
Daniel Wellman, *Chapman University*
Liang Xue, *University of the Pacific*

Colorado

Johannes Rudolph, *University of Colorado*
Les Sommerville, *Fort Lewis College*

Connecticut

Andrew Karatjas, *Southern Connecticut State University*
JiongDong Pang, *Southern Connecticut State University*

Florida

Deguo Du, *Florida Atlantic University*
Dmitry Kolpashchikov, *University of Central Florida*
Harry Price, *Stetson University*
Reza Razeghifard, *Nova Southeastern University*
Evonne Rezler, *Florida Atlantic University*
Vishwa Trivedi, *Bethune Cookman University*
Solomon Weldegirma, *University of South Florida*

Georgia

Caroline Clower, *Clayton State University*
David Goode, *Mercer University*
Chalet Tan, *Mercer University*
Christine Whitlock, *Georgia Southern University*
Daniel Zuidema, *Covenant College*

Hawaii

Jon-Paul Bingham, *University of Hawaii*

Idaho

Todd Davis, *Idaho State University*
Owen McDougal, *Boise State University*
Rajesh Nagarajan, *Boise State University*
Joshua Pak, *Idaho State University*

Illinois

Marjorie Jones, *Illinois State University*
Valerie Keller, *University of Chicago*
Richard Nagorski, *Illinois State University*
Gabriela Perez-Alvarado, *Southern Illinois University*

Indiana

Ann Kirchmaier, *Purdue University*
Andrew Kusmierczyk, *Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis*
Paul Morgan, *Butler University*
Mohammad Qasim, *Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne*

Iowa

Ned Bowden, *University of Iowa*
Olga Rinco, *Luther College*

Kentucky

Mark Blankenbuehler, *Morehead State University*
Diana McGill, *Northern Kentucky University*
Stefan Paula, *Northern Kentucky University*

Louisiana

Marilyn Cox, *Louisiana Tech University*
August Gallo, *University of Louisiana at Lafayette*
Sean Hickey, *University of New Orleans*

Neil McIntyre, *Xavier University of Louisiana*
Kevin Smith, *Louisiana State University*
Wu Xu, *University of Louisiana at Lafayette*

Maryland

Peggy Biser, *Frostburg State University*
Edward Senkbeil, *Salisbury University*
James Watson, *University of Maryland*

Massachusetts

Philip Le Quesne, *Northeastern University*
Joseph Kuo-Hsiang Tang, *Clark University*
Samuel Thomas, *Tufts University*
Dean Tolan, *Boston University*

Michigan

Rupali Datta, *Michigan Technological University*
Charles Hoogstraten, *Michigan State University*
Lesley Putman, *Northern Michigan University*
Scott Ratz, *Alpena Community College*
Ronald Stamper, *University of Michigan*

Minnesota

Bynthia Anose, *Bethel University*
Eric Fort, *University of St. Thomas St. Paul*
David Mitchell, *College of Saint Benedict-Saint John's University*
Ken Traxler, *Bemidji State University*

Mississippi

Robert Bateman, *William Carey University*
Douglas Masterson, *University of Southern Mississippi*
Gerald Rowland, *Mississippi State University*

Missouri

Ruth Birch, *St. Louis University*
Michael Lewis, *Saint Louis University*
Anthony Toste, *Missouri State University*
Mary Vedamuthu, *Missouri Baptist University*
Brent Znosko, *Saint Louis University*

Nebraska

Jodi Kreiling, *University of Nebraska at Omaha*
Madhavan Soundararajan, *University of Nebraska*

New Jersey

Gerald Frenkel, *Rutgers University*
Bruce Hietbrink, *Richard Stockton College*
David Hunt, *The College of New Jersey*
Subash Jonnalagadda, *Rowan University*
Robert D. Rossi, *Gloucester County College*

New Mexico

Donald Bellew, *University of New Mexico*

New York

Scott Bello, *Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*
Mrinal Bhattacharjee, *Long Island University*
Costel Darie, *Clarkson University*
Brahmadeo Dewprashad, *Borough of Manhattan Community College*
Barnabas Gikonyo, *State University of New York at Geneseo*
Glen Hinckley, *Farmingdale State College*
Swapan Jain, *Bard College*
Joe LeFevre, *State University of New York-Oswego*
Pan Li, *State University of New York at Albany*
Ruel McKnight, *State University of New York at Geneseo*
Galina Melman, *Clarkson University*
Daniel Moriarty, *Siena College*
Suzanne O'Handley, *Rochester Institute of Technology*
Wendy Pogozelski, *State University of New York at Geneseo*
Gloria Proni, *City College of New York-Hunter College*
Wilma Saffran, *City University of New York-Queens College*
David Vuletich, *The College at Brockport, SUNY*

North Carolina

Erik Alexanian, *University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill*
Brad Chazotte, *Campbell University College of Pharmacy & Health Sciences*
Jahangir Emrani, *North Carolina Agricultural & Technical State University*
Harold Goldston, *High Point University*
Brian Love, *East Carolina University*
Jim Parise, *Duke University*
Cornelia Tirla, *University of North Carolina-Pembroke*
Wei You, *University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill*

North Dakota

Bryan Schmidt, *Minot State University*
Karla Wohlers, *North Dakota State University*

Ohio

Neil Ayres, *University of Cincinnati*
E. J. Behrman, *Ohio State University*
Venkat Gopalan, *Ohio State University*
Benjamin Gung, *Miami University*
Allan Pinhas, *University of Cincinnati*
Lawrence Prochaska, *Wright State University*
Joel Shulman, *University of Cincinnati*

Oklahoma

Joseph Ahlander, *Northeastern State University*
Donna Nelson, *University of Oklahoma-Norman Campus*

Oregon

Patricia Flatt, *Western Oregon University*
Angela Hoffman, *University of Portland*

Pennsylvania

Felicia Corsaro-Barbieri, *Gwynedd Mercy College*
Lydia Daniels, *University of Pittsburgh*
Tom Hagan, *Elizabethtown College*
Joseph Kremer, *Alvernia University*
Tami Mysliwiec, *Penn State Berks*
Matthew Price, *California University of Pennsylvania*
Joel Ressner, *West Chester University of Pennsylvania*
Julian Snow, *University of the Sciences*
Robert Stanley, *Temple University*
Sandra Turchi, *Millersville University*
Frank Wilkinson, *Philadelphia University*

Rhode Island

Kathleen Cornely, *Providence College*

South Carolina

Verne Biddle, *Bob Jones University*
Carl Heltzel, *Clemson University*

South Dakota

Grigoriy Sereda, *University of South Dakota*

Tennessee

William Boadi, *Tennessee State University*
Ramez Elgammal, *University of Tennessee Knoxville*
Scott Handy, *Middle Tennessee State University*
Beng Ooi, *Middle Tennessee State University*
Alisha Russell, *Trevecca Nazarene University*
Aleksey Vasiliev, *East Tennessee State University*

Texas

Jeff Allison, *Austin Community College Hays Campus*
Shawn Amorde, *Austin Community College*
Rachell Booth, *Texas State University-San Marcos*
Billy Britt, *Texas Woman's University*
Jennifer Irvin, *Texas State University-San Marcos*
Douglas Root, *University of North Texas*
Robert W. Shaw, *Texas Tech University*

Utah

Steven Wood, *Brigham Young University*

Vermont

Roger Sandwick, *Middlebury College*

Virginia

Kimberly Lane, *Radford University*

Washington

Kyle Craig, *Walla Walla University*

West Virginia

Derrick Kolling, *Marshall University*
Robert Warburton, *Shepherd University*

Wisconsin

Richard Amasino, *University of Wisconsin*
Elizabeth Glogowski, *University of Wisconsin Eau Claire*
Nicholas Silvaggi, *University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee*
Tehshik Yoon, *University of Wisconsin-Madison*

International

Canada

British Columbia

Jeremy Wulff, *University of Victoria*

Ontario

France-Isabelle Auzanneau, *University of Guelph*
Eric Gauthier, *Laurentian University*
Masoud Jelokhani-Niaraki, *Wilfrid Laurier University*

The Netherlands

Peter-Leon Hagedoorn, *Delft University of Technology*

Philippines

Evangeline Amor, *University of the Philippines Diliman*

Singapore

Y. Adam Yuan, *National University of Sciences*

Taiwan

Shun-Fen Tzeng, *National Cheng Kung University*

Thailand

Sunanta Ratanapo, *Kasetsart University*

M. I. Walker/Science Source Images

The structures that make up this *Paramecium* cell, and the processes that occur within it, can be explained in chemical terms. All cells contain similar types of macromolecules and undergo similar chemical reactions to acquire energy, grow, communicate, and reproduce.

CHAPTER 1

Introduction to the Chemistry of Life

Chapter Contents

1 The Origin of Life
- A Biological Molecules Arose from Inanimate Substances
- B Complex Self-Replicating Systems Evolved from Simple Molecules

2 Cellular Architecture
- A Cells Carry Out Metabolic Reactions
- B There Are Two Types of Cells: Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes
- C Molecular Data Reveal Three Evolutionary Domains of Organisms
- D Organisms Continue to Evolve

3 Thermodynamics
- A The First Law of Thermodynamics States That Energy Is Conserved
- B The Second Law of Thermodynamics States That Entropy Tends to Increase
- C The Free Energy Change Determines the Spontaneity of a Process
- D Free Energy Changes Can Be Calculated from Reactant and Product Concentrations
- E Life Achieves Homeostasis While Obeying the Laws of Thermodynamics

Biochemistry is, literally, the study of the chemistry of life. Although it overlaps other disciplines, including cell biology, genetics, immunology, microbiology, pharmacology, and physiology, biochemistry is largely concerned with a limited number of issues:

1. What are the chemical and three-dimensional structures of biological molecules?
2. How do biological molecules interact with one another?
3. How does the cell synthesize and degrade biological molecules?
4. How is energy conserved and used by the cell?
5. What are the mechanisms for organizing biological molecules and coordinating their activities?
6. How is genetic information stored, transmitted, and expressed?

Biochemistry, like other modern sciences, relies on sophisticated instruments to dissect the architecture and operation of systems that are inaccessible to the human senses. In addition to the chemist's tools for separating, quantifying, and otherwise analyzing biological materials, biochemists take advantage of the uniquely biological aspects of their subject by examining the evolutionary histories of organisms, metabolic systems, and individual molecules. In addition to its obvious implications for human health, biochemistry reveals the workings of the natural world, allowing us to understand and appreciate the unique and mysterious condition that we call life. In this introductory chapter, we will review some aspects of chemistry and biology—including the basics of evolution, the different types of cells, and the elementary principles of thermodynamics—to help put biochemistry in context and to introduce some of the themes that recur throughout this book.

1 The Origin of Life

KEY CONCEPTS

- Biological molecules are constructed from a limited number of elements.
- Certain functional groups and linkages characterize different types of biomolecules.
- During chemical evolution, simple compounds condensed to form more complex molecules and polymers.
- Self-replicating molecules were subject to natural selection.

Certain biochemical features are common to all organisms: the way hereditary information is encoded and expressed, for example, and the way biological molecules are built and broken down for energy. The underlying genetic and biochemical unity of modern organisms implies that they are descended from a single ancestor. Although it is impossible to describe exactly how life first arose, paleontological and laboratory studies have provided some insights about the origin of life.

A Biological Molecules Arose from Inanimate Substances

Living matter consists of a relatively small number of elements (Table 1-1). For example, C, H, O, N, P, Ca, and S account for ~97% of the dry weight of the human body (humans and most other organisms are ~70% water). Living organisms may also contain trace amounts of many other elements, including B, F, Al, Si, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Br, Mo, Cd, I, and W, although not every organism makes use of each of these substances.

TABLE 1-1 Most Abundant Elements in the Human Body[a]

Element	Dry Weight (%)
C	61.7
N	11.0
O	9.3
H	5.7
Ca	5.0
P	3.3
K	1.3
S	1.0
Cl	0.7
Na	0.7
Mg	0.3

[a]Calculated from Frieden, E., *Sci. Am.* **227**(1), 54–55 (1972).

The earliest known fossil evidence of life is ~3.5 billion years old (Fig. 1-1). The preceding **prebiotic era**, which began with the formation of the earth ~4.6 billion years ago, left no direct record, but scientists can experimentally duplicate the sorts of chemical reactions that might have given rise to living organisms during that billion-year period.

The atmosphere of the early earth probably consisted of small, simple compounds such as H_2O, N_2, CO_2, and smaller amounts of CH_4 and NH_3. In the 1920s, Alexander Oparin and J. B. S. Haldane independently suggested that ultraviolet radiation from the sun or lightning discharges caused the molecules of the primordial atmosphere to react to form simple **organic** (carbon-containing) **compounds**. This process was replicated in 1953 by Stanley Miller and Harold Urey, who subjected a mixture of H_2O, CH_4, NH_3, and H_2 to an electric discharge for about a week. The resulting solution contained water-soluble organic compounds, including several amino acids (which are components of proteins) and other biochemically significant compounds.

The assumptions behind the Miller–Urey experiment, principally the composition of the gas used as a starting material, have been challenged by some

Courtesy of J. William Schopf, UCLA

FIG. 1-1 Microfossil of filamentous bacterial cells. This fossil (shown with an interpretive drawing) is from ~3.4-billion-year-old rock from Western Australia.

scientists who have suggested that the first biological molecules were generated in a quite different way: in the dark and under water. Hydrothermal vents in the ocean floor, which emit solutions of metal sulfides at temperatures as high as 400°C (Fig. 1-2), may have provided conditions suitable for the formation of amino acids and other small organic molecules from simple compounds present in seawater.

Whatever their actual origin, the early organic molecules became the precursors of an enormous variety of biological molecules. These can be classified in various ways, depending on their composition and chemical reactivity. A familiarity with organic chemistry is useful for recognizing the **functional groups** (reactive portions) of molecules as well as the **linkages** (bonding arrangements) among them, since these features ultimately determine the biological activity of the molecules. Some of the common functional groups and linkages in biological molecules are shown in Table 1-2.

OAR/National Undersea Research Program (NURP); NOAA

FIG. 1-2 **A hydrothermal vent.** Such ocean-floor formations are known as "black smokers" because the metal sulfides dissolved in the superheated water they emit precipitate on encountering the much cooler ocean water.

B Complex Self-Replicating Systems Evolved from Simple Molecules

During a period of chemical evolution, the prebiotic era, simple organic molecules condensed to form more complex molecules or combined end-to-end as **polymers** of repeating units. In a **condensation reaction**, the elements of water are lost. The rate of condensation of simple compounds to form a stable polymer must therefore be greater than the rate of **hydrolysis** (splitting by adding the elements of water; Fig. 1-3). In this prebiotic environment, minerals such as clays may have catalyzed polymerization reactions and sequestered the reaction products from water. The size and composition of prebiotic macromolecules would have been limited by the availability of small molecular starting materials, the efficiency with which they could be joined, and their resistance to degradation. The major biological polymers and their individual units **(monomers)** are given in Table 1-3.

Obviously, *combining different monomers and their various functional groups into a single large molecule increases the chemical versatility of that molecule,* allowing it to perform chemical feats beyond the reach of simpler molecules. (This principle of emergent properties can be expressed as "the whole is greater than the sum of its parts.") Separate macromolecules with **complementary arrangements** (reciprocal pairing) of functional groups can associate with each other (Fig. 1-4), giving rise to more complex molecular assemblies with an even greater range of functional possibilities.

Specific pairing between complementary functional groups permits one member of a pair to determine the identity and orientation of the other member. *Such complementarity makes it possible for a macromolecule to* ***replicate****, or copy itself, by directing the assembly of a new molecule from smaller complementary units.* Replication of a simple polymer with intramolecular complementarity is

GATEWAY CONCEPT Functional Groups

Different classes of biological molecules are characterized by different types of functional groups and linkages. A biological molecule may contain multiple functional groups.

FIG. 1-3 **Reaction of a carboxylic acid with an amine.** The elements of water are released during condensation. In the reverse process—hydrolysis—water is added to cleave the amide bond. In living systems, condensation reactions are not freely reversible.

FIG. 1-4 **Association of complementary molecules.** The positively charged amino group interacts electrostatically with the negatively charged carboxylate group.

TABLE 1-2 Common Functional Groups and Linkages in Biochemistry

Compound Name	Structure[a]	Functional Group or Linkage
Amine[b]	RNH_2 or $R\overset{+}{N}H_3$ R_2NH or $R_2\overset{+}{N}H_2$ R_3N or $R_3\overset{+}{N}H$	$-N\!<$ or $-\overset{+}{N}-$ (amino group)
Alcohol	ROH	—OH (hydroxyl group)
Thiol	RSH	—SH (sulfhydryl group)
Ether	ROR	—O— (ether linkage)
Aldehyde	$R-C(=O)-H$	$-C(=O)-$ (carbonyl group)
Ketone	$R-C(=O)-R$	$-C(=O)-$ (carbonyl group)
Carboxylic acid[b]	$R-C(=O)-OH$ or $R-C(=O)-O^-$	$-C(=O)-OH$ (carboxyl group) or $-C(=O)-O^-$ (carboxylate group)
Ester	$R-C(=O)-OR$	$-C(=O)-O-$ (ester linkage) $R-C(=O)-$ (acyl group)[c]
Thioester	$R-C(=O)-SR$	$-C(=O)-S-$ (thioester linkage) $R-C(=O)-$ (acyl group)[c]
Amide	$R-C(=O)-NH_2$ $R-C(=O)-NHR$ $R-C(=O)-NR_2$	$-C(=O)-N\!<$ (amido group) $R-C(=O)-$ (acyl group)[c]
Imine (Schiff base)[b]	$R{=}NH$ or $R{=}\overset{+}{N}H_2$ $R{=}NR$ or $R{=}\overset{+}{N}HR$	$>C{=}N-$ or $>C{=}\overset{+}{N}<$ (imino group)
Disulfide	R—S—S—R	—S—S— (disulfide linkage)
Phosphate ester[b]	$R-O-P(=O)(OH)-O^-$	$-P(=O)(OH)-O^-$ (phosphoryl group)
Diphosphate ester[b]	$R-O-P(=O)(O^-)-O-P(=O)(OH)-O^-$	$-P(=O)(O^-)-O-P(=O)(OH)-O^-$ (phosphoanhydride group)
Phosphate diester[b]	$R-O-P(=O)(O^-)-O-R$	$-O-P(=O)(O^-)-O-$ (phosphodiester linkage)

[a]R represents any carbon-containing group. In a molecule with more than one R group, the groups may be the same or different.
[b]Under physiological conditions, these groups are ionized and hence bear a positive or negative charge.
[c]If attached to an atom other than carbon.

? Cover the Structure column and draw the structure for each compound listed on the left. Do the same for each functional group or linkage.

TABLE 1-3 Major Biological Polymers and Their Component Monomers

Polymer	Monomer
Protein (polypeptide)	Amino acid
Nucleic acid (polynucleotide)	Nucleotide
Polysaccharide (complex carbohydrate)	Monosaccharide (simple carbohydrate)

FIG. 1–5 Replication through complementarity. In this simple case, a polymer serves as a template for the assembly of a complementary molecule, which, because of intramolecular complementarity, is an exact copy of the original.

? **Distinguish the covalent bonds from the noncovalent interactions in this polymer.**

illustrated in Fig. 1-5. A similar phenomenon is central to the function of DNA, where the sequence of bases on one strand (e.g., A-C-G-T) absolutely specifies the sequence of bases on the strand to which it is paired (T-G-C-A). When DNA replicates, the two strands separate and direct the synthesis of complementary daughter strands. Complementarity is also the basis for transcribing DNA into RNA and for translating RNA into protein.

A critical moment in chemical evolution was the transition from systems of randomly generated molecules to systems in which molecules were organized and specifically replicated. Once macromolecules gained the ability to self-perpetuate, the primordial environment would have become enriched in molecules that were best able to survive and multiply. The first replicating systems were no doubt somewhat sloppy, with progeny molecules imperfectly complementary to their parents. Over time, **natural selection**, the competitive process by which reproductive preference is given to the better adapted, would have favored molecules that made more accurate copies of themselves.

CHECKPOINT

- Which four elements occur in virtually all biological molecules?
- Summarize the major stages of chemical evolution.
- Practice drawing a simple condensation and hydrolysis reaction.
- Explain why complementarity would have been necessary for the development of self-replicating molecules.

2 Cellular Architecture

KEY CONCEPTS

- Compartmentation of cells promotes efficiency by maintaining high local concentrations of reactants.
- Metabolic pathways evolved to synthesize molecules and generate energy.
- The simplest cells are prokaryotes.
- Eukaryotes are characterized by numerous membrane-bounded organelles, including a nucleus.
- The phylogenetic tree of life includes three domains: bacteria, archaea, and eukarya.
- Evolution occurs as natural selection acts on randomly occurring genetic variations among individuals.

The types of systems described so far would have had to compete with all the other components of the primordial earth for the available resources. A selective advantage would have accrued to a system that was sequestered and protected by boundaries of some sort. How these boundaries first arose, or even what they were made from, is obscure. One theory is that membranous **vesicles** (fluid-filled sacs) first attached to and then enclosed self-replicating systems. These vesicles would have become the first cells.

A Cells Carry Out Metabolic Reactions

The advantages of **compartmentation** are several. In addition to receiving some protection from adverse environmental forces, an enclosed system can maintain high local concentrations of components that would otherwise diffuse away. More concentrated substances can react more readily, leading to increased efficiency in polymerization and other types of chemical reactions.

A membrane-bounded compartment that protected its contents would gradually become quite different in composition from its surroundings. Modern cells contain high concentrations of ions, small molecules, and large molecular aggregates that are found only in traces—if at all—outside the cell. For example, a cell of the bacterium ***Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*)** contains millions of molecules, representing some 3000 to 6000 different compounds (**Fig. 1-6**). A typical animal cell may contain 100,000 different types of molecules.

Early cells depended on the environment to supply building materials. As some of the essential components in the prebiotic soup became scarce, natural selection favored organisms that developed **metabolic pathways,** mechanisms for synthesizing the required compounds from simpler but more abundant **precursors**. The first metabolic reactions may have used metal or clay **catalysts** (a catalyst is a substance that promotes a chemical reaction without itself undergoing a net change). In fact, metal ions are still at the heart of many chemical reactions in modern cells. Some catalysts may also have arisen from polymeric molecules that had the appropriate functional groups.

In general, biosynthetic reactions require energy; hence the first cellular reactions also needed an energy source. The eventual depletion of preexisting energy-rich substances in the prebiotic environment would have favored the development of energy-producing metabolic pathways. For example, photosynthesis evolved relatively early to take advantage of a practically inexhaustible energy supply, the sun. However, the accumulation of O_2 generated from H_2O

FIG. 1-6 Cross-section through an *E. coli* cell. The cytoplasm is packed with macromolecules. At this magnification (~1,000,000×), individual atoms are too small to resolve. The green structures on the right include the inner and outer membrane components along with a portion of a flagellum. Inside the cell, various proteins are shown in blue, and ribosomes are purple. The gold and orange structures represent DNA and DNA-binding proteins, respectively. In a living cell, the remaining spaces would be crowded with water and small molecules. [From Goodsell, D.S., *The Machinery of Life* (2nd ed.), Springer (2009). Reproduced with permission.]

by photosynthesis (the modern atmosphere is 21% O_2) presented an additional challenge to organisms adapted to life in an oxygen-poor atmosphere. Metabolic refinements eventually permitted organisms not only to avoid oxidative damage but also to use O_2 for oxidative metabolism, a much more efficient form of energy metabolism than anaerobic metabolism. Vestiges of ancient life can be seen in the anaerobic metabolism of certain modern organisms.

Early organisms that developed metabolic strategies to synthesize biological molecules, conserve and utilize energy in a controlled fashion, and replicate within a protective compartment were able to propagate in an ever-widening range of habitats. Adaptation of cells to different external conditions ultimately led to the present diversity of species. Specialization of individual cells also made it possible for groups of differentiated cells to work together in multicellular organisms.

B There Are Two Types of Cells: Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes

All modern organisms are based on the same morphological unit, the cell. There are two major classifications of cells: the **eukaryotes** (Greek: *eu,* good or true + *karyon,* kernel or nut), which have a membrane-enclosed **nucleus** encapsulating their DNA; and the **prokaryotes** (Greek: *pro,* before), which lack a nucleus. *Prokaryotes, comprising the various types of bacteria, have relatively simple structures and are almost all unicellular* (although they may form filaments or colonies of independent cells). *Eukaryotes, which are multicellular as well as unicellular, are vastly more complex than prokaryotes.* (**Viruses** are much simpler entities than cells and are not classified as living because they lack the metabolic apparatus to reproduce outside their host cells.)

Prokaryotes are the most numerous and widespread organisms on the earth. This is because their varied and often highly adaptable metabolisms suit them to an enormous variety of habitats. Prokaryotes range in size from 1 to 10 μm and have one of three basic shapes (**Fig. 1-7**): spheroidal (cocci), rodlike (bacilli), and helically coiled (spirilla). Except for an outer cell membrane, which in most cases is surrounded by a protective cell wall, nearly all prokaryotes lack cellular membranes. However, the prokaryotic **cytoplasm** (cell contents) is by no means a homogeneous soup. Different metabolic functions are carried out in different regions of the cytoplasm (Fig. 1-6). The best characterized prokaryote is *Escherichia coli,* a 2 μm by 1 μm rodlike bacterium that inhabits the mammalian colon.

FIG. 1-7 Scale drawings of some prokaryotic cells.

FIG. 1-8 Diagram of a typical animal cell with electron micrographs of its organelles. Membrane-bounded organelles include the nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, lysosome, peroxisome (not pictured), mitochondrion, vacuole, and Golgi apparatus. The nucleus contains chromatin (a complex of DNA and protein) and the nucleolus (the site of ribosome synthesis). The rough endoplasmic reticulum is studded with ribosomes; the smooth endoplasmic reticulum is not. A pair of centrioles help organize cytoskeletal elements. A typical plant cell differs mainly by the presence of an outer cell wall and chloroplasts in the cytosol. [Smooth endoplasmic reticulum © Dennis Kunkel Microscopy, Inc./Phototake; rough endoplasmic reticulum © Pietro M. Motta & Tomonori Naguro/Photo Researchers, Inc.; nucleus © Tektoff-RM, CNRI/Photo Researchers; mitochondrion © CNRI/Photo Researchers; Golgi apparatus © Secchi-Lecaque/Roussel-UCLAF/CNRI/Photo Researchers; lysosome © Biophoto Associates/Photo Researchers.]

? With the labels covered, name the parts of this eukaryotic cell.

Eukaryotic cells are generally 10 to 100 μm in diameter and thus have a thousand to a million times the volume of typical prokaryotes. It is not size, however, but a profusion of membrane-enclosed **organelles** that best characterizes eukaryotic cells (**Fig. 1-8**). In addition to a nucleus, eukaryotes have an **endoplasmic reticulum**, the site of synthesis of many cellular components, some of which are subsequently modified in the **Golgi apparatus**. The bulk of aerobic metabolism takes place in **mitochondria** in almost all eukaryotes, and photosynthetic cells contain **chloroplasts**, which convert the energy of the sun's rays to chemical energy. Other organelles, such as **lysosomes** and **peroxisomes**, perform specialized functions. **Vacuoles**, which are more prominent in plant than in animal cells, usually function as storage depots. The **cytosol** (the cytoplasm minus its membrane-bounded organelles) is organized by the **cytoskeleton**, an extensive array of filaments that also gives the cell its shape and the ability to move.

The various organelles that compartmentalize eukaryotic cells represent a level of complexity that is largely lacking in prokaryotic cells. Nevertheless, prokaryotes are more efficient than eukaryotes in many respects. Prokaryotes have exploited the advantages of simplicity and miniaturization. Their rapid growth rates permit them to occupy ecological niches in which there may be drastic fluctuations of the available nutrients. In contrast, the complexity of eukaryotes, which renders them larger and more slowly growing than prokaryotes, gives them the competitive advantage in stable environments with limited resources. It is therefore erroneous to consider prokaryotes as evolutionarily primitive compared to eukaryotes. Both types of organisms are well adapted to their respective lifestyles.

C Molecular Data Reveal Three Evolutionary Domains of Organisms

The practice of lumping all prokaryotes in a single category based on what they lack—a nucleus—obscures their metabolic diversity and evolutionary history. Conversely, the remarkable morphological diversity of eukaryotic organisms (consider the anatomical differences among, say, an amoeba, an oak tree, and a human being) masks their fundamental similarity at the cellular level. Traditional taxonomic schemes (**taxonomy** is the science of biological classification), which are based on gross morphology, have proved inadequate to describe the actual relationships between organisms as revealed by their evolutionary history (**phylogeny**).

Biological classification schemes based on reproductive or developmental strategies more accurately reflect evolutionary history than those based solely on adult morphology. However, *phylogenetic relationships are best deduced by comparing polymeric molecules—RNA, DNA, or protein—from different organisms.* For example, analysis of RNA led Carl Woese to group all organisms into three domains (**Fig. 1-9**). The **archaea** (also known as **archaebacteria**) are a group of prokaryotes that are as distantly related to other prokaryotes (the **bacteria**, sometimes called **eubacteria**) as both groups are to eukaryotes (**eukarya**). The archaea include some unusual organisms: the **methanogens** (which produce CH_4), the **halobacteria** (which thrive in concentrated brine solutions), and certain **thermophiles** (which inhabit hot springs). The pattern of branches in Woese's diagram indicates the divergence of different types of organisms (each branch point represents a common ancestor). The three-domain scheme also shows that animals, plants, and fungi constitute only a small portion of all life-forms. Such phylogenetic trees supplement the fossil record, which provides a patchy record of life prior to about 600 million years before the present (multicellular organisms arose about 700–900 million years ago).

It is unlikely that eukaryotes are descended from a single prokaryote, because the differences among eubacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes are so profound. Instead, eukaryotes probably evolved from the association of archaebacterial and eubacterial cells. The eukaryotic genetic material includes features that suggest an archaebacterial origin. In addition, the mitochondria and chloroplasts of modern eukaryotic cells resemble eubacteria in size and shape, and both types of organelles contain their own genetic material and protein synthetic machinery. Evidently, as Lynn Margulis proposed, mitochondria and chloroplasts evolved from free-living eubacteria that formed **symbiotic** (mutually beneficial) relationships with a primordial eukaryotic cell (Box 1-1). In fact, certain eukaryotes that lack mitochondria or chloroplasts permanently harbor symbiotic bacteria.

FIG. 1-9 Phylogenetic tree showing the three domains of organisms. The branches indicate the pattern of divergence from a common ancestor. The archaea are prokaryotes, like eubacteria, but share many features with eukaryotes. [After Wheelis, M.L., Kandler, O., and Woese, C.R., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89,** 2931 (1992).]

Box 1-1 Pathways of Discovery **Lynn Margulis and the Theory of Endosymbiosis**

Lynn Margulis (1938–2011) After growing up in Chicago and enrolling in the University of Chicago at age 16, Lynn Margulis intended to be a writer. Her interest in biology was sparked by a required science course for which she read Gregor Mendel's accounts of his experiments with the genetics of pea plants. Margulis continued her studies at the University of Wisconsin–Madison and at the University of California, Berkeley, earning a doctorate in 1963. Her careful consideration of cellular structures led her to hypothesize that eukaryotic cells originated from a series of endosymbiotic events involving multiple prokaryotes. The term *endo* (Greek: within) refers to an arrangement in which one cell comes to reside inside another. This idea was considered outrageous at the time (1967), but many of Margulis's ideas have since become widely accepted.

Endosymbiosis as an explanation for the origin of mitochondria had been proposed by Ivan Wallin in 1927, who noted the similarity between mitochondria and bacteria in size, shape, and cytological staining. Wallin's hypothesis was rejected as being too fantastic and was ignored until it was taken up again by Margulis. By the 1960s, much more was known about mitochondria (and chloroplasts), including the facts that they contained DNA and reproduced by division. Margulis did not focus all her attention on the origin of individual organelles but instead sought to explain the origin of the entire eukaryotic cell, which also includes centrioles, another possible bacterial relic. Her paper, "On the origin of mitosing cells," was initially rejected by several journals before being accepted by the *Journal of Theoretical Biology.* The notion that a complex eukaryotic cell could arise from a consortium of mutually dependent prokaryotic cells was incompatible with the prevailing view that evolution occurred as a series of small steps. Evolutionary theory of the time had no room for the dramatic amalgamation of cells—and their genetic material—that Margulis had proposed. Nevertheless, the outspoken Margulis persisted, and by the time she published *Symbiosis in Cell Evolution* in 1981, much of the biological community had come on board to agree with her.

Two main tenets of Margulis's theory, that mitochondria are the descendants of oxygen-respiring bacteria and that chloroplasts were originally photosynthetic bacteria, are now almost universally accepted. The idea that the eukaryotic cytoplasm is the remnant of an archaebacterial cell is still questioned by some biologists. Margulis was in the process of collecting evidence to support a fourth idea, that cilia and flagella and some sensory structures such as the light-sensing cells of the eye are descendants of free-living spirochete bacteria. Margulis's original prediction that organelles such as mitochondria could be isolated and cultured has not been fulfilled. However, there is ample evidence for the transfer of genetic material between organelles and the nucleus, consistent with Margulis's theory of endosymbiosis. In fact, current theories of evolution include the movement of genetic material among organisms, as predicted by Margulis, in addition to small random mutations as agents of change.

Perhaps as an extension of her work on bacterial endosymbiosis, Margulis came to recognize that the interactions among many different types of organisms as well as their interactions with their physical environment constitute a single self-regulating system. This notion is part of the Gaia hypothesis proposed by James Lovelock, which views the entire earth as one living entity (Gaia was a Greek earth goddess). However, Margulis had no patience with those who sought to build a modern mythology based on Gaia. She was adamant about the importance of using scientific tools and reasoning to discover the truth and was irritated by the popular belief that humans are the center of life on earth. Margulis understood that human survival depends on our relationships with waste-recycling, water-purifying, and oxygen-producing bacteria, with whom we have been evolving, sometimes endosymbiotically, for billions of years.

Sagan, L., On the origin of mitosing cells, *J. Theor. Biol.* **14,** 255–274 (1967).

D Organisms Continue to Evolve

The natural selection that guided prebiotic evolution continues to direct the evolution of organisms. Richard Dawkins has likened evolution to a blind watchmaker capable of producing intricacy by accident, although such an image fails to convey the vast expanse of time and the incremental, trial-and-error manner in which complex organisms emerge. Small **mutations** (changes in an individual's genetic material) arise at random as the result of chemical damage or inherent errors in the DNA replication process. *A mutation that increases the chances of survival of the individual increases the likelihood that the mutation will be passed on to the next generation.* Beneficial mutations tend to spread rapidly through a population; deleterious changes tend to die along with the organisms that harbor them.

The theory of evolution by natural selection, which was first articulated by Charles Darwin in the 1860s, has been confirmed through observation and experimentation. It is therefore useful to highlight several important—and often misunderstood—principles of evolution:

1. ***Evolution is not directed toward a particular goal.*** It proceeds by random changes that may affect the ability of an organism to reproduce under the prevailing conditions. An organism that is well adapted to its environment may fare better or worse when conditions change.

2. ***Variation among individuals*** allows organisms to adapt to unexpected changes. This is one reason that genetically homogeneous populations (e.g., a corn crop) are so susceptible to a single challenge (e.g., a fungal blight). A more heterogeneous population is more likely to include individuals that can resist the adversity and recover.
3. ***The past determines the future.*** New structures and metabolic functions emerge from preexisting elements. For example, insect wings did not erupt spontaneously but appear to have developed gradually from small heat-exchange structures.
4. ***Evolution is ongoing,*** although it does not proceed exclusively toward complexity. An anthropocentric view places human beings at the pinnacle of an evolutionary scheme, but a quick survey of life's diversity reveals that simpler species have not died out or stopped evolving.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain the selective advantages of compartmentation and metabolic pathways.
- Discuss the differences between prokaryotes and eukaryotes.
- Make a list of the major eukaryotic organelles and their functions.
- Explain why a taxonomy based on molecular sequences is more accurate than one based on morphology.
- Which of the three domains are prokaryotic? Which domain is most similar to eukaryotes?
- Explain how individual variations allow evolution to occur.
- Why is evolutionary change constrained by its past but impossible to predict?

3 Thermodynamics

KEY CONCEPTS

- Energy must be conserved, but it can take different forms.
- In most biochemical systems, enthalpy is equivalent to heat.
- Entropy, a measure of a system's disorder, tends to increase.
- The free energy change for a process is determined by its changes in both enthalpy and entropy.
- A spontaneous process occurs with a decrease in free energy.
- The free energy change for a reaction can be calculated from the temperature and the concentrations and stoichiometry of the reactants and products.
- Biochemists define standard state conditions as a temperature of 25°C, a pressure of 1 atm, and a pH of 7.0.
- Organisms are nonequilibrium, open systems that constantly exchange matter and energy with their surroundings while maintaining homeostasis.
- Enzymes increase the rate at which a reaction approaches equilibrium.

The normal activities of living organisms—moving, growing, reproducing—demand an almost constant input of energy. Even at rest, organisms devote a considerable portion of their biochemical apparatus to the acquisition and utilization of energy. The study of energy and its effects on matter falls under the purview of **thermodynamics** (Greek: *therme,* heat + *dynamis,* power). Although living systems present some practical challenges to thermodynamic analysis, *life obeys the laws of thermodynamics.* Understanding thermodynamics is important not only for describing a particular process—such as a biochemical reaction—in terms that can be quantified, but also for predicting whether that process *can* actually occur; that is, whether the process is **spontaneous**. To begin, we will review the fundamental laws of thermodynamics. We will then turn our attention to free energy and how it relates to chemical reactions. Finally, we will look at how biological systems deal with the laws of thermodynamics.

A The First Law of Thermodynamics States That Energy Is Conserved

In thermodynamics, a **system** is defined as the part of the universe that is of interest, such as a reaction vessel or an organism; the rest of the universe is known as the **surroundings**. The system has a certain amount of **energy, *U*.** *The first law of thermodynamics states that energy is conserved;* it can be neither created nor destroyed. However, when the system undergoes a change, some of its energy can be used to perform work. The energy change of the system is defined as the

difference between the **heat (q)** absorbed by the system from the surroundings and **work (w)** done by the system on the surroundings:

$$\Delta U = U_{\text{final}} - U_{\text{initial}} = q - w \qquad [1\text{-}1]$$

where the upper case Greek letter Δ (delta) indicates change. Heat is a reflection of random molecular motion, whereas work, which is defined as force times the distance moved under its influence, is associated with organized motion. Force may assume many different forms, including the gravitational force exerted by one mass on another, the expansional force exerted by a gas, the tensional force exerted by a spring or muscle fiber, the electrical force of one charge on another, and the dissipative forces of friction and viscosity. Because energy can be used to perform different kinds of work, it is sometimes useful to speak of energy taking different forms, such as mechanical energy, electrical energy, or chemical energy—all of which are relevant to biological systems.

Most biological processes take place at constant pressure. Under such conditions, the work done by the expansion of a gas (pressure–volume work) is $P\Delta V$. Consequently it is useful to define a new thermodynamic quantity, the **enthalpy** (Greek: *enthalpein,* to warm in), symbolized ***H***:

$$H = U + PV \qquad [1\text{-}2]$$

Then, when the system undergoes a change at constant pressure,

$$\Delta H = \Delta U + P\Delta V = q_P - w + P\Delta V \qquad [1\text{-}3]$$

where $\boldsymbol{q_P}$ is defined as the heat at constant pressure. Since we already know that in this system $w = P\Delta V$,

$$\Delta H = q_P - P\Delta V + P\Delta V = q_P \qquad [1\text{-}4]$$

In other words, the change in enthalpy at constant pressure is equivalent to heat. Moreover, the volume changes in most biochemical reactions are insignificant ($P\Delta V \approx 0$), so the differences between their ΔU and ΔH values are negligible, and hence the energy change for the reacting system is equivalent to its enthalpy change. Enthalpy, like energy, heat, and work, is given units of joules. Some commonly used units and biochemical constants and other conventions are given in Box 1-2.

Thermodynamics is useful for indicating the spontaneity of a process. A **spontaneous process** occurs without the input of additional energy from outside

Box 1-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Biochemical Conventions

Modern biochemistry generally uses Système International (SI) units, including meters (m), kilograms (kg), and seconds (s) and their derived units, for various thermodynamic and other measurements. The following lists the commonly used biochemical units, some useful biochemical constants, and a few conversion factors.

Units

Energy, heat, work	joule (J)	$kg \cdot m^2 \cdot s^{-2}$ or $C \cdot V$
Electric potential	volt (V)	$J \cdot C^{-1}$

Prefixes for units

mega (M)	10^6	nano (n)	10^{-9}
kilo (k)	10^3	pico (p)	10^{-12}
milli (m)	10^{-3}	femto (f)	10^{-15}
micro (μ)	10^{-6}	atto (a)	10^{-18}

Conversions

angstrom (Å)	10^{-10} m
calorie (cal)	4.184 J
kelvin (K)	degrees Celsius (°C) + 273.15

Constants

Avogadro's number (N)	6.0221×10^{23} molecules $\cdot$ mol^{-1}
Coulomb (C)	6.241×10^{18} electron charges
Faraday (F)	96,485 $C \cdot mol^{-1}$ or 96,485 $J \cdot V^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$
Gas constant (R)	8.3145 $J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$
Boltzmann constant (k_B)	1.3807×10^{-23} $J \cdot K^{-1}$ (R/N)
Planck's constant (h)	6.6261×10^{-34} $J \cdot s$

Throughout this text, molecular masses of particles are expressed in units of **daltons (D)**, which are defined as l/12th the mass of a ^{12}C atom (1000 D = 1 **kilodalton, kD**). Biochemists also use **molecular weight**, a dimensionless quantity defined as the ratio of the particle mass to l/12th the mass of a ^{12}C atom, which is symbolized $\boldsymbol{M_r}$ (for relative molecular mass).

the system (although keep in mind that thermodynamic spontaneity has nothing to do with how quickly a process occurs). The first law of thermodynamics, however, cannot by itself determine whether a process is spontaneous. Consider two objects of different temperatures that are brought together. Heat flows spontaneously from the warmer object to the cooler one, never vice versa, yet either process would be consistent with the first law of thermodynamics since the aggregate energy of the two objects does not change. Therefore, an additional criterion of spontaneity is needed.

B The Second Law of Thermodynamics States That Entropy Tends to Increase

According to the second law of thermodynamics, spontaneous processes are characterized by the conversion of order to disorder. In this context, disorder is defined as the number of energetically equivalent ways, ***W***, of arranging the components of a system. Note that there are more ways of arranging a disordered system than a more ordered system. To make this concept concrete, consider a system consisting of two bulbs of equal volume, one of which contains molecules of an ideal gas (**Fig. 1-10**). When the stopcock connecting the bulbs is opened, the molecules become randomly but equally distributed between the two bulbs (each gas molecule has a 50% probability of being in the left bulb and hence there are 2^N equivalent ways of randomly distributing them between the two bulbs, where N is the number of gas molecules; note that even when N is as small as 100, 2^N is an astronomically large number). The equal number of gas molecules in each bulb is not the result of any law of motion; it is because the probabilities of all other distributions of the molecules are so overwhelmingly small. Thus, the probability of all the molecules in the system spontaneously rushing into the left bulb (the initial condition, in which $W = 1$) is nil, even though the energy and enthalpy of that arrangement are exactly the same as those of the evenly distributed molecules. By the same token, the mechanical energy (work) of a swimmer jumping into a pool heats the water (increases the random motion of its molecules), but the reverse process, a swimmer being ejected from the water by the organized motion of her surrounding water molecules, has never been observed, even though this process does not violate any law of motion.

FIG. 1-10 Illustration of entropy. In (*a*), a gas occupies the leftmost of two equal-sized bulbs and hence the entropy is low. When the stopcock is opened (*b*), the entropy increases as the gas molecules diffuse back and forth between the bulbs and eventually become distributed evenly, half in each bulb.

? Does the total heat content of this system change when the stopcock is opened?

Since W is usually inconveniently large, the degree of randomness of a system is better indicated by its **entropy** (Greek: *en,* in + *trope,* turning), symbolized ***S***:

$$S = k_B \ln W \qquad [1\text{-}5]$$

where k_B is the **Boltzmann constant**. The units of S are $J \cdot K^{-1}$ (absolute temperature, in units of kelvins, is a factor because entropy varies with temperature; e.g., a system becomes more disordered as its temperature rises). The most probable arrangement of a system is the one that maximizes W, and hence S. Thus, if a spontaneous process, such as the one shown in Fig. 1-10, has overall energy and enthalpy changes (ΔU and ΔH) of zero, its entropy change (ΔS) must be greater than zero; that is, the number of equivalent ways of arranging the final state must be greater than the number of ways of arranging the initial state. Furthermore, because

$$\Delta S_{\text{system}} + \Delta S_{\text{surroundings}} = \Delta S_{\text{universe}} > 0 \qquad [1\text{-}6]$$

all processes increase the entropy—that is, the disorder—of the universe.

In chemical and biological systems, it is impractical, if not impossible, to determine the entropy of a system by counting all the equivalent arrangements of its components (W). However, there is an entirely equivalent expression for entropy that applies to the constant-temperature conditions typical of biological systems: for a spontaneous process,

$$\Delta S \geq \frac{q}{T} \qquad [1\text{-}7]$$

Thus, the entropy change in a process can be experimentally determined from measurements of heat and temperature.

C The Free Energy Change Determines the Spontaneity of a Process

The spontaneity of a process cannot be predicted from a knowledge of the system's entropy change alone. For example, a mixture of 2 mol of H_2 and 1 mol of O_2, when sparked, reacts (explodes) to form 2 mol of H_2O. Yet two water molecules, each of whose three atoms are constrained to stay together, are more ordered than are the three diatomic molecules from which they formed. Thus, this spontaneous reaction occurs with a decrease in the system's entropy.

What, then, is the thermodynamic criterion for a spontaneous process? Equations 1-4 and 1-7 indicate that at constant temperature and pressure,

$$\Delta S \geq \frac{q_r}{T} = \frac{\Delta H}{T} \qquad [1\text{-}8]$$

Thus,

$$\Delta H - T\Delta S \leq 0 \qquad [1\text{-}9]$$

This is the true criterion for spontaneity as formulated, in 1878, by J. Willard Gibbs. He defined the **Gibbs free energy** (***G,*** usually called just **free energy**) as

$$G = H - TS \qquad [1\text{-}10]$$

The change in free energy for a process is ΔG. Consequently, spontaneous processes at constant temperature and pressure have

$$\boxed{\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S < 0} \qquad [1\text{-}11]$$

Processes in which ΔG is negative are said to be **exergonic** (Greek: *ergon,* work). Processes that are not spontaneous have positive ΔG values ($\Delta G > 0$) and are said to be **endergonic**; they must be driven by the input of free energy. If a process is exergonic, the reverse of that process is endergonic and vice versa. Thus, the ΔG value for a process indicates whether the process can occur spontaneously in the direction written (see Sample Calculation 1-1). Processes at **equilibrium**, those in which the forward and reverse reactions are exactly balanced, are characterized by $\Delta G = 0$. Processes that occur with $\Delta G \approx 0$, so the system, in effect, remains at equilibrium throughout the process, are said to be **reversible**. Processes that occur with $\Delta G \neq 0$ are said to be **irreversible.** An irreversible process with $\Delta G < 0$ is said to be **favorable** or to occur spontaneously, whereas an irreversible process with $\Delta G > 0$ is said to be **unfavorable.**

A process that is accompanied by an increase in enthalpy ($\Delta H > 0$), which opposes the process, can nevertheless proceed spontaneously if the entropy change is sufficiently positive ($\Delta S > 0$; **Table 1-4**). Conversely, a process that is accompanied by a decrease in entropy ($\Delta S < 0$) can proceed if its enthalpy change is sufficiently negative ($\Delta H < 0$). It is important to emphasize that *a large negative value of* ΔG *does not ensure that a process such as a chemical reaction*

TABLE 1-4 Variation of Reaction Spontaneity (Sign of ΔG) with the Signs of ΔH and ΔS

ΔH	ΔS	$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$
−	+	The reaction is both enthalpically favored (exothermic) and entropically favored. It is spontaneous (exergonic) at all temperatures.
−	−	The reaction is enthalpically favored but entropically opposed. It is spontaneous only at temperatures *below* $T = \Delta H/\Delta S$.
+	+	The reaction is enthalpically opposed (endothermic) but entropically favored. It is spontaneous only at temperatures *above* $T = \Delta H/\Delta S$.
+	−	The reaction is both enthalpically and entropically opposed. It is nonspontaneous (endergonic) at all temperatures.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 1-1

The enthalpy and entropy of the initial and final states of a reacting system are shown in the table.

	H ($J \cdot mol^{-1}$)	S ($J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$)
Initial state (before reaction)	54,000	22
Final state (after reaction)	60,000	43

a. Calculate the change in enthalpy and change in entropy for the reaction.

b. Calculate the change in free energy for the reaction when the temperature is 4°C. Is the reaction spontaneous?

c. Is the reaction spontaneous at 37°C?

a. $\Delta H = H_{final} - H_{initial} = 60{,}000\ J \cdot mol^{-1} - 54{,}000\ J \cdot mol^{-1} = 6000\ J \cdot mol^{-1}$

$\Delta S = S_{final} - S_{initial} = \Delta S = 43\ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1} - 22\ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$
$= 21\ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$

b. First, convert temperature from °C to K: 4 + 273 = 277 K. Then use Eq. 1-11.

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$$
$$\Delta G = (6000\ J \cdot mol^{-1}) - (277\ K)(21\ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1})$$
$$= 6000\ J \cdot mol^{-1} - 5820\ J \cdot mol^{-1} = 180\ J \cdot mol^{-1}$$

The value for ΔG is greater than zero, so this is an endergonic (nonspontaneous) reaction at 4°C.

c. Convert temperature from °C to K: 37 + 273 = 310 K.

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$$
$$\Delta G = (6000\ J \cdot mol^{-1}) - (310\ K)(21\ J \cdot K \cdot mol^{-1})$$
$$= 6000\ J \cdot mol^{-1} - 6510\ J \cdot mol^{-1} = -510\ J \cdot mol^{-1}$$

The value for ΔG is less than zero, so the reaction is spontaneous (exergonic) at 37°C.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

will proceed at a measurable rate. The rate depends on the detailed mechanism of the reaction, which is independent of ΔG (Section 11-2).

Free energy, as well as energy, enthalpy, and entropy, are **state functions**. In other words, their values depend only on the current state or properties of the system, not on how the system reached that state. Therefore, *thermodynamic measurements can be made by considering only the initial and final states of the system and ignoring all the stepwise changes in enthalpy and entropy that occur in between.* For example, it is impossible to directly measure the energy change for the reaction of glucose with O_2 ***in vivo*** (in a living organism) because of the numerous other simultaneously occurring chemical reactions. However, because ΔG depends on only the initial and final states, the combustion of glucose can be analyzed in any convenient apparatus, using the same starting materials (glucose and O_2) and end products (CO_2 and H_2O) that occur *in vivo.* A system may undergo an irreversible cyclic process that returns it to its initial state and hence, for this system, $\Delta G = 0$. However, this process must be accompanied by an increase in the entropy (disordering) of the surroundings so that for the universe, $\Delta G < 0$.

Note that heat (q) and work (w) are not state functions. This is because, as Eq. 1-1 indicates, they are interchangeable forms of energy and hence the change in both these quantities varies with the pathway taken in changing the state of a system. It is therefore invalid to refer to the heat content or the work content of a system (in the same way that it is invalid to describe the money in your bank account as consisting only of a fixed number of pennies and dimes).

D Free Energy Changes Can Be Calculated from Reactant and Product Concentrations

The entropy (disorder) of a substance increases with its volume. For example, a collection of gas molecules, in occupying all of the volume available to it, maximizes its entropy (assumes its most disordered arrangement). Similarly, dissolved molecules become uniformly distributed throughout their solution volume. Entropy is therefore a function of concentration.

If entropy varies with concentration, so must free energy. Thus, *the free energy change of a chemical reaction depends on the concentrations of both its reacting substances* (*reactants*) *and its reaction products.* This phenomenon has great significance because many biochemical reactions operate spontaneously in either direction depending on the relative concentrations of their reactants and products.

Equilibrium Constants Are Related to ΔG. Only changes in free energy, enthalpy, and entropy (ΔG, ΔH, and ΔS) can be measured, not their absolute values (G, H, and S). To compare these changes for different substances, it is therefore necessary to express their values relative to some **standard state** (likewise, we refer the elevations of geographic locations to sea level, which is arbitrarily assigned the height of zero). We discuss standard state conventions below.

The relationship between the concentration and the free energy of a substance A is approximately

$$\overline{G}_A = \overline{G}_A^\circ + RT \ln [A] \qquad [1\text{-}12]$$

where $\overline{G}_A$ is known as the **partial molar free energy** or the **chemical potential** of A (the bar indicates the quantity per mole), $\overline{G}_A^\circ$ is the partial molar free energy of A in its standard state, R is the gas constant, and [A] is the molar concentration of A. Thus, for the general reaction

$$a\text{A} + b\text{B} \rightleftharpoons c\text{C} + d\text{D}$$

the free energy change is

$$\Delta G = c\overline{G}_C + d\overline{G}_D - a\overline{G}_A - b\overline{G}_B \qquad [1\text{-}13]$$

and

$$\Delta G^\circ = c\overline{G}_C^\circ + d\overline{G}_D^\circ - a\overline{G}_A^\circ - b\overline{G}_B^\circ \qquad [1\text{-}14]$$

because free energies are additive and the free energy change of a reaction is the sum of the free energies of the products less those of the reactants. Substituting these relationships into Eq. 1-12 yields

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^\circ + RT \ln\left(\frac{[C]^c[D]^d}{[A]^a[B]^b}\right) \qquad [1\text{-}15]$$

where ΔG° is the free energy change of the reaction when all of its reactants and products are in their standard states (see below). Thus, the expression for the free energy change of a reaction consists of two parts: (1) a constant term whose value depends only on the reaction taking place and (2) a variable term that depends on the concentrations of the reactants and the products, the stoichiometry of the reaction, and the temperature.

For a reaction at equilibrium, there is no *net* change (the rates of the forward and reverse reactions are equal) because the free energy change of the forward reaction exactly balances that of the reverse reaction. Consequently, $\Delta G = 0$, so Eq. 1-15 becomes

$$\boxed{\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_{eq}} \qquad [1\text{-}16]$$

where K_{eq} is the familiar **equilibrium constant** of the reaction:

$$K_{eq} = \frac{[C]_{eq}^{c}[D]_{eq}^{d}}{[A]_{eq}^{a}[B]_{eq}^{b}} = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ}/RT} \qquad [1\text{-}17]$$

The subscript "eq" denotes reactant and product concentrations at equilibrium. (The equilibrium condition is usually clear from the context of the situation, so equilibrium concentrations are usually expressed without this subscript.) *The equilibrium constant of a reaction can therefore be calculated from standard free energy data and vice versa* (see Sample Calculation 1-2). The actual free energy change for a reaction can be calculated from the standard free energy change (ΔG°) and the actual concentrations of the reactants and products (see Sample Calculation 1-3).

SAMPLE CALCULATION 1-3

Using the data provided in Sample Calculation 1-2, what is the actual free energy change for the reaction A → B at 37°C when [A] = 10.0 mM and [B] = 0.100 mM?

Use Equation 1-15 and remember that the units for concentration are moles per liter.

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ} + RT \ln \frac{[B]}{[A]}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G &= -15{,}000\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1}} + (8.314\ \mathrm{J\ mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}})(37 + 273\ \mathrm{K})\ \ln(0.0001/0.01)\\ &= -15{,}000\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1}} - 11{,}900\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1}}\\ &= -26{,}900\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1}}\end{aligned}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 1-2

The standard free energy change for the reaction A → B is $-15.0\ \mathrm{kJ \cdot mol^{-1}}$. What is the equilibrium constant for the reaction at 25°C?

Since ΔG° is known, Eq. 1-17 can be used to calculate K_{eq}. The absolute temperature is 25 + 273 = 298 K.

$$\begin{aligned}K_{eq} &= e^{-\Delta G^{\circ}/RT}\\ &= e^{-(-15{,}000\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1}})/(8314\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}})(298\ \mathrm{K})}\\ &= e^{6.05}\\ &= 426\end{aligned}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

Equations 1-15 through 1-17 indicate that when the reactants in a process are in excess of their equilibrium concentrations, the net reaction will proceed in the forward direction until the excess reactants have been converted to products and equilibrium is attained. Conversely, when products are in excess, the net reaction proceeds in the reverse direction. Thus, as **Le Châtelier's principle** states, *any deviation from equilibrium stimulates a process that tends to restore the system to equilibrium.* In cells, many metabolic reactions are freely reversible ($\Delta G \approx 0$), and the direction of the reaction can shift as reactants and products are added to or removed from the cell. Some metabolic reactions, however, are irreversible; they proceed in only one direction (that with $\Delta G < 0$), which permits the cell to maintain reactant and product concentrations far from their equilibrium values.

***K* Depends on Temperature.** The manner in which the equilibrium constant varies with temperature can be seen by substituting Eq. 1-11 into Eq. 1-16 and rearranging:

$$\ln K_{eq} = \frac{-\Delta H^{\circ}}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + \frac{\Delta S^{\circ}}{R} \qquad [1\text{-}18]$$

where H° and S° represent enthalpy and entropy in the standard state. Equation 1-18 has the form $y = mx + b$, the equation for a straight line. A plot of $\ln K_{eq}$ versus $1/T$, known as a **van't Hoff plot**, permits the values of ΔH° and ΔS° (and hence ΔG°) to be determined from measurements of K_{eq} at two (or more) different temperatures. This method is often more practical than directly measuring ΔH and ΔS by calorimetry (which measures the heat, q_P, of a process).

Biochemists Have Defined Standard-State Conventions. According to the convention used in physical chemistry, a solute is in its standard state when the

temperature is 25°C, the pressure is 1 atm, and the solute has an **activity** of 1 (activity of a substance is its concentration corrected for its nonideal behavior at concentrations higher than infinite dilution).

The concentrations of reactants and products in most biochemical reactions are usually so low (on the order of millimolar or less) that their activities are closely approximated by their molar concentrations. Furthermore, because biochemical reactions occur near neutral pH, biochemists have adopted a somewhat different **biochemical standard-state** convention:

1. The activity of pure water is assigned a value of 1, even though its concentration is 55.5 M. This procedure simplifies the free energy expressions for reactions in dilute solutions involving water as a reactant, because the $[H_2O]$ term can then be ignored. In essence, the $[H_2O]$ term is incorporated into the value of the equilibrium constant.
2. The hydrogen ion (H^+) activity is assigned a value of 1 at the physiologically relevant pH of 7. Thus, the biochemical standard state is pH 7.0 (neutral pH, where $[H^+] = 10^{-7}$ M) rather than pH 0 ($[H^+] = 1$ M), the physical chemical standard state, where many biological substances are unstable.
3. The standard state of a substance that can undergo an acid–base reaction is defined in terms of the total concentration of its naturally occurring ion mixture at pH 7. In contrast, the physical chemistry convention refers to a pure species, whether or not it actually exists at pH 0. The advantage of the biochemistry convention is that the total concentration of a substance with multiple ionization states, such as most biological molecules, is usually easier to measure than the concentration of one of its ionic species. *Because the ionic composition of an acid or base varies with pH, however, the standard free energies calculated according to the biochemical convention are valid only at pH 7.*

Under the biochemistry convention, the standard free energy changes of reactions are customarily symbolized by $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ to distinguish them from physical chemistry standard free energy changes, ΔG°. If a reaction includes neither H_2O, H^+, nor an ionizable species, then its $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = \Delta G^{\circ}$.

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Direction of a Reaction

The free energy change for a reaction, which depends on the reactant and product concentrations as well as the standard free energy change for that reaction, determines whether the process occurs in the forward or reverse direction. Adding products or removing reactants can cause the reaction to proceed in the opposite direction.

E Life Achieves Homeostasis While Obeying the Laws of Thermodynamics

At one time, many scientists believed that life, with its inherent complexity and order, somehow evaded the laws of thermodynamics. However, elaborate measurements on living animals are consistent with the conservation of energy predicted by the first law. Unfortunately, experimental verification of the second law is not practicable, since it requires dismantling an organism to its component molecules, which would result in its irreversible death. Consequently, it is possible to assert only that the entropy of living matter is less than that of the products to which it decays. *Life persists, however, because a system (a living organism) can be ordered at the expense of disordering its surroundings to an even greater extent.* In other words, the total entropy of the system plus its surroundings increases, as required by the second law. Living organisms achieve order by disordering (breaking down) the nutrients they consume. Thus, *the entropy content of food is as important as its energy content.*

Living Organisms Are Open Systems. Classical thermodynamics applies primarily to reversible processes in **isolated systems** (which cannot exchange matter or energy with their surroundings) or in **closed systems** (which can exchange only energy). An isolated system inevitably reaches equilibrium. For example, if

FIG. 1-11 Energy flow in the biosphere. Plants use the sun's radiant energy to synthesize carbohydrates in a process that uses CO_2 and H_2O. Plants or the animals that eat them eventually metabolize the carbohydrates to release their stored free energy and thereby return CO_2 and H_2O to the environment.

its reactants are in excess, the forward reaction will proceed faster than the reverse reaction until equilibrium is attained ($\Delta G = 0$), at which point the forward and reverse reactions exactly balance each other. In contrast, **open systems**, which exchange both matter and energy with their surroundings, can reach equilibrium only after the flow of matter and energy has stopped.

Living organisms, which take up nutrients, release waste products, and generate work and heat, are open systems and therefore can never be at equilibrium. They continuously ingest high-enthalpy, low-entropy nutrients, which they convert to low-enthalpy, high-entropy waste products. The free energy released in this process powers the cellular activities that produce and maintain the high degree of organization characteristic of life. If this process is interrupted, the system ultimately reaches equilibrium, which for living things is synonymous with death. An example of energy flow in an open system is illustrated in **Fig. 1-11**. Through photosynthesis, plants convert radiant energy from the sun, the primary energy source for life on the earth, to the chemical energy of carbohydrates and other organic substances. The plants, or the animals that eat them, then metabolize these substances to power such functions as the synthesis of biomolecules, the maintenance of intracellular ion concentrations, and cellular movements.

Living Things Maintain a Non-Equilibrium Steady State. Even in a system that is not at equilibrium, matter and energy flow according to the laws of thermodynamics. For example, materials tend to move from areas of high concentration to areas of low concentration. This is why blood takes up O_2 in the lungs, where O_2 is abundant, and releases it to the tissues, where O_2 is scarce.

Living systems are characterized by being in a non-equilibrium **steady state**. This means that all flows in the system are constant so that the system maintains **homeostasis** (does not change with time). An example of metabolic homeostasis is the hormonal maintenance of mammalian blood glucose levels within rigid limits during feast or famine (Section 22-2). Energy flow in the biosphere (Fig. 1-11) is an example of an open system in a non-equilibrium steady state. Slight perturbations from the steady state give rise to changes in flows that restore the system to the steady state (global warming may now be threatening the homeostasis of the biosphere). In all living systems, energy flow is exclusively "downhill" ($\Delta G < 0$). In addition, nature is inherently dissipative, so the recovery

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the relationship between energy (U), heat (q), and work (w).
- Restate the first and second laws of thermodynamics.
- Use the analogy of a china cabinet to describe a system with low entropy or high entropy.
- Explain why changes in both enthalpy (ΔH) and entropy (ΔS) determine the spontaneity of a process.
- What is the relationship between the rate of a process and its thermodynamic spontaneity?
- What is the free energy change for a reaction at equilibrium?
- Write the equation showing the relationship between $\Delta G°$ and K_{eq}.
- Write the equation showing the relationship between ΔG, $\Delta G°$, and the concentrations of the reactants and products.
- Explain how biochemists define the standard state of a solute. Why do biochemists and chemists use different conventions?
- Explain how organisms avoid reaching equilibrium while maintaining a non-equilibrium steady state.
- How do enzymes affect the rate and free energy change of a reaction?

of free energy from a biochemical process is never total and some energy is always lost to the surroundings.

Enzymes Catalyze Biochemical Reactions. Nearly all the molecular components of an organism can potentially react with one another, and many of these reactions are thermodynamically favored (spontaneous). However, only a subset of all possible reactions actually occur to a significant extent in a living organism. As we shall see (Section 11-2), the rate of a particular reaction depends not on the free energy difference between the initial and final states but on the actual path through which the reactants are transformed to products. Living organisms take advantage of **catalysts**, substances that increase the rate at which the reaction approaches equilibrium without affecting the reaction's ΔG and without themselves undergoing a net change. Biological catalysts are referred to as **enzymes**, most of which are proteins (RNA catalysts are also called **ribozymes**).

Enzymes accelerate biochemical reactions by physically interacting with the reactants and products to provide a more favorable pathway for the transformation of one to the other. Enzymes increase the rates of reactions by increasing the likelihood that the reactants can interact productively. Enzymes cannot, however, promote reactions whose ΔG values are positive.

A multitude of enzymes mediate the flow of energy in every cell. As free energy is harvested, stored, or used to perform cellular work, it may be transferred to other molecules. Although it is tempting to think of free energy as something that is stored in chemical bonds, chemical energy can be transformed into heat, electrical work, osmotic work, or mechanical work, according to the needs of the organism and the biochemical machinery with which it has been equipped through evolution.

Summary

1 The Origin of Life

- A model for the origin of life proposes that organisms ultimately arose from simple organic molecules that polymerized to form more complex molecules capable of replicating themselves.

2 Cellular Architecture

- Compartmentation gave rise to cells that developed metabolic reactions for synthesizing biological molecules and generating energy.
- All cells are either prokaryotic or eukaryotic. Eukaryotic cells contain a variety of membrane-bounded organelles.
- Phylogenetic evidence groups organisms into three domains: archaea, bacteria, and eukarya.
- Natural selection determines the evolution of species.

3 Thermodynamics

- The first law of thermodynamics (energy is conserved) and the second law (spontaneous processes increase the disorder of the universe) apply to biochemical processes. The spontaneity of a process is determined by its free energy change ($\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$): Spontaneous reactions have $\Delta G < 0$ and nonspontaneous reactions have $\Delta G > 0$.
- The equilibrium constant for a process is related to the standard free energy change for that process.
- Living organisms are open systems that maintain a non-equilibrium steady state (homeostasis).

Key Terms

prebiotic era **2**
organic compound **2**
functional group **3**
linkage **3**
polymer **3**
condensation reaction **3**
hydrolysis **3**
monomer **3**
replication **3**
natural selection **5**
vesicle **6**
compartmentation **6**
metabolic pathways **6**
precursor **6**
catalyst **6**
eukaryote **7**
nucleus **7**
prokaryote **7**
virus **7**
cytoplasm **7**
organelle **8**
endoplasmic reticulum **8**
Golgi apparatus **8**
mitochondrion **8**
chloroplast **8**
lysosome **8**
peroxisome **8**
vacuole **8**
cytosol **8**
cytoskeleton **8**
taxonomy **9**
phylogeny **9**

archaea **9**
eubacteria **9**
eukarya **9**
methanogens **9**
halobacteria **9**
thermophiles **9**
symbiosis **9**
mutation **10**
thermodynamics **11**
system **11**
surroundings **11**
U **11**
q **12**
w **12**
H **12**
q_P **12**
D **12**
kD **12**
molecular weight, M_r **12**
spontaneous process **12**
W **13**
S **13**
k_B **13**
G **14**
exergonic **14**
endergonic **14**
equilibrium **14**
reversible process **14**
irreversible process **14**
favorable process **14**
unfavorable process **14**
state function **15**
in vivo **15**
standard state **16**
$\overline{G}_A$ **16**
$\overline{G}_A^\circ$ **16**
equilibrium constant **17**
Le Châtelier's principle **17**
van't Hoff plot **17**
activity **18**
isolated system **18**
closed system **18**
open system **19**
non-equilibrium steady state **19**
homeostasis **19**
enzyme **20**
ribozyme **20**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Which of the functional groups in Table 1-3 give a molecule a positive charge? Which give a molecule a negative charge?

2. Identify the circled functional groups and linkages in the compound below.

3. Which reactions tend to be characterized by an increase in entropy: condensation or hydrolysis reactions?

4. The bacterium *Thiomargarita namibiensis*—at about 0.1 to 0.3 mm in diameter—is visible to the human eye. How does its size compare to the size of typical prokaryotic cells? How does it compare to the size of typical eukaryotic cells?

5. According to molecular sequence data, to which prokaryotic group are eukaryotes more closely related?

6. (a) Which has greater entropy, liquid water at 0°C or ice at 0°C?

(b) How does the entropy of ice at −5°C differ, if at all, from its entropy at −50°C?

7. Does entropy increase or decrease in the following processes?

(a) $N_2 + 3\,H_2 \rightarrow 2\,NH_3$

(b)

(c) 1 M NaCl

0.5 M NaCl

(d)

8. Can a process occur if the entropy of the system decreases and the enthalpy increases?

9. Label the following statements true or false:

(a) A reaction is said to be spontaneous when it can proceed in either the forward or reverse direction.

(b) A spontaneous process always happens very quickly.

(c) A nonspontaneous reaction will proceed spontaneously in the reverse direction.

(d) A spontaneous process can occur with a large decrease in entropy.

10. Consider a reaction with $\Delta H = 15$ kJ and $\Delta S = 50\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$. Is the reaction spontaneous (a) at 10°C, (b) at 80°C?

11. For the reaction A → B at 298 K, the change in enthalpy is $-7\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and the change in entropy is $-25\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. Is the reaction spontaneous? If not, should the temperature be increased or decreased to make the reaction spontaneous?

12. When the reaction A + B ⇌ C is at equilibrium, the concentrations of reactants are as follows: [A] = 2 mM, [B] = 3 mM, and [C] = 9 mM. What is the standard free energy change for the reaction?

13. Calculate $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the reaction A + B ⇌ C + D at 25°C when the equilibrium concentrations are [A] = 10 μM, [B] = 15 μM, [C] = 3 μM, and [D] = 5 μM. Is the reaction exergonic or endergonic under standard conditions?

14. Calculate the equilibrium constant for the reaction

$$\text{glucose-1-phosphate} + H_2O \rightarrow \text{glucose} + H_2PO_4^-$$

at pH 7.0 and 25°C ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -20.9\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$).

15. $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the isomerization reaction

$$\text{glucose-1-phosphate (G1P)} \rightleftharpoons \text{glucose-6-phosphate (G6P)}$$

is $-7.1\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. Calculate the equilibrium ratio of [G1P] to [G6P] at 25°C.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

16. Why is the cell membrane not an absolute barrier between the cytoplasm and the external environment?

17. Most of the volume of *T. namibiensis* cells (see Problem 4) is occupied by a large central vacuole. Why were scientists surprised to discover a bacterial cell containing a vacuole?

18. A spheroidal bacterium with a diameter of 1 μm contains two molecules of a particular protein. What is the molar concentration of the protein?

19. How many glucose molecules does the cell in Problem 18 contain when its internal glucose concentration is 1.0 mM?

20. For the conversion of reactant A to product B, the change in enthalpy is 7 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ and the change is entropy is 20 $J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$. Above what temperature does the reaction become spontaneous?

21. The equilibrium constant for the reaction $Q \rightarrow R$ is 25.

(a) If 50 μM of Q is mixed with 50 μM of R, which way will the reaction proceed to generate more Q or more R?

(b) Calculate the equilibrium concentrations of Q and R.

22. At 10°C, K_{eq} for a reaction is 100. At 30°C, $K_{eq} = 10$. Does enthalpy increase or decrease during the reaction?

23. Two biochemical reactions have the same $K_{eq} = 5 \times 10^8$ at temperature $T_1 = 298$ K. However, Reaction 1 has $\Delta H° = -28\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ and Reaction 2 has $\Delta H° = +28\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$. The two reactions utilize the same reactants. Your lab partner has proposed that you can get more of the reactants to proceed via Reaction 2 rather than Reaction 1 by lowering the temperature of the reaction. Will this strategy work? Why or why not? How much would the temperature have to be raised or lowered in order to change the value of K_2/K_1 from 1 to 10?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 The Periodic Table of the Elements and Domains of Life

Exercise 2 Organic Functional Groups and the Three-Dimensional Structure of Vitamin C

MORE TO EXPLORE Look up *methanogen* and *methanotroph*. Where do such organisms occur? Summarize how they obtain matter and energy from their surroundings. Draw a diagram to illustrate the metabolic interdependence of methanogens and methanotrophs.

References

Origin and Evolution of Life

Anet, F.A.L., The place of metabolism in the origin of life, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **8,** 654–659 (2004). [Discusses various hypotheses proposing that life originated as a self-replicating system or as a set of catalytic polymers.]

Bada, J.L. and Lazcano, A., Prebiotic soup—revisiting the Miller experiment, *Science* **300,** 745–756 (2003).

McNichol, J., Primordial soup, fool's gold, and spontaneous generation, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Ed.* **36,** 255–261 (2008). [A brief introduction to the theory, history, and philosophy of the search for the origin of life.]

Nisbet, E.G. and Sleep, N.H., The habitat and nature of early life, *Nature* **409,** 1083–1091 (2001). [Explains some of the hypotheses regarding the early earth and the origin of life, including the possibility that life originated at hydrothermal vents.]

Cells

Reece, J.B., Urry, L.A., Cail, M.L., and Wasserman, S.A., *Campbell Biology* (10th ed.), Benjamin/Cummings (2014). [This and other comprehensive general biology texts provide details about the structures of prokaryotes and eukaryotes.]

DeLong, E.F. and Pace, N.R., Environmental diversity of bacteria and archaea, *Syst. Biol.* **593,** 470–478 (2001). [Describes some of the challenges of classifying microbial organisms among the three domains.]

Goodsell, D.S., *The Machinery of Life* (2nd ed.), Springer (2009).

Lodish, H., Berk, A., Kaiser, C.A., Krieger, M., Bretscher A., Ploegh, H., Amon, A., and Scott, M.P., *Molecular Cell Biology* (7th ed.), W.H. Freeman (2012). [This and other cell biology textbooks offer thorough discussions of cellular structure.]

Thermodynamics

Kuriyan, J., Konforti, B., and Wemmer, D., *The Molecules of Life. Physical and Chemical Principles,* Chapters 6–10, Garland Science (2013).

Tinoco, I., Jr., Sauer, K., Wang, J.C., Puglisi, J.C., Harbison, G., and Rovnyak, D., *Physical Chemistry. Principles and Applications in Biological Sciences* (5th ed.), Chapters 2–4, Prentice-Hall (2014). [Most physical chemistry texts treat thermodynamics in some detail.]

van Holde, K.E., Johnson, W.C., and Ho, P.S., *Principles of Physical Biochemistry* (2nd ed.), Chapters 2 and 3, Prentice-Hall (2006).

Kuttelvaserova Stuchelova/Shutterstock

Water on a leaf's surface forms tiny spheres due to the cohesion of water molecules, the result of hydrogen bonding between water molecules. Such weak interactions also play a central role in the structures and functions of biological molecules.

CHAPTER 2

Water

Chapter Contents

1 Physical Properties of Water
- A Water Is a Polar Molecule
- B Hydrophilic Substances Dissolve in Water
- C The Hydrophobic Effect Causes Nonpolar Substances to Aggregate in Water
- D Water Moves by Osmosis and Solutes Move by Diffusion

2 Chemical Properties of Water
- A Water Ionizes to Form H^+ and OH^-
- B Acids and Bases Alter the pH
- C Buffers Resist Changes in pH

Any study of the chemistry of life must include a study of water. Biological molecules and the reactions they undergo can be best understood in the context of their aqueous environment. Not only are organisms made mostly of water (about 70% of the mass of the human body is water), they are surrounded by water on this, the "blue planet." Aside from its sheer abundance, water is central to biochemistry for the following reasons:

1. Nearly all biological molecules assume their shapes (and therefore their functions) in response to the physical and chemical properties of the surrounding water.
2. The medium for the majority of biochemical reactions is water. Reactants and products of metabolic reactions, nutrients as well as waste products, depend on water for transport within and between cells.
3. Water itself actively participates in many chemical reactions that support life. Frequently, the ionic components of water, the H^+ and OH^- ions, are the true reactants. In fact, the reactivity of many functional groups on biological molecules depends on the relative concentrations of H^+ and OH^- in the surrounding medium.

All organisms require water, from the marine creatures who spend their entire lives in an aqueous environment to terrestrial organisms that must guard their watery interiors with protective skins. Not surprisingly, living organisms can be found wherever there is liquid water—in hydrothermal vents as hot as 121°C and in the cracks and crevices between rocks hundreds of meters beneath the surface of the earth. Organisms that survive desiccation do so only by becoming dormant, as seeds or spores.

An examination of water from a biochemical point of view requires a look at the physical properties of water, its powers as a solvent, and its chemical behavior—that is, the nature of aqueous acids and bases.

1 Physical Properties of Water

KEY CONCEPTS

- Water molecules, which are polar, can form hydrogen bonds with other molecules.
- In ice, water molecules are hydrogen bonded in a crystalline array, but in liquid water, hydrogen bonds rapidly break and re-form in irregular networks.
- The attractive forces acting on biological molecules include ionic interactions, hydrogen bonds, and van der Waals interactions.
- Polar and ionic substances can dissolve in water.
- The hydrophobic effect explains the exclusion of nonpolar groups as a way to maximize the entropy of water molecules.
- Amphiphilic substances form micelles or bilayers that hide their hydrophobic groups while exposing their hydrophilic groups to water.
- Molecules diffuse across membranes that are permeable to them from regions of higher concentration to regions of lower concentration.
- During dialysis, solutes diffuse across a semipermeable membrane from regions of higher concentration to regions of lower concentration.

The colorless, odorless, and tasteless nature of water belies its fundamental importance to living organisms. Despite its bland appearance to our senses, water is anything but inert. Its physical properties—unique among molecules of similar size—give it unparalleled strength as a solvent, and yet its limitations as a solvent also have important implications for the structures and functions of biological molecules.

FIG. 2-1 Structure of the water molecule. (*a*) The shaded area represents the van der Waals envelope, the effective "surface" of the molecule. (*b*) The oxygen atom's sp^3 orbitals are arranged tetrahedrally. Two orbitals contain nonbonding electron pairs.

? Identify the electron-rich and electron-poor regions of the water molecule.

A Water Is a Polar Molecule

A water molecule consists of two hydrogen atoms covalently bonded to an oxygen atom. The O—H bond distance is 0.958 Å (1 Å = 10^{-10} m), and the angle formed by the three atoms is 104.5° (**Fig. 2-1**). The hydrogen atoms are not arranged linearly, because the oxygen atom's four sp^3 hybrid orbitals extend roughly toward the corners of a tetrahedron. Hydrogen atoms occupy two corners of the tetrahedron, and the nonbonding electron pairs of the oxygen atom occupy the other two corners (in a perfectly tetrahedral molecule, such as methane, CH_4, the bond angles are 109.5°; the deviation of water's bond angle from an exact tetrahedral angle is due to the greater repulsion between the electron pairs in water's lone pair orbitals relative to those between the less closely held electron pairs forming its C—H bonds).

Water Molecules Form Hydrogen Bonds. The angular geometry of the water molecule has enormous implications for living systems. Water is a **polar molecule**: the oxygen atom with its unshared electrons carries a partial negative charge (δ^-) of $-0.66e$, and the hydrogen atoms each carry a partial positive charge (δ^+) of $+0.33e$, where e is the charge of the electron. Electrostatic attractions between the dipoles of water molecules are crucial to the properties of water itself and to its role as a biochemical solvent. Neighboring water molecules tend to orient themselves so that the O—H bond of one water molecule (the positive end) points toward one of the electron pairs of the other water molecule (the negative end). The resulting directional intermolecular association is known as a **hydrogen bond** (**Fig. 2-2**).

In general, a hydrogen bond can be represented as D—H···A, where D—H is a weakly acidic "donor" group such as O—H or N—H, and A is a weakly basic, lone pair-bearing, "acceptor" atom such as O or N. Hydrogen bonds are structurally characterized by an H···A distance that is at least 0.5 Å shorter than the calculated **van der Waals distance** (the distance of closest approach between two nonbonded atoms). In water, for example, the O···H hydrogen bond distance is ~1.8 Å, versus 2.6 Å for the corresponding van der Waals distance. C—H groups, for the most part, do not participate in hydrogen bonds due to their lack of polarity (C and H atoms have nearly equal electronegativities).

FIG. 2-2 A hydrogen bond between two water molecules. The hydrogen bond is represented by a dotted line. The strength of the interaction is maximal when the O—H covalent bond of one molecule points directly toward the lone-pair electron cloud of the other.

FIG. 2-3 The structure of ice. Each water molecule interacts tetrahedrally with four other water molecules. Oxygen atoms are red and hydrogen atoms are white. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines. [After Pauling, L., *The Nature of the Chemical Bond* (3rd ed.), p. 465, Cornell University Press (1960).]

? Expand this ice crystal by drawing additional water molecules with the appropriate hydrogen bonds.

A single water molecule contains two hydrogen atoms that can be "donated" and two unshared electron pairs that can act as "acceptors," so each molecule can participate in a maximum of four hydrogen bonds with other water molecules. Although the energy required to break an individual hydrogen bond ($\sim$20 kJ · mol^{-1}) is relatively small (e.g., the energy required to break an O—H covalent bond is 460 kJ · mol^{-1}), the sheer number of hydrogen bonds in a sample of water is the key to its remarkable properties.

Ice Is a Crystal of Hydrogen-Bonded Water Molecules. The structure of ice provides a striking example of the cumulative strength of many hydrogen bonds. X-Ray and neutron diffraction studies have established that water molecules in ice are arranged in an unusually open structure. Each water molecule is tetrahedrally surrounded by four nearest neighbors to which it is hydrogen bonded (**Fig. 2-3**). As a consequence of its open structure, water is one of the very few substances that expands on freezing (at 0°C, liquid water has a density of 1.00 g · mL^{-1}, whereas ice has a density of 0.92 g · mL^{-1}).

The expansion of water on freezing has overwhelming consequences for life on the earth. Suppose that water contracted on freezing—that is, became more dense rather than less dense. Ice would then sink to the bottoms of lakes and oceans rather than float. This ice would be insulated from the sun so that oceans, with the exception of a thin surface layer of liquid in warm weather, would be permanently frozen solid (the water at great depths, even in tropical oceans, is close to 4°C, its temperature of maximum density). Thus, the earth would be locked in a permanent ice age and life might never have arisen.

The melting of ice represents the collapse of the tetrahedral orientation of hydrogen-bonded water molecules, although hydrogen bonds between water molecules persist in the liquid state. In fact, liquid water is only 15% less hydrogen bonded than ice. [Water's enthalpy of sublimation (ΔH for converting a solid to a gas) is 47 kJ · mol^{-1}, of which only $\sim$6 kJ · mol^{-1} is attributable to the kinetic energy of gaseous water molecules. Thus, water's 6 kJ · mol^{-1} enthalpy of fusion (ΔH for converting a solid to a liquid) is $(6 \times 100)/(47 - 6) = 15\%$ of the energy required to break all of water's intermolecular hydrogen bonds (gaseous water molecules at 1 atm are too far apart to have significant intermolecular interactions).] Moreover, the boiling point of water (100°C) is 264°C higher than that of methane (−164°C), a substance with nearly the same molecular mass as H_2O but which is incapable of hydrogen bonding (substances with similar intermolecular associations and equal molecular masses should have similar boiling points). These and other phenomena, such as water's high surface tension, reflect the extraordinarily large internal cohesiveness of liquid water resulting from its intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

The Structure of Liquid Water Is Irregular. Because each molecule of liquid water reorients about once every 10^{-12} s, very few experimental techniques can explore the instantaneous arrangement of these water molecules. Theoretical considerations and spectroscopic evidence suggest that molecules in liquid water are, on average, each hydrogen bonded to 3.4 nearest neighbors, much as they are in ice. These hydrogen bonds are distorted, however, so the networks of linked molecules are irregular and varied. For example, three- to seven-membered rings of hydrogen-bonded molecules commonly occur in liquid water (**Fig. 2-4**), in contrast to the six-membered rings characteristic of ice (Fig. 2-3). Moreover, these networks continually break up and re-form every 2×10^{-11} s or so. *Liquid*

GATEWAY CONCEPT Molecular Behavior

When we describe the properties of a substance, such as water or another molecule, we are really describing the average behavior of a large population of molecules. Most biochemical methods cannot assess the behavior of an individual molecule.

FIG. 2-4 Rings of water molecules. These models, containing three, four, or five molecules, are based on theoretical predictions and spectroscopic data. [After Liu, K., Cruzan, J.D., and Saykally, R.J., *Science* **271**, 929 (1996).]

water therefore consists of rapidly fluctuating, three-dimensional networks (clusters) of hydrogen-bonded H_2O molecules. Because ΔH for the melting and sublimation of ice are both positive quantities and $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$ must be negative for a spontaneous process (Section 1-3C), it is the increase in ΔS—that is, the disordering of its water molecules—that drives these processes.

Hydrogen Bonds and Other Weak Interactions Influence Biological Molecules. Biochemists are concerned not just with the strong covalent bonds that define chemical structure, but also with the weak forces that act under relatively mild physical conditions. The structures of most biological molecules are determined by the collective influence of many individually weak noncovalent interactions. The weak electrostatic forces of biochemical interest include ionic interactions, hydrogen bonds, and van der Waals forces.

The energy of association of two electric charges, q_1 and q_2, that are immersed in a medium of **dielectric constant** D and separated by the distance r, is given by **Coulomb's law**:

$$U = \frac{kq_1q_2}{Dr} \qquad [2\text{-}1]$$

where $k = 9.0 \times 10^9\ \mathrm{J \cdot m \cdot C^{-2}}$ is a proportionality constant. The dielectric constant varies with the polarity of the medium; it is 1 (by definition) in a vacuum, in the range 1.5 to 3 in hydrocarbons, and 78.5 in liquid water. In general, the energy of association of two charged groups (the energy required to completely separate them in the medium of interest) is less than the energy of a covalent bond but greater than the energy of a hydrogen bond (Table 2-1).

The noncovalent associations between neutral molecules, collectively known as **van der Waals forces**, arise from electrostatic interactions among permanent or induced dipoles (the hydrogen bond is a special kind of dipolar interaction). Interactions among permanent dipoles such as carbonyl groups (Fig. 2-5*a*) are much weaker than ionic interactions. Moreover, their energies vary with r^{-3}, so they rapidly attenuate with distance. A permanent dipole also induces a dipole moment in a neighboring group by electrostatically distorting its electron distribution (Fig. 2-5*b*). Such dipole–induced dipole interactions are generally much weaker than dipole–dipole interactions.

At any instant, nonpolar molecules have a small, randomly oriented dipole moment resulting from the rapid fluctuating motion of their electrons. This transient dipole moment can polarize the electrons in a neighboring group (Fig. 2-5*c*),

FIG. 2-5 Dipole–dipole interactions. The strength of each dipole is indicated by the thickness of the accompanying arrow. (*a*) Interactions between permanent dipoles. (*b*) Dipole–induced dipole interactions. (*c*) London dispersion forces.

TABLE 2-1 Bond Energies in Biomolecules

Type of Bond	Example	Typical Bond Energy ($\mathrm{kJ \cdot mol^{-1}}$)
Covalent	O—H	460
	C—H	414
	C—C	348
Noncovalent		
Ionic interaction	$—COO^- \cdots {}^+H_3N—$	86
van der Waals forces		
Hydrogen bond	—O—H···O<	20
Dipole–dipole interaction	>C=O···C=O	9.3
London dispersion forces	—C(H)—H···H—C(H)—	0.3

so that the groups are attracted to each other. These so-called **London dispersion forces** are extremely weak and fall off so rapidly with distance (as r^{-6}) that they are significant only for groups in close contact. They are, nevertheless, extremely important in determining the structures of biological molecules, whose interiors contain many closely packed groups (note that London dispersion forces occur between all atoms, in contrast to the other types of noncovalent interactions, which occur only among atoms with differing electronegativities).

GATEWAY CONCEPT Intermolecular Interactions

In biological systems, covalent bonds hold atoms together in molecules, but noncovalent forces—such as ionic interactions, hydrogen bonds, and other van der Waals interactions—determine how those molecules can "recognize" or interact with one another.

B Hydrophilic Substances Dissolve in Water

Solubility depends on the ability of a solvent to interact with a solute more strongly than solute particles interact with each other. Water is said to be the "universal solvent." Although this statement cannot literally be true, water certainly dissolves more types of substances, and in greater amounts, than any other solvent. In particular, the polar character of water makes it an excellent solvent for polar and ionic materials, which are said to be **hydrophilic** (Greek: *hydro,* water + *philos,* loving). On the other hand, nonpolar substances are virtually insoluble in water ("oil and water don't mix") and are consequently described as **hydrophobic** (Greek: *phobos,* fear). Nonpolar substances, however, are soluble in nonpolar solvents such as CCl_4 and hexane. This information is summarized by another maxim, "like dissolves like."

Why do salts such as NaCl dissolve in water? Polar solvents, such as water, which have high dielectric constants, weaken the attractive forces between oppositely charged ions (such as Na^+ and Cl^-) and can therefore hold the ions apart. (In nonpolar solvents, which have low dielectric constants, ions of opposite charge attract each other so strongly that they coalesce to form a solid salt.) An ion immersed in a polar solvent such as water attracts the oppositely charged ends of the solvent dipoles (**Fig. 2-6**). The ion is thereby surrounded by one or more concentric shells of oriented solvent molecules, which essentially spreads the ionic charge over a much larger volume. Such ions are said to be **solvated** or, when water is the solvent, to be **hydrated**.

FIG. 2-6 Solvation of ions. The dipoles of the surrounding water molecules are oriented according to the charge of the ion. Only one layer of solvent molecules is shown. However, this figure is an exaggeration; the rapid fluctuations of the water molecules in solution results in only their partial ordering around their associated ion.

The water molecules in the hydration shell around an ion move more slowly than water molecules that are not involved in solvating the ion. In bulk water, the energetic cost of breaking a hydrogen bond is low because another hydrogen bond is likely to be forming at the same time. The cost is higher for the relatively ordered water molecules of the hydration shell. The energetics of solvation also play a role in chemical reactions, since a reacting group must shed its **waters of hydration** (the molecules in its hydration shell) in order to closely approach another group.

The bond dipoles of uncharged polar molecules make them soluble in aqueous solutions for the same reasons that ionic substances are water soluble. The solubilities of polar and ionic substances are enhanced when they carry functional groups, such as hydroxyl (OH), carbonyl (C═O), carboxylate (COO^-), or ammonium (NH_3^+) groups, that can form hydrogen bonds with water, as illustrated in **Fig. 2-7**. Indeed, water-soluble biomolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, and carbohydrates bristle with just such groups. In contrast, nonpolar substances, such as methane or other hydrocarbons, lack hydrogen-bonding donor and acceptor groups.

C The Hydrophobic Effect Causes Nonpolar Substances to Aggregate in Water

When a nonpolar substance is added to an aqueous solution, it does not dissolve but instead is excluded by the water. *The tendency of water to minimize its contacts*

FIG. 2-7 Hydrogen bonding by functional groups. Water forms hydrogen bonds with (*a*) hydroxyl groups, (*b*) keto groups, (*c*) carboxylate ions, and (*d*) ammonium ions.

? Identify the hydrogen bond donors and acceptors.

TABLE 2-2 Thermodynamic Changes for Transferring Hydrocarbons from Water to Nonpolar Solvents at 25°C

Process	ΔH ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)	$-T\Delta S$ ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)	ΔG ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)
CH_4 in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ CH_4 in C_6H_6	11.7	−22.6	−10.9
CH_4 in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ CH_4 in CCl_4	10.5	−22.6	−12.1
C_2H_6 in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ C_2H_6 in benzene	9.2	−25.1	−15.9
C_2H_4 in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ C_2H_4 in benzene	6.7	−18.8	−12.1
C_2H_2 in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ C_2H_2 in benzene	0.8	−8.8	−8.0
Benzene in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ liquid benzene[a]	0.0	−17.2	−17.2
Toluene in H_2O $\rightleftharpoons$ liquid toluene[a]	0.0	−20.0	−20.0

[a]Data measured at 18°C.

Source: Kauzmann, W., *Adv. Protein Chem.* **14**, 39 (1959).

with hydrophobic molecules is termed the ***hydrophobic effect****.* As we shall see, many large molecules and molecular aggregates, such as proteins, nucleic acids, and cellular membranes, assume their shapes at least partially in response to the hydrophobic effect.

Consider the thermodynamics of transferring a nonpolar molecule from an aqueous solution to a nonpolar solvent. In all cases, the free energy change is negative, which indicates that such transfers are spontaneous processes (Table 2-2). Interestingly, these transfer processes are either endothermic (positive ΔH) or isothermic ($\Delta H = 0$); that is, it is enthalpically more or approximately equally favorable for nonpolar molecules to dissolve in water as in nonpolar media. In contrast, the entropy change (expressed as $-T\Delta S$) is large and negative in all cases. Clearly, the transfer of a hydrocarbon from an aqueous medium to a nonpolar medium is entropically driven (i.e., the free energy change is mostly due to an entropy change).

Entropy, or "randomness," is a measure of the order of a system (Section 1-3B). If entropy increases when a nonpolar molecule leaves an aqueous solution, entropy must decrease when the molecule enters water. This decrease in entropy when a nonpolar molecule is solvated by water is an experimental observation, not a theoretical conclusion, yet the entropy changes are too large to reflect only the changes in the conformations of the hydrocarbons. Thus the entropy changes must arise mainly from some sort of ordering of the water itself. What is the nature of this ordering?

The extensive hydrogen-bonding network of liquid water molecules is disturbed when a nonpolar group intrudes. A nonpolar group can neither accept nor donate hydrogen bonds, so the water molecules at the surface of the cavity occupied by the nonpolar group cannot hydrogen bond to other molecules in their usual fashion. To fully exploit their hydrogen-bonding capacity, these surface water molecules must orient themselves to form a hydrogen-bonded network enclosing the cavity (Fig. 2-8). This orientation constitutes an ordering of the water structure since the number of ways that water molecules can form hydrogen bonds around the surface of a nonpolar group is fewer than the number of ways they can form hydrogen bonds in bulk water. The water molecules can still form the same number of hydrogen bonds, but they must give up some of their rotational and translational freedom to do so.

FIG. 2-8 Orientation of water molecules around a nonpolar solute. To maximize their number of hydrogen bonds, water molecules form a "cage" around the solute. Dotted lines represent hydrogen bonds.

Unfortunately, the ever-fluctuating nature of liquid water's basic structure has not yet allowed a detailed description of this ordering process. One model proposes that water forms transient ice-like hydrogen-bonded "cages" around the nonpolar groups such as that drawn in Fig. 2-8. The water molecules of the cages

are tetrahedrally hydrogen bonded to other water molecules, such that the ordering of water molecules extends several layers beyond the first hydration shell of the nonpolar solute.

The unfavorable free energy of hydration of a nonpolar substance caused by its ordering of the surrounding water molecules has the net result that the nonpolar substance tends to be excluded from the aqueous phase. This is because the surface area of a cavity containing an aggregate of nonpolar molecules is less than the sum of the surface areas of the cavities that each of these molecules would individually occupy (**Fig. 2-9**). *The aggregation of the nonpolar groups thereby minimizes the surface area of the cavity and therefore maximizes the entropy of the entire system.* In this sense, the nonpolar groups are squeezed out of the aqueous phase.

FIG. 2-9 Aggregation of nonpolar molecules in water. (*a*) The individual hydration of dispersed nonpolar molecules (*brown*) decreases the entropy of the system because their hydrating water molecules (*dark blue*) are not as free to interact with other water molecules as they do in the absence of the nonpolar molecules. (*b*) Aggregation of the nonpolar molecules increases the entropy of the system, since the number of water molecules required to hydrate the aggregated solutes is less than the number of water molecules required to hydrate the dispersed solute molecules. This increase in entropy accounts for the spontaneous aggregation of nonpolar substances in water.

? Explain why it is inappropriate to describe the aggregation of nonpolar substances as being the result of "hydrophobic bonds."

Amphiphiles Form Micelles and Bilayers. *Most biological molecules have both polar (or charged) and nonpolar segments and are therefore simultaneously hydrophilic and hydrophobic.* Such molecules, for example, fatty acid ions (soaps; **Fig. 2-10**), are said to be **amphiphilic** or **amphipathic** (Greek: *amphi,* both; *pathos,* suffering). How do amphiphiles interact with an aqueous solvent? Water tends to hydrate the hydrophilic portion of an amphiphile, but it also tends to exclude the hydrophobic portion. Amphiphiles consequently tend to form structurally ordered aggregates. For example, **micelles** are globules of up to several thousand amphiphilic molecules arranged so that the hydrophilic groups at the globule surface can interact with the aqueous solvent while the hydrophobic groups associate at the center, away from the solvent (**Fig. 2-11*a***). Of course, the model presented in Fig. 2-11*a* is an oversimplification, since it is geometrically impossible for all the hydrophobic groups to occupy the center of the micelle. Instead, the amphipathic molecules pack in a more disorganized fashion that buries most of the hydrophobic groups and leaves the polar groups exposed (**Fig. 2-12**).

Alternatively, amphiphilic molecules may arrange themselves to form **bilayered** sheets or vesicles in which the polar groups face the aqueous phase (**Fig. 2-11*b***). In both micelles and bilayers, the aggregate is stabilized by the hydrophobic effect, the tendency of water to exclude hydrophobic groups.

The consequences of the hydrophobic effect are often called hydrophobic forces or hydrophobic "bonds." However, the term *bond* implies a discrete directional relationship between two entities. The hydrophobic effect acts indirectly on nonpolar groups and lacks directionality. Despite the temptation to attribute some mutual attraction to a collection of nonpolar groups excluded from water, their exclusion is largely a function of the entropy of the surrounding water molecules, not some "hydrophobic force" among them (the London dispersion forces between the nonpolar groups are relatively weak).

FIG. 2-11 Structures of micelles and bilayers. In aqueous solution, the polar head groups of amphipathic molecules are hydrated while the nonpolar tails aggregate by exclusion from water. (*a*) A micelle is a spheroidal aggregate. (*b*) A bilayer is an extended planar aggregate.

D Water Moves by Osmosis and Solutes Move by Diffusion

The fluid inside cells and surrounding cells in multicellular organisms is full of dissolved substances ranging from small inorganic ions to huge molecular aggregates. The concentrations of these solutes affect water's **colligative properties**,

FIG. 2-10 Fatty acid anions (soaps). Palmitate and oleate are amphiphilic compounds; each has a polar carboxylate group and a long nonpolar hydrocarbon chain.

FIG. 2-12 Model of a micelle. Twenty molecules of octyl glucoside (an eight-carbon chain with a sugar head group) are shown in space-filling form in this computer-generated model. The polar O atoms of the glucoside groups are red and C atoms are gray. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Computer simulations indicate that such micelles have an irregular, rapidly fluctuating structure (unlike the symmetric aggregate pictured in Fig. 2-11*a*) such that portions of the hydrophobic tails are exposed on the micelle surface at any given instant. [Courtesy of Michael Garavito and Shelagh Ferguson-Miller, Michigan State University.]

the physical properties that depend on the concentration of dissolved substances rather than on their chemical features. For example, solutes depress the freezing point and elevate the boiling point of water by making it more difficult for water molecules to crystallize as ice or to escape from solution into the gas phase.

Osmotic pressure is also a colligative property. When a solution is separated from pure water by a semipermeable membrane that permits the passage of water molecules but not solutes, water moves into the solution due to its tendency to equalize its concentration on both sides of the membrane. **Osmosis** is the net movement of solvent across the membrane from a region of high concentration (here, pure water) to a region of relatively low concentration (water containing dissolved solute).

The **osmotic pressure** of a solution is the pressure that must be applied to the solution to equalize the flow of water across the membrane in both directions (the added pressure forces more water from the solution through the membrane); it is proportional to the concentration of the solute (**Fig. 2-13**). For a 1 M solution, the osmotic pressure is 22.4 atm. Consider the implications of osmotic pressure for living cells, which are essentially semipermeable sacs of aqueous solution. To minimize the osmotic influx of water, which would burst the relatively weak cell membrane, many animal cells are surrounded by a solution of similar osmotic pressure (so there is no net flow of water). Another strategy, used by most plants and bacteria, is to enclose the cell with a rigid cell wall that can withstand the osmotic pressure generated within.

When an aqueous solution is separated from pure water by a membrane that is permeable to both water and solutes, solutes move out of the solution even as water moves in. The molecules move randomly, or **diffuse**, until the concentration of the solute is the same on both sides of the membrane. At this point, equilibrium is established; that is, there is no further *net* flow of water or solute (i.e., molecules continue to move through the membrane, but at the same rate in both directions). Note that the tendency for solutes to diffuse from an area of high concentration to an area of low concentration (i.e., down a concentration gradient) is analogous to the net transport of gas molecules from a region of high pressure to one of low pressure (Fig. 1-10); both processes are thermodynamically favored because they result in an increase in entropy.

FIG. 2-13 Osmotic pressure. (*a*) A water-permeable membrane separates a tube of concentrated solution from pure water. (*b*) As water moves into the solution by osmosis, the height of the solution in the tube increases. (*c*) The pressure that prevents the influx of water is the osmotic pressure (22.4 atm for a 1 M solution).

FIG. 2-14 Dialysis. (*a*) A concentrated solution is separated from a large volume of solvent by a dialysis membrane (shown here as a tube knotted at both ends). Only small molecules can diffuse through the pores in the membrane. (*b*) At equilibrium, the concentrations of small molecules are nearly the same on either side of the membrane, whereas the macromolecules remain inside the dialysis bag.

? Draw arrows in Part (*a*) to indicate the direction of diffusion of water molecules and small solutes.

Diffusion of solutes is the basis for the laboratory technique of **dialysis**. In this process, solutes smaller than the pore size of the dialysis membrane exchange freely between the sample and the bulk solution until equilibrium is reached (Fig. 2-14). Larger substances cannot cross the membrane and remain where they are. Dialysis is particularly useful for separating large molecules, such as proteins or nucleic acids, from smaller molecules. Because small solutes (and water) move freely between the sample and the surrounding medium, dialysis can be repeated several times to replace the sample medium with another solution.

Individuals with kidney failure are kept alive by a dialysis procedure in which the blood is pumped through a machine containing a semipermeable membrane. As blood flows along one side of the membrane, a fluid called the dialysate flows in the opposite direction on the other side. This countercurrent arrangement maximizes the concentration differences between the two solutions so that waste materials such as urea and creatinine (present at high concentration in the blood) will efficiently diffuse through the membrane into the dialysate (where their concentrations are low). Excess water can also be eliminated, as it moves into the dialysate by osmosis. The "cleansed" blood is then returned to the patient. Some challenges of clinical dialysis are the requirement for ultrapure water to prepare the dialysate and the need to monitor the patient's salt and water balance over the long term.

CHECKPOINT

- Sketch a diagram of a water molecule and indicate the ends that bear partial positive and negative charges.
- Compare the structures of ice and water with respect to the number and geometry of hydrogen bonds.
- Which of the functional groups listed in Table 1-2 can function as hydrogen bond donors? As hydrogen bond acceptors?
- Describe the nature and relative strength of covalent bonds, ionic interactions, and van der Waals interactions (hydrogen bonds, dipole–dipole interactions, and London dispersion forces).
- What is the relationship between polarity and hydrophobicity?
- Explain why polar substances dissolve in water while nonpolar substances do not.
- What is the role of entropy in the hydrophobic effect?
- Explain why amphiphiles form micelles or bilayers in water.
- How does osmosis differ from diffusion? Which process occurs during dialysis?
- Describe the osmotic challenges facing a cell placed in pure water or in a high-salt solution.

2 Chemical Properties of Water

KEY CONCEPTS

- A water molecule dissociates to form H^+ and OH^- ions, with a dissociation constant of 10^{-14}.
- The acidity of a solution is expressed as a pH value, where $pH = -\log [H^+]$.
- An acid is a compound that can donate a proton, and a base is a compound that can accept a proton.
- A dissociation constant varies with the strength of an acid.
- The Henderson–Hasselbalch equation relates the pH of a solution of a weak acid to its p*K* and the concentrations of the acid and its conjugate base.
- A titration curve demonstrates that if the concentrations of an acid and its conjugate base are close, the solution is buffered against changes in pH when acid or base is added.
- Many biological molecules contain ionizable groups so that they are sensitive to changes in pH.

FIG. 2-15 Proton jumping. Proton jumps occur more rapidly than direct molecular migration, accounting for the observed high ionic mobilities of hydronium ions (and hydroxide ions) in aqueous solutions.

? Draw a similar diagram to show how a hydroxide ion jumps.

Water is not just a passive component of the cell or its extracellular environment. By virtue of its physical properties, water defines the solubilities of other substances. Similarly, water's chemical properties determine the behavior of other molecules in solution.

A Water Ionizes to Form H^+ and OH^-

Water is a neutral molecule with a very slight tendency to ionize. We express this ionization as

$$H_2O \rightleftharpoons H^+ + OH^-$$

There is actually no such thing as a free proton (H^+) in solution. Rather, the proton is associated with a water molecule as a **hydronium ion**, H_3O^+. The association of a proton with a cluster of water molecules also gives rise to structures with the formulas $H_5O_2^+$, $H_7O_3^+$, and so on. For simplicity, however, we often represent these ions by H^+. The other product of water's ionization is the **hydroxide ion**, OH^-.

The proton of a hydronium ion can jump rapidly to another water molecule and then to another (**Fig. 2-15**). For this reason, the mobilities of H^+ and OH^- ions in solution are much higher than for other ions, which must move through the bulk water carrying their waters of hydration. ***Proton jumping*** *is also responsible for the observation that acid–base reactions are among the fastest reactions that take place in aqueous solution.*

The ionization (dissociation) of water is described by an equilibrium expression in which the concentration of the parent substance is in the denominator and the concentrations of the dissociated products are in the numerator:

$$K = \frac{[H^+][OH^-]}{[H_2O]} \qquad [2\text{-}2]$$

K is the **dissociation constant** (here and throughout this text, quantities in square brackets symbolize the molar concentrations of the indicated substances, which in many cases are only negligibly different from their activities; Section 1-3D). Because the concentration of the undissociated H_2O ($[H_2O]$) is so much larger than the concentrations of its component ions, it can be considered constant ($[H_2O] = 1000\ g \cdot L^{-1}/18.015\ g \cdot mol^{-1} = 55.5\ M$). It can therefore be incorporated into K to yield an expression for the ionization of water,

$$K_w = [H^+][OH^-] \qquad [2\text{-}3]$$

The value of K_w, the ionization constant of water, is $10^{-14}\ M^2$ at 25°C.

Pure water must contain equimolar amounts of H^+ and OH^-, so $[H^+] = [OH^-] = (K_w)^{1/2} = 10^{-7}$ M. Since $[H^+]$ and $[OH^-]$ are reciprocally related by Eq. 2-3, when $[H^+]$ is greater than 10^{-7} M, $[OH^-]$ must be correspondingly less and vice versa. Solutions with $[H^+] = 10^{-7}$ M are said to be **neutral**, those with $[H^+] > 10^{-7}$ M are said to be **acidic**, and those with $[H^+] < 10^{-7}$ M are said to be **basic**. Most physiological solutions have hydrogen ion concentrations near neutrality For example, human blood is normally slightly basic, with $[H^+] = 4.0 \times 10^{-8}$ M.

The values of $[H^+]$ for most solutions are inconveniently small and thus difficult to compare. A more practical quantity, which was devised in 1909 by Søren Sørenson, is known as the **pH**:

$$pH = -\log[H^+] = \log\frac{1}{[H^+]} \qquad [2\text{-}4]$$

The higher the pH, the lower is the H^+ concentration; the lower the pH, the higher is the H^+ concentration (**Fig. 2-16**). The pH of pure water is 7.0, whereas acidic solutions have pH < 7.0 and basic solutions have pH > 7.0

FIG. 2-16 Relationship of pH and the concentrations of H^+ and OH^- in water. Because the product of $[H^+]$ and $[OH^-]$ is a constant (10^{-14}), $[H^+]$ and $[OH^-]$ are reciprocally related. Solutions with relatively more H^+ are acidic (pH < 7), solutions with relatively more OH^- are basic (pH > 7), and solutions in which $[H^+] = [OH^-] = 10^{-7}$ M are neutral (pH = 7). Note the logarithmic scale for ion concentration.

TABLE 2-3 pH Values of Some Common Substances

Substance	pH
1 M NaOH	14
Household ammonia	12
Seawater	8
Blood	7.4
Milk	7
Saliva	6.6
Tomato juice	4.4
Vinegar	3
Gastric juice	1.5
1 M HCl	0

(see Sample Calculation 2-1). Note that solutions that differ by one pH unit differ in $[H^+]$ by a factor of 10. The pH values of some common substances are given in Table 2-3.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 2-1

1.0×10^{-4} mole of H^+ (as HCl) is added to 1.0 liter of pure water. Determine the final pH of the solution.

Pure water has a pH of 7, so its $[H^+] = 10^{-7}$ M. The added H^+ has a concentration of 1.0×10^{-4} M, which overwhelms the $[H^+]$ already present. The total $[H^+]$ is therefore 1.0×10^{-4} M, so that the pH is equal to $-\log[H^+] = -\log(1.0 \times 10^{-4}) = 4$.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

B Acids and Bases Alter the pH

H^+ and OH^- ions derived from water are fundamental to the biochemical reactions we will encounter later in this book. Biological molecules, such as proteins and nucleic acids, have numerous functional groups that act as acids or bases—for example, carboxyl and amino groups. These molecules influence the pH of the surrounding aqueous medium, and their structures and reactivities are in turn influenced by the ambient pH. An appreciation of acid–base chemistry is therefore essential for understanding the chemical context of many biological processes. Box 2-1 describes the effect of ocean acidification on marine life.

An Acid Can Donate a Proton. According to a definition formulated in 1923 by Johannes Brønsted and Thomas Lowry, *an **acid** is a substance that can donate a proton, and a **base** is a substance that can accept a proton.* Under the Brønsted–Lowry definition, an acid–base reaction can be written as

$$HA + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_3O^+ + A^-$$

An acid (HA) reacts with a base (H_2O) to form the **conjugate base** of the acid (A^-) and the **conjugate acid** of the base (H_3O^+). Accordingly, the acetate ion (CH_3COO^-) is the conjugate base of acetic acid (CH_3COOH), and the ammonium ion (NH_4^+) is the conjugate acid of ammonia (NH_3). The acid–base reaction is frequently abbreviated

$$HA \rightleftharpoons H^+ + A^-$$

with the participation of H_2O implied. An alternative expression for a basic substance B is

$$HB^+ \rightleftharpoons H^+ + B$$

GATEWAY CONCEPT Acid–Base Chemistry

An acid can function as an acid (proton donor) only if a base (proton acceptor) is present, and vice versa. The acid must have a conjugate base, and the base must have a conjugate acid. If a reactant appears to be missing from an equilibrium expression, assume that it is water or its conjugate ions H_3O^+ or OH^-.

Box 2-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry The Consequences of Ocean Acidification

The human-generated increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide that is contributing to climate change through global warming is also affecting the chemistry of the world's oceans. Atmospheric CO_2 dissolves in water and reacts with it to generate carbonic acid, which rapidly dissociates to form protons and bicarbonate:

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^-$$

The addition of hydrogen ions from CO_2-derived carbonic acid therefore leads to a decrease in the pH. Currently, the earth's oceans are slightly basic, with a pH of approximately 8.0. It has been estimated that over the next 100 years, the ocean pH could drop to about 7.8. Although the oceans act as a CO_2 "sink" that helps mitigate the increase in atmospheric CO_2, the increase in acidity in the marine environment represents an enormous challenge to organisms that must adapt to the new conditions.

A variety of marine organisms, including mollusks, many corals, and some plankton, use dissolved carbonate ions to construct protective shells of calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$). However, carbonate ions can combine with H^+ to form bicarbonate:

$$CO_3^{2-} + H^+ \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^-$$

Consequently, the increase in ocean acidity could decrease the availability of carbonate and thereby slow the growth of shell-building organisms. In fact, experiments have shown that calcification is reduced in organisms such as sea urchins and corals under acidic conditions. It is also possible that ocean acidification could dissolve existing carbonate-based coral reefs, which are species-rich ecosystems and important components of marine food chains.

$$CaCO_3 + H^+ \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + Ca^{2+}$$

Interestingly, not all shell-building organisms respond to high levels of CO_2 in the same way. Experiments with coccolithophores (single-celled eukaryotes that are encased in calcium carbonate plates; see photo) indicate that at least under some conditions, increased CO_2 leads to increased bicarbonate that actually contributes to increased calcification:

STEVE GSCHMEISSNER / Photolibrary

$$Ca^{2+} + 2\,HCO_3^- \longrightarrow CaCO_3 + CO_2 + H_2O$$

These results suggest that the impact of increased CO_2 on marine organisms may not be a simple matter of decreased pH but may be a more complicated function of the relative amounts of all the carbon species, which include dissolved CO_2, HCO_3^-, and CO_3^{2-}.

The Strength of an Acid Is Specified by Its Dissociation Constant. The equilibrium constant for an acid–base reaction is expressed as a dissociation constant with the concentrations of the "reactants" in the denominator and the concentrations of the "products" in the numerator:

$$K = \frac{[H_3O^+][A^-]}{[HA][H_2O]} \qquad [2\text{-}5]$$

However, since in dilute aqueous solution the term $[H_2O] = 55.5$ M is essentially constant, it is customarily combined with the dissociation constant, which then takes the form

$$K_a = K[H_2O] = \frac{[H^+][A^-]}{[HA]} \qquad [2\text{-}6]$$

For brevity, however, we will henceforth omit the subscript "*a*."

The dissociation constants of some common acids are listed in **Table 2-4**. Because acid dissociation constants, like $[H^+]$ values, can be cumbersome to work with, they are transformed to **p*K*** values by the formula

$$pK = -\log K \qquad [2\text{-}7]$$

which is analogous to Eq. 2-4.

Acids can be classified according to their relative strengths, that is, their tendencies to transfer a proton to water. The acids listed in Table 2-4 are known as **weak acids** because they are only partially ionized in aqueous solution ($K < 1$). Many of the so-called mineral acids, such as $HClO_4$, HNO_3, and HCl, are **strong acids** ($K \gg 1$). Since strong acids rapidly transfer all their protons to H_2O, *the*

TABLE 2-4 Dissociation Constants and p*K* Values at 25°C of Some Acids

Acid	*K*	p*K*
Oxalic acid	5.37×10^{-2}	1.27 (pK_1)
H_3PO_4	7.08×10^{-3}	2.15 (pK_1)
Formic acid	1.78×10^{-4}	3.75
Succinic acid	6.17×10^{-5}	4.21 (pK_1)
Oxalate$^-$	5.37×10^{-5}	4.27 (pK_2)
Acetic acid	1.74×10^{-5}	4.76
Succinate$^-$	2.29×10^{-6}	5.64 (pK_2)
2-(*N*-Morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES)	8.13×10^{-7}	6.09
H_2CO_3	4.47×10^{-7}	6.35 (pK_1)[a]
Piperazine-*N*,*N*′-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES)	1.74×10^{-7}	6.76
$H_2PO_4^-$	1.51×10^{-7}	6.82 (pK_2)
3-(*N*-Morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS)	7.08×10^{-8}	7.15
N-2-Hydroxyethylpiperazine-*N*′-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)	3.39×10^{-8}	7.47
Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris)	8.32×10^{-9}	8.08
Boric acid	5.75×10^{-10}	9.24
NH_4^+	5.62×10^{-10}	9.25
Glycine (amino group)	1.66×10^{-10}	9.78
HCO_3^-	4.68×10^{-11}	10.33 (pK_2)
Piperidine	7.58×10^{-12}	11.12
HPO_4^{2-}	4.17×10^{-13}	12.38 (pK_3)

[a]The p*K* for the overall reaction $CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^-$; see Box 2-2.

Source: Dawson, R.M.C., Elliott, D.C., Elliott, W.H., and Jones, K.M., *Data for Biochemical Research* (3rd ed.), pp. 424–425, Oxford Science Publications (1986); *and* Good, N.E., Winget, G.D., Winter, W., Connolly, T.N., Izawa, S., and Singh, R.M.M., *Biochemistry* **5**, 467 (1966).

? Which of the substances listed here is the strongest acid?

strongest acid that can stably exist in aqueous solutions is H_3O^+. Likewise, *there can be no stronger base in aqueous solutions than OH^-*. Virtually all the acid–base reactions that occur in biological systems involve H_3O^+ (and OH^-) and weak acids (and their conjugate bases).

The pH of a Solution Is Determined by the Relative Concentrations of Weak Acids and Bases. The relationship between the pH of a solution and the concentrations of a weak acid and its conjugate base is easily derived. Equation 2-6 can be rearranged to

$$[H^+] = K\frac{[HA]}{[A^-]} \qquad [2\text{-}8]$$

Taking the negative log of each term (and letting $pH = -\log[H^+]$; Eq. 2-4) gives

$$pH = -\log K + \log\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} \qquad [2\text{-}9]$$

Substituting p*K* for $-\log K$ (Eq. 2-7) yields

$$\boxed{pH = pK + \log\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]}} \qquad [2\text{-}10]$$

SAMPLE CALCULATION 2-2

Calculate the pH of a 2.0 L solution containing 10 mL of 5 M acetic acid and 10 mL of 1 M sodium acetate.

First, calculate the concentrations of the acid and conjugate base, expressing all concentrations in units of moles per liter.

Acetic acid: (0.01 L)(5 M)/(2.0 L) = 0.025 M

Sodium acetate: (0.01 L)(1 M)/(2.0 L) = 0.005 M

Substitute the concentrations of the acid and conjugate base into the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation. Find the p*K* for acetic acid in Table 2-4.

$$pH = pK + \log([\text{acetate}]/[\text{acetic acid}])$$
$$pH = 4.76 + \log(0.005/0.025)$$
$$pH = 4.76 - 0.70$$
$$pH = 4.06$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

This relationship is known as the **Henderson–Hasselbalch equation**. *When the molar concentrations of an acid (HA) and its conjugate base (A^-) are equal, log ($[A^-]/[HA]$) = log 1 = 0, and the pH of the solution is numerically equivalent to the pK of the acid.* The Henderson–Hasselbalch equation is invaluable for calculating, for example, the pH of a solution containing known quantities of a weak acid and its conjugate base (see Sample Calculation 2-2). However, since the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation does not account for the ionization of water itself, it is not useful for calculating the pH of solutions of strong acids or bases. For example, in a 1 M solution of a strong acid, $[H^+] = 1$ M and the pH is 0. In a 1 M solution of a strong base, $[OH^-] = 1$ M, so $[H^+] = [OH^-]/K_w = 1 \times 10^{-14}$ M and the pH is 14.

C Buffers Resist Changes in pH

Adding a 0.01-mL droplet of 1 M HCl to 1 L of pure water changes the pH of the water from 7 to 5, which represents a 100-fold increase in $[H^+]$. Such a huge change in pH would be intolerable to most biological systems, since even small changes in pH can dramatically affect the structures and functions of biological molecules. Maintaining a relatively constant pH is therefore of paramount importance for living systems. To understand how this is possible, consider the titration of a weak acid with a strong base.

Figure 2-17 shows how the pH values of solutions of acetic acid, $H_2PO_4^-$, and ammonium ion (NH_4^+) vary as OH^- is added. **Titration curves** such as these can

FIG. 2-17 Titration curves for acetic acid, phosphate, and ammonia. At the starting point, the acid form predominates. As strong base (e.g., NaOH) is added, the acid is converted to its conjugate base. At the midpoint of the titration, where pH = p*K*, the concentrations of the acid and the conjugate base are equal. At the end point (equivalence point), the conjugate base predominates, and the total amount of OH^- that has been added is equivalent to the amount of acid that was present at the starting point. The shaded bands indicate the pH ranges over which the corresponding solution can effectively function as a buffer. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? What is the approximate p*K* of each acid?

be constructed from experimental observation or by using the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation to calculate points along the curve (see Sample Calculation 2-3). When OH^- reacts with HA, the products are A^- and water:

$$HA + OH^- \rightleftharpoons A^- + H_2O$$

Several details about the titration curves in Fig. 2-17 should be noted:

1. The curves have similar shapes but are shifted vertically along the pH axis.
2. The pH at the midpoint of each titration is numerically equivalent to the pK of its corresponding acid; at this point, $[HA] = [A^-]$.
3. The slope of each titration curve is much lower near its midpoint than near its wings. This indicates that *when $[HA] \approx [A^-]$, the pH of the solution is relatively insensitive to the addition of strong base or strong acid.* Such a solution, which is known as an acid–base **buffer**, resists pH changes because small amounts of added H^+ or OH^- react with A^- or HA, respectively, without greatly changing the value of $\log([A^-]/[HA])$.

Substances that can lose more than one proton, or undergo more than one ionization, such as H_3PO_4 or H_2CO_3, are known as **polyprotic acids**. The titration curves of such molecules, as illustrated in **Fig. 2-18** for H_3PO_4, are more complicated than the titration curves of monoprotic acids such as acetic acid. A polyprotic acid has multiple pK values, one for each ionization step. H_3PO_4, for example, has three dissociation constants because the ionic charge resulting

FIG. 2-18 Titration of a polyprotic acid. The first and second equivalence points for titration of H_3PO_4 occur at the steepest parts of the curve. The pH at the midpoint of each stage provides the pK value of the corresponding ionization. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Sketch the titration curve for the diprotic acid succinic acid and label the midpoints and equivalence points.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 2-3

Calculate the pH of a 1 L solution containing 0.100 M formic acid and 0.100 M sodium formate before and after the addition of 1.00 mL of 5.00 M NaOH. How much would the pH change if the NaOH were added to 1.00 L of pure water?

According to Table 2-4, the pK for formic acid is 3.75. Since [formate] = [formic acid], the log ($[A^-]/[HA]$) term of the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation is 0 and pH = pK = 3.75. The addition of 1.00 mL of NaOH does not significantly change the volume of the solution, so the [NaOH] is (0.00100 L)(5.00 M)/(1.00 L) = 0.00500 M.

Since NaOH is a strong base, it completely dissociates, and $[OH^-]$ = [NaOH] = 0.00500 M. This OH^- reacts with formic acid to produce formate and H_2O. Consequently, the concentration of formic acid decreases and the concentration of formate increases by 0.00500 M.

The new formic acid concentration is 0.100 M − 0.00500 M = 0.095 M, and the new formate concentration is 0.100 M + 0.00500 M = 0.105 M. Substituting these values into the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation gives

$$pH = pK + \log([\text{formate}]/[\text{formic acid}])$$
$$pH = 3.75 + \log(0.105/0.095)$$
$$pH = 3.75 + 0.044$$
$$pH = 3.79$$

In the absence of the formic acid buffering system, the $[H^+]$ and therefore the pH can be calculated directly from K_w. Since $K_w = [H^+][OH^-] = 10^{-14}$,

$$[H^+] = \frac{10^{-14}}{[OH^-]} = \frac{10^{-14}}{(0.005)} = 2 \times 10^{-12}\ \text{M}$$

$$pH = -\log[H^+] = -\log(2 \times 10^{-12}) = 11.7$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

Box 2-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease The Blood Buffering System

Bicarbonate is the most significant buffer compound in human blood; other buffering agents, including proteins and organic acids, are present at much lower concentrations. The buffering capacity of blood depends primarily on two equilibria: (1) between gaseous CO_2 dissolved in the blood and carbonic acid formed by the reaction

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_2CO_3$$

and (2) between carbonic acid and bicarbonate formed by the dissociation of H^+:

$$H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^-$$

The overall p*K* for these two sequential reactions is 6.35. (The further dissociation of HCO_3^- to CO_3^{2-}, p*K* = 10.33, is not significant at physiological pH.)

When the pH of the blood falls due to metabolic production of H^+, the bicarbonate–carbonic acid equilibrium shifts toward more carbonic acid. At the same time, carbonic acid loses water to become CO_2, which is then expired in the lungs as gaseous CO_2. Conversely, when the blood pH rises, relatively more HCO_3^- forms. Breathing is adjusted so that increased amounts of CO_2 in the lungs can be reintroduced into the blood for conversion to carbonic acid. In this manner, a near-constant hydrogen ion concentration can be maintained. The kidneys also play a role in acid–base balance by excreting HCO_3^- and NH_4^+.

Disturbances in the blood buffer system can lead to conditions known as **acidosis**, with a pH as low as 7.1, or **alkalosis**, with a pH as high as 7.6 – both of which, in extreme cases, can be fatal. For example, obstructive lung diseases that prevent efficient expiration of CO_2 can cause respiratory acidosis. Hyperventilation accelerates the loss of CO_2 and causes respiratory alkalosis. Overproduction of organic acids due to dietary precursors, sudden surges in lactic acid levels during extreme exertion, or uncontrolled **diabetes** (Section 22-4B), can lead to acidosis.

Acid–base imbalances are best alleviated by correcting the underlying physiological problem. In the short term, acidosis is commonly treated by administering $NaHCO_3$ intravenously. Alkalosis is more difficult to treat. Metabolic alkalosis sometimes responds to KCl or NaCl (the additional Cl^- helps minimize the secretion of H^+ by the kidneys), and respiratory alkalosis can be ameliorated by breathing an atmosphere enriched in CO_2.

from one proton dissociation electrostatically inhibits further proton dissociation, thereby increasing the corresponding p*K* values. Similarly, a molecule with more than one ionizable group has a discrete p*K* value for each group. In a biomolecule that contains numerous ionizable groups with different p*K* values, the many dissociation events may yield a titration curve without any clear "plateaus."

Biological fluids, both intracellular and extracellular, are heavily buffered. For example, the pH of the blood in healthy individuals is closely controlled at pH 7.4 ± 0.05 (see Box 2-2). The phosphate and bicarbonate ions in most biological fluids are important buffering agents because they have p*K*s in this range (Table 2-4). Moreover, many biological molecules, such as proteins and some lipids, as well as numerous small organic molecules, bear multiple acid–base groups that are effective buffer components in the physiological pH range.

The concept that the properties of biological molecules vary with the acidity of the solution in which they are dissolved was not fully appreciated before the twentieth century. Many early biochemical experiments were undertaken without controlling the acidity of the sample, so the results were often poorly reproducible. Nowadays, biochemical preparations are routinely buffered to simulate the properties of naturally occurring biological fluids. A number of synthetic compounds have been developed for use as buffers; some of these are included in Table 2-4. The **buffering capacity** of these weak acids (their ability to resist pH changes on addition of acid or base) is maximal when pH = p*K*. It is helpful to remember that a weak acid is in its useful buffer range within one pH unit of its p*K* (e.g., the shaded regions of Fig. 2-17). Above this range, where the ratio $[A^-]/[HA] > 10$, the pH of the solution changes rapidly with added strong base. A buffer is similarly impotent with the addition of strong acid when its p*K* exceeds the pH by more than one unit.

In the laboratory, the desired pH of the buffered solution determines which buffering compound is selected. Typically, the acid form of the compound and one of its soluble salts are dissolved in the (nearly equal) molar ratio necessary to provide the desired pH and, with the aid of a pH meter, the resulting solution is fine-tuned by titration with strong acid or base (see Sample Calculation 2-4).

SAMPLE CALCULATION 2-4

How many milliliters of a 2.0 M solution of boric acid must be added to 600 mL of a solution of 10 mM sodium borate in order for the pH to be 9.45?

Rearrange the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation to isolate the $[A^-]/[HA]$ term:

$$pH = pK + \log\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]}$$

$$\log\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} = pH - pK$$

$$\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} = \log^{(pH - pK)}$$

Substitute the known pK (from Table 2-4) and the desired pH:

$$\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} = 10^{(9.45 - 9.24)} = 10^{0.21} = 1.62$$

The starting solution contains (0.6 L)(0.01 mol · L^{-1}) = 0.006 mole of borate (A^-). The amount of boric acid (HA) needed is 0.006 mol/1.62 = 0.0037 mol. Since the stock boric acid is 2.0 M, the volume of boric acid to be added is (0.0037 mol)/(2.0 mol · L^{-1}) = 0.0019 L, or 1.9 mL.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

CHECKPOINT

- What are the products of water's ionization? How are their concentrations related?
- Predict the pH of a sample of water if K_w were 10^{-10} or 10^{-20}.
- Describe how to calculate pH from the concentration of H^+ or OH^-.
- Define *acid* and *base.*
- What is the relationship between the strength of an acid and its pK value?
- Explain why it is more complicated to calculate the pH of a solution of weak acid or base than to calculate the pH of a solution of strong acid or base.
- Be able to sketch a titration curve, and label its parts, for a monoprotic and a polyprotic acid.
- What must a buffer solution include in order to resist changes in pH on addition of acid or base?
- Why is it important to maintain biological molecules in a buffered solution?

Summary

1 Physical Properties of Water

- Water is essential for all living organisms.
- Water molecules can each form four hydrogen bonds with other molecules because they have two H atoms that can be donated and two unshared electron pairs that can act as acceptors.
- Liquid water is an irregular network of water molecules that each form up to four hydrogen bonds with neighboring water molecules.
- Hydrophilic substances such as ions and polar molecules dissolve readily in water.
- The hydrophobic effect is the entropically driven tendency of water to minimize its contacts with nonpolar substances.
- Water molecules move through semipermeable membranes from regions of high concentration to regions of low concentration by osmosis; solutes move from regions of high concentration to regions of low concentration by diffusion.

2 Chemical Properties of Water

- Water ionizes to H^+ (which represents the hydronium ion, H_3O^+) and OH^-.
- The concentration of H^+ in solutions is expressed as a pH value; in acidic solutions pH < 7, in basic solutions pH > 7, and in neutral solutions pH $= 7$.
- Acids can donate protons and bases can accept protons. The strength of an acid is expressed as its pK; the stronger the acid, the lower its pK.
- The Henderson–Hasselbalch equation relates the pH of a solution to the pK and concentrations of an acid and its conjugate base.
- Buffered solutions resist changes in pH within about one pH unit of the pK of the buffering species.

Key Terms

polar **24**
hydrogen bond **24**
van der Waals distance **24**
van der Waals forces **26**
London dispersion forces **27**
hydrophilic **27**
hydrophobic **27**
solvation **27**
hydration **27**
waters of hydration **27**
hydrophobic effect **28**
amphiphilic **29**
amphipathic **29**
micelle **29**
bilayer **29**
colligative properties **29**
osmosis **30**
osmotic pressure **30**
diffusion **30**
dialysis **31**
hydronium ion **32**
hydroxide ion **32**
proton jumping **32**
dissociation constant **32**
K_w **32**
neutral solution **32**
acidic solution **32**
basic solution **32**
pH **32**
acid **33**
base **33**
conjugate base **33**
conjugate acid **33**
pK **34**
weak acid **34**
strong acid **34**
Henderson–Hasselbalch equation **36**
titration curve **36**
buffer **37**
polyprotic acid **37**
acidosis **38**
alkalosis **38**
buffering capacity **38**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Identify the potential hydrogen bond donors and acceptors in the following molecules:

2. Rank the water solubility of the following compounds:

(a) $H_3C—CH_2—O—CH_3$

(b) $H_3C—\overset{\overset{\displaystyle O}{\|}}{C}—NH_2$

(c) $H_2N—\overset{\overset{\displaystyle O}{\|}}{C}—NH_2$

(d) $H_3C—CH_2—CH_3$

(e) $H_3C—CH_2—\overset{\overset{\displaystyle O}{\|}}{C}H$

3. Approximately how many molecules of H_2O are in one spoonful of water, assuming that the spoon holds about 18 mL?

4. An *E. coli* cell contains about 2.6×10^8 ions, which constitute about 1% of the mass of the cell, and each ion has an average molecular mass of 40 g.

(a) What is the approximate mass of the cell?

(b) The same cell contains about 2×10^8 carbohydrate molecules, which have an average molecular mass of 150 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$. Approximately what percentage of the cell's mass is carbohydrate?

(c) The molecular mass of *E. coli* DNA is about 5.6×10^9 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$, and it accounts for about 0.6% of the cell's mass. How many DNA molecules does the cell contain?

5. Where would the following substances partition in water containing palmitic acid micelles? (a) $^+H_3N—CH_2—COO^-$, (b) $^+H_3N—(CH_2)_{11}—COO^-$.

6. Where would the following substances partition in water containing palmitic acid micelles? (a) $H_3C—(CH_2)_{11}—COO^-$, (b) $H_3C—(CH_2)_{11}—CH_3$

7. Describe what happens when a dialysis bag containing pure water is suspended in a beaker of seawater. What would happen if the dialysis membrane were permeable to water but not solutes?

8. A red blood cell has an internal salt concentration of ~150 mM. The cell is placed in a beaker of 500 mM salt. (a) Assuming the cell membrane is permeable to water but not to ions, describe what will happen to the cell in terms of osmosis. (b) If the membrane were permeable to ions, in which direction would solutes diffuse: into or out of the cell?

9. Draw the structures of the conjugate bases of the following acids:

(a) $\begin{array}{c} COO^- \\ | \\ CH \\ \| \\ HC \\ | \\ COOH \end{array}$ (b) $\begin{array}{c} COOH \\ | \\ H—C—H \\ | \\ NH_3^+ \end{array}$

10. Draw the structures of the conjugate bases of the following acids:

(a) $\begin{array}{c} COO^- \\ | \\ H—C—H \\ | \\ NH_3^+ \end{array}$ (b) $\begin{array}{c} COO^- \\ | \\ H—C—CH_2—COOH \\ | \\ NH_3^+ \end{array}$

11. Indicate the ionic species of ammonia that predominates at pH 4, 8, and 11.

12. Indicate the ionic species of phosphoric acid that predominates at pH 4, 8, and 11.

13. Calculate the pH of a 200 mL solution of pure water to which has been added 50 mL of 1 mM HCl.

14. Calculate the pH of a 1 L solution containing (a) 10 mL of 5 M NaOH, (b) 10 mL of 100 mM glycine and 20 mL of 5 M HCl, and (c) 10 mL of 2 M acetic acid and 5 g of sodium acetate (formula weight 82 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$).

15. A solution is made by mixing 50 mL of 2.0 M K_2HPO_4 and 25 mL of 2.0 M KH_2PO_4. The solution is diluted to a final volume of 200 mL. What is the pH of the final solution?

16. What is the p*K* of the weak acid HA if a solution containing 0.1 M HA and 0.2 M A^- has a pH of 6.5?

17. (a) At any instant, how many water molecules are ionized in 1 L of pure water at pH 7.0? (b) Express this number as a percentage of the total water molecules.

18. (a) Would phosphoric acid or succinic acid be a better buffer at pH 5?

(b) Would ammonia or piperidine be a better buffer at pH 9?

(c) Would HEPES or Tris be a better buffer at pH 7.5?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

19. Use Coulomb's law (Equation 2-1) to explain why a salt crystal such as NaCl remains intact in benzene (C_6H_6) but dissociates into ions in water.

20. Calculate the standard free energy change for the dissociation of HEPES.

21. Certain C—H groups can form weak hydrogen bonds. Why would such a group be more likely to be a hydrogen bond donor group when the C is next to N?

22. Explain why water forms nearly spherical droplets on the surface of a freshly waxed car. Why doesn't water bead on a clean windshield?

23. Many foods must be refrigerated to prevent spoiling (microbial growth). Explain why honey (which is ~82% carbohydrate by weight) resists microbial growth even at room temperature.

24. You have a 5 mL sample of a protein in 0.5 M NaCl. You place the protein/salt sample inside dialysis tubing (see Fig. 2-14) and place the bag in a large beaker of distilled water. If your goal is to remove as much NaCl from the sample as possible, which would be more effective: (1) placing the dialysis bag in 4 L of distilled water for 12 h, or (2) placing the bag in 1 L of distilled water for 6 h and then in another 1 L of fresh distilled water for another 6 h?

25. Patients with kidney failure frequently develop metabolic acidosis. If such patients undergo dialysis, the dialysate includes sodium bicarbonate at a concentration higher than that of the blood. Explain why this would benefit the patient.

26. The kidneys function to eliminate ammonia from the blood. Based on ammonia's p*K* value, what is the molecular form that predominates in the blood? Could this molecule easily diffuse through the hydrophobic lipid membrane of a kidney cell? Explain.

27. Marble is composed largely of calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$). Spilling tomato juice on a slab of marble etches (eats away) the surface. Explain what happens in chemical terms.

28. Rapidly growing *Burkholderia* bacteria normally produce ammonia as a waste product. The accumulation of ammonia kills mutant bacteria that are unable to also produce oxalic acid. Explain how the normal *Burkholderia* cells are able to avoid death.

29. How many grams of sodium succinate (formula weight 140 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$) and disodium succinate (formula weight 162 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$) must be added to 1 L of water to produce a solution with pH 6.0 and a total solute concentration of 50 mM?

30. Estimate the volume of a solution of 5 M NaOH that must be added to adjust the pH from 4 to 9 in 100 mL of a 100 mM solution of phosphoric acid.

31. You need a buffer at pH 7.5 for use in purifying a protein at 4°C. You have chosen Tris, pK 8.08, $\Delta H° = 50\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$. You carefully make up 0.01 M Tris buffer, pH 7.5 at 25°C, and store it in the cold to equilibrate it to the temperature of the purification. When you measure the pH of the temperature-equilibrated buffer it has increased to 8.1. What is the explanation for this increase? How can you avoid this problem?

32. Glycine hydrochloride ($Cl^-\ H_3N^+CH_2COOH$) is a diprotic acid that contains a carboxylic acid group and an ammonium group and is therefore called an amino acid. It is often used in biochemical buffers.

(a) Which proton would you expect to dissociate at a lower pH, the proton of the carboxylic acid group or the ammonium group?

(b) Write the chemical equations describing the dissociation of the first and second protons of $Cl^-H_3N^+CH_2COOH$.

(c) A solution containing 0.01 M $Cl^-H_3N^+CH_2COOH$ and 0.02 M of the monodissociated species has pH = 2.65. What is the pK of this dissociation?

(d) In analogy with Figure 2-18, sketch the titration curve of this diprotic acid.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Structure and Solubility

Exercise 2 Amino Acids, Ionization, and pK Values

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 1 Acute Aspirin Overdose: Relationship to the Blood Buffering System

Focus concept: The carbonic acid–bicarbonate buffering system responds to an overdose of aspirin.

Prerequisite: Chapter 2

- Principles of acids and bases, including pK and the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation.
- The carbonic acid–bicarbonate blood buffering system.

MORE TO EXPLORE Compare and contrast the strategies for eliminating waste nitrogen (as ammonia) and carbon dioxide in (a) a terrestrial mammal, (b) a freshwater fish, and (c) a saltwater fish. Be sure to consider osmotic effects as well as acid–base balance.

References

Finney, J.L., Water? What's so special about it? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci.* **29**, 1145–1163 (2004). [Includes discussions of the structure of water molecules, hydrogen bonding, structures of ice and liquid water, and how these relate to biological function.]

Gerstein, M. and Levitt, M., Simulating water and the molecules of life, *Sci. Am.* **279**(11), 101–105 (1998). [Describes the structure of water and how water interacts with other molecules.]

Good, N.E., Winget, G.D., Winter, W., Connolly, T.N., Izawa, S., and Singh, R.M.M., Hydrogen ion buffers for biological research, *Biochemistry* **5**, 467–477 (1966). [A classic paper on laboratory buffers.]

Halperin, M.L., Goldstein, M.B., and Kamel, K., *Fluid, Electrolyte, and Acid–Base Physiology: A Problem-Based Approach* (4th ed.), Saunders–Elsevier (2010). [Includes extensive problem sets with explanations of basic science as well as clinical effects of acid-base disorders.]

Jeffrey, G.A. and Saenger, W., *Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures*, Chapters 1, 2, and 21, Springer (1994). [Reviews hydrogen bond chemistry and its importance in small molecules and macromolecules.]

Lynden-Bell, R.M., Morris, S.C., Barrow, J.D., Finney, J.L., and Harper, C.L., Jr., *Water and Life*: *The Unique Properties of H_2O,* CRC Press (2010).

Segel, I.H., *Biochemical Calculations* (2nd ed.), Chapter 1, Wiley (1976). [An intermediate level discussion of acid–base equilibria with worked-out problems.]

Tanford, C., *The Hydrophobic Effect: Formation of Micelles and Biological Membranes* (2nd ed.), Chapters 5 and 6, Wiley–Interscience (1980). [Discusses the structures of water and micelles.]

CHAPTER 3

Nucleotides, Nucleic Acids, and Genetic Information

Chapter Contents

1 **Nucleotides**

2 **Introduction to Nucleic Acid Structure**
- A Nucleic Acids Are Polymers of Nucleotides
- B DNA Forms a Double Helix
- C RNA Is a Single-Stranded Nucleic Acid

3 **Overview of Nucleic Acid Function**
- A DNA Carries Genetic Information
- B Genes Direct Protein Synthesis

4 **Nucleic Acid Sequencing**
- A Restriction Endonucleases Cleave DNA at Specific Sequences
- B Electrophoresis Separates Nucleic Acids According to Size
- C Traditional DNA Sequencing Uses the Chain-Terminator Method
- D Next-Generation Sequencing Technologies Are Massively Parallel
- E Entire Genomes Have Been Sequenced
- F Evolution Results from Sequence Mutations

5 **Manipulating DNA**
- A Cloned DNA Is an Amplified Copy
- B DNA Libraries Are Collections of Cloned DNA
- C DNA Is Amplified by the Polymerase Chain Reaction
- D Recombinant DNA Technology Has Numerous Practical Applications

Jizeng Jia et al., *Nature*, **496**, 91–95 (04 April 2013), doi:10.1038/nature12028

Families of genes shared by five different species of grains are depicted by overlapping shapes. By identifying the genes in samples of DNA, researchers can focus on the genetic features that have a common purpose or provide some unique function to an organism.

Despite obvious differences in lifestyle and macroscopic appearance, organisms exhibit striking similarity at the molecular level. The structures and metabolic activities of all cells rely on a common set of molecules that includes amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids, and nucleotides, as well as their polymeric forms. Each type of compound can be described in terms of its chemical makeup, its interactions with other molecules, and its physiological function. We begin our survey of biomolecules with a discussion of the **nucleotides** and their polymers, the **nucleic acids**.

Nucleotides are involved in nearly every facet of cellular life. Specifically, they participate in oxidation–reduction reactions, energy transfer, intracellular signaling, and biosynthetic reactions. Their polymers, the nucleic acids DNA and RNA, are the primary players in the storage and decoding of genetic information. Nucleotides and nucleic acids also perform structural and catalytic roles in cells. No other class of molecules participates in such varied functions or in so many functions that are essential for life.

It is becoming increasingly clear that the appearance of nucleotides permitted the evolution of organisms that could harvest and store energy from their surroundings and, most importantly, could make copies of themselves. Although the chemical and biological details of early life-forms are the subject of speculation, it is incontrovertible that life as we know it is inextricably linked to the chemistry of nucleotides and nucleic acids.

In this chapter, we briefly examine the structures of nucleotides and the nucleic acids DNA and RNA. We also consider how the chemistry of these molecules allows them to carry biological information in the form of a sequence of nucleotides. This information is expressed by the transcription of a segment of DNA to yield RNA, which is then translated to form protein. Because a cell's structure and function ultimately depend on its genetic makeup, we discuss how genomic sequences provide information about evolution, metabolism, and disease. Finally,

we consider some of the techniques used in manipulating DNA in the laboratory. In later chapters, we will examine in greater detail the participation of nucleotides and nucleic acids in metabolic processes. Chapter 24 includes additional information about nucleic acid structures, DNA's interactions with proteins, and DNA packaging in cells, as a prelude to several chapters discussing the roles of nucleic acids in the storage and expression of genetic information.

1 Nucleotides

KEY CONCEPTS

- The nitrogenous bases of nucleotides include two types of purines and three types of pyrimidines.
- A nucleotide consists of a nitrogenous base, a ribose or deoxyribose sugar, and one or more phosphate groups.
- DNA contains adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine deoxyribonucleotides, whereas RNA contains adenine, guanine, cytosine, and uracil ribonucleotides.

Nucleotides are ubiquitous molecules with considerable structural diversity. *There are eight common varieties of nucleotides, each composed of a nitrogenous* ***base*** *linked to a sugar to which at least one phosphate group is also attached.* The bases of nucleotides are planar, aromatic, heterocyclic molecules that are structural derivatives of either **purine** or **pyrimidine** (although they are not synthesized *in vivo* from either of these organic compounds).

Purine **Pyrimidine**

The most common purines are **adenine (A)** and **guanine (G),** and the major pyrimidines are **cytosine (C), uracil (U),** and **thymine (T).** The purines form bonds to a five-carbon sugar (a pentose) via their N9 atoms, whereas pyrimidines do so through their N1 atoms (Table 3-1).

In **ribonucleotides**, the pentose is **ribose,** while in **deoxyribonucleotides** (or just **deoxynucleotides**), the sugar is **2′-deoxyribose** (i.e., the carbon at position 2′ lacks a hydroxyl group).

Ribose **Deoxyribose**

FIG. 3-1 Chemical structures of nucleotides. (*a*) A 5′-ribonucleotide and (*b*) a 3′-deoxynucleotide. The purine or pyrimidine base is linked to C1′ of the pentose and at least one phosphate (*red*) is also attached. A nucleoside consists only of a base and a pentose.

? **Compare the net charge on a nucleoside and a nucleotide.**

Note that the "primed" numbers refer to the atoms of the pentose; "unprimed" numbers refer to the atoms of the nitrogenous base.

In a ribonucleotide or a deoxyribonucleotide, one or more phosphate groups are bonded to atom C3′ or atom C5′ of the pentose to form a 3′-nucleotide or a 5′-nucleotide, respectively (Fig. 3-1). When the phosphate group is absent, the compound is known as a **nucleoside**. A 5′-nucleotide can therefore be called a nucleoside-5′-phosphate. Nucleotides most commonly contain one to three

TABLE 3-1 Names and Abbreviations of Nucleic Acid Bases, Nucleosides, and Nucleotides

Base Formula	Base (X = H)	Nucleoside (X = ribose[a])	Nucleotide[b] (X = ribose phosphate[a])
	Adenine Ade A	Adenosine Ado A	Adenylic acid Adenosine monophosphate AMP
	Guanine Gua G	Guanosine Guo G	Guanylic acid Guanosine monophosphate GMP
	Cytosine Cyt C	Cytidine Cyd C	Cytidylic acid Cytidine monophosphate CMP
	Uracil Ura U	Uridine Urd U	Uridylic acid Uridine monophosphate UMP
	Thymine Thy T	Deoxythymidine dThd dT	Deoxythymidylic acid Deoxythymidine monophosphate dTMP

[a]The presence of a 2′-deoxyribose unit in place of ribose, as occurs in DNA, is implied by the prefixes "deoxy" or "d." For example, the deoxynucleoside of adenine is deoxyadenosine, or dA. However, for thymine-containing residues, which rarely occur in RNA, the prefix is redundant and may be dropped. The presence of a ribose unit may be explicitly implied by the prefix "ribo."

[b]The position of the phosphate group in a nucleotide may be explicitly specified as in, for example, 3′-AMP and 5′-GMP.

? Without looking at the table, give the name of each base and its corresponding nucleoside.

phosphate groups at the C5′ position and are called nucleoside monophosphates, diphosphates, and triphosphates.

The structures, names, and abbreviations of the common bases, nucleosides, and nucleotides are given in Table 3-1. Ribonucleotides are components of **RNA (ribonucleic acid)**, whereas deoxynucleotides are components of **DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid)**. Adenine, guanine, and cytosine occur in both ribonucleotides and deoxynucleotides (accounting for six of the eight common nucleotides), but uracil occurs primarily in ribonucleotides and thymine occurs in deoxynucleotides. Free nucleotides, which are anionic, are almost always associated with the counterion Mg^{2+} in cells.

Nucleotides Participate in Metabolic Reactions. The bulk of the nucleotides in any cell are found in polymeric forms, as either DNA or RNA, whose primary functions are information storage and transfer. However, free nucleotides and nucleotide derivatives perform an enormous variety of metabolic functions not related to the management of genetic information.

Perhaps the best known nucleotide is **adenosine triphosphate (ATP),** a nucleotide containing adenine, ribose, and a triphosphate group. ATP is often mistakenly referred to as an energy-storage molecule, but it is more accurately termed an energy carrier or energy transfer agent. The process of photosynthesis or the breakdown of metabolic fuels such as carbohydrates and fatty acids leads to the formation of ATP from **adenosine diphosphate (ADP):**

ATP diffuses throughout the cell to provide energy for other cellular work, such as biosynthetic reactions, ion transport, and cell movement. The chemical potential energy of ATP is made available when it transfers one (or two) of its phosphate groups to another molecule. This process can be represented by the reverse of the preceding reaction, namely, the hydrolysis of ATP to ADP. (As we will see in later chapters, the interconversion of ATP and ADP in the cell is not freely reversible, and free phosphate groups are seldom released directly from ATP.) The degree to which ATP participates in routine cellular activities is illustrated by calculations indicating that while the concentration of cellular ATP is relatively moderate (~5 mM), humans typically recycle their own weight of ATP each day.

Nucleotide derivatives participate in a wide variety of metabolic processes. For example, starch synthesis in plants proceeds by repeated additions of glucose units donated by ADP–glucose (**Fig. 3-2**). Other nucleotide derivatives, as we will see in later chapters, carry groups that undergo oxidation–reduction reactions. The attached group, which may be a small molecule such as glucose (Fig. 3-2) or even another nucleotide, is typically linked to the nucleotide through a mono- or diphosphate group.

CHECKPOINT

- Identify the purines and pyrimidines commonly found in nucleic acids.
- Practice drawing the structures of adenine, adenosine, and adenylate.
- Describe the chemical differences between a ribonucleoside triphosphate and a deoxyribonucleoside monophosphate.

FIG. 3-2 ADP–glucose. In this nucleotide derivative, glucose (*blue*) is attached to adenosine (*black*) by a diphosphate group (*red*).

? Indicate the bonds that formed as a result of condensation reactions.

2 Introduction to Nucleic Acid Structure

KEY CONCEPTS

- Phosphodiester bonds link nucleotide residues in DNA and RNA.
- In the DNA double helix, two antiparallel polynucleotide strands wind around each other, interacting via hydrogen bonding between bases in opposite strands.
- RNA molecules are usually single-stranded and may form intramolecular base pairs.

Nucleotides can be joined to each other to form the polymers that are familiar to us as RNA and DNA. In this section, we describe the general features of these nucleic acids. Nucleic acid structure is considered further in Chapter 24.

A Nucleic Acids Are Polymers of Nucleotides

The nucleic acids are chains of nucleotides whose phosphates bridge the 3′ and 5′ positions of neighboring ribose units (Fig. 3-3). The phosphates of these **polynucleotides** are acidic, so at physiological pH, nucleic acids are polyanions. The linkage between individual nucleotides is known as a **phosphodiester bond**, so named because the phosphate is esterified to two ribose units. Each nucleotide that has been incorporated into the polynucleotide is known as a **nucleotide residue.** The terminal residue whose C5′ is not linked to another nucleotide is called the **5′ end**, and the terminal residue whose C3′ is not linked to another nucleotide is called the **3′ end**. By convention, the sequence of nucleotide residues in a nucleic acid is written, left to right, from the 5′ end to the 3′ end.

FIG. 3-3 Chemical structure of a nucleic acid. (*a*) The tetraribonucleotide adenylyl-3′,5′-uridylyl-3′,5′-cytidylyl-3′,5′-guanylate is shown. The sugar atoms are primed to distinguish them from the atoms of the bases. By convention, a polynucleotide sequence is written with the 5′ end at the left and the 3′ end at the right. Thus, reading left to right, the phosphodiester bond links neighboring ribose residues in the 5′ → 3′ direction. The sequence shown here can be abbreviated pApUpCpG, or just pAUCG (the "p" to the left of a nucleoside symbol indicates a 5′ phosphoryl group). The corresponding deoxytetranucleotide, in which the 2′-OH groups are replaced by H and the uracil (U) is replaced by thymine (T), is abbreviated d(pApTpCpG), or d(pATCG). (*b*) Schematic representation of pAUCG. A vertical line denotes a ribose residue, the attached base is indicated by a single letter, and a diagonal line flanking an optional "p" represents a phosphodiester bond. The atom numbers for the ribose residue may be omitted. The equivalent representation of d(pATCG) differs only by the absence of the 2′-OH group and the replacement of U by T.

FIG. 3-4 Tautomeric forms of bases. Some of the possible tautomeric forms of (*a*) thymine and (*b*) guanine are shown. Cytosine and adenine can undergo similar proton shifts.

? Draw the tautomers of adenine and cytosine.

The properties of a polymer such as a nucleic acid may be very different from the properties of the individual units, or **monomers**, before polymerization. As the size of the polymer increases from **dimer**, **trimer**, **tetramer**, and so on through **oligomer** (Greek: *oligo,* few), physical properties such as charge and solubility may change. In addition, *a polymer of nonidentical residues has a property that its component monomers lack—namely, it contains information in the form of its sequence of residues.*

Chargaff's Rules Describe the Base Composition of DNA. Although there appear to be no rules governing the nucleotide composition of typical RNA molecules, DNA has equal numbers of adenine and thymine residues (A = T) and equal numbers of guanine and cytosine residues (G = C). These relationships, known as **Chargaff's rules**, were discovered in the late 1940s by Erwin Chargaff, who devised the first reliable quantitative methods for the compositional analysis of DNA.

DNA's base composition varies widely among different organisms. It ranges from ~25 to 75 mol % G + C in different species of bacteria. However, it is more or less constant among related species; for example, in mammals G + C ranges from 39 to 46%. The significance of Chargaff's rules was not immediately appreciated, but we now know that the structural basis for the rules derives from DNA's double-stranded nature.

B DNA Forms a Double Helix

The determination of the structure of DNA by James Watson and Francis Crick in 1953 is often said to mark the birth of modern molecular biology. The **Watson–Crick structure** of DNA not only provided a model of what is arguably the central molecule of life, but it also suggested the molecular mechanism of heredity. Watson and Crick's accomplishment, which is ranked as one of science's major intellectual achievements, was based in part on two pieces of evidence in addition to Chargaff's rules: the correct tautomeric forms of the bases and indications that DNA is a helical molecule.

The purine and pyrimidine bases of nucleic acids can assume different tautomeric forms (**tautomers** are readily interconverted isomers that differ only in hydrogen positions; Fig. 3-4). X-Ray, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and spectroscopic investigations have firmly established that the nucleic acid bases are overwhelmingly in the keto tautomeric forms shown in Fig. 3-3. In 1953, however, this was not generally appreciated. Information about the dominant tautomeric forms was provided by Jerry Donohue, an officemate of Watson and Crick and an expert on the X-ray structures of small organic molecules.

Evidence that DNA is a helical molecule was provided by an X-ray diffraction photograph of a DNA fiber taken by Rosalind Franklin (Fig. 3-5). The appearance of the photograph enabled Crick, an X-ray crystallographer by training, to deduce (a) that DNA is a helical molecule and (b) that its planar aromatic bases form a stack that is parallel to the fiber axis.

Courtesy of Maurice Wilkins, King's College, London.

FIG. 3-5 An X-ray diffraction photograph of a vertically oriented DNA fiber. This photograph, taken by Rosalind Franklin, provided key evidence for the elucidation of the Watson–Crick structure. The central X-shaped pattern indicates a helix, whereas the heavy black arcs at the top and bottom of the diffraction pattern reveal the spacing of the stacked bases (3.4 Å).

FIG. 3-6 Three-dimensional structure of DNA. The repeating helix is based on the structure of the self-complementary dodecamer d(CGCGAATTCGCG) determined by Richard Dickerson and Horace Drew. The view in this ball-and-stick model is perpendicular to the helix axis. The sugar-phosphate backbones (*blue, with green ribbon outlines*) wind around the periphery of the molecule. The bases (*red*) form hydrogen-bonded pairs that occupy the core. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The two strands run in opposite directions. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

FIG. 3-7 Diagrams of left- and right-handed helices. In each case, the fingers curl in the direction the helix turns when the thumb points in the direction the helix rises. Note that the handedness is retained when the helices are turned upside down.

The limited structural information, along with Chargaff's rules, provided few clues to the structure of DNA; Watson and Crick's model sprang mostly from their imaginations and model-building studies. Once the Watson–Crick model had been published, however, its basic simplicity combined with its obvious biological relevance led to its rapid acceptance. Later investigations have confirmed the general validity of the Watson–Crick model, although its details have been modified.

The Watson–Crick model of DNA has the following major features (Fig. 3-6):

1. Two polynucleotide chains wind around a common axis to form a **double helix**.
2. The two strands of DNA are **antiparallel** (run in opposite directions), but each forms a right-handed helix. (The difference between a right-handed and a left-handed helix is shown in Fig. 3-7.)

FIG. 3-8 Complementary base pairing in DNA. Adenine in one strand pairs with thymine in the other strand by forming specific hydrogen bonds (*dashed lines*). Similarly, guanine pairs with cytosine. This base pairing between polynucleotide chains is responsible for the double-stranded nature of DNA.

? Indicate the 5′ → 3′ sequence of the strand that would be complementary to the nucleic acid shown in Fig. 3-3a.

3. The bases occupy the core of the helix and sugar–phosphate chains run along the periphery, thereby minimizing the repulsions between charged phosphate groups. The surface of the double helix contains two grooves of unequal width: the **major** and **minor grooves**.
4. Each base is hydrogen bonded to a base in the opposite strand to form a planar **base pair**. The Watson–Crick structure can accommodate only two types of base pairs. Each adenine residue must pair with a thymine residue and vice versa, and each guanine residue must pair with a cytosine residue and vice versa (**Fig. 3-8**). These hydrogen-bonding interactions, a phenomenon known as **complementary base pairing,** result in the specific association of the two chains of the double helix.

The Watson–Crick structure can accommodate any sequence of bases on one polynucleotide strand if the opposite strand has the complementary base sequence. This immediately accounts for Chargaff's rules. More importantly, it suggests that *each DNA strand can act as a* ***template*** *for the synthesis of its complementary strand and hence that hereditary information is encoded in the sequence of bases on either strand.*

GATEWAY CONCEPT Noncovalent Interactions
The sequence of nucleotides in a polynucleotide chain is determined by covalent bonds, but hydrogen bonds, which are much weaker, allow one chain to interact with another via base pairing.

Most DNA Molecules Are Large. The extremely large size of DNA molecules is in keeping with their role as the repository of a cell's genetic information. Of course, an organism's **genome**, its unique DNA content, may be allocated among several **chromosomes** (Greek: *chromos,* color + *soma,* body), each of which contains a separate DNA molecule. Note that many organisms are **diploid**; that is, they contain two equivalent sets of chromosomes, one from each parent. Their content of unique **(haploid)** DNA is half their total DNA. For example, humans are diploid organisms that carry 46 chromosomes per cell; their haploid number is therefore 23.

Because of their great lengths, DNA molecules are described in terms of the number of base pairs **(bp)** or thousands of base pairs **(kilobase pairs,** or **kb)**. Naturally occurring DNAs vary in length from ~5 kb in small DNA-containing viruses to well over 250,000 kb in the largest mammalian chromosomes. Although DNA molecules are long and relatively stiff, they are not completely rigid. We will see in Chapter 24 that the DNA double helix forms coils and loops when it is packaged inside the cell. Furthermore, depending on the nucleotide

FIG. 3-9 Formation of a stem–loop structure. Base pairing between complementary sequences within an RNA strand allows the polynucleotide to fold back on itself.

sequence, DNA may adopt slightly different helical conformations. Finally, in the presence of other cellular components, the DNA may bend sharply or the two strands may partially unwind.

C RNA Is a Single-Stranded Nucleic Acid

Single-stranded DNA is rare, occurring mainly as the hereditary material of certain viruses. In contrast, RNA occurs primarily as single strands, which usually form compact structures rather than loose extended chains (double-stranded RNA is the hereditary material of certain viruses). An RNA strand—which is identical to a DNA strand except for the presence of 2′-OH groups and the substitution of uracil for thymine—can base-pair with a complementary strand of RNA or DNA. As expected, A pairs with U (or T in DNA), and G with C. Base pairing often occurs intramolecularly, giving rise to **stem–loop** structures (**Fig. 3-9**) or, when loops interact with each other, to more complex structures.

The intricate structures that can potentially be adopted by single-stranded RNA molecules provide additional evidence that RNA can do more than just store and transmit genetic information. Numerous investigations have found that certain RNA molecules can specifically bind small organic molecules and can catalyze reactions involving those molecules. These findings provide substantial support for theories that *many of the processes essential for life began through the chemical versatility of small polynucleotides* (a situation known as the **RNA world**). We will further explore RNA structure and function in Section 24-2C.

CHECKPOINT

- Using Fig. 3-3*a* as a guide, draw the complete structure of a nucleoside triphosphate before and after it becomes incorporated into a polynucleotide chain. Draw the structure that would result if the newly formed phosphodiester bond were hydrolyzed.
- Explain the structural basis for Chargaff's rules.
- Using a three-dimensional computer model of the DNA molecule (such as shown in Interactive Exercise 1), identify each of the following structural features: the 3′ and 5′ end of each strand, the atoms that make up the sugar-phosphate backbone, the major and minor grooves, the bases in several base pairs, and the atoms that participate in hydrogen bonding in A • T and G • C base pairs.
- List the structural differences between DNA and RNA.

3 Overview of Nucleic Acid Function

KEY CONCEPTS

- DNA carries genetic information in the form of its sequence of nucleotides.
- The nucleotide sequence of DNA is transcribed into the nucleotide sequence of messenger RNA, which is then translated into a protein, a sequence of amino acids.

DNA is the carrier of genetic information in all cells and in many viruses. Yet a period of over 75 years passed from the time the laws of inheritance were discovered by Gregor Mendel until the biological role of DNA was elucidated. Even now, many details of how genetic information is expressed and transmitted to future generations remain unclear.

From Avery, O.T., MacLeod, C.M., and McCarty, M., *J. Exp. Med.* **79,** 153 (1944). Copyright © 1944 by Rockefeller University Press.

FIG. 3-10 Transformed pneumococci. The large colonies are virulent pneumococci that resulted from the transformation of nonpathogenic pneumococci (smaller colonies) by DNA extracted from the virulent strain. We now know that this DNA contained a gene that was defective in the nonpathogenic strain.

Mendel's work with garden peas led him to postulate that each trait in an individual plant is determined by a pair of factors (which we now call **genes**), one inherited from each parent. But Mendel's theory of inheritance, reported in 1866, was almost universally ignored by his contemporaries, whose knowledge of anatomy and physiology provided no basis for its understanding. Eventually, genes were hypothesized to be part of chromosomes, and the pace of genetic research accelerated greatly.

A DNA Carries Genetic Information

Until the 1940s, it was generally assumed that genes were made of protein, since proteins were the only biochemical entities that, at the time, seemed complex enough to serve as agents of inheritance. Nucleic acids, which had first been isolated in 1869 by Friedrich Miescher, were believed to have monotonously repeating nucleotide sequences and were therefore unlikely candidates for transmitting genetic information.

It took the efforts of Oswald Avery, Colin MacLeod, and Maclyn McCarty to demonstrate that DNA carries genetic information. Their experiments, completed in 1944, showed that DNA—not protein—extracted from a virulent (pathogenic) strain of the bacterium *Diplococcus pneumoniae* was the substance that **transformed** (permanently changed) a nonpathogenic strain of the organism to the virulent strain (**Fig. 3-10**). Avery's discovery was initially greeted with skepticism, but it influenced Erwin Chargaff, whose rules (Section 3-2A) led to subsequent models of the structure and function of DNA.

The double-stranded, or duplex, nature of DNA facilitates its **replication**. When a cell divides, each DNA strand acts as a template for the assembly of its complementary strand (**Fig. 3-11**). Consequently, every progeny cell contains a complete DNA molecule (or a complete set of DNA molecules in organisms whose genomes contain more than one chromosome). Each DNA molecule consists of one parental strand and one daughter strand. Daughter strands are synthesized by the stepwise polymerization of nucleotides that specifically pair with bases on the parental strands. The mechanism of replication, while straightforward in principle, is exceedingly complex in the cell, requiring a multitude of cellular factors to proceed with fidelity and efficiency, as we will see in Chapter 25.

FIG. 3-11 DNA replication. Each strand of parental DNA (*blue*) acts as a template for the synthesis of a complementary daughter strand (*red*). Thus, the resulting double-stranded molecules are identical.

? What types of bonds or interactions form during DNA replication?

B Genes Direct Protein Synthesis

The question of how sequences of nucleotides control the characteristics of organisms took some time to be answered. In experiments with the mold *Neurospora crassa* in the 1940s, George Beadle and Edward Tatum found that *there is a specific connection between genes and enzymes: the one gene–one enzyme theory.* Beadle and Tatum showed that certain mutant varieties of *Neurospora*

FIG. 3-12 Transcription and translation. One strand of DNA directs the synthesis of messenger RNA (mRNA). The base sequence of the transcribed RNA is complementary to that of the DNA strand. The message is translated when transfer RNA (tRNA) molecules align with the mRNA by complementary base pairing between three-nucleotide segments known as **codons.** Each tRNA carries a specific amino acid. These amino acids are covalently joined to form a protein. Thus, the sequence of bases in DNA specifies the sequence of amino acids in a protein.

? What might happen if a mutation changed one of the nucleotides in the DNA?

that were generated by irradiation with X-rays required additional nutrients in order to grow. Presumably, the offspring of the radiation-damaged cells lacked the specific enzymes necessary to synthesize those nutrients.

The link between DNA and enzymes (nearly all of which are proteins) is RNA. *The DNA of a gene is* ***transcribed*** *to produce an RNA molecule that is complementary to the DNA. The RNA sequence is then* ***translated*** *into the corresponding sequence of amino acids to form a protein* (**Fig. 3-12**). These transfers of biological information are summarized in the so-called **central dogma of molecular biology** formulated by Crick in 1958.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Overview of transcription and translation

In this diagram, the arrows represent the flow of genetic information: DNA directs its own replication to produce new DNA molecules; the DNA is transcribed into RNA; and the RNA is translated into proteins.

Just as the daughter strands of DNA are synthesized from free deoxynucleoside triphosphates that pair with bases in the parent DNA strand, RNA strands are synthesized from free ribonucleoside triphosphates that pair with the complementary bases in one DNA strand of a gene (transcription is described in greater detail in Chapter 26). The RNA that corresponds to a protein-coding gene (called **messenger RNA**, or **mRNA**) makes its way to a **ribosome**, an organelle that is itself composed largely of RNA (**ribosomal RNA,** or **rRNA**). At the ribosome, each set of three nucleotides in the mRNA pairs with three complementary nucleotides in a small RNA molecule called

FIG. 3-13 Translation. tRNA molecules with their attached amino acids bind to complementary three-nucleotide sequences (codons) on mRNA. The ribosome facilitates the alignment of the tRNA and the mRNA, and it catalyzes the joining of amino acids to produce a protein chain. When a new amino acid is added, the preceding tRNA is ejected, and the ribosome proceeds along the mRNA.

a **transfer RNA**, or **tRNA** (Fig. 3-13). Attached to each tRNA molecule is its corresponding amino acid. The ribosome catalyzes the joining of amino acids, which are the monomeric units of proteins (protein synthesis is described in detail in Chapter 27). Amino acids are added to the growing protein chain according to the order in which the tRNA molecules bind to the mRNA. Since the nucleotide sequence of the mRNA in turn reflects the sequences of nucleotides in the gene, DNA directs the synthesis of proteins. It follows that alterations to the genetic material of an organism (**mutations**) may manifest themselves as proteins with altered structures and functions.

Using techniques that are described in the following sections and in other parts of this book, researchers can compile a catalog of all the information encoded in an organism's DNA. The study of the genome's size, organization, and gene content is known as **genomics**. By analogy, **transcriptomics** refers to the study of **gene expression**, which focuses on the set of mRNA molecules, or **transcriptome,** that is transcribed from DNA under any particular set of circumstances. Finally, **proteomics** is the study of the proteins (the **proteome**) produced as a result of transcription and translation. Although an organism's genome remains essentially unchanged throughout its lifetime, its transcriptome and proteome may vary greatly among different types of tissues, developmental stages, and environmental conditions.

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Central Dogma

Although we sometimes think of a cell's nucleus as a sort of command center, it does not broadcast information to the rest of the cell. Instead, as the central dogma reminds us, genetic information in the form of DNA is relatively inert and is simply copied—via replication or transcription.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain why the double-stranded nature of DNA is relevant for copying and transmitting genetic information when a cell divides.
- Summarize the steps of the central dogma. What role does RNA play in each?

4 Nucleic Acid Sequencing

KEY CONCEPTS

- In the laboratory, nucleic acids can be cut at specific sequences by restriction enzymes.
- Nucleic acid fragments are separated by size using electrophoresis.
- In the chain-termination method, DNA polymerase generates DNA fragments that are randomly terminated. The identities of the terminator nucleotides of successive fragments reveal the original DNA sequence.
- The human genome contains ~21,000 genes, corresponding to about 1.2% of its 3 billion nucleotides.
- Sequence differences reveal evolutionary changes.

Much of our current understanding of protein structure and function rests squarely on information gleaned not from the proteins themselves, but indirectly from their genes. *The ability to determine the sequence of nucleotides in nucleic acids*

has made it possible to deduce the amino acid sequences of their encoded proteins and, to some extent, the structures and functions of those proteins. Nucleic acid sequencing has also revealed information about the regulation of genes. Certain portions of genes that are not actually transcribed into RNA nevertheless influence how often a gene is transcribed and translated—that is, **expressed.** Moreover, efforts to elucidate the sequences in hitherto unmapped regions of DNA have led to the discovery of new genes and new regulatory elements. *Once in hand, a nucleic acid sequence can be duplicated, modified, and expressed, making it possible to study proteins that could not otherwise be obtained in useful quantities.* In this section, we describe how nucleic acids are sequenced and what information the sequences may reveal. In the following section, we discuss the manipulation of purified nucleic acid sequences for various purposes.

The overall strategy for sequencing any polymer of nonidentical units is

1. Cleave the polymer into fragments that are small enough to be fully sequenced.
2. Determine the sequence of residues in each fragment.
3. Determine the order of the fragments in the original polymer by aligning fragments that contain overlapping sequences.

FIG. 3-14 Determining the sequence of an oligonucleotide using nonspecific enzymes. The oligonucleotide is partially digested with snake venom phosphodiesterase, which breaks the phosphodiester bonds between nucleotide residues, starting at the 3′ end of the oligonucleotide. The result is a mixture of fragments of all lengths, which are then separated. Comparing the base composition of a pair of fragments that differ in length by one nucleotide establishes the identity of the 3′-terminal nucleotide in the larger fragment. Analysis of each pair of fragments reveals the sequence of the original oligonucleotide.

The first efforts to sequence RNA used nonspecific enzymes to generate relatively small fragments whose nucleotide composition was then determined by partial digestion with an enzyme that selectively removed nucleotides from one end or the other (Fig. 3-14). Sequencing RNA in this manner was tedious and time-consuming. Using such methods, it took Robert Holley 7 years to determine the sequence of a 76-residue tRNA molecule.

After 1975, dramatic progress was made in nucleic acid sequencing technology. The advances were made possible by the discovery of enzymes that could cleave DNA at specific sites and by the development of rapid sequencing techniques for DNA. Because most specific DNA sequences are normally present in a genome in only a single copy, most sequencing projects take advantage of methods to amplify segments of DNA by cloning or copying them (Section 3-5).

A Restriction Endonucleases Cleave DNA at Specific Sequences

Many bacteria are able to resist infection by **bacteriophages** (viruses that are specific for bacteria) by virtue of a **restriction–modification system**. The bacterium modifies certain nucleotides in specific sequences of its own DNA by adding a methyl (—CH_3) group in a reaction catalyzed by a **modification methylase**. A **restriction endonuclease**, which recognizes the same nucleotide sequence as does the methylase, cleaves any DNA that has not been modified on at least one of its two strands. (An **endonuclease** cleaves a nucleic acid within the polynucleotide strand; an **exonuclease** cleaves a nucleic acid by removing one of its terminal residues.) This system destroys foreign (phage) DNA containing a recognition site that has not been modified by methylation. The host DNA is always at least half methylated, because although the daughter strand is not methylated until shortly after it is synthesized, the parental strand to which it is paired is already modified (and thus protects both strands of the DNA from cleavage by the restriction enzyme).

Type II restriction endonucleases are particularly useful in the laboratory. These enzymes cleave DNA within the four- to eight-base sequence that is recognized by their corresponding modification methylase. (Type I and Type III restriction endonucleases cleave DNA at sites other than their recognition sequences.) More than 11,000 Type II restriction enzymes with more than 270 different recognition sequences have been characterized. Some of the more widely used restriction enzymes are listed in Table 3-2. A restriction enzyme is named by the first letter of the genus and the first two letters of the species of the

TABLE 3-2 Recognition and Cleavage Sites of Some Restriction Enzymes

Enzyme	Recognition Sequence[a]	Microorganism
AluI	AG↓CT	*Arthrobacter luteus*
BamHI	G↓GATCC	*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* H
BglII	A↓GATCT	*Bacillus globigii*
EcoRI	G↓AATTC	*Escherichia coli* RY13
EcoRII	↓CC($^{A}_{T}$)GG	*Escherichia coli* R245
EcoRV	GAT↓ATC	*Escherichia coli* J62 pLG74
HaeII	RGCGC↓Y	*Haemophilus aegyptius*
HaeIII	GG↓CC	*Haemophilus aegyptius*
HindIII	A↓AGCTT	*Haemophilus influenzae* R_d
HpaII	C↓CGG	*Haemophilus parainfluenzae*
MspI	C↓CGG	*Moraxella* species
PstI	CTGCA↓G	*Providencia stuartii* 164
PvuII	CAG↓CTG	*Proteus vulgaris*
SalI	G↓TCGAC	*Streptomyces albus* G
TaqI	T↓CGA	*Thermus aquaticus*
XhoI	C↓TCGAG	*Xanthomonas holcicola*

[a]The recognition sequence is abbreviated so that only one strand, reading 5′ to 3′, is given. The cleavage site is represented by an arrow (↓). R and Y represent a purine nucleotide and a pyrimidine nucleotide, respectively.

Source: Roberts, R.J. and Macelis, D., REBASE—the restriction enzyme database, http://rebase.neb.com.

bacterium that produced it, followed by its serotype or strain designation, if any, and a roman numeral if the bacterium contains more than one type of restriction enzyme. For example, EcoRI is produced by *E. coli* strain RY13.

Interestingly, most Type II restriction endonucleases recognize and cleave palindromic DNA sequences. A **palindrome** is a word or phrase that reads the same forward or backward. Two examples are "refer" and "Madam, I'm Adam." In a palindromic DNA segment, the sequence of nucleotides is the same in each strand, and the segment is said to have twofold symmetry (**Fig. 3-15**). Most restriction enzymes cleave the two strands of DNA at positions that are staggered, producing DNA fragments with complementary single-strand extensions. Restriction fragments with such **sticky ends** can

FIG. 3-15 Restriction sites. The recognition sequences for Type II restriction endonucleases are palindromes, sequences with a twofold axis of symmetry. (*a*) Recognition site for EcoRI, which generates DNA fragments with sticky ends. (*b*) Recognition site for EcoRV, which generates blunt-ended fragments.

FIG. 3-16 Apparatus for gel electrophoresis. Samples are applied in slots at the top of the gel and electrophoresed in parallel lanes. Negatively charged molecules such as DNA migrate through the gel matrix toward the anode in response to an applied electric field. Because smaller molecules move faster, the molecules in each lane are separated according to size. Following electrophoresis, the separated molecules may be visualized by staining or fluorescence.

? **What would happen if the sample contained positively charged molecules?**

associate by base pairing with other restriction fragments generated by the same restriction enzyme. Some restriction endonucleases cleave the two strands of DNA at the symmetry axis to yield restriction fragments with fully base-paired **blunt ends**.

B Electrophoresis Separates Nucleic Acids According to Size

Treating a DNA molecule with a restriction endonuclease produces a series of precisely defined fragments that can be separated according to size. **Gel electrophoresis** is commonly used for the separation. In principle, a charged molecule moves in an electric field with a velocity proportional to its overall charge density, size, and shape. For molecules with a relatively homogeneous composition (such as nucleic acids), shape and charge density are constant, so the velocity depends primarily on size. Electrophoresis is carried out in a gel-like matrix, usually made from **agarose** (carbohydrate polymers that form a loose mesh) or **polyacrylamide** (a more rigid cross-linked synthetic polymer). The gel is typically held between two glass plates (Fig. 3-16) or inside a narrow capillary tube (Section 5-2D). The molecules to be separated are applied to one end of the gel, and the molecules move through the pores in the matrix under the influence of an electric field. Smaller molecules move more rapidly through the gel and therefore migrate farther in a given time.

Following electrophoresis, the separated molecules may be visualized in the gel by an appropriate technique, such as addition of a stain that binds tightly to the DNA, by radioactive labeling, or by their fluorescence. Depending on the dimensions of the gel and the visualization technique used, samples containing less than a nanogram of material can be separated and detected by gel electrophoresis. Several samples can be electrophoresed simultaneously. For example, the fragments obtained by digesting a DNA sample with different restriction endonucleases can be visualized side by side (Fig. 3-17). The

From Slota, J.E. and Farrand, S.F., *Plasmid* **8**, 180 (1982). Copyright © 1982 by Academic Press.

FIG. 3-17 Electrophoretogram of restriction digests. The plasmid pAgK84 has been digested with (A) BamHI, (B) PstI, (C) BglII, (D) HaeIII, (E) HincII, (F) SacI, (G) XbaI, and (H) HpaI. Lane I contains bacteriophage λ digested with HindIII as a standard since these fragments have known sizes. The restriction fragments in each lane are made visible by fluorescence against a black background.

sizes of the various fragments can be determined by comparing their electrophoretic mobilities to the mobilities of fragments of known size.

C Traditional DNA Sequencing Uses the Chain-Terminator Method

Until recent years, the most widely used technique for sequencing DNA was the **chain-terminator method**, which was devised by Frederick Sanger. The first step in this procedure is to obtain single polynucleotide strands. Complementary DNA strands can be separated by heating, which breaks the hydrogen bonds between bases. Next, polynucleotide fragments that terminate at positions corresponding to each of the four nucleotides are generated. Finally, the fragments are separated and detected.

DNA Polymerase Copies a Template Strand. The chain-terminator method (also called the **dideoxy method**) uses an *E. coli* enzyme to make complementary copies of the single-stranded DNA being sequenced. The enzyme is a fragment of **DNA polymerase I,** one of the enzymes that participates in replication of bacterial DNA (Section 25-2A). Using the single DNA strand as a template, DNA polymerase I assembles the four deoxynucleoside triphosphates (**dNTPs**), dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP, into a complementary polynucleotide chain that it elongates in the 5′ → 3′ direction (**Fig. 3-18**).

DNA polymerase I can sequentially add deoxynucleotides only to the 3′ end of a polynucleotide that is base-paired to the template strand. Replication is initiated in the presence of a short polynucleotide (a **primer**) that is complementary to the 3′ end of the template DNA, and thus becomes the 5′ end of the new strand. If the DNA being sequenced is a restriction fragment, as it usually is, it begins and ends with a restriction site. The primer can therefore be a short DNA segment with the sequence of this restriction site.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
DNA sequence determination by the chain-terminator method

FIG. 3-18 Action of DNA polymerase I. Using a single DNA strand as a template, the enzyme elongates the primer by stepwise addition of complementary nucleotides. Incoming nucleotides pair with bases on the template strand and are joined to the growing polynucleotide strand in the 5′ → 3′ direction. The polymerase-catalyzed reaction requires a free 3′-OH group on the growing strand. **Pyrophosphate** ($O_3P–O–PO_3^{4-}$; PP_i) is released with each nucleotide addition.

? **List the substrates and products of the DNA polymerase reaction.**

DNA Synthesis Terminates after Specific Bases. In the chain-terminator technique (Fig. 3-19), the DNA to be sequenced is incubated with DNA polymerase I, a suitable primer, and the four dNTP **substrates** (reactants in enzymatic reactions) for the polymerization reaction. The key component of the reaction mixture is a small amount of a **2′,3′-dideoxynucleoside triphosphate** (**ddNTP**),

2′,3′-Dideoxynucleoside triphosphate

which lacks the 3′-OH group of deoxynucleotides. *When the dideoxy analog is incorporated into the growing polynucleotide in place of the corresponding normal nucleotide, chain growth is terminated because addition of the next nucleotide requires a free 3′-OH group.*

By using only a small amount of the ddNTP, a series of truncated chains is generated, each of which ends with the dideoxy analog at one of the positions occupied by the corresponding base. Each ddNTP bears a different fluorescent "tag" so that the products of the polymerase reaction can be readily detected. Gel electrophoresis separates the newly synthesized DNA segments, which differ in size by one nucleotide. Thus, the sequence of the replicated strand can be directly read from the gel. Note that the sequence obtained by the chain-terminator method is complementary to the DNA strand being sequenced.

The most advanced sequencing devices that employ the chain-terminator method identify each DNA fragment as it exits the bottom of a capillary

FIG. 3-19 Automated DNA sequencing by the chain-terminator method. The reaction mixture includes the single-stranded DNA to be sequenced (the template), a primer, the four deoxynucleotide triphosphates (represented as dATP, etc.), and small amounts of the four fluorescently labeled dideoxynucleoside triphosphates (ddATP, etc.). DNA polymerase extends the primer until a chain-terminating dideoxy nucleotide is added, generating a set of DNA fragments that differ by one nucleotide. The mixture is subjected to gel electrophoresis in a capillary tube, which separates the fragments according to size. As each polynucleotide passes the detector, its 3′-terminal nucleotide is identified according to its laser-stimulated fluorescence.

FIG. 3-20 DNA sequence data. Each of the four colored curves represents the electrophoretic pattern of fragments containing one of the dideoxynucleotides: Green, red, black, and blue peaks correspond to fragments ending in ddATP, ddTTP, ddGTP, and ddCTP, respectively. The 3′-terminal base of each oligonucleotide, identified by the fluorescence of its gel band, is indicated by a single letter (A, T, G, or C). This portion of the readout corresponds to nucleotides 100–290 of the DNA segment being sequenced. [Courtesy of Mark Adams, The Institute for Genomic Research, Rockville, Maryland.]

electrophoresis tube, so the sequence data take the form of a series of peaks (**Fig. 3-20**). Sample preparation and data analysis are fully automated, and sequences of DNA (called **reads**) of up to 1000 nucleotides can be obtained from a single reaction mixture before cumulative errors reduce the confidence of identification to unacceptable levels. Moreover, these systems contain arrays of up to 384 capillary tubes and hence can simultaneously sequence as many as 384 DNA segments.

The many reads that have been sequenced must be correctly assembled into the far longer strand of DNA from which they originated. This is done by comparing reads with overlapping sequences as is schematically indicated in **Fig. 3-21**. The overlapping sets of DNA fragments are generated by separately cleaving the DNA with at least two restriction endonucleases that have different sequence specificities. Alternatively, fragments may be generated by subjecting a solution of the stiff double-stranded DNA to high-frequency sound waves, a process called **sonication**, thereby mechanically breaking (shearing) the DNA at random sites. For even relatively small chromosomes (the *E. coli* genome has 4600 kb; the average human chromosome has ~125,000 kb), assembly is a highly computationally intensive process. In addition, the chain-terminator method has an error rate of ~0.1% (which would lead to ~125,000 mistakes in a 125,000-kb chromosome). To minimize these errors, the DNA must be independently sequenced multiple times—normally with at least a 10-fold redundancy.

D Next-Generation Sequencing Technologies Are Massively Parallel

The value of DNA sequence information, particularly the enormous datasets obtained by sequencing entire genomes, has fostered the development of so-called

Intact DNA
5′–ACTCGGAGTAACGCTATGAAGCATTCGCATTTGTCGAGTCT–3′

ACTCGGAGTAACG Fragment 1
TAACGCTATGAAGCATT Fragment 2
AGCATTCGCATTTG Fragment 3
ATTCGCATTTGTCGAGTCT Fragment 4

FIG. 3-21 The order of the sequenced fragments in their DNA of origin is determined by matching the sequences of overlapping sets of fragments. Thus, the 3′ end of fragment 1 is identical to the 5′ end of fragment 2, whose 3′ end is identical to the 5′ end of fragment 3, etc.

next-generation sequencing technologies that offer various trade-offs among cost, speed, and accuracy. Like the chain-terminator method, many of the newer methods take advantage of the ability of a DNA template strand to direct the synthesis of its complementary copy (a procedure known as **sequencing by synthesis).** But in addition, these methods can simultaneously determine hundreds of thousands to billions of different reads; that is, they carry out massively parallel sequencing reactions. Two of the several DNA sequencing technologies that are in use are described below.

In **pyrosequencing** (which employs instrumentation made by 454 Life Sciences, Inc. and hence is also known as **454 sequencing),** segments of the DNA to be sequenced are immobilized on the surfaces of microscopic plastic beads under dilution conditions such that no more than one DNA molecule is attached to a bead. The DNA on each bead is then replicated (amplified) many-fold by a variant of the process described in Section 3-5C, and the beads are deposited in wells in a fiber-optic slide that can hold only one bead per well. A primer and DNA polymerase are added, and then a dNTP is introduced. If DNA polymerase adds that nucleotide to the growing DNA strand, pyrophosphate (PP_i) is released and undergoes a chemical reaction sequence involving the firefly enzyme **luciferase,** which generates a flash of light. Solutions of each of the four dNTPs are successively washed across the immobilized DNA template, and a detector records whether light is produced in the presence of a particular dNTP. By multiply repeating this process, the sequence of nucleotides complementary to the template strand can be deduced. Pyrosequencing can accurately determine reads of up to 700 nucleotides, somewhat shorter than the reads determined by chain-terminator sequencing. Each fiber-optic slide contains numerous wells so that as many as ~1 million templates can be sequenced simultaneously. Consequently, the pyrosequencing system is ~1000-fold faster than the most advanced chain-terminator sequencing systems.

In **Illumina sequencing** (using instrumentation produced by Illumina, Inc.), which is also a sequencing-by-synthesis method, numerous DNA segments are attached to a glass plate and amplified in place to form clusters of millions of identical DNA molecules. To determine their sequences, a solution containing the four dNTPs that are each linked to a different fluorescent group and chemically blocked at their 3′ positions is flowed over the plate so that only a single nucleotide is added, by DNA polymerase, to each primer strand. The unreacted dNTPs are then washed away and the fluorescent groups that are linked to the primer strands are excited by a laser and identified according to their color of fluorescence by a digital camera (**Fig. 3-22**). The fluorescent and 3′-blocking groups are then chemically removed and the synthesis-and-identification cycle is repeated. The Illumina system can accurately determine reads of 30 to 300 nucleotides, significantly shorter than those of the chain-terminator and pyrosequencing methods, but it can determine up to 3 billion reads per run.

FIG. 3-22 Schematic diagram of six successive cycles from an Illumina DNA sequencing device. The different nucleotide derivatives (C, A, T, and G) each fluoresce with a different color. [Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: *Nature Reviews Genetics,* Vol. 11, No. 1, 31-46, Copyright (2009).]

Several other high-throughput DNA-sequencing technologies are in use or under development. Perhaps the most intriguing of these methods are those in which a single strand of DNA is electrophoretically driven through a nanopore (molecular-sized pore) in a membrane, and its sequence is divulged by the minute changes in the electrical properties of the pore as different bases pass through it. Such a single-molecule technique, if it could be made sufficiently reliable, would reveal the sequence of a DNA strand within seconds or minutes (rather than the hours or days required by the foregoing methods) and would have reads of unlimited length. Moreover, it would not require the DNA to be amplified before it is sequenced, it would eliminate the need for expensive enzymes and reagents, and its long reads would greatly reduce the computational effort required to assemble the reads into chromosomes.

Databases Store Nucleotide Sequences. The results of sequencing projects, large and small, are customarily deposited in online databases such as GenBank (see Bioinformatics Project 1). As of mid-2015, ~1.2 trillion nucleotides representing nearly 450 million sequences had been recorded, numbers that are doubling every ~18 months.

Nucleic acid sequencing has become so routine that directly determining a protein's amino acid sequence (Section 5-3) is far more time-consuming than determining the base sequence of its corresponding gene. In fact, nucleic acid sequencing is invaluable for studying genes whose products have not yet been identified. If the gene can be sequenced, the probable function of its protein product may be deduced by comparing the base sequence to those of genes whose products are already characterized (see Box 3-1).

Box 3-1 Pathways to Discovery

Francis Collins and the Gene for Cystic Fibrosis

Francis S. Collins (1950–) By the mid-twentieth century, the molecular bases of several human diseases were appreciated. For example, sickle-cell anemia (Section 7-1E) was known to be caused by an abnormal hemoglobin protein. Studies of sickle-cell hemoglobin eventually revealed the underlying genetic defect, a mutation in a hemoglobin gene. It therefore seemed possible to trace other diseases to defective genes. But for many genetic diseases, even those with well-characterized symptoms, no defective protein had yet been identified. One such disease was cystic fibrosis, which is characterized mainly by the secretion of thick mucus that obstructs the airways and creates an ideal environment for bacterial growth. Cystic fibrosis is the most common inherited disease in individuals of northern European descent, striking about 1 in 2500 newborns and leading to death by early adulthood due to irreversible lung damage. It was believed that identifying the molecular defect in cystic fibrosis would lead to better understanding of the disease and to the ability to design more effective treatments.

Enter Francis Collins, who began his career by earning a doctorate in physical chemistry but then enrolled in medical school to take part in the molecular biology revolution. As a physician-scientist, Collins developed methods for analyzing large stretches of DNA in order to home in on specific genes, including the one that, when mutated, causes cystic fibrosis. By analyzing the DNA of individuals with the disease (who had two copies of the defective gene) and of family members who were asymptomatic carriers (with one normal and one defective copy of the gene), Collins and his team localized the cystic fibrosis gene to the long arm of chromosome 7. They gradually closed in on a DNA segment that appears to be present in a number of mammalian species, which suggests that the segment contains an essential gene. The cystic fibrosis gene was finally identified in 1989. Collins had demonstrated the feasibility of identifying a genetic defect in the absence of other molecular information.

Once the cystic fibrosis gene was in hand, it was a relatively straightforward process to deduce the probable structure and function of the encoded protein, which turned out to be a membrane channel for chloride ions. When functioning normally, the protein helps regulate the ionic composition and viscosity of extracellular secretions. Discovery of the cystic fibrosis gene also made it possible to design tests to identify carriers so that they could take advantage of genetic counseling.

Throughout Collins' work on the cystic fibrosis gene and during subsequent hunts for the genes that cause neurofibromatosis and Huntington's disease, he was mindful of the ethical implications of the new science of molecular genetics. Collins has been a strong advocate for protecting the privacy of genetic information. At the same time, he recognizes the potential therapeutic use of such information. In his tenure as director of the human genome project, he was committed to making the results freely and immediately accessible, as a service to researchers and the individuals who might benefit from new therapies based on molecular genetics. He is presently the Director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Riordan, J.R., Rommens, J.M., Kerem, B.-S., Alon, N., Rozmahel, R., Grzelczak, Z., Zielensky, J., Lok, S., Plavsic, N., Chou, J.-L., Drumm, M.L., Iannuzzi, M.C., Collins, F.S., and Tsui, L.-C., Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: Cloning and characterization of complementary DNA, *Science* **245,** 1066–1073 (1989).

E Entire Genomes Have Been Sequenced

The advent of large-scale sequencing techniques brought to fruition the dream of sequencing entire genomes. However, the major technical hurdle in sequencing all the DNA in an organism's genome is not the DNA sequencing itself but, rather, assembling the tens of thousands to tens of millions of reads into their correct order in a chromosome. To do so required the development of automated sequencing protocols and mathematically sophisticated computer algorithms.

The first complete genome sequence to be determined, that of the bacterium *Haemophilus influenzae,* was reported in 1995 by Craig Venter. By mid-2015, the complete genome sequences of over 33,000 prokaryotes had been reported (with many more being determined) as well as those of over 2200 eukaryotes, including humans, animals, plants, fungi, human pathogens, and laboratory organisms (Table 3-3). The genomes of several extinct organisms have even been sequenced, including those of Neanderthals, our closest relative, and the wooly mammoth.

In **metagenomic sequencing**, the DNA sequences of multiple organisms are analyzed as a single dataset. This approach is used to characterize complex interdependent microbial communities, such as those in marine environments, soils, and the digestive tracts of animals. Many of the species in these communities

TABLE 3-3 Some Sequenced Genomes

Organism	Genome Size (kb)	Number of Chromosomes
Mycoplasma genitalium (human parasite)	580	1
Rickettsia prowazekii (putative relative of mitochondria)	1,112	1
Haemophilus influenza (human pathogen)	1,830	1
Escherichia coli (human symbiont)	4,639	1
Saccharomyces cerevisiae (baker's yeast)	12,070	16
Plasmodium falciparum (protozoan that causes malaria)	23,000	14
Caenorhabditis elegans (nematode)	97,000	6
Arabidopsis thaliana (dicotyledonous plant)	119,200	5
Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly)	180,000	4
Oryza sativa (rice)	389,000	12
Danio rerio (zebra fish)	1,700,000	25
Gallus gallus (chicken)	1,200,000	40
Mus musculus (mouse)	2,500,000	20
Homo sapiens	3,038,000	23

? **What is the relationship, if any, between genome size and chromosome number?**

cannot be individually cultured and sequenced (it is estimated that only ~1% of existing microorganisms have been cultured in the laboratory). Metagenomic sequence data reveal the overall gene number and an estimate of the collective metabolic capabilities of the community. For example, over 3 million genes have been identified in metagenomic analyses of the microorganisms that inhabit the human gut, representing up to 1000 bacterial species. This so-called **microbiome**, which typically consists of ~100 trillion cells (far more cells than comprise the human body), aids in digestion in that it breaks down certain carbohydrates that humans cannot otherwise digest, supplies certain vitamins, and promotes immune system development. While most humans share a common core set of about 60 gut microorganisms, significant differences appear to correlate with metabolic variables such as body mass. Atypical gut microbiomes are also associated with certain diseases such as **inflammatory bowel diseases.**

The Human Genome Contains Relatively Few Genes. The determination of the 3-billion-nucleotide human genome sequence was a gargantuan undertaking involving hundreds of scientists working in two groups, one led by Venter and the other by Francis Collins (Box 3-1), Eric Lander, and John Sulston, and costing $300 million. After 13 years of intense effort, the "rough draft" of the human genome sequence was reported in early 2001 and the "finished" sequence, covering ~99% of the genome, was reported in 2004. This stunning achievement is revolutionizing the way both biochemistry and medicine are viewed and practiced, although it is likely to require many years of further effort before its full significance is understood. Nevertheless, numerous important conclusions can already be drawn, including these:

1. About half the human genome consists of repeating sequences of various types.
2. At least 80% of the genome is transcribed to RNA.
3. Only ~1.2% of the genome encodes protein.
4. The human genome appears to contain only ~21,000 protein-encoding genes [also known as **open reading frames (ORFs)**] rather than the 35,000 to 140,000 ORFs that had previously been predicted. This compares with the ~6000 ORFs in yeast, ~13,000 in *Drosophila,* ~19,000 in *C. elegans,* and ~26,000 in *Arabidopsis* (although these numbers will almost certainly change as our ability to recognize ORFs improves).
5. Only a small fraction of human proteins are unique to vertebrates; most occur in other if not all life-forms.
6. Two randomly selected human genomes differ, on average, by only 1 nucleotide per 1000; that is, any two people are likely to be ~99.9% genetically identical.

The obviously greater complexity of humans (vertebrates) relative to invertebrate forms of life is unlikely to be due to the not-much-larger numbers of ORFs that vertebrates encode. Rather, it appears that vertebrate proteins themselves are more complex than those of invertebrates; that is, vertebrate proteins tend to have more domains (modules) than invertebrate proteins, and these modules are more often selectively expressed through **alternative gene splicing** (a phenomenon in which a given gene transcript can be processed in multiple ways to yield different proteins when translated; Section 26-3B). In fact, most vertebrate genes encode several different although similar proteins.

F Evolution Results from Sequence Mutations

One of the richest rewards of nucleic acid sequencing technology is the information it provides about the mechanisms of evolution. The chemical and physical properties of DNA, such as its regular three-dimensional shape and the elegant process of replication, may leave the impression that genetic information is

relatively static. In fact, *DNA is a dynamic molecule, subject to changes that alter genetic information.* For example, the mispairing of bases during DNA replication can introduce single-nucleotide errors known as **point mutations** in the daughter strand. Mutations also result from DNA damage by chemicals or radiation. More extensive alterations in genetic information are caused by faulty **recombination** (exchange of DNA between chromosomes) and the **transposition** of genes within or between chromosomes and, in some cases, from one organism to another. All these alterations to DNA provide the raw material for natural selection. When a mutated gene is transcribed and the messenger RNA is subsequently translated, the resulting protein may have properties that confer some advantage to the individual. As a beneficial change is passed from generation to generation, it may become part of the standard genetic makeup of the species. Of course, many changes occur as a species evolves, not all of them simple and not all of them gradual.

Phylogenetic relationships can be revealed by comparing the sequences of similar genes in different organisms. The number of nucleotide differences between the corresponding genes in two species roughly indicates the degree to which the species have diverged through evolution. The regrouping of prokaryotes into archaea and bacteria (Section 1-2C) according to rRNA sequences present in all organisms illustrates the impact of sequence analysis.

Nucleic acid sequencing also reveals that species differing in **phenotype** (physical characteristics) are nonetheless remarkably similar at the molecular level. For example, humans and chimpanzees share nearly 99% of their DNA. Studies of corn (maize) and its putative ancestor, teosinte, suggest that the plants differ in only a handful of genes governing kernel development (teosinte kernels are encased by an inedible shell; **Fig. 3-23**).

Small mutations in DNA are apparently responsible for relatively large evolutionary leaps. This is perhaps not so surprising when the nature of genetic information is considered. A mutation in a gene segment that does not encode protein might interfere with the binding of cellular factors that influence the timing of transcription. A mutation in a gene encoding an RNA might interfere with the binding of factors that affect the efficiency of translation. Even a minor rearrangement of genes could disrupt an entire developmental process, resulting in the appearance of a novel species. Notwithstanding the high probability that most sudden changes would lead to diminished individual fitness or the inability to reproduce, the capacity for sudden changes in genetic information is consistent with the fossil record. Ironically, the discontinuities in the fossil record that are probably caused in part by sudden genetic changes once fueled the adversaries of Charles Darwin's theory of evolution by natural selection.

FIG. 3-23 Maize and teosinte. Despite the large differences in phenotype—maize (*bottom*) has hundreds of easily chewed kernels, whereas teosinte (*top*) has only a few hard, inedible kernels—the plants differ in only a few genes. The ancestor of maize is believed to be a mutant form of teosinte in which the kernels were more exposed. [(*top*) Courtesy John Doebley, University of Wisconsin; (*bottom*) Marek Mnich/Stockphoto.]

Sequence Variations Can Be Linked to Human Diseases. Over 5000 mutations have been linked to various human diseases, yet monogenetic diseases, such as cystic fibrosis (see Box 3-1), are relatively rare. Most diseases result from interactions among multiple genes and from environmental factors. Nevertheless, advances in genomics are clearly leading to a better understanding of how genetic information impacts human health and susceptibility to illness. Two areas of notable success are the screening of newborn infants for treatable genetic diseases and the identification of adults who are carriers for a recessive genetic disorder; that is, they have a normal phenotype but bear one copy of the defective gene, which may be passed to their children. Clinical tests are available to detect several hundred such single-gene defects (Table 3-4). Preliminary results suggest that as more parents become aware of their carrier status, fewer children with the disease are being born.

Next-generation sequencing technologies have made it possible to sequence a complete human genome in a few days at a cost of about $1000, quantities that are expected to be significantly reduced over the next few years. However, the 50- to 300-nucleotide reads generated by the Illumina sequencing system are too short to confidently assemble them into large chromosomes. Rather, by comparing these relatively short reads to a human genome of known sequence, a process called **resequencing,** sequence differences between the chromosomes of different individuals are readily determined. This has permitted the complete sequencing, by mid-2015, of tens of thousands of human genomes, a number that is increasing rapidly.

Most of the differences among the various human genomes are due to **single-nucleotide polymorphisms** (**SNPs**, pronounced "snips"; instances where the DNA sequence differs among individuals at one nucleotide position). However, insertions and deletions of single nucleotides and tracts of DNA also occur. Around 10 million SNPs have been cataloged.

From studies involving tissue samples from thousands of subjects with and without certain complex diseases, such as cancers and type 2 diabetes, researchers have identified numerous SNPs that are associated with increased risk for these conditions. An ongoing challenge for this work is that each genetic variant associated with a disease typically increases risk for the disease by only a few percent, so an individual's likelihood of developing a particular disease appears to be a complicated function of which variants are present. Moreover, only a small fraction (estimated to be 5–20%) of disease risk factors have been identified. Hence, although several commercial enterprises offer partial genome-sequencing services to individuals, until genetic information can be reliably translated into effective disease-prevention or treatment regimens, the practical value of "personal genomics" is quite limited.

TABLE 3-4 Some Genetic Diseases with Carrier Screening Tests

Disease	Symptoms
Ataxia telangiectasia	Loss of motor control, immunodeficiency, increased risk of cancer
Beta thalassemia	Severe anemia, slow growth
Galactosemia	Mental disability, organ damage
Niemann–Pick disease	Loss of intellectual and motor skills, accumulation of lipid
Tay–Sachs disease	Loss of intellectual and motor skills, death by age 3
Usher syndrome	Deafness and progressive loss of vision
Breast, ovarian, and prostate cancers	Uncontrolled proliferation of cancer cells

CHECKPOINT

- Explain how restriction enzymes generate either sticky ends or blunt ends.
- Why do the smallest fragments of DNA move the farthest during electrophoresis?
- List all the components and explain their purpose in the reaction mixture used for the dideoxy DNA sequencing method.
- Describe the methodology behind next-generation sequencing techniques.
- Summarize what is known about the size and gene content of the human genome.
- Explain how evolution results from mutations in DNA.

In addition to greatly expanding our knowledge of human biology and disease processes, comparisons of multiple human genome sequences have provided unprecedented insights as to how humans evolved and their patterns of migration. For example, Neanderthals (also called Neandertals) were a subspecies of hominid living in Europe and the Middle East that became extinct around 40,000 years ago. DNA fragments recovered from Neanderthal bones permitted Svante Pääbo to determine their genome sequence. This revealed that the genomes of present-day Europeans and Asians, but not Africans, are 1–4% Neanderthal in content, which is genetically equivalent to having roughly one Neanderthal great-great-great-grandparent. Apparently, modern humans migrated out of Africa through the Middle East on the way to populating the rest of the world (fossil evidence indicates that Neanderthals occupied Europe and the Middle East at least 230,000 years ago, whereas modern humans migrated out of Africa around 100,000 years ago). In 2010, the genomic analysis of a 41,000-year-old finger bone found in the Denisova Cave in Siberia revealed the existence of a second extinct hominid subspecies, named Denisovans. They also interbred with modern humans—although, curiously, only with the ancestors of populations presently occupying Island Southeast Asia and Oceania.

5 Manipulating DNA

KEY CONCEPTS

- Segments of DNA can be cloned, or reproduced, in a host organism.
- A DNA library is a collection of cloned DNA segments that can be screened to find a particular gene.
- The polymerase chain reaction amplifies a DNA segment by repeatedly synthesizing complementary strands.
- Recombinant DNA technology can be used to manipulate genes for protein expression or for the production of transgenic organisms.

Along with nucleic acid sequencing, techniques for manipulating DNA *in vitro* and *in vivo* (in the test tube and in living systems) have produced dramatic advances in biochemistry, cell biology, and genetics. In many cases, this **recombinant DNA technology** has made it possible to purify specific DNA sequences and to prepare them in quantities sufficient for study. Consider the problem of isolating a unique 1000-bp length of chromosomal DNA from *E. coli.* A 10-L culture of cells grown at a density of $\sim 10^{10}$ cells $\cdot$ mL^{-1} contains only $\sim$0.1 mg of the desired DNA, which would be all but impossible to separate from the rest of the DNA using classical separation techniques (Sections 5-2 and 24-3). *Recombinant DNA technology, also called* ***molecular cloning*** *or* ***genetic engineering****, makes it possible to isolate, amplify, and modify specific DNA sequences.*

A Cloned DNA Is an Amplified Copy

The following approach is used to obtain and amplify a segment of DNA:

1. A fragment of DNA of the appropriate size is generated by a restriction enzyme, by PCR (Section 3-5C), or by chemical synthesis.
2. The fragment is incorporated into another DNA molecule known as a **vector**, which contains the sequences necessary to direct DNA replication.
3. The vector—with the DNA of interest—is introduced into cells, in which it is replicated.
4. Cells containing the desired DNA are identified, or selected.

Cloning refers to the production of multiple identical organisms derived from a single ancestor. The term **clone** refers to the collection of cells that contain the

FIG. 3-24 The plasmid pUC18. As shown in this diagram, the circular plasmid contains multiple restriction sites, including a **polylinker** sequence that contains 13 restriction sites that are not present elsewhere on the plasmid. The three genes expressed by the plasmid are *amp*^{R}, which confers resistance to the antibiotic **ampicillin;** *lacZ,* which encodes the enzyme **β-galactosidase;** and *lacI,* which encodes a factor that controls the transcription of *lacZ* (as described in Section 28-2A).

? Which restriction enzymes could you use to insert a gene without affecting the host cell's resistance to ampicillin?

vector carrying the DNA of interest or to the DNA itself. In a suitable host organism, such as *E. coli* or yeast, large amounts of the inserted DNA can be produced.

Cloned DNA can be purified and sequenced (Section 3-4). Alternatively, if a cloned gene is flanked by the properly positioned regulatory sequences for RNA and protein synthesis, the host may also produce large quantities of the RNA and protein specified by that gene. Thus, cloning provides materials (nucleic acids and proteins) for other studies and also provides a means for studying gene expression under controlled conditions.

Cloning Vectors Carry Foreign DNA. A variety of small, autonomously replicating DNA molecules are used as cloning vectors. **Plasmids** are circular DNA molecules of 1 to 200 kb found in bacteria or yeast cells. Plasmids can be considered molecular parasites, but in many instances they benefit their host by providing functions, such as resistance to antibiotics, that the host lacks.

Some types of plasmids are present in one or a few copies per cell and replicate only when the bacterial chromosome replicates. However, the plasmids used for cloning are typically present in hundreds of copies per cell and can be induced to replicate until the cell contains two or three thousand copies (representing about half of the cell's total DNA). The plasmids that have been constructed for laboratory use are relatively small, replicate easily, carry genes specifying resistance to one or more antibiotics, and contain a number of conveniently located restriction endonuclease sites into which foreign DNA can be inserted. Plasmid vectors can be used to clone DNA segments of no more than ~10 kb. The *E. coli* plasmid designated **pUC18** (Fig. 3-24) is a representative cloning vector ("pUC" stands for plasmid-Universal Cloning).

Bacteriophage λ (Fig. 3-25) is an alternative cloning vector that can accommodate DNA inserts up to 16 kb. The central third of the 48.5-kb phage genome is not required for infection and can therefore be replaced by foreign DNAs of similar size. The resulting **recombinant**, or **chimera** (named after the mythological monster with a lion's head, goat's body, and serpent's tail), is packaged into phage particles that can then be introduced into the host cells. One advantage of using phage vectors is that the recombinant DNA is produced in large amounts in easily purified form. **Baculoviruses**, which infect insect cells, are similarly used for cloning in cultures of insect cells.

Much larger DNA segments—up to several hundred kilobase pairs—can be cloned in large vectors known as **bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs)** or **yeast artificial chromosomes (YACs)**. YACs are linear DNA molecules that contain all the chromosomal structures required for normal replication and segregation during yeast cell division. BACs, which replicate in *E. coli,* are derived from circular plasmids that normally replicate long regions of DNA and are maintained at the level of approximately one copy per cell (properties similar to those of actual chromosomes).

Courtesy of Michael Wurtz/University of Basel, Switzerland/Science Source Images

FIG. 3-25 Bacteriophage λ. During phage infection, DNA contained in the "head" of the phage particle enters the bacterial cell, where it is replicated ~100 times and packaged to form progeny phage.

Ligase Joins Two DNA Segments. A DNA segment to be cloned is often obtained through the action of restriction endonucleases. Most restriction enzymes cleave DNA to yield sticky ends (Section 3-4A). Therefore, as Janet Mertz and Ron Davis first demonstrated in 1972, *a restriction fragment can be inserted into a cut made in a cloning vector by the same restriction enzyme* (**Fig. 3-26**). The complementary ends of the two DNAs form base pairs (**anneal**) and the sugar–phosphate backbones are covalently **ligated**, or spliced together, through the action of an enzyme named **DNA ligase**. (A ligase produced by a bacteriophage can also join blunt-ended restriction fragments.) A great advantage of using a restriction enzyme to construct a recombinant DNA molecule is that the DNA insert can later be precisely excised from the cloned vector by cleaving it with the same restriction enzyme.

Selection Detects the Presence of a Cloned DNA. The expression of a chimeric plasmid in a bacterial host was first demonstrated in 1973 by Herbert Boyer and Stanley Cohen. A host bacterium can take up a plasmid when the two are mixed together, but the vector becomes permanently established in its bacterial host (transformation) with an efficiency of only ~0.1%. However, a single transformed cell can multiply without limit, producing large quantities of recombinant DNA. Bacterial cells are typically plated on a semisolid growth medium at a low enough density that discrete colonies, each arising from a single cell, are visible.

It is essential to select only those host organisms that have been transformed and that contain a properly constructed vector. In the case of plasmid transformation, selection can be accomplished through the use of antibiotics and/or chromogenic (color-producing) substances. For example, the *lacZ* gene in the pUC18 plasmid (see Fig. 3-24) encodes the enzyme β-galactosidase, which cleaves the colorless compound **X-gal** to a blue product:

Cells of *E. coli* that have been transformed by an unmodified pUC18 plasmid form blue colonies. However, if the plasmid contains a foreign DNA insert in its polylinker region, the colonies are colorless because the insert interrupts the protein-coding sequence of the *lacZ* gene and no functional β-galactosidase is produced. Bacteria that have failed to take up any plasmid are also colorless due to the absence of β-galactosidase, but these cells can be excluded by adding the

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 3-26 Construction of a recombinant DNA molecule. WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

? Would this method work if you used a restriction enzyme that produced blunt-ended DNA fragments?

antibiotic ampicillin to the growth medium (the plasmid includes the gene amp^R, which confers ampicillin resistance). Thus, successfully transformed cells form colorless colonies in the presence of ampicillin. Genes such as amp^R are known as **selectable markers**.

Genetically engineered bacteriophage λ vectors contain restriction sites that flank the dispensable central third of the phage genome. This segment can be replaced by foreign DNA, but the chimeric DNA is packaged in phage particles only if its length is from 75 to 105% of the 48.5-kb wild-type λ genome (Fig. 3-27). Consequently, λ phage vectors that have failed to acquire a foreign DNA insert are unable to propagate because they are too short to form infectious phage particles.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 3-27 Cloning with bacteriophage λ. Removal of a nonessential portion of the phage genome allows a segment of foreign DNA to be inserted. The DNA insert can be packaged into an infectious phage particle only if the insert DNA has the appropriate size. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Of course, the production of infectious phage particles results not in a growing bacterial colony but in a **plaque**, a region of lysed bacterial cells, on a culture plate containing a "lawn" of the host bacteria. The recombinant DNA—now much amplified—can be recovered from the phage particles in the plaque.

B DNA Libraries Are Collections of Cloned DNA

To clone a particular DNA fragment, it must first be obtained in relatively pure form. The magnitude of this task can be appreciated by considering that, for example, a 1-kb fragment of human DNA represents only 0.00003% of the 3 billion-bp human genome. Of course, identifying a particular DNA fragment requires knowing something about its nucleotide sequence or its protein product. In practice, it is usually more difficult to identify a particular DNA fragment from an organism and then clone it than it is to clone all the organism's DNA that might contain the DNA of interest and then identify the clones containing the desired sequence.

A Genomic Library Includes All of an Organism's DNA. The cloned set of all DNA fragments from a particular organism is known as its **genomic library**. Genomic libraries are generated by a procedure known as **shotgun cloning**. The chromosomal DNA of the organism is isolated, cleaved to fragments of cloneable size, and inserted into a cloning vector. The DNA is usually fragmented by partial rather than exhaustive restriction digestion so that the genomic library contains intact representatives of all the organism's genes, including those that contain restriction sites. DNA in solution can also be sheared into randomly-sized fragments by sonication (Section 3-4C).

Given the large size of a genome relative to a gene, the shotgun cloning method is subject to the laws of probability. The number of randomly generated fragments that must be cloned to ensure a high probability that a desired sequence is represented at least once in the genomic library is calculated as follows: The probability P that a set of N clones contains a fragment that constitutes a fraction f, in bp, of the organism's genome is

$$P = 1 - (1 - f)^N \qquad [3\text{-}1]$$

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{N} = \log(1 - P)/\log(1 - f) \qquad [3\text{-}2]$$

Thus, for P to equal 0.99 for fragments averaging 10 kb in length, $N = 2116$ for the 4600-kb *E. coli* chromosome (see Sample Calculation 3-1). The use of BAC- or YAC-based genomic libraries with their large fragment lengths therefore greatly reduces the effort necessary to obtain a given gene segment from a large genome. After a BAC- or YAC-based clone containing the desired DNA has been identified (see below), its large DNA insert can be further fragmented and cloned again **(subcloned)** to isolate the target DNA.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 3-1

How many clones must be obtained from *Drosophila* to be 99% sure that they include a particular 10-kb fragment?

Use Equation 3-2 and the size of the *Drosophila* genome given in Table 3-3. Here, $f = 10\,\text{kb}/180{,}000\,\text{kb} = 5.56 \times 10^{-5}$.

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \log(1 - P)/\log(1 - f) \\ &= \log(1 - 0.99)/\log(1 - 5.56 \times 10^{-5}) \\ &= -2/(-2.413 \times 10^{-5}) \\ &= 83{,}000 \end{aligned}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

A cDNA Library Represents Expressed Sequences. A different type of DNA library contains only the expressed sequences from a particular cell type. Such a **cDNA library** is constructed by isolating all the cell's mRNAs and then copying them to DNA using a specialized type of DNA polymerase known as **reverse transcriptase** because it synthesizes DNA using RNA templates (Box 25-2). The **complementary DNA (cDNA)** molecules are then inserted into cloning vectors to form a cDNA library. A cDNA library can also be used to construct a **DNA microarray (DNA chip)**, in which each different cDNA is immobilized at a specific position on a slide. A DNA chip can be used for detecting the presence of mRNA in a biological sample (the mRNA, if present, will bind to its complementary cDNA; Section 14-4C). The DNA corresponding to a complete set of a cell's mRNAs is known as its **exome** (as opposed to its **transcriptome,** which corresponds to all of a cell's RNAs). The human exome represents only ~1% of its genome (~30,000 kb), but harbors ~85% of its disease-causing mutations.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 3-28 Colony (*in situ*) hybridization. Colonies are transferred from a "master" culture plate by replica plating. Clones containing the DNA of interest are identified by the ability to bind a specific probe. Here, binding is detected by laying X-ray film over the dried filter. Since the colonies on the master plate and on the filter have the same spatial distribution, positive colonies are easily retrieved. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Consequently, several hundred thousand human exomes have been sequenced, mainly in efforts to characterize genetic diseases.

A Library Is Screened for the Gene of Interest. Once the requisite number of clones is obtained, the genomic library must be **screened** for the presence of the desired gene. This can be done by a process known as **colony** or ***in situ* hybridization** (Latin: *in situ,* in position; Fig. 3-28). The cloned yeast colonies, bacterial colonies, or phage plaques to be tested are transferred, by replica plating, from a master plate to a nitrocellulose filter (replica plating is also used to transfer colonies to plates containing different growth media). Next, the filter is treated with NaOH, which lyses the cells or phages and separates the DNA into single strands, which preferentially bind to the nitrocellulose. The filter is then dried to fix the DNA in place and incubated with a labeled **probe**. The probe is a short segment of DNA or RNA whose sequence is complementary to a portion of the DNA of interest. After washing away unbound probe, the presence of the probe on the nitrocellulose is detected by a technique appropriate for the label used (e.g., exposure to X-ray film for a radioactive probe, a process known as **autoradiography**, or illumination with an appropriate wavelength for a fluorescent probe). Only colonies or plaques containing the desired gene bind the probe and are thereby detected. The corresponding clones can then be retrieved from the master plate. Using this technique, a human genomic library of ~1 million clones can be readily screened for the presence of one particular DNA segment.

Choosing a probe for a gene whose sequence is not known requires some artistry. The corresponding mRNA can be used as a probe if it is available in sufficient quantities to be isolated. Alternatively, if the amino acid sequence of the protein encoded by the gene is known, the probe may be a mixture of the various synthetic oligonucleotides that are complementary to a segment of the gene's inferred base sequence. Several disease-related genes have been isolated using probes specific for nearby markers, such as repeated DNA sequences, that were already known to be genetically linked to the disease genes.

C DNA Is Amplified by the Polymerase Chain Reaction

Although molecular cloning techniques are indispensable to modern biochemical research, the **polymerase chain reaction (PCR)** is often a faster and more convenient method for amplifying a specific DNA. Segments of up to 6 kb can be amplified by this technique, which was devised by Kary Mullis in 1985. *In PCR, a DNA sample is separated into single strands and incubated with DNA polymerase, dNTPs, and two oligonucleotide primers whose sequences flank the DNA segment of interest. The primers direct the DNA polymerase to synthesize complementary strands of the target DNA* (Fig. 3-29). Multiple cycles of this process, each doubling the amount of the target DNA, geometrically amplify the DNA starting from as little as a single gene copy. In each cycle, the two strands of the duplex DNA are separated by heating, then the reaction mixture is cooled to allow the primers to anneal to their complementary segments on the DNA. Next, the DNA polymerase directs the synthesis of the complementary strands. The use of a heat-stable DNA polymerase, such as **Taq polymerase** isolated from *Thermus aquaticus,* a bacterium that thrives at 75°C, eliminates the need to add fresh enzyme after each round of heating (heat inactivates most enzymes). Hence, in the presence of sufficient quantities of primers and dNTPs, PCR is carried out simply by cyclically varying the temperature.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 3-29 The polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The number of "unit-length" strands doubles with every cycle after the second cycle. By choosing primers specific for each end of a gene, the gene can be amplified over a millionfold. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Why is a heat-stable polymerase used for PCR?

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
PCR and site-directed mutagenesis

Twenty cycles of PCR increase the amount of the target sequence around a millionfold (~2^{20}) with high specificity. Indeed, PCR can amplify a target DNA present only once in a sample of 10^5 cells, so this method can be used without prior DNA purification. The amplified DNA can then be sequenced or cloned.

PCR amplification has become an indispensable tool. Clinically, it is used to diagnose infectious diseases and to detect rare pathological events such as mutations leading to cancer. Forensically, the DNA from a single hair or sperm can be amplified by PCR so it can be used to identify the donor (Box 3-2). Traditional ABO blood-type analysis requires a coin-sized drop of blood; PCR is effective on pinhead-sized samples of biological fluids. Courts now consider DNA sequences as unambiguous identifiers of individuals, as are fingerprints, because the chance of two individuals sharing extended sequences of DNA is typically one in a million or more. In a few cases, PCR has dramatically restored justice to convicts who were released from prison on the basis of PCR results that proved their innocence—even many years after the crime-scene evidence had been collected.

D Recombinant DNA Technology Has Numerous Practical Applications

The ability to manipulate DNA sequences allows genes to be altered and expressed to obtain proteins with improved functional properties or to correct genetic defects.

Cloned Genes Can Be Expressed. The production of large quantities of scarce or novel proteins is relatively straightforward only for bacterial proteins: A cloned gene must be inserted into an **expression vector**, a plasmid that contains properly positioned transcriptional and translational control sequences. The production of a protein of interest may reach 30% of the host's total cellular protein. Such genetically engineered organisms are called **overproducers**. Bacterial cells often sequester large amounts of useless and possibly toxic (to the bacterium) protein as insoluble inclusions, which sometimes simplifies the task of purifying the protein.

Bacteria can produce eukaryotic proteins only if the recombinant DNA that carries the protein-coding sequence also includes bacterial transcriptional and translational control sequences. Synthesis of eukaryotic proteins in bacteria also presents other problems. For example, many eukaryotic genes are large and contain stretches of nucleotides (**introns**) that are transcribed and excised before translation (Section 26-3A); bacteria lack the machinery to excise the introns. In addition, many eukaryotic proteins are posttranslationally modified by the addition of carbohydrates or by other reactions. These problems can be overcome by using expression vectors that propagate in eukaryotic hosts, such as yeast or cultured insect or animal cells.

Table 3-5 lists some recombinant proteins produced for medical and agricultural use. In many cases, purification of these proteins directly from human or

Box 3-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry DNA Fingerprinting

Forensic DNA testing takes advantage of DNA sequence variations or **polymorphisms** that occur among individuals. Many genetic polymorphisms have no functional consequences because they occur in regions of the DNA that contain many repetitions but do not encode genes (although if they are located near a "disease" gene, they can be used to track and identify the gene). Modern **DNA fingerprinting** methods examine these noncoding repetitive DNA sequences in samples that have been amplified by PCR.

Tandemly repeated DNA sequences occur throughout the human genome and include **short tandem repeats (STRs)**, which contain variable numbers of repeating segments of two to seven base pairs. The most popular STR sites for forensic use contain tetranucleotide repeats. The number of repeats at any one site on the DNA varies between individuals, even within a family. Each different number of repeats at a site is called an **allele**, and each individual can have two alleles, one from each parent (see figure above).

Since PCR is the first step of the fingerprinting process, only a tiny amount (~1 ng) of DNA is needed. The region of DNA containing the STR is amplified by PCR using primers that are complementary to the unique (nonrepeating) sequences flanking the repeats.

The amplified products are separated by electrophoresis and detected by the fluorescent tag on their primers. An STR allele is small enough (~500 bp) that DNA fragments differing by a four-base repeat can be readily differentiated. The allele designation for each STR site is generally the number of times a repeated unit is present. STR sites that have been selected for forensic use generally have 7 to 30 different alleles.

In the example shown at right, the upper trace shows the fluorescence of the electrophoretogram of reference standards (the set of all possible alleles, each identified by the number of repeat units, from 13 to 23). The lower trace corresponds to the sample being tested, which contains two alleles, one with 16 repeats and one with 18 repeats. Several STR sites can be analyzed simultaneously by using the appropriate primers and tagging them with different fluorescent dyes.

The probability of two individuals having matching DNA fingerprints depends on the number of STR sites examined and the number of alleles at each site. For example, if a pair of alleles at one site occurs in the population with a frequency of 10% (1/10), and a pair of alleles at a second site occurs with a frequency of 5% (1/20), then the probability that the DNA fingerprints from two individuals would match at both sites is 1 in 200 (1/10 × 1/20; the probabilities of independent events are multiplied). By examining multiple STR sites, the probability of obtaining matching fingerprints by chance becomes exceedingly small.

animal tissues is unfeasible on ethical or practical grounds. Expression systems permit large-scale, efficient preparation of the proteins while minimizing the risk of contamination by viruses or other pathogens from tissue samples.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis Alters a Gene's Nucleotide Sequence. After isolating a gene, it is possible to modify the nucleotide sequence to alter the amino acid sequence of the encoded protein. **Site-directed mutagenesis**, a technique pioneered by Michael Smith, *mimics the natural process*

TABLE 3-5 Some Proteins Produced by Genetic Engineering

Protein	Use
Human insulin	Treatment of diabetes
Human growth hormone	Treatment of some endocrine disorders
Erythropoietin	Stimulation of red blood cell production
Colony-stimulating factors	Production and activation of white blood cells
Coagulation factors IX and X	Treatment of blood-clotting disorders (hemophilia)
Tissue-type plasminogen activator	Lysis of blood clots after heart attack and stroke
Bovine growth hormone	Production of milk in cows
Hepatitis B surface antigen	Vaccination against hepatitis B

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 3-30 Site-directed mutagenesis. The altered gene can be inserted into a suitable cloning vector to be amplified, expressed, or used to generate a mutant organism. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

of evolution and allows predictions about the structural and functional roles of particular amino acids in a protein to be rigorously tested in the laboratory.

Synthetic oligonucleotides are required to specifically alter genes through site-directed mutagenesis. An oligonucleotide whose sequence is identical to a portion of the gene of interest except for the desired base changes is used to direct replication of the gene. The oligonucleotide hybridizes to the corresponding **wild-type** (naturally occurring) sequence if there are no more than a few mismatched base pairs. Extension of the oligonucleotide, called a primer, by DNA polymerase yields the desired altered gene (Fig. 3-30). The altered gene can then be inserted into an appropriate vector. A mutagenized primer can also be used to generate altered genes by PCR.

Courtesy of Ralph Brinster, University of Pennsylvania.

FIG. 3-31 Transgenic mouse. The gigantic mouse on the left was grown from a fertilized ovum that had been microinjected with DNA containing the rat growth hormone gene. He is nearly twice the weight of his normal littermate on the right.

Transgenic Organisms Contain Foreign Genes. For many purposes it is preferable to tailor an intact organism rather than just a protein—true genetic engineering. Multicellular organisms expressing a gene from another organism are said to be **transgenic**, and the transplanted foreign gene is called a **transgene**.

For the change to be permanent—that is, heritable—a transgene must be stably integrated into the organism's germ cells. For mice, this is accomplished by microinjecting cloned DNA encoding the desired altered characteristics into a fertilized egg and implanting it into the uterus of a foster mother. A well-known example of a transgenic mouse contains extra copies of a growth hormone gene (Fig. 3-31).

Transgenic farm animals have also been developed. In many cases, the genes of such animals have been tailored to allow the animals to grow faster on less food or to be resistant to particular diseases. Some transgenic farm animals have been engineered to secrete pharmaceutically useful proteins into their milk (a process called "pharming"). Harvesting such a substance from milk is much more cost-effective than producing the same substance in bacterial cultures.

One of the most successful transgenic organisms is corn (maize) that has been genetically modified to produce a protein that is toxic to plant-eating insects (but harmless to vertebrates). The toxin is synthesized by the soil microbe *Bacillus thuringiensis.* The toxin gene has been cloned into corn to confer protection against the European corn borer, a commercially significant pest that spends much of its life cycle inside the corn plant, where it is largely inaccessible to chemical insecticides. The use of "Bt corn," which is now widely planted in the United States, has greatly reduced the need for such toxic substances.

Transgenic plants have also been engineered for better nutrition. For example, researchers have developed a strain of rice with foreign genes that encode enzymes necessary to synthesize **β-carotene** (an orange pigment that is the precursor of **vitamin A**) and a gene for the iron-storage protein **ferritin.** The genetically modified rice, which is named "golden rice" (Fig. 3-32), should help alleviate vitamin A deficiencies (which afflict some 400 million people) and iron deficiencies (an estimated 30% of the world's population suffers from iron deficiency). Other transgenic plants include freeze-tolerant strawberries; slow-ripening tomatoes; rapidly maturing fruit trees; crop plants resistant to drought, high salinity, pathogenic viruses, or herbicides (so that herbicides can be used to eliminate weeds without killing the crop plant); and plants that produce large amounts of biofuels.

There is currently a widely held popular suspicion, particularly in Europe, that genetically modified or "GM" foods are somehow harmful. However, extensive research, as well as considerable consumer experience, has failed to reveal any deleterious consequences of consuming GM foods (see Box 3-3).

Transgenic organisms have greatly enhanced our understanding of gene expression. Animals that have been engineered to contain a defective gene or that lack a gene entirely (a so-called **gene knockout**) also serve as experimental models for human diseases.

Courtesy Ingo Potrykus.

FIG. 3-32 Golden rice. The white grains on the left are the wild type. The grains on the right have been engineered to store up to three times more iron and to synthesize β-carotene, which gives them their yellow color.

Genetic Defects Can Be Corrected. **Gene therapy** is the transfer of new genetic material to the cells of an individual to produce a therapeutic effect. Although the

Box 3-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Ethical Aspects of Recombinant DNA Technology

In the early 1970s, when genetic engineering was first discussed, little was known about the safety of the proposed experiments. After considerable debate, during which there was a moratorium on such experiments, regulations for recombinant DNA research were drawn up. The rules prohibit obviously dangerous experiments (e.g., introducing the gene for diphtheria toxin into *E. coli,* which would convert this human symbiont into a deadly pathogen). Other precautions limit the risk of accidentally releasing potentially harmful organisms into the environment. For example, many vectors must be cloned in host organisms with special nutrient requirements. These organisms are unlikely to survive outside the laboratory.

The proven value of recombinant DNA technology has silenced nearly all its early opponents. Certainly, it would not have been possible to study some pathogens, such as the virus that causes AIDS, without cloning. The lack of recombinant-induced genetic catastrophes so far does not guarantee that recombinant organisms won't ever adversely affect the environment. Nevertheless, the techniques used by genetic engineers mimic those used in nature—that is, mutation and selection—so natural and man-made organisms are fundamentally similar. In any case, people have been breeding plants and animals for several millennia already, and for many of the same purposes that guide experiments with recombinant DNA.

There are other ethical considerations to be faced as new genetic engineering techniques become available. Bacterially produced human growth hormone is now routinely prescribed to increase the stature of abnormally short children. However, should athletes be permitted to use this protein, as some reportedly have, to increase their size and strength? Few would dispute the use of gene therapy, if it can be developed, to cure such genetic defects as sickle-cell anemia (Section 7-1E) and Lesch–Nyhan syndrome (Section 23-1D). If, however, it becomes possible to alter complex (i.e., multigene) traits such as athletic ability and intelligence, which changes would be considered desirable and who would decide whether to make them? Should gene therapy be used only to correct an individual's defects, or should it also be used to alter genes in the individual's germ cells so succeeding generations would not inherit the defect? When it becomes easy to determine an individual's genetic makeup, should this information be used in evaluating applicants for educational and employment opportunities or for health insurance? These conundrums have led to the creation of a branch of philosophy, named **bioethics,** designed to deal with them.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the steps required to amplify a given segment of DNA *in vivo* and *in vitro.*
- Compare the properties of cloning vectors such as pUC18, bacteriophage λ, and BACs.
- Describe the activities of the enzymes required to construct a recombinant DNA molecule.
- Explain why a cloning vector usually includes a selectable marker.
- What is a DNA library and how can it be screened for a particular gene?
- What are the advantages of PCR over traditional cloning?
- What are some of the challenges of expressing a eukaryotic gene in a prokaryotic host cell?
- Explain how site-directed mutagenesis can be used to produce an altered protein in bacterial cells.
- What is the difference between manipulating a gene for gene therapy and for producing a transgenic organism?

potential benefits of this as yet rudimentary technology are enormous, there are numerous practical obstacles to overcome. For example, the retroviral vectors (RNA-containing viruses) commonly used to directly introduce genes into humans can provoke a fatal immune response.

The first documented success of gene therapy in humans occurred in children with a form of **severe combined immunodeficiency disease (SCID)** known as **SCID-X1,** which without treatment would have required their isolation in a sterile environment to prevent fatal infection. SCID-X1 is caused by a defect in the gene encoding **γc cytokine receptor,** whose action is essential for proper immune system function. Bone marrow cells (the precursors of white blood cells) were removed from the bodies of SCID-X1 victims, incubated with a vector containing a normal γc cytokine receptor gene, and returned to their bodies. The transgenic bone marrow cells restored immune system function. However, because the viral vector integrates into the genome at random, the location of the transgene may affect the expression of other genes, triggering cancer. At least two children have developed **leukemia** (a white blood cell cancer) as a result of gene therapy for SCID-X1.

Several other hereditary diseases have been successfully treated by similar techniques, including **Leber's congenital amaurosis,** a rare form of blindness; **X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy,** in which a defect in a membrane transport protein leads to brain damage; **β-thalassemia**, a type of severe anemia; and **Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome,** an immunodeficiency disease. Similarly, genetically instructing a cancer victim's immune system cells to attack the cancer cells has shown great promise in eliminating certain cancers that do not respond to standard therapies.

Summary

1 Nucleotides

- Nucleotides consist of a purine or pyrimidine base linked to ribose to which at least one phosphate group is attached. RNA is made of ribonucleotides; DNA is made of deoxynucleotides (which contain 2′-deoxyribose).

2 Introduction to Nucleic Acid Structure

- In DNA, two antiparallel chains of nucleotides linked by phosphodiester bonds form a double helix. Bases in opposite strands pair: A with T, and G with C.
- Single-stranded nucleic acids, such as RNA, can adopt stem–loop structures.

3 Overview of Nucleic Acid Function

- DNA carries genetic information in its sequence of nucleotides. When DNA is replicated by DNA polymerase, each strand acts as a template for the synthesis of a complementary strand.
- According to the central dogma of molecular biology, one strand of the DNA of a gene is transcribed into mRNA. The RNA is then translated into protein by the ordered addition of amino acids that are bound to tRNA molecules that base-pair with the mRNA at the ribosome.

4 Nucleic Acid Sequencing

- Restriction endonucleases that recognize certain sequences of DNA are used to specifically cleave DNA molecules.
- Gel electrophoresis is used to separate and measure the sizes of DNA fragments.
- In the chain-terminator method of DNA sequencing, the sequence of nucleotides in a DNA strand is determined by enzymatically synthesizing complementary polynucleotides that terminate with a dideoxy analog of each of the four nucleotides. Polynucleotide fragments of increasing size are separated by electrophoresis to reconstruct the original sequence.
- Next-generation DNA sequencing methods, such as pyrosequencing and Illumina sequencing, are massively parallel and hence much faster than the chain-terminator method, but at the sacrifice of read lengths and accuracy.
- Mutations and other changes to DNA are the basis for the evolution of organisms.

5 Manipulating DNA

- In molecular cloning, a fragment of foreign DNA is inserted into a vector for amplification in a host cell. Transformed cells can be identified by selectable markers.
- Genomic libraries contain all the DNA of an organism. Clones harboring particular DNA sequences are identified by screening procedures.
- The polymerase chain reaction amplifies selected sequences of DNA.
- Recombinant DNA methods are used to produce wild-type or selectively mutagenized proteins in cells or entire organisms.

Key Terms

nucleotide **42**
nucleic acid **42**
nucleoside **43**
RNA **44**
DNA **44**
polynucleotide **46**
phosphodiester bond **46**
nucleotide residue **46**

5′ end **46**
3′ end **46**
monomer **47**
dimer **47**
trimer **47**
tetramer **47**
oligomer **47**
Chargaff's rules **47**
tautomer **47**
double helix **48**
antiparallel **48**
major groove **49**
minor groove **49**
complementary base pairing **49**
genome **49**
chromosome **49**
diploid **49**
haploid **49**
bp **49**
kb **49**
stem–loop **50**
gene **51**
transformation **51**
replication **51**
transcription **52**
translation **52**
central dogma of molecular biology **52**
mRNA **52**
ribosome **52**
rRNA **52**
tRNA **53**
genomics **53**
transcriptomics **53**
proteomics **53**
gene expression **53**
bacteriophage **54**
restriction–modification system **54**
modification methylase **54**
restriction endonuclease **54**
endonuclease **54**
exonuclease **54**
palindrome **55**
sticky ends **55**
blunt ends **56**
gel electrophoresis **56**
chain-terminator sequencing **57**
dNTP **57**
primer **57**
ddNTP **58**
reads **59**
sonication **59**
sequencing-by-synthesis **60**
pyrosequencing **60**
Illumina sequencing **60**
metagenomic sequencing **62**
microbiome **63**
ORF **63**
alternative gene splicing **63**
point mutation **64**
recombination **64**
transposition **64**
phenotype **64**
resequencing **65**
SNP **65**
recombinant DNA technology **66**
vector **66**
cloning **66**
clone **66**
plasmid **67**
recombinant DNA **67**
BAC **67**
YAC **67**
anneal **68**
ligation **68**
selectable marker **69**
plaque **70**
genomic library **70**
shotgun cloning **70**
cDNA library **70**
reverse transcriptase **70**
cDNA **70**
exome **70**
transcriptome **70**
screening **71**
colony (*in situ*) hybridization **71**
replica plating **71**
probe **71**
autoradiography **71**
PCR **71**
expression vector **72**
overproducer **72**
intron **72**
polymorphism **73**
DNA fingerprinting **73**
STR **73**
allele **73**
site-directed mutagenesis **73**
wild type **74**
transgenic organism **74**
transgene **74**
gene knockout **75**
gene therapy **75**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Name the following nucleotide.

2. Name the following nucleotide.

3. In many organisms, DNA is modified by methylation. Draw the structure of 5-methylcytosine, a base that occurs with high frequency in inactive DNA.

4. When cytosine is treated with bisulfite, the amino group is replaced with a carbonyl group. Identify the resulting base.

5. Kinases are enzymes that transfer a phosphoryl group from a nucleoside triphosphate. Which of the following are valid kinase-catalyzed reactions?

(a) ATP + GDP → ADP + GTP

(b) ATP + GMP → AMP + GTP

6. Kinases are enzymes that transfer a phosphoryl group from a nucleoside triphosphate. Which of the following are valid kinase-catalyzed reactions?

(a) ADP + CMP → AMP + CDP

(b) AMP + ATP → 2 ADP

7. A diploid organism with a 45,000-kb haploid genome contains 21% G residues. Calculate the number of A, C, G, and T residues in the DNA of each cell in this organism.

8. A segment of DNA containing 20 base pairs includes 7 guanine residues. How many adenine residues are in the segment? How many uracil residues are in the segment?

9. Explain why the strands of a DNA molecule can be separated more easily at pH > 11.

10. Explain why increasing the NaCl concentration increases the temperature at which the two strands of DNA "melt" apart.

11. An enzyme from the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV, which causes AIDS) can synthesize DNA from an RNA template. Explain how this enzyme activity contradicts Crick's central dogma.

12. How many different amino acids could theoretically be encoded by nucleic acids containing four different nucleotides if (a) each nucleotide coded for one amino acid; (b) consecutive sequences of two nucleotides coded for one amino acid; (c) consecutive sequences of three nucleotides coded for one amino acid; (d) consecutive sequences of four nucleotides coded for one amino acid?

13. The human genome contains thousands of sequences known as small open reading frames, some of which encode proteins of about 30 amino acids. What is the minimum number of nucleotides required to encode such a protein?

14. By how many nucleotides, on average, do the genomes of two *Homo sapiens* differ?

15. The recognition sequence for the restriction enzyme TaqI is T↓CGA. Indicate the products of the reaction of TaqI with the DNA sequence shown.

5′-ACGTCGAATC-3′
3′-TGCAGCTTAG-5′

16. Using the data in Table 3-2, identify restriction enzymes that (a) produce blunt ends; (b) recognize and cleave the same sequence (called **isoschizomers**); (c) produce identical sticky ends.

17. The 13-Mb genome of the green alga *Ostreococcus tauri* contains ~8000 genes. Compare the gene density in this eukaryote to that of *E. coli* (~4300 genes) and that of *A. thaliana* (~25,500 genes).

18. Describe how to select recombinant clones if a foreign DNA is inserted into the polylinker site of pUC18 and then introduced into *E. coli* cells.

19. Refer to the diagram of pUC18 (Fig. 3-24) to determine which restriction enzymes you could use to insert a gene that would interfere with production of β-galactosidase by the host cell.

20. Refer to the diagram of pUC18 (Fig. 3-24) to determine which restriction enzymes you could use to insert a gene that would not interfere with ampicillin resistance or production of β-galactosidase by the host cell.

21. Why is a genomic library larger than a cDNA library for a given organism?

22. Why do cDNA libraries derived from different cell types within the same organism differ from each other?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. Some RNA molecules are covalently modified by methylation at the N6 position in adenosine residues. Draw the structure of the modified nucleoside.

24. Would the modified nucleoside described in Question 23 be able to participate in standard Watson–Crick base pairing?

25. Draw the tautomeric form of adenine.

26. Draw the tautomeric form of cytosine.

27. The p*K* value for N1 of adenine is 3.64, whereas the p*K* value for N1 of guanine is 9.50. Explain this difference.

28. Use your answer to Problem 27 to determine the relative p*K* values of N3 in cytosine and in uracil.

29. The adenine derivative hypoxanthine can base-pair with adenine. Draw the structure of this base pair.

Hypoxanthine

30. Hypoxanthine can also base-pair with cytosine. Draw the structure of this base pair.

31. Describe the outcome of a chain-terminator sequencing procedure in which (a) too little ddNTP is added or (b) too much ddNTP is added.

32. Describe the outcome of a chain-terminator sequencing procedure in which (a) too few primers are present or (b) an excess of primers is present.

33. Calculate the number of clones required to obtain with a probability of 0.99 a specific 5-kb fragment from *C. elegans* (Table 3-3).

34. You are attempting to clone a 250-kb segment of mouse DNA in a yeast artificial chromosome. You obtain 5000 similar-sized clones representing the entire mouse genome. How confident are you that you have cloned the DNA you are interested in?

35. Describe the possible outcome of a PCR experiment in which (a) one of the primers is inadvertently omitted from the reaction mixture and (b) one of the primers is complementary to several sites in the starting DNA sample.

36. Describe the possible outcome of a PCR experiment in which (a) there is a single-stranded break in the target DNA sequence, which is present in only one copy in the starting sample, and (b) there is a double-stranded break in the target DNA sequence, which is present in only one copy in the starting sample.

37. Write the sequences of the two 12-residue primers that could be used to amplify the following DNA segment by PCR.

ATAGGCATAGGCCCATATGGCATAAGGCTTTATAATAT-
GCGATAGGCGCTGGTCAG

38. A blood stain from a crime scene and blood samples from four suspects were analyzed by PCR using fluorescent primers associated with three STR loci: D3S1358, vWA, and FGA. The resulting electrophoretograms are shown below. The numbers beneath each peak identify the allele (upper box) and the height of the peak in relative fluorescence units (lower box).

(a) Since everyone has two copies of each chromosome and therefore two alleles of each gene, what accounts for the appearance of only one allele at some loci?

[From Thompson, W.C., Ford, S., Doom, T., Raymer, M., and Krane, D.E., Evaluating forensic DNA evidence: Essential elements of a competent defense review, *The Champion* **27**, 16–25 (2003). Reprinted by permission of the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers.]

(b) Which suspect is a possible source of the blood?

(c) Could the suspect be identified using just one of the three STR loci?

(d) What can you conclude about the amount of DNA obtained from Suspect 1 compared to Suspect 4?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Taxonomic Trees

Exercise 2 Drawing and Visualizing Nucleotides

Exercise 3 The DNA Double Helix

Exercise 4 Analysis of Genomic DNA

Exercise 5 Restriction Enzyme Mapping

Exercise 6 Gene Alignment and the Endosymbiotic Theory

Extended Exercises Bioinformatics projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 1 Databases for the Storage and "Mining" of Genome Sequences

1. **Finding Databases.** Locate databases for genome sequences and explore the meaning of terms related to them.
2. **The Institute for Genomic Research.** Explore a prokaryotic genome and find listings for eukaryotic genomes.
3. **Analyzing a DNA Sequence.** Given a DNA sequence, identify its open reading frame and translate it into a protein sequence.
4. **Sequence Homology.** Perform a BLAST search for homologs of a protein sequence.
5. **Plasmids and Cloning.** Predict the sizes of the fragments produced by the action of various restriction enzymes on plasmids.

MORE TO EXPLORE Look up one of the genetic diseases listed in Table 3-4. What gene is involved? What is the normal function of the protein encoded by the gene, and how does the gene defect produce the characteristic symptoms? How might this disease be treated through gene therapy?

References

DNA Structure and Function

Bloomfield, V.A., Crothers, D.M., and Tinoco, I., Jr., *Nucleic Acids. Structures, Properties, and Functions,* University Science Books (2000).

Dickerson, R.E., DNA structure from A to Z, *Methods Enzymol.* **211,** 67–111 (1992). [Describes the various crystallographic forms of DNA.]

Thieffry, D., Forty years under the central dogma, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **23,** 312–316 (1998). [Traces the origins, acceptance, and shortcomings of the idea that nucleic acids contain biological information.]

Watson, J.D. and Crick, F.H.C., Molecular structure of nucleic acids, *Nature* **171,** 737–738 (1953); *and* Genetical implications of the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid, *Nature* **171,** 964–967 (1953). [The seminal papers that are widely held to mark the origin of modern molecular biology.]

DNA Sequencing

Brown, S.M. (Ed.), *Next-Generation DNA Sequencing Informatics,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2013).

Galperin, M.Y., Rigden, D.J., and Fernández-Suárez, X.M., The 2015 *Nucleic Acids Research* database issue and online molecular biology database collection, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, Database issue D1–D8 (2015). [This annually updated article describes 1537 databases covering various aspects of molecular biology, biochemistry, and genetics. Additional articles in the same issue provide more information on individual databases. Freely available at http://nar.oxfordjournals.org.]

International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium, Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome, *Nature* **409**, 860–921 (2001); *and* Venter, J.C., *et al.,* The sequence of the human genome, *Science* **291**, 1304–1351 (2001). [These and other papers in the same issues of *Nature* and *Science* describe the data that constitute the draft sequence of the human genome and discuss how this information can be used in understanding biological function, evolution, and human health.]

International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium, Finishing the euchromatic sequence of the human genome, *Nature* **431**, 931–945 (2004). [Describes the "finished" version of the human genome sequence.]

Lander, E.S., Initial impact of the sequencing of the human genome, *Nature* **470**, 187–197 (2011).

Mardis, E.R., Next-generation sequencing platforms, *Annu. Rev. Analyt. Chem.* **6**, 287–303 (2013).

Recombinant DNA Technology

Ausubel, F.M., Brent, R., Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A., and Struhl, K., *Short Protocols in Molecular Biology* (5th ed.), Wiley (2002). [A two-volume set.]

Pingoud, A., Fuxreiter, M., Pingoud, V., and Wende, W., Type II restriction endonucleases: structure and mechanism, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **62**, 685–707 (2005). [Includes an overview of different types of restriction enzymes.]

Green, M.R. and Sambrook, J., *Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual* (4th ed.), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2012). [A three-volume "bible" of laboratory protocols with accompanying background explanations.]

Watson, J.D., Meyers, R.M., Caudy, A.A., and Witkowski, J.A., *Recombinant DNA. Genes and Genomes—A Short Course* (3rd ed.), Freeman (2007). [An exposition of the methods, findings, and results of recombinant DNA technology and research.]

CHAPTER 4

Amino Acids

Chapter Contents

1 **Amino Acid Structure**
- A Amino Acids Are Dipolar Ions
- B Peptide Bonds Link Amino Acids
- C Amino Acid Side Chains Are Nonpolar, Polar, or Charged
- D The p*K* Values of Ionizable Groups Depend on Nearby Groups
- E Amino Acid Names Are Abbreviated

2 **Stereochemistry**

3 **Amino Acid Derivatives**
- A Protein Side Chains May Be Modified
- B Some Amino Acids Are Biologically Active

Eye of Science/Science Source Images

Staphylococcus epidermidis, which grows on human skin, links the amino acid glutamate into long chains. These help protect the bacteria from changes in extracellular salt concentration that normally occur on the skin surface.

When scientists first turned their attention to nutrition, early in the nineteenth century, they quickly discovered that natural products containing nitrogen were essential for the survival of animals. In 1839, the Swedish chemist Jacob Berzelius coined the term **protein** (Greek: *proteios,* primary) for this class of compounds. The physiological chemists of that time did not realize that proteins were actually composed of smaller units, amino acids, although the first amino acids had been isolated in 1830. In fact, for many years, it was believed that substances from plants—including proteins—were incorporated whole into animal tissues. This misconception was laid to rest when the process of digestion came to light. After it became clear that ingested proteins were broken down to smaller compounds containing amino acids, scientists began to consider the nutritive qualities of those compounds (Box 4-1).

Modern studies of proteins and amino acids owe a great deal to nineteenth- and early twentieth-century experiments. We now understand that nitrogen-containing amino acids are essential for life and that they are the building blocks of proteins. The central role of amino acids in biochemistry is perhaps not surprising: Several amino acids are among the organic compounds believed to have appeared early in the earth's history (Section 1-1A). Amino acids, as ancient and ubiquitous molecules, have been co-opted by evolution for a variety of purposes in living systems. We begin this chapter by discussing the structures and chemical properties of the common amino acids, including their stereochemistry, and end with a brief summary of the structures and functions of some related compounds.

Box 4-1 Pathways to Discovery **William C. Rose and the Discovery of Threonine**

William C. Rose (1887–1985) Identifying the amino acid constituents of proteins was a scientific challenge that grew out of studies of animal nutrition. At the start of the twentieth century, physiological chemists (the term *biochemist* was not yet used) recognized that not all foods provided adequate nutrition. For example, rats fed the corn protein zein as their only source of nitrogen failed to grow unless the amino acids tryptophan and lysine were added to their diet. Knowledge of metabolism at that time was limited mostly to information gleaned from studies in which intake of particular foods in experimental subjects (including humans) was linked to the urinary excretion of various compounds. Results of such studies were consistent with the idea that compounds could be transformed into other compounds, but clearly, nutrients were not wholly interchangeable.

At the University of Illinois, William C. Rose focused his research on nutritional studies to decipher the metabolic relationships of nitrogenous compounds. Among other things, his studies of rat growth and nutrition helped show that purines and pyrimidines were derived from amino acids but that those compounds could not replace dietary amino acids.

To examine the nutritional requirements for individual amino acids, Rose hydrolyzed proteins to obtain their component amino acids and then selectively removed certain amino acids. In one of his first experiments, he removed arginine and histidine from a hydrolysate of the milk protein casein. Rats fed on this preparation lost weight unless the amino acid histidine was added back to the food. However, adding back arginine did not compensate for the apparent requirement for histidine. These results prompted Rose to investigate the requirements for all the amino acids. Using similar experimental approaches, Rose demonstrated that cysteine, histidine, and tryptophan could not be replaced by other amino acids.

From preparations based on hydrolyzed proteins, Rose moved to mixtures of pure amino acids. Thirteen of the 19 known amino acids could be purified, and the other six synthesized. However, rats fed these 19 amino acids as their sole source of dietary nitrogen lost weight. Although one possible explanation was that the proportions of the pure amino acids were not optimal, Rose concluded that there must be an additional essential amino acid, present in naturally occurring proteins and their hydrolysates but not in his amino acid mixtures.

After several years of effort, Rose obtained and identified the missing amino acid. In work published in 1935, Rose showed that adding this amino acid to the other 19 could support rat growth. Thus, the twentieth and last amino acid, threonine, was discovered.

Experiments extending over the next 20 years revealed that 10 of the 20 amino acids found in proteins are nutritionally essential, so that removal of one of these causes growth failure and eventually death in experimental animals. The other 10 amino acids were considered "dispensable" since animals could synthesize adequate amounts of them.

Rose's subsequent work included verifying the amino acid requirements of humans, using graduate students as subjects. Knowing which amino acids were required for normal health—and in what amounts—made it possible to evaluate the potential nutritive value of different types of food proteins. Eventually, these findings helped guide the formulations used for intravenous feeding.

McCoy, R.H., Meyer, C.E., and Rose, W.C., Feeding experiments with mixtures of highly purified amino acids. VIII. Isolation and identification of a new essential amino acid, *J. Biol. Chem.* **112,** 283–302 (1935). [Freely available at http://www.jbc.org.]

1 Amino Acid Structure

KEY CONCEPTS

- The 20 standard amino acids share a common structure but differ in their side chains.
- Peptide bonds link amino acid residues in a polypeptide.
- Some amino acid side chains contain ionizable groups whose p*K* values may vary.

The analyses of a vast number of proteins from almost every conceivable source have shown that *all proteins are composed of 20 "standard" amino acids.* Not every protein contains all 20 types of amino acids, but most proteins contain most, if not all, of the 20 types.

The common amino acids are known as **α-amino acids** because they have a primary amino group (—NH_2) as a substituent of the **α carbon** atom, the carbon next to the carboxylic acid group (—COOH; **Fig. 4-1**). The sole exception is proline, which has a secondary amino group (—NH—), although for uniformity we will refer to proline as an α-amino acid. The 20 standard amino acids differ in the structures of their side chains (**R groups**). **Table 4-1** displays the names and complete chemical structures of the 20 standard amino acids.

FIG. 4-1 General structure of an α-amino acid. The R groups differentiate the 20 standard amino acids.

? **Identify the functional groups in this structure.**

TABLE 4-1 Covalent Structures and Abbreviations of the "Standard" Amino Acids of Proteins, Their Occurrence, and the p*K* Values of Their Ionizable Groups

Name, Three-letter Symbol, and One-letter Symbol	Structural Formula[a]	Residue Mass (D)[b]	Average Occurrence in Proteins (%)[c]	pK_1 α-COOH[d]	pK_2 α-NH_3^+[d]	pK_R Side Chain[d]
Amino acids with nonpolar side chains						
Glycine Gly G		57.0	7.1	2.35	9.78	
Alanine Ala A		71.1	8.2	2.35	9.87	
Valine Val V		99.1	6.9	2.29	9.74	
Leucine Leu L		113.2	9.7	2.33	9.74	
Isoleucine Ile I		113.2	6.0	2.32	9.76	
Methionine Met M		131.2	2.4	2.13	9.28	
Proline Pro P		97.1	4.7	1.95	10.64	
Phenylalanine Phe F		147.2	3.9	2.20	9.31	
Tryptophan Trp W		186.2	1.1	2.46	9.41	

[a]The ionic forms shown are those predominating at pH 7.0 (except for that of histidine[f]) although residue mass is given for the neutral compound. The C_α atoms, as well as atoms marked with an asterisk, are chiral centers with configurations as indicated according to Fischer projection formulas (Section 4-2). The standard organic numbering system is provided for heterocycles.

[b]The residue masses are given for the neutral residues. For the molecular masses of the parent amino acids, add 18.0 D, the molecular mass of H_2O, to the residue masses. For side chain masses, subtract 56.0 D, the formula mass of a peptide group, from the residue masses.

[c]The average amino acid composition in the complete SWISS-PROT database (http://www.expasy.ch/sprot/relnotes/relstat.html), Release 2013_13. Individual proteins may exhibit large deviations from these quantities.

[d]Data from Dawson, R.M.C, Elliott, D.C., Elliott, W.H., and Jones, K.M., *Data for Biochemical Research* (3rd ed.), pp. 1–31, Oxford Science Publications (1986).

TABLE 4-1 (Continued)

Name, Three-letter Symbol, and One-letter Symbol	Structural Formula[a]	Residue Mass (D)[b]	Average Occurrence in Proteins (%)[c]	pK_1 α-COOH[d]	pK_2 α-NH_3^+[d]	pK_R Side Chain[d]
Amino acids with uncharged polar side chains						
Serine Ser S		87.1	6.6	2.19	9.21	
Threonine Thr T		101.1	5.3	2.09	9.10	
Asparagine[e] Asn N		114.1	4.1	2.14	8.72	
Glutamine[e] Gln Q		128.1	3.9	2.17	9.13	
Tyrosine Tyr Y		163.2	2.9	2.20	9.21	10.46 (phenol)
Cysteine Cys C		103.1	1.4	1.92	10.70	8.37 (sulfhydryl)
Amino acids with charged polar side chains						
Lysine Lys K		128.2	5.9	2.16	9.06	10.54 (ε-NH_3^+)
Arginine Arg R		156.2	5.5	1.82	8.99	12.48 (guanidino)
Histidine[f] His H		137.1	2.3	1.80	9.33	6.04 (imidazole)
Aspartic acid[e] Asp D		115.1	5.4	1.99	9.90	3.90 (β-COOH)
Glutamic acid[e] Glu E		129.1	6.8	2.10	9.47	4.07 (γ-COOH)

[e]The three- and one-letter symbols for asparagine *or* aspartic acid are Asx and B, whereas for glutamine *or* glutamic acid they are Glx and Z. The one-letter symbol for an undetermined or "nonstandard" amino acid is X.

[f]Both neutral and protonated forms of histidine are present at pH 7.0, since its pK_R is close to 7.0.

FIG. 4-2 A dipolar amino acid. At physiological pH, the amino group is protonated and the carboxylic acid group is unprotonated.

A Amino Acids Are Dipolar Ions

The amino and carboxylic acid groups of amino acids ionize readily. The pK values of the carboxylic acid groups (represented by pK_1 in Table 4-1) lie in a small range around 2.2, while the pK values of the α-amino groups (pK_2) are near 9.4. *At physiological pH (~7.4), the amino groups are protonated and the carboxylic acid groups are in their conjugate base (carboxylate) form* (**Fig. 4-2**). An amino acid can therefore act as both an acid and a base. Table 4-1 also lists the pK values for the seven side chains that contain ionizable groups (pK_R).

Molecules such as amino acids, which bear charged groups of opposite polarity, are known as **dipolar ions** or **zwitterions**. Amino acids, like other ionic compounds, are more soluble in polar solvents than in nonpolar solvents. As we will see, the ionic properties of the side chains influence the physical and chemical properties of free amino acids and amino acids in proteins.

B Peptide Bonds Link Amino Acids

Amino acids can be polymerized to form chains. This process can be represented as a **condensation reaction** (bond formation with the elimination of a water molecule), as shown in **Fig. 4-3**. The resulting CO—NH linkage, an amide linkage, is known as a **peptide bond**.

Polymers composed of two, three, a few (3–10), and many amino acid units are known, respectively, as **dipeptides**, **tripeptides**, **oligopeptides**, and **polypeptides**. These substances, however, are often referred to simply as "peptides." After they are incorporated into a peptide, the individual amino acids (the monomeric units) are referred to as amino acid **residues**.

Polypeptides are linear polymers rather than branched chains; that is, each amino acid residue participates in two peptide bonds and is linked to its neighbors in a head-to-tail fashion. The residues at the two ends of the polypeptide each participate in just one peptide bond. The residue with a free amino group (by convention, the leftmost residue, as shown in Fig. 4-3) is called the **amino terminus** or **N-terminus**. The residue with a free carboxylate group (at the right) is called the **carboxyl terminus** or **C-terminus**.

Proteins are molecules that contain one or more polypeptide chains. *Variations in the length and the amino acid sequence of polypeptides are major contributors to the diversity in the shapes and biological functions of proteins,* as we will see in succeeding chapters.

FIG. 4-3 Condensation of two amino acids. Formation of a CO—NH bond with the elimination of a water molecule produces a dipeptide. The peptide bond is shown in red. The residue with a free amino group is the N-terminus of the peptide, and the residue with a free carboxylate group is the C-terminus.

? Draw a tripeptide resulting from the condensation of a third amino acid.

GATEWAY CONCEPT: Molecular Visualization

Two-dimensional representations of amino acids and other small biological molecules indicate the bonding arrangements among atoms. However, since the covalent bonds from C, N, P, and S atoms often extend toward the corners of a tetrahedron, molecules containing such atoms occupy three dimensions, and if each atom's electrons—those in bonds and in nonbonded pairs—are represented as occupying a cloud around each atom's nucleus, the molecule can be pictured as a solid object. Structural formulas, ball-and-stick models, and space-filling models can therefore emphasize different aspects of the same molecular structure.

C Amino Acid Side Chains Are Nonpolar, Polar, or Charged

The most useful way to classify the 20 standard amino acids is by the polarities of their side chains. According to the most common classification scheme, there are three major types of amino acids: (1) those with nonpolar R groups, (2) those with uncharged polar R groups, and (3) those with charged polar R groups.

The Nonpolar Amino Acid Side Chains Have a Variety of Shapes and Sizes. Nine amino acids are classified as having nonpolar side chains. The three-dimensional shapes of some of these amino acids are shown in **Fig. 4-4**. **Glycine** has the smallest possible side chain, an H atom. **Alanine, valine, leucine,** and **isoleucine** have aliphatic hydrocarbon side chains ranging in size from a methyl group for alanine to isomeric butyl groups for leucine and isoleucine. **Methionine** has a thioether side chain that resembles an *n*-butyl group in many of its physical properties (C and S have nearly equal electronegativities, and S is about the size of a methylene group). **Proline** has a cyclic pyrrolidine side group. **Phenylalanine** (with its phenyl moiety) and **tryptophan** (with its indole group) contain aromatic side groups, which are characterized by bulk as well as nonpolarity.

FIG. 4-4 Some amino acids with nonpolar side chains. The amino acids are shown as ball-and-stick models embedded in transparent space-filling models. The atoms are colored according to type, with C green, H white, N blue, and O red.

Uncharged Polar Side Chains Have Hydroxyl, Amide, or Thiol Groups. Six amino acids are commonly classified as having uncharged polar side chains (Table 4-1 and Fig. 4-5). **Serine** and **threonine** bear hydroxylic R groups of different sizes. **Asparagine** and **glutamine** have amide-bearing side chains of different sizes. **Tyrosine** has a phenolic group (and, like phenylalanine and tryptophan, is aromatic). **Cysteine** is unique among the 20 amino acids in that it has a thiol group that can form a disulfide bond with another cysteine through the oxidation of the two thiol groups (Fig. 4-6).

FIG. 4-5 Some amino acids with uncharged polar side chains. Atoms are represented and colored as in Fig. 4-4. Note the presence of electronegative atoms on the side chains.

GATEWAY CONCEPT: Functional Groups and Molecular Behavior

In addition to the amino and carboxylate groups that characterize all amino acids, some amino acids have side chains with acid–base groups. The protonation or deprotonation of these functional groups determines whether the side chain has an ionic charge. Consequently, the side chains can participate in chemical reactions as acids and bases, and their ionization states determine other aspects of molecular behavior, including water solubility and the ability to interact electrostatically with other charged or polar groups.

FIG. 4-6 Disulfide-bonded cysteine residues. The disulfide bond forms when the two thiol groups are oxidized.

FIG. 4-7 Some amino acids with charged polar side chains. Atoms are represented and colored as in Fig. 4-4.

Charged Polar Side Chains Are Positively or Negatively Charged. Five amino acids have charged side chains (Table 4-1 and Fig. 4-7). The side chains of the basic amino acids are positively charged at physiological pH values. **Lysine** has a butylammonium side chain, and **arginine** bears a guanidino group. As shown in Table 4-1, **histidine** carries an imidazolium moiety. Note that only histidine, with a pK_R of 6.04, readily ionizes within the physiological pH range. Consequently, both the neutral and cationic forms occur in proteins. In fact, the protonation–deprotonation of histidine side chains is a feature of numerous enzymatic reaction mechanisms.

The side chains of the acidic amino acids, **aspartic acid** and **glutamic acid,** are negatively charged above pH 3; in their ionized state, they are often referred to as **aspartate** and **glutamate.** Asparagine and glutamine are, respectively, the amides of aspartic acid and glutamic acid.

The foregoing allocation of the 20 amino acids among the three different groups is somewhat arbitrary. For example, glycine and alanine, the smallest of the amino acids, and tryptophan, with its heterocyclic ring, might just as well be classified as uncharged polar amino acids. Similarly, tyrosine and cysteine, with their ionizable side chains, might also be thought of as charged polar amino acids, particularly at higher pH values. In fact, the deprotonated side chain of cysteine (which contains the thiolate anion, S^-) occurs in a variety of enzymes, where it actively participates in chemical reactions.

Inclusion of a particular amino acid in one group or another reflects not just the properties of the isolated amino acid, but its behavior when it is part of a polypeptide. The structures of most polypeptides depend on a tendency for polar and ionic side chains to be hydrated and for nonpolar side chains to associate with each other rather than with water. This property of polypeptides is the hydrophobic effect (Section 2-1C) in action. As we will see, the chemical and physical properties of amino acid side chains also govern the chemical reactivity of the polypeptide. It is therefore worthwhile studying the structures of the 20 standard amino acids in order to appreciate how they vary in polarity, acidity, aromaticity, bulk, conformational flexibility, ability to cross-link, ability to hydrogen bond, and reactivity toward other groups.

D The p*K* Values of Ionizable Groups Depend on Nearby Groups

The α-amino acids have two or, for those with ionizable side chains, three acid–base groups. At very low pH values, these groups are fully protonated, and at very high pH values, these groups are unprotonated. At intermediate pH values, the acidic groups tend to be unprotonated, and the basic groups tend to be protonated. Thus, for the amino acid glycine, below pH 2.35 (the p*K* value of its carboxylic acid group), the $^+H_3NCH_2COOH$ form predominates. Above pH 2.35, the carboxylic acid is mostly ionized but the amino group is still mostly protonated ($^+H_3NCH_2COO^-$). Above pH 9.78 (the p*K* value of the amino group), the $H_2NCH_2COO^-$ form predominates. Note that *in aqueous solution, the un-ionized form (H_2NCH_2COOH) is present only in vanishingly small quantities.*

The pH at which a molecule carries no net electric charge is known as its **isoelectric point, p*I***. For the α-amino acids,

$$pI = \frac{1}{2}(pK_i + pK_j) \qquad [4\text{-}1]$$

where K_i and K_j are the dissociation constants of the two ionizations involving the neutral species. For monoamino, monocarboxylic acids such as glycine, K_i and K_j represent K_1 and K_2. However, for aspartic and glutamic acids, K_i and K_j are K_1 and K_R, whereas for arginine, histidine, and lysine, these quantities are K_R and K_2 (see Sample Calculation 4-1).

Of course, amino acid residues in the interior of a polypeptide chain do not have free α-amino and α-carboxyl groups that can ionize (these groups are joined

SAMPLE CALCULATION 4-1

Calculate the isoelectric point of aspartic acid.

In the neutral species, the α-carboxylate group is deprotonated and the α-amino group is protonated. Protonation of the α-carboxylate group or deprotonation of the β-carboxylate group would both yield charged species. Therefore, the p*K* values for these groups (1.99 and 3.90; see Table 4-1) should be used with Equation 4-1:

$$pI = (pK_i + pK_j)/2 = (1.99 + 3.90)/2 = 2.94$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

in peptide bonds; Fig. 4-3). Furthermore, the p*K* values of all ionizable groups, including the N- and C-termini, usually differ from the p*K* values listed in Table 4-1 for free amino acids. For example, the p*K* values of α-carboxyl groups in unfolded proteins range from 3.5 to 4.0. In the free amino acids, the p*K* values are much lower, because the positively charged ammonium group electrostatically stabilizes the COO^- group, in effect making it easier for the carboxylic acid group to ionize. Similarly, the p*K* values for α-amino groups in proteins range from 7.5 to 8.5. In the free amino acids, the p*K* values are higher, due to the electron-withdrawing character of the nearby carboxylate group, which makes it more difficult for the ammonium group to become deprotonated. In addition, the three-dimensional structure of a folded polypeptide chain may bring polar side chains and the N- and C-termini close together. The resulting electrostatic interactions between these groups may shift their p*K* values up to several pH units from the values for the corresponding free amino acids. For this reason, the p*I* of a polypeptide, which is a function of the p*K* values of its many ionizable groups, is not easily predicted and is usually determined experimentally.

E Amino Acid Names Are Abbreviated

The three-letter abbreviations for the 20 standard amino acids given in Table 4-1 are widely used in the biochemical literature. Most of these abbreviations are taken from the first three letters of the name of the corresponding amino acid and are pronounced as written. The symbol **Glx** indicates Glu or Gln, and similarly, **Asx** means Asp or Asn. This ambiguous notation stems from laboratory experience: Asn and Gln are easily hydrolyzed to Asp and Glu, respectively, under the acidic or basic conditions often used to recover them from proteins. Without special precautions, it is impossible to tell whether a detected Glu was originally Glu or Gln, and likewise for Asp and Asn.

The one-letter symbols for the amino acids are also given in Table 4-1. This more compact code is often used when comparing the amino acid sequences of several similar proteins. Note that the one-letter symbol is usually the first letter of the amino acid's name. However, for sets of residues that have the same first letter, this is true only of the most abundant residue of the set.

Amino acid residues in polypeptides are named by dropping the suffix, usually **-ine,** in the name of the amino acid and replacing it by **-yl.** Polypeptide chains are described by starting at the N-terminus and proceeding to the C-terminus. The amino acid at the C-terminus is given the name of its parent amino acid. Thus, the compound

is called alanyltyrosylaspartylglycine. Obviously, such names for polypeptide chains of more than a few residues are extremely cumbersome. The tetrapeptide above can also be written as Ala-Tyr-Asp-Gly using the three-letter abbreviations, or AYDG using the one-letter symbols.

The various atoms of the amino acid side chains are often named in sequence with the Greek alphabet, starting at the carbon atom adjacent to the peptide

CHECKPOINT

- Draw a generic amino acid and identify its α carbon and its substituents.
- Draw the structures of the 20 standard amino acids and give their one- and three-letter abbreviations.
- Draw a Cys–Gly–Asn tripeptide. Identify the peptide bond and the N- and C-termini, and determine the peptide's net charge at neutral pH.
- Classify the 20 standard amino acids by polarity, structure, type of functional group, and acid–base properties.
- Why do the p*K* values of ionizable groups differ between free amino acids and amino acid residues in polypeptides?

FIG. 4-8 Greek nomenclature for amino acids. The carbon atoms are assigned sequential letters in the Greek alphabet, beginning with the carbon next to the carbonyl group.

carbonyl group. Therefore, as Fig. 4-8 indicates, the Lys residue is said to have an ε-amino group and Glu has a γ-carboxyl group. Unfortunately, this labeling system is ambiguous for several amino acids. Consequently, standard numbering schemes for organic molecules are also employed (and are indicated in Table 4-1 for the heterocyclic side chains).

2 Stereochemistry

KEY CONCEPTS

- Amino acids and many other biological compounds are chiral molecules whose configurations can be depicted by Fischer projections.
- The amino acids in proteins all have the L stereochemical configuration.

With the exception of glycine, all the amino acids recovered from polypeptides are **optically active**; that is, they rotate the plane of polarized light. The direction and angle of rotation can be measured using an instrument known as a **polarimeter** (Fig. 4-9).

Optically active molecules are asymmetric; that is, they are not superimposable on their mirror image in the same way that a left hand is not superimposable on its mirror image, a right hand. This situation is characteristic of

FIG. 4-9 Diagram of a polarimeter. This device is used to measure optical rotation.

substances containing tetrahedral carbon atoms that have four different substituents. For example, the two molecules depicted in **Fig. 4-10** are not superimposable; they are mirror images. The central atoms in such molecules are known as **asymmetric centers** or **chiral centers** and are said to have the property of **chirality** (Greek: *cheir,* hand). The C_α atoms of the amino acids (except glycine) are asymmetric centers. Glycine, which has two H atoms attached to its C_α atom, is superimposable on its mirror image and is therefore not optically active. Many biological molecules in addition to amino acids contain one or more chiral centers.

FIG. 4-10 The two enantiomers of fluorochlorobromomethane. The four substituents are tetrahedrally arranged around the central carbon atom. A dotted line indicates that a substituent lies behind the plane of the paper, a wedged line indicates that it lies above the plane of the paper, and a thin line indicates that it lies in the plane of the paper. The mirror plane relating the enantiomers is represented by a vertical dashed red line.

Chiral Centers Give Rise to Enantiomers. Molecules that are nonsuperimposable mirror images are known as **enantiomers** of one another. Enantiomeric molecules are physically and chemically indistinguishable by most techniques. *Only when probed asymmetrically, for example, by plane-polarized light or by reactants that also contain chiral centers, can they be distinguished or differentially manipulated.*

Unfortunately, there is no clear relationship between the structure of a molecule and the degree or direction to which it rotates the plane of polarized light. For example, leucine isolated from proteins rotates polarized light 10.4° to the left, whereas arginine rotates polarized light 12.5° to the right. (The enantiomers of these compounds rotate polarized light to the same degree but in the opposite direction.) It is not yet possible to predict optical rotation from the structure of a molecule or to derive the **absolute configuration** (spatial arrangement) of chemical groups around a chiral center from optical rotation measurements.

The Fischer Convention Describes the Configuration of Asymmetric Centers. Biochemists commonly use the **Fischer convention** to describe different forms of chiral molecules. In this system, the configuration of the groups around an asymmetric center is compared to that of **glyceraldehyde,** a molecule with one asymmetric center. In 1891, Emil Fischer proposed that the spatial isomers, or **stereoisomers**, of glyceraldehyde be designated D-glyceraldehyde and L-glyceraldehyde (**Fig. 4-11**). The prefix L (note the use of a small upper-case letter) signified rotation of polarized light to the left (Greek: *levo,* left), and the prefix D indicated rotation to the right (Greek: *dextro,* right) by the two forms of glyceraldehyde. Fischer assigned the prefixes to the structures shown in Fig. 4-11 without knowing whether the structure on the left and the structure on the right were actually **levorotatory** or **dextrorotatory**, respectively. Only in 1949 did experiments confirm that Fischer's guess was indeed correct.

Fischer also proposed a shorthand notation for molecular configurations, known as **Fischer projections**, which are also given in Fig. 4-11. In the Fischer convention, horizontal bonds extend above the plane of the paper and vertical bonds extend below the plane of the paper.

The configuration of groups around any chiral center can be related to that of glyceraldehyde by chemically converting the groups to those of glyceraldehyde. For α-amino acids, the amino, carboxyl, R, and H groups around the C_α atom correspond to the hydroxyl, aldehyde, CH_2OH, and H groups, respectively, of glyceraldehyde.

L-Glyceraldehyde **L-α-Amino acid**

Therefore, L-glyceraldehyde and L-α-amino acids are said to have the same **relative configuration.** *All amino acids derived from proteins have the L stereochemical*

L-Glyceraldehyde **D-Glyceraldehyde**

FIG. 4-11 The Fischer convention. The enantiomers of glyceraldehyde are shown as geometric formulas (*top*) and as Fischer projections (*bottom*). In a Fischer projection, horizontal lines represent bonds that extend above the page and vertical lines represent bonds that extend below the page (in some Fischer projections, the central chiral carbon atom is not shown explicitly).

? Draw Fischer projections for the two enantiomers of alanine or use a molecular model kit to prove that the two isomers are nonsuperimposable mirror images.

Box 4-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry The *RS* System

A system to unambiguously describe the configurations of molecules with more than one asymmetric center was devised in 1956 by Robert Cahn, Christopher Ingold, and Vladimir Prelog. In the **Cahn–Ingold–Prelog** or ***RS* system**, the four groups surrounding a chiral center are ranked according to a specific, though arbitrary, priority scheme: Atoms of higher atomic number rank above those of lower atomic number (e.g., —OH ranks above —CH_3). If the first substituent atoms are identical, the priority is established by the next atom outward from the chiral center (e.g., —CH_2OH takes precedence over —CH_3). The order of priority of some common functional groups is

$$SH > OH > NH_2 > COOH > CHO > CH_2OH > C_6H_5 > CH_3 > H$$

The prioritized groups are assigned the letters W, X, Y, Z such that their order of priority ranking is W > X > Y > Z. To establish the configuration of the chiral center, it is viewed from the asymmetric center toward the Z group (lowest priority). If the order of the groups W → X → Y is clockwise, the configuration is designated *R* (Latin: *rectus,* right). If the order of W → X → Y is counterclockwise, the configuration is designated *S* (Latin: *sinistrus,* left).

L-Glyceraldehyde is (*S*)-glyceraldehyde because the three highest priority groups are arranged counterclockwise when the H atom (*dashed lines*) is positioned behind the chiral C atom (*large circle*).

L-Glyceraldehyde **(*S*)-Glyceraldehyde**

All the L-amino acids in proteins are (*S*)-amino acids except cysteine, which is (*R*)-cysteine because the S in its side chain increases its priority. Other closely related compounds with the same designation under the Fischer DL convention may have different representations under the *RS* system. The *RS* system is particularly useful for describing the chiralities of compounds with multiple asymmetric centers. Thus, L-threonine can also be called (2*S*,3*R*)-threonine.

configuration; that is, they all have the same relative configuration around their C_α atoms. Of course, the L or D designation of an amino acid does not indicate its ability to rotate the plane of polarized light. Many L-amino acids are dextrorotatory.

The Fischer system has some shortcomings, particularly for molecules with multiple asymmetric centers. Each asymmetric center can have two possible configurations, so a molecule with n chiral centers has 2^n different possible stereoisomers. Threonine and isoleucine, for example, each have two chiral carbon atoms, and therefore each has four stereoisomers, or two pairs of enantiomers. [The enantiomers (mirror images) of the L forms are the D forms.] For most purposes, the Fischer system provides an adequate description of biological molecules. A more precise nomenclature system is also occasionally used by biochemists (see Box 4-2).

Life Is Based on Chiral Molecules. Consider the ordinary chemical synthesis of a chiral molecule, which produces a **racemic mixture** (containing equal amounts of each enantiomer). To obtain a product with net asymmetry, a chiral process must be employed. One of the most striking characteristics of life is its production of optically active molecules. *Biosynthetic processes almost invariably produce pure stereoisomers.* The fact that the amino acid residues of proteins all have the L configuration is just one example of this phenomenon. Furthermore, because most biological molecules are chiral, a given molecule—present in a single enantiomeric form—will bind to or react with only a single enantiomer of another compound. For example, a protein made of L-amino acid residues that reacts with a particular L-amino acid does not readily react with the D form of that amino acid. An otherwise identical synthetic protein made of D-amino acid residues, however, readily reacts only with the corresponding D-amino acid.

D-Amino acid residues are components of some relatively short (<20 residues) bacterial polypeptides. These polypeptides are perhaps most widely distributed as constituents of bacterial cell walls (Section 8-3B). The presence of the D-amino acids renders bacterial cell walls less susceptible to attack by the **peptidases** (enzymes that hydrolyze peptide bonds) that are produced by

Ibuprofen

FIG. 4-12 Ibuprofen. Only the enantiomer shown has anti-inflammatory action. The chiral carbon is red.

Thalidomide

FIG. 4-13 Thalidomide. This drug was widely used in Europe as a mild sedative in the early 1960s. Its inactive enantiomer (not shown), which was present in equal amounts in the formulations used, causes severe birth defects in humans when taken during the first trimester of pregnancy. Thalidomide was often prescribed to alleviate the nausea (morning sickness) that is common during this period. In recent years, however, it was found that thalidomide is an effective drug for the treatment of the immune system cancer **multiple myeloma**.

other organisms to digest bacteria. Likewise, D-amino acids are components of many bacterially produced peptide antibiotics. Most peptides containing D-amino acids are not synthesized by the standard protein synthetic machinery, in which messenger RNA is translated at the ribosome by transfer RNA molecules with attached L-amino acids (Chapter 27). Instead, the D-amino acids are directly joined together by the action of specific bacterial enzymes.

The importance of stereochemistry in living systems is also a concern of the pharmaceutical industry. *Many drugs are chemically synthesized as racemic mixtures, although only one enantiomer has biological activity.* In most cases, the opposite enantiomer is biologically inert and is therefore packaged along with its active counterpart. This is true, for example, of the anti-inflammatory agent **ibuprofen,** only one enantiomer of which is physiologically active (Fig. 4-12). Occasionally, the inactive enantiomer of a useful drug produces harmful effects and must therefore be eliminated from the racemic mixture. The most striking example of this is the drug **thalidomide** (Fig. 4-13), a mild sedative whose inactive enantiomer causes severe birth defects. Partly because of the unanticipated problems caused by inactive drug enantiomers, **chiral organic synthesis** has become an active area of medicinal chemistry.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain why all the amino acids except for glycine are chiral.
- Identify all the chiral carbons in the amino acids shown in Table 4-1.
- Explain how the Fischer convention describes the absolute configuration of a chiral molecule.
- Explain why an enzyme can catalyze a chemical reaction involving just one enantiomer of a compound.

3 Amino Acid Derivatives

KEY CONCEPTS

- The side chains of amino acid residues in proteins may be covalently modified.
- Some amino acids and amino acid derivatives function as hormones and regulatory molecules.

The 20 common amino acids are by no means the only amino acids that occur in biological systems. "Nonstandard" amino acid residues are often important constituents of proteins and biologically active peptides. In addition, many amino

acids are not constituents of polypeptides at all but independently play a variety of biological roles.

A Protein Side Chains May Be Modified

The "universal" genetic code, which is nearly identical in all known life-forms (Section 27-1), specifies only the 20 standard amino acids of Table 4-1. Nevertheless, many other amino acids, some of which are shown in **Fig. 4-14**, are components of certain proteins. *In almost all cases, these unusual amino acids result from the specific modification of an amino acid residue after the polypeptide chain has been synthesized.*

Amino acid modifications include the simple addition of small chemical groups to certain amino acid side chains: hydroxylation, methylation, acetylation, carboxylation, and phosphorylation. Larger groups, including lipids and carbohydrate polymers, are attached to particular amino acid residues of certain proteins. The free amino and carboxyl groups at the N- and C-termini of a polypeptide can also be chemically modified. These modifications are often important, if not essential, for the function of the protein. In some cases, several amino acid side chains together form a novel structure (Box 4-3).

B Some Amino Acids Are Biologically Active

The 20 standard amino acids undergo a bewildering number of chemical transformations to other amino acids and related compounds as part of their normal cellular synthesis and degradation. In a few cases, the intermediates of amino acid metabolism have functions beyond their immediate use as precursors or degradation products of the 20 standard amino acids. Moreover, many amino acids are synthesized not to be residues of polypeptides but to function independently. We will see that many organisms use certain amino acids to transport nitrogen in the form of amino groups (Section 21-2A). Amino acids may also be oxidized as metabolic fuels to provide energy (Section 21-4). In addition, amino

FIG. 4-14 Some modified amino acid residues in proteins. The side chains of these residues are derived from one of the 20 standard amino acids after the polypeptide has been synthesized. The standard R groups are red, and the modifying groups are blue.

? Explain how each modification alters the polarity of the parent amino acid.

Box 4-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry Green Fluorescent Protein

Genetic engineers often link a protein-coding gene to a "reporter gene"—for example, the gene for an enzyme that yields a colored reaction product. The intensity of the colored compound can be used to estimate the level of expression of the engineered gene. One of the most useful reporter genes is the one that codes for **green fluorescent protein (GFP).** This protein, from the bioluminescent jellyfish *Aequorea victoria,* fluoresces with a peak wavelength of 508 nm (green light) when irradiated by ultraviolet or blue light (optimally 400 nm).

Green fluorescent protein is nontoxic and intrinsically fluorescent; it requires no substrate or small molecule cofactor to fluoresce, as do other highly fluorescent proteins. Consequently, when the gene for green fluorescent protein is linked to another gene, the level of expression of the fused genes can be measured noninvasively by fluorescence microscopy.

Green fluorescent protein consists of a chain of 238 amino acid residues. The light-emitting group is a derivative of three consecutive amino acids: Ser, Tyr, and Gly. After the protein has been synthesized, the three amino acids undergo spontaneous cyclization and oxidation. The carbonyl C atom of Ser forms a covalent bond to the amino N atom contributed by Gly, followed by the elimination of water and the oxidation of the C_α—C_β bond of Tyr to a double bond. The resulting structure contains a system of conjugated double bonds that gives the protein its fluorescent properties.

Fluorophore of green fluorescent protein

Dr. Kevin Raskoff

Cyclization between Ser and Gly is probably rapid, and the oxidation of the Tyr side chain (by O_2) is probably the rate-limiting step of fluorophore generation. Genetic engineering has introduced site-specific mutations that enhance fluorescence intensity and shift the wavelength of the emitted light to different colors, thereby making it possible to simultaneously monitor the expression of two or more different genes.

acids and their derivatives often function as chemical messengers for communication between cells (Fig. 4-15). For example, glycine, **γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA;** a glutamate decarboxylation product), and **dopamine** (a tyrosine derivative) are **neurotransmitters,** substances released by nerve cells to alter the behavior of their neighbors. **Histamine** (the decarboxylation product of histidine) is a potent local mediator of allergic reactions. **Thyroxine** (another tyrosine

FIG. 4-15 Some biologically active amino acid derivatives. The remaining portions of the parent amino acids are black and red, and additional groups are blue.

derivative) is an iodine-containing thyroid hormone that generally stimulates vertebrate metabolism.

Many peptides containing only a few amino acid residues have important physiological functions as hormones or other regulatory molecules. One nearly ubiquitous tripeptide called **glutathione** plays a role in cellular metabolism. Glutathione is a Glu–Cys–Gly peptide in which the γ-carboxylate group of the glutamate side chain forms an **isopeptide bond** with the amino group of the Cys residue (so called because a standard peptide bond is taken to be the amide bond formed between an α-carboxylate and an α-amino group of two amino acids). Two of these tripeptides (abbreviated **GSH**) undergo oxidation of their SH groups to form a dimeric disulfide-linked structure called **glutathione disulfide (GSSG):**

Glutathione (GSH)
(γ-Glutamylcysteinylglycine)

Glutathione disulfide (GSSG)

Glutathione helps inactivate oxidative compounds that could potentially damage cellular structures, since the oxidation of GSH to GSSG is accompanied by the reduction of another compound (shown as O_2 above):

$$2\ \text{GSH} + X_{oxidized} \rightarrow \text{GSSG} + X_{reduced}$$

GSH must then be regenerated in a separate reduction reaction.

CHECKPOINT

- List some covalent modifications of amino acids in proteins.
- Cover the labels in Figs. 4-14 and 4-15 and identify each parent amino acid and the type of chemical modification that has occurred.
- List some functions of amino acid derivatives.

Summary

1 Amino Acid Structure

- At neutral pH, the amino group of an amino acid is protonated and its carboxylic acid group is ionized.
- Proteins are polymers of amino acids joined by peptide bonds.
- The 20 standard amino acids can be classified as nonpolar (Gly, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Met, Pro, Phe, Trp), uncharged polar (Ser, Thr, Asn, Gln, Tyr, Cys), and charged (Lys, Arg, His, Asp, Glu).
- The p*K* values of the ionizable groups of amino acids may be altered when the amino acid is part of a polypeptide.

2 Stereochemistry

- Amino acids are chiral molecules. Only L-amino acids occur in proteins (some bacterial peptides contain D-amino acids).

3 Amino Acid Derivatives

- Amino acids may be covalently modified after they have been incorporated into a polypeptide.
- Individual amino acids and their derivatives have diverse physiological functions.

Key Terms

protein **80**
α-amino acid **81**
α carbon **81**
R group **81**
zwitterion **84**
condensation reaction **84**
peptide bond **84**
dipeptide **84**
tripeptide **84**
oligopeptide **84**
polypeptide **84**
residue **84**
N-terminus **84**
C-terminus **84**
p*I* **86**
optical activity **88**
polarimeter **88**
chiral center **89**
chirality **89**
enantiomers **89**
absolute configuration **89**
Fischer convention **89**
stereoisomers **89**
levorotatory **89**
dextrorotatory **89**
Fischer projection **89**
Cahn–Ingold–Prelog (*RS*) system **90**
racemic mixture **90**
peptidase **90**
neurotransmitter **93**
isopeptide bond **94**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Identify the amino acids that differ from each other by a single methyl or methylene group.

2. Glutamate, a 5-carbon amino acid, is the precursor of three other amino acids that contain a 5-carbon chain. Identify these amino acids.

3. The 20 standard amino acids are called α-amino acids. Certain β-amino acids are found in nature. Draw the structure of β-alanine (3-amino-*n*-propionate).

4. Taurine (2-aminoethanesulfonic acid) is sometimes called an amino acid.

(a) Explain why this designation is not valid. (b) From which of the 20 standard amino acids is taurine derived? Describe the chemical change(s) that occurred.

5. Draw the dipeptide Asp–His at pH 7.0.

6. Calculate the number of possible pentapeptides that contain one residue each of Ala, Gly, His, Lys, and Val.

7. Identify the hydrogen bond donor and acceptor groups in asparagine.

8. In some proteins, the side chain of serine appears to undergo ionization. Explain why ionization would be facilitated by the presence of an aspartate residue nearby.

9. Determine the net charge of the predominant form of Asp at (a) pH 1.0, (b) pH 3.0, (c) pH 6.0, and (d) pH 11.0.

10. Determine the net charge of the predominant form of Arg at (a) pH 1.0, (b) pH 5.0, (c) pH 10.5, and (d) pH 13.5.

11. Indicate whether the following familiar objects are chiral or nonchiral: (a) a glove; (b) a tennis ball; (c) a screw; (d) this page; (e) a snowflake; (f) a spiral staircase; and (g) a shoe.

12. Circle the chiral carbons in the following compounds:

13. Some amino acids are synthesized by replacing the keto group (C═O) of an organic acid known as an α-keto acid with an amino group ($C—NH_3^+$). Identify the amino acids that can be produced this way from the following α-keto acids:

14. Patients with Parkinson's disease are sometimes given L-DOPA (3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine). What neurotransmitter is produced by the decarboxylation of L-DOPA?

15. Over time, the glutamine residues of polypeptides are susceptible to deamidation, a reaction in which the amide group is replaced by a carboxylate group. What amino acid is produced when glutamine is deamidated?

16. Some hormone-signaling pathways result in the phosphorylation of tyrosine resides in proteins. Draw the structure of a phosphotyrosine side chain.

17. Which amino acids have side chains that are capable of forming isopeptide bonds?

18. Draw the structure of Lys and Ala linked by an isopeptide bond.

19. Some bacteria produce poly-γ-glutamic acid, a polymer in which the amino group of each glutamate residue is condensed with the γ-carboxylate group of the adjacent residue. Draw the repeating structure of this polymer.

20. Lanthionine is a nonstandard amino acid consisting of two alanine residues linked via their β carbon atoms by a thioether (—S—) linkage. Draw the structure of lanthionine.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. A sample of the amino acid tyrosine is barely soluble in water. Would a polypeptide containing only Tyr residues, poly(Tyr), be more or less soluble, assuming the total number of Tyr groups remains constant?

22. (a) What is the net charge at neutral pH of a tripeptide containing only alanine? (b) How does the total number of negative and positive charges change following hydrolysis of the tripeptide?

23. Calculate the p*I* of (a) Ala, (b) His, and (c) Glu.

24. Estimate the isoelectric point of a Ser–His dipeptide. Explain why this value is only an estimate.

25. Draw the peptide ATLDAK. (a) Calculate its approximate p*I*. (b) What is its net charge at pH 7.0?

26. The protein insulin consists of two polypeptides termed the A and B chains. Insulins from different organisms have been isolated and sequenced. Human and duck insulins have the same amino acid sequence with the exception of six amino acid residues, as shown below. Is the p*I* of human insulin lower than or higher than that of duck insulin?

Amino acid residue	A8	A9	A10	B1	B2	B27
Human	Thr	Ser	Ile	Phe	Val	Thr
Duck	Glu	Asn	Pro	Ala	Ala	Ser

27. Draw the four stereoisomers of threonine as Fischer projections.

28. The two $C_\alpha H$ atoms of Gly are said to be prochiral, because when one of them is replaced by another group, C_α becomes chiral. Draw a Fischer projection of Gly and indicate which H must be replaced with CH_3 to yield D-Ala.

29. The bacterially produced antibiotic gramicidin A forms channels in cell membranes that allow the free diffusion of Na^+ and K^+ ions, thereby killing the cell. This peptide consists of a sequence of D- and L-amino acids. The sequence of a segment of five amino acids in gramicidin A is R-Gly-L-Ala-D-Leu-L-Ala-D-Val-R′. Complete the Fischer projection below by adding the correct group to each vertical bond.

30. Describe isoleucine (as shown in Table 4-1) using the *RS* system.

31. Identify the amino acid residue from which the following groups are synthesized:

32. Describe how each of the amino acid modifications shown in Fig. 4-14 would affect the p*I* of a peptide containing that modified amino acid residue.

33. Draw the structure of the dipeptide β-alanylhistidine, also known as carnosine.

34. What is the approximate net charge of carnosine (a) at pH 3, (b) pH 5, (c) pH 7, and (d) pH 9?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Drawing and Analyzing Amino Acids and Peptides

Exercise 2 Chemical Properties and Amino Acid Composition of Green Fluorescent Protein

MORE TO EXPLORE Presumably, the mix of prebiotic compounds on early earth included both D- and L-amino acids. What are some hypotheses that attempt to explain why cells build proteins only from the L forms?

References

Barrett, G.C. and Elmore, D.T., *Amino Acids and Peptides,* Cambridge University Press (2001). [Includes structures of the common amino acids along with a discussion of their chemical reactivities and information on analytical properties.]

Lamzin, V.S., Dauter, Z., and Wilson, K.S., How nature deals with stereoisomers, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **5,** 830–836 (1995). [Discusses proteins synthesized from D-amino acids.]

Solomons, G.T.W., Fryhle, C., and Snyder, S.A., *Organic Chemistry* (11th ed.), Chapter 5, Wiley (2014). [A discussion of chirality. Most other organic chemistry textbooks contain similar material.]

CHAPTER 5

Proteins: Primary Structure

©aleksandar kamasi/Fotolia

Paleobiologists use techniques such as mass spectrometry to analyze the proteins in ancient animal bones to identify the species—such as sheep or goat—and draw conclusions about early human farming practices. Unlike PCR, mass spectrometry does not require intact macromolecules for analysis and is not as sensitive to contamination.

Chapter Contents

1 **Polypeptide Diversity**

2 **Protein Purification and Analysis**
- A Purifying a Protein Requires a Strategy
- B Salting Out Separates Proteins by Their Solubility
- C Chromatography Involves Interaction with Mobile and Stationary Phases
- D Electrophoresis Separates Molecules According to Charge and Size
- E Ultracentrifugation Separates Macromolecules by Mass

3 **Protein Sequencing**
- A The First Step Is to Separate Subunits
- B The Polypeptide Chains Are Cleaved
- C Edman Degradation Removes a Peptide's N-Terminal Amino Acid Residue
- D Peptides Can Be Sequenced by Mass Spectrometry
- E Reconstructed Protein Sequences Are Stored in Databases

4 **Protein Evolution**
- A Protein Sequences Reveal Evolutionary Relationships
- B Proteins Evolve by the Duplication of Genes or Gene Segments

Proteins are at the center of action in biological processes. Nearly all the molecular transformations that define cellular metabolism are mediated by protein catalysts. Proteins also perform regulatory roles, monitoring extracellular and intracellular conditions and relaying information to other cellular components. In addition, proteins are essential structural components of cells. A complete list of known protein functions would contain many thousands of entries, including proteins that transport other molecules and proteins that generate mechanical and electrochemical forces, and such a list would not account for the thousands of proteins whose functions are not yet fully characterized or, in many cases, are completely unknown.

One of the keys to deciphering the function of a given protein is to understand its structure. Like the other major biological macromolecules, the nucleic acids (Section 3-2) and the polysaccharides (Section 8-2), proteins are polymers of smaller units. But unlike many nucleic acids, proteins do not have uniform, regular structures. This is, in part, because the 20 kinds of amino acid residues from which proteins are made have widely differing chemical and physical properties (Section 4-1C). The sequence in which these amino acids are strung together can be analyzed directly, as we describe in this chapter, or indirectly, via DNA sequencing (Section 3-4). In either case, amino acid sequence information provides insights into the chemical and physical properties of proteins, their relationships to other proteins, and, ultimately, their mechanisms of action in living organisms. After a brief introduction to the variety in protein structure we will examine some methods for purifying and analyzing proteins, procedures for determining the sequence of amino residues, and, finally, some approaches to understanding protein evolution.

1 Polypeptide Diversity

KEY CONCEPTS

- In theory, the sizes and compositions of polypeptide chains are unlimited.
- In cells, this potential variety is limited by the efficiency of protein synthesis and by the ability of the polypeptide to fold into a functional structure.

Like all polymeric molecules, proteins can be described in terms of levels of organization; in this case, their primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures. *A protein's* ***primary structure*** *is the amino acid sequence of its polypeptide chain,* or chains if the protein consists of more than one polypeptide. An example of an amino acid sequence is given in **Fig. 5-1**. Each residue is linked to the next via a peptide bond (Fig. 4-3). Higher levels of protein structure—secondary, tertiary, and quaternary—refer to the three-dimensional shapes of folded polypeptide chains and will be described in the next chapter.

Proteins are synthesized *in vivo* by the stepwise polymerization of amino acids in the order specified by the sequence of nucleotides in a gene. The direct correspondence between one linear polymer (DNA) and another (a polypeptide) illustrates the elegant simplicity of living systems and allows us to extract information from one polymer and apply it to the other.

The Theoretical Possibilities for Polypeptides Are Unlimited. With 20 different choices available for each amino acid residue in a polypeptide chain, it is easy to see that a huge number of different protein molecules are possible. For a protein of n residues, there are 20^n possible sequences. A relatively small protein molecule may consist of a single polypeptide chain of 100 residues. There are $20^{100} \approx 1.27 \times 10^{130}$ possible unique polypeptide chains of this length, a quantity vastly greater than the estimated number of atoms in the universe (9×10^{78}). Clearly, evolution has produced only a tiny fraction of the theoretical possibilities—a fraction that nevertheless represents an astronomical number of different polypeptides.

Actual Polypeptides Are Somewhat Limited in Size and Composition. In general, proteins contain at least 40 residues or so; polypeptides smaller than that are simply called **peptides**. The largest known polypeptide chain belongs to the 35,213-residue **titin,** a giant (3906 kD) protein that helps arrange the repeating structures of muscle fibers (Section 7-2A). However, *the vast majority of polypeptides contain between 100 and 1000 residues, with the average being 355 residues* (**Table 5-1**). **Multisubunit proteins** contain several identical and/or nonidentical chains called **subunits**. Some proteins are synthesized as single polypeptides that are later cleaved into two or more chains that remain associated; **insulin** is such a protein (Fig. 5-1).

The size range in which most polypeptides fall probably reflects the optimization of several biochemical processes:

1. Forty residues appears to be near the minimum for a polypeptide chain to fold into a discrete and stable shape that allows it to carry out a particular function.
2. Polypeptides with well over 1000 residues may approach the limits of efficiency of the protein synthetic machinery. The longer the polypeptide

FIG. 5-1 **The primary structure of bovine insulin**. Note the intrachain and interchain disulfide bond linkages.

TABLE 5-1 Compositions of Some Proteins

Protein	Amino Acid Residues	Subunits	Protein Molecular Mass (D)
Proteinase inhibitor III (bitter gourd)	30	1	3,427
Cytochrome *c* (human)	104	1	11,617
Myoglobin (horse)	153	1	16,951
Interferon-γ (rabbit)	288	2	33,842
Chorismate mutase (*Bacillus subtilis*)	381	3	43,551
Triose phosphate isomerase (*E. coli*)	510	2	53,944
Hemoglobin (human)	574	4	61,986
RNA polymerase (bacteriophage T7)	883	1	98,885
Nucleoside diphosphate kinase (*Dictyostelium discoideum*)	930	6	100,764
Pyruvate decarboxylase (yeast)	2,252	4	245,456
Glutamine synthetase (*E. coli*)	5,616	12	621,264
Titin (mouse)	35,213	1	3,906,488

(and the longer its corresponding mRNA), the greater the likelihood of introducing errors during transcription and translation.

In addition to these mild constraints on size, polypeptides are subject to more severe limitations on amino acid composition. The 20 standard amino acids do not appear with equal frequencies in proteins. (Table 4-1 lists the average occurrence of each amino acid residue.) For example, the most abundant amino acids in proteins are Leu, Ala, Gly, Val, Glu, and Ser; the rarest are Trp, Cys, Met, and His.

Because each amino acid residue has characteristic chemical and physical properties, its presence at a particular position in a protein influences the properties of that protein. In particular, as we will see, the three-dimensional shape of a folded polypeptide chain is a consequence of the intramolecular forces among its various residues. In general, a protein's hydrophobic residues cluster in its interior, out of contact with water, whereas its hydrophilic side chains tend to occupy the protein's surface.

The characteristics of an individual protein depend more on its amino acid sequence than on its amino acid composition per se, for the same reason that "kitchen" and its anagram "thicken" are quite different words. In addition, many proteins consist of more than just amino acid residues. They may form complexes with metal ions such as Zn^{2+} and Ca^{2+}; they may covalently or noncovalently bind certain small organic molecules; and they may be covalently modified by the post-translational attachment of groups such as phosphates and carbohydrates.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain why polypeptides have such variable sequences.
- What factors limit the size and compositions of polypeptides?

2 Protein Purification and Analysis

KEY CONCEPTS

- Environmental conditions such as pH and temperature affect a protein's stability during purification.
- An assay based on a protein's chemical or binding properties may be used to quantify a protein during purification.
- Fractionation procedures take advantage of a protein's unique structure and chemistry in order to separate it from other molecules.

- Increasing the salt concentration causes selective "salting out" (precipitation) of proteins with different solubilities.
- A protein's ionic charge, polarity, size, and ligand-binding ability influence its chromatographic behavior.
- Gel electrophoresis and its variations can separate proteins according to charge, size, and isoelectric point.
- The overall size and shape of macromolecules and larger assemblies can be assessed through ultracentrifugation.

Purification is an all but mandatory step in studying macromolecules, but it is not necessarily easy. Typically, a substance that makes up <0.1% of a tissue's dry weight must be brought to ~98% purity. Purification problems of this magnitude would be considered unreasonably difficult by most synthetic chemists! The following sections outline some of the most common techniques for purifying and, to some extent, characterizing proteins. Most of these techniques can be used, sometimes in modified form, for nucleic acids and other types of biological molecules.

A Purifying a Protein Requires a Strategy

The task of purifying a protein present in only trace amounts was once so arduous that many of the earliest proteins to be characterized were studied in part because they are abundant and easily isolated. For example, hemoglobin, which accounts for about one-third the weight of red blood cells, has historically been among the most extensively studied proteins. Most of the enzymes that mediate basic metabolic processes or that are involved in the expression and transmission of genetic information are common to all species. For this reason, a given protein is frequently obtained from a source chosen primarily for convenience—for example, tissues from domesticated animals or easily obtained microorganisms such as *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (baker's yeast).

Molecular cloning techniques (Section 3-5) allow almost any protein-encoding gene to be isolated from its parent organism, specifically altered (genetically engineered) if desired, and expressed at high levels in a microorganism. Indeed, the cloned protein may constitute up to 40% of the microorganism's total cell protein (Fig. 5-2). This high level of protein production generally renders the cloned protein far easier to isolate than it would be from its parent organism (in which it may occur in vanishingly small amounts).

The first step in the isolation of a protein or other biological molecule is to get it out of the cell and into solution. Many cells require some sort of mechanical disruption to release their contents. Most of the procedures for lysing cells use some variation of crushing or grinding followed by filtration or centrifugation to remove large, insoluble particles. If the target protein is tightly associated with a lipid membrane, a detergent or organic solvent may be used to solubilize the lipids and recover the protein.

Courtesy of Teruhiko Beppu, Nikon University, Japan

FIG. 5-2 **Inclusion body**. A genetically engineered organism that produces large amounts of a foreign protein often sequesters it in **inclusion bodies.** This electron micrograph shows an inclusion body of the protein prochymosin in an *E. coli* cell.

pH, Temperature, and Other Conditions Must Be Controlled to Keep Proteins Stable. Once a protein has been removed from its natural environment, it becomes exposed to many agents that can irreversibly damage it. These influences must be carefully controlled at all stages of a purification process. The following factors should be considered:

1. ***pH.*** Biological materials are routinely dissolved in buffer solutions effective in the pH range over which the materials are stable (buffers are described in Section 2-2C). Failure to do so could cause their **denaturation** (structural disruption), if not their chemical degradation.
2. ***Temperature.*** The thermal stability of proteins varies. Although some proteins denature at low temperatures, most proteins denature at high temperatures, sometimes only a few degrees higher than their native environment. Protein purification is normally carried out at temperatures near 0°C.

3. ***Presence of degradative enzymes.*** Destroying tissues to liberate the molecule of interest also releases degradative enzymes, including **proteases** and **nucleases**. Degradative enzymes can be inhibited by adjusting the pH or temperature to values that inactivate them (provided this does not adversely affect the protein of interest) or by adding compounds that specifically block their action.
4. ***Adsorption to surfaces.*** Many proteins are denatured by contact with the air–water interface or with glass or plastic surfaces. Hence, protein solutions are handled so as to minimize foaming and are kept relatively concentrated.
5. ***Long-term storage.*** All the factors listed above must be considered when a purified protein sample is to be kept stable. In addition, processes such as slow oxidation and microbial contamination must be prevented. Protein solutions are sometimes stored under nitrogen or argon gas (rather than under air, which contains ~21% O_2) and/or are frozen at −80°C or −196°C (the temperature of liquid nitrogen).

Proteins Are Quantified by Assays Purifying a substance requires some means for quantitatively detecting it. Accordingly, an **assay** must be devised that is specific for the target protein, highly sensitive, and convenient to use (especially if it must be repeated at every stage of the purification process).

Among the most straightforward protein assays are those for enzymes that catalyze reactions with readily detected products, because *the rate of product formation is proportional to the amount of enzyme present.* Substances with colored or fluorescent products have been developed for just this purpose. If no such substance is available for the enzyme being assayed, the product of the enzymatic reaction may be converted, by the action of another enzyme, to an easily quantified substance. This is known as a **coupled enzymatic reaction**. Proteins that are not enzymes can be detected by their ability to specifically bind certain substances or to produce observable biological effects.

Immunochemical procedures are among the most sensitive of assay techniques. **Immunoassays** use **antibodies**, proteins produced by an animal's immune system in response to the introduction of a foreign substance (an **antigen**). Antibodies recovered from the blood serum of an immunized animal or from cultures of immortalized antibody-producing cells bind specifically to the original protein antigen.

A protein in a complex mixture can be detected by its binding to its corresponding antibodies. In one technique, known as a **radioimmunoassay (RIA)**, the protein is indirectly detected by determining the degree to which it competes with a radioactively labeled standard for binding to the antibody. Another technique, the **enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)**, has many variations, one of which is diagrammed in **Fig. 5-3**.

Protein Concentrations Can Be Determined by Spectroscopy. The concentration of a substance in solution can be measured by **absorbance spectroscopy**. A solution containing a solute that absorbs light does so according to the **Beer–Lambert law**,

$$A = \log\left(\frac{I_0}{I}\right) = \varepsilon c l \qquad [5\text{-}1]$$

where A is the solute's **absorbance** (alternatively, its **optical density**), I_0 is the intensity of the incident light at a given wavelength λ, I is its transmitted intensity at λ, ε is the **absorptivity** (alternatively, the **extinction coefficient**) of the solute at λ, c is its concentration, and l is the length of the light path in centimeters. The value of ε varies with λ; a plot of A or ε versus λ for the solute is called its **absorption spectrum**. If the value of ε for a substance is known, then its concentration can be spectroscopically determined.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 5-3 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. **(1)** An antibody against the protein of interest is immobilized on an inert solid such as polystyrene. **(2)** The solution to be assayed is applied to the antibody-coated surface. The antibody binds the protein of interest, and other proteins are washed away. **(3)** The protein–antibody complex is reacted with a second protein-specific antibody to which an enzyme is attached. **(4)** Binding of the second antibody–enzyme complex is measured by assaying the activity of the enzyme. The amount of substrate converted to product indicates the amount of protein present. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? How would you assay the protein of interest if the second antibody had a fluorescent group or radioactive isotope rather than an enzyme attached to it?

FIG. 5-4 UV absorbance spectra of phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine. Here the **molar absorptivity** (ε when c is expressed in mol · L^{-1}) for each aromatic amino acid is displayed on a log scale. [After Wetlaufer, D.B., *Adv. Prot. Chem.* **7,** 310 (1962).]

? Explain why a protein's absorption depends on its amino acid composition.

TABLE 5-2 Protein Purification Procedures

Protein Characteristic	Purification Procedure
Solubility	Salting out
Ionic Charge	Ion exchange chromatography Electrophoresis Isoelectric focusing
Polarity	Hydrophobic interaction chromatography
Size	Gel filtration chromatography SDS-PAGE
Binding Specificity	Affinity chromatography

Polypeptides absorb strongly in the ultraviolet (UV) region of the spectrum (λ = 200 to 400 nm) largely because their aromatic side chains (those of Phe, Trp, and Tyr) have particularly large extinction coefficients in this spectral region (ranging into the tens of thousands when c is expressed in mol · L^{-1}; **Fig. 5-4**). However, polypeptides do not absorb visible light (λ = 400 to 800 nm), so they are colorless. Nevertheless, if a protein has a **chromophore** that absorbs in the visible region of the spectrum, this absorbance can be used to assay for the presence of the protein in a mixture of other proteins.

Purification Is a Stepwise Process. Proteins are purified by **fractionation procedures**. In a series of independent steps, the various physicochemical properties of the protein of interest are used to separate it progressively from other substances. The idea is not necessarily to minimize the loss of the desired protein, but to *eliminate selectively the other components of the mixture so that only the required substance remains.*

Protein purification is considered as much an art as a science, with many options available at each step. While a trial-and-error approach can work, knowing something about the target protein (or the proteins it is to be separated from) simplifies the selection of fractionation procedures. Some of the procedures we discuss and the protein characteristics they depend on are listed in **Table 5-2**.

B Salting Out Separates Proteins by Their Solubility

Because a protein contains multiple charged groups, its solubility depends on the concentrations of dissolved salts, the polarity of the solvent, the pH, and the temperature. Some or all of these variables can be manipulated to selectively precipitate certain proteins while others remain soluble.

The solubility of a protein at low ion concentrations increases as salt is added, a phenomenon called **salting in**. The additional ions shield the protein's multiple ionic charges, thereby weakening the attractive forces between individual protein molecules (such forces can lead to aggregation and precipitation). However, as more salt is added, particularly with sulfate salts, the solubility of the protein again decreases. This **salting out** effect is primarily a result of the competition between the added salt ions and the other dissolved solutes for molecules of solvent. At very high salt concentrations, so many of the added ions are solvated that there is significantly less bulk solvent available to dissolve other substances, including proteins.

Since different proteins have different ionic and hydrophobic compositions and therefore precipitate at different salt concentrations, salting out is the basis of one of the most commonly used protein purification procedures. Adjusting the salt concentration in a solution containing a mixture of proteins to just below the precipitation point of the protein to be purified eliminates many unwanted proteins from the solution (**Fig. 5-5**). Then, after removing the

FIG. 5-5 Fractionation by salting out. (*a*) The salt of choice, usually ammonium sulfate, is added to a solution of macromolecules to a concentration just below the precipitation point of the protein of interest. (*b*) After centrifugation, the unwanted precipitated proteins (*red spheres*) are discarded and more salt is added to the supernatant to a concentration sufficient to salt out the desired protein (*green spheres*). (*c*) After a second centrifugation, the protein is recovered as a precipitate, and the supernatant is discarded.

precipitated proteins by filtration or centrifugation, the salt concentration of the remaining solution is increased to precipitate the desired protein. This procedure results in a significant purification and concentration of large quantities of protein. Ammonium sulfate, $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, is the most commonly used reagent for salting out proteins because its high solubility (3.9 M in water at 0°C) allows the preparation of solutions with high ionic strength. The pH may be adjusted to approximate the isoelectric point (p*I*) of the desired protein because a protein is least soluble when its net charge is zero. The p*I*s of some proteins are listed in Table 5-3.

GATEWAY CONCEPT: Molecular Behavior

The exact charge and conformation of individual molecules vary in a population of otherwise identical molecules. For example, acid–base groups exist in equilibrium between protonated and unprotonated forms, and the vibrational and rotational motions of bonded atoms mean that macromolecules can assume a large number of subtly differing shapes. Techniques for isolating molecules are therefore based on the average chemical or physical properties of a population of molecules.

TABLE 5-3 Isoelectric Points of Several Common Proteins

Protein	p*I*
Pepsin	<1.0
Ovalbumin (hen)	4.6
Serum albumin (human)	4.9
Tropomyosin	5.1
Insulin (bovine)	5.4
Fibrinogen (human)	5.8
γ-Globulin (human)	6.6
Collagen	6.6
Myoglobin (horse)	7.0
Hemoglobin (human)	7.1
Ribonuclease A (bovine)	9.4
Cytochrome *c* (horse)	10.6
Histone (bovine)	10.8
Lysozyme (hen)	11.0
Salmine (salmon)	12.1

C Chromatography Involves Interaction with Mobile and Stationary Phases

The process of **chromatography** (Greek: *chroma,* color + *graphein,* to write) was discovered in 1903 by Mikhail Tswett, who separated solubilized plant pigments using solid adsorbents. In most modern chromatographic procedures, a mixture of substances to be fractionated is dissolved in a liquid (the "mobile" phase) and percolated through a column containing a porous solid matrix (the "stationary" phase). As solutes flow through the column, they interact with the stationary phase and are retarded. The retarding force depends on the properties of each solute. If the column is long enough, substances with different rates of migration will be separated. The chromatographic procedures that are most useful for purifying proteins are classified according to the nature of the interaction between the protein and the stationary phase.

Early chromatographic techniques used strips of filter paper as the stationary phase, whereas modern column chromatography uses granular derivatives of cellulose, agarose, or dextran (all carbohydrate polymers) or synthetic substances such as cross-linked polyacrylamide or silica. **High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)** employs automated systems with precisely applied samples, controlled flow rates at high pressures (up to 5000 psi), a chromatographic matrix of specially fabricated 3- to 300-μm-diameter glass or plastic beads coated with a uniform layer of chromatographic material, and online sample detection. This greatly improves the speed, resolution, and reproducibility of the separation—features that are particularly desirable when chromatographic separations are repeated many times or when they are used for analytical rather than preparative purposes.

Ion Exchange Chromatography Separates Anions and Cations. In **ion exchange chromatography,** charged molecules bind to oppositely charged groups that are chemically linked to a matrix such as cellulose or agarose. Anions bind to cationic groups on **anion exchangers**, and cations bind to anionic groups on **cation exchangers**. Perhaps the most frequently used anion exchanger is a matrix with attached **diethylaminoethyl (DEAE)** groups, and the most frequently used cation exchanger is a matrix bearing **carboxymethyl (CM)** groups.

$$\text{DEAE:} \quad \text{Matrix—CH}_2\text{—CH}_2\text{—NH(CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_2^+$$

$$\text{CM:} \quad \text{Matrix—CH}_2\text{—COO}^-$$

Proteins and other **polyelectrolytes** (polyionic polymers) that bear both positive and negative charges can bind to both cation and anion exchangers. *The binding affinity of a particular protein depends on the presence of other ions that compete with the protein for binding to the ion exchanger and on the pH of the solution, which influences the net charge of the protein.*

The proteins to be separated are dissolved in a buffer of an appropriate pH and salt concentration and are applied to a column containing the ion exchanger.

FIG. 5-6 Ion exchange chromatography. The tan region of the column represents the ion exchanger and the colored bands represent proteins. (*a*) A mixture of proteins dissolved in a small volume of buffer is applied to the top of the matrix in the column. (*b*) As elution progresses, the proteins separate into discrete bands as a result of their different affinities for the exchanger. In this diagram, the first protein (*red*) has passed through the column and has been isolated as a separate fraction. The other proteins pass through the column more slowly. (*c* and *d*) The salt concentration in the eluant is increased to elute the remaining proteins. (*e*) The elution diagram of the protein mixture from the column. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? How could ion exchange be used to concentrate a dilute solution of protein?

The column is then washed with the buffer (Fig. 5-6). As the column is washed, proteins with relatively low affinities for the ion exchanger move through the column faster than proteins that bind with higher affinities. The column effluent is collected in a series of fractions. Proteins that bind tightly to the ion exchanger can be **eluted** (washed through the column) by applying a buffer, called the **eluant**, that has a higher salt concentration or a pH that reduces the affinity with which the matrix binds the protein. In most cases, the separation is enhanced by gradually increasing the eluant's salt concentration or pH change over the course of the elution. The column effluent can be monitored for the presence of protein by measuring its absorbance at 280 nm. The eluted fractions can also be tested for the protein of interest using a more specific assay.

Hydrophobic Interaction Chromatography Purifies Nonpolar Molecules. Hydrophobic interactions between proteins and the chromatographic matrix can be exploited to purify the proteins. In **hydrophobic interaction chromatography**, the matrix material is lightly substituted with octyl or phenyl groups. At high salt concentrations, nonpolar groups on the surface of proteins "interact" with the hydrophobic groups; that is, both types of groups are excluded by the polar solvent (hydrophobic effects are augmented by increased ionic strength). The eluant is typically an aqueous buffer with decreasing salt concentrations, increasing concentrations of detergent (which disrupts hydrophobic interactions), or changes in pH.

Gel Filtration Chromatography Separates Molecules According to Size. In **gel filtration chromatography** (also called **size exclusion** or **molecular sieve chromatography**), molecules are separated according to their size and shape. The stationary phase consists of gel beads containing pores that span a relatively narrow size range. The pore size is typically determined by the extent of cross-linking between the polymers of the gel material. If an aqueous solution of molecules of various sizes is passed through a column containing such "molecular sieves," the molecules that are too large to pass through the pores are excluded from the solvent volume inside the gel beads. *These large molecules therefore traverse the column more rapidly than small molecules that pass through the pores* (Fig. 5-7). Because the pore size in any gel varies to some degree, gel filtration can be used to separate a range of molecules; larger molecules with access to fewer pores elute sooner (i.e., in a smaller volume of eluant) than smaller molecules that have access to more of the gel's interior volume.

Within the size range of molecules separated by a particular pore size, there is a linear relationship between the relative elution volume of a substance and the logarithm of its molecular mass (assuming the molecules have similar shapes). If a given gel filtration column is calibrated with several proteins of known molecular mass, the mass of an unknown protein can be conveniently estimated by its elution position.

FIG. 5-7 Gel filtration chromatography. (*a*) A gel bead consists of a gel matrix (*wavy rods*) that encloses an internal solvent space. Small molecules (*red dots*) can freely enter the internal space of the gel bead. Large molecules (*blue dots*) cannot penetrate the gel pores. (*b*) The sample solution is applied to the top of the column (the gel beads are represented as tan spheres). (*c*) The small molecules can penetrate the gel and consequently migrate through the column more slowly than the large molecules that are excluded from the gel. (*d* and *e*) The large molecules elute first and are collected as fractions. Small molecules require a larger volume of solvent to elute. (*f*) The elution diagram, or chromatogram, indicating the complete separation of the two components. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? How could gel filtration be used to reduce the salt concentration of a protein solution?

FIG. 5-8 Affinity chromatography. A ligand (shown here as *black dots*) is immobilized by covalently binding it to the chromatographic matrix. The orange, blue, and green shapes represent macromolecules whose cutout regions symbolize their ligand-binding sites. Only certain molecules (represented by *orange circles*) specifically bind to the ligand. The other components are washed through the column.

Affinity Chromatography Exploits Specific Binding Behavior. A striking characteristic of many proteins is their ability to bind specific molecules tightly but noncovalently. This property can be used to purify such proteins by **affinity chromatography** (Fig. 5-8). In this technique, a molecule (a **ligand**) that specifically binds to the protein of interest (e.g., a nonreactive analog of an enzyme's substrate) is covalently attached to an inert matrix. *When an impure protein solution is passed through this chromatographic material, the desired protein binds to the immobilized ligand, whereas other substances are washed through the column with the buffer.* The desired protein can then be recovered in highly purified form by changing the elution conditions to release the protein from the matrix. The great advantage of affinity chromatography is its ability to exploit the desired protein's unique biochemical properties rather than the small differences in physicochemical properties between proteins exploited by other chromatographic methods. Accordingly, the separation power of affinity chromatography for a specific protein is often greater than that of other chromatographic techniques.

Affinity chromatography columns can be constructed by chemically attaching small molecules or proteins to a chromatographic matrix. In **immunoaffinity chromatography**, an antibody is attached to the matrix to purify the protein against which the antibody was raised. In all cases, the ligand must have an affinity high enough to capture the protein of interest, but not so high as to prevent the protein's subsequent release without denaturing it. The bound protein can be eluted by washing the column with a solution containing a high concentration of free ligand or a solution of different pH or ionic strength.

In **metal chelate affinity chromatography**, a divalent metal ion such as Zn^{2+} or Ni^{2+} is attached to the chromatographic matrix so that proteins bearing metal-chelating groups (e.g., multiple His side chains) can be retained. Recombinant DNA techniques (Section 3-5) can be used to append a segment of six consecutive His residues, known as a **His tag**, to the N- or C-terminus of the polypeptide to be isolated. This creates a metal ion–binding site that allows the recombinant protein to be purified by metal chelate chromatography. After the protein has been eluted, usually by altering the pH, the His tag can be removed by the action of a specific protease whose recognition sequence separates the $(His)_6$ sequence from the rest of the protein.

D Electrophoresis Separates Molecules According to Charge and Size

Electrophoresis, the migration of ions in an electric field, is described in Section 3-4B. **Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)** of proteins is typically carried out in polyacrylamide gels with a characteristic pore size, so *the molecular separations are based on gel filtration (size and shape) as well as electrophoretic mobility (electric charge).* However, electrophoresis differs from gel filtration in that the electrophoretic mobility of smaller molecules is greater than the mobility of larger molecules with the same charge density. The pH of the gel is high enough (usually about pH 9) so that nearly all proteins have net negative charges and move toward the positive electrode when the current is switched on. Molecules of similar size and charge move as a band through the gel.

Following electrophoresis, the separated bands may be visualized in the gel by an appropriate technique, such as soaking the gel in a solution of a stain that binds tightly to proteins. If the proteins in a sample are radioactive, the gel can be dried and then clamped over a sheet of X-ray film. After a time, the film is developed and the resulting **autoradiograph** shows the positions of the radioactive components by a blackening of the film. If an antibody to a protein of interest is

FIG. 5-9 SDS-PAGE. Samples of proteins were electrophoresed from top (−) to bottom (+) in parallel lanes in a polyacrylamide slab, which was then stained to reveal the proteins. Lanes 1, 2, 10, and 17 contain molecular mass standards whose molecular masses are indicated on the left. [Image courtesy of Thermo Scientific Pierce Protein Research Products.]

available, it can be used to specifically detect the protein on a gel in the presence of many other proteins, a process called **immunoblotting** or **Western blotting** that is similar to ELISA (Fig. 5-3). Depending on the dimensions of the gel and the visualization technique used, samples containing less than a nanogram of protein can be separated and detected by gel electrophoresis.

SDS-PAGE Separates Proteins by Mass. In one form of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, the detergent sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)

$$[CH_3—(CH_2)_{10}—CH_2—O—SO_3^-]\ Na^+$$

is added to denature proteins. Amphiphilic molecules (Section 2-1C) such as SDS interfere with the hydrophobic interactions that normally stabilize proteins. Proteins assume a rodlike shape in the presence of SDS. Furthermore, most proteins bind SDS in a ratio of about 1.4 g SDS per gram protein (about one SDS molecule for every two amino acid residues). The large negative charge that the SDS imparts masks the proteins' intrinsic charge. The net result is that SDS-treated proteins have similar shapes and charge-to-mass ratios. ***SDS-PAGE** therefore separates proteins purely by gel filtration effects,* that is, according to molecular mass. **Figure 5-9** shows examples of the resolving power and the reproducibility of SDS-PAGE.

In SDS-PAGE, the relative mobilities of proteins vary approximately linearly with the logarithm of their molecular masses (**Fig. 5-10**). Consequently, the molecular mass of a protein can be determined with about 5 to 10% accuracy by electrophoresing it together with several "marker" proteins of known molecular masses that bracket that of the protein of interest. Because SDS disrupts noncovalent interactions between polypeptides, SDS-PAGE yields the molecular masses of the subunits of multisubunit proteins. The possibility that subunits are linked by disulfide bonds can be tested by preparing samples for SDS-PAGE in the presence and absence of a reducing agent, such as **2-mercaptoethanol** ($HSCH_2CH_2OH$), that breaks those bonds (Section 5-3A).

FIG. 5-10 Logarithmic relationship between the molecular mass of a protein and its electrophoretic mobility in SDS-PAGE. The masses of 37 proteins ranging from 11 to 70 kD are plotted. [After Weber, K. and Osborn, M., *J. Biol. Chem.* **244,** 4406 (1969).]

? **What is the approximate mass of a protein with a relative mobility of 0.5?**

Capillary Electrophoresis Rapidly Separates Charged Molecules. Although gel electrophoresis in its various forms is highly effective at separating charged molecules, it can require up to several hours and is difficult to quantitate and automate. These disadvantages are largely overcome through the use of **capillary electrophoresis (CE)**, a technique in which electrophoresis is carried out in very thin capillary tubes (20- to 100-μm inner diameter). Such narrow capillaries rapidly dissipate heat and hence permit the use of very high electric fields, which reduces separation times to a few minutes. The CE

techniques have extremely high resolution and can be automated in much the same way as is HPLC—that is, with automatic sample loading and online sample detection. Since CE can separate only small amounts of material, it is largely limited to use as an analytical tool.

Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis Resolves Complex Mixtures of Proteins. A protein has charged groups of both polarities and therefore has an isoelectric point, p*I*, at which it is immobile in an electric field. *If a mixture of proteins is electrophoresed through a solution or gel that has a stable pH gradient in which the pH increases smoothly from anode to cathode, each protein will migrate to the position in the pH gradient corresponding to its pI.* If a protein molecule diffuses away from this position, its net charge will change as it moves into a region of different pH and the resulting electrophoretic forces will move it back to its isoelectric position. Each species of protein is thereby "focused" into a narrow band about its p*I*. This type of electrophoresis is called **isoelectric focusing (IEF)**.

IEF can be combined with SDS-PAGE in an extremely powerful separation technique named **two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis.** First, a sample of proteins is subjected to IEF in one direction, and then the separated proteins are subjected to SDS-PAGE in the perpendicular direction. This procedure generates an array of spots, each representing a protein (**Fig. 5-11**). Up to 5000 proteins have been observed on a single two-dimensional gel electrophoretogram.

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis is a valuable tool for **proteomics**, a field of study that involves cataloging all of a cell's expressed proteins with emphasis on their quantitation, localization, modifications, interactions, and activities. Individual protein spots in a stained 2D gel can be excised with a scalpel, destained, and the protein eluted from the gel fragment for identification and/or characterization, often by mass spectrometry (Section 5-3D). Two-dimensional electrophoretograms can be analyzed by computer after they have been scanned and digitized. This facilitates the detection of variations in the positions and intensities of protein spots in samples obtained from different tissues or under different growth conditions. Numerous reference 2D gels are publicly available for this purpose in the web-accessible databases listed at http://world-2dpage.expasy.org/repository/. These databases contain images of 2D gels of a variety of organisms and tissues and identify many of their component proteins. Their use is illustrated in Bioinformatics Project 2.

E Ultracentrifugation Separates Macromolecules by Mass

Macromolecules in solution do not respond to the earth's gravity by settling, because their random thermal (Brownian) motion keeps them uniformly distributed

Courtesy of Patrick O'Farrell, University of California at San Francisco

FIG. 5-11 Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. In this example, *E. coli* proteins that had been labeled with ^{14}C-amino acids were subjected to isoelectric focusing (horizontally) followed by SDS-PAGE (vertically). More than 1000 spots can be resolved in the autoradiogram shown here.

FIG. 5-12 Ultracentrifugation. The sample is layered onto a preformed sucrose density gradient (*left*). During centrifugation (*middle*), each particle sediments at a rate that depends largely on its mass. After centrifugation, the tube is punctured and the separated particles are collected (*right*). In some cases, the centrifuge tube is filled with a sample solution containing CsCl, which forms a density gradient as the tube spins.

throughout the solution. *Only when subjected to enormous accelerations do macromolecules begin to sediment, much like grains of sand in water.*

Modern versions of the **ultracentrifuge**, an instrument developed around 1923 by the Swedish biochemist The Svedberg, can attain rotational speeds as high as 100,000 rpm to generate centrifugal fields in excess of 1,000,000*g*. Using his original ultracentrifuge, Svedberg first demonstrated that proteins are macromolecules with homogeneous compositions and that many proteins contain subunits.

The rate at which a particle sediments in the ultracentrifuge is related to its mass (the density of the solution and the shape of the particle also affect the sedimentation rate). A protein's sedimentation coefficient (its sedimentation velocity per unit of centrifugal force) is usually expressed in units of 10^{-13} s, which are known as **Svedbergs (S)**. The relationship between molecular mass and sedimentation coefficient is not linear; therefore, values of sedimentation coefficients are not additive. The sedimentation coefficients of proteins range from about 1S to about 50S; viruses have sedimentation coefficients in the range of 40S to 1000S. Subcellular particles such as mitochondria have sedimentation coefficients of tens of thousands.

Today, ultracentrifugation is rarely used as an analytical tool, but it is useful for fractionating macromolecules. Typically, sedimentation is carried out in a solution of an inert substance in which the concentration, and therefore the density, of the solution increases from the top to the bottom of the centrifuge tube. Such **density gradients** can be generated by filling the centrifuge tube with layers of sucrose solutions of decreasing concentrations, with the sample of macromolecules on the very top. During centrifugation, each species of macromolecule moves through the preformed gradient at a rate largely determined by its sedimentation coefficient and therefore travels as a zone that can be separated from other such zones (**Fig. 5-12**). After centrifugation, the tube is punctured to collect fractions containing the separated macromolecules. Alternatively, the sample may be dissolved in a relatively concentrated solution of a dense, fast-diffusing substance such as CsCl, which forms a density gradient under the high gravitational field produced at high spin rates. The sample components form bands at positions where their densities are equal to that of the solution.

CHECKPOINT

- What are some environmental conditions that must be controlled while purifying a protein?
- Describe how a protein may be quantified by an assay or by absorbance spectroscopy.
- Explain how salting out is used in protein fractionation.
- Explain how an antibody could be useful for purifying a protein and for determining its concentration.
- Describe the basis for separating proteins by ion exchange, hydrophobic interaction, gel filtration, and affinity chromatography.
- Describe the processes of gel electrophoresis, SDS-PAGE, and 2D gel electrophoresis.
- What kinds of information can be gathered by ultracentrifugation?

3 Protein Sequencing

KEY CONCEPTS

- To be sequenced, a protein must be separated into individual polypeptides that can be cleaved into sets of overlapping fragments.
- The amino acid sequence can be determined by Edman degradation, a procedure for removing N-terminal residues one at a time.
- Mass spectrometry can identify amino acid sequences from the mass-to-charge ratios of gas-phase protein fragments.
- Protein sequence data are deposited in online databases.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Protein sequence determination

Once a pure sample of protein has been obtained, it may be used for a variety of purposes. However, if the protein has not previously been characterized, the next step is often determining its sequence of amino acid residues. Frederick Sanger determined the first known protein sequence, that of bovine insulin, in 1953, thereby definitively establishing that proteins have unique covalent structures (Box 5-1). Since then, many additional proteins have been sequenced, and the sequences of many more proteins have been inferred from their DNA sequences. All told, the amino acid sequences of around 50 million polypeptides comprising nearly 16 billion amino acid residues are now known. Such information is valuable for the following reasons:

1. Knowledge of a protein's amino acid sequence is a prerequisite for determining its three-dimensional structure and is essential for understanding its molecular mechanism of action.
2. Sequence comparisons among analogous proteins from different species yield insights into protein function and reveal evolutionary relationships among the proteins and the organisms that produce them.
3. Many inherited diseases are caused by mutations that result in an amino acid change in a protein. Amino acid sequence analysis can assist in the development of diagnostic tests and effective therapies.

Sanger's determination of the sequence of insulin's 51 residues (Fig. 5-1) took about 10 years and required ~100 g of protein. Procedures for primary structure determination have since been so refined and automated that most proteins can be sequenced within a few hours or days using only a few micrograms of material. Regardless of the technique used, the basic approach for sequencing proteins is similar to the procedure developed by Sanger. *The protein must be broken down into fragments small enough to be individually sequenced, and the primary structure of the intact protein is then reconstructed from the sequences of overlapping fragments* (**Fig. 5-13**). Such a procedure, as we have seen (Section 3-4C), is also used to sequence DNA.

A The First Step Is to Separate Subunits

The complete amino acid sequence of a protein includes the sequence of each of its subunits, if any, so the subunits must be identified and isolated before sequencing begins.

N-Terminal Analysis Reveals the Number of Different Types of Subunits. Each polypeptide chain (if it is not chemically blocked) has an N-terminal residue. *Identifying this "end group" can establish the number of chemically distinct polypeptides in a protein.* For example, insulin has equal amounts of the N-terminal residues Gly and Phe, which indicates that it has equal numbers of two nonidentical polypeptide chains.

The N-terminus of a polypeptide can be determined by several methods. The fluorescent compound **5-dimethylamino-1-naphthalenesulfonyl chloride (dansyl chloride)** reacts with primary amines to yield dansylated

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 5-13 **Overview of protein sequencing.** **WPLS** **See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Box 5-1 Pathways of Discovery Frederick Sanger and Protein Sequencing

Frederick Sanger (1918–2013) At one time, many biochemists believed that proteins were amorphous "colloids" of variable size, shape, and composition. This view was largely abandoned by the 1940s, when it became possible to determine the amino acid composition of a protein—that is, the number of each kind of constituent amino acid. However, such information revealed nothing about the order in which the amino acids were combined. In fact, skeptics still questioned whether proteins even had unique sequences. One plausible theory was that proteins were populations of related molecules that were probably assembled from shorter pieces. Some studies went so far as to describe the rules governing the relative stoichiometries and spacing of the various amino acids in proteins.

During the 1940s, the accuracy of amino acid analysis began to improve as a result of the development of chromatographic techniques for separating amino acids and the use of the reagent **ninhydrin,** which forms colored adducts with amino acids, for chemically quantifying them (previous methods used cumbersome biological assays). Frederick Sanger, who began his work on protein sequencing in 1943, used these new techniques as well as classic organic chemistry. Sanger did not actually set out to sequence a protein; his first experiments were directed toward devising a better method for quantifying free amino groups such as the amino groups corresponding to the N-terminus of a polypeptide.

Sanger used the reagent 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene, which forms a yellow dinitrophenyl (DNP) derivative at terminal amino groups without breaking any peptide bonds.

2,4-Dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB) **Polypeptide** **DNP-Polypeptide**

When the protein is subsequently hydrolyzed to break its peptide bonds, the N-terminal amino acid retains its DNP label and can be identified when the hydrolysis products are separated by chromatography. Sanger found that some DNP-amino acid derivatives were unstable during hydrolysis, so this step had to be shortened. In comparing the results of long and short hydrolysis times, Sanger observed extra yellow spots corresponding to dipeptides or other small peptides with intact peptide bonds. He could then isolate the dipeptides and identify the second residue. Sanger's genius was to recognize that by determining the sequences of overlapping small peptides from an incompletely hydrolyzed protein, the sequence of the intact protein could be determined.

An enormous amount of work was required to turn the basic principle into a sound laboratory technique for sequencing a protein. Sanger chose insulin as his subject, because it was one of the smallest known proteins. Insulin contains 51 amino acids in two polypeptide chains (called A and B). The sequence of the 30 residues in the B chain was completed in 1951, and the sequence of the A chain (21 residues) in 1953. Because the two chains are linked through disulfide bonds, Sanger also endeavored to find the optimal procedure for cleaving those bonds and then identifying their positions in the intact protein, a task he completed in 1955.

Sanger's work of more than a decade, combining his expertise in organic chemistry (the cleavage and derivatization reactions) with the development of analytical tools for separating and identifying the reaction products, led to his winning a Nobel prize in 1958 [he won a second Nobel prize in 1980, for his invention of the chain-terminator method of nucleic acid sequencing (Section 3-4C)]. A testament to Sanger's brilliance is that his basic approaches to sequencing polypeptides and nucleic acids are still widely used.

Publication of the sequence of insulin in 1955 convinced skeptics that a protein has a unique amino acid sequence. Sanger's work also helped catalyze thinking about the existence of a genetic code that would link the amino acid sequence of a protein to the nucleotide sequence of DNA, a molecule whose structure had just been elucidated in 1953.

Sanger, F., Sequences, sequences, sequences, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **57,** 1–28 (1988). [A scientific autobiography that provides a glimpse of the early difficulties in sequencing proteins.]
Sanger, F., Thompson, E.O.P., and Kitai, R., The amide groups of insulin, *Biochem. J.* **59,** 509–518 (1955).

polypeptides (Fig. 5-14). The treatment of a dansylated polypeptide with aqueous acid at high temperature hydrolyzes its peptide bonds. This liberates the dansylated N-terminal residue, which can then be separated chromatographically from the other amino acids and identified by its intense yellow fluorescence. The N-terminal residue can also be identified by performing the first step of Edman degradation (Section 5-3C), a procedure that liberates amino acids one at a time from the N-terminus of a polypeptide. SDS-PAGE of a protein (Section 5-2D) also reveals its number of different subunits.

Disulfide Bonds between and within Polypeptides Are Cleaved. Disulfide bonds between Cys residues must be cleaved to separate polypeptide chains—if they are disulfide-linked—and to ensure that polypeptide chains are fully linear (residues in polypeptides that are "knotted" with disulfide bonds may not be accessible to all the enzymes and reagents for sequencing). Disulfide bonds

FIG. 5-14 The dansyl chloride reaction. The reaction of dansyl chloride with primary amino groups is used for end group analysis.

can be reductively cleaved by treating them with 2-mercaptoethanol or another **mercaptan** (a compound that contains an —SH group):

The resulting free sulfhydryl groups are then alkylated, usually by treatment with **iodoacetate,** to prevent the re-formation of disulfide bonds through oxidation by O_2:

B The Polypeptide Chains Are Cleaved

Polypeptides that are longer than 25 to 100 residues cannot be directly sequenced and must therefore be cleaved, either enzymatically or chemically, to specific fragments that are small enough to be sequenced. Various **endopeptidases** (enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of internal peptide bonds, as opposed to **exopeptidases**, which catalyze the hydrolysis of N- or C-terminal residues) can be used to fragment polypeptides. Both endopeptidases and exopeptidases (which collectively are called **proteases**) have side chain requirements for the residues flanking the scissile peptide bond (i.e., the bond that is to be cleaved; Table 5-4). The digestive enzyme **trypsin** has the greatest specificity and is therefore the most valuable member of the arsenal of endopeptidases used to fragment polypeptides. It cleaves peptide bonds on the C side (toward the carboxyl terminus) of the positively charged residues Arg and Lys if the next residue is not Pro.

The other endopeptidases listed in Table 5-4 exhibit broader side chain specificities than trypsin and often yield a series of peptide fragments with overlapping sequences. However, through **limited proteolysis**, that is, by adjusting reaction conditions and limiting reaction times, these less specific endopeptidases can yield a set of discrete, nonoverlapping fragments.

Several chemical reagents promote peptide bond cleavage at specific residues. The most useful of these, **cyanogen bromide** (CNBr), cleaves on the C side of Met residues (Fig. 5-15).

C Edman Degradation Removes a Peptide's N-Terminal Amino Acid Residue

Once the peptide fragments formed through specific cleavage reactions have been isolated, their amino acid sequences can be determined. This can be accomplished through repeated cycles of **Edman degradation**. In this process (named after its inventor, Pehr Edman), **phenylisothiocyanate** (**PITC**; also known as **Edman's reagent**) reacts with the N-terminal amino group of a polypeptide under mildly alkaline conditions to form a **phenylthiocarbamyl (PTC)** adduct (Fig. 5-16). This product is treated with anhydrous **trifluoroacetic acid**, which

FIG. 5-15 Cyanogen bromide cleavage of a polypeptide. CNBr reacts specifically with Met residues, resulting in cleavage of the peptide bond on their C-terminal side. The newly formed C-terminal residue forms a cyclic structure known as a **peptidyl homoserine lactone.**

TABLE 5-4 Specificities of Various Endopeptidases

Enzyme	Source	Specificity	Comments
Trypsin	Bovine pancreas	R_{n-1} = positively charged residues: Arg, Lys; $R_n \neq$ Pro	Highly specific
Chymotrypsin	Bovine pancreas	R_{n-1} = bulky hydrophobic residues: Phe, Trp, Tyr; $R_n \neq$ Pro	Cleaves more slowly for R_{n-1} = Asn, His, Met, Leu
Elastase	Bovine pancreas	R_{n-1} = small neutral residues: Ala, Gly Ser, Val; $R_n \neq$ Pro	
Thermolysin	*Bacillus thermoproteolyticus*	R_n = Ile, Met, Phe, Trp, Tyr, Val; $R_{n-1} \neq$ Pro	Occasionally cleaves at R_n = Ala, Asp, His, Thr; heat stable
Pepsin	Bovine gastric mucosa	R_n = Leu, Phe, Trp, Tyr; $R_{n-1} \neq$ Pro	Also others; quite nonspecific; pH optimum = 2
Endopeptidase V8	*Staphylococcus aureus*	R_{n-1} = Glu	

FIG. 5-16 Edman degradation. The reaction occurs in three stages, each requiring different conditions. Amino acid residues can therefore be sequentially removed from the N-terminus of a polypeptide in a controlled, stepwise fashion. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Many proteins include an acetyl group at the N-terminus. How would this affect the Edman degradation process?

cleaves the N-terminal residue as a thiazolinone derivative but does not hydrolyze other peptide bonds. Edman degradation therefore releases the N-terminal amino acid residue but leaves intact the rest of the polypeptide chain. The thiazolinone-amino acid is selectively extracted into an organic solvent and is converted to the more stable **phenylthiohydantoin (PTH)** derivative by treatment with aqueous acid. This PTH-amino acid can later be identified by chromatography.

The two steps of the peptide cleavage process take place under different conditions. Hence, *the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide chain can be determined from the N-terminus inward by subjecting the polypeptide to repeated cycles of Edman degradation and, after every cycle, identifying the newly liberated PTH-amino acid.*

The Edman degradation technique has been automated and refined, resulting in great savings of time and material. In the most advanced instruments, the peptide sample is dried onto a disk of glass fiber paper, and accurately measured quantities of reagents are delivered and products removed as vapors in a stream of argon at programmed intervals. Up to 100 residues can be identified before the cumulative effects of incomplete reactions, side reactions, and peptide loss make further amino acid identification unreliable. Since less than a picomole of a PTH-amino acid can be detected and identified, sequence analysis can be carried out on as little as 5 to 10 pmol of a peptide (<0.1 μg—an invisibly small amount).

FIG. 5-17 Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI). (*a*) Dry N_2 gas promotes the evaporation of solvent from charged droplets containing the protein of interest, leaving gas-phase ions, whose charge is due to the protonation of Arg and Lys residues. The mass spectrometer then determines the mass-to-charge ratio of these ions. The resulting mass spectrum consists of a series of peaks corresponding to ions that differ by a single ionic charge and the mass of one proton). [After Fitzgerald, M.C. and Siuzdak, G., *Chem. Biol.* **3,** 708 (1996).] (*b*) The ESI mass spectrum of horse heart **apomyoglobin** (myoglobin that lacks its Fe ion). The measured *m/z* ratios and the inferred charges for most of the peaks are indicated. The data provided by this spectrum permit the mass of the original molecule to be calculated (see Sample Calculation 5-1). [After Yates, J.R., *Methods Enzymol.* **271,** 353 (1996).]

D Peptides Can Be Sequenced by Mass Spectrometry

Mass spectrometry has emerged as the dominant technique for characterizing and sequencing proteins. Mass spectrometry accurately measures the mass-to-charge *(m/z)* ratio for ions in the gas phase (where *m* is the ion's mass and *z* is its charge). Until about 1985, macromolecules such as proteins and nucleic acids could not be analyzed by mass spectrometry. This was because macromolecules were destroyed during the production of gas-phase ions, which required vaporization by heating followed by ionization via bombardment with electrons.

Newer techniques have addressed this shortcoming. For example, in **electrospray ionization (ESI)**, a method developed by John Fenn, a solution of a macromolecule such as a peptide is sprayed from a narrow capillary tube maintained at high voltage (~4000 V), forming fine, highly charged droplets from which the solvent rapidly evaporates (**Fig. 5-17*a***). This yields a series of gas-phase macromolecular ions that typically have ionic charges in the range +0.5 to +2 per kilodalton. The charges result from the protonation of basic side chains such as Arg and Lys. The ions are directed into the mass spectrometer, which measures their *m/z* values with an accuracy of ~0.01% (**Fig. 5-17*b***). Consequently, determining an ion's *z* permits its molecular mass to be determined with far greater accuracy than by any other method (see Sample Calculation 5-1).

Mass spectrometry can be used identify a protein. For example, in a technique known as **protein mass fingerprinting**, an unidentified protein (e.g., extracted from a spot in a 2D gel electrophoretogram; Fig. 5-11) is cleaved into defined fragments, as described in Section 5-3B, and their masses are determined. The members of a database of proteins of known sequences (see below) are theoretically subjected to the same cleavage method and the masses of the resulting peptides are calculated. A match between the experimental and a theoretical set of masses identifies the protein.

Short polypeptides (<25 residues) can be directly sequenced through the use of a tandem mass spectrometer (two mass spectrometers coupled in series; **Fig. 5-18**). The first mass spectrometer functions to select and separate the peptide ion of interest from peptide ions of different masses, as well as any contaminants that may be present. The selected peptide ion is then passed into a collision cell, where it collides with chemically inert atoms such as helium. The energy thereby imparted to the peptide ion causes it to fragment predominantly at only one of its several peptide bonds, thereby yielding one or two charged fragments per original ion. The molecular masses of the numerous charged fragments so produced are then determined by the second mass spectrometer.

By comparing the molecular masses of successively larger members of a family of fragments, the molecular masses and therefore the identities of successive

FIG. 5-18 Tandem mass spectrometry in peptide sequencing. Electrospray ionization (ESI), the ion source, generates gas-phase peptide ions, labeled P_1, P_2, etc., from a digest of the protein to be sequenced. These peptides are separated by the first mass spectrometer (MS-1) according to their *m/z* values, and one of them (here, P_3) is directed into the collision cell, where it collides with helium atoms. This treatment breaks the peptide into fragments (F_1, F_2, etc.), which are directed into the second mass spectrometer (MS-2) for determination of their *m/z* values. This latter process is carried out for each of the P_n peptide ions. [After Biemann, K. and Scoble, H.A., *Science* **237,** 992 (1987).]

SAMPLE CALCULATION 5-1

An ESI mass spectrum such as that of apomyoglobin (Fig. 5-17*b*) contains a series of peaks, each corresponding to the *m/z* ratio of an $(M + nH)^{n+}$ ion. Two successive peaks in this mass spectrum have measured *m/z* ratios of 1414.0 and 1542.3. What is the molecular mass of the original apomyoglobin molecule, how does it compare with the value given for it in Table 5-1, and what are the charges of the ions causing these peaks?

The first peak ($p_1 = 1414.0$) arises from an ion with charge *z* and mass M + *z*, where M is the molecular mass of the original protein. Then the adjacent peak ($p_2 = 1542.3$), which is due to an ion with one less proton, has charge $z - 1$ and mass $M + z - 1$. The m/z ratios for these ions, p_1 and p_2, are therefore given by the following expressions.

$$p_1 = (M + z)/z$$
$$p_2 = (M + z - 1)/(z - 1)$$

These two linear equations can readily be solved for their unknowns, M and *z*. Solve the first equation for M.

$$M = z(p_1 - 1)$$

Then plug this result into the second equation.

$$p_2 = \frac{z(p_1 - 1) + z - 1}{z - 1} = \frac{zp_1 - 1}{z - 1}$$

$$zp_2 - p_2 = zp_1 - 1$$
$$z = (p_2 - 1)/(p_2 - p_1)$$
$$M = (p_2 - 1)/(p_1 - 1)/(p_2 - p_1)$$

Plugging in the values for p_1 and p_2,

$$M = (1542.3 - 1)(1414.0 - 1)/(1542.3 - 1414.0) = 16,975D$$

which is only 0.14% larger than the 16,951 D for horse apomyoglobin given in Table 5-1. For the charge on ion 1,

$$z = (1542.3 - 1)/(1542.3 - 1414.0) = +12$$

The ionic charge on ion 2 is $12 - 1 = +11$.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

FIG. 5-19 Determining the positions of disulfide bonds.

amino acid residues in a polypeptide are determined. Computerization of the mass-comparison process has reduced the time required to sequence a short polypeptide to only a few minutes (one cycle of Edman degradation may take an hour). However, mass spectrometry cannot distinguish the isomeric residues Ile and Leu because they have exactly the same mass, and it cannot always reliably distinguish Gln and Lys residues because their molecular masses differ by only 0.036 D. Mass spectrometry can be used to sequence peptides with chemically blocked N-termini (which prevents Edman degradation) and to characterize other posttranslational modifications such as the addition of phosphate or carbohydrate groups.

E Reconstructed Protein Sequences Are Stored in Databases

After individual peptide fragments have been sequenced, their order in the original polypeptide must be elucidated. This, as is indicated in Fig. 5-13, is accomplished by conducting a second round of protein cleavage with a reagent of different specificity and then comparing the amino acid sequences of the overlapping sets of peptide fragments. The reads in DNA sequencing are similarly ordered (Section 3-4C).

The final step in an amino acid sequence analysis is to determine the positions (if any) of the disulfide bonds. This can be done by cleaving a sample of the protein, with its disulfide bonds intact, to yield pairs of peptide fragments, each containing a single Cys, that are linked by a disulfide bond. After isolating a disulfide-linked polypeptide fragment, the disulfide bond is cleaved and alkylated (Section 5-3A), and the sequences of the two peptides are determined (Fig. 5-19). The various pairs of such polypeptide fragments are identified by comparing their sequences with that of the protein, thereby establishing the locations of the disulfide bonds.

Sequences Are Recorded in Databases. After a protein's amino acid sequence has been determined, the information is customarily deposited in a public database. Databases for proteins as well as DNA sequences are accessible via the Internet (Table 5-5). Electronic links between databases allow rapid updates and cross-checking of sequence information.

Most sequence databases use similar conventions. For example, the protein may be given an ID code that includes information about its source (e.g., HUMAN or ECOLI). This is accompanied by an accession number, which is assigned by the database as a way of identifying an entry even if its ID code must be changed (for example, see Fig. 5-20). The entry includes a description of the protein, its function (if known), its sequence, and features such as disulfide bonds, posttranslational modifications, and binding sites. The entry ends with a list of pertinent references (which are linked to PubMed) and links to other databases.

TABLE 5-5 Internet Addresses for the Major Protein and DNA Sequence Data Banks

Data Banks Containing Protein Sequences
ExPASy Proteomics Server: http://expasy.org/
Protein Information Resource (PIR): http://pir.georgetown.edu/
UniProt: http://www.uniprot.org/
Data Banks Containing Gene Sequences
GenBank: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/
European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI): http://www.ebi.ac.uk
GenomeNet: http://www.genome.jp/

FIG. 5-20 The initial portion of a UniProt entry. This information pertains to the protein **adiponectin,** which is produced by adipose (fat) tissue and helps regulate fuel metabolism (Section 22-3B). The complete entry includes additional information and references as well as the protein's sequence. [*Nucleic Acids Res.* **43,** D204-D212 (2015). UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot entry Q15848]

Armed with the appropriate software (which is often publicly available at the database sites), a researcher can search a database to find proteins with similar sequences in various organisms. The sequence of even a short peptide fragment may be sufficient to "fish out" the parent protein or its counterpart from another species (see the Bioinformatics Projects).

Protein sequence information is no less valuable when the base sequence of the corresponding gene is known, because a protein sequence provides information about protein structure that is not revealed by nucleic acid sequencing (see Section 3-4). For example, only direct protein sequencing can reveal the locations of disulfide bonds in proteins. In addition, many proteins are modified after they are synthesized. For example, certain residues may be excised to produce the "mature" protein (insulin, shown in Fig. 5-1, is actually synthesized as an 84-residue polypeptide, whose central 31-residue so-called C-chain is proteolytically removed to yield the mature two-chain form). Amino acid side chains may also be modified by the addition of carbohydrates, phosphate groups, or acetyl groups, to name only a few. Although some of these modifications occur at characteristic amino acid sequences and are therefore identifiable in nucleotide sequences, only the actual protein sequence can confirm whether and where they occur. Conversely, gene sequences can be used to eliminate the ambiguities in the corresponding protein sequences.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the steps involved in sequencing a protein.
- Why is it important to identify the N-terminal residue(s) of a protein?
- What are some advantages of sequencing peptides by mass spectrometry rather than by Edman degradation?
- Explain why long polypeptides must be broken into at least two different sets of peptide fragments for sequencing.
- What types of information can be retrieved from a protein sequence database?

4 Protein Evolution

KEY CONCEPTS

- Sequence comparisons reveal the evolutionary relationships between proteins.
- Protein families evolve by the duplication and divergence of genes encoding protein domains.
- The rate of evolution varies from protein to protein.

Because an organism's genetic material specifies the amino acid sequences of all its proteins, changes in genes due to random mutation can alter a protein's

TABLE 5-6 Amino Acid Sequences of Cytochromes *c* from 38 Species[a]

		–9			–5				–1	1				5				10				15				20				25				30				35				40			
Mammals	Human, chimpanzee								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	I	M	K	C	S	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Rhesus monkey								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	I	M	K	C	S	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Horse								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Donkey								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Cow, pig, sheep								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Dog								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Rabbit								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	California gray whale								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Great gray kangaroo								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	I	N	G	I	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
Other vertebrates	Chicken, turkey								a	G	D	I	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	S	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Pigeon								a	G	D	I	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	S	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Pekin duck								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	S	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Snapping turtle								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	I	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Rattlesnake								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	T	M	K	C	S	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Bullfrog								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	C	E	K	G	G	K	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	Y	G	L	I	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Tuna								a	G	D	V	A	K	G	K	K	T	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	N	G	G	K	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	W	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Dogfish								a	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	V	F	V	Q	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	N	G	G	K	H	K	T	G	P	N	L	S	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
Insects	*Samia cynthia* (a moth)					h	G	V	P	A	G	N	A	E	N	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	R	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	A	G	G	K	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	H	G	F	Y	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Tobacco hornworm moth					h	G	V	p	A	G	N	A	D	N	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	R	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	A	G	G	K	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	H	G	F	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Screwworm fly					h	G	V	P	A	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	I	F	V	Q	R	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	A	G	G	K	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
	Drosophila (fruit fly)					h	G	V	P	A	G	D	V	E	K	G	K	K	L	F	V	Q	R	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	A	G	G	K	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	I	G	R	K	T	G	Q	A
Fungi	Baker's yeast				h	T	E	F	K	A	G	S	A	K	K	G	A	T	L	F	K	T	R	C	L	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	G	P	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	H	G	I	F	G	R	H	S	G	Q	A
	Candida krusei (a yeast)				h	P	A	P	F	E	Q	G	S	A	K	K	G	A	T	L	F	K	T	R	C	A	Q	C	H	T	I	E	A	G	G	P	H	K	V	G	P	N	L	H	G	I	F	S	R	H	S	G	Q	A
	Neurospora crassa (a mold)					h	G	F	S	A	G	D	S	K	K	G	A	N	L	F	K	T	R	C	A	Q	C	H	T	L	E	E	G	G	G	N	K	I	G	P	A	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	K	T	G	S	V
Higher plants	Wheat germ	a	A	S	F	S	E	A	P	P	G	N	P	D	A	G	A	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	D	A	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	H	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Buckwheat seed	a	A	T	F	S	E	A	P	P	G	N	I	K	S	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Sunflower seed	a	A	S	F	A	E	A	P	P	G	D	P	T	T	G	A	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Mung bean	a	A	S	F	B	E	A	P	P	G	B	S	K	S	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	D	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Cauliflower	a	A	S	F	B	E	A	P	P	G	B	S	K	A	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	D	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Pumpkin	a	A	S	F	B	E	A	P	P	G	B	S	K	A	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	D	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Sesame seed	a	A	S	F	B	E	A	P	P	G	B	V	K	S	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	D	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Castor bean	a	A	S	F	B	E	A	P	P	G	B	V	K	A	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Cottonseed	a	A	S	F	Z	E	A	P	P	G	B	A	K	A	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	D	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Abutilon seed	a	A	S	F	Z	E	A	P	P	G	B	A	K	A	G	E	K	I	F	K	T	K	C	A	Q	C	H	T	V	E	K	G	A	G	H	K	Q	G	P	N	L	N	G	L	F	G	R	Q	S	G	T	T
	Number of different amino acids									1	3	5	5	1	3	3	4	1	4	3	2	1	3	1	1	1	4	2	4	1	2	3	2	1	4	1	1	2	1	5	1	3	3	2	1	3	2	1	3	3	

[a]The amino acid side chains have been shaded according to their polarity characteristics so that an invariant or conservatively substituted residue is identified by a vertical band of a single color. The letter a at the beginning of the chain indicates that the N-terminal amino group is acetylated; an h indicates that the acetyl group is absent.

Source: After Dickerson, R.E., *Sci. Am.* 226(4); 58–72 (1972), with corrections from Dickerson, R.E., and Timkovich, R., *in* Boyer, P.D. (Ed.), *The Enzymes* (3rd ed.), Vol. 11, pp. 421–422, Academic Press (1975). Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.

? How different are the human and rhesus monkey sequences? How different are the rhesus monkey and horse sequences?

primary structure. A mutation in a protein is propagated only if it somehow increases, or at least does not decrease, the probability that its owner will survive to reproduce. Many mutations are deleterious or produce lethal effects and therefore rapidly die out. On rare occasions, however, a mutation arises that improves the fitness of its host under the prevailing conditions. This is the essence of **evolution** by **natural selection**.

A Protein Sequences Reveal Evolutionary Relationships

The primary structures of a given protein from related species closely resemble one another. Consider **cytochrome *c***, a protein found in nearly all eukaryotes. Cytochrome *c* is a component of the mitochondrial electron-transport system (Section 18-2), which is believed to have taken its present form between 1.5 and 2 billion years ago, when organisms developed mechanisms for aerobic respiration. Emanuel Margoliash, Emil Smith, and others elucidated the amino acid sequences of the cytochromes *c* from more than 100 eukaryotic species ranging in complexity from yeast to humans. The cytochromes *c* from different species are single polypeptides of 104 to 112 residues. The sequences of 38 of these proteins are arranged in Table 5-6 to show the similarities between

TABLE 5-6 (continued)

		45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100	104
Mammals	Human, chimpanzee	P G Y S Y T	A A N K N	K G I I	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F V	G I K K K	E E R A D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
	Rhesus monkey	P G Y S Y T	A A N K N	K G I I	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F V	G I K K K	E E R A D	L I A Y L	K K A A N	E
	Horse	P G F T Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W K E E T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	T E R E D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
	Donkey	P G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W K E E T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	T E R E D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
	Cow, pig, sheep	P G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E E T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	G E R E D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
	Dog	P G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E E T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K T	G E R A D	L I A Y L	K K A T K	E
	Rabbit	V G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	D E R A D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
	California gray whale	V G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E E T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	G E R A D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
	Great gray kangaroo	P G F T Y T	D A N K N	K G I I	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	G E R A D	L I A Y L	K K A T N	E
Other vertebrates	Chicken, turkey	E G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	S E R V D	L I A Y L	K D A T S	K
	Pigeon	E G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	A E R A D	L I A Y L	K Q A T A	K
	Pekin duck	E G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	S E R A D	L I A Y L	K D A T A	K
	Snapping turtle	E G F S Y T	E A N K N	K G I T	W G E E T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	A E R A D	L I A Y L	K D A T S	K
	Rattlesnake	V G Y S Y T	A A N K N	K G I I	W G D D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M V F T	G L S K K	K E R T N	L I A Y L	K E K T A	A
	Bullfrog	A G F S Y T	D A N K N	K G I T	W G E D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	G E R Q D	L I A Y L	K S A C S	K
	Tuna	E G Y S Y T	D A N K S	K G I V	W N N D T	L M E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G I K K K	G E R Q D	L V A Y L	K S A T S	-
	Dogfish	Q G F S Y T	D A N K S	K G I T	W Q Q E T	L R I Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G L K K K	S E R Q D	L I A Y L	K K T A A	S
Insects	*Samia cynthia* (a moth)	P G F S Y S	N A N K A	K G I T	W G D D T	L F E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M V F A	G L K K A	N E R A D	L I A Y L	K E S T K	-
	Tobacco hornworm moth	P G F S Y S	N A N K A	K G I T	W Q D D T	L F E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M V F A	G L K K A	N E R A D	L I A Y L	K Q A T K	-
	Screwworm fly	A G F A Y T	N A N K A	K G I T	W Q D D T	L F E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G L K K P	N E R G D	L I A Y L	K S A T K	-
	Drosophila (fruit fly)	A G F A Y T	N A N K A	K G I T	W Q D D T	L F E Y L	E N P K K	Y I P G T	K M I F A	G L K K P	N E R G D	L I A Y L	K S A T K	-
Fungi	Baker's yeast	Q G Y S Y T	D A N I K	K N V L	W D E N N	M S E Y L	T N P X K	Y I P G T	K M A F G	G L K K E	K D R N D	L I T Y L	K K A C E	-
	Candida krusei (a yeast)	Q G Y S Y T	D A N K R	A G V E	W A E P T	M S D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M A F G	G L K K A	K D R N D	L V T Y M	L E A S K	-
	Neurospora crassa (a mold)	D G Y A Y T	D A N K Q	K G I T	W D E N T	L F E Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M A F G	G L K K D	K D R N D	I I T F M	K E A T A	-
Higher plants	Wheat germ	A G Y S Y S	A A N K N	K A V E	W E E N T	L Y D Y L	L N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K K A T S	S
	Buckwheat seed	A G Y S Y S	A A N K N	K A V T	W G E D T	L Y E Y L	L N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q E R A D	L I A Y L	K D S T E	-
	Sunflower seed	A G Y S Y S	A A N K N	M A V I	W E E N T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q E R A D	L I A Y L	K T S T A	-
	Mung bean	A G Y S Y S	T A N K N	M A V I	W E E K T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K E S T A	-
	Cauliflower	A G Y S Y S	A A N K N	K A V E	W E E K T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K E A T A	-
	Pumpkin	P G Y S Y S	A A N K N	R A V I	W E E K T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K E A T A	-
	Sesame seed	P G Y S Y S	A A N K N	M A V I	W G E N T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q E R A D	L I A Y L	K E A T A	-
	Castor bean	A G Y S Y S	A A N K N	M A V Q	W G E N T	L Y A Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K E A T A	-
	Cottonseed	A G Y S Y S	A A N K N	M A V Q	W G E N T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K E S T A	-
	Abutilon seed	P G Y S Y S	A A N K N	M A V N	W G E N T	L Y D Y L	E N P X K	Y I P G T	K M V F P	G L X K P	Q D R A D	L I A Y L	K E S T A	-
	Number of different amino acids	6 1 2 3 1 2	5 1 1 2 6	4 3 2 7	1 7 4 5	2 2 5 4	1 1 3 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 3 1	5 1 2 2	1 6 9 2	1 7 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 6 4 4 5 4

Hydrophilic, acidic: D Asp, E Glu

Hydrophilic, basic: H His, K Lys, R Arg, X TrimethylLys

Polar, uncharged: B Asn or Asp, G Gly, N Asn, Q Gln, S Ser, T Thr, W Trp, Y Tyr, Z Gln or Glu

Hydrophobic: A Ala, C Cys, F Phe, I Ile, L Leu, M Met, P Pro, V Val

vertically aligned residues (the residues have been color-coded according to their physical properties). A survey of the aligned sequences (bottom line of Table 5-6) shows that the same amino acid residue appears at 38 positions in all species (23 positions in the complete set of >100 sequences). Most of the remaining positions are occupied by chemically similar residues in different organisms. In only eight positions does the sequence accommodate six or more different residues.

Evolutionary theory indicates that related species have evolved from a common ancestor, so it follows that the genes specifying each of their proteins must likewise have evolved from the corresponding gene in that ancestor. The sequence of the ancestral cytochrome *c* is accessible only indirectly, by examining the sequences of extant proteins.

Sequence Comparisons Provide Information on Protein Structure and Function. *In general, comparisons of the primary structures of* ***homologous proteins*** *(evolutionarily related proteins) indicate which of the protein's residues are essential to its function, which are less significant, and which have little specific function.* Finding the same residue at a particular position in the amino acid sequence of a series of related proteins suggests that the chemical or structural properties of that so-called **invariant residue** uniquely suit it to some essential function of the protein. For example, its side chain may be necessary for binding another molecule or for participating in a catalytic process. Other amino acid positions may have less stringent side chain requirements and can therefore accommodate residues with similar characteristics (e.g., Asp or Glu, Ser or Thr, etc.); such positions are said to be **conservatively substituted**. On the other hand, a particular amino acid position may tolerate many different amino acid residues, indicating that the functional requirements of that position are rather nonspecific. Such a position is said to be **hypervariable**.

Why is cytochrome *c*—an ancient and essential protein—not identical in all species? Even a protein that is well adapted to its function, that is, one that is not subject to physiological improvement, nevertheless continues evolving. The random nature of mutational processes will, in time, change such a protein in ways that do not significantly affect its function, a process called ***neutral drift*** (deleterious mutations are, of course, rapidly rejected through natural selection). Hypervariable residues are apparently particularly subject to neutral drift.

Phylogenetic Trees Depict Evolutionary History. Far-reaching conclusions about evolutionary relationships can be drawn by comparing the amino acid sequences of homologous proteins (or their corresponding DNA sequences). The simplest way to assess evolutionary differences is to count the amino acid differences between proteins. For example, the data in Table 5-6 show that primate cytochromes *c* more nearly resemble those of other mammals than they do those of insects (8–12 differences among mammals versus 26–31 differences between mammals and insects). Similarly, the cytochromes *c* of fungi differ as much from those of mammals (45–51 differences) as they do from those of insects (41–47) or higher plants (47–54). The order of these differences largely parallels that expected from classical taxonomy, which is based primarily on morphological rather than molecular characteristics.

The amino acid or DNA sequences of homologous proteins can be analyzed by computer to construct a **phylogenetic tree**, a diagram that indicates the ancestral relationships among organisms that produce the protein. The phylogenetic tree for cytochrome *c* is sketched in **Fig. 5-21**. Similar trees have been derived for other proteins. Each branch point of the tree represents a putative common ancestor for all the organisms above it. The distances between branch points are expressed as the number of amino acid differences per 100 residues of the protein. Such trees present a more quantitative measure of the degree of relatedness of the various species than macroscopic taxonomy can provide.

Note that the evolutionary distances from all modern cytochromes *c* to the lowest point, the earliest common ancestor producing this protein, are approximately the same. Thus, "lower" organisms do not represent life-forms that appeared early in history and ceased to evolve further. The cytochromes *c* of all the species included in Fig. 5-21—whether called "primitive" or "advanced"—have evolved to about the same extent.

B Proteins Evolve by the Duplication of Genes or Gene Segments

The effort to characterize protein evolution and create databases of related proteins was pioneered by Margaret Dayhoff, beginning in the 1960s. Since then,

FIG. 5-21 Phylogenetic tree of cytochrome *c*. Each branch point represents an organism ancestral to the species connected above it. The number beside each branch indicates the number of inferred differences per 100 residues between the cytochromes *c* of the flanking branch points or species. Note that existing organisms are located only at the periphery of the phylogenetic tree. [After Dayhoff, M.O., Park, C.M., and McLaughlin, P.J., *in* Dayhoff, M.O. (Ed.), *Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure, p.* 8, National Biomedical Research Foundation (1972).]

more than 50 million protein sequences have been catalogued as the result of direct protein sequencing or DNA sequencing projects. This has led to the development of mathematically sophisticated computer algorithms to identify similarities between sequences. These search protocols can detect similarities between proteins that have evolved to the extent that their amino acid sequences are <20% identical and have acquired several sequence insertions and/or deletions of various lengths. The use of such sequence alignment programs, which are publicly available, is demonstrated in Bioinformatics Project 2.

Analysis of a large number of proteins indicates that evolutionarily conserved sequences are often segments of about 40 to 200 residues, called **domains**. Originally, this term referred to a portion of discrete protein structure, but the usage has expanded to include the corresponding amino acid sequence. As we shall see in Section 6-2D, structural similarities between two domains may be apparent even when the sequences have few residues in common.

Protein domains can be grouped into an estimated 1000–1400 different families, but about half of all known domains fall into just 200 families. Most protein

families have only a few members, and 10–20% of proteins appear to be unique, although it is also possible that they represent family members whose sequences have simply diverged beyond recognition. Domains whose sequences are more than about 40% identical usually have the same function; domains with less than about 25% sequence identity usually perform different roles.

Protein Families Can Arise through Gene Duplication. It is not surprising that proteins with similar functions have similar sequences; such proteins presumably evolved from a common ancestor. Homologous proteins with the same function in different species (e.g., the cytochromes *c* shown in Table 5-6) are said to be **orthologous**.

Within a species, similar proteins arise through **gene duplication**, an aberrant genetic recombination event in which one member of a chromosome pair acquires both copies of the primordial gene (genetic recombination is discussed in Section 25-6). Following duplication, the sequences may diverge as mutations occur over time. *Gene duplication is a particularly efficient mode of evolution because one copy of the gene evolves a new function through natural selection while its counterpart continues to direct the synthesis of the original protein.* Two independently evolving genes that are derived from a duplication event are said to be **paralogous**. In prokaryotes, approximately 60% of protein domains have been duplicated; in many eukaryotes the figure is ~90%, and in humans, ~98%.

The **globin** family of proteins provides an excellent example of evolution through gene duplication and divergence. **Hemoglobin**, which transports O_2 from the lungs (or gills or skin) to the tissues, is a tetramer with the subunit composition $\alpha_2\beta_2$ (i.e., two α polypeptides and two β polypeptides). The sequences of the α and β subunits are similar to each other and to the sequence of the protein **myoglobin**, which facilitates oxygen diffusion through muscle tissue (hemoglobin and myoglobin are discussed in more detail in Chapter 7). The primordial globin probably functioned simply as an oxygen-storage protein. Gene duplication allowed one globin to evolve into a monomeric hemoglobin α chain. Duplication of the α chain gene gave rise to the paralogous gene for the β chain. Other members of the globin family include the β-like γ chain that is present in fetal hemoglobin, an $\alpha_2\gamma_2$ tetramer, and the β-like ε and α-like ζ chains that appear together early in embryogenesis as $\zeta_2\varepsilon_2$ hemoglobin. Primates contain a relatively recently duplicated globin, the β-like δ chain, which appears as a minor component (~1%) of adult hemoglobin. Although the $\alpha_2\delta_2$ hemoglobin has no known unique function, perhaps it may eventually evolve one. The genealogy of the members of the globin family is diagrammed in **Fig. 5-22**. The human genome also contains the relics of globin genes that are not expressed. These **pseudogenes** can be considered the dead ends of protein evolution. Note that a duplicated and therefore initially superfluous gene has only a limited time to evolve a new functionality that provides a selective advantage to its host before it is inactivated through mutation, that is, becomes a pseudogene.

FIG. 5-22 Genealogy of the globin family. Each branch point represents a gene duplication event. Myoglobin is a single-chain protein. The globins identified by Greek letters are subunits of hemoglobins.

The Rate of Sequence Divergence Varies. The sequence differences between orthologous proteins can be plotted against the time when, according to the fossil record, the species producing the proteins diverged. The plot for a given protein is essentially linear, indicating that its mutations accumulate at a constant rate over a geological time scale. However, rates of evolution vary among proteins (**Fig. 5-23**). This does not imply that the rates of mutation of the DNAs specifying those proteins differ, but rather that *the rate at which mutations are accepted into a protein varies.*

A major contributor to the rate at which a protein evolves is the effect of amino acid changes on the protein's function. For example, Fig. 5-23 shows that **histone** H4, a protein that binds to DNA in eukaryotes (Section 24-5A), is among

FIG. 5-23 Rates of evolution of four proteins. The graph was constructed by plotting the number of different amino acid residues in the proteins on two sides of a branch point of a phylogenetic tree versus the time, according to the fossil record, since the corresponding species diverged from their common ancestor. [Illustration, Irving Geis/ Geis Archives Trust. Copyright Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Reproduced with permission.]

the most highly conserved proteins (the histones H4 from peas and cows, species that diverged 1.2 billion years ago, differ by only two conservative changes in their 102 residues). Evidently, histone H4 is so finely tuned to its function of packaging DNA in cells that it is extremely intolerant of any mutations. Cytochrome *c* is only slightly more tolerant. It is a relatively small protein that binds to several other proteins. Hence, any changes in its amino acid sequence must be compatible with all its binding partners (or they would have to simultaneously mutate to accommodate the altered cytochrome *c,* an unlikely event). Hemoglobin, which functions as a free-floating molecule, is subject to less selective pressure than histone H4 or cytochrome *c,* so its surface residues are more easily substituted by other amino acids. The **fibrinopeptides** are ~20-residue fragments that are cleaved from the vertebrate protein **fibrinogen** to induce blood clotting (Box 11-4). Once they have been removed, the fibrinopeptides are discarded, so that they are subject to little selective pressure to maintain their amino acid sequences.

The rate of protein evolution also depends on the protein's structural stability. For example, a mutation that slowed the rate at which a newly synthesized polypeptide chain folds into its functional three-dimensional shape could affect the cell's survival, even if the protein ultimately functioned normally. Such mutations would be especially critical for proteins that are produced at high levels, since the not-yet-folded proteins could swamp the cell's protein-folding mechanisms. In fact, the genes for highly expressed proteins appear to evolve more slowly than the genes for rarely expressed proteins.

Mutational changes in proteins do not account for all evolutionary changes among organisms. The DNA sequences that control the expression of proteins (Chapters 26, 27, and 28) are also subject to mutation. These sequences control where, when, and how much of the corresponding protein is made. Thus, although the proteins of humans and chimpanzees are >99% identical on average (e.g., their cytochromes *c* are identical), their anatomical and behavioral differences are so great that they are classified as belonging to different families.

Many Proteins Contain Domains That Occur in Other Proteins. Gene duplication is not the only mechanism that generates new proteins. Analysis of protein sequences has revealed that many proteins, particularly those made by eukaryotes, are mosaics of sequence motifs or domains of about 40–100 amino acid residues.

FIG. 5-24 Construction of some multidomain proteins. Each shape represents a segment of ~40 to 100 residues that appears, with some sequence variation, several times in the same protein or in a number of related proteins. (*a*) **Fibronectin,** an ~500-kD protein of the extracellular matrix, is composed mostly of repeated domains of three types. (*b*) Some of the proteins that participate in blood clotting are built from a small set of domains. (The epidermal growth factor domain is so named because it was first observed as a component of **epidermal growth factor.**) [After Baron, M., Norman, D.G., and Campbell, I.D., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **16,** 14 (1991).]

CHECKPOINT

- How can sequence comparisons reveal which amino acid residues are essential for a protein's function?
- Using Table 5-6, identify some invariant, conservative, and hypervariable positions. What types of amino acids appear at conservatively substituted sites?
- Explain how the number of amino acid differences between homologous proteins can be used to construct a phylogenetic tree.
- Explain the origin of orthologous proteins, paralogous proteins, and multidomain proteins.
- Why do different proteins appear to evolve at different rates?

These domains occur in several other proteins in the same organism and may be repeated numerous times within a given protein (Fig. 5-24*a*). For example, most of the proteins involved in blood clotting are composed of sets of smaller domains (Fig. 5-24*b*). The sequence identity between homologous domains is imperfect since each domain evolves independently. The functions of individual domains are not always known: Some appear to have discrete activities, such as catalyzing a certain chemical reaction or binding a particular molecule, but others may merely be spacers or scaffolding for other domains.

We will see in Section 25-6C how gene segments encoding protein domains are copied and inserted into other positions in a genome to generate new genes that encode proteins with novel sequences. Such domain shuffling is a much faster process than the duplication of an entire gene followed by its evolution of a new functionality. Nevertheless, both mechanisms have played important roles in the evolution of proteins.

Summary

1 Polypeptide Diversity

- The properties of proteins depend largely on the sizes and sequences of their component polypeptides.

2 Protein Purification and Analysis

- Protein purification requires controlled conditions such as pH and temperature, and a means to quantify the protein (an assay).
- Fractionation procedures are used to purify proteins on the basis of solubility, charge, polarity, size, and binding specificity.
- Differences in solubility permit proteins to be concentrated and purified by salting out.
- Chromatography, the separation of soluble substances by their rate of movement through an insoluble matrix, is a technique for purifying molecules by charge (ion exchange chromatography), hydrophobicity (hydrophobic interaction chromatography), size (gel filtration chromatography), and binding specificity (affinity chromatography). Binding and elution often depend on the salt concentration and pH.
- Electrophoresis separates molecules by charge and size; SDS-PAGE separates them primarily by size. 2D electrophoresis can resolve thousands of proteins.
- A macromolecule's rate of sedimentation in an ultracentrifuge is related to its mass.

3 Protein Sequencing

- Analysis of a protein's sequence begins with end group analysis, to determine the number of different subunits, and the cleavage of disulfide bonds.
- Polypeptides are cleaved into fragments suitable for sequencing, either by Edman degradation, in which residues are removed, one at a time, from the N-terminus, or by mass spectrometry.
- A protein's sequence is reconstructed from the sequences of overlapping peptide fragments and from information about the locations of disulfide bonds. The sequences of numerous proteins are archived in publicly available databases.

4 Protein Evolution

- Proteins evolve through changes in primary structure. Protein sequences can be compared to construct phylogenetic trees and to identify essential amino acid residues.
- New proteins arise as a result of the duplication of genes or gene segments specifying protein domains, followed by their divergence.

Key Terms

primary structure **98**
peptide **98**
multisubunit protein **98**
subunit **98**
denaturation **100**
protease **101**
nuclease **101**
assay **101**
coupled enzymatic reaction **101**
immunoassay **101**
antibody **101**
antigen **101**
RIA **101**
ELISA **101**
Beer–Lambert law **101**
absorbance (A) **101**
absorptivity (ε) **101**
chromophore **102**
fractionation procedure **102**
salting in **102**
salting out **102**
chromatography **103**
HPLC **103**
ion exchange chromatography **103**
anion exchanger **103**
cation exchanger **103**
polyelectrolyte **103**
elution **104**
eluant **104**
hydrophobic interaction chromatography **104**
gel filtration chromatography **105**
affinity chromatography **106**
ligand **106**
immunoaffinity chromatography **106**
metal chelate affinity chromatography **106**
PAGE **106**
autoradiography **106**
immunoblot (Western blot) **107**
SDS-PAGE **107**
capillary electrophoresis **107**
IEF **108**
two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis **108**
proteomics **108**
ultracentrifugation **108**
Svedberg (S) **109**
density gradient **109**
mercaptan **113**
endopeptidase **114**
exopeptidase **114**
limited proteolysis **114**
Edman degradation **114**
mass spectrometry **117**
ESI **117**
protein mass fingerprinting **117**
homologous proteins **122**
invariant residue **122**
conservative substitution **122**
hypervariable residue **122**
neutral drift **122**
phylogenetic tree **122**
domain **123**
orthologous proteins **124**
gene duplication **124**
paralogous proteins **124**
pseudogenes **124**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. It has been hypothesized that early life-forms used only eight different amino acids to build small peptides. How many different 10-residue peptides could be constructed from eight amino acids?

2. If insulin (Fig. 5-1) were treated with mercaptoethanol to break its disulfide bonds, and then the mercaptoethanol were removed so that disulfide bonds could re-form, how many different ways could the two polypeptide chains become linked to each other (assuming that one or two disulfide bonds can form between the two chains)?

3. Which peptide has greater absorbance at 280 nm?

A. Gln–Leu–Glu–Phe–Thr–Leu–Asp–Gly–Tyr

B. Ser–Val–Trp–Asp–Phe–Gly–Tyr–Trp–Ala

4. Protein X has an absorptivity of 0.4 $mL \cdot mg^{-1} \cdot cm^{-1}$ at 280 nm. What is the absorbance at 280 nm of a 2.0 $mg \cdot mL^{-1}$ solution of protein X? (Assume the light path is 1 cm.)

5. You are using ammonium sulfate to purify protein Q ($pI = 5.0$) by salting out from a solution at pH 7.0. How should you adjust the pH of the mixture to maximize the amount of protein Q that precipitates?

6. The blood of individuals with cryoglobulinemia contains proteins, mostly immunoglobulins, that, in contrast to most blood proteins, become less soluble at cold temperatures. Explain why a prominent symptom of cyroglobulinemia is damage to blood vessels and nearby cells in the skin.

7. (a) In what order would the amino acids Arg, His, and Leu be eluted from a carboxymethyl column at pH 6? (b) In what order would Glu, Lys, and Val be eluted from a diethylaminoethyl column at pH 8?

8. Explain how you could use a column containing carboxymethyl groups to separate serum albumin and ribonuclease A (see Table 5-3).

9. Explain why a certain protein has an apparent molecular mass of 90 kD when determined by gel filtration and 60 kD when determined by SDS-PAGE in the presence or absence of 2-mercaptoethanol. Which molecular mass determination is more accurate?

10. Determine the subunit composition of a protein from the following information:

Molecular mass by gel filtration: 200 kD

Molecular mass by SDS-PAGE: 100 kD

Molecular mass by SDS-PAGE with 2-mercaptoethanol: 40 kD and 60 kD

11. Explain why a protein, which has a sedimentation coefficient of 2.6S when ultracentrifuged in a solution containing 0.1 M NaCl, has a sedimentation coefficient of 4.3S in a solution containing 1 M NaCl.

12. A protein has an apparent mass of 800 kD by gel filtration chromatography, but SDS-PAGE shows a single band at a position corresponding to 200 kD. In an ultracentrifuge, will the protein exhibit a sedimentation coefficient corresponding to 200 kD or 800 kD?

13. Explain why the dansyl chloride treatment of a single polypeptide chain followed by its complete acid hydrolysis yields several dansylated amino acids.

14. Identify the first residue obtained by Edman degradation of cytochrome *c* from (a) *Drosophila,* (b) baker's yeast, and (c) wheat germ (see Table 5-6).

15. A pentapeptide has the sequence NNKNN (using one-letter symbols for amino acids). Calculate the mass of this peptide, as determined by mass spectrometry, to three significant figures.

16. The peptide in Problem 15 was sequenced by tandem mass spectrometry. What are the expected masses of the peptide fragments obtained by this method?

17. In site-directed mutagenesis experiments, Gly is often successfully substituted for Val, but Val can rarely substitute for Gly. Explain.

18. Below is a list of the first 10 residues of the B helix in myoglobin from different organisms.

Position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Human	D	I	P	G	H	G	Q	E	V	L
Chicken	D	I	A	G	H	G	H	E	V	L
Alligator	K	L	P	E	H	G	H	E	V	I
Turtle	D	L	S	A	H	G	Q	E	V	I
Tuna	D	Y	T	T	M	G	G	L	V	L
Carp	D	F	E	G	T	G	G	E	V	L

Based on this information, which positions (a) appear unable to tolerate substitutions, (b) can tolerate conservative substitution, and (c) are highly variable?

19. Sketch a phylogenetic tree for the family of homologous proteins whose partial sequences are given below.

Protein A	T	L	A	D	K	A	I	S	L	H	D	S
Protein B	T	L	G	D	K	A	V	S	I	H	E	S
Protein C	T	L	A	D	K	A	I	S	V	H	D	S

20. Draw a different phylogenetic tree based on the data in Problem 19.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. Explain how you would use salting out to prepare a more concentrated solution of a protein.

22. You are trying to purify a protein that is soluble in a solution of 2 M ammonium sulfate. After centrifugation to remove other proteins that have precipitated at this high salt concentration, you recover the supernatant to assay the target protein's activity in a cell culture system. (a) Explain why the cells die when incubated with the supernatant. (b) What procedure could you perform to correct the problem? (*Hint*: See Section 2-1D).

23. What fractionation procedure could be used to purify protein 1 from a mixture of three proteins whose amino acid compositions are as follows?

1. 25% Ala, 20% Gly, 20% Ser, 10% Ile, 10% Val, 5% Asn, 5% Gln, 5% Pro
2. 30% Gln, 25% Glu, 20% Lys, 15% Ser, 10% Cys
3. 25% Asn, 20% Gly, 20% Asp, 20% Ser, 10% Lys, 5% Tyr

All three proteins are similar in size and p*I*, and there is no antibody available for protein 1.

24. Consult Table 5-1 to complete the following: (a) On a plot of absorbance at 280 nm versus elution volume, sketch the results of gel filtration of a mixture containing human cytochrome *c* and bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase and identify each peak. (b) Sketch the results of SDS-PAGE of the same protein mixture showing the direction of migration and identifying each band.

25. Purification tables are often used to keep track of the yield and purification of a protein. The specific activity is a ratio of the amount of the protein of interest, in this case Mb, obtained at a given step (μmol or enzyme units) divided by the amount (mg) of total protein. The yield is the ratio of the amount of the protein of interest obtained at a given step (μmol or enzyme units) divided by the original amount present in the crude extract, often converted to percent yield by multiplying by 100. The fold purification is the ratio of the specific activity of the purified protein to that of the crude preparation.

(a) For the purification table below, calculate the specific activity, % yield, and fold purification for the empty cells.

(b) Which step—DEAE or affinity chromatography—causes the greatest loss of Mb?

(c) Which step causes the greater purification of Mb?

(d) If you wanted to use only one purification step, which technique would you choose?

26. A 50-mL crude extract of skeletal muscle contains 32 mg of protein per mL. Ten μL of the extract catalyzes a reaction at a rate of 0.14 μmol product formed per minute. The extract was fractionated by ammonium sulfate precipitation, and the fraction precipitating between 20% and 40% saturation was redissolved in10 mL. This solution contains 50 mg/mL protein. Ten μL of this purified fraction catalyzes the reaction at a rate of 0.65 μmol/min. (a) What is the degree of purification (fold purification)? (b) What is the percent yield of the enzyme recovered in the purified fraction?

27. You must cleave the following peptide into smaller fragments. Which of the proteases listed in Table 5-4 would be likely to yield the most fragments? The fewest?

NMTQGRCKPVNTFVHEPLVDVQNVCFKE

28. You wish to determine the sequence of a polypeptide that has the following amino acid composition.

1 Ala	4 Arg	2 Asn	3 Asp	4 Cys	3 Gly	1 Gln	4 Glu
1 His	1 Lys	1 Met	1 Phe	2 Pro	4 Ser	2 Tyr	1 Trp

(a) What is the maximum number of peptides you can expect if you cleave the polypeptide with cyanogen bromide?

(b) What is the maximum number of peptides you can expect if you cleave the polypeptide with chymotrypsin?

(c) Analysis of the intact polypeptide reveals that there are no free sulfhydryl groups. How many disulfide bonds are likely to be present?

(d) How many different arrangements of disulfide bonds are possible?

29. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) of proteins involves creating positively charged ions of the protein and separating them according to their mass-to-charge ratio *(m/z)*.

(a) What causes the different positive charges on different particles of the protein?

(b) The amino acid composition (in numbers of residues per chain) of hen egg-white lysozyme (HEWL) is as follows:

P 2	Y 3	N 14	H 1
D 7	M 2	L 8	E 2
C 8	R 11	G 12	F 3
A 12	I 6	K 6	V 6
S 10	W 6	T 7	Q 3

What is the maximum positive charge that can be present on a HEWL ion?

Purification Table for Problem 25

Step	Total Protein (mg)	Mb (μmol)	Specific Activity (μmol Mb/mg Total Protein)	% Yield	Fold Purification
1. Crude extract	1550	0.75		100	1
2. DEAE-cellulose chromatography	550	0.35			
3. Affinity chromatography	5.0	0.28			

30. (a) The ESI-MS spectrum below was obtained for hen egg-white lysozyme (HEWL). Using peaks 5 and 6, calculate the molecular mass of HEWL (see Sample Calculation 5-1).

[http://www.astbury.leeds.ac.uk/facil/MStut/mstutorial.htm. Published by A.E. Ashcroft, Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds (2000)]

(b) What is the charge on the ion that makes peak 5?

31. You wish to determine the sequence of a short peptide. Cleavage with trypsin yields three smaller peptides with the sequences Leu–Glu, Gly–Tyr–Asn–Arg, and Gln–Ala–Phe–Val–Lys. Cleavage with chymotrypsin yields three peptides with the sequences Gln–Ala–Phe, Asn–Arg–Leu–Glu, and Val–Lys–Gly–Tyr. What is the sequence of the intact peptide?

32. Separate cleavage reactions of a polypeptide by CNBr and chymotrypsin yield fragments with the following amino acid sequences. What is the sequence of the intact polypeptide?

CNBr treatment

1. Arg–Ala–Tyr–Gly–Asn
2. Leu–Phe–Met
3. Asp–Met

Chymotrypsin

4. Met–Arg–Ala–Tyr
5. Asp–Met–Leu–Phe
6. Gly–Asn

33. Treatment of a polypeptide with 2-mercaptoethanol yields two polypeptides:

1. Ala–Val–Cys–Arg–Thr–Gly–Cys–Lys–Asn–Phe–Leu
2. Tyr–Lys–Cys–Phe–Arg–His–Thr–Lys–Cys–Ser

Treatment of the intact polypeptide with trypsin yields fragments with the following amino acid compositions:

3. (Ala, Arg, Cys_2, Ser, Val)
4. (Arg, Cys_2, Gly, Lys, Thr, Phe)
5. (Asn, Leu, Phe)
6. (His, Lys, Thr)
7. (Lys, Tyr)

Indicate the positions of the disulfide bonds in the intact polypeptide.

34. You wish to sequence the light chain of a protease inhibitor from the *Brassica nigra* plant. Cleavage of the light chain by trypsin and chymotrypsin yields the following fragments. What is the sequence of the light chain?

Chymotrypsin

1. Leu–His–Lys–Gln–Ala–Asn–Gln–Ser–Gly–Gly–Gly–Pro–Ser
2. Gln–Gln–Ala–Gln–His–Leu–Arg–Ala–Cys–Gln–Gln–Trp
3. Arg–Ile–Pro–Lys–Cys–Arg–Lys–Phe

Trypsin

4. Arg
5. Ala–Cys–Gln–Gln–Trp–Leu–His–Lys
6. Cys–Arg
7. Gln–Ala–Asn–Gln–Ser–Gly–Gly–Gly–Pro–Ser
8. Phe–Gln–Gln–Ala–Gln–His–Leu–Arg
9. Ile–Pro–Lys
10. Lys

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Globin Sequence Alignment and Evolution

Exercise 2 Protein Properties and Purification Methods

Exercise 3 Sequence Alignment of Subunit 1 of Cytochrome *c* Oxidase

Exercise 4 Using BLAST to Identify an Unknown Protein

Exercise 5 Proteolytic and Chemical Fragmentation of Green Fluorescent Protein

Extended Exercises Bioinformatics projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 2 Using Databases to Compare and Identify Related Protein Sequences

1. **Obtaining Sequences from BLAST.** Using a known protein sequence, find and retrieve the sequences of related proteins from other organisms.
2. **Multiple Sequence Alignment.** Examine the various sequences for similarities.
3. **Phylogenetic Trees.** Set up and interpret phylogenetic trees to explore the evolutionary relationships between related protein sequences.
4. **One-Dimensional Electrophoresis.** Perform an SDS-PAGE electrophoresis simulation with known and unknown proteins.
5. **Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis.** Explore the predicted and observed electrophoretic parameters (p*I*, molecular mass, and fragmentation pattern) for a known protein.

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 2 Histidine–Proline-Rich Glycoprotein as a Plasma pH Sensor

Focus concept: A histidine–proline-rich glycoprotein may serve as a plasma sensor and regulate local pH in extracellular fluid during ischemia or metabolic acidosis.

Prerequisites: Chapters 4 and 5

- Acidic/basic properties of amino acids
- Amino acid structure and protein structure

MORE TO EXPLORE Select one of the proteins listed in Table 5-1 or 5-3. How is this protein purified? What is the source of the protein? What types of chromatography are typically used? What chemical or biological feature is used to detect it or quantify it? Is there anything unusual about its amino acid sequence? Does it contain any disulfide bonds? Is it present only in animals or does it also occur in prokaryotes?

References

Protein Purification

Boyer, R.F., *Biochemistry Laboratory: Modern Theory and Techniques* (2nd ed.), Benjamin Cummings (2012).

Burgess, R.R. and Deutscher, M.P. (Eds.), *Guide to Protein Purification* (2nd ed.), *Methods Enzymol.* **463** (2009).

Janson, J.-C. (Ed.), *Protein Purification: Principles, High Resolution Methods, and Applications* (3rd ed.), Wiley (2011). [Contains detailed discussions of a variety of chromatographic and electrophoretic separation techniques.]

Ninfa, A.J., Ballou, D.P., and Benore, M., *Fundamental Laboratory Approaches for Biochemistry and Biotechnology* (2nd ed.), Wiley (2010).

Simpson, R.J., Adams, P.D., and Golemis, E.A. (Eds.), *Basic Methods in Protein Purification and Analysis. A Laboratory Manual,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2009).

Tanford, C. and Reynolds, J., *Nature's Robots: A History of Proteins,* Oxford University Press (2001). [Descriptions of some early discoveries related to the nature of proteins and their purification and analysis.]

Protein Sequencing

Aebersold, R. and Mann, M., Mass spectrometry-based proteomics, *Nature* **422,** 198–207 (2003). [Describes some of the methods of mass spectrometric analysis of proteins as well as current and potential applications.]

Findlay, J.B.C. and Geisow, M.J. (Eds.), *Protein Sequencing. A Practical Approach,* IRL Press (1989).

Fernández-Suárez, X.M., Rigden, D.J., and Galperin, M.Y. The 2015 *Nucleic Acids Research* database issue and online database collection. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43,** Database issue D1–D8 (2015). [This and other articles in the Database Issue describe the features and potential uses of various protein and DNA sequence databases. Freely available at http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/.]

Steen, H. and Mann, M., The abc's (and xyz's) of peptide sequencing, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **5,** 699–711 (2004). [A review of mass spectrometry-based proteomics.]

Protein Evolution

Baxevanis, A.D. and Ouellette, B.F.F. (Eds.), *Bioinformatics, A Practical Guide to the Analysis of Genes and Proteins* (3rd ed.), Wiley–Interscience (2005).

Doolittle, R.F., Feng, D.-F., Tsang, S., Cho, G., and Little, E., Determining divergence times of the major kingdoms of living organisms with a protein clock, *Science* **271,** 470–477 (1996). [Demonstrates how protein sequences can be used to draw phylogenetic trees.]

Kuriyan, J., Konforti, B., and Wemmer, D., *The Molecules of Life. Physical and Chemical Principles,* Chap. 5, Garland Science (2013).

Lesk, A.M., *Introduction to Bioinformatics* (4th ed.), Oxford University Press (2014).

Mount, D.W., *Bioinformatics: Sequence and Genome Analysis* (2nd ed.), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2004).

Pál, C., Papp, B., and Lercher, M.J., An integrated view of protein evolution, *Nature Reviews Genetics* **7,** 337–348 (2006). [Discusses some of the factors that contribute to the variable rate of evolution among proteins, including protein dispensability and expression level.]

Olga Khoroshunova/iStockphoto

The blue plumage of this penguin, *Eudyptula minor*, is not due to the presence of blue pigment molecules but results from the light scattered by parallel bundles of the protein beta keratin.

CHAPTER 6

Proteins: Three-Dimensional Structure

Chapter Contents

1 **Secondary Structure**
- A The Planar Peptide Group Limits Polypeptide Conformations
- B The Most Common Regular Secondary Structures Are the α Helix and the β Sheet
- C Fibrous Proteins Have Repeating Secondary Structures
- D Most Proteins Include Nonrepetitive Structure

2 **Tertiary Structure**
- A Protein Structures Are Determined by X-Ray Crystallography, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, or Cryo-Electron Microscopy
- B Side Chain Location Varies with Polarity
- C Tertiary Structures Contain Combinations of Secondary Structure
- D Structure Is Conserved More Than Sequence
- E Structural Bioinformatics Provides Tools for Storing, Visualizing, and Comparing Protein Structural Information

3 **Quaternary Structure and Symmetry**

4 **Protein Stability**
- A Proteins Are Stabilized by Several Forces
- B Proteins Can Undergo Denaturation and Renaturation
- C Proteins Are Dynamic

5 **Protein Folding**
- A Proteins Follow Folding Pathways
- B Molecular Chaperones Assist Protein Folding
- C Many Diseases Are Caused by Protein Misfolding

For many years, it was thought that proteins were colloids of random structure and that the enzymatic activities of certain crystallized proteins were due to unknown entities associated with an inert protein carrier. In 1934, J.D. Bernal and Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin showed that a crystal of the protein **pepsin** yielded a discrete diffraction pattern when placed in an X-ray beam. This result provided convincing evidence that pepsin was not a random colloid, but an ordered array of atoms organized into a large yet uniquely structured molecule.

Even relatively small proteins contain thousands of atoms, almost all of which occupy definite positions in space. The first X-ray structure of a protein, that of sperm whale myoglobin, was reported in 1958 by John Kendrew and coworkers. At the time—only 5 years after James Watson and Francis Crick had elucidated the simple and elegant structure of DNA (Section 3-2B)—protein chemists were chagrined by the complexity and apparent lack of regularity in the structure of myoglobin. In retrospect, such irregularity seems essential for proteins to fulfill their diverse biological roles. However, comparisons of the ~110,000 protein structures now known have revealed that proteins actually exhibit a remarkable degree of structural regularity.

As we saw in Section 5-1, the primary structure of a protein is its linear sequence of amino acids. In discussing protein structure, three further levels of structural complexity are customarily invoked:

- **Secondary structure** is the local spatial arrangement of a polypeptide's backbone atoms without regard to the conformations of its side chains.
- **Tertiary structure** refers to the three-dimensional structure of an entire polypeptide, including its side chains.

- Many proteins are composed of two or more polypeptide chains, loosely referred to as subunits. A protein's **quaternary structure** refers to the spatial arrangement of its subunits.

The four levels of protein structure are summarized in Fig. 6-1.

In this chapter, we explore secondary through quaternary structures, including examples of proteins that illustrate each of these levels. We also discuss the process of protein folding and the forces that stabilize folded proteins.

1 Secondary Structure

KEY CONCEPTS

- The planar character of the peptide group limits the conformational flexibility of the polypeptide chain.
- The α helix and the β sheet allow the polypeptide chain to adopt favorable ϕ and ψ angles and to form hydrogen bonds.
- Fibrous proteins contain long stretches of regular secondary structure, such as the coiled coils in α keratin and the triple helix in collagen.
- Not all polypeptide segments form regular secondary structures such as α helices or β sheets.

Protein secondary structure includes the regular polypeptide folding patterns such as helices, sheets, and turns. However, before we discuss these basic structural elements, we must consider the geometric properties of peptide groups, which underlie all higher order structures.

A The Planar Peptide Group Limits Polypeptide Conformations

Recall from Section 4-1B that a polypeptide is a polymer of amino acid residues linked by amide (peptide) bonds. In the 1930s and 1940s, Linus Pauling and

FIG. 6-1 Levels of protein structure. (*a*) Primary structure, (*b*) secondary structure, (*c*) tertiary structure, and (*d*) quaternary structure. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

FIG. 6-2 The trans peptide group. The bond lengths (in angstroms) and angles (in degrees) are derived from X-ray crystal structures. [After Marsh, R.E. and Donohue, J., *Adv. Protein Chem.* **22,** 249 (1967).]

Robert Corey determined the X-ray structures of several amino acids and dipeptides in an effort to elucidate the conformational constraints on a polypeptide chain. These studies indicated that *the **peptide group** has a rigid, planar structure as a consequence of resonance interactions that give the peptide bond ~40% double-bond character:*

This explanation is supported by the observations that a peptide group's C—N bond is 0.13 Å shorter than its N—C_α single bond and that its C═O bond is 0.02 Å longer than that of aldehydes and ketones. The planar conformation maximizes π-bonding overlap, which accounts for the peptide group's rigidity.

Peptide groups, with few exceptions, assume the **trans conformation**, in which successive C_α atoms are on opposite sides of the peptide bond joining them (**Fig. 6-2**). The **cis conformation**, in which successive C_α atoms are on the same side of the peptide bond, is ~8 kJ · mol^{-1} less stable than the trans conformation because of steric interference between neighboring side chains. However, this steric interference is reduced in peptide bonds to Pro residues, so *~10% of the Pro residues in proteins follow a cis peptide bond.*

Torsion Angles between Peptide Groups Describe Polypeptide Chain Conformations. The **backbone** or **main chain** of a protein refers to the atoms that participate in peptide bonds, ignoring the side chains of the amino acid residues. The backbone can be drawn as a linked sequence of rigid planar peptide groups (**Fig. 6-3**). *The conformation of the backbone can therefore be described by the **torsion angles*** (also called **dihedral angles** or rotation angles) *around the C_α—N bond (ϕ) and the C_α—C bond (ψ) of each residue*

FIG. 6-3 Extended conformation of a polypeptide. The backbone is shown as a series of planar peptide groups. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

FIG. 6-4 Torsion angles of the polypeptide backbone. Two planar peptide groups are shown. The only reasonably free movements are rotations around the C_α—N bond (measured as ϕ) and the C_α—C bond (measured as ψ). By convention, both ϕ and ψ are 180° in the conformation shown and increase, as indicated, when the peptide plane is rotated in the clockwise direction as viewed from C_α. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

(Fig. 6-4). These angles, ϕ and ψ, are both defined as 180° when the polypeptide chain is in its fully extended conformation and increase clockwise when viewed from C_α.

The conformational freedom, and therefore the torsion angles of a polypeptide backbone, are sterically constrained. Rotation around the C_α—N and C_α—C bonds to form certain combinations of ϕ and ψ angles will cause the amide hydrogen, the carbonyl oxygen, or the substituents of C_α of adjacent residues to collide (e.g., Fig. 6-5). Certain conformations of longer polypeptides can similarly produce collisions between residues that are far apart in sequence.

The Ramachandran Diagram Indicates Allowed Conformations of Polypeptides. The sterically allowed values of ϕ and ψ can be calculated. Sterically forbidden conformations, such as the one shown in Fig. 6-5, have ϕ and ψ values that would bring atoms closer than the corresponding van der Waals distance (the distance of closest contact between nonbonded atoms). Such information is summarized in a **Ramachandran diagram** (Fig. 6-6), which is named after its inventor, G. N. Ramachandran.

FIG. 6-5 Steric interference between adjacent peptide groups. Rotation can result in a conformation in which the amide hydrogen of one residue and the carbonyl oxygen of the next are closer than their van der Waals distance. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

FIG. 6-6 The Ramachandran diagram. The blue-shaded regions indicate the sterically allowed ϕ and ψ angles for all residues except Gly and Pro. The green-shaded regions indicate the more crowded (outer limit) ϕ and ψ angles. The yellow circles represent conformational angles of several secondary structures: α, right-handed α helix; ↑↑, parallel β sheet; ↑↓, antiparallel β sheet; C, collagen helix; α_L, left-handed α helix.

Most areas of the Ramachandran diagram (most combinations of ϕ and ψ) represent forbidden conformations of a polypeptide chain. Only three small regions of the diagram are physically accessible to most residues. The observed ϕ and ψ values of accurately determined structures nearly always fall within these allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot. There are, however, some notable exceptions:

1. The cyclic side chain of Pro limits its range of ϕ values to angles of around −60°, making it, not surprisingly, the most conformationally restricted amino acid residue.
2. Gly, the only residue without a C_β atom, is much less sterically hindered than the other amino acid residues. Hence, its permissible range of ϕ and ψ covers a larger area of the Ramachandran diagram. At Gly residues, polypeptide chains often assume conformations that are forbidden to other residues.

B The Most Common Regular Secondary Structures Are the α Helix and the β Sheet

A few elements of protein secondary structure are so widespread that they are immediately recognizable in proteins with widely differing amino acid sequences. Both the **α helix** and the **β sheet** are such elements; they are called **regular secondary structures** because they are composed of sequences of residues with repeating ϕ and ψ values.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Stable helices in proteins: the α helix

The α Helix Has a Regularly Repeating Structure. Only one polypeptide helix has both a favorable hydrogen bonding pattern and ϕ and ψ values that fall within the fully allowed regions of the Ramachandran diagram: the α helix. Its discovery by Linus Pauling in 1951, through model building, ranks as one of the landmarks of structural biochemistry (Box 6-1).

Box 6-1 Pathways of Discovery Linus Pauling and Structural Biochemistry

Linus Pauling (1901–1994) Linus Pauling, the only person to have been awarded two unshared Nobel prizes, is clearly the dominant figure in twentieth-century chemistry and one of the greatest scientific figures of all time. He received his B.Sc. in chemical engineering from Oregon Agricultural College (now Oregon State University) in 1922 and his Ph.D. in chemistry from the California Institute of Technology in 1925, where he spent most of his career.

The major theme throughout Pauling's long scientific life was the study of molecular structures and the nature of the chemical bond. He began this career by using the then recently invented technique of X-ray crystallography to determine the structures of simple minerals and inorganic salts. At that time, methods for solving the phase problem (Box 7-2) were unknown, so X-ray structures could be determined only using trial-and-error techniques. This limited the possible molecules that could be effectively studied to those with few atoms and high symmetry such that their atomic coordinates could be fully described by only a few parameters (rather than the three-dimensional coordinates of each of its atoms). Pauling realized that the positions of atoms in molecules were governed by fixed atomic radii, bond distances, and bond angles and used this information to make educated guesses about molecular structures. This greatly extended the complexity of the molecules whose structures could be determined.

In his next major contribution, occurring in 1931, Pauling revolutionized the way that chemists viewed molecules by applying the then infant field of quantum mechanics to chemistry. Pauling formulated the theories of orbital hybridization, electron-pair bonding, and resonance and thereby explained the nature of covalent bonds. This work was summarized in his highly influential monograph, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond,* which was first published in 1938.

In the mid-1930s, Pauling turned his attention to biological chemistry. He began these studies in collaboration with his colleague, Robert Corey, by determining the X-ray structures of several amino acids and dipeptides. At that time, the X-ray structural determination of even such small molecules required around a year of intense effort, largely because the numerous calculations required to solve a structure had to be made by hand (electronic computers had yet to be invented). Nevertheless, these studies led Pauling and Corey to the conclusions that the peptide bond is planar, which Pauling explained from resonance considerations (Section 6-1A), and that hydrogen bonding plays a central role in maintaining macromolecular structures.

In the 1940s, Pauling made several unsuccessful attempts to determine whether polypeptides have any preferred conformations. Then, in 1948, while visiting Oxford University, he was confined to bed by a cold. He eventually tired of reading detective stories and science fiction and again turned his attention to proteins. By folding drawings of polypeptides in various ways, he discovered the α helix, whose existence was rapidly confirmed by X-ray studies of α keratin (Section 6-1C). This work was reported in 1951, and later that year Pauling and Corey also proposed both the parallel and antiparallel β pleated sheets. For these groundbreaking insights, Pauling received the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1954, although α helices and β sheets were not actually visualized until the first X-ray structures of proteins were determined, five to ten years later.

Pauling made numerous additional pioneering contributions to biological chemistry, most notably that the heme group in hemoglobin changes its electronic state on binding oxygen (Section 7-1A), that vertebrate hemoglobins are $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramers (Section 7-1B), that the denaturation of proteins is caused by the unfolding of their polypeptide chains, that sickle-cell anemia is caused by a mutation in the β chain of normal adult hemoglobin (the first so-called molecular disease to be characterized; Section 7-1E), that molecular complementarity plays an important role in antibody–antigen interactions (Section 7-3B) and, by extension, all macromolecular interactions, that enzymes catalyze reactions by preferentially binding their transition states (Section 11-3E), and that the comparison of the sequences of the corresponding proteins in different organisms yields evolutionary insights (Section 5-4).

Pauling was also a lively and stimulating lecturer who for many years taught a general chemistry course [which one of the authors of this textbook (DV) had the privilege of taking]. His textbook, *General Chemistry,* revolutionized the way that introductory chemistry was taught by presenting it as a subject that could be understood in terms of atomic physics and molecular structure. For a book of such generality, an astounding portion of its subject matter had been elucidated by its author. Pauling's amazing grasp of chemistry was demonstrated by the fact that he dictated each chapter of the textbook in a single sitting.

By the late 1940s, Pauling became convinced that the possibility of nuclear war posed an enormous danger to humanity and calculated that the radioactive fallout from each aboveground test of a nuclear bomb would ultimately cause cancer in thousands of people. He therefore began a campaign to educate the public about the hazards of bomb testing and nuclear war. The political climate in the United States at the time was such that the government considered Pauling to be subversive and his passport was revoked (and returned only two weeks before he was to leave for Sweden to receive his first Nobel prize). Nevertheless, Pauling persisted in this campaign, which culminated, in 1962, with the signing of the first nuclear test ban treaty. For his efforts, Pauling was awarded the 1962 Nobel Peace Prize.

Pauling saw science as the search for the truth, which included politics and social causes. In his later years, he became a vociferous promoter of what he called orthomolecular medicine, the notion that large doses of vitamins could ward off and cure many human diseases, including cancer. In the best known manifestation of this concept, Pauling advocated taking large doses of vitamin C to prevent the common cold and lessen its symptoms, advice still followed by millions of people, although the medical evidence supporting this notion is scant. It should be noted, however, that Pauling, who followed his own advice, remained active until he died in 1994 at the age of 93.

FIG. 6-7 The α helix. This right-handed helical conformation has 3.6 residues per turn. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds between C═O groups and N—H groups that are four residues farther along the polypeptide chain. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? How many amino acid residues are in this helix? How many intrachain hydrogen bonds?

The α helix (**Fig. 6-7**) is right-handed; that is, it turns in the direction that the fingers of a right hand curl when its thumb points in the direction that the helix rises (Fig. 3-7). The α helix, which ideally has $\phi = -57°$ and $\psi = -47°$, has 3.6 residues per turn and a **pitch** (the distance the helix rises along its axis per turn) of 5.4 Å. The α helices of proteins have an average length of ~12 residues, which corresponds to more than three helical turns, and a length of ~18 Å.

In the α helix, the backbone hydrogen bonds are arranged such that the peptide C═O bond of the nth residue points along the helix axis toward the peptide N—H group of the (n + 4)th residue. This results in a strong hydrogen bond that has the nearly optimum N···O distance of 2.8 Å. Amino acid side chains project outward and downward from the helix (**Fig. 6-8**), thereby avoiding steric interference with the polypeptide backbone and with each other. The core of the helix is tightly packed; that is, its atoms are in van der Waals contact.

FIG. 6-8 Space-filling model of an α helix. The backbone atoms are colored according to type with C green, N blue, O red, and H white. The side chains (*gold*) project away from the helix. This α helix is a segment of sperm whale myoglobin. [Based on an X-ray structure by Ilme Schlichting, Max Planck Institut für Molekulare Physiologie, Dortmund, Germany. PDBid 1A6M (for the definition of "PDBid" see Section 6-2E).]

FIG. 6-9 β Sheets. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds between polypeptide strands. Side chains are omitted for clarity. (*a*) An antiparallel β sheet. (*b*) A parallel β sheet. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Hydrogen bonding in β sheets

β Sheets Are Formed from Extended Chains. In 1951, the same year Pauling proposed the α helix, Pauling and Corey postulated the existence of a different polypeptide secondary structure, the β sheet. Like the α helix, the β sheet uses the full hydrogen-bonding capacity of the polypeptide backbone. *In β sheets, however, hydrogen bonding occurs between neighboring polypeptide chains rather than within one,* as in an α helix.

Sheets come in two varieties:

1. The **antiparallel β sheet,** in which neighboring hydrogen-bonded polypeptide chains run in opposite directions (Fig. 6-9*a*).
2. The **parallel β sheet,** in which the hydrogen-bonded chains extend in the same direction (Fig. 6-9*b*).

The conformations in which these β structures are optimally hydrogen bonded vary somewhat from that of the fully extended conformation ($\phi = \psi = \pm 180°$) shown in Figs. 6-3 and 6-4. They therefore have a rippled or pleated edge-on appearance (Fig. 6-10) and for that reason are sometimes called "pleated sheets." Note that the side chains in a β sheet (its C_α—C_β bonds) extend perpendicularly to the plane of the sheet, with successive side chains located on its opposite sides. Thus, each strand of a β sheet has a two-residue repeat with a repeat distance of 7.0 Å.

β Sheets in proteins contain 2 to as many as 22 polypeptide strands, with an average of 6 strands. Each strand may contain up to 15 residues, the average being 6 residues. A seven-stranded antiparallel β sheet is shown in Fig. 6-11.

Parallel β sheets containing fewer than five strands are rare. This observation suggests that parallel β sheets are less stable than antiparallel β sheets, possibly because the hydrogen bonds of parallel sheets are distorted compared to those of the antiparallel sheets (Fig. 6-9). β Sheets containing mixtures of parallel and antiparallel strands frequently occur.

FIG. 6-10 Pleated appearance of a β sheet. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. The R groups (*purple*) on each polypeptide chain alternately extend to opposite sides of the sheet and are in register on adjacent chains. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

? How many residues are in this β sheet? How many interchain hydrogen bonds?

β Sheets almost invariably exhibit a pronounced right-handed twist when viewed along their polypeptide strands (**Fig. 6-12**). Conformational energy calculations indicate that the twist is a consequence of interactions between chiral L-amino acid residues in the extended polypeptide chains. The twist distorts and weakens the β sheet's interchain hydrogen bonds. The geometry of a particular β sheet is thus a compromise between optimizing the conformational energies of its polypeptide chains and preserving its hydrogen bonding.

The **topology** (connectivity) of the polypeptide strands in a β sheet can be quite complex. The connection between two antiparallel strands may be just a

FIG. 6-11 Space-filling model of a β sheet. The backbone atoms are colored according to type with C green, N blue, O red, and H white. The R groups are represented by large magenta spheres. This seven-stranded antiparallel β sheet, which is shown with its polypeptide strands approximately horizontal, is from the jack bean protein concanavalin A. [Based on an X-ray structure by Gerald Edelman, The Rockefeller University. PDBid 2CNA.]

FIG. 6-12 X-Ray structure of bovine carboxypeptidase A. The polypeptide backbone is drawn in ribbon form with α helices depicted as cyan coils, the strands of the β sheet represented by flat green arrows pointing toward the C-terminus, and its remaining portions portrayed by orange worms. Side chains are not shown. The eight-stranded β sheet forms a saddle-shaped curved surface with a right-handed twist. [Based on an X-ray structure by William Lipscomb, Harvard University. PDBid 3CPA.]

FIG. 6-13 Connections between adjacent strands in β sheets. (*a*) Antiparallel strands may be connected by a small loop. (*b*) Parallel strands require a more extensive crossover connection that almost always has a right-handed helical sense, as is shown, because it better accommodates a β sheet's inherent right-handed twist. [After Richardson, J.S., *Adv. Protein Chem.* **34,** 196 (1981).]

small loop (**Fig. 6-13*a***), but the link between tandem parallel strands must be a crossover connection that is out of the plane of the β sheet (**Fig. 6-13*b***). The connecting link in either case can be extensive, often containing helices (e.g., Fig. 6-12).

Turns Connect Some Units of Secondary Structure. Polypeptide segments with regular secondary structure, such as α helices or the strands of β sheets, are often joined by stretches of polypeptide that abruptly change direction. Such **reverse turns** or **β bends** (so named because they often connect successive strands of antiparallel β sheets; Fig. 6-13*a*) almost always occur at protein surfaces. They usually involve four successive amino acid residues arranged in one of two ways, Type I and Type II, that differ by a 180° flip of the peptide unit linking residues 2 and 3 (**Fig. 6-14**). Both types of turns are stabilized by a hydrogen bond, although deviations from these ideal conformations often disrupt this hydrogen bond. In Type II turns, the oxygen atom of residue 2 crowds the C_β atom of residue 3, which is therefore usually Gly. Residue 2 of either type of turn is often Pro, since it can assume the required conformation.

C Fibrous Proteins Have Repeating Secondary Structures

Proteins have historically been classified as either **fibrous** or **globular**, depending on their overall morphology. This dichotomy predates methods for determining protein structure on an atomic scale and does not do justice to proteins that contain stiff, elongated, fibrous regions as well as more compact, highly folded, globular regions. Nevertheless, the division helps emphasize the properties of fibrous proteins, which often have a protective, connective, or supportive role in living organisms. The two well-characterized fibrous proteins we discuss here—keratin and collagen—are highly elongated molecules whose shapes are dominated by a single type of secondary structure. They are therefore useful examples of these structural elements.

α Keratin Is a Coiled Coil. Keratin is a mechanically durable and relatively unreactive protein that occurs in all higher vertebrates. It is the principal component of their outer epidermal (skin) layer and its related appendages, such as hair, horn, nails, and feathers. Keratins have been classified as either α keratins, which occur in mammals, or β keratins, which occur in birds and reptiles. Humans have more than 50 keratin genes that are expressed in a tissue-specific manner.

FIG. 6-14 Reverse turns in polypeptide chains. Dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds. (*a*) Type I. (*b*) Type II. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

FIG. 6-15 A coiled coil. (*a*) View down the coil axis showing the alignment of nonpolar residues along one side of each α helix. The helices have the pseudorepeating sequence *a-b-c-d-e-f-g* in which residues *a* and *d* are predominately nonpolar. [After McLachlan, A.D. and Stewart, M., *J. Mol. Biol.* **98,** 295 (1975).] (*b*) Side view of the polypeptide backbones in stick form (*left*) and of the entire polypeptides in space-filling form (*right*). The atoms are colored according to type, with C green in one chain and cyan in the other, N blue, O red, and S yellow. The 81-residue chains are parallel with their N-terminal ends above. Note that in the space-filling model, the side chains of the two polypeptides contact each other. This coiled coil is a portion of the muscle protein tropomyosin (Section 7-2A). [Based on an X-ray structure by Carolyn Cohen, Brandeis University. PDBid 1IC2.]

? Which amino acids would you not expect to occur in a coiled coil structure?

The X-ray diffraction pattern of α keratin resembles that expected for an α helix (hence the name α keratin). However, α keratin exhibits a 5.1-Å spacing rather than the 5.4-Å distance corresponding to the pitch of the α helix. This discrepancy is the result of *two α keratin polypeptides, each of which forms an α helix, twisting around each other to form a left-handed coil.* The normal 5.4-Å repeat distance of each α helix in the pair is thereby tilted relative to the axis of this assembly, yielding the observed 5.1-Å spacing. The assembly is said to have a **coiled coil** structure because each α helix itself follows a helical path.

The conformation of α keratin's coiled coil is a consequence of its primary structure: The central ~310-residue segment of each polypeptide chain has a 7-residue pseudorepeat, *a-b-c-d-e-f-g,* with nonpolar residues predominating at positions *a* and *d.* Because an α helix has 3.6 residues per turn, α keratin's *a* and *d* residues line up along one side of each α helix (**Fig. 6-15*a***). The hydrophobic strip along one helix associates with the hydrophobic strip on another helix. Because the 3.5-residue repeat in α keratin is slightly smaller than the 3.6 residues per turn of a standard α helix, the two keratin helices are inclined about 18° relative to one another, resulting in the coiled coil arrangement (**Fig. 6-15*b***). Coiled coils also occur in numerous other proteins, some of which are globular rather than fibrous.

The higher order structure of α keratin is not well understood. The N- and C-terminal domains of each polypeptide facilitate the assembly of coiled coils (dimers) into protofilaments, two of which constitute a protofibril (**Fig. 6-16**). Four protofibrils constitute a microfibril, which associates with other microfibrils to form a macrofibril. A single mammalian hair consists of layers of dead cells, each of which is packed with parallel macrofibrils.

α Keratin is rich in Cys residues, which form disulfide bonds that cross-link adjacent polypeptide chains. The α keratins are classified as "hard" or "soft" according to whether they have a high or low sulfur content. Hard keratins, such as those of hair, horn, and nail, are less pliable than soft keratins, such as those of skin and callus, because the disulfide bonds resist deformation. The disulfide bonds can be reductively cleaved by disulfide interchange with mercaptans (Section 5-3A). Hair thus treated can be curled and set in a "permanent wave" by applying an oxidizing agent that reestablishes the disulfide bonds in the new "curled" conformation. Conversely, curly hair can be straightened by the same process.

FIG. 6-16 Higher order α keratin structure. (*a*) Two keratin polypeptides form a dimeric coiled coil. (*b*) Protofilaments are formed from two staggered rows of head-to-tail associated coiled coils. (*c*) Protofilaments dimerize to form a protofibril, four of which form a microfibril. The structures of the latter assemblies are poorly characterized.

The springiness of hair and wool fibers is a consequence of the coiled coil's tendency to recover its original conformation after being untwisted by stretching. If some of its disulfide bonds have been cleaved, however, an α keratin fiber can be stretched to over twice its original length. At this point, the polypeptide chains assume a β sheet conformation. β Keratin, such as that in feathers, exhibits a β-like pattern in its native state.

Collagen Is a Triple Helix. Collagen, which occurs in all multicellular animals, is the most abundant vertebrate protein. Its strong, insoluble fibers are the major stress-bearing components of connective tissues such as bone, teeth, cartilage, tendon, and the fibrous matrices of skin and blood vessels. A single collagen molecule consists of three polypeptide chains. Vertebrates have 46 genetically distinct polypeptide chains that are assembled into 28 collagen varieties found in different tissues in the same individual. One of the most common collagens, called Type I, consists of two α_1(I) chains and one α_2(I) chain. It has a molecular mass of ~285 kD, a width of ~14 Å, and a length of ~3000 Å.

Collagen has a distinctive amino acid composition: Nearly one-third of its residues are Gly; another 15 to 30% of its residues are Pro and **4-hydroxyprolyl (Hyp). 3-Hydroxyprolyl** and **5-hydroxylysyl (Hyl)** residues also occur in collagen, but in smaller amounts.

4-Hydroxyprolyl residue (Hyp)

3-Hydroxyprolyl residue

5-Hydroxylysyl residue (Hyl)

These nonstandard residues are formed after the collagen polypeptides are synthesized. For example, Pro residues are converted to Hyp in a reaction catalyzed by **prolyl hydroxylase.** This enzyme requires **ascorbic acid (vitamin C)** to maintain its activity. The disease **scurvy** results from the dietary deficiency of vitamin C (Box 6-2).

Ascorbic acid (vitamin C)

The amino acid sequence of a typical collagen polypeptide consists of monotonously repeating triplets of sequence Gly-X-Y over a segment of ~1000 residues, where X is often Pro and Y is often Hyp. Hyl sometimes appears at the Y position. Collagen's Pro residues prevent it from forming an α helix (Pro residues cannot assume the α-helical backbone conformation and lack the backbone N—H groups that form the intrahelical hydrogen bonds shown in Fig. 6-7). Instead, *the collagen polypeptide assumes a left-handed helical conformation with about three residues per turn. Three parallel chains wind around each other with a gentle, right-handed, ropelike twist to form the triple-helical structure of a collagen molecule* (**Fig. 6-17**).

This model of the collagen structure has been confirmed by Barbara Brodsky and Helen Berman, who determined the X-ray crystal structure of a collagen-like

FIG. 6-17 The collagen triple helix. Left-handed polypeptide helices are twisted together to form a right-handed superhelical structure. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/ Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

Box 6-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Collagen Diseases

Some collagen diseases have dietary causes. In scurvy (caused by vitamin C deficiency), Hyp production decreases because prolyl hydroxylase requires vitamin C. Thus, in the absence of vitamin C, newly synthesized collagen cannot form fibers properly, resulting in skin lesions, fragile blood vessels, poor wound healing, and, ultimately, death. Scurvy was the principal killer of sailors on long voyages, because their diets were devoid of fresh foods. For instance, in Magellan's voyage of 1520, 208 of his crew of 230 died, mainly due to scurvy. In 1747, the Scottish physician James Lind, in what is considered the first clinical trial, showed that the consumption of citrus fruits alleviated scurvy. Yet it took another 50 years before the British navy adopted lemon or lime juice as part of its standard shipboard diet (which led to the derogatory nickname "limey" for British sailors, and eventually for all British people). Nevertheless, it was not until 1932 that Albert Szent-Györgi isolated ascorbic acid and Charles King proved it to be the antiscorbutic (anti-scurvy) factor.

The disease **lathyrism** is caused by regular ingestion of the seeds from the sweet pea *Lathyrus odoratus,* which contain a compound that specifically inactivates lysyl oxidase (see below). The resulting reduced cross-linking of collagen fibers produces serious abnormalities of the bones, joints, and large blood vessels.

Several rare heritable disorders of collagen are known. Mutations of Type I collagen, which constitutes the major structural protein in most human tissues, usually result in **osteogenesis imperfecta** (brittle bone disease). The severity of this disease varies with the nature and position of the mutation: Even a single amino acid change can have lethal consequences. For example, the central Gly → Ala substitution in the model polypeptide shown in Fig. 6-18 locally distorts the already internally crowded collagen helix. This ruptures the hydrogen bond from the backbone N—H of each Ala (normally Gly) to the carbonyl group of the adjacent Pro in a neighboring chain, thereby reducing the stability of the collagen structure. Mutations may affect the structure of the collagen molecule or how it forms fibrils. These mutations tend to be dominant because they affect either the folding of the triple helix or fibril formation even when normal chains are also involved.

Many collagen disorders are characterized by deficiencies in the amount of a particular collagen type synthesized, or by abnormal activities of collagen-processing enzymes such as lysyl hydroxylase and lysyl oxidase. One group of at least 10 different collagen-deficiency diseases, the **Ehlers-Danlos syndromes,** are all characterized by the hyperextensibility of the joints and skin. The "India-rubber man" of circus fame had an Ehlers-Danlos syndrome.

model polypeptide. Every third residue of each polypeptide chain passes through the center of the triple helix, which is so crowded that only a Gly side chain can fit there. This crowding explains the absolute requirement for a Gly at every third position of a collagen polypeptide chain. The three polypeptide chains are staggered so that a Gly, X, and Y residue occurs at each level along the triple helix axis (**Fig. 6-18*a***). The peptide groups are oriented such that the N—H of each Gly makes a strong hydrogen bond with the carbonyl oxygen of an X (Pro) residue on a neighboring chain (**Fig. 6-18*b***). The bulky and relatively inflexible Pro and Hyp residues confer rigidity on the entire assembly.

FIG. 6-18 Structure of a collagen model peptide. In this X-ray structure of $(Pro\text{-}Hyp\text{-}Gly)_{10}$, the fifth Gly of each peptide has been replaced by Ala. (*a*) A stick model of the middle portion of the triple helix oriented with its N-termini at the bottom. The C atoms of the three chains are colored orange, magenta, and gray. The N and O atoms on all chains are blue and red. Note how the replacement of Gly with the bulkier Ala (C atoms green) distorts the triple helix. (*b*) This view from the N-terminus down the helix axis shows the interchain hydrogen-bonding associations. Three consecutive residues from each chain are shown in stick form (C atoms green). Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines from Gly N atoms to Pro O atoms in adjacent chains. Dots represent the van der Waals surfaces of the backbone atoms of the central residue in each chain. Note the close packing of the atoms along the triple helix axis. [Based on an X-ray structure by Helen Berman, Rutgers University, and Barbara Brodsky, UMDNJ–Robert Wood Johnson Medical School. PDBid 1CAG.]

Courtesy of Jerome Gross, Massachusetts General Hospital

FIG. 6-19 Electron micrograph of collagen fibrils from skin.

Collagen's well-packed, rigid, triple-helical structure is responsible for its characteristic tensile strength. The twist in the helix cannot be pulled out under tension because its component polypeptide chains are twisted in the opposite direction (Fig. 6-17). Successive levels of fiber bundles in high-quality ropes and cables, as well as in other proteins such as keratin (Fig. 6-16), are likewise oppositely twisted.

Several types of collagen molecules assemble to form loose networks or thick fibrils arranged in bundles or sheets, depending on the tissue (e.g., **Fig. 6-19**). The collagen molecules in fibrils are organized in staggered arrays that are stabilized by hydrophobic interactions resulting from the close packing of triple-helical units. Collagen is also covalently cross-linked, which accounts for its poor solubility. The cross-links cannot be disulfide bonds, as in keratin, because collagen is almost devoid of Cys residues. Instead, up to four Lys, Hyl, and His side chains are covalently cross-linked to form compounds such as **histidinodehydrohydroxymerodesmosine.**

Histidinodehydrohydroxy-merodesmosine

Lysyl oxidase, an enzyme that converts Lys residues to those of the aldehyde **allysine,** is the only enzyme implicated in this cross-linking process.

Allysine

The cross-links do not form at random, but tend to occur near the N- and C-termini of the collagen molecules. The degree of cross-linking in a particular tissue increases with age, which is why meat from older animals is tougher than meat from younger animals.

D Most Proteins Include Nonrepetitive Structure

The majority of proteins are globular proteins that, unlike the fibrous proteins discussed above, may contain several types of regular secondary structure, including α helices, β sheets, and other recognizable elements. A significant portion of a protein's structure may also be irregular or unique. Segments of polypeptide chains whose successive residues do not have similar ϕ and ψ values are sometimes called coils. However, you should not confuse this term with the appellation **random coil**, which refers to the totally disordered and rapidly fluctuating conformations assumed by **denatured** (fully unfolded) proteins in solution. In **native** (folded) proteins, *nonrepetitive structures are no less ordered than are helices or β sheets; they are simply irregular and hence more difficult to describe.*

Sequence Affects Secondary Structure. *Variations in amino acid sequence, as well as the overall structure of the folded protein, can distort the regular conformations*

of secondary structural elements. For example, the α helix frequently deviates from its ideal conformation in the initial and final turns of the helix. Similarly, a strand of polypeptide in a β sheet may contain an "extra" residue that is not hydrogen bonded to a neighboring strand, producing a distortion known as a **β bulge**.

Many of the limits on amino acid composition and sequence (Section 5-1) may be due in part to conformational constraints in the three-dimensional structure of proteins. For example, a Pro residue produces a kink in an α helix or β sheet. Similarly, steric clashes between several sequential amino acid residues with large branched side chains (e.g., Ile and Tyr) can destabilize α helices.

Analysis of known protein structures by Peter Chou and Gerald Fasman revealed the propensity *P* of a residue to occur in an α helix or a β sheet (Table 6-1). Chou and Fasman also discovered that certain residues not only have a high propensity for a particular secondary structure but they tend to disrupt or break other secondary structures. Such data are useful for predicting the secondary structures of proteins with known amino acid sequences.

The presence of certain residues outside of α helices or β sheets may also be nonrandom. For example, α helices are often flanked by residues such as Asn and Gln, whose side chains can fold back to form hydrogen bonds with one of the four terminal residues of the helix, a phenomenon termed **helix capping**. Recall that the four residues at each end of an α helix are not hydrogen bonded to neighboring backbone segments (Fig. 6-7).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the four levels of protein structure. Do all proteins exhibit all four levels?
- Without looking at Fig. 6-3, draw the structure of a polypeptide backbone.
- Explain why the conformational freedom of peptide bonds is limited.
- Summarize the features of an α helix and a parallel and antiparallel β sheet.
- Count the number of α helices and β sheets in carboxypeptidase A (Fig. 6-12).
- What properties do fibrous proteins confer on substances such as hair and bones?
- Describe the features of the amino acid sequences that are necessary to form a coiled coil or a left-handed triple helix.
- What distinguishes regular and irregular secondary structures?

TABLE 6-1 Propensities of Amino Acid Residues for α Helical and β Sheet Conformations

Residue	P_α	P_β
Ala	1.42	0.83
Arg	0.98	0.93
Asn	0.67	0.89
Asp	1.01	0.54
Cys	0.70	1.19
Gln	1.11	1.10
Glu	1.51	0.37
Gly	0.57	0.75
His	1.00	0.87
Ile	1.08	1.60
Leu	1.21	1.30
Lys	1.16	0.74
Met	1.45	1.05
Phe	1.13	1.38
Pro	0.57	0.55
Ser	0.77	0.75
Thr	0.83	1.19
Trp	1.08	1.37
Tyr	0.69	1.47
Val	1.06	1.70

Source: Chou, P.Y. and Fasman, G.D., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **47,** 258 (1978).

2 Tertiary Structure

KEY CONCEPTS

- X-Ray crystallography, NMR spectroscopy, and cryo-electron microscopy are used to determine the positions of atoms in proteins.
- Nonpolar residues tend to occur in the protein interior and polar residues on the exterior.
- A protein's tertiary structure consists of secondary structural elements that combine to form motifs and domains.
- Over evolutionary time, a protein's structure is more highly conserved than its sequence.
- Bioinformatics databases store macromolecular structure coordinates. Software makes it possible to visualize proteins and compare their structural features.

The tertiary structure of a protein describes the folding of its secondary structural elements and specifies the positions of each atom in the protein, including those of its side chains. This information is deposited in a database and is readily available via the Internet, which allows the tertiary structures of a variety of proteins to be analyzed and compared. The common features of protein tertiary structures reveal much about the biological functions of proteins and their evolutionary origins.

A Protein Structures Are Determined by X-Ray Crystallography, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, and Cryo-Electron Microscopy

X-Ray crystallography *is a technique that directly images molecules.* X-Rays must be used to do so because, according to optical principles, the uncertainty in locating an object is approximately equal to the wavelength of the radiation used to observe it (covalent bond distances and the wavelengths of the X-rays used in structural studies are both ~1.5 Å; individual molecules cannot be seen in a light microscope because visible light has a minimum wavelength of 4000 Å). There is, however, no such thing as an X-ray microscope because there are no X-ray

Courtesy of Wayne Hendrikson, Columbia University

(a) (b)

FIG. 6-20 Protein crystals. (a) Azidomet myohemerythrin from the marine worm *Siphonosoma pasteurianum*, (b) lamprey hemoglobin. These crystals are colored because the proteins contain light-absorbing groups; proteins are colorless in the absence of such groups.

Courtesy of John Kendrew, Cambridge University

FIG. 6-21 An X-ray diffraction photograph of a crystal of sperm whale myoglobin. The intensity of each diffraction peak (the darkness of each spot) is a function of the crystal's electron density; that is, of the positions of all of its atoms. This photograph represents a two-dimensional slice through a three-dimensional diffraction pattern, which consists of ~25,000 diffraction peaks.

Courtesy of Xinhua Ji, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland

FIG. 6-22 A thin section through a 1.5-Å-resolution electron density map of a protein that is contoured in three dimensions. Only a single contour level (*cyan*) is shown, together with a ball-and-stick model of the corresponding polypeptide segments colored according to atom type with C yellow, N blue, and O red. A water molecule is represented by a red sphere.

lenses. Rather, a crystal of the molecule to be imaged (e.g., **Fig. 6-20**) is exposed to a collimated beam of X-rays and the resulting **diffraction pattern**, which arises from the regularly repeating positions of atoms in the crystal, is recorded by a radiation detector or, now infrequently, on photographic film (**Fig. 6-21**). The X-rays used in structural studies are produced by laboratory X-ray generators or, now commonly, by **synchrotrons,** particle accelerators that produce X-rays of far greater intensity. The intensities of the diffraction peaks (darkness of the spots on a film) are then used to construct mathematically the three-dimensional image of the crystal structure through methods that are beyond the scope of this text. In what follows, we discuss some of the special problems associated with interpreting the X-ray crystal structures of proteins.

X-Rays interact almost exclusively with the electrons in matter, not the nuclei. An X-ray structure is therefore an image of the **electron density** of the object under study. Such **electron density maps** are usually presented with the aid of computer graphics as one or more sets of **contours,** in which a contour represents a specific level of electron density in the same way that a contour on a topographic map indicates locations that have a particular altitude. A portion of an electron density map of a protein is shown in **Fig. 6-22**.

Most Protein Crystal Structures Exhibit Less than Atomic Resolution. The molecules in protein crystals, as in other crystalline substances, are arranged in regularly repeating three-dimensional lattices. Protein crystals, however, differ from those of most small organic and inorganic molecules in being highly hydrated; they are typically 40 to 60% water by volume. The aqueous solvent of crystallization is necessary for the structural integrity of the protein crystals, because water is required for the structural integrity of native proteins themselves (Section 6-4).

The large solvent content of protein crystals gives them a soft, jellylike consistency so that their molecules usually lack the rigid order characteristic of crystals of small molecules such as NaCl or glycine. The molecules in a protein crystal are typically disordered by more than an angstrom, so the corresponding electron density map lacks information concerning structural details of smaller size. The crystal is therefore said to have a resolution limit of that size. Protein crystals typically have resolution limits in the range 1.5 to 3.0 Å, although some are better ordered (have higher resolution; that is, a lesser resolution limit) and many are less ordered (have lower resolution).

Because an electron density map of a protein must be interpreted in terms of its atomic positions, the accuracy, and even the feasibility, of a crystal structure analysis depends on the crystal's resolution limit. Indeed, the inability to obtain crystals of sufficiently high resolution is a major limiting factor in determining the X-ray crystal structure of a protein or other macromolecule. **Figure 6-23** indicates how the quality (degree of focus) of an electron density map varies with its resolution limit. At 6-Å resolution, the presence of a molecule the size of diketopiperazine is difficult to discern. At 2.0-Å resolution, its individual atoms cannot yet be distinguished, although its molecular shape has become reasonably evident. At 1.5-Å resolution, which roughly corresponds to a bond distance, individual atoms become partially resolved. At 1.1-Å resolution, atoms are clearly visible.

FIG. 6-23 Electron density maps of diketopiperazine at different resolution levels. Hydrogen atoms are not visible in these maps because of their low electron density. [After Hodgkin, D.C., *Nature* **188,** 445 (1960).]

Most protein crystal structures are too poorly resolved for their electron density maps to reveal clearly the positions of individual atoms (e.g., Fig. 6-23). Nevertheless, the distinctive shape of the polypeptide backbone usually permits it to be traced, which, in turn, allows the positions and orientations of its side chains to be deduced (e.g., Fig. 6-22). Yet side chains of comparable size and shape, such as those of Leu, Ile, Thr, and Val, cannot always be differentiated (hydrogen atoms, having only one electron, are visible only if the resolution limit is less than ~1.2 Å). Consequently, a protein structure cannot be elucidated from its electron density map alone, but knowing the primary structure of the protein permits the sequence of amino acid residues to be fitted to the electron density map. Mathematical refinement can then reduce the uncertainty in the crystal structure's atomic positions to as little as 0.1 Å.

Most Crystalline Proteins Maintain Their Native Conformations. Does the structure of a protein in a crystal accurately reflect the structure of the protein in solution, where globular proteins normally function? Several lines of evidence indicate that *crystalline proteins assume very nearly the same structures that they have in solution:*

1. A protein molecule in a crystal is essentially in solution because it is bathed by solvent of crystallization over all of its surface except for the few, generally small, patches that contact neighboring protein molecules.
2. In cases when different crystal forms of a protein have been analyzed, or when a crystal structure has been compared to a solution structure (determined by NMR; see below), the molecules have virtually identical conformations. Evidently, crystal packing forces do not greatly perturb the structures of protein molecules.
3. Many enzymes are catalytically active in the crystalline state. Because the activity of an enzyme is very sensitive to the positions of the groups involved in binding and catalysis (Chapter 11), the crystalline enzymes must have conformations that closely resemble their solution conformations.

Protein Structures Can Be Determined by NMR. The basis of **nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)** is that certain atomic nuclei, including ^{1}H, ^{2}H, ^{13}C, ^{15}N, and ^{31}P, when placed in a magnetic field, absorb radio-frequency radiation at frequencies that vary with each type of nucleus, its electronic environment, and its interactions with nearby nuclei. The development of NMR techniques since the mid-1980s, in large part by Richard Ernst and Kurt Wüthrich, has made it possible to determine the three-dimensional structures of globular proteins in aqueous solution.

FIG. 6-24 NOESY spectrum of a protein. The diagonal represents the conventional one-dimensional NMR spectrum presented as a contour plot. Note that it is too crowded with peaks to be directly interpretable (even a small protein has hundreds of protons). The cross (off-diagonal) peaks each arise from the interaction of two protons that are <5 Å apart in space (their one-dimensional NMR peaks are located where horizontal and vertical lines intersect the diagonal). The line to the left of the spectrum represents the extended polypeptide chain with its N- and C-termini labeled N and C and the positions of four protons labeled a to d. The dashed arrows indicate the diagonal NMR peaks to which these protons give rise. Cross peaks, such as i, j, and k, each located at the intersection of the corresponding horizontal and vertical lines, show that two protons are <5 Å apart. These distance relationships are schematically drawn as three looped structures of the polypeptide chain below the spectrum. The assignment of a distance relationship between two protons in a polypeptide requires that the NMR peaks to which they give rise and their positions in the polypeptide be known, which requires that the polypeptide's amino acid sequence has been previously determined. [After Wüthrich, K., *Science* **243,** 45 (1989).]

A protein's conventional (one-dimensional) proton (^{1}H) NMR spectrum is crowded with overlapping peaks, since even a small protein has hundreds of protons. This problem is addressed by **two-dimensional (2D) NMR spectroscopy,** which yields additional peaks arising from the interactions of protons that are less than 5 Å apart. Correlation spectroscopy (COSY) provides interatomic distances between protons that are covalently connected through one or two other atoms, such as the H atoms attached to the N and C_α of the same amino acid (corresponding to the ϕ torsion angle). Nuclear Overhauser spectroscopy (NOESY) provides interatomic distances for protons that are close in space, although they may be far apart in the protein sequence. An example of a NOESY spectrum is shown in Fig. 6-24.

Interatomic distance measurements between identified pairs of atoms, together with known geometric constraints such as covalent bond distances and angles, group planarity, chirality, and van der Waals radii, are used to compute the protein's three-dimensional structure. However, because interproton distance measurements are imprecise, they cannot imply a unique structure but rather are consistent with an ensemble of closely related structures. Consequently, an NMR structure of a protein (or another macromolecule) is often presented as a sample of structures that are consistent with the data (e.g., Fig. 6-25). The "tightness" of a bundle of such structures is indicative both of the accuracy with which the structure is known, which in the most favorable cases is roughly comparable to that of an X-ray crystal structure with a resolution of 2 to 2.5 Å, and of the conformational fluctuations that the protein undergoes (Section 6-4A). The proton 2D-NMR spectra of proteins larger than ~30 kD are so crowded with cross peaks that it is all but impossible to interpret them. This problem has been alleviated by

Courtesy Stuart Schrieber, Harvard University

FIG. 6-25 The NMR structure of a protein. The drawing represents 20 superimposed structures of a 64-residue polypeptide comprising the **Src protein SH3 domain** (Section 13-2B). The polypeptide backbone (its connected C_α atoms) is white, and its Phe, Tyr, and Trp side chains are yellow, red, and blue, respectively. The polypeptide backbone folds into two 3-stranded antiparallel β sheets that form a sandwich.

? Propose an explanation of why some portions of the protein structure exhibit greater variability than others.

the development of multidimensional NMR techniques (which spreads these peaks into three or four dimensions) in which specific residues of a protein are enriched by genetic engineering techniques with ^{15}N and/or ^{13}C (replacing the far more naturally abundant but NMR-inactive ^{14}N and ^{12}C). Present NMR methods are limited to determining the structures of macromolecules with molecular masses no greater than ~100 kD, but recent advances in NMR technology suggest that this limit may eventually increase to ~1000 kD or more.

Around 12,000 NMR structures of proteins and nucleic acids have been determined. In cases in which both the X-ray and NMR structures of a protein are known, they exhibit very few significant differences, thus indicating that crystallization does not perturb the structure of a protein. Moreover, because NMR can probe motions over time scales spanning 10 orders of magnitude, it can also be used to study protein folding and dynamics (Sections 6-4 and 6-5).

Cryo-Electron Microscopy Directly Images Macromolecular Structures. As explained by the wave-particle duality, electrons, like all particles, have wavelike properties, with a wavelength $\lambda = h/mv$, where h is Planck's constant, m is the particle's mass, and v is its velocity. Thus, a beam of electrons of sufficiently high energy ($E = 1/2mv^2$) will have a wavelength small enough to image molecules at atomic resolution (recall that the uncertainty in locating an object is approximately equal to the wavelength of the radiation used to observe it). However, in conventional electron microscopy, samples must be thoroughly dried (to maintain the high vacuum through which the electron beam travels), which greatly distorts biological molecules. This led to the development of **cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM**; Greek: *kryos*, icy cold), in which a hydrated sample is cooled to near-liquid nitrogen temperatures (–196°C) so rapidly (in a few milliseconds) that the water in the sample does not have time to crystallize (which would destroy the sample), but rather assumes a vitreous (glasslike) state. Consequently, the sample remains hydrated and retains its native structure. This, together with ongoing technological and theoretical advances in electron microscopy, has permitted, in recent years, the direct visualization of large molecular complexes, such as ribosomes, at near-atomic resolution (as little as 3.0 Å). Cryo-EM therefore holds great promise for determining the structures of fragile or flexible complexes that are difficult to crystallize and too large to visualize by NMR methods.

Proteins Can Be Depicted in Different Ways. The huge number of atoms in proteins makes it difficult to visualize them using the same sorts of models employed for small organic molecules. Ball-and-stick representations showing all or most atoms in a protein (as in Figs. 6-7 and 6-10) are exceedingly cluttered, and space-filling models (as in Figs. 6-8 and 6-11) obscure the internal details of the protein. Accordingly, computer-generated or artistic renditions (e.g., Fig. 6-12) are often more useful for representing protein structures. The course of the polypeptide chain can be followed by tracing the positions of its C_α atoms or by representing helices as helical ribbons or cylinders and β sheets as sets of flat arrows pointing from the N- to the C-termini.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Molecular Visualization

Ribbon diagrams of proteins reveal the overall folding pattern of a protein's polypeptide backbone but ignore its side chains. A protein typically has thousands of atoms. However, its many hydrogen atoms, which actually outnumber its C, N, O, and S atoms, are rarely depicted in ball-and-stick and space-filling models. Because each atom's outermost electron shell defines its surface, a protein is a solid object.

B Side Chain Location Varies with Polarity

In the years since Kendrew solved the structure of myoglobin, around 110,000 protein structures have been reported. No two are exactly alike, but they exhibit remarkable consistencies. The primary structures of globular proteins generally lack the repeating sequences that support the regular conformations seen in fibrous proteins. However, *the amino acid side chains in globular proteins are spatially distributed according to their polarities:*

1. The nonpolar residues Val, Leu, Ile, Met, and Phe occur mostly in the interior of a protein, out of contact with the aqueous solvent. The hydrophobic effects that promote this distribution are largely responsible for the three-dimensional structure of native proteins.

FIG. 6-26 Side chain locations in an α helix and a β sheet. In these space-filling models, the main chain is gray, nonpolar side chains are gold, and polar side chains are purple. (*a*) An α helix from sperm whale myoglobin. Note that the nonpolar residues are primarily on one side of the helix. (*b*) An antiparallel β sheet from concanavalin A (*side view*). The protein interior is to the right and the exterior is to the left. [Based on X-ray structures by Ilme Schlichting, Max Planck Institut für Molekulare Physiologie, Dortmund, Germany, and Gerald Edelman, The Rockefeller University. PDBids 1A6M and 2CNA.]

2. The charged polar residues Arg, His, Lys, Asp, and Glu are usually located on the surface of a protein in contact with the aqueous solvent. This is because immersing an ion in the virtually anhydrous interior of a protein is energetically unfavorable.
3. The uncharged polar groups Ser, Thr, Asn, Gln, and Tyr are usually on the protein surface but also occur in the interior of the molecule. When buried in the protein, these residues are almost always hydrogen bonded to other groups; in a sense, the formation of a hydrogen bond "neutralizes" their polarity. This is also the case with the polypeptide backbone.

These general principles of side chain distribution are evident in individual elements of secondary structure (Fig. 6-26) as well as in whole proteins (Fig. 6-27). Polar side chains tend to extend toward—and thereby help form—the protein's surface, whereas nonpolar side chains largely extend toward—and thereby occupy—its interior. Turns and loops joining secondary structural elements usually occur at the protein surface.

Most proteins are quite compact, with their interior atoms packed together even more efficiently than the atoms in a crystal of small organic molecules. Nevertheless, the atoms of protein side chains almost invariably have low-energy arrangements. Evidently, interior side chains adopt relaxed conformations despite the profusion of intramolecular interactions. Closely packed protein interiors generally exclude water. When water molecules are present, they often occupy specific positions where they can form hydrogen bonds, sometimes acting as a bridge between two hydrogen-bonding protein groups.

C Tertiary Structures Contain Combinations of Secondary Structure

Globular proteins—each with a unique tertiary structure—are built from combinations of secondary structural elements. The proportions of α helices and β sheets and the order in which they are connected provide an informative way of classifying and analyzing protein structure.

(a) (b)

Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only

FIG. 6-27 Side chain distribution in horse heart cytochrome *c*. In these paintings, based on an X-ray structure determined by Richard Dickerson, the protein is illuminated by its single iron atom centered in a heme group. Hydrogen atoms are not shown. In (*a*) the hydrophilic side chains are green, and in (*b*) the hydrophobic side chains are orange.

Certain Combinations of Secondary Structure Form Motifs. Groupings of secondary structural elements, called **supersecondary structures** or **motifs**, occur in many unrelated globular proteins:

1. The most common form of supersecondary structure is the **βαβ motif**, in which an α helix connects two parallel strands of a β sheet (Fig. 6-28*a*).

FIG. 6-28 Schematic diagrams of supersecondary structures. (*a*) A βαβ motif, (*b*) a β hairpin motif, (*c*) an αα motif, and (*d*) a Greek key motif, showing how it is constructed from a folded-over β hairpin. The polypeptide backbones are drawn as ribbons, with β strands shown as flat arrows pointing from N- to C-terminus, and α helices represented by cylinders.

FIG. 6-29 A selection of protein structures. The proteins are represented by their peptide backbones, drawn in ribbon form, with β strands shown as flat arrows pointing from N- to C-terminus and α helices depicted as coils. The polypeptide chain is colored, from N- to C-terminus, in rainbow order from red to blue. Below each drawing is the corresponding topological diagram indicating the connectivity of its helices (cylinders) and β strands (flat arrows). (*a*) *E. coli* cytochrome b_{562} (106 residues), which forms an up-down-up-down 4-helix bundle. Its bound heme group is shown in ball-and-stick form with C magenta, N blue, O red, and Fe orange. (*b*) The N-terminal domain of the human immunoglobulin fragment **Fab New** (103 residues) showing its immunoglobulin fold. The polypeptide chain is folded into a sandwich of 3- and 4-stranded antiparallel β sheets. (*c*) The N-terminal domain of dogfish lactate dehydrogenase (163 residues). It contains a 6-stranded parallel β sheet in which the crossovers between β strands all contain an α helix that forms a right-handed helical turn with its flanking β strands. [Based on X-ray structures by (*a*) F. Scott Matthews, Washington University School of Medicine; (*b*) Roberto Poljak, The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine; and (*c*) Michael Rossmann, Purdue University. PDBids (*a*) 256B, (*b*) 7FAB, and (*c*) 6LDH.]

? Cover the topology diagrams and practice drawing one for each protein structure.

2. Another common supersecondary structure, the **β hairpin** motif, consists of antiparallel strands connected by relatively tight reverse turns (Fig. 6-28*b*).
3. In an **αα motif**, two successive antiparallel α helices pack against each other with their axes inclined. This permits energetically favorable intermeshing of their contacting side chains (Fig. 6-28*c*). Similar associations stabilize the coiled coil conformation of α keratin and tropomyosin (Fig. 6-15*b*), although their helices are parallel rather than antiparallel.
4. In the **Greek key motif** (Fig. 6-28*d*; named after an ornamental design commonly used in ancient Greece; see inset), a β hairpin is folded over to form a 4-stranded antiparallel β sheet.

Most Proteins Can Be Classified as α, β, or α/β. The major types of secondary structural elements occur in globular proteins in varying proportions and combinations. Some proteins, such as *E. coli* **cytochrome** b_{562} (Fig. 6-29*a*), consist only of

α helices spanned by short connecting links and are therefore classified as **α proteins.** Others, such as immunoglobulins, which contain the **immunoglobulin fold** (Fig. 6-29*b*), are called **β proteins** because they have a large proportion of β sheets and are devoid of α helices. Most proteins, however, including **lactate dehydrogenase** (Fig. 6-29*c*) and carboxypeptidase A (Fig. 6-12), are known as **α/β proteins** because they largely consist of mixtures of both types of secondary structure (proteins, on average, contain ~31% α helix and ~28% β sheet).

The α, β, and α/β classes of proteins can be further categorized according to their topology; that is, according to how their secondary structural elements are connected. For example, extended β sheets often roll up to form **β barrels**. Three different types of 8-stranded β barrels, each with a different topology, are shown in Fig. 6-30. Two of these (Fig. 6-30*a,b*) are all-β structures containing multiple

FIG. 6-30 X-Ray structures of β barrels. Each polypeptide is drawn and colored and accompanied by its corresponding topological diagram as is described in the legend to Fig. 6-29. (*a*) Human **retinol binding protein** showing its 8-stranded up-and-down β barrel (residues 1–142 of the 182-residue protein). Note that each β strand is linked via a short loop to its clockwise-adjacent strand as seen from the top. The protein's bound retinol molecule is represented by a gray ball-and-stick model. (*b*) **Peptide-*N*^{4}-(*N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminyl)asparagine amidase F** from *Flavobacterium meningosepticum* (residues 1–140 of the 340-residue enzyme). Note how its 8-stranded β barrel is formed by rolling up a 4-segment β hairpin. Here the two β strands in each segment of the β hairpin are colored alike with strands 1 and 8 (the N- and C-terminal strands) red, strands 2 and 7 orange, strands 3 and 6 cyan, and strands 4 and 5 blue. This motif, which is known as a **jelly roll** or **Swiss roll barrel,** is so named because of its topological resemblance to the rolled-up pastries. (*c*) Chicken muscle **triose phosphate isomerase** (**TIM;** 247 residues) forms a so-called α/β barrel in which eight pairs of alternating β strands and α helices roll up to form an inner barrel of eight parallel β strands surrounded by an outer barrel of eight parallel α helices. The protein is viewed approximately along the axis of the α/β barrel. Note that the α/β barrel is essentially a series of linked βαβ motifs. [Based on X-ray structures by (a) T. Alwyn Jones, Biomedical Center, Uppsala, Sweden; (b) Patrick Van Roey, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York; and (c) David Phillips, Oxford University, Oxford, U.K. PDBids (a) 1RBP, (b) 1PNG, and (c) 1TIM.]

? Cover the topology diagrams and practice drawing one for each protein structure.

FIG. 6-31 The two-domain protein glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. The N-terminal domain (*light blue*) binds NAD^+ (drawn in stick form and colored according to atom type with C green, N blue, O red, and P magenta), and the C-terminal domain (*orange*) binds glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (not shown). [Based on an X-ray structure by Alan Wonacott, Imperial College, London, U.K. PDBid 1GD1.]

? Identify the supersecondary structures within each domain.

β hairpin motifs. The third, known as an **α/β barrel** (Fig. 6-30*c*), can be considered as a set of overlapping βαβ motifs (and is a member of the α/β class of proteins).

Large Polypeptides Form Domains. Polypeptide chains containing more than ~200 residues usually fold into two or more globular clusters known as **domains**, which give these proteins a bi- or multilobal appearance. Each subunit of the enzyme **glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase,** for example, has two distinct domains (**Fig. 6-31**). Most domains consist of 40 to 200 amino acid residues and have an average diameter of ~25 Å. An inspection of the various protein structures diagrammed in this chapter reveals that domains each consist of two or more layers of secondary structural elements. The reason for this is clear: At least two such layers are required to seal off a domain's hydrophobic core from its aqueous environment. Thus, polypeptides shorter than 40 residues are unlikely to form stable structures in solution. On the other hand, domains much longer than 200 residues will fold too slowly.

A polypeptide chain wanders back and forth within a domain, but neighboring domains are usually connected by only one or two polypeptide segments. *Consequently, many domains are structurally independent units that have the characteristics of small globular proteins.* Nevertheless, the domain structure of a protein is not necessarily obvious, as its domains may make such extensive contacts with each other that the protein appears to be a single globular entity.

Domains often have a specific function such as the binding of a small molecule. In Fig. 6-31, for example, the dinucleotide NAD^+ (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; Fig. 11-4) binds to the N-terminal domain of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Michael Rossmann has shown that a βαβαβ unit, in which the β strands form a parallel sheet with α helical connections, often acts as a nucleotide-binding site. Two of these βαβαβ units combine to form a domain known as a **dinucleotide-binding fold**, or **Rossmann fold**. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase's N-terminal domain contains such a fold, as does lactate dehydrogenase (Fig. 6-29*c*). In some multidomain proteins, binding sites occupy the clefts between domains; that is, small molecules are bound by groups from two domains. In such cases, the relatively pliant covalent connection between the domains allows flexible interactions between the protein and the small molecule.

D Structure Is Conserved More Than Sequence

The many thousands of known protein structures, comprising an even greater number of separate domains, can be grouped into families by examining the overall paths followed by their polypeptide chains. Although it is estimated that there are as many as 1400 different protein domain families, approximately 200 different folding patterns account for about half of all known protein structures. As described in Section 5-4B, the domain is the fundamental unit of protein evolution. Apparently, the most common protein domains are evolutionary sinks—domains that arose and persisted because of their ability (1) to form stable folding patterns; (2) to tolerate amino acid deletions, substitutions, and insertions, thereby making them more likely to survive evolutionary changes; and/or (3) to support essential biological functions.

FIG. 6-32 Three-dimensional structures of *c*-type cytochromes. The polypeptide backbones (*blue*) are shown in analogous orientations such that their heme groups (*red*) are viewed edge-on. The Cys, Met, and His side chains that covalently link the heme to the protein are also shown. (*a*) Cytochrome c_{550} from *Paracoccus denitrificans,* (*b*) cytochrome c_2 from *Rhodospirillum rubrum,* (*c*) cytochrome *c* from tuna, and *(d)* cytochrome c_{555} from *Chlorobium limicola.* [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

Polypeptides with similar sequences tend to adopt similar backbone conformations. This is certainly true for evolutionarily related proteins that carry out similar functions. For example, the cytochromes *c* of different species are highly conserved proteins with closely similar sequences (Table 5-6) and three-dimensional structures.

Cytochrome *c* occurs only in eukaryotes, but prokaryotes contain proteins, known as ***c*-type cytochromes,** which perform the same general function (that of an electron carrier). The *c*-type cytochromes from different species exhibit only low degrees of sequence similarity to each other and to eukaryotic cytochromes *c*. However, their X-ray structures are clearly similar, particularly in polypeptide chain folding and side chain packing in the protein interior (Fig. 6-32). The major structural differences among *c*-type cytochromes lie in the various polypeptide loops on their surfaces. The sequences of the *c*-type cytochromes have diverged so far from one another that, in the absence of their X-ray structures, they can be properly aligned only through the use of mathematically sophisticated computer programs. Thus, *it appears that the essential structural and functional elements of proteins, rather than their amino acid residues, are conserved during evolution.*

E Structural Bioinformatics Provides Tools for Storing, Visualizing, and Comparing Protein Structural Information

The data obtained by X-ray crystallography, NMR spectroscopy, and certain other techniques take the form of three-dimensional coordinates describing the spatial positions of atoms in molecules. This kind of information can be easily stored, displayed, and compared, much like sequence information obtained by nucleotide or protein sequencing methods (Sections 3-4 and 5-3). **Bioinformatics** is the rapidly growing discipline that deals with the burgeoning amount of information related to molecular sequences and structures. **Structural bioinformatics** is a branch of bioinformatics that is concerned with how macromolecular structures are displayed and compared. Some of the databases and analytical tools that are used in structural bioinformatics are listed in Table 6-2 and described in Bioinformatics Project 3.

The Protein Data Bank Is the Repository for Structural Information. The atomic coordinates of more than 120,000 macromolecular structures, including proteins, nucleic acids, and carbohydrates, are archived in the **Protein Data Bank (PDB).** Indeed, most scientific journals that publish macromolecular structures require that authors deposit their structures' coordinates in the PDB.

TABLE 6-2 Structural Bioinformatics Internet Addresses

Structural Databases
Protein Data Bank (PDB): http://www.rcsb.org/
Nucleic Acid Database: http://ndbserver.rutgers.edu/
Molecular Modeling Database (MMDB): http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/MMDB/docs/mmdb_search.html
Most Representative NMR Structure in an Ensemble: http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/nmr/olderado/
Molecular Graphics Programs
Cn3D: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/CN3D/cn3d.shtml
FirstGlance: http://molvis.sdsc.edu/fgij/index.htm
Jmol: http://jmol.sourceforge.net/
KiNG: http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu/software/king.php
Proteopedia: http://www.proteopedia.org/
Swiss-Pdb Viewer (DeepView): http://spdbv.vital-it.ch/
Structural Classification Algorithms
CATH (*C*lass, *A*rchitecture, *T*opology, and *H*omologous superfamily): http://www.cathdb.info/
CE (*C*ombinatorial *E*xtension of optimal pathway): http://cl.sdsc.edu/jfatcatserver/
Pfam (protein families): http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/ or http://pfam.janelia.org/
SCOP (*S*tructural *C*lassification *O*f *P*roteins): http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/scop/
VAST (*V*ector *A*lignment *S*earch *T*ool): http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/VAST/vast.shtml

Each independently determined structure in the PDB is assigned a unique four-character identifier (its **PDBid**). For example, the PDBid for the structure of sperm whale myoglobin is 1MBO. A coordinate file includes the macromolecule's source (the organism from which it was obtained), the author(s) who determined the structure, key journal references, information about how the structure was determined, and indicators of its accuracy. The sequences of the structure's various chains are then listed together with the descriptions and formulas of its so-called HET (for heterogen) groups, which are molecular entities that are not among the "standard" amino acid or nucleotide residues (for example, organic molecules, nonstandard residues such as Hyp, metal ions, and bound water molecules). The positions of the structure's secondary structural elements and its disulfide bonds are then provided.

The bulk of a PDB file consists of a series of records (lines), each of which provides the three-dimensional (*x, y, z*) coordinates in angstroms of one atom in the structure. Each atom is identified by a serial number, an atom name (for example, C and O for an amino acid residue's carbonyl C and O atoms, CA and CB for C_α and C_β atoms), the name of the residue, and a letter to identify the chain to which it belongs (for structures that have more than one chain). For NMR-based structures, the PDB file contains a full set of records for each member of the ensemble of structures (the most representative member of such a coordinate set can be obtained from another database; see Table 6-2).

A particular PDB file may be located according to its PDBid or, if this is unknown, by searching with a variety of criteria including a protein's name, its source, or the author(s). Selecting a particular macromolecule in the PDB initially displays a summary page with options for viewing the structure (either statically or interactively), for viewing or downloading the coordinate file, and for classifying or analyzing the structure in terms of its geometric properties and sequence. The **Nucleic Acid Database (NDB)** archives the atomic coordinates of

structures that contain nucleic acids, using roughly the same format as PDB files (most of this information is also stored in the PDB).

Molecular Graphics Programs Interactively Show Macromolecules in Three Dimensions. The most informative way to examine a macromolecular structure is through the use of molecular graphics programs that permit the user to interactively rotate a macromolecule and thereby perceive its three-dimensional structure. This impression may be further enhanced by simultaneously viewing the macromolecule in stereo. Most molecular graphics programs use PDB files as input. The programs described here can be downloaded from the Internet addresses listed in Table 6-2, some of which also provide instructions for the program's use.

Jmol, which functions as both a web browser–based applet or as a stand-alone program, allows the user to display user-selected macromolecules in a variety of colors and formats (e.g., wire frame, ball-and-stick, backbone, space-filling, and cartoons). The Interactive Exercises on the website that accompanies this textbook (http://www.wiley.com/college/voet/) all use Jmol. **FirstGlance** uses Jmol to display macromolecules via a user-friendly interface. **KiNG,** which also has web browser-based and stand-alone versions, displays the so-called **Kinemages** on this textbook's accompanying website. KiNG provides a generally more author-directed user environment than does Jmol. Macromolecules can be displayed directly from their corresponding PDB page using Jmol. The **Swiss-PDB Viewer** (also called **Deep View**), in addition to displaying molecular structures, provides tools for basic model building, homology modeling, energy minimization, and multiple sequence alignment. One advantage of the Swiss-PDB Viewer is that it allows users to easily superimpose two or more models. **Proteopedia** is a 3D interactive encyclopedia of proteins and other macromolecules that resembles Wikipedia in that it is user edited. It uses mainly Jmol as a viewer.

Structure Comparisons Reveal Evolutionary Relationships. Most proteins are structurally related to other proteins, as *evolution tends to conserve the structures of proteins rather than their sequences.* The computational tools described below facilitate the classification and comparison of protein structures. These programs can be accessed directly via their Internet addresses and, in some cases, through the PDB. Studies using these programs yield functional insights, reveal distant evolutionary relationships that are not apparent from sequence comparisons, generate libraries of unique folds for structure prediction, and provide indications as to why certain types of structures are preferred over others.

1. ***CATH*** (for *C*lass, *A*rchitecture, *T*opology and *H*omologous superfamily), as its name suggests, categorizes proteins in a four-level structural hierarchy: (1) Class, the highest level, places the selected protein in one of four levels of gross secondary structure (Mainly α, Mainly β, α/β, and Few Secondary Structures); (2) Architecture, the gross arrangement of secondary structure; (3) Topology, which depends on both the overall shape of the protein domain and the connectivity of its secondary structural elements; and (4) Homologous Superfamily, which identifies the protein as a member of a group that shares a common ancestor.
2. ***CE*** (for *C*ombinatorial *E*xtension of the optimal path) finds all proteins in the PDB that can be structurally aligned with the query structure to within user-specified geometric criteria. CE can optimally align and display two user-selected structures.
3. **Pfam** (for *P*rotein *fam*ilies) is a database of more than 12,000 multiple sequence alignments of protein domains (called Pfam families). Using Pfam, one can analyze a protein for Pfam matches (74% of proteins have at least one match in Pfam), determine the domain organization of a protein based on its sequence or its structure, examine the phylogenetic tree of a Pfam family, and view the occurrence of a protein's domains across different species.

CHECKPOINT

- List some of the relative advantages and disadvantages of using X-ray crystallography, NMR spectroscopy, and cryo-electron microscopy to determine the structure of a protein.
- Explain why knowing a protein's amino acid sequence is required to determine its tertiary structure.
- Why do turns and loops most often occur on the protein surface?
- Which side chains usually occur on a protein's surface? In its interior?
- Describe some of the common protein structural motifs.
- Why does a protein domain consist of at least 40 amino acid residues?
- Explain why a protein's sequence evolves faster than its structure.
- Summarize the types of information provided in a PDB file.
- Why is it useful to compare protein structures in addition to protein sequences?

4. ***SCOP*** (*S*tructural *C*lassification *O*f *P*roteins) classifies protein structures based mainly on manually generated topological considerations according to a six-level hierarchy: Class (e.g., all-α, all-β, α/β), Fold (based on the arrangement of secondary structural elements), Superfamily (indicative of distant evolutionary relationships based on structural criteria and functional features), Family (indicative of near evolutionary relationships based on sequence as well as on structure), Protein, and Species. SCOP permits the user to navigate through its treelike hierarchical organization and lists the known members of any particular branch.
5. ***VAST*** (*V*ector *A*lignment *S*earch *T*ool), a component of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Entrez system, reports a precomputed list of proteins of known structure that structurally resemble the query protein ("structure neighbors"). The VAST system uses the **Molecular Modeling Database (MMDB),** an NCBI-generated database that is derived from PDB coordinates but in which molecules are represented by connectivity graphs rather than sets of atomic coordinates. VAST displays the superposition of the query protein in its structural alignment with up to five other proteins using the molecular graphics program **Cn3D.** VAST also reports a precomputed list of proteins that are similar to the query protein in sequence ("sequence neighbors").

3 Quaternary Structure and Symmetry

KEY CONCEPT

- Some proteins contain multiple subunits, usually arranged symmetrically.

Most proteins, particularly those with molecular masses >100 kD, consist of more than one polypeptide chain. *These polypeptide subunits associate with a specific geometry.* The spatial arrangement of these subunits is known as a protein's quaternary structure.

There are several reasons why multisubunit proteins are so common. In large assemblies of proteins, such as collagen fibrils, the advantages of subunit construction over the synthesis of one huge polypeptide chain are analogous to those of using prefabricated components in constructing a building: Defects can be repaired by simply replacing the flawed subunit; the site of subunit manufacture can be different from the site of assembly into the final product; and the only genetic information necessary to specify the entire edifice is the information specifying its few different self-assembling subunits. In the case of enzymes, increasing a protein's size tends to better fix the three-dimensional positions of its reacting groups. *Increasing the size of an enzyme through the association of identical subunits is more efficient than increasing the length of its polypeptide chain because each subunit has an active site. More importantly, the subunit construction of many enzymes provides the structural basis for the regulation of their activities* (Sections 7-1D and 12-3).

FIG. 6-33 Quaternary structure of hemoglobin. In this space-filling model, the α_1, α_2, β_1, and β_2 subunits are colored yellow, green, cyan, and blue, respectively. Heme groups are red. [Based on an X-ray structure by Max Perutz, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 2DHB.]

? **Draw a circle around one protomer.**

Subunits Usually Associate Noncovalently. A multisubunit protein may consist of identical or nonidentical polypeptide chains. Hemoglobin, for example, has the subunit composition $\alpha_2\beta_2$ (**Fig. 6-33**). Proteins with more than one subunit are called **oligomers**, and their identical units are called **protomers**. A protomer may therefore consist of one polypeptide chain or several unlike polypeptide chains. In this sense, hemoglobin is a dimer of αβ protomers.

FIG. 6-34 Symmetries of oligomeric proteins. The oval, the triangle, the square, and the pentagon at the ends of the dashed green lines indicate, respectively, the unique twofold, threefold, fourfold, and fivefold rotational axes of the objects shown. (*a*) Assemblies with cyclic symmetry. (*b*) Assemblies with dihedral symmetry. In these objects, a twofold axis is perpendicular to another rotational axis. (*c*) Assemblies with the rotational symmetries of a tetrahedron, a cube or octahedron, and an icosahedron. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/ Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

The contact regions between subunits resemble the interior of a single-subunit protein: They contain closely packed nonpolar side chains, hydrogen bonds involving the polypeptide backbones and their side chains, and, in some cases, interchain disulfide bonds. However, the subunit interfaces of proteins that dissociate *in vivo* have lesser hydrophobicities than do permanent interfaces.

Subunits Are Symmetrically Arranged. In the vast majority of oligomeric proteins, the protomers are symmetrically arranged; that is, each protomer occupies a geometrically equivalent position in the oligomer. Proteins cannot have inversion or mirror symmetry, however, because bringing the protomers into coincidence would require converting chiral L residues to D residues. Thus, *proteins can have only* ***rotational symmetry***.

In the simplest type of rotational symmetry, **cyclic symmetry**, protomers are related by a single axis of rotation (**Fig. 6-34*a***). Objects with two-, three-, or *n*-fold rotational axes are said to have C_2, C_3, or C_n symmetry, respectively. C_2 symmetry is the most common; higher cyclic symmetries are relatively rare.

Dihedral symmetry (D_n), a more complicated type of rotational symmetry, is generated when an *n*-fold rotation axis intersects a twofold rotation axis at right angles (**Fig. 6-34*b***). An oligomer with D_n symmetry consists of $2n$ protomers. D_2 symmetry is the most common type of dihedral symmetry in proteins.

Other possible types of rotational symmetry are those of a tetrahedron, cube, and icosahedron (**Fig. 6-34*c***). Some multienzyme complexes and spherical viruses are built on these geometric plans.

CHECKPOINT

- List the advantages of multiple subunits in proteins.
- Why can't proteins have mirror symmetry?

4 Protein Stability

KEY CONCEPTS

- Protein stability depends primarily on hydrophobic effects and secondarily on electrostatic interactions.
- A protein that has been denatured may undergo renaturation.
- Protein structures are flexible and may include unfolded regions.

Incredible as it may seem, thermodynamic measurements indicate that *native proteins are only marginally stable under physiological conditions.* The free energy required to denature them is ~0.4 kJ · mol^{-1} per amino acid residue, so a fully folded 100-residue protein is only about 40 kJ · mol^{-1} more stable than its unfolded form (for comparison, the energy required to break a typical hydrogen bond is ~20 kJ · mol^{-1}). The various noncovalent influences on proteins—hydrophobic effects, electrostatic interactions, and hydrogen bonding—each have energies that may total thousands of kilojoules per mole over an entire protein molecule. Consequently, a protein structure is the result of a delicate balance among powerful countervailing forces.

TABLE 6-3 Hydropathy Scale for Amino Acid Side Chains

Side Chain	Hydropathy
Ile	4.5
Val	4.2
Leu	3.8
Phe	2.8
Cys	2.5
Met	1.9
Ala	1.8
Gly	−0.4
Thr	−0.7
Ser	−0.8
Trp	−0.9
Tyr	−1.3
Pro	−1.6
His	−3.2
Glu	−3.5
Gln	−3.5
Asp	−3.5
Asn	−3.5
Lys	−3.9
Arg	−4.5

Source: Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R.F., *J. Mol. Biol.* **157**, 110 (1982).

A Proteins Are Stabilized by Several Forces

Protein structures are governed primarily by hydrophobic effects and, to a lesser extent, by interactions between polar residues, and by other types of associations.

The Hydrophobic Effect Has the Greatest Influence on Protein Stability. *The hydrophobic effect, which causes nonpolar substances to minimize their contacts with water* (Section 2-1C), *is the major determinant of native protein structure.* The aggregation of nonpolar side chains in the interior of a protein is favored by the increase in entropy of the water molecules that would otherwise form ordered "cages" around the hydrophobic groups. The combined hydrophobic and hydrophilic tendencies of individual amino acid residues in proteins can be expressed as **hydropathies** (Table 6-3). The greater a side chain's hydropathy, the more likely it is to occupy the interior of a protein, and vice versa. Hydropathies are good predictors of which portions of a polypeptide chain are inside a protein, out of contact with the aqueous solvent, and which portions are outside (Fig. 6-35).

Site-directed mutagenesis experiments in which individual interior residues have been replaced by a number of others suggest that the factors that affect stability are, in order, the hydrophobicity of the substituted residue, its steric compatibility, and, last, the volume of its side chain.

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Hydrophobic Effect

Unlike the charged or polar functional groups that contain oxygen or nitrogen atoms, hydrocarbon-rich molecular groups are nonpolar and do not experience strong attractive and repulsive forces. These groups tend to associate due to the hydrophobic effect, which maximizes the entropy of the surrounding water molecules. Only when nonpolar groups are in close proximity, such as in the core of a folded polypeptide structure, do they exert weak attractive forces (van der Waals interactions).

FIG. 6-35 A hydropathic index plot for bovine chymotrypsinogen. The sum of the hydropathies of nine consecutive residues is plotted versus residue sequence number. A large positive hydropathic index indicates a hydrophobic region of the polypeptide, whereas a large negative value indicates a hydrophilic region. The upper bars denote the protein's interior regions, as determined by X-ray crystallography, and the lower bars denote the protein's exterior regions. [After Kyte, J. and Doolittle, R.F., *J. Mol. Biol.* **157,** 111 (1982).]

Electrostatic Interactions Contribute to Protein Stability. In the closely packed interiors of native proteins, van der Waals forces, which are relatively weak (Section 2-1A), are nevertheless an important stabilizing influence. This is because these forces act only over short distances and hence are lost when the protein is unfolded.

Perhaps surprisingly, *hydrogen bonds, which are central features of protein structures, make only minor contributions to protein stability.* This is because hydrogen-bonding groups in an unfolded protein form hydrogen bonds with water molecules. Thus the contribution of a hydrogen bond to the stability of a native protein is the small difference in hydrogen bonding free energies between the native and unfolded states (-2 to 8 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ as determined by site-directed mutagenesis studies). Nevertheless, hydrogen bonds are important determinants of native protein structures, because if a protein folded in a way that prevented a hydrogen bond from forming, the stabilizing energy of that hydrogen bond would be lost. Hydrogen bonding therefore fine-tunes tertiary structure by "selecting" the unique native structure of a protein from among a relatively small number of hydrophobically stabilized conformations.

The association of two ionic protein groups of opposite charge (e.g., Lys and Asp) is known as an **ion pair** or a **salt bridge**. About 75% of the charged residues in proteins are members of ion pairs that are located mostly on the protein surface (**Fig. 6-36**). Despite the strong electrostatic attraction between the oppositely charged members of an ion pair, these interactions contribute little to the stability of a native protein. This is because the free energy of an ion pair's charge–charge interactions usually fails to compensate for the loss of entropy of the side chains and the loss of solvation free energy when the charged groups form an ion pair. This accounts for the observation that ion pairs are poorly conserved among homologous proteins.

FIG. 6-36 Examples of ion pairs in myoglobin. In each case, oppositely charged side chain groups from residues far apart in sequence closely approach each other through the formation of ion pairs.

Disulfide Bonds Cross-Link Extracellular Proteins. Disulfide bonds (Fig. 4-6) within and between polypeptide chains form as a protein folds to its native conformation. Some polypeptides whose Cys residues have been derivatized or mutagenically replaced to prevent disulfide bond formation can still assume their fully active conformations, suggesting that disulfide bonds are not essential stabilizing forces. They may, however, be important for "locking in" a particular backbone folding pattern as the protein proceeds from its fully extended state to its mature form.

Disulfide bonds are rare in intracellular proteins because the cytoplasm is a reducing environment. Most disulfide bonds occur in proteins that are secreted from the cell into the more oxidizing extracellular environment. The relatively hostile extracellular milieu (e.g., uncontrolled temperature and pH) apparently requires the additional structural constraints conferred by disulfide bonds.

Metal Ions Stabilize Some Small Domains. Metal ions may also function to internally cross-link proteins. For example, at least ten motifs collectively known as **zinc fingers** have been described in nucleic acid–binding proteins. These structures contain about 25 to 60 residues arranged around one or two Zn^{2+} ions that are tetrahedrally coordinated by the side chains of Cys, His, and occasionally Asp or Glu (**Fig. 6-37**). The Zn^{2+} ion allows relatively short stretches of polypeptide chain to fold into stable units that can interact with nucleic acids. Zinc fingers are too small to be stable in the absence of Zn^{2+}. Zinc is ideally suited to its structural role in intracellular proteins: Its filled *d* electron shell permits it to interact strongly with a variety of ligands (e.g., sulfur, nitrogen, or oxygen) from different amino acid

FIG. 6-37 X-Ray structure of a zinc finger motif of the DNA-binding protein Zif268. The polypeptide chain is drawn in ribbon form and colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The side chains of the Cys and His residues that tetrahedrally ligand a Zn^{2+} ion (*cyan sphere*) are drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, and S yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Carl Pabo, MIT. PDBid 1ZAA.]

residues. In addition, zinc has only one stable oxidation state (unlike, for example, copper and iron), so it does not undergo oxidation–reduction reactions in the cell.

B Proteins Can Undergo Denaturation and Renaturation

The low conformational stabilities of native proteins make them easily susceptible to denaturation by altering the balance of the weak nonbonding forces that maintain the native conformation. Proteins can be denatured by a variety of conditions and substances:

1. Heating causes a protein's conformationally sensitive properties, such as optical rotation (Section 4-2), viscosity, and UV absorption, to change abruptly over a narrow temperature range. Such a sharp transition indicates that the entire polypeptide unfolds or "melts" **cooperatively,** that is, nearly simultaneously. Most proteins have melting temperatures that are well below 100°C. Among the exceptions are the proteins of thermophilic bacteria (**Box 6-3**).
2. pH variations alter the ionization states of amino acid side chains, thereby changing protein charge distributions and hydrogen-bonding requirements.
3. Detergents associate with the nonpolar residues of a protein, thereby interfering with the hydrophobic interactions responsible for the protein's native structure.
4. The **chaotropic agents** guanidinium ion and urea,

Guanidinium ion **Urea**

in concentrations in the range 5 to 10 M, are the most commonly used protein denaturants. Chaotropic agents are ions or small organic molecules that increase the solubility of nonpolar substances in water. Their effectiveness as denaturants stems from their ability to disrupt hydrophobic interactions, although their mechanism of action is not well understood.

Box 6-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Thermostable Proteins

Certain species of bacteria known as **hyperthermophiles** grow at temperatures near 100°C. They live in such places as hot springs and submarine hydrothermal vents, with the most extreme, the archaebacterium *Pyrolobus fumarii*, able to grow at temperatures as high as 113°C. These organisms have many of the same metabolic pathways as do **mesophiles** (organisms that grow at "normal" temperatures). However, most mesophilic proteins denature at temperatures at which hyperthermophiles thrive. What is the structural basis for the thermostability of hyperthermophilic proteins?

The difference in the thermal stabilities of the corresponding (hyper) thermophilic and mesophilic proteins does not exceed ~100 kJ · mol^{-1}, the equivalent of a few noncovalent interactions. This is probably why comparisons of the X-ray structures of hyperthermophilic enzymes with their mesophilic counterparts have failed to reveal any striking differences between them. These proteins exhibit some variations in secondary structure but no more than would be expected for homologous proteins from distantly related mesophiles. However, several of these thermostable enzymes have a superabundance of salt bridges on their surfaces, many of which are arranged in extensive networks containing up to 18 side chains.

The idea that salt bridges can stabilize a protein structure appears to contradict the conclusion of Section 6-4A that ion pairs are, at best, marginally stable. The key to this apparent paradox is that *the salt bridges in thermostable proteins form networks.* Thus, the gain in charge–charge free energy on associating a third charged group with an ion pair is comparable to that between the members of this ion pair, whereas the free energy lost on desolvating and immobilizing the third side chain is only about half that lost in bringing together the first two side chains. The same, of course, is true for the addition of a fourth, fifth, etc., side chain to a salt bridge network.

Not all thermostable proteins have such a high incidence of salt bridges. Structural comparisons suggest that these proteins are stabilized by a combination of small effects, the most important of which are an increased size of the protein's hydrophobic core, an increased size of the interface between its domains and/or subunits, and a more tightly packed core as evidenced by a reduced surface-to-volume ratio.

The fact that the proteins of hyperthermophiles and mesophiles are homologous and carry out much the same functions indicates that mesophilic proteins are by no means maximally stable. This, in turn, strongly suggests *that the marginal stability of most proteins under physiological conditions (averaging ~0.4 kJ · mol^{-1} of amino acid residues) is an essential property that has arisen through natural selection.* Perhaps this marginal stability helps confer the structural flexibility that many proteins require to carry out their physiological functions.

Many Denatured Proteins Can Be Renatured. In 1957, the elegant experiments of Christian Anfinsen on **ribonuclease A (RNase A)** showed that proteins can be denatured reversibly. RNase A, a 124-residue single-chain protein, is completely unfolded and its four disulfide bonds reductively cleaved in an 8 M urea solution containing 2-mercaptoethanol. Dialyzing away the urea and reductant and exposing the resulting solution to O_2 at pH 8 (which oxidizes the SH groups to form disulfides) yields a protein that is virtually 100% enzymatically active and physically indistinguishable from native RNase A (Fig. 6-38). The protein must therefore **renature** spontaneously.

The renaturation of RNase A demands that its four disulfide bonds re-form. The probability of one of the eight Cys residues randomly forming a disulfide bond with its proper mate among the other seven Cys residues is 1/7; that of one of the remaining six Cys residues then randomly forming its proper disulfide bond is 1/5; and so on. Thus the overall probability of RNase A re-forming its four native disulfide links at random is

$$\frac{1}{7} \times \frac{1}{5} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{105}$$

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 6-38 Denaturation and renaturation of RNase A. The polypeptide is represented by a blue line, with its disulfide bonds in yellow. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Clearly, the disulfide bonds do not randomly re-form under renaturing conditions, since, if they did, only 1% of the refolded protein would be catalytically active. Indeed, if the RNase A is reoxidized in 8 M urea so that its disulfide bonds re-form while the polypeptide chain is a random coil, then after removal of the urea, the RNase A is, as expected, only ~1% active (Fig. 6-38, Steps 3–4). This "scrambled" protein can be made fully active by exposing it to a trace of 2-mercaptoethanol, which breaks the improper disulfide bonds and allows the proper bonds to form. *Anfinsen's work demonstrated that proteins can fold spontaneously into their native conformations under physiological conditions. This implies that a protein's primary structure dictates its three-dimensional structure.*

C Proteins Are Dynamic

The static way that protein structures are usually portrayed may leave the false impression that proteins have fixed and rigid structures. In fact, proteins are flexible and rapidly fluctuating molecules whose structural mobilities are functionally significant. Groups ranging in size from individual side chains to entire domains or subunits may be displaced by up to several angstroms through random intramolecular movements or in response to a trigger such as the binding of a small molecule. Extended side chains, such as those of Lys, and the N- and C-termini of polypeptide chains are especially prone to wave around in solution because there are few forces holding them in place.

Theoretical calculations by Martin Karplus indicate that a protein's native structure probably consists of a large collection of rapidly interconverting conformations that have essentially equal stabilities (Fig. 6-39). Conformational flexibility, or **breathing**, with structural displacement of up to ~2 Å, allows small molecules to diffuse in and out of the interior of certain proteins. In some cases, a protein's conformational flexibility includes two stable alternatives in dynamic equilibrium. A change in cellular conditions, such as pH or oxidation state, or the presence of a binding partner can tip the balance toward one conformation or the other.

Many Proteins Contain Unfolded Regions. An entire protein or a long polypeptide segment (>30 residues) may lack defined structure in its native state. Such **intrinsically disordered proteins** are characterized by sequences rich in certain polar and charged amino acids (Gln, Ser, Pro, Glu, Lys, Gly, and Ala) and lacking in bulky hydrophobic groups (Val, Leu, Ile, Met, Phe, Trp, and Tyr). Sequence analysis suggests that nearly half of all eukaryotic proteins contain long disordered segments, whereas only a few percent of prokaryotic proteins do. Not surprisingly, programs designed to predict intrinsic disorder indicate that the polypeptides in sequence databases contain a far greater proportion of intrinsically disordered regions than do the polypeptides in the much smaller structural databases. Also, disease-associated proteins are predicted to be rich in disordered regions. In general, intrinsically disordered proteins tend to participate in signaling and regulation, whereas ordered proteins are involved largely in catalytic reactions, transport processes, and structural functions.

Intrinsically disordered proteins often adopt a specific secondary or tertiary structure when they bind to other molecules such as ions, organic molecules, proteins, and nucleic acids. For example, the transcription factor known as **CREB (cyclic AMP response element–binding protein)** is disordered when

Courtesy Martin Karplus, Harvard University

FIG. 6-39 Molecular dynamics of myoglobin. Several "snapshots" of the protein calculated at intervals of 5×10^{-12} s are superimposed. The backbone is blue, the heme group is yellow, and the His side chain linking the heme to the protein is orange.

FIG. 6-40 Conformational change in a CREB domain. The backbone of the kinase-inducible domain (KID) of CREB is drawn as a pink worm. It is unstructured when free in solution (*left*) but becomes two perpendicular helices when it forms a complex with the KID-binding domain of another protein (shown with its solvent-accessible surface in gray, *right*). The KID residues Ser 133, which has a covalently attached phosphoryl group, and Leu 141 are drawn in ball-and-stick form with C green, O red, and P yellow. [Courtesy of Peter Wright, Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California. PDBid 1KDX.]

free in solution but folds to an ordered conformation when it interacts with the CREB-binding protein (Fig. 6-40). Apparently, the increased flexibility of disordered protein segments enables them to perform a relatively unhindered conformational search when binding to their target molecules. In some cases, it also allows them to bind to several different target proteins in different conformations. It has been suggested that a structured globular protein would have to be two to three times larger than a disordered protein to provide the same size intermolecular interface; hence, the use of disordered proteins provides genetic economy and reduces intracellular crowding.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the hydropathic index plot for a fibrous protein such as collagen or keratin.
- Describe the forces that stabilize proteins, and rank their relative importance.
- Summarize the results of Anfinsen's experiment with RNase A.
- Why would it be advantageous for a protein or a segment of a protein to lack defined secondary or tertiary structure?

5 Protein Folding

KEY CONCEPTS

- A folding protein follows a pathway from high energy and high entropy to low energy and low entropy.
- Protein disulfide isomerase catalyzes disulfide bond formation.
- A variety of molecular chaperones assist protein folding via an ATP-dependent bind-and-release mechanism.
- Amyloid diseases result from protein misfolding.
- The misfolded proteins form fibrils containing extensive β structure.

Studies of protein stability and renaturation suggest that protein folding is directed largely by the residues that occupy the interior of the folded protein. But *how* does a protein fold to its native conformation? One might guess that this process occurs through the protein's random exploration of all the conformations available to it until it eventually stumbles onto the correct one. A simple calculation first made by Cyrus Levinthal, however, convincingly demonstrates that this cannot possibly be the case: Assume that an n-residue protein's 2^n torsion angles, ϕ and ψ, each have three stable conformations. This yields $3^{2n} \approx 10^n$ possible conformations for the protein (a gross underestimate because we have completely neglected its side chains). Then, if the protein could explore a new conformation every 10^{-13} s (the rate at which single bonds reorient), the time t, in seconds, required for the protein to explore all the conformations available to it is

$$t = \frac{10^n}{10^{13}}$$

For a small protein of 100 residues, $t = 10^{87}$ s, which is immensely greater than the apparent age of the universe (~13.7 billion years $= 4.3 \times 10^{17}$ s). Clearly, proteins must fold more rapidly than this.

A Proteins Follow Folding Pathways

Experiments have shown that many proteins fold to their native conformations in less than a few seconds (and even microseconds for some small proteins). This is

FIG. 6-41 Hypothetical protein folding pathway. This example shows a linear pathway for folding a two-domain protein. [After Goldberg, M.E., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **10,** 389 (1985).]

because *proteins fold to their native conformations via directed pathways rather than stumbling on them through random conformational searches.* Thus, as a protein folds, its conformational stability increases sharply (i.e., its free energy decreases sharply), which makes folding a one-way process. A hypothetical folding pathway is diagrammed in Fig. 6-41.

Experimental observations indicate that protein folding begins with the formation of local segments of secondary structure (α helices and β sheets). This early stage of protein folding is extremely rapid, with much of the native secondary structure in small proteins appearing within 5 ms of the initiation of folding. Because native proteins contain compact hydrophobic cores, it is likely that the driving force in protein folding is what has been termed a **hydrophobic collapse**. The collapsed state is known as a **molten globule**, a species that has much of the secondary structure of the native protein but little of its tertiary structure. Theoretical studies suggest that helices and sheets form in part because they are particularly compact ways of folding a polypeptide chain.

Over the next 5 to 1000 ms, the secondary structure becomes stabilized and tertiary structure begins to form. During this intermediate stage, the nativelike elements are thought to take the form of subdomains that are not yet properly docked to form domains. In the final stage of folding, which for small, single-domain proteins occurs over the next few seconds, the protein undergoes a series of complex rearrangements in which it attains its relatively stable internal side chain packing and hydrogen bonding while it expels the remaining water molecules from its hydrophobic core.

In multidomain and multisubunit proteins, the respective units then assemble in a similar manner, with a few slight conformational adjustments required to produce the protein's native tertiary or quaternary structure. Thus, *proteins appear to fold in a hierarchical manner, with small local elements of structure forming and then coalescing to yield larger elements, which coalesce with other such elements to form yet larger elements, etc.*

Folding, like denaturation, is a cooperative process, with small elements of structure accelerating the formation of additional structures. A folding protein must proceed from a high-energy, high-entropy state to a low-energy, low-entropy state. This energy–entropy relationship, which is diagrammed in Fig. 6-42, is known as a **folding funnel.** An unfolded polypeptide has

FIG. 6-42 Energy–entropy diagram for protein folding. The surface of the folding funnel represents all possible conformations that the polypeptide can assume with each point on it corresponding to a specific conformation. The height of each point is indicative of the conformation's energy, and the funnel's width at that energy is indicative of the polypeptide's entropy (number of different conformations it can assume with that energy). The unfolded polypeptide proceeds from a high-energy, high-entropy (*wide*), disordered state to a low-energy, low-entropy (*narrow*), native conformation. Note that folding can occur via multiple trajectories (e.g., *arrows*), each starting from a different unfolded structure at the top of the energy landscape, and that it has many high-energy, partially folded structures (occupying the false energy minima) and only a few low-energy, native structures (at the global energy minimum). [Courtesy of Ken Dill, Stony Brook University.]

many possible conformations (high entropy). As it folds into an ever-decreasing number of possible conformations, its entropy and free energy decrease. The energy–entropy diagram is not a smooth valley, but a jagged landscape. The minor clefts and gullies on the sides of the funnel (false energy minima) represent partially folded conformations that are temporarily trapped until, through random thermal activation or the action of molecular chaperones (Section 6-5B), they overcome an "uphill" free energy barrier and can then proceed to a lower energy conformation. Evidently, *proteins have evolved to have efficient folding pathways as well as stable native conformations*.

Understanding the process of protein folding as well as the forces that stabilize folded proteins is essential for elucidating the rules that govern the relationship between a protein's amino acid sequence and its three-dimensional structure. Such information will prove useful in predicting the structures of the millions of proteins that are known only from their sequences (Box 6-4).

Box 6-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Protein Structure Prediction and Protein Design

Around 50 million polypeptide sequences are known, yet the structures of only ~110,000 proteins have been determined. Consequently there is a need to develop robust techniques for predicting a protein's structure from its amino acid sequence. This represents a formidable challenge but promises great rewards in terms of understanding protein function, identifying diseases related to abnormal protein sequences, and designing drugs to alter protein structure or function.

There are several major approaches to protein structure prediction. The simplest and most reliable approach, **homology modeling,** aligns the sequence of interest with the sequence of a homologous protein or domain of known structure—compensating for amino acid substitutions, insertions, and deletions—through modeling and energy minimization calculations. This method yields reliable models for proteins that have as little as 25% sequence identity with a protein of known structure, although, of course, the accuracy of the model increases with the degree of sequence identity. The field of **structural genomics,** which seeks to determine the X-ray structures of all representative domains, is aimed at expanding this predictive technique. The identification of structural homology is likely to provide clues as to a protein's function even with imperfect structure prediction.

Distantly related proteins may be structurally similar even though they have diverged to such an extent that their sequences show no obvious resemblance. **Threading** is a computational technique that attempts to determine the unknown structure of a protein by ascertaining whether it is consistent with a known protein structure. It does so by placing (threading) the unknown protein's residues along the backbone of a known protein structure and then determining whether the amino acid side chains of the unknown protein are stable in that arrangement. This method is not yet reliable, although it has yielded encouraging results.

Empirical methods based on experimentally determined statistical information such as the α helix and β sheet propensities deduced by Chou and Fasman (Table 6-1) have been moderately successful in predicting the secondary structures of proteins. Their main drawback is that neighboring residues in a polypeptide sometimes exert strong influence on a given residue's tendency to form a particular secondary structure.

Because the native structure of a protein ultimately depends on its amino acid sequence, it should be possible, in principle, to predict the structure of a protein based only on its chemical and physical properties (e.g., the hydrophobicity, size, hydrogen-bonding propensity, and charge of each of its amino acid residues). Among the most successful of these ***ab initio*** (from the beginning) methods is the **Rosetta** program, formulated by David Baker. To satisfy the program's computational needs, a volunteer network of ~100,000 computers, known as Rosetta@home, provides the 500,000 or so hours of processing time required to generate a structure. Two examples of successful protein structure prediction by Rosetta appear above, marked (*a*) and (*b*). The predicted model (*gray*) for each of these bacterial proteins is superimposed on the experimentally determined X-ray structure, colored in rainbow order from N-terminus (*blue*) to C-terminus (*red*) with core side chains drawn as sticks.

Protein design, the experimental inverse of protein structure prediction, has provided insights into protein folding and stability. Protein design attempts to construct an amino acid sequence that will form a structure such as a sandwich of β sheets or a bundle of α helices. The

designed polypeptide is then chemically or biologically synthesized, and its structure is determined. Experimental results suggest that the greatest challenge of protein design may lie not in getting the polypeptide to fold to the desired conformation but in preventing it from folding into other unwanted conformations. In this respect, science lags far behind nature.

The first wholly successful *de novo* (beginning anew) protein design, accomplished by Stephen Mayo, was for a 28-residue ββα motif that has a backbone conformation designed to resemble a zinc finger (Fig. 6-37) but contains no stabilizing metal ions. A computational design process considered the interactions among side chain and backbone atoms, screened all possible amino acid sequences, and, in order to take into account side chain flexibility, tested all sets of energetically allowed torsion angles for each side chain. The number of amino acid sequences to be tested was limited to 1.9×10^{27}, representing 1.1×10^{62} possible conformations! The design process yielded an optimal sequence of 28 residues, which was chemically synthesized and its structure determined by NMR spectroscopy. The designed protein, called FSD-1, closely resembled its predicted structure, and its backbone conformation (*blue*) was nearly superimposable on that of a known zinc finger motif (*red*). Although FSD-1 is relatively small, it folds into a unique stable structure, thereby demonstrating the power of protein design techniques.

[Figures courtesy of Gautam Dantas, Washington University School of Medicine; PDBids 1WHZ and 2HH6; and Stephen Mayo, California Institute of Technology.]

Protein Disulfide Isomerase Acts during Protein Folding. Even under optimal experimental conditions, proteins often fold more slowly *in vitro* than they fold *in vivo*. One reason is that folding proteins often form disulfide bonds not present in the native proteins, and then slowly form native disulfide bonds through the process of disulfide interchange. **Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI)** catalyzes this process. Indeed, the observation that RNase A folds so much faster *in vivo* than *in vitro* led Anfinsen to discover this enzyme.

PDI binds to a wide variety of unfolded polypeptides via a hydrophobic patch on its surface. A Cys—SH group on reduced (SH-containing) PDI reacts with a disulfide group on the polypeptide to form a mixed disulfide and a Cys—SH group on the polypeptide (**Fig. 6-43*a***). Another disulfide group on the polypeptide, brought into proximity by the spontaneous folding of the polypeptide, is attacked by this Cys—SH group. The newly liberated Cys—SH group then repeats this process with another disulfide bond, and so on, ultimately yielding the polypeptide containing only native disulfide bonds, along with regenerated PDI.

Oxidized (disulfide-containing) PDI also catalyzes the initial formation of a polypeptide's disulfide bonds by a similar mechanism (**Fig. 6-43*b***). In this case, the reduced PDI reaction product must be reoxidized by cellular oxidizing agents in order to repeat the process.

B Molecular Chaperones Assist Protein Folding

Proteins begin to fold as they are being synthesized, so the renaturation of a denatured protein *in vitro* may not entirely mimic the folding of a protein *in vivo*. In addition, proteins fold *in vivo* in the presence of extremely high concentrations of other proteins with which they can potentially interact. ***Molecular chaperones*** *are essential proteins that bind to unfolded and partially folded polypeptide chains to disrupt the improper association of exposed hydrophobic segments that would otherwise lead to non-native folding as well as polypeptide aggregation and precipitation.* In essence, molecular chaperones function to lift folding polypeptides out of the false minima in their folding funnels (Fig. 6-42). This is especially important for multidomain and multisubunit proteins, whose components must fold fully before they can properly associate with each other.

Many molecular chaperones were first described as **heat shock proteins (Hsp)** because their rate of synthesis is increased at elevated temperatures. Presumably, the additional chaperones are required to recover heat-denatured proteins or to prevent misfolding under conditions of environmental stress.

FIG. 6-43 Mechanism of protein disulfide isomerase. (*a*) Reduced (SH-containing) PDI catalyzes the rearrangement of a polypeptide's non-native disulfide bonds via disulfide interchange reactions to yield native disulfide bonds. (*b*) Oxidized (disulfide-containing) PDI catalyzes the initial formation of a polypeptide's disulfide bonds through the formation of a mixed disulfide. Reduced PDI can then react with a cellular oxidizing agent to regenerate oxidized PDI. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

Cells Contain a Variety of Molecular Chaperones. There are several classes of molecular chaperones in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, including the following:

1. The **Hsp70,** a family of highly conserved 70-kD proteins in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. In association with the **cochaperone** protein **Hsp40,** they facilitate the folding of newly synthesized proteins and reverse the denaturation and aggregation of proteins. Hsp70 proteins also function to unfold proteins in preparation for their transport through membranes (Section 9-4D) and to subsequently refold them.
2. **Trigger factor,** a ribosome-associated chaperone in prokaryotes that prevents the aggregation of polypeptides as they emerge from the ribosome (Section 27-5A). Trigger factor and Hsp70 are the first chaperones a newly made prokaryotic protein encounters. Subsequently, many partially folded proteins are handed off to other chaperones to complete the folding process. *E. coli* can tolerate the elimination of trigger factor or Hsp70, but not both, thereby indicating that they are functionally redundant. Eukaryotes lack trigger factor but contain other small chaperones that have similar functions.

3. The **chaperonins,** which form large, multisubunit, cagelike assemblies in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. They bind improperly folded proteins and induce them to refold inside an internal cavity (see below).
4. The **Hsp90,** a family of 90-kD eukaryotic proteins that mainly facilitate the late stages of folding of proteins involved in cellular signaling (Chapter 13). Hsp90 proteins are among the most abundant proteins in eukaryotes, accounting for up to 6% of cellular protein under stressful conditions that destabilize proteins.

Most Chaperones Require ATP. All molecular chaperones operate by binding to an unfolded or aggregated protein's solvent-exposed hydrophobic surface and subsequently releasing it. The disruption of intramolecular and/or intermolecular aggregates by chaperone binding permits the substrate protein to continue folding after its release. In most cases, this bind-and-release process is repeated several times before the substrate protein reaches its native state, whereupon it no longer has the exposed hydrophobic surfaces to which chaperones bind.

Most molecular chaperones are **ATPases**; that is, enzymes that catalyze the hydrolysis of ATP (adenosine triphosphate) to ADP (adenosine diphosphate) and P_i (inorganic phosphate):

$$\text{ATP} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{ADP} + \text{P}_i$$

The ATP complex of the chaperone binds the unfolded or aggregated substrate protein, whereas its ADP complex releases it. Thus, the favorable free energy change of ATP hydrolysis drives the chaperone's bind-and-release reaction cycle.

The GroEL/ES Chaperonin Forms Closed Chambers in Which Proteins Fold. The chaperonins in *E. coli* consist of two types of subunits named **GroEL** and **GroES**. The X-ray structure of a GroEL–GroES–$(\text{ADP})_7$ complex (**Fig. 6-44**), determined by Arthur Horwich and Paul Sigler, reveals 14 identical 549-residue GroEL subunits arranged in two stacked rings of seven subunits each. This complex is capped at one end by a domelike heptameric ring of 97-residue GroES

FIG. 6-44 X-Ray structure of the GroEL–GroES–$(\text{ADP})_7$ complex. (*a*) A space-filling drawing as viewed perpendicularly to the complex's sevenfold axis with the GroES ring orange, the cis ring of GroEL green, and the trans ring of GroEL red with one subunit of each ring shaded more brightly. The dimensions of the complex are indicated. Note the different conformations of the two GroEL rings. The ADPs, whose binding sites are in the base of each cis ring GroEL subunit, are not seen because they are surrounded by protein. (*b*) As in Part *a* but viewed along the sevenfold axis. (*c*) As in Part *a* but with the two GroEL subunits closest to the viewer in both the cis and trans rings removed to expose the interior of the complex. The level of fog increases with the distance from the viewer. Note the much larger size of the cavity formed by the cis ring and GroES in comparison to that of the trans ring. [Based on an X-ray structure by Paul Sigler, Yale University. PDBid 1AON.]

subunits to form a bullet-shaped complex with C_7 symmetry. The two GroEL rings each enclose a central chamber with a diameter of ~45 Å in which partially folded proteins fold to their native conformations. A barrier in the center of the complex (Fig. 6-44*c*) prevents a folding protein from passing between the two GroEL chambers. The GroEL ring that contacts the GroES heptamer is called the cis ring; the opposing GroEL ring is known as the trans ring.

ATP Binding and Hydrolysis Drive the Conformational Changes in GroEL/ES. Each GroEL subunit has a binding pocket for ATP that catalyzes the hydrolysis of its bound ATP to ADP + P_i. When the cis ring subunits hydrolyze their bound ATP molecules and release the product P_i, the protein undergoes a conformational change that widens and elongates the cis inner cavity so as to more than double its volume from 85,000 $Å^3$ to 175,000 $Å^3$. (In the structure shown in Fig. 6-44, the cis ring has already hydrolyzed its seven molecules of ATP to ADP.) The expanded cavity can enclose a partially folded substrate protein of at least 70 kD. *All seven subunits of the GroEL ring act in concert; that is, they are mechanically linked such that they change their conformations simultaneously.*

The cis and trans GroEL rings undergo conformational changes in a reciprocating fashion, with events in one ring influencing events in the other ring. The entire GroEL/ES chaperonin complex functions as follows (**Fig. 6-45**):

1. One GroEL ring that has bound 7 ATP also binds an improperly folded substrate protein, which associates with hydrophobic patches that line the inner wall of the GroEL chamber. The GroES cap then binds to the GroEL ring like a lid on a pot, inducing a conformational change in the resulting cis ring that buries the hydrophobic patches, thereby depriving the substrate

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 6-45 Reaction cycle of the GroEL/ES chaperonin. The protein complex is colored as in Fig. 6-44. See the text for an explanation.
WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

protein of its binding sites. This releases the substrate protein into the now enlarged and closed cavity, where it commences folding. The cavity, which is now lined only with hydrophilic groups, provides the substrate protein with an isolated microenvironment that prevents it from nonspecifically aggregating with other misfolded proteins. Moreover, the conformational change that buries GroEL's hydrophobic patches stretches and thereby partially unfolds the improperly folded substrate protein before it is released. This rescues the substrate protein from a local energy minimum in which it had become trapped (Fig. 6-42), thereby permitting it to continue its conformational journey down the folding funnel toward its native state (the state of lowest free energy).

2. Within, ~10 s (the time the substrate protein has to fold), the cis ring catalyzes the hydrolysis of its 7 bound ATPs to ADP + P_i and the P_i is released. The absence of ATP's γ phosphate group weakens the interactions that bind GroES to GroEL.
3. A second molecule of improperly folded substrate protein binds to the trans ring followed by 7 ATP. Conformational linkages between the cis and trans rings prevent the binding of both substrate protein and ATP to the trans ring until the ATP in the cis ring has been hydrolyzed.
4. The binding of substrate protein and ATP to the trans ring conformationally induces the cis ring to release its bound GroES, 7 ADP, and the presumably now better-folded substrate protein. This leaves ATP and substrate protein bound only to the trans ring of GroEL, which now becomes the cis ring as it binds GroES.

Steps 1 through 4 are then repeated. The GroEL/ES system expends 7 ATPs per folding cycle. If the released substrate protein has not achieved its native state, it may subsequently rebind to GroEL (a substrate protein that has achieved its native fold lacks exposed hydrophobic groups and hence cannot rebind to GroEL). Typically, only ~5% of substrate proteins fold to their native state in each reaction cycle. Thus, to fold half the substrate protein present would require $\log(1 - 0.5)/\log(1 - 0.05) \approx 14$ reaction cycles and hence $7 \times 14 = 98$ ATPs (which appears to be a profligate use of ATP but constitutes only a small fraction of the thousands of ATPs that must be hydrolyzed to synthesize a typical polypeptide and its component amino acids). Because protein folding occurs alternately in the two GroEL rings, the proper functioning of the chaperonin requires both GroEL rings, even though their two cavities are unconnected.

Experiments indicate that the GroEL/ES system interacts with only a subset of *E. coli* proteins, most with molecular masses in the range 20 to 60 kD. These proteins tend to contain two or more α/β domains that mainly consist of open β sheets. Such proteins are expected to fold only slowly to their native state because the formation of hydrophobic sheets requires a large number of specific long-range interactions. Proteins dissociate from GroEL/ES after folding, but some frequently revisit the chaperonin, apparently because they are structurally labile or prone to aggregate and must return to GroEL for periodic maintenance.

Eukaryotic cells contain the chaperonin **TRiC,** with double rings of eight nonidentical subunits, each of which resembles a GroEL subunit. However, the TRiC proteins contain an additional segment that acts as a built-in lid, so the complex encloses a polypeptide chain and mediates protein folding without the assistance of a GroES-like cochaperone. Like its bacterial counterpart, TRiC operates in an ATP-dependent fashion. Around 10% of eukaryotic proteins transiently interact with TRiC.

Chaperones Facilitate Protein Evolution. Chaperones may reduce the effects of a mutation in a protein that would otherwise preclude its proper folding. Subsequent mutations could then improve the protein's folding efficiency and solubility, thereby reducing its dependence on chaperones and increasing its abundance. Thus, chaperones increase the range of mutations that are subject to Darwinian selection.

TABLE 6-4 Some Protein Misfolding Diseases

Disease	Defective Protein
Alzheimer's disease	Amyloid-β protein
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	Superoxide dismutase
Fibrinogen amyloidosis	Fibrinogen α chain
Huntington's disease	Huntingtin with polyglutamate expansion
Light chain amyloidosis	Immunoglobulin light chain
Lysozyme amyloidosis	Lysozyme
Parkinson's disease	α-Synuclein
Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs)	Prion protein

C Many Diseases Are Caused by Protein Misfolding

Most proteins in the body maintain their native conformations or, if they become partially denatured, are either renatured through the auspices of molecular chaperones or are proteolytically degraded (Section 21-1). However, at least 35 different—and usually fatal—human diseases are associated with the extracellular deposition of normally soluble proteins in certain tissues in the form of insoluble fibrous aggregates (Table 6-4). The aggregates are known as **amyloids**, a term that means starchlike because it was originally thought that they resembled starch.

The diseases, known as **amyloidoses,** are a set of relatively rare inherited diseases in which mutant forms of normally occurring proteins [e.g., **lysozyme,** an enzyme that hydrolyzes bacterial cell walls (Section 11-4), and **fibrinogen,** a blood plasma protein that is the precursor of **fibrin,** which forms blood clots (Box 11-4)] accumulate in a variety of tissues as amyloids. The symptoms of amyloidoses usually do not become apparent until the third to seventh decade of life and typically progress over 5 to 15 years, ending in death.

Amyloid-β Protein Accumulates in Alzheimer's Disease. **Alzheimer's disease**, a neurodegenerative condition that strikes mainly the elderly, causes devastating mental deterioration and eventual death (it affects ~10% of those over 65 and ~50% of those over 85). It is characterized by brain tissue containing abundant amyloid **plaques** (deposits) surrounded by dead and dying neurons (Fig. 6-46). The amyloid plaques consist mainly of fibrils of a 40- to 42-residue protein named **amyloid-β protein (Aβ).** Aβ is a fragment of a 770-residue membrane protein called the **Aβ precursor protein (APP),** whose normal function is unknown. Aβ is excised from APP in a multistep process through the actions of two proteolytic enzymes dubbed **β-** and **γ-secretases.** The neurotoxic effects of Aβ begin even before significant amyloid deposits appear (see below).

The age dependence of Alzheimer's disease suggests that Aβ deposition is an ongoing process. Indeed, several rare mutations in the APP gene that increase the rate of Aβ production result in the onset of Alzheimer's disease as early as the fourth decade of life. A similar phenomenon occurs in individuals with **Down syndrome,** a condition characterized by mental retardation and a distinctive physical appearance caused by the trisomy (3 copies per cell) of chromosome 21 rather than the normal two copies. These individuals invariably develop Alzheimer's disease by their 40th year because the gene encoding APP is located on chromosome 21 and hence individuals with Down syndrome produce APP and presumably Aβ at an accelerated rate. Consequently, a promising strategy for halting the progression of Alzheimer's disease is to develop drugs that selectively inhibit the action of the β- and/or γ-secretases to decrease the rate of Aβ production.

Courtesy of Dennis Selkoe and Marcia Podlisny, Harvard University Medical School

FIG. 6-46 Brain tissue from an individual with Alzheimer's disease. The two circular objects in this photomicrograph are plaques that consist of amyloid deposits of Aβ protein surrounded by a halo of neurites (axons and dendrites) from dead and dying neurons.

Courtesy Stanley Prusiner, University of California at San Francisco Medical Center

FIG. 6-47 Electron micrograph of a cluster of partially proteolyzed prion rods. The black dots are colloidal gold beads that are coupled to anti-PrP antibodies adhering to the PrP.

Prion Diseases Are Infectious. Certain diseases that affect the mammalian central nervous system were originally thought to be caused by "slow viruses" because they take months, years, or even decades to develop. Among them are **scrapie** (a neurological disorder of sheep and goats), **bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE** or **mad cow disease),** and **kuru** (a degenerative brain disease in humans that was transmitted by ritual cannibalism among the Fore people of Papua New Guinea; *kuru* means "trembling"). There is also a sporadic (spontaneously arising) human disease with similar symptoms, **Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD),** which strikes one person per million per year and which may be identical to kuru. In all these invariably fatal diseases, neurons develop large vacuoles that give brain tissue a spongelike microscopic appearance. Hence the diseases are collectively known as **transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs)**.

Unlike other infectious diseases, *the TSEs are not caused by a virus or microorganism.* Indeed, extensive investigations have failed to show that they are associated with any nucleic acid. Instead, as Stanley Prusiner demonstrated for scrapie, the infectious agent is a protein called a **prion** (for *pro*teinaceous *in*fectious particle that lacks nucleic acid); hence TSEs are alternatively called **prion diseases.** The scrapie prion, which is named **PrP** (for *Pr*ion *P*rotein), consists of 208 mostly hydrophobic residues. This hydrophobicity causes partially proteolyzed PrP to aggregate as clusters of rodlike particles that closely resemble the amyloid fibrils seen on electron microscopic examination of prion-infected brain tissue (**Fig. 6-47**). These fibrils presumably form the amyloid plaques that accompany the neuronal degeneration in TSEs.

How are prion diseases transmitted? **PrP** is the product of a normal cellular gene that has no known function (genetically engineered mice that fail to express PrP appear to be normal). Infection of cells by prions somehow alters the PrP protein. Various methods have demonstrated that the scrapie form of PrP (**PrP^{Sc}**) is identical to normal cellular PrP (**PrP^{C}**) in sequence but differs in secondary and/or tertiary structure. This suggests that *PrP^{Sc} induces PrP^{C} to adopt the conformation of PrP^{Sc};* that is, a small amount of PrP^{Sc} triggers the formation of additional PrP^{Sc} from PrP^{C}, which triggers more PrP^{Sc} to form, and so on. This accounts for the observation that mice that do not express the gene encoding PrP cannot be infected with scrapie.

Human PrP^{C} consists of a disordered (and hence unseen) 98-residue N-terminal "tail" and a 110-residue C-terminal globular domain containing three α helices and a short two-stranded antiparallel β sheet (**Fig. 6-48*a***). Unfortunately the insolubility of PrP^{Sc} has precluded its structural determination, but spectroscopic methods indicate that it has a lower α helix content and a higher β sheet content than PrP^{C}. This suggests that the protein has refolded (**Fig. 6-48*b***). The high β sheet content of PrP^{Sc} presumably facilitates the aggregation of PrP^{Sc} as amyloid fibrils (see below).

TSEs can be transmitted by the consumption of nerve tissue from infected individuals, as illustrated by the incidence of BSE. This disease was unknown before 1985 but reached epidemic proportions among cattle in the U.K. in 1993. The rise in BSE reflects the practice, beginning in the 1970s, of feeding cattle preparations of meat and bone meal that were derived from other animals by a method that failed to inactivate prions. The BSE epidemic abated due to the banning of such feeding in 1988, together with the slaughter of large numbers of animals at risk for having BSE. However, it is now clear that BSE was transmitted to humans who ate meat from BSE-infected cattle: Some 200 cases of so-called **new variant CJD** have been reported to date, almost entirely in the U.K., many of which occurred in teenagers and young adults. Before 1994, however, CJD under the age of 40 was extremely rare. It should be noted that the transmission of BSE from cattle to humans was unexpected: Scrapie-infected sheep have long been consumed worldwide and yet the incidence of CJD in mainly meat-eating countries such as the U.K. (in which sheep are particularly abundant) was no greater than that in largely vegetarian countries such as India.

Evidence is accumulating that many neurodegenerative diseases are prion diseases. For example, the intracerebral innoculation of marmoset monkeys with brain homogenates from humans with Alzheimer's disease produced Aβ plaques

(a) (b)

FIG. 6-48 Prion protein conformations. (*a*) The NMR structure of human prion protein (PrP^C). The protein, missing its first 23 residues, is drawn in ribbon form with helices red, β sheets green, and other segments orange. Its disulfide bond is shown in yellow. (*b*) A plausible model for the structure of PrP^{Sc} represented as in Part *a*. Note the formation of structure in what was the flexibly disordered N-terminal region. [Courtesy of Fred Cohen, University of California at San Francisco. Part *a* based on an NMR structure by Kurt Wüthrich, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Zurich, Switzerland. PDBid 1QLX.]

in the monkeys with an incubation time of 3.5 years. Similarly, fetal brain cells that had been grafted into individuals with **Parkinson's disease** (a neurodegenerative disease of mainly the elderly whose symptoms include tremors, rigidity, and slowness of movement and which is characterized by the neuronal accumulation of the protein **α-synuclein** into amyloid inclusions called **Lewy bodies**), exhibited Lewy bodies a decade after their transplantation. Prusiner has therefore postulated that all neurodegenerative diseases are prion diseases.

Amyloid Fibrils Are β Sheet Structures. The amyloid fibers that characterize the amyloidoses, Alzheimer's disease, and the TSEs are built from proteins that exhibit no structural or functional similarities in their native states. In contrast, the appearance of their fibrillar forms is strikingly similar. Spectroscopic analysis of amyloid fibrils indicates that they are rich in β structure, with individual β strands oriented perpendicular to the fiber axis (Fig. 6-49). Furthermore, the ability to form amyloid fibrils is not unique to the small set of proteins associated with specific diseases. Under the appropriate conditions, almost any protein can be induced to aggregate. Thus, *the ability to form amyloid may be an intrinsic property of all polypeptide chains.*

A variety of experiments indicate that amyloidogenic mutant proteins are significantly less stable than their wild-type counterparts (e.g., they have significantly lower melting temperatures). This suggests that the partially unfolded, aggregation-prone forms are in equilibrium with the native conformation even under conditions in which the native state is thermodynamically stable [keep in mind that the equilibrium ratio of unfolded (U) to native (N) protein molecules in the reaction $N \rightleftharpoons U$ is governed by Eq. 1-17: $K_{eq} = [U]/[N] = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$, where $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ is the

Courtesy Colin Blake, Oxford University, Oxford, U.K., and Louise Serpell, University of Cambridge, U.K.

(a) (b)

FIG. 6-49 Model of an amyloid fibril. (*a*) The model, based on X-ray fiber diffraction measurements, is viewed normal to the fibril axis (*above*) and along the fibril axis (*below*). The arrowheads indicate the path but not necessarily the direction of the β strands. (*b*) A single β sheet, which is shown for clarity. The loop regions connecting the β strands have unknown structure.

standard free energy of unfolding, so that as $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ decreases, the equilibrium proportion of U increases]. It is therefore likely that fibril formation is initiated by the association of the β domains of two or more partially unfolded amyloidogenic proteins to form a more extensive β sheet. This would provide a template or nucleus for the recruitment of additional polypeptide chains to form the growing fibril. Because most amyloid diseases require several decades to become symptomatic, the development of an amyloid nucleus must be a rare event. Once an amyloid fiber begins to grow, however, its development is more rapid.

The factors that trigger amyloid formation remain obscure, even when mutations (in the case of hereditary amyloidoses) or infection (in the case of TSEs) appear to be the cause. After it has formed, an amyloid fibril is virtually indestructible under physiological conditions, possibly due to the large number of main-chain hydrogen bonds that must be broken to separate the individual polypeptide strands (side chain interactions are less important in stabilizing β sheets). It seems likely that protein folding pathways have evolved not only to allow polypeptides to assume stable native structures but also to avoid forming interchain hydrogen bonds that would lead to fibril formation.

Are fibrillar deposits directly responsible for the neurodegeneration seen in many amyloid diseases? A growing body of evidence suggests that cellular damage begins when the misfolded proteins first aggregate but are still soluble. For example, in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease, cognitive impairment is evident before amyloid plaques develop. Other experiments show that the most infectious prion preparations contain just 14 to 28 PrP^{Sc} molecules; that is, a nucleus for a fibril, not the fibril itself. Even a modest number of misfolded protein molecules could be toxic if they prevented the cell's chaperones from assisting other more critical proteins to fold. The appearance of extracellular—and sometimes intracellular—amyloid fibrils may simply represent the accumulation of protein that has overwhelmed the cellular mechanisms that govern protein folding or the disposal of misfolded proteins.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the energy and entropy changes that occur during protein folding.
- Explain why it is important for protein disulfide isomerase to catalyze both the breaking and formation of disulfide bonds.
- How does protein renaturation *in vitro* differ from protein folding *in vivo?*
- Explain why a protein such as RNase A can be easily denatured and renatured *in vitro,* whereas most proteins that are denatured do not refold properly *in vitro.*
- Explain the role of ATP in the action of Hsp70 and GroEL/ES.
- Why would cells need more than one type of chaperone?
- Why do proteins vary in their need for chaperones?
- What are amyloid fibrils, what is their origin, and why are they harmful?
- Explain what must happen in order for a folded globular protein to form an amyloid fiber.
- Why are amyloid diseases more common in older individuals?

Summary

1 Secondary Structure

- Four levels of structural complexity are used to describe the three-dimensional shapes of proteins.
- The conformation of a polypeptide backbone is described by its ϕ and ψ torsion angles.
- The α helix is a regular secondary structure in which hydrogen bonds form between backbone groups four residues apart. In the β sheet, hydrogen bonds form between the backbones of separate polypeptide segments.
- Fibrous proteins are characterized by a single type of secondary structure: α keratin is a left-handed coil of two α helices, and collagen is a left-handed triple helix in which each strand has the repeating sequence Gly-X-Y, where X is often Pro and Y is often Hyp.

2 Tertiary Structure

- The structures of proteins have been determined mainly by X-ray crystallography, NMR spectroscopy, and cryo-EM.
- The nonpolar side chains of a globular protein tend to occupy the protein's interior; the polar side chains tend to define its surface.
- Protein structures can be classified on the basis of motifs, secondary structure content, topology, or domain architecture. Structural elements are more likely to be evolutionarily conserved than are amino acid sequences.
- Structural bioinformatics is concerned with the storage, visualization, analysis, and comparison of macromolecular structures.

3 Quaternary Structure and Symmetry

- The individual subunits of multisubunit proteins are usually symmetrically arranged.

4 Protein Stability

- Native protein structures are only slightly more stable than their denatured forms. The hydrophobic effect is the primary determinant of protein stability. Hydrogen bonding and ion pairing contribute relatively little to a protein's stability.
- Studies of protein denaturation and renaturation indicate that the primary structure of a protein determines its three-dimensional structure.

5 Protein Folding

- Proteins fold to their native conformations via directed pathways in which small elements of structure coalesce into larger structures.
- Molecular chaperones facilitate protein folding *in vivo* by repeatedly binding and releasing a polypeptide, usually in an ATP-dependent manner, and in the case of chaperonins, providing it with an isolated microenvironment in which to fold.
- Diseases caused by protein misfolding include the amyloidoses, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and the transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), all of which may be transmitted by prions.

Key Terms

secondary structure **131**
tertiary structure **131**
quaternary structure **132**
peptide group **133**
trans conformation **133**
cis conformation **133**
backbone **133**
torsion (dihedral) angle **133**
ϕ **134**
ψ **134**
Ramachandran diagram **134**
α helix **135**
β sheet **135**
regular secondary structure **135**
pitch **137**
antiparallel β sheet **138**
parallel β sheet **138**
topology **139**
reverse turn (β bend) **140**
fibrous protein **140**
globular protein **140**
coiled coil **141**
random coil **144**
denaturation **144**
native structure **144**
β bulge **145**
helix cap **145**
X-ray crystallography **145**
diffraction pattern **146**
electron density **146**
contour map **146**
NMR **147**
cryo-elecron microscopy (cryo-EM) **149**
supersecondary structure (motif) **151**
βαβ motif **151**
β hairpin **152**
αα motif **152**
β barrel **153**
α/β barrel **154**
domain **154**
dinucleotide-binding (Rossmann) fold **154**
structural bioinformatics **155**
PDB **155**
oligomer **158**
protomer **158**
rotational symmetry **159**
cyclic symmetry **159**
dihedral symmetry **159**
hydropathy **160**
ion pair (salt bridge) **161**
zinc finger **161**
chaotropic agent **162**
renaturation **163**
breathing **164**
intrinsically disordered protein **164**
hydrophobic collapse **166**
molten globule **166**
homology modeling **167**
threading **167**
ab initio **167**
molecular chaperone **168**
heat shock protein **168**
chaperonin **170**
ATPase **170**
amyloid **173**
Alzheimer's disease **173**
Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease **174**
transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) **174**
prion **174**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Draw a cis peptide bond and identify the groups that experience steric interference.

2. How many peptide bonds are shown in the structure drawn in Fig. 6-7?

3. Why would you be unlikely to see an α helix containing only the following amino acids: Arg, Lys, Met, Phe, Trp, Tyr, Val?

4. Calculate the length in angstroms of a 100-residue segment of the α keratin coiled coil.

5. The digestive tract of the larvae of clothes moths is a strongly reducing environment. Why is this beneficial to the larvae?

6. Collagen IV, which occurs in basement membranes, contains a sulfilimine bond (colored red in the structure below) that crosslinks two collagen triple helices. Identify the parent amino acid residues that participate in this linkage.

7. Describe the primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures of collagen.

8. Explain why gelatin, which is mostly collagen, is nutritionally inferior to other types of protein.

9. Globular proteins are typically constructed from several layers of secondary structure, with a hydrophobic core and a hydrophilic surface. Is this true for a fibrous protein such as α keratin?

10. Is it possible for a native protein to be entirely irregular—that is, without α helices, β sheets, or other repetitive secondary structures?

11. The X-ray crystallographic analysis of a protein often fails to reveal the positions of the first few and/or the last few residues of a polypeptide chain. Explain.

12. (a) Is Trp or Gln more likely to be on a protein's surface? (b) Is Ser or Val less likely to be in a protein's interior? (c) Is Leu or Ile less likely to be found in a middle of an α helix? (d) Is Cys or Ser more likely to be in a β sheet?

13. Classify the following proteins as α, β, or α/β:

(a) KcsA K^+ channel (Fig. 10-4)

(b) thioredoxin (Fig. 23-11)

14. Classify the following proteins as α, β, or α/β:

(a) Grb2 (Fig. 13-9)

(b) plastocyanin (Fig. 19-19)

15. You are performing site-directed mutagenesis to test predictions about which residues are essential for a protein's function. Which of each pair of amino acid substitutions listed below would you expect to disrupt protein structure the most? Explain.

(a) Val replaced by Ala or Phe

(b) Lys replaced by Asp or Arg

(c) Gln replaced by Glu or Asn

(d) Pro replaced by His or Gly

16. Laboratory techniques for randomly linking together amino acids typically generate an insoluble polypeptide, yet a naturally occurring polypeptide of the same length is usually soluble. Explain.

17. Researchers introduced prions into normal mice and mice that were genetically predisposed to develop a disease resembling Alzheimer's. Explain why the Alzheimer's-prone mice displayed symptoms of the prion disease much sooner than did the normal mice.

18. The genetically engineered proteins that accumulate in bacterial inclusion bodies (Fig. 5-2) form amyloid structures. Such proteins are often difficult to recover in functional form from the bacteria. Explain.

19. In some proteins, the side-chain carboxylate carbon of an N-terminal glutamate residue reacts with the free amino group to form a pentagonal lactam, a cyclic structure containing a C═O group. Draw the resulting pyroglutamate residue.

20. Experiments in mice suggest that pyroglutamylation (see Problem 19) increases the rate of aggregation of amyloid-β protein. Propose an explanation for this observation.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. Helices can be described by the notation n_m, where n is the number of residues per helical turn and m is the number of atoms, including H, in the ring that is closed by the hydrogen bond. (a) What is this notation for the α helix? (b) Is the 3_{10} helix steeper or shallower than the α helix?

22. Hydrophobic residues usually appear at the first and fourth positions in the seven-residue repeats of polypeptides that form coiled coils. (a) Why do polar or charged residues usually appear in the remaining five positions? (b) Why is the sequence Ile–Gln–Glu–Val–Glu–Arg–Asp more likely than the sequence Trp–Gln–Glu–Tyr–Glu–Arg–Asp to appear in a coiled coil?

23. Which of the following polypeptides is most likely to form an α helix?

(a) CRAGNRKIVLETY

(b) SEDNFGAPKSILW

(c) QKASVEMAVRNSG

24. Which of the peptides in Problem 23 is least likely to form a β strand?

25. Explain why Pro residues can occupy the N-terminal turn of an α helix.

26. What types of rotational symmetry are possible for a protein with (a) four or (b) six identical subunits?

27. Bacterial glutamate synthetase consists of 12 identical subunits arranged in two stacked rings of six subunits. How would you describe this protein's symmetry?

28. Proteins in solution are often denatured if the solution is shaken violently enough to cause foaming. Indicate the mechanism of this process. (*Hint:* The nonpolar groups of detergents extend into the air at air–water interfaces.)

29. Given enough time, will all denatured proteins spontaneously renature?

30. Describe the intra- and intermolecular bonds or interactions that are broken or retained when collagen is heated to produce gelatin.

31. Under physiological conditions, polylysine assumes a random coil conformation. Under what conditions might it form an α helix?

32. Would intrinsically disordered polypeptide segments contain relatively more hydrophilic or hydrophobic residues? Explain.

33. It is often stated that proteins are quite large compared to the molecules they bind. However, what constitutes a large number depends on your point of view. Calculate the ratio of the volume of a hemoglobin molecule (65 kD) to that of the four O_2 molecules that it binds and the ratio of the volume of a typical office ($4 \times 4 \times 3$ m) to that of the typical (70-kg) office worker that occupies it. Assume that the molecular volumes of hemoglobin and O_2 are in equal proportions to their molecular masses and that the office worker has a density of 1.0 g/cm^3. Compare these ratios. Is this the result you expected?

34. In prokaryotes, the error rate in protein synthesis may be as high as 5×10^{-4} per codon. What fraction of polypeptides containing (a) 500 residues or (b) 2000 residues would you expect to contain at least one amino acid substitution?

35. The coat protein of **tomato bushy stunt virus** consists of 180 chemically identical subunits, each of which is composed of ~386 amino acid residues. The probability that a wrong amino acid residue will be biosynthetically incorporated in a polypeptide chain is 1 part in 3000 per residue. (a) Calculate the average number of coat protein subunits that would have to be synthesized to produce a perfect viral coat. (b) What would this number be if the viral coat were a single polypeptide chain with the same number of residues that it actually has?

36. Not all heat shock proteins are chaperones; some are proteins that facilitate the degradation rather than the refolding of other proteins. Explain why the rate of protein degradation would increase during heat shock.

37. Protein denaturation can be triggered by a variety of environmental insults, including high temperature, covalent modification, and oxidation. Explain why researchers have observed a correlation between the level of heat shock proteins and the ratio of oxidized to reduced glutathione (see Section 4-3B) in cells subjected to oxidative stress.

38. Explain why β sheets are less likely to form than α helices during the earliest stages of protein folding.

39. The GroEL/ES cycle diagrammed in Fig. 6-45 circulates only in the clockwise direction. Explain the basis for this irreversibility in terms of the sequence of structural and binding changes in the GroEL/ES system.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Visualizing and Analyzing α Helices

Exercise 2 Visualizing and Analyzing β Sheets

Exercise 3 Visualizing and Identifying Supersecondary Structures

Exercise 4 Visualizing and Analyzing Cytochrome *c*

Exercise 5 Visualizing and Analyzing Hemoglobin

Extended Exercises Bioinformatics projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 3 Visualizing Three-Dimensional Protein Structures Using the Molecular Visualization Programs Jmol and PyMOL

There are two halves to Project 3. The first half contains the exercises using Jmol and the second half contains the same exercises using PyMOL.

1. **Obtaining Structural Information.** Compare different secondary structure predictions for a given protein sequence, then inspect its X-ray crystallographic structure.
2. **Exploring the Protein Data Bank.** Learn how to locate and download specific protein structure files, sequences, and images. Explore additional educational resources such as Molecule of the Month and links to additional structural biology resources.
3. **Examining Protein Structures.** Examine a protein structure file and use molecular modeling programs to visualize the protein and highlight selected features.
4. **Protein Families.** Identify homologous proteins in other structural databases.

Project 4 Structural Alignment and Protein Folding

1. **Alignment of Small Molecules.** Use MarvinSketch software to draw small molecules—ethane and the amino acid lysine.
2. **Alignment of Peptides.** Construct and optimize a small peptide with MarvinSketch, then align its 3D structure with the structure of the same peptide sequence found in a protein.
3. **CASP and Protein Structure Prediction.** Researchers spend up to two years predicting the structure of a protein based on its amino acid sequence, then meet at the CASP conference, where the newly determined structure is released and teams are evaluated on how closely their predictions align with the new structures. Learn more about CASP, explore some of the literature, and then compare 3D alignments of proteins using the Protein Data Bank web site.

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 4 The Structure of Insulin

Focus concept: The primary structure of insulin is examined, and the sequences of various animal insulins are compared.

Prerequisites: Chapters 4, 5, and 6

- Amino acid structure
- Protein architecture
- Basic immunology

Case 5 Characterization of Subtilisin from the Antarctic Psychrophile *Bacillus* TA41

Focus concept: The structural features involved in protein adaptation to cold temperatures are explored.

Prerequisite: Chapter 6

- Protein architecture
- Principles of protein folding

Case 6 A Collection of Collagen Cases

Focus concept: Factors important in the stability of collagen are examined.
Prerequisites: Chapters 4, 5, and 6

- Amino acid structures and properties
- Primary and secondary structure
- Basic collagen structure

MORE TO EXPLORE A number of human diseases are caused by point mutations that alter a single amino acid in a polypeptide. (a) Explain how such a small change in a collagen subunit can destabilize the entire collagen structure. (b) Investigate how an amino acid substitution in an enzyme can render the enzyme inactive. (c) Investigate how an amino acid substitution can affect a protein's folding efficiency without affecting its ultimate structure or function.

References

General

Branden, C. and Tooze, J., *Introduction to Protein Structure* (2nd ed.), Garland Science (1999). [A well-illustrated book with chapters introducing amino acids and protein structure, plus chapters on specific proteins categorized by their structure and function.]

Goodsell, D.S., Visual methods from atoms to cells, *Structure* **13,** 347–454 (2005). [Discusses several ways of depicting different features of molecular structures.]

Goodsell, D.S. and Olson, J., Structural symmetry and protein function, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct*. **29,** 105–153 (2000).

Kessel, A. and Ben-Tal, N., *Introduction to Proteins. Structure, Function, and Motion,* CRC Press (2011).

Kuriyan, J., Konforti, B., and Wemmer, D., *The Molecules of Life. Physical and Chemical Principles,* Chaps. 4 and 18, Garland Science (2013).

Lesk, A.M., *Introduction to Protein Science* (2nd ed.), Oxford University Press (2010).

Petsko, G.A. and Ringe, D., *Protein Structure and Function,* New Science Press (2004).

Williamson, M., *How Proteins Work,* Garland Science (2012).

Fibrous Proteins

Brodsky, B. and Persikov, A.V., Molecular structure of the collagen triple helix, *Adv. Protein Chem.* **70,** 301–339 (2005).

Shoulders, M.D. and Raines, R.T., Collagen structure and stability, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 929–958 (2009).

Macromolecular Structure Determination

Kühlbrandt, W., The resolution revolution, *Science* **343,** 1443–1444 (2014). [Summarizes recent advances in cryo-electron microscopy that permitted the imaging of macromolecular complexes at near-atomic resolution.]

McPherson, A., *Introduction to Macromolecular Crystallography,* Wiley-Blackwell (2009).

Rhodes, G., *Crystallography Made Crystal Clear: A Guide for Users of Macromolecular Models* (3rd ed.), Academic Press (2006). [Includes overviews, methods, and discussions of model quality.]

Rule, G.S. and Hitchens, T.K., *Fundamentals of Protein NMR Spectroscopy,* Springer (2006).

Rupp, B., *Biomolecular Crystallography. Principles, Practice, and Application to Structural Biology,* Garland Science (2010).

Wider, G. and Wüthrich, K., NMR spectroscopy of large molecules and multimolecular assemblies in solution, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol*. **9,** 594–601 (1999).

Protein Stability

Bolen, D.W. and Rose, G.D., Structure and energetics of the hydrogen-bonded backbone in protein folding, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **77,** 339–362 (2008).

Fersht, A., *Structure and Mechanism in Protein Science,* Chapter 11, Freeman (1999).

Karplus, M. and McCammon, J.A., Molecular dynamics simulations of biomolecules, *Nature Struct. Biol.* **9,** 646–652 (2002).

Protein Folding

Baker, D., Protein folding, structure prediction and design, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **42,** 225–229 (2014).

Baldwin, R.L. and Rose G.D., Molten globules, entropy-driven conformational change and protein folding, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **23,** 4–10 (2013).

Dill, K.A. and MacCallum, J.L., The protein folding problem, 50 years on, *Science* **338,** 1042–1046 (2012).

Fitzkee, N.C., Fleming, P.J., Gong, H., Panasik, N., Jr., Street, T.O., and Rose, G.D., Are proteins made from a limited parts list? *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **30,** 73–80 (2005).

Kim, Y.E., Hipp, M.S., Bracher, A., Hayer-Hartl, M., and Hartl, F.U., Molecular chaperone functions in protein folding and proteostasis, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 323–355 (2013).

Oldfield, C.J. and Dunker, A.K., Intrinsically disordered proteins and intrinsically disordered protein regions, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **83,** 553–584 (2014).

Saibil, H. Chaperone machines for protein folding, unfolding and disaggregation, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **14,** 631–642 (2013).

Protein Misfolding Diseases

Blancas-Mejía, L.M. and Ramirez-Alvarado, M., Systemic amyloidosis, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 745–774 (2013).

Eisenberg, D. and Jucker, M., The amyloid state of proteins in human diseases, *Cell* **148,** 1188–1203 (2012).

Knowles, T.P.J., Vendruscolo, M., and Dobson, C.M., The amyloid state and its association with protein misfolding disease, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **15,** 384–396 (2014).

Prusiner, S.B., A unifying role for prions in neurodegenerative diseases, *Science* **336,** 1511–1513 (2012).

Toyama, B.H. and Weissman, G.S., Amyloid structure: conformational diversity and consequences, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80,** 557–585 (2011).

CHAPTER 7

Protein Function: Myoglobin and Hemoglobin, Muscle Contraction, and Antibodies

Doug Allan / Getty Images

The nearly colorless icefish is the only adult vertebrate that lacks hemoglobin. It can survive without this otherwise essential oxygen-binding protein because in the cold (−1.9°C) Antarctic waters where it lives, the fish consumes little oxygen and the solubility of oxygen is relatively high.

Chapter Contents

1 **Oxygen Binding to Myoglobin and Hemoglobin**
- A Myoglobin Is a Monomeric Oxygen-Binding Protein
- B Hemoglobin Is a Tetramer with Two Conformations
- C Oxygen Binds Cooperatively to Hemoglobin
- D Hemoglobin's Two Conformations Exhibit Different Affinities for Oxygen
- E Mutations May Alter Hemoglobin's Structure and Function

2 **Muscle Contraction**
- A Muscle Consists of Interdigitated Thick and Thin Filaments
- B Muscle Contraction Occurs when Myosin Heads Walk Up Thin Filaments
- C Actin Forms Microfilaments in Nonmuscle Cells

3 **Antibodies**
- A Antibodies Have Constant and Variable Regions
- B Antibodies Recognize a Huge Variety of Antigens

The preceding two chapters have painted a broad picture of the chemical and physical properties of proteins but have not delved deeply into their physiological functions. Nevertheless, it should come as no surprise that the structural complexity and variety of proteins allow them to carry out an enormous array of specialized biological tasks. For example, the enzyme catalysts of virtually all metabolic reactions are proteins (we consider enzymes in detail in Chapters 11 and 12). Genetic information would remain locked in DNA were it not for the proteins that participate in decoding and transmitting that information. Remarkably, the thousands of proteins that participate in building, supporting, recognizing, transporting, and transforming cellular components act with incredible speed and accuracy and, in most cases, are subject to multiple regulatory mechanisms.

The specialized functions of proteins, from the fibrous proteins we examined in Section 6-1C to the precisely regulated metabolic enzymes we discuss in later chapters, can all be understood in terms of how proteins bind to and interact with other components of living systems. In this chapter, we focus on three sets of proteins: the oxygen-binding proteins myoglobin and hemoglobin, the actin and myosin proteins responsible for muscle contraction, and antibody molecules. The molecular structures and physiological roles of these proteins are known in detail, and their proper functioning is vital for human health. In addition, these proteins serve as models for many of the proteins we will examine later when we discuss metabolism and the management of genetic information.

1 Oxygen Binding to Myoglobin and Hemoglobin

KEY CONCEPTS

- Myoglobin, with its single heme prosthetic group, exhibits a hyperbolic O_2-binding curve.
- Hemoglobin can adopt the deoxy (T) or oxy (R) conformation, which differ in O_2-binding affinity.
- Oxygen binding triggers conformational changes in hemoglobin so that oxygen binds to the protein cooperatively, yielding a sigmoidal binding curve.
- The Bohr effect and BPG alter hemoglobin's O_2-binding affinity.
- Mutations can change hemoglobin's O_2-binding properties and cause disease.

We begin our study of protein function with two proteins that reversibly bind molecular oxygen (O_2). **Myoglobin,** the first protein whose structure was determined by X-ray crystallography, is a small protein with relatively simple oxygen-binding behavior. **Hemoglobin,** a tetramer of myoglobin-like polypeptides, is a more complicated protein that functions as a sophisticated system for delivering oxygen to tissues throughout the body. The efficiency with which hemoglobin binds and releases O_2 is reminiscent of the specificity and efficiency of metabolic enzymes. It is worthwhile to study hemoglobin's structure and function because many of the theories formulated to explain O_2 binding to hemoglobin also explain the control of enzyme activity.

A Myoglobin Is a Monomeric Oxygen-Binding Protein

Myoglobin is a small intracellular protein in vertebrate muscle. Its X-ray structure, determined by John Kendrew in 1959, revealed that most of myoglobin's 153 residues are members of eight α helices (traditionally labeled A through H) that are arranged to form a globular protein with approximate dimensions 44 × 44 × 25 Å (Fig. 7-1).

FIG. 7-1 Structure of sperm whale myoglobin. This 153-residue monomeric protein consists of eight α helices, labeled A through H, that are connected by short polypeptide links (corners) named for the helices they connect (e.g., the GH corner links helices G and H and NA is the N-terminal segment preceding the A helix; the last half of what was originally thought to be the EF corner has been shown to form a short helix that is designated the F′ helix). The heme group is shown in red. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

FIG. 7-2 The heme group. The central Fe(II) atom is shown liganded to the four N atoms of the porphyrin ring, whose pyrrole groups are labeled A–D. The heme is a conjugated system, so all the Fe—N bonds are equivalent. The Fe(II) is also liganded to a His side chain and, when it is present, to O_2. The six ligands are arranged at the corners of an octahedron centered on the Fe ion (octahedral geometry).

Myoglobin Contains a Heme Prosthetic Group. Myoglobin, other members of the **globin** family of proteins (Section 5-4B), and a variety of other proteins such as cytochrome *c* (Sections 5-4A and 6-2D) all contain a single **heme** group (**Fig. 7-2**). The heme is tightly wedged in a hydrophobic pocket between the E and F helices in myoglobin. The heterocyclic ring system of heme is a **porphyrin** derivative containing four **pyrrole** groups (labeled A–D) linked by methene bridges (other porphyrins vary in the substituents attached to rings A–D). The Fe(II) atom at the center of heme is coordinated by the four porphyrin N atoms and one N from a His side chain (called, in a nomenclature peculiar to myoglobin and hemoglobin, His F8 because it is the eighth residue of the F helix). A molecule of oxygen (O_2) can act as a sixth ligand to the iron atom. His E7 (the seventh residue of helix E) hydrogen bonds to the O_2 with the geometry shown in **Fig. 7-3**. Two hydrophobic side chains on the O_2-binding side of the heme, Val E11 and Phe CD1 (the first residue in the segment linking helices C and D), help hold the heme in place. These side chains presumably swing aside as the protein "breathes" (Section 6-4C), allowing O_2 to enter and exit.

When exposed to oxygen, the Fe(II) atom of isolated heme is irreversibly oxidized to Fe(III), a form that cannot bind O_2. The protein portion of myoglobin (and of hemoglobin, which contains four heme groups in four globin chains) prevents this oxidation and makes it possible for O_2 to bind reversibly to the heme group. **Oxygenation** alters the electronic state of the Fe(II)–heme complex, as indicated by its color change from dark purple (the color of hemoglobin in venous blood) to brilliant scarlet (the color of hemoglobin in arterial blood). Under some conditions, the Fe(II) of myoglobin or hemoglobin becomes oxidized to Fe(III) to form **metmyoglobin** or **methemoglobin,** respectively; these proteins are responsible for the brown color of old meat and dried blood.

In addition to O_2, certain other small molecules, such as CO, NO, and H_2S, can bind to heme groups in proteins. These other compounds bind with much

FIG. 7-3 The heme complex in myoglobin. In the upper drawing, atoms are represented in space-filling form (H atoms are not shown). The lower drawing shows the corresponding skeletal model with a dashed line representing the hydrogen bond between His E7 and the bound O_2. [Based on an X-ray structure by Simon Phillips, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 1MBO.]

higher affinity than O_2, which accounts for their toxicity. CO, for example, has 200-fold greater affinity for hemoglobin than does O_2.

Myoglobin Binds O_2 to Facilitate Its Diffusion. Although myoglobin was originally thought to be only an oxygen-storage protein, it is now apparent that *its major physiological role is to facilitate oxygen diffusion in muscle* (the most rapidly respiring tissue under conditions of high exertion). The rate at which O_2 can diffuse from the capillaries to the tissues is limited by its low solubility in aqueous solution ($\sim 10^{-4}$ M in blood). Myoglobin increases the effective solubility of O_2 in muscle cells, acting as a kind of molecular bucket brigade to boost the O_2 diffusion rate. The oxygen-storage function of myoglobin is probably significant only in aquatic mammals such as seals and whales, whose muscle myoglobin concentrations are up to 30-fold greater than those in terrestrial mammals (which is one reason why Kendrew chose the sperm whale as a source of myoglobin for his X-ray crystallographic studies). Nevertheless, mice in which the gene for myoglobin has been "knocked out" appear to be normal, although their muscles are lighter in color than those of wild-type mice. However, closer scrutiny revealed several compensatory adaptations in these mice, including a greater concentration of red blood cells and increased capillary density in their muscles. Moreover, many of the mutant embryos died *in utero* due to cardiovascular defects. Vertebrates also express two other globins: **neuroglobin**, which is present mainly in brain, retina, and endocrine tissues, and **cytoglobin**, which occurs in most tissues. Neuroglobin protects neurons (nerve cells) from damage under conditions of **ischemia** (inadequate blood flow, as in a stroke), most likely by preventing **reperfusion injury** (the damage caused by the oxygen radicals generated when blood flow is restored). Cytoglobin may have similar functions.

Myoglobin's Oxygen-Binding Curve Is Hyperbolic. The reversible binding of O_2 to myoglobin **(Mb)** is described by a simple equilibrium reaction:

$$\mathrm{Mb} + \mathrm{O_2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{MbO_2}$$

The dissociation constant, K, for the reaction is

$$K = \frac{[\mathrm{Mb}][\mathrm{O_2}]}{[\mathrm{MbO_2}]} \qquad [7\text{-}1]$$

Note that biochemists usually express equilibria in terms of dissociation constants, the reciprocal of the association constants favored by chemists. The O_2 dissociation of myoglobin can be characterized by its **fractional saturation, Y_{O_2}**, which is defined as the fraction of O_2-binding sites occupied by O_2:

$$Y_{\mathrm{O_2}} = \frac{[\mathrm{MbO_2}]}{[\mathrm{Mb}] + [\mathrm{MbO_2}]} \qquad [7\text{-}2]$$

Y_{O_2} ranges from zero (when no O_2 is bound to the myoglobin molecules) to one (when the binding sites of all the myoglobin molecules are occupied). Equation 7-1 can be rearranged to

$$[\mathrm{MbO_2}] = \frac{[\mathrm{Mb}][\mathrm{O_2}]}{K} \qquad [7\text{-}3]$$

When this expression for $[MbO_2]$ is substituted into Eq. 7-2, the fractional saturation becomes

$$Y_{\mathrm{O_2}} = \frac{\dfrac{[\mathrm{Mb}][\mathrm{O_2}]}{K}}{[\mathrm{Mb}] + \dfrac{[\mathrm{Mb}][\mathrm{O_2}]}{K}} \qquad [7\text{-}4]$$

Factoring out the [Mb]/K term in the numerator and denominator gives

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{[O_2]}{K + [O_2]} \qquad [7\text{-}5]$$

Since O_2 is a gas, its concentration is conveniently expressed by its **partial pressure, pO_2** (also called the oxygen tension). Equation 7-5 can therefore be expressed as

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{pO_2}{K + pO_2} \qquad [7\text{-}6]$$

This equation describes a rectangular ***hyperbola*** *and is identical in form to the equations that describe a hormone binding to its cell-surface receptor or a small molecular substrate binding to the active site of an enzyme.* This hyperbolic function can be represented graphically as shown in **Fig. 7-4**. At low pO_2, very little O_2 binds to myoglobin (Y_{O_2} is very small). As the pO_2 increases, more O_2 binds to myoglobin. At very high pO_2, virtually all the O_2-binding sites are occupied and myoglobin is said to be **saturated** with O_2.

The steepness of the hyperbola for a simple binding event, such as O_2 binding to myoglobin, increases as the value of K decreases. This means that *the lower the value of K, the tighter is the binding.* K is equivalent to the concentration of ligand at which half the binding sites are occupied. In other words, when $pO_2 = K$, myoglobin is half-saturated with oxygen. This can be shown algebraically by substituting pO_2 for K in Eq. 7-6:

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{pO_2}{K + pO_2} = \frac{pO_2}{2pO_2} = 0.5 \qquad [7\text{-}7]$$

Thus, K can be operationally defined as the value of pO_2 at which $Y = 0.5$ (Fig. 7-4).

It is convenient to define K as **p_{50}**—that is, the oxygen pressure at which myoglobin is 50% saturated. The p_{50} for myoglobin is 2.8 torr (760 torr = 1 atm). Over the physiological range of pO_2 in the blood (100 torr in arterial blood and 30 torr in venous blood), myoglobin is almost fully saturated with oxygen; for example, $Y_{O_2} = 0.97$ at $pO_2 = 100$ torr and 0.91 at 30 torr (see Sample Calculation 7-1). Consequently, *myoglobin efficiently relays oxygen from the capillaries to muscle cells.*

Myoglobin, a single polypeptide chain with one heme group and hence one oxygen-binding site, is a useful model for other binding proteins. Even proteins with multiple binding sites for the same small molecule, or **ligand**, may generate hyperbolic binding curves like myoglobin's. *A hyperbolic binding curve occurs when ligands interact independently with their binding sites.* In practice, the affinity of a ligand for its binding protein may not be known. Constructing a binding curve such as the one shown in Fig. 7-4 may provide this information.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 7-1

At what oxygen concentration will myoglobin be 75% saturated with oxygen?

Rearrange Eq. 7-6 and let $p_{50} = 2.8$ torr.

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{pO_2}{p_{50} + pO_2}$$

$$pO_2 = Y_{O_2}(p_{50} + pO_2)$$

$$pO_2 = Y_{O_2}p_{50} + Y_{O_2}pO_2$$

$$pO_2 - Y_{O_2}pO_2 = Y_{O_2}p_{50}$$

$$pO_2 = \frac{Y_{O_2}p_{50}}{(1 - Y_{O_2})}$$

$$pO_2 = \frac{(0.75)(2.8\text{ torr})}{(1 - 0.75)} = 8.4\text{ torr}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

FIG. 7-4 Oxygen-binding curve of myoglobin. Myoglobin is half-saturated with O_2 ($Y_{O_2} = 0.5$) at an oxygen partial pressure (pO_2) of 2.8 torr (*dashed lines*). The hyperbolic shape of myoglobin's binding curve is typical of the simple binding of a small molecule to a protein. The background is shaded to indicate the color change that myoglobin undergoes as it binds O_2.

? How much does fractional saturation change between pO_2 values of 5 and 15, and 15 and 25 torr?

B Hemoglobin Is a Tetramer with Two Conformations

Hemoglobin (Greek: *haima*, blood), the intracellular protein that gives blood its color, is one of the best-characterized proteins and was one of the first proteins to be associated with a specific physiological function (oxygen transport). Animals that are too large (>1 mm thick) for simple diffusion to deliver sufficient oxygen to their tissues have circulatory systems containing hemoglobin or a protein of similar function that does so (Box 7-1). In vertebrates, hemoglobin is contained in **erythrocytes** (red blood cells; Greek: *erythros*, red + *kytos,* a hollow vessel), 6–9 μm diameter, biconcave disk-shaped cells that contain ~34% hemoglobin by weight. In mammals, they are devoid of intracellular organelles (e.g., nuclei and mitochondria), thus providing more space for hemoglobin.

Mammalian hemoglobin, as we saw in Fig. 6-33, is an $\alpha_2\beta_2$ tetramer (a dimer of αβ protomers). The α and β subunits are structurally and evolutionarily related to each other and to myoglobin. The structure of hemoglobin was determined by Max Perutz (Box 7-2). Only about 18% of the residues are identical in myoglobin and in the α and β subunits of hemoglobin, but the three polypeptides have remarkably similar tertiary structures (hemoglobin subunits follow the myoglobin helix-labeling system, although the α chain has no D helix). The αβ protomers of hemoglobin are symmetrically related by a 2-fold rotation (i.e., a rotation of 180° brings the protomers into coincidence). In addition, hemoglobin's structurally similar α and β subunits are related by an approximate 2-fold rotation (pseudosymmetry) whose axis is perpendicular to that of the exact 2-fold rotation. Thus, hemoglobin has exact C_2 symmetry and pseudo-D_2

Box 7-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Other Oxygen-Transport Proteins

The presence of O_2 in the earth's atmosphere and its utility in the oxidation of metabolic fuels have driven the evolution of various mechanisms for storing and transporting oxygen. Small organisms rely on diffusion to supply their respiratory oxygen needs. However, since the rate at which a substance diffuses varies inversely with the square of the distance it must diffuse, organisms of >1-mm thickness overcome the constraints of diffusion with circulatory systems and boost the low solubility of O_2 in water with specific O_2-transport proteins.

Many invertebrates, and even some plants and bacteria, contain heme-based O_2-binding proteins. Single-subunit and multimeric hemoglobins are found both as intracellular proteins and as extracellular components of blood and other body fluids. The existence of hemoglobin-like proteins in some species of bacteria is evidence of gene transfer from animals to bacteria at one or more points during evolution. In bacteria, these proteins may function as sensors of environmental conditions such as local O_2 concentration. In some leguminous plants, the so-called **leghemoglobins** bind O_2 that would otherwise interfere with nitrogen fixation carried out by bacteria that colonize plant root nodules (Section 21-7). The **chlorocruorins,** which occur in some annelids (e.g., earthworms), contain a somewhat differently derivatized porphyrin than that in hemoglobin, which accounts for the green color of chlorocruorins.

The two other types of O_2-binding proteins, **hemerythrin** and **hemocyanin** (neither of which contains heme groups), occur only in invertebrate animals. Hemerythrin, which occurs in only a few species of marine worms, is an intracellular protein with a subunit mass of ~13 kD. It contains two Fe atoms liganded by His and acidic residues. It is violet-pink when oxygenated and colorless when deoxygenated.

Hemocyanins, which are exclusively extracellular, transport O_2 in mollusks and arthropods. The molluscan and arthropod hemocyanins are large multimeric proteins that differ in their primary through quaternary structures. However, their oxygen-binding sites are highly similar, consisting of a pair of copper atoms, each liganded by three His residues.

In this model of the O_2-binding site of hemocyanin from the horseshoe crab *Limulus polyphemus,* atoms are colored according to type with C gray, N blue, O red, and Cu purple. The otherwise colorless complex turns blue when it binds O_2.

Hemocyanins must be present at high concentrations in order to function efficiently as oxygen carriers. For example, octopus **hemolymph** (its equivalent of blood) contains about 100 mg/mL hemocyanin. To minimize the osmotic pressure of so much protein, hemocyanins form multimeric structures with masses as great as 9×10^6 D in some species. Hemocyanins are often the predominant extracellular protein and may therefore have additional functions as buffers against pH changes and osmotic fluctuations. In some invertebrates, hemocyanins may serve as a nutritional reserve, for example, during metamorphosis or molting.

[Figure based on an X-ray structure by Wim Hol, University of Washington School of Medicine. PDBid 1OXY.]

Box 7-2 Pathways of Discovery Max Perutz and the Structure and Function of Hemoglobin

Max Perutz (1914–2002) The determination of the three-dimensional structures of proteins has become so commonplace that it is difficult to appreciate the challenges that faced the first protein crystallographers. Max Perutz was a pioneer in this area, spending many years determining the structure of hemoglobin at atomic resolution and then using this information to explain the physiological function of the protein.

In 1934, two years before Perutz began his doctoral studies in Cambridge, J.D. Bernal and Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin had placed a crystal of the protein pepsin in an X-ray beam and obtained a diffraction pattern. Perutz tried the same experiment with hemoglobin, chosen because of its abundance, ease of crystallization, and obvious physiological importance. Hemoglobin crystals yielded diffraction patterns with thousands of diffraction maxima (called reflections), the result of X-ray scattering by the thousands of atoms in each protein molecule. At the time, X-ray crystallography had been used to determine the structures of molecules containing no more than around 40 atoms, so the prospect of using the technique to determine the atomic structure of hemoglobin seemed impossible. Nevertheless, Perutz took on the challenge and spent the rest of his long career working with hemoglobin.

In X-ray crystallography, the intensities and the positions of the reflections can be readily determined, but the values of their phases (the relative positions of the wave peaks, the knowledge of which is as important as wave amplitude for image reconstruction) cannot be measured directly. Although computational techniques for determining the values of the phases had been developed for small molecules, methods for solving this so-called phase problem for such complex entities as proteins seemed hopelessly out of reach. In 1952, Perutz realized that the method of isomorphous replacement might suffice to solve the phase problem for hemoglobin. In this method, a heavy atom such as a Hg^{2+} ion, which is rich in electrons (the particles that scatter X-rays), must bind to specific sites on the protein without significantly disturbing its structure (which would change the positions of the reflections). If this causes measurable changes in the intensities of the reflections, these differences would provide the information to determine their phases. With trepidation followed by jubilation, Perutz observed that Hg-doped hemoglobin crystals indeed yielded reflections with measurable changes in intensity but no changes in position. Still, it took another 5 years to obtain the three-dimensional structure of hemoglobin at low (5.5-Å) resolution and it was not until 1968, some 30 years after he began the project, that he determined the structure of hemoglobin at near atomic (2.8-Å) resolution. In the meantime, Perutz's colleague John Kendrew used the method of isomorphous replacement to solve the structure of myoglobin, a smaller and simpler relative of hemoglobin. For their groundbreaking work, Perutz and Kendrew were awarded the 1962 Nobel Prize in Chemistry.

For Perutz, obtaining the structure of hemoglobin was only part of his goal of understanding hemoglobin. For example, functional studies indicated that the four oxygen-binding sites of hemoglobin interacted, as if they were in close contact, but Perutz's structure showed that the binding sites lay in deep and widely separated pockets. Perutz was also intrigued by the fact that crystals of hemoglobin prepared in the absence of oxygen would crack when they were exposed to air (the result, it turns out, of a dramatic conformational change). Although many other researchers also turned their attention to hemoglobin, Perutz was foremost among them in ascribing oxygen-binding behavior to protein structural features. He also devoted considerable effort to relating functional abnormalities in mutant hemoglobins to structural changes.

Perutz's groundbreaking work on the X-ray crystallography of proteins paved the way for other studies. For example, the first X-ray structure of an enzyme, lysozyme, was determined in 1965. The ~110,000 macromolecular structures that have been obtained since then owe a debt to Perutz and his decision to pursue an "impossible" task and to follow through on his structural work to the point where he could use his results to explain biological phenomena.

Perutz, M.F., Rossmann, M.G., Cullis, A.F., Muirhead, H., Will, G., and North, A.C.T., Structure of haemoglobin: A three-dimensional Fourier synthesis at 5.5 Å resolution, obtained by X-ray analysis. *Nature* **185,** 416–422 (1960).

symmetry (Section 6-3; objects with D_2 symmetry have the rotational symmetry of a tetrahedron). The hemoglobin molecule has overall dimensions of about 64 × 55 × 50 Å.

Oxygen binding alters the structure of the entire hemoglobin tetramer, so the structures of **deoxyhemoglobin** (Fig. 7-5*a*) and **oxyhemoglobin** (Fig. 7-5*b*) are noticeably different. In both forms of hemoglobin, the α and β subunits form extensive contacts: Those at the α_1–β_1 interface (and its α_2–β_2 symmetry equivalent) involve 35 residues, and those at the α_1–β_2 (and α_2–β_1) interface involve 19 residues. These associations are predominantly hydrophobic, although numerous hydrogen bonds and several ion pairs are also involved. Note, however, that the α_1–α_2 and β_1–β_2 interactions are tenuous at best because these subunit pairs are separated by an ~20-Å-diameter solvent-filled channel that parallels the 50-Å length of hemoglobin's exact 2-fold axis (Fig. 7-5).

When oxygen binds to hemoglobin, the α_1–β_2 (and α_2–β_1) contacts shift, producing a change in quaternary structure. Oxygenation rotates one αβ dimer ~15° with respect to the other αβ dimer (gray arrows in Fig. 7-5*b*), which brings the β subunits closer together and narrows the solvent-filled central channel (Fig. 7-5). Some atoms in the α_1–β_2 and α_2–β_1 interfaces shift by as much as 6 Å (oxygenation causes such extensive quaternary structural changes that crystals of deoxyhemoglobin shatter on exposure to O_2). This structural rearrangement is a crucial element of hemoglobin's oxygen-binding behavior.

FIG. 7-5 Hemoglobin structure. (*a*) Deoxyhemoglobin and (*b*) oxyhemoglobin. The $\alpha_1\beta_1$ protomer is related to the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ protomer by a 2-fold axis of symmetry (*lenticular symbol*), which is perpendicular to the page. Oxygenation causes one protomer to rotate ~15° relative to the other, bringing the β chains closer together (compare the lengths of the double-headed arrows) and shifting the contacts between subunits at the α_1–β_2 and α_2–β_1 interfaces (some of the relevant side chains are drawn in black). The large gray arrows in Part *b* indicate the molecular movements that accompany oxygenation. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/ Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

C Oxygen Binds Cooperatively to Hemoglobin

Hemoglobin has a p_{50} of 26 torr (i.e., hemoglobin is half-saturated with O_2 at an oxygen partial pressure of 26 torr), which is nearly 10 times greater than the p_{50} of myoglobin. Moreover, hemoglobin does not exhibit a myoglobin-like hyperbolic oxygen-binding curve. Instead, O_2 binding to hemoglobin is described by a

sigmoidal (S-shaped) **curve** (**Fig. 7-6**). *This permits the blood to deliver much more O_2 to the tissues than if hemoglobin had a hyperbolic curve with the same p_{50}* (dashed curve in Fig. 7-6). For example, hemoglobin is nearly fully saturated with O_2 at arterial oxygen pressures ($Y_{O_2} = 0.95$ at 100 torr) but only about half-saturated at venous oxygen pressures ($Y_{O_2} = 0.55$ at 30 torr). This 0.40 difference in oxygen saturation, a measure of hemoglobin's ability to deliver O_2 from the lungs to the tissues, would be only 0.25 if hemoglobin exhibited hyperbolic binding behavior.

In any binding system, a sigmoidal curve is diagnostic of a **cooperative** *interaction between binding sites.* This means that the binding of a ligand to one site affects the binding of additional ligands to the other sites. In the case of hemoglobin, O_2 binding to one subunit increases the O_2 affinity of the remaining subunits. The initial slope of the oxygen-binding curve (Fig. 7-6) is low, as hemoglobin subunits independently compete for the first O_2. However, an O_2 molecule bound to one of hemoglobin's subunits increases the O_2-binding affinity of its other subunits, thereby accounting for the increasing slope of the middle portion of the sigmoidal curve.

FIG. 7-6 Oxygen-binding curve of hemoglobin. In whole blood, hemoglobin is half-saturated at an oxygen pressure of 26 torr. The normal sea level values of human arterial and venous pO_2 are indicated (atmospheric pO_2 is 160 torr at sea level). The O_2-binding curve for myoglobin is included for comparison. The dashed line is a hyperbolic O_2-binding curve with the same p_{50} as hemoglobin. The background is shaded to indicate the color change that hemoglobin undergoes as it binds O_2. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Explain why myoglobin, if present in the blood, would be an inefficient O_2 carrier molecule.

The Hill Equation Describes Hemoglobin's O_2-Binding Curve. The earliest attempt to analyze hemoglobin's sigmoidal O_2 dissociation curve was formulated by Archibald Hill in 1910. Hill assumed that hemoglobin **(Hb)** bound n molecules of O_2 in a single step,

$$\mathrm{Hb} + n\mathrm{O_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hb(O_2)}_n$$

that is, with infinite cooperativity. Thus, in analogy with the derivation of Eq. 7-6,

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n} \qquad [7\text{-}8]$$

which is known as the **Hill equation**. Like Eq. 7-6, it describes the degree of saturation of hemoglobin as a function of pO_2 (see Sample Calculation 7-2).

Infinite O_2 binding cooperativity, as Hill assumed, is a physical impossibility. Nevertheless, n may be taken to be a nonintegral parameter related to the degree of cooperativity among interacting hemoglobin subunits rather than the number of subunits that bind O_2 in one step. The Hill equation can then be taken as a useful empirical curve-fitting relationship rather than as an indicator of a particular model of ligand binding.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 7-2

Calculate the fractional saturation of hemoglobin at $pO_2 = 50$ torr and $n = 3$.

Use Eq. 7-8 and let $p_{50} = 26$ torr.

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n}$$

$$= \frac{(50)^3}{(26)^3 + (50)^3} = \frac{125{,}000}{17{,}576 + 125{,}000} = 0.88$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

The quantity n, the ***Hill constant****, increases with the degree of cooperativity of a reaction and therefore provides a convenient although simplistic characterization of a ligand-binding reaction.* If $n = 1$, Eq. 7-8 describes a hyperbola as does Eq. 7-6 for myoglobin, and the O_2-binding reaction is said to be **noncooperative**. If $n > 1$, the reaction is described as being **positively cooperative**,

because O_2 binding increases the affinity of hemoglobin for further O_2 binding (cooperativity is infinite in the limit that $n = 4$, the number of O_2 binding sites in hemoglobin). Conversely, if $n < 1$, the reaction is said to be **negatively cooperative**, because O_2 binding would then reduce the affinity of hemoglobin for subsequent O_2 binding.

The Hill constant, n, and the value of p_{50} that best describe hemoglobin's saturation curve can be graphically determined by rearranging Eq. 7-8. First, divide both sides by $1 - Y_{O_2}$:

$$\frac{Y_{O_2}}{1 - Y_{O_2}} = \frac{\dfrac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n}}{1 - Y_{O_2}} = \frac{\dfrac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n}}{1 - \dfrac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n}} \qquad [7\text{-}9]$$

Factoring out the $[(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n]$ term gives

$$\frac{Y_{O_2}}{1 - Y_{O_2}} = \frac{(pO_2)^n}{[(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n] - (pO_2)^n} = \frac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n} \qquad [7\text{-}10]$$

Taking the log of both sides yields a linear equation:

$$\log\left(\frac{Y_{O_2}}{1 - Y_{O_2}}\right) = n\log pO_2 - n\log p_{50} \qquad [7\text{-}11]$$

The linear plot of $\log[Y_{O_2}/(1 - Y_{O_2})]$ versus $\log pO_2$, the **Hill plot**, has a slope of n and an intercept on the $\log pO_2$ axis of $\log p_{50}$ (recall that the linear equation $y = mx + b$ describes a line with a slope of m and an x intercept of $-b/m$).

Figure 7-7 shows the Hill plots for myoglobin and purified hemoglobin. For myoglobin, the plot is linear with a slope of 1, as expected. Although all subunits of hemoglobin do not bind O_2 in a single step as was assumed in deriving the Hill

FIG. 7-7 Hill plots for myoglobin and purified hemoglobin. Note that this is a log–log plot. At $pO_2 = p_{50}$, $Y_{O_2}/(1 - Y_{O_2}) = 1$. [The p_{50} for hemoglobin *in vivo* is higher than the p_{50} of purified hemoglobin due to its binding of certain substances present in the red cell (see below).]

equation, its Hill plot is essentially linear for values of Y_{O_2} between 0.1 and 0.9. When $pO_2 = p_{50}$, $Y_{O_2} = 0.5$, and

$$\frac{Y_{O_2}}{1 - Y_{O_2}} = \frac{0.5}{1 - 0.5} = 1.0 \qquad [7\text{-}12]$$

As can be seen in Fig. 7-7, this is the region of maximum slope, whose value is customarily taken to be the Hill constant, *n*. For normal human hemoglobin, the Hill constant is between 2.8 and 3.0; that is, hemoglobin's oxygen binding is highly, but not infinitely, cooperative. Many abnormal hemoglobins exhibit smaller Hill constants (Section 7-1E), indicating that they have a less than normal degree of cooperativity

At Y_{O_2} values near zero, when few hemoglobin molecules have bound even one O_2 molecule, the Hill plot for hemoglobin assumes a slope of 1 (Fig. 7-7, lower asymptote) because the hemoglobin subunits independently compete for O_2 as do molecules of myoglobin. At Y_{O_2} values near 1, when at least three of hemoglobin's four O_2-binding sites are occupied, the Hill plot also assumes a slope of 1 (Fig. 7-7, upper asymptote) because the few remaining unoccupied sites are on different molecules and therefore bind O_2 independently.

Extrapolating the lower asymptote in Fig. 7-7 to the horizontal axis indicates, according to Eq. 7-11, that $p_{50} = 30$ torr for binding the first O_2 to purified hemoglobin. Likewise, extrapolating the upper asymptote yields $p_{50} = 0.3$ torr for binding hemoglobin's fourth O_2. Thus, *the fourth* O_2 *binds to hemoglobin with 100-fold greater affinity than the first.* This difference, as we will see below, is entirely due to the influence of the globin chain on the O_2 affinity of heme.

D Hemoglobin's Two Conformations Exhibit Different Affinities for Oxygen

The cooperativity of oxygen binding to hemoglobin arises from the effect of the ligand-binding state of one heme group on the ligand-binding affinity of another. Yet the hemes are 25 to 37 Å apart—too far to interact electronically. Instead, information about the O_2-binding status of a heme group is mechanically transmitted to the other heme groups by motions of the protein. These movements are responsible for the different quaternary structures of oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin depicted in Fig. 7-5.

FIG. 7-8 Movements of the heme and F helix during the T → R transition in hemoglobin. In the T form (*blue*), the Fe is 0.6 Å above the center of the domed porphyrin ring. On assuming the R form (*red*), the Fe moves into the plane of the now undomed porphyrin, where it can more tightly bind O_2, and, in doing so, pulls His F8 and its attached F helix with it. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

Oxygen Binding to Hemoglobin Triggers a Conformational Change from T to R. On the basis of the X-ray structures of oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin, Perutz formulated a model for hemoglobin oxygenation. *In the* ***Perutz mechanism****, hemoglobin has two stable conformational states, the* ***T state*** *(the conformation of deoxyhemoglobin) and the* ***R state*** *(the conformation of oxyhemoglobin).* The conformations of all four subunits in T-state hemoglobin differ from those in the R state. Oxygen binding initiates a series of coordinated movements that result in a shift from the T state to the R state within a few microseconds:

1. In the T state, the Fe(II) in each of the four hemes is situated ~0.6 Å out of the heme plane because of a pyramidal doming of the porphyrin group toward His F8 and because the Fe—$N_{porphyrin}$ bonds are too long to allow the Fe to lie in the porphyrin plane (Fig. 7-8). O_2 binding changes the heme's electronic state, which shortens the Fe—$N_{porphyrin}$ bonds by ~0.1 Å and causes the porphyrin doming to subside. Consequently, during the T → R transition, the Fe(II) moves into the center of the heme plane.
2. The Fe(II) drags the covalently linked His F8 along with it. However, the direct movement of His F8 by 0.6 Å toward the heme plane would cause it to collide with the heme. To avoid this steric clash, the attached F helix tilts and translates by ~1 Å across the heme plane.

3. The changes in tertiary structure are coupled to a shift in the arrangement of hemoglobin's four subunits. The largest change produced by the T → R transition is the result of movements of residues at the α_1–β_2 and α_2–β_1 interfaces, that is, at the interface between the two protomeric units of hemoglobin. In the T state, His 97 in the β chain contacts Thr 41 in the α chain (Fig. 7-9*a*). In the R state, His 97 contacts Thr 38, which is positioned one turn back along the C helix (Fig. 7-9*b*). In both conformations, the "knobs" on one subunit mesh nicely with the "grooves" on the other. An intermediate position would

(a) **T State (deoxy)** *(b)* **R State (oxy)**

FIG. 7-9 Changes at the α_1–β_2 interface during the T → R transition in hemoglobin. (*a*) The T state and (*b*) the R state. In the upper drawings, the αC helix is represented by a purple ribbon, the contacting residues forming the α_1C–β_2FG contact are shown in ball-and-stick form colored by atom type (C green, N blue, and O red), and their van der Waals surfaces are outlined by like-colored dots. The lower drawings are the corresponding schematic diagrams of the α_1C-β_2FG contact. Upon a T→R transformation, the β_2FG region shifts by one turn along the α_1C helix with no stable intermediate (note how in both conformations, the knobs formed by the side chains of His 97β and Asp 99β fit between the grooves on the C helix formed by the side chains of Thr 38α, Thr 41α, and Pro 44α). The subunits are joined by different hydrogen bonds in the two quaternary states. Figure 7-5 provides another view of these interactions. [Based on X-ray structures by Giulio Fermi, Max Perutz, and Boaz Shaanan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBids (*a*) 2HHB and (*b*) 1HHO.]

FIG. 7-10 Networks of ion pairs and hydrogen bonds in deoxyhemoglobin. These bonds, which involve the last two residues of (*a*) the α chains and (*b*) the β chains, are ruptured in the T → R transition. Two groups that become partially deprotonated in the R state (part of the Bohr effect) are indicated by white plus signs. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

be severely strained because it would bring His 97 and Thr 41 too close together (i.e., knobs on knobs).

4. The C-terminal residues of each subunit (Arg 141α and His 146β) in T-state hemoglobin each participate in a network of intra- and intersubunit ion pairs (**Fig. 7-10**) that stabilize the T state. However, the conformational shift in the T → R transition tears away these ion pairs in a process that is driven by the energy of formation of the Fe—O_2 bonds.

The essential feature of hemoglobin's T → R transition is that *its subunits are so tightly coupled that large tertiary structural changes within one subunit cannot occur without quaternary structural changes in the entire tetrameric protein.* Hemoglobin is limited to only two quaternary forms, T and R, because the intersubunit contacts shown in Fig. 7-9 act as a binary switch that permits only two stable positions of the subunits relative to each other. The inflexibility of the α_1–β_1 and α_2–β_2 interfaces requires that the T → R shift occur simultaneously at both the α_1–β_2 and α_2–β_1 interfaces. No one subunit or dimer can greatly change its conformation independently of the others.

We are now in a position to structurally rationalize the cooperativity of oxygen binding to hemoglobin. The T state of hemoglobin has low O_2 affinity, mostly because of the 0.1 Å greater length of its Fe—O_2 bond relative to that of the R state (see the blue structure shown in Fig. 7-8). Experimental evidence indicates that when at least one O_2 has bound to each αβ dimer, the strain in the T-state hemoglobin molecule is sufficient to tear away the C-terminal ion pairs, thereby snapping the protein into the R state. All the subunits are thereby simultaneously converted to the R-state conformation whether or not they have bound O_2. Unliganded subunits in the R-state conformation have increased oxygen affinity because they are already in the O_2-binding conformation. This accounts for the high O_2 affinity of nearly saturated hemoglobin.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Molecular Behavior

If the hemoglobin tetramer shifts abruptly from one conformation to the other, why is its oxygen-binding behavior described by a smooth curve? The binding curve depicts the collective O_2-binding activity of a population of hemoglobin molecules, which make the conformational shift at slightly varying points. We observe their average behavior rather than the behavior of an individual molecule.

The Bohr Effect Enhances Oxygen Transport. The conformational changes in hemoglobin that occur on oxygen binding decrease the p*K*'s of several groups. Recall that the tendency for a group to ionize depends on its microenvironment, which may include other ionizable groups. For example, in T-state hemoglobin, the N-terminal amino groups of the α subunits and the

C-terminal His of the β subunits are positively charged and participate in ion pairs (see Fig. 7-10). The formation of ion pairs increases the p*K* values of these groups (makes them less acidic and therefore less likely to give up their protons). In R-state hemoglobin, these ion pairings are absent, and the p*K*'s of the groups decrease (making them more acidic and more likely to give up protons). Consequently, under physiological conditions, hemoglobin releases ~0.6 protons for each O_2 it binds. Conversely, increasing the pH, that is, removing protons, stimulates hemoglobin to bind more O_2 (**Fig. 7-11**). This phenomenon is known as the **Bohr effect** after Christian Bohr (father of the physicist Niels Bohr), who first reported it in 1904.

The Bohr effect has important physiological functions in transporting O_2 from the lungs to respiring tissue and in transporting the CO_2 produced by respiration back to the lungs (**Fig. 7-12**). The CO_2 produced by respiring tissues diffuses from the tissues to the capillaries. This dissolved CO_2 forms bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) only very slowly, by the reaction

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^-$$

However, in the erythrocyte, the enzyme **carbonic anhydrase** greatly accelerates this reaction. Accordingly, most of the CO_2 in the blood is carried in the form of bicarbonate (in the absence of carbonic anhydrase, bubbles of CO_2 would form in the blood).

In the capillaries, where pO_2 is low, the H^+ generated by bicarbonate formation is taken up by hemoglobin in forming the ion pairs of the T state, thereby inducing hemoglobin to unload its bound O_2. This H^+ uptake, moreover, facilitates CO_2 transport by stimulating bicarbonate formation. Conversely, in the lungs, where pO_2 is high, O_2 binding by hemoglobin disrupts the T-state ion pairs to form the R state, thereby releasing the Bohr protons, which recombine with bicarbonate to drive off CO_2. These reactions are closely matched, so they cause very little change in blood pH (see Box 2-2).

The Bohr effect provides a mechanism whereby additional oxygen can be supplied to highly active muscles, where the pO_2 may be <20 torr. Such muscles generate lactic acid (Section 15-3A) so fast that they lower the pH of the blood passing through them from 7.4 to 7.2. At a pO_2 of 20 torr, hemoglobin releases ~10% more O_2 at pH 7.2 than it does at pH 7.4 (Fig. 7-11).

FIG. 7-11 The Bohr effect. The O_2 affinity of hemoglobin increases with increasing pH. The dashed line indicates the pO_2 in actively respiring muscle. [After Benesch, R.E. and Benesch, R., *Adv. Protein Chem.* **28,** 212 (1974).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Is the effect of pH on oxygen binding greater at higher or lower oxygen concentrations?

FIG. 7-12 The roles of hemoglobin and myoglobin in O_2 and CO_2 transport. Oxygen is inhaled into the lungs at high pO_2, where it binds to hemoglobin in the blood. The O_2 is then transported to respiring tissue, where the pO_2 is low. The O_2 therefore dissociates from the Hb and diffuses into the tissues, where it is used to oxidize metabolic fuels to CO_2 and H_2O. In rapidly respiring muscle tissue, the O_2 first binds to myoglobin (whose oxygen affinity is higher than that of hemoglobin). This increases the rate at which O_2 can diffuse from the capillaries to the tissues by, in effect, increasing its solubility. The Hb and CO_2 (mostly as HCO_3^-) are then returned to the lungs, where the CO_2 is exhaled.

? Explain how carbonic anhydrase affects CO_2 transport by hemoglobin.

FIG. 7-13 The effects of BPG and CO_2 on hemoglobin's O_2 dissociation curve. Stripped hemoglobin (*left*) has higher O_2 affinity than whole blood (*red curve*). Adding BPG or CO_2 or both to hemoglobin shifts the dissociation curve back to the right (lowers hemoglobin's O_2 affinity). [After Kilmartin, J.V. and Rossi-Bernardi, L., *Physiol. Rev.* **53,** 884 (1973).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

CO_2 also modulates O_2 binding to hemoglobin by combining reversibly with the N-terminal amino groups of blood proteins to form **carbamates**:

$$R{-}NH_2 + CO_2 \rightleftharpoons R{-}NH{-}COO^- + H^+$$

The T (deoxy) form of hemoglobin binds more CO_2 as carbamate than does the R (oxy) form. When the CO_2 concentration is high, as it is in the capillaries, the T state is favored, stimulating hemoglobin to release its bound O_2. The protons released by carbamate formation further promote O_2 release through the Bohr effect. Although the difference in CO_2 binding between the oxy and deoxy states of hemoglobin accounts for only ~5% of the total blood CO_2, it is nevertheless responsible for around half the CO_2 transported by the blood. This is because only ~10% of the total blood CO_2 is lost through the lungs in each circulatory cycle.

Bisphosphoglycerate Binds to Deoxyhemoglobin. Highly purified ("stripped") hemoglobin has a much greater oxygen affinity than hemoglobin in whole blood (**Fig. 7-13**). This observation led Joseph Barcroft, in 1921, to speculate that blood contains some other substance besides CO_2 that affects oxygen binding to hemoglobin. This compound is **D-2,3-bisphosphoglycerate (BPG)**.

D-2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate (BPG)

BPG binds tightly to deoxyhemoglobin but only weakly to oxyhemoglobin. *The presence of BPG in mammalian erythrocytes therefore decreases hemoglobin's oxygen affinity by keeping it in the deoxy conformation.* In other vertebrates, different phosphorylated compounds elicit the same effect.

BPG has an indispensable physiological function: In arterial blood, where pO_2 is ~100 torr, hemoglobin is ~95% saturated with O_2, but in venous blood, where pO_2 is ~30 torr, it is only 55% saturated (Fig. 7-6). Consequently, in passing through the capillaries, hemoglobin unloads ~40% of its bound O_2. In the absence of BPG, little of this bound O_2 would be released since hemoglobin's O_2 affinity is increased, thus shifting its O_2 dissociation curve significantly toward lower pO_2 (Fig. 7-13, *left*). BPG also plays an important role in adaptation to high altitudes (Box 7-3).

The X-ray structure of a BPG–deoxyhemoglobin complex shows that BPG binds in the central cavity of deoxyhemoglobin (**Fig. 7-14**). The anionic groups of BPG are within hydrogen-bonding and ion-pairing distances of the N-terminal amino groups of both β subunits. The T → R transformation brings the two βH helices together, which narrows the central cavity (compare Figs. 7-5*a* and 7-5*b*) and expels the BPG. It also widens the distance between the β N-terminal amino groups from 16 to 20 Å,

FIG. 7-14 Binding of BPG to deoxyhemoglobin. BPG (*red*) binds in hemoglobin's central cavity. The BPG, which has a charge of −5 under physiological conditions, is surrounded by eight cationic groups (*blue*) extending from the two β subunits. In the R state, the central cavity is too narrow to contain BPG. Some of the ion pairs and hydrogen bonds that help stabilize the T state (Fig. 7-10*b*) are indicated at the lower right. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only]

Box 7-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease High-Altitude Adaptation

Atmospheric pressure decreases with altitude, so that the oxygen pressure at 3000 m (10,000 feet) is only ~110 torr, 70% of its sea-level pressure. A variety of physiological responses are required to maintain normal oxygen delivery (without adaptation, pO_2 levels of 85 torr or less result in mental impairment).

High-altitude adaptation is a complex process that involves increases in the number of erythrocytes and the amount of hemoglobin per erythrocyte. It normally requires several weeks to complete. Yet, as is clear to anyone who has climbed to high altitude, even a 1-day stay there results in a noticeable degree of adaptation. This effect results from a rapid increase in the amount of BPG synthesized in erythrocytes (from ~4 mM to ~8 mM; BPG cannot cross the erythrocyte membrane). As illustrated by plots of Y_{O_2} versus pO_2, the high altitude-induced increase in BPG causes the O_2-binding curve of hemoglobin to shift from its sea-level position (*black line*) to a lower affinity position (*red line*).

At sea level, the difference between arterial and venous pO_2 is 70 torr (100 torr − 30 torr), and hemoglobin unloads 38% of its bound O_2. However, when the arterial pO_2 drops to 55 torr, as it does at an altitude of 4500 m, hemoglobin would be able to unload only 30% of its O_2. High-altitude adaptation (which decreases the amount of O_2 that hemoglobin can bind in the lungs but, to a greater extent, increases the amount of O_2 it releases at the tissues) allows hemoglobin to deliver a near-normal 37% of its bound O_2. BPG concentrations also increase in individuals suffering from disorders that limit the oxygenation of the blood (**hypoxia**), such as various anemias and cardiopulmonary insufficiency.

The BPG concentration in erythrocytes can be adjusted more rapidly than hemoglobin can be synthesized (Box 15-2; erythrocytes lack nuclei and therefore cannot synthesize proteins). An altered BPG level is also a more sensitive regulator of oxygen delivery than an altered respiratory rate. Hyperventilation, another early response to high altitude, may lead to respiratory alkalosis (Box 2-2). Interestingly, individuals in long-established Andean and Himalayan populations exhibit high lung capacity, along with high hemoglobin levels and, often, enlarged right ventricles (reflecting increased cardiac output), compared to individuals from low-altitude populations.

In contrast to the mechanism of human adaptation to high altitude, most mammals that normally live at high altitudes (e.g., the llama) have genetically altered hemoglobins that have higher O_2-binding affinities than do their sea-level cousins. Thus, both raising and lowering hemoglobin's p_{50} can provide high-altitude adaptation.

which prevents their simultaneous hydrogen bonding with BPG's phosphate groups. BPG therefore binds to and stabilizes only the T conformation of hemoglobin by cross-linking its β subunits. This shifts the T ⇌ R equilibrium toward the T state, which lowers hemoglobin's O_2 affinity.

Fetal Hemoglobin Has Low BPG Affinity. The effects of BPG also help supply the fetus with oxygen. A fetus obtains its O_2 from the maternal circulation via the placenta. The concentration of BPG is the same in adult and fetal erythrocytes, but BPG binds more tightly to adult hemoglobin than to fetal hemoglobin. The higher oxygen affinity of fetal hemoglobin facilitates the transfer of O_2 to the fetus.

Fetal hemoglobin has the subunit composition $\alpha_2\gamma_2$ in which the γ subunit is a variant of the β chain (Section 5-4B). Residue 143 of the β chain of adult hemoglobin has a cationic His residue, whereas the γ chain has an uncharged Ser residue. The absence of this His eliminates a pair of interactions that stabilize the BPG–deoxyhemoglobin complex (Fig. 7-14).

Hemoglobin Is a Model Allosteric Protein. The cooperativity of oxygen binding to hemoglobin is a classic model for the behavior of many other multisubunit proteins (including many enzymes) that bind small molecules. In some cases, binding of a ligand to one site increases the affinity of other binding sites on the same protein (as in O_2 binding to hemoglobin). In other cases, a ligand decreases the affinity of other binding sites (as when BPG binding decreases the O_2 affinity of hemoglobin).

All these effects are the result of **allosteric interactions** (Greek: *allos,* other + *stereos,* solid or space). *Allosteric effects, in which the binding of a ligand at one site affects the binding of another ligand at another site, generally require interactions among subunits of oligomeric proteins.* The T → R transition in hemoglobin subunits explains the difference in the oxygen affinities of oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin. Other proteins exhibit similar conformational shifts, although, in many cases, the molecular mechanisms that underlie these phenomena are poorly understood.

Two models that account for cooperative ligand binding have received the most attention. One of them, the **symmetry model of allosterism**, formulated in 1965 by Jacques Monod, Jeffries Wyman, and Jean-Pierre Changeux, is defined by the following rules:

1. An allosteric protein is an oligomer of symmetrically related subunits (although the α and β subunits of hemoglobin are only pseudosymmetrically related).
2. Each oligomer can exist in two conformational states, designated R and T; these states are in equilibrium.
3. The ligand can bind to a subunit in either conformation. *Only the conformational change alters the affinity for the ligand.*
4. *The molecular symmetry of the protein is conserved during the conformational change.* The subunits must therefore change conformation in a concerted manner; in other words, there are no oligomers that simultaneously contain R- and T-state subunits.

The symmetry model is diagrammed for a tetrameric binding protein in **Fig. 7-15**. If a ligand binds more tightly to the R state than to the T state, ligand binding will promote the T → R shift, thereby increasing the affinity of the unliganded subunits for the ligand.

One major objection to the symmetry model is that it is difficult to believe that oligomeric symmetry is perfectly preserved in all proteins, that is, that the T → R shift occurs simultaneously in all subunits regardless of the number of ligands bound. In addition, the symmetry model can account only for positive cooperativity, although some proteins exhibit negative cooperativity.

An alternative to the symmetry model is the **sequential model of allosterism**, proposed by Daniel Koshland. According to this model, ligand binding induces a conformational change in the subunit to which it binds, and cooperative interactions arise through the influence of those conformational changes on neighboring subunits. The conformational changes occur sequentially as more ligand-binding sites are occupied (**Fig. 7-16**). The ligand-binding affinity of a subunit varies with its conformation and may be higher or lower than that of the subunits in the ligand-free protein. Thus, proteins that follow the sequential model of allosterism may be positively or negatively cooperative.

If the mechanical coupling between subunits in the sequential model is particularly strong, the conformational changes occur simultaneously and the oligomer retains its symmetry, as in the symmetry model. Thus, the symmetry model of allosterism may be considered to be an extreme case of the more general sequential model.

Oxygen binding to hemoglobin exhibits features of both models. The quaternary T → R conformational change is concerted, as the symmetry model requires. Yet ligand binding to the T state does cause small tertiary structural changes, as the sequential model predicts. These minor conformational shifts are undoubtedly responsible for the buildup of strain that eventually triggers the T → R transition.

Despite the foregoing, the Perutz mechanism does not appear to be the whole story. For example, Chien Ho effectively detached His F8 from hemoglobin's

FIG. 7-15 The symmetry model of allosterism. Squares and circles represent T- and R-state subunits, respectively, of a tetrameric protein. The T and R states are in equilibrium regardless of the number of ligands (represented by S) that have bound to the protein. All the subunits must be in either the T or the R form; the model does not allow combinations of T- and R-state subunits in the same protein.

FIG. 7-16 The sequential model of allosterism. Ligand binding progressively induces conformational changes in the subunits, with the greatest changes occurring in those subunits that have bound ligand. The symmetry of the oligomeric protein is not preserved in this process as it is in the symmetry model.

polypeptide backbone by mutagenically replacing it with Gly in one or both types of subunits and replacing the missing imidazole rings with free imidazole, which a variety of evidence indicates binds to the heme Fe as does His F8. All of these mutant hemoglobins, in accord with the Perutz mechanism, have significantly increased ligand-binding affinity, reduced cooperativity, and do not undergo a T → R transition. Nevertheless, all of them exhibit a small degree of cooperativity, suggesting that the heme groups also communicate via pathways that do not require covalent coupling between His F8 and the F helix. It therefore appears that the complexity of ligand–protein interactions in hemoglobin and other proteins allows binding processes to be fine-tuned to the needs of the organism under changing internal and external conditions. We will revisit allosteric effects when we discuss enzymes in Chapter 12.

E Mutations May Alter Hemoglobin's Structure and Function

Before the advent of recombinant DNA techniques, mutant hemoglobins provided what was an almost unique opportunity to study structure–function relationships in proteins. This is because, for many years, hemoglobin was the only protein of known structure that had a large number of well-characterized naturally occurring **variants**. The examination of individuals with physiological disabilities, together with the routine electrophoretic screening of human blood samples, has led to the discovery of more than 1000 variant hemoglobins, >90% of which result from single amino acid substitutions in a globin polypeptide chain. Indeed, about 5% of the world's human population are carriers of an inherited variant hemoglobin.

Not all hemoglobin variants produce clinical symptoms, but some abnormal hemoglobin molecules do cause debilitating diseases (~300,000 individuals with serious hemoglobin disorders are born every year; naturally occurring hemoglobin variants that are lethal are, of course, never observed). Table 7-1 lists several hemoglobin variants. Mutations that destabilize hemoglobin's tertiary or quaternary structure alter hemoglobin's oxygen-binding affinity (p_{50}) and

TABLE 7-1 Some Hemoglobin Variants

Name[a]	Mutation	Effect
Hammersmith	Phe CD1(42) β → Ser	Weakens heme binding
Bristol	Val E11(67) β → Asp	Weakens heme binding
Bibba	Leu H19(136)α → Pro	Disrupts the H helix
Savannah	Gly B6(24)β → Val	Disrupts the B–E helix interface
Philly	Tyr C1(35)β → Phe	Disrupts hydrogen bonding at the α_1–β_1 interface
Boston	His E7(58)α → Tyr	Promotes methemoglobin formation
Milwaukee	Val E11(67)β → Glu	Promotes methemoglobin formation
Iwate	His F8(87)α → Tyr	Promotes methemoglobin formation
Yakima	Asp G1(99)β → His	Disrupts a hydrogen bond that stabilizes the T conformation
Kansas	Asn G4(102)β → Thr	Disrupts a hydrogen bond that stabilizes the R conformation

[a]Hemoglobin variants are usually named after the place where they were discovered (e.g., hemoglobin Boston).

reduce its cooperativity (Hill constant). Moreover, the unstable hemoglobins are degraded by the erythrocytes, and their degradation products often cause the erythrocytes to **lyse** (break open). The resulting **hemolytic anemia** (anemia is a deficiency of red blood cells) compromises O_2 delivery to tissues.

Certain mutations at the O_2-binding site of either the α or β chain favor the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(III). Individuals carrying the resulting methemoglobin subunit exhibit **cyanosis**, a bluish skin color, due to the presence of methemoglobin in their arterial blood. These hemoglobins have reduced cooperativity (Hill constant ~1.2 compared to a maximum value of 2, since only two subunits in each of these methemoglobins can bind oxygen).

Mutations that increase hemoglobin's oxygen affinity lead to increased numbers of erythrocytes to compensate for the less than normal amount of oxygen released in the tissues. Individuals with this condition, which is named **polycythemia**, often have a ruddy complexion.

A Single Amino Acid Change Causes Sickle-Cell Anemia. Most harmful hemoglobin variants occur in only a few individuals, in many of whom the mutation apparently originated. However, ~10% of African-Americans and as many as 25% of black Africans carry a single copy of (are **heterozygous** for) the gene for **sickle-cell hemoglobin (hemoglobin S)**. Individuals who carry two copies of (are **homozygous** for) the gene for hemoglobin S suffer from **sickle-cell anemia,** in which deoxyhemoglobin S forms insoluble filaments that deform erythrocytes (**Fig. 7-17**). In this painful, debilitating, and often fatal disease, the rigid, sickle-shaped cells cannot easily pass through the capillaries. Consequently, in a sickle-cell "crisis," the blood flow to some tissues may be completely blocked, resulting in tissue death. In addition, the mechanical fragility of the misshapen cells results in hemolytic anemia. Heterozygotes, whose hemoglobin is ~40% hemoglobin S, usually lead a normal life, although their erythrocytes have a shorter-than-normal lifetime.

In 1945, Linus Pauling hypothesized that sickle-cell anemia was the result of a mutant hemoglobin, and in 1949 he showed that the mutant hemoglobin had a less negative ionic charge than normal adult hemoglobin. This was the first evidence that a disease could result from an alteration in the molecular structure of a protein. Furthermore, since sickle-cell anemia is an inherited disease, a defective gene must be responsible for the abnormal protein. Nevertheless, the molecular defect in sickle-cell hemoglobin was not identified until 1956, when Vernon Ingram showed that hemoglobin S contains Val rather than Glu at the sixth position of each β chain. This was the first time an inherited disease was shown to arise from a specific amino acid change in a protein.

The X-ray structure of deoxyhemoglobin S has revealed that one mutant Val side chain in each hemoglobin S tetramer nestles into a hydrophobic pocket on the surface of a β subunit in another hemoglobin tetramer (**Fig. 7-18**). This intermolecular contact allows hemoglobin S tetramers to form linear polymers. Aggregates of 14 strands wind around each other to form rigid fibers that extend throughout the length of the erythrocyte (**Fig. 7-19**). The hydrophobic pocket on the β subunit cannot accommodate the normally occurring Glu side chain, and the pocket is absent in oxyhemoglobin. Consequently, neither normal hemoglobin nor oxyhemoglobin S can polymerize. In fact, hemoglobin S fibers dissolve essentially instantaneously on oxygenation, so none are present in arterial blood. The danger of sickling is greatest when erythrocytes pass

© Susumu Nishinaga/Photo Researchers, Inc.

(a)

Bill Longcore/Photo Researchers, Inc.

(b)

FIG. 7-17 Scanning electron micrographs of human erythrocytes. (*a*) Normal erythrocytes are flexible, biconcave disks that can tolerate slight distortions as they pass through the capillaries (many of which have smaller diameters than erythrocytes). (*b*) Sickled erythrocytes from an individual with sickle-cell anemia are elongated and rigid and cannot easily pass through capillaries.

FIG. 7-18 Structure of a deoxyhemoglobin S fiber. (*a*) The arrangement of deoxyhemoglobin S molecules in the fiber. Only three subunits of each deoxyhemoglobin S molecule are shown. (*b*) The side chain of the mutant Val 6 in the β_2 chain of one hemoglobin S molecule (yellow knob in Part *a*) binds to a hydrophobic pocket on the β_1 subunit of a neighboring deoxyhemoglobin S molecule. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

through the capillaries, where deoxygenation occurs. The polymerization of hemoglobin S molecules is time and concentration dependent, which explains why blood flow blockage occurs only sporadically (in a sickle-cell "crisis").

Interestingly, many hemoglobin S homozygotes have only a mild form of sickle-cell anemia because they express relatively high levels of fetal hemoglobin, which contains γ chains rather than the defective β chains. The fetal hemoglobin dilutes the hemoglobin S, making it more difficult for hemoglobin S to aggregate during the 10–20 s it takes for an erythrocyte to travel from the tissues to the lungs for reoxygenation. The administration of **hydroxyurea**,

Hydroxyurea

the first and as yet the only effective treatment for sickle-cell anemia, ameliorates the symptoms of sickle-cell anemia by increasing the fraction of cells containing fetal hemoglobin (although the mechanism whereby hydroxyurea acts is unknown).

Courtesy of Robert Josephs, University of Chicago

FIG. 7-19 Electron micrograph of deoxyhemoglobin S fibers spilling out of a ruptured erythrocyte.

Hemoglobin S Protects Against Malaria. Before the advent of modern palliative therapies, individuals with sickle-cell anemia rarely survived to maturity. Natural selection has not minimized the prevalence of the hemoglobin S variant, however, because heterozygotes are more resistant to **malaria**, an often lethal infectious disease. Of the 2.5 billion people living within malaria-endemic areas, 100 million are clinically ill with the disease at any given time and around

FIG. 7-20 Correspondence between malaria and the sickle-cell gene. The blue areas of the map indicate regions where malaria is or was prevalent. The pink areas represent the distribution of the gene for hemoglobin S. Note the overlap (*purple*) of the distributions.

1 million, mostly very young children, die from it each year. Malaria is caused by the mosquito-borne protozoan *Plasmodium falciparum,* which resides within erythrocytes during much of its 48-h life cycle. Infected erythrocytes adhere to capillary walls, causing death when cells impede blood flow to a vital organ.

The regions of equatorial Africa where malaria is a major cause of death coincide closely with the areas where the sickle-cell gene is prevalent (**Fig. 7-20**), thereby suggesting that the sickle-cell gene confers resistance to malaria. How does it do so? Plasmodia increase the acidity of infected erythrocytes by ~0.4 pH units. The lower pH favors the formation of deoxyhemoglobin via the Bohr effect, thereby increasing the likelihood of sickling in erythrocytes that contain hemoglobin S. Erythrocytes damaged by sickling are normally removed from the circulation by the spleen. During the early stages of a malarial infection, parasite-enhanced sickling probably allows the spleen to preferentially remove infected erythrocytes. In the later stages of infection, when the parasitized erythrocytes attach to the capillary walls (presumably to prevent the spleen from removing them from the circulation), sickling may mechanically disrupt the parasite. Consequently, heterozygous carriers of hemoglobin S in a malarial region have an adaptive advantage: They are more likely to survive to maturity than individuals who are homozygous for normal hemoglobin. Thus, in malarial regions, the fraction of the population who are heterozygotes for the sickle-cell gene increases until their reproductive advantage is balanced by the correspondingly increased proportion of homozygotes (who, without modern medical treatment, die in childhood).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the O_2-binding behavior of myoglobin in terms of pO_2 and K. How is K defined?
- Explain the structural basis for cooperative oxygen binding to hemoglobin.
- Sketch a binding curve (% bound ligand versus ligand concentration) for cooperative and noncooperative binding.
- Explain why the O_2-binding behavior of myoglobin and hemoglobin can be summed up by a single number (the p_{50}).
- Could a binding protein have a Hill constant of zero?
- Describe how myoglobin and hemoglobin function in delivering O_2 from the lungs to respiring tissues.
- What is the physiological relevance of the Bohr effect and BPG?
- Explain why mutations can increase or decrease the oxygen affinity and cooperativity of hemoglobin. How can the body compensate for these changes?

2 Muscle Contraction

KEY CONCEPTS

- Myosin is a motor protein that undergoes conformational changes as it hydrolyzes ATP.
- The sliding filament model of muscle contraction describes the movement of thick filaments relative to thin filaments.
- The globular protein actin can form structures such as microfilaments and the thin filaments of muscle.

One of the most striking characteristics of living things is their capacity for organized movement. Such phenomena occur at all structural levels and include such diverse vectorial processes as the separation of replicated chromosomes during cell division, the beating of flagella and cilia, and, most obviously, muscle contraction. In this section, we consider the structural and

chemical basis of movement in **striated muscle**, one of the best-understood mobility systems.

A Muscle Consists of Interdigitated Thick and Thin Filaments

The voluntary muscles, which include the skeletal muscles, have a striated (striped) appearance when viewed by light microscopy (Fig. 7-21). Such muscles consist of long multinucleated cells (the muscle fibers) formed from numerous cells that have fused together and which contain parallel bundles of ~1,000 **myofibrils** (Greek: *myos,* muscle; Fig. 7-22). A single muscle fiber, which is 20–100 μm in diameter, often runs the length of the muscle. Electron micrographs show that muscle striations arise from the banded structure of multiple in-register myofibrils. The bands are formed by alternating regions of greater and lesser electron density called **A bands** and **I bands,** respectively (Fig. 7-23). The myofibril's repeating unit, the **sarcomere** (Greek: *sarkos,* flesh), is bounded by **Z disks** at the center of each I band. The A band is centered on the **H zone,** which in turn is centered on the **M disk.** The A band contains 150-Å-diameter **thick filaments**, and the I band contains 70-Å-diameter **thin filaments**. The two sets of filaments are linked by cross-bridges where they overlap.

J.C. Revy, CNRI/Photo Researchers

FIG. 7-21 Photomicrograph of a muscle fiber. The longitudinal axis of the fiber is horizontal (perpendicular to the striations). The alternating pattern of dark A bands and light I bands from multiple in-register myofibrils is clearly visible.

FIG. 7-22 Skeletal muscle organization. A muscle (*a*) consists of bundles of muscle fibers (*b*), each of which is a long, thin, multinucleated cell (*c*) that may run the length of the muscle. Muscle fibers contain bundles of laterally aligned myofibrils (*d*), which, in turn, consist of bundles of alternating thick and thin filaments.

FIG. 7-23 Anatomy of the myofibril. The electron micrograph shows parts of three myofibrils, which are separated by horizontal gaps. The accompanying interpretive drawing shows the major features of the myofibril: the light I band, which contains only thin filaments; the A band, whose dark H zone contains only thick filaments and whose darker outer segments contain overlapping thick and thin filaments; the Z disk, which bisects the I band and to which the thin filaments are anchored; and the M disk, which arises from a bulge at the center of each thick filament. The myofibril's functional unit, the sarcomere, is the region between two successive Z disks. [Courtesy of Hugh Huxley, Brandeis University.]

A contracted muscle can be as much as one-third shorter than its fully extended length. The contraction results from a decrease in the length of the sarcomere, caused by reductions in the lengths of its I band and H zone (Fig. 7-24*a*). These observations, made by Hugh Huxley in 1954 (Box 7-4), are explained by the **sliding filament model** in which interdigitated thick and thin filaments slide past each other (Fig. 7-24*b*). Thus, during a contraction, a muscle becomes shorter, and because its total volume does not change, it also becomes thicker.

Thick Filaments Consist Mainly of Myosin. Vertebrate thick filaments are composed almost entirely of a single type of protein, **myosin,** which consists of six polypeptide chains: two 220-kD **heavy chains** and two pairs of different

FIG. 7-24 Myofibril contraction. (*a*) Electron micrographs showing myofibrils in progressively more contracted states. The lengths of the I band and H zone decrease on contraction, whereas the lengths of the thick and thin filaments remain constant. (*b*) Interpretive drawings showing interpenetrating sets of thick and thin filaments sliding past each other. [Courtesy of Hugh Huxley, Brandeis University.]

Box 7-4 Pathways of Discovery Hugh Huxley and the Sliding Filament Model

Hugh Huxley (1924–2013) The mechanism of muscle action has fascinated scientists for hundreds if not thousands of years. The first close look at muscle fibers came in 1682, when Antoni van Leeuwenhoek's early microscope revealed a pattern of thin longitudinal fibers. In the modern era, research on muscle has followed one of two approaches. First, it is possible to study muscle as an energy-transducing system, in which metabolic energy is generated and consumed. This line of research received a tremendous boost in the 1930s with the discovery that ATP is the energy source for muscle contraction. The second approach involves treating muscle as a mechanical system—that is, sorting out its rods and levers. Ultimately, a molecular approach united the mechanical and energetic aspects of muscle research. The insights of Hugh Huxley made this possible.

The molecular characterization of muscle did not occur overnight. In 1859, Willi Kühne isolated a proteinaceous substance from muscle tissue that he named "myosin" (almost certainly a mixture of many proteins), but it tended to aggregate and was therefore not as popular a study subject as the more soluble proteins such as hemoglobin. A major breakthrough in muscle protein chemistry came in 1941, when the Hungarian biochemist Albert Szent-Györgyi showed that two types of protein could be extracted from ground muscle by a solution with high salt concentration (Szent-Györgyi also contributed to the elucidation of the citric acid cycle; Box 17-1). Extraction for 20 minutes yielded a protein he named myosin A but which is now called myosin. However, extraction overnight yielded a second protein, which he named myosin B but is now called actomyosin. It soon became apparent that myosin B was a mixture of two proteins, myosin and a new protein, which was named actin. Further work showed that threads of actomyosin contracted to ~10% of their original length in the presence of ATP. Since actin and myosin alone do not contract in the presence of ATP, the contraction must have resulted from their interaction. However, it took another decade to develop a realistic model of how myosin and actin interact.

A number of theories had been advanced to explain muscle contraction. According to one theory, the cytoplasm of muscle cells moved like that of an amoeba. Other theories proposed that muscle fibers took up and gave off water or repelled and attracted other fibers electrostatically. Linus Pauling, who had recently discovered the structures of the α helix and β sheet (Box 6-1), ventured that myosin could change its length by shifting between the two protein conformations. Huxley formulated an elegant—and correct—explanation in his sliding filament model for muscle contraction.

In 1948, Huxley began his doctoral research at Cambridge University in the United Kingdom, in the laboratory of John Kendrew (who, 10 years later, determined the first X-ray structure of a protein, that of myoglobin; Section 7-1A). There, through X-ray studies on frog muscle fibers, Huxley established that the X-ray diffraction pattern changes with the muscle's physiological state. Furthermore, he showed that muscle contained two sets of parallel fibers, rather than one, and that these fibers were linked together by multiple cross-links. These observations became the germ for further research, which he carried out at MIT in 1953 and 1954. He teamed up with Jean Hanson, a Briton who was also working at MIT. Hanson made good use of her knowledge of muscle physiology and her expertise in phase-contrast microscopy, a technique that could visualize the banded patterns of muscle fibers. Huxley and Hanson observed rabbit muscle fibers under different experimental conditions, making precise measurements of the width of the A and I bands in sarcomeres (Fig. 7-23). In one experiment, they extracted myosin from the muscle fiber, noted the loss of the dark A band, and concluded that the A band consists of myosin. When they extracted both actin and myosin, all identifiable structure was lost, and they concluded that actin is present throughout the sarcomere.

When ATP was added, the muscle slowly contracted, and Huxley and Hanson were able to measure the shortening of the I band. The A band maintained a constant length but became darker. A muscle fiber under the microscope could also be stretched by pulling on the coverslip. As the muscle "relaxed," the I band increased in width and the A band became less dense. Measurements were made for muscle fibers contracted to 60% of their original length and stretched to 120% of their original length.

The key to the sliding filament model that Huxley described and subsequently refined is that the individual molecules (that is, their observable fibrous forms) do not shrink or extend but instead slide past each other. During contraction, actin filaments (thin filaments) in the I band are drawn into the A band, which consists of stationary myosin-containing filaments (thick filaments). During stretching, the actin filaments withdraw from the A band. Similar conclusions were reached by the team of Andrew Huxley (no relation to Hugh) and Rolf Niedergerke, who examined the contraction of living frog muscle fibers. Both groups published their work in back-to-back papers in *Nature* in 1954.

Hugh Huxley went on to supply additional details to his sliding filament model. For example, he showed that myosin forms cross-bridges with actin fibers. However, these bridges are asymmetric, pointing in opposite directions in the two halves of the sarcomere. This arrangement allows myosin to pull thin filaments in opposite directions toward the center of the sarcomere (Fig. 7-24).

While Huxley was describing the mechanism of muscle contraction, Watson and Crick discovered the structure of DNA, and Max Perutz made a decisive breakthrough in the use of heavy metal atoms to solve the phase problem in his X-ray studies of hemoglobin (Box 7-2). Collectively, these discoveries indicated the tremendous potential for describing biological phenomena in molecular terms. Subsequent studies of muscle contraction have used electron microscopy, X-ray crystallography, and enzymology to probe the fine details of the sliding filament model, including the structure of myosin's lever arm, the composition of the thin filament, and the exact role of ATP in triggering conformational changes that generate mechanical force.

Huxley, H.E. and Hanson, J., Changes in the cross-striations of muscle during contraction and stretch and their structural interpretation, *Nature* **173,** 973–976 (1954).

light chains, the so-called **essential** and **regulatory light chains** (**ELC** and **RLC**) that vary in size between 15 and 22 kD, depending on their source. X-Ray structure determinations by Ivan Rayment and Hazel Holden of the N-terminal half of the myosin heavy chain, the so-called **myosin head**, reveal that it forms an elongated (55 × 165 Å) globular head to which one subunit

FIG. 7-25 Structure of myosin. (*a*) A ribbon diagram of the myosin head from chicken muscle. The heavy chain is represented by a ribbon diagram embedded in its semi-transparent molecular surface with different portions green, blue, and red. The essential and regulatory light chains, RLC and ELC, are drawn in worm form with each colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). A sulfate ion, shown in space-filling form (O red and S yellow), occupies the binding site of ATP's β-phosphate group. An RLC-bound Ca^{2+} ion (*lower left*) is represented by a cyan sphere. [Based on an X-ray structure by Ivan Rayment and Hazel Holden, University of Wisconsin. PDBid 2MYS.] (*b*) Diagram of the myosin molecule. Its two identical heavy chains (*green and orange*) each have an N-terminal globular head and an α-helical tail. Between the head and tail is an α helix, the lever arm, that associates with the two kinds of light chains (*magenta and yellow*). The tails wind around each other to form a 1600-Å-long parallel coiled coil.

each of ELC and RLC bind (**Fig. 7-25*a***). The C-terminal half of the heavy chain forms a long fibrous α-helical tail, two of which associate to form a left-handed coiled coil. Thus, *myosin consists of a 1600-Å-long rodlike segment with two globular heads* (**Fig. 7-25*b***). The amino acid sequence of myosin's α-helical tail is characteristic of coils such as those in keratin (Section 6-1C): It has a seven-residue pseudorepeat, *a-b-c-d-e-f-g*, with nonpolar residues predominating at positions *a* and *d*.

Under physiological conditions, several hundred myosin molecules aggregate to form a thick filament. The rodlike tails pack end to end in a regular staggered array, leaving the globular heads projecting to the sides on

FIG. 7-26 Structure of the thick filament. (*a*) Electron micrograph showing the myosin heads projecting from the thick filament. [From Trinick, J. and Elliott, A., *J. Mol. Biol.* **131,** 135 (1977).] (*b*) Drawing of a thick filament, in which several hundred myosin molecules form a staggered array with their globular heads pointing away from the filament.

both ends (Fig. 7-26). These myosin heads form the cross-bridges to thin filaments in intact myofibrils. The myosin head, which is an **ATPase** (ATP-hydrolyzing enzyme), has its ATP-binding site located in a 13-Å-deep V-shaped pocket.

Thin Filaments Consist Mainly of Actin. Thin filaments consist mainly of polymers of **actin,** the most abundant cytosolic protein in eukaryotes. In its monomeric form, this ~375-residue protein is known as **G-actin** (G for *g*lobular); when polymerized, it is called **F-actin** (F for *f*ibrous). Each actin subunit has binding sites for ATP and a Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} ion that are located in a deep cleft (Fig. 7-27). ATP hydrolysis to ADP + P_i is not required for actin polymerization but occurs afterward (Section 7-2C).

The fibrous nature of F-actin and its variable fiber lengths have thwarted its crystallization in a manner suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis. Consequently, our current understanding of the atomic structure of F-actin is based on electron micrographs (Fig. 7-28*a*) together with low-resolution models based on X-ray studies of oriented gels of F-actin into which high-resolution atomic models of G-actin have been fitted (Fig. 7-28*b*). These models indicate that the actin polymer is a double-stranded helix of subunits in which each subunit contacts four others. Each actin subunit has the same head-to-tail orientation (e.g., all the nucleotide-binding clefts open upward

FIG. 7-27 X-Ray structure of rabbit muscle G-actin in complex with ATP and a Ca^{2+} ion. The four domains of the protein are colored cyan, magenta, orange, and yellow, and the N- and C-termini are labeled. The ATP, which is drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange, binds at the bottom of a deep cleft between the domains. The Ca^{2+} ion is represented by a light green sphere. [Based on an X-ray structure by Leslie Burtnik, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia. PDBid 3HBT.]

FIG. 7-28 Structure of the actin filament. (*a*) Cryoelectron microscopy–based image. The tropomyosin binding sites (see below) are blue. [Courtesy of Daniel Safer, University of Pennsylvania, and Ronald Milligan, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California.] (*b*) Model based on fitting a known X-ray structure of G-actin to a cryoEM-based image of F-actin, represented by five consecutive actin · ADP subunits drawn in ribbon form, each with a different color, embedded in their semitransparent molecular surface. The ADPs are drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. The central subunit (*magenta*), whose four domains are numbered, is oriented as is the G-actin in Fig. 7-27. [Based on an X-ray structure by Leslie Burtnik, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia. PDBid 3HBT.]

FIG. 7-29 Model of the myosin–actin interaction. This space-filling model was constructed from the X-ray structures of actin and the myosin head and electron micrographs of their complex. The actin filament is at the top, with two of its subunits colored tan and violet. The myosin heavy chain is colored as in Fig. 7-25 and the light chains are yellow and magenta. The coiled-coil tail is not shown. An ATP-binding site is located in a cleft in the blue domain of the myosin head. In a myofibril, every actin monomer has the potential to bind a myosin head, and the thick filament has many myosin heads projecting from it. [Modified from a drawing by Ivan Rayment and Hazel Holden, University of Wisconsin.]

in Fig. 7-28*b*), so the assembled fiber has a distinct polarity. The end of the fiber toward which the nucleotide-binding sites open is known as the **(−) end**, and its opposite end is the **(+) end**. The (+) ends of the thin filaments bind to the Z disk (Fig. 7-23).

Each of muscle F-actin's monomeric units can bind a single myosin head (**Fig. 7-29**), probably by ion pairing and by the association of hydrophobic patches on each protein. Electron micrographs indicate that the myosin heads bound to an F-actin filament all have the same orientation (**Fig. 7-30**) and that in thin filaments that are still attached to the Z disk, the myosin heads all point away from the Z disk.

Tropomyosin and Troponin Are Thin Filament Components. Myosin and actin, the major components of muscle, account for 60 to 70% and 20 to 25% of total muscle protein, respectively. Of the remainder, two proteins that are associated with the thin filaments are particularly prominent:

1. **Tropomyosin,** a homodimer whose two 284-residue α-helical subunits wrap around each other to form a parallel coiled coil that extends nearly the entire 400-Å length of the molecule (a portion of which is shown in Fig. 6-15*b*). Multiple copies of these rod-shaped proteins are joined head-to-tail to form cables wound in the grooves of the F-actin helix such that each tropomyosin molecule contacts seven consecutive actin subunits in a quasi-equivalent manner (Fig. 7-30).

Courtesy of Ronald Milligan, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California

FIG. 7-30 Cryoelectron microscopy–based image at <25-Å resolution of a thin filament decorated with myosin heads. F-actin is red, tropomyosin is blue, the myosin motor domain is yellow, and the essential light chain is green. The helical filament has a pitch (rise per turn) of 370 Å.

2. **Troponin,** which consists of three subunits: **TnC**, a Ca^{2+}-binding protein; **TnI**, which binds to actin; and **TnT**, an elongated molecule, which binds to tropomyosin at its head-to-tail junctions. The X-ray structure of troponin in complex with four Ca^{2+} ions (**Fig. 7-31**), determined by Robert Fletterick, reveals that TnC closely resembles the myosin light chains and that the inhibitory segment of TnI binds to TnC's rigid central helix in this Ca^{2+}-activated state.

The tropomyosin–troponin complex, as we will see below, regulates muscle contraction by controlling the access of the myosin heads to their binding sites on actin.

FIG. 7-31 X-Ray structure of chicken skeletal muscle troponin. TnC is red, TnI is blue, and TnT is gold. The four Ca^{2+} ions bound by TnC are represented by cyan spheres. [Based on an X-ray structure by Robert Fletterick, University of California at San Francisco. PDBid 1YTZ.]

Muscle Contains Numerous Minor Proteins That Organize Its Structure. Other proteins serve to form the Z disk and the M disk and to organize the arrays of thick and thin filaments. For instance, **α-actinin**, a rodlike homodimeric protein that cross-links F-actin filaments, is localized in the Z disk's interior and is therefore thought to attach oppositely oriented thin filaments to the Z disk.

One of the more unusual muscle proteins, **titin**, the longest known polypeptide chain (35,213 residues), is composed of ~300 repeating globular domains. Three to six titin molecules associate with each thick filament, spanning the 1-μm distance between the M and Z disks. Titin is believed to function as a molecular bungee cord to keep the thick filament centered on the sarcomere: During muscle contraction, it compresses as the sarcomere shortens, but when the muscle relaxes, titin resists sarcomere extension past the starting point.

Nebulin, which is also extremely large (6669 residues), is a mainly α-helical protein that is associated with the thin filament. It is thought to set the length of the thin filament by acting as a template for actin polymerization. This length is held constant by **tropomodulin**, which caps the (−) end of the thin filament (the end not attached to the Z disk), thereby preventing further actin polymerization and depolymerization. **CapZ** (also called **β-actinin**) is an α-actinin-associated heterodimer that similarly caps the (+) end of F-actin.

The M disk (Fig. 7-23) arises from the local enlargement of in-register thick filaments. Two proteins that are associated with this structure, **myomensin** and **M-protein**, bind to titin and are therefore likely to participate in thick filament assembly, as does the thick filament–associated **myosin-binding protein C**.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) and the less severe **Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD)** are both sex-linked muscle-wasting diseases. In DMD, which has an onset age of 2 to 5 years, muscle degeneration exceeds muscle regeneration, causing progressive muscle weakness and ultimately death, typically due to respiratory disorders or heart failure, usually by age 25. In BMD, the onset age is 5 to 10 years and there is an overall less progressive course of muscle degeneration and a longer (sometimes normal) life span than in individuals with DMD.

The gene responsible for DMD/BMD encodes a 3685-residue protein named **dystrophin**, which has a normal abundance in muscle tissue of 0.002%. Individuals with DMD usually have no detectable dystrophin in their muscles, whereas those with BMD have mostly dystrophins of altered sizes. Evidently, the dystrophins of individuals with DMD are rapidly degraded, whereas those of individuals with BMD are semifunctional.

Dystrophin is a member of a family of flexible rod-shaped proteins that includes other actin-binding cytoskeletal components. Dystrophin associates on the inner surface of the muscle plasma membrane with a transmembrane glycoprotein complex, where it helps anchor F-actin to the extracellular matrix and thereby protects the plasma membrane from being torn by the mechanical stress of muscle contraction. Although such small tears are common in muscle cells, they occur much more frequently in dystrophic cells, leading to a greatly increased rate of cell death.

B Muscle Contraction Occurs when Myosin Heads Walk Up Thin Filaments

To complete our description of muscle contraction, we must determine how ATP hydrolysis is coupled to the sliding filament model. If the sliding filament model is correct then it would be impossible for a myosin cross-bridge to remain attached to the same point on a thin filament during muscle contraction. Rather, it must repeatedly detach and then reattach itself at a new site further along the thin filament toward the Z disk. This, in turn, suggests that *muscular tension is generated through the interaction of myosin cross-bridges with thin filaments.* The actual contractile force is provided by ATP hydrolysis. Thus, myosin is a **motor protein** that converts the chemical energy of ATP hydrolysis to the mechanical energy of movement. Edwin Taylor formulated a model for myosin-mediated ATP hydrolysis, which has been refined by the structural studies of Rayment, Holden, and Ronald Milligan as follows (Fig. 7-32):

1. ATP binds to a myosin head in a manner that causes myosin's actin-binding site to open up and release its bound actin.
2. Myosin's active site (distinct from its actin-binding site) closes around the ATP. The resulting hydrolysis of the ATP to ADP + P_i "cocks" the myosin head—that is, puts it into its "high energy" conformation in which it is approximately perpendicular to the thick filament.
3. The myosin head binds weakly to an actin monomer that is closer to the Z disk than the one to which it had been bound previously.
4. Myosin releases P_i, which causes its actin-binding site to close, thereby increasing its affinity for actin.
5. The resulting transient state is immediately followed by the power stroke, a conformational shift that sweeps the myosin head's C-terminal tail by an estimated ~100 Å toward the Z disk relative to the actin-binding site on its head, thus translating the attached thin filament by this distance toward the M disk.
6. ADP is released, thereby completing the cycle.

Because the reaction cycle involves several steps, some of which are irreversible (e.g., ATP hydrolysis and P_i release), the entire cycle is unidirectional. The ~500 myosin heads on every thick filament asynchronously cycle through this reaction sequence about five times each per second during a strong muscular contraction. *The myosin heads thereby "walk" or "row" up adjacent thin filaments toward the Z disk with the concomitant contraction of the muscle.* Although myosin is dimeric, its two heads function independently.

Calcium Triggers Muscle Contraction. Highly purified actin and myosin can contract regardless of the Ca^{2+} concentration, but preparations containing intact thin filaments contract only in the presence of Ca^{2+}, due to the regulatory action of troponin C (Fig. 7-31). Stimulation of a myofibril by a nerve impulse results in the release of Ca^{2+} from the **sarcoplasmic reticulum** (a network of flattened vesicles derived from the endoplasmic reticulum that surround each myofibril). As a result, the intracellular $[Ca^{2+}]$ increases from $\sim 10^{-7}$ to $\sim 10^{-5}$ M. The higher calcium concentration triggers the conformational change in the troponin–tropomyosin complex that exposes the site on actin where the myosin head binds

FIG. 7-32 Mechanism of force generation in muscle. *(Opposite)* The myosin head "walks" up the actin thin filament through a unidirectional cyclic process that is driven by ATP hydrolysis to ADP and P_i. Only one myosin head is shown. The actin monomer to which the myosin head is bound at the beginning of the cycle is more darkly colored for reference. [After Rayment, I. and Holden, H., *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **3,** 949 (1993).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Why doesn't "ATP hydrolysis" fully describe the role of ATP in muscle contraction?**

PROCESS DIAGRAM

Courtesy of William Lehman, Boston University School of Medicine

FIG. 7-33 Comparison of the positions of tropomyosin on the thin filament in the absence and presence of Ca^{2+}. In this superposition of cryoelectron microscopy–based images, the F-actin filament is gold, the tropomyosin in the absence of Ca^{2+} is red, and that in the presence of Ca^{2+} is green. The shift is 23 Å.

(**Fig. 7-33**), thereby increasing myosin's affinity for actin by ~10,000 fold. When the myofibril [Ca^{2+}] is low (Ca^{2+} is rapidly pumped back into the sarcoplasmic reticulum by ATP-requiring Ca^{2+} pumps; Section 10-3B), the troponin–tropomyosin complex assumes its resting conformation, blocking myosin binding to actin and causing the muscle to relax.

C Actin Forms Microfilaments in Nonmuscle Cells

Although actin and myosin are most prominent in muscle, they also occur in other tissues. In fact, actin is ubiquitous and is usually the most abundant cytoplasmic protein in eukaryotic cells, typically accounting for 5 to 10% of their total protein. Nonmuscle actin forms ~70-Å-diameter fibers known as **microfilaments** that can be visualized by **immunofluorescence microscopy** (in which a fluorescent-tagged antibody is used to "stain" the actin to which it binds; **Fig. 7-34**). In nonmuscle cells, actin plays an essential role in many processes, including changes in cell shape, cell division, endocytosis, and organelle transport.

Microfilament Treadmilling Can Mediate Locomotion. ATP–G-actin binds to both ends of an F-actin filament but with a greater affinity for its (+) end (hence its name). This polymerization activates F-actin subunits to hydrolyze their bound ATP to ADP + P_i with the subsequent dissociation of P_i. The resulting conformation change reduces the affinity of an ADP–F-actin subunit for its neighboring subunits relative to that of ATP–F-actin. Since F-actin–catalyzed ATP hydrolysis occurs more slowly than actin polymerization and F-actin's bound nucleotide does not exchange with those in solution (its nucleotide-binding site is blocked by its associated subunits), F-actin's more recently polymerized and hence predominantly ATP-containing subunits occur largely at its (+) end, whereas its (−) end consists mainly of less recently polymerized and hence predominantly ADP-containing subunits. The lesser affinity of ADP-containing actin subunits for F-actin results in their net dissociation from the (−) end of the polymer.

The steady state (when the microfilament maintains a constant length) occurs when the net rate of addition of subunits at the (+) end matches the net rate of dissociation of subunits at the (−) end. Then, *subunits that have added to the (+) end move toward the (−) end where they dissociate, a process called* **treadmilling**

Courtesy of John Victor Small, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Salzburg

FIG. 7-34 Actin microfilaments. The microfilaments in a fibroblast resting on the surface of a culture dish are revealed by immunofluorescence microscopy using a fluorescently labeled antibody to actin. When the cell begins to move, the filaments disassemble.

FIG. 7-35 Microfilament treadmilling. In the steady state, actin monomers continually add to the (+) end of the filament (*left*) but dissociate at the same rate from the (−) end (*right*). The filament thereby maintains a constant length while its component monomers translocate from left to right. For reference, a cluster of actin subunits is colored bright green.

? **Indicate which end of the actin filament is rich in ADP or ATP.**

© Eye of Science/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 7-36 Scanning electron micrograph of a crawling macrophage. The leading edge of this white blood cell (*top*) is ruffled where it has become detached from the surface and is in the process of extending. The cell's trailing edge or tail (*bottom*), which is still attached to the surface, is gradually being pulled toward the leading edge. The rate of actin polymerization is greatest at the leading edge. The macrophage is in the course of engulfing *Staphyloccus sp.* bacteria (*orange globules*) by a process known as **phagocytosis.**

(Fig. 7-35). Thus, a fluorescently labeled actin monomer is seen to move from the (+) end of the microfilament toward its (−) end. Treadmilling is driven by the free energy of ATP hydrolysis and hence is not at equilibrium.

The directional growth of actin filaments exerts force against the plasma membrane, allowing a cell to extend its cytoplasm in one direction. If the cytoplasmic protrusion anchors itself to the underlying surface, then the cell can use the adhesion point for traction to advance further. In order for the cell to crawl, however, the trailing edge of the cell must release its contacts with the surface while newer contacts are being made at the leading edge (Fig. 7-36). In addition, as microfilament polymerization proceeds at the leading edge, depolymerization must occur elsewhere in the cell, since the pool of G-actin is limited. A variety of actin-binding proteins modulate the rate of actin depolymerization and repolymerization *in vivo*.

Actin-mediated cell locomotion—that is, amoeboid motion—is the most primitive mechanism of cell movement. Nevertheless, virtually all eukaryotic cells undertake some version of it, even if it involves just a small patch of actin near the cell surface. More extensive microfilament rearrangements are essential for cells such as neutrophils (a type of white blood cell) that travel relatively long distances to sites of infection or inflammation.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain how myosin structure relates to its function as a motor protein.
- Draw a diagram of the components of a sarcomere, including the locations of all the proteins mentioned in the text. Which components are globular and which are fibrous?
- Explain the molecular basis of the sliding filament model of muscle contraction.
- What are the roles of Ca^{2+} and ATP in muscle contraction?
- Is it possible to construct a fibrous protein from globular subunits?
- Describe the process of treadmilling in a microfilament.
- How does a microfilament differ from the thin filament in a myofibril?
- Describe the process of actin polymerization and depolymerization during cell crawling.

3 Antibodies

KEY CONCEPTS

- The humoral immune response is mediated by antibodies, which include constant domains as well as variable domains that recognize specific antigens.
- Antibody gene rearrangement and hypermutation contribute to antibody diversity.

All organisms are continually subject to attack by other organisms, including disease-causing microorganisms and viruses. In higher animals, these **pathogens** may penetrate the physical barrier presented by the skin and mucous membranes (a first line of defense) only to be identified as foreign invaders and destroyed by the **immune system**. Two types of immunity have been distinguished:

1. **Cellular immunity**, which plays a role in fighting most pathogens and is particularly effective at eliminating virally infected cells, is mediated by **T lymphocytes** or **T cells**, so called because they mature in the thymus.
2. **Humoral immunity** (*humor* is an archaic term for fluid), which is most effective against bacterial infections and the extracellular phases of viral infections, is mediated by an enormously diverse collection of related proteins known as **antibodies** or **immunoglobulins.** Antibodies are produced by **B lymphocytes** or **B cells**, which in mammals mature in the bone marrow.

In this section we focus on the structure, function, and generation of antibodies.

The immune response is triggered by the presence of a foreign macromolecule, often a protein or carbohydrate, known as an ***antigen***. B cells display immunoglobulins on their surfaces. If a B cell encounters an antigen that binds to its particular immunoglobulin, it engulfs the antigen–antibody complex, degrades it, and displays the antigen fragments on the cell surface. T cells then stimulate the B cell to proliferate. Most of the B cell progeny are circulating cells that secrete large amounts of the antigen-specific antibody. These antibodies can bind to additional antigen molecules, thereby marking them for destruction by other components of the immune system. Although most B cells live only a few weeks unless stimulated by their corresponding antigen, a few long-lived **memory B cells** can recognize antigen several months or even many years later and can mount a more rapid and massive immune response (called a secondary response) than B cells that have not yet encountered their antigen (**Fig. 7-37**).

A Antibodies Have Constant and Variable Regions

The immunoglobulins form a related but enormously diverse group of proteins. All immunoglobulins contain at least four subunits: two identical

FIG. 7-37 Primary and secondary immune responses. Antibodies to antigen A appear in the blood following primary immunization on day 0 and secondary immunization on day 28. Antigen B is included in the secondary immunization to demonstrate the specificity of immunological memory for antigen A. The secondary response to antigen A is both faster and greater than the primary response.

~23-kD **light chains (L)** and two identical 53- to 75-kD **heavy chains (H)**. These subunits associate by disulfide bonds and by noncovalent interactions to form a roughly Y-shaped symmetric molecule with the formula $(LH)_2$ (Fig. 7-38).

The five classes of immunoglobulin **(Ig)** differ in the type of heavy chain they contain and, in some cases, in their subunit structure (Table 7-2). For example, **IgM** consists of five Y-shaped molecules arranged around a central **J subunit; IgA** occurs as monomers, dimers, trimers, and tetramers. The various immunoglobulin classes also have different physiological functions. IgM is most effective against microorganisms and is the first immunoglobulin to be secreted in response to an antigen. **IgG**, the most common immunoglobulin, is equally distributed between the blood and the extravascular fluid. IgA occurs predominantly in the intestinal tract and defends against pathogens by adhering to their antigenic sites to block their attachment to epithelial (outer) surfaces. **IgE**, which is normally present in the blood in minute concentrations, protects against parasites and has been implicated in allergic reactions. **IgD**, which is also present in small amounts, has no clearly known function. Our discussion of antibody structure will focus on IgG.

IgG can be cleaved through limited proteolysis with the enzyme **papain** into three ~50-kD fragments: two identical **Fab fragments** and one **Fc fragment**. The Fab fragments are the "arms" of the Y-shaped antibody and contain an entire

FIG. 7-38 X-Ray structure of an antibody. The protein is shown in ribbon form with its two heavy chains gold and cyan and its two light chains both magenta. Its two identical carbohydrate chains are drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, and O red. The antigen-binding sites are located at the ends of the two approximately horizontal Fab arms formed by the association of the light chains with the heavy chains. This particular antibody recognizes canine lymphoma (a type of cancer) and is therapeutically useful against it. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alexander McPherson, University of California at Irvine. PDBid 1IGT.]

? **How many discrete protein domains are visible in this model?**

TABLE 7-2 Classes of Human Immunoglobulins

Class	Heavy Chain	Light Chain	Subunit Structure	Molecular Mass (kD)
IgA	α	κ or λ	$(\alpha_2\kappa_2)_nJ^a$ or $(\alpha_2\lambda_2)_nJ^a$	180–720
IgD	δ	κ or λ	$\delta_2\kappa_2$ or $\delta_2\lambda_2$	160
IgE	ε	κ or λ	$\varepsilon_2\kappa_2$ or $\varepsilon_2\lambda_2$	190
IgG[b]	γ	κ or λ	$\gamma_2\kappa_2$ or $\gamma_2\lambda_2$	150
IgM	μ	κ or λ	$(\mu_2\kappa_2)_5J$ or $(\mu_2\lambda_2)_5J$	950

[a] $n = 1, 2, 3,$ or 4.

[b] IgG has four subclasses, IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4, which differ in their γ chains.

FIG. 7-39 Diagram of human immunoglobulin G (IgG). Each light chain contains a variable (V_L) and a constant (C_L) region, and each heavy chain contains one variable (V_H) and three constant (C_H1, C_H2, and C_H3) regions. Each of the variable and constant domains contains a disulfide bond, and the four polypeptide chains are linked by disulfide bonds. Hypervariable loops, three in each variable domain (*fuzzy lines*), determine antigen specificity. The proteolytic enzyme papain cleaves IgG at the hinge region to yield two Fab fragments and one Fc fragment. CHO represents carbohydrate chains. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

L chain and the N-terminal half of an H chain (Fig. 7-39). These fragments contain IgG's antigen-binding sites (the "ab" in Fab stands for *a*ntigen *b*inding). The Fc portion ("c" because it *c*rystallizes easily) derives from the "stem" of the antibody and consists of the C-terminal halves of two H chains. The arms of the Y are connected to the stem by a flexible hinge region. The hinge angles may vary, so an antibody molecule may not be perfectly symmetrical (e.g., Fig. 7-38).

Although all IgG molecules have the same overall structure, IgGs that recognize different antigens have different amino acid sequences. The light chains of different antibodies differ mostly in their N-terminal halves. These polypeptides are therefore said to have a **variable region**, $\mathbf{V_L}$ (residues 1 to ~108), and a **constant region**, $\mathbf{C_L}$ (residues 109 to 214). Comparisons of H chains, which have ~446 residues, reveal that H chains also have a variable region, $\mathbf{V_H}$, and a constant region, $\mathbf{C_H}$. As indicated in Fig. 7-39, the C_H region consists of three ~110-residue segments, $\mathbf{C_H1}$, $\mathbf{C_H2}$, and $\mathbf{C_H3}$, which are homologous to each other and to C_L. In fact, all the constant and variable regions resemble each other in sequence and in disulfide-bonding pattern. These similarities suggest that the six different homology units of an IgG evolved through the duplication of a primordial gene encoding an ~110-residue protein.

A given B cell can produce only one species of heavy chain and one species of light chain and hence only a single species of immunoglobulin. However, the immunoglobulins an animal produces upon exposure to a specific antigen are heterogeneous (**polyclonal**) because they are generated by many different B cells.

B Antibodies Recognize a Huge Variety of Antigens

The immunoglobulin homology units all have the same characteristic **immunoglobulin fold**: a sandwich composed of three- and four-stranded antiparallel β sheets that are linked by a disulfide bond (Fig. 6-29*b*). Nevertheless, the basic immunoglobulin structure must accommodate an enormous variety of antigens. The ability to recognize antigens resides in three loops in each variable domain (Fig. 7-40). Most of the amino acid variation among antibodies is concentrated in these three short segments, called **hypervariable** sequences. As hypothesized by Elvin Kabat, the hypervariable sequences line an immunoglobulin's antigen-binding site, so that their amino acids determine its binding specificity.

FIG. 7-40 Immunoglobulin folds in a light chain. Both the constant and variable domains consist of a sandwich of a four-stranded antiparallel β sheet (*blue*) and a three-stranded antiparallel β sheet (*orange*) that are linked by a disulfide bond (*yellow*). The positions of the three hypervariable sequences in the variable domain are indicated. [After Schiffer, M., Girling, R.L., Ely, K.R., and Edmundson, A.B., *Biochemistry* **12,** 4628 (1973).]

Courtesy of Ian Wilson, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California. PDBid 1HMM

FIG. 7-41 Interaction between an antigen and an antibody. This X-ray structure shows a portion of the solvent-accessible surface of a monoclonal antibody Fab fragment (*blue-green*) with a stick model of a bound nine-residue fragment of its peptide antigen (*lavender*).

Scientists have determined the X-ray structures of Fab fragments from **monoclonal antibodies** (Box 7-5) and monospecific antibodies isolated from patients with **multiple myeloma** (a disease in which a cancerous B cell proliferates and produces massive amounts of a single immunoglobulin; the polyclonal immunoglobulins isolated from ordinary blood cannot be used for detailed structural studies). As predicted by the positions of the hypervariable sequences, the antigen-binding site is located at the tip of each Fab fragment in a crevice between its V_L and V_H domains.

The association between antibodies and their antigens involves van der Waals, hydrophobic, hydrogen bonding, and ionic interactions. Their dissociation constants range from 10^{-4} to 10^{-10} M, comparable (or even greater) in strength to the associations between enzymes and their substrates. The specificity and strength of an antigen–antibody complex are a function of the exquisite structural complementarity between the antigen and the antibody (e.g., **Fig. 7-41**). These are also the features that make antibodies such useful laboratory reagents (Fig. 5-3, for example).

GATEWAY CONCEPT: Intermolecular Binding

The forces that allow one molecule to recognize and bind another are noncovalent: ionic interactions, hydrogen bonds, van der Waals interactions, and the hydrophobic effect. Such interactions can be extremely specific (one antibody recognizes only one antigen) and extremely tight (many antigen–antibody complexes exhibit K_D values in the nanomolar range).

Most immunoglobulins are divalent molecules; that is, they can bind two identical antigens simultaneously (IgM and IgA are multivalent). A foreign substance or organism usually has multiple antigenic regions, and a typical immune response generates a mixture of antibodies with different specificities. Divalent binding allows antibodies to cross-link antigens to form an extended lattice (**Fig. 7-42**), which hastens the removal of the antigen and triggers B cell proliferation.

Antibody Diversity Results from Gene Rearrangement and Mutation. A novel antigen does not direct a B cell to begin manufacturing a new immunoglobulin to which it can bind. Rather, *an antigen stimulates the proliferation of a preexisting B cell whose antibodies happen to recognize the antigen.* The immune system has the potential to produce an enormous number of different antibodies, probably $>10^{18}$. Even though this number is so large that an individual can synthesize only a small fraction of its potential immunoglobulin repertoire during its lifetime, this fraction is still sufficient to react with almost any antigen the individual might encounter. Yet the number of immunoglobulin genes is far too small to account for the observed level of antibody diversity. The diversity in antibody sequences arises instead from genetic changes during B lymphocyte development.

Each chain of an immunoglobulin molecule is encoded by multiple DNA segments: V, J, and C segments for the light chains, and V, D, J, and C segments

FIG. 7-42 Antigen cross-linking by antibodies. A mixture of divalent antibodies that recognizes the several different antigenic regions of an intruding particle such as a toxin molecule or a bacterium can form an extensive lattice of antigen and antibody molecules.

? **Draw a similar diagram showing antigen cross-linking by IgA with the subunit structure $(\alpha_2\kappa_2)_2J$.**

Box 7-5 Perspectives in Biochemistry Monoclonal Antibodies

Introducing a foreign molecule into an animal induces the synthesis of large amounts of antigen-specific but heterogeneous antibodies. One might expect that a single lymphocyte from such an animal could be cloned (allowed to reproduce) to yield a harvest of homogeneous immunoglobulin molecules. Unfortunately, lymphocytes do not grow continuously in culture. In the late 1970s, however, César Milstein and Georges Köhler developed a technique for immortalizing such cells so that they can grow continuously and secrete virtually unlimited quantities of a specific antibody. Typically, lymphocytes from a mouse that has been immunized with a particular antigen are harvested and fused with mouse myeloma cells (a type of blood system cancer), which can multiply indefinitely (see figure). The cells are then incubated in a selective medium that inhibits the synthesis of purines, which are essential for myeloma growth [the myeloma cells lack the enzyme **hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT),** which could otherwise participate in a purine nucleotide salvage pathway; Section 23-1D]. The only cells that can grow in the selective medium are fused cells, known as **hybridoma** cells, that combine the missing HPRT (it is supplied by the lymphocyte) with the immortal attributes of the myeloma cells. Clones derived from single fused cells are then screened for the presence of antibodies to the original antigen. Antibody-producing cells can be grown in large quantities in tissue culture or as semisolid tumors in mouse hosts.

Monoclonal antibodies are used to purify macromolecules (Section 5-2), to identify infectious diseases, and to test for the presence of drugs and other substances in body tissues. Because of their purity and specificity and, to some extent, their biocompatibility, monoclonal antibodies also hold considerable promise as therapeutic agents against cancer and other diseases. For example, the monoclonal antibody known as **Herceptin** binds specifically to the growth factor receptor **HER2** that is overexpressed in about one-quarter of breast cancers. Herceptin binding to HER2 blocks its growth-signaling activity, thereby causing the tumor to stop growing or even regress. **Antibody–drug conjugates,** in which an antitumor antibody is coupled to a cytotoxic agent via a cleavable linker, are beginning to achieve some success as selective antitumor agents.

Other monoclonal antibodies have been developed to bind to and interfere with components of the inflammatory response in order to treat the symptoms of diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis. In many cases, these "biologic" drugs have been genetically engineered to make them less mouselike and more humanlike and therefore less likely to be recognized and rejected as foreign by the patient's own immune system.

for heavy chains. These segments are joined together by **somatic recombination** during B cell development before being transcribed and translated into protein. The process is called somatic (Greek: *soma,* body) to distinguish it from the recombination that occurs in reproductive cells. Because there are multiple versions of the V, D, J, and C segments in the genome, the combinatorial possibilities are enormous. In addition, the recombination process sometimes adds or deletes nucleotides at the junctions between gene segments, further contributing to the diversity of the encoded protein. The generation of antibody diversity is further discussed in Section 28-3D.

Additional changes can occur after a B cell has encountered its antigen and begun secreting antibody molecules. As the antibody-producing B cells divide, their rate of immunoglobulin gene mutation increases dramatically, favoring the substitution of one nucleotide for another and leading to an average of one amino

TABLE 7-3 Some Antibody-Mediated Autoimmune Diseases

Disease	Target Tissue	Major Symptoms
Addison's disease	Adrenal cortex	Low blood glucose, muscle weakness, Na^+ loss, K^+ retention, increased susceptibility to stress
Graves' disease	Thyroid gland	Oversecretion of thyroid hormone resulting in increased appetite accompanied by weight loss
Insulin-dependent (type 1) diabetes mellitus	Pancreatic β cells	Loss of ability to make insulin
Myasthenia gravis	Acetylcholine receptors at nerve–muscle synapses	Progressive muscle weakness
Rheumatoid arthritis	Connective tissue	Inflammation and degeneration of the joints
Systemic lupus erythematosus	DNA, phospholipids, other tissue components	Rash, joint and muscle pain, anemia, kidney damage, mental dysfunction

acid change for every cell generation. This process, which is called **somatic hypermutation**, permits the antigen specificity of the antibody to be fine-tuned over many cell generations, because the rate of B cell proliferation increases with the antigen-binding affinity of the antibody it produces.

The Immune System Loses Its Tolerance in Autoimmune Diseases. Another remarkable property of the immune system is that its power is unleashed only against foreign substances and not against any of the tens of thousands of endogenous (self) molecules of various sorts. Virtually all macromolecules are potentially antigenic, as can be demonstrated by transplanting tissues from one individual to another, even within a species. This incompatibility presents obvious challenges for therapies ranging from routine blood transfusions to organ transplants.

The mechanism whereby an individual's immune system distinguishes self from non-self is not completely understood but includes the elimination of self-reactive B and T cells before they are fully mature. Self-tolerance begins to develop around the time of birth and must be ongoing, since new lymphocytes arise throughout an individual's lifetime. Occasionally, the immune system loses tolerance to some of its self-antigens, resulting in an **autoimmune disease**.

All the body's organ systems are theoretically susceptible to attack by an immune system that has lost its self-tolerance, but some tissues are attacked more often than others. Some of the most common antibody-mediated autoimmune diseases are listed in **Table 7-3** (other autoimmune diseases result mainly from inappropriate T cell activation). The symptoms of a particular disease reflect the type of tissue with which the autoantibodies react or, in the case of systemic diseases, the accumulation of antigen–antibody complexes in multiple locations. In general, autoimmune diseases are chronic, often with periods of remission, and their clinical severity may differ among individuals.

The loss of tolerance to one's own antigens may result from an innate malfunctioning of the mechanism by which the immune system distinguishes self from non-self, possibly precipitated by an event, such as trauma or infection, in which tissues that are normally sequestered from the immune system are exposed to lymphocytes. For example, breaching the blood–brain barrier may allow lymphocytes access to the brain or spinal cord, and injury may allow access to the spaces at joints, which are not normally served by blood vessels. There is also evidence that some autoimmune diseases are caused by antibodies to certain viral or bacterial antigens that cross-react with endogenous substances because of chance antigenic similarities. Some diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, represent a more generalized breakdown of the immune system, so that antibodies to many endogenous substances (e.g., DNA and phospholipids) may be generated.

CHECKPOINT

- Without looking at Fig. 7-39, draw a diagram of an IgG molecule and identify the heavy and light chains, the constant and variable domains, and the antigen-binding site(s).
- Explain how a single human can potentially generate trillions of different antibody molecules.
- What are some factors that might contribute to the development of an autoimmune disease?
- Why do the symptoms of an autoimmune disease often differ between individuals?

Summary

1 Oxygen Binding to Myoglobin and Hemoglobin

- Myoglobin, a monomeric heme-containing muscle protein, reversibly binds a single O_2 molecule.
- Hemoglobin, a tetramer with pseudo-D_2 symmetry, has distinctly different conformations in its oxy and deoxy states.
- Oxygen binds to hemoglobin in a sigmoidal fashion, indicating cooperative binding.
- O_2 binding to a heme group induces a conformational change in the entire hemoglobin molecule that includes movements at the subunit interfaces and the disruption of ion pairs. The result is a shift from the T to the R state.
- CO_2 promotes O_2 dissociation from hemoglobin through the Bohr effect. BPG decreases hemoglobin's O_2 affinity by binding to deoxyhemoglobin.
- The symmetry and sequential models of allosterism explain how binding of a ligand at one site affects binding of another ligand at a different site.
- Hemoglobin variants have revealed structure–function relationships. Hemoglobin S produces the symptoms of sickle-cell anemia by forming rigid fibers in its deoxy form.

2 Muscle Contraction

- The thick filaments of a sarcomere are composed of the motor protein myosin and the thin filaments are composed mainly of actin.
- The heads of myosin molecules in thick filaments form bridges to actin in thin filaments such that the detachment and reattachment of the myosin heads cause the thick and thin filaments to slide past each other during muscle contraction. The contractile force derives from conformational changes in myosin that are triggered by ATP hydrolysis.
- In nonmuscle cells, actin forms microfilaments, which are components of the cytoskeleton. Microfilaments are dynamic structures whose growth and regression are responsible for certain types of cell movement.

3 Antibodies

- The immune system responds to foreign macromolecules through the production of antibodies (immunoglobulins).
- The Y-shaped IgG molecule consists of two heavy and two light chains. The two antigen-binding sites are formed by the hypervariable sequences in the variable domains at the ends of a heavy and a light chain.
- Antibody diversity results from somatic recombination during B cell development and from somatic hypermutation.

Key Terms

heme **182**
oxygenation **182**
Y_{O_2} **183**
pO_2 **184**
hyperbola **184**
saturation **184**
p_{50} **184**
ligand **184**
sigmoidal curve **188**
cooperative binding **188**
Hill equation **188**
Hill constant **188**
noncooperative binding **188**
positive cooperativity **188**
negative cooperativity **189**
Perutz mechanism **190**
T state **190**
R state **190**
Bohr effect **193**
erythrocyte **195**
allosteric interaction **196**
symmetry model **196**
sequential model **196**
variant **197**
lyse **198**
anemia **198**
cyanosis **198**
polycythemia **198**
heterozygote **198**
homozygote **198**
sickle-cell anemia **198**
striated muscle **201**
myofibril **201**
sarcomere **201**
thick filament **201**
thin filament **201**
sliding filament model **202**
(−) end **206**
(+) end **206**
motor protein **208**
microfilament **210**
treadmilling **210**
pathogen **212**
immune system **212**
cellular immunity **212**
lymphocyte **212**
humoral immunity **212**
immunoglobulin (Ig) **212**
antigen **212**
memory B cell **212**
Fab fragment **213**
Fc fragment **213**
variable region **214**
constant region **214**
immunoglobulin fold **214**
hypervariability **214**
monoclonal antibody **215**
multiple myeloma **215**
somatic recombination **216**
somatic hypermutation **217**
autoimmune disease **217**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Estimate K from the following data describing ligand binding to a protein.

[Ligand] (mM)	Y
0.25	0.30
0.5	0.45
0.8	0.56
1.4	0.66
2.2	0.80
3.0	0.83
4.5	0.86
6.0	0.93

2. Which set of binding data is likely to represent cooperative ligand binding to an oligomeric protein?

(a)

[Ligand] (mM)	Y
0.1	0.3
0.2	0.5
0.4	0.7
0.7	0.9

(b)

[Ligand] (mM)	Y
0.2	0.1
0.3	0.3
0.4	0.6
0.6	0.8

3. Use Eq. 7-8 to estimate the fractional saturation of hemoglobin when pO_2 is (a) 20 torr, (b) 40 torr, and (c) 60 torr.

4. Calculate the p_{50} value for hemoglobin if $Y_{O_2} = 0.82$ when $pO_2 = 25$ torr.

5. Drinking a few drops of a commercial preparation called "vitamin O," which consists of oxygen and sodium chloride dissolved in water, is claimed to increase the concentration of oxygen in the body. (a) Use your knowledge of oxygen transport to evaluate this claim. (b) Would vitamin O be more or less effective if it were infused directly into the bloodstream?

6. Explain why long-distance runners prefer to train at high altitude even when the race is to be held at sea level. Why must the runners spend more than a day or two at the higher elevation?

7. Is the p_{50} higher or lower than normal in (a) hemoglobin Yakima and (b) hemoglobin Kansas? Explain.

8. In hemoglobin Rainier, Tyr 145β is replaced by Cys, which forms a disulfide bond with another Cys residue in the same subunit. This prevents the formation of ion pairs that normally stabilize the T state. How does hemoglobin Rainier differ from normal hemoglobin with respect to (a) oxygen affinity, (b) the Bohr effect, and (c) the Hill constant?

9. Hemoglobin S homozygotes who are severely anemic often have elevated levels of BPG in their erythrocytes. Is this a beneficial effect?

10. In the variant hemoglobin C, glutamate at position 6 of the β chain has been replaced with lysine. (a) Would you expect this mutant hemoglobin to polymerize as hemoglobin S does? (b) Red blood cells containing hemoglobin C have a shorter lifespan than red blood cells containing normal hemoglobin. How might this affect a person's resistance to malaria?

11. Is myosin a fibrous protein or a globular protein? Explain.

12. In striated muscle, cells undergo mitosis (nuclear division) without cytokinesis (cellular division), giving rise to large multinucleate cells. Explain why muscle cells would be less effective if cytokinesis occurred with every round of mitosis.

13. A myosin head can undergo five ATP hydrolysis cycles per second, each of which moves an actin monomer by ~100 Å. How is it possible for an entire sarcomere to shorten by 1000 Å in this same period?

14. **Rigor mortis**, the stiffening of muscles after death, is caused by depletion of cellular ATP. Describe the molecular basis of rigor.

15. The force generated by a muscle fiber increases as the sarcomere shortens but decreases at very short sarcomere lengths. Explain this observation. (*Hint:* Recall that cell volume remains constant during muscle contraction.)

16. Antibodies are well suited for recognizing extracellular substances from invading bacteria and viruses. However, the immune system must also be able to respond to intracellular infections. Explain why the immune system includes binding proteins that recognize F-actin.

17. Give the approximate molecular masses of an immunoglobulin G molecule analyzed by (a) gel filtration chromatography, (b) SDS-PAGE, and (c) SDS-PAGE in the presence of 2-mercaptoethanol.

18. Many fish produce a tetrameric IgM. If each H chain has a mass of 70 kD, each light chain has a mass of 25 kD, and each J chain has a mass of 15 kD, what is the approximate mass of the IgM molecule?

19. Explain why the variation in V_L and V_H domains of immunoglobulins is largely confined to the hypervariable loops.

20. How many hypervariable loops are present in (a) IgG and (b) IgM?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. In active muscles, the pO_2 may be 10 torr at the cell surface and 1 torr at the mitochondria (the organelles where oxidative metabolism occurs). Use Eq. 7-6 to show how myoglobin (p_{50} = 2.8 torr) facilitates the diffusion of O_2 through these cells.

22. If myoglobin had the same p_{50} value as hemoglobin, how well would it facilitate O_2 diffusion under the conditions described in Problem 21?

23. Some primitive animals have a hemoglobin that consists of two identical subunits. Sketch an oxygen-binding curve for this protein.

24. What is the likely range of the Hill constant for the hemoglobin described in Problem 23?

25. In humans, the urge to breathe results from high concentrations of CO_2 in the blood; there are no direct physiological sensors of blood pO_2. Skindivers often hyperventilate (breathe rapidly and deeply for several minutes) just before making a dive in the belief that this will increase the O_2 content of their blood. (a) Does it do so? (b) Use your knowledge of hemoglobin function to evaluate whether this practice is useful.

26. The crocodile, which can remain under water without breathing for up to 1 h, drowns its air-breathing prey and then dines at its leisure. An adaptation that aids the crocodile in doing so is that it can utilize virtually 100% of the O_2 in its blood, whereas humans, for example, can extract only ~65% of the O_2 in their blood. Crocodile Hb does not bind BPG. However, crocodile deoxyHb preferentially binds HCO_3^-. How does this help the crocodile obtain its dinner?

27. An anemic individual, whose blood has only half the normal Hb content, may appear to be in good health. Yet a normal individual is incapacitated by exposure to sufficient carbon monoxide to occupy half of his/her heme sites (pCO of 1 torr for ~1 h; CO binds to Hb with 200-fold greater affinity than does O_2). Explain.

28. When you hold a weight at arm's length, you are not doing any thermodynamic work but the muscles supporting the weight are nevertheless consuming energy. Describe, on the molecular level, how muscles might maintain such state of constant tension without contracting. Why does this state consume ATP?

29. Explain why a microfilament is polar, whereas a filament of keratin is not.

30. Cells contain an assortment of proteins that promote microfilament disassembly during cell shape changes. How can such proteins distinguish newly synthesized microfilaments from older microfilaments?

31. Why do antibodies raised against a native protein sometimes fail to bind to the corresponding denatured protein?

32. Antibodies raised against a macromolecular antigen usually produce an antigen–antibody precipitate when mixed with that antigen. Explain why no precipitate forms when (a) Fab fragments from those antibodies are mixed with the antigen; (b) antibodies raised against a small antigen are mixed with that small antigen; and (c) the antibody is in great excess over the antigen and vice versa.

33. Some bacteria produce proteases that can cleave the hinge region of IgA molecules without affecting antigen binding. Explain why these proteases would give the bacteria a better chance of starting an infection.

34. Individuals with the autoimmune disease systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) produce antibodies to DNA and phospholipids. (a) Explain why normal individuals do not make antibodies to these substances. (b) During a normal response to a viral or bacterial infection, the immune system produces large amounts of antigen-specific antibodies, and the resulting antigen–antibody complexes are subsequently removed from the circulation and degraded. Explain why antigen–antibody complexes accumulate in the tissues of individuals with SLE.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Structural Homology of Globins

Exercise 2 Deoxyhemoglobin and Oxyhemoglobin

Exercise 3 Antibody-Antigen Complexes

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 8 Hemoglobin, the Oxygen Carrier

Focus concept: A mutation in the gene for hemoglobin results in an altered protein responsible for the disease sickle-cell anemia. An understanding of the biochemistry of the disease may suggest possible treatments.
Prerequisite: Chapter 7

- Hemoglobin structure and function

Case 9 Allosteric Interactions in Crocodile Hemoglobin

Focus concept: The effect of allosteric modulators on oxygen affinity for crocodile hemoglobin differs from that of other species.
Prerequisite: Chapter 7

- Hemoglobin structure and function

Case 10 The Biological Roles of Nitric Oxide

Focus concept: Nitric oxide, a small lipophilic molecule, acts as a second messenger in blood vessels.
Prerequisite: Chapter 7

- Hemoglobin structure and function

MORE TO EXPLORE (a) Look up information about hemoglobin variants. Why don't they generate the same symptoms? How do the symptoms of thalassemias differ? Which hemoglobin variants appear to offer a selective advantage under certain conditions? (b) In addition to myosin, which interacts with actin filaments, cells contain several other motor protein systems. Describe the structure and activity of the motor proteins kinesin and dynein. Against what fibrous proteins do they exert force? How do these systems differ from the actin–myosin system? (c) Explain how immunological memory is exploited in the development of vaccines for viral and bacterial infections. What factors make a vaccine most effective in preventing disease?

References

Myoglobin and Hemoglobin

Ackers, G.K. and Holt, J.M., Asymmetric cooperativity in a symmetric tetramer: human hemoglobin, *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 11441–11443 (2006). [A brief review of hemoglobin's allosteric behavior.]

Allison, A.C., The discovery of resistance to malaria of sickle-cell heterozygotes, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **30**, 279–287 (2002).

Dickerson, R.E. and Geis, I., *Hemoglobin,* Benjamin/Cummings (1983). [A beautifully written and lavishly illustrated treatise on the structure, function, and evolution of hemoglobin.]

Ferry, G., *Max Perutz and the Secret of Life,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2007). [A definitive biography.]

Judson, H.F., *The Eighth Day of Creation* (Expanded edition), Chapters 9 and 10, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1996). [Includes a fascinating historical account of how our present perception of hemoglobin structure and function came about.]

Layton, M.D. and Nagel, R.L., Haemoglobinopathies due to structural mutations, *in* Provan, D. and Gribben, J. (Eds.), *Molecular Haematology* (3rd ed.) pp. 179–195, Wiley-Blackwell (2010).

Ordway, G.A. and Garry, D.J., Myoglobin: an essential hemoprotein in striated muscle, *J. Exp. Biol.* **207**, 3441–3446 (2004).

Perutz, M.F., Wilkinson, A.J., Paoli, M., and Dodson, G.G., The stereochemical mechanism of the cooperative effects in hemoglobin revisited, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct*. **27**, 1–34 (1998).

Schechter, A.N., Hemoglobin research and the origins of molecular medicine, *Blood* **112**, 3927–3938 (2008). [Reviews hemoglobin structure and function, including hemoglobin S.]

Strasser, B.J., Sickle-cell anemia, a molecular disease, *Science* **286**, 1488–1490 (1999). [A short history of Pauling's characterization of sickle-cell anemia.]

Actin and Myosin

Cooper, J.A. and Schafer, D.A., Control of actin assembly and disassembly at filament ends, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **12**, 97–103 (2000). [Provides an overview of the principles of microfilament dynamics and some of the key protein players.]

Craig, R. and Woodhead, J.L., Structure and function of myosin filaments, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **16**, 204–212 (2006). [Includes details of myosin and thick filament structure, including its arrangement in the sarcomere.]

Dominguez, R. and Holmes, K.C., Actin structure and function, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **40**, 169–186 (2011).

Reisler, E. and Egelman, E.H., Actin structure and function: what we still do not understand, *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 36133–36137 (2007). [Summarizes some areas of research on actin structure and its assembly into filaments.]

Schliwa, M. and Woehlke, G., Molecular motors, *Nature* **422**, 759–765 (2003). [Includes reviews of myosin and other motor proteins.]

Spudich, J.A., The myosin swinging cross-bridge model, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2**, 387–391 (2001). [Summarizes the history and models for myosin action.]

Antibodies

Davies, D.R. and Cohen, G.H., Interactions of protein antigens with antibodies, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**, 7–12 (1996).

Harris, L.J., Larson, S.B., Hasel, K.W., Day, J., Greenwood, A., and McPherson, A., The three-dimensional structure of an intact monoclonal antibody for canine lymphoma, *Nature* **360**, 369–372 (1992). [The first high-resolution X-ray structure of an intact IgG.]

Marrack, P. , Kappler, J., and Kotzin, B.L., Autoimmune disease: why and where it occurs, *Nature Med.* **7**, 899–905 (2001).

Murphy, K., *Janeway's Immunobiology* (8th ed.), Garland Science (2012).

Sliwkowski, M.X. and Mellman, I., Antibody therapeutics in cancer, *Science* **341**, 1192–1198 (2013).

Sharon Dominick/Stockphoto

Approximately half the dry mass of corn (maize) kernels is starch, a polysaccharide that is broken down to glucose during digestion. Starch, along with the indigestible polysaccharide cellulose, is also the starting material for production of the biofuel ethanol.

CHAPTER 8

Carbohydrates

Chapter Contents

1 **Monosaccharides**
- A Monosaccharides Are Aldoses or Ketoses
- B Monosaccharides Vary in Configuration and Conformation
- C Sugars Can Be Modified and Covalently Linked

2 **Polysaccharides**
- A Lactose and Sucrose Are Disaccharides
- B Cellulose and Chitin Are Structural Polysaccharides
- C Starch and Glycogen Are Storage Polysaccharides
- D Glycosaminoglycans Form Highly Hydrated Gels

3 **Glycoproteins**
- A Proteoglycans Contain Glycosaminoglycans
- B Bacterial Cell Walls Are Made of Peptidoglycan
- C Many Eukaryotic Proteins Are Glycosylated
- D Oligosaccharides May Determine Glycoprotein Structure, Function, and Recognition

Carbohydrates or **saccharides** (Greek: *sakcharon,* sugar) are the most abundant biological molecules. They are chemically simpler than nucleotides or amino acids, containing just three elements—carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen—combined according to the formula $(C \cdot H_2O)_n$, where $n \geq 3$. The basic carbohydrate units are called **monosaccharides**. There are numerous different types of monosaccharides, which, as we discuss below, differ in their number of carbon atoms and in the arrangement of the H and O atoms attached to the carbons. Furthermore, monosaccharides can be strung together in almost limitless ways to form **polysaccharides**.

Until the 1960s, carbohydrates were thought to have only passive roles as energy sources (e.g., glucose and starch) and as structural materials (e.g., cellulose). Carbohydrates, as we will see, do not catalyze complex chemical reactions as do proteins, nor do carbohydrates replicate themselves as do nucleic acids. And because polysaccharides are not built according to a genetic "blueprint," as are nucleic acids and proteins, they tend to be much more heterogeneous—both in size and in composition—than other biological molecules.

However, it has become clear that the innate structural variation in carbohydrates is fundamental to their biological activity. The apparently haphazard arrangements of carbohydrates on proteins and on the surfaces of cells are the key to many recognition events between proteins and between cells. An understanding of carbohydrate structure, from the simplest monosaccharides to the most complex branched polysaccharides, is essential for appreciating the varied functions of carbohydrates in biological systems.

1 Monosaccharides

KEY CONCEPTS

- The smallest sugars are aldoses or ketoses, with the formula $(C \cdot H_2O)_n$.
- Monosaccharides cyclize to form α or β anomers.
- The derivatives of monosaccharides include the aldonic acids, uronic acids, alditols, deoxy sugars, and amino sugars.
- Monosaccharides can be linked to each other or to other molecules by glycosidic bonds.

A Monosaccharides Are Aldoses or Ketoses

Monosaccharides are aldehyde or ketone derivatives of straight-chain polyhydroxy alcohols containing at least three carbon atoms. They are classified according to the chemical nature of their carbonyl group and the number of their C atoms. If the carbonyl group is an aldehyde, the sugar is an **aldose**. If the carbonyl group

FIG. 8-1 The D-aldoses with three to six carbon atoms. The arrows indicate stereochemical relationships (not biosynthetic pathways). The configuration around C2 (*red*) distinguishes the members of each pair of monosaccharides. The L counterparts of these 15 sugars are their mirror images. The biologically most common aldoses are boxed.

is a ketone, the sugar is a **ketose**. The smallest monosaccharides, those with three carbon atoms, are **trioses**. Those with four, five, six, seven, etc. C atoms are, respectively, **tetroses, pentoses, hexoses, heptoses**, etc.

The aldohexose **D-glucose** has the formula $(C \cdot H_2O)_6$:

D-Glucose

All but two of its six C atoms, C1 and C6, are chiral centers, so D-glucose is one of $2^4 = 16$ possible stereoisomers. The stereochemistry and nomenclature of the D-aldoses are presented in Fig. 8-1. The assignment of D or L is made according to the Fischer convention (Section 4-2): *D sugars have the same absolute configuration at the asymmetric center farthest from their carbonyl group as does D-glyceraldehyde* (i.e., the —OH at C5 of D-glucose is on the right in a Fischer projection). The L sugars are the mirror images of their D counterparts. Because L sugars are biologically much less abundant than D sugars, the D prefix is often omitted.

Sugars that differ only by the configuration around one C atom are known as **epimers** of one another. Thus, D-glucose and **D-mannose** are epimers with respect to C2. The most common aldoses include the six-carbon sugars glucose, mannose, and **galactose**. The pentose **ribose** is a component of the ribonucleotide residues of RNA. The triose **glyceraldehyde** occurs in several metabolic pathways.

The most common ketoses are those with their ketone function at C2 (Fig. 8-2). The position of their carbonyl group gives ketoses one less asymmetric center than their isomeric aldoses, so a ketohexose has only $2^3 = 8$ possible stereoisomers (4 D sugars and 4 L sugars). The most common ketoses are **dihydroxyacetone**, **ribulose**, and **fructose**, which we will encounter in our studies of metabolism.

FIG. 8-2 The D-ketoses with three to six carbon atoms. The configuration around C3 (*red*) distinguishes the members of each pair. The biologically most common ketoses are boxed.

? What is the number of L-ketoses?

B Monosaccharides Vary in Configuration and Conformation

Alcohols react with the carbonyl groups of aldehydes and ketones to form **hemiacetals** and **hemiketals**, respectively:

Alcohol **Aldehyde** **Hemiacetal**

Alcohol **Ketone** **Hemiketal**

FIG. 8-3 Cyclization of glucose and fructose. (*a*) The linear form of D-glucose yielding the cyclic hemiacetal β-D-glucopyranose. (*b*) The linear form of D-fructose yielding the cyclic hemiketal β-D-fructofuranose. The cyclic sugars are shown as both Haworth projections and in stick form embedded in their semitransparent space-filling models with C green, H white, and O red.

? In a Haworth projection, which hydroxyl groups correspond to the hydroxyl groups on the left in a Fischer projection?

The hydroxyl and either the aldehyde or the ketone functions of monosaccharides can likewise react intramolecularly to form cyclic hemiacetals and hemiketals (**Fig. 8-3**). The configurations of the substituents of each carbon atom in these sugar rings are conveniently represented by their **Haworth projections**, in which the heavier ring bonds project in front of the plane of the paper and the lighter ring bonds project behind it.

Pyran **Furan**

A sugar with a six-membered ring is known as a **pyranose** in analogy with **pyran** *(at left),* the simplest compound containing such a ring. Similarly, sugars with five-membered rings are designated **furanoses** in analogy with **furan** *(at left).* The cyclic forms of glucose and fructose with six- and five-membered rings are therefore known as **glucopyranose** and **fructofuranose**, respectively.

Cyclic Sugars Have Two Anomeric Forms. When a monosaccharide cyclizes, the carbonyl carbon, called the **anomeric carbon**, becomes a chiral center with two possible configurations. The pair of stereoisomers that differ in configuration at the anomeric carbon are called **anomers**. In the **α anomer**, the OH substituent of the anomeric carbon is on the opposite side of the sugar ring from the CH_2OH group at the chiral center that designates the D or L configuration (C5 in hexoses). The other form is known as the **β anomer** (**Fig. 8-4**).

The two anomers of D-glucose have slightly different physical and chemical properties, including different optical rotations (Section 4-2). *The anomers freely interconvert in aqueous solution,* a process called **mutarotation**, so at equilibrium, D-glucose is a mixture of the β anomer (63.6%) and the α anomer (36.4%). The linear form is normally present in only minute amounts.

Sugars Can Adopt Different Conformations. A given hexose or pentose can assume pyranose or furanose forms. In principle, hexoses and larger sugars can

FIG. 8-4 α and β anomers. The monosaccharides α-D-glucopyranose and β-D-glucopyranose, drawn as Haworth projections and ball-and-stick models, interconvert through the linear form. They differ only by their configuration about the anomeric carbon, C1.

form rings of seven or more atoms, but such rings are rarely observed because of the greater stabilities of the five- and six-membered rings. The internal strain of three- and four-membered rings makes them less stable than the linear forms.

The use of Haworth formulas may lead to the erroneous impression that furanose and pyranose rings are planar. This cannot be the case, however, because all the atomic orbitals in the ring atoms are tetrahedrally (sp^3) hybridized. The pyranose ring, like the cyclohexane ring, can assume a chair conformation, in which the substituents of each atom are arranged tetrahedrally. Of the two possible chair conformations, the one that predominates is the one in which the bulkiest ring substituents occupy **equatorial** positions rather than the more crowded **axial** positions (**Fig. 8-5**). Only β-D-glucose can simultaneously have all five of its non-H substituents in equatorial positions. Perhaps this is why glucose is the most abundant monosaccharide in nature.

Furanose rings can also adopt different conformations, whose stabilities depend on the arrangements of bulky substituents. Note that a monosaccharide can readily shift its *conformation,* because no bonds are broken in the process. The shift in *configuration* between the α and β anomeric forms or between the pyranose and furanose forms, which requires breaking and re-forming bonds, occurs slowly in aqueous solution. Other changes in configuration, such as **epimerization**, do not occur under physiological conditions without the appropriate enzyme.

C Sugars Can Be Modified and Covalently Linked

Because the cyclic and linear forms of aldoses and ketoses do interconvert, these sugars undergo reactions typical of aldehydes and ketones.

1. Oxidation of an aldose converts its aldehyde group to a carboxylic acid group, thereby yielding an **aldonic acid** such as **gluconic acid** *(at right).* Aldonic acids are named by appending the suffix *-onic acid* to the root name of the parent aldose.

D-Gluconic acid

FIG. 8-5 The two chair conformations of β-D-glucopyranose. In the conformation on the left, which predominates, the relatively bulky OH and CH_2OH substituents all occupy equatorial positions, where they extend alternately above and below the ring. In the conformation on the right (drawn in ball-and-stick form in Fig. 8-4, *right*), the bulky groups occupy the more crowded axial (vertical) positions.

D-Glucuronic acid

2. Oxidation of the primary alcohol group of aldoses yields **uronic acids**, which are named by appending *-uronic acid* to the root name of the parent aldose, for example, **D-glucuronic acid** *(at left)*. Uronic acids can assume the pyranose, furanose, and linear forms.
3. Aldoses and ketoses can be reduced under mild conditions—for example, by treatment with $NaBH_4$—to yield polyhydroxy alcohols known as **alditols**, which are named by appending the suffix *-itol* to the root name of the parent aldose. **Ribitol** is a component of flavin coenzymes (Fig. 14-13), and **glycerol** and the cyclic polyhydroxy alcohol ***myo*-inositol** are important lipid components (Section 9-1). **Xylitol** is a sweetener that is used in "sugarless" gum and candies:

Ribitol

Xylitol

Glycerol

***myo*-Inositol**

4. Monosaccharide units in which an OH group is replaced by H are known as **deoxy sugars**. The biologically most important of these is **β-D-2-deoxyribose**, the sugar component of DNA's sugar–phosphate backbone (Section 3-2B). **L-Fucose** is one of the few L sugar components of polysaccharides.

β-D-2-Deoxyribose

α-L-Fucose

α-D-Glucosamine (2-amino-2-deoxy-α-D-glucopyranose)

α-D-Galactosamine (2-amino-2-deoxy-α-D-galactopyranose)

5. In **amino sugars**, one or more OH groups have been replaced by an amino group, which is often acetylated. **D-Glucosamine** and **D-galactosamine** *(at left)* are the most common. ***N*-Acetylneuraminic acid**, which is derived from ***N*-acetylmannosamine** and **pyruvic acid** (**Fig. 8-6**), is an

***N*-Acetylneuraminic acid (linear form)**

***N*-Acetylneuraminic acid (pyranose form)**

FIG. 8-6 ***N*-Acetylneuraminic acid.** In the cyclic form of this nine-carbon monosaccharide, the pyranose ring incorporates the pyruvic acid residue (*blue*) and part of the mannose moiety.

? How many different types of functional groups and linkages occur in this molecule?

FIG. 8-7 Formation of glycosides. The acid-catalyzed condensation of α-D-glucose with methanol yields an anomeric pair of **methyl-D-glucosides.**

important constituent of **glycoproteins** and **glycolipids** (proteins and lipids with covalently attached carbohydrate). *N*-Acetylneuraminic acid and its derivatives are often referred to as **sialic acids**.

Glycosidic Bonds Link the Anomeric Carbon to Other Compounds. The anomeric group of a sugar can condense with an alcohol to form **α-** and **β-glycosides** (Greek: *glykys,* sweet; Fig. 8-7). The bond connecting the anomeric carbon to the alcohol oxygen is termed a **glycosidic bond**. *N*-Glycosidic bonds, which form between the anomeric carbon and an amine, are the bonds that link D-ribose to purines and pyrimidines in nucleic acids:

Like peptide bonds, glycosidic bonds hydrolyze extremely slowly under physiological conditions in the absence of appropriate hydrolytic enzymes. Consequently, an anomeric carbon that is involved in a glycosidic bond cannot freely convert between its α and β anomeric forms. Saccharides bearing anomeric carbons that have not formed glycosides are termed **reducing sugars**, because the free aldehyde group that is in equilibrium with the cyclic form of the sugar reduces mild oxidizing agents (such as Cu^{2+}, which is reduced to Cu^{+}). The identification of a sugar as **nonreducing** is evidence that it is a glycoside.

CHECKPOINT

- How does an aldose differ from a ketose?
- Draw a Fischer projection of D-glucose. Draw two stereoisomers of this molecule, including one that is an epimer.
- Show how aldoses and ketoses can form five- and six-membered rings.
- Draw a Haworth projection of D-glucose and identify it as an α or β anomer.
- Explain why anomers of a monosaccharide can readily interconvert, whereas epimers do not.
- Describe aldonic acids, uronic acids, alditols, deoxy sugars, and amino sugars.
- Explain why a sugar can form at least two different glycosides.

2 Polysaccharides

KEY CONCEPTS

- Disaccharides such as lactose and sucrose consist of two sugars linked by specific glycosidic bonds.
- Cellulose and chitin are polymers of β(1→4)-linked glucose residues.
- In starch and glycogen, glucose residues are linked mainly by α(1→4) bonds.
- Glycosaminoglycans and other large heteropolysaccharides typically have a gel-like structure.

Polysaccharides, which are also known as ***glycans****, consist of monosaccharides linked together by glycosidic bonds.* They are classified as **homopolysaccharides** or **heteropolysaccharides** if they consist of one type or more than one type of monosaccharide. Although the monosaccharide sequences of heteropolysaccharides can, in principle, be even more varied than those of proteins, many are composed of only a few types of monosaccharides that alternate in a repetitive sequence.

Polysaccharides, in contrast to proteins and nucleic acids, form branched as well as linear polymers. This is because glycosidic linkages can be made to any of the hydroxyl groups of a monosaccharide. Fortunately for structural biochemists, most polysaccharides are linear and those that branch do so in only a few well-defined ways.

A complete description of an **oligosaccharide** or polysaccharide includes the identities, anomeric forms, and linkages of all its component monosaccharide units. Some of this information can be gathered through the use of specific **exoglycosidases** and **endoglycosidases**, enzymes that hydrolyze monosaccharide units in much the same way that exopeptidases and endopeptidases cleave amino acid residues from polypeptides (Section 5-3B). NMR measurements are also invaluable in determining both sequences and conformations of polysaccharides.

A Lactose and Sucrose Are Disaccharides

Lactose

Oligosaccharides containing three or more residues are relatively rare, occurring almost entirely in plants. **Disaccharides**, the simplest polysaccharides, are more common. Many occur as the hydrolysis products of larger molecules. However, two disaccharides are notable in their own right. **Lactose** *(at left;* here the curved lines represent normal C—O covalent bonds*)*, for example, occurs naturally only in milk, where its concentration ranges from 0 to 7% depending on the species (Box 8-1). The systematic name for lactose, *O*-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-D-glucopyranose, specifies its monosaccharides, their ring types, and how they are linked together. The symbol (1→4) combined with the β in the prefix indicates that the glycosidic bond links C1 of the β anomer of galactose to O4 of glucose. Note that lactose has a free anomeric carbon on its glucose residue and is therefore a reducing sugar.

Box 8-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Lactose Intolerance

In infants, lactose (also known as milk sugar) is hydrolyzed by the intestinal enzyme **(β-D-galactosidase** (or **lactase**) to its component monosaccharides for absorption into the bloodstream. The galactose is enzymatically converted (epimerized) to glucose, which is the primary metabolic fuel of many tissues.

Since mammals are unlikely to encounter lactose after they have been weaned, most adult mammals have low levels of β-galactosidase. Consequently, much of the lactose they might ingest moves through their digestive tract to the colon, where bacterial fermentation generates large quantities of CO_2, H_2, and irritating organic acids. These products cause the embarrassing and often painful digestive upset known as **lactose intolerance**.

Lactose intolerance, which was once considered a metabolic disturbance, is actually the norm in adult humans, particularly those of African and Asian descent. Interestingly, however, β-galactosidase levels decrease only mildly with age in descendants of populations that have historically relied on dairy products for nutrition throughout life. Modern food technology has come to the aid of milk lovers who develop lactose intolerance: Milk in which the lactose has been hydrolyzed enzymatically is widely available.

The most abundant disaccharide is **sucrose** *(at right),* the major form in which carbohydrates are transported in plants. Sucrose is familiar to us as common table sugar. The systematic name for sucrose, *O*-α-D-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)-β-D-fructofuranoside, indicates that the anomeric carbon of each sugar (C1 in glucose and C2 in fructose) participates in the glycosidic bond, and hence sucrose is not a reducing sugar. Noncarbohydrate molecules that mimic the taste of sucrose are used as sweetening agents in foods and beverages (Box 8-2).

Sucrose

Box 8-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Artificial Sweeteners

Artificial sweeteners are added to processed foods and beverages to impart a sweet taste without adding calories. This is possible because the compounds mimic sucrose in its interactions with taste receptors but either are not metabolized or contribute very little to energy metabolism because they are used at such low concentrations.

Naturally occurring saccharides, such as fructose, are slightly sweeter than sucrose. Honey, which contains primarily fructose, glucose, and maltose (a glucose disaccharide), is about 1.5 times as sweet as sucrose. How is sweetness measured? There is no substitute for the human sense of taste, so a panel of individuals samples solutions of a compound and compares them to a reference solution containing sucrose. The very sweet compounds listed below must be diluted significantly before testing in this manner.

Compound	Sweetness Relative to Sucrose
Acesulfame	200
Alitame	2000
Aspartame	180
Saccharin	350
Sucralose	600

One of the oldest artificial sweeteners is saccharin, discovered in 1879 and commonly consumed as Sweet'N Low®. In the 1970s, extremely high doses of saccharin were found to cause cancer in laboratory rats. Such doses are now considered to be so far outside of the range used for sweetening as to be of insignificant concern to users.

Saccharin

Aspartame, the active ingredient in NutraSweet® and Equal®, was approved for human use in 1981 and is currently the market leader:

Aspartylphenylalanine methyl ester (aspartame)

Unlike saccharin, which is not metabolized by the human body, aspartame is broken down into its components: aspartate (*green*), phenylalanine (*red*), and methanol (*blue*). The Asp and Phe, like all amino acids, can be metabolized, so aspartame is not calorie-free. Methanol in large amounts is toxic; however, the amount derived from an aspartame-sweetened drink is comparable to the amount naturally present in the same volume of fruit juice. Individuals with the genetic disease **phenylketonuria,** who are unable to metabolize phenylalanine, are advised to avoid ingesting excess Phe in the form of aspartame (or any other polypeptide). The greatest drawback of aspartame may be its instability to heat, which makes it unsuitable for baking. In addition, aspartame in soft drinks hydrolyzes over a period of months and hence loses its flavor.

Acesulfame is sometimes used in combination with aspartame, since the two compounds act synergistically (i.e., their sweetness when combined is greater than the sum of their individual sweetnesses).

Acesulfame

Other artificial sweeteners are derivatives of sugars, such as sucralose (Splenda®; see Problem 8-26), or of aspartame (e.g., alitame). Some plant extracts (e.g., *Stevia*) are also used as artificial sweeteners.

The market for artificial sweeteners is worth several billion dollars annually. But surprisingly, the most successful sweetening agents have not been the result of dedicated research efforts. Instead, they were discovered by chance or mishap. For example, aspartame was discovered in 1965 by a synthetic chemist who unknowingly got a small amount of the compound on his fingers and happened to lick them. Sucralose came to light in 1975 when a student was asked to "test" a compound and misunderstood the directions as "taste" the compound.

Biophoto Associates/Photo Researchers

FIG. 8-8 Electron micrograph of cellulose fibers. The cellulose fibers in this sample of cell wall from the alga *Chaetomorpha* are arranged in layers.

B Cellulose and Chitin Are Structural Polysaccharides

Plants have rigid cell walls that can withstand osmotic pressure differences between the extracellular and intracellular spaces of up to 20 atm. In large plants, such as trees, the cell walls also have a load-bearing function. **Cellulose**, the primary structural component of plant cell walls (Fig. 8-8), accounts for over half of the carbon in the biosphere: Approximately 10^{15} kg of cellulose is estimated to be synthesized and degraded annually.

Cellulose is a linear polymer of up to 15,000 D-glucose residues linked by β(1→ 4) glycosidic bonds:

Cellulose

X-Ray and other studies of cellulose fibers reveal that cellulose chains are flat ribbons in which successive glucose rings are turned over 180° with respect to each other. This permits the C3—OH group of each glucose residue to form a hydrogen bond with the ring oxygen (O5) of the next residue. Parallel cellulose chains form sheets with interchain hydrogen bonds, including O2—H ··· O6 and O6—H ··· O3 bonds (Fig. 8-9). Stacks of these sheets are held together by hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions. This highly cohesive structure gives cellulose fibers exceptional strength and makes them water insoluble despite their hydrophilicity. In plant cell walls, the cellulose fibers are embedded in and cross-linked by a matrix containing other polysaccharides and **lignin**, a plasticlike phenolic polymer. The resulting composite material can withstand large stresses because the matrix evenly distributes the stresses among the cellulose reinforcing elements. The difficulty of removing these other substances,

FIG. 8-9 Model of cellulose. Cellulose fibers consist of ~40 parallel, extended glycan chains. Each of the β(1→4)-linked glucose units in a chain is rotated 180° with respect to its neighboring residues and is held in this position by intrachain hydrogen bonds (*dashed lines*). The glycan chains line up laterally to form sheets, and these sheets stack vertically so they are staggered by half the length of a glucose unit. The entire assembly is stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Hydrogen atoms not participating in hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection, Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Reprinted with permission.]

? Locate the glycosidic bonds in this diagram of cellulose.

however, is one of the main reasons that the cellulose in wood and agricultural waste, despite its abundance, cannot be easily converted to biofuels.

Although vertebrates themselves do not possess an enzyme capable of hydrolyzing the β(1→4) linkages of cellulose, the digestive tracts of herbivores contain symbiotic microorganisms that secrete a series of enzymes, collectively known as **cellulases**, that do so. The same is true of termites. Nevertheless, the degradation of cellulose is a slow process because its tightly packed and hydrogen-bonded glycan chains are not easily accessible to cellulase and do not separate readily even after many of their glycosidic bonds have been hydrolyzed. Thus, cows and other ruminants must chew their cud.

Chitin is the principal structural component of the exoskeletons of invertebrates such as crustaceans, insects, and spiders and is also present in the cell walls of most fungi and many algae. It is therefore the second most abundant biomolecule, after cellulose. Chitin is a homopolymer of β(1→4)-linked *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine residues:

Chitin

It differs chemically from cellulose only in that each C2—OH group is replaced by an acetamido group. X-Ray analysis indicates that chitin and cellulose have similar structures.

C Starch and Glycogen Are Storage Polysaccharides

Starch is a mixture of glycans that plants synthesize as their principal energy reserve. It is deposited in the chloroplasts of plant cells as insoluble granules composed of **α-amylose** and **amylopectin**. α-Amylose is a linear polymer of several thousand glucose residues linked by α(1→4) bonds.

α-Amylose

Note that although α-amylose is an isomer of cellulose, it has very different structural properties. While cellulose's β-glycosidic linkages cause it to assume a tightly packed, fully extended conformation (Fig. 8-9), α-amylose's α-glycosidic bonds cause it to adopt an irregularly aggregating helically coiled conformation (**Fig. 8-10**).

FIG. 8-10 α-Amylose. This regularly repeating polymer forms a left-handed helix. Note the great differences in structure and properties that result from changing α-amylose's α(1→4) linkages to the β(1→4) linkages of cellulose (Fig. 8-9). [Illustration, Irving Geis/Geis Archives Trust. Copyright Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Reproduced with permission.]

? **Locate the glycosidic bonds in this diagram of amylose.**

Amylopectin

Amylopectin (*at left*) consists mainly of α(1→4)-linked glucose residues but is a branched molecule with α(1→6) branch points every 24 to 30 glucose residues on average. Amylopectin molecules contain up to 10^6 glucose residues, making them some of the largest molecules in nature. The storage of glucose as starch greatly reduces the large intracellular osmotic pressure that would result from its storage in monomeric form, because osmotic pressure is proportional to the number of solute molecules in a given volume (Section 2-1D). Starch is a reducing sugar, although it has only one residue, called the **reducing end**, that lacks a glycosidic bond.

The digestion of starch, the main carbohydrate source in the human diet, begins in the mouth. Saliva contains an **amylase**, which randomly hydrolyzes the α(1→4) glycosidic bonds of starch. Starch digestion continues in the small intestine under the influence of pancreatic amylase, which degrades starch to a mixture of small oligosaccharides. Further hydrolysis by an **α-glucosidase**, which removes one glucose residue at a time, and by a **debranching enzyme**, which hydrolyzes α(1→6) as well as α(1→4) bonds, produces monosaccharides that are absorbed by the intestine and transported to the bloodstream.

Glycogen, the storage polysaccharide of animals, is present in all cells but is most prevalent in skeletal muscle and in liver, where it occurs as cytoplasmic granules (Fig. 8-11). The primary structure of glycogen resembles that of amylopectin, but glycogen is more highly branched, with branch points occurring every 8 to 14 glucose residues. In the cell, glycogen is degraded for metabolic use by **glycogen phosphorylase**, which phosphorolytically cleaves glycogen's α(1→4) bonds sequentially inward from its nonreducing ends. *Glycogen's highly branched structure, which has many nonreducing ends, permits the rapid mobilization of glucose in times of metabolic need.* The α(1→6) branches of glycogen are cleaved by **glycogen debranching enzyme** (glycogen breakdown is discussed further in Section 16-1).

CNRI/Science Photo Library/Photo Researchers

FIG. 8-11 Glycogen granules in a liver cell. In this photomicrograph, glycogen granules are pink, the greenish objects are mitochondria, and the yellow object is a fat globule. The glycogen content of liver may reach 10% of its net weight.

D Glycosaminoglycans Form Highly Hydrated Gels

The extracellular spaces, particularly those of connective tissues such as cartilage, tendon, skin, and blood vessel walls, contain collagen (Section 6-1C) and other proteins embedded in a gel-like matrix that is composed largely of **glycosaminoglycans**. These unbranched polysaccharides consist of alternating uronic acid and hexosamine residues. Solutions of glycosaminoglycans have a slimy, mucuslike consistency that results from their high viscosity and elasticity.

Hyaluronate Acts as a Shock Absorber and Lubricant. Hyaluronic acid (hyaluronate) is an important glycosaminoglycan component of connective tissue, synovial fluid (the fluid that lubricates joints), and the vitreous humor of the eye. In most mammals, hyaluronate molecules are composed of 80 to 8000 β(1→4)-linked disaccharide units that consist of D-glucuronic acid and ***N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc)** linked by a β(1→3) bond (Fig. 8-12). Hyaluronate is an extended, rigid molecule whose numerous repelling anionic groups bind cations and water molecules. In solution, hyaluronate occupies a volume ~1000 times that in its dry state.

Hyaluronate solutions have a viscosity that is shear dependent (an object under shear stress has equal and opposite forces applied across its opposite faces). At low shear rates, hyaluronate molecules form tangled masses that greatly impede flow; that is, the solution is quite viscous. As the shear stress increases, the stiff hyaluronate molecules tend to line up with the flow and thus offer less resistance to it. This viscoelastic behavior makes hyaluronate solutions excellent biological shock absorbers and lubricants.

Hyaluronate has an unanticipated property. The naked mole rat is a hairless, mouse-sized rodent (it resembles a pink sausage with teeth) that has a longer life span (>30 years) than any other rodent. This is, in part, because cancer has never been observed in naked mole rats (in contrast, mice and rats, which live ~4 years, have a high incidence of cancer). Naked mole rat cells secrete extremely high molecular-mass hyaluronate; it consists of 16 to 32 thousand disaccharide units. This interferes

FIG. 8-12 Repeating disaccharide units of some glycosaminoglycans. The anionic groups are shown in red and the *N*-acetylamido groups are shown in blue.

? Determine the net charge of each of these disaccharide units.

with the signaling pathways that support malignant transformation (cancer formation; Box 13-3). Consequently, reducing the amount of high molecular-mass hyaluronate in a culture of naked mole rat cells by either mutationally underexpressing the enzyme that synthesizes the hyaluronate or overexpressing the enzyme that degrades it yields cells that are susceptible to malignant transformation.

Some Glycosaminoglycans Are Sulfated. The other common glycosaminoglycans shown in Fig. 8-12 consist of 50 to 1000 sulfated disaccharide units. **Chondroitin-4-sulfate** and **chondroitin-6-sulfate** differ only in the sulfation of their ***N*-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc)** residues. **Dermatan sulfate** is derived from chondroitin by enzymatic epimerization of the C5 of glucuronate residues to form **iduronate** residues. **Keratan sulfate** (not to be confused with the fibrous protein keratin; Section 6-1C) is the most heterogeneous of the major glycosaminoglycans in that its sulfate content is variable and it contains small amounts of fucose, mannose, GlcNAc, and sialic acid. **Heparin** is also variably sulfated, with an average of 2.5 sulfate residues per disaccharide unit, which makes it the most highly charged polymer in mammalian tissues (Fig. 8-13).

FIG. 8-13 NMR structure of heparin. Three consecutive disaccharide units of this helical polymer are drawn in stick form. Atoms are colored according to type with glucosamine C green, iduronate C cyan, H white, N blue, O red, and S yellow. The helical repeat unit is two disaccharides with a pitch (rise per turn) of 17.5 Å. Note the high density of anionic sulfate groups. [Based on an NMR structure by Barbara Mulloy and Mark Forster, National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, Herts, U.K. PDBid 1HPN.]

In contrast to the other glycosaminoglycans, heparin is not a constituent of connective tissue but occurs almost exclusively in the intracellular granules of the mast cells that occur in arterial walls. It inhibits the clotting of blood, and its release, through injury, is thought to prevent runaway clot formation. Heparin is therefore in wide clinical use to inhibit blood clotting—for example, in postsurgical patients.

Heparan sulfate, a ubiquitous cell-surface component as well as an extracellular substance in blood vessel walls and brain, resembles heparin but has a far more variable composition, with fewer *N*- and *O*-sulfate groups and more *N*-acetyl groups. Heparan sulfate plays a critical role in development and in wound healing. Various **growth factors** bind to heparan sulfate, and the formation of complexes of the glycosaminoglycan, the growth factor, and the growth factor receptor is required to initiate cell differentiation and proliferation. Specific sulfation patterns on heparan sulfate are required for the formation of these ternary complexes.

Courtesy of Roberto Kolter, Harvard Medical School

FIG. 8-14 A *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. Bacterial colonies growing on the surface of an agar plate form a biofilm with complex architecture.

? What chemical property of glycans allows them to retain moisture?

Plants Produce Pectin. Plants do not synthesize glycosaminoglycans, but the **pectins**, which are major components of cell walls, may function similarly as shock absorbers. Pectins are heterogeneous polysaccharides with a core of α(1→4)-linked galacturonate residues interspersed with the hexose **rhamnose**.

Rhamnose

The galacturonate residues may be modified by the addition of methyl and acetyl groups. Other polysaccharide chains, some containing the pentoses arabinose and xylose and other sugars, are attached to the galacturonate. The aggregation of pectin molecules to form bundles requires divalent cations (usually Ca^{2+}), which form cross-links between the anionic carboxylate groups of neighboring galacturonate residues. The tendency for pectin to form highly hydrated gels is exploited in the manufacture of jams and jellies, to which pectin is often added to augment the endogenous pectin content of the fruit.

Bacterial Biofilms Are a Type of Extracellular Matrix. Outside the laboratory, bacteria are most often found growing on surfaces as a **biofilm**, an association of cells in a semisolid matrix (**Fig. 8-14**). The extracellular material of the biofilm consists mostly of highly hydrated polysaccharides such as anionic poly-D-glucuronate, poly-*N*-acetylglucosamine, cellulose-like molecules, and acetylated glycans. A biofilm is difficult to characterize, as it typically houses a mixture of species, and the proportions of its component polysaccharides can vary over time and space.

The gel-like consistency of a biofilm—for example, the plaque that forms on teeth—prevents bacterial cells from being washed away and protects them from desiccation. Biofilms that develop on medical apparatus, such as catheters, are problematic because they offer a foothold for pathogenic organisms and create a barrier to soluble antimicrobial agents.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the monosaccharide units and their linkages in the common disaccharides and polysaccharides.
- Explain why the systematic name of an oligosaccharide must include more than just the names of the component monosaccharides.
- Compare and contrast the structures and functions of cellulose, chitin, starch, and glycogen.
- How do the physical properties of glycosaminoglycans and similar molecules relate to their biological roles?

3 Glycoproteins

KEY CONCEPTS

- Proteoglycans are large, glycosaminoglycan-containing proteins.
- Bacterial cell walls consist of glycan chains cross-linked by peptides.
- The oligosaccharide chains covalently attached to eukaryotic glycoproteins may play a role in protein structure and recognition.

Many proteins are actually glycoproteins, with carbohydrate contents varying from <1% to >90% by weight. Glycoproteins occur in all forms of life and have functions that span the entire spectrum of protein activities, including those of enzymes, transport proteins, receptors, hormones, and structural proteins. The polypeptide chains of glycoproteins, like those of all proteins, are synthesized under genetic control. Their carbohydrate chains, in contrast, are enzymatically generated and covalently linked to the polypeptide without the rigid guidance of nucleic acid templates. For this reason, glycoproteins tend to have variable carbohydrate composition, a phenomenon known as **microheterogeneity**. Characterizing the structures of carbohydrates—and their variations—is one goal of the field of **glycomics**, which complements the studies of genomics (for DNA) and proteomics (for proteins).

A Proteoglycans Contain Glycosaminoglycans

Proteins and glycosaminoglycans in the extracellular matrix aggregate covalently and noncovalently to form a diverse group of macromolecules known as **proteoglycans**. Electron micrographs (Fig. 8-15*a*) and other evidence indicate that proteoglycans have a bottlebrush-like molecular architecture, with "bristles" noncovalently attached to a filamentous hyaluronate "backbone." The bristles consist of a **core protein** to which glycosaminoglycans, most often chains of keratan sulfate and chondroitin sulfate, are covalently linked (Fig. 8-15*b*). The interaction between the core protein and the hyaluronate is stabilized by a **link protein**. Smaller oligosaccharides are usually attached to the core protein near its site of attachment to hyaluronate. These oligosaccharides are glycosidically linked to the protein via the amide N of specific Asn residues (and are therefore known as ***N*-linked oligosaccharides;** Section 8-3C). The keratan sulfate and chondroitin sulfate chains are glycosidically linked to the core protein via oligosaccharides that are covalently bonded to side chain O atoms of specific Ser or Thr residues (i.e., ***O*-linked oligosaccharides**).

Altogether, a central strand of hyaluronate, which varies in length from 4000 to 40,000 Å, can have up to 100 associated core proteins, each of which binds ~50 keratan sulfate chains of up to 250 disaccharide units and ~100 chondroitin sulfate chains of up to 1000 disaccharide units each. This accounts for the enormous molecular masses of many proteoglycans, which range up to tens of millions of daltons.

The extended brushlike structure of proteoglycans, together with the polyanionic character of their keratan sulfate and chondroitin sulfate components, cause these complexes to form highly hydrated gels. Cartilage, which consists of a meshwork of collagen fibrils that is filled in by proteoglycans, is characterized by its high resilience: The application of pressure on cartilage squeezes water away from the charged regions of its proteoglycans until charge–charge repulsions prevent further compression. When the pressure is released, the water returns. Indeed, the cartilage in the joints, which lacks blood vessels, is nourished by this flow of liquid brought about by body movements. This explains why long periods of inactivity cause cartilage to become thin and fragile.

B Bacterial Cell Walls Are Made of Peptidoglycan

Bacteria are surrounded by rigid cell walls that give them their characteristic shapes (Fig. 1-7) and permit them to live in **hypotonic** (less

From James E. Dennis and Arnold I. Caplan, Scientific American 251 (4), 87, 90 and 91 (1984)

(*a*)

(*b*)

FIG. 8-15 A proteoglycan. (*a*) Electron micrograph showing a central strand of hyaluronate, which supports numerous projections. (*b*) Bottlebrush model of the proteoglycan shown in Part *a*. Numerous core proteins are noncovalently linked to the central hyaluronate strand. Each core protein has three saccharide-binding regions.

than intracellular salt concentration) environments that would otherwise cause them to swell osmotically until their plasma (cell) membranes lysed (burst). Bacterial cell walls are of considerable medical significance because they are, in part, responsible for bacterial **virulence** (disease-evoking power). In fact, the symptoms of many bacterial diseases can be elicited in animals merely by injecting bacterial cell walls. Furthermore, bacterial cell wall components are antigenic (Section 7-3B), so such injections often invoke immunity against these bacteria.

Bacteria are classified as **gram-positive** or **gram-negative** according to whether or not they take up Gram stain (a procedure developed in 1884 by Christian Gram in which heat-fixed cells are successively treated with the dye crystal violet and iodine and then destained by ethanol or acetone). Gram-positive bacteria (**Fig. 8-16*a***) have a thick cell wall (~250 Å) surrounding their plasma membrane, whereas gram-negative bacteria (**Fig. 8-16*b***) have a thin cell wall (~30 Å) covered by a complex outer membrane. This outer membrane functions, in part, to exclude substances toxic to the bacterium, including Gram stain. This accounts for the observation that gram-negative bacteria are more resistant to antibiotics than are gram-positive bacteria.

The cell walls of bacteria consist of covalently linked polysaccharide and polypeptide chains, which form a baglike macromolecule that completely encases the cell. This framework, whose structure was elucidated in large part by Jack Strominger, is known as a **peptidoglycan**. Its polysaccharide component consists of linear chains of alternating β(1→4)-linked GlcNAc and ***N*-acetylmuramic acid** (Latin: *murus,* wall). The lactic acid group of *N*-acetylmuramic acid forms an amide bond with a D-amino acid–containing tetrapeptide to form the peptidoglycan repeating unit (**Fig. 8-17**). Neighboring parallel peptidoglycan chains are covalently cross-linked through their tetrapeptide side chains, although only ~40% of possible cross-links are made.

In the bacterium *Staphylococcus aureus,* whose tetrapeptide has the sequence L-Ala-D-isoglutamyl-L-Lys-D-Ala, the cross-link consists of a pentaglycine chain that extends from the terminal carboxyl group of one tetrapeptide to the ε-amino group of the Lys in a neighboring tetrapeptide. To explore this structure, Simon Foster has used **atomic force microscopy (AFM)**, an imaging technique that reports the variation in the force between a probe that is several nanometers in diameter and a surface of interest as the probe is scanned over the surface; its resolution is as little as several ångstroms. Foster's model of the cell wall of the gram-negative bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* is shown in **Figure 8-18**. Several glycan chains are cross-linked much as described above to form a peptidoglycan "rope," which due to its natural twist, forms an ~50-nm-diameter helical cable up to 50 μm in length that coils around the long axis of the bacterium to form its

FIG. 8-16 Bacterial cell walls. This diagram compares the cell envelopes of (*a*) gram-positive bacteria and (*b*) gram-negative bacteria.

FIG. 8-17 Peptidoglycan. (*a*) The repeating unit of peptidoglycan is an *N*-acetylglucosamine–*N*-acetylmuramic acid disaccharide whose lactyl side chain forms an amide bond with a tetrapeptide. The tetrapeptide of *S. aureus* is shown. The isoglutamyl residue is so designated because it forms a peptide link via its γ-carboxyl group. (*b*) The *S. aureus* bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan, showing its pentaglycine connecting bridges (*purple*).

cell wall. This structure is presumably stabilized by the formation of covalent cross-links between neighboring segments of the coil. The cell walls of gram-negative bacteria appear to be only one layer thick, whereas as those of gram-positive bacteria are postulated to consist of several such layers. How the peptidoglycan imposes cell shape is unknown.

The D-amino acids of peptidoglycans render them resistant to proteases, which are mostly specific for L-amino acids. However, **lysozyme**, an enzyme that is present in tears, mucus, and other vertebrate body secretions, as well as in egg whites, catalyzes the hydrolysis of the β(1→4) glycosidic linkage between *N*-acetylmuramic acid and *N*-acetylglucosamine (the structure and mechanism of lysozyme are examined in detail in Section 11-4). The cell wall is also compromised by antibiotics that inhibit its biosynthesis (Box 8-3).

Courtesy of Simon Foster, University of Sheffield, U.K.

FIG. 8-18 Model of the *B. subtilis* cell wall. The cell wall consists of a right-handed helical cable composed of several peptidoglycan strands that wraps about the bacterium's plasma membrane. The cell is ~3 μm long.

Box 8-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Peptidoglycan-Specific Antibiotics

In 1928, Alexander Fleming noticed that the chance contamination of a bacterial culture plate with the mold *Penicillium notatum* resulted in the lysis of the bacteria in the vicinity of the mold. This was caused by the presence of **penicillin,** an antibiotic secreted by the mold. Penicillin contains a thiazolidine ring *(red)* fused to a β-lactam ring *(blue)*. A variable R group is bonded to the β-lactam ring via a peptide link.

Penicillin

Penicillin specifically binds to and inactivates enzymes that cross-link the peptidoglycan strands of bacterial cell walls. Since cell wall expansion in growing cells requires that their rigid cell walls be opened up for the insertion of new cell wall material, exposure of growing bacteria to penicillin results in cell lysis. However, since no human enzyme binds penicillin specifically, it is not toxic to humans and is therefore therapeutically useful.

Most bacteria that are resistant to penicillin secrete the enzyme **penicillinase** (also called **β-lactamase**), which inactivates penicillin by cleaving the amide bond of its β-lactam ring. Attempts to overcome this resistance have led to the development of β-lactamase inhibitors such as **sulbactam** that are often administered in combination with penicillin derivatives.

Multiple-drug-resistant bacteria are a growing problem. For many years, **vancomycin,** the so-called antibiotic of last resort, has been used to treat bacterial infections that do not succumb to other antibiotics. Vancomycin inhibits the transpeptidation (cross-linking) reaction of bacterial cell wall synthesis by binding to the peptidoglycan precursor. However, bacteria can become resistant to vancomycin by acquiring a gene that allows cell wall synthesis from a slightly different precursor sequence, to which vancomycin binds much less effectively.

One limitation of drugs such as vancomycin and penicillin, particularly for slow-growing bacteria, is that the drug may halt bacterial growth without actually killing the cells. For this reason, effective antibacterial treatments may require combinations of antibiotics over a course of several weeks.

C Many Eukaryotic Proteins Are Glycosylated

Almost all the secreted and membrane-associated proteins of eukaryotic cells are **glycosylated**. Oligosaccharides are covalently attached to proteins by either *N*-glycosidic or *O*-glycosidic bonds.

***N*-Linked Oligosaccharides Are Attached to Asparagine.** *In N-linked oligosaccharides, GlcNAc is invariably β-linked to the amide nitrogen of an Asn residue in the sequence Asn-X-Ser or Asn-X-Thr, where X is any amino acid except Pro and only rarely Asp, Glu, Leu, or Trp.*

N-Glycosylation occurs **cotranslationally—**that is, while the polypeptide is being synthesized. Proteins containing *N*-linked oligosaccharides typically are glycosylated and then **processed** as elucidated, in large part, by Stuart Kornfeld (Fig. 8-19):

1. An oligosaccharide containing 9 mannose residues, 3 glucose residues, and 2 GlcNAc residues is attached to the Asn of a growing polypeptide chain that is being synthesized by a ribosome associated with the endoplasmic reticulum (Section 9-4D).
2. Some of the sugars are removed during processing, which begins in the lumen (internal space) of the endoplasmic reticulum and continues in the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 1-8). Enzymatic trimming is accomplished by glucosidases and mannosidases.

FIG. 8-19 Synthesis of *N*-linked oligosaccharides. The addition of a $(\text{mannose})_9(\text{glucose})_3(\text{GlcNAc})_2$ oligosaccharide is followed by removal of monosaccharides as catalyzed by glycosidases, and the addition of other monosaccharides as catalyzed by glycosyltransferases. The core pentasaccharide occurs in all *N*-linked oligosaccharides. [Adapted from Kornfeld, R. and Kornfeld, S., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **54**, 640 (1985).]

3. Additional monosaccharide residues, including GlcNAc, galactose, fucose, and sialic acid, are added by the action of specific **glycosyltransferases** in the Golgi apparatus.

The exact steps of *N*-linked oligosaccharide processing vary with the identity of the glycoprotein and the battery of endoglycosidases in the cell, but all *N*-linked oligosaccharides have a common core pentasaccharide with the following structure:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text{Man } \alpha(1\rightarrow 6)\\ \\ \text{Man } \alpha(1\rightarrow 3)\end{array}\right\rangle \text{Man } \beta(1\rightarrow 4)\ \text{GlcNAc } \beta(1\rightarrow 4)\ \text{GlcNAc—}$$

In some glycoproteins, processing is limited, leaving "high-mannose" oligosaccharides; in other glycoproteins, extensive processing generates large oligosaccharides containing several kinds of sugar residues. *There is enormous diversity among the oligosaccharides of N-linked glycoproteins.* Indeed, even glycoproteins with a given polypeptide chain exhibit considerable microheterogeneity, presumably as a consequence of incomplete glycosylation and lack of absolute specificity on the part of glycosidases and glycosyltransferases.

***O*-Linked Oligosaccharides Are Attached to Serine or Threonine.** *The most common O-glycosidic attachment involves the disaccharide core β-galactosyl-(1→3)-α-N-acetylgalactosamine linked to the OH group of either Ser or Thr:*

β-Galactosyl-(1→3)-α-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyl-Ser/Thr

Less commonly, galactose, mannose, and xylose form *O*-glycosides with Ser or Thr. Galactose also forms *O*-glycosidic bonds to the 5-hydroxylysyl residues of collagen (Section 6-1C). *O*-Linked oligosaccharides vary in size from a single galactose residue in collagen to the chains of up to 1000 disaccharide units in proteoglycans.

O-Linked oligosaccharides are synthesized in the Golgi apparatus by the serial addition of monosaccharide units to a completed polypeptide chain. Synthesis starts with the transfer of GalNAc to a Ser or Thr residue on the polypeptide. *N*-Linked oligosaccharides are transferred to an Asn in a specific amino acid sequence, but *O*-glycosylated Ser and Thr residues are not members of any common sequence. Instead, the locations of glycosylation sites are specified only by the secondary or tertiary structure of the polypeptide. *O*-Glycosylation continues with stepwise addition of sugars by the corresponding glycosyltransferases. The energetics and enzymology of oligosaccharide synthesis are discussed further in Section 16-5.

D Oligosaccharides May Determine Glycoprotein Structure, Function, and Recognition

A single protein may contain several *N*- and *O*-linked oligosaccharide chains, although different molecules of the same glycoprotein may differ in the sequences, locations, and numbers of covalently attached carbohydrates (the variant species of a glycoprotein are known as its **glycoforms**). This heterogeneity makes it difficult to assign discrete biological functions to oligosaccharide chains. In fact, certain glycoproteins synthesized by cells that lack particular oligosaccharide-processing enzymes appear to function normally despite abnormal or absent glycosylation. In other cases, however, glycosylation may affect a protein's structure, stability, or activity.

Oligosaccharides Help Define Protein Structure. Oligosaccharides are usually attached to proteins at sequences that form surface loops or turns. Since sugars are hydrophilic, the oligosaccharides tend to project away from the protein surface. Because carbohydrate chains are often conformationally mobile, oligosaccharides attached to proteins can occupy time-averaged volumes of considerable size (Fig. 8-20). In this way, an oligosaccharide can shield a protein's surface, possibly modifying its activity or protecting it from proteolysis.

In addition, some oligosaccharides may play structural roles by limiting the conformational freedom of their attached polypeptide chains. Since *N*-linked oli-

Courtesy of Raymond Dwek, Oxford University, U.K.

FIG. 8-20 Model of oligosaccharide dynamics. The allowed conformations of a $(GlcNAc)_2(mannose)_{5-9}$ oligosaccharide (*yellow*) attached to the bovine pancreatic enzyme **ribonuclease B** (*purple*) are shown in superimposed "snapshots."

gosaccharides are added as the protein is being synthesized, the attachment of an oligosaccharide may help determine how the protein folds. In addition, the oligosaccharide may help stabilize the folded conformation of a polypeptide by reducing backbone flexibility. In particular, *O*-linked oligosaccharides, which are usually clustered in heavily glycosylated segments of a protein, may help stiffen and extend the polypeptide chain.

Oligosaccharides Mediate Recognition Events. The many possible ways that carbohydrates can be linked together to form branched structures gives them the potential to carry more biological information than either nucleic acids or proteins of similar size. For example, two different nucleotides can make only two distinct dinucleotides, but two different hexoses can combine in 36 different ways (although not all possibilities are necessarily realized in nature).

The first evidence that unique combinations of carbohydrates might be involved in intercellular communication came with the discovery that all cells are coated with sugars in the form of **glycoconjugates** such as glycoproteins and glycolipids. The oligosaccharides of glycoconjugates form a fuzzy layer up to 1 μm thick in some cells (Fig. 8-21).

Courtesy of Hans Vink, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

FIG. 8-21 **Electron micrograph of the surface of a rat capillary.** Its thick carbohydrate coat, which is called the glycocalyx, consists of closely packed oligosaccharides attached to cell-surface proteins and lipids.

Additional evidence that cell-surface carbohydrates have recognition functions comes from **lectins** (proteins that bind carbohydrates), which are ubiquitous in nature and frequently appear on the surfaces of cells. Lectins are exquisitely specific: They can recognize individual monosaccharides in particular linkages to other sugars in an oligosaccharide (this property also makes lectins useful laboratory tools for isolating glycoproteins and oligosaccharides). Protein–carbohydrate interactions are typically characterized by extensive hydrogen bonding (often including bridging water molecules) and the van der Waals packing of hydrophobic sugar faces against aromatic side chains (Fig. 8-22).

Proteins known as **selectins** mediate the attachment between **leukocytes** (circulating white blood cells) and the surfaces of endothelial cells (the cells that line cavities—in this case, blood vessels). Leukocytes constitutively (continually) express selectins on their surface; endothelial cells transiently display their own selectins in response to tissue damage from infection or mechanical injury. The selectins recognize and bind specific oligosaccharides on cell-surface glycoproteins. Reciprocal selectin–oligosaccharide interactions between the two cell types allow the endothelial cells to "capture" circulating leukocytes, which then crawl past the endothelial cells on their way to eliminate the infection or help repair damaged tissues.

Other cell–cell recognition phenomena also depend on oligosaccharides. For example, proteins on the surface of mammalian spermatozoa recognize GlcNAc or galactose residues on the glycoproteins of the ovum as part of the binding and activation events during fertilization. Many viruses, bacteria, and eukaryotic parasites invade their target tissues by binding to specific cell-surface carbohydrates.

Oligosaccharides Are Antigenic Determinants. The carbohydrates on cell surfaces are some of the best known immunochemical markers. For example, the **ABO blood group antigens** are oligosaccharide components of glycoproteins and glycolipids on the surfaces of an individual's cells (not just red blood

Courtesy Hakon Leffler, University of California at San Francisco

FIG. 8-22 **Carbohydrate binding by a lectin.** Human **galectin-2** binds β-galactosides, such as lactose, primarily through their galactose residue. The galactose and glucose residues are shown with C green and O red, and the lectin amino acid side chains are shown in violet. Hydrogen bonds between the side chains and the sugar residues are shown as dashed yellow lines.

TABLE 8-1 Structures of the A, B, and H Antigenic Determinants in Erythrocytes

Type	Antigen[a]
H	Galβ(1→4)GlcNAc⋯ ↑1,2 L-Fucα
A	GalNAcα(1→3)Galβ(1→4)GlcNAc⋯ ↑1,2 L-Fucα
B	Galα(1→3)Galβ(1→4)GlcNAc⋯ ↑1,2 L-Fucα

[a]Gal, galactose; GalNAc, *N*-acetylgalactosamine; GlcNAc, *N*-acetylglucosamine; L-Fuc, L-fucose.

cells). Individuals with type A cells have A antigens on their cell surfaces and carry anti-B antibodies in their blood; those with type B cells, which bear B antigens, carry anti-A antibodies; those with type AB cells, which have both A and B antigens, carry neither anti-A nor anti-B antibodies; and type O individuals, whose cells bear neither antigen, carry both anti-A and anti-B antibodies. Consequently, the transfusion of type A blood into a type B individual, for example, results in an anti-A antibody–A antigen reaction, which agglutinates (clumps together) the transfused erythrocytes, resulting in an often fatal blockage of blood vessels.

Table 8-1 lists the oligosaccharides found in the **A, B**, and **H antigens** (type O individuals have the H antigen). These occur at the nonreducing ends of the oligosaccharides. The H antigen is the precursor oligosaccharide of A and B antigens. Type A individuals have a 303-residue glycosyltransferase that specifically adds a GalNAc residue to the terminal position of the H antigen. In type B individuals, this enzyme, which differs by four amino acid residues from that of type A individuals, instead adds a galactose residue. In type O individuals, the enzyme is inactive because its synthesis terminates after its 115th residue.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the general structures of proteoglycans, peptidoglycans, and glycosylated proteins.
- Why are gram-positive bacteria more susceptible to antibiotics such as penicillin?
- List the major biological functions of proteoglycans and peptidoglycans.
- Explain the difference between *N*- and *O*-linked oligosaccharides.
- Explain why the oligosaccharides of glycoproteins are more complicated to describe than amino acids or nucleotide sequences.
- How do oligosaccharides participate in biological recognition?

Summary

1 Monosaccharides

- Monosaccharides, the simplest carbohydrates, are classified as aldoses or ketoses.
- The cyclic hemiacetal and hemiketal forms of monosaccharides have either the α or β configuration at their anomeric carbon but are conformationally variable.
- Monosaccharide derivatives include aldonic acids, uronic acids, alditols, deoxy sugars, amino sugars, and α- and β-glycosides.

2 Polysaccharides

- Polysaccharides are monosaccharides linked by glycosidic bonds.
- Cellulose and chitin are polysaccharides whose β(1→4) linkages cause them to adopt rigid and extended structures.
- The storage polysaccharides starch and glycogen consist of α-glycosidically linked glucose residues.
- Glycosaminoglycans are unbranched polysaccharides containing uronic acid and amino sugars that are often sulfated.

3 Glycoproteins

- Proteoglycans are enormous molecules consisting of hyaluronate with attached core proteins that bear numerous glycosaminoglycans and oligosaccharides.
- Bacterial cell walls are made of peptidoglycan, a network of polysaccharide and polypeptide chains.
- Glycosylated proteins may contain *N*-linked oligosaccharides (attached to Asn) or *O*-linked oligosaccharides (attached to Ser or Thr) or both. Different molecules of a glycoprotein may contain different sequences and locations of oligosaccharides.
- Oligosaccharides play important roles in determining protein structure and in cell-surface recognition phenomena.

Key Terms

carbohydrate **221**
monosaccharide **221**
polysaccharide **221**
aldose **222**
ketose **223**
epimer **223**
hemiacetal **223**
hemiketal **223**
Haworth projection **224**
pyranose **224**
furanose **224**
anomeric carbon **224**
α anomer **224**
β anomer **224**
mutarotation **224**
aldonic acid **225**
uronic acid **226**
alditol **226**
deoxy sugar **226**
amino sugar **226**
glycoprotein **227**
glycolipid **227**
α-glycoside **227**
β-glycoside **227**
glycosidic bond **227**
reducing sugar **227**
glycan **228**
homopolysaccharide **228**
heteropolysaccharide **228**
oligosaccharide **228**
exoglycosidase **228**
endoglycosidase **228**
disaccharide **228**
starch **231**
glycogen **232**
glycosaminoglycan **232**
growth factor **234**
biofilm **234**
microheterogeneity **235**
glycomics **235**
proteoglycan **235**
N-linked oligosaccharide **235**
O-linked oligosaccharide **235**
gram-positive **236**
gram-negative **236**
peptidoglycan **236**
atomic force microscopy **236**
glycosylation **238**
oligosaccharide processing **238**
glycoforms **240**
glycoconjugate **241**
lectin **241**
leukocyte **241**
ABO blood group antigens **241**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. How many stereoisomers are possible for (a) a ketopentose, (b) a ketohexose, and (c) a ketoheptose?

2. Are (a) D-glucitol, (b) D-galactitol, and (c) D-glycerol optically active?

3. Which of the following pairs of sugars are epimers of each other?

(a) D-sorbose and D-psicose

(b) D-sorbose and D-fructose

(c) D-erythrose and D-arabinose

4. Which of the following pairs of sugars are epimers of each other?

(a) D-fructose and L-fructose

(b) D-arabinose and D-ribose

(c) D-ribose and D-ribulose

5. Draw the furanose and pyranose forms of D-ribose.

6. Draw a Fischer projection of L-fucose. L-Fucose is the 6-deoxy form of which L-hexose?

7. Some bacteria produce thiosugars, which contain a C—S covalent bond, such as 2-deoxy-2-thio-β-D-glucose. Draw the structure of this sugar.

8. The metabolism of monosaccharides yields phosphorylated sugars such as β-D-fructose-6-phosphate. Draw its structure.

9. The sucrose substitute tagatose (Fig. 8-2) is produced by hydrolyzing lactose and then chemically converting one of the two resulting aldoses to a ketose. Which residue of lactose gives rise to tagatose?

10. What type of sugar derivative is rhamnose?

11. Draw the structure of the mannose trisaccharide that is part of the core *N*-linked oligosaccharide.

12. Identify the monosaccharides and their linkages in the following disaccharide.

13. How many reducing ends are in a molecule of glycogen that contains 10,000 residues with a branch every 10 residues?

14. Is amylose or amylopectin more likely to be a long-term storage polysaccharide in plants?

15. "Nutraceuticals" are products that are believed to have some beneficial effect but are not strictly defined as either food or drug. Why might an individual suffering from osteoarthritis be tempted to consume the nutraceutical glucosamine?

16. The core of pectin molecules is a polymer of α(1→4)-linked D-galacturonate. Draw one of its residues.

17. Calculate the net charge of a chondroitin-4-sulfate molecule containing 100 disaccharide units.

18. Basic fibroblast growth factor binds to glycosaminoglycans in the extracellular matrix. Which amino acids would you expect to be abundant in this protein?

19. In certain situations, a glycosyltransferase catalyzes the addition of a GlcNAc group to an arginine residue in a protein. Draw the structure of the modified arginine side chain.

20. A protein that fails to fold properly in the endoplasmic reticulum may undergo *O*-mannosylation. Draw the structure of the resulting modified amino acid residue.

21. Draw the structure of the *O*-type oligosaccharide (the H antigen, described in Table 8-1).

22. During gel electrophoresis, glycoproteins migrate as relatively diffuse bands, whereas nonglycosylated proteins typically migrate as narrow, well-defined bands. Explain the difference in electrophoretic behavior.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. How many different disaccharides of D-glucopyranose are possible?

24. In addition to lactose, how many other heterodisaccharides can D-galactose and D-glucose form?

25. (a) Deduce the structure of the disaccharide trehalose from the following information: Complete hydrolysis yields only D-glucose; it is hydrolyzed by α-glucosidase but not β-glucosidase; and it does not reduce Cu^{2+} to Cu^{+}. (b) When exposed to dehydrating conditions, many plants and invertebrates synthesize large amounts of trehalose, which enables them to survive prolonged desiccation. What properties of the trehalose molecule might allow it to act as a water substitute?

26. The artificial sweetener sucralose is a derivative of sucrose with the formal name 1,6-dichloro-1,6-dideoxy-β-D-fructofuranosyl-4-chloro-4-deoxy-α-D-galactopyranoside. Draw its structure.

27. Brown algae produce alginate, a polysaccharide containing β-D-mannuronate linked 1→4 to its C5 epimer α-L-guluronate. Draw the structure of an alginate disaccharide.

28. Human milk contains the oligosaccharide 2′-fucosyllactose (α-L-fucose-(1→2)-β-D-galactose-(1→4)-D-glucose), which may help protect the newborn from bacterial infections. Draw the structure of this oligosaccharide.

29. Cellulose is treated with methanol, which methylates free anomeric carbons. (a) How many methyl groups would be incorporated per cellulose chain? (b) Cellulose is treated with dimethyl sulfate, which adds a methyl group to all free hydroxyl groups. The cellulose is then hydrolyzed to release all its monosaccharides. Draw their structure.

30. Glycogen is treated with dimethyl sulfate, which adds a methyl group to every free OH group. Next, the molecule is hydrolyzed to break all the glycosidic bonds between glucose residues. The reaction products are then chemically analyzed.

(a) How many different types of methylated glucose molecules are obtained?

(b) Draw the structure of the one that is most abundant.

31. Following their synthesis, many proteoglycans are stored in a highly condensed state in intracellular compartments. These compartments also contain many Ca^{2+} ions, which are rapidly pumped out as the proteoglycans are released extracellularly. Explain the function of the Ca^{2+} ions.

32. The peptidoglycan cell walls of bacteria contain pores that allow the passage of substances with diameters less than 200 Å. Why is it important that the cell wall be porous rather than solid?

33. The enzyme **β-amylase** cleaves successive **maltose** units (maltose is an α(1→4)-linked glucose disaccharide) from the nonreducing end of α(1→4) **glucans** (polysaccharides containing only glucose residues). It will not cleave at glucose residues that have an α(1→6) bond. The end products of the exhaustive digestion of amylopectin by β-amylase are known as **limit dextrins**. Draw a schematic diagram of an amylopectin molecule and indicate what part(s) of it constitutes limit dextrins.

34. One demonstration of P.T. Barnum's maxim that there's a sucker born every minute is that new "reducing aids" regularly appear on the market. An eat-all-you-want nostrum, which was touted as a "starch blocker" [and which the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) eventually banned], contained an amylase-inhibiting enzyme extracted from beans. If this substance had really worked as advertised, which it did not, what unpleasant side effects would have resulted from its ingestion with a starch-containing meal? Discuss why this substance, which inhibits amylase *in vitro,* would not do so in the intestines after oral ingestion.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Drawing Monosaccharides

Exercise 2 Introduction to Glycomics

Exercise 3 Oligosaccharides, Polysaccharides, and Glycoproteins

Extended Exercises Bioinformatics projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 5 Glycomics and the H1N1 Flu

1. **Looking at branched carbohydrates.** Explore some well-known glycans using MarvinSketch, PubChem, and the KEGG *N*-glycan synthesis reference pathway.
2. **The role of glycans in swine flu.** Review the structures of two proteins (the H and N in H1N1) that are critical to swine flu infections and vaccine development, including the glycans that are attached to these proteins. Then explore the glycan targets for swine flu.

MORE TO EXPLORE Chitosan is a substance produced from the chitin of crustacean shells (mainly from shrimp and crab). How is the chitin chemically modified, and how does this treatment affect its physical and chemical properties? What are some industrial and medical uses for chitosan? Are all the advertised uses for chitosan supported by scientific data?

References

Aoki-Kinoshita, K.F., An introduction to bioinformatics for glycomics research, *PLoS Comput. Biol*. **4**, e1000075 (2008). [Describes some of the approaches used to characterize carbohydrate structures.]

Branda, S.S., Vik, A., Friedman, L., and Kolter, R., Biofilms: the matrix revisited, *Trends Microbiol.* **13**, 20–26 (2005). [Summarizes the general features of biofilms and ways of studying them.]

Esko, J.D. and Lindahl, U., Molecular diversity of heparan sulfate, *J. Clin. Invest.* **108**, 169–173 (2001). [Reviews the structure, function, and biosynthesis of heparan sulfate–containing proteoglycans.]

Meroueh, S.O., Bencze, K.Z., Hesek, D., Lee, M., Fisher, J.F., Stemmler, T.L., and Mobashery, S., Three-dimensional structure of the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 4404–4409 (2006).

Mitra, N., Sinha, S., Ramya, T.N.C., and Surolia, A., *N*-Linked oligosaccharides as outfitters for glycoprotein folding, form and function, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **31**, 156–163 and 251 (2006). [Summarizes the ways in which oligosaccharides can influence glycoprotein structure.]

Sharon, N. and Lis, H., History of lectins: from hemagglutinins to biological recognition molecules, *Glycobiology* **14**, 53R–62R (2004). [A historical account of lectin research and applications.]

Spiro, R.G., Protein glycosylation: nature, distribution, enzymatic formation, and disease implications of glycopeptide bonds, *Glycobiology* **12**, 43R–56R (2002). [Catalogs the various ways in which saccharides are linked to proteins, and describes the enzymes involved in glycoprotein synthesis.]

Taylor, M.E. and Drickamer, K., *Introduction to Glycobiology,* Oxford University Press (2011).

Varki, A., Cummings, R.D., Esko, J.D., Freeze, H.H., Stanley, S., Bertozzi, C.R., Hart, G.W., and Etzler, M.E. (Eds.), *Essentials of Glycobiology* (2nd ed.), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2009). [This book is available online without charge at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1908/.]

MEHAU KULYK/Getty Images

Mycobacterium tuberculosis, the cause of tuberculosis, evades both the human immune system and antibiotic drugs due in part to a thick cell wall containing enormous lipids known as mycolic acids, which consist of long hydrocarbon chains with hydroxyl, carboxyl, and other functional groups.

CHAPTER 9

Lipids and Biological Membranes

Chapter Contents

1 Lipid Classification
- A The Properties of Fatty Acids Depend on Their Hydrocarbon Chains
- B Triacylglycerols Contain Three Esterified Fatty Acids
- C Glycerophospholipids Are Amphiphilic
- D Sphingolipids Are Amino Alcohol Derivatives
- E Steroids Contain Four Fused Rings
- F Other Lipids Perform a Variety of Metabolic Roles

2 Lipid Bilayers
- A Bilayer Formation Is Driven by the Hydrophobic Effect
- B Lipid Bilayers Have Fluidlike Properties

3 Membrane Proteins
- A Integral Membrane Proteins Interact with Hydrophobic Lipids
- B Lipid-Linked Proteins Are Anchored to the Bilayer
- C Peripheral Proteins Associate Loosely with Membranes

4 Membrane Structure and Assembly
- A The Fluid Mosaic Model Accounts for Lateral Diffusion
- B The Membrane Skeleton Helps Define Cell Shape
- C Membrane Lipids Are Distributed Asymmetrically
- D The Secretory Pathway Generates Secreted and Transmembrane Proteins
- E Intracellular Vesicles Transport Proteins
- F Proteins Mediate Vesicle Fusion

Lipids (Greek: *lipos,* fat) are the fourth major group of molecules found in all cells. Unlike nucleic acids, proteins, and polysaccharides, lipids are not polymeric. However, they do aggregate, and it is in this state that they perform their central function as the structural matrix of biological membranes.

Lipids exhibit greater structural variety than the other classes of biological molecules. To a certain extent, lipids constitute a catchall category of substances that are similar only in that they are largely hydrophobic and only sparingly soluble in water. In general, lipids perform three biological functions (although certain lipids serve more than one purpose in some cells):

1. Lipid molecules in the form of lipid bilayers are essential components of biological membranes.
2. Lipids containing hydrocarbon chains serve as energy stores.
3. Many intra- and intercellular signaling events involve lipid molecules.

In this chapter we examine the structures and physical properties of the most common types of lipids. Next, we look at the properties of the lipid bilayer and the proteins that are situated within it. Finally, we explore current models of membrane structure. The following chapter examines membrane transport phenomena. The participation of lipids in intracellular signaling is discussed in Section 13-4.

1 Lipid Classification

KEY CONCEPTS

- The length and saturation of a fatty acid chain determine its physical properties.
- Triacylglycerols and glycerophospholipids contain fatty acids esterified to glycerol.
- Sphingolipids resemble glycerophospholipids but may include large carbohydrate groups.
- Steroids, isoprenoids, and other lipids perform a wide variety of functions.

Lipids are substances of biological origin that are soluble in organic solvents such as chloroform and methanol. Hence, they are easily separated from other biological materials by extraction into organic solvents. They can then be separated chromatographically and identified by mass spectrometry according to their masses and characteristic fragmentation patterns (Section 5-3D). Fats, oils, certain vitamins and hormones, and most nonprotein membrane components are lipids. In this section, we discuss the structures and physical properties of the major classes of lipids.

A The Properties of Fatty Acids Depend on Their Hydrocarbon Chains

Fatty acids *are carboxylic acids with long-chain hydrocarbon side groups* (Fig. 9-1). They usually occur in esterified form as major components of the various lipids described in this chapter. The more common biological fatty acids are listed in Table 9-1. In higher plants and animals, the predominant fatty acid residues are those of the C_{16} and C_{18} species: **palmitic, oleic, linoleic**, and **stearic acids**. Fatty acids with <14 or >20 carbon atoms are uncommon. Most fatty acids have an even number of carbon atoms because they are biosynthesized by the concatenation of C_2 units (Section 20-4).

FIG. 9-1 The structural formulas of some C_{18} fatty acids. The double bonds all have the cis configuration.

TABLE 9-1 The Common Biological Fatty Acids

Symbol[a]	Common Name	Systematic Name	Structure	mp (°C)
Saturated fatty acids				
12:0	Lauric acid	Dodecanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{10}COOH$	44.2
14:0	Myristic acid	Tetradecanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{12}COOH$	53.9
16:0	Palmitic acid	Hexadecanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{14}COOH$	63.1
18:0	Stearic acid	Octadecanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{16}COOH$	69.6
20:0	Arachidic acid	Eicosanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{18}COOH$	77
22:0	Behenic acid	Docosanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{20}COOH$	81.5
24:0	Lignoceric acid	Tetracosanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_{22}COOH$	88
Unsaturated fatty acids (all double bonds are cis)				
16:1*n*–7	Palmitoleic acid	9-Hexadecanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH{=}CH(CH_2)_7COOH$	−0.5
18:1*n*–9	Oleic acid	9-Octadecanoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_7CH{=}CH(CH_2)_7COOH$	12
18:2*n*–6	Linoleic acid	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_4(CH{=}CHCH_2)_2(CH_2)_6COOH$	−5
18:3*n*–3	α-Linolenic acid	9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid	$CH_3CH_2(CH{=}CHCH_2)_3(CH_2)_6COOH$	−11
18:3*n*–6	γ-Linolenic acid	6,9,12-Octadecatrienoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_4(CH{=}CHCH_2)_3(CH_2)_3COOH$	−11
20:4*n*–6	Arachidonic acid	5,8,11,14-Eicosatetraenoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_4(CH{=}CHCH_2)_4(CH_2)_2COOH$	−49.5
20:5*n*–3	EPA	5,8,11,14,17-Eicosapentaenoic acid	$CH_3CH_2(CH{=}CHCH_2)_5(CH_2)_2COOH$	−54
22:6*n*–3	DHA	4,7,10,13,16,19-Docosohexenoic acid	$CH_3CH_2(CH{=}CHCH_2)_6CH_2COOH$	−44
24:1*n*–9	Nervonic acid	15-Tetracosenoic acid	$CH_3(CH_2)_7CH{=}CH(CH_2)_{13}COOH$	39

[a]Number of carbon atoms: Number of double bonds. For unsaturated fatty acids, the quantity "*n–x*" indicates the position of the last double bond in the fatty acid, where *n* is its number of C atoms, and *x* is the position of the last double-bonded C atom counting from the methyl-terminal (ω) end.
Source: LipidBank (http://www.lipidbank.jp).

? **How do chain length and the presence of double bonds affect the melting point?**

Over half of the fatty acid residues of plant and animal lipids are **unsaturated** (contain double bonds) and are often **polyunsaturated** (contain two or more double bonds). Bacterial fatty acids are rarely polyunsaturated but are commonly branched, hydroxylated, or contain cyclopropane rings.

Table 9-l indicates that the first double bond of an unsaturated fatty acid commonly occurs between its C9 and C10 atoms counting from the carboxyl C atom. This bond is called a Δ^9- or 9-double bond. In polyunsaturated fatty acids, the double bonds tend to occur at every third carbon atom (e.g., —CH═CH—CH_2—CH═CH—) and so are not conjugated (as in —CH═CH—CH═CH—). Two important classes of polyunsaturated fatty acids are designated as ω–3 or ω–6 fatty acids, a nomenclature that identifies the last double-bonded carbon atom as counted from the methyl terminal (ω) end of the chain. **α-Linolenic acid** and linoleic acid (Fig. 9-1) are examples of such fatty acids.

Saturated fatty acids (which are fully reduced or "saturated" with hydrogen) are highly flexible molecules that can assume a wide range of conformations because there is relatively free rotation around each of their C—C bonds. Nevertheless, their lowest energy conformation is the fully extended conformation, which has the least amount of steric interference between neighboring methylene groups. The melting points (mp) of saturated fatty acids, like those of most substances, increase with their molecular mass (Table 9-1).

Fatty acid double bonds almost always have the cis configuration (Fig. 9-1). This puts a rigid 30° bend in the hydrocarbon chain. Consequently, unsaturated fatty acids pack together less efficiently than saturated fatty acids. The reduced

Glycerol **Triacylglycerol**

1-Palmitoleoyl-2-linoleoyl-3-stearoylglycerol

van der Waals interactions of unsaturated fatty acids cause their melting points to decrease with the degree of unsaturation. The fluidity of lipids containing fatty acid residues likewise increases with the degree of unsaturation of the fatty acids. This phenomenon, as we will see, has important consequences for biological membranes.

B Triacylglycerols Contain Three Esterified Fatty Acids

The fats and oils that occur in plants and animals consist largely of mixtures of **triacylglycerols** (also called **triglycerides**). These nonpolar, water-insoluble substances are fatty acid triesters of **glycerol** (*at left*). Triacylglycerols function as energy reservoirs in animals and are therefore their most abundant class of lipids even though they are not components of cellular membranes.

Triacylglycerols differ according to the identity and placement of their three fatty acid residues. Most triacylglycerols contain two or three different types of fatty acid residues and are named according to their placement on the glycerol moiety, for example, **1-palmitoleoyl-2-linoleoyl-3-stearoylglycerol** (*at left*). Note that the *-ate* ending of the name of the fatty acid becomes *-oyl* in the fatty acid ester. **Fats** and **oils** (which differ only in that fats are solid and oils are liquid at room temperature) are complex mixtures of triacylglycerols whose fatty acid compositions vary with the organism that produced them. Plant oils are usually richer in unsaturated fatty acid residues than animal fats, as the lower melting points of oils imply.

Triacylglycerols Function as Energy Reserves. Fats are a highly efficient form in which to store metabolic energy. This is because triacylglycerols are less oxidized than carbohydrates or proteins and hence yield significantly more energy per unit mass on complete oxidation. Furthermore, triacylglycerols, which are nonpolar, are stored in anhydrous form, whereas glycogen (Section 8-2C), for example, binds about twice its weight of water under physiological conditions. *Fats therefore provide about six times the metabolic energy of an equal weight of hydrated glycogen.*

In animals, **adipocytes** (fat cells; **Fig. 9-2**) are specialized for the synthesis and storage of triacylglycerols. Whereas other types of cells have only a few small droplets of fat dispersed in their cytosol, adipocytes may be almost entirely filled with fat globules. **Adipose tissue** is most abundant in a subcutaneous layer and in the abdominal cavity. The fat content of normal humans (21% for men,

CNRI/Phototake

FIG. 9-2 Scanning electron micrograph of adipocytes. Each adipocyte contains a fat globule that occupies nearly the entire cell.

26% for women) allows them to survive starvation for 2 to 3 months. In contrast, the body's glycogen supply, which functions as a short-term energy store, can provide for the body's energy needs for less than a day. The subcutaneous fat layer also provides thermal insulation, which is particularly important for warm-blooded aquatic animals, such as whales, seals, geese, and penguins, which are routinely exposed to low temperatures.

Consumption of trans fats causes cardiovascular disease. The double bonds in unsaturated fats (fats containing unsaturated fatty acid residues) slowly react with the oxygen in air to yield aldehydes and carboxylates of shorter chain length, whose increased volatility results in an unpleasant rancid odor. To increase their stability, commercial oils that are to be used in cooking are partially hydrogenated (treated with H_2 in the presence of a metallic catalyst at high temperature and pressure). This eliminates some of their double bonds and thereby increases their melting temperatures (this is how margarine is produced from vegetable oils). Unfortunately, the conditions under which hydrogenation occurs have the undesirable side effect of converting some of the cis double bonds to trans double bonds, yielding **trans fats**. In recent decades, it has become increasingly clear that the consumption of significant amounts of trans fats causes a large increase in the incidence of cardiovascular disease by increasing the level of cholesterol in the blood (Section 20-7C). As a consequence, several European countries have banned foods containing trans fats. In the United States, the trans fat level must be stated on a food's Nutritional Facts label, and several municipalities have banned their use by restaurants.

GATEWAY CONCEPT: Molecular Behavior

A lipid's melting point seldom refers to the shift of one individual molecule from the solid to the liquid state; instead, it refers to the temperature at which a population of molecules collectively makes the transition between states. A transition temperature is therefore also useful for describing the behavior of a group of molecules that may not be identical, such as the lipids in a sample of oil, or the lipids that make up a biological membrane.

C Glycerophospholipids Are Amphiphilic

Glycerophospholipids (or **phosphoglycerides**) are the major lipid components of biological membranes. They consist of **glycerol-3-phosphate** whose C1 and C2 positions are esterified with fatty acids. In addition, the phosphoryl group is linked to another usually polar group, X (Fig. 9-3). *Glycerophospholipids are therefore amphiphilic molecules with nonpolar aliphatic (hydrocarbon) "tails" and polar phosphoryl-X "heads."*

The simplest glycerophospholipids, in which X = H, are **phosphatidic acids**; they are present in only small amounts in biological membranes. In the glycerophospholipids that commonly occur in biological membranes, the head groups are derived from polar alcohols (Table 9-2). Saturated C_{16} or C_{18} fatty acids usually occur at the C1 position of the glycerophospholipids, and the C2 position is often occupied by an unsaturated C_{16} to C_{20} fatty acid. Individual glycerophospholipids are named according to the identities of these fatty acid residues (e.g., Fig. 9-4). A glycerophospholipid containing two palmitoyl chains is an important component of **lung surfactant** (Box 9-1).

GATEWAY CONCEPT: Functional Groups

In addition to having substantial hydrophobic regions, which classifies them as lipids, membrane lipids include numerous types of functional groups, often several in one molecule. These polar and ionic groups add functionality to what would otherwise be relatively inert molecules.

FIG. 9-3 Structure of glycerophospholipids. (*a*) The backbone, L-glycerol-3-phosphate. (*b*) The general formula of the glycerophospholipids. R_1 and R_2 are the long-chain hydrocarbon tails of fatty acids, and X is derived from a polar alcohol (Table 9-2). Note that glycerol-3-phosphate and glycerophospholipid are chiral compounds.

TABLE 9-2 The Common Classes of Glycerophospholipids

Name of X—OH	Formula of —X	Name of Phospholipid
Water	—H	Phosphatidic acid
Ethanolamine	$—CH_2CH_2NH_3^+$	Phosphatidylethanolamine
Choline	$—CH_2CH_2N(CH_3)_3^+$	Phosphatidylcholine (lecithin)
Serine	$—CH_2CH(NH_3^+)COO^-$	Phosphatidylserine
myo-Inositol		Phosphatidylinositol
Glycerol	$—CH_2CH(OH)CH_2OH$	Phosphatidylglycerol
Phosphatidylglycerol		Diphosphatidylglycerol (cardiolipin)

? **Identify the functional groups in the glycerophospholipids.**

FIG. 9-4 The glycerophospholipid 1-stearoyl-2-oleoyl-3-phosphatidylcholine. (*a*) Molecular formula in Fischer projection. (*b*) Energy-minimized space-filling model with C green, H white, N blue,O red, and P orange. Note how the unsaturated oleoyl chain (*left*) is bent compared to the saturated stearoyl chain. [Based on coordinates provided by Richard Venable and Richard Pastor, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland.]

Box 9-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Lung Surfactant

Dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) is the major lipid of lung surfactant, the protein–lipid mixture that is essential for normal pulmonary function. The surfaces of the cells that form the alveoli (small air spaces of the lung) are coated with surfactant, which decreases the alveolar surface tension. Lung surfactant contains 80 to 90% phospholipid by weight, and 70 to 80% of the phospholipid is phosphatidylcholine, mostly the dipalmitoyl species.

Because the palmitoyl chains of DPPC are saturated, they tend to extend straight out without bending. This allows close packing of DPPC molecules, which are oriented in a single layer with their nonpolar tails toward the air and their polar heads toward the alveolar cells. When air is expired from the lungs, the volume and surface area of the alveoli decrease. The collapse of the alveolar space is prevented by the surfactant, because the closely packed DPPC molecules resist compression. Reopening a collapsed air space requires a much greater force than expanding an already open air space.

Lung surfactant is continuously synthesized, secreted, and recycled by alveolar cells. Because surfactant production is low until just before birth, premature infants are at risk of developing **respiratory distress syndrome,** which is characterized by difficulty in breathing due to alveolar collapse. The syndrome can be treated by introducing exogenous surfactant into the lungs. A related condition in adults **(adult respiratory distress syndrome)** is characterized by insufficient surfactant, usually secondary to other lung injury. This condition, too, can be treated with exogenous surfactant.

Phospholipases Hydrolyze Glycerophospholipids. The chemical structures—including fatty acyl chains and head groups—of glycerophospholipids can be determined from the products of the hydrolytic reactions catalyzed by enzymes known as **phospholipases**. For example, **phospholipase A_2** hydrolytically excises the fatty acid residue at C2, leaving a **lysophospholipid** (Fig. 9-5). Lysophospholipids, as their name implies, are powerful detergents that disrupt cell membranes, thereby lysing cells. Bee and snake venoms are rich sources of phospholipase A_2. Other types of phospholipases act at different sites in glycerophospholipids, as shown in Fig. 9-5.

Enzymes that act on lipids have fascinated biochemists because the enzymes must gain access to portions of the lipids that are buried in a nonaqueous environment. Phospholipases A_2, which constitute some of the best understood lipid-specific enzymes, are relatively small proteins (~14 kD, ~125 amino acid residues). The X-ray structure of phospholipase A_2 from cobra venom suggests that the enzyme binds a glycerophospholipid molecule such that its polar head group fits into the enzyme's active site, whereas the hydrophobic tails, which extend beyond the active site, interact with several aromatic side chains (Fig. 9-6).

Lipases specific for triacylglycerols and membrane lipids catalyze their degradation *in vivo*. Occasionally, the hydrolysis products are not destined for further degradation but instead serve as intra- and extracellular signal molecules. For example, **lysophosphatidic acid (1-acyl-glycerol-3-phosphate)**, which is not actually lytic since it has a small head group (an unsubstituted phosphate group), is produced by hydrolysis of membrane lipids in blood platelets and injured cells and stimulates cell growth as part of the wound-repair process. **1,2-Diacylglycerol**, derived from membrane lipids by the action of **phospholipase C**, is an intracellular

Courtesy of Edward A. Dennis, University of California at San Diego

FIG. 9-6 Model of phospholipase A_2 and a glycerophospholipid. The X-ray structure of the enzyme from cobra venom is shown with a space-filling model of dimyristoylphosphatidylethanolamine in its active site as located by NMR methods. A Ca^{2+} ion in the active site is shown in magenta.

? **Explain why Ca^{2+} is part of the lipid-binding site.**

FIG. 9-5 Action of phospholipases. Phospholipase A_2 hydrolytically excises the C2 fatty acid residue from a phospholipid to yield the corresponding lysophospholipid. The bonds hydrolyzed by other types of phospholipases, which are named according to their specificities, are also indicated.

signal molecule that activates a **protein kinase** (Section 13-4C; kinases catalyze ATP-dependent phosphoryl-transfer reactions).

A plasmalogen

Plasmalogens Contain an Ether Linkage. **Plasmalogens** (*at left*) are glycerophospholipids in which the C1 substituent of the glycerol moiety is linked via an α,β-unsaturated ether linkage in the cis configuration rather than through an ester linkage. **Ethanolamine, choline**, and serine (Table 9-2) form the most common plasmalogen head groups. The functions of most plasmalogens are not well understood. Because the vinyl ether group is easily oxidized, plasmalogens may react with oxygen free radicals, by-products of normal metabolism, thereby preventing free-radical damage to other cell constituents.

D Sphingolipids Are Amino Alcohol Derivatives

Sphingolipids are also major membrane components. They were named after the Sphinx because their function in cells was at first mysterious. Most sphingolipids are derivatives of the C_{18} amino alcohol **sphingosine**, whose double bond has the trans configuration. The *N*-acyl fatty acid derivatives of sphingosine are known as **ceramides**:

Sphingosine **A ceramide**

Ceramides are the parent compounds of the more abundant sphingolipids:

1. ***Sphingomyelins***, the most common sphingolipids, are ceramides bearing either a phosphocholine (**Fig. 9-7**) or a phosphoethanolamine head group, so they can also be classified as **sphingophospholipids.** They typically make up 10 to 20 mol % of plasma membrane lipids. *Although sphingomyelins differ chemically from phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine, their conformations and charge distributions are quite*

A sphingomyelin

FIG. 9-7 A sphingomyelin. (*a*) Molecular formula. (*b*) Energy-minimized space-filling model with C green, H white, N blue, O red, and P orange. [Based on coordinates provided by Richard Venable and Richard Pastor, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland.]

similar (compare Figs. 9-4 and 9-7). The membranous myelin sheath that surrounds and electrically insulates many nerve cell axons is particularly rich in sphingomyelins (**Fig. 9-8**).

2. ***Cerebrosides*** are ceramides with head groups that consist of a single sugar residue. These lipids are therefore **glycosphingolipids. Galactocerebrosides** and **glucocerebrosides** are the most prevalent. Cerebrosides, in contrast to phospholipids, lack phosphate groups and hence are nonionic.
3. ***Gangliosides*** are the most complex glycosphingolipids. They are ceramides with attached oligosaccharides that include at least one sialic acid residue. The structures of **gangliosides G_{M1}, G_{M2}, and G_{M3}**, three of the hundreds that are known, are shown in **Fig. 9-9**. Gangliosides are primarily components of cell-surface membranes and constitute a significant fraction (6%) of brain lipids.

Gangliosides have considerable physiological and medical significance. Their complex carbohydrate head groups, which extend beyond the surfaces of cell membranes, act as specific receptors for certain pituitary glycoprotein hormones that regulate a number of important physiological functions. Gangliosides are also receptors for certain bacterial protein toxins such as **cholera toxin**. There is considerable evidence that gangliosides are specific determinants of cell–cell recognition, so they probably have an important role in the growth and differentiation of tissues as well as in carcinogenesis. Disorders of ganglioside breakdown are responsible for several hereditary **sphingolipid storage diseases**, such as **Tay-Sachs disease** (Box 20-4), which are characterized by an invariably fatal neurological deterioration in early childhood.

Sphingolipids, like glycerophospholipids, are a source of smaller lipids that have discrete signaling activity. Sphingomyelin itself, as well as the ceramide portions of more complex sphingolipids, appear to specifically modulate the activities of protein kinases and **protein phosphatases** (enzymes that remove phosphoryl groups from proteins) that are involved in regulating cell growth and differentiation.

Courtesy of Cedric S. Raine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine

FIG. 9-8 Electron micrograph of myelinated nerve fibers. This cross-sectional view shows the spirally wrapped membranes around each nerve axon. The myelin sheath may be 10–15 layers thick. Its high lipid content makes it an electrical insulator.

FIG. 9-9 Gangliosides. (*a*) Structural formula of gangliosides G_{M1}, G_{M2}, and G_{M3}. Gangliosides G_{M2} and G_{M3} differ from G_{M1} only by the sequential absences of the terminal D-galactose and *N*-acetyl-D-galactosamine residues. Other gangliosides have different oligosaccharide head groups. (*b*) Energy-minimized space-filling model of G_{M1} with C green, H white, N blue, and O red. [Based on coordinates provided by Richard Venable and Richard Pastor, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland.]

? **Compare the structures of a sphingomyelin and a ganglioside.**

(a)

Cholesterol

(b)

FIG. 9-10 Cholesterol. (*a*) Structural formula with the standard numbering system. (*b*) Energy-minimized space-filling model with C green, H white, and O red. [Based on coordinates provided by Richard Venable and Richard Pastor, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland.]

? Compare the overall size and shape of cholesterol, a glycerophospholipid, a sphingolipid, and a ganglioside.

Cyclopentanoperhydrophenanthrene

E Steroids Contain Four Fused Rings

Steroids, which are mostly of eukaryotic origin, are derivatives of **cyclopentanoperhydrophenanthrene** *(at left)*, a compound that consists of four fused, nonplanar rings (labeled A–D). The much maligned **cholesterol**, which is the most abundant steroid in animals, is further classified as a **sterol** because of its C3-OH group (**Fig. 9-10**). Cholesterol is a major component of animal plasma membranes, typically constituting 30 to 40 mol % of plasma membrane lipids. Its polar OH group gives it a weak amphiphilic character, whereas its fused ring system provides it with greater rigidity than other membrane lipids. Cholesterol can also be esterified to long-chain fatty acids to form **cholesteryl esters**, such as **cholesteryl stearate**.

Cholesteryl stearate

Plants contain little cholesterol but synthesize other sterols. Yeast and fungi also synthesize sterols, which differ from cholesterol in their aliphatic side chains and number of double bonds. Prokaryotes contain little, if any, sterol.

In mammals, cholesterol is the metabolic precursor of **steroid hormones**, substances that control a great variety of physiological functions through their regulation of gene expression (Section 28-3B). The structures of some steroid hormones are shown in **Fig. 9-11**. Steroid hormones are classified according to the physiological responses they evoke:

1. The **glucocorticoids**, such as **cortisol** (a C_{21} compound), affect carbohydrate, protein, and lipid metabolism and influence a wide variety of other vital functions, including inflammatory reactions and the capacity to cope with stress.
2. **Aldosterone** and other **mineralocorticoids** regulate the excretion of salt and water by the kidneys.
3. The **androgens** and **estrogens** affect sexual development and function. **Testosterone**, a C_{19} compound, is the prototypic androgen (male sex hormone), whereas **β-estradiol**, a C_{18} compound, is an estrogen (female sex hormone).

Glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids are synthesized by the cortex (outer layer) of the adrenal gland. Both androgens and estrogens are synthesized by testes and ovaries (although androgens predominate in testes and estrogens

Cortisol (hydrocortisone)
(a glucocorticoid)

Testosterone
(an androgen)

Aldosterone
(a mineralocorticoid)

β-Estradiol
(an estrogen)

FIG. 9-11 **Some representative steroid hormones.**

predominate in ovaries) and, to a lesser extent, by the adrenal cortex. Because steroid hormones are water insoluble, they bind to proteins for transport through the blood to their target tissues.

Impaired adrenocortical function, either through disease or trauma, results in **Addison's disease**, which is characterized by **hypoglycemia** (decreased amounts of glucose in the blood), muscle weakness, Na^+ loss, K^+ retention, impaired cardiac function, and greatly increased susceptibility to stress. The victim, unless treated by the administration of glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids, slowly languishes and dies without any particular pain or distress. Conversely, adrenocortical hyperfunction, which is often caused by a tumor of the adrenal cortex, results in **Cushing's syndrome**, which is characterized by fatigue, **hyperglycemia** (increased amount of glucose in the blood), **edema** (water retention), and a redistribution of body fat to yield a characteristic "moon face."

Vitamin D Regulates Ca^{2+} Metabolism. The various forms of **vitamin D**, which are really hormones, are sterol derivatives in which the steroid B ring is disrupted between C9 and C10:

R = X 7-Dehydrocholesterol
R = Y Ergosterol

R = X Vitamin D_3 (cholecalciferol)
R = Y Vitamin D_2 (ergocalciferol)

1α,25-Dihydroxycholecalciferol

Vitamin D_2 (ergocalciferol) is nonenzymatically formed in the skin of animals through the photolytic action of UV light on the plant sterol **ergosterol**, a common milk additive, whereas the closely related **vitamin D_3 (cholecalciferol)** is similarly derived from **7-dehydrocholesterol** (hence the saying that sunlight provides vitamin D).

Vitamins D_2 and D_3 are inactive; the active forms are produced through their enzymatic hydroxylation (addition of an OH group) carried out by the liver (at C25) and by the kidney (at C1) to yield **1α,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol** *(at left)*. Active vitamin D increases serum $[Ca^{2+}]$ by promoting the intestinal absorption of dietary Ca^{2+}. This increases the deposition of Ca^{2+} in bones and teeth.

Vitamin D deficiency in children produces **rickets**, a disease characterized by stunted growth and deformed bones caused by insufficient bone mineralization. Although rickets was first described in 1645, it was not until the early twentieth century that eating animal fats, particularly fish liver oils, was shown to prevent this deficiency disease. Rickets can also be prevented by exposing children to sunlight or just UV light in the wavelength range 230 to 313 nm, regardless of their diets.

Since vitamin D is water insoluble, it can accumulate in fatty tissues. Excessive intake of vitamin D over long periods results in **vitamin D intoxication**. The consequent high serum $[Ca^{2+}]$ results in aberrant calcification of soft tissues and in the development of kidney stones, which can cause kidney failure. The observation that the level of skin pigmentation in indigenous human populations tends to increase with their proximity to the equator is explained by the hypothesis that skin pigmentation functions to prevent vitamin D intoxication by filtering out excessive solar radiation.

F Other Lipids Perform a Variety of Metabolic Roles

In addition to the well-characterized lipids that are found in large amounts in cellular membranes, many organisms synthesize compounds that are not membrane components but are classified as lipids on the basis of their physical properties. For example, lipids occur in the waxy coatings of plants, where they protect cells from desiccation by creating a water-impermeable barrier.

Isoprenoids Are Built from Five-Carbon Units. Among the compounds that are not structural components of membranes—although they are soluble in the lipid bilayer—are the **isoprenoids**, which are built from five-carbon units with the same carbon skeleton as **isoprene**.

Isoprene

For example, the isoprenoid **ubiquinone** (also known as **coenzyme Q**) is reversibly reduced and oxidized in the mitochondrial membrane (its activity is described in more detail in Section 18-2C). Mammalian ubiquinone consists of 10 isoprenoid units.

Coenzyme Q (CoQ) or ubiquinone

The plant kingdom is rich in isoprenoid compounds, which serve as pigments, molecular signals (hormones and pheromones), and defensive agents. Indeed, over 50,000 isoprenoids (also known as **terpenoids**), which are mostly of plant, fungal, and bacterial origin, have been characterized. During the course of evolution,

vertebrate metabolism has co-opted several of these compounds for other purposes. Some of these compounds (e.g., vitamin D) are known as **fat-soluble vitamins** (**vitamins** are organic substances that an animal requires in small amounts but cannot synthesize and hence must acquire in its diet).

Vitamin A, or **retinol**, is derived mainly from plant products such as **β-carotene** [a red pigment that is present in green vegetables as well as in carrots (after which it is named) and tomatoes; Section 19-1B]. Retinol is oxidized to its corresponding aldehyde, **retinal** *(at right),* which functions as the eye's photoreceptor at low light intensities. Light causes the retinal to isomerize, triggering, via a complex signaling pathway, an impulse through the optic nerve. A severe deficiency of vitamin A can lead to blindness. Retinoic acid also has hormonelike properties in that it stimulates tissue repair. It is used to treat severe acne and skin ulcers and is also used cosmetically to eliminate wrinkles.

X = CH_2OH **Retinol (vitamin A)**
X = CHO **Retinal**

Vitamin K is a lipid synthesized by plants (as **phylloquinone**) and bacteria (as **menaquinone**):

Phylloquinone (vitamin K_1)

Menaquinone (vitamin K_2)

About half of the daily requirement for humans is supplied by intestinal bacteria. Vitamin K participates in the carboxylation of Glu residues in some of the proteins involved in blood clotting (vitamin K is named for the Danish word *Koagulation*). Vitamin K deficiency prevents this carboxylation, and the resulting inactive clotting proteins lead to excessive bleeding. Compounds that interfere with vitamin K function are widely used as anti-clotting drugs (e.g., to prevent clot formation after surgery), as well as being the active ingredients in some rodent poisons.

Vitamin E is actually a group of compounds whose most abundant member is **α-tocopherol:**

α-Tocopherol (vitamin E)

This highly hydrophobic molecule is incorporated into cell membranes, where it functions as an antioxidant that prevents oxidative damage to membrane proteins and lipids. A deficiency of vitamin E elicits a variety of nonspecific symptoms, which makes the deficiency difficult to detect. The popularity of vitamin E supplements rests on the hypothesis that vitamin E protects against oxidative damage to cells and hence reduces the effects of aging. However, clinical trials have shown that this is not the case and that excessive intake of vitamin E may even increase the risk of certain cancers.

Eicosanoids Are Derived from Arachidonic Acid. Other less common lipids are derived from relatively abundant membrane lipids. **Prostaglandins** (e.g.,

FIG. 9-12 Eicosanoids. Arachidonate is the precursor of prostaglandins (PG), prostacyclins, thromboxanes (Tx), and lipoxins (LX). Arachidonate also leads to leukotrienes. Although only a single example of each type of eicosanoid is shown, each has numerous physiologically significant derivatives, which are designated by letters and subscripts (e.g., **PGH_2** for **prostaglandin H_2**).

? What types of modifications convert arachidonate to the other eicosanoids shown here?

Fig. 9-12) were discovered in the 1930s by Ulf von Euler, who thought they were produced by the prostate gland. Prostaglandins and related compounds—**prostacyclins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes**, and **lipoxins**—are known collectively as **eicosanoids** because they are all C_{20} compounds (Greek: *eikosi,* twenty). *The eicosanoids act at very low concentrations and are involved in the production of pain and fever, and in the regulation of blood pressure, blood coagulation, and reproduction.* Unlike most other types of hormones, eicosanoids are not transported by the bloodstream to their sites of action but tend to act locally, close to the cells that produced them. In fact, most eicosanoids decompose within seconds or minutes, which limits their effects on nearby tissues. The synthesis of the eicosanoids is discussed in Section 20-6C.

In humans, the most important eicosanoid precursor is **arachidonic acid**, a C_{20} polyunsaturated fatty acid with four double bonds (Table 9-1). Arachidonate is stored in cell membranes as the C2 ester of **phosphatidylinositol** (Table 9-2) and other phospholipids. The fatty acid residue is released by the action of phospholipase A_2 (Fig. 9-5).

The specific products of arachidonate metabolism are tissue-dependent. For example, platelets produce thromboxanes almost exclusively, but endothelial cells that line the walls of blood vessels predominantly synthesize prostacyclins. Interestingly, thromboxanes stimulate vasoconstriction and platelet aggregation (which helps initiate blood clotting), while prostacyclins elicit the opposite effects. Thus, the two substances act in opposition to maintain a balance in the cardiovascular system.

CHECKPOINT

- How do lipids differ from the three other major classes of biological molecules?
- Explain the trend in melting point with increasing fatty acid chain length. Explain the trend with increasing number of double bonds.
- Explain why fats contain ~38 kJ of energy per gram, whereas carbohydrates contain ~17 kJ per gram.
- Summarize the structures and physical properties of fatty acids, triacylglycerols, glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids, and steroids.
- Which lipids can be considered to be glycolipids? Phospholipids? Which are ionic?
- Summarize the functions of steroids and eicosanoids.

FIG. 9-13 Aggregates of single-tailed lipids. The tapered van der Waals envelope of these lipids (*a*) permits them to pack efficiently to form a spheroidal micelle (*b*). The diameter of the micelles depends on the length of the tails. Spheroidal micelles composed of many more lipid molecules than the optimal number (*c*) would have an unfavorable water-filled center (*blue*). Such micelles could flatten out to collapse the hollow center, but as these ellipsoidal micelles become elongated (*d*), they also develop water-filled spaces.

? **Give an example of a single-tailed lipid.**

2 Lipid Bilayers

KEY CONCEPTS

- Certain amphiphilic molecules form bilayers.
- The bilayer is a fluid structure in which lipids rapidly diffuse laterally.

In living systems, lipids are seldom found as free molecules but instead associate with other molecules, usually other lipids. In this section, we discuss how lipids aggregate to form micelles and bilayers. We are concerned with the physical properties of lipid bilayers because these aggregates form the structural basis for biological membranes.

GATEWAY CONCEPT: The Hydrophobic Effect
The hydrophobic tails of amphiphilic lipids do not attract each other (except when they are very closely packed together). They are driven to associate with each other by the hydrophobic effect—a consequence of the surrounding water molecules maximizing their entropy.

A Bilayer Formation Is Driven by the Hydrophobic Effect

In aqueous solutions, amphiphilic molecules such as soaps and detergents form micelles (globular aggregates whose hydrocarbon groups are out of contact with water; Section 2-1C). This molecular arrangement eliminates unfavorable contacts between water and the hydrophobic tails of the amphiphiles and yet permits the solvation of the polar head groups.

The approximate size and shape of a micelle can be predicted from geometrical considerations. Single-tailed amphiphiles, such as soap anions, form spheroidal or ellipsoidal micelles because of their tapered shapes (their hydrated head groups are wider than their tails; Fig. 9-13*a*,*b*). The number of molecules in such a micelle depends on the amphiphile, but for many substances it is on the order of several hundred. Too few lipid molecules would expose the hydrophobic core of the micelle to water, whereas too many would give the micelle an energetically unfavorable hollow center (Fig. 9-13*c*). Of course, a large micelle could flatten out to eliminate this hollow center, but the resulting decrease of curvature at the flattened surfaces would also generate empty spaces (Fig. 9-13*d*).

The two hydrocarbon tails of glycerophospholipids and sphingolipids give these amphiphiles a somewhat rectangular cross section (Fig. 9-14*a*). The steric requirements of packing such molecules together yield large disklike micelles (Fig. 9-14*b*) that are really extended bimolecular leaflets. These **lipid bilayers** are ~60 Å thick, as measured by electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction techniques, the value expected for more or less fully extended hydrocarbon tails.

FIG. 9-14 Bilayer formation by phospholipids. The cylindrical van der Waals envelope of these lipids (*a*) causes them to form extended disklike micelles (*b*) that are better described as lipid bilayers.

Courtesy of Walther Stoeckenius, University of California at San Francisco

FIG. 9-15 Electron micrograph of a liposome. Its wall, as the accompanying diagram indicates, consists of a lipid bilayer.

? Explain why solutes inside the liposome do not diffuse out of the liposome.

A suspension of phospholipids (glycerophospholipids or sphingomyelins) can form **liposomes**—closed, self-sealing solvent-filled vesicles that are bounded by only a single bilayer (**Fig. 9-15**). They typically have diameters of several hundred angstroms and, in a given preparation, are rather uniform in size. Once formed, liposomes are quite stable and can be purified by dialysis, gel filtration chromatography, or centrifugation. Liposomes whose internal environment differs from the surrounding solution can therefore be readily prepared. Liposomes serve as models of biological membranes and also hold promise as vehicles for drug delivery since they are absorbed by many cells through fusion with the plasma membrane.

B Lipid Bilayers Have Fluidlike Properties

*The transfer of a lipid molecule across a bilayer (**Fig. 9-16a**), a process termed **transverse** diffusion or a **flip-flop**, is an extremely rare event.* This is because a flip-flop requires the hydrated, polar head group of the lipid to pass through the anhydrous hydrocarbon core of the bilayer. The flip-flop rates of phospholipids have half-times of several days or more. In contrast to their low flip-flop rates, *lipids are highly mobile in the plane of the bilayer* (**lateral diffusion**; **Fig. 9-16b**). It has been estimated that lipids in a membrane can diffuse the 1-μm length of a bacterial cell in ~1 s. Because of the mobilities of the lipids, the lipid bilayer can be considered to be a two-dimensional fluid.

The interior of the lipid bilayer is in constant motion due to rotations around the C—C bonds of the lipid tails. Various physical measurements suggest that the interior of the bilayer has the viscosity of light machine oil. This feature of the bilayer core is evident in **molecular dynamics simulations**, in which the time-dependent positions of atoms are predicted from calculations of the forces acting on them (**Fig. 9-17**). The viscosity of the bilayer increases dramatically closer to

FIG. 9-16 Phospholipid diffusion in a lipid bilayer. (*a*) Transverse diffusion (a flip-flop) is defined as the transfer of a phospholipid molecule from one bilayer leaflet to the other. (*b*) Lateral diffusion is defined as the pairwise exchange of neighboring phospholipid molecules in the same bilayer leaflet.

Courtesy of Richard Pastor and Richard Venable, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland

FIG. 9-17 Model (snapshot) of a lipid bilayer at an instant in time. The conformations of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine molecules in a bilayer surrounded by water were modeled by computer. Atom colors are chain and glycerol C gray except terminal methyl C yellow, ester O red, phosphate P and O green, and choline C and N magenta. Water molecules are represented by translucent blue spheres (those near the bilayer appear dark because they overlap head group atoms).

? If hexane molecules were added to this system, where would they be located?

FIG. 9-18 Phase transition in a lipid bilayer. (*a*) Above the transition temperature, both the lipid molecules as a whole and their nonpolar tails are highly mobile in the plane of the bilayer. (*b*) Below the transition temperature, the lipid molecules form a much more orderly array to yield a gel-like solid. [After Robertson, R.N., *The Lively Membranes,* pp. 69–70, Cambridge University Press (1983).]

? How would changes in fatty acid chain length and degree of saturation affect the bilayer's transition temperature?

the lipid head groups, whose rotation is limited and whose lateral mobility is more constrained by interactions between other polar or charged head groups.

Note that the hydrophobic tails of the lipids shown in Fig. 9-17 are not stiffly regimented as Fig. 9-16 might suggest, but instead bend and interdigitate. A typical biological membrane includes many different lipid molecules, some of whose tails are of different lengths or are kinked due to the presence of double bonds. Under physiological conditions, highly mobile chains fill any gaps that might form between lipids in the bilayer interior.

The model bilayer shown in Fig. 9-17 indicates that the phospholipids bob up and down to some degree. This is also the case in naturally occurring membranes, which contain a variety of different lipid head groups that must nestle among one another. The polar nature of the outer surface of the bilayer extends from the head groups to the carbonyl groups of the ester and amide bonds that link the fatty acyl chains. Consequently, water molecules penetrate a lipid bilayer to a depth of up to 15 Å, avoiding only the central ~30 Å hydrocarbon core.

The Fluidity of a Lipid Bilayer Is Temperature-Dependent. *As a lipid bilayer cools below a characteristic* **transition temperature**, *it undergoes a sort of phase change in which it becomes a gel-like solid; that is, it loses its fluidity* (**Fig. 9-18**). Above the transition temperature, the highly mobile lipids are in a state known as a **liquid crystal** because they are ordered in some directions but not in others. The bilayer is thicker in the gel state than in the liquid crystal state due to the stiffening of the hydrocarbon tails at lower temperatures.

The transition temperature of a bilayer increases with the chain length and the degree of saturation of its component fatty acid residues for the same reasons that the melting points of fatty acids increase with these quantities. The transition temperatures of most biological membranes are in the range 10 to 40°C. Bacteria and cold-blooded animals such as fish modify (through lipid synthesis and degradation) the fatty acid compositions of their membrane lipids with ambient temperature so as to maintain a constant level of fluidity. Thus, the fluidity of biological membranes is one of their important physiological attributes.

Cholesterol, which by itself does not form a bilayer, decreases membrane fluidity because its rigid steroid ring system interferes with the motions of the fatty acid side chains in other membrane lipids. It also broadens the temperature range of the phase transition. This is because cholesterol inhibits the ordering of fatty acid side chains by fitting in between them. Thus, cholesterol functions as a kind of membrane plasticizer.

CHECKPOINT

- Why do glycerophospholipids and sphingolipids—but not fatty acids—form bilayers?
- Explain why lateral diffusion of membrane lipids is faster than transverse diffusion.
- What factors influence the fluidity of a bilayer?

3 Membrane Proteins

KEY CONCEPTS

- Integral membrane proteins contain a transmembrane structure consisting of α helices or a β barrel with a hydrophobic surface.
- Lipid-linked proteins have a covalently attached prenyl group, fatty acyl group, or glycosylphosphatidylinositol group.
- Peripheral membrane proteins interact noncovalently with proteins or lipids at the membrane surface.

Biological membranes contain proteins as well as lipids. The exact lipid and protein components and the ratio of protein to lipid varies with the identity of the membrane. For example, the lipid-rich myelinated membranes that surround and insulate certain nerve axons (Fig. 9-8) are only 19% protein by mass, whereas the protein-rich inner membrane of mitochondria, which mediates numerous chemical reactions, is 76% protein by mass. Eukaryotic plasma membranes are typically ~50% protein by mass.

Membrane proteins catalyze chemical reactions, mediate the flow of nutrients and wastes across the membrane, and participate in relaying information about the extracellular environment to various intracellular components. Such proteins carry out their functions in association with the lipid bilayer. They must therefore interact to some degree with the hydrophobic core and/or the polar surface of the bilayer. In this section, we examine the structures of some membrane proteins, which are classified by their mode of interaction with the membrane.

A Integral Membrane Proteins Interact with Hydrophobic Lipids

Integral or **intrinsic proteins** (Fig. 9-19) associate tightly with membranes through hydrophobic interactions and can be separated from membranes only by treatment with agents that disrupt membranes. For example, detergents such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (Section 5-2D) solubilize membrane proteins by replacing the membrane lipids that normally surround the protein. The hydrophobic portions of the detergent molecules coat the hydrophobic regions of the protein, and the polar head groups render the detergent–protein complex soluble in water. Chaotropic agents such as guanidinium ion and urea (Section 6-4B) disrupt water structure, thereby reducing the hydrophobic effect, the primary force stabilizing the association of the protein with the membrane. Some integral proteins bind lipids so tenaciously that they can be freed from them only under denaturing conditions.

Once they have been solubilized, integral proteins can be purified by many of the protein fractionation methods described in Section 5-2. Since these proteins tend to aggregate and precipitate in aqueous solution, their solubility frequently requires the presence of detergents or water-miscible organic solvents such as butanol or glycerol. Although membrane proteins comprise ~30% of all proteins, the consequent difficulty of crystallizing them has limited the number of membrane protein X-ray structures to 2.5% of all known protein structures.

FIG. 9-19 X-Ray structure of the integral membrane protein aquaporin-0 (AQPO) in association with lipids. The protein is represented by its surface diagram, which is colored according to charge (red negative, blue positive, and white uncharged). Tightly bound molecules of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine are drawn in space-filling form with C green, O red, and P orange. Note how the lipid tails closely conform to the nonpolar surface of the protein, thereby solvating it. The arrangement of the two rows of lipid molecules, with phosphate–phosphate distances of ~35 Å, matches the dimensions of a lipid bilayer. [Based on an electron crystallographic structure by Stephen Harrison and Thomas Walz, Harvard Medical School. PDBid 2B6O.]

Integral Proteins Are Asymmetrically Oriented Amphiphiles. *Integral proteins are amphiphiles; the protein segments immersed in a membrane's nonpolar interior have predominantly hydrophobic surface residues, whereas those portions that extend into the aqueous environment are by and large sheathed with polar residues.* This was first demonstrated through **surface labeling**, a technique employing agents that react with proteins but cannot penetrate membranes. For example, the extracellular domain of an integral protein binds antibodies elicited against it, but its cytoplasmic domain will do so only if the membrane has been ruptured. Membrane-impermeable protein-specific reagents that are fluorescent or radioactively labeled can be similarly employed. Alternatively, proteases, which digest only the solvent-exposed portions of an integral protein, may be used to identify the membrane-immersed portions of the protein. These techniques revealed, for example, that the erythrocyte membrane protein **glycophorin A** has three domains (**Fig. 9-20**): (1) a 72-residue externally located N-terminal domain that bears 16 carbohydrate chains; (2) a 19-residue sequence, consisting almost entirely of hydrophobic residues, that spans the erythrocyte cell membrane; and (3) a 40-residue cytoplasmic C-terminal domain that has a high proportion of charged and polar residues. Thus, glycophorin A is a **transmembrane (TM) protein**; that is, it completely spans the membrane.

Studies of a variety of biological membranes have established that *biological membranes are asymmetric in that a particular membrane protein is invariably located on only one particular face of a membrane, or in the case of a transmembrane protein, oriented in only one direction with respect to the membrane.* However, no protein is known to be completely buried in a membrane; that is, all membrane-associated proteins are at least partially exposed to the aqueous environment.

FIG. 9-20 Human erythrocyte glycophorin A. The protein bears 15 *O*-linked oligosaccharides (*green diamonds*) and one that is *N*-linked (*dark green hexagon*) on its extracellular domain. The predominant sequence of the *O*-linked oligosaccharides is also shown (NeuNAc = *N*-acetylneuraminic acid). The protein's transmembrane portion (*brown and purple*) consists of 19 sequential predominantly hydrophobic residues. Its C-terminal portion, which is located on the membrane's cytoplasmic face, is rich in anionic (*pink*), cationic (*blue*), and polar (*blue-gray*) residues. There are two common genetic variants of glycophorin A: Glycophorin A^M has Ser and Gly at positions 1 and 5, whereas glycophorin A^N has Leu and Glu at these positions. [After Marchesi, V.T., *Semin. Hematol.* **16,** 8 (1979).]

FIG. 9-21 The identification of transmembrane helices by hydropathy plots. The average of the hydropathy indices of the amino acid residues in a sliding window of defined length is plotted against the position of the first amino acid in the window. A positive value indicates that the peptide segment in the window is hydrophobic; that is, its transfer to water would require an input of free energy. Segments of >20 hydrophobic residues (sufficient for an α helix to span a bilayer) are likely to form TM helices. The plots here are for (*a*) glycophorin A and (*b*) bacteriorhodopsin. The bar above each plot and the square brackets indicate the experimentally determined positions of the TM helices in the corresponding protein. Note that glycophorin A has only one TM helix, whereas bacteriorhodopsin has seven TM helices. [After a drawing by Alberts, B., Johnson, A., Lewis, J., Morgan, D., Raff, M., Roberts, K., and Walter, P., *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (6th ed.). *p.* 579 (2015).

Transmembrane Proteins May Contain α Helices. For a polypeptide chain to penetrate or span the lipid bilayer, it must have hydrophobic side chains that contact the lipid tails and it must shield its polar backbone groups. This second requirement is met by the formation of secondary structure that satisfies the hydrogen-bonding capabilities of the polypeptide backbone. Consequently, all known TM segments of integral membrane proteins consist of either α helices or β sheets. For example, glycophorin A's 19-residue TM sequence, as NMR studies indicate, forms an α helix. The existence of such TM helices can be predicted with reasonable reliability by plotting the average hydropathy index (e.g., Table 6-3) in a short (10–20 residue) segment of a polypeptide versus each segment's first amino acid (Fig. 9-21). Methods for predicting the positions of TM α helices are useful because of the difficulty in crystallizing integral membrane proteins.

Nigel Unwin and Richard Henderson used **electron crystallography** to determine the structure of the integral membrane protein **bacteriorhodopsin** (Box 9-2). This 247-residue homotrimeric protein, which is produced by the halophilic (salt-loving) archaebacterium *Halobacterium salinarum* (it grows best in 4.3 M NaCl), is a light-driven proton pump: It generates a proton concentration gradient across the cell membrane that powers ATP synthesis by a mechanism discussed in Section 18-3B. A covalently bound retinal (Section 9-1F) is the protein's light-absorbing group. Bacteriorhodopsin consists largely of a bundle of seven ~25-residue α-helical rods that span the lipid bilayer in directions almost perpendicular to the bilayer plane (Fig. 9-22). As expected,

FIG. 9-22 The structure of bacteriorhodopsin. The protein is shown in ribbon form as viewed from within the membrane plane and colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). Its covalently bound retinal is drawn in stick form (*magenta*). [Based on an X-ray structure by Nikolaus Grigorieff and Richard Henderson, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 2BRD.]

? Draw lines to indicate where the surfaces of the membrane would be located.

the amino acid side chains that contact the lipid tails are highly hydrophobic (Fig. 9-21*b*). Successive membrane-spanning helices are connected in head-to-tail fashion by hydrophilic loops of varying size. This arrangement places the protein's charged residues near the surfaces of the membrane in contact with the aqueous environment.

Hydrophobic effects, as we saw in Section 6-4A, are the dominant forces stabilizing the three-dimensional structures of water-soluble globular proteins. However, since the TM regions of integral membrane proteins are immersed in nonpolar environments, what stabilizes their structures? Analysis of integral protein structures indicates that their interior residues have hydrophobicities comparable to those of water-soluble proteins. However, the membrane-exposed residues of these proteins, on average, are even more hydrophobic than their interior residues. Evidently, *the structures of both integral and water-soluble proteins are stabilized by the exclusion of their interior residues from the surrounding solvent, but in the case of integral proteins, the solvent is the*

Box 9-2 Pathways of Discovery

Richard Henderson and the Structure of Bacteriorhodopsin

Richard Henderson (1945–) Richard Henderson, like a number of other pioneering structural biologists, began his career as a physicist. He turned his attention to membrane proteins because of their importance in cellular metabolic, transport, and signaling phenomena. Unlike globular proteins, which are soluble in aqueous solution from which they can often be crystallized, integral membrane proteins aggregate in aqueous solution and hence can be kept in solution only by the presence of a suitable detergent. In spite of this, such solubilized proteins rarely crystallize in a manner suitable for X-ray analysis (and none had been made to do so at the time that Henderson began his studies). To circumvent this obstacle, Henderson adapted the technique of electron crystallography to macromolecules, using the membrane protein bacteriorhodopsin as his subject.

Bacteriorhodopsin was discovered in 1967, and its function as a proton pump was described shortly thereafter. The protein is synthesized by halophilic archaebacteria such as *H. salinarum* (formerly known as *H. halobium*). In addition to bacteriorhodopsin, the archaeal rhodopsin family includes chloride-pumping and sensory proteins. Similar proteins have also been identified in eubacteria and in unicellular eukaryotes. What made bacteriorhodopsin attractive as a research subject is its relatively small size (248 residues), its stability, and—most importantly—its unusual proclivity to form ordered two-dimensional arrays in the bacterial cell membrane. In *H. salinarum,* such arrays, which occur as 0.5-μm-wide patches, are known as purple membranes due to the color of the protein's bound retinal molecule. Each purple membrane patch, which is essentially a two-dimensional crystal, consists of 75% bacteriorhodopsin and 25% lipid.

At the time that Henderson began his structural studies of bacteriorhodopsin, the X-ray structures of only around a dozen different globular proteins had been reported. Henderson, working with Nigel Unwin, adapted the principles of X-ray crystallography to the two-dimensional bacteriorhodopsin crystals by measuring the diffraction intensities generated by the electron beam (Section 6-2A) from an electron microscope impinging on a purple membrane patch. It was necessary to use an electron beam rather than X-rays because the electron microscope can focus its electron beam on the microscopically small purple membrane patches. Nevertheless, only very low electron beam intensities could be used because otherwise the resulting radiation damage would destroy the purple membrane. Consequently, to obtain diffraction data of sufficiently high signal-to-noise ratio, the diffraction patterns of around one hundred or more purple membrane samples had to be averaged.

Working at the limits of the available technology, Henderson and Unwin, in 1975, published a low-resolution model for the structure of bacteriorhodopsin. This was the first glimpse of an integral membrane protein. Its seven transmembrane helices were clearly visible as columns of electron density that were approximately perpendicular to the plane of the membrane. However, to obtain three-dimensional diffraction data, a two-dimensional crystal must be systematically tilted relative to the electron beam. Mechanical limitations that prevented the sample from being tilted to the degree necessary to obtain a full three-dimensional data set as well as other technical difficulties therefore yielded a model that had a resolution of 7 Å in the plane of the membrane but only 14 Å in a perpendicular direction. Consequently, the polypeptide loops connecting the seven helices could not be discerned, nor were the protein's associated retinal molecule or any of its side chains visible. However, over the next 15 years, developments in electron microscopy, such as the use of better electron sources and liquid-helium temperatures to minimize radiation damage to the sample, permitted Henderson to extend the resolution of the bacteriorhodopsin structure to 3.5 Å in the plane of the membrane and 10 Å in the perpendicular direction. The resulting electron density map clearly revealed the positions of several bulky aromatic residues and the bound retinal and permitted the loops connecting the transmembrane helices to be visualized. Thus, electron crystallography has become a useful tool for determining the structures of a variety of proteins that can be induced to form two-dimensional arrays as well as those that form very thin three-dimensional crystals.

Henderson's groundbreaking work on bacteriorhodopsin made a seminal contribution to the growing body of biochemical, genetic, spectroscopic, and structural studies of bacteriorhodopsin. This information, together with more recent high-resolution X-ray structures derived from three-dimensional crystals of bacteriorhodopsin, obtained by crystallizing the protein in a lipid matrix, have led to a detailed understanding of the mechanism of the light-induced structural changes through which bacteriorhodopsin pumps protons out of the bacterial cell.

Henderson, R. and Unwin, P.N., Three-dimensional model of purple membrane obtained by electron microscopy, *Nature* **257,** 28–32 (1975).
Henderson, R., Baldwin, J.M., Ceska, T.A., Zemlin, F., Beckmann, E., and Downing, K.H., Model for the structure of bacteriorhodopsin based on high-resolution electron cryomicroscopy, *J. Mol. Biol.* **213,** 899–929 (1990).

lipid bilayer. In addition, the low polarity and anhydrous environments of transmembrane proteins are likely to strengthen their hydrogen bonds relative to those of soluble proteins.

Some Transmembrane Proteins Contain β Barrels. A protein segment immersed in the nonpolar interior of a membrane must fold so that it satisfies the hydrogen-bonding potential of its polypeptide backbone. An α helix can do so as can an antiparallel β sheet that rolls up to form a barrel (a β barrel; Section 6-2C). Transmembrane β barrels of known structure consist of 8 to 22 strands. The number of strands must be even to permit the β sheet to close up on itself with all strands antiparallel.

β Barrels occur in **porins**, which are channel-forming proteins in the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria (Section 8-3B). The outer membrane protects the bacteria from hostile environments while the porins permit the entry of small polar solutes such as nutrients. Porins also occur in eukaryotes in the outer membranes of mitochondria and chloroplasts (consistent with the descent of these organelles from free-living gram-negative bacteria; Section 1-2C).

Bacterial porins are monomers or trimers of identical 30- to 50-kD subunits. X-Ray structural studies show that most porin subunits consist largely of at least a 16-stranded antiparallel β barrel that forms a solvent-accessible central channel with a length of ~55 Å and a minimum diameter of ~7 Å (Fig. 9-23). As expected, the side chains of the protein's membrane-exposed surface are nonpolar,

FIG. 9-23 X-Ray structure of the *E. coli* OmpF porin. (*a*) A ribbon diagram of the 16-stranded monomer colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). Note that the N-terminal segment immediately follows the C-terminal segment to form what is essentially a continuous β-strand. (*b*) Ribbon diagram of the trimer embedded in its semitransparent surface and viewed along its 3-fold axis of symmetry from the cell's exterior, showing the pore through each subunit. Each subunit is differently colored. Adjacent β strands in adjoining subunits extend essentially perpendicularly to each other. (*c*) A space-filling model of the trimer viewed perpendicular to its 3-fold axis. N atoms are blue, O atoms are red, and C atoms are green, except those of Trp and Tyr side chains, which are white. These latter groups delimit an ~25-Å-high hydrophobic band (*scale at right*) that is immersed in the nonpolar portion of the bacterial outer membrane (with the cell's exterior at the tops of Parts *a* and *c*). [Based on an X-ray structure by Johan Jansonius, University of Basel, Switzerland. PDBid 1OPF.]

thereby forming an ~25-Å-high hydrophobic band encircling the trimer (Fig. 9-23*c*). This band is flanked by more polar aromatic side chains (Table 6-3) that form interfaces with the head groups of the lipid bilayer (Fig. 9-23*c*). In contrast, the side chains at the solvent-exposed surface of the protein, including those lining the walls of the aqueous channel, are polar. Possible mechanisms for the solute selectivity of porins are discussed in Section 10-2B.

B Lipid-Linked Proteins Are Anchored to the Bilayer

Some membrane-associated proteins contain covalently attached lipids that anchor the protein to the membrane. The lipid group, like any modifying group, may also mediate protein–protein interactions or modify the structure and activity of the protein to which it is attached. **Lipid-linked proteins** come in three varieties: prenylated proteins, fatty acylated proteins, and glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked proteins. A single protein may contain more than one covalently linked lipid group.

Prenylated proteins have covalently attached lipids that are built from isoprene units (Section 9-1F). The most common isoprenoid groups are the C_{15} **farnesyl** and C_{20} **geranylgeranyl** residues.

Farnesyl residue

Geranylgeranyl residue

The most common prenylation site in proteins is the C-terminal tetrapeptide C–X–X–Y, where C is Cys and X is often an aliphatic amino acid residue. Residue Y influences the type of prenylation: Proteins are farnesylated when Y is Ala, Met, or Ser and geranylgeranylated when Y is Leu. In both cases, the prenyl group is enzymatically linked to the Cys sulfur atom via a thioether linkage. The X–X–Y tripeptide is then proteolytically excised, and the newly exposed terminal carboxyl group is esterified with a methyl group, producing a C-terminus with the structure shown.

Prenylated proteins are mainly anchored to intracellular membranes and to the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane.

Two kinds of fatty acids, myristic acid and palmitic acid, are linked to membrane proteins. Myristic acid, a biologically rare saturated C_{14} fatty acid, is appended to a protein via an amide linkage to the α-amino group of an N-terminal Gly residue. **Myristoylation** is stable: The fatty acyl group remains attached to the protein throughout its lifetime. Myristoylated proteins are located in a number of subcellular compartments, including the cytosol, the endoplasmic reticulum, the inner face of the plasma membrane, and the nucleus.

FIG. 9-24 The core structure of the GPI anchors of proteins. R_1 and R_2 represent fatty acid residues whose identities vary with the protein. The tetrasaccharide may have a variety of attached sugar residues whose identities also vary.

In **palmitoylation**, the saturated C_{16} fatty acid palmitic acid is joined in thioester linkage to a specific Cys residue. Palmitoylated proteins occur almost exclusively on the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane, where many participate in transmembrane signaling. The palmitoyl group can be removed by the action of **palmitoyl thioesterases**, suggesting that reversible palmitoylation may regulate the association of the protein with the membrane and thereby modulate the signaling processes.

Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked proteins (GPI-linked proteins) occur in all eukaryotes but are particularly abundant in some parasitic protozoa, which contain relatively few membrane proteins anchored by transmembrane polypeptide segments. Like glycoproteins and glycolipids, GPI-linked proteins are located only on the exterior face of the plasma membrane.

The core structure of the GPI group consists of phosphatidylinositol (Table 9-2) glycosidically linked to a linear tetrasaccharide composed of three mannose residues and one glucosaminyl residue (**Fig. 9-24**). The mannose at the nonreducing end of this assembly forms a phosphodiester bond with a phosphoethanolamine residue that is amide-linked to the protein's C-terminal carboxyl group. The core tetrasaccharide is generally substituted with a variety of sugar residues that vary with the identity of the protein. There is likewise considerable diversity in the fatty acid residues of the phosphatidylinositol group.

C Peripheral Proteins Associate Loosely with Membranes

Peripheral or **extrinsic proteins**, unlike integral membrane proteins or lipid-linked proteins, can be dissociated from membranes by relatively mild procedures that leave the membrane intact, such as exposure to high ionic strength salt solutions or pH changes. Peripheral proteins do not bind lipid and, once purified, behave like water-soluble proteins. They associate with membranes by binding at their surfaces, most likely to certain lipids or integral proteins, through electrostatic and hydrogen-bonding interactions. Cytochrome *c* (Sections 5-4A, 6-2D, and 18-2E) is a peripheral membrane protein that is associated with the outer surface of the inner mitochondrial membrane. At physiological pH, cytochrome *c* is cationic and can interact with negatively charged phospholipids such as phosphatidylserine and phosphatidylglycerol.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain the differences between integral and peripheral membrane proteins.
- What are the two types of secondary structures that occur in transmembrane proteins?
- Describe the covalent modifications of lipid-linked proteins. Why might some of these modifications be reversible?

4 Membrane Structure and Assembly

KEY CONCEPTS

- The dynamic arrangement and interactions of membrane lipids and proteins are described by the fluid mosaic model.
- The membrane skeleton gives the cell shape, yet is flexible.
- Lipids are not distributed uniformly throughout a membrane and may form rafts.
- The secretory pathway describes the transmembrane passage of membrane and secreted proteins.
- Different types of coated vesicles transport proteins between cellular compartments.
- SNAREs bring membranes together and help mediate vesicle fusion.

Membranes were once thought to consist of a phospholipid bilayer sandwiched between two layers of unfolded polypeptide. This sandwich model, which is improbable on thermodynamic grounds, was further discredited by electron microscopic visualization of membranes and other experimental approaches. More recent studies have revealed insights into the fine structure of membranes, including a surprising degree of heterogeneity. In this section, we consider the arrangement of membrane proteins and lipids and examine some of the mechanisms by which these components move throughout the cell.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Membrane structure and the fluid mosaic model

A The Fluid Mosaic Model Accounts for Lateral Diffusion

The demonstrated fluidity of artificial lipid bilayers (Section 9-2B) suggests that biological membranes have similar properties. This idea was proposed in 1972 by S. Jonathan Singer and Garth Nicolson in their unifying theory of membrane structure known as the **fluid mosaic model**. In this model, integral proteins are visualized as "icebergs" floating in a two-dimensional lipid "sea" in a random or mosaic distribution (Fig. 9-25). A key element of the model is that integral proteins can diffuse laterally in the lipid matrix unless their movements are restricted by association with other cell components. This model of membrane fluidity

FIG. 9-25 Diagram of a plasma membrane. Integral proteins (*purple*) are embedded in a bilayer composed of phospholipids (*blue head groups attached to wiggly tails*) and cholesterol (*yellow*). The carbohydrate components (*green and brown beads*) of glycoproteins and glycolipids occur on only the external face of the membrane. Most membranes contain a higher proportion of protein than is depicted here.

explained the earlier experimental results of Michael Edidin, who fused cultured cells and observed the intermingling of their differently labeled cell-surface proteins (Fig. 9-26).

The rates of diffusion of proteins in membranes can be determined from measurements of **fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)**. In this technique, a **fluorophore** (fluorescent group) is specifically attached to a membrane component in an immobilized cell or in an artificial membrane system. An intense laser pulse focused on a very small area (~3 μm^2) destroys (bleaches) the fluorophore there (Fig. 9-27). The rate at which the bleached area recovers its fluorescence, as monitored by fluorescence microscopy, indicates the rate at which unbleached and bleached fluorophore-labeled molecules laterally diffuse into and out of the bleached area.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 9-26 Fusion of mouse and human cells. The accompanying photomicrographs (in square boxes) were taken through filters that allowed only red or green light to reach the camera. [Immunofluorescence photomicrographs courtesy of Michael Edidin, The Johns Hopkins University.] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **If Step 4 took place at 4°C instead of 37°C, what would happen?**

FIG. 9-27 The fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) technique. (*a*) An intense laser light pulse bleaches the fluorescent markers (*green*) from a small region of an immobilized cell that has a fluorophore-labeled membrane component. (*b*) The fluorescence of the bleached area recovers as the bleached molecules laterally diffuse out of it and intact fluorescent molecules diffuse into it. (*c*) The fluorescence recovery rate depends on the diffusion rate of the labeled molecule.

FRAP measurements demonstrate that membrane proteins vary in their lateral diffusion rates. Some 30 to 90% of these proteins are freely mobile; they diffuse at rates only an order of magnitude or so slower than those of the much smaller lipids, so they can diffuse the 20-μm length of a eukaryotic cell within an hour. Other proteins diffuse more slowly, and some are essentially immobile due to submembrane attachments.

B The Membrane Skeleton Helps Define Cell Shape

Studies of membrane structure and composition often make use of erythrocyte membranes, since these are relatively simple and easily isolated. A mature mammalian erythrocyte lacks organelles and carries out few metabolic processes; it is essentially a membranous bag of hemoglobin. Erythrocyte membranes can be obtained by osmotic lysis, which causes the cell contents to leak out. The resulting membranous particles are known as erythrocyte **ghosts** because, on return to physiological conditions, they reseal to form colorless particles that retain their original shape but are devoid of cytoplasm.

A normal erythrocyte's biconcave disklike shape (Fig. 7-17*a*) ensures the rapid diffusion of O_2 to its hemoglobin molecules by placing them no farther than 1 μm from the cell surface. However, the rim and the dimple regions of an erythrocyte do not occupy fixed positions on the cell membrane. This can be demonstrated by anchoring an erythrocyte to a microscope slide by a small portion of its surface and inducing the cell to move laterally with a gentle flow of buffer. A point originally on the rim of the erythrocyte will move across the dimple to the rim on the opposite side of the cell. Evidently, the membrane rolls across the cell while maintaining its shape, much like the tread of a tractor. This remarkable mechanical property of the erythrocyte membrane results from the presence of a submembranous network of proteins that function as a membrane "skeleton."

The fluidity and flexibility imparted to an erythrocyte by its membrane skeleton have important physiological consequences. A slurry of solid particles of a size and concentration equal to that of red cells in blood has flow characteristics approximating those of sand. Consequently, for blood to flow at all, much less for its erythrocytes to squeeze through capillary blood vessels smaller in diameter than they are, erythrocyte membranes, with their membrane skeletons, must be fluidlike and easily deformable.

FIG. 9-28 Structure of spectrin. (*a*) Model of an αβ dimer. Both of these anti-parallel polypeptides contain multiple 106-residue repeats, which are thought to form flexibly connected triple-helical bundles. Two of these heterodimers join, head to head, to form an $(\alpha\beta)_2$ heterotetramer. [After Speicher, D.W. and Marchesi, V. *Nature* **311,** 177 (1984).] (*b*) The X-ray structure of three consecutive repeats of chicken brain α-spectrin, colored in order from the N- to C-terminus, red, blue and green. Each of these repeats consists of an up–down–up triple-helical bundle in which the C-terminal helix of one repeat is continuous, via a 5-residue helical linker (*purple*), with the N-terminal helix of the following repeat. The helices within each triple-helical bundle wrap around each other in a gentle left-handed supercoil. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alfonso Mondragón, Northwestern University. PDBid 1U4Q.]

? What can you conclude about the amino acid composition of the coiled segments?

The protein **spectrin**, so called because it was discovered in erythrocyte ghosts, accounts for ~75% of the erythrocyte membrane skeleton. It is composed of two similar polypeptide chains, a 280-kD α subunit and a 246-kD β subunit, which each consist of repeating 106-residue segments that fold into triple-stranded α-helical coiled coils (Fig. 9-28). These large polypeptides are loosely intertwined to form a flexible wormlike αβ dimer that is ~1000 Å long. Two such heterodimers further associate in a head-to-head manner to form an $(\alpha\beta)_2$ tetramer. Spectrin is a homolog of dystrophin, the muscle protein that is defective in muscular dystrophy (Section 7-2A).

There are ~100,000 spectrin tetramers per cell, and they are cross-linked at both ends by attachments to other cytoskeletal proteins. Together, these proteins form a dense and irregular protein meshwork that underlies the erythrocyte plasma membrane (Fig. 9-29). A defect or deficiency in spectrin synthesis causes **hereditary spherocytosis**, in which erythrocytes are spheroidal and relatively fragile and inflexible. Individuals with the disease suffer from anemia due to erythrocyte lysis and the removal of spherocytic cells by the spleen (which normally functions to filter out aged and hence inflexible erythrocytes from the blood at the end of their ~120-day lifetimes).

Spectrin also associates with an 1880-residue protein known as **ankyrin**, which binds to an integral membrane ion channel protein. This attachment anchors the membrane skeleton to the membrane. Immunochemical studies have revealed spectrin-like and ankyrin-like proteins in a variety of tissues, in addition to erythrocytes. Ankyrin's N-terminal 798-residue segment consists almost entirely of 24 tandem ~33-residue repeats known as **ankyrin repeats** (Fig. 9-30), which also occur in a variety of other proteins. Each ankyrin repeat consists of two short (8- or 9-residue) antiparallel α helices followed by a long loop. These structures are arranged in a right-handed helical stack. The entire assembly forms an elongated concave surface that is postulated to bind various integral proteins as well as spectrin.

The interaction of membrane components with the underlying skeleton helps explain why integral membrane proteins exhibit different degrees of mobility within the membrane: Some integral proteins are firmly attached to elements of the cytoskeleton or are trapped within the spaces defined by those "fences." Other membrane proteins may be able to squeeze through gaps or "gates" between cytoskeletal components, whereas still other proteins can diffuse freely without interacting with the cytoskeleton at all (Fig. 9-31). Support for this **gates and fences model** comes from the finding that partial destruction of the cytoskeleton results in freer protein diffusion.

Courtesy of Daniel Branton, Harvard University

(*a*)

(*b*)

FIG. 9-29 The human erythrocyte membrane skeleton. (*a*) An electron micrograph of an erythrocyte membrane skeleton that has been stretched to an area 9 to 10 times greater than that of the native membrane. Stretching makes it possible to obtain clear images of the membrane skeleton, which in its native state is densely packed and irregularly flexed. Note the predominantly hexagonal network composed of spectrin tetramers. (*b*) A model of the erythrocyte membrane skeleton with an inset showing its relationship to the intact erythrocyte. The junctions between spectrin tetramers include actin and tropomyosin (Section 7-2) and **band 4.1 protein** (named after its position in an SDS-PAGE electrophoretogram). [After Goodman, S.R., Krebs, K.E., Whitfield, C.F., Riederer, B.M., and Zagen, I.S., *CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem.* **23,** 196 (1988).]

FIG. 9-30 The X-ray structure of human ankyrin repeats 13 to 24. The polypeptide is shown in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue,* repeat 13) to its C-terminus (*red,* repeat 24). [Based on an X-ray structure by Peter Michaely, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas. PDBid 1N11.]

FIG. 9-31 Model rationalizing the various mobilities of membrane proteins. Protein A, which interacts tightly with the underlying cytoskeleton, is immobile. Protein B is free to rotate within the confines of the cytoskeletal "fences." Protein C diffuses by traveling through "gates" in the cytoskeleton. The diffusion of some membrane proteins is not affected by the cytoskeleton. [After Edidin, M., *Trends Cell Biol.* **2,** 378 (1992).]

FIG. 9-32 Asymmetric distribution of membrane phospholipids in the human erythrocyte membrane. The phospholipid content is expressed as mol %. [After Rothman, J.E. and Lenard, J., *Science* **194,** 1744 (1977).]

C Membrane Lipids Are Distributed Asymmetrically

The lipid and protein components of membranes do not occur in equal proportions on the two sides of biological membranes. For example, *membrane glycoproteins and glycolipids are invariably oriented with their carbohydrate moieties facing the cell's exterior*. The asymmetric distribution of certain membrane lipids between the inner and outer leaflets of a membrane was first established through the use of phospholipases (Section 9-1C). Phospholipases cannot pass through membranes, so phospholipids on only the external surface of intact cells are susceptible to hydrolysis by these enzymes. Such studies reveal that lipids in biological membranes are asymmetrically distributed (e.g., Fig. 9-32). How does this asymmetry arise?

In eukaryotes, the enzymes that synthesize membrane lipids are mostly integral membrane proteins of the **endoplasmic reticulum** (**ER;** the interconnected membranous vesicles that occupy much of the cytosol; Fig. 9-33), whereas in prokaryotes, lipids are synthesized by integral membrane proteins in the plasma membrane. Hence, membrane lipids are fabricated on site. Eugene Kennedy and James Rothman demonstrated this to be the case in bacteria through the use of selective labeling. They gave growing bacteria a 1-minute pulse of $^{32}PO_4^{3-}$ in order to radioactively label the phosphoryl groups of only the newly synthesized phospholipids. Immediately afterward, they added **trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS)**, a membrane-impermeable reagent that combines with phosphatidylethanolamine (**PE;** Fig. 9-34). Analysis of the resulting doubly labeled membranes showed that none of the TNBS-labeled PE was radioactively labeled. This observation indicates that *newly made PE is synthesized on the cytoplasmic face of the membrane* (Fig. 9-35, *upper right*).

However, if an interval of only 3 minutes was allowed to elapse between the $^{32}PO_4^{3-}$ pulse and the TNBS addition, about half of the ^{32}P-labeled PE was also TNBS labeled (Fig. 9-35, *lower right*). This observation indicates that the flip-flop rate of PE in the bacterial membrane is ~100,000-fold greater than it is in bilayers consisting of only phospholipids (where the flip-flop rates have half-times of many days).

How do phospholipids synthesized on one side of the membrane reach its other side so quickly? Phospholipid flip-flops in bacteria as well as eukaryotes appear to be facilitated in two ways:

1. Membrane proteins known as **flippases** catalyze the flip-flops of specific phospholipids. These proteins tend to equilibrate the distribution of their corresponding phospholipids across a bilayer; that is, the net transport of a phospholipid is from the side of the bilayer with the higher concentration of the phospholipid to the opposite side. Such a process, as we will see in Section 10-1, is a form of **facilitated diffusion**.
2. Membrane proteins known as **phospholipid translocases** transport specific phospholipids across a bilayer in a process that is driven by ATP

© Omikron/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 9-33 Electron micrograph of endoplasmic reticulum. This membrane network is contiguous with the nuclear membrane. The so-called **smooth ER** is the site of synthesis of membrane lipids, and the **rough ER,** with its associated ribosomes, is the site of synthesis of membrane and secretory proteins.

FIG. 9-34 The reaction of TNBS with phosphatidylethanolamine.

hydrolysis. These proteins can transport certain phospholipids from the side of a bilayer that has the lower concentration of the phospholipid to the opposite side, thereby establishing a nonequilibrium distribution of the phospholipid. Such a process, as we will see in Section 10-3, is a form of **active transport**.

The observed distribution of phospholipids across membranes (e.g., Fig. 9-32) therefore appears to arise from the membrane orientations of the enzymes that

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 9-35 The location of lipid synthesis in a bacterial membrane. Newly synthesized PE was labeled by a 1-min pulse of $^{32}PO_4^{3-}$ (*yellow head groups*), and the PE on the cell surface was independently labeled by treatment with the membrane-impermeable reagent TNBS. When TNBS labeling (*red circles*) occurred immediately after the ^{32}P pulse, none of the ^{32}P-labeled PE was TNBS labeled (*upper right*), thereby indicating that the PE is synthesized on the cytoplasmic face of the membrane. If, however, there was even a few minutes' delay between the two labeling procedures, much of the TNBS-labeled PE in the external face of the membrane was also ^{32}P labeled (*lower right*). **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Would TNBS-labeled PE eventually appear on the intracellular face of the membrane?

synthesize phospholipids combined with the countervailing tendencies of ATP-dependent phospholipid translocases that generate asymmetric phospholipid distributions and flippases that equilibrate these distributions. The importance of these lipid transport systems is demonstrated by the observation that the presence of phosphatidylserine on the exteriors of many cells induces blood clotting (i.e., it is an indication of tissue damage) and, in erythrocytes, marks the cell for removal from the circulation.

In all cells, *new membranes are generated by the expansion of existing membranes.* In eukaryotic cells, lipids synthesized on the cytoplasmic face of the ER are transported to other parts of the cell by membranous vesicles that bud off from the ER and fuse with other cellular membranes (Section 9-4E). These vesicles also carry membrane proteins.

Lipid Rafts Are Membrane Subdomains. *Lipids and proteins in membranes can also be laterally organized.* Thus the plasma membranes of many eukaryotic cells have two or more distinct domains that have different functions. For example, the plasma membranes of epithelial cells (the cells lining body cavities and free surfaces) have an **apical domain**, which faces the lumen (interior) of the cavity and often has a specialized function (such as the absorption of nutrients in intestinal brush border cells), and a **basolateral domain**, which covers the remainder of the cell. These two domains, which do not intermix, have different compositions of both lipids and proteins.

In addition, the hundreds of different lipids and proteins within a given plasma membrane domain may not be uniformly mixed but instead often segregate to form **microdomains** that are enriched in certain lipids and proteins. This may result from specific interactions between integral membrane proteins and particular types of membrane lipids. Divalent metal ions, notably Ca^{2+}, which bind to negatively charged lipid head groups such as those of phosphatidylserine, may also cause clustering of these lipids.

One type of microdomain, termed a **lipid raft**, appears to consist of closely packed glycosphingolipids (which occur only in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane) and cholesterol. By themselves, glycosphingolipids cannot form bilayers because their large head groups prevent the requisite close packing of their predominantly saturated hydrophobic tails. Conversely, cholesterol by itself does not form a bilayer due to its small head group. It is therefore likely that *the glycosphingolipids in lipid rafts associate laterally via weak interactions between their carbohydrate head groups, and the voids between their tails are filled in by cholesterol.*

Owing to the close packing of their component lipids and the long, saturated sphingolipid tails, sphingolipid–cholesterol rafts have a more ordered or crystalline arrangement than other regions of the membrane and are more resistant to solubilization by detergents. The rafts may diffuse laterally within the membrane. Certain proteins preferentially associate with the rafts, including many GPI-linked proteins and some of the proteins that participate in transmembrane signaling processes (Chapter 13). This suggests that lipid rafts, which are probably present in all cell types, function as platforms for the assembly of complex intercellular signaling systems. Several viruses, including influenza virus, measles virus, Ebola virus, and HIV, localize to lipid rafts, which therefore appear to be the sites from which these viruses enter uninfected cells and bud from infected cells. It should be noted that lipid rafts are highly dynamic structures that rapidly exchange both proteins and lipids with their surrounding membrane as a consequence of the weak and transient interactions between membrane components.

D The Secretory Pathway Generates Secreted and Transmembrane Proteins

In contrast to membrane lipids, membrane proteins do not change their orientation in the membrane after they are synthesized. Membrane proteins, like all proteins, are ribosomally synthesized under the direction of messenger RNA

templates (translation is discussed in Chapter 27). The polypeptide grows from its N-terminus to its C-terminus by the stepwise addition of amino acid residues. In eukaryotes, ribosomes may be free in the cytosol or bound to the ER to form the rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER, so called because of the knobby appearance its bound ribosomes give it; Fig. 9-33). *Free ribosomes synthesize mostly soluble and mitochondrial proteins, whereas membrane-bound ribosomes manufacture transmembrane proteins and proteins destined for secretion, operation within the ER, and incorporation into* ***lysosomes*** (membranous vesicles containing a battery of hydrolytic enzymes that degrade and recycle cell components). The latter proteins initially appear in the ER. In prokaryotic cells, ribosomes associate with the plasma membrane during production of membrane-embedded and secreted proteins.

Secreted and Transmembrane Proteins Pass through the ER Membrane. How are RER-destined proteins differentiated from other proteins? And how do these large, relatively polar molecules pass through the RER membrane? In eukaryotes, these processes occur via the **secretory pathway**, which was first described by Günter Blobel, Cesar Milstein, and David Sabatini around 1975. Since ~25% of the various proteins synthesized by all types of cells are integral proteins and many others are secreted, *~40% of the various types of proteins that a cell synthesizes must be processed via the secretory pathway or some other protein targeting pathway.* Here we outline the secretory pathway, which is diagrammed in Fig. 9-36:

1. *All secreted, ER-resident, and lysosomal proteins, as well as many TM proteins, are synthesized with leading (N-terminal) 13- to 36-residue* ***signal peptides***. These signal peptides consist of a 6- to 15-residue hydrophobic core flanked by several relatively hydrophilic residues that usually include

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 9-36 The secretory pathway. This composite figure depicts the ribosomal synthesis, membrane insertion, and initial glycosylation of an integral protein. Details are given in the text. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **How would this diagram differ for a protein that is to be secreted from the cell?**

		Signal peptidase cleavage site
Bovine growth hormone	M M A A G P R T S L L L A F A L L C L P W T Q V V G	A F P
Bovine proalbumin	M K W V T F I S L L L L F S S A Y S	R G V
Human proinsulin	M A L W M R L L P L L A L L A L W G P D P A A A	F V N
Human interferon-γ	M K Y T S Y I L A F Q L C I Y L G S L G	C Y C
Human α-fibrinogen	M F S M R I V C L V L S V V G T A W T	A D S
Human IgG heavy chain	M E F G L S W L F L V A I L K G V Q C	E V Q
Rat amylase	M K F V L L L S L I G F C W A	Q Y D
Murine α-fetoprotein	M K W I T P A S L I L L L L H F A A S K	A L H
Chicken lysozyme	M R S L L I L V L C F L P L A A L G	K V F
Zea mays rein protein 22.1	M A T K I L A L L A L L A L L V S A T N A	F I I

FIG. 9-37 The N-terminal sequences of some eukaryotic preproteins. The hydrophobic cores (*tan*) of most signal peptides are preceded by basic residues (*blue*). [After Watson, M.E.E., *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12,** 5147–5156 (1984).]

one or more basic residues near the N-terminus (Fig. 9-37). Signal peptides otherwise have little sequence similarity. However, a variety of evidence indicates they form α helices in nonpolar environments.

2. When the signal peptide first protrudes beyond the ribosomal surface (when the polypeptide is at least ~40 residues long), the **signal recognition particle (SRP)**, a 325-kD complex of six different polypeptides and a 300-nucleotide RNA molecule, binds to both the signal peptide and the ribosome (Section 27-5B). At the same time, the SRP's bound **guanosine diphosphate** (**GDP;** the guanine analog of ADP) is replaced by **guanosine triphosphate** (**GTP;** the guanine analog of ATP). The resulting conformational change in the SRP causes the ribosome to arrest further polypeptide growth, thereby preventing the RER-destined protein from being released into the cytosol.
3. The SRP–ribosome complex diffuses to the RER surface, where it binds to the **SRP receptor** (SR; also called **docking protein**) in complex with the **translocon**, a protein pore in the ER membrane through which the growing polypeptide will be extruded. In forming the SR–translocon complex, the SR's bound GDP is replaced by GTP.
4. The SRP and SR stimulate each other to hydrolyze their bound GTP to GDP (which is energetically equivalent to ATP hydrolysis), resulting in conformational changes that cause them to dissociate from each other and from the ribosome–translocon complex. This permits the bound ribosome to resume polypeptide synthesis such that the growing polypeptide's N-terminus passes through the translocon into the lumen of the ER. Most ribosomal processes, as we will see in Section 27-4, are driven by GTP hydrolysis.
5. Shortly after the signal peptide enters the ER lumen, it is specifically cleaved from the growing polypeptide by a membrane-bound **signal peptidase** (polypeptide chains with their signal peptide still attached are known as **preproteins**; signal peptides are alternatively called **presequences**).
6. The nascent (growing) polypeptide starts to fold to its native conformation, a process that is facilitated by its interaction with the ER-resident chaperone protein Hsp70 (Section 6-5B). Enzymes in the ER lumen then initiate **posttranslational modification** of the polypeptide, such as the specific attachments of "core" carbohydrates to form glycoproteins (Section 8-3C) and the formation of disulfide bonds as facilitated by protein

disulfide isomerase (Section 6-5A). Once the protein has folded, it cannot be pulled back through the membrane. Secretory, ER-resident, and lysosomal proteins pass completely through the RER membrane into the lumen. TM proteins, in contrast, contain one or more hydrophobic ~22-residue **membrane anchor** sequences that remain embedded in the membrane.

7. When the synthesis of the polypeptide is completed, it is released from both the ribosome and the translocon. The ribosome detaches from the RER, and its two subunits dissociate.

A similar pathway functions in prokaryotes for the insertion of certain proteins into the cell membrane (whose exterior is equivalent to the ER lumen). Indeed, all forms of life yet tested have homologous SRPs, SRs, and translocons. Nevertheless, it should be noted that cells have several mechanisms for installing proteins in or transporting them through membranes. For example, cytoplasmically synthesized proteins that reside in the mitochondrion reach their destinations via mechanisms that are substantially different from that of the secretory pathway.

The Translocon Is a Multifunctional Transmembrane Pore. In 1975, Blobel postulated that protein transport through the RER membrane is mediated by an aqueous TM channel. However, it was not until 1991 that he was able to experimentally demonstrate its existence. These channels, now called translocons, enclose aqueous pores that completely span the ER membrane, as shown by linking nascent polypeptide chains to fluorescent dyes whose fluorescence is sensitive to the polarity of their environment. The channel-forming component of the translocon is a heterotrimeric protein named **Sec61** in mammals and **SecY** in prokaryotes. This protein is conserved throughout all kingdoms of life and hence is likely to have a similar structure and function in all organisms.

The X-ray structure of the SecY complex from the archaeon *Methanococcus jannaschii* reveals that the α, β, and γ subunits, respectively, have 10, 1, and 1 TM α helices (Fig. 9-38). The α subunit's TM helices are wrapped around an hourglass-shaped channel whose minimum diameter is ~3 Å. The channel is

FIG. 9-38 Structure and function of the *M. jannaschii* SecY complex. (*a*) X-Ray structure of the complex, with shading indicating the positions of membrane phospholipid head groups (*violet*) and hydrocarbon tails (*pink*). The α subunit of SecY is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*dark blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), the β subunit is magenta, and the γ subunit is purple. (*b*) View of SecY from the cytosol. The translocon's putative lateral gate is on the left between helices 2 and 7. (*c*) Model for the insertion of a TM helix into a membrane. The translocon (*blue*) is viewed as in Part *b*. A polypeptide chain (*yellow*) is shown bound in the translocon's pore during its translocation through the membrane, and a signal-anchor sequence (*red*) is shown passing through the translocon's lateral gate and being released into the membrane (*arrow*). [Parts *a* and *b* courtesy of Stephen Harrison and Tom Rapoport, Harvard Medical School. PDBid 1RH5. Part *c* after a drawing by Dobberstein, B. and Sinning, I., *Science* **303,** 320 (2004).]

blocked at its extracellular end by a short relatively hydrophilic helix (blue unnumbered helix in Figs. 9-38*a* and *b*). It is proposed that an incoming signal peptide pushes this helix aside and hence that the helix functions as a plug to prevent small molecules from leaking across the membrane in the absence of a translocating polypeptide. The maximum diameter of an extended polypeptide is ~12 Å and that of an α helix is ~14 Å. For SecY to function as a channel for translocating polypeptides, the central pore must expand, a structural change that would require relatively simple hingelike motions involving conserved Gly residues.

In addition to forming a conduit for soluble proteins to pass through the membrane, *the translocon must mediate the insertion of an integral protein's TM segments into the membrane*. The X-ray structure of SecY suggests that this occurs by the opening of the C-shaped α subunit, as is diagramed in Fig. 9-38*c*, to permit the lateral installation of the TM segment into the membrane.

The signal peptides of many TM proteins are not cleaved by signal peptidase but, instead, are inserted into the membrane. Such so-called ***signal-anchor sequences*** *may be oriented with either their N- or C-termini in the cytosol.* If the N-terminus is installed in the cytosol, then the polypeptide must have looped around before being inserted into the membrane. Moreover, for **polytopic** (multispanning) TM proteins such as the SecY α subunit itself, this must occur for each successive TM helix. Since it seems unlikely that the SecY channel could expand to simultaneously accommodate numerous TM helices, these helices are probably installed in the membrane one or two at a time. The mechanisms by which the translocon recognizes TM segments are not well understood. For example, the experimental deletion or insertion of a TM helix in a polytopic protein does not necessarily change the membrane orientations of the succeeding TM helices; when two successive TM helices have the same preferred orientation, one of them may be forced out of the membrane.

E Intracellular Vesicles Transport Proteins

Shortly after their synthesis in the rough ER, partially processed transmembrane, secretory, and lysosomal proteins appear in the Golgi apparatus (**Fig. 9-39**). This 0.5- to 1.0-μm-diameter organelle consists of a stack of three to six or more (depending on the species) flattened and functionally distinct membranous sacs known as **cisternae**, where further posttranslational processing, mainly glycosylation, occurs (Section 8-3C). The Golgi stack (**Fig. 9-40**) has two distinct faces, each composed of a network of interconnected membranous tubules: the **cis Golgi network**, which is opposite the ER and is the port through which proteins enter the Golgi apparatus; and the **trans Golgi network**, through which processed proteins exit to their final destinations. The intervening Golgi stack contains at least three different types of sacs, the **cis**, **medial**, and **trans cisternae**, each of which contains different sets of glycoprotein-processing enzymes.

© Biophoto Associates/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 9-39 Electron micrograph of the Golgi apparatus. In this array of flattened vesicles, newly synthesized transmembrane and secretory proteins undergo processing and sorting.

Proteins transit from one end of the Golgi stack to the other while being modified in a stepwise manner. The proteins are transported via two mechanisms:

1. They are conveyed between successive Golgi compartments in the cis to trans direction as cargo within membranous vesicles that bud off of one compartment and fuse with a successive compartment, a process known as forward or **anterograde transport**.
2. They are carried as passengers in Golgi compartments that transit the Golgi stack; that is, the cis cisternae eventually become trans cisternae, a process called **cisternal progression** or **maturation**. (Golgi-resident proteins may migrate backward by **retrograde transport** from one compartment to the preceding one via membranous vesicles.)

The cisternal progression mechanism has been clearly shown to occur, but the significance of the anterograde transport mechanism is as yet unclear. In any

FIG. 9-40 The posttranslational processing of proteins. Membrane, secretory, and lysosomal proteins are synthesized by RER-associated ribosomes (*gray dots; top*). As they are synthesized, the proteins (*red dots*) are either injected into the lumen of the ER or inserted into its membrane. After initial processing in the ER, the proteins are encapsulated in vesicles that bud off from the ER membrane and subsequently fuse with the cis Golgi network (*top*). The proteins are progressively processed in the cis, medial, and trans cisternae of the Golgi. Finally, in the trans Golgi network (*bottom*), the completed glycoproteins are sorted for delivery to their final destinations: the plasma membrane, **secretory vesicles,** or lysosomes, to which they are transported by yet other vesicles.

? List, in order, all the cellular compartments involved in synthesizing a secreted protein.

case, on reaching the trans Golgi network, the now mature proteins are sorted and sent to their final cellular destinations.

Membrane, Secretory, and Lysosomal Proteins Are Transported in Coated Vesicles. The vehicles in which proteins are transported between the RER, the different compartments of the Golgi apparatus, and their final destinations are known as **coated vesicles** (**Fig. 9-41**). This is because these 60- to 150-nm-diameter membranous sacs are initially encased on their outer (cytosolic) faces by specific proteins that act as flexible scaffolding in promoting vesicle formation. A vesicle buds off from its membrane of origin and later fuses to its target membrane. *This process preserves the orientation of the transmembrane*

Courtesy of Barbara Pearse, Medical Research Council, U.K.

Courtesy of Lelio Orci, University of Geneva, Switzerland

FIG. 9-41 Electron micrographs of coated vesicles. (*a*) Clathrin-coated vesicles. Note their polyhedral character. (*b*) COPI-coated vesicles. (*c*) COPII-coated vesicles. The inserts in Parts *b* and *c* show the respective vesicles at higher magnification.

FIG. 9-42 Fusion of a vesicle with the plasma membrane. The inside of the vesicle and the exterior of the cell are topologically equivalent. Fusion of the vesicle with the plasma membrane preserves the orientation of the integral proteins embedded in the vesicle bilayer because the same side of the protein is always immersed in the cytosol. Note that soluble proteins packaged inside a secretory vesicle that fuses with the plasma membrane would be released outside the cell.

protein (Fig. 9-42), because the lumens of the ER and the Golgi cisternae are topologically equivalent to the outside of the cell. This explains why the carbohydrate moieties of integral glycoproteins and the GPI anchors of GPI-linked proteins occur only on the external surfaces of plasma membranes.

The three known types of coated vesicles are characterized by their protein coats:

1. **Clathrin** (Fig. 9-41*a*), a protein that forms a polyhedral framework around vesicles that transport TM, GPI-linked, and secreted proteins from the Golgi to the plasma membrane (see below).
2. **COPI** protein (Fig. 9-41*b*; COP for *co*at *p*rotein), which forms what appears to be a fuzzy rather than a polyhedral coating about vesicles that carry out both the anterograde and retrograde transport of proteins between successive Golgi compartments. In addition, COPI-coated vesicles return escaped ER-resident proteins from the Golgi back to the ER (see below). The COPI protomer, which contains seven different subunits, is named **coatomer.**
3. **COPII** protein (Fig. 9-41*c*), which transports proteins from the ER to the Golgi. The COPII vesicle components are then returned to the ER by COPI-coated vesicles (the COPI vesicle components entering the ER are presumably recycled by COPII-coated vesicles). The COPII coat consists of two conserved protein heterodimers.

All of the above coated vesicles also bear receptors that bind the proteins being transported, as well as proteins that mediate the fusion of these vesicles with their target membranes (Section 9-4F).

Clathrin Forms Flexible Cages. Clathrin-coated vesicles are structurally better characterized than those coated with COPI or COPII. The clathrin network is built from proteins known as **triskelions** (Fig. 9-43), which consist of three heavy chains (190 kD) that each bind one of two homologous light chains (24–27 kD). The triskelions assemble to form polyhedral cages in which each vertex is the center (hub) of a triskelion and the ~150-Å-long edges are formed by the overlapping legs of four triskelions (Fig. 9-44). The clathrin light chains are not required for clathrin cage assembly. In fact, they inhibit heavy chain polymerization *in vitro,* suggesting that they play a regulatory role in clathrin cage formation in the cytosol.

A clathrin polyhedron, which has 12 pentagonal faces and a variable number of hexagonal faces, is the most parsimonious way of enclosing a spheroidal object in a polyhedral cage. The volume enclosed by a clathrin polyhedron increases with the number of hexagonal faces.

The triskelion legs, which have a total length of ~450 Å, exhibit considerable flexibility (Fig. 9-43). This is a functional necessity for the formation of different-sized vesicles as well as for the budding of a vesicle from a membrane surface, which requires a large change in its curvature. The clathrin heavy chains appear to flex mainly along a portion of the knee (Fig. 9-44*b*) between the proximal and distal segments that is free of contacts with other molecules in clathrin cages.

Courtesy of Daniel Branton, Harvard University

FIG. 9-43 An electron micrograph of triskelions. The variable orientations of their legs are indicative of their flexibility.

(*a*)

(*b*)

(*c*)

FIG. 9-44 Anatomy of clathrin-coated vesicles. (*a*) A cryo-EM–based image of a light chain–free clathrin cage from bovine brain at 7.9 Å resolution. The particle shown, a so-called hexagonal barrel, which has D_6 symmetry (the symmetry of a hexagonal prism), consists of 36 triskelions. Three of its interdigitated but symmetry unrelated triskelions are drawn in red, yellow, and green. (*b*) A cryo-EM–based image of a triskelion labeled with the names of its various segments. The N-terminus of each heavy chain occupies the terminal domain and its C-terminus is located in the vertex joining the three heavy chains to form the triskelion. (*c*) Diagrams of the three polyhedral structures that are formed when triskelions assemble into clathrin cages *in vitro.* The minicoat has tetrahedral (*T*) symmetry, the hexagonal barrel has D_6 symmetry, and the soccer ball has icosahedral (*I*) symmetry (symmetry is discussed in Section 6-3). These polyhedra consist of 28, 36, and 60 triskelions, respectively. The arrangement of one triskelion within the "hexagonal barrel" is indicated in blue. *In vivo,* clathrin forms membrane-enclosing polyhedral cages with a large range of different sizes (number of hexagons). The hexagonal barrel seen in Part *a* is only ~700 Å in diameter, whereas clathrin-coated membranous vesicles are typically ~1200 Å in diameter or larger. [Courtesy of Stephen Harrison, Tomas Kirchhausen, and Thomas Walz, Harvard Medical School.]

In addition to transporting membrane and secretory proteins between the Golgi apparatus and the plasma membrane, clathrin-coated vesicles participate in **endocytosis**. In this process (discussed in Section 20-1B), a portion of the plasma membrane invaginates to form a clathrin-coated vesicle that engulfs specific proteins from the extracellular medium and then transports them to intracellular destinations.

Proteins Are Directed to the Lysosome by Carbohydrate Recognition Markers. The signals that direct particular proteins to the various types of coated vesicles for transport between cellular compartments are not entirely understood. However, the trafficking of lysosomal proteins is known to depend on their oligosaccharides. A clue to the nature of this process was provided by the human hereditary defect known as **I-cell disease** (alternatively, **mucolipidosis II**) which, in homozygotes, is characterized by severe progressive psychomotor retardation, skeletal deformities, and death by age 10. The lysosomes in the connective tissue of I-cell disease victims contain large inclusions (after which the disease is named) of glycosaminoglycans and glycolipids as a result of the absence of several lysosomal hydrolases. These enzymes are synthesized on the RER with their correct amino acid sequences, but rather than being dispatched to the lysosomes are secreted into the extracellular medium. This misdirection results from the absence of a mannose-6-phosphate recognition marker on the carbohydrate moieties of these hydrolases because of a deficiency of an enzyme required for mannose phosphorylation of the lysosomal proteins. The mannose-6-phosphate residues are normally bound by a receptor in the coated vesicles that transport lysosomal hydrolases from the Golgi apparatus to the lysosomes. No doubt, other glycoproteins are directed to their intracellular destinations by similar carbohydrate markers.

ER-Resident Proteins Have the C-Terminal Sequence KDEL. Most soluble ER-resident proteins in mammals have the C-terminal sequence KDEL (HDEL in yeast), KKXX, or KXKXXX (where X represents any amino acid residue), whose alteration results in the secretion of the resulting protein. By what means are these proteins selectively retained in the ER? Since many ER-resident proteins freely diffuse within the ER, it seems unlikely that they are immobilized by membrane-bound receptors within the ER. Rather, ER-resident proteins, like secretory and lysosomal proteins, readily leave the ER via COPII-coated vesicles, but ER-resident proteins are promptly retrieved from the Golgi and returned to the ER in COPI-coated vesicles. Indeed, coatomer binds the Lys residues in the C-terminal KKXX motif of transmembrane proteins, which presumably permits it to gather these proteins into COPI-coated vesicles. Furthermore, genetically appending KDEL to the lysosomal protease **cathepsin D** causes it to accumulate in the ER, but it nevertheless acquires an *N*-acetylglucosaminyl-1-phosphate group, a modification that is made in an early Golgi compartment. Presumably, a membrane-bound receptor in a post-ER compartment binds the KDEL signal and the resulting complex is returned to the ER in a COPI-coated vesicle. **KDEL receptors** have, in fact, been identified in yeast and humans. However, the observation that former KDEL proteins whose KDEL sequences have been deleted are, nevertheless, secreted relatively slowly suggests that there are mechanisms for retaining these proteins in the ER by actively withholding them from the bulk flow of proteins through the secretory pathway.

F Proteins Mediate Vesicle Fusion

In all cells, *new membranes are generated by the expansion of existing membranes.* In eukaryotes, this process occurs mainly via vesicle trafficking in which a vesicle buds off from one membrane (e.g., that of the Golgi apparatus) and fuses to a different membrane (e.g., the plasma membrane or that of the

Courtesy of John Heuser, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

(a)

(b)

FIG. 9-45 Vesicle fusion at a synapse. (*a*) An electron micrograph of a frog neuromuscular synapse. Synaptic vesicles are undergoing fusion (*arrows*) with the presynaptic plasma membrane (*top*). (*b*) This process discharges the neurotransmitter contents of the synaptic vesicles into the synaptic cleft, the space between the neuron and the muscle cell (the postsynaptic cell).

lysosome), thereby transferring both lipids and proteins from the parent to the target membrane.

On arriving at its target membrane, a vesicle fuses with it, thereby releasing its contents on the opposite side of the target membrane (Fig. 9-42). We have already seen how proteins are transported from the ER through the Golgi apparatus and secreted by this mechanism. Other substances are also secreted in this way, such as **neurotransmitters**, the small molecules released by neurons (nerve cells; **Fig. 9-45**). When a nerve impulse in a presynaptic cell reaches a **synapse** (the junction between neurons or between neurons and muscles), it triggers the fusion of neurotransmitter-containing **synaptic vesicles** with the **presynaptic membrane** (a specialized section of the neuron's plasma membrane). This releases the neurotransmitter into the ~200-Å-wide **synaptic cleft**, a process called **exocytosis**. In less than 0.1 ms, the neurotransmitter diffuses across the synaptic cleft to the **postsynaptic membrane**, where it binds to specific receptors that then trigger the continuation of the nerve impulse or muscle contraction in the postsynaptic cell.

Biological membranes do not spontaneously fuse. Indeed, being negatively charged, they strongly repel each other at short distances. This repulsive force must be overcome if biological membranes are to fuse. How do vesicles fuse and why do they fuse only with their target membranes?

Extensive investigations, in large part by Rothman, Randy Schekman, and Thomas Südhof, have identified numerous proteins that mediate vesicle fusion with their target membranes. Among these are integral or lipid-linked proteins known as **SNAREs**. **R-SNAREs** (which contain conserved Arg residues) usually associate with vesicle membranes, and **Q-SNAREs** (which contain conserved Gln residues) usually associate with target membranes. Interactions among these proteins, as we will see, firmly anchor the vesicle to the target membrane, a process called docking.

The X-ray structure of a core SNARE complex is shown in **Fig. 9-46**. Four parallel ~65-residue α helices wrap around each other with a gentle left-handed twist. For the most part, the sequence of each helix has the expected seven-residue repeat, $(a\text{-}b\text{-}c\text{-}d\text{-}e\text{-}f\text{-}g)_n$, with residues *a* and *d* hydrophobic (Section 6-1C). However, the central layer of side chains along the length of the four-helix bundle includes an Arg residue from the R-SNARE that is hydrogen bonded to three Gln side chains, one from each of the Q-SNARE helices. These highly conserved polar residues are sealed off from the aqueous environment so that their interactions serve to bring the four helices into proper

FIG. 9-46 X-Ray structure of a SNARE complex modeled between two membranes. The complex includes an R-SNARE (**synaptobrevin,** *blue*) and two Q-SNARES (**syntaxin**, *red,* and **SNAP-25**, *green,* a two-Q-domain-containing Q-SNARE) for a total of four helices, which are shown here as ribbons. The transmembrane C-terminal extensions of syntaxin and synaptobrevin are modeled as helices (*yellow-green*). The peptide segment connecting the two Q-domain helices of SNAP-25 is speculatively represented as an unstructured loop (*brown*). The loop is anchored to the membrane via Cys-linked palmitoyl groups (*not shown*). The cleavage sites for various clostridial neurotoxins (causing tetanus and botulism; Box 9-3) are indicated by the arrows. [Courtesy of Axel Brunger, Stanford University.]

Box 9-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Tetanus and Botulinum Toxins Specifically Cleave SNAREs**

The frequently fatal infectious diseases **tetanus** (which arises from wound contamination) and **botulism** (a type of food poisoning) are caused by certain anaerobic bacteria of the genus *Clostridium.* These bacteria produce extremely potent protein neurotoxins that inhibit the release of neurotransmitters into synapses. In fact, botulinum toxins are the most powerful known toxins; they are ~10 million times more toxic than cyanide.

There are seven serologically distinct types of botulinum neurotoxins, designated **BoNT/A** through **BoNT/G,** and one type of tetanus neurotoxin, **TeTx.** Each of these homologous proteins is synthesized as a single ~150-kD polypeptide chain that is cleaved by host proteases to yield an ~50-kD light chain and an ~100-kD heavy chain. The heavy chains bind to specific types of neurons and facilitate the uptake of the light chain by endocytosis. *Each light chain is a protease that cleaves its target SNARE at a specific site* (Fig. 9-46). SNARE cleavage prevents the formation of the core complex and thereby halts the exocytosis of synaptic vesicles. The heavy chain of TeTx specifically binds to inhibitory neurons (which function to moderate excitatory nerve impulses) and is thereby responsible for the spastic paralysis characteristic of tetanus. The heavy chains of the BoNTs instead bind to motor neurons (which innervate muscles) and thus cause the flaccid paralysis characteristic of botulism.

The administration of carefully controlled quantities of botulinum toxin ("Botox") is medically useful in relieving the symptoms of certain types of chronic muscle spasms and for the relief of chronic migraine headaches. Moreover, this toxin is being used cosmetically: Its injection into the skin relaxes the small muscles causing wrinkles and hence these wrinkles disappear for ~3 months.

register. Since cells contain numerous different R-SNAREs and Q-SNAREs, it seems likely that their interactions are at least partially responsible for the specificity that vesicles exhibit in fusing with their target membranes. Bacterial proteases that cleave SNAREs interfere with vesicle fusion, with serious consequences (Box 9-3).

SNAREs Facilitate the Fusion of Membranes by Bringing Them Together. The association of an R-SNARE on a vesicle with Q-SNAREs on its target membrane brings the two bilayers into close proximity. But what induces the fusion of the juxtaposed lipid bilayers? The answer, as is diagrammed in **Fig. 9-47**, is that the mechanical forces arising from the formation of a ring of several (estimated to be 5–10) SNARE complexes pulls together the apposing bilayers. This expels the contacting lipids between them so as to join their outer leaflets, a process known as **hemifusion.** Indeed, the pressure (force/area) within the ring of SNARE complexes is estimated to be 100 to 1000 atm. In the resulting transient structure, no aqueous contact between the two membrane systems has yet been established. However, as the fusion process proceeds (the SNARE complexes continue zipping up), the two inner leaflets of the now partially joined membranes come together to form a new bilayer, whose component lipids are subsequently similarly expelled to yield a **fusion pore.** The fusion pore then rapidly expands, thereby fully joining the two membranes as well as their contents. Thus, vesicle fusion is driven by the assembly of the SNARE complexes. However, *in vitro,* this process takes 30 to 40 minutes, whereas the fusion of a synaptic vesicle with the presynaptic membrane requires ~0.3 ms *in vivo.* This argues that other proteins also participate in inducing bilayer fusion and, indeed, several other proteins have been implicated.

Some Viruses Use Fusion Proteins to Infect Their Target Cells. Many viruses, including those causing influenza and AIDS, arise by budding from the plasma membrane of a virus-infected cell, much like the budding of a vesicle from a membrane. In order to infect a new cell, the membrane of the resulting **membrane-enveloped virus** must fuse with a membrane in its target cell so as to deposit the virus' cargo of nucleic acid in the cell's cytoplasm. Such membrane fusion events are mediated by protein systems that differ from those responsible for the vesicle fusion events we discussed above. As an example, let us discuss how **influenza virus** mediates membrane fusion (other membrane-enveloped viruses use similar systems). Virus-mediated membrane fusion occurs in three stages:

1. Host cell recognition by the virus.
2. Activation of the viral membrane fusion machinery.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 9-47 **A model for SNARE-mediated vesicle fusion.** Here R-SNAREs and Q-SNAREs are schematically represented by blue and red worms. [After a drawing by Chen, Y.A. and Scheller, R.H., *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2,** 98 (2001).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

3. Fusion of the viral membrane with a host cell membrane so as to release the viral genome into the host cell cytoplasm.

The major integral protein component of the membrane that envelops influenza virus is named **hemagglutinin (HA)** because it causes erythrocytes to agglutinate (clump together). HA, a so-called **viral fusion protein,** mediates influenza host cell recognition by binding to specific glycoproteins that act as cell-surface receptors. These glycoproteins (like glycophorin A in erythrocytes; Section 9-3A) bear terminal *N*-acetylneuraminic acid (sialic acid; Fig. 8-6) residues on their carbohydrate groups. HA is synthesized as a homotrimer of 550-residue subunits that are each anchored to the membrane by a single transmembrane helix (residues 524–540). However, HA's Arg 329 is posttranslationally excised by host cell proteases to yield two peptides, designated HA1 and HA2, that remain linked by a disulfide bond.

After budding from the original host cell, an influenza virus particle can infect a new host cell. The virus binds to the plasma membrane of the new cell and is taken into the cell by the invagination of the membrane via a process known as **receptor-mediated endocytosis** (Section 20-1B) that superficially resembles the reverse of the fusion of a vesicle with a membrane (Fig. 9-42). The resulting intracellular vesicle with the virus bound to its inner surface then fuses with a vesicle known as an **endosome** that has an internal pH of ~5. The consequent drop in pH triggers a conformational change in which the N-terminal end of HA2, a conserved, hydrophobic, ~24-residue segment known as a **fusion**

FIG. 9-48 X-Ray structure of influenza hemagglutinin. (*a*) Ribbon diagram of the BHA monomer. HA1 is green and HA2 is cyan. (*b*) Ribbon diagram of the BHA trimer. Each HA1 and HA2 chain is drawn in a different color. The orientations of the green HA1 and the cyan HA2 are the same as in Part *a*. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Skehel, National Institute for Medical Research, London, U.K., and Don Wiley, Harvard University. PDBid 4HMG.]

peptide, inserts into the host cell's endosomal membrane, thereby tightly linking it to the viral membrane preparatory to their fusion.

Our understanding of how HA mediates the fusion of viral and host cell membranes is based largely on X-ray structural studies by Don Wiley and John Skehel. HA's hydrophobic membrane anchor interferes with its crystallization. However, the proteolytic removal of HA's transmembrane helix yields a crystallizable protein named BHA, whose X-ray structure includes a globular region that is perched on a long fibrous stalk projecting from the viral membrane surface (**Fig. 9-48*a***). The globular region contains the sialic acid–binding pocket, whereas the fibrous stalk includes a remarkable 76-Å-long α helix (53 residues in 14 turns). The dominant interaction stabilizing BHA's trimeric structure is a triple-stranded coiled coil consisting of the long α helices from each of its protomers (**Fig. 9-48*b***). Curiously, BHA's fusion peptides are buried in its hydrophobic interior, ~100 Å from the sialic acid–binding sites at the "top" of the protein.

In the endosome, HA undergoes a dramatic conformational change (**Fig. 9-49**), the nature of which was elucidated by the X-ray structure of a portion of BHA named TBHA2 that consists of BHA's long helix and some of its flanking regions. In this conformational change, segments A and B at the N-terminus of TBHA2 (the red and orange segments in Fig. 9-49) undergo a jackknife-like movement of ~100 Å in a way that extends the top of the long helix by ~10 helical turns toward the endosomal membrane. This translocates the fusion peptide (which is absent in TBHA2 but would extend beyond its N-terminus at the top of segment A) by at least ~100 Å above its position in BHA, thereby allowing it to insert into the host membrane. At the same time, the long helix is shortened from the bottom by similar shifts of segments D and E (green and blue in Fig. 9-49). These conformational changes, which simultaneously occur in several closely spaced HA molecules in the viral membrane, draw together the viral and host membranes so as to facilitate their fusion in a manner similar to that postulated for SNARE complexes.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the fluid mosaic model.
- Explain how the membrane skeleton influences membrane protein distribution.
- Why are biological membranes asymmetrical?
- What are the functions of flippases and phospholipid translocases?
- Describe the structure of a lipid raft. Why might a raft be associated with a particular cellular activity?
- Summarize the steps of the secretory pathway, including the function of the translocon.
- Describe how a membrane protein, a secreted protein, and a lysosomal protein are transported from the RER to their final destinations.
- Why does it make sense that carbohydrate groups serve as intracellular addressing signals?
- Describe how SNAREs and viral fusion proteins such as hemagglutinin act to bring specific membranes close together and trigger their fusion.

FIG. 9-49 Schematic drawing comparing the structures of BHA and TBHA2. This drawing indicates the positions and heights above the viral membrane surface of TBHA2's various structural elements in the HA trimer (*left*) and in its low-pH form (*right*). In the low-pH form, the fusion peptide would protrude well above the receptor-binding heads where it would presumably insert itself into the endosomal membrane.

Summary

1 Lipid Classification

- Lipids are a diverse group of molecules that are soluble in organic solvents and, in contrast to other major types of biomolecules, do not form polymers.
- Fatty acids are carboxylic acids whose chain lengths and degrees of unsaturation vary.
- Adipocytes and other cells contain stores of triacylglycerols, which consist of three fatty acids esterified to glycerol.
- Glycerophospholipids are amphiphilic molecules that contain two fatty acid chains and a polar head group.
- The sphingolipids include sphingomyelins, cerebrosides, and gangliosides.
- Cholesterol, steroid hormones, and vitamin D are all based on a four-ring structure.
- The arachidonic acid derivatives prostaglandins, prostacyclins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes, and lipoxins are signaling molecules that have diverse physiological roles.

2 Lipid Bilayers

- Glycerophospholipids and sphingolipids form bilayers in which their nonpolar tails associate with each other and their polar head groups are exposed to the aqueous solvent.
- Although the transverse diffusion of a lipid across a bilayer is extremely slow, lipids rapidly diffuse in the plane of the bilayer. Bilayer fluidity varies with temperature and with the chain lengths and degree of saturation of its component fatty acid residues.

3 Membrane Proteins

- The proteins of biological membranes include integral (intrinsic) proteins that contain one or more transmembrane α helices or a β barrel. In all cases, the membrane-exposed surface of the protein is hydrophobic.
- Other membrane-associated proteins may be anchored to the membrane via isoprenoid, fatty acid, or glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) groups. Peripheral (extrinsic) proteins are loosely associated with the membrane surface.

4 Membrane Structure and Assembly

- The fluid mosaic model of membrane structure accounts for the lateral diffusion of membrane proteins and lipids.
- The arrangement of membrane proteins in the lipid bilayer may depend on their interactions with an underlying protein skeleton, as in the erythrocyte.
- Membrane proteins and lipids are distributed asymmetrically in the two leaflets of the bilayer and may form domains such as lipid rafts.
- Transmembrane (TM), secretory, and lysosomal proteins in eukaryotes are synthesized by means of the secretory pathway. A signal peptide directs a growing polypeptide chain through the RER membrane via a protein pore called the translocon, which also functions to laterally install TM proteins into the RER membrane.
- Coated vesicles transport membrane-embedded and luminal proteins from the ER to the Golgi apparatus for further processing, and from there to other membranes. The proteins coating these vesicles may consist largely of clathrin, which forms polyhedral cages, or COPI or COPII, which form coats with an amorphous appearance.
- The fusion of vesicles with membranes occurs via a complex process that involves SNAREs. These form four-helix bundles that bring two membranes into proximity, which in turn induces membrane fusion.
- Viral fusion proteins mediate the fusion of membrane-enveloped viruses such as influenza virus with their host cell membranes so as to release the viral nucleic acids into the host cell cytoplasm.

Key Terms

lipid **245**
fatty acid **246**
saturation **247**
triacylglycerol **248**
fats **248**
oils **248**
adipocyte **248**
trans fats **249**
glycerophospholipid **249**
phosphatidic acid **249**
phospholipase **249**
lysophospholipid **249**
plasmalogen **251**
sphingolipid **252**
ceramide **252**
sphingomyelin **252**
cerebroside **253**
ganglioside **253**
steroid **254**
sterol **254**
glucocorticoid **254**
mineralocorticoid **254**
androgen **254**
estrogen **254**
isoprenoid **256**
vitamin **257**
prostaglandin **257**
eicosanoid **258**
lipid bilayer **259**
liposome **260**
transverse diffusion (flip-flop) **260**
lateral diffusion **260**
transition temperature **261**
integral (intrinsic) protein **262**
transmembrane (TM) protein **263**
electron crystallography **264**
prenylation **267**
myristoylation **267**
palmitoylation **268**
GPI-linked protein **268**
peripheral (extrinsic) protein **268**
fluid mosaic model **269**
fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) **270**
gates and fences model **272**
flippase **274**
lipid raft **276**
secretory pathway **277**
signal peptide **277**
signal recognition particle (SRP) **278**
SRP receptor (SR) **278**
translocon **278**
coated vesicle **281**
clathrin **282**
endocytosis **284**
exocytosis **285**
SNARE **285**
membrane-enveloped virus **286**
hemagglutinin **287**
viral fusion protein **287**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Does *trans*-oleic acid have a higher or lower melting point than *cis*-oleic acid? Explain.

2. Which triacylglycerol yields more energy on oxidation: one containing three residues of linolenic acid or three residues of stearic acid?

3. Draw the structure of a glycerophospholipid that has a saturated C_{16} fatty acyl group at position 1, a monounsaturated C_{18} fatty acyl group at position 2, and an ethanolamine head group.

4. Name this lipid.

5. How many different types of triacylglycerols could incorporate the fatty acids shown in Fig. 9-1?

6. What products are obtained when 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-3-phosphatidylserine is hydrolyzed by (a) phospholipase A_1; (b) phospholipase A_2; (c) phospholipase C; (d) phospholipase D?

7. Which of the glycerophospholipid head groups listed in Table 9-2 can form hydrogen bonds?

8. Does the phosphatidylglycerol "head group" of cardiolipin (Table 9-2) project out of a lipid bilayer like other glycerophospholipid head groups?

9. Identify the hormone molecule shown here. What membrane lipid is it derived from?

10. Draw the structure of the fatty acid amide that forms when arachidonate is linked to ethanolamine.

11. Animals cannot synthesize linoleic acid (a precursor of arachidonic acid) and therefore must obtain this **essential fatty acid** from their diet. Explain why cultured animal cells can survive in the absence of linoleic acid.

12. Many bacterial fatty acids contain branches and even rings. What effect do these lipids have on membrane fluidity, compared to their straight-chain counterparts?

13. Why can't triacylglycerols be significant components of lipid bilayers?

14. Why would a bilayer containing only gangliosides be unstable?

15. When bacteria growing at 20°C are warmed to 30°C, are they more likely to synthesize membrane lipids with (a) saturated or unsaturated fatty acids, and (b) short-chain or long-chain fatty acids? Explain.

16. Do membranes of the Antarctic icefish (see page 180) have longer and/or more saturated fatty acids compared to tropical fish? Explain.

17. (a) How many turns of an α helix are required to span a lipid bilayer (~30 Å across)? (b) What is the minimum number of residues required? (c) Why do most transmembrane helices contain more than the minimum number of residues?

18. The distance between successive C_α atoms in a β sheet is ~3.5 Å. Can a single 9-residue segment with a β conformation serve as the transmembrane portion of an integral membrane protein?

19. Are the following lipid samples likely to correspond to the inner or outer leaflet of a eukaryotic plasma membrane? (a) 20% phosphatidylcholine, 15% phosphatidylserine, 65% other lipids. (b) 35% phosphatidylcholine, 15% gangliosides, 5% cholesterol, 45% other lipids.

20. In order to consume and dispose of dying cells, macrophages (amoebalike white blood cells) recognize phosphatidylserine (PS) on the outer surface of the target cell. Why is PS a useful marker of a moribund cell?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. Sphingosine-1-phosphate can serve as a cell signaling molecule. (a) Draw the structure of this compound. (b) What chemical reactions

must occur in converting a sphingomyelin molecule to sphingosine-1-phosphate?

22. Lipids known as sulfatides occur in cells of the central nervous system. To which class of lipid does the sulfatide shown below belong? How does it differ from a typical member of that class?

23. In some autoimmune diseases, an individual develops antibodies that recognize cell constituents such as DNA and phospholipids. Some of the antibodies react with both DNA and phospholipids. What is the structural basis for this cross-reactivity?

24. Most hormones, such as peptide hormones, exert their effects by binding to cell-surface receptors. However, steroid hormones do so by binding to cytosolic receptors. How is this possible?

25. *E. coli* outer membranes include a component known as Lipid A, shown here. Identify its saccharide and fatty acid constituents.

26. Archaebacteria and Eubacteria produce different types of membrane lipids. How does the archaeal lipid shown here differ from phosphatidylglycerol?

27. *Shigella* bacteria cause severe diarrhea by altering the metabolism of intestinal cells. Explain why a *Shigella* enzyme that cleaves polypeptide backbones next to N-terminal Gly residues could alter the function of a membrane protein in a mammalian cell.

28. The inner membranes of mitochondria are rich in cardiolipin; when the organelle is damaged, cardiolipin appears in the outer membrane, where it is recognized by a cytosolic protein. Why does the protein contain two Arg residues that are essential for cardiolipin recognition?

29. Describe the labeling pattern of glycophorin A when a membrane-impermeable protein-labeling reagent is added to (a) a preparation of solubilized erythrocyte proteins; (b) intact erythrocyte ghosts; and (c) erythrocyte ghosts that are initially leaky and then immediately sealed and transferred to a solution that does not contain the labeling reagent.

30. Identify a 20-residue segment that could form a transmembrane α helix in this protein sequence (from the mosquito protein Orco).

```
FRYVNGPVLIRKLYSWWNLIMILLQYFAIM-
     GNLVMNTGDVNELTANTITT
```

31. Macropinocytosis is a variation of endocytosis in which cells take up large amounts of extracellular fluid, which accumulates in intracellular vesicles. The drug vacquinol stimulates macropinocytosis in the cells that form a glioblastoma, a type of tumor. Propose an explanation why excessive macropinocytosis would lead to cell death.

32. Explain why the action of phospholipase A_2 on membrane lipids could affect the lipid bilayer changes that occur during endocytosis or exocytosis.

33. Predict the effect of a mutation in signal peptidase that narrows its specificity so that it cleaves only between two Leu residues.

34. Explain why a drug that interferes with the disassembly of a SNARE complex would block neurotransmission.

35. The symmetries of oligomeric integral proteins are constrained by the requirement that their subunits must all have the same orientation with respect to the plane of the membrane. What symmetries can these proteins have? Explain. (Protein symmetry is discussed in Section 6-3.)

36. (a) Individuals with a certain one of the ABO blood types are said to be "universal donors," whereas those with another type are said to be "universal recipients." What are these blood types? Explain. (b) Antibodies are contained in blood plasma, which is blood with its red and white cells removed. Indicate the various compatibilities of blood plasma from an indiviual with one ABO blood type with an individual with a different ABO blood type. (c) Considering the answers to Parts a and b, why is it possible that there can be a universal donor and a universal recipient for a transfusion of whole blood?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Drawing and Naming Fatty Acids

Exercise 2 Introduction to Lipidomics

Exercise 3 Properties of Membrane Proteins

MORE TO EXPLORE Some of the more unusual membrane lipids occur in prokaryotes. For example, archaeal membranes are not built from glycerophospholipids. What lipids are used, and how do their physical properties affect archaeal membranes? How would you describe the structure of the lipopolysaccharides that occur in gram-negative bacteria? Why are these molecules also known as endotoxins? A certain group of bacteria synthesize unusual lipids called ladderanes. How do these molecules help the bacteria perform their specialized metabolic functions?

References

Lipids and Membrane Structure

Edidin, M., Lipids on the frontier: a century of cell-membrane bilayers, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **4**, 414–418 (2003). [A short account of the history of the study of membranes.]

Engelman, D.M., Membranes are more mosaic than fluid, *Nature* **438**, 578–580 (2005). [A brief review updating the classic model with more proteins and variable bilayer thickness.]

van Meer, G., Voelker, D.R., and Feigenson, G.W., Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 112–124 (2008).

Taylor, M.E. and Drickamer, K., *Introduction to Glycobiology* (3rd ed.), Oxford Univeristy Press (2011).

Vance, D.E. and Vance, J. (Eds.), *Biochemistry of Lipids, Lipoproteins, and Membranes* (5th ed.), Elsevier (2008).

Varki, A., Cummings, R.D., Esko, J.D., Freeze, H.H., Stanley, P., Bertozzi, C.R., Hart, G.W., and Etzler, M.E. (Eds.), *Essentials of Glycobiology* (2nd ed.), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2009).

Membrane Proteins

Fujiyoshi, Y. and Unwin, N., Electron crystallography of proteins in membranes, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **18**, 587–592 (2008).

Kusunoki, H., Minasov, G., MacDonald, R.I., and Mondragón, A., Independent movement, dimerization and stability of tandem repeats of chicken brain α-spectrin, *J. Mol. Biol.* **344**, 495–511 (2004). [Presents the X-ray structure of three consecutive spectrin repeats.]

Lee. A.G., Biological membranes: the importance of molecular detail, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **36**, 493–500 (2011).

Popot, J.-L. and Engelman, D.M., Helical membrane protein folding, stability, and evolution, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **69**, 881–922 (2000). [Shows a number of protein structures and discusses many features of transmembrane proteins.]

Sharom, F.J., and Lehto, M.T., Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins: structure, function, and cleavage by phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C, *Biochem. Cell Biol.* **80**, 535–549 (2002). [Includes a discussion of the GPI anchor and its importance for protein localization and function.]

Wimley, W.C., The versatile β-barrel membrane protein, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **13**, 404–411 (2003). [Reviews the basic principles of construction for transmembrane β barrels.]

Zhang, F.L. and Casey, P.J., Protein prenylation: molecular mechanisms and functional consequences, *Annu. Rev. Biochem*. **65**, 241–269 (1996).

The Secretory Pathway

Akopian, S., Shen, K., Zhang, X., and Shan, S., Signal recognition particle: An essential protein-targeting machine, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82**, 693–721 (2013).

Alder, N.N. and Johnson, A.E., Cotranslational membrane protein biogenesis at the endoplasmic reticulum, *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 22787–22790 (2004).

Cross, B.C.S., Sinning, I., Luirink, J., and High, S., Delivering proteins for export from the cytosol, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 255–264 (2009).

van den Berg, B., Clemons, W.M., Jr., Collinson, I., Modis, Y., Hartmann, E., Harrison, S.C., and Rapaport, T.A., X-Ray structure of a protein-conducting channel, *Nature* **427**, 36–44 (2004). [The X-ray structure of SecY.]

Vesicle Trafficking

Brodsky, F.M., Chen, C.-Y., Knuehl, C., Towler, M.C., and Wakeham, D.E., Biological basket weaving: formation and function of clathrin-coated vesicles, *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol*. **17**, 515–568 (2001).

Jahn, R. and Fasshaur, D., Molecular machines governing exocytosis of synaptic vesicles, *Nature* **490**, 201–207 (2012).

Membrane Fusion

McNew, J.A., Sonderman, H., Lee, T., Stern, M., and Brandizzi, F., GTP-Dependent membrane fusion, *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **29**, 529–550 (2013).

Skehel, J.J. and Wiley, D.C., Receptor binding and membrane fusion in virus entry: The influenza hemagglutinin, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **69**, 531–569 (2000).

Wickner, W. and Schekman, R. Membrane fusion, *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**, 658–664 (2008).

Ungar, D. and Hughson, F.M., SNARE protein structure and function, *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **19**, 493–517 (2003).

Susumu Nishinaga/Photo Researchers, Inc.

This scanning electron micrograph shows a kidney glomerulus, the structure that filters small molecules from the blood. In order to eliminate the waste materials and retain the nutrients present in the filtrate, kidney cells rely on a variety of membrane proteins to transport water, ions, and other substances.

CHAPTER 10

Membrane Transport

Chapter Contents

1 **Thermodynamics of Transport**

2 **Passive-Mediated Transport**
- A Ionophores Carry Ions across Membranes
- B Porins Contain β Barrels
- C Ion Channels Are Highly Selective
- D Aquaporins Mediate the Transmembrane Movement of Water
- E Transport Proteins Alternate between Two Conformations

3 **Active Transport**
- A The (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase Transports Ions in Opposite Directions
- B The Ca^{2+}–ATPase Pumps Ca^{2+} Out of the Cytosol
- C ABC Transporters Are Responsible for Drug Resistance
- D Active Transport May Be Driven by Ion Gradients

Cells are separated from their environments by plasma membranes. Eukaryotic cells, in addition, are compartmentalized by intracellular membranes that form the boundaries and internal structures of their various organelles. Biological membranes present formidable barriers to the passage of ionic and polar substances, so *these substances can traverse membranes only through the action of specific* ***transport proteins***. Such proteins are therefore required to mediate the transmembrane movements of ions, such as Na^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}, and Cl^-, as well as metabolites such as pyruvate, amino acids, sugars, and nucleotides, and even water. Transport proteins are also responsible for all biological electrochemical phenomena such as neurotransmission. More complicated processes (e.g., endocytosis) are required to move larger substances such as proteins and macromolecular aggregates across membranes.

We begin our discussion of membrane transport by considering the thermodynamics of this process. We will then examine the structures and mechanisms of several different types of transport systems.

1 Thermodynamics of Transport

KEY CONCEPTS

- The free energy change for moving a substance across a membrane depends on the concentrations on each side of the membrane and, for ions, on the membrane potential.
- For a substance that cannot diffuse directly across a membrane, transport may be mediated by a protein and may require free energy input.

The diffusion of a substance between two sides of a membrane

$$A(out) \rightleftharpoons A(in)$$

thermodynamically resembles a chemical equilibration. We saw in Section 1-3D that the free energy of a solute, A, varies with its concentration:

$$\overline{G}_A = \overline{G}_A^{o\prime} \; RT \ln[A] \qquad [10\text{-}1]$$

where $\overline{G}_A$ is the **chemical potential** (partial molar free energy) of A (the bar indicates quantity per mole) and $\overline{G}_A^{o\prime}$ is the chemical potential of its standard state. Thus, a difference in the concentrations of the substance on two sides of a membrane generates a **chemical potential difference**:

$$\Delta\overline{G}_A = \overline{G}_A(in) - \overline{G}_A(out) = RT \ln\left(\frac{[A]_{in}}{[A]_{out}}\right) \qquad [10\text{-}2]$$

Consequently, if the concentration of A outside the membrane is greater than that inside, $\Delta\overline{G}_A$ for the transfer of A from outside to inside will be negative and the spontaneous net flow of A will be inward. If, however, [A] is greater inside than outside, $\Delta\overline{G}_A$ is positive and an inward net flow of A can occur only if an exergonic process, such as ATP hydrolysis, is coupled to it to make the overall free energy change negative (see Sample Calculation 10-1).

The transmembrane movement of ions also results in charge differences across the membrane, thereby generating an electrical potential difference, $\Delta\Psi = \Psi(in) - \Psi(out)$, where $\Delta\Psi$ is termed the **membrane potential**. Consequently, if A is ionic, Eq. 10-2 must be amended to include the electrical work required to transfer a mole of A across the membrane from outside to inside:

$$\Delta\overline{G}_A = RT \ln\left(\frac{[A]_{in}}{[A]_{out}}\right) + Z_A\mathcal{F}\Delta\Psi \qquad [10\text{-}3]$$

where Z_A is the ionic charge of A; $\mathcal{F}$, the Faraday constant, is the charge of a mole of electrons (96,485 C · mol^{-1}; C is the symbol for coulomb); and $\overline{G}_A$ is now termed the **electrochemical potential** of A (see Sample Calculation 10-2). The membrane potentials of living cells are commonly as large as −100 mV (inside negative; note that 1 V = 1 J · C^{-1}), which for a 50-Å-thick membrane corresponds to a voltage gradient of 200,000 V · cm^{-1}. Hence, the last term in Eq. 10-3 is often significant for ionic substances, particularly in mitochondria (Chapter 18) and in neurotransmission (Section 10-2C).

Transport May Be Mediated or Nonmediated. There are two types of transport processes: **nonmediated transport** and **mediated transport**. Nonmediated transport occurs through simple diffusion. In contrast, mediated transport occurs through the action of specific carrier proteins. The driving force for the nonmediated flow of a substance through a medium is its chemical potential gradient. Thus, *the substance diffuses in the direction that eliminates its concentration gradient, at a rate proportional to the magnitude of the gradient. The rate of diffusion of a substance also depends on its solubility in the membrane's nonpolar core.* Consequently, nonpolar molecules such as steroids and O_2 readily diffuse through biological membranes by nonmediated transport, according to their concentration gradients across the membranes.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 10-1

Show that $\Delta G < 0$ when Ca^{2+} ions move from the endoplasmic reticulum (where $[Ca^{2+}] = 1$ mM) to the cytosol (where $[Ca^{2+}] = 0.1$ μM). Assume $\Delta\Psi = 0$.

The cytosol is *in* and the endoplasmic reticulum is *out*.

$$\Delta G = RT \ln\frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{in}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{out}} = RT \ln\frac{10^{-7}}{10^{-3}}$$
$$= RT(-9.2)$$

Hence, ΔG is negative.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 10-2

Calculate the free energy required to move 1 mol of Na^+ ions from outside the cell (where $[Na^+] = 150$ mM) to the inside (where $[Na^+] = 5$ mM) when the membrane potential is -70 mV and the temperature is 37°C.

Use Equation 10-3:

$$\Delta G = RT \ln \frac{[Na^+]_{in}}{[Na^+]_{out}} + Z\mathcal{F}\Delta\Psi$$

$$= (8.314\ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1})(310\ K) \ln(0.005/0.150) + (1)(96{,}485\ J \cdot V^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1})(-0.070\ V)$$

$$= -8763\ J \cdot mol^{-1} - 6754\ J \cdot mol^{-1}$$

$$= -15{,}500\ J \cdot mol^{-1} = -15.5\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

Mediated transport is classified into two categories depending on the thermodynamics of the system:

1. **Passive-mediated transport**, or **facilitated diffusion**, in which a specific molecule flows from high concentration to low concentration.
2. **Active transport**, in which a specific molecule is transported from low concentration to high concentration, that is, against its concentration gradient. Such an endergonic process must be coupled to a sufficiently exergonic process to make it favorable (i.e., $\Delta G < 0$).

CHECKPOINT

- How can you predict whether it will be thermodynamically favorable for an uncharged substance to move from one side of a membrane to the other?
- If the substance is charged, what additional information do you need to predict whether its transmembrane movement will be spontaneous?
- Give an example of a substance that can diffuse across a membrane. Give an example of a substance that must cross a membrane by mediated transport.

2 Passive-Mediated Transport

KEY CONCEPTS

- Passive-mediated transport is carried out by ionophores, porins, ion channels, aquaporins, and transport proteins.
- Ionophores may carry ions or form channels.
- Porins provide a passageway for ions or nonpolar solutes.
- Ion channels are highly selective and may be gated.
- The coordinated opening and closing of ion channels generates an action potential in nerve cells.
- Aquaporins mediate the transmembrane passage of water molecules.
- Transport proteins may mediate uniport, symport, and antiport transport.

Substances that are too large or too polar to diffuse across lipid bilayers on their own may be conveyed across membranes via proteins or other molecules that are variously called **carriers, permeases, channels,** and **transporters**. These transporters operate under the same thermodynamic principles but vary widely in structure and mechanism, particularly as it relates to their selectivity.

A Ionophores Carry Ions across Membranes

Ionophores are organic molecules of diverse types, usually of bacterial origin, that increase the permeability of membranes to ions. These molecules often exert an antibiotic effect by discharging the vital ion concentration gradients that cells actively maintain.

There are two types of ionophores:

1. ***Carrier ionophores***, *which increase the permeabilities of membranes to their selected ion by binding it, diffusing through the membrane, and*

FIG. 10-1 Ionophore action. (*a*) Carrier ionophores transport ions by diffusing through the lipid bilayer. (*b*) Channel-forming ionophores span the membrane with a channel through which ions can diffuse.

? What do the ionophores do when the concentration of ions is the same on both sides of the membrane?

releasing the ion on the other side (**Fig. 10-1*a***). For net transport to occur, the uncomplexed ionophore must then return to the original side of the membrane ready to repeat the process. Carriers therefore share the common property that *their ionic complexes are soluble in nonpolar solvents.*

2. ***Channel-forming ionophores***, *which form transmembrane channels or pores through which their selected ions can diffuse* (**Fig. 10-1*b***).

Both types of ionophores transport ions at a remarkable rate. For example, a single molecule of the carrier ionophore **valinomycin** transports up to 10^4 K^+ ions per second across a membrane. However, *since ionophores passively permit ions to diffuse across a membrane in either direction, their effect can only be to equilibrate the concentrations of their selected ions across the membrane.*

Valinomycin, which is one of the best characterized ionophores, specifically binds K^+. It is a cyclic molecule containing D- and L-amino acid residues that participate in ester linkages as well as peptide bonds (**Fig. 10-2*a***). The X-ray structure of valinomycin's K^+ complex (**Fig. 10-2*b***) indicates that the K^+ ion is octahedrally coordinated by the carbonyl groups of its six Val residues, and the

FIG. 10-2 Valinomycin. (*a*) This cyclic ionophore contains ester and amide bonds and D- as well as L-amino acids. (*b*) X-Ray structure of valinomycin in complex with a K^+ ion colored according to atom type (C green, H white, N blue, O red, and K^+ purple) and embedded in its molecular surface (*blue mesh*). Note that the K^+ ion is octahedrally coordinated by the carbonyl oxygen atoms of six Val residues and that the surface of the complex is largely covered with methyl and isopropyl groups. [Based on an X-ray structure by Max Dobler, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland.]

cyclic valinomycin backbone surrounds the K^+ coordination shell. The methyl and isopropyl side chains project outward to provide the complex with a nonpolar exterior that makes it soluble in the hydrophobic cores of lipid bilayers.

The K^+ ion (ionic radius, $r = 1.33$ Å) fits snugly into valinomycin's coordination site, but the site is too large for Na^+ ($r = 0.95$ Å) or Li^+ ($r = 0.60$ Å) to coordinate with all six carbonyl oxygens. Valinomycin therefore has 10,000-fold greater binding affinity for K^+ than for Na^+. No other known substance discriminates better between Na^+ and K^+.

B Porins Contain β Barrels

The porins, introduced in Section 9-3A, are β barrel structures with a central aqueous channel. In the *E. coli* OmpF porin (Fig. 9-23), the channel is constricted to form an elliptical pore with a minimum cross section of 7 × 11 Å. Consequently, solutes of more than ~600 D are too large to pass through the channel. OmpF is weakly cation selective; other porins are more selective for anions. In general, the size of the channel and the residues that form its walls determine what types of substances can pass through.

Solute selectivity is elegantly illustrated by **maltoporin**. This bacterial outer membrane protein facilitates the diffusion of **maltodextrins**, which are the α(1→4)-linked glucose oligosaccharide degradation products of starch (Section 8-2C). The X-ray structure of *E. coli* maltoporin reveals that the protein is structurally similar to OmpF porin but is a homotrimer of 18-stranded rather than 16-stranded antiparallel β barrels. Three long loops from the extracellular face of each maltoporin subunit fold inward into the barrel, thereby constricting the channel near the center of the membrane to a diameter of ~5 Å and giving the channel an hourglass-like cross section. The channel is lined on one side with a series of six contiguous aromatic side chains arranged in a left-handed helical path that matches the left-hand helical curvature of α-amylose (Fig. 8-10). This so-called greasy slide extends from one end of the channel, through its constriction, to the other end (**Fig. 10-3**).

How does the greasy slide work? The hydrophobic faces of the maltodextrin glucose residues stack on aromatic side chains, as is often observed in complexes of sugars with proteins. The glucose hydroxyl groups, which are arranged in two strips along opposite edges of the maltodextrins, form numerous hydrogen bonds with polar and charged side chains that line the channel. Tyr 118, which protrudes into the channel opposite the greasy slide, apparently functions as a steric barrier that permits the passage only of near-planar groups such as glucosyl residues. Thus, the hook-shaped sucrose (a glucose–fructose disaccharide) passes only very slowly through the maltoporin channel.

At the start of the translocation process, the entering glucosyl residue interacts with the readily accessible end of the greasy slide in the extracellular vestibule of the channel. Further translocation along the helical channel requires the maltodextrin to follow a screwlike path that maintains the helical structure of the oligosaccharide, much like the movement of a bolt through a nut, thereby excluding molecules of comparable size that have different shapes. *The translocation process is unlikely to encounter any large energy barrier due to the smooth surface of the greasy slide and the multiple polar groups at the channel constriction that would permit the essentially continuous exchange of hydrogen bonds as a maltodextrin moves through the constriction.*

GATEWAY CONCEPT Equilibrium

All reacting systems proceed toward equilibrium, which, in the case of solutes on either side of membrane, means equal concentrations on both sides. But the speed at which the solutes move depends on *how* they cross the membrane. In cells, solutes may move fast or slow, but they very seldom actually reach equilibrium.

Based on an X-ray structure by Tilman Schirmer, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland. PDBid 1MPO

FIG. 10-3 Structure of a maltoporin subunit in complex with a maltodextrin of six glycosyl units. The polypeptide backbone of this *E. coli* protein is represented by a multithreaded cyan ribbon. Five glucose residues of maltodextrin and the aromatic side chains lining the transport channel are shown in space-filling form with N blue, O red, protein C gold, and glucosyl C green. The "greasy slide" consists of the aromatic side chains of six residues. Tyr 118, which projects into the channel, helps restrict passage to glucosyl residues.

C Ion Channels Are Highly Selective

All cells contain ion-specific channels that allow the rapid passage of ions such as Na^+, K^+, and Cl^-. The movement of these ions through such channels, along with their movement through active transporters (discussed in Section 10-3), is essential for maintaining osmotic balance, for signal transduction (Section 13-4A), and for effecting changes in membrane potential that are responsible for

neurotransmission. Mammalian cells, for example, maintain a nonequilibrium distribution of ions on either side of the plasma membrane: ~150 mM Na^+ and ~4 mM K^+ in the extracellular fluid, and ~12 mM Na^+ and ~140 mM K^+ inside the cell.

The Structure of the KcsA K^+ Channel Explains Its Selectivity and Speed. Potassium ions passively diffuse from the cytoplasm to the extracellular space through transmembrane proteins known as **K^+ channels**. Although there is a large diversity of K^+ channels, even within a single organism, all of them have similar sequences, exhibit comparable permeability characteristics, and most importantly, are at least 10,000-fold more permeable to K^+ than Na^+. Since this high selectivity (around the same as that of valinomycin; Section 10-2A) implies energetically strong interactions between K^+ and the protein, how can the K^+ channel maintain its observed nearly diffusion-limited throughput rate of up to 10^8 ions per second (a 10^4-fold greater rate than that of valinomycin)?

One of the best characterized ion channels is a K^+ channel from *Streptomyces lividans* named **KcsA**. This 158-residue integral membrane protein, like all known K^+ channels, functions as a homotetramer. The X-ray structure of KcsA's N-terminal 125-residue segment, determined by Roderick MacKinnon, reveals that each of its subunits contains two nearly antiparallel transmembrane helices plus a shorter helix (Fig. 10-4*a*). Four such subunits associate to form a fourfold symmetric assembly surrounding a central pore. The four inner helices, which largely form the pore, pack against each other near the cytoplasmic side of the

(a) (b)

Courtesy of Roderick MacKinnon, Rockefeller University. PDBid 1BL8

FIG. 10-4 X-Ray structure of the KcsA K^+ channel. (*a*) Ribbon diagram of the tetramer as viewed from within the plane of the membrane, with the cytoplasm below and the extracellular region above. The protein's fourfold axis of rotation is vertical and each of its identical subunits is differently colored, with that on the left colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). Each subunit has an inner helix that forms part of the central pore, an outer helix that contacts the membrane interior, and a turret that projects out into the extracellular space. The selectivity filter at the extracellular end of the protein allows the passage of K^+ ions but not Na^+ ions. [Based on an X-ray structure by Roderick MacKinnon, Rockefeller University. PDBid 1BL8.] (*b*) Cutaway diagram viewed similarly to Part *a* in which the K^+ channel is represented by its solvent-accessible surface. The surface is colored according to its physical properties with negatively charged areas red, uncharged areas white, positively charged areas blue, and hydrophobic areas of the central pore yellow. K^+ ions are represented by green spheres.

? **Explain why so much of the protein surface is nonpolar.**

membrane much like the poles of an inverted teepee. The four outer helices, which face the lipid bilayer, buttress the inner helices. The central pore can accommodate several K^+ ions (**Fig. 10-4*b***).

The 45-Å-long central pore has variable width: It starts at its cytoplasmic side as an ~6-Å-diameter tunnel whose entrance is lined with four anionic side chains (red area at the bottom of Fig. 10-4*b*) that presumably attract cations and repel anions. The pore then widens to form an ~10-Å-diameter cavity. These regions of the central pore are wide enough so that a K^+ ion could move through them in its hydrated state. However, the upper part of the pore, called the selectivity filter, narrows to 3 Å, thereby forcing a transiting K^+ ion to shed its waters of hydration. The walls of the pore and the cavity are lined with hydrophobic groups that interact minimally with diffusing ions (yellow area of the pore in Fig. 10-4*b*). However, the selectivity filter (red area of the pore at the top of Fig. 10-4*b*) is lined with closely spaced main chain carbonyl oxygens of residues from a TVGYG "signature sequence" that is highly conserved in all K^+ channels.

How does the K^+ channel discriminate so acutely between K^+ and Na^+ ions? The main chain O atoms lining the selectivity filter form a stack of rings (**Fig. 10-5**, *top*) that provide a series of closely spaced sites of appropriate dimensions for coordinating dehydrated K^+ ions but not the smaller Na^+ ions. The structure of the protein surrounding the selectivity filter suggests that the diameter of the pore is rigidly maintained, thus making the energy of a dehydrated Na^+ in the selectivity filter considerably higher than that of hydrated Na^+ and thereby accounting for the K^+ channel's high selectivity for K^+ ions.

What is the function of the cavity? Energy calculations indicate that an ion moving through a narrow transmembrane pore must surmount an energy barrier that is maximal at the center of the membrane. The existence of the cavity reduces this electrostatic destabilization by surrounding the ion with polarizable water molecules (Fig. 10-5, *bottom*). The cavity holds ~40 additional water molecules, which are disordered and therefore not seen in the X-ray structure. Remarkably, the K^+ ion occupying the cavity is liganded by 8 ordered water molecules located at the corners of a square antiprism (a cube with one face twisted by 45° with respect to the opposite face). K^+ in aqueous solution is known to have such an inner hydration shell but it had never before been visualized.

How does KcsA support such a high throughput of K^+ ions (up to 10^8 ions per second)? Figure 10-5 shows a string of regularly spaced K^+ ions: four in the selectivity filter and two more just outside it on its extracellular (top) side. Such closely spaced positive ions would strongly repel one another and hence represent a high energy situation. However, the X-ray structure is an average of many KcsA molecules, and a variety of evidence indicates that within a single channel, the K^+ ions in the pore actually alternate with water molecules. This arrangement means that each K^+ ion is surrounded by O atoms (from H_2O or protein carbonyl groups) at each position along the selectivity filter. As a K^+ ion moves into the selectivity filter from the cavity, it exchanges some of its hydrating water molecules for protein ligands, then does the reverse to restore its hydration shell when it exits the selectivity filter to enter the extracellular solution. Within the selectivity filter, the ligands are spaced and oriented such that there is little free energy change (<12 kJ · mol^{-1}) as a K^+ ion moves to successive positions. This level free energy landscape allows the rapid movement of K^+ ions through the ion channel. In addition, mutual electrostatic repulsions between successive K^+ ions balance the attractive interactions holding these ions in the selectivity filter and hence further facilitate their rapid transit. Note, however, that this mechanism does not impose a direction on the migration of K^+ ions. The net **flux** (rate of transport per unit area) of K^+ ions depends only on the electrochemical potential across the membrane, that is, the K^+ ions undergo passive-mediated transport (Section 10-1).

Based on an X-ray structure by Roderick MacKinnon, Rockefeller University. PDBid 1K4C

FIG. 10-5 Portions of the KcsA K^+ channel responsible for its ion selectivity. The protein is viewed similarly to Fig. 10-4 but with the front and back subunits omitted for clarity. The residues forming the cavity (*bottom*) and selectivity filter (*top*) are shown with atoms colored according to type (C yellow, N blue, O red, and K^+ ions represented by green spheres). The water and protein O atoms that ligand the K^+ ions, including those contributed by the front and back subunits, are represented by red spheres. The coordination polyhedra formed by these O atoms are outlined by thin white lines.

Ion Channels Are Gated. The physiological functions of ion channels depend not only on their exquisite ion specificity and speed of transport but also on their ability to be selectively opened or closed. For example, the ion gradients across cell membranes, which are generated by specific energy-driven pumps (Section 10-3), are discharged through Na^+ and K^+ channels. However, the pumps could not keep up with the massive fluxes of ions passing through the open channels, so *ion channels are normally shut and only open transiently to perform some specific task for the cell.* The opening and closing of ion channels, a process known as **gating**, can occur in response to a variety of stimuli:

1. **Mechanosensitive channels** open in response to local deformations in the lipid bilayer. Consequently, they respond to direct physical stimuli such as touch, sound, and changes in osmotic pressure.
2. **Ligand-gated channels** open in response to an extracellular chemical stimulus such as a neurotransmitter.
3. **Signal-gated channels** open on intracellularly binding a Ca^{2+} ion or some other signaling molecule (Section 13-4B).
4. **Voltage-gated channels** open in response to a change in membrane potential. Multicellular organisms contain numerous varieties of voltage-gated channels, including those responsible for generating nerve impulses.

Nerve Impulses Are Propagated by Action Potentials. As an example of the functions of voltage-gated channels, let us consider electrical signaling events in neurons (nerve cells). The release of neurotransmitters across a synaptic junction (Fig. 9-45) causes Na^+ channels on the postsynaptic membrane to open so that Na^+ ions spontaneously flow into the downstream neuron. The consequent local increase in membrane potential induces neighboring voltage-gated Na^+ channels to open. The resulting local **depolarization** of the membrane induces nearby voltage-gated K^+ channels to open. This allows K^+ ions to spontaneously flow out of the cell in a process called **hyperpolarization** (**Fig. 10-6**). However, well before the distribution of Na^+ and K^+ ions across the membrane equilibrates, the Na^+ and K^+ channels spontaneously close. Yet, because depolarization of a membrane segment induces the voltage-gated Na^+ channels in a neighboring membrane segment to open, which induces their neighboring voltage-gated Na^+ channels to open, etc., a wave of transient change in the membrane potential, called an **action potential**, travels along the length of the nerve cell (which may

FIG. 10-6 Time course of an action potential. (*a*) The neuron membrane, whose resting membrane potential is inside negative, undergoes rapid depolarization, followed by a nearly as rapid hyperpolarization and then a slow recovery to its resting potential. (*b*) The depolarization is caused by a transient increase in Na^+ permeability (conductance), whereas the hyperpolarization results from a more prolonged increase in K^+ permeability that begins a fraction of a millisecond later. [After Hodgkin, A.L. and Huxley, A.F., *J. Physiol.* **117**, 530 (1952).]

? **Explain why Na^+ ions flow into the cell, whereas K^+ ions flow out.**

be over 1 m long). The action potential, which travels at ~10 m/s, propagates in only one direction because, after the ion channels have spontaneously closed, they resist reopening until the membrane potential has regained its resting value, which takes a few milliseconds (Fig. 10-6).

As an action potential is propagated along the length of a nerve cell, it is continuously renewed so that its signal strength remains constant (in contrast, an electrical impulse traveling down a wire dissipates as a consequence of resistive and capacitive effects). Nevertheless, the relative ion imbalance responsible for the resting membrane potential is small; only a tiny fraction of a nerve cell's Na^+–K^+ gradient (which is generated by ion pumps; Section 10-3A) is discharged by a single nerve impulse (only one K^+ ion per 3000–300,000 in the cytosol is exchanged for extracellular Na^+ as indicated by measurements with radioactive Na^+). A nerve cell can therefore transmit a nerve impulse every few milliseconds without letup. This capacity to fire rapidly is an essential feature of neuronal communications: Since action potentials all have the same amplitude, the magnitude of a stimulus is conveyed by the rate at which a nerve fires.

Voltage Gating in Kv Channels Is Triggered by the Motion of a Positively Charged Protein Helix. The subunits of all voltage-gated K^+ channels contain an ~220-residue N-terminal cytoplasmic domain, an ~250-residue transmembrane domain consisting of six helices, S1 to S6, and an ~150-residue C-terminal cytoplasmic domain (Fig. 10-7). S5 and S6 are respectively homologous to the outer and inner transmembrane helices of the KcsA channel (Fig. 10-4), and their intervening P-loop, which forms the selectivity filter, includes the same TVGYG signature sequence that occurs in KcsA. In the voltage-gated K^+ channels known as **Kv channels,** a conserved, cytoplasmic, ~100-residue so-called T1 domain precedes the transmembrane domain.

FIG. 10-7 **Topology of voltage-gated K^+ channel subunits.**

Kv channels closely resemble the KcsA channel in their tetrameric pore structure, but what is the nature of the gating machinery in the voltage-gated ion channels? The ~19-residue S4 helix, which contains around five positively charged side chains spaced about every three residues on an otherwise hydrophobic polypeptide, appears to act as a voltage sensor. Various experimental approaches indicate that when the membrane potential increases (the inside becomes less negative), the S4 helix is pulled toward the extracellular side of the membrane.

The X-ray structure of a Kv channel from rat brain named **Kv1.2** (Fig. 10-8) shows how gating might occur. As the membrane begins to depolarize, the four conserved Arg residues on the S4 helix are drawn toward the extracellular surface (up in Fig. 10-9), pulling on the S4–S5 linker helix (not drawn in Fig. 10-7) and with it the S5 helix. This splays the ends of the S6 helices so as to enlarge the intracellular entrance to the K^+ channel (Fig. 10-9*b*). During repolarization, as the cell interior becomes more negative, the positively charged S4 helix drops back toward the cytoplasmic side of the membrane, pressing down on the S4–S5 lever to close off the channel (Fig. 10-9*c*).

Ion Channels Have a Second Gate. Electrophysiological measurements indicate that Kv channels spontaneously close a few milliseconds after opening and do not reopen until after the membrane has regained its resting membrane potential. Evidently, *the Kv channel contains two voltage-sensitive gates, one to open the channel on an increase in membrane potential and one to inactivate it a short time later.* This inactivation of the Kv channel is abolished by proteolytically excising its N-terminal 20-residue segment, whose NMR structure is a ball-like assembly. In the intact Kv channel, this "inactivation ball" is tethered to the end of a flexible 65-residue peptide segment (Fig. 10-7), suggesting that channel inactivation normally occurs when the ball swings around to bind in the mouth of the open K^+ pore, thereby blocking the passage of K^+ ions. The mobility of this "ball and chain" is presumably why it is not visible in the X-ray structure of Kv1.2 (Fig. 10-8).

FIG. 10-8 X-Ray structure of the Kv1.2 voltage-gated K^+ channel. (*a*) View along the tetrameric protein's fourfold axis from the extracellular side of the membrane in which it is embedded. Each of its four identical subunits is colored differently, and the T1 domain has been omitted for clarity. The fragmented appearance of the polypeptide chains is due to the high mobilities of the missing segments. The S5 and S6 helices with their intervening P loops form the pore for K^+ ions (delineated by green spheres). Helices S1 to S4 form a separate intramembrane voltage-sensing domain that associates with the S5 and S6 helices of the clockwise adjacent subunit, resulting in an iris-like opening and closing of the K^+ channel.(*b*) View perpendicular to that in Part *a* with the extracellular side of the membrane above. The pore and voltage sensing domains span a distance of 30 Å, the thickness of the membrane's hydrophobic core. The T1 domain, which occupies the cytoplasm, forms the vestibule of the transmembrane K^+ channel. The four large openings between the T1 domain and the K^+ channel are the portals through which K^+ ions enter the K^+ channel. [Based on an X-ray structure by Roderick MacKinnon, Rockefeller University. PDBid 2A79.]

The T1 tetramer does not have an axial channel, so the inactivation ball must find its way to block the central pore through the side portals between the T1 tetramer and the central pore (Fig. 10-8*b*). These portals, which are 15 to 20 Å across, are lined with negatively charged groups that presumably attract K^+ ions.

The cytoplasmic entrance to the K^+ channel pore is only 6 Å in diameter, too narrow to admit the inactivation ball. Therefore, it appears that the ball peptide must unfold in order to enter the pore. The first 10 residues of the unfolded ball peptide are predominantly hydrophobic and presumably make contact with the

FIG. 10-9 Operation of the transmembrane domain of the Kv1.2 voltage-gated K^+ channel. (*a*) Side view of a single subunit with its extracellular side at the top. The pore-forming helices (S5 and S6) are gray, and the voltage-sensor domain (helices S1–S4) is blue. The S4–S5 linker helix (not drawn in Fig. 10-7), which is parallel to the membrane surface, connects the voltage-sensing domain to the domain forming the K^+ pore (delineated by green spheres). Four Arg residues on S4 are shown in ball-and-stick form with C atoms gold and N atoms blue. Two of these residues interact with the protein, and two project into the lipid bilayer. (*b*) Side view of the channel tetramer in its open conformation. The S5 helices are gray, the S6 helices are blue, and the S4–S5 linker helix is red. (*c*) Hypothetical model of the channel in its closed conformation, colored as in Part *b*. The downward movement of the Arg-bearing S4 helix (not shown in this drawing) pushes down on the S4–S5 linker helix to pinch off the pore at its cytoplasmic end (*bottom*). [Courtesy of Roderick MacKinnon, Rockefeller University. PDBid 2A79.]

hydrophobic residues lining the Kv channel pore. The next 10 residues, which are largely hydrophilic and contain several cationic groups, bind to anionic groups lining the entrance to the side portals in T1. Thus, the inactivation peptide acts more like a snake than a ball and chain. A Kv channel engineered so that only one subunit has an inactivation peptide still becomes inactivated but at one-fourth the rate of normal Kv channels. Apparently, any of the normal Kv channel's four inactivation peptides can block the channel and it is simply a matter of chance as to which one does so.

Other Voltage-Gated Cation Channels Contain a Central Pore. Voltage-gated Na^+ and Ca^{2+} channels resemble K^+ channels, although rather than forming homotetramers, they are monomers of four consecutive domains, each of which is homologous to a K^+ channel subunit, separated by often large cytoplasmic loops. These domains presumably assume a pseudotetrameric arrangement about a central pore resembling that of voltage-gated K^+ channels. This structural homology suggests that voltage-gated ion channels share a common architecture in which differences in ion selectivity arise from precise stereochemical variations within the central pore. However, outside of their conserved transmembrane core, voltage-gated ion channels with different ion selectivities are highly divergent. For example, the T1 domain of Kv channels is absent in other types of voltage-gated ion channels.

Cl^- Channels Differ from Cation Channels. Cl^- channels, which occur in all cell types, permit the transmembrane movement of chloride ions along their concentration gradient. In mammals, the extracellular Cl^- concentration is ~120 mM and the intracellular concentration is ~4 mM.

ClC Cl^- channels form a large family of anion channels that occur widely in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. The X-ray structures of ClC Cl^- channels from two species of bacteria, determined by Raimund Dutzler and MacKinnon, reveal, as biophysical measurements had previously suggested, that ClC Cl^- channels are homodimers with each subunit forming an anion-selective pore (**Fig. 10-10**). Each subunit consists mainly of 18 mostly transmembrane α helices that are remarkably tilted with respect to the membrane plane and have variable lengths compared to the transmembrane helices in other integral proteins of known structures.

The specificity of the Cl^- channel results from an electrostatic field established by basic amino acids on the protein surface, which helps funnel anions toward the pore, and by a selectivity filter formed by the N-terminal ends of several α helices.

FIG. 10-10 X-Ray structure of the ClC Cl^- channel from *Salmonella typhimurium*. Each subunit of the homodimer contains 18 α helices of variable lengths. The subunits are drawn in ribbon form with one colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) and the other pink. The two Cl^- ions bound in the selectivity filter of each subunit are represented by pale green spheres. (*a*) View from within the membrane with the extracellular surface above and the 2-fold axis relating the two subunits vertical. (*b*) View from the extracellular side of the membrane along the molecular 2-fold axis. [Based on an X-ray structure by Raimund Dutzler and Roderick MacKinnon, Rockefeller University. PDBid 1OTS.]

Because the polar groups of an α helix are all aligned (Fig. 6-7), it forms a strong electrical dipole with its N-terminal end positively charged. This feature of the selectivity filter helps attract Cl^- ions, which are specifically coordinated by main chain amide nitrogens and side chain hydroxyls from Ser and Tyr residues. A positively charged residue such as Lys or Arg, if it were present in the selectivity filter, would probably bind a Cl^- ion too tightly to facilitate its rapid transit through the channel.

Unlike the K^+ channel, which has a central aqueous cavity (Fig. 10-4*b*), the Cl^- channel is hourglass-shaped, with its narrowest part in the center of the membrane and flanked by wider aqueous "vestibules." A conserved Glu side chain projects into the pore. This group would repel other anions, suggesting that rapid Cl^- flux requires a protein conformational change in which the Glu side chain moves aside. Another anion could push the Glu away, which explains why some Cl^- channels appear to be activated by Cl^- ions; that is, they open in response to a certain concentration of Cl^- in the extracellular fluid.

D Aquaporins Mediate the Transmembrane Movement of Water

The observed rapid passage of water molecules across biological membranes had long been assumed to occur via simple diffusion that was made possible by the small size of water molecules and their high concentrations in biological systems. However, certain cells, such as those in the kidney, can sustain particularly rapid rates of water transport, which can be reversibly inhibited by mercuric ions. This suggested the existence of previously unrecognized protein pores that conduct water through biological membranes. The first of these elusive proteins was discovered in 1992 by Peter Agre, who named them **aquaporins**.

Aquaporins are widely distributed in nature; plants may have as many as 50 different aquaporins. The 13 known mammalian aquaporins are expressed at high levels in tissues that rapidly transport water, including kidneys, salivary glands, sweat glands, and lacrimal glands (which produce tears). In fact, the kidney contains seven different aquaporins, each of which has a specific location, function, and regulatory properties. Aquaporins permit the passage of water molecules at an extraordinarily high rate ($\sim 3 \times 10^9$ per second) but do not permit the transport of ions, including, most surprisingly, protons (really hydronium ions; H_3O^+), whose free passage would discharge the cell's membrane potential. Several aquaporins also permit the passage of small neutral solutes such as urea and glycerol.

AQP1, which is among the most extensively characterized members of the aquaporin family, is a homotetrameric glycoprotein. Its X-ray and electron crystallographic structures reveal that each of its subunits consists mainly of six transmembrane α helices plus two shorter helices that lie within the bilayer (**Fig. 10-11**). These helices are arranged so as to form an elongated hourglass-shaped central pore that, at its narrowest point, the so-called constriction region, is ~2.8 Å wide, which is the van der Waals diameter of a water molecule (**Fig. 10-12**). Much of the pore is lined with hydrophobic groups whose lack of strong interactions with water molecules hastens their passage through the pore. However, for a water molecule to transit the constriction region, it must be separated from other water molecules to which it is hydrogen-bonded. This is facilitated by the side chains of highly conserved Arg and His residues as well as several backbone carbonyl groups that form hydrogen bonds to a transiting water molecule and hence readily displace its associated water molecules, much as occurs with K^+ in the selectivity filter of the KcsA channel (Section 10-2C).

If water were to pass through aquaporin as an uninterrupted chain of hydrogen-bonded molecules, then protons would pass even more rapidly through the channel via proton jumping (Fig. 2-15; in order for more than one such series of proton jumps to occur, each water molecule in the chain must reorient such that one of its protons forms a hydrogen bond to the next water

FIG. 10-11 X-Ray structure of the aquaporin AQP1 from bovine erythrocytes. (*a*) Ribbon diagram of an aquaporin subunit colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The view is from within the membrane with the extracellular surface above. The four water molecules that occupy the central portion of the water-transport channel are represented by red spheres. (*b*) View of the aquaporin tetramer from the extracellular surface. The subunit in the upper right is drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, and O red; that in the upper left is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), that in the lower left is represented by its solvent-accessible surface; and that in the lower right displays the side chains (*green*) at the constriction site. Each subunit forms a water-transport channel, which is most clearly visible in the subunit drawn in space-filling form. [Based on an X-ray structure by Bing Jap, University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 1J4N.]

molecule in the chain). However, aquaporin interrupts this process by forming hydrogen bonds from the side chain NH_2 groups of two highly conserved Asn residues to a water molecule that is centrally located in the pore (Fig. 10-12). Consequently, although this central water molecule can readily donate hydrogen bonds to its neighboring water molecules in the hydrogen-bonded chain, it cannot accept one from them nor reorient, thereby severing the "proton-conducting wire."

E Transport Proteins Alternate between Two Conformations

Up to this point, we have examined the structures and functions of membrane proteins that form a physical passageway for small molecules, ions, or water. Membrane proteins known as **connexins** also form such channels, in the form of **gap junctions** between cells (see Box 10-1). However, not all membrane transport proteins offer a discrete bilayer-spanning pore. Instead, some proteins undergo conformational changes to move substances from one side of the membrane to the other. The **erythrocyte glucose transporter** (also known as **GLUT1**) is such a protein.

Kozono, D., et al. *J. Clin. Invest.*, 2002; 109(11): 1395–1399

FIG. 10-12 Schematic drawing of the water-conducting pore of aquaporin AQP1. The pore is viewed from within the membrane with the extracellular surface above. The positions of residues critical for preventing the passage of protons, other ions, and small molecule solutes are indicated.

Box 10-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry Gap Junctions

Most eukaryotic cells are in metabolic as well as physical contact with neighboring cells. This contact is brought about by tubular structures, named **gap junctions,** that join discrete regions of neighboring plasma membranes much like hollow rivets. Gap junctions consist of two apposed plasma membrane-embedded complexes. Small molecules and ions, but not macromolecules, can pass between cells via the gap junction's central channel.

These intercellular channels are so widespread that many whole organs are continuous from within. Thus, *gap junctions are important intercellular communication channels.* For example, the synchronized contraction of heart muscle is brought about by flows of ions through gap junctions, and gap junctions serve as conduits for some of the substances that mediate embryonic development.

Mammalian gap junction channels are 16 to 20 Å in diameter, which Werner Loewenstein established by microinjecting single cells with fluorescent molecules of various sizes and observing with a fluorescence microscope whether the fluorescent probe passed into neighboring cells. The molecules and ions that can pass freely between neighboring cells are limited in molecular mass to a maximum of ~1000 D; macromolecules such as proteins and nucleic acids cannot leave a cell via this route.

The diameter of a gap junction channel varies with Ca^{2+} concentration: The channels are fully open when the Ca^{2+} level is $<10^{-7}$ M and become narrower as the Ca^{2+} concentration increases until, above 5×10^{-5} M, they close. This shutter system is thought to protect communities of interconnected cells from the otherwise catastrophic damage that would result from the death of even one of their members. Cells generally maintain very low cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentrations ($<10^{-7}$ M) by actively pumping Ca^{2+} out of the cell as well as into their mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (Section 10-3B). Ca^{2+} floods back into leaky or metabolically depressed cells, thereby inducing closure of their gap junctions and sealing them off from their neighbors.

Gap junctions are constructed from a single sort of protein subunit known as a **connexin.** A single gap junction consists of two hexagonal rings of connexins, called **connexons,** one from each of the adjoining plasma membranes. A given animal expresses numerous genetically distinct connexins (21 in humans), with molecular masses ranging from 25 to 50 kD. At least some connexons may be formed from two or more species of connexins, and the gap junctions joining two cells may consist of two different types of connexons. These various types of gap junctions presumably differ in their selectivities for the substances they transmit.

The X-ray structure of the gap junction formed by the 226-residue human **connexin 26,** determined by Tomitake Tsukihara, reveals a symmetrical assembly with a height of 155 Å and a maximal diameter of 92 Å that encloses a central channel.

Each connexin consists of an up-and-down bundle of four α helices. In this model, the protein is drawn as ribbons embedded in a semitransparent molecular surface. Each connexin of the upper connexon has a different color, whereas one connexin in the lower connexon is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) with the remaining connexins purple.

The extracellular portion of each connexin extends from the cell surface and interdigitates with the opposite connexon by 6 Å to span an intercellular gap of 40 Å. The central channel has a diameter of ~40 Å at its cytosolic entrance that funnels down to 14 Å near the extracellular surface of the membrane and then widens to 25 Å in the extracellular space. The entrance to the channel is positively charged and would attract negatively charged molecules. However, the region of maximal channel constriction is negatively charged, which should also affect the channel's charge selectivity.

[Based on an X-ray structure by Tomitake Tsukihara, University of Osaka, Japan. PDBid 2ZW3.]

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 10-13 Model for glucose transport. The transport protein alternates between two mutually exclusive conformations. Glucose (*green*) is not drawn to scale. [After Baldwin, S.A. and Lienhard, G.E., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **6**, 210 (1981). **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Explain why ions cannot cross a membrane via the glucose transporter.

Biochemical evidence indicates that GLUT1 has glucose-binding sites on both sides of the membrane. John Barnett showed that adding a propyl group to glucose C1 prevents glucose binding to the outer surface of the membrane, whereas adding a propyl group to C6 prevents binding to the inner surface. He therefore proposed that this transmembrane protein has two alternate conformations: one with the glucose site facing the external cell surface, requiring O1 contact and leaving O6 free, and the other with the glucose site facing the internal cell surface, requiring O6 contact and leaving O1 free. Transport apparently occurs as follows (Fig. 10-13):

1. Glucose binds to the protein on one face of the membrane.
2. A conformational change closes the first binding site and exposes the binding site on the other side of the membrane (transport).
3. Glucose dissociates from the protein.
4. The transport cycle is completed by the reversion of GLUT1 to its initial conformation in the absence of bound glucose (recovery).

This transport cycle can occur in either direction, according to the relative concentrations of intracellular and extracellular glucose. GLUT1 provides a means of equilibrating the glucose concentration across the erythrocyte membrane without any accompanying leakage of small molecules or ions (as might occur through an always-open channel such as a porin).

The X-ray structure of human GLUT1 in complex with a glucose derivative (Fig. 10-14), determined by Nieng Yan, confirms previous predictions (Section 9-3A) that GLUT1 has 12 membrane-spanning α helices with its N- and C-termini in the cytoplasm. Other members of the **major facilitator superfamily**, to which GLUT1 belongs, have a similar arrangement.

(a) *(b)*

FIG. 10-14 X-Ray structure of human GLUT1 in complex with *n*-nonyl-β-D-glucopyranoside. (*a*) The protein, which is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), is viewed parallel to the plasma membrane with the cytoplasm below. Its bound *n*-nonyl-β-D-glucopyranoside is drawn in space-filling form with C green and O red. The horizontal black lines delineate the membrane. (*b*) The protein, as represented by its solvent-accessible surface, is viewed from the cytoplasm. Note that its binding cavity in this conformation is open to the cytoplasm. [Based on an X-ray structure by Nieng Yan, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China. PDPid 4PYP.]

Box 10-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Differentiating Mediated and Nonmediated Transport

Glucose and many other compounds can enter cells by a non-mediated pathway; that is, they slowly diffuse into cells at a rate proportional to their membrane solubility and their concentrations on either side of the membrane. This is a linear process: The flux of a substance across the membrane increases with the magnitude of its concentration gradient (the difference between its internal and external concentrations). If the same substance, say glucose, moves across a membrane by means of a transport protein, its flux is no longer linear. This is one of four characteristics that distinguish mediated from nonmediated transport:

1. ***Speed and specificity.*** The solubilities of the chemically similar sugars D-glucose and D-mannitol in a synthetic lipid bilayer are similar. However, the rate at which glucose moves through the erythrocyte membrane is four orders of magnitude faster than that of D-mannitol. The erythrocyte membrane must therefore contain a system that transports glucose and that can distinguish D-glucose from D-mannitol.
2. ***Saturation.*** The rate of glucose transport into an erythrocyte does not increase infinitely as the external glucose concentration increases: The rate gradually approaches a maximum. Such an observation is evidence that a specific number of sites on the membrane are involved in the transport of glucose. At high [glucose], the transporters become saturated, much like myoglobin becomes saturated with O_2 at high pO_2 (Fig. 7-4). As expected, the plot of glucose flux versus [glucose] is hyperbolic. The nonmediated glucose flux increases linearly with [glucose] but would not visibly depart from the baseline on the scale of the graph.

3. ***Competition.*** The above curve is shifted to the right in the presence of a substance that competes with glucose for binding to the transporter; for example, 6-*O*-benzyl-D-galactose has this effect. Competition is not a feature of nonmediated transport, since no transport protein is involved.
4. ***Inactivation.*** Reagents that chemically modify proteins and hence may affect their functions may eliminate the rapid, saturatable flux of glucose into the erythrocyte. The susceptibility of the erythrocyte glucose transport system to protein-modifying reagents is additional proof that it is a protein.

[Graph based on data from Stein, W.D., *Movement of Molecules across Membranes,* p. 134, Academic Press (1967).]

FIG. 10-15 **Uniport, symport, and antiport translocation systems.**

GLUT1 is one of a large group of transporters that move amino acids and other small molecules across membranes. *All known transport proteins appear to be asymmetrically situated transmembrane proteins that alternate between two conformational states in which the ligand binding sites are exposed, in turn, to opposite sides of the membrane.* Such a mechanism is analogous to the T→R allosteric transition of proteins such as hemoglobin (Section 7-1B). In fact, many of the features of ligand-binding proteins such as myoglobin and hemoglobin also apply to transport proteins (Box 10-2).

Some transporters can transport more than one substance. For example, the bacterial oxalate transporter transports **oxalate** into the cell and transports **formate** out.

$^{-}OOC—COO^{-}$	$H—COO^{-}$
Oxalate	**Formate**

Some transport proteins move more than one substance at a time. Hence, it is useful to categorize mediated transport according to the stoichiometry of the transport process (Fig. 10-15):

1. A **uniport** involves the movement of a single molecule at a time. GLUT1 is a uniport system.
2. A **symport** simultaneously transports two different molecules in the same direction.
3. An **antiport** simultaneously transports two different molecules in opposite directions.

These designations apply to both passive and active transport systems.

CHECKPOINT

- What are the similarities and differences among ionophores, porins, ion channels, and passive-mediated transport proteins? What determines the direction of solute movement?
- Which transporters provide an open passageway for the transmembrane movement of a solute? Which transporters undergo a conformational change as part of their transport mechanism?
- What structural features allow porins and ion channels to discriminate among ions?
- Explain how and why ion channels are gated.
- How do transport proteins prevent the transmembrane movement of water and ions?
- How do aquaporins allow the passage of H_2O but not H_3O^+?
- Use the terminology of allosteric proteins to discuss the operation of proteins that carry out uniport, symport, and antiport transport processes.

3 Active Transport

KEY CONCEPTS

- Pumps use the free energy of ATP to transport ions against their gradient.
- ABC transporters move amphipathic substances from one side of the membrane to the other.
- Secondary active transporters use existing ion gradients to drive the unfavorable transport of a second substance.

Passive-mediated transporters, including porins, ion channels, and proteins such as GLUT1, facilitate the transmembrane movement of substances according to the relative concentrations of the substance on the two sides of the membrane. For example, the glucose concentration in the blood plasma (~5 mM) is generally higher than in cells, so GLUT1 allows glucose to enter the erythrocyte to be metabolized. Many substances, however, are available on one side of a membrane in lower concentrations than are required on the other side of the membrane. Such substances must be actively and selectively transported across the membrane against their concentration gradients.

Active transport is an endergonic process that, in most cases, is coupled to the hydrolysis of ATP. Several families of ATP-dependent transporters have been identified:

1. **P-type ATPases** undergo phosphorylation as they transport cations such as Na^+, K^+, and Ca^{2+} across the membrane.
2. **F-type ATPases** are proton-transporting complexes located in mitochondria and bacterial membranes. Instead of using the free energy of ATP to pump protons against their gradient, these proteins usually operate in reverse to synthesize ATP, as we will see in Section 18-3.
3. **V-type ATPases** resemble the F-type ATPases and occur in plant vacuoles and acidic vesicles such as animal lysosomes.
4. **A-type ATPases** transport anions across membranes.
5. **ABC transporters** are named for their *A*TP-*b*inding *c*assette and transport a wide variety of substances, including ions, small metabolites, and drug molecules.

In this section, we examine two P-type ATPases and an ABC transporter; these proteins carry out **primary active transport**. In **secondary active transport**, the free energy of the electrochemical gradient generated by another mechanism, such as an ion-pumping ATPase, is used to transport a molecule against its concentration gradient.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Energy Transformation

Energy cannot be created or destroyed, but it can be transformed. In active transport systems, the favorable (negative) free energy change of the ATP hydrolysis reaction pays for the unfavorable (positive) free energy change of ion transport across a membrane. In this way, the chemical energy of ATP is transformed into the electrochemical energy of an ion gradient. Like water backed up behind a dam, an ion gradient is a form of energy—it is capable of doing work for the cell.

FIG. 10-16 X-Ray structure of shark (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase. The protein is drawn in ribbon form viewed parallel to the plane of the membrane (*gray box*) with the cytosol above. The α subunit is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), the β subunit is magenta, and a regulatory subunit, called FXYD, is brown. Two bound K^+ ions in the transmembrane (M) domain are drawn as light blue spheres, and the MgF_4^{2-} ion, which marks the protein's ATPase active site between the N and A domains, is shown with Mg pink and F light green. [Based on an X-ray structure by Chikashi Toyoshima, University of Tokyo, Japan. PDBid 2ZXE.]

A The (Na^+–K^+)-ATPase Transports Ions in Opposite Directions

One of the most thoroughly studied active transport systems, the **(Na^+–K^+)–ATPase** in the plasma membranes of higher eukaryotes, is also called the **(Na^+–K^+) pump** because it pumps Na^+ out of and K^+ into the cell with the concomitant hydrolysis of intracellular ATP. The overall stoichiometry of the reaction is

$$3\ Na^+(in) + 2\ K^+(out) + ATP + H_2O \rightleftharpoons 3\ Na^+(out) + 2\ K^+(in) + ADP + P_i$$

This P-type ATPase is an antiport that generates a charge separation across the membrane, that is, a membrane potential, because three positive charges exit the cell for every two that enter. This extrusion of Na^+ enables animal cells to control their water content osmotically; *without a functioning (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase to maintain a low internal [Na^+], water would osmotically leak in to such an extent that animal cells, which lack cell walls, would swell and burst.* The electrochemical gradient generated by the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase is also responsible for the electrical excitability of nerve cells (Section 10-2C). In fact, all cells expend a large fraction of the ATP they produce (up to 70% in nerve cells) to maintain their required cytosolic Na^+ and K^+ concentrations.

The (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase, which was first characterized by Jens Skou, is a transmembrane protein that minimally consists of two types of subunits: The ~1000-residue α subunit, which contains the enzyme's ATP and ion binding sites, and the ~300-residue β subunit, which facilitates the correct insertion of the α subunit into the plasma membrane. In many cases a small regulatory subunit, γ, is also associated with the protein.

The X-ray structure of shark (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase in complex with K^+ ions and an MgF_4^{2-} ion (a P_i mimic) (**Fig. 10-16**) was determined by Chikashi Toyoshima.

The α subunit of this ~160-Å-long protein consists of a transmembrane domain (M) composed of 10 helices of varied lengths that provides a pathway for Na^+ and K^+ ions to transit the membrane, and from top to bottom in Fig. 10-16, three well-separated cytoplasmic domains: the nucleotide-binding domain (N), which binds ATP; the actuator domain (A), so named because it participates in the transmission of major conformational changes (see below); and the phosphorylation domain (P), which contains the protein's phosphorylatable Asp residue.

The key to the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase is the phosphorylation of a specific Asp residue of the α subunit. ATP phosphorylates this Asp residue only in the presence of Na^+, whereas the resulting **aspartyl phosphate** residue *(at right)* is subject to hydrolysis only in the presence of K^+. This suggests that the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase has two conformational states, called E1 and E2, with different structures, different catalytic activities, and different ligand specificities. In particular, E1's ion-binding sites are exposed to the cytoplasm, and it has high affinity for Na^+ but low affinity for K^+, whereas E2's ion-binding sites are exposed to the exterior of the cell and it has low affinity for Na^+ but high affinity for K^+. The protein appears to operate in the following manner (Fig. 10-17):

Aspartyl phosphate residue

1. E1 · ATP, which acquired its ATP inside the cell, binds three Na^+ ions to yield the ternary complex E1 · ATP · $3Na^+$.
2. The ternary complex reacts to form the "high-energy" aspartyl phosphate intermediate E1~P · $3Na^+$ [here the squiggle (~) represents a "high energy" bond (Section 14-2A)] and ADP, which is released inside the cell.
3. The "high-energy" intermediate relaxes to its "low-energy" conformation, E2—P · $3Na^+$, and releases its bound Na^+ outside the cell; that is, the Na^+ is transported through the membrane.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 10-17 **Scheme for the active transport of Na^+ and K^+ by the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase.** WPLS **See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Draw simple shapes to represent the ATPase and its substrates and products at each step of the reaction cycle.**

Box 10-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **The Action of Cardiac Glycosides**

The cardiac glycosides are natural products that increase the intensity of heart muscle contraction. Indeed, **digitalis,** an extract of purple foxglove leaves, which contains a mixture of cardiac glycosides including **digitalin** (see below), has been used to treat congestive heart failure for centuries. The cardiac glycoside **ouabain** (pronounced wabane), a product of the East African ouabio tree, has been long used as an arrow poison.

in Fig. 10-17. The resultant increase in intracellular [Na^+] stimulates the cardiac (Na^+–Ca^{2+}) antiport system, which pumps Na^+ out of and Ca^{2+} into the cell, ultimately boosting the [Ca^{2+}] in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Thus, the release of Ca^{2+} to trigger muscle contraction (Section 7-2B) produces a larger than normal increase in cytosolic [Ca^{2+}], thereby intensifying the force of cardiac muscle contraction. Ouabain, which was once thought to be produced only by plants, has recently

Digitalin

Ouabain

These two steroids, which are still among the most commonly prescribed cardiac drugs, inhibit the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase by binding strongly to an externally exposed portion of the protein so as to block Step 5

been discovered to be an animal hormone that is secreted by the adrenal cortex and functions to regulate cellular [Na^+] and overall body salt and water balance.

4. E2—P binds two K^+ ions from outside the cell to form an E2— P · $2K^+$ complex. This corresponds to the structure shown in Fig. 10-16.
5. The phosphate group is hydrolyzed, yielding E2 · $2K^+$.
6. E2 · $2K^+$ changes conformation to E1, binds ATP, and releases its two K^+ ions inside the cell, thereby completing the transport cycle.

The enzyme appears to have only one set of cation binding sites (Fig. 10-16), which apparently changes both its orientation and its specificity during the course of the transport cycle.

Although each of the above reaction steps is individually reversible, the cycle, as diagrammed in Fig. 10-17, circulates only in the clockwise direction under normal physiological conditions. This is because ATP hydrolysis and ion transport are coupled vectorial (unidirectional) processes. The vectorial nature of the reaction cycle results from the alternation of the several steps of the exergonic ATP hydrolysis reaction (Step 2, Step 5, and ATP binding in Step 6) with the several steps of the endergonic ion transport process (Steps 3 + 4 and K^+ release in Step 6). Thus, *neither reaction can go to completion unless the other one also does.* Study of the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase has been greatly facilitated by the use of glycosides that inhibit the transporter (Box 10-3).

B The Ca^{2+}–ATPase Pumps Ca^{2+} Out of the Cytosol

Transient increases in cytosolic [Ca^{2+}] trigger numerous cellular responses including muscle contraction (Section 7-2B), the release of neurotransmitters,

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 10-18 Scheme for the active transport of Ca^{2+} by the Ca^{2+}–ATPase. Here (*in*) refers to the cytosol and (*out*) refers to the outside of the cell for plasma membrane Ca^{2+}–ATPase or the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (or sarcoplasmic reticulum) for the Ca^{2+}–ATPase of that membrane. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Identify the events that trigger conformational changes in the protein.

and glycogen breakdown (Section 16-3). Moreover, Ca^{2+} is an important activator of oxidative metabolism (Section 18-4).

The $[Ca^{2+}]$ in the cytosol (~0.1 μM) is four orders of magnitude less than it is in the extracellular spaces [~1500 μM; intracellular Ca^{2+} might otherwise combine with phosphate to form $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$, which has a maximum solubility of only 65 μM]. This large concentration gradient is maintained by the active transport of Ca^{2+} across the plasma membrane and the endoplasmic reticulum (the sarcoplasmic reticulum in muscle) by a **Ca^{2+}–ATPase.** This **Ca^{2+} pump** actively pumps two Ca^{2+} ions out of the cytosol at the expense of ATP hydrolysis, while countertransporting two or three protons. The mechanism of the Ca^{2+}–ATPase (**Fig. 10-18**) resembles that of the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase (Fig. 10-17), to which it is closely related.

The superimposed X-ray structures of the Ca^{2+}–ATPase from rabbit muscle sarcoplasmic reticulum in its E1 and E2 conformations, determined by Toyoshima, are shown in **Fig. 10-19**. Two Ca^{2+} ions bind within a bundle of 10 transmembrane

FIG. 10-19 X-Ray structures of Ca^{2+}-free and Ca^{2+}-bound Ca^{2+}–ATPase. The Ca^{2+}-free form, E2, is green with black helix numbers, and the Ca^{2+}-bound form, $E1Ca^{2+}$, is violet with yellow helix numbers. These proteins, which are superimposed on their transmembrane domains, are viewed from within the membrane with the cytosolic side up. Ten transmembrane helices form the M (for membrane) domain, ATP binds to the N (for nucleotide-binding) domain, the Asp residue that is phosphorylated during the reaction cycle is located on the P (for phosphorylation) domain, and the A (for actuator) domain is so named because it participates in the transmission of major conformational changes. Dashed lines highlight the orientations of a helix in the N domain in the two conformations and the horizontal green lines delineate the membrane. Compare these structures with that of the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase in Fig.10-16. [Courtesy of Chikashi Toyoshima, University of Tokyo, Japan. PDBids 1EUL and 1WIO.]

helices. Three additional domains form a large structure on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane. The structural differences between the Ca^{2+}-bound (E1) and the Ca^{2+}-free (E2) structures indicate that the transporter undergoes extensive rearrangements, particularly in the positions of the cytoplasmic domains but also in the Ca^{2+}-transporting membrane domain, during the reaction cycle. These changes apparently mediate communication between the Ca^{2+}-binding sites and the ~80-Å-distant site where bound ATP is hydrolyzed.

C ABC Transporters Are Responsible for Drug Resistance

The inability of anticancer drugs to kill cancer cells is frequently traced to the overexpression of a membrane protein known as **P-glycoprotein**. This member of the ABC family of transporters pumps a variety of amphiphilic substances—including many drugs—out of the cell, so that it is also called a **multidrug resistance (MDR) transporter**. Similar proteins in bacteria contribute to their antibiotic resistance.

The **ABC transporters**, which pump ions, sugars, amino acids, and other polar and nonpolar substances, are built from four modules: two highly conserved cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding domains, and two transmembrane domains that typically contain six transmembrane helices each. In bacteria, the four domains are contained on two or four separate polypeptides, and in eukaryotes, a single polypeptide includes all four domains. Bacterial ABC transporters mediate the uptake as well as the efflux of a variety of compounds, whereas their eukaryotic counterparts apparently operate only as exporters that transport material out of the cell or into intracellular compartments such as the endoplasmic reticulum.

The X-ray structure of mouse P-glycoprotein, determined by Geoffrey Chang, shows a dimeric protein with pseudo-2-fold symmetry (Fig. 10-20). Two bundles of six transmembrane α helices span the membrane and extend into the cytoplasm, defining a large internal cavity that is open to both the cytoplasm and the inner leaflet of the membrane. The two ATP-binding domains in the cytoplasm are separated by ~30 Å. Conformational changes resulting from ATP binding and hydrolysis in this part of the protein appear to be transmitted to the transmembrane domains in a way that allows the two subunits of the transporter to move in concert.

A lipid-soluble molecule, such as a drug molecule, apparently enters P-glycoprotein through a portal in the membrane-spanning domain and binds in a pocket defined mostly by hydrophobic and aromatic residues. ATP binding triggers a dramatic conformational change that includes dimerization of the two cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding domains and a shift to an outward-facing conformation (Fig. 10-21). This structural change, which has been observed in the X-ray structures of similar transporters in their nucleotide-bound state, closes off the original binding cavity and exposes the bound drug molecule to the outer leaflet or the extracellular space. ATP hydrolysis presumably restores the transporter to its inward-facing conformation, ready to bind another drug molecule. Because the transporter can bind a molecule from inside the cell or from the inner leaflet and release it outside the cell or into the outer leaflet, the transporter operates as a flippase (Section 9-4C) for lipid-soluble substances, including membrane lipids.

FIG. 10-20 **X-Ray structure of mouse P-glycoprotein.** The peptide chain is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The view is parallel to the membrane (delineated by the horizontal lines) with the cytoplasm below. The two cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding domains (NBD1 and NBD2) are indicated. This "inward-facing" conformation of P-glycoprotein contains no bound ligands or nucleotides. [Based on an X-ray structure by Geoffrey Chang, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla. PDBid 3G5U.]

FIG. 10-21 Model for P-glycoprotein function. The gray shapes represent the surface of the transporter in its inward-facing (*left*) and outward-facing (*right*) conformations. A ligand (*magenta*) may originate from outside the cell but partitions into the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane (delineated by the horizontal lines) before entering the transporter's binding pocket, whose residues are represented by cyan spheres. The binding of ATP (*yellow*) triggers extensive conformational changes that bring the nucleotide-binding domains together and open the ligand-binding site to the extracellular space. [Courtesy of Geoffrey Chang, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla.]

CFTR Is an ABC Transporter. Only one of the thousands of known ABC transporters (48 in humans) functions as an ion channel rather than a pump: the **cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR)**. This 1480-residue protein, which is defective in individuals with the inherited disease **cystic fibrosis** (Box 3-1), allows Cl^- ions to flow out of the cell, following their concentration gradient. ATP binding to CFTR's two nucleotide-binding domains appears to open the Cl^- channel, and the hydrolysis of one ATP closes it (the other ATP remains intact). However, the CFTR channel can open only if its regulatory domain (which is unique among ABC transporters) has been phosphorylated, thus regulating the flow of ions across the membrane. CFTR does not exhibit high specificity for Cl^- ions, suggesting that the channel lacks a selectivity filter analogous to that in the KcsA K^+ channel (Section 10-2C).

The maintenance of electrical neutrality requires that the Cl^- ions transported by the CFTR be accompanied by positively charged ions, mainly Na^+. The transported ions are osmotically accompanied by water, thus maintaining the proper level of fluidity in secretions of the airways, intestinal tract, and the ducts of the pancreas, testes, and sweat glands. Although more than 1000 mutations of the CFTR have been described, in about 70% of cystic fibrosis cases, Phe 508 of the CFTR has been deleted. Even though this mutant CFTR is functional, it folds much more slowly than the wild-type protein and hence is degraded before it can be installed in the plasma membrane.

Homozygotes for defective or absent CFTRs have problems in many of the organs mentioned above but especially in their lungs (heterozygotes are asymptomatic). This is because the reduced Cl^- export results in thickened mucus that the lungs cannot easily clear. Since the flow of mucus is the major way in which the lungs eliminate foreign particles such as bacteria, individuals with cystic fibrosis suffer from chronic lung infections leading to severe progressive lung damage and early death.

D Active Transport May Be Driven by Ion Gradients

Systems such as the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase generate electrochemical gradients across membranes. The free energy stored in an electrochemical gradient (Eq. 10-3) can be harnessed to power various endergonic physiological processes. For example, cells of the intestinal epithelium take up dietary glucose by Na^+-dependent

FIG. 10-22 Glucose transport in the intestinal epithelium. The brush-like villi lining the small intestine greatly increase its surface area (*a*), thereby facilitating the absorption of nutrients. The brush border cells from which the villi are formed (*b*) concentrate glucose from the intestinal lumen in symport with Na^+ (*c*), a process that is driven by the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase, which is located on the capillary side of the cell and functions to maintain a low internal [Na^+]. The glucose is exported to the bloodstream via a separate passive-mediated uniport system similar to GLUT1.

? In Part (*c*), identify which solutes move down their concentration gradient and which move up their concentration gradient.

symport (Fig. 10-22). The immediate energy source for this "uphill" transport process is the Na^+ gradient. This process is an example of secondary active transport because *the Na^+ gradient in these cells is maintained by the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase.* The Na^+–glucose transport system concentrates glucose inside the cell. Glucose is then transported into the capillaries through a passive-mediated glucose uniport (which resembles GLUT1; Fig. 10-14).

Thus, since glucose enhances Na^+ resorption, which in turn enhances water resorption, glucose (possibly as sucrose), in addition to salt and water, should be fed to individuals suffering from severe salt and water losses due to diarrhea (drinking only water or a salt solution is ineffective since they are rapidly excreted from the gastrointestinal tract). It is estimated that the introduction of this simple and inexpensive treatment, called **oral rehydration therapy**, has decreased the annual number of human deaths from severe diarrhea, mostly in children in less-developed countries, from 4.6 to 1.6 million.

Lactose Permease Requires a Proton Gradient. Gram-negative bacteria such as *E. coli* contain several active transport systems for concentrating sugars. One extensively studied system, **lactose permease** (also known as **galactoside permease**), *utilizes the proton gradient across the bacterial cell membrane to cotransport H^+ and lactose.* The proton gradient is metabolically generated through oxidative metabolism in a manner similar to that in mitochondria (Section 18-2). The electrochemical potential gradient created by both these systems is used mainly to drive the synthesis of ATP.

Lactose permease is a 417-residue monomer that consists largely of 12 transmembrane helices with its N- and C-termini in the cytoplasm. Like GLUT1, it is a member of the major facilitator superfamily, and its structure and transport mechanism resemble those of GLUT1. And like (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase, lactose permease has two major conformational states (Fig. 10-23):

1. E-1, which has a low-affinity lactose-binding site facing the cytoplasm.
2. E-2, which has a high-affinity lactose-binding site facing the periplasm (the space between the plasma and outer membranes; Fig. 8-16).

Ronald Kaback established that E-1 and E-2 can interconvert only when their H^+- and lactose-binding sites are either both filled or both empty. This prevents dissipation of the H^+ gradient without cotransport of lactose into the cell. It also

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 10-23 Scheme for the cotransport of H^+ and lactose by lactose permease in *E. coli*. H^+ binds first to E-2 outside the cell, followed by lactose. They are sequentially released from E-1 inside the cell. E-2 must bind to both lactose and H^+ in order to change conformation to E-1, thereby cotransporting these substances into the cell. E-1 changes conformation to E-2 when neither lactose nor H^+ is bound, thus completing the transport cycle. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? How does operation of lactose permease affect the pH difference across the cell membrane?

prevents transport of lactose out of the cell since this would require cotransport of H^+ against its concentration gradient.

The X-ray structure of lactose permease in complex with a tight-binding lactose analog, determined by Kaback and So Iwata, reveals that this protein consists of two structurally similar and twofold pseudosymmetrically positioned domains containing six transmembrane helices each (Fig. 10-24*a*).

FIG. 10-24 X-Ray structure of lactose permease from *E. coli*. (*a*) Ribbon diagram as viewed from the membrane with the cytoplasmic side up. The protein's 12 transmembrane helices are colored in rainbow order from the N-terminus (*purple*) to the C-terminus (*pink*). The bound lactose analog is represented by black spheres. (*b*) Surface model viewed as in Part *a* but with the two helices closest to the viewer in Part *a* removed to reveal the lactose-binding cavity. The surface is colored according to its electrostatic potential with positively charged areas blue, negatively charged areas red, and neutral areas white. [From Abramson, J., Smirnova, I. et al. (2003) *Science* vol **301**, 610–615. Structure and Mechanism of the Lactose Permease of *Escherichia coli*, Aug. 1, 2003]

CHECKPOINT

- Distinguish passive-mediated transport, active transport, and secondary active transport.
- Explain why the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase and the Ca^{2+}–ATPase carry out transport in one direction only.
- Explain how an ABC transporter would operate as a flippase.
- What is the ultimate source of free energy for secondary active transport?

A large internal hydrophilic cavity is open to the cytoplasmic side of the membrane (**Fig. 10-24*b***) so that the structure represents the E-1 state of the protein. The lactose analog is bound in the cavity at a position that is approximately equidistant from both sides of the membrane, consistent with the model that the lactose-binding site is alternately accessible from each side of the membrane (e.g., Fig. 10-13). Arg, His, and Glu residues that mutational studies have implicated in proton translocation are located in the vicinity of the lactose-binding site.

Summary

1 Thermodynamics of Transport

- The mediated and nonmediated transport of a substance across a membrane is driven by its chemical potential difference.

2 Passive-Mediated Transport

- Ionophores facilitate ion diffusion by binding an ion, diffusing through the membrane, and then releasing the ion; or by forming a channel.
- Porins form β barrel structures about a central channel that is selective for anions, cations, or certain small molecules.
- Ion channels mediate changes in membrane potential by allowing the rapid and spontaneous transport of ions. Ion channels are highly solute-selective and open and close (gate) in response to various stimuli. Nerve impulses involve ion channels.
- Aquaporins contain channels that allow the rapid transmembrane diffusion of water but not protons.
- Transport proteins such as GLUT1 alternate between two conformational states that expose the ligand-binding site to opposite sides of the membrane.

3 Active Transport

- Active transport, in most cases, is driven by ATP hydrolysis. In the (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase and Ca^{2+}–ATPase, ATP hydrolysis and ion transport are coupled and vectorial.
- ABC transporters use ATP hydrolysis to trigger conformational changes that move substances, including amphiphilic molecules, from one side of the membrane to the other.
- In secondary active transport, an ion gradient maintained by an ATPase or other free energy-capturing cellular process drives the transport of another substance. For example, the transport of lactose into a cell by lactose permease is driven by the cotransport of H^+, whose gradient is maintained by oxidative metabolism.

Key Terms

chemical potential **294**
$\Delta\Psi$ **294**
electrochemical potential **294**
nonmediated transport **294**
mediated transport **294**
passive-mediated transport **295**
active transport **295**
ionophore **295**
flux **299**
gating **300**
mechanosensitive channel **300**
ligand-gated channel **300**
signal-gated channel **300**
voltage-gated channel **300**
depolarization **300**
hyperpolarization **300**
action potential **300**
aquaporin **304**
gap junction **305**
uniport **309**
symport **309**
antiport **309**
primary active transport **310**
secondary active transport **310**
ABC transporter **314**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Calculate the free energy change for glucose entry into cells when the extracellular concentration is 5 mM and the intracellular concentration is 3 mM.

2. (a) Calculate the chemical potential difference when intracellular $[Na^+]$ = 10 mM and extracellular $[Na^+]$ = 150 mM at 37°C. (b) What would the electrochemical potential be if the membrane potential were –60 mV (inside negative)?

3. For the problem in Sample Calculation 10-1, calculate ΔG at 37°C when the membrane potential is (a) –50 mV (cytosol negative) and (b) +150 mV. In which case is Ca^{2+} movement in the indicated direction thermodynamically favorable?

4. Calculate the free energy required to move 1 mol of K^+ ions from the outside of the cell (where $[K^+]$ = 4 mM) to the inside (where $[K^+]$ = 140 mM) when the membrane potential is –70 mV and the temperature is 37°C.

5. Indicate whether the following compounds are likely to cross a membrane by nonmediated or mediated transport: (a) ethanol, (b) glycine, (c) cholesterol, (d) ATP.

6. Rank the rate of transmembrane diffusion of the following compounds:

A. Acetamide

B. Butyramide

C. Urea

7. The smallest β-barrel protein contains only eight β strands. Explain why porins, which are also β barrels, usually contain at least 16 or 18 strands.

8. Which amino acids would you expect to be particularly abundant at the entrance of a porin that is specific for phosphate ions?

9. The diameter of the KcsA K^+ channel is ~6 Å. Why can't H_2O (diameter 2.75 Å) pass through this channel?

10. In addition to neurons, muscle cells undergo depolarization, although smaller and slower than in the neuron, as a result of the activity of the acetylcholine receptor.

(a) The acetylcholine receptor is also a gated ion channel. What triggers the gate to open?

(b) The acetylcholine receptor/ion channel is specific for Na^+ ions. Would Na^+ ions flow in or out? Why?

(c) How would the Na^+ flow through the ion channel change the membrane potential?

11. Explain why Na^+ and K^+ ions usually move more slowly through pumps than through channels.

12. Why would overexpression of an MDR transporter in a cancer cell make the cancer more difficult to treat?

13. If the ATP supply in the cell shown in Fig. 10-22*c* suddenly vanished, would the intracellular glucose concentration increase, decrease, or remain the same?

14. The bacterial Na^+–H^+ antiporter is a secondary active transport protein that excretes excess Na^+ from the cell. Is the extracellular pH higher or lower than the intracellular pH?

15. A certain membrane protein allows phosphate groups to enter a eukaryotic cell. (a) Would you expect the protein to function more like KcsA or more like GLUT1? (b) Phosphate ions enter the cell along with H^+ ions. Describe this transport system using the terms introduced in Fig. 10-15. (c) The eukaryotic intracellular pH is typically slightly lower than the extracellular pH. Does this suggest that the phosphate transporter carries out secondary active transport?

16. *E. coli* cells transport xylose across the cell membrane via a symport system that uses the free energy of a proton gradient. The intracellular pH is higher than the extracellular pH. (a) Is xylose moving up or down its concentration gradient? Into or out of the cell? (b) Draw a diagram of the xylose transport system.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

17. What happens to K^+ transport by valinomycin when the membrane is cooled below its transition temperature?

18. How long would it take 100 molecules of valinomycin to transport enough K^+ to change the concentration inside an erythrocyte of volume 100 μm^3 by 10 mM? (Assume that the valinomycin does not also transport any K^+ out of the cell, which it really does, and that the valinomycin molecules outside the cell are always saturated with K^+.)

19. The compound shown below is the antiparasitic drug miltefosine.

Miltefosine

(a) Is this compound a glycerophospholipid?

(b) How does miltefosine likely cross the parasite cell membrane?

(c) In what part(s) of the cell would the drug tend to accumulate? Explain.

(d) Miltefosine binds to a protein that also binds some sphingolipids and some glycerophospholipids. What feature common to all these compounds is recognized by the protein? The protein does not bind triacylglycerols.

20. In eukaryotes, ribosomes (approximate mass 4×10^6 D) are assembled inside the nucleus, which is enclosed by a double membrane. Protein synthesis occurs in the cytosol. (a) Could a protein similar to a porin or the glucose transporter be responsible for transporting ribosomes into the cytoplasm? Explain. (b) Would free energy be required to move a ribosome from the nucleus to the cytoplasm? Why or why not?

21. The rate of movement (flux) of a substance X into cells was measured at different concentrations of X to construct the following graph.

(a) Does this information suggest that the movement of X into the cells is mediated by a protein transporter? Explain.

(b) What additional experiment could you perform to verify that a transport protein is or is not involved?

22. Endothelial cells and pericytes in the retina of the eye have different mechanisms for glucose uptake. The figure shows the rate of glucose uptake for each type of cell in the presence of increasing amounts of sodium. What do these results reveal about the glucose transporter in each cell type?

23. Cells in the wall of the mammalian stomach secrete HCl at a concentration of 0.15 M. The secreted protons, which are derived from the intracellular hydration of CO_2 by carbonic anhydrase, are pumped

out by an (H^+–K^+)–ATPase antiport. A K^+–Cl^- co-transporter is also required to complete the overall transport process. (a) Calculate the pH of the secreted HCl. How does this compare to the cytosolic pH (7.4)? (b) Write the reaction catalyzed by carbonic anhydrase. (c) Draw a diagram to show how the action of both transport proteins results in the secretion of HCl.

24. Kidney cells contain a channel that allows intracellular ammonia to exit the cells. (a) Why did researchers originally believe that cells had no need for such a channel? (b) What is the free energy source for ammonia transport via the channel? (c) The same kidney cells also contain a proton pump that expels H^+ from the cells. What is the free energy source for this pump, and how does its action prevent ammonia from moving back into the kidney cells?

25. Most animals have neurons that respond to unfavorable environmental conditions by sending pain signals to the brain. For example, elevated [CO_2] triggers an influx of Na^+ that opens voltage-gated Na^+ channels to initiate an action potential. The naked mole rat (Section 8-2D), which lives in crowded underground colonies, has a voltage-gated Na^+ channel that is inhibited by protons. Explain why this is advantageous.

26. Scorpion toxin triggers an action potential in pain-sensing neurons in animals by binding to a specific receptor. The toxin also acts to delay the inactivation of the neurons' voltage-gated Na^+ channels. Explain how this helps the scorpion better avoid being eaten by an animal.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Porins: Maltoporin and OmpF

Exercise 2 Ion Channels

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case Study 3 Carbonic Anhydrase II Deficiency

Focus concept: Carbonic anhydrase plays a role in normal bone tissue formation.

Prerequisites: Chapters 2, 3, 4, and 10

- Amino acid structure
- The carbonic acid/bicarbonate blood buffering system
- Membrane transport proteins
- Basic genetics

Case Study 14 Shavings from the Carpenter's Bench: The Biological Role of the Insulin C-peptide

Focus concept: Recent experiments indicate that the insulin C-peptide, which is removed on conversion of proinsulin to insulin, may have biological activity in its own right.

Prerequisites: Chapters 4, 6, and 10

- Amino acid structure
- Principles of protein folding
- Membrane transport proteins

Case Study 17 A Possible Mechanism for Blindness Associated with Diabetes: Na^+-Dependent Glucose Uptake by Retinal Cells

Focus concept: Glucose transport into cells can influence collagen synthesis, which causes the basement membrane thickening associated with diabetic retinopathy.

Prerequisite: Chapter 10

- Transport proteins
- (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase and active transport

MORE TO EXPLORE The M2 protein encoded by the influenza virus is essential for infection. What ion is transported by the M2 protein, and what role does ion transport play in the flu virus life cycle? What drugs target the M2 protein? Why is it necessary to develop new drugs to block M2 function?

References

Passive-Mediated Transport

Deng, D., Xu, C., Sun, P., Wu, J., Yan, C, Hu, M., and Yan, N., Crystal structure of the human glucose transporter GLUT1, *Nature* **510**, 121–125 (2014).

Dutzler, R., Schirmer, T., Karplus, M., and Fischer, S., Translocation mechanism of long sugar chains across the maltoporin membrane channel, *Structure* **10**, 1273–1284 (2002).

Maeda, S., Nakagawa, S., Suga, M., Yamashita, E., Oshima, A., Fujiyoshi, Y., and Tsukihara, T., Structure of the connexin 26 gap junction channel at 3.5 Å resolution, *Nature* **458**, 597–602 (2009).

Walmsley, A.R., Barrett, M.P., Bringaud, F., and Gould, G.W., Sugar transporters from bacteria, parasites, and mammals: structure–activity relationships, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **22**, 476–481 (1998). [Provides structure–function analysis of sugar transporters with 12 transmembrane helices.]

Ion Channels

Dutzler, R., The ClC family of chloride channels and transporters, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **16**, 439–446 (2006).

Dutzler, R., Campbell, E.B., Cadene, M., Chait, B.T., and MacKinnon, R., X-Ray structure of a ClC chloride channel at 3.0 Å reveals the molecular basis of anion selectivity, *Nature* **415**, 287–294 (2002).

Jiang, Y., Lee, A., Chen, J., Ruta, V., Cadene, M., Chait, B.T., and MacKinnon, R., X-Ray structure of a voltage-dependent K^+ channel, *Nature* **423**, 33–41 (2003).

Long, S.B., Campbell, E.B., and MacKinnon, R., Voltage sensor of Kv1.2: Structural basis of electromechanical coupling, *Science* **30**, 903–908 (2005).

Roux, B., Ion conduction and selectivity in K^+ channels, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **34**, 153–171 (2005).

Aquaporins

Eriksson, U.K., Fischer, G., Friemann, R., Enkavi, G., Tajkhorshid, E., and Neutze, R., Subangstrom resolution X-ray structure details aquaporin-water interactions, *Science* **340**, 1346–1349 (2013).

Fu, D. and Lu, M., The structural basis of water permeation and proton exclusion in aquaporins, *Mol. Membrane Biol.* **24**, 366–374 (2007). [A review.]

King, L.S., Kozono, D., and Agre, P., From structure to disease: The evolving tale of aquaporin biology, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **5**, 687–698 (2004).

Active Transporters

Abramson, J., Smirnova, I., Kasho, V., Verner, G., Kaback, H.R., and Iwata, S., Structure and mechanism of the lactose permease of *Escherichia coli, Science* **301**, 610–615 (2003).

Aller, S.G., Yu, J., Ward, A., Weng, Y., Chittaboina, S., Zhuo, R., Harrell, P.M., Trinh, Y.T., Zhang, Q., Urbatsch, I.L., and Chang, G., Structure of P-glycoprotein reveals a molecular basis for poly-specific drug binding, *Science* **323**, 1718–1722 (2009).

Bezanilla, F., How membrane proteins sense voltage, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 323–332 (2008).

Gouaux, E. and MacKinnon, R., Principles of selective ion transport in channels and pumps, *Science* **310**, 1461–1465 (2005). [Compares several transport proteins of known structure and discusses the selectivity of Na^+, K^+, Ca^{2+}, and Cl^- transport.]

Hille, B., *Ionic Channels of Excitable Membranes* (3rd ed.), Sinauer Associates (2001).

Jones, P.M., O'Mara, M.L., and George, A.M., ABC transporters: a riddle wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **34**, 520–531 (2009).

Krishnamurthy, H., Piscitelli, C.L., and Gouaux, E., Unlocking the molecular secrets of sodium-coupled transporters, *Nature* **459**, 347–354 (2009).

Long, S.B., Tao, X., Campbell, E.B., and MacKinnon, R., Atomic structure of a voltage-dependent K^+ channel in a lipid membrane-like environment, *Nature* **450**, 376–382 (2007).

Preben Morth, J., Pedersen, B.P., Buch-Pedersen, M.J., Andersen, J.P., Vilsen, B., Palmgren, M.G., and Nissen, P., A structural overview of the plasma membrane Na^+,K^+-ATPase and H^+-ATPase ion pumps, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **12,** 60–70 (2011).

Olesen, C., Picard, M., Winther, A.-M.L., Gyrup, C., Morth, J.P., Oxvig, C., Møller, J.V., and Nissen, P., The structural basis of calcium transport by the calcium pump, *Nature* **450**, 1036–1042 (2007).

Rees, D.C., Johnson, E., and Lewinson, O., ABC transporters: the power to change, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 218–227 (2009).

Shinoda, T., Ogawa, H., Cornelius, F., and Toyoshima, C., Crystal structure of the sodium-potassium pump at 2.4 Å resolution, *Nature* **459**, 446–450 (2009). [The shark enzyme.]

Toyoshima, C. How Ca^{2+}-ATPase pumps ions across the sarcoplasmic reticulum membrane, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1793**, 941–946 (2009).

Wright, E.M., Hirayama, B.A., and Loo, D.F., Active sugar transport in health and disease, *J. Intern. Med.* **261**, 32–43 (2007).

Yan, N., Structural advances for the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **38**, 151–159 (2013).

CHAPTER 11

Enzymatic Catalysis

Chapter Contents

1 **General Properties of Enzymes**
- A Enzymes Are Classified by the Type of Reaction They Catalyze
- B Enzymes Act on Specific Substrates
- C Some Enzymes Require Cofactors

2 **Activation Energy and the Reaction Coordinate**

3 **Catalytic Mechanisms**
- A Acid–Base Catalysis Occurs by Proton Transfer
- B Covalent Catalysis Usually Requires a Nucleophile
- C Metal Ion Cofactors Act as Catalysts
- D Catalysis Can Occur through Proximity and Orientation Effects
- E Enzymes Catalyze Reactions by Preferentially Binding the Transition State

4 **Lysozyme**
- A Lysozyme's Catalytic Site Was Identified through Model Building
- B The Lysozyme Reaction Proceeds via a Covalent Intermediate

5 **Serine Proteases**
- A Active Site Residues Were Identified by Chemical Labeling
- B X-Ray Structures Provide Information about Catalysis, Substrate Specificity, and Evolution
- C Serine Proteases Use Several Catalytic Mechanisms
- D Zymogens Are Inactive Enzyme Precursors

Virtually all enzymes include a pocket, the active site, that is ideally shaped to bind specific substrates, hold them, and participate in the chemical reaction that transforms them to specific products. The structure of *E. coli* deoxyribose phosphate aldolase was determined by Chi-Huey Wong and Ian A. Wilson, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California. PDBid 1JCJ.

Living systems are shaped by an enormous variety of biochemical reactions, nearly all of which are mediated by a series of remarkable biological catalysts known as enzymes. **Enzymology**, the study of enzymes, has its roots in the early days of biochemistry; both disciplines evolved together from nineteenth-century investigations of fermentation and digestion. Initially, the inability to reproduce most biochemical reactions in the laboratory led Louis Pasteur and others to assume that living systems were endowed with a "vital force" that permitted them to evade the laws of nature governing inanimate matter. Some investigators, however, notably Justus von Liebig, argued that biological processes were caused by the action of chemical substances that were then known as "ferments." Indeed, the name "enzyme" (Greek: *en,* in + *zyme,* yeast) was coined in 1878 in an effort to emphasize that there is something *in* yeast, as opposed to the yeast itself, that catalyzes the reactions of fermentation. Eventually, Eduard Buchner showed that a cell-free yeast extract could in fact carry out the synthesis of ethanol from glucose (**alcoholic fermentation**; Section 15-3B):

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 \longrightarrow 2\ CH_3CH_2OH + 2\ CO_2$$

This chemical transformation actually proceeds in 12 enzyme-catalyzed steps.

The chemical composition of enzymes was not firmly established until 1926, when James Sumner crystallized jack bean **urease**, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea to NH_3 and CO_2, and demonstrated that the crystals consist of protein. Enzymological experience since then has amply demonstrated that nearly all biochemical reactions are catalyzed by proteins, although there are some notable exceptions.

Certain species of RNA molecules known as **ribozymes** also have enzymatic activity. These include ribosomal RNA, which catalyzes the formation of peptide bonds between amino acids during protein synthesis. In fact, laboratory experiments

have produced ribozymes that can catalyze reactions similar to those required for replicating DNA, transcribing it to RNA, and attaching amino acids to transfer RNA. These findings are consistent with a precellular world in which RNA molecules enjoyed a more exalted position as the catalytic workhorses of biochemistry. The present-day RNA catalysts are presumably vestiges of this earlier "RNA world." Proteins have largely eclipsed RNA as cellular catalysts, probably because of the greater chemical versatility of proteins. Whereas nucleic acids are polymers of four types of chemically similar monomeric units, proteins have at their disposal 20 types of amino acids with a greater variety of functional groups.

This chapter is concerned with one of the central questions of biochemistry: How do enzymes work? We will see that enzymes increase the rates of chemical reactions by lowering the free energy barrier that separates the reactants and products. Enzymes accomplish this feat through various mechanisms that depend on the arrangement of functional groups in the enzyme's **active site**, the region of the enzyme where catalysis occurs. In this chapter, we describe these mechanisms, along with examples that illustrate how enzymes combine several mechanisms to catalyze biological reactions. The following chapter includes a discussion of enzyme kinetics, the study of the rates at which such reactions occur.

1 General Properties of Enzymes

KEY CONCEPTS

- Enzymes differ from ordinary chemical catalysts in reaction rate, reaction conditions, reaction specificity, and control.
- The unique physical and chemical properties of the active site limit an enzyme's activity to specific substrates and reactions.
- Some enzymes require metal ions or organic cofactors.

Biochemical research since Pasteur's era has shown that, although enzymes are subject to the same laws of nature that govern the behavior of other substances, enzymes differ from ordinary chemical catalysts in several important respects:

1. ***Higher reaction rates.*** The rates of enzymatically catalyzed reactions are typically 10^6 to 10^{12} times greater than those of the corresponding uncatalyzed reactions (Table 11-1) and are at least several orders of magnitude greater than those of the corresponding chemically catalyzed reactions.
2. ***Milder reaction conditions.*** Enzymatically catalyzed reactions occur under relatively mild conditions: temperatures below 100°C, atmospheric pressure, and nearly neutral pH. In contrast, efficient chemical catalysis often requires elevated temperatures and pressures as well as extremes of pH.
3. ***Greater reaction specificity.*** Enzymes have a vastly greater degree of specificity with respect to the identities of both their **substrates** (reactants)

TABLE 11-1 Catalytic Power of Some Enzymes

Enzyme	Nonenzymatic Reaction Rate (s^{-1})	Enzymatic Reaction Rate (s^{-1})	Rate Enhancement
Carbonic anhydrase	1.3×10^{-1}	1×10^{6}	7.7×10^{6}
Chorismate mutase	2.6×10^{-5}	50	1.9×10^{6}
Triose phosphate isomerase	4.3×10^{-6}	4300	1.0×10^{9}
Carboxypeptidase A	3.0×10^{-9}	578	1.9×10^{11}
AMP nucleosidase	1.0×10^{-11}	60	6.0×10^{12}
Staphylococcal nuclease	1.7×10^{-13}	95	5.6×10^{14}

Source: Radzicka, A. and Wolfenden, R., *Science* **267**, 91 (1995).

and their products than do chemical catalysts; that is, enzymatic reactions rarely have side products.

4. ***Capacity for regulation.*** The catalytic activities of many enzymes vary in response to the concentrations of substances other than their substrates. The mechanisms of these regulatory processes include allosteric control, covalent modification of enzymes, and variation of the amounts of enzymes synthesized.

A Enzymes Are Classified by the Type of Reaction They Catalyze

Before delving further into the specific properties of enzymes, a word on nomenclature is in order. Enzymes are commonly named by appending the suffix *-ase* to the name of the enzyme's substrate or to a phrase describing the enzyme's catalytic action. Thus, urease catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea, and **alcohol dehydrogenase** catalyzes the oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols to their corresponding aldehydes and ketones by removing hydrogen. Since there were at first no systematic rules for naming enzymes, this practice occasionally resulted in two different names being used for the same enzyme or, conversely, in the same name being used for two different enzymes. Moreover, many enzymes, such as **catalase** (which mediates the dismutation of H_2O_2 to H_2O and O_2), were given names that provide no clue to their function. In an effort to eliminate this confusion and to provide rules for rationally naming the rapidly growing number of newly discovered enzymes, the following scheme for the systematic functional classification and nomenclature of enzymes was adopted by the International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (IUBMB).

Enzymes are classified and named according to the nature of the chemical reactions they catalyze. There are six major classes of enzymatic reactions (Table 11-2), as well as subclasses and sub-subclasses. Each enzyme is assigned two names and a four-part classification number. Its **accepted** or **recommended name** is convenient for everyday use and is often an enzyme's previously used name. Its **systematic name** is used when ambiguity must be minimized; it is the name of its substrate(s) followed by a word ending in *-ase* specifying the type of reaction the enzyme catalyzes according to its major group classification. For example, the enzyme whose recommended name is aconitase (Section 17-3B) has the systematic name aconitate hydratase and the classification number EC 4.2.1.3 ("EC" stands for Enzyme Commission, and the numbers represent the class, subclass, sub-subclass, and an arbitrarily assigned serial number in the sub-subclass). For our purposes, the recommended name of an enzyme is usually adequate. However, **EC classification** numbers are increasingly used in various Internet-accessible databases (Section 14-4C). Systematic names and EC classification numbers can be obtained via the Internet (http://expasy.org/enzyme/).

TABLE 11-2 Enzyme Classification According to Reaction Type

Classification	Type of Reaction Catalyzed
1. Oxidoreductases	Oxidation–reduction reactions
2. Transferases	Transfer of functional groups
3. Hydrolases	Hydrolysis reactions
4. Lyases	Group elimination to form double bonds
5. Isomerases	Isomerization
6. Ligases	Bond formation coupled with ATP hydrolysis

FIG. 11-1 An enzyme–substrate complex. The geometric and the electronic complementarity between the enzyme and substrate depend on noncovalent forces. Hydrophobic groups are represented by an h in a brown circle, and dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds.

B Enzymes Act on Specific Substrates

The noncovalent forces through which substrates and other molecules bind to enzymes are similar in character to the forces that dictate the conformations of the proteins themselves (Section 6-4A). Both involve van der Waals, electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic interactions. In general, a substrate-binding site consists of an indentation or cleft on the surface of an enzyme molecule that is complementary in shape to the substrate **(geometric complementarity).** Moreover, the amino acid residues that form the binding site are arranged to specifically attract the substrate (**electronic complementarity**, Fig. 11-1). Molecules that differ in shape or functional group distribution from the substrate cannot productively bind to the enzyme. X-Ray studies indicate that the substrate-binding sites of most enzymes are largely preformed but undergo some conformational change on substrate binding (a phenomenon called **induced fit**). The complementarity between enzymes and their substrates is the basis of the "lock-and-key" model of enzyme function first proposed by Emil Fischer in 1894. As we will see, such specific binding is necessary but not sufficient for efficient catalysis.

Enzymes Are Stereospecific. Enzymes are highly specific both in binding chiral substrates and in catalyzing their reactions. This **stereospecificity** arises because enzymes, by virtue of their inherent chirality (proteins consist of only L-amino acids), form asymmetric active sites. For example, the enzyme **aconitase** catalyzes the interconversion of citrate and isocitrate in the citric acid cycle (Section 17-3B):

Citrate **Isocitrate**

Citrate is a **prochiral** molecule; that is, it can become chiral through the substitution of one of its two carboxymethyl ($—CH_2COO^-$) groups (chirality is discussed in Section 4-2). These groups are chemically equivalent but occupy different positions relative to the OH and COO^- groups (likewise, your body is bilaterally symmetric but has distinguishable right and left sides). Aconitase can therefore distinguish between them because citrate interacts asymmetrically with the surface of the enzyme by making a three-point attachment (**Fig. 11-2**). Because there is only one productive way for citrate to bind to the enzyme, only one of its $—CH_2COO^-$ groups reacts to form isocitrate. The stereospecificity of aconitase is by no means unusual. As we consider biochemical reactions, we will find that *nearly all enzymes that participate in chiral reactions are absolutely stereospecific.*

FIG. 11-2 Stereospecificity in substrate binding. The specific binding of a prochiral molecule, such as citrate, in an enzyme active site allows the enzyme to differentiate between prochiral groups.

Enzymes Vary in Geometric Specificity. The stereospecificity of enzymes is not particularly surprising in light of the complementarity of an enzyme's binding site for its substrate. A substance of the wrong chirality will not fit productively into an enzymatic binding site for much the same reason that you cannot fit your right hand into your left glove. In addition to their stereospecificity, however, most enzymes are quite selective about the identities of the chemical groups on their substrates. Indeed, such **geometric specificity** is a more stringent requirement than is stereospecificity.

Enzymes vary considerably in their degree of geometric specificity. A few enzymes are absolutely specific for only one compound. Most enzymes, however, catalyze the reactions of a small range of related compounds although with different efficiencies. For example, alcohol dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidation of **ethanol** (CH_3CH_2OH) to **acetaldehyde** (CH_3CHO) faster than it oxidizes **methanol** (CH_3OH) to **formaldehyde** (H_2CO) or **isopropanol** [$(CH_3)_2CHOH$] to **acetone** [$(CH_3)_2CO$], even though methanol and isopropanol differ from ethanol by only the deletion or addition of a CH_2 group.

Some enzymes, particularly digestive enzymes, are so permissive in their ranges of acceptable substrates that their geometric specificities are more accurately described as preferences. Some enzymes are not even very specific in the type of reaction they catalyze. For example, chymotrypsin, in addition to its ability to mediate peptide bond hydrolysis, also catalyzes ester bond hydrolysis.

This property makes it convenient to measure chymotrypsin activity using small synthetic esters as substrates. Such permissiveness is much more the exception than the rule. Indeed, most intracellular enzymes function *in vivo* to catalyze a particular reaction on a particular substrate.

C Some Enzymes Require Cofactors

The functional groups of proteins, as we will see, can facilely participate in acid–base reactions, form certain types of transient covalent bonds, and take part in charge–charge interactions. They are, however, less suitable for catalyzing oxidation–reduction reactions and many types of group-transfer processes. Although enzymes catalyze such reactions, they can do so only in association with small **cofactors**, which essentially act as the enzymes' "chemical teeth" (Fig. 11-3).

FIG. 11-3 **Types of cofactors.**

Cofactors may be metal ions, such as Cu^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, or Zn^{2+}. The essential nature of these cofactors explains why organisms require trace amounts of certain elements in their diets. It also explains, in part, the toxic effects of certain heavy metals. For example, Cd^{2+} and Hg^{2+} can replace Zn^{2+} (all are in the same group of the periodic table) in the active sites of certain enzymes and thereby render these enzymes inactive.

Cofactors may also be organic molecules known as **coenzymes.** Some cofactors are only transiently associated with a given enzyme molecule, so that they function as **cosubstrates. Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+)** and **nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate ($NADP^+$)** are examples of cosubstrates (Fig. 11-4). For instance, NAD^+ is an obligatory oxidizing agent in the alcohol dehydrogenase (**ADH**) reaction:

$$\underset{\textbf{Ethanol}}{CH_3CH_2OH} + NAD^+ \underset{}{\overset{ADH}{\rightleftharpoons}} \underset{\textbf{Acetaldehyde}}{CH_3\overset{O}{\overset{\|}{C}}H} + NADH + H^+$$

The product NADH dissociates from the enzyme for eventual reoxidation to NAD^+ in an independent enzymatic reaction.

FIG. 11-4 **The structures and reaction of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+) and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate ($NADP^+$).** Their reduced forms are **NADH** and **NADPH.** These substances, which are collectively referred to as the **nicotinamide coenzymes** or **pyridine nucleotides** (nicotinamide is a pyridine derivative), function as intracellular electron carriers. Reduction (addition of electrons) formally involves the transfer of two hydrogen atoms (H•), or a hydride ion and a proton ($H:^- + H^+$). Note that only the nicotinamide ring is changed in the reaction.

Other cofactors, known as **prosthetic groups**, are permanently associated with their protein, often by covalent bonds. For example, a heme prosthetic group (Fig. 7-2) is tightly bound to proteins known as **cytochromes** (Fig. 6-32 and Box 18-1) through extensive hydrophobic and hydrogen-bonding interactions together with covalent bonds between the heme and specific protein side chains.

A catalytically active enzyme–cofactor complex is called a **holoenzyme**. The enzymatically inactive protein resulting from the removal of a holoenzyme's cofactor is referred to as an **apoenzyme**; that is,

$$\text{apoenzyme } (\textit{inactive}) + \text{cofactor} \rightleftharpoons \text{holoenzyme } (\textit{active})$$

Coenzymes Must Be Regenerated. Coenzymes are chemically changed by the enzymatic reactions in which they participate. *In order to complete the catalytic cycle, the coenzyme must return to its original state.* For a transiently bound coenzyme (cosubstrate), the regeneration reaction may be catalyzed by a different enzyme as we have seen to be the case for NAD^+. However, for a prosthetic group, regeneration occurs as part of the enzyme reaction sequence.

CHECKPOINT

- What properties distinguish enzymes from other catalysts?
- Describe how different enzymes are classified and named.
- What factors influence an enzyme's substrate specificity?
- Why are cofactors required for some enzymatic reactions?
- What is the relationship between cofactors, coenzymes, cosubstrates, and prosthetic groups?

2 Activation Energy and the Reaction Coordinate

KEY CONCEPT

- An enzyme provides a lower-energy pathway from substrate to product but does not affect the overall free energy change for the reaction.

Much of our understanding of how enzymes catalyze chemical reactions comes from **transition state theory**, which was developed in the 1930s, principally by Henry Eyring. Consider a bimolecular reaction involving three atoms, such as the reaction of a hydrogen atom with diatomic hydrogen (H_2) to yield a new H_2 molecule and a different hydrogen atom:

$$H_A{-}H_B + H_C \longrightarrow H_A + H_B{-}H_C$$

In this reaction, H_C must approach the diatomic molecule $H_A{-}H_B$ so that, at some point in the reaction, there exists a high-energy (unstable) complex represented as $H_A{\cdots}H_B{\cdots}H_C$. In this complex, the $H_A{-}H_B$ covalent bond is in the process of breaking while the $H_B{-}H_C$ bond is in the process of forming. The point of highest free energy is called the **transition state** of the system.

Reactants generally approach one another along the path of minimum free energy, their so-called **reaction coordinate**. A plot of free energy versus the reaction coordinate is called a **transition state diagram** or **reaction coordinate diagram** (**Fig. 11-5**). The reactants and products are states of minimum free energy, and the transition state corresponds to the highest point of the diagram. For the $H + H_2$ reaction, the reactants and products have the same free energy (**Fig. 11-5*a***). If the atoms in the reacting system are of different types, such as in the reaction

$$A + B \longrightarrow X^{\ddagger} \longrightarrow P + Q$$

where A and B are the reactants, P and Q are the products, and $X^{\ddagger}$ represents the transition state, the transition state diagram is no longer symmetrical because there is a free energy difference between the reactants and products (**Fig. 11-5*b***). In either case, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$, the free energy of the transition state less that of the reactants, is known as the **free energy of activation**.

Passage through the transition state requires only 10^{-13} to 10^{-14} s, so the concentration of the transition state in a reacting system is small. Hence, the decomposition of the transition state to products (or back to reactants) is postulated to be the rate-determining process of the overall reaction. Thermodynamic

FIG. 11-5 Transition state diagrams. (*a*) The H + H_2 reaction. The reactants and products correspond to low free energy structures. The point of highest free energy is the transition state, in which the reactants are partially converted to products. $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ is the free energy of activation, the difference in free energy between the reactants and the transition state, $X^{\ddagger}$. (*b*) Transition state diagram for the reaction A + B → P + Q. This is a spontaneous reaction; that is, $\Delta G_{reaction} < 0$ (the free energy of P + Q is less than the free energy of A + B).

? Draw a transition state diagram for a nonspontaneous reaction.

arguments lead to the conclusion that the reaction rate is proportional to $e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/RT}$, where R is the gas constant and T is the absolute temperature. Thus, *the greater the value of* $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$, *the slower the reaction rate.* This is because the larger the $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$, the smaller the number of reactant molecules that have sufficient thermal energy to achieve the transition state free energy.

Chemical reactions commonly consist of several steps. For a two-step reaction such as

$$A \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow P$$

where I is an intermediate of the reaction, there are two transition states and two activation energy barriers. The shape of the transition state diagram for such a reaction reflects the relative rates of the two steps (**Fig. 11-6**). If the activation energy of the first step is greater than that of the second step, then the first step is slower than the second step, and conversely, if the activation energy of the second

FIG. 11-6 Transition state diagram for a two-step reaction. The blue curve represents a reaction (A → I → P) whose first step is rate determining, and the red curve represents a reaction whose second step is rate determining.

FIG. 11-7 Effect of a catalyst on the transition state diagram of a reaction. Here $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat} = \Delta G^{\ddagger}(\text{uncat}) - \Delta G^{\ddagger}(\text{cat})$.

? Does the catalyst affect $\Delta G_{reaction}$?

step is greater. In a multistep reaction, the step with the highest transition state free energy acts as a "bottleneck" and is therefore said to be the **rate-determining step** of the reaction.

Catalysts Reduce $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. *Catalysts act by providing a reaction pathway with a transition state whose free energy is lower than that in the uncatalyzed reaction* (**Fig. 11-7**). The difference between the values of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for the uncatalyzed and catalyzed reactions, $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}$, indicates the efficiency of the catalyst. The **rate enhancement** (ratio of the rates of the catalyzed and uncatalyzed reactions) is given by $e^{\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/RT}$. Hence, at 25°C (298 K), a 10-fold rate enhancement requires a $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ of only 5.71 kJ · mol^{-1}, which is less than half the free energy of a typical hydrogen bond. Similarly, a millionfold rate acceleration occurs when $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat} \approx 34$ kJ · mol^{-1}, a small fraction of the free energy of most covalent bonds (see Sample Calculation 11-1). Thus, from a theoretical standpoint, tremendous catalytic efficiency seems within reach of the reactive groups that occur in the active sites of enzymes. How these groups actually function at the atomic level is the subject of much of this and other chapters.

Note that a catalyst lowers the free energy barrier by the same amount for both the forward and reverse reactions (Fig. 11-7). Consequently, a catalyst equally accelerates the forward and reverse reactions. Keep in mind also that while a catalyst can accelerate the conversion of reactants to products (or products back to reactants), the likelihood of the net reaction occurring in one direction or the other depends only on the free energy difference between the reactants

SAMPLE CALCULATION 11-1

By how much must an enzyme reduce the activation energy of a reaction at 37°C for the reaction to occur 50 times faster than in the absence of the enzyme?

Rate enhancement = 50 = $e^{\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/RT}$. Rearranging the equation gives

$$\ln 50 = \Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/RT$$
$$\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat} = RT \ln 50$$
$$= (8.3145\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})\,(37 + 273)\text{K} \ln 50$$
$$= 10{,}000\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = 10\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

CHECKPOINT

- Sketch and label the various parts of transition state diagrams for a reaction with and without a catalyst.
- What is the relationship between ΔG and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$?

and the products. If $\Delta G_{\text{reaction}} < 0$, the reaction proceeds spontaneously from reactants toward products; if $\Delta G_{\text{reaction}} > 0$, the reverse reaction proceeds spontaneously. *An enzyme cannot alter* $\Delta G_{\text{reaction}}$; *it can only decrease* $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ *to allow the reaction to more quickly approach equilibrium (where the rates of the forward and reverse reactions are equal) than it would in the absence of a catalyst.* The actual velocity with which reactants are converted to products is the subject of kinetics (Section 12-1).

3 Catalytic Mechanisms

KEY CONCEPTS

- Amino acid side chains that can donate or accept protons can participate in chemical reactions as acid or base catalysts.
- Nucleophilic groups can catalyze reactions through the transient formation of covalent bonds with the substrate.
- In metal ion catalysis, the unique electronic properties of the metal ion facilitate the reaction.
- Enzymes accelerate reactions by bringing reacting groups together and orienting them for reaction.
- Transition state stabilization can significantly lower the activation energy for a reaction.

Enzymes achieve their enormous rate accelerations via the same catalytic mechanisms used by chemical catalysts. Enzymes have simply been better designed through evolution. Enzymes, like other catalysts, reduce the free energy of the transition state ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$); that is, *they stabilize the transition state of the catalyzed reaction.* What makes enzymes such effective catalysts is their specificity of substrate binding combined with their arrangement of catalytic groups. As we will see, however, the distinction between substrate-binding groups and catalytic groups is somewhat arbitrary.

The types of catalytic mechanisms that enzymes employ have been classified as

1. Acid–base catalysis
2. Covalent catalysis
3. Metal ion catalysis
4. Proximity and orientation effects
5. Preferential binding of the transition state complex

In this section, we consider each of these types of mechanisms in turn. *Much can be learned about enzymatic reaction mechanisms by examining the corresponding nonenzymatic reactions of model compounds.* Both types of reactions can be described using the same conventions (Box 11-1).

A Acid–Base Catalysis Occurs by Proton Transfer

General acid catalysis *is a process in which proton transfer from an acid lowers the free energy of a reaction's transition state.* For example, an uncatalyzed keto–enol tautomerization reaction occurs quite slowly as a result of the high free energy of its carbanion-like transition state (**Fig. 11-8*a***; the transition state is drawn in square brackets to indicate its instability). Proton donation to the oxygen atom (**Fig. 11-8*b***), however, reduces the carbanion character of the transition state, thereby accelerating the reaction.

A reaction may also be stimulated by **general base catalysis** *if its rate is increased by proton abstraction by a base* (e.g., **Fig. 11-8*c***). Some reactions may be simultaneously subject to both processes; these are **concerted acid–base catalyzed reactions.**

Box 11-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry Drawing Reaction Mechanisms

While it is sometimes sufficient to draw the structures of a reaction's substrates and products, a full understanding of the reaction mechanism requires knowing how electrons are reallocated as covalent bonds are broken and re-formed. Biochemists use the **curved arrow convention** to show how pairs of electrons are rearranged during a reaction. Although single-electron reactions also occur in biochemistry, we will focus on the more common two-electron reactions.

The movement of an electron pair (which may be either a lone pair or a pair forming a covalent bond) is symbolized by a curved arrow emanating from the electron pair and pointing to the electron-deficient center attracting the electron pair. For example, bond breakage is shown as

$$X{-}Y \longrightarrow X^{+} + Y^{-}$$

and bond formation as

$$X^{+} + {:}Y^{-} \longrightarrow X{-}Y$$

An example of a more complicated reaction requiring several curved arrows is the formation of an imine **(Schiff base),** a biochemically important reaction between an amine and an aldehyde or ketone:

Amine **Aldehyde or ketone** **Carbinolamine intermediate** **Imine (Schiff base)**

In the first reaction step, the amine's unshared electron pair adds to the electron-deficient carbonyl carbon while one electron pair from its C═O double bond transfers to the oxygen atom. Following tautomerization (the shift of a hydrogen atom), the unshared electron pair on the nitrogen atom of the carbinolamine intermediate adds to the electron-deficient carbon atom with the elimination of a hydroxide ion.

Familiarity with the relative electronegativities of atoms

$$O > N \gg C \approx H$$

may be helpful in predicting the movement of electrons, since electrons—either those in covalent bonds or in lone pairs—tend to move from less electronegative atoms toward more electronegative atoms. Showing lone electron pairs as dots can help identify electron-poor and electron-rich atoms. In addition, *the rules of chemical reason apply to the system:* For example, there are never five bonds to a carbon atom or two bonds to a hydrogen atom.

FIG. 11-8 Mechanisms of keto–enol tautomerization. (*a*) Uncatalyzed. (*b*) General acid catalyzed. (*c*) General base catalyzed. The acid is represented as H—A, the base as $\ddot{B}$, and δ indicates a partial negative or positive charge.

Box 11-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry Effects of pH on Enzyme Activity

Most enzymes are active within only a narrow pH range, typically 5 to 9. This is a result of the effects of pH on a combination of factors: (1) the binding of substrate to enzyme, (2) the ionization states of the amino acid residues involved in the catalytic activity of the enzyme, (3) the ionization of the substrate, and (4) the variation of protein structure (usually significant only at extremes of pH).

The rates of many enzymatic reactions exhibit bell-shaped curves as a function of pH. For example, the pH dependence of the rate of the reaction catalyzed by **fumarase** (Section 17-3G) produces the following curve:

Such curves reflect the ionization of certain amino acid residues that must be in a specific ionization state for enzymatic activity. The observed p*K*'s (the inflection points of the curve) often provide valuable clues to the identities of the amino acid residues essential for enzymatic activity. For example, an observed p*K* of ~4 suggests that an Asp or Glu residue is essential to the enzyme. Similarly, p*K*s of ~6 or ~10 suggest the participation of a His or a Lys residue, respectively. However, the p*K* of a given acid–base group may vary by as much as several pH units from its expected value, depending on its microenvironment (e.g., an Asp residue in a nonpolar environment or in close proximity to another Asp residue would attract protons more strongly than otherwise and hence have a higher p*K*). Furthermore, pH effects on an enzymatic rate may reflect denaturation of the enzyme rather than protonation or deprotonation of specific catalytic residues. The replacement of a particular residue by site-directed mutagenesis or comparisons of enzyme variants generated by evolution is a more reliable approach to identifying residues that are required for substrate binding or catalysis.

In addition to pH, other environmental factors, such as temperature and salt concentration, affect enzyme catalysis, typically producing bell-shaped activity curves as shown here for pH. The optimal conditions (the peak of the activity curve) frequently correspond to the prevailing environmental conditions of the cell or organism, indicating that evolution has fine-tuned enzymes for maximum efficiency.

[Figure adapted from Tanford, C., *Physical Chemistry of Macromolecules*, p. 647, Wiley (1961).]

Many types of biochemical reactions are susceptible to acid and/or base catalysis. The side chains of the amino acid residues Asp, Glu, His, Cys, Tyr, and Lys have p*K*'s in or near the physiological pH range (Table 4-1), which permits them to act as acid and/or base catalysts. Indeed, *the ability of enzymes to arrange several catalytic groups around their substrates makes concerted acid–base catalysis a common enzymatic mechanism.* The catalytic activity of these enzymes is sensitive to pH, since the pH influences the state of protonation of side chains at the active site (Box 11-2).

RNase A Is an Acid–Base Catalyst. Bovine pancreatic RNase A provides an example of enzymatically mediated acid–base catalysis. This digestive enzyme (**Fig. 11-9**) is secreted by the pancreas into the small intestine, where it hydrolyzes RNA to its component nucleotides. The isolation of 2′,3′-cyclic nucleotides from RNase A digests of RNA indicates that 2′,3′-cyclic nucleotides are intermediates in the RNase A reaction (**Fig. 11-10**). The pH dependence of the rate of the RNase A reaction suggests the involvement of two ionizable residues with p*K* values of 5.4 and 6.4. This information, together with chemical derivatization and X-ray studies, indicates that RNase A has two essential His residues, His 12 and His 119, that act in a concerted manner as general acid and base catalysts. Evidently, RNase A catalyzes a two-step reaction (Fig. 11-10):

FIG. 11-9 X-Ray structure of bovine pancreatic RNase S. A nonhydrolyzable substrate analog, the dinucleotide phosphonate UpcA (*red*), is bound in the active site. RNase S is a catalytically active form of RNase A in which the peptide bond between residues 20 and 21 has been hydrolyzed. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 11-10 The RNase A mechanism. The bovine pancreatic RNase A–catalyzed hydrolysis of RNA is a two-step process with the intermediate formation of a 2′,3′-cyclic nucleotide. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Assign a p*K* value (5.4 or 6.4) to each His residue.

1. His 12, acting as a general base, abstracts a proton from an RNA 2′-OH group, thereby promoting its nucleophilic attack on the adjacent phosphorus atom. His 119, acting as a general acid, promotes bond scission by protonating the leaving group.
2. After the leaving group departs, water enters the active site, and the 2′,3′-cyclic intermediate is hydrolyzed through what is essentially the reverse of the first step. Thus, His 12 now acts as a general acid and His 119 as a general base to yield the hydrolyzed RNA and the enzyme in its original state.

B Covalent Catalysis Usually Requires a Nucleophile

Covalent catalysis *accelerates reaction rates through the transient formation of a catalyst–substrate covalent bond.* Usually, this covalent bond is formed by the reaction of a nucleophilic group on the catalyst with an electrophilic group on the substrate, and hence this form of catalysis is often also called **nucleophilic catalysis**. The decarboxylation of acetoacetate, as chemically catalyzed by primary amines, is an example of such a process (Fig. 11-11). In the first stage of this reaction, the amine, a nucleophile, attacks the carbonyl group of acetoacetate to form a Schiff base (imine bond; the same reaction shown in Box 11-1):

The protonated nitrogen atom of the covalent intermediate then acts as an electron sink (Fig. 11-11, *bottom*) to reduce the high-energy enolate character of the transition state. The formation and decomposition of the Schiff base occurs quite rapidly so that it is not the rate-determining step of this reaction.

Covalent catalysis can be conceptually decomposed into three stages:

1. The nucleophilic reaction between the catalyst and the substrate to form a covalent bond.

FIG. 11-11 The decarboxylation of acetoacetate. The uncatalyzed reaction mechanism is at the top, and the mechanism as catalyzed by primary amines is at the bottom.

? **Add curved arrows to describe electron movements in the steps represented by the vertical arrows.**

2. The withdrawal of electrons from the reaction center by the now electrophilic catalyst.
3. The elimination of the catalyst, a reaction that is essentially the reverse of stage 1.

The nucleophilicity of a substance is closely related to its basicity. Indeed, the mechanism of nucleophilic catalysis resembles that of base catalysis except that, instead of abstracting a proton from the substrate, the catalyst nucleophilically attacks the substrate to form a covalent bond. Biologically important nucleophiles are negatively charged or contain unshared electron pairs that easily form covalent bonds with electron-deficient centers (**Fig. 11-12*a***). Electrophiles, in contrast, include groups that are positively charged, contain an unfilled valence electron shell, or contain an electronegative atom (**Fig. 11-12*b***).

An important aspect of covalent catalysis is that *the more stable the covalent bond formed, the less easily it can decompose in the final steps of a reaction.* A good covalent catalyst must therefore combine the seemingly contradictory properties of high nucleophilicity and the ability to form a good leaving group—that is, to easily reverse the bond formation step. Groups with high polarizability (highly mobile electrons), such as imidazole and thiol groups, have these properties and hence make good covalent catalysts. Functional groups in proteins that act in this way include the unprotonated amino group of Lys, the imidazole group of His, the thiol group of Cys, the carboxyl group of Asp, and the hydroxyl group of Ser. In addition, several coenzymes, notably **thiamine pyrophosphate** (Section 15-3B) and **pyridoxal phosphate** (Section 21-2A), function in association with their apoenzymes as covalent catalysts. The large variety of covalently linked enzyme–substrate reaction intermediates that have been isolated demonstrates that enzymes commonly employ covalent catalytic mechanisms.

C Metal Ion Cofactors Act as Catalysts

Nearly one-third of all known enzymes require metal ions for catalytic activity. This group of enzymes includes the **metalloenzymes,** which contain tightly bound metal ion cofactors, most commonly transition metal ions such as Fe^{2+}, Fe^{3+}, Cu^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, or Co^{2+}. These catalytically essential metal ions are distinct from ions such as Na^+, K^+, or Ca^{2+}, which often play a structural rather than a catalytic role in enzymes. Ions such as Mg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} may be either structural or catalytic.

FIG. 11-12 Biologically important nucleophilic and electrophilic groups. (*a*) Nucleophilic groups such as hydroxyl, sulfhydryl, amino, and imidazole groups are nucleophiles in their basic forms. (*b*) Electrophilic groups contain an electron-deficient atom (*red*).

? Identify the amino acid side chains that include the nucleophiles and electrophiles shown here.

(a)

(b)

Im = imidazole

FIG. 11-13 The role of Zn^{2+} in carbonic anhydrase. (*a*) The active site of the human enzyme. The polypeptide is shown in ribbon form (*gold*) with its side chains shown in stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, and O red). The Zn^{2+} ion (*cyan sphere*) is liganded to three His side chains and the HCO_3^- ion, which is shown in ball-and-stick form. The HCO_3^- ion also makes van der Waals contacts (*dot surface*) and hydrogen bonds (*dashed gray lines*) with a Thr and Glu residue. [Based on an X-ray structure by K.K. Kannan, Bhabha Atomic Research Center, Bombay, India. PDBid 1HCB.] (*b*) The reaction catalyzed by carbonic anhydrase. Im represents the His imidazole group.

Metal ions participate in the catalytic process in three major ways:

1. By binding to substrates to orient them properly for reaction.
2. By mediating oxidation–reduction reactions through reversible changes in the metal ion's oxidation state.
3. By electrostatically stabilizing or shielding negative charges.

In many metal ion–catalyzed reactions, the metal ion acts in much the same way as a proton to neutralize negative charge. Yet metal ions are often much more effective catalysts than protons because metal ions can be present in high concentrations at neutral pH (at which $[H^+] = 10^{-7}$ M) and may have charges greater than +1.

A metal ion's charge also makes its bound water molecules more acidic than free H_2O and therefore a source of nucleophilic OH^- ions even below neutral pH. An excellent example of this phenomenon occurs in the catalytic mechanism of carbonic anhydrase (Box 2-2), a widely occurring enzyme that catalyzes the reaction

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + H^+$$

Carbonic anhydrase contains an essential Zn^{2+} ion that the enzyme's X-ray structure indicates lies at the bottom of a 15-Å-deep active site cleft, where it is tetrahedrally coordinated by three evolutionarily invariant His side chains (**Fig. 11-13*a***). The Zn^{2+} ion polarizes a water molecule (not visualized in Fig. 11-13*a*) so it ionizes to form OH^-, which nucleophilically attacks the substrate CO_2 to yield HCO_3^- (**Fig. 11-13*b***). The proton produced in the reaction is shuttled to the enzyme's surface through base catalysis that is facilitated by a fourth His residue (His 64). The enzyme's catalytic site is then regenerated by the binding of another H_2O to the Zn^{2+} ion.

D Catalysis Can Occur through Proximity and Orientation Effects

Although enzymes employ catalytic mechanisms that resemble those of organic model reactions, they are far more catalytically efficient than the models. Such efficiency must arise from the specific physical conditions at enzyme catalytic sites that promote the corresponding chemical reactions. The most obvious effects are **proximity** and **orientation:** Reactants must come together with the proper spatial relationship for a reaction to occur. Consider the bimolecular reaction of imidazole with ***p*-nitrophenylacetate.**

The progress of the reaction is conveniently monitored by the appearance of the intensely yellow ***p*-nitrophenolate** ion. The related intramolecular reaction

occurs about 24 times faster. Thus, when the imidazole is covalently attached to the reactant, it is 24 times more effective than when it is free in solution. This rate enhancement results from both proximity and orientation effects.

By simply binding their substrates, enzymes facilitate their catalyzed reactions in four ways:

1. Enzymes bring substrates into contact with their catalytic groups and, in reactions with more than one substrate, with each other. However, calculations based on simple model systems suggest that such proximity effects alone can enhance reaction rates by no more than a factor of ~5.
2. Enzymes bind their substrates in the proper orientations for reaction. Molecules are not equally reactive in all directions. Rather, *they react most readily if they have the proper relative orientation.* For example, in an S_N2 (bimolecular nucleophilic substitution) reaction, the incoming nucleophile optimally attacks its target along the direction opposite to that of the bond to the leaving group (**Fig. 11-14**). Reacting atoms whose approaches deviate by as little as 10° from this optimum direction are significantly less reactive. It is estimated that properly orienting substrates can increase reaction rates by a factor of up to ~100. Enzymes, as we will see, align their substrates and catalytic groups so as to optimize reactivity.
3. Charged groups may help stabilize the transition state of the reaction, a phenomenon termed **electrostatic catalysis**. The expulsion of water from the active site may enhance this effect. The charge distribution around the active sites of enzymes may also guide polar substrates toward their binding site.
4. Enzymes freeze out the relative translational and rotational motions of their substrates and catalytic groups. This is an important aspect of catalysis because, in the transition state, the reacting groups have little relative motion. Indeed, experiments with model compounds suggest that *this effect can promote rate enhancements of up to ~10^7*!

Bringing substrates and catalytic groups together in a reactive orientation orders them and therefore has a substantial entropic penalty. The free energy required to overcome this entropy loss is supplied by the binding energy of the substrate(s)

FIG. 11-14 The geometry of an S_N2 reaction. (1) The attacking nucleophile, Y^-, must approach the tetrahedrally coordinated and hence sp^3-hybridized C atom along the direction opposite that of its bond to the leaving group, X. In the transition state of the reaction, the C atom becomes trigonal bipyramidally coordinated and hence sp^2–p hybridized, with the p orbital (*blue*) forming partial bonds to X and Y. The three sp^2 orbitals form bonds to the C atom's three other substituents (R, R′, and R″), which have shifted their positions into the plane perpendicular to the X—C—Y axis (*curved arrows*). Any deviation from this optimal geometry would increase the free energy of the transition state, $\Delta G^\ddagger$, and hence reduce the rate of the reaction. **(2)** The transition state then decomposes to products in which R, R′, and R″ have inverted their positions about the C atom, which has rehybridized to sp^3, and X^- has been released.

to the enzyme and contributes to the decreased $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. Keep in mind, however, that enzymes are dynamic molecules that may adopt a variety of different conformations before and after binding their substrates. Indeed, such flexibility is essential for an enzyme to productively interact with its substrates, transition state, and products during the course of a reaction.

E Enzymes Catalyze Reactions by Preferentially Binding the Transition State

The rate enhancements effected by enzymes are often greater than can be reasonably accounted for by the catalytic mechanisms discussed so far. However, we have not yet considered one of the most important mechanisms of enzymatic catalysis: *An enzyme may bind the transition state of the reaction it catalyzes with greater affinity than its substrates or products.* When taken together with the previously described catalytic mechanisms, preferential transition state binding explains the observed rates of enzyme-catalyzed reactions.

The original concept of transition state binding proposed that enzymes mechanically strained their substrates toward the transition state geometry through binding sites into which undistorted substrates did not fit properly. Such strain promotes many organic reactions. For example, the rate of the reaction on the left is 315 times faster when R is CH_3 rather than H because of the greater steric repulsion between the CH_3 groups and the reacting groups. The strained reactant more closely resembles the transition state of the reaction than does the corresponding unstrained reactant. Thus, as was first suggested by Linus Pauling and further amplified by Richard Wolfenden and Gustav Lienhard, *enzymes that preferentially bind the transition state structure increase its concentration and therefore proportionally increase the reaction rate*.

The more tightly an enzyme binds its reaction's transition state relative to the substrate, the greater is the rate of the catalyzed reaction relative to that of the uncatalyzed reaction; that is, catalysis results from the preferential binding and therefore the stabilization of the transition state relative to the substrate (**Fig. 11-15**). In other words, the free energy difference between an enzyme–substrate complex (ES) and an enzyme–transition state complex ($ES^{\ddagger}$) is less than the free energy difference between S and $S^{\ddagger}$ in an uncatalyzed reaction.

FIG. 11-15 Effect of preferential transition state binding. The reaction coordinate diagram for a hypothetical enzyme-catalyzed reaction involving a single substrate is blue, and the diagram for the corresponding uncatalyzed reaction is red. $\Delta G_N^{\ddagger}$ is the free energy of activation for the nonenzymatic reaction and $\Delta G_E^{\ddagger}$ is the free energy of activation for the enzyme-catalyzed reaction. The small dips in the reaction coordinate diagram for the enzyme-catalyzed reaction arise from the binding of substrate and product to the enzyme.
WPLS See the Animated Figures.

As we saw in Section 11-2, the rate enhancement of a catalyzed reaction is given by $e^{\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/RT}$ where $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ is the difference in the values of $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for the uncatalyzed ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}_N$) and the catalyzed ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}_E$) reactions. Thus, a rate enhancement of 10^6, which requires that an enzyme bind its transition state complex with 10^6-fold higher affinity than its substrate, corresponds to a 34.2 kJ · mol^{-1} stabilization at 25°C, roughly the free energy of two hydrogen bonds. Consequently, the enzymatic binding of a transition state by two hydrogen bonds that cannot form when the substrate first binds to the enzyme should result in a rate enhancement of $\sim 10^6$ based on this effect alone.

It is commonly observed that an enzyme binds poor substrates, which have low reaction rates, as well as or even better than good ones, which have high reaction rates. Thus, a good substrate does not necessarily bind to its enzyme with high affinity, but it does so on activation to the transition state. Thus, Fischer's lock-and-key model for enzyme action applies more to transition state binding than to substrate binding.

For at least some enzymes, transition state stabilization makes only a minor contribution to rate enhancement. In many such cases, as Thomas Bruice has explained, the enzyme promotes the reaction by instead stabilizing the so-called near attack conformation, a step along the reaction coordinate in which the reactants are properly oriented and in van der Waals contact but have not yet reached the transition state. These findings suggest that enzymes have evolved to use a variety of strategies—including stabilizing the transition state or something leading up to it—to accelerate chemical reactions.

Transition State Analogs Are Enzyme Inhibitors. *If an enzyme preferentially binds its transition state, then it can be expected that* ***transition state analogs****, stable molecules that geometrically and electronically resemble the transition state, are potent inhibitors of the enzyme.* For example, the reaction catalyzed by **proline racemase** from *Clostridium sticklandii* is thought to occur via a planar transition state:

L-Proline **Planar transition state** **D-Proline**

Proline racemase is inhibited by the planar analogs of proline, **pyrrole-2-carboxylate** and **Δ-1-pyrroline-2-carboxylate** (*at right*), both of which bind to the enzyme with 160-fold greater affinity than does proline. These compounds are therefore thought to be analogs of the transition state in the proline racemase reaction.

Hundreds of transition state analogs for various enzymes have been reported. Some are naturally occurring antibiotics. Others were designed to investigate the mechanism of particular enzymes or to act as specific enzyme inhibitors for therapeutic or agricultural use. Indeed, *the theory that enzymes bind transition states with higher affinity than substrates has led to a rational basis for drug design based on the understanding of specific enzyme reaction mechanisms* (Section 12-4).

Pyrrole-2-carboxylate

Δ-1-Pyrroline-2-carboxylate

CHECKPOINT

- Describe how protein functional groups can act as acid and base catalysts. How is it possible for a single amino acid side chain to function as both?
- Explain how nucleophiles function as covalent catalysts. Which amino acids are good at this?
- List the ways in which metal ions participate in catalysis.
- What roles do proximity and orientation play in enzymatic catalysis?
- Why is it unlikely that nonenzymatic catalysts operate by preferentially binding the transition state?

4 Lysozyme

KEY CONCEPTS

- Model building indicates that binding to lysozyme distorts the substrate sugar residue.
- Lysozyme's active site Asp and Glu residues promote substrate hydrolysis by acid–base catalysis, covalent catalysis, and stabilization of an oxonium ion transition state.

In the remainder of this chapter, we investigate the catalytic mechanisms of some well-characterized enzymes. In doing so, we will see how enzymes apply the catalytic principles described in the preceding section.

Lysozyme is an enzyme that destroys bacterial cell walls. It does so by hydrolyzing the β(1→4) glycosidic linkages from ***N*-acetylmuramic acid (NAM** or **MurNAc)** to ***N*-acetylglucosamine (NAG** or **GlcNAc)** in cell wall peptidoglycans (**Fig. 11-16** and Section 8-3B). It likewise hydrolyzes β(1→4)-linked

FIG. 11-16 The lysozyme cleavage site. The enzyme cleaves after a β(1→4) linkage in the alternating NAG—NAM polysaccharide component of bacterial cell walls.

poly(NAG) (chitin; Section 8-2B), a cell wall constituent of most fungi as well as the major component of the exoskeletons of insects and crustaceans. Lysozyme occurs widely in the cells and secretions of vertebrates, where it probably functions as a bactericidal agent or helps dispose of bacteria after they have been killed by other means.

Hen egg white (HEW) lysozyme is the most widely studied species of lysozyme (in part because each chicken egg contains ~5 g of lysozyme) and is one of the mechanistically best understood enzymes. It is a rather small protein (14.3 kD) whose single polypeptide chain consists of 129 amino acid residues and is internally cross-linked by four disulfide bonds. Lysozyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of its substrate at a rate that is $\sim 10^8$-fold greater than that of the uncatalyzed reaction.

A Lysozyme's Catalytic Site Was Identified through Model Building

GATEWAY CONCEPT Catalysis

An enzyme does not act at a distance to magically lower the activation energy for a chemical reaction. Even without forming a covalent reaction intermediate, an enzyme must interact closely with its substrates. This intimate contact makes it possible for an enzyme to provide a lower free energy of activation—and therefore faster—pathway from reactants to products than the uncatalyzed pathway.

The X-ray structure of HEW lysozyme (**Fig. 11-17**), which was elucidated by David Phillips in 1965 (it was the first enzyme to have its structure determined), shows that the protein molecule is roughly ellipsoidal in shape with dimensions 30 × 30 × 45 Å. *Its most striking feature is a prominent cleft, the substrate-binding site, that traverses one face of the molecule.*

The elucidation of an enzyme's mechanism of action requires a knowledge of the structure of its enzyme–substrate complex. This is because, even if the active site residues have been identified through chemical and physical means, their three-dimensional arrangement relative to the substrate as well as to each other must be known in order to understand how the enzyme works. However, an enzyme binds its good substrates only transiently before it catalyzes a reaction and releases products. Consequently, much of our structural knowledge of enzyme–substrate complexes comes from X-ray studies of enzymes in their complexes with substrate analogs that bind but do not react or react very slowly.

Phillips' X-ray structure of lysozyme includes the trisaccharide $(NAG)_3$, which is only slowly hydrolyzed by lysozyme. However, the enzyme efficiently catalyzes the hydrolysis of substrates containing at least six saccharide units, so

FIG. 11-17 X-Ray structure of HEW lysozyme in complex with $(NAG)_6$. The protein is represented by its transparent molecular surface with its polypeptide chain in worm form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The $(NAG)_6$, which is drawn in stick form with its sugar rings designated A, at its nonreducing end, through F, at its reducing end, binds in a deep cleft in the enzyme surface. Rings A, B, and C (colored according to atom type with C green, N blue, and O red) are observed in the X-ray structure of the complex of $(NAG)_3$ with lysozyme; the positions of rings D, E, and F (C atoms cyan) were inferred by model building. The side chains of lysozyme's active site residues, Glu 35 and Asp 52, which are drawn in space-filling form (C atoms yellow), catalyze the hydrolysis of the glycosidic bond between rings D and E. [Based on an X-ray structure by David Phillips, Oxford University. PDBid 1HEW.]

? Aside from the active-site Asp and Glu, what residues would you expect to find in lysozyme's substrate-binding cleft?

FIG. 11-18 Chair and half-chair conformations. Hexose rings normally assume the chair conformation. However, binding to lysozyme distorts the D ring into the half-chair conformation in which atoms C1, C2, C5, and O5 are coplanar. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Add the appropriate substituents to each carbon to make NAG and NAM.

Phillips used model building to investigate how a larger substrate could bind to the enzyme. Lysozyme's active site cleft is long enough to accommodate an oligosaccharide of six residues (designated A to F in Fig. 11-17). However, the fourth residue (D) appeared unable to bind to the enzyme because its C6 and O6 atoms too closely contacted protein side chains and residue C. This steric interference could be relieved by distorting the glucose ring from its normal chair conformation to that of a half-chair (Fig. 11-18). This distortion moves the C6 group from its normal equatorial position to an axial position, where it makes no close contacts and can hydrogen bond to the backbone carbonyl group of Gln 57 and the backbone amido group of Val 109. The other saccharide residues apparently bind to the enzyme without distortion and with a number of favorable hydrogen-bonding and van der Waals contacts. Some of these hydrogen bonds are diagrammed in Fig. 11-19.

In the enzyme's natural substrate, every second residue is an NAM. Model building, however, indicated that the enzyme could not accommodate a lactyl side chain in subsites C or E. Hence, the NAM residues must bind at positions B, D, and F, as drawn in Fig. 11-19. Moreover, since lysozyme hydrolyzes $(NAG)_6$ between residues D and E, it must cleave the glycosidic bond in its natural substrate between the NAM residue in subsite D and the NAG residue in subsite E.

The bond that lysozyme cleaves was identified by allowing lysozyme to catalyze the hydrolysis of $(NAG)_3$ in $H_2{}^{18}O$. The bond cleaved could either be between C1 and the bridge oxygen O1 or between O1 and C4 of the next sugar ring. The product of the hydrolysis reaction had ^{18}O bonded to the C1

FIG. 11-19 The interactions of lysozyme with its substrate. The view is into the binding cleft with the heavier edges of the rings facing the outside of the enzyme and the lighter ones against the bottom of the cleft.

Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.

atom of its newly liberated reducing terminus, thereby demonstrating that bond cleavage occurs between C1 and O1:

In addition, this reaction occurs with retention of configuration so that the D-ring product remains the β anomer.

B The Lysozyme Reaction Proceeds via a Covalent Intermediate

The reaction catalyzed by lysozyme, the hydrolysis of a glycoside, is the conversion of an acetal to a hemiacetal. Nonenzymatic acetal hydrolysis is an acid-catalyzed reaction that involves the protonation of a reactant oxygen atom followed by cleavage of its C—O bond (Fig. 11-20). This results in the transient formation of a resonance-stabilized carbocation that is called an **oxonium ion**. To attain resonance stabilization, the oxonium ion's R and R′ groups must be coplanar with its C, O, and H atoms. The oxonium ion then adds water to yield the hemiacetal and regenerate the acid catalyst. An enzyme that mediates acetal hydrolysis should therefore include an acid catalyst and possibly a group that can stabilize an oxonium ion transition state.

FIG. 11-20 The mechanism of the nonenzymatic acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of an acetal to a hemiacetal. The reaction involves the protonation of one of the acetal's oxygen atoms followed by cleavage of its C—O bond to form an alcohol (R″OH) and a resonance-stabilized carbocation (oxonium ion). The addition of water to the oxonium ion forms the hemiacetal and regenerates the H^+ catalyst. Note that the oxonium ion's C, O, H, R, and R′ atoms all lie in the same plane.

? **Write the net equation for this reaction.**

Glu 35 and Asp 52 Are Lysozyme's Catalytic Residues. The only functional groups in the immediate vicinity of lysozyme's reactive center that have the required catalytic properties are the side chains of Glu 35 and Asp 52. These side chains, which are disposed to either side of the glycosidic linkage to be cleaved (Fig. 11-17), have markedly different environments. Asp 52 is surrounded by several conserved polar residues with which it forms a complex hydrogen-bonded network. Asp 52 is therefore predicted to have a normal p*K*; that is, it should be unprotonated and hence negatively charged throughout the 3 to 8 pH range over which lysozyme is catalytically active. Thus, Asp 52 can function to electrostatically stabilize an oxonium ion. In contrast, the carboxyl group of Glu 35 is nestled in a predominantly nonpolar pocket where it remains protonated at unusually high pH values for carboxyl groups (its p*K* is ~6.2 as determined by NMR methods). This residue can therefore act as an acid catalyst. Studies using protein-modifying reagents and site-directed mutagenesis (e.g., changing Asp 52 to Asn and Glu 35 to Gln) have verified that these residues are catalytically important.

Lysozyme's catalytic mechanism occurs as follows (Fig. 11-21):

1. The enzyme attaches to a bacterial cell wall by binding to a hexasaccharide unit. This distorts the D residue toward the half-chair conformation.
2. Glu 35 transfers its proton to the O1 atom bridging the D and E rings, thereby facilitating cleavage of the C1—O1 bond (general acid catalysis). This step converts the D ring to a resonance-stabilized oxonium ion transition state whose formation is facilitated by the strain distorting it to the half-chair conformation (catalysis by the preferential binding of the transition state). The positively charged oxonium ion is stabilized by the presence of the nearby negatively charged Asp 52 carboxylate group (electrostatic catalysis). The E-ring product is released.
3. The Asp 52 carboxylate group nucleophilically attacks the now electron-poor C1 of the D ring to form a covalent glycosyl–enzyme intermediate (covalent catalysis).
4. Water replaces the E-ring product in the active site.
5. Hydrolysis of the covalent bond with the assistance of Glu 35 (general base catalysis), which involves another oxonium ion transition state, regenerates the active site groups. The enzyme then releases the D-ring product, completing the catalytic cycle.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 11-21 The lysozyme reaction mechanism. Glu 35 acts as an acid catalyst, and Asp 52 acts as a covalent catalyst. Only the substrate D and E rings are shown. R represents the *N*-acetyl group at C2, and R′ represents the CH_3CHCOO^- group at C3. The resonance-stabilized oxonium ion transition state requires that C1, C2, C5, and O5 be coplanar (*shading*), creating a half-chair conformation. Step 5 includes the participation of an oxonium ion transition state that is not shown. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Sketch a transition state diagram for this reaction.**

FIG. 11-22 Transition state analog inhibition of lysozyme. The δ-lactone analog of $(NAG)_4$ (*top*) resembles the transition state of the lysozyme reaction (*bottom*). Note that atoms C1, C2, C5, and O5 in each structure are coplanar (as indicated by shading), consistent with the half-chair conformation of the hexose ring.

The double-displacement mechanism diagrammed in Fig. 11-21 allows the incoming water molecule to attach to the same face of the D residue as the E residue it replaces. Consequently, the configuration of the D residue is retained. A single-displacement reaction, in which water directly displaces the leaving group, would invert the configuration at C1 of the D ring between the substrate and product, a result that is not observed.

Experimental Evidence Supports the Role of Strain in the Lysozyme Mechanism. Many of the structural and mechanistic investigations of lysozyme have focused on the catalytic role of strain. For example, the X-ray structure of lysozyme in complex with NAM—NAG—NAM shows that the trisaccharide binds, as predicted, to the B, C, and D subsites of lysozyme, *with the NAM in the D subsite distorted to the half-chair conformation.* This strained conformation is stabilized by a strong hydrogen bond between the D ring O6 and the backbone NH of Val 109 (as predicted by model building; Fig. 11-19). Indeed, the mutation of Val 109 to Pro, which lacks the NH group to make such a hydrogen bond, inactivates the enzyme.

As we have discussed in Section 11-3E, an enzyme that catalyzes a reaction by the preferential binding of its transition state has a greater binding affinity for an inhibitor that has the transition state geometry (a transition state analog) than it does for its substrate. The δ-lactone analog of $(NAG)_4$ (**Fig. 11-22**), which binds tightly to lysozyme, is a transition state analog of lysozyme since *this compound's lactone ring has the half-chair conformation that geometrically resembles the proposed oxonium ion transition state of the substrate's D ring.* X-Ray studies confirm that this inhibitor binds to lysozyme such that the lactone ring occupies the D subsite in a half-chair-like conformation.

Mass Spectrometry and X-Ray Crystallography Provide Support for Covalent Catalysis. The existence of a covalent reaction intermediate was difficult to verify. The lifetime of a glucosyl oxonium ion in water is $\sim 10^{-12}$ s. For such a short-lived intermediate to be experimentally observed, its rate of formation must be made significantly greater than its rate of breakdown. To do this, Stephen Withers capitalized on three phenomena. First, if the reaction goes through an oxonium ion transition state, its formation should be slowed by the electron-withdrawing effects of substituting F (the most electronegative element) at C2 of the D ring. Second, mutating Glu 35 to Gln (E35Q) removes the general acid–base catalyst, further slowing all steps involving the oxonium ion transition state. Third, substituting an additional F atom at C1 of the D ring accelerates the formation of the intermediate because this F is a good leaving group without the need of general acid catalysis. Making all three of these changes should increase the rate of formation of the proposed covalent intermediate relative to its breakdown and hence should result in its accumulation. Withers therefore incubated E35Q HEW lysozyme with NAG-β(1→4)-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-β-D-glucopyranosyl fluoride (**NAG2FGlcF;** *at left*). Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS; Section 5-3D) of this reaction mixture revealed a sharp peak at 14,683 D, consistent with the formation of the proposed covalent intermediate [lysozyme has a molecular mass of 14,314 D and that of the NAG-β(1→4)-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-β-D-glucopyranosyl group is 369 D].

NAG2FGlcF

CHECKPOINT

- Using lysozyme as an example, what can an enzyme's structure reveal about its catalytic mechanism?
- Why was an oxonium ion expected to be involved in the lysozyme reaction?
- Describe the experimental evidence that supports lysozyme's catalysis by acid–base catalysis, covalent catalysis, and transition state stabilization.

The X-ray structure of this covalent complex reveals an ~1.4-Å-long covalent bond between C1 of the D ring and a side chain carboxyl O of Asp 52. This D ring adopts an undistorted chair conformation, thus indicating that it is a reaction intermediate rather than an approximation of the transition state. The superposition of this covalent complex with that of the above-described complex of NAM—NAG—NAM with wild-type HEW lysozyme reveals how this covalent bond forms (**Fig. 11-23**). The shortening of the 3.2-Å distance between the D ring C1 and the Asp 52 O in the NAM—NAG—NAM complex to ~1.4 Å in the covalent complex occurs as the D ring relaxes from the half-chair to the chair conformation and the Asp 52 side chain rotates ~45° about its C_α—C_β bond.

FIG. 11-23 Position of the D ring during catalysis by lysozyme. The position of the substrate's C and D rings and the catalytic Asp 52 are shown in superimposed X-ray structures of the covalent complex between E35Q lysozyme and NAG2FGlcF (C green, N blue, O red, and F violet) and the noncovalent complex between lysozyme and a NAM—NAG—NAM substrate (C yellow, N blue, and O red). Note that the covalent bond between Asp 52 and C1 of the D ring forms when the D ring in the noncovalent complex relaxes from its distorted half-chair conformation to an undistorted chair conformation and the side chain of Asp 52 undergoes a 45° rotation about its C_α—C_β bond. [Based on X-ray structures by David Vocadlo and Stephen Withers, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; *and* Michael James, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. PDBid 1H6M.]

5 Serine Proteases

KEY CONCEPTS

- The catalytically active Ser, His, and Asp residues of serine proteases were identified by chemical labeling and structural analysis.
- A binding pocket determines the substrate specificity of the various serine proteases.
- Serine proteases catalyze peptide bond hydrolysis via proximity and orientation effects, acid–base catalysis, covalent catalysis, electrostatic catalysis, and transition state stabilization.
- Zymogens are the inactive precursors of enzymes.

Our next example of enzymatic mechanisms is that of a diverse and widespread group of proteolytic enzymes known as **serine proteases**, so named because they have a common catalytic mechanism involving a peculiarly reactive Ser residue. The serine proteases include digestive enzymes from prokaryotes and eukaryotes, as well as more specialized proteins that participate in development, blood coagulation (clotting), inflammation, and numerous other processes. In this section, we focus on some of the best-studied serine proteases: chymotrypsin, trypsin, and elastase.

A Active Site Residues Were Identified by Chemical Labeling

Chymotrypsin, trypsin, and elastase are digestive enzymes that are synthesized by the pancreas and secreted into the duodenum (the small intestine's upper loop). All these enzymes catalyze the hydrolysis of peptide (amide) bonds but with different specificities for the side chains flanking the scissile (to be cleaved) peptide bond. Chymotrypsin is specific for a bulky hydrophobic residue preceding the scissile bond, trypsin is specific for a positively charged residue, and elastase is specific for a small neutral residue (Table 5-4). Together, they form a potent digestive team.

Chymotrypsin's catalytically important groups were identified by chemical labeling studies. A diagnostic test for the presence of the active site Ser of serine proteases is its reaction with **diisopropylphosphofluoridate** (**DIPF,** *at right*) which irreversibly inactivates the enzyme. Other Ser residues, including those on

the same protein, do not react with DIPF. *DIPF reacts only with Ser 195 of chymotrypsin, thereby demonstrating that this residue is the enzyme's active site Ser.* This specificity makes DIPF and related compounds extremely toxic (Box 11-3).

A second catalytically important residue, His 57, was discovered through **affinity labeling**. In this technique, a substrate analog bearing a reactive group specifically binds at the enzyme's active site, where it reacts to form a stable covalent bond with a nearby susceptible group (these reactive substrate analogs have been dubbed the "Trojan horses" of biochemistry). The affinity labeled group(s) can subsequently be isolated and identified.

Chymotrypsin specifically binds **tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethylketone (TPCK)** because of its resemblance to a Phe residue (one of chymotrypsin's preferred substrate residues). Active site–bound TPCK's chloromethylketone group is a strong alkylating agent; it reacts only with His 57 (**Fig. 11-24**), thereby inactivating the enzyme. Trypsin, which prefers basic residues, is similarly inactivated by **tosyl-L-lysine chloromethylketone** (*at left*).

Tosyl-L-lysine chloromethylketone

B X-Ray Structures Provide Information about Catalysis, Substrate Specificity, and Evolution

Chymotrypsin, trypsin, and elastase are strikingly similar: The primary structures of these ~240-residue enzymes are ~40% identical (for comparison, the α and β chains of human hemoglobin have 44% sequence identity). Furthermore, all these enzymes have a reactive Ser and a catalytically essential His. It therefore came as no surprise when their X-ray structures all proved to be closely related.

Box 11-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Nerve Poisons

The use of DIPF as an enzyme-inactivating agent came about through the discovery that organophosphorus compounds such as DIPF are potent nerve poisons. The neurotoxicity of DIPF arises from its ability to inactivate **acetylcholinesterase,** an enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of **acetylcholine:**

Acetylcholine **Choline**

The esterase activity of acetylcholinesterase, like that of chymotrypsin (Section 11-1B), requires a reactive Ser residue.

Acetylcholine is a **neurotransmitter:** It transmits nerve impulses across certain types of synapses (Section 9-4F). Acetylcholinesterase in the synapse normally degrades acetylcholine such that the nerve impulse has a duration of only a millisecond or so. The inactivation of acetylcholinesterase prevents hydrolysis of the neurotransmitter. As a result, the acetylcholine receptor, which is a Na^+–K^+ channel, remains open for longer than normal, thereby interfering with the regular sequence of nerve impulses. DIPF is so toxic to humans (death occurs through the inability to breathe) that it has been used militarily as a nerve gas. Related compounds, such as **parathion** and **malathion,** are useful insecticides because they are far more toxic to insects than to mammals.

Parathion

Malathion

Neurotoxins such as DIPF and **sarin** (which gained notoriety after its release by terrorists in a Tokyo subway in 1995)

Sarin

are inactivated by the enzyme **paraoxonase.** This enzyme occurs as two isoforms (one has Arg at position 192 and the other has Gln) with different activities, and individuals express widely differing levels of the enzyme. These factors may account for the large observed differences in individuals' sensitivity to nerve poisons.

FIG. 11-24 Reaction of TPCK with His 57 of chymotrypsin.

? Would the TPCK reaction with His occur more or less easily at a lower pH?

The structure of bovine chymotrypsin was elucidated in 1967 by David Blow. This was followed by the determination of the structures of bovine trypsin (Fig. 11-25) by Robert Stroud and Richard Dickerson, and porcine elastase by David Shotton and Herman Watson. Each of these proteins is folded into two domains, both of which have extensive regions of antiparallel β sheets in a

FIG. 11-25 X-Ray structure of bovine trypsin in covalent complex with its inhibitor leupeptin. The protein is represented by its transparent molecular surface with its polypeptide chain in worm form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The side chains of the catalytic triad, Ser 195, His 57, and Asp 102, are drawn in ball-and-stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red) with hydrogen bonds represented by dashed black lines. **Leupeptin** (acetyl-Leu-Leu-Arg in which the terminal carboxyl group is replaced by —CHO) is drawn in stick form (C cyan, N blue, O red) with its Arg side chain occupying the enzyme's specificity pocket (*magenta mesh*). L1 and L2 are surface loops that span the walls of the specificity pocket. [Based on an X-ray structure by Daniel Koshland, Jr., University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 2AGI.]

FIG. 11-26 The active site residues of chymotrypsin. The view is in approximately the same direction as in Fig. 11-25. Residues are drawn in ball-and-stick form with C green, N blue, and O red. The catalytic triad consists of Ser 195, His 57, and Asp 102. [Based on an X-ray structure by Daniel Koshland, Jr., University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 2AGI.]

? **Add the appropriate H atoms to complete the structure.**

FIG. 11-27 Specificity pockets of three serine proteases. The side chains of key residues that determine the size and nature of the specificity pocket are shown along with a representative substrate for each enzyme. Chymotrypsin prefers to cleave peptide bonds following large hydrophobic side chains; trypsin prefers Lys or Arg; and elastase prefers Ala, Gly, or Val. [After a drawing in Branden, C. and Tooze, J., *Introduction to Protein Structure* (2nd ed.), Garland Publishing, p. 213 (1999).]

barrel-like arrangement but contain little helix. For convenience in comparing the structures of these three enzymes, we will assign them the same residue numbering system—that of bovine **chymotrypsinogen,** the 245-residue precursor of chymotrypsin (Section 11-5D).

In all three structures, the catalytically essential His 57 and Ser 195 residues are located in the enzyme's substrate-binding site (center of Fig. 11-25). The X-ray structures also show that Asp 102, which is present in all serine proteases, is buried in a nearby solvent-inaccessible pocket. *These three invariant residues form a hydrogen-bonded constellation referred to as the* ***catalytic triad*** (Figs. 11-25 and 11-26).

Substrate Specificities Are Only Partially Rationalized. The X-ray structures of the above three enzymes suggest the basis for their differing substrate specificities (Fig. 11-27):

1. In chymotrypsin, the bulky aromatic side chain of the preferred Phe, Trp, or Tyr residue that contributes the carbonyl group of the scissile peptide fits snugly into a slitlike hydrophobic pocket located near the catalytic groups.
2. In trypsin, the residue corresponding to chymotrypsin Ser 189, which lies at the bottom of the binding pocket, is the anionic residue Asp. The cationic side chains of trypsin's preferred residues, Arg and Lys, can therefore form ion pairs with this Asp residue. The rest of chymotrypsin's specificity pocket is preserved in trypsin so that it can accommodate the bulky side chains of Arg and Lys (Fig. 11-25).
3. Elastase is so named because it rapidly hydrolyzes the otherwise nearly indigestible Ala, Gly, and Val–rich protein **elastin** (a major connective tissue component). Elastase's binding pocket is largely occluded by the side chains of Val and Thr residues that replace the Gly residues lining the specificity pockets in both chymotrypsin and trypsin. Consequently elastase, whose substrate-binding site is better described as merely a depression, specifically cleaves peptide bonds after small neutral residues, particularly Ala. In contrast, chymotrypsin and trypsin hydrolyze such peptide bonds extremely slowly because these small substrates cannot be sufficiently immobilized on the enzyme surface for efficient catalysis to occur.

Despite the foregoing, changing trypsin's Asp 189 to Ser by site-directed mutagenesis (Section 3-5D) does not switch its specificity to that of chymotrypsin

but instead yields a poor, nonspecific protease. Replacing additional residues in trypsin's specificity pocket with those of chymotrypsin fails to significantly increase this catalytic activity. However, trypsin is converted to a reasonably active chymotrypsin-like enzyme when two surface loops that connect the walls of the specificity pocket, L1 (residues 185–188) and L2 (residues 221–225), are also replaced by those of chymotrypsin. These loops, which are conserved in each enzyme, are apparently necessary not for substrate binding per se but for properly positioning the scissile bond. These results highlight an important caveat for genetic engineers: Enzymes are so exquisitely tailored to their functions that they often respond to mutagenic tinkering in unexpected ways.

Serine Proteases Exhibit Divergent and Convergent Evolution. We have seen that sequence and structural similarities among proteins reveal their evolutionary relationships (Sections 5-4 and 6-2D). *The great similarities among chymotrypsin, trypsin, and elastase indicate that these proteins arose through duplications of an ancestral serine protease gene followed by the divergent evolution of the resulting enzymes.* Indeed, the close structural resemblance of these pancreatic enzymes to certain bacterial proteases indicates that the primordial trypsin gene arose before the divergence of prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

There are several serine proteases whose primary and tertiary structures bear no discernible relationship to each other or to chymotrypsin. Nevertheless, these proteins also contain catalytic triads at their active sites whose structures closely resemble that of chymotrypsin. These enzymes include **subtilisin**, an endopeptidase that was originally isolated from *Bacillus subtilis,* and wheat germ **serine carboxypeptidase II**, an exopeptidase. Since the orders of the corresponding active site residues in the amino acid sequences of these serine proteases are quite different (**Fig. 11-28**), it seems highly improbable that they could have evolved from a common ancestor protein. These enzymes apparently constitute a

FIG. 11-28 Diagram indicating the relative positions of the active site residues of three unrelated serine proteases. The catalytic triads in subtilisin, chymotrypsin, and serine carboxypeptidase II each consist of Ser, His, and Asp residues. The peptide backbones of Ser 214, Trp 215, and Gly 216 in chymotrypsin, and their counterparts in subtilisin, participate in substrate-binding interactions. [After Robertus, J.D., Alden, R. A., Birktoft, J. J., Kraut, J., Powers, J. C., and Wilcox, P. E., *Biochemistry* **11,** 2449 (1972).]

remarkable example of **convergent evolution**: *Nature seems to have independently discovered the same catalytic mechanism several times.*

C Serine Proteases Use Several Catalytic Mechanisms

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
The catalytic mechanism of serine proteases

A catalytic mechanism based on considerable chemical and structural data has been formulated and is given here in terms of chymotrypsin (**Fig. 11-29**), although it applies to all serine proteases and certain other hydrolytic enzymes:

1. After chymotrypsin has bound a substrate, Ser 195 nucleophilically attacks the scissile peptide's carbonyl group to form the reaction's transition state (covalent catalysis), which for historical reasons, is also known as the **tetrahedral intermediate**. X-Ray studies indicate that Ser 195 is ideally positioned to carry out this nucleophilic attack (proximity and orientation effects). This nucleophilic attack involves transfer of a proton to the imidazole ring of His 57, thereby forming an imidazolium ion (general base catalysis). This process is aided by the polarizing effect of the unsolvated carboxylate ion of Asp 102, which is hydrogen bonded to His 57 (electrostatic catalysis). The tetrahedral intermediate has a well-defined, although transient, existence. We will see that *much of chymotrypsin's catalytic power derives from its preferential binding of this transition state (transition state binding catalysis).*
2. The tetrahedral intermediate decomposes to the **acyl–enzyme intermediate** under the driving force of proton donation from N3 of His 57 (general acid catalysis) facilitated by the polarizing effect of Asp 102 on His 57 (electrostatic catalysis).
3. The amine leaving group ($R'NH_2$, the new N-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide chain) is released from the enzyme and replaced by water from the solvent.
4. The acyl–enzyme intermediate, which is highly susceptible to hydrolytic cleavage, adds water by the reversal of Step 2, yielding a second tetrahedral intermediate.
5. The reversal of Step 1 yields the carboxylate product (the new C-terminal portion of the cleaved polypeptide chain), which dissociates from the enzyme, thereby returning it to its initial state. In this process, water is the attacking nucleophile and Ser 195 is the leaving group.

Serine Proteases Preferentially Bind the Transition State. Detailed comparisons of the X-ray structures of several serine protease–inhibitor complexes have revealed a further structural basis for catalysis in these enzymes (**Fig. 11-30**):

1. The conformational rearrangement that occurs with the formation of the tetrahedral intermediate (the conversion of a trigonal sp^2-hybridized C atom to its tetrahedral sp^3-hybridized form) causes the now anionic carbonyl oxygen of the scissile peptide to move deeper into the active site so as to occupy a previously unoccupied position called the **oxyanion hole**.
2. There, it forms two hydrogen bonds with the enzyme that cannot form when the carbonyl group is in its normal trigonal conformation. The two enzymatic hydrogen bond donors were first noted by Joseph Kraut to occupy corresponding positions in chymotrypsin and subtilisin. He proposed the existence of the oxyanion hole on the basis of the premise that convergent evolution had made the active sites of these unrelated enzymes functionally identical.
3. The tetrahedral distortion, moreover, permits the formation of an otherwise unsatisfied hydrogen bond between the enzyme and the backbone NH group of the substrate residue preceding the scissile peptide bond.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 11-29 **The catalytic mechanism of the serine proteases.** **WPLS** **See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Summarize the roles of Asp 102, His 57, and Ser 195 in this reaction mechanism.**

FIG. 11-30 Transition state stabilization in the serine proteases. (*a*) When the substrate binds to the enzyme, the trigonal carbonyl carbon of the scissile peptide is conformationally constrained from binding in the oxyanion hole (*upper left*). (*b*) In the tetrahedral intermediate, the now charged carbonyl oxygen of the scissile peptide (the oxyanion) enters the oxyanion hole and hydrogen bonds to the backbone NH groups of Gly 193 and Ser 195. The consequent conformational change permits the NH group of the substrate residue preceding the scissile peptide bond to form an otherwise unsatisfied hydrogen bond to Gly 193. Serine proteases therefore preferentially bind the transition state (tetrahedral intermediate). [After Robertus, J. D., Kraut, J., Alden, R. A., and Birktoft, J. J., *Biochemistry* **11,** 4302 (1972).]

? **Add the DIP group to show how it acts as a transition state analog.**

This preferential binding of the transition state (tetrahedral intermediate) over the enzyme–substrate complex or the acyl–enzyme intermediate is responsible for much of the catalytic efficiency of serine proteases. Thus, mutating any or all of the residues in chymotrypsin's catalytic triad yields enzymes that still enhance proteolysis by $\sim 5 \times 10^4$-fold over the uncatalyzed reaction (versus a rate enhancement of $\sim 10^{10}$ for the native enzyme). Similarly, the reason that DIPF is such an effective inhibitor of serine proteases is because its tetrahedral phosphate group makes this compound a transition state analog.

Low-Barrier Hydrogen Bonds May Stabilize the Transition State. The transition state of the chymotrypsin reaction is stabilized not just through the formation of additional hydrogen bonds in the oxyanion hole but possibly also by the formation of an unusually strong hydrogen bond. Proton transfers between hydrogen bonded groups (D—H···A) occur at physiologically reasonable rates only when the p*K* of the proton donor is no more than 2 or 3 pH units greater than that of the protonated form of the proton acceptor. However, when the p*K*s of the hydrogen bonding donor (D) and acceptor (A) groups are nearly equal, the distinction between them breaks down: *The hydrogen atom becomes more or less equally shared between them* (D···H···A). Such **low-barrier hydrogen bonds (LBHBs)** are unusually short and strong. Their free energies of formation, as measured in the gas phase, are as high as -40 to -80 kJ · mol^{-1} (versus -12 to -30 kJ · mol^{-1} for normal hydrogen bonds) and they exhibit a D···A distance of <2.55 Å for O—H···O and <2.65 Å for N—H···O (versus 2.8 to 3.1 Å for normal hydrogen bonds).

LBHBs are unlikely to exist in dilute aqueous solution because water molecules, which are excellent hydrogen bond donors and acceptors, effectively compete with D—H and A for hydrogen bonding sites. However, LBHBs may exist in the nonaqueous active sites of enzymes. In fact, experimental evidence indicates that in the serine protease catalytic triad, the p*K*s of the protonated His and Asp are nearly equal, and the hydrogen bond between His and Asp has an unusually short N···O distance of 2.62 Å with the H atom nearly centered between the N and O atoms. These findings are consistent with the formation of an LBHB in

the transition state. This suggests that the enzyme uses the "strategy" of converting a weak hydrogen bond in the initial enzyme-substrate complex to a strong hydrogen bond in the transition state, thereby facilitating proton transfer from Ser 195 to the otherwise far less basic His 57 (Fig. 11-29, Step 1), while applying the difference in the free energy between the normal and low-barrier hydrogen bonds to preferentially binding the transition state.

Although several studies have revealed the existence of unusually short hydrogen bonds in enzyme active sites, it is far more difficult to demonstrate experimentally that they are unusually strong, as LBHBs are predicted to be. In fact, several studies of the strengths of unusually short hydrogen bonds in organic model compounds in nonaqueous solutions suggest that these hydrogen bonds are not unusually strong. Consequently, a lively debate has ensued as to the catalytic significance of LBHBs. However, if enzymes do not form LBHBs, it remains to be explained how the conjugate base of an acidic group (e.g., Asp 102) partially abstracts a proton from a far more basic group (e.g., His 57), a feature of numerous enzyme mechanisms.

The Tetrahedral Intermediate Resembles the Complex of Trypsin with Trypsin Inhibitor. Perhaps the most convincing structural evidence for the existence of the tetrahedral intermediate was provided by Robert Huber in an X-ray study of the complex between **bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI)** and trypsin. The 58-residue BPTI binds to the active site region of trypsin to form a complex with a tightly packed interface and a network of hydrogen-bonded cross-links. This interaction prevents any trypsin that is prematurely activated in the pancreas from digesting that organ (Section 11-5D). The complex's 10^{13} M^{-1} association constant, among the largest of any known protein–protein interaction, emphasizes BPTI's physiological importance.

The portion of BPTI in contact with the trypsin active site resembles bound substrate. A specific Lys side chain of BPTI occupies the trypsin specificity pocket (**Fig. 11-31*a***), and the inhibitor's Lys–Ala peptide bond is positioned as if it were the scissile peptide bond (**Fig. 11-31*b***). What is most remarkable about the BPTI–trypsin complex is that its conformation is well along the reaction coordinate toward the tetrahedral intermediate: The side chain oxygen of trypsin

Courtesy of Michael Connolly, New York University

FIG. 11-31 The trypsin-BPTI complex. (*a*) The X-ray structure is shown as a cutaway model indicating how trypsin (*red*) binds BPTI (*green*). The green protrusion extending into the red cavity near the center of the figure represents the inhibitor's Lys 15 side chain occupying trypsin's specificity pocket. Note the close complementary fit of the two proteins. (*b*) Trypsin Ser 195 is in closer-than-van der Waals contact with the carbonyl carbon of BPTI's scissile peptide (that between Lys 15I and Ala 16I), which is pyramidally distorted toward Ser 195. The normal proteolytic reaction is apparently arrested somewhere along the reaction coordinate preceding the tetrahedral intermediate.

Ser 195, the active Ser, is in closer-than-van der Waals contact (2.6 Å) with the pyramidally distorted carbonyl carbon of BPTI's "scissile" peptide. However, the proteolytic reaction cannot proceed past this point because of the rigidity of the complex and because it is so tightly sealed that the leaving group cannot leave and water cannot enter the reaction site.

Protease inhibitors are common in nature, where they have protective and regulatory functions. For example, certain plants release protease inhibitors in response to insect bites, thereby causing the offending insect to starve by inactivating its digestive enzymes. Protease inhibitors constitute ~10% of the blood plasma proteins. For instance, **α_1-proteinase inhibitor,** which is secreted by the liver, inhibits **leukocyte elastase** (leukocytes are white blood cells; the action of leukocyte elastase is thought to be part of the inflammatory process). Pathological variants of α_1-proteinase inhibitor with reduced activity are associated with **pulmonary emphysema,** a degenerative disease of the lungs resulting from the hydrolysis of its elastic fibers. Smokers also suffer from reduced activity of their α_1-proteinase inhibitor because smoking oxidizes a required Met residue.

The Tetrahedral Intermediate Has Been Directly Observed. Because the tetrahedral intermediate is the transition state of the serine protease reaction, it is short-lived and unstable. However, a series of X-ray structures of porcine pancreatic elastase with a peptide substrate have revealed the progress of the reaction from the acyl–enzyme intermediate stage to the release of product. This second phase of the proteolysis reaction includes a tetrahedral intermediate (Fig. 11-29).

This acyl–enzyme complex, which is stable at pH 5.0, exhibits the expected structure, with the substrate's C-terminal Ile residue covalently linked via an ester bond to Ser 195 (Fig. 11-32*a*). In this first view of a serine

FIG. 11-32 Structure of the acyl–enzyme and tetrahedral intermediates. Porcine pancreatic elastase was incubated with a heptapeptide substrate (YPFVEPI, using the one-letter code). Only residues 4–7 (VEPI) are visible. The protease residues are specified by the three-letter code. Atoms are colored according to type with elastase C green, substrate C cyan, N blue, O red, and S yellow. (*a*) At pH 5.0, a covalent bond (*violet*) links the Ser 195 O atom to the C-terminal (I7) C atom of the substrate. A water molecule (*orange sphere*) appears poised to nucleophilically attack the acyl–enzyme's carbonyl C atom. The dashed lines represent catalytically important hydrogen bonds, and the dotted line indicates the trajectory that the water molecule presumably follows in nucleophilically attacking the acyl group's carbonyl C atom. (*b*) When the complex is brought to pH 9.0 and then rapidly frozen, the water molecule becomes a hydroxyl substituent (*orange*) to the carbonyl C atom, thereby yielding the tetrahedral intermediate. [Based on X-ray structures by Christopher Schofield and Janos Hadju, University of Oxford, U.K. PDBids (*a*) 1HAX and (*b*) 1HAZ.]

protease acyl–enzyme intermediate, the acyl group is fully planar, with no distortion toward a tetrahedral geometry. A water molecule is located near the intermediate's ester bond, hydrogen-bonded to His 57, where it appears poised to nucleophilically attack the ester linkage. At pH 5.0, His 57 is protonated and acts as a hydrogen bond donor to water (it cannot function as a base catalyst at this pH).

To assess the next step of the reaction, the acyl–enzyme crystal was immersed in a solution of pH 9.0 (recall that protein crystals contain large solvent-filled spaces, so protons and other small substances can diffuse into and out of the crystallized enzyme's active site; Section 6-2A). The resulting deprotonation of His 57 at pH 9.0 triggered the hydrolytic reaction (Step 4 of Fig. 11-29). After a period of 1 or 2 minutes, the crystals were frozen in liquid nitrogen to halt the reaction so that the X-ray structure of the enzyme complex could be determined. In this way, the tetrahedral intermediate was trapped and observed (**Fig. 11-32*b***).

During formation of the tetrahedral intermediate, the oxyanion hole does not undergo any change in its structure, but the peptide substrate moves within its binding pocket and becomes distorted toward a tetrahedral geometry. The tetrahedral intermediate has the expected shape, similar to known transition state analog inhibitors. However, it does not bind so tightly to the amide group of the oxyanion hole (Fig. 11-30) that it would not be able to subsequently dissociate.

D Zymogens Are Inactive Enzyme Precursors

Proteolytic enzymes are usually biosynthesized as somewhat larger inactive precursors known as **zymogens** (enzyme precursors, in general, are known as **proenzymes**). In the case of digestive enzymes, the reason for this is clear: If these enzymes were synthesized in their active forms, they would digest the tissues that synthesized them. Indeed, **acute pancreatitis,** a painful and sometimes fatal condition that can be precipitated by pancreatic trauma, is characterized by the premature activation of the digestive enzymes synthesized by that organ.

The activation of **trypsinogen**, the zymogen of trypsin, occurs when trypsinogen enters the duodenum from the pancreas. **Enteropeptidase**, a serine protease whose secretion from the duodenal mucosa is under hormonal control, excises the N-terminal hexapeptide from trypsinogen by specifically cleaving its Lys 15–Ile 16 peptide bond (**Fig. 11-33**). Since this activating cleavage occurs at a trypsin-sensitive site (recall that trypsin cleaves after Arg and Lys residues), the small amount of trypsin produced by enteropeptidase also catalyzes trypsinogen activation, generating even more trypsin, etc. Thus, trypsinogen activation is said

FIG. 11-33 The activation of trypsinogen to trypsin. Proteolytic excision of the N-terminal hexapeptide is catalyzed by either enteropeptidase or trypsin. The chymotrypsinogen residue-numbering system is used here; that is, Val 10 is actually trypsinogen's N-terminus and Ile 16 is trypsin's N-terminus.

Box 11-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease The Blood Coagulation Cascade

When a blood vessel is damaged, a clot forms as a result of the aggregation of platelets (small enucleated blood cells) and the formation of an insoluble **fibrin** network that traps additional blood cells.

Andrew Syred/Photo Researchers

Fibrin is produced from the soluble circulating protein **fibrinogen** through the action of the serine protease **thrombin.** Thrombin is the last in a series of coagulation enzymes that are sequentially activated by proteolysis of their zymogen forms. The overall process is known as the **coagulation cascade** (*opposite*), although experimental evidence shows that the pathway is not strictly linear, as the waterfall analogy might suggest.

The various components of the coagulation cascade, which include enzymes as well as nonenzymatic protein cofactors, are assigned Roman numerals, largely for historical reasons that do not reflect their order of action *in vivo.* The suffix *a* denotes an active factor. The catalytic domains of the coagulation proteases resemble trypsin in sequence and mechanism but are much more specific for their substrates. Additional domains mediate interactions with cofactors and help anchor the proteins to the platelet membrane, which serves as a stage for many of the coagulation reactions.

Coagulation is initiated when a membrane protein **(tissue factor)** exposed to the bloodstream by tissue damage forms a complex with circulating **factor VII** or VIIa (factor VIIa is generated from factor VII by trace amounts of other coagulation proteases, including factor VIIa itself). The tissue factor–VIIa complex proteolytically converts the zymogen **factor X** to factor Xa. Factor Xa then converts **prothrombin** to thrombin, which subsequently cleaves fibrinogen to form fibrin. The tissue factor-dependent steps of coagulation are known as the **extrinsic pathway** because the source of tissue factor is extravascular. The extrinsic pathway is quickly damped through the action of a protein that inhibits factor VII once factor Xa has been generated.

Sustained thrombin activation requires the activity of the **intrinsic pathway** (so named because all its components are present in the circulation). The intrinsic pathway is stimulated by the tissue factor–VIIa complex, which converts **factor IX** to its active form, factor IXa. The ensuing thrombin activates a number of components of the intrinsic pathway, including **factor XI,** a protease that activates factor IX, to maintain coagulation in the absence of tissue factor or factor VIIa. Thrombin also activates **factors V** and **VIII,** which are cofactors rather than proteases. Factor Va promotes prothrombin activation by factor Xa by as much as 20,000-fold, and factor VIIIa promotes factor X activation by factor IXa by a similar amount. Thus, thrombin promotes its own activation through a feedback mechanism that amplifies the preceding steps of the cascade. **Factor XIII** is also activated by thrombin. Factor XIIIa, which is not a serine protease, chemically cross-links fibrin molecules through formation of peptide bonds between glutamate and lysine side chains, which forms a strong fibrin network.

The intrinsic pathway of coagulation can be triggered by exposure to negatively charged surfaces such as glass. Consequently, blood clots when it is collected in a clean glass test tube. In the absence of tissue factor, a fibrin clot may not appear for several minutes, but when tissue factor is present, a clot forms within a few seconds. This suggests that rapid blood clotting *in vivo* requires tissue factor as well as the proteins of the intrinsic pathway. Additional evidence for the importance of the extrinsic pathway is that individuals who are deficient in factor VII tend to bleed excessively. Abnormal bleeding also results from congenital defects in factor VIII **(hemophilia a)** or factor IX **(hemophilia b).**

to be **autocatalytic**. Chymotrypsinogen is then activated by trypsin-catalyzed cleavage of its Arg 15–Ile 16 peptide bond.

Proelastase, the zymogen of elastase, is activated by a single tryptic cleavage that excises a short N-terminal peptide. Trypsin also activates pancreatic **procarboxypeptidases A** and **B** and **prophospholipase A_2** (Section 9-1C). The autocatalytic nature of trypsinogen activation and the fact that trypsin activates other hydrolytic enzymes makes it essential that trypsinogen not be activated in the pancreas. We have seen that the all-but-irreversible binding of trypsin inhibitors such as BPTI to trypsin is a defense against trypsinogen's inappropriate activation.

Sequential proenzyme activation makes it possible to quickly generate large quantities of active enzymes in response to diverse physiological signals. For example, the serine proteases that lead to blood clotting are synthesized as zymogens by the liver and circulate until they are activated by injury to a blood vessel (Box 11-4).

The sequential activation of zymogens in the coagulation cascade leads to a burst of thrombin activity, since trace amounts of factors VIIa, IXa, and Xa can activate much larger amounts of their respective substrates. The potential for amplification in the coagulation cascade is reflected in the plasma concentrations of the coagulation proteins (see table).

Plasma Concentrations of Some Human Coagulation Factors

Factor	Concentration (μM)[a]
XI	0.06
IX	0.09
VII	0.01
X	0.18
Prothrombin	1.39
Fibrinogen	8.82

[a]Concentrations calculated from data in High, K.A. and Roberts, H.R. (Eds.), *Molecular Basis of Thrombosis and Hemostasis*, Marcel Dekker (1995).

Perhaps not surprisingly, thrombin eventually triggers mechanisms that shut down clot formation, thereby limiting the duration of the clotting process and hence the extent of the clot. Such control of clotting is of extreme physiological importance since the formation of even one inappropriate blood clot within an individual's lifetime may have fatal consequences.

[Figure adapted from David, E.W., *Thromb. Haemost.* **74**, 2 (1995).]

Zymogens Have Distorted Active Sites. Since the zymogens of trypsin, chymotrypsin, and elastase have all their catalytic residues, why aren't they enzymatically active? Comparisons of the X-ray structures of trypsinogen with that of trypsin, and of chymotrypsinogen with that of chymotrypsin, show that on activation, the newly liberated N-terminal Ile 16 residue moves from the surface of the protein to an internal position, where its free cationic amino group forms an ion pair with the invariant anionic Asp 194, which is close to the catalytic triad (Fig. 11-26). Without this conformational change, the enzyme cannot properly bind its substrate or stabilize the tetrahedral intermediate because its specificity pocket and oxyanion hole are improperly formed. This provides further structural evidence favoring the role of preferential transition state binding in the catalytic mechanism of serine proteases. Nevertheless, because their catalytic triads are structurally intact, the zymogens of serine proteases actually have low levels of enzymatic activity, an observation that was made only after the above structural comparisons suggested that this might be the case.

CHECKPOINT

- What are some ways that active site residues can be identified?
- Summarize the roles of the residues that make up the catalytic triad of serine proteases.
- Which catalytic mechanism contributes the most to rate acceleration?
- Explain the function of the oxyanion hole.
- What role do low-barrier hydrogen bonds play in serine protease catalysis?
- What does bovine trypsin inhibitor reveal about trypsin's catalytic mechanism?
- What are the advantages of synthesizing proteases as zymogens?

Summary

1 General Properties of Enzymes

- Enzymes, almost all of which are proteins, are grouped into six mechanistic classes.
- Enzymes accelerate reactions by factors of up to at least 10^{15}.
- The substrate specificity of an enzyme depends on the geometric and electronic character of its active site.
- Some enzymes catalyze reactions with the assistance of metal ion cofactors or organic coenzymes that function as reversibly bound cosubstrates or as permanently associated prosthetic groups. Many coenzymes are derived from vitamins.

2 Activation Energy and the Reaction Coordinate

- Enzymes catalyze reactions by facilitating a reaction pathway with lower activation free energy, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$, which is the free energy required to reach the transition state, the point of highest free energy in the reaction.

3 Catalytic Mechanisms

- Enzymes use the same catalytic mechanisms employed by chemical catalysts, including general acid and general base catalysis, covalent catalysis, and metal ion catalysis.
- The arrangement of functional groups in an enzyme active site allows catalysis by proximity and orientation effects as well as electrostatic catalysis.
- A particularly important mechanism of enzyme-mediated catalysis is the preferential binding of the transition state of the catalyzed reaction.

4 Lysozyme

- In the catalytic mechanism of lysozyme, Glu 35 in its protonated form acts as an acid catalyst to cleave the polysaccharide substrate between its D and E rings, and Asp 52 in its anionic state forms a covalent bond to C1 of the D ring.
- The reaction is facilitated by the distortion of residue D to the planar half-chair conformation, which resembles the reaction's oxonium ion transition state.

5 Serine Proteases

- Serine proteases contain a Ser–His–Asp catalytic triad near a binding pocket that helps determine the enzymes' substrate specificity.
- Catalysis in the serine proteases occurs through acid–base catalysis, covalent catalysis, proximity and orientation effects, electrostatic catalysis, and by preferential transition state binding in the oxyanion hole.
- Synthesis of pancreatic proteases as inactive zymogens protects the pancreas from self-digestion. Zymogens are activated by specific proteolytic cleavages.

Key Terms

active site **323**
substrate **323**
EC classification **324**
induced fit **324**
prochirality **325**
cofactor **326**
coenzyme **326**
cosubstrate **326**
prosthetic group **327**
holoenzyme **327**
apoenzyme **327**
transition state **327**
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ **327**
rate-determining step **329**
general acid catalysis **330**
general base catalysis **330**
covalent catalysis **334**
metal ion catalysis **335**
metalloenzyme **335**
electrostatic catalysis **337**
transition state analog **339**
oxonium ion **342**
serine protease **345**
affinity labeling **346**
catalytic triad **348**
convergent evolution **350**
tetrahedral intermediate **350**
acyl–enzyme intermediate **350**
oxyanion hole **350**
low-barrier hydrogen bond **352**
zymogen **355**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Choose the best description of an enzyme:

(a) It allows a chemical reaction to proceed extremely fast.

(b) It increases the rate at which a chemical reaction approaches equilibrium relative to its uncatalyzed rate.

(c) It makes a reaction thermodynamically favorable.

2. What is the relationship between the rate of an enzyme-catalyzed reaction and the rate of the corresponding uncatalyzed reaction? Do enzymes enhance the rates of slow uncatalyzed reactions as much as they enhance the rates of fast uncatalyzed reactions?

3. Which type of enzyme (Table 11-2) catalyzes the following reactions?

(a)

(b)

4. Which type of enzyme (Table 11-2) catalyzes the following reactions?

(a)

(b)

5. On the free energy diagram shown, label the intermediate(s) and transition state(s). Is the reaction thermodynamically favorable?

6. Draw a transition state diagram of (a) a nonenzymatic reaction and the corresponding enzyme-catalyzed reaction in which (b) S binds loosely to the enzyme and (c) S binds very tightly to the enzyme. Compare $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for each case. Why is tight binding of S not advantageous?

7. Approximately how much does staphylococcal nuclease (Table 11-1) decrease the activation free energy $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ of its reaction (the hydrolysis of a phosphodiester bond) at 25°C?

8. Calculate the rate enhancement that could be accomplished by an enzyme forming one low-barrier hydrogen bond with its transition state at 25°C.

9. Explain why enzyme activity varies with temperature, as shown here.

10. The covalent catalytic mechanism of an enzyme depends on a single active site Cys whose p*K* is 8. A mutation in a nearby residue alters the microenvironment so that this p*K* increases to 10. Would the mutation cause the reaction rate to increase or decrease? Explain.

11. Studies at different pH's show that an enzyme has two catalytically important residues whose p*K*s are ~4 and ~10. Chemical modification experiments indicate that a Glu and a Lys residue are essential for activity. Match the residues to their p*K*s and explain whether they are likely to act as acid or base catalysts.

12. Explain why RNase A cannot catalyze the hydrolysis of DNA.

13. Urease, the first enzyme to be crystallized, is inhibited in the presence of Hg, Cd, or Co ions. What does this information suggest about the catalytic mechanism of urease?

14. Wolfenden has stated that it is meaningless to distinguish between the "binding sites" and the "catalytic sites" of enzymes. Explain.

15. Explain why lysozyme cleaves the artificial substrate $(NAG)_4$ ~4000 times more slowly than it cleaves $(NAG)_6$.

16. Would you expect lysozyme to hydrolyze cellulose? Why or why not?

17. Design a chloromethylketone inhibitor of elastase.

18. Predict the effect of mutating Asp 102 of trypsin to Asn (a) on substrate binding and (b) on catalysis.

19. Diagram the hydrogen-bonding interactions of the catalytic triad His–Lys–Ser during catalysis in a hypothetical hydrolytic enzyme.

20. The comparison of the active site geometries of chymotrypsin and subtilisin under the assumption that their similarities have catalytic significance has led to greater mechanistic understanding of both these enzymes. Discuss the validity of this strategy.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. Using the reaction shown in Box 11-1 (the attack of an amine on the carbonyl group of a ketone) as a starting point, draw curved arrows to represent the base-catalyzed reaction (when the group —B: is present).

22. Using the reaction shown in Box 11-1 (the attack of an amine on the carbonyl group of a ketone) as a starting point, draw curved arrows to represent the acid-catalyzed reaction (when the group —A—H is present).

23. What feature of RNA would allow it to function as a ribozyme? Why are there no naturally occurring DNA enzymes?

24. Suggest a transition state analog for proline racemase that differs from those discussed in the text. Justify your suggestion.

25. Lysozyme residues Asp 101 and Arg 114 are required for efficient catalysis, although they are located at some distance from the active site Glu 35 and Asp 52. Substituting Ala for either Asp 101 or Arg 114 does not significantly alter the enzyme's tertiary structure, but it significantly reduces its catalytic activity. Explain.

26. Predict the effect on lysozyme's activity of mutating Glu 35 to Asp and Asp 52 to Glu.

27. Under certain conditions, peptide bond formation rather than peptide bond hydrolysis is thermodynamically favorable. Would you expect chymotrypsin to catalyze peptide bond formation? Explain.

28. Why is the broad substrate specificity of chymotrypsin advantageous *in vivo*? Why would this be a disadvantage for some other proteases?

29. Tofu (bean curd), a high-protein soybean product, is prepared in such a way as to remove the trypsin inhibitor present in soybeans. Explain the reason(s) for this treatment.

30. Many of the cell's hydrolytic enzymes are located in the lysosome, where the pH is ~5. What would you expect to be the optimum pH for these enzymes, and why would this protect the rest of the cell from the destructive power of these enzymes upon the accidental rupture of a lysosome?

31. A genetic defect in coagulation factor IX causes hemophilia b, a disease characterized by a tendency to bleed profusely after very minor trauma. However, a genetic defect in coagulation factor XI has only mild clinical symptoms. Explain this discrepancy in terms of the mechanism for activation of coagulation proteases shown in Box 11-4.

32. Hemophiliacs who lack factor IX are sometimes given infusions of factor VII to restore normal blood clotting activity. Explain.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Introduction to Enzyme Informatics

Exercise 2 Evolution and Structures of Serine Proteases

Exercise 3 Interactions in the Serine Protease Active Site

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 11 Nonenzymatic Deamidation of Asparagine and Glutamine Residues in Proteins

Focus concept: Factors influencing nonenzymatic hydrolytic deamidation of Asn and Gln residues in proteins are examined and possible mechanisms for the reactions are proposed.

Prerequisites: Chapters 5 and 11

- Protein analytical methods, particularly isoelectric focusing
- Enzyme mechanisms, especially proteases such as papain and chymotrypsin

MORE TO EXPLORE Eukaryotic cells contain a class of proteases known as caspases. Which catalytic residues give these proteases their name? What role do these residues play in catalysis, and how does the caspase mechanism compare to the mechanism of serine proteases? How are the caspases activated and what is the result of their activity in the cell?

References

General

Benkovic, S.J. and Hammes-Schiffer, S., A perspective on enzyme catalysis, *Science* **301**, 1196–1202 (2003). [Includes a history of some of the theories and experiments on catalysis.]

Bruice, T.C. and Benkovic, S.J., Chemical basis for enzyme catalysis, *Biochemistry* **39**, 6267–6274 (2000).

Gerlt, J.A., Protein engineering to study enzyme catalytic mechanisms, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **4**, 593-600 (1994). [Describes how information can be gained from mutagenesis and structural analysis of enzymes.]

Hackney, D.D., Binding energy and catalysis, *in* Sigman, D.S. and Boyer, P.D. (Eds.), *The Enzymes* (3rd ed.), Vol. 19, pp. 1–36, Academic Press (1990).

Kraut, J., How do enzymes work? *Science* **242**, 533–540 (1988). [A brief and very readable review of transition state theory and applications.]

Schramm, V.L., Enzymatic transition states and transition state analogues, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **15**, 604–613 (2005).

Tipton, K.F., The naming of parts, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **18**, 113–115 (1993). [A discussion of the advantages of a consistent naming scheme for enzymes and the difficulties of formulating one.]

Lysozyme

Kirby, A.J., The lysozyme mechanism sorted—after 50 years, *Nature Struct. Biol.* **8**, 737–739 (2001). [Briefly summarizes the theoretical and experimental evidence for a covalent intermediate in the lysozyme mechanism.]

McKenzie, H.A. and White, F.H., Jr., Lysozyme and α-lactalbumin: Structure, function and interrelationships, *Adv. Protein Chem.* **41**, 173–315 (1991).

Strynadka, N.C.J. and James, M.N.G., Lysozyme revisited: crystallographic evidence for distortion of an *N*-acetylmuramic acid residue bound in site D, *J. Mol. Biol.* **220**, 401–424 (1991).

Vocadlo, D.J., Davies, G.J., Laine, R., and Withers, S.G., Catalysis by hen egg-white lysozyme proceeds via a covalent intermediate, *Nature* **412**, 835–838 (2001).

Wolfenden, R., Benchmark reaction rates, the stability of biological molecules in water, and the evolution of catalytic power in enzymes, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80**, 645–667 (2011).

Serine Proteases

Cleland, W.W., Frey, P.A., and Gerlt, J.A., The low barrier hydrogen bond in enzymatic catalysis, *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 25529–25532 (1998).

Davie, E.W., Biochemical and molecular aspects of the coagulation cascade, *Thromb. Haemost*. **74**, 1–6 (1995). [A brief review by one of the pioneers of the cascade hypothesis.]

Fersht, A., *Structure and Mechanism in Protein Science,* Freeman (1999). [Includes detailed reaction mechanisms for chymotrypsin and other enzymes.]

Perona, J.J. and Craik, C.S., Evolutionary divergence of substrate specificity within the chymotrypsin-like protease fold, *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 29987–29990 (1997). [Summarizes research identifying the structural basis of substrate specificity in chymotrypsin and related enzymes.]

Radisky, E.S., Lee, J.M., Lu, C-J.K., and Koshland, D.E., Jr., Insights into the serine protease mechanism from atomic resolution structures of trypsin reaction intermediates, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 6835–6840 (2006). [Superpositions of X-ray structures representing the enzyme–substrate complex, tetrahedral intermediate, and acyl–enzyme intermediate illustrate the progress of the reaction.]

Wilmouth, R.C., Edman, K., Neutze, R., Wright, P.A., Clifton, I.J., Schneider, T.R., Schofield, C.J., and Hajdu, J., X-Ray snapshots of serine protease catalysis reveal a tetrahedral intermediate, *Nature Struct. Biol.* **8**, 689–694 (2001). [Reports the first structural evidence for a tetrahedral intermediate in the hydrolysis reaction catalyzed by a serine protease.]

Based on an X-ray structure by Johann Deisenhofer, University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center. PDBid 1HWK.

Atorvastatin (Lipitor®), one of the most commonly prescribed drugs, binds to and inhibits the activity of the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase in a way that can be quantified through enzyme kinetics.

CHAPTER 12

Enzyme Kinetics, Inhibition, and Control

Chapter Contents

1 Reaction Kinetics
- A Chemical Kinetics Is Described by Rate Equations
- B Enzyme Kinetics Often Follows the Michaelis–Menten Equation
- C Kinetic Data Can Provide Values of V_{max} and K_M
- D Bisubstrate Reactions Follow One of Several Rate Equations

2 Enzyme Inhibition
- A Competitive Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding at an Enzyme's Substrate Binding Site
- B Uncompetitive Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding to the Enzyme–Substrate Complex
- C Mixed Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding to Both the Free Enzyme and the Enzyme–Substrate Complex

3 Control of Enzyme Activity
- A Allosteric Control Involves Binding at a Site Other than the Active Site
- B Control by Covalent Modification Usually Involves Protein Phosphorylation

4 Drug Design
- A Drug Discovery Employs a Variety of Techniques
- B A Drug's Bioavailability Depends on How It Is Absorbed and Transported in the Body
- C Clinical Trials Test for Efficacy and Safety
- D Cytochromes P450 Are Often Implicated in Adverse Drug Reactions

Early enzymologists, often working with crude preparations of yeast or liver cells, could do little more than observe the conversion of substrates to products catalyzed by as yet unpurified enzymes. Measuring the rates of such reactions therefore came to be a powerful tool for characterizing enzyme activity. The application of simple mathematical models to enzyme activity under varying laboratory conditions, and in the presence of competing substrates or enzyme inhibitors, made it possible to deduce the probable physiological functions and regulatory mechanisms of various enzymes.

The study of enzymatic reaction rates, or **enzyme kinetics**, is no less important now than it was early in the twentieth century. In many cases, the rate of a reaction and how the rate changes in response to different conditions reveal the path followed by the reactants and are therefore indicative of the reaction mechanism. Kinetic data, combined with detailed information about an enzyme's structure and its catalytic mechanisms, provide some of the most powerful clues to the enzyme's biological function and may suggest ways to modify it for therapeutic purposes.

We begin our consideration of enzyme kinetics by reviewing chemical kinetics. Following that, we derive the basic equations of enzyme kinetics and describe the effects of inhibitors on enzymes. We also consider some examples of enzyme control that highlight several aspects of enzyme function. Finally, we describe some practical applications of enzyme inhibition, the development of enzyme inhibitors as drugs.

1 Reaction Kinetics

KEY CONCEPTS

- Simple rate equations describe the progress of first-order and second-order reactions.
- The Michaelis–Menten equation relates the initial velocity of a reaction to the maximal reaction velocity and the Michaelis constant for a particular enzyme and substrate.
- An enzyme's overall catalytic efficiency is expressed as k_{cat}/K_M.
- A Lineweaver–Burk plot can be used to present kinetic data and to calculate values for K_M and V_{max}.
- Bisubstrate reactions can occur by an Ordered or Random sequential mechanism or by a Ping Pong mechanism.

Kinetic measurements of enzymatically catalyzed reactions are among the most powerful techniques for elucidating the catalytic mechanisms of enzymes. Enzyme kinetics is a branch of chemical kinetics, so we begin this section by reviewing the principles of chemical kinetics.

A Chemical Kinetics Is Described by Rate Equations

A reaction of overall stoichiometry

$$A \rightarrow P$$

where A represents reactants and P represents products, may actually occur through a sequence of **elementary reactions** (simple molecular processes) such as

$$A \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow P$$

Here, I_1 and I_2 symbolize **intermediates** in the reaction. Each elementary reaction can be characterized with respect to the number of reacting species and the rate at which they interact. *Descriptions of each elementary reaction collectively constitute the mechanistic description of the overall reaction process.* Even a complicated enzyme-catalyzed reaction can be analyzed in terms of its component elementary reactions.

Reaction Order Indicates the Number of Molecules Participating in an Elementary Reaction. At constant temperature, *the rate of an elementary reaction is proportional to the frequency with which the reacting molecules come together.* The proportionality constant is known as a **rate constant** and is symbolized ***k***. For the elementary reaction A → P, the instantaneous rate of appearance of product or disappearance of reactant, which is called the **velocity (*v*)** of the reaction, is

$$v = \frac{d[P]}{dt} = -\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k[A] \qquad [12\text{-}1]$$

In other words, the reaction velocity at any time point is proportional to the concentration of the reactant A. This is an example of a **first-order reaction**. Since the velocity has units of molar per second ($M \cdot s^{-1}$), the first-order rate constant must have units of reciprocal seconds (s^{-1}). *The **reaction order** of an elementary reaction corresponds to the **molecularity** of the reaction, which is the number of molecules that must simultaneously collide to generate a product.* Thus, a first-order elementary reaction is a **unimolecular** reaction.

Consider the elementary reaction 2A → P. This **bimolecular** reaction is a **second-order reaction**, and its instantaneous velocity is described by

$$v = -\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k[A]^2 \qquad [12\text{-}2]$$

In this case, the reaction velocity is proportional to the square of the concentration of A, and the second-order rate constant k has units of $M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$.

The bimolecular reaction $A + B \rightarrow P$ is also a second-order reaction with an instantaneous velocity described by

$$v = -\frac{d[A]}{dt} = -\frac{d[B]}{dt} = k[A][B] \qquad [12\text{-}3]$$

Here, the reaction is said to be first order in [A] and first order in [B] (see Sample Calculation 12-1). Unimolecular and bimolecular reactions are common. **Termolecular** reactions are unusual because the simultaneous collision of three molecules is a rare event. Fourth- and higher-order reactions are unknown.

A Rate Equation Indicates the Progress of a Reaction as a Function of Time. A **rate equation** can be derived from the equations that describe the instantaneous reaction velocity. Thus, a first-order rate equation is obtained by rearranging Eq. 12-1

$$\frac{d[A]}{[A]} = d\ln[A] = -k\,dt \qquad [12\text{-}4]$$

and integrating it from $[A]_o$, the initial concentration of A, to [A], the concentration of A at time t:

$$\int_{[A]_o}^{[A]} d\ln[A] = -k\int_0^t dt \qquad [12\text{-}5]$$

This results in

$$\boxed{\ln[A] = \ln[A]_o - k} \qquad [12\text{-}6]$$

or, taking the antilog of both sides,

$$[A] = [A]_o e^{-kt} \qquad [12\text{-}7]$$

Equation 12-6 is a linear equation of the form $y = mx + b$ and can be plotted as in **Fig. 12-1**. Therefore, if a reaction is first order, a plot of ln[A] versus t will yield a straight line whose slope is $-k$ (the negative of the first-order rate constant) and whose intercept on the ln[A] axis is $\ln[A]_o$.

One of the hallmarks of a first-order reaction is that *the time for half of the reactant initially present to decompose, its* ***half-time*** *or* ***half-life***, $t_{1/2}$, *is a constant and hence independent of the initial concentration of the reactant.* This is easily demonstrated by substituting the relationship $[A] = [A]_o/2$ when $t = t_{1/2}$ into Eq. 12-6 and rearranging:

$$\ln\left(\frac{[A]_o/2}{[A]_o}\right) = -kt_{1/2} \qquad [12\text{-}8]$$

Thus

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{k} = \frac{0.693}{k} \qquad [12\text{-}9]$$

Substances that are inherently unstable, such as radioactive nuclei, decompose through first-order reactions (see Sample Calculation 12-2).

In a second-order reaction with one type of reactant, $2A \rightarrow P$, the variation of [A] with time is quite different from that in a first-order reaction. Rearranging Eq. 12-2 and integrating it over the same limits used for the first-order reaction yields

$$\int_{[A]_o}^{[A]} -\frac{d[A]}{[A]^2} = k\int_0^t dt \qquad [12\text{-}10]$$

SAMPLE CALCULATION 12-1

Determine the velocity of the elementary reaction $X + Y \rightarrow Z$ when the sample contains 3 μM X and 5 μM Y and k for the reaction is 400 $M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$.

Use Equation 12-3 and make sure that all units are consistent:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= k[X][Y] \\ &= (400\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1})(3\ \mu M)(5\ \mu M) \\ &= (400\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1})(3 \times 10^{-6}\ M)(5 \times 10^{-6}\ M) \\ &= 6 \times 10^{-9}\ M \cdot s^{-1} \\ &= 6\ nM \cdot s^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

FIG. 12-1 A plot of a first-order rate equation. The slope of the line obtained when ln[A] is plotted against time gives the rate constant k.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 12-2

The decay of a hypothetical radioisotope has a rate constant of 0.01 s^{-1}. How much time is required for half of a 1-g sample of the isotope to decay?

The units of the rate constant indicate a first-order process. Thus, the half-life is independent of concentration. The half-life of the isotope (the half-time for its decay) is given by Eq. 12-9:

$$t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{k} = \frac{0.693}{0.01\ s^{-1}} = 69.3\ s$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

so that

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{[A]} = \frac{1}{[A]_o} + kt} \qquad [12\text{-}11]$$

Equation 12-11 is a linear equation in terms of the variables 1/[A] and *t*. *The half-time for a second-order reaction is expressed* $t_{1/2} = 1/k[A]_o$ *and therefore, in contrast to a first-order reaction, depends on the initial reactant concentration.* Equations 12-6 and 12-11 may be used to distinguish a first-order from a second-order reaction by plotting ln[A] versus *t* and 1/[A] versus *t* and observing which, if any, of these plots is linear.

To experimentally determine the rate constant for the second-order reaction $A + B \rightarrow P$, it is often convenient to increase the concentration of one reactant relative to the other, for example, $[B] \gg [A]$. Under these conditions, [B] does not change significantly over the course of the reaction. The reaction rate therefore depends only on [A], the concentration of the reactant that is present in limited amounts. Hence, the reaction appears to be first order with respect to A and is therefore said to be a **pseudo-first-order reaction**. The reaction is first order with respect to B when $[A] \gg [B]$.

B Enzyme Kinetics Often Follows the Michaelis–Menten Equation

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Michaelis–Menten kinetics, Lineweaver–Burk plots, and enzyme inhibition

Enzymes catalyze a tremendous variety of reactions using different combinations of five basic catalytic mechanisms (Section 11-3). Some enzymes act on only a single substrate molecule; others act on two or more different substrate molecules whose order of binding may or may not be obligatory. Some enzymes form covalently bound intermediate complexes with their substrates; others do not. *Yet all enzymes can be analyzed such that their reaction rates as well as their overall efficiency can be quantified.*

The study of enzyme kinetics began in 1902 when Adrian Brown investigated the rate of hydrolysis of sucrose by the yeast enzyme **β-fructofuranosidase:**

$$\text{Sucrose} + H_2O \longrightarrow \text{glucose} + \text{fructose}$$

Brown found that when the sucrose concentration is much higher than that of the enzyme, the reaction rate becomes independent of the sucrose concentration; that is, the rate is **zeroth order** with respect to sucrose. He therefore proposed that the overall reaction is composed of two elementary reactions in which the substrate forms a complex with the enzyme that subsequently decomposes to products, regenerating enzyme:

$$E + S \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} ES \xrightarrow{k_2} P + E \qquad [12\text{-}12]$$

Here E, S, ES, and P symbolize the enzyme, substrate, **enzyme–substrate complex**, and products, respectively. According to this model, when the substrate concentration becomes high enough to entirely convert the enzyme to the ES form, the second step of the reaction becomes rate limiting and the overall reaction rate becomes insensitive to further increases in substrate concentration.

Each of the elementary reactions that make up the above enzymatic reaction is characterized by a rate constant: $\boldsymbol{k_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{k_{-1}}$ are the forward and reverse rate constants for formation of the ES complex (the first reaction), and $\boldsymbol{k_2}$ is the rate constant for the decomposition of ES to P and E (the second reaction). Here we assume, for the sake of mathematical simplicity, that the second reaction is irreversible; that is, no P is converted back to S.

The Michaelis–Menten Equation Assumes that ES Maintains a Steady State. The Michaelis–Menten equation describes the rate of the enzymatic reaction represented by Eq. 12-12 as a function of substrate concentration. In this kinetic scheme, the formation of product from ES is a first-order process. Thus, the rate of formation of product can be expressed as the product of the rate constant of the

reaction yielding product and the concentration of its immediately preceding intermediate. The general expression for the velocity (rate) of Reaction 12-12 is therefore

$$v = \frac{d[\mathrm{P}]}{dt} = k_2[\mathrm{ES}] \qquad [12\text{-}13]$$

The overall rate of production of ES is the difference between the rates of the elementary reactions leading to its appearance and those resulting in its disappearance:

$$\frac{d[\mathrm{ES}]}{dt} = k_1[\mathrm{E}][\mathrm{S}] - k_{-1}[\mathrm{ES}] - k_2[\mathrm{ES}] \qquad [12\text{-}14]$$

This equation cannot be explicitly integrated, however, without simplifying assumptions. Two possibilities are

1. ***Assumption of equilibrium.*** In 1913, Leonor Michaelis and Maud Menten, building on the work of Victor Henri, assumed that $k_{-1} \gg k_2$, so that the first step of the reaction reaches equilibrium:

$$K_S = \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1} = \frac{[\mathrm{E}][\mathrm{S}]}{[\mathrm{ES}]} \qquad [12\text{-}15]$$

   Here K_S is the dissociation constant of the first step in the enzymatic reaction. With this assumption, Eq. 12-14 can be integrated. Although this assumption is often not correct, in recognition of the importance of this pioneering work, the enzyme–substrate complex, ES, is known as the **Michaelis complex**.

2. ***Assumption of steady state.*** Figure 12-2 illustrates the progress curves of the various participants in Reaction 12-12 under the physiologically common condition that substrate is in great excess over enzyme ($[\mathrm{S}] \gg [\mathrm{E}]$). With the exception of the initial stage of the reaction, which is usually over within milliseconds of mixing E and S, [ES] remains approximately constant until the substrate is nearly exhausted. Hence, the rate of synthesis of ES must equal its rate of consumption over most of the course of the reaction. In other words, ES maintains a **steady state** and [ES] can be treated as having a constant value:

$$\frac{d[\mathrm{ES}]}{dt} = 0 \qquad [12\text{-}16]$$

FIG. 12-2 The progress curves for a simple enzyme-catalyzed reaction. With the exception of the initial phase of the reaction (before the darker block), the slopes of the progress curves for [E] and [ES] are essentially zero as long as $[\mathrm{S}] \gg [\mathrm{E}]$ (within the darker block). [After Segel, I.H., *Enzyme Kinetics,* p. 27, Wiley (1993).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Describe what is happening at the far left and far right—that is, before and after the steady state.

Box 12-1 Pathways of Discovery **J.B.S. Haldane and Enzyme Action**

J.B.S. Haldane (1892–1964) John Burdon Sanderson Haldane, the son of a prominent physiologist, was a gifted scientist and writer whose major contributions include the application of mathematics to areas of biology such as genetics and enzyme kinetics. As a scientist as well as a philosopher, he was aware of developments in relativity theory and quantum mechanics and was influenced by a practical philosophy that the natural world obeyed the laws of logic and arithmetic.

When Haldane published his book *Enzymes* in 1930, the idea that enzymes are proteins, rather than small catalysts surrounded by an amorphous protein "colloid," was still controversial. However, even in the absence of structural information, scientists such as Leonor Michaelis and Maud Menten had already applied the principles of thermodynamics to derive some basic equations related to enzyme kinetics. Michaelis and Menten proposed in 1913 that during a reaction, an enzyme and its substrate are in equilibrium with a complex of enzyme and substrate. In 1925, Haldane argued that this was not strictly true, since some enzyme–substrate complex does not dissociate to free enzyme and substrate but instead goes on to form product. When the enzyme and substrate are first mixed together, the concentration of the enzyme–substrate complex increases, but after a time the concentration of the complex levels off because the complex is constantly forming and breaking down to generate product. This principle, the so-called steady state assumption, underlies modern theories of enzyme activity.

Even without knowing what enzymes were made of, Haldane showed great insight in proposing that an enzyme could catalyze a reaction by bringing its substrates into a strained or out-of-equilibrium arrangement. This idea refined Emil Fischer's earlier lock-and-key simile (and was later elaborated further by Linus Pauling). Haldane's idea of strain was not fully appreciated until around 1970, after the X-ray structures of several enzymes, including lysozyme and chymotrypsin (Chapter 11), had been examined.

In addition to his work in enzymology, Haldane articulated the role of genes in heredity and formulated mathematical estimates of mutation rates—many years before the nature of genes or the structure of DNA were known. However, in addition to being a theorist, Haldane was an experimentalist who frequently performed unpleasant or dangerous experiments on himself. For example, he ingested sodium bicarbonate and ammonium chloride in order to investigate their effect on breathing rate. Beyond the laboratory, Haldane was well known for his efforts to popularize science. In *Daedalus; or, Science and the Future,* Haldane commented on the status of various branches of science circa 1924 and speculated about future developments. His thoughts and his persona are believed to have inspired various plots and characters in other writers' works of science fiction.

Briggs, G.E. and Haldane, J.B.S., A note on the kinetics of enzyme action, *Biochem. J.* **19,** 339 (1925).

This so-called **steady state assumption**, a more general condition than that of equilibrium, was first proposed in 1925 by George E. Briggs and John B.S. Haldane (Box 12-1). Note that *a reaction that achieves a steady state is not at equilibrium:* [S] and [P] are rapidly changing throughout the interval that [ES] and [E] are essentially constant.

To be useful, kinetic expressions for overall reactions must be formulated in terms of experimentally measurable quantities. The quantities [ES] and [E] are not, in general, directly measurable, but the total enzyme concentration

$$[E]_T = [E] + [ES] \qquad [12\text{-}17]$$

is usually readily determined. The rate equation for the overall enzymatic reaction as a function of [S] and [E] can then be derived. First, Eq. 12-14 is combined with the steady state assumption (Eq. 12-16) to give

$$k_1[E][S] = k_{-1}[ES] + k_2[ES] \qquad [12\text{-}18]$$

Letting $[E] = [E]_T - [ES]$ and rearranging yields

$$\frac{([E]_T - [ES])[S]}{[ES]} = \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1} \qquad [12\text{-}19]$$

The **Michaelis constant**, K_M, is defined as

$$K_M = \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1} \qquad [12\text{-}20]$$

so Eq. 12-19 can then be rearranged to give

$$K_M[ES] = ([E]_T - [ES])[S] \qquad [12\text{-}21]$$

Solving for [ES],

$$[\mathrm{ES}] = \frac{[\mathrm{E}]_\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{S}]}{K_M + [\mathrm{S}]} \qquad [12\text{-}22]$$

The expression for the **initial velocity (v_o)** of the reaction, the velocity (Eq. 12-13) at $t = 0$, thereby becomes

$$v_o = \left(\frac{d[\mathrm{P}]}{dt}\right)_{t=0} = k_2[\mathrm{ES}] = \frac{k_2[\mathrm{E}]_\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{S}]}{K_M + [\mathrm{S}]} \qquad [12\text{-}23]$$

Both $[\mathrm{E}]_\mathrm{T}$ and [S] are experimentally measurable quantities. To meet the conditions of the steady state assumption, the concentration of the substrate must be much greater than the concentration of the enzyme, which allows each enzyme molecule to repeatedly bind a molecule of substrate and convert it to product, so that [ES] is constant. The use of the initial velocity (operationally taken as the velocity measured before more than ~10% of the substrate has been converted to product)—rather than just the velocity—minimizes such complicating factors as the effects of reversible reactions, inhibition of the enzyme by its product(s), and progressive inactivation of the enzyme. (This is also why the rate of the reverse reaction in Eq. 12-12 can be assumed to be zero.)

The **maximal velocity** of a reaction, V_{max}, occurs at high substrate concentrations when the enzyme is **saturated**, that is, when it is entirely in the ES form:

$$V_{max} = k_2[\mathrm{E}]_\mathrm{T} \qquad [12\text{-}24]$$

Therefore, combining Eqs. 12-23 and 12-24, we obtain

$$\boxed{v_o = \frac{V_{max}[\mathrm{S}]}{K_M + [\mathrm{S}]}} \qquad [12\text{-}25]$$

*This expression, the **Michaelis–Menten equation**, is the basic equation of enzyme kinetics.* It describes a rectangular hyperbola such as that plotted in **Fig. 12-3**. The saturation function for oxygen binding to myoglobin (Eq. 7-6) has the same algebraic form.

The Michaelis Constant Has a Simple Operational Definition. At the substrate concentration at which $[\mathrm{S}] = K_M$, Eq. 12-25 yields $v_o = V_{max}/2$ so that K_M *is the*

FIG. 12-3 A plot of the initial velocity v_o of a simple enzymatic reaction versus the substrate concentration [S]. Points are plotted in $0.5K_M$ intervals of substrate concentration between $0.5K_M$ and $5K_M$. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Compare the curve shown here to the oxygen saturation curve for myoglobin (Fig. 7-4).

TABLE 12-1 The Values of K_M, k_{cat}, and k_{cat}/K_M for Some Enzymes and Substrates

Enzyme	Substrate	K_M (M)	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	k_{cat}/K_M ($M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$)
Acetylcholinesterase	Acetylcholine	9.5×10^{-5}	1.4×10^{4}	1.5×10^{8}
Carbonic anhydrase	CO_2	1.2×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{6}	8.3×10^{7}
	HCO_3^-	2.6×10^{-2}	4.0×10^{5}	1.5×10^{7}
Catalase	H_2O_2	2.5×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{7}	4.0×10^{8}
Chymotrypsin	*N*-Acetylglycine ethyl ester	4.4×10^{-1}	5.1×10^{-2}	1.2×10^{-1}
	N-Acetylvaline ethyl ester	8.8×10^{-2}	1.7×10^{-1}	1.9
	N-Acetyltyrosine ethyl ester	6.6×10^{-4}	1.9×10^{2}	2.9×10^{5}
Fumarase	Fumarate	5.0×10^{-6}	8.0×10^{2}	1.6×10^{8}
	Malate	2.5×10^{-5}	9.0×10^{2}	3.6×10^{7}
Urease	Urea	2.5×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{4}	4.0×10^{5}

substrate concentration at which the reaction velocity is half-maximal. Therefore, if an enzyme has a small value of K_M, it achieves maximal catalytic efficiency at low substrate concentrations. Keep in mind that when $[S] \ll K_M$, the reaction rate varies linearly with [S] ($v_o = V_{max}[S]/K_M$), whereas when $[S] \gg K_M$, the enzyme is saturated ($v_o = V_{max}$).

The K_M is unique for each enzyme–substrate pair: Different substrates that react with a given enzyme do so with different K_M values. Likewise, different enzymes that act on the same substrate have different K_M values. The magnitude of K_M varies widely with the identity of the enzyme and the nature of the substrate (**Table 12-1**). It is also a function of temperature and pH. The Michaelis constant (Eq. 12-20) can be expressed as

$$K_M = \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1} + \frac{k_2}{k_1} = K_S + \frac{k_2}{k_1} \qquad [12\text{-}26]$$

Since K_S is the dissociation constant of the Michaelis complex (Eq. 12-15), as K_S decreases, the enzyme's affinity for substrate increases. K_M is therefore also a measure of the affinity of the enzyme for its substrate, provided k_2/k_1 is small compared to K_S, that is, $k_2 < k_{-1}$ so that the ES → P reaction proceeds more slowly than ES reverts to E + S.

k_{cat}/K_M Is a Measure of Catalytic Efficiency. We can define the **catalytic constant, k_{cat}**, of an enzyme as

$$k_{cat} = \frac{V_{max}}{[E]_T} \qquad [12\text{-}27]$$

This quantity is also known as the **turnover number** of an enzyme because it is the number of reaction processes (turnovers) that each active site catalyzes per unit time. The turnover numbers for a selection of enzymes are given in Table 12-l. Note that these quantities vary by over nine orders of magnitude. Equation 12-24 indicates that for a simple system, such as the Michaelis–Menten model reaction (Eq. 12-12), $k_{cat} = k_2$. For enzymes with more complicated mechanisms (e.g., multiple substrates or multiple reaction intermediates), k_{cat} may be a function of several rate constants. Note that whereas k_{cat} is a constant, V_{max} depends on the concentration of the enzyme present in the experimental system. V_{max} increases as $[E]_T$ increases.

When $[S] \ll K_M$, very little ES is formed. Consequently, $[E] \approx [E]_T$, so Eq. 12-23 reduces to a second-order rate equation:

$$v_o = \left(\frac{k_2}{K_M}\right)[E]_T[S] \approx \left(\frac{k_{cat}}{K_M}\right)[E][S] \qquad [12\text{-}28]$$

Box 12-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Kinetics and Transition State Theory

How is the rate of a reaction related to its activation energy (Section 11-2)? Consider a bimolecular reaction that proceeds along the following pathway:

$$A + B \overset{K^{\ddagger}}{\rightleftharpoons} X^{\ddagger} \overset{k'}{\longrightarrow} P + Q$$

where $X^{\ddagger}$ represents the transition state. The rate of the reaction can be expressed as

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A][B] = k'[X^{\ddagger}] \qquad [12\text{-A}]$$

where k is the ordinary rate constant of the elementary reaction and k' is the rate constant for the decomposition of $X^{\ddagger}$ to products.

Although $X^{\ddagger}$ is unstable, it is assumed to be in rapid equilibrium with the reactants; that is,

$$K^{\ddagger} = \frac{[X^{\ddagger}]}{[A][B]} \qquad [12\text{-B}]$$

where $K^{\ddagger}$ is an equilibrium constant. This central assumption of transition state theory permits the powerful formalism of thermodynamics to be applied to the theory of reaction rates.

Since $K^{\ddagger}$ is an equilibrium constant, it can be expressed as

$$-RT \ln K^{\ddagger} = \Delta G^{\ddagger} \qquad [12\text{-C}]$$

where T is the absolute temperature and R (8.3145 J · K^{-1} · mol^{-1}) is the gas constant (this relationship between equilibrium constants and free energy is derived in Section 1-3D). Combining the three preceding equations yields

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k' e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/RT}[A][B] \qquad [12\text{-D}]$$

This equation indicates that the rate of a reaction not only depends on the concentrations of its reactants, but also decreases exponentially with $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. Thus, *the larger the difference between the free energy of the transition state and that of the reactants (the free energy of activation), that is, the less stable the transition state, the slower the reaction proceeds.*

We must now evaluate k', the rate at which $X^{\ddagger}$ decomposes. The transition state structure is held together by a bond that is assumed to be so weak that it flies apart during its first vibrational excursion. Therefore, k' is expressed

$$k' = \kappa\nu \qquad [12\text{-E}]$$

where ν is the vibrational frequency of the bond that breaks as $X^{\ddagger}$ decomposes to products, and κ, the **transmission coefficient,** is the probability that the breakdown of $X^{\ddagger}$ will be in the direction of product formation rather than back to reactants. For most spontaneous reactions, κ is assumed to be 1.0 (although this number, which must be between 0 and 1, can rarely be calculated with confidence).

Planck's law states that

$$\nu = \varepsilon/h \qquad [12\text{-F}]$$

where, in this case, ε is the average energy of the vibration that leads to the decomposition of $X^{\ddagger}$, and h (6.6261×10^{-34} J · s) is **Planck's constant.** Statistical mechanics tells us that at a temperature T, the classical energy of an oscillator is

$$\varepsilon = k_B T \qquad [12\text{-G}]$$

where k_B (1.3807×10^{-23} J · K^{-1}) is the **Boltzmann constant** and $k_B T$ is essentially the available thermal energy. Combining Eqs. 12-E through 12-G gives

$$k' = \frac{k_B T}{h} \qquad [12\text{-H}]$$

Thus, combining Eqs. 12-A, 12-D, and 12-H yields the expression for the rate constant of the elementary reaction:

$$k = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/RT} \qquad [12\text{-I}]$$

This equation indicates that as the temperature rises, so that there is increased thermal energy available to drive the reacting complex over the activation barrier ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$), the reaction speeds up.

Here, k_{cat}/K_M is the apparent second-order rate constant of the enzymatic reaction; the rate of the reaction varies directly with how often enzyme and substrate encounter one another in solution. *The quantity k_{cat}/K_M is therefore a measure of an enzyme's catalytic efficiency.*

There is an upper limit to the value of k_{cat}/K_M: It can be no greater than k_1; that is, the decomposition of ES to E + P can occur no more frequently than E and S come together to form ES. The most efficient enzymes have k_{cat}/K_M values near the **diffusion-controlled limit** of 10^8 to 10^9 M^{-1} · s^{-1}. These enzymes catalyze a reaction almost every time they encounter a substrate molecule and hence have achieved a state of virtual catalytic perfection. The relationship between the catalytic rate and the thermodynamics of the transition state can now be appreciated (Box 12-2).

C Kinetic Data Can Provide Values of V_{max} and K_M

There are several methods for determining the values of the parameters of the Michaelis–Menten equation (i.e., V_{max} and K_M). At very high values of [S], the

SAMPLE CALCULATION 12-3

An enzyme-catalyzed reaction has a K_M of 1 mM and a V_{max} of 5 nM · s^{-1}. What is the reaction velocity when the substrate concentration is (a) 0.25 mM, (b) 1.5 mM, or (c) 10 mM?

Use the Michaelis–Menten equation (Eq. 12-25):

$$\text{(a)}\quad v_o = \frac{(5\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1})(0.25\ \text{mM})}{(1\ \text{mM}) + (0.25\ \text{mM})} = \frac{1.25}{1.25}\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1} = 1\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$$

$$\text{(b)}\quad v_o = \frac{(5\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1})(1.5\ \text{mM})}{(1\ \text{mM}) + (1.5\ \text{mM})} = \frac{7.5}{2.5}\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1} = 3\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$$

$$\text{(c)}\quad v_o = \frac{(5\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1})(10\ \text{mM})}{(1\ \text{mM}) + (10\ \text{mM})} = \frac{50}{11}\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1} = 4.5\ \text{nM}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$$

Note: When units in the numerator and denominator cancel, it is unnecessary to convert them to standard units before performing the calculation.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

initial velocity, v_o, asymptotically approaches V_{max} (see Sample Calculation 12-3). In practice, however, it is very difficult to assess V_{max} accurately from direct plots of v_o versus [S] such as Fig. 12-3, because, even at substrate concentrations as high as [S] = 10 K_M, Eq. 12-25 indicates that v_o is only 91% of V_{max}, so that the value of V_{max} will almost certainly be underestimated.

A better method for determining the values of V_{max} and K_M, which was formulated by Hans Lineweaver and Dean Burk, uses the reciprocal of the Michaelis–Menten equation (Eq. 12-25):

$$\frac{1}{v_o} = \left(\frac{K_M}{V_{max}}\right)\frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{V_{max}} \qquad [12\text{-}29]$$

This is a linear equation in $1/v_o$ and 1/[S]. If these quantities are plotted to obtain the so-called **Lineweaver–Burk** or **double-reciprocal plot**, the slope of the line is K_M/V_{max}, the $1/v_o$ intercept is $1/V_{max}$, and the extrapolated 1/[S] intercept is $-1/K_M$ (**Fig. 12-4** and Sample Calculation 12-4).

SAMPLE CALCULATION 12-4

Determine K_M and V_{max} for an enzyme from the following data using Eq. 12-29:

[S] (mM)	v_o (μM · s^{-1})
1	2.5
2	4.0
5	6.3
10	7.6
20	9.0

First, convert the data to reciprocal form (1/[S] in units of mM^{-1}, and $1/v_o$ in units of μM^{-1} · s). Next, make a plot of $1/v_o$ versus 1/[S]. The *x*- and *y*-intercepts can be estimated by extrapolation of the straight line or can be calculated by linear regression. According to Eq. 12-29 and Fig. 12-4, the *y*-intercept, which has a value of ~0.1 μM^{-1} · s, is equivalent to $1/V_{max}$, so V_{max} (the reciprocal of the *y*-intercept) is 10 μM · s^{-1}. The *x*-intercept, −0.33 mM^{-1}, is equivalent to $-1/K_M$, so K_M (the negative reciprocal of the *x*-intercept) is equal to 3.0 mM.

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

FIG. 12-4 A double-reciprocal (Lineweaver–Burk) plot. The error bars represent ±0.05 V_{max}. The indicated points are the same as those in Fig. 12-3. Note the large effect of small errors at small [S] (large 1/[S]) and the crowding together of points at large [S]. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Which would provide a more reliable K_M value: collecting more data points at high [S] or at low [S]?

As can be seen in Fig. 12-3, the best estimates of kinetic parameters are obtained by collecting data over a range of [S] from ~0.5 K_M to ~5 K_M. Thus, a disadvantage of the Lineweaver–Burk plots is that most experimental measurements of [S] are crowded onto the left side of the graph (Fig. 12-4). Moreover, for small values of [S], small errors in v_o lead to large errors in $1/v_o$ and hence to large errors in K_M and V_{max}.

Several other types of plots, each with its advantages and disadvantages, can also be used to determine K_M and V_{max} from kinetic data. However, kinetic data are now commonly analyzed by computer using mathematically sophisticated statistical treatments. Nevertheless, Lineweaver–Burk plots are still valuable for the visual presentation of kinetic data.

Steady State Kinetics Cannot Unambiguously Establish a Reaction Mechanism. Although steady state kinetics provides valuable information about the rates of buildup and breakdown of ES, it provides little insight as to the nature of ES. Thus, an enzymatic reaction may, in reality, pass through several more or less stable intermediate states, such as

$$E + S \rightleftharpoons ES \rightleftharpoons EX \rightleftharpoons EP \rightleftharpoons E + P$$

or take a more complex path, such as

Unfortunately, steady state kinetic measurements are incapable of revealing the number of intermediates in an enzyme-catalyzed reaction. Thus, such measurements of a multistep reaction can be likened to a "black box" containing a system of water pipes with one inlet and one drain:

At steady state—that is, after the pipes have filled with water—the relationship between input pressure and output flow can be measured. However, such measurements yield no information concerning the detailed construction of the plumbing connecting the inlet to the drain. This would require additional information, such as opening the box and tracing the pipes. Likewise, steady state kinetic measurements can provide a phenomenological description of enzymatic behavior, but the nature of the intermediates remains indeterminate. The existence of intermediates must be verified independently, for example, by identifying them through the use of spectroscopic techniques.

The foregoing highlights a central principle of enzymology: *The steady state kinetic analysis of a reaction cannot unambiguously establish its mechanism.* This is because no matter how simple, elegant, or rational a postulated mechanism, there are an infinite number of alternative mechanisms that can also account

for the kinetic data. Usually, it is the simpler mechanism that turns out to be correct, but this is not always the case. However, *if kinetic data are not compatible with a given mechanism, then that mechanism must be rejected.* Therefore, although kinetics cannot be used to establish a mechanism unambiguously without confirming data, such as the physical demonstration of an intermediate's existence, the steady state kinetic analysis of a reaction is of great value because it can be used to eliminate proposed mechanisms.

D Bisubstrate Reactions Follow One of Several Rate Equations

We have heretofore been concerned with simple, single-substrate reactions that obey the Michaelis–Menten model (Eq. 12-12). Yet, enzymatic reactions requiring multiple substrates and yielding multiple products are far more common. Indeed, those involving two substrates and yielding two products

$$A + B \overset{E}{\rightleftharpoons} P + Q$$

account for ~60% of known biochemical reactions. Almost all of these so-called **bisubstrate reactions** are either transfer reactions in which the enzyme catalyzes the transfer of a specific functional group, X, from one of the substrates to the other:

$$P{-}X + B \overset{E}{\rightleftharpoons} P + B{-}X$$

or oxidation–reduction reactions in which reducing equivalents are transferred between the two substrates. For example, the hydrolysis of a peptide bond by trypsin (Section 11-5) is the transfer of the peptide carbonyl group from the peptide nitrogen atom to water (**Fig. 12-5*a***), whereas in the alcohol dehydrogenase reaction (Section 11-1B), a hydride ion is formally transferred from ethanol to NAD^+ (**Fig. 12-5*b***). Although bisubstrate reactions could, in principle, occur through a vast variety of mechanisms, only a few types are commonly observed.

Sequential Reactions Occur via Single Displacements. *Reactions in which all substrates must combine with the enzyme before a reaction can occur and products be released are known as* ***sequential reactions***. In such reactions, the group being transferred, X, is directly passed from A (= P—X) to B, yielding P and Q (= B—X). Hence, such reactions are also called **single-displacement reactions**.

Sequential reactions can be subclassified into those with a compulsory order of substrate addition to the enzyme, which are said to have an **Ordered mechanism**, and those with no preference for the order of substrate addition, which are described as having a **Random mechanism**. In the Ordered mechanism, the binding of the first substrate is apparently required for the enzyme to form the binding site for the second substrate, whereas in the Random mechanism, both binding sites are present on the free enzyme.

FIG. 12-5 Some bisubstrate reactions. (*a*) In the peptide hydrolysis reaction catalyzed by trypsin, the peptide carbonyl group, with its pendent polypeptide chain, R_1, is transferred from the peptide nitrogen atom to a water molecule. (*b*) In the alcohol dehydrogenase reaction, a hydride ion is formally transferred from ethanol to NAD^+.

In a notation developed by W.W. Cleland, substrates are designated by the letters A and B in the order that they add to the enzyme, products are designated by P and Q in the order that they leave the enzyme, the enzyme is represented by a horizontal line, and successive additions of substrates and releases of products are denoted by vertical arrows. An Ordered bisubstrate reaction is thereby diagrammed:

where A and B are said to be the **leading** and **following** substrates, respectively. Many NAD^+- and $NADP^+$-requiring dehydrogenases follow an Ordered bisubstrate mechanism in which the coenzyme is the leading substrate.

A Random bisubstrate reaction is diagrammed:

Some dehydrogenases and **kinases** operate through Random bisubstrate mechanisms (kinases are enzymes that transfer phosphoryl groups from ATP to other compounds or vice versa).

Ping Pong Reactions Occur via Double Displacements. *Group-transfer reactions in which one or more products are released before all substrates have been added are known as* ***Ping Pong reactions***. The Ping Pong bisubstrate reaction is represented by

Here, a functional group X of the first substrate A (= P—X) is displaced from the substrate by the enzyme E to yield the first product P and a stable enzyme form F (= E—X) in which X is tightly (often covalently) bound to the enzyme (Ping). In the second stage of the reaction, X is displaced from the enzyme by the second substrate B to yield the second product Q (= B—X), thereby regenerating the original form of the enzyme, E (Pong). Such reactions are therefore also known as **double-displacement reactions**. *Note that in Ping Pong reactions, the substrates A and B do not encounter one another on the surface of the enzyme.* Many enzymes, including trypsin (in which F is the acyl–enzyme intermediate; Section 11-5), transaminases, and some flavoenzymes, react with Ping Pong mechanisms.

Bisubstrate Mechanisms Can Be Distinguished by Kinetic Measurements. The rate equations that describe the foregoing bisubstrate mechanisms are considerably more complicated than the equation for a single-substrate reaction. In fact, the equations for bisubstrate mechanisms (which are beyond the scope of this text) contain as many as four kinetic constants versus two (V_{max} and K_M) for the Michaelis–Menten equation. Nevertheless, steady state kinetic measurements can be used to distinguish among the various bisubstrate mechanisms.

CHECKPOINT

- Write the rate equations for a first-order and a second-order reaction.
- If you know a reaction's half-life, can you determine its rate constant? What other information do you need?
- What are the differences between instantaneous velocity, initial velocity, and maximal velocity for an enzymatic reaction?
- Derive the Michaelis–Menten equation.
- What do the values of K_M and k_{cat}/K_M reveal about an enzyme?
- Why can't an enzyme have a k_{cat}/K_M value greater than $10^9\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$?
- Write the Lineweaver–Burk (double-reciprocal) equation and describe the features of a Lineweaver–Burk plot.
- Why can't enzyme kinetics prove that a particular enzyme mechanism is correct?
- Use Cleland notation to describe Ordered and Random sequential reactions and a Ping Pong reaction.

2 Enzyme Inhibition

KEY CONCEPTS

- Enzyme inhibitors interact reversibly or irreversibly with an enzyme to alter its K_M and/or V_{max} values.
- A competitive inhibitor binds to the enzyme's active site and increases the apparent K_M for the reaction.
- An uncompetitive enzyme inhibitor affects catalytic activity such that both the apparent K_M and the apparent V_{max} decrease.
- A mixed enzyme inhibitor alters both catalytic activity and substrate binding such that the apparent V_{max} decreases and the apparent K_M may increase or decrease.

Many substances alter the activity of an enzyme by combining with it in a way that influences the binding of substrate and/or its turnover number. *Substances that reduce an enzyme's activity in this way are known as* ***inhibitors***. A large part of the modern pharmaceutical arsenal consists of enzyme inhibitors. For example, AIDS is treated almost exclusively with drugs that inhibit the activities of certain viral enzymes.

Inhibitors act through a variety of mechanisms. Irreversible enzyme inhibitors, or **inactivators**, bind to the enzyme so tightly that they permanently block the enzyme's activity. Reagents that chemically modify specific amino acid residues can act as inactivators. For example, the compounds used to identify the catalytic Ser and His residues of serine proteases (Section 11-5A) are inactivators of these enzymes.

Reversible enzyme inhibitors diminish an enzyme's activity by interacting reversibly with it. Some enzyme inhibitors are substances that structurally resemble their enzyme's substrates but either do not react or react very slowly. These substances are commonly used to probe the chemical and conformational nature of an enzyme's active site in an effort to elucidate the enzyme's catalytic mechanism. Other inhibitors affect catalytic activity without interfering with substrate binding. Many do both. In this section, we discuss several of the simplest mechanisms for reversible inhibition and their effects on the kinetic behavior of enzymes that follow the Michaelis–Menten model. As in the preceding discussion, we will base our analysis on a simple one-substrate reaction model.

A Competitive Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding at an Enzyme's Substrate Binding Site

A substance that competes directly with a normal substrate for an enzyme's substrate-binding site is known as a **competitive inhibitor**. Such an inhibitor usually resembles the substrate so that it specifically binds to the active site but differs from the substrate so that it cannot react as the substrate does. For example, **succinate dehydrogenase**, a citric acid cycle enzyme that converts **succinate** to **fumarate** (Section 17-3F), is competitively inhibited by **malonate**, which structurally resembles succinate but cannot be dehydrogenated:

Based on an X-ray structure by John Skehel, MRC National Institute for Medical Research, London, U.K. PDBid 2HU4

FIG. 12-6 Oseltamivir (Tamiflu). (*a*) The hydrolytic reaction converting oseltamivir to its drug-active form, oseltamivir carboxylate. (*b*) X-Ray structure of influenza virus neuraminidase in complex with its competitive inhibitor, oseltamivir carboxylate. The active site region of the H5N1 avian flu neuraminidase is represented by its semitransparent surface diagram. The oseltamivir carboxylate is shown in spacefilling form with C green, O red, and N blue.

The effectiveness of malonate as a competitive inhibitor of succinate dehydrogenase strongly suggests that the enzyme's substrate-binding site is designed to bind both of the substrate's carboxylate groups, presumably through the influence of appropriately placed positively charged residues.

Similar principles are responsible for **product inhibition**. In this phenomenon, a product of the reaction, which necessarily is able to bind to the enzyme's active site, may accumulate and compete with substrate for binding to the enzyme in subsequent catalytic cycles. Product inhibition is one way in which the cell controls the activities of its enzymes (Section 12-3).

Computer-assisted design of compounds that fit snugly into an enzyme's active site is an essential part of modern drug development (discussed further in Section 12-4). For example, the anti-influenza drug **oseltamivir (Tamiflu)** is hydrolyzed in the liver to yield **oseltamivir carboxylate** (Fig. 12-6*a*), a competitive inhibitor of influenza **neuraminidase** that binds to this enzyme's active site (Fig. 12-6*b*). Neuraminidase catalyzes the hydrolysis of neuraminic acid (sialic acid; Section 8-1C) to help the viral particles escape from the host cell surface, where membrane glycoproteins contain sialic acid residues. Oseltamivir carboxylate, which can significantly limit the production of the flu virus, has an **inhibition constant** (K_I, the dissociation constant for enzyme–inhibitor binding, see below) of less than 1 nM with neuraminidase (the enzyme's K_M is in the micromolar range).

Transition state analogs are particularly effective inhibitors. This is because effective catalysis often depends on an enzyme's ability to bind to and stabilize its reaction's transition state (Section 11-3E). A compound that mimics a transition state exploits these binding interactions in ways that a substrate analog cannot. For example, **adenosine deaminase** converts the nucleoside adenosine to inosine as follows:

1,6-Dihydroinosine

The K_M of the enzyme for the substrate adenosine is 3×10^{-5} M. The product inosine acts as an inhibitor of the reaction, with a K_I value of 3×10^{-4} M. However, a transition state analog, **1,6-dihydroinosine** (*at left*), inhibits the reaction with a K_I of 1.5×10^{-13} M. Several of the drugs used to block **HIV protease**, an essential enzyme for production of the human immunodeficiency virus, are compounds that were designed to mimic the enzyme's transition state and bind to the enzyme with high affinity (Box 12-3).

Box 12-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease HIV Enzyme Inhibitors

The **human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)** causes **acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)** by infecting and destroying the host's immune system. In the first steps of infection, HIV attaches to a target cell and injects its genetic material (which is RNA rather than DNA) into the host cell. The viral RNA is transcribed into DNA by a viral enzyme called **reverse transcriptase** (Box 25-2). After this DNA is integrated into the host's genome, the cell can produce more viral RNA and proteins for packaging it into new viral particles that bud off from the host cell membrane.

Most of the viral proteins are synthesized as parts of larger polypeptide precursors known as **polyproteins**. Consequently, proteolytic processing by the virally encoded **HIV protease** to release these viral proteins is necessary for viral reproduction. In the absence of an effective vaccine for HIV, efforts to prevent and treat AIDS have led to the development of compounds that inhibit HIV reverse transcriptase and HIV protease.

Chris Bjornberg/Photo Researchers

HIV particles budding from a lymphocyte.

Several inhibitors of reverse transcriptase have been developed. The archetype is **AZT (3′-azido-3′-deoxythymidine; Zidovudine)**, which is taken up by cells, phosphorylated, and incorporated into the DNA chains synthesized from the HIV template by reverse transcriptase. Because AZT lacks a 3′-OH group, it cannot support further polynucleotide chain elongation and therefore terminates DNA synthesis (Section 3-4C). Most cellular DNA polymerases have a low affinity for phosphorylated AZT, but reverse transcriptase has a high affinity for this drug, which makes AZT effective against viral replication. Other nucleoside analogs that are used to treat HIV infection are **2′,3′-dideoxycytidine (ddC, Zalcitabine)** and **2′,3′-dideoxyinosine (ddI, Didanosine;** inosine nucleotides are metabolically converted to adenosine and guanosine nucleotides), which also act as chain terminators. Nonnucleoside compounds such as **nevirapine** do not bind to the reverse transcriptase active site but instead bind to a hydrophobic pocket elsewhere on the enzyme.

3′-Azido-3′-deoxythymidine (AZT; Zidovudine)

2′,3′-Dideoxycytidine (ddC, Zalcitabine)

2′,3′-Dideoxyinosine (ddI, Didanosine)

Nevirapine

HIV protease is a homodimer of 99-residue subunits. Mechanistically, it is a so-called **aspartic protease**, a family of proteases that includes **pepsin** (the gastric protease that operates at low pH).

The Degree of Competitive Inhibition Varies with the Fraction of Enzyme That Has Bound Inhibitor. The general model for competitive inhibition is given by the following reaction scheme:

—Phe—Pro—
HIV protease substrate

K_I = 0.0045 nM

Darunavir

K_I = 0.015 nM

Ritonavir

Comparisons among the active sites of the aspartic proteases were instrumental in designing HIV protease inhibitors. HIV protease cleaves a number of specific peptide bonds, including Phe–Pro and Tyr–Pro peptide bonds in its physiological substrates, the HIV polyproteins. Inhibitors based on these sequences should therefore selectively inhibit the viral protease. The **peptidomimetic** (peptide-imitating) drugs **ritonavir** and **darunavir** contain phenyl and other bulky groups that bind in the HIV protease active site. Of perhaps even greater importance, these drugs have the geometry of the catalyzed reaction's tetrahedral transition state (*red*). The enzyme's peptide substrate is shown for comparison.

The efficacy of anti-HIV agents, like that of many drugs, is limited by their side effects. Despite their preference for viral enzymes, anti-HIV drugs also interfere with normal cellular processes. For example, the inhibition of DNA synthesis by reverse transcriptase inhibitors in rapidly dividing cells, such as the bone marrow cells that give rise to erythrocytes, can lead to severe anemia. Other side effects include nausea, kidney stones, and rashes. Side effects are particularly problematic in HIV infection, because drugs must be taken several times daily for many years, if not for a lifetime.

Acquired resistance also limits the effectiveness of antiviral drugs. This is a significant problem in HIV infection because the error-prone reverse transcriptase allows HIV to mutate rapidly. Numerous mutations in HIV are known to be associated with drug resistance.

In the case of HIV infection, it seems unlikely that a single drug will prove to be a "magic bullet," in part because HIV infects many cell types, but mostly because of its ability to rapidly evolve resistance against any one drug. The outstanding success of anti-HIV therapy rests on combination therapy, in which several different drugs are administered simultaneously. This successfully keeps AIDS at bay by reducing levels of HIV, in some cases to undetectable levels, thereby reducing the probability that HIV will evolve a drug-resistant variant. The advantages of using an inhibitor "cocktail" containing inhibitors of reverse transcriptase and HIV protease include (1) decreasing the likelihood that a viral strain will simultaneously develop resistance to every compound in the mix and (2) decreasing the doses and hence the side effects of the individual compounds.

Here, I is the inhibitor, EI is the catalytically inactive enzyme–inhibitor complex, and it is assumed that the inhibitor binds reversibly to the enzyme and is in rapid equilibrium with it so that

$$K_I = \frac{[E][I]}{[EI]} \qquad [12\text{-}30]$$

A competitive inhibitor therefore reduces the concentration of free enzyme available for substrate binding.

The Michaelis–Menten equation for a competitively inhibited reaction is derived as before (Section 12-1B), but with an additional term to account for the fraction of $[E]_T$ that binds to I to form EI ($[E]_T = [E] + [ES] + [EI]$). The resulting equation,

$$v_o = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{\alpha K_M + [S]} \qquad [12\text{-}31]$$

is the Michaelis–Menten equation that has been modified by a factor, α, which is defined as

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I} \qquad [12\text{-}32]$$

Note that α, a function of the inhibitor's concentration and its affinity for the enzyme, cannot be less than 1. Comparison of Eqs. 12-25 and 12-31 indicates that $K_M^{app} = \alpha K_M$, where K_M^{app} is the apparent K_M, that is, the K_M value that would be measured in the absence of the knowledge that inhibitor is present. **Figure 12-7** shows the hyperbolic plots of Eq. 12-31 for increasing values of α. The presence of I makes [S] appear to be less than it really is (makes K_M appear to be larger than it really is), a consequence of the binding of I and S to E being mutually exclusive. However, increasing [S] can overwhelm a competitive inhibitor. In fact, α *is the factor by which [S] must be increased in order to overcome the effect of the presence of inhibitor.* As [S] approaches infinity v_o approaches V_{max} for any value of α (that is, for any concentration of inhibitor). Thus, the inhibitor does not affect the enzyme's turnover number.

Competitive inhibition is the principle behind the use of ethanol to treat methanol poisoning. Methanol itself is only mildly toxic. However, the liver enzyme alcohol dehydrogenase converts methanol to the highly toxic formaldehyde (*at left*), only small amounts of which cause blindness and death. Ethanol competes with methanol for binding to the active site of liver alcohol dehydrogenase, thereby slowing the production of formaldehyde from methanol (the ethanol is converted to the readily metabolized acetaldehyde; *at left*). Thus, through the administration of ethanol, a large portion of the methanol will be harmlessly excreted from the body in the urine before it can be converted to formaldehyde. The same principle underlies the use of ethanol to treat antifreeze (ethylene glycol, $HOCH_2CH_2OH$) poisoning, which, due to its sweet taste, often afflicts cats and dogs.

K_I Can Be Measured. Recasting Eq. 12-31 in the double-reciprocal form yields

$$\frac{1}{v_o} = \left(\frac{\alpha K_M}{V_{max}}\right)\frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{V_{max}} \qquad [12\text{-}33]$$

FIG. 12-7 **A plot of v_o versus [S] for a Michaelis–Menten reaction in the presence of different concentrations of a competitive inhibitor.**

? **How would the three lines appear when [S] = 50 K_M?**

FIG. 12-8 A Lineweaver–Burk plot of the competitively inhibited Michaelis–Menten enzyme described by Fig. 12-7. Note that all lines intersect on the $1/v_o$ axis at $1/V_{max}$. The varying slopes indicate the effect of the inhibitor on $\alpha K_M = K_M^{app}$. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

A plot of this equation is linear and has a slope of $\alpha K_M/V_{max}$, a 1/[S] intercept of $-1/\alpha K_M$, and a $1/v_o$ intercept of $1/V_{max}$ (Fig. 12-8). The double-reciprocal plots for a competitive inhibitor at various concentrations of I intersect at $1/V_{max}$ on the $1/v_o$ axis, a property that is diagnostic of competitive inhibition.

The value of K_I for a competitive inhibitor can be determined from the plot of $K_M^{app} = (1 + [I]/K_I)K_M$ versus [I]; its intercept on the [I] axis is $-K_I$. K_I can also be calculated from Eq. 12-32 if $K_M^{app} = \alpha K_M$ *is* determined at a known [I] for an enzyme of known K_M (see Sample Calculation 12-5). Comparing the K_I values of competitive inhibitors with different structures can provide information about the binding properties of an enzyme's active site and hence its catalytic mechanism. For example, to ascertain the importance of the various segments of an ATP molecule

for binding to the active site of an ATP-requiring enzyme, one might determine the K_I, say, for ADP, AMP, ribose, triphosphate, etc. Since many of these ATP components are unreactive, inhibition studies are the most convenient method of monitoring their binding to the enzyme.

SAMPLE CALCULATION 12-5

An enzyme has a K_M of 8 μM in the absence of a competitive inhibitor and a K_M^{app} of 12 μM in the presence of 3 μM of the inhibitor. Calculate K_I.

First calculate the value of α when K_M = 8 μM and K_M^{app} = 12 μM:

$$K_M^{app} = \alpha K_M$$

$$\alpha = \frac{K_M^{app}}{K_M}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{12\ \mu M}{8\ \mu M} = 1.5$$

Next, calculate K_I from Eq. 12-32:

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I}$$

$$K_I = \frac{[I]}{\alpha - 1}$$

$$K_I = \frac{3\ \mu M}{1.5 - 1} = 6\ \mu M$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Enzyme Inhibition

In the cell, there are multiple copies of a given enzyme molecule acting on a pool of substrate molecules amid a pool of potential inhibitor molecules. Because the binding of a substrate to the active site, or of an inhibitor to its binding site, is reversible, the actual reaction velocity at any instant—that is, the ability of the enzyme to convert substrate molecules to product molecules—depends on the relative proportions of all the different forms of the enzyme: E, EI, ES, ESI (for certain types of inhibitors), and so on.

B Uncompetitive Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding to the Enzyme–Substrate Complex

In **uncompetitive inhibition**, the inhibitor binds directly to the enzyme–substrate complex but not to the free enzyme:

In this case, the inhibitor binding step has the dissociation constant

$$K'_I = \frac{[ES][I]}{[ESI]} \qquad [12\text{-}34]$$

The binding of uncompetitive inhibitor, which need not resemble substrate, presumably *distorts the active site, thereby rendering the enzyme catalytically inactive*.

The Michaelis–Menten equation for uncompetitive inhibition and the equation for its double-reciprocal plot are given in Table 12-2. The double-reciprocal plot consists of a family of parallel lines (Fig. 12-9) with slope K_M/V_{max}, $1/v_o$ intercepts of α'/V_{max}, and $1/[S]$ intercepts of $-\alpha'/K_M$. Note that in uncompetitive inhibition, both $K_M^{app} = K_M/\alpha'$ and $V_{max}^{app} = V_{max}/\alpha'$ are decreased, but that $K_M^{app}/V_{max}^{app} = K_M/V_{max}$. In contrast to the case for competitive inhibition, adding substrate does not reverse the effect of an uncompetitive inhibitor because the binding of substrate does not interfere with the binding of uncompetitive inhibitor.

Uncompetitive inhibition requires that the inhibitor affect the catalytic function of the enzyme but not its substrate binding. This is difficult to envision for single-substrate enzymes. In actuality, uncompetitive inhibition is significant only for multisubstrate enzymes.

TABLE 12-2 Effects of Inhibitors on Michaelis–Menten Reactions[a]

Type of Inhibition	Michaelis–Menten Equation	Lineweaver–Burk Equation	Effect of Inhibitor
None	$v_o = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{K_M + [S]}$	$\frac{1}{v_o} = \frac{K_M}{V_{max}}\frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{V_{max}}$	None
Competitive	$v_o = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{\alpha K_M + [S]}$	$\frac{1}{v_o} = \frac{\alpha K_M}{V_{max}}\frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{V_{max}}$	Increases K_M^{app}
Uncompetitive	$v_o = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{K_M + \alpha'[S]} = \frac{(V_{max}/\alpha')[S]}{K_M/\alpha' + [S]}$	$\frac{1}{v_o} = \frac{K_M}{V_{max}}\frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{\alpha'}{V_{max}}$	Decreases K_M^{app} and V_{max}^{app}
Mixed (noncompetitive)	$v_o = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{\alpha K_M + \alpha'[S]} = \frac{(V_{max}/\alpha')[S]}{(\alpha/\alpha')K_M + [S]}$	$\frac{1}{v_o} = \frac{\alpha K_M}{V_{max}}\frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{\alpha'}{V_{max}}$	Decreases V_{max}^{app}; may increase or decrease K_M^{app}

[a] $\alpha = 1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I}$ and $\alpha' = 1 + \frac{[I]}{K'_I}$.

FIG. 12-9 A Lineweaver–Burk plot of a Michaelis–Menten enzyme in the presence of an uncompetitive inhibitor. Note that all lines have identical slopes of K_M/V_{max}. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

C Mixed Inhibition Involves Inhibitor Binding to Both the Free Enzyme and the Enzyme–Substrate Complex

Many reversible inhibitors interact with the enzyme in a way that affects substrate binding as well as catalytic activity. In other words, both the enzyme and the enzyme–substrate complex bind inhibitor, resulting in the following model:

This phenomenon is known as **mixed inhibition** (alternatively, **noncompetitive inhibition**). Presumably, *a mixed inhibitor binds to enzyme sites that participate in both substrate binding and catalysis.* For example, metal ions, which do not compete directly with substrates for binding to an enzyme active site, as do competitive inhibitors, may act as mixed inhibitors. The two dissociation constants for inhibitor binding

$$K_I = \frac{[E][I]}{[EI]} \quad \text{and} \quad K'_I = \frac{[ES][I]}{[ESI]} \qquad [12\text{-}35]$$

are not necessarily equivalent.

The Michaelis–Menten equation and the corresponding double-reciprocal equation for mixed inhibition are given in Table 12-2. As in uncompetitive inhibition, the apparent values of K_M and V_{max} are modulated by the presence of inhibitor. The name *mixed inhibition* arises from the fact that the denominator of the Michaelis–Menten equation has the factor α multiplying K_M as in competitive inhibition and the factor α' multiplying [S] as in uncompetitive inhibition. Thus $K_M^{app} = (\alpha/\alpha')K_M$ and $V_{max}^{app} = V_{max}/\alpha'$.

FIG. 12-10 A Lineweaver–Burk plot of a Michaelis–Menten enzyme in the presence of a mixed inhibitor. Note that the lines all intersect to the left of the $1/v_o$ axis. The coordinates of the intersection point are given in brackets. When $K_I = K'_I$ ($\alpha = \alpha'$), the lines intersect on the 1/[S] axis at $-1/K_M$. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

Depending on the relative values of α and α' (and hence K_I and K'_I), K_M^{app} may increase (as in competitive inhibition) or decrease. If the enzyme and enzyme–substrate complex bind I with equal affinity, then $\alpha = \alpha'$ and the K_M^{app} value is unchanged from the K_M for the reaction in the absence of inhibitor. In this case, only V_{max} is affected, a phenomenon that is named **pure noncompetitive inhibition**.

Double-reciprocal plots for mixed inhibition consist of lines that have the slope $\alpha K_M/V_{max}$, a $1/v_o$ intercept of α'/V_{max}, and a 1/[S] intercept of $-\alpha'/\alpha K_M$ (Fig. 12-10). The lines for increasing values of [I] (representing increasing saturation of E and ES with I) intersect to the left of the $1/v_o$ axis. For pure noncompetitive inhibition, the lines intersect on the 1/[S] axis at $-1/K_M$. As with uncompetitive inhibition, substrate binding does not reverse the effects of mixed inhibition.

The kinetics of an enzyme inactivator (an irreversible inhibitor) resembles that of a pure noncompetitive inhibitor because the inactivator reduces the concentration of functional enzyme at all substrate concentrations. Consequently, V_{max} decreases and K_M is unchanged. The double-reciprocal plots for irreversible inactivation therefore resemble those for pure noncompetitive inhibition (the lines intersect on the 1/[S] axis).

CHECKPOINT

- What distinguishes an inhibitor from an inactivator?
- Why might an enzyme's substrate, transition state, and product all serve as starting points for the design of a competitive inhibitor?
- Describe the effects of competitive, uncompetitive, and mixed inhibitors on K_M and V_{max}.
- How can inhibitor binding to an enzyme be quantified?
- How does pure noncompetitive inhibition differ from other forms of inhibition?

3 Control of Enzyme Activity

KEY CONCEPTS

- Allosteric effectors bind to multisubunit enzymes such as aspartate transcarbamoylase, thereby inducing cooperative conformational changes that alter the enzyme's catalytic activity.
- Phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of an enzyme such as glycogen phosphorylase can control its activity by shifting the equilibrium between more active and less active conformations.

An organism must be able to control the catalytic activities of its component enzymes so that it can coordinate its numerous metabolic processes, respond to changes in its environment, and grow and differentiate, all in an orderly manner. There are two ways that this may occur:

1. ***Control of enzyme availability.*** The amount of a given enzyme in a cell depends on both its rate of synthesis and its rate of degradation. Each of these rates is directly controlled by the cell and is subject to dramatic changes over time spans of minutes (in bacteria) to hours (in higher organisms).
2. ***Control of enzyme activity.*** As we have already seen, an enzyme's activity can be inhibited by the accumulation of product and by the presence of other types of inhibitors, In fact, an enzyme's catalytic activity can be modulated—either negatively or positively—through structural alterations that influence the enzyme's substrate-binding affinity or turnover number. Just as hemoglobin's oxygen affinity is allosterically regulated by the binding of ligands such as O_2, CO_2, H^+, and BPG (Section 7-1D), an enzyme's substrate-binding affinity may likewise vary with the binding of small molecules, called **allosteric effectors**. *Allosteric mechanisms can cause large changes in enzymatic activity.* The activities of many enzymes are similarly controlled by **covalent modification,** usually phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of specific Ser, Thr, or Tyr residues.

In the following sections we discuss the control of enzyme activity by allosteric interactions and covalent modification.

A Allosteric Control Involves Binding at a Site Other than the Active Site

In this section we examine allosteric control of enzymatic activity by considering one example—**aspartate transcarbamoylase (ATCase)** from *E. coli.* Other allosteric enzymes are discussed in later chapters.

The Feedback Inhibition of ATCase Regulates Pyrimidine Synthesis. Aspartate transcarbamoylase catalyzes the formation of ***N*-carbamoyl aspartate** from **carbamoyl phosphate** and aspartate:

Carbamoyl phosphate **Aspartate** ***N*-Carbamoylaspartate**

This reaction is the first step unique to the biosynthesis of pyrimidines (Section 23-2A). The allosteric behavior of *E. coli* ATCase has been investigated by John Gerhart and Howard Schachman, who demonstrated that both of its substrates bind cooperatively to the enzyme. Moreover, ATCase is allosterically inhibited by **cytidine triphosphate (CTP),** a pyrimidine nucleotide, and is allosterically activated by adenosine triphosphate (ATP), a purine nucleotide.

The v_o versus [S] curve for ATCase (Fig. 12-11) is sigmoidal, rather than hyperbolic as it is in enzymes that follow the Michaelis–Menten model. This is consistent with cooperative substrate binding (recall that

FIG. 12-11 Plot of v_o versus [aspartate] for the ATCase reaction. Reaction velocity was measured in the absence of allosteric effectors, in the presence of 0.4 mM CTP (an inhibitor), and in the presence of 2.0 mM ATP (an activator). [After Kantrowitz, E.R., Pastra-Landis, S.C., and Lipscomb, W.N., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **5,** 125 (1980).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? **How do ATP and CTP affect the enzyme's apparent K_M?**

FIG. 12-12 A schematic representation of the pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway. CTP, the end product of the pathway, inhibits ATCase, which catalyzes the pathway's first step.

hemoglobin's O_2-binding curve is also sigmoidal; Fig. 7-6). ATCase's allosteric effectors shift the entire curve to the right or the left: At a given substrate concentration, CTP decreases the enzyme's catalytic rate, whereas ATP increases it.

CTP, which is a product of the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway, is an example of a **feedback inhibitor**, since *it inhibits an earlier step in its own biosynthesis* (**Fig. 12-12**). Thus, when CTP levels are high, CTP binds to ATCase, thereby reducing the rate of CTP synthesis. Conversely, when cellular [CTP] decreases, CTP dissociates from ATCase and CTP synthesis accelerates.

The metabolic significance of the ATP activation of ATCase is that it tends to coordinate the rates of synthesis of purine and pyrimidine nucleotides, which are required in roughly equal amounts in nucleic acid biosynthesis. For instance, if the ATP concentration is much greater than that of CTP, ATCase is activated to synthesize pyrimidine nucleotides until the concentrations of ATP and CTP become balanced. Conversely, if the CTP concentration is greater than that of ATP, CTP inhibition of ATCase permits purine nucleotide biosynthesis to balance the ATP and CTP concentrations.

Allosteric Changes Alter ATCase's Substrate-Binding Sites. *E. coli* ATCase (300 kD) has the subunit composition c_6r_6, where c and r represent its catalytic and regulatory subunits. The X-ray structure of ATCase (**Fig. 12-13**), determined by William Lipscomb, reveals that the catalytic subunits are arranged as two trimers (c_3) in complex with three regulatory dimers (r_2). Each regulatory dimer joins two catalytic subunits in different c_3 trimers.

The isolated catalytic trimers are catalytically active, have a maximum catalytic rate greater than that of intact ATCase, exhibit a noncooperative (hyperbolic) substrate saturation curve, and are unaffected by the presence of ATP or CTP. The isolated regulatory dimers bind the allosteric effectors but are devoid of enzymatic activity. Evidently, *the regulatory subunits allosterically reduce the activity of the catalytic subunits in the intact enzyme.*

As allosteric theory predicts (Section 7-1D), the activator ATP preferentially binds to ATCase's active (R or high substrate affinity) state, whereas the inhibitor CTP preferentially binds to the enzyme's inactive (T or low substrate affinity)

FIG. 12-13 X-Ray structure of ATCase from *E. coli*. The T-state enzyme in complex with CTP is viewed (*a*) along the protein's molecular threefold axis of symmetry and (*b*) along a molecular twofold axis of symmetry perpendicular to the view in Part *a*. The polypeptide chains are drawn in worm form embedded in their transparent molecular surface. The regulatory dimers (*yellow*) join the upper catalytic trimer (*red*) to the lower catalytic trimer (*blue*). CTP is drawn in space-filling form colored according to atom type (C green, O red, N blue, and P orange). (*c*) The R-state enzyme in complex with the substrate analog PALA viewed as in part *b*. PALA (which is bound to the *c* subunits but largely obscured here) is drawn in space-filling form. Note how the rotation of the regulatory dimers in the T → R transition causes the catalytic trimers to move apart along the threefold axis. [Based on X-ray structures by William Lipscomb, Harvard University. PDBids 5AT1 and 8ATC.]

state. Similarly, the unreactive bisubstrate analog ***N*-(phosphonacetyl)-L-aspartate (PALA)** binds tightly to R-state but not to T-state ATCase.

***N*-(Phosphonacetyl)-L-aspartate (PALA)**

Carbamoyl phosphate
+
Aspartate

X-Ray structures have been determined for the T-state ATCase–CTP complex and the R-state ATCase–PALA complex (as a rule, unreactive substrate analogs, such as PALA, form complexes with an enzyme that are more amenable to structural analysis than complexes of the enzyme with rapidly reacting substrates). Structural studies reveal that in the T → R transition, the enzyme's catalytic trimers separate along the molecular threefold axis by ~11 Å and reorient around this axis relative to each other by 12° (Fig. 12-13 *b,c*). In addition, the regulatory dimers rotate clockwise by 15° around their twofold axes and separate by ~4 Å along the threefold axis. Such large quaternary shifts are reminiscent of those in hemoglobin (Section 7-1D).

Each catalytic subunit of ATCase consists of a carbamoyl phosphate–binding domain and an aspartate-binding domain. The binding of PALA to the enzyme,

which presumably mimics the binding of both substrates, induces a conformational change that swings the two domains together such that their two bound substrates can react to form product (Fig. 12-14). The conformational changes—movements of up to 8 Å for some residues—in a single catalytic subunit trigger ATCase's T → R quaternary shift. ATCase's tertiary and quaternary shifts are tightly coupled (i.e., ATCase closely follows the symmetry model of allosterism; Section 7-1D). *The binding of substrate to one catalytic subunit therefore increases the substrate-binding affinity and catalytic activity of the other five catalytic subunits* and hence accounts for the enzyme's cooperative substrate binding.

The Binding of Allosteric Modifiers Causes Structural Changes in ATCase. The structural basis for the effects of CTP and ATP on ATCase activity has been partially unveiled. Both the inhibitor CTP and the activator ATP bind to the same site on the outer edge of the regulatory subunit, about 60 Å away from the nearest catalytic site. CTP binds preferentially to the T state, increasing its stability, whereas ATP binds preferentially to the R state, increasing its stability.

The binding of CTP and ATP to their less favored enzyme states also has structural consequences. When CTP binds to R-state ATCase, it induces a contraction in the regulatory dimer that causes the catalytic trimers to come together by 0.5 Å (become more T-like; that is, less active). This, in turn, reorients key residues in the enzyme's active sites, thereby decreasing the enzyme's catalytic activity. ATP has essentially opposite effects when binding to the T-state enzyme: It causes the catalytic trimers to move apart by 0.4 Å (become more R-like; that is, more active), thereby reorienting key residues in the enzyme's active sites so as to increase the enzyme's catalytic activity.

FIG. 12-14 Schematic diagram of tertiary and quaternary conformational changes in ATCase. Two of the six vertically interacting catalytic ATCase subunits are shown. (*a*) In the absence of bound substrate, the protein is held in the T state because the motions that bring together the two domains of each subunit (*green arrows*) are prevented by steric interference (*purple bar*) between the contacting aspartic acid–binding domains. (*b*) The binding of carbamoyl phosphate (CP) followed by aspartic acid (Asp) to their respective binding sites causes the subunits to move apart and rotate with respect to each other so as to permit the T → R transition. (*c*) In the R state, the two domains of each subunit come together to promote the reaction of their bound substrates to form products. [Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.]

Allosteric Transitions in Other Enzymes Often Resemble Those of Hemoglobin and ATCase. Allosteric enzymes are widely distributed in nature and tend to occupy key regulatory positions in metabolic pathways. Such enzymes are almost always symmetrical proteins containing at least two subunits, in which quaternary structural changes communicate binding and catalytic effects among all active sites in the enzyme. The quaternary shifts are primarily rotations of subunits relative to one another. Secondary structures are largely preserved in T → R transitions, which is probably important for mechanically transmitting allosteric effects over distances of tens of angstroms.

B Control by Covalent Modification Usually Involves Protein Phosphorylation

In addition to allosteric interactions, many enzymes may be subject to control by covalent modification. In eukaryotes, by far the most common such modification is phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (the attachment and removal of a phosphoryl group) of the hydroxyl group of a Ser, Thr, or Tyr residue.

Such enzymatic modification/demodification processes, which are catalyzed by enzymes known as **protein kinases** and **protein phosphatases**, alter the activities of the modified proteins. Indeed, ~30% of human proteins, which collectively participate in nearly all biological processes, are subject to control by reversible phosphorylation.

As an example of an enzyme whose activity is controlled by covalent modification, let us consider **glycogen phosphorylase** (or simply **phosphorylase**), which catalyzes the **phosphorolysis** (bond cleavage by the substitution of a phosphate group) of glycogen [the starchlike polysaccharide that consists mainly of α(1 → 4)-linked glucose residues; Section 8-2C] to yield **glucose-1-phosphate (G1P):**

$$\underset{(n\text{ residues})}{\text{Glycogen}} + P_i \rightleftharpoons \underset{(n-1\text{ residues})}{\text{glycogen}} + \text{G1P}$$

Glucose-1-phosphate (G1P)

This is the rate-controlling step in the metabolic pathway of glycogen breakdown, an important supplier of fuel for metabolic activities (Section 16-1).

Mammals express three **isozymes** (catalytically and structurally similar but genetically distinct enzymes from the same organism; also called **isoforms**) of glycogen phosphorylase, those from muscle, brain, and liver. Muscle glycogen phosphorylase, which we discuss here, is a dimer of identical 842-residue subunits. It is regulated both by allosteric interactions and by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation. The phosphorylated form of the enzyme, **phosphorylase *a*,** has a phosphoryl group esterified to its Ser 14. The dephospho form is called **phosphorylase *b*.**

The X-ray structures of phosphorylase *a* and phosphorylase *b*, which were respectively determined by Robert Fletterick and Louise Johnson, are similar. Both have a large N-terminal domain (484 residues; the largest known domain) and a smaller C-terminal domain (Fig. 12-15). The N-terminal

FIG. 12-15 X-Ray structure of rabbit muscle glycogen phosphorylase. Ribbon diagram of the phosphorylase *a* homodimer viewed along its molecular twofold axis of symmetry. Each subunit consists of an N-terminal domain, which is subdivided into an interface subdomain (residues 1–315) and a glycogen-binding subdomain (residues 316–484), and a C-terminal domain (residues 485–842). The enzyme's several ligands are drawn in stick form colored according to type with N blue, O red, P orange, and C atoms as follows. The active site is marked by a bound glucose molecule (C yellow). Pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) is covalently linked to the side chain of Lys 678 in the C-terminal domain (C magenta). In addition, the enzyme binds its allosteric effector AMP (C cyan) and **maltoheptaose** (C green), an $\alpha(1\rightarrow 4)$-linked glucose heptamer, which is bound in the enzyme's glycogen storage site. Ser 14-P, the phosphoryl group on Ser 14, is drawn in space-filling form. [X-ray structure coordinates courtesy of Stephen Sprang, University of Texas Southwest Medical Center]

domain, which is subdivided into a glycogen-binding subdomain and an interface subdomain, contains the phosphorylation site (Ser 14), an allosteric effector site, a glycogen-binding site (called the glycogen storage site), and all the intersubunit contacts in the dimer. The enzyme's active site is located at the center of the subunit.

Phosphorylation and Dephosphorylation Can Alter Enzymatic Activity in a Manner That Resembles Allosteric Control. Glycogen phosphorylase has two conformational states, the enzymatically active R state and the enzymatically inactive T state (**Fig. 12-16**). The T-state enzyme is inactive because it has a malformed active site and a surface loop (residues 282–284) that blocks substrate access to its binding site. In contrast, in the R-state enzyme, the side chain of Arg 569 has reoriented so as to bind the substrate phosphate ion and the 282–284 loop no longer blocks the active site, thereby permitting the enzyme to bind substrate and efficiently catalyze the phosphorolysis of glycogen.

The phosphorylation of Ser 14 promotes phosphorylase's T (inactive) → R (active) conformational change. Moreover, these different enzymatic forms

FIG. 12-16 Conformational changes in glycogen phosphorylase. Ribbon diagrams of one subunit of the dimeric enzyme glycogen phosphorylase *b* (*a*) in the T state in the absence of allosteric effectors and (*b*) in the R state with bound AMP. The view is of the lower (*orange*) subunit in Fig. 12-15 rotated by ~45° about a horizontal axis. The tower helix is blue; the N-terminal helix is cyan; the N-terminal residues that change conformation on AMP binding are red; and residues 282 to 286, the 280s loop, which in the R state are disordered and hence not seen, are green. Of the groups that are shown in space-filling representation, the side chain of Ser 14, the phosphorylation site, and AMP, which binds only to the R state enzyme, have C green, N blue, O red, and P orange; the side chain of Arg 569, which reorients in the T → R transition so as to interact with the substrate phosphate, has C cyan and N blue; and sulfate ions bound near Ser 14 and at the active site of the R state enzyme, which mimic the sterically similar phosphate ions, have O red and S orange. The PLP at the active site is drawn in stick form with C magenta, N blue, O red, and P orange. [Based on X-ray structures by Louise Johnson, Oxford University, U.K. PDBids 8GPB and 7GPB.]

? Consider the properties of a phosphate group to explain why protein phosphorylation can trigger dramatic conformational changes.

FIG. 12-17 The control of glycogen phosphorylase activity. The enzyme may assume the enzymatically inactive T conformation (*above*) or the catalytically active R form (*below*). The conformation of phosphorylase *b* is allosterically controlled by the effectors AMP, ATP, and G6P and is mostly in the T state under physiological conditions. In contrast, the phosphorylated form of the enzyme, phosphorylase *a*, is unresponsive to these effectors and is mostly in the R state unless there is a high level of glucose. Thus, under usual physiological conditions, the enzymatic activity of glycogen phosphorylase is largely determined by its rates of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation.

respond to different allosteric effectors (Fig. 12-17). Thus ATP and **glucose-6-phosphate [G6P;** to which the G1P product of the glycogen phosphorylase reaction is converted (Section 16-1C)] preferentially bind to the T state of phosphorylase *b* and, in doing so, inactivate the enzyme, whereas AMP preferentially binds to the R state of phosphorylase *b* and hence activates it. In contrast, phosphorylase *a*'s only allosteric effector is glucose, which binds to the enzyme's T state and inactivates the enzyme. Note that ATP, G6P, and glucose are present in relatively high concentrations in muscle under conditions of low exertion, a state when glycogen breakdown would be superfluous, whereas AMP is present in relatively high concentration in muscles under conditions of high exertion, a state when the G1P product of the phosphorylase reaction helps fuel muscle contraction.

The phosphate group, with its double negative charge (a property not shared with naturally occurring amino acid residues) and its covalent attachment to a protein, can induce dramatic conformational changes. In phosphorylase *a,* the Ser 14 phosphate group forms ion pairs with two cationic Arg side chains, thereby linking the active site, the subunit interface, and the N-terminal region, the latter having undergone a large conformational shift from its position in the T-state enzyme (Fig. 12-16*a*). These binding interactions cause glycogen phosphorylase's tower helices (Figs. 12-15 and 12-16) to tilt and pull apart so as to pack more favorably, which in turn triggers a quaternary T → R transition, which largely consists of an ~10° relative rotation of the two subunits. *Thus the Ser 14–phosphate group functions as a sort of internal allosteric effector that shifts the enzyme's T ⇌ R equilibrium in favor of the R state.*

Cascades of Protein Kinases Enable Sensitive Responses to Metabolic Needs. The enzymes that catalyze the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of glycogen phosphorylase are named **phosphorylase kinase** and **phosphoprotein**

phosphatase (Fig. 12-17). The activities of these latter enzymes are themselves controlled, both allosterically and by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation. As we will see in Section 13-2, protein kinases and protein phosphatases are often linked in series (i.e., protein kinase X phosphorylates protein kinase Y, which phosphorylates protein kinase Z). Such cascade arrangements have far greater capacities for signal amplification than simple allosteric enzymes, because in a cascade, a small fractional change in effector concentration can cause a larger fractional change in enzyme activity. In addition, the cascade system offers greater flexibility in responding to a large number of allosteric effectors because the activity of each protein in the cascade may be influenced by different sets of effectors. Indeed, just such cascades permit glycogen phosphorylase to respond with great sensitivity to the metabolic needs of the organism.

CHECKPOINT

- Compare and contrast the actions of an allosteric effector, a competitive enzyme inhibitor, and a noncompetitive inhibitor.
- Explain the structural basis for cooperative substrate binding and allosteric control in ATCase.
- Why are such allosteric enzymes composed of more than one catalytic subunit?
- Describe how phosphorylation and dephosphorylation control the activity of glycogen phosphorylase.
- List some advantages of phosphorylation/dephosphorylation cascade systems over simple allosteric regulation.

4 Drug Design

KEY CONCEPTS

- Structure-based design and combinatorial chemistry approaches are used to discover new drugs.
- A drug's interactions with the body determine its bioavailability.
- A drug's effectiveness and safety are tested in clinical trials.
- Cytochrome P450 may modify a drug to alter its bioavailability or toxicity.

The use of drugs to treat various maladies has a long history, but the modern pharmaceutical industry, which is based on science (rather than tradition or superstition), is a product of the twentieth century. For example, at the beginning of the twentieth century, only a handful of drugs, apart from folk medicines, were known, including **digitalis,** a heart stimulant from the foxglove plant (Box 10-3); **quinine** (Fig. 12-18), obtained from the bark and roots of the *Cinchona* tree, which was used to treat malaria; and mercury, which was used to treat syphilis—a cure that was often worse than the disease. Almost all drugs in use today were discovered and developed in the past four decades. The majority of drugs act by modifying the activity of a receptor protein, with enzyme inhibitors constituting the second largest class of drugs. Indeed, the techniques of enzyme kinetics have proved to be invaluable for evaluating drug candidates.

Quinine

Chloroquine

FIG. 12-18 Quinine and chloroquine. These two compounds, which share a quinoline ring system, can be effective antimalarial agents. The *Plasmodium* parasite multiplies within red blood cells, where it proteolyzes hemoglobin to meet its nutritional needs. This process releases heme, which in its soluble form is toxic to the parasite. The parasite sequesters the heme in a crystalline (nontoxic) form. Quinine and chloroquine pass through cell membranes and inhibit heme crystallization in the parasite. Unfortunately, the widespread use of these compounds has resulted in the parasite evolving resistance to them, so that, in many areas of the world, these drugs are no longer effective.

A Drug Discovery Employs a Variety of Techniques

How are new drugs discovered? Nearly all drugs that have been in use for more than 20 years were discovered by screening large numbers of compounds—either synthetic compounds or those derived from natural products (often plants used in folk remedies). Initial screening involves *in vitro* assessment of, for example, the degree of binding of a drug candidate to an enzyme that is implicated in a disease of interest, that is, determining its K_I value. Later, as the number of drug candidates is winnowed down, more sensitive screens, such as testing in animals, are employed.

A drug candidate that exhibits a desired effect is called a **lead compound** (pronounced leed). A good lead compound binds to its target protein with a dissociation constant (for an enzyme, an inhibition constant) of less than 1 μM. Such a high affinity is necessary to minimize a drug's less specific binding to other macromolecules in the body and to ensure that only low doses of the drug need be taken.

A lead compound is used as a point of departure to design more efficacious compounds. Even minor modifications to a drug candidate can result in major changes in its pharmacological properties. Thus, substitution of methyl, chloro, hydroxyl, or benzyl groups at various places on a lead compound may improve its action. For most drugs in use today, 5000 to 10,000 related compounds were typically synthesized and tested. Drug development is a systematic and iterative process in which the most promising derivatives of the lead compound serve as the starting points for the next round of derivatization and testing.

Structure-Based Drug Design Accelerates Drug Discovery. Since the mid-1980s, dramatic advances in the speed and precision with which a macromolecular structure can be determined by X-ray crystallography and NMR (Section 6-2A) have enabled **structure-based drug design**, a process that greatly reduces the number of compounds that need be synthesized in a drug discovery program. As its name implies, structure-based drug design (also called **rational drug design**) uses the structure of a receptor or enzyme in complex with a drug candidate to guide the development of more efficacious compounds. Such a structure will reveal, for example, the positions of the hydrogen bond donors and acceptors in the binding site as well as cavities in the binding site into which substituents might be placed on a drug candidate to increase its binding affinity. These direct visualization techniques are usually supplemented with molecular modeling tools such as the computation of the minimum energy conformation of a proposed derivative, quantum mechanical calculations that determine its charge distribution and hence how it would interact electrostatically with the protein, and docking simulations in which an inhibitor candidate is computationally modeled into the binding site on the receptor to assess potential interactions (see Bioinformatics Project 6). A structure-based approach was used to develop the analgesics (pain relievers) Celebrex and Vioxx (Section 20-6C) and to develop drugs to treat HIV infection (Box 12-3).

Combinatorial Chemistry and High-Throughput Screening Are Useful Drug Discovery Tools. As structure-based methods were developed, it appeared that they would become the dominant mode of drug discovery. However, the recent advent of **combinatorial chemistry** techniques to rapidly and inexpensively synthesize large numbers of related compounds combined with the development of robotic **high-throughput screening** techniques has caused the drug discovery "pendulum" to again swing toward the "make-many-compounds-and-see-what-they-do" approach. If a lead compound can be synthesized in a stepwise manner from several smaller modules, then the substituents on each of these modules can be varied in parallel to produce a library of related compounds (**Fig. 12-19**).

FIG. 12-19 The combinatorial synthesis of arylidene diamides. If ten different variants of each R group are used in the synthesis, then 1000 different derivatives will be synthesized.

A variety of synthetic techniques have been developed that permit the combinatorial synthesis of thousands of related compounds in a single procedure. Thus, whereas investigations into the importance of a hydrophobic group at a particular position in a lead compound might previously have prompted the individual syntheses of only the ethyl, propyl, and benzyl derivatives of the compound, the use of combinatorial synthesis would permit the generation of perhaps 100 different groups at that position. This would far more effectively map out the potential range of the substituent and possibly identify an unexpectedly active analog.

B A Drug's Bioavailability Depends on How It Is Absorbed and Transported in the Body

The *in vitro* development of an effective drug candidate is only the first step in the drug development process. *Besides causing the desired response in its isolated target protein, a useful drug must be delivered in sufficiently high concentration to this protein where it resides in the human body.* For example, a drug that is administered orally (the most convenient route) must surmount a series of formidable barriers: (1) The drug must be chemically stable in the highly acidic environment of the stomach and must not be degraded by digestive enzymes; (2) it must be absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract into the bloodstream, that is, it must pass through several cell membranes; (3) it must not bind too tightly to other substances in the body (e.g., lipophilic substances tend to be absorbed by certain plasma proteins and by fat tissue); (4) it must survive derivatization by the battery of enzymes, mainly in the liver, that detoxify **xenobiotics** (foreign compounds; note that the intestinal blood flow drains directly into the liver via the portal vein so that the liver processes all orally ingested substances before they reach the rest of the body); (5) it must avoid rapid excretion by the kidneys; (6) it must pass from the capillaries to its target tissue; (7) if it is targeted to the brain, it must cross the **blood–brain barrier,** which blocks the passage of most polar substances; and (8) if it is targeted to an intracellular protein, it must pass through the plasma membrane and possibly one or more intracellular membranes.

The ways in which a drug interacts with the barriers listed above are known as its **pharmacokinetics**. Thus, the **bioavailability** of a drug (the extent to which it reaches its site of action, which is usually taken to be the systemic circulation) depends on both the dose given and its pharmacokinetics. *The most effective drugs are usually a compromise; they are neither too lipophilic nor too hydrophilic.* In addition, their pK values are usually in the range 6 to 8 so that they can readily assume both their ionized and un-ionized forms at physiological pHs. This permits them to cross cell membranes in their un-ionized form and to bind to their target protein in their ionized form.

C Clinical Trials Test for Efficacy and Safety

Above all else, a successful drug candidate must be safe and efficacious in humans. Tests for these properties are initially carried out in animals, but since humans and animals often react quite differently to a drug, it must ultimately be

tested in humans through **clinical trials**. In the United States, clinical trials are monitored by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and have three increasingly detailed (and expensive) phases:

Phase I. This phase is primarily designed to test the safety of a drug candidate but is also used to determine its dosage range and the optimal dosage method (e.g., oral versus injected) and frequency. It is usually carried out on a small number (20–100) of normal, healthy volunteers, but in the case of a drug candidate known to be highly toxic (e.g., a cancer chemotherapeutic agent), it is carried out on volunteer patients with the target disease.

Phase II. This phase mainly tests the efficacy of the drug against the target disease in 100 to 500 volunteer patients but also refines the dosage range and checks for side effects. The effects of the drug candidate are usually assessed via **single-blind tests,** procedures in which the patient is unaware of whether he or she has received the drug or a control substance. Usually the control substance is a **placebo** (an inert substance with the same physical appearance, taste, etc., as the drug being tested) but, in the case of a life-threatening disease, it is an ethical necessity that the control substance be the best available treatment against the disease.

Phase III. This phase monitors adverse reactions from long-term use as well as confirming efficacy in 1000 to 5000 patients. It pits the drug candidate against control substances through the statistical analysis of carefully designed **double-blind tests,** procedures in which neither the patients nor the clinical investigators know whether a given patient has received the drug or a control substance. This is done to minimize bias in the subjective judgments the investigators must make.

Currently, only about 5 drug candidates in 5000 that enter preclinical trials reach clinical trials. Of these, only one, on average, is ultimately approved for clinical use, with the majority failing in Phase I trials. In recent years, the preclinical portion of a drug discovery process has averaged ~3 years to complete, whereas successful clinical trials have usually required an additional 7 to 10 years. These successive stages of the drug discovery process are increasingly expensive so that successfully bringing a drug to market costs, on average, around $300 million.

The most time-consuming and expensive aspect of a drug development program is identifying a drug candidate's rare adverse reactions. Nevertheless, it is not an uncommon experience for a drug to be brought to market only to be withdrawn some months or years later when it is found to have caused unanticipated life-threatening side effects in as few as 1 in 10,000 individuals (the post-marketing surveillance of a drug is known as its Phase IV clinical trial). For example, in 1997, the FDA withdrew its approval of the drug **fenfluramine** (**fen;** *at right*), which it had approved in 1973 for use as an appetite suppressant in short-term (a few weeks) weight-loss programs. Fenfluramine had become widely prescribed, often for extended periods, together with another appetite suppressant, **phentermine** (**phen;** approved in 1959; *at left*), a combination known as **fen-phen** (although the FDA had not approved of the use of the two drugs in combination, once it approves a drug for some purpose, a physician may prescribe it for any other purpose). The withdrawal of fenfluramine was prompted by more than 100 reports of heart valve damage in individuals (mostly women) who had taken fen-phen for an average of 12 months (phentermine was not withdrawn because the evidence indicated that fenfluramine was the responsible agent). This rare side effect had not been observed in the clinical

Fenfluramine

Phentermine

trials of fenfluramine, in part because it is such an unusual type of drug reaction that it had not been screened for.

More recently (2004), the widely prescribed analgesic **Vioxx** was withdrawn from use due to its previously undetected cardiac side effects, although the closely related analgesic **Celebrex** remains available (Section 20-6C). The diabetes drug **rosiglitazone (Avandia),** which is associated with an increased risk of heart attack and stroke, likewise illustrates the failure of clinical trials to detect small increases in relatively common diseases, particularly when patients (e.g., diabetics) are already ill.

D Cytochromes P450 Are Often Implicated in Adverse Drug Reactions

Why is it that a drug that is well tolerated by the majority of patients can pose a danger to others? *Differences in reactions to drugs arise from genetic differences among individuals as well as differences in their disease states, other drugs they are taking, age, sex, and environmental factors.* The **cytochromes P450**, which function in large part to detoxify xenobiotics and which participate in the metabolic clearance of the majority of drugs in use, provide instructive examples of these phenomena.

The cytochromes P450 constitute a superfamily of heme-containing enzymes that occur in nearly all living organisms, from bacteria to mammals [their name arises from the characteristic 450-nm peak in their absorption spectra when reacted in their Fe(II) state with CO]. The human genome encodes 57 isozymes of cytochrome P450, around one-third of which occur in the liver (P450 isozymes are named by the letters "CYP" followed by a number designating its family, an uppercase letter designating its subfamily, and often another number; e.g., **CYP3A4**). These **monooxygenases** (Fig. 12-20), which in animals are embedded in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane, catalyze reactions of the sort

$$RH + O_2 + 2\,H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow ROH + H_2O$$

The electrons (e^-) are supplied by NADPH, which passes them to cytochrome P450's heme prosthetic group via the intermediacy of the enzyme **NADPH-P450 reductase**. Here RH represents a wide variety of usually lipophilic compounds for which the different cytochromes P450 are specific. They include polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAHs; frequently carcinogenic (cancer-causing) compounds that are present in tobacco smoke, broiled meats, and other pyrolysis products], polycyclic biphenyls (PCBs; which were widely used in electrical insulators and as plasticizers and are also carcinogenic), steroids (in whose syntheses cytochromes P450 participate), and many different types of drugs. The xenobiotics are thereby converted to a more water-soluble form, which aids in their excretion by the kidneys. Moreover, the newly generated hydroxyl groups are often enzymatically conjugated (covalently linked) to polar substances such as glucuronic acid (Section 8-1C), glycine, sulfate, and acetate, which further enhances aqueous solubility. The many types of cytochromes P450 in animals, which have different substrate specificities (although these specificities tend to be broad and hence often overlap), are thought to have arisen in response to the numerous toxins that plants produce, presumably to discourage animals from eating them (other P450s function to catalyze specific biosynthetic reactions).

Image courtesy of Astex Therapeutics Limited, from Williams, P.A., et al, *Nature*, **424**, 464 (2003), Fig. 3

FIG. 12-20 X-Ray structure of the human cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 in complex with the blood clotting inhibitor warfarin (Coumadin). A cutaway diagram of the enzyme's active site region drawn with its surface purple and with its polypeptide backbone in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from N-terminus (*blue*) to C-terminus (*red*). The heme (seen edgewise), the Cys side chain that axially ligands the heme Fe atom, and the warfarin are shown in ball-and-stick form with C gray, N blue, O red, S yellow, and Fe orange.

Drug–drug interactions *are often mediated by cytochromes P450.* For example, if drug A is metabolized by or otherwise inhibits a cytochrome P450 isozyme that also metabolizes drug B, then coadministering drugs A and B will cause the bioavailability of drug B to increase above the value it would have had if it alone had been administered. This phenomenon is of particular concern if drug B has a low **therapeutic index** (the ratio of the dose of the drug that produces toxicity to that which produces the desired effect). Conversely, if, as is often the case, drug A induces the increased

expression of the cytochrome P450 isozyme that metabolizes it and drug B, then coadministering drugs A and B will reduce drug B's bioavailability, a phenomenon that was first noted when certain antibiotics caused oral contraceptives to lose their efficacy. Moreover, if drug B is metabolized to a toxic product, its increased rate of reaction may result in an adverse reaction. Environmental pollutants such as PAHs and PCBs are also known to induce the expression of specific cytochrome P450 isozymes and thereby alter the rates at which certain drugs are metabolized. Finally, some of these same effects may occur in patients with liver disease, as well as arising from age-based, gender-based, and individual differences in liver physiology.

Although many cytochromes P450 presumably evolved to detoxify and/or help eliminate harmful substances, in several cases they have been shown to participate in converting relatively innocuous compounds to toxic agents. For example, **acetaminophen,** a widely used analgesic and antipyretic (fever reducer) is quite safe when taken in therapeutic doses (1.2 g/day for an adult) but in large doses (>10 g) is highly toxic. This is because, in therapeutic amounts, 95% of the acetaminophen present is enzymatically glucuronidated or sulfated at its —OH group to the corresponding conjugates, which are readily excreted. The remaining 5% is converted, through the action of a cytochrome P450 (**CYP2E1**), to **acetimidoquinone** (**Fig. 12-21**), which is then conjugated with glutathione (Section 4-3B). However, when acetaminophen is taken in large amounts, the glucuronidation and sulfation pathways become saturated and hence the cytochrome P450-mediated pathway becomes increasingly important. If hepatic (liver) glutathione is depleted faster than it can be replaced, acetimidoquinone, a reactive compound, instead conjugates with

FIG. 12-21 The metabolic reactions of acetaminophen. An overdose of acetaminophen leads to the buildup of acetimidoquinone, which is toxic.

the sulfhydryl groups of cellular proteins, resulting in often fatal hepatotoxicity. Indeed, acetaminoaphen overdose is the leading cause of acute liver failure in the United States; ~450 people die from it annually.

Certain drugs may be used to inhibit a cytochrome P450 that degrades another drug, thereby increasing the bioavailability of the latter drug. For example, ritonavir (Box 12-3), which was originally developed as an HIV protease inhibitor, is now only rarely used in that capacity. Instead, it is administered in low doses to specifically inhibit CYP3A4, which has permitted the co-administration of lower doses of other HIV protease inhibitors, such as darunavir (Box 12-3), that are degraded by CYP3A4. This has significantly increased the efficacy of these other HIVprotease inhibitors while greatly decreasing their side effects.

Many of the cytochromes P450 in humans are unusually **polymorphic**; that is, there are several common alleles (variants) of the genes encoding each of these enzymes in the human population. Alleles that cause diminished, enhanced, and qualitatively altered rates of drug metabolism have been characterized for many of the cytochromes P450. The distributions of these various alleles differs markedly among ethnic groups and hence probably arose to permit each group to cope with the toxins in its particular diet.

Polymorphism in a given cytochrome P450 results in differences between individuals in the rates at which they metabolize certain drugs. For instance, in individuals in whom a cytochrome P450 variant has absent or diminished activity, otherwise standard doses of a drug that the enzyme normally metabolizes may cause the bioavailability of the drug to reach toxic levels. Conversely, if a particular P450 enzyme has enhanced activity (usually because the gene encoding it has been duplicated one or more times), higher than normal doses of a drug that the enzyme metabolizes would have to be administered to obtain the required therapeutic effect. However, if the drug is metabolized to a toxic product, this may result in an adverse reaction. Several known P450 variants have altered substrate specificities and hence produce unusual metabolites, which also may cause harmful side effects.

Experience has amply demonstrated that *there is no such thing as a drug that is entirely free of adverse reactions.* However, as the enzymes and their variants that participate in drug metabolism are characterized and as rapid and inexpensive genotyping methods are developed, it is becoming possible to tailor drug treatment to an individual's genetic makeup rather than to the population as a whole. This rapidly developing area of study is called **pharmacogenomics.**

CHECKPOINT

- Compare structure-based drug design and combinatorial chemistry as tools for developing drug candidates.
- List the factors that influence a drug's bioavailability.
- Summarize the purpose of phases I through III of a clinical trial.
- How does cytochrome P450 participate in drug metabolism?
- Indicate how drugs that are well tolerated by the majority of the population cause adverse reactions in certain individuals.

Summary

1 Reaction Kinetics

- Elementary chemical reactions may be first order, second order, or, rarely, third order. In each case, a rate equation describes the progress of the reaction as a function of time.
- The Michaelis–Menten equation describes the relationship between initial reaction velocity and substrate concentration under steady state conditions.
- K_M is the substrate concentration at which the reaction velocity is half-maximal. The value of k_{cat}/K_M indicates an enzyme's catalytic efficiency.
- Kinetic data can be plotted in double-reciprocal form to determine K_M and V_{max}.
- Bisubstrate reactions are classified as sequential (single displacement) or Ping Pong (double displacement). A sequential reaction may proceed by an Ordered or Random mechanism.

2 Enzyme Inhibition

- Reversible inhibitors reduce an enzyme's activity by binding to the substrate-binding site (competitive inhibition), to the enzyme–substrate complex (uncompetitive inhibition), or to both the enzyme and the enzyme–substrate complex (mixed inhibition).

3 Control of Enzyme Activity

- Enzyme activity may be controlled by allosteric effectors.
- The activity of ATCase is increased by ATP and decreased by CTP, which alter the conformation of the catalytic sites by stabilizing the R and the T states of the enzyme, respectively.
- Enzyme activity may be controlled by covalent modification.
- The enzymatic activity of glycogen phosphorylase is controlled by its phosphorylation/dephosphorylation as well as by the influence of allosteric effectors.

4 Drug Design

- An enzyme inhibitor can be developed for use as a drug through structure-based and/or combinatorial methods. It must then be tested for safety and efficacy in clinical trials.
- Adverse reactions to drugs and drug–drug interactions are often mediated by a cytochrome P450.

Key Terms

elementary reaction **362**
k **362**
v **362**
first-order reaction **362**
reaction order **362**
molecularity **362**
second-order reaction **362**
rate equation **363**
$t_{1/2}$ **363**
pseudo-first-order reaction **364**
zero-order reaction **364**
ES complex **364**
k_{-1} **364**
k_2 **364**
Michaelis complex **365**
steady state **365**
steady state assumption **366**
K_M **366**
v_o **367**
V_{max} **367**
enzyme saturation **367**
Michaelis–Menten equation **367**
k_{cat} **368**
turnover number **368**
k_{cat}/K_M **369**
diffusion-controlled limit **369**
Lineweaver–Burk (double–reciprocal) plot **370**
sequential reaction **372**
single-displacement reaction **372**
Ordered mechanism **372**
Random mechanism **372**
Ping Pong reaction **373**
double-displacement reaction **373**
inhibitor **374**
inactivator **374**
competitive inhibition **374**
product inhibition **375**
transition state analog **375**
K_I **375**
K_M^{app} **378**
uncompetitive inhibition **380**
V_{max}^{app} **380**
mixed (noncompetitive) inhibition **381**
allosteric effector **383**
covalent modification **383**
feedback inhibitor **384**
protein kinase **387**
protein phosphatase **387**
isozyme (isoform) **388**
lead compound **392**
structure-based (rational) drug design **392**
combinatorial chemistry **392**
xenobiotic **393**
pharmacokinetics **393**
bioavailability **393**
clinical trial **394**
cytochrome P450 **395**
drug–drug interaction **395**
therapeutic index **395**
pharmacogenomics **397**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Consider the nonenzymatic elementary reaction A → B. When the concentration of A is 20 mM, the reaction velocity is measured as 5 μM B produced per minute. (a) Calculate the rate constant for this reaction. (b) What is the molecularity of the reaction?

2. The hypothetical elementary reaction 2A → B + C has a rate constant of $10^{-6}\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$. What is the reaction velocity when the concentration of A is 10 mM?

3. If there is 10 μmol of the radioactive isotope ^{32}P (half-life 14 days) at $t = 0$, how much ^{32}P will remain at (a) 7 days, (b) 14 days, (c) 21 days, and (d) 70 days?

4. Calculate the half-life, in years, for the reaction 2 X → Y when the starting concentration of X is 6 μM and the rate constant is $3.6 \times 10^{-3}\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$.

5. From the reaction data below, determine whether the reaction is first order or second order and calculate the rate constant.

Time (s)	Reactant (mM)
0	6.2
1	3.1
2	2.1
3	1.6
4	1.3
5	1.1

6. From the reaction data below, determine whether the reaction is first order or second order and calculate the rate constant.

Time (s)	Reactant (mM)
0	5.4
1	4.6
2	3.9
3	3.2
4	2.7
5	2.3

7. For an enzymatic reaction, draw curves that show the appropriate relationships between the variables in each plot below.

8. Explain why it is usually easier to calculate an enzyme's reaction velocity from the rate of appearance of product rather than the rate of disappearance of a substrate.

9. At what concentration of S (expressed as a multiple of K_M) will $v_o = 0.95\ V_{max}$?

10. Identify the enzymes in Table 12-1 whose catalytic efficiencies are near the diffusion-controlled limit.

11. Calculate K_M and V_{max} from the following data:

[S] (μM)	v_o ($mM \cdot s^{-1}$)
0.1	0.34
0.2	0.53
0.4	0.74
0.8	0.91
1.6	1.04

12. Explain why each of the following data sets from a Lineweaver–Burk plot are not individually ideal for determining K_M for an enzyme-catalyzed reaction that follows Michaelis–Menten kinetics.

Set A	1/[S] (mM^{-1})	$1/v_o$ ($\mu M^{-1} \cdot s$)
	0.5	2.4
	1.0	2.6
	1.5	2.9
	2.0	3.1

Set B	1/[S] (mM^{-1})	$1/v_o$ ($\mu M^{-1} \cdot s$)
	8	5.9
	10	6.8
	12	7.8
	14	8.7

13. The K_M for the reaction of chymotrypsin with *N*-acetylvaline ethyl ester is 8.8×10^{-2} M, and the K_M for the reaction of chymotrypsin with *N*-acetyltyrosine ethyl ester is 6.6×10^{-4} M. (a) Which substrate has the higher apparent affinity for the enzyme? (b) Which substrate is likely to give a higher value for V_{max}?

14. Enzyme A catalyzes the reaction S → P and has a K_M of 50 μM and a V_{max} of 100 nM · s^{-1}. Enzyme B catalyzes the reaction S → Q and has a K_M of 5 mM and a V_{max} of 120 nM · s^{-1}. When 100 μM of S is added to a mixture containing equivalent amounts of enzymes A and B, after 1 minute which reaction product will be more abundant: P or Q?

15. In a bisubstrate reaction, a small amount of the first product P is isotopically labeled (P*) and added to the enzyme and the first substrate A. No B or Q is present. Will A (= P—X) become isotopically labeled (A*) if the reaction follows a Ping Pong mechanism?

16. In a bisubstrate reaction, a small amount of the first product P is isotopically labeled (P*) and added to the enzyme and the first substrate A. No B or Q is present. Will A (= P—X) become isotopically labeled (A*) if the reaction follows a Sequential mechanism?

17. How would diisopropylphosphofluoridate (DIPF; Section 11-5A) affect the apparent K_M and V_{max} of a sample of chymotrypsin?

18. Molecule A is the substrate for enzyme X. Which is more likely to be a competitive inhibitor of enzyme X: molecule B or molecule C? Explain.

A **B** **C**

19. Determine the type of inhibition of an enzymatic reaction from the following data collected in the presence and absence of the inhibitor.

[S] (mM)	v_o (mM · min^{-1})	v_o with I present (mM · min^{-1})
1	1.3	0.8
2	2.0	1.2
4	2.8	1.7
8	3.6	2.2
12	4.0	2.4

20. Estimate K_I for a competitive inhibitor when [I] = 5 mM gives an apparent value of K_M that is three times the K_M for the uninhibited reaction.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. Is it necessary for measurements of reaction velocity to be expressed in units of concentration per time (M · s^{-1}, for example) to calculate an enzyme's K_M?

22. Is it necessary to know $[E]_T$ to determine (a) K_M, (b) V_{max}, or (c) k_{cat}?

23. You are trying to determine the K_M for an enzyme. Due to a lab mishap, you have only two usable data points:

Substrate concentration (μM)	Reaction velocity (μM · s^{-1})
1	5
100	50

Use these data to calculate an approximate value for K_M. Is this value likely to be an overestimate or an underestimate of the true value? Explain.

24. You are attempting to determine K_M by measuring the reaction velocity at different substrate concentrations, but you do not realize that the substrate tends to precipitate under the experimental conditions you have chosen. How would this affect your measurement of K_M?

25. You are constructing a velocity versus [substrate] curve for an enzyme whose K_M is believed to be about 2 μM. The enzyme concentration is 200 nM and the substrate concentrations range from 0.1 μM to 10 μM. What is wrong with this experimental setup and how could you fix it?

26. Enzyme X and enzyme Y catalyze the same reaction and exhibit the v_o versus [S] curves shown below. Which enzyme is more efficient at low [S]? Which is more efficient at high [S]?

27. Based on some preliminary measurements, you suspect that a sample of enzyme contains an irreversible enzyme inhibitor. You decide to dilute the sample 100-fold and remeasure the enzyme's activity. What would your results show if an irreversible inhibitor is present?

28. For the same enzyme sample described in Problem 27, what would your results show if a reversible inhibitor is present?

29. For an enzyme-catalyzed reaction, the presence of 5 nM of a reversible inhibitor yields a V_{max} value that is 80% of the value in the absence of the inhibitor. The K_M value is unchanged. (a) What type of inhibition is likely occurring? (b) What proportion of the enzyme molecules have bound inhibitor? (c) Calculate the inhibition constant.

30. Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is important for cell survival. The synthesis of S1P from sphingosine and ATP is catalyzed by the enzyme sphingosine kinase. An understanding of the kinetics of the sphingosine kinase reaction may be important in the development of drugs to treat

cancer. The velocity of the sphingosine kinase reaction was measured in the presence and absence of *threo*-sphingosine, a stereoisomer of sphingosine that inhibits the enzyme. The results are shown below.

[Sphingosine] (μM)	v_o (mg · min^{-1}) (no inhibitor)	v_o (mg · min^{-1}) (with *threo*-sphingosine)
2.5	32.3	8.5
3.5	40	11.5
5	50.8	14.6
10	72	25.4
20	87.7	43.9
50	115.4	70.8

Construct a Lineweaver–Burk plot to answer the following questions:

(a) What are the apparent K_M and V_{max} values in the presence and absence of the inhibitor?

(b) What kind of an inhibitor is *threo*-sphingosine? Explain.

31. Ethanol in the body is oxidized to acetaldehyde (CH_3CHO) by **liver alcohol dehydrogenase (LADH).** Other alcohols are also oxidized by LADH. For example, methanol CH_3OH), which is mildly intoxicating, is oxidized by LADH to the quite toxic product formaldehyde (CH_2O). The toxic effects of ingesting methanol (a component of many commercial solvents) can be reduced by administering ethanol. The ethanol acts as a competitive inhibitor of the methanol by displacing it from LADH. This provides sufficient time for the methanol to be harmlessly excreted by the kidneys. If an individual has ingested 100 mL of methanol (a lethal dose), how much 100 proof whiskey (50% ethanol by volume) must he imbibe to reduce the activity of his LADH toward methanol to 5% of its original value? The adult human body contains ~40 L of aqueous fluids throughout which ingested alcohols are rapidly and uniformly mixed. The densities of ethanol and methanol are both 0.79 g · cm^{-3}. Assume the K_M values of LADH for ethanol and methanol to be 1.0×10^{-3} M and 1.0×10^{-2} M, respectively, and that $K_I = K_M$ for ethanol.

32. Why are uncompetitive and mixed inhibitors generally considered to be more effective *in vivo* than competitive inhibitors?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Enzyme Cofactors and Kinetics

Exercise 2 Survey of Enzyme–Inhibitor Complexes

Extended Exercises Bioinformatic projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 6 Enzyme Inhibitors and Rational Drug Design

1. **Dihydrofolate Reductase.** Examine the structure of an enzyme with an inhibitor bound to it.
2. **HIV Protease.** Compare the structures of complexes containing HIV protease and an inhibitor.
3. **Pharmacogenomics and Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms.** Use online databases to find information on cytochrome P450 polymorphisms.

Project 7 Enzyme Commission Classes and Catalytic Site Alignments with PyMOL

1. **The Enzyme Commission and IUPAC classification of enzymes.** Discover the systematic nature of enzyme classification, brought to you by the same organization (IUPAC) that determines systematic nomenclature for organic molecules.
2. **Exploring the Catalytic Site Atlas.** The Catalytic Site Atlas is an annotated database of enzyme active sites. You'll learn to find the active sites of enzymes that you learn about in class.
3. **Active Site Alignment in PyMOL using the ProMOL plug-in.** The combination of the PyMOL molecular graphics environment and the ProMOL plug-in for PyMOL will enable you to study and align the catalytic sites of many different enzymes.

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 7 A Storage Protein from Seeds of *Brassica nigra* Is a Serine Protease Inhibitor

Focus concept: Purification of a novel seed storage protein allows sequence analysis and determination of the protein's secondary and tertiary structure.
Prerequisites: Chapters 5, 11, and 12

- Protein purification techniques, particularly gel filtration and dialysis
- Protein sequencing using Edman degradation and overlapping peptides
- Structure and mechanism of serine proteases
- Reversible inhibition of enzymes

Case 12 Production of Methanol in Ripening Fruit

Focus concept: The link between the production of methanol in ripening fruit and the activity of pectin methylesterase, the enzyme responsible for methanol production, is examined in wild-type and transgenic tomato fruit.
Prerequisite: Chapter 12

- Enzyme kinetics and inhibition

Case 13 Inhibition of Alcohol Dehydrogenase

Focus concept: The inhibition of alcohol dehydrogenase by a formamide compound is examined.
Prerequisite: Chapter 12

- Principles of enzyme kinetics
- Identification of inhibition via Lineweaver–Burk plots

Case 15 Site-Directed Mutagenesis of Creatine Kinase

Focus concept: Site-directed mutagenesis is used to create mutant creatine kinase enzymes so that the role of a single reactive cysteine in binding and catalysis can be assessed.
Prerequisites: Chapters 4, 6, 11, and 12

- Amino acid structure
- Protein architecture
- Enzyme kinetics and inhibition
- Basic enzyme mechanisms

Case 19 Purification of Rat Kidney Sphingosine Kinase

Focus concept: The purification and kinetic analysis of an enzyme that produces a product important in cell survival is the focus of this study.
Prerequisites: Chapters 5 and 12

- Protein purification techniques and protein analytical methods
- Basic enzyme kinetics

MORE TO EXPLORE What kind of inhibitor is warfarin (shown in Fig. 12-20) and what enzyme does it inhibit? What is the physiological goal of warfarin therapy? Why do individuals vary in their response to a standard dose of warfarin? Why can a patient's genotypic information help a physician choose an effective dose?

References

Kinetics

Bisswanger, H., *Enzyme Kinetics: Principles and Methods* (2nd ed.), Wiley–VCH (2008).

Cornish-Bowden, A., *Fundamentals of Enzyme Kinetics* (4th ed.), Wiley-Blackwell (2012). [A lucid and detailed account of enzyme kinetics.]

Fersht, A., *Structure and Mechanism in Protein Science: A Guide to Enzyme Catalysis and Protein Folding,* W.H. Freeman (1999).

Purich, D.L., *Enzyme Kinetics: Catalysis & Control: A Reference of Theory and Best-Practice Methods,* Elsevier-Academic Press (2010). [A detailed exposition.]

Segel, I.H., *Enzyme Kinetics,* Wiley–Interscience (1993). [A detailed and understandable treatise providing full explanations of many aspects of enzyme kinetics.]

Allosteric Control and Control by Covalent Modification

Jin, L., Stec, B., Lipscomb, W.N., and Kantrowitz, E.R., Insights into the mechanisms of catalysis and heterotropic regulation of *Escherichia coli* aspartate transcarbamoylase based upon a structure of the enzyme complexed with the bisubstrate analogue *N*-phosphonacetyl-L-aspartate at 2.1 Å, *Proteins* **37,** 729–742 (1999).

Johnson, L.N. and Lewis, R.J., Structural basis for control by phosphorylation, *Chem. Rev.* **101,** 2209–2242 (2001).

Lipscomb, W.N., Structure and function of allosteric enzymes, *Chemtracts—Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2,** 1–15 (1991).

Perutz, M., *Mechanisms of Cooperativity and Allosteric Regulation in Proteins,* Cambridge University Press (1990).

Drug Design

Corey, E.J., Czakó, B., and Kürti, L., *Molecules and Medicine,* Wiley (2007). [Discusses the discovery, application, and mode of action of numerous drug molecules.]

Corson, T.W. and Crews, C.M., Molecular understanding and modern application of traditional medicines: triumphs and trials, *Cell* **130,** 769–774 (2007).

Furge, L.L. and Guengerich, F.P., Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism and chemical toxicology, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **34,** 66–74 (2006).

Jorgenson, W.L., The many roles of computation in drug discovery, *Science* **303,** 1813–1818 (2004).

Katzung, B.G. (Ed.), *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology* (10th ed.), McGraw-Hill (2007).

Ohlstein, E.H., Ruffolo, R.R., Jr., and Elliott, J.D., Drug discovery in the next millennium, *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **40,** 177–191 (2000).

White, R.E., High-throughput screening in drug metabolism and pharmokinetic support of drug discovery, *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **40,** 133–157 (2000).

Williams, P.A., Cosme, J., Ward, A., Angove, H.C., Vinkovic, D.M., and Jhoti, H., Crystal structure of human cytochrome P450 2C9 with bound warfarin, *Nature* **424,** 464–468 (2003).

Wlodawer, A. and Vondrasek, J., Inhibitors of HIV-1 protease: A major success of structure-assisted drug design, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **27,** 249–284 (1998). [Reviews the development of some HIV-1 protease inhibitors.]

CHAPTER 13

Biochemical Signaling

Chapter Contents

1 **Hormones**
- A Pancreatic Islet Hormones Control Fuel Metabolism
- B Epinephrine and Norepinephrine Prepare the Body for Action
- C Steroid Hormones Regulate a Wide Variety of Metabolic and Sexual Processes
- D Growth Hormone Binds to Receptors in Muscle, Bone, and Cartilage

2 **Receptor Tyrosine Kinases**
- A Receptor Tyrosine Kinases Transmit Signals across the Cell Membrane
- B Kinase Cascades Relay Signals to the Nucleus
- C Some Receptors Are Associated with Nonreceptor Tyrosine Kinases
- D Protein Phosphatases Are Signaling Proteins in Their Own Right

3 **Heterotrimeric G Proteins**
- A G-Protein–Coupled Receptors Contain Seven Transmembrane Helices
- B Heterotrimeric G Proteins Dissociate on Activation
- C Adenylate Cyclase Synthesizes cAMP to Activate Protein Kinase A
- D Phosphodiesterases Limit Second Messenger Activity

4 **The Phosphoinositide Pathway**
- A Ligand Binding Results in the Cytoplasmic Release of the Second Messengers IP_3 and Ca^{2+}
- B Calmodulin is a Ca^{2+}-Activated Switch
- C DAG is a Lipid-Soluble Second Messenger that Activates Protein Kinase C
- D Epilog: Complex Systems Have Emergent Properties

Martin Harvey/Getty Images

Vanillin, an odorant extracted from vanilla beans and other sources, binds to receptors on olfactory neurons and triggers a series of intracellular events, including heterotrimeric G protein activation and second messenger production, that ultimately generate an electronic signal to the brain.

Living things coordinate their activities at every level of their organization through complex biochemical signaling systems. Intercellular signals are mediated by chemical messengers known as **hormones** and, in higher animals, by neuronally transmitted electrochemical impulses. Intracellular communications are maintained by the synthesis or alteration of a great variety of different substances that are often integral components of the processes they control. For example, metabolic pathways, as we have seen (Section 12-3), are regulated by the feedback control of allosteric enzymes by metabolites in those pathways or by the covalent modification of the enzymes. In this chapter we consider the nature of chemical signals and how the signals are transmitted.

In general, every signaling pathway consists of a ***receptor protein*** *that specifically binds a hormone or other ligand, a mechanism for transmitting the ligand-binding event to the cell interior, and a series of intracellular responses that may involve the synthesis of a* ***second messenger*** *and/or chemical changes catalyzed by* ***kinases*** *and* ***phosphatases****. These pathways often involve* ***enzyme cascades,*** *in which a succession of events amplifies the signal.*

We begin by discussing the functions of some representative human hormone systems. We then discuss the three major pathways whereby intercellular signals are converted (transduced) to intracellular signals: those that (1) involve receptor tyrosine kinases, (2) utilize heterotrimeric G proteins, and (3) employ phosphoinositide cascades. Neurotransmission is discussed in Section 10-2C.

1 Hormones

KEY CONCEPTS

- Endocrine hormones regulate a great variety of physiological processes.
- The pancreatic hormones insulin and glucagon help control fuel metabolism.
- Catecholamines produced by the adrenal medulla bind to α- and β-adrenergic receptors on target cells.
- Steroid hormones regulate fuel metabolism, salt and water balance, and sexual differentiation and function.
- Growth hormone exerts its effects by inducing dimerization of its receptor.

In higher animals, specialized ductless **endocrine glands** (Fig. 13-1) synthesize **endocrine hormones** that are released into the bloodstream in response to external stimuli. These hormones are thereby carried to their target cells (Fig. 13-2) in which they elicit a response. The human endocrine system secretes a wide variety of hormones that enable the body to:

1. Maintain **homeostasis** (a steady state; e.g., insulin and glucagon maintain the blood glucose level within rigid limits during feast or famine).
2. Respond to a wide variety of external stimuli (such as the preparation for "fight or flight" by epinephrine and norepinephrine).
3. Follow various cyclic and developmental programs (for instance, sex hormones regulate sexual differentiation, maturation, the menstrual cycle, and pregnancy).

Most hormones are either polypeptides, amino acid derivatives, or steroids, although there are important exceptions to this generalization. In any case, *only cells with a specific receptor for a given hormone will respond to its presence even though nearly all cells in the body may be exposed to the hormone.* Hormonal messages are therefore quite specifically addressed.

Although we discuss specific hormones and their function in many other chapters, in this section we outline the activities of hormones produced by some representative endocrine glands. These glands are not just a collection of independent secretory organs but also form a complex and highly interdependent

FIG. 13-1 The major glands of the human endocrine system. Other tissues, such as the intestines, also secrete endocrine hormones.

FIG. 13-2 Endocrine signaling. Hormones produced by endocrine cells reach their target cells via the bloodstream. Only cells that display the appropriate receptors can respond to the hormones.

Box 13-1 Pathways to Discovery **Rosalyn Yalow and the Radioimmunoassay (RIA)**

Rosalyn Yalow (1921–2011) Rosalyn Sussman Yalow was born on July 19, 1921, in New York City. Neither of her parents had the advantage of a high school education but there was never a doubt that their two children would make it through college. While Rosalyn was at Hunter College, the college for women in New York City's college system (now the City University of New York), Eve Curie had just published the biography of her mother, Madame Marie Curie, a "must-read" for every aspiring female scientist. Rosalyn's goal at that time was achieving a career in physics. Despite suggestions from her family that becoming an elementary school teacher would be more practical, she persisted.

In 1941, after graduating from college, Yalow received an offer of a teaching assistantship in physics at the University of Illinois in Champaign–Urbana. At the first meeting of the faculty of the College of Engineering, she discovered that she was the only woman among its 400 members. The dean of the faculty congratulated her on her achievement and told her she was the first woman there since 1917. The draft of young men into the armed forces, even before America entered World War II, had made possible her entrance into graduate school. On the first day of graduate school she met Aaron Yalow, who was also beginning graduate study in physics at Illinois and who, in 1943, was to become her husband.

In January 1945, Yalow received a Ph.D. in nuclear physics and returned to New York as assistant engineer at the Federal Telecommunications Laboratory—its only woman engineer. In 1946, she returned to Hunter College to teach physics, not to women but to returning veterans in a preengineering program. During that time she became interested in the medical aspects of radioisotopes. She joined the Bronx Veterans Administration (VA) as a part-time consultant in December 1947. Even while teaching full-time at Hunter, she equipped and developed the Radioisotope Service at the VA hospital and initiated a variety of research projects with several physicians. In January 1950, Yalow chose to leave teaching and join the VA full time. That spring, Dr. Solomon A. Berson joined the Service and began a 22-year partnership that lasted until his death in 1972.

In their joint studies, Yalow and Berson concentrated on the application of isotopes to clinical problems such as hormone analysis. At the time, insulin was the hormone most readily available in a highly purified form. In studying the reaction of insulin with antibodies, Yalow and Berson recognized that they had a tool with the potential for measuring the concentration of insulin in a complex mixture such as blood. By 1959, they had developed a practical method for quantifying insulin in human plasma, the **radioimmunoassay (RIA).** RIA is now used to measure hundreds of substances of biological interest.

The serum concentrations of insulin and other hormones are extremely low, generally between 10^{-12} and 10^{-7} M, so they usually must be measured by indirect means. In RIAs, the unknown concentration of a hormone, H, is determined by measuring how much of a known amount of the radioactively labeled hormone, H*, binds to a fixed quantity of anti-H antibody in the presence of H. This competition reaction is easily calibrated by constructing a standard curve indicating how much H* binds to the antibody as a function of [H]. The high binding affinity and specificity of antibodies for their ligands gives RIAs the advantages of great sensitivity and specificity.

By 1977, Yalow's hospital was affiliated with the Mount Sinai School of Medicine, and Yalow held the title of Distinguished Service Professor. She was a member of the National Academy of Sciences and was a recipient of numerous awards and honors, including the 1977 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine (Berson died before this Nobel prize was awarded and so could not share the prize) and the 1988 National Medal of Science. "The excitement of learning separates youth from old age," Rosalyn Yalow has said. "As long as you're learning, you're not old."*

Mostly abridged from Rosalyn Yalow's autobiography, *Les Prix Nobel. The Nobel Prizes 1977,* Wilhelm Odelberg (Ed.), Nobel Foundation, 1978.
*From *O, The Oprah Magazine,* January 1, 2005.

control network. Indeed, the secretion of many hormones is under feedback control through the secretion of other hormones to which the original hormone-secreting gland responds. The concentrations of circulating hormones are typically measured using the radioimmunoassay developed by Rosalyn Yalow (Box 13-1).

A Pancreatic Islet Hormones Control Fuel Metabolism

The pancreas is a large glandular organ, the bulk of which is an **exocrine gland** dedicated to producing digestive enzymes—such as trypsin, chymotrypsin, RNase A, α-amylase, and phospholipase A_2—that are secreted via the pancreatic duct into the small intestine. However, ~1 to 2% of pancreatic tissue consists of scattered clumps of cells known as **islets of Langerhans,** which comprise an endocrine gland that functions to maintain energy homeostasis. Pancreatic islets contain three types of cells, each of which secretes a characteristic polypeptide hormone:

1. The α cells secrete **glucagon** (29 residues).
2. The β cells secrete insulin (51 residues; Fig. 5-1).
3. The δ cells secrete **somatostatin** (14 residues).

Insulin, which is secreted in response to high blood glucose levels, primarily functions to stimulate muscle, liver, and adipose cells to store glucose for later use by synthesizing glycogen, protein, and fat (Section 22-2). Glucagon, which is

secreted in response to low blood glucose, has essentially the opposite effects: It stimulates the liver to release glucose through the breakdown of glycogen (**glycogenolysis**; Section 16-1) and the synthesis of glucose from noncarbohydrate precursors (**gluconeogenesis**, Section 16-4). It also stimulates adipose tissue to release fatty acids through lipolysis. Somatostatin, which is also secreted by the hypothalamus, inhibits the release of insulin and glucagon from their islet cells.

Polypeptide hormones, like other proteins destined for secretion, are ribosomally synthesized as prohormones, processed in the rough endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus to form the mature hormones, and then packaged in secretory granules to await the signal for their release by exocytosis (Sections 9-4D–F). The most potent physiological stimuli for the release of insulin and glucagon are, respectively, high and low blood glucose concentrations so that islet cells act as the body's primary glucose sensors. However, the release of the hormones is also influenced by the autonomic (involuntary) nervous system and by hormones secreted by the gastrointestinal tract.

B Epinephrine and Norepinephrine Prepare the Body for Action

The **adrenal glands** consist of two distinct types of tissue: the **medulla** (core), which is really an extension of the sympathetic nervous system (a part of the autonomic nervous system), and the more typically glandular **cortex** (outer layer). Here we consider the hormones of the adrenal medulla; those of the cortex are discussed in the following section.

The adrenal medulla synthesizes two hormonally active ***catecholamines*** *(amine-containing derivatives of* ***catechol,*** *1,2-dihydroxybenzene):* ***norepinephrine (noradrenalin)*** *and its methyl derivative* ***epinephrine (adrenalin;*** *at right)*. These hormones are synthesized from tyrosine as is described in Section 21-6B and are stored in granules to await their exocytotic release under the control of the sympathetic nervous system.

R = H **Norepinephrine (noradrenalin)**
R = CH_3 **Epinephrine (adrenalin)**

The biological effects of catecholamines are mediated by two classes of plasma membrane receptors, the α- and β-**adrenergic receptors** (also known as **adrenoreceptors**). These transmembrane glycoproteins were originally identified on the basis of their varying responses to certain **agonists** (substances that bind to a receptor so as to evoke a response) and **antagonists** (substances that bind to a receptor but fail to elicit a response, thereby blocking agonist action). The β- but not the α-adrenergic receptors, for example, are stimulated by **isoproterenol** but blocked by **propranolol,** whereas α- but not β-adrenergic receptors are blocked by **phentolamine.**

Isoproterenol

Propranolol

Phentolamine

The α- and β-adrenergic receptors, which occur on separate tissues in mammals, generally respond differently and often oppositely to catecholamines. For instance, β-adrenergic receptors stimulate glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis in liver (Sections 16-1 and 16-4), glycogenolysis in skeletal muscle, lipolysis in adipose tissue, the relaxation of smooth (involuntary) muscle in the bronchi and

in the blood vessels supplying the skeletal (voluntary) muscles, and increased heart action. In contrast, α-adrenergic receptors stimulate smooth muscle contraction in blood vessels supplying peripheral organs such as skin and kidney, smooth muscle relaxation in the gastrointestinal tract, and blood platelet aggregation. *Most of these diverse effects are directed toward a common end: the mobilization of energy resources and their shunting to where they are most needed to prepare the body for action.*

The tissue distributions of the α- and β-adrenergic receptors and their varying responses to different agonists and antagonists have important therapeutic consequences. For example, propranolol is used to treat high blood pressure and protects against heart attacks, whereas epinephrine's bronchodilator effects make it clinically useful in the treatment of **asthma,** a breathing disorder caused by the inappropriate contraction of bronchial smooth muscle.

C Steroid Hormones Regulate a Wide Variety of Metabolic and Sexual Processes

The adrenal cortex produces at least 50 different ***adrenocortical steroids.*** These have been classified according to the physiological responses they evoke (Section 9-1E):

1. The **glucocorticoids** affect carbohydrate, protein, and lipid metabolism in a manner nearly opposite to that of insulin and influence a wide variety of other vital functions, including inflammatory reactions and the capacity to cope with stress.
2. The **mineralocorticoids** largely function to regulate the excretion of salt and water by the kidneys.
3. The **androgens** and **estrogens** affect sexual development and function. They are made in larger quantities by the gonads.

Glucocorticoids, the most common of which is **cortisol** (also known as **hydrocortisone**), and the mineralocorticoids, the most common of which is **aldosterone,** are all C_{21} compounds (Fig. 9-11).

Steroids, being water insoluble, are transported in the blood in complex with the glycoprotein **transcortin** and, to a lesser extent, with albumin. The steroids spontaneously pass through the membranes of their target cells to the cytosol, where they bind to their cognate **steroid receptors.** The steroid–receptor complexes then migrate to the cell nucleus, where they function as transcription factors to induce, or in some cases repress, the transcription of specific genes (Section 28-3B). In this way, the glucocorticoids and the mineralocorticoids influence the expression of numerous metabolic enzymes in their respective target tissues. Thyroid hormones, which are also nonpolar, function similarly. However, as we will see in the following sections, other hormones that are not lipid-soluble must bind to receptors on the cell surface in order to trigger complex cascades of events within cells that ultimately influence transcription as well as other cellular processes.

Gonadal Steroids Mediate Sexual Development and Function. *The* ***gonads*** *(testes in males, ovaries in females), in addition to producing sperm or ova, secrete steroid hormones (androgens and estrogens) that regulate sexual differentiation, the expression of secondary sex characteristics, and sexual behavior patterns.* Although testes and ovaries both synthesize androgens and estrogens, the testes predominantly secrete androgens, which are therefore known as **male sex hormones,** whereas ovaries produce mostly estrogens, which are consequently termed **female sex hormones.**

Androgens, of which **testosterone** (Fig. 9-11) is prototypic, lack the C_2 substituent at C17 that occurs in glucocorticoids and are therefore C_{19} compounds. Estrogens, such as **β-estradiol** (Fig. 9-11), resemble androgens but are

C_{18} compounds. Interestingly, testosterone is an intermediate in estrogen biosynthesis. Another class of ovarian steroids, C_{21} compounds called **progestins,** help mediate the menstrual cycle and pregnancy.

Androgens play a key role in sexual differentiation. If the gonads of an embryonic male mammal are surgically removed, that individual will become a phenotypic female. Evidently, *mammals are programmed to develop as females unless embryonically subjected to the influence of testicular hormones.* Indeed, genetic males with absent or nonfunctional cytosolic androgen receptors are phenotypic females, a condition named **testicular feminization.** Curiously, estrogens appear to play no part in embryonic female sexual development, although they are essential for female sexual maturation and function.

Androgens that promote muscle growth are known as **anabolic steroids.** Many individuals have taken anabolic steroids, both natural and synthetic, in an effort to enhance their athletic performance or for cosmetic reasons. However, because these substances and their metabolic products interact with the various steroid receptors, their use may cause adverse side effects, including cardiovascular disease, the development of breast tissue in males, masculinization in females, temporary infertility in both sexes, and, in adolescents, stunted growth due to accelerated bone maturation and precocious and/or exaggerated sexual development. Consequently, anabolic steroids have been classified as controlled substances. Their use by competitive athletes has been banned to prevent an unfair advantage over athletes not taking steroids.

D Growth Hormone Binds to Receptors in Muscle, Bone, and Cartilage

Growth hormone (GH), a 191-residue polypeptide, is produced by the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland. Its binding to receptors directly stimulates growth and metabolism in muscle, bone, and cartilage cells. GH also acts indirectly by stimulating the liver to produce additional growth factors.

Overproduction of GH, usually a consequence of a pituitary tumor, results in excessive growth. If this condition commences while the skeleton is still growing—that is, before its growth plates have ossified—then this excessive growth is of normal proportions over the entire body, resulting in **gigantism.** Moreover, since excessive GH inhibits the testosterone production necessary for growth plate ossification, such "giants" continue growing throughout their abnormally short lives. If, however, the skeleton has already matured, GH stimulates only the growth of soft tissues, resulting in enlarged hands and feet and thickened facial features, a condition named **acromegaly.** The opposite problem, GH deficiency, which results in insufficient growth **(dwarfism),** can be treated before skeletal maturity by regular injections of human GH (**hGH;** animal GH is ineffective in humans).

Since hGH was, at first, available only from the pituitaries of cadavers, it was in very short supply. Now, however, hGH can be synthesized in virtually unlimited amounts via recombinant DNA techniques (Section 3-5D). Indeed, hGH has been taken by individuals to increase their athletic prowess, although there is no clear evidence that it does so. However, because of its adverse side effects, which include high blood pressure, joint and muscle pain, and acromegaly, as well as to eliminate any unfair competitive advantages in athletes, its nonmedical use is prohibited.

The GH Receptor Dimer Binds a Hormone. The 620-residue GH receptor is a member of a large family of structurally related proteins. These receptor proteins consist of an N-terminal extracellular ligand-binding domain, a single transmembrane segment that is almost certainly helical, and a C-terminal cytoplasmic domain that is not homologous within the superfamily but in many cases functions as a tyrosine kinase (Section 13-2A).

The X-ray structure of hGH in complex with the extracellular domain of its binding protein **(hGHbp)** reveals that the complex consists of two molecules of

GATEWAY CONCEPT Ligand Binding

A hormone binds to its receptor with high affinity and high specificity (much like a substrate binding to an enzyme's active site), but the binding interactions are noncovalent and therefore reversible. Consequently, the cell responds to the hormone only while the hormone remains associated with its receptor.

FIG. 13-3 X-Ray structure of human growth hormone (hGH) in complex with two molecules of its receptor's extracellular domain (hGHbp). The proteins are shown in ribbon form, with the hGH magenta and the two hGHbp molecules, which together bind one molecule of hGH, each colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The dotted red lines indicate how the hGHbp chains likely penetrate the membrane (*light blue*). [Based on an X-ray structure by Abraham de Vos and Anthony Kossiakoff, Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, California. PDBid 3HHR.]

hGHbp bound to a single hGH molecule (Fig. 13-3). hGH, like many other protein growth factors, consists largely of a four-helix bundle. Each hGHbp molecule consists of two structurally homologous domains, each of which forms a topologically identical sandwich of a three- and a four-stranded antiparallel β sheet that resembles the immunoglobulin fold (Section 7-3B).

The two hGHbp molecules bind to hGH with near twofold symmetry about an axis that is roughly perpendicular to the helical axes of the hGH four-helix bundle and, presumably, to the plane of the cell membrane to which the intact hGH receptor is anchored (Fig. 13-3). The C-terminal domains of the two hGHbp molecules are almost parallel and in contact with one another. Intriguingly, the two hGHbp molecules use essentially the same residues to bind to sites that are on opposite sides of hGH's four-helix bundle and which have no structural similarity.

Ligand binding to the extracellular domains of the receptor induces conformational changes in the intracellular portions of the protein, the first step in ***signal transduction***. Although early models of growth hormone action proposed that ligand binding induces the dimerization of the receptor polypeptides, it has recently been shown that, in the absence of hormone, the hGH receptor forms inactive dimers through relatively weak interactions between its transmembrane segments. The binding of hGH to the receptor's two identical extracellular domains triggers structural rearrangements, including the rotation and splaying (scissoring) of intracellular domains that positions them to interact with cytosolic effector proteins. Numerous other protein growth factors exert their effects through similar receptors.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain why only certain cells respond to hormones even though all cells in the body are exposed to the hormone.
- List some hormones produced by the pancreas, adrenal medulla, and adrenal cortex. What types of molecules are these hormones?
- Summarize the biological effects of insulin, glucagon, norepinephrine, androgens, estrogens, and growth hormone.
- How do receptors respond to agonists and antagonists?
- Why are some hormone receptors intracellular proteins?
- What are some dangers of nonmedical use of steroids and growth hormone?
- What is the significance of conformational changes in the GH receptor?

2 Receptor Tyrosine Kinases

KEY CONCEPTS

- Dimerization and autophosphorylation allow receptor tyrosine kinases to become active as protein tyrosine kinases.
- Adaptor proteins containing SH2 and SH3 domains can link a receptor tyrosine kinase with G proteins and additional kinases that operate as a cascade.
- Some receptors act via associated nonreceptor tyrosine kinases.
- Protein phosphatases participate in signaling pathways by removing phosphoryl groups from receptors and target proteins.

We have seen (Section 12-3B) that the activities of many enzymes are controlled by their covalent modification, mainly the phosphorylation of Ser and Thr residues. A similar process forms the basis of one of the major intracellular signaling

systems, the ATP-dependent phosphorylation of Tyr side chains by **protein tyrosine kinases** (**PTKs**; *at right*):

Phospho-Tyr residues mediate protein–protein interactions that are involved in numerous cell functions. Consequently, the PTKs play a central role in signal transduction, regulation of central metabolic pathways, cell cycle control, and cell growth and differentiation. In this section, we discuss the proteins that participate in this signaling system and how their activities are orchestrated to transmit signals within the cell.

A Receptor Tyrosine Kinases Transmit Signals across the Cell Membrane

The first step in all biochemical signaling pathways is the binding of an agonist to its receptor protein. Box 13-2 discusses how receptor–ligand interactions are quantitated. Insulin and many other polypeptide growth factors bind to receptors whose C-terminal domains have tyrosine kinase activity. Such **receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs)** typically contain only a single transmembrane segment and are monomers or loosely associated dimers in the unliganded state. These structural features make it unlikely that ligand binding to an extracellular domain manifests itself as a dramatic conformational change in an intracellular domain (such a conformational shift seems more likely to occur in receptors with multiple transmembrane segments). Instead, a plausible mechanism for activating RTKs appears to be ligand-induced dimerization of receptor proteins to form homodimers or heterodimers. Alternatively, pre-existing receptor dimers may undergo a scissors-like repositioning of their transmembrane segments, as is the case for the growth hormone receptor (Fig. 13-3), although it lacks tyrosine kinase activity. The **insulin receptor** is a dimer in its unliganded state. In this case, ligand binding apparently induces a conformational change in the receptor that activates its tyrosine kinase activity.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Hormone signaling by the receptor tyrosine kinase system

Autophosphorylation Activates Receptor Tyrosine Kinases. When an RTK dimerizes or its conformation changes on ligand binding, its cytoplasmic protein tyrosine kinase (PTK) domains are brought close together so that they cross-phosphorylate each other on specific Tyr residues. *This **autophosphorylation** activates the PTK so that it can phosphorylate other protein substrates.* Let us see how this occurs with the insulin receptor.

The insulin receptor is a dimer of αβ protomers. Each protomer is synthesized as a single 1382-residue precursor peptide that is proteolytically processed to yield its 731-residue α subunit, which is entirely extracellular, and its 620-residue β subunit, which consists of a PTK domain on its cytoplasmic side that is linked to its extracellular domain via a single transmembrane helix. The α and β subunits within each protomer are disulfide-linked as are the receptor's two α subunits.

The X-ray structure of the insulin receptor's extracellular portion, its **ectodomain** (Greek: *ektos*, outside) (Fig. 13-4), reveals that each of its two αβ protomers adopt a folded-over conformation to form a V-shaped dimer. The positions of the dimeric receptor's two insulin binding sites, inferred from a variety of evidence, are too far apart for a single insulin molecule to simultaneously bind to both of them (as occurs in the binding of human growth hormone to its receptor; Fig. 13-3). This suggests that the binding of insulin induces a conformational change in the insulin receptor's ectodomain that brings the two arms of the V closer together, thereby dragging their attached cytoplasmic PTK domains in close enough proximity for them to phosphorylate one another.

FIG. 13-4 X-Ray structure of the insulin receptor ectodomain. One of its αβ protomers is shown in ribbon form with its six domains successively colored in rainbow order with the N-terminal domain blue and the C-terminal domain red. The other protomer is represented by its identically colored surface diagram. The β subunits consist of most of the orange and all of the red domains. The protein is viewed with the plasma membrane below and its twofold axis vertical. In the intact receptor, a single transmembrane helix connects each β subunit to its C-terminal cytoplasmic PTK domain. [Based on an X-ray structure by Michael Weiss, Case Western Reserve University; and Michael Lawrence, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Victoria, Australia. PDBid 3LOH.]

Box 13-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry Receptor–Ligand Binding Can Be Quantitated

Receptors, like other proteins, bind their corresponding ligands according to the laws of mass action:

$$R + L \rightleftharpoons R \cdot L$$

Here R and L represent receptor and ligand, and the reaction's dissociation constant is expressed:

$$K_L = \frac{[R][L]}{[R \cdot L]} = \frac{([R]_T - [R \cdot L])[L]}{[R \cdot L]} \qquad [13\text{-}1]$$

where the total receptor concentration, $[R]_T$, is equal to $[R] + [R \cdot L]$. Equation 13-1 may be rearranged to a form analogous to the Michaelis–Menten equation of enzyme kinetics (Section 12-1B):

$$Y = \frac{[R \cdot L]}{[R]_T} = \frac{[L]}{K_L + [L]} \qquad [13\text{-}2]$$

where Y is the fractional occupation of the ligand-binding sites. Equation 13-2 represents a hyperbolic curve (**Fig. 1***a*) in which K_L may be operationally defined as the ligand concentration at which the receptor is half-maximally occupied by ligand.

Although K_L and $[R]_T$ may, in principle, be determined from an analysis of a hyperbolic plot such as Fig. 1*a*, the analysis of a linear form of the equation is a more common procedure. Equation 13-1 may be rearranged to

$$\frac{[R \cdot L]}{[L]} = \frac{([R]_T - [R \cdot L])}{K_L} \qquad [13\text{-}3]$$

Now, in keeping with customary receptor-binding nomenclature, let us redefine $[R \cdot L]$ as B (for bound ligand), [L] as F (for free ligand), and $[R]_T$ as B_{max}. Then Eq. 13-3 becomes

$$\frac{B}{F} = \frac{(B_{max} - B)}{K_L} \qquad [13\text{-}4]$$

A plot of B/F versus B, which is known as a **Scatchard plot** (after George Scatchard, its originator), therefore yields a straight line of slope $-1/K_L$ whose intercept on the B axis is B_{max} (**Fig. 1***b*).

FIG. 1 The binding of ligand to receptor. (*a*) A hyperbolic plot. (*b*) A Scatchard plot. Here, $B = [R \cdot L]$, $F = [L]$, and $B_{max} = [R]_T$.

Here, both B and F may be determined by filter-binding assays as follows. Most receptors are insoluble membrane-bound proteins and may therefore be separated from soluble free ligand by filtration (receptors that have been solubilized may be separated from free ligand by filtration, for example, through nitrocellulose since proteins nonspecifically bind to nitrocellulose). Hence, by using radioactively labeled ligand, the values of B and F ($[R \cdot L]$ and [L]) may be determined, respectively, from the radioactivity on the filter and that remaining in solution. The rate of $R \cdot L$ dissociation is generally so slow (half-times of minutes to hours) as to cause insignificant errors when the filter is washed to remove residual free ligand.

Once the receptor-binding parameters for one ligand have been determined, the dissociation constant of other ligands for the same ligand-binding site may be determined through competitive binding studies. The model describing this competitive binding is analogous to the competitive inhibition of a Michaelis–Menten enzyme (Section 12-2A):

where I is the competing ligand whose dissociation constant with the receptor is expressed:

$$K_I = \frac{[R][I]}{[R \cdot I]} \qquad [13\text{-}5]$$

Thus, in direct analogy with the derivation of the equation describing competitive inhibition (Section 12-2A):

$$[R \cdot L] = \frac{[R]_T[I]}{K_L\left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I}\right) + [L]} \qquad [13\text{-}6]$$

The relative affinities of a ligand and an inhibitor may therefore be determined by dividing Eq. 13-6 in the presence of inhibitor with that in the absence of inhibitor:

$$\frac{[R \cdot L]_I}{[R \cdot L]_O} = \frac{K_L + [L]}{K_L\left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I}\right) + [L]} \qquad [13\text{-}7]$$

When this ratio is 0.5 (50% inhibition), the competitor concentration is referred to as $[I_{50}]$. Thus, solving Eq. 13-7 for K_I at 50% inhibition:

$$K_I = \frac{[I_{50}]}{1 + \frac{[L]}{K_L}} \qquad [13\text{-}8]$$

The X-ray structure of the β subunit's 306-residue PTK domain (**Fig. 13-5***a*) reveals a deeply clefted bilobal protein whose N-terminal domain consists of a five-stranded β sheet and an α helix, and whose larger C-terminal domain is mainly α-helical. This structure, as we will repeatedly see, is typical of the large family of protein kinases, enzymes that phosphorylate the OH groups of Tyr

FIG. 13-5 X-Ray structure of the tyrosine kinase domain of the insulin receptor. (*a*) The tyrosine kinase domain is shown in the "standard" protein kinase orientation with its N-terminal domain lavender, its C-terminal domain cyan, and its activation loop light blue. Three phosphorylated Tyr side chains are shown in ball-and-stick form with C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow. The ATP analog AMPPNP is shown in space-filling form. Six residues of the substrate polypeptide are shown in orange, and its phosphorylatable Tyr residue is shown in magenta. (*b*) The polypeptide backbones of the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of the insulin receptor tyrosine kinase domain are shown superimposed on their C-terminal lobes. The phosphorylated protein is green with its activation loop blue, and the unphosphorylated protein is yellow with its activation loop red. The cyan arrow and black axis indicate the rotation required to align the two N-terminal lobes. [Part *a* based on an X-ray structure by and Part *b* courtesy of Stevan Hubbard, New York University Medical School. PDBids 1IR3 and 1IRK.]

? Explain why phosphorylation might cause the red protein loop in Part *b* to change its conformation.

residues and/or Ser and Thr residues. Indeed, the human genome encodes 90 PTKs and 388 protein Ser/Thr kinases (representing >2% of human genes) that collectively phosphorylate an estimated one-third of the proteins in human cells. In doing so, they play key roles in the signaling pathways by which many hormones, growth factors, neurotransmitters, and toxins affect the functions of their target cells.

How does autophosphorylation activate the PTK activity of the insulin receptor? In the X-ray structure of the insulin receptor PTK domain (Fig. 13-5*a*), the nonhydrolyzable ATP analog **adenosine-5′-(β,γ-imido)triphosphate (AMPPNP;** *at right;* alternatively **ADPNP),** is bound in the cleft between the protein domains. There, its γ-phosphate group is in close juxtaposition to the OH group of the target Tyr residue in a substrate peptide that is also bound to the protein. Three of the PTK's Tyr residues, which are located on the C-terminal domain in its so-called activation loop, are phosphorylated. In its unphosphorylated state, the 18-residue activation loop threads through the PTK active site so as to prevent the binding of both ATP and protein substrates. When the three Tyr residues are phosphorylated, the activation loop changes its conformation such that it does not occlude the active site (**Fig. 13-5*b***) but instead forms part of the substrate recognition site. In fact, the PTK activity of the insulin receptor increases with the degree of phosphorylation of its three autophosphorylatable Tyr residues.

Adenosine-5′-(β,γ-imido)triphosphate (AMPPNP)

The conformational changes in PTK induced by phosphorylation and ligand binding are depicted in Fig. 13-5*b*. The PTK's N-terminal lobe undergoes a nearly rigid 21° rotation relative to the C-terminal lobe, a dramatic conformational change that presumably positions critical residues for substrate binding and catalysis.

Nearly all known PTKs have between one and three autophosphorylatable Tyr residues in their activation loops, which assume similar conformations in all phosphorylated PTKs of known structure. Moreover, many activated PTKs also phosphorylate the opposing RTK at cytoplasmic Tyr residues outside of the PTK domain. The specificity of PTKs for phosphorylating Tyr rather than Ser or Thr is explained by the observation that the side chain of Tyr, but not those of Ser or Thr, is long enough to reach the active site.

B Kinase Cascades Relay Signals to the Nucleus

Although certain autophosphorylated RTKs directly phosphorylate their ultimate target proteins, many do not do so. How, then, are these target proteins activated? The answer, as we will see, is through a highly diverse and complicated set of interconnected signaling pathways involving cascades of associating proteins.

SH2 Domains Bind Phospho-Tyr Residues. The main substrates of the insulin receptor tyrosine kinase are known as **insulin receptor substrates 1** and **2 (IRS-1** and **IRS-2).** When phosphorylated, these proteins can interact with yet another set of proteins that contain one or two conserved ~100-residue modules known as **Src homology 2 (SH2) domains** [because they are similar to the sequence of a domain in the protein named **Src** (pronounced "sarc")]. SH2 domains bind phospho-Tyr residues with high affinity but do not bind the far more abundant phospho-Ser and phospho-Thr residues. This specificity has a simple explanation. X-Ray structural studies reveal that phospho-Tyr interacts with an Arg at the bottom of a deep pocket (Fig. 13-6). The side chains of Ser and Thr are too short to interact with this residue.

The SH2-containing proteins that interact with the IRSs and other phosphorylated proteins have varied functions: Some are kinases, some are phosphatases, and some are GTP-binding proteins known as **G proteins** (G proteins also play a key role in non-RTK signaling pathways, as described in Section 13-3). Consequently, hormone binding to its RTK can elicit a variety of intracellular responses.

RTKs Indirectly Activate the G Protein Ras. Molecular genetic analysis of signaling in a variety of distantly related organisms revealed a remarkably conserved pathway that regulates such essential functions as cell growth and differentiation. Briefly, growth factor binding to its cognate RTK activates a monomeric G protein named **Ras,** the prototypic member of a superfamily of monomeric G proteins that, in humans, consists of 150 members. Ras is anchored to the inner surface of the plasma membrane by prenylation (Section 9-3B). Activated Ras initiates a **kinase cascade** that relays the signal to the transcriptional apparatus in the nucleus.

The binding of a growth factor to its RTK leads to autophosphorylation of the RTK, which then interacts with an SH2-containing protein (Fig. 13-7, *top left*). Many proteins that contain SH2 domains also have one or more unrelated 50- to 75-residue **SH3 domains.** SH3 domains, which bind Pro-rich sequences of

FIG. 13-6 X-Ray structure of the Src SH2 domain in complex with a target peptide. The protein is represented by its ribbon diagram colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) and embedded in its semitransparent surface diagram. An 11-residue polypeptide containing the protein's phospho-Tyr-Glu-Glu-Ile (pYEEI) target tetrapeptide is drawn in space-filling form with backbone C cyan, side chain C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. The side chain of SH2 Arg 32, which interacts with the phosphoryl group of the peptide phospho-Tyr (pTyr) residue, is drawn in stick form. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Kuriyan, The Rockefeller University. PDBid 1SPS.]

? **Draw the structures of phospho-Thr and phospho-Ser and compare them to phospho-Tyr.**

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 13-7 The Ras signaling cascade. RTK binding to its cognate growth factor (**1**) induces the autophosphorylation of the RTK's cytosolic domain (**2**). Grb2/Sem-5 binds to the resulting phospho-Tyr–containing peptide segment via its SH2 domain (**3**) and simultaneously binds to Pro-rich segments on Sos via its two SH3 domains (**4**). This activates Sos to exchange Ras's bound GDP for GTP (**5**), which activates Ras to bind to Raf (**6**). Then, in a so-called kinase cascade, Raf, a Ser/Thr kinase, phosphorylates MEK (**7**), which in turn phosphorylates MAPK (**8**), which then migrates to the nucleus (**9**), where it phosphorylates transcription factors such as Fos, Jun, and Myc (**10**), thereby modulating gene expression. Ras is eventually inactivated by GTP hydrolysis (**11**), a process that is accelerated by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs). The kinase cascade eventually returns to its resting state through the action of protein phosphatases (Section 13-2D). [After Egan, S.E. and Weinberg, R.A., *Nature* **365,** 782 (1993).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Indicate the steps at which signal amplification occurs.**

FIG. 13-8 X-Ray structure of the SH3 domain from Abl protein in complex with its 10-residue target Pro-rich polypeptide (APTMPPPLPP). The protein is represented by its surface diagram (*cyan*) and the peptide is drawn in stick form with Pro C magenta, other C green, N blue, O red, and S yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Andrea Musacchio, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany. PDBid 1ABO.]

9 or 10 residues (**Fig. 13-8**), are also present in some proteins that lack SH2 domains. In the signaling cascade shown in Fig. 13-7, the mammalian protein known as **Grb2** (**Sem-5** in the nematode worm *Caenorhabditis elegans*) consists almost entirely of an SH2 domain flanked by two SH3 domains (**Fig. 13-9**). Grb2/Sem-5 links the autophosphorylated RTK (via its SH2 domain) to the Pro-rich **Sos protein** (via its SH3 domains).

Inactive Ras has GDP bound in its nucleotide-binding site. The Grb2–Sos complex activates Ras by inducing it to release its bound GDP and replace it with GTP. *Only the Ras · GTP complex is capable of further relaying the growth-promoting signal from the RTK.* Ras tightly binds both GTP and GDP and hence must interact with Sos to exchange these nucleotides. Sos is therefore known as a **guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF).** Most G proteins, as we will see, have a corresponding GEF.

The X-ray structure of Grb2 (Fig. 13-9) suggests that its SH2 domain is flexibly linked to its two SH3 domains. How does the binding of such a pliable **adaptor** (a linker that lacks enzymatic activity) to a phosphorylated RTK cause Sos to activate Ras? Grb2 and Sos bind one another so tightly that they are essentially permanently associated in the cell. Hence, when Grb2 binds to a phosphorylated RTK, it recruits Sos to the inner surface of the plasma membrane, where the increased local concentration of Sos causes it to more readily bind to the membrane-anchored Ras and thus act as a GEF.

GAPs Accelerate the GTPase Activities of G Proteins. Ras is an enzyme (a **GTPase**) that catalyzes the hydrolysis of its bound GTP to GDP + P_i, thereby limiting the magnitude of the cell's response to the growth factor. Yet Ras by itself hydrolyzes only two to three GTPs per minute, too slowly for effective signal transduction. Furthermore, for a signal to be more than a one-time switch, there must be a mechanism for turning it off as well as on. These considerations led to the discovery of a 120-kD **GTPase-activating protein (GAP)** named **RasGAP** that, on binding Ras · GTP, accelerates the rate of GTP hydrolysis by a factor of 10^5. Most G proteins, like Ras, also have a corresponding GAP.

The mechanism whereby RasGAP activates the GTPase activity of Ras was revealed by the X-ray structure of the 334-residue GTPase-activating domain of RasGAP (GAP334) bound to Ras in its complex with GDP and AlF_3 (**Fig. 13-10**). GAP334 interacts with Ras over an extensive surface. The AlF_3, which has trigonal planar geometry, binds to Ras at the expected position of GTP's γ phosphate group, with the Al atom opposite a bound water molecule that presumably would be the attacking nucleophile in the GTPase reaction. Since Al—F and P—O bonds have similar lengths, the GDP–AlF_3–H_2O assembly resembles the GTPase reaction's expected transition state with the AlF_3 mimicking the planar PO_3 group.

FIG. 13-9 X-Ray structure of Grb2. Its SH2 domain (*green*) is linked to its flanking SH3 domains (*cyan and orange*) via apparently unstructured and hence flexible four-residue linkers. [Based on an X-ray structure by Arnaud Ducruix, Université de Paris-Sud, Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France. PDBid 1GRI.]

FIG. 13-10 X-Ray structure of the GAP334 · Ras · GDP · AlF_3 complex. The active site regions of the proteins are shown as ribbons with Ras cyan, its Gly 12 magenta, GAP334 yellow, and its finger loop red. The GDP, AlF_3, and the side chains of Ras Gln 61 and GAP334 Arg 789 are drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, F yellow-green, P orange, and Al pink; the water molecule is represented by a red sphere; and hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alfred Wittinghofer, Max-Planck-Institut für Molekulare Physiologie, Dortmund, Germany. PDBid 1WQ1.]

GAP334 binds to Ras with GAP334's so-called finger loop inserted into the Ras active site such that an Arg residue in the loop interacts with both the GDP's β phosphate and the AlF_3. In the Ras · GTP complex, this Arg side chain would be in an excellent position to stabilize the developing negative charge in the GTPase reaction's transition state. Indeed, catalytically more efficient G proteins contain an Arg residue that occupies a nearly identical position.

A Kinase Cascade Completes the Signaling Pathway. The signaling pathway downstream of Ras consists of a linear cascade of protein kinases (Fig. 13-7, *right*). The Ser/Thr kinase **Raf,** which is activated by direct interaction with Ras · GTP, phosphorylates a protein alternatively known as **MEK** or **MAP kinase kinase,** thereby activating it as a kinase. Activated MEK phosphorylates a family of proteins variously termed **mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs)** or **extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERKs).** A MAPK must be phosphorylated at both its Thr and Tyr residues in the sequence Thr-Glu-Tyr for full activity. MEK (which stands for *M*AP kinase/*E*RK kinase-activating *k*inase) catalyzes both phosphorylations; it is therefore a protein Ser/Thr kinase as well as a protein Tyr kinase.

The activated MAP kinases migrate from the cytosol to the nucleus, where they phosphorylate a variety of proteins, including **Fos, Jun,** and **Myc.** These latter proteins are **transcription factors** (proteins that induce the transcription of their target genes; Section 28-3B): In their activated forms they stimulate various genes to produce the effects commissioned by the extracellular presence of the growth factor that initiated the signaling cascade. When insulin activates the Ras signaling pathway, the result is an increase in protein synthesis that supports cell growth and differentiation, a response consistent with insulin's function as a signal of fuel abundance. Variant proteins encoded by

Box 13-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Oncogenes and Cancer

The growth and differentiation of cells in the body are normally strictly controlled. Thus, with few exceptions (e.g., blood-forming cells and hair follicles), cells in the adult body are largely quiescent. However, for a variety of reasons, a cell may be made to proliferate uncontrollably to form a tumor.

Malignant tumors (cancers) grow in an invasive manner and are almost invariably life threatening. They are responsible for 20% of the mortalities in the United States.

Among the many causes of cancer are viruses that carry **oncogenes** (Greek: *onkos,* mass or tumor). For example, the **Rous sarcoma virus (RSV),** which induces the formation of **sarcomas** (cancers arising from connective tissues) in chickens, contains four genes. Three of the genes are essential for viral replication, whereas the fourth, **v-*src*** (v for viral, *src* for *sar*coma), an oncogene, induces tumor formation. What is the origin of v-*src,* and how does it function? Hybridization studies by Michael Bishop and Harold Varmus in 1976 led to the remarkable discovery that uninfected chicken cells contain a gene, **c-*src*** (c for cellular), that is homologous to v-*src* and that is highly conserved in a wide variety of eukaryotes, suggesting that it is an essential cellular gene. Apparently, v-*src* was originally acquired from a cellular source by a non-tumor-forming ancestor of RSV. Both v-*src* and c-*src* encode a 60-kD tyrosine kinase. However, whereas the activity of c-*src* is strictly regulated, that of v-*src* is under no such control and hence its presence maintains the host cell in a proliferative state. Since cells are not killed by an RSV infection, this presumably enhances the viral replication rate.

Salisbury/Photo Researchers

An X-ray–based false-color image showing an axial section through a human abdomen that has cancer of the liver. The liver is the large red mass occupying much of the abdomen; the light patches on the liver are cancerous tumors. A vertebra (*dark green*) can be seen at the lower center of the image.

Other oncogenes have been similarly linked to processes that regulate cell growth. For example, the **v-*erbB*** oncogene specifies a truncated version of the **epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor,** which lacks the EGF-binding domain but retains its transmembrane segment and its tyrosine kinase domain. This kinase phosphorylates its target proteins in the absence of an extracellular signal, thereby driving uncontrolled cell proliferation.

The **v-*ras*** (for *ra*t *s*arcoma) oncogene encodes a 21-kD protein, **v-Ras,** that resembles cellular Ras but hydrolyzes GTP much more slowly. The reduced braking effect of GTP hydrolysis on the rate of protein phosphorylation leads to increased activation of the kinases downstream of Ras (Fig. 13-7).

The transcription factors that respond to Ras-mediated signaling (e.g., Fos and Jun) are also encoded by **proto-oncogenes**, the normal cellular analogs of oncogenes. The viral genes **v-*fos*** and **v-*jun*** encode proteins that are nearly identical to their cellular counterparts and mimic their effects on host cells but in an uncontrolled fashion.

Oncogenes are not necessarily of viral origin. Indeed, few human cancers are caused by viruses. Rather, they are caused by proto-oncogenes that have mutated to form oncogenes. For example, a mutation in the **c-*ras*** gene, which converts Gly 12 of Ras to Val, reduces Ras's GTPase activity without affecting its ability to stimulate protein phosphorylation. This prolongs the time that Ras is in the "on" state, thereby inducing uncontrolled cell proliferation. In fact, oncogenic variants of c-*ras* occur in ~30% of human solid tumors, making it among the most commonly implicated oncogenes in human cancers.

To date, over 350 viral and cellular oncogenes have been identified. The subversive effects of oncogene products arise through their differences from the corresponding normal cellular proteins: They may have different rates of synthesis and/or degradation; they may have altered cellular functions; or they may resist control by cellular regulatory mechanisms. However, in order for a normal cell to undergo a **malignant transformation** (become a cancer cell), it must undergo several (an average of five) independent oncogenic events. This is a reflection of the complexity of cellular signaling networks (cells respond to a variety of hormones, growth factors, and transcription factors in partially overlapping ways) and explains why the incidence of cancer increases with age. Nevertheless, at the cellular level, a malignant transformation is an extremely rare event because oncogenic mutations are infrequent and because cells have highly effective defense mechanisms that guard against cancer.

oncogenes subvert such signaling pathways so as to induce uncontrolled cell growth (Box 13-3).

The advantage of a kinase cascade is that *a small signal can be amplified manyfold inside the cell.* In addition, phosphorylation of more than one target protein can lead to the simultaneous activation of several intracellular processes. Thus as we will see (Section 22-2), insulin signaling mediates changes in vesicle trafficking, enzyme activation, and gene expression.

Scaffold Proteins Organize and Position Protein Kinases. Eukaryotic cells contain numerous different MAPK signaling cascades, each with a characteristic set of component kinases, which in mammals comprise 14 MAP kinases, 7 MAP kinase kinases (MKKs; e.g., MEK), and 14 MAP kinase kinase kinases (MKKKs;

FIG. 13-11 MAP kinase cascades in mammalian cells. Each MAP kinase cascade consists of an MKKK, an MKK, and a MAPK. Various external stimuli may each activate one or more MKKKs, which in turn may activate one or more MKKs. However, the MKKs are relatively specific for their target MAPKs. The activated MAPKs phosphorylate specific transcription factors, which are then translocated to the nucleus, as well as specific kinases. The resulting activated transcription factors and kinases then induce cellular responses such as growth, differentiation, and **apoptosis** (programmed cell death; Section 28-4C). [After Garrington, T.P. and Johnson, G.L., *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **11,** 212 (1999).]

e.g., Raf; Fig. 13-11). Although each MAPK is activated by a specific MKK, a given MKK can be activated by more than one MKKK. Moreover, several pathways may be activated by a single type of receptor. How then does a cell prevent inappropriate **crosstalk** between closely related signaling pathways? One way that this occurs is through the use of **scaffold proteins** that bind some or all of the component protein kinases of a particular signaling cascade so as to ensure that the protein kinases of a given pathway interact only with one another. In addition, scaffold proteins can properly orient and allosterically activate their associated kinases, can target them to specific subcellular locations, and in some cases are themselves subject to regulation by phosphorylation.

The first known scaffold protein was discovered through the genetic analysis of a MAP kinase cascade in yeast, which demonstrated that this protein, **Ste5p,** binds the MKKK, MKK, and MAPK components of the pathway and that, *in vivo,* the scaffold's absence inactivates the pathway. Mammals have a functionally similar although sequence-unrelated scaffold protein named **KSR** (for *k*inase *s*uppressor of *R*as). Evidently, the interactions between successive kinase components in MAP kinase cascades are, by themselves, insufficient for effective signal transmission.

C Some Receptors Are Associated with Nonreceptor Tyrosine Kinases

Many cell-surface receptors for growth factors and related molecules do not respond to ligand binding by autophosphorylation. These include the receptors for growth hormone (Fig. 13-3) and other **cytokines** (protein growth factors that regulate the differentiation, proliferation, and activities of numerous types of cells, most

conspicuously white blood cells), the **interferons** (protein growth factors that stimulate antiviral defenses), and **T cell receptors** [which control the proliferation of immune system cells known as T lymphocytes (T cells); Section 7-3]. *Ligand binding induces the dimers (both homo- and heterodimers, and in some cases, trimers) of these* ***tyrosine kinase–associated*** *receptors to change conformation in a way that activates their associated* ***nonreceptor tyrosine kinases (NRTKs).***

The Structure of Src Reveals Its Autoinhibitory Mechanism. Many of the NRTKs belong to the **Src family,** which contains at least nine members, including Src, **Fyn,** and **Lck.** Most of these ~530-residue membrane-anchored (by myristoylation) proteins have both an SH2 and an SH3 domain and all have a PTK domain. Hence, a Src-related kinase may also be activated by an autophosphorylated RTK. Although Src-related kinases are each associated with different receptors, they phosphorylate overlapping sets of target proteins. This complex web of interactions explains why different ligands often activate some of the same signaling pathways.

Src consists of, from N- to C-terminus, a myristoylated N-terminal "unique" domain that differs among Src family members, an SH3 domain, an SH2 domain, a PTK domain, and a short C-terminal tail. Phosphorylation of Tyr 416 in the PTK's activation loop activates Src, whereas phosphorylation of Tyr 527 in its C-terminal tail deactivates it. *In vivo,* Src is phosphorylated at either Tyr 416 or Tyr 527, but not at both. The dephosphorylation of Tyr 527 or the binding of external ligands to the SH2 or the SH3 domain activates Src, a state that is then maintained by the autophosphorylation of Tyr 416. When Tyr 527 is phosphorylated and no activating phosphopeptides are available, Src's SH2 and SH3 domains function to deactivate its PTK domain; that is, Src is then autoinhibited.

FIG. 13-12 X-Ray structure of Src · AMPPNP with Tyr 527 phosphorylated. The protein, which lacks its membrane-anchored N-terminal domain, is oriented such that its PTK domain is seen in "standard" view (compare it with Fig. 13-5*a*). The SH3 domain is orange, the SH2 domain is magenta, the linker joining the SH2 domain to the PTK domain is green with residues 249 to 253, which interact with the SH3 domain, yellow, the N-terminal lobe of the PTK domain is lavender, its C-terminal lobe is cyan with its activation loop light blue, and its C-terminal tail is red. The AMPPNP is shown in space-filling form and Y416 (unphosphorylated) and pY527 (phosphorylated) are shown in ball-and-stick form, all with C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Stephen Harrison and Michael Eck, Harvard Medical School. PDBid 2SRC.]

The X-ray structure of Src · AMPPNP lacking its N-terminal domain and with Tyr 527 phosphorylated reveals the structural basis of Src autoinhibition (Fig. 13-12). As biochemical studies had previously shown, the SH2 domain binds phospho-Tyr 527, which occurs in the sequence pYNPG rather than the pYEEI sequence characteristic of high-affinity Src SH2 target peptides. Although the pYNP segment binds to SH2 as does the pYEE segment in Fig. 13-6, the succeeding residues are poorly ordered in the X-ray structure and, moreover, the SH2 pocket in which the Ile side chain of pYEEI binds is unoccupied. Apparently, the phospho-Tyr 527–containing peptide segment binds to the Src SH2 domain with reduced affinity relative to its target peptides.

The Src SH3 domain binds to the linker connecting the SH2 domain to the N-terminal lobe of the PTK domain. Residues 249 to 253 of the linker bind to the SH3 domain in much the same way as do SH3's Pro-rich target peptides (Fig. 13-8). However, the only Pro in this segment is residue 250. The polar side chain of Gln 253, which occupies the position of the second Pro in SH3's normal Pro-X-X-Pro target sequence, does not enter the hydrophobic binding pocket that this second Pro would occupy (Fig. 13-8) and hence the path of the peptide deviates from that of Pro-rich target peptides at this point. Apparently, this interaction is also weaker than those with Src's SH3 target peptides.

Since Src's SH2 and SH3 domains bind the PTK domain on the side opposite its active site, how is the PTK activity inhibited? The two lobes of Src's PTK domain are, for the most part, closely superimposable on their counterparts in the PTK domains of phosphorylated and hence activated protein kinases (e.g., Fig. 13-5*a*). However, Src helix C (the only helix in the PTK's N-terminal lobe) is displaced from the interface between the N- and C-terminal lobes, a position it occupies in other activated protein kinases (e.g., Fig. 13-5*a*). Helix C

contains the conserved residue Glu 310 (using Src numbering), which in other activated protein kinases projects into the catalytic cleft where it forms a salt bridge with Lys 295, an important ligand of the substrate ATP's α and β phosphates. In inactive Src, Glu 310 forms an alternative salt bridge with Arg 409, and Lys 295 instead interacts with Asp 404. In activated Src, Arg 409 forms a salt bridge with phospho-Tyr 416.

The foregoing structural observations suggest the following scenario for Src activation (Fig 13-13):

1. The dephosphorylation of Tyr 527 and/or the binding of the SH2 and/or SH3 domains to their target peptides (for which SH2 and SH3 have greater affinity than their internal Src-binding sites) releases these domains from their PTK-bound positions shown in Fig. 13-12, thus relaxing conformational constraints on the PTK domain. This allows the PTK's active site cleft to open, thereby disrupting the structure of its partially helical activation loop (which occupies a blocking position in the active site cleft; Fig. 13-12) so as to expose Tyr 416 to autophosphorylation.
2. The resulting phospho-Tyr 416 forms a salt bridge with Arg 409, which sterically requires the structural reorganization of the activation loop to its active, nonblocking conformation. The consequent rupture of the Glu 310–Arg 409 salt bridge frees helix C to assume its active orientation which, in turn, allows Glu 310 to form its catalytically important salt bridge to Lys 295, thereby activating the Src PTK activity.

FIG. 13-13 Schematic model of Src activation. In the autoinhibited form (*left*), the SH2 domain (*magenta*) binds to phospho-Tyr 527, and the SH3 domain (*orange*) binds to an internal Pro-containing segment (*yellow*). Glu 310 forms a salt bridge to Arg 409, the partially helical activation loop (*blue*) blocks the active site, and Tyr 416 is buried. In the active form (*right*), the SH2 and SH3 domains bind to a Src activator, Tyr 527 is dephosphorylated, the activation loop has undergone a conformational change to expose Tyr 416 to phosphorylation, Glu 310 forms a salt bridge with Lys 295, and phospho-Tyr 416 forms a salt bridge with Arg 409. The coloring scheme and viewpoint largely match those in Fig. 13-12. [After Young, M.A., Gonfloni, F., Superti-Furga, G., Roux, B., and Kuriyan, J., *Cell* **105,** 115 (2001).]

PTKs Are Targets of Anticancer Drugs. The hallmark of **chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML)** is a specific chromosomal translocation forming the so-called **Philadelphia chromosome** in which the *Abl* gene (which encodes the NRTK **Abl**) is fused with the *Bcr* gene (which encodes the protein Ser/Thr kinase **Bcr**). The Abl portion of the resulting Bcr–Abl fusion protein is constitutively activated (that is, continuously, without regulation), probably because its Bcr portion oligomerizes. Hematopoietic stem cells (from which all blood cells are descended) bearing the Philadelphia chromosome are therefore primed to develop CML (malignancy requires several independent genetic alterations; Box 13-3). Without a bone marrow transplant (a high-risk procedure that is unavailable to most individuals due to the lack of a suitable donor), CML is invariably fatal with an average survival time of ~6 years.

An inhibitor of Abl would be expected to prevent the proliferation of, and even kill, CML cells. However, to be an effective anti-CML agent, such a substance must not inhibit other protein kinases because this would almost certainly cause serious side effects. Derivatives of 2-phenylaminopyrimidine bind to Abl with exceptionally high affinity and specificity. One such derivative, **imatinib** (trade name **Gleevec**),

Gleevec (imatinib)

which was developed by Brian Druker and Nicholas Lydon, has caused the remission of symptoms in >90% of CML patients with almost no serious side effects. This unprecedented performance occurs, in part, because Gleevec does not bind to other protein kinases.

Abl resembles Src but lacks Src's C-terminal regulatory phosphorylation site (Tyr 527; Figs. 13-12 and 13-13). The X-ray structure of Abl's PTK domain in complex with a truncated form of Gleevec, determined by John Kuriyan (Fig. 13-14), reveals, as expected, that the drug binds in Abl's ATP-binding site. Abl thereby adopts an inactive conformation in which its activation loop, which is not phosphorylated, assumes an autoinhibitory conformation.

Gleevec was the first of several 2-phenylaminopyrimidine derivatives, which inhibit specific protein kinases, to be approved by the FDA for clinical use against certain cancers. In addition, several monoclonal antibodies (Box 7-5) that bind to specific PTKs or their ligands are in clinical use as anticancer agents [e.g., **trastuzumab** (trade name **Herceptin**), which is effective against breast cancers that overexpress the RTK named **HER2**]. Such receptor-targeted therapies hold enormous promise for controlling, if not curing, cancers by specifically targeting the aberrant proteins that cause the cancers. In contrast, most chemotherapeutic agents that are presently in use indiscriminately kill fast-growing cells and hence tend to have debilitating side effects.

FIG. 13-14 X-Ray structure of the Abl PTK domain in complex with a truncated derivative of Gleevec. The protein is viewed from the right of the "standard" view of protein kinases (e.g., Figs. 13-5*a* and 13-12), with its N-terminal lobe lavender, its C-terminal lobe cyan, and its activation loop light blue. The truncated Gleevec, which occupies the PTK's ATP-binding site, is shown in space-filling form with C green, N blue, and O red. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Kuriyan, The Rockefeller University. PDBid 1FPU.]

D Protein Phosphatases Are Signaling Proteins in Their Own Right

Intracellular signals must be "turned off" after the system has delivered its message so that the system can transmit future messages. In the case of protein kinases, their activities are balanced by the activities of **protein phosphatases** that hydrolyze the phosphoryl groups attached to Ser, Thr, or Tyr side chains and thereby limit the effects of the signal that activated the kinase. Although protein

kinases have traditionally garnered more attention, mammalian cells express ~500 protein phosphatases (about the same number as protein kinases) with substrate specificities comparable to those of kinases.

Protein Tyrosine Phosphatases Are Multidomain Proteins. The enzymes that dephosphorylate Tyr residues, the **protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs),** are not just simple housekeeping enzymes but are important signal transducers. These enzymes, 107 of which are encoded by the human genome, are members of four families. Each tyrosine phosphatase contains at least one conserved ~240-residue phosphatase domain that has the 11-residue signature sequence [(I/V)HCXAGXGR(S/T)G], the so-called CX_5R motif, which contains the enzyme's catalytically essential Cys and Arg residues. During the hydrolysis reaction, the phosphoryl group is transferred from the tyrosyl residue of the substrate protein to the essential Cys on the enzyme, forming a covalent Cys–phosphate intermediate that is subsequently hydrolyzed.

Some tyrosine phosphatases are constructed much like the receptor tyrosine kinases; that is, they have an extracellular domain, a single transmembrane helix, and a cytoplasmic domain consisting of a catalytically active PTP domain that, in most cases, is followed by a second PTP domain with little or no catalytic activity. These inactive PTP domains are, nevertheless, highly conserved, which suggests that they have an important although as yet unknown function. Biochemical and structural analyses indicate that ligand-induced dimerization of a receptor-like PTP reduces its catalytic activity, probably by blocking its active sites.

A second group of PTPs, intracellular PTPs, contain only one tyrosine phosphatase domain, which is flanked by regions containing motifs, such as SH2 domains, that participate in protein–protein interactions. The PTP known as **SHP-2,** which is expressed in all mammalian cells, binds to a variety of phosphorylated (that is, ligand-activated) RTKs. The X-ray structure of SHP-2 lacking its C-terminal tail reveals two SH2 domains, followed by a tyrosine phosphatase domain (Fig. 13-15). The N-terminal SH2 domain (N-SH2) functions as an autoinhibitor by inserting a protein loop (labeled D′E in Fig. 13-15) into the PTP's 9-Å-deep catalytic cleft. When N-SH2 recognizes and binds a phospho-Tyr group on a substrate protein, its conformation changes, unmasking the PTP catalytic site so that the phosphatase can hydrolyze another phospho-Tyr group on the target protein (activated RTKs typically bear multiple phosphorylated Tyr residues).

The active site cleft of intracellular tyrosine phosphatases such as SHP-2 is too deep to cleave phospho-Ser/Thr side chains. However, the active site pockets of a third group of PTPs, the so-called **dual-specificity tyrosine phosphatases,** are sufficiently shallow to bind both phospho-Tyr and phospho-Ser/Thr residues.

FIG. 13-15 X-Ray structure of the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2. Its N-SH2 domain is gold with its D′E loop red, its C-SH2 domain is green, and its PTP domain is cyan with its 11-residue CX_5R motif blue. The side chain of the catalytically essential Cys residue is shown in ball-and-stick form with C green and S yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Michael Eck and Steven Shoelson, Harvard Medical School. PDBid 2SHP.]

Bubonic Plague Virulence Requires a PTP. Bacteria lack PTKs and hence do not synthesize phospho-Tyr residues. Nevertheless, PTPs are expressed by bacteria of the genus *Yersinia,* most notably *Yersinia pestis,* the pathogen that causes **bubonic plague** (the flea-transmitted "Black Death," which, since the sixth century, has been responsible for an estimated 200 million human deaths, including about one-third of the European population in the years 1347–1350). The *Y. pestis* PTP, **YopH,** which is required for bacterial virulence, is far more catalytically active than other known PTPs. Hence, when *Yersinia* injects YopH into a cell, the cell's phospho-Tyr–containing proteins are catastrophically dephosphorylated. Although YopH and mammalian PTPs are only ~15% identical in sequence, they share a set of invariant residues and have similar X-ray structures. This suggests that an ancestral *Yersinia* acquired a PTP gene from a eukaryote.

Protein Ser/Thr Phosphatases Participate in Numerous Regulatory Processes. The **protein Ser/Thr phosphatases** in mammalian cells belong to two protein families: the **PPP family** and the **PPM family.** The PPP and PPM families are unrelated to each other or to the PTPs. X-Ray structures have shown that PPP catalytic centers each contain an Fe^{2+} (or possibly an Fe^{3+}) ion and a Zn^{2+} (or possibly an Mn^{2+}) ion, whereas PPM catalytic centers each contain two Mn^{2+} ions. These binuclear metal ion centers nucleophilically activate water molecules to dephosphorylate substrates in a single reaction step.

The PPP family member named **phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 (PP1),** as we will see, plays an important role in regulating glycogen

FIG. 13-16 X-Ray structure of protein phosphatase PP2A. (*a*) The structure of an isolated scaffold (A) subunit. HEAT repeats, which are drawn here in different colors, each consist of two antiparallel helices joined by a short linker. These stack on one another with their corresponding helices nearly parallel to form an ~100-Å-long right-handed superhelix (helix of helices) with a hooklike shape. [Courtesy Bostjan Kobe, St. Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia.] (*b*) The structure of a PP2A heterotrimer viewed with the scaffold subunit oriented approximately as in Part *a*. Here the scaffold (A; 589 residues) and regulatory (B; 449 residues) subunits are drawn in worm form, each colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). In addition, the A subunit is embedded in its transparent molecular surface. The catalytic (C; 309 residues) subunit (*magenta*) is drawn in ribbon form. Note the close structural resemblance of the A and B subunits. [Based on an X-ray structure by Yigong Shi, Princeton University. PDBid 2NPP.]

metabolism (Section 16-3B). The PPP member known as **PP2A** participates in a wide variety of regulatory processes including those governing metabolism, DNA replication, transcription, and development. PP2A is a heterotrimer that consists of a scaffold (A) subunit that binds both a catalytic (C) subunit and a regulatory (B) subunit. The A subunit, which consists of 15 imperfect tandem repeats of a 39-residue sequence termed HEAT (because it occurs in proteins named *H*untingtin, *E*F3, *A* subunit of PP2A, and *T*OR1), has a remarkable structure in which the HEAT repeats are joined in a horseshoe-shaped solenoidal arrangement (**Fig. 13-16*a***; *opposite*).

The X-ray structure of a PP2A **holoenzyme** (complete enzyme; **Fig. 13-16*b***) reveals, unexpectedly, that its regulatory subunit consists of 8 tandem HEAT-like repeats arranged like those of the A subunit, despite their lack of sequence similarity. The C subunit binds to the A subunit's concave surface along a ridge of conserved hydrophobic side chains spanning HEAT repeats 11 to 15. The regulatory subunit similarly interacts with the A subunit's HEAT repeats 2 to 8 and also binds to the C subunit via a ridge spanning its own HEAT-like repeats 6 to 8. The highly acidic, convex side of the regulatory subunit (lower part of Fig. 13-16*b*) is thereby left unoccupied, which suggests that it interacts with substrate proteins.

PP2A's catalytic and scaffold subunits both have two isoforms, and there are 16 isoforms of the regulatory subunit. This results in an enormous panoply of enzymes that are targeted to different phosphoproteins in distinct subcellular sites during different developmental stages. This complexity is a major cause of our limited understanding of how PP2A carries out its diverse cellular functions, even though it comprises between 0.3 and 1% of cellular proteins.

The PPP family also includes **calcineurin** (also called **PP2B**), a Ser/Thr phosphatase that is activated by Ca^{2+}. Calcineurin plays an essential role in T cell proliferation. It is inhibited by the action of drugs such as **cyclosporin A,** which is used clinically to suppress immune system function following organ transplantation.

CHECKPOINT

- How does a receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylate itself?
- Explain how the activation loop governs substrate access to the active site of a protein tyrosine kinase.
- Summarize the roles of SH2 and SH3 domains, Ras, GTP, and protein kinases in transmitting a signal from an RTK to a transcription factor.
- What is the function of a GEF and a GAP in signal transduction?
- Explain how a kinase cascade works. Why is this advantageous in the context of hormone signaling?
- What is the purpose of scaffold proteins?
- Describe how SH2 and SH3 domains and Tyr phosphorylation influence PTK activity.
- Explain why cells contain an array of protein phosphatases as well as protein kinases.

3 Heterotrimeric G Proteins

KEY CONCEPTS

- G-protein-coupled receptors contain seven membrane-spanning helices and undergo conformational changes when a hormone binds.
- Agonist binding to a G-protein–coupled receptor induces the α subunit of the associated heterotrimeric G protein to exchange GDP for GTP and dissociate from the β and γ subunits.
- Adenylate cyclase is activated to produce cAMP, which in turn activates protein kinase A.
- Signaling activity is limited through the action of phosphodiesterases that act on cAMP and cGMP.

The second major class of signal transduction pathways that we will discuss involves **heterotrimeric G proteins**. These proteins are members of the superfamily of regulatory GTPases that are collectively known as G proteins, which, as we have seen, are named for their ability to bind the guanine nucleotides GTP and GDP and hydrolyze GTP to GDP and P_i. The monomeric G proteins are essential for a wide variety of processes, including signal transduction (e.g., Ras; Section 13-2B), vesicle trafficking (Section 9-4E), the growth of actin microfilaments (Section 7-2C), translation (as ribosomal accessory factors; Section 27-4), and protein targeting [as components of the signal recognition particle (SRP) and the SRP receptor; Section 9-4D]. The many G proteins share common structural motifs that bind guanine nucleotides and catalyze the hydrolysis of GTP.

Many heterotrimeric G proteins participate in signal transduction systems that consist of three major components (Fig. 13-17):

1. **G-protein–coupled receptors** (GPCRs), transmembrane proteins that bind their corresponding agonist (e.g., a hormone) on their extracellular side, which induces a conformational change on their cytoplasmic side.
2. Heterotrimeric G proteins, which are anchored to the cytoplasmic side of the plasma membrane and which are activated by a GPCR when it binds its corresponding agonist.
3. **Adenylate cyclase (AC)**, a transmembrane enzyme that is activated (or in some cases inhibited) by activated heterotrimeric G proteins.

Activated AC catalyzes the synthesis of **adenosine-3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate (3′,5′-cyclic AMP** or **cAMP)** from ATP.

ATP **3′,5′-Cyclic AMP (cAMP)**

The cAMP, in turn, binds to a variety of proteins so as to activate numerous cellular processes. Thus, as Earl Sutherland first showed, *cAMP is a* ***second messenger;*** *that is, it intracellularly transmits the signal originated by the extracellular ligand.*

What are the mechanisms through which the binding of an agonist to an extracellular receptor induces AC to synthesize cAMP in the cytosol? In answering this question we will see that the signaling system outlined above has a surprising complexity that endows it with immense capacity for both signal amplification and regulatory flexibility.

A G-Protein–Coupled Receptors Contain Seven Transmembrane Helices

The GPCRs form one of the largest known protein families (>800 species in humans, which comprises 4% of the ~21,000 genes in the human genome). This family includes receptors for nucleosides, nucleotides, Ca^{2+}, catecholamines (Section 13-1B) and other biogenic amines (e.g., **histamine** and **serotonin;** Section 21-6B), the various eicosanoids (Section 9-1F), and a variety of peptide and protein hormones. In addition, GPCRs have essential sensory functions: They constitute the olfactory (odorant) and gustatory (taste) receptors (of which there are estimated to be 460 different types in humans), as well as the several light-sensing proteins in the retina, which are known as **rhodopsins.** The importance of these receptors is also evident from the fact that ~30% of the therapeutic drugs presently in use target specific GPCRs.

The GPCRs, whose characterization was pioneered by Robert Lefkowitz and Brian Kobilka, are all integral membrane proteins with seven transmembrane α helices of generally uniform size: 20 to 27 residues, which is sufficient to span a lipid bilayer. However, their N- and C-terminal segments, which are respectively outside and inside the cell, and the loops connecting their transmembrane helices (three outside and three inside) vary widely in length. These are the portions of the protein that participate in binding ligands (on their extracellular side) and heterotrimeric G proteins (on their cytoplasmic

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 13-17 Overview of heterotrimeric G protein–dependent signaling. In this widely used pathway, hormone binding to its receptor stimulates an inactive heterotrimeric G protein to exchange its bound GDP for GTP, triggering a process that activates adenylate cyclase to produce the second messenger cAMP. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Explain why this pathway is irreversible.

side). Many GPCRs are posttranslationally modified by *N*-glycosylation and/or by the palmitoylation of a Cys residue, so they are also lipid-linked glycoproteins (Section 9-3B).

The structure of the GPCR **β_2-adrenergic receptor (β_2AR)** with a bound high-affinity agonist named BI167107 (an epinephrine analog; *at right*), is shown in **Figure 13-18**. The ligand-binding site is composed of a portion of the

BI167107

FIG. 13-18 X-Ray structure of the human β_2-adrenergic receptor in complex with BI167107. The structure is viewed parallel to the plane of the plasma membrane with its approximate position indicated therein. The protein is represented by its semitransparent molecular surface with its polypeptide chain in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). Note its bundle of seven nearly parallel transmembrane helices. The BI167107, which binds in a cavity between the receptor's seven transmembrane helices that is open to the extracellular side of the membrane, is drawn in space-filling form with C magenta, N blue, and O red. [Based on an X-ray structure by Brian Kobilka, Stanford University. PDBid 4LDE.]

protein's helical core as well as its extracellular loops. Aside from this general location, there are few similarities in the structures of the binding sites in different GPCRs, which is consistent with the observation that each receptor is specific for only one or a few ligands.

GPCRs function much like allosteric proteins such as hemoglobin (Section 7-1). *By alternating between two discrete conformations, one with agonist bound and one without, the receptor can transmit an extracellular signal to the cell interior.* In the case of GPCRs, this conformational change is propagated through the receptor's transmembrane helices to its cytoplasmic face to permit the binding of the corresponding heterotrimeric G protein. In many cases, this also increases the affinity of the GPCR for its agonist. This model of receptor action is similar to the operation of membrane transport proteins (e.g., Fig. 10-13); in fact, some membrane-bound receptors are ion channels that switch between the open and closed conformations in response to ligand binding.

B Heterotrimeric G Proteins Dissociate on Activation

Heterotrimeric G proteins, as their name implies, are G proteins that consist of an α, β, and γ subunit (45, 37, and 9 kD, respectively). The X-ray structures of entire heterotrimeric G proteins were independently determined by Alfred Gilman and Stephan Sprang (**Fig. 13-19**) and by Heidi Hamm and Paul Sigler. The large α subunit, designated G_α, consists of two domains connected by two polypeptide linkers (Fig. 13-19*a*): (1) a highly conserved GTPase domain that is structurally similar to those in monomeric G proteins such as Ras and hence is known as a Ras-like domain, and (2) a helical domain that is unique to heterotrimeric G proteins. The Ras-like domain contains the guanine nucleotide–binding site in a deep cleft and is anchored to the membrane by a myristoyl or palmitoyl group, or both, covalently attached near the protein's N-terminus. The G_β subunit, which is anchored to the membrane via prenylation of its C-terminus,

FIG. 13-19 ***(a)*** **X-Ray structure of a heterotrimeric G protein.** The G_α subunit's Ras-like domain is pink with the segments known as Switch I, II, and III green, blue, and red, respectively, and its helical domain is light orange. A bound GDP is shown in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow. The G_β subunit's N-terminal segment is light blue and each blade of its β propeller has a different color. The G_γ subunit is gold. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alfred Gilman and Stephan Sprang, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. PDBid 1GP2.] ***(b)*** X-Ray structure of a heterotrimeric G protein lacking bound nucleotide in complex with β_2-adrenergic receptor (β_2AR) binding BI167107. The β_2AR · BI167107 is viewed and colored as in Fig. 13-18. The heterotrimeric G protein is viewed as from the top of Part *a* and identically colored except that its switch regions are pink. The G_β subunit (*lower left*) is oriented to clearly display its β propeller. The approximate position of the plasma membrane is indicated by the horizontal lines. Note that the β_2AR binds, almost exclusively, to the G_α subunit's Ras-like domain. [Based on an X-ray structure by Brian Kobilka, Stanford University. PDBid 3SN6.]

consists of an N-terminal helical domain followed by a C-terminal domain comprising seven 4-stranded antiparallel β sheets arranged like the blades of a propeller—a so-called **β propeller** (Fig. 13-19*b*). The G_γ subunit consists mainly of two helical segments joined by a polypeptide link (Fig. 13-19*b*). It is closely associated with G_β along its entire extended length through mainly hydrophobic interactions. G_γ binds to G_β with such high affinity that they dissociate only under denaturing conditions. Consequently, we will henceforth refer to their complex as $G_{\beta\gamma}$.

In its unactivated state, a heterotrimeric G protein maintains its heterotrimeric state and its G_α subunit binds GDP. However, *the binding of such a $G_\alpha \cdot GDP–G_{\beta\gamma}$ complex to its cognate GPCR in complex with an agonist induces the G_α subunit to exchange its bound GDP for GTP.* Thus, the ligand–GPCR complex (e.g., Fig. 13-19*b*) functions as the G_α subunit's guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF).

When GTP is bound to G_α, its γ phosphoryl group promotes conformational changes in three of G_α's so-called **switch regions** (Fig. 13-19*a*), *causing G_α to dissociate from $G_{\beta\gamma}$.* This occurs because the binding of GTP's γ phosphoryl group and the binding of $G_{\beta\gamma}$ to G_α are mutually exclusive; the γ phosphoryl group hydrogen bonds with side chains in Switches I and II so as to prevent these segments from interacting with the loops and turns at the bottom of G_β's β propeller. Switches I and II have counterparts in other G proteins of known structure. Comparison of the X-ray structures of the $G_\alpha \cdot GDP–G_{\beta\gamma}$ complex and $G_{\beta\gamma}$ alone indicates that the structure of $G_{\beta\gamma}$ is unchanged by its association with $G_\alpha \cdot GDP$. Nevertheless, both G_α and $G_{\beta\gamma}$ are active in signal transduction; they interact with additional cellular components, as we discuss below.

The effect of G protein activation is short-lived, because G_α is also a GTPase that catalyzes the hydrolysis of its bound GTP to GDP + P_i, although at the relatively sluggish rate of 2 to 3 min^{-1}. GTP hydrolysis causes the heterotrimeric G protein to reassemble as the inactive $G_\alpha \cdot GDP–G_{\beta\gamma}$ complex. This prevents a runaway response to ligand binding to a GPCR.

GATEWAY CONCEPT How Cells Use ATP and GTP

The activation and subsequent inactivation of a G protein costs the cell the free energy of the GTP → GDP + P_i reaction. Similarly, the activation of a target protein that is phosphorylated by a kinase and later dephosphorylated by a phosphatase costs the cell the free energy of the ATP → ADP + P_i reaction. Thus, the chemical free energy of the nucleoside triphosphates allows the cell, responding to a hormone signal, to do something it would not otherwise be able to do.

Heterotrimeric G Proteins Activate Other Proteins. A human cell can contain numerous different kinds of heterotrimeric G proteins, since there are 21 different α subunits, 6 different β subunits, and 12 different γ subunits. This heterozygosity presumably permits various cell types to respond in different ways to a variety of stimuli.

One of the major targets of the heterotrimeric G protein system is the enzyme adenylate cyclase (AC; described more fully in the next section). For example, when a $G_\alpha \cdot GTP$ complex dissociates from $G_{\beta\gamma}$, it may bind with high affinity to AC, thereby activating the enzyme. Such a G_α protein is known as a stimulatory G protein, $\mathbf{G_{s\alpha}}$. Other G_α proteins, known as inhibitory G proteins, $\mathbf{G_{i\alpha}}$, inhibit AC activity. The heterotrimeric $\mathbf{G_s}$ and $\mathbf{G_i}$ proteins, which differ in their α subunits, may actually contain the same β and γ subunits. Other types of heterotrimeric G proteins—acting through their G_α or $G_{\beta\gamma}$ units—stimulate the opening of ion channels, participate in the phosphoinositide signaling system (Section 13-4), activate phosphodiesterases, and activate protein kinases.

Because a single agonist–receptor interaction can activate more than one G protein, this step of the signal transduction pathway serves to amplify the original extracellular signal. In addition, several types of ligand–receptor complexes may activate the same G protein so that different extracellular signals elicit the same cellular response.

C Adenylate Cyclase Synthesizes cAMP to Activate Protein Kinase A

Mammals have nine different isoforms of adenylate cyclase, which are each expressed in a tissue-specific manner and differ in their regulatory properties. These ~120-kD transmembrane glycoproteins each consist of a small N-terminal domain (N), followed by two repeats of a unit consisting of a transmembrane domain (M) followed by two consecutive cytoplasmic domains (C), thus forming

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Hormone signaling by the adenylate cyclase system

FIG. 13-20 Schematic diagram of a typical mammalian adenylate cyclase. The M_1 and M_2 domains are each predicted to contain six transmembrane helices. C_{1a} and C_{2a} form the enzyme's pseudosymmetric catalytic core. The domains with which various regulatory proteins are known to interact are indicated. [After Tesmer, J.J.G. and Sprang, S.R., *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **8,** 713 (1998).]

the sequence $NM_1C_{1a}C_{1b}M_2C_{2a}C_{2b}$ (Fig. 13-20). The 40% identical C_{1a} and C_{2a} domains associate to form the enzyme's catalytic core, whereas C_{1b}, as well as C_{1a} and C_{2a}, bind regulatory molecules. For example, $G_{s\alpha}$ binds to C_{2a} to activate AC, and $G_{i\alpha}$ binds to C_{1a} to inhibit the enzyme. Other regulators of AC activity include Ca^{2+} and certain Ser/Thr protein kinases. Clearly, *cells can adjust their cAMP levels in response to a great variety of stimuli.*

The structure of intact adenylate cyclase is not known, but X-ray structural studies of the catalytic domains indicate that $G_{s\alpha}$· GTP binds to the C_{1a} · C_{2a} complex via its Switch II region. This binding alters the orientation of the C_{1a} and C_{2a} domains so as to position their catalytic residues for the efficient conversion of ATP to cAMP. When $G_{s\alpha}$ hydrolyzes its bound GTP, its Switch II region reorients so that it can no longer bind to C_{2a}, and the adenylate cyclase reverts to its inactive conformation.

Protein Kinase A Is Activated by Binding Four cAMP. cAMP is a polar, freely diffusing second messenger. In eukaryotic cells, its main target is **protein kinase A (PKA;** also known as **cAMP-dependent protein kinase** or **cAPK),** an enzyme that phosphorylates specific Ser or Thr residues of numerous cellular proteins. These proteins all contain a consensus kinase-recognition sequence, Arg-Arg-X-Ser/Thr-Y, where Ser/Thr is the phosphorylation site, X is any small residue, and Y is a large hydrophobic residue.

In the absence of cAMP, PKA is an inactive heterotetramer of two regulatory (R) and two catalytic (C) subunits, R_2C_2. Mammals have three isoforms of the C subunit and four of the R subunit. The cAMP binds to the regulatory subunits to cause the dissociation of active catalytic monomers:

$$\underset{(inactive)}{R_2C_2} + 4\,cAMP \rightleftharpoons \underset{(active)}{2C} + R_2(cAMP)_4$$

The intracellular concentration of cAMP therefore determines the fraction of PKA in its active form and thus the rate at which it phosphorylates its substrates.

The X-ray structure of the 350-residue C subunit of mouse PKA in complex with ATP and a 20-residue inhibitor peptide, which was determined by Susan Taylor and Janusz Sowadski, is shown in Fig. 13-21. The C subunit closely resembles other protein kinases of known structure (e.g., Figs. 13-5*a* and 13-12). In the PKA structure, the deep cleft between the lobes is occupied by ATP and a segment of the inhibitor peptide that resembles the five-residue consensus sequence for

FIG. 13-21 X-Ray structure of the catalytic (C) subunit of mouse protein kinase A (PKA) in complex with ATP and a polypeptide inhibitor. The protein, which is shown in its "standard" view, is in complex with ATP and a 20-residue peptide segment of a naturally occurring protein kinase inhibitor. The N-terminal domain is pink, the C-terminal domain is cyan, and the activation loop containing Thr 197 is light blue. The polypeptide inhibitor is orange and its pseudotarget sequence, Arg-Arg-Asn-Ala-Ile, is magenta (the Ala, which replaces the Ser or Thr of a true substrate, is white). The substrate ATP and the phosphoryl group of phospho-Thr 197 are shown in space-filling form and the side chains of the catalytically essential Arg 165, Asp 166, and Thr 197 are shown in stick form, all colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow). Note that the inhibitor's pseudotarget sequence is close to ATP's γ phosphate group, the group that the enzyme transfers to the Ser or Thr of the target sequence. [Based on an X-ray structure by Susan Taylor and Janusz Sowadski, University of California at San Diego. PDBid 1ATP.]

phosphorylation except that the phosphorylated Ser/Thr is replaced by Ala. Thr 197, which is part of the activation loop, must be phosphorylated for maximal activity. The phosphoryl group at Thr 197 interacts with Arg 165, a conserved catalytic residue that is adjacent to Asp 166, the catalytic base that activates the substrate protein's target Ser/Thr hydroxyl group for phosphorylation. Thus, the phosphoryl group at PKA's Thr 197 functions to properly orient its active site residues.

The R subunit of protein kinase A competitively inhibits its C subunit. The R subunit contains two homologous cAMP-binding domains, R_A and R_B, and a so-called **autoinhibitor segment.** In the X-ray structure of the inactive R_2C_2 complex (**Fig. 13-22**), the autoinhibitor segment, which resembles the C subunit's substrate, binds in the C subunit's active site (as does the inhibitory peptide in Fig. 13-21) so as to block substrate binding. When cAMP is present in sufficient concentration, each R subunit cooperatively binds two cAMPs. When the R_B domain lacks bound cAMP, it masks the R_A domain so as to prevent it from binding cAMP. However, the binding of cAMP to the R_B domain triggers a massive conformational change that permits the R_A domain to bind cAMP, which in turn releases the now-active C subunits from the complex.

The targets of PKA include enzymes involved in glycogen metabolism. For example, when epinephrine binds to the β-adrenergic receptor of a muscle cell, the sequential activation of a heterotrimeric G protein, adenylate cyclase, and PKA leads to the activation of glycogen phosphorylase, thereby making glucose-6-phosphate available for glycolysis in a "fight-or-flight" response (Section 16-3).

Each step of a signal transduction pathway can potentially be regulated, so *the nature and magnitude of the cellular response ultimately reflect the presence and degree of activation or inhibition of all the preceding components of the pathway.* For example, the adenylate cyclase signaling pathway can be limited or reversed through ligand activation of a receptor coupled to an inhibitory G protein. The activity of the cAMP second messenger can be attenuated by the action of phosphodiesterases that hydrolyze cAMP to AMP (see below). In addition, reactions catalyzed by PKA are reversed by protein Ser/Thr phosphatases (Section 13-2D). Some of these features of the adenylate cyclase signaling pathway are

FIG. 13-22 X-Ray structure of the inactive R_2C_2 heterotetramer of mouse protein kinase A (PKA). The structure, which is drawn in ribbon form, is viewed along its twofold axis with its catalytic (C) subunits on the upper left and lower right and its regulatory (R) subunits on the upper right and lower left. The C subunit on the upper left is colored as in Fig. 13-21 and viewed approximately from its top, and that on the lower right is yellow. The R_A and R_B domains of the R subunit on the upper right are respectively colored light orange and light green, the R subunit on the lower left is green, and the autoinhibitor segments of both R subunits are red. The lower R and C subunits are embedded in their semitransparent surface diagrams of the same color. The phosphate groups on Ser 139 and Thr 197 of the C subunits are drawn in space-filling form with O red and P yellow. Note how the autoinhibitory segment of each R subunit is inserted into the active site of the horizontally adjacent C subunit, thereby blocking substrate binding. [Based on an X-ray structure by Susan Taylor, University of California at San Diego. PDBid 3TNP.]

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 13-23 The adenylate cyclase signaling system. The binding of hormone to a stimulatory receptor R_s (**1**) induces it to bind the heterotrimeric G protein G_s, which in turn stimulates the $G_{s\alpha}$ subunit (**2**) to exchange its bound GDP for GTP. The $G_{s\alpha}$ · GTP complex then dissociates from $G_{\beta\gamma}$ (**3**) and (**4**) stimulates adenylate cyclase (AC) to convert ATP to cAMP (**5**). This stimulation stops when $G_{s\alpha}$ catalyzes the hydrolysis of its bound GTP to GDP (**6**). The binding of hormone to the inhibitory receptor R_i (**7**) triggers an almost identical chain of events (**8–11**) except that the presence of the $G_{i\alpha}$ · GTP complex inhibits adenylate cyclase (**10**). cAMP activates protein kinase A (PKA; R_2C_2) by binding to the regulatory dimer as R_2 · $cAMP_4$, causing the catalytic subunit C to dissociate (**12**), and activates various cellular proteins (**13**) by catalyzing their phosphorylation. The sites of action of certain toxins are indicated. Signaling is limited by the action of phosphatases (**14**) and cAMP phosphodiesterase (**15**). Toxins such as cholera toxin and pertussis toxin act by blocking the hydrolysis of GTP from either $G_{s\alpha}$ · GTP (**6**) or $G_{i\alpha}$ · GTP (**8**), enhancing their activities. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Compare the effects of cholera toxin and pertussis toxin on the cellular response.

illustrated in Fig. 13-23. Many drugs and toxins exert their effects by modifying components of the adenylate cyclase system (Box 13-4).

Receptors Are Subject to Desensitization. A hallmark of biological signaling systems is that they adapt to long-term stimuli by reducing their response to them, a process named **desensitization**. *These signaling systems therefore respond to changes in stimulation levels rather than to their absolute values.* For example, in the case of the β-adrenergic receptor, its binding of an agonist such as epinephrine leads, as we have seen, to the activation of PKA through the

Box 13-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Drugs and Toxins That Affect Cell Signaling

Complex processes such as the adenylate cyclase signaling system can be sabotaged by a variety of agents. For example, the methylated purine derivatives **caffeine** (an ingredient of coffee and tea), **theophylline** (an asthma treatment), and **theobromine** (found in chocolate)

R = CH_3	X = CH_3	**Caffeine (1,3,7-trimethylxanthine)**
R = H	X = CH_3	**Theophylline (1,3-dimethylxanthine)**
R = CH_3	X = H	**Theobromine (1,7-dimethylxanthine)**

are stimulants because they antagonize adenosine receptors that act through inhibitory G proteins. This antagonism results in an increase in intracellular cAMP concentration.

Deadlier effects result from certain bacterial toxins that interfere with heterotrimeric G protein function. The toxin released by *Vibrio cholerae* (the bacterium causing cholera) triggers massive fluid loss of over a liter per hour from diarrhea. Victims die from dehydration unless their lost water and salts are replaced. **Cholera toxin,** an 87-kD protein of subunit composition AB_5, binds to ganglioside G_{M1} (Fig. 9-9) on the surface of intestinal cells via its B subunits. This permits the toxin to enter the cell, probably via receptor-mediated endocytosis, where an ~195-residue proteolytic fragment of its A subunit is released. This fragment catalyzes the transfer of the ADP–ribose unit from NAD^+ to a specific Arg side chain of $G_{s\alpha}$.

ADP-ribosylated $G_{s\alpha}$ · GTP can activate adenylate cyclase but cannot hydrolyze its bound GTP (Fig. 13-23). As a consequence, the adenylate cyclase is locked in its active state and cellular cAMP levels increase ~100-fold. Intestinal cells, which normally respond to small increases in cAMP by secreting digestive fluid (an HCO_3^--rich salt solution), pour out enormous quantities of this fluid in response to the elevated cAMP concentrations.

Other bacterial toxins act similarly. Certain strains of *E. coli* cause a diarrheal disease similar to but less serious than cholera through their production of **heat-labile enterotoxin,** a protein that is closely similar to cholera toxin (their A and B subunits are >80% identical) and has the same mechanism of action. **Pertussis toxin** [secreted by *Bordetella pertussis,* the bacterium that causes **pertussis** (whooping cough), which is responsible for ~400,000 infant deaths per year worldwide] is an AB_5 protein homologous to cholera toxin that ADP-ribosylates a specific Cys residue of $G_{i\alpha}$. The modified $G_{i\alpha}$ cannot exchange its bound GDP for GTP and therefore cannot inhibit adenylate cyclase (Fig. 13-23).

intermediacy of $G_{s\alpha}$, adenylate cyclase, and cAMP. Active PKA phosphorylates **β-adrenergic receptor kinase [βARK;** also known as **GPCR kinase 2 (GRK2)]** (among other proteins), which in turn, phosphorylates several intracellular Ser and Thr residues on the C-terminus of the hormone–receptor complex but not on the receptor alone. The phosphorylated receptor binds proteins known as **β-arrestins** to form complexes that sterically block the formation of the receptor–G_s complex, resulting in desensitization. The receptor–β-arrestin complex also recruits several members of the clathrin-dependent endocytosis machinery (Section 9-4E), leading to the internalization of the receptor in intracellular vesicles, thereby decreasing its availability on the cell surface. The internalized receptor is slowly dephosphorylated and returned to the cell surface, so if the epinephrine level is reduced, the cell's initial epinephrine sensitivity is eventually restored.

Sildenafil (Viagra)

D Phosphodiesterases Limit Second Messenger Activity

In any chemically based signaling system, the signal molecule must eventually be eliminated in order to control the amplitude and duration of the signal and to prevent interference with the reception of subsequent signals. In the case of cAMP, this second messenger is hydrolyzed to AMP by enzymes known as **cAMP-phosphodiesterases (cAMP-PDEs).**

The PDE superfamily, which includes both cAMP-PDEs and **cGMP-PDEs** (**cGMP** is the guanine analog of cAMP), is encoded in mammals by at least 20 different genes grouped into 12 families (PDE1 through PDE12). Moreover, many of the mRNAs transcribed from these genes have alternative initiation sites and alternative splice sites (Section 26-3B), so that mammals express ~50 PDE isoforms. These are functionally distinguished by their substrate specificities (for cAMP, cGMP, or both) and kinetic properties, their responses (or lack of them) to various activators and inhibitors (see below), and their tissue, cellular, and subcellular distributions. The PDEs have characteristic modular architectures with a conserved ~270-residue catalytic domain near their C-termini and widely divergent regulatory domains or motifs, usually in their N-terminal portions. Some PDEs are membrane-anchored, whereas others are cytosolic.

PDE activity, as might be expected, is elaborately controlled. Depending on its isoform, a PDE may be activated by one or more of a variety of agents, including Ca^{2+} ion and phosphorylation by PKA and **insulin-stimulated protein kinase.** Phosphorylated PDEs are dephosphorylated by a variety of protein phosphatases. Thus, the PDEs provide a means for crosstalk between cAMP-based signaling systems and those using other types of signals.

PDEs are inhibited by a variety of drugs that influence such widely divergent disorders as asthma, congestive heart failure, depression, erectile dysfunction, inflammation, and retinal degeneration. **Sildenafil** (trade name **Viagra**, *at upper left*), a compound used to treat erectile dysfunction, specifically inhibits PDE5, which hydrolyzes only cGMP. Sexual stimulation in males causes penile nerves to release nitric oxide (NO), which activates **guanylate cyclase** to produce cGMP from GTP. The cGMP induces vascular smooth muscle relaxation in the penis, thereby increasing the inflow of blood, which results in an erection. This cGMP is eventually hydrolyzed by PDE5. Sildenafil is therefore an effective treatment in men who produce insufficient NO, and hence cGMP, to otherwise generate a satisfactory erection.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the steps of signal transduction from a GPCR to phosphorylation of target proteins by PKA.
- Explain why a GPCR can be considered to be an allosteric protein.
- Describe how G proteins are activated and inactivated. What protein functions as a GEF?
- What is the function of a second messenger such as cAMP?
- How is PKA activity regulated?
- How does PKA activity affect the cell?
- Why does the adenylate cyclase signaling system include phosphodiesterases?
- What other factors limit or terminate signaling via GPCRs?

4 The Phosphoinositide Pathway

KEY CONCEPTS

- Signal transduction via the phosphoinositide pathway generates the second messenger inositol trisphosphate, which triggers Ca^{2+} release, and diacylglycerol, which activates protein kinase C.
- In the presence of Ca^{2+}, calmodulin binds and activates its target proteins.
- PKC activation depends on lipid binding.
- A hormone can activate multiple signal transduction pathways to elicit a variety of intracellular responses.

A discussion of signal transduction pathways would not be complete without a consideration of the **phosphoinositide pathway,** which mediates the effects of a variety of hormones. This signaling pathway requires a GCPR, a heterotrimeric G protein, a specific kinase, and a phosphorylated glycerophospholipid that is a minor component of the plasma membrane's inner leaflet. It involves the production of three second messengers: **inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3),** Ca^{2+}, and **1,2-diacylglycerol (DAG).**

A Ligand Binding Results in the Cytoplasmic Release of the Second Messengers IP_3 and Ca^{2+}

Agonist binding to its receptor, such as epinephrine binding to the α_1-adrenergic receptor, activates a heterotrimeric G protein, $\mathbf{G_q}$, whose membrane-anchored α subunit in complex with GTP diffuses laterally along the plasma membrane to activate the membrane-bound enzyme **phospholipase C** (**PLC;** Fig. 13-24,

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 13-24 The phosphoinositide signaling system. Ligand binding to a cell-surface receptor R (**1**) activates phospholipase C (PLC) through the heterotrimeric G protein G_q (**2**). Activated phospholipase C catalyzes the hydrolysis of PIP_2 to IP_3 and DAG (**3**). The water-soluble IP_3 stimulates the release of Ca^{2+} sequestered in the endoplasmic reticulum (**4**), which in turn activates numerous cellular processes through the intermediacy of calmodulin (CaM; **5**). The nonpolar DAG remains associated with the membrane, where it activates protein kinase C (PKC; **6**) to phosphorylate and thereby modulate the activities of a number of cellular proteins (**7**). PKC activation also requires the presence of the membrane lipid phosphatidylserine (PS) and Ca^{2+}. Phosphoinositide signaling is limited by GTP hydrolysis on qα (**8**) and by inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase, which acts on IP_3 (**9**) to yield IP_2. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Which components of the signaling system are membrane-bound and which are soluble?**

FIG. 13-25 The phospholipase C reaction. Phospholipase C cleaves PIP_2 to produce diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3), both of which are second messengers. (The *bis* and *tris* prefixes denote, respectively, two and three phosphoryl groups that are linked separately to the inositol; in di- and triphosphates, the phosphoryl groups are linked sequentially.)

upper left). Activated PLC catalyzes the hydrolysis of **phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP_2)** at its glycero-phospho bond (Section 9-1C), yielding inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3) and 1,2-diacylglycerol (DAG; **Fig. 13-25**). PLC, which in mammals is actually a set of 13 isozymes, several of which have splice variants, requires the presence of Ca^{2+} for enzymatic activity. It has a hydrophobic ridge consisting of three protein loops that is postulated to penetrate into the membrane's nonpolar region during catalysis. This would explain how the enzyme can catalyze hydrolysis of the membrane-bound PIP_2, leaving the DAG product associated with the membrane.

The Charged IP_3 Molecule Is a Water-Soluble Second Messenger. The hydrolysis of PIP_2 sets in motion both cytoplasmic and membrane-bound events. While DAG acts as a second messenger in the membrane (Section 13-4C), IP_3 diffuses through the cytoplasm to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). There, it binds to and induces the opening of a Ca^{2+} transport channel (an example of a receptor that is also an ion channel), thereby allowing the efflux of Ca^{2+} from the ER. This causes the cytosolic $[Ca^{2+}]$ to increase from ~0.1 μM to as much as 10 mM, which triggers such diverse cellular processes as glucose mobilization and muscle contraction through the intermediacy of the Ca^{2+}-binding protein **calmodulin** (see below) and its homologs. The ER contains embedded Ca^{2+}–ATPases that actively pump Ca^{2+} from the cytosol back into the ER (Section 10-3B) so that in the absence of IP_3, the cytosolic $[Ca^{2+}]$ rapidly returns to its resting level.

FIG. 13-26 X-Ray structure of rat testis calmodulin. This monomeric 148-residue protein, which is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), contains two remarkably similar globular domains separated by a seven-turn α helix. The two Ca^{2+} ions bound to each domain are represented by cyan spheres. The side chains liganding the Ca^{2+} ions are drawn in stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, and O red). [Based on an X-ray structure by Charles Bugg, University of Alabama at Birmingham. PDBid 3CLN.]

B Calmodulin Is a Ca^{2+}-Activated Switch

Calmodulin (**CaM**) is a ubiquitous, eukaryotic Ca^{2+}-binding protein that participates in numerous cellular regulatory processes. In some of these, CaM functions as a free-floating monomeric protein, whereas in others it is a subunit of a larger protein. The X-ray structure of this highly conserved 148-residue protein has a curious dumbbell-like shape in which two structurally similar globular domains are connected by a seven-turn α helix (**Fig. 13-26**). Note the close structural resemblance between CaM and the Ca^{2+}-binding TnC subunit of the muscle protein troponin (Fig. 7-31).

CaM's two globular domains each contain two high-affinity Ca^{2+}-binding sites. The Ca^{2+} ion in each of these sites is octahedrally coordinated by oxygen atoms from the backbone and side chains as well as from a protein-associated water molecule. Each of the Ca^{2+}-binding sites is formed by nearly superimposable helix–loop–helix motifs known as **EF hands** (**Fig. 13-27**) that form the Ca^{2+}-binding sites in numerous other Ca^{2+}-binding proteins of known structure.

FIG. 13-27 The EF hand. The Ca^{2+}-binding sites in many proteins that sense the level of Ca^{2+} are formed by helix–loop–helix motifs named EF hands. [After Kretsinger, R.H., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **45,** 241 (1976).]

Ca^{2+}–CaM Activates Its Target Proteins via an Intrasteric Mechanism. The binding of Ca^{2+} to either domain of CaM induces a conformational change in that domain, which exposes an otherwise buried Met-rich hydrophobic patch. This patch, in turn, binds with high affinity to the CaM-binding domains of numerous Ca^{2+}-regulated protein kinases. These CaM-binding domains have little mutual sequence homology but are all basic amphiphilic α helices.

Despite uncomplexed CaM's extended appearance (Fig. 13-26), a variety of studies indicate that both of its globular domains bind to a single target helix. This was confirmed by the NMR structure (**Fig. 13-28**) of $(Ca^{2+})_4$–CaM in complex with a target polypeptide, a segment of skeletal muscle **myosin light chain kinase (MLCK).** This enzyme, a homolog of the PKA C subunit, phosphorylates and thereby activates the light chains of the muscle protein myosin (Section 7-2A). Thus, CaM's central α helix serves as a flexible tether rather than a rigid spacer, a property that probably allows CaM to bind to a wide range of target polypeptides. Experiments show that both of CaM's globular domains are required for CaM to activate its targets: CaM domains that have been separated by proteolytic cleavage bind to their target peptides but do not cause enzyme activation.

How does Ca^{2+}–CaM activate its target protein kinases? MLCK contains a C-terminal segment whose sequence resembles that of MLCK's target polypeptide on the light chain of myosin but lacks a phosphorylation site. A model of MLCK, based on the X-ray structure of the 30% identical C subunit of PKA, strongly suggests that this segment of MLCK acts as an autoinhibitor by binding in the kinase's active site. Indeed, the excision of MLCK's autoinhibitor peptide by limited proteolysis permanently activates the enzyme. MLCK's CaM-binding

FIG. 13-28 NMR structure of calmodulin in complex with a target polypeptide. The N-terminal domain of CaM (from the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*) is blue, its C-terminal domain is red, the 26-residue target polypeptide, which is from rabbit skeletal muscle myosin light chain kinase (MLCK), is green, and the Ca^{2+} ions are represented by cyan spheres. (*a*) A view of the complex in which the N-terminus of the target polypeptide is on the right. (*b*) The perpendicular view as seen from the right side of the structure shown in Part *a*. In both views, the pseudo-twofold axis relating the N- and C-terminal domains of CaM is approximately vertical. Note how the segment that joins the two domains is unwound and bent (bottom loop in Part *b*) so that CaM forms a globular protein that largely encloses the helical target polypeptide within a hydrophobic tunnel in a manner resembling two hands holding a rope. [Based on an NMR structure by Marius Clore, Angela Gronenborn, and Ad Bax, NIH. PDBid 2BBM.]

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 13-29 A schematic diagram of the Ca^{2+}–CaM-dependent activation of protein kinases. Autoinhibited kinases have an N- or C-terminal "pseudosubstrate" sequence (*pink*) that binds at or near the enzyme's active site (*orange*) so as to inhibit its function. The autoinhibitory segment is in close proximity with or overlaps a Ca^{2+}–CaM binding sequence. Consequently, Ca^{2+}–CaM (*green*) binds to the sequence so as to extract it from the enzyme's active site, thereby activating the enzyme to bind and phosphorylate other proteins (*purple*), eliciting a cellular response. [After Crivici, A. and Ikura, M., *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **24,** 88 (1995).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

segment overlaps the autoinhibitor peptide. Thus, *the binding of Ca^{2+}–CaM to this peptide segment extracts the autoinhibitor from MLCK's active site, thereby activating the enzyme* (Fig. 13-29).

Ca^{2+}–CaM's other target proteins are presumably activated in the same way. In fact, the X-ray structures of several homologous protein kinases support this so-called **intrasteric mechanism.** While the details of binding of the autoinhibitory sequence differ for each of the protein kinases, the general mode of autoinhibition and activation by Ca^{2+}–CaM is the same.

PKA's R subunit, as we have seen (Section 13-3C), contains a similar autoinhibitory sequence adjacent to its two tandem cAMP-binding domains. In this case, however, the autoinhibitory peptide is allosterically ejected from the C subunit's active site by the binding of cAMP to the R subunit (which lacks a Ca^{2+}–CaM-binding site).

C DAG Is a Lipid-Soluble Second Messenger That Activates Protein Kinase C

The second product of the phospholipase C reaction, diacylglycerol (DAG), is a lipid-soluble second messenger. It therefore remains embedded in the plasma membrane, where in concert with Ca^{2+}, it activates the membrane-bound **protein kinase C (PKC;** C for Ca^{2+}) to phosphorylate and thereby modulate the

activities of several different cellular proteins (Fig. 13-24, *right*). Multiple PKC isozymes are known; they differ in tissue expression, intracellular location, and their requirement for the DAG that activates them. PKC is a phosphorylated, cytosolic protein in its resting state. DAG increases the membrane affinity of PKC and also helps stabilize its active conformation. The catalytic activities of PKC and PKA are similar: Both kinases phosphorylate Ser and Thr residues.

The X-ray structure of a DAG-bound segment of PKC shows that the 50-residue motif is largely knit together by two Zn^{2+} ions, each of which is tetrahedrally liganded by one His and three Cys side chains (**Fig. 13-30**). A DAG analog, **phorbol-13-acetate,**

Phorbol-13-acetate

binds in a narrow groove between two long nonpolar loops. Very few soluble proteins have such a large continuous nonpolar region, suggesting that this portion of PKC inserts into the membrane. Full activation of PKC requires phosphatidylserine (which is present only in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane; Fig. 9-32) and, in some cases, a Ca^{2+} ion (presumably made available through the action of the IP_3 second messenger). Like other signaling systems, the phosphoinositide system is limited by the destruction of its second messengers, for example, through the action of **inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase** (Fig. 13-24, *lower left*).

FIG. 13-30 X-Ray structure of a portion of protein kinase C in complex with phorbol-13-acetate. The protein tetrahedrally ligands two Zn^{2+} ions (*cyan spheres*), each via His and Cys side chains (shown in ball-and-stick form). Phorbol-13-acetate (*top*), which mimics the natural diacylglycerol ligand, binds between two nonpolar protein loops. Atoms are colored according to type with C green, N blue, O red, and S yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by James Hurley, NIH. PDBid 1PTR.]

PLC Acts on Several Phospholipids to Release Different Second Messengers. Choline-containing phospholipids hydrolyzed by phospholipase C yield DAGs that differ from those released from PIP_2 and exert different effects on PKC. Another lipid second messenger, sphingosine released from sphingolipids, inhibits PKC.

The phosphoinositide signaling pathway in some cells yields a DAG that is predominantly 1-stearoyl-2-arachidonoyl-glycerol. This molecule is further degraded to yield arachidonate, the precursor of the bioactive eicosanoids (prostaglandins and thromboxanes; Fig. 9-12), and hence the phosphoinositide pathway yields up to four different second messengers. In other cells, IP_3 and diacylglycerol are rapidly recycled to re-form PIP_2 in the inner leaflet of the membrane. Some receptor tyrosine kinases activate an isoform of phospholipase C that contains two SH2 domains. This is another example of crosstalk, the interactions of different signal transduction pathways.

D Epilog: Complex Systems Have Emergent Properties

Complex systems are, by definition, difficult to understand and substantiate. Familiar examples include the earth's weather system, the economies of large countries, the ecologies of even small areas, and the human brain. Biological signal transduction systems, as is amply evident from a reading of this chapter, are complex systems. Thus, a hormonal signal is typically transduced through several intracellular signaling pathways, each of which consists of numerous components, many of which interact with components of other signaling

FIG. 13-31 A coarse outline of insulin signal transduction. The binding of insulin to the insulin receptor **(IR)** induces tyrosine phosphorylations (pY) that lead to the activation of MAPK via activation of Shc (**1**) and Gab-1 (**2**). The MAPK cascade regulates the expression of genes involved in cellular growth and differentiation. Phosphorylation of IRS proteins (**3**) activates the PI3K cascade, which leads to changes in the phosphorylation states of several enzymes, so as to stimulate glycogen synthesis as well as other metabolic pathways. The PI3K cascade also participates in the control of vesicle trafficking, leading to the translocation of the GLUT4 glucose transporter to the cell surface and thus increasing the rate of glucose transport into the cell. Glucose transport control is also exerted by the APS/Cbl system (**4**) in a PI3K-independent manner involving lipid rafts (Section 9-4C). Other symbols: Myc, Fos, and Jun (transcription factors), **SHP-2** (an SH2-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase), **CAP** (Cbl-associated protein), **C3G** [a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF)], **CrkII** (an SH2/SH3-containing adaptor protein), PDK1 (phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1), **PKB (protein kinase B,** also named **Akt), GSK3β** (**glycogen synthase-3β,** which is inhibited by phosphorylation by PKB), **mTOR** (for *m*ammalian *t*arget *o*f *r*apamycin, a PI3K-related protein kinase; **rapamycin** is an immunosuppressant), **S6** (a protein subunit of the eukaryotic ribosome's small subunit whose phosphorylation stimulates translation), and PKCζ and PKCλ (atypical isoforms of protein kinase C). [After Zick, Y., *Trends Cell Biol.* **11,** 437 (2001).]

pathways. For example, the **insulin signaling system** (Fig. 13-31), although not yet fully elucidated, is clearly highly complex. Upon binding insulin, the insulin receptor autophosphorylates itself at several Tyr residues (Section 13-2A) and then Tyr-phosphorylates its target proteins, thereby activating several signaling pathways that control a diverse array of effects:

1. Phosphorylation of the adaptor protein **Shc,** which generates a binding site for Grb2's SH2 domain, results in stimulation of a MAP kinase cascade (Section 13-2B), ultimately affecting growth and differentiation.
2. Phosphorylation of **Gab-1 (Grb2-associated binder-1)** similarly activates the MAP kinase cascade.
3. Phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate (IRS) proteins (Section 13-2A) activates enzymes known as **phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3Ks).** These enzymes add a phosphoryl group to the 3′-OH group of a phosphatidylinositol,

often the 4,5-bisphosphate shown in Fig. 13-25. The 3-phosphorylated lipid activates **phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1 (PDK1)** that in turn initiates cascades leading to glycogen synthesis (Section 16-3C) and the translocation of the glucose transporter GLUT4 to the surface of insulin-responsive cells (Section 22-2), as well as affecting cell growth and differentiation.

4. Phosphorylation of the **APS/Cbl** complex (APS for *a*daptor protein containing *p*lekstrin homology and *S*rc homology-2 domains; Cbl is an SH2/SH3-binding docking protein that is a proto-oncogene product) leads to the stimulation of **TC10** (a monomeric G protein) and to the PI3K-independent regulation of glucose transport involving the participation of lipid rafts (Section 9-4C).

Thus, by activating multiple pathways, a hormone such as insulin can trigger a variety of physiological effects that would not be possible in a one hormone–one target regulatory system.

Understanding a Complex System Requires an Integrative Approach. The predominant approach in science is reductionist: the effort to understand a system in terms of its component parts. Thus chemists and biochemists explain the properties of molecules in terms of the properties of their component atoms, cell biologists explain the nature of cells in terms of the properties of their component macromolecules, and biologists explain the characteristics of multicellular organisms in terms of the properties of their component cells. However, complex systems have **emergent properties** that are not readily predicted from an understanding of their component parts (i.e., the whole is greater than the sum of its parts). Indeed, life itself is an emergent property that arises from the numerous chemical reactions that occur in a cell.

To elucidate the emergent properties of a complex system, an integrative approach is required. For signal transduction systems, such an approach would entail determining how each of the components of each signaling pathway in a cell interacts with all of the other such components under the conditions that each of these components experiences within its local environment. Yet, existing techniques for doing so are crude at best. Moreover, these systems are by no means static but vary, over multiple time scales, in response to cellular and organismal programs. Consequently, the means for understanding the holistic performance of cellular signal transduction systems are only in their earliest stages of development. Such an understanding is likely to have important biomedical consequences since many diseases, including cancer, diabetes, and a variety of neurological disorders, are caused by malfunctions of signal transduction systems.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe how ligand binding to a receptor leads to the production of IP_3 and DAG and the release of Ca^{2+}.
- Which components of the phosphoinositide signaling system are soluble and which are associated with the membrane?
- How does calmodulin activate target proteins?
- Describe how PKC activity requires membrane components.
- Describe the mechanisms that limit signaling by the phosphoinositide pathway.
- Compare Figures 13-7, 13-23, and 13-24. What do all these pathways have in common? How do they differ?
- How do biological signaling systems support the idea that the whole is greater than the sum of its parts?

Summary

1 Hormones

- Hormones produced by endocrine glands and other tissues regulate diverse physiological processes. The polypeptide hormones insulin and glucagon control fuel metabolism; fight-or-flight responses are governed by epinephrine and norepinephrine binding to α- and β-adrenergic receptors; steroid hormones regulate sexual development and function; and growth hormone stimulates growth directly and indirectly.
- Hormone signals interact with target tissues by binding to receptors that transduce the signal to the interior of the cell.

2 Receptor Tyrosine Kinases

- On ligand binding, receptor tyrosine kinases such as the insulin receptor undergo autophosphorylation. This activates them to phosphorylate their target proteins, in some cases triggering a kinase cascade.
- One growth-promoting pathway involves the monomeric G protein Ras and leads to altered gene expression.
- Protein–protein interactions in signaling pathways may require SH2 and SH3 domains.
- Protein kinases share a common structure that often includes activation by displacement of an autoinhibitory segment.
- The effects of protein kinases are reversed by the activity of protein phosphatases.

3 Heterotrimeric G Proteins

- The G-protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) have seven transmembrane helices. On agonist binding, these receptors undergo a conformational change that activates an associated heterotrimeric G protein.
- The G protein exchanges GDP for GTP and dissociates. G_α and $G_{\beta\gamma}$ units may activate or inhibit targets such as adenylate cyclase, which produces the cAMP activator of protein kinase A (PKA).
- Signaling activity is limited by the destruction of the second messenger.

4 The Phosphoinositide Pathway

- In the phosphoinositide pathway, the hormone receptor is associated with a G protein whose activation in turn activates phospholipase C. This enzyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate to yield two second messengers.
- The soluble inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3) second messenger opens Ca^{2+} channels, causing a rise in intracellular Ca^{2+} that activates protein kinases via the binding of the Ca^{2+}–calmodulin complex. The lipid second messenger diacylglycerol (DAG) activates protein kinase C.
- Signaling pathways are complex systems in which a single extracellular signal can elicit multiple intracellular events, some of which may also be triggered by other signaling pathways.

Key Terms

hormone **402**
receptor **402**
homeostasis **403**
adrenergic receptor **405**
agonist **405**
antagonist **405**
signal transduction **408**
ligand **408**
receptor tyrosine kinase **408**
autophosphorylation **409**
G protein **412**
kinase cascade **412**
GEF **414**
GAP **414**
oncogene **416**
crosstalk **417**
nonreceptor tyrosine kinase **417**
protein phosphatase **420**
GPCR **424**
second messenger **424**
heterotrimeric G protein **426**
desensitization **430**
phosphoinositide pathway **432**
calmodulin **434**
emergent properties **439**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Would the pancreatic hormone somatostatin require a receptor on the surface of or in the cytosol of a target cell?

2. Retinoic acid (a derivative of vitamin A; Section 9-1F) is a hormone that mediates immune system function. Would retinoic acid require a receptor on the surface of a target cell?

3. What biochemical changes are required to convert tyrosine to (a) norepinephrine and (b) epinephrine?

4. The anabolic steroid methandrostenolone is shown here. (a) How does it differ structurally from testosterone? (b) Why might such drugs be administered to burn victims?

5. Estimate the binding affinity of a ligand for its receptor from the following data:

[Ligand] (μM)	Fractional saturation, *Y*
1	0.20
2	0.36
4	0.54
6	0.62
8	0.70

6. Calculate the binding affinity of a ligand for its receptor from the following data:

[Free ligand] (mM)	[Bound ligand] (mM)
0.6	2
1.5	4
3.0	6
6.0	8
15.0	10

7. Some bacterial signaling systems involve kinases that transfer a phosphoryl group to a His side chain. Draw the phospho-His side chain.

8. Why might a compound resembling ADP function as an inhibitor of a protein kinase?

9. Explain why a protein tyrosine phosphatase would include an SH2 domain in addition to its phosphatase domain.

10. A growth factor that acts through a receptor tyrosine kinase stimulates cell division. Predict the effect of a viral protein that inhibits the corresponding protein tyrosine phosphatase.

11. Retroviruses bearing oncogenes will infect cells from their corresponding host animal but will usually not transform them to cancer cells. Yet these retroviruses will readily transform immortalized cells derived from the same organism. Explain.

12. Trastuzumab (Herceptin; Section 7-3) is an antibody that binds to the extracellular domain of the growth factor receptor HER2. Explain why trastuzumab would be an effective treatment for cancer in which cells overexpress HER2.

13. How does the presence of the poorly hydrolyzable GTP analog **GTPγS** (in which an O atom on the terminal phosphate is replaced by an S atom) affect cAMP production by adenylate cyclase?

14. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is an intracellular bacterium that causes tuberculosis. The *M. tuberculosis* genome includes 17 genes encoding adenylate cyclase, whereas free-living bacteria typically have just one AC gene. Why might it be an advantage for *M. tuberculosis* to generate high adenylate cyclase activity?

15. One of the toxins produced by *Bacillus anthracis* (the cause of anthrax) is known as EF, or edema factor (edema is the abnormal buildup of extracellular fluid). EF, which enters mammalian host cells, is a calmodulin-activated adenylate cyclase. Explain how this toxin causes edema.

16. Another *B. anthracis* toxin is lethal factor, LF, a protease that cleaves members of the MAPK kinase family so that they cannot bind to their downstream MAPK targets in white blood cells. Explain how LF inhibits activation of the immune system during *B. anthracis* infection.

17. Diacylglycerol is a substrate for the enzyme diacylglycerol kinase. What is the product of this reaction?

18. Explain why activation of diacyglycerol kinase would limit signaling by the phosphoinositide pathway.

19. Lithium ion, which is used to treat bipolar disorder, interferes with the phosphoinositide signaling pathway by inhibiting enzymes such as inositol monophosphatase and inositol polyphosphate 1-phosphatase. Predict the effect of Li^+ on the supply of cellular inositol, a precursor of phosphatidylinositol and PIP_2.

20. The SHP-2 protein participates in insulin signaling through its indirect activation by the insulin receptor (Fig. 13-31), a receptor tyrosine kinase. Yet SHP-2 is a protein tyrosine phosphatase. Is this a paradox? Explain.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. Hormone A binds to its receptor with a K_L of 5 μM. In the presence of 2.5 μM compound B and 2.0 μM hormone A, 1.0 μM of A remains unbound. Calculate the binding affinity of B for the hormone receptor.

22. Propranolol binds to β-adrenergic receptors with a K_I of 8.9×10^{-9} M. What concentration of propranolol would be required to achieve a 50% reduction in the binding of the receptor agonist isoproterenol if the agonist concentration is 10 nM and its dissociation constant for the receptor is 4.8×10^{-8} M?

23. An insulin receptor substrate (IRS) contains a so-called plekstrin homology (PH) domain that binds to the inositol head group of membrane lipids, a phosphotyrosine-binding (PTB) domain that differs from an SH2 domain, and six to eight Tyr residues that may be phosphorylated. Explain how each of these features contributes to signal transduction.

24. Predict the effect on cell growth of an Sos mutation that decreased its affinity for Ras.

25. Would the following alterations to Src be oncogenic? Explain. (a) The deletion or inactivation of the SH3 domain. (b) The mutation of Tyr 416 to Phe.

26. Would the following alterations to Src be oncogenic? Explain. (a) The mutation of Tyr 527 to Phe. (b) The replacement of Src residues 249 to 253 with the sequence APTMP.

27. Explain why mutations of the Arg residue in $G_{s\alpha}$ that is ADP-ribosylated by cholera toxin are oncogenic mutations.

28. Why doesn't cholera toxin cause cancer?

29. Phosphatidylethanolamine and PIP_2 containing identical fatty acyl residues can be hydrolyzed with the same efficiency by a certain phospholipase C. Will the hydrolysis products of the two lipids have the same effect on protein kinase C? Explain.

30. How does pertussis toxin inhibit phospholipase C?

31. The white blood cells known as T lymphocytes respond to antigens that bind specifically to the T cell receptor, which consists of an antigen-binding αβ transmembrane protein as well as a set of transmembrane signal-transducing proteins known as CD3 that are targets of NRTKs. The cytoplasmic domains of the CD3 proteins are positively charged and, in the absence of antigen, interact with the intracellular surface of the plasma membrane in such a way that buries several of their Tyr residues in the lipid bilayer. Antigen binding to the T cell receptor leads to a localized influx of Ca^{2+} ions. (a) Explain how a high concentration of Ca^{2+} could promote phosphorylation and activation of the CD3 proteins. (b) Would this phenomenon make the T lymphocyte more or less responsive to the antigen?

32. The diagnosis of some lymphomas (blood cell cancers) includes cytogenetic analysis, which involves examining a patient's cells for chromosomal abnormalities. Chromosomes are visible by light microscopy only during cell division. Cells removed from a patient's bone marrow may be treated with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) prior to microscopic examination. What is the purpose of the PMA?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 G Protein–Coupled Receptors and Receptor Tyrosine Kinases

Exercise 2 Biosignaling and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE The sense of smell, that is, the detection of odorant molecules, is mediated by G protein-coupled receptors. Are the number of such receptors in the human nose enough to detect all possible odors? Following ligand binding to one of these receptors, what happens in the cell? How is the presence of the odorant transmitted from an olfactory cell to the brain?

References

Alonso, A., et al., Protein tyrosine phosphatases in the human genome, *Cell* **117,** 699–711 (2004).

Carrasco, S. and Mérida, I., Diacylglycerol, when simplicity becomes complex, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **32,** 27–36 (2007). [Reviews diacylglycerol-based signaling.]

Cho, U.S. and Xu, W., Crystal structure of a protein phosphatase 2A heterotrimeric holoenzyme, *Nature* **445,** 53–57 (2007); *and* Xu, Y., Xing, Y., Chen, Y., Chao, Y., Lin, Z., Fan, E., Yu, J., Stack, S., Jeffrey, P., and Shi, Y., Structure of the protein phosphatase 2A holoenzyme, *Cell* **127,** 1239–1251 (2006).

De Meyts, P., The insulin receptor: A prototype for dimeric, allosteric membrane receptors? *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **33,** 376–384 (2008).

Di Paolo, G. and De Camilli, P., Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics, *Nature* **443,** 651–657 (2006).

Good, M.C., Zalatan, J.G., and Lim, W.A., Scaffold proteins: Hubs for controlling the flow of cellular information, *Science* **332,** 680–686 (2011).

Krauss, G., *Biochemistry of Signal Transduction and Regulation* (5th ed.), Wiley-VCH (2014). [A detailed treatise.]

Lim, W., Mayer, B., and Pawson, T., *Cell Signaling. Principles and Mechanisms,* Garland Science (2015).

Lemmon, M.A. and Schlessinger, J., Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases, *Cell* **141,** 1117–1134 (2010). [A detailed review.]

Marks, F., Klingmüller, U., and Müller-Decker, K., *Cellular Signal Processing. An Introduction to the Molecular Mechanisms of Signal Transduction,* Garland Science (2009).

Murphy, L.O. and Blenis, J., MAPK signal specificity: the right place at the right time, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **31,** 268–275 (2006).

Oldham, W.M. and Hamm, H.E., Heterotrimeric G protein activation by G-protein-coupled receptors, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9,** 60–71 (2008).

Pawson, T. and Scott, J.D., Protein phosphorylation in signaling—50 years and counting, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **30,** 286–290 (2005).

Rassmussen, S.G.F., et al., Crystal structure of the β_2 adenergic receptor–Gs complex, *Nature* **477,** 550–557 (2011).

Rosenbaum, D.M., Rasmussen, S.G.F., and Kobilka, B.K., The structure and function of G-protein-coupled receptors, *Nature* **459,** 356–362 (2009). [Provides structural comparisons of four GPCRs and discusses mechanisms of ligand-induced activation.]

Science Signaling: Database of Cell Signaling. http://stke.sciencemag.org/cm/. [A database on signaling molecules and their relationships to each other. Full access to the database requires an individual or institutional subscription.]

Shukla, A.K., Singh, G., and Ghosh, E., Emerging structural insights into biased GPCR signaling, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **39,** 594–602 (2014).

Taylor, S.S., Ilouz, R., Zhang, P., and Kornev, A.P., Assembly of allosteric macromolecular switches: Lessons from PKA, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **13,** 646–658 (2012).

Venkatakrishnan, A.J., Deupi, X., Lebon, G., Tate, C.G., Schertler, G.F., and Babu, M.M., Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors, *Nature* **494,** 185–194 (2013). [A review.]

Wittinghofer, A. and Vetter, I.R., Structure-function relationships of the G domain, a canonical switch motif, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80,** 943–971 (2011).

CHAPTER 14

Introduction to Metabolism

Chapter Contents

1 **Overview of Metabolism**
- A Nutrition Involves Food Intake and Use
- B Vitamins and Minerals Assist Metabolic Reactions
- C Metabolic Pathways Consist of Series of Enzymatic Reactions
- D Thermodynamics Dictates the Direction and Regulatory Capacity of Metabolic Pathways
- E Metabolic Flux Must Be Controlled

2 **"High-Energy" Compounds**
- A ATP Has a High Phosphoryl Group-Transfer Potential
- B Coupled Reactions Drive Endergonic Processes
- C Some Other Phosphorylated Compounds Have High Phosphoryl Group-Transfer Potentials
- D Thioesters Are Energy-Rich Compounds

3 **Oxidation–Reduction Reactions**
- A NAD^+ and FAD Are Electron Carriers
- B The Nernst Equation Describes Oxidation–Reduction Reactions
- C Spontaneity Can Be Determined by Measuring Reduction Potential Differences

4 **Experimental Approaches to the Study of Metabolism**
- A Labeled Metabolites Can Be Traced
- B Studying Metabolic Pathways Often Involves Perturbing the System
- C Systems Biology Has Entered the Study of Metabolism

HAWOONG JEONG, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME / Science Source Images

Modern approaches to understanding metabolism include the use of network theory to probe the functional importance of interacting cellular components, such as the yeast proteins shown here.

Understanding the chemical compositions and three-dimensional structures of biological molecules is not sufficient to understand how they are assembled into organisms or how they function to sustain life. We must therefore examine the reactions in which biological molecules are built and broken down. We must also consider how free energy is consumed in building cellular materials and carrying out cellular work and how free energy is generated from organic or other sources. **Metabolism**, the overall process through which living systems acquire and use free energy to carry out their various functions, is traditionally divided into two parts:

1. **Catabolism**, or degradation, in which nutrients and cell constituents are broken down to salvage their components and/or to make energy available.
2. **Anabolism**, or biosynthesis, in which biomolecules are synthesized from simpler components.

In general, catabolic reactions carry out the exergonic oxidation of nutrient molecules. The free energy thereby released is used to drive such endergonic processes as anabolic reactions, the performance of mechanical work, and the active transport of molecules against concentration gradients. Exergonic and endergonic processes are often coupled through the intermediate synthesis of a "high-energy" compound such as ATP. This simple principle underlies many of the chemical reactions presented in the following chapters. In this chapter, we introduce the general features of metabolic reactions and the roles of ATP and other compounds as energy carriers. Because many metabolic reactions are also oxidation–reduction reactions, we review the thermodynamics of these processes. Finally, we examine some approaches to studying metabolic reactions.

1 Overview of Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- Different organisms use different strategies for capturing free energy from their environment and can be classified by their requirement for oxygen.
- Mammalian nutrition involves the intake of macronutrients (proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids) and micronutrients (vitamins and minerals).
- A metabolic pathway is a series of enzyme-catalyzed reactions, often located in a specific part of a cell.
- The flux of material through a metabolic pathway varies with the activities of the enzymes that catalyze irreversible reactions.
- These flux-controlling enzymes are regulated by allosteric mechanisms, covalent modification, substrate cycling, and changes in gene expression.

A bewildering array of chemical reactions occur in any living cell. Yet the principles that govern metabolism are the same in all organisms, a result of their common evolutionary origin and the constraints of the laws of thermodynamics. In fact, many of the specific reactions of metabolism are common to all organisms, with variations due primarily to differences in the sources of the free energy that supports them.

A Nutrition Involves Food Intake and Use

Nutrition, the intake and utilization of food, affects health, development, and performance. Food supplies the energy that powers life processes and provides the raw materials to build and repair body tissues. The nutritional requirements of an organism reflect its source of metabolic energy. For example, some prokaryotes are **autotrophs** (Greek: *autos,* self + *trophos,* feeder), which can synthesize all their cellular constituents from simple molecules such as H_2O, CO_2, NH_3, and H_2S. There are two possible free energy sources for this process. **Chemolithotrophs** (Greek: *lithos,* stone) obtain their energy through the oxidation of inorganic compounds such as NH_3, H_2S, or even Fe^{2+}:

$$2\,NH_3 + 4\,O_2 \rightarrow 2\,HNO_3 + 2\,H_2O$$
$$H_2S + 2\,O_2 \rightarrow H_2SO_4$$
$$4\,FeCO_3 + O_2 + 6\,H_2O \rightarrow 4\,Fe(OH)_3 + 4\,CO_2$$

Photoautotrophs do so via photosynthesis, a process in which light energy powers the transfer of electrons from inorganic donors to CO_2 to produce carbohydrates, $(CH_2O)_n$, which are later oxidized to release free energy. **Heterotrophs** (Greek: *hetero,* other) obtain free energy through the oxidation of organic compounds (carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins) and hence ultimately depend on autotrophs for those substances.

Organisms can be further classified by the identity of the oxidizing agent for nutrient breakdown. **Obligate aerobes** (which include animals) must use O_2, whereas **anaerobes** employ oxidizing agents such as sulfate or nitrate. **Facultative anaerobes,** such as *E. coli,* can grow in either the presence or the absence of O_2. **Obligate anaerobes,** in contrast, are poisoned by the presence of O_2. Their metabolisms are thought to resemble those of the earliest life-forms, which arose more than 3.5 billion years ago when the earth's atmosphere lacked O_2. Most of our discussion of metabolism will focus on aerobic processes.

Animals are obligate **aerobic** heterotrophs, whose nutrition depends on a balanced intake of the **macronutrients** proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids. These are broken down by the digestive system to their component amino acids, monosaccharides, fatty acids, and glycerol—the major nutrients involved in cellular metabolism—which are then transported by the circulatory system to the tissues. The metabolic utilization of the latter substances also requires the intake of O_2 and water, as well as **micronutrients** composed of **vitamins** and **minerals**.

TABLE 14-1 Characteristics of Common Vitamins

Vitamin	Coenzyme Product	Reaction Mediated	Human Deficiency Disease
Water-Soluble			
Biotin (B_7)	Biocytin	Carboxylation	*a*
Pantothenic acid (B_5)	Coenzyme A	Acyl transfer	*a*
Cobalamin (B_{12})	Cobalamin coenzymes	Alkylation	Pernicious anemia
Riboflavin (B_2)	Flavin coenzymes	Oxidation–reduction	*a*
—	Lipoic acid	Acyl transfer	*a*
Nicotinamide (niacin; B_3)	Nicotinamide coenzymes	Oxidation–reduction	Pellagra
Pyridoxine (B_6)	Pyridoxal phosphate	Amino group transfer	*a*
Folic acid (B_9)	Tetrahydrofolate	One-carbon group transfer	Megaloblastic anemia
Thiamine (B_1)	Thiamine pyrophosphate	Aldehyde transfer	Beriberi
Ascorbic acid (C)	Ascorbate	Hydroxylation	Scurvy
Fat-Soluble			
Vitamin A		Vision	Night blindness
Vitamin D		Ca^{2+} absorption	Rickets
Vitamin E		Antioxidant	*a*
Vitamin K		Blood clotting	Hemorrhage

[a]No specific name; deficiency in humans is rare or unobserved.

TABLE 14-2 Major Essential Minerals and Trace Elements

Major Minerals	Trace Elements
Sodium	Iron
Potassium	Copper
Chlorine	Zinc
Calcium	Selenium
Phosphorus	Iodine
Magnesium	Chromium
Sulfur	Fluorine

? Which of the elements listed here occur as covalently bonded components of biological molecules?

B Vitamins and Minerals Assist Metabolic Reactions

Vitamins are organic molecules that an animal is unable to synthesize and must therefore obtain from its diet. Vitamins can be divided into two groups: **water-soluble vitamins** and **fat-soluble vitamins.** Table 14-1 lists many common vitamins and the types of reactions or processes in which they participate (we will consider the structures of these substances and their reaction mechanisms in the appropriate sections of the text).

Table 14-2 lists the essential minerals and trace elements necessary for metabolism. They participate in metabolic processes in many ways. Mg^{2+}, for example, is involved in nearly all reactions that involve ATP and other nucleotides, including the synthesis of DNA, RNA, and proteins. Zn^{2+} is a cofactor in a variety of enzymes, including carbonic anhydrase (Section 11-3C). Ca^{2+}, in addition to being the major mineral component of bones and teeth, is a vital participant in signal transduction processes (Section 13-4).

Most Water-Soluble Vitamins Are Converted to Coenzymes. Many coenzymes (Section 11-1C) were discovered as growth factors for microorganisms or as substances that cure nutritional deficiency diseases in humans and/or animals. For example, the NAD^+ component **nicotinamide,** or its carboxylic acid analog **nicotinic acid** (**niacin;** Fig. 14-1), relieves the ultimately fatal

FIG. 14-1 The structures of nicotinamide and nicotinic acid. These vitamins form the redox-active components of the nicotinamide coenzymes NAD^+ and $NADP^+$ (compare with Fig. 11-4).

dietary deficiency disease in humans known as **pellagra**. Pellagra (Italian: *pelle,* skin + *agra,* sour), which is characterized by dermatitis, diarrhea, and dementia, was endemic in the rural southern United States in the early twentieth century. Most animals, including humans, can synthesize nicotinamide from the amino acid tryptophan. However, the corn (maize)-rich diet that was prevalent in the rural South contained little available nicotinamide or tryptophan from which to synthesize it. (Corn actually contains significant quantities of niacin but in a form that requires treatment with base before it can be intestinally absorbed. The Mexican Indians, who domesticated the corn plant but did not suffer from pellagra, customarily soak corn meal in lime water—dilute $Ca(OH)_2$ solution—before using it to make their staple food, tortillas.) Dietary supplementation with nicotinamide or niacin has all but eliminated pellagra in the developed world.

The water-soluble vitamins in the human diet are all coenzyme precursors. In contrast, the fat-soluble vitamins, with the exception of vitamin K (Section 9-1F), are not components of coenzymes, although they are also required in small amounts in the diets of many higher animals.

The distant ancestors of humans probably had the ability to synthesize the various vitamins, as do many modern plants and microorganisms. Yet since vitamins are normally available in the diets of animals, which all eat other organisms, or are synthesized by the bacteria that normally inhabit their digestive systems, it seems likely that the superfluous cellular machinery to synthesize them was lost through evolution. For example, vitamin C (ascorbic acid) is required in the diets of only humans, apes, and guinea pigs (Section 6-1C and Box 6-2) because, in what is apparently a recent evolutionary loss, they lack a key enzyme for ascorbic acid biosynthesis.

C Metabolic Pathways Consist of Series of Enzymatic Reactions

Metabolic pathways are series of connected enzymatic reactions that produce specific products. Their reactants, intermediates, and products are referred to as **metabolites**. There are around 4000 known metabolic reactions, each catalyzed by a distinct enzyme. The types of enzymes and metabolites in a given cell vary with the identity of the organism, the cell type, its nutritional status, and its developmental stage. Many metabolic pathways are branched and interconnected, so delineating a pathway from a network of thousands of reactions is somewhat arbitrary and is driven by tradition as much as by chemical logic.

In general, degradative and biosynthetic pathways are related as follows (Fig. 14-2): In degradative pathways, the major nutrients, referred to as complex metabolites, are exergonically broken down into simpler products. The free energy released in the degradative process is conserved by the synthesis of ATP

FIG. 14-2 Roles of ATP and $NADP^+$ in metabolism. ATP and NADPH, generated through the degradation of complex metabolites such as carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins, are sources of free energy for biosynthetic and other reactions.

from ADP + P_i or by the reduction of a coenzyme such as $NADP^+$ (Fig. 11-4) to NADPH. ATP and NADPH are the major free energy sources for biosynthetic reactions. We will consider the thermodynamic properties of ATP and NADPH later in this chapter.

A striking characteristic of degradative metabolism is that *the pathways for the catabolism of a large number of diverse substances (carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins) converge on a few common intermediates,* in many cases, a two-carbon acetyl unit linked to **coenzyme A** to form **acetyl-coenzyme A** (**acetyl-CoA;** Section 14-2D). These intermediates are then further metabolized in a central oxidative pathway. **Figure 14-3** outlines the breakdown of various foodstuffs to their monomeric units and then to acetyl-CoA. This is followed by the oxidation of the acetyl carbons to CO_2 by the **citric acid cycle** (Chapter 17). When one substance is **oxidized** (loses electrons), another must be **reduced** (gain electrons; Box 14-1). The citric acid cycle thus produces the reduced coenzymes NADH and $FADH_2$ (Section 14-3A), which then pass their electrons to O_2 to produce H_2O in the processes of **electron transport** and **oxidative phosphorylation** (Chapter 18).

Biosynthetic pathways carry out the opposite process. *Relatively few metabolites serve as starting materials for a host of varied products*. In the next several chapters, we discuss many catabolic and anabolic pathways in detail.

FIG. 14-3 Overview of catabolism. Complex metabolites such as carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids are degraded first to their monomeric units, chiefly glucose, amino acids, fatty acids, and glycerol, and then to the common intermediate, acetyl-CoA. The acetyl group is oxidized to CO_2 via the citric acid cycle with concomitant reduction of NAD^+ and **FAD** to NADH and **$FADH_2$**. Reoxidation of NADH and $FADH_2$ by O_2 during electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation yields H_2O and ATP.

? **Identify the three major waste products of catabolism.**

Box 14-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry Oxidation States of Carbon

The carbon atoms in biological molecules can assume different oxidation states depending on the atoms to which they are bonded. For example, a carbon atom bonded to less electronegative hydrogen atoms is more reduced than a carbon atom bonded to highly electronegative oxygen atoms.

The simplest way to determine the oxidation number (and hence the oxidation state) of a particular carbon atom is to examine each of its bonds and assign the electrons to the more electronegative atom. In a C—O bond, both electrons "belong" to O; in a C—H bond, both electrons "belong" to C; and in a C—C bond, each carbon "owns" one electron. An atom's oxidation number is the number of valence electrons on the free atom (4 for carbon) minus the number of its lone pair and assigned electrons. For example, the oxidation number of carbon in CO_2 is $4 - (0 + 0) = +4$, and the oxidation number of carbon in CH_4 is $4 - (0 + 8) = -4$. Keep in mind, however, that oxidation numbers are only accounting devices; actual atomic charges are much closer to neutrality.

The following compounds are listed according to the oxidation state of the highlighted carbon atom. In general, the more oxidized compounds have fewer electrons per C atom and are richer in oxygen, and the more reduced compounds have more electrons per C atom and are richer in hydrogen. But note that not all reduction events (gain of electrons) or oxidation events (loss of electrons) are associated with bonding to oxygen. For example, when an alkane is converted to an alkene, the formation of a carbon–carbon double bond involves the loss of electrons and therefore is an oxidation reaction although no oxygen is involved. Knowing the oxidation number of a carbon atom is seldom required. However, it is useful to be able to determine whether the oxidation state of a given atom increases or decreases during a chemical reaction.

Compound	Formula	Oxidation Number
Carbon dioxide	O=C=O	+4 (most oxidized)
Acetic acid	$H_3C-C(=O)OH$	+3
Carbon monoxide	:C≡O:	+2
Formic acid	$H-C(=O)OH$	+2
Acetone	$H_3C-C(=O)-CH_3$	+2
Acetaldehyde	$H_3C-C(=O)-H$	+1
Formaldehyde	$H-C(=O)-H$	0
Acetylene	HC≡CH	−1
Ethanol	$H_3C-C(H)(H)-OH$	−1
Ethene	$H_2C=C(H)(H)$	−2
Ethane	$H_3C-C(H)(H)-H$	−3
Methane	$H-C(H)(H)-H$	−4 (least oxidized)

Enzymes Catalyze the Reactions of Metabolic Pathways. With a few exceptions, the interconversions of metabolites in degradative and biosynthetic pathways are catalyzed by enzymes. In the absence of enzymes, the reactions would occur far too slowly to support life. In addition, the specificity of enzymes guarantees the efficiency of metabolic reactions by preventing the formation of useless or toxic by-products. Most importantly, enzymes provide a mechanism for coupling an endergonic chemical reaction (which would not occur on its own) with an energetically favorable reaction, as discussed below.

We will see examples of reactions catalyzed by all six classes of enzymes introduced in Section 11-1A. These reactions fall into four major types: **oxidations and reductions** (catalyzed by oxidoreductases), **group-transfer reactions** (catalyzed by transferases and hydrolases), **eliminations, isomerizations, and**

rearrangements (catalyzed by isomerases and mutases), and **reactions that make or break carbon–carbon bonds** (catalyzed by hydrolases, lyases, and ligases).

Metabolic Pathways Occur in Specific Cellular Locations. The compartmentation of the eukaryotic cytoplasm allows different metabolic pathways to operate in different locations. For example, electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation occur in the mitochondria, whereas **glycolysis** (a carbohydrate degradation pathway) and fatty acid biosynthesis occur in the cytosol. **Figure 14-4** shows the major metabolic functions of eukaryotic organelles. Metabolic processes in prokaryotes, which lack organelles, may be localized to particular areas of the cytosol.

The synthesis of metabolites in specific membrane-bounded compartments in eukaryotic cells requires mechanisms to transport these substances between compartments. Accordingly, transport proteins (Chapter 10) are essential components of many metabolic processes. For example, a transport protein is required to move ATP, which is generated in the mitochondria, to the cytosol, where most of it is consumed (Section 18-1B).

In multicellular organisms, compartmentation is carried a step further to the level of tissues and organs. The mammalian liver, for example, is largely responsible for the synthesis of glucose from noncarbohydrate precursors (**gluconeogenesis**; Section 16-4) so as to maintain a relatively constant level of glucose in the circulation, whereas adipose tissue is specialized for storage of triacylglycerols. The interdependence of the metabolic functions of the various organs is the subject of Chapter 22.

FIG. 14-4 Metabolic functions of eukaryotic organelles. Degradative and biosynthetic processes may occur in specialized compartments in the cell, or may involve several compartments.

? **Without looking at the figure, summarize the major function of each cellular compartment. Identify which compartments carry out degradative versus synthetic processes.**

An intriguing manifestation of specialization of tissues and subcellular compartments is the existence of **isozymes**, enzymes that catalyze the same reaction but are encoded by different genes and have different kinetic or regulatory properties. For example, we have seen that mammals have three isozymes of glycogen phosphorylase, those expressed in muscle, brain, and liver (Section 12-3B). Similarly, vertebrates possess two homologs of the enzyme **lactate dehydrogenase:** the M type, which predominates in tissues subject to anaerobic conditions such as skeletal muscle and liver, and the H type, which predominates in aerobic tissues such as heart muscle. Lactate dehydrogenase catalyzes the interconversion of **pyruvate,** a product of glycolysis, and **lactate** (Section 15-3A). The M-type isozyme appears mainly to function in the reduction by NADH of pyruvate to lactate, whereas the H-type enzyme appears to be better adapted to catalyze the reverse reaction.

The existence of isozymes allows for the testing of various illnesses. For example, heart attacks cause the death of heart muscle cells, which consequently rupture and release H-type LDH into the blood. A blood test indicating the presence of H-type LDH is therefore diagnostic of a heart attack.

D Thermodynamics Dictates the Direction and Regulatory Capacity of Metabolic Pathways

Knowing the location of a metabolic pathway and enumerating its substrates and products does not necessarily reveal how that pathway functions as part of a larger network of interrelated biochemical processes. It is also necessary to appreciate how fast end product can be generated by the pathway, as well as how pathway activity is regulated as the cell's needs change. Conclusions about a pathway's output and its potential for regulation can be gleaned from information about the thermodynamics of each enzyme-catalyzed step.

Recall from Section 1-3D that the free energy change ΔG of a biochemical process, such as the reaction

$$A + B \rightleftharpoons C + D$$

is related to the standard free energy change ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$) and the concentrations of the reactants and products (Eq. 1-15):

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ\prime} + RT \ln \left(\frac{[C][D]}{[A][B]} \right) \qquad [14\text{-}1]$$

At equilibrium, $\Delta G = 0$ and the equation becomes

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -RT \ln K_{eq} \qquad [14\text{-}2]$$

Thus, the value of $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ can be calculated from the equilibrium constant and vice versa (see Sample Calculation 14-1).

When the reactants are present at values close to their equilibrium values, $[C]_{eq}[D]_{eq}/[A]_{eq}[B]_{eq} \approx K_{eq}$, and $\Delta G \approx 0$. This is the case for many metabolic reactions, which are said to be **near-equilibrium reactions**. Because their ΔG values are close to zero, they can be relatively easily reversed by changing the ratio of products to reactants. When the reactants are in excess of their equilibrium concentrations, the net reaction proceeds in the forward direction until the excess reactants have been converted to products and equilibrium is attained. Conversely, when products are in excess, the net reaction proceeds in the reverse direction to convert products to reactants until the equilibrium concentration ratio is again achieved. *Enzymes that catalyze near-equilibrium reactions tend to act quickly to restore equilibrium concentrations, and the net rates of such reactions are effectively controlled by the relative concentrations of substrates and products.*

SAMPLE CALCULATION 14-1

Calculate the equilibrium constant for the hydrolysis of glucose-1-phosphate at 37°C, using the information in Table 14-3 (see Section 14-2A).

$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the reaction

$$\text{Glucose-1-phosphate} + H_2O \rightarrow \text{glucose} + P_i$$

is $-20.9\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. At equilibrium, $\Delta G = 0$ and Eq. 14-1 becomes

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -RT \ln K$$

(Eq. 14-2). Therefore,

$$K = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$$

$$K = e^{-(-20{,}900\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})/(8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K})}$$

$$K = 3.3 \times 10^3$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Le Châtelier's Principle

Recall from Chapter 1 that adding or removing components from a reaction at equilibrium causes the reaction to proceed in one direction or the other until a new equilibrium is established.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Free Energy Change

You can think of the free energy change (ΔG) for a reaction in terms of an urge or a force pushing the reactants toward equilibrium. The larger the free energy change, the farther the reaction is from equilibrium and the stronger is the tendency for the reaction to proceed. At equilibrium, of course, the reactants undergo no net change and $\Delta G = 0$.

Other metabolic reactions function far from equilibrium; that is, they are irreversible. This is because an enzyme catalyzing such a reaction has insufficient catalytic activity (the rate of the reaction it catalyzes is too slow) to allow the reaction to come to equilibrium under physiological conditions. Reactants therefore accumulate in large excess of their equilibrium amounts, making $\Delta G \ll 0$. Changes in substrate concentrations therefore have relatively little effect on the rate of an irreversible reaction; the enzyme is essentially saturated. Only changes in the activity of the enzyme—through allosteric interactions, for example—can significantly alter the rate. The enzyme is therefore analogous to a dam on a river: *It controls the flow of substrate through the reaction by varying its activity, much as a dam controls the flow of a river by varying the opening of its floodgates.*

Understanding the **flux** (rate of flow) of metabolites through a metabolic pathway requires knowledge of which reactions are functioning near equilibrium and which are far from it. Most enzymes in a metabolic pathway operate near equilibrium and therefore have net rates that vary with their substrate concentrations. However, certain enzymes that operate far from equilibrium are strategically located in metabolic pathways. This has several important implications:

1. ***Metabolic pathways are irreversible.*** A highly exergonic reaction (one with $\Delta G \ll 0$) is irreversible; that is, it goes to completion. If such a reaction is part of a multistep pathway, it confers directionality on the pathway; that is, it makes the entire pathway irreversible.
2. ***Every metabolic pathway has a first committed step.*** Although most reactions in a metabolic pathway function close to equilibrium, there is generally an irreversible (exergonic) reaction early in the pathway that "commits" its product to continue down the pathway (likewise, water that has gone over a dam cannot spontaneously return).
3. ***Catabolic and anabolic pathways differ.*** If a metabolite is converted to another metabolite by an exergonic process, free energy must be supplied to convert the second metabolite back to the first. This energetically "uphill" process requires a different pathway for at least one of the reaction steps.

The existence of independent interconversion routes, as we will see, is an important property of metabolic pathways because it allows independent control of the two processes. If metabolite 2 is required by the cell, it is necessary to "turn off" the pathway from 2 to 1 while "turning on" the pathway from 1 to 2. Such independent control would be impossible without different pathways.

E Metabolic Flux Must Be Controlled

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Steady State

Although many reactions are near equilibrium, an entire metabolic pathway—and the cell's metabolism as a whole—never reaches equilibrium. This is because materials and energy are constantly entering and leaving the system, which is in a steady state. Metabolic pathways proceed, as if trying to reach equilibrium (Le Châtelier's principle), but they cannot get there because new reactants keep arriving and products do not accumulate.

Living organisms are thermodynamically open systems that tend to maintain a steady state rather than reaching equilibrium (Section 1-3E). This is strikingly demonstrated by the observation that, over a 40-year time span, a normal human adult consumes literally tons of nutrients and imbibes more than 20,000 L of water but does so without major weight change. *The flux of intermediates through a metabolic pathway in a steady state is more or less constant; that is, the rates of synthesis and breakdown of each pathway intermediate maintain it at a constant concentration.* A steady state far from equilibrium is thermodynamically efficient, because only a nonequilibrium process ($\Delta G \neq 0$) can perform useful work. Indeed, living systems that have reached equilibrium are dead.

Since a metabolic pathway is a series of enzyme-catalyzed reactions, it is easiest to describe the flux of metabolites through the pathway by considering its

reaction steps individually. The flux of metabolites, J, through each reaction step is the rate of the forward reaction, v_f, less that of the reverse reaction, v_r:

$$J = v_f - v_r \qquad [14\text{-}3]$$

At equilibrium, by definition, there is no flux ($J = 0$), although v_f and v_r may be quite large. In reactions that are far from equilibrium, $v_f \gg v_r$, the flux is essentially equal to the rate of the forward reaction ($J \approx v_f$).

For the pathway as a whole, flux is set by the rate-determining step of the pathway. By definition, this step is the pathway's slowest step, which is often the first committed step of the pathway. In some pathways, flux control is distributed over several enzymes, all of which help determine the overall rate of flow of metabolites through the pathway. Because a rate-determining step is slow relative to other steps in the pathway, its product is removed by succeeding steps in the pathway before it can equilibrate with reactant. Thus, *the rate-determining step functions far from equilibrium and has a large negative free energy change.* In an analogous manner, a dam creates a difference in water levels between its upstream and downstream sides, and a large negative free energy change results from the hydrostatic pressure difference. The dam can release water to generate electricity, varying the water flow according to the need for electrical power.

Reactions that function near equilibrium respond rapidly to changes in substrate concentration. For example, upon a sudden increase in the concentration of a reactant for a near-equilibrium reaction, the enzyme catalyzing it would increase the net reaction rate to rapidly achieve the new equilibrium level. Thus, a series of near-equilibrium reactions downstream from the rate-determining step all have the same flux. Likewise, the flux of water in a river is the same at all points downstream from a dam.

In practice, it is often possible to identify flux control points for a pathway by identifying reactions that have large negative free energy changes. The relative insensitivity of the rates of these nonequilibrium reactions to variations in the concentrations of their substrates permits the establishment of a steady state flux of metabolites through the pathway. Of course, flux through a pathway must vary in response to the organism's requirements to reach a new steady state. Altering the rates of the rate-determining steps can alter the flux of material through the entire pathway, often by an order of magnitude or more.

Cells use several mechanisms to control flux through the rate-determining steps of metabolic pathways:

1. ***Allosteric control.*** Many enzymes are allosterically regulated (Section 12-3A) by effectors that are often substrates, products, or coenzymes of the pathway but not necessarily of the enzyme in question. For example, in negative feedback regulation, the product of a pathway inhibits an earlier step in the pathway:

   Thus, as we have seen, CTP, a product of pyrimidine biosynthesis, inhibits ATCase, which catalyzes the rate-determining step in the pathway (Fig. 12-11).

2. ***Covalent modification.*** Many enzymes that control pathway fluxes have specific sites that may be enzymatically phosphorylated and dephosphorylated (Section 12-3B) or covalently modified in some other way. Such enzymatic modification processes, which are themselves subject to control by external signals such as hormones (Section 13-1), greatly alter the activities of the modified enzymes. The signaling methods involved in such flux control mechanisms are discussed in Chapter 13.

3. ***Substrate cycles.*** If v_f and v_r represent the rates of two opposing nonequilibrium reactions that are catalyzed by different enzymes, v_f and v_r may be independently varied.

For example, flux $(v_f - v_r)$ can be increased not just by accelerating the forward reaction but by slowing the reverse reaction. The flux through such a **substrate cycle**, as we will see in Section 15-4, is more sensitive to the concentrations of allosteric effectors than is the flux through a single unopposed nonequilibrium reaction.

4. ***Genetic control.*** Enzyme concentrations, and hence enzyme activities, may be altered by protein synthesis in response to metabolic needs. The processes of transcribing a gene to messenger RNA and then translating the RNA to a polypeptide chain offer numerous points for regulation. Mechanisms of genetic control of enzyme concentrations are a major concern of Part V of this text.

Mechanisms 1 to 3 can respond rapidly (within seconds or minutes) to external stimuli and are therefore classified as "short-term" control mechanisms. Mechanism 4 responds more slowly to changing conditions (within hours or days in higher organisms) and is therefore regarded as a "long-term" control mechanism.

Control of most metabolic pathways involves several nonequilibrium steps. Hence, the flux of material through a pathway that supplies intermediates for use by an organism may depend on multiple effectors whose relative importance reflects the overall metabolic demands of the organism at a given time. Thus, a metabolic pathway is part of a **supply–demand process.**

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the differences between autotrophs and heterotrophs.
- Use the words obligate, facultative, aerobic, anaerobic, autotroph, and heterotroph to describe the metabolism of a human, oak tree, *E. coli,* and *Methanococcus jannaschii* (an organism that lives in deepwater anoxic sediments).
- List the categories of macronutrients and micronutrients required for mammalian metabolism and provide examples of each.
- What is the relationship between vitamins and coenzymes?
- Explain the roles of ATP and NADPH in catabolic and anabolic reactions.
- Give some reasons why enzymes are essential for the operation of metabolic pathways.
- Why might different tissues express different isozymes?
- How are free energy changes and equilibrium constants related?
- Explain the metabolic significance of reactions that function near equilibrium and reactions that function far from equilibrium.
- Discuss the mechanisms by which the flux through a metabolic pathway can be controlled. Which mechanisms can rapidly alter flux?

2 "High-Energy" Compounds

KEY CONCEPTS

- Organisms capture the free energy released on degradation of nutrients as "high-energy" compounds such as ATP, whose subsequent breakdown is used to power otherwise endergonic reactions.
- The "high energy" of ATP is related to the large negative free energy change for hydrolysis of its phosphoanhydride bonds.
- ATP hydrolysis can be coupled to an endergonic reaction such that the net reaction is favorable.
- Phosphoryl groups are transferred from compounds with high phosphoryl group-transfer potentials to those with low phosphoryl group-transfer potentials.
- The thioester bond in acetyl-CoA is a "high-energy" bond.

The complete oxidation of a metabolic fuel such as glucose

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6\ O_2 \rightarrow 6\ CO_2 + 6\ H_2O$$

releases considerable energy ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -2850\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). The complete oxidation of palmitate, a typical fatty acid,

$$C_{16}H_{32}O_2 + 23\ O_2 \rightarrow 16\ CO_2 + 16\ H_2O$$

is even more exergonic ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -9781\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). Oxidative metabolism proceeds in a stepwise fashion, so the released free energy can be recovered in a manageable form at each exergonic step of the overall process. *These "packets" of energy are conserved by the synthesis of a few types of* ***"high-energy" intermediates*** *whose subsequent exergonic breakdown drives endergonic processes.* These intermediates therefore form a sort of free energy "currency" through which free energy–producing reactions such as glucose oxidation or fatty acid oxidation "pay for" the free energy–consuming processes in biological systems (Box 14-2).

The cell uses several forms of energy currency, including phosphorylated compounds such as the nucleotide ATP (the cell's primary energy currency), compounds that contain thioester bonds, and reduced coenzymes such as NADH. Each of these represents a source of free energy that the cell can use in various ways, including the synthesis of ATP. We will first examine ATP and then discuss the properties of other forms of energy currency.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Energy Transformation

Energy cannot be created or destroyed, but it can be transformed. The metabolic reactions that occur in cells convert one form of energy to another. Most often, the energy of chemical bonds is involved, but cells can also deal with thermal energy, light energy, mechanical energy, electrical energy, the energy of concentration gradients, and so on.

Box 14-2 Pathways of Discovery — Fritz Lipmann and "High-Energy" Compounds

Fritz Albert Lipmann (1899–1986) Among the many scientists who fled Europe for the United States in the 1930s was Fritz Lipmann, a German-born physician-turned-biochemist. During the first part of the twentieth century, scientists were interested primarily in the structures and compositions of biological molecules, so not much was known about their biosynthesis. Lipmann's contribution to this field centers on his understanding of "energy-rich" phosphates and other "active" compounds.

Lipmann began his research career by studying creatine phosphate, a compound that could provide energy for muscle contraction. He, like many of his contemporaries, was puzzled by the absence of an obvious link between this phosphorylated compound and the known metabolic activity of a contracting muscle, namely, converting glucose to lactate. One link was discovered by Otto Warburg (Box 15-1), who showed that one of the steps of glycolysis was accompanied by the incorporation of inorganic phosphate. The resulting acyl phosphate (1,3-bisphosphoglycerate) could then react with ADP to form ATP.

Lipmann wondered whether other phosphorylated compounds might behave in a similar manner. Because the purification of such labile (prone to degradation) compounds from whole cells was impractical, Lipmann synthesized them himself. He was able to show that cell extracts used synthetic acetyl phosphate to produce ATP. Lipmann went on to propose that cells contain two classes of phosphorylated compounds, which he termed "energy-poor" and "energy-rich," by which he meant compounds with low and high negative free energies of hydrolysis (the "squiggle," ~, which is still used, was his symbol for an "energy-rich" bond). Lipmann described a sort of "phosphate current" in which photosynthesis or breakdown of food molecules generates "energy-rich" phosphates that lead to the synthesis of ATP. The ATP, in turn, can power mechanical work such as muscle contraction or drive biosynthetic reactions.

Until this point (1941), biochemists studying biosynthetic processes were largely limited to working with whole animals or relatively intact tissue slices. Lipmann's insight regarding the role of ATP freed researchers from their cumbersome and poorly reproducible experimental systems. Biochemists could simply add ATP to their cell-free preparations to reconstitute the biosynthetic process.

Lipmann was intrigued by the discovery that a two-carbon group, an "active acetate," served as a precursor for the synthesis of fatty acids and steroids. Was acetyl phosphate also the "active acetate"? This proved not to be the case, although Lipmann was able to show that the addition of a two-carbon unit to another molecule (acetylation) required acetate, ATP, and a heat-stable factor present in pigeon liver extracts. He isolated and determined the structure of this factor, which he named coenzyme A. For this seminal discovery, Lipmann was awarded the 1953 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine.

Even after "high-energy" thioesters (as in acetyl-CoA) came on the scene, Lipmann remained a staunch advocate of "high-energy" phosphates. For example, he realized that carbamoyl phosphate ($H_2N—COO—PO_3^{2-}$) could function as an "active" carbamoyl group donor in biosynthetic reactions. He also helped identify more obscure compounds, mixed anhydrides between phosphate and sulfate, as "active" sulfates that function as sulfate group donors.

Kleinkauf, H., von Döhren, H., and Jaenicke, L. (Eds.), *The Roots of Modern Biochemistry. Fritz Lipmann's Squiggle and Its Consequences,* Walter de Gruyter (1988).

FIG. 14-5 The structure of ATP indicating its relationship to ADP, AMP, and adenosine. The phosphoryl groups, starting from AMP, are referred to as the α-, β-, and γ-phosphates. Note the differences between phosphoester and phosphoanhydride bonds.

? Describe the products of hydrolysis of each of the indicated bonds.

A ATP Has a High Phosphoryl Group-Transfer Potential

The "high-energy" intermediate adenosine triphosphate (ATP; Fig. 14-5) occurs in all known life-forms. ATP consists of an **adenosine** moiety (adenine + ribose) to which three phosphoryl ($—PO_3^{2-}$) groups are sequentially linked via a **phosphoester** bond followed by two **phosphoanhydride** bonds.

The biological importance of ATP rests in the large free energy change that accompanies cleavage of its phosphoanhydride bonds. This occurs when either a phosphoryl group is transferred to another compound, leaving ADP, or a nucleotidyl (AMP) group is transferred, leaving **pyrophosphate** ($P_2O_7^{4-}$; **PP_i**). When the acceptor is water, the process is known as hydrolysis:

$$ATP + H_2O \rightleftharpoons ADP + P_i$$
$$ATP + H_2O \rightleftharpoons AMP + PP_i$$

Most biological group-transfer reactions involve acceptors other than water. However, knowing the free energy of hydrolysis of various phosphoryl compounds allows us to calculate the free energy of transfer of phosphoryl groups to other acceptors by determining the difference in free energy of hydrolysis of the phosphoryl donor and acceptor.

The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ values for hydrolysis of several phosphorylated compounds of biochemical importance are tabulated in Table 14-3. The negatives of these values are often referred to as **phosphoryl group-transfer potentials**; they are a measure of the tendency of phosphorylated compounds to transfer their phosphoryl groups to water. Note that ATP has an intermediate phosphate group-transfer potential. Under standard conditions, the compounds above ATP in Table 14-3 can spontaneously transfer a phosphoryl group to ADP to form ATP, which can, in turn, spontaneously transfer a phosphoryl group to the appropriate groups to form the compounds listed below it. Note that a favorable free energy change for a reaction does not indicate how quickly the reaction occurs. Despite their high group-transfer potentials, ATP and related phosphoryl compounds are **kinetically stable** and do not react at a significant rate unless acted upon by an appropriate enzyme.

What Is the Nature of the "Energy" in "High-Energy" Compounds? Bonds whose hydrolysis proceeds with large negative values of $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ (customarily less than -25 kJ · mol^{-1}) are often referred to as **"high-energy" bonds** or **"energy-rich" bonds** and are frequently symbolized by the squiggle (~). Thus, ATP can be represented as AR—P~P~P, where A, R, and P symbolize adenyl, ribosyl, and phosphoryl groups, respectively. Yet the phosphoester bond joining the adenosyl group of ATP to its α-phosphoryl group appears to be not greatly different in electronic character from the "high-energy" bonds bridging its α- and β- and its β- and γ-phosphoryl groups. In fact, none of these bonds has any unusual properties, so the term "high-energy" bond is somewhat of a misnomer (in any case, it should not be confused with the term "bond energy," which is defined as the energy required to break, not hydrolyze, a covalent bond). Why, then, are the phosphoryl group-transfer reactions of ATP so exergonic? Several factors appear to be responsible for the "high-energy" character of phosphoanhydride bonds such as those in ATP (Fig. 14-6):

1. The resonance stabilization of a phosphoanhydride bond is less than that of its hydrolysis products. This is because a phosphoanhydride's two strongly electron-withdrawing groups must compete for the lone pairs of electrons of its bridging oxygen atom, whereas this competition is absent in the hydrolysis products. In other words, the electronic requirements of the phosphoryl groups are less satisfied in a phosphoanhydride than in its hydrolysis products.
2. Of perhaps greater importance is the destabilizing effect of the electrostatic repulsions between the charged groups of a phosphoanhydride compared to those of its hydrolysis products. In the physiological pH range, ATP has three to four negative charges whose mutual electrostatic repulsions are partially relieved by ATP hydrolysis.

TABLE 14-3 Standard Free Energies of Phosphate Hydrolysis of Some Compounds of Biological Interest

Compound	$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ (kJ · mol^{-1})
Phosphoenolpyruvate	−61.9
1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate	−49.4
ATP (→ AMP + PP_i)	−45.6
Acetyl phosphate	−43.1
Phosphocreatine	−43.1
ATP (→ ADP + P_i)	−30.5
Glucose-1-phosphate	−20.9
PP_i	−19.2
Fructose-6-phosphate	−13.8
Glucose-6-phosphate	−13.8
Glycerol-3-phosphate	−9.2

Source: Mostly from Jencks, W.P., *in* Fasman, G.D. (Ed.), *Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* (3rd ed.), Physical and Chemical Data, Vol. I, pp. 296–304, CRC Press (1976).

3. Another destabilizing influence, which is difficult to assess, is the smaller solvation energy of a phosphoanhydride compared to that of its hydrolysis products. Some estimates suggest that this factor provides the dominant thermodynamic driving force for the hydrolysis of phosphoanhydrides.

Of course, the free energy change for any reaction, including phosphoryl group transfer from a "high-energy" compound, depends in part on the concentrations of the reactants and products (Eq. 14-1). Furthermore, because ATP and its hydrolysis products are ions, ΔG also depends on pH and ionic strength (Box 14-3).

FIG. 14-6 Resonance and electrostatic stabilization in a phosphoanhydride and its hydrolytic products. The competing resonances (*curved arrows* from the central O) and charge–charge repulsions (*zigzag lines*) between phosphoryl groups decrease the stability of a phosphoanhydride relative to its hydrolysis products.

B Coupled Reactions Drive Endergonic Processes

The hydrolysis of a "high-energy" compound, while releasing considerable free energy, is not in itself a useful reaction. However, the exergonic reactions of "high-energy" compounds can be coupled to endergonic processes to drive them to completion. The thermodynamic explanation for the coupling of an exergonic and an endergonic process is based on the additivity of free energy. Consider the following two-step reaction pathway:

$$(1) \quad A + B \rightleftharpoons C + D \qquad \Delta G_1$$
$$(2) \quad D + E \rightleftharpoons F + G \qquad \Delta G_2$$

If $\Delta G_1 \geq 0$, Reaction 1 will not occur spontaneously. However, if ΔG_2 is sufficiently exergonic so $\Delta G_1 + \Delta G_2 < 0$, then although the equilibrium concentration of D in Reaction 1 will be relatively small, it will be larger than that in Reaction 2. As Reaction 2 converts D to products, Reaction 1 will operate in the forward direction to replenish the equilibrium concentration of D. The highly exergonic Reaction 2 therefore "drives" or "pulls" the endergonic Reaction 1, and the two reactions are said to be coupled through their common intermediate, D. That these coupled reactions proceed spontaneously can also be seen by summing Reactions 1 and 2 to yield the overall reaction where $\Delta G_3 = \Delta G_1 + \Delta G_2 < 0$. *As long as the overall pathway is exergonic, it will operate in the forward direction.*

$$(1 + 2) \quad A + B + E \rightleftharpoons C + F + G \qquad \Delta G_3$$

To illustrate this concept, let us consider two examples of phosphoryl group-transfer reactions. The initial step in the metabolism of glucose is its conversion to **glucose-6-phosphate** (Section 15-2A). Yet the direct reaction of glucose and

GATEWAY CONCEPT Resonance

Resonance refers to the delocalization of electrons in a chemical structure. Compounds are stabilized by resonance, which can be roughly assessed by the number of different ways to draw the structure.

Box 14-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry — ATP and ΔG

The standard conditions reflected in $\Delta G°'$ values never occur in living organisms. Furthermore, other compounds that are present at high concentrations and that can potentially interact with the substrates and products of a metabolic reaction may dramatically affect ΔG values. For example, Mg^{2+} ions in cells partially neutralize the negative charges on the phosphate groups in ATP and its hydrolysis products, thereby diminishing the electrostatic repulsions that make ATP hydrolysis so exergonic. Similarly, changes in pH alter the ionic character of phosphorylated compounds and therefore alter their free energies.

In a given cell, the concentrations of many ions, coenzymes, and metabolites vary with both location and time, often by several orders of magnitude. Intracellular ATP concentrations are maintained within a relatively narrow range, usually 2–10 mM, but the concentrations of ADP and P_i are more variable. Consider a typical cell with [ATP] = 3.0 mM, [ADP] = 0.8 mM, and $[P_i]$ = 4.0 mM. Using Eq. 14-1, the actual free energy of ATP hydrolysis at 37°C is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G &= \Delta G°' + RT\ln\left(\frac{[\text{ADP}][P_i]}{[\text{ATP}]}\right)\\ &= -30.5\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} + (8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K})\\ &\quad \ln\left(\frac{(0.8\times10^{-3}\ \text{M})(4.0\times10^{-3}\ \text{M})}{(3.0\times10^{-3}\ \text{M})}\right)\\ &= -30.5\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} - 17.6\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\\ &= -48.1\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

This value is even greater than the standard free energy of ATP hydrolysis. However, because of the difficulty in accurately measuring the concentrations of particular chemical species in a cell or organelle, the ΔGs for most *in vivo* reactions are little more than estimates. For the sake of consistency, we will, for the most part, use $\Delta G°'$ values in this textbook.

(a)

				$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ (kJ·mol^{-1})
Endergonic half-reaction 1	P_i + glucose	$\rightleftharpoons$	glucose-6-P + H_2O	+13.8
Exergonic half-reaction 2	ATP + H_2O	$\rightleftharpoons$	ADP + P_i	–30.5
Overall coupled reaction	ATP + glucose	$\rightleftharpoons$	ADP + glucose-6-P	–16.7

(b)

				$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ (kJ·mol^{-1})
Exergonic half-reaction 1	+ H_2O	$\rightleftharpoons$	+ P_i	–61.9
	Phosphoenolpyruvate		**Pyruvate**	
Endergonic half-reaction 2	ADP + P_i	$\rightleftharpoons$	ATP + H_2O	+30.5
Overall coupled reaction	+ ADP	$\rightleftharpoons$	+ ATP	–31.4

FIG. 14-7 Some coupled reactions involving ATP. (*a*) The phosphorylation of glucose to form glucose-6-phosphate and ADP. (*b*) The phosphorylation of ADP by phosphoenolpyruvate to form ATP and pyruvate. Each reaction has been conceptually decomposed into a direct phosphorylation step (half-reaction 1) and a step in which ATP is hydrolyzed (half-reaction 2). Both half-reactions proceed in the direction that makes the overall reaction exergonic ($\Delta G < 0$).

? In theory, would the transfer of a phosphoryl group from phosphoenolpyruvate to glucose be spontaneous? Would the transfer of a phosphoryl group from glucose-6-phosphate to pyruvate be spontaneous?

P_i is thermodynamically unfavorable ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +13.8$ kJ · mol^{-1}; **Fig. 14-7*a***). In cells, however, this reaction is coupled to the exergonic cleavage of ATP (for ATP hydrolysis, $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -30.5$ kJ · mol^{-1}), so the overall reaction is thermodynamically favorable ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +13.8 - 30.5 = -16.7$ kJ · mol^{-1}). ATP can be similarly regenerated ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +30.5$ kJ · mol^{-1}) by coupling its synthesis from ADP and P_i to the even more exergonic cleavage of **phosphoenolpyruvate** ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -61.9$ kJ · mol^{-1}; **Fig. 14-7*b*** and Section 15-2J).

Note that the half-reactions shown in Fig. 14-7 do not actually occur as written in an enzyme active site. **Hexokinase,** the enzyme that catalyzes the formation of glucose-6-phosphate (Fig. 14-7*a*), does not catalyze ATP hydrolysis but instead catalyzes the transfer of a phosphoryl group from ATP directly to glucose. Likewise, **pyruvate kinase,** the enzyme that catalyzes the reaction shown in Fig. 14-7*b,* does not add a free phosphoryl group to ADP but transfers a phosphoryl group from phosphoenolpyruvate to ADP to form ATP.

Phosphoanhydride Hydrolysis Drives Some Biochemical Processes. The free energy of the phosphoanhydride bonds of "high-energy" compounds such as ATP can be used to drive reactions even when the phosphoryl groups are not transferred to another organic compound. For example, ATP hydrolysis (i.e., phosphoryl group transfer directly to H_2O) provides the free energy for the operation of molecular chaperones (Section 6-5B), muscle contraction (Section 7-2B), and transmembrane active transport (Section 10-3). In these processes, proteins undergo conformational changes in response to binding ATP. *The exergonic hydrolysis of ATP and release of ADP and P_i renders these changes irreversible and thereby drives the processes forward.* GTP hydrolysis functions similarly to drive some of the reactions of signal transduction (Section 13-3B) and protein synthesis (Section 27-4).

In the absence of an appropriate enzyme, phosphoanhydride bonds are stable; that is, they hydrolyze quite slowly, despite the large amount of free energy released by these reactions. This is because these hydrolysis reactions have unusually high free energies of activation ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$; Section 11-2). Consequently, *ATP hydrolysis is thermodynamically favored but kinetically disfavored.* For example, consider the reaction of glucose with ATP that yields glucose-6-phosphate (Fig. 14-7*a*). $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for the nonenzymatic transfer of a phosphoryl group from ATP to glucose is greater than that for ATP hydrolysis, so the hydrolysis reaction predominates (although neither reaction occurs at a biologically significant rate). However, in the presence of the appropriate enzyme, **hexokinase** (Section 15-2A), glucose-6-phosphate is formed far more rapidly than ATP is hydrolyzed. This is because the catalytic influence of the enzyme reduces the activation energy for phosphoryl group transfer from ATP to glucose to less than the activation energy for ATP hydrolysis. This example underscores the point that a thermodynamically favored reaction ($\Delta G < 0$) may not occur at a significant rate in a living system in the absence of a specific enzyme that catalyzes the reaction (i.e., lowers $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ to increase the rate of product formation; Box 12-2).

Inorganic Pyrophosphatase Catalyzes Additional Phosphoanhydride Bond Cleavage. Although many reactions involving ATP yield ADP and P_i (**orthophosphate cleavage**), others yield AMP and PP_i (**pyrophosphate cleavage**). In these latter cases, the PP_i is rapidly hydrolyzed to 2 P_i by **inorganic pyrophosphatase** ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -19.2$ kJ · mol^{-1}) so that *the pyrophosphate cleavage of ATP ultimately consumes two "high-energy" phosphoanhydride bonds.* The attachment of amino acids to tRNA molecules for protein synthesis is an example of this phenomenon (**Fig. 14-8** and Section 27-2B). The two steps of the reaction are readily reversible because the free energies of hydrolysis of the bonds formed are comparable to that of ATP hydrolysis. The overall reaction is driven to completion by the irreversible hydrolysis of PP_i. Nucleic acid biosynthesis from nucleoside triphosphates also releases PP_i (Sections 25-1 and 26-1). The standard free energy changes of these reactions are around 0, so the subsequent hydrolysis of PP_i is also essential for the synthesis of nucleic acids.

C Some Other Phosphorylated Compounds Have High Phosphoryl Group-Transfer Potentials

"High-energy" compounds other than ATP are essential for energy metabolism, in part because they help maintain a relatively constant level of cellular ATP. *ATP is continually being hydrolyzed and regenerated.* Indeed, experimental

FIG. 14-8 Pyrophosphate cleavage in the synthesis of an aminoacyl-tRNA. (1) In the first reaction step, the amino acid is **adenylylated** by ATP. (**2**) In the second step, a tRNA molecule displaces the AMP moiety to form an aminoacyl–tRNA. (**3**) The exergonic hydrolysis of pyrophosphate ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -19.2$ kJ · mol^{-1}) drives the reaction forward.

? **Write the net reaction for this process.**

FIG. 14-9 Position of ATP relative to "high-energy" and "low-energy" phosphate compounds. Phosphoryl groups flow from the "high-energy" donors, via the ATP–ADP system, to "low-energy" acceptors.

evidence indicates that the metabolic half-life of an ATP molecule varies from seconds to minutes, depending on the cell type and its metabolic activity. For instance, brain cells have only a few seconds supply of ATP (which partly accounts for the rapid deterioration of brain tissue by oxygen deprivation). An average person at rest consumes and regenerates ATP at a rate of ~3 mol (1.5 kg) per hour and as much as an order of magnitude faster during strenuous activity.

Just as ATP drives endergonic reactions through the exergonic process of phosphoryl group transfer and phosphoanhydride hydrolysis, *ATP itself can be regenerated by coupling its formation to a more highly exergonic metabolic process.* As Table 14-3 indicates, in the thermodynamic hierarchy of phosphoryl-transfer agents, ATP occupies the middle rank. ATP can therefore be formed from ADP by direct transfer of a phosphoryl group from a "high-energy" compound (e.g., phosphoenolpyruvate; Fig. 14-7*b* and Section 15-2J). Such a reaction is referred to as a **substrate-level phosphorylation**. Other mechanisms generate ATP indirectly, using the energy supplied by transmembrane proton concentration gradients. In oxidative metabolism, this process is called **oxidative phosphorylation** (Section 18-3), whereas in photosynthesis, it is termed **photophosphorylation** (Section 19-2D).

The flow of energy from "high-energy" phosphate compounds to ATP and from ATP to "low-energy" phosphate compounds is diagrammed in **Fig. 14-9**. These reactions are catalyzed by enzymes known as **kinases**, which transfer phosphoryl groups from ATP to other compounds or from phosphorylated compounds to ADP. We will revisit these processes in our discussions of carbohydrate metabolism in Chapters 15 and 16.

The compounds whose phosphoryl group-transfer potentials are greater than that of ATP have additional stabilizing effects. For example, the hydrolysis of **acyl phosphates** (mixed phosphoric–carboxylic anhydrides), such as **acetyl phosphate** and **1,3-bisphosphoglycerate,**

Acetyl phosphate **1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate**

is driven by the same competing resonance and differential solvation effects that influence the hydrolysis of phosphoanhydrides (Fig. 14-6). Apparently, these effects are more pronounced for acyl phosphates than for phosphoanhydrides, as the rankings in Table 14-3 indicate.

In contrast, compounds such as glucose-6-phosphate and **glycerol-3-phosphate,**

α-D-Glucose-6-phosphate **L-Glycerol-3-phosphate**

which are below ATP in Table 14-3, have no significantly different resonance stabilization or charge separation compared to their hydrolysis products. Their free energies of hydrolysis are therefore much less than those of the preceding "high-energy" compounds.

The high phosphoryl group-transfer potentials of **phosphoguanidines,** such as **phosphocreatine** and **phosphoarginine,** largely result from the competing

resonances in the **guanidino** group, which are even more pronounced than they are in the phosphate group of phosphoanhydrides:

R = CH_2—CO_2^-; X = CH_3 **Phosphocreatine**

R = CH_2—CH_2—CH_2—$CH(NH_3^+)$—CO_2^-; X = H **Phosphoarginine**

Consequently, phosphocreatine can transfer its phosphoryl group to ADP to form ATP.

Phosphocreatine Provides a "High-Energy" Reservoir for ATP Formation. Muscle and nerve cells, which have a high ATP turnover, rely on phosphoguanidines to regenerate ATP rapidly. In vertebrates, phosphocreatine is synthesized by the reversible phosphorylation of creatine by ATP catalyzed by **creatine kinase:**

$$\text{ATP} + \text{creatine} \rightleftharpoons \text{phosphocreatine} + \text{ADP} \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +12.6\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Note that this reaction is endergonic under standard conditions; however, *the intracellular concentrations of its reactants and products are such that it operates close to equilibrium* ($\Delta G \approx 0$). Accordingly, when the cell is in a resting state, so [ATP] is relatively high, the reaction proceeds with net synthesis of phosphocreatine, whereas at times of high metabolic activity, when [ATP] is low, the equilibrium shifts so as to yield net synthesis of ATP from phosphocreatine and ADP. *Phosphocreatine thereby acts as an ATP "buffer" in cells that contain creatine kinase.* A resting vertebrate skeletal muscle normally has sufficient phosphocreatine to supply its free energy needs for several minutes (but for only a few seconds at maximum exertion). In the muscles of some invertebrates, such as lobsters, phosphoarginine performs the same function. These phosphoguanidines are collectively named **phosphagens**.

Nucleoside Triphosphates Are Freely Interconverted. Many biosynthetic processes, such as the synthesis of proteins and nucleic acids, require nucleoside triphosphates other than ATP. For example, RNA synthesis requires the ribonucleotides CTP, GTP, and UTP, along with ATP, and DNA synthesis requires dCTP, dGTP, dTTP, and dATP (Section 3-1). All these nucleoside triphosphates (**NTPs**) are synthesized from ATP and the corresponding nucleoside diphosphate (**NDP**) in a reaction catalyzed by the nonspecific enzyme **nucleoside diphosphate kinase:**

$$\text{ATP} + \text{NDP} \rightleftharpoons \text{ADP} + \text{NTP}$$

The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ values for these reactions are nearly 0, as might be expected from the structural similarities among the NTPs. These reactions are driven by the depletion of the NTPs through their exergonic utilization in subsequent reactions.

Other kinases reversibly convert nucleoside monophosphates to their diphosphate forms at the expense of ATP. One of these phosphoryl group-transfer reactions is catalyzed by **adenylate kinase:**

$$\text{AMP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons 2\ \text{ADP}$$

This enzyme is present in all tissues, where it functions to maintain equilibrium concentrations of the three nucleotides. When AMP accumulates, it is converted to ADP, which can be used to synthesize ATP through substrate-level phosphorylation, oxidative phosphorylation, or photophosphorylation. The reverse reaction helps restore cellular ATP because rapid consumption of ATP increases the level of ADP.

The X-ray structure of adenylate kinase, determined by Georg Schulz, reveals that, in the reaction catalyzed by the enzyme, two ~30-residue domains of the enzyme close over the substrates (Fig. 14-10), thereby tightly binding them and preventing water from entering the active site (which would lead to hydrolysis rather than phosphoryl group transfer). The movement of one of the domains depends on the presence of four invariant charged residues. Interactions between those groups and the bound substrates apparently trigger the rearrangements around the substrate-binding site (Fig. 14-10*b*).

Once the adenylate kinase reaction is complete, the tightly bound products must be rapidly released to maintain the enzyme's catalytic efficiency. Yet since the reaction is energetically neutral (the net number of phosphoanhydride bonds is unchanged), another source of free energy is required for rapid product release. Comparison of the X-ray structures of unliganded adenylate kinase and adenylate kinase in complex with the bisubstrate model compound **Ap_5A** (AMP and ATP connected by a fifth phosphate) show how the enzyme avoids the kinetic trap of tight-binding substrates and products: On binding substrate, a portion of the protein remote from the active site increases its chain mobility and thereby consumes some of the free energy of substrate binding. The region "resolidifies" when the binding site is opened and the products are released. This mechanism is thought to act as an "energetic counterweight" to help adenylate kinase maintain a high reaction rate.

D Thioesters Are Energy-Rich Compounds

The ubiquity of phosphorylated compounds in metabolism is consistent with their early evolutionary appearance. Yet phosphate is (and was) scarce in the abiotic world, which suggests that other kinds of molecules might have served as energy-rich compounds even before metabolic pathways became specialized for phosphorylated compounds. One candidate for a primitive "high-energy" compound is the **thioester,** which offers as its main recommendation its occurrence in the central

FIG. 14-10 Conformational changes in *E. coli* adenylate kinase on binding substrate. (*a*) The unliganded enzyme. (*b*) The enzyme with the bound bisubstrate analog Ap_5A. The Ap_5A is shown in stick form (C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow). The protein's cyan and blue domains undergo extensive conformational changes on ligand binding, whereas the remainder of the protein (*magenta*), whose orientation is the same in Parts *a* and *b,* largely maintains its conformation. [Based on X-ray structures by Georg Schulz, Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Freiburg, Germany. PDBids (*a*) 4AKE and (*b*) 1AKE.]

FIG. 14-11 The chemical structure of acetyl-CoA. The thioester bond is drawn with a ~ to indicate that it is a "high-energy" bond (has a high negative free energy of hydrolysis). In CoA, the acetyl group is replaced by hydrogen.

metabolic pathways of all known organisms. Notably, the thioester bond is involved in substrate-level phosphorylation, an ATP-generating process that is independent of—and presumably arose before—oxidative phosphorylation.

The thioester bond appears in modern metabolic pathways as a reaction intermediate (involving a Cys residue in an enzyme active site) and in the form of acetyl-CoA (Fig. 14-11), the common product of carbohydrate, fatty acid, and amino acid catabolism. **Coenzyme A (CoASH** or **CoA)** consists of a β-mercaptoethylamine group bonded through an amide linkage to the vitamin **pantothenic acid,** which, in turn, is attached to a 3′-phosphoadenosine moiety via a pyrophosphate bridge. The acetyl group of acetyl-CoA is bonded as a thioester to the sulfhydryl portion of the β-mercaptoethylamine group. *CoA thereby functions as a carrier of acetyl and other acyl groups* (the A of CoA stands for "*a*cetylation"). Thioesters also take the form of acyl chains bonded to a phosphopantetheine residue that is linked to a Ser OH group in a protein (Section 20-4C) rather than to 3′-phospho-AMP, as in CoA.

Acetyl-CoA is a "high-energy" compound. The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the hydrolysis of its thioester bond is $-31.5\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, which makes this reaction slightly ($1\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) more exergonic than ATP hydrolysis. The hydrolysis of thioesters is more exergonic than that of ordinary esters because the thioester is less stabilized by resonance. This destabilization is a result of the large atomic radius of S, which reduces the electronic overlap between C and S compared to that between C and O.

The formation of a thioester bond in a metabolic intermediate conserves a portion of the free energy of oxidation of a metabolic fuel. That free energy can then be used to drive an exergonic process. In the citric acid cycle, for example, cleavage of a thioester **(succinyl-CoA)** releases sufficient free energy to synthesize GTP from GDP and P_i (Section 17-3E).

CHECKPOINT

- What kinds of molecules do cells use as energy currency?
- Why is ATP a "high-energy" compound?
- Describe the ways an exergonic process can drive an endergonic process.
- Why is the activity of inorganic pyrophosphatase metabolically indispensible?
- Explain how cellular ATP is replenished by phosphagens.
- What are the cellular roles of nucleoside diphosphate kinase and adenylate kinase?
- Why is a thioester bond a "high-energy" bond?

3 Oxidation–Reduction Reactions

KEY CONCEPTS

- The electron carriers NAD^+ and FAD accept electrons from reduced metabolites and transfer them to other compounds.
- The Nernst equation describes the thermodynamics of oxidation–reduction reactions.
- The reduction potential describes the tendency for an oxidized compound to gain electrons (become reduced); the change in reduction potential for a reaction describes the tendency for a given oxidized compound to accept electrons from a given reduced compound.
- Free energy and reduction potential are negatively related: the greater the reduction potential, the more negative the free energy and the more favorable the reaction.

As metabolic fuels are oxidized to CO_2, electrons are transferred to molecular carriers that, in aerobic organisms, ultimately transfer the electrons to molecular oxygen. The process of electron transport results in a transmembrane proton concentration gradient that drives ATP synthesis (oxidative phosphorylation; Section 18-3). Even obligate anaerobes, which do not carry out oxidative phosphorylation, rely on the oxidation of substrates to drive ATP synthesis. In fact, oxidation–reduction reactions (also known as **redox reactions**) supply living things with most of their free energy. In this section, we examine the thermodynamic basis for the conservation of free energy during substrate oxidation.

A NAD^+ and FAD Are Electron Carriers

Two of the most widely occurring electron carriers are the nucleotide coenzymes nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+) and **flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD).** The nicotinamide portion of NAD^+ (and its phosphorylated counterpart $NADP^+$; Fig. 11-4) is the site of reversible reduction, which formally occurs as the transfer of a hydride ion (H^-; a proton with two electrons) as indicated in Fig. 14-12. The terminal electron acceptor in aerobic organisms, O_2, can accept only unpaired electrons (because each of its two available lowest energy molecular orbitals is already occupied by one electron); that is, electrons must be transferred to O_2 one at a time. Electrons that are removed from metabolites as pairs (e.g., with the two-electron reduction of NAD^+) must be transferred to other carriers that can undergo both two-electron and one-electron redox reactions. FAD (Fig. 14-13) is such a coenzyme.

The conjugated ring system of FAD can accept one or two electrons to produce the stable radical (semiquinone) FADH· or the fully reduced (hydroquinone) $FADH_2$ (Fig. 14-14). The change in the electronic state of the ring system on reduction is reflected in a color change from brilliant yellow (in FAD) to pale yellow (in $FADH_2$). The metabolic functions of NAD^+ and FAD demand

FIG. 14-12 Reduction of NAD^+ to NADH. R represents the ribose–pyrophosphoryl–adenosine portion of the coenzyme. Only the nicotinamide ring is affected by reduction, which is formally represented here as occurring by hydride transfer.

FIG. 14-13 Flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD). Adenosine (*red*) is linked to **riboflavin** (*black*) by a pyrophosphoryl group (*green*). The riboflavin portion of FAD is also known as **vitamin B_2.**

? **Locate the base, ribose, and phosphate groups of this dinucleotide.**

that they undergo reversible reduction so that they can accept electrons, pass them on to other electron carriers, and thereby be regenerated to participate in additional cycles of oxidation and reduction.

Humans cannot synthesize the flavin moiety of FAD but, rather, must obtain it from their diets, for example, in the form of riboflavin (vitamin B_2; Fig. 14-13). Nevertheless, riboflavin deficiency is quite rare in humans, in part because of the tight binding of flavin prosthetic groups to their apoenzymes. The symptoms of riboflavin deficiency, which are associated with general malnutrition or bizarre diets, include an inflamed tongue, lesions in the corner of the mouth, and dermatitis.

B The Nernst Equation Describes Oxidation–Reduction Reactions

Oxidation–reduction reactions resemble other types of group-transfer reactions except that the "groups" transferred are electrons, which are passed from an **electron donor** (**reductant** or **reducing agent**) to an **electron acceptor** (**oxidant** or **oxidizing agent**).

For example, in the reaction

$$Fe^{3+} + Cu^{+} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{2+} + Cu^{2+}$$

Cu^{+}, the reductant, is oxidized to Cu^{2+} while Fe^{3+}, the oxidant, is reduced to Fe^{2+}.

Redox reactions can be divided into two **half-reactions**, such as

$$Fe^{3+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{2+} \qquad \text{(reduction)}$$
$$Cu^{+} \rightleftharpoons Cu^{2+} + e^{-} \qquad \text{(oxidation)}$$

whose sum is the whole reaction above. These particular half-reactions occur during the oxidation of cytochrome *c* oxidase in the mitochondrion (Section 18-2F). Note that for electrons to be transferred, both half-reactions must occur simultaneously. In fact, the electrons are the two half-reactions' common intermediate.

A half-reaction consists of an electron donor and its conjugate electron acceptor; in the oxidative half-reaction shown above, Cu^{+} is the electron donor and Cu^{2+} is its conjugate electron acceptor. Together these constitute a **redox couple** or **conjugate redox pair** analogous to a conjugate acid–base pair (HA and A^{-}; Section 2-2B). An important difference between redox pairs and acid–base pairs, however, is that *the two half-reactions of a redox reaction, each*

FIG. 14-14 Reduction of FAD to $FADH_2$. R represents the ribitol–pyrophosphoryl–adenosine portion of the coenzyme. The conjugated ring system of FAD can undergo two sequential one-electron reductions or a two-electron transfer that bypasses the **semiquinone** state.

FIG. 14-15 An electrochemical cell. The half-cell undergoing oxidation (here $Cu^+ \rightarrow Cu^{2+} + e^-$) passes the liberated electrons through the wire to the half-cell undergoing reduction (here $e^- + Fe^{3+} \rightarrow Fe^{2+}$). Electroneutrality in the two half-cells is maintained by the transfer of ions through the electrolyte-containing salt bridge.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Oxidation–Reduction Reactions

For one substance to be reduced (gain electrons), another substance must be oxidized (lose electrons). In other words, an electron donor and an electron acceptor must appear on each side of an equilibrium expression. In oxidation–reduction reactions, the electrons remain associated with molecules; free electrons do not float around inside cells.

consisting of a conjugate redox pair, can be physically separated to form an ***electrochemical cell*** (**Fig. 14-15**). In such a device, each half-reaction takes place in its separate **half-cell,** and electrons are passed between half-cells as an electric current in the wire connecting their two electrodes. A salt bridge is necessary to complete the electrical circuit by providing a conduit for ions to migrate and thereby maintain electrical neutrality.

The free energy of an oxidation–reduction reaction is particularly easy to determine by simply measuring the voltage difference between its two half-cells. Consider the general reaction

$$A_{ox}^{n+} + B_{red} \rightleftharpoons A_{red} + B_{ox}^{n+}$$

in which n electrons per mole of reactants are transferred from reductant (B_{red}) to oxidant (A_{ox}^{n+}). The free energy of this reaction is expressed as

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ\prime} + RT \ln \left(\frac{[A_{red}][B_{ox}^{n+}]}{[A_{ox}^{n+}][B_{red}]} \right) \qquad [14\text{-}4]$$

Under reversible conditions,

$$\Delta G = -w' = -w_{el} \qquad [14\text{-}5]$$

where w' is non-pressure–volume work. In this case, w' is equivalent to w_{el}, the electrical work required to transfer the n moles of electrons through the **electrical potential difference,** $\Delta\mathscr{E}$ [where the units of $\mathscr{E}$ are volts (V), the number of joules (J) of work required to transfer 1 coulomb (C) of charge]. This, according to the laws of electrostatics, is

$$w_{el} = n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E} \qquad [14\text{-}6]$$

where $\mathscr{F}$, the **faraday,** is the electrical charge of 1 mol of electrons ($1\ \mathscr{F} = 96{,}485\ C \cdot mol^{-1} = 96{,}485\ J \cdot V^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$), and n is the number of moles of electrons transferred per mole of reactant converted. Thus, substituting Eq. 14-6 into Eq. 14-5,

$$\Delta G = -n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E} \qquad [14\text{-}7]$$

Combining Eqs. 14-4 and 14-7, and making the analogous substitution for ΔG°, yields the **Nernst equation**:

$$\boxed{\Delta\mathscr{E} = \Delta\mathscr{E}^\circ - \frac{RT}{n\mathscr{F}} \ln \left(\frac{[A_{red}][B_{ox}^{n+}]}{[A_{ox}^{n+}][B_{red}]} \right)} \qquad [14\text{-}8]$$

which was originally formulated in 1881 by Walther Nernst. Here, $\mathscr{E}$ is the **reduction potential**, the tendency for a substance to undergo reduction (gain electrons). $\Delta\mathscr{E}$, the **electromotive force (emf)**, can be described as the "electron pressure" that the electrochemical cell exerts. The quantity $\mathscr{E}^\circ$, the reduction

potential when all components are in their standard states, is called the **standard reduction potential.** If these standard states refer to biochemical standard states (Section 1-3D), then $\mathscr{E}^\circ$ is replaced by $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$. Note that a positive $\Delta\mathscr{E}$ in Eq. 14-7 results in a negative ΔG; in other words, *a positive $\Delta\mathscr{E}$ indicates a spontaneous reaction, one that can do work.*

C Spontaneity Can Be Determined by Measuring Reduction Potential Differences

Equation 14-7 shows that the free energy change of a redox reaction can be determined by directly measuring its change in reduction potential with a voltmeter (Fig. 14-15). Such measurements make it possible to determine the order of spontaneous electron transfers among a set of electron carriers such as those of the electron-transport pathway that mediates oxidative phosphorylation in cells.

Any redox reaction can be divided into its component half-reactions:

$$A_{ox}^{n+} + ne^- \rightleftharpoons A_{red}$$
$$B_{ox}^{n+} + ne^- \rightleftharpoons B_{red}$$

where, by convention, both half-reactions are written as reductions. These half-reactions can be assigned reduction potentials, $\mathscr{E}_A$ and $\mathscr{E}_B$, in accordance with the Nernst equation:

$$\mathscr{E}_A = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_A - \frac{RT}{n\mathscr{F}} \ln\left(\frac{[A_{red}]}{[A_{ox}^{n+}]}\right) \qquad [14\text{-}9]$$

$$\mathscr{E}_B = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_B - \frac{RT}{n\mathscr{F}} \ln\left(\frac{[B_{red}]}{[B_{ox}^{n+}]}\right) \qquad [14\text{-}10]$$

For the overall redox reaction involving the two half-reactions, the difference in reduction potential, $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$, is defined as

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^- \text{ acceptor})} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^- \text{ donor})} \qquad [14\text{-}11]$$

Thus, when the reaction proceeds with A as the electron acceptor and B as the electron donor, $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_A - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_B$ and $\Delta\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}_A - \mathscr{E}_B$.

Standard Reduction Potentials Are Used to Compare Electron Affinities. Reduction potentials, like free energies, must be defined with respect to some arbitrary standard, in this case, the hydrogen half-reaction

$$2\,H^+ + 2\,e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2(g)$$

in which H^+ is in equilibrium with $H_2(g)$ that is in contact with a Pt electrode. This half-cell is arbitrarily assigned a standard reduction potential $\mathscr{E}^\circ$ of 0 V (1 V = 1 J · C^{-1}) at pH 0, 25°C, and 1 atm. Under the biochemical convention, where the standard state is pH 7.0, the hydrogen half-reaction has a standard reduction potential $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ of −0.421 V.

When $\Delta\mathscr{E}$ is positive, ΔG is negative (Eq. 14-7), indicating a spontaneous process. In combining two half-reactions under standard conditions, the direction of spontaneity therefore involves the reduction of the redox couple with the more positive standard reduction potential. In other words, *the more positive the standard reduction potential, the higher the affinity of the redox couple's oxidized form for electrons; that is, the greater the tendency for the redox couple's oxidized form to accept electrons and thus become reduced.*

Biochemical Half-Reactions Are Physiologically Significant. The biochemical standard reduction potentials ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$) of some biochemically important half-reactions

are listed in Table 14-4. The oxidized form of a redox couple with a large positive standard reduction potential has a high affinity for electrons and is a strong electron acceptor (oxidizing agent), whereas its conjugate reductant is a weak electron donor (reducing agent). For example, O_2 is the strongest oxidizing agent in Table 14-4, whereas H_2O, which tightly holds its electrons, is the table's weakest reducing agent. The converse is true of half-reactions with large negative standard reduction potentials.

Since electrons spontaneously flow from low to high reduction potentials, they are transferred, under standard conditions, from the reduced products in any half-reaction in Table 14-4 to the oxidized reactants of any half-reaction above it (see Sample Calculation 14-2). However, such a reaction may not occur at a measurable rate in the absence of a suitable enzyme. Note that Fe^{3+} ions of the various cytochromes listed in Table 14-4 have significantly different reduction potentials.

TABLE 14-4 Standard Reduction Potentials of Some Biochemically Important Half-Reactions

Half-Reaction	$\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ (V)
$\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2O$	0.815
$NO_3^- + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons NO_2^- + H_2O$	0.42
Cytochrome a_3 (Fe^{3+}) + $e^- \rightleftharpoons$ cytochrome a_3 (Fe^{2+})	0.385
$O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2O_2$	0.295
Cytochrome a (Fe^{3+}) + $e^- \rightleftharpoons$ cytochrome a (Fe^{2+})	0.29
Cytochrome c (Fe^{3+}) + $e^- \rightleftharpoons$ cytochrome c (Fe^{2+})	0.235
Cytochrome c_1 (Fe^{3+}) + $e^- \rightleftharpoons$ cytochrome c_1 (Fe^{2+})	0.22
Cytochrome b (Fe^{3+}) + $e^- \rightleftharpoons$ cytochrome b (Fe^{2+})(*mitochondrial*)	0.077
Ubiquinone + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ ubiquinol	0.045
Fumarate$^-$ + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ succinate$^-$	0.031
FAD + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons FADH_2$ (*in flavoproteins*)	−0.040
Oxaloacetate$^-$ + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ malate$^-$	−0.166
Pyruvate$^-$ + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ lactate$^-$	−0.185
Acetaldehyde + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ ethanol	−0.197
FAD + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons FADH_2$ (*free coenzyme*)	−0.219
$S + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2S$	−0.23
Lipoic acid + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ dihydrolipoic acid	−0.29
$NAD^+ + H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons NADH$	−0.315
$NADP^+ + H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons NADPH$	−0.320
Cysteine disulfide + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ 2 cysteine	−0.340
Acetoacetate$^-$ + $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ β-hydroxybutyrate$^-$	−0.346
$H^+ + e^- \rightleftharpoons \frac{1}{2}H_2$	−0.421
$SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons SO_3^{2-} + H_2O$	−0.515
Acetate$^-$ + $3H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons$ acetaldehyde + H_2O	−0.581

Source: Mostly from Loach, P.A., *in* Fasman, G.D. (Ed.), *Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* (3rd ed.), Physical and Chemical Data, Vol. I, pp. 123–130, CRC Press (1976).

? **Are electrons more likely to move from ubiquinol to acetaldehyde or from ethanol to ubiquinone?**

SAMPLE CALCULATION 14-2

Calculate $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the oxidation of NADH by FAD.

Combining the relevant half-reactions gives

$$\text{NADH} + \text{FAD} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{NAD}^+ + \text{FADH}_2$$

Next, calculate the electromotive force ($\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$) from the standard reduction potentials given in Table 14-4, using one of the following methods.

Method 1

According to Eq. 14-11,

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^- \text{ acceptor})} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^- \text{ donor})}$$

Since FAD ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.219$ V) is the electron acceptor, and NADH ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.315$ V) is the electron donor,

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = (-0.219\text{ V}) - (-0.315\text{ V}) = +0.096\text{ V}$$

Method 2

Write the net reaction as a sum of the two relevant half-reactions. For FAD, the half-reaction is the same as the reductive half-reaction given in Table 14-4, and its $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ value is -0.219 V. For NADH, which undergoes oxidation rather than reduction, the half-reaction is the reverse of the one given in Table 14-4, and its $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ value is +0.315 V, the reverse of the reduction potential given in the table. The two half-reactions are added to give the net oxidation–reduction reaction, and the $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ values are also added:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{FAD} + 2\,\text{H}^+ + 2\,e^- \rightarrow \text{FADH}_2 & \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.219\text{ V} \\
\text{NADH} \rightarrow \text{NAD}^+ + \text{H}^+ + 2\,e^- & \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = +0.315\text{ V} \\
\hline
\text{NADH} + \text{FAD} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{NADH}^+ + \text{FADH}_2 & \Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = +0.096\text{ V}
\end{array}$$

Next, use Eq. 14-7 to calculate $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$. Because two moles of electrons are transferred for every mole of NADH oxidized to NAD^+, $n = 2$.

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -(2)(96{,}485\text{ J}\cdot\text{V}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(0.096\text{ V}) = -18.5\text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos.

This indicates that *the protein components of redox enzymes play active roles in electron-transfer reactions by modulating the reduction potentials of their bound redox-active centers.*

Electron-transfer reactions are of great biological importance. For example, in the mitochondrial electron-transport chain (Section 18-2), electrons are passed from NADH along a series of electron acceptors of increasing reduction potential (including ubiquinone and others listed in Table 14-4) to O_2. ATP is generated from ADP and P_i by coupling its synthesis to this free energy cascade. *NADH thereby functions as an energy-rich electron-transfer coenzyme.* In fact, the oxidation by O_2 of one NADH to NAD^+ supplies sufficient free energy to generate almost three ATPs. NAD^+ is an electron acceptor in many exergonic metabolite oxidations. In serving as the electron donor in ATP synthesis, it fulfills its cyclic role as a free energy conduit in a manner analogous to ATP (Fig. 14-9).

CHECKPOINT

- What are the metabolic roles of the coenzymes NAD^+ and FAD?
- Explain why NADH and FADH_2 are a type of energy currency in the cell.
- Explain the terms of the Nernst equation.
- When two half-reactions are combined, how can you predict which compound will be oxidized and which will be reduced?
- How is $\Delta\mathscr{E}$ related to ΔG?

4 Experimental Approaches to the Study of Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- Metabolic pathways are often studied by tracing metabolites labeled with radionuclides or NMR-active isotopes.
- The steps of a pathway can be identified by examining how metabolic inhibitors and genetic defects lead to the accumulation of pathway intermediates.
- DNA microarrays and proteomics techniques are used to determine the genetic expression of metabolic enzymes.
- A cell's metabolic activity is reflected in its metabolome.

A metabolic pathway can be understood at several levels:

1. In terms of the sequence of reactions by which a specific nutrient is converted to end products, and the energetics of the conversions.
2. In terms of the mechanisms by which each intermediate is converted to its successor. Such an analysis requires the isolation and characterization of the specific enzymes that catalyze each reaction.
3. In terms of the control mechanisms that regulate the flow of metabolites through the pathway. These mechanisms include the interorgan relationships that adjust metabolic activity to the needs of the entire organism.

Elucidating a metabolic pathway on all these levels is a complex process, often requiring contributions from a variety of disciplines.

The outlines of the major metabolic pathways have been known for decades, although in many cases, the enzymology behind various steps of the pathways remains unclear. Likewise, the mechanisms that regulate pathway activity under different physiological conditions are not entirely understood. These areas are of great interest because of their potential to yield information that could be useful in improving human health and curing metabolic diseases. Understanding the metabolic alterations that occur in cancer is a particularly active area of research. In addition, the metabolisms of microorganisms hold the promise of novel biological materials and enzymatic processes that can be exploited for the environmentally sensitive production of industrial materials, foods, and therapeutic drugs.

Early metabolic studies used whole organisms—often yeast, but also mammals. For example, Frederick Banting and Charles Best established the role of the pancreas in diabetes in 1921; they surgically removed that organ from dogs and observed that the animals then developed the disease (Box 22-2). Techniques for studying metabolic processes have since become more refined, progressing from whole-organ preparations and thin tissue slices to cultured cells and isolated organelles. The most recent approaches include identifying genes, their protein products, and the metabolites that appear as a result of their activities.

A Labeled Metabolites Can Be Traced

A metabolic pathway in which one compound is converted to another can be followed by tracing a specifically labeled metabolite. Franz Knoop formulated this technique in 1904 to study fatty acid oxidation. He fed dogs fatty acids chemically labeled with phenyl groups and isolated the phenyl-substituted end products from the dogs' urine. From the differences in these products, depending on whether the phenyl-substituted starting material contained odd or even numbers of carbon atoms, Knoop deduced that fatty acids are degraded in two-carbon units (Section 20-2). Modern biochemists use a similar approach, often introducing compounds tagged with a fluorescent group that can be traced within a tissue sample or even in a single cell (Table 14-5).

Chemical labeling has the disadvantage that the chemical properties of labeled metabolites differ from those of normal metabolites. This problem is largely

TABLE 14-5 Some Fluorescent Markers Used in Biochemistry

Fluorophore	Excitation maximum (nm)[a]	Emission maximum (nm)
Aminocoumarin	350	445
Fluorescein	495	519
Cy3	550	570
Phycoerythrin	565	578
Texas Red (sulforhodamine)	589	615
Cy5	650	670

[a]A fluorophore (fluorescent group), becomes excited on absorption of one wavelength of light and emits light of a longer (lower energy) wavelength.

eliminated by labeling molecules with isotopes. *The fate of an isotopically labeled atom in a metabolite can therefore be elucidated by following its progress through the metabolic pathway of interest.* The advent of isotopic labeling and tracing techniques in the 1940s revolutionized the study of metabolism. Some of the most common radioactive isotopes (**radionuclides**) used in biochemistry are listed in Table 14-6, along with their half-lives and the type of radioactivity emitted by the spontaneously disintegrating atomic nuclei. Radioactive compounds can be detected by their ability to expose photographic film. Alternatively, β particles and γ rays can excite fluorescent compounds, and the emitted light can be measured.

One of the early advances in metabolic understanding resulting from the use of isotopic tracers was the demonstration, by David Shemin and David Rittenberg in 1945, that the nitrogen atoms of heme (Fig. 7-2) are derived from glycine rather than from ammonia, glutamic acid, proline, or leucine (Section 21-6A). They showed this by feeding rats the ^{15}N-labeled nutrients, isolating the heme in their blood, and analyzing it by mass spectrometry for ^{15}N content. Only when the rats were fed [^{15}N] glycine did the heme contain ^{15}N. This technique was also used with the radioactive isotope ^{14}C to demonstrate that all of cholesterol's carbon atoms are derived from acetyl-CoA (Section 20-7A). Radioactive isotopes have become virtually indispensable for establishing the metabolic origins of complex metabolites. Whole-body scanning techniques, often used to locate sites of tumor growth, also use radioactive compounds, such as 2-deoxy-2-[^{18}F]fluoro-D-glucose, that are taken up by cells.

TABLE 14-6 Some Radioactive Isotopes Used in Biochemistry

Radionuclide	Half-Life	Type of Radiation[a]
^{3}H	12.31 years	β
^{14}C	5715 years	β
^{18}F	110 minutes	β^+
^{22}Na	2.60 years	β^+, γ
^{32}P	14.28 days	β
^{35}S	87.2 days	β
^{45}Ca	162.7 days	β
^{60}Co	5.271 years	β, γ
^{125}I	59.4 days	γ
^{131}I	8.02 days	β, γ

[a]β particles are electrons, β^+ particles are positrons, and γ rays are photons.

Source: Holden, N.E., *in* Lide, D.R. (Ed.), *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* (90th ed.), pp. 11–57 to 266, CRC Press (2009–2010).

Another method for tracing the fates of labeled metabolites is nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), which detects specific isotopes, including ^{1}H, ^{13}C, ^{15}N, and ^{31}P, by their characteristic nuclear spins. Since the NMR spectrum of a particular nucleus varies with its immediate environment, it is possible to identify the peaks corresponding to specific atoms even in relatively complex mixtures. The development of magnets large enough to accommodate animals and humans, and to localize spectra to specific organs, has made it possible to study metabolic pathways noninvasively by NMR techniques. For example, ^{31}P NMR can be used to study energy metabolism in muscle by monitoring the levels of phosphorylated compounds such as ATP, ADP, and phosphocreatine.

Isotopically labeling specific atoms of metabolites with ^{13}C (which is only 1.10% naturally abundant) permits the metabolic progress of the labeled atoms to be followed by ^{13}C NMR. **Figure 14-16** shows *in vivo* ^{13}C NMR spectra of a rat liver before and after an injection of D-[1-^{13}C]glucose. The ^{13}C can be seen entering the liver and then being incorporated into glycogen (the storage form of glucose; Section 16-2).

B Studying Metabolic Pathways Often Involves Perturbing the System

Many of the techniques used to elucidate the intermediates and enzymes of metabolic pathways involve perturbing the system in some way and observing how

FIG. 14-16 The conversion of [1-^{13}C]glucose to glycogen as observed by localized *in vivo* ^{13}C NMR. (*a*) The natural abundance ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the liver of a live rat. Note the resonance corresponding to C1 of glycogen. (*b*) The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the liver of the same rat ~5 min after it was intravenously injected with 100 mg of [1-^{13}C]glucose (90% enriched). The resonances of the C1 atom of both the α and β anomers of glucose are clearly distinguishable from each other and from the resonance of the C1 atom of glycogen. (*c*) The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the liver of the same rat ~30 min after the [1-^{13}C]glucose injection. The C1 resonances of both the α- and β-glucose anomers are much reduced while the C1 resonance of glycogen has increased. [After Reo, N.V., Siegfried, B.A., and Acherman, J.J.H., *J. Biol. Chem.* **259,** 13665 (1984).]

this affects the activity of the pathway. One way to perturb a pathway is to add certain substances, called **metabolic inhibitors,** that block the pathway at specific points, thereby causing the preceding intermediates to build up. This approach was used in elucidating the conversion of glucose to ethanol in yeast by glycolysis (Section 15-2). Similarly, the addition of substances that block electron transfer at different sites was used to deduce the sequence of electron carriers in the mitochondrial electron-transport chain (Section 18-2B).

Genetic Defects Also Cause Metabolic Intermediates to Accumulate. Archibald Garrod's realization, in the early 1900s, that human genetic diseases are the consequence of deficiencies in specific enzymes also contributed to the elucidation of metabolic pathways. For example, upon the ingestion of either phenylalanine or tyrosine, individuals with the largely harmless inherited condition known as **alcaptonuria,** but not normal subjects, excrete **homogentisic acid** in their urine (Box 21-2). This is because the liver of alcaptonurics lacks an enzyme that catalyzes the breakdown of homogentisic acid (**Fig. 14-17**).

Genetic Manipulation Alters Metabolic Processes. Early studies of metabolism led to the astounding discovery that *the basic metabolic pathways in most organisms are essentially identical*. This metabolic uniformity has greatly facilitated the study of metabolic reactions. Thus, although a mutation that inactivates or deletes an enzyme in a pathway of interest may be unknown in higher organisms, it can be readily generated in a rapidly reproducing microorganism through the use of **mutagens** (chemical agents that induce genetic changes; Section 25-4A), X-rays, or, more recently, through genetic engineering techniques (Section 3-5). The desired mutants, which cannot synthesize the pathway's end product, can be identified by their requirement for that product in their culture medium.

Higher organisms that have been engineered to lack particular genes (i.e., gene "knockouts"; Section 3-5D) are useful, particularly in cases in which the absence of a single gene product results in a metabolic defect but is not lethal. Genetic engineering techniques have advanced to the point that it is possible to selectively "knock out" a gene only in a particular tissue. This approach is necessary in cases in which a gene product is required for development and therefore cannot be entirely deleted. In the opposite approach, techniques for constructing transgenic animals make it possible to express genes in tissues in which they were not originally present.

C Systems Biology Has Entered the Study of Metabolism

Metabolism has traditionally been studied by hypothesis-driven research: isolating individual enzymes and metabolites and assembling them into metabolic pathways as guided by experimentally testable hypotheses. A new approach, **systems biology**, has emerged with the advent of complete genome sequences; the development of rapid and sensitive techniques for analyzing large numbers of gene transcripts, proteins, and metabolites all at once; and the development of new computational and mathematical tools. Systems biology is discovery-based: collecting and integrating enormous amounts of data in searchable databases so the properties and dynamics of entire biological networks can be analyzed. As a result, our understanding of the path from genotype to phenotype has expanded. In addition to the central dogma (Section 3-3B) that a single gene composed of DNA is transcribed to mRNA which is translated to a single protein that influences metabolism, we can explore these levels of gene expression in more detail. For example, we can assess the **genome**, **transcriptome** (the entire collection of RNA transcribed by a cell), **proteome** (the complete set of proteins synthesized by a cell in response to changing conditions), and **metabolome** (the cell's collection of metabolic intermediates) as well as

FIG. 14-17 Pathway for phenylalanine degradation. Alcaptonurics lack the enzyme that breaks down homogentisate; therefore, this intermediate accumulates and is excreted in the urine.

? **What type of chemical change occurs at each step shown here?**

FIG. 14-18 The relationship between genotype and phenotype. The path from genetic information (genotype) to metabolic function (phenotype) has several steps. Portions of the genome are transcribed to produce the transcriptome, which directs the synthesis of the proteome, whose various activities are responsible for synthesizing and degrading the components of the metabolome.

? What techniques would you use to quantify or identify the molecules at each level?

their interrelationships (Fig. 14-18). The term **bibliome** (Greek: *biblion,* book) has even been coined to denote the systematic incorporation of preexisting information about reaction mechanisms and metabolic pathways. Dozens of pathways are catalogued in Internet-accessible databases that list the structures and names of the intermediates and the enzymes that catalyze their interconversion, along with links to gene sequences and three-dimensional protein structures (see Bioinformatics Project 8). Two examples of such databases are the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Pathway Database: http://www.genome.jp/kegg; and BRENDA (BRaunschweig ENzyme DAtabase): www.brenda-enzymes.org/. In the following paragraphs we discuss some of the emerging technologies used in systems biology.

Genomics Examines the Entire Complement of an Organism's DNA Sequences. The overall metabolic capabilities of an organism are encoded by its genome (its entire complement of genes). In theory, it should be possible to reconstruct a cell's metabolic activities from its DNA sequences. At present, this can be done only in a general sense. For example, the sequenced genome of *Vibrio cholerae,* the bacterium that causes cholera, reveals a large repertoire of genes encoding transport proteins and enzymes for catabolizing a wide range of nutrients. This is consistent with the complicated lifestyle of *V. cholerae,* which can live on its own, in association with zooplankton, or in the human gastrointestinal tract (where it causes cholera). Of course, a simple catalog of an organism's genes does not reveal how the genes function. Thus, some genes are expressed continuously at high levels, whereas others are expressed rarely—for example, only when the organism encounters a particular metabolite.

DNA Microarrays Help Create an Accurate Picture of Gene Expression. Creating an accurate picture of gene expression is the goal of **transcriptomics**, the study of a cell's transcriptome. Identifying and quantifying all the transcripts from a single cell type reveals which genes are active. Cells transcribe thousands of genes at once, so this study requires the use of new techniques, including DNA microarray technology.

DNA microarrays or **DNA chips** are made by depositing numerous (up to several hundred thousand) different DNA segments of known gene sequences in a precise array on a solid support such as a coated glass surface. These DNAs are often PCR-amplified cDNA clones derived from mRNAs (PCR is discussed in Section 3-5C) or their robotically synthesized counterparts. The mRNAs extracted from cells, tissues, or other biological sources grown under differing conditions are then reverse-transcribed to cDNA, labeled with a fluorescent dye (a different color for each growth condition), and allowed to hybridize with the DNAs on the DNA microarray. After the unhybridized cDNA is washed away, the resulting fluorescence intensity and color at each site on the DNA microarray indicates how much cDNA (and therefore how much mRNA) has bound to a particular complementary DNA sequence for each growth condition. Figure 14-19 shows a DNA chip that indicates the changes in yeast gene expression when yeast grown on glucose have depleted their glucose supply.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

(b)

Courtesy Patrick Brown, Stanford University School of Medicine

(c)

Image courtesy of Affymetrix, Inc

FIG. 14-19 DNA chips. (*a*) Schematic diagram of an experiment showing the differences in yeast gene expression in the presence and absence of glucose: (**1**) Yeast cells are grown in medium containing glucose and in glucose-depleted medium. (**2**) mRNA is isolated from each population of yeast. (**3**) Reverse transcriptase copies the mRNA to cDNA, incorporating a red fluorescent dye into the cDNA from the cells grown in glucose, and a green fluorescent dye for the cells harvested after glucose depletion. (**4**) The cDNAs are mixed. (**5**) The labeled cDNAs hybridize with DNA segments immobilized on a gene chip, and the bound red and green fluorescent cDNAs are detected. (*b*) An ~6000-gene array DNA chip containing most of the genes from baker's yeast, one per spot. The red and green spots, respectively, reveal those genes that are transcriptionally activated by the presence or absence of glucose, whereas the yellow spots (*red plus green*) indicate genes whose expression is unaffected by the level of glucose. (*c*) A DNA microarray assembly. It protects its enclosed DNA chip and provides a convenient hybridization chamber. Interrogation requires a specialized fluorescence measurement device. (Part *a*): **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Differences in the expression of particular genes have been correlated with many developmental processes or growth patterns. For example, DNA microarrays have been used to profile the patterns of gene expression in tumor cells because different types of tumors synthesize different types and amounts of

From Chen, X., et al, *Mol. Biol. Cell* **13,** 1929 (2002), Fig. 1. Courtesy David Botstein and Patrick Brown, Stanford University School of Medicine

FIG. 14-20 The relative transcriptional activities of the genes in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) tumors as determined using DNA microarrays. The data are presented in matrix form, with each column representing one of 156 tissue samples [82 HCC tumors (the most common human liver cancer and among the five leading causes of cancer deaths in the world) and 74 nontumor liver tissues] and each row representing one of 3180 genes (those of the ~17,400 genes on the DNA microarray with the greatest variation in transcriptional activity among the various tissue samples). The data are arranged to group the genes, as well as the tissue samples, on the basis of similarities of their expression patterns. The color of each cell indicates the expression level of the corresponding gene in the corresponding tissue relative to its mean expression level in all the tissue samples, with bright red, black, and bright green indicating expression levels of 4, 1, and 1/4 times that of the mean for that gene (as indicated on the scale below). The dendrogram at the top of the matrix indicates the similarities in expression patterns among the various tissue samples.

proteins (Fig. 14-20). This information is useful in choosing how best to treat a cancer. Similarly, analyzing the gene expression patterns in white blood cells, which are easily obtained, can reveal whether a patient is suffering from a viral versus a bacterial infection; this information can prevent the unnecessary administration of antibiotics when the infection is viral.

Proteomics Studies All the Cell's Proteins. Unfortunately, the correlation between the amount of a particular mRNA and the amount of its protein product is imperfect. This is because the various mRNAs and their corresponding proteins are synthesized and degraded at different rates. Furthermore, many proteins are posttranslationally modified, sometimes in several different ways (e.g., by phosphorylation or glycosylation). Consequently, the number of unique proteins in a cell exceeds the number of unique mRNAs.

A more reliable way than transcriptomics to assess gene expression is to examine a cell's proteome. This **proteomics** approach requires that the proteins first be separated, usually by two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis (a technique that separates proteins by isoelectric point in one direction and by mass in the perpendicular direction; Section 5-2D). Individual proteins are then identified by using tandem mass spectrometry to obtain amino acid sequence information (Section 5-3D) and correlating it with protein sequence databases. Because many peptides are generated from a single protein, the technique enables the redundant and unambiguous identification of that protein from the database. In this way we can catalog all the proteins that are contained in a cell or tissue under a given set of conditions.

Can we compare all the proteins synthesized by a cell under two different sets of conditions as is done for mRNA? The answer is yes, by using different isotopically labeled reagents that are either contained in the growth medium (e.g., deuterated amino acids) or that are reacted with the cell extract. The proteins are then purified and analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry. A hope for the future is that samples from diseased and normal subjects can be compared in this manner to find previously undetected protein markers that would allow early diagnosis of various diseases.

Metabolomics Analyzes All of a Cell's Metabolites. In order to describe a cell's functional state (its phenotype) we need, in addition to the cell's genome, transcriptome, and proteome, a quantitative description of all of the metabolites it contains under a given set of conditions, its metabolome. However, a cell or tissue contains thousands of metabolites with vastly different properties, so that identifying and quantifying all these substances is a daunting task, requiring many different analytical tools. Consequently, this huge undertaking is often subdivided. For example, **lipidomics** is the subsection of **metabolomics** aimed at characterizing all lipids in a cell under a particular set of conditions, including how these lipids influence membrane structure, cell signaling, gene expression, cell–cell interactions, and so on. For example, a panel of 10 lipid metabolites in blood has been used to predict the development of cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease. Another application of metabolomics is the comparison of the different types of cancers. Although all cells contain the same "core" metabolites, their patterns of use yield different profiles that could be exploited to inhibit cancer growth.

CHECKPOINT

- How are isotopically labeled compounds used to study metabolism?
- Which of the isotopes listed in Table 14-6 could be used to specifically label a protein? A nucleic acid?
- Explain how the buildup of a metabolite when an enzyme is blocked can shed light on the steps of a metabolic pathway.
- Describe how information about an organism's genome can be used to assess and manipulate its metabolic activities.
- What is the difference between hypothesis-driven and discovery-based research?
- Describe the "central dogma" in the "-omics" era.
- Summarize the relationships among an organism's metabolome, proteome, transcriptome, and genome.
- Why might transcriptomic and proteomic analyses reveal different information about the metabolic activity of a particular tissue?

Summary

1 Overview of Metabolism

- The free energy released from catabolic oxidation reactions is used to drive endergonic anabolic reactions.
- Nutrition is the intake and utilization of food to supply free energy and raw materials.
- Heterotrophic organisms obtain their free energy from compounds synthesized by chemolithotrophic or photoautotrophic organisms.
- Food contains proteins, carbohydrates, fats, water, vitamins, and minerals.
- Metabolic pathways are sequences of enzyme-catalyzed reactions that occur in different cellular locations.
- Near-equilibrium reactions are freely reversible, whereas reactions that function far from equilibrium serve as regulatory points and render metabolic pathways irreversible.
- Flux through a metabolic pathway is controlled by regulating the activities of the enzymes that catalyze its rate-determining steps.

2 "High-Energy" Compounds

- The free energy of the "high-energy" compound ATP is made available through cleavage of one or both of its phosphoanhydride bonds.
- An exergonic reaction such as ATP or PP_i hydrolysis can be coupled to an endergonic reaction to make it more favorable.
- Substrate-level phosphorylation is the synthesis of ATP from ADP by phosphoryl group transfer from another compound.
- The common product of carbohydrate, lipid, and protein catabolism, acetyl-CoA, is a "high-energy" thioester.

3 Oxidation–Reduction Reactions

- The coenzymes NAD^+ and FAD are reversibly reduced during the oxidation of metabolites.
- The Nernst equation relates the electromotive force of a redox reaction to the standard reduction potentials and concentrations of the electron donors and acceptors.
- Electrons flow spontaneously from the reduced member of a redox couple with the lower reduction potential to the oxidized member of a redox couple with the higher reduction potential.

4 Experimental Approaches to the Study of Metabolism

- Studies of metabolic pathways determine the order of metabolic transformations, their enzymatic mechanisms, their regulation, and their relationships to metabolic processes in other tissues.
- Metabolic pathways are studied using isotopic and fluorescent tracers, enzyme inhibitors, natural and engineered mutations, DNA microarrays, and proteomics techniques.
- Systems biology endeavors to quantitatively describe the properties and dynamics of biological networks as a whole through the integration of genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic information.

Key Terms

metabolism **442**
catabolism **442**
anabolism **442**
nutrition **443**
autotrophy **443**
chemolithotroph **443**
photoautotroph **443**
heterotroph **443**
anaerobic **443**
aerobic **443**
macronutrient **443**
micronutrient **443**
vitamin **443**
mineral **443**
metabolite **445**
oxidation **446**
reduction **446**
isozyme **449**
near-equilibrium reaction **449**
flux **450**
substrate cycle **452**
"high-energy" intermediate **453**
orthophosphate cleavage **457**
pyrophosphate cleavage **457**
substrate-level phosphorylation **458**
oxidative phosphorylation **458**
photophosphorylation **458**
kinase **458**
phosphagen **459**
reducing agent **463**
oxidizing agent **463**
half-reaction **463**
redox couple **463**
conjugate redox pair **463**
electrochemical cell **464**
$\Delta\mathscr{E}$ **464**
$\mathscr{F}$ **464**
Nernst equation **464**
$\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ **465**
systems biology **471**
genomics **471**
transcriptomics **472**
DNA microarray **472**
proteomics **474**
metabolomics **474**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Explain why a heterotrophic organism may require vitamins, whereas an autotroph does not.

2. Methanogens are prokaryotes that produce methane according to the net equation

$$H_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2\,H_2O$$

Some bacteria consume methane according to the net equation

$$CH_4 + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow HCO_3^- + HS^- + H_2O$$

(a) Classify these two types of bacteria as autotrophic or heterotrophic.

(b) Explain why the two types of bacteria are often found associated with each other.

3. A strain of bacteria isolated from an alkaline lake with a high concentration of arsenic is able to incorporate As into biological molecules. What class of molecules is most likely to contain As as part of its structure?

4. Explain why cadmium and mercury are toxic to most organisms.

5. Rank the following compounds in order of increasing oxidation state.

A

B

C

D

E

6. In the partial reactions shown below, is the reactant undergoing oxidation or reduction?

(a)
```
COO⁻                COO⁻
|                   |
CH2                 CH2
|                   |
C=O        ──►      CH—OH
|                   |
COO⁻                COO⁻
```

(b)
```
COO⁻                COO⁻
|                   |
CH2                 CH
|                   ||
CH—OH      ──►      CH
|                   |
COO⁻                COO⁻
```

7. Citrate synthase catalyzes the reaction

$$\text{Oxaloacetate} + \text{acetyl-CoA} \rightarrow \text{citrate} + \text{HS-CoA}$$

The standard free energy change for the reaction is $-31.5\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. (a) Calculate the equilibrium constant for this reaction at 37°C. (b) Would you expect this reaction to serve as a control point for its pathway (the citric acid cycle)?

8. Choose the best definition for a near-equilibrium reaction:

(a) Always operates with a favorable free energy change.

(b) Has a free energy change near zero.

(c) Is usually a control point in a metabolic pathway.

(d) Operates very slowly *in vivo.*

9. Use the data in Table 2-4 to estimate the net charge of an ATP molecule *in vivo*.

10. Nearly all enzymes that require a nicotinamide cofactor use either NAD^+/NADH or $NADP^+$/NADPH (Figure 11-3) but not both. Compare the net charge of each cofactor.

11. Assuming 100% efficiency of energy conservation, how many moles of ATP can be synthesized under standard conditions by the complete oxidation of 1 mol of glucose?

12. Assuming 100% efficiency of energy conservation, how many moles of ATP can be synthesized under standard conditions by the complete oxidation of 1 mol of palmitate?

13. The reaction for "activation" of a fatty acid ($RCOO^-$),

$$\text{ATP} + \text{CoA} + \text{RCOO}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{RCO—CoA} + \text{AMP} + \text{PP}_i$$

has $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +4.6\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. What is the thermodynamic driving force for this reaction?

14. The reaction catalyzed by malate dehydrogenase,

$$\text{Malate} + \text{NAD}^+ \rightarrow \text{oxaloacetate} + \text{NADH} + \text{H}^+$$

has a $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ value of $+29.7\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. (a) Would this reaction occur spontaneously in a cell? (b) How does the citrate synthase reaction (described in Problem 7) promote the malate dehydrogenase reaction in the cell? What is the overall change in free energy for the two reactions?

15. List the following substances in order of their increasing oxidizing power: (a) acetoacetate, (b) cytochrome *b* (Fe^{3+}), (c) NAD^+, (d) SO_4^{2-}, and (e) pyruvate.

16. Is the reduced form of cytochrome *c* more likely to give up its electron to oxidized cytochrome *a* or cytochrome *b*?

17. Under standard conditions, will the following reaction proceed spontaneously as written?

$$\text{Fumarate} + \text{NADH} + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{succinate} + \text{NAD}^+$$

18. Under standard conditions, will the following reaction proceed spontaneously as written?

$$\text{Cyto } a\ (\text{Fe}^{2+}) + \text{cyto } b\ (\text{Fe}^{3+}) \rightleftharpoons \text{cyto } a\ (\text{Fe}^{3+}) + \text{cyto } b\ (\text{Fe}^{2+})$$

19. Would gene chips containing bacterial DNA segments be useful for monitoring gene expression in a mammalian cell?

20. Why do DNA chips often contain segments derived from cDNA rather than genomic DNA segments?

21. Biochemists studying cellular activity can quantify RNA sequences by converting them to DNA sequences that can be amplified via PCR (Section 3-5C), and they can quantify proteins by engineering the corresponding genes to include green fluorescent protein (Box 4-3). Explain why metabolites cannot be assessed using these same approaches.

22. Researchers have noted that different patients respond differently to the cholesterol-lowering statin drugs. They have attempted to link the adverse side effects of drugs to genetic variations such as single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; Section 3-4E). What other information could the researchers gather in order to identify genes that play a role in a patient's response to a statin drug?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. A certain metabolic reaction takes the form A → B. Its standard free energy change is $7.5\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. (a) Calculate the equilibrium constant for the reaction at 25°C. (b) Calculate ΔG at 37°C when the concentration of A is 0.5 mM and the concentration of B is 0.1 mM. Is the reaction spontaneous under these conditions? (c) How might the reaction proceed in the cell?

24. Cells carry out anabolic as well as catabolic pathways, with some enzymes functioning in both types of pathways. (a) Explain why these enzymes catalyze near-equilibrium reactions. (b) Explain why opposing anabolic and catabolic pathways must have different enzymes for at least one of the steps.

25. Does the magnitude of the free energy change for ATP hydrolysis increase or decrease as the pH increases from 5 to 6?

26. The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for hydrolytically removing a phosphoryl group from ATP is about twice as large as the $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for hydrolytically removing a phosphoryl group from AMP ($-14\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). Explain the discrepancy.

27. Predict whether creatine kinase will operate in the direction of ATP synthesis or phosphocreatine synthesis at 25°C when [ATP] = 4 mM, [ADP] = 0.15 mM, [phosphocreatine] = 2.5 mM, and [creatine] = 1 mM.

28. If intracellular [ATP] = 5 mM, [ADP] = 0.5 mM, and $[P_i]$ = 1.0 mM, calculate the concentration of AMP at pH 7 and 25°C under the condition that the adenylate kinase reaction is at equilibrium.

29. Some proteins contain internal thioesters, which form when a Cys side chain condenses with a Gln side chain a few residues away. Draw this structure.

30. The thioester described in Problem 29 reacts readily with compounds with the formula ROH or RNH_2. Draw the resulting ester and amide reaction products.

31. In a mixture of NAD^+, NADH, ubiquinone, and ubiquinol, which compound will be oxidized? Which will be reduced?

32. Aerobic organisms transfer electrons from reduced fuel molecules to O_2, forming H_2O. Some anaerobic organisms use nitrate (NO_3^-) as an acceptor for electrons from reduced fuel molecules. Use the information in Table 14-4 to explain why aerobic organisms can harvest more free energy from a fuel molecule than can a nitrate-using anaerobe.

33. Write a balanced equation for the oxidation of ubiquinol by cytochrome *c*. Calculate $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ and $\Delta \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ for the reaction.

34. Under standard conditions, is the oxidation of free $FADH_2$ by ubiquinone sufficiently exergonic to drive the synthesis of ATP?

35. A hypothetical three-step metabolic pathway consists of intermediates W, X, Y, and Z and enzymes A, B, and C. Deduce the order of the enzymatic steps in the pathway from the following information:

1. Compound Q, a metabolic inhibitor of enzyme B, causes Z to build up.
2. A mutant in enzyme C requires Y for growth.
3. An inhibitor of enzyme A causes W, Y, and Z to accumulate.
4. Compound P, a metabolic inhibitor of enzyme C, causes W and Z to build up.

36. A certain metabolic pathway can be diagrammed as

$$A \xrightarrow{X} B \xrightarrow{Y} C \xrightarrow{Z} D$$

where A, B, C, and D are the intermediates, and X, Y, and Z are the enzymes that catalyze the reactions. The physiological free energy changes for the reactions are

$$\begin{array}{ll} X & -0.2\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \\ Y & -12.3\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \\ Z & -1.2\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \end{array}$$

(a) Which reaction is likely to be a major regulatory point for the pathway? (b) If your answer in Part a was in fact the case, in the presence of an inhibitor that blocks the activity of enzyme Z, would the concentrations of A, B, C, and D increase, decrease, or not be affected?

BIOINFORMATICS *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Metabolism and the BRENDA and KEGG Databases

Exercise 2 Cofactor Chemistry

Extended Exercises Bioinformatics projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 8 Metabolic Enzymes, Microarrays, and Proteomics

1. **Metabolic Enzymes.** Use the KEGG and Enzyme Structure databases to obtain information about dihydrofolate reductase.
2. **Microarrays.** Learn about microarray technology and its use in studying disease.
3. **Proteomics.** Review some methods and their limitations.
4. **Teaching and Learning Resources for Proteomics.**
5. **Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis.** Obtain data about dihydrofolate reductase from the Swiss-2D PAGE resource.

Project 9 Metabolomics Databases and Tools

1. **The Metabolomics Society.** Learn about biomarkers—how they are identified, followed analytically, and used to assess disease states.
2. **The Human Metabolome Database.** See how an individual metabolite (UDP–glucose) is followed by NMR and mass spectrometry and follow links to the many pathways that involve UDP–glucose.
3. **The PubChem Project.** Explore one of the newest databases at NCBI, which contains information about the chemical properties and biological activities of small molecule metabolites.

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 16 Allosteric Regulation of ATCase

Focus concept: An enzyme involved in nucleotide synthesis is subject to regulation by a variety of combinations of nucleotides.
Prerequisites: Chapters 7, 12, and 14

- Properties of allosteric enzymes
- Basic mechanisms involving regulation of metabolic pathways

MORE TO EXPLORE Access the Human Metabolome Database (http://www.hmdb.ca/) and search for information on uric acid. In what metabolic pathway is uric acid an intermediate? Does the pathway differ among species? What compounds are the precursors of uric acid? To which compounds can uric acid be converted? What is the normal concentration of uric acid in body fluids? How do diseases affect uric acid levels?

References

Aebersold, R., Quantitative proteome analysis: Methods and applications, *J. Infect. Dis.* **182** (supplement 2), S315–S320 (2003).

Alberty, R.A., Calculating apparent equilibrium constants of enzyme-catalyzed reactions at pH 7, *Biochem. Ed.* **28,** 12–17 (2000).

Campbell, A.M. and Heyer, L.J., *Discovering Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics* (2nd ed.), Pearson Benjamin Cummings, New York (2007). [An interactive introduction to these subjects.]

Choi, S. (Ed.), *Introduction to Systems Biology,* Humana Press (2007).

DeBerardinis, R.J. and Thompson, C.B., Cellular metabolism and disease: What do metabolic outliers teach us?, *Cell* **148,** 1132–1144 (2012).

Duarte, N.C., Becker, S.A., Jamshidi, N., Thiele, I., Mo, M.L., Vo, T.D., Srivas, R., and Palsson, B. Ø., Global reconstruction of the human metabolic network based on genomic and bibliomic data, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci*, **104,** 1777–1782 (2007).

Go, V.L.W., Nguyen, C.T.H., Harris, D.M., and Lee, W.-N.P., Nutrient–gene interaction: Metabolic genotype–phenotype relationship, *J. Nutr.* **135,** 2016s–3020s (2005).

Hanson, R.W., The role of ATP in metabolism, *Biochem. Ed.* **17,** 86–92 (1989). [Provides an excellent explanation of why ATP is an energy transducer rather than an energy store.]

Kim, M.-S. *et al.,* A draft map of the human proteome, *Nature* **509,** 575–581 (2014), *and* Wilhelm, M. *et al.,* Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the human proteome, *Nature* **509,** 582–587 (2014).

Lassila, J.K., Zalatan, J.G., and Herschlag, D., Biological phosphoryl transfer reactions: understanding mechanism and catalysis, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80,** 669–702 (2011).

Schulman, R.G. and Rothman, D.L., ^{13}C NMR of intermediary metabolism: Implications for systematic physiology, *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **63,** 15–48 (2001).

Smolin, L.A. and Grosvenor, M.B, *Nutrition: Science and Applications* (3rd ed.), Wiley (2013). [A good text for those interested in pursuing nutritional aspects of metabolism.]

Staughton,, R.B., Applications of DNA microarrays in biology, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74,** 53–82 (2005).

Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* http://www.ommbid.com/ [Most chapters in this encyclopedic work include a review of a normal metabolic process that is disrupted by disease; access to this website requires a subscription (often available through university and college libraries).]

Westheimer, F.H., Why nature chose phosphates, *Science* **235,** 1173–1178 (1987).

Zenobi, R., Single-cell metabolomics: analytical and biological perspectives, *Science* **342,** 1243259 (2013). DOI: 10.1126/science.1243259. [This is a Digital Object Identifier (DOI).]

CHAPTER 15

Glucose Catabolism

Chapter Contents

1 **Overview of Glycolysis**

2 **The Reactions of Glycolysis**
- A Hexokinase Uses the First ATP
- B Phosphoglucose Isomerase Converts Glucose-6-Phosphate to Fructose-6-Phosphate
- C Phosphofructokinase Uses the Second ATP
- D Aldolase Converts a 6-Carbon Compound to Two 3-Carbon Compounds
- E Triose Phosphate Isomerase Interconverts Dihydroxyacetone Phosphate and Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate
- F Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Forms the First "High-Energy" Intermediate
- G Phosphoglycerate Kinase Generates the First ATP
- H Phosphoglycerate Mutase Interconverts 3-Phosphoglycerate and 2-Phosphoglycerate
- I Enolase Forms the Second "High-Energy" Intermediate
- J Pyruvate Kinase Generates the Second ATP

3 **Fermentation: The Anaerobic Fate of Pyruvate**
- A Homolactic Fermentation Converts Pyruvate to Lactate
- B Alcoholic Fermentation Converts Pyruvate to Ethanol and CO_2
- C Fermentation Is Energetically Favorable

4 **Regulation of Glycolysis**
- A Phosphofructokinase Is the Major Flux-Controlling Enzyme of Glycolysis in Muscle
- B Substrate Cycling Fine-Tunes Flux Control

5 **Metabolism of Hexoses Other than Glucose**
- A Fructose Is Converted to Fructose-6-Phosphate or Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate
- B Galactose Is Converted to Glucose-6-Phosphate
- C Mannose Is Converted to Fructose-6-Phosphate

6 **The Pentose Phosphate Pathway**
- A Oxidative Reactions Produce NADPH in Stage 1
- B Isomerization and Epimerization of Ribulose-5-Phosphate Occur in Stage 2
- C Stage 3 Involves Carbon–Carbon Bond Cleavage and Formation
- D The Pentose Phosphate Pathway Must Be Regulated

Eye of Science/Photo Researchers, Inc.

The protozoan *Trypanosoma brucei* (*purple*), which causes trypanosomiasis, or sleeping sickness, relies almost entirely on glucose catabolism to extract free energy while it travels through the bloodstream. Although the parasite is a eukaryote, it differs enough from its host that its glycolytic enzymes offer targets for developing drugs that will not affect human enzymes.

Glucose is a major source of metabolic energy in many cells. The fermentation (anaerobic breakdown) of glucose to ethanol and CO_2 by yeast has been exploited for many centuries in baking and brewing. However, scientific investigation of the chemistry of this catabolic pathway began only in the mid-19th century with the demonstration, by Louis Pasteur, that fermentation is carried out by microorganisms. Nearly a century would pass before the complete pathway was elucidated. During that interval, several important features of the pathway came to light:

1. In 1897, Eduard Buchner showed that a cell-free extract of yeast could ferment glucose. This discovery refuted the then widely held belief that fermentation, and every other biological process, was mediated by some sort of "vital force" inherent in living matter and thereby brought fermentation within the province of chemistry.
2. In 1905, Arthur Harden and William Young discovered that phosphate is required for glucose fermentation. They also discovered that a cell-free yeast extract can be separated, by dialysis, into two fractions that are both required for fermentation: a nondialyzable heat-labile fraction they named **zymase;** and a dialyzable, heat-stable fraction they called **cozymase.** It was eventually shown by others that zymase is a mixture of enzymes and that cozymase is a mixture of coenzymes such as NAD^+, ATP, and ADP, as well as metal ions.
3. Certain reagents, such as iodoacetic acid and fluoride ion, inhibit the formation of pathway products, thereby causing pathway intermediates to accumulate. Different substances caused the buildup of different intermediates and thereby revealed the sequence of molecular interconversions.
4. Studies of how different organisms break down glucose indicated that, with few exceptions, all of them do so in the same way.

Box 15-1 Pathways of Discovery **Otto Warburg and Studies of Metabolism**

Otto Warburg (1883–1970) One of the great figures in biochemistry—by virtue of his own contributions and his influence on younger researchers—is the German biochemist Otto Warburg. His long career spanned a period during which studies of whole organisms and crude extracts gave way to molecular explanations of biological structure and function. Like others of his generation, he earned a doctorate in chemistry at an early age and went on to obtain a medical degree, although he spent the remainder of his career in scientific research rather than in patient care. He became interested primarily in three subjects related to the chemistry of oxygen and carbon dioxide: respiration, photosynthesis, and cancer.

One of Warburg's first accomplishments was to develop a technique for studying metabolic reactions in thin slices of animal tissue. This method produced more reliable results than the alternative practice of chopping or mincing tissues (such manipulations tend to release lysosomal enzymes that degrade enzymes and other macromolecules). Warburg was also largely responsible for refining manometry, the measurement of gas pressure, as a technique for analyzing the consumption and production of O_2 and CO_2 by living tissues.

Warburg received a Nobel prize in 1931 for his discovery of the catalytic role of iron porphyrins (heme groups) in biological oxidation (the subject was the reaction carried out by the enzyme complex now known as cytochrome *c* oxidase; Section 18-2F). Warburg also identified nicotinamide as an active part of some enzymes. In 1944, he was offered a second Nobel prize for his work with enzymes, but he was unable to accept the award, owing to Hitler's decree that Germans could not accept Nobel prizes. In fact, Warburg's apparent allegiance to the Nazi regime incensed some of his colleagues in other countries and may have contributed to their resistance to some of his more controversial scientific pronouncements. In any case, Warburg was not known for his warm personality. He was never a teacher and tended to recruit younger research assistants who were expected to move on after a few years. Nevertheless, several of these individuals went on to win their own Nobel prizes.

In addition to the techniques he developed, which were widely adopted, and a number of insights into enzyme action, Warburg formulated some wide-reaching theories about the growth of cancer cells. He showed that cancer cells could live and develop even in the absence of oxygen. Moreover, he came to believe that anaerobiosis triggered the development of cancer, and he rejected the notion that viruses could cause cancer, a principle that had already been demonstrated in animals but not in humans. In the eyes of many, Warburg was guilty of equating the absence of evidence with the evidence of absence in the matter of virus-induced human cancer. Nevertheless, Warburg's observations of cancer cell metabolism, which is generally characterized by a high rate of glycolysis, were sound. Even today, the oddities of tumor metabolism offer opportunities for chemotherapy. Warburg's dedication to his research in cancer and other areas is revealed by the fact that he continued working in his laboratory until just a few days before his death at age 87.

Warburg, O., On the origin of cancer cells, *Science* **123,** 309–314 (1956).

The efforts of many investigators came to fruition in 1940, when the complete pathway of glucose breakdown was described. This pathway, which is named **glycolysis** (Greek: *glykus,* sweet + *lysis,* loosening), is alternately known as the **Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas pathway** to commemorate the work of Gustav Embden, Otto Meyerhof, and Jacob Parnas in its elucidation. The discovery of glycolysis came at a time when other significant inroads were being made in the area of metabolism (e.g., Box 15-1).

Glycolysis, which is among the most completely understood biochemical pathways, is a sequence of 10 enzymatic reactions in which one molecule of glucose is converted to two molecules of the three-carbon compound pyruvate with the concomitant generation of 2 ATP. It plays a key role in energy metabolism by providing a significant portion of the free energy used by most organisms and by preparing glucose and other compounds for further oxidative degradation. Thus, it is fitting that we begin our discussion of specific metabolic pathways by considering glycolysis. We examine the sequence of reactions by which glucose is degraded, along with some of the relevant enzyme mechanisms. We will then examine the features that influence glycolytic flux and the ultimate fate of its products. Finally, we will discuss the catabolism of other hexoses and the **pentose phosphate pathway**, an alternative pathway for glucose catabolism that functions to provide biosynthetic precursors.

1 Overview of Glycolysis

KEY CONCEPTS

- Glycolysis involves the breakdown of glucose to pyruvate while using the free energy released in the process to synthesize ATP from ADP and P_i.
- The 10-reaction sequence of glycolysis is divided into two stages: energy investment and energy recovery.

FIG. 15-1 **Glycolysis.** In its first stage (Reactions 1–5), one molecule of glucose is converted to two glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) molecules in a series of reactions that consumes 2 ATP. In the second stage of glycolysis (Reactions 6–10), the two glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate molecules are converted to two pyruvate molecules, generating 4 ATP and 2 NADH. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Without looking at the text, write the overall equation for glycolysis.

Before beginning our detailed discussion of glycolysis, let us first take a moment to survey the overall pathway as it fits in with animal metabolism as a whole. Glucose usually appears in the blood as a result of the breakdown of polysaccharides (e.g., liver glycogen or dietary starch and glycogen) or from its synthesis from noncarbohydrate precursors (**gluconeogenesis**; Section 16-4). Glucose enters most cells by specific carriers that transport it from the exterior of the cell into the cytosol (Section 10-2E). The enzymes of glycolysis are located in the cytosol, where they are only loosely associated, if at all, with each other or with other cell structures.

Glycolysis converts glucose to two C_3 units (pyruvate). The free energy released in the process is harvested to synthesize ATP from ADP and P_i. Thus, glycolysis is a pathway of chemically coupled phosphorylation reactions (Section 14-2B). The 10 reactions of glycolysis are diagrammed in **Fig. 15-1** (*opposite*). Note that ATP is used early in the pathway to synthesize phosphorylated compounds (Reactions 1 and 3) but is later resynthesized twice over (Reactions 7 and 10). Glycolysis can therefore be divided into two stages:

Stage I Energy investment (Reactions 1–5). In this preparatory stage, the hexose glucose is phosphorylated and cleaved to yield two molecules of the triose **glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate.** This process consumes 2 ATP.

Stage II Energy recovery (Reactions 6–10). The two molecules of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate are converted to pyruvate, with concomitant generation of 4 ATP. Glycolysis therefore has a net "profit" of 2 ATP per glucose: Stage I consumes 2 ATP; Stage II produces 4 ATP.

The phosphoryl groups that are initially transferred from ATP to the hexose do not immediately result in "high-energy" compounds. However, subsequent enzymatic transformations convert these "low-energy" products to compounds with high phosphoryl group-transfer potentials, which are capable of phosphorylating ADP to form ATP. The overall reaction is

$$\text{Glucose} + 2\ NAD^+ + 2\ ADP + 2\ P_i \longrightarrow 2\ \text{pyruvate} + 2\ NADH + 2\ ATP + 2\ H_2O + 4\ H^+$$

Hence, the NADH formed in the process must be continually reoxidized to keep the pathway supplied with its primary oxidizing agent, NAD^+. In Section 15-3, we examine how organisms do so under aerobic or anaerobic conditions.

CHECKPOINT

- What happens during the two phases of glycolysis?
- How many ATP are invested and how many are recovered from each molecule of glucose that follows the glycolytic pathway?
- Compare the oxidation states of glucose and pyruvate. Explain why glycolysis generates NADH.

2 The Reactions of Glycolysis

KEY CONCEPTS

- The 10 steps of glycolysis can be described in terms of their substrates, products, and enzymatic mechanisms.
- Glycolytic enzymes catalyze phosphorylation reactions, isomerizations, carbon–carbon bond cleavage, and dehydration.
- ATP is consumed in Steps 1 and 3 but regenerated in Steps 7 and 10 for a net yield of 2 ATP per glucose.
- For each glucose, 2 NADH are produced in Step 6.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Glycolysis Overview

In this section, we examine the reactions of glycolysis more closely, describing the properties of the individual enzymes and their mechanisms. As we study the individual glycolytic enzymes, we will encounter many of the catalytic mechanisms described in Section 11-3.

A Hexokinase Uses the First ATP

Reaction 1 of glycolysis is the transfer of a phosphoryl group from ATP to glucose to form **glucose-6-phosphate (G6P)** in a reaction catalyzed by **hexokinase.**

A kinase is an enzyme that transfers phosphoryl groups between ATP and a metabolite (Section 14-2C). The metabolite that serves as the phosphoryl group acceptor is indicated in the prefix of the kinase name. Hexokinase is a ubiquitous, relatively nonspecific enzyme that catalyzes the phosphorylation of hexoses such as D-glucose, D-mannose, and D-fructose. Liver cells also contain the isozyme **glucokinase,** which catalyzes the same reaction but which is primarily involved in maintaining blood glucose levels (Section 22-1D).

The second substrate for hexokinase, as for other kinases, is an Mg^{2+}–ATP complex. In fact, uncomplexed ATP is a potent competitive inhibitor of hexokinase. Although we do not always explicitly mention the participation of Mg^{2+}, it is essential for kinase activity. The Mg^{2+} shields the negative charges of the ATP's α- and β- or β- and γ-phosphate oxygen atoms, making the γ-phosphorus atom more accessible for nucleophilic attack by the C6-OH group of glucose:

Comparison of the X-ray structures of yeast hexokinase and the glucose–hexokinase complex indicates that *glucose induces a large conformational change in hexokinase* (Fig. 15-2). The two lobes that form its active site cleft swing together by up to 8 Å to engulf the glucose in a manner that suggests the closing of jaws. *This movement places the ATP close to the —C6H$_2$OH group of glucose and excludes water from the active site* (*catalysis by proximity effects;* Section 11-3D). If the catalytic and reacting groups were in the proper position for reaction while the enzyme was in the open position (Fig. 15-2*a*), ATP hydrolysis (i.e., phosphoryl group transfer to water, which is thermodynamically favored; Fig. 14-7*a*) would almost certainly be the dominant reaction.

Clearly, the substrate-induced conformational change in hexokinase is responsible for the enzyme's specificity. In addition, the active site polarity is reduced by exclusion of water, thereby facilitating the nucleophilic reaction. Other kinases have the same deeply clefted structure as hexokinase and undergo conformational changes on binding their substrates (e.g., protein kinase A, Fig. 13-21; adenylate kinase, Fig. 14-10).

FIG. 15-2 Substrate-induced conformational changes in yeast hexokinase. The enzyme is represented by a transparent molecular surface with its backbone shown as a purple or yellow ribbon. (*a*) Free hexokinase. Note its prominent bilobal appearance. (*b*) Hexokinase in complex with glucose drawn in space-filling form with C green and O red. In the enzyme–substrate complex, the two domains have swung together by a 17° rotation to engulf the substrate. [Based on an X-ray structure by Igor Polikarpov, Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Brazil. PDBids 1IG8 and 3B8A.]

B Phosphoglucose Isomerase Converts Glucose-6-Phosphate to Fructose-6-Phosphate

Reaction 2 of glycolysis is the conversion of G6P to **fructose-6-phosphate (F6P)** by **phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI).**

Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) **Fructose-6-phosphate (F6P)**

This is the isomerization of an aldose to a ketose.

Since G6P and F6P both exist predominantly in their cyclic forms, the reaction requires ring opening followed by isomerization and subsequent ring closure (the interconversions of cyclic and linear forms of hexoses are shown in Fig. 8-3).

A proposed reaction mechanism for the PGI reaction involves general acid–base catalysis by the enzyme (**Fig. 15-3**):

Step 1 The substrate binds.

Step 2 An enzymatic acid, probably the ε-amino group of a conserved Lys residue, catalyzes ring opening.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-3 The reaction mechanism of phosphoglucose isomerase. The active site catalytic residues, BH^+ and B′, are thought to be Lys and His, respectively. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Which steps of the mechanism would be slowed by a change in pH?

Step 3 A base, thought to be a His imidazole group, abstracts the acidic proton from C2 to form a *cis*-enediolate intermediate (the proton is acidic because it is α to a carbonyl group).

Step 4 The proton is replaced on C1 in an overall proton transfer. Protons abstracted by bases rapidly exchange with solvent protons. Nevertheless, Irwin Rose confirmed this step by demonstrating that [2-^{3}H]G6P is occasionally converted to [1-^{3}H]F6P by intramolecular proton transfer before the ^{3}H has had a chance to exchange with the medium.

Step 5 The ring closes to form the product, which is subsequently released to yield free enzyme, thereby completing the catalytic cycle.

C Phosphofructokinase Uses the Second ATP

In Reaction 3 of glycolysis, **phosphofructokinase (PFK)** phosphorylates F6P to yield **fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP** or **F1,6P)**.

Fructose-6-phosphate (F6P) **Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP)**

(The product is a *bis*phosphate rather than a *di*phosphate because its two phosphate groups are not attached directly to each other.)

The PFK reaction is similar to the hexokinase reaction. The enzyme catalyzes the nucleophilic attack by the C1-OH group of F6P on the electrophilic γ-phosphorus atom of the Mg^{2+}–ATP complex.

Phosphofructokinase plays a central role in control of glycolysis because it catalyzes one of the pathway's rate-determining reactions. In many organisms, the activity of PFK is enhanced allosterically by several substances, including AMP, and inhibited allosterically by several other substances, including ATP and citrate. The regulatory properties of PFK are examined in Section 15-4A.

D Aldolase Converts a 6-Carbon Compound to Two 3-Carbon Compounds

Aldolase catalyzes Reaction 4 of glycolysis, the cleavage of FBP to form the two trioses **glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP)** and **dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP):**

Dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP)

Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP)

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP)

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-4 The mechanism of base-catalyzed aldol cleavage. Aldol condensation occurs by the reverse mechanism. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Identify the aldehyde and alcohol in the aldol.**

Note that at this point in the pathway, the atom numbering system changes. Atoms 1, 2, and 3 of glucose become atoms 3, 2, and 1 of DHAP, thus reversing order. Atoms 4, 5, and 6 become atoms 1, 2, and 3 of GAP.

Reaction 4 is an **aldol cleavage (retro aldol condensation)** whose nonenzymatic base-catalyzed mechanism is shown in Fig. 15-4. The **enolate** intermediate is stabilized by resonance, as a result of the electron-withdrawing character of the carbonyl oxygen atom. Note that aldol cleavage between C3 and C4 of FBP requires a carbonyl at C2 and a hydroxyl at C4. Hence, the "logic" of Reaction 2 in the glycolytic pathway, the isomerization of G6P to F6P, is clear. Aldol cleavage of G6P would yield products of unequal carbon chain length, while *aldol cleavage of FBP results in two interconvertible C_3 compounds that can therefore enter a common degradative pathway.*

Aldol cleavage is catalyzed by stabilizing its enolate intermediate through increased electron delocalization. In animals and plants, the reaction occurs as follows (Fig. 15-5):

Step 1 The substrate FBP binds to the enzyme.

Step 2 The FBP carbonyl group reacts with the ε-amino group of the active site Lys to form an iminium cation, that is, a protonated Schiff base.

Step 3 The C3–C4 bond is cleaved, forming an enamine intermediate and releasing GAP. The iminium ion is a better electron-withdrawing group than the oxygen atom of the precursor carbonyl group. Thus, catalysis occurs because the enamine intermediate (Fig. 15-5, Step 3) is more stable than the corresponding enolate intermediate of the base-catalyzed aldol cleavage reaction (Fig. 15-4, Step 2).

Step 4 Protonation and tautomerization of the enamine yield the iminium cation from the Schiff base.

Step 5 Hydrolysis of the iminium cation releases DHAP and regenerates the free enzyme.

E Triose Phosphate Isomerase Interconverts Dihydroxyacetone Phosphate and Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate

Only one of the products of the aldol cleavage reaction, GAP, continues along the glycolytic pathway (Fig. 15-1). However, DHAP and GAP are ketose–aldose isomers (like F6P and G6P). They are interconverted by an isomerization reaction with an **enediol** (or **enediolate**) **intermediate**. **Triose phosphate**

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-5 **The enzymatic mechanism of aldolase.** See the text for details. **WPLS** **See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

isomerase (TIM) catalyzes this process in Reaction 5 of glycolysis, the final reaction of Stage I:

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (an aldose) **Enediol intermediate** **Dihydroxyacetone phosphate (a ketose)**

Support for this reaction scheme comes from the use of the transition state analogs **phosphoglycohydroxamate** and **2-phosphoglycolate,** stable compounds whose geometry resembles that of the proposed enediol or enediolate intermediate:

Phosphoglycohydroxamate **Proposed enediolate intermediate** **2-Phosphoglycolate**

Enzymes catalyze reactions by binding the transition state complex more tightly than the substrate (Section 11-3E), and, in fact, phosphoglycohydroxamate and 2-phosphoglycolate bind 155- and 100-fold more tightly to TIM than does either GAP or DHAP.

Glu 165 and His 95 Act as General Acids and Bases. Mechanistic considerations suggest that the conversion of GAP to the enediol intermediate is catalyzed by a general base, which abstracts a proton from C2 of GAP, and by a general acid, which protonates its carbonyl oxygen atom. X-Ray studies reveal that the Glu 165 side chain of TIM is ideally situated to abstract the C2 proton from GAP (Fig. 15-6). In fact, the mutagenic replacement of Glu 165 by Asp, which X-ray studies show withdraws the carboxylate group only ~1 Å farther away from the substrate than its position in the wild-type enzyme, reduces TIM's catalytic activity 1000-fold. X-Ray studies similarly indicate that His 95 is hydrogen bonded to and hence is properly positioned to protonate GAP's carbonyl oxygen. The positively charged side chain of Lys 12 is thought to electrostatically stabilize the negatively charged transition state in the reaction. In the conversion of the enediol intermediate to DHAP, Glu 165 acts as a general acid to protonate C1 and His 95 acts as a general base to abstract the proton from the OH group, thereby restoring the catalytic groups to their initial protonation states.

FIG. 15-6 Ribbon diagram of yeast TIM in complex with its transition state analog 2-phosphoglycolate. A single subunit of this homodimeric enzyme is viewed roughly along the axis of its α/β barrel. The enzyme's flexible loop is cyan, and the side chains of the catalytic Lys, His, and Glu residues are purple, magenta, and red, respectively. The 2-phosphoglycolate is represented by a space-filling model colored according to atom type (C green, O red, P orange). [Based on an X-ray structure by Gregory Petsko, Brandeis University. PDBid 2YPI.]

? **Explain why the cyan portion of the polypeptide must be flexible.**

A Flexible Loop Closes over the Active Site. The comparison of the X-ray structure of TIM (Fig. 6-30*c*) with that of the enzyme–2-phosphoglycolate complex reveals that when substrate binds to TIM, a conserved 10-residue loop closes over the active site like a hinged lid, in a movement that involves main chain shifts of >7 Å (Fig. 15-6). A four-residue segment of the loop makes a hydrogen bond with the phosphate group of the substrate. Mutagenic excision of these four residues does not significantly distort the protein, so substrate binding is not greatly impaired. However, the catalytic power of the mutant enzyme is reduced 10^5-fold, and it binds phosphoglycohydroxamate only weakly. Evidently, loop closure preferentially stabilizes the enzymatic reaction's enediol-like transition state.

Methylglyoxal

Loop closure in the TIM reaction also supplies a striking example of the so-called **stereoelectronic control** that enzymes can exert on a reaction. In solution, the enediol intermediate readily breaks down with the elimination of the phosphate at C3 to form the toxic compound **methylglyoxal** (*at left*). On the enzyme's surface, however, that reaction is prevented because the phosphate group is held by the flexible loop in a position that disfavors phosphate elimination. In the mutant enzyme lacking the flexible loop, the enediol is able to escape: ~85% of the enediol intermediate is released into solution where it rapidly decomposes to methylglyoxal and P_i. Thus, the flexible loop closure ensures that substrate is efficiently transformed to product.

α/β Barrel Enzymes May Have Evolved by Divergent Evolution. TIM was the first protein found to contain an α/β barrel (also known as a TIM barrel), a cylinder of eight parallel β strands surrounded by eight parallel α helices (Fig. 6-30*c*). This striking structural motif has since been found in numerous different proteins, essentially all of which are enzymes (including the glycolytic enzymes aldolase, enolase, and pyruvate kinase). Intriguingly, the active sites of nearly all known α/β barrel enzymes are located in the mouth of the barrel at the end that contains the C-terminal ends of the β strands, although there is no obvious structural rationale for this. Despite the fact that few of these proteins exhibit significant sequence similarity, it has been postulated that all of them have evolved from a common ancestor (divergent evolution). However, it has also been argued that the α/β barrel is a particularly stable arrangement that nature has independently discovered on several occasions (convergent evolution).

FIG. 15-7 Schematic diagram of the first stage of glycolysis. In this series of five reactions, a hexose is phosphorylated, isomerized, phosphorylated again, and then cleaved to two interconvertible triose phosphates. Two ATP are consumed in the process.

? Without looking at the text, name the enzyme that catalyzes each step.

Triose Phosphate Isomerase Is a Catalytically Perfect Enzyme. Jeremy Knowles demonstrated that TIM has achieved **catalytic perfection**. By this it is meant that the rate of the bimolecular reaction between enzyme and substrate is diffusion controlled, so product formation occurs as rapidly as enzyme and substrate can collide in solution. Any increase in TIM's catalytic efficiency therefore would not increase its reaction rate.

GAP and DHAP are interconverted so efficiently that the concentrations of the two metabolites are maintained at their equilibrium values: $K = [\text{GAP}]/[\text{DHAP}] = 4.73 \times 10^{-2}$. At equilibrium, [DHAP] ≫ [GAP]. However, under the steady state conditions in a cell, GAP is consumed in the succeeding reactions of the glycolytic pathway. *As GAP is siphoned off in this manner, more DHAP is converted to GAP to maintain the equilibrium ratio.* In effect, DHAP follows GAP into the second stage of glycolysis, so a single pathway accounts for the metabolism of both products of the aldolase reaction.

Taking Stock of Glycolysis So Far. At this point in the glycolytic pathway, one molecule of glucose has been transformed into two molecules of GAP. This completes the first stage of glycolysis (**Fig. 15-7**). Note that 2 ATP have been consumed in generating the phosphorylated intermediates. This energy investment has not yet paid off, but with a little chemical artistry, the "low-energy" GAP can be converted to "high-energy" compounds whose free energies of hydrolysis can be coupled to ATP synthesis in the second stage of glycolysis.

F Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Forms the First "High-Energy" Intermediate

Reaction 6 of glycolysis is the oxidation and phosphorylation of GAP by NAD^+ and P_i as catalyzed by **glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase** (**GAPDH;** Fig. 6-31).

This is the first instance of the chemical artistry alluded to above. *In this reaction, aldehyde oxidation, an exergonic reaction, drives the synthesis of the "high-energy" acyl phosphate* ***1,3-bisphosphoglycerate*** **(*1,3-BPG*).** Recall that acyl phosphates are compounds with high phosphoryl group-transfer potential (Section 14-2C).

Several key enzymological experiments have contributed to the elucidation of the GAPDH reaction mechanism:

1. GAPDH is inactivated by alkylation with stoichiometric amounts of iodoacetate. The presence of **carboxymethylcysteine** in the hydrolysate of the resulting alkylated enzyme (Fig. 15-8*a*) suggests that GAPDH has an active site Cys sulfhydryl group.
2. GAPDH quantitatively transfers ^3H from C1 of GAP to NAD^+ (Fig. 15-8*b*), thereby establishing that this reaction occurs via direct hydride transfer.

FIG. 15-8 Reactions that were used to elucidate the enzymatic mechanism of GAPDH. (*a*) The reaction of iodoacetate with an active site Cys residue. (*b*) Quantitative tritium transfer from substrate to NAD^+. (*c*) The enzyme-catalyzed exchange of ^{32}P from phosphate to acetyl phosphate.

3. GAPDH catalyzes exchange of ^{32}P between P_i and the product analog **acetyl phosphate** (Fig. 15-8*c*). Such isotope exchange reactions are indicative of an acyl–enzyme intermediate; that is, the acetyl group forms a covalent complex with the enzyme, similar to the acyl–enzyme intermediate in the serine protease reaction mechanism (Section 11-5C).

David Trentham has proposed a mechanism for GAPDH based on this information and the results of kinetic studies (Fig. 15-9):

Step 1 GAP binds to the enzyme.

Step 2 The essential sulfhydryl group, acting as a nucleophile, attacks the aldehyde to form a **thiohemiacetal.**

Step 3 The thiohemiacetal undergoes oxidation to an **acyl thioester** by direct hydride transfer to NAD^+. This intermediate, which has been isolated, has a large free energy of hydrolysis. Thus, *the energy of aldehyde oxidation has not been dissipated but has been conserved through the synthesis of the thioester and the reduction of NAD^+ to NADH.*

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-9 The enzymatic mechanism of GAPDH. See the text for details. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Step 4 P_i binds to the enzyme–thioester–NADH complex.

Step 5 The thioester intermediate undergoes nucleophilic attack by P_i to form the "high-energy" mixed anhydride 1,3-BPG product (an acyl phosphate), which then dissociates from the enzyme followed by replacement of NADH by another molecule of NAD^+ to regenerate the active enzyme.

G Phosphoglycerate Kinase Generates the First ATP

Reaction 7 of the glycolytic pathway yields ATP together with **3-phosphoglycerate (3PG)** in a reaction catalyzed by **phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK).**

1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate (1,3-BPG) **3-Phosphoglycerate (3PG)**

(Note that this enzyme is called a "kinase" because the reverse reaction is phosphoryl group transfer from ATP to 3PG.)

PGK (Fig. 15-10) is conspicuously bilobal in appearance. The Mg^{2+}–ADP-binding site is located on one domain, ~10 Å from the 1,3-BPG-binding site, which is on the other domain. Physical measurements suggest that, on substrate binding, the two domains of PGK swing together to permit the substrates to react in a water-free environment, as occurs in hexokinase (Section 15-2A). Indeed, the appearance of PGK is remarkably similar to that of hexokinase (Fig. 15-2), although the structures of the proteins are otherwise unrelated.

The GAPDH and PGK Reactions Are Coupled. As described in Section 14-2B, a slightly unfavorable reaction can be coupled to a highly favorable reaction so both reactions proceed in the forward direction. In the case of the sixth and seventh

FIG. 15-10 X-Ray structure of yeast phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) in complex with 3PG and ATP. The enzyme is represented by a transparent molecular surface with its embedded ribbon diagram colored with the N-terminal domain yellow and the C-terminal domain purple. The Mg^{2+}–ATP and 3PG are drawn in space-filling form colored according to atom type (ATP C green, 3PG C cyan, N blue, O red, P orange, and Mg^{2+} pale green). Note the similar overall appearance of PGK and hexokinase (Fig. 15-2). [Based on an X-ray structure by Herman Watson, University of Bristol, U.K. PDBid 3PGK.]

reactions of glycolysis, *1,3-BPG is the common intermediate whose consumption in the PGK reaction "pulls" the GAPDH reaction forward.* The energetics of the overall reaction pair are

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{GAP} + \text{P}_i + \text{NAD}^+ \longrightarrow \text{1,3-BPG} + \text{NADH} & \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +6.7\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \text{1,3-BPG} + \text{ADP} \longrightarrow \text{3PG} + \text{ATP} & \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -18.8\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \\ \hline \text{GAP} + \text{P}_i + \text{NAD}^+ + \text{ADP} \longrightarrow \text{3PG} + \text{NADH} + \text{ATP} & \\ & \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -12.1\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \end{array}$$

Although the GAPDH reaction is endergonic, the strongly exergonic nature of the transfer of a phosphoryl group from 1,3-BPG to ADP makes the overall synthesis of NADH and ATP from GAP, P_i, NAD^+, and ADP favorable. *This production of ATP, which does not involve O_2, is an example of substrate-level phosphorylation.* The subsequent oxidation by O_2 of the NADH produced in this reaction generates additional ATP by oxidative phosphorylation, as we will see in Section 18-3.

H Phosphoglycerate Mutase Interconverts 3-Phosphoglycerate and 2-Phosphoglycerate

In Reaction 8 of glycolysis, 3PG is converted to **2-phosphoglycerate (2PG)** by **phosphoglycerate mutase (PGM):**

3-Phosphoglycerate (3PG) **2-Phosphoglycerate (2PG)**

A **mutase** catalyzes the transfer of a functional group from one position to another on a molecule. This more or less energetically neutral reaction is necessary preparation for the next reaction in glycolysis, which generates a "high-energy" phosphoryl compound.

At first sight, the reaction catalyzed by phosphoglycerate mutase appears to be a simple intramolecular phosphoryl group transfer. This is not the case, however. The active enzyme has a phosphoryl group at its active site, attached to His 8.

Phospho-His residue

The phosphoryl group is transferred to the substrate to form a bisphospho intermediate. This intermediate then rephosphorylates the enzyme to form the product and regenerate the active phosphoenzyme. The enzyme's X-ray structure shows the proximity of His 8 to the substrate (Fig. 15-11).

Catalysis by phosphoglycerate mutase occurs as follows (Fig. 15-12):

Step 1 3PG binds to the phosphoenzyme in which His 8 is phosphorylated.

Step 2 The enzyme's phosphoryl group is transferred to the substrate, resulting in an intermediate 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate–enzyme complex.

Step 3 The enzyme is rephosphorylated by the substrate's 3-phospho group.

Step 4 Release of the product 2PG regenerates the phosphoenzyme.

FIG. 15-11 The active site region of yeast phosphoglycerate mutase (dephospho form). The substrate, 3PG, which is drawn in ball-and-stick form with C green, O red, and P orange, binds to an ionic pocket whose side chains are drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, and O red. His 8 is phosphorylated in the active enzyme. [Based on an X-ray structure by Jennifer Littlechild, University of Exeter, U.K. PDBid 1QHF.]

? **Identify the ionic groups in the substrate binding pocket.**

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-12 A proposed reaction mechanism for phosphoglycerate mutase. The active form of the enzyme contains a phospho-His residue at the active site. See the text for details. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

The phosphoryl group of 3PG therefore ends up on C2 of the next 3PG to undergo reaction.

Occasionally, 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate (2,3-BPG) formed in Step 2 of the reaction dissociates from the dephosphoenzyme, leaving it in an inactive form. Trace amounts of 2,3-BPG must therefore always be available to regenerate the active phosphoenzyme by the reverse reaction. 2,3-BPG also specifically binds to deoxyhemoglobin, thereby decreasing its oxygen affinity (Section 7-1D). Consequently, erythrocytes require much more 2,3-BPG (5 mM) than the trace amounts that are used to prime phosphoglycerate mutase (Box 15-2).

Enolase Forms the Second "High-Energy" Intermediate

In Reaction 9 of glycolysis, 2PG is dehydrated to **phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)** in a reaction catalyzed by **enolase:**

2-Phosphoglycerate (2PG) **Phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP)**

Box 15-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Synthesis of 2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate in Erythrocytes and Its Effect on the Oxygen Carrying Capacity of the Blood

The specific binding of 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate (2,3-BPG) to deoxyhemoglobin decreases the oxygen affinity of hemoglobin (Section 7-1D). Erythrocytes synthesize and degrade 2,3-BPG by a detour from the glycolytic pathway.

Bisphosphoglycerate mutase catalyzes the transfer of a phosphoryl group from C1 to C2 of 1,3-BPG. The resulting 2,3-BPG is hydrolyzed to 3PG by **2,3-bisphosphoglycerate phosphatase.** The 3PG then continues through the glycolytic pathway.

The level of available 2,3-BPG regulates hemoglobin's oxygen affinity. Consequently, inherited defects of glycolysis in erythrocytes alter the ability of the blood to carry oxygen, as is indicated by the oxygen-saturation curve of its hemoglobin.

For example, in hexokinase-deficient erythrocytes, the concentrations of all the glycolytic intermediates are low (since hexokinase catalyzes the first step of glycolysis), thereby resulting in a diminished 2,3-BPG concentration and an increased hemoglobin oxygen affinity (*green curve*). Conversely, a deficiency in pyruvate kinase (which catalyzes the final reaction of glycolysis; Fig. 15-1) decreases hemoglobin's oxygen affinity (*purple curve*) through an increase in 2,3-BPG concentration resulting from this blockade. Thus, although erythrocytes, which lack nuclei and other organelles, have only a minimal metabolism, this metabolism is physiologically significant.

[Oxygen-saturation curves after Delivoria-Papadopoulos, M., Oski, F.A., and Gottlieb, A.J., *Science* **165,** 601 (1969).]

The enzyme forms a complex with a divalent cation such as Mg^{2+} before the substrate binds. Fluoride ion inhibits glycolysis by blocking enolase activity (F^- was one of the metabolic inhibitors used in elucidating the glycolytic pathway). In the presence of P_i, F^- blocks substrate binding to enolase by forming a bound complex with Mg^{2+} at the enzyme's active site. Enolase's substrate, 2PG, therefore builds up, and, through the action of PGM, 3PG also builds up.

J Pyruvate Kinase Generates the Second ATP

In Reaction 10 of glycolysis, its final reaction, **pyruvate kinase (PK)** couples the free energy of PEP cleavage to the synthesis of ATP during the formation of pyruvate:

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-13 The mechanism of the reaction catalyzed by pyruvate kinase. See the text for details. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

The PK reaction, which requires both monovalent (K^+) and divalent (Mg^{2+}) cations, occurs as follows (**Fig. 15-13**):

Step 1 A β-phosphoryl oxygen of ADP nucleophilically attacks the PEP phosphorus atom, thereby displacing **enolpyruvate** and forming ATP.

Step 2 Enolpyruvate tautomerizes to pyruvate.

The PK reaction is highly exergonic, supplying more than enough free energy to drive ATP synthesis (another example of substrate-level phosphorylation). At this point, the "logic" of the enolase reaction becomes clear. The standard free energy of hydrolysis of 2PG is only -16 kJ · mol^{-1}, which is insufficient to drive ATP synthesis from ADP ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = 30.5$ kJ · mol^{-1}). However, the dehydration of 2PG results in the formation of a "high-energy" compound capable of such synthesis. *The high phosphoryl group-transfer potential of PEP reflects the large release of free energy on converting the product enolpyruvate to its keto tautomer.* Consider the hydrolysis of PEP as a two-step reaction (**Fig. 15-14**). The tautomerization step supplies considerably more free energy than the phosphoryl group transfer step.

FIG. 15-14 The hydrolysis of PEP. The reaction is broken down into two steps, hydrolysis and tautomerization. The overall $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ value is much more negative than that required to provide the $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for ATP synthesis from ADP and P_i.

Assessing Stage II of Glycolysis. The energy investment of the first stage of glycolysis (2 ATP consumed) is doubly repaid in the second stage of glycolysis because two phosphorylated C_3 units are transformed to two pyruvates with the coupled synthesis of 4 ATP. This process is shown schematically in Fig. 15-15.

The overall reaction of glycolysis, as we have seen, is

$$\text{Glucose} + 2\,NAD^+ + 2\,ADP + 2\,P_i \rightarrow 2\,\text{pyruvate} + 2\,NADH + 2\,ATP + 2\,H_2O + 4\,H^+$$

Let us consider each of the three products of glycolysis:

1. ***ATP.*** The initial investment of 2 ATP per glucose in Stage I and the subsequent generation of 4 ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation (two for each GAP that proceeds through Stage II) give a net yield of 2 ATP per glucose. In some tissues and organisms for which glucose is the primary metabolic fuel, ATP produced by glycolysis satisfies most of the cell's energy needs.
2. ***NADH.*** During its catabolism by the glycolytic pathway, glucose is oxidized to the extent that two NAD^+ are reduced to two NADH. As described in Section 14-3C, reduced coenzymes such as NADH represent a source of free energy than can be recovered by their subsequent oxidation. Under aerobic conditions, electrons pass from reduced coenzymes through a series of electron carriers to the final oxidizing agent, O_2, in a process known as **electron transport** (Section 18-2). The free energy of electron transport drives the synthesis of ATP from ADP (**oxidative phosphorylation**; Section 18-3). In aerobic organisms, this sequence of events also serves to regenerate oxidized NAD^+ that can participate in further rounds of catalysis mediated by GAPDH. Under anaerobic conditions,

FIG. 15-15 Schematic diagram of the second stage of glycolysis. In this series of five reactions, GAP undergoes phosphorylation and oxidation, followed by molecular rearrangements so that both phosphoryl groups have sufficient free energy to be transferred to ADP to produce ATP. Two molecules of GAP are converted to pyruvate for every molecule of glucose that enters Stage I of glycolysis.

? **Without looking at the text, name the enzyme that catalyzes each step.**

NADH must be reoxidized by other means to keep the glycolytic pathway supplied with NAD^+ (Section 15-3).

3. ***Pyruvate.*** The two pyruvate molecules produced through the partial oxidation of each glucose are still relatively reduced molecules. Under aerobic conditions, complete oxidation of the pyruvate carbon atoms to CO_2 is mediated by the citric acid cycle (Chapter 17). The energy released in that process drives the synthesis of much more ATP than is generated by the limited oxidation of glucose by the glycolytic pathway alone. In anaerobic metabolism, pyruvate is metabolized to a lesser extent to regenerate NAD^+, as we will see in the following section.

CHECKPOINT

- Write the reactions of glycolysis, showing the structural formulas of the intermediates and the names of the enzymes that catalyze the reactions.
- Summarize the types of catalytic mechanisms involved. Do any glycolytic enzymes require cofactors?
- Explain the chemical logic of converting glucose to fructose before aldolase splits the sugar in two.
- Why is triose phosphate isomerase considered to be catalytically perfect?
- How does phosphorylation differ between the kinase-catalyzed reactions and the reaction catalyzed by GAPDH?
- What compounds with high phosphate group-transfer potential are synthesized during glycolysis?
- Explain the chemical logic of dehydrating 2-phosphoglycerate before its phosphoryl group is transferred.
- Explain how chemical coupling of endergonic and exergonic reactions is used to generate ATP during glycolysis.
- Which products of glycolysis are reduced molecules that the cell can oxidize to recover free energy?

3 Fermentation: The Anaerobic Fate of Pyruvate

KEY CONCEPTS

- NADH, a substrate for the GAPDH reaction, must be reoxidized for glycolysis to continue.
- In muscle, pyruvate is reduced to lactate to regenerate NAD^+.
- Yeast decarboxylates pyruvate to produce CO_2 and ethanol, in a process that requires the cofactor TPP.

The three common metabolic fates of pyruvate produced by glycolysis are outlined in Fig. 15-16.

1. *Under aerobic conditions, the pyruvate is completely oxidized via the citric acid cycle to CO_2 and H_2O.*
2. *Under anaerobic conditions, pyruvate must be converted to a reduced end product in order to reoxidize the NADH produced by the GAPDH reaction.* This occurs in two ways:
   - **(a)** Under anaerobic conditions in muscle, pyruvate is reduced to **lactate** to regenerate NAD^+ in a process known as **homolactic fermentation** (a fermentation is an anaerobic biological process).
   - **(b)** In yeast and certain other microorganisms, pyruvate is decarboxylated to yield CO_2 and **acetaldehyde,** which is then reduced by NADH to yield NAD^+ and ethanol. This process is known as **alcoholic fermentation**.

Thus, in aerobic glycolysis, NADH acts as a "high-energy" compound, whereas in anaerobic glycolysis, its free energy of oxidation is dissipated as heat.

FIG. 15-16 Metabolic fate of pyruvate. Under aerobic conditions (*left*), the pyruvate carbons are oxidized to CO_2 by the citric acid cycle and the electrons are eventually transferred to O_2 to yield H_2O in oxidative phosphorylation. Under anaerobic conditions in muscle, pyruvate is reversibly converted to lactate (*middle*), whereas in yeast, it is converted to CO_2 and ethanol (*right*).

A Homolactic Fermentation Converts Pyruvate to Lactate

In muscle, during vigorous activity, when the demand for ATP is high and oxygen is in short supply, ATP is synthesized largely via anaerobic glycolysis, which rapidly generates ATP, rather than through the slower process of oxidative phosphorylation. Under these conditions, **lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)** catalyzes the oxidation of NADH by pyruvate to yield NAD^+ and lactate.

This reaction is often classified as Reaction 11 of glycolysis. The lactate dehydrogenase reaction is freely reversible, so *pyruvate and lactate concentrations are readily equilibrated.*

In the proposed mechanism for pyruvate reduction by LDH, a hydride ion is stereospecifically transferred from C4 of NADH to C2 of pyruvate with concomitant transfer of a proton from the imidazolium moiety of His 195:

Both His 195 and Arg 171 interact electrostatically with the substrate to orient pyruvate (or lactate, in the reverse reaction) in the enzyme active site.

The overall process of anaerobic glycolysis in muscle can be represented as

$$\text{Glucose} + 2\ \text{ADP} + 2\ \text{P}_i \rightarrow 2\ \text{lactate} + 2\ \text{ATP} + 2\ \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\ \text{H}^+$$

Lactate represents a sort of dead end for anaerobic glucose metabolism. The lactate can be either exported from the cell or converted back to pyruvate. Much of the lactate produced in skeletal muscle cells is carried by the blood to the liver, where it is used to synthesize glucose (Section 22-1F).

Contrary to widely held belief, it is not lactate buildup in the muscle per se that causes muscle fatigue and soreness, but the accumulation of glycolytically generated acid (muscles can maintain their workload in the presence of high lactate concentrations if the pH is kept constant).

Biophoto Associates/Photo Researchers

FIG. 15-17 **An electron micrograph of yeast cells.**

B Alcoholic Fermentation Converts Pyruvate to Ethanol and CO_2

Under anaerobic conditions in yeast, NAD^+ for glycolysis is regenerated in a process that has been valued for thousands of years: the conversion of pyruvate to ethanol and CO_2. Ethanol is, of course, the active ingredient of wine and spirits (more recently it has become an agriculturally renewable fuel); CO_2 so produced leavens bread.

Yeast (Fig. 15-17) produces ethanol and CO_2 via two consecutive reactions (Fig. 15-18):

FIG. 15-18 **The two reactions of alcoholic fermentation.**

1. The decarboxylation of pyruvate to form acetaldehyde and CO_2 as catalyzed by **pyruvate decarboxylase** (an enzyme not present in animals).
2. The reduction of acetaldehyde to ethanol by NADH as catalyzed by alcohol dehydrogenase (Section 11-1C), thereby regenerating NAD^+ for use in the GAPDH reaction of glycolysis.

TPP Is an Essential Cofactor of Pyruvate Decarboxylase. Pyruvate decarboxylase contains the coenzyme **thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP;** also called **thiamin diphosphate, ThDP):**

Thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP)

TPP, which is synthesized from thiamine **(vitamin B_1),** binds tightly but noncovalently to pyruvate decarboxylase (**Fig. 15-19**).

The enzyme uses TPP because uncatalyzed decarboxylation of an α-keto acid such as pyruvate requires the buildup of negative charge on the carbonyl carbon atom in the transition state, an unstable situation:

This transition state can be stabilized by delocalizing the developing negative charge into a suitable "electron sink." The amino acid residues of proteins function poorly in this capacity but TPP does so readily.

FIG. 15-19 **TPP binding to pyruvate decarboxylase from *Saccharomyces uvarum* (brewer's yeast).** The TPP and the side chain of Glu 51 are shown in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, S yellow, and P orange. The TPP binds in a cavity situated between the dimer's two subunits (*cyan and purple*) where it hydrogen bonds to Glu 51. [Based on an X-ray structure by William Furey and Martin Sax, Veterans Administration Medical Center and University of Pittsburgh. PDBid 1PYD.]

? What other side chains would you expect to find in the TPP-binding site of the enzyme?

TPP's catalytically active functional group is the ***thiazolium ring.*** The C2-H atom of this group is relatively acidic because of the adjacent positively charged quaternary nitrogen atom, which electrostatically stabilizes the carbanion formed when the proton dissociates. This dipolar carbanion (or **ylid**) is the active form of the coenzyme. Pyruvate decarboxylase operates as follows (**Fig. 15-20**):

Step 1 The ylid form of TPP, a nucleophile, attacks the carbonyl carbon of pyruvate.

Step 2 CO_2 departs, generating a resonance-stabilized carbanion adduct in which the thiazolium ring of the coenzyme acts as an electron sink.

Step 3 The carbanion is protonated.

Step 4 The TPP ylid is eliminated to form acetaldehyde and regenerate the active enzyme.

This mechanism has been corroborated by the isolation of the **hydroxyethylthiamine pyrophosphate** intermediate.

Vitamin B_1 Deficiency Causes Beriberi and Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome. The ability of TPP's thiazolium ring to add to carbonyl groups and act as an electron sink makes it the coenzyme most utilized in α-keto acid decarboxylation reactions. Such reactions occur in all organisms, not just yeast. Consequently thiamine (vitamin B_1), which is neither synthesized nor stored in

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-20 The reaction mechanism of pyruvate decarboxylase. See the text for details. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

significant quantities by the tissues of most vertebrates, is required in their diets. Thiamine deficiency in humans results in an ultimately fatal condition known as **beriberi** (Singhalese for weakness) that is characterized by neurological disturbances causing pain, paralysis, and atrophy (wasting) of the limbs and/or edema (accumulation of fluid in tissues and body cavities).

Beriberi was particularly prevalent in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries in the rice-consuming areas of Asia after the introduction of steam-powered milling machines that polished the rice grains to remove their coarse but thiamine-containing outer layers (the previously used milling procedures were less efficient and hence left sufficient thiamine on the grains). Parboiling rice before milling, a process common in India, causes the rice kernels to absorb nutrients from their outer layers, thereby decreasing the incidence of beriberi. Once thiamine deficiency was recognized as the cause of beriberi, enrichment procedures were instituted so that today it has ceased to be a problem except in areas undergoing famine. However, beriberi occasionally develops in chronic alcoholics due to their penchant for drinking but not eating and because ethanol inhibits thiamine uptake by the gastrointestinal tract.

Another manifestation of thiamine deficiency is **Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome,** a life-threatening condition characterized by dementia (confusion and severe memory loss), ataxia (unsteady stance and gait), and eye abnormalities resulting from the atrophy of several regions of the brain.

Reduction of Acetaldehyde and Regeneration of NAD^+. Yeast alcohol dehydrogenase **(YADH),** the enzyme that converts acetaldehyde to ethanol, is a tetramer, each subunit of which binds one Zn^{2+} ion. The Zn^{2+} polarizes the carbonyl group of acetaldehyde to stabilize the developing negative charge in the transition state of the reaction.

This facilitates the stereospecific transfer of a hydrogen from NADH to acetaldehyde.

Mammalian liver alcohol dehydrogenase **(LADH)** metabolizes the alcohols anaerobically produced by the intestinal flora as well as those from external sources (the direction of the alcohol dehydrogenase reaction varies with the relative concentrations of ethanol and acetaldehyde). Mammalian LADH is a dimer with significant amino acid sequence similarity to YADH, although LADH subunits each contain a second Zn^{2+} ion that presumably has a structural role.

C Fermentation Is Energetically Favorable

Thermodynamics permits us to dissect the process of fermentation into its component parts and to account for the free energy changes that occur. This enables us to calculate the efficiency with which the free energy of glucose catabolism is used in the synthesis of ATP. For homolactic fermentation,

$$\text{Glucose} \rightarrow 2 \text{ lactate} + 2\ H^+ \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -196 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

For alcoholic fermentation,

$$\text{Glucose} \rightarrow 2\ CO_2 + 2 \text{ ethanol} \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -235 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Box 15-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry Glycolytic ATP Production in Muscle

Skeletal muscle consists of both **slow-twitch** (Type I) and **fast-twitch** (Type II) **fibers.** Fast-twitch fibers, so called because they predominate in muscles capable of short bursts of rapid activity, are nearly devoid of mitochondria (where oxidative phosphorylation occurs). Consequently, they must obtain nearly all of their ATP through anaerobic glycolysis, for which they have a particularly large capacity. Muscles designed to contract slowly and steadily, in contrast, are enriched in slow-twitch fibers that are rich in mitochondria and obtain most of their ATP through oxidative phosphorylation.

Fast- and slow-twitch fibers were originally known as white and red fibers, respectively, because otherwise pale-colored muscle tissue, when enriched with mitochondria, takes on the red color characteristic of their heme–containing cytochromes. However, fiber color is an imperfect indicator of muscle physiology.

In a familiar example, the flight muscles of migratory birds such as ducks and geese, which need a continuous energy supply, are rich in slow-twitch fibers. Therefore, these birds have dark breast meat. In contrast, the flight muscles of less ambitious fliers, such as chickens and turkeys, which are used only for short bursts (often to escape danger), consist mainly of fast-twitch fibers that form white meat. In humans, the muscles of sprinters are relatively rich in fast-twitch fibers, whereas distance runners have a greater proportion of slow-twitch fibers (although their muscles have the same color).

slow-twitch muscle fiber

fast-twitch muscle fiber

Courtesy of J.D. MacDougall, McMaster University, Canada

Each of these processes is coupled to the net formation of 2 ATP, which requires $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +61\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ of glucose consumed. Dividing $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ of ATP formation by that of lactate formation indicates that homolactic fermentation is 31% "efficient"; that is, 31% of the free energy released by the process under standard biochemical conditions is sequestered in the form of ATP. The rest is dissipated as heat, thereby making the process irreversible. Likewise, alcoholic fermentation is 26% efficient under biochemical standard state conditions. *Under physiological conditions, where the concentrations of reactants and products differ from those of the standard state, these reactions have thermodynamic efficiencies of >50%.*

Anaerobic fermentation uses glucose in a profligate manner compared to oxidative phosphorylation: Fermentation results in the production of 2 ATP per glucose, whereas oxidative phosphorylation yields up to 32 ATP per glucose (Section 18-3C). This accounts for Pasteur's observation that yeast consume far more sugar when growing anaerobically than when growing aerobically (the **Pasteur effect**). However, *the rate of ATP production by anaerobic glycolysis can be up to 100 times faster than that of oxidative phosphorylation. Consequently, when tissues such as muscle are rapidly consuming ATP, they regenerate it almost entirely by anaerobic glycolysis.* (Homolactic fermentation does not really "waste" glucose since the lactate can be aerobically reconverted to glucose by the liver; Section 22-1F.) Certain muscles are specialized for the rapid production of ATP by glycolysis (Box 15-3).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the three possible fates of pyruvate.
- Compare homolactic and alcoholic fermentation in terms of the products and the cofactors required.
- What is the role of TPP in decarboxylation?
- Compare the ATP yields and rates of ATP production for anaerobic and aerobic degradation of glucose.

4 Regulation of Glycolysis

KEY CONCEPTS

- Enzymes that function with large negative free energy changes are candidates for flux-control points.
- Phosphofructokinase, the major regulatory point for glycolysis in muscle, is allosterically inhibited by ATP and activated by AMP and ADP.
- Substrate cycling allows the rate of glycolysis to respond rapidly to changing needs.

TABLE 15-1 $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ and ΔG for the Reactions of Glycolysis in Heart Muscle[a]

Reaction	Enzyme	$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ (kJ · mol^{-1})	ΔG (kJ · mol^{-1})
1	Hexokinase	−20.9	−27.2
2	PGI	+2.2	−1.4
3	PFK	−17.2	−25.9
4	Aldolase	+22.8	−5.9
5	TIM	+7.9	~0
6 + 7	GAPDH + PGK	−16.7	−1.1
8	PGM	+4.7	−0.6
9	Enolase	−3.2	−2.4
10	PK	−23.0	−13.9

[a]Calculated from data in Newsholme, E.A. and Start, C., *Regulation in Metabolism,* p. 97, Wiley (1973).

Under steady state conditions, glycolysis operates continuously in most tissues, although the glycolytic flux must vary to meet the needs of the organism. Elucidation of the flux control mechanisms of a given pathway, such as glycolysis, commonly involves three steps:

1. Identification of the rate-determining step(s) of the pathway by measuring the *in vivo* ΔG for each reaction. Enzymes that operate far from equilibrium are potential control points (Section 14-1D).
2. *In vitro* identification of allosteric modifiers of the enzymes catalyzing the rate-determining reactions. The mechanisms by which these compounds act are determined from their effects on the enzymes' kinetics.
3. Measurement of the *in vivo* levels of the proposed regulators under various conditions to establish whether the concentration changes are consistent with the proposed control mechanism.

Let us examine the thermodynamics of glycolysis in muscle tissue with an eye toward understanding its control mechanisms (keep in mind that different tissues control glycolysis in different ways). **Table 15-1** lists the standard free energy changes ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$) and the actual physiological free energy change (ΔG) associated with each reaction in the pathway. It is important to realize that the free energy changes associated with the reactions under standard conditions may differ dramatically from the actual values *in vivo.*

Only three reactions of glycolysis, those catalyzed by hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase, function with large negative free energy changes in heart muscle under physiological conditions (**Fig. 15-21**). *These nonequilibrium reactions of glycolysis are candidates for flux-control points.* The other glycolytic reactions function near equilibrium: Their forward and reverse rates are much faster than the actual flux through the pathway. Consequently, these equilibrium reactions are very sensitive to changes in the concentration of pathway intermediates and readily accommodate changes in flux generated at the rate-determining step(s) of the pathway.

FIG. 15-21 Diagram of free energy changes in glycolysis. This "waterfall" diagram illustrates the actual free energy changes for the glycolytic reactions in heart muscle (Table 15-1). Reactions 1, 3, and 10 are irreversible. The other reactions operate near equilibrium and can mediate flux in either direction.

? Explain why each reaction is represented by a downward step in this diagram.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Metabolic Flux

The glycolytic pathway can be considered to be a sort of pipe, with an entrance for glucose molecules and an exit for pyruvate molecules. The control points for the pathway are like one-way valves that prevent backflow and limit the amount of material passing through. Between those control points, intermediates can move in either direction. The pipe never runs dry because the pyruvate gets used up and more glucose is always available. In addition, intermediates can enter or leave the pathway at any point. Even the simplest cells contain many copies of each glycolytic enzyme, acting on a pool of millions of substrate molecules, so their collective behavior is what we refer to when we discuss flux through the pathway.

A Phosphofructokinase Is the Major Flux-Controlling Enzyme of Glycolysis in Muscle

In vitro studies of hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase indicate that each is controlled by a variety of compounds. Yet when the G6P source for glycolysis is glycogen, rather than glucose, as is often the case in skeletal muscle, the hexokinase reaction is not required (glycogen is broken down to

FIG. 15-22 X-Ray structure of PFK from *E. coli*. Two subunits of the tetrameric enzyme are shown in ribbon form (the other two subunits, which have been omitted for clarity, are related to those shown by a twofold rotation about the vertical axis). Each subunit binds its products, FBP (*near the center of each subunit*) and Mg^{2+}–ADP (*lower right and upper left*), together with its activator Mg^{2+}–ADP (*upper right and lower left, in the rear*), all in space-filling form with atoms colored according to type (ADP C green, FBP C cyan, N blue, O red, P orange, and Mg purple). Note the close proximity of the product ADP's β-phosphate group to the phosphoryl group at FBP's 1-position, the group that PFK transfers from ATP to F6P. [Based on an X-ray structure by Philip Evans, Cambridge University. PDBid 1PFK.]

G6P; Section 16-1). Pyruvate kinase catalyzes the last reaction of glycolysis and is therefore unlikely to be the primary point for regulating flux through the entire pathway. Evidently, PFK, an elaborately regulated enzyme functioning far from equilibrium, is the major control point for glycolysis in muscle under most conditions.

PFK (Fig. 15-22) is a tetrameric enzyme with two conformational states, R and T, that are in equilibrium. ATP is both a substrate and an allosteric inhibitor of phosphofructokinase. Other compounds, including ADP, AMP, and **fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P),** reverse the inhibitory effects of ATP and are therefore activators of PFK. Each PFK subunit has two binding sites for ATP: a substrate site and an inhibitor site. The substrate site binds ATP equally well in either conformation, but the inhibitor site binds ATP almost exclusively in the T state. The other substrate of PFK, F6P, preferentially binds to the R state. Consequently, at high concentrations, ATP acts as an allosteric inhibitor of PFK by binding to the T state, thereby shifting the T $\rightleftharpoons$ R equilibrium in favor of the T state and thus decreasing PFK's affinity for F6P (this is similar to the action of 2,3-BPG in decreasing the affinity of hemoglobin for O_2; Section 7-1D).

In graphical terms, high concentrations of ATP shift the curve of PFK activity versus [F6P] to the right and make it even more sigmoidal (cooperative) (Fig. 15-23). For example, when [F6P] = 0.5 mM (the dashed line in Fig. 15-23), the enzyme is nearly maximally active, but in the presence of 1 mM ATP, the activity drops to 15% of its original level, a nearly sevenfold decrease. An activator such as AMP or ADP counters the effect of ATP by binding to R-state PFK, thereby shifting the T $\rightleftharpoons$ R equilibrium toward the R state. (Actually, the most potent allosteric effector of PFK is F2,6P, which we discuss in Section 16-4C.)

Allosterism in Phosphofructokinase Involves Arg and Glu Side Chains. The X-ray structures of PFK from several organisms have been determined in both the R and the T states by Philip Evans. The R state of PFK is stabilized by the binding of its substrate F6P. In the R state of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* PFK,

FIG. 15-23 PFK activity versus F6P concentration. The various conditions are as follows: purple, no inhibitors or activators; green, 1 mM ATP; and red, 1 mM ATP + 0.1 mM AMP. [After data from Mansour, T.E. and Ahlfors, C.E., *J. Biol. Chem.* **243,** 2523–2533 (1968).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? At what substrate concentrations is the enzyme best able to regulate glycolytic flux?

FIG. 15-24 Allosteric changes in PFK from *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. Segments of the T state (*blue*) are superimposed on segments of the R state (*pink*) that undergo a large conformational rearrangement on the T→R allosteric transition (indicated by the arrows). Residues of the R-state structure are marked by a prime. Note that in the R state, Arg 162′ forms an attractive ionic interaction with F6P′, whereas in the T state, F6P′ is repelled by Glu 161. Also shown are bound ligands: the nonphysiological inhibitor 2-phosphoglycolate (PGC; a PEP analog) for the T state, and the cooperative substrate F6P and the activator ADP for the R state. [After Schirmer, T. and Evans, P.R., *Nature* **343,** 142 (1990). PDBids 4PFK and 6PFK.]

the side chain of Arg 162 forms an ion pair with the phosphoryl group of an F6P bound in the active site of another subunit (Fig. 15-24). However, Arg 162 is located at the end of a helical turn that unwinds on transition to the T state. The positively charged side chain of Arg 162 thereby swings away and is replaced by the negatively charged side chain of Glu 161. As a consequence, the doubly negative phosphoryl group of F6P has a greatly diminished affinity for the T-state enzyme. The unwinding of this helical turn, which is obligatory for the R → T transition, is prevented by the binding of the activator ADP to its effector site on the enzyme. Presumably, ATP can bind to this site only when the helical turn is in its unwound conformation (the T state).

AMP Overcomes the ATP Inhibition of PFK. Direct allosteric regulation of PFK by ATP may at first appear to be the means by which glycolytic flux is controlled. After all, when [ATP] is high as a result of low metabolic demand, PFK is inhibited and flux through glycolysis is low; conversely when [ATP] is low, flux through the pathway is high and ATP is synthesized to replenish the pool. Consideration of the physiological variation in ATP concentration, however, indicates that the situation must be more complex. The metabolic flux through glycolysis may vary by 100-fold or more, depending on the metabolic demand for ATP. However, *measurements of [ATP] in vivo at various levels of metabolic activity indicate that [ATP] varies <10% between rest and vigorous exertion.* Yet there is no known allosteric mechanism that can account for a 100-fold change in flux of a nonequilibrium reaction with only a 10% change in effector concentration. Thus, some other mechanism(s) must be responsible for controlling glycolytic flux.

The inhibition of PFK by ATP is relieved by AMP as well as ADP. This results from AMP's preferential binding to the R state of PFK. If a PFK solution

containing 1 mM ATP and 0.5 mM F6P is brought to 0.1 mM in AMP, the activity of PFK rises from 15 to 50% of its maximal activity, a threefold increase (Fig. 15-23).

The [ATP] decreases by only 10% in going from a resting state to one of vigorous activity because it is buffered by the action of two enzymes: creatine kinase and adenylate kinase (Section 14-2C). Adenylate kinase catalyzes the reaction

$$2\ \text{ADP} \rightleftharpoons \text{ATP} + \text{AMP} \qquad K = \frac{[\text{ATP}][\text{AMP}]}{[\text{ADP}]^2} = 0.44$$

which rapidly equilibrates the ADP resulting from ATP hydrolysis in muscle contraction with ATP and AMP.

In muscle, [ATP] is ~50 times greater than [AMP] and ~10 times greater than [ADP]. Consequently, *a change in [ATP] from, for example, 1.0 to 0.9 mM, a 10% decrease, can result in a 100% increase in [ADP] from (0.1 to 0.2 mM) as a result of the adenylate kinase reaction, and a >400% increase in [AMP] (from 0.02 to ~0.1 mM).* Therefore, a metabolic signal consisting of a decrease in [ATP] too small to relieve PFK inhibition is amplified significantly by the adenylate kinase reaction, which increases [AMP] by an amount that produces a much larger increase in PFK activity.

B Substrate Cycling Fine-Tunes Flux Control

Even a finely tuned allosteric mechanism like that of PFK can account for only a fraction of the 100-fold alterations in glycolytic flux. Additional control may be achieved by substrate cycling. Recall from Section 14-1D that only a near-equilibrium reaction can undergo large changes in flux because, in a near-equilibrium reaction, $v_f - v_r \approx 0$ (where v_f and v_r are the forward and reverse reaction rates) and hence a small change in v_f will result in a large fractional change in $v_f - v_r$. However, this is not the case for the PFK reaction because, for such nonequilibrium reactions, v_r is negligible.

Nevertheless, *such equilibrium-like conditions may be imposed on a non-equilibrium reaction if a second enzyme (or series of enzymes) catalyzes the regeneration of its substrate from its product in a thermodynamically favorable manner.* This can be diagrammed as

Since two different enzymes catalyze the forward (f) and reverse (r) reactions, v_f and v_r may be independently varied and v_r is no longer negligible compared to v_f. Note that the forward process (e.g., formation of FBP from F6P) and the reverse process (e.g., breakdown of FBP to F6P) *must* be carried out by different enzymes since the laws of thermodynamics would otherwise be violated (i.e., for a single reaction, the forward and reverse reactions cannot simultaneously be favorable).

Under physiological conditions, the reaction catalyzed by PFK:

$$\text{F6P} + \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{FBP} + \text{ADP}$$

is highly exergonic ($\Delta G = -25.9\ \text{k} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). Consequently, the back reaction has a negligible rate compared to the forward reaction. **Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase),** however, which is present in many mammalian tissues (and which is an essential enzyme in gluconeogenesis; Section 16-4B), catalyzes the exergonic hydrolysis of FBP ($\Delta G = -8.6\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$).

$$\text{FBP} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{F6P} + \text{P}_i$$

FIG. 15-25 Substrate cycling in the regulation of PFK. (*a*) In resting muscle, both enzymes in the F6P/FBP substrate cycle are active, and glycolytic flux is low. (*b*) In active muscle, PFK activity increases while FBPase activity decreases. This dramatically increases the flux through PFK and therefore results in high glycolytic flux.

Note that the combined reactions catalyzed by PFK and FBPase result in net ATP hydrolysis:

$$\text{ATP} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{ATP} + \text{P}_i$$

Such a set of opposing reactions (Section 14-1E) is known as a **substrate cycle** because it cycles a substrate to an intermediate and back again. When this set of reactions was discovered, it was referred to as a **futile cycle** since its net result seemed to be the useless consumption of ATP.

Eric Newsholme has proposed that substrate cycles are not at all "futile" but, rather, have a regulatory function. *The combined effects of allosteric effectors on the opposing reactions of a substrate cycle can produce a much greater fractional effect on pathway flux* ($v_f - v_r$) *than is possible through allosteric regulation of a single enzyme.* For example, the allosteric effector F2,6P activates the PFK reaction while inhibiting the FBPase reaction (this regulatory mechanism is important for balancing glycolysis and gluconeogenesis in liver cells; Section 16-4C).

Substrate cycling does not increase the maximum flux through a pathway. On the contrary, it functions to decrease the minimum flux. In a sense, the substrate is put into a "holding pattern." In the PFK/FBPase example (**Fig. 15-25**), the cycling of substrate appears to be the energetic "price" that a muscle must pay to be able to change rapidly from a resting state (where $v_f - v_r$ is small), in which substrate cycling is maximal, to one of sustained high activity (where $v_f - v_r$ is large). The rate of substrate cycling itself may be under hormonal or neuronal control so as to increase the sensitivity of the metabolic system under conditions when high activity (fight or flight) is anticipated.

Substrate cycling and other mechanisms that control PFK activity *in vivo* are part of larger systems that regulate all the cell's metabolic activities. At one time, it was believed that because PFK is the controlling enzyme of glycolysis, increasing its level of expression via genetic engineering would increase flux through glycolysis. However, this is not the case, because the *activity* of PFK, whatever its concentration, is ultimately controlled by factors that reflect the cell's demand for the products supplied by glycolysis and all other metabolic pathways.

Substrate Cycling Is Related to Thermogenesis and Obesity. Many animals, including adult humans, are thought to generate much of their body heat, particularly when it is cold, through substrate cycling in muscle and liver, a process known as **nonshivering thermogenesis** (the muscle contractions of shivering or any other movement also produce heat). Substrate cycling is stimulated by thyroid hormones (which stimulate metabolism in most tissues) as is indicated, for example, by the observation that rats lacking a functional thyroid gland do not survive at 5°C. Chronically obese individuals tend to have lower than normal metabolic rates, which is probably due, in part, to a reduced rate of nonshivering thermogenesis. Such individuals therefore tend to be cold sensitive. Indeed, whereas normal individuals increase their rate of thyroid hormone activation on exposure to cold, genetically obese animals and obese humans fail to do so.

CHECKPOINT

- Which glycolytic enzymes are potential control points?
- Describe the mechanisms that control phosphofructokinase activity.
- Why is ATP alone not an effective allosteric regulator of enzyme activity?
- What is the metabolic advantage of a substrate cycle? What is its cost?

5 Metabolism of Hexoses Other than Glucose

KEY CONCEPT

- The commonly available hexoses fructose, galactose, and mannose are converted to glycolytic intermediates for further metabolism.

Together with glucose, the hexoses fructose, galactose, and mannose are prominent metabolic fuels. After digestion, these monosaccharides enter the bloodstream, which carries them to various tissues. Fructose, galactose, and mannose are converted to glycolytic intermediates that are then metabolized by the glycolytic pathway (Fig. 15-26).

A Fructose Is Converted to Fructose-6-Phosphate or Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate

Fructose is a major fuel source in diets that contain large amounts of fruit or sucrose (a disaccharide of fructose and glucose; Section 8-2A). There are two pathways for the metabolism of fructose; one occurs in muscle and the other occurs in liver. This dichotomy results from the different enzymes present in these tissues.

Fructose metabolism in muscle differs little from that of glucose. Hexokinase (Section 15-2A), which converts glucose to G6P, also phosphorylates fructose, yielding F6P (Fig. 15-27, *left*). The entry of fructose into glycolysis therefore involves only one reaction step.

Liver contains a hexokinase homolog known as **glucokinase,** which phosphorylates only glucose (Section 22-1D). Fructose metabolism in liver must therefore differ from that in muscle. In fact, liver converts fructose to glycolytic intermediates through a pathway that involves seven enzymes (Fig. 15-27, *right*):

1. **Fructokinase** catalyzes the phosphorylation of fructose by ATP at C1 to form **fructose-1-phosphate.** Neither hexokinase nor PFK can phosphorylate fructose-1-phosphate at C6 to form the glycolytic intermediate FBP.
2. Aldolase (Section 15-2D) has several isozymic forms. Muscle contains Type A aldolase, which is specific for FBP. Liver, however, contains Type B aldolase, for which fructose-1-phosphate is also a substrate (Type B aldolase is sometimes called **fructose-1-phosphate aldolase**). In liver, fructose-1-phosphate therefore undergoes an aldol cleavage:

   Fructose-1-phosphate $\rightleftharpoons$ dihydroxyacetone phosphate + glyceraldehyde
3. Direct phosphorylation of **glyceraldehyde** by ATP through the action of **glyceraldehyde kinase** forms the glycolytic intermediate GAP.
4. Alternatively, glyceraldehyde is converted to the glycolytic intermediate DHAP, beginning with its NADH-dependent reduction to glycerol as catalyzed by alcohol dehydrogenase.
5. **Glycerol kinase** catalyzes ATP-dependent phosphorylation to produce **glycerol-3-phosphate.**
6. DHAP is produced by NAD^+-dependent oxidation catalyzed by **glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase.**
7. The DHAP is then converted to GAP by triose phosphate isomerase.

FIG. 15-26 Entry of other hexoses into glycolysis. Fructose (in muscle) and mannose are converted to F6P; liver fructose is converted to GAP; and galactose is converted to G6P.

FIG. 15-27 The metabolism of fructose. In muscle (*left*), the conversion of fructose to the glycolytic intermediate F6P involves only one enzyme, hexokinase. In liver (*right*), seven enzymes participate in the conversion of fructose to glycolytic intermediates.

? **Write an equation for the conversion of fructose to GAP in the liver.**

The two pathways leading from glyceraldehyde to GAP have the same net cost: Both consume ATP, and although NADH is oxidized in Reaction 4, it is reduced again in Reaction 6. The longer pathway, however, produces glycerol 3-phosphate, which (along with DHAP) can become the glycerol backbone of glycerophospholipids and triacylglycerols (Section 20-6A).

Is Excess Fructose Harmful? The consumption of fructose in the United States has increased at least 10-fold in the last quarter century, in large part due to the use of high-fructose corn syrup as a sweetener in soft drinks and other foods. Fructose has a sweeter taste than sucrose (Box 8-2) and is inexpensive to produce. One possible hazard of excessive fructose intake is that fructose catabolism in liver bypasses the PFK-catalyzed step of glycolysis and thereby avoids a major metabolic control point. This could potentially disrupt fuel metabolism so

that glycolytic flux is directed toward lipid synthesis in the absence of a need for ATP production. This hypothesis suggests a link between the increase in both fructose consumption and the recently increasing incidence of obesity in the United States.

At the opposite extreme are individuals with **fructose intolerance,** which results from a deficiency in Type B aldolase. In the absence of the aldolase, fructose-1-phosphate may accumulate enough to deplete the liver's store of P_i. Under these conditions, [ATP] drops, which causes liver damage. In addition, the increased [fructose-1-phosphate] inhibits both **glycogen phosphorylase** (an essential enzyme in the breakdown of glycogen to glucose; Section 16-1A) and fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (an essential enzyme in gluconeogenesis; Section 16-4B), thereby causing severe **hypoglycemia** (low levels of blood glucose), which can reach life-threatening proportions. However, fructose intolerance is self-limiting: Individuals with the condition rapidly develop a strong distaste for anything sweet.

B Galactose Is Converted to Glucose-6-Phosphate

Galactose is obtained from the hydrolysis of lactose (a disaccharide of galactose and glucose; Section 8-2A) in dairy products. Galactose and glucose are epimers that differ only in their configuration at C4.

Although hexokinase phosphorylates glucose, fructose, and mannose, it does not recognize galactose. An epimerization reaction must therefore occur before galactose enters glycolysis. This reaction takes place after the conversion of galactose to its **uridine diphosphate** derivative (the role of UDP–sugars and other nucleotidyl–sugars is discussed in more detail in Section 16-5). The entire pathway converting galactose to a glycolytic intermediate requires four reactions (Fig. 15-28):

1. Galactose is phosphorylated at C1 by ATP in a reaction catalyzed by **galactokinase.**
2. **Galactose-1-phosphate uridylyl transferase** transfers the uridylyl group of UDP–glucose to **galactose-1-phosphate** to yield **glucose-1-phosphate (G1P)** and **UDP–galactose** by the reversible cleavage of UDP–glucose's pyrophosphoryl bond.
3. **UDP–galactose-4-epimerase** converts UDP–galactose back to UDP–glucose. This enzyme has an associated NAD^+, which suggests that the reaction involves the sequential oxidation and reduction of the hexose C4 atom:

4. G1P is converted to the glycolytic intermediate G6P by the action of **phosphoglucomutase** (Section 16-1C).

FIG. 15-28 The metabolism of galactose. Four enzymes participate in the conversion of galactose to the glycolytic intermediate G6P.

Individuals with Galactosemia Cannot Metabolize Galactose. Galactosemia is a genetic disease characterized by the inability to convert galactose to glucose. Its symptoms include failure to thrive, mental retardation, and, in some instances, death from liver damage. Most cases of galactosemia involve a deficiency in the enzyme catalyzing Reaction 2 of the interconversion, galactose-1-phosphate uridylyl transferase. Formation of UDP–galactose from galactose-1-phosphate is thus prevented, leading to a buildup of toxic metabolic by-products. For example, the increased galactose concentration in the blood results in a higher galactose concentration in the lens of the eye, where the sugar is reduced to **galactitol.**

Galactitol

The presence of this sugar alcohol in the lens eventually causes cataract formation (clouding of the lens).

Galactosemia is treated by a galactose-free diet. Except for the mental retardation, this reverses all symptoms of the disease. The galactosyl units that are essential for the synthesis of glycoproteins (Section 8-3C) and glycolipids

FIG. 15-29 The metabolism of mannose. Two enzymes are required to convert mannose to the glycolytic intermediate F6P.

(Section 9-1D) can be synthesized from glucose by a reversal of the epimerase reaction. These syntheses therefore do not require dietary galactose.

C Mannose Is Converted to Fructose-6-Phosphate

Mannose, a product of digestion of polysaccharides and glycoproteins, is the C2 epimer of glucose.

Mannose enters the glycolytic pathway after its conversion to F6P via a two-reaction pathway (Fig. 15-29):

1. Hexokinase recognizes mannose and converts it to **mannose-6-phosphate.**
2. **Phosphomannose isomerase** then converts this aldose to the glycolytic intermediate F6P in a reaction whose mechanism resembles that of phosphoglucose isomerase (Section 15-2B).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe how fructose, galactose, and mannose enter the glycolytic pathway.
- Which glycolytic enzymes are used by these tributary pathways?

6 The Pentose Phosphate Pathway

KEY CONCEPTS

- The pentose phosphate pathway consists of three stages, in which NADPH is produced, pentoses undergo isomerization, and glycolytic intermediates are recovered.
- The pathway provides NADPH for reductive biosynthesis and ribose-5-phosphate for nucleotide biosynthesis in the quantities that the cell requires.

ATP is the cell's "energy currency"; its exergonic cleavage is coupled to many otherwise endergonic cell functions. *Cells also have a second currency, reducing power.* Many endergonic reactions, notably the reductive biosynthesis of fatty acids (Section 20-4) and cholesterol (Section 20-7A), require NADPH in addition to ATP. Despite their close chemical resemblance, *NADPH and NADH are not metabolically interchangeable.* Whereas cells capture the free energy of metabolite oxidation as NADH to synthesize ATP (oxidative phosphorylation), cells capture free energy as NADPH for reductive biosynthesis. This differentiation is possible because the dehydrogenases involved in oxidative and reductive metabolism are highly specific for their respective coenzymes. Indeed, cells normally maintain their $[NAD^+]/[NADH]$ ratio near 1000, which favors metabolite oxidation, while keeping their $[NADP^+]/[NADPH]$ ratio near 0.01, which favors reductive biosynthesis.

NADPH is generated by the oxidation of glucose-6-phosphate via an alternative pathway to glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway (also called the **hexose monophosphate shunt;** Fig. 15-30). Tissues most heavily involved in lipid biosynthesis (liver, mammary gland, adipose tissue, and adrenal cortex) are rich in pentose phosphate pathway enzymes. Indeed, some 30% of the glucose oxidation in liver occurs via the pentose phosphate pathway rather than glycolysis.

FIG. 15-30 The pentose phosphate pathway. The number of lines in an arrow represents the number of molecules reacting in one turn of the pathway so as to convert 3 G6P to 3 CO_2, 2 F6P, and 1 GAP. For the sake of clarity, sugars from Reaction 3 onward are shown in their linear forms. The carbon skeleton of R5P and the atoms derived from it are drawn in red, and those from Xu5P are drawn in green. The C_2 units transferred by transketolase are shaded in green, and the C_3 units transferred by transaldolase are shaded in blue. Double-headed arrows indicate reversible reactions.

? **How many different types of reactions occur in this pathway?**

The overall reaction of the pentose phosphate pathway is

$$3\ G6P + 6\ NADP^+ + 3\ H_2O \rightleftharpoons 6\ NADPH + 6\ H^+ + 3\ CO_2 + 2\ F6P + GAP$$

However, the pathway can be considered to have three stages:

Stage 1 Oxidative reactions (Fig. 15-30, Reactions 1–3), which yield NADPH and **ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P):**

$$3\ G6P + 6\ NADP^+ + 3\ H_2O \rightarrow 6\ NADPH + 6\ H^+ + 3\ CO_2 + 3\ Ru5P$$

Stage 2 Isomerization and epimerization reactions (Fig. 15-30, Reactions 4 and 5), which transform Ru5P either to **ribose-5-phosphate (R5P)** or to **xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P):**

$$3\ Ru5P \rightleftharpoons R5P + 2\ Xu5P$$

Stage 3 A series of C—C bond cleavage and formation reactions (Fig. 15-30, Reactions 6–8) that convert two molecules of Xu5P and one molecule of R5P to two molecules of F6P and one molecule of GAP.

The reactions of Stages 2 and 3 are freely reversible, so the products of the pathway vary with the needs of the cell (see below). In this section, we discuss the three stages of the pentose phosphate pathway and how the pathway is controlled.

A Oxidative Reactions Produce NADPH in Stage 1

G6P is considered the starting point of the pentose phosphate pathway. This metabolite may arise through the action of hexokinase on glucose (Reaction 1 of glycolysis; Section 15-2A) or from glycogen breakdown (which produces G6P directly; Section 16-1). Only the first three reactions of the pentose phosphate pathway are involved in NADPH production (Fig. 15-30):

1. **Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD)** catalyzes net transfer of a hydride ion to $NADP^+$ from C1 of G6P to form **6-phosphoglucono-δ-lactone:**

G6P, a cyclic hemiacetal with C1 in the aldehyde oxidation state, is thereby oxidized to a cyclic ester (lactone). The enzyme is specific for $NADP^+$ and is strongly inhibited by NADPH.

2. **6-Phosphogluconolactonase** increases the rate of hydrolysis of 6-phosphoglucono-δ-lactone to **6-phosphogluconate** (the nonenzymatic reaction occurs at a significant rate).
3. **6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase** catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of 6-phosphogluconate, a β-hydroxy acid, to Ru5P and

FIG. 15-31 The 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase reaction. Oxidation of the OH group forms an easily decarboxylated β-keto acid (although the proposed intermediate has not been isolated).

CO_2 (Fig. 15-31). This reaction is thought to proceed via the formation of a β-keto acid intermediate. The keto group presumably facilitates decarboxylation by acting as an electron sink.

Formation of Ru5P completes the oxidative portion of the pentose phosphate pathway. *It generates two molecules of NADPH for each molecule of G6P that enters the pathway.*

B Isomerization and Epimerization of Ribulose-5-Phosphate Occur in Stage 2

Ru5P is converted to R5P by **ribulose-5-phosphate isomerase** (Fig. 15-30, Reaction 4) or to Xu5P by **ribulose-5-phosphate epimerase** (Fig. 15-30, Reaction 5). These isomerization and epimerization reactions, like the reaction catalyzed by triose phosphate isomerase (Section 15-2E), are thought to occur via enediolate intermediates.

The relative amounts of R5P and Xu5P produced from Ru5P depend on the needs of the cell. For example, R5P is an essential precursor in the biosynthesis of nucleotides (Chapter 23). Accordingly, R5P production is relatively high (in fact, the entire pentose phosphate pathway activity may be elevated) in rapidly dividing cells, in which the rate of DNA synthesis is increased. If the pathway is being used solely for NADPH production, Xu5P and R5P are produced in a 2:1 ratio for conversion to glycolytic intermediates in the third stage of the pentose phosphate pathway as is discussed below.

C Stage 3 Involves Carbon–Carbon Bond Cleavage and Formation

How is a five-carbon sugar transformed to a six-carbon sugar such as F6P? The rearrangements of carbon atoms in the third stage of the pentose phosphate pathway are easier to follow by considering the stoichiometry of the pathway. Every three G6P molecules that enter the pathway yield three Ru5P molecules in Stage 1. These three pentoses are then converted to one R5P and two Xu5P (Fig. 15-30, Reactions 4 and 5). The conversion of these three C_5 sugars to two C_6 sugars and one C_3 sugar involves a remarkable "juggling act" catalyzed by two enzymes, **transaldolase** and **transketolase.** These enzymes have mechanisms that involve the generation of stabilized carbanions and their addition to the electrophilic centers of aldehydes.

Transketolase Catalyzes the Transfer of C_2 Units. Transketolase, which has a thiamine pyrophosphate cofactor (TPP; Section 15-3B), catalyzes the transfer of

a C_2 unit from Xu5P to R5P, yielding GAP and **sedoheptulose-7-phosphate (S7P;** Fig. 15-30, Reaction 6). The reaction intermediate is a covalent adduct between Xu5P and TPP (Fig. 15-32). The X-ray structure of the dimeric enzyme shows that the TPP binds in a deep cleft between the subunits so that residues from both subunits participate in its binding, just as in pyruvate decarboxylase (another TPP-requiring enzyme; Fig. 15-19). In fact, the structures are so similar that the enzymes likely diverged from a common ancestor.

Transaldolase Catalyzes the Transfer of C_3 Units. Transaldolase catalyzes the transfer of a C_3 unit from S7P to GAP yielding **erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P)** and F6P (Fig. 15-30, Reaction 7). The reaction occurs by aldol cleavage (Section 15-2D), which begins with the formation of a Schiff base

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-32 Mechanism of transketolase. Transketolase (represented by E) uses the coenzyme TPP to stabilize the carbanion formed on cleavage of the C2—C3 bond of Xu5P. The reaction occurs in 4 steps as shown. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 15-33 Mechanism of transaldolase. Transaldolase contains an essential Lys residue that facilitates an aldol cleavage reaction as follows: (**1**) The ε-amino group of Lys forms a Schiff base with the carbonyl group of S7P; (**2**) a Schiff base–stabilized C3 carbanion is formed in an aldol cleavage reaction between C3 and C4 that eliminates E4P; (**3**) the enzyme-bound resonance-stabilized carbanion adds to the carbonyl C atom of GAP, forming F6P linked to the enzyme via a Schiff base; (**4**) the Schiff base hydrolyzes, regenerating active enzyme and releasing F6P. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

between an ε-amino group of an essential Lys residue and the carbonyl group of S7P (Fig. 15-33).

A Second Transketolase Reaction Yields Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate and a Second Fructose-6-Phosphate Molecule. In a second transketolase reaction, a C_2 unit is transferred from a second molecule of Xu5P to E4P to form GAP and another molecule of F6P (Fig. 15-30, Reaction 8). The third stage of the pentose phosphate pathway thus transforms two molecules of Xu5P and one of R5P to two molecules of F6P and one molecule of GAP. To summarize, a series of carbon–carbon bond formations and cleavages convert three C_5 sugars to two C_6 sugars and one C_3 sugar. Here, the number to the left of each reaction is keyed to the corresponding reaction in Fig. 15-30.

$$\text{(6)}\quad C_5 + C_5 \rightleftharpoons C_7 + C_3$$

$$\text{(7)}\quad C_7 + C_3 \rightleftharpoons C_6 + C_4$$

$$\text{(8)}\quad C_5 + C_4 \rightleftharpoons C_6 + C_3$$

$$\text{(Sum)}\quad 3\,C_5 \rightleftharpoons 2\,C_6 + C_3$$

Box 15-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency

NADPH is required for several reductive processes in addition to biosynthesis. For example, erythrocytes require a plentiful supply of reduced **glutathione (GSH),** a Cys-containing tripeptide (Section 4-3B).

Glutathione (GSH)
(γ-L-glutamyl-L-cysteinylglycine)

A major function of GSH in the erythrocyte is to reductively eliminate H_2O_2 and organic hydroperoxides, which are reactive oxygen metabolites that can oxidize hemoglobin's Fe(II) to Fe(III) to form methemoglobin (Section 7-1A) and cleave the C—C bonds in the phospholipid tails of cell membranes. The unchecked buildup of peroxides results in premature cell lysis. Peroxides are eliminated by reaction with glutathione, catalyzed by **glutathione peroxidase:**

$$2\,\text{GSH} + \text{R—O—O—H} \xrightarrow{\text{glutathione peroxidase}} \text{GSSG} + \text{ROH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Organic hydroperoxide

GSSG represents oxidized glutathione (two GSH molecules linked through a disulfide bond between their sulfhydryl groups). Reduced GSH is subsequently regenerated by the reduction of GSSG by NADPH as catalyzed by **glutathione reductase:**

$$\text{GSSG} + \text{NADPH} + \text{H}^+ \xrightarrow{\text{glutathione reductase}} 2\,\text{GSH} + \text{NADP}^+$$

A steady supply of NADPH is therefore vital for erythrocyte integrity.

The erythrocytes in individuals who are deficient in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) are particularly sensitive to oxidative damage, although clinical symptoms may be absent. This enzyme deficiency, which is common in African, Asian, and Mediterranean populations, came to light through investigations of the sometimes fatal hemolytic anemia that is induced in these individuals when they ingest drugs such as the antimalarial compound **primaquine**

Primaquine

or eat **fava beans** (**broad beans,** *Vicia faba*), a staple Middle Eastern vegetable. Primaquine stimulates peroxide formation, thereby increasing the demand for NADPH to a level that the mutant cells cannot meet. Certain toxic glycosides present in small amounts in fava beans have the same effect, producing a condition known as **favism.**

D The Pentose Phosphate Pathway Must Be Regulated

The principal products of the pentose phosphate pathway are R5P and NADPH. The transaldolase and transketolase reactions convert excess R5P to glycolytic intermediates when the metabolic need for NADPH exceeds that of R5P in nucleotide biosynthesis. The resulting GAP and F6P can be consumed through glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation or recycled by gluconeogenesis (Section 16-4) to form G6P.

When the need for R5P outstrips the need for NADPH, F6P and GAP can be diverted from the glycolytic pathway for use in the synthesis of R5P by reversal of the transaldolase and transketolase reactions. The relationship between glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway is diagrammed in **Fig. 15-34**.

Flux through the pentose phosphate pathway and thus the rate of NADPH production is controlled by the rate of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase reaction (Fig. 15-30, Reaction 1). The activity of the enzyme, which catalyzes the pathway's first committed step ($\Delta G = -17.6$ kJ · mol^{-1} in liver), is regulated by the NADP$^+$ concentration (i.e., regulation by substrate availability). When the cell consumes NADPH, the NADP$^+$ concentration rises, increasing the rate of the G6PD reaction and thereby stimulating NADPH regeneration. In some tissues, the amount of enzyme synthesized also appears to be under hormonal control. A deficiency in G6PD is the most common clinically significant enzyme defect of the pentose phosphate pathway (Box 15-4). Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome (Section 15-3B) is caused by the reduced activity of transketolase. Consequently, individuals with a mutant form of transketolase that binds TPP with 10% percent of the normal affinity are subject to Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome with even moderately thiamine-deficient diets.

CHECKPOINT

- Write the net equation for the pentose phosphate pathway.
- Summarize the reactions of each stage of the pathway.
- Compare the transketolase and transaldolase reactions in terms of substrates, products, mechanism, and cofactor requirement.
- How does flux through the pentose phosphate pathway change in response to the need for NADPH or ribose-5-phosphate?

The major reason for low enzymatic activity in affected cells appears to be an accelerated rate of breakdown of the mutant enzyme. This explains why patients with relatively mild forms of G6PD deficiency react to primaquine with hemolytic anemia but recover within a week despite continued primaquine treatment. Mature erythrocytes lack a nucleus and protein synthesizing machinery and therefore cannot synthesize new enzyme molecules to replace degraded ones (they likewise cannot synthesize new membrane components, which is why they are so sensitive to membrane damage in the first place). The initial primaquine treatments result in the lysis of old red blood cells whose defective G6PD has been largely degraded. Lysis products stimulate the release of young cells that contain more enzyme and are therefore better able to cope with primaquine stress.

It is estimated that more than 400 million people are deficient in G6PD, which makes this condition the most common human enzyme deficiency. Indeed, ~400 G6PD variants have been reported and at least 140 of them have been characterized at the molecular level. G6PD is active in a dimer–tetramer equilibrium. Many of the mutation sites in individuals with the most severe G6PD deficiency are at the dimer interface, shifting the equilibrium toward the inactive and unstable monomer.

The high prevalence of defective G6PD in malarial areas of the world suggests that such mutations confer resistance to the malarial parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum.* Indeed, erythrocytes with G6PD deficiency appear to be less suitable hosts for plasmodia than normal cells. Thus, like the sickle-cell trait (Section 7-1E), *a defective G6PD confers a selective advantage on individuals living where malaria is endemic.*

The G6PD deficiency affects primarily erythrocytes, in which the lack of a nucleus prevents replacement of the unstable mutant enzyme. However, the importance of NADPH in cells other than erythrocytes has been demonstrated through the development of mice in which the G6PD gene has been knocked out. All the cells in these animals are extremely sensitive to oxidative stress, even though they contain other mechanisms for eliminating reactive oxygen species.

Dr. Gopal Murti/Photo Researchers

Photo of red blood cells containing intracellular *Plasmodium falciparum* (the malarial parasite), here seen as yellow bodies (named schizonts), which generate the small red cells (called merozoites).

FIG. 15-34 Relationship between glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway. The pentose phosphate pathway, which begins with G6P produced in Step 1 of glycolysis, generates NADPH for use in reductive reactions and R5P for nucleotide synthesis. Excess R5P is converted to glycolytic intermediates by a sequence of reactions that can operate in reverse to generate additional R5P, if needed.

? Which portions of the pathways would be most active in a cell just before and just after cell division?

Summary

1 Overview of Glycolysis

- Glycolysis is a sequence of 10 enzyme-catalyzed reactions by which one molecule of glucose is converted to two molecules of pyruvate, with the net production of 2 ATP and the reduction of 2 NAD^+ to 2 NADH.

2 The Reactions of Glycolysis

- In the first stage of glycolysis, glucose is phosphorylated by hexokinase, isomerized by phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI), phosphorylated by phosphofructokinase (PFK), and cleaved by aldolase to yield the trioses glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) and dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP), which are interconverted by triose phosphate isomerase (TIM). These reactions consume 2 ATP per glucose.
- In the second stage of glycolysis, GAP is oxidatively phosphorylated by glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), dephosphorylated by phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) to produce ATP, isomerized by phosphoglycerate mutase (PGM), dehydrated by enolase, and dephosphorylated by pyruvate kinase to produce a second ATP and pyruvate. This stage produces 4 ATP per glucose for a net yield of 2 ATP per glucose.

3 Fermentation: The Anaerobic Fate of Pyruvate

- Under anaerobic conditions, pyruvate is reduced to regenerate NAD^+ for glycolysis. In homolactic fermentation, pyruvate is reversibly reduced to lactate.
- In alcoholic fermentation, pyruvate is decarboxylated by a thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP)–dependent mechanism, and the resulting acetaldehyde is reduced to ethanol.

4 Regulation of Glycolysis

- The glycolytic reactions catalyzed by hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase are metabolically irreversible.
- Phosphofructokinase is the primary flux control point for glycolysis. ATP inhibition of this allosteric enzyme is relieved by AMP and ADP, whose concentrations change more dramatically than those of ATP.
- The opposing reactions of the fructose-6-phosphate (F6P)/fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) substrate cycle allow large changes in glycolytic flux.

5 Metabolism of Hexoses Other than Glucose

- Fructose, galactose, and mannose are enzymatically converted to glycolytic intermediates for catabolism.

6 The Pentose Phosphate Pathway

- In the pentose phosphate pathway, glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) is oxidized and decarboxylated to produce two NADPH, CO_2, and ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P).
- Depending on the cell's needs, ribulose-5-phosphate may be isomerized to ribose-5-phosphate (R5P) for nucleotide synthesis or converted, via ribose-5-phosphate and xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P), to fructose-6-phosphate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, which can re-enter the glycolytic pathway.

Key Terms

glycolysis **479**
aldol cleavage **485**
enediol intermediate **485**
catalytic perfection **488**
mutase **492**
homolactic fermentation **497**
alcoholic fermentation **497**
TPP **499**
Pasteur effect **502**
substrate cycle **507**
pentose phosphate pathway **513**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Which of the 10 reactions of glycolysis are (a) phosphorylations, (b) isomerizations, (c) oxidation–reductions, (d) dehydrations, and (e) carbon–carbon bond cleavages?

2. When the pathogen *Salmonella typhimurium* infects mammalian cells, the host cell protease **caspase-1** is enabled to cleave and thereby activate signaling proteins that instigate the immune response. The targets of caspase-1 also include aldolase and enolase. What effect would this have on the infected cell and why might this be advantageous to the organism?

3. The reversible reaction shown here is part of the Calvin cycle, a pathway in photosynthetic organisms. Which glycolytic reaction does this reaction resemble and what type of enzyme catalyzes it?

4. Step 4 of the pentose phosphate pathway converts ribulose-5-phosphate to ribose-5-phosphate. Which glycolytic reaction does this reaction resemble and what type of enzyme catalyzes it?

5. The aldolase reaction can proceed in reverse as an enzymatic aldol condensation. If the enzyme were not stereospecific, how many different products would be obtained?

6. Bacterial aldolase does not form a Schiff base with the substrate. Instead, it has a divalent Zn^{2+} ion in the active site. How does the ion facilitate the aldolase reaction?

7. Identify the intermediate in the phosphoglucomutase reaction.

8. The compound you identified in Problem 7 is a metabolic regulator. Predict its effect on (a) hexokinase and (b) PFK.

9. The pyruvate → lactate reaction in animals is reversible, but the pyruvate → ethanol fermentation in yeast is irreversible. Explain.

10. The half-reactions involved in the lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) reaction and their standard reduction potentials are

$$\text{Pyruvate} + 2\,H^+ + 2\,e^- \longrightarrow \text{lactate} \qquad \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.185\ \text{V}$$

$$NAD^+ + 2\,H^+ + 2\,e^- \longrightarrow NADH + H^+ \qquad \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.315\ \text{V}$$

Calculate ΔG at pH 7.0 for the LDH-catalyzed reduction of pyruvate under the following conditions:

(a) [lactate]/[pyruvate] = 1 and $[NAD^+]/[NADH] = 1$

(b) [lactate]/[pyruvate] = 160 and $[NAD^+]/[NADH] = 160$

(c) [lactate]/[pyruvate] = 1000 and $[NAD^+]/[NADH] = 1000$

(d) Discuss the effect of the concentration ratios in Parts a–c on the direction of the reaction.

11. Why is it possible for the ΔG values in Table 15-1 to differ from the $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ values?

12. If a reaction has a $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ value of at least -30.5 kJ · mol^{-1}, sufficient to drive the synthesis of ATP ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = 30.5$ kJ · mol^{-1}), can it still drive the synthesis of ATP *in vivo* when its ΔG is only -10 kJ · mol^{-1}? Explain.

13. Although it is not the primary flux-control point for glycolysis, pyruvate kinase is subject to allosteric regulation. What is the metabolic importance of regulating flux through the pyruvate kinase reaction?

14. What is the advantage of activating pyruvate kinase with fructose-1,6-bisphosphate?

15. Tumor cells, which tend to grow rapidly, typically express high levels of the glycolytic enzymes. Explain the advantage of high glycolytic flux for these cells.

16. The pyruvate kinase isozyme in cancerous cells has low activity compared to the isozymes in other tissues. What effect would this have on the cells and how does it promote tumor growth?

17. Compare the ATP yield of three glucose molecules that enter glycolysis and are converted to pyruvate with that of three glucose molecules that proceed through the pentose phosphate pathway such that their carbon skeletons (as two F6P and one GAP) reenter glycolysis and are metabolized to pyruvate.

18. If G6P is labeled at its C2 position, where will the label appear in the products of the pentose phosphate pathway?

19. Draw the enediolate intermediate of the ribulose-5-phosphate isomerase reaction (Ru5P → R5P).

20. Draw the enediolate intermediate of the ribulose-5-phosphate epimerase reaction (Ru5P → Xu5P).

21. Describe the lengths of the products of the transketolase reaction when the two substrates are both five-carbon sugars.

22. Describe the products of the transketolase reaction when the substrates are a five-carbon aldose and a six-carbon ketose. Does it matter which of the substrates binds to the enzyme first?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. Arsenate (AsO_4^{3-}), a structural analog of phosphate, can act as a substrate for any reaction in which phosphate is a substrate. Arsenate esters, unlike phosphate esters, are kinetically as well as thermodynamically unstable and hydrolyze almost instantaneously. Write a balanced overall equation for the conversion of glucose to pyruvate in the presence of ATP, ADP, NAD^+, and either (a) phosphate or (b) arsenate. (c) Why is arsenate a poison?

24. The enzyme phosphoglucomutase interconverts glucose-1-phosphate and glucose-6-phosphate. Why is this enzyme likely to include a side chain such as Ser in its active site?

25. You combine 0.2 g of yeast, 0.2 g of sucrose and 10 mL of water, place the mixture inside an uninflated balloon, then tie off the opening of the balloon. (a) Explain what you would observe over the next hour. (b) What would you observe if you added iodoacetate to the mixture? (c) What would you observe if the mixture contained lactose rather than sucrose?

26. Nerve cells require a source of free energy to transport vesicles containing neurotransmitters along the length of the axon, where mitochondria are scarce. Explain why it makes sense that glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase is located on the surface of some transport vesicles.

27. $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the aldolase reaction is 22.8 kJ · mol^{-1}. In the cell at 37°C, [DHAP]/[GAP] = 5.5. Calculate the equilibrium ratio of [FBP]/[GAP] when [GAP] = 10^{-4} M.

28. The catalytic behavior of liver and brain phosphofructokinase-1 (PFK-1) was observed in the presence of AMP, P_i, and fructose-2,6-bisphosphate. The following table lists the concentrations of each effector required to achieve 50% of the maximal velocity. Compare the response of the two isozymes to the three effectors and discuss the possible implications of their different responses.

PFK-1 isozyme	[P_i]	[AMP]	[F2,6P]
Liver	200 μM	10 μM	0.05 μM
Brain	350 μM	75 μM	4.5 μM

29. Consider the pathway for catabolizing galactose. What are the potential control points for this pathway?

30. Yeast take up and metabolize galactose, using the pathway outlined in Fig. 15-28. Galactose-1-phosphate, an intermediate of this pathway, inhibits phosphoglucomutase. Can this explain why galactose catabolism is much slower than glucose catabolism in yeast?

31. (a) Describe how glycerol enters the glycolytic pathway. (b) What is the ATP yield for the conversion of glycerol to pyruvate?

32. Some organisms can anaerobically convert glycerol to pyruvate. Could homolactic or alcoholic fermentation regenerate sufficient NAD^+ to support this pathway?

33. Explain why some tissues continue to produce CO_2 in the presence of high concentrations of fluoride ion, which inhibits glycolysis.

34. Some bacteria catabolize glucose by the **Entner–Doudoroff pathway,** a variant of glycolysis in which glucose-6-phosphate is converted to 6-phosphogluconate (as in the pentose phosphate pathway) and then to **2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (KDPG).**

KDPG

Next, an aldolase acts on KDPG. (a) Draw the structures of the products of the KDPG aldolase reaction. (b) Describe how these reaction products are further metabolized by glycolytic enzymes. (c) What is the ATP yield when glucose is metabolized to pyruvate by the Entner–Doudoroff pathway? How does this compare to the ATP yield of glycolysis?

35. A proposed pathway for ascorbic acid (vitamin C) biosynthesis in plants takes the form

D-glucose-6-phosphate $\xrightarrow{1}$ D-fructose-6-phosphate $\xrightarrow{2}$
D-mannose-6-phosphate $\xrightarrow{3}$ D-mannose-1-phosphate $\xrightarrow{4}$
GDP– D-mannose $\xrightarrow{5}$ GDP–L-galactose $\xrightarrow{6}$
L-galactose-1-phosphate $\xrightarrow{7}$ L-galactose $\xrightarrow{8}$
L-galactono-1,4-lactone $\xrightarrow{9}$ L-ascorbic acid

Match each of the following enzymes to one of the pathway steps.

(a) galactose dehydrogenase
(b) GDP–mannose-3,5-epimerase
(c) phosphoglucose isomerase
(d) phosphomannose isomerase
(e) phosphomannose mutase

36. For enzymes a–e in Problem 35, identify their closest counterparts described in Chapter 15.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Hexokinase Structure and Ligand Binding

Exercise 2 Glycolysis and the KEGG Database

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 18 Purification of Phosphofructokinase 1-C

Focus concept: The purification of the C isozyme of PFK-1 is presented and the kinetic properties of the purified enzyme are examined.
Prerequisites: Chapters 5, 12, and 15

- Protein purification techniques
- Enzyme kinetics and inhibition
- The glycolytic pathway

Case 20 NAD^+-Dependent Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase from *Thermoproteus tenax*

Focus concept: Glycolytic enzymes from *T. tenax* are regulated in an unusual manner.
Prerequisites: Chapters 7, 12, and 15

- The glycolytic pathway
- Enzyme kinetics and inhibition
- The cooperative nature of regulated enzymes

MORE TO EXPLORE Plant cell walls, which contain significant amounts of cellulose, are a source of glucose that can be converted to ethanol by microbial fermentation. Outline the metabolic pathways involved in the conversion of cellulose to ethanol. In order for the production of cellulosic biofuels to become economically viable, what steps of the process must be optimized? Why are genetically engineered organisms part of this effort?

References

Bernstein, B.E., Michels, P.A.M., and Hol, W.G.J., Synergistic effects of substrate-induced conformational changes in phosphoglycerate activation, *Nature* **385,** 275–278 (1997).

Dalby, A., Dauter, Z., and Littlechild, J.A., Crystal structure of human muscle aldolase complexed with fructose 1,6-bisphosphate: Mechanistic implications, *Protein Sci.* **8,** 291–297 (1999).

Depre, C., Rider, M.H., and Hue, L., Mechanisms of control of heart glycolysis, *Eur. J. Biochem.* **258,** 277–290 (1998). [Discusses how the control of glycolysis in heart muscle is distributed among several enzymes, transporters, and other pathways.]

Frank, R.A., Leeper, F.J., and Luisi, B.F., Structure, mechanism and catalytic duality of thiamine-dependent enzymes, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **64,** 892–905 (2007).

Frey, P.A., The Leloir pathway: a mechanistic imperative for three enzymes to change the stereochemical configuration of a single carbon in galactose, *FASEB J.* **10,** 461–470 (1996).

Gefflaut, T., Blonski, C., Perie, J., and Wilson, M., Class I aldolases: substrate specificity, mechanism, inhibitors and structural aspects, *Prog. Biophys. Molec. Biol.* **63,** 301–340 (1995).

Hamanaka, R.B. and Chandel, N.S., Targeting glucose metabolism for cancer therapy, *J. Exp. Med.* **209,** 211–215 (2012).

Hofmeyr, J.-H.S. and Cornish-Bowden, A., Regulating the cellular economy of supply and demand, *FEBS Lett.* **476,** 47–51 (2000).

Howes, R.E., Battle, K.E., Satyagraha, A.W., Baird, J.K., and Hay, S.I., G6PD deficiency: global distribution, genetic variants and primaquine therapy, *Adv. Parasitol.* **81,** 133–201 (2013).

Muirhead, H. and Watson, H., Glycolytic enzymes; from hexose to pyruvate, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2,** 870–876 (1992). [A brief summary of the structures of glycolytic enzymes.]

Schirmer, T. and Evans, P.R., Structural basis of the allosteric behaviour of phosphofructokinase, *Nature* **343,** 140–145 (1990).

Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* http://www.ommbid.com/ [Chapters 70 and 72 discuss fructose and galactose metabolism and their genetic disorders. Chapter 179 discusses glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency.]

Don W Fawcett / Getty Images

Glycogen particles, which consist of several enormous branched polymers of glucose residues, are stained green in this electron micrograph of salamander liver. In all animals, glycogen is a key form of stored metabolic energy that is built up and broken down according to the needs of the body.

CHAPTER 16

Glycogen Metabolism and Gluconeogenesis

Chapter Contents

1 **Glycogen Breakdown**
- A Glycogen Phosphorylase Degrades Glycogen to Glucose-1-Phosphate
- B Glycogen Debranching Enzyme Acts as a Glucosyltransferase
- C Phosphoglucomutase Interconverts Glucose-1-Phosphate and Glucose-6-Phosphate

2 **Glycogen Synthesis**
- A UDP–Glucose Pyrophosphorylase Activates Glucosyl Units
- B Glycogen Synthase Extends Glycogen Chains
- C Glycogen Branching Enzyme Transfers Seven-Residue Glycogen Segments

3 **Control of Glycogen Metabolism**
- A Glycogen Phosphorylase and Glycogen Synthase Are under Allosteric Control
- B Glycogen Phosphorylase and Glycogen Synthase Undergo Control by Covalent Modification
- C Glycogen Metabolism Is Subject to Hormonal Control

4 **Gluconeogenesis**
- A Pyruvate Is Converted to Phosphoenolpyruvate in Two Steps
- B Hydrolytic Reactions Bypass Irreversible Glycolytic Reactions
- C Gluconeogenesis and Glycolysis Are Independently Regulated

5 **Other Carbohydrate Biosynthetic Pathways**

Glycogen (in animals, fungi, and bacteria) and starch (in plants) function to stockpile glucose for later metabolic use. In animals, a constant supply of glucose is essential for tissues such as the brain and red blood cells, which depend almost entirely on glucose as an energy source (other tissues can also oxidize fatty acids or amino acids for energy; Sections 20-2 and 21-4). The mobilization of glucose from glycogen stores, primarily in the liver, provides a constant supply of glucose (~5 mM in blood) to all tissues. When glucose is plentiful, such as immediately after a meal, glycogen synthesis accelerates. Yet the liver's capacity to store glycogen is sufficient to supply the brain with glucose for only about half a day. Under fasting conditions, most of the body's glucose needs are met by **gluconeogenesis** (literally, new glucose synthesis) from noncarbohydrate precursors such as amino acids. Not surprisingly, the regulation of glucose synthesis, storage, mobilization, and catabolism by glycolysis (Section 15-2) or the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6) is elaborate and is sensitive to the immediate and long-term energy needs of the organism.

The importance of glycogen for glucose storage is plainly illustrated by the effects of deficiencies of the enzymes that release stored glucose. **McArdle's disease,** for example, is an inherited condition whose major symptom is painful muscle cramps on exertion. The muscles in afflicted individuals lack the enzyme required for glycogen breakdown to yield glucose. Although glycogen is synthesized normally, it cannot supply fuel for glycolysis to keep up with the demand for ATP.

Figure 16-1 summarizes the metabolic uses of glucose. Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), a key branch point, is derived from free glucose through the action of hexokinase (Section 15-2A) or is the product of glycogen breakdown or gluconeogenesis. G6P has several possible fates: It can be used to synthesize glycogen; it can be catabolized via glycolysis to yield ATP and carbon atoms (as acetyl-CoA) that are further oxidized by the citric acid cycle; and it can be shunted through the pentose phosphate pathway to generate NADPH and/or ribose-5-phosphate. In the liver and kidney, G6P can be converted to glucose for export to other tissues via the bloodstream.

The opposing processes of glycogen synthesis and degradation, and of glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, are reciprocally regulated; that is, one is largely turned on while the other is largely turned off. In this chapter, we examine the enzymatic steps of glycogen metabolism and gluconeogenesis, paying particular attention to the regulatory mechanisms that ensure efficient operation of opposing metabolic pathways.

FIG. 16-1 Overview of glucose metabolism. Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) is produced by the phosphorylation of free glucose, by glycogen degradation, and by gluconeogenesis. It is also a precursor for glycogen synthesis and the pentose phosphate pathway. The liver can hydrolyze G6P to glucose. Glucose is metabolized by glycolysis to pyruvate, which can be further broken down to acetyl-CoA for oxidation by the citric acid cycle. Lactate and amino acids, which are reversibly converted to pyruvate, are precursors for gluconeogenesis. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Without looking at the figure, list the sources and fates of glucose-6-phosphate.

1 Glycogen Breakdown

KEY CONCEPTS

- Glycogen, the storage form of glucose, is a branched polymer.
- Glucose mobilization in the liver involves a series of conversions from glycogen to glucose-1-phosphate to glucose-6-phosphate and finally to glucose.

Glycogen is a polymer of α(1→4)-linked D-glucose with α(1→6)-linked branches every 8–14 residues (Fig. 16-2*a,b* and Section 8-2C). Glycogen occurs as intracellular granules of 100- to 400-Å-diameter spheroidal molecules that each contain up to 120,000 glucose units (Fig. 16-2*c*). The granules are especially prominent in the cells that make the greatest use of glycogen: muscle (up to 1–2% glycogen by weight) and liver cells (up to 10% glycogen by weight; Fig. 8-11). Glycogen granules also contain the enzymes that catalyze glycogen synthesis and degradation as well as many of the proteins that regulate these processes.

Glucose units are mobilized by their sequential removal from the nonreducing ends of glycogen (the ends lacking a C1-OH group). Whereas glycogen has only one reducing end, there is a nonreducing end on every branch. *Glycogen's highly branched structure therefore permits rapid glucose mobilization through the simultaneous release of the glucose units at the end of every branch.*

Glycogen breakdown, or **glycogenolysis**, requires three enzymes:

1. **Glycogen phosphorylase** (or simply **phosphorylase**) catalyzes glycogen **phosphorolysis** (bond cleavage by the substitution of a phosphate group) to yield **glucose-1-phosphate (G1P):**

$$\underset{(n\text{ residues})}{\text{Glycogen}} + P_i \rightleftharpoons \underset{(n-1\text{ residues})}{\text{Glycogen}} + \text{G1P}$$

The enzyme releases a glucose unit only if it is at least five units away from a branch point.

FIG. 16-2 The structure of glycogen. (*a*) Molecular formula. In the actual molecule, there are ~12 residues per chain. (*b*) Schematic diagram of glycogen's branched structure. Note that the molecule has many nonreducing ends but only one reducing end. *In vivo,* the reducing end is covalently linked to the protein glycogenin. (*c*) Electron micrograph of a glycogen granule from rat skeletal muscle. Each granule (labeled α) consists of several spherical glycogen molecules (β) and associated proteins. [From Calder, P.C., *Int. J. Biochem.* **23,** 1339 (1991). Copyright Elsevier Science. Used with permission.]

2. **Glycogen debranching enzyme** removes glycogen's branches, thereby making additional glucose residues accessible to glycogen phosphorylase.
3. **Phosphoglucomutase** converts G1P to G6P, which has several metabolic fates (Fig. 16-1).

Several key features of glycogen metabolism were discovered by the team of Carl and Gerty Cori (Box 16-1).

A Glycogen Phosphorylase Degrades Glycogen to Glucose-1-Phosphate

Glycogen phosphorylase is a dimer of identical 842-residue (97-kD) subunits that catalyzes the rate-controlling step in glycogen breakdown. It is regulated both by allosteric interactions and by covalent modification (phosphorylation

Box 16-1 Pathways of Discovery Carl and Gerty Cori and Glucose Metabolism

Carl F. Cori (1896–1984)
Gerty T. Cori (1896–1957)

A lifelong collaboration commenced with the marriage of Carl and Gerty Cori in 1920. Although the Coris began their professional work in Austria, they fled the economic and social hardships of Europe in 1922 and moved to Buffalo, New York. They later made their way to Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, where Carl served as chair of the pharmacology department and, later, chair of the biochemistry department. Despite her role as an equal partner in their research work, Gerty officially remained a research associate.

The Coris' research focused primarily on the metabolism of glucose. One of their first discoveries was the connection between glucose metabolism in muscle and glycogen metabolism in the liver. The "Cori cycle" (Section 22-1F) describes how lactate produced by glycolysis in active muscle is transported to the liver, where it is used to synthesize glucose that is stored as glycogen until needed.

After describing the interorgan movement of glucose in intact animals, the Coris turned their attention to the metabolic fate of glucose, specifically, the intermediates and enzymes of glucose metabolism. In 1936, using a preparation of minced frog muscle, the Coris found glucose in the form of a phosphate ester (called the Cori ester, now known as glucose-1-phosphate). They traced the presence of the Cori ester to the activity of a phosphorylase (glycogen phosphorylase). This was a notable discovery, because the enzyme used phosphate, rather than water, to split glucose residues from the ends of glycogen chains. Even more remarkably, the enzyme could be made to work in reverse to elongate a glycogen polymer by adding glucose residues (from glucose-1-phosphate). For the first time, a large biological molecule could be synthesized *in vitro*.

During the 1940s, the Coris unraveled many of the secrets of glycogen phosphorylase. For example, they found that the enzyme exists in two forms, one that requires the activator AMP and one that is active in the absence of an allosteric activator. Although it was not immediately appreciated that the differences between the two forms resulted from the presence of covalently bound phosphate, this work laid the foundation for subsequent research on enzyme regulation through phosphorylation and dephosphorylation.

Carl and Gerty Cori also described phosphoglucomutase, the enzyme that converts glucose-1-phosphate to glucose-6-phosphate so that it can participate in other pathways of glucose metabolism. Over time, the Cori lab became a magnet for scientists interested in purifying and characterizing other enzymes of glucose metabolism.

Perhaps because of their experience with discrimination and — especially for Gerty — the lack of equal opportunity, the Cori lab welcomed a more diverse group of scientists than was typical of labs of that era. The Coris received the 1947 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine. Several of their junior colleagues, Arthur Kornberg (see Box 25-1), Severo Ochoa, Luis Leloir, Earl Sutherland, Christian de Duve, and Edwin G. Krebs, later earned Nobel prizes of their own, quite possibly reflecting the work ethic, broad view of science and medicine, and meticulous work habits instilled by Carl and Gerty Cori.

Cori, G.T., Colowick, S.P., and Cori, C.F., The activity of the phosphorylating enzyme in muscle extracts, *J. Biol. Chem.* **127,** 771–782 (1939).

Kornberg, A., Remembering our teachers, *J. Biol. Chem. Reflections,* www.jbc.org.

and dephosphorylation). Phosphorylase's allosteric inhibitors (ATP, G6P, and glucose) and its allosteric activator (AMP) interact differently with the phospho and dephosphoenzymes, resulting in an extremely sensitive regulation process. The structure of glycogen phosphorylase as well as its regulation by phosphorylation are discussed in Section 12-3B.

An ~30-Å-long crevice on the surface of the phosphorylase monomer connects the glycogen storage site to the active site (Fig. 12-15). *Since this crevice can accommodate four or five sugar residues in a chain but is too narrow to admit branched oligosaccharides, it provides a clear physical rationale for the inability of phosphorylase to cleave glycosyl residues closer than five units from a branch point.* Presumably, the glycogen storage site increases the catalytic efficiency of phosphorylase by permitting it to phosphorylyze many glucose residues on the same glycogen particle without having to dissociate and reassociate completely between catalytic cycles.

Pyridoxal-5′-phosphate (PLP)

Phosphorylase binds the cofactor **pyridoxal-5′-phosphate** (**PLP;** *at left*), which it requires for activity. This prosthetic group, a **vitamin B_6** derivative, is covalently linked to the enzyme via a Schiff base (imine) formed between its aldehyde group and the ε-amino group of Lys 680. PLP also occurs in a variety of enzymes involved in amino acid metabolism, where PLP's conjugated ring system functions catalytically to delocalize electrons (Sections 21-2A and 21-4A). In phosphorylase, however, only the phosphate group participates in catalysis, where it acts as a general acid–base catalyst. Phosphorolysis of glycogen

proceeds by a Random mechanism (Section 12-1D) involving an enzyme • P_i • glycogen ternary complex. An oxonium ion intermediate forms during C1—O1 bond cleavage, similar to the transition state that forms in the reaction catalyzed by lysozyme (Section 11-4B). The phosphorylase reaction mechanism is diagrammed in **Fig. 16-3**, which shows how PLP's phosphate group functions as a general acid–base catalyst.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 16-3 The reaction mechanism of glycogen phosphorylase. PL is an enzyme-bound pyridoxal group; BH^+ is a positively charged amino acid side chain, probably that of Lys 568, necessary for maintaining PLP electrical neutrality. The reaction occurs via a shielded oxonium ion intermediate and involves acid catalysis by P_i as facilitated by proton transfer from PLP. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Glycogen Phosphorylase Undergoes Conformational Changes. The structural differences between the active (R) and inactive (T) conformations of phosphorylase (Fig. 12-16) are fairly well understood in terms of the symmetry model of allosterism (Section 7-1D). The T-state enzyme has a buried active site and hence a low affinity for its substrates, whereas the R-state enzyme has an accessible catalytic site and a high-affinity phosphate-binding site.

AMP promotes phosphorylase's T (*inactive*) → R (*active*) conformational shift by binding to the R state of the enzyme at its allosteric effector site. This conformational change results in increased access of the substrate to the active site by disordering a loop of residues (282–286) that otherwise block the active site. The conformational change also causes the Arg 569 side chain, which is located in the active site near the PLP and the P_i-binding site, to rotate in a way that increases the enzyme's binding affinity for its anionic P_i substrate (Fig. 12-16).

ATP also binds to the allosteric effector site, but in the T state, so that it inhibits rather than promotes the T → R conformational shift. This is because the β- and γ-phosphate groups of ATP prevent the alignment of its ribose and α-phosphate groups that are required for the conformational changes elicited by AMP.

Phosphorylation and dephosphorylation alter enzymatic activity in a manner reminiscent of allosteric regulation. The phosphate group has a double negative charge (a property not shared by naturally occurring amino acid residues) and its covalent attachment to Ser 14 causes dramatic tertiary and quaternary changes as the N-terminal segment moves to allow the phospho-Ser to ion pair with two cationic Arg residues. *The presence of the Ser 14–phosphoryl group causes conformational changes similar to those triggered by AMP binding, thereby shifting the enzyme's T ⇌ R equilibrium in favor of the R state.* This accounts for the observation that phosphorylase *b* (the unphosphorylated enzyme) requires AMP for activity and that the *a* form (the phosphorylated enzyme) is active without AMP. We return to the regulation of phosphorylase activity when we discuss the mechanisms that balance glycogen synthesis against glycogen degradation (Section 16-3).

B Glycogen Debranching Enzyme Acts as a Glucosyltransferase

Phosphorolysis proceeds along a glycogen branch until it approaches to within four or five residues of an α(1 → 6) branch point, leaving a "limit branch." Glycogen debranching enzyme acts as an **α(1 → 4) transglycosylase** (glycosyltransferase) by transferring an α(1 → 4)-linked trisaccharide unit from a limit branch of glycogen to the nonreducing end of another branch (**Fig. 16-4**). This reaction forms a new α(1 → 4) linkage with three more units available for phosphorylase-catalyzed phosphorolysis. The α(1 → 6) bond linking the remaining glycosyl residue in the branch to the main chain is hydrolyzed (not phosphorolyzed) by the same debranching enzyme to yield glucose and debranched glycogen. About 10% of the residues in glycogen (those at the branch points) are therefore converted to glucose rather than G1P. *Debranching enzyme has separate active sites for the transferase and the α(1 → 6)-glucosidase reactions.* The presence of two independent catalytic activities on the same enzyme no doubt improves the efficiency of the debranching process.

The maximal rate of the glycogen phosphorylase reaction is much greater than that of the glycogen debranching reaction. Consequently, the outermost branches of glycogen, which constitute nearly half of its residues, are degraded in muscle in a few seconds under conditions of high metabolic demand. Glycogen degradation beyond this point requires debranching and hence occurs more slowly. This, in part, accounts for the fact that a muscle can sustain its maximum exertion for only a few seconds.

FIG. 16-4 The reactions catalyzed by debranching enzyme. The enzyme transfers the terminal three α(1 → 4)-linked glucose residues from a "limit branch" of glycogen to the nonreducing end of another branch. The α(1 → 6) bond of the residue remaining at the branch point is hydrolyzed by further action of debranching enzyme to yield free glucose. The newly elongated branch is subject to degradation by glycogen phosphorylase.

? List the number and type of the monosaccharides produced by the complete degradation of the molecule shown here.

C Phosphoglucomutase Interconverts Glucose-1-Phosphate and Glucose-6-Phosphate

Phosphorylase converts the glucosyl units of glycogen to G1P, which, in turn, is converted by phosphoglucomutase to G6P. The phosphoglucomutase reaction is similar to that catalyzed by phosphoglycerate mutase (Section 15-2H). A phosphoryl group is transferred from the active phosphoenzyme to G1P, forming **glucose-1,6-bisphosphate (G1,6P),** which then rephosphorylates the enzyme to yield G6P (Fig. 16-5; this near-equilibrium reaction also functions in reverse). An important difference between this enzyme and phosphoglycerate mutase is

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 16-5 The mechanism of phosphoglucomutase. WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

? Explain why this reaction is freely reversible.

Box 16-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Glycogen Storage Diseases

Glycogen storage diseases are inherited disorders that affect glycogen metabolism, producing glycogen that is abnormal in either quantity or quality. Studies of the genetic defects that underlie these diseases have helped elucidate the complexities of glycogen metabolism (e.g., McArdle's disease). Conversely, the biochemical characterization of the pathways affected by a genetic disease often leads to useful strategies for its treatment. The table on p. 531 lists the enzyme deficiencies associated with each type of glycogen storage disease.

Glycogen storage diseases that mainly affect the liver generally produce **hepatomegaly** (enlarged liver) and **hypoglycemia** (low blood sugar), whereas glycogen storage diseases that affect the muscles cause muscle cramps and weakness. Both types of disease may also cause cardiovascular and renal disturbances.

Type I: Glucose-6-Phosphatase Deficiency (von Gierke's Disease). Glucose-6-phosphatase catalyzes the final step leading to the release of glucose into the bloodstream by the liver. Deficiency of the enzyme results in an increase of intracellular [G6P], which leads to a large accumulation of glycogen in the liver and kidney (because G6P activates glycogen synthase) and to an inability to increase blood glucose concentration in response to the hormones glucagon or epinephrine. The symptoms of Type I glycogen storage disease include severe hepatomegaly and hypoglycemia and a general failure to thrive. Treatment of the disease has included drug-induced inhibition of glucose uptake by the liver (to increase blood [glucose]), continuous intragastric feeding overnight (again to increase blood [glucose]), surgical transposition of the portal vein, which ordinarily feeds the liver directly from the intestines (to allow this glucose-rich blood to reach peripheral tissues before it reaches the liver), and liver transplantation.

Type II: α-1,4-Glucosidase Deficiency (Pompe's Disease). α-1,4-Glucosidase deficiency is the most devastating of the glycogen storage diseases. It results in a large accumulation of glycogen of normal structure in the lysosomes of all cells and causes death by cardiorespiratory failure, usually before the age of 1 year. α-1,4-Glucosidase is not involved in the main pathways of glycogen metabolism. It occurs in lysosomes, where it hydrolyzes maltose (a glucose disaccharide) and other linear oligosaccharides, as well as the outer branches of glycogen, thereby yielding free glucose. Normally, this alternative pathway of glycogen metabolism is not quantitatively important, and its physiological significance is unknown.

Type III: Amylo-1,6-Glucosidase (Debranching Enzyme) Deficiency (Cori's Disease). In Cori's disease, glycogen of abnormal structure containing very short outer chains accumulates in both liver and muscle since, in the absence of debranching enzyme, the glycogen cannot be further degraded. The resulting hypoglycemia is not as severe as in von Gierke's disease (Type I) and can be treated with frequent feedings and a high-protein diet (to offset the loss of amino acids used for gluconeogenesis). For unknown reasons, the symptoms of Cori's disease often disappear at puberty.

Type IV: Amylo-(1,4 → 1,6)-Transglycosylase (Branching Enzyme) Deficiency (Andersen's Disease). Andersen's disease is one of the most severe glycogen storage diseases; victims rarely survive past the age of 4 years. Liver glycogen is present in normal concentrations, but it contains long unbranched chains that greatly reduce its solubility. The abnormal glycogen leads to tissue swelling and scarring (cirrhosis) and eventually liver failure.

Type V: Muscle Phosphorylase Deficiency (McArdle's Disease). The symptoms of McArdle's disease, painful muscle cramps on exertion, typically do not appear until early adulthood and can be prevented by avoiding strenuous exercise. This condition affects glycogen metabolism in muscle but not in liver, which contains normal amounts of a different phosphorylase isozyme.

Type VI: Liver Phosphorylase Deficiency (Hers' Disease). Patients with a deficiency of liver glycogen phosphorylase have symptoms similar to those with mild forms of Type I glycogen storage disease. The hypoglycemia in this case results from the inability of liver glycogen phosphorylase to respond to the need for circulating glucose.

Type VII: Muscle Phosphofructokinase Deficiency (Tarui's Disease). The result of a deficiency of the glycolytic enzyme PFK in muscle is an abnormal buildup of the glycolytic metabolites G6P and F6P. High concentrations of G6P increase the activities of glycogen synthase and UDP–glucose pyrophosphorylase (G6P is in equilibrium with G1P, which is a substrate for UDP–glucose pyrophosphorylase, an enzyme required for glycogen synthesis) so that glycogen accumulates in

that the phosphoryl group in phosphoglucomutase is covalently bound to a Ser hydroxyl group rather than to a His imidazole nitrogen.

Glucose-6-Phosphatase Generates Glucose in the Liver. The G6P produced by glycogen breakdown can continue along the glycolytic pathway or the pentose phosphate pathway (note that the glucose is already phosphorylated, so that the ATP-consuming hexokinase-catalyzed phosphorylation of glucose is bypassed). In the liver, G6P is also made available for use by other tissues. Because G6P cannot exit the cell, it is first hydrolyzed by **glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase):**

$$\text{G6P} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{glucose} + \text{P}_i$$

muscle. Other symptoms are similar to those of muscle phosphorylase deficiency since PFK deficiency prevents glycolysis from keeping up with the ATP demand in contracting muscle.

Type VIII: X-Linked Phosphorylase Kinase Deficiency. Some individuals with symptoms of Type VI glycogen storage disease have normal phosphorylase enzymes but a defective phosphorylase kinase, which results in their inability to convert phosphorylase *b* to phosphorylase *a*. The α subunit of phosphorylase kinase is encoded by a gene on the X chromosome, so Type VIII disease is X-linked rather than autosomal recessive, as are the other glycogen storage diseases.

Type IX: Phosphorylase Kinase Deficiency. Phosphorylase kinase deficiency, an autosomal recessive disease, results from a mutation in one of the genes that encode the β, γ, and δ subunits of phosphorylase kinase. Because different tissues contain different phosphorylase kinase isozymes, the symptoms and severity of the disease vary according to the affected organs. Techniques for identifying genetic lesions are therefore more reliable than clinical symptoms for diagnosing a particular glycogen storage disease.

Type 0: Liver Glycogen Synthase Deficiency. Liver glycogen synthase deficiency is the only disease of glycogen metabolism in which there is a deficiency rather than an overabundance of glycogen. The activity of liver glycogen synthase is extremely low in individuals with Type 0 disease, who exhibit hyperglycemia after meals and hypoglycemia at other times. Some individuals, however, are asymptomatic, which suggests that there may be multiple forms of this autosomal recessive disorder.

Hereditary Glycogen Storage Diseases

Type	Enzyme Deficiency	Tissue	Common Name	Glycogen Structure
I	Glucose-6-phosphatase	Liver	von Gierke's disease	Normal
II	α-1,4-Glucosidase	All lysosomes	Pompe's disease	Normal
III	Amylo-1,6-glucosidase (debranching enzyme)	All organs	Cori's disease	Outer chains missing or very short
IV	Amylo-(1,4 → 1,6)-transglycosylase (branching enzyme)	Liver, probably all organs	Andersen's disease	Very long unbranched chains
V	Glycogen phosphorylase	Muscle	McArdle's disease	Normal
VI	Glycogen phosphorylase	Liver	Hers' disease	Normal
VII	Phosphofructokinase	Muscle	Tarui's disease	Normal
VIII	Phosphorylase kinase	Liver	X-Linked phosphorylase kinase deficiency	Normal
IX	Phosphorylase kinase	All organs		Normal
0	Glycogen synthase	Liver		Normal, deficient in quantity

Although G6P is produced in the cytosol, G6Pase resides in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane. Consequently G6P must be imported into the ER by a **G6P translocase** before it can be hydrolyzed. The resulting glucose and P_i are then returned to the cytosol via specific transport proteins. A defect in any of the components of this G6P hydrolysis system results in **type I glycogen storage disease** (Box 16-2). Glucose leaves the liver cell via a specific glucose transporter named **GLUT2** and is carried by the blood to other tissues. Muscle and other tissues lack G6Pase and therefore retain their G6P.

CHECKPOINT

- List the metabolic sources and fates of G6P.
- Describe the structure of a glycogen molecule. What is the advantage of its branched structure?
- List the three enzymes involved in glycogen degradation and describe the type of reactions they catalyze.
- List the activators and inhibitors of glycogen phosphorylase. How does phosphorylation affect its activity?

2 Glycogen Synthesis

KEY CONCEPTS

- Liver glycogen synthesis involves a series of conversions from glucose to glucose-6-phosphate, to UDP–glucose, and finally to glycogen.
- UDP–glucose is an activated molecule.
- Glycogen is extended from a primer built on and by the protein glycogenin.

The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the glycogen phosphorylase reaction is $+3.1$ kJ · mol^{-1}, but under physiological conditions, glycogen breakdown is exergonic ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -5$ to -8 kJ · mol^{-1}). The synthesis of glycogen from G1P under physiological conditions is therefore thermodynamically unfavorable without free energy input. Consequently *glycogen synthesis and breakdown must occur by separate pathways.* This recurrent metabolic strategy—that biosynthetic and degradative pathways of metabolism are different—is particularly important when both pathways must operate under similar physiological conditions. This situation is thermodynamically impossible if one pathway is just the reverse of the other.

It was not thermodynamics, however, that led to recognition of the separation of synthetic and degradative pathways for glycogen, but McArdle's disease. Individuals with the disease lack muscle glycogen phosphorylase activity and therefore cannot break down glycogen. Yet their muscles contain moderately high quantities of normal glycogen. Clearly, glycogen synthesis does not require glycogen phosphorylase. In this section, we describe the three enzymes that participate in glycogen synthesis: **UDP–glucose pyrophosphorylase, glycogen synthase,** and **glycogen branching enzyme.** The opposing reactions of glycogen synthesis and degradation are diagrammed in Fig. 16-6.

A UDP–Glucose Pyrophosphorylase Activates Glucosyl Units

Since the direct conversion of G1P to glycogen and P_i is thermodynamically unfavorable (positive ΔG) under physiological conditions, glycogen biosynthesis requires an exergonic step. This is accomplished, as Luis Leloir discovered in 1957, by combining G1P with uridine triphosphate (UTP) in a reaction catalyzed by UDP–glucose pyrophosphorylase (Fig. 16-7). The product of this reaction, **uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP–glucose** or **UDPG)**, is an "activated" compound that can donate a glucosyl unit to the growing glycogen chain. The formation of UDPG itself has $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} \approx 0$ (it is a phosphoanhydride exchange reaction),

FIG. 16-6 Opposing pathways of glycogen synthesis and degradation. The exergonic process of glycogen breakdown is reversed by a process that uses UTP to generate a UDP–glucose intermediate.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 16-7 The reaction catalyzed by UDP–glucose pyrophosphorylase. This enzyme, which is named for its reverse reaction, catalyzes a phosphoanhydride exchange reaction. The reaction is driven to completion by PP_i hydrolysis. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Identify the "high-energy" bonds that are broken and formed.**

but the subsequent exergonic hydrolysis of PP_i by the omnipresent enzyme inorganic pyrophosphatase makes the overall reaction exergonic.

	$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ (kJ · mol^{-1})
G1P + UTP $\rightleftharpoons$ UDPG + PP_i	~0
H_2O + $PP_i \longrightarrow 2P_i$	−19.2
Overall G1P + UTP $\longrightarrow$ UDPG + $2P_i$	−19.2

This is an example of the common biosynthetic strategy of cleaving a nucleoside triphosphate to form PP_i. The free energy of PP_i hydrolysis can then be used to drive an otherwise unfavorable reaction to completion (Section 14-2B); the near total elimination of the PP_i by the highly exergonic (irreversible) pyrophosphatase reaction prevents the reverse of the PP_i-producing reaction from occurring.

B Glycogen Synthase Extends Glycogen Chains

In the next step of glycogen synthesis, the glycogen synthase reaction, the glucosyl unit of UDPG is transferred to the C4-OH group on one of glycogen's

nonreducing ends to form an $\alpha(1 \rightarrow 4)$ glycosidic bond. The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the glycogen synthase reaction

$$\text{UDPG} + \underset{(n\ \text{residues})}{\text{glycogen}} \rightarrow \text{UDP} + \underset{(n+1\ \text{residues})}{\text{glycogen}}$$

is $-13.4\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, making the overall reaction spontaneous under the same conditions that glycogen breakdown by glycogen phosphorylase is also spontaneous. However, glycogen synthesis does have an energetic price. Combining the first two reactions of glycogen synthesis gives

$$\underset{(n\ \text{residues})}{\text{Glycogen}} + \text{G1P} + \text{UTP} \rightarrow \underset{(n+1\ \text{residues})}{\text{glycogen}} + \text{UDP} + 2\text{P}_i$$

Thus, *one molecule of UTP is cleaved to UDP for each glucose residue incorporated into glycogen.* The UTP is replenished through a phosphoryl-transfer reaction mediated by nucleoside diphosphate kinase (Section 14-2C):

$$\text{UDP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{UTP} + \text{ADP}$$

so that UTP consumption is energetically equivalent to ATP consumption.

The transfer of a glucosyl unit from UDPG to a growing glycogen chain involves the formation of a glycosyl oxonium ion by the elimination of UDP, a good leaving group (Fig. 16-8). The enzyme is inhibited by **1,5-gluconolactone** (*at left*), an analog that mimics the oxonium ion's half-chair geometry. The same analog inhibits both glycogen phosphorylase (Section 16-1A) and lysozyme (Section 11-4), which have similar mechanisms.

1,5-Gluconolactone

Human muscle glycogen synthase is a homotetramer of 737-residue subunits (the liver isozyme has 703-residue subunits). Like glycogen phosphorylase, it has two enzymatically interconvertible forms; in this case, however, the phosphorylated *b* form is less active, and the original (dephosphorylated) *a* form is more active. (Note: For enzymes subject to covalent modification, "*a*" refers to the more active form and "*b*" refers to the less active form.)

Glycogen synthase is under allosteric control; it is strongly inhibited by physiological concentrations of ATP, ADP, and P_i. In fact, the phosphorylated

FIG. 16-8 The reaction catalyzed by glycogen synthase. This reaction involves a glucosyl oxonium ion intermediate.

enzyme is almost totally inactive *in vivo*. The dephosphorylated enzyme, however, can be activated by G6P, so the cell's glycogen synthase activity varies with [G6P] and the fraction of the enzyme in its dephosphorylated form. The mechanistic details of the interconversion of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated forms of glycogen synthase are complex and are not as well understood as those of glycogen phosphorylase (for one thing, glycogen synthase has multiple phosphorylation sites). We discuss the regulation of glycogen synthase further in Section 16-3B.

Glycogenin Primes Glycogen Synthesis. Glycogen synthase cannot simply link together two glucose residues; it can only extend an already existing α(1 → 4)-linked glucan chain. How, then, is glycogen synthesis initiated? In the first step of this process, a 349-residue protein named **glycogenin,** acting as a glycosyltransferase, attaches a glucose residue donated by UDPG to the OH group of its Tyr 194. Glycogenin then extends the glucose chain by up to seven additional UDPG-donated glucose residues to form a glycogen "primer." Only at this point does glycogen synthase commence glycogen synthesis by extending the primer. Analysis of glycogen granules suggests that each glycogen molecule is associated with only one molecule each of glycogenin and glycogen synthase.

C Glycogen Branching Enzyme Transfers Seven-Residue Glycogen Segments

Glycogen synthase generates only α(1 → 4) linkages to yield α-amylose. Branching to form glycogen is accomplished by a separate enzyme, **amylo-(1,4 → 1,6)-transglycosylase (branching enzyme)**, which is distinct from glycogen debranching enzyme (Section 16-1B). A branch is created by transferring a 7-residue segment from the end of a chain to the C6-OH group of a glucose residue on the same or another glycogen chain (Fig. 16-9). Each transferred

FIG. 16-9 The branching of glycogen. Branches are formed by transferring a 7-residue terminal segment from an α(1 → 4)-linked glucan chain to the C6-OH group of a glucose residue on the same or another chain.

CHECKPOINT

- Why must opposing biosynthetic and degradative pathways differ in at least one enzyme?
- List the three enzymes involved in glycogen synthesis and describe the types of reactions they catalyze.
- What is the free energy source for glycogen synthesis?
- Describe the role of glycogenin.

segment must come from a chain of at least 11 residues, and the new branch point must be at least 4 residues away from other branch points. The branching pattern of glycogen has been optimized by evolution for the efficient storage and mobilization of glucose (Box 16-3).

3 Control of Glycogen Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- The opposing processes of glycogen breakdown and synthesis are reciprocally regulated by allosteric interactions and the covalent modification of key enzymes.
- Glycogen metabolism is ultimately under the control of hormones such as insulin, glucagon, and epinephrine.

If glycogen synthesis and breakdown proceed simultaneously, all that is accomplished is the wasteful hydrolysis of UTP. Glycogen metabolism must therefore be controlled according to cellular needs. *The regulation of glycogen metabolism involves allosteric control as well as hormonal control by covalent modification of the pathway's regulatory enzymes.*

A Glycogen Phosphorylase and Glycogen Synthase Are under Allosteric Control

As we saw in Sections 14-1E and 15-4B, the net flux, J, of reactants through a step in a metabolic pathway is the difference between the forward and reverse reaction velocities, v_f and v_r. However, the flux varies dramatically with substrate concentration as the reaction approaches equilibrium ($v_f \approx v_r$). The flux through a near-equilibrium reaction is therefore all but uncontrollable. *Precise flux control of a pathway is possible when an enzyme functioning far from equilibrium is opposed by a separately controlled enzyme. Then, v_f and v_r vary independently and v_r can be larger or smaller than v_f, allowing control of both rate and direction.* Exactly this situation occurs in glycogen metabolism through the opposition of the glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase reactions.

Both glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase are under allosteric control by effectors that include ATP, G6P, and AMP. Muscle glycogen phosphorylase is activated by AMP and inhibited by ATP and G6P. Glycogen synthase, on the other hand, is activated by G6P. This suggests that when there is high demand for ATP (low [ATP], low [G6P], and high [AMP]), glycogen phosphorylase is stimulated and glycogen synthase is inhibited, which favors glycogen breakdown. Conversely, when [ATP] and [G6P] are high, glycogen synthesis is favored.

In vivo, this allosteric scheme is superimposed on an additional control system based on covalent modification. For example, phosphorylase *a* is active even without AMP stimulation (Section 16-1A), and glycogen synthase is essentially inactive (Section 16-2B) unless it is dephosphorylated and G6P is present. *Thus, covalent modification (phosphorylation and dephosphorylation) of glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase provides a more sophisticated control system that modulates the responsiveness of the enzymes to their allosteric effectors.*

B Glycogen Phosphorylase and Glycogen Synthase Undergo Control by Covalent Modification

The interconversion of the *a* and *b* forms of glycogen synthase and glycogen phosphorylase is accomplished through enzyme-catalyzed phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (Section 12-3B), a process that is under hormonal control (Section 13-2). **Enzymatically interconvertible enzyme systems** can therefore respond to a greater number of effectors than simple allosteric systems.

Box 16-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry Optimizing Glycogen Structure

The function of glycogen in animal cells is to store the metabolic fuel glucose and to release it rapidly when needed. Glucose must be stored as a polymer, because glucose itself could not be stored without a drastic increase in intracellular osmotic pressure (Section 2-1D). It has been estimated that the total concentration of glucose residues stored as glycogen in a liver cell is ~0.4 M, whereas the concentration of glycogen is only ~10 nM. This huge difference mitigates osmotic stress.

To fulfill its biological function, the glycogen polymer must store the largest amount of glucose in the smallest possible volume while maximizing both the amount of glucose available for release by glycogen phosphorylase and the number of nonreducing ends (to maximize the rate at which glucose residues can be mobilized). These criteria must be met by optimizing just two variables: the degree of branching and chain length.

In a glycogen molecule, shown schematically here,

the glycogen chains, beginning with the innermost chain attached to glycogenin (G), each have two branches (the outermost chains are unbranched). The entire molecule is roughly spherical and is organized in tiers. There are an estimated 12 tiers in mature glycogen (only 4 are shown above).

With two branches per chain, the number of chains in a given tier is twice the number of the preceding tier, and the outermost tier contains about half of the total glucose residues (regardless of the number of tiers). When the degree of branching increases, for example, to three branches per chain, the proportion of residues in the outermost tier increases, but so does the density of glucose residues. This severely limits the maximum size of the glycogen particle and the number of glucose residues it can accommodate. Thus, glycogen has around two branches per chain.

Mathematical analysis of the other variable, chain length, yields an optimal value of 13, which is in good agreement with the actual length of glycogen chains in cells (8–14 residues). Consider the two simplified glycogen molecules shown below, which contain the same number of glucose residues (the same total length of line segments) and the same branching pattern:

The molecule with the shorter chains packs more glucose in a given volume and has more points for phosphorylase attack, but only about half the amount of glucose can be released before debranching must occur (debranching is much slower than phosphorolysis). In the less dense molecule, the longer chains increase the number of residues that can be continuously phosphorylyzed; however, there are fewer points of attack. Thirteen residues is apparently a compromise for mobilizing the largest amount of glucose in the shortest time.

Amylopectin (Section 8-2C), which is chemically similar to glycogen, is a much larger molecule and has longer chains. Amylose lacks branches altogether. Evidently, starch, unlike glycogen, is not designed for rapid mobilization of metabolic fuel.

[Figures adapted from Meléndez-Hevia, E., Waddell, T.G., and Shelton, E.D., *Biochem. J.* **295,** 477–483 (1993).]

Furthermore, a set of kinases and phosphatases linked in cascade fashion has enormous potential for signal amplification and flexibility in response to different metabolic signals. Note that the correlation between phosphorylation and enzyme activity varies with the enzyme. For example, glycogen phosphorylase is activated by phosphorylation ($b \rightarrow a$), whereas glycogen synthase is inactivated by phosphorylation ($a \rightarrow b$). Conversely, dephosphorylation inactivates glycogen phosphorylase and activates glycogen synthase.

Glycogen Phosphorylase Is Activated by Phosphorylation. The cascade that governs the enzymatic interconversion of glycogen phosphorylase involves three enzymes (Fig. 16-10):

1. ***Phosphorylase kinase,*** which specifically phosphorylates Ser 14 of glycogen phosphorylase *b*.
2. ***Protein kinase A*** (***PKA;*** Section 13-3C), which phosphorylates and thereby activates phosphorylase kinase.
3. ***Phosphoprotein phosphatase-1*** (***PP1;*** Section 13-2D), which dephosphorylates and thereby deactivates both glycogen phosphorylase *a* and phosphorylase kinase.

Phosphorylase *b* is sensitive to allosteric effectors, but phosphorylase *a* is much less so, as discussed in Section 12-3B (Fig. 12-17). In the resting cell, the concentrations of ATP and G6P are high enough to inhibit phosphorylase *b*. The level of phosphorylase activity is therefore largely determined by the fraction of enzyme present as phosphorylase *a*. The steady state fraction of phosphorylated enzyme depends on the relative activities of phosphorylase kinase, PKA, and PP1. Recall that PKA is activated by **cAMP**, a second messenger that is made by **adenylate cyclase** on hormone-stimulated activation of a heterotrimeric **G protein** (Section 13-3C). Let us examine the factors that regulate the activities of phosphorylase kinase and PP1 before we return to the regulation of glycogen synthase activity.

Phosphorylase Kinase Is Activated by Phosphorylation and by Ca^{2+}. Phosphorylase kinase is a 1300-kD protein with four nonidentical subunits, known as α, β, γ, and δ. The γ subunit contains the catalytic site, and the other three subunits have regulatory functions. *Phosphorylase kinase is maximally activated by Ca^{2+} and by the phosphorylation of its α and β subunits by PKA.*

FIG. 16-10 The glycogen phosphorylase interconvertible enzyme system. Conversion of phosphorylase *b* (the less active form) to phosphorylase *a* (the more active form) is accomplished through phosphorylation catalyzed by phosphorylase kinase, which is itself subject to activation through phosphorylation by protein kinase A (PKA). Both glycogen phosphorylase *a* and phosphorylase kinase are dephosphorylated by phosphoprotein phosphatase-1.

FIG. 16-11 X-Ray structure of the γ subunit of rabbit muscle phosphorylase kinase in complex with ATP and a substrate analog. The N-terminal domain is pink, the C-terminal domain is cyan, the activation loop is light blue, and the heptapeptide substrate analog is orange, with its residue to be phosphorylated (Ser) white. The ATP is shown in space-filling form and the side chains of the catalytically essential Arg 148, Asp 149, and Glu 182 are shown in stick form, all colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow). [After an X-ray structure by Louise Johnson, Oxford University, U.K. PDBid 2PHK.]

? Compare this structure to the structures of the C subunit of protein kinase A (Fig. 13-21) and the tyrosine kinase domain of the insulin receptor (Fig. 13-5).

The 386-residue γ subunit of phosphorylase kinase is 36% identical in sequence to the PKA C subunit (Fig. 13-21) and has a similar structure (**Fig. 16-11**). The γ subunit is not subject to phosphorylation, as are many other protein kinases, because the Ser, Thr, or Tyr residue that is phosphorylated to activate those other kinases is replaced by a Glu residue in the γ subunit. The negative charge of the Glu is thought to mimic the presence of a phosphate group and interact with a conserved Arg residue near the active site. However, full catalytic activity of the γ subunit is prevented by an autoinhibitory C-terminal segment, which binds to and blocks the kinase's active site, much like the R subunit blocks the activity of the C subunit of protein kinase A. An inhibitory segment in the β subunit may also block the activity of the γ subunit.

Autoinhibition of phosphorylase kinase is relieved by PKA-catalyzed phosphorylation of both the α and β subunits. This presumably causes the β inhibitor segment to move aside (the way in which phosphorylation of the α subunit modulates the enzyme's behavior is not understood). However, full activity of the γ subunit also requires Ca^{2+} binding to the δ subunit, which is **calmodulin** (**CaM**; Section 13-4B; CaM functions both as a free-floating protein and as a subunit of other proteins). Ca^{2+} concentrations as low as 10^{-7} M activate phosphorylase kinase by inducing a conformational change in CaM that causes it to bind to and extract the γ subunit's autoinhibitor segment from its catalytic site (Fig. 13-29).

The conversion of glycogen phosphorylase *b* to glycogen phosphorylase *a* through the action of phosphorylase kinase increases the rate of glycogen breakdown. The physiological significance of the Ca^{2+} trigger for this activation is that muscle contraction is also triggered by a transient increase in the level of cytosolic Ca^{2+} (Section 7-2B). The rate of glycogen breakdown in muscle is thereby linked to the rate of contraction. This is critical because glycogen breakdown provides fuel for glycolysis to generate the ATP required for muscle contraction. Since Ca^{2+} release occurs in response to nerve impulses, whereas the phosphorylation of phosphorylase kinase ultimately occurs in response to the presence of certain hormones, these two signals act synergistically in muscle cells to stimulate glycogenolysis.

Phosphoprotein Phosphatase-1 Is Inhibited by Phosphoprotein Inhibitor-1. A steady state for many phosphorylated enzymes is maintained by a balance between phosphorylation, as catalyzed by a corresponding kinase, and hydrolytic dephosphorylation, as catalyzed by a phosphatase. Phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 (PP1) removes the phosphoryl groups from glycogen phosphorylase *a* and the α and β subunits of phosphorylase kinase (Fig. 16-10), as well as those of other proteins involved in glycogen metabolism (see below).

PP1 is controlled differently in muscle and in liver. In muscle, the catalytic subunit of PP1 (called **PP1c**) is active only when it is bound to glycogen through its glycogen-binding **G_M subunit.** The activity of PP1c and its affinity for the G_M subunit are regulated by phosphorylation of the G_M subunit at two separate

FIG. 16-12 Regulation of phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 in muscle. The antagonistic effects of insulin and epinephrine on glycogen metabolism in muscle occur through their effects on the phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 catalytic subunit, PP1c, via its glycogen-bound G_M subunit. Green circles and dashed arrows indicate activation.

? Is the effect of insulin consistent with its role as a signal of fuel abundance?

sites (Fig. 16-12). Phosphorylation of site 1 by an **insulin-stimulated protein kinase** (a homolog of PKA and the γ subunit of phosphorylase kinase) activates PP1c, whereas phosphorylation of site 2 by PKA (which can also phosphorylate site 1) causes PP1c to be released into the cytoplasm, where it cannot dephosphorylate the glycogen-bound enzymes of glycogen metabolism.

In the cytosol, phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 is also inhibited by its binding to the protein **phosphoprotein phosphatase inhibitor 1.** The latter protein provides yet another example of control by covalent modification: It too is activated by PKA and deactivated by phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 (Fig. 16-13, *lower left*). *The concentration of cAMP therefore controls the fraction of an enzyme in its phosphorylated form, not only by increasing the rate at which it is phosphorylated, but also by decreasing the rate at which it is dephosphorylated.* In the case of glycogen phosphorylase, an increase in [cAMP] not only increases the enzyme's rate of activation, but also decreases its rate of deactivation.

In liver, PP1 is also bound to glycogen, but through the intermediacy of a glycogen-binding subunit named $\mathbf{G_L}$. In contrast to G_M, G_L is not subject to control via phosphorylation. The activity of the PP1 · G_L complex is controlled by its binding to phosphorylase *a*. Both the R and T forms of phosphorylase *a* strongly bind PP1, but only in the T state is the Ser 14 phosphoryl group accessible for hydrolysis (in the R state, the Ser 14 phosphoryl group is buried at the dimer interface; Fig. 12-16). Consequently, when phosphorylase *a* is in its active R form, it effectively sequesters PP1. However, under conditions where phosphorylase *a* shifts to the T state (see below), PP1 hydrolyzes the now exposed Ser 14 phosphoryl group, thereby converting phosphorylase *a* to phosphorylase *b*, which has only a low affinity for the PP1 · G_L complex. One effect of phosphorylase *a* dephosphorylation, therefore, is to relieve the inhibition of PP1. Since liver cells contain 10 times more glycogen phosphorylase than PP1, the phosphatase is not released until more than ~90% of the glycogen phosphorylase is in the *b* form. Only then can PP1 dephosphorylate its other target proteins, including glycogen synthase.

FIG. 16-13 The major phosphorylation and dephosphorylation systems that regulate glycogen metabolism in muscle. Activated enzymes are shaded green, and deactivated enzymes are shaded red. Dashed arrows indicate facilitation of a phosphorylation or dephosphorylation reaction. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

Glucose is an allosteric inhibitor of phosphorylase *a* (Fig. 12-17). Consequently, when the concentration of glucose is high, phosphorylase *a* converts to its T form, thereby leading to its dephosphorylation and the dephosphorylation of glycogen synthase. Glucose is therefore thought to be important in the control of glycogen metabolism in the liver.

Glycogen Synthase Is Elaborately Regulated. Phosphorylase kinase, which activates glycogen phosphorylase, also phosphorylates and thereby inactivates glycogen synthase. Eight other protein kinases, including PKA, phosphorylase kinase, and **glycogen synthase kinase 3β** (**GSK3β**; Fig. 13-31) are known to at least partially deactivate human muscle glycogen synthase by phosphorylating one or more of the nine Ser residues on each of its subunits (Fig. 16-13). The reason for this elaborate regulation of glycogen synthase is unclear.

The balance between net synthesis and degradation of glycogen as well as the rates of these processes depend on the relative activities of glycogen synthase and glycogen phosphorylase. To a large extent, the rates of the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of these enzymes control glycogen synthesis and

breakdown. The two processes are linked by PKA and phosphorylase kinase, which, through phosphorylation, activate glycogen phosphorylase as they inactivate glycogen synthase (Fig. 16-13). They are also linked by PP1, which in liver is inhibited by phosphorylase *a* and therefore unable to activate (dephosphorylate) glycogen synthase unless it first inactivates (also by dephosphorylation) phosphorylase *a*. Of course, control by allosteric effectors is superimposed on control by covalent modification so that, for example, the availability of the substrate G6P (which activates glycogen synthase) also influences the rate at which glucose residues are incorporated into glycogen. Inherited deficiencies of enzymes can disrupt the fine control of glycogen metabolism, leading to various diseases (Box 16-2).

C Glycogen Metabolism Is Subject to Hormonal Control

Glycogen metabolism in the liver is largely controlled by the polypeptide hormones insulin (Fig. 5-1) and **glucagon** acting in opposition. Glucagon,

Glucagon

like insulin, is synthesized by the pancreas in response to the concentration of glucose in the blood. In muscles and various tissues, control is exerted by insulin and by the adrenal hormones **epinephrine** and **norepinephrine** (Section 13-1B). These hormones affect metabolism in their target tissues by ultimately stimulating covalent modification (phosphorylation) of regulatory enzymes. They do so by binding to transmembrane receptors on the surface of cells. Different cell types have different complements of receptors and therefore respond to different sets of hormones. The responses involve the release inside the cell of molecules collectively known as **second messengers**; that is, intracellular mediators of the externally received hormonal message. Different receptors cause the release of different second messengers. cAMP, identified by Earl Sutherland in the 1950s, was the first second messenger discovered. Ca^{2+}, as released from intracellular reservoirs into the cytosol, is also a common second messenger. Receptors and second messengers are discussed in greater depth in Chapter 13.

When hormonal stimulation increases the intracellular cAMP concentration, PKA activity increases, increasing the rates of phosphorylation of many proteins and decreasing their dephosphorylation rates as well. Because of the cascade nature of the regulatory system diagrammed in Fig. 16-13, *a small change in [cAMP] results in a large change in the fraction of phosphorylated enzymes.* When a large fraction of the glycogen metabolism enzymes are phosphorylated, the metabolic flux is in the direction of glycogen breakdown, since glycogen phosphorylase is active and glycogen synthase is inactive. When [cAMP] decreases, phosphorylation rates decrease, dephosphorylation rates increase, and the fraction of enzymes in their dephospho forms increases. The resulting activation of glycogen synthase and inhibition of glycogen phosphorylase cause the flux to shift to net glycogen synthesis.

Glucagon binding to its receptor on liver cells, which generates intracellular cAMP, results in glucose mobilization from stored glycogen (**Fig. 16-14**). Glucagon is released from the pancreas when the concentration of circulating glucose decreases to less than ~5 mM, such as during exercise or several hours after a meal has been digested. Glucagon is therefore critical for the liver's function in supplying glucose to tissues that depend primarily on glycolysis for their energy needs. Muscle cells do not respond to glucagon because they lack the appropriate receptor.

Epinephrine and norepinephrine, which are often called the "fight or flight" hormones, are released into the bloodstream by the adrenal glands in response to stress. There are two types of receptors for these hormones: the **β-adrenergic receptor,** which is linked to the adenylate cyclase system, and the **α-adrenergic receptor,** whose second messenger causes intracellular [Ca^{2+}] to increase (Section 13-4A). Muscle cells, which have the β-adrenergic receptor (Fig. 16-14), respond to epinephrine by breaking down glycogen for glycolysis, thereby generating ATP and helping the muscles cope with the stress that triggered the epinephrine release.

Liver cells respond to epinephrine directly and indirectly. Epinephrine promotes the release of glucagon from the pancreas, and glucagon binding to its receptor on liver cells stimulates glycogen breakdown as described above. Epinephrine also binds directly to both α- and β-adrenergic receptors on the surfaces of liver cells (Fig. 16-14). Binding to the β-adrenergic receptor results in increased intracellular [cAMP], which leads to glycogen breakdown. Epinephrine binding to the α-adrenergic receptor stimulates an increase in intracellular [Ca^{2+}], which reinforces the cells' response to cAMP (recall that phosphorylase kinase, which activates glycogen phosphorylase and inactivates glycogen synthase, is fully active only when phosphorylated and in the presence of increased [Ca^{2+}]). In addition, glycogen synthase is inactivated through phosphorylation catalyzed by several Ca^{2+}-dependent protein kinases.

Insulin and Epinephrine Are Antagonists. Insulin is released from the pancreas in response to high levels of circulating glucose (e.g., immediately after a meal). Hormonal stimulation by insulin increases the rate of glucose transport into the many types of cells that have both insulin receptors and insulin-sensitive glucose

FIG. 16-14 Hormonal control of glycogen metabolism. Epinephrine binding to β-adrenergic receptors on liver and muscle cells increases intracellular [cAMP], which promotes glycogen degradation to G6P for glycolysis (in muscle) or to glucose for export (in liver). The liver responds similarly to glucagon. Epinephrine binding to α-adrenergic receptors on liver cells leads to increased cytosolic [Ca^{2+}], which also promotes glycogen degradation. When circulating glucose is plentiful, insulin stimulates glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis in muscle cells. The liver responds both to insulin and directly to increased glucose by increasing glycogen synthesis.

? **Explain why a given hormone elicits different responses in different tissues.**

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the effects of AMP and G6P on glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase.
- Summarize the effects of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation on glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase.
- Why does a phosphorylation/dephosphorylation system allow more sensitive regulation of a metabolic process than a simple allosteric system?
- Draw a simple diagram, similar to Fig. 16-13, showing how a kinase and a phosphatase can regulate the activities of two enzymes that catalyze opposing processes.
- How does regulation of glycogen metabolism differ between liver and muscle?
- Summarize the effects of insulin, glucagon, and epinephrine on glycogen metabolism.
- What are the intracellular effects of cAMP and Ca^{2+}?

transporters called **GLUT4** on their surfaces (e.g., muscle and fat cells, but not liver and brain cells). In addition, [cAMP] decreases, causing glycogen metabolism to shift from glycogen breakdown to glycogen synthesis (Fig. 16-14). The mechanism of insulin action is very complex (Sections 13-4D and 22-2), but one of its target enzymes appears to be PP1. As outlined in Fig. 16-12, insulin activates insulin-stimulated protein kinase in muscle to phosphorylate site 1 on the glycogen-binding G_M subunit of PP1 so as to activate this protein and thus dephosphorylate the enzymes of glycogen metabolism. The storage of glucose as glycogen is thereby promoted through the inhibition of glycogen breakdown and the stimulation of glycogen synthesis.

In liver, insulin stimulates glycogen synthesis as a result of the inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β; Fig. 13-31). This action decreases the phosphorylation of glycogen synthase, thus increasing its activity. In addition, it is thought that glucose itself may be a messenger to which the glycogen metabolism system responds. *Glucose inhibits phosphorylase* a *by binding to the enzyme's inactive T state and thereby shifting the* $T \rightleftharpoons R$ *equilibrium toward the T state* (Fig. 12-17). This conformational shift exposes the Ser 14 phosphoryl group to dephosphorylation. An increase in glucose concentration therefore promotes inactivation of glycogen phosphorylase *a* through its conversion to phosphorylase *b*. The subsequent release of phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 activates glycogen synthase. Thus when glucose is plentiful, the liver stores the excess as glycogen.

4 Gluconeogenesis

KEY CONCEPTS

- The liver and kidney can synthesize glucose from lactate, pyruvate, and amino acids.
- Gluconeogenesis is mostly the reverse of glycolysis with the pyruvate kinase reaction bypassed by the pyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase reactions, and the phosphofructokinase and hexokinase reactions bypassed by phosphatase reactions.
- Glycolysis and gluconeogenesis are reciprocally regulated by allosteric effects, phosphorylation, and changes in enzyme synthesis rates.

When dietary sources of glucose are not available and when the liver has exhausted its supply of glycogen, glucose is synthesized from noncarbohydrate precursors by **gluconeogenesis.** In fact, gluconeogenesis provides a substantial fraction of the glucose produced in fasting humans, even within a few hours of eating. Gluconeogenesis occurs in liver and, to a lesser extent, in kidney.

The noncarbohydrate precursors that can be converted to glucose include the glycolysis products lactate and pyruvate, citric acid cycle intermediates, and the carbon skeletons of most amino acids. First, however, all these substances must be converted to the four-carbon compound **oxaloacetate** (*at left*), which itself is a citric acid cycle intermediate (Section 17-1). The only amino acids that cannot be converted to oxaloacetate in animals are leucine and lysine because their breakdown yields only acetyl-CoA (Section 21-4E) and because *there is no pathway in animals for the net conversion of acetyl-CoA to oxaloacetate.* Likewise, fatty acids cannot serve as glucose precursors in animals because most fatty acids are degraded completely to acetyl-CoA (Section 20-2). However, fatty acid breakdown generates much of the ATP that powers gluconeogenesis.

Oxaloacetate

For convenience, we consider gluconeogenesis to be the pathway by which pyruvate is converted to glucose. Most of the reactions of gluconeogenesis are glycolytic reactions that proceed in reverse (**Fig. 16-15**). However, the glycolytic enzymes hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase catalyze reactions with large negative free energy changes. These reactions must therefore be

FIG. 16-15 Comparison of the pathways of gluconeogenesis and glycolysis. The red arrows represent the steps that are catalyzed by different enzymes in gluconeogenesis. The other seven reaction steps of gluconeogenesis are catalyzed by glycolytic enzymes that function near equilibrium. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Identify the irreversible steps of glycolysis and gluconeogenesis.

replaced in gluconeogenesis by reactions that make glucose synthesis thermodynamically favorable.

A Pyruvate Is Converted to Phosphoenolpyruvate in Two Steps

We begin our examination of the reactions unique to gluconeogenesis with the conversion of pyruvate to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP). Because this step is the reverse of the highly exergonic reaction catalyzed by pyruvate kinase (Section 15-2J), it requires free energy input. This is accomplished by first converting the pyruvate to oxaloacetate. Oxaloacetate is a "high-energy" intermediate because its

FIG. 16-16 The conversion of pyruvate to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP). This process requires **(1)** pyruvate carboxylase to convert pyruvate to oxaloacetate and **(2)** PEP carboxykinase (PEPCK) to convert oxaloacetate to PEP.

? What is the cost, in ATP equivalents, of adding a one-carbon group to pyruvate and then removing it?

exergonic decarboxylation provides the free energy necessary for PEP synthesis. The process requires two enzymes (Fig. 16-16):

1. **Pyruvate carboxylase** catalyzes the ATP-driven formation of oxaloacetate from pyruvate and HCO_3^-.
2. **PEP carboxykinase (PEPCK)** converts oxaloacetate to PEP in a reaction that uses GTP as a phosphoryl-group donor.

Pyruvate Carboxylase Has a Biotin Prosthetic Group. Pyruvate carboxylase is a tetrameric protein of identical ~1160-residue subunits, each of which has a **biotin** prosthetic group. Biotin (Fig. 16-17*a*) functions as a CO_2 carrier by forming a carboxyl substituent at its **ureido group** (Fig. 16-17*b*). Biotin is covalently bound to an enzyme Lys residue to form a **biocytin** (alternatively, **biotinyllysine**) residue (Fig. 16-17*b*). The biotin ring system is therefore at the end of a 14-Å-long flexible arm. Biotin, which was first identified in 1935 as a growth factor in yeast, is an essential human nutrient. Its nutritional deficiency is rare, however, because it occurs in many foods and is synthesized by intestinal bacteria.

The pyruvate carboxylase reaction occurs in two phases (Fig. 16-18):

Phase I The cleavage of ATP to ADP acts to dehydrate bicarbonate via the formation of a "high-energy" carboxyphosphate intermediate. The reaction of the resulting CO_2 with biotin is exergonic. The biotin-bound carboxyl group is therefore "activated" relative to bicarbonate and can be transferred to another molecule without further free energy input.

Phase II The activated carboxyl group is transferred from carboxybiotin to pyruvate in a three-step reaction to form oxaloacetate.

These two reaction phases occur on different subsites of the same enzyme; the 14-Å arm of biocytin transfers the biotin ring between the two sites.

Oxaloacetate is both a precursor for gluconeogenesis and an intermediate of the citric acid cycle (Section 17-3). When the citric acid cycle substrate acetyl-CoA accumulates, it allosterically activates pyruvate carboxylase, thereby

FIG. 16-17 Biotin and carboxybiotinyl–enzyme. (*a*) Biotin consists of an imidazoline ring that is cis-fused to a tetrahydrothiophene ring bearing a valerate side chain. Positions 1, 2, and 3 constitute a ureido group. (*b*) Biotin is covalently attached to carboxylases by an amide linkage between its valeryl carboxyl group and an ε-amino group of an enzyme Lys side chain. The carboxybiotinyl–enzyme forms when N1 of the biotin ureido group is carboxylated.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 16-18 The two-phase reaction mechanism of pyruvate carboxylase. [After Knowles, J.R., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **58,** 217 (1989).]
WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

increasing the amount of oxaloacetate that can participate in the citric acid cycle. When citric acid cycle activity is low, oxaloacetate instead enters the gluconeogenic pathway.

PEP Carboxykinase Catalyzes the Formation of PEP. PEPCK, a monomeric ~610-residue enzyme, catalyzes the GTP-requiring decarboxylation/phosphorylation of oxaloacetate to form PEP and GDP (**Fig. 16-19**). Note that the CO_2 that carboxylates pyruvate to yield oxaloacetate is eliminated in the formation of PEP.

FIG. 16-19 The PEPCK mechanism. Decarboxylation of oxaloacetate (a β-keto acid) forms a resonance-stabilized enolate anion whose oxygen atom attacks the γ-phosphoryl group of GTP, forming PEP and GDP.

The favorable decarboxylation reaction drives the formation of the enol that GTP phosphorylates. *Oxaloacetate can therefore be considered as "activated" pyruvate, with CO_2 and biotin facilitating the activation at the expense of ATP.*

Gluconeogenesis Requires Metabolite Transport between Mitochondria and Cytosol. The generation of oxaloacetate from pyruvate or citric acid cycle intermediates occurs only in the mitochondrion, whereas the enzymes that convert PEP to glucose are cytosolic. The cellular location of PEPCK varies: In some species, it is mitochondrial; in some, it is cytosolic; and in some (including humans) it is equally distributed between the two compartments. In order for gluconeogenesis to occur, either oxaloacetate must leave the mitochondrion for conversion to PEP or the PEP formed there must enter the cytosol.

PEP is transported across the mitochondrial membrane by specific membrane transport proteins. There is, however, no such transport system for oxaloacetate. *In species with cytosolic PEPCK, oxaloacetate must first be converted either to aspartate* (Fig. 16-20, Route 1) *or to* ***malate*** (Fig. 16-20, Route 2), for which mitochondrial transport systems exist. The difference between the two routes involves the transport of NADH **reducing equivalents** (in the transport of reducing equivalents, the electrons—but not the electron carrier—cross the membrane). The **malate dehydrogenase** route (Route 2) results in the transport of reducing equivalents from the mitochondrion to the cytosol, since it uses mitochondrial NADH and produces cytosolic NADH. The **aspartate aminotransferase** route (Route 1) does not involve NADH. Cytosolic NADH is required for gluconeogenesis, so, under most conditions, the route through malate is a necessity. However, when the gluconeogenic precursor is lactate, its oxidation to pyruvate generates cytosolic NADH, and either transport system can then be used. All the reactions shown in Fig. 16-20 are freely reversible, so

FIG. 16-20 The transport of PEP and oxaloacetate from the mitochondrion to the cytosol. PEP is directly transported between the compartments. Oxaloacetate, however, must first be converted either to aspartate through the action of aspartate aminotransferase (Route 1) or to malate by malate dehydrogenase (Route 2). Route 2 involves the mitochondrial oxidation of NADH followed by the cytosolic reduction of NAD^+ and therefore also transfers NADH reducing equivalents from the mitochondrion to the cytosol. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Explain why malate and aspartate are both considered to be gluconeogenic precursors.

that under appropriate conditions, the malate–aspartate shuttle system also operates to transport NADH reducing equivalents into the mitochondrion for oxidative phosphorylation (Section 18-1B). Liver has a variation of Route 1 in which aspartate entering the cytosol is deaminated via the urea cycle before undergoing a series of reactions that yield oxaloacetate (Section 21-3A).

B Hydrolytic Reactions Bypass Irreversible Glycolytic Reactions

The route from PEP to fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) is catalyzed by the enzymes of glycolysis operating in reverse. However, *the glycolytic reactions catalyzed by phosphofructokinase (PFK) and hexokinase are endergonic in the gluconeogenesis direction and hence must be bypassed by different gluconeogenic enzymes.* FBP is hydrolyzed by **fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase).** The resulting fructose-6-phosphate (F6P) is isomerized to G6P, which is then hydrolyzed by glucose-6-phosphatase, the same enzyme that converts glycogen-derived G6P to glucose (Section 16-1C) and which is present only in liver and kidney. Note that these two hydrolytic reactions release P_i rather than reversing the ATP → ADP reactions that occur at this point in the glycolytic pathway.

The net energetic cost of converting two pyruvate molecules to one glucose molecule by gluconeogenesis is six ATP equivalents: two each at the steps catalyzed by pyruvate carboxylase, PEPCK, and phosphoglycerate kinase (Fig. 16-15). Since the energetic profit of converting one glucose molecule to two pyruvate molecules via glycolysis is two ATP (Section 15-1), the energetic cost of the futile cycle in which glucose is converted to pyruvate and then resynthesized is four ATP equivalents. Such free energy losses are the thermodynamic price that must be paid to maintain the independent regulation of two opposing pathways.

Although glucose is considered the endpoint of the gluconeogenic pathway, it is possible for pathway intermediates to be directed elsewhere—for example, through the transketolase and transaldolase reactions of the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6C) to produce ribose-5-phosphate. The G6P produced by gluconeogenesis may not be hydrolyzed to glucose but may instead be converted to G1P for incorporation into glycogen.

C Gluconeogenesis and Glycolysis Are Independently Regulated

The opposing pathways of gluconeogenesis and glycolysis, like glycogen synthesis and degradation, do not proceed simultaneously *in vivo*. Instead, the pathways are reciprocally regulated to meet the needs of the organism. There are three substrate cycles and therefore three potential points for regulating glycolytic versus gluconeogenic flux (Fig. 16-21).

Fructose-2,6-Bisphosphate Activates Phosphofructokinase and Inhibits Fructose-1,6-Bisphosphatase. The net flux through the substrate cycle created by the opposing actions of PFK and FBPase (described in Section 15-4B) is determined by the concentration of fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P).

β-D-Fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P)

F2,6P, which is not a glycolytic intermediate, is an extremely potent allosteric activator of PFK and an inhibitor of FBPase.

The concentration of F2,6P in the cell depends on the balance between its rates of synthesis and degradation by **phosphofructokinase-2 (PFK-2)** and

GATEWAY CONCEPT How Cells Use ATP

When ATP (or another nucleoside triphosphate) is required to drive an endergonic process, it is not just a spectator; it must take part in the reaction. The participation of ATP involves the net hydrolysis of one or more of its phosphoanhydride bonds. If this reaction occurred in isolation, the free energy of the hydrolysis reaction would be lost as heat. But in cells, the exergonic bond-cleavage reaction is linked to the endergonic reaction in most cases through the transfer of a phosphate group from the nucleotide to another substance. As we have seen before, the ATP reaction is the price the cell pays to make an otherwise unfavorable reaction proceed.

FIG. 16-21 Substrate cycles in glucose metabolism. The interconversions of glucose and G6P, F6P and FBP, and PEP and pyruvate are catalyzed by different enzymes in the forward and reverse directions so that all reactions are exergonic (the ΔG values for the reactions in liver are given in kJ · mol^{-1}). [ΔG's obtained from Newsholme, E.A. and Leech, A.R., *Biochemistry for the Medical Sciences,* p. 448, Wiley (1983).]

? **Write a net equation for each of the three substrate cycles.**

FIG. 16-22 The formation and degradation of β-D-fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P). The enzymatic activities of phosphofructokinase-2 (PFK-2) and fructose bisphosphatase-2 (FBPase-2) occur on different domains of the same protein molecule. The phosphorylation of the liver enzyme inactivates PFK-2 while activating FBPase-2.

fructose bisphosphatase-2 (FBPase-2), respectively (Fig. 16-22). These enzyme activities are located on different domains of the same ~100-kD homodimeric protein (Fig. 16-23). The bifunctional enzyme is regulated by a variety of allosteric effectors and by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation as catalyzed by PKA and a phosphoprotein phosphatase. Thus, the balance between gluconeogenesis and glycolysis is under hormonal control.

For example, when [glucose] is low, glucagon stimulates the production of cAMP in liver cells. This activates PKA to phosphorylate the bifunctional enzyme at a specific Ser residue, which inactivates the enzyme's PFK-2 activity and activates its FBPase-2 activity. The net result is a decrease in [F2,6P], which shifts the balance between the PFK and FBPase reactions in favor of FBP hydrolysis and hence increases gluconeogenic flux (Fig. 16-24). The concurrent increases in gluconeogenesis and glycogen breakdown allow the liver to release glucose into the circulation. Conversely, when the blood [glucose] is high, cAMP levels decrease, and the resulting increase in [F2,6P] promotes glycolysis.

In muscle, which is not a gluconeogenic tissue, the F2,6P control system functions quite differently from that in liver due to the presence of different

FIG. 16-23 X-Ray structure of rat testis PFK-2/FBPase-2. The N-terminal PFK-2 domain is blue and the C-terminal FBPase-2 domain is yellow-green. The bound Mg^{2+}–AMPPNP, succinate, F6P, and P_i are shown in space-filling form colored according to atom type (AMPPNP C green, succinate C magenta, F6P C cyan, N blue, O red, Mg^{2+} light green, and P orange). The P_i, which occupies the binding site of the F2,6P's 2-phosphate group, is opposite the His 256 site (*magenta*) to which it would be transferred in the catalytic reaction. The succinate occupies the presumed F6P binding pocket of the PFK-2 domain. [Based on an X-ray structure by Kosaku Uyeda and Charles Hasemann, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. PDBid 2BIF.]

FIG. 16-24 Sequence of metabolic events linking low blood [glucose] to gluconeogenesis in liver.

? Compare this pathway to the effect of glucagon on liver glycogen metabolism (see Fig. 16-14). What is the outcome of each pathway?

PFK-2/FBPase-2 isozymes. For example, hormones that stimulate glycogen breakdown in heart muscle lead to phosphorylation of a site on the bifunctional enzyme that activates rather than inhibits PFK-2. The resulting increase in F2,6P stimulates glycolysis so that glycogen breakdown and glycolysis are coordinated. The skeletal muscle isozyme lacks a phosphorylation site altogether and is therefore not subject to cAMP-dependent control. Rather, the ratio of its PFK-2-to-FBPase-2 activity is allosterically increased by high [F6P], which is indicative of the need for an increased rate of glycolysis.

Other Allosteric Effectors Influence Gluconeogenic Flux. Acetyl-CoA activates pyruvate carboxylase (Section 16-4A), but there are no known allosteric effectors of PEPCK, which, together with pyruvate carboxylase, reverses the pyruvate kinase reaction. Pyruvate kinase, however, is allosterically inhibited in the liver by alanine, a major gluconeogenic precursor. Alanine is converted to pyruvate by the transfer of its amino group to an α-keto acid to yield a new amino acid and the α-keto acid pyruvate,

a process termed **transamination** (which is discussed in Section 21-2A). Liver pyruvate kinase is also inactivated by phosphorylation, further increasing gluconeogenic flux. Since phosphorylation also activates glycogen phosphorylase, the pathways of gluconeogenesis and glycogen breakdown both flow toward G6P, which is converted to glucose for export from the liver.

The activity of hexokinase (or glucokinase, the liver isozyme) is also controlled, as we will see in Section 22-1D. The activity of glucose-6-phosphatase is controlled as well but the process is complex and poorly understood.

The regulation of glucose metabolism occurs not only through allosteric effectors, but also through long-term changes in the amounts of enzymes synthesized. Pancreatic and adrenal hormones influence the rates of transcription and the stabilities of the mRNAs encoding many of the regulatory proteins of glucose metabolism. For example, insulin inhibits transcription of the gene for PEPCK, whereas high concentrations of intracellular cAMP promote the transcription of the genes for PEPCK, FBPase, and glucose-6-phosphatase, and repress transcription of the genes for glucokinase, PFK, and the PFK-2/FBPase-2 bifunctional enzyme.

CHECKPOINT

- What are the substrates for gluconeogenesis? What role do fatty acids play in gluconeogenesis?
- Describe the reactions of gluconeogenesis. Which reactions are not shared with glycolysis?
- Why is the malate–aspartate shuttle system important for gluconeogenesis?
- What is the net energy cost for synthesizing a molecule of glucose from two molecules of pyruvate?
- What are the potential control points for gluconeogenesis?
- Describe the role of fructose-2,6-bisphosphate in regulating gluconeogenesis and glycolysis.

5 Other Carbohydrate Biosynthetic Pathways

KEY CONCEPTS

- The formation of glycosidic bonds in carbohydrates requires the energy of activated nucleotide sugars.
- *O*-Linked oligosaccharides are synthesized by the sequential addition of sugars to a protein.
- *N*-Linked oligosaccharides are first assembled on a dolichol carrier.

The liver, by virtue of its mass and its metabolic machinery, is primarily responsible for maintaining a constant level of glucose in the circulation. Glucose produced by gluconeogenesis or from glycogen breakdown is released from the liver for use by other tissues as an energy source. Of course, glucose has other uses in the liver and elsewhere, for example, in the synthesis of lactose (Box 16-4).

Box 16-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry Lactose Synthesis

Like sucrose in plants, lactose is a disaccharide that is synthesized for later use as a metabolic fuel, in this case, after digestion by very young mammals. Lactose, or milk sugar, is produced in the mammary gland by **lactose synthase.** In this reaction, the donor sugar is UDP–galactose, which is formed by the epimerization of UDP–glucose (Fig. 15-28). The acceptor sugar is glucose:

Thus, both saccharide units of lactose are ultimately derived from glucose.

Lactose synthase consists of two subunits:

1. **Galactosyltransferase,** the catalytic subunit, occurs in many tissues, where it catalyzes the reaction of UDP–galactose and *N*-acetylglucosamine to yield ***N*-acetyllactosamine,** a constituent of many complex oligosaccharides.

2. **α-Lactalbumin,** a mammary gland protein with no catalytic activity, alters the specificity of galactosyltransferase so that it uses glucose as an acceptor, rather than *N*-acetylglucosamine, to form lactose instead of *N*-acetyllactosamine.

Synthesis of α-lactalbumin, whose sequence is ~37% identical to that of lysozyme (which also participates in reactions involving sugars), is triggered by hormonal changes at parturition (birth), thereby promoting lactose synthesis for milk production.

Nucleotide Sugars Power the Formation of Glycosidic Bonds. Glucose and other monosaccharides (principally mannose, *N*-acetylglucosamine, fucose, galactose, *N*-acetylneuraminic acid, and *N*-acetylgalactosamine) occur in glycoproteins and glycolipids. Formation of the glycosidic bonds that link sugars to each other and to other molecules requires free energy input under physiological conditions ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = 16\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). This free energy, as we have seen in glycogen synthesis (Section 16-2A), is acquired through the synthesis of a **nucleotide sugar** from a nucleoside triphosphate and a monosaccharide, thereby releasing PP_i, whose exergonic hydrolysis drives the reaction. The nucleoside diphosphate at the sugar's anomeric carbon atom is a good leaving group and thereby facilitates formation of a glycosidic bond to a second sugar in a reaction catalyzed by a glycosyltransferase (**Fig. 16-25**). In mammals, most glycosyl groups are donated by UDP–sugars, but fucose and mannose are carried by GDP, and sialic acid by CMP. In plants, starch is built from glucose units donated by **ADP–glucose,** and cellulose synthesis relies on ADP–glucose or **CDP–glucose.**

FIG. 16-25 Role of nucleotide sugars. These compounds are the glycosyl donors in oligosaccharide biosynthetic reactions as catalyzed by glycosyltransferases.

? **What is the energetic cost of synthesizing the nucleotide sugar?**

***O*-Linked Oligosaccharides Are Posttranslationally Formed.** Nucleotide sugars are the donors in the synthesis of *O*-linked oligosaccharides and in the processing of the *N*-linked oligosaccharides of glycoproteins (Section 8-3C). *O*-Linked oligosaccharides are synthesized in the Golgi apparatus by the serial addition of monosaccharide units to a completed polypeptide chain (Fig. 16-26). Synthesis begins with the transfer, as catalyzed by **GalNAc transferase,** of *N*-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) from UDP–GalNAc to a Ser or Thr residue on the polypeptide. The location of the glycosylation site is thought to be specified only by the secondary or tertiary structure of the polypeptide. Glycosylation continues with the stepwise addition of sugars such as galactose, sialic acid, *N*-acetylglucosamine, and fucose. In each case, the sugar residue is transferred from its nucleotide sugar derivative by a corresponding glycosyltransferase.

***N*-Linked Oligosaccharides Are Constructed on Dolichol Carriers.** The synthesis of *N*-linked oligosaccharides is more complicated than that of *O*-linked oligosaccharides. In the early stages of *N*-linked oligosaccharide synthesis, sugar residues are sequentially added to a lipid carrier, **dolichol pyrophosphate** (Fig. 16-27). **Dolichol** is a long-chain polyisoprenol containing 17 to 21 isoprene units in animals and 14 to 24 units in fungi and plants. It anchors the growing oligosaccharide to the endoplasmic reticulum membrane, where the initial glycosylation reactions take place.

Although nucleotide sugars are the most common monosaccharide donors in glycosyltransferase reactions, several mannosyl and glucosyl residues are transferred to growing dolichol-PP-oligosaccharides from their corresponding dolichol-P derivatives. Dolichol phosphate "activates" a sugar residue for subsequent transfer, as does a nucleoside diphosphate.

The construction of an *N*-linked oligosaccharide begins, as is described in Section 8-3C, by the synthesis of an oligosaccharide with the composition (*N*-acetylglucosamine)$_2$(mannose)$_9$(glucose)$_3$. This occurs on a dolichol carrier in a 12-step process catalyzed by a series of specific glycosyltransferases (Fig. 16-28). Note that some of these reactions take place on the lumenal surface of the endoplasmic reticulum, whereas others occur on its cytoplasmic surface. Hence, on four occasions (Reactions 3, 5, 8, and 11 in Fig. 16-28), dolichol and its attached hydrophilic group are translocated, via unknown mechanisms, across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. In the final steps of the process, the oligosaccharide is transferred to the Asn residue in a segment of sequence Asn-X-Ser/Thr (where X is any residue except Pro and only rarely Asp, Glu, Leu, or Trp) on a growing polypeptide chain. The resulting dolichol pyrophosphate is hydrolyzed to dolichol phosphate and P_i, a process similar to the pyrophosphatase cleavage of PP_i to 2 P_i. Further processing of the oligosaccharide takes place, as described in Section 8-3C, first in the endoplasmic reticulum and then in the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 8-19), where certain monosaccharide residues are trimmed away by specific glycosylases and others are added by specific nucleotide sugar–requiring glycosyltransferases.

FIG. 16-26 Synthesis of an *O*-linked oligosaccharide chain. This pathway shows the proposed steps in the assembly of a carbohydrate moiety in canine submaxillary mucin. SA is sialic acid.

FIG. 16-27 Dolichol pyrophosphate glycoside. The carbohydrate precursors of *N*-linked glycosides are synthesized as oligosaccharides attached to dolichol, a long-chain polyisoprenol (n = 14–24) in which the α-isoprene unit is saturated.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 16-28 The pathway of dolichol-PP-oligosaccharide synthesis. (**1**) Addition of *N*-acetylglucosamine-1-P and a second *N*-acetylglucosamine to dolichol-P. (**2**) Addition of five mannosyl residues from GDP–mannose in reactions catalyzed by five different mannosyltransferases. (**3**) Membrane translocation of dolichol-PP-(*N*-acetylglucosamine)$_2$(mannose)$_5$ to the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). (**4**) Cytosolic synthesis of dolichol-P-mannose from GDP–mannose and dolichol-P. (**5**) Membrane translocation of dolichol-P-mannose to the lumen of the ER. (**6**) Addition of four mannosyl residues from dolichol-P-mannose in reactions catalyzed by four different mannosyltransferases. (**7**) Cytosolic synthesis of dolichol-P-glucose from UDPG and dolichol-P. (**8**) Membrane translocation of dolichol-P-glucose to the lumen of the ER. (**9**) Addition of three glucosyl residues from dolichol-P-glucose. (**10**) Transfer of the oligosaccharide from dolichol-PP to the polypeptide chain at an Asn residue in the sequence Asn-X-Ser/Thr, releasing dolichol-PP. (**11**) Translocation of dolichol-PP to the cytoplasmic surface of the ER membrane. (**12**) Hydrolysis of dolichol-PP to dolichol-P. (**13**) Dolichol-P can also be formed by phosphorylation of dolichol by CTP. [Modified from Abeijon, C. and Hirschberg, C.B., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **17,** 34 (1992).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Identify the reactions that are catalyzed by a translocase and those catalyzed by a transferase.

Bacitracin

FIG. 16-29 The chemical structure of bacitracin. Note that this dodecapeptide has four D-amino acid residues and two unusual intrachain linkages. "Orn" represents the nonstandard amino acid ornithine (Fig. 21-9).

Bacitracin Interferes with the Dephosphorylation of Dolichol Pyrophosphate. A number of compounds block the actions of specific glycosylation enzymes, including **bacitracin** (Fig. 16-29), a cyclic polypeptide that is a widely used antibiotic. Bacitracin forms a complex with dolichol pyrophosphate that inhibits its dephosphorylation (Fig. 16-28, Reaction 12), thereby preventing the synthesis of glycoproteins from dolichol-linked oligosaccharide precursors. Bacitracin is clinically useful because it inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis (which also involves dolichol-linked oligosaccharides) but does not affect animal cells since it cannot cross cell membranes (bacterial cell wall synthesis is an extracellular process).

CHECKPOINT

- List some of the synthetic pathways that use nucleotide sugars.
- Explain the group transfer reaction of glycosidic bond formation by describing the attacking nucleophile, the atom attacked, and the leaving group.
- Compare the synthesis of *O*- and *N*-linked oligosaccharides.
- Explain why a lipid, dolichol, is involved in glycoprotein synthesis.
- Describe the types of enzymatic reactions that occur during the synthesis of *N*-linked oligosaccharides.

Summary

1 Glycogen Breakdown

- Glycogen breakdown requires three enzymes. Glycogen phosphorylase converts the glucosyl units at the nonreducing ends of glycogen to glucose-1-phosphate (G1P).
- Debranching enzyme transfers an α(1→4)-linked trisaccharide to a nonreducing end and hydrolyzes the α(1→6) linkage.
- Phosphoglucomutase converts G1P to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P). In liver, G6P is hydrolyzed by glucose-6-phosphatase to glucose for export to the tissues.

2 Glycogen Synthesis

- Glycogen synthesis requires a different pathway in which G1P is activated by reaction with UTP to form UDP–glucose.
- Glycogen synthase adds glucosyl units to the nonreducing ends of a growing glycogen molecule that has been primed by glycogenin.
- Branching enzyme removes an α(1→4)-linked 7-residue segment and reattaches it through an α(1→6) linkage to form a branched chain.

3 Control of Glycogen Metabolism

- Glycogen metabolism is controlled in part by allosteric effectors such as AMP, ATP, and G6P. Covalent modification of glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase shifts their T $\rightleftharpoons$ R equilibria and therefore alters their sensitivity to allosteric effectors.
- The ratio of phosphorylase *a* (more active) to phosphorylase *b* (less active) depends on the activity of phosphorylase kinase, which is regulated by the activity of protein kinase A (PKA), a cAMP-dependent enzyme, and on the activity of phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 (PP1). Glycogen phosphorylase is activated by phosphorylation, whereas glycogen synthase is activated by dephosphorylation.
- Hormones such as glucagon, epinephrine, and insulin control glycogen metabolism. Hormone signals that generate cAMP as a second messenger or that elevate intracellular Ca^{2+}, which binds to the calmodulin subunit of phosphorylase kinase, promote glycogen breakdown. Insulin stimulates glycogen synthesis in part by activating phosphoprotein phosphatase-1.

4 Gluconeogenesis

- Compounds that can be converted to oxaloacetate can subsequently be converted to glucose. The conversion of pyruvate to glucose by gluconeogenesis requires enzymes that bypass the three exergonic steps of glycolysis: Pyruvate carboxylase and PEP carboxykinase (PEPCK) bypass pyruvate kinase, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase) bypasses phosphofructokinase, and glucose-6-phosphatase bypasses hexokinase.
- Gluconeogenesis is regulated by changes in enzyme synthesis and by allosteric effectors, including fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P), which inhibits FBPase and activates phosphofructokinase (PFK) and whose synthesis depends on the phosphorylation state of the bifunctional enzyme phosphofructokinase-2/fructose bisphosphatase-2 (PFK-2/FBPase-2).

5 Other Carbohydrate Biosynthetic Pathways

- Formation of glycosidic bonds requires nucleotide sugars.
- *O*-Linked oligosaccharides are synthesized by adding monosaccharides to a protein.
- *N*-Linked oligosaccharides are assembled on a dolichol carrier and then transferred to a protein.

Key Terms

gluconeogenesis **523**
glycogenolysis **524**
phosphorolysis **524**
debranching **525**
glycogen storage disease **530**
interconvertible enzyme **536**
reducing equivalent **548**
nucleotide sugar **552**
dolichol **553**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Explain why the glycogen phosphorylase reaction ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = 3.1\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) is exergonic in the cell.

2. Glucose binds to glycogen phosphorylase and competitively inhibits the enzyme. What is the physiological advantage of this?

3. Phosphoglucokinase catalyzes the phosphorylation of the C6-OH group of G1P. Why is this enzyme important for the normal function of phosphoglucomutase?

4. Glucose-6-phosphatase is located inside the endoplasmic reticulum. Describe the probable symptoms of a defect in G6P transport across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane.

5. Individuals with McArdle's disease often experience a "second wind" resulting from cardiovascular adjustments that allow glucose mobilized from liver glycogen to fuel muscle contraction. Explain why the amount of ATP derived in the muscle from circulating glucose is less than the amount of ATP that would be obtained by mobilizing the same amount of glucose from muscle glycogen.

6. A sample of glycogen from a patient with liver disease is incubated with P_i, normal glycogen phosphorylase, and normal debranching enzyme. The ratio of G1P to glucose formed in the reaction mixture is 100. What is the patient's most probable enzymatic deficiency?

7. Calculations based on the volume of a glucose residue and the branching pattern of cellular glycogen indicate that a glycogen molecule could have up to 28 branching tiers before becoming impossibly dense. What are the advantages of such a molecule and why is it not found *in vivo*?

8. Subjects who consumed a meal containing a high amylose:amylopectin ratio showed a lower increase in blood glucose levels than subjects who consumed a meal with a low amylose:amylopectin ratio. Explain.

9. Many diabetics do not respond to insulin because of a deficiency of insulin receptors on their cells. How does this affect (a) the levels of circulating glucose immediately after a meal and (b) the rate of glycogen synthesis in muscle?

10. Why does it make metabolic sense for the same hormone signal to stimulate glycogenolysis and inhibit glycolysis in the liver while stimulating both glycogenolysis and glycolysis in muscles?

11. Comparisons of wolf and dog DNA reveal that dogs have 4 to 30 amylase genes, whereas wolves have only 2. Why might additional amylase genes have played a role in the domestication of wolves (the ancestors of modern dogs) when humans began farming about 10,000 years ago?

12. Some archaebacteria produce an enzyme with two active sites: one catalyzes the dephosphorylation of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate, and one catalyzes the condensation of dihydroxyacetone phosphate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate. Explain the advantage of combining these catalytic activities in a single bifunctional enzyme.

13. Write the balanced equation for the sequential conversion of glucose to pyruvate and of pyruvate to glucose.

14. Write the balanced equation for the catabolism of six molecules of G6P by the pentose phosphate pathway followed by conversion of ribulose-5-phosphate back to G6P by gluconeogenesis.

15. Indicate the energy yield or cost, in ATP equivalents, for the following processes:

(a) glycogen (3 residues) → 6 pyruvate

(b) 3 glucose → 6 pyruvate

(c) 6 pyruvate → 3 glucose

16. In the Cori cycle, the lactate product of glycolysis in muscle is transformed back into glucose by the liver. (a) List the enzymes involved in the lactate → glucose pathway. (b) What is the net gain/loss of ATP for one round of the Cori cycle (glucose → lactate → glucose)?

17. Predict the effect of a fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase deficiency on blood glucose levels (a) before and (b) after a 24-hour fast.

18. Explain why an individual with a fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase deficiency would have elevated levels of pyruvate in the blood.

19. The growth of new blood vessels, called angiogenesis, can be triggered by anoxia (lack of oxygen) and involves the rapid proliferation of endothelial cells, which form the vessel walls. These cells exhibit extremely high levels of the enzyme PFK-2. Explain the advantage of PFK-2 activity in these cells.

20. In angiogenic endothelial cells, pyruvate is converted to lactate (generating 2 ATP per glucose) rather than being completely oxidized (which would generate ~32 ATP by oxidative phosphorylation). Explain why angiogenic cells generate ATP anaerobically.

21. The cells that line the mammalian intestine produce glycoproteins with *O*-linked oligosaccharides. Some of the glycoproteins remain anchored to the cell surface and some are released into the intestinal space. In a healthy animal, numerous microbial species (the microbiome) live in the intestine. These organisms produce fucosidase, an enzyme that hydrolyzes glycosidic bonds involving fucose. (a) Why is this enzyme useful to the microorganisms? (b) Pathogenic (disease-causing) bacteria typically lack fucosidase. How does this help prevent the growth of pathogens in the intestine, particularly during an illness when the human host stops eating?

22. In germ-free mice, which harbor no intestinal bacteria, the *O*-linked oligosaccharides of intestinal glycoproteins tend to lack a terminal fucose residue (see Fig. 16-26). (a) What enzyme is not produced in normal quantities in these mice? (b) What monosaccharides tend to appear at the ends of *O*-linked oligosaccharides in these animals?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. One molecule of dietary glucose can be oxidized through glycolysis and the citric acid cycle to generate a maximum of 32 molecules of ATP. Calculate the fraction of this energy that is lost when the glucose is stored as glycogen before it is catabolized.

24. The free energy of hydrolysis of an α(1→4) glycosidic bond is $-15.5\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, whereas that of an α(1→6) glycosidic bond is $-7.1\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. Use these data to explain why glycogen debranching includes three reactions [breaking and re-forming α(1→4) bonds and hydrolyzing α(1→6) bonds], whereas glycogen branching requires only two reactions [breaking α(1→4) bonds and forming α(1→6) bonds].

25. (a) What amino acid, other than alanine, can be converted by transamination to a gluconeogenic precursor? (b) Calculate the cost, in ATP equivalents, of converting two molecules of this amino acid to one molecule of glucose.

26. The pathways of carbohydrate metabolism described in Chapters 15 and 16 include two reactions involving the transfer of one-carbon groups. (a) Name the enzymes and the pathways where these reactions occur. (b) What cofactors are required for the transfer reactions?

27. The pathways outlined in this chapter include steps that involve cleavage of a nucleoside triphosphate. Which of these reactions is/are phosphoryl group transfer?

28. The pathways outlined in this chapter include steps that involve cleavage of a nucleoside triphosphate. Which of these cleavage reactions is/are not phosphoryl group transfer?

29. Would you expect the allosteric regulator AMP to increase or decrease liver fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase activity?

30. Explain why it makes metabolic sense for acetyl-CoA, which is not a substrate for gluconeogenesis, to activate pyruvate carboxylase.

31. Fill in the blanks in the following diagram representing sucrose synthesis in plants.

32. Starch synthase in plants contains a single active site that accommodates ADP–glucose. However, cellulose synthase may contain two UDP–glucose-binding active sites. Given the structural differences between starch and cellulose, explain why two substrate-binding sites would promote efficient cellulose synthesis. [Hint: See Fig. 8-9.]

33. A mature glycogen particle typically has 12 tiers of branches with 2 branches per tier and 13 residues per branch. How many glucose residues are in such a particle?

34. The V_{max} of muscle glycogen phosphorylase is much larger than that of the liver enzyme. Discuss the functional significance of this phenomenon.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Glycogen Phosphorylase Structure and Activity

Exercise 2 Glucagon Control of Glycogen and Glucose Metabolism

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 22 Carrier-Mediated Uptake of Lactate in Rat Hepatocytes

Focus concept: The structural characteristics of the lactate transport protein in hepatocytes are determined.
Prerequisites: Chapters 10, 15, and 16

- Transport proteins
- Major carbohydrate metabolic pathways including glycolysis and gluconeogenesis

Case 26 The Role of Specific Amino Acids in the Peptide Hormone Glucagon in Receptor Binding and Signal Transduction

Focus concept: Amino acid side chains important in glucagon binding and signal transduction are identified.
Prerequisites: Chapters 4, 13, and 16

- Amino acid structure
- Signal transduction via G proteins

MORE TO EXPLORE Use the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim) to explore one of the glycogen storage diseases described in Box 16-2. At what age is the disease typically noticed? What are the clinical symptoms? How is a diagnosis made? How is the disease treated? Are there variant forms of the disease, and what is the genetic basis for the variation?

References

Bollen, M., Keppens, S., and Stalmans, W., Specific features of glycogen metabolism in the liver, *Biochem. J.* **336,** 19–31 (1998). [Describes the activities of the enzymes involved in glycogen synthesis and degradation and discusses the mechanisms for regulating the processes.]

Brosnan, J.T., Comments on metabolic needs for glucose and the role of gluconeogenesis, *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **53,** S107–S111 (1999). [A readable review that discusses possible reasons why carbohydrates are used universally as metabolic fuels and why glucose is stored as glycogen.]

Browner, M.F. and Fletterick, R.J., Phosphorylase: a biological transducer, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **17,** 66–71 (1992).

Burda, P. and Aebi, M., The dolichol pathway of N-linked glycosylation, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1426,** 239–257 (1999).

Croniger, C.M., Olswang, Y., Reshef, L., Kalhan, S.C., Tilghman, S.M., and Hanson, R.W., Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase revisited. Insights into its metabolic role, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **30,** 14–20 (2002); *and* Croniger, C.M., Chakravarty, K., Olswang, Y., Cassuto, H., Reshef, L., and Hanson, R.W., Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase revisited. II. Control of PEPCK-C gene expression, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **30,** 353–362 (2002).

Kishnani, P.S., Koeberi, D., and Chen, Y.T., Glycogen storage diseases, *in* Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* Chapter 71. http://www.ommbid.com/. [Begins with a review of glycogen metabolism.]

Meléndez-Hevia, E., Waddell, T.G., and Shelton, E.D., Optimization of molecular design in the evolution of metabolism: the glycogen molecule, *Biochem. J.* **295,** 477–483 (1993).

Nordlie, R.C., Foster, J.D., and Lange, A.J., Regulation of glucose production by the liver, *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* **19,** 379–406 (1999).

Okar, D.A., Manzano, À., Navarro-Sabatè, A., Riera, L., Bartrons, R., and Lange, A.J., PFK-2/FBPase-2: Maker and breaker of the essential biofactor fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **26,** 30–35 (2001).

Palm, D.C., Rohwer, J.M., and Hofmeyr, J.H., Regulation of glycogen synthase from mammalian skeletal muscle—a unifying view of allosteric and covalent regulation, *FEBS. J.* **280,** 2–27 (2013).

Roach, P.J., Depaoli-Roach, A.A., Hurley, T.D., and Tagliabracci, V.S., Glycogen and its metabolism: Some new developments and old themes, *Biochem. J.* **441,** 763–787 (2012).

Whelan, W.J., Why the linkage of glycogen to glycogenin was so hard to determine. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **35,** 313–315 (2007).

CHAPTER 17

Citric Acid Cycle

Chapter Contents

1 **Overview of the Citric Acid Cycle**

2 **Synthesis of Acetyl-Coenzyme A**
- A Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Is a Multienzyme Complex
- B The Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex Catalyzes Five Reactions

3 **Enzymes of the Citric Acid Cycle**
- A Citrate Synthase Joins an Acetyl Group to Oxaloacetate
- B Aconitase Interconverts Citrate and Isocitrate
- C NAD^+-Dependent Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Releases CO_2
- D α-Ketoglutarate Dehydrogenase Resembles Pyruvate Dehydrogenase
- E Succinyl-CoA Synthetase Produces GTP
- F Succinate Dehydrogenase Generates $FADH_2$
- G Fumarase Produces Malate
- H Malate Dehydrogenase Regenerates Oxaloacetate

4 **Regulation of the Citric Acid Cycle**
- A Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Is Regulated by Product Inhibition and Covalent Modification
- B Three Enzymes Control the Rate of the Citric Acid Cycle

5 **Reactions Related to the Citric Acid Cycle**
- A Other Pathways Use Citric Acid Cycle Intermediates
- B Some Reactions Replenish Citric Acid Cycle Intermediates
- C The Glyoxylate Cycle Shares Some Steps with the Citric Acid Cycle

Michael P. Gadomski/Photo Researchers, Inc.

The metabolic activity of this germinating seed is geared to generate the energy and carry out the biosynthetic reactions necessary for growth. Among the most active pathways in this and most organisms is the citric acid cycle, a series of reactions that participate in both catabolic and anabolic processes.

In the preceding two chapters, we examined the catabolism of glucose and its biosynthesis, storage, and mobilization. Although glucose is a source of energy for nearly all cells, it is not the only metabolic fuel, nor is glycolysis the only energy-yielding catabolic pathway. Cells that rely exclusively on glycolysis to meet their energy requirements actually waste most of the chemical potential energy of carbohydrates. When glucose is converted to lactate or ethanol, a relatively reduced product leaves the cell. If the end product of glycolysis is instead further oxidized, the cell can recover considerably more energy.

The oxidation of an organic compound requires an electron acceptor, such as NO_3^-, SO_4^{2-}, Fe^{3+}, or O_2, all of which are exploited as oxidants in different organisms. In aerobic organisms, the electrons produced by oxidative metabolism are ultimately transferred to O_2. Oxidation of metabolic fuels is carried out by the citric acid cycle, a sequence of reactions that arose sometime after levels of atmospheric oxygen became significant, about 3 billion years ago. As the reduced carbon atoms of metabolic fuels are oxidized to CO_2, electrons are transferred to electron carriers that are subsequently reoxidized by O_2. In this chapter, we examine the oxidation reactions of the citric acid cycle itself. In the following chapter, we examine the fate of the electrons and see how their energy is used to drive the synthesis of ATP.

FIG. 17-1 Overview of oxidative fuel metabolism. Acetyl groups derived from carbohydrates, amino acids, and fatty acids enter the citric acid cycle, where they are oxidized to CO_2. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

It is sometimes convenient to think of the citric acid cycle as an addendum to glycolysis. Pyruvate derived from glucose can be split into CO_2 and a two-carbon fragment that enters the cycle for oxidation as acetyl-CoA (Fig. 17-1). However, it is really misleading to think of the citric acid cycle as merely a continuation of carbohydrate catabolism. *The citric acid cycle is a central pathway for recovering energy from several metabolic fuels, including carbohydrates, fatty acids, and amino acids, that are broken down to acetyl-CoA for oxidation.* In fact, under some conditions, the principal function of the citric acid cycle is to recover energy from fatty acids. We will also see that the citric acid cycle supplies the reactants for a variety of biosynthetic pathways.

We begin this chapter with an overview of the citric acid cycle. Next, we explore how acetyl-CoA, its starting compound, is formed from pyruvate. After discussing the reactions catalyzed by each of the enzymes of the cycle, we consider the regulation of these enzymes. Finally, we examine the links between citric acid cycle intermediates and other metabolic processes.

1 Overview of the Citric Acid Cycle

KEY CONCEPT

- The citric acid cycle is a multistep catalytic process that converts acetyl groups derived from carbohydrates, fatty acids, and amino acids to CO_2, and produces NADH, $FADH_2$, and GTP.

The **citric acid cycle** (Fig. 17-2) is an ingenious series of eight reactions that oxidizes the acetyl group of acetyl-CoA to two molecules of CO_2 in a manner that conserves the liberated free energy in the reduced compounds NADH and $FADH_2$. The cycle is named after the product of its first reaction, **citrate**. One complete round of the cycle yields two molecules of CO_2, three NADH, one $FADH_2$, and one "high-energy" compound (GTP or ATP).

The citric acid cycle first came to light in the 1930s, when Hans Krebs, building on the work of others, proposed a circular reaction scheme for the interconversion of certain compounds containing two or three carboxylic acid groups (that is, di- and tricarboxylates). At the time, many of the citric acid cycle intermediates were already well known as plant products: **citrate** from citrus fruit, **aconitate** from monkshood (*Aconitum*), **succinate** from amber (succinum), **fumarate** from the herb *Fumaria,* and **malate** from apple (*Malus*). Two other intermediates, **α-ketoglutarate** and **oxaloacetate,** are known by their chemical names because they were synthesized before they were identified in living organisms. Krebs was the first to show how the metabolism of these compounds was linked to the oxidation of metabolic fuels. His discovery of the citric acid cycle, in 1937, ranks as one of the most important achievements of metabolic chemistry (Box 17-1). Although the enzymes and intermediates of the citric acid cycle are now well established, many investigators continue to explore the molecular mechanisms of the enzymes and how the enzymes are regulated for optimal performance under varying metabolic conditions in different organisms.

Before we examine each of the reactions in detail, we should emphasize some general features of the citric acid cycle:

1. The circular pathway, which is also called the **Krebs cycle** or the **tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle**, oxidizes acetyl groups from many

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Citric cycle overview

FIG. 17-2 The reactions of the citric acid cycle. The reactants and products of this catalytic cycle are boxed. The pyruvate → acetyl-CoA reaction (*top*) supplies the cycle's substrate via carbohydrate metabolism but is not considered to be part of the cycle. An isotopic label at C4 of oxaloacetate (*) becomes C1 of α-ketoglutarate and is released as CO_2 in Reaction 4. An isotopic label at C1 of acetyl-CoA (‡) becomes C5 of α-ketoglutarate and is scrambled in Reaction 5 between C1 and C4 of succinate (½‡). **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Without looking at the text, write an equation for the citric acid cycle.

sources, not just pyruvate. Because it accounts for the major portion of carbohydrate, fatty acid, and amino acid oxidation, the citric acid cycle is often considered the "hub" of cellular metabolism.

2. The net reaction of the citric acid cycle is

$$3\ NAD^+ + FAD + GDP + P_i + \text{acetyl-CoA} \rightarrow$$
$$3\ NADH + FADH_2 + GTP + CoA + 2\ CO_2$$

The oxaloacetate that is consumed in the first step of the citric acid cycle is regenerated in the last step of the cycle. Thus, *the citric acid cycle acts as a multistep catalyst that can oxidize an unlimited number of acetyl groups.*

3. In eukaryotes, all the enzymes of the citric acid cycle are located in the mitochondria, so all substrates, including NAD^+ and GDP, must be generated in the mitochondria or be transported into mitochondria from the

Box 17-1 Pathways of Discovery — Hans Krebs and the Citric Acid Cycle

Hans Krebs (1900–1981) Hans Krebs worked in Otto Warburg's laboratory from 1926 until 1930 and later declared that he had learned more from Warburg (see Box 15-1) than from any other teacher. Krebs applied Warburg's tissue-slice technique to the study of biosynthetic reactions (Warburg himself was interested primarily in oxidative and degradative reactions). Over a period of years, Krebs investigated synthetic pathways for urea, uric acid, and purines, as well as oxidative pathways. He was forced to leave his native Germany for England in 1933, but unlike many other German emigrant scientists, he was able to bring much of his laboratory equipment with him. In England, Krebs continued to work on a series of metabolic reactions that he named the citric acid cycle.

The cycle was discovered not through sudden inspiration but through a series of careful experiments spanning the years 1932 to 1937. Krebs became interested in the "combustion" phase of fuel use, namely, what occurs after the fermentation of glucose to lactate. Until the 1930s, the mechanism of glucose oxidation and its relationship to cellular respiration (oxygen uptake) was a mystery. Krebs understood that the stoichiometry of the overall process (glucose + $6\ O_2 \rightarrow 6\ CO_2 + 6\ H_2O$) required a multistep pathway. He was also aware that other researchers had examined the ability of muscle tissue to rapidly oxidize various dicarboxylates (α-ketoglutarate, succinate, and malate) and a tricarboxylate (citrate), but none of these substances had a clear relationship to any foodstuffs.

In 1935, Albert Szent-Györgyi found that cellular respiration was dramatically accelerated by small amounts of succinate, fumarate, malate, or oxaloacetate. In fact, the addition of any of these compounds stimulated O_2 uptake and CO_2 production far in excess of what would be expected for their oxidation. In other words, the compounds acted catalytically to boost the combustion of other compounds in the cell. At about the same time, Carl Martius and Franz Knoop showed that citrate could be converted to α-ketoglutarate. Soon, Krebs had an entire sequence of reactions for converting citrate to oxaloacetate: citrate → aconitate → isocitrate → α-ketoglutarate → succinate → fumarate → malate → oxaloacetate. However, for this sequence of reactions to work catalytically, it must repeatedly return to its starting point; that is, the first compound must be regenerated. And there was still no obvious link to glucose metabolism!

Krebs believed that citrate and the other intermediates were involved in glucose combustion because they appeared to burn at the same rate as foodstuffs and were the only substances that did so. In addition, earlier work had shown that the three-carbon compound malonate not only blocks the conversion of succinate to fumarate, it blocks all combustion by living cells. In 1937, Martius and Knoop provided Krebs with a key piece of information: Oxaloacetate and pyruvate could be converted to citrate in the presence of hydrogen peroxide.

Krebs now had the missing link: Pyruvate is a product of glucose metabolism, and its reaction with oxaloacetate to form citrate closed off the linear series of reactions to form a cycle. The idea of a circular pathway was not new to Krebs. He and Kurt Henseleit had elucidated the four-step urea cycle in 1932 (Section 21-3). Krebs quickly showed that the reaction of pyruvate with oxaloacetate to form citrate took place in living tissue and that the rates of citrate synthesis and breakdown were high enough to account for the observed fuel combustion in a variety of tissue types.

Remarkably, Krebs' initial report on the citric acid cycle was rejected by *Nature,* a leading journal, before being accepted for publication in the less prestigious *Enzymologia.* In his report, Krebs established the major outlines of the pathway, although some details were later revised. For example, the mechanism of citrate formation (which involves acetyl-CoA rather than pyruvate) and the participation of succinyl-CoA in the cycle were not immediately appreciated. Coenzyme A was not discovered until 1945, and only in 1951 was acetyl-CoA shown to be the intermediate that condenses with oxaloacetate to form citrate. Work by Krebs and others established that the citric acid cycle plays a major role in the oxidation of amino acids and fatty acids. In fact, the pathway accounts for approximately two-thirds of the energy derived from metabolic fuels. Krebs also recognized the role of the citric acid cycle in supplying precursors for synthetic reactions.

[Krebs, H.A. and Johnson, W.A., The role of citric acid in intermediate metabolism in animal tissues, *Enzymologia* **4,** 148–156 (1937).]

cytosol. Similarly, all the products of the citric acid cycle must be consumed in the mitochondria or transported into the cytosol.

4. The carbon atoms of the two molecules of CO_2 produced in one round of the cycle are not the two carbons of the acetyl group that began the round (Fig. 17-2). These acetyl carbon atoms are lost in subsequent rounds of the cycle. However, the net effect of each round of the cycle is the oxidation of one acetyl group to 2 CO_2.
5. Citric acid cycle intermediates are precursors for the biosynthesis of other compounds (e.g., oxaloacetate for gluconeogenesis; Section 16-4).
6. The oxidation of an acetyl group to 2 CO_2 requires the transfer of four pairs of electrons. The reduction of 3 NAD^+ to 3 NADH accounts for three pairs of electrons; the reduction of FAD to $FADH_2$ accounts for the fourth pair. Much of the free energy of oxidation of the acetyl group is conserved in these reduced coenzymes. Energy is also recovered as GTP (or ATP). In Section 18-3C, we will see that approximately 10 ATP are formed when the four pairs of electrons are eventually transferred to O_2.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain why the citric acid cycle is considered to be the hub of cellular metabolism.
- What are the substrates and products of the net reaction corresponding to one turn of the citric acid cycle?

2 Synthesis of Acetyl-Coenzyme A

KEY CONCEPTS

- Pyruvate dehydrogenase is a multienzyme complex that catalyzes a five-part reaction in which pyruvate releases CO_2 and the remaining acetyl group becomes linked to coenzyme A.
- The reaction sequence requires the cofactors TPP, lipoamide, coenzyme A, FAD, and NAD^+.

Acetyl groups enter the citric acid cycle as part of the "high-energy" compound acetyl-CoA (recall that thioesters have high free energies of hydrolysis; Section 14-2D). Although acetyl-CoA can also be derived from fatty acids (Section 20-2) and certain amino acids (Section 21-4), we focus here on the production of acetyl-CoA from pyruvate derived from carbohydrates.

As we saw in Section 15-3, the end product of glycolysis under anaerobic conditions is lactate or ethanol. However, under aerobic conditions, when the NADH generated by glycolysis is reoxidized in the mitochondria, the final product is pyruvate. A transport protein imports pyruvate along with H^+ (i.e., a pyruvate–H^+ symport) into the mitochondrion for further oxidation.

A Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Is a Multienzyme Complex

Multienzyme complexes are groups of noncovalently associated enzymes that catalyze two or more sequential steps in a metabolic pathway. Virtually all organisms contain multienzyme complexes, which represent a step forward in the evolution of catalytic efficiency because they offer the following advantages:

1. Enzymatic reaction rates are limited by the frequency with which enzymes collide with their substrates (Section 11-3D). When a series of reactions occurs within a multienzyme complex, the distance that substrates must diffuse between active sites is minimized, thereby enhancing the reaction rate.
2. The channeling (passing) of metabolic intermediates between successive enzymes in a metabolic pathway reduces the opportunity for these intermediates to react with other molecules, thereby minimizing side reactions.
3. The reactions catalyzed by a multienzyme complex can be coordinately controlled.

Courtesy of Lester Reed, University of Texas at Austin

FIG. 17-3 Electron micrographs of the *E. coli* pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex. (*a*) The intact complex. (*b*) The dihydrolipoyl transacetylase (E2) core complex.

FIG. 17-4 Structural organization of the *E. coli* pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex. (*a*) The dihydrolipoyl transacetylase (E2) core. The 24 E2 proteins (*green spheres*) associate as trimers at the corners of a cube. (*b*) The 24 pyruvate dehydrogenase (E1) proteins (*orange spheres*) form dimers that associate with the E2 core (*shaded cube*) along its 12 edges. The 12 dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3) proteins (*purple spheres*) form dimers that attach to the six faces of the E2 cube. (*c*) Parts *a* and *b* combined form the entire 60-subunit complex.

Acetyl-CoA is formed from pyruvate through oxidative decarboxylation by a multienzyme complex named **pyruvate dehydrogenase.** This complex contains multiple copies of three enzymes: **pyruvate dehydrogenase (E1), dihydrolipoyl transacetylase (E2),** and **dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3).**

The *E. coli* pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is an ~4600-kD particle with a diameter of about 300 Å (**Fig. 17-3*a***). The core of the particle is made of 24 E2 proteins arranged in a cube (**Figs. 17-3*b*** and **17-4*a***), which is surrounded by 24 E1 proteins and 12 E3 proteins (**Fig. 17-4*b,c***). In mammals, yeast, and some bacteria, the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is even larger and more complicated, although it catalyzes the same reactions using homologous enzymes and similar mechanisms. In these ~10,000-kD complexes, the largest known multienzyme complexes, the E2 core consists of 60 subunits arranged with dodecahedral symmetry [**Fig. 17-5**; a dodecahedron is a regular polyhedron with *I* symmetry (Fig. 6-34*c*) that has 20 vertices and 12 pentagonal faces] surrounded by a shell consisting of ~45 E1 $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramers and ~9 E3 homodimers. E1 and E3 competitively bind to mutually exclusive sites on E2 in a random distribution. Mammalian complexes, in addition, contain ~12 copies of **E3 binding protein,** which facilitates the binding of E3 to the E2 core, and several copies of a kinase and a phosphatase that function to regulate the activity of the complex (Section 17-4A).

Courtesy of Jacqueline Milne, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

FIG. 17-5 Model of the *Bacillus stearothermophilus* pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. This ~500-Å-diameter cutaway surface diagram is based on cryoelectron microscopic images of a complex consisting of a core of 60 E2 subunits (*gray-green*) surrounded by an outer shell of 60 E1 subunits (*purple*) separated by an ~90-Å-wide annular gap. Three full-length E2 subunits are schematically represented in red, green, and yellow to indicate their catalytic domains (embedded in the E2 core), their peripheral subunit-binding domains (embedded in the E3 shell) that bind the E1 (and E3) subunits, and their lipoyl domains, all connected by flexible polypeptide linkers (Section 17-2B). Here, the red lipoyl domain is shown visiting an E1 active site (*white dot*), whereas the green and yellow lipoyl domains occupy intermediate positions in the annular region between the E2 core and E1 shell. In a normal pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, E3 subunits would take the place of some of the E1 subunits. Indeed, a complex of 60 E3 subunits and 60 E2 subunits closely resembles the E1–E2 complex.

B The Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex Catalyzes Five Reactions

The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex catalyzes five sequential reactions with the overall stoichiometry

$$\text{Pyruvate} + \text{CoA} + \text{NAD}^+ \rightarrow \text{acetyl-CoA} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{NADH}$$

Five different coenzymes are required: thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP; Section 15-3B), **lipoamide,** coenzyme A (Fig. 14-11), FAD (Fig. 14-13), and NAD^+ (Fig. 11-4). The coenzymes and their mechanistic functions are listed in Table 17-1. The sequence of reactions catalyzed by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is as follows (Fig. 17-6):

1. Pyruvate dehydrogenase (E1), a TPP-requiring enzyme, decarboxylates pyruvate with the formation of a hydroxyethyl-TPP intermediate:

This reaction is identical to that catalyzed by yeast pyruvate decarboxylase (Fig. 15-20). Recall (Section 15-3B) that the ability of TPP's thiazolium ring to add to carbonyl groups and act as an electron sink makes it the coenzyme most utilized in α-keto acid decarboxylation reactions.

2. Unlike pyruvate decarboxylase, however, pyruvate dehydrogenase does not convert the hydroxyethyl-TPP intermediate into acetaldehyde

TABLE 17-1 The Coenzymes and Prosthetic Groups of Pyruvate Dehydrogenase

Cofactor	Location	Function
Thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP)	Bound to E1	Decarboxylates pyruvate, yielding a hydroxyethyl–TPP carbanion
Lipoic acid	Covalently linked to a Lys on E2 (lipoamide)	Accepts the hydroxyethyl carbanion from TPP as an acetyl group
Coenzyme A (CoA)	Substrate for E2	Accepts the acetyl group from lipoamide
Flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)	Bound to E3	Reduced by lipoamide
Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+)	Substrate for E3	Reduced by $FADH_2$

FIG. 17-6 The five reactions of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex. E1 (pyruvate dehydrogenase) contains TPP and catalyzes Reactions 1 and 2. E2 (dihydrolipoyl transacetylase) contains lipoamide and catalyzes Reaction 3. E3 (dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase) contains FAD and a redox-active disulfide and catalyzes Reactions 4 and 5.

? What type of reaction occurs in each of the five steps?

and TPP. Instead, the hydroxyethyl group is transferred to the next enzyme, dihydrolipoyl transacetylase (E2), which contains a lipoamide group. Lipoamide consists of **lipoic acid** linked via an amide bond to the ε-amino group of a Lys residue (Fig. 17-7). The reactive center of lipoamide is a cyclic disulfide that can be reversibly reduced to yield **dihydrolipoamide.** The hydroxyethyl group derived from pyruvate attacks the lipoamide disulfide, and TPP is eliminated, thus regenerating

FIG. 17-7 Interconversion of lipoamide and dihydrolipoamide. Lipoamide consists of lipoic acid covalently joined to the ε-amino group of a Lys residue via an amide bond.

active E1. The hydroxyethyl carbanion is oxidized to an acetyl group as the lipoamide disulfide is reduced:

3. E2 then catalyzes a transesterification reaction in which the acetyl group is transferred to CoA, yielding acetyl-CoA and dihydrolipoamide-E2:

4. Acetyl-CoA has now been formed, but the lipoamide group of E2 must be regenerated. Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3) reoxidizes dihydrolipoamide to complete the catalytic cycle of E2. Oxidized E3 contains a reactive Cys—Cys disulfide group and a tightly bound FAD. The oxidation of dihydrolipoamide is a disulfide interchange reaction:

5. Finally, reduced E3 is reoxidized. The sulfhydryl groups are reoxidized by a mechanism in which FAD funnels electrons to NAD^+, yielding NADH:

The X-ray structure of dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase together with mechanistic information indicate that the reaction catalyzed by dihydrolipoamide

FIG. 17-8 Active site of dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase (E3). In this X-ray structure of the enzyme from *Pseudomonas putida,* the redox-active portions of the bound NAD^+ and FAD cofactors, the side chains of Cys 43 and Cys 48 forming the redox-active disulfide bond, and the side chain of Tyr 181 are shown in ball-and-stick form with FAD C green, NAD^+ C cyan, protein side chain C magenta, N blue, O red, P orange, and S yellow. Note that the side chain of Tyr 181 is interposed between the flavin and the nicotinamide rings. Portions of the homodimeric protein's two subunits are drawn in ribbon form in purple and light orange. [Based on an X-ray structure by Wim Hol, University of Washington. PDBid 1LVL.]

? Compare the reduction potentials of NAD^+, FAD, and cysteine disulfide (Table 14-4). What do these values predict about the flow of electrons among the three groups?

dehydrogenase (E3) is more complex than Reactions 4 and 5 in Fig. 17-6 suggest. The enzyme's redox-active disulfide bond occurs between Cys 43 and Cys 48, which reside on a highly conserved segment of the enzyme's polypeptide chain. The disulfide bond links successive turns in a distorted segment of an α helix (in an undistorted helix, the C_α atoms of Cys 43 and Cys 48 would be too far apart to permit the disulfide bond to form). The enzyme's flavin group is almost completely buried in the protein, which prevents the surrounding solution from interfering with the electron-transfer reaction catalyzed by the enzyme. The nicotinamide ring of NAD^+ binds on the side of the flavin opposite the disulfide. In the absence of NAD^+, the phenol side chain of Tyr 181 covers the nicotinamide-binding pocket to shield the flavin from contact with the solution (**Fig. 17-8**). The Tyr side chain apparently moves aside to allow the nicotinamide ring to bind near the flavin ring.

FAD prosthetic groups in proteins have standard reduction potentials of around 0 V (Table 14-4), which makes $FADH_2$ unsuitable for donating electrons to NAD^+ ($\mathscr{E}°' = -0.315$ V). Evidence suggests that the FAD group in dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase never becomes fully reduced as $FADH_2$. Due to the precise positioning of the flavin and nicotinamide ring, electrons are rapidly transferred from the enzyme disulfide through FAD to NAD^+, so a reduced flavin anion ($FADH^-$) has but a transient existence. Thus, *FAD appears to function more as an electron conduit than as a source or sink of electrons.*

A Swinging Arm Transfers Intermediates. How are reaction intermediates channeled between E2 (the core of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex) and the E1 and E3 proteins on the outside? The key is the lipoamide group of E2. The lipoic acid residue and the side chain of the Lys residue to which it is attached have a combined length of about 14 Å. This **lipoyllysyl arm** (*at right*) is linked to the rest of the E2 protein by a highly flexible and >140-Å-long, Pro- and Ala-rich segment, which together function as a long tether that swings the disulfide group from E1 (where it acquires a hydroxyethyl group), to the E2 active site (where the hydroxyethyl group is transferred to form acetyl-CoA), and from there to E3 (where the reduced disulfide is reoxidized). Because of the flexibility and reach of this assembly, one E1 protein can acetylate numerous E2 proteins, and one E3 protein can reoxidize several dihydrolipoamide groups. The flexible linkers protrude into the space between the E2 core and the E1–E3 outer shell (Fig. 17-5) and swing around in order to "visit" the active sites of E1, E2, and E3. The entire pyruvate dehydrogenase complex can be inactivated by the reaction of the lipoamide group with certain arsenic-containing compounds (see Box 17-2).

Lipoyllysyl arm (fully extended)

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the advantages of multienzyme complexes.
- Write an equation for the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction.
- Describe the five reactions of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex.
- What cofactors are required? Which of these are prosthetic groups?

Box 17-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Arsenic Poisoning

The toxicity of arsenic has been known since ancient times. As(III) compounds such as **arsenite** (AsO_3^{3-}) and **organic arsenicals** are toxic because they bind to sulfhydryl compounds (including lipoamide) that can form bidentate adducts:

Arsenite **Dihydro-lipoamide**

Organic arsenical

The inactivation of lipoamide-containing enzymes by arsenite, especially the pyruvate dehydrogenase and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complexes, brings respiration to a halt. However, organic arsenicals are more toxic to microorganisms than they are to humans, apparently because of differences in the sensitivities of their various enzymes to the compounds. This differential toxicity is the basis for the early twentieth century use of organic arsenicals in the treatment of **syphilis** (a bacterial disease) and trypanosomiasis (a parasitic disease). These compounds were actually the first antibiotics, although, not surprisingly, they produced severe side effects.

Arsenic is often suspected as a poison in untimely deaths. It was long thought that Napoleon Bonaparte died from arsenic poisoning while in exile on the island of St. Helena, a suspicion that is strongly supported by the recent finding that a lock of his hair contains high levels of arsenic. But was it murder or environmental pollution? Arsenic-containing dyes were used in wallpaper at the time, and it was eventually determined that in damp weather, fungi convert the arsenic to a volatile compound. Surviving samples of the wallpaper from Napoleon's room in fact contain arsenic. Napoleon's arsenic poisoning may therefore have been unintentional.

© Heritage Images/Corbis

Napoleon Bonaparte.

Photo Researchers

Charles Darwin.

Charles Darwin may also have been an unwitting victim of chronic arsenic poisoning. In the years following his epic voyage on the *Beagle,* Darwin was plagued by eczema, vertigo, headaches, gout, and nausea–all symptoms of arsenic poisoning. Fowler's solution, a widely used nineteenth century "tonic," contained 10 mg of arsenite per mL. Many individuals, quite possibly Darwin himself, took this "medication" for years.

3 Enzymes of the Citric Acid Cycle

KEY CONCEPTS

- The eight enzymes of the citric acid cycle catalyze condensation, isomerization, oxidation–reduction, phosphorylation, and hydration reactions.
- Two reactions produce CO_2, one reaction produces GTP, and four reactions generate the reduced coenzymes NADH or $FADH_2$.

In this section, we discuss the eight enzymes of the citric acid cycle. The elucidation of the mechanisms for each of these enzymes is the result of an enormous amount of experimental work. Even so, there remain questions about the mechanistic details of the enzymes and their regulatory properties.

A Citrate Synthase Joins an Acetyl Group to Oxaloacetate

Citrate synthase catalyzes the condensation of acetyl-CoA and oxaloacetate. This initial reaction of the citric acid cycle is the point at which carbon atoms (from carbohydrates, fatty acids, and amino acids) are "fed into the furnace" as acetyl-CoA. The citrate synthase reaction proceeds with an Ordered Sequential kinetic mechanism in which oxaloacetate binds before acetyl-CoA.

FIG. 17-9 Conformational changes in citrate synthase. (*a*) The open conformation. (*b*) The closed, substrate-binding conformation. In both forms, the homodimeric protein is viewed along its twofold axis and is represented by its transparent molecular surface with its polypeptide chains drawn in worm form colored in rainbow order from their N-termini (*blue*) to their C-termini (*red*). The reaction product citrate, which is bound to both enzymatic forms, and coenzyme A, which is also bound to the closed form, are shown in space-filling form with citrate C cyan, CoA C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. The large conformational shift between the open and closed forms entails 18° rotations of the small domains (*upper left and lower right*) relative to the large domains resulting in relative interatomic movements of up to 15 Å. [Based on X-ray structures by James Remington and Robert Huber, Max-Planck-Institut für Biochemie, Martinsried, Germany. PDBids 1CTS and 2CTS.]

X-Ray studies show that the free enzyme (a homodimer) is in an "open" form, with two domains that form a cleft containing the substrate-binding site (**Fig. 17-9*a***). When substrate binds, the smaller domain undergoes a remarkable 18° rotation, which closes the cleft (**Fig. 17-9*b***). The existence of the "open" and "closed" forms explains the enzyme's Ordered Sequential kinetic behavior. *The conformational change generates the acetyl-CoA binding site and seals the oxaloacetate binding site so that solvent cannot reach the bound substrate.* We have seen similar conformational changes in adenylate kinase (Fig. 14-10) and hexokinase (Fig. 15-2), which prevent ATP hydrolysis.

In the reaction mechanism proposed by James Remington, three ionizable side chains of citrate synthase participate in catalysis (**Fig. 17-10**):

1. The enol of acetyl-CoA is generated in the rate-limiting step of the reaction when Asp 375 (a base) removes a proton from the methyl group. His 274 forms a hydrogen bond with the enolate oxygen.
2. **Citryl-CoA** is formed in a concerted acid–base catalyzed step, in which the acetyl-CoA enolate (a nucleophile) attacks oxaloacetate. His 320 (an acid) donates a proton to oxaloacetate's carbonyl group. The citryl-CoA intermediate remains bound to the enzyme. Citrate synthase is one of the few enzymes that directly forms a carbon–carbon bond without the assistance of a metal ion cofactor.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 17-10 The mechanism of the citrate synthase reaction. His 274 and His 320 in their neutral forms and Asp 375 have been implicated as general acid–base catalysts. [Mostly after Remington, J.S., *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2,** 732 (1992).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? Would citrate synthase activity be more sensitive to a slight increase in pH or a slight decrease in pH?

3. Citryl-CoA is hydrolyzed to citrate and CoA. This hydrolysis provides the reaction's thermodynamic driving force ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -31.5$ kJ · mol^{-1}). We will see later why this reaction requires such a large, seemingly wasteful, expenditure of free energy.

B Aconitase Interconverts Citrate and Isocitrate

Aconitase catalyzes the reversible isomerization of citrate and **isocitrate,** with ***cis*-aconitate** as an intermediate:

The reaction begins with a dehydration step in which a proton and an OH group are removed. Since citrate has two carboxymethyl groups substituent to its central C atom, it is prochiral rather than chiral. Thus, although water might conceivably be eliminated from either of the two carboxymethyl arms, aconitase removes water only from citrate's lower (*pro-R*) arm (i.e., such that the product molecule has the *R* configuration; Box 4-2).

Aconitase contains a **[4Fe−4S] iron–sulfur cluster** (an arrangement of four iron atoms and four sulfur atoms, Section 18-2C) that presumably coordinates

the OH group of citrate to facilitate its elimination. Iron–sulfur clusters normally participate in redox processes; aconitase is an intriguing exception.

The second stage of the aconitase reaction is rehydration of the double bond of *cis*-aconitate to form isocitrate. Although addition of water across the double bond of *cis*-aconitate could potentially yield four stereoisomers, aconitase catalyzes the stereospecific addition of OH^- and H^+ to produce only one isocitrate stereoisomer. The ability of an enzyme to differentiate its substrate's *pro-R* and *pro-S* groups was not appreciated until 1948, when Alexander Ogston pointed out that aconitase can distinguish between the two $—CH_2COO^-$ groups of citrate when it is bound to the enzyme (Section 11-1B).

Aconitase Functions as an Iron Sensor. Nature is opportunistic in that it often finds more than one use for a protein, a phenomenon dubbed moonlighting (after the practice of taking a second job, often at night, when the moon often shines). Aconitase is such a multifunctional protein. Cells require a balanced amount of iron. Too little and their many iron-containing proteins will lose function, whereas too much is toxic, particularly to the liver, heart, and pancreas, causing a life-threatening condition known as **hemochromatosis.** Dietary iron is transported through bloodstream by the protein **transferrin**, enters cells through receptor-mediated endocytosis (Section 20-1B) in which the receptor is **transferrin receptor,** and any excess iron is stored by the protein **ferritin**. When a cell has insufficient iron, its aconitase is inactivated through the loss of its [4Fe–4S] iron–sulfur cluster. The resulting protein, which undergoes extensive conformational changes to become known as **iron regulatory protein-1 (IRP1),** binds to specific sequences on the mRNAs encoding the transferrin receptor and ferritin. This inhibits the degradation of the transferrin receptor mRNA, resulting in an increased rate of synthesis of transferrin receptor, whereas it blocks the translation of the ferritin mRNA. A cell's rate of iron uptake is thereby increased, whereas its amount of ferritin-bound iron is decreased.

C NAD^+-Dependent Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Releases CO_2

Isocitrate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate (also known as **2-oxoglutarate**). This reaction produces the first CO_2 and NADH of the citric acid cycle. Note that this CO_2 began the citric acid cycle as a component of oxaloacetate, not of acetyl-CoA (Fig. 17-2). (Mammalian tissues also contain an isocitrate dehydrogenase isozyme that uses $NADP^+$ as a cofactor.)

NAD^+-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase, which also requires a Mn^{2+} or Mg^{2+} cofactor, catalyzes the oxidation of a secondary alcohol (isocitrate) to a ketone **(oxalosuccinate)** followed by the decarboxylation of the carboxyl group β to the ketone (Fig. 17-11). Mn^{2+} helps polarize the newly formed carbonyl group. The isocitrate dehydrogenase reaction mechanism is similar to that of phosphogluconate dehydrogenase in the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6A).

The oxalosuccinate intermediate of the isocitrate dehydrogenase reaction exists only transiently, and its existence was therefore difficult to confirm.

FIG. 17-11 The reaction mechanism of isocitrate dehydrogenase. Oxalosuccinate is shown in brackets because it does not dissociate from the enzyme.

However, an enzymatic reaction can be slowed by mutating catalytically important residues—in this case, Tyr 160 and Lys 230—to create kinetic "bottlenecks" so that reaction intermediates accumulate. Accordingly, crystals of the mutant isocitrate dehydrogenase were exposed to the substrate isocitrate and immediately visualized via X-ray crystallography using rapid measurement techniques that require the highly intense X-rays generated by a synchrotron. These studies revealed the oxalosuccinate intermediate in the active site of the enzyme.

D α-Ketoglutarate Dehydrogenase Resembles Pyruvate Dehydrogenase

α-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of an α-keto acid (α-ketoglutarate). This reaction produces the second CO_2 and NADH of the citric acid cycle:

Again, this CO_2 entered the citric acid cycle as a component of oxaloacetate rather than of acetyl-CoA (Fig. 17-2). Thus, although each round of the citric acid cycle oxidizes two C atoms to CO_2, the C atoms of the entering acetyl groups are not oxidized to CO_2 until subsequent rounds of the cycle.

The α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase reaction chemically resembles the reaction catalyzed by the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex. α-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase is a multienzyme complex containing **α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (E1), dihydrolipoyl transsuccinylase (E2),** and **dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3).** Indeed, this E3 is identical to the E3 of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (a third member of the **2-keto acid dehydrogenase** family of multienzyme complexes is **branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase,** which participates in the degradation of isoleucine, leucine, and valine; Section 21-4). The reactions catalyzed by the α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex occur by mechanisms identical to those of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. Again, the product is a "high-energy" thioester, in this case, **succinyl-CoA.**

E Succinyl-CoA Synthetase Produces GTP

Succinyl-CoA synthetase (also called **succinate thiokinase**) couples the cleavage of the "high-energy" succinyl-CoA to the synthesis of a "high-energy" nucleoside triphosphate (both names for the enzyme reflect the reverse reaction). Mammalian enzymes usually synthesize GTP from GDP + P_i, whereas plant and bacterial enzymes usually synthesize ATP from ADP + P_i. These reactions are nevertheless energetically equivalent since ATP and GTP are rapidly interconverted through the action of nucleoside diphosphate kinase (Section 14-2C):

$$\text{GTP} + \text{ADP} \rightleftharpoons \text{GDP} + \text{ATP} \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = 0$$

How does succinyl-CoA synthetase couple the exergonic cleavage of succinyl-CoA ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -32.6$ kJ · mol^{-1}) to the endergonic formation of a nucleoside triphosphate ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = +30.5$ kJ · mol^{-1}) from the corresponding nucleoside diphosphate and P_i? This question was answered by an experiment with isotopically labeled ADP. In the absence of succinyl-CoA, the spinach enzyme catalyzes the transfer of the γ-phosphoryl group from ATP to [^{14}C]ADP, producing [^{14}C]ATP. Such an isotope-exchange reaction suggests the

participation of a phosphoryl-enzyme intermediate that mediates the reaction sequence

This information led to the isolation of a kinetically active phosphoryl-enzyme in which the phosphoryl group is covalently linked to the N3 position of a His residue. A three-step mechanism for succinyl-CoA synthetase is shown in **Fig. 17-12**.

1. Succinyl-CoA reacts with P_i to form **succinyl-phosphate** and CoA.
2. The phosphoryl group is then transferred from succinyl-phosphate to a His residue on the enzyme, releasing succinate.
3. The phosphoryl group on the enzyme is transferred to GDP, forming GTP.

Note that in each of these steps, *the energy of succinyl-CoA is conserved through the formation of "high-energy" compounds: first, succinyl-phosphate, then a 3-phospho-His residue, and finally GTP.* The process is reminiscent of passing a hot potato. The reaction catalyzed by succinyl-CoA synthetase is another example of substrate-level phosphorylation (ATP synthesis that does not directly depend on the presence of oxygen).

By this point in the citric acid cycle, one acetyl equivalent has been completely oxidized to two CO_2. Two NADH and one GTP (equivalent to one ATP) have also been generated. To complete the cycle, succinate must be converted back to oxaloacetate. This is accomplished by the cycle's remaining three reactions.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 17-12 **The reaction catalyzed by succinyl-CoA synthetase. WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Diagram this multi-reactant process using the Cleland notation (Section 12-1D).**

F Succinate Dehydrogenase Generates $FADH_2$

Succinate dehydrogenase catalyzes the stereospecific dehydrogenation of succinate to fumarate:

Succinate **Fumarate**

Malonate **Succinate**

This enzyme is strongly inhibited by malonate (*at left*), a structural analog of succinate and a classic example of a competitive inhibitor. When Krebs was formulating his theory of the citric acid cycle, the inhibition of cellular respiration by malonate provided one of the clues that succinate plays a catalytic role in oxidizing substrates and is not just another substrate (Box 17-1).

Succinate dehydrogenase contains an FAD prosthetic group that is covalently linked to the enzyme via a His residue (**Fig. 17-13**; in most other FAD-containing enzymes, the FAD is held tightly but noncovalently). In general, FAD functions biochemically to oxidize alkanes (such as succinate) to alkenes (such as fumarate), whereas NAD^+ participates in the more exergonic oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes or ketones (e.g., in the reaction catalyzed by isocitrate dehydrogenase). The dehydrogenation of succinate produces $FADH_2$, which must be reoxidized before succinate dehydrogenase can undertake another catalytic cycle. The reoxidation of $FADH_2$ occurs when its electrons are passed to the mitochondrial electron transport chain, which we will examine in Section 18-2. Succinate dehydrogenase is the only membrane-bound enzyme of the citric acid cycle (the others occupy the inner compartment of the mitochondrion, its so-called **matrix**; Section 18-1A), so it is positioned to funnel electrons directly into the electron transport machinery of the mitochondrial membrane.

FAD

FIG. 17-13 The covalent attachment of FAD to a His residue of succinate dehydrogenase. R represents the ADP moiety.

G Fumarase Produces Malate

Fumarase (fumarate hydratase) catalyzes the hydration of the double bond of fumarate to form malate. The hydration reaction proceeds via a carbanion transition state. OH^- addition occurs before H^+ addition:

Fumarate **Carbanion transition state** **Malate**

H Malate Dehydrogenase Regenerates Oxaloacetate

Malate dehydrogenase catalyzes the final reaction of the citric acid cycle, the regeneration of oxaloacetate. The hydroxyl group of malate is oxidized in an NAD^+-dependent reaction:

Malate **Oxaloacetate**

Transfer of the hydride ion to NAD^+ occurs by the same mechanism used for hydride ion transfer in lactate dehydrogenase and alcohol dehydrogenase (Section 15-3). X-Ray crystallographic comparisons of the NAD^+-binding domains of these three enzymes indicate that they are remarkably similar, consistent with the proposal that all NAD^+-binding domains evolved from a common ancestor.

The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ value for the malate dehydrogenase reaction is $+29.7\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$; therefore, the concentration of oxaloacetate at equilibrium (and under cellular conditions) is very low relative to malate. Recall, however, that the reaction catalyzed by citrate synthase, the first reaction of the citric acid cycle, is highly exergonic ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -31.5\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$) because of the cleavage of the thioester bond of citryl-CoA. We can now understand the necessity for such a seemingly wasteful process. It allows citrate formation to be exergonic even at the low oxaloacetate concentrations present in cells and thus helps keep the citric acid cycle rolling.

CHECKPOINT

- Draw the structures of the eight intermediates of the citric acid cycle and name the enzymes that catalyze their interconversions.
- Which steps of the citric acid cycle release CO_2 as a product? Which steps produce NADH or $FADH_2$? Which step produces GTP?
- Write the net equations for oxidation of pyruvate, the acetyl group of acetyl-CoA, and glucose to CO_2 and H_2O.

4 Regulation of the Citric Acid Cycle

KEY CONCEPTS

- The need for energy regulates the citric acid cycle capacity at the pyruvate dehydrogenase step and at the three rate-controlling steps of the cycle.
- Regulatory mechanisms depend on substrate availability, product inhibition, covalent modification, and allosteric effects.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Metabolic Flux

In cells, the citric acid cycle runs continually as a variable-speed, multistep catalyst that converts two-carbon acetyl groups into 2 CO_2 and transforms the energy of the acetyl groups into the free energy of ATP and reduced cofactors. Cells can control both the rate of flow and the overall capacity of the cycle, much like a highway where the speed limit and number of vehicles can be increased or decreased.

The capacity of the citric acid cycle to generate energy for cellular needs is closely regulated. The availability of substrates, the need for citric acid cycle intermediates as biosynthetic precursors, and the demand for ATP all influence the operation of the cycle. There is some evidence that the enzymes of the citric acid cycle are physically associated, which might contribute to their coordinated regulation. Before we examine the various mechanisms for regulating the citric acid cycle, let us briefly consider the energy-generating capacity of the cycle.

The oxidation of one acetyl group to two molecules of CO_2 is a four-electron pair process (but keep in mind that it is not the carbon atoms of the incoming acetyl group that are oxidized). For every acetyl-CoA that enters the cycle, three molecules of NAD^+ are reduced to NADH, which accounts for three of the electron pairs, and one molecule of FAD is reduced to $FADH_2$, which accounts for the fourth electron pair. In addition, one GTP (or ATP) is produced (**Fig. 17-14**).

The electrons carried by NADH and $FADH_2$ are funneled into the electron-transport chain, which culminates with the reduction of O_2 to H_2O. The energy of electron transport is conserved in the synthesis of ATP by oxidative phosphorylation (Section 18-3). For every NADH that passes its electrons on, approximately 2.5 ATP are produced from ADP + P_i. For every $FADH_2$, approximately 1.5 ATP are produced. Thus, one turn of the citric acid cycle ultimately generates approximately 10 ATP. We will see in Section 18-3C why these values are only approximations.

When glucose is converted to two molecules of pyruvate by glycolysis, two molecules of ATP are generated and two molecules of NAD^+ are reduced (Section 15-1). The NADH molecules yield approximately 5 molecules of ATP on passing their electrons to the electron-transport chain. When the two pyruvate molecules are converted to two acetyl-CoA by the pyruvate dehydrogenase

FIG. 17-14 Products of the citric acid cycle. For every two carbons that are oxidized to CO_2, electrons are recovered in the form of three NADH and one $FADH_2$. One GTP (or ATP) is also produced.

? **Identify the number of carbons in each intermediate.**

complex, the two molecules of NADH produced in that process also eventually give rise to ~5 ATP. Two turns of the citric acid cycle (one for each acetyl group) generate ~20 ATP. Thus, one molecule of glucose can potentially yield ~32 molecules of ATP under aerobic conditions, when the citric acid cycle is operating. In contrast, only 2 molecules of ATP are produced per glucose molecule under anaerobic conditions.

A Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Is Regulated by Product Inhibition and Covalent Modification

Given the large amount of ATP that can potentially be generated from carbohydrate catabolism via the citric acid cycle, it is not surprising that the entry of acetyl units derived from carbohydrate sources is regulated. The decarboxylation of pyruvate by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is irreversible, and since there are no other pathways in mammals for the synthesis of acetyl-CoA from pyruvate, it is crucial that the reaction be precisely controlled. Two regulatory systems are used:

1. ***Product inhibition by NADH and acetyl-CoA.*** These compounds compete with NAD^+ and CoA for binding sites on their respective enzymes. They also drive the reversible transacetylase (E2) and dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3) reactions backward (Fig. 17-6). High [NADH]/[NAD^+] and [acetyl-CoA]/[CoA] ratios therefore maintain E2 in the acetylated form, incapable of accepting the hydroxyethyl group from the TPP on E1. This, in turn, ties up the TPP on the E1 subunit in its hydroxyethyl form, decreasing the rate of pyruvate decarboxylation.
2. ***Covalent modification by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of E1.*** In eukaryotes, the products of the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction, NADH and acetyl-CoA, also activate the **pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase** associated with the enzyme complex. The resulting phosphorylation of a specific dehydrogenase Ser residue inactivates the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (Fig. 17-15). Insulin, the hormone that signals fuel abundance, reverses the inactivation by activating **pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase,** which removes the phosphate groups from pyruvate dehydrogenase. Recall that insulin also activates glycogen synthesis by activating phosphoprotein phosphatase (Section 16-3B). Thus, in response to increases in blood [glucose], insulin promotes the synthesis of acetyl-CoA as well as glycogen.

FIG. 17-15 Covalent modification of eukaryotic pyruvate dehydrogenase. E1 is inactivated by the specific phosphorylation of one of its Ser residues in a reaction catalyzed by pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase. This phosphoryl group is hydrolyzed through the action of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase, thereby reactivating E1.

? Would the kinase or the phosphatase be more active when the cell's need for ATP is low?

Other regulators of the pyruvate dehydrogenase system include pyruvate and ADP, which inhibit pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, and Ca^{2+}, which inhibits pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase and activates pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase. In contrast to the glycogen metabolism control system (Section 16-3B), pyruvate dehydrogenase activity is unaffected by cAMP.

B Three Enzymes Control the Rate of the Citric Acid Cycle

To understand how a metabolic pathway is controlled, we must identify the enzymes that catalyze its rate-determining steps, the *in vitro* effectors of the enzymes, and the *in vivo* concentrations of these substances. *A proposed mechanism of flux control must operate within the physiological concentration ranges of the effectors.*

Identifying the rate-determining steps of the citric acid cycle is more difficult than it is for glycolysis because most of the cycle's metabolites are present in both mitochondria and cytosol and we do not know their distribution between these two compartments (recall that identifying a pathway's rate-determining steps requires determining the ΔG of each of its reactions from the concentrations of its substrates and products). However, we will assume that the compartments are in equilibrium and use the total cell concentrations of these substances to estimate their mitochondrial concentrations. **Table 17-2** gives the standard free energy changes for the eight citric acid cycle enzymes and estimates of the physiological free energy changes for the reactions in heart muscle or liver tissue. We can see that *three of the enzymes are likely to function far from equilibrium under physiological conditions (negative ΔG): citrate synthase, NAD^+-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase, and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase.* These are therefore the rate-determining enzymes of the cycle.

In heart muscle, where the citric acid cycle is active, the flux of metabolites through the citric acid cycle is proportional to the rate of cellular oxygen consumption. *Because oxygen consumption, NADH reoxidation, and ATP production are tightly coupled (Section 18-3), the citric acid cycle must be regulated by feedback mechanisms that coordinate NADH production with energy expenditure.* Unlike the rate-limiting enzymes of glycolysis and glycogen metabolism, which regulate flux by elaborate systems of allosteric control, substrate cycles, and covalent modification, the regulatory enzymes of the citric acid cycle seem to control flux primarily by three simple mechanisms: (1) substrate availability, (2) product inhibition, and (3) competitive feedback inhibition by intermediates further along the cycle. Some of the major regulatory mechanisms are diagramed

TABLE 17-2 Standard Free Energy Changes ($\Delta G'°$) and Physiological Free Energy Changes (ΔG) of Citric Acid Cycle Reactions

Reaction	Enzyme	$\Delta G'°$ ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)	ΔG ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)
1	Citrate synthase	−31.5	Negative
2	Aconitase	~5	~0
3	Isocitrate dehydrogenase	−21	Negative
4	α-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase	−33	Negative
5	Succinyl-CoA synthetase	−2.1	~0
6	Succinate dehydrogenase	+6	~0
7	Fumarase	−3.4	~0
8	Malate dehydrogenase	+29.7	~0

FIG. 17-16 Regulation of the citric acid cycle. This diagram of the citric acid cycle, which includes the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction, indicates points of inhibition (*red octagons*) and the pathway intermediates that function as inhibitors (*dashed red arrows*). ADP and Ca^{2+} (*green dots*) are activators. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Identify the enzymes that are regulated by product inhibition and by feedback inhibition.

in Fig. 17-16. There is no single flux-control point in the citric acid cycle; rather, flux control is distributed among several enzymes.

Perhaps the most crucial regulators of the citric acid cycle are its substrates, acetyl-CoA and oxaloacetate, and its product, NADH. Both acetyl-CoA and oxaloacetate are present in mitochondria at concentrations that do not saturate citrate synthase. The metabolic flux through the enzyme therefore varies with substrate concentration and is controlled by substrate availability. We have already seen that the production of acetyl-CoA from pyruvate is regulated by the activity of pyruvate dehydrogenase. The concentration of oxaloacetate, which is in equilibrium with malate, fluctuates with the [NADH]/[NAD^+] ratio according to the equilibrium expression

$$K = \frac{[\text{oxaloacetate}]\,[\text{NADH}]}{[\text{malate}]\,[\text{NAD}^+]}$$

If, for example, the muscle workload and respiration rate increase, mitochondrial [NADH] decreases. The consequent increase in [oxaloacetate] stimulates the citrate synthase reaction, which controls the rate of citrate formation.

Aconitase functions close to equilibrium, so the rate of citrate consumption depends on the activity of NAD^+-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase, which is strongly inhibited *in vitro* by its product NADH. Citrate synthase is also inhibited by NADH but is less sensitive than isocitrate dehydrogenase to changes in [NADH].

Other instances of product inhibition in the citric acid cycle are the inhibition of citrate synthase by citrate (citrate competes with oxaloacetate) and the inhibition of α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase by NADH and succinyl-CoA.

Succinyl-CoA also competes with acetyl-CoA in the citrate synthase reaction (competitive feedback inhibition). This interlocking system helps keep the citric acid cycle coordinately regulated and the concentrations of its intermediates within reasonable bounds.

Additional Regulatory Mechanisms. *In vitro* studies of citric acid cycle enzymes have identified a few allosteric activators and inhibitors. ADP is an allosteric activator of isocitrate dehydrogenase, whereas ATP inhibits the enzyme. Ca^{2+}, in addition to its many other cellular functions, regulates the citric acid cycle at several points. It activates pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase (Fig. 17-15), which in turn activates the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex to produce acetyl-CoA. Ca^{2+} also activates both isocitrate dehydrogenase and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (Fig. 17-16). Thus Ca^{2+}, the signal that stimulates muscle contraction, also stimulates the production of the ATP to fuel it.

The Enzymes of the Citric Acid Cycle Are Organized into a Metabolon. Considerable efficiency can be gained by organizing the enzymes of a metabolic pathway such that the enzymes catalyzing its sequential steps interact to channel intermediates between them. Indeed, we saw this to be the case with the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex (Section 17-2A). The advantages of such an assembly, termed a **metabolon**, include the protection of labile (reactive) intermediates and the increase of their local concentration for more efficient catalysis. Considerable effort has been expended to obtain evidence for such interactions in the major metabolic pathways, including glycolysis and the citric acid cycle. Since citric acid cycle intermediates must be available for use in other metabolic pathways, any complexes between citric acid cycle enzymes are likely to be weak and therefore unable to withstand the laboratory manipulations necessary to isolate them, particularly dilution from the highly concentrated protein solution in the mitochondrial matrix (mitochondrial architecture is discussed in Section 18-1A). Nevertheless, there is now considerable evidence that at least several of the citric acid cycle enzymes associate in supramolecular complexes—that is, as metabolons—that are associated with the matrix side of the inner mitochondrial membrane, in which succinate dehydrogenase is anchored.

CHECKPOINT

- How much ATP can be generated from glucose when the citric acid cycle is operating?
- Which steps of the citric acid cycle regulate flux through the cycle?
- Describe the role of ADP, Ca^{2+}, acetyl-CoA, and NADH in regulating pyruvate dehydrogenase and the citric acid cycle.

5 Reactions Related to the Citric Acid Cycle

KEY CONCEPTS

- The citric acid cycle provides metabolites for gluconeogenesis, fatty acid synthesis, and amino acid synthesis.
- Citric acid cycle intermediates can be replenished by other pathways.
- Some organisms use the glyoxylate cycle, a variant of the citric acid cycle, for the net conversion of acetyl-CoA to oxaloacetate.

At first glance, a metabolic pathway appears to be either catabolic, with the release and conservation of free energy, or anabolic, with a requirement for free energy. The citric acid cycle is catabolic, of course, because it involves degradation and is a major free-energy conservation system in most organisms. Cycle intermediates are required in only catalytic amounts to maintain the degradative function of the cycle. However, several biosynthetic pathways use citric acid cycle intermediates as starting materials for anabolic reactions. The citric acid cycle is therefore **amphibolic** (both anabolic and catabolic). In this section, we examine some of the reactions that feed intermediates into the citric acid cycle or draw them off; we also examine the **glyoxylate cycle,** a variation of the citric acid cycle that occurs only in plants and converts acetyl-CoA to oxaloacetate. Some

FIG. 17-17 Amphibolic functions of the citric acid cycle. The diagram indicates the positions at which intermediates are drawn off by cataplerotic reactions for use in anabolic pathways (*red arrows*) and the points where anaplerotic reactions replenish cycle intermediates (*green arrows*). Reactions involving amino acid transamination and deamination are reversible, so their direction varies with metabolic demand. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Which reactions predominate when the cell's need for ATP increases?

of the reactions that use and replenish citric acid cycle intermediates are summarized in Fig. 17-17.

A Other Pathways Use Citric Acid Cycle Intermediates

Reactions that utilize and therefore drain citric acid cycle intermediates are called **cataplerotic reactions** (emptying; Greek: *cata,* down + *plerotikos,* to fill). These reactions serve not only to synthesize important products but also to avoid the inappropriate buildup of citric acid cycle intermediates in the mitochondrion, for example, when there is a high rate of breakdown of amino acids to citric acid cycle intermediates. Cataplerotic reactions occur in the following pathways:

1. **Glucose biosynthesis** (gluconeogenesis) utilizes oxaloacetate (Section 16-4). Because gluconeogenesis takes place in the cytosol, oxaloacetate must be converted to malate or aspartate for transport out of the mitochondrion (Fig. 16-20). Since the citric acid cycle is a cyclic pathway, any of its intermediates can be converted to oxaloacetate and used for gluconeogenesis.
2. **Fatty acid biosynthesis** is a cytosolic process that requires acetyl-CoA. Acetyl-CoA is generated in the mitochondrion and is not transported across the mitochondrial membrane. *Cytosolic acetyl-CoA is therefore generated by the breakdown of citrate, which can cross the membrane, in a reaction catalyzed by* ***ATP-citrate lyase*** (Section 20-4A). This reaction uses the free energy of ATP to "undo" the citrate synthase reaction:

$$\text{ATP} + \text{citrate} + \text{CoA} \rightarrow \text{ADP} + \text{P}_i + \text{oxaloacetate} + \text{acetyl-CoA}$$

3. **Amino acid biosynthesis** uses α-ketoglutarate and oxaloacetate as starting materials. For example, α-ketoglutarate is converted to glutamate by reductive amination catalyzed by a **glutamate dehydrogenase** that utilizes either NADH or NADPH:

α-Ketoglutarate **Glutamate**

Oxaloacetate undergoes transamination with alanine to produce aspartate and pyruvate (Section 21-2A):

Oxaloacetate **Alanine** **Aspartate** **Pyruvate**

B Some Reactions Replenish Citric Acid Cycle Intermediates

In aerobic organisms, the citric acid cycle is the major source of free energy, and hence the catabolic function of the citric acid cycle cannot be interrupted: Cycle intermediates that have been siphoned off must be replenished. The replenishing reactions are called **anaplerotic reactions** (filling up; Greek: *ana,* up + *plerotikos,* to fill). The most important of these reactions is catalyzed by pyruvate carboxylase, which produces oxaloacetate from pyruvate:

$$\text{Pyruvate} + CO_2 + \text{ATP} + H_2O \rightarrow \text{oxaloacetate} + \text{ADP} + P_i$$

(This is also one of the first steps of gluconeogenesis; Section 16-4A). Pyruvate carboxylase "senses" the need for more citric acid cycle intermediates through its activator, acetyl-CoA. *Any decrease in the rate of the cycle caused by insufficient oxaloacetate or other intermediates allows the concentration of acetyl-CoA to rise.* This activates pyruvate carboxylase, which replenishes oxaloacetate. The reactions of the citric acid cycle convert the oxaloacetate to citrate, α-ketoglutarate, succinyl-CoA, and so on, until all the intermediates are restored to appropriate levels.

An increase in the concentrations of citric acid cycle intermediates supports increased flux of acetyl groups through the cycle. For example, flux through the citric acid cycle may increase as much as 60- to 100-fold in muscle cells during intense exercise. Not all of this increase is due to elevated concentrations of cycle intermediates (which only increase about fourfold), because other regulatory mechanisms (as described in Section 17-4B) also promote flux through the rate-controlling steps of the cycle.

During exercise, some of the pyruvate generated by increased glycolytic flux is directed toward oxaloacetate synthesis as catalyzed by pyruvate carboxylase. Pyruvate can also accept an amino group from glutamate (a transamination reaction) to generate alanine (the amino acid counterpart of pyruvate) and the citric acid cycle intermediate α-ketoglutarate (the ketone counterpart of glutamate). Both of these mechanisms help the citric acid cycle efficiently catabolize the acetyl groups derived—also from pyruvate—by the reactions of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. These reactions are summarized at right. The end result is increased production of ATP to power muscle contraction.

Box 17-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry Evolution of the Citric Acid Cycle

The citric acid cycle is ubiquitous in aerobic organisms and plays a central role in energy metabolism in these cells. However, an eight-step catalytic cycle such as the citric acid cycle is unlikely to have arisen all at once and must have evolved from a simpler set of enzyme-catalyzed reactions. Clues to its origins can be found by examining the metabolism of cells that resemble early life-forms. Such organisms emerged before significant quantities of atmospheric oxygen became available some 3 billion years ago. These cells may have used sulfur as their terminal oxidizing agent, reducing it to H_2S. Their modern-day counterparts are anaerobic autotrophs that harvest free energy by pathways that are independent of the pathways that oxidize carbon-containing compounds. These organisms therefore do not use the citric acid cycle to generate reduced cofactors that are subsequently oxidized by molecular oxygen. However, all organisms must synthesize the small molecules from which they can build proteins, nucleic acids, carbohydrates, and lipids.

The task of divining an organism's metabolic capabilities has been facilitated through bioinformatics. By comparing the sequences of prokaryotic genomes and assigning functions to various homologous genes, it is possible to reconstruct the central metabolic pathways for the organisms. This approach has been fruitful because many "housekeeping" genes, which encode enzymes that make free energy and molecular building blocks available to the cell, are highly conserved among different species and hence are relatively easy to recognize.

Genomic analysis reveals that many prokaryotes lack the citric acid cycle. However, these organisms do contain genes for some citric acid cycle enzymes. The last four reactions of the cycle, leading from succinate to oxaloacetate, appear to be the most highly conserved. This pathway fragment constitutes a mechanism for accepting electrons that are released during sugar fermentation. For example, the reverse of this pathway could regenerate NAD^+ from the NADH produced by the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase step of glycolysis.

Courtesy B. Boonyaratanakornkit, D.S. Clark and G. Vrdoljak, University of California, Berkeley

Methanococcus jannaschii, an organism without a citric acid cycle.

Other metabolites that feed into the citric acid cycle are succinyl-CoA, a product of the degradation of odd-chain fatty acids (Section 20-2E) and certain amino acids (Section 21-4), and α-ketoglutarate and oxaloacetate, which are formed by the reversible transamination of certain amino acids, as indicated above. The links between the citric acid cycle and other metabolic pathways offer some clues to its evolution (Box 17-3).

C The Glyoxylate Cycle Shares Some Steps with the Citric Acid Cycle

Plants, bacteria, and fungi, but not animals, possess enzymes that mediate the net conversion of acetyl-CoA to oxaloacetate, which can be used for gluconeogenesis. In plants, these enzymes constitute the glyoxylate cycle (**Fig. 17-18**), which operates in two cellular compartments: the mitochondrion and the **glyoxysome**, a membrane-bounded plant organelle that is a specialized peroxisome. Most of the enzymes of the glyoxylate cycle are the same as those of the citric acid cycle.

The resulting succinate could then be used as a starting material for the biosynthesis of other compounds.

Many archaeal cells have a **pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase** that converts pyruvate to acetyl-CoA (but without producing NADH). In a primitive cell, the resulting acetyl groups could have condensed with oxaloacetate (by the action of a citrate synthase), eventually giving rise to an oxidative sequence of reactions resembling the first few steps of the modern citric acid cycle.

The α-ketoglutarate produced in this way can be converted to glutamate and other amino acids.

The reductive and oxidative branches of the citric acid cycle outlined so far function in modern bacterial cells such as *E. coli* cells when they are growing anaerobically, suggesting that similar pathways could have filled the metabolic needs of early cells. The evolution of a complete citric acid cycle in which the two branches are linked and both proceed in an oxidative (clockwise) direction would have required an enzyme such as α-ketoglutarate:ferredoxin reductase (a homolog of pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase) to link α-ketoglutarate and succinate.

Interestingly, a primitive citric acid cycle that operated in the reverse (counterclockwise) direction could have provided a route for fixing CO_2 (that is, incorporating CO_2 into biological molecules).

The genes encoding enzymes that catalyze the steps of such a pathway have been identified in several modern autotrophic bacteria. This reductive pathway, which occurs in some deeply rooted archaeal species, possibly predates the CO_2-fixing pathway used in some photosynthetic bacteria and in the chloroplasts of green plants (Section 19-3A).

The glyoxylate cycle consists of five reactions (Fig. 17-18):

Reactions 1 and 2. Glyoxysomal oxaloacetate is condensed with acetyl-CoA to form citrate, which is isomerized to isocitrate as in the citric acid cycle. Since the glyoxysome contains no aconitase, Reaction 2 presumably takes place in the cytosol.

Reaction 3. Glyoxysomal **isocitrate lyase** cleaves the isocitrate to succinate and **glyoxylate** (hence the cycle's name).

Reaction 4. **Malate synthase**, a glyoxysomal enzyme, condenses glyoxylate with a second molecule of acetyl-CoA to form malate.

Reaction 5. Glyoxysomal malate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidation of malate to oxaloacetate by NAD^+.

The glyoxylate cycle therefore results in the net conversion of two acetyl-CoA to succinate instead of to four molecules of CO_2 as would occur in the citric acid cycle. The succinate produced in Reaction 3 is transported to the mitochondrion where it enters the citric acid cycle and is converted to malate, which has two

FIG. 17-18 The glyoxylate cycle. The cycle results in the net conversion of two acetyl-CoA to succinate in the glyoxysome, which can be converted to malate in the mitochondrion for use in gluconeogenesis. Isocitrate lyase and malate synthase, enzymes unique to glyoxysomes (which occur only in plants), are indicated in blue. (**1**) Glyoxysomal citrate synthase catalyzes the condensation of oxaloacetate with acetyl-CoA to form citrate. (**2**) Cytosolic aconitase catalyzes the conversion of citrate to isocitrate. (**3**) Isocitrate lyase catalyzes the cleavage of isocitrate to succinate and glyoxylate. (**4**) Malate synthase catalyzes the condensation of glyoxylate with acetyl-CoA to form malate. (**5**) Glyoxysomal malate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidation of malate to oxaloacetate, completing the cycle. Succinate is transported to the mitochondrion, where it is converted to malate via the citric acid cycle. This malate is transported to the cytosol, where malate dehydrogenase catalyzes its oxidation to oxaloacetate, which can then be used in gluconeogenesis. Alternatively, malate can continue in the citric acid cycle, making the glyoxylate cycle anaplerotic.

? Which steps of the citric acid cycle are bypassed by the glyoxylate cycle?

alternative fates: (1) It can be converted to oxaloacetate in the mitochondrion, continuing the citric acid cycle and thereby making the glyoxylate cycle an anaplerotic process (Section 17-5B); or (2) it can be transported to the cytosol, where it is converted to oxaloacetate for entry into gluconeogenesis.

The overall reaction of the glyoxylate cycle can be considered to be the formation of oxaloacetate from two molecules of acetyl-CoA:

$$2\ \text{Acetyl-CoA} + 2\ \text{NAD}^+ + \text{FAD} \rightarrow \text{oxaloacetate} + 2\ \text{CoA} + 2\ \text{NADH} + \text{FADH}_2 + 2\ \text{H}^+$$

Isocitrate lyase and malate synthase do not occur in animals. In plants, these enzymes enable germinating seeds to convert their stored triacylglycerols, through acetyl-CoA, to glucose. It had long been assumed that this was a requirement of germination. However, a mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (an oilseed plant) lacking isocitrate lyase, and hence unable to convert lipids to carbohydrates, nevertheless germinated. This process was inhibited only when the mutant plants were subjected to low light conditions. Therefore, it now appears that the glyoxylate cycle's importance in seedling growth is its anaplerotic function in providing four-carbon units to the citric acid cycle, which can then oxidize the triacylglycerol-derived acetyl-CoA.

Organisms that lack the glyoxylate pathway cannot undertake the net synthesis of glucose from acetyl-CoA. This is the reason humans cannot convert fats (that is, fatty acids, which are catabolized to acetyl-CoA) to carbohydrates (that is, glucose).

Some human pathogens use the glyoxylate cycle, sometimes to great advantage. For example, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis,* which causes tuberculosis, can persist for years in the lung without being attacked by the immune system. During this period, the bacterium subsists largely on lipids, using the citric acid cycle to produce precursors for amino acid synthesis and using the glyoxylate cycle to produce carbohydrate precursors. Drugs that are designed to inhibit the bacterial isocitrate lyase can therefore potentially limit the pathogen's survival. The virulence of the yeast *Candida albicans,* which often infects immunosuppressed individuals, may also depend on activation of the glyoxylate cycle when these yeast cells take up residence inside macrophages.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain how a catalytic cycle can supply precursors for other metabolic pathways without depleting its own intermediates.
- Which citric acid cycle intermediates can be directly used in gluconeogenesis? Which can be used for fatty acid synthesis? Which can be directly converted to amino acids?
- How does the cell replenish oxaloacetate, α-ketoglutarate, and succinyl-CoA?
- Describe the reactions of the glyoxylate cycle. Which two enzymes are unique to this pathway? What does the pathway accomplish?

Summary

1 Overview of the Citric Acid Cycle

- The eight enzymes of the citric acid cycle function in a multistep catalytic cycle to oxidize an acetyl group to two CO_2 molecules with the concomitant generation of three NADH, one $FADH_2$, and one GTP.
- The free energy released when the reduced coenzymes ultimately reduce O_2 is used to generate ATP.

2 Synthesis of Acetyl-Coenzyme A

- Acetyl groups enter the citric acid cycle as acetyl-CoA. The pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex, which contains three types of enzymes and five types of coenzymes, generates acetyl-CoA from the glycolytic product pyruvate.
- The lipoyllysyl arm of E2 acts as a tether that swings reactive groups between enzymes in the complex.

3 Enzymes of the Citric Acid Cycle

- Citrate synthase catalyzes the condensation of acetyl-CoA and oxaloacetate in a highly exergonic reaction.
- Aconitase catalyzes the isomerization of citrate to isocitrate, and isocitrate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate to produce the citric acid cycle's first CO_2 and NADH.
- α-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of α-ketoglutarate to produce succinyl-CoA and the citric acid cycle's second CO_2 and NADH.
- Succinyl-CoA synthetase couples the cleavage of succinyl-CoA to the synthesis of GTP (or in some organisms, ATP) via a phosphoryl-enzyme intermediate.
- The citric acid cycle's remaining three reactions, catalyzed by succinate dehydrogenase, fumarase, and malate dehydrogenase, regenerate oxaloacetate to continue the citric acid cycle.
- Neither of the CO_2 molecules released in a given turn of the citric acid cycle are derived from the acetyl group that entered the same turn of the cycle. Instead, they are derived from the oxaloacetate that was synthesized from the acetyl groups that entered previous turns of the cycle.

4 Regulation of the Citric Acid Cycle

- Entry of glucose-derived acetyl-CoA into the citric acid cycle is regulated at the pyruvate dehydrogenase step by product inhibition (by NADH and acetyl-CoA) and by covalent modification.
- The citric acid cycle itself is regulated at the steps catalyzed by citrate synthase, NAD^+-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase, and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase.
- Regulation is accomplished mainly by substrate availability, product inhibition, and feedback inhibition.

5 Reactions Related to the Citric Acid Cycle

- Cataplerotic reactions deplete citric acid cycle intermediates. Some citric acid cycle intermediates are substrates for gluconeogenesis, fatty acid biosynthesis, and amino acid biosynthesis.
- Anaplerotic reactions, such as the pyruvate carboxylase reaction, replenish citric acid cycle intermediates.
- The glyoxylate cycle, which operates only in plants, bacteria, and fungi, requires the glyoxysomal enzymes isocitrate lyase and malate synthase. This variation of the citric acid cycle permits net synthesis of glucose from acetyl-CoA.

Key Terms

citric acid cycle **559**
multienzyme complex **562**
lipoyllysyl arm **567**
metabolon **579**
amphibolic pathway **579**
glyoxylate cycle **579**
cataplerotic reaction **580**
anaplerotic reaction **581**
glyoxysome **582**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. The CO_2 produced in one round of the citric acid cycle does not originate in the acetyl carbons that entered that round. If acetyl-CoA is labeled with ^{14}C at its carbonyl carbon, how many rounds of the cycle are required before $^{14}CO_2$ is released?

2. If acetyl-CoA is labeled with ^{14}C at its methyl group, how many rounds of the cycle are required before $^{14}CO_2$ is released?

3. List four possible metabolic fates for pyruvate in a mammalian cell. Indicate the type of reaction that occurs. What additional reaction occurs in yeast?

4. Explain why metabolic acidosis (Box 2-2) may result from the accumulation of some citric acid cycle intermediates.

5. Which one of the five steps of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex reaction is most likely to be metabolically irreversible? Explain.

6. Explain why an individual with a deficiency of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase (PDP) is unable to tolerate exercise.

7. Photosynthetic organisms use elaborate machinery to incorporate carbon (as CO_2) into glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, which is used to synthesize glucose for later metabolism. However, one carbon is lost following glycolysis when the three-carbon pyruvate is converted to acetyl-CoA. The bacterial enzyme pyruvate-formate lyase (also known as formate C-acetyltransferase) catalyzes the reaction

$$\text{Pyruvate} + \text{HSCoA} \rightleftharpoons \text{acetyl-CoA} + \text{formate}$$

How does this reaction help the cell avoid losing carbon?

8. Does the pyruvate-formate lyase reaction described in Problem 7 lead to the production of ATP, as pyruvate processing to acetyl-CoA does?

9. Some human brain cancer cells contain a mutated form of isocitrate dehydrogenase that has lost its normal activity and instead catalyzes the $NADP^+$-dependent reduction of the carbonyl group of α-ketoglutarate. Draw the structure of the reaction product.

10. The compound you identified in Problem 9 resembles glutamate and might therefore competitively inhibit glutamate transamination. How would this affect citric acid cycle activity?

11. Malonate competes with succinate in the succinate dehydrogenase reaction. Explain why increasing the oxaloacetate concentration can overcome malonate inhibition.

12. What citric acid cycle intermediates would accumulate in the presence of malonate?

13. What is the $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ value for the portion of the citric acid cycle that converts malate and acetyl-CoA to citrate?

14. Given the following information, calculate the physiological ΔG of the isocitrate dehydrogenase reaction at 25°C and pH 7.0: $[NAD^+]/[NADH] = 8$, [α-ketoglutarate] = 0.1 mM, and [isocitrate] = 0.02 mM. Assume standard conditions for CO_2 ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ is given in Table 17-2). Is this reaction a likely site for metabolic control?

15. Calculate the ratio of [fumarate] to [succinate] under cellular conditions at 37°C.

16. What is the ratio of [isocitrate] to [citrate] under cellular conditions at 37°C?

17. Explain why a deficiency of pyruvate carboxylase leads to lactic acidosis.

18. How would a deficiency of pyruvate dehydrogenase activity affect blood pH?

19. (a) Explain why obligate anaerobes contain some citric acid cycle enzymes. (b) Why don't these organisms have a complete citric acid cycle?

20. The first organisms on earth may have been chemoautotrophs in which the citric acid cycle operated in reverse to "fix" atmospheric CO_2 in organic compounds. Complete a catalytic cycle that begins with the hypothetical overall reaction succinate + 2 CO_2 → citrate.

21. *Helicobacter pylori,* which causes gastric ulcers, does not operate a citric acid cycle but contains many of the citric acid cycle enzymes. *H. pylori* can convert oxaloacetate to succinate for biosynthetic processes. Write an equation for this conversion.

22. The malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* does not carry out oxidative phosphorylation and therefore does not use the citric acid cycle to generate reduced cofactors. Instead, the parasite converts amino acid–derived α-ketoglutarate to succinate. Write an equation for the α-ketoglutarate → succinate conversion that follows (a) the oxidative (clockwise) path of the citric acid cycle or (b) the reductive (counterclockwise) path of the cycle.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. The branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase complex, which participates in amino acid catabolism, contains the same three types of enzymes as are in the pyruvate dehydrogenase and the α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complexes. Draw the reaction product when valine is deaminated as in the glutamate ⇌ α-ketoglutarate reaction (Section 17-5A) and then is acted on by the branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase.

24. Which compounds would accumulate in an individual with beriberi (caused by thiamine deficiency)?

25. Refer to Table 14-4 to explain why FAD rather than NAD^+ is used in the succinate dehydrogenase reaction.

26. Certain microorganisms with an incomplete citric acid cycle decarboxylate α-ketoglutarate to produce **succinate semialdehyde.** A dehydrogenase then converts succinate semialdehyde to succinate.

α-Ketoglutarate **Succinate semialdehyde** **Succinate**

These reactions can be combined with other standard citric acid cycle reactions to create a pathway from citrate to oxaloacetate. Compare the ATP and reduced cofactor yield of the standard and alternate pathways.

27. Why is it advantageous for citrate, the product of Reaction 1 of the citric acid cycle, to inhibit phosphofructokinase, which catalyzes the third reaction of glycolysis?

28. When blood [glucose] is high and a cell's energy needs are met, insulin stimulates glycogen synthesis. Is it counterproductive for insulin to also promote the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA? Explain.

29. The catabolism of several amino acids generates succinyl-CoA. Describe the series of reactions that are required to convert mitochondrial succinyl-CoA to cytosolic oxaloacetate that can be used for gluconeogenesis.

30. Many amino acids are broken down to intermediates of the citric acid cycle. (a) Why can't these amino acid "remnants" be directly oxidized to CO_2 by the citric acid cycle? (b) Explain why amino acids that are broken down to pyruvate can be completely oxidized by the citric acid cycle.

31. Anaplerotic reactions permit the citric acid cycle to supply intermediates to biosynthetic pathways while maintaining the proper levels of cycle intermediates. Write the equation for the net synthesis of citrate from pyruvate.

32. Although animals cannot synthesize glucose from acetyl-CoA, if a rat is fed ^{14}C-labeled acetate, some of the label appears in glycogen extracted from its muscles. Explain.

33. Malate generated inside the mitochondrion is translocated to the cytosol, where it is a substrate for cytosolic malic enzyme, which catalyzes the following reaction:

$$\text{malate} + \text{NADP}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_2 + \text{pyruvate} + \text{NADPH}$$

Explain how the activity of malic enzyme, which appears to catalyze a catabolic process, can promote biosynthetic reactions in the cytosol.

34. The action of malic enzyme opposes the action of pyruvate carboxylase. (a) Diagram the substrate cycle formed by these enzymes plus a third enzyme. (b) Write the net equation for converting malate to pyruvate and back to malate. What does this accomplish for the cell?

35. The archaebacterium *Haloarcula marismortui* can use acetate (which is converted to acetyl-CoA) as a precursor for carbohydrate synthesis, but it lacks isocitrate lyase and therefore cannot use the glyoxylate pathway. Instead, it converts isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate, which is then converted to the amino acid methylaspartate in two more steps. This five-carbon compound is modified and broken down to release glyoxylate. Describe the three reactions that lead from isocitrate to methylaspartate.

Methylaspartate

36. Acetyl-CoA, in addition to providing 2-carbon groups to the citric acid cycle, is also the substrate of enzymes that add acetyl groups to proteins. Histone acetyltransferase adds an acetyl group to the ε-amino group of a Lys residue in histones, proteins that interact closely with DNA. Draw the structure of the acetylated Lys side chain and explain why acetylation would disrupt the interaction between the histone and the DNA.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1. Viewing and Analyzing the Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex

Exercise 2. The Citric Acid Cycle and the KEGG Database

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 21 Characterization of Pyruvate Carboxylase from *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*

Focus concept: Pyruvate carboxylase is discovered in a bacterium that was previously thought not to contain the enzyme.

Prerequisite: Chapter 17

- Citric acid cycle reactions and associated anaplerotic reactions
- Glyoxylate cycle reactions

MORE TO EXPLORE *Plasmodium falciparum,* the parasite that causes malaria, spends part of its life cycle inside erythrocytes, an environment that is rich in nutrients and oxygen. However, the parasite consumes very little oxygen. How does it generate most of its ATP? The parasite contains a pyruvate dehydrogenase, but this enzyme does not generate acetyl-CoA for the citric acid cycle. Where is the dehydrogenase located, and what is the resulting acetyl-CoA used for?

References

Akram, M., Citric acid cycle and role of its intermediates in metabolism, *Cell. Biochem. Biophys.* **68,** 475–478 (2014).

Eastmond, P.J. and Graham, I.A., Re-examining the role of the glyoxylate cycle in oilseeds, *Trends Plant Sci.* **6,** 72–77 (2001).

Huynen M.A., Dandekar, T., and Bork, P., Variation and evolution of the citric-acid cycle: a genomic perspective, *Trends Microbiol.* **7,** 281–291 (1999). [Discusses how genome studies can allow reconstruction of metabolic pathways, even when some enzymes appear to be missing.]

Lengyel, J.S., Stott, K.M., Wu, X., Brooks, B.R., Balbo, A., Schuck, P., Perham, R.N., Subramaniam, S., and Milne, J.L.S., Extended polypeptide linkers establish the spatial architecture of a pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex, *Structure* **16,** 93–103 (2008).

Menefee, A.L. and Zeczycki, T.N., Nearly 50 years in the making: defining the catalytic mechanism of the multifunctional enzyme, pyruvate carboxylase, *FEBS J.* **281,** 1333–1354 (2014).

Milne, J.L.S., Wu, X., Borgnia, M.J., Lengyel, J.S., Brooks, B.R., Shi, D., Perham, R.N., and Subramaniam, S., Molecular structure of a 9-MDa icosahedral pyruvate dehydrogenase subcomplex containing the E_2 and E_3 enzymes using cryoelectron microscopy, *J. Biol. Chem.* **281,** 4364–4370 (2006).

Owen, O.E., Kalhan, S.C., and Hanson, R.W., The key role of anaplerosis and cataplerosis for citric acid cycle function, *J. Biol. Chem.* **277,** 30409–30412 (2002). [Describes the influx (anaplerosis) and efflux (cataplerosis) of citric acid cycle intermediates in different organ systems.]

Perham, R.N., Swinging arms and swinging domains in multifunctional enzymes: catalytic machines for multistep reactions, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **69,** 961–1004 (2000). [An authoritative review on multienzyme complexes.]

CHAPTER 18

Electron Transport and Oxidative Phosphorylation

Chapter Contents

1 **The Mitochondrion**
- A Mitochondria Contain a Highly Folded Inner Membrane
- B Ions and Metabolites Enter Mitochondria via Transporters

2 **Electron Transport**
- A Electron Transport Is an Exergonic Process
- B Electron Carriers Operate in Sequence
- C Complex I Accepts Electrons from NADH
- D Complex II Contributes Electrons to Coenzyme Q
- E Complex III Translocates Protons via the Q Cycle
- F Complex IV Reduces Oxygen to Water

3 **Oxidative Phosphorylation**
- A The Chemiosmotic Theory Links Electron Transport to ATP Synthesis
- B ATP Synthase Is Driven by the Flow of Protons
- C The P/O Ratio Relates the Amount of ATP Synthesized to the Amount of Oxygen Reduced
- D Oxidative Phosphorylation Can Be Uncoupled from Electron Transport

4 **Control of Oxidative Metabolism**
- A The Rate of Oxidative Phosphorylation Depends on the ATP and NADH Concentrations
- B Aerobic Metabolism Has Some Disadvantages

Xiaowei Zhuang Laboratory at Harvard University and Howard Hughes Medical Institute

A network of mitochondria (*purple*) attached to microtubules (*green*) in a monkey kidney cell is visualized using a high-resolution fluorescence microscopic technique. The mitochondria, organelles that carry out most of the cell's oxidative metabolism, are highly dynamic structures that move, change shape, grow, and divide.

Aerobic organisms consume oxygen and generate carbon dioxide in the process of oxidizing metabolic fuels. The complete oxidation of glucose ($C_6H_{12}O_6$), for example, by molecular oxygen

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6\,O_2 \rightarrow 6\,CO_2 + 6\,H_2O$$

can be broken down into two half-reactions that the metabolic machinery carries out. In the first, glucose carbon atoms are oxidized:

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6\,H_2O \rightarrow 6\,CO_2 + 24\,H^+ + 24\,e^-$$

and in the second, molecular oxygen is reduced:

$$6\,O_2 + 24\,H^+ + 24\,e^- \rightarrow 12\,H_2O$$

We have already seen that the first half-reaction is mediated by the enzymatic reactions of glycolysis and the citric acid cycle (the breakdown of fatty acids—the other major type of metabolic fuel—also requires the citric acid cycle). In this chapter, we describe the pathway by which the electrons from reduced fuel molecules are transferred to molecular oxygen in eukaryotes. We also examine how the energy of fuel oxidation is conserved and used to synthesize ATP.

As we have seen, the 12 electron pairs released during glucose oxidation are not transferred directly to O_2. Rather, they are transferred to the coenzymes NAD^+ and FAD to form 10 NADH and 2 $FADH_2$ (**Fig. 18-1**) in the reactions catalyzed by the glycolytic enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Section 15-2F), pyruvate dehydrogenase (Section 17-2B), and the citric acid cycle enzymes isocitrate dehydrogenase, α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, succinate dehydrogenase, and malate dehydrogenase (Section 17-3). *The electrons then pass into the* ***mitochondrial electron-transport chain,*** *a system of linked electron carriers.* The following events occur during the electron-transport process:

1. By transferring their electrons to other substances, the NADH and $FADH_2$ are reoxidized to NAD^+ and FAD so that they can participate in additional substrate oxidation reactions.
2. The transferred electrons participate in the sequential oxidation–reduction of multiple **redox centers** (groups that undergo oxidation–reduction reactions) in four enzyme complexes before reducing O_2 to H_2O.

FIG. 18-1 **The sites of electron transfer that form NADH and $FADH_2$ in glycolysis and the citric acid cycle.**

? Point out the electron carriers that account for all 24 of the electrons derived from the oxidation of glucose to CO_2.

3. The transfer of electrons is coupled to the expulsion of protons from the mitochondrion, producing a proton gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane. *The free energy stored in this electrochemical gradient drives the synthesis of ATP from ADP and P_i through* ***oxidative phosphorylation***.

1 The Mitochondrion

KEY CONCEPTS

- A highly folded, protein-rich inner membrane separates the mitochondrial matrix from the outer membrane.
- Transport proteins are required to import reducing equivalents, ADP, and P_i into the mitochondria.

The mitochondrion (Greek: *mitos,* thread + *chondros,* granule) is the site of eukaryotic oxidative metabolism. Mitochondria contain pyruvate dehydrogenase, the citric acid cycle enzymes, the enzymes catalyzing fatty acid oxidation

FIG. 18-2 The mitochondrion. (*a*) An electron micrograph of an animal mitochondrion. (*b*) Cutaway diagram of a mitochondrion.

(Section 20-2), and the enzymes and redox proteins involved in electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation. It is therefore with good reason that the mitochondrion is often described as the cell's "power plant."

A Mitochondria Contain a Highly Folded Inner Membrane

Mitochondria vary in size and shape, depending on their source and metabolic state, but they are often ellipsoidal with dimensions of around 0.5 × 1.0 μm, about the size of a bacterium. A eukaryotic cell typically contains 800 to 2000 mitochondria, which occupy roughly one-fifth of its total cell volume. A mitochondrion is bounded by a smooth outer membrane and contains an extensively invaginated inner membrane (**Fig. 18-2**). The number of invaginations, called **cristae** (Latin: crests), reflects the type of cell and its respiratory activity. The large protein complexes mediating electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation are embedded in the inner mitochondrial membrane, so the respiration rate varies with membrane surface area.

The inner membrane divides the mitochondrion into two compartments, the **intermembrane space** and the internal **matrix**. The matrix is a gel-like solution that contains extremely high concentrations of the soluble enzymes of oxidative metabolism as well as substrates, nucleotide cofactors, and inorganic ions. The matrix also contains the mitochondrial genetic machinery—DNA, RNA, and ribosomes—that generates only 13 of the more than 1500 mitochondrial proteins. The remainder are encoded by nuclear genes and hence must be imported into the mitochondrion. The 13 mitochondrially encoded proteins (see below) are all exceptionally hydrophobic, which suggests that evolution could not find a way to efficiently import them. The remaining mitochondrial genes encode 22 tRNAs and two ribosomal RNAs, for a total of 37 genes in the mitochondrial genome (in humans, an ~16,600-bp circular DNA that is inherited solely from the mother).

Two-dimensional electron micrographs of mitochondria such as Fig. 18-2*a* suggest that mitochondria are discrete kidney-shaped organelles. In fact, some mitochondria adopt a tubular shape that extends throughout the cytosol. Furthermore, mitochondria are highly variable structures. For example, the cristae may not resemble baffles and the intercristal spaces may not communicate freely with the mitochondrion's intermembrane space. Electron microscopy–based three-dimensional image reconstruction methods have revealed that cristae can range in shape from simple tubular entities to more complicated lamellar assemblies that merge with the inner membrane via narrow tubular structures (**Fig. 18-3**). Evidently, cristae form microcompartments that restrict the diffusion of substrates

FIG. 18-3 Electron microscopy–based three-dimensional image reconstruction of a rat liver mitochondrion. The outer membrane (OM) is red, the inner membrane (IM) is yellow, and the cristae (C) are green. The arrowheads point to tubular regions of the cristae that connect them to the inner membrane and to each other.

and ions between the intercristal and intermembrane spaces. This has important functional implications because it would result in a locally greater pH gradient across cristal membranes than across inner membranes that are not part of cristae, thereby significantly influencing the rate of oxidative phosphorylation (Section 18-3).

B Ions and Metabolites Enter Mitochondria via Transporters

Like bacterial outer membranes, the outer mitochondrial membrane contains porins, proteins that permit the free diffusion of molecules of up to 10 kD (Section 10-2B). *The intermembrane space is therefore equivalent to the cytosol in its concentrations of metabolites and ions.* The inner membrane, which is ~75% protein by mass, is considerably richer in proteins than is the outer membrane (**Fig. 18-4**). It is freely permeable only to O_2, CO_2, and H_2O and contains, in addition to respiratory chain proteins, numerous transport proteins that control the passage of metabolites such as ATP, ADP, pyruvate, Ca^{2+}, and phosphate. *The controlled impermeability of the inner mitochondrial membrane to most ions and metabolites permits the generation of ion gradients across this barrier and results in the compartmentalization of metabolic functions between cytosol and mitochondria.*

FIG. 18-4 Electron micrographs of the inner and outer mitochondrial membranes that have been split to expose the inner surfaces of their bilayer leaflets. Note that the inner membrane contains about twice the density of embedded particles as does the outer membrane. The particles are the portions of integral membrane proteins that were exposed when the bilayers were split.

Cytosolic Reducing Equivalents Are "Transported" into Mitochondria. The NADH produced in the cytosol by glycolysis must gain access to the mitochondrial electron-transport chain for aerobic oxidation. However, the inner mitochondrial membrane lacks an NADH transport protein. *Only the electrons from cytosolic NADH are transported into the mitochondrion by one of several ingenious "shuttle" systems.* We have already discussed the **malate–aspartate shuttle** (Fig. 16-20), in which, when run in reverse, cytosolic oxaloacetate is reduced to malate for transport into the mitochondrion. When malate is reoxidized in the matrix, it gives up the reducing equivalents that originated in the cytosol.

The **glycerophosphate shuttle** (**Fig. 18-5**) is expressed at variable levels in different animal tissues and is especially active in insect flight muscle (the tissue with the largest known sustained power output). In the first step of the shuttle

FIG. 18-5 The glycerophosphate shuttle. The electrons of cytosolic NADH are transported to the mitochondrial electron-transport chain in three steps (shown in red as hydride transfers): (**1**) Cytosolic oxidation of NADH by dihydroxyacetone phosphate catalyzed by 3-phosphoglycerol dehydrogenase. (**2**) Oxidation of 3-phosphoglycerol by flavoprotein dehydrogenase with reduction of FAD to $FADH_2$. (**3**) Reoxidation of $FADH_2$ with passage of electrons into the electron-transport chain.

? Identify the component undergoing oxidation or reduction in each step.

mechanism, **3-phosphoglycerol dehydrogenase** catalyzes the oxidation of cytosolic NADH by dihydroxyacetone phosphate to yield NAD^+, which re-enters glycolysis. The electrons of the resulting **3-phosphoglycerol** are then transferred to **flavoprotein dehydrogenase** to form $FADH_2$. This enzyme, which is situated on the inner mitochondrial membrane's outer surface, supplies electrons directly to the electron-transport chain (Section 18-2D).

A Translocator Exchanges ADP and ATP. Most of the ATP generated in the **mitochondrial matrix** through oxidative phosphorylation is used in the cytosol. The inner mitochondrial membrane contains an **ADP–ATP translocator** (also called the **adenine nucleotide translocase**) that transports ATP out of the matrix in exchange for ADP produced in the cytosol by ATP-consuming reactions.

Several natural products inhibit the ATP–ADP translocator, including **atractyloside** (a poison produced by the Mediterranean thistle *Atractylis gummifera* that was known to the ancient Egyptians) and its derivative **carboxyatractyloside** (**CATR**). In fact, the translocator has been purified by affinity chromatography (Section 5-2C) using atractyloside derivatives as affinity ligands.

R = H **Atractyloside**
R = COOH **Carboxyatractyloside (CATR)**

(a)

The ATP–ADP translocator, a dimer of identical ~300-residue subunits, has characteristics similar to those of other transport proteins. Each subunit has one binding site for which ADP and ATP compete. It has two major conformations, one with its ATP–ADP binding site facing the matrix, and the other with this site facing the intermembrane space. In its X-ray structure in complex with CATR (Fig. 18-6), determined by Eva Pebay-Peyroula, each subunit's six transmembrane helices surround a deep, cone-shaped cavity open to the matrix that is occupied by the CATR.

The translocator must bind ligand to change from one conformation to the other at a physiologically reasonable rate. Thus it functions as an exchanger by importing one ADP for every ATP that is exported. In this respect, it differs from the glucose transporter (Fig. 10-13), which can change its conformation in the absence of ligand. Note that the export of ATP (net charge −4) and the import of ADP (net charge −3) results in the export of

(b)

FIG. 18-6 X-Ray structure of bovine heart ATP–ADP translocator in complex with carboxyatractyloside. (*a*) A subunit of the homodimeric protein, viewed from the plane of the inner membrane with the intermembrane space above, is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) and embedded in its semitransparent surface diagram. The carboxyatractyloside is drawn in space-filling form with C cyan, O red, and S yellow. Three cardiolipin molecules (Table 9-2) that cocrystallized with the protein are drawn in stick form with C green, O red, and P orange. (*b*) The molecular surface as viewed from the intermembrane space and colored according its surface charge with blue positive, white neutral, and red negative. Note the deep, positively charged cavity in which the anionic ATP binds. [Based on an X-ray structure by Eva Pebay-Peyroula, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France. PDBid 2C3E.]

one negative charge per transport cycle. This **electrogenic** antiport is driven by the membrane potential difference, $\Delta\Psi$, across the inner mitochondrial membrane (positive outside), which is a consequence of the transmembrane proton gradient.

Phosphate Must Be Imported into the Mitochondrion. ATP is synthesized from ADP + P_i in the mitochondrion but is utilized in the cytosol. The P_i is returned to the mitochondrion by the **phosphate carrier,** an electroneutral P_i–H^+ symport that is driven by ΔpH. The transmembrane proton gradient generated by the electron-transport machinery of the inner mitochondrial membrane thus not only provides the thermodynamic driving force for ATP synthesis (Section 18-3), it also motivates the transport of the raw materials—ADP and P_i—required for the process.

CHECKPOINT

- Draw a simple diagram of a mitochondrion and identify its structural features.
- Describe how shuttle systems transport reducing equivalents into the mitochondria.
- Explain how the free energy of the proton gradient drives the transport of ATP, ADP, and P_i.

2 Electron Transport

KEY CONCEPTS

- The free energy of electron transport from NADH to O_2 drives the synthesis of approximately 2.5 ATP.
- Electron carriers are arranged in the mitochondrial membrane so that electrons travel from Complexes I and II via coenzyme Q to Complex III, and from there via cytochrome *c* to Complex IV.
- The L-shaped Complex I transfers electrons from NADH to CoQ via a series of iron–sulfur clusters and simultaneously translocates four protons to the intermembrane space.
- Complex II transfers electrons from succinate to the CoQ pool but does not contribute to the transmembrane proton gradient.
- Electrons from Complex III are transferred to cytochrome *c* and two protons are translocated during the operation of the Q cycle in Complex III.
- Complex IV accepts electrons from cytochrome *c* to reduce O_2 to H_2O and translocates four protons for every two electrons transferred.

The electron carriers that ferry electrons from NADH and $FADH_2$ to O_2 are associated with the inner mitochondrial membrane. Some of these redox centers are highly mobile, and others are less mobile components of integral membrane protein complexes. The sequence of electron carriers roughly reflects their relative reduction potentials, so that the overall process of electron transport is exergonic. We begin this section by examining the thermodynamics of electron transport. We then consider the molecular characteristics of the various electron carriers.

A Electron Transport Is an Exergonic Process

We can estimate the thermodynamic efficiency of electron transport by inspecting the standard reduction potentials of the redox centers. As we saw in our thermodynamic considerations of oxidation–reduction reactions (Section 14-3), an oxidized substrate's affinity for electrons increases with its standard reduction potential, $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ (Table 14-4 lists the standard reduction potentials of some biologically important half-reactions). The standard reduction potential difference, $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$, for a redox reaction involving any two half-reactions is expressed

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^- \text{ acceptor})} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^- \text{ donor})}$$

For the reaction that occurs in mitochondria, that is, the oxidation of NADH by O_2, the relevant half-reactions are

$$NAD^+ + H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons NADH \qquad \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.315 \text{ V}$$

and

$$\tfrac{1}{2}O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons H_2O \qquad \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.815 \text{ V}$$

Since the O_2/H_2O half-reaction has the greater standard reduction potential (O_2 has a higher affinity for electrons than NAD^+), we write the NADH half-reaction in reverse so that NADH is the electron donor in the couple and O_2 the electron acceptor. The overall reaction is

$$\tfrac{1}{2}O_2 + NADH + H^+ \rightleftharpoons H_2O + NAD^+$$

so that

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.815\ V - (-0.315\ V) = 1.130\ V$$

The standard free energy change for the reaction can then be calculated from Eq. 14-7:

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$$

For NADH oxidation, $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -218\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$. In other words, the oxidation of 1 mol of NADH by O_2 (the transfer of 2 mol e^-) under standard biochemical conditions is associated with the release of 218 kJ of free energy.

Because the standard free energy required to synthesize 1 mol of ATP from ADP + P_i is $30.5\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$, the oxidation of one mol of NADH by O_2 is theoretically able to drive the formation of several moles of ATP. In mitochondria, the coupling of NADH oxidation to ATP synthesis is achieved by an electron-transport chain in which electrons pass through three protein complexes. *This allows the overall free energy change to be broken into three smaller parcels, each of which contributes to ATP synthesis by oxidative phosphorylation. Oxidation of one NADH results in the synthesis of approximately 2.5 ATP* (we will see later why the relationship is not strictly stoichiometric). The thermodynamic efficiency of oxidative phosphorylation is therefore $2.5 \times 30.5\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1} \times 100/218\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1} = 35\%$ under standard biochemical conditions. However, under physiological conditions in active mitochondria (where the reactant and product concentrations as well as the pH deviate from standard conditions), the thermodynamic efficiency is thought to be ~70%. In comparison, the energy efficiency of a typical automobile engine is <30%.

B Electron Carriers Operate in Sequence

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation overview.

Oxidation of NADH and $FADH_2$ is carried out by the electron-transport chain, a series of four protein complexes containing redox centers with progressively greater affinities for electrons (increasing standard reduction potentials). Electrons travel through the chain from lower to higher standard reduction potentials (**Fig. 18-7**). Electrons are carried from **Complexes I** and **II** to **Complex III** by the lipid **coenzyme Q (CoQ** or **ubiquinone;** so named because of its ubiquity in respiring organisms), and from Complex III to **Complex IV** by the small soluble protein **cytochrome *c*.**

Complex I catalyzes oxidation of NADH by CoQ:

$$NADH + CoQ\ (oxidized) \rightarrow NAD^+ + CoQ\ (reduced)$$

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.360\ V \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -69.5\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$$

Complex III catalyzes oxidation of CoQ (reduced) by cytochrome c:

$$CoQ\ (reduced) + 2\ cytochrome\ c\ (oxidized) \rightarrow CoQ\ (oxidized) + 2\ cytochrome\ c\ (reduced)$$

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.190\ V \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -36.7\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$$

Complex IV catalyzes oxidation of reduced cytochrome c by O_2, the terminal electron acceptor of the electron-transport process:

$$2\ Cytochrome\ c\ (reduced) + \tfrac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow 2\ cytochrome\ c\ (oxidized) + H_2O$$

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.580\ V \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -112\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$$

As an electron pair successively traverses Complexes I, III, and IV, sufficient free energy is released at each step to power ATP synthesis.

FIG. 18-7 Overview of electron transport in the mitochondrion. The standard reduction potentials of its most mobile components (*green*) are indicated, as are the points where sufficient free energy is released to synthesize ATP (*blue*) and the sites of action of several respiratory inhibitors (*red*). Complexes I, III, and IV do not directly synthesize ATP but sequester the free energy necessary to do so by pumping protons outside the mitochondrion to form a proton gradient.

? What is the approximate value of $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ for the passage of electrons through Complexes I, III, and IV?

Complex II catalyzes the oxidation of $FADH_2$ by CoQ:

$$FADH_2 + CoQ\ (oxidized) \rightarrow FAD + CoQ\ (reduced)$$
$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.085\ V \qquad \Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -16.4\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$$

This redox reaction does not release sufficient free energy to synthesize ATP; it functions only to inject the electrons from $FADH_2$ into the electron-transport chain.

Inhibitors Reveal the Workings of the Electron-Transport Chain. The sequence of events in electron transport was elucidated largely through the use of specific inhibitors and later corroborated by measurements of the standard reduction potentials of the redox components. The rate at which O_2 is consumed by a suspension of mitochondria is a sensitive measure of the activity of the electron-transport chain. Compounds that inhibit electron transport, as judged by their effect on O_2 consumption, include **rotenone** (a plant toxin used by Amazonian Indians to poison fish and which is also used as an insecticide), **amytal** (a barbiturate), **antimycin A** (an antibiotic), and **cyanide.**

Rotenone

Amytal

Cyanide

Antimycin A

GATEWAY CONCEPT Oxidation–Reduction Reactions

When one substance is reduced (gains electrons), another substance must be oxidized (loses electrons). Electron carriers like NAD^+ and ubiquinone function as shuttles by accepting electrons (becoming reduced) and then passing them to another substance (returning to their oxidized forms). Because the carriers are constantly regenerated, electrons can continue to flow, following a path from higher free energy to lower free energy; that is, originating from substances with lower reduction potential and ending at substances with higher reduction potential.

Adding rotenone or amytal to a suspension of mitochondria blocks electron transport in Complex I; antimycin A blocks Complex III, and CN^- blocks electron transport in Complex IV (Fig. 18-7). Each of these inhibitors also halts O_2 consumption. Oxygen consumption resumes following addition of a substance whose electrons enter the electron-transport chain "downstream" of the block. For example, the addition of succinate to rotenone-blocked mitochondria restores electron transport and O_2 consumption. Experiments with inhibitors of electron transport thus reveal the points of entry of electrons from various substrates.

Each of the four respiratory complexes of the electron-transport chain consists of several protein components that are associated with a variety of redox-active prosthetic groups with successively increasing reduction potentials (**Table 18-1**). The complexes are all laterally mobile within the inner mitochondrial membrane and also apparently associate to form "supercomplexes" with variable composition, such as III_2IV_2 and $I_1\,III_2IV$ that resemble the metabolons formed by soluble enzymes (Section 17-4B). The dynamic organization of the complexes may allow the mitochondria to adapt to different sources of electrons in order to maximize the efficiency of electron transport under different conditions. In the following sections,

TABLE 18-1 Reduction Potentials of Electron-Transport Chain Components in Resting Mitochondria

Component	$\mathscr{E}°'$ (V)
NADH	−0.315
Complex I (NADH–CoQ oxidoreductase; ~1000 kD monomer, 44 unique subunits):	
FMN	−0.380
[2Fe–2S]N1a	−0.370
[2Fe–2S]N1b	−0.250
[4Fe–4S]N3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7	−0.250
[4Fe–4S]N2	−0.150
Succinate	0.031
Complex II (succinate–CoQ oxidoreductase; ~420 kD trimer, 4 unique subunits):	
FAD	−0.040
[2Fe–2S]	−0.030
[4Fe–4S]	−0.245
[3Fe–4S]	−0.060
Heme b_{560}	−0.080
Coenzyme Q	0.045
Complex III (CoQ–cytochrome *c* oxidoreductase; ~450 kD dimer, 9−11 unique subunits):	
Heme b_H (b_{562})	0.030
Heme b_L (b_{566})	−0.030
[2Fe–2S]	0.280
Heme c_1	0.215
Cytochrome *c*	0.235
Complex IV (cytochrome *c* oxidase; ~410 kD dimer, 8–13 unique subunits):	
Heme *a*	0.210
Cu_A	0.245
Cu_B	0.340
Heme a_3	0.385
O_2	0.815

Source: Mainly Wilson, D.F., Erecinska, M., and Dutton, P.L., *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng.* **3,** 205 and 208 (1974); *and* Wilson, D.F., *in* Bittar, E.E. (Ed.), *Membrane Structure and Function,* Vol. 1, p. 160, Wiley (1980).

FIG. 18-8 The mitochondrial electron-transport chain. This diagram indicates the pathways of electron transfer (*blue*) and proton translocation (*red*). Electrons are transferred between Complexes I and III by the membrane-soluble coenzyme Q (Q) and between Complexes III and IV by the water-soluble protein cytochrome *c*. Complex II transfers electrons from succinate to coenzyme Q. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

we examine the structures of Complexes I through IV and the molecules that transfer electrons between them. Their relationships are summarized in Fig. 18-8.

C Complex I Accepts Electrons from NADH

Complex I (**NADH–coenzyme Q oxidoreductase**), which passes electrons from NADH to CoQ, is the largest protein complex in the inner mitochondrial membrane. In mammals, it consists of 44 different subunits with a total mass of ~980 kD. Eukaryotes and many prokaryotes share 14 "core" subunits. In mammals, the 7 core subunits that comprise its transmembrane region (see below) are encoded by mitochondrial genes.

The X-ray structure of the 16-subunit *Thermus thermophilus* Complex I (Fig. 18-9*a*), determined by Leonid Sazanov, reveals an L-shaped protein with one arm embedded in the

FIG. 18-9 The X-ray structure of Complex I from the bacterium *T. thermophilus*. (*a*) The 536-kD protein as viewed parallel to the plane of the plasma membrane with the cytoplasm (equivalent to the mitochondrial matrix) above and the periplasm (intermembrane space) below. The 16 different subunits (subunits Nqo15 and Nqo16 are specific for themophiles), which have a total of 64 transmembrane helices, are drawn in ribbon form in different colors with the 110-Å-long horizontal C-terminal helix of subunit Nqo12 magenta. The two [Fe–S] and seven [4Fe–4S] clusters in the peripheral (cytoplasmic) arm are drawn in space-filling form with S yellow and Fe red-brown. The bound FMN, the entry point for the CoQ binding cavity (Q), the [4Fe–4S] cluster named N2, and the approximate position of the membrane are indicated. (*b*) The arrangement of the redox groups in Complex I as viewed from the back of Part *a*. The NADH, FMN, and ubiquinone (UQ) are drawn in stick form with NADH C magenta, FMN C green, UQ C violet, N blue, O red, and P orange. The center-to-center distances of neighboring redox centers are given in ångstroms (the shortest edge-to-edge distances are indicated in parentheses). Blue arrows represent the ~94-Å-long main path of electrons from FMN to ubiquinone. PDBid 4HEA.

plasma membrane (equivalent to the inner mitochondrial membrane in eukaryotes) and the other extending into the cytoplasm (the mitochondrial matrix in eukaryotes). Proton translocation occurs in the 7-subunit membrane-embedded arm of the complex, whereas redox reactions take place in its 9-subunit peripheral arm. Cryo-EM studies indicate that the ~30 supernumerary (non-core) proteins in the mammalian Complex I are distributed in a cagelike fashion about the periphery of the 14-subunit core, where they likely have assembly, stabilizing, and regulatory roles, and possibly function as scaffoldings for other enzymatic activities.

[2Fe–2S]

[4Fe–4S]

Complex I Contains Multiple Coenzymes. Complex I contains one molecule of **flavin mononucleotide** (**FMN,** a redox-active prosthetic group that differs from FAD only by the absence of the AMP group) and eight (in mammals), or nine or ten (in prokaryotes), **iron–sulfur clusters** (**Fig. 18-9*b***). Iron–sulfur clusters occur as the prosthetic groups of **iron–sulfur proteins** (also called **nonheme iron proteins**). The two most common types, designated **[2Fe–2S]** and **[4Fe–4S] clusters** (*at left*), consist of equal numbers of iron and sulfide (S^{2-}) ions and are both coordinated to four protein Cys sulfhydryl groups. Note that the Fe atoms in both types of clusters are each coordinated by four S atoms, which are more or less tetrahedrally disposed around the Fe.

Iron–sulfur clusters can undergo one-electron oxidation and reduction. *The oxidized and reduced states of all iron–sulfur clusters differ by one formal charge regardless of their number of Fe atoms.* This is because the Fe atoms in each cluster form a conjugated system and thus can have oxidation states between the normal +2 and +3 values for individual Fe ions.

FMN and CoQ can each adopt three oxidation states (**Fig. 18-10**). They are capable of accepting and donating either one or two electrons because their semiquinone forms are stable (these semiquinones are stable **free radicals**, molecules with an unpaired electron). FMN is tightly bound to proteins; however, CoQ has a hydrophobic tail that makes it soluble in the inner mitochondrial membrane's lipid bilayer. In mammals, this tail consists of 10 C_5 isoprenoid units (Section 9-1F) and hence the coenzyme is designated $\mathbf{Q_{10}}$**.** In other organisms, CoQ may have only 6 ($\mathbf{Q_6}$) or 8 ($\mathbf{Q_8}$) isoprenoid units.

Electrons Follow a Multistep Path through Complex I. The 140-Å-high peripheral arm of the *T. thermophilus* Complex I (Fig. 18-9*a*) contains all of the enzyme's prosthetic redox centers: an FMN, seven [4Fe–4S] clusters, and two [2Fe–2S] clusters (Fig. 18-9*b*). The FMN is located at the bottom of a solvent-exposed cavity that presumably forms the NADH-binding site. The CoQ-binding site is located at the top of a 30-Å-long and narrow chamber, ~15 Å above the membrane surface and 12 Å from [4Fe–4S] cluster N2. Thus, to accept electrons from N2, the CoQ must diffuse out of the lipid bilayer and move to the top of this chamber.

The transit of electrons from NADH to CoQ presumably occurs by a stepwise mechanism, according to the reduction potential of the various redox centers in Complex I (Table 18-1). This process involves the transient reduction of each group as it binds electrons and its reoxidation when it passes the electrons to the next group. The spatial arrangement of the groups indicates the likely path of the electrons (Fig. 18-9*b*). Note that redox centers do not need to come into contact in order to transfer an electron. An electron's quantum mechanical properties enable it to quickly (in the microsecond range) "tunnel" (jump) between protein-embedded redox groups that are separated by less than ~14 Å. Because electron transfer rates decrease exponentially with the distance between redox centers (they exhibit an ~10-fold decrease for each 1.7 Å increase in distance), electron transfers over distances longer than ~14 Å always involve chains of redox centers.

NADH can participate in only a two-electron transfer reaction. In contrast, the Fe–S clusters, as well as the cytochromes of Complex III (see below) to

FIG. 18-10 The oxidation states of FMN and coenzyme Q. Both (*a*) FMN and (*b*) coenzyme Q form stable semiquinone free-radical states.

which reduced CoQ passes its electrons, are capable of only one-electron reactions. *FMN and CoQ, which can transfer one or two electrons at a time, therefore provide an electron conduit between the two-electron donor NADH and the one-electron acceptors.* Thus, NADH reduces FMN in a two-electron reaction (formally, a hydride transfer), which in turn passes these electrons, one by one, through the "wire" of Fe–S clusters, to the CoQ.

Complex I Translocates Four Protons in Each Reaction Cycle. *As electrons are transferred between the redox centers of Complex I, four protons are translocated from the matrix to the intermembrane space.* This proton pumping is driven by conformational changes induced by changes in the redox state of the protein (see below). The conformational changes alter the p*K* values of ionizable side chains so that protons are taken up or released as electrons are transferred. Coupling between electron transport in the peripheral arm and proton pumping in the transmembrane arm requires communication over distances of up to ~200 Å.

Because a proton is simply an atomic nucleus, it cannot be transported across a membrane in the same way as ions such as Na^+ and K^+. However, a proton can be translocated by "hopping" along a chain of hydrogen-bonded groups in a

transmembrane channel, just as it "jumps" between hydrogen-bonded water molecules in solution (Fig. 2-15). Such an arrangement of hydrogen-bonded groups in the protein, which may include water molecules, has been described as a **proton wire**. Complex I contains four proton wires that each pump one proton across the membrane for every pair of electrons that passes from NADH to CoQ (see below).

Bacteriorhodopsin Is a Model Proton Pump. An instructive model for proton-translocating complexes is bacteriorhodopsin, an integral membrane protein from *Halobacterium salinarium* that contains seven transmembrane helical segments surrounding a central polar channel (Fig. 9-22). Bacteriorhodopsin is a light-driven proton pump: It obtains the free energy required for pumping protons through the absorbance of light by its retinal prosthetic group. The retinal is linked to the protein via a protonated Schiff base to the side chain of Lys 216.

On absorbing light, the all-*trans*-retinal isomerizes to its 13-*cis* configuration:

This structural change initiates a sequence of protein conformational adjustments that restore the system to its ground state over a period of ~10 ms. These conformational changes alter the p*K*'s of several amino acid side chains (**Fig. 18-11**). Specifically, the p*K* of Asp 85 increases so it can receive a proton from the Schiff base. Asp 85 then transfers the proton to the extracellular medium via a hydrogen-bonded network that includes Arg 82, Glu 194, Glu 204, and several water molecules. Water molecules also move into position to form a hydrogen-bonded network that reprotonates the Schiff base with an intracellular proton via Asp 96, whose p*K* decreases. The net result is that a proton appears to move from the cytosol to the cell exterior (the proton that leaves the cytosol is not the same proton that enters the extracellular space).

The various amino acid side chains involved in proton transport in bacteriorhodopsin move by ~1 Å or less, but this is enough to alter their p*K* values and to sequentially make and break hydrogen bonds so as to allow a proton to pass along the proton wire. The vectorial (one-way) nature of the process arises from the unidirectional series of conformational changes made by the photoexcited retinal as it relaxes to its ground state. The transfer of electrons from NADH to CoQ in Complex I motivates a similar sequence of conformational and p*K* changes (see below).

Courtesy Janos Lanyi, University of California at Irvine.

FIG. 18-11 Proton translocation in bacteriorhodopsin. The retinal prosthetic group in Schiff base linkage to Lys 216 of the seven-transmembrane helix protein is shown in purple. The side chains of amino acids that participate in light-driven proton translocation are shown in stick form with C gray, N blue, and O red. The arrows with their associated numbers indicate the order of proton-transfer steps during the photochemical cycle: (**1**) deprotonation of the Schiff base and protonation of Asp 85; (**2**) proton release to the extracellular surface; (**3**) reprotonation of the Schiff base and deprotonation of Asp 96; (**4**) reprotonation of Asp 96 from the cytoplasmic surface; and (**5**) deprotonation of Asp 85 and reprotonation of the proton release site. PDBid 1C3W.

CoQ Reduction Mechanically Drives Proton Translocation. How does electron transfer motivate proton pumping in Complex I? The membrane-embedded arm of Complex I contains three subunits, Nqo12, Nqo13, and Nqo14, that resemble known Na^+/H^+ antiporters, each of which are postulated to form a proton translocation channel. The antiporterlike subunits, all of which are distant from the CoQ

Rozbeh Baradaran, John M. Berrisford, Gurdeep S. Minhas & Leonid A. Sazanov *Nature* **494**, 443–448 (28 February 2013) doi:10.1038/nature11871

FIG. 18-12 Schematic diagram of the proposed mechanism coupling proton translocation to the reduction of CoQ in Complex I. The subunits forming the membrane arm are colored and viewed similarly to those in Fig. 18-9*a*. The proton translocation channels are indicated by black arrows. The hydrophilic chain of charged and polar residues is represented by red arrows with the red and blue circles symbolizing Glu and Lys/His residues, respectively. The blue arrows are indicative of conformational changes around cluster N2. Helix HL assists in coordinating the conformational changes by linking the discontinuous transmembrane helices of the three antiports (Nqo12–14).

binding site, are linked to it by a chain of charged and polar residues (Fig. 18-12), including a "river" of water molecules, a most unusual assembly for the interior of a membrane. Two of the helices in each of the antiporterlike subunits have centrally located kinks that provide them with the conformational flexibility to open and close the proton translocation channels. Many of the charged residues in the hydrophilic chain are associated with these kinks and are therefore postulated to participate in the proton translocation process. A fourth proposed proton translocation channel is more directly associated with the CoQ binding site.

The foregoing observations led Sazanov to postulate the mechanism for proton translocation by Complex I delineated in Fig. 18-12. CoQ appears to fit so snugly into its binding site that when it is reduced by cluster N2 to CoQ^{2-}, it remains unprotonated until it dissociates from the protein. The added negative charges electrostatically induce conformational changes around the CoQ binding site that are transmitted to the proton translocation channels by the hydrophilic chain and possibly by the 110-Å-long, membrane embedded, C-terminal helix of subunit Nqo12 (HL in Fig. 18-12). This mechanically drives the conformational changes that result in the p*K* changes responsible for proton translocation, much as the coupling rods of a steam locomotive link its pistons to its drive wheels. The entire process of NADH reduction, electron transport, CoQ reduction, and proton translocation occurs ~200 times per second, the turnover rate of Complex I.

D Complex II Contributes Electrons to Coenzyme Q

Complex II **(succinate–coenzyme Q oxidoreductase),** which contains the citric acid cycle enzyme succinate dehydrogenase (Section 17-3F), passes electrons from succinate to CoQ. Its redox groups include succinate dehydrogenase's covalently bound FAD (Fig. 17-13) to which electrons are initially passed, one [4Fe–4S] cluster, a [3Fe–4S] cluster (essentially a [4Fe–4S] complex that lacks one Fe atom), one [2Fe–2S] cluster, and one **cytochrome b_{560}** (cytochromes are discussed in Box 18-1). All of its four subunits are encoded by nuclear genes.

The free energy for electron transfer from succinate to CoQ (Fig. 18-7) is insufficient to drive ATP synthesis. The complex is nevertheless important because it allows relatively high-potential electrons to enter the electron-transport chain by bypassing Complex I.

Note that Complexes I and II, despite their names, do not operate in series. But both accomplish the same result: the transfer of electrons to CoQ from reduced substrates (NADH or succinate). *CoQ, which diffuses in the lipid bilayer among the respiratory complexes, therefore serves as a sort of electron collector.* As we will see in Section 20-2C, the first step in fatty acid oxidation also generates electrons that enter the electron-transport chain at the level of CoQ. CoQ also

Box 18-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Cytochromes Are Electron-Transport Heme Proteins

Cytochromes, whose function was elucidated in 1925 by David Keilin, are redox-active proteins that occur in all organisms except a few types of obligate anaerobes. These proteins contain heme groups that alternate between their Fe(II) and Fe(III) oxidation states during electron transport.

The heme groups of the reduced Fe(II) cytochromes have prominent visible absorption spectra consisting of three peaks: the α, β, and γ (**Soret**) bands. The spectrum for cytochrome *c* is shown in Fig. *a*. The wavelength of the α peak, which varies characteristically with the reduced cytochrome species (it is absent in oxidized cytochromes), is used to differentiate the various cytochromes in mitochondrial membranes (top right of Fig. *a* and Fig. *b*).

Each group of cytochromes contains a differently substituted heme group coordinated with the redox-active iron atom. The *b*-type cytochromes contain **protoporphyrin IX,** which also occurs in myoglobin and hemoglobin (Section 7-1A). The heme group of *c*-type cytochromes differs from protoporphyrin IX in that its vinyl groups have added Cys sulfhydryls across their double bonds to form thioether linkages to the protein. Heme *a* contains a long hydrophobic tail of isoprene units attached to the porphyrin, as well as a formyl group in place of a methyl substituent in hemes *b* and *c*. The axial ligands of the heme iron also vary with the cytochrome type. In cytochromes *a* and *b,* both ligands are His residues, whereas in cytochromes *c*, one is His and the other is the S atom of Met.

(a)

(b)

collects electrons from the $FADH_2$ produced by the glycerophosphate shuttle (Fig. 18-5) and from pyrimidine biosynthesis (Section 23-2A).

Complex II Contains a Linear Chain of Redox Cofactors. The X-ray structure of chicken mitochondrial Complex II has been determined (**Fig. 18-13**). Complex II is a mushroom-shaped homotrimer whose protomers each consist of two hydrophilic subunits, a flavoprotein (**Fp**) and an iron–sulfur subunit (**Ip**), that project into the mitochondrial matrix, and two hydrophobic membrane-anchor subunits, **CybL** and **CybS**, which each have three transmembrane helices and which collectively bind one *b*-type heme and one ubiquinone. All of them are encoded by nuclear genes. Fp binds both the substrate (whose binding site in the X-ray structure is occupied by the inhibitor oxaloacetate) and the FAD prosthetic group, whereas Ip binds the complex's three iron–sulfur clusters. The substrate- and ubiquinone-binding sites are connected by a >40-Å-long chain of redox centers with the sequence substrate—FAD—[2Fe–2S]—[4Fe–4S]—[3Fe–4S]—CoQ (top to bottom in Fig. 18-13). The heme *b,* which is not located in this direct electron-transfer pathway, apparently fine-tunes the system's electronic properties so as to suppress side reactions that form damaging **reactive oxygen species** such as H_2O_2 (Section 18-4B). The [2Fe–2S] cluster labeled N1a in Complex I (Fig. 18-9*b*) may have a similar role.

E Complex III Translocates Protons via the Q Cycle

Complex III (also known as **coenzyme Q–cytochrome *c* oxidoreductase** or **cytochrome bc_1**) passes electrons from reduced CoQ to cytochrome *c*. It contains two ***b*-type cytochromes,** one **cytochrome c_1,** and one [2Fe–2S] cluster in

Within each group of cytochromes, different heme group environments may be characterized by slightly different α peak wavelengths. For this reason, it is convenient to identify cytochromes by the wavelength (in nanometers) at which its α band absorbance is maximal (e.g., cytochrome b_{560} in Complex II). Cytochromes are also identified nondescriptively with either numbers or letters.

Reduced heme groups are highly reactive entities; they can transfer electrons over distances of 10 to 20 Å at physiologically significant rates. Hence cytochromes, in a sense, have the opposite function of enzymes: Instead of persuading unreactive substrates to react, they must prevent their hemes from transferring electrons nonspecifically to other cellular components. This, no doubt, is why the hemes are almost entirely enveloped by protein. However, cytochromes must also provide a path for electron transfer to an appropriate partner. Since electron transfer occurs far more efficiently through bonds than through space, protein structure appears to be an important determinant of the rate of electron transfer between proteins.

FIG. 18-13 X-Ray structure of chicken Complex II. (*a*) The semitransparent ribbon diagram viewed parallel to the inner mitochondrial membrane with the matrix above. The enzyme's four subunits are drawn in different colors. The inhibitor oxaloacetate (OAA, which marks the succinate-binding site), FAD, three Fe–S clusters, CoQ, and heme *b* are shown in space-filling form with oxaloacetate C magenta, FAD and heme *b* C green, CoQ C cyan, N blue, O red, P orange, S yellow, and Fe red-brown. The inferred position of the inner mitochondrial membrane is indicated. (*b*) The ligands and redox cofactors viewed and colored as in Part *a* but drawn in stick form. Their closest edge-to-edge distances are indicated. [Based on an X-ray structure by Edward Berry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California. PDBid 1YQ3.]

which one of the Fe atoms is coordinated by two His residues rather than two Cys residues (and which is known as a **Rieske center** after its discoverer, John Rieske). Complex III from yeast mitochondria is a 419-kD homodimer whose protomers each consist of 9 subunits (11 in the 485-kD bovine heart mitochondrial Complex III). Its X-ray structure (Fig. 18-14) reveals a pear-shaped homodimer whose widest part extends ~75 Å into the mitochondrial matrix. The ~40-Å-thick transmembrane portion consists of 12 transmembrane helices per protomer (14 in bovine heart Complex III), most of which are tilted with respect to the plane of the membrane. Eight of the helices belong to the **cytochrome *b*** subunit, which binds both *b*-type cytochrome hemes, $\boldsymbol{b_{562}}$ (or $\boldsymbol{b_H}$, for *h*igh potential, which lies near the matrix) and $\boldsymbol{b_{566}}$ (or $\boldsymbol{b_L}$, for *l*ow potential, which lies near the intermembrane space), and is the only subunit encoded by a mitochondrial gene. The cytochrome c_1 subunit is anchored by a single transmembrane helix, with its globular head, which contains a *c*-type heme, extending into the intermembrane space. The **iron–sulfur protein (ISP)**, which contains the Rieske center, is similarly anchored by a single transmembrane helix and extends into the intermembrane space. The two ISPs of the dimeric complex are intertwined so that the [2Fe–2S] cluster in the ISP of one protomer interacts with the cytochrome *b* and cytochrome c_1 subunits of the other protomer.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
The Q cycle

Electrons from Coenzyme Q Follow Two Paths. Complex III functions to permit one molecule of $CoQH_2$, a two-electron carrier, to reduce two molecules of cytochrome *c,* a one-electron carrier. This occurs by a surprising bifurcation of the flow of electrons from $CoQH_2$ to cytochrome c_1 and to cytochrome *b* (in which, as we shall see, the flow is cyclic). It is this so-called **Q cycle** that permits Complex III to pump protons from the matrix to the intermembrane space.

The essence of the Q cycle is that *$CoQH_2$ undergoes a two-cycle reoxidation in which the semiquinone, $CoQ^{\bullet-}$, is a stable intermediate.* This involves two independent binding sites for coenzyme Q: Q_o, which binds $CoQH_2$ and is located between the Rieske [2Fe–2S] center and heme b_L in proximity to the intermembrane space; and Q_i, which binds both $CoQ^{\bullet-}$ and CoQ and is located near heme b_H in proximity to the matrix. In the first cycle (Fig. 18-15, *top*), $CoQH_2$ from Complex I (**1** and **2**) binds to the Q_o site, where it transfers one of its electrons to the ISP (**3**), releasing its two protons into the intermembrane space and yielding $CoQ^{\bullet-}$. The ISP goes on to reduce cytochrome c_1, whereas the $CoQ^{\bullet-}$ transfers its remaining electron to cytochrome b_L (**4**), yielding fully oxidized CoQ. Cytochrome b_L then reduces cytochrome b_H (**6**).

FIG. 18-14 X-Ray structure of yeast Complex III in complex with cytochrome *c* and the inhibitor stigmatellin. The homodimeric complex is viewed from within the plane of the membrane with the intermembrane space above. The nine different subunits in each protomer, which collectively have 12 transmembrane helices, are differently colored with cytochrome *b* pale yellow, cytochrome c_1 light purple, the ISP tan, and cytochrome *c* pink. The portions of the protein that extend into the matrix are colored cyan and orange. The four different heme groups, the [2Fe–2S] cluster, and stigmatellin are drawn in space-filling form with heme C green, stigmatellin C magenta, N blue, O red, S yellow, and Fe red-brown. The horizontal lines delineate the inferred position of the membrane. Note that only one cytochrome *c* is bound to the homodimeric Complex III. [Based on an X-ray structure by Carola Hunte, Max Planck Institute for Biophysics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. PDBid 1KYO.]

FIG. 18-15 The Q cycle. The Q cycle, which is mediated by Complex III, results in the translocation of H^+ from the matrix to the intermembrane space as driven by the transport of electrons from cytochrome *b* to cytochrome *c*. The overall cycle is actually two cycles, the first requiring Reactions 1 through 7 and the second requiring Reactions 1 through 6 and 8. (**1**) Coenzyme QH_2 is supplied by Complex I on the matrix side of the membrane. (**2**) QH_2 diffuses to the cytosolic side of the membrane, where it binds in the Q_o site on the cytochrome *b* subunit of Complex III. (**3**) QH_2 reduces the Rieske iron-sulfur protein (ISP), forming $Q^{\bullet-}$ semiquinone and releasing 2 H^+. The ISP goes on to reduce heme c_1. (**4**) $Q^{\bullet-}$ reduces heme b_L to form coenzyme Q. (**5**) Q diffuses to the matrix side, where, in Cycle 1 only, it binds in the Q_i site on cytochrome *b*. (**6**) Heme b_L reduces heme b_H. (**7**, Cycle 1 only) Q is reduced to $Q^{\bullet-}$ by heme b_H. (**8**, Cycle 2 only) $Q^{\bullet-}$ bound in the Q_i site is reduced to QH_2 by heme b_H. The net reaction is the transfer of two electrons from QH_2 to cytochrome c_1 and the translocation of four protons from the matrix to the intermembrane space. [After Trumpower, B.L., *J. Biol. Chem.* **265,** 11410 (1990).]

? Write an equation for Cycle 1 and Cycle 2.

The CoQ from Step 4 is released from the Q_o site and rebinds to the Q_i site (**5**), where it picks up the electron from cytochrome b_H (**7**), reverting to the semiquinone form, $CoQ^{\bullet-}$. Thus, the reaction for the first cycle is

$$CoQH_2 + \text{cytochrome } c_1\,(Fe^{3+}) \rightarrow CoQ^{\bullet-} + \text{cytochrome } c_1\,(Fe^{2+}) + 2\,H^+\ (\textit{intermembrane})$$

In the second cycle (Fig. 18-15, *bottom*), another $CoQH_2$ from Complex I repeats Steps 1 through 6: One electron reduces the ISP and then cytochrome c_1, and the other electron sequentially reduces cytochrome b_L and then cytochrome b_H. This second electron then reduces the $CoQ^{\bullet-}$ at the Q_i site produced in the first cycle (**8**), yielding $CoQH_2$. The protons consumed in this last step originate in the mitochondrial matrix. The reaction for the second cycle is therefore

$$CoQH_2 + CoQ^{\bullet-} + \text{cytochrome } c_1\,(Fe^{3+}) + 2\,H^+\ (\textit{matrix}) \rightarrow CoQ + CoQH_2 + \text{cytochrome } c_1\,(Fe^{2+}) + 2\,H^+\ (\textit{intermembrane})$$

For every two $CoQH_2$ that enter the Q cycle, one $CoQH_2$ is regenerated. The combination of both cycles, in which two electrons are transferred from $CoQH_2$ to cytochrome c_1, results in the overall reaction

$$CoQH_2 + 2\text{ cytochrome } c_1\,(Fe^{3+}) + 2\,H^+\ (\textit{matrix}) \rightarrow CoQ + 2\text{ cytochrome } c_1\,(Fe^{2+}) + 4\,H^+\ (\textit{intermembrane})$$

How does the structure of Complex III support the operation of the Q cycle? First, X-ray studies provide direct evidence for the independent existence of the Q_o and Q_i sites. The antifungal agent **stigmatellin,**

Stigmatellin

which is known to inhibit electron flow from $CoQH_2$ to the ISP and to heme b_L (Steps 3 and 4 of both cycles), binds in a pocket within cytochrome *b* midway between the iron positions of the Rieske [2Fe–2S] center and heme b_L. Thus, this binding pocket is likely to overlap the Q_o site. Similarly, antimycin A (Section 18-2B), which has been shown to block electron flow from heme b_H to CoQ or $CoQ^{\bullet-}$ (Step 7 of Cycle 1 and Step 8 of Cycle 2), binds in a pocket near heme b_H, thereby identifying this pocket as site Q_i.

The circuitous route of electron transfer in Complex III is tied to the ability of coenzyme Q to diffuse within the hydrophobic core of the membrane in order to bind to both the Q_o and Q_i sites. In fact, the mitochondrial membrane likely contains a pool of CoQ, $CoQ^{\bullet-}$, and $CoQH_2$, so the ubiquinone molecule released from the Q_o site may not be the same one that rebinds to the Q_i site in Cycle 1 (Fig. 18-15).

X-Ray structures of cytochrome bc_1 also explain why Q_o-bound $CoQ^{\bullet-}$ exclusively reduces heme b_L rather than the Rieske [2Fe–2S] cluster of the ISP, despite the greater reduction potential difference ($\Delta\mathscr{E}$) favoring the latter reaction (Table 18-1). The globular domain of the ISP can swing via an ~20-Å hinge motion between the Q_o site and cytochrome c_1. *Consequently, the ISP acquires an electron from $CoQH_2$ in the Q_o site and mechanically delivers it to the heme c_1 group.* The $CoQ^{\bullet-}$ product cannot reduce the ISP (after it has reduced cytochrome c_1) because the ISP has moved too far away for this to occur.

The net reaction for the Q cycle indicates that *when $CoQH_2$ is oxidized, two reduced cytochrome c molecules and four protons appear on the outer side of the membrane.* Proton transport by the Q cycle thus differs from the proton-pumping mechanism of Complexes I and IV (see below): In the Q cycle, a redox center itself (CoQ) is the proton carrier.

Cytochrome *c* Is a Soluble Electron Carrier. The electrons that flow to cytochrome c_1 are transferred to cytochrome *c,* which, unlike the other cytochromes of the respiratory electron-transport chain, is soluble in the intermembrane space. It shuttles electrons between the portions of Complexes III and IV that project above the outer surface of the inner mitochondrial membrane. The evolution and structure of cytochrome *c* are discussed in Sections 5-4A and 6-2D. Several highly conserved Lys residues in cytochrome *c* lie in a ring around the exposed edge of its otherwise buried heme group (**Fig. 18-16**). These positively charged residues constitute binding sites for complementary negatively charged groups on cytochrome c_1 and cytochrome *c* oxidase. Such interactions presumably serve to align redox groups for optimal electron transfer.

FIG. 18-16 Ribbon diagram of cytochrome *c* showing the Lys residues involved in intermolecular complex formation. Dark and light blue balls, respectively, mark the position of Lys residues whose ε-amino groups are strongly and less strongly protected by cytochrome c_1 or cytochrome *c* oxidase against acetylation. Note that the Lys residues form a ring around the heme (*solid bar*) on one face of the protein. [After Mathews, F.S., *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **45,** 45 (1986).]

The X-ray structure shown in Fig. 18-14 reveals that the association between cytochrome bc_1 and cytochrome *c* is particularly tenuous, because its interfacial area (880 Å^2) is significantly less than that exhibited by protein–protein complexes known to have low stability (typically < 1600 Å^2). Such a small interface is well suited for fast binding and release. This interface involves only two cytochrome *c* Lys residues, Lys 86 and Lys 79 (vertebrate numbering; Table 5-6), which, respectively, contact Glu 235 and Ala 164 of cytochrome c_1. Other pairs of charged and often conserved residues surround the contact site but they are not

FIG. 18-17 X-Ray structure of the bovine heart cytochrome *c* oxidase homodimer. The homodimeric complex is viewed from within the plane of the membrane with the intermembrane space at the top. The 13 different subunits in each protomer, which collectively have 28 transmembrane helices, are differently colored. The protein's bound heme groups and Cu ions are drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, Fe red-brown, and Cu cyan. The horizontal black lines delineate the inferred position of the inner mitochondrial membrane. [Based on an X-ray structure by Shinya Yoshikawa, Himeji Institute of Technology, Hyogo, Japan. PDBid 1V54.]

? Where does cytochrome *c* dock with this complex?

close enough for direct polar interactions. Perhaps these interactions are mediated by water molecules that are not seen in the X-ray structure. The closest approach between the heme groups of the contacting proteins is 4.5 Å between atoms of their respective thioether-bonded substituents, which accounts for the rapid rate of electron transfer between the two redox centers.

F Complex IV Reduces Oxygen to Water

Cytochrome *c* oxidase (Complex IV) catalyzes the one-electron oxidations of four consecutive reduced cytochrome *c* molecules and the concomitant four-electron reduction of one O_2 molecule:

$$4 \text{ Cytochrome } c\ (Fe^{2+}) + 4\ H^+ + O_2 \rightarrow 4 \text{ cytochrome } c\ (Fe^{3+}) + 2\ H_2O$$

Mammalian Complex IV is an ~410-kD homodimer whose component protomers are each composed of 13 subunits. The X-ray structure of Complex IV from bovine heart mitochondria, determined by Shinya Yoshikawa, reveals that 10 of its subunits are transmembrane proteins that contain a total of 28 membrane-spanning α helices (Fig. 18-17). The core of Complex IV consists of its three largest and most hydrophobic subunits, I, II, and III (pale green, pink, and pale yellow in Fig. 18-17), which are its only subunits encoded by mitochondrial genes. A concave area on the surface of the protein that faces the intermembrane space contains numerous acidic amino acids that can potentially interact with the ring of Lys residues on cytochrome *c*, the electron donor for Complex IV.

Complex IV contains four redox centers: **cytochrome *a*, cytochrome a_3**, a copper atom known as **Cu_B,** and a pair of copper atoms known as the **Cu_A center** (Fig. 18-18). The Cu_A center, which is bound to Subunit II, lies 8 Å above the membrane surface. Its two copper ions are bridged by the sulfur atoms of two Cys residues, giving it a geometry similar to that of a [2Fe–2S] cluster. The other redox groups—Cu_B and cytochromes *a* and a_3—all bind to Subunit I and lie ~13 Å below the membrane surface.

Spectroscopic studies have shown that electron transfer in Complex IV is linear, proceeding from cytochrome *c* to the Cu_A center, then to heme *a*, and finally to heme a_3 and Cu_B. The Fe of heme a_3 lies only 4.9 Å from Cu_B; these redox groups really form a single binuclear complex. Electrons appear to travel between the redox centers of Complex IV via a hydrogen-bonded

FIG. 18-18 The redox centers of bovine heart cytochrome *c* oxidase. The view is similar to that of the left protomer in Fig. 18-17. The Fe and Cu ions are represented by orange and cyan spheres. Their liganding heme and protein groups are drawn in stick form colored according to atom type (heme C magenta, protein C green, N blue, O red, and S yellow). The peroxy group that bridges the Cu_B and heme a_3 Fe ions is shown in ball-and-stick form in red. Coordination bonds are drawn as gray lines. Note that the side chains of His 240 and Tyr 244 are joined by a covalent bond (*lower right*). [Based on an X-ray structure by Shinya Yoshikawa, Himeji Institute of Technology, Hyogo, Japan. PDBid 2OCC.]

network involving amino acid side chains, the polypeptide backbone, and the propionate side chains of the heme groups.

Cytochrome *c* Oxidase Catalyzes a Four-Electron Redox Reaction. The reduction of O_2 to 2 H_2O by cytochrome *c* oxidase takes place at the cytochrome a_3–Cu_B binuclear complex and requires the nearly simultaneous input of four electrons. However, the fully reduced Fe(II)–Cu(I) binuclear complex can readily contribute only three electrons to its bound O_2 in reaching its fully oxidized Fe(IV)–Cu(II) state [cytochrome a_3 assumes its Fe(IV) or **ferryl** oxidation state during the reduction of O_2; see below]. What is the source of the fourth electron?

X-Ray structures of cytochrome *c* oxidase clearly indicate that the His 240 ligand of Cu_B is covalently bonded to the side chain of a conserved Tyr residue (Tyr 244; Fig. 18-18, *lower right*). This places the Tyr phenolic —OH group close to the heme a_3–ligated O_2 such that *Tyr 244 can supply the fourth electron by transiently forming a tyrosyl radical* (TyrO•). Tyrosyl radicals have been implicated in several other enzyme-mediated redox processes, including the generation of O_2 from H_2O in photosynthesis (Section 19-2C) and in the **ribonucleotide reductase** reaction (which converts NDP to dNDP; Section 23-3A). In cytochrome *c* oxidase, the Tyr phenolic —OH group is within hydrogen-bonding distance of the enzyme-bound O_2 and hence is a likely H^+ donor during O—O bond cleavage. The formation of the covalent cross-link is expected to lower both the reduction potential and the p*K* of Tyr 244, thereby facilitating both radical formation and proton donation.

A proposed reaction sequence for cytochrome *c* oxidase, which was elucidated through the use of a variety of spectroscopic techniques, is shown in **Fig. 18-19**:

1 and 2. The O (for *o*xidized) state binuclear complex [$Fe(III)_{a3}$—OH^- H_2O—$Cu(II)_B$], in which Tyr 244 is in its phenolate state (Y—O^-), is reduced by two consecutive one-electron transfers from cytochrome *c* via Cu_A and cytochrome *a*, each accompanied by the acquisition of a proton from the matrix and the release of an H_2O. This yields the R (for *r*educed) complex [Fe(II) Cu(I)] in which Tyr 244 has assumed its phenolic state (Y—OH).

3. O_2 binds to the R state binuclear complex so as to ligand its $Fe(II)_{a3}$ atom. It binds to the heme with much the same configuration it has in oxymyoglobin (Fig. 7-3). Tyr 244 remains in its phenolic state.

4. Internal electron redistribution rapidly yields the oxyferryl complex [Fe(IV)=O^{2-} HO^-—Cu(II)] in which Tyr 244 has donated

FIG. 18-19 Proposed reaction sequence for cytochrome *c* oxidase. A total of four electrons ultimately donated by four cytochrome *c* molecules, together with four protons, are required to reduce O_2 to H_2O at the cytochrome a_3–Cu_B binuclear complex. The numbered steps are discussed in the text. The entire reaction is extremely fast; it goes to completion in ~1 ms at room temperature. [Modified from Babcock, G.T., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96,** 12971 (1999).]

? How does Tyr 244 function in the reduction of O_2?

an electron and a proton to the complex and thereby assumed its neutral radical state (Y—O•). This is known as the P state because it was once thought to be a *pe*roxy compound.

5. A third one-electron transfer from cytochrome *c,* together with the acquisition of a proton from the matrix, converts Tyr 244 to its phenolate state (Y—O^-), yielding the F (for *f*erryl) state in which an H_2O remains liganded to $Cu(II)_B$.
6. A fourth and final electron transfer and proton acquisition again yields the O state [$Fe(III)_{a3}$—OH^- H_2O—$Cu(II)_B$], thereby completing the catalytic cycle.

Cytochrome *c* Oxidase Has Two Proton-Translocating Channels. The reaction catalyzed by cytochrome *c* oxidase contributes to the transmembrane proton gradient in two ways. First, four so-called **chemical** or **scalar** protons are taken up from the matrix during the reduction of O_2 by cytochrome *c* oxidase to yield 2 H_2O, thereby depleting the matrix [H^+]. Second, the four-electron reduction reaction is coupled to the translocation of four so-called **pumped** or **vectorial** protons from the matrix to the intermembrane space, one each in steps 3, 4, 5, and 6 of Fig. 18-19. Note that for each turnover of the enzyme,

$$8\ H^+_{matrix} + O_2 + 4 \text{ cytochrome } c\ (Fe^{2+}) \rightarrow 4 \text{ cytochrome } c\ (Fe^{3+}) + 2\ H_2O + 4\ H^+_{intermembrane}$$

a total of eight positive charges are lost from the matrix, thus contributing to the membrane potential difference that drives ATP synthesis (Section 18-3).

X-Ray structures of cytochrome *c* oxidase reveal the presence of two channels that lead from the matrix to the vicinity of the O_2-reducing center, which could potentially transport protons via a proton wire mechanism. The **K-channel** (so named because it contains an essential Lys residue) leads from the matrix side of the protein to Tyr 244, the residue that forms a free radical as described above. Since this channel does not appear to be connected to the intermembrane space, it is thought to supply the first two chemical protons for O_2 reduction (steps 1 and 2 of Fig. 18-19). The **D-channel** (named for a key Asp residue) extends from the matrix to the vicinity of the heme a_3–Cu_B center, where it connects to the so-called **exit channel,** which communicates with the intermembrane space. Apparently, the D-channel, in series with the exit channel, functions to pump vectorial protons from the matrix to the intermembrane space. Moreover, the D-channel also functions as a conduit for the other two chemical protons that are required for the reaction cycle (steps 5 and 6 of Fig. 18-19). Despite the foregoing, the mechanism that couples O_2 reduction to proton pumping in Complex IV remains largely an enigma.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the route followed by electrons from glucose to O_2.
- Write the net equation for electron transfer from NADH to O_2.
- Assuming 100% efficiency, calculate the maximum amount of ATP that could be synthesized as a result.
- For each of the electron-transport complexes, write the relevant redox half-reactions.
- Position the four electron-transport complexes on a graph showing their relative reduction potentials, and indicate the path of electron flow.
- How did inhibitors reveal the order of electron transport?
- List the types of prosthetic groups in Complexes I, II, III, and IV and state whether they are one- or two-electron carriers.
- What does the Q cycle accomplish in each of its two rounds?
- Describe the different mechanisms for translocating protons during electron transport.
- What is the significance of the Tyr radical in cytochrome *c* oxidase?

3 Oxidative Phosphorylation

KEY CONCEPTS

- The chemiosmotic theory explains how a proton gradient links electron transport to ATP synthesis.
- ATP synthase consists of an F_1 component that catalyzes ATP synthesis by a binding change mechanism.
- The F_0 component of ATP synthase includes a *c*-ring whose rotation is driven by the dissipation of the proton gradient and drives conformational changes in the F_1 component.
- For every two electrons that enter the electron-transport chain as NADH and reduce one oxygen atom, approximately 2.5 ATP molecules are produced, giving a P/O ratio of 2.5.
- Agents that dissipate the proton gradient can uncouple electron transport and ATP synthesis.

The endergonic synthesis of ATP from ADP and P_i in mitochondria is catalyzed by an **ATP synthase** (also known as **Complex V**) that is driven by the electron-transport process. *The free energy released by electron transport through Complexes I–IV must be conserved in a form that the ATP synthase can use.* Such energy conservation is referred to as **energy coupling**.

The physical characterization of energy coupling proved to be surprisingly elusive; many sensible and often ingenious ideas failed to withstand the test of experimental scrutiny. For example, one theory—now abandoned—was that electron transport yields a "high-energy" intermediate, such as phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) in glycolysis (Section 15-2J), whose subsequent breakdown drives ATP synthesis. No such intermediate has ever been identified. In fact, ATP synthesis is coupled to electron transport through the production of a transmembrane proton gradient during electron transport by Complexes I, III, and IV. In this section, we explore this coupling mechanism and the operation of ATP synthase.

A The Chemiosmotic Theory Links Electron Transport to ATP Synthesis

The **chemiosmotic theory**, proposed in 1961 by Peter Mitchell, spurred considerable controversy before becoming widely accepted (Box 18-2). Mitchell's theory states that *the free energy of electron transport is conserved by pumping H^+ from the mitochondrial matrix to the intermembrane space to create an electrochemical H^+ gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane. The electrochemical potential of this gradient is harnessed to synthesize ATP* (**Fig. 18-20**). Several key observations are explained by the chemiosmotic theory:

1. Oxidative phosphorylation requires an intact inner mitochondrial membrane.
2. The inner mitochondrial membrane is impermeable to ions such as H^+, OH^-, K^+, and Cl^-, whose free diffusion would discharge an electrochemical gradient.
3. Electron transport results in the transport of H^+ out of intact mitochondria (the intermembrane space is equivalent to the cytosol), thereby creating a measurable electrochemical gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane.

FIG. 18-20 The coupling of electron transport and ATP synthesis. Electron transport (*blue arrow*) generates a proton electrochemical gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane. H^+ is pumped out of the mitochondrion during electron transport (*red arrows*) and its exergonic return powers the synthesis of ATP (*green arrows*). Note that the intermembrane space is topologically equivalent to the cytosol because the outer mitochondrial membrane is permeable to H^+. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? **Write an equation to describe the chemical events shown here.**

Box 18-2 Pathways of Discovery — Peter Mitchell and the Chemiosmotic Theory

Peter Mitchell (1920–1992) One of the most dramatic paradigm shifts in biochemistry came about through the work of Peter Mitchell, whose chemiosmotic hypothesis linked biological electron transport to ATP synthesis. Mitchell was primarily a theoretical biochemist, although he also generated experimental data to support his hypothesis. He once compared the human mind to a garden planted with facts and ideas that are constantly being rearranged. However, he promoted his own ideas, which were highly controversial, with great tenacity and very little flexibility.

Mitchell graduated from the University of Cambridge in 1942 and conducted research there, laying the groundwork for the chemiosmotic theory. Mitchell continued his work after 1955 at the University of Edinburgh. He was captivated by the idea of compartmentation in living cells and by the vectorial, or one-way, aspect of metabolic processes. Initially, he chose to study phosphate transport in bacteria because this process was linked both to metabolism and to transmembrane transport. He realized that the vectorial nature of membrane transport must be due to the presence of membrane-associated systems that were driven by chemical forces.

Mitchell also became interested in the respiratory chain, an idea formulated by David Keilin at Cambridge, because this too was a clearly vectorial biological phenomenon. Mitchell reasoned that there must be enzymes that, like transporters, convert a substrate on one side of a membrane to a product on the other side. Other researchers had already discovered that the activity of the respiratory chain generated a pH gradient. Mitchell's genius was to explain how the pH gradient could drive ATP synthesis. In his seminal paper of 1961, Mitchell proposed that the respiratory chain, associated with the cristae in the mitochondrion, generates a protonmotive force due to electrical and pH differences across the membrane. This force drives an ATPase, working in reverse, to catalyze the condensation of phosphate with ADP to produce ATP.

Mitchell's hypothesis, elegant as it was, met strong resistance from other biochemists for several reasons. First, chemiosmosis was a theoretical notion without direct experimental evidence. Second, the study of oxidative phosphorylation was dominated by a few powerful laboratories that were not inclined to welcome new theories. In particular, metabolic studies since the mid-1940s had been focused on the activities of soluble enzymes. Mitchell's theory was not a product of this classic biochemical approach but instead came through an understanding of membrane physiology. Furthermore, the prevailing theories about the connection between electron transport and ATP synthesis centered on a phosphorylated compound as a high-energy intermediate. Fritz Lipmann (Box 14-2) had proposed that a high-energy phosphate group might become attached to some component of the respiratory chain. This hypothesis was later amended to invoke a soluble phosphorylated intermediate. The search for the elusive compound lasted some 20 years, and the investment of time and money may have made some researchers hesitant to abandon the theory in favor of Mitchell's seemingly outrageous proposal. In the meantime, a third theory was proposed, in which electron transport was coupled to ATP synthesis through protein conformational changes, with the observed pH gradient presumed to be simply a by-product of the process.

Mitchell's chemiosmotic hypothesis did not garner significant support until about 10 years after its publication. During this period of sometimes acrimonious debate, Mitchell became ill, moved to Cornwall, and renovated a manor house, part of which became a private laboratory, known as Glynn Research, that was funded by Mitchell's family fortune. Here, he and his lifelong collaborator, Jennifer Moyle, produced experimental evidence to support the chemiosmotic theory. Ultimately, Mitchell was proven correct by other researchers who demonstrated the proton-pumping activity of purified mitochondrial components reconstituted in liposomes. Mitchell was awarded a Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1978.

Mitchell succeeded in changing the prevailing views of a central feature of aerobic metabolism, although it was a long battle. He later expressed sadness that his work was taken for granted, as if it had been "self-evident from the beginning." Oddly, Mitchell stubbornly resisted altering any of his own ideas. For example, he never wavered in his belief that protons participate directly in ADP phosphorylation in the active site of ATP synthase. And for many years, Mitchell refused to acknowledge proton pumping (as we now know occurs in Complexes I and IV). Instead, he insisted that the source of the proton gradient was a "redox loop" in which two electrons are transferred from the positive to the negative side of the membrane and combine with two protons to reduce a quinone to a quinol. The quinol then diffuses back across the bilayer to be reoxidized at the positive side, where the protons are released. The redox loop mechanism, which requires two "active sites," does occur during the Q cycle in mitochondrial Complex III and in certain bacterial systems, but it cannot account for the entire protonmotive force that is the heart of the chemiosmotic mechanism.

Mitchell, P., Coupling of phosphorylation to electron and hydrogen transfer by a chemiosmotic type of mechanism, *Nature* **191,** 144–148 (1961).

Prebble, J., Peter Mitchell and the ox phos wars, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **27,** 209–212 (2002).

4. Compounds that increase the permeability of the inner mitochondrial membrane to protons, and thereby dissipate the electrochemical gradient, allow electron transport (from NADH and succinate oxidation) to continue but inhibit ATP synthesis; that is, they "uncouple" electron transport from oxidative phosphorylation. Conversely, increasing the acidity outside the inner mitochondrial membrane stimulates ATP synthesis.

An entirely analogous process occurs in bacteria, whose electron-transporting machinery is located in their plasma membranes (Box 18-3).

Electron Transport Generates a Proton Gradient. *Electron transport, as we have seen, causes Complexes I, III, and IV to transport protons across the inner mitochondrial membrane from the matrix, a region of low [H^+], to the intermembrane space (which is in contact with the cytosol), a region of high [H^+]*

Box 18-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry Bacterial Electron Transport and Oxidative Phosphorylation

It comes as no surprise that aerobic bacteria (such as those pictured below), whose ancestors gave rise to mitochondria, use similar machinery to oxidize reduced coenzymes and conserve their energy in ATP synthesis. In bacteria, the components of the respiratory electron-transport chain are located in the plasma membrane, and protons are pumped from the cytosol to the outside of the plasma membrane. Protons flow back into the cell via an ATP synthase, whose catalytic component is oriented toward the cytosol. This is exactly the arrangement expected if bacteria and mitochondria are evolutionarily related.

The oxidation of $CoQH_2$ is universal in aerobic organisms. In mitochondria, CoQ collects electrons donated by NADH (via Complex I), succinate (via Complex II), and fatty acids. In aerobic bacteria, CoQ is the collection point for electrons extracted by dehydrogenases specific for a wide variety of substrates. In bacteria, as in mitochondria, electrons flow from CoQ through cytochrome-based oxidoreductases before reaching O_2 (below, right). In some species, two protein complexes (analogous to mitochondrial Complexes III and IV) carry out this process. In other species, including *E. coli,* a single type of enzyme, **quinol oxidase,** uses the electrons donated by CoQ to reduce O_2.

The advantage of a multicomplex electron-transport pathway is that it affords more opportunities for proton translocation across the bacterial membrane, so the ATP yield per electron is greater. However, the shorter electron-transport pathways may confer a selective advantage in the presence of toxins that inactivate the bacterial counterpart of mitochondrial Complex III. Multiple routes for electron transport probably also allow bacteria to adjust oxidative phosphorylation to the availability of different energy sources and to balance ATP synthesis against the regeneration of various reduced coenzymes. For example, in facultative anaerobic bacteria (which can grow in either the absence or presence of O_2), when energy needs are met through anaerobic fermentation, electron transport can be adjusted to regenerate NAD^+ without synthesizing ATP by oxidative phosphorylation.

A variety of cytochrome-containing protein complexes occur in bacterial plasma membranes. Some of these proteins represent more streamlined versions of the mitochondrial complexes since they lack the additional subunits encoded by the nuclear genome of eukaryotes. However, this is not a universal feature of respiratory complexes, and many bacterial proteins (e.g., **cytochrome *d***) have no counterparts encoded by either the mitochondrial or nuclear genomes.

Dr. Tony Brain/Photo Researchers

Staphylococcus aureus.

(Fig. 18-8). The free energy sequestered by the resulting electrochemical gradient (also called the **protonmotive force; pmf**) powers ATP synthesis.

The free energy change of transporting a proton from one side of the membrane to the other has a chemical as well as an electrical component, since H^+ is an ion (Section 10-1). ΔG is therefore expressed by Eq. 10-3, which in terms of pH is

$$\Delta G = 2.3\,RT\,[\mathrm{pH}\,(\textit{side 1}) - \mathrm{pH}\,(\textit{side 2})] + Z\mathcal{F}\Delta\Psi \qquad [18\text{-}1]$$

where Z is the charge on the proton (including sign), $\mathcal{F}$ is the Faraday constant, and $\Delta\Psi$ is the membrane potential. The sign convention for $\Delta\Psi$ is that when a proton is transported from a negative region to a positive region, $\Delta\Psi$ is positive. Since the pH outside the mitochondrion (*side 2*) is less than the pH of the matrix (*side 1*), *the export of protons from the mitochondrial matrix* (*against the proton gradient*) *is an endergonic process.*

SAMPLE CALCULATION 18-1

Calculate the free energy change for transporting a proton out of the mitochondrial matrix when the matrix pH is 8 and the cytosolic pH is 7. Assume that $\Delta\Psi = 0.168$ V (inside negative) and $T = 37°C$.

Use Equation 18-1:

$$\Delta G = 2.3\,RT\,[\text{pH}\,(\textit{side 1}) - \text{pH}\,(\textit{side 2})] + Z\mathcal{F}\Delta\Psi$$

$$\Delta G = 2.3(8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K})(8 - 7) + (1)(96{,}485\ \text{J}\cdot\text{V}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(0.168\ \text{V})$$

$$= 5900\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} + 16{,}200\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$= 22{,}100\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = 22.1\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

WPLS See Sample Calculation Videos

The measured membrane potential across the inner membrane of a liver mitochondrion, for example, is 0.168 V (inside negative). The pH of its matrix is 0.75 units higher than that of its intermembrane space. ΔG for proton transport out of this mitochondrial matrix is therefore 21.5 kJ · mol^{-1} (see Sample Calculation 18-1). Because formation of the proton gradient is an endergonic process, discharge of the gradient is exergonic. This free energy is harnessed by ATP synthase to drive the phosphorylation of ADP.

An ATP molecule's estimated physiological free energy of synthesis, around +40 to +50 kJ · mol^{-1}, is too large for ATP synthesis to be driven by the passage of a single proton back into the mitochondrial matrix; at least two protons are required. In fact, most experimental measurements (which are difficult to quantitate precisely) indicate that around three protons are required per ATP synthesized.

B ATP Synthase Is Driven by the Flow of Protons

ATP synthase, also known as **proton-pumping ATP synthase** and **F_1F_0-ATPase**, is a multisubunit transmembrane protein with a total molecular mass of 450 kD. Efraim Racker discovered that mitochondrial ATP synthase is composed of two functional units, **F_0** and **F_1.** F_0 is a water-insoluble transmembrane protein containing as many as eight different types of subunits. F_1 is a water-soluble peripheral membrane protein, composed of five types of subunits, that is easily and reversibly dissociated from F_0 by treatment with urea. Solubilized F_1 hydrolyzes ATP but cannot synthesize it (hence the name ATPase).

Electron micrographs of the inner mitochondrial membrane reveal that its matrix surface is studded with molecules of ATP synthase whose F_1 component is connected to the membrane-embedded F_0 component by a protein stalk, thereby giving F_1 a lollipop-like appearance (Fig. 18-21). Similar entities have been observed lining the inner surface of the bacterial plasma membrane and in chloroplasts (Section 19-2D).

The F_1 Component Has Pseudo-Threefold Symmetry. The F_1 component of mitochondrial ATP synthase has the subunit composition $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma\delta\varepsilon$. The X-ray structure of the 3440-residue (371-kD) F_1 subunit from bovine heart mitochondria, determined by John Walker and Andrew Leslie, reveals that it consists of a 100-Å-high and 100-Å-wide spheroid that is mounted on a 50-Å-long stem

From Parsons, D.F., *Science* **140**, 985(1963). Copyright ©1963 American Association for the Advancement of Science. Used by permission

FIG. 18-21 Electron micrograph of mitochondrial cristae. The "lollipops" projecting into the matrix are the F_1 components of ATP synthase.

FIG. 18-22 X-Ray structure of F_1-ATP synthase from bovine heart mitochondria. (*a*) The protein is drawn as a semitransparent ribbon viewed parallel to the membrane with the matrix above. The α, β, γ, δ, and ε subunits are pink, yellow, blue, orange, and green, respectively. Bound nucleotides are shown in space-filling form with ATP C green, ADP C cyan, N blue, O red, and P orange. (*b*) The structure in Part *a* rotated 90° about the horizontal axis such that the protein is viewed along its pseudo-three-fold axis from the matrix. The δ and ε subunits have been deleted for clarity. [Based on an X-ray structure by Andrew Leslie and John Walker, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 1E79.]

(**Fig. 18-22*a***). The α and β subunits, which are 20% identical in sequence and have nearly identical folds, are arranged alternately, like the segments of an orange, around the upper portion of a 114-Å-long α helix formed by the γ subunit (**Fig. 18-22*b***). The lower portion of the helix forms an antiparallel coiled coil with the N-terminal segment of the γ subunit. This coiled coil, along with the δ and ε subunits that are wrapped around it, links F_1 to F_0.

The cyclic arrangement and structural similarities of F_1's α and β subunits give it both pseudo-threefold and pseudo-sixfold rotational symmetry (Fig. 18-22*b*). Nevertheless, the protein is asymmetric due to the presence of the γ subunit but, more importantly, because each pair of α and β subunits adopts a different conformation, each with a different substrate affinity. Although the α subunits can bind ADP or ATP, only the β subunits catalyze ATP synthesis. Thus one β subunit (designated β_{DP}) binds a molecule of the substrate ADP, the second (β_{TP}) binds the product ATP, and the third (β_E) has an empty and distorted binding site. These conformational states are labeled in Figure 18-22*b*, although β_{TP} contains a bound ADP rather than ATP in this model.

The F_0 Component Includes a Transmembrane Ring. The F_0 component of bacterial and mitochondrial F_1F_0-ATPases consists of multiple subunits. In *E. coli,* three transmembrane subunits—*a, b,* and *c*—form an $a_1b_2c_{12}$ complex. Mitochondrial F_0 contains additional subunits whose functions are unclear. The *c* subunits, each of which contains two α helices, associate to form a ring that is embedded in the membrane. Studies of F_0 from different species indicate that the

Courtesy Peter Dimroth, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland

FIG. 18-23 A composite model of the *E. coli* F_1F_0-ATPase. The model is based on the X-ray structure of the *E. coli* F_1 subunit (PDBid 1JNV), which resembles that of bovine F_1 (Fig. 18-22), and X-ray and NMR structures of δ and the transmembrane segment of the *b* protein from *E. coli* (PDBids 2A7U and 1B9U). The structures of *a* and the so-called hinge region of *b* are unknown.

number of *c* subunits varies from 8 to 15. The cryo-EM structure of the *T. thermophilus* ATPase at 10 Å resolution suggests that its highly hydrophobic *a* subunit consists largely of a bundle of eight transmembrane helices. The mitochondrial homolog of the *a* subunit, together with an associated minor subunit, are encoded by mitochondrial genes.

In *E. coli,* the two *b* subunits plus the δ protein (which, due to confusing nomenclature, is not a counterpart of the eukaryotic δ protein) form a peripheral stalk that links the *a* subunit of F_0 to the top of F_1. In vertebrates, this stalk consists of at least four proteins. **Figure 18-23** shows a composite model of the *E. coli* ATP synthase, based on X-ray and NMR structures of its various components, which of course resemble their mitochondrial counterparts. The *c*-ring is largely embedded in the membrane, with the ε subunit (the counterpart of the mitochondrial δ subunit) forming extensive contacts with the top of the *c*-ring and the γ subunit of F_1. The γ subunit passes through the center of the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly.

ATP Is Synthesized by the Binding Change Mechanism. The proton-translocating ATP synthase must be able to do three things:

1. Translocate protons, which is carried out by F_0.
2. Catalyze formation of the phosphoanhydride bond of ATP, which is carried out by F_1.
3. Couple the dissipation of the proton gradient with ATP synthesis, which requires interaction of F_1 and F_0.

Considerable evidence supports a mechanism for ATP formation proposed by Paul Boyer. According to this **binding change mechanism**, F_1 has three interacting catalytic protomers (αβ units), each in a different conformational state: one that binds substrates and products loosely (L state), one that binds them tightly (T state), and one that does not bind them at all (open or O state). *The free energy released on proton translocation is harnessed to interconvert these three states.* The phosphoanhydride bond of ATP is synthesized only in the T state, and

FIG. 18-24 The binding change mechanism for ATP synthase. F_1 has three chemically identical but conformationally distinct interacting αβ protomers: O, the open conformation, has very low affinity for ligands and is catalytically inactive; L binds ligands loosely and is catalytically inactive; T binds ligands tightly and is catalytically active. ATP synthesis occurs in three steps: (**1**) ADP and P_i bind to site L. (**2**) An energy-dependent conformational change converts binding site L to T, T to O, and O to L. (**3**) ATP is synthesized at site T and ATP is released from site O. The enzyme returns to its initial state after two more passes of the reaction sequence. The free energy that drives the conformational change is transmitted to the catalytic $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly via the rotation of the γδ assembly (γε in *E. coli*), here represented by the centrally located asymmetric object (*green*). [After Cross, R.L., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **50**, 687 (1980).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? How far does the γ subunit rotate during the reaction shown here?

ATP is released only in the O state. The reaction involves three steps (**Fig. 18-24**):

1. ADP and P_i bind to the loose (L) binding site (β_{DP} in Fig. 18-22*b*).
2. A free energy–driven conformational change converts the L site to a tight (T) binding site (β_{TP}) that catalyzes the formation of ATP. This step also involves conformational changes of the other two protomers that convert the ATP-containing T site to an open (O) site (β_E) and convert the O site to an L site.
3. ATP is synthesized at the T site on one subunit while ATP dissociates from the O site on another subunit. The reaction forming ATP is essentially at equilibrium under the conditions at the enzyme's active site. The free energy supplied by the proton flow primarily facilitates the release of the newly synthesized ATP from the enzyme; that is, it drives the T → O transition, thereby disrupting the enzyme–ATP interactions that had previously promoted the spontaneous formation of ATP from ADP + P_i in the T site.

FIG. 18-25 The mitochondrial F_1 $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly viewed from the matrix. The protein surface is colored according to its electrical potential, with positive potentials blue, negative potentials red, and neutral potentials white. Note the absence of charge on the inner surface of the sleeve. The portion of the γ subunit's C-terminal helix that contacts the sleeve is similarly devoid of charge. [From Abrahams, J.P., Leslie, A.G.W., Lutter, R., and Walker, J.E., *Nature* **370,** 621 (1994). PDBid 1BMF.]

How is the free energy of proton transfer coupled to the synthesis of ATP? The cyclic nature of the binding change mechanism led Boyer to propose that *the binding changes are driven by the rotation of the catalytic assembly, $\alpha_3\beta_3$, with respect to other portions of the F_1F_0-ATPase.* This hypothesis is supported by the X-ray structure of F_1. Thus, the closely fitting nearly circular arrangement of the α and β subunits' inner surface about the γ subunit's helical C-terminus is reminiscent of a cylindrical bearing rotating in a sleeve. Indeed, the contacting hydrophobic surfaces in this assembly are devoid of the hydrogen-bonding and ionic interactions that would interfere with their free rotation (**Fig. 18-25**); that is, the bearing and sleeve appear to be "lubricated." Moreover, the central cavity in the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly (Figs. 18-22*b* and 18-23) would permit the passage of the γ subunit's N-terminal helix within the core of the particle during rotation. Finally, the conformational differences between F_1's three catalytic sites appear to be correlated with the position of the γ subunit. *Apparently the γ subunit, which rotates within the fixed $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly, acts as a molecular camshaft in linking the proton gradient–driven F_0 engine to the conformational changes in the catalytic sites of F_1.*

The F_1F_0-ATPase Is a Rotary Engine. The proposed rotation of the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly with respect to the γ subunit engendered by the binding change mechanism has led to the model of the F_1F_0-ATPase diagrammed in **Fig. 18-26**. A rotational engine must have both a rotor (which rotates) and a stator (which is stationary). In the F_1F_0-ATPase, the rotor is proposed to be an assembly of the *c*-ring with the γ and (*E. coli*) ε subunits, whereas the ab_2 unit and the (*E. coli*) δ subunit together

FIG. 18-26 Model of the *E. coli* F_1F_0-ATPase. The $\gamma\varepsilon$–c_{12} ring complex is the rotor and the ab_2–$\alpha_3\beta_3\delta$ complex is the stator. Rotational motion is imparted to the rotor by the passage of protons from the outside (periplasmic space, *bottom;* equivalent to the mitochondrial intermembrane space) to the inside (cytoplasm, *top*; equivalent to the mitochondrial matrix). Protons entering from the outside bind to a *c* subunit where it interacts with the *a* subunit, and exit to the inside after the *c*-ring has made a nearly full rotation as indicated (*black arrows*), so that the *c* subunit again contacts the *a* subunit. The $b_2\delta$ complex presumably functions to prevent the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly from rotating with the γ subunit. [After a drawing by Richard Cross, State University of New York, Syracuse, New York.]

? Which parts of the complex rotate and which remain stationary?

with the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ spheroid form the stator. The rotation of the *c*-ring in the membrane relative to the stationary *a* subunit is driven by the migration of protons from the outside to the inside as we discuss below (here "outside" refers to the mitochondrial intermembrane space or the bacterial exterior, whereas "inside" refers to the mitochondrial matrix or the bacterial cytoplasm). The $b_2\delta$ assembly presumably functions to hold the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ spheroid in position while the γ subunit rotates inside it.

In the model for proton-driven rotation of the F_0 subunit that is diagrammed in Fig. 18-26, protons from the outside enter a hydrophilic channel between the *a* subunit and the *c*-ring, where they bind to a *c* subunit. The *c*-ring then rotates nearly a full turn (while protons bind to successive *c* subunits as they pass this input channel) until the subunit reaches a second hydrophilic channel between the *a* subunit and the *c*-ring that opens into the inside, where the proton is released (in an alternative model, the protons are released through putative channels between the C-terminal helices of adjacent *c* subunits, channels that are occluded when the *a* and *c* subunits are in contact). Thus, the F_1F_0-ATPase, which generates 3 ATP per turn and (at least in *E. coli*) has 12 *c* subunits in its F_0 assembly, ideally forms 3/12 = 0.25 ATP for every proton it passes from outside to inside.

How does the passage of protons through this system induce the rotation of the *c*-ring and hence the synthesis of ATP? Each *c* subunit consists of two α helices of different lengths that are connected by a four-residue polar loop and arranged in an antiparallel coiled coil. Protons most likely bind to Asp 61 of each *c* subunit, an invariant residue whose protonation and deprotonation alter the subunit's conformation (**Fig. 18-27**). Evidently, when a *c* subunit binds a proton as it passes the input channel, its conformation changes, which causes it to mechanically push against the *a* subunit so as to induce the *c*-ring to rotate in the direction indicated in Fig. 18-26. This process is augmented by the interaction between Asp 61 on the *c* subunit and the invariant Arg 210 on the *a* subunit.

Courtesy Mark Girvin, Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

FIG. 18-27 NMR structure of the *c* subunit of *E. coli* F_1F_0-ATPase. (*a*) At pH 8, Asp 61 (D61) is deprotonated. (*b*) At pH 5, D61 is protonated. Selected side chains are shown to aid in the comparison of the two structures. Note that the C-terminal helix in the pH 8 structure is rotated 140° clockwise, as viewed from the top of the drawing, relative to that in the pH 5 structure. PDBid 1COV.

Courtesy Masamitsu Futai, Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University, Japan

FIG. 18-28 The rotation of the *c*-ring in *E. coli* F_1F_0-ATPase. (*a*) The experimental system used to observe the rotation. See the text for details. The blue arrow indicates the observed direction of rotation of the fluorescently labeled actin filament that was linked to the *c*-ring. (*b*) The rotation of a 3.6-μm-long actin filament in the presence of 5 mM ATP as seen in successive video images taken through a fluorescence microscope.

These two residues have been shown to become juxtaposed at some point during the *c*-ring's rotation cycle. It has therefore been proposed that the electrostatic attraction between the cationic Arg 210 and the anionic Asp 61 helps rotate the *c*-ring so as to bring the two residues into opposition but, as this occurs, Asp 61 becomes protonated, thereby forcing the *c*-ring to continue its rotation.

The rotation of the $\gamma\varepsilon$–*c*-ring rotor with respect to the ab_2–$\alpha_3\beta_3\delta$ stator has been ingeniously demonstrated by Masamitsu Futai (Fig. 18-28*a*). The $\alpha_3\beta_3$ spheroid of *E. coli* F_1F_0-ATPase was fixed, head down, to a glass surface as follows. Six consecutive His residues (a so-called **His tag**) were mutagenically appended to the N-terminus of the α subunit, which is located at the top of the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ spheroid as it is drawn in Fig. 18-22*a*. The His-tagged assembly was applied to a glass surface coated with horseradish peroxidase (which, like most proteins, sticks to glass) conjugated with **Ni^{2+}-nitriloacetic acid** [Ni^{2+}-$N(CH_2COOH)_3$, which tightly binds His tags], thereby binding the F_1F_0-ATPase to the surface with its F_0 side facing away from the surface. The Glu 2 residues of this assembly's *c* subunits, which are located on the side of the *c*-ring facing away from F_1, had been mutagenically replaced by Cys residues, which were then covalently linked to biotin (Section 16-4A). A fluorescently labeled and biotinylated (at one end) filament of the muscle protein **actin** (Section 7-2C) was then attached to the *c* subunit through the addition of a bridging molecule of **streptavidin,** a bacterial protein that avidly binds biotin to each of four binding sites.

The *E. coli* F_1F_0-ATPase can work in reverse, that is, it can pump protons from the inside to the outside at the expense of ATP hydrolysis (this enables the bacterium to maintain its proton gradient under anaerobic conditions, which it uses to drive various processes). Thus, the foregoing preparation was observed under a fluorescence microscope as a 5 mM ATP solution was infused over it. *Many of the actin filaments were seen to rotate* (Fig. 18-28*b*), *and always in a counterclockwise direction when viewed looking down on the glass surface* (*from the outside*). This would permit the γ subunit to sequentially interact with the β subunits in the direction

$$\beta_E\,(\text{O state}) \rightarrow \beta_{DP}\,(\text{L state}) \rightarrow \beta_{TP}\,(\text{T state})$$

(Figs. 18-22*a* and 18-24), the direction expected for ATP hydrolysis. Similar experiments revealed that the γ subunit rotates mostly in increments of 120°, with a slight pause after 80°. Presumably, electrostatic interactions between the γ and β subunits of F_1 act as a catch that temporarily holds the γ subunit in place. As the *c*-ring rotates, strain builds up and causes the γ subunit to snap to the next β subunit. In addition, when a magnetic bead was instead attached to the γ subunit of immobilized F_1-ATPase and an external magnetic field was rotated in the clockwise direction so as to force the γ subunit to follow, ATP was synthesized from ADP + P_i.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Energy Transformation

Energy can neither be created nor destroyed, but it can be transformed. In oxidative phosphorylation, the free energy of reduced cofactors, representing the free energy derived from the breakdown of metabolic fuels, is used to generate a proton gradient. The free energy of the gradient is then transformed to the mechanical energy of ATP synthase, which sequesters chemical energy in the form of ATP.

C The P/O Ratio Relates the Amount of ATP Synthesized to the Amount of Oxygen Reduced

ATP synthesis is tightly coupled to the proton gradient; that is, ATP synthesis requires the discharge of the proton gradient, and the proton gradient cannot be discharged without the synthesis of ATP. The proton gradient is established through the activity of the electron-transporting complexes of the inner mitochondrial membrane. Therefore, it is possible to express the amount of ATP synthesized in terms of substrate molecules oxidized. Experiments with isolated mitochondria show that the oxidation of NADH is associated

with the synthesis of approximately 3 ATP, and the oxidation of $FADH_2$ with approximately 2 ATP. Oxidation of the nonphysiological compound **tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine,**

Tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine (TMPD), reduced form **TMPD, oxidized form**

which donates an electron pair directly to Complex IV, yields approximately 1 ATP. These stoichiometric relationships are called **P/O ratios** because they relate the amount of ATP synthesized (P) to the amount of oxygen reduced (O).

Experimentally determined P/O ratios are compatible with the chemiosmotic theory and the known structure of ATP synthase. The flow of two electrons through Complexes I, III, and IV results in the translocation of 10 protons into the intermembrane space (Fig. 18-8). Influx of these 10 protons through the F_1F_0-ATPase, which contains 8 *c* subunits in eukaryotes, results in approximately one complete rotation of the *c*-ring–γ subunit rotor relative to the $\alpha_3\beta_3$ spheroid, enough to drive the synthesis of ~3 ATP. Electrons that enter the electron-transport chain as $FADH_2$ at Complex II bypass Complex I and therefore lead to the transmembrane movement of only 6 protons, enough to synthesize ~2 ATP (corresponding to approximately two-thirds of a full rotation of the ATP synthase rotary engine). The transit of two electrons through Complex IV alone contributes 2 protons to the gradient, enough for ~1 ATP (around one-third of a rotation).

In actively respiring mitochondria, *P/O ratios are almost certainly not integral numbers.* This is also consistent with the chemiosmotic theory. Oxidation of a physiological substrate contributes to the transmembrane proton gradient at several points, but the gradient is tapped mainly at a single point, the F_1F_0-ATPase. Therefore, the number of protons translocated out of the mitochondrion by any component of the electron-transport chain need not be an integral multiple of the number of protons required to synthesize ATP from ADP + P_i. Moreover, the proton gradient is dissipated to some extent by the nonspecific leakage of protons back into the matrix and by the consumption of protons for other purposes, such as the transport of P_i into the matrix (Section 18-1B). Taking P_i transport into account gives a stoichiometry of four protons consumed per ATP synthesized from ADP + P_i. Thus, as Peter Hinkle has experimentally demonstrated, the P/O ratios above are actually closer to 2.5, 1.5, and 1. Consequently, the number of ATPs that are synthesized per molecule of glucose oxidized is 2.5 ATP/NADH × 10 NADH/glucose + 1.5 ATP/$FADH_2$ × 2 $FADH_2$/glucose + 2 ATP/glucose from the citric acid cycle + 2 ATP/glucose from glycolysis = 32 ATP/glucose (see Section 17-4).

D Oxidative Phosphorylation Can Be Uncoupled from Electron Transport

Electron transport (the oxidation of NADH and $FADH_2$ by O_2) and oxidative phosphorylation (the proton gradient–driven synthesis of ATP) are normally tightly coupled. *This coupling depends on the impermeability of the inner mitochondrial membrane, which allows an electrochemical gradient to be established across the membrane by H^+ translocation during electron transport.* Virtually the only way for H^+ to re-enter the matrix is through the F_0 portion of ATP synthase. In the resting state, when oxidative phosphorylation is minimal, the electrochemical gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane builds up to the extent that it prevents further proton pumping and therefore inhibits electron

FIG. 18-29 Action of 2,4-dinitrophenol. A proton-transporting ionophore such as DNP uncouples oxidative phosphorylation from electron transport by discharging the electrochemical proton gradient generated by electron transport.

? Why must the p*K* of DNP be close to neutral?

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the chemiosmotic theory.
- Explain why an intact, impermeable mitochondrial membrane is essential for ATP synthesis.
- Describe the overall structure of the F_1 and F_0 components of ATP synthase. Which parts move? Which are stationary? Which are mostly stationary but undergo conformational changes?
- Summarize the steps of the binding change mechanism.
- Describe how protons move from the intermembrane space into the matrix. How is proton translocation linked to ATP synthesis?
- Explain why the P/O ratio for a given substrate is not necessarily an integer.
- Explain how oxidative phosphorylation is linked to electron transport and how the two processes can be uncoupled.
- Summarize the energy transformations that occur in converting the free energy of glucose to the free energy of ATP.

transport. When ATP synthesis increases, the electrochemical gradient dissipates, allowing electron transport to resume.

Over the years, compounds such as **2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP)** have been found to "uncouple" electron transport and ATP synthesis. DNP is a lipophilic weak acid that readily passes through membranes in its neutral, protonated state. In a pH gradient, it binds protons on the acidic side of the membrane, diffuses through the membrane, and releases the protons on the membrane's alkaline side, thereby acting as a proton-transporting ionophore (Section 10-2A) and dissipating the gradient (Fig. 18-29). The chemiosmotic theory provides a rationale for understanding the action of such **uncouplers**. *The presence in the inner mitochondrial membrane of an agent that increases its permeability to H^+ uncouples oxidative phosphorylation from electron transport by providing a route for the dissipation of the proton electrochemical gradient that does not require ATP synthesis.* Uncoupling therefore allows electron transport to proceed unchecked even when ATP synthesis is inhibited. Consequently, in the 1920s, DNP was used as a "diet pill," a practice that was effective in inducing weight loss but often caused fatal side effects. Under physiological conditions, *the dissipation of an electrochemical H^+ gradient, which is generated by electron transport and uncoupled from ATP synthesis, produces heat* (see Box 18-4).

4 Control of Oxidative Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- The rate of oxidative phosphorylation is coordinated with the cell's other oxidative pathways.
- Although aerobic metabolism is efficient, it leads to the production of reactive oxygen species.

An adult woman requires some 1500 to 1800 kcal (6300–7500 kJ) of metabolic energy per day. This corresponds to the free energy of hydrolysis of over 200 mol of ATP to ADP and P_i. Yet the total amount of ATP present in the body at any one time is <0.1 mol; obviously, this sparse supply of ATP must be continually recycled. As we have seen, when carbohydrates serve as the energy supply and aerobic conditions prevail, this recycling involves glycogenolysis, glycolysis, the citric acid cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation.

Box 18-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry Uncoupling in Brown Adipose Tissue Generates Heat

Heat generation is the physiological function of **brown adipose tissue (brown fat).** This tissue is unlike typical (white) adipose tissue in that it contains numerous mitochondria whose cytochromes cause its brown color. Newborn mammals that lack fur, such as humans, as well as hibernating mammals, all contain brown fat in their neck and upper back that generates heat by **nonshivering thermogenesis.** Other sources of heat are the ATP hydrolysis that occurs during muscle contraction (in shivering or any other movement) and the operation of ATP-hydrolyzing substrate cycles (see Section 15-4B).

The mechanism of heat generation in brown fat involves the regulated uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation. Brown fat mitochondria contain a proton channel known as **uncoupling protein** (**UCP1,** also called **thermogenin;** see below). In cold-adapted animals, UCP1 constitutes up to 15% of the protein in the inner mitochondrial membranes of brown fat. The flow of protons through UCP1 is inhibited by physiological concentrations of purine nucleotides (ADP, ATP, GDP, GTP), but this inhibition can be overcome by free fatty acids.

Thermogenesis in brown fat mitochondria is under hormonal control (see diagram). Norepinephrine (**1;** noradrenaline) induces the production of the second messenger cAMP (**2**) and thereby activates protein kinase A (**3;** Section 13-3C). The kinase then activates **hormone-sensitive triacylglycerol lipase** (**4**) by phosphorylating it. The activated lipase hydrolyzes triacylglycerols (**5**) to yield free fatty acids that counteract the inhibitory effect of the purine nucleotides on UCP1 (**6**). The resulting flow of protons through UCP1 dissipates the proton gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane. This allows substrate oxidation to proceed (and generate heat) without the synthesis of ATP.

Adult humans have relatively little brown fat, but the mitochondria of ordinary adipose tissue and muscle appear to contain uncoupling proteins known as **UCP2** and **UCP3.** These proteins may help regulate metabolic rates, and variations in UCP levels or activity might explain why some people seem to have a "fast" or "slow" metabolism. UCPs are being studied as targets for treating obesity, since increasing the activity of UCPs could uncouple respiration from ATP synthesis, thus permitting stored metabolic fuels (especially fat) to be metabolized.

Of course, the need for ATP is not constant. There is a 100-fold change in the rate of ATP consumption between sleep and vigorous activity. *The activities of the pathways that produce ATP are under strict coordinated control so that ATP is never produced more rapidly than necessary.* We have already discussed the control mechanisms of glycolysis, glycogenolysis, and the citric acid cycle (Sections 15-4, 16-3, and 17-4). In this section, we discuss the mechanisms that control the rate of oxidative phosphorylation.

A The Rate of Oxidative Phosphorylation Depends on the ATP and NADH Concentrations

In our discussions of metabolic pathways, we have seen that most of their reactions function close to equilibrium. The few irreversible reactions constitute potential control points of the pathways and usually are catalyzed by regulatory enzymes that are under allosteric control. In the case of oxidative phosphorylation, the pathway from NADH to cytochrome *c* functions near equilibrium:

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\,\text{NADH} + \text{cytochrome } c\,(\text{Fe}^{3+}) + \text{ADP} + \text{P}_i \rightleftharpoons \tfrac{1}{2}\,\text{NAD}^+ + \text{cytochrome } c\,(\text{Fe}^{2+}) + \text{ATP} \qquad \Delta G \approx 0$$

and hence

$$K_{\text{eq}} = \left(\frac{[\text{NAD}^+]}{[\text{NADH}]}\right)^{1/2} \frac{[c^{2+}]}{[c^{3+}]} \frac{[\text{ATP}]}{[\text{ADP}]\,[\text{P}_i]}$$

This pathway is therefore readily reversed by the addition of its product, ATP. However, *the cytochrome c oxidase reaction* (*the terminal step of the electron-transport chain*) *is irreversible and is therefore a potential control site.* Cytochrome *c* oxidase, in contrast to most regulatory enzyme systems, appears to be controlled primarily by the availability of one of its substrates, reduced cytochrome *c* (c^{2+}). Since c^{2+} is in equilibrium with the rest of the coupled oxidative phosphorylation system, the concentration of c^{2+} ultimately depends on the intramitochondrial ratios of [NADH]/[NAD$^+$] and [ATP]/[ADP][P$_i$] (the latter quantity is known as the **ATP mass action ratio**). We can see, by rearranging the foregoing equilibrium expression,

$$\frac{[c^{2+}]}{[c^{3+}]} = \left(\frac{[\text{NADH}]}{[\text{NAD}^+]}\right)^{1/2} \frac{[\text{ADP}]\,[\text{P}_i]}{[\text{ATP}]} K_{\text{eq}}$$

that the higher the [NADH]/[NAD$^+$] ratio and the lower the ATP mass action ratio, the higher the concentration of reduced cytochrome *c* and thus the higher the cytochrome *c* oxidase activity.

How is this system affected by changes in physical activity? In an individual at rest, the rate of ATP hydrolysis to ADP and P$_i$ is minimal and the ATP mass action ratio is high; the concentration of reduced cytochrome *c* is therefore low and the rate of oxidative phosphorylation is minimal. Increased activity results in hydrolysis of ATP to ADP and P$_i$, thereby decreasing the ATP mass action ratio and increasing the concentration of reduced cytochrome *c*. This results in an increase in the rate of electron transport and ADP phosphorylation.

The concentrations of ATP, ADP, and P$_i$ in the mitochondrial matrix depend on the activities of the transport proteins that import these substances from the cytosol. Thus, the ADP–ATP translocator and the P$_i$ transporter may play a part in regulating oxidative phosphorylation. There is also some evidence that Ca^{2+} stimulates the electron-transport complexes and possibly ATP synthase itself. This is consistent with the many other instances in which Ca^{2+} directly stimulates oxidative metabolic processes.

Mitochondria contain an 84-residue protein, called **IF$_1$,** that functions to regulate ATP synthase. In actively respiring mitochondria, in which the matrix

pH is relatively high, IF_1 exists as an inactive tetramer. However, below pH 6.5, the protein dissociates into dimers and in this form inhibits the ATPase activity of the F_1 component by binding to the interface between its α_{DP} and β_{DP} subunits so as to trap the ATP bound to the β_{DP} subunit. This appears to be a mechanism to prevent ATP hydrolysis when respiratory activity (and therefore the proton gradient) is temporarily interrupted by lack of O_2. Otherwise, the F_1F_0-ATPase would reverse its direction of rotation as driven by the hydrolysis of ATP (then generated by glycolysis), thus depriving the cell of its remaining energy resources.

Pathways of Oxidative Metabolism Are Coordinately Controlled. The primary sources of the electrons that enter the mitochondrial electron-transport chain are glycolysis, fatty acid degradation, and the citric acid cycle. For example, 10 molecules of NAD^+ are converted to NADH per molecule of glucose oxidized (Fig. 18-1). Not surprisingly, the control of glycolysis and the citric acid cycle is coordinated with the demand for oxidative phosphorylation. An adequate supply of electrons to feed the electron-transport chain is provided by regulation of the control points of glycolysis and the citric acid cycle (phosphofructokinase, pyruvate dehydrogenase, citrate synthase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase) by adenine nucleotides or NADH or both, as well as by certain metabolites (Fig. 18-30).

One particularly interesting regulatory effect is the inhibition of phosphofructokinase (PFK) by citrate. When demand for ATP decreases, [ATP] increases and [ADP] decreases. Because isocitrate dehydrogenase is activated by ADP and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase is inhibited by ATP, the citric acid cycle slows down. This causes the citrate concentration to build up. Citrate leaves the mitochondrion via a specific transport system and, *once in the cytosol, acts to restrain further carbohydrate breakdown by inhibiting PFK.* The citrate concentration also builds up when the acetyl-CoA concentration increases, which occurs, as we will see in Chapter 20, during the oxidation of fatty acids. The inhibition of glycolysis by fatty acid oxidation is called the **glucose–fatty acid cycle** or **Randle cycle** (after its discoverer, Phillip Randle) although it is not, in fact, a cycle. The Randle cycle allows fatty acids to be utilized as the major fuel for oxidative metabolism in heart muscle, while conserving glucose for organs such as the brain, which require it.

B Aerobic Metabolism Has Some Disadvantages

Not all organisms carry out oxidative phosphorylation. However, those that do are able to extract considerably more energy from a given amount of a metabolic fuel. This principle is illustrated by the Pasteur effect (Section 15-3C): When anaerobically growing yeast are exposed to oxygen, their glucose consumption drops precipitously. An analogous effect is observed in mammalian muscle; the concentration of lactic acid (the anaerobic product of muscle glycolysis; Section 15-3A) drops dramatically when cells switch to aerobic metabolism. These effects are easily understood by

FIG. 18-30 The coordinated control of glycolysis and the citric acid cycle. The diagram shows the effects of ATP, ADP, AMP, P_i, Ca^{2+}, and the [NADH]/[NAD^+] ratio (the vertical arrows indicate increases in this ratio). Here a green dot signifies activation and a red octagon represents inhibition. [After Newsholme, E. A. and Leech, A. R., *Biochemistry for the Medical Sciences,* pp. 316, 320, Wiley (1983).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

examining the stoichiometries of anaerobic and aerobic breakdown of glucose (Section 17-4):

Anaerobic glycolysis:

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2\ ADP + 2\ P_i \rightarrow 2\ lactate + 2\ H^+ + 2\ H_2O + 2\ ATP$$

Aerobic metabolism of glucose:

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 32\ ADP + 32\ P_i + 6\ O_2 \rightarrow 6\ CO_2 + 38\ H_2O + 32\ ATP$$

Thus, *aerobic metabolism is up to 16 times more efficient than anaerobic glycolysis in producing ATP.*

Aerobic metabolism has its drawbacks, however. Many organisms and tissues depend exclusively on aerobic metabolism and suffer irreversible damage during oxygen deprivation (Box 18-5). Oxidative metabolism is also accompanied by the production of low levels of reactive oxygen metabolites that, over time, may damage cellular components. Evidently, the organisms that have existed during the last 3 billion years (the period in which the earth's atmosphere has contained significant amounts of O_2) exhibit physiological and biochemical adaptations that permit them to take advantage of the oxidizing power of O_2 while minimizing the potential dangers of oxygen itself.

Partial Oxygen Reduction Produces Reactive Oxygen Species. Although the four-electron reduction of O_2 by cytochrome *c* oxidase is nearly always orchestrated with great rapidity and precision, *O_2 is occasionally only partially reduced, yielding oxygen species that readily react with a variety of cellular components.* Complexes I, II, and III also occasionally generate these **reactive oxygen species (ROS)**, presumably by leaking electrons. The best known ROS is the **superoxide radical**:

$$O_2 + e^- \rightarrow O_2^{-}\cdot$$

The superoxide radical is a precursor of other reactive species. Protonation of $O_2^{-}\cdot$ yields $HO_2\cdot$, a much stronger oxidant than $O_2^{-}\cdot$. The most potent oxygen species in biological systems is probably the hydroxyl radical, which forms from the relatively harmless hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2):

$$H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow \cdot OH + OH^- + Fe^{3+}$$

The hydroxyl radical also forms through the reaction of superoxide with H_2O_2:

$$O_2^{-}\cdot + H_2O_2 \rightarrow O_2 + OH^- + \cdot OH$$

Although most free radicals are extremely short-lived (the half-life of $O_2^{-}\cdot$ is 1×10^{-6} s, and that of $\cdot OH$ is 1×10^{-9} s), they readily extract electrons from other molecules, converting them to free radicals and thereby initiating a chain reaction.

The random nature of free-radical attacks makes it difficult to characterize their reaction products, but all classes of biological molecules are susceptible to oxidative damage caused by free radicals. The oxidation of polyunsaturated lipids in cells may disrupt the structures of biological membranes, and oxidative damage to DNA may result in point mutations. Enzyme function may also be compromised through radical reactions with amino acid side chains. Because the mitochondrion is the site of the bulk of the cell's oxidative metabolism, its lipids, DNA, and proteins probably bear the brunt of free radical–related damage.

Several degenerative diseases, including **Parkinson's, Alzheimer's**, and **Huntington's diseases**, are associated with oxidative damage to mitochondria. Such observations have led to the free-radical theory of aging, which holds that *free-radical reactions arising during the course of normal oxidative metabolism are at least partially responsible for the aging process.* In fact, individuals with congenital defects in their mitochondrial DNA suffer from a variety of symptoms typical of old age, including neuromotor difficulties, deafness, and dementia. Their genetic defects may make their mitochondria all the

Box 18-5 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Oxygen Deprivation in Heart Attack and Stroke

As outlined in Box 7-1, organisms larger than 1 mm thick require circulatory systems to deliver nutrients to cells and dispose of cellular wastes. In addition, the circulatory fluid in most larger organisms contains proteins specialized for oxygen transport (e.g., hemoglobin). The sophistication of oxygen-delivery systems and their elaborate regulation are consistent with their essential nature and their long period of evolution.

What happens during oxygen deprivation? Consider two common causes of human death, **myocardial infarction** (heart attack) and **stroke,** which result from interruption of the blood (O_2) supply to a portion of the heart or the brain, respectively. In the absence of O_2, a cell, which must then rely only on glycolysis for ATP production, rapidly depletes its stores of phosphocreatine (a source of rapid ATP production; Section 14-2C) and glycogen. As the rate of ATP production falls below the level required by membrane ion pumps for maintaining proper intracellular ion concentrations, osmotic balance is disrupted so that the cell and its membrane-enveloped organelles begin to swell. The resulting overstretched membranes become permeable, thereby leaking their enclosed contents. For this reason, a useful diagnostic criterion for myocardial infarction is the presence in the blood of heart-specific enzymes, such as the H-type isozyme of lactate dehydrogenase (Section 14-1C) and creatine kinase, which leak out of necrotic (dead) heart tissue. Moreover, the decreased intracellular pH that accompanies anaerobic glycolysis (because of lactic acid production) permits the released lysosomal enzymes (which are active only at acidic pH) to degrade the cell contents. Thus, O_2 deprivation leads not only to cessation of cellular activity but to irreversible cell damage and cell death. Rapidly respiring tissues, such as the heart and brain, are particularly susceptible to damage by oxygen deprivation.

CNRI/Photo Researchers

Necrotic tissue resulting from a heart attack.

more susceptible to the reactive oxygen species generated by the electron-transport machinery.

Cells Are Equipped with Antioxidant Mechanisms. **Antioxidants** destroy oxidative free radicals such as $O_2^{-}\cdot$ and $\cdot OH$. In 1969, Irwin Fridovich discovered that the enzyme **superoxide dismutase (SOD),** which is present in nearly all cells, catalyzes the conversion of $O_2^{-}\cdot$ to H_2O_2.

$$2O_2^{-}\cdot + 2\,H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$$

Mitochondrial and bacterial SOD are both Mn-containing tetramers; eukaryotic cytosolic SOD is a dimer containing copper and zinc ions. The rate of nonenzymatic superoxide breakdown is $\sim 2 \times 10^5\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$, whereas the rate of the Cu,Zn-SOD–catalyzed reaction is $\sim 2 \times 10^9\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$. This rate enhancement, which is close to the diffusion-controlled limit (Section 12-1B), is apparently accomplished by electrostatic guidance of the negatively charged superoxide substrate into the enzyme's active site (**Fig. 18-31**). The active-site Cu ion lies at the bottom of a deep pocket in each enzyme subunit. A hydrogen-bonded network of Glu 123, Glu 133, Lys 136, and Thr 137 at the entrance to the pocket facilitates the diffusion of $O_2^{-}\cdot$ to a site between the Cu ion and Arg 143.

SOD is considered a first-line defense against reactive oxygen species. The H_2O_2 produced in the reaction, which can potentially react to yield

Courtesy of Elizabeth Getzoff, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California

FIG. 18-31 Electrostatic effects in human Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase. In this cross section of the active site channel of Cu,Zn-SOD, the molecular surface is represented by a dot surface that is colored according to charge: red, most negative; yellow, negative; green, neutral; light blue, positive; dark blue, most positive. The electrostatic field vectors are represented by similarly colored arrows. The Cu and Zn ions at the active site are represented by orange and silver dotted spheres. The $O_2^{-}\cdot$ -binding site is located between the Cu ion and the side chain of Arg 143.

other reactive oxygen species, is degraded to water and oxygen by enzymes such as **catalase,** which catalyzes the reaction

$$2\ H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2\ H_2O + O_2$$

and glutathione peroxidase (Box 15-4), which uses glutathione (GSH) as the reducing agent:

$$2\ GSH + H_2O_2 \rightarrow GSSG + 2\ H_2O$$

The latter enzyme also catalyzes the breakdown of organic hydroperoxides. Some types of glutathione peroxidase require Se for activity; this is one reason why Se appears to have antioxidant activity.

Other potential antioxidants are plant-derived compounds such as ascorbate (vitamin C; Section 6-1C) and α-tocopherol (vitamin E; Section 9-1F). These compounds may help protect plants from oxidative damage during photosynthesis, a process in which H_2O is oxidized to O_2. Their efficacy as antioxidants in humans, however, is unproven.

CHECKPOINT

- How do the ATP mass action ratio and the IF_1 protein regulate ATP synthesis?
- What control mechanisms link glycolysis, the citric acid cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation?
- Describe the advantages and disadvantages of oxygen-based metabolism.
- How do cells minimize oxidative damage?

Summary

1 The Mitochondrion

- Electrons from the reduced coenzymes NADH and $FADH_2$ pass through a series of redox centers in the electron-transport chain before reducing O_2.
- During electron transfer, protons are translocated out of the mitochondrion to form an electrochemical gradient whose free energy drives ATP synthesis.
- The mitochondrion contains soluble and membrane-bound enzymes for oxidative metabolism.
- Reducing equivalents are imported from the cytosol via a shuttle system. Specific transporters mediate the transmembrane movements of ADP, ATP, and P_i.

2 Electron Transport

- Electrons flow from redox centers with more negative reduction potentials to those with more positive reduction potentials. Inhibitors have been used to reveal the sequence of electron carriers and the points of entry of electrons into the electron-transport chain.
- Electron transport is mediated by one-electron carriers (Fe–S clusters, cytochromes, and Cu ions) and two-electron carriers (CoQ, FMN, FAD).
- Complex I transfers two electrons from NADH to CoQ while translocating four protons to the intermembrane space.
- Complex II transfers electrons from succinate through FAD to CoQ.
- Complex III transfers two electrons from $CoQH_2$ to two molecules of cytochrome *c*. The concomitant operation of the Q cycle translocates four protons to the intermembrane space.
- Complex IV reduces O_2 to 2 H_2O using four electrons donated by four cytochrome *c* and four protons from the matrix. Two protons are translocated to the intermembrane space for every two electrons that reduce oxygen.

3 Oxidative Phosphorylation

- As explained by the chemiosmotic theory, protons translocated into the intermembrane space during electron transport through Complexes I, III, and IV establish an electrochemical gradient across the inner mitochondrial membrane.
- The influx of protons through the F_0 component of ATP synthase (F_1F_0-ATPase) drives its F_1 component to synthesize ATP from ADP + P_i via the binding change mechanism, a process that is mechanically driven by the F_0-mediated rotation of F_1's γ subunit with respect to its catalytic $\alpha_3\beta_3$ assembly.
- The P/O ratio, the number of ATPs synthesized per oxygen reduced, need not be an integral number.
- Agents that discharge the proton gradient can uncouple oxidative phosphorylation from electron transport.

4 Control of Oxidative Metabolism

- Oxidative phosphorylation is controlled by the ratio [NADH]/[NAD^+] and by the ATP mass action ratio. Glycolysis and the citric acid cycle are coordinately regulated according to the need for oxidative phosphorylation.
- Aerobic metabolism is more efficient than anaerobic metabolism. However, aerobic organisms must guard against damage caused by reactive oxygen species.

Key Terms

redox center **588**
cristae **590**
intermembrane space **590**
mitochondrial matrix **592**
malate–aspartate shuttle **591**
glycerophosphate shuttle **591**
ADP–ATP translocator **592**
electrogenic transport **593**
coenzyme Q (ubiquinone) **594**
iron–sulfur cluster **598**
free radical **598**
proton wire **600**
cytochrome **602**
Q cycle **604**
energy coupling **610**
chemiosmotic theory **610**
protonmotive force (pmf) **612**
F_1F_0-ATPase **613**
binding change mechanism **615**
P/O ratio **619**
uncoupler **620**
ATP mass action ratio **622**
glucose–fatty acid cycle **623**
reactive oxygen species (ROS) **624**
superoxide radical **624**
antioxidant **625**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Explain why a liver cell mitochondrion contains fewer cristae than a mitochondrion from a heart muscle cell.

2. Certain genetic diseases caused by defective mitochondrial proteins are inherited only maternally, in contrast to most genetic diseases, in which either parent can pass on the defect. Explain.

3. How many ATPs are synthesized for every cytoplasmic NADH that participates in the glycerophosphate shuttle in insect flight muscle?

4. How many ATPs are synthesized for every cytoplasmic NADH reducing equivalent that is transferred into the matrix via the malate–aspartate shuttle?

5. Explain why defects in mitochondrial genes often produce symptoms such as lactic acidosis.

6. Deficiencies of the components of Complexes I, III, and IV tend to have severe physiological consequences, however, deficiencies of Complex II components tend to have mild effects. Explain.

7. Calculate $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the oxidation of free $FADH_2$ by O_2. What is the maximum number of ATPs that can be synthesized, assuming standard conditions and 100% conservation of energy?

8. Show that the free energy change for the succinate dehydrogenase reaction catalyzed by Complex II is insufficient to drive ATP synthesis under standard conditions.

9. Vitamin K (Section 9-1F) is the cofactor for an enzyme that posttranslationally modifies certain proteins. It also functions as an electron carrier in some prokaryotes and may play a similar role in mitochondria. At what point in the mitochondrial electron-transport chain would vitamin K act?

10. Pyocyanin from the bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which can cause fatal infections, contributes to the production of reactive oxygen species in the mammalian host. What component of the electron transport chain does pyocyanin resemble?

Pyocyanin

11. Cytochrome *c* may associate with cardiolipin (diphosphatidylglycerol) in the inner mitochondrial membrane. What sort of intermolecular forces are likely to be involved in this association?

12. Under certain conditions, cytochrome *c* can trigger apoptosis, or programmed cell death, by activating cytosolic proteins known as caspases. (a) Why doesn't cytochrome *c* trigger apoptosis in healthy cells? (b) What must happen in order for cytochrome *c* to activate the caspases?

13. Some anaerobic prokaryotes use nitrate (NO_3^-) as the terminal electron acceptor for energy metabolism. Assuming 100% efficiency, how much ATP could be synthesized by the oxidation of NADH by nitrate?

14. Some anaerobic prokaryotes reduce elemental sulfur to H_2S. Assuming 100% efficiency, how much ATP could be synthesized by the oxidation of acetate by S under standard conditions?

15. Why is it possible for electrons to flow from a redox center with a more positive $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ to one with a more negative $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ within an electron-transfer complex?

16. A family of proteins known as cupredoxins contain a single redox-active Cu ion coordinated by a Cys, a Met, and two His residues. The reduction potentials of cupredoxins range from about 0.15 V to 0.68 V. What does this information reveal about the role of the protein component of the cupredoxins?

17. Bombarding a suspension of mitochondria with high-frequency sound waves (**sonication**) produces **submitochondrial particles** derived from the inner mitochondrial membrane. These membranous vesicles seal inside out, so that the intermembrane space of the mitochondrion becomes the lumen of the submitochondrial particle. Diagram the process of electron transfer and oxidative phosphorylation in these particles.

18. For the experimental system described in Problem 17, and assuming all the substrates for oxidative phosphorylation are present in excess, does ATP synthesis increase or decrease with an increase in the pH of the fluid in which the submitochondrial particles are suspended?

19. Consider the mitochondrial ADP–ATP translocator and the P_i–H^+ symport protein. How do the activities of the two transporters affect the electrochemical gradient across the mitochondrial membrane?

20. What thermodynamic force drives the transport of ADP and P_i into the mitochondrial matrix for ATP synthesis?

21. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCCD) is a reagent that reacts with Asp or Glu residues.

Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCCD)

Explain why the reaction of DCCD with the *c* subunits of F_1F_0-ATPase blocks its ATP-synthesizing activity.

22. The antibiotic oligomycin B blocks proton transport through F_0. Explain why lactate concentrations build up in rats that have been treated with oligomycin B.

23. Explain why compounds such as DNP increase metabolic rates.

24. What is the advantage of hormones activating a lipase to stimulate nonshivering thermogenesis in brown fat rather than activating UCP1 directly (see Box 18-4)?

25. Describe the changes in $[NADH]/[NAD^+]$ and $[ATP]/[ADP]$ that occur during the switch from anaerobic to aerobic metabolism. How do these ratios influence the activity of glycolysis and the citric acid cycle?

26. During cell signaling events that increase cytosolic calcium concentrations, Ca^{2+} enters the mitochondria via Ca^{2+} channels in the inner membrane. (a) Explain why it is necessary for these channels to be highly specific for Ca^{2+}. (b) How would increased matrix $[Ca^{2+}]$ affect oxidative phosphorylation?

27. Activated neutrophils and macrophages (types of white blood cells) fight invading bacteria by releasing superoxide. These cells contain an **NADPH oxidase** that catalyzes the reaction

$$2\,O_2 + NADPH \rightarrow 2\,O_2^{-\bullet} + NADP^+ + H^+$$

Explain why flux through the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase reaction increases in these cells.

28. Mutations in SOD are associated with the neurodegenerative disease amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Explain why researchers initially believed that loss of SOD activity would damage neurons.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

29. The O_2-consumption curve of a dilute, well-buffered suspension of mitochondria containing an excess of ADP and P_i takes the following form:

Sketch the curves obtained when (a) amytal is added at time $t = 1$ and (b) amytal is added at $t = 1$ and succinate is added at $t = 2$.

30. Sketch the O_2-consumption curves obtained for the mitochondria in Problem 29 when (a) CN^- is added at $t = 1$ and succinate is added at $t = 2$ and (b) oligomycin (which binds to F_0 and prevents ATP synthesis) is added at $t = 1$ and DNP is added at $t = 2$.

31. The difference in pH between the internal and external surfaces of the inner mitochondrial membrane is 1.4 pH units (external side acidic). If the membrane potential is 0.06 V (inside negative), what is the free energy change on transporting 1 mol of protons across the membrane from outside to inside at 25°C?

32. For the mitochondrial membrane described in Problem 31, how many protons must be transported to provide enough free energy for the synthesis of 1 mol of ATP (assuming standard biochemical conditions)?

33. Nicotinamide nucleotide transhydrogenase (NNT) in the inner mitochondrial membrane catalyzes the reaction $NADH + NADP^+ \rightarrow NAD^+ + NADPH$ to generate the NADPH needed for certain reactions that help destroy reactive oxygen species. (a) Explain why the NNT reaction cannot be driven primarily by the difference in substrate reduction potentials ($\Delta\mathscr{E}$). (b) In fact, the NNT reaction is driven by proton translocation across the membrane (from outside to inside). How does operation of the transhydrogenase affect the efficiency of oxidative phosphorylation?

34. The antidiabetes drug metformin inhibits 3-phosphoglycerol dehydrogenase. How would this affect ATP production by mitochondria?

35. How do the P/O ratios for NADH differ in ATP synthases that contain 10 and 15 *c* subunits?

36. How many protons are required to synthesize one ATP by F_1F_0-ATPase containing (a) 10 or (b) 15 *c* subunits?

37. During dietary restriction, amino acids may be used as metabolic fuels. In this process, glutamate is converted by glutamate dehydrogenase (Section 17-5A) to α-ketoglutarate. α-Ketoglutarate binds to the β subunit of ATP synthase and inhibits its catalytic activity. Predict the effect of increased α-ketoglutarate on oxygen consumption and the production of reactive oxygen species.

38. Is the information in Problem 37 consistent with the hypothesis that dietary restriction can slow the aging process?

39. In coastal marine environments, high concentrations of nutrients from terrestrial runoff often lead to algal blooms. When the nutrients are depleted, the algae die and sink and are degraded by other microorganisms. The algal die-off may be followed by a sharp drop in oxygen in the depths, which can kill fish and bottom-dwelling invertebrates. How do these "dead zones" form?

40. Chromium is most toxic and highly soluble in its oxidized Cr(VI) state but is less toxic and less soluble in its more reduced Cr(III) state. Efforts to detoxify Cr-contaminated groundwater have involved injecting chemical reducing agents underground. Another approach is bioremediation, which involves injecting molasses or cooking oil into the contaminated groundwater. Explain how these substances would promote the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III).

41. (a) A simplistic interpretation of the Q cycle would predict that the proton pumping efficiency of cytochrome bc_1 would be reduced by no more than 50% in the presence of saturating amounts of antimycin A. Explain. (b) Indicate why cytochrome bc_1 is nearly 100% inhibited by antimycin A.

42. Certain unscrupulous operators offer, for a fee, to freeze recently deceased individuals in liquid nitrogen until medical science can cure the disease from which they died. What is the biochemical fallacy of this procedure?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Viewing and Analyzing Complexes I-IV

Exercise 2 Diversity of the Electron Transport Chain and the KEGG Database

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 24 Uncoupling Proteins in Plants

Focus concept: Uncoupling proteins in plants uncouple oxidative phosphorylation to generate heat in the developing plant.
Prerequisite: Chapter 18

- Electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation
- Mechanisms of uncoupling agents, such as 2,4-dinitrophenol

Case 27 Regulation of Sugar and Alcohol Metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Focus concept: The regulation of carbohydrate metabolic pathways in yeast serves as a good model for regulation of the same pathways in multicellular organisms.
Prerequisites: Chapters 15, 16, 17, and 18

- The major pathways associated with carbohydrate metabolism, including glycolysis, the citric acid cycle, oxidative phosphorylation, pentose phosphate pathway, and gluconeogenesis
- The various fates of pyruvate via alcoholic fermentation and aerobic respiration

Case 33 Modification of Subunit *c* from Bovine Mitochondrial ATPase

Focus concept: Modification of Lys 43 in the mitochondrial ATPase, once thought to be the structural basis of Batten disease, has been found in bovine mitochondria, indicating that this modification is completely normal.
Prerequisites: Chapters 5 and 18

- Mechanism of ATP synthesis in oxidative phosphorylation
- Protein structure/function relationships

MORE TO EXPLORE Anaerobic organisms produce ATP by pathways that do not require molecular oxygen. However, all organisms carry out oxidation–reduction reactions in the normal course of metabolism. What compounds other than O_2 can serve as electron acceptors in anaerobes?

What is the fate of the resulting reduced compounds?

References

Boyer, P.D., Catalytic site forms and controls in ATP synthase catalysis, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1458,** 252–262 (2000). [A description of the steps of ATP synthesis and hydrolysis, along with experimental evidence and alternative explanations, by the author of the binding change mechanism.]

Baradaran, R., Berrisford, J.M., Minhas, G.P., and Sazanov, L.A., Crystal structure of the entire respiratory complex I, *Nature* **494,** 443–448 (2013); *and* Sazanov, L.A., The mechanism of coupling between electron transfer and proton translocation in respiratory complex I, *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* **46,** 247–253 (2014).

Brzezinski, P. and Johansson, A.-L., Variable proton-pumping stoichiometry in structural variants of cytochrome *c* oxidase, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1797,** 710–723 (2010).

Crofts, A.R., The cytochrome bc_1 complex: Function in the context of structure, *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **66,** 689–733 (2004).

Ferguson, S.J., ATP synthase: from sequence to ring size to the P/O ratio, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107,** 16755–16756 (2010).

Friedman, J.R. and Nunnari, J., Mitochondrial form and function, *Nature* **505,** 335–343 (2014). [A review.]

Goodsell, D.S., Mitochondrion, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **38,** 134–140 (2010). [An illustrated guide to the mitochondrion.]

Hinkle, P.C., P/O ratios of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1706,** 1–11 (2005).

Hirst, J., Mitochondrial complex I, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 551–575 (2013).

Hosler, J.P., Ferguson-Miller, S., and Mills, D.A., Energy transduction: Proton transfer through the respiratory complexes, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **75,** 165–187 (2006). [A review that focuses on cytochrome *c* oxidase.]

Iverson, T.M., Catalytic mechanisms of complex II enzymes: A structural perspective, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1827,** 648–657 (2013).

Johnson, D.C., Dean, D.R., Smith, A.D., and Johnson, M.K., Structure, function, and formation of biological iron–sulfur clusters, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74,** 247–281 (2005).

Kühlbrandt, W., Bacteriorhodopsin—the movie, *Nature* **406,** 569–570 (2000).

Lanyi, J.K., Bacteriorhodopsin, *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **66,** 665–688 (2004).

Lapuente-Brun, E., *et al*., Supercomplex assembly determines electron flux in the mitochondrial electron transport chain, *Science* **340,** 1567–1570 (2013).

Nicholls, D.G. and Ferguson, S.J., *Bioenergetics 4,* Academic Press (2013). [A detailed and authoritative monograph.]

Okuno, D., Iino, R., and Noji, H., Rotation and structure of F_0F_1-ATP synthase, *J. Biochem.* **149,** 655–664 (2011).

Pebay-Peyroula, E., Dahout-Gonzalez, C., Kahn, R., Trézéguet, V., Lauquin, G.J.-M., and Brandolin, G., Structure of mitochondrial ADP/ATP carrier in complex with carboxyatractyloside, *Nature* **426,** 39–44 (2003).

Solmaz, S.R.N. and Hunte, C., Structure of Complex III with bound cytochrome *c* in reduced state and definition of a minimal core interface for electron transfer, *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 17542–17549 (2008).

Vafai, S.B. and Mootha, V.K., Mitochondrial disorders as windows into an ancient organelle, *Nature* **491,** 374–383 (2012).

Vinothkumar, K.R., Zhu, J., and Hirst, J., Architecture of mammalian respiratory complex I, *Nature* **515,** 80–84 (2014). [The cryo-EM structure of bovine heart Complex I at 5 Å resolution.]

von Ballmoos, C., Wiedenmann, A., and Dimroth, P., Essentials for ATP synthesis by F_1F_0 ATP synthases, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 649–672 (2009).

Walker, J.E., The ATP synthase: the understood, the uncertain and the unknown, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **41,** 1–16 (2013).

Yoshikawa, S., Muramoto, K., and Shinzawa-Itoh, K., Proton-pumping mechanism of cytochrome *c* oxidase, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **40,** 205–223 (2011).

Yankovskaya, V., Horsefield, R., Törnroth, S., Luna-Chavez, C., Miyoshi, H., Léger, C., Byrne, B., Cecchini, G., and Iwata, S., Architecture of succinate dehydrogenase and reactive oxygen species generation, *Science* **299,** 700–704 (2003). [X-Ray structure of *E. coli* Complex II.]

You'll find Chapter 19, *Photosynthesis,* online at www.wiley.com/college/voet and in WileyPLUS Learning Space. Chapter 20, *Lipid Metabolism,* begins on page 664.

CHAPTER 19

Photosynthesis

Chapter Contents

1 **Chloroplasts**
- A The Light Reactions Take Place in the Thylakoid Membrane
- B Pigment Molecules Absorb Light

2 **The Light Reactions**
- A Light Energy Is Transformed to Chemical Energy
- B Electron Transport in Photosynthetic Bacteria Follows a Circular Path
- C Two-Center Electron Transport Is a Linear Pathway That Produces O_2 and NADPH
- D The Proton Gradient Drives ATP Synthesis by Photophosphorylation

3 **The Dark Reactions**
- A The Calvin Cycle Fixes CO_2
- B Calvin Cycle Products Are Converted to Starch, Sucrose, and Cellulose
- C The Calvin Cycle Is Controlled Indirectly by Light
- D Photorespiration Competes with Photosynthesis

Courtesy of Josef Wiktor

Photosynthetic organisms grow wherever sunlight is available. These algae, which live within Arctic sea ice, convert solar energy to chemical energy that becomes food for other organisms.

The notion that plants obtain nourishment from such insubstantial things as light and air was not validated until the eighteenth century. Evidence that plants produce a vital substance—O_2—was not obtained until 1771, when Joseph Priestley noted that the air in a jar in which a candle had burned out could be "restored" by introducing a small plant into the jar. In the presence of sunlight, photosynthetic organisms consume CO_2 and H_2O and produce O_2 and "fixed" carbon in the form of carbohydrate:

$$CO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{light}} (CH_2O) + O_2$$

Photosynthesis, in which light energy drives the reduction of carbon, is essentially the reverse of oxidative carbohydrate metabolism. Photosynthetically produced carbohydrates therefore serve as an energy source for the organism that produces them as well as for nonphotosynthetic organisms that directly or indirectly consume photosynthetic organisms. Moreover, photosynthesis, over the eons, generated all of the oxygen in the earth's atmosphere (recall that the early earth's atmosphere was devoid of O_2; Section 1-1A). It is estimated that photosynthesis annually fixes $\sim 10^{11}$ tons of carbon, which represents the storage of over 10^{18} kJ of energy. About half of this activity is carried out by **phytoplankton**, mainly **cyanobacteria** (formerly known as blue-green algae, although they are prokaryotes). The process by which light energy is converted to chemical energy has its roots early in evolution, and its complexity is consistent with its long history. Our discussion focuses first on purple photosynthetic bacteria, because of the relative simplicity of their photosynthetic machinery, and then on plants, whose chloroplasts are their site of photosynthesis.

Early in the twentieth century, it was mistakenly thought that light absorbed by photosynthetic pigments directly reduced CO_2, which then combined with water to form carbohydrate. In fact, photosynthesis in plants is a two-stage process in which light energy is harnessed to oxidize H_2O:

$$2\,H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{light}} O_2 + 4[H\cdot]$$

The electrons thereby obtained subsequently reduce CO_2:

$$4[H\cdot] + CO_2 \rightarrow (CH_2O) + H_2O$$

The two stages of photosynthesis are traditionally referred to as the **light reactions** and **dark reactions**:

1. In the light reactions, specialized pigment molecules capture light energy and are thereby oxidized. A series of electron-transfer reactions, which

culminate with the reduction of $NADP^+$ to NADPH, generate a transmembrane proton gradient whose energy is tapped to synthesize ATP from ADP + P_i. The oxidized pigment molecules are reduced by H_2O, thereby generating O_2.

2. The dark reactions use NADPH and ATP to reduce CO_2 and incorporate it into the three-carbon precursors of carbohydrates.

As we will see, both processes occur in the light and are therefore better described as light-dependent and light-independent reactions. After describing the chloroplast and its contents, we consider the light reactions and dark reactions in turn.

1 Chloroplasts

KEY CONCEPTS

- The chloroplast thylakoid membrane is the site of light absorption.
- Pigment molecules, some arranged in light-harvesting complexes, absorb visible light.

The site of photosynthesis in eukaryotes (algae and higher plants) is the ***chloroplast.*** Cells contain 1 to 1000 chloroplasts, which vary considerably in size and shape but are typically ~5-μm-long ellipsoids. These organelles presumably evolved from photosynthetic bacteria.

A The Light Reactions Take Place in the Thylakoid Membrane

Like mitochondria, which they resemble in many ways, chloroplasts have a highly permeable outer membrane and a nearly impermeable inner membrane separated by a narrow intermembrane space (Fig. 19-1). The inner membrane encloses the **stroma**, a concentrated solution of enzymes, including those required for carbohydrate synthesis. The stroma also contains the DNA, RNA, and ribosomes involved in the synthesis of several chloroplast proteins.

FIG. 19-1 Chloroplast from corn. (*a*) An electron micrograph. (*b*) Schematic diagram.

? **How do chloroplasts resemble mitochondria? How do they differ?**

	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4
Chlorophyll *a*	$-CH{=}CH_2$	$-CH_3$	$-CH_2-CH_3$	P
Chlorophyll *b*	$-CH{=}CH_2$	$-C(=O)-H$	$-CH_2-CH_3$	P
Bacteriochlorophyll *a*	$-C(=O)-CH_3$	$-CH_3$ [a]	$-CH_2-CH_3$ [a]	P or G
Bacteriochlorophyll *b*	$-C(=O)-CH_3$	$-CH_3$ [a]	$=CH-CH_3$ [a]	P

[a] No double bond between positions C3 and C4.

FIG. 19-2 Chlorophyll structures. The molecular formulas of chlorophylls *a* and *b* and bacteriochlorophylls *a* and *b* are compared to that of iron–protoporphyrin IX (heme). The isoprenoid phytyl and geranylgeranyl tails presumably increase the chlorophylls' solubility in their nonpolar membrane environments.

The stroma, in turn, surrounds a third membranous compartment, the **thylakoid** (Greek: *thylakos,* a sac or pouch). The thylakoid is probably a single highly folded vesicle, although in most organisms it appears to consist of stacks of disk-like sacs named **grana** (singular, granum), which are interconnected by unstacked **stromal lamellae**. A chloroplast usually contains 10 to 100 grana. Thylakoid membranes arise from invaginations in the inner membrane of developing chloroplasts and therefore resemble mitochondrial cristae. The thylakoid membrane contains protein complexes involved in harvesting light energy, transporting electrons, and synthesizing ATP. In photosynthetic bacteria, the machinery for the light reactions is located in the plasma membrane, which often forms invaginations or multilamellar structures that resemble grana.

B Pigment Molecules Absorb Light

The principal photoreceptor in photosynthesis is **chlorophyll.** This cyclic tetrapyrrole, like the heme group of globins and cytochromes (Section 7-1A and Box 18-1), is derived biosynthetically from protoporphyrin IX. Chlorophyll molecules, however, differ from heme in several respects (**Fig. 19-2**). In chlorophyll, the central metal ion is Mg^{2+} rather than Fe(II) or Fe(III), and a cyclopentanone

FIG. 19-3 Absorption spectra of various photosynthetic pigments. The chlorophylls have two absorption bands, one in the red (long wavelength) and one in the blue (short wavelength). Phycoerythrin absorbs blue and green light, whereas phycocyanin absorbs yellow light. Together, these pigments absorb most of the visible light in the solar spectrum. [After a drawing by Govindjee, University of Illinois.]

? **What is the color of each pigment?**

ring, Ring V, is fused to pyrrole Ring III. The major chlorophyll forms in plants and cyanobacteria, **chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*)** and **chlorophyll *b* (Chl *b*),** and the major forms in photosynthetic bacteria, **bacteriochlorophyll *a* (BChl *a*)** and **bacteriochlorophyll *b* (BChl *b*),** also differ from heme and from each other in the degree of saturation of Rings II and IV and in the substituents of Rings I, II, and IV.

The highly conjugated chlorophyll molecules, along with other photosynthetic pigments, strongly absorb visible light (the most intense form of the solar radiation reaching the earth's surface; Fig. 19-3). The relatively small chemical differences among the various chlorophylls greatly affect their absorption spectra.

Light-Harvesting Complexes Contain Multiple Pigments. The primary reactions of photosynthesis, as is explained in Section 19-2B, take place at **photosynthetic reaction centers.** *Yet photosynthetic assemblies contain far more chlorophyll molecules than are contained in reaction centers.* This is because most chlorophyll molecules do not participate directly in photochemical reactions but function to gather light; that is, *they act as light-harvesting antennas.* These **antenna chlorophylls** pass the energy of absorbed **photons** (units of light) from molecule to molecule until it reaches a photosynthetic reaction center (Fig. 19-4).

Transfer of energy from the antenna system to a reaction center **(RC)** occurs in $<10^{-10}$ s with an efficiency of $>90\%$. This high efficiency depends on the chlorophyll molecules having appropriate spacings and relative orientations.

FIG. 19-4 Flow of energy through a photosynthetic antenna complex. The energy of an absorbed photon randomly migrates among the molecules of the antenna complex (*light green circles*) until it reaches a reaction center chlorophyll (*dark green circles*) or, less frequently, is reemitted (fluorescence).

Courtesy of Juergen Koepke and Hartmut Michel, Max Planck Institute of Biophysics, Germany

(a) *(b)*

FIG. 19-5 X-Ray structure of the light-harvesting complex LH-2 from *Rs. molischianum*. (*a*) View perpendicular to the photosynthetic membrane showing that the α subunits (*blue;* 56 residues) and the β subunits (*magenta;* 45 residues), as represented by their C_α backbones, are arranged in two concentric eightfold symmetric rings. Twenty-four bacteriochlorophyll *a* (BChl *a; green*) and eight **lycopene** (a carotenoid; *yellow*) molecules are sandwiched between the protein rings. (*b*) View from the plane of the membrane, using the same colors as in Part *a,* in which the α-helical portions of the proteins are represented by cylinders and the Mg^{2+} ions are represented by white spheres. Note that eight of the BChl *a* molecules are bound near the top of the complex with their ring systems nearly parallel to the plane of the membrane, whereas the remaining sixteen BChl *a* molecules are bound near the bottom of the complex with their ring systems approximately perpendicular to the plane of the membrane. This arrangement, together with that of the lycopene molecules, presumably optimizes the light-absorbing and excitation-transmitting capability of the antenna system. PDBid 1L6H.

Even in bright sunlight, an RC directly intercepts only ~1 photon per second, a metabolically insignificant rate. Hence, a complex of antenna pigments, or **light-harvesting complex (LHC)**, is essential.

LHCs consist of arrays of membrane-bound hydrophobic proteins that each contain numerous, often symmetrically arranged pigment molecules. For example, **LH-2** from the purple photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospirillum molischianum* is an integral membrane protein that consists of eight α subunits and eight β subunits arranged in two eightfold symmetric concentric rings between which are sandwiched 32 pigment molecules (**Fig. 19-5**). Other LHCs vary widely in their structure and complement of light-harvesting pigments. The number and arrangement of pigment molecules in each LHC have presumably been optimized for efficient energy transfer throughout the LHC. Indeed, the ring of 16 BChl *a* molecules in LH-2 (lower part of Fig. 19-5*b*) are so strongly coupled that they absorb radiation almost as a single unit.

Most LHCs contain other light-absorbing substances besides chlorophyll. These **accessory pigments** "fill in" the absorption spectra of the antenna complexes, covering the spectral regions where chlorophylls do not absorb strongly (Fig. 19-3). For example, **carotenoids,** which are linear polyenes such as **β-carotene,**

β-Carotene

are components of all green plants and many photosynthetic bacteria and are therefore the most common accessory pigments. They are largely responsible for the brilliant fall colors of deciduous trees as well as for the orange color of carrots (after which they are named).

Water-dwelling photosynthetic organisms additionally contain other types of accessory pigments. This is because light outside the wavelengths 450 to 550 nm (blue and green light) is absorbed almost completely by passage through more than 10 m of water. In red algae and cyanobacteria, Chl *a* therefore is replaced as an antenna pigment by a set of linear tetrapyrroles, notably the red **phycoerythrobilin** and the blue **phycocyanobilin** (their spectra when linked to protein are shown in Fig. 19-3).

Phycoerythrobilin and phycocyanobilin

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the events of the light reactions and light-independent reactions.
- Describe the structure of the chloroplast.
- Why do photosynthetic organisms contain several types of pigment molecules?
- What is the function of light-harvesting complexes?

2 The Light Reactions

KEY CONCEPTS

- Absorbed light energy can be dissipated by internal conversion, fluorescence, exciton transfer, or photooxidation.
- The special pair of the purple bacterial photosynthetic reaction center undergoes photooxidation, and an electron-transport chain returns an electron to the special pair.
- In plants and in cyanobacteria, two photosystems, cytochrome b_6f, and mobile electron carriers form an electron-transport chain described by the Z-scheme.
- Photosystem II reduces its photooxidized special pair with electrons derived from water.
- Electrons traveling from photosystem II through the cytochrome b_6f complex undergo a Q cycle that generates a transmembrane proton gradient.
- Electrons liberated by photooxidation of photosystem I reduce $NADP^+$ or return to the cytochrome b_6f complex, whose activity contributes to the proton gradient.
- ATP is produced by photophosphorylation.

Photosynthesis is a process in which electrons from excited chlorophyll molecules are passed through a series of acceptors that convert electronic energy to chemical energy. We can thus ask two questions: (1) What is the mechanism of energy transduction? and (2) How do photooxidized chlorophyll molecules regain their lost electrons?

A Light Energy Is Transformed to Chemical Energy

Electromagnetic radiation is propagated as discrete **quanta** (photons) whose energy E is given by **Planck's law**:

$$E = h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$$

where h is **Planck's constant** (6.626×10^{-34} J · s), c is the speed of light in vacuum (2.998×10^8 m · s^{-1}), ν is the frequency of the radiation, and λ is its wavelength.

FIG. 19-6 An energy diagram indicating the electronic states of chlorophyll and their most important modes of interconversion. The wiggly arrows represent the absorption of photons or their fluorescent emission. Excitation energy may also be dissipated in radiationless processes such as internal conversion (heat production) and chemical reactions. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

When a molecule absorbs a photon, one of its electrons is promoted from its ground (lowest energy) state molecular orbital to one of higher energy. However, *a given molecule can absorb photons of only certain wavelengths because, as is required by the law of conservation of energy, the energy difference between the two states must exactly match the energy of the absorbed photon.*

An electronically excited molecule can dissipate its excitation energy in several ways (**Fig. 19-6**):

1. **Internal conversion,** a common mode of decay in which electronic energy is converted to the kinetic energy of molecular motion; that is, to heat. Many molecules relax in this manner to their ground states. Chlorophyll molecules, however, usually relax only to their lowest excited states. Consequently, the photosynthetically applicable excitation energy of a chlorophyll molecule that has absorbed a photon in its short-wavelength band, which corresponds to its second excited state, is no different than if it had absorbed a photon in its less energetic long-wavelength band.
2. **Fluorescence,** in which an electronically excited molecule decays to its ground state by emitting a photon. A fluorescently emitted photon generally has a longer wavelength (lower energy) than that initially absorbed. Fluorescence accounts for the dissipation of only 3 to 6% of the light energy absorbed by living plants.
3. **Exciton transfer** (also known as **resonance energy transfer**), in which an excited molecule directly transfers its excitation energy to nearby unexcited molecules with similar electronic properties. This process occurs through interactions between the molecular orbitals of the participating molecules. *Light energy is funneled to RCs through exciton transfer among antenna pigments.* The energy (excitation) is trapped at the RC chlorophylls because they have slightly lower excited state energies than the antenna chlorophylls (**Fig. 19-7**). This energy difference is lost as heat.
4. **Photooxidation**, in which a light-excited donor molecule is oxidized by transferring an electron to an acceptor molecule, which is thereby reduced. This process occurs because the transferred electron is less tightly bound to the donor in its excited state than it is in the ground state. In photosynthesis, excited chlorophyll (Chl*) is such a donor. *The energy of the absorbed photon is thereby chemically transferred to the photosynthetic reaction system.* Photooxidized chlorophyll, Chl^+, a cationic free radical, eventually returns to its reduced state by oxidizing some other molecule.

FIG. 19-7 Excitation energy trapping by the photosynthetic reaction center. Light energy that has been passed among pigment molecules by exciton transfer is trapped by the reaction center chlorophyll because its lowest excited state has a lower energy than those of the antenna pigment molecules.

? Which part of this diagram represents heat energy?

B Electron Transport in Photosynthetic Bacteria Follows a Circular Path

In purple photosynthetic bacteria, a membrane-bound bacteriochlorophyll complex undergoes photooxidation when illuminated with red light. The excited electron is transferred along a series of carriers until it returns to the original bacteriochlorophyll complex. During the electron-transfer process, cytoplasmic protons are translocated across the plasma membrane. Dissipation of the resulting proton gradient drives ATP synthesis. The relatively simple RC of purple photosynthetic bacteria **(PbRC)** illustrates some general principles of the photochemical events that occur in the more complicated photosynthetic apparatus of plants and cyanobacteria (Section 19-2C).

The PbRC Is a Transmembrane Protein. The RCs from several species of purple photosynthetic bacteria each contain three hydrophobic subunits known as H, L, and M. The L and M subunits collectively bind four molecules of bacteriochlorophyll, two molecules of **bacteriopheophytin** (**BPheo;** bacteriochlorophyll in which the Mg^{2+} ion is replaced by two protons), one Fe(II) ion, and two molecules of the redox coenzyme ubiquinone (Fig. 18-10*b*) or one molecule of ubiquinone and one of the related **menaquinone** (vitamin K; Section 9-1F):

Menaquinone

The PbRC from *Rhodobacter (Rb.) sphaeroides* is a transmembrane protein with 11 membrane-spanning helices (**Fig. 19-8**). The disposition of prosthetic groups in the homologous protein from *Rhodopseudomonas (Rps.) viridis* is shown in **Fig. 19-9**. *The most striking aspect of the PbRC is that the groups are arranged with nearly perfect twofold symmetry.* Two of the BChl molecules, the so-called **special pair**, are closely associated; they are nearly parallel and have an Mg—Mg distance of ~7 Å. The special pair is named for the wavelength (in nanometers) at which its absorbance maximally decreases on photooxidation [**P870** or **P960,** depending on whether it consists of Bchl *a* or BChl *b;* purple photosynthetic bacteria tend to inhabit murky stagnant ponds where visible light

FIGURE 19-9 Disposition of prosthetic groups in the photosynthetic reaction center of *Rps. viridis*. Note that their rings, but not their aliphatic side chains, are arranged with close to twofold symmetry. The prosthetic groups bound by the L subunit are on the right and those bound by the M subunit are on the left. Photons are absorbed by the special pair of BChl *b* molecules (*red*).

FIG. 19-8 X-Ray structure of the photosynthetic reaction center from *Rb. sphaeroides*. (*a*) The H, M, and L subunits of the protein, as viewed from within the plane of the plasma membrane (cytoplasm below) are magenta, cyan, and orange, respectively. The prosthetic groups are drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, and O red. The Fe(II) atom is represented by a yellow sphere. The 11 largely vertical helices that form the central portion of the protein constitute its transmembrane region. (*b*) View from the extracellular side of the membrane. Note how the transmembrane portions of the M and L subunits are related by a pseudotwofold axis passing through the Fe(II) ion and that the prosthetic groups are sandwiched between the two subunits. [Based on an X-ray structure by Marianne Schiffer, Argonne National Laboratory. PDBid 2RCR.]

(400–800 nm) does not penetrate; they require a near infrared–absorbing species of chlorophyll]. Each member of the special pair—here, P960—contacts another BChl molecule that, in turn, associates with a BPheo molecule. The menaquinone is close to the L subunit BPheo *b* (Fig. 19-9, *right*), whereas the ubiquinone associates with the M subunit BPheo *b* (Fig. 19-9, *left*). The Fe(II) is positioned between the menaquinone and the ubiquinone rings. Curiously, the two symmetry-related sets of prosthetic groups are not functionally equivalent; electrons are almost exclusively transferred through the L subunit (the right sides of Figs. 19-8 and 19-9). This effect is generally attributed to subtle structural and electronic differences between the L and M subunits.

Photon Absorption Rapidly Photooxidizes the Special Pair. The photochemical events mediated by the PbRC occur as follows:

1. The primary photochemical event of bacterial photosynthesis is the absorption of a photon by the special pair (e.g., P960). The excited electron is delocalized over both its BChl molecules.
2. P960*, the excited state of P960, has but a fleeting existence. Within ~3 picoseconds (ps; 10^{-12} s), P960* transfers an electron to the BPheo on the right in Fig. 19-9 to yield $P960^+$ BPheo b^- (the intervening BChl group probably plays a role in conveying electrons, although it is not itself reduced; it is therefore known as the **accessory BChl**).
3. During the next 200 ps, the electron migrates to the menaquinone (or, in many species, the second ubiquinone), designated Q_A, to form the anionic semiquinone radical $Q_A^-\cdot$. All these electron transfers, as diagrammed in Fig. 19-10, are to progressively lower energy states, which makes the process all but irreversible.

Rapid removal of the excited electron from the vicinity of $P960^+$ is an essential feature of the PbRC; this prevents return of the electron to $P960^+$, which would lead to the wasteful internal conversion of its excitation energy to heat. In fact, *electron transfer in the PbRC is so efficient that its overall* ***quantum yield*** (*ratio of molecules reacted to photons absorbed*) *is virtually 100%.* No man-made device has yet approached this level of efficiency.

FIG. 19-10 The photosynthetic electron-transport system of purple photosynthetic bacteria. Electrons liberated by the absorption of photons by P960 pass through BPheo *b* and Q_A before reaching Q_B, which exchanges with a pool of free ubiquinone. Electrons from QH_2 pass through cytochrome bc_1 to cytochrome c_2, which then reduces $P960^+$. Note that two photons are required for the two-electron reduction of Q to QH_2 and that cytochrome c_2 carries one electron at a time back to the PbRC. The overall process is essentially irreversible because electrons are transferred to progressively lower energy states (more positive standard reduction potentials).

? Estimate the change in reduction potential of P960 that occurs when it absorbs light.

Electrons Are Returned to the Photooxidized Special Pair via an Electron-Transport Chain. $Q_A^-\cdot$, which occupies a hydrophobic pocket in the PbRC, transfers its excited electron to the more solvent-exposed ubiquinone, Q_B, to form $Q_B^-\cdot$ (the Fe ion positioned between Q_A and Q_B does not directly participate in these redox reactions). Q_A never becomes fully reduced; it shuttles between its oxidized and semiquinone forms.

When the PbRC is excited again, it transfers a second electron to $Q_B^-\cdot$ to form the fully reduced Q_B^{2-}. This anionic quinol takes up two protons from the cytoplasmic side of the plasma membrane to form Q_BH_2. Thus, *Q_B is a molecular transducer that converts two light-driven one-electron excitations to a two-electron chemical reduction.*

The electrons taken up by Q_BH_2 are eventually returned to $P960^+$ via an electron-transport chain (Fig. 19-10). The details of this process are species dependent. The available redox carriers include a membrane-bound pool of ubiquinone molecules, a **cytochrome bc_1 complex,** and **cytochrome c_2** (whose structure is drawn in Fig. 6-32*b*). The electron-transport pathway leads from Q_BH_2 through the ubiquinone pool, with which Q_BH_2 exchanges, to cytochrome bc_1, and then to cytochrome c_2. The reduced cytochrome c_2, which closely resembles mitochondrial cytochrome *c,* carries an electron back to $P960^+$. The PbRC is thereby reduced and prepared to absorb another photon.

Since electron transport in purple photosynthetic bacteria is a cyclic process (Fig. 19-10), *it results in no net oxidation–reduction.* However, when QH_2 transfers its electrons to cytochrome bc_1, its protons are translocated across the plasma membrane. Cytochrome bc_1 is a transmembrane protein complex containing a [2Fe–2S] iron–sulfur protein, cytochrome c_1, and a cytochrome *b* that contains two hemes, b_H and b_L (H and L for *h*igh and *l*ow potential). Note that cytochrome bc_1 is strikingly similar to the proton-translocating Complex III of mitochondria (which is also called cytochrome bc_1; Section 18-2E). In fact, electron transfer from QH_2 (a two-electron carrier) to the one-electron acceptor cytochrome c_2 occurs in a two-stage Q cycle, exactly as occurs in mitochondrial electron transport (Fig. 18-15). The net result is that for every two electrons transferred from QH_2 to cytochrome c_2, four protons enter the periplasmic space. Thus, photon absorption by the PbRC generates a transmembrane H^+ gradient. Light-dependent synthesis of ATP is driven by the dissipation of this gradient (Section 19-2D).

C Two-Center Electron Transport Is a Linear Pathway That Produces O_2 and NADPH

In plants and cyanobacteria, *photosynthesis is a noncyclic process that uses the reducing power generated by the light-driven oxidation of H_2O to produce NADPH.* This multistep process involves two photosynthetic reaction centers (RCs) that each bear considerable resemblance to PbRCs. These RCs are **photosystem II (PSII),** which oxidizes H_2O, and **photosystem I (PSI),** which reduces $NADP^+$. Each photosystem is independently activated by light, with electrons flowing from PSII to PSI. *PSII and PSI therefore operate in electrical series to couple H_2O oxidation with $NADP^+$ reduction.*

Evidence for the existence of two photosystems came from observations that in the presence of both red light (which activates only PSI) and yellow-green light (which also activates PSII), plants produce O_2 (i.e., oxidize H_2O) at a greater rate than the sum of the rates for each light acting alone. The herbicide **3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU)**

3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU)

FIG. 19-11 A model of the thylakoid membrane. The electron-transport system consists of three protein complexes: PSII, the cytochrome b_6f complex, and PSI, which are electrically "connected" by the diffusion of the electron carriers plastoquinone (Q) and plastocyanin (PC). Light-driven transport of electrons (*blue arrows*) from H_2O to $NADP^+$ motivates the transport of protons (*red arrows*) into the thylakoid lumen. Additional protons are split off from water by the oxygen-evolving center (OEC), yielding O_2. The resulting proton gradient powers the synthesis of ATP by the CF_1CF_0 proton-translocating ATP synthase. The membrane also contains light-harvesting complexes (not shown) whose component pigments transfer their excitations to PSII and PSI. Fd represents ferredoxin. [After Ort, D.R. and Good, N.E., *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **13**, 469 (1988).]

? How many photon-absorption events are required to reduce $NADP^+$ to NADPH? How many protons are translocated across the thylakoid membrane during the process?

blocks electron flow from PSII to PSI so that even with adequate illumination (i.e., activation of both PSI and PSII), PSI is not supplied with electrons, PSII cannot be reoxidized, and photosynthetic oxygen production ceases.

The pathway of electron transport in the chloroplast is more elaborate than in purple photosynthetic bacteria. *The components involved in electron transport from H_2O to NADPH are largely organized into three thylakoid membrane-bound particles (Fig. 19-11): PSII, a* ***cytochrome b_6f complex,*** *and PSI.* Electrons are transferred between the complexes via mobile electron carriers, much as occurs in the respiratory electron-transport chain. The ubiquinone analog **plastoquinone (Q),** via its reduction to **plastoquinol (QH_2)**, links PSII to the cytochrome b_6f

Plastoquinone **Plastoquinol**

FIG. 19-12 The Z-scheme of photosynthesis. Electrons ejected from P680 in PSII by the absorption of photons are replaced with electrons abstracted from H_2O by an Mn-containing complex (the oxygen-evolving center; OEC), thereby forming O_2 and 4 H^+. Each ejected electron passes through a chain of electron carriers to a pool of plastoquinone molecules (Q_{pool}). The resulting plastoquinol, in turn, reduces the cytochrome b_6f complex (*green box*) with the concomitant translocation of protons into the thylakoid lumen. Cytochrome b_6f then transfers the electrons to plastocyanin (PC). The plastocyanin reduces photooxidized P700 in PSI. The electron ejected from P700, through the intermediacy of a chain of electron carriers, reduces $NADP^+$ to NADPH in noncyclic electron transport. Alternatively, the electron may return to the cytochrome b_6f complex in a cyclic process that translocates additional protons into the thylakoid lumen. The reduction potentials increase downward so that electrons flow spontaneously in this direction.

? Write a chemical equation for the Z-scheme.

complex, which, in turn, interacts with PSI through the mobile peripheral membrane protein **plastocyanin (PC).**

Electrons eventually reach **ferredoxin–NADP$^+$ reductase (FNR),** where they are used to reduce $NADP^+$. The oxidation of water and the passage of electrons through a Q cycle generate a transmembrane proton gradient, with the greater [H^+] in the thylakoid lumen. The free energy of the proton gradient is tapped by chloroplast ATP synthase.

The various prosthetic groups of the photosynthetic apparatus of plants can be arranged in a diagram known as the **Z-scheme** (**Fig. 19-12**). As in other electron-transport systems, electrons flow from low to high reduction potentials. The zigzag nature of the Z-scheme reflects the two loci for photochemical events (one at PSII, one at PSI) that are required to drive electrons from H_2O to $NADP^+$.

PSII Resembles the PbRC. PSII from the thermophilic cyanobacterium *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* consists of 19 subunits, 14 of which occupy the photosynthetic membrane. These transmembrane subunits include the reaction center proteins **D1 (PsbA)** and **D2 (PsbD),** the chlorophyll-containing inner-antenna subunits **CP43 (PsbC)** and **CP47 (PsbB),** and **cytochrome b_{559}.** The

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
Two-center photosynthesis (Z-scheme) overview

Courtesy of James Barber and So Iwata, Imperial College London, U.K.

(a)

(b)

FIG. 19-13 X-Ray structure of PSII from *T. elongatus*. The PSII dimer is viewed from within the plane of the membrane. Its transmembrane subunits include D1 (*yellow*), D2 (*orange*), CP47 (*red*), CP43 (*green*), and cytochrome b_{559} (*magenta*). Other transmembrane subunits are colored light blue and blue-gray. Its extrinsic proteins are PsbO (*dark blue*), PsbU (*purple*), and PsbV (*light green*). The various cofactors are drawn in stick form with the chlorophylls of the D1/D2 reaction center light green, those of the antenna complexes dark green, pheophytins dark blue, hemes red, β-carotenes orange, Q_A and Q_B purple, and the nonheme Fe represented by a red sphere. The inferred position of the membrane is indicated by the light blue band. (*b*) View of a PSII protomer perpendicular to the membrane from the thylakoid lumen showing only the transmembrane portions of the complex and colored as in Part *a*. A portion of the other protomer in the PSII dimer is shown in muted colors with the dashed line indicating the region of monomer–monomer interactions. The pseudotwofold axis, which is perpendicular to the membrane and passes through the nonheme Fe, relates the transmembrane helices of the D1/D2 heterodimer, CP43 and CP47, and PsbI and PsbX as emphasized by the black lines encircling the subunits. PDBid 1S5L.

X-ray structure of this PSII (Fig. 19-13), independently determined by James Barber and So Iwata and by Wolfram Saenger, reveals that the ~340-kD protein is a symmetric dimer, whose protomeric units each contain 35 transmembrane helices. Each protomer, which has pseudotwofold symmetry, binds 36 Chl *a*'s, 2 **pheophytin *a*'s** (**Pheo *a*'s;** Chl *a* with its Mg^{2+} replaced by two protons), 1 heme *b*, 1 heme *c*, 2 plastoquinones, 1 nonheme Fe, 7 all-trans carotenoids presumed to be β-carotene, 2 HCO_3^- ions, and 1 Mn_4CaO_5 complex known as the **oxygen-evolving center (OEC)**. In higher plants, the PSII protomer contains ~25 subunits and forms an ~1000-kD transmembrane supercomplex with several antenna proteins. The arrangement of the 5 transmembrane helices in both D1 and D2 resembles that in the L and M subunits of the PbRC (Fig. 19-8). Indeed, the two sets of subunits have similar sequences, thereby indicating that they arose from a common ancestor.

Courtesy of James Barber and So Iwata, Imperial College London, U.K.

FIG. 19-14 The arrangement of electron-transfer cofactors in PSII from *T. elongatus*. The complex is viewed along the membrane plane with the thylakoid lumen below. The cofactors are colored as in Fig. 19-13 but with Mg^{2+} yellow, N blue, and O red. The phytyl tails of the chlorophylls and pheophytins have been removed for clarity. The side chains of Tyr_Z (D1 Tyr 161) and D1 His 190 are yellow, and those of Tyr_D (D2 Tyr 160) and D2 His 189 are orange. The OEC is drawn in space-filling form with Mn purple, Ca^{2+} cyan, and O red. The numbers indicate the center-to-center distances, in ångstroms, between the cofactors spanned by the accompanying thin black lines. PDBid 1S5L.

? Compare this figure to Fig. 19-9 (which is drawn upside down relative to this figure).

The cofactors of PSII's RC (Fig. 19-14) are organized similarly to those of the bacterial system (Fig. 19-9): They have essentially the same components (with Chl *a,* Pheo *a,* and plastoquinone respectively replacing BChl *b,* BPheo *b,* and menaquinone) and are symmetrically organized along the complex's pseudotwofold axis. The two Chl *a* rings labeled P_{D1} and P_{D2} in Fig. 19-14 are positioned analogously to the BChl *b*'s of P960's "special pair" and are therefore presumed to form PSII's primary electron donor, **P680** (named after the wavelength at which its absorbance maximally decreases on photooxidation). The electron ejected from P680 follows a similar asymmetric course as that in the PbRC even though the two systems operate over different ranges of reduction potential (compare Figs. 19-10 and 19-12). As indicated in the central part of Fig. 19-12, the electron is transferred to a molecule of Pheo *a* ($Pheo_{D1}$ in Fig. 19-14), probably via a Chl *a* molecule (Chl_{D1}), and then to a bound plastoquinone (Q_A). The electron is subsequently transferred to a second plastoquinone molecule, Q_B, which, after it receives a second electron in a like manner, takes up two protons at the stromal (cytoplasmic in cyanobacteria) surface of the thylakoid membrane. The resulting plastoquinol, Q_BH_2, then exchanges with a membrane-bound pool of plastoquinone molecules. DCMU as well as many other commonly used herbicides compete with plastoquinone for the Q_B-binding site on PSII, which explains how they inhibit photosynthesis.

O_2 Is Generated by a Five-Stage Water-Splitting Reaction. The electron ejected by photooxidation of P680 is replaced by an electron derived from H_2O via the OEC. The OEC of PSII is also known as the **water-splitting enzyme** because it breaks down two water molecules to O_2, four protons, and four electrons. Insight into this process was garnered by Pierre Joliet and Bessel Kok, who analyzed the production of O_2 by dark-adapted chloroplasts that were exposed to a series of short flashes of light. O_2 was evolved with a peculiar oscillatory pattern (Fig. 19-15). There is virtually no O_2 evolved by the first two flashes. The third flash results in the maximum O_2 yield. Thereafter, the amount of O_2 produced peaks with every fourth flash until the oscillations damp out to a

FIG. 19-15 The O_2 yield per flash in dark-adapted spinach chloroplasts. Note that the yield peaks on the third flash and then on every fourth flash thereafter until the curve eventually damps out to its average value. [After Forbush, B., Kok, B., and McGloin, M.P., *Photochem. Photobiol.* **14,** 309 (1971).]

FIG. 19-16 Schematic mechanism of O_2 generation in chloroplasts. Four electrons are stripped, one at a time, in light-driven reactions ($S_0 \rightarrow S_4$), from two bound H_2O molecules. O_2 is released in the recovery step ($S_4 \rightarrow S_0$), which is light independent. Four of the five steps release protons into the thylakoid lumen.

steady state. This periodicity indicates that each OEC must undergo four light-dependent reactions—that is, four electron transfers—before releasing O_2.

The OEC is thought to cycle through five different states, S_0 through S_4 (**Fig. 19-16**). O_2 is released in the transition between S_4 and S_0. The observation that O_2 evolution peaks at the third rather than the fourth flash indicates that the OEC's resting state is predominantly S_1 rather than S_0. The oscillations gradually damp out because a small fraction of the RCs fail to be excited or become doubly excited by a given flash of light, so that the RCs eventually lose synchrony. The complete reaction sequence releases a total of four water-derived protons into the inner thylakoid space in a stepwise manner. These protons contribute to the transmembrane proton gradient.

Since the OEC abstracts electrons from H_2O, its five states must have extraordinarily high reduction potentials (recall from Table 14-4 that the O_2/H_2O half-reaction has a standard reduction potential of 0.815 V). PSII must also stabilize the highly reactive intermediates for extended periods (as much as minutes) in close proximity to water.

The OEC, which is located at the lumenal surface of the D1 subunit (Fig. 19-14), is a Mn_4CaO_5 complex in which the O atoms bridge neighboring Mn atoms. The structure of the OEC had been difficult to determine because it decomposes rapidly when exposed to the X-rays typically used to determine protein structures. This problem was overcome through the use of an **X-ray free-electron laser,** which produces an X-ray beam of such enormous intensity that it vaporizes anything in its path. However, the beam comes in pulses of only a few femtoseconds (fs; 10^{-15} s), so short that the atoms of a protein crystal can move no more than a fraction of an ångstrom during the pulse. Thus, the recorded diffraction pattern is that of the undisturbed crystal (a new crystal or a new portion of a crystal must be used after every X-ray pulse).

Fig. 19-17 shows the structure of the native OEC in its S_1 state in the X-ray structure of *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* PSII, as determined, using an X-ray free-electron laser, by Masaki Yamamoto, Hideo Ago, and Jian-Ren Shen. Each of the OEC's four Mn ions is six-coordinated by O atoms and protein side chains (not shown in Fig. 19-17). The significantly longer Mn—O bonds involving O5 compared to the other bridging O atoms suggest that O5 is an OH^- ion rather than an oxygen dianion (O^{2-}). This further suggests that, in the S_0 state, O5 is part of a water molecule that releases a proton on transitioning to the S_1 state (Fig. 19-16).

Water-splitting, a reaction that is essential for sustaining most organisms, is driven by the excitation of the PSII RC. A variety of evidence indicates that the Mn ions in the OEC's five *S* states (Fig. 19-16) cycle through specific combinations of Mn(II), Mn(III), Mn(IV), and Mn(V) while abstracting protons and electrons from two H_2O molecules to yield O_2, which is released into the thylakoid lumen. However, although several proposals for the chemical mechanism through which the OEC oxidizes water have been put forward, none has been experimentally verified.

The electrons abstracted from water by the OEC are relayed, one at a time, to $P680^+$ by an entity originally named Z (Fig. 19-12). Spectroscopic measurements have identified Z as a transient neutral tyrosyl radical (TyrO•) located on D1 Tyr 161 (known as Tyr_Z), which is situated between the OEC and P680 (Fig. 19-14). Recall that a tyrosyl radical has also been implicated in the reduction of O_2 to 2 H_2O by cytochrome *c* oxidase (Complex IV) in the respiratory electron-transport chain (Section 18-2F).

FIG. 19-17 The structure of the OEC in its S_1 state from the thermophilic cyanobacterium *T. vulcanus*. The Mn_4CaO_5 complex is shown with Mn ions gray, the Ca^{2+} ion blue, O red except O5, which is yellow, and structural water oxygen atoms (W) orange. Distances between the metal ions and bridging oxygen atoms are given in ångstroms. The proposed oxidation states of the Mn ions, as inferred from spectroscopic and structural data, are indicated in roman numerals. Interactions with protein side chains (mainly Asp, Glu, and His) are not shown. [Courtesy of Jian-Ren Shen, Okayama University, Japan. PDBid 4UB8.]

FIG. 19-18 X-Ray structure of the cytochrome b_6f complex from the thermophilic cyanobacterium *Mastigocladus laminosus*. A ribbon diagram of the dimeric complex is drawn on the left with cytochrome b_6 blue, subunit IV purple, cytochrome *f* red, the iron–sulfur protein (ISP) yellow, and the other subunits green. The inferred position of the lipid bilayer is indicated by a yellow band. The paths of electron and proton transfer through the complex and the distances, in angstroms, between redox centers are shown on the right. [Modified from a drawing by William A. Cramer and Janet Smith, Purdue University. PDBid 1UM3.]

? Compare this figure to Fig. 18-14 (which is upside down relative to this figure) and summarize the similarities and differences.

Electron Transport through the Cytochrome b_6f Complex Generates a Proton Gradient. From the plastoquinone pool, electrons pass through the cytochrome b_6f complex. This integral membrane assembly resembles cytochrome bc_1, its purple bacterial counterpart (Section 19-2B), as well as Complex III of the mitochondrial electron-transport chain (Section 18-2E). Electron flow through the cytochrome b_6f complex occurs through a Q cycle (Fig. 18-15). Accordingly, two protons are translocated across the thylakoid membrane for every electron transported. The four electrons abstracted from 2 H_2O by the OEC therefore lead to the translocation of eight H^+ from the stroma to the thylakoid lumen. *Electron transport via the cytochrome b_6f complex generates much of the electrochemical proton gradient that drives the synthesis of ATP in chloroplasts.*

The X-ray structure of cytochrome b_6f (**Fig. 19-18**) was independently determined by Janet Smith and William Cramer and by Jean-Luc Polpot and Daniel Picot. Cytochrome b_6f is a dimer of ~109-kD protomers, each containing four large subunits (18–32 kD) that have counterparts in cytochrome bc_1: **cytochrome b_6,** a homolog of the N-terminal half of cytochrome *b;* **subunit IV,** a homolog of the C-terminal half of cytochrome *b;* **cytochrome *f*** (*f* for *feuille,* French for leaf), a *c*-type cytochrome that is a functional analog of cytochrome c_1, although the two are unrelated in structure or sequence; and a Rieske iron–sulfur protein (ISP), which is also present in cytochrome bc_1. In addition, cytochrome b_6f has four small hydrophobic subunits that have no equivalents in cytochrome bc_1. Each protomer contains 13 transmembrane helices, four in cytochrome b_6, three in subunit IV, and one each in the remaining subunits. Cytochrome b_6f binds cofactors that are the equivalents of all of those in cytochrome bc_1: **heme *f*,** a *c*-type heme bound by cytochrome *f;* a [2Fe–2S] cluster bound by the ISP; hemes b_H and b_L; a plastoquinone molecule that occupies either the Q_i site (the quinone-binding site at which fully reduced quinone is regenerated during the Q cycle; Section 18-2E) or the Q_o site. In addition, cytochrome b_6f binds several cofactors that have no counterparts in cytochrome bc_1: a Chl *a,* a β-carotene, and, unexpectedly, a novel heme named **heme *x*** (alternatively, **heme c_i**), which is covalently linked to the protein via a single thioether bond to Cys 35 of cytochrome b_6, and whose only axial ligand is a water molecule (compare with hemes *a, b,* and *c* in Box 18-1).

Plastocyanin Transports Electrons from Cytochrome b_6f to PSI. Electron transfer between cytochrome *f,* the terminal electron carrier of the cytochrome b_6f

FIG. 19-19 X-Ray structure of plastocyanin (PC) from poplar leaves. This 99-residue monomeric protein, a member of the family of **blue copper proteins,** folds into a β sandwich. Its Cu atom (*orange sphere*), which alternates between its Cu(I) and Cu(II) oxidation states, is tetrahedrally coordinated by the side chains of His 37, Cys 84, His 87, and Met 92, which are shown in stick form with C green, N blue, and S yellow. Seven conserved Asp and Glu residues that form a negatively charged patch on the protein's surface are red. [Based on an X-ray structure by Mitchell Guss and Hans Freeman, University of Sydney, Australia. PDBid 1PLC.]

complex, and PSI is mediated by plastocyanin (PC), a peripheral membrane protein located on the thylakoid lumenal surface (Fig. 19-11). The Cu-containing redox center of this mobile monomer cycles between its Cu(I) and Cu(II) oxidation states. The X-ray structure of PC from poplar leaves shows that the Cu atom is coordinated with distorted tetrahedral geometry by a Cys, a Met, and two His residues (Fig. 19-19). Cu(II) complexes with four ligands normally adopt a square planar coordination geometry, whereas those of Cu(I) are usually tetrahedral. Evidently, the strain of Cu(II)'s protein-imposed tetrahedral coordination in PC promotes its reduction to Cu(I). This hypothesis accounts for PC's high standard reduction potential (0.370 V) compared to that of the normal Cu(II)/Cu(I) half-reaction (0.158 V) and illustrates how proteins can modulate the reduction potentials of their redox centers. In the case of plastocyanin, this facilitates electron transfer from the cytochrome b_6f complex to PSI.

The structures of cytochrome *f* and PC suggest how the proteins associate. Cytochrome *f*'s Lys 187, a member of a conserved group of five positively charged residues on the protein's surface, can be chemically cross-linked to Asp 44 on PC, which occupies a conserved negatively charged surface patch. Quite possibly the two proteins associate through electrostatic interactions, much like PC's functional analog cytochrome *c* interacts with its redox partners in the mitochondrial electron-transport chain (Section 18-2E).

The PSI RC Resembles Both the PSII RC and the PbRC. Cyanobacterial PSIs are trimers of protomers that each consist of at least 11 different protein subunits coordinating >100 cofactors. The X-ray structure of PSI from *T. elongatus* (Fig. 19-20), determined by Saenger, reveals that each of its 356-kD protomers contains nine transmembrane subunits **(PsaA, PsbB, PsaF, PsaI–M,** and **PsaX)** and three stromal (cytoplasmic in cyanobacteria) subunits **(PsaC–E),** which collectively bind 127 cofactors that comprise 30% of PSI's mass. The cofactors forming the PSI RC are all bound by the homologous subunits PsaA (755 residues) and PsaB (740 residues), whose 11 transmembrane helices each are arranged in a manner resembling those in the L and M subunits of the PbRC (Fig. 19-8) and the D1 and D2 subunits of PSII (Fig. 19-13), thus supporting the notion that all RCs arose from a common ancestor. PsaA and PsaB, together with other transmembrane subunits, also bind the cofactors of the core antenna system (see below).

Figure 19-21 indicates that PSI's RC consists of 6 Chl *a*'s and two molecules of **phylloquinone**,

Phylloquinone

which has the same phytyl side chain as do chlorophylls (Fig. 19-2), all arranged in two pseudosymmetrically related branches, followed by three [4Fe–4S] clusters. The primary electron donor of this system, **P700,** consists of a pair of parallel

Courtesy of Wolfram Saenger, Freie Universitat Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

FIG. 19-20 X-Ray structure of PSI from *T. elongatus*. (*a*) View of the trimeric complex perpendicular to the membrane from its stromal side. The stromal subunits have been removed for clarity. PSI's threefold axis of symmetry is represented by the small black triangle. Different structural elements are shown for each of the three protomers (I, II, and III). I shows the arrangement of transmembrane helices (*cylinders*), which are differently colored for each subunit. The transmembrane helices of both PsaA (*blue*) and PsaB (*red*) are named a through k from their N- to C-termini. The six helices in extramembranous loop regions are drawn as spirals. II shows the transmembrane helices as cylinders with the stromal and lumenal loop regions drawn in ribbon form. III shows the transmembrane helices as cylinders together with all cofactors. The RC Chl *a*'s and quinones, drawn in stick form, are blue, the Fe and S atoms of the [4Fe–4S] clusters are drawn as orange and yellow spheres, the antenna system Chl *a*'s (whose side chains have been removed for clarity) are yellow, the carotenoids are black, and the bound lipids are light green. (*b*) One protomer as viewed parallel to the membrane along the arrow in Part *a* with the stroma above. The transmembrane subunits are colored as in Part *a* with the stromal subunits PsaC, PsaD, and PsaE pink, cyan, and light green. The vertical line and triangle mark the trimer's threefold axis of symmetry. PDBid 1JB0.

Chl *a*'s, A1 and B1, whose Mg^{2+} ions are separated by 6.3 Å, and thus resembles the special pair in the PbRC. A1 is followed in the left branch of Fig. 19-21 by two more Chl *a* rings, B2 and A3, and B1 is followed by A2 and B3 in the right branch. One or both of the third pair of Chl *a* molecules, A3 and B3, probably form the spectroscopically identified primary electron acceptor A_0 (right side of Fig. 19-12). The Mg^{2+} ions of A3 and B3 are each axially liganded by the S atoms of a Met residue rather than by His side chains (thereby forming the only known biological examples of Mg^{2+}—S coordination). Electrons are passed from A3 and B3 to the phylloquinones, Q_k-A and Q_k-B, which almost certainly correspond to the spectroscopically identified electron acceptor A_1. Spectroscopic investigations indicate that, in contrast to the case for the PbRC, electrons pass through

Courtesy of Wolfram Saenger, Freie University, Berlin, Germany

FIG. 19-21 The cofactors of the PSI RC and PsaC. The view is parallel to the membrane plane with the stroma above. The Chl *a* and phylloquinone molecules are arranged in two pseudosymmetric branches. The Chl *a*'s are labeled A or B to indicate that their Mg^{2+} ions are liganded by the side chains of PsaA or PsaB, respectively. The phylloquinones are named Q_k-A and Q_k-B. PsaC is shown in ribbon form with those portions resembling segments in bacterial 2[4Fe–4S] ferredoxins pink and with insertions and extensions green. The three [4Fe–4S] clusters are shown in ball-and-stick form and are labeled according to their spectroscopic identities F_X, F_A, and F_B. The center-to-center distances between cofactors (*vertical black lines*) are given in angstroms.

? Compare this figure with Figs. 19-9 and 19-14.

FIG. 19-22 X-Ray structure of ferredoxin from *Peptococcus aerogenes*. This monomeric 54-residue protein contains two [4Fe–4S] clusters. The C_β atoms of the four Cys residues liganding each cluster are green, the Fe atoms are orange, and the S atoms are yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Elinor Adman, Larry Sieker, and Lyle Jensen, University of Washington. PDBid 1FDX.]

both branches of the PSI RC, although at different rates. Indeed, the PSI RC is most closely related to the RC of **green sulfur bacteria** (a second class of photosynthetic bacteria), which is a true homodimer.

Until this point, PSI's RC resembles those of PSII and purple photosynthetic bacteria. However, rather than the reduced forms of either Q_K-A or Q_K-B dissociating from PSI, both of the quinones directly pass their photoexcited electron to a chain of three spectroscopically identified [4Fe–4S] clusters designated F_X, F_A, and F_B (right side of Fig. 19-12). F_X, which lies on the pseudotwofold axis relating PsaA and PsaB, is coordinated by two Cys residues from each of the subunits. F_A and F_B are bound to the stromal subunit PsaC, which structurally resembles bacterial ferredoxins that contain two [4Fe–4S] clusters (**Fig. 19-22**). The observation that both branches of PSI's electron-transfer pathways are active, in contrast to only one active branch in PSII and the PbRC, is rationalized by the observation that the two quinones at the ends of each branch are functionally equivalent in PSI but functionally different in PSII and the PbRC.

PSI's core antenna system consists of 90 Chl *a* molecules and 22 carotenoids (Fig. 19-20*a*). The spatial distribution of these antenna Chl *a*'s resembles that in the core antenna subunits CP43 and CP47 of PSII (Fig. 19-13). Indeed, the N-terminal domains of PsaA and PsaB are similar in sequence to those of CP43 and CP47 and fold into similar structures containing six transmembrane helices each. The carotenoids, which are mostly β-carotenes, are deeply buried in the membrane, where they are in van der Waals contact with Chl *a* rings. This permits efficient energy transfer from photoexcited carotenoids to Chl *a*.

PSIs from higher plants are monomers rather than trimers, as are cyanobacterial PSIs. Nevertheless, the X-ray structure of PSI from peas reveals that the positions and orientations of the chlorophylls in both species of PSIs are nearly identical, a remarkable finding considering the >1 billion years since chloroplasts diverged from their cyanobacterial ancestors. However, pea PSI has four antenna proteins not present in cyanobacterial PSI that are arranged in a crescent-shaped transmembrane belt around one side of its RC and that collectively bind 56 chlorophyll molecules.

PSI-Activated Electrons May Reduce $NADP^+$ or Motivate Proton Gradient Formation. *Electrons ejected from F_B in PSI may follow either of two alternative pathways* (Fig. 19-12):

1. Most electrons follow a noncyclic pathway by reducing an ~100-residue, [2Fe–2S]-containing, soluble protein called **ferredoxin (Fd;** Fig. 19-22) that is located in the stroma. Reduced Fd, in turn, reduces $NADP^+$ in a reaction mediated by the ~310-residue, monomeric, FAD-containing ferredoxin–$NADP^+$ reductase (FNR, **Fig. 19-23**) to yield the final product of the chloroplast light reactions, NADPH. Two reduced Fd molecules successively deliver one electron each to the FAD of FNR, which thereby sequentially assumes the neutral semiquinone and fully reduced states before transferring the two electrons and a proton to the $NADP^+$ via what is formally a hydride ion transfer.
2. Some electrons are returned from PSI, via cytochrome b_6, to the plastoquinone pool, thereby traversing a cyclic pathway

FIG. 19-23 X-Ray structure of pea ferredoxin–$NADP^+$ reductase (FNR) in complex with FAD and $NADP^+$. This 308-residue protein has two domains: The N-terminal domain (*gold*), which forms the FAD-binding site, folds into an antiparallel β barrel, whereas the C-terminal domain (*magenta*), which provides the $NADP^+$-binding site, forms a dinucleotide-binding fold (Section 6-2C). The FAD and $NADP^+$ are shown in stick form with $NADP^+$ C green, FAD C cyan, N blue, O red, and P yellow. The flavin and nicotinamide rings are in opposition with C4 of the nicotinamide ring and C5 of the flavin ring 3.0 Å apart, an arrangement that is consistent with direct hydride transfer as also occurs in dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (Fig. 17-8). [Based on an X-ray structure by Andrew Karplus, Cornell University. PDBid 1QFY.]

that translocates protons across the thylakoid membrane. A mechanism that has been proposed for this process is that Fd transfers an electron to heme *x* of cytochrome b_6 (Fig. 19-18) rather than to FNR. Since heme *x* contacts heme b_L at the periphery of cytochrome b_6f's Q_i site, an electron injected into heme *x* would be expected to reduce plastoquinone via a Q cycle-like mechanism (Fig. 18-15). Note that the cyclic pathway is independent of the action of PSII and hence does not result in the evolution of O_2. This accounts for the observation that chloroplasts absorb more than eight photons per O_2 molecule evolved.

The cyclic electron flow presumably functions to increase the amount of ATP produced relative to that of NADPH and thus permits the cell to adjust the relative amounts of the two substances produced according to its needs. However, the mechanism that apportions electrons between the cyclic and noncyclic pathways is unknown. Fine-tuning of the light reactions also depends on the segregation of PSI and PSII in distinct portions of the thylakoid membrane (Box 19-1).

Box 19-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Segregation of PSI and PSII

Electron microscopy has revealed that the protein complexes of the thylakoid membrane have characteristic distributions (*see figure*).

1. PSI occurs mainly in the unstacked stromal lamellae, in contact with the stroma, where it has access to $NADP^+$.
2. PSII is located almost exclusively between the closely stacked grana, out of direct contact with the stroma.
3. Cytochrome b_6f is uniformly distributed throughout the membrane.

The high mobilities of plastoquinone and plastocyanin, the electron carriers that shuttle electrons between these particles, permit photosynthesis to proceed at a reasonable rate.

What function is served by the segregation of PSI and PSII? If the two photosystems were in close proximity, the higher excitation energy of PSII (P680 versus P700) would cause it to pass a large fraction of its absorbed photons to PSI via exciton transfer; that is, PSII would act as a light-harvesting antenna for PSI. The separation of the particles by around 100 Å eliminates this difficulty.

The physical separation of PSI and PSII also permits the chloroplast to respond to changes in illumination. The relative amounts of light absorbed by the two photosystems vary with how the light-harvesting complexes are distributed between the stacked and unstacked portions of the thylakoid membrane. Under high illumination (normally direct sunlight, which contains a high proportion of short-wavelength blue light), PSII absorbs more light than PSI. PSI is then unable to take up electrons as fast as PSII can supply them, so the plastoquinone is predominantly in its reduced state. The reduced plastoquinone activates a protein kinase to phosphorylate specific Thr residues of the LHCs, which, in response, migrate to the unstacked regions of the thylakoid membrane, where they associate with PSI. A greater fraction of the incident light is thereby funneled to PSI.

Under low illumination (normally shady light, which contains a high proportion of long-wavelength red light), PSI takes up electrons faster than PSII can provide them so that plastoquinone predominantly assumes its oxidized form. The LHCs are consequently dephosphorylated and migrate to the stacked portions of the thylakoid membrane, where they associate with PSII. The chloroplast therefore maintains the balance between its two photosystems by a light-activated feedback mechanism.

Courtesy of Peter Hinkle, Cornell University

FIG. 19-24 Electron micrograph of thylakoids. The CF_1 "lollipops" of their ATP synthases project from their stromal surfaces.

? **Compare this electron micrograph with Fig. 18-21.**

D The Proton Gradient Drives ATP Synthesis by Photophosphorylation

Chloroplasts generate ATP in much the same way as mitochondria, that is, by coupling the dissipation of a proton gradient to the enzymatic synthesis of ATP (Section 18-3). This light-dependent process is known as **photophosphorylation.** Like oxidative phosphorylation, it requires an intact thylakoid membrane and can be uncoupled from light-driven electron transport by compounds such as 2,4-dinitrophenol (Fig. 18-29).

Electron micrographs of thylakoid membrane stromal surfaces and bacterial plasma membrane inner surfaces reveal lollipop-shaped structures (**Fig. 19-24**). These closely resemble the F_1 units of the proton-translocating ATP synthase in mitochondria (Fig. 18-21). In fact, the chloroplast ATP synthase, which is also known as the $\mathbf{CF_1CF_0}$ complex (C for chloroplast), is remarkably similar to the mitochondrial F_1F_0 complex. For example,

1. Both the F_0 and the CF_0 units are hydrophobic transmembrane proteins that contain a proton-translocating channel.
2. Both the F_1 and the CF_1 units are hydrophilic peripheral membrane proteins of subunit composition $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma\delta\varepsilon$, of which β is a reversible ATPase.
3. Both ATP synthases are inhibited by oligomycin and by dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCCD).

Clearly, proton-translocating ATP synthase must have evolved very early in the history of cellular life. Note, however, that whereas chloroplast ATP synthase translocates protons out of the thylakoid space into the stroma (Fig. 19-11), mitochondrial ATP synthase conducts them from the intermembrane space into the matrix space (Fig. 18-20). This is because the stroma is topologically analogous to the mitochondrial matrix.

Photosynthesis with Noncyclic Electron Transport Produces Around One ATP per Absorbed Photon. At saturating light intensities, chloroplasts generate proton gradients of ~3.5 pH units across their thylakoid membranes as a result of two processes:

1. The evolution of a molecule of O_2 from two H_2O molecules releases four protons into the thylakoid lumen.
2. The transport of the liberated four electrons through the cytochrome b_6f complex occurs with the translocation of eight protons from the stroma to the thylakoid lumen.

Altogether, ~12 protons enter the lumen per molecule of O_2 produced by noncyclic electron transport.

The thylakoid membrane, in contrast to the inner mitochondrial membrane, is permeable to ions such as Mg^{2+} and Cl^-. Translocation of protons and electrons across the thylakoid membrane is consequently accompanied by the passage of these ions to maintain electrical neutrality (Mg^{2+} out and Cl^- in). This all but eliminates the membrane potential. *The electrochemical gradient in chloroplasts is therefore almost entirely a result of the pH (concentration) gradient.*

Chloroplast ATP synthase, according to most estimates, produces one ATP for every three protons it transports from the thylakoid lumen to the stroma. Noncyclic electron transport in chloroplasts therefore results in the production of ~12/3 = 4 molecules of ATP per molecule of O_2 evolved (cyclic electron

transport generates more ATP because more protons are translocated to the thylakoid lumen via the Q cycle mediated by cytochrome b_6f).

Noncyclic electron transport, of course, also yields NADPH (2 NADPH for every 4 electrons liberated from 2 H_2O by the OEC). Each NADPH has the free energy to produce 2.5 ATP (Section 18-3C; although NADPH is not used to drive ATP synthesis), for a total of 5 more ATP equivalents per O_2 produced. Consequently, a total of 9 ATP equivalents are generated per O_2 produced. A minimum of two photons is required for each electron traversing the system from H_2O to NADPH, that is, eight photons per O_2 produced. This is confirmed by experimental measurements which indicate that plants and algae require 8 to 10 photons of visible light to produce one molecule of O_2. Thus, the overall efficiency of the light reactions is 9 ATP/8–10 photons, or approximately one ATP per absorbed photon.

CHECKPOINT

- How do molecules dissipate absorbed light energy? Which mechanism is most important for photosynthesis?
- Describe the events that occur after the special pair of PbRC absorbs a photon.
- Explain why electron transport in purple photosynthetic bacteria follows a circular path.
- Describe the effect of photooxidation on the redox reactions summarized in the Z-scheme.
- What is the importance of the water-splitting reaction for photosynthesis?
- What does the Q cycle accomplish in bacterial and plant photosynthetic electron transport?
- What chloroplast protein is the functional counterpart of mitochondrial cytochrome *c*?
- What are the implications of cyclic and noncyclic electron transfer in PSI?
- Compare and contrast photophosphorylation and oxidative phosphorylation.
- Explain how the energy of one photon is transformed to the energy of one ATP.
- What is the relationship between the number of photons absorbed and the amount of O_2 produced?

3 The Dark Reactions

KEY CONCEPTS

- The Calvin cycle carboxylates a pentose, converts the products to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, and regenerates the pentose, using the ATP and NADPH produced by the light reactions.
- The products of the Calvin cycle are converted to carbohydrates (glucose polymers).
- The Calvin cycle enzymes are more reactive in the light.
- Plants undergo photorespiration, which consumes O_2 and generates CO_2.

In the previous section we saw how plants harness light energy to generate ATP and NADPH. In this section we discuss how these products are used to synthesize carbohydrates and other substances from CO_2.

A The Calvin Cycle Fixes CO_2

The metabolic pathway by which plants incorporate CO_2 into carbohydrates was elucidated between 1946 and 1953 by Melvin Calvin, James Bassham, and Andrew Benson. They did so by tracing the metabolic fate of the radioactive label from $^{14}CO_2$ in cultures of algal cells. Some of Calvin's earliest experiments indicated that algae exposed to $^{14}CO_2$ for a minute or more synthesize a complex mixture of labeled metabolites, including sugars and amino acids. Analysis of the algae within 5 s of their exposure to $^{14}CO_2$, however, showed that *the first stable radioactive compound formed is* ***3-phosphoglycerate (3PG),*** *which is initially labeled only in its carboxyl group.* This result immediately suggested that the 3PG was formed by the carboxylation of a C_2 compound. Yet no such precursor was found. The actual carboxylation reaction involves a pentose derived from **ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P;** *at right*). The resulting C_6 product splits into two C_3 compounds, both of which turned out to be 3PG. ATP and NADPH, the products of the light reactions, are required to convert 3PG to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), which is used to synthesize carbohydrates as well as re-form Ru5P (Fig. 19-25). The entire pathway, which involves the carboxylation of a pentose, the formation of carbohydrate products, and the regeneration of the pentose, is known as the **Calvin cycle** or the **reductive pentose phosphate cycle**.

Ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P)

FIG. 19-25 Overview of the dark reactions. The products of the light reactions, ATP and NADPH, are consumed in converting CO_2 into carbohydrates in a process called the Calvin cycle.

During the search for the carboxylation substrate, several other photosynthetic intermediates had been identified and their labeling patterns elucidated. For example, the hexose fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) is initially labeled only at its C3 and C4 positions but later becomes labeled to a lesser degree at its other atoms. A consideration of the flow of labeled carbon through the various tetrose, pentose, hexose, and heptose phosphates led, in what is a milestone of metabolic

GATEWAY CONCEPT Energy Transformation

Energy can neither be created nor destroyed, but it can be transformed. In photosynthesis, light energy absorbed by the photosystems is transformed to the chemical energy of reduced cofactors. Some of this energy is used to generate a proton gradient that is then transformed to the mechanical energy of ATP synthase. Finally, chemical energy in the form of ATP and NADPH is transformed to the chemical energy of reduced carbon atoms in carbohydrates.

biochemistry, to the deduction of the Calvin cycle as is diagrammed in Fig. 19-26. The existence of many of its postulated reactions was eventually confirmed by *in vitro* studies using purified enzymes.

The Calvin Cycle Generates GAP from CO_2 via a Two-Stage Process. The Calvin cycle can be considered to have two stages:

Stage 1. The production phase (top line of Fig. 19-26), in which three molecules of Ru5P react with three molecules of CO_2 to yield six molecules of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) at the expense of nine ATP and six NADPH molecules. *The cyclic nature of the entire pathway makes this process equivalent to the synthesis of one GAP from three CO_2 molecules.* At this point, GAP can be bled off from the cycle for use in biosynthesis.

Stage 2. The recovery phase (bottom lines of Fig. 19-26), in which the carbon atoms of the remaining five GAPs are shuffled in a remarkable series of reactions, similar to those of the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6), to re-form the three Ru5Ps with which the cycle began. This stage can be conceptually decomposed into four sets of reactions (with the numbers keyed to the corresponding reactions in Fig. 19-26):

$$\textbf{6.}\ C_3 + C_3 \rightarrow C_6$$
$$\textbf{8.}\ C_3 + C_6 \rightarrow C_5 + C_4$$
$$\textbf{9.}\ C_3 + C_4 \rightarrow C_7$$
$$\textbf{11.}\ C_3 + C_7 \rightarrow C_5 + C_5$$

The overall stoichiometry for the process is therefore

$$5\ C_3 \rightarrow 3\ C_5$$

Note that this stage of the Calvin cycle occurs without further input of free energy (ATP) or reducing equivalents (NADPH).

The first reaction of the Calvin cycle is the phosphorylation of Ru5P by **phosphoribulokinase** to form **ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP).** Following the carboxylation of RuBP (Reaction 2; discussed below), the resulting 3PG is converted first to 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate (BPG) and then to GAP. The latter sequence is the reverse of two consecutive glycolytic reactions (Section 15-2G and 15-2F) except that the Calvin cycle reaction uses NADPH rather than NADH.

The second stage of the Calvin cycle begins with the reverse of a familiar glycolytic reaction, the isomerization of GAP to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) by triose phosphate isomerase (Section 15-2E). Following this, DHAP is directed along two analogous paths: Reactions 6 to 8 or Reactions 9 to 11. Reactions 6 and 9 are aldolase-catalyzed aldol condensations in which DHAP is linked to an aldehyde. Reaction 6 is also the reverse of a glycolytic reaction (Section 15-2D). Reactions 7 and 10 are phosphate hydrolysis reactions that are catalyzed, respectively, by fructose bisphosphatase (FBPase; Section 15-4B) and **sedoheptulose bisphosphatase (SBPase).** The remaining Calvin cycle reactions are catalyzed by enzymes that also participate in the pentose phosphate pathway. In Reactions 8 and 11, both catalyzed by transketolase, a C_2 keto unit (shaded

FIG. 19-26 The Calvin cycle. (*Opposite*) The number of lines in an arrow indicates the number of molecules reacting in that step for a single turn of the cycle that converts three CO_2 molecules to one GAP molecule. For the sake of clarity, the sugars are all shown in their linear forms, although the hexoses and heptoses predominantly exist in their cyclic forms. The ^{14}C-labeling patterns generated in one turn of the cycle through the use of $^{14}CO_2$ are indicated in red. Note that two of the product Ru5Ps are labeled only at C3, whereas the third Ru5P is equally labeled at C1, C2, and C3. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Write the net equation for the process shown here.

ATP
ADP
1
phospho-ribulo-kinase
Ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate (RuBP)
CO_2
2
ribulose bisphos-phate carboxylase
3-Phospho-glycerate (3PG)
ATP
ADP
3
phospho-glycerate kinase
1,3-Bisphospho-glycerate (BPG)
NADPH
$NADP^+ + P_i$
4
glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP)
Carbohydrate products
5
triose phosphate isomerase
Dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP)
6
aldolase
Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP)
7
fructose bisphosphatase
P_i
Fructose-6-phosphate (F6P)
8
transketolase
Erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P)
9
aldolase
Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate (SBP)
sedoheptulose bisphosphatase
10
P_i
Sedoheptulose-7-phosphate (S7P)
11
transketolase
Ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P)
Ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P)
13
ribose phosphate isomerase
12
phosphopentose epimerase
Ribose-5-phosphate (R5P)
Xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P)

green in Fig. 19-26) is transferred from a ketose to GAP to form xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P), leaving the aldoses erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P) in Reaction 8 and ribose-5-phosphate (R5P) in Reaction 11. The E4P produced by Reaction 8 feeds into Reaction 9. The Xu5Ps produced by Reactions 8 and 11 are converted to Ru5P by **phosphopentose epimerase** in Reaction 12. The R5P from Reaction 11 is also converted to Ru5P by **ribose phosphate isomerase** in Reaction 13, thereby completing a turn of the Calvin cycle. Only 3 of the 11 Calvin cycle enzymes—phosphoribulokinase, the carboxylation enzyme ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase, and SBPase—have no equivalents in animal tissues.

RuBP Carboxylase Catalyzes CO_2 Fixation. The enzyme that catalyzes CO_2 fixation, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase **(RuBP carboxylase),** is arguably the world's most important enzyme since nearly all life on earth ultimately depends on its action. This protein, presumably as a consequence of its low catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat} \approx 3\ s^{-1}$), accounts for up to 50% of leaf proteins and is therefore the most abundant protein in the biosphere. RuBP carboxylase from higher plants and most photosynthetic microorganisms consists of eight large (L) subunits (477 residues in tobacco leaves) encoded by chloroplast DNA, and eight small (S) subunits (123 residues) specified by a nuclear gene (the RuBP carboxylase from certain photosynthetic bacteria is an L_2 dimer whose L subunit has 28% sequence identity with and is structurally similar to that of the L_8S_8 enzyme). X-Ray studies by Carl-Ivar Brändén and by David Eisenberg demonstrated that the L_8S_8 enzyme has the symmetry of a square prism (Fig. 19-27*a*,*b*). The L subunit is made of a β sheet domain and an α/β barrel domain that contains the enzyme's catalytic site (Fig. 19-27*c*). The function of the S subunit is unknown; attempts to show that it has a regulatory role, in analogy with other enzymes, have been unsuccessful.

The accepted mechanism of RuBP carboxylase, which was largely formulated by Calvin, is indicated in Fig. 19-28. Abstraction of the C3 proton of RuBP, the reaction's rate-determining step, generates an enediolate that nucleophilically

FIG. 19-27 X-Ray structure of tobacco RuBP carboxylase in complex with the transition state inhibitor 2-carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate. The L_8S_8 protein has D_4 symmetry (the symmetry of a square prism; Fig. 6-34*b*). (*a*) Surface diagram viewed along the protein's fourfold axis and (*b*) along its twofold axis. Parts *a* and *b* are related by a 90° rotation about the horizontal axis. The elongated L subunits can be considered to associate as two interdigitated tetramers, with that extending from the top in Part *b* cyan and that extending from the bottom green. The S subunits, which form square tetramers that cap the top and bottom of the complex, are alternately yellow and orange. The 2-carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate is drawn in stick form with C green, O red, and P orange. (*c*) Ribbon diagram of an L subunit oriented as is the central green subunit in Part *b* and colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The 2-carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate is bound in the substrate-binding site at the mouth of the enzyme's α/β barrel. [Based on an X-ray structure by David Eisenberg, UCLA. PDBid 1RLC.]

FIG. 19-28 Mechanism of the RuBP carboxylase reaction. The reaction proceeds via an enediolate intermediate that nucleophilically attacks CO_2 to form a β-keto acid. This intermediate reacts with water to yield two molecules of 3PG. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

attacks CO_2. The resulting β-keto acid is rapidly attacked at its C3 position by H_2O to yield an adduct that splits, by a reaction similar to aldol cleavage, to yield the two product 3PG molecules. *The driving force for the overall reaction, which is highly exergonic ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -35.1\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$), is provided by the cleavage of the β-keto acid intermediate to yield an additional resonance-stabilized carboxylate group.*

RuBP carboxylase activity requires Mg^{2+}, which probably stabilizes developing negative charges during catalysis. The Mg^{2+} is, in part, bound to the enzyme by a catalytically important carbamate group (—NH—COO^-) that is generated by the reaction of a nonsubstrate CO_2 with the ε-amino group of Lys 201. This essential reaction is catalyzed *in vivo* by the enzyme **RuBP carboxylase activase** in an ATP-driven process.

B Calvin Cycle Products Are Converted to Starch, Sucrose, and Cellulose

The overall stoichiometry of the Calvin cycle is

$$3\ CO_2 + 9\ ATP + 6\ NADPH \rightarrow GAP + 9\ ADP + 8\ P_i + 6\ NADP^+$$

GAP, the primary product of photosynthesis, is used in a variety of biosynthetic pathways, both inside and outside the chloroplast. For example, it can be converted to fructose-6-phosphate by the further action of Calvin cycle enzymes and then to glucose-1-phosphate (G1P) by phosphoglucose isomerase and phosphoglucomutase (Section 16-1C). *G1P is the precursor of the higher order carbohydrates characteristic of plants.*

The polysaccharide α-amylose, a major component of starch (Section 8-2C), is synthesized in the chloroplast stroma as a temporary storage depot for glucose units. It is also synthesized as a long-term storage molecule elsewhere in the plant, including leaves, seeds, and roots. G1P is first activated by its reaction with ATP to form ADP–glucose as catalyzed by **ADP–glucose pyrophosphorylase. Starch synthase** then transfers the glucose residue to the nonreducing end of an

FIG. 19-29 Starch synthesis. ADP–glucose is formed from G1P and ATP in a phosphoanhydride exchange reaction (**1**). The PP_i product is rapidly hydrolyzed. ADP–glucose is the substrate for starch synthase (**2**), which adds the glucose residue to an existing polysaccharide, releasing ADP.

? What is the net cost of this reaction in ATP equivalents?

α-amylose molecule, forming a new glycosidic linkage (Fig. 19-29). The overall reaction is driven by the exergonic hydrolysis of the PP_i released in the formation of ADP–glucose. A similar reaction sequence occurs in glycogen synthesis, which uses UDP–glucose (Section 16-2).

Sucrose, a disaccharide of glucose and fructose (Section 8-2A), is the major transport sugar for delivering carbohydrates to nonphotosynthesizing cells and hence is the major photosynthetic product of green leaves. Since sucrose is synthesized in the cytosol, either glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate or dihydroxyacetone phosphate is transported out of the chloroplast by an antiporter that exchanges phosphate for a triose phosphate. Two trioses combine to form fructose-6-phosphate (F6P) and subsequently glucose-1-phosphate (G1P), which is then activated by UTP to form UDP–glucose. Next, sucrose-6-phosphate is produced in a reaction catalyzed by **sucrose-phosphate synthase.** Finally, sucrose-6-phosphate is hydrolyzed by **sucrose-phosphate phosphatase** to yield sucrose (*at left*), which is then exported to other plant tissues.

Cellulose, which consists of long chains of β(1 → 4)-linked glucose units and is the major polysaccharide of plants, is also synthesized from UDP–glucose. Plant cell walls consist of nearly crystalline cables containing ~36 cellulose chains, all embedded in an amorphous matrix of other polysaccharides and lignin (Section 8-2B). Unlike starch in plants or glycogen in mammals, cellulose is synthesized by multisubunit enzyme complexes in the plant plasma membrane and extruded into the extracellular space.

C The Calvin Cycle Is Controlled Indirectly by Light

During the day, plants satisfy their energy needs via the light and dark reactions of photosynthesis. At night, however, like other organisms, they must use their nutritional reserves to generate ATP and NADPH through glycolysis, oxidative

TABLE 19-1 Standard and Physiological Free Energy Changes for the Reactions of the Calvin Cycle

Step[a]	Enzyme	$\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)	ΔG ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)
1	Phosphoribulokinase	−21.8	−15.9
2	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase	−35.1	−41.0
3 + 4	Phosphoglycerate kinase + glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	+ 18.0	−6.7
5	Triose phosphate isomerase	−7.5	−0.8
6	Aldolase	−21.8	−1.7
7	Fructose bisphosphatase	−14.2	−27.2
8	Transketolase	+6.3	−3.8
9	Aldolase	−23.4	−0.8
10	Sedoheptulose bisphosphatase	−14.2	−29.7
11	Transketolase	+0.4	−5.9
12	Phosphopentose epimerase	+0.8	−0.4
13	Ribose phosphate isomerase	+ 2.1	−0.4

[a]Refer to Fig. 19-26.

Source: Bassham, J.A. and Buchanan, B.B., *in* Govindjee (Ed.), *Photosynthesis,* Vol. II, p. 155, Academic Press (1982).

phosphorylation, and the pentose phosphate pathway. Since the stroma contains the enzymes of glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway as well as those of the Calvin cycle, *plants must have a light-sensitive control mechanism to prevent the Calvin cycle from consuming this catabolically produced ATP and NADPH in a wasteful futile cycle.*

As we have seen, the control of flux in a metabolic pathway occurs at enzymatic steps that are far from equilibrium (large negative value of ΔG). Inspection of **Table 19-1** indicates that the three best candidates for flux control in the Calvin cycle are the reactions catalyzed by RuBP carboxylase, FBPase, and SBPase (Reactions 2, 7, and 10 of Fig. 19-26). In fact, the catalytic efficiencies of the three enzymes all vary *in vivo* with the level of illumination.

The activity of RuBP carboxylase responds to three light-dependent factors:

1. pH. On illumination, the pH of the stroma increases from ~7.0 to ~8.0 as protons are pumped from the stroma into the thylakoid lumen. RuBP carboxylase has a sharp pH optimum near pH 8.0.
2. $[Mg^{2+}]$. Recall that the light-induced influx of protons to the thylakoid lumen is accompanied by the efflux of Mg^{2+} to the stroma (Section 19-2D). This Mg^{2+} stimulates RuBP carboxylase.
3. The transition state analog **2-carboxyarabinitol-1-phosphate (CA1P).**

2-Carboxyarabinitol-1-phosphate (CA1P)

Many plants synthesize this compound, which inhibits RuBP carboxylase, only in the dark. RuBP carboxylase activase facilitates the release of

FIG. 19-30 The light-activation mechanism of FBPase and SBPase. Photoactivated PSI reduces soluble ferredoxin (Fd), which reduces ferredoxin–thioredoxin reductase, which, in turn, reduces the disulfide linkage of thioredoxin. Reduced thioredoxin reacts with the inactive bisphosphatases by disulfide interchange, thereby activating the flux-controlling Calvin cycle enzymes.

the tight-binding CA1P from RuBP carboxylase as well as catalyzing its carbamoylation (Section 19-3A).

FBPase and SBPase are also activated by increased pH and [Mg^{2+}], and by NADPH as well. The effect of these factors is complemented by a second regulatory system that responds to the redox potential of the stroma. **Thioredoxin,** an ~105-residue protein that occurs in many types of cells, contains a reversibly reducible disulfide group. Reduced thioredoxin activates both FBPase and SBPase by a disulfide interchange reaction (**Fig. 19-30**). The redox level of thioredoxin is maintained by a second disulfide-containing enzyme, **ferredoxin–thioredoxin reductase,** which directly responds to the redox state of the soluble ferredoxin in the stroma. This in turn varies with the illumination level. The thioredoxin system also deactivates phosphofructokinase (PFK), the main flux-generating enzyme of glycolysis (Section 15-4A). Thus, in plants, *light stimulates the Calvin cycle while deactivating glycolysis, whereas darkness has the opposite effect* (that is, the so-called dark reactions do not occur in the dark).

D Photorespiration Competes with Photosynthesis

It has been known since the 1960s that *illuminated plants consume O_2 and evolve CO_2 in a pathway distinct from oxidative phosphorylation. In fact, at low CO_2 and high O_2 levels, this* ***photorespiration*** *process can outstrip photosynthetic CO_2 fixation.* The basis of photorespiration was unexpected: *O_2 competes with CO_2 as a substrate for RuBP carboxylase* (RuBP carboxylase is therefore also called **RuBP carboxylase–oxygenase** or **RuBisCO**). In the oxygenase reaction, O_2 reacts with the enzyme's other substrate, RuBP, to form 3PG and **2-phosphoglycolate** (**Fig. 19-31**). The 2-phosphoglycolate is hydrolyzed to **glycolate** by **phosphoglycolate phosphatase** and, as described below, is partially oxidized to yield CO_2 by a series of enzymatic reactions that occur in the **peroxisome** and the mitochondrion. Thus, photorespiration is a seemingly wasteful process that undoes some of the work of photosynthesis. In this section we discuss the biochemical basis of photorespiration and how certain plants manage to evade its deleterious effects.

Photorespiration Dissipates ATP and NADPH. The photorespiration pathway is outlined in **Fig. 19-32**. Glycolate is exported from the chloroplast to the

FIG. 19-31 Probable mechanism of the oxygenase reaction catalyzed by RuBP carboxylase–oxygenase. Note the similarity of this mechanism to that of the carboxylase reaction catalyzed by the same enzyme (Fig. 19-28).

peroxisome (also called the glyoxysome; Section 17-5C), where it is oxidized by **glycolate oxidase** to glyoxylate and H_2O_2. The H_2O_2, a potentially harmful oxidizing agent, is converted to H_2O and O_2 by the heme-containing enzyme catalase (Section 18-4B). The glyoxylate can be converted to glycine in a transamination reaction, as is discussed in Section 21-2A, and exported to the mitochondrion. There, two molecules of glycine are converted to one molecule of serine and one of CO_2. *This is the origin of the CO_2 generated by photorespiration.* The serine is transported back to the peroxisome, where a transamination reaction converts it to **hydroxypyruvate.** This substance is reduced to **glycerate** and phosphory-

FIG. 19-32 Photorespiration. This pathway metabolizes the phosphoglycolate produced by the RuBP carboxylase–catalyzed oxidation of RuBP. The reactions occur, as indicated, in the chloroplast, the peroxisome, the mitochondrion, and the cytosol. Note that two glycines are required to form serine + CO_2.

? Write a chemical equation for photorespiration.

lated in the cytosol to 3PG, which reenters the chloroplast and is reconverted to RuBP in the Calvin cycle. *The net result of this complex photorespiration cycle is that some of the ATP and NADPH generated by the light reactions is uselessly dissipated and previously fixed CO_2 is lost.*

Although photorespiration has no known metabolic function, the RuBP carboxylases from the great variety of photosynthetic organisms so far tested all exhibit oxygenase activity, which is estimated to result in a 30% loss of photosynthetic efficiency. Yet, over the eons, the forces of evolution must have optimized the function of this important enzyme. Photorespiration may confer a selective advantage by protecting the photosynthetic apparatus from photooxidative damage when insufficient CO_2 is available to otherwise dissipate its absorbed light energy. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that when chloroplasts or leaf cells are brightly illuminated in the absence of both CO_2 and O_2, their photosynthetic capacity is rapidly and irreversibly lost. In addition, different types of photosynthetic organisms have evolved several strategies for minimizing photorespiration by concentrating CO_2 in the vicinity of rubisco.

C_4 Plants Concentrate CO_2. On a hot, bright day, when photosynthesis has depleted the level of CO_2 at the chloroplast and raised that of O_2, the rate of photorespiration approaches the rate of photosynthesis. This phenomenon is a major limitation on the growth of many plants (and is therefore an important agricultural problem that is being attacked through genetic engineering studies—none of which has yet been successful). However, *certain species of plants, such as sugarcane, corn, and most important weeds, have a metabolic cycle that concentrates CO_2 in their photosynthetic cells, thereby almost totally preventing photorespiration.* The leaves of plants that have this so-called **C_4 cycle** have a characteristic anatomy. Their fine veins are concentrically surrounded by a single layer of so-called **bundle-sheath cells,** which in turn are surrounded by a layer of **mesophyll cells.**

The C_4 cycle (Fig. 19-33) was elucidated in the 1960s by Marshall Hatch and Rodger Slack. It begins when mesophyll cells, which lack RuBP carboxylase, take up atmospheric CO_2 by condensing it as HCO_3^- with phosphoenolpyruvate

FIG. 19-33 The C_4 pathway. CO_2 is concentrated in the mesophyll cells and transported to the bundle-sheath cells for entry into the Calvin cycle.

(PEP) to yield oxaloacetate. The oxaloacetate is reduced by NADPH to malate, which is exported to the bundle-sheath cells (the name C_4 refers to these four-carbon acids). There, the malate is oxidatively decarboxylated by NADP to form CO_2, pyruvate, and NADPH. The CO_2, which has been concentrated by this process, enters the Calvin cycle. The pyruvate is returned to the mesophyll cells, where it is phosphorylated to regenerate PEP. The enzyme that mediates this reaction, **pyruvate-phosphate dikinase,** has the unusual action of simultaneously phosphorylating pyruvate and P_i with ATP's β and γ phosphoryl groups, respectively. *The C_4 pathway thereby concentrates CO_2 in the bundle-sheath cells at the expense of 2 ATP equivalents. Consequently, photosynthesis in C_4 plants consumes a total of 5 ATP per CO_2 fixed versus the 3 ATP required by the Calvin cycle alone.*

C_4 plants occur largely in tropical regions because they grow faster under hot and sunny conditions than other, so-called **C_3 plants** (so named because they initially fix CO_2 in the form of three-carbon acids). In cooler climates, where photorespiration is less of a burden, C_3 plants have the advantage because they require less energy to fix CO_2.

CAM Plants Store CO_2 through a Variant of the C_4 Cycle. A variant of the C_4 cycle that separates CO_2 acquisition and the Calvin cycle in time rather than in space occurs in many desert-dwelling succulent plants. If these plants opened their stomata (pores in the leaves) by day to acquire CO_2, as most plants do, they would lose unacceptable amounts of water by evaporation. To minimize this loss, these succulents absorb CO_2 only at night and use the reactions of the C_4 pathway (Fig. 19-33) to store it as malate. This process is known as **crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM)**, so named because it was first discovered in plants of the family Crassulaceae. The large amount of PEP necessary to store a day's supply of CO_2 is obtained by the breakdown of starch via glycolysis. During the course of the day, the malate is broken down to CO_2, which enters the Calvin cycle, and pyruvate, which is used to resynthesize starch. CAM plants are thus able to carry out photosynthesis with minimal water loss.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain the relationship between the light and dark reactions.
- Summarize the two stages of the Calvin cycle.
- How many different types of reactions (e.g., phosphorylation, isomerization) occur in the Calvin cycle?
- Which Calvin cycle steps are similar to those of the pentose phosphate pathway?
- Describe the chemistry of the RuBP carboxylase reaction.
- Describe how GAP produced by the Calvin cycle is converted to sucrose, starch, and cellulose.
- How do pH, Mg^{2+}, and ferredoxin link the light reactions with control of the Calvin cycle?
- Compare the mechanisms of carboxylation and oxygenation of RuBP.
- What is the apparent advantage of photorespiration?
- How do plants minimize photorespiration?

Summary

1 Chloroplasts

- Photosynthesis is the process whereby light energy drives the reduction of CO_2 to yield carbohydrates. In plants and cyanobacteria, photosynthesis oxidizes water to O_2.
- In plants, the photosynthetic machinery consists of protein complexes embedded in the thylakoid membrane and enzymes dissolved in the stroma of chloroplasts.
- Chlorophyll and other light-absorbing pigments are organized in light-harvesting complexes that funnel light energy to photosynthetic reaction centers (RCs).

2 The Light Reactions

- The purple bacterial photosynthetic reaction center (PbRC) undergoes photooxidation when it absorbs a photon. The excited electron passes through a series of electron carriers before reducing ubiquinone. The reduced ubiquinone is reoxidized by cytochrome bc_1, which in the process translocates four protons from the cytosol to the periplasmic space via a Q cycle. The electron is then returned to the PbRC via an electron-transport chain resulting in no net oxidation–reduction.
- In plants and cyanobacteria, photosystems I and II (PSI and PSII) operate in electrical series in an arrangement known as the Z-scheme. The oxidation of water by the Mn-containing oxygen-evolving center (OEC) is driven by the photooxidation of PSII.
- The electrons released by the photooxidation of PSII are transferred, via plastoquinone, to the cytochrome b_6f complex, which mediates a proton-translocating Q cycle while passing the electrons to plastocyanin.
- The photooxidation of PSI drives the electrons obtained from plastocyanin to ferredoxin and then to $NADP^+$ to produce NADPH. In cyclic electron flow, however, electrons return to cytochrome b_6f, thereby bypassing the need for PSII photooxidation.
- The reaction centers of the PbRC, PSII, and PSI have similar structures and mechanisms and therefore appear to have arisen from a common ancestor.
- In photophosphorylation, the protons released by the oxidation of H_2O and proton translocation into the thylakoid lumen generate a transmembrane proton gradient that is tapped by chloroplast ATP synthase to drive the phosphorylation of ADP. A similar process occurs in purple photosynthetic bacteria.

3 The Dark Reactions

- The dark reactions use the ATP and NADPH produced in the light reactions to power the synthesis of carbohydrates from CO_2. In the first phase of the Calvin cycle, CO_2 reacts with ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) to ultimately yield glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP). The remaining reactions of the cycle regenerate the RuBP acceptor of CO_2.

• RuBP carboxylase, the key enzyme of the dark reactions, is regulated by pH, [Mg^{2+}], and the inhibitory compound 2-carboxyarabinitol-1-phosphate (CA1P). The two bisphosphatases of the Calvin cycle are controlled by the redox state of the chloroplast via disulfide interchange reactions mediated in part by thioredoxin.

• Photorespiration, in which plants consume O_2 and evolve CO_2, uses the ATP and NADPH produced by the light reactions. C_4 plants minimize the oxygenase activity of RuBP carboxylase (RuBisCO) by concentrating CO_2 in their photosynthetic cells. CAM plants use a related mechanism to conserve water.

Key Terms

photosynthesis **630**
light reactions **630**
dark reactions **630**
stroma **631**
thylakoid **632**
grana **632**
stromal lamella **632**
photosynthetic reaction center **633**
antenna chlorophyll **633**
photon **633**
LHC **634**
accessory pigment **634**
Planck's law **635**
internal conversion **636**
fluorescence **636**
exciton transfer (resonance energy transfer) **636**
photooxidation **636**
special pair **637**
photosystem II (PSII) **639**
photosystem I (PSI) **639**
Z-scheme **641**
oxygen-evolving center (OEC) **642**
photophosphorylation **650**
Calvin cycle **651**
photorespiration **658**
C_4 plant **661**
C_3 plant **661**
CAM **661**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. The net equation for oxidative phosphorylation can be written as

$$2\,NADH + 2\,H^+ + O_2 \rightarrow 2\,H_2O + 2\,NAD^+$$

Write an analogous equation for the light reactions of photosynthesis.

2. Green sulfur bacteria use H_2S as an electron donor for photosynthesis. Write an equation that describes photosynthesis in these organisms.

3. The "red tide" is a massive proliferation of certain algal species that causes seawater to become visibly red. Describe the spectral characteristics of the dominant photosynthetic pigments in the algae.

4. Explain why the chlorophylls in light-harvesting complexes must absorb light of shorter wavelength than the light that would directly excite the special pair of PSI or PSII.

5. Calculate the energy of one mole of photons of red light ($\lambda = 700$ nm).

6. How many moles of ATP could theoretically be synthesized under standard conditions using the energy of the photons in Problem 5?

7. The three electron-transporting complexes of the thylakoid membrane can be called plastocyanin–ferredoxin oxidoreductase, plastoquinone–plastocyanin oxidoreductase, and water–plastoquinone oxidoreductase. What are the common names of these enzymes and in what order do they act?

8. $H_2{}^{18}O$ is added to a suspension of chloroplasts capable of photosynthesis. Where does the label appear when the suspension is exposed to sunlight?

9. Describe the functional similarities between the purple bacterial photosynthetic reaction center and PSI.

10. Calculate the change in free energy for the transit of two electrons from the quinone pool to cytochrome c_2 in purple bacterial photosynthetic electron transport. Assume that the reduction potential of cytochrome c_2 is similar to those of other *c*-type cytochromes.

11. Estimate the change in free energy when P960 undergoes photooxidation.

12. Calculate $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ and $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for the light reactions in plants, that is, the four-electron oxidation of H_2O by $NADP^+$.

13. Although the net equation for photosynthesis indicates that the process can be measured in terms of either O_2 produced or CO_2 fixed, in practice, these measurements are not necessarily equivalent. Explain.

14. Why is it possible for chloroplasts to absorb much more than 8–10 photons per O_2 molecule evolved?

15. Predict the effect of adding myxothiazol, an inhibitor of electron transport in mitochondrial Complex III, to a suspension of chloroplasts exposed to light. Would either ATP or NADPH production be affected?

16. Why would "knocking out" a gene for a chloroplast fatty acid desaturase (an enzyme involved in synthesizing fatty acids containing three double bonds) increase the rate of photosynthesis at 40°C, a temperature at which photosynthesis is normally impaired?

17. Calculate the free energy change for moving a proton from the thylakoid lumen to the stroma when $\Delta pH = 3.4$, $\Delta\Psi = 0$, and $T = 25°C$.

18. Chloroplast ATP synthase contains 14 *c* subunits. How many protons must be translocated to the thylakoid lumen to support the synthesis of one ATP?

19. Predict the effect of an uncoupler such as dinitrophenol (Fig. 18-29) on production of ATP in a chloroplast.

20. Predict the effect of an uncoupler such as dinitrophenol (Fig. 18-29) on production of NADPH in a chloroplast.

21. *Arabidopsis thaliana* chloroplasts contain a K^+ channel in the thylakoid stromal lamellae. Propose a function for this channel.

22. Would the activity of the *A. thaliana* K^+ channel described in Problem 21 increase or decrease in response to a rise in pH in the thylakoid lumen?

23. Chloroplasts are illuminated until the levels of the Calvin cycle intermediates reach a steady state. The light is then turned off. How does the level of RuBP vary after this point?

24. For the chloroplasts described in Problem 23, how does the level of 3PG vary after the light is turned off?

25. Cyanobacteria contain carboxysomes, which consist of a protein shell that encloses RuBP carboxylase and is permeable to small anions such as HCO_3^-. Explain why carbonic anhydrase is also a component of the carboxysome.

26. The shell of the cyanobacterial carboxysome described in Problem 25 is relatively impermeable to O_2. Why would this be an advantage for the bacteria?

27. In an effort to increase the efficiency of photosynthesis in crop plants, researchers have genetically engineered tobacco plants (a model organism) to express cyanobacterial RuBP carboxylase, which has lower oxygenase activity. However, tobacco plant growth was not enhanced unless the plants were also engineered to express the cyanobacterial genes for bicarbonate transporters. Explain this observation.

28. Describe the effects of an increase in oxygen pressure on the dark reactions of photosynthesis.

29. The leaves of some species of desert plants taste sour in the early morning, but, as the day wears on, they become tasteless and then bitter. Explain.

30. Plants must obtain CO_2 but avoid the loss of H_2O by evaporation. What would be the effect of increased atmospheric [CO_2] on photosynthesis? Would the effect be the same for C_3 and C_4 plants?

31. How might the increase in atmospheric [CO_2] affect plants' water consumption?

32. C_4 plants collect CO_2 in mesophyll cells, which are close to the leaf surface, then transfer it to bundle-sheath cells, which are rich in RuBP carboxylase and surround the "veins" that deliver water to the leaf tissue. C_3 plants carry out the entire Calvin cycle in mesophyll cells and have relatively fewer bundle-sheath cells. Explain why C_4 plants have an advantage over C_3 plants under drought conditions.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

33. Chlorophyll *f,* which occurs in some species of cyanobacteria that grow under low illumination, has an absorption maximum of 706 nm, whereas other types of chlorophylls show absorption maxima at shorter wavelengths. Why is it advantageous for the cyanobacteria to produce chlorophyll *f*?

34. The cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria sancta* appears reddish-brown when grown under green light but alters its gene expression patterns and becomes blue-green when grown under red light. Explain this observation.

35. Use the solutions of Problems 19-5 and 19-12 to calculate how many moles of photons of red light ($\lambda = 700$ nm) are theoretically required to drive the four-electron oxidation of H_2O by $NADP^+$ under standard conditions to produce one mole of O_2.

36. Use the solution of Problem 19-12 to calculate how many moles of photons of UV light ($\lambda = 220$ nm) would be required to drive the four-electron oxidation of H_2O by $NADP^+$ under standard conditions to produce one mole of O_2.

37. Under conditions of very high light intensity, excess absorbed solar energy is dissipated by the action of photoprotective proteins in the thylakoid membrane. Explain why it is advantageous for these proteins to be activated by buildup of the proton gradient across the membrane.

38. Which of the mechanisms for dissipating light energy shown in Fig. 19-6 would best protect the photosystems from excess light energy?

39. Calculate the energy cost of the Calvin cycle combined with glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation, that is, the ratio of the energy spent synthesizing starch from CO_2 and photosynthetically produced NADPH and ATP to the energy generated by the complete oxidation of starch. Assume that each NADPH is energetically equivalent to 2.5 ATP and that starch biosynthesis and breakdown are mechanistically identical to glycogen synthesis and breakdown.

40. Oil is a major energy-storage molecule in most seeds. During seed development in some plants, oil (triacylglycerols) is synthesized using acetyl-CoA derived from sucrose. The developing seed also contains RuBP carboxylase, although the Calvin cycle is not active. (a) How does the carboxylase maximize the efficiency of converting starch into oil? (b) The seeds that contain RuBP carboxylase are green, suggesting that they harvest some light energy. Explain why some energy collection would be useful in the developing seed.

41. One aspect of climate change is that average nighttime temperatures have increased more than average daytime temperatures have increased. Since the rates of metabolic processes, including photosynthesis and cellular respiration, depend on temperature, how might climate change affect plant growth?

42. Experiments to address the day-night discrepancy in warming (see Problem 41) indicate that climate change has inhibited plant growth in warm dry areas more than in cool wet areas. Explain this observation.

43. If a C_3 plant and a C_4 plant are placed together in a sealed illuminated box with sufficient moisture, the C_4 plant thrives while the C_3 plant sickens and eventually dies. Explain.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Viewing and Analyzing Photosystems I and II

Exercise 2 Photosynthesis and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE Five distinct pathways for fixing CO_2—that is, incorporating it into biological molecules—have been described. In what types of organisms do the different pathways occur? What is the free energy source for carbon fixation in each case? Which of the processes can be considered to be photosynthesis?

References

Amunts, A., Drory, O., and Nelson, N., The structure of plant photosystem I at 3.4 Å resolution, *Nature* **447,** 58–63 (2007).

Busch A, Hippler M., The structure and function of eukaryotic photosystem I, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1807,** 864–77(2011).

Evans, J.R., Improving photosynthesis, *Plant Physiol.* **162,** 1780–93 (2013).

Fromme, P. (Ed.), *Photosynthetic Protein Complexes. A Structural Approach,* Wiley–Blackwell (2008).

Guskov, A., Kern, J., Gabdulkhakov, A., Broser, M., Zouni, A., and Saenger, W., Cyanobacterial photosystem II at 2.9-Å resolution and the roles of quinones, lipids, channels, and chloride, *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* **16,** 334–342 (2009). [The X-ray structure of PSII.]

Heathcote, P., Fyfe, P.K., and Jones, M.R., Reaction centres: the structure and evolution of biological solar power, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **27,** 79–87 (2002).

Jordan, P., Fromme, P., Witt, H.T., Klukas, O., Saenger, W., and Krauss, N., Three-dimensional structure of cyanobacterial photosystem I at 2.5 Å resolution, *Nature* **411,** 909–917 (2001).

Koepke, J., Hu, X., Muenke, C., Schulen, K., and Michel, H., The crystal structure of the light-harvesting complex II (B800–850) from *Rhodospirillum molischianum, Structure* **4,** 581–597 (1996).

Kurisu, G., Zhang, H., Smith, J.L., and Cramer, W.A., Structure of the cytochrome b_6f complex of oxygenic photosynthesis: Tuning the cavity, *Science* **302,** 1009–1014 (2003); *and* Stroebel, D., Choquet, Y., Popot, J.-L., and Picot, D., An atypical haem in the cytochrome b_6f complex, *Nature* **426,** 413–418 (2003).

Suga, M., et al., Native structure of photosystem II at 1.95 Å resolution viewed by femtosecond X-ray pulses, *Nature* **517,** 99–103 (2015).

Vinyard, D.J., Ananyev, G.M., and Dismukes, G.C., Photosystem II: the reaction center of oxygenic photosynthesis, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 577–606 (2013).

CHAPTER 20

Lipid Metabolism

Chapter Contents

1 **Lipid Digestion, Absorption, and Transport**
- A Triacylglycerols Are Digested before They Are Absorbed
- B Lipids Are Transported as Lipoproteins

2 **Fatty Acid Oxidation**
- A Fatty Acids Are Activated by Their Attachment to Coenzyme A
- B Carnitine Carries Acyl Groups across the Mitochondrial Membrane
- C β Oxidation Degrades Fatty Acids to Acetyl-CoA
- D Oxidation of Unsaturated Fatty Acids Requires Additional Enzymes
- E Oxidation of Odd-Chain Fatty Acids Yields Propionyl-CoA
- F Peroxisomal β Oxidation Differs from Mitochondrial β Oxidation

3 **Ketone Bodies**

4 **Fatty Acid Biosynthesis**
- A Mitochondrial Acetyl-CoA Must Be Transported into the Cytosol
- B Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase Produces Malonyl-CoA
- C Fatty Acid Synthase Catalyzes Seven Reactions
- D Fatty Acids May Be Elongated and Desaturated
- E Fatty Acids Are Esterified to Form Triacylglycerols

5 **Regulation of Fatty Acid Metabolism**

6 **Synthesis of Other Lipids**
- A Glycerophospholipids Are Built from Intermediates of Triacylglycerol Synthesis
- B Sphingolipids Are Built from Palmitoyl-CoA and Serine
- C C_{20} Fatty Acids Are the Precursors of Prostaglandins

7 **Cholesterol Metabolism**
- A Cholesterol Is Synthesized from Acetyl-CoA
- B HMG-CoA Reductase Controls the Rate of Cholesterol Synthesis
- C Abnormal Cholesterol Transport Leads to Atherosclerosis

Dr. Cecil H. Fox/Getty Images, Inc.

Excess cholesterol that accumulates in the walls of blood vessels causes inflammation and can trigger blood clots, leading to a heart attack or stroke. In this micrograph of a human aorta, the green shapes indicate the spaces that were occupied by needle-shaped cholesterol crystals.

Most cells contain a wide variety of lipids, but many of these structurally distinct molecules are functionally similar. For example, most cells can tolerate variations in the lipid composition of their membranes, provided that membrane fluidity, which is largely a property of their component fatty acid chains, is maintained (Section 9-2B).

Even greater variation is exhibited in the cellular content of lipids stored as energy reserves. Triacylglycerol stores are built up and gradually depleted in response to changing physiological demands. The camel's hump is a well-known example of a fat depot that supplies energy (the catabolism of the fat also generates water). Other organisms that undergo dramatic changes in body fat content are hibernating mammals and birds that migrate long distances without refueling. Lipid metabolism in those cases is notable for the sheer amount of material that flows through the few relatively simple biosynthetic and degradative pathways.

In this chapter, we acknowledge the central function of lipids in energy metabolism by first examining the absorption and transport of their component fatty acids and the oxidation of fatty acids to produce energy. The second part of this chapter examines the synthesis of fatty acids and other lipids, including glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids, and cholesterol.

1 Lipid Digestion, Absorption, and Transport

KEY CONCEPTS

- Triacylglycerols are broken down by lipases, and the products are absorbed by the intestine.
- Lipoproteins transport lipids between the intestines, liver, and other tissues.

Triacylglycerols (also called fats or triglycerides) constitute ~90% of dietary lipids and are the major form of metabolic energy storage in humans. Triacylglycerols consist of glycerol triesters of fatty acids such as palmitic and oleic acids

1-Palmitoyl-2,3-dioleoyl-glycerol

(the names and structural formulas of some biologically common fatty acids are listed in Table 9-1). The mechanisms for digesting, absorbing, and transporting triacylglycerols from the intestine to the tissues must accommodate their inherent hydrophobicity.

A Triacylglycerols Are Digested before They Are Absorbed

Since triacylglycerols are water insoluble, whereas digestive enzymes are water soluble, triacylglycerol digestion takes place at lipid–water interfaces. The rate of triacylglycerol digestion therefore depends on the surface area of the interface, which is greatly increased by the churning peristaltic movements of the intestine combined with the emulsifying action of **bile acids**. The bile acids (also called **bile salts**) are amphipathic detergent-like molecules that act to solubilize fat globules by dispersing them into micelles. Bile acids are cholesterol derivatives that are synthesized by the liver and secreted as glycine or **taurine** conjugates (**Fig. 20-1**) into the gallbladder for storage. From there, they are secreted into the small intestine, where lipid digestion and absorption mainly take place.

Lipases Act at the Lipid–Water Interface. Pancreatic **lipase (triacylglycerol lipase)** catalyzes the hydrolysis of triacylglycerols at their 1 and 3 positions to form sequentially **1,2-diacylglycerols** and **2-acylglycerols,** together with the Na^+ and K^+ salts of fatty acids (soaps). The enzymatic activity of pancreatic lipase greatly increases when it contacts the lipid–water interface, a phenomenon known as **interfacial activation**. Binding to the lipid–water interface requires mixed micelles of phosphatidylcholine and bile acids, as well as pancreatic **colipase**, a 90-residue protein that forms a 1:1 complex with lipase. The X-ray structures, determined by Christian Cambillau, of pancreatic lipase–colipase complexes reveal the structural basis of the interfacial activation of lipase as well

	$R_1 = OH$	$R_1 = H$
$R_2 = OH$	**Cholic acid**	**Chenodeoxycholic acid**
$R_2 = NH—CH_2—COOH$	**Glycocholic acid**	**Glycochenodeoxycholic acid**
$R_2 = NH—CH_2—CH_2—SO_3H$	**Taurocholic acid**	**Taurochenodeoxycholic acid**

FIG. 20-1 Structures of the major bile acids and their glycine and taurine conjugates.

? How do these molecules differ from cholesterol?

FIG. 20-2 X-Ray structures of pancreatic lipase in complex with colipase. (*a*) In aqueous solution, and (*b*) cocrystallized with mixed micelles of phosphatidylcholine and bile salts. The lipase is drawn in ribbon form with its N-terminal domain (residues 1–336) cyan, its C-terminal domain (residues 337–449) green, the lid (residues 237–262) magenta, and the β5 loop (residues 76–85) orange. The colipase is yellow. A phosphatidylcholine molecule that is bound in the lipase active site in Part *b* is shown in stick form with C green, O red, and P orange. The micelles, which have irregular structures, are not visible. [Based on X-ray structures by Christian Cambillau, LCCMB-CNRS, Marseille, France. PDBids 1N8S and 1LPB.]

Courtesy Raymond Salemme, E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Company

(*a*)

(*b*)

FIG. 20-3 Substrate binding to phospholipase A_2. (*a*) Hypothetical model of phospholipase A_2 in complex with a micelle of lysophosphatidylethanolamine as shown in cross section. The protein is drawn in cyan, the phospholipid head groups are yellow, and their hydrocarbon tails are blue. The calculated atomic motions of the assembly are indicated through a series of superimposed images taken at 5-ps intervals. (*b*) Schematic diagram of a phospholipid contained in a micelle entering the hydrophobic phospholipid channel in phospholipase A_2 (*red arrow*).

? What amino acid side chains are likely to occur in the lipid-binding channel? On the surface of the protein where it contacts the micelle?

as how colipase and micelles help lipase bind to the lipid–water interface (**Fig. 20-2**).

The active site of the 449-residue pancreatic lipase, which is contained in the enzyme's N-terminal domain (residues 1–336), contains a catalytic triad that closely resembles that in serine proteases (Section 11-5B; recall that ester hydrolysis is mechanistically similar to peptide hydrolysis). In the absence of lipid micelles, lipase's active site is covered by a 26-residue helical "lid." However, in the presence of the micelles, the lid undergoes a complex structural reorganization that exposes the active site. Simultaneously, a 10-residue loop, called the β5 loop, changes conformation in a way that forms the active enzyme's oxyanion hole and generates a hydrophobic surface near the entrance to the active site.

Colipase binds to the C-terminal domain of lipase (residues 337–449) such that the hydrophobic tips of its three loops extend from the complex. This creates a continuous hydrophobic plateau, extending >50 Å past the lipase active site, that presumably helps bind the complex to the lipid surface. Colipase also forms three hydrogen bonds to the opened lid, thereby stabilizing it in that conformation.

Other lipases, such as phospholipase A_2 (Fig. 9-6), also preferentially catalyze reactions at interfaces. Instead of changing its conformation, however, phospholipase A_2 contains a hydrophobic channel that provides the substrate with direct access from the phospholipid aggregate (micelle or membrane) surface to the bound enzyme's active site (**Fig. 20-3**). Hence, on leaving its micelle to bind to the enzyme, the substrate need not become solvated and then desolvated. In contrast, soluble and dispersed phospholipids must first surmount those significant kinetic barriers in order to bind to the enzyme.

Bile Acids and Fatty Acid–Binding Protein Facilitate the Intestinal Absorption of Lipids. The mixture of fatty acids and mono- and diacylglycerols produced by lipid digestion is absorbed by the cells lining the small intestine (the intestinal **mucosa**). *Bile acids not only aid lipid digestion; they are essential for the absorption of the digestion products.* The micelles formed by the bile acids take up the nonpolar lipid degradation products so as to permit their transport across the unstirred aqueous boundary layer at the intestinal wall. The importance of this process is demonstrated in individuals with obstructed bile ducts: They absorb little of their ingested lipids but, rather, eliminate them in hydrolyzed form in their feces. Bile acids are likewise required for the efficient intestinal absorption of the lipid-soluble vitamins A, D, E, and K.

Inside the intestinal cells, fatty acids form complexes with **intestinal fatty acid–binding protein (I-FABP),** a cytoplasmic protein that increases the effective solubility of the water-insoluble substances and also protects the cell from their detergent-like effects. The X-ray structure of rat I-FABP, determined by James Sacchettini, shows that this monomeric, 131-residue protein consists largely of 10 antiparallel β strands stacked in two approximately orthogonal β sheets (Fig. 20-4). A fatty acid molecule occupies a gap between two of the β strands, lying between the β sheets so that it is more or less parallel to the gapped β strands (a structure that has been dubbed a "β-clam"). The fatty acid's carboxyl group interacts with Arg 106, Gln 115, and two bound water molecules, whereas its tail is encased by the side chains of several hydrophobic, mostly aromatic residues.

FIG. 20-4 **X-Ray structure of rat intestinal fatty acid–binding protein in complex with palmitate.** The protein is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The palmitate is drawn in space-filling form with C green and O red. [Based on an X-ray structure by James Sacchettini, Texas A&M University. PDBid 2IFB.]

B Lipids Are Transported as Lipoproteins

The fatty acid products of lipid digestion that are absorbed by the intestinal mucosa make their way to other tissues for catabolism or storage. But because they are only sparingly soluble in aqueous solution, lipids are transported by the circulation in complex with proteins.

Lipoproteins Are Complexes of Lipid and Protein. **Lipoproteins** *are globular micelle-like particles that consist of a nonpolar core of triacylglycerols and cholesteryl esters surrounded by an amphiphilic coating of protein, phospholipid, and cholesterol.* There are five classes of lipoproteins (Table 20-1), which vary in composition and physiological function.

Intestinal mucosal cells convert dietary fatty acids to triacylglycerols and package them, along with dietary cholesterol, into lipoproteins called **chylomicrons.** These particles are released into the intestinal lymph and are transported through the lymphatic vessels before draining into the large veins. (The lymphatic circulation serves to collect extracellular fluid that leaks out of blood vessels under high pressure.) The bloodstream then delivers chylomicrons throughout the body. Other lipoproteins known as **very low density lipoproteins (VLDL), intermediate density lipoproteins (IDL),** and **low density lipoproteins (LDL)** are synthesized by the liver to transport endogenous (internally produced) triacylglycerols and cholesterol from the liver to the tissues. **High density lipoproteins (HDL)** transport cholesterol and other lipids from the tissues back to the liver.

TABLE 20-1 Characteristics of the Major Classes of Lipoproteins in Human Plasma

	Chylomicrons	VLDL	IDL	LDL	HDL
Density ($g \cdot cm^{-3}$)	<0.95	<1.006	1.006–1.019	1.019–1.063	1.063–1.210
Particle diameter (Å)	750–12,000	300–800	250–350	180–250	50–120
Particle mass (kD)	400,000	10,000–80,000	5000–10,000	2300	175–360
% Protein[a]	1.5–2.5	5–10	15–20	20–25	40–55
% Phospholipids[a]	7–9	15–20	22	15–20	20–35
% Free cholesterol[a]	1–3	5–10	8	7–10	3–4
% Triacylglycerols[b]	84–89	50–65	22	7–10	3–5
% Cholesteryl esters[b]	3–5	10–15	30	35–40	12
Major apolipoproteins	A-I, A-II, B-48, C-I, C-II, C-III, E	B-100, C-I, C-II, C-III, E	B-100, C-I, C-II, C-III, E	B-100	A-I, A-II, C-I, C-II, C-III, D, E

[a]Surface components.

[b]Core lipids.

FIG. 20-5 Diagram of LDL, the major cholesterol carrier of the bloodstream. This spheroidal particle consists of some 1500 cholesteryl ester molecules surrounded by an amphiphilic coat of ~800 phospholipid molecules, ~500 cholesterol molecules, and a single 4536-residue molecule of apolipoprotein B-100.

? Explain why the protein must be amphiphilic.

Each lipoprotein contains just enough protein, phospholipid, and cholesterol to form an ~20-Å-thick monolayer of these substances on the particle surface (Fig. 20-5). Lipoprotein densities increase with decreasing particle diameter because the density of their outer coating is greater than that of their inner core. Thus, the HDL, which are the most dense of the lipoproteins, are also the smallest.

Apolipoproteins Coat Lipoprotein Surfaces. The protein components of lipoproteins are known as **apolipoproteins** or just **apoproteins.** At least nine apolipoproteins are distributed in different amounts in the human lipoproteins (Table 20-1). For example, LDL contain **apolipoprotein B-100 (apoB-100).** This protein, a 4536-residue monomer (and thus one of the largest monomeric proteins known), has a hydrophobicity approaching that of integral membrane proteins. Each LDL particle contains only one molecule of apoB-100, which appears to cover at least half of the particle surface (Fig. 20-5).

Unlike apoB-100, the other apolipoproteins are water soluble and associate rather weakly with lipoproteins. These apolipoproteins also have a high helix content, which increases when they are incorporated into lipoproteins. Contact with a hydrophobic surface apparently favors formation of helices, which satisfy the hydrogen bonding potential of the protein's polar backbone groups. In addition, *the helices in apolipoproteins have hydrophilic and hydrophobic side chains on opposite sides of the helical cylinder, suggesting that lipoprotein α helices are amphipathic and float on phospholipid surfaces, much like logs on water.* The charged head groups of the lipids presumably bind to oppositely charged residues on the helix while the first few methylene groups of their fatty acyl chains associate with the nonpolar face of the helix.

Apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I), which occurs in chylomicrons and HDL, is a 243-residue, 29-kD polypeptide. It consists largely of tandem 22-residue segments of similar sequence. The X-ray structure of a truncated apolipoprotein A-I (lacking residues 1–43) reveals that the polypeptide chain forms a pseudocontinuous α helix that is punctuated by kinks at Pro residues spaced about every 22 residues. Four monomers associate to form the structure shown in Fig. 20-6*a*. The size and twisted elliptical shape of the complex seem ideal for wrapping around an HDL particle. Figure 20-6*b* contains a helical wheel representation of a portion of apoA-I, illustrating the amphipathic nature of the helix.

Chylomicrons Are Delipidated in the Capillaries of Peripheral Tissues. Chylomicrons adhere to binding sites on the inner surface (endothelium) of the capillaries in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. The chylomicron's component triacylglycerols are hydrolyzed through the action of the extracellular enzyme **lipoprotein lipase.** The tissues then take up the liberated monoacylglycerol and fatty acids. The chylomicrons shrink as their triacylglycerols are progressively hydrolyzed until they are reduced to cholesterol-

FIG. 20-6 Structure of human apolipoprotein A-I. (*a*) The X-ray structure of the D_2-symmetric homotetramer with its four subunits, which lack their N-terminal 43 residues, drawn in different colors. The complex, which has a twisted ellipsoidal shape, is viewed along one of its twofold axes. [Based on an X-ray structure by David Borhani, Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama, and Christie Brouillette, University of Alabama Medical Center, Birmingham, Alabama. PDBid 1AV1.] (*b*) A helical wheel projection of the amphipathic α helix constituting residues 148 to 164 of apolipoprotein A-I (in a helical wheel representation, the side chain positions are projected down the helix axis onto a plane). Note the segregation of nonpolar and polar residues to different sides of the helix as well as the segregation of the basic residues to the outer edges of the polar surface, where they can interact with the anionic head groups of membrane lipids. Other apolipoprotein helices have similar polarity distributions. [After Kaiser, E.T., *in* Oxender, D.L. and Fox, C.F. (Eds.), *Protein Engineering,* p. 194, Liss (1987).]

FIG. 20-7 **Plasma triacylglycerol and cholesterol transport in humans.**

? **Trace the path of dietary lipids from the stomach to other tissues. What functions does the liver perform?**

enriched **chylomicron remnants.** The remnants dissociate from the capillary endothelium and re-enter the circulation to be taken up by the liver. *Chylomicrons therefore deliver dietary triacylglycerols to muscle and adipose tissue, and dietary cholesterol to the liver* (**Fig. 20-7**, *blue arrows*).

VLDL Are Gradually Degraded. Very low density lipoproteins (VLDL), which transport endogenous triacylglycerols and cholesterol, are also degraded by lipoprotein lipase in the capillaries of adipose tissue and muscle (Fig. 20-7). The released fatty acids are taken up by the cells and oxidized for energy or used to resynthesize triacylglycerols. The glycerol backbone of triacylglycerols is transported to the liver or kidneys and converted to the glycolytic intermediate dihydroxyacetone phosphate. Oxidation of this three-carbon unit, however, yields only a small fraction of the energy available from oxidizing the three fatty acyl chains of a triacylglycerol.

After giving up their triacylglycerols, the VLDL remnants, which have also lost some of their apolipoproteins, appear in the circulation first as IDL and then as LDL. About half of the VLDL, after degradation to IDL and LDL, are taken up by the liver.

Cells Take Up LDL by Receptor-Mediated Endocytosis. Animal cells acquire cholesterol, an essential component of cell membranes (Section 9-2B), either by synthesizing it or by taking up LDL, which are rich in cholesterol and cholesteryl esters. The latter process, as Michael Brown and Joseph Goldstein have demonstrated, occurs by **receptor-mediated endocytosis** (engulfment) of the LDL (Fig. 20-8). The LDL particles are sequestered by **LDL receptors,** cell-surface transmembrane glycoproteins that specifically bind apoB-100. LDL receptors cluster into **clathrin-coated pits,** which gather the cell-surface receptors that are destined for endocytosis while excluding other cell-surface proteins. The coated pits invaginate from the plasma membrane to form **clathrin-coated vesicles** (Section 9-4E). Then, after divesting themselves of their clathrin coats, the

FIG. 20-8 Receptor-mediated endocytosis of LDL. LDL receptor is synthesized on the endoplasmic reticulum (**a**), processed in the Golgi apparatus (**b**), and inserted into the plasma membrane (**c**), where it becomes a component of clathrin-coated pits (**d**). The apolipoprotein B-100 (apoB-100) component of LDL specifically binds to LDL receptors on clathrin-coated pits (**1**). These bud into the cell (**2**) to form clathrin-coated vesicles, whose clathrin coats depolymerize to form triskelions (**3**), which are recycled to the cell surface. The uncoated vesicles fuse with vesicles called endosomes (**4**), which have an internal pH of ~5.0. The acidity induces the LDL particle to dissociate from its receptor. The LDL accumulates in the vesicular portion of the endosome, whereas the LDL receptors concentrate in the membrane of an attached tubular structure, which then separates from the endosome (**5**) and subsequently recycles the LDL receptors to the plasma membrane (**6**). The vesicular portion of the endosome fuses with a lysosome (**7**), yielding a **secondary lysosome** in which the apoB-100 component of the LDL and the cholesteryl esters are hydrolyzed. This releases cholesterol (**8**), which either is converted to cholesteryl esters through the action of **acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT)** and sequestered in a droplet (**9**) or proceeds to the endoplasmic reticulum (**10**). Increased cholesterol concentration in the endoplasmic reticulum decreases the rate of synthesis of **HMG-CoA reductase** (the enzyme that catalyzes the rate-limiting step of cholesterol biosynthesis; Section 20-7B) and LDL receptors (*down arrows*), while increasing that of ACAT (*up arrow*). [After Brown, M.S. and Goldstein, J.L., *Curr. Topics Cell. Reg.* **26,** 7 (1985).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

vesicles fuse with vesicles known as **endosomes,** whose internal pH is ~5.0. Under these conditions, the LDL particle dissociates from its receptor. The receptors are recycled back to the cell surface, while the endosome with its enclosed LDL fuses with a lysosome. In the lysosome, LDL's apoB-100 is rapidly degraded to its component amino acids, and the cholesteryl esters are hydrolyzed to yield cholesterol and fatty acids.

Receptor-mediated endocytosis is a general mechanism whereby cells take up large molecules, each through a corresponding specific receptor. Many cell-surface receptors recycle between the plasma membrane and the endosomal compartment as does the LDL receptor, even in the absence of ligand. The LDL receptor cycles in and out of the cell membrane about every 10 minutes. New receptors are synthesized on the endoplasmic reticulum and travel via vesicles through the Golgi apparatus to the cell surface (Fig. 20-8; Section 9-4E). The intracellular concentration of free cholesterol controls the rate of LDL receptor synthesis (Section 20-7). However, defects in the LDL receptor system lead to abnormally high levels of circulating cholesterol with the attendant increased risk of heart disease (Section 20-7C).

HDL Transport Cholesterol from the Tissues to the Liver. HDL have essentially the opposite function of LDL: *They remove cholesterol from the tissues.* HDL are assembled in the plasma from components largely obtained through the degradation of other lipoproteins. *A circulating HDL particle acquires its cholesterol by extracting it from cell-surface membranes.* The cholesterol is then converted to cholesteryl esters by the HDL-associated enzyme **lecithin–cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT),** which is activated by apoA-I. HDL therefore function as cholesterol scavengers.

The liver is the only organ capable of disposing of significant quantities of cholesterol (by its conversion to bile acids; Fig. 20-1). About half of the VLDL, after their degradation to IDL and LDL, are taken up by the liver via LDL receptor-mediated endocytosis (Fig. 20-7). However, liver cells take up HDL by an entirely different mechanism: Rather than being engulfed and degraded, an HDL particle binds to a cell-surface receptor named **SR-BI** (for *s*cavenger *r*eceptor class *B* type *I*) and selectively transfers its component lipids to the cell. The lipid-depleted HDL particle then dissociates from the cell and re-enters the circulation.

CHECKPOINT

- How do lipases access their substrates?
- Explain the purpose of bile acids and fatty acid–binding protein in the digestion and absorption of lipids.
- Describe the overall structure of lipoproteins. What distinguishes the different types?
- Summarize the roles of the various lipoproteins in transporting triacylglycerols and cholesterol.
- What is the importance of lipoprotein lipase?
- Describe the process of receptor-mediated endocytosis of LDL.

2 Fatty Acid Oxidation

KEY CONCEPTS

- Fatty acids to be degraded are linked to CoA in an ATP-dependent reaction.
- Fatty acyl groups are transported into the mitochondrion via a carnitine shuttle for oxidation.
- Each round of mitochondrial β oxidation produces $FADH_2$, NADH, and acetyl-CoA.
- Additional enzymes are required to oxidize unsaturated fatty acids.
- The propionyl-CoA produced by the oxidation of odd-chain fatty acids is converted to succinyl-CoA.
- Peroxisomes oxidize long-chain fatty acids, producing H_2O_2.

The triacylglycerols stored in adipocytes are mobilized in times of metabolic need by the action of **hormone-sensitive lipase** (Section 20-5). The free fatty acids are released into the bloodstream, where they bind to **serum albumin** (or just **albumin**). This soluble 585-residue monomeric protein, which comprises about half the protein in the blood serum, functions to transport a variety of nonpolar substances, including many hormones and drugs. In the absence of albumin, the maximum solubility of fatty acids is $\sim 10^{-6}$ M; the effective solubility of fatty acids in complex with albumin is as high as 2 mM. Nevertheless, those rare individuals with **analbuminemia** (severely depressed levels of albumin) suffer no apparent adverse symptoms; evidently, their fatty acids are transported in complex with other serum proteins.

FIG. 20-9 Franz Knoop's classic experiment indicating that fatty acids are metabolically oxidized at their β-carbon atom. ω-Phenyl-labeled fatty acids containing an odd number of carbon atoms are oxidized to the phenyl-labeled C_1 product, benzoic acid, whereas those with an even number of carbon atoms are oxidized to the phenyl-labeled C_2 product, phenylacetic acid. These products are excreted as their respective glycine amides, hippuric and phenylaceturic acids. The vertical arrows indicate the deduced sites of carbon oxidation. The intermediate C_2 products are oxidized to CO_2 and H_2O and were therefore not isolated.

? **Identify the β carbon in each fatty acid.**

Fatty acids are catabolized by an oxidative process that releases free energy. The biochemical strategy of fatty acid oxidation was understood long before the oxidative enzymes were purified. In 1904, Franz Knoop, in the first use of chemical labels to trace metabolic pathways, fed dogs fatty acids labeled at their ω (last) carbon atom by a benzene ring and isolated the phenyl-containing metabolic products from their urine. Dogs fed labeled odd-chain fatty acids excreted **hippuric acid,** the glycine amide of **benzoic acid,** whereas those fed labeled even-chain fatty acids excreted **phenylaceturic acid,** the glycine amide of **phenylacetic acid** (Fig. 20-9). Knoop therefore deduced that fatty acids are progressively degraded by two-carbon units and that the process involves the oxidation of the carbon atom β to the carboxyl group. Otherwise, the phenylacetic acid would be further oxidized to benzoic acid. Knoop's **β oxidation** hypothesis was only confirmed in the 1950s. The β-oxidation pathway is a series of enzyme-catalyzed reactions that operates in a repetitive fashion to progressively degrade fatty acids by removing two-carbon units.

A Fatty Acids Are Activated by Their Attachment to Coenzyme A

Before fatty acids can be oxidized, they must be "primed" for reaction in an ATP-dependent acylation reaction to form fatty acyl-CoA. The activation process is catalyzed by a family of at least three **acyl-CoA synthetases** (also called **thiokinases**) that differ in their chain-length specificities. These enzymes, which are associated with either the endoplasmic reticulum or the outer mitochondrial membrane, all catalyze the reaction

$$\text{Fatty acid} + \text{CoA} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{acyl-CoA} + \text{AMP} + \text{PP}_i$$

This reaction proceeds via an acyladenylate mixed anhydride intermediate that is attacked by the sulfhydryl group of CoA to form the thioester product (Fig. 20-10), thereby preserving the free energy of ATP hydrolysis in the "high-energy" thioester bond (Section 14-2D). The overall reaction is driven to completion by the exergonic hydrolysis of pyrophosphate catalyzed by inorganic pyrophosphatase.

B Carnitine Carries Acyl Groups across the Mitochondrial Membrane

Although fatty acids are activated for oxidation in the cytosol, they are oxidized in the mitochondrion, as Eugene Kennedy and Albert Lehninger established

FIG. 20-10 The mechanism of fatty acid activation catalyzed by acyl-CoA synthetase. Formation of acyl-CoA involves an intermediate acyladenylate mixed anhydride.

? Count the number of "high-energy" bonds that are broken and formed.

in 1950. We must therefore consider how fatty acyl-CoA is transported across the inner mitochondrial membrane. A long-chain fatty acyl-CoA cannot directly cross the inner mitochondrial membrane. Instead, its acyl portion is first transferred to **carnitine,** a compound that occurs in both plant and animal tissues.

This transesterification reaction has an equilibrium constant close to 1, which indicates that the *O*-acyl bond of **acyl-carnitine** has a free energy of hydrolysis similar to that of acyl-CoA's thioester bond. **Carnitine palmitoyl transferases I** and **II,** which can transfer a variety of acyl groups (not just palmitoyl groups), are located, respectively, on the external and internal surfaces of the inner mitochondrial membrane. The translocation process itself is mediated by a specific carrier protein that transports acyl-carnitine into the mitochondrion while transporting free carnitine in the opposite direction. The acyl-CoA transport system is diagrammed in Fig. 20-11.

FIG. 20-11 The transport of fatty acids into the mitochondrion. (**1**) The acyl group of a cytosolic acyl-CoA is transferred to carnitine, thereby releasing the CoA to its cytosolic pool. (**2**) The resulting acyl-carnitine is transported into the mitochondrial matrix by the carrier protein. (**3**) The acyl group is transferred to a CoA molecule from the mitochondrial pool. (**4**) The product carnitine is returned to the cytosol.

C β Oxidation Degrades Fatty Acids to Acetyl-CoA

The degradation of fatty acyl-CoA via β oxidation occurs in four reactions (Fig. 20-12):

1. Formation of a trans-α,β double bond through dehydrogenation by the flavoenzyme **acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (AD).**
2. Hydration of the double bond by **enoyl-CoA hydratase (EH)** to form a **3-L-hydroxyacyl-CoA.**
3. NAD^+-dependent dehydrogenation of the β-hydroxyacyl-CoA by **3-L-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HAD)** to form the corresponding β-ketoacyl-CoA.
4. C_α—C_β cleavage in a thiolysis reaction with CoA as catalyzed by **β-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (KT;** also called just **thiolase)** to form acetyl-CoA and a new acyl-CoA containing two fewer C atoms than the original one.

FIG. 20-12 The β-oxidation pathway of fatty acyl-CoA. Reactions 1–4 degrade fatty acyl-CoA to acetyl-CoA. Reactions 5–8 are electron transfers. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Describe the type of reaction that occurs at each step.

FIG. 20-13 Ribbon diagram of the active site region of medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase. The enzyme, from pig liver mitochondria, is a tetramer of identical 385-residue subunits, each of which binds an FAD prosthetic group (*green*) and an octanoyl-CoA substrate (whose octanoyl and CoA moieties are blue and white) in largely extended conformations. The octanoyl-CoA binds such that its C_α—C_β bond is sandwiched between the carboxylate group of Glu 376 (*red*) and the flavin ring (*green*), consistent with the proposal that Glu 376 is the general base that abstracts the α proton in the α,β dehydrogenation reaction catalyzed by the enzyme. [Based on an X-ray structure by Jung-Ja Kim, Medical College of Wisconsin. PDBid 3MDE.]

Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Is Linked to the Electron-Transport Chain. Mitochondria contain four acyl-CoA dehydrogenases, with specificities for short (C_4 to C_6), medium (C_6 to C_{10}), long (between medium and very long), and very long chain (C_{12} to C_{18}) fatty acyl-CoAs. The reaction catalyzed by these enzymes is thought to involve removal of a proton at C_α and transfer of a hydride ion equivalent from C_β to FAD (Fig. 20-12, Reaction 1). The X-ray structure of **medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MCAD)** in complex with **octanoyl-CoA,** determined by Jung-Ja Kim, clearly shows how the enzyme orients a basic group (Glu 376), the substrate C_α—C_β bond, and the FAD prosthetic group for reaction (**Fig. 20-13**).

A deficiency of MCAD has been identified in ~10% of cases of **sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS).** Glucose is the principal metabolic fuel just after eating, but when the glucose level later decreases, the rate of fatty acid oxidation must correspondingly increase. The sudden death of infants lacking MCAD may be caused by the imbalance between glucose and fatty acid oxidation.

The $FADH_2$ resulting from the oxidation of the fatty acyl-CoA substrate is reoxidized by the mitochondrial electron-transport chain through a series of electron-transfer reactions. **Electron-transfer flavoprotein (ETF)** transfers an electron pair from $FADH_2$ to the flavo-iron–sulfur protein **ETF:ubiquinone oxidoreductase,** which in turn transfers an electron pair to the mitochondrial electron-transport chain by reducing coenzyme Q (CoQ; Fig. 20-12, Reactions 5–8). Reduction of O_2 to H_2O by the electron-transport chain beginning at the CoQ stage results in the synthesis of approximately 1.5 ATP per electron pair transferred (Section 18-3C).

Long-Chain Enoyl-CoAs Are Converted to Acetyl-CoA and a Shorter Acyl-CoA by Mitochondrial Trifunctional Protein. The product of acyl-CoA dehydrogenases are 2-enoyl-CoAs. Depending on their chain lengths, their processing is continued by one of three systems (Fig. 20-12): the short chain, medium chain, or long chain 2-enoyl-CoA hydratases (EHs), hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenases (HADs), and 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolases (KTs). The long chain (LC) versions of these enzymes are contained on one $\alpha_4\beta_4$ heterooctameric, trifunctional protein, located in the inner mitochondrial membrane. LCEH and LCHAD are contained on the α subunits while LCKT is located on the β subunits. The protein is therefore both a multifunctional protein (more than one enzyme activity on a single polypeptide chain) and a multienzyme complex (a complex of polypeptides catalyzing more than one reaction). The advantage of such a trifunctional enzyme is the ability to channel the intermediates toward the final product. Indeed, no long-chain hydroxyacyl-CoA or ketoacyl-CoA intermediates are released into solution by this system.

The Thiolase Reaction Occurs via Claisen Ester Cleavage. The fourth step of β oxidation is the thiolase reaction (Fig. 20-12, Reaction 4), which yields

acetyl-CoA and a new acyl-CoA that is two carbon atoms shorter than the one that began the cycle (Fig. 20-14):

1. An active site thiol group adds to the β-keto group of the substrate acyl-CoA.
2. Carbon–carbon bond cleavage yields a thioester between the acyl-CoA substrate and the active site thiol group, together with an acetyl-CoA carbanion intermediate that is stabilized by electron withdrawal into the thioester's carbonyl group. This type of reaction is known as a Claisen ester cleavage (the reverse of a Claisen condensation). The citric acid cycle enzyme citrate synthase also catalyzes a reaction that involves a stabilized acetyl-CoA carbanion intermediate (Section 17-3A).
3. An enzyme acidic group protonates the acetyl-CoA carbanion, yielding acetyl-CoA.

4 and 5. Finally, CoA displaces the enzyme thiol group from the enzyme–thioester intermediate, yielding an acyl-CoA that is shortened by two C atoms.

FIG. 20-14 Mechanism of action of β-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase. An active site Cys residue participates in the formation of an enzyme–thioester intermediate.

? **Write an equation describing this reaction.**

Fatty Acid Oxidation Is Highly Exergonic. The function of fatty acid oxidation is, of course, to generate metabolic energy. Each round of β oxidation produces one NADH, one $FADH_2$, and one acetyl-CoA. Oxidation of acetyl-CoA via the citric acid cycle generates an additional $FADH_2$ and 3 NADH, which are reoxidized through oxidative phosphorylation to form ATP. Complete oxidation of a fatty acid molecule is therefore a highly exergonic process that yields numerous ATPs. For example, oxidation of palmitoyl-CoA (which has a C_{16} fatty acyl group) involves seven rounds of β oxidation, yielding 7 $FADH_2$, 7 NADH, and 8 acetyl-CoA. Oxidation of the 8 acetyl-CoA, in turn, yields 8 GTP, 24 NADH, and 8 $FADH_2$. Since oxidative phosphorylation of the 31 NADH molecules yields 77.5 ATP and that of the 15 $FADH_2$ yields 22.5 ATP, subtracting the 2 ATP equivalents required for fatty acyl-CoA formation (Section 20-2A), *the oxidation of one palmitate molecule has a net yield of 106 ATP.*

D Oxidation of Unsaturated Fatty Acids Requires Additional Enzymes

Almost all unsaturated fatty acids of biological origin contain only cis double bonds, which most often begin between C9 and C10 (referred to as a Δ^9 or 9-double bond; Table 9-1). Additional double bonds, if any, occur at three-carbon intervals and are therefore never conjugated. Two examples of unsaturated fatty acids are oleic acid and linoleic acid.

Oleic acid
(9-*cis*-octadecenoic acid)

Linoleic acid
(9,12-*cis*-octadecadienoic acid)

Note that one of the double bonds in linoleic acid is at an odd-numbered carbon atom and the other is at an even-numbered carbon atom. The double bonds in fatty acids such as linoleic acid pose three problems for the β-oxidation pathway that are solved through the actions of four additional enzymes (Fig. 20-15).

FIG. 20-15 The oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids. β oxidation of an unsaturated fatty acid such as linoleic acid presents three problems. The first problem, the presence of a β,γ double bond, seen in the left-hand pathway, is solved by the bond's conversion to a trans-α,β double bond. The second problem in the left-hand pathway, that a 2,4-dienoyl-CoA is a poor substrate for enoyl-CoA hydratase, is eliminated by the NADPH-dependent reduction of the Δ^4 bond by 2,4-dienoyl-CoA reductase to yield the β-oxidation substrate *trans*-2-enoyl-CoA. This step requires one enzyme in *E. coli* and two in mammals. The third problem, the isomerization of 2,5-dienoyl-CoA (originating from the oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids with double bonds at odd-numbered C atoms) to 3,5-dienoyl-CoA, is solved by converting the 3,5-dienoyl-CoA to 2,4-dienoyl-CoA, a substrate for 2,4-dienoyl-CoA reductase.

Problem 1: A β,γ Double Bond. The first enzymatic difficulty occurs after the third round of β oxidation: The resulting cis-β,γ double bond–containing enoyl-CoA is not a substrate for enoyl-CoA hydratase. **Enoyl-CoA isomerase,** however, converts the cis-Δ^3 double bond to the trans-Δ^2 form. The Δ^2 compound is the normal substrate of enoyl-CoA hydratase, so β oxidation can continue.

Problem 2: A Δ^4 Double Bond Inhibits Enoyl-CoA Hydratase. The next difficulty arises in the fifth round of β oxidation: The presence of a double bond at an even-numbered carbon atom results in the formation of 2,4-dienoyl-CoA, which is a poor substrate for enoyl-CoA hydratase. However, NADPH-dependent **2,4-dienoyl-CoA reductase** reduces the Δ^4 double bond. The *E. coli* reductase produces *trans*-2-enoyl-CoA, a normal substrate of β oxidation. The mammalian reductase, however, yields *trans*-3-enoyl-CoA, which, to proceed along the β-oxidation pathway, must first be isomerized to *trans*-2-enoyl-CoA by **3,2-enoyl-CoA isomerase.**

Problem 3: The Unanticipated Isomerization of 2,5-Enoyl-CoA by 3,2-Enoyl-CoA Isomerase. Mammalian 3,2-enoyl-CoA isomerase catalyzes a reversible reaction that interconverts Δ^2 and Δ^3 double bonds. A carbonyl group is stabilized by being conjugated to a Δ^2 double bond. However, the presence of a Δ^5 double bond (originating from an unsaturated fatty acid with a double bond at an odd-numbered C atom such as the Δ^9 double bond of linoleic acid) is likewise stabilized by being conjugated with a Δ^3 double bond. If a 2,5-enoyl-CoA is converted by 3,2-enoyl-CoA isomerase to 3,5-enoyl CoA, which occurs up to 20% of the time, another enzyme is necessary to continue the oxidation: **3,5–2,4-Dienoyl-CoA isomerase** isomerizes the 3,5 diene to a 2,4 diene, which is then reduced by 2,4-dienoyl-CoA reductase and isomerized by 3,2-enoyl-CoA isomerase as in Problem 2 above. After two more rounds of β oxidation, the cis-Δ^4 double bond originating from the cis-Δ^{12} double bond of linoleic acid is also dealt with as in Problem 2.

FIG. 20-16 Conversion of propionyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA.

? What type of reaction occurs at each step?

E Oxidation of Odd-Chain Fatty Acids Yields Propionyl-CoA

Most fatty acids, for reasons explained in Section 20-4, have even numbers of carbon atoms and are therefore completely converted to acetyl-CoA. Some plants and marine organisms, however, synthesize fatty acids with an odd number of carbon atoms. *The final round of β oxidation of these fatty acids yields propionyl-CoA, which is converted to succinyl-CoA for entry into the citric acid cycle.* Propionate and propionyl-CoA are also produced by the oxidation of the amino acids isoleucine, valine, and methionine (Section 21-4D).

The conversion of propionyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA involves three enzymes (Fig. 20-16):

1. The first reaction, catalyzed by **propionyl-CoA carboxylase,** requires a biotin prosthetic group and is driven by the hydrolysis of ATP to ADP + P_i. The reaction resembles that of pyruvate carboxylase (Fig. 16-18).
2. The (*S*)-methylmalonyl-CoA product of the carboxylase reaction is converted to the *R* form by **methylmalonyl-CoA racemase.**
3. (*R*)-Methylmalonyl-CoA is a substrate for **methylmalonyl-CoA mutase,** which catalyzes an unusual carbon skeleton rearrangement.

Methylmalonyl-CoA mutase uses a **5′-deoxyadenosylcobalamin** prosthetic group (**AdoCbl;** also called **coenzyme B_{12},** a derivative of **cobalamin,** or **vitamin B_{12};** Box 20-1). Dorothy Hodgkin determined the structure of this complex molecule (**Fig. 20-17**) in 1956 through X-ray crystallographic

FIG. 20-17 **Structure of 5′-deoxyadenosylcobalamin.**

? **Identify the six Co ligands.**

Box 20-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Vitamin B_{12} Deficiency

The existence of vitamin B_{12} came to light in 1926 when George Minot and William Murphy discovered that **pernicious anemia,** an often fatal disease of the elderly characterized by decreased numbers of red blood cells, low hemoglobin levels, and progressive neurological deterioration, can be treated by the daily consumption of large amounts of raw liver. Nevertheless, the antipernicious anemia factor—vitamin B_{12}—was not isolated until 1948.

Vitamin B_{12} is synthesized by neither plants nor animals but only by a few species of bacteria. Herbivores obtain their vitamin B_{12} from the bacteria that inhabit their gut (in fact, some animals, such as rabbits, must periodically eat some of their feces to obtain sufficient amounts of this essential substance). Humans, however, obtain almost all their vitamin B_{12} directly from their diet, particularly from meat. In the intestine, the glycoprotein **intrinsic factor,** which is secreted by the stomach, specifically binds vitamin B_{12}, and the protein–vitamin complex is absorbed via a receptor in the intestinal mucosa. The complex dissociates and the liberated vitamin B_{12} is transported to the bloodstream. At least three different plasma proteins, called **transcobalamins,** bind the vitamin and facilitate its uptake by the tissues.

Pernicious anemia is not usually a dietary deficiency disease but, rather, results from insufficient secretion of intrinsic factor, often due to an autoimmune attack against the cells that produce it. The normal human requirement for cobalamin is very small, $\sim 3\ \mu g \cdot day^{-1}$, and the liver stores a 3- to 5-year supply of the vitamin. This accounts for the insidious onset of pernicious anemia and the fact that true dietary deficiency of vitamin B_{12}, even among strict vegetarians, is extremely rare. However, individuals with pernicious anemia must take massive quantities of vitamin B_{12} because, in the absence of intrinsic factor, it is poorly absorbed. Patients whose stomach has been surgically removed, usually because of cancer, must also do so.

analysis combined with chemical degradation studies, a landmark achievement (Box 20-2).

5′-Deoxyadenosylcobalamin contains a hemelike **corrin** ring whose four pyrrole N atoms each ligand a six-coordinate Co ion. The fifth Co ligand in the free coenzyme is an N atom of a **5,6-dimethylbenzimidazole (DMB)** nucleotide that is covalently linked to the corrin D ring. The sixth ligand is a 5′-deoxyadenosyl group in which the deoxyribose C5′ atom forms a covalent C—Co bond, *one of only two carbon–metal bonds known in biology* (the other is a C—Ni bond in the bacterial enzyme **carbon monoxide dehydrogenase**). In some cobalamin-dependent enzymes, the sixth ligand instead is a CH_3 group that likewise forms a C—Co bond. There are only about a dozen known cobalamin-dependent enzymes, which catalyze molecular rearrangements or methyl-group transfer reactions.

Methylmalonyl-CoA Mutase Has a Unique α/β Barrel. The X-ray structure of methylmalonyl-CoA mutase from *Propionibacterium shermanii,* an αβ heterodimer, in complex with the substrate analog **2-carboxypropyl-CoA** (which lacks methylmalonyl-CoA's thioester oxygen atom) was determined by Philip Evans.

Box 20-2 Pathways of Discovery Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin and the Structure of Vitamin B_{12}

Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin (1910–1994) The third woman to receive a Nobel Prize in Chemistry, after Marie Curie in 1911 and her daughter Irene Joliot-Curie in 1935, was Dorothy Crowfoot Hodgkin, who received the award in 1964 for determining the structures of biological molecules by X-ray crystallography. Hodgkin's career as a scientist is notable not only for her accomplishments—elucidating the structures of sterols, penicillin, vitamin B_{12}, and insulin—but also for her contributions to the methodology of X-ray crystallography.

Hodgkin's fascination with crystals reportedly began at age 10 with a simple experiment involving alum. Her scientific tendencies were encouraged by her parents, particularly her mother, who was an accomplished amateur botanist. Hodgkin attributed her independent spirit to the fact that her parents were absent for long periods, as her father worked as an archaeologist in Egypt and the Middle East.

Hodgkin's formal training in crystallography took place at Oxford University during her undergraduate years and continued as she earned a doctorate under the guidance of J.D. Bernal at Cambridge University. Unlike many of his colleagues at the time, Bernal was generous in giving credit to his junior associates. Consequently, Hodgkin received recognition along with Bernal in 1934 when they reported the first X-ray diffraction pattern of a protein, pepsin. Bernal and Hodgkin had thereby shown that proteins are not amorphous colloids but have discrete structures. They also advanced the field of macromolecular crystallography by noting the necessity of examining crystals surrounded by their mother liquor (the solution from which the molecules crystallize) rather than air-dried, as was standard procedure at the time.

After returning to Oxford, Hodgkin established her own laboratory, a challenging task for someone striking out in a new field, and even more difficult for a woman trying to balance work with marriage and motherhood in the late 1930s. At Oxford, Hodgkin undertook studies of crystallized cholesterol and other steroids, showing that calculations based on X-ray diffraction in three rather than two dimensions could disclose considerable information about molecular structure, including the stereochemistry of each carbon atom. Hodgkin also began studying crystals of insulin, although an atomic model of the 777-atom protein took 34 years to complete (the sequence of insulin, determined by Frederick Sanger, was not known until 1955; Box 5-1). During World War II, Hodgkin turned her attention to the structure of penicillin and used X-ray crystallography to elucidate its unexpected ring structure (three carbons and a nitrogen; Box 8-3). This discovery helped pave the way for the synthesis of penicillin and its derivatives. Hodgkin's work also marked the beginning of an electronic age in biochemical

FIG. 20-18 X-Ray structure of *P. shermanii* methylmalonyl-CoA mutase in complex with 2-carboxypropyl-CoA and AdoCbl. (*a*) The catalytically active α subunit in which the N-terminal domain is cyan, the β strands of its α/β barrel are orange, and the C-terminal domain is pink. The substrate analog 2-carboxypropyl-CoA (*magenta*) and AdoCbl (*green*) are drawn in space-filling form. The 2-carboxypropyl-CoA passes through the center of the α/β barrel and is oriented such that the methylmalonyl group of methylmalonyl-CoA would contact the corrin ring of the AdoCbl, which is sandwiched between the enzyme's N- and C-terminal domains. (*b*) The arrangement of the AdoCbl and 2-carboxypropyl-CoA molecules which, together with the side chain of His 610, are represented in stick form colored according to atom type (2-carboxypropyl-CoA and His C green, AdoCbl C cyan, N blue, O red, P magenta, and S yellow). The corrin ring's Co atom is represented by a lavender sphere and the α/β barrel's β strands are represented by orange ribbons. The view is similar to that in Part *a*. Note that the DMB group (*bottom*) has swung away from the corrin ring (seen edgewise) to be replaced by the side chain of His 610 from the C-terminal domain and that the 5′-deoxyadenosyl group is unseen (due to disorder). [Based on an X-ray structure by Philip Evans, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 7REQ.]

Its AdoCbl cofactor is sandwiched between the catalytically active α subunit's two domains: a 559-residue N-terminal α/β barrel (TIM barrel, the most common enzymatic motif; Sections 6-2C and 15-2) and a 169-residue C-terminal α/β domain that resembles a Rossmann fold (Section 6-2C). The structure of the α/β barrel contains several surprising features (**Fig. 20-18**):

research, as she used one of the first analog computers from IBM to help with the required calculations.

In 1955, a visitor brought Hodgkin crystals of cyanocobalamin, whose deep-red color may have enticed Hodgkin to immediately examine their X-ray diffraction. The cobalamin structure, four times larger than that of penicillin, had not yet yielded its structural secrets to conventional chemical approaches. Hodgkin's success in determining the structure of cobalamin was the result of experience as well as intuition. She was reportedly able to discern features of molecular structure simply by examining the diffraction pattern. However, she also took advantage of powerful computers available to her collaborator Kenneth Trueblood at the University of California at Los Angeles, who, due to the great distance between them, communicated with her through letters and telegrams. Hodgkin bravely decided to use the cobalt atom naturally present in cobalamin to solve the "phase problem" that prevents the straightforward determination of X-ray structures (Box 7-2). Although others believed that the cobalt atom would not scatter X-rays strongly enough relative to the lighter atoms in the structure, Hodgkin was able to derive the necessary phase information and thus was spared having to produce cobalamin crystals containing a heavier atom.

Hodgkin and her collaborators identified the unusual porphyrin structure of cyanocobalamin, in which rings A and D are directly linked and ring A is fully saturated (Fig. 20-17). They subsequently identified cobalamin, with its Co—C bond, as the first known biological organometallic compound. Hodgkin later determined the structure of the physiological form of vitamin B_{12}, adenosylcobalamin, in 1961. Now that the structure of the vitamin was known, it could be synthesized and used to treat pernicious anemia (Box 20-1). In addition to its obvious implications for human health, Hodgkin's work with cobalamin encouraged other researchers to pursue the structures of large compounds previously thought to be too complicated for crystallographic analysis. Hodgkin herself finally completed a three-dimensional model of insulin in 1969.

After receiving the Nobel prize in 1964, along with many other honors, Hodgkin became increasingly involved in international organizations, including the International Union of Crystallography and other groups dedicated to promoting scientific cooperation as a means for decreasing international tensions during the Cold War. Her own laboratory over the years hosted scientists from around the globe. By the end of her life, her efforts to foster international goodwill were no less notable than her accomplishments as a crystallographer.

Ferry, G., *Dorothy Hodgkin: A Life,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2000).
Hodgkin, D.C., Kamper, J., Mackay, M., Pickworth, J., Trueblood, K.N. and White, J.G., Structure of vitamin B-12, *Nature* **178,** 64 (1956).

1. The active sites of nearly all α/β barrel enzymes are located at the C-terminal ends of the barrel's β strands. In methylmalonyl-CoA mutase, however, the AdoCbl is packed against the N-terminal ends of the barrel's β strands.
2. In free AdoCbl, the Co atom is axially liganded by an N atom of its DMB group and by the adenosyl residue's 5′-CH_2 group (Fig. 20-17). In the enzyme, however, the DMB has swung aside to bind in a separate pocket and has been replaced by the side chain of His 610 from the C-terminal domain. The adenosyl group is not visible in the structure due to disorder and hence has probably also swung aside.
3. In nearly all other α/β barrel–containing enzymes, the center of the barrel is occluded by large, often branched, hydrophobic side chains. In methylmalonyl-CoA mutase, however, the 2-carboxypropyl-CoA's pantetheine group binds in a narrow tunnel along the center of the α/β barrel to put the methylmalonyl group of an intact substrate in close proximity to the unliganded face of the cobalamin ring. The tunnel provides the only direct access to the active site cavity, thereby protecting the reactive free radical intermediates that are produced in the catalytic reaction from side reactions (see below). The tunnel is lined by small hydrophilic residues (Ser and Thr).

Methylmalonyl-CoA mutase's substrate-binding mode resembles that of several other AdoCbl-containing enzymes of known structure, which are collectively unique among α/β barrel–containing enzymes.

Methylmalonyl-CoA Mutase Stabilizes and Protects Free Radical Intermediates. The proposed methylmalonyl-CoA mutase reaction mechanism (**Fig. 20-19**) begins with the **homolytic cleavage** of the cobalamin C—Co bond; in other words, the C and Co atoms each acquire one of the electrons that formed the cleaved electron pair bond. (Note that a homolytic cleavage reaction is unusual in biology; most other biological bond-cleavage reactions occur via **heterolytic cleavage** in which the electron pair forming the cleaved bond is fully acquired by one of the separating atoms.) The Co ion therefore alternates between its Co(III) and Co(II) oxidation states and hence *functions as a reversible free radical generator.* The C—Co(III) bond is well suited to this function because it is inherently weak (dissociation energy 109 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$) and is further weakened through steric interactions with the enzyme.

Spectroscopic measurements indicate that the Co atom in methylmalonyl-CoA mutase is in the Co(II) state, thereby confirming that it has no sixth ligand (as occurs during its catalytic cycle; Fig. 20-19). Protein-induced strain makes the His N—Co bond (the fifth ligand) extremely long (2.5 Å versus 1.9–2.0 Å in various other B_{12}-containing structures), which stabilizes the Co(II) species relative to Co(III) and thereby favors the formation of the adenosyl radical. The radical abstracts a hydrogen atom from the methylmalonyl-CoA substrate, thereby facilitating its rearrangement to succinyl-CoA through the intermediate formation of a cyclopropyloxy radical.

Succinyl-CoA Is Not Directly Consumed by the Citric Acid Cycle. Methylmalonyl-CoA mutase catalyzes the conversion of a metabolite to a citric acid cycle intermediate other than acetyl-CoA. However, such C_4 intermediates are actually catalysts, not substrates, of the citric acid cycle. *In order for succinyl-CoA to undergo net oxidation by the citric acid cycle, it must first be converted to pyruvate and then to acetyl-CoA.* This is accomplished by converting succinyl-CoA to malate (Reactions 5–7 of the citric acid cycle; Fig. 17-2) followed by its

FIG. 20-19 Proposed mechanism of methylmalonyl-CoA mutase. (**1**) The homolytic cleavage of the C—Co(III) bond yields a 5′-deoxyadenosyl (Ado) radical and cobalamin in its Co(II) oxidation state. (**2**) The 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical abstracts a hydrogen atom from methylmalonyl-CoA, thereby generating a methylmalonyl-CoA radical. (**3**) Carbon skeleton rearrangement yields a succinyl-CoA radical via a proposed cyclopropyloxy radical intermediate. (**4**) The succinyl-CoA radical abstracts a hydrogen atom from 5′-deoxyadenosine to regenerate the 5′-deoxyadenosyl radical. (**5**) The release of succinyl-CoA re-forms the coenzyme.

transport to the cytosol and oxidative decarboxylation to pyruvate and CO_2 by **malic enzyme**

Don W. Fawcett/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 20-20 Electron micrograph of a peroxisome from rat liver surrounded by the rough endoplasmic reticulum. Peroxisomes are membrane-bounded organelles that perform a variety of metabolic functions, including the oxidation of very long chain fatty acids.

(this enzyme also functions in the C_4 cycle of photosynthesis; Fig. 19-33). Pyruvate is then completely oxidized via pyruvate dehydrogenase and the citric acid cycle.

F Peroxisomal β Oxidation Differs from Mitochondrial β Oxidation

In mammalian cells, the bulk of β oxidation occurs in the mitochondria, but **peroxisomes** (**Fig. 20-20**) also oxidize fatty acids, particularly those with very long chains or branched chains. In plants, fatty acid oxidation occurs exclusively in the peroxisomes and glyoxysomes (which are specialized peroxisomes). In addition to lipid catabolism, mammalian peroxisomes participate in the synthesis of certain lipids, including bile acids.

Peroxisomal β oxidation, which differs only slightly from mitochondrial β oxidation, shortens very long chain fatty acids (>22 carbon atoms), which are then fully degraded by the mitochondrial pathway. Very long chain fatty acids are transported into the peroxisomes by a mechanism that does not require carnitine, and are activated by a long chain acyl-CoA synthetase. Peroxisomal β oxidation results in the same chemical changes to fatty acids as in the mitochondrial pathway but requires only three enzymes:

1. **Acyl-CoA oxidase** catalyzes the reaction

   $$\text{Fatty acyl-CoA} + O_2 \rightarrow \textit{trans}\text{-}\Delta^2\text{-enoyl-CoA} + H_2O_2$$

   The enzyme uses an FAD cofactor, but the abstracted electrons are transferred directly to O_2 to yield H_2O_2 (hydrogen peroxide; hence the name "peroxisome") rather than passing through the electron-transport chain with its concomitant oxidative phosphorylation (Fig. 20-12, Reactions 5–8). Peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation therefore generates 1.5 fewer ATP per C_2 cycle than mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation. Catalase converts the H_2O_2 produced in the oxidase reaction to $H_2O + O_2$.
2. Peroxisomal enoyl-CoA hydratase and 3-L-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activities occur on a single polypeptide. The reactions catalyzed are identical to those of the mitochondrial system (Fig. 20-12, Reactions 2 and 3).
3. Peroxisomal thiolase catalyzes the final step of oxidation. This enzyme is almost inactive with acyl-CoAs of length C_8 or less, so peroxisomes incompletely oxidize fatty acids.

The peroxisome contains both a carnitine acetyltransferase and a transferase specific for longer chain acyl groups. Acyl-CoAs that have been chain-shortened by peroxisomal β oxidation are thereby converted to their carnitine esters. These substances, for the most part, passively diffuse out of the peroxisome to the mitochondrion, where they are oxidized further.

Zellweger syndrome, an inherited disease whose symptoms include neurological problems, vision and hearing loss, and skeletal abnormalities causing distinctive facial features and ending in death by one year, is caused by the reduction or absence of functional peroxisomes. As a consequence, the very long chain and branched chain fatty acids that are normally degraded in the peroxisome accumulate in the body, which impairs the functioning of multiple organ systems.

X-Linked adrenoleukodystrophy (X-ALD) is caused by inherited defects in the peroxisomal transferase for very long chain fatty acids, the consequent accumulation of which causes adrenal gland failure and destroys the myelin sheath that electrically insulates the axons of many neurons (Fig. 9-8). Its varied neurological symptoms present (become evident) between the ages of 4 and 10 years and are usually fatal within 1 to 10 years. X-ALD has been successfully treated by bone marrow transplantation, although this does not improve adrenal function. Gene therapy with a gene encoding the normal peroxisomal transferase has also been successful in resolving the neurological symptoms of a small number of patients, although the levels of very long chain fatty acids in their blood remain high.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the activation of fatty acids. What is the energy cost for the process?
- How do cytosolic acyl groups enter the mitochondrion for degradation?
- Summarize the chemical reactions that occur in each round of β oxidation. Explain why the process is called β oxidation.
- How is ATP recovered from the products of β oxidation?
- What additional steps are required to oxidize unsaturated and odd-chain fatty acids?
- What is unusual about the methylmalonyl-CoA mutase structure and the reaction it catalyzes?
- Describe the differences between peroxisomal and mitochondrial β oxidation.

3 Ketone Bodies

KEY CONCEPT

- Acetyl-CoA may be reversibly converted to ketone bodies in the liver to be used as fuel by other tissues.

The acetyl-CoA produced by oxidation of fatty acids can be further oxidized via the citric acid cycle. In liver mitochondria, however, a significant fraction of this acetyl-CoA has another fate. By a process known as **ketogenesis**, acetyl-CoA is converted to **acetoacetate** or **D-β-hydroxybutyrate.** These compounds together with acetone are somewhat inaccurately referred to as **ketone bodies**:

Acetoacetate **Acetone** **D-β-Hydroxybutyrate**

Ketone bodies are important metabolic fuels for many peripheral tissues, particularly heart and skeletal muscle. The brain, under normal circumstances, uses only glucose as its energy source (fatty acids are unable to pass through the blood–brain barrier), but during starvation, the small, water-soluble ketone bodies become the brain's major fuel source (Section 22-4A).

Acetoacetate formation occurs in three reactions (**Fig. 20-21**):

1. Two molecules of acetyl-CoA are condensed to **acetoacetyl-CoA** by thiolase (also called **acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase**) working in the reverse

FIG. 20-21 Ketogenesis. Acetoacetate is formed from acetyl-CoA in three steps. (**1**) Two molecules of acetyl-CoA condense to form acetoacetyl-CoA. (**2**) A Claisen ester condensation of the acetoacetyl-CoA with a third acetyl-CoA forms β-hydroxy-β-methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA). (**3**) HMG-CoA is degraded to acetoacetate and acetyl-CoA in a mixed aldol–Claisen ester cleavage.

FIG. 20-22 **Metabolic conversion of ketone bodies to acetyl-CoA.**

? Compare this pathway to the ketogenesis pathway. Which steps are similar?

CHECKPOINT

- What are ketone bodies?
- How are they synthesized and degraded?

direction from the way it does in the final step of β oxidation (Fig. 20-12, Reaction 4).

2. Condensation of the acetoacetyl-CoA with a third acetyl-CoA by **HMG-CoA synthase** forms **β-hydroxy-β-methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA).** The mechanism of this reaction resembles the reverse of the thiolase reaction (Fig. 20-14) in that an active site thiol group forms an acyl-thioester intermediate.
3. HMG-CoA is degraded to acetoacetate and acetyl-CoA in a mixed aldol–Claisen ester cleavage by **HMG-CoA lyase.** The mechanism of this reaction is analogous to the reverse of the citrate synthase reaction (Fig. 17-10). HMG-CoA is also a precursor in cholesterol biosynthesis (Section 20-7A). HMG-CoA lyase is present only in liver mitochondria and therefore does not interfere with cholesterol synthesis in the cytoplasm.

Acetoacetate may be reduced to D-β-hydroxybutyrate by **β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase:**

Acetoacetate, a β-keto acid, also undergoes relatively facile nonenzymatic decarboxylation to acetone and CO_2. Indeed, in individuals with **ketosis**, a pathological condition in which acetoacetate is produced faster than it is metabolized (a symptom of diabetes; Section 22-4B), the breath has the characteristic sweet smell of acetone.

The liver releases acetoacetate and β-hydroxybutyrate, which are carried by the bloodstream to the peripheral tissues for use as alternative fuels. There, these products are converted to two acetyl-CoA as is diagrammed in **Fig. 20-22**. Succinyl-CoA, which acts as the CoA donor in this process, can also be converted to succinate with the coupled synthesis of GTP in the succinyl-CoA synthetase reaction of the citric acid cycle (Section 17-3E). The "activation" of acetoacetate bypasses this step and therefore "costs" the free energy of GTP hydrolysis.

4 Fatty Acid Biosynthesis

KEY CONCEPTS

- The tricarboxylate transport system transfers acetyl-CoA into the cytosol for fatty acid synthesis.
- Fatty acid synthesis begins with the carboxylation of acetyl-CoA to generate malonyl-CoA.
- Fatty acid synthase carries out seven reactions and lengthens a fatty acid two carbons at a time.
- Elongases and desaturases may modify fatty acids.
- Triacylglycerols are synthesized from glycerol and fatty acids.

Fatty acid biosynthesis occurs through condensation of C_2 units, the reverse of the β-oxidation process. Through isotopic labeling techniques, David Rittenberg and Konrad Bloch demonstrated, in 1945, that these condensation units are

FIG. 20-23 Comparison of fatty acid β oxidation and fatty acid biosynthesis. Differences occur in (**1**) cellular location, (**2**) acyl group carrier, (**3**) electron acceptor/donor, (**4**) stereochemistry of the hydration/dehydration reaction, and (**5**) the form in which C_2 units are produced/donated. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

derived from acetic acid. Subsequent research showed that both acetyl-CoA and bicarbonate are required, and that a C_3 unit, **malonyl-CoA,** is an intermediate of fatty acid biosynthesis.

The pathway of fatty acid synthesis differs from that of fatty acid oxidation. This situation, as we saw for glycogen metabolism in Section 16-3, is typical of opposing biosynthetic and degradative pathways because it permits them both to be thermodynamically favorable and independently regulated under similar physiological conditions. Figure 20-23 outlines fatty acid oxidation and synthesis with emphasis on the differences between the pathways, including the cellular locations of the pathways, the redox coenzymes, and the manner in which C_2 units are removed from or added to the fatty acyl chain.

A Mitochondrial Acetyl-CoA Must Be Transported into the Cytosol

Acetyl-CoA, the starting material for fatty acid synthesis, is generated in the mitochondrion by the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate as catalyzed by pyruvate dehydrogenase (Section 17-2B) as well as by the oxidation of fatty acids. When the demand for ATP is low, so the oxidation of acetyl-CoA via the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation is minimal, this mitochondrial acetyl-CoA may be stored for future use as fat. Fatty acid biosynthesis occurs in the cytosol, however, and the mitochondrial membrane is essentially impermeable to acetyl-CoA. *Acetyl-CoA enters the cytosol in the form of citrate via the* ***tricarboxylate transport system*** (Fig. 20-24). **ATP-citrate lyase** then catalyzes the reaction

$$\text{Citrate} + \text{CoA} + \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{acetyl-CoA} + \text{oxaloacetate} + \text{ADP} + \text{P}_i$$

which resembles the reverse of the citrate synthase reaction (Fig. 17-10) except that ATP hydrolysis is required to drive the synthesis of the thioester bond. Oxaloacetate is then reduced to malate by malate dehydrogenase. Malate is oxidatively decarboxylated to pyruvate by malic enzyme and returned in this form to the mitochondrion. This reaction involves the reoxidation of malate to

FIG. 20-24 The tricarboxylate transport system. The overall effect of this sequence of reactions is the transfer of acetyl groups from the mitochondrion to the cytosol.

? What is the energetic cost of this cycle?

oxaloacetate, a β-keto acid, which is then decarboxylated, a reaction reminiscent of the isocitrate dehydrogenase reaction in the citric acid cycle (Section 17-3C). The NADPH produced is used in the reductive reactions of fatty acid biosynthesis.

B Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase Produces Malonyl-CoA

Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) catalyzes the first committed step of fatty acid biosynthesis and one of its rate-controlling steps. The mechanism of this biotin-dependent enzyme is similar to those of propionyl-CoA carboxylase (Section 20-2E) and pyruvate carboxylase (Fig. 16-18). The reaction occurs in two steps, a CO_2 activation and a carboxylation:

The result is a three-carbon (malonyl) group linked as a thioester to CoA.

Mammalian acetyl-CoA carboxylase, a ~2350-residue polypeptide, is subject to allosteric and hormonal control. For example, citrate stimulates acetyl-CoA carboxylase and long chain fatty acyl-CoAs are feedback inhibitors of the enzyme. Fine-tuning of enzyme activity is accomplished through covalent modification. Acetyl-CoA carboxylase is a substrate for several kinases. It has six phosphorylation sites, but phosphorylation of only one (Ser 79) is clearly associated with enzyme inactivation. Ser 79 is phosphorylated by **AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK)** in a cAMP-independent pathway. However, glucagon as well as epinephrine, which act through protein kinase A (PKA; Section 16-3B), promote the phosphorylation of Ser 79, possibly by inhibiting its dephosphorylation (recall that this occurs in glycogen metabolism when the PKA-mediated phosphorylation of phosphoprotein phosphatase inhibitor-1 inhibits dephosphorylation; Fig. 16-13). Insulin, on the other hand, stimulates dephosphorylation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and thereby activates the enzyme.

Mammalian Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase Has Two Major Isoforms. There are two major isoforms of ACC. **ACC1** occurs in adipose tissue and **ACC2** occurs in tissues that oxidize but do not synthesize fatty acids, such as heart muscle. Tissues that both synthesize and oxidize fatty acids, such as liver, contain both isoforms, which are homologous although the genes encoding them are located on different chromosomes. What is the function of ACC2? The product of the ACC-catalyzed reaction, malonyl-CoA, strongly inhibits the mitochondrial import of fatty acyl-CoA for fatty acid oxidation, the major control point for this process. Thus it appears that ACC2 has a regulatory function (Section 20-5).

The *E. coli* acetyl-CoA carboxylase, which is a multisubunit protein, is regulated by guanine nucleotides so that fatty acid synthesis is coordinated with cell growth. In prokaryotes, fatty acids serve primarily as phospholipid precursors, since these organisms do not synthesize triacylglycerols for energy storage.

C Fatty Acid Synthase Catalyzes Seven Reactions

The synthesis of fatty acids, mainly palmitic acid, from acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA involves seven enzymatic reactions. These reactions were first studied in cell-free extracts of *E. coli,* in which they are catalyzed by independent enzymes. Individual enzymes with these activities also occur in chloroplasts (plant fatty acid synthesis does not occur in the cytosol). In yeast, **fatty acid synthase** is a cytosolic, 2500-kD multifunctional enzyme with the composition $\alpha_6\beta_6$, whereas in animals it is a 534-kD multifunctional enzyme consisting of two identical polypeptide chains. Presumably, such proteins evolved by the joining of previously independent genes for the enzymes.

Although fatty acid synthesis begins with the synthesis of a CoA ester, malonyl-CoA, the growing fatty acid is anchored to **acyl-carrier protein** (**ACP;** Fig. 20-25). ACP, like CoA, contains a phosphopantetheine group that forms a

FIG. 20-25 **The phosphopantetheine group in acyl-carrier protein (ACP) and in CoA.**

thioester with an acyl group. The phosphopantetheine phosphoryl group is esterified with a Ser OH group of ACP, whereas in CoA it is linked to AMP. In *E. coli,* ACP is a 10-kD polypeptide, whereas in animals it is part of the multifunctional fatty acid synthase.

The reactions catalyzed by mammalian fatty acid synthase are diagrammed in Fig. 20-26:

1. These are priming reactions in which the synthase is "loaded" with the precursors for the condensation reaction. In mammals, **malonyl/acetyl-CoA-ACP transacylase (MAT)** catalyzes two similar reactions at a single active site: An acetyl group originally linked as a thioester in acetyl-CoA is transferred to ACP **(1a),** and a malonyl group is transferred from malonyl-CoA to ACP **(1b).**
2. The **β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase (KS;** also known as **condensing enzyme)** first transfers the acetyl group from ACP to an enzyme Cys residue **(2a).** In the condensation reaction **(2b),** the malonyl-ACP is decarboxylated, and the resulting carbanion attacks the acetylthioester to form a four-carbon acetoacetyl-ACP. The decarboxylation reaction drives the condensation reaction:

3–5. Two reductions and a dehydration convert the β-keto group to an alkyl group. The coenzyme in both reductive steps is NADPH. In β oxidation, the analogs of Reactions 3 and 5, respectively, use NAD^+ and FAD (Fig. 20-12, Reactions 3 and 1). Moreover, Reaction 4 requires a D-β-hydroxyacyl substrate, whereas the analogous reaction in β oxidation forms the corresponding L isomer.

At this point, the acyl group, originally an acetyl group, has been elongated by a C_2 unit. This butyryl group is then transferred from ACP to the Cys—SH of the enzyme (a repeat of Reaction 2a) so that it can be extended by additional rounds of the fatty acid synthase reaction sequence. Note that the malonyl-CoA synthesized by the acetyl-CoA carboxylase reaction is decarboxylated in the condensation reaction. The formation of a C—C bond is an endergonic process requiring an activated precursor. Malonyl-ACP is a β-keto ester whose exergonic decarboxylation yields the acetyl-ACP carbanion required for C—C bond

FIG. 20-26 Reaction sequence for the biosynthesis of fatty acids. In forming palmitate, the pathway is repeated for seven cycles of C_2 elongation followed by a final hydrolysis step. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Describe the type of reaction that occurs at each step.

formation. The free energy required for the overall reaction is supplied by the ATP hydrolysis in the acetyl-CoA carboxylase reaction, which generates the malonyl-CoA precursor of malonyl-ACP. This carboxylation–decarboxylation sequence is similar to the activation of pyruvate to oxaloacetate for conversion to phosphoenolpyruvate in gluconeogenesis (Section 16-4A).

In each reaction cycle, the ACP is "reloaded" with a malonyl group, and the acyl chain grows by two carbon atoms. Note that each new acetyl unit donated by malonyl-CoA adds to the growing acyl chain at its point of attachment to the enzyme. Thus, the fatty acid grows from its thioester end, not from its methyl end (likewise, fatty acids are catabolized from their thioester ends).

Seven cycles of elongation are required to form **palmitoyl-ACP.** The thioester bond is then hydrolyzed by **palmitoyl thioesterase** (**TE;** Fig. 20-26, Reaction 6), yielding palmitate, the normal product of the fatty acid synthase pathway, and regenerating the enzyme for a new round of synthesis.

The stoichiometry of palmitate synthesis is therefore

$$\text{Acetyl-CoA} + 7\ \text{malonyl-CoA} + 14\ \text{NADPH} + 7\ \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{palmitate} + 7\ \text{CO}_2 + 14\ \text{NADP}^+ + 8\ \text{CoA} + 6\ \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Since the 7 malonyl-CoA are derived from acetyl-CoA as follows:

$$7\ \text{Acetyl-CoA} + 7\ \text{CO}_2 + 7\ \text{ATP} \rightarrow 7\ \text{malonyl-CoA} + 7\ \text{ADP} + 7\ \text{P}_i + 7\ \text{H}^+$$

the overall stoichiometry for palmitate biosynthesis is

$$8\ \text{Acetyl-CoA} + 14\ \text{NADPH} + 7\ \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{palmitate} + 14\ \text{NADP}^+ + 8\ \text{CoA} + 6\ \text{H}_2\text{O} + 7\ \text{ADP} + 7\ \text{P}_i$$

The Two Halves of Fatty Acid Synthase Operate in Concert. In animals, the seven reactions of fatty acid synthesis are localized to six discrete active sites (MAT carries out two reactions, 1a and 1b). The order of the domains along the animal fatty acid synthase polypeptide chain is indicated in **Fig. 20-27*a***. Three of the domains besides ACP lack enzymatic activity: a linker domain (LD) that bridges the KS and MAT domains; a pseudo-methyltransferase (ΨME) domain, so named because it is homologous to the methyltransferase family; and a pseudo-ketoreductase (ΨKR) domain, so named because it is a truncated form of the KR domain.

The two subunits of fatty acid synthase form an asymmetric X with two reaction chambers (**Fig. 20-27*b***). The subunits associate via an extensive interface involving the KS, ER, and DH domains. However, the upper and lower portions of the X are only loosely connected. The lower portion of the X contains the enzyme's two condensing activities, MAT and KS (which catalyze reactions 1 and 2 in Fig. 20-26), and the upper portion of the X contains the enzyme's β-carbon modifying activities, DH, KR, and ER (which catalyze reactions 3–5 in Fig. 20-26). The C-terminal ACP and TE domains are flexibly tethered to the enzyme and are therefore unobserved.

Each of the two reaction chambers of the enzyme is lined by the full set of catalytic domains from one subunit, all of which must be visited by the long, flexible phosphopantetheine arm of an ACP. [The flexible lipoyllysyl arms of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex (Section 17-2B) perform a similar function in that enzyme.] The catalytic sites of the fatty acid synthase domains occur on both the front and back faces of the protein, and their distribution has no discernable relationship to the order of the reactions in the catalytic cycle. Mutational studies indicate that a single ACP domain can service active sites on both subunits. Apparently, the upper portion of the X can rotate 180° relative to the lower portion, a degree of flexibility that is consistent with the tenuous structure that joins the two halves of the enzyme. Because fatty acid synthase is a dimer, two fatty acids can be synthesized simultaneously. In some organisms,

Courtesy of Timm Maier and Nenad Ban, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

FIG. 20-27 Structure of mammalian fatty acid synthase. (*a*) Domain organization of porcine fatty acid synthase. The abbreviations for each enzyme activity are given in Fig. 20-26. (*b*) X-Ray structure of porcine fatty acid synthase. The ~190-Å-wide homodimer is viewed perpendicular to its pseudotwofold axis (*vertical black arrow*), with its various domains colored as in Part *a*. Bound $NADP^+$ cofactors are drawn in space-filling form in blue. The attachment sites of the disordered ACP/TE domains are indicated by black dots. The domain names for the second subunit include primes. (*c*) A schematic diagram of the protein, indicating how the various domains are linked. PDBid 9VZ9.

? Without consulting Fig. 20-26, identify the order of action of each domain in synthesizing a fatty acid.

multifunctional enzymes that resemble fatty acid synthase produce complex molecules (Box 20-3).

In well-nourished individuals, fatty acid synthesis proceeds at a low rate. However, certain tissues, particularly malignancies, express high levels of fatty acid synthase and produce fatty acids at a high rate. Consequently, inhibitors of fatty acid synthase may act as anticancer agents.

Box 20-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Polyketide Synthesis

Polyketides are a family of >10,000 diverse and structurally complex natural products, many of which have antimicrobial, antitumor, and immunosuppressive properties. They are synthesized in bacteria, fungi, plants, and certain marine animals by the stepwise condensation of acetyl-CoA or propionyl-CoA with malonyl-CoA or methylmalonyl-CoA extender units. Each condensation reaction is driven by the decarboxylation of the extender unit and yields a β-keto functional group (e.g., an acetoacetyl group; this is why the ultimate product is called a polyketide). Palmitate is an example of a polyketide since it is formed by the condensation of one acetyl-CoA primer and seven malonyl-CoA extender units. Following each condensation reaction, the new β-keto group may be reduced, dehydrated, and reduced again, as with fatty acids, or may undergo only partial modification.

Polyketides are synthesized by multienzyme polypeptides that resemble eukaryotic fatty acid synthase but are often much larger. They include acyl-carrier domains plus an assortment of enzymatic units that can function in an iterative fashion, repeating the same elongation/modification steps (as in fatty acid synthesis), or in a sequential fashion so as to generate more heterogeneous types of polyketides.

For example, the soil bacterium *Saccharopolyspora erythraea* produces the polyketide **6-deoxyerythronolide B (6dEB),** which is the precursor of the antibiotic **erythromycin A.** Synthesis requires a propionyl-CoA primer and six (*S*)-methylmalonyl-CoA extenders. The enzyme **deoxyerythronolide B synthase (DEBS),** a 2000-kD $\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2$ complex, contains 28 different active sites that function like an assembly line. Its three units (DEBS1, DEBS2, and DEBS3) each catalyze two elongation and modification steps (see the figure).

Module 4 resembles fatty acid synthase (it contains KS, AT, ACP, KR, DH, and ER). However, it does not contain TE because the elongation process is not complete after this phase. Module 3 contains only ACP, KS, and AT and passes its β-ketone condensation product to module 4 without further modification. Modules 1, 2, 5, and 6 contain only ACP, AT, KS, and KR, the sites necessary for the condensation and ketone reduction steps, thereby generating hydroxy products. The overall organization of the modules therefore creates a polyhydroxy chain with one keto group. The chain cyclizes through reaction of the terminal hydroxyl group with the thioester that anchored the growing chain to the synthase. As is the case for many polyketides, additional covalent modifications are required to generate a product with full biological activity. The modular nature of polyketide synthases and the variety of their reaction products make these enzymes appealing to genetic engineers trying to design enzymes that can synthesize novel compounds or more effective drugs.

An example of polyketide biosynthesis: the synthesis of erythromycin A. [After Pfeifer, B.A., Admiraal, S.J., Gramajo, H., Cane, D.E., and Khosla, C., *Science* **291,** 1790 (2001).]

D Fatty Acids May Be Elongated and Desaturated

Palmitate, a saturated C_{16} fatty acid, is converted to longer chain saturated and unsaturated fatty acids through the actions of **elongases** and **desaturases.** Elongases are present in both the mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum, but the mechanisms of elongation at the two sites differ. Mitochondrial elongation (a process independent of the fatty acid synthase pathway in the cytosol) occurs by the successive addition and reduction of acetyl units in a reversal of fatty acid oxidation; the only chemical difference between the two pathways occurs in the final reduction step in which NADPH takes the place of $FADH_2$ as the terminal redox coenzyme (Fig. 20-28). Elongation in the endoplasmic reticulum involves the successive condensations of malonyl-CoA with acyl-CoA. These reactions are each followed by NADPH-dependent reductions similar to those catalyzed by fatty acid synthase, the only difference being that the fatty acid is elongated as its CoA derivative rather than as its ACP derivative.

Unsaturated fatty acids are produced by **terminal desaturases.** Mammalian systems contain four terminal desaturases of broad chain-length specificities designated Δ^9 -, Δ^6 -, Δ^5 -, and **Δ^4-fatty acyl-CoA desaturases.** These nonheme iron–containing enzymes catalyze the general reaction

where x is at least 5 and where $(CH_2)_x$ can contain one or more double bonds. The $(CH_2)_y$ portion of the substrate is always saturated. Double bonds are inserted between existing double bonds in the $(CH_2)_x$ portion of the substrate and the CoA group such that the new double bond is three carbon atoms closer to the CoA group than the next double bond (not conjugated to an existing double bond) and, in animals, never at positions beyond C9.

Certain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Must Be Obtained in the Diet. A variety of unsaturated fatty acids can be synthesized by combinations of elongation and desaturation reactions. However, since palmitic acid is the shortest available fatty acid in animals, the above rules preclude the formation of the Δ^{12} double bond of linoleic acid ($\Delta^{9,12}$-octadecadienoic acid; a polyunsaturated fatty acid), a required precursor of **prostaglandins** and other **eicosanoids** (Section 9-1F). *Linoleic acid must consequently be obtained in the diet (ultimately from plants that have Δ^{12}- and Δ^{15}-desaturases) and is therefore an **essential fatty acid**.* Indeed, animals maintained on a fat-free diet develop an ultimately fatal condition that is initially characterized by poor growth, poor wound healing, and dermatitis. Linoleic acid is also an important constituent of epidermal sphingolipids that function as the skin's water permeability barrier: Animals deprived of linoleic acid must drink far more water than those with an adequate diet.

FIG. 20-28 Mitochondrial fatty acid elongation. This process is the reverse of fatty acid oxidation (Fig. 20-12) except that the final reaction employs NADPH rather than $FADH_2$ as its redox coenzyme.

E Fatty Acids Are Esterified to Form Triacylglycerols

Triacylglycerols are synthesized from fatty acyl-CoA esters and glycerol-3-phosphate or dihydroxyacetone phosphate (Fig. 20-29). The initial step in this process is catalyzed either by **glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase** in mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum, or by **dihydroxyacetone phosphate acyltransferase** in the endoplasmic reticulum or peroxisomes. In the latter case, the product acyl-dihydroxyacetone phosphate is reduced to the corresponding **lysophosphatidic acid** by an NADPH-dependent reductase. The lysophosphatidic acid is converted to a triacylglycerol by the successive actions of **1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, phosphatidic acid phosphatase,** and **diacylglycerol acyltransferase.** The intermediate phosphatidic acid and 1,2-diacylglycerol can also be converted to phospholipids by the pathways described in Section 20-6A. The

FIG. 20-29 The reactions of triacylglycerol biosynthesis.

? How may acyltransferase reactions are needed to synthesize a phospholipid? A triacylglycerol?

FIG. 20-30 **A summary of lipid metabolism.**

acyltransferases are not completely specific for particular fatty acyl-CoAs, either in chain length or degree of unsaturation, but in human adipose tissue triacylglycerols, palmitate tends to be concentrated at position 1 and oleate at position 2.

Glyceroneogenesis Is Important for Triacylglycerol Biosynthesis. The dihydroxyacetone phosphate used to make glycerol-3-phosphate for triacylglycerol synthesis comes either from glucose via the glycolytic pathway (Fig. 15-1) or from oxaloacetate via an abbreviated version of gluconeogenesis (Fig. 16-15) termed **glyceroneogenesis.** Glyceroneogenesis is necessary in times of starvation, since approximately 30% of the fatty acids that enter the liver during a fast are re-esterified to triacylglycerol and exported in VLDL (Section 20-1). Adipocytes also carry out glyceroneogenesis in times of starvation. They do not carry out gluconeogenesis but contain the gluconeogenic enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), which is upregulated when the glucose concentration is low and participates in the glyceroneogenesis required for triacylglycerol biosynthesis.

At this point, we can appreciate how triacylglycerols synthesized from fatty acids built from two-carbon acetyl units can be broken back down into acetyl units. In the liver, the resulting acetyl-CoA may be shunted to the formation of ketone bodies and later converted back to acetyl-CoA by another tissue. The acetyl-CoA can then either be used to build fatty acids that are stored as triacylglycerols or be oxidized by the citric acid cycle to generate considerable ATP by oxidative phosphorylation. As we will see, the flux of material in the direction of triacylglycerol synthesis or triacylglycerol degradation depends on the metabolic energy needs of the organism and the need for synthesis of other compounds, such as membrane lipids (Section 20-6) and cholesterol (Section 20-7A). These key features of lipid metabolism are summarized in Fig. 20-30.

CHECKPOINT

- Compare the shuttle systems for transporting fatty acids into the mitochondria and acetyl-CoA into the cytosol.
- What is the role of ATP-citrate lyase in fatty acid synthesis?
- Describe the reactions that produce and consume malonyl-CoA during fatty acid synthesis.
- Why does it make metabolic sense for the cell to regulate the activity of acetyl-CoA carboxylase?
- Summarize the reactions catalyzed by fatty acid synthase and compare them to the reactions of fatty acid oxidation.
- Describe the role of multifunctional enzymes in both fatty acid synthesis and oxidation.
- What are the purposes of elongases and desaturases? Where are the enzymes located?
- Describe how newly synthesized fatty acids are incorporated into triacylglycerols.

5 Regulation of Fatty Acid Metabolism

KEY CONCEPT

- Fatty acid oxidation and synthesis are regulated by hormones and cellular factors.

Discussions of metabolic control are usually concerned with the regulation of metabolite flow through a pathway in response to the differing energy needs and

dietary states of an organism. In mammals, glycogen and triacylglycerols are sources of fuel for energy-requiring processes and are synthesized in times of plenty for future use. *Synthesis and breakdown of glycogen and triacylglycerols are processes that concern the whole organism, with its organs and tissues forming an interdependent network connected by the bloodstream.* The blood carries the metabolites responsible for energy production: triacylglycerols in the form of chylomicrons and VLDL, fatty acids as their albumin complexes, ketone bodies, amino acids, lactate, and glucose. As in glycogen metabolism (Section 16-3), *hormones such as insulin and glucagon regulate the rates of the opposing pathways of lipid metabolism and thereby control whether fatty acids will be oxidized or synthesized.* The major control mechanisms are summarized in **Fig. 20-31**.

Fatty acid oxidation is regulated largely by the concentration of fatty acids in the blood, which is, in turn, controlled by the hydrolysis rate of triacylglycerols in adipose tissue by **hormone-sensitive triacylglycerol lipase**. This enzyme is so named because it is susceptible to regulation by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in response to hormonally controlled cAMP levels. Glucagon, epinephrine, and norepinephrine, which are released in times of metabolic need, increase adipose tissue cAMP concentrations. cAMP allosterically activates PKA (Section 13-3C), which, in turn, phosphorylates certain enzymes. Phosphorylation activates hormone-sensitive lipase, thereby stimulating lipolysis in adipose tissue, raising blood fatty acid levels, and ultimately activating the β-oxidation pathway in other tissues, such as liver and muscle. In liver, this process leads to the production of ketone bodies that are secreted into the bloodstream for use as an alternative fuel to glucose by peripheral tissues. PKA also inactivates acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Section 20-4B), so *cAMP-dependent phosphorylation simultaneously stimulates fatty acid oxidation and inhibits fatty acid synthesis.*

Insulin, a pancreatic hormone released in response to high blood glucose concentrations (the fed state), has the opposite effect of glucagon and epinephrine: It stimulates the formation of glycogen and triacylglycerols. Insulin decreases cAMP levels, leading to the dephosphorylation and thus the inactivation of hormone-sensitive lipase. This reduces the amounts of fatty acids available for oxidation. Insulin also activates acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Section 20-4B). *The glucagon:insulin ratio therefore determines the rate and direction of fatty acid metabolism.*

Another mechanism that inhibits fatty acid oxidation when fatty acid synthesis is stimulated is the inhibition of carnitine palmitoyl transferase I by malonyl-CoA. This inhibition keeps the newly synthesized fatty acids out of the mitochondria (Section 20-2B) and thus away from the β-oxidation system. In fact, heart muscle, an oxidative tissue that does not carry out fatty acid biosynthesis, contains an isoform of acetyl-CoA carboxylase, ACC2 (Section 20-4B), whose sole function appears to be the synthesis of malonyl-CoA to regulate fatty acid oxidation.

AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK), which phosphorylates (inactivates) ACC, may itself be an important regulator of fatty acid metabolism. The enzyme is activated by AMP and inhibited by ATP and thus has been proposed to serve as a fuel gauge for the cell. When ATP levels are high, signaling the fed and rested state, this kinase is inhibited, allowing ACC to become dephosphorylated (activated) so as to stimulate malonyl-CoA production for fatty acid synthesis in adipose tissue and for inhibition of fatty acid oxidation in muscle cells. When activity levels increase, causing ATP levels to decrease with a concomitant increase in AMP levels, AMPK is activated to phosphorylate (inactivate) ACC. The resulting decrease in malonyl-CoA levels causes fatty acid biosynthesis to decrease in adipose tissue while fatty acid oxidation increases in muscle to provide the ATP for continued activity.

Factors such as substrate availability, allosteric interactions, and covalent modification (phosphorylation) control enzyme activity with response times of minutes or less. Such **short-term regulation** is complemented by **long-term**

regulation, which requires hours or days and governs a pathway's regulatory enzyme by altering the amount of enzyme present. This is accomplished through changes in the rates of protein synthesis and/or breakdown.

The long-term regulation of lipid metabolism includes stimulation by insulin and inhibition by starvation of the synthesis of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and fatty

FIG. 20-31 **Sites of regulation of fatty acid metabolism.**

? **Trace the arrows that represent pathways that predominate in the fed state or in the fasted state.**

CHECKPOINT

- How do hormones link fuel availability to fatty acid synthesis and degradation in humans?
- Explain how malonyl-CoA production can regulate fatty acid metabolism.

acid synthase. The amount of adipose tissue lipoprotein lipase, the enzyme that initiates the entry of lipoprotein-packaged fatty acids into adipose tissue for storage (Section 20-1B), is also increased by insulin and decreased by starvation. Thus, an abundance of glucose, reflected in the level of insulin, promotes fatty acid synthesis and the storage of fatty acids by adipocytes, whereas starvation, when glucose is unavailable, decreases fatty acid synthesis and the uptake of fatty acids by adipocytes.

6 Synthesis of Other Lipids

KEY CONCEPTS

- The triacylglycerol precursors diacylglycerol and phosphatidic acid are used to synthesize glycerophospholipids.
- Sphingolipids are synthesized from palmitoyl-CoA and serine.
- Prostaglandin synthesis from arachidonate can be blocked by drugs.

Fatty acids are the precursors not just of triacylglycerols but also of a variety of other compounds, including membrane lipids and certain signaling molecules. Most membrane lipids are dual-tailed amphipathic molecules composed of either 1,2-diacylglycerol or *N*-acylsphingosine (ceramide) linked to a polar head group that is either a carbohydrate or a phosphate ester (**Fig. 20-32**). In this section, we describe the biosynthesis of these complex lipids from their simpler components. These lipids are synthesized in membranes, mostly on the cytosolic face of the endoplasmic reticulum, and from there are transported in vesicles to their final cellular destinations (Section 9-4E). Arachidonate groups released from membrane lipids give rise to prostaglandins of various types.

A Glycerophospholipids Are Built from Intermediates of Triacylglycerol Synthesis

Glycerophospholipids have significant asymmetry in their C1- and C2-linked fatty acyl groups: C1 substituents are mostly saturated fatty acids, whereas those at C2 are, by and large, unsaturated fatty acids.

Activated Head Groups or Activated Lipids Are Used to Synthesize Diacylglycerophospholipids. The triacylglycerol precursors 1,2-diacylglycerol and phosphatidic acid are also the precursors of glycerophospholipids (Fig. 20-29). The polar head groups of glycerophospholipids are linked to C3 of the glycerol via a

X = H	**1,2-Diacylglycerol**	***N*-Acylsphingosine (ceramide)**
X = Carbohydrate	**Glyceroglycolipid**	**Sphingoglycolipid (glycosphingolipid)**
X = Phosphate ester	**Glycerophospholipid**	**Sphingophospholipid**

FIG. 20-32 Glycerolipids and sphingolipids. The structures of the common head groups, X, are presented in Table 9-2. Plant membranes are particularly rich in glyceroglycolipids.

phosphodiester bond. In mammals, the head groups **ethanolamine** and **choline** are activated before being attached to the lipid (Fig. 20-33):

1. ATP phosphorylates the OH group of choline or ethanolamine.
2. The phosphoryl group of the resulting **phosphoethanolamine** or **phosphocholine** then attacks CTP, displacing PP_i, to form the corresponding CDP derivatives, which are activated phosphate esters of the polar head group.
3. The C3-OH group of 1,2-diacylglycerol attacks the phosphoryl group of the activated CDP–ethanolamine or CDP–choline, displacing CMP to yield the corresponding glycerophospholipid.

In liver, phosphatidylethanolamine can also be converted to phosphatidylcholine by the addition of methyl groups.

Phosphatidylserine is synthesized from phosphatidylethanolamine by a head group exchange reaction catalyzed by **phosphatidylethanolamine serine**

FIG. 20-33 Biosynthesis of phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylcholine. In mammals, CDP–ethanolamine and CDP–choline are the precursors of the head groups.

transferase in which serine's OH group attacks the donor's phosphoryl group. The original head group is then eliminated, forming phosphatidylserine:

In the synthesis of **phosphatidylinositol** and **phosphatidylglycerol,** the hydrophobic tail is activated rather than the polar head group. Phosphatidic acid, the precursor of 1,2-diacylglycerol (Fig. 20-29), attacks the α-phosphoryl group of CTP to form the activated **CDP–diacylglycerol** and PP_i (**Fig. 20-34**). Phosphatidylinositol results from the attack of inositol on CDP–diacylglycerol. Phosphatidylglycerol is formed in two reactions: (1) attack of the C1-OH group of glycerol-3-phosphate on CDP, yielding **phosphatidylglycerol phosphate;** and (2) hydrolysis of the phosphoryl group to form phosphatidylglycerol.

Cardiolipin forms by the condensation of two molecules of phosphatidylglycerol with the elimination of one molecule of glycerol:

Enzymes that synthesize phosphatidic acid have a general preference for saturated fatty acids at C1 and for unsaturated fatty acids at C2. Yet this general preference cannot account, for example, for the observations that ~80% of brain phosphatidylinositol has a stearoyl group (18:0) at C1 and an arachidonoyl group (20:4) at C2, and that ~40% of lung phosphatidylcholine has palmitoyl groups (16:0) at both positions (the latter substance is the major component of the surfactant that prevents the lung from collapsing when air is expelled; its deficiency in premature infants is responsible for respiratory distress syndrome; see Box 9-1). *William Lands showed that such side chain specificity results from "remodeling" reactions in which specific acyl groups of individual glycerophospholipids are exchanged by specific phospholipases and acyltransferases.*

Glycerophospholipids Include the Plasmalogens and Alkylacylglycerophospholipids. Eukaryotic membranes contain significant amounts of two other types of glycerophospholipids: **plasmalogens**, which contain a hydrocarbon chain

FIG. 20-34 Biosynthesis of phosphatidylinositol and phosphatidylglycerol. In mammals, this process involves a CDP–diacylglycerol intermediate.

linked to glycerol C1 via a vinyl ether linkage, and **alkylacylglycerophospholipids,** in which the alkyl substituent at glycerol C1 is attached via an ether linkage.

A plasmalogen

An alkylacyl-glycerophospholipid

About 20% of mammalian glycerophospholipids are plasmalogens, but the exact percentage varies both among species and among tissues within a given organism. For example, plasmalogens account for only 0.8% of the phospholipids in human liver but 23% of those in human nervous tissue. The alkylacylglycerophospholipids are much less abundant than the plasmalogens. The biosynthetic pathway for these lipids yields the alkylacylglycerophospholipid, and this ether is then oxidized to a vinyl ether by the action of a desaturase to yield the plasmalogen.

B Sphingolipids Are Built from Palmitoyl-CoA and Serine

Most sphingolipids are **sphingoglycolipids;** that is, their polar head groups consist of carbohydrate units. **Cerebrosides** are ceramide monosaccharides, whereas **gangliosides** are sialic acid–containing ceramide oligosaccharides (Section 9-1D). These lipids are synthesized by attaching carbohydrate units to the C1-OH group of ceramide (*N*-acylsphingosine).

FIG. 20-35 Biosynthesis of ceramide (*N*-acylsphingosine).

N-Acylsphingosine is synthesized in four reactions from the precursors palmitoyl-CoA and serine (Fig. 20-35):

1. **3-Ketosphinganine synthase** catalyzes condensation of palmitoyl-CoA with serine, yielding **3-ketosphinganine.**
2. **3-Ketosphinganine reductase** catalyzes the NADPH-dependent reduction of 3-ketosphinganine's keto group to form **sphinganine (dihydrosphingosine).**
3. **Dihydroceramide** is formed by transfer of an acyl group from an acyl-CoA to sphinganine's 2-amino group, forming an amide bond.
4. **Dihydroceramide dehydrogenase** converts dihydroceramide to ceramide by an FAD-dependent oxidation reaction.

The nonglycosylated lipid sphingomyelin, an important structural lipid of nerve cell membranes,

is the product of a reaction in which phosphatidylcholine donates its phosphocholine group to the C1-OH group of *N*-acylsphingosine.

Cerebrosides, which are most commonly 1-β-galactoceramide or 1-β-glucoceramide, are synthesized from ceramide by the addition of the glycosyl unit from the corresponding UDP–hexose to ceramide's C1-OH group. The more elaborate oligosaccharide head groups of gangliosides (Fig. 9-9) are constructed through the action of a series of glycosyltransferases. Defects in the pathways for degrading these complex lipids are responsible for certain **lipid storage diseases** (Box 20-4).

C C_{20} Fatty Acids Are the Precursors of Prostaglandins

Prostaglandins and related compounds (Fig. 9-12) are derivatives of C_{20} fatty acids such as arachidonate, which is released from membrane phospholipids in response to hormones and other signals. The functions of prostaglandins vary in a tissue-specific manner, but several of them trigger pain, fever, or inflammation. The production of prostaglandins begins with the formation of a cyclopentane ring in the linear fatty acid, as catalyzed by **prostaglandin H_2 synthase** (Fig. 20-36). This heme-containing enzyme contains two catalytic activities: a **cyclooxygenase** that adds two molecules of O_2 to arachidonate, and a **peroxidase** that converts the resulting hydroperoxy group to an OH group. The enzyme is commonly called **COX,** after its cyclooxygenase activity (not to be confused with cytochrome *c* oxidase, which is also called COX).

The use of **aspirin** as an analgesic (pain-relieving), antipyretic (fever-reducing), and anti-inflammatory agent has been widespread since the nineteenth century. Yet it was not until

FIG. 20-36 The prostaglandin H_2 synthase reaction. A cyclooxygenase activity (**1**) catalyzes the additions and rearrangements that generate the cyclopentane ring. The enzyme's peroxidase activity (**2**) converts the peroxide intermediate to prostaglandin H_2 (PGH_2), which is the precursor of other prostaglandins.

1971 that John Vane discovered its mechanism of action: Aspirin inhibits the synthesis of prostaglandins by acetylating a specific Ser residue of prostaglandin H_2 synthase, which prevents arachidonate from reaching the cyclooxygenase active site. Other **nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)** such as **ibuprofen** and **acetaminophen** noncovalently bind to the enzyme to similarly block its active site.

Aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid)

Ibuprofen

Acetaminophen

Low doses of aspirin, ~80 mg (baby aspirin) every day, significantly reduce the long-term incidence of heart attacks and strokes. Such low doses selectively inhibit platelet aggregation and thus blood clot formation (Box 11-4) because these enucleated cells, which have a lifetime in the circulation of ~10 days, cannot resynthesize their inactivated enzymes. Vascular endothelial cells are not so drastically affected since, for the most part, they are far from the site where aspirin is absorbed, are exposed to lesser concentrations of aspirin and, in any case, can synthesize additional PGH_2 synthase.

COX-2 Inhibitors Lack the Side Effects of Other NSAIDs. Prostaglandin H_2 synthase has two isoforms, **COX-1** and **COX-2,** that share a high degree (60%) of sequence identity and structural homology. COX-1 is constitutively (without regulation) expressed in most, if not all, mammalian tissues, thereby supporting levels of prostaglandin synthesis necessary to maintain organ and tissue homeostasis. In contrast, COX-2 is expressed only in certain tissues in response to inflammatory stimuli and hence is responsible for the elevated prostaglandin levels that cause inflammation.

Aspirin and ibuprofen are relatively nonspecific and therefore can have adverse side effects (e.g., gastrointestinal ulceration) when used to treat inflammation or fever. A structure-based drug design program (Section 12-4) was therefore instituted to create inhibitors that would target COX-2 but not COX-1. The three-dimensional structures of COX-1 and COX-2 are almost identical. However, their amino acid differences make COX-2's active site channel ~20% larger in volume than that of COX-1. Chemists therefore synthesized inhibitors, collectively known as **coxibs,** that could enter the COX-2 channel but are excluded from that of COX-1. Two of these inhibitors, **rofecoxib (Vioxx)** and **celecoxib (Celebrex)** (*at right*), became important drugs for the treatment of inflammatory diseases such as arthritis because they lack the major side effects of the nonspecific NSAIDs. However, in 2004, Vioxx was withdrawn from the market because of unanticipated cardiac side effects.

Interestingly, acetaminophen, the most commonly used analgesic/antipyretic (Section 12-4D), binds poorly to both COX-1 and COX-2 and is not (despite its inclusion in this category) an anti-inflammatory agent. Its mechanism of action remained a mystery until Daniel Simmons' discovery of a third COX isozyme, **COX-3,** which is expressed at high levels in the central nervous system and is apparently targeted by drugs that decrease pain and fever.

Rofecoxib (Vioxx)

Celecoxib (Celebrex)

CHECKPOINT

- Where are membrane lipids synthesized?
- Explain how glycerophospholipids are synthesized by activating either the head group or the lipid tail.
- Describe the biosynthesis of ceramide, sphingomyelin, and cerebrosides.
- Explain the action of drugs such as aspirin, NSAIDs, and coxibs.

Box 20-4 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Sphingolipid Degradation and Lipid Storage Diseases

Sphingoglycolipids are lysosomally degraded by a series of enzymatically mediated hydrolytic reactions. At right is shown the pathway for the degradation of ganglioside G_{M1} and a related globoside and sulfatide. The abbreviations for the monosaccharide residues are Gal, galactose; GalNAc, *N*-acetylgalactosamine; Glc, glucose; NANA, *N*-acetylneuraminic acid (sialic acid). Cer represents ceramide.

A hereditary defect in one of the enzymes (indicated by a red bar) results in a **sphingolipid storage disease.** The substrate of the missing enzyme therefore accumulates, often with disastrous consequences. In many cases, affected individuals suffer from mental retardation and die in infancy or early childhood. One of the most common lipid storage diseases is **Tay–Sachs disease,** an autosomal recessive deficiency in **hexosaminidase A,** which hydrolyzes *N*-acetylgalactosamine

7 Cholesterol Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- The liver converts acetyl-CoA to cholesterol by a multistep pathway.
- Cholesterol synthesis is regulated by the activity and amount of HMG-CoA reductase.
- The LDL receptor keeps circulating cholesterol low.

Cholesterol is a vital constituent of cell membranes and the precursor of steroid hormones and bile acids. It is clearly essential to life, yet its deposition in arteries is associated with cardiovascular disease and stroke, two leading causes of death

from ganglioside G_{M2}. The absence of hexosaminidase A activity results in the accumulation of G_{M2} as shell-like inclusions in neuronal cells as shown below.

[ISM Creative/Custom Medical Stock Photo, Inc.]

Although infants born with Tay–Sachs disease at first appear normal, by ~1 year of age, when sufficient G_{M2} has accumulated to interfere with neuronal function, they become progressively weaker, retarded, and blinded until they die, usually by the age of 3 years. It is possible, however, to screen potential carriers of the disease by a simple serum assay for hexosaminidase A.

There is no known treatment for Tay–Sachs disease. However, the symptoms of **Gaucher's disease** (the most common of which include a greatly enlarged liver and spleen, anemia, and bone abnormalities, but with a life expectancy well into adulthood), which results from the accumulation of **glucocerebroside** due to defective **glucocerebrosidase,** may be alleviated by the intravenous infusion of the recombinant normal enzyme. In some cases, Gaucher's disease may be treated by administering the imino sugar ***N*-butyldeoxynojirimycin** (**Miglustat**),

***N*-Butyldeoxynojirimycin**

which inhibits **glucosylceramide synthase**, an enzyme that synthesizes glucocerebroside. Similar "substrate deprivation" approaches may be effective in treating other lipid storage diseases, particularly when the defective but essential enzyme has some residual activity.

in humans. *In a healthy organism, an intricate balance is maintained between the biosynthesis, utilization, and transport of cholesterol, keeping its harmful deposition to a minimum.* In this section, we study the pathways of cholesterol biosynthesis and transport and how they are controlled.

A Cholesterol Is Synthesized from Acetyl-CoA

Cholesterol biosynthesis follows a lengthy pathway, first outlined by Konrad Bloch, in which acetate (from acetyl-CoA) is converted to **isoprene units** that have the carbon skeleton of **isoprene:**

Isoprene
(2-methyl-1,3-butadiene)

An isoprene unit

The isoprene units then condense to form a linear molecule with 30 carbons that cyclizes to form the four-ring structure of cholesterol.

HMG-CoA Is a Key Cholesterol Precursor. Acetyl-CoA is converted to isoprene units by a series of reactions that begins with formation of hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA; this compound is also an intermediate in ketone body synthesis; Fig. 20-21). HMG-CoA synthesis requires thiolase and HMG-CoA synthase. In liver mitochondria, these two enzymes form HMG-CoA for ketone body synthesis. Cytosolic isozymes of the two proteins generate the HMG-CoA that is used in cholesterol biosynthesis. Four additional reactions convert HMG-CoA to the isoprenoid intermediate **isopentenyl pyrophosphate**:

1. The CoA thioester group of HMG-CoA is reduced to an alcohol in an NADPH-dependent four-electron reduction catalyzed by **HMG-CoA reductase,** yielding **mevalonate,** a C_6 compound. This is the rate-determining step of cholesterol biosynthesis.

2. The new OH group is phosphorylated by **mevalonate-5-phosphotransferase.**

3. The phosphate group is converted to a pyrophosphate by **phosphomevalonate kinase.**

4. The molecule undergoes an ATP-dependent decarboxylation reaction catalyzed by **pyrophosphomevalonate decarboxylase** to yield isopentenyl pyrophosphate:

Isopentenyl pyrophosphate

Dimethylallyl pyrophosphate

Squalene Is Formed by the Condensation of Six Isoprene Units. Isopentenyl pyrophosphate is converted to **dimethylallyl pyrophosphate** by **isopentenyl pyrophosphate isomerase** (*at left*). Four isopentenyl pyrophosphates and two dimethylallyl pyrophosphates condense to form the C_{30} cholesterol precursor **squalene** in three reactions catalyzed by two enzymes (Fig. 20-37):

1. **Prenyltransferase** catalyzes the head-to-tail condensation of dimethylallyl pyrophosphate and isopentenyl pyrophosphate to yield the C_{10} compound **geranyl pyrophosphate.**
2. Prenyltransferase catalyzes a second head-to-tail condensation of geranyl pyrophosphate and isopentenyl pyrophosphate to yield the C_{15} compound **farnesyl pyrophosphate.** The prenyltransferase catalyzes an S_N1 reaction to form a carbocation intermediate with an ionization–condensation–elimination mechanism:

3. **Squalene synthase** then catalyzes the head-to-head condensation of two farnesyl pyrophosphate molecules to form squalene.

FIG. 20-37 Formation of squalene from isopentenyl pyrophosphate and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate. The pathway involves two head-to-tail condensations catalyzed by prenyltransferase and a head-to-head condensation catalyzed by squalene synthase.

? Determine the number of carbons in each intermediate.

Farnesyl pyrophosphate is also the precursor of other isoprenoid compounds in mammals, including ubiquinone (Section 9-1F) and the isoprenoid tails of some lipid-linked membrane proteins (Section 9-3B).

Squalene Cyclization Eventually Yields Cholesterol. Squalene, a linear hydrocarbon, cyclizes to form the tetracyclic steroid skeleton. First, **squalene epoxidase** catalyzes oxidation of squalene to form **2,3-oxidosqualene:**

Squalene **2,3-Oxidosqualene**

Next, **oxidosqualene cyclase** converts this epoxide to the steroid **lanosterol.** The reaction is a chemically complex process involving cyclization of 2,3-oxidosqualene to a **protosterol** cation and rearrangement of the cation to lanosterol by a series of 1,2 hydride and methyl shifts (Fig. 20-38).

Conversion of lanosterol to cholesterol (*at left*) is a 19-step process that involves an oxidation and the loss of three methyl groups. The enzymes required for the process are embedded in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane.

Lanosterol

Cholesterol

Cholesterol Has Many Uses. In addition to its function as a membrane component, cholesterol is the precursor of steroid hormones such as cortisol, androgens, and estrogens (Section 9-1E). The liver converts cholesterol to bile acids (Fig. 20-1), which act as emulsifying agents in the digestion and absorption of fats (Section 20-1A). An efficient recycling system allows the bile acids to reenter the bloodstream and return to the liver for reuse several times each day. The bile acids that escape this recycling are further metabolized by intestinal microorganisms and excreted. *This is the only route for cholesterol excretion.*

Cholesterol synthesized by the liver may be esterified by **acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT)** to form cholesteryl esters.

Cholesteryl ester

These highly hydrophobic compounds are transported throughout the body in lipoprotein complexes (Section 20-1B).

B HMG-CoA Reductase Controls the Rate of Cholesterol Synthesis

HMG-CoA reductase, which catalyzes the rate-limiting step of cholesterol biosynthesis, is the pathway's main regulatory site. The enzyme is subject to short-term control by competitive inhibition, allosteric effects, and covalent modification involving reversible phosphorylation. Like glycogen phosphorylase, glycogen synthase, and other enzymes, HMG-CoA reductase exists in

FIG. 20-38 The oxidosqualene cyclase reaction. (**1**) 2,3-Oxidosqualene is cyclized to the protosterol cation in a process that is initiated by the enzyme-mediated protonation of the squalene epoxide oxygen. The opening of the epoxide leaves an electron-deficient center whose migration drives the series of cyclizations that form the protosterol cation. (**2**) A series of methyl and hydride migrations followed by the elimination of a proton from C9 of the sterol to form a double bond ultimately yields neutral lanosterol.

interconvertible more active and less active forms. When phosphorylated at Ser 871, the enzyme is less active. Phosphorylation is carried out by AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK), the same enzyme that inactivates acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Section 20-4B). It appears that this control mechanism conserves energy when ATP levels fall and AMP levels rise, by generally inhibiting biosynthetic pathways.

The primary regulatory mechanism for HMG-CoA reductase activity is long-term feedback control of the amount of enzyme present in the cell. The amount of enzyme can rise as much as 200-fold, due to an increase in enzyme synthesis combined with a decrease in its degradation. In fact, cholesterol itself regulates the expression of the HMG-CoA reductase gene along with more than 20 other genes involved in its biosynthesis and uptake, including the gene encoding the LDL receptor. These genes all contain a specific recognition sequence called a **sterol regulatory element** (**SRE;** control of eukaryotic gene expression is discussed in detail in Chapter 28).

The transcription of these genes, as Brown and Goldstein elucidated, requires the binding to their SRE of a portion of **sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP).** However, when cholesterol levels are sufficiently high, SREBP resides in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane as an inactive 1160-residue precursor that binds to **SREBP cleavage-activating protein (SCAP).** SCAP is an ~1276-residue intracellular cholesterol sensor that contains two domains: an N-terminal transmembrane domain called the **sterol-sensing domain** that interacts with sterols, and a C-terminal domain containing five copies of a protein–protein interaction motif known as a **WD repeat** that interacts with the C-terminal so-called regulatory domain of SREBP (Fig. 20-39).

FIG. 20-39 The cholesterol-mediated proteolytic activation of SREBP. When cholesterol levels in the cell are high, the SREBP–SCAP complex resides in the ER. When cholesterol levels are low, SCAP escorts SREBP via COPII-coated membranous vesicles to the Golgi apparatus, where SREBP undergoes sequential proteolytic cleavage by the membrane-bound proteases S1P and S2P. This releases SREBP's N-terminal domain, which enters the nucleus, where it binds to the SREs of its target genes, thereby inducing their transcription. [After Goldstein, J., Rawson, R.B., and Brown, M., *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **397,** 139 (2002).]

When cholesterol in the ER membrane is depleted, SCAP changes conformation and escorts its bound SREBP to the Golgi apparatus via COPII-coated membranous vesicles (Section 9-4E). SREBP is then sequentially cleaved by two Golgi proteases: **site-1 protease (S1P),** a serine protease that cleaves SREBP only when it is associated with SCAP, and **site-2 protease (S2P),** a zinc metalloprotease that cleaves SREBP at a peptide bond exposed by the S1P cleavage. This results in the release of the active fragment, a soluble 480-residue protein that travels to the nucleus, where it activates the transcription of genes containing an SRE by binding to the SRE via a DNA-binding motif known as a **basic helix–loop–helix** (**bHLH;** Section 24-4C; Fig. 20-39). The cholesterol level in the cell thereby rises until SCAP no longer induces the translocation of SREBP to the Golgi apparatus, a classic case of feedback inhibition.

Statins Inhibit HMG-CoA Reductase. High levels of circulating cholesterol, a condition known as **hypercholesterolemia**, can be treated with drugs called **statins** that inhibit HMG-CoA reductase (**Fig. 20-40**). These compounds all contain an HMG-like group that acts as a competitive inhibitor of HMG-CoA binding to the enzyme. The statins bind extremely tightly, with K_I values in the nanomolar range, whereas the substrate, HMG-CoA, has a K_M of ~4 μM.

The X-ray structures of HMG-CoA reductase in its complexes with six different statins reveal that the bulky hydrophobic groups of the inhibitors play a major role in interfering with enzyme activity. The HMG-CoA reductase active site normally accommodates NADPH as well as the pantothenate portion of CoA. Statin binding does not interfere with NADPH binding. However, the enzyme alters its conformation to accommodate the large hydrophobic groups of the statins. It appears that the inhibitors exploit the inherent flexibility of the enzyme. Furthermore, despite the structural variation among the different statins, they all experience extensive van der Waals contacts with the enzyme. *This high degree of complementarity between the statins and the active site, a result of the enzyme's flexibility, accounts for the extremely low inhibition constants.*

The initial decreased cellular cholesterol supply caused by the presence of statins is met by the induction of the LDL receptor and HMG-CoA reductase (Sections 20-1B and 20-7A; Fig. 20-8) so that at the new steady state, the HMG-CoA level is almost that of the predrug state. However, the increased number of LDL receptors

FIG. 20-40 Competitive inhibitors of HMG-CoA reductase used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia. The molecular formulas of lovastatin (Mevacor), pravastatin (Pravachol), simvastatin (Zocor), and atorvastatin (Lipitor; also shown on page 361), which are known as statins, are given. The structures of HMG-CoA and the HMG-CoA reductase product mevalonate are shown for comparison. Note that lovastatin, pravastatin, and simvastatin are lactones whereas atorvastatin and mevalonate are hydroxy acids. The lactones are hydrolyzed enzymatically *in vivo* to the active hydroxy-acid forms.

? **How do these drugs reach their intracellular target?**

causes increased removal from the blood of both LDL and IDL (the apoB-100 containing precursor to LDL), decreasing serum LDL-cholesterol levels appreciably.

C Abnormal Cholesterol Transport Leads to Atherosclerosis

As mentioned above, cellular cholesterol concentrations depend not only on the rate of cholesterol synthesis but also on the ability of cells to absorb cholesterol from circulating lipoproteins (Section 20-1B). The role of lipoproteins in cholesterol metabolism has been studied extensively because an elevated cholesterol level in the blood, primarily in the form of LDL, is a strong risk factor for cardiovascular disease.

Atherosclerosis Results from Accumulation of Lipid in Vessel Walls. Approximately half of all deaths in the United States are linked to the vascular disease **atherosclerosis** (Greek: *athera,* mush + *sclerosis,* hardness). Atherosclerosis is a slow progressive disease that begins with the deposition of lipids in the walls of large blood vessels, particularly the coronary arteries. The initial event appears to be the association of lipoproteins with vessel wall proteoglycans (Section 8-3A). The trapped lipids trigger inflammation by inducing the endothelial cells lining the vessels to express adhesion molecules specific for monocytes, a type of white blood cell. Once these cells burrow into the vessel wall, they differentiate into macrophages that take up the accumulated lipids, becoming so engorged that they are known as "foam cells." Macrophages do not normally take up lipids but do so when the lipids are oxidized, as apparently occurs when LDL particles are trapped for an extended period in blood vessel walls. Factors released by the foam cells, and possibly the oxidized lipids themselves, recruit more white blood cells, perpetuating a state of inflammation.

The damaged vessel wall forms a **plaque** with a core of cholesterol, cholesteryl esters, and remnants of dead macrophages, surrounded by proliferating smooth muscle cells that may undergo calcification, as occurs in bone formation (hence the "hardening" of the arteries). Although a very large plaque can occlude the lumen of the artery (Fig. 20-41), blood flow is usually not completely blocked unless the plaque ruptures. This triggers formation of a blood clot that can prevent circulation to the heart, causing **myocardial infarction** (heart attack). Stoppage of blood flow to the brain causes **stroke.**

The development of atherosclerosis is strongly correlated with the concentration of circulating LDL (often referred to as "bad cholesterol"). Some high-fat diets may contribute to atherosclerosis by boosting LDL levels, but genetic factors and infection also increase the risk of atherosclerosis. Smoking contributes to the disease because cigarette smoke oxidizes LDL, which promotes their uptake by macrophages. Atherosclerosis is less likely to occur in individuals who maintain low total cholesterol levels in their blood and who have high levels of HDL (or "good cholesterol"). These lipoproteins transport excess cholesterol to the liver for disposal as bile acids. Women have more HDL than men and a lower risk of heart disease. Nevertheless, recent epidemiological studies suggest that high levels of HDL are not protective of heart disease. Statins reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease by decreasing blood cholesterol levels.

©Eye of Science/Photo Researchers

FIG. 20-41 **An atherosclerotic plaque in a coronary artery.** The vessel wall is dramatically thickened as a result of lipid accumulation and activation of inflammatory processes.

LDL Receptor Deficiency Causes Hypercholesterolemia. LDL receptors clearly play an important role in the maintenance of plasma LDL levels. Circulating LDL and IDL (which both contain apolipoproteins that specifically bind to the LDL receptor) re-enter the liver through receptor-mediated endocytosis (Fig. 20-8). *Individuals with the inherited disease **familial hypercholesterolemia (FH)** are deficient in functional LDL receptors.* FH homozygotes, who lack the receptors entirely, have such high levels of cholesterol-rich LDL in their plasma that their cholesterol levels are three to five times greater than the average level of ~200 mg/dL. This situation results in the deposition of cholesterol in their skin and tendons as yellow nodules known as **xanthomas.** However, far greater damage is caused by the development of atherosclerosis, which causes

FIG. 20-42 The role of LDL and HDL in cholesterol metabolism. (*a*) Cells acquire cholesterol and cholesteryl esters via endocytosis of LDL as mediated by the LDL receptor (*purple*). Cholesterol efflux to form HDL is assisted by the ABCA1 transporter (*orange*). (*b*) In familial hypercholesterolemia, a lack of functional LDL receptors results in high levels of circulating LDL. (*c*) In Tangier disease, cells become laden with cholesterol and cholesteryl esters and few HDL are formed because efflux is prevented due to lack of functional ABCA1.

death from heart attacks as early as age 5. FH heterozygotes (about 1 person in 500) have about half the normal number of functional LDL receptors and exhibit plasma LDL levels of about twice the average. They typically develop symptoms of cardiovascular disease after age 30.

The long-term ingestion of a high-fat/high-cholesterol diet has an effect similar to although not as extreme as FH. Cholesterol regulates the synthesis of the LDL receptor by the same mechanism that regulates HMG-CoA reductase synthesis (Section 20-7B). Consequently, high intracellular concentrations of cholesterol suppress LDL receptor synthesis so that more LDL particles remain in the circulation. Excessive dietary cholesterol, delivered to the tissues via chylomicrons, therefore contributes to high plasma LDL levels.

Cholesterol Exits Cells via a Transport Protein. Most cells do not consume cholesterol by converting it to steroid hormones or bile acids, for example, but all cells require cholesterol to maintain membrane fluidity. Cholesterol in excess of the requirement can be esterified by the action of ACAT and stored as cholesteryl esters in intracellular deposits. Cholesterol can also be eliminated from cells by a mechanism illuminated through studies of individuals with **Tangier disease.** In this recessive inherited disorder, almost no HDL are produced, because cells have a defective transport protein, known as **ATP-cassette binding protein A1 (ABCA1).**

In normal individuals, ABCA1 apparently acts as a flippase (Section 9-4C) to transfer cholesterol, cholesteryl esters, and other lipids from the inner to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, from which they can be captured by apolipoprotein A-I to form HDL. Cells lacking ABCA1 are unable to offload cholesterol and accumulate cholesteryl esters in the cytoplasm. Macrophages thus engorged with lipids contribute to the development of atherosclerosis, so that individuals with Tangier disease exhibit symptoms similar to those with FH. The functions of the LDL receptor and ABCA1 in cholesterol homeostasis are presented schematically in Fig. 20-42.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the types of reactions required to produce a molecule of cholesterol.
- How does cholesterol control its own synthesis?
- How do statins reduce cholesterol levels?
- What do familial hypercholesterolemia and Tangier disease reveal about the development of atherosclerosis?

Summary

1 Lipid Digestion, Absorption, and Transport

- Triacylglycerol digestion depends on the emulsifying activity of bile acids and the activation of lipases at the lipid–water interface.
- Lipoproteins, complexes of nonpolar lipids surrounded by a coat of amphipathic lipids and apolipoproteins, transport lipids in the bloodstream. Cells take up cholesterol and other lipids by the receptor-mediated endocytosis of LDL.

2 Fatty Acid Oxidation

- Fatty acid oxidation begins with the activation of the acyl group by formation of a thioester with CoA. The acyl group is transferred to carnitine for transport into the mitochondria, where it is re-esterified to CoA.
- β oxidation occurs in four reactions: (1) formation of an α,β double bond, (2) hydration of the double bond, (3) dehydrogenation to form a β-ketoacyl-CoA, and (4) thiolysis by CoA to produce acetyl-CoA and an acyl-CoA shortened by two carbons. This process is repeated until fatty acids with even numbers of carbon atoms are converted to acetyl-CoA and the fatty acids with odd numbers of carbon atoms are converted to acetyl-CoA and one molecule of propionyl-CoA. The acetyl-CoA is oxidized by the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation to generate ATP. Propionyl-CoA is converted to the citric acid cycle intermediate succinyl-CoA, in part, by the coenzyme B_{12}-containing enzyme methylmalonyl-CoA mutase.
- The oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids requires an isomerase to convert Δ^3 double bonds to Δ^2 double bonds and a reductase to remove Δ^4 double bonds. The oxidation of odd-chain fatty acids yields propionyl-CoA, which is converted to succinyl-CoA through a cobalamin (B_{12})-dependent pathway. Very long chain fatty acids are partially oxidized by a three-enzyme system in peroxisomes.

3 Ketone Bodies

- The liver uses acetyl-CoA to synthesize the ketone bodies acetoacetate and β-hydroxybutyrate, which are released into the bloodstream. Tissues that use the ketone bodies for fuel convert them back to acetyl-CoA.

4 Fatty Acid Biosynthesis

- In fatty acid synthesis, mitochondrial acetyl-CoA is shuttled to the cytosol via the tricarboxylate transport system and activated to malonyl-CoA by the action of acetyl-CoA carboxylase.
- A series of seven enzymatic activities, which in mammals are contained in a multifunctional homodimeric enzyme, extend acyl-ACP chains by two carbons at a time. An enzyme-bound acyl group and malonyl-ACP condense to form a β-ketoacyl intermediate and CO_2. Two reductions and a dehydration yield an acyl-ACP in a series of reactions that resemble the reverse of β oxidation but are catalyzed by separate enzymes in the cytosol. Palmitate (C_{16}), the normal product of fatty acid biosynthesis, is synthesized in seven such reaction cycles and is then cleaved from ACP by a thioesterase.
- Other fatty acids are synthesized from palmitate through the action of elongases and desaturases. Human triacylglycerols synthesized from fatty acyl-CoA and glycerol-3-phosphate or dihydroxyacetone phosphate tend to contain saturated fatty acids at C1 and unsaturated fatty acids at C2.

5 Regulation of Fatty Acid Metabolism

- The opposing pathways of fatty acid degradation and synthesis are hormonally regulated. Glucagon and epinephrine activate hormone-sensitive lipase in adipose tissue, thereby increasing the supply of fatty acids for oxidation in other tissues, and inactivate acetyl-CoA carboxylase. Insulin has the opposite effect. Insulin also regulates the levels of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and fatty acid synthase by controlling their rates of synthesis.

6 Synthesis of Other Lipids

- Mammalian phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylcholine are synthesized from 1,2-diacylglycerol and CDP derivatives of the head groups. Phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, and cardiolipin syntheses begin with CDP-diacylglycerol.
- Sphingoglycolipids are synthesized from ceramide (*N*-acylsphingosine, a derivative of palmitate and serine) by the addition of glycosyl units donated by nucleotide sugars.
- Arachidonate is the precursor of prostaglandins. Certain drugs, including aspirin, block prostaglandin synthesis by inhibiting cyclooxygenase.

7 Cholesterol Metabolism

- Cholesterol is synthesized from acetyl units that pass through HMG-CoA and mevalonate intermediates on the way to being converted to a C_5 isoprene unit. Six isoprene units condense to form the C_{30} compound squalene, which cyclizes to yield lanosterol, the steroid precursor of cholesterol.
- A cholesterol sensing system in the endoplasmic reticulum regulates the synthesis of HMG-CoA reductase and LDL receptor in the cell. HMG-CoA reductase is competitively inhibited by statin drugs.
- Hypercholesterolemia, associated with certain genetic defects or a high-cholesterol diet, contributes to the development of atherosclerosis.

Key Terms

interfacial activation **665**
chylomicron **667**
VLDL **667**
IDL **667**
LDL **667**
HDL **667**
apolipoprotein **668**
receptor-mediated endocytosis **670**
β oxidation **672**
homolytic cleavage **682**
heterolytic cleavage **682**
ketogenesis **685**
ketosis **686**
acyl-carrier protein **689**
polyketide **694**
elongase **695**
desaturase **695**
essential fatty acid **695**
hormone-sensitive triacylglycerol lipase **698**
lipid storage disease **704**
hypercholesterolemia **712**
atherosclerosis **713**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. The removal of fatty acids from triacylglycerols leaves glycerol. Show how the actions of glycerol kinase and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase on glycerol produce an intermediate of glycolysis.

2. Identify the products generated by the action of pancreatic lipase on the lipid shown at the top of page 665.

3. (a) What is the charge of bile acids in the small intestine, where the pH is 7–8? (b) According to one hypothesis, bile acids are toxic to

bacteria and so could help limit their growth in the intestine. Propose a mechanism for this bactericidal activity.

4. Bacteria that live in the large intestine metabolize glycocholic acid using amidases and hydroxylases. (a) Draw the reaction product. (b) Compare the solubility of the product and glycocholic acid. (c) Could the change in the bile acid's solubility make it less toxic to bacteria?

5. Two lipoproteins have the following characteristics:

	Diameter (Å)	% Triacylglycerol	% Protein
Lipoprotein A	200	10	20
Lipoprotein B	100	5	55

Which lipoprotein has a higher density?

6. Adipocytes store fat in phospholipid-coated droplets in the cytosol. The protein perilipin is also associated with the surface of the lipid droplet. (a) Describe the likely structure of the perilipin protein. (b) Explain how phosphorylation of perilipin could help expose the lipids in the droplet to digestion by lipases.

7. Explain why individuals with a hereditary deficiency of carnitine palmitoyl transferase II have muscle weakness.

8. Why are the symptoms of carnitine palmitoyl transferase II deficiency more severe during fasting?

9. The first three steps of β oxidation (Fig. 20-12) chemically resemble three successive steps of the citric acid cycle. Which steps are these?

10. The complete combustion of palmitate and glucose yields 9781 kJ · mol^{-1} and 2850 kJ · mol^{-1} of free energy, respectively. Compare these values to the free energy (as ATP) obtained though catabolism of palmitate and glucose under standard conditions. Which process is more efficient?

11. The structure of *E. coli* ACP includes an extensive hydrophobic pocket. What is its purpose?

12. The surface of *E. coli* ACP has a patch of Glu side chains. What can you conclude about the side chains likely to be located on the surface of the *E. coli* β-hydoxyacyl-ACP dehydrase?

13. Intestinal microbes produce butyrate, which helps regulate the immune system. How many rounds of β oxidation of palmitate are required to produce butyrate?

14. Short-chain fatty acids such as butyrate are absorbed by the mammalian intestine and used as metabolic fuels. How many ATP can be derived from the complete oxidation of butyrate?

15. Why are unsaturated fats preferable to saturated fats for an individual whose caloric intake must be limited?

16. Calculate the ATP yield for the complete oxidation of oleate.

17. Explain why the degradation of odd-chain fatty acids can boost the activity of the citric acid cycle.

18. How many ATP can be produced by the complete oxidation of each propionyl-CoA product of fatty acid oxidation? Compare this to the ATP yield for each acetyl-CoA.

19. Why is it important that liver cells lack 3-ketoacyl-CoA transferase (Fig. 20-21)?

20. An animal is fed palmitate with a ^{14}C-labeled carboxyl group. Under ketogenic conditions, where would the label appear in acetoacetate?

21. On what carbon atoms does the $^{14}CO_2$ used to synthesize malonyl-CoA from acetyl-CoA appear in palmitate?

22. The compound triclosan

Triclosan

inhibits bacterial enoyl-ACP reductase. Explain why triclosan is useful as a microbicide.

23. Explain why adipocytes need glucose as well as fatty acids in order to synthesize triacylglycerols.

24. The antidiabetes drugs known as thiazolidinediones induce the production of glycerol kinase in adipocytes. Explain why this would decrease the concentration of serum fatty acids, which are often elevated in diabetics.

25. Pharmacological inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase has been proposed as a treatment for obesity. Explain why this enzyme would appear to be a good anti-obesity drug target.

26. Explain why inhibiting the activity of acetyl-CoA carboxylase might not affect a person's body mass.

27. Intestinal bacteria convert choline to trimethylamine, and the liver converts this gas to trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMAO). TMAO promotes atherosclerosis, possibly by stimulating macrophages to take up LDL. (a) Could this chemistry explain why eating large amounts of eggs (which are rich in phosphatidylcholine) is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease? (b) Some research suggests that ingesting supplemental phosphatidylcholine can slow the aging process. Is this consistent with your answer to Part (a)?

28. An animal is fed palmitate with a ^{14}C-labeled carboxyl group. Under conditions of membrane lipid synthesis, where would the label appear in sphinganine?

29. Which fatty acids were used to build the molecule shown here, which functions as a signaling molecule?

30. Which fatty acids were used to build the molecule shown here, which is synthesized by intestinal cells following a meal?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

31. One strategy for converting biomass to conventional hydrocarbon fuels involves the use of naturally occurring bacterial enzymes. In certain cyanobacteria, a fatty acyl-ACP undergoes conversion to a fatty aldehyde. An aldehyde decarbonylase acts on the aldehyde, producing CO and an alkane. Identify the alkanes generated from stearoyl-ACP and palmitoyl-ACP.

32. Biodiesel, a fuel typically derived from plant oils, can be manufactured by treating the oil with a methanol/KOH mixture to produce fatty acid methyl esters. Indicate the structures of the products generated by treating the triacylglycerol on page 665 with methanol/KOH.

33. Digestion of plant materials generates phytanate (derived from chlorophyll molecules; Fig. 19-2).

Phytanate

(a) Explain why phytanate cannot be catabolized by β oxidation.

(b) Instead, phytanate is linked to CoA, then a peroxisomal dioxygenase converts phytanoyl-CoA to 2-hydroxyphytanoyl-CoA. Draw the structure of this intermediate.

(c) 2-Hydroxyphytanoyl-CoA lyase generates an aldehyde (pristanal) and formyl-CoA. Draw the structure of pristanal.

34. Pristanal (see Problem 20-33) can be oxidized to pristanate. Can this compound be further degraded by β oxidation and, if so, what are the reaction products?

35. The tricarboxylate transport system supplies cytosolic acetyl-CoA for palmitate synthesis. What percentage of the NADPH required for palmitate synthesis is thereby provided?

36. Is the fatty acid shown below likely to be synthesized in animals? Explain.

37. Compare the energy cost, in ATP equivalents, of synthesizing stearate from mitochondrial acetyl-CoA to the energy recovered by degrading stearate to acetyl-CoA.

38. Compare the energy cost, in ATP equivalents, of synthesizing stearate from mitochondrial acetyl-CoA to the energy recovered by degrading stearate to CO_2.

39. Calculate the cost, in ATP equivalents, of converting 12 acetyl-CoA to lignocerate (a C_{24} fatty acid; Table 9-1) when elongation occurs in the mitochondrion.

40. Calculate the cost, in ATP equivalents, of converting 12 acetyl-CoA to lignocerate when elongation occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum.

41. Hypercholesterolemic individuals taking statins are sometimes advised to take supplements of coenzyme Q. Explain.

42. Atorvastatin, which is administered orally, has limited solubility in aqueous solutions. Is it more soluble in the stomach or in the small intestine?

43. A child suffering from severe abdominal pain is admitted to the hospital several hours after eating a meal consisting of hamburgers, fried potatoes, and ice cream. Her blood has the appearance of "creamed tomato soup" and on analysis is found to contain massive quantities of chylomicrons. As the attending physician, what is your diagnosis of the patient's difficulty (the cause of the abdominal pain is unclear)? What treatment would you prescribe to alleviate the symptoms of this inherited disease?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1. Viewing and Analyzing Fatty Acid Synthase

Exercise 2. Lipid Metabolism and the KEGG Database

Extended Exercises Bioinformatics projects are available on the book companion site (www.wiley/college/voet), as well as in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Project 10 Drug Design and Cholesterol Medications

1. Bile Acid Sequestrants. The relationship between cholesterol and bile acids was exploited in the use of a common laboratory material as a medication.

2. Statins. Follow the history of the development of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors and explore related structures on the Protein Data Bank web site.

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 23 The Role of Uncoupling Proteins in Obesity

Focus concept: The properties of adipose tissue factors that uncouple oxidative phosphorylation are discussed, and possible links between uncoupling proteins and obesity are examined.

Prerequisites: Chapters 18 and 20

- Electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation
- Mechanisms of uncoupling agents, such as 2,4-dinitrophenol
- Fatty acid oxidation

MORE TO EXPLORE Clinical assays are used to measure the blood levels of triacylglycerols and cholesterol. Why is it necessary to break the total serum cholesterol level into an LDL and an HDL component? What levels of LDL cholesterol and HDL cholesterol are considered normal? What levels are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease? In addition to the statins, what types of drugs affect serum cholesterol levels? How do they work and why are they often prescribed in combination?

References

General

Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* http://www.ommbid.com/. [Includes numerous chapters on defects in lipid metabolism.]

Vance, D.E. and Vance, J.E. (Eds.), *Biochemistry of Lipids, Lipoproteins, and Membranes* (5th ed.), Elsevier (2008).

Lipoproteins

Ajees, A.A., Anantharamaiah, G.M., Mishra, V.K., Hussain, M.M., and Murthy, H.M.K., Crystal structure of human apolipoprotein A-I: Insights into its protective effect against cardiovascular diseases, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103,** 2126–2131 (2006).

Calabresi, L., Gomaraschi, M., Simonelli, S., Bernini, F., and Franceschini, G., HDL and atherosclerosis: Insights from inherited HDL disorders, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1851,** 13–18 (2015).

Hussain, M.M., Intestinal lipid absorption and lipoprotein formation, *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **25,** 200–206 (2014).

Fatty Acid Metabolism

Gillou, H., Zadravec, D., Martin, P.G., and Jacobsson, A., The key roles of elongases and desaturases in mammalian fatty acid metabolism: Insights from transgenic mice, *Prog. Lipid Res.* **49,** 186–199 (2010).

Grininger, M., Perspectives on the evolution, assembly and conformational dynamics of fatty acid synthase type I (FAS I) systems, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **25,** 49–56 (2014).

Houten, S.M. and Wanders, R.J.A., A general introduction to the biochemistry of mitochondrial fatty acid β-oxidation, *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* **33,** 469–477 (2010).

Maier, T., Leibundgut, M., and Ban, N., The crystal structure of a mammalian fatty acid synthase, *Science* **321,** 1315–1322 (2008); *and* Leibundgut, M., Maier, T., Jenni, S., and Ban, N., The multienzyme architecture of eukaryotic fatty acid synthases, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **18,** 714–725 (2008).

Marsh, E.N.G. and Drennan, C.L., Adenosylcobalamin-dependent isomerases: new insights into structure and mechanism, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **5,** 499–505 (2001).

Metabolism of Other Lipids

Rouzer, C.A. and Marnett, L.J., Cyclooxygenases: structural and functional insights, *J. Lipid. Res.* **50 Suppl.,** S29–34 (2009).

Cholesterol Metabolism

Bengoechea-Alonso, M.T. and Ericsson, J., SREBP in signal transduction: cholesterol metabolism and beyond, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **19,** 215–222 (2007).

Ikonen, E., Cellular cholesterol trafficking and compartmentalization, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9,** 125–138 (2008).

Sharpe, L.J. and Brown, A.J., Controlling cholesterol synthesis beyond 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR), *J. Biol. Chem.* **288,** 18707–18715 (2013).

CHAPTER 21

Amino Acid Metabolism

Chapter Contents

1 **Protein Degradation**
- A Lysosomes Degrade Many Proteins
- B Ubiquitin Marks Proteins for Degradation
- C The Proteasome Unfolds and Hydrolyzes Ubiquitinated Polypeptides

2 **Amino Acid Deamination**
- A Transaminases Use PLP to Transfer Amino Groups
- B Glutamate Can Be Oxidatively Deaminated

3 **The Urea Cycle**
- A Five Enzymes Carry Out the Urea Cycle
- B The Urea Cycle Is Regulated by Substrate Availability

4 **Breakdown of Amino Acids**
- A Alanine, Cysteine, Glycine, Serine, and Threonine Are Degraded to Pyruvate
- B Asparagine and Aspartate Are Degraded to Oxaloacetate
- C Arginine, Glutamate, Glutamine, Histidine, and Proline Are Degraded to α-Ketoglutarate
- D Methionine, Threonine, Isoleucine, and Valine Are Degraded to Succinyl-CoA
- E Leucine and Lysine Are Degraded Only to Acetyl-CoA and/or Acetoacetate
- F Tryptophan Is Degraded to Alanine and Acetoacetate
- G Phenylalanine and Tyrosine Are Degraded to Fumarate and Acetoacetate

5 **Amino Acid Biosynthesis**
- A Nonessential Amino Acids Are Synthesized from Common Metabolites
- B Plants and Microorganisms Synthesize the Essential Amino Acids

6 **Other Products of Amino Acid Metabolism**
- A Heme Is Synthesized from Glycine and Succinyl-CoA
- B Amino Acids Are Precursors of Physiologically Active Amines
- C Nitric Oxide Is Derived from Arginine

7 **Nitrogen Fixation**
- A Nitrogenase Reduces N_2 to NH_3
- B Fixed Nitrogen Is Assimilated into Biological Molecules

N. Kedersha/Science Source Images

Many of the neurotransmitters that relay signals between nerve cells are amino acids or derivatives of amino acids. These small molecules are easily synthesized by the cells, stockpiled until their release, then rapidly degraded or taken up again.

The metabolism of amino acids comprises a wide array of synthetic and degradative reactions by which amino acids are assembled as precursors of polypeptides or other compounds and broken down to recover metabolic energy. The chemical transformations of amino acids are distinct from those of carbohydrates or lipids in that they involve the element nitrogen. We must therefore examine the origin of nitrogen in biological systems as well as its disposal.

The bulk of the cell's amino acids are incorporated into proteins, which are continuously being synthesized and degraded. Aside from this dynamic pool of polymerized amino acids, there is no true storage form of amino acids analogous to glycogen or triacylglycerols. Mammals synthesize certain amino acids and obtain the rest from their diets. *Excess dietary amino acids are not simply excreted but are converted to common metabolites that are precursors of glucose, fatty acids, and ketone bodies and are therefore metabolic fuels.*

In this chapter, we consider the pathways of amino acid metabolism, beginning with the degradation of proteins and the **deamination** (amino group removal) of their component amino acids. We then examine the incorporation of nitrogen into urea for excretion. Next, we examine the pathways by which the carbon skeletons of individual amino acids are broken down and synthesized. We conclude with a brief examination of some other biosynthetic pathways involving amino acids and nitrogen fixation, a process that converts atmospheric N_2 to a biologically useful form.

1 Protein Degradation

KEY CONCEPTS

- Extracellular and intracellular proteins may be digested by lysosomal proteases.
- Other proteins to be degraded are first conjugated to the protein ubiquitin.
- The proteasome, a barrel-shaped complex, unfolds ubiquitinated proteins in an ATP-dependent process and proteolytically degrades them.

The components of living cells are constantly turning over. Proteins have lifetimes that range from as short as a few minutes to weeks or more. In any case, *cells continuously synthesize proteins from and degrade them to amino acids.* This seemingly wasteful process has three functions: (1) to store nutrients in the form of proteins and to break them down in times of metabolic need, processes that are most significant in muscle tissue; (2) to eliminate abnormal proteins whose accumulation would be harmful to the cell; and (3) to permit the regulation of cellular metabolism by eliminating superfluous enzymes and regulatory proteins. *Controlling a protein's rate of degradation is therefore as important to the cellular and organismal economy as is controlling its rate of synthesis.*

The half-lives of different enzymes in a given tissue vary substantially, as is indicated for rat liver in Table 21-1. Remarkably, *the most rapidly degraded enzymes all occupy important metabolic control points, whereas the relatively stable enzymes have nearly constant catalytic activities under all physiological conditions.* The susceptibilities of enzymes to degradation have evidently evolved along with their catalytic and allosteric properties so that cells can efficiently respond to environmental changes and metabolic requirements. The rate of protein degradation in a cell also varies with its nutritional and hormonal state. For example, under conditions of nutritional deprivation, cells increase their rate of protein degradation so as to provide the necessary nutrients for indispensable metabolic processes.

TABLE 21-1 Half-Lives of Some Rat Liver Enzymes

Enzyme	Half-Life (h)
Short-Lived Enzymes	
Ornithine decarboxylase	0.2
RNA polymerase I	1.3
Tyrosine aminotransferase	2.0
Serine–threonine dehydratase	4.0
PEP carboxylase	5.0
Long-Lived Enzymes	
Aldolase	118
GAPDH	130
Cytochrome *b*	130
LDH	130
Cytochrome *c*	150

Source: Dice, J.F. and Goldberg, A.L., *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **170,** 214 (1975).

A Lysosomes Degrade Many Proteins

Lysosomes contain ~50 hydrolytic enzymes, including a variety of proteases known as **cathepsins.** The lysosome maintains an internal pH of ~5, and its enzymes have acidic pH optima. This situation presumably protects the cell against accidental lysosomal leakage since lysosomal enzymes are largely inactive at cytosolic pH's.

Lysosomes degrade substances that the cell takes up via endocytosis (Section 20-1B). They also recycle intracellular constituents that are enclosed within vesicles that fuse with lysosomes, a process called **autophagy** (Greek: *autos,* self + *phagein,* to eat). *In well-nourished cells, lysosomal protein degradation is nonselective.* In starving cells, however, such degradation would deplete essential enzymes and regulatory proteins. Lysosomes therefore also have a selective pathway, which is activated only after a prolonged fast, that imports and degrades cytosolic proteins containing the pentapeptide Lys-Phe-Glu-Arg-Gln (KFERQ) or a closely related sequence. Such **KFERQ proteins** are selectively lost from tissues that atrophy in response to fasting (e.g., liver and kidney) but not from tissues that do not do so (e.g., brain and testes). Many normal and pathological processes are associated with increased lysosomal activity—for example, the muscle wastage caused by disuse, denervation, or traumatic injury. The regression of the uterus after childbirth, when the muscular organ reduces its mass from 2 kg to 50 g in nine days, is a striking example of this process. Many chronic infections and inflammatory diseases lead to cell death and the extracellular release of lysosomal enzymes, which break down surrounding tissues.

FIG. 21-1 X-Ray structure of human ubiquitin. The polypeptide is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) with the side chain of Lys 48 drawn in stick form with C green and N blue. [Based on an X-ray structure by Charles Bugg, University of Alabama at Birmingham. PDBid 1UBQ.]

B Ubiquitin Marks Proteins for Degradation

Protein breakdown in eukaryotic cells also occurs in an ATP-requiring process that is independent of lysosomes. This process involves **ubiquitin** (Fig. 21-1), a 76-residue monomeric protein named for its ubiquity and abundance. It is one of the most highly conserved eukaryotic proteins known (it is identical in such diverse organisms as humans, trout, and *Drosophila*), suggesting that it is uniquely suited for some essential cellular function(s).

Proteins are marked for degradation by covalently linking them to ubiquitin. This process occurs in three steps, elucidated notably by Avram Hershko, Aaron Ciechanover, and Irwin Rose (Fig. 21-2):

1. In an ATP-requiring reaction, ubiquitin's terminal carboxyl group is conjugated, via a thioester bond, to **ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1).** Most organisms have only one type of E1.
2. The ubiquitin is then transferred to a specific Cys sulfhydryl group on one of numerous homologous proteins named **ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes** (**E2s;** 11 in yeast and >20 in mammals). The various E2s are characterized by an ~150-residue catalytic core containing the active site Cys.
3. **Ubiquitin-protein ligase (E3)** transfers the activated ubiquitin from E2 to a Lys ε-amino group of a previously bound protein, thereby forming an **isopeptide bond.** Cells contain many species of E3s, each of which mediates the ubiquitination (alternatively, ubiquitylation) of a specific set of proteins and thereby marks them for degradation. Each E3 is served by one or a few specific E2s. The known E3s are members of two unrelated families, those containing a **HECT** domain (HECT for *h*omologous to *E*6AP *C*-*t*erminus) and those containing a so-called **RING finger** (RING for *r*eally *i*nteresting *n*ew *g*ene), although some E2s react well with members of both families. The human genome contains 28 HECT genes and 616 RING genes, more than its number of protein kinase genes (518), which is indicative of the E3s' specialized and varied functions (see below).

For a protein to be efficiently degraded, it must be linked to a chain of at least four tandemly linked ubiquitin molecules in which Lys 48 of each ubiquitin forms an isopeptide bond with the C-terminal carboxyl group of the following ubiquitin. These **polyubiquitin** chains may contain 50 or more ubiquitin units. Ubiquitinated proteins are dynamic entities, with ubiquitin molecules being rapidly attached and removed (the latter by **ubiquitin isopeptidases**).

FIG. 21-2 Reactions involved in protein ubiquitination. Ubiquitin's terminal carboxyl group is first joined, via a thioester linkage, to E1 in a reaction driven by ATP hydrolysis. The activated ubiquitin is subsequently transferred to a sulfhydryl group of an E2 and then, in a reaction catalyzed by an E3, to a Lys ε-amino group on a condemned protein, thereby marking the protein for proteolytic degradation.

? How many ATP equivalents are consumed in the ubiquitination process?

The Ubiquitin System Has Both Housekeeping and Regulatory Functions. Until the mid-1990s, it appeared that the ubiquitin system functioned mainly in a "housekeeping" capacity to maintain the proper balance among metabolic proteins and to eliminate damaged proteins. Indeed, as Alexander Varshavsky discovered, the half-lives of many cytoplasmic proteins vary with the identities of their N-terminal residues via the so-called **N-end rule:** Proteins with the "destabilizing" N-terminal residues Asp, Arg, Leu, Lys, and Phe have half-lives of only 2 to 3 minutes, whereas those with the "stabilizing" N-terminal residues

Ala, Gly, Met, Ser, Thr, and Val have half-lives of >10 hours in prokaryotes and >20 hours in eukaryotes. The N-end rule applies in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes, which suggests the system that selects proteins for degradation is conserved in eukaryotes and prokaryotes, even though prokaryotes lack ubiquitin.

In eukaryotes, the N-end rule results from the actions of a RING finger E3 named **E3a** whose ubiquitination signals are the destabilizing N-terminal residues. However, it is now clear that the ubiquitin system is far more sophisticated than a simple garbage disposal system. Thus, the many E3s have a variety of ubiquitination signals that often occur on a quite limited range of target proteins, many of which have regulatory functions. For example, it has long been known that proteins with segments rich in Pro (P), Glu (E), Ser (S), and Thr (T), the so-called **PEST proteins,** are rapidly degraded. It is now realized that this is because these PEST elements often contain phosphorylation sites that target their proteins for ubiquitination. The resulting destruction of these regulatory proteins, of course, has important regulatory consequences. Likewise, proteins known as **cyclins** that control the progression of the **cell cycle** (the general sequence of events that occur over the life-times of eukaryotic cells; Section 28-4A) are selectively degraded through their ubiquitination at specific stages of the cell cycle. Interestingly, reversible **monoubiquitination** controls the activities of certain proteins rather than their degradation, in much the same way that phosphorylation and dephosphorylation alter protein activity (Section 12-3B).

C The Proteasome Unfolds and Hydrolyzes Ubiquitinated Polypeptides

Ubiquitinated proteins are proteolytically degraded in an ATP-dependent process mediated by a large (~2500 kD, 26S) multiprotein complex named the **26S proteasome** (Fig. 21-3). The 26S proteasome consists of a hollow cylindrical core, known as the **20S proteasome,** which is covered at one or both ends by a **19S cap.** [Here, the quantities "26S," "20S," and "19S" refer to the corresponding particles' sedimentation coefficients in units of Svedbergs (S); Section 5-2E.]

The yeast 20S proteasome, which is closely similar to other eukaryotic 20S proteasomes, is composed of seven different types of α-like subunits and seven

Wolfgang Baumeister, Max-Planck-Institut für Biochemie, Martinsried, Germany

FIG. 21-3 Electron microscopy–based image of the *Drosophila melanogaster* 26S proteasome. The complex is around 450 × 190 Å. The central portion of the twofold symmetric multiprotein complex (*yellow*), the 20S proteasome, consists of four stacked seven-membered rings of subunits that form a hollow barrel in which the proteolysis of ubiquitin-linked proteins occurs. The 19S caps (*blue*), which may attach to one or both ends of the 20S proteasome, control the access of condemned proteins to the 20S proteasome (see text).

different types of β-like subunits. The X-ray structure of this enormous (6182-residue, ~670-kD) protein complex, determined by Robert Huber, reveals that it consists of four stacked rings of subunits with its outer and inner rings, respectively, consisting of seven different α-type subunits and seven different β-type subunits (**Fig. 21-4*a***). The various α-type subunits have folds that are similar to one another and to the various β-type subunits. Consequently, the 28-subunit complex has exact twofold rotational symmetry relating the two pairs of rings, but only pseudosevenfold rotational symmetry relating the subunits within each ring. The 20S proteasome's hollow core consists of three large chambers (**Fig. 21-4*b***): Two are located at the interfaces between adjoining rings of α and β subunits, with the third, larger chamber centrally located between the two rings of β subunits.

Although the α-type subunits and the β-type subunits are structurally similar, only three of the β-type subunits have proteolytic activity. The X-ray structure together with enzymological studies reveal that the three active sites catalyze peptide bond hydrolysis via a novel mechanism in which the β subunits' N-terminal Thr residues function as catalytic nucleophiles. The active sites are located inside the central chamber of the 20S proteasome, thereby preventing this omnivorous protein-dismantling machine from indiscriminately hydrolyzing the proteins in its vicinity. The polypeptide substrates must enter the central chamber of the barrel through the narrow axially located apertures in the α rings that are lined with hydrophobic residues so that only unfolded proteins can enter the central chamber. Nevertheless, in the X-ray structure of the yeast 20S proteasome (Fig. 21-4*b*), these apertures are blocked by a plug formed by the interdigitation of the α subunits' N-terminal tails.

The three active β-type subunits have different substrate specificities, cleaving after acidic residues (the β1 subunit), basic residues (the β2 subunit), and hydrophobic residues (the β5 subunit). As a result, the 20S

(a)

(b)

Courtesy of Robert Huber, Max-Planck-Institut für Biochemie, Martinsried, Germany

FIG. 21-4 X-Ray structure of the yeast 20S proteasome. (*a*) The arrangement of the 28 subunits represented as spheres. Four rings of seven subunits each are stacked to form a barrel (the ends of the barrel are at the right and left) with the α-type and β-type subunits forming the outer and inner rings, respectively. The complex's twofold (C_2) axis of symmetry is represented by the vertical red line. (*b*) Surface view of the proteasome core cut along its cylindrical axis. Three bound protease inhibitor molecules marking the three active β subunits are shown in red as space-filling models. The entry channels at each end of the cylinder are not visible in this model. PDBid 1RYP.

? Compare this structure to that of the GroEL/ES chaperonin (Fig 6-44).

proteasome cleaves its polypeptide substrates into ~8-residue fragments, which then diffuse out of the proteasome. Cytosolic peptidases degrade the peptides to their component amino acids. The ubiquitin molecules attached to the target protein are not degraded, however, but are returned to the cell and reused.

In addition to facilitating normal protein turnover, proteasomes play a role in the immune system. Certain antigen-presenting cells display peptide antigens on their surface to initiate an immune response. Receptors on T lymphocytes (Section 7-3) recognize the peptides, when they are complexed with cell-surface proteins that are members of the **major histocompatibility complex** (**MHC**). During a bacterial or viral infection, signals from damaged cells or other immune-system cells stimulate the antigen-presenting cells to increase their synthesis of alternate proteasomal β subunits that have altered substrate specificity. The resulting peptide fragments, which represent normal cellular proteins as well as pathogen-derived proteins, bind optimally to the MHC proteins and hence can better alert T lymphocytes to the presence of the pathogen.

19S Caps Control the Access of Ubiquitinated Proteins to the 20S Proteasome. The 20S proteasome probably does not exist alone *in vivo;* it is most often in complex with two 19S caps that recognize ubiquitinated proteins, unfold them, and feed them into the 20S proteasome in an ATP-dependent manner, while excising their attached ubiquitin. The 19S cap, which consists of ~19 different subunits, has been difficult to characterize due in large part to its low intrinsic stability. Its so-called base complex consists of 10 different subunits, 6 of which are ATPases that form a ring that abuts the α ring of the 20S proteasome (Fig. 21-3). Cecile Pickart demonstrated, via cross-linking experiments, that one of these ATPases, named **S6′,** contacts the polyubiquitin signal that targets a condemned protein to the 26S proteasome. This suggests that the recognition of the polyubiquitin chain as well as substrate protein unfolding are ATP-driven processes. Moreover, the ring of ATPases must open the otherwise closed axial aperture of the 20S proteasome so as to permit the entry of the unfolded substrate protein.

Nine additional subunits form the so-called lid complex, the portion of the 19S cap that, for the most part, is more distant from the 20S proteasome. The functions of the lid subunits are largely unknown, although a truncated 26S proteasome that lacks the lid subunits is unable to degrade polyubiquitinated substrates. Several other subunits may be transiently associated with the 19S cap and/or with the 20S proteasome.

Eubacteria Also Contain Self-Compartmentalized Proteases. Although 20S proteasomes occur in all eukaryotes and archaebacteria yet examined, they are absent in nearly all eubacteria (which provides further evidence that eukaryotes arose from archaea; Fig. 1-9). Nevertheless, eubacteria have ATP-dependent proteolytic assemblies that share a barrel-shaped architecture with proteasomes and carry out similar functions. For example, in *E. coli,* two proteins known as **Lon** and **Clp** carry out up to 80% of the bacterium's protein degradation. Thus, *all cells appear to contain proteases whose active sites are only available from the inner cavity of a hollow particle to which access is controlled.* These so-called **self-compartmentalized proteases** appear to have arisen early in the history of cellular life, before the advent of eukaryotic membrane-bound organelles such as the lysosome, which similarly carry out degradative processes in a way that protects the cell contents from indiscriminant destruction.

Clp protease consists of two components, the proteolytically active **ClpP** and one of several ATPases, which in *E. coli* are **ClpA** and **ClpX.** The X-ray

FIG. 21-5 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* ClpP. This complex of 14 identical subunits has D_7 symmetry (the symmetry of a heptagonal prism; Section 6-3). (*a*) View along the protein's sevenfold axis, drawn in ribbon form in which the lower ring is pale cyan and the upper ring is pink with one subunit colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). (*b*) View along the protein's twofold axis (rotated 90° about a horizontal axis with respect to Part *a*). [Based on an X-ray structure by John Flannagan, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York. PDBid 1TYF.]

CHECKPOINT

- What is the role of the lysosome in degrading extracellular and intracellular proteins?
- Why must protein degradation be somewhat selective?
- Describe the steps of protein ubiquitination. What is the difference between mono- and polyubiquitination?
- Describe the pathway for proteasome-mediated protein degradation, including the roles of ubiquitin and ATP.
- What is the advantage of the proteasomal active sites having different substrate specificities?

structure of ClpP reveals that it oligomerizes to form a hollow barrel ~90 Å long and wide that consists of two back-to-back sevenfold symmetric rings of 193-residue subunits and thereby has the same rotational symmetry as does the 20S proteasome (Fig. 21-5). Nevertheless, the ClpP subunit has a novel fold that is entirely different from that of the 20S proteasome's homologous α and β subunits. The ClpP active site, which is only exposed on the inside of the barrel, contains a catalytic triad composed of its Ser 97, His 122, and Asp 171, and hence is a serine protease (Fig. 11-26).

2 Amino Acid Deamination

KEY CONCEPTS

- Transamination interconverts an amino acid and an α-keto acid.
- Oxidative deamination of glutamate releases ammonia for disposal.

Free amino acids originate from the degradation of cellular proteins and from the digestion of dietary proteins. The gastric protease **pepsin,** the pancreatic enzymes trypsin, chymotrypsin, and elastase (discussed in Sections 5-3B and 11-5), and a host of other endo- and exopeptidases degrade polypeptides to oligopeptides and amino acids. These substances are absorbed by the intestinal mucosa and transported via the bloodstream to be absorbed by other tissues.

The further degradation of amino acids takes place intracellularly and includes a step in which the α-amino group is removed. In many cases, the amino group is converted to ammonia, which is then incorporated into urea for excretion (Section 21-3). The remaining carbon skeleton (α-keto acid) of the amino acid can be broken down to other compounds (Section 21-4). This metabolic theme is outlined in Fig. 21-6.

FIG. 21-6 Overview of amino acid catabolism. The amino group is removed and incorporated into urea for disposal. The remaining carbon skeleton (α-keto acid) can be broken down to CO_2 and H_2O or converted to glucose, acetyl-CoA, or ketone bodies.

? Which compounds serve as metabolic fuels?

A Transaminases Use PLP to Transfer Amino Groups

Most amino acids are deaminated by **transamination,** the transfer of their amino group to an α-keto acid to yield the α-keto acid of the original amino acid and a new amino acid. The predominant amino group acceptor is α-ketoglutarate, producing glutamate and the new α-keto acid:

Glutamate's amino group, in turn, can be transferred to oxaloacetate in a second transamination reaction, yielding aspartate and re-forming α-ketoglutarate:

The enzymes that catalyze transamination, called **aminotransferases** or **transaminases,** require the coenzyme **pyridoxal-5′-phosphate** (**PLP; Fig. 21-7*a***). PLP is a derivative of **pyridoxine** (**vitamin B_6; Fig. 21-7*b***). The coenzyme is covalently attached to the enzyme via a Schiff base (imine) linkage formed by the condensation of its aldehyde group with the ε-amino group of an enzyme Lys residue (**Fig. 21-7*c***). The Schiff base, which is conjugated to the

FIG. 21-7 Forms of pyridoxal-5′-phosphate. (*a*) The coenzyme pyridoxal-5′-phosphate (PLP). (*b*) Pyridoxine (vitamin B_6). (*c*) The Schiff base that forms between PLP and an enzyme ε-amino group. (*d*) Pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate (PMP).

? Describe the modifications that transform the vitamin into the functional coenzyme.

pyridinium ring, is the center of the coenzyme's activity. When PLP accepts the amino group from an amino acid as described below, it becomes **pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate** (**PMP;** Fig. 21-7*d*).

Esmond Snell, Alexander Braunstein, and David Metzler demonstrated that the aminotransferase reaction occurs via a Ping Pong mechanism (Section 12-1D) whose two stages consist of three steps each (Fig. 21-8).

Stage I Converts an Amino Acid to an α-Keto Acid.

1. The amino acid's nucleophilic amino group attacks the enzyme–PLP Schiff base carbon atom in a **transimination** reaction to form an amino acid–PLP Schiff base (an aldimine), with concomitant release of the enzyme's Lys amino group. This Lys is then free to act as a general base at the active site.
2. The amino acid–PLP Schiff base tautomerizes to an α-keto acid–PMP Schiff base (a ketimine) by the active site Lys–catalyzed removal of the amino acid α-hydrogen and protonation of PLP atom C4′ via a resonance-stabilized carbanion intermediate. This resonance stabilization facilitates the cleavage of the C_α—H bond.
3. The α-keto acid–PMP Schiff base is hydrolyzed to PMP and an α-keto acid.

Stage II Converts an α-Keto Acid to an Amino Acid. To complete the aminotransferase's catalytic cycle, the coenzyme must be converted from PMP back to the enzyme–PLP Schiff base. This involves the same three steps as above, but in reverse order:

3′. PMP reacts with an α-keto acid to form a Schiff base.

2′. The α-keto acid–PMP Schiff base tautomerizes to form an amino acid–PLP Schiff base.

1′. The ε-amino group of the active site Lys residue attacks the amino acid–PLP Schiff base in a transimination reaction to regenerate the active enzyme–PLP Schiff base and release the newly formed amino acid.

Note that removal of the substrate amino acid's α-proton produces a resonance-stabilized C_α carbanion whose electrons are delocalized all the way to the coenzyme's protonated pyridinium nitrogen atom; that is, *PLP functions as an electron sink*. For transamination reactions, this electron-withdrawing capacity facilitates removal of the α proton (*a* bond cleavage, top right of Fig. 21-8) during tautomerization. PLP functions similarly in enzymatic reactions involving *b* and *c* bond cleavage.

Aminotransferases differ in their specificity for amino acid substrates in the first stage of the transamination reaction, thereby producing the correspondingly different α-keto acid products. Most aminotransferases, however, accept only α-ketoglutarate or (to a lesser extent) oxaloacetate as the α-keto acid substrate in the second stage of the reaction, thereby yielding glutamate or aspartate as their only amino acid product. *The amino groups from most amino acids are consequently funneled into the formation of glutamate and aspartate.* The transaminase reaction is freely reversible, so transaminases participate in pathways for amino acid synthesis as well as degradation. Lysine is the only amino acid that is not transaminated.

The presence of transaminases in muscle and liver cells makes them useful markers of tissue damage. Assays of the enzymes' activities in the blood are the basis of the commonly used clinical measurements known as **SGOT (serum glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase,** also known as **aspartate transaminase, AST)** and **SGPT (serum glutamate-pyruvate transaminase,** or **alanine transaminase, ALT).** The concentrations of these enzymes in the blood increase after a heart attack, when damaged heart muscle leaks its intracellular contents. Liver damage is also monitored by SGOT and SGPT levels.

FIG. 21-8 The mechanism of PLP-dependent enzyme-catalyzed transamination. The first stage of the reaction, in which the α-amino group of an amino acid is transferred to PLP yielding an α-keto acid and PMP, consists of three steps: (**1**) transimination, (**2**) tautomerization, in which the Lys released during the transimination reaction acts as a general acid–base catalyst, and (**3**) hydrolysis. The second stage of the reaction, in which the amino group of PMP is transferred to a different α-keto acid to yield a new α-amino acid and PLP, is essentially the reverse of the first stage: Steps 3′, 2′, and 1′ are, respectively, the reverse of Steps 3, 2, and 1. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Describe the products that would result from cleavage of the amino acid's *b* and *c* bonds indicated at the upper right.

B Glutamate Can Be Oxidatively Deaminated

Transamination, of course, does not result in any net **deamination.** Glutamate, however, can be oxidatively deaminated by **glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH),** yielding ammonia and regenerating α-ketoglutarate for use in additional transamination reactions.

Glutamate dehydrogenase, a mitochondrial enzyme, is the only known enzyme that can accept either NAD^+ or $NADP^+$ as its redox coenzyme. Oxidation is thought to occur with transfer of a hydride ion from glutamate's C_α to $NAD(P)^+$, thereby forming α-iminoglutarate, which is hydrolyzed to α-ketoglutarate and ammonium ion:

The enzyme is allosterically inhibited by GTP and NADH (signaling abundant metabolic energy) and activated by ADP and NAD^+ (signaling the need to generate ATP). Because the product of the reaction, α-ketoglutarate, is an intermediate of the citric acid cycle, activation of glutamate dehydrogenase can stimulate flux through the citric acid cycle, leading to increased ATP production by oxidative phosphorylation.

The equilibrium position of the glutamate dehydrogenase reaction ($\Delta G^{o\prime} \approx 30\ kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$) favors glutamate synthesis, the reverse of the reaction written above. At one time, it was believed that this reaction represented a route for the body to remove free ammonia, which is toxic at high concentrations. Under physiological conditions, the enzyme was thought to function close to equilibrium so changes in ammonia concentration could, in principle, cause a shift in equilibrium toward glutamate synthesis to remove the excess ammonia. However, a form of hyperinsulinism that is characterized by hypoglycemia and **hyperammonemia** (**HI/HA;** hyperammonemia is elevated levels of ammonia in the blood) is caused by mutations in GDH resulting in decreased sensitivity to GTP inhibition and therefore increased GDH activity. Since HI/HA patients have increased GDH activity but higher levels of NH_3 than normal, this accepted role of GDH functioning close to equilibrium and preventing ammonia toxicity cannot be correct. Indeed, if GDH functioned close to equilibrium, changes in its activity resulting from allosteric interactions would not result in significant flux changes (recall that enzymes controlling flux must function far from equilibrium).

The ammonia liberated in the GDH reaction as written above is eventually excreted in the form of urea. Thus, *the glutamate dehydrogenase reaction functions to eliminate amino groups from amino acids that undergo transamination reactions with α-ketoglutarate.*

CHECKPOINT

- Describe how α-ketoglutarate and oxaloacetate participate in amino acid catabolism.
- What is the role of PLP in transamination?
- Summarize the reactions that release an amino acid's amino group as ammonia.

3 The Urea Cycle

KEY CONCEPTS

- Five reactions incorporate ammonia and an amino group into urea.
- The rate of the urea cycle changes with the rate of amino acid breakdown.

Living organisms excrete the excess nitrogen arising from the metabolic breakdown of amino acids in one of three ways. Many aquatic animals simply excrete ammonia. Where water is less plentiful, however, processes have evolved that

convert ammonia to less toxic waste products that require less water for excretion. One such product is urea, which is produced by most terrestrial vertebrates; another is **uric acid,** which is excreted by birds and terrestrial reptiles.

Ammonia

Urea

Uric acid

In this section, we focus our attention on urea formation. Uric acid biosynthesis is discussed in Section 23-4.

Urea is synthesized in the liver by the enzymes of the **urea cycle.** It is then secreted into the bloodstream and sequestered by the kidneys for excretion in the urine. The urea cycle was outlined in 1932 by Hans Krebs and Kurt Henseleit (the first known metabolic cycle; Krebs did not elucidate the citric acid cycle until 1937; Box 17-1). Its individual reactions were later described in detail by Sarah Ratner and Philip Cohen. The overall urea cycle reaction is

Thus, urea's two nitrogen atoms are contributed by ammonia and aspartate, whereas its carbon atom comes from HCO_3^-.

A Five Enzymes Carry Out the Urea Cycle

Five enzymatic reactions are involved in the urea cycle, two of which are mitochondrial and three cytosolic (Fig. 21-9).

1. Carbamoyl Phosphate Synthetase Acquires the First Urea Nitrogen Atom. **Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase (CPS)** is technically not a member of the urea cycle. It catalyzes the condensation and activation of NH_3 and HCO_3^- to form **carbamoyl phosphate,** the first of the cycle's two nitrogen-containing substrates, with the concomitant cleavage of 2 ATP. Eukaryotes have two forms of CPS: Mitochondrial **CPS I** uses ammonia as its nitrogen donor and participates in urea biosynthesis, whereas cytosolic **CPS II** uses glutamine as its nitrogen donor and is involved in pyrimidine biosynthesis (Section 23-2A). CPS I catalyzes an

FIG. 21-9 The urea cycle. Five enzymes participate in the urea cycle: (**1**) carbamoyl phosphate synthetase, (**2**) ornithine transcarbamoylase, (**3**) argininosuccinate synthetase, (**4**) argininosuccinase, and (**5**) arginase. Enzymes 1 and 2 are mitochondrial and enzymes 3–5 are cytosolic. Ornithine and citrulline must therefore be transported across the mitochondrial membrane by specific transport systems (*yellow circles*). The urea amino groups arise from the deamination of amino acids. One amino group (*green*) originates as ammonia that is generated by the glutamate dehydrogenase reaction (*top*). The other amino group (*red*) is obtained from aspartate (*right*), which is formed via the transamination of oxaloacetate by an amino acid. The fumarate product of Reaction 4 is converted to the gluconeogenic precursor oxaloacetate by the action of cytosolic fumarase and malate dehydrogenase (*bottom*). **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? What factors make the reactions of the cycle irreversible under physiological conditions?

FIG. 21-10 The mechanism of action of CPS I. (**1**) Phosphorylation activates HCO_3^- to form the intermediate carboxyphosphate. (**2**) NH_3 attacks carboxyphosphate to form carbamate. (**3**) ATP phosphorylates carbamate, yielding carbamoyl phosphate.

essentially irreversible reaction that is the rate-limiting step of the urea cycle (**Fig. 21-10**).

1. ATP activates HCO_3^- to form **carboxyphosphate** and ADP.
2. Ammonia attacks carboxyphosphate, displacing the phosphate to form **carbamate** and P_i.
3. A second ATP phosphorylates carbamate to form carbamoyl phosphate and ADP.

In *E. coli,* a single CPS with the subunit structure $(\alpha\beta)_4$ generates carbamoyl phosphate, using glutamine as its nitrogen donor. The enzyme's small subunit is a **glutaminase** that hydrolyzes glutamine, and its large subunit catalyzes Reactions 1, 2, and 3 of Fig. 21-10. The X-ray structure of *E. coli* CPS, determined by Hazel Holden and Ivan Rayment, reveals the astonishing fact that although the three active sites are widely separated in space, they are connected by a narrow 96-Å-long tunnel that runs nearly the entire length of the protein molecule (**Fig. 21-11**). The NH_3 produced by the glutaminase active site travels ~45 Å in order to react with carboxyphosphate. The resulting carbamate then travels ~35 Å to reach the carbamoyl phosphate synthesis site. This phenomenon, in which the intermediate of two reactions is directly transferred from one enzyme active site to another, is called **channeling.**

Channeling increases the rate of a metabolic pathway by preventing the loss of its intermediate products as well as protecting the intermediates from degradation. Channeling is critical for CPS because the intermediates carboxyphosphate and carbamate are extremely reactive, having half-lives of 28 and 70 ms, respectively, at neutral pH. Also, channeling allows the local concentration of NH_3 to reach a higher value than is present in the cellular medium. We will encounter several other examples of channeling in our studies of metabolic enzymes, but the CPS tunnel is the longest known.

2. Carbamoylation of Ornithine Produces Citrulline. Ornithine transcarbamoylase transfers the carbamoyl group of carbamoyl phosphate to **ornithine,** yielding **citrulline** (Fig. 21-9, Reaction 2). Note that both of the latter compounds are "nonstandard" α-amino acids that do not occur in proteins. The transcarbamoylase reaction occurs in the mitochondrion, so ornithine, which

FIG. 21-11 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* carbamoyl phosphate synthetase. The protein is represented by its C_α backbone. The small subunit (*magenta*) contains the glutamine-binding site where NH_3 is produced. The large subunit consists of several domains (*green, yellow, blue, and orange*) and contains the other two active sites. The 96-Å-long tunnel connecting the three active sites is outlined in red. [Courtesy of Hazel Holden and Ivan Rayment, University of Wisconsin. PDBid 1JDB.]

FIG. 21-12 The mechanism of action of argininosuccinate synthetase. (**1**) The formation of citrullyl–AMP activates the ureido oxygen of citrulline. (**2**) The α-amino group of aspartate displaces AMP.

is produced in the cytosol, must enter the mitochondrion via a specific transport system. Likewise, since the remaining urea cycle reactions occur in the cytosol, citrulline must be exported from the mitochondrion.

3. Argininosuccinate Synthetase Acquires the Second Urea Nitrogen Atom. Urea's second nitrogen atom is introduced by the condensation of citrulline's ureido group with an aspartate amino group by **argininosuccinate synthetase** (Fig. 21-12). ATP activates the ureido oxygen atom as a leaving group through formation of a citrullyl–AMP intermediate, and AMP is subsequently displaced by the aspartate amino group. The PP_i formed in the reaction is hydrolyzed to 2 P_i, so the reaction consumes two ATP equivalents.

4. Argininosuccinase Produces Fumarate and Arginine. With the formation of argininosuccinate, all of the urea molecule components have been assembled. However, the amino group donated by aspartate is still attached to the aspartate carbon skeleton. This situation is remedied by the **argininosuccinase**-catalyzed elimination of fumarate, leaving arginine (Fig. 21-9, Reaction 4). Arginine is urea's immediate precursor. The fumarate produced in the argininosuccinase reaction is converted to oxaloacetate by the action of fumarase and malate dehydrogenase. These two reactions are the same as those that occur in the citric acid cycle, although they take place in the cytosol rather than in the mitochondrion. The oxaloacetate is then used for gluconeogenesis (Section 16-4).

5. Arginase Releases Urea. The urea cycle's final reaction is the **arginase**-catalyzed hydrolysis of arginine to yield urea and regenerate ornithine (Fig. 21-9, Reaction 5). Ornithine is then returned to the mitochondrion for another round of the cycle.

The urea cycle thus converts two amino groups, one from ammonia and one from aspartate, and a carbon atom from HCO_3^- to the relatively nontoxic product, urea, at the cost of four "high-energy" phosphate bonds. The energy spent is more than recovered, however, by the oxidation of the carbon skeletons of the amino acids that have donated their amino groups, via transamination, to glutamate and aspartate. Indeed, half the oxygen that the liver consumes is used to provide this energy.

***N*-Acetylglutamate**

B The Urea Cycle Is Regulated by Substrate Availability

Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase I, which catalyzes the first committed step of the urea cycle, is allosterically activated by ***N*-acetylglutamate** *(at left)*. This metabolite is synthesized from glutamate and acetyl-CoA by ***N*-acetylglutamate**

synthase. When amino acid breakdown rates increase, the concentration of glutamate increases as a result of transamination. The increased glutamate stimulates *N*-acetylglutamate synthesis. The resulting activation of carbamoyl phosphate synthetase increases the rate of urea production. Thus, the excess nitrogen produced by amino acid breakdown is efficiently excreted. Note that the urea cycle, like gluconeogenesis (Section 16-4) and ketogenesis (Section 20-3), is a pathway that occurs in the liver but serves the needs of the body as a whole.

The remaining enzymes of the urea cycle are controlled by the concentrations of their substrates. In individuals with inherited deficiencies in urea cycle enzymes other than arginase, the corresponding substrate builds up, increasing the rate of the deficient reaction so that the rate of urea production is normal (the total lack of a urea cycle enzyme, however, is lethal). The anomalous substrate buildup is not without cost, however. The substrate concentrations become elevated all the way back up the cycle to ammonia, resulting in hyperammonemia. Although the root cause of ammonia toxicity is not completely understood, it is clear that the brain is particularly sensitive to high ammonia concentrations (symptoms of urea cycle enzyme deficiencies include mental retardation and lethargy).

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the steps of the urea cycle. How do the amino groups of amino acids enter the cycle?
- What are the advantages of channeling?
- How is the rate of amino acid deamination linked to the rate of the urea cycle?

4 Breakdown of Amino Acids

KEY CONCEPTS

- Alanine, cysteine, glycine, serine, and threonine are broken down to pyruvate.
- Asparagine and aspartate are broken down to oxaloacetate.
- α-Ketoglutarate is produced by the degradation of arginine, glutamate, glutamine, histidine, and proline.
- Isoleucine, methionine, threonine, and valine are converted to succinyl-CoA.
- Leucine and lysine degradation yields acetyl-CoA and acetoacetate.
- Tryptophan is degraded to acetoacetate.
- Phenylalanine and tyrosine yield fumarate and acetoacetate.

Amino acids are degraded to compounds that can be metabolized to CO_2 and H_2O or used in gluconeogenesis. Indeed, oxidative breakdown of amino acids typically accounts for 10 to 15% of the metabolic energy generated by animals. In this section we consider how the carbon skeletons of the 20 "standard" amino acids are catabolized. We do not describe in detail all of the many reactions involved. Rather, we consider how the pathways are organized and focus on a few reactions of chemical and/or medical interest.

"Standard" amino acids are degraded to one of seven metabolic intermediates: pyruvate, α-ketoglutarate, succinyl-CoA, fumarate, oxaloacetate, acetyl-CoA, or acetoacetate (Fig. 21-13). The amino acids can therefore be divided into two groups based on their catabolic pathways:

1. **Glucogenic amino acids,** which are degraded to pyruvate, α-ketoglutarate, succinyl-CoA, fumarate, or oxaloacetate and are therefore glucose precursors (Section 16-4).
2. **Ketogenic amino acids,** which are broken down to acetyl-CoA or acetoacetate and can thus be converted to fatty acids or ketone bodies (Section 20-3).

FIG. 21-13 Degradation of amino acids to one of seven common metabolic intermediates. Glucogenic and ketogenic degradations are indicated in green and red, respectively.

? **Which amino acids are both glucogenic and ketogenic?**

Some amino acids are precursors of both carbohydrates and ketone bodies. Since animals lack any metabolic pathways for the net conversion of acetyl-CoA or acetoacetate to gluconeogenic precursors, *no net synthesis of carbohydrates is possible from the purely ketogenic amino acids Lys and Leu.*

In studying the specific pathways of amino acid breakdown, we will organize the amino acids into groups that are degraded to each of the seven metabolites mentioned above.

A Alanine, Cysteine, Glycine, Serine, and Threonine Are Degraded to Pyruvate

Five amino acids—alanine, cysteine, glycine, serine, and threonine—are broken down to yield pyruvate (Fig. 21-14). Alanine is straightforwardly transaminated to pyruvate. Serine is converted to pyruvate through dehydration by **serine–threonine dehydratase.** This PLP-dependent enzyme, like the aminotransferases (Section 21-2A), forms a PLP–amino acid Schiff base, which facilitates the removal of the amino acid's α-hydrogen atom. In the serine–threonine dehydratase reaction, however, the C_α carbanion breaks down with the elimination of the amino acid's C_β OH, rather than with tautomerization (Fig. 21-8, Step 2), so the

FIG. 21-14 The pathways converting alanine, cysteine, glycine, serine, and threonine to pyruvate. The enzymes involved are (**1**) alanine aminotransferase, (**2**) serine–threonine dehydratase, (**3**) glycine cleavage system, (**4** and **5**) serine hydroxymethyltransferase, (**6**) threonine dehydrogenase, and (**7**) α-amino-β-ketobutyrate lyase.

FIG. 21-15 The serine–threonine dehydratase reaction. This PLP-dependent enzyme catalyzes the elimination of water from serine in six steps: (**1**) formation of a serine-PLP Schiff base, (**2**) removal of the α-H atom of serine to form a resonance-stabilized carbanion, (**3**) β elimination of OH^-, (**4**) hydrolysis of the Schiff base to yield the PLP–enzyme and aminoacrylate, (**5**) nonenzymatic tautomerization to the imine, and (**6**) nonenzymatic hydrolysis to form pyruvate and ammonia. Threonine undergoes an analogous series of reactions to yield α-ketobutyrate.

substrate undergoes α,β elimination of H_2O rather than deamination (Fig. 21-15). The product of the dehydration, the enamine **aminoacrylate,** tautomerizes nonenzymatically to the corresponding imine, which spontaneously hydrolyzes to pyruvate and ammonia.

Cysteine can be converted to pyruvate via several routes in which the sulfhydryl group is released as H_2S, SO_3^{2-}, or SCN^-.

Glycine is converted to pyruvate by first being converted to serine by the enzyme **serine hydroxymethyltransferase,** another PLP-containing enzyme (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 4). This enzyme uses ***N*⁵,*N*¹⁰ -methylenetetrahydrofolate (*N*⁵,*N*¹⁰-methylene-THF)** as a one-carbon donor (the structure and chemistry of THF cofactors are described in Section 21-4D). The methylene group of the THF cofactor is obtained from a second glycine in Reaction 3 of Fig. 21-14, which is catalyzed by the **glycine cleavage system** (called the **glycine decarboxylase multienzyme system** in plants). This enzyme is a multiprotein complex that resembles pyruvate dehydrogenase (Section 17-2). The glycine cleavage system mediates the major route of glycine degradation in mammalian tissues. An inherited deficiency of the glycine cleavage system causes the disease **nonketotic hyperglycinemia,** which is characterized by mental retardation and accumulation of large amounts of glycine in body fluids.

In mammals other than humans, threonine's major route of breakdown is through **threonine dehydrogenase** (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 6), producing **α-amino-β-ketobutyrate,** which is converted to acetyl-CoA and glycine by **α-amino-β-ketobutyrate lyase** (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 7). The glycine can be converted, through serine, to pyruvate as described above. Thus, threonine is both glucogenic and ketogenic.

Serine Hydroxymethyltransferase Catalyzes PLP-Dependent C_α—C_β Bond Formation and Cleavage. Threonine can also be converted directly to glycine and acetaldehyde (which is subsequently oxidized to acetyl-CoA) via Reaction 5 of Fig. 21-14, which breaks threonine's C_α—C_β bond. This PLP-dependent reaction is catalyzed by serine hydroxymethyltransferase, the same enzyme that adds a hydroxymethyl group to glycine to produce serine (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 4). In the glycine → serine reaction, the amino acid's C_α—H bond is cleaved (as occurs

FIG. 21-16 The π-orbital framework of a PLP–amino acid Schiff base. The bond from X to C_α is in a plane perpendicular to the plane of the PLP π-orbital system (*top*) and is therefore labile. The broken bond's electron pair (*bottom*) is delocalized over the conjugated molecule.

in transamination; Fig. 21-8) and a C_α—C_β bond is formed. In contrast, the degradation of threonine to glycine by serine hydroxymethyltransferase acts in reverse, beginning with C_α—C_β bond cleavage (*at left*).

With the cleavage of any of the bonds to C_α, the PLP group delocalizes the electrons of the resulting carbanion. This feature of PLP action is the key to understanding how the same amino acid–PLP Schiff base can undergo cleavage of different bonds to C_α in different enzymes (bonds *a, b,* or *c* in the upper right of Fig. 21-8). The bond that is cleaved is the one that lies in the plane perpendicular to that of the π-orbital system of the PLP (**Fig. 21-16**). This arrangement allows the PLP π-orbital system to overlap the bonding orbital containing the electron pair being delocalized. Any other geometry would reduce or even eliminate this orbital overlap (the newly formed double bond would be twisted out of planarity) yielding a higher energy arrangement. Different bonds to C_α can be positioned for cleavage by rotation around the C_α—N bond. Evidently, *each enzyme binds its amino acid–PLP Schiff base adduct with the appropriate geometry for bond cleavage.*

B Asparagine and Aspartate Are Degraded to Oxaloacetate

Transamination of aspartate leads directly to oxaloacetate. Asparagine is also converted to oxaloacetate in this manner after its hydrolysis to aspartate by **L-asparaginase:**

Interestingly, L-asparaginase is an effective chemotherapeutic agent in the treatment of cancers that must obtain asparagine from the blood, particularly **acute lymphoblastic leukemia.**

C Arginine, Glutamate, Glutamine, Histidine, and Proline Are Degraded to α-Ketoglutarate

Arginine, glutamine, histidine, and proline are all degraded by conversion to glutamate (Fig. 21-17), which in turn is oxidized to α-ketoglutarate by glutamate dehydrogenase (Section 21-2B). Conversion of glutamine to glutamate involves only one reaction: hydrolysis by **glutaminase.** In the kidney, the action of glutaminase produces ammonia, which combines with a proton to form the ammonium ion (NH_4^+) and is excreted. During metabolic acidosis (Box 2-2), kidney glutaminase helps eliminate excess acid. Although free NH_3 in the blood could serve the same acid-absorbing purpose, ammonia is toxic. It is therefore converted to glutamine by glutamine synthetase in the liver (Section 21-5A). Glutamine therefore acts as an ammonia transport system between the liver, where much of it is synthesized, and the kidneys, where it is hydrolyzed by glutaminase.

FIG. 21-17 The degradation of arginine, glutamate, glutamine, histidine, and proline to α-ketoglutarate. The enzymes catalyzing the reactions are (**1**) glutamate dehydrogenase, (**2**) glutaminase, (**3**) arginase, (**4**) ornithine-δ-aminotransferase, (**5**) glutamate-5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase, (**6**) proline oxidase, (**7**) spontaneous, (**8**) histidine ammonia lyase, (**9**) urocanate hydratase, (**10**) imidazolone propionase, and (**11**) glutamate formiminotransferase.

? **Identify the five-carbon "core" in the five amino acids shown here.**

Histidines's conversion to glutamate is more complicated: It is nonoxidatively deaminated, then it is hydrated, and its imidazole ring is cleaved to form ***N*-formiminoglutamate.** The formimino group is then transferred to tetrahydrofolate, forming glutamate and ***N*^{5}-formiminotetrahydrofolate** (Section 21-4D). Both arginine and proline are converted to glutamate through the intermediate formation of **glutamate-5-semialdehyde.**

D Methionine, Threonine, Isoleucine, and Valine Are Degraded to Succinyl-CoA

Methionine, threonine, isoleucine, and valine have degradative pathways that all yield propionyl-CoA, which is also a product of odd-chain fatty acid degradation. Propionyl-CoA is converted to succinyl-CoA by a series of reactions requiring biotin and coenzyme B_{12} (Section 20-2E).

Methionine Breakdown Involves Synthesis of *S*-Adenosylmethionine and Cysteine. Methionine degradation (Fig. 21-18) begins with its reaction with ATP to form ***S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM;** alternatively **AdoMet).** *This sulfonium ion's highly reactive methyl group makes it an important biological methylating agent.* For instance, SAM is the methyl donor in the synthesis of phosphatidylcholine from phosphatidylethanolamine (Section 20-6A).

Donation of a methyl group from SAM leaves ***S*-adenosylhomocysteine,** which is then hydrolyzed to adenosine and **homocysteine.** The homocysteine can be methylated to re-form methionine via a reaction in which ***N*^{5}-methyltetrahydrofolate** (see below) is the methyl donor. Alternatively, the homocysteine can combine with serine to yield **cystathionine,** which subsequently forms cysteine (cysteine biosynthesis) and **α-ketobutyrate.** The α-ketobutyrate continues along the degradative pathway to propionyl-CoA and then succinyl-CoA.

Threonine Is Degraded Mainly to Propionyl-CoA in Humans. The reactions shown in Fig. 21-14 account for only ~20% of threonine breakdown in humans. The remainder, as is indicated in Fig. 21-18, Reaction 11, forms α-ketobutyrate in a reaction catalyzed by serine–threonine dehydratase (Fig. 21-15), and the α-ketobutyrate is converted via propionyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA.

Tetrahydrofolates Are One-Carbon Carriers. Many biosynthetic processes involve the addition of a C_1 unit to a metabolic precursor. In most carboxylation reactions (e.g., pyruvate carboxylase; Fig. 16-18), the enzyme uses a biotin cofactor. In some reactions, *S*-adenosylmethionine (Fig. 21-18) functions as a methylating agent. However, tetrahydrofolate (THF) is more versatile than either of those cofactors because it can transfer C_1 units in several oxidation states.

THF is a 6-methylpterin derivative linked in sequence to a ***p*-aminobenzoic acid** and a Glu residue:

FIG. 21-18 Methionine and threonine degradation. The breakdown of methionine yields cysteine and succinyl-CoA. The enzymes are (**1**) methionine adenosyltransferase in a reaction that yields the biological methylating agent *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM), (**2**) methyltransferase, (**3**) adenosylhomocysteinase, (**4**) methionine synthase (a coenzyme B_{12}–dependent enzyme), (**5**) cystathionine β-synthase (a PLP-dependent enzyme), (**6**) cystathione γ-lyase, (**7**) α-keto acid dehydrogenase, (**8**) propionyl-CoA carboxylase, (**9**) methylmalonyl-CoA racemase, and (**10**) methylmalonyl-CoA mutase (a coenzyme B_{12}–dependent enzyme). Reactions 8–10 are discussed in Section 20-2E. Threonine is converted to α-ketobutyrate by (**11**) serine–threonine dehydratase.

Up to five additional Glu residues are linked to the first glutamate via isopeptide bonds to form a polyglutamyl tail. THF is derived from the vitamin **folic acid** (Latin: *folium,* leaf), a doubly oxidized form of THF that must be enzymatically reduced before it becomes an active coenzyme (Fig. 21-19). Both reductions are catalyzed by **dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)**. Mammals cannot synthesize folic acid, so it must be provided in the diet or by intestinal microorganisms. Folate is sensitive to light, which suggests that dark skin pigmentation, especially in equatorial regions where sunlight is most intense, is an adaptation for

FIG. 21-19 The two-stage reduction of folate to THF. Both reactions are catalyzed by dihydrofolate reductase.

maintaining the necessary level of the coenzyme, as well as preventing vitamin D intoxication (Section 9-1E).

C_1 units are covalently attached to THF at positions N5, N10, or both N5 and N10. These C_1 units, which may be at the oxidation levels of formate, formaldehyde, or methanol (Table 21-2), are all interconvertible by enzymatic redox reactions (Fig. 21-20).

THF acquires C_1 units in the conversion of serine to glycine by serine hydroxymethyltransferase (the reverse of Reaction 4, Fig. 21-14), in the cleavage of glycine (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 3), and in histidine breakdown (Fig. 21-17, Reaction 11). The C_1 units carried by THF are used in the synthesis of thymine nucleotides (Section 23-3B) and in the synthesis of methionine from homocysteine (Fig. 21-18, Reaction 4). By promoting the latter process, supplemental folate helps prevent diseases associated with abnormally high levels of homocysteine (Box 21-1).

Sulfonamides
(R = H, sulfanilamide)

***p*-Aminobenzoic acid**

Sulfonamides (sulfa drugs) such as **sulfanilamide** are antibiotics that are structural analogs of the *p*-aminobenzoic acid constituent of THF (*at left*). They competitively inhibit bacterial synthesis of THF at the *p*-aminobenzoic acid incorporation step, thereby blocking THF-requiring reactions. The inability of mammals to synthesize folic acid leaves them unaffected by sulfonamides, which accounts for the medical utility of these widely used antibacterial agents.

Box 21-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Homocysteine, a Marker of Disease

The cellular level of homocysteine depends on its rate of synthesis through methylation reactions utilizing SAM (Fig. 21-18, Reactions 2 and 3) and its rate of utilization through remethylation to form methionine (Fig. 21-18, Reaction 4) and reaction with serine to form cystathionine in the cysteine biosynthetic pathway (Fig. 21-18, Reaction 5). An increase in homocysteine levels leads to **hyperhomocysteinemia,** elevated concentrations of homocysteine in the blood, which is associated with cardiovascular disease. The link was first discovered in individuals with **homocysteinuria,** a disorder in which excess homocysteine is excreted in the urine. These individuals develop atherosclerosis as children, possibly because homocysteine causes oxidative damage to the walls of blood vessels even in the absence of elevated LDL levels (Section 20-7C).

Hyperhomocysteinemia is also associated with **neural tube defects,** the cause of a variety of severe birth defects including **spina bifida** (defects in the spinal column that often result in paralysis) and **anencephaly** (the invariably fatal failure of the brain to develop, which is the leading cause of infant death due to congenital anomalies). Hyperhomocysteinemia is readily controlled by ingesting the vitamin precursors of the coenzymes that participate in homocysteine breakdown, namely, B_6 (pyridoxine, the PLP precursor; Fig. 21-7), B_{12} (Fig. 20-17), and folate (Section 21-4D). Folate, especially, alleviates hyperhomocysteinemia; its administration to pregnant women dramatically reduces the incidence of neural tube defects in newborns. Because neural tube development is one of the earliest steps of embryogenesis, women of childbearing age are encouraged to consume adequate amounts of folate even before they become pregnant. Despite the foregoing, clinical trials indicate that vitamin therapy in individuals with hyperhomocysteinemia does not reduce their incidence of cardiovascular disease.

Around 10% of the population is homozygous for an Ala → Val mutation in ***N*^{5},*N*^{10}-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR),** which catalyzes the conversion of *N*^{5},*N*^{10}-methylene-THF to *N*^{5}-methyl-THF (Fig. 21-20, *top center*). This reaction generates the *N*^{5}-methyl-THF required to convert homocysteine to methionine (Fig. 21-18, Reaction 4). The mutation does not affect the enzyme's reaction kinetics but instead increases the rate at which its essential flavin cofactor dissociates. Folate derivatives that bind to the enzyme decrease the rate of flavin loss, thus increasing the enzyme's overall activity and decreasing the homocysteine concentration. The prevalence of the MTHFR mutation in the human population suggests that it has (or once had) some selective advantage; however, this is as yet a matter of speculation.

TABLE 21-2 Oxidation Levels of C_1 Groups Carried by THF

Oxidation Level	Groups Carried	THF Derivative(s)
Methanol	Methyl (—CH_3)	N^5-Methyl-THF
Formaldehyde	Methylene (—CH_2—)	N^5,N^{10}-Methylene-THF
Formate	Formyl (—CH=O)	N^5-Formyl-THF, N^{10}-formyl-THF
	Formimino (—CH=NH)	N^5-Formimino-THF
	Methenyl (—CH=)	N^5,N^{10}-Methenyl-THF

FIG. 21-20 Interconversion of the C_1 units carried by THF.

? Identify the oxidation state of each C_1 group.

FIG. 21-21 The degradation of the branched-chain amino acids. Isoleucine (A), valine (B), and leucine (C) follow an initial common pathway utilizing three enzymes: (**1**) branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase, (**2**) branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase (BCKDH), and (**3**) acyl-CoA dehydrogenase. Isoleucine degradation then continues (*left*) to yield acetyl-CoA and succinyl-CoA; valine degradation continues (*center*) to yield succinyl-CoA; and leucine degradation continues (*right*) to yield acetyl-CoA and acetoacetate.

? List the cofactors that participate in Reactions 1 and 2.

Branched-Chain Amino Acid Degradation Involves Acyl-CoA Oxidation. Degradation of the branched-chain amino acids isoleucine, leucine, and valine begins with three reactions that employ common enzymes (**Fig. 21-21**):

1. Transamination to the corresponding α-keto acid.
2. Oxidative decarboxylation to the corresponding acyl-CoA.
3. Dehydrogenation by FAD to form a double bond.

The remaining reactions of the isoleucine degradation pathway are analogous to those of fatty acid oxidation (Section 20-2C), thereby yielding acetyl-CoA and propionyl-CoA, which is subsequently converted via three reactions to succinyl-CoA. The degradation of valine, which contains one less carbon than isoleucine, yields CO_2 and propionyl-CoA, which is then converted to succinyl-CoA. The further degradation of leucine, which yields acetoacetate instead of propionyl-CoA, is considered in Section 21-4E.

Branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase (BCKDH), which catalyzes Reaction 2 of Fig. 21-21, is a multienzyme complex that closely resembles the pyruvate dehydrogenase and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complexes (Sections 17-2 and 17-3D). Indeed, all three multienzyme complexes share a common subunit, E3 (dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase), and employ the coenzymes TPP, lipoamide, and FAD in addition to their terminal oxidizing agent, NAD^+.

A genetic deficiency in BCKDH causes **maple syrup urine disease,** so named because the consequent buildup of branched-chain α-keto acids imparts the

urine with the characteristic odor of maple syrup. Unless promptly treated by a diet low in branched-chain amino acids, maple syrup urine disease is rapidly fatal.

E Leucine and Lysine Are Degraded Only to Acetyl-CoA and/or Acetoacetate

Leucine degradation begins in the same manner as isoleucine and valine degradation (Fig. 21-21), but the dehydrogenated CoA adduct β-methylcrotonyl-CoA is converted to acetyl-CoA and acetoacetate, a ketone body.

The predominant pathway for lysine degradation in mammalian liver produces acetoacetate and 2 CO_2 via the initial formation of the α-ketoglutarate–lysine adduct **saccharopine** (Fig. 21-22). This pathway is worth examining in

FIG. 21-22 The pathway of lysine degradation in mammalian liver. The enzymes are (**1**) saccharopine dehydrogenase ($NADP^+$, lysine forming), (**2**) saccharopine dehydrogenase (NAD^+, glutamate forming), (**3**) aminoadipate semialdehyde dehydrogenase, (**4**) aminoadipate aminotransferase (a PLP-dependent enzyme), (**5**) α-keto acid dehydrogenase, (**6**) glutaryl-CoA dehydrogenase, (**7**) decarboxylase, (**8**) enoyl-CoA hydratase, (**9**) β-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase, (**10**) HMG-CoA synthase, and (**11**) HMG-CoA lyase. Reactions 10 and 11 are discussed in Section 20-3.

? Identify the reactions that resemble those of fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis.

detail because we have encountered 7 of its 11 reactions in other pathways. Reaction 4 is a PLP-dependent transamination. Reaction 5 is the oxidative decarboxylation of an α-keto acid by a multienzyme complex similar to pyruvate dehydrogenase (Section 17-2). Reactions 6, 8, and 9 are standard reactions of fatty acyl-CoA oxidation: dehydrogenation by FAD, hydration, and dehydrogenation by NAD^+. Reactions 10 and 11 are standard reactions in ketone body formation. Two moles of CO_2 are produced at Reactions 5 and 7 of the pathway.

The saccharopine pathway is thought to predominate in mammals because a genetic defect in the enzyme that catalyzes Reaction 1 in the sequence results in **hyperlysinemia** and **hyperlysinuria** (elevated levels of lysine in the blood and urine, respectively) along with mental and physical retardation. This is yet another example of how the study of rare inherited disorders has helped to trace metabolic pathways.

F Tryptophan Is Degraded to Alanine and Acetoacetate

The complexity of the major tryptophan degradation pathway (outlined in Fig. 21-23) precludes a detailed discussion of all its reactions. However, one reaction is of particular interest: The fourth reaction is catalyzed by **kynureninase,** whose PLP group facilitates cleavage of the C_β—C_γ bond to release alanine. The kynureninase reaction follows the same initial steps as transamination (Fig. 21-8), but an enzyme nucleophilic group then attacks C_γ of the resonance-stabilized intermediate, resulting in C_β—C_γ bond cleavage. The remainder of the tryptophan skeleton is converted in five reactions to α-ketoadipate, which is also an intermediate in lysine degradation. α-Ketoadipate is broken down to 2 CO_2 and acetoacetate in seven reactions, as shown in Fig. 21-22.

FIG. 21-23 The pathway of tryptophan degradation. The enzymatic reactions shown are (**1**) tryptophan-2,3-dioxygenase, (**2**) formamidase, (**3**) kynurenine-3-monooxygenase, and (**4**) kynureninase (a PLP-dependent enzyme). Five reactions convert 3-hydroxyanthranilate to α-ketoadipate, which is converted to acetyl-CoA and acetoacetate in seven reactions as shown in Fig. 21-22, Reactions 5–11.

? **What is the metabolic fate of acetoacetate?**

FIG. 21-24 The pathway of phenylalanine degradation. The enzymes involved are (**1**) phenylalanine hydroxylase, (**2**) aminotransferase, (**3**) *p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase, (**4**) homogentisate dioxygenase, (**5**) maleylacetoacetate isomerase, and (**6**) fumarylacetoacetase.

G Phenylalanine and Tyrosine Are Degraded to Fumarate and Acetoacetate

Since the first reaction in phenylalanine degradation is its hydroxylation to tyrosine, a single pathway (Fig. 21-24) is responsible for the breakdown of both amino acids. The final products of the six-reaction degradation are fumarate, a citric acid cycle intermediate, and acetoacetate, a ketone body. Defects in the enzymes that catalyze Reactions 1 and 4 cause disease (Box 21-2).

Pterins Are Redox Cofactors. The hydroxylation of phenylalanine by the Fe(III)-containing enzyme **phenylalanine hydroxylase** (Fig. 21-24, Reaction 1) requires the cofactor **biopterin,** a **pterin** derivative. Pterins are compounds that contain the **pteridine** ring (Fig. 21-25). Note the resemblance between the pteridine ring

FIG. 21-25 The pteridine ring nucleus of biopterin and folate. Note the similar structures of pteridine and the isoalloxazine ring of flavin coenzymes.

Box 21-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Phenylketonuria and Alcaptonuria Result from Defects in Phenylalanine Degradation

Archibald Garrod realized in the early 1900s that human genetic diseases result from specific enzyme deficiencies. We have repeatedly seen how this realization has contributed to the elucidation of metabolic pathways. The first such disease Garrod recognized was **alcaptonuria,** which results in the excretion of large quantities of **homogentisic acid** (Section 14-4). This condition results from deficiency of **homogentisate dioxygenase** (Fig. 21-24, Reaction 4). Alcaptonurics suffer no ill effects other than arthritis later in life (although their urine darkens alarmingly on exposure to air because of the rapid oxidation of the homogentisate they excrete).

Individuals suffering from **phenylketonuria (PKU)** are not so fortunate. Severe mental retardation occurs within a few months of birth if the disease is not detected and treated immediately. PKU is caused by the inability to hydroxylate phenylalanine (Fig. 21-24, Reaction 1) and therefore results in increased blood levels of phenylalanine **(hyperphenylalaninemia).** The excess phenylalanine is transaminated to **phenylpyruvate**

Phenylpyruvate

by an otherwise minor pathway. The "spillover" of phenylpyruvate (a phenylketone) into the urine was the first observation connected with the disease and gave the disease its name. All babies born in the United States are now screened for PKU immediately after birth by testing for elevated levels of phenylalanine in the blood.

Classical PKU results from a deficiency in phenylalanine hydroxylase. When this was established in 1947, it was the first inborn error of metabolism whose basic biochemical defect had been identified. Since all of the tyrosine breakdown enzymes are normal, treatment consists in providing the patient with a low-phenylalanine diet and monitoring the blood level of phenylalanine to ensure that it remains within normal limits for the first 5 to 10 years of life (the adverse effects of hyperphenylalaninemia seem to disappear after that age). **Aspartame (Nutra-Sweet),** an often-used sweetening ingredient in diet soft drinks and many other dietetic food products, is Asp-Phe-methyl ester (Box 8-2) and is therefore a source of dietary phenylalanine. Consequently, a warning label for phenylketonurics appears on all those products.

Phenylalanine hydroxylase deficiency also accounts for another common symptom of PKU: Its victims have lighter hair and skin color than their siblings. This is because elevated phenylalanine levels inhibit tyrosine hydroxylation (Fig. 21-39), the first reaction in the formation of the skin pigment **melanin.**

Other variants of hyperphenylalaninemia have been discovered since the introduction of infant screening techniques. These are caused by deficiencies in the enzymes catalyzing the formation or regeneration of 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin, the phenylalanine hydroxylase cofactor (Fig. 21-26).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the two general metabolic fates of the carbon skeletons of amino acids.
- List the seven metabolites that represent the end products of amino acid catabolism. Which are glucogenic? Which are ketogenic?
- Which three amino acids are substrates or products of serine hydroxymethyltransferase?
- Describe the roles of the cofactors pyridoxal-5′-phosphate, tetrahydrofolate, and tetrahydrobiopterin in the catabolism of amino acids.

and the isoalloxazine ring of the flavin coenzymes; the positions of the nitrogen atoms in pteridine are identical to those of the B and C rings of isoalloxazine. Folate derivatives also contain the pterin ring (Section 21-4D).

Pterins, like flavins, participate in biological oxidations. The active form of biopterin is the fully reduced form, **5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin.** It is produced from **7,8-dihydrobiopterin** and NADPH, in what may be considered a priming reaction, by dihydrofolate reductase (**Fig. 21-26**), which also reduces folate to dihydrofolate and then to tetrahydrofolate (Fig. 21-19). In the phenylalanine hydroxylase reaction, 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin is hydroxylated to **pterin-4a-carbinolamine** (Fig. 21-26), which is then converted to 7,8-dihydrobiopterin **(quinoid form)** by **pterin-4a-carbinolamine dehydratase.** This quinoid is subsequently reduced by the NAD(P)H-requiring enzyme **dihydropteridine reductase** to regenerate the active cofactor. Although dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteridine reductase produce the same product, they use different tautomers of the substrate.

5 Amino Acid Biosynthesis

KEY CONCEPTS

- Some amino acids are synthesized in one or a few steps from common metabolites.
- The essential amino acids are derived mostly from other amino acids and glucose metabolites.

Many amino acids are synthesized by pathways that are present only in plants and microorganisms. Since mammals must obtain these amino acids in their diets, these substances are known as **essential amino acids.** The other amino

FIG. 21-26 **The formation, utilization, and regeneration of 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin in the phenylalanine hydroxylase reaction.**

acids, which can be synthesized by mammals from common intermediates, are termed **nonessential amino acids.** Their α-keto acid carbon skeletons are converted to amino acids by transamination reactions (Section 21-2A) utilizing the preformed α-amino nitrogen of another amino acid, usually glutamate. Although it was originally presumed that glutamate can be synthesized from ammonia and α-ketoglutarate by GDH acting in reverse, it now appears that the predominant physiological direction of this enzyme is glutamate breakdown (Section 21-2B). Consequently, *preformed α-amino nitrogen should also be considered to be an essential nutrient.* In this context, it is interesting to note that, in addition to the four well-known taste receptors, those for sweet, sour, salty, and bitter tastes, a fifth taste receptor has been characterized, that for the meaty taste of **monosodium glutamate (MSG),** which is known as **umami** (a Japanese name).

The essential and nonessential amino acids for humans are listed in Table 21-3. Arginine is classified as essential, even though it is synthesized by the urea cycle (Section 21-3A), because it is required in greater amounts than can be produced by that route during the normal growth and development of children (but not adults). The essential amino acids occur in animal and vegetable proteins. Different proteins, however, contain different proportions of the essential amino acids. Milk proteins, for example, contain them all in the proportions required for proper human nutrition. Bean protein, on the other hand, contains an abundance of lysine but is deficient in methionine, whereas wheat is deficient in lysine but contains ample methionine. A balanced protein diet, therefore, must

TABLE 21-3 Essential and Nonessential Amino Acids in Humans

Essential	Nonessential
Arginine[a]	Alanine
Histidine	Asparagine
Isoleucine	Aspartate
Leucine	Cysteine
Lysine	Glutamate
Methionine	Glutamine
Phenylalanine	Glycine
Threonine	Proline
Tryptophan	Serine
Valine	Tyrosine

[a]Although mammals synthesize arginine, they cleave most of it to form urea (Section 21-3A).

contain a variety of different protein sources that complement each other to supply the proper amounts of all the essential amino acids.

In this section we study the pathways involved in the formation of the nonessential amino acids. We also briefly consider such pathways for the essential amino acids as they occur in plants and microorganisms. Keep in mind that *there is considerable variation in these pathways among different species. In contrast, the basic pathways of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism are all but universal.*

A Nonessential Amino Acids Are Synthesized from Common Metabolites

All the nonessential amino acids except tyrosine are synthesized by simple pathways leading from one of four common metabolic intermediates: pyruvate, oxaloacetate, α-ketoglutarate, and 3-phosphoglycerate. Tyrosine, which is really misclassified as being nonessential, is synthesized by the one-step hydroxylation of the essential amino acid phenylalanine (Fig. 21-24). Indeed, the dietary requirement for phenylalanine reflects the need for tyrosine as well. The presence of dietary tyrosine therefore decreases the need for phenylalanine.

Alanine, Asparagine, Aspartate, Glutamate, and Glutamine Are Synthesized from Pyruvate, Oxaloacetate, and α-Ketoglutarate. Pyruvate, oxaloacetate, and α-ketoglutarate are the α-keto acids (the so-called carbon skeletons) that correspond to alanine, aspartate, and glutamate, respectively. Indeed, the synthesis of each of the amino acids is a one-step transamination reaction (Fig. 21-27,

FIG. 21-27 The syntheses of alanine, aspartate, glutamate, asparagine, and glutamine. These reactions involve, respectively, transamination of (**1**) pyruvate, (**2**) oxaloacetate, and (**3**) α-ketoglutarate, and amidation of (**4**) aspartate and (**5**) glutamate.

? **How many types of reactions are required to synthesize these five amino acids?**

Reactions 1–3). The ultimate source of the α-amino group in these transamination reactions is glutamate, which is synthesized in microorganisms, plants, and lower eukaryotes by glutamate synthase (Section 21-7B), an enzyme that is absent in vertebrates.

Asparagine and glutamine are, respectively, synthesized from aspartate and glutamate by ATP-dependent amidation. In the **glutamine synthetase** reaction (Fig. 21-27, Reaction 5), glutamate is first activated by reaction with ATP to form a **γ-glutamylphosphate** intermediate. NH_4^+ then displaces the phosphate group to produce glutamine. Curiously, aspartate amidation by **asparagine synthetase** to form asparagine follows a different route; it uses glutamine as its amino group donor and cleaves ATP to AMP + PP_i (Fig. 21-27, Reaction 4).

Glutamine Synthetase Is a Central Control Point in Nitrogen Metabolism. Glutamine is the amino group donor in the formation of many biosynthetic products as well as being a storage form of ammonia. The control of glutamine synthetase is therefore vital for regulating nitrogen metabolism. Mammalian glutamine synthetases are activated by α-ketoglutarate, the product of glutamate's oxidative deamination (Section 21-2B). This control presumably helps prevent the accumulation of the ammonia produced by that reaction.

Bacterial glutamine synthetase, as Earl Stadtman showed, has a much more elaborate control system. The enzyme, which consists of 12 identical 468-residue subunits arranged at the corners of a hexagonal prism (**Fig. 21-28**), is regulated by several allosteric effectors as well as by covalent modification. Several aspects of its control system bear note. *Nine allosteric feedback inhibitors, each with its own binding site, control the activity of bacterial glutamine synthetase in a cumulative manner.* Six of these effectors—histidine, tryptophan, carbamoyl phosphate (as synthesized by carbamoyl phosphate synthetase), glucosamine-6-phosphate, AMP, and CTP—are all end products of pathways leading from glutamine. The other three—alanine, serine, and glycine—reflect the cell's nitrogen level.

FIG. 21-28 X-Ray structure of glutamine synthetase from the bacterium *Salmonella typhimurium*. The enzyme consists of 12 identical subunits, here drawn in ribbon form, arranged with D_6 symmetry (the symmetry of a hexagonal prism). (*a*) View along the sixfold axis of symmetry showing only the six subunits of the upper ring in different colors, with the lower right subunit colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The subunits of the lower ring are roughly directly below those of the upper ring. A pair of Mn^{2+} ions (*purple spheres*) that occupy the positions of the Mg^{2+} ions required for enzymatic activity are bound in each active site. The ADP bound to each active site is drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. (*b*) View along one of the protein's twofold axes (rotated 90° about the horizontal axis with respect to Part *a*) showing only the eight subunits nearest the viewer. The sixfold axis is vertical in this view. [Based on an X-ray structure by David Eisenberg, UCLA. PDBid 1F52.]

? Why is it important for glutamine synthetase to be a multisubunit enzyme?

E. coli glutamine synthetase is covalently modified by **adenylylation** (addition of an AMP group) of a specific Tyr residue (Fig. 21-29). The enzyme's susceptibility to cumulative feedback inhibition increases, and its activity therefore decreases, with its degree of adenylylation. The level of adenylylation is controlled by a complex metabolic cascade that is conceptually similar to that controlling glycogen phosphorylase (Section 16-3B). Both adenylylation and deadenylylation of glutamine synthetase are catalyzed by **adenylyltransferase** in complex with a tetrameric regulatory protein, $\mathbf{P_{II}}$. This complex deadenylylates glutamine synthetase when P_{II} is **uridylylated** (also at a Tyr residue) and adenylylates glutamine synthetase when P_{II} lacks UMP residues. The level of P_{II} uridylylation, in turn, depends on the relative levels of two enzymatic activities located on the same protein: a **uridylyltransferase** that uridylylates P_{II} and a

FIG. 21-29 The regulation of bacterial glutamine synthetase. The adenylylation/deadenylylation of a specific Tyr residue is controlled by the level of uridylylation of a specific adenylyltransferase · P_{II} Tyr residue. This uridylylation level, in turn, is controlled by the relative activities of uridylyltransferase, which is sensitive to the levels of a variety of nitrogen metabolites, and uridylyl-removing enzyme, whose activity is independent of those metabolite levels.

uridylyl-removing enzyme that hydrolytically excises the attached UMP groups of P_{II}. The uridylyltransferase is activated by α-ketoglutarate and ATP and inhibited by glutamine and P_i, whereas uridylyl-removing enzyme is insensitive to those metabolites. This intricate metabolic cascade therefore renders the activity of *E. coli* glutamine synthetase extremely responsive to the cell's nitrogen requirements.

Glutamate Is the Precursor of Proline, Ornithine, and Arginine. Conversion of glutamate to proline (Fig. 21-30, Reactions 1–4) involves the reduction of the γ-carboxyl group to an aldehyde followed by the formation of an internal Schiff base whose further reduction yields proline. Reduction of the glutamate γ-carboxyl group to an aldehyde is an endergonic process that is facilitated by first phosphorylating the carboxyl group in a reaction catalyzed by **γ-glutamyl kinase.** The unstable product, **glutamate-5-phosphate,** has not been isolated from reaction mixtures but is presumed to be the substrate for the reduction that follows. The resulting **glutamate-5-semialdehyde** (which is also a product of arginine and proline degradation; Fig. 21-17) cyclizes spontaneously to form the

FIG. 21-30 The biosynthesis of the glutamate family of amino acids: arginine, ornithine, and proline. The catalysts for proline biosynthesis are (**1**) γ-glutamyl kinase, (**2**) dehydrogenase, (**3**) nonenzymatic, and (**4**) pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase. In mammals, ornithine is produced from glutamate-5-semialdehyde by the action of ornithine-δ-aminotransferase (**5**). Ornithine is converted to arginine via the urea cycle (Section 21-3A).

? **What are the sources of free energy for these biosynthetic reactions?**

FIG. 21-31 The conversion of 3-phosphoglycerate to serine. The pathway enzymes are (**1**) 3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase, (**2**) a PLP-dependent aminotransferase, and (**3**) phosphoserine phosphatase.

internal Schiff base **Δ^1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate.** The final reduction to proline is catalyzed by **pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase.** Whether the enzyme requires NADH or NADPH is unclear.

In humans, a three-step pathway leads from glutamate to ornithine via a branch from proline biosynthesis after Step 2 (Fig. 21-30). Glutamate-5-semialdehyde, which is in equilibrium with Δ^1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate, is directly transaminated to yield ornithine in a reaction catalyzed by **ornithine-δ-amino transferase** (Fig. 21-30, Reaction 5). Ornithine is converted to arginine by the reactions of the urea cycle (Fig. 21-9).

Serine, Cysteine, and Glycine Are Derived from 3-Phosphoglycerate. Serine is formed from the glycolytic intermediate 3-phosphoglycerate in a three-reaction pathway (Fig. 21-31):

1. Conversion of 3-phosphoglycerate's 2-OH group to a ketone, yielding **3-phosphohydroxypyruvate,** serine's phosphorylated keto acid analog.
2. Transamination of 3-phosphohydroxypyruvate to phosphoserine.
3. Hydrolysis of phosphoserine to serine.

Serine participates in glycine synthesis in two ways:

1. Direct conversion of serine to glycine by serine hydroxymethyltransferase in a reaction that also yields N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 4 in reverse).
2. Condensation of the N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF with CO_2 and NH_4^+ by glycine synthase (Fig. 21-14, Reaction 3 in reverse).

In animals, cysteine is synthesized from serine and homocysteine, a breakdown product of methionine (Fig. 21-18, Reactions 5 and 6). Homocysteine combines with serine to yield cystathionine, which subsequently forms cysteine and α-ketobutyrate. Since cysteine's sulfhydryl group is derived from the essential amino acid methionine, cysteine can be considered to be an essential amino acid.

B Plants and Microorganisms Synthesize the Essential Amino Acids

Essential amino acids, like nonessential amino acids, are synthesized from familiar metabolic precursors. Their synthetic pathways are present only in microorganisms and plants, however, and usually involve more steps than those of the nonessential amino acids. The enzymes that synthesize essential amino acids were apparently lost early in animal evolution, possibly because of the ready availability of these amino acids in the diet. We will focus on only a few of the many reactions in the biosynthesis of essential amino acids.

Lysine, Methionine, and Threonine Are Synthesized from Aspartate. In bacteria, aspartate is the common precursor of lysine, methionine, and threonine (Fig. 21-32). The biosyntheses of these essential amino acids all begin with the

FIG. 21-32 The biosynthesis of the aspartate family of amino acids: lysine, methionine, and threonine. The pathway enzymes shown are (**1**) aspartokinase, (**2**) β-aspartate semialdehyde dehydrogenase, (**3**) homoserine dehydrogenase, and (**4**) methionine synthase (a coenzyme B_{12}–dependent enzyme).

aspartokinase-catalyzed phosphorylation of aspartate to yield **aspartyl-β-phosphate.** We have seen that the control of metabolic pathways commonly occurs at the first committed step of the pathway. One might therefore expect lysine, methionine, and threonine biosynthesis to be controlled as a group. Each of these pathways is, in fact, independently controlled. *E. coli* has three isozymes of aspartokinase that respond differently to the three amino acids in terms both of feedback inhibition of enzyme activity and repression of enzyme synthesis. In addition, the pathway direction is controlled by feedback inhibition at the branch points by the amino acid products of the branches.

Methionine synthase (alternatively, **homocysteine methyltransferase**) catalyzes the methylation of homocysteine to form methionine using N^5-methyl-THF as its methyl group donor (Reaction 4 in both Figs. 21-18 and 21-32). Methionine synthase is the only coenzyme B_{12}–associated enzyme in mammals besides methylmalonyl-CoA mutase (Section 20-2E). However, the coenzyme B_{12}'s Co ion in methionine synthase is axially liganded by a methyl group to form **methylcobalamin** rather than by a 5′-adenosyl group as in

methylmalonyl-CoA mutase (Fig. 20-17). In mammals, the primary function of methionine synthase is not *de novo* methionine synthesis, as Met is an essential amino acid. Instead, it functions in the cyclic synthesis of SAM for use in biological methylations (Fig. 21-18).

Leucine, Isoleucine, and Valine Are Derived from Pyruvate. Valine and isoleucine follow the same biosynthetic pathway utilizing pyruvate as a starting reactant, the only difference being in the first step of the series (Fig. 21-33). In this thiamine pyrophosphate–dependent reaction, which resembles those catalyzed by pyruvate decarboxylase (Fig. 15-20) and transketolase (Fig. 15-32), pyruvate forms an adduct with TPP that is decarboxylated to hydroxyethyl-TPP. This resonance-stabilized carbanion adds either to the keto group of a second pyruvate to form **acetolactate** on the way to valine, or to the keto group of **α-ketobutyrate** to form **α-aceto-α-hydroxybutyrate** on the way to isoleucine. The leucine biosynthetic pathway branches off from the valine pathway. The final step in each of the three pathways, which begin with pyruvate rather than an amino acid, is the

FIG. 21-33 The biosynthesis of the pyruvate family of amino acids: isoleucine, leucine, and valine. The first enzyme, **acetolactate synthase** (a TPP enzyme), catalyzes two reactions, one leading to valine and leucine, and the other to isoleucine. Note also that **valine aminotransferase** catalyzes the formation of both valine and isoleucine from their respective α-keto acids.

PLP-dependent transfer of an amino group from glutamate to form the amino acid.

The Aromatic Amino Acids Phenylalanine, Tyrosine, and Tryptophan Are Synthesized from Glucose Derivatives. The precursors of the aromatic amino acids are the glycolytic intermediate phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and erythrose-4-phosphate (an intermediate in the pentose phosphate pathway; Fig. 15-30). Their condensation forms **2-keto-3-deoxy-D-arabinoheptulosonate-7-phosphate** (Fig. 21-34). This C_7 compound cyclizes and is ultimately converted to **chorismate,** the branch point for tryptophan synthesis. Chorismate is converted to either **anthranilate** and then to tryptophan, or to **prephenate** and on to tyrosine or phenylalanine. Although mammals synthesize tyrosine by the hydroxylation of phenylalanine (Fig. 21-24), many microorganisms synthesize it directly from prephenate. The last step in the synthesis of tyrosine and phenylalanine is the addition of an amino group through transamination. In tryptophan synthesis, the amino group is part of the serine molecule that is added to **indole.**

FIG. 21-34 The biosynthesis of phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine. The enzymes shown are (**1**) 2-keto-3-deoxy-D-arabinoheptulosonate-7-phosphate synthase, (**2**) anthranilate synthase, (**3**) tryptophan synthase, α subunit, (**4**) tryptophan synthase, β subunit (a PLP-dependent enzyme), and (**5**) chorismate mutase.

Indole Is Channeled between Two Active Sites in Tryptophan Synthase. The final two reactions of tryptophan biosynthesis (Reactions 3 and 4 in Fig. 21-34) are both catalyzed by **tryptophan synthase:**

1. The α subunit (268 residues) of the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ bifunctional enzyme cleaves **indole-3-glycerol phosphate,** yielding indole and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate.
2. The β subunit (396 residues) joins indole with serine in a PLP-dependent reaction to form tryptophan.

Either subunit alone is enzymatically active, but when they are joined in the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ tetramer, the rates of both reactions and their substrate affinities increase by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude. Indole, the intermediate product, does not appear free in solution because it is channeled between the two subunits.

The X-ray structure of tryptophan synthase from *Salmonella typhimurium,* determined by Craig Hyde, Edith Miles, and David Davies, reveals that the protein forms a 150-Å-long, twofold symmetric αββα complex in which the active sites of neighboring α and β subunits are separated by ~25 Å (**Fig. 21-35**). *The active sites are joined by a solvent-filled tunnel that is wide enough to permit the passage of the intermediate substrate, indole.* This structure, the first in which a tunnel connecting two active sites was observed, suggests the following series of events: The indole-3-glycerol phosphate substrate binds to the α subunit through an opening into its active site, its "front door," and the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate product leaves via the same route. Similarly, the β subunit active site has a "front door" opening to the solvent through which serine enters and tryptophan leaves. Both active sites also have "back doors" that are connected by the tunnel. *The indole intermediate presumably diffuses between the two active sites via the tunnel and hence does not escape to the solvent.* Channeling may be particularly important for indole since this nonpolar molecule otherwise can escape the bacterial cell by diffusing through its plasma and outer membranes.

For channeling to increase tryptophan synthase's catalytic efficiency, (1) its connected active sites must be coupled such that their catalyzed reactions occur in phase, and (2) after substrate has bound to the α subunit, its active site ("front door") must close off to ensure that the product indole passes through the tunnel ("back door") to the β subunit rather than escaping into solution. A variety of experimental evidence indicates that this series of events is facilitated through allosteric signals derived from covalent transformations at the β subunit's active

Courtesy of Craig Hyde, Edith Miles and David Davies, NIH

FIG. 21-35 Ribbon diagram of the bifunctional enzyme tryptophan synthase from *S. typhimurium*. Only one αβ protomer of the bifunctional αββα heterotetramer is shown. The α subunit is blue, the β subunit's N-terminal domain is orange, its C-terminal domain is red-orange, and all β sheets are tan. The active site of the α subunit is located by its bound competitive inhibitor, **indolepropanol phosphate** (IPP; *red ball-and-stick model*), whereas that of the β subunit is marked by its PLP coenzyme (*yellow ball-and-stick model*). The solvent-accessible surface of the ~25-Å-long tunnel connecting the α and β active sites is outlined by yellow dots. Several indole molecules (*green ball-and-stick models*) have been modeled into the tunnel to show that it is wide enough for indole to pass from one active site to the other.

? What types of side chains are likely to line the tunnel between the active sites?

FIG. 21-36 The biosynthesis of histidine. The enzymes shown are (**1**) ATP phosphoribosyltransferase and (**2**) glutamine amidotransferase.

site. These switch the enzyme between an open, low-activity conformation to which substrates bind, and a closed, high-activity conformation from which indole cannot escape.

Histidine Biosynthesis Includes an Intermediate in Nucleotide Biosynthesis. Five of histidine's six C atoms are derived from **5-phosphoribosyl-α-pyrophosphate (PRPP;** Fig. 21-36), a phospho-sugar intermediate that is also involved in the biosynthesis of purine and pyrimidine nucleotides (Sections 23-1A and 23-2A). The histidine's sixth carbon originates from ATP. The ATP atoms that are not incorporated into histidine are eliminated as **5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide** (Fig. 21-36, Reaction 2), which is also an intermediate in purine biosynthesis (Section 23-1A).

The unusual biosynthesis of histidine from a purine (**N^1-5′-phosphoribosyl ATP,** the product of Reaction 1 in Fig. 21-36) has been cited as evidence supporting the hypothesis that life was originally RNA-based. His residues, as we have seen, are often components of enzyme active sites, where they act as nucleophiles and/or general acid–base catalysts. The discovery that RNA can have catalytic properties therefore suggests that the imidazole moiety of purines plays a similar role in RNA enzymes. This further suggests that the histidine biosynthetic pathway is a "fossil" of the transition to more efficient protein-based life-forms.

CHECKPOINT

- What are the metabolic precursors of the nonessential amino acids?
- Summarize the types of reactions required to synthesize the nonessential amino acids.
- List the compounds that are used to synthesize the essential amino acids in plants and microorganisms.
- Compare the catabolic and anabolic pathways for one or more of the amino acids. In which pathways do oxidation reactions or reduction reactions predominate?

6 Other Products of Amino Acid Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- Heme is synthesized from glycine and succinyl-CoA and is degraded to a variety of colored compounds for excretion.
- The synthesis of bioactive amines begins with amino acid decarboxylation.
- Arginine gives rise to the hormonally active gas nitric oxide.

Certain amino acids, in addition to their major function as protein building blocks, are essential precursors of a variety of important biomolecules, including nucleotides and nucleotide coenzymes, heme, and various hormones and neurotransmitters. In this section, we consider the pathways leading to some of these substances. The biosynthesis of nucleotides is considered in Chapter 23.

A Heme Is Synthesized from Glycine and Succinyl-CoA

Heme, as we have seen, is an Fe-containing prosthetic group that is an essential component of many proteins, notably hemoglobin, myoglobin, and the cytochromes. The initial reactions of heme biosynthesis are common to the formation of other tetrapyrroles including chlorophyll in plants and bacteria (Fig. 19-2) and coenzyme B_{12} in bacteria (Fig. 20-17).

Elucidation of the heme biosynthetic pathway involved some interesting detective work. David Shemin and David Rittenberg, who were among the first to use isotopic tracers in the elucidation of metabolic pathways, demonstrated, in 1945, that *all of heme's C and N atoms can be derived from acetate and glycine.* Heme biosynthesis takes place partly in the mitochondrion and partly in the cytosol (Fig. 21-37). Mitochondrial acetate is metabolized via the citric acid cycle to succinyl-CoA, which condenses with glycine in a reaction that produces CO_2 and **δ-aminolevulinic acid (ALA).** ALA is transported to the cytosol, where it combines with a second ALA to yield **porphobilinogen (PBG).** The reaction is catalyzed by the Zn-requiring enzyme **porphobilinogen synthase.**

Inhibition of PBG synthase by lead is one of the major manifestations of acute lead poisoning. Indeed, it has been suggested that the accumulation, in the blood, of ALA, which resembles the neurotransmitter **γ-aminobutyric acid** (Section 21-6B), is responsible for the psychosis that often accompanies lead poisoning.

The next phase of heme biosynthesis is the condensation of four PBG molecules to form **uroporphyrinogen III,** the porphyrin nucleus, in a series of reactions catalyzed by **porphobilinogen deaminase** (also called **uroporphyrinogen synthase**) and **uroporphyrinogen III synthase.** The initial product, **hydroxymethylbilane,** is a linear tetrapyrrole that cyclizes.

Hydroxymethylbilane

A = acetyl
P = propionyl

Protoporphyrin IX, to which Fe is added to form heme, is produced from uroporphyrinogen III in a series of reactions catalyzed by (1) **uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase,** which decarboxylates all four acetate side chains (A) to form methyl groups (M); (2) **coproporphyrinogen oxidase,** which oxidatively decarboxylates two of the propionate side chains (P) to vinyl groups (V); and (3) **protoporphyrinogen oxidase,** which oxidizes the methylene groups linking the pyrrole rings to methenyl groups. During the coproporphyrinogen oxidase reaction, the porphyrin is transported back into the mitochondrion. In the final reaction of heme biosynthesis, **ferrochelatase** inserts Fe(II) into protoporphyrin IX.

FIG. 21-37 The pathway of heme biosynthesis. δ-Aminolevulinic acid (ALA) is synthesized in the mitochondrion from succinyl-CoA and glycine by ALA synthase. ALA is transported to the cytosol, where two molecules condense to form PBG, four molecules of which condense to form a porphyrin ring. The next three reactions involve oxidation of the pyrrole ring substituents, yielding protoporphyrinogen IX, which is transported back into the mitochondrion during its formation. After oxidation of the methylene groups, ferrochelatase catalyzes the insertion of Fe^{2+} to yield heme. A, P, M, and V, respectively, represent acetyl, propionyl, methyl, and vinyl (—CH=CH_2) groups. C atoms originating as the carboxyl group of acetate are red.

The Liver and Erythroid Cells Regulate Heme Biosynthesis. The two major sites of heme biosynthesis are erythroid cells, which synthesize ~85% of the body's heme groups, and the liver, which synthesizes most of the remainder. In liver, the level of heme synthesis must be adjusted according to metabolic conditions. For example, the synthesis of the heme-containing cytochromes P450 (Section 12-4D) fluctuates with the need for detoxification. In contrast, heme synthesis in erythroid cells is a one-time event; heme and protein synthesis cease when the cell matures, so that the hemoglobin must last the erythrocyte's lifetime (~120 days).

In liver, the main control target in heme biosynthesis is ALA synthase, the enzyme catalyzing the pathway's first committed step. Heme, or its Fe(III) oxidation product **hemin,** controls this enzyme's activity through feedback inhibition, inhibition of the transport of ALA synthase from its site of synthesis in the cytosol to its reaction site in the mitochondrion (Fig. 21-37), and repression of ALA synthase synthesis.

In erythroid cells, heme exerts quite a different effect on its biosynthesis. Heme induces, rather than represses, protein synthesis in **reticulocytes** (immature erythrocytes). Although the vast majority of the protein synthesized by reticulocytes is globin, heme may also induce these cells to synthesize the enzymes of heme biosynthesis. Moreover, the rate-determining steps of heme biosynthesis in erythroid cells may be the ferrochelatase and porphobilinogen deaminase reactions rather than the ALA synthase reaction. This is consistent with the supposition that when erythroid heme biosynthesis is "switched on," all of its steps function at their maximal rates rather than any one step limiting the flow through the pathway. Heme-stimulated synthesis of globin also ensures that heme and globin are synthesized in the correct ratio for assembly into hemoglobin (Section 28-3C). Genetic defects in heme biosynthesis cause conditions known as **porphyrias** (Box 21-3).

Heme Degradation Products Are Excreted. At the end of their lifetime, red cells are removed from the circulation and their components degraded. Heme catabolism (**Fig. 21-38**) begins with oxidative cleavage, by heme oxygenase, of the porphyrin between rings A and B to form **biliverdin,** a green linear tetrapyrrole. Biliverdin's central methenyl bridge (between rings C and D) is then reduced to form the red-orange **bilirubin.** The changing colors of a healing bruise are a visible manifestation of heme degradation.

In the reaction forming biliverdin, the methenyl bridge carbon between rings A and B is released as CO, which is a tenacious heme ligand (with 200-fold greater affinity for hemoglobin than O_2; Section 7-1A). Consequently, ~1% of hemoglobin's binding sites are blocked by CO even in the absence of air pollution.

Box 21-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — The Porphyrias

Defects in heme biosynthesis in liver or erythroid cells result in the accumulation of porphyrin and/or its precursors and are therefore known as **porphyrias**. Two such defects are known to affect erythroid cells: uroporphyrinogen III cosynthase deficiency **(congenital erythropoietic porphyria)** and ferrochelatase deficiency **(erythropoietic protoporphyria).** The former results in accumulation of uroporphyrinogen derivatives. Excretion of those compounds colors the urine red; their deposition in the teeth turns them reddish brown; and their accumulation in the skin renders it extremely photosensitive, so that it ulcerates and forms disfiguring scars. Increased hair growth is also observed in afflicted individuals; fine hair may cover much of the face and extremities. These symptoms have prompted speculation that the werewolf legend has a biochemical basis.

The most common porphyria that primarily affects the liver is porphobilinogen deaminase deficiency **(acute intermittent porphyria).** This disease is marked by intermittent attacks of abdominal pain and neurological dysfunction. Excessive amounts of ALA and PBG are excreted in the urine during and after such attacks. The urine may become red resulting from the excretion of excess porphyrins synthesized from PBG in nonhepatic cells, although the skin does not become unusually photosensitive. King George III, who ruled England during the American Revolution, and who has been widely portrayed as being mad, in fact, had attacks characteristic of acute intermittent porphyria; he was reported to have urine the color of port wine, and had several descendants who were diagnosed as having the disease. American history might have been quite different had George III not inherited that metabolic defect.

The highly lipophilic bilirubin is insoluble in aqueous solutions. Like other lipophilic metabolites, such as free fatty acids, it is transported in the blood in complex with serum albumin. Bilirubin derivatives are secreted in the bile and, for the most part, are further degraded by bacterial enzymes in the large intestine. Some of the resulting **urobilinogen** is reabsorbed and transported via the bloodstream to the kidney, where it is converted to the yellow **urobilin** and excreted, thus giving urine its characteristic color. Most urobilinogen, however, is microbially converted to the deeply red-brown **stercobilin,** the major pigment of feces.

FIG. 21-38 Pathway for heme degradation. M, V, P, and E represent methyl, vinyl, propionyl, and ethyl groups.

When the blood contains excessive amounts of bilirubin, the deposition of this highly insoluble substance colors the skin and the whites of the eyes yellow. This condition, called **jaundice** (French: *jaune,* yellow), signals either an abnormally high rate of red cell destruction, liver dysfunction, or bile duct obstruction. Newborn infants, particularly when premature, often become jaundiced because they lack an enzyme that degrades bilirubin. Jaundiced infants are treated by bathing them with light from a fluorescent lamp; this photochemically converts bilirubin to more soluble isomers that the infant can degrade and excrete.

X = OH, R = CH_3 **Epinephrine (adrenaline)**
X = OH, R = H **Norepinephrine**
X = H, R = H **Dopamine**

Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine)

γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA)

Histamine

B Amino Acids Are Precursors of Physiologically Active Amines

Epinephrine (adrenaline), norepinephrine, dopamine, serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine), γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and **histamine** (*at left*) are hormones and/or neurotransmitters derived from amino acids. Epinephrine, as we have seen, activates muscle adenylate cyclase, thereby stimulating glycogen breakdown (Section 16-3B); deficiency in dopamine production is associated with **Parkinson's disease,** a degenerative condition causing "shaking palsy"; serotonin causes smooth muscle contraction; GABA is one of the brain's major inhibitory neurotransmitters; and histamine is involved in allergic responses (as allergy sufferers who take antihistamines will realize), as well as in the control of acid secretion by the stomach.

The biosynthesis of each of these physiologically active amines involves decarboxylation of the corresponding precursor amino acid. Amino acid decarboxylases are PLP-dependent enzymes that form a PLP–Schiff base with the substrate so as to stabilize (by delocalization) the C_α carbanion formed on C_α—COO^- bond cleavage (Section 21-2A):

Catechol

Formation of histamine (from histidine) and formation of GABA (from glutamate) are one-step processes; the synthesis of serotonin from tryptophan requires a hydroxylation step as well as decarboxylation. The various **catecholamines**—dopamine, norepinephrine, and epinephrine—are related to **catechol** *(at left)* and are sequentially synthesized from tyrosine (**Fig. 21-39**):

1. Tyrosine is hydroxylated to **3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA)** in a reaction that requires 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobiopterin (Fig. 21-26).
2. L-DOPA is decarboxylated to dopamine.
3. A second hydroxylation yields norepinephrine.
4. Methylation of norepinephrine's amino group by *S*-adenosylmethionine (Fig. 21-18) produces epinephrine.

The specific catecholamine that a cell produces depends on which enzymes of the pathway are present. In adrenal medulla, for example, epinephrine is the predominant product. In some areas of the brain, norepinephrine is more common. In other areas, the pathway stops at dopamine. In melanocytes, L-DOPA is the

FIG. 21-39 The sequential synthesis of L-DOPA, dopamine, norepinephrine, and epinephrine from tyrosine.

precursor of red and black melanins, which are irregular cross-linked polymers that give hair and skin much of their color.

C Nitric Oxide Is Derived from Arginine

Arginine is the precursor of a substance that was originally called **endothelium-derived relaxing factor (EDRF)** because it was synthesized by vascular endothelial cells and caused the underlying smooth muscle to relax. The signal for vasodilation was not a peptide, as expected, but the stable free radical gas **nitric oxide, NO.** The identification of NO as a vasodilator came in part from studies that identified NO as the decomposition product that mediates the vasodilating effects of compounds such as **nitroglycerin** *(at right)*. Nitroglycerin is often administered to individuals suffering from **angina pectoris,** a disease caused by insufficient blood flow to the heart muscle, to rapidly but temporarily relieve their chest pain.

Nitroglycerin

The reaction that converts arginine to NO and citrulline is catalyzed by nitric oxide synthase (NOS):

L-Arginine **L-Hydroxyarginine** **L-Citrulline**

The reaction proceeds via an enzyme-bound hydroxyarginine intermediate and requires an array of redox coenzymes. NOS is a homodimeric protein of 125-to

160-kD subunits, and each subunit contains one FMN, one FAD, one tetrahydrobiopterin (Fig. 21-26), and one Fe(III)-heme. These cofactors facilitate the five-electron oxidation of arginine to produce NO.

Because NO is a gas, it rapidly diffuses across cell membranes, although its high reactivity (half-life ~5 s) prevents it from acting much farther than ~1 mm from its site of synthesis. NO is produced by endothelial cells in response to a wide variety of agents and physiological conditions. Neuronal cells also synthesize NO (neuronal NOS is ~55% homologous to endothelial NOS). This endothelium-independent NO synthesis induces the dilation of cerebral and other arteries and is responsible for penile erection (Section 13-4C). The brain contains more NOS than any other tissue in the body, suggesting that NO is essential for the function of the central nervous system. A third type of NOS is found in leukocytes (white blood cells). These cells produce NO as part of their cytotoxic arsenal. NO combines with superoxide (Section 18-4B) to produce **peroxynitrite** ($ONOO^-$), which combines with a proton and decays to **nitrogen dioxide** (NO_2) and a **hydroxyl radical** (·OH):

$$\cdot NO + \cdot O_2^- \rightarrow ONOO^- + H^+ \rightleftharpoons ONOOH \rightarrow \cdot NO_2 + \cdot OH$$

The highly reactive ·OH kills invading bacteria. The sustained release of NO has been implicated in **endotoxic shock** (an often fatal immune system overreaction to bacterial infection), in inflammation-related tissue damage, and in the damage to neurons in the vicinity of but not directly killed by a stroke (which often does greater harm than the stroke itself).

CHECKPOINT

- What are the starting materials for heme biosynthesis?
- How does the regulation of heme synthesis differ between the liver and reticulocytes?
- What are the end products of heme metabolism?
- Identify the amino acids that give rise to catecholamines, serotonin, GABA, and histamine.
- What are the substrates and products of the nitric oxide synthase reaction?
- How does NO differ from signaling molecules such as the catecholamines?

7 Nitrogen Fixation

KEY CONCEPTS

- The reduction of N_2 to NH_3 by nitrogenase is an energetically costly process.
- Ammonia is incorporated into amino acids by the action of glutamate synthase.

The most prominent chemical elements in living systems are O, H, C, N, and P. The elements O, H, and P occur widely in metabolically available forms (H_2O, O_2, and P_i). However, the major forms of C and N, CO_2 and N_2, are extremely stable (unreactive); for example, the N≡N triple bond has a bond energy of 945 kJ · mol^{-1} (versus 351 kJ · mol^{-1} for a C—O single bond). CO_2, with minor exceptions, is metabolized (fixed) only by photosynthesis (Chapter 19). ***Nitrogen fixation*** *is even less common; N_2 is converted to metabolically useful forms by only a few strains of bacteria, called* ***diazotrophs***. These organisms include certain marine cyanobacteria and bacteria that colonize the root nodules of legumes (plants belonging to the pea family, including beans, clover, and alfalfa; Fig. 21-40). The remarkable nature of biological nitrogen fixation, which occurs at ambient temperatures and pressures, is indicated by its comparison to the **Haber-Bosch process** for the industrial production of ammonia from N_2 and H_2: It occurs at ~400°C and ~200 atm and requires an iron-based catalyst.

Once N_2 is fixed, the nitrogen is **assimilated** (incorporated) into biological molecules as amino groups that can then be transferred to other molecules. In this section we examine the processes of nitrogen fixation and nitrogen assimilation.

© Dan Guravich/Science Source Images

FIG. 21-40 Root nodules of a soybean plant. Bacteria of the genus *Rhizobium,* which carry out nitrogen fixation, live symbiotically within the root nodules of such legumes.

A Nitrogenase Reduces N_2 to NH_3

Diazotrophs produce the enzyme **nitrogenase,** which catalyzes the reduction of N_2 to NH_3:

$$N_2 + 8\,H^+ + 8\,e^- + 16\,ATP + 16\,H_2O \rightarrow 2\,NH_3 + H_2 + 16\,ADP + 16\,P_i$$

In legumes, this nitrogen-fixing system produces more metabolically useful nitrogen than the legume needs; the excess is excreted into the soil, enriching it. It is therefore common agricultural practice to plant a field with alfalfa every few years to build up the supply of usable nitrogen in the soil for later use in growing other crops.

Nitrogenase Contains Novel Redox Centers. Nitrogenase, which catalyzes the reduction of N_2 to NH_3, is a complex of two proteins:

1. The **Fe-protein,** a homodimer that contains one [4Fe–4S] cluster and two nucleotide-binding sites.
2. The **MoFe-protein,** an $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramer that contains Fe and Mo.

The X-ray structure of *Azotobacter vinelandii* nitrogenase in complex with the inhibitor ADP · AlF_4^- (which mimics the transition state in ATP hydrolysis), determined by Douglas Rees, reveals that each MoFe-protein protomer associates with an Fe-protein dimer (**Fig. 21-41**).

Each Fe-protein dimer's single [4Fe–4S] cluster is located in a solvent-exposed cleft between the two subunits and is symmetrically linked to Cys 97 and Cys 132 from both subunits such that an Fe-protein resembles an "iron butterfly" with the [4Fe–4S] cluster at its head. Its nucleotide-binding sites are located at the interface between its two subunits.

The MoFe-protein's α and β subunits assume similar folds and extensively associate to form a pseudotwofold symmetric αβ dimer, two of which more loosely associate to form the twofold symmetric $\alpha_2\beta_2$ tetramer (Fig. 21-41). Each αβ dimer has two bound redox centers:

1. The **P-cluster** (**Fig. 21-42*a*,*b***), which consists of two [4Fe–3S] clusters linked through an additional sulfide ion forming the eighth corner of both of the clusters to make cubane-like structures, and bridged by two thiol

FIG. 21-41 X-Ray structure of the *A. vinelandii* nitrogenase in complex with ADP · AlF_4^-. The enzyme, which is viewed along its molecular twofold axis, is an $(\alpha\beta\gamma_2)_2$ heterooctamer in which the β-α-α-β assembly, the MoFe-protein, is flanked by two γ_2 Fe-proteins whose 289-residue subunits are related by local twofold symmetry. The homologous α subunits (*cyan and red;* 491 residues) and β subunits (*light red and light blue;* 522 residues) are related by pseudotwofold symmetry. The two γ subunits forming each Fe-protein (*pink and green with their Switch I and Switch II segments red and blue*) bind to the MoFe-protein with the twofold axis relating them coincident with the pseudo-twofold axis relating the MoFe-protein's α and β subunits. The ADP · AlF_4^-, [4Fe–4S] cluster, FeMo-cofactor, and P-cluster are drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, S yellow, Fe orange, Mo pink, and the AlF_4^- ion purple. [Based on an X-ray structure by Douglas Rees, California Institute of Technology. PDBid 1N2C.]

FIG. 21-42 The prosthetic groups of the nitrogenase MoFe-protein. The molecules are drawn in ball-and-stick form with C green, N blue, O red, S yellow, Fe orange, and Mo pink. (*a*) The reduced *Klebsiella pneumoniae* P-cluster. It consists of two [4Fe–3S] complexes linked by an additional sulfide ion forming the eighth corner of each cubane-like structure, and bridged by two Cys thiol ligands, each coordinating one Fe from each cluster. Four additional Cys thiols coordinate the remaining four Fe atoms. (*b*) The 2-electron-oxidized *K. pneumoniae* P-cluster. In comparison with the reduced complex in Part *a,* two of the Fe—S bonds from the centrally located sulfide ion that bridges the two [4Fe–3S] clusters have been replaced by ligands from the Cys 87α amide N and the Ser 186β side chain O yielding a [4Fe–3S] cluster (*left*) and a [4Fe–4S] cluster (*right*) that remain linked by a direct Fe—S bond and two bridging Cys thiols. (*c*) The *A. vinelandii* FeMo-cofactor. It consists of a [4Fe–3S] cluster and a [1Mo–3Fe–3S] cluster that are bridged by three sulfide ions. The FeMo-cofactor is linked to the protein by only two ligands at its opposite ends, one from His 442α to the Mo atom and the other from Cys 275α to an Fe atom. The Mo atom is additionally doubly liganded by homocitrate. A C^{4-} ion (*green sphere*) is liganded to the FeMo-cluster's six central Fe atoms (*dashed black lines*). [Parts *a* and *b* based on X-ray structures by David Lawson, John Innes Centre, Norwich, U.K. Part *c* based on an X-ray structure by Douglas Rees, California Institute of Technology. PDBids (*a*) 1QGU, (*b*) 1QH1, and (*c*) 1M1N.]

? Compare these structures to the [Fe–S] clusters on page 598.

ligands, each coordinating one Fe from each cluster. Four additional Cys thiols coordinate the remaining four Fe atoms. The positions of two of the Fe atoms in one of the [4Fe–3S] clusters change on oxidation, rupturing the bonds from these Fe atoms to the linking sulfide ion. These bonds are replaced in the oxidized state by a Ser oxygen ligand to one of the Fe atoms, and by a bond to the amide N of a Cys from the other Fe atom.

2. The **FeMo-cofactor** (Fig. 21-42*c*), which consists of a [4Fe–3S] cluster and a [1Mo–3Fe–3S] cluster bridged by three sulfide ions. It is the most complex known biological metallocluster. The FeMo-cofactor's Mo atom is approximately octahedrally coordinated by three cofactor sulfurs, a His imidazole nitrogen, and two oxygens from a bound **homocitrate** ion (*at left*) (an essential component of the FeMo-cofactor). A central cavity in the FeMo-cofactor contains a carbide (C^{4-}) ion. This ion is liganded to the FeMo-cofactor's central six Fe atoms such that it completes the approximate tetrahedral coordination environment of each of the Fe atoms. The FeMo cofactor forms the binding site for N_2, although the way it does so and reduces the N_2 to NH_3 remains an enigma.

Homocitrate

ATP Hydrolysis Is Coupled to Electron Transfer in Nitrogenase. Nitrogen fixation by nitrogenase requires a source of electrons. These are generated either oxidatively or photosynthetically, depending on the organism. The electrons are transferred to **ferredoxin,** a [4Fe–4S]-containing electron carrier that transfers an electron to the Fe-protein of nitrogenase, beginning the nitrogen fixation process (Fig. 21-43).

The transfer of electrons during the nitrogenase reaction requires ATP-dependent protein conformational changes and the dissociation of the Fe-protein from the MoFe-protein after each electron transfer. During the reaction cycle, two molecules of ATP bind to the reduced Fe-protein dimer. With the nucleotides bound, the Fe-protein has a redox potential of –0.40 V (compared to –0.29 V in the nucleotide-free protein), making its electron capable of N_2 reduction (for the reaction $N_2 + 6\,H^+ + 6\,e^- \rightleftharpoons 2\,NH_3$, $\mathscr{E}°' = -0.34$ V).

How are events at the ATP-binding site linked to electron transfer? Structural studies show that the binding of ADP · AlF_4^- to the Fe-protein induces conformational changes in two regions of the Fe-protein, designated Switch I and Switch II (Fig. 21-41) that are homologous to segments of signal-transducing G proteins in which nucleotide hydrolysis is coupled to protein conformational changes (Section 13-3B).

FIG. 21-43 The flow of electrons in the nitrogenase-catalyzed reduction of N_2.

At Switch I, these conformational changes affect the interactions between the Fe-protein and the MoFe-protein, whereas at Switch II they affect the environment of the [4Fe–4S] cluster. X-Ray structures of the Fe-protein alone and with bound ADP or ATP indicate that ATP binding triggers a structural change including rotation of the two Fe-protein subunits by about 13° toward each other. This conformational change brings the [4Fe–4S] cluster closer to the P-cluster of the adjacent MoFe-protein (from 18 to 14 Å apart), thereby promoting electron transfer from the Fe-protein to the MoFe-protein. Electron transfer occurs rapidly: First, an electron moves from the MoFe-protein P-cluster to the FeMo cofactor, then an electron from the Fe-protein reduces the P-cluster. ATP hydrolysis does not drive electron transfer, as once thought, but occurs afterward.

Kinetic studies of nitrogenase indicate that the rate-limiting step of N_2 reduction is the dissociation of the Fe-protein from the MoFe-protein. Following electron transfer, the Fe-protein hydrolyzes its two bound ATP to ADP, P_i is released, and, finally, in the slowest step of the catalytic cycle, the proteins separate. Thus, the Fe-protein functions in the same way as a G protein, in which nucleotide hydrolysis triggers a dissociation event (Section 13-3B). Reassociation of the Fe-protein and MoFe-protein occurs as soon as the Fe-protein accepts another electron and exchanges its 2 ADP for 2 ATP.

N_2 Reduction Is Energetically Costly. The actual reduction of N_2 occurs on the MoFe-protein in three discrete steps, each involving an electron pair:

An electron transfer must occur six times per N_2 molecule fixed, so a total of 12 ATP are required to fix one N_2 molecule. However, nitrogenase also reduces H_2O to H_2, which in turn reacts with **diimine** to re-form N_2.

$$HN{=}NH + H_2 \rightarrow N_2 + 2\,H_2$$

This futile cycle is favored when the ATP level is low and/or the reduction of the Fe-protein is sluggish. Even when ATP is plentiful, however, the cycle cannot be suppressed beyond about one H_2 molecule produced per N_2 reduced and hence appears to be a requirement for the nitrogenase reaction. The total cost of N_2 reduction is therefore 8 electrons transferred and 16 ATP hydrolyzed. Under cellular conditions, the cost is closer to 20–30 ATP. Consequently, nitrogen fixation is an energetically expensive process.

Although atmospheric N_2 is the ultimate nitrogen source for all living things, most plants do not support the symbiotic growth of nitrogen-fixing bacteria. They must therefore depend on a source of "prefixed" nitrogen such as nitrate or ammonia. These nutrients come from lightning discharges (the source of ~10% of naturally fixed N_2), decaying organic matter in the soil, or from fertilizer applied to

it (~50% of the nitrogen fixed is now generated by the Haber-Bosch process). One major long-term goal of genetic engineering is to induce agriculturally useful nonleguminous plants to fix their own nitrogen. This would free farmers, particularly those in developing countries, from either purchasing fertilizers, periodically letting their fields lie fallow (giving legumes the opportunity to grow), or following the slash-and-burn techniques that are rapidly destroying the world's tropical forests.

Leghemoglobin Protects Nitrogenase from Oxygen Inactivation. Nitrogenase is rapidly inactivated by O_2, so the enzyme must be protected from this reactive substance. Cyanobacteria provide the necessary protection by carrying out nitrogen fixation in specialized cells called heterocysts, which have Photosystem I but lack the O_2-generating Photosystem II (Section 19-2C). In the root nodules of legumes (Fig. 21-40), however, protection is afforded by the hemoglobin homolog **leghemoglobin**. The globin portion of this ~145-residue monomeric oxygen-binding protein is synthesized by the plant (an evolutionary curiosity, since globins otherwise occur only in animals), whereas the heme is synthesized by the *Rhizobium* (an indication of the close symbiotic relationship between the legume and the *Rhizobium*). Leghemoglobin has a very high O_2 affinity, thus keeping the pO_2 low enough to protect the nitrogenase while providing passive O_2 transport for the aerobic bacterium.

B Fixed Nitrogen Is Assimilated into Biological Molecules

After atmospheric N_2 has been converted to a biologically useful form (e.g., ammonia), it must be assimilated into a cell's biomolecules. Once nitrogen has been introduced into an amino acid, the amino group can be transferred to other compounds by transamination. Because most organisms do not fix nitrogen and hence must rely on prefixed nitrogen, nitrogen assimilation reactions are critical for conserving this essential element.

We have already considered the reaction catalyzed by glutamine synthetase (Section 21-5A), which in microorganisms represents a metabolic entry point for fixed nitrogen (in animals, this reaction helps "mop up" excess ammonia). The glutamine synthetase reaction requires the nitrogen-containing compound glutamate as a substrate. So what is the source of the amino group in glutamate? In bacteria and plants, but not animals, the enzyme **glutamate synthase** converts α-ketoglutarate and glutamine to two molecules of glutamate:

$$\alpha\text{-Ketoglutarate} + \text{glutamine} + \text{NADPH} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\ \text{glutamate} + \text{NADP}^+$$

This reductive amination reaction requires electrons from NADPH and takes place at three distinct active sites in the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramer (Fig. 21-44). X-Ray structures of the enzyme reveal that the substrate-binding sites are widely separated. As a result, the ammonia that is transferred from glutamine to α-ketoglutarate must travel through a 31-Å-long tunnel in the protein. This channeling probably helps prevent the loss of NH_3 to the cytosol and maintains this intermediate in its more reactive deprotonated state (in the cytosol, NH_3 would immediately acquire a proton to become NH_4^+). Furthermore, the tunnel is blocked by the side chains of several residues and opens only when α-ketoglutarate is bound to the enzyme and NADPH is available. This mechanism apparently prevents the wasteful hydrolysis of glutamine.

The net result of the glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase reactions is

$$\alpha\text{-Ketoglutarate} + \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{NADPH} + \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{glutamate} + \text{NADP}^+ + \text{ADP} + \text{P}_i$$

Thus, *the combined action of these two enzymes assimilates fixed nitrogen (NH_4^+) into an organic compound (α-ketoglutarate) to produce an amino acid (glutamate).* Once the nitrogen is assimilated as glutamate, it can be used in the synthesis of other amino acids by transamination.

The Nitrogen Cycle Describes the Interconversion of Nitrogen in the Biosphere. The ammonia produced by the nitrogenase reaction and incorporated into amino acids is eventually recycled in the biosphere, as described by the **nitrogen cycle** (Fig. 21-45). Nitrate is produced by certain bacteria that oxidize

FIG. 21-44 The glutamate synthase reaction. (**1**) Electrons are transferred from NADPH to FAD at active site 1 on the β subunit to yield $FADH_2$. (**2**) Electrons travel from the $FADH_2$ to FMN at site 2 on an α subunit to yield $FMNH_2$. (**3**) Glutamine is hydrolyzed to glutamate and ammonia at site 3. (**4**) The ammonia produced in Step 3 moves to site 2 by channeling, where it reacts with α-ketoglutarate. (**5**) The α-iminoglutarate product is reduced by $FMNH_2$ to form glutamate.

FIG. 21-45 The nitrogen cycle. Nitrogen fixation by nitrogenase converts N_2 to the biologically useful ammonia. Nitrate can also be converted to ammonia by the sequential actions of nitrate reductase and nitrite reductase. Ammonia is transformed to N_2 by nitrification followed by denitrification. Ammonia may be assimilated into nitrogen-containing biomolecules, which may be decomposed back to ammonia.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the mechanistic role of ATP in nitrogen fixation.
- Why is nitrogen fixation so energetically costly?
- Describe the reactions that assimilate NH_3 into amino acids. Which reactions occur in mammals?
- List the enzymes of amino acid metabolism in which channeling occurs.
- How is fixed nitrogen recycled in the biosphere?

NH_3 to NO_2^- and then NO_3^-, a process called **nitrification.** Still other organisms convert nitrate back to N_2, which is known as **denitrification.** In addition, nitrate is reduced to NH_3 by plants, fungi, and many bacteria, a process called **ammonification** in which **nitrate reductase** catalyzes the two-electron reduction of nitrate to nitrite (NO_2^-):

$$NO_3^- + 2\,H^+ + 2\,e^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + H_2O$$

and then nitrite reductase converts nitrite to ammonia,

$$NO_2^- + 7\,H^+ + 6\,e^- \rightarrow NH_3 + 2\,H_2O$$

The direct anaerobic oxidation of NH_3 back to N_2 without the intermediacy of nitrate, the reverse of nitrogen fixation, occurs in certain bacteria.

Summary

1 Protein Degradation

- Intracellular proteins and extracellular proteins taken up by endocytosis are degraded by lysosomal proteases.
- Proteins tagged by the addition of multiple copies of ubiquitin enter the barrel-shaped proteasome, where they are digested into ~8-residue fragments.

2 Amino Acid Deamination

- The degradation of an amino acid almost always begins with the removal of its amino group in a PLP-facilitated transamination reaction.
- Glutamate undergoes oxidative deamination to α-ketoglutarate.

3 The Urea Cycle

- A nitrogen atom from ammonia (a product of the oxidative deamination of glutamate) and bicarbonate are incorporated into carbamoyl phosphate for entry into the urea cycle.
- A second nitrogen atom introduced from aspartate enters the cycle to produce urea for excretion.
- The rate-limiting step of this process is catalyzed by carbamoyl phosphate synthetase.

4 Breakdown of Amino Acids

- The 20 "standard" amino acids are degraded to compounds that give rise either to glucose or to ketone bodies or fatty acids: pyruvate, α-ketoglutarate, succinyl-CoA, fumarate, oxaloacetate, acetyl-CoA, or acetoacetate.
- The cofactors involved in amino acid degradation include PLP, tetrahydrofolate, and biopterin.

5 Amino Acid Biosynthesis

- The nonessential amino acids are synthesized in all organisms using simple pathways with the starting materials pyruvate, oxaloacetate, α-ketoglutarate, and 3-phosphoglycerate.
- The essential amino acids, which are made only in plants and microorganisms, require more complicated pathways that vary among organisms.

6 Other Products of Amino Acid Metabolism

- Amino acids are the precursors of various biomolecules. Heme synthesis begins with glycine and succinyl-CoA derived from acetyl-CoA, and the porphyrin ring is built in a series of reactions that occur in the mitochondria and the cytosol.
- Heme degradation products include urobilin and stercobilin.
- Various hormones and neurotransmitters are synthesized by the decarboxylation and hydroxylation of histidine, glutamate, tryptophan, and tyrosine.
- The five-electron oxidation of arginine yields the bioactive stable radical nitric oxide.

7 Nitrogen Fixation

- Nitrogen fixation in bacteria, which requires 8 electrons and at least 16 ATP, is catalyzed by nitrogenase, a multisubunit protein with redox centers containing Fe, S, and Mo.
- Fixed nitrogen is incorporated into amino acids via the glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase reactions.

Key Terms

autophagy **719**
ubiquitin **720**
isopeptide bond **720**
N-end rule **720**
proteasome **721**
transamination **725**
PLP **725**
deamination **718**
hyperammonemia **728**
urea cycle **729**
channeling **731**
glucogenic amino acid **733**
ketogenic amino acid **733**
THF **735**
SAM **738**
biopterin **745**
essential amino acid **746**
nonessential amino acid **747**
adenylylation **750**
uridylylation **750**
porphyria **760**
jaundice **762**
catecholamine **762**
nitrogen fixation **764**
nitrogen assimilation **764**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Explain why protein degradation by proteasomes requires ATP even though proteolysis is an exergonic process.

2. The compound shown below inhibits an archaebacterial proteasome, binding to each of its active sites, which (unlike those of eukaryotic proteasomes) are all identical. What can you conclude about the substrate specificity of the archaebacterial proteasome?

3. Explain why cellular stressors such as high temperatures or oxidative damage would trigger the production of proteasomes.

4. Ritonavir, an inhibitor of HIV protease (Box 12-3), also inhibits the chymotrypsin-like activity of the proteasome. Explain why this dual inhibitory effect could contribute to the neurotoxic side effects of long-term ritonavir use.

5. Explain why it was advantageous for human ancestors to evolve a taste receptor for glutamate.

6. An L-amino acid oxidase in mammalian peroxisomes requires H_2O and O_2 as substrates and catalyzes amino acid deamination, producing H_2O_2 as a product. Write a balanced equation for the reaction.

7. Which of the 20 "standard" amino acids are (a) purely glucogenic, (b) purely ketogenic, and (c) both glucogenic and ketogenic?

8. Alanine, cysteine, glycine, serine, and threonine are amino acids whose breakdown yields pyruvate. Which, if any, of the remaining 15 amino acids also do so?

9. In the degradation pathway for isoleucine (Fig. 21-21), draw the reactions that convert tiglyl-CoA to acetyl-CoA and propionyl-CoA.

10. Draw the amino acid–Schiff base that forms in the breakdown of 3-hydroxykynurenine to yield 3-hydroxyanthranilate in the tryptophan degradation pathway (Fig. 21-23, Reaction 4) and indicate which bond is to be cleaved.

11. Tyrosine and cysteine are listed as nonessential amino acids, but an inadequate diet may cause tyrosine and/or cysteine insufficiency. Explain.

12. Explain why traditional diets from different parts of the world often include combinations of a grain and a legume, such as rice and beans or couscous and lentils.

13. Which three mammalian enzymes can potentially react with and thereby decrease the concentration of free NH_4^+?

14. *E. coli* can convert glutamate to ornithine. Describe the two reactions that do so.

15. What are the metabolic consequences of a defective uridylyl-removing enzyme in *E. coli?*

16. Many of the most widely used herbicides inhibit the synthesis of aromatic amino acids. Explain why the compounds are safe to use near animals.

17. Sarcosine dehydrogenase catalyzes *N*-demethylation of the nonstandard amino acid sarcosine. Name the reaction product.

Sarcosine

18. Explain the chemical change that occurs in converting kynurenine (a product of tryptophan degradation) to kynurenate, a reaction in which α-ketoglutarate is transformed to glutamate.

Kynurenate

19. From which amino acid is 2-phenylethanol derived and what chemical changes take place in the conversion?

2-Phenylethanol

20. From what amino acid is the signaling molecule tyramine derived?

Tyramine

21. From which amino acid is the compound **agmatine** derived?

Agmatine

22. The compound shown here is used to treat trypanosome infection. What amino acid does the compound resemble?

23. Describe the reactions that convert epinephrine to the compound shown here.

24. Explain why inhibitors of monoamine oxidase (MAO), the enzyme that catalyzes one of the reactions in epinephrine breakdown (Problem 23), are often used as drugs to treat mood disorders.

25. One of the symptoms of **kwashiorkor,** the dietary protein deficiency disease in children, is the depigmentation of the skin and hair. Explain the biochemical basis of this symptom.

26. From what amino acid is **melatonin** derived? Describe the modifications that have occurred.

Melatonin

27. Some diazotrophs produce a vanadium-containing VFe protein in addition to the MoFe protein. The vanadium-containing nitrogenase converts N_2 to NH_3 and also converts CO to compounds such as ethane and propane. What aspect of the standard nitrogenase reaction is responsible for the production of alkanes?

28. *Nitrosomonas* is a photophobic (light-avoiding) chemoautotrophic bacterium that converts ammonia into nitrite. Explain how the organism can fix CO_2 via the Calvin cycle in the absence of sunlight.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

29. Explain why the symptoms of a partial deficiency in a urea cycle enzyme can be attenuated by a low-protein diet.

30. Production of the enzymes that catalyze the reactions of the urea cycle can increase or decrease according to the metabolic needs of the organism. High levels of these enzymes are associated with high-protein diets as well as starvation. Explain this apparent paradox.

31. (a) How many ATP equivalents are consumed by the reactions of the urea cycle? (b) Operation of the urea cycle actually generates more ATP than it consumes. Explain.

32. *Helicobacter pylori,* the bacterium responsible for gastric ulcers, can survive in the stomach (where the pH is as low as 1.5) in part because it synthesizes large amounts of the enzyme urease. (a) Write the reaction for urea hydrolysis by urease. (b) Explain why this reaction could help establish a more hospitable environment for *H. pylori,* which tolerates acid but prefers to grow at near-neutral pH.

33. Unlike the other 19 standard amino acids, lysine does not undergo transamination. What is the fate of its α- and ε-amino groups when it is catabolized?

34. Compare the ATP yield for the complete oxidation of glutamate and methionine, both of which contain five carbon atoms, to CO_2.

35. PLP is a cofactor for a number of enzymes involved in amino acid metabolism. Give an example of reaction in which PLP participates in cleavage of the *a*, *b*, and *c* bonds of an amino acid, as diagrammed in Fig. 21-8, top right.

36. Compile a list of all the cofactors involved in adding or removing one-carbon groups in carbohydrate, lipid, and amino acid metabolism. Provide an example of a reaction that uses each cofactor.

37. The nucleotide deoxyuridylate (dUMP) is converted to deoxythymidylate (dTMP) by methylation. Could this explain why rapidly dividing cancer cells consume large quantities of glycine?

38. Proliferating cells require NADPH for biosynthetic processes. Much of the NADPH is provided by the pentose phosphate pathway, but 10-formyl tetrahydrofolate is also a source of reducing power. Explain.

39. Mouse embryonic stem cells produce high levels of threonine dehydrogenase, whose activity appears to be essential for maintaining high levels of *S*-adenosylmethionine. Explain the link between threonine metabolism and SAM production.

40. Rice plants that have been engineered to overexpress alanine aminotransferase need about two-thirds less fertilizer than control plants. What does this information reveal about the process of nitrogen assimilation?

41. Heterozygotes for erythropoietic protoporphyria show only 20 to 30% residual ferrochelatase activity rather than the 50% that is normally expected for an autosomal dominant inherited disease. Provide a plausible explanation for this observation.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1. Viewing and Analyzing Pyridoxal Phosphate Enzymes

Exercise 2. Amino Acid Metabolism and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE How do anammox bacteria participate in the nitrogen cycle? Where do the organisms live and how do they contain the toxic intermediates of their specialized metabolism?

References

Protein Degradation

Inobe, T. and Matouschek, A., Paradigms of protein degradation by the proteasome, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **24,** 156–164 (2014).

Śledź, P., Unverdorben, P., Beck, F., Pfeifer, G., Schweitzer, A., Förster, F., and Baumeister, W., Structure of the 26S proteasome with ATP-γS bound provides insights into the mechanism of nucleotide-dependent substrate translocation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110,** 7264–7269 (2013).

Tomko, R.J., Jr. and Hochstrasser, M., Molecular architecture and assembly of the eukaryotic proteasome, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 415–445 (2013).

Varshavsky, A., Regulated protein degradation, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **30,** 283–286 (2005).

Urea Cycle

Withers, P.C., Urea: Diverse functions of a 'waste product,' *Clin. Exp. Pharm. Physiol.* **25,** 722–727 (1998). [Discusses the various roles of urea, contrasting it with ammonia with respect to toxicity, acid–base balance, and nitrogen transport.]

Amino Acid Catabolism and Synthesis

Brosnan, J.T., Glutamate, at the interface between amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism, *J. Nutr.* **130,** 988S–990S (2000). [Summarizes the metabolic roles of glutamate as a fuel and as a participant in deamination and transamination reactions.]

Dunn, M.F., Niks, D., Ngo, H., Barends, T.R.M., and Schlichting, I., Tryptophan synthase: the workings of a channeling nanomachine, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **33,** 254–264 (2008).

Eisenberg, D., Gill, H.S., Pfluegl, M.U., and Rotstein, S.H., Structure–function relationships of glutamine synthetases, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1477,** 122–145 (2000).

Eliot, A.C. and Kirsch, J.F., Pyridoxal phosphate enzymes, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **73,** 383–415 (2004).

Huang, X., Holden, H.M., and Raushel, F.M., Channeling of substrates and intermediates in enzyme-catalyzed reactions, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **70,** 149–180 (2001).

Katagiri, M. and Nakamura, M., Animals are dependent on preformed α-amino nitrogen as an essential nutrient, *Life* **53,** 125–129 (2002).

Maron, B.A. and Loscalzo, J., The treatment of hyperhomocysteinemia, *Annu. Rev. Med.* **60,** 39–54 (2009).

Medina, M.Á., Urdiales, J.L., and Amores-Sánchez, M.I., Roles of homocysteine in cell metabolism: Old and new functions, *Eur. J. Biochem.* **268,** 3871–3882 (2001).

Smith, T.J. and Stanley, C.A., Untangling the glutamate dehydrogenase allosteric nightmare, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **33,** 557–564 (2008).

Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* http://www.ommbid.com/. [Part 8 contains numerous chapters on defects in amino acid metabolism.]

Nitrogen Fixation

Duval, S., Danyal, K., Shaw, S., Lytle, A.K., Dean, D.R., Hoffman, B.M., Antony, E., and Seefeldt, L.C., Electron transfer precedes ATP hydrolysis during nitrogenase catalysis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110,** 16414–16419 (2013).

Howard, J.B. and Rees, D.C., How many metals does it take to fix N_2? A mechanistic overview of biological nitrogen fixation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103,** 17088–17093 (2006).

Seefeldt, L.C., Hoffman, B.M., and Dean, D.R., Mechanisms of Mo-dependent nitrogenase, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 701–722 (2009).

Spatzal, T., Perez, K.A., Einsle, O., Howard, J.B., and Rees, D.C., Ligand binding to the FeMo cofactor: structure of CO-bound and reactivated nitrogenase, *Science* **345,** 1620–1623 (2014).

Science Photo Library/SuperStock

The fat cells shown here are not simply for storage of surplus triacylglycerols. Instead, they are active players in the body's daily activities of acquiring, stockpiling, and mobilizing metabolic fuels.

CHAPTER 22

Mammalian Fuel Metabolism: Integration and Regulation

Chapter Contents

1 Organ Specialization
- A The Brain Requires a Steady Supply of Glucose
- B Muscle Utilizes Glucose, Fatty Acids, and Ketone Bodies
- C Adipose Tissue Stores and Releases Fatty Acids and Hormones
- D Liver Is the Body's Central Metabolic Clearinghouse
- E Kidney Filters Wastes and Maintains Blood pH
- F Blood Transports Metabolites in Interorgan Metabolic Pathways

2 Hormonal Control of Fuel Metabolism
- A Insulin Release Is Triggered by Glucose
- B Glucagon and Catecholamines Counter the Effects of Insulin

3 Metabolic Homeostasis: The Regulation of Energy Metabolism, Appetite, and Body Weight
- A AMP-Dependent Protein Kinase Is the Cell's Fuel Gauge
- B Adipocytes and Other Tissues Help Regulate Fuel Metabolism and Appetite
- C Energy Expenditure Can Be Controlled by Adaptive Thermogenesis

4 Disturbances in Fuel Metabolism
- A Starvation Leads to Metabolic Adjustments
- B Diabetes Mellitus Is Characterized by High Blood Glucose Levels
- C Obesity Is Usually Caused by Excessive Food Intake
- D Cancer Metabolism

In even the simplest prokaryotic cell, metabolic processes must be coordinated so that opposing pathways do not operate simultaneously and so that the organism can respond to changing external conditions such as the availability of nutrients. In addition, the organism's metabolic activities must meet the demands set by genetically programmed growth and reproduction. The challenges of coordinating energy acquisition and expenditure are markedly more complex in multicellular organisms, in which individual cells must cooperate. In animals and plants, this task is simplified by the division of metabolic labor among tissues.

In animals, the interconnectedness of various tissues is ensured by neuronal circuits and by hormones. Such regulatory systems do not simply switch cells on and off but rather elicit an almost infinite array of responses. The exact response of a cell to a given regulatory signal depends on the cell's ability to recognize the signal and on the presence of synergistic or antagonistic signals.

Our examination of mammalian carbohydrate, lipid, and amino acid metabolism (Chapters 15–21) would be incomplete without a discussion of how such processes are coordinated at the molecular level and how their malfunctions produce disease. In this chapter, we summarize the specialized metabolism of different organs and the pathways that link them. We also examine the mechanisms by which extracellular hormones influence intracellular events. We conclude with a discussion of disruptions in mammalian fuel metabolism.

1 Organ Specialization

KEY CONCEPTS

- The major metabolic pathways for glucose, fatty acids, and amino acids center on pyruvate and acetyl-CoA.
- Glucose is the primary fuel for the brain.
- Muscles can generate ATP anaerobically and aerobically.
- Adipose tissue stores triacylglycerols and releases fatty acids as needed.
- The liver makes all types of fuel available to other tissues.
- Some metabolic processes require cooperation among organs.

Many of the metabolic pathways discussed so far have to do with the oxidation of metabolic fuels for the production of ATP. These pathways, which encompass the synthesis and breakdown of glucose, fatty acids, and amino acids, are summarized in Fig. 22-1.

1. **Glycolysis.** The metabolic degradation of glucose begins with its conversion to two molecules of pyruvate with the net generation of two molecules of ATP (Section 15-1).
2. **Gluconeogenesis.** Mammals can synthesize glucose from a variety of precursors, such as pyruvate, via a series of reactions that largely reverse the path of glycolysis (Section 16-4).

FIG. 22-1 The major pathways of fuel metabolism in mammals. Proteins, glycogen, and triacylglycerols are built up from and broken down to smaller units: amino acids, glucose-6-phosphate, and fatty acids. Oxidation of those fuels yields metabolic energy in the form of ATP. Pyruvate (a product of glucose and amino acid degradation) and acetyl-CoA (a product of glucose, amino acid, and fatty acid degradation) occupy central positions in mammalian fuel metabolism. Compounds that give rise to pyruvate, such as oxaloacetate, can be used for gluconeogenesis; acetyl-CoA can give rise to ketone bodies but not glucose. Not all the pathways shown here occur in all cells or occur simultaneously in a given cell.

? **Which pathways are primarily oxidative? Which are primarily reductive?**

3. **Glycogen degradation and synthesis.** The opposing processes catalyzed by glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen synthase are reciprocally regulated by hormonally controlled phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (Section 16-3).
4. **Fatty acid synthesis and degradation.** Fatty acids are broken down through β oxidation to form acetyl-CoA (Section 20-2), which, through its conversion to malonyl-CoA, is also the substrate for fatty acid synthesis (Section 20-4).
5. **The citric acid cycle.** The citric acid cycle (Section 17-1) oxidizes acetyl-CoA to CO_2 and H_2O with the concomitant production of reduced coenzymes whose reoxidation drives ATP synthesis. Many glucogenic amino acids can be oxidized via the citric acid cycle following their breakdown to one of its intermediates (Section 21-4), which, in turn, are broken down to pyruvate and then to acetyl-CoA, the cycle's only substrate.
6. **Oxidative phosphorylation.** This mitochondrial pathway couples the oxidation of NADH and $FADH_2$ produced by glycolysis, β oxidation, and the citric acid cycle to the phosphorylation of ADP (Section 18-3).
7. **Amino acid synthesis and degradation.** Excess amino acids are degraded to metabolic intermediates of glycolysis and the citric acid cycle (Section 21-4). The amino group is disposed of through urea synthesis (Section 21-3). Nonessential amino acids are synthesized via pathways that begin with common metabolites (Section 21-5A).

Two compounds lie at the crossroads of the major metabolic pathways: acetyl-CoA and pyruvate (Fig. 22-1). Acetyl-CoA is the common degradation product of glucose, fatty acids, and ketogenic amino acids. Its acetyl group can be oxidized to CO_2 and H_2O via the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation or used to synthesize ketone bodies or fatty acids. Pyruvate is the product of glycolysis and the breakdown of glucogenic amino acids. It can be oxidatively decarboxylated to yield acetyl-CoA, thereby committing its atoms either to oxidation or to the biosynthesis of fatty acids. Alternatively, pyruvate can be carboxylated via the pyruvate carboxylase reaction to form oxaloacetate, which can either replenish citric acid cycle intermediates or give rise to glucose or certain amino acids.

Only a few tissues, notably liver, can carry out all the reactions shown in Fig. 22-1, and in a given cell only a small portion of all possible metabolic reactions occur at a significant rate. Nevertheless, about 60% of all metabolic enzymes, representing essential "housekeeping" functions, are expressed at some level in all tissues in the human body. An analysis of tissue-specific proteomes (www.proteinatlas.org) reveals that liver is the most metabolically active tissue, followed by adipose tissue and skeletal muscle.

We will consider the metabolism of five mammalian organs: brain, muscle, adipose tissue, liver, and kidney. Metabolites flow between these organs in well-defined pathways in which flux varies with the nutritional state of the animal (Fig. 22-2). For example, immediately following a meal, glucose, amino acids, and fatty acids are directly available from the intestine. Later, when those fuels have been exhausted, the liver supplies other tissues with glucose and ketone bodies, whereas adipose tissue provides them with fatty acids. All these organs are connected via the bloodstream. In addition, the metabolic activities of numerous microorganisms contribute to mammalian fuel metabolism (Box 22-1).

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Steady State

The metabolism of a single cell—or an entire organism—attains a non-equilibrium steady state in which raw materials are constantly entering and leaving the system. Consequently, metabolic processes never reach equilibrium. Cells can adapt to changing conditions, such as the amount and type of nutrients available or the demands of growth and differentiation, by adjusting flux through various metabolic pathways to achieve a new non-equilibrium steady state.

A The Brain Requires a Steady Supply of Glucose

Brain tissue has a remarkably high respiration rate. Although the human brain constitutes only ~2% of the adult body mass, it is responsible for ~20% of its resting O_2 consumption. Most of the brain's energy production powers the plasma membrane (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase (Section 10-3A), which maintains the membrane potential required for nerve impulse transmission.

FIG. 22-2 The metabolic interrelationships among brain, adipose tissue, muscle, liver, and kidney. The red arrows indicate pathways that predominate in the well-fed state.

? Name the reaction or pathway that corresponds to each arrow.

Under usual conditions, glucose is the brain's primary fuel (although during an extended fast, the brain gradually switches to ketone bodies; Section 22-4A). Astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, which function as support cells rather than the primary electrical signaling cells (Section 10-2C), anaerobically metabolize glucose at a high rate and provide a portion of the resulting lactate to neurons for further oxidation. Since brain cells store very little glycogen, *they require a steady supply of glucose from the blood.* A blood glucose concentration of less than half the normal value of ~5 mM results in brain dysfunction. Levels much below this result in coma, irreversible damage, and, ultimately, death.

B Muscle Utilizes Glucose, Fatty Acids, and Ketone Bodies

Muscle's major fuels are glucose (from glycogen), fatty acids, and ketone bodies. Rested, well-fed muscle synthesizes a glycogen store comprising 1 to 2% of its mass. Although triacylglycerols are a more efficient form of energy storage (Section 9-1B), the metabolic effort of synthesizing glycogen is cost-effective because glycogen can be mobilized more rapidly than fat and because glucose can be metabolized anaerobically, whereas fatty acids cannot.

Box 22-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — The Intestinal Microbiome

The human body contains about ten trillion (10^{13}) cells, and there are probably ten times that number of microorganisms living in the intestine. These organisms, mostly bacteria, form an integrated community called the **microbiome**. At one time, the existence of microbes inside the human host was believed to be a form of commensalism, a relationship in which neither party has much to gain or lose in the partnership. It is now clear, however, that the microbiome plays an active role in providing nutrients (including some vitamins), regulating fuel use and storage, and preventing disease.

DNA sequencing studies have revealed that an individual may host several hundred to a thousand different species. The mixture remains fairly constant throughout the person's lifetime but can vary markedly between individuals, even in the same household. The Human Microbiome Project (http://www.hmpdacc.org) aims to better characterize the species that inhabit the human body and assess their contribution to human health and disease. For example, the human gut microbiome has four community types (see figure) that differ in the relative abundance of different groups of microorganisms. An individual's community type is loosely linked to factors such as gender, weight, the amount of protein or fat in the diet, and whether the individual was breastfed. Within each community, the species makeup varies considerably. Collectively, human intestines accommodate an estimated 4000 species, representing ~800,000 genes.

Although there is no "standard" microbiome, the bacteria and fungi in the small intestine carry out a common set of tasks: They ferment undigested carbohydrates, mainly polysaccharides that cannot be broken down by human digestive enzymes, and produce acetate, propionate, and butyrate. These short-chain fatty acids are absorbed by the host and are transformed into triacylglycerols for long-term storage. There is evidence that thin and obese individuals harbor different species of gut bacteria—obese individuals tend to harbor fewer Bacteroidetes and more Firmicutes—and the proportions change when the individual loses weight.

Composition of gut microbiome from four individuals representing different community types [Based on data from Lozupone, C.A., Stombaugh, J.I., Gordon, J.I., Jansson J.K., and Knight, R. *Nature* **489,** 220–230 (2012).]

These results suggest that certain microbial species might play a role in releasing more calories from food—calories that would then be stored as fat. Paradoxically, the use of noncaloric artificial sweeteners (Box 8-2) may actually contribute to obesity rather than weight loss by promoting the growth of more efficient energy-harvesting microbial species.

Intestinal bacteria also produce vitamin K, biotin, and folate, some of which can be taken up and used by the host. The importance of microbial digestion is illustrated by mice grown in a germ-free environment. Without the normal bacterial partners, the mice need to consume about 30% more food than normal animals whose digestive systems have been colonized by microorganisms.

In muscle, glycogen is converted to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) for entry into glycolysis. Muscle cannot export glucose, however, because it lacks glucose-6-phosphatase. Furthermore, although muscle can synthesize glycogen from glucose, it does not participate in gluconeogenesis because it lacks the required enzymatic machinery. Consequently, *muscle carbohydrate metabolism serves only muscle.*

Muscle Contraction Is Anaerobic under Conditions of High Exertion. Muscle contraction is driven by ATP hydrolysis (Section 7-2) and therefore requires either an aerobic or an anaerobic ATP regeneration system. Respiration (the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation) is the body's major source of ATP resupply. Skeletal muscle at rest uses ~30% of the O_2 consumed by the human body. A muscle's respiration rate may increase in response to a heavy workload by as much as 25-fold. Yet, its rate of ATP hydrolysis can increase by a much greater amount. The ATP is initially regenerated by the reaction of phosphocreatine with ADP (Section 14-2C):

$$\text{Phosphocreatine} + \text{ADP} \rightleftharpoons \text{creatine} + \text{ATP}$$

(phosphocreatine is resynthesized in resting muscle by the reversal of this reaction). Under conditions of maximum exertion, however, such as during a sprint, a muscle has only about a 4-s supply of phosphocreatine. It must then shift to ATP production via glycolysis of G6P, a process whose maximum flux greatly exceeds those of the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation. Much of the G6P is therefore degraded anaerobically to lactate (Section 15-3A). As we will see in Section 22-1F, export of lactate relieves much of the muscle's respiratory burden. Muscle fatigue, which occurs after ~20 s of maximal exertion, is not caused by exhaustion of the muscle's glycogen supply but by the drop in pH that results from the buildup of lactate. This phenomenon may be an adaptation that prevents muscle cells from

FIG. 22-3 Source of ATP during exercise in humans. The supply of endogenous ATP is extended for a few seconds by phosphocreatine, after which anaerobic glycolysis generates ATP. The shift from anaerobic to aerobic metabolism (oxidative phosphorylation) occurs after about 90 sec, or slightly later in trained athletes. [Adapted from McArdle, W.D., Katch, F.I., and Katch, V.L., *Exercise Physiology,* 2nd ed., Lea & Febiger (1986), p. 348.]

committing suicide by fully depleting their ATP supply. Exercise lasting more than a minute or two is fueled primarily by oxidative phosphorylation, which generates ATP more slowly but much more efficiently than glycolysis alone. The source of ATP during exercise of varying duration is summarized in Fig. 22-3.

The Heart Is Largely Aerobic. The heart is a muscular organ that acts continuously rather than intermittently. Therefore, heart muscle relies entirely on aerobic metabolism and is richly endowed with mitochondria; they occupy up to 40% of its cytoplasmic space. The heart can metabolize fatty acids, ketone bodies, glucose, pyruvate, and lactate. Fatty acids are the resting heart's fuel of choice, but during heavy work, the heart greatly increases its consumption of glucose, which is derived mostly from its relatively limited glycogen store.

C Adipose Tissue Stores and Releases Fatty Acids and Hormones

The function of adipose tissue is to store and release fatty acids as needed for fuel as well as to secrete hormones involved in regulating metabolism. Adipose tissue is widely distributed throughout the body but occurs most prominently under the skin, in the abdominal cavity, and in skeletal muscle. The adipose tissue of a normal 70-kg man contains ~15 kg of fat. This amount represents some 590,000 kJ of energy (141,000 dieter's Calories), which is sufficient to maintain life for ~3 months.

Adipose tissue obtains most of its fatty acids for storage from circulating lipoproteins as described in Section 20-1B. Fatty acids are activated by the formation of the corresponding fatty acyl-CoA and then esterified with glycerol-3-phosphate to form the stored triacylglycerols. The glycerol-3-phosphate arises from the reduction of dihydroxyacetone phosphate, which must be glycolytically generated from glucose.

In times of metabolic need, adipocytes hydrolyze triacylglycerols to fatty acids and glycerol through the action of hormone-sensitive lipase (Section 20-5). If glycerol-3-phosphate is abundant, many of the fatty acids so formed are reesterified to triacylglycerols. If glycerol-3-phosphate is in short supply, the fatty acids are released into the bloodstream. Thus, *fatty acid mobilization depends in part on the rate of glucose uptake since glucose is the precursor of glycerol-3-phosphate.* Metabolic need is signaled directly by a decrease in [glucose] as well as by hormonal stimulation.

D Liver Is the Body's Central Metabolic Clearinghouse

The liver maintains the proper levels of circulating fuels for use by the brain, muscles, and other tissues. It is uniquely situated to carry out this task because all the nutrients absorbed by the intestines except fatty acids are released into the portal vein, which drains directly into the liver.

Glucokinase Converts Blood Glucose to Glucose-6-Phosphate. *One of the liver's major functions is to act as a blood glucose "buffer."* It does so by taking up and releasing glucose in response to hormones and to the concentration of glucose itself. After a carbohydrate-containing meal, when the blood glucose concentration reaches ~6 mM, the liver takes up glucose by converting it to G6P. This reaction is catalyzed by **glucokinase,** a liver isozyme of hexokinase (which is therefore also called **hexokinase IV**). The hexokinases in most cells obey Michaelis–Menten kinetics, have a high glucose affinity ($K_M < 0.1$ mM), and are inhibited by their reaction product (G6P). Glucokinase, in contrast, has much lower glucose affinity (reaching half-maximal velocity at ~5 mM) and displays sigmoidal kinetics. Consequently, *glucokinase activity increases rapidly with blood [glucose] over the normal physiological range* (Fig. 22-4). Glucokinase, moreover, is not inhibited by physiological concentrations of G6P. Therefore, the higher the blood [glucose], the

faster the liver converts glucose to G6P. At low blood [glucose], the liver does not compete with other tissues for the available glucose, whereas at high blood [glucose], when the glucose needs of those tissues are met, the liver can take up the excess glucose at a rate roughly proportional to the blood glucose concentration.

Glucokinase is a monomeric enzyme, so its sigmoidal kinetic behavior is somewhat puzzling (models of allosteric interactions do not explain cooperative behavior in a monomeric protein; Section 7-1D). Glucokinase is subject to metabolic control, however. Emile Van Schaftingen has isolated a **glucokinase regulatory protein** from rat liver, which, in the presence of the glycolytic intermediate fructose-6-phosphate (F6P), is a competitive inhibitor of glucokinase. Since F6P and the glucokinase product G6P are equilibrated in liver cells by phosphoglucose isomerase, glucokinase is, in effect, inhibited by its product. Fructose-1-phosphate (F1P), an intermediate in liver fructose metabolism (Section 15-5A), overcomes this inhibition. Since fructose is normally available only from dietary sources, fructose may be the signal that triggers the uptake of dietary glucose by the liver.

FIG. 22-4 Relative enzymatic activities of hexokinase and glucokinase over the physiological blood glucose range. Glucokinase has much lower affinity for glucose ($K_M \approx 5$ mM) than does hexokinase ($K_M \approx 0.1$ mM) and exhibits sigmoidal rather than hyperbolic variation with [glucose]. [The glucokinase curve was generated using the Hill equation (Eq. 7-8) with $K = 10$ mM and $n = 1.5$ as obtained from Cardenas, M.L., Rabajille, E., and Niemeyer, H., *Eur. J. Biochem.* **145,** 163–171 (1984).]

? Do the two enzymes have different k_{cat} values?

Glucose-6-Phosphate Is at the Crossroads of Carbohydrate Metabolism. G6P has several alternative fates in the liver, depending on the glucose demand (Fig. 22-5):

1. G6P can be converted to glucose, by the action of glucose-6-phosphatase, for transport via the bloodstream to the peripheral organs. This occurs only when the blood [glucose] drops below ~5 mM. During exercise or fasting, low concentrations of blood glucose cause the pancreas to secrete glucagon. Glucagon receptors on the liver cell surface respond by activating adenylate cyclase. The resulting increase in intracellular [cAMP] triggers glycogen breakdown (Section 16-3).
2. G6P can be converted to glycogen (Section 16-2) when the body's demand for glucose is low.
3. G6P can be converted to acetyl-CoA via glycolysis and the action of pyruvate dehydrogenase. This glucose-derived acetyl-CoA, if it is not oxidized via the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation to generate ATP, can be used to synthesize fatty acids (Section 20-4), phospholipids (Section 20-6), and cholesterol (Section 20-7A).
4. G6P can be degraded via the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6) to generate the NADPH required for the biosynthesis of fatty acids and other compounds.

FIG. 22-5 Metabolic fate of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) in liver. G6P can be converted (**1**) to glucose for export or (**2**) to glycogen for storage. Acetyl-CoA derived from G6P degradation (**3**) is the starting material for lipid biosynthesis. It is also consumed in generating ATP by respiration. Degradation of G6P via the pentose phosphate pathway (**4**) yields NADPH.

? Which pathways would predominate in rapidly regenerating liver tissue?

The Liver Can Synthesize or Degrade Triacylglycerols. Fatty acids are also subject to alternative metabolic fates in the liver. When the demand for metabolic fuels is high, fatty acids are degraded to acetyl-CoA and then to ketone bodies for export to the peripheral tissues. The liver itself cannot use ketone bodies as fuel, because liver cells lack 3-ketoacyl-CoA transferase, an enzyme required to convert ketone bodies back to acetyl-CoA (Section 20-3). Fatty acids rather than glucose or ketone bodies are therefore the liver's major acetyl-CoA source under conditions of high metabolic demand. The liver generates its ATP from this acetyl-CoA through the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation.

When the demand for metabolic fuels is low, fatty acids are incorporated into triacylglycerols that are secreted into the bloodstream as VLDL for uptake by adipose tissue. Fatty acids are also incorporated into phospholipids (Section 20-6). Under these conditions, fatty acids synthesized in the liver are not oxidized to acetyl-CoA because fatty acid synthesis (in the cytosol) is separated from fatty acid oxidation (in the mitochondria) and because malonyl-CoA, an intermediate in fatty acid synthesis, inhibits the transport of fatty acids into the mitochondria.

Amino Acids Are Metabolic Fuels. The liver degrades amino acids to a variety of metabolic intermediates that can be completely oxidized to CO_2 and H_2O or

converted to glucose or ketone bodies (Section 21-4). Oxidation of amino acids provides a significant fraction of metabolic energy immediately after a meal, when amino acids are present in relatively high concentrations in the blood. During a fast, when other fuels become scarce, glucose is produced from amino acids arising mostly from muscle protein degradation to alanine and glutamine. Thus, *proteins, in addition to their structural and functional roles, are important fuel reserves.*

E Kidney Filters Wastes and Maintains Blood pH

The kidney filters urea and other waste products from the blood while it recovers important metabolites such as glucose. In addition, the kidney maintains the blood's pH by regenerating depleted blood buffers such as bicarbonate (lost by the exhalation of CO_2) and by excreting excess H^+ together with the conjugate bases of excess metabolic acids such as the ketone bodies acetoacetate and β-hydroxybutyrate. Protons are also excreted in the form of NH_4^+, with the ammonia derived from glutamine or glutamate. The remaining amino acid skeleton, α-ketoglutarate, can be converted to glucose by gluconeogenesis (the kidney is the only tissue besides liver that can carry out glucose synthesis). During starvation, the kidneys generate as much as 50% of the body's glucose supply.

F Blood Transports Metabolites in Interorgan Metabolic Pathways

The ability of the liver to supply other tissues with glucose or ketone bodies, or the ability of adipocytes to make fatty acids available to other tissues, depends, of course, on the circulatory system, which transports metabolic fuels, intermediates, and waste products among tissues. In addition, several important metabolic pathways are composed of reactions occurring in multiple tissues. In this section, we describe two well-known interorgan pathways.

Glucose and Lactate Are Transported in the Cori Cycle. The ATP that powers muscle contraction is generated through oxidative phosphorylation (in mitochondrion-rich slow-twitch muscle fibers; Box 15-3) or by rapid catabolism of glucose to lactate (in fast-twitch muscle fibers). Slow-twitch fibers also produce lactate when ATP demand exceeds oxidative flux. The lactate is transferred via the bloodstream to the liver, where it is reconverted to pyruvate by lactate dehydrogenase and then to glucose by gluconeogenesis. Thus, liver and muscle are linked by the bloodstream in a metabolic cycle known as the **Cori cycle** (Fig. 22-6) in honor of Carl and Gerty Cori (Box 16-1), who first described it.

The ATP-consuming glycolysis/gluconeogenesis cycle would be a futile cycle if it occurred within a single cell. In this case, the two halves of the pathway occur in different organs. Liver ATP powers the synthesis of glucose from lactate produced in muscle. The resynthesized glucose returns to the muscle, where it may be stored as glycogen or catabolized immediately to generate ATP for muscle contraction.

FIG. 22-6 The Cori cycle. Lactate produced by muscle glycolysis is transported by the bloodstream to the liver, where it is converted to glucose by gluconeogenesis. The bloodstream carries the glucose back to the muscle, where it may be stored as glycogen. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Does the Cori cycle operate while the muscle is at rest?

FIG. 22-7 The glucose–alanine cycle. Pyruvate produced by muscle glycolysis is the amino-group acceptor for muscle aminotransferases. The resulting alanine is transported by the bloodstream to the liver, where it is converted back to pyruvate (its amino group is disposed of via urea synthesis). The pyruvate is a substrate for gluconeogenesis, and the bloodstream carries the resulting glucose back to the muscles. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? How does the muscle restore its amino acid pool?

The ATP consumed by the liver during the operation of the Cori cycle is regenerated by oxidative phosphorylation. After vigorous exertion, it may take at least 30 min for the oxygen consumption rate to decrease to its resting level. The elevated O_2 consumption pays off the **oxygen debt** created by the demand for ATP to drive gluconeogenesis.

The Glucose–Alanine Cycle Transfers Alanine to the Liver. In a pathway similar to the Cori cycle, alanine rather than lactate travels from muscle to the liver. In muscle, certain aminotransferases use pyruvate as their α-keto acid substrate rather than α-ketoglutarate or oxaloacetate (Section 21-2A):

The product amino acid, alanine, is released into the bloodstream and transported to the liver, where it undergoes transamination back to pyruvate. This pyruvate is a substrate for gluconeogenesis, and the resulting glucose can be returned to the muscles to be glycolytically degraded. This is the **glucose–alanine cycle** (**Fig. 22-7**). The amino group carried by alanine ends up in either ammonia or aspartate and can be used for urea biosynthesis (which occurs only in the liver). Thus, *the glucose–alanine cycle is a mechanism for transporting nitrogen from muscle to liver.*

During fasting, the glucose formed in the liver by this route is also used by other tissues, breaking the cycle. Because the pyruvate originates from muscle protein degradation, muscle supplies glucose to other tissues even though it does not carry out gluconeogenesis.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the importance of pyruvate and acetyl-CoA for catabolism and anabolism.
- Without looking at Fig. 22-1, draw a diagram of the major metabolic pathways involving proteins, glycogen, and triacylglycerols.
- Summarize the major features of fuel metabolism in the brain, muscle, adipose tissue, liver, and kidney.
- Explain why the high K_M of glucokinase is important for the role of the liver in buffering blood glucose.
- List all the possible fates for a glucose molecule in the liver.
- Describe the conditions under which the Cori cycle and the glucose–alanine cycle operate.
- Without looking at the text, draw diagrams of these two metabolic cycles.

2 Hormonal Control of Fuel Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- Insulin release in response to glucose promotes fuel uptake and storage.
- Glucagon and the catecholamines promote fuel mobilization.

Multicellular organisms coordinate their activities at every level of their organization through complex signaling systems (Chapter 13). Most cells in a multicellular organism cannot take up fuel for growth and metabolism without first receiving a signal to do so. The human endocrine system responds to the needs of an organism by secreting a wide variety of hormones that enable the body to maintain **metabolic homeostasis** (balance between energy inflow and output), respond to external stimuli, and follow various developmental programs.

We have already discussed steroid hormones (Section 9-1E), the peptide hormones insulin and glucagon (Sections 13-1A, 13-4D, and 16-3C), and the

catecholamines epinephrine and norepinephrine (Sections 13-1B, 16-3C, and 21-6B). With the exception of steroids, which can diffuse through cell membranes and interact directly with intracellular components (and which we discuss further in Section 28-3B), these extracellular signals must first bind to a cell-surface receptor. In this section, we review the action of hormones synthesized by the pancreas and adrenal glands, since these play the largest roles in regulating the metabolism of fuels in various mammalian tissues. We will also review the receptors and pathways by which these hormones exert their effects on cells.

A Insulin Release Is Triggered by Glucose

The pancreas responds to increases in the concentration of blood glucose by secreting insulin, which therefore serves as a signal for plentiful metabolic fuel. Pancreatic β cells are most sensitive to glucose at concentrations of 5.5 to 6.0 mM (normal blood glucose concentrations range from 3.6 to 5.8 mM). There is no evidence for a cell-surface glucose "receptor" that might relay a signal to the secretory machinery in the β cell. In fact, glucose enters β cells via passive transport, and its metabolism generates the signal for insulin secretion.

The rate-limiting step of glucose metabolism in β cells is the reaction catalyzed by glucokinase (the same enzyme that occurs in hepatocytes). Consequently, glucokinase is considered the β cell's glucose "sensor." Glucokinase's G6P product is not used to synthesize glycogen, and the activity of the pentose phosphate pathway is minor. Furthermore, lactate dehydrogenase activity is low. As a result, essentially all the G6P produced in β cells is degraded to pyruvate and then converted to acetyl-CoA for oxidation in the mitochondrion. The ATP produced induces an ATP-gated K^+ channel in the plasma membrane to close, and the resulting membrane depolarization (the membrane is normally positive outside) causes a voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel to open. The consequent Ca^{2+} ion influx into the cell triggers the exocytosis (Section 9-4F) of insulin-containing secretory granules. Thus, the overall level of the β cell's respiratory activity, which varies with glucose availability, regulates insulin secretion.

FIG. 22-8 GLUT4 activity. Glucose uptake in muscle and fat cells is regulated by the insulin-stimulated exocytosis (the opposite of endocytosis) of specialized membranous vesicles containing GLUT4 (*left*). On insulin withdrawal, the process reverses itself through endocytosis (*right*). **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

Insulin Promotes Fuel Storage in Muscle and Adipose Tissue. Insulin signaling is very complex (Fig. 13-31). It acts as the primary regulator of blood glucose concentration by promoting glucose uptake in muscles and adipose tissue and by inhibiting hepatic glucose production. Insulin also stimulates cell growth and differentiation by increasing the synthesis of glycogen, proteins, and triacylglycerols.

Muscle cells and adipocytes express an insulin-sensitive glucose transporter known as **GLUT4.** Insulin stimulates GLUT4 activity. Such an increase can occur through an increase in the intrinsic activity of the transporter molecules, but in the case of GLUT4, the increase is accomplished through the appearance of additional transporter molecules in the plasma membrane (Fig. 22-8). In the absence of insulin, GLUT4 is localized in intracellular vesicles and tubular structures known as **GLUT4 storage vesicles.** Insulin promotes the fusion of these vesicles to the plasma membrane in a process that is mediated by SNAREs (Section 9-4F). GLUT4 appears on the cell surface only a few minutes after insulin stimulation. GLUT4 has a relatively low K_M for glucose (2–5 mM), so cells containing this transporter can rapidly take up glucose from the blood. On insulin withdrawal, the glucose transporters are gradually sequestered through endocytosis.

The insulin-dependent GLUT4 transport system allows muscle and adipose tissue to quickly stockpile metabolic fuel immediately after a meal. Tissues such as the brain, which uses glucose almost exclusively as a fuel, constitutively express an insulin-insensitive glucose transporter. Consequently, the central

nervous system does not experience large fluctuations in glucose absorption. Significantly, the liver also lacks GLUT4 and therefore does not respond to increases in insulin levels by increasing its rate of glucose uptake.

Once glucose enters cells, it can be used to synthesize glycogen (in muscle) and triacylglycerols (in adipocytes; the glucose must first be metabolized to acetyl-CoA for fatty acid synthesis). Insulin specifically promotes those metabolic activities. As discussed in Section 16-3, insulin activates glycogen synthase by promoting its dephosphorylation. In adipocytes, insulin activates the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (by activating the associated phosphatase; Section 17-4A), and it activates acetyl-CoA carboxylase and increases levels of fatty acid synthase (Section 20-5). At the same time, insulin inhibits lipolysis by inhibiting hormone-sensitive lipase.

Insulin Blocks Liver Gluconeogenesis and Glycogenolysis. Although the liver does not respond to insulin by increasing its rate of uptake of glucose, insulin binding to its receptor on hepatocytes has several consequences. The inactivation of phosphorylase kinase decreases the rate of glycogenolysis, and the activation of glycogen synthase promotes glycogen synthesis. Insulin also inhibits transcription of the genes encoding the gluconeogenic enzymes phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, and glucose-6-phosphatase (Section 16-4) and stimulates transcription of the genes for the glycolytic enzymes glucokinase and pyruvate kinase. The expression of lipogenic enzymes such as acetyl-CoA carboxylase and fatty acid synthase also increases. The result of these regulatory changes is that *the liver stores glucose (as glycogen and as triacylglycerols) rather than producing glucose by glycogenolysis or gluconeogenesis.* The major metabolic effects of insulin are summarized in **Table 22-1**.

B Glucagon and Catecholamines Counter the Effects of Insulin

As we discussed in Section 16-3C, the peptide hormone glucagon activates a series of intracellular events that lead to glycogenolysis in the liver. This control mechanism helps make glucose available to other tissues when the concentration of circulating glucose drops. Muscle cells, which lack a glucagon receptor and cannot respond directly to the hormone, benefit indirectly from the glucose released by the liver. Glucagon also stimulates fatty acid mobilization from adipose tissue by activating hormone-sensitive lipase.

The catecholamines elicit responses similar to glucagon's. Epinephrine and norepinephrine, which are released during times of stress, bind to two different types of receptors (Section 13-1B): the β-adrenergic receptor, which is linked to the adenylate cyclase system (Fig. 13-23), and the α-adrenergic receptor, whose second messenger, inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3; Section 13-4A), causes intracellular Ca^{2+} concentrations to increase.

Liver cells respond to epinephrine directly and indirectly. Epinephrine promotes the release of glucagon from the pancreas, and glucagon binding to its receptor on liver cells stimulates glycogen breakdown. Epinephrine also binds directly to both α- and β-adrenergic receptors on the surfaces of liver cells

TABLE 22-1 Hormonal Effects on Fuel Metabolism

Tissue	Insulin	Glucagon	Epinephrine
Muscle	↑ Glucose uptake ↑ Glycogen synthesis	No effect	↑ Glycogenolysis
Adipose tissue	↑ Glucose uptake ↑ Lipogenesis ↓ Lipolysis	↑ Lipolysis	↑ Lipolysis
Liver	↑ Glycogen synthesis ↑ Lipogenesis ↓ Gluconeogenesis	↓ Glycogen synthesis ↑ Glycogenolysis	↓ Glycogen synthesis ↑ Glycogenolysis ↑ Gluconeogenesis

(Fig. 16-14, *right*). Binding to the β-adrenergic receptor results in increased intracellular cAMP, which leads to glycogen breakdown and gluconeogenesis. Epinephrine binding to the α-adrenergic receptor stimulates an increase in intracellular [Ca^{2+}], which reinforces the cells' response to cAMP (recall that phosphorylase kinase, which activates glycogen phosphorylase and inactivates glycogen synthase, is fully active only when phosphorylated and in the presence of increased [Ca^{2+}]; Section 16-3B). In addition, glycogen synthase is inactivated through phosphorylation catalyzed by several Ca^{2+}-dependent protein kinases.

Epinephrine binding to the β-adrenergic receptor on muscle cells similarly promotes glycogen degradation, thereby mobilizing glucose that can be metabolized by glycolysis to produce ATP. In adipose tissue, epinephrine binding to several α- and β-type adrenergic receptors leads to activation of hormone-sensitive lipase, which results in the mobilization of fatty acids that can be used as fuels by other tissues. In addition, epinephrine stimulates smooth muscle relaxation in the bronchi and blood vessels supplying skeletal muscle, while it

(*a*) **Fed state**

(*b*) **Fasted state/stress**

FIG. 22-9 Overview of hormonal control of fuel metabolism. (*a*) Immediately after a meal, when glucose and fatty acids are abundant, insulin signals tissues to store fuel as glycogen and triacylglycerols. Insulin also stimulates tissues other than liver to take up glucose via the GLUT4 transporter. (*b*) When dietary fuels are not available, glucagon stimulates the liver to release glucose and adipose tissue to release fatty acids. During stress, epinephrine elicits similar responses.

? **Identify the major form(s) of stored fuel in each organ.**

stimulates constriction of the blood vessels that supply skin and other peripheral organs. Together, these physiological changes prepare the body for sudden action by mobilizing energy reserves and directing them where they are needed. The major responses to glucagon and epinephrine are summarized in Table 22-1, and the metabolic effects of insulin, glucagon, and epinephrine under different conditions are presented schematically in Fig. 22-9.

Several Types of Receptors and Biochemical Signaling Pathways Participate in Metabolic Regulation. As described in Chapter 13, every signaling pathway consists of a receptor, a mechanism for transmitting the ligand-binding event to the cell interior, and a series of intracellular responses that may involve a second messenger and/or chemical changes catalyzed by kinases and phosphatases. There are three major signal transduction pathways: those involving receptor tyrosine kinases associated with the Ras signaling cascade (Section 13-2), those in which adenylate cyclase generates cAMP (3′,5′-cyclic AMP) as a second messenger (Section 13-3), and those involving phosphoinositide cleavage by phospholipase C (PLC), with inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3) and 1,2-diacylglycerol (DAG), as well as Ca^{2+}, as second messengers (Section 13-4). Both second messenger systems involve G protein–coupled receptors (Section 13-3). The major G protein activating adenylate cyclase is G_s, and the major G protein activating phospholipase C is G_q. Figure 22-10 is a composite of the three signaling systems.

FIG. 22-10 Overview of the major signal transduction pathways. (*a*) The binding of a ligand to a receptor tyrosine kinase initiates the Ras signaling cascade of phosphorylations, which leads to changes in gene expression. (*b*) The binding of a ligand to its corresponding G protein–coupled receptor stimulates (or in some cases inhibits) adenylate cyclase (AC) to synthesize the second messenger cAMP. The cAMP, in turn, activates protein kinase A (PKA) to phosphorylate its target proteins, leading to a cellular response. (*c*) The binding of a ligand to its corresponding G_q protein–coupled receptor initiates the phosphoinositide pathway, which activates phospholipase C (PLC) to hydrolyze the membrane lipid PIP_2 to the second messengers IP_3 and DAG. IP_3 causes the release of Ca^{2+} from the endoplasmic reticulum, DAG activates protein kinase C (PKC), and Ca^{2+} activates both PKC and Ca^{2+}–calmodulin dependent protein kinase (CaMK). The phosphorylation of target proteins by PKC and CaMK leads to cellular responses.

? **Locate the kinases and second messengers involved in each signaling system.**

CHECKPOINT

- How does the pancreas sense glucose levels?
- Summarize the role of insulin in regulating fuel metabolism in the liver, muscle, and adipose tissue.
- How do glucagon and epinephrine affect fuel metabolism in liver, muscle, and adipose tissue?
- Describe the three main types of signaling pathways that participate in metabolic regulation.

The hormones described above and in Section 13-1, as well as many other hormones, growth factors, and other signaling molecules, use signal-transduction pathways to set in motion a series of biochemical reactions that produce biological responses such as altered metabolism, cell differentiation, and cell growth and division. The exact nature of the response depends on many factors. Cells respond to a hormone, generally referred to as a ligand, only if they display the appropriate receptor. Specific intracellular responses are modulated by the number, type, and cellular locations of the elements of the signaling systems. Furthermore, a given cell typically contains receptors for many different ligands, so the response to one particular ligand may depend on the level of engagement of the other ligands with the cell's signal-transduction machinery. As a result, *cells can react to discrete signals or combinations of signals with variations in the magnitude and duration of the cellular response.*

3 Metabolic Homeostasis: The Regulation of Energy Metabolism, Appetite, and Body Weight

KEY CONCEPTS

- AMP-dependent protein kinase activates ATP-generating processes and inhibits ATP-consuming processes.
- Fuel use and appetite are regulated by the adipose tissue hormones adiponectin and leptin as well as by hormones produced by the hypothalamus, stomach, and intestine.
- Thermogenesis helps balance energy expenditure with energy intake.

The complex mechanisms that regulate mammalian fuel metabolism permit the body to respond efficiently to changing energy demands and to accommodate changes in the availability of various fuels, maintaining reasonable metabolic homeostasis. This balance depends on the interactions of many factors. In this section we discuss some of the participants in this complex network regulating energy expenditure, appetite, and body weight.

A AMP-Dependent Protein Kinase Is the Cell's Fuel Gauge

All of the metabolic pathways discussed above are affected in one way or another by the need for ATP, as is indicated by the cell's AMP-to-ATP ratio (Section 15-4A). Several enzymes are either activated or inhibited allosterically by AMP, and several others are phosphorylated by **AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK)**, a major regulator of metabolic homeostasis. *AMPK activates metabolic breakdown pathways that generate ATP while inhibiting biosynthetic pathways to conserve ATP for more vital processes.* AMPK is an $\alpha\beta\gamma$ heterotrimer found in all eukaryotic organisms from yeast to humans. The α subunit contains a Ser/Thr protein kinase domain, and the γ subunit contains sites for allosteric activation by AMP and inhibition by ATP. Like other protein kinases, AMPK's kinase domain must be phosphorylated for activity. Binding of AMP to the γ subunit causes a conformational change that exposes Thr 172 in the activation loop of the α subunit, promoting its phosphorylation and increasing its activity at least 100-fold. AMP can activate the phosphorylated enzyme up to 5-fold more. The major kinase that phosphorylates AMPK is named **LKB1.** The specific knockout of LKB1 in mouse liver results in the loss of the phosphorylated form of AMPK.

AMPK Activates Glycolysis in Ischemic Cardiac Muscle. AMPK's targets include the heart isozyme of the bifunctional enzyme PFK-2/FBPase-2, which controls the fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P) concentration (Section 16-4C). The phosphorylation of this isozyme activates the PFK-2 activity, increasing

[F2,6P], which in turn activates PFK-1 and glycolysis. Consequently, in **ischemic** (blood-starved) heart muscle cells, when there is insufficient oxygen for oxidative phosphorylation to maintain adequate concentrations of ATP, the resulting AMP buildup causes the cells to switch to anaerobic glycolysis for ATP production.

AMPK Inhibits Lipogenesis and Gluconeogenesis in Liver. AMPK-mediated phosphorylation also inhibits acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC, which catalyzes the first committed step of fatty acid synthesis; Section 20-4B), hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMG-CoA reductase, which catalyzes the rate-determining step in cholesterol biosynthesis; Section 20-7B), and glycogen synthase (which catalyzes the rate-limiting reaction in glycogen synthesis; Section 16-2B). Consequently, when the rate of ATP production is inadequate, these biosynthetic pathways are turned off, thereby conserving ATP for the most vital cellular functions.

AMPK Promotes Fatty Acid Oxidation and Glucose Uptake in Skeletal Muscle. The inhibition of ACC results in a decrease in the concentration of malonyl-CoA, the starting material for fatty acid biosynthesis. Malonyl-CoA has an additional role, however. It is an inhibitor of carnitine palmitoyl transferase I (Section 20-5), which is required to transfer cytosolic palmitoyl-CoA into the mitochondria for oxidation. The decrease in malonyl-CoA concentration therefore allows more palmitoyl-CoA to be oxidized. AMPK also increases the expression of GLUT4 in muscle cells, as well as its recruitment to the muscle cell plasma membrane (Section 22-2F), thus facilitating the insulin-independent entry of glucose into the cells.

AMPK Inhibits Lipolysis in Adipocytes. AMPK phosphorylates hormone-sensitive triacylglycerol lipase in adipose tissue (Section 20-5). This phosphorylation inhibits rather than activates the enzyme, in part by preventing the relocation of the enzyme to the lipid droplet, the cellular location of lipolysis. As a result, fewer triacylglycerols are broken down so that fewer fatty acids are exported to the bloodstream. This latter process seems paradoxical (fatty acid oxidation would help relieve an ATP deficit), although it has been speculated that it prevents the cellular buildup of fatty acids to toxic levels. The major effects of AMPK activation on glucose and lipid metabolism in liver, skeletal muscle, heart muscle, and adipose tissue are diagrammed in Fig. 22-11.

FIG. 22-11 Major effects of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) on glucose and lipid metabolism in liver, muscle, and adipose tissue. In skeletal muscle, AMPK stimulates glucose uptake and glucose and fatty acid oxidation while inhibiting glycogen synthesis. In heart muscle, AMPK stimulates glycolysis. In liver, AMPK inhibits lipid biosynthesis and gluconeogenesis while activating fatty acid oxidation. In adipose tissue, AMPK inhibits fatty acid biosynthesis and lipolysis. [After M.C. Towler and D.G. Hardie, *Circulation Res.* **100,** 328 (2007).]

B Adipocytes and Other Tissues Help Regulate Fuel Metabolism and Appetite

In addition to insulin, glucagon, and epinephrine, a variety of other hormones help regulate fuel acquisition, use, and storage. Some of these, such as **adiponectin,** are secreted exclusively by adipocytes, a cell type whose endocrine activity was not recognized until the early 2000s.

Adiponectin Regulates AMPK Activity. Adiponectin is a 247-residue protein hormone that helps regulate energy homeostasis and glucose and lipid metabolism by controlling AMPK activity. Its monomers consist of an N-terminal collagenlike domain and a C-terminal globular domain. Adiponectin occurs in the bloodstream in several forms: a low molecular weight (LMW) trimer formed by the coiling of its collagenlike domains into a triple helix (Section 6-1C) as well as hexamers (MMW) and multimers (HMW) that form disulfide–cross-linked bouquets (Fig. 22-12). In addition, globular adiponectin, formed by the cleavage of the collagenlike domain to release globular monomers, occurs in lower concentrations.

The binding of adiponectin to **adiponectin receptors,** which occur on the surfaces of both liver and muscle cells, acts to increase the phosphorylation and activity of AMPK. This, as we have seen (Section 22-3A), inhibits gluconeogenesis and stimulates fatty acid oxidation in liver and stimulates glucose uptake and glucose and fatty acid oxidation in muscle. All of these effects act to increase insulin sensitivity, in part because adiponectin and insulin elicit similar responses in tissues such as liver. Decreased adiponectin is associated with insulin resistance (Section 22-4B). Paradoxically, the blood concentration of adiponectin, which is secreted by adipocytes, decreases with increased amounts of adipose tissue. This may be because increased adipose tissue is also associated with increased production of **tumor necrosis factor-α** (**TNFα**), a protein growth factor that decreases both the expression and secretion of adiponectin from adipose tissue.

FIG. 22-12 Adiponectin trimers, hexamers, and multimers. These complexes are referred to as low molecular weight (LMW), medium molecular weight (MMW), and high molecular weight (HMW) forms. [After Kadowaki, T. and Yamauchi, T., *Endocrine Rev.* **26**, 439 (2005).]

Leptin Is a Satiety Hormone. Leptin (Greek: *leptos,* thin) is a 166-residue polypeptide that is normally produced by adipocytes (Fig. 22-13). It was discovered by studying genetically obese mice. Most animals, including humans, tend to have stable weights; that is, if they are given free access to food, they eat just enough to maintain their "set-point" weight. However, a strain of mice that is homozygous for defects in the *obese* gene (known as *ob/ob* mice) are as much as three times the weight of normal (*OB/OB*) mice (Fig. 22-14) and overeat when given access to unlimited quantities of food. The *OB* gene encodes leptin. When leptin is injected into *ob/ob* mice, they eat less and lose weight. Leptin has therefore been considered a "satiety" signal that affects the appetite control system of the brain. Leptin also causes higher energy expenditure.

The simple genetic basis for obesity in *ob/ob* mice does not appear to apply to most obese humans. Leptin levels in humans increase with the percentage of body fat, consistent with the synthesis of leptin by adipocytes. Thus, obesity in humans is apparently the result not of faulty leptin production but of leptin resistance, perhaps due to a decrease in the level of a leptin receptor in the brain or the saturation of the receptor that transports leptin across the blood–brain barrier into the central nervous system.

FIG. 22-13 X-Ray structure of human leptin-E100. This mutant form of leptin (Trp 100 → Glu) has comparable biological activity to the wild-type protein but crystallizes more readily. The protein, which is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), forms a four-helix bundle as do many protein growth factors (e.g., human growth hormone; Fig. 13-3). Residues 25 to 38 are not visible in the X-ray structure. [Based on an X-ray structure by Faming Zhang, Eli Lilly & Co., Indianapolis, Indiana. PDBid 1AX8.]

A small minority of obese individuals are leptin deficient in a manner similar to *ob/ob* mice. For example, two grossly obese children who are members of the same highly consanguineous (descended from the same ancestors) family (they are cousins and both sets of parents are cousins) have been shown to be homozygous for a defective *OB* gene. The children, at the ages of 8 and 2 years old, respectively, weighed 86 and 29 kg and were noted to have remarkably large appetites. Their *OB* genes have a deletion of a single guanine nucleotide in codon 133 that causes all succeeding codons to be misread (a **frameshift mutation**; Section 27-1A), which evidently renders the mutant leptin biologically inactive. In fact, their leptin serum levels were only ~10% of normal. Leptin injections have relieved their symptoms.

A diminished response to leptin leads to high concentrations of **neuropeptide Y (NPY),**

Neuropeptide Y (NPY)
C-terminal carboxyl is amidated

a 36-residue peptide released by the **hypothalamus,** a part of the brain that controls many physiological functions. NPY stimulates appetite, which in turn leads to fat accumulation. Both leptin and insulin, when bound to their respective receptors in the hypothalamus, inhibit NPY secretion.

Ghrelin and $PYY_{3–36}$ Act as Short-Term Regulators of Appetite. It is not surprising that fuel metabolism, body weight, and appetite are linked. In addition to leptin, insulin, and NPY, other peptide hormones are known to be involved in appetite control. For example, **ghrelin** is an appetite-stimulating peptide secreted by the empty stomach.

Human ghrelin
X = Ser modified with *n*-octanoic acid

Ghrelin appears to boost levels of NPY, and most likely is part of a short-term appetite-control system, since ghrelin levels fluctuate on the order of hours, increasing before meals and decreasing immediately afterward.

The gastrointestinal tract secretes an appetite-suppressing hormone called **$PYY_{3–36}$:**

Human $PYY_{3–36}$

In animals and humans, an infusion of this peptide decreases food intake by inhibiting NPY secretion. In summary, ghrelin stimulates appetite while leptin, insulin, and $PYY_{3–36}$ all suppress it by regulating the secretion of NPY from the hypothalamus.

C Energy Expenditure Can Be Controlled by Adaptive Thermogenesis

The nutritional calories contained in food are utilized by an organism either in the performance of work or in the release of heat. Excess fuel is stored as glycogen or fat for future use. In well-balanced individuals, the storage of excess fuel remains constant over many years. However, when fuel consumed consistently outpaces energy expenditures, obesity results.

The body has several mechanisms for guarding against obesity. One of them, discussed above, is appetite control. Another is **diet-induced thermogenesis**, a

Courtesy of Richard D. Palmiter, University of Washington

FIG. 22-14 **Normal (*OB/OB*, *left*) and obese (*ob/ob*, *right*) mice.**

form of adaptive thermogenesis (heat production in response to environmental stress). We have already discussed adaptive thermogenesis in response to cold, which is accomplished by the uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation in brown adipose tissue (Box 18-4). The mechanism of this thermogenesis involves release of norepinephrine from the brain in response to cold and its binding to β-adrenergic receptors on brown adipose tissue, which is followed by an increase in cAMP that triggers an enzymatic phosphorylation cascade, leading to the activation of hormone-sensitive lipase. The increase in the concentration of free fatty acids provides fuel for oxidation and leads to the opening of a proton channel called uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1; alternatively, thermogenin) in the inner mitochondrial membrane. The opening of UCP1 dissipates the electrochemical gradient generated by electron transport (Section 18-2) and thereby uncouples electron transport from oxidative phosphorylation. The consequent continuation of fuel oxidation without ATP synthesis generates heat.

While it is clear from metabolic measurements that a high energy intake increases thermogenesis, the cause of this increase in adult humans is unclear and is under active investigation. Adult humans have little brown adipose tissue, but in response to stimulation, clusters of mitochondria-rich cells in white adipose tissue also produce UCP1. Some evidence suggests that these so-called beige fat cells play a role in preventing obesity. Intriguingly, exercising muscle releases a 112-residue peptide hormone called **irisin**, which promotes the development of beige adipocytes. This link could explain why exercise helps suppress weight gain both by using food calories to power muscle contraction and by promoting the conversion of excess calories to heat rather than fat. The use of irisin as a weight-loss drug has not yet been tested in humans.

CHECKPOINT

- Why is AMPK called the cell's fuel gauge?
- Summarize AMPK's activity in liver, muscle, and adipose tissue.
- Compare the roles of leptin, ghrelin, insulin, and PYY in controlling appetite.
- How does thermogenesis help prevent obesity?

4 Disturbances in Fuel Metabolism

KEY CONCEPTS

- During starvation, the body makes metabolic changes to maintain blood glucose levels.
- Diabetes may be caused by either insufficient production of insulin (type 1 diabetes) or an insensitivity to its presence (type 2 diabetes).
- Obesity may result from improper regulation of appetite or energy expenditure.
- Cancer cell metabolism is characterized by increased consumption of glucose and glutamine.

The complex systems that regulate fuel metabolism can malfunction, producing acute or chronic diseases of variable severity. Considerable effort has been directed at elucidating the molecular basis of conditions such as diabetes and obesity, both of which are essentially disorders of fuel metabolism. Fuel use also differs in cancerous cells, providing clues to possible anti-cancer therapies. In this section, we examine the metabolic changes that occur in starvation, diabetes, obesity, and cancer.

A Starvation Leads to Metabolic Adjustments

Because humans do not eat continuously, the disposition of dietary fuels and the mobilization of fuel stores shifts dramatically during the few hours between meals. Yet humans can survive fasts of up to a few months by adjusting their fuel metabolism. Such metabolic flexibility certainly evolved before modern humans became accustomed to thrice-daily meals.

Absorbed Fuels Are Allocated Immediately. When a meal is digested, nutrients are broken down to small, usually monomeric, units for absorption by the intestinal mucosa. From there, the products of digestion pass through the circulation to the rest of the body. Dietary proteins, for example, are broken down to amino acids for absorption. The small intestine uses amino acids for fuel, but most

travel to the liver via the portal vein. There, they are used for protein synthesis or, if present in excess, oxidized to produce energy or converted to glycogen for storage. They may also be converted to glucose or triacylglycerols for export, depending on conditions. There is no dedicated storage depot for amino acids; whatever the liver does not metabolize circulates to peripheral tissues to be catabolized or used for protein synthesis.

Dietary polysaccharides, like proteins, are degraded in the intestine, and the absorbed monomeric products (e.g., glucose derived from dietary starch) are delivered to the liver via the portal vein. As much as one-third of the dietary glucose is immediately converted to glycogen in the liver; at least half of the remainder is converted to glycogen in muscle cells, and the rest is oxidized by these and other tissues for immediate energy needs. Excess glucose is converted to triacylglycerol in the liver and exported for storage in adipose tissue. Both glucose uptake and glycogen and fatty acid biosynthesis are stimulated by insulin, whose concentration in the blood increases in response to high blood [glucose].

Dietary fatty acids are packaged as triacylglycerols in chylomicrons (Section 20-1), which circulate first in the lymph and then in the bloodstream and therefore are not delivered directly to the liver as are absorbed amino acids and carbohydrates. Instead, a significant portion of the dietary fatty acids are taken up by adipose tissue. Lipoprotein lipase first hydrolyzes the triacylglycerols, and the released fatty acids are absorbed and reesterified in the adipocytes.

Blood Glucose Remains Nearly Constant. As tissues take up and metabolize glucose, blood [glucose] drops, thereby causing the pancreatic α cells to release glucagon. This hormone stimulates glycogen breakdown and the release of glucose from the liver. It also promotes gluconeogenesis from amino acids and lactate. *The reciprocal effects of insulin and glucagon, which both respond to and regulate blood [glucose], ensure that the concentration of glucose available to extrahepatic tissues remains relatively constant.*

However, the body stores less than a day's supply of carbohydrate (Table 22-2). After an overnight fast, the combination of increased glucagon secretion and decreased insulin secretion promotes the mobilization of fatty acids from adipose tissue (Section 20-5). The diminished insulin also decreases glucose uptake by muscle tissue. Muscles therefore switch from glucose to fatty acid metabolism for energy production. This adaptation spares glucose for use by tissues, such as the brain, that cannot utilize fatty acids.

TABLE 22-2 Fuel Reserves for a Normal 70-kg Man

Fuel	Mass (kg)	Calories[a]
Tissues		
Fat (adipose triacylglycerols)	15	141,000
Protein (mainly muscle)	6	24,000
Glycogen (muscle)	0.150	600
Glycogen (liver)	0.075	300
Circulating fuels		
Glucose (extracellular fluid)	0.020	80
Free fatty acids (plasma)	0.0003	3
Triacylglycerols (plasma)	0.003	30
Total		**166,000**

[a]1 (dieter's) Calorie = 1 kcal = 4.184 kJ.

Source: Cahill, G.E., Jr., *New Engl. J. Med.* **282,** 669 (1970).

FIG. 22-15 Liver glycogen depletion during fasting. The liver glycogen content in seven subjects was measured using ^{13}C NMR over the course of a 64-hour fast. [After Rothman, D.L., Magnusson, I., Katz, L.D., Shulman, R.G., and Shulman, G.I., *Science* **254,** 575 (1991).]

Gluconeogenesis Supplies Glucose during Starvation. After a lengthy fast, the liver's store of glycogen becomes depleted (Fig. 22-15). Under these conditions, the rate of gluconeogenesis increases. Gluconeogenesis supplies ~96% of the glucose produced by the liver after 40 hours of fasting. The kidney also is active in gluconeogenesis under these conditions. In animals, glucose cannot be synthesized from fatty acids. This is because neither pyruvate nor oxaloacetate, the precursors of glucose in gluconeogenesis, can be synthesized in a net manner from acetyl-CoA. During starvation, glucose must therefore be synthesized from the glycerol product of triacylglycerol breakdown and, more importantly, from the amino acids derived from the proteolytic degradation of proteins, the major source of which is muscle. The breakdown of muscle cannot continue indefinitely, however, since loss of muscle mass would eventually prevent an animal from moving about in search of food. The organism must therefore make alternate metabolic arrangements.

Ketone Bodies Become a Major Energy Source during Starvation. After several days of starvation, the liver directs acetyl-CoA, which is derived from fatty acid β oxidation, to the synthesis of ketone bodies (Section 20-3). These fuels are then released into the blood. The brain gradually adapts to using ketone bodies as fuel through the synthesis of the appropriate enzymes: After a 3-day fast, only about one-third of the brain's energy requirements are satisfied by ketone bodies, and after 40 days of starvation, ~70% of its energy needs are so met. The rate of muscle breakdown during prolonged starvation consequently decreases to ~25% of its rate after a several-day fast. *The survival time of a starving individual therefore depends much more on the size of fat reserves than on muscle mass.* Indeed, highly obese individuals can survive a year or more without eating (and have done so in clinically supervised weight-reduction programs).

Caloric Restriction May Increase Longevity. Caloric restriction is a modified form of starvation whereby energy intake is reduced 30–40%, while micronutrient (vitamin and mineral) levels are maintained. Rodents kept on such a diet live up to 50% longer than rodents on normal diets. The lifespans of a large range of organisms from yeast to primates are similarly extended (although a >20-year study of caloric restriction in rhesus monkeys showed no increase in lifespan). Considerable research effort is being expended to determine the biochemical basis of these observations.

B Diabetes Mellitus Is Characterized by High Blood Glucose Levels

In the disease **diabetes mellitus** (often called just **diabetes**), which is the third leading cause of death in the United States after heart disease and cancer, insulin either is not secreted in sufficient amounts or does not efficiently stimulate its target cells. As a consequence, blood glucose levels become so elevated that the

glucose "spills over" into the urine (*mellitus* is Latin for honey-sweet), providing a convenient diagnostic test for the disease. Yet, despite the high blood glucose levels, cells "starve" since insulin-stimulated glucose entry into cells is impaired. Triacylglycerol hydrolysis, fatty acid oxidation, gluconeogenesis, and ketone body formation are accelerated and, in a condition known as **ketosis**, ketone body levels in the blood become abnormally high. Since ketone bodies are acids, their high concentration results in a condition called **acidosis** (which, when present with ketosis, is called **ketoacidosis**) that puts a strain on the buffering capacity of the blood and on the kidney, which controls blood pH by excreting the excess H^+ into the urine. This H^+ excretion is accompanied by NH_4^+, Na^+, K^+, P_i, and H_2O excretion, with the consequent frequent urination causing severe dehydration (excessive thirst is a classic symptom of diabetes) and a decrease in blood volume—ultimately life-threatening situations. The acetone arising from the spontaneous decarboxylation of the ketone body acetoacetate (Section 20-3) is not metabolized but rather is exhaled, giving the breath a solvent-like smell that is often mistaken for ethanol. Consequently, a poorly controlled diabetic who is mentally confused or comatose may be misdiagnosed as being drunk, a potentially fatal error.

There are two major forms of diabetes mellitus:

1. **Insulin-dependent, juvenile-onset,** or **type 1 diabetes mellitus,** which most often strikes suddenly in childhood.
2. **Non-insulin-dependent**, **maturity-onset,** or **type 2 diabetes mellitus,** which usually develops gradually after the age of 40, but is becoming more common in younger adults.

Sue Ford/Photo Researchers.

FIG. 22-16 Photo of a diabetic cataract. The accumulation of glucose in the lens leads to swelling and precipitation of lens proteins. The resulting opacification causes blurred vision and ultimately complete loss of sight.

Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Is Caused by a Deficiency of Pancreatic β Cells. In insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, insulin is absent or nearly so because the pancreas lacks or has defective β cells. This condition usually results from an autoimmune response that selectively destroys pancreatic β cells. Individuals with insulin-dependent diabetes, as Frederick Banting and Charles Best first demonstrated in 1921 (Box 22-2), require daily injections of insulin (for decades extracted from animal pancreases but now recombinant human insulin) to survive and must follow carefully balanced diet and exercise regimens. Their lifespans are, nevertheless, reduced by up to one-third as a result of degenerative complications such as kidney malfunction, nerve impairment, and cardiovascular disease that apparently arise from the imprecise metabolic control provided by periodic insulin injections. The **hyperglycemia** (high blood [glucose]) of diabetes mellitus also leads to blindness through retinal degeneration and the glucosylation of lens proteins, which causes cataracts (**Fig. 22-16**). However, with the recent development of devices that continually measure the blood glucose level and dispense insulin accordingly, these complications may be largely eliminated.

The usually rapid onset of the symptoms of insulin-dependent diabetes had suggested that the autoimmune attack on the pancreatic β cells is of short duration. Typically, however, the disease develops over several years as the immune system slowly destroys the β cells. Only when >80% of the cells have been eliminated do the classic symptoms of diabetes suddenly emerge. Consequently, one of the most successful treatments for insulin-dependent diabetes is a β-cell transplant, a procedure that became possible with the development of relatively benign immunosuppressive drugs.

Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes May Be Caused by a Deficiency of Insulin Receptors or Insulin Signal Transduction. Non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, which accounts for more than 90% of the diagnosed cases of diabetes and affects as many as 10% of adults worldwide, usually occurs in obese individuals with a genetic predisposition for the condition. These individuals have normal or even greatly elevated insulin levels, but their cells are not responsive to insulin and are therefore said to be **insulin resistant**. As a result, blood glucose concentrations are much higher than normal, particularly after a meal (**Fig. 22-17**).

FIG. 22-17 Twenty-four-hour plasma glucose profiles in normal and non-insulin-dependent diabetic subjects. The basal level of glucose and the peaks following meals are higher in the diabetic individuals. [After Bell, G.I., Pilkis, S.J., Weber, I.T., and Polonsky, K.S., *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **58,** 178 (1996).]

Box 22-2 Pathways of Discovery — Frederick Banting and Charles Best and the Discovery of Insulin

Frederick Banting (1891–1941)
Charles Best (1899–1978)

One of the most celebrated clinical research stories is the discovery and therapeutic use of insulin, the hormone missing in type 1 diabetes. Since the end of the nineteenth century, clinical scientists understood that there was a connection between diabetes and secretions from the pancreas, specifically, from the clumps of cells known as the islets of Langerhans. Several unsuccessful attempts were made to extract the secretions. In 1920, Frederick Banting, reading an account of such work, wondered whether an active extract could be purified if the pancreatic ducts, which collect potentially destructive digestive enzymes, were first ligated (closed off).

Banting, a young surgeon whose medical practice had not yet gotten off the ground, was working as a demonstrator at the University of Western Ontario. He took his idea to John J.R. Macleod, the head of the Department of Physiology at the University of Toronto. A skeptical Macleod gave Banting the use of laboratory space for the summer of 1921, access to experimental dogs, and the help of assistant Charles Best. Banting, despite his medical training and experience with the Canadian Army Medical Service, was not much of an experimentalist. Best, however, had just completed a degree in physiology and biochemistry and had already worked as an assistant to Macleod. Best quickly learned surgical techniques from Banting, as Banting picked up analytical techniques, such as measuring sugar levels in dogs' blood and urine, from Best.

Banting's and Best's experimental protocol required pancreatectomized dogs, but the surgery was difficult, and many dogs died of infection (it was rumored that strays from the streets of Toronto were gathered as replacements). Successfully pancreatectomized dogs, who developed the fatal symptoms of diabetes, were injected with a pancreatic extract that Banting and Best named insulin, after the Latin word *insula* (island). Finally, at the end of July, Banting and Best achieved their desired result, when the insulin injection dramatically reduced the dog's blood sugar level. The experiment was repeated, with similar results, in other dogs.

Although Banting's temporary position was to have been terminated at the end of the summer, his results were promising enough to secure him additional funding, the supervision of a more enthusiastic Macleod, and the continued assistance of Best, who had originally intended to work with Banting for only 2 months. By fall, Banting's and Best's success at prolonging the lives of diabetic dogs was putting enormous pressure on their ability to produce insulin in large amounts and of consistent purity. Assistance was offered by James Collip, a biochemist on sabbatical leave from the University of Alberta. Collip's insulin preparations, which were made without any clue as to the proteinaceous nature of the hormone, proved good enough for experimental use in humans. At that time, a diagnosis of diabetes was a virtual death sentence, with the only treatment being a severely limited diet that probably extended life for only a few months (many patients died of malnutrition).

In January 1923, Banting injected Collip's extract into Leonard Thompson, a 14-year-old diabetic who was near death. Thompson's blood sugar level immediately returned to normal, and his strength increased. Such a dramatic clinical outcome did not go unnoticed, and within months, Banting opened a clinic to begin treating diabetics desperate for a treatment that restored their hopes for a near-normal life. He enlisted the help of the Connaught Antitoxin Laboratories at the University of Toronto and the Eli Lilly Pharmaceutical Company to produce insulin on a scale suitable for clinical testing. Best, just 23, was put in charge of insulin production for all of Canada. It eventually became clear that Banting's original assumption—that the presence of digestive enzymes compromised the purification of insulin—was mistaken, and insulin could be successfully isolated from an intact pancreas.

Amid some controversy, the 1923 Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine was awarded to Banting and Macleod. Banting, annoyed by the omission of Best, split his share of the prize with his assistant. Macleod, who had not offered much support during the initial research, split his share with Collip. Banting subsequently held a variety of administrative posts and pursued research in silicosis, but he never accomplished much. He was killed in a plane crash en route to Britain for a wartime mission in 1941. Best, who replaced Macleod as head of physiology at the age of 29, continued his research into the biological action of insulin. He also supervised efforts to purify the anticoagulant glycosaminoglycan heparin and to produce dried human serum for medical use by the military.

Banting, F.G. and Best, C.H., The internal secretion of the pancreas, *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* **7,** 251–266 (1922).

The hyperglycemia that accompanies insulin resistance induces the pancreatic β cells to increase their production of insulin. Yet the high basal level of insulin secretion diminishes the ability of the β cells to respond to further increases in blood glucose. Consequently, the hyperglycemia and its attendant complications tend to worsen over time.

A small percentage of cases of type 2 diabetes result from mutations in the insulin receptor that affect its insulin-binding ability or tyrosine kinase activity. However, a clear genetic cause has not been identified in the vast majority of cases. It is therefore likely that many factors play a role in the development of the disease. For example, the increased insulin production resulting from overeating may eventually suppress the synthesis of insulin receptors. This hypothesis accounts for the observation that diet alone often decreases the severity of the disease.

Another hypothesis, put forward by Gerald Shulman, is that the elevated concentration of free fatty acids in the blood caused by obesity decreases insulin

signal transduction. This high concentration ultimately results in an activation of a PKC isoform that phosphorylates insulin receptor substrates (IRSs) on Ser and Thr, thereby inhibiting the Tyr phosphorylation that activates them. The failure to activate IRSs decreases the cell's response to insulin (Fig. 13-31).

Other treatments for non-insulin-dependent diabetes are drugs such as **metformin** and the **thiazolidinediones (TZDs)** (*at right*), which decrease insulin resistance by either suppressing glucose release by the liver (metformin) or promoting insulin-stimulated glucose disposal in muscle (TZDs). The drugs act by increasing cellular levels of AMP, which increases the AMP-to-ATP ratio and promotes AMPK phosphorylation and activity. The increase in AMPK activity decreases gluconeogenesis in liver and increases glucose utilization in muscle (Fig. 22-11). Metformin may also act by inhibiting glucagon signaling in the liver, because the rise in cellular AMP prevents the activation of adenylate cyclase by glucagon.

Metformin

A thiazolidinedione (TZD)

In addition, the TZDs decrease insulin resistance by binding to and activating a transcription factor known as a **peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor-γ** (**PPAR-γ**), primarily in adipose tissue. Among other things, PPAR-γ activation induces the synthesis of adiponectin (Section 22-3B), which leads to an increase in AMPK activity. In adipose tissue, AMPK action leads to a decrease in lipolysis and fatty acid export, decreasing the concentration of free fatty acids in the blood and therefore decreasing insulin resistance (see above). The TZDs are not without side effects, however. For example, **rosiglitazone (Avandia)**

Rosiglitazone (Avandia)

appears to increase the risk of heart attack and stroke by as much as 43%. Consequently, it has been banned in Europe, and its use in the United States is limited to patients for whom no other drug is effective.

Adipocytes and some other types of cells secrete a 108-residue polypeptide hormone called **resistin**. The hormone is named for its ability to block the action of insulin on adipocytes. In fact, resistin production is decreased by TZDs, a feature that led to its discovery. Overproduction of resistin has been proposed to contribute to the development of non-insulin-dependent diabetes. However, the effect may be indirect, as resistin functions as a pro-inflammatory signal, and chronic inflammation is often accompanied by insulin resistance.

C Obesity Is Usually Caused by Excessive Food Intake

The human body regulates glycogen and protein levels within relatively narrow limits, but fat reserves, which are much larger, can become enormous. The accumulation of fatty acids as triacylglycerols in adipose tissue is largely a result of excess fat or carbohydrate intake compared to energy expenditure. Fat synthesis from carbohydrates occurs when the carbohydrate intake is high enough that glycogen stores, to which excess carbohydrate is normally directed, approach their maximum capacity.

A chronic imbalance between fat and carbohydrate consumption and utilization increases the mass of adipose tissue through an increase in the number of adipocytes or their size (once formed, adipocytes are not lost, although their size may increase or decrease). The increase in adipose tissue mass increases the pool of fatty acids that can be mobilized to generate metabolic energy Eventually, a steady state is achieved in which the mass of adipose tissue no longer increases

and fat storage is balanced by fat mobilization. This phenomenon explains in part the high incidence of obesity in affluent societies, where fat- and carbohydrate-rich foods are plentiful and physical activity is not a requirement for survival. Considerable evidence suggests that behavior (e.g., eating habits and levels of physical activity) influences an individual's body composition. Yet, as we have seen (Section 22-3), some cases of obesity are also the result of innate disturbances in an individual's capacity to metabolize fuels.

The hormonal mechanisms that contribute to obesity and its attendant health problems, such as diabetes, may have conferred a selective advantage in premodern times. For example, the ability to gain weight easily would have protected against famine. One theory proposes that as humans evolved, the regulation of fuel metabolism was geared toward overeating large quantities of food when available, thereby obtaining essential nutrients while storing excess nonessential energy as fat for use during famine. In modern cultures in which famines are rare and nutritious food is readily available, human genetic heritage has apparently contributed to an epidemic of obesity: An estimated 30% of U.S. adults are obese and another 35% are overweight.

Severe obesity can sometimes be curbed through gastric bypass surgery or the placement of a gastric band (collectively known as bariatric surgery), which drastically reduces the effective size of the stomach. Although the surgery does not guarantee long-term weight loss, the immediate effects of these procedures include increased insulin sensitivity (i.e., the alleviation of type 2 diabetes) and decreased appetite. These responses likely reflect changes in hormone secretion by the stomach and intestine. In addition, the shortened digestive tract alters patterns of bile acid recycling and allows more oxygen to reach the colon, both of which can affect the makeup of the intestinal microbiome (Box 22-1) and the types or amounts of nutrients absorbed by the body.

Obesity Is a Contributing Factor in Metabolic Syndrome. **Metabolic syndrome** is a disturbance in metabolism characterized by insulin resistance, inflammation, and a predisposition to several disorders, including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and atherosclerosis. Those disorders are accompanied by an increase in coronary heart disease. Obesity, physical inactivity, and possibly genetic determinants have been implicated in its occurrence, which affects up to 25% of the population in the United States. Metabolic syndrome is particularly prevalent among members of ethnic groups that have adopted modern diets and lifestyles in recent generations.

Individuals with metabolic syndrome tend to have a relatively high proportion of visceral (abdominal) fat. This type of fat exhibits a different hormone profile than subcutaneous fat. For example, visceral fat produces less leptin and adiponectin (hormones that increase insulin sensitivity). Visceral fat also produces more TNFα, which is a powerful mediator of inflammation and a normal part of the body's immune defenses. Chronic inflammation triggered by excess visceral fat may be responsible for some of the symptoms, such as atherosclerosis, that characterize metabolic syndrome. The TNFα signaling pathway in cells may lead to phosphorylation of IRS-1, a modification that prevents its activation by the insulin receptor kinase. This would explain the insulin resistance of metabolic syndrome. High concentrations of circulating lipids may contribute to fat accumulation in organs such as the liver, which can lead to liver failure. Exercise, calorie/weight reduction, adiponectin, leptin, metformin, and TZDs have all been successfully used to treat metabolic syndrome. All of these increase AMPK activity, making AMPK itself a promising target for drug development.

D Cancer Metabolism

In the mid-1920s, Otto Warburg (Box 15-1) observed that cancer cells have a high rate of glycolysis. At first, this activity was attributed to lack of oxygen in poorly vascularized tumors (glycolysis is an anaerobic pathway), but it is now

FIG. 22-18 Metabolic changes in cancer. Pathways with increased flux in cancer are highlighted in green.

understood that cancer cells consume large quantities of glucose even when oxygen is plentiful, so this catabolic process is now known as **aerobic glycolysis**. Glucose breakdown does yield some ATP, but it also provides the intermediates for several anabolic pathways. Glucose, along with amino acids such as glutamine, supports the rapid growth and proliferation of cancer cells and some other highly active cells, such as the B and T lymphocytes that respond to infections (Section 7-3). Some features of cancer cell metabolism are summarized in Fig. 22-18.

Glucose Breakdown Provides Intermediates and Reducing Power. Under aerobic conditions, normal cells convert glucose mainly to pyruvate, which provides acetyl groups to feed the citric acid cycle, ultimately leading to the production of ATP by oxidative phosphorylation. In cancer cells, this pathway still occurs, but additional glucose is metabolized to meet the need for biosynthetic precursors and protection against the reactive oxygen species that are a byproduct of oxidative metabolism. In some cancer cells, glycolytic flux is increased in part because the cells overexpress the gene encoding the bifunctional enzyme phosphofructokinase-2/fructose bisphosphatase-2. This enzyme generates the allosteric regulator fructose-2,6-bisphosphate that promotes glycolytic flux at the phosphofructokinase-1 step. Increased catabolism of glucose increases the concentrations of glycolytic intermediates. The resulting 3-phosphoglycerate (3PG) can be diverted to generate serine (Section 21-5A), which, when converted to glycine, supplies one-carbon groups for other metabolic processes (Section 21-4D), including thymidine synthesis (Section 23-3B), that support cell growth and division. Glycine itself is a precursor of adenosine and guanosine nucleotides (Section 23-1).

The pyruvate kinase isoform PKM2, which is expressed in many cancer cells, functions as a control point for glucose metabolism. For example, PKM2 activity is stimulated by 5-aminoimidazole-4-(*N*-succinylocarboxamide) ribotide (SAICAR), an intermediate of the purine nucleotide synthesis pathway (Section 23-1A). As SAICAR accumulates, signifying adequate nucleotide synthesis, glucose catabolism is directed toward pyruvate; when nucleotide synthesis consumes SAICAR, glycolytic intermediates are redirected to the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6) and to the synthesis of serine and glycine (Section 21-5A). Serine allosterically activates PKM2, which helps fine-tune the use of glucose carbons for biosynthetic reactions.

Glycolytically generated pyruvate is a precursor of alanine (Section 21-5A), which is, after leucine, the most abundant amino acid in proteins (Table 4-1). Pyruvate can also be processed to acetyl-CoA, which combines with oxaloacetate to form citrate. But rather than continuing through the citric acid cycle in the mitochondrion, the citrate is transported to the cytoplasm, where it is converted by the action of ATP-citrate lyase back to acetyl groups destined for fatty acid synthesis (Section 20-4A). Both citrate and fatty acyl-CoA molecules inhibit the activity of phosphofructokinase-1, thereby directing relatively more glucose through the pentose phosphate pathway. This yields the ribose required for nucleotide synthesis as well as NADPH, whose reducing equivalents are used in lipid synthesis and to generate the reduced glutathione that prevents damage from reactive oxygen species (Box 15-4). Lactate, the primary end product of glycolysis in cancer cells, also inhibits phosphofructokinase-1 activity, further promoting flux through the pentose phosphate pathway.

Lactate may indirectly support the growth of cancer cells by initiating signaling cascades that alter gene expression patterns in the cancer cells themselves or in neighboring cells. For example, the endothelial cells that line blood vessels respond to lactate by multiplying to form new vessels to serve the growing tumor. Other cancer-specific metabolites participate in or interfere with reactions that modify DNA, a mechanism that more or less permanently alters patterns of gene expression in those cells. One of these interfering substances is **2-hydroxyglutarate** (*at left*), which is generated from isocitrate by a mutant form of isocitrate dehydrogenase that occurs in some cancers.

2-Hydroxyglutarate

Glutamine and Glutamate Metabolism Support Anabolic Processes. Glutamine, the most abundant of the amino acids circulating in the bloodstream, is a key nutrient for cancer cells. Glutamine is a nitrogen source in the synthesis of both purine and pyrimidine nucleotides (Sections 23-1 and 23-2). In addition, glutaminase converts glutamine to glutamate, which then undergoes transamination to produce α-ketoglutarate (Section 21-4C). Although the increased α-ketoglutarate can increase flux through the citric acid cycle to supply oxidative phosphorylation, much of it is used anabolically. For example, malate derived from α-ketoglutarate can be converted by the action of malic enzyme to pyruvate (Section 20-2E); this reaction also generates NADPH that is used in biosynthetic pathways. Oxaloacetate produced from α-ketoglutarate can be transaminated to aspartate, which is a precursor of purine nucleotides (Section 23-1A). Mitochondrial oxaloacetate can also condense with acetyl-CoA to generate citrate, which after transport to the cytoplasm, supplies cytosolic acetyl groups for fatty acid synthesis (Section 20-4A).

The activity of glutamate dehydrogenase, a major control point (Section 21-5A), is allosterically regulated by a variety of metabolites, including ADP (an activator) and GTP (an inhibitor), which helps tie amino acid metabolism to the cell's energy budget. Leucine also stimulates glutamate dehydrogenase activity, which could help balance amino acid use in cancer cells. Palmitoyl-CoA inhibits glutamate dehydrogenase activity, so when fatty acid synthesis rates are high and acyl-CoA groups accumulate, the cataplerotic (metabolite-supplying) function of the citric acid cycle diminishes. When fatty acid oxidation proceeds at a high rate, the glutamate dehydrogenase inhibition is relieved and glutamate can replenish α-ketoglutarate to increase the flux of fat-derived acetyl-CoA through the citric acid cycle.

Increased consumption of glucose and glutamine is characteristic of most cancer cells, but due to the multifactorial nature of cancer, there is no single mechanism that explains all the metabolic changes that occur in the transformation of a normal cell to a cancer cell. In fact, cancer cells are notoriously variable over time and even within the same tumor mass, so each cell may have a unique profile of enzymatic activities and metabolite concentrations. Nevertheless, a better understanding of cancer biology may provide additional targets for the development of anticancer drugs.

CHECKPOINT

- How does the body allocate food-derived fuel molecules?
- Describe the metabolic changes that occur during early and late starvation.
- Distinguish insulin-dependent and non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus.
- How does insulin resistance or the lack of insulin contribute to the typical symptoms of diabetes?
- How is obesity related to non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus?
- How does aerobic glycolysis differ from anaerobic glycolysis? Why is anaerobic glycolysis not really anaerobic?
- How does the metabolism of glucose and glutamine support the synthesis of nucleotides, lipids, and amino acids?

Summary

1 Organ Specialization

- The pathways for the synthesis and degradation of the major metabolic fuels (glucose, fatty acids, and amino acids) converge on acetyl-CoA and pyruvate. In mammals, flux through the pathways is tissue specific.
- The brain uses glucose as its primary metabolic fuel. Muscle can oxidize a variety of fuels but depends on anaerobic glycolysis for maximum exertion. Adipose tissue stores excess fatty acids as triacylglycerols and mobilizes them as needed.
- The liver maintains the concentrations of circulating fuels. The action of glucokinase allows liver to take up excess glucose, which can then be directed to several metabolic fates. The liver also converts fatty acids to ketone bodies and metabolizes amino acids derived from the diet or from protein breakdown. Both the liver and kidney carry out gluconeogenesis.
- The Cori cycle and the glucose–alanine cycle are multiorgan pathways through which the liver and muscle exchange metabolic intermediates.

2 Hormonal Control of Fuel Metabolism

- Hormones such as insulin, glucagon, and epinephrine transmit regulatory signals to target tissues by binding to receptors that transduce the signal to responses in the interior of the cell. Insulin promotes fuel storage, and glucagon and epinephrine mobilize stored fuels.

3 Metabolic Homeostasis

- AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK), the cell's fuel gauge, senses the cell's need for ATP and activates metabolic breakdown pathways while inhibiting biosynthetic pathways.
- Adiponectin, an adipocyte hormone that increases insulin sensitivity, acts by activating AMPK.
- Appetite is controlled in the hypothalamus by the hormones leptin, insulin, ghrelin, and PYY.

4 Disturbances in Fuel Metabolism

- During starvation, when dietary fuels are unavailable, the liver releases glucose first by glycogen breakdown and then by gluconeogenesis from amino acid precursors. Eventually, ketone bodies supplied by fatty acid breakdown meet most of the body's energy needs.
- Diabetes mellitus causes hyperglycemia and other physiological difficulties resulting from destruction of insulin-producing pancreatic β cells or from insulin resistance (from loss of receptors or their insensitivity to insulin).
- Obesity, an imbalance between food intake and energy expenditure, may result from abnormal regulation by peptide hormones produced in different tissues.
- Metabolic syndrome is caused by obesity, physical inactivity, and possibly genetic determinants.
- Increased glucose and glutamine consumption in cancer cells supports biosynthetic processes through pathways such as glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway, and the cataplerotic activity of the citric acid cycle.

Key Terms

microbiome **777**
Cori cycle **780**
oxygen debt **781**
glucose–alanine cycle **781**
metabolic homeostasis **781**
ischemia **787**
diet-induced thermogenesis **789**
diabetes **792**
ketosis **793**
hyperglycemia **793**
insulin resistance **793**
metabolic syndrome **796**
aerobic glycolysis **797**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Adaptation to high altitude includes increases in GLUT1 and phosphofructokinase in muscle. Explain why this would be advantageous.

2. The heart cannot convert lactate back to glucose, as the liver does, but instead uses it as a fuel. What is the ATP yield from the complete catabolism of one mole of lactate?

3. Toxins that occur in certain mushrooms block β oxidation in humans. Explain why ingesting the mushrooms leads to heart palpitations and severe hypoglycemia (low blood sugar).

4. Growth factor stimulation of cells leads to inhibition of pyruvate kinase. As a result, glycolytic intermediates are redirected to the pentose phosphate pathway. Explain why this would help promote cell growth and division.

5. The passive glucose transporter named GLUT1 (Fig. 10-13) is present in the membranes of many cells, but not in the liver. Instead, liver cells express the GLUT2 transporter, which exhibits different transport kinetics. Given what you know about the role of the liver in buffering blood glucose, compare the K_M values of GLUT1 and GLUT2.

6. Use the results of Problem 5 to explain why a deficiency of GLUT2 produces symptoms resembling those of Type 1 glycogen storage disease (Box 16-2).

7. The small intestine catabolizes amino acids, producing significant amounts of NH_4^+. Describe the metabolic fate of the NH_4^+.

8. (a) Identify the two reactions that allow the kidney to produce NH_4^+. (b) Which gluconeogenic precursor is thereby generated? Describe the pathway by which it can be converted to glucose.

9. The Bacteroides and Firmicutes, the most abundant organisms colonizing the human colon (large intestine), are obligate anaerobes that rely exclusively on fermentation rather than respiration. In response to injury or infection, cells of the immune system generate reactive oxygen species (Section 18-4B) and reactive nitrogen species (Section 21-6C) whose breakdown products, especially nitrate, can serve as electron acceptors for respiration by less-abundant facultative anaerobes such as the Enterobacteriaceae. Explain why the Enterobacteriaceae population flourishes while the Bacteroides and Firmicate populations dwindle during inflammation.

10. Some foods containing live microorganisms, known as probiotics, are advertised for their health benefits. Offer a reason why consuming such foods in most cases has no perceptible effect on the function of an individual's digestive system or his/her health.

11. Explain why insulin is required for adipocytes to synthesize triacylglycerols from fatty acids.

12. Predict the effect of an overdose of insulin on brain function in a normal person.

13. Would you expect insulin to increase or decrease the activity of the enzyme ATP-citrate lyase?

14. Stimulation of a certain G_s protein–coupled receptor activates protein kinase A (PKA). Predict the effect of PKA activation on the following substrates of PKA: (a) acetyl-CoA carboxylase, (b) glycogen synthase, (c) hormone-sensitive lipase, and (d) phosphorylase kinase.

15. Why can adipose tissue be considered to be an endocrine organ?

16. If the circulatory system of an *ob/ob* mouse is surgically joined to that of a normal mouse, what will be the effect on the appetite and weight of the *ob/ob* mouse?

17. In experiments to test the appetite-suppressing effects of $PYY_{3–36}$, why must the hormone be administered intravenously rather than orally?

18. When administered intravenously, the peptide hormone $PYY_{3–36}$ sometimes triggers nausea in humans. Is this consistent with its biological function?

19. In addition to regulating AMPK activity, adiponectin has anti-inflammatory activity, which makes it attractive as a potential drug for treating inflammatory diseases. Explain why researchers are searching for adiponectin receptor agonists rather than testing adiponectin itself as a drug.

20. Explain why mice deficient in UCP1 become obese when raised at 28°C but not at 20°C.

21. Explain why type 1 diabetics require insulin injections, whereas insulin injections are effective in only a portion of type 2 diabetics.

22. Explain why a common diagnostic test for diabetes involves orally administering a glucose solution to an individual and then measuring the concentration of blood glucose two hours later.

23. AMPK activates phosphofructokinase-2. Explain how this would contribute to the antidiabetic effect of stimulating AMPK activity.

24. Explain why the probability of death as a function of body mass index (a measure of obesity) is a U-shaped curve.

25. High concentrations of 3-phosphoglycerate inhibit 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase. How does this regulatory mechanism help control the growth of a cancer cell?

26. What is the advantage for some tumors to stop expressing the genes for glucose-6-phosphatase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, and fructose bisphosphatase?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

27. Why does oxidative metabolism, which generates ATP, cease when a cell's ATP supply is exhausted?

28. Individuals with a deficiency of medium chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MCAD) tend to develop nonketotic hypoglycemia. Explain why this defect makes them unable to undertake (a) ketogenesis and (b) gluconeogenesis.

29. Pancreatic β cells express a receptor for fatty acids. Fatty acid binding to the protein appears to stimulate insulin secretion. Does this phenomenon make metabolic sense?

30. Fatty acids appear to stimulate insulin secretion to a much greater extent when glucose is also present. Why is this significant?

31. Substances derived from food can act as hormones when they enter the circulation. Explain why it is advantageous for leucine to act on the central nervous system to reduce food intake.

32. Explain why it makes metabolic sense for adipocytes to release leptin in response to circulating short-chain fatty acids.

33. Experienced runners know that it is poor practice to ingest large amounts of glucose immediately before running a marathon. What is the metabolic basis for this apparent paradox?

34. After several days of starvation, the capacity of the liver to metabolize acetyl-CoA via the citric acid cycle is greatly diminished. Explain.

35. High concentrations of free fatty acids in the blood are known to cause insulin resistance in muscle, but only after 5 hours. This suggests that a metabolite of the fatty acids may be responsible for this phenomenon. It is also known that an isoform of protein kinase C is activated during the process and that high concentrations of free fatty acids result in intramuscular accumulation of triacylglycerols. With this information, review the mechanism of activation of PKC and the pathway of triacylglycerol biosynthesis and suggest a metabolite that may be responsible for PKC activation.

36. Pancreatic β cells release a peptide hormone, amylin, along with insulin. Amylin acts on the brain to slow gastric emptying and inhibit the release of digestive enzymes from the pancreas. (a) Explain why amylin's effects are synergistic with insulin's. (b) In a hypoglycemic individual, amylin does not slow gastric emptying. Why is this beneficial?

37. Discuss, in terms of AMPK activity and GLUT4, how physical inactivity might lead to insulin resistance.

38. In acute lymphoblastic leukemia, the cancerous white blood cells typically lack the enzyme asparagine synthetase. Why is the administration of asparaginase an effective therapy for this type of cancer?

39. Describe the metabolic effects of liver failure.

40. Among the many eat-all-you-want-and-lose-weight diets that have been popular for a time is one that eliminates all carbohydrates but permits the consumption of all the protein and fat desired. Would such a diet be effective? (*Hint:* Individuals on such a diet often complain that they have bad breath.)

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Insulin Secretion and the KEGG Database

Exercise 2 Insulin Control of Glucose Metabolism and the KEGG Database

CASE STUDIES *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 25 Glycogen Storage Diseases

Focus concept: Disturbances in glycogen utilization result if an enzyme involved in glycogen synthesis or degradation is missing.
Prerequisites: Chapters 16 and 22

- Glycogen synthesis and degradation pathways
- The link between glycogen metabolism and fat metabolism

Case 28 The Bacterium *Helicobacter pylori* and Peptic Ulcers

Focus concept: The entire genome of *Helicobacter pylori* has been sequenced. This allows biochemists to examine the organism's proteins in detail. In this case, mechanisms employed by *Helicobacter pylori* that permit it to survive in the acidic environment of the stomach are examined.
Prerequisites: Chapters 6 and 15–22

- Protein structure and function
- Basic metabolic pathways up through amino acid metabolism

Case 30 Phenylketonuria

Focus concept: The characteristics of phenylalanine hydroxylase, the enzyme missing in persons afflicted with the genetic disorder phenylketonuria (PKU), are examined.
Prerequisites: Chapters 21 and 22

- Amino acid synthesis and degradation pathways
- Integration of amino acid metabolic pathways with carbohydrate metabolic pathways

MORE TO EXPLORE Anecdotal evidence suggests that sleep and obesity are related: less sleep tends to promote weight gain, and vice versa. What are the hormonal links between the basic drives for food and rest? Is there evidence that increasing the time spent sleeping can lead to weight loss?

References

Ashcroft, F.M. and Rorsman, P., Diabetes mellitus and the β cell: The last ten years, *Cell* **148,** 1160–1171 (2012).

Coll, A.P., Farooqi, I.S., and O'Rahilly, S., The hormonal control of food intake, *Cell* **129,** 251–262 (2007).

Ding, T. and Schloss, P.D., Dynamics and associations of microbial community types across the human body, *Nature* **509,** 357–360 (2014).

Huang, H. and Czech, M.P., The GLUT4 glucose transporter, *Cell Metabolism* **5,** 237–252 (2007).

Kadowaki, T. and Yamauchi, T., Adiponectin and adiponectin receptors, *Endocrine Rev.* **26,** 439–451 (2005).

Kahn, S.E., Hull, R.L., and Utzschneider, K.M., Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes, *Nature* **444,** 840–856 (2006).

Nakar, V.A., et al., AMPK and PPARγ are exercise mimics, *Cell* **134,** 405–415 (2008).

Obesity, *Science* **299,** 845–860 (2003). [A series of informative reports on the origins and treatments of obesity.]

Odegaard, J.I. and Chawla, A., Pleiotropic actions of insulin resistance and inflammation in metabolic homeostasis, *Science* **339,** 172–177 (2013).

Pierce, V., Carobbio, S., and Vidal-Puig, A., The different shades of fat, *Nature* **510,** 76–83 (2014).

Shirwany, N.A. and Zou, M.H., AMPK: a cellular metabolic and redox sensor. A minireview, *Front. Biosci.* **19,** 447–474 (2014).

Spiegelman, B.M. and Flier, J.S., Obesity and the regulation of energy balance, *Cell* **104,** 531–543 (2001).

Stine, Z.E., and Dang, C.V., Stress eating and tuning out: Cancer cells re-wire metabolism to counter stress, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.,* **48,** 609–619 (2013).

Towler, M.C. and Hardie, D.G., AMP-Activated protein kinase in metabolic control and insulin signaling, *Circulation Res.* **100,** 328–341 (2007).

CHAPTER 23

Nucleotide Metabolism

Chapter Contents

1 **Synthesis of Purine Ribonucleotides**
- A Purine Synthesis Yields Inosine Monophosphate
- B IMP Is Converted to Adenine and Guanine Ribonucleotides
- C Purine Nucleotide Biosynthesis Is Regulated at Several Steps
- D Purines Can Be Salvaged

2 **Synthesis of Pyrimidine Ribonucleotides**
- A UMP Is Synthesized in Six Steps
- B UMP Is Converted to UTP and CTP
- C Pyrimidine Nucleotide Biosynthesis Is Regulated at ATCase or Carbamoyl Phosphate Synthetase II

3 **Formation of Deoxyribonucleotides**
- A Ribonucleotide Reductase Converts Ribonucleotides to Deoxyribonucleotides
- B dUMP Is Methylated to Form Thymine

4 **Nucleotide Degradation**
- A Purine Catabolism Yields Uric Acid
- B Some Animals Degrade Uric Acid
- C Pyrimidines Are Broken Down to Malonyl-CoA and Methylmalonyl-CoA

Roger Wilmshurst/Science Source

Certain fungal species, which grow mainly underground, send up fruiting bodies to form a "fairy ring." The surrounding grass often grows thickly in the same circular pattern, due to the release of a fungal nucleotide derivative that acts as a growth factor for plants.

Nucleotides are phosphate esters of a pentose (ribose or deoxyribose) in which a purine or pyrimidine base is linked to C1′ of the sugar (Section 3-1). Nucleoside triphosphates are the monomeric units that act as precursors of nucleic acids; nucleotides also perform a wide range of other biochemical functions. For example, we have seen how the cleavage of "high-energy" compounds such as ATP provides the free energy that makes various reactions thermodynamically favorable. We have also seen that nucleotides are components of some of the central cofactors of metabolism, including FAD, NAD^+, and coenzyme A. The importance of nucleotides in cellular metabolism is indicated by the observation that nearly all cells can synthesize them both *de novo* (anew) and from the degradation products of nucleic acids. However, unlike carbohydrates, amino acids, and fatty acids, nucleotides do not provide a significant source of metabolic energy.

In this chapter, we consider the nature of the nucleotide biosynthetic pathways. In doing so, we will examine how they are regulated and the consequences of their blockade, both by genetic defects and through the administration of chemotherapeutic agents. We then discuss how nucleotides are degraded. In following the general chemical themes of nucleotide metabolism, we will break our discussion into sections on purines, pyrimidines, and deoxynucleotides (including thymidylate). The structures and nomenclature of the major purines and pyrimidines are given in Table 3-1.

1 Synthesis of Purine Ribonucleotides

KEY CONCEPTS

- IMP is synthesized through the assembly of a purine base on ribose-5-phosphate.
- Kinases convert IMP-derived AMP and GMP to ATP and GTP.
- Purine nucleotide synthesis is regulated by feedback inhibition and feedforward activation.
- Salvage reactions convert purines to their nucleotide forms.

In 1948, John Buchanan obtained the first clues to the *de novo* synthesis of purine nucleotides by feeding a variety of isotopically labeled compounds to pigeons and chemically determining the positions of the labeled atoms in their excreted **uric acid** (a purine; *at right*).

Uric acid

The results of his studies demonstrated that N1 of purines arises from the amino group of aspartate; C2 and C8 originate from formate; N3 and N9 are contributed by the amide group of glutamine; C4, C5, and N7 are derived from glycine (strongly suggesting that this molecule is wholly incorporated into the purine ring); and C6 comes from HCO_3^-.

The actual pathway by which the precursors are incorporated into the purine ring was elucidated in subsequent investigations performed largely by Buchanan and G. Robert Greenberg. The initially synthesized purine derivative is **inosine monophosphate (IMP;** *at right*), the nucleotide of the base **hypoxanthine.** IMP is the precursor of both AMP and GMP. Thus, contrary to expectation, *purines are initially formed as ribonucleotides rather than as free bases*. Additional studies have demonstrated that such widely divergent organisms as *E. coli,* yeast, pigeons, and humans have virtually identical pathways for the biosynthesis of purine nucleotides, thereby further demonstrating the biochemical unity of life.

Inosine monophosphate (IMP)

A Purine Synthesis Yields Inosine Monophosphate

IMP is synthesized in a pathway composed of 11 reactions (Fig. 23-1):

1. **Activation of ribose-5-phosphate.** The starting material for purine biosynthesis is α-D-ribose-5-phosphate, a product of the pentose phosphate pathway (Section 15-6). In the first step of purine biosynthesis, **ribose phosphate pyrophosphokinase** activates the ribose by reacting it with ATP to form **5-phosphoribosyl-α-pyrophosphate (PRPP)**. This compound is also a precursor in the biosynthesis of pyrimidine nucleotides (Section 23-2A) and the amino acids histidine and tryptophan (Section 21-5B). As is expected for an enzyme at such an important biosynthetic crossroads, the activity of ribose phosphate pyrophosphokinase is precisely regulated.
2. **Acquisition of purine atom N9.** In the first reaction unique to purine biosynthesis, **amidophosphoribosyl transferase** catalyzes the displacement of PRPP's pyrophosphate group by glutamine's amide nitrogen. The reaction occurs with inversion of the α configuration at C1 of PRPP, thereby forming **β-5-phosphoribosylamine** and establishing the anomeric form of the future nucleotide. The reaction, which is driven to completion by the subsequent hydrolysis of the released PP_i, is the pathway's flux-controlling step.
3. **Acquisition of purine atoms C4, C5, and N7.** Glycine's carboxyl group forms an amide with the amino group of phosphoribosylamine, yielding **glycinamide ribotide (GAR).** This reaction is reversible, despite its concomitant hydrolysis of ATP to ADP + P_i. It is the only step of the purine biosynthetic pathway in which more than one purine ring atom is acquired.

FIG. 23-1 The metabolic pathway for the *de novo* biosynthesis of IMP. Here the purine residue is built up on a ribose ring in 11 enzyme-catalyzed reactions. The X-ray structures for all the enzymes are shown to the outside of the corresponding reaction arrow. The peptide chains of monomeric enzymes are colored in rainbow order from N-terminus (*blue*) to C-terminus (*red*). The oligomeric enzymes, all of which consist of identical polypeptide chains, are viewed along a rotation axis with their various chains differently colored. Bound ligands are shown in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. [PDBids: enzyme 1, 1DKU; enzyme 2, 1AO0; enzyme 3, 1GSO; enzyme 4, 1CDE; enzyme 5, 1VK3; enzyme 6, 1CLI; enzyme 7, 1D7A (PurE) and 1B6S (PurK); enzyme 8, 1A48; enzyme 9, 1C3U; enzymes 10 and 11, 1G8M.] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Identify the type of reaction that occurs at each step.

4. **Acquisition of purine atom C8.** GAR's free α-amino group is formylated to yield **formylglycinamide ribotide** (**FGAR**). The formyl donor in the reaction is N^{10}-formyltetrahydrofolate (N^{10}-formyl-THF), a coenzyme that transfers C_1 units (THF cofactors are described in Section 21-4D). The X-ray structure of the enzyme catalyzing the reaction, **GAR transformylase,** in complex with GAR and the THF analog **5-deazatetrahydrofolate (5dTHF)** was determined by Robert Almassy (Fig. 23-2). Note the proximity of the GAR amino group to N10 of 5dTHF. This supports enzymatic studies suggesting that the GAR transformylase reaction proceeds via the nucleophilic attack of the GAR amine group on the formyl carbon of N^{10}-formyl-THF to yield a tetrahedral intermediate.
5. **Acquisition of purine atom N3.** The amide amino group of a second glutamine is transferred to the growing purine ring to form **formylglycinamidine ribotide** (**FGAM**). This reaction is driven by the coupled hydrolysis of ATP to ADP + P_i.
6. **Formation of the purine imidazole ring.** The purine imidazole ring is closed in an ATP-requiring intramolecular condensation that yields **5-aminoimidazole ribotide** (**AIR**). The aromatization of the imidazole ring is facilitated by the tautomeric shift of the reactant from its imine to its enamine form.
7. **Acquisition of C6.** Purine C6 is introduced as HCO_3^- (CO_2) in a reaction catalyzed by **AIR carboxylase** that yields **carboxyaminoimidazole ribotide** (**CAIR**). In yeast, plants, and most prokaryotes (including *E. coli*), AIR carboxylase consists of two proteins called **PurE** and **PurK.** Although PurE alone can catalyze the carboxylation reaction, its K_M for HCO_3^- is ~110 mM, so the reaction would require an unphysiologically high HCO_3^- concentration (~100 mM) to proceed. PurK decreases the HCO_3^- concentration required for the PurE reaction by >1000-fold but at the expense of ATP hydrolysis.
8. **Acquisition of N1.** Purine atom N1 is contributed by aspartate in an amide-forming condensation reaction yielding **5-aminoimidazole-4-(*N*-succinylocarboxamide) ribotide (SACAIR)**. This reaction, which is driven by the hydrolysis of ATP, chemically resembles Reaction 3.
9. **Elimination of fumarate.** SACAIR is cleaved with the release of fumarate, yielding **5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide (AICAR).** Reactions 8 and 9 chemically resemble the reactions in the urea cycle in which citrulline is aminated to form arginine (Section 21-3A). In both pathways, aspartate's amino group is transferred to an acceptor through an ATP-driven coupling reaction followed by the elimination of the aspartate carbon skeleton as fumarate.
10. **Acquisition of C2.** The final purine ring atom is acquired through formylation by N^{10}-formyl-THF, yielding **5-formaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide** (**FAICAR**). This reaction and Reaction 4 of purine biosynthesis are inhibited indirectly by **sulfonamides,** structural analogs of the *p*-aminobenzoic acid constituent of THF (Section 21-4D).
11. **Cyclization to form IMP.** The final reaction in the purine biosynthetic pathway, ring closure to form IMP, occurs through the elimination of water. In contrast to Reaction 6, the cyclization that forms the imidazole ring, this reaction does not require ATP hydrolysis.

FIG. 23-2 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* GAR transformylase in complex with GAR and 5dTHF. The monomeric protein is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). GAR (*upper middle*) and 5dTHF (*lower left*) are drawn in stick form with GAR C green, 5dTHF C magenta, N blue, O red, and P orange. Note the close (3.3-Å) approach of the GAR amino group to N10 of 5dTHF. [Based on an X-ray structure by Robert Almassy, Agouron Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, California. PDBid 1CDE.]

In animals, Reactions 10 and 11 are catalyzed by a bifunctional enzyme, as are Reactions 7 and 8. Reactions 3, 4, and 6 also take place on a single protein. *The intermediate products of these multifunctional enzymes are not readily released to the medium but are channeled to the succeeding enzymatic activities of the pathway.* As in the reactions catalyzed by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex

(Section 17-2), fatty acid synthase (Section 20-4C), bacterial glutamate synthase (Section 21-7), and tryptophan synthase (Section 21-5B), channeling in the nucleotide synthetic pathways increases the overall rate of these multistep processes and protects intermediates from degradation by other cellular enzymes.

B IMP Is Converted to Adenine and Guanine Ribonucleotides

IMP does not accumulate in the cell but is rapidly converted to AMP and GMP. AMP, which differs from IMP only in the replacement of its 6-keto group by an amino group, is synthesized in a two-reaction pathway (**Fig. 23-3**, *left*). In the first reaction, aspartate's amino group is linked to IMP in a reaction powered by the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP + P_i to yield **adenylosuccinate.** In the second reaction, **adenylosuccinate lyase** eliminates fumarate from adenylosuccinate to form AMP. The same enzyme catalyzes Reaction 9 of the IMP pathway (Fig. 23-1). Both reactions add a nitrogen with the elimination of fumarate.

Mycophenolic acid

GMP is also synthesized from IMP in a two-reaction pathway (Fig. 23-3, *right*). In the first reaction, IMP is dehydrogenated via the reduction of NAD^+ to form **xanthosine monophosphate (XMP;** the ribonucleotide of the base **xanthine).** XMP is then converted to GMP by the transfer of the glutamine amide nitrogen in a reaction driven by the hydrolysis of ATP to AMP + PP_i (and subsequently to 2 P_i). In B and T lymphocytes, which mediate the immune response, IMP dehydrogenase activity is high in order to supply the guanosine the cells need for proliferation. The fungal compound **mycophenolic acid** (*at left*) inhibits the enzyme and is used as an immunosuppressant following kidney transplants.

FIG. 23-3 Conversion of IMP to AMP or GMP in separate two-reaction pathways. The X-ray structures of the homo-oligomeric enzymes catalyzing the reactions are shown as is described in the legend for Fig. 23-1. [PDBids: adenylosuccinate synthetase, 1GIM; adenylosuccinate lyase, 1C3U; IMP dehydrogenase, 1JR1; GMP synthetase, 1GPM.]

? Why does it make sense for GTP to be a cofactor for AMP synthesis and ATP a cofactor for GMP synthesis?

Nucleoside Diphosphates and Triphosphates Are Synthesized by the Phosphorylation of Nucleoside Monophosphates. *To participate in nucleic acid synthesis, nucleoside monophosphates must first be converted to the corresponding nucleoside triphosphates.* First, nucleoside diphosphates are synthesized from the corresponding nucleoside monophosphates by base-specific **nucleoside monophosphate kinases.** For example, adenylate kinase (Section 14-2C) catalyzes the phosphorylation of AMP to ADP:

$$\text{AMP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons 2\ \text{ADP}$$

Similarly, GDP is produced by **guanylate kinase:**

$$\text{GMP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{GDP} + \text{ADP}$$

These nucleoside monophosphate kinases do not discriminate between ribose and deoxyribose in the substrate.

Nucleoside diphosphates are converted to the corresponding triphosphates by **nucleoside diphosphate kinase;** for instance,

$$\text{GDP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{GTP} + \text{ADP}$$

Although the reaction is written with ATP as the phosphoryl donor, this enzyme exhibits no preference for the bases of its substrates or for ribose over deoxyribose. Furthermore, the nucleoside diphosphate kinase reaction, as might be expected from the nearly identical structures of its substrates and products, normally operates close to equilibrium ($\Delta G \approx 0$). ADP is, of course, also converted to ATP by a variety of energy-releasing reactions, such as those of glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation. Indeed, it is those reactions that ultimately drive the foregoing kinase reactions.

C Purine Nucleotide Biosynthesis Is Regulated at Several Steps

The pathways synthesizing IMP, ATP, and GTP are individually regulated in most cells so as to control the total amounts of purine nucleotides available for nucleic acid synthesis, as well as the relative amounts of ATP and GTP. This control network is diagrammed in Fig. 23-4.

FIG. 23-4 Control of the purine biosynthesis pathway. Red octagons and green circles indicate control points. Feedback inhibition is indicated by dashed red arrows, and feedforward activation is represented by a dashed green arrow. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

The IMP pathway is regulated at its first two reactions: those catalyzing the synthesis of PRPP and 5-phosphoribosylamine. Ribose phosphate pyrophosphokinase, the enzyme catalyzing Reaction 1 of the IMP pathway (Fig. 23-1), is inhibited by both ADP and GDP. Amidophosphoribosyl transferase, the enzyme catalyzing the first committed step of the IMP pathway (Reaction 2), is likewise subject to feedback inhibition. In this case, the enzyme binds ATP, ADP, and AMP at one inhibitory site and GTP, GDP, and GMP at another. *The rate of IMP production is therefore independently but synergistically controlled by the levels of adenine nucleotides and guanine nucleotides.* Moreover, amidophosphoribosyl transferase is allosterically stimulated by PRPP (**feedforward activation**).

A second level of regulation occurs immediately below the branch point leading from IMP to AMP and GMP. AMP and GMP are each competitive inhibitors of IMP in their own synthesis, which prevents excessive buildup of the pathway products. In addition, the rates of adenine and guanine nucleotide synthesis are coordinated. Recall that GTP powers the synthesis of AMP from IMP, whereas ATP powers the synthesis of GMP from IMP (Fig. 23-3). This reciprocity balances the production of AMP and GMP (which are required in roughly equal amounts in nucleic acid biosynthesis): *The rate of synthesis of GMP increases with [ATP], whereas that of AMP increases with [GTP].*

D Purines Can Be Salvaged

In most cells, the turnover of nucleic acids, particularly some types of RNA, releases adenine, guanine, and hypoxanthine (Section 23-4A). These free purines are reconverted to their corresponding nucleotides through **salvage pathways**. In contrast to the *de novo* purine nucleotide synthetic pathway, which is virtually identical in all cells, salvage pathways are diverse in character and distribution. In mammals, purines are mostly salvaged by two different enzymes. **Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT)** mediates AMP formation using PRPP:

$$\text{Adenine} + \text{PRPP} \rightleftharpoons \text{AMP} + \text{PP}_i$$

Hypoxanthine–guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT) catalyzes the analogous reaction for both hypoxanthine and guanine:

$$\text{Hypoxanthine} + \text{PRPP} \rightleftharpoons \text{IMP} + \text{PP}_i$$
$$\text{Guanine} + \text{PRPP} \rightleftharpoons \text{GMP} + \text{PP}_i$$

Lesch–Nyhan Syndrome Results from HGPRT Deficiency. The symptoms of **Lesch–Nyhan syndrome**, which is caused by a severe HGPRT deficiency, indicate that purine salvage reactions have functions other than conservation of the energy required for *de novo* purine biosynthesis. This sex-linked congenital defect (it affects mostly males) results in excessive uric acid production (uric acid is a purine degradation product; Section 23-4A) and neurological abnormalities such as spasticity, mental retardation, and highly aggressive and destructive behavior, including a bizarre compulsion toward self-mutilation. For example, many children with Lesch–Nyhan syndrome have such an irresistible urge to bite their lips and fingers that they must be restrained. If the restraints are removed, communicative patients will plead that the restraints be replaced, even as they attempt to injure themselves.

The excessive uric acid production in patients with Lesch–Nyhan syndrome is readily explained. The lack of HGPRT activity leads to an accumulation of the PRPP that would normally be used to salvage hypoxanthine and guanine. The excess PRPP activates amidophosphoribosyl transferase (which catalyzes Reaction 2 of the IMP biosynthetic pathway), thereby greatly accelerating the synthesis of purine nucleotides and thus the formation of their degradation product, uric acid. Yet the physiological basis of the associated neurological abnormalities remains obscure. That a defect in a single enzyme can cause such profound but well-defined behavioral changes nevertheless has important neurophysiological implications.

CHECKPOINT

- List the starting materials and cofactors required for IMP biosynthesis.
- Describe the importance of multifunctional enzymes in nucleotide biosynthesis.
- Describe the reactions that convert IMP to ATP and GTP.
- How do guanine and adenine nucleotides inhibit their own synthesis? How do they promote synthesis of each other?
- Describe how free purines are converted back to nucleotides.

2 Synthesis of Pyrimidine Ribonucleotides

KEY CONCEPTS

- UMP is synthesized as a pyrimidine base to which ribose-5-phosphate is added.
- CTP and UTP are derived from UMP.
- The early steps of pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis are the pathway's major control points.

The biosynthesis of pyrimidines is simpler than that of purines. Isotopic labeling experiments have shown that atoms N1, C4, C5, and C6 of the pyrimidine ring are all derived from aspartic acid, C2 arises from HCO_3^-, and N3 is contributed by glutamine.

A UMP Is Synthesized in Six Steps

UMP, which is also the precursor of CMP, is synthesized in a six-reaction pathway (Fig. 23-5). In contrast to purine nucleotide synthesis, the pyrimidine ring is coupled to the ribose-5-phosphate moiety *after* the ring has been synthesized.

FIG. 23-5 The *de novo* synthesis of UMP. The X-ray structures of the six enzymes catalyzing the reactions are shown as is described in the legend for Fig. 23-1. [PDBids: enzyme 1, 1BXR; enzyme 2, 5AT1; enzyme 3, 1J79; enzyme 4, 1D3H; enzyme 5, 1OPR; enzyme 6, 1DBT.] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Identify the type of reaction that occurs at each step.

1. **Synthesis of carbamoyl phosphate.** The first reaction of pyrimidine biosynthesis is the synthesis of **carbamoyl phosphate** from HCO_3^- and the amide nitrogen of glutamine by the cytosolic enzyme **carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II.** This reaction consumes two molecules of ATP: One provides a phosphate group and the other energizes the reaction. Carbamoyl phosphate is also synthesized in the urea cycle (Section 21-3A). In that reaction, catalyzed by the mitochondrial enzyme carbamoyl phosphate synthetase I, ammonia is the nitrogen source.
2. **Synthesis of carbamoyl aspartate.** Condensation of carbamoyl phosphate with aspartate to form **carbamoyl aspartate** is catalyzed by **aspartate transcarbamoylase (ATCase).** This reaction proceeds without ATP hydrolysis because carbamoyl phosphate is already "activated." The structure and regulation of *E. coli* ATCase are discussed in Section 12-3.
3. **Ring closure to form dihydroorotate.** The third reaction of the pathway is an intramolecular condensation catalyzed by **dihydroorotase** to yield **dihydroorotate.**
4. **Oxidation of dihydroorotate.** Dihydroorotate is irreversibly oxidized to **orotate** by **dihydroorotate dehydrogenase.** The eukaryotic enzyme, which contains FMN and nonheme Fe, is located on the outer surface of the inner mitochondrial membrane, where quinones supply its oxidizing power. The other five enzymes of pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthesis are cytosolic in animal cells. Inhibition of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase blocks pyrimidine synthesis in T lymphocytes, thereby attenuating the autoimmune disease rheumatoid arthritis.
5. **Acquisition of the ribose phosphate moiety.** Orotate reacts with PRPP to yield **orotidine-5′-monophosphate (OMP)** in a reaction catalyzed by **orotate phosphoribosyl transferase.** The reaction, which is driven by the hydrolysis of the eliminated PP_i, fixes the anomeric form of pyrimidine nucleotides in the β configuration. Orotate phosphoribosyl transferase also salvages other pyrimidine bases, such as uracil and cytosine, by converting them to their corresponding nucleotides.
6. **Decarboxylation to form UMP.** The final reaction of the pathway is the decarboxylation of OMP by **OMP decarboxylase (ODCase)** to form UMP. ODCase enhances the rate (k_{cat}/K_M) by a factor of 2×10^{23} over that of the uncatalyzed reaction, making it the most catalytically proficient enzyme known. Nevertheless, the reaction requires no cofactors to help stabilize its putative carbanion intermediate. Although the mechanism of the ODCase reaction is not fully understood, the removal of OMP's phosphate group, which is quite distant from the C6 carboxyl group, decreases the reaction rate by a factor of 7×10^7, thus providing a striking example of how binding energy can be applied to catalysis (preferential transition state binding).

In bacteria, the six enzymes of UMP biosynthesis occur as independent proteins. In animals, however, as Mary Ellen Jones demonstrated, the first three enzymatic activities of the pathway—carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II, ATCase, and dihydroorotase—occur on a single 210-kD polypeptide chain.

The pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway is a target for antiparasitic drugs. For example, the parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* (Fig. 23-6), which infects most mammals, causes **toxoplasmosis,** a disease whose complications include blindness, neurological dysfunction, and death in immunocompromised individuals (e.g., those with AIDS). Most parasites have evolved to take advantage of nutrients supplied by their hosts, but *T. gondii* is unable to meet its needs exclusively through nucleotide salvage pathways and retains the ability to synthesize uracil *de novo.* Drugs that target the parasite's carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II (an enzyme whose structure and kinetics distinguish it from its mammalian counterpart) could therefore prevent *T. gondii* growth. Moreover, there is evidence that *T. gondii* strains that have been engineered to lack carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II are avirulent and could be useful as vaccines in humans and livestock.

Dennis Kunkel/Phototake

FIG. 23-6 ***Toxoplasma gondii.*** This intracellular parasite (*yellow*) causes toxoplasmosis.

FIG. 23-7 **The synthesis of CTP from UTP.**

B UMP Is Converted to UTP and CTP

The synthesis of UTP from UMP is analogous to the synthesis of purine nucleoside triphosphates (Section 23-1B). The process occurs by the sequential actions of a nucleoside monophosphate kinase and nucleoside diphosphate kinase:

$$\text{UMP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{UDP} + \text{ADP}$$
$$\text{UDP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{UTP} + \text{ADP}$$

CTP is formed by the amination of UTP by **CTP synthetase** (Fig. 23-7). In animals, the amino group is donated by glutamine, whereas in bacteria it is supplied directly by ammonia.

C Pyrimidine Nucleotide Biosynthesis Is Regulated at ATCase or Carbamoyl Phosphate Synthetase II

In bacteria, the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway is primarily regulated at Reaction 2, the ATCase reaction (Fig. 23-8*a*). In *E. coli,* control is exerted through the

FIG. 23-8 **Regulation of pyrimidine biosynthesis.** The control networks are shown for (*a*) *E. coli* and (*b*) animals. Red octagons and green circles indicate control points. Feedback inhibition is represented by dashed red arrows, and activation is indicated by dashed green arrows. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

allosteric stimulation of ATCase by ATP and its inhibition by CTP (Section 12-3). In many bacteria, however, UTP is the major ATCase inhibitor.

In animals, ATCase is not a regulatory enzyme. Rather, pyrimidine biosynthesis is controlled by the activity of carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II, which is inhibited by UDP and UTP and activated by ATP and PRPP (**Fig. 23-8*b***). A second level of control in the mammalian pathway occurs at OMP decarboxylase, for which UMP and to a lesser extent CMP are competitive inhibitors. In all organisms, the rate of OMP production varies with the availability of its precursor, PRPP. Recall that the PRPP level depends on the activity of ribose phosphate pyrophosphokinase (Fig. 23-1, Reaction 1), which is inhibited by ADP and GDP (Section 23-1C).

Orotic Aciduria Results from an Inherited Enzyme Deficiency. Orotic aciduria, an inherited human disease, is characterized by the urinary excretion of large amounts of orotic acid, retarded growth, and severe anemia. It results from a deficiency in the bifunctional enzyme catalyzing Reactions 5 and 6 of pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthesis. Consideration of the biochemistry of this situation led to its effective treatment: the administration of uridine and/or cytidine. The UMP formed through the phosphorylation of the nucleosides, besides replacing that normally synthesized, inhibits carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II so as to attenuate the rate of orotic acid synthesis. No other genetic deficiency in pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthesis is known in humans, presumably because such defects are lethal *in utero*.

CHECKPOINT

- Compare the pathways of purine and pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis with respect to (a) precursors, (b) energy cost, (c) acquisition of the ribose moiety, and (d) number of enzymatic steps.
- How are CTP and UTP derived from UMP?
- How does regulation of pyrimidine synthesis differ in bacteria and animals?

3 Formation of Deoxyribonucleotides

KEY CONCEPTS

- Ribonucleotide reductase uses a free radical mechanism to convert ribonucleotides to deoxyribonucleotides.
- Thymidylate synthase transfers a methyl group to dUMP to form thymine.

DNA differs chemically from RNA in two major respects: (1) Its nucleotides contain 2′-deoxyribose residues rather than ribose residues, and (2) it contains the base thymine (5-methyluracil) rather than uracil. In this section, we consider the biosynthesis of these DNA components.

A Ribonucleotide Reductase Converts Ribonucleotides to Deoxyribonucleotides

Deoxyribonucleotides are synthesized from their corresponding ribonucleotides by the reduction of their C2′ position rather than by their de novo synthesis from deoxyribose-containing precursors.

Enzymes that catalyze the formation of deoxyribonucleotides by the reduction of the corresponding ribonucleotides are named **ribonucleotide reductases** (**RNRs**). There are three classes of RNRs, which differ in their prosthetic groups, although they all replace the 2′-OH group of ribose with H via a free-radical mechanism involving a thiyl radical (see below). Here we discuss the mechanism of Class Ia RNRs, which have an Fe-containing prosthetic group and which occur in all eukaryotes and many aerobic bacteria (Class Ib RNRs have a similar mechanism but have an Mn-containing prosthetic group).

Class Ia RNRs reduce ribonucleoside diphosphates (NDPs) to the corresponding deoxyribonucleoside diphosphates (dNDPs). The active form of *E. coli* ribonucleotide reductase, as Peter Reichard demonstrated, is a heterotetramer that can be decomposed to two catalytically inactive homodimers, α_2 and β_2 (Fig. 23-9). Each 761-residue α subunit has a substrate-binding site that includes three redox-active Cys thiol groups. The α subunits also contain two effector-binding sites that control the enzyme's catalytic activity as well as its substrate specificity (see below).

The X-ray structure of β_2, determined by Hans Eklund, reveals that the subunits are bundles of eight unusually long helices. Each 375-residue β subunit contains a novel binuclear Fe(III) prosthetic group whose Fe(III) ions are liganded by a variety of groups including a bridging O atom (Fig. 23-9, lower inset). The Fe(III) complex interacts with Tyr 122 to form an unusual tyrosyl free radical [Recall that tyrosyl radicals also participate in the reactions catalyzed by cytochrome *c* oxidase (Section 18-2F) and plant Photosystem II (Section 19-2C)].

The X-ray structure of α_2 in complex with the 20-residue C-terminal segment of the β subunit was also determined by Eklund. The structure of the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramer has not been determined. However, Eklund generated the model of the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramer shown in Fig. 23-9 by assuming that the α_2 and β_2 homodimers share their twofold axes in forming the heterotetramer and by rotating one homodimer with respect to the other until the C-terminal fragment of the β subunit bound to the α subunit came into coincidence with the corresponding portion of the β subunit. Several spectroscopically determined intersubunit distances are consistent with this model.

FIG. 23-9 Model of *E. coli* ribonucleotide reductase based on the X-ray structures of its α_2 and β_2 subunits. The model is viewed with its twofold axis vertical and is drawn in ribbon form with its α subunits pink and red, and its β subunits light and dark blue. The C-terminal segment of the β subunit that was bound to the α subunit in its X-ray structure is cyan. A portion of the activity site is orange. GDP (*green*) bound in the catalytic site, TTP (*yellow-green*) bound in the specificity site, and the Fe_2O core of the diferric cluster (*orange*) are drawn in space-filling form. The residues forming the radical-transfer pathway are shown in stick form in green. The lower inset depicts the diferric cluster and the upper inset shows the thiyl radical. [Courtesy of JoAnne Stubbe, MIT. PDBids 1RIB, 3R1R, and 4R1R.]

A variety of experimental evidence led JoAnne Stubbe to formulate the following catalytic mechanism for *E. coli* ribonucleotide reductase (**Fig. 23-10**):

1. The free radical on Tyr 122β is transferred to Cys 439α (really the transfer of an electron from Cys 439α to Tyr 122β) via a mechanism discussed below to form a thiyl radical (Fig. 23-9, upper inset). The thiyl radical abstracts the H atom from C3′ of the substrate NDP in the reaction's rate-determining step, thereby transferring the radical to C3′.
2. Base-catalyzed abstraction of a proton from O3′ by Glu 441α, accompanied by the migration of the radical to C2′, induces the C2′ OH group to abstract a proton from Cys 462α and leave as H_2O.
3. The resulting radical intermediate is reduced by the enzyme's redox-active sulfhydryl pair (Cys 462α and Cys 225α) to yield a disulfide bond and a 2′-deoxynucleotide with the radical on C3′.
4. The radical migrates to Cys 429α in a reversal of Step 1 to re-form the thiyl radical and yield the enzyme's dNDP product.
5. The dNDP product is released and replaced by a new NDP substrate. The protein thioredoxin in its reduced form (see below) carries out a disulfide exchange reaction with the redox-active disulfide group on the α subunit, yielding oxidized thioredoxin and the enzyme in its initial state, thus completing the catalytic cycle.

The Tyr 122β radical, which is located ~10 Å below the surface of the protein, is remarkably stable: It has a half-life of 4 days at 4°C, in contrast to its half-life of ~1 μs in solution. The Tyr 122β radical is >35 Å from Cys 439α, too far for the direct transfer of an electron. Rather, the protein mediates this electron transfer via the side chains of a series of redox-active aromatic residues (Tyr and Trp) bridging the α and β subunits. In each step of this process, an electron transfer is accompanied by a proton transfer, ending in the abstraction of an electron and a proton from Cys 439.

The Inability of Oxidized Ribonucleotide Reductase to Bind Substrate Serves an Essential Protective Function. Comparison of the X-ray structures of reduced α_2 (in which the redox-active Cys 225 and Cys 462 residues are in their SH forms) and oxidized α_2 (in which Cys 225 and Cys 462 are disulfide-linked; Fig. 23-10) reveals that Cys 462 in reduced α_2 has rotated away from its position in oxidized α_2 to become buried in a hydrophobic pocket, whereas Cys 225 moves into the region formerly occupied by Cys 462. The distance between the formerly disulfide-linked S atoms thereby increases from 2.0 Å to 5.7 Å. These movements are accompanied by small shifts of the surrounding polypeptide chain. Cys 225α in oxidized ribonucleotide reductase prevents the binding of substrate through steric interference of its S atom with the substrate NDP's O2′ atom.

The inability of oxidized ribonucleotide reductase to bind substrate has functional significance. In the absence of substrate, the enzyme's free radical is stored in the interior of the β subunit, close to its dinuclear iron center. When substrate is bound, the radical is transferred to the substrate, as described above. If the substrate is unable to properly react after accepting this free radical, as would be the case if the enzyme were in its oxidized state, the highly reactive free radical could potentially destroy both the substrate and the enzyme. Thus, *an important role of the enzyme is to control the release of the radical's powerful oxidizing capability. It does so in part by preventing the binding of NDP while the enzyme is in its oxidized form.*

Thioredoxin Reduces Ribonucleotide Reductase. *The final step in the ribonucleotide reductase catalytic cycle is reduction of the enzyme's newly formed disulfide bond to re-form its redox-active sulfhydryl pair* (Fig. 23-10). One of the enzyme's physiological reducing agents is **thioredoxin,** a ubiquitous monomeric protein with a pair of neighboring Cys residues (and which also participates in

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 23-10 Enzymatic mechanism of ribonucleotide reductase as implemented by its α subunit. See the text for details.
WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

regulating the Calvin cycle; Section 19-3C). Thioredoxin reduces oxidized ribonucleotide reductase via disulfide interchange.

FIG. 23-11 X-Ray structure of human thioredoxin in its reduced (sulfhydryl) state. The backbone of the 105-residue monomer is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The side chains of the redox-active Cys residues are shown in space-filling form with C green and S yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by William Montfort, University of Arizona. PDBid 1ERT.]

The X-ray structure of thioredoxin (**Fig. 23-11**) reveals that its redox-active disulfide group is located on a molecular protrusion, making the protein the only known example of a "male" enzyme.

Oxidized thioredoxin is, in turn, reduced in a reaction mediated by **thioredoxin reductase,** which contains redox-active thiol groups and an FAD prosthetic group. This enzyme, a homolog of glutathione reductase (Box 15-4) and lipoamide dehydrogenase (Section 17-2B), catalyzes a similar reaction, the NADPH-mediated reduction of a substrate disulfide bond. NADPH therefore serves as the terminal reducing agent in the ribonucleotide reductase–catalyzed reduction of NDPs to dNDPs (**Fig. 23-12**).

Ribonucleotide Reductase Is Regulated by a Complex Feedback Network. The synthesis of the four dNTPs in the amounts required for DNA synthesis is accomplished through feedback control. Maintaining the proper intracellular quantities and ratios of dNTPs is essential for normal growth. Indeed, *a deficiency of any dNTP is lethal, whereas an excess is mutagenic because the probability that a given dNTP will be erroneously incorporated into a growing DNA strand increases with its concentration relative to those of the other dNTPs.*

The activities of both *E. coli* and mammalian ribonucleotide reductases are remarkably responsive to the levels of nucleotides in the cell. Thus, the α subunit's so-called specificity site (Fig. 23-9), which binds ATP, TTP, dGTP, and dATP, functions to balance the pools of the various dNTPs, whereas the activity site controls the rate of NTP reduction by binding ATP, which activates the enzyme, or dATP, which inhibits the enzyme. A variety of physical evidence reveals that the binding of dATP reversibly induces the catalytically active $\alpha_2\beta_2$ *E. coli* enzyme to convert to a catalytically inactive $\alpha_4\beta_4$ form. The X-ray structure of this $\alpha_4\beta_4$ complex, determined by Catherine Drennan, reveals an unexpected ringlike structure (**Fig. 23-13**). The conversion of the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ complex to the $\alpha_4\beta_4$ complex involves a dramatic subunit rearrangement that disrupts the radical-transfer pathway and exposes it to solvent, thereby deactivating the enzyme. For yeast and human ribonucleotide reductases, dATP binding induces the hexamerization of its α subunits.

FIG. 23-12 An electron-transfer pathway for nucleoside diphosphate (NDP) reduction. NADPH provides the reducing equivalents for the process through the intermediacy of thioredoxin reductase, thioredoxin, and ribonucleotide reductase.

? Compare this process to the disulfide interchange reactions shown in Fig. 19-30.

dNTPs Are Produced by Phosphorylation of dNDPs. *The final step in the production of all dNTPs is the phosphorylation of the corresponding dNDPs:*

$$\text{dNDP} + \text{ATP} \rightleftharpoons \text{dNTP} + \text{ADP}$$

This reaction is catalyzed by nucleoside diphosphate kinase, the same enzyme that phosphorylates NDPs (Section 23-1B). As before, the reaction is written with ATP as the phosphoryl donor, although any NTP or dNTP can function in this capacity.

B dUMP Is Methylated to Form Thymine

The dTTP substrate for DNA synthesis is derived from dUTP, which is hydrolyzed to dUMP by **dUTP diphosphohydrolase (dUTPase):**

$$\text{dUTP} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{dUMP} + \text{PP}_i$$

The dUMP is then methylated to generate dTMP, and the dTMP is phosphorylated to form dTTP. The apparent reason for the energetically wasteful process of dephosphorylating dUTP and rephosphorylating dTMP is that cells must minimize their concentration of dUTP in order to prevent incorporation of uracil into their DNA (the enzyme system that synthesizes DNA from dNTPs does not efficiently discriminate between dUTP and dTTP; Section 25-2A).

Human dUTPase is a homotrimer of 141-residue subunits. Its X-ray structure, determined by John Tainer, reveals the basis for the enzyme's exquisite specificity for dUTP. Each subunit binds dUTP in a snug-fitting cavity that sterically excludes thymine's C5 methyl group via the side chains of conserved residues (Fig. 23-14*a*). The enzyme differentiates uracil from the similarly shaped cytosine via a set of hydrogen bonds that in part mimic adenine's base pairing interactions (Fig. 23-14*b*). The 2′-OH group of ribose is likewise sterically excluded by the side chain of a conserved Tyr.

FIG. 23-13 X-Ray structure of the $\alpha_4\beta_4$ complex of *E. coli* ribonucleotide reductase in complex with dATP. The protein is drawn in ribbon form and colored as in Fig. 23-9. The dATP molecules bound to both the activity site and the specificity site of each α subunit, and the Fe atoms bound to each β subunit, are shown in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, P orange, and Fe brown. Compare this structure to Fig. 23-9. [Based on an X-ray structure by Catherine Drennan, MIT. PDBid 3UUS.]

From Mol, C.D., and Tainer, J.A., *Structure* **4**, 1077 (1966), figures 6c and 7. Reproduced with permission of Current Biology, Ltd

(a)

(b)

FIG. 23-14 X-Ray structure of human dUTPase. (*a*) The active site region of dUTPase in complex with dUTP. The protein is represented by its molecular surface colored according to its electrostatic potential (negative, red; positive, blue; and near neutral, white). The dUTP is shown in ball-and-stick form with N blue, O red, and P yellow. Mg^{2+} ions that have been modeled into the structure are represented by green spheres. Note the complementary fit of the uracil ring into its binding pocket, particularly the close contacts that discriminate against a methyl group on C5 of the pyrimidine ring and a 2′-OH group on the ribose ring. (*b*) The binding site of dUMP, showing the hydrogen bonding system responsible for the enzyme's specific binding of a uracil ring. The dUMP and the polypeptide backbone binding it are shown in ball-and-stick form with atoms colored as in Part *a;* hydrogen bonds are indicated by white dotted lines; and a conserved water molecule is represented by a pink sphere. The side chain of a conserved Tyr is tightly packed against the ribose ring so as to discriminate against the presence of a 2′-OH group.

? Identify the points where dUTP differs from dTTP and from UTP.

Thymidylate Synthase Transfers a Methyl Group to dUMP. Thymidylate (dTMP) is synthesized from dUMP by **thymidylate synthase** with N^5,N^{10}-methylenetetrahydrofolate (N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF) as the methyl donor:

Note that the transferred methylene group (in which the carbon has the oxidation state of formaldehyde) is reduced to a methyl group (which has the oxidation state of methanol) at the expense of the oxidation of the THF cofactor to **dihydrofolate** (**DHF**).

Thymidylate synthase, a highly conserved 65-kD homodimeric protein, follows a mechanistic scheme proposed by Daniel Santi (Fig. 23-15):

1. An enzyme nucleophile, identified as the thiolate group of Cys 146, attacks C6 of dUMP to form a covalent adduct.
2. C5 of the resulting enolate ion attacks the CH_2 group of the iminium cation in equilibrium with N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF to form an enzyme–dUMP–THF ternary covalent complex.
3. An enzyme base abstracts the acidic proton at the C5 position of the enzyme-bound dUMP, forming an exocyclic methylene group and eliminating the THF cofactor. The abstracted proton subsequently exchanges with solvent.
4. The redox change occurs via the migration of the C6-H atom of THF as a hydride ion to the exocyclic methylene group, converting it to a methyl group and yielding DHF. This reduction promotes the displacement of the Cys thiolate group from the intermediate to release product, dTMP, and re-form the active enzyme.

Tetrahydrofolate Is Regenerated in Two Reactions. *The thymidylate synthase reaction is biochemically unique in that it oxidizes THF to DHF; no other enzymatic reaction employing a THF cofactor alters this coenzyme's net oxidation state.* The DHF product of the thymidylate synthase reaction is recycled back to N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF through two sequential reactions (Fig. 23-16):

FIG. 23-15 Catalytic mechanism of thymidylate synthase. The methyl group is supplied by N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF, which is concomitantly oxidized to dihydrofolate.

FIG. 23-16 Regeneration of N^5,N^{10}-methylenetetrahydrofolate. The DHF product of the thymidylate synthase reaction is converted back to N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF by the sequential actions of **(1)** dihydrofolate reductase and **(2)** serine hydroxymethyltransferase. The sites of action of some inhibitors are indicated by red octagons. Thymidylate synthase is inhibited by FdUMP, whereas dihydrofolate reductase is inhibited by the antifolates methotrexate, aminopterin, and trimethoprim (Box 23-1).

? Which other step of dTMP synthesis requires NADPH?

FIG. 23-17 X-Ray structure of human dihydrofolate reductase in complex with folic acid. The polypeptide is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The folic acid is drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, and O red. [Based on an X-ray structure by Joseph Kraut, University of California at San Diego. PDBid 1DHF.]

1. DHF is reduced to THF by NADPH as catalyzed by **dihydrofolate reductase** (**DHFR;** Fig. 23-17). Although in most organisms DHFR is a monomeric, monofunctional enzyme, in protozoa and some plants DHFR and thymidylate synthase occur on the same polypeptide chain to form a bifunctional enzyme that has been shown to channel DHF from its thymidylate synthase to its DHFR active sites.
2. Serine hydroxymethyltransferase (Section 21-4A) transfers the hydroxymethyl group of serine to THF yielding N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF and glycine.

Inhibition of thymidylate synthase or DHFR blocks dTMP synthesis and is therefore the basis of certain cancer chemotherapies (Box 23-1).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the production of dNTPs from their corresponding NDPs.
- What is the role of thioredoxin and NADPH in the formation of deoxyribonucleotides?
- Describe the roles of dUTPase, thymidylate synthase, and dihydrofolate reductase in the synthesis of dTMP.
- What is the role of NADPH in the formation of thymidylate?

4 Nucleotide Degradation

KEY CONCEPTS

- Purines are broken down to uric acid.
- Uric acid may be further catabolized for excretion.
- Pyrimidines are converted to CoA derivatives for catabolism.

Most foodstuffs, being of cellular origin, contain nucleic acids. Dietary nucleic acids survive the acidic medium of the stomach; they are degraded to their component nucleotides, mainly in the intestine, by pancreatic nucleases and intestinal phosphodiesterases. The ionic nucleotides, which cannot pass through cell membranes, are then hydrolyzed to nucleosides by a variety of group-specific nucleotidases and nonspecific phosphatases. Nucleosides may be directly absorbed by the intestinal mucosa or further degraded to free bases and ribose or ribose-1-phosphate through the action of **nucleosidases** and **nucleoside phosphorylases:**

$$\text{Nucleoside} + H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{nucleosidase}} \text{base} + \text{ribose}$$

$$\text{Nucleoside} + P_i \xrightarrow{\text{nucleoside phosphorylase}} \text{base} + \text{ribose-1-P}$$

Box 23-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Inhibition of Thymidylate Synthesis in Cancer Therapy

dTMP synthesis is a critical process for rapidly proliferating cells, such as cancer cells, which require a steady supply of dTMP for DNA synthesis. Interruption of dTMP synthesis can therefore kill those cells. Most normal mammalian cells, which grow slowly if at all, require less dTMP and so are less sensitive to agents that inhibit thymidylate synthase or dihydrofolate reductase (notable exceptions are the bone marrow cells that constitute the blood-forming tissue and much of the immune system, the intestinal mucosa, and hair follicles).

5-Fluorodeoxyuridylate (FdUMP)

5-Fluorodeoxyuridylate (FdUMP)

is an irreversible inhibitor of thymidylate synthase. This substance, like dUMP, binds to the enzyme (an F atom is not much larger than an H atom) and undergoes the first two steps of the normal enzymatic reaction (Fig. 23-15). In Step 3, however, the enzyme cannot abstract the F atom as F^+ (F is the most electronegative element) so that the enzyme is frozen in an enzyme–FdUMP–THF ternary covalent complex.

The X-ray structure of the covalent thymidylate synthase–FdUMP–THF ternary complex has been determined.

The enzyme's active site region is shown with the polypeptide chain colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The FdUMP, THF, and Cys 146 side chain are drawn in stick form with FdUMP C cyan, THF and Cys C green, N blue, O red, F magenta, P orange, and S yellow. The C5 and C6 atoms of FdUMP respectively form covalent bonds with the CH_2 group substituent to N5 of THF and the S atom of Cys 146.

Enzyme inhibitors, such as FdUMP, that inactivate an enzyme only after undergoing part or all of the normal catalytic reaction are called **mechanism-based inhibitors** (alternatively, **suicide substrates** because they cause the enzyme to "commit suicide"). Because of their extremely high specificity, mechanism-based inhibitors are among the most useful therapeutic agents.

Inhibition of DHFR blocks dTMP synthesis as well as all other THF-dependent biological reactions, because the THF converted to DHF by the thymidylate synthase reaction cannot be regenerated. **Methotrexate (amethopterin), aminopterin,** and **trimethoprim**

R = H **Aminopterin**
R = CH_3 **Methotrexate (amethopterin)**

Trimethoprim

are DHF analogs that competitively although nearly irreversibly bind to DHFR with an ~1000-fold greater affinity than does DHF. These **antifolates** (substances that interfere with the action of folate cofactors) are effective anticancer agents, particularly against childhood leukemias. In fact, a successful chemotherapeutic strategy is to treat a cancer victim with a lethal dose of methotrexate and some hours later "rescue" the patient (but hopefully not the cancer) by administering massive doses of 5-formyl-THF and/or thymidine. Trimethoprim binds much more tightly to bacterial DHFRs than to those of mammals and is therefore a clinically useful antibacterial agent. A variety of compounds that inhibit protozoan DHFR are used to treat (and prevent) malaria and other parasitic infections.

[Based on an X-ray structure by William Montfort, University of Arizona. PDBid 1TSN.]

Radioactive labeling experiments have demonstrated that only a small fraction of the bases of ingested nucleic acids are incorporated into tissue nucleic acids. Evidently, *the de novo pathways of nucleotide biosynthesis largely satisfy an organism's need for nucleotides.* Consequently, ingested bases are mostly degraded and excreted. Cellular nucleic acids are also subject to degradation as part of the continual turnover of nearly all cellular components. In this section,

FIG. 23-18 Summary of nucleotide metabolism. Nucleotides are synthesized from amino acids and ribose-5-phosphate by pathways in which the base is built onto the sugar (purine synthesis) or the sugar is added to the base (pyrimidine synthesis). Nucleotide catabolism yields a derivative of the base and releases the sugar as ribose-1-phosphate.

we outline these catabolic pathways and discuss the consequences of several of their inherited defects. A summary of nucleotide metabolism is shown in Fig. 23-18.

A Purine Catabolism Yields Uric Acid

The major pathways of purine nucleotide and deoxynucleotide catabolism in animals are diagrammed in Fig. 23-19. The pathways in other organisms differ somewhat, but all the pathways lead to uric acid. Of course, the pathway intermediates may be directed to purine nucleotide synthesis via salvage reactions. In addition, ribose-1-phosphate, a product of the reaction catalyzed by **purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP)**, is a precursor of PRPP.

Adenosine and deoxyadenosine are not degraded by mammalian PNP. Rather, adenine nucleosides and nucleotides are deaminated by **adenosine deaminase (ADA)** and **AMP deaminase** to their corresponding inosine derivatives, which can then be further degraded.

FIG. 23-19 The major pathways of purine catabolism in animals. The various purine nucleotides are all degraded to uric acid.

? **Compare the activity of PNP to that of glycogen phosphorylase (Section 16-1A).**

FIG. 23-20 X-Ray structure of murine adenosine deaminase. The polypeptide is drawn in ribbon form colored according to its secondary structure (helices cyan, β strands magenta, and loops pink) and viewed approximately down the axis of the enzyme's α/β barrel from the N-terminal ends of its β strands. The transition state analog **6-hydroxy-1,6-dihydropurine ribonucleoside (HDPR)** is shown in stick form with C green, N blue, and O red. The enzyme-bound Zn^{2+} ion, which is coordinated by HDPR's 6-hydroxyl group, is represented by a silver sphere. [Based on an X-ray structure by Florante Quiocho, Baylor College of Medicine. PDBid 1ADA.]

ADA is an eight-stranded α/β barrel (**Fig. 23-20**) with its active site in a pocket at the C-terminal end of the β barrel, as in nearly all known α/β barrel enzymes. A catalytically essential zinc ion is bound in the deepest part of the active site pocket. Mutations that affect the active site of ADA selectively kill lymphocytes, causing **severe combined immunodeficiency disease (SCID)**. Without special protective measures, the disease is invariably fatal in infancy because of overwhelming infection.

Biochemical considerations provide a plausible explanation of SCID's etiology (causes). In the absence of active ADA, deoxyadenosine is phosphorylated to yield levels of dATP that are 50-fold greater than normal. This high concentration of dATP inhibits ribonucleotide reductase (Section 23-3A), thereby preventing the synthesis of the other dNTPs, choking off DNA synthesis and thus cell proliferation. The tissue-specific effect of ADA deficiency on the immune system can be explained by the observation that lymphoid tissue is particularly active in deoxyadenosine phosphorylation. ADA deficiency was one of the first genetic diseases to be successfully treated by gene therapy (Section 3-5D).

The Purine Nucleotide Cycle Generates Fumarate. The deamination of AMP to IMP, when combined with the synthesis of AMP from IMP (Fig. 23-3, *left*), has the net effect of deaminating aspartate to yield fumarate (**Fig. 23-21**). John Lowenstein demonstrated that this **purine nucleotide cycle** has an important metabolic role in skeletal muscle. An increase in muscle activity requires an increase in the activity of the citric acid cycle. This process usually occurs through the generation of additional citric acid cycle intermediates (Section 17-5B). Muscles, however, lack most of the enzymes that catalyze these anaplerotic (filling up) reactions in other tissues. Instead, muscle replenishes its citric acid cycle intermediates with fumarate generated in the purine nucleotide cycle.

The importance of the purine nucleotide cycle in muscle metabolism is indicated by the observation that the activities of the three enzymes involved are all severalfold higher in muscle than in other tissues. In fact, individuals with an inherited deficiency in muscle AMP deaminase **(myoadenylate deaminase deficiency)** are easily fatigued and usually suffer from cramps after exercise.

Net: H_2O + Aspartate + GTP → NH_4^+ + GDP + P_i + fumarate

FIG. 23-21 The purine nucleotide cycle. This pathway functions in muscle to prime the citric acid cycle by generating fumarate.

? **Describe the metabolic fates of the cycle products.**

Xanthine Oxidase Is a Mini-Electron-Transport System. Xanthine oxidase converts hypoxanthine (the base of IMP) to xanthine, and xanthine to uric acid (Fig. 23-19, *bottom*). The reaction product is an enol (which has a p*K* of 5.4; hence the name uric *acid*). The enol tautomerizes to the more stable keto form:

In mammals, xanthine oxidase occurs mainly in the liver, the small intestinal mucosa, and in milk. Each subunit of this dimer of identical 1322-residue subunits contains an entire "zoo" of electron-transfer agents: an FAD, two different [2Fe–2S] clusters, and a **molybdopterin complex** (Fig. 23-22).

FIG. 23-22 X-Ray structure of bovine milk xanthine oxidase. The homodimeric protein is viewed with its twofold axis vertical. One semitransparent subunit is light cyan and the other is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The FAD and the molybdopterin complex are drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, P orange, and S yellow. The [2Fe–2S] clusters and the Mo atom are shown in space-filling form with S yellow, Fe red-brown, and Mo purple. [Based on an X-ray structure by Emil Pai, University of Toronto, Canada. PDBid 3UNC.]

The Mo atom, which reacts directly with the substrate, cycles between its Mo(VI) and Mo(IV) oxidation states. The terminal electron acceptor is O_2, which is converted to H_2O_2, a potentially harmful oxidizing agent (Section 18-4B) that is subsequently decomposed to H_2O and O_2 by catalase.

B Some Animals Degrade Uric Acid

In humans and other primates, the final product of purine degradation is uric acid, which is excreted in the urine. The same is true in birds, terrestrial reptiles, and many insects, but those organisms, which do not excrete urea, also catabolize their excess amino acid nitrogen to uric acid via purine biosynthesis. This complicated system of nitrogen excretion has a straightforward function: *It conserves water.* Uric acid is only sparingly soluble in water, so its excretion as a paste of uric acid crystals is accompanied by very little water. In contrast, the excretion of an equivalent amount of the much more water-soluble urea osmotically sequesters a significant amount of water.

In all other organisms, uric acid is further processed before excretion (Fig. 23-23). Mammals other than primates oxidize it to their excretory product, **allantoin,** in a reaction catalyzed by the Cu-containing enzyme **urate oxidase.** A further degradation product, **allantoic acid,** is excreted by teleost (bony) fish. Cartilaginous fish and amphibia further degrade allantoic acid to urea prior to excretion. Finally, marine invertebrates decompose urea to NH_4^+.

Gout Is Caused by an Excess of Uric Acid. **Gout** is a disease characterized by elevated levels of uric acid in body fluids. Its most common manifestation is excruciatingly painful arthritic joint inflammation of sudden onset, most often of the big toe (Fig. 23-24), caused by deposition of nearly insoluble crystals of sodium urate. Sodium urate and/or uric acid may also precipitate in the kidneys and ureters as stones, resulting in renal damage and urinary tract obstruction.

Gout, which affects ~3 per 1000 persons, predominantly males, has been traditionally, although inaccurately, associated with overindulgent eating and drinking. The probable origin of this association is that in previous centuries, when wine was often contaminated with lead during its manufacture and storage, heavy drinking resulted in chronic lead poisoning that, among other things, decreases the kidney's ability to excrete uric acid.

The most prevalent cause of gout is impaired uric acid excretion (although usually for reasons other than lead poisoning). Gout may also result from a number of metabolic insufficiencies, most of which are not well characterized. One well-understood cause is HGPRT deficiency (Lesch–Nyhan syndrome in severe cases), which leads to excessive uric acid production through PRPP accumulation (Section 23-1D).

FIG. 23-23 Degradation of uric acid to ammonia. The process is arrested at different stages in the indicated species, and the resulting nitrogen-containing product is excreted.

Science Source/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 23-24 ***The Gout*, a cartoon by James Gillray (1799).**

Box 23-2 Pathways of Discovery Gertrude Elion and Purine Derivatives

Gertrude Elion (1918–1999) Gertrude Elion's choice of career was made at age 15 when she graduated from high school in New York City. As she watched her grandfather suffer and die from stomach cancer, she decided to dedicate herself to finding a cure for cancer. When she enrolled at Hunter College the next fall, she began to study chemistry. Despite her academic accomplishments, she was unable to obtain a scholarship for graduate school and spent the next two years as a teacher and lab assistant. She eventually obtained a master of science degree in 1941.

After finding that working in an industrial chemistry laboratory bored her, Elion obtained a position with George Hitchings at the pharmaceutical company Burroughs Wellcome. There, she found an intellectually stimulating environment where she was able to expand her understanding of chemistry as well as pharmacology, immunology, and other fields. Although she ultimately received numerous honorary degrees, Elion never formally earned a doctoral degree; after several years of attending classes at night, she was forced to choose between her work with Hitchings, which she loved, and full-time study. Fortunately for science and medicine, Elion kept her job and significantly advanced the development of drugs for cancer, gout, organ transplants, and infectious diseases.

Hitchings asked Elion to investigate purine metabolism, with the idea that disrupting a cell's supply of nucleotides could interfere with its ability to synthesize DNA. Ideally, compounds that blocked DNA synthesis would disable rapidly growing cancer cells, bacteria, and viruses, without affecting normal cells. Without knowing the structures of the relevant enzymes, or even of DNA, Elion and her colleagues used a simple bacterial growth assay to investigate the ability of various purine derivatives to act as "antimetabolites."

Elion's first clinical success was **6-mercaptopurine,** which was used to treat leukemia in children. Many patients showed a dramatic recovery, but to Elion's dismay they often relapsed and later died. Their initial improvement, however, confirmed Elion's belief that interfering with nucleotide metabolism was a sound therapeutic strategy.

6-Mercaptopurine

A related compound, **azathioprine,** is converted to 6-mercaptopurine intracellularly. It turned out to be effective not as an anticancer drug but as an inhibitor of the immune response. This drug helped solve the problem of rejection in organ transplants and was used for the first successful human kidney transplant in 1961.

Azathioprine

Studies aimed at improving the effectiveness of purine derivatives by preventing their degradation by xanthine oxidase led to the discovery of allopurinol (Section 23-4B), which is still used to treat gout and some parasitic diseases. Elion also helped develop the antibacterial agent trimethoprim (Box 23-1) and the widely used antiviral drug **acyclovir** (Zovir).

Acyclovir

After Hitchings' retirement, Elion became head of the Department of Experimental Therapy in 1967. Although she formally retired in 1983, Elion's line of research continued to bear fruit with the development of azidothymidine (AZT; Box 12-3), a nucleoside analog that was the sole drug that was effective for treating AIDS until 1991 and is still in use.

Elion, together with Hitchings and James Black [who discovered cimetidine (Tagamet), the first drug to inhibit stomach acid secretion, and propranolol (Inderol), which is widely used in the treatment of high blood pressure], was awarded the Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine in 1988, a rare accomplishment for a scientist in the pharmaceutical industry. It was her research, which was based on an understanding of nucleotide metabolism, rather than her ability to simply synthesize novel compounds, that merited recognition.

Elion, G.B., Hitchings, G.H., and Vanderwerff, H., Antagonists of nucleic acid derivatives. VI. Purines, *J. Biol. Chem.* **192,** 505–518 (1951).
Elion, G.B., Kovensky, A., and Hitchings, G.H., Metabolic studies of allopurinol, an inhibitor of xanthine oxidase, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **15,** 863–880 (1966).

Allopurinol

Hypoxanthine

Alloxanthine

Gout can be treated by administering the xanthine oxidase inhibitor **allopurinol** (*at left*), a hypoxanthine analog with interchanged N7 and C8 positions. Xanthine oxidase hydroxylates allopurinol, as it does hypoxanthine, yielding **alloxanthine** (*at left*), which remains tightly bound to the reduced form of the enzyme, thereby inactivating it. Allopurinol consequently alleviates the symptoms of gout by decreasing the rate of uric acid production while increasing the levels of the more soluble hypoxanthine and xanthine. Although allopurinol controls the gouty symptoms of Lesch–Nyhan syndrome, it has no effect on its neurological symptoms. Allopurinol, along with several other notable purine derivatives, was developed by the chemist Gertrude Elion (Box 23-2).

FIG. 23-25 The major pathways of pyrimidine catabolism in animals. The amino acid products of these reactions are taken up in other metabolic processes. UMP and dTMP are degraded by the same enzymes; the pathway for dTMP degradation is given in parentheses.

? Which of these reactions are similar to reactions in purine catabolism?

C Pyrimidines Are Broken Down to Malonyl-CoA and Methylmalonyl-CoA

Animal cells degrade pyrimidine nucleotides to their component bases (Fig. 23-25, *top*). The reactions, like those of purine nucleotides, occur through dephosphorylation, deamination, and glycosidic bond cleavages. The resulting uracil and thymine are then broken down in the liver through reduction (Fig. 23-25, *middle*) rather than by oxidation as occurs in purine catabolism. The end products of pyrimidine catabolism, **β-alanine** and **β-aminoisobutyrate,** are amino acids and are metabolized as such. They are converted, through transamination and activation reactions, to malonyl-CoA and methylmalonyl-CoA (Fig. 23-25, *bottom left*). Malonyl-CoA is a precursor of fatty acid synthesis (Fig. 20-26), and methylmalonyl-CoA is converted to the citric acid cycle intermediate succinyl-CoA (Fig. 20-16). Thus, *to a limited extent, catabolism of pyrimidine nucleotides contributes to the energy metabolism of the cell.*

CHECKPOINT

- What compounds are produced by the degradation of purines and pyrimidines?
- Describe the reactions catalyzed by nucleoside phosphorylase, adenosine deaminase, and xanthine oxidase.
- What is the function of the purine nucleotide cycle?
- What are the physiological implications of excreting waste nitrogen in the form of urate, urea, or ammonia?
- Describe how purine catabolism is related to SCID, muscle function, and gout.

Summary

1 Synthesis of Purine Ribonucleotides

- The purine nucleotide IMP is synthesized in 11 steps from ribose-5-phosphate, aspartate, fumarate, glutamine, glycine, and HCO_3^-. Purine nucleotide synthesis is regulated at its first and second steps.
- IMP is the precursor of AMP and GMP, which are phosphorylated to produce the corresponding di- and triphosphates.

2 Synthesis of Pyrimidine Ribonucleotides

- The pyrimidine nucleotide UMP is synthesized from 5-phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate, aspartate, glutamine, and HCO_3^- in six reactions. UMP is converted to UTP and CTP by phosphorylation and amination.
- Pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis is regulated in bacteria at the ATCase step and in animals at the step catalyzed by carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II.

3 Formation of Deoxyribonucleotides

- Deoxyribonucleoside diphosphates (dNDPs) are synthesized from the corresponding NDPs in a free radical-mediated oxidation reaction catalyzed by ribonucleotide reductase, which contains a binuclear Fe(III) prosthetic group, a tyrosyl radical, and three redox-active sulfhydryl groups. Enzyme activity is regenerated through disulfide interchange with thioredoxin.
- Ribonucleotide reductase is regulated by allosteric effectors, which ensure that deoxynucleotides are synthesized in the amounts and ratios required for DNA synthesis.
- dTMP is synthesized from dUMP by thymidylate synthase. The dihydrofolate produced in the reaction is converted back to tetrahydrofolate by dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR).

4 Nucleotide Degradation

- Purine nucleotides are degraded by nucleosidases and purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP). Adenine nucleotides are deaminated by adenosine deaminase and AMP deaminase. The synthesis and degradation of AMP in the purine nucleotide cycle yield the citric acid cycle intermediate fumarate in muscles. Xanthine oxidase catalyzes the oxidation of hypoxanthine to xanthine and of xanthine to uric acid.
- In primates, birds, reptiles, and insects, the final product of purine degradation is uric acid, which is excreted. Other organisms degrade urate further.
- Pyrimidines are broken down to intermediates of fatty acid metabolism.

Key Terms

PRPP **803**
feedforward activation **808**
salvage pathway **808**
mechanism-based inhibitor **821**
purine nucleotide cycle **823**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Which reaction of the IMP → AMP pathway resembles a reaction of the urea cycle?

2. From which purine is caffeine derived?

Caffeine

3. How does 2-azahypoxanthine, a fungal product that stimulates plant growth, differ from guanine?

2-Axahypoxanthine

4. When starved, bacteria produce the intracellular signaling molecule ppGpp (guanosine-3′,5′-bisdiphosphate). Draw its structure.

5. List all the enzymes of nucleotide biosynthesis that use glutamine as an amino group donor.

6. Certain glutamine analogs irreversibly inactivate enzymes that bind glutamine. Identify the nucleotide biosynthetic intermediates that accumulate in the presence of those compounds.

7. Calculate the cost, in ATP equivalents, of synthesizing *de novo* (a) IMP, (b) AMP, and (c) CTP. Assume all substrates (e.g., ribose-5-phosphate and glutamine) and cofactors are available.

8. The compound shown here is being tested as an anticancer agent. (a) Explain why it inhibits CTP production. (b) Explain why it also inhibits phospholipid synthesis.

9. Explain why hydroxyurea, which destroys tyrosyl radicals, is useful as an antitumor agent.

Hydroxyurea

10. Why is dATP toxic to mammalian cells?

11. The purine and pyrimidine rings are built from the amino acids aspartate, glutamine, and glycine. Why is serine required for the synthesis of deoxyribonucleotides?

12. Why do individuals who are undergoing chemotherapy with FdUMP or methotrexate often temporarily go bald?

13. Explain why methotrexate inhibits the synthesis of histidine and methionine.

14. Some microorganisms lack DHFR activity, but their thymidylate synthase has an FAD cofactor. What is the function of the FAD?

15. Is trimethoprim a mechanism-based inhibitor of bacterial dihydrofolate reductase?

16. *p*-Aminosalicylate is used to treat infections with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis.* Explain how this compound blocks the production of thymidylate.

***p*-Aminosalicylate**

17. Is allopurinol a mechanism-based inhibitor of xanthine oxidase?

18. Describe how the fumarate produced by the purine nucleotide cycle could be catabolized to CO_2.

19. Individuals with a complete deficiency of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase exhibit a variety of symptoms. What compounds are likely to be present at high levels in the urine of these patients?

20. Individuals with a partial deficiency of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase experience severe toxic effects when given high doses of the anticancer drug 5-fluorouracil. Explain.

21. In animals, one pathway for NAD^+ synthesis begins with nicotinamide. Draw the structures generated by the reactions shown.

Nicotinamide

22. A nucleotide derivative that may have intracellular signaling activity is synthesized from NAD^+ by the removal of the nicotinamide group and addition of an acetyl group at the 2′ position of the ribose attached to ADP. Draw its structure.

23. In the synthesis of FAD, riboflavin is phosphorylated by a kinase to form FMN (Fig. 18-10). The FMN then reacts with ATP. How many "high-energy" bonds are broken in this process?

24. Calculate the ATP yield of converting the carbons of thymine to CO_2.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

25. Why does it make metabolic sense for UTP to inhibit carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II, whereas ATP activates the enzyme?

26. Why does it make metabolic sense for ADP and GDP to inhibit ribose phosphate pyrophosphokinase?

27. Mouse embryonic stem cells divide extremely rapidly, about once every 5 hours. These cells require large amounts of threonine in their medium. Explain how threonine catabolism (Fig. 21-14) helps meet the cells' energy needs and their high rate of DNA synthesis.

28. Normal cells die in a nutrient medium containing thymidine and methotrexate, whereas mutant cells defective in thymidylate synthase survive and grow. Explain.

29. Why is it important that muscle cells have low levels of glutamate dehydrogenase?

30. Why does von Gierke's glycogen storage disease (Box 16-2) cause symptoms of gout?

31. Why is gout more prevalent in populations that eat relatively large amounts of meat?

32. Gout resulting from the *de novo* overproduction of purines can be distinguished from gout caused by impaired excretion of uric acid by feeding a patient ^{15}N-labeled glycine and determining the distribution of ^{15}N in his or her excreted uric acid. What isotopic distributions are expected for each type of defect?

33. Rats are given cytidine that is ^{14}C-labeled at both its base and ribose components. Their DNA is then extracted and degraded with nucleases. Describe the labeling pattern of the recovered deoxycytidylate residues if deoxycytidylate production in the cell followed a pathway in which (a) intact CDP is reduced to dCDP, and (b) CDP is broken down to cytosine and ribose before reduction.

34. 6-Mercaptopurine (Box 23-2), after its conversion to the corresponding nucleotide through salvage reactions, is a potent competitive inhibitor of IMP in the pathways for AMP and GMP biosynthesis. It is therefore a clinically useful anticancer agent. The chemotherapeutic effectiveness of 6-mercaptopurine is enhanced when it is administered with allopurinol. Explain the mechanism of this enhancement.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Viewing and Analyzing Thymidylate Synthase

Exercise 2 Nucleotide Metabolism and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE One long-standing shortcoming of the RNA world hypothesis (Section 3-2C) is the lack of a plausible explanation for the origin of ribonucleotides. Although a molecule such as UMP has a modular structure (base + ribose + phosphate), why is it unlikely that these components spontaneously assembled to form the first nucleotides? Why is it more likely that such compounds arose from the combination of two molecules that were half-base, half-ribose?

References

Ando, N., Brignole, B.J., Zimanyi, C.M., Funk, M.A., Yokoyama, K., Asturias, F.J., Stubbe, J., and Drennan, C.L., Structural interconversions modulate activity of *Escherichia coli* ribonucleotide reductase, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108,** 21046–21051 (2011).

Carreras, C.W. and Santi, D.V., The catalytic mechanism and structure of thymidylate synthase, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **64,** 721–762 (1995).

Cotruvo, J.A., Jr. and Stubbe, J., Class I ribonucleotide reductases: metallocofactor assembly and repair in vitro and in vivo, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80,** 733–767 (2011).

Finer-Moore, J.S., Santi, D.V., and Stroud, R.M., Lessons and conclusions from dissecting the mechanism of a bisubstrate enzyme: thymidylate synthase mutagenesis, function, and structure, *Biochemistry* **42,** 248–256 (2003).

Greasley, S.E., Horton, P., Ramcharan, J., Beardsley, G.P., Benkovic, S.J., and Wilson, I.A., Crystal structure of a bifunctional transformylase and cyclohydrolase enzyme in purine biosynthesis, *Nature Struct. Biol.* **8,** 402–406 (2001).

Kappock, T.J., Ealick, S.E., and Stubbe, J., Modular evolution of the purine biosynthetic pathway, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **4,** 567–572 (2000).

Liu, S., Neidhardt, E.A., Grossman, T.H., Ocain, T., and Clardy, J., Structures of human dihydroorotate dehydrogenase in complex with antiproliferative agents, *Structure* **8,** 25–33 (1999).

Minnihan, E.C., Nocera, D.G., and Stubbe, J., Reversible, long-range radical transfer in *E. coli* class Ia ribonucleotide reductase, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **46,** 2524–2535 (2013).

Nordlund, P. and Reichard, P., Ribonucleotide reductases, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **75,** 681–706 (2006).

Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* http://www.ommbid.com/. [Part 11 contains chapters on defects in purine and pyrimidine metabolism.]

From Kleinschmidt, A.K., Lang, D., Jacherts, D., and Zahn, R.K., *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, **61,** 861 (1962). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier.

DNA molecules, such as that spilling out of the osmotically lysed bacteriophage T2 shown here, are enormous. A cell must be able to efficiently package and safely store its DNA. However, despite DNA's highly condensed structure, a cell must be able to read and interpret the meaning of the encoded genetic information.

CHAPTER 24

Nucleic Acid Structure

Chapter Contents

1 The DNA Helix
- A DNA Can Adopt Different Conformations
- B DNA Has Limited Flexibility
- C DNA Can Be Supercoiled
- D Topoisomerases Alter DNA Supercoiling

2 Forces Stabilizing Nucleic Acid Structures
- A Nucleic Acids Are Stabilized by Base Pairing, Stacking, and Ionic Interactions
- B DNA Can Undergo Denaturation and Renaturation
- C RNA Structures Are Highly Variable

3 Fractionation of Nucleic Acids
- A Nucleic Acids Can Be Purified by Chromatography
- B Electrophoresis Separates Nucleic Acids by Size

4 DNA–Protein Interactions
- A Restriction Endonucleases Distort DNA on Binding
- B Prokaryotic Repressors Often Include a DNA-Binding Helix
- C Eukaryotic Transcription Factors May Include Zinc Fingers or Leucine Zippers

5 Eukaryotic Chromosome Structure
- A DNA Coils around Histones to Form Nucleosomes
- B Chromatin Forms Higher-Order Structures

In every organism, the ultimate source of biological information is nucleic acid. The shapes and activities of individual cells are, to a large extent, determined by genetic instructions contained in DNA (or RNA, in some viruses). According to the central dogma of molecular biology (Section 3-3B), sequences of nucleotide bases in DNA encode the amino acid sequences of proteins. Many of the cell's proteins are enzymes that carry out the metabolic processes we have discussed in Chapters 15–23. Other proteins have a structural or regulatory role or participate in maintaining and transmitting genetic information.

Two kinds of nucleic acids, DNA and RNA, store information and make it available to the cell. The structures of these molecules are consistent with the following:

1. Genetic information must be stored in a form that is manageable in size and stable over a long period.

2. Genetic information must be decoded—often many times—in order to be used. **Transcription** is the process by which nucleotide sequences in DNA are copied onto RNA so that they can direct protein synthesis, a process known as **translation**.
3. Information contained in DNA or RNA must be accessible to proteins and other nucleic acids. These agents must recognize nucleic acids (in many cases, in a sequence-specific fashion) and bind to them in a way that alters their function.
4. The progeny of an organism must be equipped with the same set of instructions as in the parent. Thus, DNA is **replicated** (an exact copy made) so that each daughter cell receives the same information.

As we will see, many cellular components are required to execute all the functions of nucleic acids. Yet nucleic acids are hardly inert "read-only" entities. RNA in particular, owing to its single-stranded nature, is a dynamic molecule that provides structural scaffolding as well as catalytic proficiency in a number of processes that decode genetic information. In this chapter, we focus on the structural properties of nucleic acids, including their interactions with proteins that allow them to carry out their duties. In subsequent chapters, we will examine the processes of replication (Chapter 25), transcription (Chapter 26), and translation (Chapter 27).

1 The DNA Helix

KEY CONCEPTS

- A DNA helix can have the A, B, or Z conformation.
- The conformational freedom of the glycosidic bond, the ribose ring, and the sugar–phosphate backbone is limited.
- Supercoiled DNA can be described in terms of linking number, twist, and writhing number.
- Topoisomerases cut one (type I) or both (type II) strands of DNA to add or remove supercoils.

We begin our discussion of nucleic acid structure by examining the various forms of DNA, with an eye toward understanding how the molecule safeguards genetic information while leaving it accessible for replication and transcription.

A DNA Can Adopt Different Conformations

DNA is a two-stranded polymer of deoxynucleotides linked by phosphodiester bonds (Figs. 3-3 and 3-6). The biologically most common form of DNA is known as **B-DNA,** which has the structural features first noted by James Watson and Francis Crick, together with Rosalind Franklin and others (Section 3-2B and Box 24-1):

1. The two antiparallel polynucleotide strands wind in a right-handed manner around a common axis to produce an ~20-Å-diameter double helix.
2. The planes of the nucleotide bases, which form hydrogen-bonded pairs, are nearly perpendicular to the helix axis. In B-DNA, the bases occupy the core of the helix while the sugar–phosphate backbones wind around the outside, forming the major and minor grooves. Only the edges of the base pairs are exposed to solvent.

Box 24-1 Pathways of Discovery Rosalind Franklin and the Structure of DNA

Rosalind Franklin (1920–1958) James Watson and Francis Crick were the first to publish an accurate model of the structure of DNA. This seminal discovery was not only based on their own insights but, like all scientific discoveries, was also built on the work of others. One of the major contributors to this process was Rosalind Franklin, who was probably never fully aware of her role in this discovery and did not share the 1962 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine awarded to Watson, Crick, and Maurice Wilkins.

Franklin was born in England in an intellectually oriented and well-to-do family. She excelled in mathematics, and although career opportunities for women with her talents were few, she obtained a doctorate in physical chemistry at the University of Cambridge in 1945. From 1947 to 1950 she worked in a French government laboratory, where she became an authority in applying X-ray diffraction techniques to imperfectly crystalline substances such as coal; her numerous publications on the structures of coal and other forms of carbon changed the way that these substances were viewed.

In 1951, she returned to England at the invitation of John Randall, the head of the Medical Research Council's (MRC's) Biophysics Research Unit at King's College London, to investigate the structure of DNA. Randall had indicated to Franklin that she would be given an independent position, whereas Wilkins, who had been working on DNA at King's for some time, was given the impression that Franklin would be working under his direction. That misunderstanding, together with their sharply contrasting personalities (Franklin was quick, assertive, and confrontational, whereas Wilkins was deliberate, shy, and indirect), led to a falling out between the two such that they were barely on speaking terms. Hence, they worked independently. Some popular accounts of Franklin's life and work have implied that the atmosphere at King's College was inhospitable to women, but Franklin's correspondence, as well as firsthand accounts, indicate that the atmosphere was in fact congenial. Still, she was unhappy at King's, apparently for personal reasons, and in the spring of 1953, departed for Birkbeck College in London. There, until her untimely death from ovarian cancer in 1958, she carried out groundbreaking investigations on the structures of viruses.

Early in her tenure at King's, Franklin discovered, through the analysis of X-ray fiber diffraction patterns, that DNA (which she obtained from Wilkins, who had gotten it from Rudolf Signer in Switzerland) exists in two distinct conformations, which she called the A and B forms. Prior to this discovery, the X-ray diffraction patterns of DNA that had been obtained were confusing because they were of mixtures of the A and B forms. Through careful control of the humidity, Franklin obtained an X-ray fiber diffraction photograph of B-DNA of unprecedented clarity (Fig. 3-5) that strikingly indicated the DNA's helical character. Analysis of the photograph (using the theory of how helical molecules diffract X-rays that Crick, an X-ray crystallographer by training, had previously participated in formulating) permitted Franklin to determine that B-DNA is double helical, it has a diameter of 20 Å, and each turn of the helix is 34 Å long and contains 10 base pairs, each separated by 3.4 Å. Further analysis suggested that the hydrophilic sugar–phosphate chains were on the outside of the helix and the relatively hydrophobic bases were on the inside. However, although Franklin was aware of Chargaff's rules (Section 3-2A) and Jerry Donohue's work concerning the tautomeric forms of the bases (Section 3-2B), she did not deduce the existence of base pairs in double-stranded DNA.

In January 1953, Wilkins showed Franklin's X-ray photograph of B-DNA to Watson, when he visited King's College. Moreover, in February 1953, Max Perutz (Box 7-2), Crick's thesis advisor at Cambridge University, showed Watson and Crick his copy of the 1952 Report of the MRC, which summarized the work of all of its principal investigators, including that of Franklin. Within a week (and after 13 months of inactivity on the project), Watson and Crick began building a model of DNA with a backbone structure compatible with Franklin's data [in earlier modeling attempts, they had placed the bases on the outside of the helix (as did a model published by Linus Pauling; Box 6-1) because they assumed that the bases could transmit genetic information only if they were externally accessible]. On several occasions Crick acknowledged that Franklin's findings were crucial to this enterprise.

Watson and Crick published their model of B-DNA in *Nature* in April 1953. The paper was followed, back-to-back, by papers by Wilkins and by Franklin on their structural studies of DNA.

Franklin's manuscript had been written in March 1953, before she knew about Watson and Crick's work. The only change that Franklin made to her manuscript when she became aware of Watson and Crick's model was the addition of a single sentence, "Thus our general ideas are not inconsistent with the model proposed by Watson and Crick in the preceding communication." She was apparently unaware that the Watson–Crick model was, to a significant extent, based on her work. Since Watson and Crick did not acknowledge Franklin in their 1953 *Nature* paper, her paper was widely taken as data that supported the Watson–Crick model rather than being an important element in its formulation. Only after her death did Watson and Crick indicate the crucial role of Franklin's contributions.

Interestingly, Watson, Crick, and Franklin developed a close friendship. Starting in 1954, they maintained a correspondence and commented on each other's work. In the summer of 1954, Watson offered to drive Franklin across the United States from Woods Hole, Massachusetts, to her destination at Caltech, where he was also going. In the spring of 1956, she toured Spain with Crick and his wife Odile and, later, stayed with them at their house in Cambridge when she was recovering from her treatments for ovarian cancer.

If Watson and Crick had not formulated their model of B-DNA, would Franklin, who had a distaste for speculative modeling, have eventually done so? We, of course, will never know. And, had she lived, would Franklin have received the Nobel prize together with Watson, Crick, and Wilkins? (The prize cannot be awarded posthumously.) Those who argue that she was an indispensable participant in the discovery process would say yes. However, the Nobel committee typically awards those who initiate the research (i.e., Wilkins), and the prize cannot be shared by more than three individuals.

Franklin, R.E. and Gosling, R.G., Molecular configuration in sodium thymonucleate, *Nature* **171,** 740–741 (1953).
Maddox, B., *Rosalind Franklin: The Dark Lady of DNA,* HarperCollins (2002).

FIG. 24-1 The Watson–Crick base pairs. The line joining the C1′ atoms is the same length in both A · T and G · C base pairs and makes equal angles with the glycosidic bonds to the bases. This gives DNA a series of pseudo-twofold symmetry axes that pass through the center of each base pair (*red line*) and are perpendicular to the helix axis. [After Arnott, S., Dover, S.D., and Wonacott, A.J., *Acta Cryst.* **B25,** 2196 (1969).]

? Explain why the consistent size of base pairs would simplify the task of storing large amounts of DNA in a cell's nucleus.

3. Each base pair has approximately the same width (Fig. 24-1), which accounts for the near-perfect symmetry of the DNA molecule, regardless of base composition. A · T and G · C base pairs are interchangeable: *They can replace each other in the double helix without altering the positions of the sugar–phosphate backbones' C1′ atoms.* Likewise, the partners of a Watson–Crick base pair can be switched (i.e., by changing a G · C to a C · G or an A · T to a T · A). In contrast, any other combination of bases would significantly distort the double helix.
4. The canonical (ideal) B-DNA helix has 10 base pairs (bp) per turn (a helical twist of 36° per bp) and, since the aromatic bases have van der Waals thicknesses of 3.4 Å and are partially stacked on each other, the helix has a pitch (rise per turn) of 34 Å.

Double-helical DNA can assume several distinct structures depending on the solvent composition and base sequence. The major structural variants of DNA are **A-DNA** and **Z-DNA.** The geometries of the molecules are summarized in Table 24-1 and Fig. 24-2.

A-DNA's Base Pairs Are Inclined to the Helix Axis. Under dehydrating conditions, B-DNA undergoes a reversible conformational change to A-DNA, which forms a wider and flatter right-handed helix than does B-DNA. A-DNA

TABLE 24-1 Structural Features of Ideal A-, B-, and Z-DNA

	A	B	Z
Helical sense	Right handed	Right handed	Left handed
Diameter	~26 Å	~20 Å	~18 Å
Base pairs per helical turn	11.6	10	12 (6 dimers)
Helical twist per base pair	31°	36°	9° for pyrimidine–purine steps; 51° for purine–pyrimidine steps
Helix pitch (rise per turn)	34 Å	34 Å	44 Å
Helix rise per base pair	2.9 Å	3.4 Å	7.4 Å per dimer
Base tilt normal to the helix axis	20°	6°	7°
Major groove	Narrow and deep	Wide and deep	Flat
Minor groove	Wide and shallow	Narrow and deep	Narrow and deep
Sugar pucker	C3′-*endo*	C2′-*endo*	C2′-*endo* for pyrimidines; C3′-*endo* for purines
Glycosidic bond conformation	Anti	Anti	Anti for pyrimidines; syn for purines

Source: Mainly Arnott, S., *in* Neidle, S. (Ed.), *Oxford Handbook of Nucleic Structure,* p. 35, Oxford University Press (1999).

has 11.6 bp per turn and a pitch of 34 Å, which gives it an axial hole (Fig. 24-2*c, left*). A-DNA's most striking feature, however, is that the planes of its base pairs are tilted 20° with respect to the helix axis. Since the axis does not pass through its base pairs, A-DNA has a deep major groove and a very shallow minor groove; it can be described as a flat ribbon wound around a 6-Å-diameter cylindrical hole. A short segment of A-DNA occurs in the active site of DNA polymerase during the replication of DNA (Section 25-2A).

Z-DNA Forms a Left-Handed Helix. Occasionally, a seemingly familiar system exhibits quite unexpected properties. Over 25 years after the discovery of the Watson–Crick DNA structure, the crystal structure determination of d(CGCGCG) by Andrew Wang and Alexander Rich revealed, quite surprisingly, that this self-complementary sequence formed a left-handed double helix. This helix, which was dubbed Z-DNA, has 12 Watson–Crick base pairs per turn, a pitch of 44 Å, a deep minor groove, and no discernible major groove. Z-DNA therefore resembles a left-handed drill bit in appearance (Fig. 24-2, *right*).

Fiber diffraction and NMR studies have shown that complementary polynucleotides with alternating purines and pyrimidines, such as poly d(GC) · poly d(GC) or poly d(AC) · poly d(GT), assume the Z conformation at high salt concentrations. The salt stabilizes Z-DNA relative to B-DNA by reducing the electrostatic repulsions between closest approaching phosphate groups on opposite strands (which are 8 Å apart in Z-DNA and 12 Å apart in B-DNA).

Does Z-DNA have a biological function? The discovery of Z-DNA–binding proteins strongly suggests that Z-DNA does exist *in vivo*. Rich has determined the X-ray structure of a Z-DNA–binding domain named **Zα** in complex with DNA. One Zα domain binds to each strand of Z-DNA via hydrogen bonds and ionic interactions between polar and basic side chains and the sugar–phosphate backbone of the DNA; none of the DNA's bases participate in these associations (**Fig. 24-3**). The protein's DNA-binding surface is complementary in shape to the Z-DNA and is positively charged, as is expected for a protein that interacts with closely spaced anionic phosphate groups. Sequences capable of forming Z-DNA frequently occur near the start of genes, and the reversible conversion of B-DNA to Z-DNA at these sites may play a role in the control of transcription.

(a)

FIG. 24-2 Structures of A-, B-, and Z-DNA. (*a*) View perpendicular to the helix axis. The sugar–phosphate backbones, which wind about the periphery of each molecule, are outlined by a green ribbon and the bases, which occupy its core, are red. Note that the two sugar–phosphate chains in each helix run in opposite directions so as to form right-handed double helices in A- and B-DNAs and a left-handed double helix in Z-DNA. (*b*) Space-filling models colored according to atom type with C white, N blue, O red, and P orange. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. (*c*) View along the helix axis. The ribose ring O atoms are red and the nucleotide pair nearest the viewer is white. Note that the helix axis passes far "above" the major groove of A-DNA, through the base pairs of B-DNA, and through the edge of the minor groove of Z-DNA. Consequently, A-DNA has a hollow core whereas B- and Z-DNAs have solid cores. Also note that the deoxyribose residues in A- and B-DNAs have the same conformation in each helix, but those in Z-DNA have two different conformations so that alternate ribose residues lie at different radii. [Based on structures by Olga Kennard, Dov Rabinovitch, Zippora Shakked, and Mysore Viswamitra, Cambridge University, U.K., Nucleic Acid Database ID ADH010 (A-DNA); Richard Dickerson and Horace Drew, Caltech, PDBid 1BNA (B-DNA); and Andrew Wang and Alexander Rich, MIT, PDBid 2DCG (Z-DNA). Illustrations in Parts *a* and *c*, Irving Geis, Images from the Irving Geis Collection, Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Reprinted with permission. Model coordinates for Part *b* generated by Helen Berman, Rutgers University.]

? Would a protein that binds to a segment of B-DNA be able to bind to A-DNA or Z-DNA?

RNA Can Form an A Helix. Double-stranded RNA is the genetic material of certain viruses, but it is synthesized only as a single strand. Nevertheless, single-stranded RNA can fold back on itself so that complementary sequences base-pair to form double-stranded stems with single-stranded loops (Fig. 3-9). Moreover, short segments of double-stranded RNA have been implicated in the control of gene expression (Section 28-3C). Double-stranded RNA is unable to assume a B-DNA-like conformation because of steric clashes involving its 2′-OH groups. Rather, it usually assumes a conformation resembling A-DNA (Fig. 24-2) that ideally has 11.0 bp per helical turn, a pitch of 30.9 Å, and base pairs that, on average, are inclined to the helix axis by 16.7°.

(b) **A-DNA** **B-DNA** **Z-DNA**

(c)

FIG. 24-2 *Continued*

Courtesy of Alexander Rich

FIG. 24-3 **X-Ray structure of Zα in complex with Z-DNA.** The DNA sequence d(CGCGCG) is shown in stick form with its backbone red and its base pairs pink. The Zα domains are drawn with α helices blue and β sheets light green. Note that each Zα domain contacts only one strand of Z-DNA and that none of the bases of the Z-DNA interact directly with the protein. PBDid 1QBJ.

Hybrid double helices, which consist of one strand each of RNA and DNA, also have an A-DNA-like conformation (Fig. 24-4), although hybrid helices also have B-DNA-like qualities in that their overall conformation is intermediate to those of A-DNA and B-DNA. Short stretches of RNA–DNA hybrid helices occur during the initiation of DNA replication by small segments of RNA (Section 25-1) and during the transcription of RNA on DNA templates (Section 26-1C).

FIG. 24-4 X-Ray structure of a 10-bp RNA–DNA hybrid helix. The complex consists of the RNA UUCGGGCGCC that is base paired to its DNA complement. The structure is shown in stick form with RNA C atoms cyan, DNA C atoms green, N blue, O red except for RNA O2′ atoms, which are magenta, and P gold. [Based on an X-ray structure by Nancy Horton and Barry Finzel, Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc., Kalamazoo, Michigan. PDBid 1FIX.]

B DNA Has Limited Flexibility

The structurally distinct A, B, and Z forms of DNA are not thought to freely interconvert *in vivo*. Rather, the transition from one form to another requires unusual physical conditions (e.g., dehydration) or the influence of DNA-binding proteins. In addition, real DNA molecules deviate from the ideal structures described in the preceding section. X-Ray structures of B-DNA segments reveal that *individual residues significantly depart from the average conformation in a sequence-dependent manner.* For example, the helical twist per base pair may range from 26° to 43°. Each base pair can also deviate from its ideal conformation by rolling or twisting like the blade of a propeller. Such conformational variation appears to be important for the sequence-specific recognition of DNA by the proteins that process genetic information.

B-DNA molecules, which are 20 Å thick and many times as long, are not perfectly rigid rods. In fact, it is imperative that the molecules be somewhat flexible so that they can be packaged in cells. DNA helices can adopt different degrees of curvature ranging from gentle arcs to sharp bends. The more severe distortions from linearity generally occur in response to the binding of specific proteins.

Bond Rotation Is Hindered. The conformation of a nucleotide unit, as Fig. 24-5 indicates, is specified by the six torsion angles of the sugar–phosphate backbone and the torsion angle describing the orientation of the base around the glycosidic bond (the bond joining C1′ to the base). It would seem that these seven degrees of freedom per nucleotide would render polynucleotides highly flexible. Yet these torsion angles are subject to a variety of internal constraints that greatly restrict their rotational freedom.

The rotation of a base around its glycosidic bond (angle χ) is greatly hindered. Purine residues have two sterically permissible orientations known as the

FIG. 24-5 The seven torsion angles that determine the conformation of a nucleotide unit.

? **Identify bonds in the structure in Fig. 24-4 that correspond to the seven torsion angles.**

FIG. 24-6 The sterically allowed orientations of purine and pyrimidine bases with respect to their attached ribose units. In B-DNA, the nucleotide residues all have the anti conformation.

syn (Greek: with) and **anti** (Greek: against) **conformations** (**Fig. 24-6**). Only the anti conformation of pyrimidines is stable, because, in the syn conformation, the sugar residue sterically interferes with the pyrimidine's C2 substituent. *In most double-helical nucleic acids, all bases are in the anti conformation.* The exception is Z-DNA (Section 24-1A), in which the alternating pyrimidine and purine residues are anti and syn, respectively (this is one reason why the repeating unit of Z-DNA is a dinucleotide).

The flexibility of the ribose ring itself is also limited. The vertex angles of a regular pentagon are 108°, a value quite close to the tetrahedral angle (109.5°), so one might expect the ribofuranose ring to be nearly flat. However, the ring substituents are eclipsed when the ring is planar. To relieve this crowding, which occurs even between hydrogen atoms, the ring puckers; that is, it becomes slightly nonplanar. In the great majority of known nucleoside and nucleotide X-ray structures, four of the ring atoms are coplanar to within a few hundredths of an angstrom and the remaining atom is out of the plane by several tenths of an angstrom. The out-of-plane atom is almost always C2′ or C3′ (**Fig. 24-7**). The two most common ribose conformations are known as ***C3′-endo*** and ***C2′-endo***; "*endo*" (Greek: *endon,* within) indicates that the displaced atom is on the same side of the ring as C5′, whereas "*exo*" (Greek: *exo,* out of) indicates displacement toward the opposite side of the ring from C5′.

The ribose pucker is conformationally important in nucleic acids because it governs the relative orientations of the phosphate substituents to each ribose residue. In fact, B-DNA has the C2′-*endo* conformation, whereas A-DNA is

FIG. 24-7 Nucleotide sugar conformations. (*a*) The C3′-*endo* conformation (C3′ is displaced to the same side of the ring as C5′), which occurs in A-DNA. (*b*) The C2′-*endo* conformation, which occurs in B-DNA. The distances between adjacent P atoms in the sugar–phosphate backbone are indicated. [After Saenger, W., *Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure,* p. 237, Springer-Verlag (1983).]

C3′-*endo*. In Z-DNA, the purine nucleotides are all C3′-*endo* and the pyrimidine nucleotides are C2′-*endo*.

The Sugar–Phosphate Backbone Is Conformationally Constrained. Finally, if the torsion angles of the sugar–phosphate chain (angles α to ζ in Fig. 24-5) were completely free to rotate, there could probably be no stable nucleic acid structure. However, these angles are actually quite restricted. This is because of noncovalent interactions between the ribose ring and the phosphate groups and, in polynucleotides, steric interference between residues. The overall result is that *the sugar–phosphate chains of the double helix are stiff, although the sugar–phosphate conformational angles are reasonably strain-free.*

C DNA Can Be Supercoiled

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See **Guided Exploration**
DNA Supercoiling

The chromosomes of many viruses and bacteria are circular molecules of duplex DNA. In electron micrographs (e.g., **Fig. 24-8**), some of the molecules have a peculiar twisted appearance, a phenomenon known as **supercoiling** or **superhelicity**. Supercoiled DNA molecules are more compact than "relaxed" molecules with the same number of nucleotides. This has important consequences for packaging DNA in cells (Section 24-5) and for the unwinding events that occur as part of DNA replication and RNA transcription.

Superhelix Topology Can Be Simply Described. Consider a double-helical DNA molecule in which each of its polynucleotide strands forms a covalently closed circle, thus forming a circular duplex molecule (because duplex DNA's two strands are antiparallel, each strand must close on itself). A geometric property of such an assembly is that *its number of coils cannot be altered without first cleaving at least one of its strands.* You can easily demonstrate this with a buckled belt in which each edge of the belt represents a strand of DNA. The number of times the belt is twisted before it is buckled cannot be changed without first unbuckling the belt (cutting a polynucleotide strand).

The phenomenon is mathematically expressed as

$$L = T + W \qquad [24\text{-}1]$$

in which:

1. L, the **linking number**, is the number of times that one DNA strand winds around the other. This integer quantity is most easily counted when the duplex axis of the DNA is made to lie flat on a plane. The linking number cannot be changed by twisting or distorting the molecule, as long as both its polynucleotide strands remain covalently intact.

Electron micrographs by Laurien Polder. From Kornberg, A. and Baker, T.A., *DNA Replication*, 2nd ed., p. 36, W. H. Freeman (1992). Permission provided courtesy of Roger Kornberg

FIG. 24-8 Electron micrographs of circular duplex DNAs. Their conformations vary from no supercoiling (*left*) to tightly supercoiled (*right*).

2. *T*, the **twist**, is the number of complete revolutions that one polynucleotide strand makes around the duplex axis. By convention, *T* is positive for right-handed duplex turns so that, for B-DNA, the twist is normally the number of base pairs divided by 10.5 (the observed number of base pairs per turn of the B-DNA double helix in aqueous solution).
3. *W*, the **writhing number**, is the number of turns that the duplex axis makes around the superhelix axis. *It is a measure of the DNA's superhelicity.* The difference between writhing and twisting is illustrated in Fig. 24-9. When a circular DNA is constrained to lie in a plane, $W = 0$.

The two DNA conformations diagrammed on the right of Fig. 24-10 are topologically equivalent; that is, they have the same linking number, *L*, but differ in their twists and writhing numbers (topology is the study of the geometric properties of objects that are unaltered by deformation but not by cutting). Note that the two strands, of a circular duplex DNA, although not covalently linked, cannot be separated without breaking a covalent bond; that is, they are **topologically linked.**

Since L is a constant in an intact duplex DNA circle (it is topologically invariant), for every new double-helical twist, ΔT, there must be an equal and opposite superhelical twist; that is, $\Delta W = -\Delta T$ (*T* and *W*, which need not be integers, are not topologically invariant). For example, a closed circular DNA without supercoils (Fig. 24-10, *upper right*) can be converted to a negatively supercoiled conformation (Fig. 24-10, *lower right*) by winding the duplex helix the same number of positive (right-handed) turns.

Supercoiled DNA Is Relaxed by Nicking One Strand. Supercoiled DNA may be converted to **relaxed circles** (as appears in the leftmost panel of Fig. 24-8) by treatment with **pancreatic DNase I,** an **endonuclease** (an enzyme that cleaves phosphodiester bonds within a polynucleotide strand), which cleaves only one strand of a duplex DNA. *One single-strand nick is sufficient to relax a supercoiled DNA.* This is because the sugar–phosphate chain opposite the nick is free to swivel about its backbone bonds (Fig. 24-5) so as to change the molecule's linking number and thereby alter its superhelicity. Supercoiling builds up elastic strain in a DNA circle (it resists any deviation from its preferred twist of 1 turn/10.5 bp), much as it does in a rubber band. This is why the relaxed state of a DNA circle is not supercoiled.

Naturally Occurring DNA Circles Are Underwound. The linking numbers of natural DNA circles are less than those of their corresponding relaxed circles; that is, they are underwound. However, because DNA tends to adopt an overall

FIG. 24-9 The difference between writhing and twist as demonstrated by a coiled spring or telephone cord. In its relaxed state (*left*), the spring or cord is in a helical form that has a large writhing number and a small twist. As the coil is pulled out (*center*) until it is nearly straight (*right*), its writhing number becomes small and its twist becomes large.

FIG. 24-10 Two ways of introducing one supercoil into a DNA that has 10 duplex turns. The two closed circular forms shown (*right*) are topologically equivalent; that is, they are interconvertible without breaking any covalent bonds. The linking number *L*, twist *T*, and writhing number *W* are indicated for each form. Strictly speaking, the linking number is defined only for a covalently closed circle.

FIG. 24-11 Progressive unwinding of a negatively supercoiled DNA molecule. (*a*) As the double helix in a negatively supercoiled circle ($W < 0$) is unwound without breaking covalent bonds (T decreases), W increases until it reaches 0. Further unwinding of the double helix then causes the DNA to supercoil in the opposite direction, yielding a positively coiled superhelix ($W > 0$). (*b*) Gel electrophoresis patterns of the 5243-bp covalently closed circular DNA from **simian virus 40 (SV40)**. Lane 1 contains the negatively supercoiled native DNA (*lower band;* the DNA was applied to the top of the gel). In lanes 2 and 3, the DNA was treated for 5 and 30 minutes, respectively, with a type I topoisomerase, an enzyme that relaxes negative supercoils one at a time (see below), thereby progressively reducing the DNA's degree of supercoiling [incrementally increasing the DNA's linking number (L) and hence increasing its writhing number (W) because its twist (T) remains essentially unchanged]. The **topoisomers** (molecules that differ only in their topologies) in consecutively higher bands of a given lane therefore differ by $\Delta L = +1$. [Part *b* from Keller, W., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **72,** 2550 (1975).]

conformation that maintains its preferred twist of 1 turn/10.5 bp, the molecule is negatively supercoiled ($W < 0$; **Fig. 24-11*a***, *left*). If the duplex is unwound (if T decreases), then W increases (L must remain constant). At first, this reduces the superhelicity of an underwound circle. However, with continued unwinding, the value of W passes through zero (a relaxed circle; Fig. 24-11*a*, *center*) and then becomes positive, yielding a positively coiled superhelix (Fig. 24-11*a*, *right*). Since, as can be seen in Figs. 24-8 and 24-11*a,* the compactness of a circular duplex DNA increases with its degree of supercoiling (its absolute value of W), its electrophoretic mobility also does so (**Fig. 24-11*b***). Note that supercoiling also occurs in linear segments of duplex DNA whose ends are, in effect, joined in a circle or held in fixed orientations through their associations with proteins.

D Topoisomerases Alter DNA Supercoiling

DNA functions normally only if it is in the proper topological state. In such basic biological processes as replication and transcription, complementary polynucleotide strands must separate. The negative supercoiling of naturally occurring DNAs in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes promotes such separations since it tends to unwind the duplex helix (an increase in W must be accompanied by a decrease in T). *If DNA lacks the proper superhelical tension, the above vital processes cannot occur.* Indeed, the need to replicate and transcribe DNA presents what appears to be an insurmountable problem: The separation of DNA's two strands, it would seem, necessitates that they unwind from one another by enormous numbers of turns (~12 million in an average human chromosome). How is this possible within the narrow confines of a bacterial cell or a eukaryotic nucleus and how can it happen at all with circular DNAs? The discovery, in 1971 by James Wang, of a remarkable enzyme now known as a **topoisomerase** eliminated this conundrum. Topoisomerases, which control the level of supercoiling in DNA, are so named because they alter DNA's topological state (linking number) but not its covalent structure.

Prokaryotes and eukaryotes both express two classes of topoisomerases:

1. **Type I topoisomerases** act by creating transient single-strand breaks in DNA. Type I enzymes are further classified as **type IA** and **type IB topoisomerases,** which differ in sequence and reaction mechanism.
2. **Type II topoisomerases** act by making transient double-strand breaks in DNA.

Type IA Topoisomerases Relax Negatively Supercoiled DNA. *Type I topoisomerases catalyze the relaxation of supercoiled DNA by changing the linking number in increments of one.* Type IA enzymes, which are present in all cells, relax only negatively supercoiled DNA. A clue to the mechanism of type IA topoisomerases was provided by the observation that they reversibly **catenate** (interlink) single-stranded circles (**Fig. 24-12*a***). Apparently the enzyme operates by cutting a single strand, passing a single-strand loop through the resulting gap, and then resealing the break (**Fig. 24-12*b***). For negatively supercoiled DNA, this increases the linking number and makes the writhing number more positive (fewer negative supercoils). The process can be repeated until all of the supercoils have been removed ($W = 0$). This **strand passage** mechanism is supported by the observation that the denaturation of type IA topoisomerase that has been incubated with single-stranded circular DNA yields a linear DNA that has its 5′-terminal phosphoryl group linked to the enzyme via a phospho-Tyr diester linkage:

Formation of the covalent enzyme–DNA intermediate conserves the free energy of the cleaved phosphodiester bond so that no free energy input is needed to later reseal the nick in the DNA.

E. coli **topoisomerase III,** a type IA enzyme, is a 659-residue monomer (type I topoisomerases are denoted by odd Roman numerals; e.g., topoisomerase I, III, etc.). The X-ray structure of its catalytically inactive Y328F mutant in complex with the single-stranded octanucleotide d(CGCAACTT) was determined by Alfonso Mondragón. The enzyme folds into four domains that enclose an ~20 by 28 Å hole which is large enough to contain duplex DNA and which is lined with numerous Arg and Lys residues (**Fig. 24-13**). The octanucleotide binds in a groove that is also lined with Arg and Lys side chains with its sugar–phosphate backbone in contact with the protein and with most of its bases exposed for possible base pairing. This single-stranded

FIG. 24-12 Type IA topoisomerase action. By cutting a single-stranded DNA, passing a loop of a second strand through the break, and then resealing the break, a type IA topoisomerase can (*a*) catenate two single-stranded circles or (*b*) unwind duplex DNA by one turn.

? **Count the number of full helical turns in the DNA at point 1 and point 3.**

FIG. 24-13 X-Ray structure of the Y328F mutant of *E. coli* topoisomerase III in complex with the single-stranded octanucleotide d(CGCAACTT). The protein's four domains are drawn in different colors and the two views shown are related by a 90° rotation about a vertical axis. The DNA is drawn in space-filling form with C white, N blue, O red, and P yellow. This type IA topoisomerase's active site is marked by the side chain of Phe 328, which is shown in space-filling form in light green. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alfonso Mondragón, Northwestern University. PDBid 1I7D.]

? **Explain why the substitution of Tyr 328 by Phe inactivates the enzyme.**

DNA assumes a B-DNA-like conformation even though its complementary strand would be sterically excluded from the groove. The DNA strand is oriented with its 3′ end near the active site where, if the mutant Phe 328 were the wild-type Tyr, its side chain would be properly positioned to nucleophilically attack the phosphate group bridging the DNA's C-6 and T-7 nucleotides to form a 5′-phospho-Tyr linkage with T-7 and release C-6 with a free 3′-OH. This structure suggests the mechanism for the type IA topoisomerase-catalyzed strand passage reaction that is diagrammed in Fig. 24-14.

Type IB Topoisomerases Relax Supercoiled DNA via Controlled Rotation. Type IB topoisomerases, which occur only in eukaryotes, can relax both negative and positive supercoils. In doing so, they transiently cleave one strand of a duplex DNA through the nucleophilic attack of an active site Tyr on a DNA P atom to yield a 3′-linked phospho-Tyr intermediate and a free 5′-OH group on the succeeding nucleotide (in contrast to the 5′-linked phospho-Tyr and free 3′-OH group formed by type IA topoisomerases). The X-ray structure of the catalytically inactive Y723F mutant of human **topoisomerase I,** a type IB topoisomerase, in complex with a 22-bp duplex DNA was determined by Wim Hol. The core domain of the bilobal protein is wrapped around the DNA in a tight embrace (Fig. 24-15). If the mutant Phe 723 were the wild-type Tyr, its OH group would be ideally positioned to nucleophilically attack the P on the scissile P—O5′ bond so as to form a covalent linkage with the 3′ end of the cleaved strand. The protein interacts to a much greater extent with the five base pairs of the DNA's "upstream" segment (which would contain the cleaved strand's newly formed 5′ end) than it does with the base pairs of the DNA's "downstream" segment (to which Tyr 723 would be covalently linked), and in both cases it does so in a largely sequence-independent manner.

Topoisomerase I does not appear sterically capable of unwinding supercoiled DNA via the strand passage mechanism that type IA topoisomerases follow

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 24-14 Proposed mechanism for type IA topoisomerases. The enzyme is shown in blue with the yellow patch representing the binding groove for single-stranded (ss) DNA. The two DNA strands, which are drawn in red and green, could represent the two strands of a covalently closed circular duplex or two ss circles. If the two strands form a negatively supercoiled duplex DNA, the reaction results in its linking number, *L*, increasing by 1; if they are separate ss circles, they become catenated or decatenated. For negatively supercoiled duplex DNA, this process can be repeated until all of its supercoils have been removed ($W = 0$). [After a drawing by Alfonso Mondragón, Northwestern University.] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

FIG. 24-15 X-Ray structure of the Y723F mutant of human topoisomerase I in complex with a 22-bp duplex DNA. This type IB topoisomerase consists of several domains and subdomains that are drawn here in different colors. Tyr 723 is on the C-terminal domain (*green*). The DNA's uncleaved strand is cyan, and the upstream and downstream portions of the scissile strand are magenta and pink, respectively. [Courtesy of Wim Hol, University of Washington. PDBid 1A36.]

(Fig. 24-14). Rather, it is likely that topoisomerase I relaxes DNA supercoils by permitting the cleaved duplex DNA's loosely held downstream segment to rotate relative to the tightly held upstream segment. This rotation can only occur about the sugar–phosphate bonds in the uncleaved strand (α, β, γ, ε, and ζ in Fig. 24-5) that are opposite the cleavage site because the cleavage frees those bonds to rotate. In support of this mechanism, the protein region surrounding the downstream segment contains 16 conserved, positively charged residues that form a ring about the duplex DNA, which apparently holds the DNA but not in any specific orientation. Nevertheless, the downstream segment is unlikely to rotate freely because the cavity containing it is shaped so as to interact with the downstream segment during some portions of its rotation. Hence, type IB topoisomerases are said to mediate a **controlled rotation** mechanism in relaxing supercoiled DNA. The unwinding is driven by the superhelical tension in the DNA and hence requires no other energy input. Eventually, the DNA is religated by a reversal of the cleavage reaction and the now less supercoiled DNA is released.

Type II Topoisomerases Function Via an ATP-Dependent Strand Passage Mechanism. Type II topoisomerases are multimeric enzymes that require ATP hydrolysis to complete a reaction cycle in which two DNA strands are cleaved, duplex DNA is passed through the break, and the break is resealed. Type II topoisomerases therefore change the linking number in increments of two rather than one, as do type I topoisomerases (if the DNA in Fig. 24-11*b* had been treated with a type II topoisomerase, then only every second band in the electrophoretogram would be present). Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic type II enzymes relax negative and positive supercoils. However, only the prokaryotic enzyme (also known as **DNA gyrase** or just **gyrase**) can introduce negative supercoils [in contrast, the negative supercoils in eukaryotic chromosomes result primarily from its packaging in nucleosomes (Section 24-5B)]. Gyrases are A_2B_2 heterotetramers in which the B subunits bind and hydrolyze ATP and the A subunits mediate the double-strand cleavage, strand passage, and reunion reactions. The eukaryotic type II topoisomerases are homologous to gyrases but with their A and B subunits fused so that they are homodimers.

FIG. 24-16 X-Ray structures of yeast topoisomerase II. (*a*) Structure of a homodimer of the N-terminal ATPase domain (residues 7–406 of the 1428-residue subunits, which correspond to the B subunits of gyrases) in complex with AMPPNP as viewed with its twofold axis vertical. The protein is displayed in ribbon form with one subunit gray and the other colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The bound AMPPNP molecules are shown in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. (*b*) Structure of a homodimer of the DNA breakage/reunion domain (residues 419–1177, which correspond to the A subunits of gyrases) in complex with a doubly nicked 34-bp DNA. The structure is viewed with its twofold axis vertical. The DNA, which describes a 150° arc, is drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange embedded in its semitransparent molecular surface (*orange*). The protein is displayed in ribbon form with one subunit gray and the other colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The side chains of the two active site Tyr residues (Y782) are shown in space-filling form with C magenta and O red. The polypeptides in Parts *a* and *b*, which in the intact protein are joined by a 12-residue linker (represented by the dotted lines), presumably share the same twofold axis as drawn, although their relative orientation about the axis is unknown. [Based on X-ray structures by James Berger, University of California at Berkeley. PDBids 1PVG and 2RGR.]

Type II topoisomerases superficially resemble the type I enzymes in that they have a pair of catalytic Tyr residues, which form transient covalent intermediates with the 5′ ends of duplex DNA. They thereby mediate the cleavage of the two DNA strands at staggered sites to produce 4-nucleotide "sticky ends."

The X-ray structure of the homodimeric ATPase fragment of yeast **topoisomerase II** (type II topoisomerases are denoted by even Roman numerals; e.g., topoisomerase II, IV, etc.) in complex with the nonhydrolyzable ATP analog AMPPNP (Section 13-2A), determined by James Berger, consists of two domains (**Fig. 24-16*a***). The N-terminal domain binds AMPPNP and the C-terminal domains form the walls of a large hole through the dimer, which in the X-ray structure of the structurally similar *E. coli* gyrase is 20 Å across, the same width as the B-DNA double helix.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 24-17 Model for the enzymatic mechanism of type II topoisomerases. The protein's ATPase domain and the upper and lower portions of the breakage/reunion domain are colored yellow, red, and purple, respectively, and the DNA's G- and T-segments (G for *g*ate; T for *t*ransported) are colored gray and green. [Courtesy of James Wang, Harvard University.] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Berger also determined the X-ray structure of the yeast topoisomerase II breakage/reunion domain in complex with a 15-bp DNA that has a self-complementary 4-nucleotide overhang on the 5′ end of one of its strands. The DNA thereby forms a twofold symmetric 34-bp duplex with nicks on opposite strands separated by 4 bp (Fig. 24-16*b*). These are precisely the sites at which the enzyme would cleave an intact DNA by linking its newly formed 5′-ending strands to the active site Tyr residues. The protein binds the DNA in a positively charged groove that spans the width of the dimer and, in doing so, bends it through an arc of 150° (as we will see, DNA-binding proteins often deform their bound DNA, although such an extreme deformation is unusual). Interestingly, the DNA between the two cleavage sites is essentially in the A form. There are almost no direct contacts between the protein and the DNA bases, as is expected for a protein with little sequence specificity. Note also that the C-terminal portions of the protein come together to enclose a large centrally located empty space.

Consideration of the foregoing two structures and those of the corresponding portions of *E. coli* gyrase suggests a model for the mechanism of type II topoisomerases (Fig. 24-17). The DNA to be cleaved first binds to the enzyme and is clamped in place. In the presence of ATP, the bound DNA is cleaved and a second duplex DNA is passed through the opening into the central hole of the protein. The cleaved DNA is then resealed and the transported DNA exits the complex at a point opposite its point of entry. ATP hydrolysis to ADP + P_i prepares the enzyme for an additional catalytic cycle. The importance of topoisomerases in maintaining DNA in its proper topological state is indicated by the fact that many antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents are inhibitors of topoisomerases (Box 24-2).

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the structural differences between A-, B-, and Z-DNA, including handedness, diameter, and presence of grooves.
- How do the structures of RNA and DNA differ?
- Explain why most nucleotides adopt the anti conformation.
- In what nucleic acid conformation(s) does the ribose pucker with C2′ *endo*? C3′ *endo*?
- Summarize the relationship between linking number, twist, and writhing number.
- Why is it necessary for naturally occurring DNA molecules to be negatively supercoiled?
- How do type IA, type IB, and type II topoisomerases alter DNA topology? Which processes require the input of free energy?

Box 24-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Inhibitors of Topoisomerases as Antibiotics and Anticancer Chemotherapeutic Agents

Type II topoisomerases are inhibited by a variety of compounds. For example, **ciprofloxacin** and **novobiocin** (*below*) specifically inhibit DNA gyrase but not eukaryotic topoisomerase II and are therefore antibiotics. In fact, ciprofloxacin is the most efficacious oral antibiotic presently in clinical use. In contrast, novobiocin's adverse side effects and the rapid generation of bacterial resistance to its presence have resulted in the discontinuation of its use in the treatment of human infections. A number of substances, including **doxorubicin** and **etoposide** (*below*), inhibit eukaryotic type II topoisomerases and are therefore widely used in cancer chemotherapy.

Different type II topoisomerase inhibitors act in one of two ways. Many of these agents, including novobiocin, inhibit their target enzyme's ATPase activity. They therefore kill cells by blocking topoisomerase activity, which results in the arrest of DNA replication and RNA transcription since the cell can no longer control the level of supercoiling in its DNA. However, other substances, including ciprofloxacin, doxorubicin, and etoposide, enhance the rate at which their target type II topoisomerases cleave double-stranded DNA and/or reduce the rate at which the breaks are resealed. Consequently, these substances induce higher than normal levels of transient protein-bridged breaks in the DNA of treated cells. The protein bridges are easily ruptured by the passage of the replication and transcription machinery, thereby rendering the breaks permanent. Although all cells have extensive enzymatic machinery for repairing damaged DNA (Section 25-5), a sufficiently high level of DNA damage can overwhelm the repair mechanisms and trigger cell death. Consequently, since rapidly replicating cells such as cancer cells have elevated levels of type II topoisomerases, they are far more likely to incur lethal DNA damage through the inhibition of their type II topoisomerases than are slow-growing or quiescent cells.

Camptothecin (*below*) and its derivatives, the only known inhibitors of type IB topoisomerases, act by prolonging the lifetime of the covalent enzyme–DNA intermediate. These substances bind to the complex between the ends of the severed DNA strand, positioning the 5′-OH groups more than 4.5 Å away from the phosphate groups that must be reattached in the religation reaction. Because the nicked DNA cannot be replicated, camptothecin prevents the proliferation of rapidly growing cells such as cancer cells and hence is a potent anticancer agent.

Ciprofloxacin

Doxorubicin

Etoposide

Novobiocin

Camptothecin

2 Forces Stabilizing Nucleic Acid Structures

KEY CONCEPTS

- A double-stranded nucleic acid structure is stabilized by hydrogen bonding between base pairs, by stacking interactions, and by ionic interactions.
- DNA can be denatured by heating and renatured through annealing.
- RNA assumes more varied shapes than DNA and, in some cases, has catalytic activity.

DNA does not exhibit the structural complexity of proteins because it has only a limited repertoire of secondary structures and no comparable tertiary or quaternary structures. This is perhaps to be expected since the 20 amino acid residues of

proteins have a far greater range of chemical and physical properties than do the four DNA bases. Nevertheless, many RNAs have well-defined tertiary structures. In this section, we examine the forces that determine the structures of nucleic acids.

A Nucleic Acids Are Stabilized by Base Pairing, Stacking, and Ionic Interactions

Base pairing is apparently a "glue" that holds together double-stranded nucleic acids, although other intramolecular forces help shape nucleic acid structures. Only **Watson–Crick base pairs** normally occur in DNA structures, but other hydrogen-bonded base pairs are known, particularly in RNA (**Fig. 24-18**). For example, in some A · T base pairs, adenine N7 is the hydrogen bond acceptor (**Hoogsteen** geometry; Fig. 24-18*a*) rather than N1 (Watson–Crick geometry; Fig. 24-1).

Observations of DNA structures indicate that *Watson–Crick geometry is the most stable mode of base pairing in the double helix, even though non-Watson–Crick base pairs are theoretically possible*. Initially, it was believed that the geometrical constraints of the double helix precluded other types of base pairing. Recall that because A · T, T · A, G · C, and C · G base pairs are geometrically similar, they can be interchanged without altering the conformation of the sugar–phosphate chains. However, experimental measurements show that a major reason that other base pairs do not appear in the double helix is that *Watson–Crick base pairs have an intrinsic stability that non-Watson–Crick base pairs lack; that is, the bases in a Watson–Crick pair have a higher mutual affinity than those in a non-Watson–Crick pair*. Nevertheless, as we will see, the double-helical segments of many RNAs contain unusual base pairs, such as G · U, that help stabilize their tertiary structures.

Hydrogen Bonds Only Weakly Stabilize Nucleic Acid Structures. It is clear that hydrogen bonding is required for the specificity of base pairing in DNA. Yet, as is also true for proteins (Section 6-4A), *hydrogen bonding contributes little to the stability of nucleic acid structures.* This is because, on denaturation, the hydrogen bonds between the base pairs of a native nucleic acid are replaced by energetically similar hydrogen bonds between the bases and water. Other types of forces must therefore play an important role in stabilizing nucleic acid structures.

Stacking Interactions Result from Hydrophobic Forces. Purines and pyrimidines tend to form extended stacks of planar parallel molecules. This has been

FIG. 24-18 Some non-Watson–Crick base pairs. (*a*) Hoogsteen pairing between adenine and thymine residues. (*b*) An A · A base pair. (*c*) A U · C base pair. (R represents ribose-5-phosphate).

? **Compare these base pairs to those shown in Fig. 24-1.**

FIG. 24-19 The stacking of adenine rings in the X-ray structure of 9-methyladenine. The partial overlap of the rings such that their atoms are not in direct opposition is typical of the association between bases in crystal structures and in double-helical nucleic acids. [After Stewart, R.F. and Jensen, L.H., *J. Chem. Phys.* **40,** 2071 (1964).]

observed in the structures of nucleic acids (Fig. 24-2*c*) and in the structures of crystallized nucleic acid bases (e.g., Fig. 24-19). These **stacking interactions** are a form of van der Waals interaction (Section 2-1A). Interactions between stacked G and C bases are greater than those between stacked A and T bases (Table 24-2), which largely accounts for the greater thermal stability of DNAs with a high G + C content. Note also that differing sets of base pairs in a stack have different stacking energies. These differences arise, in part, from the competition between stacking and hydrogen bonding interactions for their optimum geometries. Thus, *the stacking energy of a double helix is sequence-dependent.*

One might assume that hydrophobic effects in nucleic acids are qualitatively similar to those that stabilize protein structures. This is not the case. Recall that folded proteins are stabilized primarily by the increase in entropy of the solvent water molecules (the hydrophobic effect; Section 6-4A); in other words, protein folding is enthalpically opposed and entropically driven. In contrast, thermodynamic measurements reveal that the base stacking in nucleic acids is enthalpically driven and entropically opposed, although the theoretical basis for this observation is not well understood. The difference between hydrophobic effects in proteins and in nucleic acids may reflect the fact that nucleic acid bases are much more polar than most protein side chains (e.g., adenine versus the side chain of Phe or Leu). Whatever their origin, hydrophobic effects are of central importance in determining nucleic acid structures, as is clear from the denaturing effect of adding nonpolar solvents to aqueous solutions of DNA.

TABLE 24-2 Stacking Energies for the Ten Possible Dimers in B-DNA

Stacked Dimer	Stacking Energy ($kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$)
C · G G · C	−61.0
C · G A · T	−44.0
C · G T · A	−41.0
G · C C · G	−40.5
G · C G · C	−34.6
G · C A · T	−28.4
T · A A · T	−27.5
G · C T · A	−27.5
A · T A · T	−22.5
A · T T · A	−16.0

Source: Ornstein, R.L., Rein, R., Breen, D.L., and MacElroy, R.D., *Biopolymers* **17,** 2356 (1978).

Cations Shield the Negative Charges of Nucleic Acids. Any theory of the stability of nucleic acid structures must take into account the electrostatic interactions of their charged phosphate groups. For example, DNA is stabilized by Na^+ ions because the ions electrostatically shield the anionic phosphate groups from each other. Other monovalent cations such as Li^+ and K^+ have similar nonspecific interactions with phosphate groups. Divalent cations, such as Mg^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, and Co^{2+}, in contrast, specifically bind to phosphate groups, so *they are far more effective shielding agents for nucleic acids than are monovalent cations.* For example, an Mg^{2+} ion has an influence on the DNA double helix comparable to that of 100 to 1000 Na^+ ions. Indeed, enzymes that mediate reactions with nucleic acids or nucleotides almost always require an Mg^{2+} ion cofactor for activity. Mg^{2+} ions also play an essential role in stabilizing the complex structures assumed by many RNAs.

B DNA Can Undergo Denaturation and Renaturation

When a solution of duplex DNA is heated above a characteristic temperature, its native structure collapses and its two complementary strands separate and assume random conformations (Fig. 24-20). The denaturation process is accompanied by a qualitative change in the DNA's physical properties. For example,

FIG. 24-20 A schematic representation of DNA denaturation.

the characteristic high viscosity of native DNA solutions, which arises from the resistance to deformation of its rigid and rodlike duplex molecules, drastically decreases when the DNA decomposes to the conformationally flexible single chains. Likewise, DNA's ultraviolet absorbance, which is almost entirely due to its aromatic bases, increases by ~40% on denaturation (**Fig. 24-21**) as a consequence of the disruption of the electronic interactions among neighboring bases. The increase in absorbance is known as the **hyperchromic effect**.

Monitoring the changes in absorbance at a single wavelength (usually 260 nm) as the temperature increases reveals that the increase in absorbance occurs over a narrow temperature range (**Fig. 24-22**). This indicates that *the denaturation of DNA is a cooperative phenomenon in which the collapse of one part of the structure destabilizes the remainder.* In analogy with the melting of a solid, Fig. 24-22 is referred to as a **melting curve,** and the temperature at its midpoint is defined as its **melting temperature, T_m**.

FIG. 24-21 The UV absorbance spectra of native and heat-denatured *E. coli* DNA. Note that denaturation does not change the general shape of the absorbance curve but only increases its intensity. [After Voet, D., Gratzer, W.B., Cox, R.A., and Doty, P., *Biopolymers* **1,** 205 (1963).] **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

FIG. 24-22 An example of a DNA melting curve. The relative absorbance is the ratio of the absorbance (customarily measured at 260 nm) at the indicated temperature to that at 25°C. The melting temperature, T_m, is the temperature at which half of the maximum absorbance increase is attained. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Sketch melting curves for A · T-rich and G · C-rich DNA.

FIG. 24-23 Partially renatured DNA. This schematic representation shows the imperfectly base-paired structures assumed by DNA that has been heat-denatured and then rapidly cooled. Note that both intramolecular and intermolecular aggregation can occur.

? Would the same structures form if this sample of DNA were reheated and then cooled again?

The stability of the DNA double helix, and hence its T_m, depends on several factors, including the nature of the solvent, the identities and concentrations of the ions in solution, and the pH. T_m also increases linearly with the mole fraction of G · C base pairs, due to their greater stacking energy and not, as one might naively assume, because G · C base pairs contain one more hydrogen bond than A · T base pairs.

DNA Can Be Renatured by Cooling. If a solution of denatured DNA is rapidly cooled below its T_m, the resulting DNA will be only partially base-paired (Fig. 24-23), because the complementary strands will not have had sufficient time to find each other before the randomly base-paired structure becomes effectively "frozen in." If, however, the temperature is maintained ~25°C below the T_m, enough thermal energy is available for short base-paired regions to rearrange by melting and re-forming. Under such **annealing** conditions, as Julius Marmur discovered in 1960, denatured DNA eventually completely renatures. Likewise, complementary strands of RNA and DNA, in a process known as **hybridization**, form RNA–DNA hybrid double helices (e.g., Fig. 24-4) that are only slightly less stable than the corresponding DNA double helices.

C RNA Structures Are Highly Variable

The structure of RNA is stabilized by the same forces that stabilize DNA, and its conformational flexibility is limited by many of the same features that limit DNA conformation. In fact, RNA may be even more rigid than DNA owing to the presence of a greater number of water molecules that form hydrogen bonds to the 2′-OH groups of RNA. Even so, RNA comes in a greater variety of shapes and sizes than DNA and, in some cases, has catalytic activity.

RNAs May Contain Double-Stranded Segments. Bacterial ribosomes, which are two-thirds RNA and one-third protein, contain three highly conserved RNA molecules (Section 27-3). The smallest of these is the 120-nucleotide **5S RNA** [so named because it sediments in the ultracentrifuge at the rate of 5 Svedbergs (S); Section 5-2E]. 5S RNA has a secondary structure consisting of several base-paired regions connected by loops of various kinds (Fig. 24-24*a*). These structural elements can be discerned in the X-ray structure of this RNA molecule, in which the base-paired segments form mostly A-type helices

FIG. 24-24 Secondary and tertiary structure of 5S RNA from *Haloarcula marismortui*. (*a*) Secondary structure diagram. This single-stranded RNA molecule contains four double-stranded base-paired helices, as well as hairpin loops, internal loops, and bulges. The dashes indicate Watson–Crick base pairing, and circles indicate other modes of base pairing. (*b*) The X-ray structure in stick form colored according to its secondary structure as in Part *a*. [Based on an X-ray structure by Peter Moore and Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBid 1JJ2.]

(**Fig. 24-24*b***). Approximately two-thirds of the bases in 5S rRNA participate in base-pairing interactions; the remaining nucleotides, which are located in loops and at the 3′ and 5′ ends, are free to interact with ribosomal proteins or with unpaired nucleotides in the ribosome's other rRNA molecules.

Large RNA molecules presumably fold in stages, as do multidomain proteins (Section 6-5). RNA folding is almost certainly a cooperative process, with the rapid formation of short duplex regions preceding the collapse of the structure into its mature conformation. The complete folding process may take several minutes, it may involve relatively stable intermediates, and it may require the assistance of proteins.

RNA Molecules Are Stabilized by Stacking Interactions. The three-dimensional structure of the yeast transfer RNA that forms a covalent complex with Phe (**tRNA^{Phe}**) was elucidated in 1974 by Alexander Rich in collaboration with Sung-Hou Kim and, in a different crystal form, by Aaron Klug. The molecule is compact and L-shaped, with each leg of the L ~60 Å long (**Fig. 24-25**). The structural complexity of yeast tRNA^{Phe} is reminiscent of that of a protein. Although only 42 of its 76 bases occur in double-helical stems, 71 of them participate in stacking associations.

tRNA structures are characterized by the presence of covalently modified bases and unusual base pairs, including hydrogen-bonding associations involving three bases. These tertiary interactions contribute to the compact structure of the tRNAs (tRNA structure is discussed in greater detail in Section 27-2A).

FIG. 24-25 Structure of yeast tRNA^{Phe}. The 76-nucleotide RNA is shown in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms linked by orange rods. Nearly all the bases are stacked, thereby stabilizing the compact structure of the tRNA. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alexander Rich and Sung-Hou Kim, MIT. PDBid 6TNA.]

Some RNAs Are Catalysts. Although the discovery of catalytic RNA, by Thomas Cech in 1982, was initially greeted with skepticism, subsequent studies have demonstrated that the catalytic potential of RNA is virtually unlimited. *At least nine naturally occurring types of catalytic RNAs have been described, and many more* ***ribozymes*** *have been developed in the laboratory.* Most naturally

Box 24-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry The RNA World

The observations that nucleic acids but not proteins can direct their own synthesis, that cells contain batteries of protein-based enzymes for manipulating DNA (Chapter 25) but few for processing RNA, and that many coenzymes in biosynthetic processes and energy-harvesting pathways are ribonucleotides (e.g., ATP, NAD^+, FAD, and coenzyme A) led to the hypothesis that *RNAs were the original biological catalysts in precellular times—the so-called* ***RNA world.*** Indeed, RNA remains the carrier of genetic information in viruses with single- or double-stranded RNA genomes. The fact that RNA-based functions are distributed across all three domains of life provides additional evidence that the role of RNA in modern cells reflects its establishment very early in evolution.

Assuming that an RNA world did once exist, we must ask two questions: Why did protein catalysts take the place of most ribozymes, and why did DNA become the dominant molecule of heredity? The first question is readily answered by observing that the 20 amino acid residues of proteins contain functional groups—hydroxyl, sulfhydryl, amide, and carboxylate groups—that are lacking in RNA, whose four nucleotide residues are more uniform and less reactive. Thus, proteins probably replaced RNA as cellular workhorses by virtue of their greater chemical virtuosity. Nevertheless, RNA continues to play the dominant role in the synthesis of proteins: Ribosomes are predominantly RNA, which is now known to catalyze peptide bond formation (Section 27-4B).

As for DNA, it is more stable than RNA because RNA is highly susceptible to base-catalyzed hydrolysis by the reaction mechanism at the right. The base-induced deprotonation of the 2′-OH group facilitates its nucleophilic attack on the adjacent phosphorus atom, thereby cleaving the RNA backbone. The resulting 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate group subsequently hydrolyzes to produce a 2′- or 3′-nucleotide product (the RNase A–catalyzed hydrolysis of RNA follows a nearly identical reaction sequence but generates only 3′-nucleotides; Section 11-3A). DNA is not susceptible to such degradation because it lacks a 2′-OH group. This greater chemical stability of DNA makes it more suitable than RNA for the long-term storage of genetic information.

occurring RNA catalysts participate in aspects of RNA metabolism such as mRNA splicing (Section 26-3B) and hydrolytic RNA processing (Section 26-3C). The formation of peptide bonds during protein synthesis is catalyzed by ribosomal RNA (Section 27-4). *In vitro,* RNA molecules can catalyze some of the reactions required for their own production, such as the synthesis of glycosidic bonds and nucleotide polymerization. This functional versatility has led to the hypothesis that RNA once carried out many of the basic activities of early life, before DNA or proteins had evolved. This scenario has been dubbed the RNA world (Box 24-3).

One of the best-characterized ribozymes is the **hammerhead ribozyme,** a minimally ~40-nt molecule that participates in the replication of certain virus-like RNAs that infect plants and also occurs in schistosomes (species of parasitic flatworms). The hammerhead ribozyme catalyzes the site-specific cleavage of one of its own phosphodiester bonds. The secondary structures of the 63-nt hammerhead ribozyme from *Schistosoma mansoni,* which cleaves itself between its C-17 and C-1.1 nucleotides, has three duplex stems and a catalytic core of two nonhelical segments (**Fig. 24-26*a***). The X-ray structure of this hammerhead

FIG. 24-26 Structure of a hammerhead ribozyme. (*a*) The sequence and schematic structural representation of the ribozyme oriented and colored to match the X-ray structure drawn in Part *b*. Base pairs are represented by colored lines, and dotted lines represent tertiary interactions. Nucleotides are labeled according to the universal numbering system for hammerhead ribozymes. (*b*) X-Ray structure of the ribozyme drawn in paddle form with C atoms the same color as in Part *a* except that those of G-12 are light green and those of C-1.1 are light blue, N blue, O red, and P orange. Adjacent P atoms in the same strand are connected by thin orange rods. The P atom of the scissile phosphate group (bridging C-17 and C-1.1) and the nucleophile (O2′ of C-17) are represented by small spheres. (*c*) The ribozyme's active site residues are drawn in stick form with C green, O red, N blue, and P orange. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed black lines. The proposed reaction mechanism is indicated by the curved arrows with the dotted black line marking the in-line trajectory taken by atom O2′ of C-17 in nucleophilically attacking the P atom of the scissile phosphate group. [Part *a* courtesy of and Parts *b* and *c* based on an X-ray structure by William Scott, University of California at Santa Cruz. PDBid 2GOZ.]

ribozyme (Fig. 24-26*b*), determined by William Scott, reveals that it forms three A-type helices. The nucleotides in the helical stems mainly form normal Watson–Crick base pairs, whereas the various loops and bulges interact through a variety of hydrogen bonding and base stacking interactions.

The bases in the highly conserved catalytic core of the hammerhead ribozyme participate in an extensive hydrogen bonded network (Fig. 24-26*c*). This helps position C-17 such that its O2′ atom is properly oriented for nucleophilic attack on the P atom linking atom O3′ of C-17 to atom O5′ of C-1.1, so as to yield a cyclic 2′,3′-phosphodiester on C-17 together with a free 5′-OH on C-1.1. The 2′-OH of the invariant G-8 and N1 of the invariant G-12, when deprotonated, appear to be properly positioned to act as acid and base catalysts in the reaction. Interestingly, no metal ions are present in the ribozyme's active site region.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the forces that stabilize nucleic acid structure. Which is most important?
- Explain the molecular events of nucleic acid denaturation and renaturation.
- What properties allow RNA molecules to act as catalysts?

The hammerhead ribozyme is not a true catalyst, since it is its own substrate and hence cannot return to its original state. However, other ribozymes catalyze multiple turnovers without themselves undergoing alteration. Ribozymes are comparable to protein enzymes in their rate enhancement ($\sim 10^7$-fold for the hammerhead ribozyme), in their ability to use cofactors such as metal ions or imidazole groups, and in their regulation by small allosteric effectors. Although RNA molecules lack the repertoire of functional groups possessed by protein catalysts, RNA molecules can assume conformations that specifically bind substrates, orient them for reaction, and stabilize the transition state—all hallmarks of enzyme catalysts.

3 Fractionation of Nucleic Acids

KEY CONCEPT

- Nucleic acids can be fractionated on the basis of size, composition, and sequence by chromatography and electrophoresis.

In Section 5-2 we considered the most common procedures for isolating and characterizing proteins. Most of these methods, often with some modifications, are also used to fractionate nucleic acids according to size, composition, and sequence. There are also many techniques that apply only to nucleic acids. In this section, we outline some of the most useful nucleic acid fractionation procedures.

Nucleic acids in cells are invariably associated with proteins. Once cells have been broken open, their nucleic acids are usually deproteinized. This can be accomplished by shaking the protein–nucleic acid mixture with a phenol solution so that the protein precipitates and can be removed by centrifugation. Alternatively, the protein can be dissociated from the nucleic acids by detergents, guanidinium chloride, or high salt concentration, or it can be enzymatically degraded by proteases. In all cases, the nucleic acids, a mixture of RNA and DNA, can then be isolated by precipitation with ethanol. The RNA can be recovered from such precipitates by treating them with pancreatic DNase to eliminate the DNA. Conversely, the DNA can be freed of RNA by treatment with RNase.

In all these and subsequent manipulations, the nucleic acids must be protected from degradation by nucleases that occur both in the experimental material and on human hands. Nucleases can be inhibited by chelating agents such as EDTA, which sequester the divalent metal ions they require for activity. Laboratory glassware should also be autoclaved to heat-denature the nucleases. Nevertheless, nucleic acids are generally easier to handle than proteins because most lack a complex tertiary structure and are therefore relatively tolerant of extreme conditions.

GATEWAY CONCEPT Molecular Behavior

The exact conformations of individual DNA molecules vary in a population of otherwise identical molecules. For this reason, measuring the melting temperature or characterizing a DNA sample by chromatography or electrophoresis depends on the average chemical and physical properties of the molecules in the population.

A Nucleic Acids Can Be Purified by Chromatography

Many of the chromatographic techniques that are used to separate proteins (Section 5-2C) also apply to nucleic acids. Affinity chromatography is used to isolate specific nucleic acids. For example, most eukaryotic messenger RNAs (mRNAs) have a poly(A) sequence at their 3′ ends (Section 26-3A). They can be isolated on agarose or cellulose to which poly(U) is covalently attached. The poly(A) sequences specifically bind to the complementary poly(U) in high salt and at low temperature and can later be released by altering those conditions.

B Electrophoresis Separates Nucleic Acids by Size

Nucleic acids of a given type can be separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Section 3-4B) because their electrophoretic mobilities in such gels vary inversely with their molecular masses. However, DNAs of more than a few thousand base pairs cannot penetrate even a weakly cross-linked polyacrylamide gel and so must be separated in agarose gels. Yet conventional gel electrophoresis is limited to DNAs of <100,000 bp, because larger DNA molecules tend to worm their way through the agarose at a rate independent of their size. Charles Cantor and Cassandra Smith overcame this limitation by developing **pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE),** which can resolve DNAs of up to 10 million bp (6.6 million kD). In the simplest type of PFGE apparatus, the polarity of the electrodes is periodically reversed, with the duration of each pulse varying from 0.1 to 1000 s, depending on the sizes of the DNAs being separated. With each change in polarity, the migrating DNA must reorient to the new electrical field before it can resume movement. A DNA molecule may actually migrate backward for part of the time. Because small molecules reorient more quickly than large molecules, different-sized DNA molecules gradually separate (Fig. 24-27).

FIG. 24-27 Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) of a set of yeast chromosomes. The yeast chromosomes, which were run as identical samples in the 13 inner lanes, have sizes 260, 290, 370, 460, 580/600, 700, 780, 820, and 850 kb. The two outer lanes, which provide molecular mass standards, contained twenty successively larger multimers of a ~43.5-kb bacteriophage λ DNA (bottom to top) to an observed limit of ~850 kb. [Electrophoretogram by Margit Burmeister, University of Michigan *in* Wilson, K. and Walker, J., *Principles and Techniques of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* (6th ed.), p. 477, Cambridge University Press (2005).]

Intercalation Agents Stain Duplex DNA. The various DNA bands in a gel can be stained by planar aromatic cations such as **ethidium ion, acridine orange,** or **proflavin:**

Ethidium

Proflavin

Acridine orange

The dyes bind to double-stranded DNA by **intercalation** (slipping in between the stacked bases; Fig. 24-28), where they exhibit a fluorescence under UV light that

FIG. 24-28 X-Ray structure of a complex of ethidium with 5-iodo-UpA. Ethidium (*red*) intercalates between the base pairs of the double-helically paired dinucleotide and thereby provides a model of the binding of ethidium to duplex DNA. [After Tsai, C.-C., Jain, S.C., and Sobell, H.M., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **72,** 629 (1975).]

Klaus Guldbrandsen/Photo Researchers.

FIG. 24-29 Agarose gel electrophoretogram of double-helical DNA. After electrophoresis, the gel was soaked in a solution of ethidium bromide, washed, and photographed under UV light. The fluorescence of the ethidium cation is strongly enhanced by binding to DNA, so each fluorescent band marks a different sized DNA fragment.

is far more intense than that of the free dye (Fig. 24-29). As little as 50 ng of DNA can be detected in a gel by staining it with ethidium bromide. Single-stranded DNA and RNA also stimulate the fluorescence of ethidium but to a lesser extent than does duplex DNA.

Fig. 24-28 reveals that intercalating dyes partially unwind DNA, that is, they decrease its twist, T. Consequently, titrating duplex circular DNA with an intercalating dye such as ethidium progressively increases the DNA's writhe, W (recall that $\Delta W = -\Delta T$ in intact DNA), as is diagrammed, left-to-right, in Fig. 28-11a.

Southern Blotting Identifies DNAs with Specific Sequences. DNA with a specific base sequence can be identified through a procedure developed by Edwin Southern known as **Southern blotting** (Fig. 24-30). This procedure takes advantage of the ability of nitrocellulose to tenaciously bind single-stranded but not duplex DNA. Following gel electrophoresis of double-stranded DNA, the gel is soaked in 0.5 M NaOH to convert the DNA to its single-stranded form. The gel is then overlaid by a sheet of nitrocellulose paper. Molecules in the gel are forced through the nitrocellulose by drawing out the liquid with a stack of absorbent towels compressed against the far side of the nitrocellulose, or by using an electrophoretic process

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 24-30 The detection of DNAs containing specific base sequences by Southern blotting. WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

? **What would happen if the hybridization temperature were very low or very high?**

(electroblotting). The single-stranded DNA binds to the nitrocellulose at the same position it had in the gel. After drying at 80°C, which permanently fixes the DNA in place, the nitrocellulose sheet is moistened with a minimal quantity of solution containing a single-stranded DNA or RNA probe that is complementary in sequence to the DNA of interest. The probe is typically tagged with a fluorescent group or a radioactive isotope such as ^{32}P. The moistened nitrocellulose is held at a suitable renaturation temperature for several hours to permit the probe to hybridize to its target sequence(s), washed to remove the unbound probe, dried, and then analyzed. If the probe is radioactive, an autoradiogram is made by placing the nitrocellulose for a time over a sheet of X-ray film. The positions of the molecules that are complementary to the radioactive probe are indicated by a blackening of the developed film (alternatively, a position-sensitive radiation detector can be used). If the probe is fluorescent, an imaging instrument is used to produce a digital photograph.

Specific RNA sequences can be detected through a variation of the Southern blot, punningly named a **Northern blot**, in which the RNA is immobilized on nitrocellulose paper and probed with a complementary RNA or DNA probe. A specific protein can be analogously detected in an **immunoblot** or **Western blot** through the use of antibodies directed against the protein in a procedure similar to that used in an ELISA (Fig. 5-3).

CHECKPOINT

- How is DNA separated from protein? From RNA?
- Which fractionation procedures separate nucleic acids according to their binding affinity? According to their size?
- Describe the methods for visualizing DNA molecules after separation.

4 DNA–Protein Interactions

KEY CONCEPTS

- Restriction endonucleases make base-specific contacts with palindromic DNA.
- Prokaryotic repressors interact with DNA by inserting a helix or a β strand into the major groove.
- Many eukaryotic DNA-binding proteins contain zinc fingers or leucine zipper structural motifs.

The accessibility of genetic information depends on the ability of proteins to recognize and interact with DNA in a manner that allows the encoded information to be copied as DNA (in replication) or as RNA (in transcription). Even the most basic steps of these processes require many proteins that interact with each other and with the nucleic acids. In addition, cells regulate the expression of most genes, which requires yet other proteins that act as repressors or activators of transcription.

Many proteins bind DNA nonspecifically, that is, without regard to the sequence of nucleotides. For example, histones (which are involved in packaging DNA; Section 24-5A) and certain DNA replication proteins (which must potentially interact with all the sequences of an organism's genome) bind to DNA primarily through interactions between protein functional groups and the sugar–phosphate backbone of DNA. Proteins that recognize specific DNA sequences presumably also bind nonspecifically but loosely to DNA so that they can scan the polynucleotide chain for their target sequences to which they then bind specifically and tightly. Most DNA–protein complexes have dissociation constants ranging from 10^{-9} to 10^{-12} M; these values represent binding that is 10^3 to 10^7 times stronger than nonspecific binding. Sequence-specific DNA–protein interactions must be extremely precise so that the proteins can exert their effects at sites selected from among—in the human genome—billions of base pairs. How do such proteins interact with their target sites on DNA?

Sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins generally do not disrupt the base pairs of the duplex DNA to which they bind. They do, however, *discriminate among the four base pairs (A · T, T · A, G · C, and C · G) according to the functional groups of the base pairs that project into DNA's major and minor grooves.* As can be seen in Fig. 24-2, these groups are more exposed in the major groove of B-DNA than in its narrower minor groove. Moreover, the major groove contains more sequence-specific functional groups than does the minor groove (Fig. 24-1). However, as revealed in a systematic survey of 129 protein–DNA complexes,

(a) (b)

FIG. 24-31 X-Ray structure of EcoRI endonuclease in complex with DNA. The segment of duplex DNA has the self-complementary sequence TCGCGAATTCGCG (12 bp with an overhanging T at both 5′ ends; the enzyme's 6-bp target sequence is underlined) and is drawn as a space-filling model with its sugar–phosphate chains yellow, its target sequence bases cyan, and its other bases white. The protein is drawn in ribbon form with its two identical subunits red and blue. The complex is shown (*a*) with its DNA helix axis vertical and (*b*) in end view (rotated relative to Part *a* by 90° about a horizontal axis). The complex's twofold axis is horizontal and the DNA's major groove faces right (toward the protein) in both views. This was the first known X-ray structure of a protein–DNA complex. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Rosenberg, University of Pittsburgh. PDBid 1ERI.]

only about one-third of the interactions between the binding protein and the DNA involve hydrogen bonds, either directly or via intervening water molecules. Approximately two-thirds of all interactions are van der Waals contacts. Ionic interactions with backbone phosphate groups also occur. The complementarity of the binding partners in many cases is augmented by an "induced fit" phenomenon in which the protein and the nucleic acid change their conformations for greater stability. In some cases, these changes allow the binding proteins to interact with other proteins or alter the accessibility of the DNA to other molecules. Below, we examine some examples of specific DNA–protein binding. We will encounter many more examples of nucleic acid–protein interactions in subsequent chapters.

A Restriction Endonucleases Distort DNA on Binding

Type II restriction endonucleases rid bacterial cells of foreign DNA by cleaving the DNA at specific sites that have not yet been methylated by the host's modification methylase (Section 3-4A). Restriction enzymes recognize palindromic DNA sequences of ~4 to 8 base pairs with such remarkable specificity that a single base change can reduce their activity by a millionfold. This degree of specificity is necessary to prevent accidental cleavage of other sites in a DNA sequence.

The X-ray structure of EcoRI endonuclease in complex with a segment of B-DNA containing the enzyme's recognition sequence was determined by John Rosenberg. The DNA binds in the symmetric cleft between the two identical 276-residue subunits of the dimeric enzyme (Fig. 24-31), thereby accounting for the DNA's palindromic recognition sequence. Protein binding causes the dihedral angle between the recognition sequence's central two base pairs to open up by ~50° toward the minor groove. These base pairs thereby become unstacked, but the DNA remains nearly straight due to compensating bends at the adjacent base pairs. Nevertheless, this unwinds the DNA by 28° and widens the major

(a) (b)

FIG. 24-32 X-Ray structure of EcoRV endonuclease in complex with DNA. The 10-bp segment of DNA has the self-complementary sequence GGGATATCCC (the enzyme's 6-bp target sequence is underlined). The DNA and protein are colored as in Fig. 24-31. (*a*) The complex as viewed along its twofold axis, facing the DNA's major groove. The two symmetry-related protein loops that overlie the major groove (composed of residues 182–186) are the only parts of the enzyme that make base-specific contacts with the DNA. (*b*) The complex as viewed from the right in Part *a* (the DNA's major groove faces left). Note how the protein kinks the DNA toward its major groove. [Based on an X-ray structure by Fritz Winkler, Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland. PDBid 4RVE.]

groove by 3.5 Å at the recognition site. The N-terminal ends of a pair of parallel helices from each protein subunit are inserted into the widened major groove, where they participate in a hydrogen-bonded network with the bases of the recognition sequence. The phosphodiester cleavage points are located two bases from the center of the palindrome (Table 3-2).

Certain other restriction endonucleases, including EcoRV (Fig. 24-32), also induce kinks in the DNA, in some cases by opening up the minor groove and compressing the major groove. However, *complementary hydrogen bonding between the nucleotide bases and protein side chain and backbone groups, rather than the DNA distortion per se, is the primary prerequisite for the formation of a sequence-specific endonuclease–DNA complex.* For example, in the BamHI–DNA complex, every potential hydrogen bond donor and acceptor in the major groove of the recognition site takes part in direct or water-mediated hydrogen bonds with the protein. No other DNA sequence could support this degree of complementarity with BamHI.

B Prokaryotic Repressors Often Include a DNA-Binding Helix

In prokaryotes, the expression of many genes is governed at least in part by **repressors**, proteins that bind at or near the gene so as to prevent its transcription (Section 28-2). These repressors often contain ~20-residue polypeptide segments that form a **helix–turn–helix (HTH) motif** containing two α helices that cross at an angle of ~120°. HTH motifs, which are apparently evolutionarily related, occur as components of domains that otherwise have widely varying structures, although all of them bind DNA. Note that HTH motifs are structurally stable only when they are components of larger proteins from which they protrude, permitting them to interact with DNA.

Like restriction endonuclease recognition sites, the sequences to which repressors bind (called **operators**) exhibit palindromic symmetry or nearly so.

(a)

(b)

FIG. 24-33 X-Ray structure of a portion of the 434 phage repressor in complex with its target DNA. One strand of the 20-bp DNA has the sequence d(TATACAAGAAAGTTTGTACT). (*a*) The complex as viewed with the homodimeric protein's twofold axis horizontal. The protein is drawn in ribbon form with one of its subunits blue and the other pink and with their helix–turn–helix (HTH) motifs in darker shades. The DNA is drawn in stick form with C white, N blue, O red, and P orange, and with successive P atoms in the same chain connected by orange rods. (*b*) A schematic drawing indicating how the helix–turn–helix motif, which encompasses helices α2 and α3, interacts with the DNA. Residues are identified by single-letter codes. Short bars emanating from the polypeptide chain represent peptide NH groups, dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds, and numbered circles represent DNA phosphates. The small circle is a water molecule. [Part *a* based on an X-ray structure by and Part *b* courtesy of Aneel Aggarwal, John Anderson, and Stephen Harrison, Harvard University. PDBid 2OR1.]

Typically, the repressors are dimeric, although their interactions with DNA may not be perfectly symmetrical. Binding involves interactions between the amino acid side chains extending from the second helix of the HTH motif (the "recognition" helix) and the bases and sugar–phosphate chains of the DNA. The X-ray structure of a dimeric repressor from **bacteriophage 434** bound to its 20-bp recognition sequence was determined by Stephen Harrison. The **434 repressor** associates with the DNA in a twofold symmetric manner with a recognition helix from each subunit bound in successive turns of the DNA's major groove (**Fig. 24-33**). The repressor closely conforms to the DNA surface and interacts with its paired bases and sugar–phosphate chains through elaborate networks of hydrogen bonds, salt bridges, and van der Waals contacts. In the repressor–DNA complex, the DNA bends around the protein in an arc of radius ~65 Å, which compresses the minor groove by ~2.5 Å near its center (between the two protein monomers) and widens it by ~2.5 Å toward its ends.

The *E. coli trp* Repressor Binds DNA Indirectly. The *E. coli* ***trp*** **repressor** regulates the transcription of genes required for tryptophan biosynthesis (Section 28-2C). Paul Sigler determined the X-ray structure of the protein in complex with a DNA containing an 18-bp palindrome (of single-strand sequence TGTACTAGTTAACTAGTAC, where the *trp* repressor's target sequence is underlined) that closely resembles the *trp* operator. The homodimeric repressor protein also has HTH motifs whose recognition helices bind, as expected, in successive major grooves of the DNA, each in contact with half of the operator sequence (ACTAGT; **Fig. 24-34**). There are numerous hydrogen-bonding contacts between the *trp* repressor and the DNA's nonesterified phosphate oxygens. Astoundingly, however, there are no direct hydrogen bonds or nonpolar contacts that can explain the repressor's specificity for its operator. Rather, all but one of the side chain–base hydrogen-bonding interactions are mediated by bridging water molecules. In addition, the operator contains several base pairs that are not in contact with the repressor but whose mutation nevertheless greatly decreases repressor binding affinity. This suggests that *the operator assumes a sequence-specific conformation that makes favorable contacts with the repressor.* Other DNA sequences could conceivably assume the same conformation but at too high an energy cost to form a stable complex with the repressor. This phenomenon, in which a protein senses the base sequence of DNA through the DNA's backbone conformation and/or flexibility, is referred to as **indirect readout**. This finding puts to rest the notion that proteins recognize nucleic acid sequences exclusively via particular sets of pairings between amino acid side chains and nucleotide bases analogous to Watson–Crick base pairing.

The *met* Repressor Binds DNA via a Two-Stranded β Sheet. Simon Phillips first determined the X-ray structure of the *E. coli* ***met*** **repressor** in the absence of DNA (the *met* repressor regulates the transcription of genes involved in methionine biosynthesis). The homodimeric protein lacks an HTH motif, but model-building

FIG. 24-34 X-Ray structure of an *E. coli trp* repressor–operator complex. The complex is viewed with its molecular twofold axis horizontal. The protein's two identical subunits are drawn in ribbon form colored pink and blue with their HTH motifs more deeply colored. The 18-bp self-complementary DNA is shown in stick form with C white, N blue, O red, P orange, and with successive P atoms in the same chain connected by orange rods. The *trp* repressor binds its operator only when L-tryptophan, drawn in space-filling form with C green, is simultaneously bound. Note that the protein's recognition helices bind, as expected, in successive major grooves of the DNA but extend approximately perpendicular to the DNA helix axis, whereas those of 434 phage repressor are nearly parallel to the major grooves of its bound DNA (Fig. 24-33). [Based on an X-ray structure by Paul Sigler, Yale University. PDBid 1TRO.]

studies suggested that the repressor might bind to its palindromic target DNA via a symmetry-related pair of protruding α helices, reminiscent of the way the recognition helices of HTH motifs interact with DNA. However, the subsequently determined X-ray structure of the *met* repressor–operator complex showed that the protein actually binds its target DNA sequence through a pair of symmetrically related β strands (located on the opposite side of the protein from the protruding α helices) that form a two-stranded antiparallel β sheet that inserts into the DNA's major groove (Fig. 24-35). The β strands make sequence-specific contacts with the DNA via hydrogen bonding and, probably, indirect readout. This result indicates that the conclusions of even what appear to be straightforward model-building studies should be viewed with skepticism. In the case of the *met* repressor–operator complex, the model-building study favored the incorrect model because it could not take into account the small conformational adjustments that both the protein and the DNA make on binding one another.

FIG. 24-35 X-Ray structure of the *E. coli met* repressor–SAM–operator complex. The complex is viewed along its twofold axis of symmetry. The self-complementary 18-bp DNA is drawn in stick form, and *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM), which must be bound to the repressor for it to also bind DNA, is shown in space-filling form. DNA C atoms are white, SAM C green, N blue, O red, P orange, and S yellow. Note that the DNA has four bound repressor subunits: Pairs of subunits (*light cyan* and *lavender*) form symmetric dimers in which each subunit donates one strand of the two-stranded β sheet that is inserted in the DNA's major groove (*upper left and lower right*). Two such dimers pair across the complex's twofold axis via their antiparallel N-terminal helices, which contact each other over the DNA's minor groove. [Based on an X-ray structure by Simon Phillips, University of Leeds, U.K. PDBid 1CMA.]

C Eukaryotic Transcription Factors May Include Zinc Fingers or Leucine Zippers

In eukaryotes, genes are selectively expressed in different cell types; this requires more complicated regulatory machinery than in prokaryotes. Prokaryotic repressors of known structure either contain an HTH motif or resemble the *met* repressor. However, eukaryotic DNA-binding proteins employ a much wider variety of structural motifs to bind DNA. A number of proteins known as **transcription factors** (Section 26-2C) promote the transcription of genes by binding to specific DNA sequences at or near those genes. In this section, we describe a variety of the DNA-binding motifs in eukaryotic transcription factors.

Zinc Fingers Form Compact DNA-Binding Structures. The first of the predominantly eukaryotic DNA-binding motifs, the **zinc finger**, was discovered by Klug in **transcription factor IIIA (TFIIIA)** from *Xenopus laevis* (an African clawed toad). The 344-residue TFIIIA contains nine similar, tandemly repeated, ~30-residue modules, each of which contains two invariant Cys residues and two invariant His residues. Each of these units binds a Zn^{2+} ion, which is tetrahedrally liganded by the Cys and His residues (a **Cys_2–His_2 zinc finger;** Fig. 6-37). In some zinc fingers, the two Zn^{2+}-liganding His residues are replaced by two additional Cys residues, whereas others have six Cys residues liganding two Zn^{2+} ions. Indeed, structural diversity is a hallmark of zinc finger proteins. In all cases, however, the Zn^{2+} ions appear to knit together relatively small globular domains, thereby eliminating the need for much larger hydrophobic protein cores (Section 6-4A).

The Cys_2–His_2 zinc finger contains a two-stranded antiparallel β sheet and one α helix. Three of these motifs are incorporated into a 72-residue segment of the mouse protein **Zif268,** whose X-ray structure in complex with a target DNA was elucidated by Carl Pabo (**Fig. 24-36**). The three zinc fingers are arranged as separate domains in a C-shaped structure that fits snugly into the DNA's major groove. Each zinc finger interacts in a conformationally identical manner with successive 3-bp segments of the DNA, predominantly through hydrogen bonds between the zinc finger's α helix and one strand of the DNA. Each zinc finger specifically hydrogen-bonds to two bases in the major groove. Interestingly, five

FIG. 24-36 X-Ray structure of the three-zinc finger segment of Zif268 in complex with DNA. The 10-bp DNA has a single nucleotide overhang at each end. The DNA is drawn in paddle form with C magenta, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms connected by orange rods. The protein is drawn in cartoon form with finger 1 lavender, finger 2 colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), and finger 3 dark yellow. The Zn^{2+} ions are represented by cyan spheres, and their liganding His and Cys side chains are drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, and S yellow. Note how the N-terminal end of each zinc finger's helix extends into the DNA's major groove to contact three base pairs. [Based on an X-ray structure by Carl Pabo, MIT. PDBid 1ZAA.]

FIG. 24-37 X-Ray structure of the GAL4 DNA-binding domain in complex with DNA. (*a*) A ribbon diagram of the protein's zinc finger domain (residues 8–40) colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The six Cys side chains are shown in stick form with C green and S yellow, and the two Zn^{2+} ions are represented by cyan spheres. (*b*) The complex of the dimeric protein with the 19-bp DNA. The DNA is drawn in paddle form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms connected by orange rods. The protein is shown in ribbon form with one subunit pink and the other lavender. The views are along the complex's twofold axis (*left*) and turned 90° with the twofold axis horizontal (*right*). Note how the C-terminal end of each subunit's N-terminal helix extends into the DNA's major groove. [Based on an X-ray structure by Ronen Marmorstein and Stephen Harrison, Harvard University. PDBid 1D66.]

? Compare the structure in Part *a* with Fig. 6-37.

of the six associations involve Arg–guanine pairs. In addition to these sequence-specific interactions, each zinc finger hydrogen-bonds with the DNA's phosphate groups via conserved Arg and His residues.

The Cys_2–His_2 zinc finger broadly resembles the prokaryotic HTH motif as well as most other DNA-binding motifs we will encounter (including other types of zinc fingers). All these DNA-binding motifs provide a platform for inserting an α helix into the major groove of B-DNA. However, the Cys_2–His_2 zinc fingers, unlike other DNA-binding motifs, occur as modules that each contact successive DNA segments (some transcription factors contain over 60 zinc fingers). *Such a modular system can recognize extended asymmetric base sequences.*

A binuclear **Cys_6 zinc finger** mediates the DNA binding of the yeast protein **GAL4,** a transcriptional activator of several genes that encode galactose-metabolizing enzymes. Residues 1 to 65 of this 881-residue protein include six Cys residues that collectively bind two Zn^{2+} ions (**Fig. 24-37*a***). Each Zn^{2+} ion is tetrahedrally coordinated by four Cys residues, with two of the residues ligating both metal ions. GAL4 binds to its 17-bp target DNA as a symmetric dimer (**Fig. 24-37*b***), although in the absence of DNA it is a monomer. Each subunit includes a compact zinc finger (residues 8–40) that binds DNA, an extended linker (residues 41–49), and an α helix (residues 50–64) that assists in GAL4 dimerization. The N-terminal helix of the zinc finger inserts into the DNA's major groove, making sequence-specific contacts with a highly conserved CCG sequence at each end of the recognition sequence. The bound DNA retains its B conformation.

The dimerization helices of GAL4 (center of Fig. 24-37*b*) are positioned over the minor groove of the DNA. The linkers connecting those helices to the zinc fingers wrap around the DNA, largely following its minor groove. The two symmetrically related DNA-binding zinc fingers thereby approach

the major groove from opposite sides of the DNA, ~1.5 helical turns apart, rather than from the same side of the DNA, ~1 turn apart, as do HTH motifs. The resulting relatively open structure could permit other proteins to bind simultaneously to the DNA.

Some Transcription Factors Include Leucine Zippers. Segments of certain eukaryotic transcription factors, such as the yeast protein **GCN4,** contain a Leu at every seventh position. We have already seen that α helices with the seven-residue pseudorepeating sequence $(a\text{-}b\text{-}c\text{-}d\text{-}e\text{-}f\text{-}g)_n$, in which the *a* and *d* residues are hydrophobic, have a hydrophobic strip along one side that allows them to dimerize as a coiled coil (e.g., α-keratin; Section 6-1C). Steven McKnight suggested that DNA-binding proteins containing such **heptad repeats** also form coiled coils in which the Leu side chains might interdigitate, much like the teeth of a zipper (**Fig. 24-38**). In fact, *these* **leucine zippers** *mediate the dimerization of certain DNA-binding proteins but are not themselves DNA-binding motifs.*

The X-ray structure of the 33-residue polypeptide corresponding to the leucine zipper of GCN4 was determined by Peter Kim and Thomas Alber. The first 30 residues, which contain ~3.6 heptad repeats (Fig. 24-38*a*), coil into an ~8-turn α helix that dimerizes as McKnight predicted to form ~1/4 turn of a parallel left-handed coiled coil (Fig. 24-38*b*). The dimer can be envisioned as a twisted ladder whose sides consist of the helix backbones and whose rungs are formed by the interacting hydrophobic side chains. The conserved Leu residues in the heptad position *d,* which corresponds to every second rung, are not interdigitated as McKnight originally suggested, but instead make side-to-side contacts. The alternate rungs are likewise formed by the *a* residues of the heptad repeat (which are mostly Val). These contacts form an extensive hydrophobic interface between the two helices.

In many leucine zipper–containing proteins, a DNA-binding region that is rich in basic residues is immediately N-terminal to the leucine zipper, and hence these proteins are known as **basic region leucine zipper (bZIP) proteins.** For example, in GCN4, the C-terminal 56 residues form an extended α helix. The last 25 residues of two such helices associate as a leucine zipper. The N-terminal portions of the helices smoothly diverge to bind in the major grooves on opposite sides of the DNA, thereby clamping the DNA in a sort of scissors grip

FIG. 24-38 The GCN4 leucine zipper motif. (*a*) A helical wheel representation of the motif's two helices as viewed from their N-termini. The sequences of residues at each position are indicated by the adjacent column of one-letter codes. Residues that form ion pairs in the crystal structure are connected by dashed lines. Note that all residues at positions *d* and *d′* are Leu (L), those at positions *a* and *a′* are mostly Val (V), and those at other positions are mostly polar. [After O'Shea, E.K., Klemm, J.D., Kim, P.S., and Alber, T., *Science* **254,** 540 (1991).] (*b*) The X-ray structure, in side view, in which the helices are shown in ribbon form. Side chains are shown in stick form with the contacting Leu residues at positions *d* and *d′* yellow and side chains at positions *a* and *a′* with C green, N blue, and O red. [Based on an X-ray structure by Peter Kim, MIT, and Tom Alber, University of Utah School of Medicine. PDBid 2ZTA.]

FIG. 24-39 X-Ray structure of the GCN4 bZIP region in complex with its target DNA viewed with its twofold axis vertical. The DNA consists of a 19-bp segment with a single nucleotide overhang at each end and contains the protein's palindromic (except for the central base pair) 7-bp target sequence. The DNA is drawn in paddle form with C magenta, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms connected by orange rods. The two identical GCN4 subunits, shown in ribbon form, each contain a continuous 52-residue α helix. At their C-terminal ends (*yellow*), the two subunits associate in a parallel coiled coil (a leucine zipper), and at their basic regions (*green*), they smoothly diverge to each engage the DNA in its major groove at the target sequence. [Based on an X-ray structure by Stephen Harrison, Harvard University. PDBid 1YSA.]

FIG. 24-40 X-Ray structure of Max binding to DNA viewed with its twofold axis vertical. Residues 22–113 of the 160-residue transcription factor Max form a complex with a 22-bp DNA containing the protein's palindromic 6-bp target sequence. The DNA is drawn in paddle form with C magenta, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms connected by orange rods. The homodimeric protein is shown in ribbon form. Its N-terminal basic region (*green*) forms an α helix that engages its target sequence in the DNA's major groove and then merges smoothly with the H1 helix (*yellow*) of the basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) motif. Following the loop (*red*), the protein's two H2 helices (*purple*) of the bHLH motif form a short parallel four-helix bundle with the two H1 helices. Each H2 helix then merges smoothly with the leucine zipper (Z) motif (*cyan*) to form a parallel coiled coil. The protein's C-terminal ends are pink [Based on an X-ray structure by Edward Ziff and Stephen Burley. The Rockefeller University. PDBid 1AN2.]

(Fig. 24-39). The basic region residues that are conserved in bZIP proteins make numerous contacts with both the bases and the phosphate oxygens of their DNA target sequence without distorting its conformation.

Many eukaryotic transcription factors contain a conserved DNA-binding basic region that is immediately followed by two amphipathic helices connected by a loop to form a so-called **basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) motif.** The basic region, together with the N-terminal portion of the first (H1) helix of the bHLH motif, binds in the major groove of its target DNA. The C-terminal (H2) helix of the bHLH motif mediates the dimerization of the protein via the formation of a coiled coil. In many proteins, the bHLH motif is continuous with a leucine zipper (Z) that presumably augments the dimerization. Thus, as is shown in Fig. 24-40 for the protein **Max,** the dimeric **bHLH/Z** protein grips the DNA in a manner reminiscent of a pair of forceps. Each basic region contacts specific bases of the DNA as well as phosphate groups. Side chains of both the loop and the N-terminal end of the H2 helix also contact DNA phosphate groups.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the types of interactions between nucleic acids and proteins.
- Why do restriction endonucleases make both site-specific and nonspecific contacts with DNA?
- How does the DNA binding of a zinc finger–containing transcription factor differ from that of a prokaryotic repressor?
- Explain why dimeric or multidomain proteins can bind to DNA with very high specificity.

5 Eukaryotic Chromosome Structure

KEY CONCEPTS

- Eukaryotic DNA is negatively supercoiled around a core of histone proteins to form a nucleosome.
- Nucleosomes are folded into higher-order chromatin structures.

Andrew Syred/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 24-41 Scanning electron micrograph of a metaphase chromosome. It consists of two sister (identical) **chromatids** joined at their **centromere** (the constricted region near the center of a chromosome through which it also attaches to the mitotic spindle). Chromosomes constitute a cell's largest molecular entities.

? **How many DNA molecules does this chromosome contain?**

Although each base pair of B-DNA contributes only ~3.4 Å to its **contour length** (the end-to-end length of a stretched-out native molecule), DNA molecules are generally enormous (as shown on page 831). Indeed, the 23 chromosomes of the 3 billion-bp human genome have a total contour length of almost 1 m. One of the enduring questions of molecular biology is how such vast quantities of genetic information can be scanned and decoded in a reasonable time while stored in a small portion of the cell's volume.

The elongated shape of duplex DNA (its diameter is only 20 Å) and its relative stiffness make it susceptible to mechanical damage when outside the protective environment of the cell. For example, a *Drosophila* chromosome, if expanded by a factor of 500,000, would have the shape and some of the mechanical properties of a 6-km-long strand of uncooked spaghetti. In fact, the **shear degradation** of DNA by stirring, shaking, or pipetting a DNA solution is a standard laboratory method for preparing DNA fragments.

Prokaryotic genomes typically comprise a single circular DNA molecule containing several million bp. However, eukaryotes condense and package their far larger genomes in several **chromosomes**. Each chromosome is a complex of linear duplex DNA and protein, a material known as **chromatin**, and is a dynamic entity whose appearance varies dramatically with the stage of the **cell cycle** (the general sequence of events that occur in the lifetime of a eukaryotic cell; Section 28-4A). For example, chromosomes assume their most condensed forms only during metaphase (Fig. 24-41), the stage of the cell cycle when the chromosomes align on the mitotic spindle. During the remainder of the cell cycle, when the DNA is transcribed and replicated, the chromosomes of most cells are highly dispersed. Yet the DNA of the chromosomes is still compacted relative to its free B-helix form. The DNAs in human chromosomes have contour lengths between 1.5 and 8.4 cm but in their most condensed state are only 1.3 to 10 μm long. In this section, we examine how DNA is packaged in cells to achieve that degree of condensation.

A DNA Coils around Histones to Form Nucleosomes

Chromatin is about one-half protein by mass, and ~90% of this protein consists of **histones**. To understand how DNA is packaged, we must first examine the histone proteins. The five major classes of histones, **H1, H2A, H2B, H3,** and **H4,** all have a large proportion of positively charged residues (Arg and Lys; Table 24-3). These proteins can therefore bind DNA's negatively charged phosphate groups through electrostatic interactions.

TABLE 24-3 Calf Thymus Histones

Histone	Number of Residues	Mass (kD)	% Arg	% Lys
H1	215	23.0	1	29
H2A	129	14.0	9	11
H2B	125	13.8	6	16
H3	135	15.3	13	10
H4	102	11.3	14	11

Histones Are Highly Conserved. The amino acid sequences of histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 are remarkably conserved. For example, histones H4 from cows and peas, species that diverged 1.2 billion years ago, differ by only two conservative residue changes, which makes this protein among the most evolutionarily conserved proteins known (Section 5-4A). *Such evolutionary stability implies that the histones have critical functions to which their structures are so well tuned that they are all but intolerant to change.* The fifth histone, H1, is more variable than the other histones; we will see below that it also has a somewhat different role.

Histones are subject to numerous types of posttranslational modifications including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, glycosylation, and ubiquitination of specific Arg, Glu, His, Lys, Ser, Thr, and Tyr residues. Despite the histones' great evolutionary stability, their degree of modification varies enormously with the species, the tissue, and the stage of the cell cycle. As we will see in Section 28-3A, histone modifications have been linked to specific biological functions. These modifications probably create new protein binding sites on the histones themselves or on

the DNA with which they associate. In addition, many, if not all, eukaryotes have numerous genetically distinct subtypes of the canonical (standard) histones. These variant histones are associated with such essential functions as transcriptional initiation and termination, DNA repair, and recombination, and in the formation of specialized chromosomal segments known as **telomeres** (the chromosomal ends; Section 25-3C) and **centromeres** [the chromosomal regions through which paired chromatids are joined (Fig. 24-41) and which attach to the mitotic spindle].

Courtesy of Oscar L. Miller, Jr., University of Virginia

FIG. 24-42 Electron micrograph of *D. melanogaster* chromatin showing strings of closely spaced nucleosomes.

The Nucleosome Core Particle Contains a Histone Octamer and 146 bp of DNA. The first level of chromatin organization was pointed out by Roger Kornberg in 1974 from several lines of evidence:

1. Chromatin contains roughly equal numbers of histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4, and no more than half that number of histone H1.
2. Electron micrographs of chromatin preparations at low ionic strength reveal ~10-nm-diameter particles connected by thin strands of apparently naked DNA, rather like beads on a string (**Fig. 24-42**).
3. Brief digestion of chromatin by **micrococcal nuclease** (which hydrolyzes double-stranded DNA) cleaves the DNA only between the above particles; apparently the particles protect the DNA closely associated with them from nuclease digestion. Gel electrophoresis indicates that each particle contains ~200 bp of DNA.

Kornberg proposed that the chromatin particles, which are called **nucleosomes**, consist of the octamer $(H2A)_2(H2B)_2(H3)_2(H4)_2$ in association with ~200 bp of DNA. The fifth histone, H1, was postulated to be associated in some other manner with the nucleosome (see below).

Micrococcal nuclease initially degrades chromatin to single nucleosomes in complex with histone H1. Further digestion trims away additional DNA, releasing histone H1. This leaves the so-called **nucleosome core particle**, which consists of a 146-bp segment of DNA associated with the histone octamer. A segment of **linker DNA**, the DNA that is removed by the nuclease, joins neighboring nucleosomes. Its average length varies between 10 and 50 bp among organisms and tissues.

The X-ray structure of the nucleosome core particle, independently determined by Timothy Richmond and Gerard Bunick, reveals a nearly twofold symmetric complex in which B-DNA is wrapped around the outside of the histone octamer in 1.65 turns of a left-handed superhelix (**Fig. 24-43**). Despite

(a) *(b)*

FIG. 24-43 X-Ray structure of the chicken nucleosome core particle. (*a*) The entire core particle as viewed along its superhelical axis (*left*) and rotated 90° about the vertical axis (*right*). The proteins of the histone octamer are drawn in ribbon form with H3 blue, H4 green, H2A yellow, and H2B red. The 146-bp DNA is drawn in paddle form with one strand cyan and the other strand orange. In both views, the pseudo-twofold axis is vertical and passes through the DNA center at the top. (*b*) The half of the nucleosome core particle that is closest to the viewer in Part *a*, *left*. The numbers 0 through 7 arranged about the inside of the DNA superhelix mark the positions of sequential double-helical turns. The four histones that are drawn in their entirety are primarily associated with this DNA segment, whereas only fragments of the H3 from the other half of the particle are shown. The two 4-helix bundles shown are labeled H3′ H3 and H4 H2B. [Based on an X-ray structure by Gerard Bunick, University of Tennessee and Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. PDBid 1EQZ.]

? Do the histones interact with specific DNA sequences?

FIG. 24-44 X-Ray structure of half of a histone octamer within the nucleosome core particle. The portions of H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 that form the histone folds are yellow, red, blue, and green, respectively, with their N- and C-terminal tails colored in lighter shades. [Based on an X-ray structure by Gerard Bunick, University of Tennessee and Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. PDBid 1EQZ.]

having only weak sequence similarity, all four types of histones share a similar ~70-residue fold in which a long central helix is flanked on each side by a loop and a shorter helix (Fig. 24-44). Pairs of histones interdigitate in a sort of "molecular handshake" to form the crescent-shaped heterodimers H2A–H2B and H3–H4, each of which binds 2.5 turns of duplex DNA that curves around it in a 140° bend. The H3–H4 pairs interact, via a bundle of four helices from the two H3 histones, to form an $(H3–H4)_2$ tetramer with which each H2A–H2B pair interacts, via a similar four-helix bundle between H2B and H4, to form the histone octamer (Fig. 24-43*b*).

The histones bind exclusively to the inner face of the DNA, primarily via its sugar–phosphate backbones, through hydrogen bonds, salt bridges, and helix dipoles (their positive N-terminal ends), all interacting with phosphate oxygens, as well as through hydrophobic interactions with the deoxyribose rings. There are few contacts between the histones and the bases, in accord with the nucleosome's lack of sequence specificity. However, an Arg side chain is inserted into the DNA's minor groove at each of the 14 positions at which it faces the histone octamer. The DNA superhelix has a radius of 42 Å and a pitch (rise per turn) of 26 Å. The DNA does not follow a uniform superhelical path but, rather, is bent fairly sharply at several locations due to outward bulges of the histone core. Moreover, the DNA double helix exhibits considerable conformational variation along its length such that its twist, for example, varies from 7.5 to 15.2 bp/turn with an average value of 10.4 bp/turn (versus 10.5 bp/turn for DNA in solution). Approximately 75% of the DNA surface is accessible to solvent and hence appears to be available for interactions with DNA-binding proteins.

Since the linking number of intact DNA is invariant, the negative supercoils produced by the left-handed wrapping of the DNA in forming nucleosomes must be accompanied by an equal number of positive supercoils in the adjacent linker DNA. The positive supercoils are subsequently removed by type II topoisomerase, the most abundant chromosomal protein after the histones. This is the origin of the negative supercoiling in eukaryotic DNA.

Linker Histones Bring Nucleosomes Together. In the micrococcal nuclease digestion of chromatin fibers, the ~200-bp DNA is first degraded to 166 bp. Then there is a pause before histone H1 is released and the DNA is further shortened to 146 bp. Since the 146-bp DNA of the core particle makes 1.65 superhelical turns, the 166-bp intermediate should make nearly two full superhelical turns, which would bring its two ends close together. Klug has proposed that histone H1 binds to nucleosomal DNA at this point, where the DNA segments enter and leave the core particle (Fig. 24-45*a*). Chromatin fibers containing H1 have closely spaced nucleosomes (Fig. 24-45*b*), consistent with DNA entering and exiting the nucleosome on the same side. In H1-depleted chromatin (e.g., Fig. 24-42), the nucleosomes are more dispersed, suggesting that linker histones play a role in condensing chromatin fibers and regulating the access of other proteins to the DNA.

B Chromatin Forms Higher-Order Structures

Winding the DNA helix around a nucleosome reduces its contour length sevenfold (the 560-Å length of 166 bp is compacted to an ~80-Å-high supercoil). Under physiological conditions, chromatin condenses further by folding in a zigzag fashion to form a helical fiber with a diameter of ~30 nm.

Courtesy of Fritz Thoma, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland

FIG. 24-45 Binding of histone H1 to the nucleosome. (*a*) Model of histone H1 binding to the DNA of the 166-bp nucleosome. The two complete superhelical turns of the DNA (*blue*) enable H1 (*orange cylinder*) to bind to the DNA's two ends and its middle. The histone octamer is represented by the yellow central spheroid. (*b*) Electron micrograph of H1-containing chromatin.

? Compare Part *b* with Fig. 24-42.

Guohong Li determined the cryo-EM-based structure (Section 6-2A) of a 12-nucleosome segment of a **30-nm chromatin fiber** that was reconstituted *in vitro* from recombinant histones (including histone H1) that lacked posttranslational modifications and DNA consisting of 12 tandem repeats of 187 bp that have high affinity for histone octamers. (**Fig. 24-46*a***). The nucleosomes, which form tetranucleosome units, follow a zigzag path such that all odd-numbered nucleosomes form a stack as do all even-numbered nucleosomes (**Fig. 24-46*b***). This ~300-Å-diameter structure, in which the two stacks wind around each other in two left-handed helices, has ~28 nucleosomes per turn spanning a distance of ~500 Å along the fiber axis. The ~18,000-Å-long DNA in this assembly is thereby compacted by ~36-fold.

FIG. 24-46 Cryo-EM structure of a 12-nucleosome segment of the 30-nm chromatin fiber in which the DNA consists of 12 tandem repeats of 187 bp. (*a*) A surface diagram of the 11-Å-resolution structure in which each tetranucleosome unit is differently colored. The upper view is along the assembly's double helical axis and the lower view is related to it by a 90° rotation about the horizontal axis. In the lower view, successive nucleosomes along the DNA are numbered N1, N2, etc. (*b*) A schematic model of the cryo-EM structure in which the histone octamers are colored and numbered as in Part *a* and the DNA is represented by a green filament. (*c*) A model of the 30-nm chromatin fiber constructed by stacking multiple copies of the 12-nucleosome unit in Part *a* on top of one another. The color of the DNA component of the nucleosomes alternates as it does in Part *a*, the histone octamers in one helix are green, and those in the other helix are red. [Courtesy of Guohong Li, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.]

The nucleosomes in the 12-nucleosome assembly are equally spaced along its DNA so that the linker DNA segments joining successive nucleosomes all consist of 41 bp. These segments appear to be straight in the cryo-EM structure (Figs. 24-46*a* and *b*). However, in naturally occurring chromatin, the nucleosomes are irregularly spaced along the DNA so that the linker DNA segments are of variable lengths. Consequently, naturally occurring 30-nm chromatin fibers are expected to have irregular structures compared to that depicted in Fig. 24-46*c*. This irregularity is compounded by variable histone modifications and different histone variants.

The 30-nm fiber is stabilized by interactions involving H2A–H2B dimers and histone H4. As the X-ray structure of the nucleosome shows (Fig. 24-43*a*), H4's positively charged N-terminal tail can bind to an intensely negatively charged region on an exposed face of the H2A–H2B dimer in a neighboring nucleosome. Acetylation of H4's N-terminal tail reduces its positive charge and would therefore weaken this interaction. The highly basic tails of H2B and H3 also extend out from the nucleosome core (Fig. 24-43*a*). Since histone tails contain numerous acetylation sites, higher-order chromatin structure is likely to be controlled, at least in part, by the acetylation of certain histone residues but not others.

Loops of DNA Are Attached to a Scaffold. Histone-depleted metaphase chromosomes exhibit a central fibrous protein "scaffold" surrounded by an extensive halo of DNA (**Fig. 24-47*a***). The strands of DNA that can be followed form loops that enter and exit the scaffold at nearly the same point (**Fig. 24-47*b***). Most of the loops are 15 to 30 μm long (which corresponds to 45–90 kb), so when condensed as 30-nm filaments, they would be ~0.6 μm long. Electron micrographs of metaphase chromosomes in cross section, such as **Fig. 24-48**, strongly suggest that the loops of chromatin are radially arranged and would each contribute 0.3 μm to the diameter of the chromosome (a fiber must double back on itself to form a loop). These loops, along with the 0.4-μm width of the scaffold, would account for the

Courtesy of Ulrich Laemmli, University of Geneva, Switzerland

(a) *(b)*

FIG. 24-47 Electron micrographs of a histone-depleted metaphase human chromosome. (*a*) The fibrous central protein matrix (scaffold) anchors the surrounding DNA. (*b*) Higher magnification reveals that the DNA is attached to the scaffold in loops.

Courtesy of Ulrich Laemmli, University of Geneva, Switzerland

FIG. 24-48 Cross section of a metaphase human chromosome. Note the mass of chromatin fibers radially projecting from the central scaffold.

1.0-μm diameter of the metaphase chromosome. Each loop, in effect, forms a closed circle by the attachment of its base to the scaffold and thereby maintains its negative supercoiling.

A typical human chromosome, which contains ~140 million bp and is about 6 μm long, would therefore have ~2000 radial loops. Nonhistone proteins, which constitute ~10% of the chromosomal proteins, participate in organizing the DNA in these higher-order structures (Fig. 24-49) and managing the interconversion of highly condensed metaphase chromosomes with the more dispersed DNA present during the rest of the cell cycle.

FIG. 24-49 Model diagramming the various levels of metaphase chromatin organization. B-DNA winds around histone octamers to form nucleosomes; these fold into a 30-nm fiber. Loops of chromatin are attached to a protein scaffold to form the metaphase chromosome.

FIG. 24-50 The chromosomal organization in a human interphase nucleus as determined by FISH. A computer-generated section through the nucleus in which each chromosomal species is identified by its number and is assigned a different false color. A stack of such images locates each of the cell's 46 chromosomes in three dimensions. Note that homologous chromosomes (e.g., the two copies of chromosome 7) occupy different although usually similarly placed territories in the nucleus. [Courtesy of Thomas Cremer and Andreas Bolzer, University of Munich, Germany.]

In prokaryotes, DNA is also packaged through its association with highly basic proteins that functionally resemble histones. Nucleosome-like particles condense to form large loops that are attached to a protein scaffold, yielding a relatively compact chromosome.

Interphase Chromosomes Occupy Discrete Nuclear Territories. During **interphase,** the portion of the cell cycle between cell divisions, the chromosomes form diffuse structures that collectively fill the cell nucleus (Fig. 1-8). How are these chromosomes distributed? This question was answered using a method known as **fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH;** *in situ,* Latin: on site) in which the chromosomes in an interphase nucleus are "painted" with a mixture of RNA or DNA probes complementary to specific sequences that are scattered throughout the chromosomes and in which the probes are labeled by a chromosome-specific combination of **fluorophores** (fluorescent groups). The chromosomal sites to which the probes hybridize are then located in three dimensions by a sophisticated form of fluorescence microscopy that acquires a series of images at different levels in the nucleus and spectrally differentiates the various combinations of fluorophores. The resulting images reveal that each chromosome occupies a specific space or **territory** in the nucleus that does not greatly overlap the territories of other chromosomes (Fig. 24-50). The territory occupied by a particular chromosome does not greatly vary during the lifetime of a cell, but changes after cell division.

Images such as Fig. 24-50 might naively suggest that the nucleus is tightly packed with chromatin. However, a rough calculation indicates that chromatin as 10-nm fibers occupies only ~17% of the nuclear volume. Moreover, electron micrographs of interphase nuclei reveal that their chromatin is dispersed mainly as 10- and 30-nm fibers, and FISH experiments have shown that RNA transcripts are distributed throughout chromosomal territories. Evidently, there is sufficient space within chromosomal territories to allow free access of the transcriptional machinery and presumably the replicational machinery to its target DNA.

CHECKPOINT

- Explain why histones from different species are so similar.
- What is the role of histones in compacting DNA?
- How do histones differ from the DNA-binding proteins described in the preceding section?
- Describe the levels of DNA packaging in eukaryotic cells, from B-DNA to a metaphase chromosome.

Summary

1 The DNA Helix

- The most common form of DNA is B-DNA, which is a right-handed double helix containing A · T and G · C base pairs of similar geometry. The A-DNA helix, which also occurs in double-stranded RNA, is wider and flatter than the B-DNA helix. The left-handed Z-DNA helix may occur in sequences of alternating purines and pyrimidines.
- The flexibility of nucleotides in nucleic acids is constrained by the allowed rotation angles around the glycosidic bond, the puckering of the ribose ring, and the torsion angles of the sugar–phosphate backbone.
- The linking number (L) of a covalently closed circular DNA is topologically invariant. Consequently, any change in the twist (T) of a circular duplex must be balanced by an equal and opposite change in its writhing number (W), which indicates its degree of supercoiling.
- Naturally occurring DNA is negatively supercoiled (underwound). Topoisomerases relax supercoils by cleaving one or both strands of the DNA, passing the DNA through the break (type IA and II topoisomerases) or allowing controlled rotation of the uncleaved strand (type IB topoisomerase), and resealing the broken strand(s).

2 Forces Stabilizing Nucleic Acid Structures

- The structures of nucleic acids are stabilized by Watson–Crick base pairing, by hydrophobic interactions between stacked base pairs, and by divalent cations that shield adjacent phosphate groups.
- Nucleic acids can be denatured by increasing the temperature above their T_m and renatured by lowering the temperature to ~25°C below their T_m.
- RNA molecules assume a variety of structures consisting of double-stranded stems and single-stranded loops. Some RNAs have catalytic activity.

3 Fractionation of Nucleic Acids

- Nucleic acids are fractionated by methods similar to those used to fractionate proteins, including solubilization, affinity chromatography, and electrophoresis.

4 DNA–Protein Interactions

- Sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins interact primarily with bases in the major groove and with phosphate groups through direct and indirect hydrogen bonds, van der Waals interactions, and ionic interactions. The conformations of both the protein and the DNA may change on binding.
- Common structural motifs in DNA-binding proteins include the helix–turn–helix (HTH) motif in prokaryotic repressors, and zinc fingers, leucine zippers, and basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) motifs in eukaryotic transcription factors.

5 Eukaryotic Chromosome Structure

- The DNA of eukaryotic chromatin winds around histone octamers to form nucleosome core particles that further condense in the presence of linker histones. Additional condensation is accomplished by folding chromatin into 30-nm-diameter filaments, which are then attached in loops to a fibrous protein scaffold to form a condensed (metaphase) chromosome. During interphase, chromosomes are loosely distributed in territories.

Key Terms

syn conformation **839**
anti conformation **839**
C3′-*endo* **839**
C2′-*endo* **839**
supercoiling **840**
linking number **840**
twist **841**
writhing number **841**
topoisomerase **842**
Watson–Crick base pair **849**
Hoogsteen base pair **849**
stacking interactions **850**
hyperchromic effect **851**
T_m **851**
anneal **852**
hybridization **852**
ribozyme **853**
pulsed-field gel electrophoresis **857**
intercalation agent **857**
Southern blotting **858**
repressor **861**
HTH motif **861**
operator **861**
indirect readout **862**
transcription factor **864**
zinc finger **864**
heptad repeat **866**
leucine zipper **866**
bHLH motif **867**
contour length **868**
shear degradation **868**
chromosome **868**
chromatin **868**
histone **868**
nucleosome **869**
nucleosome core particle **869**
linker DNA **869**
30-nm chromatin fiber **871**
fluorescence *in situ* hybridization **875**
chromosome territory **874**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Unusual bases and non-Watson–Crick base pairs frequently appear in tRNA molecules. Which base is most likely to pair with hypoxanthine (Section 23-1)? Draw this base pair.

2. Draw the structure of a G · U base pair.

3. Amino acid residues in proteins are each specified by three contiguous bases. What is the contour length of a segment of B-DNA that encodes a 50-kD protein?

4. Calculate the contour length for the gene in in Problem 3 if it assumed an A-DNA conformation.

5. Virus-infected cells produce the intracellular messenger cGAMP, a cyclic dinucleotide in which the GMP 2′-OH group is linked to the 5′-phosphate of AMP. Draw its structure.

6. Use the information in Table 11-1 to estimate the half-life, in years, of a phosphodiester bond in DNA.

7. The ends of eukaryotic chromosomes terminate in a G-rich single-stranded overhang that can fold up on itself to form a four-stranded structure. In this structure, four guanine residues assume a hydrogen-bonded planar arrangement with an overall geometry that can be represented as shown below. Draw the complete structure of this "G quartet," including the hydrogen bonds between the purine bases.

8. Show schematically how a single strand of four repeating TTAGGG sequences can fold to generate a structure with three stacked G quartets linked by TTA loops.

9. Which of the DNAs shown in Figure 24-8 would move fastest during agarose gel electrophoresis?

10. You have discovered an enzyme secreted by a particularly virulent bacterium that cleaves the C2′—C3′ bond in the deoxyribose residues of duplex DNA. What is the effect of this enzyme on supercoiled DNA?

11. How is the melting curve of duplex DNA affected by decreasing the ionic strength of the solution?

12. How is the melting curve of duplex DNA affected by adding a small amount of ethanol?

13. Compare the melting temperature of a 1-kb segment of DNA containing 20% A residues to that of a 1-kb segment containing 30% A residues under the same conditions.

14. The melting curve for the polyribonucleotide poly(A) is shown below. (a) Explain why absorbance increases with increasing temperature. (b) Why does the shape of the curve differ from the one shown in Fig. 24-22?

15. For the RNA sequence AUUGGCAUCCGAUAA, draw the secondary structure that maximizes its base pairing.

16. In addition to the standard base-paired helical structures (e.g., Fig. 24-2), DNA can form X-shaped hairpin structures called **cruciforms** in which most bases are involved in Watson–Crick pairs. Such structures tend to occur at sequences with inverted repeats. Draw the cruciform structure formed by the DNA sequence TCAAGTCCACGGTGGACTTGC.

17. *E. coli* ribosomes contain three RNA molecules named for their sedimentation behavior: 5S, 16S, and 23S. Draw a diagram showing the approximate positions of the three RNA species following electrophoresis.

18. Mouse genomic DNA is treated with a restriction endonuclease and electrophoresed in an agarose gel. A radioactive probe made from the human gene *rxr-1* is used to perform a Southern blot. The experiment was repeated three times. Explain the results of these repeated experiments:

Experiment 1. The autoradiogram shows a large smudge at a position corresponding to the top of the lane in which the mouse DNA was electrophoresed.

Experiment 2. The autoradiogram shows a smudge over the entire lane containing the mouse DNA.

Experiment 3. The autoradiogram shows three bands of varying intensity.

19. What is the probability that the palindromic symmetry of the *trp* repressor target DNA sequence (Section 24-4B) is merely accidental?

20. The *E. coli* genome contains approximately 4639 kb. (a) How many copies of the 6-bp recognition sequence for the *trp* repressor would be expected to occur in the *E. coli* chromosome? (b) Explain why it is advantageous for the *trp* repressor to be a dimer that recognizes two adjacent 6-bp sequences.

21. Protamines are small proteins that take the place of histones during spermatogenesis in vertebrates. What amino acids are likely to be abundant in protamines?

22. The enzyme ornithine decarboxylase generates a product that has been proposed to play a role in stabilizing compacted DNA. Draw the structure of the ornithine decarboxylase reaction product and explain how it interacts with DNA.

23. Infection with the influenza virus interferes with the normal expression of genes in the host cell. The viral protein M1 binds to histones electrostatically. (a) Would increasing the salt concentration promote or weaken M1–histone binding? (b) Would decreasing the pH promote or weaken M1–histone binding?

24. The NS1 protein in the H3N2 strain of the influenza virus has a C-terminal sequence of –KMARTARSKV (using one-letter amino acid abbreviations). The N-terminus of histone H3 has the sequence ARTKQTARKS–. (a) Identify the four-residue segment that is almost identical in the two proteins. (b) NS1 does not appear to compete with histone H3 for DNA binding (since the N-terminus of H3 does not directly contact the DNA). How else might NS1's similarity to H3 interfere with host gene expression?

25. For a linear B-DNA molecule of 50,000 kb, calculate (a) the contour length and (b) the length of the DNA as packaged in nucleosomes with linker histones present.

26. Calculate the length of the 50,000-bp DNA in a 30-nm fiber.

27. Most protein-coding genes are present in just one copy per genome. Explain why eukaryotic genomes typically contain dozens of copies of histone genes.

28. Eukaryotic DNA is typically covalently modified by methylation of certain nucleotide bases. How would the addition of methyl groups affect the packaging of DNA in nucleosomes?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

29. A standard A · T base pair is shown in Fig. 24-1. Under certain conditions, an adenine residue in B-DNA can transiently adopt the syn conformation. Draw the resulting A · T base pair. (*Hint:* It has two hydrogen bonds.) Is the helix diameter larger or smaller in this alternate arrangement?

30. A standard G · C base pair is shown in Fig. 24-1. Under certain conditions, the guanine residue can transiently adopt the syn conformation. Draw the resulting G · C base pair, which has two hydrogen bonds.

31. Compounds known as peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) have been developed as nucleic acid–binding probes. A PNA molecule has a polypeptide-like backbone with purine and pyrimidine bases attached as side chains. Draw the PNA backbone resulting from amide bond formation between two molecules of *N*-(2-aminoethyl)glycine.

***N*-(2-Aminoethyl)glycine**

32. The asterisk in the structure shown in Problem 31 indicates the site where the base is attached via a two-carbon linker. Compare the overall backbone length for a PNA and a DNA molecule and explain why the two molecules can form base-paired duplexes.

33. Why are the most commonly observed conformations of the ribose ring those in which either atom C2′ or atom C3′ is out of the plane of the other four ring atoms? (*Hint:* In puckering a planar ring such that one atom is out of the plane of the other four, the substituents about the bond opposite the out-of-plane atom remain eclipsed. This is best observed with a ball-and-stick model.)

34. At Na^+ concentrations >5 M, the T_m of DNA decreases with increasing [Na^+]. Explain this behavior. (*Hint:* Consider the solvation requirements of Na^+.)

35. When the helix axis of a closed circular duplex DNA of 2310 bp is constrained to lie in a plane, the DNA has a twist (T) of 207. When released, the DNA takes up its normal twist of 10.5 bp per turn. Indicate the values of the linking number (L), writhing number (W), and twist for both the constrained and unconstrained conformational states of this DNA circle. What is the superhelix density, $\sigma = W/T$, of both the constrained and unconstrained DNA circles?

36. A closed circular duplex DNA has a 100-bp segment of alternating C and G residues. On transfer to a high salt solution, the segment undergoes a transition from the B conformation to the Z conformation. What is the change in its linking number, writhing number, and twist?

37. Polyoma virus DNA can be separated by sedimentation in an ultracentrifuge (Section 5-2E) at neutral pH into three components that have sedimentation coefficients of 20, 16, and 14.5S and that are known as Types I, II, and III DNAs, respectively. These DNAs all have identical base sequences and molecular masses. In 0.15 M NaCl, both Types II and III DNA have melting curves of normal cooperativity and a T_m of 88°C. Type I DNA, however, exhibits a very broad melting curve and a T_m of 107°C. At pH 13, Types I and III DNAs have sedimentation coefficients of 53 and 16S, respectively, and Type II separates into two components with sedimentation coefficients of 16 and 18S. How do Types I, II, and III DNAs differ from one another? Explain their different physical properties.

38. A bacterial chromosome consists of a protein–DNA complex in which its single DNA molecule appears to be supercoiled. However, in contrast to the case with naked circular duplex DNA, the light single-strand nicking of chromosomal DNA does not abolish this supercoiling. What does this indicate about the structure of the bacterial chromosome, that is, how do its proteins constrain its DNA?

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Conformations of DNA

Exercise 2 Melting Temperature and the GC Content of Duplex DNA

Exercise 3 Viewing and Analyzing DNA-Binding Proteins

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 31 Hyperactive DNase I Variants: A Treatment for Cystic Fibrosis

Focus concept: Understanding the mechanism of action of an enzyme can lead to the construction of hyperactive variant enzymes with a greater catalytic efficiency than the wild-type enzyme.

Prerequisites: Chapters 12 and 24

- Enzyme kinetics and inhibition
- DNA structure
- The hyperchromic effect
- The properties of supercoiled DNA

MORE TO EXPLORE How many histone variants occur in human cells? How do they differ from each other? How does the histone variant CENP-A relate to chromosomal structure? Which histone variant is associated with X-chromosome inactivation?

References

Nucleic Acid Structure

Arnott, S., DNA polymorphism and the early history of the double helix, *Trends Biol. Sci.* **31,** 349–354 (2006).

Doudna, J.A. and Cech, T.R., The chemical repertoire of natural ribozymes, *Nature* **418,** 222–228 (2002). [Describes some of the major ribozymes and how they relate to the RNA world.]

Rich, A., The double helix: a tale of two puckers, *Nature Struct. Biol.* **10,** 247–249 (2003). [A brief history of DNA and RNA structural studies.]

Snustad, D.P. and Simmons, M.J., *Principles of Genetics,* 6th ed., Wiley (2012). [This and other genetics textbooks review DNA structure and function.]

The double helix—50 years, *Nature* **421,** 395–453 (2003). [A supplement containing a series of articles on the historical, cultural, and scientific influences of the DNA double helix on the fiftieth anniversary of its discovery.]

Topoisomerases

Deweese, J.E., Osheroff, M.A., and Osheroff, N., DNA topology and topoisomerases, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **37,** 2–10 (2009).

Dong, K.C. and Berger, J.M., Structure and function of DNA topoisomerases, *in* Rice, P.A. and Correll, C.C. (Eds.), *Protein–Nucleic Acid Interactions,* RSC Publishing (2008).

Chen, S.H., Chan, N.-L., and Hsieh, T., New mechanistic and functional insights into DNA topoisomerases, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 139–170 (2013).

DNA–Protein Interactions

Aggarwal, A.K., Structure and function of restriction endonucleases, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **5,** 11–19 (1995).

Berg, J.M. and Shi, Y., The galvanization of biology: a growing appreciation of the roles of zinc, *Science* **271,** 1081–1085 (1996). [Summarizes different types of zinc fingers and discusses why zinc is suitable for stabilizing small protein domains.]

Ellenberger, T.E., Getting a grip on DNA recognition: structures of the basic region leucine zipper, and the basic region helix-loop-helix DNA-binding domains, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **4,** 12–21 (1994).

Luscombe, N.M., Austin, S.E., Berman, H.M., and Thornton, J.M., An overview of the structures of protein–DNA complexes, *Genome Biol.* **1,** reviews 001.1–001.37 (2000). [This review, which contains many molecular models, is available online at http://genomebiology.com/2000/1/1/reviews/001.]

Marmorstein, R., Carey, M., Ptashne, M., and Harrison, S.C., DNA recognition by GAL4: structure of a protein–DNA complex, *Nature* **356,** 408–414 (1992).

Sarai, A. and Kono, H., Protein–DNA recognition patterns and predictions, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **34,** 379–398 (2005).

Somers, W.S. and Phillips, S.E.V., Crystal structure of the *met* repressor–operator complex at 2.8 Å resolution reveals DNA recognition by β-strands, *Nature* **359,** 387–393 (1992).

Chromatin

Andrews, A.J. and Luger, K., Nucleosome structure(s) and stability: variations on a theme, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **40,** 99–117 (2011).

Hughes, A.L. and Rando, O.J. Mechanisms underlying nucleosome positioning in vivo, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **43,** 41–63 (2014).

Kornberg, R.D. and Lorch, Y., Chromatin rules, *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* **14,** 986–988 (2007).

Luger, K., Mäder, A.W., Richmond, R.K., Sargent, D.F., and Richmond, T.J., Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 Å resolution, *Nature* **389,** 251–260 (1997); *and* Harp, J.M., Hanson, B.L., Timm, D.E., and Bunick, G.J., Asymmetries in the nucleosome core particle at 2.5 Å resolution, *Acta Cryst.* **D56,** 1513–1534 (2000).

Schalch, T., Duda, S., Sargent, D.F., and Richmond, T.J., X-Ray structure of a tetranucleosome and its implications for the chromatin fibre, *Nature* **436,** 138–141 (2005).

Schlick, T., Hayes, J., and Grigoryev, S., Toward convergence of experimental studies and theoretical modeling of the chromatin fiber, *J. Biol. Chem.* **287,** 5183–5191 (2012).

Song, F., Chen, P., Sun, D., Wang, M., Dong, L., Liang, D., Xu, R.-M., Zhu, P., and Li, G., Cryo-EM study of the chromatin fiber reveals a double helix twisted by tetranucleosomal units, *Science* **344,** 376–380 (2014).

Dr. Gopal Murti/Science Source

In these human nuclei, fluorescent spots mark the locations of DNA polymerase, many copies of which are required to replicate the enormous chromosomes quickly and efficiently.

CHAPTER 25

DNA Replication, Repair, and Recombination

Chapter Contents

1 **Overview of DNA Replication**

2 **Prokaryotic DNA Replication**
- A DNA Polymerases Add the Correctly Paired Nucleotide
- B Replication Initiation Requires Helicase and Primase
- C The Leading and Lagging Strands Are Synthesized Simultaneously
- D Replication Terminates at Specific Sites
- E DNA Is Replicated with High Fidelity

3 **Eukaryotic DNA Replication**
- A Eukaryotes Use Several DNA Polymerases
- B Eukaryotic DNA Is Replicated from Multiple Origins
- C Telomerase Extends Chromosome Ends

4 **DNA Damage**
- A Environmental and Chemical Agents Generate Mutations
- B Many Mutagens Are Carcinogens

5 **DNA Repair**
- A Some Damage Can Be Directly Reversed
- B Base Excision Repair Requires a Glycosylase
- C Nucleotide Excision Repair Removes a Segment of a DNA Strand
- D Mismatch Repair Corrects Replication Errors
- E Some DNA Repair Mechanisms Introduce Errors

6 **Recombination**
- A Homologous Recombination Involves Several Protein Complexes
- B DNA Can Be Repaired by Recombination
- C CRISPR–Cas9, a System for Editing and Regulating Genomes
- D Transposition Rearranges Segments of DNA

Watson and Crick's seminal paper describing the DNA double helix ended with the statement: "It has not escaped our notice that the specific pairing we have postulated immediately suggests a possible copying mechanism for the genetic material." As they predicted, when DNA replicates, each polynucleotide strand acts as a template for the formation of a complementary strand through base pairing interactions. The two strands of the parent molecule must therefore separate so that a complementary daughter strand can be enzymatically synthesized on the surface of each parental strand. This results in two molecules of duplex DNA, each consisting of one polynucleotide strand from the parental molecule and a newly synthesized complementary strand (Fig. 3-11). Such a mode of replication is termed **semiconservative** (in **conservative replication**, the parental DNA would remain intact and both strands of the daughter duplex would be newly synthesized).

The semiconservative nature of DNA replication was elegantly demonstrated in 1958 by Matthew Meselson and Franklin Stahl. The density of DNA was increased by labeling it with ^{15}N, a heavy isotope of nitrogen (^{14}N is the naturally abundant isotope). This was accomplished by growing *E. coli* in a medium that contained $^{15}NH_4Cl$ as its only nitrogen source. The labeled bacteria were then abruptly transferred to a ^{14}N-containing medium and the density of their DNA was monitored over several generations by density gradient ultracentrifugation (Section 5-2E).

Meselson and Stahl found that after one generation (one doubling of the cell population), all the DNA had a density exactly halfway between the densities of fully ^{15}N-labeled DNA and unlabeled DNA. This DNA must therefore contain equal amounts of ^{14}N and ^{15}N as is expected after one generation of

FIG. 25-1 The Meselson and Stahl experiment. Parental (^{15}N-labeled or "heavy") DNA is replicated semiconservatively so that in the first generation, DNA molecules contain one parental (*blue*) strand and one newly synthesized (*red*) strand. In succeeding generations, the proportion of ^{14}N-labeled ("light") strands increases, but hybrid molecules containing one heavy and one light strand persist. **WPLS See the Animated Figures.**

? Draw a diagram of the DNA molecules that would be produced by conservative replication.

semiconservative replication (Fig. 25-1). Conservative DNA replication, in contrast, would preserve the fully ^{15}N-labeled parental strand and generate an equal amount of unlabeled DNA. After two generations, half of the DNA molecules were unlabeled and the remainder were ^{14}N–^{15}N hybrids. In succeeding generations, the amount of unlabeled DNA increased relative to the amount of hybrid DNA although the hybrid never totally disappeared. This is in accord with semiconservative replication but at odds with conservative replication, in which hybrid DNA never forms.

The details of DNA replication, including the unwinding of the parental strands and the assembly of complementary strands from nucleoside triphosphates, have emerged gradually since 1958. DNA replication is far more complex than the overall chemistry of the process might suggest, in large part because replication must be extremely accurate to preserve the integrity of the genome from generation to generation. In this chapter, we examine the protein assemblies that mediate DNA replication in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. We also discuss the mechanisms for ensuring fidelity during replication and for correcting polymerization errors and other types of DNA damage.

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Central Dogma

DNA replication can be considered as part of the central dogma of molecular biology. Biological information in the form of a DNA sequence is copied—with great accuracy—when one DNA molecule is replicated to produce two identical DNA molecules. When the cell divides, each daughter cell will receive one of the copies.

1 Overview of DNA Replication

KEY CONCEPTS

- DNA polymerase requires a template and primers to synthesize DNA.
- Double-stranded DNA is replicated semidiscontinuously.

DNA is replicated by enzymes known as **DNA-directed DNA polymerases** or simply **DNA polymerases.** These enzymes use single-stranded DNA **(ssDNA)** as templates on which to catalyze the synthesis of the complementary strand from the appropriate deoxynucleoside triphosphates (Fig. 25-2). The reaction occurs through the nucleophilic attack of the growing DNA chain's 3′-OH group on the α-phosphoryl of an incoming nucleoside triphosphate. This otherwise

FIG. 25-2 Action of DNA polymerases. These enzymes assemble incoming deoxynucleoside triphosphates on single-stranded DNA templates. The OH group at the 3′ end of a growing polynucleotide strand is a nucleophile that attacks the α-phosphate group of an incoming dNTP that base-pairs with the template DNA strand, and hence the growing strand is elongated in its 5′ → 3′ direction. Although formation of the new phosphodiester bond is reversible (one P–O bond replaces another), the subsequent exergonic hydrolysis of the PP_i product by inorganic pyrophosphatase makes the overall reaction irreversible.

FIG. 25-3 An autoradiogram and its interpretive drawing of a replicating *E. coli* chromosome. The bacterium had been grown in a medium containing [^{3}H]thymidine, thereby labeling the subsequently synthesized DNA so that it appears as a line of dark grains in the photographic emulsion (*red lines in the drawing*). The size of the **replication eye** indicates that this circular chromosome is about one-eighth duplicated. The arrows indicate the direction of movement of the replication forks. [Courtesy of John Cairns, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.]

? Make an interpretive drawing of the chromosome when it is seven-eighths replicated.

reversible reaction is driven by the subsequent hydrolysis of the eliminated PP_i. The incoming nucleotides are selected by their ability to form Watson–Crick base pairs with the template DNA so that the newly synthesized DNA strand forms a double helix with the template strand. Nearly all known DNA polymerases can add a nucleotide only to the free 3′-OH group of a base-paired polynucleotide so that *DNA chains are extended only in the 5′ → 3′ direction.*

DNA Replication Occurs at Replication Forks. John Cairns observed DNA replication through the autoradiography of chromosomes from *E. coli* grown in a medium containing [^{3}H]thymidine. These circular chromosomes contain replication "eyes" or "bubbles" (**Fig. 25-3**), called **θ structures** (after their resemblance to the Greek letter theta), that form when the two parental strands of DNA separate to allow the synthesis of their complementary daughter strands. DNA replication involving θ structures is known as **θ replication.**

The branch points in a replication eye, at which DNA synthesis occurs, are called **replication forks**. Autoradiographic studies have demonstrated that θ replication is almost always **bidirectional.** In other words, *DNA synthesis proceeds in both directions from the point where replication is initiated, so that the replication forks move apart.*

Replication Is Semidiscontinuous. The low-resolution images provided by autoradiograms such as Fig. 25-3 suggest that duplex DNA's two antiparallel strands are simultaneously replicated at an advancing replication fork. Yet since DNA polymerases extend DNA strands only in the 5′ → 3′ direction, how can they copy the parental strand that extends in the 5′ → 3′ direction past the replication fork? This question was answered in 1968 by Reiji Okazaki through the following experiment. If a growing *E. coli* culture is **pulse labeled** for 30 s with [^{3}H]thymidine before the DNA is isolated, much of the radioactive and hence newly synthesized DNA consists of 1000- to 2000-nucleotide **(nt)** fragments (in eukaryotes, these so-called **Okazaki fragments** are 100–200 nt long). When the cells are transferred to an unlabeled medium after the [^{3}H]thymidine pulse, the size of the labeled fragments increases over time. *The Okazaki fragments must therefore become covalently incorporated into larger DNA molecules.*

FIG. 25-4 Semidiscontinuous DNA replication. Both daughter strands (*leading strand red, lagging strand blue*) are synthesized in their 5′ → 3′ direction. The leading strand is synthesized continuously, whereas the lagging strand is synthesized discontinuously. The lagging strand segments are known as Okazaki fragments.

? Identify the newest and oldest Okazaki fragments.

Okazaki interpreted his experimental results in terms of the **semidiscontinuous replication** model (**Fig. 25-4**). The two parent strands are replicated in different ways. The newly synthesized DNA strand that extends 5′ → 3′ in the direction of replication fork movement, the **leading strand**, is continuously synthesized in its 5′ → 3′ direction as the replication fork advances. The other new strand, the **lagging strand**, is also synthesized in its 5′ → 3′ direction. However, it can only be made discontinuously, as Okazaki fragments, as single-stranded

FIG. 25-5 Priming of DNA synthesis by short RNA segments. Each Okazaki fragment consists of an RNA primer (*green*) that has been extended by DNA polymerase. The RNA primer is later removed.

parental DNA becomes newly exposed at the replication fork. The Okazaki fragments are later covalently joined together by the enzyme **DNA ligase.**

DNA Synthesis Extends RNA Primers. Given that DNA polymerases require a free 3′-OH group to extend a DNA chain, how is DNA synthesis initiated? Careful analysis of Okazaki fragments revealed that their 5′ ends consist of RNA segments of 1 to 60 nt (the length is species dependent) that are complementary to the template DNA chain (**Fig. 25-5**). In *E. coli,* these **RNA primers** are synthesized by the enzyme **primase**, which, like all RNA polymerases, initiates RNA synthesis by linking together two ribonucleotides. Multiple primers are required for lagging strand synthesis, but only one primer is required to initiate synthesis of the leading strand. Mature DNA, however, does not contain RNA. *The RNA primers are eventually replaced with DNA.*

CHECKPOINT

- What did Meselson and Stahl's experiment reveal about DNA replication?
- Explain why DNA polymerase requires a template and a primer.
- Why must DNA be replicated semidiscontinuously?

2 Prokaryotic DNA Replication

KEY CONCEPTS

- DNA polymerases include 3′ → 5′ exonuclease activity and, in the case of Pol I, 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity.
- DNA replication requires additional proteins to separate DNA strands, unwind the helix, bind to single-stranded DNA, and synthesize RNA primers.
- A sliding clamp is loaded onto the template DNA to enhance the processivity of DNA polymerase.
- Complete replication of DNA requires DNA ligase, a termination factor, and topoisomerases.
- Balanced nucleotide levels, the polymerase mechanism, its proofreading mechanism, and the activities of DNA repair enzymes contribute to the accuracy of DNA replication.

DNA replication involves a great variety of enzymes in addition to those mentioned above. Many of the required enzymes were first isolated from prokaryotes and are therefore better understood than their eukaryotic counterparts. Accordingly, we begin with a detailed consideration of prokaryotic DNA replication. Replication in eukaryotes is discussed in Section 25-3.

Box 25-1 Pathways of Discovery **Arthur Kornberg and DNA Polymerase I**

Arthur Kornberg (1918–2007) Like a number of his contemporaries during the Great Depression, Arthur Kornberg entered medical school because of the lack of jobs in teaching or industry. He subsequently served in the U.S. Coast Guard as a ship's doctor but quickly realized that he was better suited to the laboratory than the sea. When he began his work at the National Institutes of Health in 1942, the classic studies of nutrition and vitamins were giving way to the new science of enzymology.

After purifying aconitase from rat hearts, Kornberg stated that he found enzymes intoxicating. He likened purifying an enzyme to ascending an uncharted mountain, with the reward being the view from the top and the satisfaction of being the first one there.

Kornberg spent 6 months at the Cori laboratory (Box 16-1) and in 1948 began working on enzymes involved in the synthesis of nucleotide cofactors. He found that the enzyme nucleotide pyrophosphorylase catalyzed a reaction in which a nucleotide was incorporated into a coenzyme:

$$\text{Nicotinamide ribonucleotide} + \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{NAD}^+ + \text{PP}_i$$

Next, Kornberg began to search for an enzyme that could assemble many nucleotides to make a nucleic acid chain. Some people have mistakenly assumed that Kornberg was inspired to look for DNA polymerase by the publication of the Watson–Crick model of DNA in 1953. In fact, Kornberg was following his instincts as an enzymologist, and his curiosity stemmed from his familiarity with other enzymes, including glycogen phosphorylase, which was capable of synthesizing the polymer glycogen *in vitro.* Kornberg had also experimented with enzymes involved in the synthesis of phospholipids, which—like DNA—contain phosphodiester bonds (he abandoned this line of investigation because he didn't like working with "greasy" molecules).

To purify a DNA-synthesizing enzyme, Kornberg started with extracts of fast-growing *E. coli* cells, which had replaced slower growing yeast cells as a laboratory subject. He added radioactive thymidine to the extracts and measured the production of radioactive DNA. Disappointingly, the incorporation of thymidine was extremely low, but thymidine phosphate (TMP) worked better, and thymidine triphosphate (TTP) better still. Kornberg also discovered that the amount of newly synthesized DNA increased when a small amount of DNA was included in the reaction mixture. This was not entirely unexpected, as Kornberg already knew that a small amount of glycogen could serve as a primer for additional glycogen synthesis. At first, however, Kornberg believed that the DNA added to his reaction mixture acted as substrates for nucleases that were present in cell extracts and thereby protected the newly synthesized radioactive DNA from degradation. Later, he realized that the added DNA functioned as a template for the synthesis of a new strand and, through its partial degradation, also supplied the other, nonradioactive nucleotides required for polymerization. The idea of a template was, at the time, foreign to most enzymologists and other biochemists, but biologists seemed more receptive to the role of a template in DNA synthesis. For his discovery and characterization of DNA polymerase (later known as DNA polymerase I or Pol I), Kornberg was awarded the 1959 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine.

Even with purified Pol I in hand, Kornberg was faced with the need to prove that the reaction product was biologically active. He therefore used Pol I to synthesize the 5386-bp DNA of bacteriophage ϕX174 on viral DNA templates and then used DNA ligase to close up the synthetic DNA molecule to yield a circular DNA that was infectious. To Kornberg's chagrin, the popular press misunderstood this work, hailing it as the creation of life in a test tube.

Kornberg later confessed that he was amazed by the virtuosity of the DNA polymerase he had isolated from *E. coli:* The enzyme could synthesize a chain of thousands of nucleotides with an accuracy that exceeded chemical predictions. However, during the 1970s, genetic studies and other evidence clearly indicated that other proteins were responsible for DNA replication in *E. coli,* and DNA polymerases II and III were soon discovered and characterized. For the next two decades, Kornberg led the effort to determine the mechanism of DNA replication. Indeed, many of the leading researchers in the field were trained in his laboratory.

Kornberg, A., Active center of DNA polymerase, *Science* **163,** 1410–1418 (1969).
Kornberg, A., *For Love of Enzymes: The Odyssey of a Biochemist,* Harvard University Press (1989). [A scientific autobiography.]

A DNA Polymerases Add the Correctly Paired Nucleotides

In 1957, Arthur Kornberg discovered an *E. coli* enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of DNA, based on its ability to incorporate the radioactive label from [^{14}C] thymidine triphosphate into DNA (Box 25-1). This enzyme, which is now known as **DNA polymerase I** or **Pol I,** consists of a single 928-residue polypeptide. Pol I is said to be a **processive enzyme** because *it catalyzes a series of successive nucleotide polymerization steps, typically 20 or more, without releasing the single-stranded template.*

Pol I Has Exonuclease Activity. In addition to its polymerase activity, Pol I has two independent hydrolytic activities that occupy separate active sites: a 3′ → 5′ exonuclease and a 5′ → 3′ exonuclease. *The 3′ → 5′ exonuclease activity allows Pol I to edit its mistakes.* If Pol I erroneously incorporates a mispaired nucleotide at the end of a growing DNA chain, the polymerase activity is inhibited and the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease hydrolytically excises the offending nucleotide

FIG. 25-6 The 3′ → 5′ exonuclease function of DNA polymerase I. This enzymatic activity excises mispaired nucleotides from the 3′ end of the growing DNA strand (*blue*).

(**Fig. 25-6**). The polymerase activity then resumes DNA replication. This **proofreading** mechanism explains the high fidelity of DNA replication by Pol I (one error every 10^6–10^8 nucleotide additions). Note that the exonuclease reaction, a hydrolysis, is not the reverse of the polymerase reaction, a pyrophosphorolysis, and therefore yields dNMP, not dNTP.

The Pol I 5′ → 3′ exonuclease binds to duplex DNA at single-strand nicks (breaks). It cleaves the nicked DNA strand in a base-paired region beyond the nick to excise the DNA as either mononucleotides or oligonucleotides of up to 10 residues (**Fig. 25-7**).

FIG. 25-7 The 5′ → 3′ exonuclease function of DNA polymerase I. This enzymatic activity excises up to 10 nucleotides from the 5′ end of a single-strand nick. The nucleotide immediately past the nick (X) may or may not be paired.

Although Pol I was the first of the *E. coli* DNA polymerases to be discovered, it is not *E. coli's* primary replicase. Rather, its most important (and only essential) function is in lagging strand synthesis, in which it removes the RNA primers and replaces them with DNA. This process involves the 5′ → 3′ exonuclease and polymerase activities of Pol I working in concert to excise the ribonucleotides on the 5′ end of the single-strand nick between the new and old (previously synthesized) Okazaki fragments and to replace them with deoxynucleotides that are appended to the 3′ end of the new Okazaki fragment (**Fig. 25-8**). The nick is thereby translated (moved) toward the DNA strand's 3′ end, a process known as **nick translation**. When the RNA has been entirely excised, the nick is sealed by the action of DNA ligase (Section 25-2C), thereby linking the new and old Okazaki fragments.

FIG. 25-8 The replacement of RNA primers by DNA in lagging strand synthesis. (**1**) The RNA primer at the 5′ end of a previously synthesized Okazaki fragment (*Fragment 1*) is excised through the action of Pol I's 5′ → 3′ exonuclease function and replaced through its polymerase function, which adds deoxynucleotides to the 3′ end of the newly synthesized Okazaki fragment (*Fragment 2*). This, in effect, translates the nick originally at the 5′ end of the RNA to the position that was occupied by its 3′ end (nick translation). (**2**) The nick is sealed by the action of DNA ligase.

Biochemists use nick translation to prepare radioactive DNA. Double-stranded DNA **(dsDNA)** is nicked in only a few places by treating it with small amounts of pancreatic **DNase I.** Radioactively labeled dNTPs are then added and Pol I translates the nicks, thereby replacing unlabeled deoxynucleotides with labeled deoxynucleotides.

Pol I also functions in the repair of damaged DNA. As we discuss in Section 25-5, damaged DNA is detected by a variety of DNA repair systems, many of which endonucleolytically cleave the damaged DNA on the 5′ side of the lesion. Pol I's 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity then excises the damaged DNA while its polymerase activity fills in the resulting single-strand gap in the same way it replaces the RNA primers of Okazaki fragments. Thus, Pol I has indispensable roles in *E. coli* DNA replication and repair although it is not, as was first supposed, responsible for the bulk of DNA synthesis.

The Klenow Fragment Is a Model DNA Polymerase. *E. coli* DNA polymerase I, a protein whose three enzymatic activities occupy three separate active sites, can be proteolytically cleaved to a large or **"Klenow" fragment** (residues 324–928), which contains both the polymerase and the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease activities, and a small fragment (residues 1–323), which contains the 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity. The X-ray structure of the Klenow fragment, determined by Thomas Steitz, reveals that it consists of two domains (Fig. 25-9). The smaller domain (residues 324–517; the lower portion of the structure shown in Fig. 25-9) contains the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease site. The larger domain (residues 521–928) contains the polymerase active site at the bottom of a prominent cleft, a surprisingly large distance (~25 Å) from the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease site. The cleft, which is lined with positively charged residues, has the appropriate size and shape (~22 Å × ~30 Å) to bind a B-DNA molecule in a manner resembling a right hand grasping a rod (note the thumb, fingers, and palm structures in Fig. 25-9). The active sites of nearly all DNA and RNA polymerases of known structure are located at the bottoms of similarly shaped clefts.

Steitz cocrystallized the Klenow fragment with a short DNA "template" strand and a complementary "primer" strand. The protein contacts only the

FIG. 25-9 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment in complex with a double-helical DNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) and embedded in its semitransparent molecular surface. The DNA is shown in stick form with the C atoms of its 10-nt template strand cyan, the C atoms of its 13-nt primer strand magenta, N blue, O red, and P orange, and with successive P atoms in each polynucleotide strand connected by orange rods. A Zn^{2+} ion (*purple*) marks the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease active site. Note that the 3′ end of the primer strand occupies the enzyme's 3′ → 5′ exonuclease site and hence this structure is a so-called editing complex. [Based on an X-ray structure by Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBid 1KLN.]

phosphate backbone of the duplex DNA, consistent with Pol I's lack of sequence specificity in binding DNA. The separation of the polymerase and 3′ → 5′ exonuclease active sites suggests that the bound DNA undergoes a large conformational shift in shuttling between the sites.

DNA Polymerase Senses Watson–Crick Base Pairs via Sequence-Independent Interactions. The C-terminal domain of the thermostable *Thermus aquaticus (Taq)* DNA polymerase I **(Klentaq1)** is 50% identical in sequence and closely similar in structure to the large domain of Klenow fragment (Klentaq1 lacks a functional 3′ → 5′ exonuclease site). Gabriel Waksman crystallized Klentaq1 in complex with a double-stranded DNA molecule with a GGAAA overhang at its 5′ end (representing a template with a complementary DNA primer). The crystals were then incubated with 2′,3′-dideoxy-CTP (ddCTP, which lacks a 3′-OH group). The X-ray structure of the crystals (Fig. 25-10*a*) reveals that a ddC residue is covalently linked to the 3′ end of the primer, where it forms a Watson–Crick pair with the G residue at the 3′ end of the overhang. Moreover, a separate ddCTP molecule (to which the primer's new 3′-terminal ddC residue is incapable of forming a covalent bond) occupies the enzyme's active site, where it forms a Watson–Crick pair with the template's next G. Clearly, Klentaq1 retains its catalytic activity in the crystals.

A DNA polymerase must distinguish correctly paired bases from mismatches and yet do so via sequence-independent interactions with the incoming dNTP. The Klentaq1 structure reveals that this occurs in an active site pocket whose shape is complementary to Watson–Crick base pairs. In addition, although the bound dsDNA is mainly in the B conformation, the 3 base pairs nearest the active site assume the A conformation, as has also been observed in the X-ray structures

FIG. 25-10 X-Ray structure of Klentaq1 in complex with DNA in the closed and open conformations. (*a*) The closed conformation (with ddCTP). (*b*) The open conformation (ddCTP depleted). The protein, which is viewed as in Fig. 25-9, is represented in worm form with its N-terminal, palm, fingers, and thumb domains colored yellow, magenta, green, and blue, respectively. The DNA is shown in stick form, whereas the primer strand's 3′-terminal ddC residue is drawn in space-filling form as is the ddCTP in Part *a* that is base-paired with a template G residue in the enzyme's active site pocket. The atoms are colored according to type with template strand C cyan, primer strand C green, ddCTP C yellow, N blue, O red, and P orange. [Based on X-ray structures by Gabriel Waksman, Washington University School of Medicine. PDBids 3KTQ and 2KTQ.]

of several other DNA polymerases in their complexes with DNA. The resulting wider and shallower minor groove (Section 24-1A) permits protein side chains to form hydrogen bonds with the otherwise inaccessible N3 atoms of the purine bases and O2 atoms of the pyrimidine bases. The positions of these hydrogen bond acceptors are sequence-independent, as can be seen from an inspection of Fig. 24-1 (in contrast, the positions of the hydrogen bonding acceptors in the major groove vary with the sequence). However, with a non-Watson–Crick pairing—that is, with a mismatched dNTP in the active site—these hydrogen bonds would be greatly distorted if not completely disrupted. The polymerase also makes extensive sequence-independent hydrogen bonding and van der Waals interactions with the DNA's sugar–phosphate backbone and excludes ribo-NTPs through steric interference with their 2′-OH groups.

After the Klentaq1 · DNA · ddCTP crystals described above were depleted of ddCTP by soaking them in a ddCTP-free solution, the enzyme's fingers domain assumed a so-called open conformation (**Fig. 25-10*b***), which differs significantly from the closed conformation shown in Fig. 25-10*a*. Evidently, the fingers' helices in the open conformation move toward the active site when ddCTP binds, thereby burying the nucleotide in the catalytically active closed complex. These observations are consistent with kinetic measurements indicating that the binding of the correct dNTP to Pol I induces a rate-limiting conformational change that yields a tight ternary complex. It therefore appears that the enzyme rapidly samples the available dNTPs in its open conformation, but only when it binds the correct dNTP in a Watson–Crick pairing with the template base does it form the catalytically competent closed conformation. In the rare instances that a polymerase binds a non-Watson–Crick base pair in its closed form, the resulting distortions render the polymerase catalytically incompetent. *This is a type of proofreading, in which the enzyme checks for the presence of a Watson–Crick base pair in two independent ways, thereby greatly increasing the accuracy of the polymerase reaction.*

After formation of the phosphodiester bond, a second conformational change releases the PP_i product. The DNA is then translocated by one nucleotide toward the 5′ end of the primer strand so as to position it for the next reaction cycle.

The DNA Polymerase Catalytic Mechanism Involves Two Metal Ions. The X-ray structures of a variety of DNA polymerases suggest that they share a common catalytic mechanism for nucleotidyl transfer. Their active sites all contain two metal ions, usually Mg^{2+}, that are liganded by two invariant Asp side chains in the palm domain (**Fig. 25-11**). Metal ion B is liganded by all three phosphate groups of the bound dNTP, whereas metal ion A bridges the α-phosphate group of this dNTP and the primer's 3′-OH group. Metal ion A activates the primer's 3′-OH group for a nucleophilic attack on the α-phosphate group, whereas metal ion B functions to orient its bound triphosphate group and to electrostatically shield its negative charges as well as the additional negative charge on the transition state leading to the release of the PP_i ion. The 3′ → 5′ exonuclease employs a similar two metal ion mechanism, in which one Mg^{2+} ion nucleophilically activates a water molecule to cleave the phosphodiester bond to the 3′ nucleotide, and the other Mg^{2+} ion properly orients the dNMP leaving group and stabilizes its developing negative charge.

DNA Polymerase III Is *E. coli's* DNA Replicase. The discovery of normally growing *E. coli* mutants that have very little (but not entirely absent) Pol I activity stimulated the search for additional DNA polymerizing activities. This effort was

Courtesy of Tom Ellenberger, Harvard Medical School

FIG. 25-11 Role of metal ions in nucleotidyl transfer. A and B represent enzyme-bound metal ions, usually Mg^{2+}, in the DNA polymerase active site. Atoms are colored according to type (C gray, N blue, O red, and P yellow), and metal ion coordination is represented by green dotted lines. Metal ion A activates the primer's 3′-OH group for nucleophilic attack (*arrow*) on the α-phosphate group of the incoming nucleotide (here, ddCTP). Metal ion B orients and electrostatically stabilizes the negatively charged triphosphate group.

TABLE 25-1 Properties of *E. coli* DNA Polymerases

	Pol I	Pol II	Pol III
Mass (kD)	103	90	130
Molecules/cell	400	?	10–20
Turnover number[a]	600	30	9000
Structural gene	*polA*	*polB*	*polC*
Conditionally lethal mutant	+	–	+
Polymerization: 5′ → 3′	+	+	+
Exonuclease: 3′ → 5′	+	+	+
Exonuclease: 5′ → 3′	+	–	–

[a] Nucleotides polymerized $min^{-1} \cdot molecule^{-1}$ at 37°C.

Source: Kornberg, A. and Baker, T.A., *DNA Replication* (2nd ed.), p. 167, Freeman (1992).

? Explain whether the cellular concentrations of Pol I and Pol III are consistent with their roles in DNA replication.

rewarded, in the 1970s, by the discovery of two more enzymes, designated, in the order they were discovered, **DNA polymerase II (Pol II)** and **DNA polymerase III (Pol III).** The properties of these enzymes are compared with those of Pol I in Table 25-1. Pol II and Pol III had not previously been detected because their combined activities in the assays used are normally <5% that of Pol I. Pol II participates in DNA repair; mutant cells lacking Pol II can therefore grow normally. The absence of Pol III, however, is lethal, demonstrating that it is *E. coli's* DNA replicase. [Later studies revealed that *E. coli* has two additional DNA polymerases, Pol IV and Pol V, that also participate in DNA repair (Section 25-4E)].

The catalytic core of Pol III consists of three subunits: α, which contains the complex's DNA polymerase activity (Fig. 25-12); ε, its 3′ → 5′ exonuclease; and θ, whose function is unknown. However, at least seven other subunits (τ, γ, δ, δ′, χ, Ψ, and β) combine with them to form a labile multisubunit enzyme known as the **Pol III holoenzyme.** The catalytic properties of the Pol III core resemble those of Pol I except that Pol III lacks 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity on dsDNA. Thus, *Pol III can synthesize a DNA strand complementary to a single-stranded template and can edit the polymerization reaction to increase replication fidelity, but it cannot catalyze nick translation.*

FIG. 25-12 X-Ray structure of the *Thermus aquaticus* Pol III α subunit in complex with a primer–template DNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form with its thumb, palm, fingers, and PHP domains yellow, magenta, orange, and light blue, respectively. The DNA is drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. The fragmented appearance of the protein is largely attributable to its low (4.6 Å) resolution. Note the handlike shape of the protein but its entirely different fold from that of Klenow fragment and Klentaq1 (Figs. 25-9 and 25-10), with which it has no significant sequence similarity. [Based on an X-ray structure by Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBid 3E0D.]

B Replication Initiation Requires Helicase and Primase

The *E. coli* chromosome is a supercoiled DNA molecule of 4.6×10^6 bp. Since DNA polymerase requires a single-stranded template, other proteins participate in DNA replication by locating the replication initiation site, unwinding the DNA, and preventing the single strands from reannealing.

Replication of *E. coli* dsDNA is initiated at a 245-bp region known as ***oriC*** (*ori* for origin), elements of which are highly conserved among gram-negative bacteria. The dsDNA strands are separated by the binding of multiple copies of a 467-residue protein named **DnaA** (the product of the *dnaA* gene) that bind to a ~45-bp AT-rich segment of *oriC* DNA as a helical filament [DnaA is a member of the **AAA+ family** (AAA+ for *A*TPases *a*ssociated with cellular *a*ctivites); a functionally diverse protein family]. This melting requires the free energy of ATP hydrolysis and is probably also facilitated by both the AT-rich nature of the DNA segment and the negative supercoiling (underwinding) of the circular DNA chromosome [the latter being generated by DNA gyrase, a type II topoisomerase (Section 24-1D), whose activity is required for prokaryotic DNA replication].

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See Guided Exploration
Replication of DNA in *E. coli*

Helicases Unwind DNA. DnaA bound to *oriC* recruits two hexameric complexes of **DnaB,** one to each end of the melted region. DnaB is a **helicase** that further separates the DNA strands. Helicases are a diverse group of enzymes that unwind DNA during replication, transcription, and a variety of other processes. DnaB is one of 12 helicases expressed by *E. coli.* Helicases function by translocating along one strand of a double-helical nucleic acid like a moving wedge so as to mechanically unwind the helix in their path, a process that is driven by the free energy of NTP hydrolysis. Some helicases translocate in the $5' \rightarrow 3'$ direction and others do so in the $3' \rightarrow 5'$ direction; some are hexamers and some are dimers.

DnaB forms a hexamer ($DnaB_6$) of identical 471-residue subunits that separates the two strands of the parental DNA during its replication by translocating along the lagging strand template in the $5' \rightarrow 3'$ direction. This process is driven by the hydrolysis of NTPs with little preference for the identity of the base.

The X-ray structure of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* $DnaB_6$ in complex with dT_{14} and GDP · AlF_4^- (a mimic of the transition state of NTP hydrolysis), determined by Steitz, is shown in Fig. 25-13. The $DnaB_6$ forms a two-layered trimer

FIG. 25-13 X-Ray structure of *B. stearothermophilus* $DnaB_6$ · dT_{14} · (GDP · AlF_4^-)$_5$. (*a*) View along the protein's pseudo 3-fold axis. The CTDs are represented by their solvent-accessible surfaces, whereas the NTDs and the linkers connecting them to the CTDs are drawn in cartoon form. Each of the DnaB subunits is differently colored. The dT_{14} and the five GDP · AlF_4^- complexes are respectively shown as yellow and black spheres. (*b*) Side view of the protein, related to that in Part *a* by a 90° rotation about the horizontal axis. The C-linkers that are not visible in the X-ray structure are represented by dashed lines. [Courtesy of Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBid 4ESV.]

of dimers shaped like a single turn of a right-handed spiral staircase (alternatively, a lockwasher) in which the N-terminal domains (NTDs) of the DnaB subunits are arranged about a pseudo 3-fold axis in the form of a 3-step staircase and the C-terminal domains (CTDs) form a 6-step staircase. The dT_{14} occupies the central channel of the $DnaB_6$ hexamer (which is too narrow to admit double-stranded DNA), where it assumes the conformation of a single strand of A-DNA oriented with its 5′ end toward the top of the complex in Fig. 25-13*b*. Each DnaB subunit engages the ssDNA via a loop that interacts with two consecutive nucleotides through hydrogen bonds with their phosphate oxygens. GDP · AlF_4^- binds at each interface between two CTDs and, because the highest and lowest CTDs in the complex are not in contact, only five GDP · AlF_4^- complexes are bound to the $DnaB_6$ hexamer.

The $DnaB_6$ structure suggests the mechanism through which it translocates along the lagging strand of a dsDNA to separate its two strands (**Fig. 25-14**). In this so-called **hand-over-hand mechanism**, NTP hydrolysis and release induces the top subunit in the spiral staircase to dissociate from its adjacent subunit and from its bound two nucleotides. The top subunit then binds to a position 12 nucleotides in the 5′ → 3′ direction along the lagging strand, where it becomes the bottom subunit of the $DnaB_6$ assembly and rebinds an NTP, thus separating the leading and lagging strands of the dsDNA by two nucleotides. This cycle is then repeated, thereby separating the two strands by pulling the DnaB hexamer along the lagging strand, in two-nucleotide steps, in the hand-over-hand manner reminiscent of a person climbing a rope.

Note that in separating the lagging strand from the leading strand, the $DnaB_6$ helicase pushes the twist in the dsDNA ahead of it. The resulting buildup of torsional stress would resist and eventually stop the translocation of the helicase. Thus the action of topoisomerases (primarily gyrase in *E. coli*) in relieving torsional stress is indispensible for separating the leading and lagging strands (~440,000 twists must be removed in replicating the 4.6-million-bp *E. coli* chromosome).

How is the DnaB hexamer loaded onto the ssDNA at the newly opened replication eye? This is the job of **DnaC,** an AAA+ protein that is a monomer in solution. However, as EM studies have shown, DnaC · ATP assembles on the CTDs of $DnaB_6$ to form a lockwasher-shaped hexamer. This cracks open the

FIG. 25-14 The hand-over-hand mechanism for the 5′ → 3′ translocation of the DnaB hexamer along the lagging strand of replicating dsDNA. The six DnaB subunits, which are labeled A through F, are colored and viewed as in Fig. 25-13*b*. The lagging strand, along which $DnaB_6$ translocates, is yellow and the leading strand is orange. Red nucleotides represent those that interact with $DnaB_6$ in its initial position and green nucleotides are those to which the hexamer binds after ATP turnover. The thick black arrows indicate the direction of $DnaB_6$ translocation along the lagging strand. [Courtesy of Thomas Steitz, Yale University.]

FIG. 25-15 X-Ray structure of SSB in complex with dC(pC)$_{34}$. The homotetramer, which has D_2 symmetry, is viewed along one of its twofold axes with its other twofold axes horizontal and vertical. Each of its subunits (which include the N-terminal 134 residues of the 177-residue polypeptide) are differently colored. Its two bound ssDNA molecules are drawn in space-filling form colored according to atom type with the upper strand C cyan, lower strand C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. (The lower strand is partially disordered and hence appears to consist of two fragments). [Based on an X-ray structure by Timothy Lohman and Gabriel Waksman, Washington University School of Medicine. PDBid 1EYG.]

DnaB hexamer, which, with the assistance of DnaA, slips around the ssDNA. Then, in a process driven by ATP hydrolysis, the DnaC dissociates from the DnaB$_6$, which then closes around the ssDNA.

Single-Strand Binding Protein Prevents DNA from Reannealing. The separated DNA strands behind an advancing helicase do not reanneal to form dsDNA because they become coated with **single-strand binding protein (SSB)**. The SSB coat also prevents ssDNA from forming secondary structures such as stem-loops that would impede its replication and protects it from nucleases. Evidently, DNA polymerase displaces SSB from the template strand as replication proceeds.

E. coli SSB is a homotetramer of 177-residue subunits that can bind to DNA in several different ways. In the major binding mode (**Fig. 25-15**), each U-shaped strand of ssDNA is draped across two of SSB's four subunits. This would permit an unlimited series of SSB tetramers to interact end-to-end along the length of a ssDNA. The DNA-binding cleft of SSB, which is contained in its N-terminal 115 residues, is positively charged so that the protein can interact electrostatically with DNA phosphate groups. The cleft is too narrow to accommodate dsDNA.

The Primosome Synthesizes RNA Primers. All DNA synthesis, both of leading and lagging strands, requires the prior synthesis of an RNA primer. Primer synthesis in *E. coli* is mediated by an ~600-kD protein assembly known as a **primosome**, which includes the DnaB helicase and an RNA-synthesizing primase, also called **DnaG,** as well as five other types of subunits. *E. coli* DnaG is a monomeric protein whose catalytic domain does not resemble any of the other DNA and RNA polymerases of known structure. Nevertheless, it catalyzes the same polymerization reaction (Fig. 25-2 using NTPs rather than dNTPs) to produce an RNA segment of ~11 nucleotides.

The primosome is propelled in the 5′ → 3′ direction along the DNA template for the lagging strand (i.e., toward the replication fork) in part by DnaB-catalyzed ATP hydrolysis. This motion, which displaces the SSB in its path, is opposite in direction to that of template reading during DNA chain synthesis. Consequently, the primosome reverses its migration momentarily to allow primase to synthesize an RNA primer in the 5′ → 3′ direction (Fig. 25-5).

The primosome is required to initiate each Okazaki fragment. The single RNA segment that primes the synthesis of the leading strand can be synthesized, at least *in vitro,* by either primase or RNA polymerase (the enzyme that synthesizes RNA transcripts from a DNA template; Section 26-1), but its rate of synthesis is greatly enhanced when both enzymes are present.

C The Leading and Lagging Strands Are Synthesized Simultaneously

In *E. coli,* the Pol III holoenzyme catalyzes the synthesis of both the leading and lagging strands. This occurs in a single multiprotein particle, the **replisome**, which contains two Pol III enzymes. In some other prokaryotes and in eukaryotes, two different polymerases synthesize the leading and lagging strands, but

like Pol III, they are part of a multiprotein replisome. *In order for the replisome to move as a single unit in the 5′ → 3′ direction along the leading strand, the lagging strand template must loop around* (Fig. 25-16). This **trombone model,** which was first proposed by Bruce Alberts, is so called because the extension of the lagging strand loop from the replisome resembles the motion of a trombone slide.

After completing the synthesis of an Okazaki fragment, the lagging strand holoenzyme relocates to a new primer near the replication fork and resumes synthesis. *The result of this process is a continuous leading strand and a series of RNA-primed Okazaki fragments separated by single-strand nicks.* The RNA primers are replaced with DNA through Pol I-catalyzed nick translation, and the nicks in the lagging strand are then sealed through the action of DNA ligase (see below). An alternative means for primer excision is through the action of **RNase H** (H for *h*ybrid), which specifically degrades the RNA in RNA–DNA hybrid

FIG. 25-16 The trombone model for the replication of DNA. (*a*) The replisome, which contains two DNA polymerase III holoenzymes, synthesizes both the leading and the lagging strands. The lagging strand template must loop around to permit the holoenzyme to extend the primed lagging strand. (*b*) The holoenzyme releases the lagging strand template when it encounters the previously synthesized Okazaki fragment. This may signal the primosome to initiate synthesis of a lagging strand RNA primer. (*c*) The holoenzyme rebinds the lagging strand template and extends the RNA primer to form a new Okazaki fragment. Note that in this model, leading strand synthesis is always ahead of lagging strand synthesis.

? **Add arrows to indicate the direction of movement of each polymerase and the primosome.**

helices. Since RNase H can only hydrolyze the phosphodiester bond between ribonucleotides, Pol I is still required to remove the primer's 3′-ribonucleotide.

A Sliding Clamp Promotes Pol III Processivity. The Pol III core enzyme dissociates from the template DNA after replicating only ~12 residues; that is, it has a processivity of ~12 residues. However, the Pol III holoenzyme has a processivity of >5000 residues, due to the presence of its **β subunit.** A β subunit bound to a cut circular DNA slides to the break and falls off. This suggests that the β subunit *forms a ring around the DNA that functions as a* ***sliding clamp*** *(alternatively,* ***β clamp****) that can move along it, thereby keeping the Pol III holoenzyme from diffusing away.* The β clamp also greatly increases the rate of nucleotide polymerization.

The X-ray structure of the β clamp in complex with DNA, determined by John Kuriyan and Michael O'Donnell, reveals that the protein is a dimer of C-shaped monomers that form an ~80-Å-diameter donut-shaped structure (Fig. 25-17). Each β subunit contains three domains of similar structure (although with <20% sequence identity) so that the dimeric ring is a pseudosymmetrical six-pointed star. The interior surface of the ring is positively charged, whereas its outer surface is negatively charged.

The central ~35-Å-diameter hole of the β clamp is larger than the 20- and 26-Å diameters of B- and A-DNAs (the hybrid helices containing RNA primers and DNA have an A-DNA-like conformation; Section 24-1A). The X-ray structure of the β clamp–DNA complex indicates that the protein's α helices span the major and minor grooves of the DNA rather than entering into them as do, for example, the recognition helices of helix–turn–helix motifs (Section 24-4B). It appears that the β subunit is designed to minimize its associations with double-stranded DNA. This presumably permits the protein to freely slide along the DNA helix.

E. coli replicates its DNA at the astounding rate of ~1000 nt/s. Thus, in lagging strand synthesis, the DNA polymerase holoenzyme must be reloaded onto the template strand every second or so (Okazaki fragments are ~1000 nt long). Consequently, a new β clamp, which promotes Pol III's processivity, must be

FIG. 25-17 X-Ray structure of the β clamp of *E. coli* Pol III holoenzyme in complex with DNA. (*a*) The homodimeric sliding clamp is drawn in ribbon form embedded in its semitransparent surface diagram and viewed along its twofold axis with one subunit magenta and the other colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The DNA, which consists of a 10-bp double-stranded segment with a 4-nt single-stranded extension at the 5′ end of one of the strands, is drawn in stick form with the C atoms of the template strand cyan, those of the primer strand green, N blue, O red, and P orange, and with an orange rod connecting successive P atoms in each strand. (*b*) Cutaway diagram of the structure in Part *a* rotated 90° about the horizontal axis. The DNA, which is shown in space-filling form, is inclined by ~22° to the protein's twofold axis, which is vertical in this diagram. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Kuriyan, University of California at Berkeley, and Michael O'Donnell, The Rockefeller University. PDBid 3BEP.]

FIG. 25-18 Architecture of the *E. coli* replisome. See the text for details. Compare this to Fig. 25-16, in which only the τ_2 component of the clamp loader is drawn. [Courtesy of Charles Richardson, Harvard Medical School.]

installed around the lagging strand template about once every second. The **γ complex** of the Pol III holoenzyme (subunit composition $\gamma\tau_2\delta\delta'\chi\psi$) is also known as the **clamp loader** because it opens the dimeric β clamp to load it onto the DNA template in an ATP-dependent manner. The γ complex bridges the replisome's two Pol III cores ($\alpha\varepsilon\theta$) via the C-terminal segments (τ_c) of its two τ subunits (Fig. 25-18), which also bind the DnaB helicase. This apparently allows the helicase to match the pace of the two polymerases.

Once the β clamp has been loaded onto the DNA, the Pol III core binds to the β clamp more tightly than does the γ complex, thereby displacing it and permitting processive DNA replication to occur. When the polymerase encounters the previously synthesized Okazaki fragment, that is, when the gap between the two successively synthesized Okazaki fragments has been reduced to a nick, the Pol III core releases the DNA and loses its affinity for the β clamp. However, the components of the replisome do not go far; the γ subunit and the Pol III core are held in the vicinity of the lagging strand template through their linkage to the Pol III core engaged in leading strand synthesis (which remains tethered to the DNA by its associated β clamp; Fig. 25-18). Consequently, the γ complex can quickly load a new β clamp around the lagging strand template DNA at the next primer so that Pol III can begin synthesizing a new Okazaki fragment.

The β clamp, which remains around the completed Okazaki fragment, recruits Pol I and DNA ligase to replace the RNA primer on the previously synthesized Okazaki fragment with DNA and seal the remaining nick. However, the sliding clamp must eventually be recycled. It was initially assumed that this was the job of the clamp loader. However, it is now clear that the release of the sliding clamp from its associated DNA is carried out by free δ subunit (the "wrench" in the clamp loader that cracks apart the β subunits forming the sliding clamp), which is synthesized in around tenfold excess over that required to populate the cell's few clamp loaders. Nevertheless, a free δ subunit cannot load a β clamp onto DNA.

All five of *E. coli's* DNA polymerases, as well as DNA ligase and the clamp loader, bind to the same region of the β clamp. Consequently, only when the β clamp is no longer associated with any of these proteins can it be recycled by the δ subunit.

Recent studies suggest that the clamp loader complex contains three τ subunits rather than the two depicted in Figs. 25-16 and 25-18, and that the replisome therefore has three rather than two Pol III core–β clamp complexes. Presumably, two of these complexes alternate in synthesizing the lagging strand, and one of them initiates the synthesis of an Okazaki fragment before the other one has completed the synthesis of the preceding Okazaki fragment. Since the release of the Pol III core from the β clamp and its rebinding to a new one is a slower process than DNA synthesis, this would better allow lagging strand synthesis to keep up with leading strand synthesis.

DNA Ligase Is Activated by NAD^+ or ATP. The free energy for the DNA ligase reaction is obtained, in a species-dependent manner, through the coupled hydrolysis of either NAD^+ to **nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN^+)** + AMP, or ATP to PP_i + AMP. *E. coli* DNA ligase, a 671-residue monomer that uses NAD^+, catalyzes a three-step reaction (Fig. 25-19):

1. The adenylyl group of NAD^+ is transferred to the ε-amino group of an enzyme Lys residue to form an unusual phosphoamide adduct.
2. The adenylyl group of this activated enzyme is transferred to the 5′-phosphoryl terminus of the nick to form an adenylylated DNA. Here, AMP is linked to the 5′-nucleotide via a pyrophosphate rather than the usual phosphodiester bond.
3. DNA ligase catalyzes the formation of a phosphodiester bond by attack of the 3′-OH on the 5′-phosphoryl group, thereby sealing the nick and releasing AMP.

DNA ligase does not catalyze nick closure with RNA, which ensures that RNA primers are completely removed before nicks are sealed.

ATP-requiring DNA ligases, such as those of eukaryotes, release PP_i in the first step of the reaction rather than NMN^+. The DNA ligase from the bacteriophage T4 is notable because it can link together two duplex DNAs that lack complementary single-stranded ends **(blunt end ligation)** in a reaction that is a boon to genetic engineering (Section 3-5).

FIG. 25-19 The reactions catalyzed by *E. coli* DNA ligase. In eukaryotic and T4 ligases, NAD^+ is replaced by ATP so that PP_i rather than NMN^+ is eliminated in the first reaction step. Here A, R, and N represent the adenine, ribose, and nicotinamide residues, respectively.

? Compare the free energy requirements of the reactions catalyzed by DNA polymerase and DNA ligase.

D Replication Terminates at Specific Sites

The *E. coli* replication terminus is a large (350-kb) region flanked by ten nearly identical nonpalindromic ~23-bp terminator sites, ***TerH, TerI, TerE, TerD,*** and ***TerA*** on one side and ***TerJ, TerG, TerF, TerB,*** and ***TerC*** on the other (Fig. 25-20;

FIG. 25-20 Map of the *E. coli* chromosome showing the positions of the *Ter* sites. The *TerC, TerB, TerF, TerG,* and *TerJ* sites, in combination with Tus protein, allow a counterclockwise-moving replisome to pass but not a clockwise-moving replisome. The opposite is true of the *TerA, TerD, TerE, TerI,* and *TerH* sites. Consequently, two replication forks that initiate bidirectional DNA replication at *oriC* will meet between the oppositely facing *Ter* sites.

note that *oriC* is directly opposite the termination region on the *E. coli* chromosome). A replication fork, traveling counterclockwise as drawn in Fig. 25-20, passes through *TerJ, TerG, TerF, TerB,* and *TerC* but stops on encountering either *TerA, TerD, TerE, TerI,* or *TerH* (*TerD, TerE, TerI,* and *TerH* are presumably backup sites for *TerA*). Similarly, a clockwise-traveling replication fork passes *TerH, TerI, TerE, TerD,* and *TerA* but halts at *TerC* or, failing that, *TerB, TerF, TerG,* or *TerJ*. Thus, these termination sites are polar; they act as one-way valves that allow replication forks to enter the terminus region but not to leave it. *This arrangement guarantees that the two replication forks generated by bidirectional initiation at oriC will meet in the replication terminus even if one of them arrives there well ahead of its counterpart.*

The arrest of replication fork motion at *Ter* sites requires the action of **Tus protein** (for *t*erminator *u*tilization *s*ubstance), a 309-residue monomer that is the product of the ***tus*** gene. Tus protein specifically binds to a *Ter* site, where it prevents strand displacement by DnaB helicase, thereby arresting replication fork advancement.

The X-ray structure of Tus in complex with a 15-bp *Ter* fragment (**Fig. 25-21**) reveals that the protein forms a deep positively charged cleft in which the DNA binds. A 5-bp segment of the DNA near the side of Tus that permits the passage of the replication fork is deformed and underwound relative to canonical (ideal) DNA: Its major groove is deeper, and its minor groove is significantly expanded. Protein side chains at the bottom of the cleft penetrate the DNA's widened major groove to make sequence-specific contacts such that the protein cannot release the bound DNA without a large conformational change. Nevertheless, the mechanism through which Tus prevents replication fork advancement from one side of a *Ter* site but not the other is unclear.

Curiously, the Tus–*Ter* system is not essential for termination. If the *Ter* sites are deleted, replication simply stops when the opposing replication forks collide. Nevertheless, this termination system is highly conserved in gram-negative bacteria, strongly suggesting that it confers a growth advantage. A plausible explanation for this hypothesis involves the relationship between the transcription and replication of DNA. An *E. coli's* genes are distributed all around its circular chromosome and are transcribed during all stages of its life cycle. Consequently,

FIG. 25-21 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* Tus in complex with a 15-bp *Ter*-containing DNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The DNA is shown in stick form with C gray, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms in the same strand joined by orange rods. [Based on an X-ray structure by Kosuke Morikawa, Protein Engineering Research Institute, Osaka, Japan. PDBid 1ECR.]

replication forks and RNA polymerase frequently collide. If they are moving in the same direction they do not impede one another. However, if they are moving in opposite directions, that is, if they collide head-on, this causes the replication fork to pause and could abort the transcription process (see Box 26-1). Most bacterial genes are oriented such that transcription and replication occur in the same direction, provided that the replication forks do not proceed more than halfway around the circular chromosome. Thus, the Tus–*Ter* system probably functions to increase the rate of DNA replication and transcription, and thereby confers a growth advantage on the bacterium.

As two oppositely moving replication forks collide at the termination site, the newly synthesized strands become covalently linked to yield two covalently closed double-stranded chromosomes. The parental DNA strands remain wound about each other by several turns (presumably because DNA gyrase cannot gain access to the DNA when the colliding replication forks closely approach each other) and consequently the product dsDNA strands must be wound about each other by the same number of turns (Fig. 25-22). The resulting catenated circular dsDNAs must be separated so that each can be passed to a different daughter cell. This is the job of the type II topoisomerase named **topoisomerase IV** (Section 24-1D).

FIG. 25-22 The formation and separation of catenated dsDNAs at the replication termination site. The parental strands are red and black and the daughter strands are green and blue. For clarity, the double-helical character of the newly formed dsDNA molecules is not shown.

E DNA Is Replicated with High Fidelity

Since a single polypeptide as small as the Pol I Klenow fragment can replicate DNA by itself, why does *E. coli* maintain a battery of more than 20 intricately coordinated proteins to replicate its chromosome? The answer apparently is *to ensure the nearly perfect fidelity of DNA replication required to accurately transmit genetic information.*

The rates of reversion of mutant *E. coli* or T4 phages to the wild type indicates that only one mispairing occurs per 10^8 to 10^{10} base pairs replicated. This corresponds to ~1 error per 1000 bacteria per generation. Such high replication accuracy arises from four sources:

1. Cells maintain balanced levels of dNTPs through the mechanisms discussed in Sections 23-1C and 23-2C. This is important because a dNTP present at aberrantly high levels is more likely to be misincorporated and, conversely, one present at low levels is more likely to be replaced by one of the dNTPs present at higher levels.
2. The polymerase reaction itself has extraordinary fidelity because it occurs in two stages. First, the incoming dNTP base-pairs with the template while the enzyme is in an open, catalytically inactive conformation. Polymerization occurs only after the polymerase has closed around the newly formed base pair, which properly positions the catalytic residues (induced fit; Fig. 25-10). *The protein conformational change constitutes a double-check for correct Watson–Crick base pairing between the dNTP and the template.*
3. The 3′ → 5′ exonuclease functions of Pol I and Pol III detect and eliminate the occasional errors made by their polymerase functions.
4. A remarkable set of enzyme systems in all cells repairs residual errors in the newly synthesized DNA as well as any damage that may occur after its synthesis through chemical and/or physical insults. We discuss the DNA repair systems in Section 25-5.

In addition, the inability of a DNA polymerase to initiate chain elongation without a primer increases DNA replication fidelity. The first few nucleotides of a chain are those most likely to be mispaired because of the cooperative nature of base-pairing interactions (Section 24-2). The use of RNA primers eliminates this source of error since the RNA is eventually replaced by DNA under conditions that permit more accurate base pairing.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the functions of the following proteins in *E. coli* DNA replication: DNA polymerase I, DNA polymerase III, DnaA, helicase, SSB, primase, the sliding clamp, clamp loader, DNA ligase, Tus, and topoisomerases.
- Why is it important for DNA polymerase I to have three active sites?
- What is the role of the metal ions in the polymerase active site?
- How many sliding clamps and clamp-loading events are required for synthesis of the leading and lagging strands?
- What feature of the clamp allows it to slide along the DNA?
- Explain why Tus is the only replication protein that is sequence-specific.
- Describe the four factors that contribute to the high fidelity of DNA replication.

3 Eukaryotic DNA Replication

KEY CONCEPTS

- Eukaryotic DNA replication requires DNA polymerases with different degrees of accuracy and processivity.
- Prereplication complexes assemble at multiple origins distributed throughout the eukaryotic genome.
- Telomerase uses an RNA template to extend the 3′ ends of eukaryotic chromosomes.

Eukaryotic and prokaryotic DNA replication mechanisms are remarkably similar, although the eukaryotic system is vastly more complex in terms of the amount of DNA to be replicated and the number of proteins required (estimated at >27 in yeast and mammals). Several different modes of DNA replication occur in eukaryotic cells, which contain nuclear DNA as well as mitochondrial and, in plants, chloroplast DNA. In this section, we consider some of the proteins of eukaryotic DNA replication as well as the challenge of replicating the ends of linear chromosomes.

A Eukaryotes Use Several DNA Polymerases

Animal cells contain at least 13 distinct DNA polymerases, which were named with Greek letters according to their order of discovery. A newer classification scheme uses sequence homology to group eukaryotic as well as prokaryotic polymerases into six families: A, B, C, D, X, and Y. In this section, we describe the three main enzymes involved in replicating eukaryotic nuclear DNA: **polymerases α, δ,** and **ε** (Table 25-2), which are all B-family polymerases (*E. coli* Pol I and Pol III are respectively members of the A and C families).

DNA polymerase α **(pol α),** like all DNA polymerases, replicates DNA by extending a primer in the 5′ → 3′ direction under the direction of a ssDNA template. This enzyme has no exonuclease activity and therefore cannot proofread its polymerization product. Pol α is only moderately processive (polymerizing ~100 nucleotides at a time) and associates tightly with a primase, indicating that it is involved in initiating DNA replication. The pol α/primase complex synthesizes a 7- to 10-nt RNA primer and extends it by an additional 15 or so deoxynucleotides. Its lack of proofreading activity is not problematic, since the first few residues of newly synthesized DNA are typically removed and replaced along with the RNA primer.

DNA polymerase δ **(pol δ)** does not associate with a primase and contains a 3′ → 5′ exonuclease active site. In addition, the processivity of pol δ is essentially unlimited (it can replicate the entire length of a template DNA), but only when it is in complex with a sliding-clamp protein named **proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA).** The X-ray structure of PCNA (Fig. 25-23), also determined by Kuriyan, reveals that it forms a trimeric ring with almost identical structure (and presumably function) as the *E. coli* β_2 sliding clamp (Fig. 25-17). Intriguingly, PCNA and the β clamp exhibit no significant sequence identity, even when their structurally similar portions are aligned.

TABLE 25-2 Properties of Some Eukaryotic DNA Polymerases

	α	δ	ε
3′ → 5′ Exonuclease	no	yes	yes
Associates with primase	yes	no	no
Processivity	moderate	high	high
Requires PCNA	no	yes	no

FIG. 25-23 X-Ray structure of PCNA. The three subunits, which form a threefold symmetric ring, are drawn in ribbon form embedded in their semitransparent surface diagram. One of the subunits is colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*), another is pink, and the third is light green. A space-filling model of B-DNA viewed along its helix axis has been drawn in the center of the PCNA ring. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Kuriyan, University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 1AXC.]

? **Compare this structure to that of the β clamp of the *E. coli* Pol III holoenzyme (Fig. 25-17).**

Pol δ in complex with PCNA is required for lagging strand DNA synthesis. During replication, **RFC** (**replication factor C,** a clamp loader that is the eukaryotic counterpart of the *E. coli* γ complex) loads PCNA onto the template strand near the primer. This displaces pol α, which in a process called **template switching** allows pol δ to bind to the lagging strand template strand and subsequently processively extend the new DNA strand.

Pol ε, a heterotetrameric nuclear enzyme, is the most enigmatic participant in DNA replication. Pol ε is highly processive in the absence of PCNA and has a 3′ → 5′ exonuclease activity that degrades single-stranded DNA to 6- or 7-residue oligonucleotides rather than to mononucleotides, as does that of pol δ. Although pol ε is necessary for the viability of yeast, its essential function can be carried out by only the noncatalytic C-terminal half of its 256-kD catalytic subunit, which is unique among B-family DNA polymerases. This suggests that the C-terminal half of the pol ε catalytic subunit is required for the assembly of the replication complex. Nevertheless, Thomas Kunkel has shown that pol ε is probably the leading strand replicase, although it may also contribute to lagging strand synthesis. Moreover, pol δ may also participate in leading strand synthesis.

DNA polymerase γ (pol γ), an A-family enzyme, occurs exclusively in the mitochondrion, where it presumably replicates the mitochondrial genome. Chloroplasts contain a similar enzyme. An additional member of the polymerase family of proteins is the viral enzyme **reverse transcriptase,** an RNA-directed DNA polymerase (Box 25-2).

Additional Enzymes Participate in Eukaryotic DNA Replication. As in prokaryotic DNA replication, a helicase is required to pry apart the two template strands. In eukaryotes, this function is carried out by the heterohexameric complex known as **MCM** that tracks along the leading strand template in the 3′ → 5′ direction. Single-stranded DNA becomes coated with the trimeric **replication protein A (RPA),** the eukaryotic equivalent of the bacterial SSB. The eukaryotic replisome also includes additional proteins that have no prokaryotic counterparts and whose functions are poorly understood.

Eukaryotes lack a nick-translating polymerase like *E. coli* Pol I. Instead, the RNA primers of Okazaki fragments are removed through the actions of two enzymes: **RNase H1** removes most of the RNA, leaving only a 5′-ribonucleotide adjacent to the DNA, which is then removed through the action of **flap**

Box 25-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry Reverse Transcriptase

Reverse transcriptase (RT) is an essential enzyme of **retroviruses**, which are RNA-containing eukaryotic viruses such as **human immunodeficiency virus** (**HIV**, the causative agent of AIDS; Box 12-3). RT, which was independently discovered in 1970 by Howard Temin and David Baltimore, synthesizes DNA in the 5′ → 3′ direction from an RNA template. Although the activity of the enzyme was initially considered antithetical to the central dogma of molecular biology (Section 3-3B), there is no thermodynamic prohibition to the RT reaction (in fact, under certain conditions, Pol I can copy RNA templates). RT catalyzes the first step in the conversion of the virus' single-stranded RNA genome to a double-stranded DNA.

After the virus enters a cell, its RT uses the viral RNA as a template to synthesize a complementary DNA strand, yielding an RNA–DNA hybrid helix. The DNA synthesis is primed by a host cell tRNA whose 3′ end unfolds to base-pair with a complementary segment of viral RNA. The viral RNA strand is then nucleolytically degraded by an RNase H. Finally, the DNA strand acts as a template for the synthesis of its complementary DNA, yielding dsDNA that is then integrated into a host cell chromosome.

RT has been a particularly useful tool in genetic engineering because it can transcribe mRNAs to complementary strands of DNA (**cDNA;** see diagram). mRNA-derived cDNAs can be used, for example, to express eukaryotic structural genes in *E. coli* (Section 3-5D). Since *E. coli* lacks the machinery to splice out introns (Section 26-3B), the use of genomic DNA to express a eukaryotic structural gene in *E. coli* would require the prior excision of its introns—a technically difficult feat.

HIV-1 reverse transcriptase is a dimeric protein whose subunits are synthesized as identical 66-kD polypeptides, known as **p66** (*opposite, top*), that each contain a polymerase domain and an RNase H domain. However, the RNase H domain of one of the two subunits is proteolytically excised, thereby yielding a 51-kD polypeptide named **p51** (*opposite, bottom*). Thus, RT is a dimer of p66 and p51.

The X-ray structure of HIV-1 RT shows that the two subunits have different structures, although each has a fingers, palm, and thumb domain as well as a "connection" domain. The RNase H domain of

endonuclease-1 (FEN1). Yet, as we have seen, pol α extends the RNA primer by ~15 nt of DNA before it is displaced by pol δ. Since pol α lacks proofreading ability, this primer extension is more likely to contain errors than the DNA synthesized by pol δ. However, FEN1, which is recruited by PCNA, provides what is, in effect, pol α's proofreading function: It is also an endonuclease that excises mismatch-containing oligonucleotides up to 15 nt long from the 5′ end of an annealed DNA strand. Moreover, FEN1 can make several such excisions in succession to remove more distant mismatches. The excised segment is later replaced by pol δ as it synthesizes the succeeding Okazaki fragment (**Fig. 25-24**).

B Eukaryotic DNA Is Replicated from Multiple Origins

Replication fork movement in eukaryotes is ~10 times slower than in prokaryotes. Since a eukaryotic chromosome typically contains 60 times more DNA than

FIG. 25-24 Removal of RNA primers in eukaryotes. (**1**) RNase H1 excises all but the 5′-ribonucleotide of the RNA primer. (**2**) FEN1, a 5′ → 3′ endonuclease, then removes the remaining ribonucleotide along with a segment of adjoining DNA if it contains mismatches. (**3**) The excised nucleotides are replaced as DNA polymerase δ completes the synthesis of the next Okazaki fragment (on the left in this diagram). The nick is eventually sealed by DNA ligase.

? How does this process compare to the removal of RNA primers in *E. coli*?

the p66 subunit follows the connection domain. The p66 and p51 subunits are not related by twofold molecular symmetry (a rare but not unprecedented phenomenon) but instead associate in a sort of head-to-tail arrangement. Consequently, RT has only one polymerase active site.

Reverse transcriptase lacks a proofreading exonuclease function and hence is highly error-prone. Indeed, it is HIV's capacity to rapidly evolve, even within a single host, that presents a major obstacle to the development of an anti-HIV vaccine. This high rate of mutation is also the main contributor to the ability of HIV to rapidly develop resistance to drugs that inhibit virally encoded enzymes, including RT.

[Based on an X-ray structure by Edward Arnold, Rutgers University. PDBid 3JYT.]

does a prokaryotic chromosome, its bidirectional replication from a single origin, as in prokaryotes, would require ~1 month. Electron micrographs such as Fig. 25-25, however, show that *eukaryotic chromosomes contain multiple origins,* one every 3 to 300 kb, depending on both the species and the tissue, so replication usually requires just a few hours to complete.

In yeast, the initiation of DNA replication occurs at **autonomously replicating sequences (ARS),** which are conserved 11-bp sequences adjacent to easily unwound DNA. In mammalian genomes, replication origins do not exhibit sequence conservation, yet these sites all support the binding of a six-subunit **origin recognition complex (ORC).** Additional ATP-hydrolyzing proteins help assemble the MCM helicase. The resulting **prereplication complex (pre-RC)** is not competent to initiate replication until it has been activated by other factors

From Kreigstein, H.J., and Hogness, D.S., *Proc. Natl., Acad. Sci.* **7,** 136 (1974)

FIG. 25-25 Electron micrograph of a fragment of replicating *Drosophila* DNA. The arrows indicate the multiple replication eyes.

? **Identify the replication forks of one of the replication eyes.**

that control progress through the cell cycle (Section 28-4A). Presumably, the separate control of pre-RC assembly and activation allows cells to select replication origins before MCM unwinds the template DNA and replication commences. Once DNA synthesis is under way, no new pre-RC complexes can form, thereby ensuring that the DNA is replicated once and only once per cell cycle.

Initiation sites are uniformly distributed across the genome in early embryogenesis, when cell division is rapid. However, after cells have differentiated, the distribution of replication origins changes, possibly reflecting patterns of gene expression and/or alterations in DNA packaging in different cell types.

Cytological observations indicate that the various chromosomal regions are not all replicated simultaneously. Rather, clusters of 20 to 80 adjacent **replicons** (replicating units; DNA segments that are each served by a replication origin) are activated simultaneously. New sets of replicons are activated until the entire chromosome has been replicated. DNA replication proceeds in each direction from the origin of replication until each replication fork collides with a fork from the adjacent replicon. Eukaryotes appear to lack termination sequences analogous to the *Ter* sites in *E. coli.*

In eukaryotes, the products of DNA replication—two identical DNA molecules—remain associated at a region known as the centromere. When cell division commences and chromatin becomes highly condensed, the two sister chromatids, which each contain one of the DNA molecules, become visible as an X-shaped structure (e.g., Fig. 24-41). Each daughter cell ultimately receives one sister chromatid from each replicated chromosome.

Nucleosomes Reassemble behind the Replication Forks. Unlike prokaryotic DNA, eukaryotic DNA is packaged in nucleosomes (Section 24-5A). Some alteration of this structure is probably necessary for initiation, but once replication is under way, nucleosomes do not seem to impede the progress of DNA polymerases. Experiments with labeled histones indicate that nucleosomes just ahead of the replication fork disassemble and the freed histones, either individually or as dimers or tetramers, immediately reassociate with the emerging daughter duplexes. The parental histones randomly associate with the leading and lagging duplexes. DNA replication (which occurs in the nucleus) is coordinated with histone protein synthesis in the cytosol so that new histones are available in the required amounts.

C Telomerase Extends Chromosome Ends

The ends of linear chromosomes present a problem for the replication machinery. Specifically, *DNA polymerase cannot synthesize the extreme 5′ end of the lagging strand* (Fig. 25-26). Even if an RNA primer were paired with the 3′ end of the DNA template, it could not be replaced with DNA (recall that DNA polymerase operates only in the 5′ → 3′ direction; it can only extend an existing primer, and the primer must be bound to its complementary strand). Consequently, *in the absence of a mechanism for completing the lagging strand, linear chromosomes would be shortened at both ends by at least the length of an RNA primer with each round of replication.*

FIG. 25-26 Replication of a linear chromosome. Leading strand synthesis can proceed to the end of the chromosome (*top*). However, DNA polymerase cannot synthesize the extreme 5′ end of the lagging strand because it can only extend an RNA primer that is paired with the 3′ end of a template strand (*bottom*). Removal of the primer and degradation of the remaining single-stranded extension would cause the chromosome to shorten with each round of replication.

Telomeres Are Built from an RNA Template. The ends of eukaryotic chromosomes, the **telomeres** (Greek: *telos,* end), have an unusual structure. Telomeric DNA consists of 1000 or more tandem repeats of a short G-rich sequence (TTGGGG in the protozoan *Tetrahymena* and TTAGGG in humans) on the 3′-ending strand of each chromosome end.

Elizabeth Blackburn, Carol Greider, and Jack Szostak have shown that telomeric DNA is synthesized and maintained by an enzyme named **telomerase**, which is a **ribonucleoprotein** (a complex of protein and RNA). The RNA component (451 nt in humans) includes a segment that is complementary to the repeating telomeric sequence and acts as a template for a reaction in which

FIG. 25-27 Mechanism for the synthesis of telomeric DNA by *Tetrahymena* telomerase. The telomere's 5′-ending strand is later extended by normal lagging strand synthesis. [After Greider, C.W. and Blackburn, E.H., *Nature* **337,** 336 (1989).]

? What processes are represented by the two arrows at the bottom of the diagram?

nucleotides are added to the 3′ end of the DNA (**Fig. 25-27**, *top*). Telomerase repeatedly translocates to the new 3′ end of the DNA strand, thereby adding multiple telomeric sequences to the DNA (Fig. 25-27, *bottom*). The DNA strand complementary to the telomeric G-rich strand is apparently synthesized by the normal cellular machinery for lagging strand synthesis, leaving a 100- to 300-nt single-stranded overhang on the G-rich strand.

Telomerase, a multienzye complex, functions similarly to reverse transcriptase (Box 25-2); in fact, its highly conserved catalytic subunit, called **TERT,** is homologous to reverse transcriptase. In addition to the fingers, palm, and thumb domains typical of other polymerases, TERT includes an N-terminal *t*elomere *r*epeat *b*inding *d*omain (TRBD). The X-ray structure of TERT from the flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* in complex with a nucleic acid hairpin containing its RNA template and its telomeric DNA (**Fig. 25-28*a***), was determined by Emmanuel Skordalakes. The protein had been cocrystallized with a 21-nt RNA–DNA hybrid hairpin with a 5′-triribonucleotide overhang (**Fig. 25-28*b***). However, the X-ray structure revealed that the enzyme had appended the 3′-trideoxynucleotide d(CAG), which is

FIG. 25-28 X-Ray structure of TERT in complex with a nucleic acid hairpin containing its RNA template and its telomeric DNA. (*a*) The protein, from the flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*, is shown in ribbon form with its four domains in different colors. The nucleic acid is drawn in paddle form with its ten 5′-terminal ribonucleotides cyan and its fourteen 3′-terminal deoxynucleotides green. [Based on an X-ray structure by Emmanuel Skordalakes, The Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. PDBid 3KYL.] (*b*) The sequence and secondary structure of the 24-bp RNA–DNA hybrid hairpin cocrystallized with TERT, colored as in Part *a*. The RNA templating segment and the telomeric DNA are boxed and the Watson-Crick base pairs are represented by the vertical black lines. A hairpin consisting of only the 5′-terminal 21 nucleotides of the 24-mer was cocrystallizd with TERT but the enzyme added the trideoxynucleotide 5′-CAG-3′ to the 3′ end of the hairpin.

FIG. 25-29 Structure of the telomeric oligonucleotide d(GGGGTTTTGGGG). (*a*) The base-pairing interactions in the G-quartet. (*b*) Schematic diagram of the NMR structure in which the strand directions are indicated by arrows. The nucleotides are numbered 1 to 12 in one strand and 1* to 12* in the symmetry-related strand. Guanine residues G1 to G4 are represented by blue rectangles, G9 to G12 are cyan, G1* to G4* are red, and G9* to G12* are pink. [After Schultze, P., Smith, F.W., and Feigon, J., *Structure* **2,** 227 (1994). PDBid 156D.]

complementary to the 5′ overhang, thereby demonstrating that TERT was catalytically active.

The absence of telomerase, which allows the gradual truncation of chromosomes with each round of DNA replication, contributes to the normal senescence of cells. Conversely, enhanced telomerase activity permits the uncontrolled replication and cell growth that occur in cancer (Box 25-3).

Telomeres Form G-Quartets. Guanine-rich polynucleotides are notoriously difficult to work with. This is because of their propensity to aggregate via Hoogsteen-type base pairing (Section 24-2A) to form cyclic tetramers known as **G-quartets** (Fig. 25-29*a*). Indeed, the G-rich overhanging strands of telomeres fold back on themselves to form a hairpin, two of which associate in an antiparallel fashion to form stable complexes of stacked G-quartets (Fig. 25-29*b*). Such structures presumably serve as binding sites for capping proteins, which may help regulate telomere length and prevent activation of DNA repair mechanisms that recognize the ends of broken DNA molecules.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe how pol α, pol δ, PCNA, RNase H1, FEN1, and DNA ligase participate in eukaryotic DNA synthesis.
- In what ways does replication differ between eukaryotes and prokaryotes?
- What is the function of the prereplication complex?
- What happens to nucleosomes during and after replication?
- Describe the structure, function, and synthesis of telomeres.
- What does telomerase do and why is this enzyme unlike other DNA polymerases?

4 DNA Damage

KEY CONCEPTS

- DNA is susceptible to damage from a variety of sources.
- Mutagenicity, which is related to carcinogenicity, can be tested in living cells.

The fidelity of DNA replication carried out by DNA polymerases and their attendant proofreading functions is essential for the accurate transmission of genetic information during cell division. Yet errors in polymerization occasionally occur and, if not corrected, may alter the nucleotide sequences of genes. DNA can also be chemically altered by agents that are naturally present in the cell or in the cell's external environment.

Box 25-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease **Telomerase, Aging, and Cancer**

Without the action of telomerase, a chromosome would be shortened at both ends by at least the length of an RNA primer with every cycle of DNA replication and cell division. It was therefore initially assumed that, in the absence of active telomerase, essential genes located near the ends of chromosomes would eventually be lost, thereby killing the descendants of the originally affected cells. However, it is now evident that telomeres serve another vital chromosomal function that must be compromised before this can happen. Free DNA ends trigger DNA damage repair systems that normally function to rejoin the ends of broken chromosomes (Section 25-5E). Consequently, exposed telomeric DNA would result in the end-to-end fusion of chromosomes, a process that leads to chromosomal instability and eventual cell death (fused chromosomes often break in mitosis; their two centromeres cause them to be pulled in opposite directions). However, in a process known as **capping,** telomeric DNA is specifically bound by proteins that hide the DNA ends. There is mounting evidence that capping is a dynamic process in which the probability of a telomere spontaneously uncapping increases as telomere length decreases.

The somatic cells of multicellular organisms lack telomerase activity. This explains why such cells in culture can undergo only a limited number of doublings (20–60) before they reach **senescence** (a stage in which they cease dividing) and eventually die. Indeed, otherwise immortal *Tetrahymena* cultures with mutationally impaired telomerases exhibit characteristics reminiscent of senescent mammalian cells before dying off. Apparently, *the loss of telomerase function in somatic cells is a basis for aging in multicellular organisms.*

Despite the foregoing, there is only a weak correlation between the proliferative capacity of a cultured cell and the age of its donor. There is, however, a strong correlation between the initial telomere length in a cell and its proliferative capacity. Cells that initially have relatively short telomeres undergo significantly fewer doublings than cells with longer telomeres. Moreover, fibroblasts from individuals with **progeria** (a rare disease characterized by rapid and premature aging resulting in childhood death) have short telomeres, an observation that is consistent with their known reduced proliferative capacity in culture. In contrast, sperm (which are essentially immortal) have telomeres that do not vary in length with donor age, which indicates that telomerase is active during germ-cell growth. Likewise, those few cells in culture that become immortal (capable of unlimited proliferation) exhibit an active telomerase and a telomere of stable length, as do the cells of unicellular eukaryotes (which are also immortal).

What selective advantage might multicellular organisms gain by eliminating the telomerase activity in their somatic cells? An intriguing possibility is that cellular senescence is a mechanism that protects multicellular organisms from cancer. Indeed, ~90% of cancer cells, which are immortal and grow uncontrollably, contain active telomerase. For example, the enzyme is active in ovarian cancer cells but not in normal ovarian tissue. This hypothesis makes telomerase inhibitors an attractive target for antitumor drug development.

In many cases, damaged DNA can be repaired, as discussed in Section 25-5. Severe lesions, however, may be irreversible, leading to the loss of genetic information and, often, cell death. Even when damaged DNA can be mended, the restoration may be imperfect, producing a **mutation**, a heritable alteration of genetic information. In multicellular organisms, genetic changes are usually notable only when they occur in germline cells so that the change is passed on to all the cells of the organism's offspring. Damage to the DNA of a somatic cell, in contrast, rarely has an effect beyond that cell unless the mutation contributes to a malignant transformation (cancer).

A Environmental and Chemical Agents Generate Mutations

Environmental agents such as ultraviolet light, ionizing radiation, and certain chemical agents can physically damage DNA. For example, UV radiation (200–300 nm) promotes the formation of a cyclobutyl ring between adjacent thymine residues on the same DNA strand to form an intrastrand **thymine dimer** (Fig. 25-30). Similar cytosine and thymine–cytosine dimers also form but less frequently. Such **pyrimidine dimers** locally distort DNA's base-paired structure, interfering with transcription and replication. Ionizing radiation also damages DNA either through its direct action on the DNA molecule or indirectly by inducing the formation of free radicals, particularly the hydroxyl radical (HO•), in the surrounding aqueous medium. This can lead to strand breakage.

The DNA damage produced by **chemical mutagens**, substances that induce mutations, falls into two major classes:

1. **Point mutations**, in which one base pair replaces another. These are subclassified as:
   (a) **Transitions**, in which one purine (or pyrimidine) is replaced by another.
   (b) **Transversions**, in which a purine is replaced by a pyrimidine or vice versa.

FIG. 25-30 The cyclobutylthymine dimer. The dimer forms on UV irradiation of two adjacent thymine residues on a DNA strand. The ~1.6-Å-long covalent bonds joining the thymine rings (*red*) are much shorter than the normal 3.4-Å spacing between stacked rings in B-DNA, thereby locally distorting the DNA.

FIG. 25-31 Oxidative deamination by nitrous acid. (*a*) Cytosine is converted to uracil, which base-pairs with adenine. (*b*) Adenine is converted to hypoxanthine, a guanine derivative (it lacks guanine's 2-amino group) that base-pairs with cytosine.

? Describe the makeup of the DNA following replication of the deaminated DNA.

2. **Insertion/deletion mutations**, in which one or more nucleotide pairs are inserted in or deleted from DNA. These are collectively known as **indels.**

Point Mutations Result from Altered Bases. One cause of point mutations is treatment of DNA with nitrous acid (HNO_2), which oxidatively deaminates aromatic primary amines. Cytosine is thereby converted to uracil and adenine to the guaninelike hypoxanthine (which forms two of guanine's three hydrogen bonds with cytosine; **Fig. 25-31**). Hence, treatment of DNA with nitrous acid results in both A · T → G · C and G · C → A · T transitions. Despite its potential mutagenic activity, nitrite (the conjugate base of nitrous acid) is used as a preservative in prepared meats such as frankfurters because it also prevents the growth of *Clostridium botulinum,* the organism that causes botulism. Base deamination reactions also occur spontaneously in the absence of nitrous acid.

Cellular metabolism itself exposes DNA to the damaging effects of reactive oxygen species (e.g., the superoxide ion $O_2^{-}\cdot$, the hydroxyl radical, and H_2O_2) that are normal by-products of oxidative metabolism (Section 18-4B). More than 100 different oxidative modifications to DNA have been catalogued. For example, guanine can be oxidized to **8-oxoguanine** (**oxoG,** *at left*). When the modified DNA strand is replicated, the oxoG can base-pair with either C or A, causing a G · C → T · A transversion.

8-Oxoguanine (oxoG) residue

Alkylating agents such as dimethyl sulfate, **nitrogen mustard, ethylnitrosourea,** and ***N*-methyl-*N′*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG)**

Nitrogen mustard **Ethylnitrosourea** ***N*-Methyl-*N′*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG)**

***O*⁶-Methylguanine residue**

can also generate transversions. For example, the exposure of DNA to MNNG yields, among other products, ***O*⁶-methylguanine** residues (*at left*), which can base-

pair with either C or T. The metabolic methylating agent *S*-adenosylmethionine (Section 21-4D) occasionally nonenzymatically methylates a base to form derivatives such as 3-methyladenine and 7-methylguanine residues. However, base methylations also have normal physiological functions (Box 25-4).

The alkylation of the N7 position of a purine nucleotide increases the susceptibility of its glycosidic bond to hydrolysis, leading to loss of the base. The resulting gap in the sequence is filled in by an error-prone enzymatic repair system. Transversions arise when the missing purine is replaced by a pyrimidine. Even in the absence of alkylating agents, the glycosidic bonds of an estimated 20,000 of the 6.0 billion purine nucleotides in each diploid human cell spontaneously hydrolyze every day.

Insertion/Deletion Mutations Are Generated by Intercalating Agents. Insertion/deletion mutations (indels) may arise from the treatment of DNA with intercalating agents such as acridine orange or proflavin (Section 24-3B). The distance between two consecutive base pairs is roughly doubled by the intercalation of such a molecule between them. The replication of the distorted DNA occasionally results in the insertion or deletion of one or more nucleotides in the newly synthesized polynucleotide. (Insertions and deletions of large segments generally arise from aberrant crossover events; Section 25-6A.)

All Mutations Are Random. The bulk of the scientific data regarding mutagenesis is that mutations, whether the result of polymerase errors, spontaneous modification, or chemical damage to DNA, occur at random. This paradigm was challenged by John Cairns, who demonstrated that bacteria unable to digest lactose preferentially acquired the mutations they needed to use lactose when it was the only nutrient available. This observation, which suggests that bacteria can "direct" mutations that benefit them, more likely reflects a nonspecific adaptive response in which the overall rate of mutation—useful as well as nonuseful—increases when the cells are under metabolic stress. *The hypermutable state appears to reflect the activation of error-prone DNA repair and recombination systems that are relatively inactive in normally growing cells.*

B Many Mutagens Are Carcinogens

Not all alterations to DNA have phenotypic consequences. For example, mutations in noncoding segments of DNA are often invisible. Similarly, the redundancy of the genetic code (more than one trinucleotide may specify a particular amino acid; Section 27-1C) can mask point mutations. Even when a protein's amino acid sequence is altered, its function may be preserved if the substitution is conservative (Section 5-4A) or occurs on a surface loop. Nevertheless, even a single point mutation, if appropriately located, can irreversibly alter cellular metabolism, for example, by causing cancer. As many as 80% of human cancers may be caused by **carcinogens** that damage DNA or interfere with its replication or repair. Consequently, many mutagens are also carcinogens.

There are presently more than 80,000 man-made chemicals of commercial importance, and ~1000 new ones are introduced every year. The standard animal tests for carcinogenesis, exposing rats or mice to high levels of the suspected carcinogen and checking for cancer, are expensive and require ~3 years to complete. Thus, relatively few substances have been tested in that manner. Likewise, epidemiological studies in humans are costly, time-consuming, and often inconclusive.

Bruce Ames devised a rapid and effective bacterial assay for carcinogenicity that is based on the high correlation between carcinogenesis and mutagenesis. He constructed special strains of the bacterium *Salmonella typhimurium* that are his^- (cannot synthesize histidine and therefore cannot grow in its absence). Mutagenesis in these strains is indicated by their reversion to the his^+ phenotype. In the **Ames test**, ~10^9 test bacteria are spread on a culture plate that lacks histidine. A

Box 25-4 Perspectives in Biochemistry DNA Methylation

Not all DNA modifications are detrimental. For example, the A and C residues of DNA may be methylated, in a species-specific pattern, to form **N^6-methyladenine (m^6A), N^4-methylcytosine (m^4C),** and **5-methylcytosine (m^5C)** residues:

N^6-Methyladenine (m^6A) residue

5-Methylcytosine (m^5C) residue

N^4-Methylcytosine (m^4C) residue

The methyl groups project into B-DNA's major groove, where they can interact with DNA-binding proteins. In most cells, only a few percent of the susceptible bases are methylated, although this figure rises to >30% of the C residues in some plants.

Bacterial DNAs are methylated at their own particular restriction sites by modification methylases, thereby preventing the corresponding restriction endonuclease from cleaving the DNA (Section 3-4A). Other **methyltransferases** also modify DNA bases in a sequence-specific manner. For example, in *E. coli,* the **Dam methyltransferase** methylates the A residue in all GATC sequences and the **Dcm methyltransferase** methylates both C residues in CC$^{A}_{T}$GG at their C5 positions. Note that both of these sequences are palindromic.

In addition to its role in restriction–modification systems, DNA methylation in prokaryotes functions most conspicuously as a marker of parental DNA in the repair of mismatched base pairs. Any replicational mispairing that has eluded the editing functions of Pol I and Pol III may still be corrected by a process known as mismatch repair (Section 25-5D). However, if this system is to correct errors rather than perpetuate them, it must distinguish the parental DNA, which has the correct base, from the daughter strand, which has the incorrect although normal base. The observation that *E. coli* cells with a deficient Dam methyltransferase have higher mutation rates than wild-type bacteria suggests how this distinction is made. A newly replicated daughter strand is undermethylated compared to the parental strand because DNA methylation lags behind DNA synthesis.

5-Methylcytosine is the only methylated base in most eukaryotic DNAs, including those of vertebrates. This modification occurs largely in the CG dinucleotide of various palindromic sequences. CG is present in the vertebrate genome at only about one-fifth its randomly expected frequency. The upstream regions of many genes, however, have normal CG frequencies and are therefore known as **CpG islands.**

There is clear evidence that *DNA methylation switches off eukaryotic gene expression, particularly when it occurs in the promoter regions upstream of a gene's transcribed sequence* (Section 28-3A). For example, globin genes are less methylated in erythroid cells than they are in nonerythroid cells and, in fact, the specific methylation of the control region in a recombinant globin gene inhibits its transcription. Moreover, the methylation pattern of a parental DNA strand directs the methylation of its daughter strand (a methylated CG sequence directs a methyltransferase to methylate its complementary CG sequence), so that the "inheritance" of a methylation pattern in a cell line permits all the cells to have the same differentiated phenotype. Variations in methylation are responsible for **genomic imprinting** in mammals, the phenomenon in which certain maternal and paternal genes are differentially expressed in the offspring (Section 28-3A).

mutagen placed in the culture medium causes some of the *his*$^-$ bacteria to become *his*$^+$ so that they grow into visible colonies after 2 days at 37°C (Fig. 25-32). The mutagenicity of a substance is scored as the number of such colonies minus the few spontaneously revertant colonies that occur in the absence of the mutagen. Dose–response curves, which are generated by testing a given compound at a number of concentrations, are almost always linear, indicating that *there is no threshold concentration for mutagenesis.*

About 80% of the compounds determined to be carcinogens in whole-animal experiments are also mutagenic by the Ames test. Because many noncarcinogens

Courtesy of Bruce Ames

FIG. 25-32 The Ames test for mutagenesis. A filter paper disk containing a mutagen, in this case the alkylating agent ethyl methanesulfonate, is centered on a culture plate containing *his*$^-$ strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* in a medium that lacks histidine. A dense halo of revertant bacterial colonies appears around the disk from which the mutagen diffused. The larger colonies distributed around the culture plate are spontaneous revertants. The bacteria near the disk have been killed by the toxic mutagen's high concentration.

? Describe the appearance of a culture plate in which the disk contains a substance that is not mutagenic.

are converted to carcinogens in the liver or in other tissues via a variety of detoxification reactions (e.g., those catalyzed by the cytochromes P450; Section 12-4D), a small amount of rat liver homogenate is therefore included in the Ames test medium in order to approximate the effects of mammalian metabolism. Alternatively, carcinogenicity testing may be carried out using mammalian cell cultures rather than bacteria.

Several compounds to which humans had been extensively exposed that were found to be mutagenic by the Ames test were later found to be carcinogenic in animal tests. These include **tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate,** which was used as a flame retardant in children's sleepwear in the mid-1970s and can be absorbed through the skin; and **furylfuramide,** which was used in Japan in the 1960s and 1970s as an antibacterial additive in many prepared foods (and which had passed two animal tests before it was found to be mutagenic).

CHECKPOINT

- Make a list of the environmental and chemical agents that can alter DNA.
- Describe the different kinds of mutations.
- Why is it practical to test carcinogens by a mutagenesis assay?
- Must a carcinogen also be a mutagen?

5 DNA Repair

KEY CONCEPTS

- Some DNA damage can be repaired by the action of a single enzyme.
- Damaged bases can be removed and replaced by base excision repair.
- In nucleotide excision repair, a segment of one damaged DNA strand is removed and replaced.
- Replication errors can be corrected by mismatch repair.
- Some repair mechanisms are error-prone.

A typical mammalian cell incurs DNA damage at the rate of more than 100,000 molecular lesions per day. These lesions, which arise from both environmental and metabolic insults, must be repaired to maintain genomic integrity. The biological importance of DNA repair is indicated by the great variety of repair mechanisms in even simple organisms such as *E. coli.* These systems include enzymes that simply reverse the chemical modification of nucleotide bases as well as more complicated multienzyme systems that depend on the inherent redundancy of the information in duplex DNA to restore the damaged molecule.

A Some Damage Can Be Directly Reversed

Several enzymes recognize and reverse certain types of DNA damage. For example, pyrimidine dimers (Fig. 25-30) may be restored to their monomeric forms by **photoreactivation** catalyzed by light-absorbing enzymes known as **DNA photolyases.** These 55- to 65-kD monomeric enzymes are found in many prokaryotes and eukaryotes but not in placental mammals such as humans. Photolyases contain two prosthetic groups: a light-absorbing cofactor and $FADH^-$. In the *E. coli* enzyme, the cofactor N^5,N^{10}-methenyltetrahydrofolate (MTHF; Section 21-4D) absorbs UV–visible light (300–500 nm) and transfers the excitation energy to the $FADH^-$, which then transfers an electron to the pyrimidine dimer, thereby splitting it. The resulting pyrimidine anion reduces the FADH• to regenerate the enzyme.

The X-ray structure of the 474-residue DNA photolyase from the cyanobacterium *Anacystis nidulans* in complex with a 9-bp dsDNA containing a synthetic thymine dimer whose bridging phosphate group was replaced by a —O—CH_2—O— group (which does not affect the enzyme's ability to split the dimer) reveals how the enzyme splits the thymine dimer. The DNA binds to a highly positively charged surface on the protein with its thymine dimer flipped out of the double

FIG. 25-33 X-Ray structure of *A. nidulans* DNA photolyase in complex with dsDNA containing a synthetic thymine dimer. The protein is drawn in worm form embedded in its semitransparent surface diagram. The DNA, in which the phosphate group bridging the nucleotides of the thymine dimer is replaced by an –O–CH_2–O– group, is drawn mainly in stick form but with the bases of the thymine dimer in space-filling form, all colored according atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P orange) and with successive P atoms in each polynucleotide chain connected by orange rods. The FAD and N^5, N^{10}-methenyltetrahydrofolate (MTHF) are drawn in stick form with their flavin and flavinlike rings in space-filling form and with FAD C magenta and MTHF C yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Thomas Carell, Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany, and Lars-Oliver Essen, Philipps University, Marburg, Germany. PDBid 1TEZ.]

helix and bound in a deep cavity (Fig. 25-33). This flip-out is probably facilitated by the relatively weak base-pairing interactions of the thymine dimer and the distortions it imposes on the double helix. In the following discussions we will see that this so-called **base flipping** (really nucleotide flipping, since entire nucleotides flip out of the double helix) is by no means an unusual process for enzymes that perform chemistry on the bases of dsDNA. The DNA outside of the thymine dimer assumes the B conformation but at the thymine dimer is bent by 50° away from the protein, thereby unstacking the adenine bases complementary to the dimerized thymine bases. The "hole" in the DNA helix left by the flipped out thymine dimer is partially occupied by an irregular protein ridge.

In the X-ray structure, the thymine dimer's C5—C5 and C6—C6 bonds are broken. Yet, in the crystal, the enzyme-bound thymine dimer is stable in the dark for at least a year. Apparently, the X-rays used to generate the diffraction data mimic the effects of the light that normally ruptures these bonds. Moreover, the FAD's isoalloxazine ring exhibits a 9° "butterfly" bend about its N5–N10 axis (the isoalloxazine ring's atomic numbering scheme is given in Fig. 14-14), which indicates that it is in the fully reduced $FADH^-$ form. The isoalloxazine ring and adenine ring of the $FADH^-$, which has a folded conformation, are in van der Waals contact with one or the other bases of the thymine dimer, and the isoalloxazine ring is ~10 Å distant from the flavin-like ring of the MTHF. This permits the observed efficient energy transfer in the photolyase reaction (which has a quantum yield of ~0.9).

Another type of direct DNA repair is the reversal of base methylation by **alkyltransferases.** For example, O^6-methylguanine and O^6-ethylguanine lesions of DNA (Section 25-4A) are repaired by **O^6-alkylguanine–DNA alkyltransferase,** which directly transfers the offending methyl or ethyl group to one of its own Cys residues. This reaction inactivates the protein, which therefore cannot be strictly classified as an enzyme. Apparently, the high cost of sacrificing the alkyltransferase is justified by the highly mutagenic nature of the modified guanine residue.

FIG. 25-34 Action of DNA glycosylases. These enzymes hydrolyze the glycosidic bond of their corresponding altered base (*red*) to yield an AP site.

? List the steps that occur to fully repair this DNA.

B Base Excision Repair Requires a Glycosylase

Damaged bases that cannot be directly repaired may be removed and replaced in a process, discovered by Tomas Lindahl, known as **base excision repair (BER)**. This pathway, as its name implies, begins with removal of the damaged base. Cells contain a variety of **DNA glycosylases** that each cleave the glycosidic bond of a corresponding type of altered nucleotide, leaving a deoxyribose residue with no attached base (Fig. 25-34). Such **apurinic** or **apyrimidinic sites (AP** or **abasic sites)** also result from the occasional spontaneous hydrolysis of glycosidic bonds. The deoxyribose residue is then cleaved on one side by an **AP endonuclease,** the deoxyribose and several adjacent residues are removed by the action of a cellular exonuclease (possibly associated with a DNA polymerase), and the gap is filled in and sealed by a DNA polymerase and DNA ligase.

Box 25-5 Perspectives in Biochemistry Why Doesn't DNA Contain Uracil?

Three of the deoxynucleotide bases in DNA (adenine, guanine, and cytosine) also occur as ribonucleotide bases in RNA. The fourth deoxynucleotide base, thymine, is synthesized—at considerable metabolic effort (Section 23-3B)—from uracil, which occurs in RNA. Since uracil and thymine have identical base-pairing properties, why do cells bother to synthesize thymine at all?

This enigma was solved by the discovery of cytosine's penchant for conversion to uracil by deamination, either spontaneously or by reaction with nitrites (Section 25-4A). If U were a normal DNA base, the deamination of C would be highly mutagenic because there would be no indication of whether the resulting mismatched G · U base pair had initially been G · C or A · U. Since T is DNA's normal base, however, any U in DNA is almost certainly a deaminated C and can be removed by uracil–DNA glycosylase.

Uracil–DNA glycosylase also has an important function in DNA replication. dUTP, an intermediate in dTTP synthesis, is present in all cells in small amounts. DNA polymerases do not discriminate well between dUTP and dTTP, both of which can base-pair with template A's. Consequently, newly synthesized DNA contains an occasional U. These U's are rapidly replaced by T through base excision repair.

The enzymes of BER, which correct the most frequent type of DNA damage, include a glycosylase that recognizes 8-oxoguanine and the enzyme **uracil–DNA glycosylase (UDG),** which excises uracil residues. The latter arise from cytosine deamination as well as the occasional misincorporation of uracil instead of thymine into DNA (Box 25-5).

The X-ray structure of human UDG in complex with a 10-bp DNA containing a U · G mismatch (which forms a doubly hydrogen-bonded base pair whose shape differs from that of Watson–Crick base pairs; Section 24-1A), determined by John Tainer, reveals that the enzyme has bound the DNA with the U · G base pair's uridine nucleotide flipped out of the double helix (Fig. 25-35). Moreover, the enzyme has hydrolyzed the uridine's glycosidic bond, yielding the free uracil base and an AP site on the DNA, although both products remain bound to the enzyme. The cavity in the DNA's base stack that would otherwise be occupied by the flipped-out uracil is filled by the side chain of Arg 272, which intercalates into the DNA from its minor groove side.

How does UDG detect a base-paired uracil in the center of DNA and how does it discriminate so acutely between uracil and other bases, particularly the closely similar thymine? The X-ray structure indicates that the phosphate groups flanking the flipped-out base are 4 Å closer together than they are in B-DNA (8 Å versus 12 Å), which causes the DNA to kink by ~45° in the direction parallel to the view in Fig. 25-35. Tainer has postulated that UDG rapidly scans a DNA molecule for uracil by periodically binding to it so as to compress and thereby slightly bend the DNA backbone. The DNA bends more readily at a uracil-containing site (a U · G base pair is smaller than C · G and hence leaves a space in the base stack, whereas a U · A base pair is even weaker than T · A), permitting the enzyme to flip out the uracil by inserting Arg 272 into the minor groove. The exquisite specificity of UDG's binding pocket for uracil prevents the binding and hydrolysis of any other base that the enzyme might have induced to

FIG. 25-35 X-Ray structure of a complex of human uracil–DNA glycosylase with a 10-bp DNA containing a U · G base pair. The protein (the C-terminal 223 residues of the 304-residue monomer) is represented by its ribbon diagram embedded in its transparent molecular surface. The DNA, viewed looking into its major groove, is drawn in stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P orange). The U · G base pair's uridine nucleotide has flipped out of the double helix (to the right of the DNA) and has been hydrolyzed to yield an AP site (stick form with C cyan) and uracil (space-filling form with C cyan), which remains bound in the enzyme's binding pocket. The side chain of Arg 272 (space-filling form with C yellow) has intercalated into the DNA base stack to fill the space vacated by the flipped-out uracil base. [Based on an X-ray structure by John Tainer, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California. PDBid 4SKN.]

flip. Thus the overall shapes of adenine and guanine exclude them from this pocket, whereas thymine's 5-methyl group is sterically blocked by the rigidly held side chain of Tyr 147. Cytosine, which has approximately the same shape as uracil, is excluded through a set of hydrogen bonds emanating from the protein that mimic those made by adenine in a Watson–Crick A · U base pair (dUTPase discriminates similarly between uracil and other bases; Fig. 23-14).

AP sites in mammalian DNA are highly cytotoxic because they irreversibly trap mammalian topoisomerase I in its covalent complex with DNA (Section 24-1D). Moreover, since the ribose at the AP site lacks a glycosidic bond, it can readily convert to its linear form (Section 8-1B), whose reactive aldehyde group can cross-link to other cell components. This rationalizes why AP sites remain tightly bound to UDG in solution. UDG activity is enhanced by AP endonuclease, the next enzyme in the base excision repair pathway, but the two enzymes do not interact in the absence of DNA. This suggests that UDG remains bound to an AP site it generated until it is displaced by the more tightly binding AP endonuclease, thereby protecting the cell from the AP site's cytotoxic effects. It seems likely that other damage-specific DNA glycosylases function similarly.

C Nucleotide Excision Repair Removes a Segment of a DNA Strand

All cells have a more elaborate pathway, **nucleotide excision repair (NER)**, to correct pyrimidine dimers and other DNA lesions in which the bases are displaced from their normal position or have bulky substituents. The NER system, which was elucidated by Aziz Sancar, appears to respond to helix distortions rather than by the recognition of any particular group. In humans, NER is the major defense against two important carcinogens, sunlight and tobacco smoke.

In *E. coli,* NER is carried out in an ATP-dependent process through the actions of the **UvrA, UvrB,** and **UvrC** proteins (the products of the *uvrA, uvrB,* and *uvrC* genes). This system, which is often referred to as the **UvrABC endonuclease** (although there is no complex that contains all three subunits), cleaves the damaged DNA strand at the seventh and at the third or fourth phosphodiester bonds from the lesion's 5′ and 3′ sides, respectively (Fig. 25-36). The excised 11- or 12-nt oligonucleotide is then displaced by the binding of **UvrD** (also called **helicase II**) and is replaced through the actions of Pol I and DNA ligase.

FIG. 25-36 The mechanism of nucleotide excision repair (NER) of pyrimidine dimers.

Xeroderma Pigmentosum and Cockayne Syndrome Are Caused by Genetically Defective NER. In eukaryotes, NER requires at least 16 proteins and removes oligonucleotides of ~30 residues. The proteins are conserved from yeast to humans but none of them exhibit any sequence similarity to the prokaryotic proteins, suggesting that the two NER systems arose by convergent evolution. Many of the enzymes involved in the human pathway have been identified through mutations that are manifested as two genetic diseases. The inherited disease **xeroderma pigmentosum** (**XP;** Greek: *xeros,* dry + *derma,* skin) is mainly characterized by the inability of skin cells to repair UV-induced DNA lesions. Individuals suffering from this autosomal recessive condition are extremely sensitive to sunlight. During infancy they develop marked skin changes such as dryness, excessive freckling, and keratoses (a type of skin tumor; the skin of these children is described as resembling that of farmers with many years of sun exposure), together with eye damage, such as opacification and ulceration of the cornea. Moreover, they develop often fatal skin cancers at an ~2000-fold greater rate than normal and internal cancers at a 10- to 20-fold increased rate. Curiously, many individuals with XP also have a bewildering variety of seemingly unrelated symptoms, including progressive neurological degeneration and developmental deficits, mostly due to the inability to repair oxidative damage to the DNA in neurons.

Cockayne syndrome (CS), a rare inherited disease that is also associated with defective NER, arises from defects in three of the same genes that are defective in XP as well as in two additional genes. Individuals with CS are hypersensitive to UV radiation and exhibit stunted growth, neurological dysfunction due to neuron demyelination, and the appearance of premature aging but, intriguingly, have a normal incidence of skin cancer. The proteins that are defective in CS normally recognize an RNA polymerase whose progress has been halted by a damaged or distorted DNA template. In order for transcription to resume, the stalled RNA polymerase must be removed so that the DNA damage can be repaired by the NER system. In CS, the DNA cannot be repaired, which causes the cell to undergo **apoptosis** (programmed cell death; Section 28-4C). The death of transcriptionally active cells may account for the developmental symptoms of Cockayne syndrome.

D Mismatch Repair Corrects Replication Errors

Any replicational mispairing that has eluded the editing functions of the DNA polymerases may still be corrected by a process known as **mismatch repair (MMR)**. The MMR system, discovered by Paul Modrich, can also correct insertions or deletions of up to four nucleotides (which arise from the slippage of one strand relative to the other in the active site of DNA polymerase). The importance of mismatch repair is indicated by the fact that defects in the human mismatch repair system result in a high incidence of cancer, most notably **hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome**, which affects several organs and may be the most common inherited predisposition to cancer.

Mismatch repair in *E. coli* is carried out by three proteins (**Fig. 25-37**). A homodimer of **MutS** binds to a mismatched base pair, or to unpaired bases, and then binds a homodimer of **MutL.** Then, in an ATP-dependent process, the resulting $MutS_2MutL_2$ complex translocates along the DNA in both directions, thereby causing the duplex DNA to form a mismatch-containing loop that is closed by the $MutS_2MutL_2$ complex. If an MMR system is to correct errors in replication rather than perpetuate them, it must distinguish the parental DNA, which has the correct base, from the daughter strand, which has an incorrect

FIG. 25-37 The mechanism of mismatch repair in *E. coli*. (**1**) MutS binds. (**2**) MutL binds. (**3**) The mismatch-containing DNA loops out. (**4**) MutH endonuclease binds. (**5**) The unmethylated DNA strand containing the mismatched base is excised.

? **Describe the next step of the repair process.**

although normal base. The mismatch repair system in *E. coli* can distinguish the two DNA strands because newly replicated DNA remains hemimethylated until methyltransferases such as Dam methyltransferase have had sufficient time to methylate the daughter strand (Box 25-4). On encountering a hemimethylated GATC palindrome, the $MutS_2MutL_2$ complex recruits **MutH** and activates this endonuclease to make a nick on the 5′ side of the unmethylated GATC sequence. This site may be located on either side of the mismatch and over 1000 bp distant from it. The UvrD helicase, which also participates in NER, separates the parental and daughter strands. An exonuclease completely removes the defective daughter segment, which is then replaced by the action of DNA polymerase III holoenzyme.

Eukaryotic cells contain several homologs of MutS and MutL but not of MutH and must therefore use some other cue than methylation status to differentiate the daughter and parental strands. One possibility is that a newly synthesized daughter strand is identified by its as yet unsealed nicks between Okazaki fragments. DNA resynthesis is probably mediated by pol δ.

E Some DNA Repair Mechanisms Introduce Errors

Individuals with a variant form of XP exhibit increased rates of skin cancer even though their NER proteins are normal. The defect in this disorder has been pinpointed to an enzyme known as **DNA polymerase η (pol η)**. When functioning normally, pol η can bypass DNA lesions such as UV-induced thymine dimers, incorporating two adenine bases in the new strand. Although it is useful as a **translesion polymerase,** pol η is relatively inaccurate and has no proofreading exonuclease activity: It inserts an incorrect base on average every 30 nucleotides.

Error-prone polymerases provide a fail-safe mechanism for replicating stretches of DNA that cannot be navigated by the standard replication machinery. In fact, such alternative DNA polymerases typically outnumber the polymerases devoted to normal replication. For example, humans have at least ten such translesion polymerases that act on different types of lesions and with different degrees of accuracy. However, the errors generated by translesion polymerases may still be corrected by the mismatch repair system. Translesion polymerases are usually replaced within a few bp by normal replicative DNA polymerases, thus limiting the size of the mismatched segment. The low fidelity of translesion polymerases arises, in part, because their active sites are more open than those of replicative polymerases, and, in part, because they lack 3′-exonucleolytic proofreading functions.

End-Joining Repairs Double-Strand Breaks. Both the base excision repair and nucleotide excision repair pathways act when a lesion affects only one strand of DNA. However, DNA is susceptible to double-strand breaks **(DSBs)** generated by ionizing radiation or the free radical by-products of oxidative metabolism, as well as when the replication fork encounters a nick. In fact, around 5 to 10% of dividing cells in culture exhibit at least one chromosome break at any given time. Unrepaired DSBs can be lethal to cells or cause chromosomal aberrations that may lead to cancer. Hence the efficient repair of DSBs is essential for cell viability and genomic integrity.

Cells have two general mechanisms to repair DSBs: **recombination repair** and **nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ)**. Here we discuss NHEJ, a process which, as its name implies, directly rejoins DSBs. The recombination repair of DSBs is discussed in Section 25-6B.

In NHEJ, the ends of the broken DNA must be aligned, frayed ends trimmed off or filled in, and the strands ligated. The core of the end-joining machinery in eukaryotes includes the protein **Ku** (a heterodimer of homologous 70- and 83-kD subunits, **Ku70** and **Ku80**), which appears to be the cell's broken-DNA sensor. The X-ray structure of Ku in complex with a 14-bp DNA, determined by Jonathan Goldberg, reveals that the protein cradles the dsDNA segment along its

FIG. 25-38 X-Ray structure of human Ku protein in complex with a 14-bp DNA. The subunits of Ku70 (*red helices and yellow β strands*) and Ku80 (*blue helices and green β strands*) are viewed along the pseudotwofold axis relating them. The DNA is drawn in space-filling form with its sugar–phosphate backbone dark gray and its base pairs light gray. Note that the DNA is surrounded by a ring of protein. [Courtesy of John Tainer, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California. Based on an X-ray structure by Jonathan Goldberg, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York. PDBid 1JEY.]

entire length and encircles its central ~3 bp segment (Fig. 25-38). Ku makes no specific contacts with the DNA's bases and few with its backbone but instead fits snugly into the major and minor grooves. Ku–DNA complexes dimerize to align both halves of a double-strand break while leaving the strand ends accessible to nucleases, polymerases, and ligases. Nucleotide trimming, of course, generates mutations, but an unrepaired double-strand break would be even more detrimental to the cell.

The genome in a somatic cell of a 70-year-old human typically contains ~2000 "scars" caused by NHEJ. The reason that such mutations are usually not unacceptably deleterious is that only a small fraction of the mammalian genome is expressed (Section 28-1A), and that for somatic diploid cells the mutations are not heritable and are counterbalanced by the undamaged information in the homologous chromosome.

The *E. coli* SOS Response Is Mutagenic. In *E. coli,* agents that damage DNA induce a complex system of cellular changes known as the **SOS response.** Cells undergoing the SOS response cease dividing and increase their capacity to repair damaged DNA. **LexA,** a repressor, and **RecA,** a DNA-binding protein, regulate the activity of this system. [RecA, a homolog of DnaB (Section 25-2B), is also a key player in homologous recombination, discussed in the following section, which offers a means for repairing damaged DNA after it has been replicated.] During normal growth, LexA represses SOS gene expression. However, when DNA is damaged (and cannot fully replicate), the resulting single strands bind to RecA to form a complex that activates LexA to cleave and thereby inactivate itself. The SOS genes, which include *recA* and *lexA* as well as the NER genes *uvrA* and *uvrB* (Section 25-5C), are thereby expressed. On the repair of the DNA, the DNA · RecA complex is no longer present, so the newly synthesized LexA again represses the expression of the SOS genes.

Among the 43 genes controlled by the SOS system are **DNA polymerases IV** and **V.** Because these translesion polymerases can synthesize DNA even when there is no information as to which bases were originally present, *the SOS repair system is error-prone and consequently mutagenic.* It is therefore a process of last resort that is initiated only ~50 min after SOS induction if the DNA has not already been repaired by other means. Hence, the SOS repair system is a testimonial to the proposition that, at least for single-celled organisms, survival with a chance of loss of function (and the possible gain of a new one) is advantageous, in the Darwinian sense, over death, although only a small fraction of cells survive this process. It has therefore been suggested that, under conditions of environmental stress, the SOS system functions to increase the rate of mutation so as to increase the rate at which the *E. coli* adapt to the new conditions.

CHECKPOINT

- What are the functions of DNA photolyases and alkyltransferases?
- Describe the enzymatic activities required for base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair, and mismatch repair.
- What are the symptoms of defective nucleotide excision repair and mismatch repair?
- Describe why cells require error-prone repair systems such as pol η, nonhomologous end-joining, and the SOS response.

6 Recombination

KEY CONCEPTS

- In homologous recombination, DNA strands cross over to exchange places.
- Recombination can repair damaged replication forks and double-strand breaks.
- Transposons move themselves and sometimes additional genes to new chromosomal locations.

Over the years, genetic studies have shown that genes are not immutable. In higher organisms, pairs of genes may exchange by crossing-over when homologous chromosomes are aligned (Fig. 25-39). Bacteria, which do not contain duplicate chromosomes, also have an elaborate mechanism for recombining genetic information. In addition, foreign DNA can be installed in a host's chromosome through recombination. In this section, we examine the molecular events of recombination and discuss the biochemistry of **transposons**, which are mobile genetic elements.

Science VU/B. John/Visuals Unlimited/© Corbis

FIG. 25-39 Crossing-over. (*a*) An electron micrograph and (*b*) its interpretive drawing of two homologous pairs of chromatids during meiosis in the grasshopper *Chorthippus parallelus*. Nonsister chromatids (*different colors*) may recombine at any of the points where they cross over.

A Homologous Recombination Involves Several Protein Complexes

Homologous recombination (also called **general recombination**) occurs between DNA segments with extensive homology; **site-specific recombination** occurs between two short, specific DNA sequences. The prototypical model for homologous recombination (Fig. 25-40) was proposed by Robin Holliday in

FIG. 25-40 The Holliday model of general recombination between homologous DNA duplexes. **WPLS** **See the Animated Figures.**

FIG. 25-41 X-Ray structure of a Holliday junction. (*a*) The secondary structure of the four-stranded Holliday junction formed by the palindromic sequence d(CCGGTACCGG) in which the four strands, A, B, C, and D, are individually colored, their nucleotides are numbered 1 to 10 from their 5′ to 3′ termini, and Watson–Crick base-pairing interactions are represented by black dashes. The twofold axis relating the two helices is represented by the black lenticular symbol. (*b*) The three-dimensional structure of the Holliday junction, as viewed along its twofold axis, in which the oligonucleotides are represented in stick form with their backbones traced by ribbons, all colored as in Part *a*. With the exception of the backbones of strands B and D at the crossovers, the two arms of this structure each form an undistorted B-DNA helix, including the stacking of the base pairs flanking the crossovers. Note that Fig. 25-40*g* is a schematic representation of this structure as viewed from the side in this drawing. PDBid 1DCW.

1964. The corresponding strands of two aligned homologous DNA duplexes are nicked, and the nicked strands cross over to pair with the nearly complementary strands on the homologous duplex, thereby forming a segment of **heterologous DNA**, after which the nicks are sealed (Fig. 25-40*a–e*). The crossover point is a four-stranded structure known as a **Holliday junction**. A Holliday junction has, in fact, been observed in the X-ray structure of the self-complementary d(CCGGTACCGG), determined by Shing Ho (**Fig. 25-41**). The crossover point can move in either direction, often thousands of nucleotides, in a process known as **branch migration** (Fig. 25-40*e*, *f*).

The Holliday junction can be "resolved" into two duplex DNAs in two equally probable ways (Fig. 25-40*g*– *l*):

1. Cleavage of the strands that did not cross over exchanges the ends of the original duplexes to form, after nick sealing, the traditional recombinant DNA molecule (right branch of Fig. 25-40*j*– *l*).
2. Cleavage of the strands that crossed over exchanges a pair of homologous single-stranded segments (left branch of Fig. 25-40*j* – *l*).

RecA Promotes Recombination in *E. coli*. The observation that *recA*$^-$ *E. coli* have a 10^4-fold lower recombination rate than the wild-type indicates that *RecA protein has an important function in recombination.* Indeed, RecA greatly increases the rate at which complementary strands renature *in vitro*. This versatile protein (recall it also stimulates the autoproteolysis of LexA to trigger the SOS response; Section 25-5E) polymerizes cooperatively without regard to base sequence on ssDNA or on dsDNA that has a single-stranded gap. The resulting filaments, which may contain up to several thousand RecA monomers, specifically bind the homologous dsDNA, and, in an ATP-dependent reaction, catalyze strand exchange.

EM studies by Edward Egelman revealed that RecA filaments bound to ssDNA or dsDNA form a right-handed helix with ~6.2 RecA monomers per turn and a pitch (rise per turn) of 95 Å. The DNA in these filaments binds to the protein with 3 nt (or bp) per RecA monomer and hence is underwound with ~18.5 nt (or bp) per turn (versus 10 bp per turn for canonical B-DNA).

The formation of RecA–DNA filaments is highly cooperative; it requires five or six RecA protomers to form a stable assembly. Consequently attempts to crystallize RecA–DNA filaments over many years were unsuccessful. Nikola Pavletich ingeniously solved this conundrum by linking five *E. coli* RecA genes (each corresponding to residues 1–335 of this 353-residue protein) in tandem via 14-residue linkers and mutating the first and last RecA to prevent them from forming longer filaments. This fusion protein, which has DNA-dependent ATPase and strand-exchange activities comparable to that of monomeric RecA, formed crystals containing both ssDNA and dsDNA.

The X-ray structure of the $RecA_5$–$(ADP–AlF_4^-)_5$–$(dT)_{15}$–$(dA)_{12}$ complex (**Fig. 25-42**; $ADP–AlF_4^-$ is a nonhydrolyzable ATP analog) exhibits a straight filament axis with overall helical parameters that are closely similar to those derived from EM studies. Each RecA unit consists of a largely helical 30-residue N-terminal segment, a 240-residue α/β ATPase core, and a 64-residue C-terminal globular domain. The linkers connecting adjacent RecA units are disordered. Each RecA unit makes extensive contacts with its nearest neighbors so as to form a filament with a deep helical groove that exposes the DNA bound in its interior (Fig. 25-42*a* is viewed looking into this groove).

The two DNA strands, which lie close to the filament axis, form a complete set of Watson–Crick base pairs (Fig. 25-42*b*). However, rather than being smoothly stretched out, as had been expected, the dsDNA assumes an irregular conformation in which each 3-bp segment that is bound to a RecA unit closely resembles B-DNA with the steps between successive base pairs in this triplet having an axial rise of ~3.4 Å and a helical twist of ~30° (versus 3.4 Å and 36° for canonical B-DNA; Table 24-1). In contrast, the step between successive base pair triplets has an axial rise of 8.4 Å and a helical twist of –4°, thereby forming

FIG. 25-42 X-Ray structure of the $RecA_5$–$(ADP–AlF_4^-)_5$–$(dT)_{15}$–$(dA)_{12}$ complex viewed with its filament axis vertical. (*a*) The RecA units $RecA^1$ (the N-terminal unit) through $RecA^4$ are colored green, cyan, magenta, and gray, respectively, with the C-terminal unit, $RecA^5$, colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The DNA and $ADP–AlF_4^-$ are drawn in space-filling form with DNA C gray, ADP C green, N blue, O red, P orange, F light blue, and Al purple. (*b*) The DNA in the complex, viewed and colored as in Part *a*, but with C atoms of the $(dT)_{15}$ green. [Based on an X-ray structure by Nikola Pavletich, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York. PDBid 3CMX.]

? How does RecA differ from helicase in unwinding DNA?

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 25-43 A model for RecA-mediated pairing. (**1**) A ssDNA binds to RecA to form an initiation complex. (**2**) dsDNA binds to the initiation complex so as to transiently form a three-stranded helix. (**3**) RecA rotates the bases of the aligned homologous strands to effect strand exchange in an ATP-driven process. [After West, S.C., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **61,** 618 (1992).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

a 5-Å-high gap between successive triplets that is partially filled by the side chain of the conserved Ile 199. The sugar–phosphate backbone of the $(dT)_{15}$ (the DNA strand furthest from the viewer in Fig. 25-42) makes extensive contacts with RecA. In contrast, the other strand [the $(dA)_{12}$] makes few contacts with the protein; it is held in place almost entirely by base pairing with the first strand. The $ADP–AlF_4^-$ is sandwiched between adjacent α/β ATPase cores, where it is completely buried.

The X-ray structure of the ssDNA-containing $RecA_6–(ADP–AlF_4^-)_6–(dT)_{18}$ complex closely resembles that of the foregoing dsDNA-containing complex but with the absence of the DNA strand closest to the viewer in Fig. 25-42. Thus, each RecA unit binds a $(dT)_3$ segment that is held in a B-DNA-like conformation with successive $(dT)_3$ segments separated by a 7.8-Å axial rise.

How does RecA mediate DNA strand exchange between ssDNA and dsDNA? On encountering a duplex DNA with a strand that is complementary to its bound ssDNA, RecA partially unwinds the duplex and exchanges the ssDNA with the corresponding strand on the dsDNA, a process that involves a three-stranded DNA intermediate (**Fig. 25-43**). ATP binding to RecA is required for strand exchange, but ATP hydrolysis does not occur until the dissociation step. The strand-exchange process tolerates only a limited degree of mispairing and therefore occurs only between homologous DNA segments. As the RecA filament rotates about its axis, the duplex DNA is spooled in (**Fig. 25-44**). Presumably, by keeping most of its bound ssDNA in the B conformation, RecA prevents the formation of non-Watson–Crick base pairings. Two such strand-exchange

FIG. 25-44 Model for RecA-mediated strand exchange. Homologous DNA molecules are paired in advance of strand exchange in a three-stranded helix. The ATP-driven rotation of the RecA filament (*purple*) around its helix axis causes duplex DNA to be "spooled in" to the filament, left to right as drawn. [After West, S.C., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **61,** 617 (1992).]

processes must occur simultaneously in a Holliday junction. In eukaryotes, the protein **Rad51,** which is homologous to *E. coli* RecA, apparently functions in a similar ATP-dependent manner to mediate DNA recombination.

RecBCD Initiates Recombination by Making a Single Strand Available. In *E. coli,* the single-strand segments to which RecA binds are made by the **RecBCD** protein, the 330-kD heterotrimeric product of the SOS genes *recB, recC,* and *recD,* which has both helicase and nuclease activities (Fig. 25-45). The process begins with RecBCD binding to the end of a dsDNA and then unwinding it via its ATP-driven helicase function. As it does so, it nucleolytically degrades the unwound single strands behind it, with the 3′-ending strand being cleaved more often and hence broken down to smaller fragments than the 5′-ending strand. However, on encountering the sequence GCTGGTGG from its 3′ end (the so-called **Chi sequence,** which occurs about every 5 kb in the *E. coli* genome), it stops cleaving the 3′-ending strand and increases the rate at which it cleaves the 5′-ending strand, thereby yielding the 3′-ending single-strand segment to which RecA binds. This explains the observation that regions containing Chi sequences have elevated rates of recombination. RecB also functions to recruit RecA and nucleate its binding to the 3′-ending strand.

Dale Wigley determined the X-ray structure of *E. coli* RecBCD in complex with a 51-nt DNA that could form a hairpin loop containing an up to 21-bp dsDNA stem (Fig. 25-46). The structure shows that RecB (1180 residues) and RecC (1122 residues) are intimately intertwined, with RecB's C-terminal nuclease domain connected to the rest of the subunit by an extended 21-residue polypeptide tether. A 15-bp segment of dsDNA enters the protein through a tunnel between RecB and RecC. There it encounters a loop from RecC that appears to wedge the two strands apart, with the 6-nt 3′-ending single strand of the DNA binding to RecB and the 10-nt 5′-ending single strand binding to RecD (608 residues; the 5-nt loop connecting the two strands of the dsDNA at the top of Fig. 25-46 is disordered).

The structure explains the different rates of cleavage of the two DNA strands. The 3′-ending strand emerges from a tunnel through RecC in the vicinity of the RecB nuclease domain, which is positioned to processively cleave it. The 5′-ending strand competes with the 3′-ending strand for the nuclease site, but since the 5′-ending strand is less favorably located, it is cleaved less frequently. However, after RecC has bound a Chi sequence, the 3′-ending strand is no longer available for cleavage, which permits the nuclease to cleave the 5′-ending strand more frequently.

FIG. 25-45 The generation of a 3′-ending single-strand DNA segment by RecBCD to initiate recombination. (**1**) RecBCD binds to a free end of a dsDNA and, in an ATP-driven process, advances along the helix, unwinding the DNA and degrading the resulting single strands behind it, with the 3′-ending strand cleaved more often than the 5′-ending strand. (**2**) When RecBCD encounters a properly oriented Chi sequence, it binds it and thus stops cleaving the 3′-ending strand but increases the frequency at which it cleaves the 5′-ending strand. This generates the potentially invasive 3′-ending strand segment to which RecA binds.

FIG. 25-46 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* RecBCD in complex with a 51-nt DNA capable of forming a 21-bp hairpin loop. The protein is drawn in semitransparent ribbon form with RecB yellow, RecC cyan, and RecD magenta. Note how the RecB nuclease domain is linked to the rest of the subunit by an extended polypeptide tether. The DNA is shown in space-filling form with C gray, N blue, O red, and P orange. A loop from RecC, which is drawn in space-filling form in green, is situated so as to wedge apart the two strands of the incoming dsDNA with the 3′-ending strand binding to the 3′ → 5′ helicase of RecB and the 5′-ending strand passing through RecC to bind to the 5′ → 3′ helicase of RecD. [Based on an X-ray structure by Dale Wigley, The London Research Institute, Herts, U.K. PDBid 3K70.]

RecBCD can commence unwinding DNA only at a free duplex end. Such ends are not normally present in *E. coli,* which has a circular genome, but become available during recombinational processes.

RuvABC Mediates Branch Migration and the Resolution of the Holliday Junction. Branch migration requires the proteins **RuvA** and **RuvB.** The X-ray structure of *Mycobacterium leprae* (the cause of leprosy) RuvA in complex with a Holliday junction, determined by Kosuke Morikawa, indicates that RuvA forms a homotetramer with the appearance of a four-petaled flower (C_4 symmetry) and is relatively flat (80 × 80 × 45 Å) with one face concave and the other convex (Fig. 25-47). The concave face (facing the viewer in Fig. 25-47), which is highly positively charged and is studded with numerous conserved residues, has four symmetry-related grooves that bind the Holliday junction's four arms. Four negatively charged projections or "pins" are centrally located. The repulsive forces between the pins and the Holliday junction's anionic phosphate groups probably facilitate the separation of the ssDNA segments and guide them from one double helix to another. However, this is not the entire story. The X-ray structure of a RuvA–Holliday junction complex crystallized under different conditions than that in Fig. 25-47 resembles the complex in Fig. 25-47 but with a second RuvA tetramer in face-to-face contact with the first. Here the Holliday junction is contained in two intersecting tunnels running through the resulting D_4-symmetric RuvA octamer.

In the presence of dsDNA, RuvB, an AAA+ family ATPase, oligomerizes to form a pseudohexameric ring with a 30-Å-diameter hole through which a dsDNA can be threaded, much like a hexameric helicase (Section 25-2B). A model for the RuvA · RuvB · Holliday junction complex, based on X-ray structures and electron micrographs, is shown in Fig. 25-48. In the complex, two RuvB hexamers encircle the DNA on opposite sides of a RuvA octamer. This

FIG. 25-47 X-Ray structure of a RuvA–Holliday junction complex. The protein tetramer, which is viewed along its fourfold axis, is represented by its molecular surface with its subunits alternately colored pink and light blue. The DNA is drawn in space-filling form colored according to atom type with C atoms in different chains in different colors, N blue, O red, and P orange. [Based on an X-ray structure by Kosuke Morikawa, Biomolecular Engineering Research Institute, Osaka, Japan. PDBid 1C7Y.]

Courtesy of Peter Artymiuk, University of Sheffield, U.K.

FIG. 25-48 Model of the RuvAB–Holliday junction complex. The model is based on X-ray structures and on electron micrographs such as that in the inset. The proteins are represented by their surface diagrams with the RuvA tetramer green and the two oppositely oriented RuvB hexamers white. The DNA of the Holliday junction is drawn in space-filling form with its homologous blue and magenta strands complementary to its red and gray strands. A second RuvA tetramer, which overlies the RuvA tetramer shown and thus sandwiches the DNA in two perpendicular tunnels, has been removed to show the path of the DNA. The complex is postulated to drive branch migration via the ATP-driven movement of loops projecting from the center of the RuvB hexamers along the DNA. This pumps the horizontal dsDNAs through the RuvB hexamers to the center of the Holliday junction, where the strands separate and then base-pair with their homologs to form new dsDNAs, which are pumped out vertically.

arrangement suggests a mechanism for branch migration in which RuvB's DNA-contacting loops unidirectionally "walk" along the horizontal dsDNA's grooves in an ATP-dependent process. This pulls the dsDNAs through the center of each RuvB hexamer toward the RuvA octamer. The DNA strands of the Holliday junction are thereby pushed through the center of RuvA, where they rejoin to form the vertical dsDNAs and exit the complex. (An alternative model, in which the two RuvB hexamers counterrotate so as to screw the dsDNA into the RuvA octamer, seems unlikely due to the large contact area between RuvA and RuvB.) The direction of branch migration depends on which pair of opposing arms of the Holliday junction the RuvB hexamers are loaded onto.

The final stage of homologous recombination is the resolution of the Holliday junction into its two homologous dsDNAs. This process is carried out by **RuvC,** a homodimeric nuclease. One of the RuvA tetramers must dissociate from the octameric RuvA complex to expose the Holliday junction DNA. RuvC presumably sits down on the open face of the resulting RuvA–Holliday junction complex (the side visible in Fig. 25-47) to cleave oppositely located strands at the Holliday junction (Figs. 25-40*i*, *j*). The resulting single-strand nicks are then sealed by DNA ligase (Figs. 25-40*k*, *l*).

B DNA Can Be Repaired by Recombination

In haploid organisms such as bacteria, homologous recombination between chromosomal DNA and exogenously supplied DNA as occurs, for example, in transformation (Section 3-3A), is such a rare event that the vast majority of such cells never participate in this process. Similarly, in multicellular organisms, the only time that gene shuffling through homologous recombination occurs is during meiosis (Fig. 25-39), which takes place only in germline cells. Why then do nearly all cells have elaborate systems for mediating homologous recombination? This is because damaged replication forks occur at least once per bacterial cell generation and perhaps ten times per eukaryotic cell cycle. The underlying DNA lesions can be corrected via homologous recombination in a process named **recombination repair** [error-prone repair (Section 25-5E), which is highly mutagenic, is a process of last resort]. Indeed, the rates of synthesis of RuvA and RuvB are greatly enhanced by the SOS response. Thus, as Michael Cox pointed out, *the primary function of homologous recombination is to repair damaged replication forks.*

Recombination repair in *E. coli* occurs as follows (Fig. 25-49):

1. When a replication fork encounters an unrepaired single-strand nick or gap, the fork collapses (the replication machinery dissociates).
2. The repair process begins via the RecBCD + RecA–mediated invasion of the newly synthesized and undamaged 3′-ending strand into the homologous dsDNA starting at its broken end.
3. Branch migration, as mediated by RuvAB, then yields a Holliday junction, which exchanges the replication fork's 3′-ending strands.
4. RuvC then resolves the Holliday junction yielding a reconstituted replication fork ready for replication restart.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 25-49 The recombination repair of a replication fork that has encountered a single-strand nick. Thick lines indicate parental DNA, thin lines indicate newly synthesized DNA, and the arrows point in the 5′ → 3′ direction. [After Cox, M.M., *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **35,** 53 (2001).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Thus, the 5′-ending strand of the nick has, in effect, become the 5′ end of an Okazaki fragment.

The final step in the recombination repair process is the restart of DNA replication. This process is, of necessity, distinct from the replication initiation that occurs at *oriC* (Section 25-2B). **Origin-independent replication restart** is mediated by a specialized seven-subunit primosome, which has therefore been named the **restart primosome.**

Recombination Repair Reconstitutes Double-Strand Breaks. We have seen that double-strand breaks (DSBs) in DNA can be rejoined, often mutagenically, by nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ; Section 25-5E). DSBs may also be nonmutagenically repaired through a recombination repair process known as **homology-directed repair (HDR**; also called **homologous end-joining**), which occurs via two Holliday junctions (Fig. 25-50):

1. The DSB's double-stranded ends are resected to produce single-stranded ends. One of the 3′-ending strands invades the corresponding sequence of a homologous chromosome to form a Holliday junction, a process mediated by Rad51 (the eukaryotic RecA homolog). The other 3′-ending strand pairs with the displaced strand segment on the homologous chromosome to form a second Holliday junction.
2. DNA polymerase fills in the gaps, and ligase seals the joints.
3. Both Holliday junctions are resolved to yield two intact double-stranded DNAs.

Thus, the sequences that may have been lost in the formation of the DSB are copied from the homologous chromosome. Of course, a limitation of homologous

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 25-50 The repair of a double-strand break in DNA by homology-directed repair. Thick lines indicate parental DNA, thin lines indicate newly synthesized DNA, and the arrows point in the 5′ → 3′ direction. [After Haber, J.E., *Trends Genet.* **16,** 259 (2000).]
WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

end-joining, particularly in haploid cells, is that a homologous chromosomal segment may not be available.

The importance of recombination repair in humans is demonstrated by the observation that defects in the proteins **BRCA1** (1863 residues) and **BRCA2** (3418 residues), both of which interact with Rad51, are associated with a greatly increased incidence of breast, ovarian, prostate, and pancreatic cancers. Indeed, individuals with mutant *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* genes have up to an 80% lifetime risk of developing cancer.

C CRISPR–Cas9, a System for Editing and Regulating Genomes

Prokaryotes are under almost constant attack by bacteriophages. How do they defend themselves against such existential threats? One way, as we have seen, is through restriction–modification systems (Section 3-4A). A more recently discovered defense system, possessed by many eubacteria and nearly all archaea, is characterized by **CRISPRs** (for *c*lustered *r*egularly *i*nterspersed *s*hort *p*alindromic *r*epeats), which are arrays of DNA consisting of a several hundred repeating, often partially palindromic sequences, typically 20 to 50 bp long, interspersed by unique sequences of similar lengths known as **protospacers** (Fig. 25-51*a*). The protospacers contain sequences that are identical to segments of bacteriophage DNA. In fact, the protospacers form a sort of historical record of the

FIG. 25-51 The CRISPR–Cas9 system. The black triangles indicate cleavage sites on the target DNA. See the text for details.

foreign DNAs that the prokaryote's ancestors encountered (and survived): A short segment of the latest viral sequence that the prokaryote encountered is integrated into the prokaryotic DNA at the 5′ end of the CRISPR **locus** (site) as a protospacer.

The CRISPR locus is transcribed to form a single RNA that is then processed to yield ~30-nt transcripts of the protospacers known as **crRNAs** (Fig. 25-51*b*). The crRNAs are bound by certain **Cas** (*C*RISPR-*as*sociated) proteins, which they guide to hydrolytically cleave complementary segments of invading double-stranded DNAs, thereby inactivating them (Fig. 24-51*c*). The **CRISPR–Cas** system, like the mammalian immune system (Section 7-3), "learns" from its encounters with foreign DNAs. The efficacy of the CRISPR–Cas system is indicated by the fact that bacteriophages continually mutate to evade being targeted by existing crRNAs.

The Cas proteins function to acquire new protospacers, to excise the crRNAs from the transcript of a CRISPR array, and to catalyze the destruction of invading DNAs. There are three major types of CRISPR–Cas systems among the various prokaryotes. Here we only discuss how the type II system cleaves its target DNA.

The type II system requires only a single multifunctional protein, **Cas9,** for the crRNA-guided recognition and cleavage of its target DNA. Cas9 has two DNA nuclease activities, an HNH-like domain that cleaves the target DNA on the strand that is complementary to the crRNA, the so-called target strand, and a RuvC-like domain (Section 25-6A) that cleaves the DNA on the opposite strand, the non-target strand. Together, the two nuclease activities generate a blunt double-strand break (DSB) in the target DNA (Fig. 25-51*c*).

The CRISPR–Cas9 system requires an additional component, **tracrRNA** (for *tra*nsactivating *CR*ISPR *RNA*) that is also encoded by the prokaryote's DNA (Fig. 25-51*b*). A tracrRNA binds to Cas9 and forms a short base-paired interaction with a crRNA, which serves to identify the crRNA to Cas9 (tracrRNA also identifies the crRNA segments in the transcript of a CRISPR array). The crRNA–tracrRNA complex then directs Cas9 to cleave the target DNA if its target strand has a short **PAM** (for *p*rotospacer-*a*djacent *m*otif) sequence on the 3′ side of its cleavage site (Fig. 25-51*c*). The requirement for the PAM presumably prevents Cas9 from destroying the protospacer from which its bound crRNA was transcribed. The PAM required by Cas9 from *Streptococcus pyogenes* (spCas9) has the sequence 5′-NGG-3′ (where N is any nucleotide), which occurs in DNA every 8 bp on average (the PAM varies in length and sequence with the prokaryote that produces the corresponding Cas9). The CRISPR–Cas9 system cleaves DNA 3 to 4 nucleotides from the 5′ end of the PAM.

The crRNA, tracrRNA, and Target DNA Form a Four-Way Junction When Bound to spCas9. The X-ray structure of the 1372-residue spCas9 in complex with an 83-nt **sgRNA** [for *s*ingle-*g*uide *RNA*, which is a crRNA whose 3′ end is covalently linked to the 5′ end of tracrRNA; also called **gRNA** (for *g*uide RNA)] and a partially duplexed target DNA, was determined by Martin Jinek (Fig. 25-52). The DNA consists of a 28-bp target strand, whose 20-nt 3′ end is base paired with the sgRNA, and a 12-bp non-target strand that contains the 5′-NGG-3′ PAM. The spCas9's HNH nuclease domain, which would otherwise cleave the target strand, had been mutationally inactivated, whereas the non-target strand is captured as its RuvC nuclease-cleaved product. The spCas9 recognizes the PAM's GG dinucleotide through major groove interactions with two Arg side chains. Comparison of this X-ray structure with that of spCas9 alone reveals that in the absence of bound RNA, spCas9 undergoes an extensive conformational change to a catalytically inactive form, which prevents it from indiscriminately cleaving DNA. It has been postulated that the energetics of this conformational change (which is not ATP-dependent) drives the separation of the target and non-target DNA strands that permits the crRNA to base pair with the target strand.

FIG. 25-52 X-Ray structure of spCas9 in complex with an sgRNA and its partially duplex target DNA. (*a*) Schematic diagram of the base pairing within and between the sgRNA and the target DNA. The sgRNA is orange, the target DNA strand is blue, and the non-target DNA strand is black except for its PAM trinucleotide, which is yellow. Empty ovals represent nucleotides not observed in the X-ray structure. (*b*) Structure of the sgRNA–target DNA four-way junction. The nucleic acids are drawn in paddle form colored as in Part *a*. (*c*) The spCas9–sgRNA–DNA complex viewed as in Part *b*. The protein is drawn in ribbon form colored according to the accompanying key. The nucleic acids are shown in cartoon form colored as in Part *a*. [Courtesy of Martin Jinek, University of Zurich, Switzerland. PDBid 4UN3.]

The sgRNA–Cas9 System Has Been Adapted for Use in Editing Genomes. Although the editing of bacterial genomes has become routine (Section 3-5), the editing of eukaryotic genomes had remained difficult and time-consuming. However, in 2012, Jennifer Doudna and Emmanuelle Charpentier demonstrated that by tailoring sgRNAs to target specific gene sequences they caused Cas9 to edit these genes *in vivo*. How is this done?

The sgRNA–Cas9 system can be inserted into a eukaryote in several ways: (1) by transforming a cultured eukaryotic cell with (inducing it to take up) a plasmid encoding an sgRNA and Cas9; (2) by microinjecting the sgRNA and Cas9 into a fertilized ovum to generate a transgenic animal (Section 3-5D); or

(3) using viral vectors to introduce the sgRNA and Cas9 into an animal or a plant.

Upon entering a eukaryotic cell, the sgRNA–Cas9 system cleaves a gene at its targeted site. Cells, as we have seen, repair DSBs in either of two ways:

1. By nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ, Section 25-5E), which generates variable length insertions and deletions and is therefore likely to inactivate the targeted gene, most often by changing its reading frame (Sections 27-1A) and/or introducing a premature Stop codon (Section 27-1C). To maximize the probability of this occurring, the selected position of the DSB should be near the N-terminus of the coding region of the gene being disrupted.
2. By homology-directed repair (HDR; Section 25-6B). If the cell is also exogenously supplied with a DNA segment containing a mutant version of the gene segment being edited, the mutation, which can be an insertion, deletion, or modification, will be copied into the cell's chromosome. Note that this repair template should not contain the sgRNA's target sequence followed by a PAM or it will be cleaved by Cas9.

Many other ways of modifying genes using the sgRNA–Cas9 system have been developed. For example, two sgRNAs specific for different target sites on a gene can be used in concert with Cas9 to delete/replace the DNA segment between these sites (Fig. 25-53*a*). If both the HNH and RuvC activities of Cas9 are mutationally inactivated and the resulting dCas9 (d for *d*ead) is tethered to a protein module that activates or represses gene transcription (Section 28-3B), then using an appropriate sgRNA to direct the dCas9 to bind at or near a gene will enhance or inhibit the transcription of that gene (Fig. 25-53*b*). In fact, the

FIG. 25-53 Examples of gene modification techniques using the CRISPR–Cas9 system. (*a*) Using two sgRNAs specific for relatively close chromosomal sites will excise the DNA segment between the two cleavage sites. (*b*) Covalently linking dCas9 to an activation (or repressor) module and using an sgRNA targeted to a site at or near a gene will enhance (or inhibit) the transcription of the gene.

binding of sgRNA–dCas9 alone to a gene can interfere with its transcription. Fusing dCas9 to a fluorescent marker such as green fluorescent protein (GFP; Box 4-3) yields a customizable DNA label that can be located in the chromosomes of live cells by fluorescence microscopy.

The introduction of CRISPR–Cas9-based technology in 2012 revolutionized the field of genomic engineering within a period of less than two years. Genetic alterations that previously took many months to accomplish, if at all, can now be made in days or weeks with high efficiency. This technology has been used to genetically manipulate a wide variety of eukaryotes ranging from yeast to fruit flies to mice to monkeys and several species of plants. Efforts are underway to adapt the CRISPR–Cas9 system to the gene therapy (Section 3-5D) of diseases caused by point mutations, such as sickle cell anemia (Section 7-1E) and cystic fibrosis (Box 3-1). Methods for safely delivering the sgRNA–Cas9 system into adult animals are under intense investigation. However, our ignorance of the risks of germline modification in humans has motivated a group of molecular biologists who have been central in the development of the CRISPR–Cas9 technology to propose a moratorium on any effort to modify the human germline until the safety, ethical, and legal aspects of doing so have been thoroughly assessed.

D Transposition Rearranges Segments of DNA

In the early 1950s, Barbara McClintock reported that the variegated pigmentation pattern of maize (Indian corn) kernels results from the action of genetic elements that can move within the maize genome. This proposal was resoundingly ignored because it was contrary to the then-held orthodoxy that chromosomes consist of genes linked in fixed order. Another 20 years were to pass before evidence of mobile genetic elements was found in another organism, *E. coli.*

Transposons Move Genes between Unrelated Sites. It is now known that **transposable elements**, or **transposons**, are common in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, where they influence the variation of phenotypic expression over the short term and evolutionary development over the long term. Each transposon codes for the enzymes that insert it into the recipient DNA. This process differs from homologous recombination in that it requires no homology between donor and recipient DNA and occurs at a rate of only one event in every 10^4 to 10^7 cell divisions.

Prokaryotic transposons with three levels of complexity have been characterized:

1. The simplest transposons are named **insertion sequences** or **IS elements**. They are normal constituents of bacterial chromosomes and **plasmids** (autonomously replicating circular DNA molecules that usually consist of several thousand base pairs; Section 3-5A). For example, a common *E. coli* strain has eight copies of **IS1** and five copies of **IS2.** IS elements generally consist of <2000 bp containing a so-called **transposase** gene and, in some cases, a regulatory gene, flanked by short inverted (having opposite orientation) terminal repeats. On each side of an inserted IS element is a directly (having the same orientation) repeated segment of host DNA (Fig. 25-54). This suggests that *an IS element is inserted in the host*

FIG. 25-54 Structure of IS elements. These and other transposons have inverted terminal repeats (*numerals*) and are flanked by direct repeats of host DNA sequences (*letters*).

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 25-55 A model for transposon insertion. A staggered cut that is later filled in generates direct repeats of the target sequence. IR stands for *i*nverted *r*epeat. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

DNA at a staggered cut that is later filled in (Fig. 25-55). The length of the target sequence (most commonly 5–9 bp), but not its sequence, is characteristic of the IS element.

2. *More complex transposons carry genes not involved in the transposition process,* such as antibiotic-resistance genes. For example, **Tn3** consists of 4957 bp and has inverted terminal repeats of 38 bp each.

The central region of Tn3 codes for three proteins: (1) a 1015-residue transposase named **TnpA;** (2) a 185-residue protein known as **TnpR,** which represses the expression of both ***tnpA*** and ***tnpR*** and mediates the site-specific recombination reaction necessary for transposition (see below); and (3) a **β-lactamase** (encoded by ***amp***) that inactivates the antibiotic ampicillin (Box 8-3). The site-specific recombination occurs in an AT-rich region, the **internal resolution site,** between *tnpA* and *tnpR* (see below).

FIG. 25-56 A composite transposon. This element consists of two identical or nearly identical IS-like modules (*green*) flanking a central region carrying various genes. The IS-like modules may have either (*a*) direct or (*b*) inverted relative orientations.

3. The so-called **composite transposons** (Fig. 25-56) consist of a gene-containing central region flanked by two identical or nearly identical IS-like modules that have either the same or an inverted relative orientation. Composite transposons apparently arose by the association of two originally independent IS elements. Experiments demonstrate that *composite transposons can transpose any sequence of DNA in their central region.*

The Mechanisms of Certain Transposons Require Replication. The transposons known as **replicative transposons** do not simply jump from point to point within a genome. Instead, *their mechanism of transposition involves the replication of the transposon.* A model for the movement of such a transposon between two plasmids consists of the following steps (Fig. 25-57):

1. A pair of staggered single-strand cuts (such as in Fig. 25-55) is made at the target sequence of the recipient plasmid. Similarly, single-strand cuts are made on opposite strands on either side of the transposon.
2. Each of the transposon's free ends is ligated to a protruding single strand at the insertion site. This forms a replication fork at each end of the transposon.
3. The transposon is replicated, thereby yielding a **cointegrate** (the fusion of the two plasmids). Such cointegrates have been isolated.
4. Through a site-specific crossover between the internal resolution sites of the two transposons, the cointegrate is resolved into two separate plasmids, each of which contains the transposon. This recombination process is catalyzed by a transposon-coded **resolvase** (TnpR in Tn3) rather than by RecA.

Transposition Is Responsible for Much Genetic Rearrangement. In addition to mediating their own insertion into DNA, *transposons promote inversions, deletions, and rearrangements of the host DNA.* Inversions can occur when the host DNA contains two copies of a transposon in inverted orientation. The recombination of the transposons inverts the region between them (Fig. 25-58*a*). If, instead, the two transposons have the same orientation, recombination deletes the segment between them (Fig. 25-58*b*). The deletion of a chromosomal segment in this manner, followed by its integration into the chromosome at a different site by a separate recombination event, results in chromosomal rearrangement.

Transposons can be considered nature's genetic engineering "tools." For example, the rapid evolution, since antibiotics came into common use, of plasmids that confer resistance to several antibiotics (Section 3-5A) has resulted from the accumulation of the corresponding antibiotic-resistance transposons in these plasmids. Transposon-mediated rearrangements may also have been responsible for forming new proteins by linking two formerly independent gene segments.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 25-57 A model for transposition involving the intermediacy of a cointegrate. More lightly shaded bars represent newly synthesized DNA. [After Shapiro, J.A., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **76,** 1934 (1979).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

FIG. 25-58 Chromosomal rearrangement via recombination. (*a*) The inversion of a DNA segment between two identical transposons with inverted orientations. (*b*) The deletion of a DNA segment between two identical transposons with the same orientation.

Moreover, transposons can apparently mediate the transfer of genetic information between unrelated species.

Most Eukaryotic Transposons Resemble Retroviruses. Transposons occur in such distantly related eukaryotes as yeast, maize, fruit flies, and humans. In fact, ~3% of the human genome consists of DNA-based transposons although, in most cases, their sequences have mutated so as to render them inactive; that is, these transposons are evolutionary fossils.

Most eukaryotic transposons exhibit little similarity to those of prokaryotes. Mostly, their base sequences resemble those of **retroviruses** (Box 25-2), which suggests that these transposons are degenerate retroviruses. The transposition of these so-called **retrotransposons** occurs via a pathway that resembles the replication of retroviral DNA: (1) their transcription to RNA, (2) the reverse transcriptase–mediated copying of the RNA to cDNA, and (3) the largely random insertion of the DNA into the host organism's genome as mediated by enzymes known as **integrases** (which resemble DNA transposases in structure and mechanism). Other retrotransposons replicate via a different mechanism. *Collectively, retrotransposons account for nearly two-thirds of the human genome.*

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the processes of strand invasion, branch migration, and resolution during homologous recombination.
- How does the DNA conformation change during recombination?
- What is the role of recombination in repairing damaged DNA?
- Can recombination occur in haploid as well as diploid cells?
- How is the CRISPR–Cas9 system used to generate specific mutations?
- Explain why all transposons include inverted repeats.
- How do transposons mediate genetic rearrangements?
- What fraction of the human genome consists of transposons and retrotransposons?

Summary

1 Overview of DNA Replication

- DNA is replicated semiconservatively through the action of DNA polymerases that use the separated parental strands as templates for the synthesis of complementary daughter strands.
- Replication is semidiscontinuous: The leading strand is synthesized continuously while the lagging strand is synthesized as RNA-primed Okazaki fragments that are later joined.

2 Prokaryotic DNA Replication

- *E. coli* DNA polymerase I (Pol I), which has 3′ → 5′ and 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activities in addition to its 5′ → 3′ polymerase activity, excises RNA primers and replaces them with DNA. Pol III is the primary polymerase in *E. coli.*
- To initiate replication, parental strands are first melted apart at a specific site named *oriC* and further unwound by a helicase. Single-strand binding protein (SSB) prevents the resulting single strands from reannealing. A primase-containing primosome synthesizes an RNA primer.
- Because DNA polymerases operate only in the 5′ → 3′ direction, the lagging strand template must loop back to the replisome, which contains two polymerases. A sliding clamp increases Pol III's processivity. DNA ligase seals the nicks between Okazaki fragments. Replication proceeds until the two replication forks meet between oppositely facing *Ter* sequences.

• The high fidelity of DNA replication is achieved by the regulation of dNTP levels, by the low error rate of the polymerase reaction, by the requirement for RNA primers, by 3′ → 5′ proofreading, and by DNA repair mechanisms.

3 Eukaryotic DNA Replication

• Eukaryotes contain a number of DNA polymerases. Pol α extends primers, the highly processive pol δ is the lagging strand polymerase, and pol ε appears to be the leading strand polymerase. Eukaryotic replication has multiple origins and proceeds through nucleosomes.

• In order to replicate the 5′ end of the lagging strand, eukaryotic chromosomes end with repeated telomeric sequences appended by the ribonucleoprotein telomerase. The 3′ extension at the end of each chromosome serves as a template for primer synthesis. Somatic cells lack telomerase activity, which may prevent them from transforming to cancer cells.

4 DNA Damage

• Mutations in nucleotide sequences arise spontaneously from replication errors and from alterations triggered by agents such as UV light, radiation, and chemical mutagens. Many compounds that are mutagenic in the Ames test are also carcinogenic.

5 DNA Repair

• Some forms of DNA damage (e.g., alkylated bases and pyrimidine dimers) may be reversed in a single step. In base excision repair, glycosylases remove damaged bases. In nucleotide excision repair, an oligonucleotide containing the lesion is removed and replaced. Repair pathways that use error-prone polymerases, nonhomologous end-joining, and the *E. coli* SOS response are mutagenic.

6 Recombination

• Homologous recombination, in which strands of homologous DNA segments are exchanged, involves a crossover structure (Holliday junction). Recombination requires proteins to unwind DNA, mediate strand exchange, drive branch migration, and resolve the Holliday junction. Damaged DNA can be repaired through homology-directed repair.

• The CRISPR–Cas system enables many prokaryotes to defend themselves from previously encountered bacteriophages. CRISPR–Cas9 technology has permitted the facile editing and regulation of genomes.

• Transposons are genetic elements that move within a genome, often by mechanisms involving their replication. Transposons mediate rearrangement of the host DNA. Most eukaryotic transposons are retrotransposons.

Key Terms

semiconservative replication **879**
θ structure **881**
replication fork **881**
pulse labeling **881**
Okazaki fragment **881**
semidiscontinuous replication **881**
leading strand **881**
lagging strand **881**
primer **882**
DNA polymerase I (Pol I) **883**
processivity **883**
proofreading **884**
nick translation **884**
Klenow fragment **884**
DNA polymerase III (Pol III) **888**
DnaA **889**
helicase **889**
DnaB **889**
hand-over-hand mechanism **890**
single-strand binding protein (SSB) **891**
primosome **891**
primase **882**
replisome **891**
trombone model **892**
sliding (β) clamp **893**
DNA ligase **895**
Ter–Tus system **895**
PCNA **898**
reverse transcriptase **900**
replicon **902**
telomere **902**
telomerase **902**
ribonucleoprotein **902**
G-quartet **902**
mutation **905**
pyrimidine dimer **905**
mutagen **905**
point mutation **905**
transition **905**
transversion **905**
insertion/deletion mutation **906**
carcinogen **907**
Ames test **907**
photoreactivation **909**
base excision repair (BER) **910**
DNA glycosylase **910**
AP site **910**
nucleotide excision repair (NER) **912**
mismatch repair (MMR) **913**
nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) **914**
SOS response **915**
homologous recombination **916**
heterologous DNA **917**
Holliday junction **917**
branch migration **917**
recombination repair **914**
homology-directed repair (HDR) **922**
CRISPR–Cas9 **925**
locus **926**
transposon **916**
IS element **929**
composite transposon **931**
cointegrate **931**
retrovirus **900**
retrotransposon **933**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Approximately how many Okazaki fragments are synthesized in the replication of the *E. coli* chromosome?

2. Approximately how many Okazaki fragments are synthesized in the replication of the human genome?

3. You have discovered a drug that inhibits the activity of inorganic pyrophosphatase. What effect would this drug have on DNA synthesis?

4. Why are there no Pol I mutants that completely lack 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity?

5. The three DNA base pairs that fit within the active site of DNA polymerase have a conformation similar to that of A-DNA rather than the usual B-DNA. Why is it important for DNA polymerase to accommodate an A-type helix?

6. A reaction mixture contains DNA polymerase, the four dNTPs, and one of the DNA molecules whose structure is represented below. Which reaction mixtures generate PP_i?

7. *E. coli oriC* is rich in A · T base pairs. Why is this advantageous?

8. Explain why DNA gyrase is required for efficient unwinding of DNA by helicase at the replication fork.

9. In some experimental systems, a newly synthesized RNA primer is transferred from primase to the clamp or clamp loader rather than directly to DNA polymerase. Explain why this would maximize the efficiency of DNA replication.

10. Why is the observed mutation rate of *E. coli* 10^{-8} to 10^{-10} per base pair replicated, even though the error rates of Pol I and Pol III are 10^{-6} to 10^{-7} per base pair replicated?

11. Why can't a linear duplex DNA, such as that of bacteriophage T7, be fully replicated by just *E. coli*-encoded proteins?

12. How does a eukaryotic cell distinguish the end of a broken chromosome from the end of an intact linear chromosome?

13. Telomerase adds six deoxynucleotides to the end of a DNA strand using a built-in RNA template. Explain why the templating region of the RNA includes one and a half repeats of the telomeric sequence (~9 nucleotides).

14. Give the name of the enzyme that catalyzes each of the following reactions:

(a) Makes a DNA strand from a DNA template

(b) Makes a DNA strand from an RNA template

(c) Makes an RNA strand from a DNA template

15. The base analog **5-bromouracil (5BU),**

5-Bromouracil (5BU) residue

which sterically resembles thymine, more readily undergoes tautomerization from its keto form to its enol form than does thymine. 5BU can be incorporated into newly synthesized DNA when it pairs with adenine on the template strand. However, the enol form of 5BU pairs with guanine rather than adenine. (a) Draw the 5BU · G base pair. (b) What type of mutation results?

16. Hydroxylamine (NH_2OH) converts cytosine to the compound shown below.

With which base does this modified cytosine pair? Does this generate a transition or a transversion mutation?

17. Oxidative deamination of adenine produces hypoxanthine (the base of inosine), which can base pair with cytosine. (a) If no repair takes place, describe the makeup of the DNA in the two daughter cells following cell division. (b) Describe the makeup of the DNA in the four daughter cells following a second round of cell division.

18. A certain mutant DNA polymerase is error-prone, tending to incorporate C opposite a template A. When such a DNA polymerase replicates a segment of DNA containing an A · T base pair, what will be the DNA composition in the daughter cells after (a) one and (b) two rounds of cell division? Assume that DNA repair does not occur.

19. In eukaryotic cells, a specific triphosphatase cleaves deoxy-8-oxoguanosine triphosphate (oxo-dGTP) to oxo-dGMP + PP_i. What is the advantage of this reaction?

20. Under cellular conditions, dATP can be oxidized to its 2-hydroxy form, which is a substrate for an enzyme that converts 2-OH-dATP to 2-OH-dAMP + PP_i. Explain why compounds that inhibit the activity of this enzyme would be effective anticancer agents.

21. Yeast and some other organisms produce proteins that closely resemble O^6-alkylguanine–DNA alkyltransferase but lack a Cys at the active site. (a) Explain why these proteins cannot remove an alkyl group attached to guanine. (b) These proteins do protect cells against DNA alkylation damage. In which type of repair process are these proteins most likely to participate?

22. Explain why mutations are more likely to occur on the lagging strand than on the leading strand in eukaryotes.

23. Certain sites in the *E. coli* chromosome are known as **hot spots** because they have unusually high rates of point mutations. Many of these sites contain a 5-methylcytosine residue. Explain the existence of such hot spots.

24. Since mammalian DNA contains roughly 25% thymine residues, why do mammalian cells need a thymine-DNA glycosylase?

25. What type of repair system would cells most likely use to repair DNA with a deaminated adenine?

26. Explain why base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair, and mismatch repair—which all require nucleases to excise damaged DNA—require DNA ligase.

27. Ribonucleotides are erroneously incorporated into newly synthesized DNA as a result of incomplete primer removal or misincorporation by DNA polymerase. What types of enzymes would be required to remove and replace a single ribonucleotide in a DNA strand?

28. Explain why interstrand DNA cross-links are much more mutagenic than intrastrand cross-links.

29. Broken DNA can be repaired by DNA ligase (single-strand breaks) or nonhomologous end-joining (double-strand breaks). Explain why the cell's set of repair enzymes also includes tyrosyl–DNA phosphodiesterases.

30. A DNA template strand containing a thymine dimer can be replicated by DNA polymerase η. Does pol η fix the damaged DNA?

31. *E. coli* DNA polymerase V has the ability to bypass thymine dimers. However, Pol V tends to incorporate G rather than A opposite the damaged T bases. Would you expect Pol V to be more or less processive than Pol III? Explain.

32. Predict whether loss of the following *E. coli* genes would be lethal or not: (a) *dnaB* (which encodes DnaB), (b) *polA* (which encodes Pol I), (c) *ssb,* (d) *recA*.

33. During bacterial conjugation, two cells of the same or similar species make close contact and transfer genetic information. In the donor cell, an endonuclease nicks the DNA so that a single strand can enter the recipient cell. Explain why the stable incorporation of the transferred DNA requires RecBCD activity in the recipient cell.

34. Two approaches for correcting single-gene defects are gene therapy such as is discussed in Section 3-5D and the CRISPR–Cas9 system. Explain why the CRISPR–Cas9 approach can potentially provide more complete restoration of normal tissue function than gene therapy.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

35. Explain why a DNA polymerase that could synthesize DNA in the $3' \rightarrow 5'$ direction would have a selective disadvantage even if it had $5' \rightarrow 3'$ proofreading activity.

36. The $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease activity of Pol I excises only unpaired 3′-terminal nucleotides from DNA, whereas this enzyme's pyrophosphorolysis activity removes only properly paired 3′-terminal nucleotides. Discuss the mechanistic significance of this phenomenon in terms of the polymerase reaction.

37. To put the *E. coli* replication system on a human scale, let us imagine that the 20-Å-diameter B-DNA was expanded to 1 m in diameter. If everything were proportionally expanded, then each DNA polymerase III holoenzyme would be about the size of a medium-sized truck. In such an expanded system: (a) How fast would each replisome be moving? (b) How far would each replisome travel during a complete replication cycle? (c) What would be the length of an Okazaki fragment? (d) What would be the average distance a replisome would travel between each error it made? Provide your answers in km/hr and km.

38. A high rate of base-substitution mutations can result from a polymerase's tendency to incorporate a mispaired nucleotide or from its poor ability to incorporate the correct nucleotide. Use the following information about the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) of two error-prone polymerases to compare their ability to generate substitution mutations.

Polymerase	Template nucleotide	Incoming nucleotide	k_{cat}/K_M ($\mu M \cdot min^{-1} \times 10^3$)
polymerase η	T	A	420
	T	G	22
HIV reverse transcriptase	T	A	800
	T	G	0.07

39. Why is it necessary to use only the Klenow fragment, rather than intact *E. coli* Pol I, in DNA sequencing reactions (Sections 3-4C and D)?

40. In *E. coli,* all newly synthesized DNA appears to be fragmented (an observation that could be interpreted to mean that the leading strand as well as the lagging strand is synthesized discontinuously). However, in *E. coli* mutants that are defective in uracil–DNA glycosylase, only about half the newly synthesized DNA is fragmented. Explain.

41. According to the text, approximately 20,000 of 6.0 billion glycosidic bonds in the DNA of a diploid human cell spontaneously hydrolyze each day. What is the half-life in years of such a bond? (*Hint*: See Section 12-1A.)

42. The *E. coli* genome contains 1009 Chi sequences. Do these sequences occur at random, and, if not, how much more or less frequently than random do they occur?

43. *Deinococcus radiodurans,* which the *Guinness Book of World Records* has dubbed the world's toughest bacterium, can tolerate doses of ionizing radiation ~3000-fold greater than those that are lethal to humans (it was first discovered growing in a can of ground meat that had been "sterilized" by radiation). It appears to have several strategies to repair radiation damage to its DNA (which large doses of ionizing radiation fragment to many pieces) including a particularly large number of genes encoding proteins involved in DNA repair and 4 to 10 copies per cell of its genome, which consists of two circular chromosomes and two circular plasmids. Yet, these strategies, alone, do not account for *D. radiodurans'* enormously high radiation resistance. However, in an additional strategy, it organizes its multiple identical dsDNA circles into stacks in which, it is thought, the identical genes in the neighboring circles are aligned side by side. How would this latter strategy help *D. radiodurans* efficiently repair its fragmented DNA?

44. A composite transposon integrated in a circular plasmid occasionally transposes the DNA comprising the original plasmid rather than the transposon's central region. Explain how this is possible.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 DNA Replication and the KEGG Database

Exercise 2 Viewing and Analyzing Uracil–DNA Glycosylase in DNA Repair

MORE TO EXPLORE Following DNA replication in eukaryotes, the two DNA molecules (sister chromatids) remain associated through the binding of a protein complex called cohesin. Describe cohesin's structure and explain how it binds to DNA and how it eventually releases the DNA. What role does cohesin play in recombination?

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 32 Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Activity and Cell Growth

Focus concept: The activity of the pentose phosphate pathway enzyme glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase has been found to be important in the regulation of cell growth.

Prerequisites: Chapters 5, 13, 15, and 25

- Pentose phosphate pathway reactions
- SDS-PAGE analysis
- DNA replication
- Signal transduction pathways involving tyrosine phosphorylation

References

General

Cox, M., Doudna, J.A., and O'Donnell, M., *Molecular Biology. Principles and Practice,* Chapters 11–14, Freeman (2012).

Watson, J.D., Baker, T.A., Bell, S.P., Gann, A., Levine, M., and Losick, R., *Molecular Biology of the Gene* (7th ed.), Chapters 9–12, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2014).

DNA Replication

Autexier, C. and Lue, N.F., The structure and function of telomerase reverse transcriptase, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **75,** 493–517 (2006).

Bell, S.D., Méchali, M., and DePamphilis, M.L. (eds.), *DNA Replication,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2013).

Blackburn, E.H., Telomere states and cell fates, *Nature* **408,** 53–56 (2000).

Bowman, G.D., Goedken, E.R., Kazmirski, S.L., O'Donnell, M., and Kuriyan, J., DNA polymerase clamp loaders and DNA replication, *FEBS Lett.* **579,** 863–867 (2005).

Costa, A., Hood, I.V., and Berger, J.M., Mechanisms for initiating cellular DNA replication, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **54,** 25–54 (2013).

Georgescu, R.E., Kim, S.-S., Yurieva, O., Kuriyan, J., Kong, X.-P., and O'Donnell, M., Structure of a sliding clamp on DNA, *Cell* **132,** 43–54 (2008).

Groth, A., Rocha, W., Verreault, A., and Almouzni, G., Chromatin challenges during DNA replication and repair, *Cell* **128,** 721–733 (2007).

Hamdan, S.M. and Richardson, C.C., Motors, switches, and contacts in the replisome, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 205–243 (2009).

Itsathitphaisarn, O., Wing, R.A., Eliason, W.K., Wang, J., and Steitz, T.A., The hexameric helicase DnaB adopts a nonplanar conformation during translocation, *Cell* **151,** 267–277 (2012). [The X-ray structure of DnaB helicase and the formulation of the hand-over-hand mechanism of strand separation.]

Johansson, E. and MacNeill, S.A., The eukaryotic replicative DNA polymerase takes shape, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **35,** 339–347 (2010).

McHenry, C.S., DNA replicases from a bacterial perspective, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80,** 403–436 (2011).

Mitchell, M., Gillis, A., Futahashi, M., Fujiwara, H., Gillis, A.J., Schuller, A.P., and Skordalakes, E., Structural basis for telomerase catalytic subunit TERT binding to RNA template and telomeric DNA, *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17,** 513–518 (2010).

O'Donnell, M., Replisome architecture and dynamics in *E. coli, J. Biol. Chem.* **281,** 10653–10656 (2006).

O'Sullivan, R.J. and Karlseder, J., Telomeres: protecting chromosomes against genome instability, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **11,** 171–181 (2010).

Rothwell, P.J. and Waksman, G., Structure and mechanism of DNA polymerases, *Adv. Prot. Chem.* **71,** 401–440 (2005).

Sandin, S. and Rhodes, D., Telomerase structure, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **25,** 104–110 (2014).

Singleton, M.R., Dillingham, M.S., and Wigley, D.B., Structure and mechanism of helicases and nucleic acid translocases, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **76,** 23–50 (2007).

Wing, R.A., Bailey, S., and Steitz, T.A., Insights into the replisome from the structure of a ternary complex of the DNA polymerase III alpha-subunit, *J. Mol. Biol.* **382,** 859–869 (2008).

DNA Repair

Friedberg, E.C., Elledge, S.J., Lehmann, A.R., Lindahl, T., and Muzi-Falconi, M. (Eds.), *DNA Repair, Mutagenesis, and Other Responses to DNA Damage,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2014).

Jackson, S.P. and Bartek, J., The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease, *Nature* **461,** 1071–1078 (2009). [Summarizes current understanding of how signaling pathways triggered by DNA damage lead to DNA repair.]

Lieber, M.R., The mechanism of double-strand DNA break repair by the nonhomologous DNA end-joining pathway, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **79,** 181–211 (2010).

Sancar, A., Lindsey-Bolz, L.A., Ünsal-Kaçmaz, K., and Linn, S., Molecular mechanisms of mammalian DNA repair and the DNA damage checkpoints, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **73,** 39–85 (2004).

Valle, D. (Ed.), *The Online Metabolic & Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease,* http://www.ommbid.mhmedical.com/. [Chapters 28 and 32 contain discussions of xeroderma pigmentosum, Cockayne syndrome, and hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer.]

Recombination and Transposition

Anders, C., Niewoehner, O., Duerst, A., and Jinek, M., Structural basis of PAM-dependent target DNA recognition by the Cas9 nuclease, *Nature* **513,** 569–573 (2014).

Baltimore, D., et al., A prudent path forward for genomic engineering and germline gene modification, *Science* **348**, 36–38 (2015). [The proposal for a moratorium on using CRISPR–Cas9 technology to modify the human germline until its consequences are well understood.]

Chen, A., Yang, H., and Pavletich, N.P., Mechanism of homologous recombination from the RecA–ssDNA/dsDNA structures, *Nature* **453,** 489–494 (2008).

Cox, M.M., Motoring along with the bacterial RecA protein, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **8,** 127–138 (2007); *and* Regulation of bacterial RecA protein function, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **42,** 41–63 (2007).

Craig, N.L., Craigie, R., Gellert, M., and Lambowitz, A.M. (Eds.), *Mobile DNA II,* ASM Press (2002). [A compendium of authoritative articles.]

Doudna, J.A. and Charpentier, E., The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9, *Science* **346,** 1258096 (2014). DOI: 10.1126/science.1258096. [This is a Digital Object Identifier (DOI). Also see *Science* **346,** 1047 (2014).]

Kowalczykowski, S.C., Initiation of genetic recombination and recombination-dependent replication, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **25,** 156–165 (2000). [Presents models for and describes the proteins involved in recombination/replication events in bacteria.]

Sander, J.D. and Joung, J.K., CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes, *Nature Biotech.,* **32,** 347–355 (2014).

West, S.C., Molecular views of recombination proteins and their control, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **4,** 435–445 (2003).

Yamada, K., Ariyoshi, M., and Morikawa, K., Three-dimensional structural views of branch migration and resolution in DNA homologous recombination, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **14,** 130–137 (2004).

CHAPTER 26

Transcription and RNA Processing

Chapter Contents

1 **Prokaryotic RNA Transcription**
- A RNA Polymerase Resembles Other Polymerases
- B Transcription Is Initiated at a Promoter
- C The RNA Chain Grows from the 5′ to 3′ End
- D Transcription Terminates at Specific Sites

2 **Transcription in Eukaryotes**
- A Eukaryotes Have Several RNA Polymerases
- B Each Polymerase Recognizes a Different Type of Promoter
- C Transcription Factors Are Required to Initiate Transcription

3 **Posttranscriptional Processing**
- A Messenger RNAs Undergo 5′ Capping and Addition of a 3′ Tail
- B Splicing Removes Introns from Eukaryotic Genes
- C Ribosomal RNA Precursors May Be Cleaved, Modified, and Spliced
- D Transfer RNAs Are Processed by Nucleotide Removal, Addition, and Modification

Based on an NMR structure by Frédérick Allain and Franz Narberhaus, ETH Zürich, Switzerland. PDBid 2GIO

Certain bacteria alter gene expression by using an RNA thermometer, a base-paired messenger RNA segment whose conformation is disrupted when the temperature rises. RNA melting exposes a ribosome-binding site, allowing production of the encoded protein, which is often a heat shock protein that helps the bacteria survive at the higher temperature.

DNA is confined almost exclusively to the nucleus of eukaryotic cells, as shown by microscopists in the 1930s. By the 1950s, the site of protein synthesis was identified by showing that radioactively labeled amino acids that had been incorporated into proteins were associated with cytosolic RNA–protein complexes called ribosomes. Thus, *protein synthesis is not immediately directed by DNA because, at least in eukaryotes, DNA and ribosomes are never in contact.* The intermediary between DNA and the protein-biosynthesis machinery, as outlined in Francis Crick's central dogma of molecular biology (Section 3-3B), is RNA.

Cells contain three major types of RNA: **ribosomal RNA (rRNA)**, which constitutes two-thirds of the ribosomal mass; **transfer RNA (tRNA)**, a set of small, compact molecules that deliver amino acids to the ribosomes for assembly into proteins; and **messenger RNA (mRNA)**, whose nucleotide sequences direct protein synthesis. In addition, a host of other **noncoding RNA** species play various roles in the regulation of gene expression and the processing of newly transcribed RNA molecules (**Table 26-1**). All types of RNA can be shown to hybridize with complementary sequences on DNA from the same organism. Thus, *all cellular RNAs are transcribed from DNA templates.*

GATEWAY CONCEPT: The Central Dogma

RNA synthesis represents one step of the central dogma of molecular biology, in which the information encoded in DNA is rewritten (transcribed) in the form of RNA. The RNA may undergo extensive modification before it is used, and it is relatively quickly degraded, but the information in DNA remains intact.

TABLE 26-1 Some Noncoding RNAs

Type	Size (nt)	Function
Ribosomal RNA (rRNA)	120–4718	Translation (ribosome structure and catalytic activity)
Transfer RNA (tRNA)	54–100	Delivery of amino acids to ribosomes during translation
Small interfering RNA (siRNA)	20–25	Sequence-specific inactivation of mRNA
Micro RNA (miRNA)	20–25	Sequence-specific inactivation of mRNA
Large intergenic noncoding RNA (lincRNA)	Up to 17,200	Transcriptional control
Small nuclear RNA (snRNA)	60–300	RNA splicing
Small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA)	70–100	Sequence-specific methylation of rRNA

The transcription of DNA to RNA is carried out by **RNA polymerases (RNAPs)** that operate as multisubunit complexes, as do the DNA polymerases that catalyze DNA replication. In this chapter, we examine the catalytic properties of RNAPs and discuss how these proteins—unlike DNA polymerases—are targeted to specific genes. We will also see how newly synthesized RNA is processed to become fully functional.

1 Prokaryotic RNA Transcription

KEY CONCEPTS

- RNA polymerase resembles DNA polymerase in structure and mechanism.
- Bacterial transcription begins with the RNAP holoenzyme binding to a promoter to melt apart the DNA.
- As the polymerase processively extends an RNA chain, another polymerase can initiate transcription.
- Transcription termination in prokaryotes depends on intrinsic terminator sequences or Rho factor.

RNAP, the enzyme responsible for the DNA-directed synthesis of RNA, was discovered independently in 1960 by Samuel Weiss and Jerard Hurwitz. The enzyme couples together the ribonucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) ATP, CTP, GTP, and UTP on DNA templates in a reaction that is driven by the release and subsequent hydrolysis of PP_i:

$$(\text{RNA})_{n\ \text{residues}} + \text{NTP} \rightleftharpoons (\text{RNA})_{n+1\ \text{residues}} + PP_i \xrightarrow{H_2O} 2\,P_i$$

An RNAP can join two NTPs *de novo* (Latin: from the beginning) so that, unlike DNA polymerases, it does not require a primer.

All cells contain RNAP. In bacteria, one enzyme synthesizes all of the cell's RNA except the short RNA primers employed in DNA replication (Section 25-2B). Eukaryotic cells contain four or five RNAPs that each synthesize a different class of RNA. We will first consider the bacterial enzyme because it is smaller and simpler than the eukaryotic enzymes.

A RNA Polymerase Resembles Other Polymerases

The *E. coli* RNAP **holoenzyme** is an ~449-kD protein with subunit composition $\alpha_2\beta\beta'\omega\sigma$ (Table 26-2). Once RNA synthesis has been initiated, however, the σ subunit (also called the **σ factor**) dissociates from the **core enzyme,** $\alpha_2\beta\beta'\omega$, which carries out the actual polymerization process. RNAP is large enough to be clearly visible in electron micrographs (Fig. 26-1).

TABLE 26-2 Components of *E. coli* RNA Polymerase Holoenzyme

Subunit	Number of Residues
α	329
β	1342
β′	1407
ω	91
σ^{70}	613

From Williams, R.C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **74**, 2313 (1977)

FIG. 26-1 An electron micrograph of *E. coli* RNA polymerase holoenzyme. This soluble enzyme, one of the largest known, is attached to various promoter sites on bacteriophage T7 DNA.

FIG. 26-2 X-Ray structure of the Tth core RNAP in complex with a 23-nt template DNA, a 14-nt nontemplate DNA, and a 16-nt RNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form with its two α subunits yellow and green, its β subunit cyan, its β′ subunit pink, and its ω subunit gray. Its bound Mg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions are represented by red and orange spheres, respectively. The DNA and RNA are shown in ladder form with template DNA green, nontemplate DNA purple, and RNA red. Residues 208 to 390 of the β′ subunit, which extend from the tip of its pincer, are disordered and hence not visible. [Based on an X-ray structure by Dmitry Vassylyev, University of Alabama at Birmingham. PDBid 5O5I.]

The X-ray structure of the related RNAP core enzymes from *Thermus aquaticus* (Taq) and *Thermus thermophilus* (Tth) were independently determined by Seth Darst and Dmitry Vassylyev. The structure of the Tth core enzyme (which closely resembles that of the Taq enzyme) in complex with DNA and RNA has the overall shape of a crab claw whose two pincers are formed by the β and β′ subunits (Fig. 26-2). In this so-called **open complex,** the ~27-Å-high space between the pincers, the main channel, is occupied by the dsDNA. The template DNA continues to the active site at the end of the main channel where it base-pairs with the incoming NTP (not present in the structure) at the so-called $i + 1$ site near a bound Mg^{2+} ion. The 3′ end of the newly synthesized RNA forms a 9-bp hybrid helix with the 5′ end of the template DNA strand and then exits the protein through a channel between the β and β′ subunits, the RNA exit channel, in which it adopts a conformation similar to that of a single strand within an RNA double helix. However, the paths taken by the template and nontemplate DNA strands to rejoin at the end of the so-called **transcription bubble** are unclear.

In the X-ray structure of the Tth holoenzyme in complex with dsDNA containing a promoter (a sequence that specifies the transcription initiation site; Section 26-1B), determined by Richard Ebright, the σ subunit extends across the core enzyme, which causes its pincers to come together so as to narrow the channel between them by ~10 Å, thereby forming the so-called **closed complex** (Fig. 26-3). In this structure, the σ subunit has opened the transcription bubble by specifically binding the nontemplate strand's –10 promoter element. The outer surface of the holoenzyme is almost uniformly negatively charged, whereas those surfaces that interact with nucleic acids, particularly the inner walls of the main channel, are positively charged.

Only One Strand of DNA Is Copied. *RNA synthesis is normally initiated only at specific sites on the DNA template.* In contrast to replication, which requires

FIG. 26-3 X-Ray structure of the Tth RNAP holoenzyme in complex with a 19-nt template DNA and 27-nt nontemplate DNA with a –10 promoter element at its 5′ end. The core enzyme, which is colored as in Fig. 26-2, is represented by its semitransparent surface diagram and viewed approximately from the bottom of Fig. 26-2. The σ subunit is drawn in cartoon form with its α helices as cylinders and colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The DNA is represented in ladder form with its template strand green and its nontemplate strand purple except for its –10 promoter element (Section 26-1B), which is red. Both DNA strands, with the exception of the –10 element, are buried between the β and β′ subunits. [Based on an X-ray structure by Richard Ebright, Rutgers University. PDBid 4G7H.]

FIG. 26-4 Sense and antisense DNA strands. The template strand of duplex DNA is known as its antisense or noncoding strand. Its complementary sense or coding strand has the same nucleotide sequence and orientation as the transcribed RNA.

? If the sequence of the sense strand in the transcription bubble reads CTGATCTAGA, what is the sequence of the corresponding antisense strand and RNA?

that both strands of the chromosome be entirely copied, the regulated expression of genetic information involves the copying of much smaller, single-strand portions of the genome. The DNA strand that serves as a template during transcription is known as the **antisense** or **noncoding strand** because its sequence is complementary to that of the RNA. The other DNA strand, the nontemplate strand, which has the same sequence as the transcribed RNA (except for the replacement of U with T), is known as the **sense** or **coding strand** (Fig. 26-4). The two strands of DNA in an organism's chromosome can therefore contain different sets of genes.

Keep in mind that "gene" is a relatively loose term that refers to sequences that encode polypeptides, as well as those that correspond to the sequences of rRNA, tRNA, and other RNA species. Furthermore, a gene typically includes sequences that participate in initiating and terminating transcription (and translation) that are not actually transcribed (or translated). The expression of many genes also depends on regulatory sequences that do not directly flank the coding regions but may be located a considerable distance away.

Most protein-coding genes (called **structural genes**) in eukaryotes are transcribed individually. In prokaryotic genomes, however, genes are frequently arranged in tandem along a single DNA strand so that they can be transcribed together. These genetic units, called **operons**, typically contain genes with related functions. For example, the three different rRNA genes of *E. coli* occur in single operons (Section 26-3C). The *E. coli* ***lac*** **operon,** whose expression is described in detail in Section 28-2A, contains three genes encoding proteins involved in lactose metabolism as well as sequences that control their transcription (Fig. 26-5). Other operons contain genes encoding proteins required for biosynthetic pathways, for example, the ***trp*** **operon,** whose six **gene products** (proteins are often referred to as gene products) catalyze tryptophan synthesis. An operon is transcribed as a single unit, giving rise to a **polycistronic mRNA** that directs the more-or-less simultaneous synthesis of each of the encoded polypeptides (the term **cistron** is a somewhat archaic synonym for gene). In contrast, eukaryotic structural genes, which are not part of operons, give rise to **monocistronic mRNAs.**

FIG. 26-5 The *E. coli lac* operon. This DNA includes genes encoding the proteins mediating lactose metabolism and the genetic sites that control their expression. The *Z*, *Y*, and *A* genes, respectively, specify the proteins **β-galactosidase** (Box 8-1), **galactoside permease** (Section 10-3D), and **thiogalactoside transacetylase.** The closely linked regulatory gene, *I*, which is not part of the *lac* operon, encodes a repressor that inhibits transcription of the *lac* operon.

B Transcription Is Initiated at a Promoter

How does RNAP recognize the correct DNA strand and initiate RNA synthesis at the beginning of a gene (or operon)? *RNAP binds to its initiation sites through base sequences known as* ***promoters*** *that are recognized by the corresponding* σ *factor.* The existence of promoters was first revealed through mutations that enhanced or diminished the transcription rates of certain genes. Promoters consist of ~40-bp sequences that are located on the 5′ side of the transcription start site. By convention, the sequence of this DNA is represented by its sense (nontemplate) strand so that it will have the same sequence and directionality as the transcribed RNA. A base pair in a promoter region is assigned a negative or positive number that indicates its position, upstream or downstream in the direction of RNAP travel, from the first nucleotide that is transcribed to RNA; this start site is +1 and there is no 0. Because RNA is synthesized in the 5′ → 3′ direction (see below), the promoter is said to lie upstream of the RNA's starting nucleotide.

The holoenzyme forms tight complexes with promoters. This tight binding can be demonstrated by showing that the holoenzyme protects the bound DNA segments from digestion *in vitro* by the endonuclease DNase I. Sequence determinations of the protected regions from numerous *E. coli* genes have identified the "consensus" sequence of *E. coli* promoters (Fig. 26-6). Their most conserved sequence is a hexamer centered at about the −10 position (alternatively called the **Pribnow box** after David Pribnow, who described it in 1975). It has a consensus sequence of TATAAT in which the leading TA and the final T are highly conserved. Upstream sequences around position –35 also have a region of sequence similarity, TTGACA. The initiating (+1) nucleotide, which is nearly always A or G, is centered in a poorly conserved CAT or CGT sequence. Most promoter sequences vary considerably from the consensus sequence (Fig. 26-6). Nevertheless, a mutation in one of the partially conserved regions can greatly increase or decrease a promoter's initiation efficiency. This is because *the rates at which E. coli genes are transcribed vary directly with the rates at which their promoters form stable initiation complexes with the RNAP holoenzyme.*

FIG. 26-6 The sense (coding) strand sequences of selected *E. coli* promoters. A 6-bp region centered around the −10 position (*red shading*) and a 6-bp sequence around the −35 region (*blue shading*) are both conserved. The transcription initiation sites (+1), which in most promoters occur at a single purine nucleotide, are shaded in green. The bottom row shows the consensus sequence of 298 *E. coli* promoters with the number below each base indicating its percentage occurrence. [After Rosenberg, M. and Court, D., *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **13,** 321–323 (1979). Consensus sequence from Lisser, D. and Margalit, H., *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21,** 1512 (1993).]

? Approximately how many helical turns separate the two blocks of conserved sequence?

Initiation Requires the Formation of a Transcription Bubble. The promoter regions in contact with the RNAP holoenzyme have been identified by a procedure named **footprinting**. In this procedure, DNA is incubated with a protein to which it binds and is then treated with an alkylation agent such as dimethyl sulfate (DMS). This results in alkylation of the DNA's bases followed by backbone cleavage at the alkylated positions. However, those portions of the DNA that bind proteins are protected from alkylation and hence cleavage. The resulting pattern of protection is called the protein's footprint. The footprint of RNAP holoenzyme indicates that it contacts the promoter primarily at its –10 and –35 regions. In some genes, additional upstream sequences may also influence RNAP binding to DNA.

DMS methylates G residues at N7 and A residues at N3 in both double-and single-stranded DNA. DMS also methylates N1 of A and N3 of C, but only if the latter positions are not involved in base-pairing interactions. The pattern of DMS methylation therefore reveals whether the DNA is single or double stranded. Footprinting studies indicate that holoenzyme binding "melts" (separates) ~11 bp of DNA (from −9 to +2). The resulting **transcription bubble** (e.g., Fig. 26-4) resembles the region of unwound DNA at the replication origin (Section 25-2B).

In the X-ray structure of the Tth holoenzyme (Fig. 26-3), the σ subunit makes extensive, base-specific contacts with the –10 element (TATAAT) of the promoter on the sense (nontemplate) strand in a way that opens the transcription bubble. This demonstrates both that the –10 element recognized by the σ subunit is on the sense strand and that the holoenzyme must melt open the transcription bubble for the –10 element to be recognized.

The core enzyme, which does not specifically bind promoters, tightly binds duplex DNA (the complex's dissociation constant is $K \approx 5 \times 10^{-12}$ M, and its half-life is ~60 min). *The holoenzyme, in contrast, binds to nonpromoter DNA comparatively loosely* ($K \approx 10^{-7}$ M and a half-life of >1 s). Evidently, the σ subunit allows the holoenzyme to move rapidly along a DNA strand in search of the σ subunit's corresponding promoter to which it tightly binds ($K = 10^{-14}$ M). Once transcription has been initiated and the σ subunit jettisoned, the tight binding of the core enzyme to DNA apparently stabilizes the ternary enzyme–DNA–RNA complex.

Gene Expression Is Controlled by Different σ Factors. Because different σ factors recognize different promoters, *a cell's complement of σ factors determines which genes are transcribed.* Development and differentiation, which involve the temporally ordered expression of sets of genes, can be orchestrated through a "cascade" of σ factors. For example, infection of *Bacillus subtilis* by **bacteriophage SP01** requires the expression of different sets of phage genes at different times. The first set, known as the **early genes,** are transcribed using the bacterial σ factor. One of the early phage gene products is a σ subunit known as σ^{gp28} (gp for *g*ene *p*roduct), which displaces the host σ factor and thereby permits the RNAP to recognize only the phage **middle gene** promoters. The phage middle genes, in turn, specify $\sigma^{gp33/34}$, which promotes transcription of only phage **late genes.**

Many bacteria, including *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis,* likewise have several different σ factors. These are not necessarily used in a sequential manner. Thus, σ factors in *E. coli* that differ from its primary σ factor (which is named σ^{70} because its molecular mass is 70 kD) control the transcription of coordinately expressed groups of special-purpose genes whose promoters are quite different from those recognized by σ^{70}. For example, *E. coli* σ^{32} recognizes the promoters in the heat shock genes that are activated at high temperatures.

C The RNA Chain Grows from the 5′ to 3′ End

RNA synthesis is catalyzed via a two-metal mechanism, much like that employed by DNA polymerases (Fig. 25-11). Because RNA synthesis, like DNA synthesis,

FIG. 26-7 5′ → 3′ RNA chain growth. Nucleotides are added to the 3′ end of the growing RNA chain via attack of the 3′-OH group on the incoming nucleoside triphosphate. A radioactive label at the γ position of an NTP (indicated by an asterisk) is retained in the initial nucleotide of the RNA (the 5′ end) but is lost as PP_i during the polymerization of subsequent nucleotides.

? What is the driving force for this reaction?

proceeds in the 5′ → 3′ direction (Fig. 26-7), the growing RNA molecule has a 5′-triphosphate group. Mature RNA molecules, as we will see, may also be chemically modified at one or both ends.

A portion of the double-stranded DNA template remains opened up at the point of RNA synthesis (Fig. 26-4). This allows the antisense strand to direct the synthesis of its complementary RNA strand. The RNA chain transiently forms a short length of RNA–DNA hybrid duplex. The unpaired "bubble" of DNA in the open initiation complex apparently travels along the DNA with the RNAP (Fig. 26-8).

As DNA's helical turns are pushed ahead of the advancing transcription bubble, they become more tightly wound (more positively supercoiled) while the DNA behind the bubble becomes equivalently unwound (more negatively supercoiled). This scenario is supported by the observation that the transcription of plasmids in *E. coli* induces their positive supercoiling in gyrase mutants (which cannot relax positive supercoils; Section 24-1D) and their negative supercoiling in topoisomerase I mutants (which cannot relax negative supercoils). Inappropriate superhelicity in the DNA being transcribed halts transcription. Quite possibly, the torsional tension in the DNA generated by negative superhelicity behind the transcription bubble is required to help drive the transcriptional process, whereas too much such tension prevents the opening and maintenance of the transcription bubble.

Strain that builds up in the DNA template is apparently responsible for a curious property of RNAP: It frequently releases its newly synthesized RNA after only ~9 to 11 nt have been polymerized, a process known as **abortive initiation.** When RNAP begins transcribing, it keeps its grip on the promoter (which is on the DNA's nontemplate strand). Consequently, conformational tension builds up as the template strand is pulled through the RNAP's active site, a

FIG. 26-8 DNA supercoiling during transcription. In the region being transcribed, the DNA double helix is unwound by about a turn to permit the DNA's antisense strand to form a short segment of DNA–RNA hybrid double helix. As the RNA polymerase advances along the DNA template (here to the right), the DNA unwinds ahead of the RNA's growing 3′ end and rewinds behind it, thereby stripping the newly synthesized RNA from the template strand. Because the ends of the DNA as well as the RNA polymerase are apparently prevented from rotating by attachments within the cell (*black bars*), the DNA becomes overwound (positively supercoiled) ahead of the advancing transcription bubble and underwound (negatively supercoiled) behind it (consider the consequences of placing your finger between the twisted DNA strands in this model and pushing toward the right). [After Futcher, B., *Trends Genet.* **4,** 272 (1988).]

Box 26-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry **Collisions between DNA Polymerase and RNA Polymerase**

In rapidly proliferating bacterial cells, DNA synthesis is likely to occur even as genes are being transcribed. The DNA replication machinery moves along the circular chromosome at a rate many times faster than the movement of the transcription machinery. Collisions between DNA polymerase and RNA polymerase seem unavoidable. What happens when the two enzyme complexes collide? Using *in vitro* model systems, Bruce Alberts has shown that when both enzymes are moving in the same direction, the replication fork passes the RNA polymerase without displacing it, leaving it fully competent to resume RNA chain elongation.

When the replication fork collides head-on with a transcription complex, however, the replisome pauses briefly before moving past the RNA polymerase. Surprisingly, this causes the RNA polymerase to switch its template strand. The growing RNA chain dissociates from the original template DNA strand and hybridizes with the newly synthesized daughter DNA strand of the same sequence before RNA elongation resumes.

Head-on collisions are disadvantageous because (1) replication slows when DNA polymerase pauses, and (2) dissociation of the RNA polymerase during the jump from one template strand to the other could abort the transcription process. Indeed, in many bacterial and phage genomes, the most heavily transcribed genes are oriented so that replication and transcription complexes move in the same direction. It remains to be seen whether a similar arrangement holds in eukaryotic genomes, which contain multiple replication origins and genes that are much larger than are prokaryotic genes.

process called **scrunching** because the resulting increased size of the transcription bubble in the downstream direction must somehow be accommodated within the RNAP. In successful initiation, the strain eventually provides enough energy to strip the promoter from the RNAP, which then continues its progress along the template. In abortive initiation, the RNAP fails to escape the promoter and instead relieves the conformational tension by releasing the newly synthesized RNA fragment, thereby letting the transcription bubble relax to its normal size. The RNAP then reinitiates transcription from the +1 position.

RNA Polymerase Is Processive. Once the open complex has been formed, transcription proceeds without dissociation of the enzyme from the template. Processivity is accomplished without an obvious clamplike structure (e.g., the β clamp of *E. coli* DNA polymerase III; Fig. 25-16), although the RNAP itself apparently functions as a sliding clamp by binding tightly but flexibly to the DNA–RNA complex. In experiments in which the RNAP was immobilized and a magnetic bead was attached to the DNA, up to 180 rotations (representing nearly 1900 base pairs at 10.5 bp per turn) were observed before the polymerase slipped. Such processivity is essential, since genes are often thousands (in eukaryotes, sometimes millions) of nucleotides in length.

The tight association between RNAP and DNA and their multiple attachment sites may explain why a transcription complex does not completely dissociate from a DNA template even when transcription is interrupted by the DNA replication machinery proceeding along the same strand of DNA (see Box 26-1).

Transcription Is Rapid. In *E. coli,* the *in vivo* rate of transcription is 20 to 50 nt/s at 37°C (but still many times slower than the DNA replication rate of ~1000 nt/s; Section 25-2C). The error frequency in RNA synthesis is one wrong base incorporated for every $\sim 10^4$ transcribed. This frequency, which is 10^4 to 10^6 times higher than that for DNA synthesis, is tolerable because most genes are repeatedly transcribed, because the genetic code contains numerous synonyms (Section 27-1C), and because amino acid substitutions in proteins are often functionally innocuous.

Once an RNAP molecule has initiated transcription and moved away from the promoter, another RNAP can follow suit. The synthesis of RNAs that are needed in large quantities, rRNAs, for example, is initiated as often as is sterically possible, about once per second. This gives rise to an arrowhead appearance of the transcribed DNA (**Fig. 26-9**). mRNAs encoding proteins are generally synthesized at less frequent intervals, and there is enormous variation in the amounts of different polypeptides produced. For example, an *E. coli* cell may contain 10,000 copies of a ribosomal protein, whereas a regulatory protein may be present in only a few copies per cell. Many enzymes, particularly those involved in

FIG. 26-9 An electron micrograph of three contiguous ribosomal genes undergoing transcription. The "arrowhead" structures result from the increasing lengths of the nascent RNA chains as the RNA polymerases synthesizing them move from the initiation site on the DNA to the termination site. [Courtesy of Ulrich Scheer, University of Würzburg, Germany.]

? Identify the 5′ and 3′ ends of the RNA transcripts.

basic cellular "housekeeping" functions, are synthesized at a more or less constant rate; they are called **constitutive enzymes**. Other enzymes, termed **inducible enzymes**, are synthesized at rates that vary with the cell's circumstances. To a large extent, the regulation of gene expression relies on mechanisms that govern the rate of transcription, as we will see in Section 28-2. The products of transcription also vary in their stabilities. Ribosomal RNA turns over much more slowly than mRNA, which is rapidly synthesized and rapidly degraded (sometimes so fast that the 5′ end of an mRNA is degraded before its 3′ end has been synthesized).

D Transcription Terminates at Specific Sites

Electron micrographs such as Fig. 26-9 suggest that DNA contains specific sites at which transcription is terminated. The transcription termination sequences of about half of *E. coli* genes share two common features (**Fig. 26-10**):

1. A series of 4 to 10 consecutive A · T base pairs, with the A's on the template strand. The transcribed RNA is terminated in or just past this sequence.
2. A G + C–rich region with a palindromic sequence that immediately precedes the series of A · T's.

The RNA transcript of this region, called an **intrinsic terminator**, can therefore form a self-complementary "hairpin" structure that is terminated by several U residues.

The structural stability of an RNA transcript at its terminator's G + C–rich hairpin and the weak base pairing of its oligo(U) tail to template DNA appear to be important factors in ensuring proper chain termination. The formation of the G + C–rich hairpin causes RNAP to pause for several seconds at the termination site. This probably induces a conformational change in the RNAP that permits the nontemplate DNA strand to displace the weakly bound oligo(U) tail from the template strand, thereby spontaneously terminating transcription.

Despite the foregoing, experiments by Michael Chamberlin indicate that the RNA-terminator hairpin and U-rich 3′ tail do not function independently of their upstream and downstream flanking regions. Indeed, terminators that lack a U-rich segment can be highly efficient when joined to the appropriate sequence

FIG. 26-10 An *E. coli* intrinsic terminator. Its transcription yields an RNA (*red*) with a self-complementary G + C–rich segment that forms a base-paired hairpin immediately followed by a sequence of 4 to 10 consecutive U's that base-pair with the template A's (*black*) in the transcription bubble. The oval symbol represents the binding site for an incoming NTP. [After a drawing by Joo-Soep Park and Jeffrey Roberts, Cornell University.]

immediately downstream from the termination site. Termination efficiency also varies with the concentrations of nucleoside triphosphates, with the level of supercoiling in the DNA template, with changes in the salt concentration, and with the sequence of the terminator. These results suggest that *termination is a complex multistep process.*

Termination Often Requires Rho Factor. Approximately half the termination sites in *E. coli* lack any obvious similarities to the intrinsic terminator described above and are unable to form strong hairpins. Instead, *they require the action of a protein known as* ***Rho factor*** *to terminate transcription.* Rho factor, a hexamer of identical 419-residue subunits, enhances the termination efficiency of spontaneously terminating transcripts and induces termination of nonspontaneously terminating transcripts.

Several key observations have led to a model of Rho-dependent termination:

1. Rho is a helicase that unwinds RNA–DNA and RNA–RNA double helices by translocating along a single strand of RNA in its 5′ → 3′ direction. This process is powered by the hydrolysis of NTPs to NDPs + P_i with little preference for the identity of the base.
2. Genetic manipulations indicate that Rho-dependent termination requires the presence of a specific recognition sequence on the newly transcribed RNA upstream of the termination site. The recognition sequence must be on the nascent RNA rather than the DNA as is demonstrated by Rho's inability to terminate transcription in the presence of pancreatic RNase A. The essential features of this termination site have not been fully elucidated; the construction of synthetic termination sites indicates that it consists of 80 to 100 nucleotides that lack a stable secondary structure and contain multiple regions, known as **rut sites** (for *R*ho *ut*ilization), that are rich in C and poor in G.

These observations suggest that Rho factor attaches to the RNA at its recognition sequence and then migrates along the RNA in the 5′ → 3′ direction until it encounters an RNAP paused at the termination site (without the pause, Rho might not be able to overtake the RNAP). There, as Jeffrey Roberts has shown, Rho pushes the RNAP forward in a way that partially rewinds its dsDNA helix at the transcription bubble while unwinding the RNA–DNA hybrid helix, thus releasing the RNA. This process appears to be facilitated by a Rho-induced conformational change in the RNAP thought to be similar to that induced by the G + C-rich hairpin in intrinsic terminators. Rho-terminated transcripts have 3′ ends that typically vary over a range of ~50 nt. This suggests that Rho gradually pries the RNA away from its template DNA rather than liberating the RNA at a specific point.

Each Rho subunit consists of two domains: Its N-terminal domain binds single-stranded polynucleotides and its C-terminal domain, which is homologous to the α and β subunits of the F_1-ATPase (Section 18-3B), binds an NTP. The X-ray structure of Rho in complex with AMPPNP and an 8-nt RNA (**Fig. 26-11*a***), determined by James Berger, reveals that Rho forms a hexameric lock washer–shaped helix that is 120 Å in diameter with an ~30-Å-diameter central hole and whose first and sixth subunits are separated by a 12-Å gap and a rise of 45 Å along the helix axis. The RNA binds along the interior of the protein helix to the so-called primary RNA binding sites on the N-terminal domains and to the so-called secondary RNA binding sites on the C-terminal domains. This structure represents an open state that is poised to bind mRNA that has entered its central cavity through the notch.

In the X-ray structure of Rho in complex with rU_{12} and the ATP mimic ADP · BeF_3^- (**Fig. 26-11*b***), the protein's six subunits have formed a closed ring in which each subunit has a different conformation. Protein loops that extend from the walls of the central channel to interact with the RNA are helically arranged like the steps of a right-handed spiral staircase such that they track the RNA's

FIG. 26-11 X-Ray structures of Rho factor. (*a*) Rho in complex with $r(UC)_4$ (only one UC unit of which is visible) and AMPPNP. Each of the protein's six subunits is drawn in tube-and-arrow form in different colors with the upper right subunit colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The UC units and the AMPPNP are shown in space-filling form with UC C green, AMPPNP C gray, N blue, O red, and P orange. The hexamer has a lock washer–like shape with the blue subunit ~45 Å closer to the viewer than the pink subunit. (*b*) Rho in complex with rU_{12} (only 6 nt of which are visible) and ADP · BeF_3^-. The protein is drawn and colored as in Part *a*. The RNA, whose 5′ end is closest to the viewer, and ADP · BeF_3^- are shown in stick and space-filling form, respectively, with RNA C green, ADP C gray, N blue, O red, P orange, Be light green, and F light blue. Note that each of the Rho subunits has a different conformation. [Based on X-ray structures by James Berger, University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 1PVO and 3ICE.]

? Compare the structure in Part *b* to that of the F_1-ATPase shown in Fig. 18-22*b* and DnaB in Fig. 25-13.

CHECKPOINT

- Compare DNA and RNA polymerases with respect to structure, substrates, products, mechanism, error rate, and template specificity.
- Why is it hard to precisely define "gene"?
- What is the significance of the different DNA-binding properties of prokaryotic RNA polymerase core enzyme and holoenzyme?
- Why is it important for the transcription bubble to remain a constant size?
- Explain why a single gene can quickly give rise to many copies of its gene products(RNA or protein).
- Describe how transcription is terminated with and without Rho factor.

sugar–phosphate backbone, much as the central loops of DnaB (also a hexagonal helicase) track its centrally bound ssDNA (Fig. 25-14). The different conformations of Rho's six subunits indicate that they sequentially undergo a series of six NTP-driven conformational changes in which they bind successive intermediates in the hydrolysis of NTP, much like that observed in the structure of F_1-ATPase (Section 18-3B). These conformational changes are allosterically coupled so that they progress around the hexamer in a wavelike manner, with the uppermost loop in the staircase, as viewed in Fig. 26-11*b*, jumping, in the 5′ → 3′ direction, to the bottom of the staircase. Since each of these loops maintains its grip on the same nucleotide until it makes this jump, it appears that the hexamer pulls itself down, as viewed in Fig. 26-11*b*, by one nucleotide along the RNA for every NTP it hydrolyzes. Note that Rho's translocation mechanism differs from that employed by the lockwasher-shaped DnaB, in which entire subunits rather than only its DNA-contacting loops translocate along its bound ssDNA by two nucleotides for each NTP hydrolyzed (Section 25-2B).

2 Transcription in Eukaryotes

KEY CONCEPTS

- Eukaryotes use three RNA polymerases to carry out DNA-directed RNA synthesis.
- The three RNA polymerases recognize different and sometimes highly variable promoter sequences.
- A set of general transcription factors are required to initiate transcription in eukaryotes.

Although the fundamental principles of transcription are similar in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, *eukaryotic transcription is distinguished by having multiple RNAPs and by much more complicated control sequences*. Moreover, the eukaryotic

transcription machinery, as we will see, is far more complex than that of prokaryotes, requiring well over 100 polypeptides that form assemblies with molecular masses of several million daltons to recognize the control sequences and initiate transcription.

A Eukaryotes Have Several RNA Polymerases

Eukaryotic nuclei contain three distinct types of RNA polymerase that differ in the RNAs they synthesize:

1. **RNA polymerase I (RNAP I),** which is located in the **nucleoli** (dark-staining nuclear bodies where ribosomes are assembled; Fig. 1-8), synthesizes the precursors of most rRNAs.
2. **RNA polymerase II (RNAP II),** which occurs in the nucleoplasm, synthesizes the mRNA precursors.
3. **RNA polymerase III (RNAP III),** which also occurs in the nucleoplasm, synthesizes the precursors of 5S rRNA, the tRNAs, and a variety of other small nuclear and cytosolic RNAs.

In addition to these nuclear enzymes, eukaryotic cells contain separate mitochondrial and (in plants) chloroplast RNAPs. The essential function of RNAPs in all cells makes them attractive targets for antibiotics and other drugs (Box 26-2).

Eukaryotic RNAPs, which have molecular masses of as much as 600 kD, have considerably greater subunit complexity than the prokaryotic enzymes. Each eukaryotic RNAP contains two nonidentical "large" (>120 kD) subunits, which are homologs of the prokaryotic β and β′ subunits, and an array of up to 12 different "small" (<50 kD) subunits, two of which are homologs of the prokaryotic α subunit and one of which is a homolog of the ω subunit. Five of the small subunits, including the ω homolog, are identical in all three eukaryotic enzymes, and the α homologs are identical in RNAPs I and III. Moreover, the sequences of these subunits are highly conserved (~50% identical) across species from yeast to humans. In fact, in all ten cases tested, a human RNAP II subunit could replace its counterpart in yeast without loss of cell viability.

In a crystallographic tour de force, Roger Kornberg determined the X-ray structure of yeast RNAP II (Fig. 26-12). This enzyme, as expected, resembles

FIG. 26-12 X-Ray structure of yeast RNA polymerase II. (*a*) The enzyme is oriented similarly to the Tth RNAP in Fig. 26-2, and subunits that are homologous to those in Tth RNA polymerase are given the same colors as in Fig. 26-2. The position of an active site Mg^{2+} ion is marked by a red sphere, and eight Zn^{2+} ions are represented by orange spheres. Two nonessential polymerase subunits and the C-terminus of the β′ homolog are not visible in this structure. (*b*) View of the enzyme from the right of Part *a*, showing the DNA-binding cleft. The circle has the approximate diameter of B-DNA. [Based on an X-ray structure by Roger Kornberg, Stanford University. PDBid 1I50.]

Box 26-2 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Inhibitors of Transcription

A wide variety of compounds inhibit transcription in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. These agents are therefore toxic to susceptible organisms; that is, they function as antibiotics. Such compounds are also useful research tools since they arrest the transcription process at well-defined points.

Two related antibiotics, **rifamycin B,** which is produced by *Streptomyces mediterranei,* and its semisynthetic derivative **rifampicin,**

Rifamycin B $R_1 = CH_2COO^-$; $R_2 = H$

Rifampicin $R_1 = H$; $R_2 = CH{=}N{-}N$ (piperazine) $N{-}CH_3$

specifically inhibit transcription by prokaryotic but not eukaryotic RNA polymerases. The selectivity and high potency of rifampicin (2×10^{-8} M results in 50% inhibition of bacterial RNA polymerase) make it a medically useful bactericidal agent. Rifamycins inhibit neither the binding of RNA polymerase to the promoter nor the formation of the first phosphodiester bonds, but they prevent further chain elongation. The inactivated RNA polymerase remains bound to the promoter, thereby blocking initiation by uninhibited enzyme. Once RNA chain initiation has occurred, however, rifamycins have no effect on the subsequent elongation process. The rifamycins can therefore be used in the laboratory to dissect transcriptional initiation and elongation.

Actinomycin D (*right*), a useful antineoplastic (anticancer) agent produced by *Streptomyces antibioticus,* tightly binds to duplex DNA

Actinomycin D

and, in doing so, strongly inhibits both transcription and DNA replication, presumably by interfering with the passage of RNA and DNA polymerases. The NMR structure of actinomycin D in complex with an 8-bp DNA is shown opposite with the drug in space-filling form (with C green, H white, N blue, and O red) and the DNA in stick form (with C cyan, H white, N blue, O red, and P orange, and with orange

Tth and Taq RNAPs (Figs. 26-2 and 26-3) in its overall crab claw–like shape and in the positions and core folds of their homologous subunits, although RNAP II is somewhat larger and has several subunits that have no counterpart in the bacterial RNAPs. RNAP II binds two Mg^{2+} ions at its active site in the vicinity of five conserved acidic residues, which suggests that RNAPs catalyze RNA elongation via a two-metal ion mechanism similar to that employed by DNA polymerases (Section 25-2A). As is the case with the bacterial RNAPs, the surface of RNAP II is almost entirely negatively charged except for the DNA-binding cleft and the region about the active site, which are positively charged.

RNAP II's **Rpb1** subunit (pink in Fig. 26-12), the homolog of the β′ subunit in prokaryotic RNAPs, has an extraordinary C-terminal domain (**CTD**). In mammals, the CTD contains 52 highly conserved heptapeptide repeats with the consensus sequence Pro-Thr-Ser-Pro-Ser-Tyr-Ser (27 repeats in yeast, with other eukaryotes having intermediate values). As many as 50 Ser residues in this

rods connecting successive P atoms). The actinomycin's phenoxazone ring system intercalates between the DNA's base pairs, thereby unwinding the DNA helix by 23° and separating the neighboring base pairs by 7.0 Å. Actinomycin's chemically identical cyclic **depsipeptides** (depsipeptides have both peptide bonds and ester linkages) extend in opposite directions from the intercalation site along the minor groove of the DNA. Other intercalation agents, including ethidium and proflavin (Section 24-3B), also inhibit nucleic acid synthesis, presumably by similar mechanisms.

The poisonous mushroom *Amanita phalloides* (death cap), which is responsible for the majority of fatal mushroom poisonings in Europe, contains several types of toxic substances, including a series of unusual bicyclic octapeptides known as **amatoxins. α-Amanitin,**

α-Amanitin

which is representative of the amatoxins, forms a tight 1:1 complex with RNAP II ($K = 10^{-8}$ M) and a looser one with RNAP III ($K = 10^{-6}$ M) so as to specifically block their elongation steps. The X-ray structure of RNAP II in complex with α-amanitin reveals that α-amanitin binds in the funnel beneath the protein's bridge helix (Fig. 26-13*b*) such that it interacts almost exclusively with the residues of the bridge helix and the adjacent part of Rpb1. The α-amanitin binding site is too far away from the enzyme active site to directly interfere with NTP entry or RNA synthesis, consistent with the observation that α-amanitin does not influence the affinity of RNAP II for NTPs. Most probably, α-amanitin binding impedes the conformational change of the bridge helix postulated to motivate the RNAP translocation step (Section 26-2A), which further supports this mechanism. RNAP I as well as mitochondrial, chloroplast, and prokaryotic RNA polymerases are insensitive to α-amanitin.

Despite the amatoxins' high toxicity (5–6 mg, contained in ~40 g of fresh mushrooms, is sufficient to kill a human adult), they act slowly. Death, usually from liver dysfunction, occurs no earlier than several days after mushroom ingestion (and after recovery from the effects of other mushroom toxins). This, in part, reflects the slow turnover rate of eukaryotic mRNAs and proteins.

[Structure of actinomycin D–DNA complex based on an NMR structure by Andrew Wang, University of Illinois. PDBid 1DSC.]

hydroxyl-rich protein segment are subject to reversible phosphorylation by **CTD kinases** and **CTD phosphatases.** RNAP II initiates transcription only when the CTD is unphosphorylated but commences elongation only after the CTD has been phosphorylated, which suggests that this process triggers the conversion of RNAP II's initiation complex to its elongation complex. Charge–charge repulsions between nearby phosphate groups probably cause a highly phosphorylated CTD to project as far as 500 Å from the globular portion of the polymerase. As we will see, the phosphorylated CTD provides the binding sites for numerous protein factors that are essential for transcription.

The RNA Polymerase Structure Explains Its Function. To produce a snapshot of RNAP II in action, Kornberg incubated the enzyme with a dsDNA molecule bearing a 3′ single-stranded tail (the template strand) together with all the NTP substrates except UTP. As a consequence, the polymerase synthesized a short

(14-nt) RNA strand before pausing at the first template A residue (when the crystals of this complex were soaked in UTP, transcription resumed, demonstrating that the complex was active). The X-ray structure and a cutaway diagram of this paused RNAP II are shown in Fig. 26-13.

In the RNAP–DNA–RNA complex, a massive (~50-kD) portion of the **Rpb2** subunit (the β homolog; cyan in Fig. 26-12), named the "clamp," has swung downward over the DNA to trap it in the cleft, in large part accounting for the enzyme's essentially infinite processivity.

The DNA unwinds by three nucleotides before entering the active site (which is contained on Rpb1). Past this point, however, a portion of Rpb2 dubbed the "wall" directs the template strand out of the cleft in an ~90° turn. As a consequence, the template base at the active ($i + 1$) site points toward the floor of the active site where it can be read out by the polymerase. This base is paired with the ribonucleotide at the 3′ end of the RNA, which is positioned above a 12-Å-diameter pore at the end of a funnel (also called the secondary channel) to the protein exterior through which NTPs presumably gain access to the otherwise sealed-off active site.

The hybrid helix adopts a nonstandard conformation intermediate between those of A- and B-DNAs, which is underwound relative to that in the X-ray structure of an RNA–DNA hybrid helix alone (Fig. 24-4). Nearly all contacts that the protein makes with the RNA and DNA are to their sugar–phosphate backbones; none are with the edges of their bases. The specificity of the enzyme for a ribonucleotide rather than a deoxyribonucleotide appears due to the enzyme's recognition of both the incoming ribose sugar and the RNA–DNA hybrid helix. After about one turn of hybrid helix, a loop extending from the clamp known as the

(a)

(b)

FIG. 26-13 The RNA polymerase II elongation complex. (*a*) X-Ray structure of the complex as viewed from the bottom of Fig. 26-12*a* (portions of Rpb2 that form the near side of the cleft have been removed to expose the bound RNA–DNA complex). The protein is represented by its backbone in which the clamp, which is closed over the downstream DNA duplex, is yellow, the bridge helix is green, and the remaining portions of the protein are gray. The template DNA strand (*cyan*), the nontemplate DNA strand (*green*), and the newly synthesized RNA (*red*) are drawn with their well-ordered portions in ladder form and their less ordered portions in backbone form. An active site Mg^{2+} ion is represented by a red sphere. (*b*) Cutaway diagram of the transcribing complex in Part *a* in which the cut surfaces of the protein are light gray, its remaining surfaces are darker gray, and several of its functionally important structural features are labeled. The DNA, RNA, and active site Mg^{2+} ion are colored as in Part *a* with portions of the DNA and RNA that are not visible in the X-ray structure represented by dashed lines. α-Amanitin (*orange ball*) is discussed in Box 26-2. [Modified from diagrams by Roger Kornberg, Stanford University. PDBid 1I6H.]

"rudder" separates the RNA and template DNA strands, thereby permitting the DNA double helix to re-form as it exits the enzyme (although the unpaired 5′ tail of the nontemplate strand and the 3′ tail of the template strand are disordered in the X-ray structure).

How does RNAP translocate its bound DNA–RNA assembly at the end of each catalytic cycle? A highly conserved helical segment of Rpb1 called the "bridge" (because it bridges the two pincers forming the enzyme's cleft) nonspecifically contacts the template DNA base at the $i+1$ position. The bridge is straight in the X-ray structures of RNAP II (Figs. 26-12*a* and 26-13*a*) but bent in the X-ray structure of the bacterial RNAPs (Fig. 26-2). If the bridge helix, in fact, alternates between its straight and bent conformations, it would move by 3 to 4 Å. Kornberg has therefore speculated that translocation occurs through the bending of the bridge helix so as to push the paired nucleotides at position $i + 1$ to position $i - 1$. The recovery of the bridge helix to its straight conformation would then yield an empty site at position $i + 1$ for entry of the next NTP, thereby preparing the enzyme for a new round of nucleotide addition. The reversal of this process is presumably prevented by the binding of the next substrate NTP, and hence this mechanism is that of a **Brownian ratchet** [in which otherwise random thermal (Brownian) back-and-forth fluctuations are converted (rectified) to coherent forward motion by inhibiting the backward motion].

RNAP II selects its substrate ribonucleotide through a two-stage process. The incoming NTP first binds to the so-called E (for *e*ntry) site (**Fig. 26-14**), which exhibits no selectivity for the identity of its base. The NTP then pivots to enter the A (for *a*ddition) site, which accepts only an NTP that forms a Watson–Crick base pair with the template base in the $i + 1$ position. This process is mediated by the RNAP's so-called trigger loop, which swings in beneath the correctly base-paired NTP in the A site to form an extensive hydrogen-bonded network involving both the NTP and other portions of the RNAP, interactions that acutely discriminate against dNTPs.

RNAPs Can Correct Their Mistakes. RNAPs cannot read through a damaged template strand and consequently stall at the damage site. Moreover, if a deoxynucleotide or a mispaired ribonucleotide is mistakenly incorporated into RNA,

Courtesy of Dong Wang and Roger Kornberg, Stanford University

FIG. 26-14 The A and E sites and the trigger loop in RNA polymerase II. A cutaway view of the transcribing complex viewed as in Fig. 26-13. The template DNA is cyan, the nontemplate DNA green, newly transcribed RNA red, GTP in the A site orange, and ATP in the E site blue. The trigger loop is magenta, the bridge helix is green, and the two Mg^{2+} ions at the active site are represented by magenta spheres. The RNAP II surface is gray. PDBid 2E2H.

the DNA–RNA hybrid helix becomes distorted, which also causes the RNAP to stall. How, then, do RNAPs avoid accumulating at damaged or mispaired sites, which, if it occurred on an essential gene, would be lethal?

RNAPs do not monotonically move forward along the template DNA. Instead, they frequently backtrack such that the RNA's penultimate nucleotide, which was in the $i - 1$ position, has re-entered the $i + 1$ position and the 3′-nucleotide, now in the $i + 2$ position, enters the secondary channel, where it binds in the so-called P (for *p*roofreading) site. If the forward movement of the RNA is impeded by damage to the template or by mispairing, further backtracking becomes favored so that several more ribonucleotides enter the secondary channel. The backtracking of only one or a few nucleotides is reversible. Otherwise, transcription is arrested until the RNA is hydrolytically cleaved at the active site. In *E. coli,* this requires the assistance of the homologous proteins **GreA** and/or **GreB,** whereas with RNAP II, this function is carried out by the unrelated protein **TFIIS.** These proteins induce the RNAP active site to hydrolyze the phosphodiester bond between the ribonucleotides in the $i + 1$ and $i - 1$ positions (a reaction that is not the reverse of the polymerase reaction since this would be pyrophosphorolysis). In this way, RNAP can correct its mistakes and resume RNA synthesis. RNAP I and RNAP III also efficiently correct their mistakes.

B Each Polymerase Recognizes a Different Type of Promoter

In eukaryotes, RNAP does not include a removable σ factor. Instead, a number of accessory proteins identify promoters and recruit RNAP to the transcription start site (as described more fully in Section 26-2C). As expected, eukaryotic promoters are more complex and diverse than prokaryotic promoters. In addition, the three eukaryotic RNAPs recognize different types of promoters.

Mammalian RNA Polymerase I Has a Bipartite Promoter. Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes contain multiple copies of their rRNA genes to meet the enormous demand for these rRNAs (which comprise, e.g., ~60% of a eukaryotic cell's RNA content). Since the numerous rRNA genes in a given eukaryotic cell have essentially identical sequences, its RNAP I recognizes only one promoter. Yet, in contrast to RNAP II and III promoters, RNAP I promoters are species specific; that is, an RNAP I recognizes only its own promoter and those of closely related species.

RNAP I promoters were identified by determining how the transcription rate of an rRNA gene is affected by a series of increasingly longer deletions approaching its transcription start site from either its upstream or its downstream side. Such studies have indicated, for example, that mammalian RNAP I requires a so-called **core promoter element,** which spans positions –31 to +6 and hence overlaps the transcribed region. However, efficient transcription also requires an **upstream promoter element,** which is located between residues –187 and –107. These elements, which are G + C–rich and ~85% identical, are bound by specific transcription factors which then recruit RNAP I to the transcription start site.

RNA Polymerase II Promoters Are Complex and Diverse. The promoters recognized by RNAP II are considerably longer and more diverse than those of prokaryotic genes. The structural genes expressed in all tissues (the housekeeping genes, which are thought to be constitutively transcribed) have GC-rich stretches of DNA located upstream from their transcription start sites. *These CpG islands* (*Box 25-4*) *function analogously to prokaryotic promoters.* On the other hand, structural genes that are selectively expressed in one or a few cell types often lack these GC-rich sequences. Instead, *they contain a core promoter of 40 to 50 nt*

that includes the transcription start site. Within the core promoter for a given gene are one or more conserved elements that act—for the most part in a cooperative fashion—to direct transcription initiation.

Among the best-known core promoter elements is the **TATA box**, an AT-rich sequence located 25 to 31 bp upstream from the transcription start site. The TATA box (consensus sequence TATA$^{A}_{T}$A$^{A}_{T}$) resembles the –10 region of a prokaryotic promoter (TATAAT), although it differs in its location relative to the transcription start site (–27 versus –10). Around two-thirds of protein-encoding genes lack a TATA box, but approximately half have a conserved 7-nt **Inr (initiator) element** that includes the initiating (+1) nucleotide. The consensus sequences and relative positions of several core promoter elements are shown in Fig. 26-15. Most of these elements have been identified from their conservation in many genes from different eukaryotic species, and their participation in RNAP II–directed transcription has been verified experimentally by mutating their sequences and/or positions and by introducing them into genes whose original core promoter elements had been deleted. None of the core promoter elements occurs in all promoters, although some general patterns are apparent; for example, core promoters that lack a TATA box use **MTE** or **DPE**, and vice versa. All of the core promoter elements, either singly or as sets, interact with their corresponding protein factors that in turn recruit RNAP II to the initiation site (Section 26-2C).

The gene region extending between about –50 and –110 also contains promoter elements. For instance, many eukaryotic structural genes have a conserved consensus sequence of CCAAT (the **CCAAT box**) located between about –70 and –90 whose alteration greatly reduces the gene's transcription rate. Evidently, *the sequences upstream of the core promoter constitute additional DNA-binding sites for proteins involved in transcription initiation.*

Enhancers Are Transcriptional Activators That Can Have Variable Positions and Orientations. *Perhaps the most surprising aspect of eukaryotic transcriptional control elements is that some of them need not have fixed positions and orientations relative to their corresponding transcribed sequences.* For example, the genome of **simian virus 40 (SV40),** in which such elements were first discovered, contains two repeated sequences of 72 bp each that are located upstream from the promoter for early gene expression. Transcription is unaffected if one of the repeats is deleted but is nearly eliminated when both are absent. The analysis of a series of SV40 mutants containing only one of the repeats demonstrated that its ability to stimulate transcription from its corresponding promoter is all but independent of its position and orientation. Indeed, transcription is unimpaired when this segment is several thousand base pairs upstream or downstream from the transcription start site. Gene segments with such properties are named **enhancers** to indicate that they differ from promoters, which are site-specific and strand-specific regarding transcription initiation.

FIG. 26-15 Some core promoter sequences for RNA polymerase II. The consensus sequence of each element is given (some positions can accommodate two or more nucleotides; N represents any nucleotide). The approximate positions of the various motifs are given relative to the transcription initiation (+1) site. Note that a specific core promoter may contain all, some, or none of these motifs. The **BRE (TFII*B* *r*ecognition *e*lement)** is an upstream extension of the TATA box. The **DPE (*d*own-stream core *p*romoter *e*lement)** and the **MTE (*m*otif *t*en *e*lement)** must be accompanied by an Inr.

? **Compare these sequences to the *E. coli* promoter in Fig. 26-6.**

Enhancers occur in cellular genes as well as eukaryotic viruses and are required for the full activities of their cognate promoters. Rather than interacting with RNAP II directly, *enhancers are recognized by specific transcription factors that stimulate RNAP II to bind to the corresponding but distant promoter.* This requires that the DNA between the enhancer and promoter loop around so that the transcription factor can simultaneously contact the enhancer and RNAP II at the promoter. Most cellular enhancers are associated with genes that are selectively expressed in specific tissues. It therefore seems, as we discuss in Section 28-3B, that *enhancers mediate much of the selective gene expression in eukaryotes.*

RNA Polymerase III Promoters Can Be Located Downstream from Their Transcription Start Sites. *The promoters of some genes transcribed by RNAP III are located entirely within the genes' transcribed regions.* In a gene for the 5S RNA of *Xenopus borealis,* deletions of base sequences that start from outside one or the other end of the transcribed portion of the gene prevent transcription only if they extend into the segment between nucleotides +40 and +80. This portion of the gene is effective as a promoter because it contains the binding site for a transcription factor that stimulates upstream binding of RNAP III. Further studies have shown, however, that the promoters of other RNAP III–transcribed genes lie entirely upstream of their start sites. These upstream sites also bind transcription factors that recruit RNAP III.

C Transcription Factors Are Required to Initiate Transcription

Differentiated eukaryotic cells possess a remarkable capacity for the selective expression of specific genes. The synthesis rates of a particular protein in two cells of the same organism may differ by as much as a factor of 10^9. Thus, for example, reticulocytes (immature red blood cells) synthesize large amounts of hemoglobin but no detectable insulin, whereas the pancreatic β cells produce large quantities of insulin but no hemoglobin. In contrast, prokaryotic systems generally exhibit no more than a thousandfold range in their transcription rates so that at least a few copies of all the proteins they encode are present in any cell. Nevertheless, *the basic mechanism for initiating transcription of structural genes is the same in eukaryotes and prokaryotes: Protein factors bind selectively to the promoter regions of DNA.* With class II promoters (those transcribed by RNAP II), a complex of at least six **general transcription factors** (**GTFs**; **Table 26-3**) operates as a formal equivalent of a prokaryotic σ factor. The structures of several eukaryotic transcription factors are described in Section 24-4C.

TABLE 26-3 Properties and Functions of the Eukaryotic General Transcription Factors

Factor	Number of Unique Subunits in Yeast	Mass in Yeast (kD)	Number of Unique Subunits in Humans	Mass in Humans (kD)	Functions
TFIIA	2	46	3	69	Stabilizes TBP and TAF binding
TFIIB	1	38	1	35	Stabilizes TBP binding; recruits RNAP II; influences start site selection
TFIID					
TBP	1	27	1	38	Recognizes TATA box; recruits TFIIA and TFIIB; has positive and negative regulatory functions
TAFs	14	824	14	1084	
TFIIE	2	184	2	165	An $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramer; recruits TFIIH and stimulates its helicase activity; enhances promoter melting
TFIIF	3	156	2	87	Facilitates promoter targeting; stimulates elongation
TFIIH	11	525	10	490	Contains Ssl2, an ATP-dependent helicase that functions in promoter melting and clearance, and a CTD kinase

The six GTFs, which are highly conserved from yeast to humans, are required for the synthesis of all mRNAs, even those with strong promoters. The GTFs allow a low (basal) level of transcription that can be augmented by the participation of other gene-specific factors. We will examine the actions of some of those other proteins in Section 28-3. The GTFs, whose names begin with TF (for *t*ranscription *f*actor) followed by the Roman numeral II to indicate that they are involved in transcription by RNAP II, combine with RNAP II and promoter DNA in an ordered sequence to form a **preinitiation complex (PIC)**.

PIC Formation Often Begins with TATA-Binding Protein Binding to the TATA Box. As indicated in Section 26-2B, the promoters of many eukaryotic structural genes contain a TATA box at around position –27. The GTFs are targeted to this and other sequences that make up the core promoter. The first transcription factor to bind to TATA box–containing promoters is the **TATA-binding protein (TBP),** which as its name indicates, binds to the TATA box and thereby helps identify the transcription start site. TBP is subsequently joined on the promoter by additional subunits to form, in humans, the ~1122-kD, 15-subunit complex **TFIID.**

The highly conserved C-terminal domain of TBP contains two ~40% identical direct repeats of 66 residues separated by a highly basic segment. Its X-ray structure, which was independently determined by Roger Kornberg and Stephen Burley, reveals a saddle-shaped protein that consists of two structurally similar domains arranged with pseudo-twofold symmetry (Fig. 26-16*a*). TBP's structure suggests that it could fit snugly astride an undistorted B-DNA helix. However, the X-ray structures of TBP–DNA complexes, independently determined by Burley and Paul Sigler, reveal a quite different interaction. The DNA indeed binds to the concave surface of TBP but with its duplex axis nearly perpendicular rather than parallel to the saddle's cylindrical axis (Fig. 26-16*b*). The bound DNA is kinked by ~45° between the first two base pairs of the 7-bp TATA box and between its last base pair and the succeeding base pair, thereby assuming a crank-like shape. The TBP, which undergoes little conformational change on binding

FIG. 26-16 X-Ray structures of TATA-binding protein (TBP). (*a*) A ribbon diagram of yeast TBP in the absence of DNA, in which α helices are red, β strands are yellow, and the remainder of the polypeptide backbone is cyan. The protein's pseudo-twofold axis of symmetry is vertical. [Based on an X-ray structure by Roger Kornberg, Stanford University. PDBid 1TBP.] (*b*) The structure of human TBP in complex with a 16-bp TATA box–containing duplex DNA. The DNA, which is largely in the B form, is drawn in stick form and colored according to atom type with sense strand C green, antisense strand C cyan, N blue, O red, and P orange. It enters its binding site with the 5′ end of the sense strand on the right and exits on the left with its helix axis nearly perpendicular to the page. The protein is drawn in worm form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The side chains of Phe residues 193, 210, 284, and 301, which induce sharp kinks in the DNA, are drawn in stick form (*magenta*). Between the kinks, which are located at each end of the TATA box, the DNA is partially unwound with the central eight strands of the protein's ten-stranded β sheet inserted into the DNA's greatly widened minor groove. The TBP does not contact the DNA's major groove. [Based on an X-ray structure by Stephen Burley, Structural GenomiX, Inc., San Diego, California. PDBid 1CDW.]

DNA, does so via hydrogen bonding and van der Waals interactions. The kinked and partially unwound DNA is stabilized by a wedge of two Phe side chains on each side of the saddle structure that pry apart the two base pairs flanking each kink from their minor groove sides. The bent conformation of DNA creates a stage for the assembly of other proteins to form the PIC.

The remaining components of TFIID are known as **TBP-associated factors (TAFs)**. Portions of 9 of the 14 TAFs, which are highly conserved from yeast to humans, are homologous to nonlinker histones (Section 24-5A). Indeed, X-ray and other studies suggest that four of the TAFs associate to form a nucleosome-like heterooctamer. Nevertheless, it is unlikely that the DNA wraps around the TAFs as it does in a nucleosome (Section 24-5A) because many of the histone residues that make critical contacts with the DNA in the nucleosome have not been conserved in the histone-like TAFs.

TFIIA, TFIIB, and TAFs Interact with TBP and RNAP II. The other GTFs required for basal transcription assemble as is diagrammed in Fig. 26-17. The PIC requires,

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 26-17 The assembly of the preinitiation complex (PIC) on a TATA box–containing promoter. [After Zawel, L. and Reinberg, D., *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **4,** 490 (1992).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Courtesy Stephen Burley, Strutural GenomiX, San Diego, CA

(*a*)

Courtesy Roger Kornberg, Stanford University School of Medicine

(*b*)

FIG. 26-18 Structural models for the interactions of TFIIB. (*a*) Model of the TFIIA–TBP–TFIIB$_C$–promoter complex based on the independently determined X-ray crystal structures of the TFIIA–TBP–promoter and TFIIB$_C$–TBP–promoter complexes. The DNA (*white sticks*) has been extended in both directions beyond the TATA box. The pseudosymmetrical TBP (*cyan and purple*), viewed from above, induces two sharp kinks in the DNA, giving it a cranklike shape. Both TFIIA (*yellow and green*) and TFIIB$_C$ (*magenta and red*) interact with TBP, but each interacts with independent sites on the DNA. The transcription start site (+1) is at the left. Based on PDBids 1YTF and 1VOL. (*b*) Model of the TFIIB–TBP–RNAP II–promoter open complex based on the X-ray structures of the TFIIB$_N$–RNAP II and TFIIB$_C$–TBP-promoter complexes. The view of the RNAP II is approximately from the top of Fig. 26-13 after it has been rotated 90° about the horizontal axis. The proteins are represented by their solvent-accessible surfaces with TFIIB red, TBP purple, and the various portions of RNAP II in other colors. The DNA is drawn in cartoon form with its template and nontemplate strands cyan and green and with the dotted segments representing its transcription bubble. Its upstream end is at the upper right. Note how the leftmost portion of TFIIB, its B finger, extends into the active center of RNAP II to contact the DNA. Based on PDBids 3K7A, 1R5u, and 1VOL.

at a minimum, TBP, **TFIIB, TFIIE, TFIIF,** and **TFIIH.** TFIIB consists of two domains, an N-terminal domain (**TFIIB$_N$**), which interacts with RNAP II, and a C-terminal domain (**TFIIB$_C$**), which binds DNA and interacts with TBP. The X-ray structures of TFIIA–TBP–DNA and TFIIB$_C$–TBP–DNA complexes have permitted the generation of a plausible model for the TFIIA–TFIIB$_C$–TBP–DNA complex (Fig. 26-18*a*). The three proteins bind to the DNA just upstream from the transcription start site, leaving ample room for additional proteins and RNAP II to bind. Since the pseudosymmetric TBP has been shown to bind to the TATA box in either orientation, it appears that base-specific interactions between TFIIB and the promoter function to position TFIIB to properly orient the TBP on the promoter.

In the final steps of PIC formation (Fig. 26-17), TFIIF recruits RNAP II to the promoter in a manner reminiscent of the way that σ factor interacts with bacterial RNAP. In fact, the second largest of TFIIF's three subunits is homologous to σ^{70}, the predominant *E. coli* σ factor, and, moreover, can specifically interact with bacterial RNAPs (although it does not participate in promoter recognition). Finally, TFIIE and TFIIH join the assembly. Once this complex has been assembled, **Ssl2,** the ATP-dependent helicase subunit of TFIIH, induces the formation of the open complex so that RNA synthesis can commence (see below). Note that the human PIC, exclusive of the ~14-subunit RNAP II, contains 33 subunits (Table 26-3), which makes the PIC larger than a ribosome (Section 28-3A). Many of the proteins in the PIC are targets of transcriptional regulators.

The X-ray structure of the TFIIB$_N$–RNAP II complex, determined by Kornberg, reveals that TFIIB$_N$ contacts the "dock" domain of RNAP II near its RNA exit channel and that TFIIB$_N$ inserts its "finger" domain into the active center of RNAP II. A model of the TFIIB–TBP–RNAP II–DNA complex based on this X-ray structure together with that of the TFIIB$_C$–TBP–DNA complex is shown in Fig. 26-18*b*.

The cryo-EM structure of the yeast PIC in its closed state (Fig. 26-19), also determined by Kornberg, reveals that the PIC consists of two well-separated lobes, one containing the GTFs and the other containing RNAP II. Unexpectedly, the promoter DNA is associated only with the GTFs; it does not contact the

FIG. 26-19 The cryo-EM structure of the yeast PIC shown in cutaway form. (*a*) The surfaces have been cut to reveal the DNA, which is drawn in ladder form with one strand purple and the other strand green. The cut surfaces are gray. TFIIK is a three-subunit module of TFIIH. The X-ray structures of TBP (*green*) in complex with TATA box DNA, $TFIIB_C$ (*red*), and TFIIA (*cyan*), displayed in ribbon form, were fitted into the Cryo-EM electron density. (*b*) Part *a* rotated by 180° about the vertical axis. TFIIF is not visible in either drawing. [Courtesy of Roger Kornberg, Stanford University.]

RNAP II. The DNA is held above the cleft in the RNAP II by interactions with TFIIB, TFIID (its TBP subunit), and TFIIE at the upstream end of the cleft (the TATA box-containing region), and with TFIIH (its Ssl2 helicase subunit) at the downstream end. The Ssl2 helicase functions to open the transcription bubble in the intervening DNA by untwisting it, thus permitting the otherwise rigidly straight DNA to enter the RNAP II cleft.

Promoters That Lack a TATA Box Also Bind TBP. Since the TATA-binding protein is a component of TFIID, a general transcription factor for RNAP II, how does the preinitiation complex form properly at TATA-less promoters? In many cases, the presence of the Inr element is sufficient to direct RNAP II to the correct start site. These systems require the participation of many of the same GTFs that initiate transcription from TATA box–containing promoters. Surprisingly, they also require TBP. This suggests that with TATA-less promoters, Inr recruits TFIID such that its component TBP binds to the –30 region in a sequence-nonspecific manner. Indeed, in Inr-containing promoters that also contain a TATA box, the two elements act synergistically to promote transcriptional initiation. Nevertheless, a mutant TBP that is defective in TATA box binding will support efficient transcription from some TATA-less promoters although not from others. This suggests that the former promoters do not require a stable interaction with TBP. Consequently, the scheme outlined in Fig. 26-17 should be taken as a flexible framework for RNAP II transcription initiation in eukaryotes, with the exact protein requirements depending on the nature of the promoter and the presence of additional protein factors.

TBP Is a Universal Transcription Factor. RNAP I and RNAP III require different sets of GTFs from each other and from RNAP II to initiate transcription at their respective promoters. This is not unexpected considering the very different organizations of these three classes of promoters (Section 26-2B). Indeed, the promoters recognized by RNAP I (class I promoters) and nearly all those recognized by RNAP III (class III promoters) lack TATA boxes. Thus, it came as a surprise when it was demonstrated that *TBP is required for initiation by both RNAP I and RNAP III.* TBP participates by combining with different sets of TAFs to form the GTFs **SLI** (with class I promoters) and **TFIIIB** (with class III promoters). As with certain class II TATA-less promoters, a TBP mutant that is

defective for TATA box binding can still support *in vitro* transcriptional initiation by both RNAP I and RNAP III. Clearly, TBP, the only known universal transcription factor, is an unusually versatile protein.

Elongation Requires Different Transcription Factors. After RNAP II initiates RNA synthesis and successfully produces a short transcript, the transcription machinery undergoes a transition to the elongation mode. The switch appears to involve displacement of the finger domain of TFIIB, which would otherwise clash with the growing RNA chain in the active site, as well as phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of RNAP II's Rpb1 subunit. Phosphorylated RNAP II releases some of the transcription-initiating factors and advances beyond the promoter region. In fact, when RNAP II moves away from ("clears") the promoter, it leaves behind some GTFs, including TFIID. These proteins can reinitiate transcription by recruiting another RNAP II to the promoter. Consequently, *the first RNAP to transcribe a gene may act as a "pioneer" polymerase that helps pave the way for additional rounds of transcription.*

During elongation, a six-protein complex called **Elongator** binds to the phosphorylated CTD of Rpb1, taking the place of the jettisoned transcription factors. Although Elongator is not essential for transcription by RNAP II *in vitro,* its presence accelerates transcription. Interestingly, TFIIF and TFIIH remain associated with the polymerase during elongation. Well over a dozen other proteins are known to associate with an elongating RNAP II. Some of the proteins are involved in processing the nascent RNA, modifying the packaging of the DNA template, and terminating transcription.

Eukaryotes Lack Precise Transcription Termination Sites. The sequences signaling transcriptional termination in eukaryotes have not been identified. This is largely because the termination process is imprecise; that is, the primary transcripts of a given structural gene have heterogeneous 3′ sequences. *However, a precise termination site is not required because the transcript undergoes processing that includes endonucleolytic cleavage at a specific site* (see below). The endonuclease may act even while the polymerase is still transcribing, so RNA cleavage itself may signal the polymerase to stop.

CHECKPOINT

- What are the functions of the three eukaryotic RNA polymerases?
- Explain the functions of RNAP II's CTD, clamp, wall, funnel, rudder, bridge, and trigger.
- What are the advantages of having multiple types of promoters and enhancers?
- Summarize the role of TBP in transcription initiation in eukaryotes.
- Explain why both the sequence and structure of DNA help recruit RNA polymerase.
- Describe the assembly of the RNAP II preinitiation complex.
- Why is TBP considered to be a universal transcription factor?

3 Posttranscriptional Processing

KEY CONCEPTS

- Eukaryotic mRNAs are modified by a 5′ cap and a 3′ poly(A) tail.
- Eukaryotic genes include introns that must be spliced out by the action of snRNPs in the spliceosome.
- A single gene can generate several protein products through alternative mRNA splicing.
- Prokaryotic and eukaryotic rRNA and tRNA precursors are variously processed by endonucleolytic cleavage, covalent modification, splicing, and nucleotide addition.

The immediate products of transcription, the **primary transcripts**, are not necessarily functional. In order to acquire biological activity, many of them must be specifically altered: (1) by the exo- and endonucleolytic removal of polynucleotide segments; (2) by appending nucleotide sequences to their 3′ and 5′ ends; and/or (3) by the modification of specific nucleotide residues. The three major classes of RNA—mRNA, rRNA, and tRNA—are altered in different ways in prokaryotes and in eukaryotes. In this section, we outline these **posttranscriptional modification** processes.

A Messenger RNAs Undergo 5′ Capping and Addition of a 3′ Tail

In prokaryotes, most primary mRNA transcripts are translated without further modification. Indeed, protein synthesis usually begins before transcription is complete (Section 27-4). In eukaryotes, however, mRNAs are synthesized in the cell nucleus, whereas translation occurs in the cytosol. Eukaryotic mRNA transcripts can therefore undergo extensive posttranscriptional processing while still in the nucleus.

Eukaryotic mRNAs Have 5′ Caps. *Eukaryotic mRNAs have a* ***cap*** *structure consisting of a* ***7-methylguanosine (m^{7}G)*** *residue joined to the transcript's initial (5′) nucleotide via an unusual 5′–5′ triphosphate bridge* (**Fig. 26-20**). The cap, which is added to the growing transcript when it is ~30 nt long, identifies the eukaryotic translation start site (Section 27-4A). Capping involves several enzymatic reactions: (1) the removal of the leading phosphate group from the mRNA's 5′ terminal triphosphate group by an **RNA triphosphatase;** (2) the guanylylation of the mRNA by **capping enzyme** (also called **guanylyltransferase**), which requires GTP and yields the 5′–5′ triphosphate bridge and PP_i; and (3) the methylation of guanine by **guanine-7-methyltransferase,** in which the methyl group is supplied by *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM). In addition, the cap may be $O^{2'}$-methylated at the first and second nucleotides of the transcript by a SAM-requiring **2′-*O*-methyltransferase.** Capping enzyme binds to RNAP II's phosphorylated CTD (Section 26-2A), which ensures that only mRNAs are capped. The 5′ cap is then bound by **cap-binding complex (CBC),** which also associates with the phosphorylated CTD. Capping, which marks the completion of RNAP II's switch from transcription initiation to elongation, renders mRNAs resistant to 5′-exonucleolytic degradation.

FIG. 26-20 Structure of the 5′ cap of eukaryotic mRNAs. A cap may be $O^{2'}$-methylated at the transcript's leading nucleoside (the predominant cap in multicellular organisms), at its first two nucleosides, or at neither of those positions (the predominant cap in unicellular eukaryotes). If the first nucleoside is adenosine (it is usually a purine), it may also be N^6-methylated.

? Describe the actions of the enzymes required to make each of the modifications shown.

Eukaryotic mRNAs Have Poly(A) Tails. Eukaryotic RNA transcripts have heterogeneous 3′ sequences because the transcription termination process is imprecise. *Mature eukaryotic mRNAs, however, have well-defined 3′ ends terminating in* ***poly(A) tails*** of ~250 nt (~80 nt in yeast). The poly(A) tails are enzymatically appended to the primary transcripts in two reactions:

1. A transcript is cleaved 10 to 20 nt downstream from a highly conserved AAUAAA sequence and less than 50 nt before a less-conserved U-rich or G + U–rich sequence. The precision of this cleavage reaction has apparently eliminated the need for accurate transcription termination. Nevertheless, the identity of the endonuclease that cleaves the RNA is uncertain although **cleavage factors I** and **II (CFI** and **CFII)** are required for this process.
2. The poly(A) tail is subsequently generated from ATP through the stepwise action of **poly(A) polymerase (PAP),** a template-independent RNA polymerase that elongates an mRNA primer with a free 3′-OH group. PAP is activated by **cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF)** when the latter protein recognizes the AAUAAA sequence. Once the poly(A) tail has grown to ~10 residues, the AAUAAA sequence is no longer required for further chain elongation. This suggests that CPSF becomes disengaged from its recognition site in a manner reminiscent of the way σ factor is released from the transcription initiation site once the elongation of prokaryotic RNA is under-way.

CPSF binds to the phosphorylated RNAP II CTD; deleting the CTD inhibits polyadenylation. Evidently, the CTD couples polyadenylation to transcription, that is, polyadenylation occurs cotransciptionally.

PAP is part of a 500- to 1000-kD complex that also contains the proteins required for mRNA cleavage. Consequently, the cleaved transcript is polyadenylated before it can dissociate and be digested by cellular nucleases (see below). The maximum length of the poly(A) tail may be determined by the stoichiometric binding of multiple copies of **poly(A) binding protein II (PAB II).**

In vitro studies indicate that a poly(A) tail is not required for mRNA translation. Rather, the observation that an mRNA's poly(A) tail shortens as it ages in the cytosol suggests that poly(A) tails have a protective role. In fact, the only mature mRNAs that lack poly(A) tails, those encoding histones (which are required in large quantities only during the relatively short period during the cell cycle when DNA is being replicated), have cytosolic lifetimes of <30 min versus hours or days for most other mRNAs. The poly(A) tails are specifically complexed in the cytosol by **poly(A)-binding protein** (**PABP;** not related to PAB II), which organizes poly(A)-bearing mRNA's into ribonucleoprotein particles. PABP is thought to protect mRNA from degradation as is suggested, for example, by the observation that the addition of PABP to a cell-free system containing mRNA and mRNA-degrading nucleases greatly reduces the rate at which the mRNAs are degraded and the rate at which their poly(A) tails are shortened. However, as we will see (Section 28-3C), the degradation of mRNAs is regulated by multiple signals, not just the lengths of their poly(A) tails.

The cleavage of a transcript past its AAUAAA sequence does not, in itself, terminate transcription. However, in yeast, the protein **Rtt103,** which binds to the phosphorylated CTD of RNAP II, recognizes the AAUAAA sequence and recruits the 5′ → 3′ exonuclease known as **Rat1** (**Xrn2** in humans). Then, in what is termed the **torpedo model,** the highly processive Rat1/Xrn2 loads onto the newly liberated and uncapped 5′ end of the still nascent RNA and rapidly degrades it until it intercepts the RNAP and induces it to terminate RNA synthesis. It has been hypothesized that this occurs in much the same way as Rho factor terminates bacterial transcription (Section 26-1D). This frees the RNAP to initiate a new round of transcription.

B Splicing Removes Introns from Eukaryotic Genes

The most striking difference between eukaryotic and prokaryotic structural genes is that *the coding sequences of most eukaryotic genes are interspersed with unexpressed regions.* The primary transcripts, also called **pre-mRNAs** or **heterogeneous nuclear RNAs (hnRNAs)**, are variable in length and are much larger (~2000 to >20,000 nt) than expected from the known sizes of eukaryotic proteins. Rapid labeling experiments demonstrated that little of the hnRNA is ever transported to the cytosol; most of it is quickly degraded in the nucleus. Yet the hnRNA's 5′ caps and 3′ tails eventually appear in cytosolic mRNAs. The straightforward explanation of these observations, that pre-mRNAs are processed by the excision of internal sequences, seemed so bizarre that it came as a great surprise in 1977 when Phillip Sharp and Richard Roberts independently demonstrated that this is actually the case (Box 26-3). Thus, *pre-mRNAs are processed by the excision of nonexpressed **intervening sequences (introns)**, following which the flanking **expressed sequences (exons)** are **spliced** (joined) together.*

A pre-mRNA typically contains eight introns whose aggregate length averages 4 to 10 times that of its exons. This situation is graphically illustrated in Fig. 26-21,

From Chambon, P., *Sci. Am.* **244** (5), 61 (1981)

FIG. 26-21 The chicken ovalbumin gene and its mRNA. The electron micrograph and its interpretive drawing show the hybridization of the antisense (template) strand of the chicken ovalbumin gene and its corresponding mRNA. The complementary segments of the DNA (*blue line in drawing*) and mRNA (*green dashed line*) have annealed to reveal the exon positions (*L*, 1–7). The looped-out segments (I–VII), which have no complementary sequences in the mRNA, are the introns.

Box 26-3 Pathways of Discovery Richard Roberts and Phillip Sharp and the Discovery of Introns

Richard J. Roberts (1943–)
Phillip A. Sharp (1944–)

For several decades following the discovery that DNA is the genetic material in all organisms, it was believed that genes were continuous sequences of nucleic acid. A messenger RNA molecule was thought to be a faithful copy of the gene, aligning exactly with the DNA sequence. In fact, this is largely true for simple genetic systems such as bacteria and bacteriophages, which were widely used in early studies of molecular biology. However, Richard Roberts and Phillip Sharp, working independently, showed in 1977 that genes could be discontinuous. We now understand that the mRNA segments corresponding to these "split genes" must be spliced together in order for the gene to be properly expressed.

Richard Roberts began his scientific career as an organic chemist. While working on his thesis, he read a book by John Kendrew (who had determined the X-ray structure of myoglobin; Section 7-1A) and became hooked on the new field of molecular biology. His first project in the world of nucleic acids was to determine the sequence of nucleotides in a tRNA molecule. He was able to take advantage of new sequencing techniques devised by Frederick Sanger (who subsequently developed the dideoxy sequencing method; Section 3-4C). Roberts next turned his attention to restriction endonucleases. He recognized that the enzymes could be invaluable tools for cutting large DNA molecules down to size, including the adenovirus-2 genome that he began to characterize.

During roughly the same period, Phillip Sharp completed a thesis describing his use of statistical and physical theories to describe the DNA polymer. By his own admission, he was not a skilled experimenter. His outlook changed, however, when he joined a molecular biology laboratory that made extensive use of electron microscopy to examine DNA–RNA heteroduplexes. When Sharp subsequently turned to the study of eukaryotic gene expression, the only practical experimental systems were animal viruses with DNA genomes, such as adenovirus-2. Because that virus, which is a cause of the common cold, infects mammalian cells, its genes were thought to resemble those of its host.

Thus, in the mid-1970s, both Roberts (at the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory on Long Island, New York) and Sharp (at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology) came to be mapping the adenovirus genome. They located viral genes by obtaining expressed mRNA molecules and hybridizing them to segments of the viral DNA. Sharp observed that the nuclei of virus-infected cells accumulated viral mRNAs that were not transported to the cytoplasm, and he speculated that the nuclear mRNAs were processed in order to generate the cytoplasmic mRNAs. Meanwhile, Roberts observed that "late" (mature) mRNAs began with an oligonucleotide that was not encoded in the DNA next to the main body of the mRNA.

Both scientists prepared samples in which the mRNA was allowed to hybridize with the DNA, and then visualized the hybrid by electron microscopy. The results were as exciting as they were unexpected: A single mRNA molecule hybridized with as many as four well-separated segments of the DNA molecule, so that the unpaired DNA sequences between the hybrid segments looped out (as in Fig. 26-21). The inescapable conclusion was that viral genetic information was organized discontinuously, a notion that contradicted commonly held views about the nature of genes. Nevertheless, other researchers were eager to see whether split genes occurred in other viruses and animal cells. Within a year, similar results were confirmed for a handful of other genes. Subsequent research showed that most animal genes are discontinuous. The sequences that are ultimately expressed were termed exons, and the intervening sequences that did not appear in the mature mRNA were named introns. For their discoveries of split genes, Roberts and Sharp shared the 1993 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine.

The existence of split genes solved some biochemical puzzles but, as is always the case with important discoveries, introduced new ones: How are introns cut out and the remaining exons joined together? Are the same exons spliced together in all cells? Could evolution occur more rapidly through exon shuffling? Answers to these questions are still being refined.

Chow, L.T., Gelinas, R.E., Broker, T.R., and Roberts, R.J., An amazing sequence arrangement at the 5′ ends of adenovirus 2 messenger RNA, *Cell* **12,** 1–8 (1977).
Berget, S.M., Moore, C., and Sharp, P.A., Spliced segments at the 5′ terminus of adenovirus 2 late mRNA, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **74,** 3171–3175 (1977).

which is an electron micrograph of chicken **ovalbumin** mRNA hybridized to the antisense (template) strand of the ovalbumin gene (ovalbumin is the major protein component of egg white). In humans, the number of introns in a gene varies from none to 364 (in the ~2400-kb gene encoding the 35,213-residue muscle protein **titin,** the largest known gene and single-chain protein; Section 7-2A) with an average number of ~8. Intron lengths range from ~65 to ~800,000 nt (in the gene encoding the muscle protein **dystrophin;** Section 7-2A) with an average length of ~1800 nt. Exons, in contrast, have lengths that average 123 nt and range up to 17,106 nt (in the gene encoding titin). The introns from corresponding genes in two vertebrate species rarely vary in number and position, but often differ extensively in length and sequence so as to bear little resemblance to one another.

The production of a translation-competent eukaryotic mRNA begins with the transcription of the entire gene, including its introns (**Fig. 26-22**). Capping occurs soon after initiation, and splicing commences during the elongation phase of transcription. The mature mRNA emerges only after splicing is complete and the RNA has been polyadenylated. The mRNA is then transported to the cytosol, where the ribosomes are located, for translation into protein.

FIG. 26-22 The sequence of steps in the production of mature eukaryotic mRNA. This example shows the chicken ovalbumin gene. Following transcription, the primary transcript is capped and polyadenylated. The introns are excised and the exons spliced together to form the mature mRNA. Note that capping and splicing may occur cotranscriptionally, so that introns nearer the capped 5′ end may be excised before transcription of the 3′ end is complete.

Exons Are Spliced in a Two-Stage Reaction. Sequence comparisons of exon–intron junctions from a diverse group of eukaryotes indicate that they have a high degree of homology (Fig. 26-23), with most of them having an invariant GU at the intron's 5′ boundary and an invariant AG at its 3′ boundary. Although these highly conserved sequences are necessary to define splice junctions, they provide insufficient information to reliably do so. We shall see below that numerous other signals participate in splice site definition.

The splicing reaction occurs via two transesterification reactions (Fig. 26-24):

1. A 2′,5′-phosphodiester bond forms between an intron adenosine residue and the intron's 5′-terminal phosphate group. The 5′ exon is thereby released and the intron assumes a novel **lariat structure** (so called because of its shape). The adenosine at the lariat branch point, which forms three phosphodiester bonds, is typically located in a conserved sequence 20 to 50 residues upstream of the 3′ splice site. Mutations that change this branch point A residue abolish splicing at that site.
2. The 5′ exon's free 3′-OH group displaces the 3′ end of the intron, forming a phosphodiester bond with the 5′-terminal phosphate of the 3′ exon and yielding the spliced product. The intron is thereby eliminated in its lariat form with a free 3′-OH group. Mutations that alter the conserved AG at the 3′ splice junction block this second step, although they do not interfere with lariat formation. The lariat is eventually debranched (linearized) and, *in vivo,* is rapidly degraded.

FIG. 26-23 The consensus sequences at the exon–intron junctions of eukaryotic pre-mRNAs. The subscripts indicate the percentage of pre-mRNAs in which the specified base(s) occurs. Note that the 3′ splice site is preceded by a tract of 11 predominantly pyrimidine nucleotides. [Based on data from Padgett, R.A., Grabowski, P.J., Konarska, M.M., Seiler, S.S., and Sharp, P.A., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **55,** 1123 (1986).]

FIG. 26-24 The splicing reaction. Two transesterification reactions splice together the exons of eukaryotic pre-mRNAs. The exons and introns are drawn in blue and orange, and R and Y represent purine and pyrimidine residues. (**1**) The 2′-OH group of a specific intron A residue nucleophilically attacks the 5′-phosphate at the 5′ intron boundary to displace the 3′ end of the 5′ exon, thereby yielding a 2′,5′-phosphodiester bond and forming a lariat structure. (**2**) The liberated 3′-OH group attacks the 5′-phosphate of the 5′-terminal residue of the 3′ exon, forming a 3′,5′-phosphodiester bond, thereby displacing the intron in lariat form and splicing the two exons together.

? How many bonds are broken and how many are formed during splicing?

Note that the splicing process proceeds without free energy input; its transesterification reactions preserve the free energy of each cleaved phosphodiester bond through the concomitant formation of a new one. The sequences required for splicing are the short consensus sequences at the 3′ and 5′ splice sites and at the branch site. Nevertheless, these sequences are poorly conserved, and even highly sophisticated computer programs are only ~50% successful in predicting actual splice sites over apparently equally good candidates that are not.

A simplistic interpretation of Fig. 26-24 suggests that any 5′ splice site could be joined with any following 3′ splice site, thereby eliminating all the intervening exons together with the introns joining them. However, such **exon skipping** does not normally take place (but see below). Rather, all of a pre-mRNA's introns are individually excised in what appears to be a largely fixed order that more or less proceeds in the 5′ → 3′ direction. This occurs, at least in part, because splicing occurs cotranscriptionally. Thus, as a newly synthesized exon emerges from an RNAP II, it is bound by splicing factors (see below) that are also bound to the RNAP II's highly phosphorylated CTD. This tethers the exon and its associated splicing machinery to the CTD so as to ensure that splicing occurs when the next exon emerges from the RNAP II.

Splicing Is Mediated by snRNPs in the Spliceosome. How are splice junctions recognized and how are the two exons to be joined brought together in the splicing process? Part of the answer to these questions was established by Joan Steitz going on the assumption that one nucleic acid is best recognized by another. The eukaryotic nucleus contains numerous copies of highly conserved 60- to 300-nt RNAs called **small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs)**, which form protein complexes termed **small nuclear ribonucleoproteins** (**snRNPs**; pronounced "snurps"). Steitz noted that the 5′ end of one of these snRNAs, **U1-snRNA** (which is so named because of its high uridine content), is partially complementary to the consensus sequence of 5′ splice junctions. Apparently, *U1-snRNP recognizes the 5′ splice junction.* Other snRNPs that participate in splicing are **U2-snRNP, U4–U6-snRNP** (in which the **U4-** and **U6-snRNAs** associate via base pairing), and **U5-snRNP.**

Splicing takes place in an ~60S particle dubbed the **spliceosome** that brings together a pre-mRNA, the snRNPs, and a variety of pre-mRNA binding proteins. The spliceosome, which in humans consists of 5 RNAs and >300 polypeptides, is arguably the cell's most complicated machine. It is a highly dynamic entity, with its various components associating and dissociating during specific stages of the splicing process. This includes several ATP-driven rearrangements of base-paired stems among the snRNAs, as the spliceosome carries out the two transesterification reactions. The U6-snRNA coordinates a catalytically essential metal ion that enhances the nucleophilicity of the attacking OH group and stabilizes the leaving group. The existence of self-splicing introns (see below) and the results of experiments with protein-free snRNAs strongly suggest that the RNA components of the spliceosome, rather than the proteins, catalyze splicing.

All four snRNPs involved in mRNA splicing contain the same so-called **snRNP core protein,** which consists of seven **Sm proteins,** named **B, D1, D2, D3, E, F,** and **G proteins.** These proteins collectively bind to a conserved AAUUUGUGG sequence known as the **Sm RNA motif,** which occurs in U1-, U2-, U4-, and U5-snRNAs. The X-ray structures of the D3–B and D1–D_2 heterodimers reveal that these four proteins share a common fold, which consists of an N-terminal helix followed by a five-stranded antiparallel sheet that is strongly bent so as to form a hydrophobic core (**Fig. 26-25**). Model building based on the X-ray structures together with biochemical and mutagenic evidence suggest that the seven Sm proteins form a heptameric ring in which the fourth β strand of one Sm protein interacts with the fifth β strand of an adjacent Sm protein through main-chain hydrogen bonding. The funnel-shaped central hole of the Sm ring, which is lined with positive charges, is large enough to accommodate snRNA. An Sm-like protein from the hyperthermophilic archaebacterium *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* forms a homoheptameric ring, suggesting that the seven eukaryotic Sm proteins arose through a series of duplications of an archaeal Sm-like protein gene.

Mammalian U1-snRNP consists of U1-snRNA and ten proteins, namely, the seven Sm proteins that are common to all U-snRNPs as well as three that are specific to U1-snRNP: **U1-70K, U1-A,** and **U1-C.** The predicted secondary structure of the 165-nt U1-snRNA (**Fig. 26-26*a***) contains five double-helical stems, four of which come together at a four-way junction. U1-70K and U1-A bind directly to RNA stem–loops (SL) 1 and 2, respectively, whereas U1-C is bound by other proteins.

Kiyoshi Nagai determined the X-ray structure of human U1 snRNP at 5.5 Å resolution (**Fig. 26-26*b,c***). At this low resolution the major and minor grooves of the double-stranded RNA stems are visible. Moreover, the helices and sheets of the proteins are apparent, which allows the placement of protein folds of known structure. SL2 of the U1-snRNA had been altered and shortened to promote crystallization, which eliminated the binding site for U1-A. However, U1 snRNP in which U1-A is depleted is active in a splicing assay. The Sm proteins form the predicted heteroheptameric ring, which is ~70 Å in diameter. The Sm RNA motif and SL4 are threaded through the ring's funnel-shaped central hole such that the Sm RNA motif interacts with the Sm proteins. U1-C associates with the Sm ring via an interaction between its zinc finger domain and the D3 subunit.

Fortuitously, the 5′ ends of two neighboring U1-snRNAs in the crystal form a double-helical segment, which serves as a model for how the 5′ end of U1-snRNA base-pairs with the 5′ splice site of the pre-mRNA in the spliceosome. The zinc finger domain of U1-C interacts with this double helix (left side of Fig. 26-26*c*) and presumably stabilizes it. This is consistent with the observation that mutants of U1-C in this region cannot initiate spliceosome formation.

Courtesy of Kiyoshi Nagai, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, UK

FIG. 26-25 X-Ray structure of an Sm dimer. The D3 protein is gold and the B protein is blue. The β5 strand of D3 associates with the β4 strand of B to form a continuous antiparalle β sheet. Note that their corresponding loops extend in similar directions. PDBid 1D3B.

Gene Splicing Offers Evolutionary Advantages. Analysis of the large body of known DNA sequences reveals that introns are rare in prokaryotic structural

(a)

(b)

(c)

FIG. 26-26 X-Ray structure of human U1-snRNP. (*a*) The predicted secondary structure of U1-snRNA with the RNA segments at which the proteins U1-70K and U1-A bind is indicated. The Greek letter Ψ (psi) is the symbol for **pseudouridine** residues (Section 26-3C). (*b*) The seven Sm proteins, each shown in ribbon form and differently colored, form a ring. U1-C and U1-70K are shown in shades of orange (U1-A is not visible in this model). The RNA is shown in paddle form in gray. The orange spheres represent the selenium atoms in selenomethionine residues (Met with its S atom replaced by Se), which were mutagenically inserted into the proteins to aid in solving the structure. (*c*) The structure as viewed from above in Part *b*. The Zn^{2+} ion bound to the zinc finger motif of U1-C is represented by a green sphere. In the crystal, the 5′ terminal portion of the U1-snRNA forms a double-helical segment with a neighboring U1-snRNA (*purple*). In vivo, the 5′ splice site of a pre-mRNA would bind here. [Courtesy of Kyoshi Nagai, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 3CW1.]

genes, uncommon in lower eukaryotes such as yeast (which has a total of 239 introns in its ~6600 genes and, with two exceptions, only one intron per polypeptide), and abundant in higher eukaryotes (the only known vertebrate structural genes lacking introns are those encoding histones and the antiviral proteins known as **interferons**). Pre-mRNA introns, as we have seen, can be quite long and many genes contain large numbers of them. Consequently, unexpressed sequences constitute ~80% of a typical vertebrate structural gene and >99% of a few of them.

The argument that introns are only molecular parasites (**junk DNA**) seems untenable since it would then be difficult to rationalize why the evolution of complex splicing machinery offered any selective advantage over the elimination of the split genes. What then is the function of gene splicing? Although, since its

discovery, the significance of gene splicing has been debated, often vehemently, two important roles for it have emerged:

1. Gene splicing is an agent for rapid protein evolution. Many eukaryotic proteins consist of modules that also occur in other proteins (Section 5-4B). For example, SH2 and SH3 domains occur in many of the proteins involved in signal transduction (Section 13-2B). *It therefore appears that the genes encoding these modular proteins arose by the stepwise collection of exons that were assembled by (aberrant) recombination between their neighboring introns.*
2. Through **alternative splicing**, which we discuss below, gene splicing permits a single gene to encode several (sometimes many) proteins that may have significantly different functions.

Alternative mRNA Splicing Yields Multiple Proteins from a Single Gene. *The expression of numerous cellular genes is modulated by the selection of alternative splice sites.* Thus, genes containing multiple exons may give rise to transcripts containing mutually exclusive exons. In effect, certain exons in one type of cell may be introns in another. For example, a single rat gene encodes seven tissue-specific variants of the muscle protein **α-tropomyosin** (Section 7-2A) through the selection of alternative splice sites (Fig. 26-27).

Alternative splicing occurs in all multicellular organisms and is especially prevalent in vertebrates. In fact, microarray-based comparisons of the cDNAs obtained from various tissues indicate that >95% of human structural genes are subject to at least one alternative splicing event. This helps explain the discrepancy between the ~21,000 genes identified in the human genome (Section 3-4E) and earlier estimates that it contains over 100,000 structural genes. The variations in spliced mRNA sequences can take several different forms: Exons can be retained or skipped; introns may be excised or retained; and the positions of 5′

FIG. 26-27 Alternative splicing in the rat α-tropomyosin gene. Seven alternative splicing pathways give rise to cell-specific α-tropomyosin variants. The thin kinked lines indicate the positions occupied by the introns before they are spliced out to form the mature mRNAs. Tissue-specific exons are indicated together with the amino acid (aa) residues they encode: "constitutive" exons (those expressed in all tissues) are green; those expressed only in smooth muscle (SM) are brown; those expressed only in striated muscle (STR) are purple; and those variably expressed are yellow. Note that the smooth and striated muscle exons encoding amino acid residues 39 to 80 are mutually exclusive and, likewise, there are alternative 3′ untranslated (UT) exons. [After Breitbart, R.E., Andreadis, A., and Nadal-Ginard, B., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **56,** 481 (1987).]

and 3′ splice sites can be shifted to make exons longer or shorter. Alterations in the transcriptional start site and/or the polyadenylation site can further contribute to the diversity of the mRNAs that are transcribed from a single gene.

A particularly striking example of alternative splicing occurs in the *Drosophila* protein **DSCAM** (*D*own *s*yndrome *c*ell-adhesion *m*olecule), which functions in neuronal development. The protein is encoded by 24 exons of which there are 12 mutually exclusive variants of exon 4, 48 of exon 6, 33 of exon 9, and 2 of exon 17 (which are therefore known as **cassette exons**) for a total of 38,016 possible variants of the protein (compared to ~14,000 identified genes in the *Drosophila* genome). Although it is unknown whether all possible DSCAM variants are produced, experimental evidence suggests that the *Dscam* gene expresses many thousands of them. [Dscam is a membrane-anchored cell-surface protein of the immunoglobulin superfamily. The specific isoform expressed in a given neuron binds to itself but rarely to other isoforms. This permits the neuron to distinguish its own processes (axons and dendrites) from those of other neurons and thereby plays an essential role in neural patterning. However, the precise identity of a given isoform appears to be unimportant.] Clearly, *the number of genes in an organism's genome does not by itself provide an adequate assessment of its protein diversity.* Indeed, it has been estimated that, on average, each human structural gene encodes three different proteins.

The types of changes that alternative splicing confers on expressed proteins span the entire spectrum of protein properties and functions. Entire functional domains or even single amino acid residues may be inserted into or deleted from a protein, and the insertion of a **Stop codon** may truncate the expressed polypeptide [a codon is a 3-nt sequence that specifies an amino acid in a polypeptide; a Stop codon is a 3-nt sequence that instructs the ribosome to terminate polypeptide synthesis (Section 27-1C)]. Splice variations may, for example, control whether a protein is soluble or membrane bound, whether it is phosphorylated by a specific kinase, the subcellular location to which it is targeted, whether an enzyme binds a particular allosteric effector, or the affinity with which a receptor binds a ligand. Changes in an mRNA, particularly in its noncoding regions, may also influence the rate at which it is transcribed and its susceptibility to degradation. Since the selection of alternative splice sites is both tissue- and developmental stage-specific, splice site choice must be tightly regulated in both space and time.

How are alternative splice sites selected? One example of this process occurs in the *transformer* (*tra*) gene during sex determination in *Drosophila.* Exon 2 of *tra* pre-mRNA contains two alternative 3′ splice sites, with the proximal (close; to exon 1) site used in males and the distal (far) site used in females (**Fig. 26-28**). The region between the two sites contains a Stop codon with the sequence UAG. In males, the splicing factor **U2-snRNP auxiliary factor (U2AF)** binds to the proximal 3′ splice site to yield an mRNA containing the premature Stop codon, which thereby directs the synthesis of a truncated and hence nonfunctional **TRA** protein. However, in females, the proximal 3′ splice site is bound by the female-specific **SXL** protein, the product of the *sex-lethal (sxl)* gene (which is expressed only in females), so as to block the binding of U2AF, which then binds to the distal 3′ splice site, thereby inducing the expression of functional TRA protein.

FIG. 26-28 Alternative splice site selection in the *Drosophila tra* gene. Exons of the pre-mRNA are represented by colored rectangles, and introns are shown as gray bands with those to be excised flanked by kinked lines. UAG is a Stop codon. In females, the protein SXL directs the spliceosome to the second of two possible splice sites, to generate mRNA for a full-length TRA protein. [After a drawing by Maniatis, T. and Tasic, B., *Nature* **418,** 236 (2002).]

In females, the TRA protein directs the splicing of mRNA from another sex-determination gene, leading to production of a repressor of male-specific genes.

Similar mechanisms for repressing or activating a splice site occur in vertebrates. Some of the proteins involved in splice site selection—both constitutive and alternative—include **SR proteins** and several members of the **heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP)** family. SR proteins each have one or more **RNA recognition motifs (RRMs)**, which bind RNA sequences, near their N-terminus and a distinctive C-terminal **RS domain**, which contains numerous Ser-Arg (SR) repeats and which participates in protein–protein interactions (U2AF and TRA both contain an SR domain, but neither has an RRM and so are not considered to be SR proteins). SR proteins, when appropriately phosphorylated on their RS domains, specifically bind to corresponding small (5–10 nt) **exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs)** via their RRMs and thereby recruit the splicing machinery to the flanking 5′ and 3′ splice sites. The hnRNPs, which are highly abundant RNA-binding proteins, lack RS domains and hence cannot recruit the splicing machinery. Instead, they bind to their corresponding **exonic** and **intronic splicing silencers (ESSs** and **ISSs)** to block the binding of the splicing machinery at the flanking splice sites. Such interactions help the splicing machinery distinguish true exons (which are relatively short) from the more numerous sequences within introns (which are relatively long) that happen to exhibit the consensus splice-site sequences. Indeed, extensive analysis of the sequences of numerous alternative splice sites has revealed the existence of a "splicing code" that uses combinations of over 200 RNA features that are present in both introns and exons and which are recognized by the foregoing regulators. Tissue-specific expression of hnRNPs and SR proteins, together with the latter's reversible phosphorylation, undoubtedly contribute to the complex regulation of mRNA splicing.

Around 15% of human genetic diseases are caused by point mutations that result in pre-mRNA splicing defects. Some of these mutations delete functional splice sites, thereby activating nearby preexisting **cryptic splice sites.** Others generate new splice sites that are used instead of the normal ones. In addition, tumor progression is correlated with changes in levels of proteins implicated in alternative splice site selection. Even a seemingly harmless mutation to a protein coding sequence [the genetic code has many synonyms (Table 27-1) and many amino acid changes are functionally innocuous] may alter an ESE or ESS and consequently have deleterious effects. Thus, protein coding sequences are subject to the dual evolutionary pressures of conserving protein function and the elements that regulate their splicing.

mRNA May Be Edited. Additional posttranscriptional modifications of eukaryotic mRNAs include the methylation of certain A residues. In a few cases, mRNAs undergo **RNA editing**, which involves base changes, deletions, or insertions. In the most extreme examples of this phenomenon, which occur in the trypanosomes and related protozoa, several hundred U residues may be added and removed to produce a translatable mRNA. Not surprisingly, the mRNA editing machinery includes RNAs known as **guide RNAs (gRNAs)** that base-pair with the immature mRNA to direct its alteration.

In a few mRNAs, specific bases are chemically altered—for example, by enzyme-catalyzed deamination of C to produce U and/or deamination of A to produce inosine (which is read as G by the ribosome), a process called **substitutional editing.** For example, humans express two forms of **apolipoprotein B (apoB): apoB-48,** which is made only in the small intestine and functions in chylomicrons to transport triacylglycerols from the intestine to the liver and peripheral tissues; and **apoB-100,** which is made only in the liver and functions with VLDL, IDL, and LDL to transport cholesterol from the liver to the peripheral tissues (Table 20-1). ApoB-100 is an enormous 4536-residue protein, whereas apoB-48 consists of apoB-100's N-terminal 2152 residues and therefore lacks the C-terminal domain of apoB-100 that mediates LDL receptor binding. Although apoB-48 and apoB-100 are expressed from the same gene, the mRNAs

encoding the two proteins differ by a single C → U change: The codon for Gln 2153 (CAA) in apoB-100 mRNA is, in apoB-48 mRNA, a UAA Stop codon. The enzyme that catalyzes this conversion is site-specific **cytidine deaminase.**

Substitutional editing may contribute to protein diversity. For example, *Drosophila cacophony* pre-mRNA, which encodes a voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel subunit, contains 10 different substitutional editing sites and hence has the potential of generating $2^{10} = 1024$ different isoforms in the absence of alternative splicing. Substitutional editing can also generate alternative splice sites. For example, the enzyme **ADAR2** (ADAR for *a*denosine *d*eaminase *a*cting on *R*NA) edits its own pre-mRNA by converting an intronic AA dinucleotide to AI, which mimics the AG normally found at 3′ splice sites (Fig. 26-24). The consequent new splice site adds 47 nucleotides near the 5′ end of the *ADAR2* mRNA so as to generate a new translational initiation site. The resulting ADAR2 isozyme is catalytically active but is produced in smaller amounts than that from unedited transcripts, perhaps due to a less efficient translational initiation site. Thus, ADAR2 appears to regulate its own rate of expression.

Mature Eukaryotic mRNAs Are Actively Transported from the Nucleus to the Cytoplasm. The translation of prokaryotic mRNAs is often initiated before their synthesis is complete. This cannot occur in eukaryotes because the transcription and posttranscriptional processing of eukaryotic mRNAs occur in the nucleus but their translation takes place in the cytosol. Consequently, mature mRNAs must be transported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. This is a highly selective process because mature mRNAs comprise only a small fraction of the RNAs present in the nucleus, the remainder being pre-mRNAs, excised introns (which are usually much larger than the exons from which they were liberated), rRNAs, tRNAs, snRNAs, and a variety of RNAs that participate in the processing of rRNAs and tRNAs (Section 26-3C). Indeed, only ~5% of the RNA that is synthesized ever leaves the nucleus.

How are mature mRNAs recognized and transported? As we have seen, throughout their residency in the nucleus, pre-mRNAs are continually associated with numerous proteins, including those that participate in synthesizing their m^7G caps and poly(A) tails, and in splicing out their introns. In addition, the **exon junction complex (EJC),** which consists of four core proteins and several transiently associating proteins, is deposited onto mRNA during the splicing process at a site that is 20 to 24 nt upstream of the splice junction without regard to its sequence. The population of proteins bound to an mRNA changes as the mRNA is processed but some of the proteins, including SR proteins, hnRNPs, and EJCs, remain associated with mature mRNAs in the nucleus. However, it appears that it is its entire collection of bound proteins rather than any individual protein that serves to identify an mRNA to the nuclear export machinery. Excised introns, in contrast, are bound by proteins that block their export.

The eukaryotic nucleus (Fig. 1-8) is a double membrane–enveloped organelle that in animals is penetrated by an average of ~3000 pores. These are formed by **nuclear pore complexes (NPCs),** which are massive (~120,000 kD), eightfold symmetric assemblies of ~30 different proteins known as **nucleoporins.** NPCs, which have inner diameters of ~90 Å (although this may be expandable to as much as 260 Å), allow the free diffusion of molecules of up to ~40 kD, but most macromolecules, including mRNAs in their complexes with proteins, require an active transport process to pass through an NPC. Some of the proteins associated with mature mRNAs bear nuclear export signals that are recognized by an ATP-driven RNA helicase that in yeast is named **Dbp5.** Dbp5 also binds to the NPC, which permits it to pull the mRNA out into the cytosol while simultaneously stripping away many of its bound proteins. The remaining proteins are removed the first time their bound mRNA passes through a ribosome. The proteins are later recycled by returning them to the nucleus through the NPCs.

The mRNAs are eventually degraded to their component nucleotides. This is a highly regulated process that selectively degrades mRNAs at rates that vary with their identities, the cell type, and its developmental stage. Consequently, the

FIG. 26-29 The posttranscriptional processing of *E. coli* rRNA. The transcriptional map is shown approximately to scale. The labeled arrows indicate the positions of the various nucleolytic cuts and the nucleases that generate them. [After Apiron, D., Ghora, B.K., Plantz, G., Misra, T.K., and Gegenheimer, P., *in* Söll, D., Abelson, J.N., and Schimmel, P.R. (Eds.), *Transfer RNA: Biological Aspects,* p. 148, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (1980).]

rate of degradation of a specific mRNA is as important for regulating its level as is its rate of synthesis. The mechanisms of mRNA degradation are discussed in Section 28-3C.

C Ribosomal RNA Precursors May Be Cleaved, Modified, and Spliced

E. coli has three types of rRNAs, the **5S, 16S,** and **23S rRNAs.** These are specified by seven operons, each of which contains one nearly identical copy of each of the three rRNA genes, although their introns differ in sequence. The polycistronic primary transcripts of these operons are >5500 nt long and contain, in addition to the rRNAs, transcripts for as many as four tRNAs (Fig. 26-29). The steps in processing the primary transcripts to mature rRNAs were elucidated with the aid of mutants defective in one or more of the processing enzymes.

The initial processing, which yields products known as **pre-rRNAs**, commences while the primary transcript is still being synthesized. It consists of specific endonucleolytic cleavages by **RNase III, RNase P, RNase E,** and **RNase F** at the sites indicated in Fig. 26-29. The cleavage sites are probably recognized on the basis of their secondary structures.

The 5′ and 3′ ends of the pre-rRNAs are trimmed away in secondary processing steps through the action of **RNases D, M16, M23,** and **M5** to produce the mature rRNAs. These final cleavages occur only after the pre-rRNAs become associated with ribosomal proteins. During ribosomal assembly, specific rRNA residues are methylated, which may help protect them from inappropriate nuclease digestion.

snoRNAs Direct the Methylation of Eukaryotic rRNAs. The eukaryotic genome typically has several hundred tandemly repeated copies of rRNA genes. These genes are transcribed and processed in the **nucleolus** (Fig. 1-8), a small dark-staining body in the nucleus that is not membrane enveloped. The primary eukaryotic rRNA transcript is an ~7500-nt **45S RNA** that contains the **18S, 5.8S,** and **28S rRNAs** separated by spacer sequences:

In the first stage of its processing, 45S RNA is specifically methylated at numerous sites (106 in humans) that occur mostly in its rRNA sequences. About 80% of these modifications yield ***O*$^{2'}$-methylribose** residues, and the remainder yield methylated bases such as ***N*^{6},*N*^{6}-dimethyladenine** and **2-methylguanine.** The subsequent cleavage and trimming of the 45S RNA superficially resemble those of prokaryotic rRNAs. In fact, enzymes exhibiting RNase III- and RNase P-like activities occur in eukaryotes. Eukaryotic ribosomes contain four different rRNAs (Section 27-3). The fourth type, 5S eukaryotic rRNA, is separately processed in a manner resembling that of tRNA (Section 26-3D).

The methylation sites in yeast and vertebrate rRNAs generally occur in invariant sequences, although the methylation sites do not appear to have a consensus structure that might be recognized by a single methyltransferase. How then are the methylation sites targeted? Pre-rRNAs interact with members of a large family of **small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs)**. Mammals have ~200 snoRNAs, most of which are encoded by the introns of structural genes (and hence not all excised introns are discarded). The snoRNAs, whose lengths vary from 70 to 100 nt, contain segments of 10 to 21 nt that are precisely complementary to segments of the mature rRNAs that contain *O*$^{2'}$-methylation sites. The snoRNAs appear to direct a protein complex containing a methyltransferase to the methylation site. Presumably, without the snoRNAs, the cell would need to synthesize a different methyltransferase to recognize each methylation sequence. Complexes of small RNAs and proteins also catalyze the conversion of certain rRNA uridine residues to pseudouridine (ψ):

Some Eukaryotic rRNAs Are Self-Splicing. A few eukaryotic rRNA genes contain introns. In fact, it was Thomas Cech's study of how these introns are spliced out that led to the astonishing discovery, in 1982, that *RNA can act as an enzyme* (a ribozyme; Section 24-2C). Cech showed that when the isolated pre-rRNA of the ciliated protozoan *Tetrahymena thermophila* is incubated with guanosine or a free guanine nucleotide (GMP, GDP, or GTP), but in the absence of protein, its single 413-nt intron excises itself and splices together its flanking exons; that is, *the pre-rRNA is self-splicing*. The three-step reaction sequence of this process (**Fig. 26-30**) resembles that of mRNA splicing:

FIG. 26-30 The sequence of reactions in the self-splicing of *Tetrahymena* pre-rRNA. (**1**) The 3′-OH group of a guanine nucleoside or the 5′-nucleotide attacks the intron's 5′-terminal phosphate to form a phosphodiester bond, displacing the 5′ exon. (**2**) The newly generated 3′-OH group of the 5′ exon attacks the 5′-terminal phosphate of the 3′ exon, thereby splicing the two exons and displacing the intron. (**3**) The 3′-OH group of the intron attacks the phosphate of the nucleotide that is 15 residues from the 5′ end so as to cyclize the intron and displace its 5′-terminal fragment. Throughout this process, the RNA maintains a folded, internally hydrogen-bonded conformation that permits the precise excision of the intron.

? How many phosphodiester bonds are broken and formed in this process?

1. The 3′-OH group of the guanosine attacks the intron's 5′ end, displacing the 3′-OH group of the 5′ exon and thereby forming a new phosphodiester linkage with the 5′ end of the intron.
2. The 3′-terminal OH group of the newly liberated 5′ exon attacks the 5′-phosphate of the 3′ exon to form a new phosphodiester bond, thereby splicing together the two exons and displacing the intron.
3. The 3′-terminal OH group of the intron attacks a phosphate of the nucleotide 15 residues from the intron's end, displacing the 5′ terminal fragment and yielding the 3′ terminal fragment in cyclic form.

The self-splicing process consists of a series of transesterifications and therefore does not require free energy input.

Self-splicing RNAs that react as shown in Fig. 26-30 are known as **group I introns** and occur in the nuclei, mitochondria, and chloroplasts of diverse eukaryotes (but not vertebrates), and in some bacteria. **Group II introns**, which occur in the mitochondria and chloroplasts of fungi and plants, employ an internal A residue (instead of an external G) to form a lariat intermediate. The chemical similarities of the pre-mRNA and group II intron splicing reactions therefore suggest that *spliceosomes are ribozymal systems whose RNA components have evolved from primordial self-splicing RNAs and that their protein components serve mainly to fine-tune ribozymal structure and function.* This, of course, is consistent with the hypothesis that RNAs were the original biological catalysts in precellular times (the RNA world; Box 24-3).

The *Tetrahymena* Group I Ribozyme Has a Complex Tertiary Structure. Group I introns are the most abundant self-splicing introns with >2000 such sequences known. The sequence of the 413-nt *Tetrahymena* group I intron, together with phylogenetic comparisons, indicates that it contains nine double-helical segments that are designated P1 through P9 (**Fig. 26-31*a***; P for base *p*aired segment). Such analysis further indicates that the conserved catalytic core of group I introns consists of sets of coaxially stacked helices interspersed with internal loops that are organized into two domains, the P4-P5-P6 domain (also called P4-P6) and the P3-P7-P8-P9 domain (also called P3-P9).

Cech designed a 247-nt RNA (Fig. 26-31*a*) that encompasses both the P4-P6 and P3-P9 domains of the *Tetrahymena* group I intron (it lacks the P1-P2 domain and the attached exons), with the addition of a 3′ G (ωG), which functions as an internal guanosine nucleophile. This RNA is catalytically active; it binds the P1-P2 domain via tertiary interactions and, with the assistance of ωG, cleaves P1 in a manner similar to the intact intron.

The X-ray structure of this RNA (**Fig. 26-31*b,c***) reveals that it is largely composed of three coaxially stacked sets of A-RNA–like helices with P4-P6 consisting of two pseudocontinuous and straight parallel helices connected by a sharp bend and P3-P9 consisting of a curved helix that wraps around one side of P4-P6 through extensive interdomain interactions that form the ribozyme's active site. Of particular note are its so-called A-rich bulge, a 7-nt sequence about halfway along the short arm of the U-shaped P4-P6, and the 6-nt sequence at the tip of the short arm of the U, whose central GAAA assumes a characteristic conformation known as a **tetraloop.** In both of these substructures, the bases are splayed outward so as to stack on each other and to associate in the minor groove of specific segments of the long arm of the U via hydrogen bonding interactions involving ribose residues as well as bases. In many such interactions, the close packing of phosphate groups is mediated by hydrated Mg^{2+} ions. Throughout this structure, the defining characteristic of RNA, its 2′-OH group, is both a donor and an acceptor of hydrogen bonds to phosphates, bases, and other 2′-OH groups. Interestingly, although this overall fold is highly conserved among group I introns, their sequences are poorly conserved with the exception of a few crucial active site residues.

(a)

FIG. 26-31 The group I intron from *Tetrahymena thermophila*. (*a*) The secondary structure of the 414-nt ribozyme. Its P4-P6 and P3-P9 domains are shaded in blue and green, respectively, with the catalytically active 3′ ωG residue shaded in yellow, the base triples of the P7 domain shaded in red, and the A-rich bulge, the tetraloop, and the tetraloop receptor on the P4-P6 domain shaded in orange, pink, and cyan, respectively. Watson–Crick and non-Watson–Crick base pairing interactions are represented by short horizontal lines and small filled circles, whereas interdomain interactions are indicated by magenta lines. Every tenth residue is marked by an outwardly pointing dash. The positions of five residues that have been mutated to stabilize the ribozyme structure are circled and those of the seven mutations that facilitated crystallization are bracketed (this mutant form retains its catalytic activity). (*b*) The X-ray structure of the ribozyme, drawn in cartoon form with its bases shown as paddles, and colored as in Part *a*. The inferred positions of Mg^{2+} ions are represented by orange spheres. (*c*) As in Part *b* but rotated 140° about the vertical axis to better show the A-rich bulge and the interaction between the tetraloop and the tetraloop receptor. [Part *a* modified from a drawing by and Parts *b* and *c* based on an X-ray structure by Thomas Cech, University of Colorado. PDBid 1X8W.]

(b)

(c)

Divalent metal ions, usually Mg^{2+}, are often required for both the structural stability and the catalytic activity of ribozymes. In particular, an Mg^{2+} ion is in contact with the 2′-OH group of ωG as well as being liganded by the phosphate groups of three surrounding nucleotides. This both orients the ribose group of ωG and nucleophilically activates its 3′-OH group. A second Mg^{2+} ion, which accompanies the phosphate group of the RNA substrate, also participates in the catalytic reaction. Note that two Mg^{2+} ions similarly participate in the phosphoryl-transfer reactions catalyzed by protein enzymes such as DNA polymerase (Section 25-2A).

D Transfer RNAs Are Processed by Nucleotide Removal, Addition, and Modification

A tRNA molecule, as we discussed in Section 24-2C, consists of around 76 nucleotides, many of which are chemically modified, that assume a cloverleaf-shaped secondary structure with four base-paired stems (Fig. 26-32). The *E. coli* chromosome contains ~60 tRNA genes. Some of them are components of rRNA operons; the others are distributed, often in clusters, throughout the chromosome. The primary tRNA transcripts, which contain as many as five identical tRNA species, have extra nucleotides at the 3′ and 5′ ends of each tRNA sequence. The excision and trimming of these tRNA sequences resemble *E. coli* rRNA processing (Fig. 26-29) in that the two processes employ some of the same nucleases.

E. coli **RNase P,** which processes rRNA and generates the 5′ ends of tRNAs, is a particularly interesting enzyme because it is a ribozyme with a catalytically essential RNA and, in bacteria, one highly conserved protein subunit. At first, the RNA was assumed to recognize the substrate through base pairing and thereby guide the protein subunit, which was presumed to be the nuclease, to the cleavage site on the substrate pre-tRNA. However, Sidney Altman showed that *the RNA component of RNase P is, in fact, the enzyme's catalytic subunit by demonstrating that free RNase P RNA catalyzes the cleavage of substrate RNA at high salt concentrations.* The RNase P protein, which is basic, increases the reaction rate by two to three orders of magnitude by stabilizing the P RNA structure, binding the 5′ "leader" sequence of the pre-tRNA, and assisting in product release. RNase P activity also occurs in eukaryotes, although the enzyme includes 9 or 10 protein subunits whose functions are poorly understood. RNase P, unlike the self-splicing introns, is a true enzyme capable of multiple turnovers. Indeed, RNase P mediates one of the two ribozymal activities that occur in all cellular life, the other being ribosomally catalyzed peptide bond formation (Section 27-4B).

The RNase P holoenzyme from *Thermotoga maritima* contains a 117-residue (14-kD) protein and a 338-residue (110-kD) RNA whose secondary structure includes numerous base-paired stems. The X-ray structure of this RNase P in complex with $tRNA^{Phe}$ [Fig. 26-33; **$tRNA^{Phe}$** conveys Phe to the ribosome (Section 27-4)], a ribozyme–product complex, was determined by Alfonso Mondragón. It reveals that RNase P has an overall compact structure typical of protein enzymes and binds the $tRNA^{Phe}$ through shape complementarity, base stacking,

FIG. 26-32 Schematic diagram of the tRNA cloverleaf secondary structure. Each red dot indicates a base pair in the hydrogen-bonded stems. The positions of the **anticodon** (the 3-nt sequence that binds to mRNA during translation) and the 3′-terminal —CCA are indicated.

FIG. 26-33 X-Ray structure of *T. maritima* RNase P in complex with $tRNA^{Phe}$. The RNAs are drawn in ladder form with the $tRNA^{Phe}$ pink and the P RNA colored in rainbow order from its 5′ end (*blue*) to its 3′ end (*red*). The protein component of RNase P, which is positioned to bind a substrate pre-tRNA's 5′ extension, is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The $tRNA^{Phe}$ lacks the 5′ extension present in pre-tRNAs and hence this is a ribozyme–product complex. Gray segments of the RNAs represent additional sequences that were required to crystallize the complex. [Based on an X-ray structure by Alfonso Mondragón, Northwestern University. PDBid 3Q1R.]

FIG. 26-34 The posttranscriptional processing of yeast tRNA^{Tyr}. A 14-nt intervening sequence (*red*) and a 19-nt 5′-terminal sequence (*green*) are excised from the primary transcript, a —CCA (*blue*) is appended to its 3′ end, and several of the bases are modified to form the mature tRNA (m^2G, N^2-methylguanosine; D, dihydrouridine; Gm, 2′-methylguanosine; m^2_2G, N^2,N^2-dimethylguanosine; ψ, pseudouridine; i^6A, N^6-isopentenyladenosine; m^5C, 5-methylcytosine; m^1A, 1-methyladenosine; see Fig. 27-4). The anticodon is shaded. [After DeRobertis, E.M. and Olsen, M.V., *Nature* **278,** 142 (1989).]

base pairing, and specific RNA–RNA contacts. The enzyme's active site includes a universally conserved U residue and two metal ions, probably Mg^{2+}, that are postulated to participate directly in catalysis. A portion of the RNA is present in all known RNase P's and hence is known as the universal minimum consensus structure. This structure was presumably present in the primordial RNase P.

Many Eukaryotic Pre-tRNAs Have Introns. Eukaryotic genomes each contain from several hundred to several thousand tRNA genes. Many eukaryotic primary tRNA transcripts, for example, that of yeast **tRNA^{Tyr}** (Fig. 26-34), contain a small intron as well as extra nucleotides at their 5′ and 3′ ends. The intron is excised by the action of an ATP-dependent **splicing endonuclease,** not a spliceosome, that recognizes the pre-tRNA's splice sites, The exons are then joined by an **RNA ligase.**

The three nucleotides, CCA, at the 3′ termini of all tRNAs, the sites at which amino acids are attached (Section 27-2B), are lacking in the primary tRNA transcripts of eukaryotes. This trinucleotide is appended by the enzyme **CCA-adding polymerase,** which sequentially adds two C's and an A to tRNA using CTP and ATP as substrates. This enzyme also occurs in prokaryotes, although, at least in *E. coli,* all tRNA genes encode a —CCA terminus. The *E. coli* CCA-adding polymerase is therefore likely to function in the repair of degraded tRNAs.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the posttranscriptional modifications of eukaryotic mRNA.
- Why is splicing described as transesterification?
- What is the advantage of starting RNA processing before transcription is complete?
- Discuss the advantages, in terms of protein structure and evolution, that result from alternative mRNA splicing.
- What does the existence of self-splicing RNAs reveal about the evolution of spliceosomes?
- Explain why self-splicing introns are not true enzymes.
- Describe the modifications that occur during processing of prokaryotic and eukaryotic rRNAs and tRNAs.

Summary

1 Prokaryotic RNA Transcription

- RNA polymerase synthesizes a polynucleotide chain from ribonucleoside triphosphates using a single strand (the antisense or noncoding strand) of DNA as a template.
- The σ factor of *E. coli* RNA polymerase holoenzyme recognizes and binds to a promoter sequence to position the enzyme to initiate transcription.
- RNA synthesis requires the formation of an open complex. The transcription bubble travels along the DNA as the RNA chain is elongated by the processive activity of RNA polymerase.
- In *E. coli,* RNA synthesis is terminated in response to specific secondary structural elements in the transcript and may require the action of Rho factor.

2 Transcription in Eukaryotes

- Eukaryotes contain three nuclear RNA polymerases that synthesize its different types of RNAs.
- Eukaryotic promoters are diverse: They vary in position relative to the transcription start site and may consist of multiple sequences. Enhancers form the binding sites for regulatory proteins that function as activators of transcription.
- Basal transcription of eukaryotic genes requires six general transcription factors, including TBP, that form a preinitiation complex (PIC) with RNA polymerase at the promoter. Some of these proteins remain with the polymerase when its C-terminal domain (CTD) is phosphorylated and shifts to elongation.

3 Posttranscriptional Processing

- The primary transcripts of most eukaryotic structural genes are posttranscriptionally modified by the addition of a 5′ cap and a 3′ poly(A) tail. Pre-mRNAs that contain introns undergo splicing, in which the introns are excised and the flanking exons are joined together via two transesterification reactions mediated by an snRNA-containing spliceosome.
- The processing of pre-rRNAs includes nucleolytic cleavage and snoRNA-assisted methylation. Some eukaryotic rRNA transcripts undergo splicing catalyzed by the intron itself.
- tRNA transcripts may be processed by the addition, removal, and modification of nucleotides.

Key Terms

rRNA **938**
tRNA **938**
mRNA **938**
noncoding RNA **938**
RNAP **939**
holoenzyme **939**
σ factor **939**
open complex **940**
antisense strand **941**
noncoding strand **941**
sense strand **941**
coding strand **941**
structural gene **941**
operon **941**
polycistronic mRNA **941**
cistron **941**
monocistronic mRNA **941**
promoter **942**
Pribnow box **942**
footprinting **943**
constitutive enzyme **946**
inducible enzyme **946**
intrinsic terminator **946**
Rho factor **947**
nucleolus **949**
Brownian ratchet **953**
TATA box **955**
CCAAT box **955**
enhancer **955**
general transcription factor **956**
preinitiation complex **957**
TBP **957**
TAFs **958**
primary transcript **961**
posttranscriptional modification **961**
cap **962**
poly(A) tail **962**
hnRNA **963**
intron **963**
exon **963**
splicing **963**
snRNA **967**
snRNP **967**
spliceosome **967**
alternative splicing **969**
RNA editing **971**
gRNA **971**
snoRNA **974**
group I intron **975**
group II intron **975**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. The antibiotic **cordycepin** inhibits bacterial RNA synthesis.

Cordycepin

(a) Of which nucleoside is cordycepin a derivative?

(b) Explain cordycepin's mechanism of action.

2. The bacterial enzyme polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase) is a 3′ → 5′ exoribonuclease that degrades mRNA.

(a) The enzyme catalyzes a phosphorolysis reaction, as does glycogen phosphorylase (Section 16-1), rather than hydrolysis. Write an equation for the mRNA phosphorolysis reaction.

(b) *In vitro*, PNPase also catalyzes the reverse of the phosphorolysis reaction. What does this reaction accomplish and how does it differ from the reaction carried out by RNA polymerase?

(c) PNPase includes a binding site for long ribonucleotides, which may promote the enzyme's processivity. Why would this be an advantage for the primary activity of PNPase *in vivo*?

3. Design a six-residue nucleic acid probe that would hybridize with the greatest number of *E. coli* gene promoters.

4. Why does promoter efficiency tend to decrease with the number of G · C base pairs in the –10 region of a prokaryotic gene?

5. Many bacterial genes with related functions are arranged in operons, sets of contiguous genes that are under the control of a single promoter and are transcribed together. (a) What is the advantage of this arrangement? (b) How might eukaryotic cells, which do not contain operons, ensure the simultaneous transcription of different genes?

6. A eukaryotic ribosome contains four different rRNA molecules and ~82 different proteins. Why does a cell contain many more copies of the rRNA genes than the ribosomal protein genes?

7. Replication of many RNA viruses depends on RNA polymerase. The antiviral drug ribavirin does not inhibit the polymerase but instead increases its error rate. Explain how this affects the virus.

8. Collisions between DNA polymerase and a slower-moving RNA polymerase using the same template strand may be responsible for the observation that leading strand synthesis in bacteria is discontinuous *in vivo*. Draw a diagram to show how DNA synthesis that has been halted after the DNA polymerase–RNA polymerase collision can resume using the RNA transcript as a primer.

9. Predict the effect of the antibiotic bicyclomycin, an antibiotic that inhibits Rho, on gene expression in *E. coli.*

10. Certain *E. coli* bacteriophages encode a protein called Q, which binds to RNAP shortly after transcription initiation but before σ^{70} is released. Q increases the rate of transcription and renders RNAP resistant to Rho-dependent termination. (a) Explain how Q could enhance expression of bacteriophage genes. (b) Explain why the effect of Q depends on its ability to interact with specific DNA sequences, not just RNAP.

11. Design an oligonucleotide-based affinity chromatography system for purifying mature mRNAs from eukaryotic cell lysates.

12. A eukaryotic cell carrying out transcription and RNA processing is incubated with ^{32}P-labeled ATP. Where will the radioactive isotope appear in mature mRNA if the ATP is labeled at the (a) α position, (b) β position, and (c) γ position?

13. Compare DNA polymerase, RNA polymerase, poly(A) polymerase, and CCA-adding polymerase with respect to requirement for a primer, template, and substrates.

14. Explain why the active site of poly(A) polymerase is much narrower than that of DNA and RNA polymerases.

15. Explain why the $O^{2'}$-methylation of ribose residues protects rRNA from RNases.

16. The 5′ end of some bacterial regulatory RNAs are linked to the 3′-OH group of the adenosine residue of NAD^+. Propose a biological function for the modification and explain how the activity of the modified RNA might reflect the cell's overall metabolic activity.

17. Would you expect spliceosome-catalyzed intron removal to be reversible in a highly purified *in vitro* system and *in vivo?* Explain.

18. Introns in eukaryotic protein-coding genes may be quite large, but almost none are smaller than about 65 bp. What is the reason for this minimum intron size?

19. In what ways do histone gene transcripts differ from transcripts of other vertebrate protein-coding genes?

20. N^6 methylation of adenosine residues is one of the most common mRNA modifications. (a) Draw the structure of the modified base. (b) Would you expect the modification to alter the RNA's ability to form stem-loop structures?

21. Infection with certain viruses inhibits snRNA processing in eukaryotic cells. Explain why this favors the expression of viral genes in the host cell.

22. Draw a diagram, including exons and introns, of a gene that encodes both membrane-bound and soluble forms of a protein. Explain how one gene can code for two different forms of a protein.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

23. Indicate the –10 region, the –35 region, and the initiating nucleotide on the sense strand of the *E. coli* $tRNA^{Tyr}$ promoter.

5′-CAACGTAACACTTTACAGCGGCGCGTCATTTGATATGATGCGCCCCGCTTCCCGATA-3′
3′-GTTGCATTGTGAAATGTCGCCGCGCAGTAAACTATACTACGCGGGCGAAGGGCTAT-5′

24. Diagram the likely secondary structure of an RNA with the sequence

5′-UGCUGCCAUCUCUUUCCUCUCUAUGCGAGGAUUUGGACUGGCAGCG-3′

25. Explain why inserting 5 bp of DNA at the –50 position of a eukaryotic gene decreases the rate of RNA polymerase II transcription initiation to a greater extent than inserting 10 bp at the same site.

26. The RNA polymerase from bacteriophage T7 differs structurally from prokaryotic and eukaryotic RNAPs and is extremely specific for its own promoter. Why do these properties make T7 RNAP useful in experiments with recombinant DNA?

27. TFIIB appears to interact with proteins that bind to sequences at the 3′ end of genes. Explain how this interaction could enhance transcription of a gene.

28. Human cells contain a protein that binds to the 5′ triphosphate groups of RNA. Explain why this protein would be part of the defense against viral infection.

29. If an enhancer is placed on one plasmid and its corresponding promoter is placed on a second plasmid that is catenated (linked) with the first, initiation is almost as efficient as when the enhancer and promoter are on the same plasmid. However, initiation does not occur when the two plasmids are unlinked. Explain.

30. Why aren't primary rRNA transcripts present in wild-type *E. coli?*

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1 Viewing and Analyzing RNA Polymerase

Exercise 2 RNA Polymerase, Transcription, and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE A so-called gene trap vector is engineered to include a reporter gene flanked by a splice acceptor sequence and a polyadenylation signal. How is this vector used to reveal patterns of gene expression in mammalian cells? Why does the gene trapping method depend on the size of a gene's introns? How is the trapped gene identified?

References

RNA Polymerase

Cheung, A.C.M. and Cramer, P., A movie of RNA polymerase transcription, *Cell* **149,** 1431–1437 (2012).

Cramer, P. and Arnold, A., Proteins: how RNA polymerases work, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **19,** 680–682 (2009). [The editorial overview of a series of authoritative reviews on numerous aspects of RNA polymerases. See, in particular, the reviews beginning on pages 691, 701, 708, and 732.]

Grünberg, S. and Hahn, S., Structural insights into transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **38,** 603–611 (2013).

Murakami, K., et al., Architecture of an RNA polymerase II transcription pre-initiation complex, *Science* **342,** 1238724 (2013). DOI:

10.1126/science.1238724. [This is digital object identifier (DOI). Also see *Science* **342,** 709 (2013).]

Nudler, E., RNA polymerase active center: the molecular engine of transcription, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 335–361 (2009).

Skordalakes, E. and Berger, J.M., Structural insights into RNA-dependent ring closure and ATPase activation by the Rho termination factor, *Cell* **127,** 553–564 (2006).

Roy, A.L. and Singer, D.S., Core promoters in transcription: old problem, new insights, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **40,** 165–171 (2015).

Wang, D., Bushnell, D.A., Westover, K.D., Kaplan, C.D., and Kornberg, R.D., Structural basis of transcription: Role of the trigger loop in substrate specificity and catalysis, *Cell* **127,** 941–954 (2006).

RNA Processing

Chen, W. and Moore, M.J., The spliceosome: disorder and dynamics defined, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **24,** 141–149 (2014), *and* Hoskins, A.A. and Moore, M.J., The spliceosome: a flexible, reversible macromolecular machine, *Trends Biochem. Sci.,* **37,** 179–188 (2012).

Darnell, J., *RNA. Life's Indispensable Molecule,* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (2011).

Galej, W.P., Nguyen, T.H.D., Newman, A.J., and Nagai, K., Structural studies of the spliceosome: zooming into the heart of the machine, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **25,** 57–66 (2014).

Kornblihtt, A.R., Schor, I.E., Alló, M., Dujardin, G., Petrillo, E., and Muñoz, M.J., Alternative splicing: a pivitol step between eukaryotic transcription and translation, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14,** 153–165 (2013).

Krummel, D.A.P., Oubridge, C., Leung, A.K.W., Li, J., and Nagai, K., Crystal structure of human spliceosomal U1 snRNP at 5.5 Å resolution, *Nature* **458,** 475–480 (2009).

Maniatis, T. and Reed, R., An extensive network of coupling among gene expression machines, *Nature* **416,** 499–506 (2002). [Describes why efficient gene expression requires coupling between transcription and RNA processing.]

Mondragón, A., Structural studies of RNase P, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **42,** 537–557 (2013).

Nilsen, T.W. and Graveley, B.R., Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing, *Nature* **463,** 457–463 (2010). [A review of different types of alternative splicing and its regulatory mechanisms.]

Nishikura, K., Functions and regulation of RNA editing by ADAR deaminases, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **79,** 321–349 (2010).

Vincens, Q. and Cech, T.R., Atomic level architecture of group I introns revealed, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **31,** 41–51 (2005).

Warf, M.B. and Berglund, J.A., Role of RNA structure in regulating pre-mRNA splicing, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **35,** 169–178 (2010).

CHAPTER 27

Protein Synthesis

Chapter Contents

1 **The Genetic Code**
- A Codons Are Triplets That Are Read Sequentially
- B The Genetic Code Was Systematically Deciphered
- C The Genetic Code Is Degenerate and Nonrandom

2 **Transfer RNA and Its Aminoacylation**
- A All tRNAs Have Similar Structures
- B Aminoacyl–tRNA Synthetases Attach Amino Acids to tRNAs
- C Most tRNAs Recognize More than One Codon

3 **Ribosomes**
- A The Prokaryotic Ribosome Consists of Two Subunits
- B The Eukaryotic Ribosome Contains a Buried Prokaryotic Ribosome

4 **Translation**
- A Chain Initiation Requires an Initiator tRNA and Initiation Factors
- B The Ribosome Decodes the mRNA, Catalyzes Peptide Bond Formation, Then Moves to the Next Codon
- C Release Factors Terminate Translation

5 **Posttranslational Processing**
- A Ribosome-Associated Chaperones Help Proteins Fold
- B Newly Synthesized Proteins May Be Covalently Modified

© Andrea Jones Images/Alamy Stock Photo

Dichroa febrifuga has a long history of medicinal use in Asia. A drug derived from this plant acts against the malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum,* by inhibiting the enzyme that attaches proline to its tRNA. The resulting accumulation of uncharged tRNAs slows the growth of the parasitic cells.

How is the genetic information encoded in DNA decoded? In the preceding chapter, we saw how the base sequence of DNA is transcribed into that of RNA. In this chapter, we consider the remainder of the decoding process by examining how the base sequences of RNAs are translated into the amino acid sequences of proteins. This second part of the central dogma of molecular biology (DNA → RNA → protein) shares a number of features with both DNA replication and transcription, the other major events of nucleic acid metabolism. First, all three processes are carried out by large, complicated protein-containing macromolecular machines whose proper functioning depends on a variety of specific and nonspecific protein–nucleic acid interactions. Accessory factors are also required for the initiation, elongation, and termination phases of these processes. Furthermore, translation, like replication and transcription, must be executed with accuracy. Although translation involves base pairing between complementary nucleotides, it is amino acids, rather than nucleotides, that are ultimately joined to generate a polymeric product. This process, like replication and transcription, is endergonic and must be driven by the cleavage of "high-energy" phosphoanhydride bonds.

An understanding of translation requires not only a knowledge of the macromolecules that participate in polypeptide synthesis, but also an appreciation for the mechanisms that produce a chain of linked amino acids in the exact order specified by mRNA. Accordingly, we begin this chapter by examining the **genetic code**, the correspondence between nucleic acid sequences and polypeptide sequences. We then consider in turn the structures and properties of tRNAs and ribosomes. Next, we examine how the translation machinery operates as a coordinated whole. Finally, we take a brief look at some posttranslational steps of protein synthesis.

GATEWAY CONCEPT The Central Dogma

During the final step of the central dogma of molecular biology, a ribosome uses the information encoded in a messenger RNA molecule to synthesize a polypeptide chain. In this way, a sequence of nucleotides (the first language) is translated to a sequence of amino acids (the second language).

1 The Genetic Code

KEY CONCEPTS

- The genetic code is based on sets of three-nucleotide codons that are read sequentially.
- Each codon represents one amino acid or a Stop signal.
- The genetic code is degenerate, nonrandom, and nearly universal.

One of the most fascinating puzzles in molecular biology is how a sequence of nucleotides composed of only four types of residues can specify the sequence of up to 20 types of amino acids in a polypeptide chain. Clearly, a one-to-one correspondence between nucleotides and amino acids is not possible. *A group of several bases, termed a* ***codon****, is necessary to specify a single amino acid.* A triplet code—that is, one with 3 bases per codon—is more than sufficient to specify all the amino acids since there are $4^3 = 64$ different triplets of bases. A doublet code with 2 bases per codon ($4^2 = 16$ possible doublets) would be inadequate. The triplet code allows many amino acids to be specified by more than one codon. Such a code, in a term borrowed from mathematics, is said to be **degenerate**.

How does the polypeptide-synthesizing apparatus group DNA's continuous sequences of bases into codons? For example, the code might be overlapping; that is, in the sequence

ABCDEFGHIJ···

ABC might code for one amino acid, BCD for a second, CDE for a third, etc. Alternatively, the code might be nonoverlapping, so that ABC specifies one amino acid, DEF a second, GHI a third, etc. In fact, *the genetic code is a nonoverlapping, degenerate, triplet code.* A number of elegant experiments, some of which are outlined below, revealed the workings of the genetic code.

A Codons Are Triplets That Are Read Sequentially

In genetic experiments on bacteriophage T4, Francis Crick and Sydney Brenner found that a mutation that resulted in the deletion of a nucleotide could abolish the function of a specific gene. However, a second mutation, in which a nucleotide was inserted at a different but nearby position, could restore gene function. The two mutations are said to be **suppressors** of one another; that is, they cancel each other's mutant properties. On the basis of these experiments, Crick and Brenner concluded that *the genetic code is read in a sequential manner starting from a fixed point in the gene.* The insertion or deletion of a nucleotide shifts the **reading frame** (grouping) in which succeeding nucleotides are read as codons. Insertions or deletions of nucleotides are therefore known as **frameshift mutations**.

In further experiments, Crick and Brenner found that whereas two closely spaced deletions or two closely spaced insertions could not suppress each other (restore gene function), three closely spaced deletions or insertions could do so. These observations clearly established that *the genetic code is a triplet code.*

The foregoing principles are illustrated by the following analogy. Consider a sentence (gene) in which the words (codons) each consist of three letters (bases):

THE BIG RED FOX ATE THE EGG

Here, the spaces separating the words have no physical significance; they are present only to indicate the reading frame. The deletion of the fourth letter, which shifts the reading frame, changes the sentence to

THE IGR EDF OXA TET HEE GG

so that all words past the point of deletion are unintelligible (specify the wrong amino acids). An insertion of any letter, however, say an X in the ninth position,

THE IGR EDX FOX ATE THE EGG

restores the original reading frame. Consequently, only the words between the two changes (mutations) are altered. As in this example, such a sentence might still be intelligible (the gene could still specify a functional protein), particularly if the changes are close together. Two deletions or two insertions, no matter how close together, would not suppress each other but just shift the reading frame. However, three insertions, say X, Y, and Z in the fifth, eighth, and twelfth positions, respectively, would change the sentence to

THE BXI GYR EDZ FOX ATE THE EGG

which, after the third insertion, restores the original reading frame. The same would be true of three deletions. As before, if all three changes were close together, the sentence might still retain its meaning. Like this textual analogy, *the genetic code has no internal punctuation to indicate the reading frame; instead, the nucleotide sequence is read sequentially, triplet by triplet.*

Since any nucleotide sequence may have three reading frames, it is possible, at least in principle, for a polynucleotide to encode two or even three different polypeptides. In fact, some single-stranded DNA bacteriophages (which presumably must make maximal use of their small complement of DNA) contain completely overlapping genes that have different reading frames. A similar form of coding economy is exhibited by bacteria, in which the ribosomal initiation sequence of one gene in a polycistronic mRNA often overlaps the end of the preceding gene.

B The Genetic Code Was Systematically Deciphered

In order to understand how the genetic code dictionary was elucidated, we must first review how proteins are synthesized. An mRNA does not directly recognize amino acids. Rather, *it specifically binds molecules of tRNA that each carry a corresponding amino acid* (Fig. 27-1). Each tRNA contains a trinucleotide sequence, its **anticodon**, that is complementary to an mRNA codon specifying the tRNA's amino acid. During translation, amino acids carried by tRNAs are joined together according to the order in which the tRNA anticodons bind to the mRNA codons at the ribosome (Fig. 3-13).

FIG. 27-1 Transfer RNA in its "cloverleaf" form. Its covalently linked amino acid residue is at the top, and its anticodon (X-Y-Z, a trinucleotide segment that base-pairs with the complementary mRNA codon during translation) is at the bottom.

? Explain why an anticodon sequence is written 3′ → 5′ rather than 5′ → 3′.

The genetic code could, in principle, be determined by simply comparing the base sequence of an mRNA with the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide it specifies. In the 1960s, however, techniques for isolating and sequencing mRNAs had not yet been developed. Moreover, techniques for synthesizing RNAs were quite rudimentary. They utilized **polynucleotide phosphorylase,** an enzyme from *Azotobacter vinelandii* that links together nucleotides without the use of a template.

$$(\text{RNA})_n + \text{NDP} \rightleftharpoons (\text{RNA})_{n+1} + \text{P}_i$$

Thus, the NDPs are linked together at random so the base composition of the product RNA reflects that of the reactant NDP mixture. (The discovery of polynucleotide phosphorylase in 1951 by Severo Ochoa generated intense interest because it was presumed to be the enzyme that transcribes DNA to RNA—that is, an RNA polymerase.) The elucidation of the genetic code therefore proved to be a difficult task, even with the development of cell-free translation systems.

E. coli cells that have been gently broken open and centrifuged to remove cell walls and membranes yield an extract containing DNA, mRNA, ribosomes, enzymes, and other cell constituents necessary for protein synthesis. When fortified with ATP, GTP, and amino acids, this system synthesizes small amounts of protein. A cell-free translation system, of course, produces proteins specified by the cell's DNA. Adding DNase halts protein synthesis after a few minutes because the system can no longer synthesize mRNA, and the mRNA originally present is rapidly degraded. At this point, purified mRNA or synthetic mRNA can be added to the system and the resulting polypeptide products can be subsequently recovered.

In 1961, Marshall Nirenberg and Heinrich Matthaei added the synthetic polyribonucleotide poly(U) to a cell-free translation system containing isotopically labeled amino acids and recovered a labeled poly(Phe) polypeptide. They concluded that *UUU must be the codon that specifies Phe.* Similar experiments with poly(A) and poly(C) yielded poly(Lys) and poly(Pro), respectively, thereby identifying AAA as a codon for Lys and CCC as a codon for Pro [poly(G) could not be used because it is insoluble in aqueous solution].

In another series of experiments, different trinucleotides were tested for their ability to promote tRNA binding to ribosomes. The ribosomes, with their bound tRNAs, are retained by a nitrocellulose filter, but free tRNAs are not. The bound tRNA can be identified by the radioactive amino acid attached to it. This simple binding assay revealed that, for instance, UUU stimulates the ribosomal binding of only Phe tRNA. Likewise, UUG, UGU, and GUU stimulate the binding of Leu, Cys, and Val tRNAs, respectively. Hence UUG, UGU, and GUU must be codons that specify Leu, Cys, and Val, respectively. In this way, the amino acids specified by some 50 codons were identified. For the remaining codons, the binding assay was either negative (no tRNA bound) or ambiguous.

The genetic code dictionary was completed and previous results confirmed through H. Gobind Khorana's chemical synthesis of polynucleotides with specified repeating sequences (at the time, an extremely laborious process). In a cell-free translation system, UCUCUCUC ···, for example, is read

UCU CUC UCU CUC UCU CUC ···

so it specifies a polypeptide chain of two alternating amino acid residues. This particular mRNA stimulated the production of

Ser—Leu—Ser—Leu—Ser—Leu— ···

since UCU codes for Ser and CUC codes for Leu.

Alternating sequences of three nucleotides, such as poly(UAC), specify three different homopolypeptides because ribosomes may initiate polypeptide synthesis on these synthetic mRNAs in any of the three possible reading frames (**Fig. 27-2**). Analyses of the polypeptides specified by various alternating sequences of two and three nucleotides confirmed the identify of many codons and filled out missing portions of the genetic code.

FIG. 27-2 The three potential reading frames of an mRNA. Each reading frame would yield a different polypeptide.

As we shall see in Section 27-4A, the initiation of polypeptide synthesis *in vivo* is a complex process that requires specific mRNA sequences. The foregoing experiments worked only because the cell-free translation systems that were used serendipitously had unphysiologically high concentrations of Mg^{2+}.

C The Genetic Code Is Degenerate and Nonrandom

The genetic code, presented in **Table 27-1**, has several remarkable features:

1. *The code is highly degenerate.* Three amino acids—Arg, Leu, and Ser—are each specified by six different codons, and most of the rest are specified by either four, three, or two codons. Only Met and Trp, two of the least common amino acids in proteins (Table 4-1), are represented by a single codon. Codons that specify the same amino acid are termed **synonyms**.
2. *The arrangement of the code table is nonrandom.* Most synonyms occupy the same box in Table 27-1; that is, they differ only in their third nucleotide. XYU and XYC always specify the same amino acid; XYA and XYG do so in all but two cases. Moreover, changes in the first codon position tend to specify similar (if not the same) amino acids, whereas codons with second position pyrimidines encode mostly hydrophobic amino acids (gold in Table 27-1), and those with second position purines encode mostly polar amino acids (blue, red, and purple in Table 27-1). These observations suggest a nonrandom origin of the genetic code and indicate that the code evolved so as to minimize the deleterious effects of mutations (see Box 27-1).
3. *UAG, UAA, and UGA are* ***Stop codons***. These three codons (also known as **nonsense codons**) do not specify amino acids but signal the ribosome to terminate polypeptide chain elongation.
4. *AUG and GUG are* ***Start codons.*** The codons AUG and, less frequently, GUG specify the starting point for polypeptide chain synthesis. However,

Box 27-1 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Evolution of the Genetic Code

Because of the degeneracy of the genetic code, a point mutation in the third position of a codon seldom alters the specified amino acid. For example, a GUU → GUA transversion still codes for Val and is therefore said to be phenotypically silent. Other point mutations, even at the first or second codon positions, producing AUU (Ile) or GCU (Ala), for instance, result in the substitution of a chemically similar amino acid and may have minimal impact on the encoded protein's overall structure or function. This built-in protection against mutation may be more than accidental.

In the 1960s, the perceived universality of the genetic code led Francis Crick to propose the "frozen accident" theory, which holds that codons were allocated to different amino acids entirely by chance. Once assigned, the meaning of a codon could not change because of the high probability of disrupting the structure of the encoded protein. Thus, once established, the genetic code was thought to have ceased evolving.

However, the distribution of codons as presented in Table 27-1 suggests an alternative evolutionary history of the genetic code, in which a few simple codons corresponding to a handful of amino acids gradually became more complex. One scenario begins with an RNA-based world containing only A and U nucleotides. Uracil is almost certainly a primordial base since the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway yields uracil nucleotides before cytosine or thymine nucleotides (Section 23-2). Adenine would have been required as uracil's complement.

Assuming that a triplet-based genetic code was established at the outset (and it is difficult to envision how any other arrangement could have given rise to the present-day triplet code), the two bases could have coded for $2^3 = 8$ amino acids. In fact, the contemporary genetic code assigns these all-U/A codons to six amino acids and a stop signal:

UUU = Phe	AAA = Lys
UUA = Leu	AAU = Asn
UAU = Tyr	AUA = Ile
AUU = Ile	UAA = Stop

The AUA codon may well have originally specified the initiating Met (now encoded by AUG), bringing the total to seven amino acids.

When G and C appeared in evolving life-forms, these nucleotides were incorporated into RNA. Codons containing three or four types of bases could specify additional amino acids, but because of selective pressure against introducing disruptive mutations into proteins, the level of codon redundancy increased. An inspection of Table 27-1 shows that triplet codons made entirely of G and C specify only four different amino acids, which is half the theoretical maximum of eight:

GGG, GGC = Gly
GCG, GCC = Ala
CGG, CGC = Arg
CCC, CCG = Pro

This nonrandom allocation of codons to amino acids argues against a completely random origin for the genetic code. The gradual introduction of two new bases (G and C) to a primitive genetic code based on U and A must have allowed greater information capacity (i.e., coding for 20 amino acids) while minimizing the rate of deleterious substitutions.

they also specify the amino acids Met and Val, respectively, at internal positions in polypeptide chains. We will see in Section 27-4A how ribosomes differentiate the two types of codons.

TABLE 27-1 The "Standard" Genetic Code[a]

First position (5′ end)	Second position: U	C	A	G	Third position (3′ end)
U	UUU Phe	UCU Ser	UAU Tyr	UGU Cys	U
	UUC	UCC	UAC	UGC	C
	UUA Leu	UCA	UAA STOP	UGA STOP	A
	UUG	UCG	UAG	UGG Trp	G
C	CUU Leu	CCU Pro	CAU His	CGU Arg	U
	CUC	CCC	CAC	CGC	C
	CUA	CCA	CAA Gln	CGA	A
	CUG	CCG	CAG	CGG	G
A	AUU Ile	ACU Thr	AAU Asn	AGU Ser	U
	AUC	ACC	AAC	AGC	C
	AUA	ACA	AAA Lys	AGA Arg	A
	AUG Met[b]	ACG	AAG	AGG	G
G	GUU Val	GCU Ala	GAU Asp	GGU Gly	U
	GUC	GCC	GAC	GGC	C
	GUA	GCA	GAA Glu	GGA	A
	GUG	GCG	GAG	GGG	G

[a]Nonpolar amino acid residues are gold, basic residues are blue, acidic residues are red, and polar uncharged residues are purple.

[b]AUG forms part of the initiation signal as well as coding for internal Met residues.

? **What are the consensus nucleotides in the codons for each colored grouping of amino acids?**

The "Standard" Genetic Code Is Almost Universal. For many years, it was thought that the "standard" genetic code (that given in Table 27-1) was universal. This assumption was based in part on the observation that one kind of organism (e.g., *E. coli*) can accurately translate the genes for quite different organisms (e.g., humans). Indeed, this phenomenon is the basis of genetic engineering and provides compelling evidence that all current life forms evolved from a common ancestor that employed the "standard" genetic code. DNA studies in 1981 nevertheless revealed that *the genetic codes of certain mitochondria are variants of the "standard" genetic code.* For example, in mammalian mitochondria, AUA, as well as the standard AUG, is a Met/initiation codon; UGA specifies Trp rather than "Stop"; and AGA and AGG are "Stop" rather than Arg. Apparently, mitochondria, which contain their own genes (although only 13 protein-encoding genes; Section 18-1A) and protein-synthesizing systems, are not subject to the same evolutionary constraints as are nuclear genomes (which contain many thousands of protein-encoding genes). An alternate genetic code also appears to have evolved in ciliated protozoa, which branched off very early in eukaryotic evolution. Thus, the "standard" genetic code, although very widely utilized, is not quite universal.

CHECKPOINT

- Why do frameshift mutations occur with the insertion or deletion of one or two, but not three, nucleotides?
- Describe how the "meaning" of each codon was determined.
- Why must a polypeptide sequence begin with a designated Start codon?
- Explain why codons must consist of at least three nucleotides.
- Which codons serve as punctuation signals?

2 Transfer RNA and Its Aminoacylation

KEY CONCEPTS

- tRNA molecules have a characteristic structure.
- An aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase charges each tRNA with the appropriate amino acid.
- The wobble hypothesis explains the ability of a tRNA to recognize more than one codon.

Cells must translate the language of RNA base sequences into the language of polypeptides. In 1955, Crick hypothesized that translation occurs through the mediation of "adaptor" molecules, which we now know are tRNAs that carry a specific amino acid and recognize the corresponding mRNA codon.

A All tRNAs Have Similar Structures

In 1965, after a 7-year effort, Robert Holley reported the first known base sequence of a biologically significant nucleic acid, that of the 76-residue yeast **alanine tRNA ($tRNA^{Ala}$).** Currently, the base sequences of many thousands of tRNAs from nearly 800 organisms and organelles are known (most from their DNA sequences). They vary in length from 54 to 100 nucleotides (18–28 kD) although most have ~76 nucleotides.

Almost all known tRNAs can be schematically arranged in the so-called cloverleaf secondary structure (Fig. 27-3). Starting from the 5′ end, they have the following common features:

1. A 5′-terminal phosphate group.
2. A 7-bp stem that includes the 5′-terminal nucleotide and that may contain non-Watson–Crick base pairs such as G · U. This assembly is known as the **acceptor** or **amino acid stem** because the amino acid residue carried by the tRNA is covalently attached to its 3′-terminal OH group.
3. A 3- or 4-bp stem ending in a 5- to 7-nt loop that frequently contains the modified base **dihydrouridine (D).** This stem and loop are therefore collectively termed the **D arm**.
4. A 5-bp stem ending in a loop that contains the anticodon. These features are known as the **anticodon arm**.
5. A 5-bp stem ending in a loop that usually contains the sequence TψC (where ψ is the symbol for **pseudouridine;** Section 26-3C). This assembly is called the **TψC** or **T arm**.

FIG. 27-3 The cloverleaf secondary structure of tRNA. Filled circles connected by dots represent Watson–Crick base pairs, and open circles indicate bases involved in non-Watson–Crick base pairing. Invariant positions are indicated: R and Y represent invariant purines and pyrimidines, and ψ represents pseudouridine. The starred nucleotides are often modified. The D and variable arms contain different numbers of nucleotides in the various tRNAs.

6. A 3′ CCA sequence with a free 3′-OH group. The —CCA may be genetically specified or enzymatically added to immature tRNA, depending on the species (Section 26-3D).

tRNAs have 15 invariant positions (always have the same base) and 8 **semi-invariant** positions (only a purine or only a pyrimidine) that occur mostly in the loop regions. The purine on the 3′ side of the anticodon is invariably modified. The site of greatest variability among the known tRNAs occurs in the so-called **variable arm**. It has from 3 to 21 nucleotides and may have a stem consisting of up to 7 bp.

tRNAs Have Numerous Modified Bases. One of the most striking characteristics of tRNAs is their large proportion, up to 25%, of posttranscriptionally modified bases. Nearly 80 such bases, found at >60 different tRNA positions, have been characterized. A few of them, together with their standard abbreviations, are indicated in **Fig. 27-4**. None of these modifications is essential for maintaining a tRNA's structural integrity or for its proper binding to the ribosome. However, base modifications may help promote attachment of the proper amino acid to the acceptor stem or strengthen codon–anticodon interactions.

tRNAs Have a Complex Tertiary Structure. As described in Section 24-2C, all tRNA molecules have an L-shape in which the acceptor and T stems form one leg

FIG. 27-4 A few of the modified nucleosides that occur in tRNAs. Note that although inosine chemically resembles guanosine, it is biochemically derived by the deamination of adenosine. Nucleosides may also be methylated at their ribose 2′ positions to form residues symbolized, for instance, by Cm, Gm, and Um.

? Which of these modifications is likely to affect a nucleotide's ability to form standard base pairs?

FIG. 27-5 The structure of yeast tRNA^{Phe}. (*a*) The base sequence drawn in cloverleaf form. Tertiary base-pairing interactions are represented by thin red lines connecting the participating bases. Bases that are conserved or semiconserved in all tRNAs are circled by solid and dashed lines, respectively. The 5′ terminus is colored bright green, the acceptor stem is yellow, the D arm is white, the anticodon arm is light green, the variable arm is orange, the TψC arm is cyan, and the 3′ terminus is red. (*b*) The X-ray structure drawn to show how its base-paired stems form an L-shaped molecule. The tRNA is drawn in stick form with C atoms colored as in Part *a*, N blue, and O red. Adjacent P atoms are connected by rods colored as in Part *a*. [Based on an X-ray structure by Sung-Hou Kim, PDBid 6TRNA.]

? Why is it important for the anticodon bases not to form intramolecular base pairs?

and the D and anticodon stems form the other (Fig. 27-5). Each leg of the L is ~60 Å long and the anticodon and amino acid acceptor sites are at opposite ends of the molecule, some 76 Å apart. The narrow 20- to 25-Å width of tRNA is essential to its biological function: During protein synthesis, three tRNA molecules must simultaneously bind in close proximity at adjacent codons on mRNA (Section 27-4B).

A tRNA's complex tertiary structure is maintained by extensive stacking interactions and base pairing within and between its helical stems. Many of the tertiary base-pairing interactions are non-Watson–Crick associations. Moreover, most of the bases involved in these interactions are either invariant or semi-invariant, consistent with the observation that *all tRNAs have similar structures.* This is a necessity because all tRNAs interact with ribosomes in similar ways. A tRNA's compact structure renders most of its bases inaccessible to solvent, much like the interior of a protein. The most notable exceptions are the anticodon bases and those of the amino acid–bearing —CCA terminus. Both of these groupings must be accessible in order to carry out their biological functions.

B Aminoacyl–tRNA Synthetases Attach Amino Acids to tRNAs

Ribosomes, as we shall see, cannot distinguish as to whether a correct or incorrect amino acid group is linked to a tRNA. Therefore, accurate translation requires two equally important recognition steps:

1. The correct amino acid must be selected for covalent attachment to a tRNA by an **aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase** (discussed below).

2. The correct **aminoacyl–tRNA (aa–tRNA)** must pair with an mRNA codon at the ribosome (discussed in Section 27-4B).

An amino acid–specific aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase (**aaRS**) appends an amino acid to the 3′-terminal ribose residue of its cognate tRNA to form an **aa–tRNA** (Fig. 27-6). Aminoacylation occurs in two sequential reactions that are catalyzed by a single enzyme.

1. The amino acid is first "activated" by its reaction with ATP to form an **aminoacyl–adenylate,**

Amino acid **Aminoacyl–adenylate (aminoacyl–AMP)**

which, with all but three aaRSs, can occur in the absence of tRNA. Indeed, this intermediate can be isolated, although it normally remains tightly bound to the enzyme.

2. The mixed anhydride then reacts with tRNA to form the aa–tRNA:

$$\text{Aminoacyl-AMP} + \text{tRNA} \rightleftharpoons \text{aminoacyl-tRNA} + \text{AMP}$$

The overall aminoacylation reaction

$$\text{Amino acid} + \text{tRNA} + \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{aminoacyl-tRNA} + \text{AMP} + \text{PP}_i$$

is driven to completion by the hydrolysis of the PP_i generated in the first reaction step. The aa–tRNA product is a "high-energy" compound (Section 14-2A); for this reason, the amino acid is said to be "activated" and the tRNA is said to be "charged." Amino acid activation resembles fatty acid activation (Section 20-2A); the major difference is that tRNA is the acyl acceptor in amino acid activation whereas CoA performs the function in fatty acid activation.

Aminoacyl–tRNA

FIG. 27-6 An aminoacyl–tRNA. The amino acid residue is esterified to the tRNA's 3′-terminal nucleotide at either its 3′-OH group, as shown here, or its 2′-OH group.

There Are Two Classes of Aminoacyl–tRNA Synthetases. Most cells have at least one aaRS for each of the 20 amino acids. The similarity of the reaction catalyzed by these enzymes and the structural similarities among tRNAs suggest that all aaRSs evolved from a common ancestor and should therefore be structurally related. This is not the case. In fact, *the aaRSs form a diverse group of enzymes with different sizes and quaternary structures and little sequence similarity.* Nevertheless, these enzymes can be grouped into two classes that each have the same 10 members in nearly all organisms (Table 27-2). **Class I** and **Class II aminoacyl–tRNA synthetases** differ in several ways:

1. **Structural motifs.** The Class I enzymes share two homologous polypeptide segments that are components of a dinucleotide-binding fold (Rossmann fold, which is also present in many NAD^+- and ATP-binding proteins; Section 6-2C). The Class II synthetases lack the foregoing sequences but have three other sequences in common.
2. **Anticodon recognition.** Many Class I aaRSs must recognize the anticodon to aminoacylate their cognate tRNAs. In contrast, several Class II enzymes do not interact with their bound tRNA's anticodon.
3. **Site of aminoacylation.** All Class I enzymes aminoacylate their bound tRNA's 3′-terminal 2′-OH group, whereas Class II enzymes charge the 3′-OH group. Nevertheless, an aminoacyl group attached at the 2′ position rapidly equilibrates between the 2′ and 3′ positions (it must be at the 3′ position to take part in protein synthesis).
4. **Amino acid specificity.** The amino acids for which the Class I synthetases are specific tend to be larger and more hydrophobic than those for Class II synthetases.

TABLE 27-2 Classification of *E. coli* Aminoacyl–tRNA Synthetases

Class I Amino Acid	Class II Amino Acid
Arg	Ala
Cys	Asn
Gln	Asp
Glu	Gly
Ile	His
Leu	Lys
Met	Phe
Trp	Pro
Tyr	Ser
Val	Thr

Courtesy of William H. McClain, University of Wisconsin

FIG. 27-7 Experimentally observed identity elements of tRNAs. The tRNA backbone is cyan, and each of its nucleotides is represented by a yellow circle whose diameter is proportional to the fraction of the various tRNA acceptor types for which the nucleotide is an identity element for aaRS recognition.

Aminoacyl–tRNA Synthetases Recognize Unique Structural Features of tRNA. How does an aaRS recognize a tRNA so that it can be charged with the proper amino acid? First, all tRNAs have similar structures, so the features that differentiate them must be subtle variations in sequence or local structure. On the other hand, since the genetic code is degenerate, more than one tRNA may carry a given amino acid. The members of each set of these so-called **isoaccepting tRNAs** must all be recognized by their cognate aaRS. Finally, the tRNA must be charged with only the amino acid that corresponds to its anticodon, and not any of the 19 other amino acids.

Clues to the specificity of synthetase–tRNA interactions have been gleaned from studies using tRNA fragments, mutationally altered tRNAs, chemical cross-linking agents, computerized sequence comparisons, and X-ray crystallography. When the experimentally determined synthetase contact sites, or **identity elements**, for a variety of tRNAs are mapped onto a three-dimensional model of a tRNA molecule, they cluster in the acceptor stem, the anticodon loop, and other points on the inner (concave) face of the L (**Fig. 27-7**). For example, the identity element for *E. coli* $tRNA^{Ala}$ is its G3 · U70 non-Watson–Crick base pair, which is located in its acceptor stem. However, there appears to be little regularity in how the synthetases recognize their cognate tRNAs (the identity elements of most tRNAs have more than two bases), and many enzyme–tRNA interactions do not involve the anticodon at all. Nevertheless, since the correct binding of a tRNA to its corresponding aaRS is as important to accurate translation as is the ribosomal selection of the correct aa–tRNA, the tRNA identity elements are collectively referred to as comprising a second genetic code.

Synthetases that do interact with both the anticodon and the acceptor stem must have a size and structure adequate to bind both legs of the L-shaped tRNA. This is evident in the complex of *E. coli* **glutaminyl–tRNA synthetase (GlnRS)** with **$tRNA^{Gln}$** and ATP (**Fig. 27-8**), determined by Thomas Steitz, the first such structure to be elucidated. GlnRS, a 553-residue monomeric Class I enzyme, has an elongated shape so that it binds the anticodon near one end of the protein and the acceptor stem near the other. Genetic and biochemical data indicate that the identity elements for $tRNA^{Gln}$ include all seven bases of the anticodon loop. The bases of the anticodon itself are unstacked and splay outward so as to bind in separate recognition pockets of GlnRS. The 3′ end of $tRNA^{Gln}$ plunges deeply into a protein pocket that also binds the enzyme's ATP and glutamine substrates.

Yeast **AspRS,** a Class II enzyme, is a homodimer of 557-residue subunits. Its X-ray structure in complex with **$tRNA^{Asp}$,** determined by Dino Moras, reveals that the protein symmetrically binds two tRNA molecules by contacting them principally at their acceptor stem and anticodon regions (**Fig. 27-9**). The anticodon arm of $tRNA^{Asp}$ is bent by as much as 20 Å toward the inside of the L relative to that in the X-ray structure of uncomplexed $tRNA^{Asp}$, and its anticodon bases are unstacked. The hinge point for the bend is a G30 · U40 base pair in the anticodon stem (nearly all other species of tRNA contain a Watson–Crick base pair at that point). The anticodon bases of $tRNA^{Gln}$ are also unstacked in contacting GlnRS but with a backbone conformation that differs from that in $tRNA^{Asp}$. Evidently, the conformation of a tRNA in complex with its cognate synthetase is dictated more by its interactions with the protein (induced fit) than by its sequence. This is perhaps one reason why *the members of each set of isoaccepting tRNAs in a cell are recognized by a single aaRS.*

The different modes of tRNA binding by GlnRS and AspRS can be seen by comparing Figs. 27-8 and 27-9. Although both tRNAs approach their synthetases

FIG. 27-8 X-Ray structure of *E. coli* GlnRS · $tRNA^{Gln}$ · ATP. The ATP bound in the protein's active site is drawn in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. The tRNA is drawn in stick form colored as the ATP but with the C atoms of the anticodon (UCG) and the 3′-CCA end yellow. An orange rod links its successive P atoms. The protein is represented by a semitransparent lavender surface diagram that reveals the buried portions of the tRNA and ATP. Note that both the 3′ end of the tRNA (*top right*) and its anticodon bases (*bottom*) are inserted into deep pockets in the protein. [Based on an X-ray structure by Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBid 1GTR.]

FIG. 27-9 X-Ray structure of yeast AspRS · tRNA^{Asp}. The homodimeric enzyme with its two symmetrically bound tRNAs is viewed with its twofold axis approximately vertical. The tRNAs are drawn in skeletal form colored according to atom type with the C atoms of the anticodon (GUC) and the 3′-CCA end yellow, the remaining C atoms green, N blue, O red, and P orange. An orange rod connects successive P atoms. The two protein subunits are represented by semitransparent pink and lavender surface diagrams that reveal the buried portions of the tRNAs. [Based on an X-ray structure by Dino Moras, CNRS/INSERM/ULP, Illkirch Cédex, France. PDBid 1ASY.]

? Locate the anticodon and 3′ end of each tRNA.

along the inside of the L shapes, tRNA^{Gln} does so from the direction of the minor groove of its acceptor stem, whereas tRNA^{Asp} does so from the direction of its major groove. The 3′ end of tRNA^{Asp} thereby continues its helical track as it plunges into AspRS's catalytic site, whereas the 3′ end of tRNA^{Gln} bends backward into a hairpin turn as it enters its active site. These structural differences account for the observation that Class I and Class II enzymes aminoacylate different OH groups on the 3′ terminal ribose of tRNA.

Proofreading Enhances the Fidelity of Amino Acid Attachment to tRNA. The charging of a tRNA with its cognate amino acid is a remarkably accurate process. Experimental measurements indicate, for example, that at equal concentrations of isoleucine and valine, **IleRS** transfers ~40,000 isoleucines to tRNA^{Ile} for every valine it so transfers. This high degree of accuracy is surprising because valine, which differs from isoleucine only by the lack of a single methylene group, should fit easily into the isoleucine-binding site of IleRS. The binding free energy of a methylene group is estimated to be ~12 kJ · mol^{-1}. Equation 1-17 indicates that the ratio f of the equilibrium constants, K_1 and K_2, with which two substances bind to a particular site is given by

$$f = \frac{K_1}{K_2} = \frac{e^{-\Delta G_1^{\circ\prime}/RT}}{e^{-\Delta G_2^{\circ\prime}/RT}} = e^{-\Delta\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT} \qquad [27\text{-}1]$$

where $\Delta\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = \Delta G_1^{\circ\prime} - \Delta G_2^{\circ\prime}$ is the difference between the free energies of binding of the two substances. It is therefore estimated that isoleucyl–tRNA synthetase could discriminate between isoleucine and valine by no more than a factor of ~100.

Paul Berg resolved this apparent paradox by demonstrating that, in the presence of tRNA^{Ile}, IleRS catalyzes the quantitative hydrolysis of valine–adenylate, the intermediate of the aminoacylation reaction, to valine + AMP rather than forming Val–tRNA^{Ile}. Thus, *isoleucyl–tRNA synthetase subjects aminoacyl–adenylates to a proofreading or editing step,* a process reminiscent of that carried out by DNA polymerase I (Section 25-2A).

IleRS has two active sites that operate as a double sieve. The first site activates isoleucine and the chemically similar valine. The second active site, which hydrolyzes aminoacylated tRNA^{Ile}, admits only aminoacyl groups that are smaller than isoleucine (i.e., Val–tRNA^{Ile}). The X-ray structure of a bacterial IleRS, a Class I enzyme, determined by Steitz, reveals that the protein contains an additional editing domain inserted into its dinucleotide-binding fold domain (Fig. 27-10). The 3′ terminus of tRNA^{Ile} appears to shuttle between the aminoacylation and editing sites by a conformational change. *The combined selectivities of the aminoacylation and editing steps are responsible for the high fidelity of translation, a phenomenon that occurs at the expense of ATP hydrolysis.*

Courtesy of T.A. Steitz, Yale University

FIG. 27-10 X-Ray structure of *Staphylococcus aureus* isoleucyl–tRNA synthetase in complex with tRNA^{Ile}. The tRNA is white, the protein is colored by domain, and **mupirocin,** an antibiotic that binds to IleRS, is drawn in stick form in pink. The four terminal residues of the tRNA are labeled. The 3′ terminus of the tRNA is positioned near the editing active site. PBDid 1QU2.

Other aminoacyl–tRNA synthetases discriminate against noncognate amino acids through a variety of noncovalent interactions. In **ValRS,** the side chain of Asp 279 protrudes into the editing active site, where it hydrogen-bonds with the hydroxyl group of threonine. The isosteric (having the same shape) valine lacks this hydroxyl group and is thereby excluded from the editing pocket. **ThrRS** has the opposite problem: It must synthesize Thr–$tRNA^{Thr}$ but not Val–$tRNA^{Thr}$. Specificity is conferred by the aminoacylation site, which contains a Zn^{2+} ion that is coordinated by the side chain OH group of threonine. Valine cannot coordinate the Zn^{2+} in this way and hence does not undergo adenylylation by ThrRS. A separate editing site deals with misacylated Ser–$tRNA^{Thr}$. **TyrRS** distinguishes between tyrosine and phenylalanine through hydrogen bonding with tyrosine's OH group. Since no other amino acid resembles tyrosine, the enzyme can do without an editing function.

Some Organisms Lack Certain aaRSs. Many bacteria lack the expected complement of 20 aminoacyl–tRNA synthetases. For example, GlnRS is absent in gram-positive bacteria, archaebacteria, cyanobacteria, mitochondria, and chloroplasts. Instead, glutamate is linked to $tRNA^{Gln}$ by the same GluRS that synthesizes Glu–$tRNA^{Glu}$. The resulting Glu–$tRNA^{Gln}$ is then converted to Gln–$tRNA^{Gln}$ by **Glu–$tRNA^{Gln}$ amidotransferase** in an ATP-requiring reaction in which glutamine is the amide donor. Some microorganisms that lack **AsnRS** use a similar transamidation pathway for the synthesis of Asn–$tRNA^{Asn}$ from Asp–$tRNA^{Asn}$.

Certain archaebacteria lack a gene for **CysRS.** In these cells, Cys–$tRNA^{Cys}$ is produced by a ProRS (called **ProCysRS**). Despite its unusual dual specificity, ProCysRS does not generate either Cys–$tRNA^{Pro}$ or Pro–$tRNA^{Cys}$. This is because adenylylation of Pro does not require the presence of $tRNA^{Pro}$, but the activation of Cys requires $tRNA^{Cys}$. Presumably, the binding of Pro–AMP or $tRNA^{Cys}$ elicits conformational changes that are mutually exclusive.

C Most tRNAs Recognize More than One Codon

In protein synthesis, the proper tRNA is selected only through codon–anticodon interactions; the aminoacyl group does not participate in this process (this is one reason why accurate aminoacylation is critical for protein synthesis). The three nucleotides of an mRNA codon pair with the three nucleotides of a complementary tRNA anticodon in an antiparallel fashion. One might naively guess that each of the 61 codons specifying an amino acid would be read by a different tRNA. Yet even though most cells contain numerous groups of isoaccepting tRNAs, *many tRNAs bind to two or three of the codons specifying their cognate amino acids.* For example, yeast $tRNA^{Phe}$, which has the anticodon GmAA (where Gm indicates G with a 2′-methyl group), recognizes the codons UUC and UUU,

```
                3′                5′  3′                5′
Anticodon:      —A—A—Gm—              —A—A—Gm—
                 :   :   :             :   :   :
                5′   :   :   3′       5′   :   :   3′
Codon:          —U—U—C—               —U—U—U—
```

and yeast $tRNA^{Ala}$, which has the anticodon IGC (where I is inosine), recognizes the codons GCU, GCC, and GCA:

```
                3′                5′  3′                5′  3′                5′
Anticodon:      —C—G—I—               —C—G—I—               —C—G—I—
                 :   :   :             :   :   :             :   :   :
                5′   :   :   3′       5′   :   :   3′       5′   :   :   3′
Codon:          —G—C—U—               —G—C—C—               —G—C—A—
```

Evidently, non-Watson–Crick base pairing can occur at the third codon–anticodon position (the anticodon's first position is defined as its 3′ nucleotide), the site of most codon degeneracy (Table 27-1). Note that the third (5′) anticodon position commonly contains a modified base such as Gm or I.

FIG. 27-11 U · G and I · A wobble pairs. Both have been observed in X-ray structures.

TABLE 27-3 Allowed Wobble Pairing Combinations in the Third Codon–Anticodon Position

5′-Anticodon Base	3′-Codon Base
C	G
A	U
U	A or G
G	U or C
I	U, C, or A

The Wobble Hypothesis Accounts for Codon Degeneracy. By combining structural insight with logical deduction, Crick proposed the **wobble hypothesis** to explain how a tRNA can recognize several degenerate codons. He assumed that *the first two codon–anticodon pairings have normal Watson–Crick geometry and that there could be a small amount of play or "wobble" in the third anticodon position to allow limited conformational adjustments in its pairing geometry.* This permits the formation of several non-Watson–Crick pairs such as U · G and I · A (**Fig. 27-11**). The allowed pairings for the third codon–anticodon position are listed in **Table 27-3**. An anticodon with C or A in its third position can potentially pair only with its Watson–Crick complementary codon (although, in fact, there is no known instance of a tRNA with an A in its third anticodon position). If U or G occupies the third anticodon position, two codons can potentially be recognized. I at the third anticodon position can pair with U, C, or A.

A consideration of the various wobble pairings indicates that at least 31 tRNAs are required to translate all 61 coding triplets of the genetic code (there are 32 tRNAs in the minimal set because translation initiation requires a separate tRNA; Section 27-4A). Most cells have >32 tRNAs, some of which have identical anticodons. In fact, mammalian cells have >150 tRNAs. Some organisms contain unique tRNAs that are charged with unusual amino acids (Box 27-2).

Frequently Used Codons Are Complementary to the Most Abundant tRNA Species. The analysis of the base sequences of several highly expressed structural genes of baker's yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae,* has revealed a remarkable bias in their codon usage. Only 25 of the 61 coding triplets are commonly used. *The preferred codons are those that are most nearly complementary, in the Watson–Crick sense, to the anticodons in the most abundant species in each set of isoaccepting tRNAs.* A similar phenomenon occurs in *E. coli,* although several of its 22 preferred codons differ from those in yeast. Consequently, a gene's level of expression is strongly correlated with the degree with which preferred codons occur in the gene. This has important ramifications for the synthesis of recombinant proteins: For the efficient expression of a recombinant protein, the site-directed mutation of its corresponding codons should be to codons that are abundant in the organism in which the protein is to be expressed. Alternatively, the organism can be engineered to overexpress the tRNAs for normally rare codons.

CHECKPOINT

- Describe the major structural features of tRNA.
- Why is it important for all tRNAs to have similar structures?
- How is ATP used to charge a tRNA?
- What features of tRNA structure are involved in recognition and aminoacylation by an aaRS?
- Why is proofreading necessary during aminoacylation?
- Describe how the double-sieve mechanism of IleRS promotes accurate tRNA aminoacylation.
- Why don't cells need one tRNA for each codon?
- Which base pairings account for wobble at the third codon–anticodon position?

Box 27-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry Expanding the Genetic Code

It is widely stated that proteins are synthesized from 20 "standard" amino acids, but two other amino acids are known to be incorporated into proteins during translation (other nonstandard amino acid residues in proteins are the result of posttranslational modifications). In both cases, the amino acid is attached to a unique tRNA that recognizes a Stop codon.

Several enzymes in eukaryotes and prokaryotes contain the nonstandard amino acid **selenocysteine (Sec):**

The selenocysteine (Sec) residue

The Sec residues of **selenoproteins** are thought to participate in redox reactions such as those catalyzed by mammalian glutathione peroxidase (Box 15-4) and thioredoxin reductase (Section 23-3A). For this reason, selenium is an essential trace element. The human proteome contains 25 selenoproteins.

Selenocysteine, sometimes called the "twenty-first amino acid," is incorporated into proteins with the aid of a tRNA that interprets the UGA Stop codon as a Sec codon. **tRNA^{Sec}** is initially charged with serine in a reaction catalyzed by the same SerRS that charges tRNA^{Ser}. The resulting Ser–tRNA^{Sec} is enzymatically selenylated to produce selenocysteinyl-tRNA^{Sec}. Although tRNA^{Sec} must resemble tRNA^{Ser} enough to interact with the same SerRS, its acceptor stem has 8 bp (rather than 7), its D arm has a 6-bp stem and a 4-base loop (rather than a 4-bp stem and a 7–8-base loop), its TψC stem has 4 bp rather than 5, and its anticodon, UCA, recognizes a UGA Stop codon rather than a Ser codon. In addition, several of the invariant residues of other tRNAs are altered in tRNA^{Sec}. These changes explain why tRNA^{Sec} is not recognized by EF-Tu · GTP, which escorts other aminoacyl–tRNAs to the ribosome (Section 27-4B). Instead, a dedicated protein (a special elongation factor) named **SELB** in complex with GTP is required to deliver Sec–tRNA^{Sec} to the ribosome. SELB · GTP · Sec–tRNA^{Sec} reads the UGA codon as Sec rather than "Stop," provided that the ribosomally bound mRNA has a hairpin loop on the 3′ side of the UGA specifying Sec.

The archaebacterial protein **methylamine methyltransferase** includes the amino acid **pyrrolysine (Pyl),** a lysine with its ε-nitrogen in amide linkage to a pyrroline group:

The pyrrolysine (Pyl) residue

Pyl is specified by the codon UAG (normally a Stop codon). Pyl is carried to the ribosome by **tRNA^{Pyl},** which contains a CUA anticodon and differs from typical tRNAs in having a D loop with 5 rather than 8 residues, an anticodon stem with 6 rather than 5 bp, and a TψC loop that lacks the sequence TψC. A specific aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase, **PylRS,** that differs from known LysRSs, charges tRNA^{Pyl} with pyrrolysine in an ATP-dependent reaction, the first known example in nature of the direct aminoacylation of a tRNA with a "nonstandard" amino acid. Unlike the case for Ser–tRNA^{Sec}, Pyl–tRNA^{Pyl} is delivered to the ribosome by EF-Tu. This suggests that the mRNA contains a signal that causes UAG to be read as a Pyl codon rather than as a Stop codon. A conserved stem–loop structure located on the 3′ side of UAG codons specifying Pyl may comprise this signal.

3 Ribosomes

KEY CONCEPTS

- The ribosome consists of a large and a small subunit, both composed of RNA and a large number of small proteins.
- The complex structure of the ribosome allows it to bind mRNA and three tRNA molecules and to carry out protein synthesis.

Ribosomes, small organelles that were once thought to be artifacts of cell disruption, were identified as the site of protein synthesis in 1955 by Paul Zamecnik, who demonstrated that ^{14}C-labeled amino acids are transiently associated with ribosomes before they appear in free proteins. The ribosome is both enormous (~2.5×10^6 D in bacteria and 3.9 to 4.5×10^6 D in eukaryotes) and complex (ribosomes contain several large RNA molecules and dozens of different proteins). This complexity is necessary for the ribosome to carry out the following vital functions:

1. The ribosome binds mRNA such that its codons can be read with high fidelity.
2. The ribosome includes specific binding sites for tRNA molecules.

3. The ribosome mediates the interactions of nonribosomal protein factors that promote polypeptide chain initiation, elongation, and termination.
4. The ribosome catalyzes peptide bond formation.
5. The ribosome undergoes movement so that it can translate sequential codons.

In this section, we discuss the structure of the ribosome, beginning with the smaller and simpler prokaryotic ribosome and ending with the larger and more complicated eukaryotic ribosome.

A The Prokaryotic Ribosome Consists of Two Subunits

Ribosomal components are traditionally described in terms of their rate of sedimentation in an ultracentrifuge, which correlates roughly with their size (Section 5-2E). Thus, the intact *E. coli* ribosome has a sedimentation coefficient of 70S. As James Watson discovered, the ribosome can be dissociated into two unequal subunits (Table 27-4). The small **(30S)** subunit consists of a **16S rRNA** molecule and 21 different proteins, whereas the large **(50S)** subunit contains a **5S** and a **23S rRNA** together with 31 different proteins. By convention, ribosomal proteins from the small and large subunits are designated with the prefixes S and L, respectively, followed by a number that roughly increases from the largest to the smallest. These proteins, which range in size from 46 to 557 residues, occur in only one copy per ribosome with the exception of L12, which is present in four copies. Most of these proteins, which exhibit little sequence similarity with one another, are rich in the basic amino acids Lys and Arg and contain few aromatic residues, as is expected for proteins that are closely associated with polyanionic RNA molecules. The up to 20,000 ribosomes in an *E. coli* cell account for ~80% of its RNA content and 10% of its protein.

Ribosomal RNAs Have Complicated Secondary Structures. The *E. coli* 16S rRNA, which was sequenced by Harry Noller, consists of 1542 nucleotides. A computerized search of this sequence for stable double-helical segments yielded many plausible but often mutually exclusive secondary structures. However, the comparison of the sequences of 16S rRNAs from several prokaryotes, under the assumption that their structures have been evolutionarily conserved, and more recently their 3-dimensional structures (see below), led to the flowerlike secondary structure for 16S rRNA seen in Fig. 27-12*a*. In this four-domain structure, which is 54% base paired, the double-helical stems tend to be short (<8 bp) and

TABLE 27-4 Components of *E. coli* Ribosomes

	Ribosome	Small Subunit	Large Subunit
Sedimentation coefficient	70S	30S	50S
Mass (kD)	2520	930	1590
RNA			
Major		16S, 1542 nucleotides	23S, 2904 nucleotides
Minor			5S, 120 nucleotides
RNA mass (kD)	1664	560	1104
Proportion of mass	66%	60%	70%
Proteins		21 polypeptides	31 polypeptides
Protein mass (kD)	857	370	487
Proportion of mass	34%	40%	30%

(a)

(b)

FIG. 27-12 Secondary structures of the *E. coli* ribosomal RNAs as determined from their three-dimensional structures. (*a*) 16S rRNA and (*b*) 23S and 5S rRNAs. The rRNAs are colored by domain. The stems, which contain Watson–Crick base pairs, G • U base pairs, and other non-Watson–Crick base pairs, form flowerlike structures within each domain. The large numbers are helix identifiers and the small numbers are the nucleotide sequence numbers. [Modified from drawings by Loren Williams, Georgia Institute of Technology.]

many of them are imperfect. The large ribosomal subunit's 5S and 23S rRNAs, which consist of 120 and 2904 nucleotides, respectively, also exhibit extensive secondary structures (Fig. 27-12*b*).

The Ribosome Has a Complex Three-Dimensional Structure. The structure of the ribosome began to come into focus through electron microscopy (EM; Fig. 27-13*a*) and later through cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM,

Courtesy of James Lake, UCLA

(a)

(b)

Courtesy of Joachim Frank, State University of New York at Albany

FIG. 27-13 Structure of the *E. coli* ribosome. (*a*) Low-resolution electron micrographs. (*b*) Cryoelectron microscopy–based image of the *E. coli* ribosome. The 30S subunit (*yellow*) is on the left and the 50S subunit (*blue*) is on the right. The tRNAs that occupy the A, P, and E sites (described below) are colored magenta, green, and brown. A portion of the 50S subunit has been cut away to reveal the polypeptide exit tunnel. A segment of mRNA (*5′ end brown and 3′ end lavender*) and the nascent (growing) polypeptide chain (*yellow*) have been modeled into the structure.

which is discussed in Section 6-2B). Cryo-EM studies, carried out largely by Joachim Frank, revealed that the ribosome has an irregular shape, about 250 Å across, with numerous lobes and bulges as well as channels and tunnels (**Fig. 27-13*b***).

The fine structure of the ribosome was determined by X-ray crystallography, a landmark achievement owing to the enormous size of the particle. Ribosomal subunits were first crystallized by Ada Yonath in 1980, but it took another 20 years for improvements in crystal quality and technical advances in crystallography to meet the challenge of determining the X-ray structures of these gargantuan molecular complexes. In 2000, Peter Moore and Steitz reported the X-ray structure of the ~100,000-atom 50S ribosomal subunit of the halophilic (salt-loving) bacterium *Haloarcula marismortui* at atomic (2.4 Å) resolution, and shortly thereafter Venki Ramakrishnan and Yonath independently reported the X-ray structure of the 30S subunit of *T. thermophilus* at ~3 Å resolution. Since then, higher-resolution structures of the entire 70S ribosomes from *T. thermophilus* and *E. coli* have been obtained.

Prokaryotic ribosomes exhibit the following architectural features:

1. Both the 16S and 23S rRNAs are assemblies of helical elements connected by loops, most of which are irregular extensions of helices (**Fig. 27-14**). These structures, which are in close accord with previous secondary structure predictions, are stabilized by interactions between helices such as minor groove to minor groove packing (recall that A-form RNA has a very shallow minor groove); the insertion of a phosphate ridge into a minor groove; and the insertion of conserved adenines into minor grooves. Similar intramolecular interactions are observed in other complex RNA structures (e.g., Section 26-3C).
2. Each of the 16S rRNA's four domains, which extend out from a central junction (Fig. 27-12*a*), forms a morphologically distinct portion of the 30S subunit (Fig. 27-14*a*). In contrast, the 23S rRNA's seven domains (Fig. 27-12*b*) are intricately intertwined in the 50S subunit (Fig. 27-14*b*). Since the ribosomal proteins are embedded in the RNA (see below), this suggests that the domains of the 30S subunit can move relative to one another during protein synthesis, whereas the 50S subunit appears to be rigid.

FIG. 27-14 Tertiary structures of the ribosomal RNAs. (*a*) The 16S rRNA of *T. thermophilus.* (*b*) The 23S rRNA of *H. marismortui.* The rRNAs are colored according to domain as in Fig. 27-12. The interface view of a ribosomal subunit (*left*) shows the surface that associates with the other subunit in the whole ribosome and the back view (*right*) shows the opposite (solvent-exposed) side. Note that the secondary structure domains of the 16S rRNA fold as separate tertiary structure domains, whereas in the 23S rRNA, the secondary structure domains are more intertwined. The L1 protein, part of the large subunit, is shown for purposes of orientation. [Courtesy of Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory, of Molecular Biology, U.K., and Peter Moore, Yale University. PDBids 1J5E and 1JJ2.]

FIG. 27-15 Distribution of protein and RNA in the ribosomal subunits. (*a*) The 30S subunit of *T. thermophilus*. (*b*) The 50S subunit of *H. marismortui*. The subunits are drawn in space-filling form with their RNAs gray and their proteins in various colors. Note that the interface side of each subunit is largely free of protein. The globular portions of proteins are exposed on the surface of their associated subunit (Fig. 27-16), whereas their extended segments are largely buried in the RNA. [Part *a* based on an X-ray structure by Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. Part *b* based on an X-ray structure by Peter Moore and Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBids 1J5E and 1JJ2.]

3. The distribution of the proteins in the two ribosomal subunits is not uniform (Fig. 27-15). The vast majority of the ribosomal proteins are located on the back and sides of their subunits. In contrast, the face of each subunit that forms the interface between the two subunits, particularly the region that binds the tRNAs and mRNA (see below), is largely devoid of proteins.
4. Most ribosomal proteins consist of a globular domain and a tail. However, there is no significant sequence identity between any of the various ribosomal proteins. The globular domain, when present, is located on a subunit surface (Fig. 27-15). The tail segment, which is largely devoid of secondary structure and unusually rich in basic residues, infiltrates between the RNA helices into the subunit interior (Fig. 27-16). These protein tails make far fewer base-specific interactions than do other known RNA-binding proteins. They tend to interact with the RNA through salt bridges between their positively charged side chains and the RNAs' negatively charged phosphate oxygen atoms, thereby neutralizing the repulsive charge–charge interactions between nearby RNA segments. The sequences of the corresponding ribosomal proteins in different organisms are conserved, but the sequences of these proteins' tails are more highly conserved than their attached globular domains. The functions of these proteins are largely unknown, although it seems likely that many of them have a structural role. All of this is consistent with the hypothesis that the primordial ribosome consisted entirely of RNA (the RNA world) and that the proteins that were eventually

FIG. 27-16 Backbone structures of selected ribosomal proteins. Globular portions of the proteins are green, and extended segments are red. [Courtesy of Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 1J5E.]

FIG. 27-17 X-Ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome in complex with tRNA and mRNA. (*a*) The RNA components of the complex are drawn in cartoon form except for the 11-residue mRNA, which is shown in space-filling form. The E site binds $tRNA^{Phe}$ and the A and P sites bind Phe–$tRNA^{Phe}$. Proteins have been omitted for clarity. The 16S RNA is cyan, the 23S RNA is yellow, the 5S RNA is blue, the tRNAs in the A, P, and E sites are green, magenta, and red, and the mRNA, which is largely occluded by the 16S RNA, is colored according to atom type with C pink, N blue, O red, and P orange. (*b*) The 16S RNA in interface view with its bound tRNAs and mRNA, all represented as in Part *a*. (*c*) The 23S RNA in interface view (rotated 180° about the vertical direction relative to Part *b*) with its bound tRNAs all represented as in Part *a*. [Based on an X-ray structure by Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 4V5D.]

acquired stabilized its structure and fine-tuned its function. Indeed, a comparison of Figs. 27-14 and 27-15 indicates that the structures of the large and small ribosomal subunits are determined mainly by their rRNA components, not their proteins.

In the intact ribosome, the large and small subunits maintain the overall shapes of the isolated subunits and contact each other at 12 positions via RNA–RNA, protein–protein, and RNA–protein bridges (**Fig. 27-17**). Mg^{2+} ions mediate many of these interactions. Although the bridges are essential for the stable association of the two ribosomal subunits, some of the contacts must be broken and re-formed in order to permit the ribosome to carry out the various activities of translation.

In Section 27-4, we will see that *the large subunit is mainly involved in mediating biochemical tasks such as catalyzing the reactions of polypeptide elongation, whereas the small subunit is the major actor in ribosomal recognition processes such as mRNA and tRNA binding* (although the large subunit also participates in tRNA binding). We will also see that *rRNA has the major functional role in ribosomal processes* (recall that RNA has demonstrated catalytic properties; Sections 24-2C and 26-3B).

Ribosomes Have Three tRNA-Binding Sites. Ribosomes have three functionally distinct tRNA-binding sites: the **A** or **aminoacyl site** (it accommodates the incoming aminoacyl–tRNA), the **P** or **peptidyl site** (it accommodates the **peptidyl–tRNA**, the tRNA to which the growing peptide chain is attached), and the

FIG. 27-18 The interactions of tRNAs with mRNA. These RNA structures are part of the ribosomal complex shown in Fig. 27-17. The RNAs are drawn in cartoon form with A-site C green, P-site C magenta, E-site C red, mRNA C pink, N blue, and O red and with successive P atoms connected by orange rods. The Phe residues appended to the A- and P-site tRNAs are represented in space-filling form. Note their close approach. [Based on an X-ray structure by Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 4V5D.]

? **Identify the tRNAs that make close contact with the mRNA.**

E or **exit site** (it accommodates a deacylated tRNA that is about to exit the ribosome). The ribosome binds all three tRNAs in a similar manner with their anticodon arms bound to the 30S subunit and their remaining portions bound to the 50S subunit (Fig. 27-17). These interactions, which consist mainly of RNA–RNA contacts, are made to the tRNAs' universally conserved segments, thereby permitting the ribosome to bind different species of tRNAs in the same way. Nevertheless, the three bound tRNAs have slightly different conformations, with the A-site tRNA most closely resembling the X-ray structure of $tRNA^{Phe}$ (Fig. 27-5*b*) and the E-site tRNA most distorted.

Only the A-site and P-site tRNAs interact closely with the mRNA through base pairing (Fig. 27-18). In the ribosomal complex, the acceptor ends of these two tRNAs are close together, a necessity for the peptidyl transferase reaction that takes place there.

The growing polypeptide fits into a tunnel on the 50S subunit that extends from the P site to the outer ribosomal surface (the tunnel is visible in Fig. 27-13*b*). The ~100-Å-long tunnel is lined with mostly hydrophilic residues and has an average diameter of ~15 Å. This is barely large enough to accommodate an α helix, so significant protein folding probably cannot occur until the polypeptide exits the ribosome.

B The Eukaryotic Ribosome Contains a Buried Prokaryotic Ribosome

Eukaryotic ribosomes have particle masses in the range 3.9 to 4.5×10^6 D, at least 40% larger than bacterial ribosomes, and have a nominal sedimentation coefficient of 80S. They dissociate into two unequal subunits, whose compositions are more complex than those of prokaryotes (Table 27-5; compare with Table 27-4). The small **(40S)** subunit of the rat liver cytoplasmic ribosome, a well-characterized eukaryotic ribosome, consists of 33 unique polypeptides and an **18S rRNA.** Its large **(60S)** subunit contains 49 different polypeptides and three rRNAs of 28S, 5.8S, and 5S. The additional complexity of the eukaryotic ribosome relative to its prokaryotic counterpart is presumably due to the eukaryotic ribosome's additional functions: Its mechanism of translational initiation is more complicated (Section 27-4A); it must be transported from the nucleus, where it is assembled, to the cytoplasm, where translation occurs; and the machinery with which it participates in the secretory pathway is more complex (Section 9-4D).

Sequence comparisons of the corresponding rRNAs from various species indicate that evolution has conserved their secondary structures rather than their base sequences (Fig. 27-12). For example, a G · C in a base-paired stem of *E. coli* 16S rRNA has been replaced by an A · U in the analogous stem of yeast 18S

TABLE 27-5 Components of Rat Liver Cytoplasmic Ribosomes

	Ribosome	Small Subunit	Large Subunit
Sedimentation coefficient	80S	40S	60S
Mass (kD)	4220	1400	2820
RNA			
Major		18S, 1874 nucleotides	28S, 4718 nucleotides
Minor			5.8S, 160 nucleotides 5S, 120 nucleotides
RNA mass (kD)	2520	700	1820
Proportion of mass	60%	50%	65%
Proteins		33 polypeptides	49 polypeptides
Protein mass (kD)	1700	700	1000
Proportion of mass	40%	50%	35%

rRNA, a phenomenon termed **covariance**. The **5.8S rRNA,** a component of the large eukaryotic subunit that forms a base-paired complex with the **28S rRNA,** is homologous in sequence to the 5′ end of prokaryotic 23S rRNA. Apparently 5.8S RNA arose through mutations that altered rRNA's posttranscriptional processing to produce a fourth rRNA.

The X-ray structure of the yeast 80S ribosome (Fig. 27-19) at near-atomic resolution was determined by Adam Ben-Shem and Marat Yusupov. The shape of the yeast ribosome reveals that there is a high degree of structural conservation between eukaryotic and prokaryotic ribosomes (compare Fig. 27-19 with Fig. 27-17*a*). The greater size and functional complexity of the eukaryotic ribosome is due to additional rRNA sequences called **expansion segments**, 25 proteins that have no prokaryotic counterparts, and extra polypeptide segments inserted into the conserved proteins. The expansion segments are inserted into the helices of the conserved core rRNAs in ways that do not change their comformations, and the proteins with no prokaryotic counterparts associate mainly with the expansion segments. The various sizes of eukaryotic ribosomes from different species are due largely to the differing sizes of four of the expansion segments.

Courtesy of Marat Yusupov, Institut de Genetique et de Biologie Moleculaire et Cellulaire, Strasbourg, France

FIG. 27-19 X-Ray structure of the yeast 80S ribosome. Proteins and rRNAs are drawn in ribbon form with the 60S proteins orange, 60S RNA yellow, 40S proteins blue, and 40S RNA cyan. Expansion segments, RNA sequences that are not present in bacterial ribosomes, are shown in red. PDBid 4V7R.

The expansion segments and additional proteins cluster mostly on the solvent-exposed surface of the eukaryotic ribosome rather than in the subunit interface. In essence, *the core of a eukaryotic ribosome is a prokaryotic ribosome that performs the basic processes of polypeptide synthesis* (described in Section 27-4). Moreover, phylogenetic analysis by Loren Williams revealed that 20% of prokaryotic rRNAs are universally conserved and 80% are covariantly conserved. Thus, since all cells contain ribosomes, *the ribosomal core is the most widely conserved structure in all of biology.* In fact, it was sequence comparisons of the 16S RNAs from various organisms that caused Carl Woese to discover that archaea form a different domain of life from those of bacteria and eukaryotes (Section 1-2B).

The yeast ribosome exhibits 16 intersubunit bridges, 12 of which match the 12 that were observed in the X-ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome, a remarkable evolutionary conservation that indicates the importance of these bridges. The cryo-EM based structures of the more complex human and *Drosophila* 80S ribosomes have been determined at medium resolution by Roland Beckmann.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the relationship between rRNA and the overall structure of the ribosome.
- Describe the distribution of proteins in the ribosomal subunits.
- Describe the positions and functions of the three tRNA-binding sites in the ribosome.
- Describe the similarities and differences between prokaryotic and eukaryotic ribosomes.

4 Translation

KEY CONCEPTS

- Initiation factors help to assemble the ribosomal subunits, deliver the initiator tRNA, and in eukaryotes, locate the initiation codon.
- The ribosome selects the correct aminoacyl–tRNA, catalyzes the transpeptidation reaction, and then translocates along the mRNA during the elongation phase of protein synthesis.
- A release factor and ribosome recycling factor participate in terminating polypeptide synthesis.

To appreciate the manner in which the ribosome orchestrates the translation of mRNA to synthesize polypeptides, it is helpful to assimilate the following points:

1. *Polypeptide synthesis proceeds from the N-terminus to the C-terminus;* that is, a **peptidyl transferase** activity appends an incoming amino acid to a growing polypeptide's C-terminus. This was shown to be the case in 1961 by Howard Dintzis, who exposed reticulocytes (immature red blood cells) that were actively synthesizing hemoglobin to ^{3}H-labeled leucine for less time than it takes to synthesize an entire polypeptide. The extent to which the tryptic peptides from the soluble (completed) hemoglobin molecules were labeled increased with their proximity to the C-terminus (Fig. 27-20), thereby proving that incoming amino acids are appended to the growing polypeptide's C-terminus.

FIG. 27-20 Demonstration that polypeptide synthesis proceeds from the N- to the C-terminus. Rabbit reticulocytes were incubated with [^{3}H]leucine for the indicated times. The curves show the distribution of [^{3}H]Leu among the tryptic peptides from the β subunit of soluble rabbit hemoglobin. The numbers on the horizontal axis are peptide identifiers, arranged from the N-terminus to the C-terminus. [After Dintzis, H.M., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **47,** 255 (1961).]

FIG. 27-21 The ribosomal peptidyl transferase reaction forming a peptide bond. The amino group of the aminoacyl–tRNA in the A site nucleophilically displaces the tRNA of the peptidyl–tRNA ester in the P site, thereby forming a new peptide bond and transferring the nascent polypeptide to the A-site tRNA.

? What is the approximate free energy change for the transfer reaction?

2. *Chain elongation occurs by linking the growing polypeptide to the incoming tRNA's amino acid residue.* If the growing polypeptide is released from the ribosome by treatment with high salt concentrations, its C-terminal residue is esterified to a tRNA molecule as a peptidyl–tRNA (*at right*). The nascent (growing) polypeptide must therefore grow by being transferred from the peptidyl–tRNA in the P site to the incoming aa–tRNA in the A site to form a peptidyl–tRNA with one more residue (**Fig. 27-21**). After the peptide bond has formed, the new peptidyl–tRNA, which now occupies the A site, is translocated to the P site so that a new aa–tRNA can enter the A site. The uncharged tRNA in the P site moves to the E site before it dissociates from the ribosome (we discuss the details of chain elongation in Section 27-4B).
3. *Ribosomes read mRNA in the 5′→3′ direction.* This was shown through the use of a cell-free protein-synthesizing system in which the mRNA was poly(A) with a 3′-terminal C:

$$5'\ \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}-\cdots-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}\ \ 3'$$

Such a system synthesizes a poly(Lys) that has a C-terminal Asn:

$$\mathrm{H_3N^+}-\mathrm{Lys}-\mathrm{Lys}-\mathrm{Lys}-\cdots-\mathrm{Lys}-\mathrm{Lys}-\mathrm{Asn}-\mathrm{COO^-}$$

Together with the knowledge that AAA and AAC code for Lys and Asn (Table 27–1) and the polarity of peptide synthesis, this established that the mRNA is read in the 5′→3′ direction. Because mRNA is also synthesized in the 5′→3′ direction, prokaryotic ribosomes can commence translation as soon as a nascent mRNA emerges from RNA polymerase. This, however, is not possible in eukaryotes because the nuclear membrane separates the site of transcription (the nucleus) from the site of translation (the cytosol).

Peptidyl–tRNA

(a)

Courtesy of Oscar Miller, Jr., and Steven McKnight, University of Virginia

(b)

Courtesy of Ulrich Hartl and Wolfgang Baumeister, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsreid, Germany

FIG. 27-22 Polysomes. *(a)* Electron micrograph of polysomes from silk gland cells of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. The 3′ end of the mRNA is on the left. Arrows point to the silk fibroin polypeptides. The bar represents 0.1 μm. (*b*) Cryo-EM–based image of an *E. coli* polysome. The mRNA (which is mostly occluded) is represented by a red line, the small ribosomal subunits are yellow, the large subunits are cyan, and the red cones point to the polypeptide exit tunnel on each large subunit. This assembly has a pseudohelical arrangement of ribosomes in which the center-to-center distance between adjacent ribosomes averages ~230 Å. Polysomes with somewhat different although equally densely packed arrangements of ribosomes have also been observed.

? Draw a schematic diagram of the polysome in Part (*a*) and label its parts.

4. *Active translation occurs on polysomes.* In both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, multiple ribosomes can bind to a single mRNA transcript, giving rise to a beads-on-a-string structure called a **polyribosome** (**polysome**; **Fig. 27-22**). Individual ribosomes are arranged in a helical fashion so that they have a maximum density on the mRNA of ~1 ribosome per 80 nt. Polysomes arise because once an active ribosome has cleared its initiation site on mRNA, a second ribosome can initiate translation at that site.

A Chain Initiation Requires an Initiator tRNA and Initiation Factors

***N*-Formylmethionine–tRNA$_f^{Met}$ (fMet–tRNA$_f^{Met}$)**

The first indication of how ribosomes initiate polypeptide synthesis was the observation that almost half of the *E. coli* proteins begin with the otherwise uncommon amino acid residue Met. In fact, the tRNA that initiates translation is a peculiar form of Met–tRNA^{Met} in which the Met residue is *N*-formylated (*at left*). Because the ***N*-formylmethionine** residue **(fMet)** already has an amide bond, it can only be the N-terminal residue of a polypeptide. *E. coli* proteins are posttranslationally modified by deformylation of their fMet residue, and in many proteins, by the subsequent removal of the resulting N-terminal Met. This processing usually occurs on the nascent polypeptide, which accounts for the observation that mature *E. coli* proteins all lack fMet.

The tRNA that recognizes the initiation codon, tRNA$_f^{Met}$, differs from the tRNA that carries internal Met residues, tRNA$_m^{Met}$ although they both recognize the same AUG codon. Presumably, the conformations of these tRNAs are different enough to permit them to be distinguished in the reactions of chain initiation and elongation.

In *E. coli,* uncharged tRNA$_f^{Met}$ is aminoacylated with Met by the same MetRS that charges tRNA$_m^{Met}$. The resulting Met–tRNA$_f^{Met}$ is specifically *N*-formylated to yield fMet–tRNA$_f^{Met}$ by a **transformylase** that employs N^{10}-formyltetrahydrofolate (Section 21-4D) as its formyl donor. This transformylase does not recognize Met–tRNA$_m^{Met}$.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See Guided Exploration
Translation Initiation

Base Pairing between mRNA and the 16S rRNA Helps Select the Translation Initiation Site. AUG codes for internal Met residues as well as the initiating Met

	Initiation codon
araB	– U U U G G A U G G A G U G A A A C G A U G G C G A U U –
galE	– A G C C U A A U G G A G C G A A U U A U G A G A G U U –
lacI	– C A A U U C A G G G U G G U G A U U G U G A A A C C A –
lacZ	– U U C A C A C A G G A A A C A G C U A U G A C C A U G –
Qβ phage replicase	– U A A C U A A G G A U G A A A U G C A U G U C U A A G –
ϕX174 phage A protein	– A A U C U U G G A G G C U U U U U U A U G G U U C G U –
R17 phage coat protein	– U C A A C C G G G G U U U G A A G C A U G G C U U C U –
Ribosomal S12	– A A A A C C A G G A G C U A U U U A A U G G C A A C A –
Ribosomal L10	– C U A C C A G G A G C A A A G C U A A U G G C U U U A –
trpE	– C A A A A U U A G A G A A U A A C A A U G C A A A C A –
trp leader	– G U A A A A A G G G U A U C G A C A A U G A A A G C A –
3′ end of 16S rRNA	3′ HO A U U C C U C C A C U A G – 5′

FIG. 27-23 Some translation initiation sequences recognized by *E. coli* ribosomes. The RNAs are aligned according to their initiation codons (*blue shading*). Their Shine–Dalgarno sequences (*red shading*) are complementary, counting G • U pairs, to a portion of the 16S rRNA's 3′ end (*below*). [After Steitz, J.A., *in* Chambliss, G., Craven, G. R., Davies, J., Davis, K., Kahan, L., and Nomura, M. (Eds.), *Ribosomes. Structure, Function and Genetics,* pp. 481–482, University Park Press (1979).]

residue of a polypeptide. Moreover, mRNAs usually contain many AUGs (and GUGs) in different reading frames. Clearly, *a translation initiation site must be specified by more than just an initiation codon.*

In *E. coli,* the 16S rRNA contains a pyrimidine-rich sequence at its 3′ end. This sequence, as John Shine and Lynn Dalgarno pointed out in 1974, is partially complementary to a purine-rich tract of 3 to 10 nucleotides, the **Shine-Dalgarno sequence**, that is centered ~10 nucleotides upstream from the start codon of nearly all known prokaryotic mRNAs (**Fig. 27-23**). *Base-pairing interactions between an mRNA's Shine–Dalgarno sequence and the 16S rRNA apparently permit the ribosome to select the proper initiation codon.*

The X-ray structure of the 70S ribosome reveals, in agreement with Fig. 27-13*b,* that an ~30-nt segment of the mRNA is wrapped in a groove that encircles the neck of the 30S subunit (**Fig. 27-24**). The mRNA codons in the A and P sites are exposed on the interface side of the 30S subunit, whereas its 5′ and 3′ ends are bound in tunnels composed of RNA and protein. The mRNA's Shine–Dalgarno sequence, which is located near its 5′ end, is base-paired, as expected, with the 16S rRNA's anti-Shine–Dalgarno sequence, which is situated close to the E site. The proteins that in part form the tunnel through which the mRNA enters the ribosome (green in Fig. 27-24) probably function as a helicase to remove secondary structures from the mRNA that would otherwise interfere with tRNA binding.

Initiation Requires Soluble Protein Factors. Translation initiation in *E. coli* is a complex process in which the two ribosomal subunits and fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$ assemble on a properly aligned mRNA to form a

FIG. 27-24 Path of mRNA through the *T. thermophilus* 30S subunit. The ribosomal subunit is viewed from its interface side. The 16S rRNA is cyan, the mRNA is represented in worm form with its A- and P-site codons orange and red, and the Shine–Dalgarno helix (which includes a segment of 16S rRNA) magenta, and its remaining segments yellow. The ribosomal proteins that in part form the entry and exit tunnels for the mRNA are drawn in green and purple. The remaining ribosomal proteins have been omitted for clarity. [Courtesy of Gloria Culver, Iowa State University. Based on an X-ray structure by Harry Noller, University of California at Santa Cruz. PDBid 1JGO.]

complex that can commence chain elongation. This process also requires **initiation factors** that are not permanently associated with the ribosome, designated **IF-1, IF-2,** and **IF-3** in *E. coli* (Table 27-6).

Translation initiation in *E. coli* occurs in three stages (Fig. 27-25):

1. On completing a cycle of polypeptide synthesis, the 30S and 50S subunits are separated (Section 27-4C). IF-3 binds to the 30S subunit to prevent the reassociation of the 50S complex. The X-ray structure of the 30S subunit in complex with the C-terminal domain of IF-3 (which by itself prevents the association of the 30S and 50S subunits), determined by Yonath and François Franceschi, indicates that IF-3 binds to its solvent-exposed (back) side. Apparently, IF-3 does not function by physically blocking the binding of the 50S subunit.
2. mRNA and IF-2 in a ternary complex with GTP and fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$ along with IF-1, subsequently bind to the 30S subunit in either order. Since the ternary complex containing fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$ can bind to the ribosome before mRNA, fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$ binding must not be mediated by a codon–anticodon interaction; it is the only tRNA–ribosome association that does not require one, although this interaction helps bind fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$ to the ribosome. IF-1 binds in the A site, where it may prevent the inappropriate binding of a tRNA. IF-3 also functions at this stage of the initiation process by preventing the binding of tRNAs other than $tRNA_f^{Met}$.
3. Last, in a process that is preceded by IF-1 and IF-3 release, the 50S subunit joins the 30S initiation complex in a manner that stimulates IF-2 to hydrolyze its bound GTP to GDP + P_i. This irreversible reaction

TABLE 27-6 The Soluble Protein Factors of *E. coli* Protein Synthesis

Factor	Number of Residues[a]	Function
Initiation Factors		
IF-1	71	Assists IF-3 binding
IF-2	890	Binds initiator tRNA and GTP
IF-3	180	Releases mRNA and tRNA from recycled 30S subunit and aids new mRNA binding
Elongation Factors		
EF-Tu	393	Binds aminoacyl–tRNA and GTP
EF-Ts	282	Displaces GDP from EF-Tu
EF-G	703	Promotes translocation through GTP binding and hydrolysis
Release Factors		
RF-1	360	Recognizes UAA and UAG Stop codons
RF-2	365	Recognizes UAA and UGA Stop codons
RF-3	528	Stimulates RF-1/RF-2 release via GTP hydrolysis
RRF	185	Together with EF-G, induces ribosomal dissociation to small and large subunits

[a]All *E. coli* translational factors are monomeric proteins.

conformationally rearranges the 30S subunit and releases IF-2 for participation in further initiation reactions.

Initiation results in the formation of an fMet–tRNA$_f^{Met}$ · mRNA · ribosome complex in which the fMet–tRNA$_f^{Met}$ occupies the ribosome's P site while its A site is poised to accept an incoming aa–tRNA (an arrangement analogous to that

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 27-25 Translation initiation pathway in *E. coli*. The E site, which is unoccupied during this process, has been omitted for clarity.
WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.

? Without looking at the text, summarize the events of translation initiation.

at the conclusion of a round of elongation; Section 27-4B). Note that $tRNA_f^{Met}$ is the only tRNA that directly enters the P site. All other tRNAs must first enter the A site during chain elongation.

Initiation in Eukaryotes Is Far More Complicated Than in Prokaryotes. Ribosomal initiation in eukaryotes requires the assistance of at least 12 initiation factors (designated eIF*n*; "e" for *e*ukaryotic) that consist of 26 polypeptide chains. Nevertheless, Steps 1 and 3 of the prokaryotic process (Fig. 27-25) are superficially similar in eukaryotes. However, the way in which the mRNA's initiating codon is identified in eukaryotes is fundamentally different from that in prokaryotes.

The pairing of the initiator tRNA with the initiating AUG in the ribosomal P site begins with **eIF2,** a heterotrimer in complex with GTP, escorting the initiator tRNA to the complex of the 40S subunit with several other initiation factors, thereby forming the **43S preinitiation complex** (a process that resembles Step 2 in prokaryotic initiation but without the mRNA). The initiator tRNA is $\mathbf{tRNA_i}$ ("i" for *i*nitiator). Its appended Met residue is not formylated as in prokaryotes. Nevertheless, both species of initiator tRNAs are readily interchangeable *in vitro*.

Eukaryotic mRNAs lack the complementary sequences to bind to the 18S rRNA in the Shine–Dalgarno manner. Rather, they have an entirely different mechanism for recognizing the mRNA's initiating AUG codon. *Eukaryotic mRNAs, nearly all of which have a 7-methylguanosine (m^7G) cap and a poly(A) tail (Section 26-3A), are invariably monocistronic and almost always initiate translation at their leading AUG.* This AUG, which occurs at the end of a 5′-untranslated region of 50 to 70 nt, is embedded in the consensus sequence GCCRCC**AUG**G. Changes in the purine (R) 3 nt before the AUG and in the G immediately following it each reduce translational efficiency by ~10-fold. The recognition of the initiation site begins by the binding of **eIF4F** to the mRNA's m^7G cap. eIF4F is a heterotrimeric complex of **eIF4E, eIF4G,** and **eIF4A** (all monomers), in which eIF4E (also known as **cap-binding protein**) recognizes the mRNA's m^7G cap and eIF4G serves as a scaffold to join eIF4E with eIF4A.

The structure of eIF4E in complex with $\mathbf{m^7GDP}$ reveals that the protein binds the m^7G base by intercalating it between two highly conserved Trp residues in a region that is adjacent to a positively charged cleft that presumably forms the mRNA-binding site (**Fig. 27-26**). The m^7G base is specifically recognized by hydrogen bonding to protein side chains in a manner reminiscent of G · C base pairing. eIF4G also binds poly(A)-binding protein (PABP; Section 26-3A), which coats the mRNA's poly(A) tail, thereby circularizing the mRNA. Although this explains the synergism between an mRNA's m^7G cap and its poly(A) tail in stimulating translational initiation, the function of the circle is unclear. However, an attractive hypothesis is that it enables a ribosome that has finished translating the mRNA to reinitiate translation without having to disassemble and then reassemble. Another possibility is that it prevents the translation of incomplete (broken) mRNAs.

The eIF4F–mRNA complex is subsequently joined by several additional initiation factors. The resulting complex joins the 43S preinitiation complex, which then scans down the mRNA in an

Courtesy Nahum Sonenberg, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

FIG. 27-26 X-Ray structure of murine eIF4E in complex with the m^7G cap analog m^7GDP. The protein is shown as its solvent-accessible surface colored according to its electrostatic potential (red negative, blue positive, and white neutral). The m^7GDP is drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow. The two Trp residues that bind the m^7G base are indicated, as are a Lys and Ser residue that flank the putative mRNA-binding cleft (*yellow arrow*). PDBid 1EJ1

ATP-driven process until it encounters the mRNA's initiating AUG codon, thereby forming the **48S initiation complex.** The recognition of the AUG occurs mainly through base pairing with the CUA anticodon on the bound Met–$tRNA_i^{Met}$, as was demonstrated by the observation that mutating this anticodon results in the recognition of the new cognate codon instead of AUG.

In the analog of Step 3 of prokaryotic initiation (Fig. 27-25), the eIF2-catalyzed hydrolysis of its bound GTP induces the release of all initiation factors from the 48S initiation complex. The resulting 40S subunit–$tRNA_i^{Met}$ complex is joined by the 60S subunit in a GTP-dependent reaction mediated by **eIF5B** (a monomer and homolog of prokaryotic IF-2), thereby forming the 80S ribosomal initiation complex.

B The Ribosome Decodes the mRNA, Catalyzes Peptide Bond Formation, Then Moves to the Next Codon

Ribosomes elongate polypeptide chains in a three-stage reaction cycle that is highly conserved in all domains of life (Fig. 27-27):

1. **Decoding,** in which the ribosome selects and binds an aminoacyl–tRNA whose anticodon is complementary to the mRNA codon in the A site.

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See Guided Exploration
Translation Elongation

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 27-27 Elongation cycle in *E. coli* ribosomes. The E site is not shown. Eukaryotic elongation follows a similar cycle, but EF-Tu and EF-Ts are replaced by a single multisubunit protein, eEF1, and EF-G is replaced by eEF2. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Without looking at the text, summarize the events of translation elongation.**

2. **Transpeptidation**, or peptide bond formation, in which the peptidyl group in the P-site tRNA is transferred to the aminoacyl group in the A site.
3. **Translocation**, in which the A-site and P-site tRNAs are respectively transferred to the P and E sites, accompanied by their bound mRNA; that is, the mRNA, together with its base-paired tRNAs, is ratcheted through the ribosome by one codon.

This process, which occurs at a rate of 10 to 20 amino acid residues per second, requires several nonribosomal proteins known as **elongation factors** (Table 27-6).

Decoding: An Aminoacyl–tRNA Binds to the Ribosomal A Site. In the first stage of the *E. coli* elongation cycle, a binary complex of GTP and the elongation factor **EF-Tu** combines with an aa–tRNA. The resulting ternary complex binds to the ribosome. Binding of the aa–tRNA in a codon–anticodon complex to the ribosomal A site is accompanied by the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP so that EF-Tu · GDP and P_i are released. The EF-Tu · GTP complex is regenerated when GDP is displaced from EF-Tu · GDP by the elongation factor **EF-Ts,** which in turn is displaced by GTP.

Guanosine-5′-(β,γ-imido)triphosphate (GMPPNP)

Aminoacyl–tRNAs can bind to the ribosomal A site without EF-Tu but at a rate too slow to support cell growth. The importance of EF-Tu is indicated by the fact that it is the most abundant *E. coli* protein; it is present in ~100,000 copies per cell (>5% of the cell's protein), which is approximately the number of tRNA molecules in the cell. Consequently, *the cell's entire complement of aa–tRNAs is essentially sequestered by EF-Tu.*

The X-ray structure of EF-Tu in complex with Phe–$tRNA^{Phe}$ and the nonhydrolyzable GTP analog **guanosine-5′-(β,γ-imido)triphosphate (GMPPNP** *at left;* alternatively **GDPNP),** was determined by Brian Clark and Jens Nyborg (**Fig. 27-28**). This complex has a corkscrew-shaped structure in which the EF-Tu and the tRNA's acceptor stem form a knoblike handle and the tRNA's anticodon helix forms the screw. The macromolecules appear to associate rather tenuously via three major regions: (1) The 3′-CCA–Phe segment of the Phe–$tRNA^{Phe}$ binds in the cleft between domains 1 and 2 of EF-Tu · GMPPNP (the blue and green mainly helical domain and the yellow β sheet domain in Fig. 27-28); (2) the 5′-phosphate of the tRNA binds in a depression at the junction of EF-Tu's three domains; and (3) one side of the TψC stem of the tRNA makes contacts with exposed main chain and side chains of EF-Tu domain 3 (the orange β barrel–containing domain in Fig. 27-28).

EF-Tu binds all aminoacylated tRNAs, but not uncharged tRNAs or initiator tRNAs. Evidently, the tight association of the aminoacyl group with EF-Tu greatly increases the affinity of EF-Tu for the otherwise loosely bound tRNA. $tRNA_f^{Met}$ does not bind to EF-Tu because it has a 3′ overhang of 5 nt versus 4 nt in an elongator tRNA. This, together with the formyl group attached to the fMet residue, apparently prevents fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$ from binding to EF-Tu, thereby explaining why the initiator tRNA never translates internal AUG codons.

EF-Tu is a G protein that undergoes a large conformational change on hydrolyzing GTP. Its N-terminal domain 1 (blue, cyan, and green in Fig. 27-28)

FIG. 27-28 X-Ray structure of the ternary complex of yeast Phe-$tRNA^{Phe}$, *Thermus aquaticus* EF-Tu, and GMPPNP. The EF-Tu is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The tRNA is shown in stick form colored according to atom type with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange and with orange rods linking successive P atoms. The tRNA's appended aminoacyl–Phe residue and the GMPPNP that is bound to the EF-Tu are drawn in space-filling form with C atoms cyan and yellow, respectively. Two bound Mg^{2+} ions are represented by magenta spheres. [Based on an X-ray structure by Brian Clark and Jens Nyborg, University of Aarhus, Århus, Denmark. PDBid 1TTT.]

FIG. 27-29 Comparison of the X-ray structures of ribosomal elongation factor EF-Tu in its complexes with GDP and GMPPNP. The protein is drawn in ribbon form with domain 1, its GTP-binding domain, magenta in the GDP complex and yellow in the GMPPNP complex. Domains 2 and 3, which have the same orientation in both complexes, are green and cyan. The bound GDP and GMPPNP are shown in space-filling form with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. [Based on X-ray structures by Jens Nyborg, Aarhus University, Århus, Denmark. PDBids 1EFT and 1TUI.]

? Does this structure resemble the G proteins involved in signal transduction (see Fig. 13-19)?

resembles other G proteins (Sections 13-2B and 13-3B), with Switch I and Switch II regions signaling the state of the bound nucleotide (GTP or GDP). GTP hydrolysis also causes domain 1 to reorient with respect to domains 2 and 3 by a dramatic 91° rotation (Fig. 27-29), a conformational change that eliminates the tRNA-binding site. Since EF-Tu is a G protein, the ribosome can be classified as its GTPase-activating protein (GAP) and EF-Ts functions as its guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF).

The X-ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome in complex with tRNAs and EF-Tu · GDP is shown in Fig. 27-30. The complex was stabilized by the addition of the antibiotic **kirromycin,** which prevents EF-Tu from undergoing conformational changes and dissociating from the ribosome after GTP hydrolysis. The incoming aminoacyl–tRNA has made contact with its codon but, because it is bound to EF-Tu, is distorted relative to its conformation after it has been fully installed in the A site (as in Fig. 27-17).

The Ribosome Monitors Correct Codon-Anticodon Pairing. When EF-Tu · GTP delivers an aa–tRNA to the ribosome, it first inserts the anticodon end of the tRNA into the ribosome. The tRNA's aminoacyl end moves fully into the A site only after GTP is hydrolyzed and EF-Tu dissociates. *This multistep process allows the ribosome to verify that the aa–tRNA has correctly paired with an mRNA codon.* How does the ribosome monitor codon–anticodon pairing?

The X-ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* 30S subunit in complex with a U_6 hexanucleotide "mRNA" and a 17-nt RNA consisting of the $tRNA^{Phe}$ anticodon arm (Fig. 27-5, but with its nucleotides unmodified), determined by Ramakrishnan, reveals how an mRNA-specified tRNA initially binds to the ribosome. The codon–anticodon association is stabilized by its interactions

Courtesy of Martin Schmeing and Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.

FIG. 27-30 Model of the ribosome with bound EF-Tu. The *T. thermophilus* ribosome is drawn in ribbon form with its 23S RNA orange, its 50S subunit proteins brown, its 16S RNA cyan, and its 30S proteins blue. The other RNAs are shown as surface models with mRNA black, $tRNA^{Phe}$ in the E site yellow, $tRNA^{Phe}$ in the P site green, and Thr–$tRNA^{Thr}$, which is bound to EF-Tu (red surface model), magenta. DC represents the decoding center of the ribosome, and PTC is the peptidyl transferase center. PDBid 4V5G.

Courtesy of Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.

FIG. 27-31 Codon–anticodon interactions in the ribosome. The (*a*) first, (*b*) second, and (*c*) third codon–anticodon base pairs as seen in the X-ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* 30S subunit in complex with U_6 (a model mRNA) and the 17-nt anticodon arm of $tRNA^{Phe}$ (whose anticodon is GAA). The structures are drawn in ball-and-stick form embedded in their semitransparent van der Waals surfaces. Codons are purple, anticodons are tan, and rRNA is brown or gray with non-C atoms colored according to type (N blue, O red, and P green). Protein segments are gray and Mg^{2+} ions are represented by magenta spheres. PDBid 1IBM.

with three universally conserved ribosomal bases, A1492, A1493, and G530 (**Fig. 27-31**):

1. The first codon–anticodon base pair, that between mRNA U1 and tRNA A36, is stabilized by the binding of the rRNA A1493 base in the base pair's minor groove (Fig. 27-31*a*).
2. The second codon–anticodon base pair, that between U2 and A35, is bolstered by A1492 and G530, which both bind in this base pair's minor groove (Fig. 27-31*b*).
3. The third codon–anticodon base pair (the wobble pair; Section 27-2C), that between U3 and G34, is reinforced through minor groove binding by G530 (Fig. 27-31*c*). The latter interaction appears to be less stringent than those in the first and second codon–anticodon positions, which is consistent with the need for the third codon–anticodon pairing to tolerate non-Watson–Crick base pairs (Section 27-2C).

Comparison of this structure with that of the 30S subunit alone reveals that the foregoing rRNA nucleotides undergo conformational changes on the formation of a codon–anticodon complex (**Fig. 27-32**): In the absence of tRNA, the bases of A1492 and A1493 stack in the interior of an RNA loop, whereas in the codon–anticodon complex, these bases have flipped out of the loop and the G530 base has switched from the syn to the anti conformation (Section 24-1B). These interactions enable the ribosome to monitor whether an incoming tRNA is cognate to the codon in the A site; a non-Watson–Crick base pair could not bind these ribosomal bases in the same way. Indeed, any mutation of A1492 or A1493 is lethal because pyrimidines in these positions could not reach far enough to interact with the codon–anticodon complex or G530, and because a G in either position would be unable to form the required hydrogen bonds and its N2 would be subjected to steric collisions. An incorrect codon–anticodon interaction provides insufficient free energy to bind the tRNA to the ribosome and it therefore dissociates from the ribosome, still in its ternary complex with EF-Tu and GTP.

Courtesy Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratary of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.

FIG. 27-32 Ribosomal decoding site. The X-ray structures of *T. thermophilus* 30S subunit (*a*) alone and (*b*) in its complex with U_6 and the anticodon stem–loop of $tRNA^{Phe}$. The RNAs are drawn as ribbons with their nucleotides in paddle form with tRNA gold, A-site mRNA purple, P-site mRNA green, rRNA gray, and nucleotides that undergo conformational changes red. Protein S12 is orange and Mg^{2+} ions are represented by red spheres. PDBids (*a*) 1J5E and (*b*) 1IBM.

GTP Hydrolysis by EF-Tu Is a Thermodynamic Prerequisite to Ribosomal Proofreading. An aa–tRNA is selected by the ribosome only according to its anticodon, a process that has a measured error rate of only $\sim 10^{-4}$ per residue. Yet the binding energy loss arising from a single base mismatch in a codon–anticodon interaction is estimated to be ~ 12 kJ · mol^{-1}, which, according to Eq. 27-1, cannot account for a ribosomal decoding accuracy of less than $\sim 10^{-2}$ errors per codon. Evidently, the ribosome has some sort of proofreading mechanism that increases its overall decoding accuracy.

A proofreading step must be entirely independent of the initial selection step. Only then can the overall probability of error be equal to the product of the probabilities of error of the individual selection steps. We have seen that DNA polymerases and aminoacyl–tRNA synthetases maintain the independence of their two selection steps by carrying them out at separate active sites (Sections 25-2A and 27-2B). Yet the ribosome recognizes the incoming aa–tRNA only according to its anticodon's complementarity to the codon in the A site. Consequently, the ribosome must somehow examine this codon–anticodon interaction in two separate ways. How does this occur?

Cryo-EM studies by Frank indicate that in the ribosome · aa–tRNA · EF-Tu · GTP complex, the aa–tRNA assumes an otherwise energetically unfavorable conformation in which the anticodon arm has moved via a hinge motion toward the inside of the tRNA's L. The formation of a correct codon–anticodon complex results in conformational changes that induce EF-Tu to hydrolyze its bound GTP and thereby dissociate from the aa–tRNA. The aa–tRNA then assumes its energetically more favorable conformation while maintaining its codon–anticodon interaction. This movement swings the acceptor stem with its attached aminoacyl group into the peptidyl transferase center on the 50S subunit (see below). A noncognate (mispaired) aa–tRNA would presumably have insufficient strength in its codon–anticodon interaction to hold it in place during this conformational change and would therefore dissociate from the ribosome. EF-Tu's irreversible GTPase reaction must precede this proofreading step because otherwise the dissociation of a noncognate aa–tRNA (the release of its anticodon from the codon) would simply be the reverse of the initial binding step; that is, it would be part of the initial selection step rather than proofreading. *GTP hydrolysis therefore provides the second context necessary for proofreading; it is the entropic price the system must pay for accurate tRNA selection.*

Transpeptidation: The Ribosome Is a Ribozyme. In the second (transpeptidation) stage of the elongation cycle (Fig. 27-27), the peptide bond is formed through the nucleophilic displacement of the P site tRNA by the amino group of the 3′-linked aa–tRNA in the A site (Fig. 27-21). The nascent polypeptide chain is thereby lengthened at its C-terminus by one residue and transferred to the A-site tRNA. The rate of this ribosomally-catalyzed reaction is $\sim 10^7$-fold greater than its spontaneous rate in solution. The reaction occurs without the need of activating cofactors such as ATP because the ester linkage between the nascent polypeptide and the P-site tRNA is a "high-energy" bond relative to the peptide bond that forms during transpeptidation.

The peptidyl transferase center that catalyzes peptide bond formation is located on the large subunit and consists entirely of rRNA. Numerous observations had suggested that the ribosome is indeed a ribozyme rather than a conventional protein enzyme. For example, up to 95% of the protein can be removed from the large subunit without abolishing its peptidyl transferase activity, rRNAs are more highly conserved throughout evolution than are ribosomal proteins, and most mutations that confer resistance to antibiotics that inhibit protein synthesis occur in genes encoding rRNAs rather than ribosomal proteins. However, it was the X-ray structure of the large ribosomal subunit that unambiguously demonstrated that rRNA functions catalytically in protein synthesis. In hindsight, this seems obvious on evolutionary grounds: Since all proteins, including those associated with ribosomes, are ribosomally synthesized, the primordial ribosome must have preceded the primordial proteins and hence consisted entirely of RNA.

The ribosome's active site lies in a highly conserved region in domain V of the 23S rRNA (Figs. 27-12*b,* 27-17, and 27-30). The nearest protein side chain is ~18 Å away from the newly formed peptide bond, and the nearest Mg^{2+} ion is ~8.5 Å away—both too far to be involved in catalysis. Clearly, *the ribosomal transpeptidase reaction is catalyzed solely by RNA.*

The ribosomal transpeptidase reaction occurs ~10^7-fold faster than the uncatalyzed reaction. How does the ribosome catalyze this reaction? Ribosomally mediated peptide bond formation proceeds via the nucleophilic attack of the amino group on the carbonyl group of an ester to form a tetrahedral intermediate that collapses to an amide and an alcohol (Fig. 27-21). However, in the physiological pH range, the attacking amino group is predominantly in its ammonium form (RNH_3^+), which lacks the lone pair necessary to undertake a nucleophilic attack. This suggests that the transpeptidase reaction is catalyzed in part by a general base that abstracts a proton from the ammonium group to generate the required free amino group (RNH_2).

Inspection of the peptidyl transferase active site in *H. marismortui* reveals that the only basic group within 5 Å of the inferred position of the attacking amino group is atom N3 of the invariant rRNA base A2486 (A2451 in *E. coli*). It is ~3 Å from and hence hydrogen bonded to the attacking amino group (**Fig. 27-33**). This further suggests that the protonated A2486-N3 electrostatically stabilizes the oxyanion of the tetrahedral reaction intermediate and then donates the proton to the leaving group of the P-site tRNA to yield a 3′-OH group (general acid catalysis). However, for A2486-N3 to act as a general base in abstracting the proton from an ammonium group (whose p*K* is ~10), it must have a p*K* of at least 7 (recall that proton transfers between hydrogen-bonded groups occur at physiologically significant rates only when the p*K* of the proton donor is no more than 2 or 3 pH units greater than that of the proton acceptor; Section 11-5C). Yet, the p*K* of N3 in AMP is <3.5. Moreover, the model displayed in Fig. 27-33 indicates that the tetrahedral intermediate's oxyanion would point away from and hence could not be stabilized by protonated A2486-N3.

Courtesy Peter Moore and T.A. Steitz, Yale University

FIG. 27-33 Model of the substrate complex of the 50S ribosomal subunit. Atoms are colored according to type with the A-site substrate (Tyr–$tRNA^{Tyr}$) C purple, P-site substrate C green, 23S rRNA C orange, N blue, and O red. The attacking amino group of the A-site aminoacyl residue is held in position for nucleophilic attack (*cyan arrow*) on the carbonyl C of the P-site aminoacyl ester through hydrogen bonds (*dashed black lines*) to A2486-N3 and the 2′-O of the P-site A76.

The Ribosome Is an Entropy Trap. The resolution to this conundrum was provided by Marina Rodnina and Richard Wolfenden, who noted that the uncatalyzed reaction of esters with amines to form amides occurs quite facilely in aqueous solution. They therefore measured the rates of both uncatalyzed peptide bond formation by model compounds and peptidyl transfer by the ribosome at several different temperatures. This provided values of $\Delta\Delta H^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ and $\Delta\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{cat}$, the reaction's change in the enthalpy and entropy of activation by the ribosome relative to the uncatalyzed reaction. Here, $\Delta\Delta H^{\ddagger}_{cat} - T\Delta\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{cat} = \Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat} = \Delta G^{\ddagger}_{uncat} - \Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}$, where $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ is the change in the reaction's free energy of activation by the ribosome relative to the uncatalyzed reaction, and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{uncat}$ and $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ are the free energies of activation of the uncatalyzed and catalyzed (ribosomal) reactions (Sections 1-3D and 11-2). The measured value of $\Delta\Delta H^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ is –19 kJ · mol^{-1}, a quantity that would be positive, not negative, if the ribosomal reaction had a significant component of chemical catalysis such as acid–base catalysis and/or the formation of new hydrogen bonds. In contrast, the value of $T\Delta\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ is +52 kJ · mol^{-1}, which indicates that the Michaelis complex (E · S; Section 12-1B) in the ribosomal reaction is significantly more ordered relative to the transition state than is the uncatalyzed reaction. This value of $T\Delta\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{cat}$ largely accounts for the observed ~10^7-fold rate enhancement of the ribosomal reaction relative to the uncatalyzed reaction (the rate enhancement by the ribosome is given by $e^{\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/RT}$; Section 11-2). Evidently, *the ribosome enhances the rate of peptide bond formation by properly positioning and orienting its substrates and/or excluding water from the preorganized electrostatic*

FIG. 27-34 A plausible mechanism of ribosome-catalyzed peptidyl transfer. The nucleophilic attack of the α-amino group of the aminoacyl–tRNA (*red*) on the carbonyl C of the peptidyl–tRNA (*blue*) occurs in concert with a proton shuttle that involves the O3′ and 2′-OH of the P-site A76 together with the α-amino group of the aminoacyl–tRNA. The reaction proceeds through a transition state (*center; enclosed by square brackets*) that contains a six-membered ring of partially bonded atoms and which collapses to the reaction products drawn on the right.

environment of the active site (a form of reactant ordering) rather than by chemical catalysis.

The X-ray structures of the large ribosomal subunit in complex with aminoacyl–tRNA and peptidyl–tRNA indicate that the ribosome uses an induced fit mechanism, as occurs in enzymes such as hexokinase (Section 15-2A). Conformational changes in the 23S rRNA, presumably triggered by proper binding of the aminoacyl–tRNA in the A site, orient the ester group of the peptidyl–tRNA for nucleophilic attack. The hydrogen bond between the 2′-OH of the P-site A76 and the attacking amino group (Fig. 27-33) is crucial in doing so, as is indicated by the observations that replacing this 2′-OH group with H or F reduces the reaction rate by $\sim 10^6$-fold. This suggests that the peptidyl transferase reaction occurs via a substrate-assisted proton shuttle mechanism such as that diagrammed in Fig. 27-34.

In the absence of a tRNA in the A site, the peptidyl–tRNA is held in a position that prevents nucleophilic attack by water (hydrolysis), which would otherwise release the peptidyl group from the ribosome.

Translocation: The Ribosome Moves to the Next Codon. Following transpeptidation, the now uncharged P-site tRNA moves to the E site (not shown in Fig. 27-27), and the new peptidyl–tRNA in the A site, together with its bound mRNA, moves to the P site. These events, which leave the A site vacant, prepare the ribosome for the next elongation cycle. During translocation, the peptidyl–tRNA's interaction with the codon, which is no longer necessary for amino acid specification, acts as a placekeeper so that the ribosome can advance by exactly three nucleotides along the mRNA, as required to preserve the reading frame. An Mg^{2+}-stabilized kink in the mRNA between the A and P codons may help prevent slipping. Indeed, certain mutant tRNA molecules that cause frameshifting induce the ribosome to translocate by four nucleotides, thereby demonstrating that mRNA movement is directly coupled to tRNA movement.

The translocation process requires an elongation factor, **EF-G** in *E. coli,* that binds to the ribosome together with GTP and is released only on hydrolysis of the GTP to GDP + P_i (Fig. 27-27). EF-G release is prerequisite for beginning the next elongation cycle because the ribosomal binding sites of EF-G and EF-Tu partially or completely overlap and hence their ribosomal binding is mutually exclusive. GTP hydrolysis, which precedes translocation, provides free energy for tRNA movement.

FIG. 27-35 X-Ray structure of EF-G from *T. thermophilus* in complex with GMPPNP. The protein is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The GMPPNP is drawn in space-filling form colored according to atom type with C yellow, N blue, O red, and P orange. An Mg^{2+} ion that is bound to the GMPPNP is represented by a magenta sphere. Portions of the structure are not visible. Note the remarkable resemblance in shape between this structure and that of Phe–$tRNA^{Phe}$ · EF-Tu · GMPPNP (Fig. 27-28). [Based on an X-ray structure by Anders Liljas and Derek Logan, Lund University, Lund, Sweden. PDBid 2BV3.]

EF-G Structurally Mimics the EF-Tu · tRNA Complex. The X-ray structure of EF-G (Fig. 27-35) reveals that the protein has an elongated shape comprising five domains, the first two of which (blue through green in Fig. 27-35) are arranged similarly to the first two domains of the EF-Tu · GMPPNP complex (Fig. 27-28). Most intriguingly, the remaining three domains of EF-G (yellow, orange, and red in Fig. 27-35) have a conformation reminiscent of the shape of tRNA bound to EF-Tu. Such molecular mimicry allows EF-G to drive translocation not just by inducing a conformational change in the ribosome but by actively displacing the peptidyl–tRNA from the A site. The X-ray structure of the ribosome with bound tRNAs and EF-G supports this model (Fig. 27-36). In this complex, EF-G has hydrolyzed its GTP and translocation has occurred, but EF-G cannot dissociate from the ribosome due to the presence of the antibiotic **fusidic acid.** The EF-G occupies a position similar to that of the EF-Tu · tRNA complex bound to the ribosome (Fig. 27-30). The structural similarity between EF-G domains 3 to 5 and tRNA also suggests that in the earliest cells, whose functions were based on RNA, proteins evolved by mimicking shapes already used successfully by RNA.

Translocation Occurs Via Intermediate States. Variations in chemical footprinting patterns during the elongation cycle, together with X-ray and cryo-EM studies, indicate that the translocation of tRNA through the ribosome occurs in several discrete steps (Fig. 27-37):

1. In the **posttranslocational state,** a deacylated tRNA occupies the E site of both the 30S and 50S subunits (the E/E state), a peptidyl–tRNA occupies both P sites (the P/P state), and the A site is vacant. An aa–tRNA in complex with EF-Tu · GTP binds to the ribosome. This yields a complex in which the incoming aa–tRNA is bound in the 30S subunit's A site via a codon–anticodon interaction (recall that the mRNA is bound to the 30S subunit) but with the EF-Tu · GTP preventing the entry of the tRNA's aminoacyl end into the 50S subunit's A site, an arrangement termed the A/T state (T for EF-*T*u). This structure is also pictured in Fig. 27-30.
2. As we discussed above, EF-Tu hydrolyzes its bound GTP to GDP + P_i and is released from the ribosome, thereby permitting the aa–tRNA to fully bind to the A site (the A/A state) and releasing the E-site tRNA.
3. The transpeptidation reaction occurs, yielding the **pretranslocational state.**

Courtesy Martin Schmeing and Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, UK

FIG. 27-36 X-Ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome with bound EF-G. The structure is drawn and labeled as in Fig. 27-30 with EF-G shown as a red surface model. PDBid 4V5F.

? Compare this structure to the ribosome · EF-Tu complex shown in Fig. 27–30.

4. The acceptor end of the new peptidyl–tRNA shifts to the P site of the 50S subunit, while the tRNA's anticodon end remains associated with the A site of the 30S subunit (yielding the A/P state). The acceptor end of the newly deacylated tRNA simultaneously moves to the E site of the 50S subunit while its anticodon end remains associated with the P site of the 30S subunit (the P/E state). The peptidyl group of peptidyl–tRNA is sterically excluded from the 50S subunit's E site, thereby ensuring that the P/E state can form only after peptidyl transfer has occurred.
5. EF-G · GTP binds to the ribosome and the resulting GTP hydrolysis impels the anticodon ends of the two tRNAs, together with their bound mRNA, to move relative to the small ribosomal subunit such that the peptidyl–tRNA assumes the P/P state and the deacylated tRNA assumes the E/E state (the posttranslocational state), thereby completing the elongation cycle.

FIG. 27-37 Ribosomal binding states in the elongation cycle. Note how this scheme elaborates the classical elongation cycle diagrammed in Fig. 27-27. The drawings are accompanied by 17-Å-resolution cryo-EM–based images of the *E. coli* 70S ribosome in the corresponding binding states in which the 30S subunit is transparent yellow, the 50S subunit is transparent blue, and the tRNAs and elongation factors are colored as in the drawing they accompany.

Courtesy of Knud Nierhaus, Charité-Institute for Medical Physics and Biophysics, Berlin, Germany and Joachim Frank, State University of New York, Albany

Box 27-3 Biochemistry in Health and Disease — Effects of Antibiotics on Protein Synthesis

The majority of known antibiotics, including a great variety of medically useful substances, block translation in prokaryotes. This situation is presumably a consequence of the translation machinery's enormous complexity, which makes it vulnerable to disruption in many ways. Antibiotics have also been useful in analyzing ribosomal mechanisms because the blockade of a specific function often permits its biochemical dissection into its component steps. For example, the ribosomal elongation cycle was originally characterized through the use of the antibiotic **puromycin,** which resembles the 3′ end of Tyr–tRNA:

Puromycin

Tyrosyl–tRNA

Puromycin binds to the ribosomal A site without the need of elongation factors. The transpeptidation reaction yields a peptidyl–puromycin in which puromycin's "amino acid residue" is linked to its "tRNA" via an amide rather than an ester bond. The ribosome therefore cannot catalyze further transpeptidation, and polypeptide synthesis is aborted.

Streptomycin is a medically important member of a family of antibiotics known as **aminoglycosides** that inhibit prokaryotic ribosomes in a variety of ways.

Streptomycin

At low concentrations, streptomycin induces the ribosome to characteristically misread mRNA: One pyrimidine may be mistaken for the other

The binding of tRNAs to the A and E sites of the ribosome, as Knud Nierhaus has shown, exhibits negative allosteric cooperativity. In the pre-translocational state, the E site binds the newly deacylated tRNA with high affinity, whereas the now empty A site has low affinity for aa–tRNA. The binding of a new aa–tRNA · EF-Tu · GTP complex induces the ribosome to undergo a conformational change that converts the A site to a high-affinity state and the E site to a low-affinity state that consequently releases the deacylated tRNA. Thus, the E site is not simply a passive holding site for spent tRNAs but performs an essential function in the translation process.

The requirement that the GTP-hydrolyzing proteins EF-Tu and EF-G alternate in their activities ensures that the ribosome cycles unidirectionally through the transpeptidation and translocation stages of translation. Translocation can occur in the absence of GTP, which indicates that the free energy of the transpeptidation reaction is sufficient to drive the entire translational process (this is a further indication that the primordial ribosome consisted only of RNA). However, the GTP hydrolysis catalyzed by EF-Tu and EF-G greatly increases the overall rate of translation, presumably by reducing the activation barriers between successive states. *Because GTP hydrolysis is irreversible, the accompanying conformational changes in the ribosome are also irreversible and hence unidirectional.*

in the first and second codon positions, and either pyrimidine may be mistaken for adenine in the first position. This inhibits the growth of susceptible cells but does not kill them. At higher concentrations, however, streptomycin prevents proper chain initiation and thereby causes cell death.

Chloramphenicol,

Chloramphenicol

the first of the "broad-spectrum" antibiotics, competitively inhibits the peptidyl transferase activity of prokaryotic ribosomes. However, its clinical uses are limited to severe infections because of its toxic side effects, which are caused in part by the chloramphenicol sensitivity of mitochondrial ribosomes. Chloramphenicol binds to the large subunit near the A site, which explains why it competes for binding with puromycin and the 3′ end of aminoacyl–tRNA but not with peptidyl–tRNAs.

Tetracycline

Tetracycline

and its derivatives are broad-spectrum antibiotics that bind to the small subunit of prokaryotic ribosomes. Tetracycline binding prevents the entry of aminoacyl–tRNAs into the A site but allows EF-Tu to hydrolyze its GTP. As a result, protein synthesis cannot proceed, and GTP hydrolysis, which occurs every time another aminoacyl–tRNA attempts to enter the ribosome, presents an enormous energetic drain on the cell.

Tetracycline-resistant bacterial strains have become quite common, thereby precipitating a serious clinical problem. Most often, resistance is conferred by a decrease in bacterial cell membrane permeability to tetracycline rather than any alteration of ribosomal components that would overcome the inhibitory effect on translation.

Although it is a protein rather than a small molecule, the enzyme **ricin** can be considered an antibiotic. Ricin is an *N*-glycosidase from castor beans, the source of castor oil. The enzyme inactivates the large subunit of eukaryotic ribosomes by hydrolytically removing the adenine base of a highly conserved residue of 28S rRNA. The modified ribosome is unable to bind elongation factors, and translation ceases. Because it acts catalytically rather than stoichiometrically, a single ricin molecule can inactivate tens of thousands of ribosomes. Its deadliness in minute amounts makes ricin attractive to bioterrorists, but methods for selectively targeting ricin to particular cells, such as cancer cells, raise the possibility of its therapeutic use.

The changes in binding states result in large-scale tRNA movements, in some instances >50 Å. Moreover, cryo-EM studies indicate that on binding EF-G · **GMPPCP** (like GMPPNP but with a CH_2 group rather than an NH group bridging its β and γ phosphates), the 30S subunit rotates with respect to the 50S subunit by 6° clockwise when viewed from the 30S subunit's solvent side, which results in a maximum displacement of ~19 Å at the periphery of the ribosome. This rotation, which is referred to as **ratcheting**, is accompanied by many smaller conformational changes in both subunits, particularly in the regions about the entrance and exit to the mRNA channel. Clearly, we are far from fully understanding how the ribosome works at the molecular level. Several of the many antibiotics that disrupt ribosomal functioning are discussed in Box 27-3.

The Eukaryotic Elongation Cycle Resembles That of Prokaryotes. Elongation in eukaryotes closely resembles that in prokaryotes. In eukaryotes, the functions of EF-Tu and EF-Ts are assumed by the eukaryotic elongation factors **eEF1A** and **eEF1B.** Likewise, **eEF2** functions in a manner analogous to prokaryotic EF-G. However, the corresponding eukaryotic and prokaryotic elongation factors are not interchangeable.

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 27-38 The translation termination pathway in *E. coli* ribosomes. RF-1 recognizes the Stop codons UAA and UAG, whereas RF-2 (not shown) recognizes UAA and UGA. Eukaryotic termination follows an analogous pathway but requires only a single release factor, eRF1, that recognizes all three Stop codons. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Without looking at the text, summarize the events of translation termination.**

C Release Factors Terminate Translation

Polypeptide synthesis under the direction of synthetic mRNAs such as poly(U) results in a peptidyl–tRNA "stuck" in the ribosome. However, the translation of natural mRNAs, which contain the Stop codons UAA, UGA, or UAG, yields free polypeptides. In *E. coli,* the Stop codons, which normally have no corresponding tRNAs, are recognized by protein **release factors** (Table 27-6): **RF-1** recognizes UAA and UAG, whereas the 39% identical **RF-2** recognizes UAA and UGA. In eukaryotes, a single release factor, **eRF1,** recognizes all three Stop codons.

Termination, like initiation and elongation, has several stages. The sequence of events in *E. coli* is diagrammed in Fig. 27-38:

1. RF-1 or RF-2 recognizes a corresponding Stop codon in the ribosome's A site.
2. The release factor induces the transfer of the peptidyl group from the peptidyl–tRNA to water, rather than to another aa–tRNA, to yield an uncharged tRNA in the P site and a free polypeptide that dissociates from the ribosome.
3. A third factor, **RF-3** (**eRF** in eukaryotes), a G protein, binds to the ribosome in complex with GDP. RF-3 binds to the same site on the ribosome as do EF-Tu and EF-G. In fact, the X-ray structure of RF-3 · GDP resembles that of EF-Tu · GMPPNP (Fig. 27-28). Free RF-3 has a greater affinity for GDP than GTP but on binding to the ribosome–RF-1/2 complex, it exchanges its bound GDP for GTP. The resulting change in the conformation of RF-3, as seen in cryo-EM studies, causes it to bind more tightly to the ribosome and expel the RF-1/2. RF-3 is not required for cell viability although it is necessary for maximum growth rate; RF-3 only accelerates the dissociation of RF-1/2 from the ribosome by ~5-fold.
4. The interaction of RF-3 · GTP with the ribosome stimulates it to hydrolyze its bound GTP, much as occurs with EF-Tu · GTP and EF-G · GTP. The resulting RF-3 · GDP then dissociates from the ribosome. Subsequently, **ribosomal recycling factor (RRF**; Table 27-6) binds in the ribosomal A site followed by EF-G · GTP. RRF, which was discovered by Akira Kaji, is essential for cell viability.
5. EF-G hydrolyzes its bound GTP, which causes RRF to move to the P site and the tRNAs occupying the P and E sites (the latter not shown in Fig. 27-38) to be released. Finally, the small and large ribosomal subunits separate, a process that is facilitated by the binding of IF-3 (Section 27-4A), and RRF, EF-G · GDP, and mRNA are released. The ribosomal subunits can then participate in a new round of initiation (Fig. 27-25).

RRF Binds in the Ribosomal A Site. The X-ray structure of *T. thermophilus* RRF, determined by Yoshikazu Nakamura, reveals it to be a two-domain structure that resembles tRNA in its overall shape (Fig. 27-39). The comparison of this structure with those of several other bacterial RRFs indicates that the two linkers connecting the RRF domains are flexible such that domain II can rotate about the axis of the three-helix bundle forming domain I.

The X-ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome in complex with RRF in its A site, the anticodon stem–loop (ASL) of $tRNA^{Phe}$ in its P site, $tRNA_f^{Met}$ in its E site, and an mRNA with a UAG Stop codon in the A site was determined by

FIG. 27-39 X-Ray structure of *T. thermophilus* RRF. This monomeric protein is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). [Based on an X-ray structure by Yoshikazu Nakamura, The University of Tokyo, Japan. PDBid 1EH1.]

Courtesy Venki Ramakrishnan, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K.

FIG. 27-40 X-Ray structure of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome in complex with RRF. The RRF and an mRNA with a UAG Stop codon are in its A site, the anticodon stem–loop (ASL) of $tRNA^{Phe}$ is in its P site, and $tRNA_f^{Met}$ is in its E site. The ribosomal RNAs are shown as semitransparent surface diagrams with 23S RNA cyan, 5S RNA blue-green, and 16S RNA yellow. The ribosomal proteins are drawn in ribbon form with 50S subunit proteins blue and 30S subunit proteins orange. The RRF, tRNAs, and mRNA are represented by their surface diagrams with domains I and II of RRF red and blue, the mRNA magenta, the P-site ASL purple, and the E-site $tRNA_f^{Met}$ gray. PDBid 4V5A.

Ramakrishnan (Fig. 27-40). Domain I of the RRF spans the A and P sites of the 50S ribosome, a position in which the tip of its domain I would clash with a tRNA in the P site. This suggests that RRF binding forces a tRNA bound in the P site into the P/E hybrid binding state (Section 27-4B). The lack of a eukaryotic RRF suggests that termination in eukaryotes has a somewhat different mechanism.

Nonsense Suppressors Prevent Termination. A mutation that converts an aminoacyl-coding (sense) codon to a Stop codon is known as a **nonsense mutation** and leads to the premature termination of translation. An organism with such a mutation may be "rescued" by a second mutation in a tRNA gene that causes the tRNA to recognize a Stop (nonsense) codon. This **nonsense suppressor tRNA** carries the same amino acid as its wild-type progenitor and appends it to the growing polypeptide at the Stop codon, thereby preventing chain termination. For example, the *E. coli* suppressor known as *su*3 is a $tRNA^{Tyr}$ whose anticodon has mutated from the wild-type GUA (which reads the Tyr codons UAU and UAC) to CUA (which recognizes the Stop codon UAG). An $su3^+$ *E. coli* cell with an otherwise lethal mutation to UAG in a gene coding for an essential protein would be viable if the replacement of the wild-type amino acid residue by Tyr does not inactivate the protein.

How do cells tolerate a mutation that both eliminates a normal tRNA and prevents the termination of polypeptide synthesis? They survive because the mutated tRNA is usually a minor member of a set of isoaccepting tRNAs and because nonsense suppressor tRNAs must compete with release factors for binding to Stop codons. Consequently, many suppressor-rescued mutants grow more slowly than wild-type cells.

CHECKPOINT

- How was it determined that peptides are synthesized from their N-terminus to their C-terminus?
- What is the advantage of polysomes?
- Compare prokaryotic and eukaryotic translation initiation with respect to delivering an initiator tRNA to the ribosome and locating the initiation codon.
- Summarize the roles of initiation, elongation, and release factors in translation.
- How does the ribosome catalyze peptide bond formation?
- Summarize the role of GTP hydrolysis in promoting the efficiency of translation initiation, decoding, translocation, and chain termination.
- Which translation protein mimics RNA structures and why?
- How does the ribosome verify correct tRNA–mRNA pairing?
- What mechanism ensures that the ribosome translocates by exactly three nucleotides?

5 Posttranslational Processing

KEY CONCEPTS

- Chaperones bind to polypeptide chains as they emerge from the ribosome.
- The polypeptide chain may be covalently modified and translocated via the secretory pathway.

The tunnel that leads from the peptidyl transferase active site to the exterior of the bacterial ribosome (Fig. 27-13*b*) is ~100 Å long, enough to shelter a polypeptide of ~30 residues. Once it emerges from the ribosome, the polypeptide faces two

challenges: how to fold properly and how to reach its final cellular destination. Both processes occur with the aid of other proteins. In addition, a nascent polypeptide may be covalently modified before it achieves its mature form. In this section we review some of the events of **posttranslational protein processing**.

A Ribosome-Associated Chaperones Help Proteins Fold

The ribosome's peptide exit tunnel is too narrow (~15 Å wide) to permit the formation of secondary structure other than perhaps helices, so a nascent polypeptide cannot begin to fold until after it emerges from the ribosome. However, folding commences well before translation is complete. Molecular chaperones (Section 6-5B) bind to the N-terminus of nascent polypeptides to prevent their aggregation, to facilitate their folding, and to promote their proper association with other subunits.

A growing body of evidence indicates that ribosomal proteins play a role in protein folding by recruiting chaperones. For example, in *E. coli,* a protein known as **trigger factor (TF)** associates with the ribosomal protein **L23,** which is located at the outlet of the peptide exit tunnel. TF recognizes relatively short hydrophobic protein segments when they first emerge from the ribosome. **DnaK,** a member of the Hsp70 family of chaperones, together with **DnaJ,** an Hsp40 cochaperonin (Section 6-5B), can bind to and protect longer polypeptides (DnaK and DnaJ were so named because they were discovered through the isolation of mutants that do not support the growth of bacteriophage λ and hence were initially assumed to participate in DNA replication). The activities of TF and DnaK/J are somewhat redundant, as *E. coli* can tolerate the deletion of either one. The loss of both, however, is lethal above 30°C and is accompanied by the massive aggregation of newly synthesized proteins. The chaperonins GroEL and GroES facilitate the folding of numerous proteins after their translation termination (Section 6-5B).

The NMR structure of the 432-residue *E. coli* TF in complex with the 471-residue *E. coli* protein PhoA in an unfolded state (**Fig 27-41*a***), determined by Charalampos Kalodimos, reveals that three molecules of TF bind to the largely extended PhoA. Each TF molecule, which consists of four domains, has an elongated conformation with a pronounced hydrophobic cleft along along much of its length through which it interacts with PhoA. TF exhibits a high degree of flexibility in binding to the various segments of PhoA, thereby demonstrating how it can bind to a large variety of substrate proteins.

The X-ray structure of TF's 144-residue ribosome-binding N-terminal domain in complex with the 50S subunit from *H. marismortui* reveals that this domain binds near the exit of the ribosome's peptide tunnel. The superposition of this N-terminal domain with that in the X-ray structure of intact TF generated a model of the interaction of TF with the 50S subunit (**Fig. 27-41*b***). In this model, TF hunches over the exit of the ribosome's peptide tunnel with its hydrophobic concave face positioned to interact with a newly synthesized polypeptide segment as it emerges from the tunnel. Moreover, fluorescence energy transfer experiments show that on binding to the ribosome, TF undergoes a conformational expansion that further exposes its hydrophobic surface.

Courtesy of Charalampos Kalodimos, Rutgers University

(*a*)

Courtesy of Nenad Ban, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

(*b*)

FIG. 27-41 Structures of trigger factor (TF). (*a*) NMR structure of three TF molecules bound to PhoA in its unfolded and largely extended form. The TF molecules are represented by their surface diagrams (*orange*) and the PhoA backbone is drawn in worm form embedded in its transparent surface diagram (*blue-gray*). PDBid 2XXA. (*b*) Model of the association of TF with the 50S ribosomal subunit. The 50S subunit is shown in a cutaway view that exposes its peptide exit tunnel into which an α helix (*magenta*) extending from the peptidyl transferase center (PT) has been modeled. The ribosomal RNA is pink and the ribosomal proteins are gray except for L23, which is green. TF is drawn in worm form with its four domains colored, from N- to C-terminus, red, yellow, green, and blue.

Unlike many other chaperones (e.g., DnaK/J and GroEL/ES), TF does not require ATP for its activation. TF dissociates from the ribosome after ~10 s but may remain associated with the elongating polypeptide for >30 s, during which time an additional molecule of TF can bind to the ribosome and shield hydrophobic sequences farther along the nascent polypeptide chain (Fig. 27-41*a*). Apparently, *TF functions to shield hydrophobic segments of newly synthesized and hence unfolded polypeptides to prevent their misfolding and aggregation.* Subsequently, many of the resulting partially folded proteins are handed off to other chaperones, such as GroEL/ES, to complete the folding process. Eukaryotic cells lack a homolog of TF but contain other small chaperones that likely have similar functions.

B Newly Synthesized Proteins May Be Covalently Modified

The list of known posttranslational modifications is long and includes the removal and/or derivatization of specific residues. For example, a polypeptide's N-terminal Met or fMet residue is usually excised. Other common posttranslational modifications are the hydroxylation of Pro and Lys residues in collagen (Section 6-1C), glycosylation (Sections 8-3C and 16-5), and the prenylation and fatty acylation of membrane-anchored proteins (Section 9-3B). Many covalent modifications, such as phosphorylation (Section 12-3B) and palmitoylation (Section 9-3B), are reversible. Over 150 different types of side chain modifications are known; these involve all side chains except those of Ala, Ile, Leu, and Val. The functions of such modifications are varied and in many cases remain enigmatic.

A growing number of proteins are known to be ubiquitinated. Recall from Section 21-1B that the attachment of the 76-residue protein ubiquitin marks a protein for degradation by the proteasome. A homologous 97-residue protein known as **small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO)** can be ligated to a Lys residue, as is ubiquitin, to regulate protein function. But whereas ubiquitination is usually associated with protein degradation, sumoylation appears to play a role in determining protein localization inside cells.

Proteins that are synthesized as inactive precursors, called **proproteins** or proenzymes, are activated by limited proteolysis. The zymogens of serine proteases are converted to active enzymes in that fashion (Section 11-5D). Proteins that are translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum for export from the cell typically contain a signal peptide that must be excised (Section 9-4D). Proteins bearing a signal peptide are known as **preproteins**, or **preproproteins** if they undergo additional proteolysis during their maturation. For example, the hormone insulin (Section 22-2) is synthesized as a preproprotein, which is converted to the proprotein **proinsulin,** a single 84-residue polypeptide. Proteolysis at two sites generates the mature hormone, whose A and B chains remain linked by disulfide bonds (Fig. 27-42). The 33-residue C chain is discarded.

The Signal Recognition Particle Is a Ribonucleoprotein. Many transmembrane and secretory proteins are translocated into or completely through cellular membranes [the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in eukaryotes and the plasma membrane in prokaryotes] via a series of events known as the secretory pathway

FIG. 27-42 Conversion of proinsulin to insulin. The prohormone, with three disulfide bonds, is proteolyzed at two sites (*arrows*) to eliminate the C chain. The mature hormone insulin consists of the disulfide-linked A and B chains.

Courtesy Roland Beckmann, Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany

FIG. 27-43 Sequence and secondary structure of canine 7S RNA. Its various double-helical segments (denoted H1 through H8) and loops (denoted L1.2 and L2), are drawn in red and yellow with Watson–Crick base pairs represented by connecting lines and non-Watson–Crick base pairs indicated by dots. The positions at which the various SRP proteins bind to the 7S RNA are indicated in cyan, purple, and gray.

(Section 9-4D). As their N-terminal signal sequences emerge from the ribosome, these proteins associate with the signal recognition particle (SRP). Peptide chain elongation ceases on SRP binding to the ribosome but resumes after the SRP docks with its receptor on the ER membrane. The SRP then dissociates from the ribosome, allowing the nascent polypeptide to pass into or through the membrane via a protein pore called the translocon.

Like the ribosome, the spliceosome (Section 26-3B), and RNase P (Section 26-3D), the SRP is a ribonucleoprotein. Mammalian SRPs consist of six polypeptides known as **SRP9, SRP14, SRP19, SRP54, SRP68,** and **SRP72** (where the numbers are their molecular masses in kD) and a 7S RNA (~300 nt; Fig. 27-43). Many prokaryotic SRPs are much simpler; that in *E. coli* consists of a single polypeptide named **Ffh** that is homologous to SRP54 (Ffh for *F*ifty-*f*our *h*omolog) and a 4.5S RNA (114 nt) that, in part, is predicted to have a secondary structure similar to that portion of the 7S RNA to which SRP54 binds. Indeed, replacing SRP54 with Ffh or vice versa yields functional SRPs, at least *in vitro,* thereby suggesting that the Ffh–4.5S RNA complex is a structurally minimized version of the eukaryotic SRP.

Beckmann and Frank generated a model of the ribosome–SRP complex by fitting the X-ray structures of the yeast ribosome (Fig. 27-19) and several SRP fragments to its 12-Å resolution cryo-EM–based image (Fig. 27-44*a*). The model indicates that the so-called S (signal sequence–binding) domain of the ~270-Å-long SRP RNA binds at the base of the large ribosomal subunit next to the exit of the tunnel through which the newly synthesized polypeptide emerges, whereas its Alu domain (so called because it contains *Alu*-like sequences; Section 28-1C) wraps around the large subunit to contact the ribosome at the interface between its large and small subunits. The model indicates that the 7S RNA consists mainly of a long double-helical rod that is bent at two positions, hinge 1 and hinge 2 (Fig. 27-44*b*).

(a)

(b)

Courtesy of Roland Beckmann, Humboldt University of Berlin

FIG. 27-44 Cryo-EM–based image of the wheat germ ribosome in complex with canine SRP at 12 Å resolution. (*a*) Surface diagram showing the small ribosomal subunit in yellow, the large ribosomal subunit in blue, the SRP in red, and a tRNA occupying the ribosomal P site in green. The SRP's S domain interacts with the polypeptide emerging from the ribosome's exit channel, and its Alu domain binds to the ribosome at the elongation factor–binding site. (*b*) Molecular model of the SRP. The cryo-EM–based electron density is shown in transparent white and the ribbon diagrams of the X-ray structures of SRP proteins and RNA fragments that have been docked into it are colored as is indicated in Fig. 27-43. The signal sequence, modeled as an α helix, is green. Note that no atomic resolution structure of the SRP68/72 heterodimer is available.

SRP54 consists of three domains: its N-terminal N domain; its central G domain, which contains the SRP's GTPase function; and its C-terminal M domain [so called because it is rich in Met residues (25 of its 209 residues in humans)]. The N domain, a bundle of four antiparallel α helices, closely associates with the Ras-like G domain to form an assembly named SRP54NG that mediates the SRP's interaction with the SRP receptor. The M domain (SRP54M), which contacts the ribosome near the mouth of its peptide exit tunnel, contains a deep groove that binds the helical signal sequence. The groove is lined almost entirely with hydrophobic residues including many of SRP54's Met residues (the Met side chain has physical properties similar to that of an *n*-butyl group; Section 4-1C). Its flexible unbranched Met side chain "bristles" presumably provide the groove with the plasticity to bind a variety of different signal sequences so long as they are hydrophobic and form an α helix.

Structural and biochemical studies indicate that the eukaryotic SRP first binds to the ribosome via its SRP54 protein. This interaction involves several ribosomal proteins, including L23, to which trigger factor also binds (Section 27-5A). When an emerging signal peptide binds to SRP54M, the SRP alters its conformation in a way that allows its Alu domain to fit into the site on the ribosome's intersubunit interface where elongation factor eEF2 (the eukaryotic counterpart of *E. coli* EF-G) also binds. This presumably interferes with the ribosomal elongation cycle (Fig. 27-27) and explains how the SRP arrests translation. This hypothesis is corroborated by the observation that bacterial SRP's, which do not arrest translation on binding to a ribosome, lack Alu domains.

Protein synthesis resumes after the SRP docks with its transmembrane receptor and its place has been taken by the translocon, whose ribosomal binding site largely overlaps that of the SRP. The central component of the translocon, named **Sec61** (Sec for *sec*retion) in eukaryotes and the **SecY** complex in prokaryotes, is a heterotrimeric protein. Its α and γ subunits, but not its β subunit, are essential for channel function and are conserved across all domains of life (these subunits are respectively named Sec61α, Sec61β, and Sec61γ in eukaryotes and SecY, SecE, and SecG in bacteria; the X-ray structure of SecY is shown in Fig. 9-38). A cryo-EM–based structure of a porcine ribosome–Sec61 complex (**Fig. 27-45**), determined by Rebecca Voorhees and Ramanujan Hegde, reveals, as expected, that a single Sec61 channel is positioned over the ribosome's polypeptide exit tunnel.

FIG. 27-45 Cryo-EM–based structure of a porcine ribosome–Sec61 complex at 3.4 Å resolution. The structure is drawn in ribbon form with the 40S rRNA orange, the 40S ribosomal proteins brown, the 60S rRNAs cyan, the 60S ribosomal proteins blue, and Sec61, embedded in its semitransparent surface diagram, red. The regions of the peptidyl transferase center (PTC) and ER membrane are indicated. [Courtesy of Rebecca Voohees and Ramanujan Hegde, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, U.K. PDBid 3J7O.]

FIG. 27-46 Quality control in oligosaccharide processing. A partially processed glycoprotein bearing one terminal glucose residue can bind to the chaperones calnexin or calreticulin. The bound glycoprotein is then deglucosylated and processed further. An improperly folded glycoprotein that becomes deglucosylated is reglucosylated by an enzyme that is specific for unfolded glycoproteins. This mechanism promotes the proper folding and oligosaccharide processing of newly synthesized glycoproteins.

Glycosylation Acts as a Quality Control Mechanism. In eukaryotes, polypeptides that are translocated into the ER by the secretory pathway undergo glycosylation. The cotranslational addition of a 14-residue oligosaccharide to an Asn residue is the first step in the production of *N*-linked glycoproteins (Section 8-3C). The attachment of the oligosaccharide verifies that the protein is being successfully translocated from the cytosol to the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum. The subsequent removal of the two terminal glucose residues allows the protein to interact with a chaperone that recognizes the partially processed oligosaccharide. The membrane-bound 572-residue form of the chaperone, called **calnexin,** or its soluble 400-residue homolog **calreticulin,** binds the immature (unfolded) glycoprotein to assist its folding and to protect it from degradation or premature transfer to the Golgi apparatus (where the late stages of oligosaccharide processing take place; Section 9-4E).

After the folded glycoprotein is released from calnexin/calreticulin, it proceeds through the rest of the oligosaccharide-processing pathway. A glycoprotein that is released before it has adopted its mature conformation can still undergo the next step of processing, which is the removal of another glucose residue. However, when this happens, a glycosyltransferase that recognizes only unfolded glycoproteins reattaches a glucose residue to the immature oligosaccharide. As a result, the glycoprotein can bind again to calnexin/calreticulin for another chance to fold properly (Fig. 27-46). Most glycoproteins undergo reglucosylation at least once.

CHECKPOINT

- What is the function of trigger factor (TF)? Why must the number of TF molecules be at least as great as the number of ribosomes?
- List some posttranslational modifications of proteins.
- Describe the functions of the complexes that interact with the ribosome near its polypeptide exit channel.
- What is the function of the RNA component of the SRP?

Summary

1 The Genetic Code

- The genetic code, by which nucleic acid sequences are translated into amino acid sequences, is composed of three-nucleotide codons that do not overlap and are read sequentially by the protein-synthesizing machinery.
- The standard genetic code of 64 codons includes numerous synonyms, three Stop codons, and one initiation codon.

2 Transfer RNA and Its Aminoacylation

- All tRNAs have numerous chemically modified bases and a similar cloverleaf secondary structure comprising an acceptor stem, D arm, TψC arm, anticodon arm, and variable arm. The three-dimensional structures of tRNAs are likewise similar and are maintained by stacking interactions and non-Watson–Crick hydrogen-bonded cross-links.
- Aminoacyl–tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) catalyze the ATP-dependent attachment of an amino acid to the appropriate tRNA to yield an aminoacyl–tRNA (aa–tRNA). The acceptor stem and anticodon loop are common identity elements for tRNA–aaRS interactions. The fidelity of aminoacylation is enhanced by proofreading.
- Wobble pairing between mRNA codons and tRNA anticodons at the third position accounts for much of the degeneracy of the genetic code. Within a species, the preferred codons correspond to the most abundant species in each set of isoaccepting tRNAs.

3 Ribosomes

- Ribosomes, which are large complexes of RNA and protein, have a structure that is determined by their RNA components. A small subunit and large subunit associate to form the intact ribosome, which accommodates an aa–tRNA in the A site, a peptidyl–tRNA in the P site, and a deacylated tRNA in the E site.

4 Translation

- During translation initiation, an initiator tRNA charged with fMet (in prokaryotes) or Met (in eukaryotes), an mRNA with an AUG initiation

codon, and the ribosomal subunits assemble. Initiation requires GTP-hydrolyzing initiation factors. The initiating AUG codon is identified in prokaryotes via the mRNA's Shine–Dalgarno sequence. In eukaryotes it is the mRNA's initiating AUG that is identified in a complex process by its proximity to the mRNA's 5′ cap.

• A polypeptide chain is elongated from its N- to its C-terminus. An aa–tRNA in complex with a GTP-hydrolyzing elongation factor binds to the A site, where the ribosome senses correct codon–anticodon pairing, a process whose accuracy is enhanced by proofreading. The peptidyl transferase activity of the large subunit's RNA catalyzes the attack of the A-site bound aa–tRNA's amino group on the peptidyl–tRNA in the P site. Following transpeptidation, a second GTP-hydrolyzing elongation factor promotes the translocation of the new peptidyl–tRNA to the P site.

• Translation termination requires release factors that recognize Stop codons.

5 Posttranslational Processing

• As a polypeptide emerges from the ribosome, it begins to fold with the assistance of chaperones. Proteins may undergo posttranslational processing that includes proteolysis, covalent modification, translocation through a membrane, and glycosylation.

Key Terms

genetic code **983**
codon **983**
degeneracy **983**
suppressor **983**
reading frame **983**
frameshift mutation **983**
anticodon **984**
synonym **986**
Stop codon **986**
acceptor stem **988**
D arm **988**
anticodon arm **988**
TψC arm **988**
variable arm **989**
aminoacyl–tRNA **990**
aaRS **991**
isoaccepting tRNA **992**
wobble hypothesis **995**
A site **1001**
P site **1001**
peptidyl–tRNA **1001**
E site **1002**
covariance **1003**
expansion segment **1003**
peptidyl transferase **1004**
polyribosome **1006**
fMet **1006**
Shine–Dalgarno sequence **1007**
initiation factor **1008**
transpeptidation **1012**
translocation **1012**
elongation factor **1012**
release factor **1023**
nonsense mutation **1024**
nonsense suppressor **1024**
posttranslational processing **1025**
proprotein **1026**
preprotein **1026**
preproprotein **1026**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. Could a single nucleotide deletion restore the function of a protein-coding gene interrupted by the insertion of a 4-nt sequence? Explain.

2. When a sequence of deoxynucleotides is entered into a translation program (such as the ExPASy Translate tool at http://web.expasy.org/translate/), six possible polypeptide sequences are given as results. Explain.

3. List all possible codons present in a ribonucleotide polymer containing U and G in random sequence. Which amino acids are encoded by this RNA?

4. Which amino acids are specified by codons that can be changed to a UAG Stop codon by a single point mutation?

5. In the genetic code used by mitochondria in many species, UGA codes for tryptophan rather than a Stop signal. How does mitochondrial translation terminate?

6. Some mitochondria use a second codon, in addition to AUG, to specify Met. Which codon(s) is (are) most likely to be used this way?

7. The protein Xpot transports tRNAs out of the nucleus so that they can be aminoacylated in the cytosol. (a) What tRNA structural features is Xpot likely to recognize? (b) How does Xpot distinguish mature tRNAs from pre-tRNAs?

8. The enzyme thiouridylase converts certain tRNA uridine residues to 2-thiouridine. Draw the structure of this modified nucleotide.

9. IleRS uses a double-sieve mechanism to accurately produce Ile-$tRNA^{Ile}$ and prevent the synthesis of Val-$tRNA^{Ile}$. Which other pairs of amino acids differ in structure by a single carbon and might have aaRSs that use a similar double-sieve proofreading mechanism?

10. Some mutations that do not alter the identity of the encoded amino acid lead to diminished production of the protein. How does the mutation affect translation?

11. Draw the structures of an I · C wobble pair and an I · U wobble pair.

12. In eukaryotes, the primary rRNA transcript is a 45S rRNA that includes the sequences of the 18S, 5.8S, and 28S rRNAs separated by short spacers. What is the advantage of this operon-like arrangement of rRNA genes?

13. Explain the significance of the observation that peptides such as fMet-Leu-Phe "activate" the phagocytotic (particle-engulfing) functions of mammalian leukocytes (white blood cells).

14. Explain why prokaryotic ribosomes can translate a circular mRNA molecule, whereas eukaryotic ribosomes normally cannot, even in the presence of the required cofactors.

15. Explain why the translation of a given mRNA can be inhibited by a segment of its complementary sequence, a so-called **antisense RNA.**

16. Eukaryotic initiation factor eIF2B is a guanine nucleotide exchange factor. Explain why eIF2B would enhance the activity of eIF2.

17. The ribosome can transfer any peptidyl group from the P-site tRNA to any aminoacyl group on the A-site RNA, but the rate may vary with the identities of those groups. Propose an explanation why the ribosome synthesizes poly-Pro sequences extremely slowly compared to other peptide sequences.

18. Mitochondrial ribosomes manufacture 13 proteins, all of which are components of the oxidative phosphorylation machinery. Propose an explanation why the peptide exit tunnel of the mitoribosome is lined with hydrophobic groups rather than hydrophilic groups, as in a cytosplasmic ribosome.

19. Cells can make certain oligopeptides using conventional enzymes rather than mRNA-directed translation by a ribosome. Draw the product of the reaction catalyzed by L-glutamate: L-cysteine gamma-ligase (γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase).

20. The peptidyl transferase activity of the ribosome does not require an additional source of free energy. Is the reaction catalyzed by γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase (Problem 19) also exergonic?

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

21. One cause of the neurodegenerative disease amyotropic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is the expansion of the repeating hexanucleotide sequence GGGGCC in the noncoding region of a gene. By unknown mechanisms, the repeating sequence is translated to generate neurotoxic peptides. Predict the sequences of the possible repeating dipeptides.

22. The flu virus maximizes the use of its limited (13.5 kb) genome by using alternative translation initiation sites, overlapping reading frames, and ribosomal frameshifting. For example, part of the viral PA gene includes a rarely used CGU codon. When the ribosome pauses to translate this codon, it may slip ahead by one nucleotide and produce a polypeptide with a different C-terminal sequence. From the partial mRNA sequence shown here, determine the normal polypeptide sequence and the sequence with the frameshift.

– GAUUCCUUUCGUCAGUCCGAGA –

23. A double-stranded fragment of viral DNA, one of whose strands is shown below, encodes two peptides, called *vir-1* and *vir-2*. Adding this double-stranded DNA fragment to an *in vitro* transcription and translation system yields peptides of 10 residues (*vir-1*) and 5 residues (*vir-2*).

AGATCGGATGCTCAACTATATGTGATTAACAGAGCATGCG-GCATAAACT

(a) Identify the DNA sequence that encodes each peptide.

(b) Determine the amino acid sequence of each peptide.

(c) In a mutant viral strain, the T at position 23 has been replaced with G. Determine the amino acid sequences of the two peptides encoded by the mutant virus.

24. The sequence of the sense strand of a mammalian gene is

TATAATACGCGCAATACAATCTACAGCTTCGCGTAAATC-GTAGGTAAGTTGTAATAAATATAAGTGAGTATGATACAG-GCTTTGGACCGATAGATGCGACCCTGGAGGTAAGTATAGAT-TAATTAAGCACAGGCATGCAGGGATATCCTCCAAAAAGGTA-AGTAACCTTACGGTCAATTAATTCAGGCAGTAGATGAATA-AACGATATCGATCGGTTAGGTAAGTCTGAT

Determine the sequences of the mature RNA and the encoded protein. Assume that transcription initiates at a G approximately 25 bp downstream of the TATAATA sequence, that each 5′ splice site has the sequence AG/GUAAGU, and that each 3′ splice site has the sequence CAG/G (where / marks the location of the splice).

25. EF-Tu binds all aminoacyl–tRNAs with approximately equal affinity so that it can deliver them to the ribosome with the same efficiency. Based on the experimentally determined binding constants for EF-Tu and correctly charged and mischarged aminoacyl–tRNAs (see table), explain how the tRNA–EF-Tu recognition system could prevent the incorporation of the wrong amino acid during translation.

Aminoacyl–tRNA	Dissociation Constant (nM)
Ala–tRNA^{Ala}	6.2
Gln–tRNA^{Ala}	0.05
Gln–tRNA^{Gln}	4.4
Ala–tRNA^{Gln}	260

Source: [LaRiviere, F.J., Wolfson, A.D., and Uhlenbeck, O.C., *Science* **294,** 167 (2001).]

26. The antibiotic **paromomycin** binds to a ribosome and induces the same conformational changes in 16S rRNA residues A1492 and A1493 as are induced by codon–anticodon pairing (Fig. 27-32). Propose an explanation for the antibiotic effect of paromomycin.

27. The rate of the peptidyl transferase reaction increases as the pH increases from 6 to 8. Explain these results in terms of its reaction mechanism.

28. It has been proposed that residue A2486 is protonated and therefore stabilizes the tetrahedral reaction intermediate. Is this mechanistic embellishment consistent with the observed pH effect (Problem 27)? Explain.

29. Explain why a minimum of 32 tRNAs are required to translate the "standard" genetic code.

30. Under conditions of nutrient starvation, bacteria produce a protein that binds to the 3′ end of 16S rRNA. What is the result of this interaction and why is it beneficial to the cell?

31. All cells contain an enzyme called **peptidyl–tRNA hydrolase,** and cells that are deficient in the enzyme grow very slowly. What is the probable function of the enzyme and why is it necessary?

32. Genetically engineered mRNAs that code for a stretch of basic residues, such as poly(Lys), induce translation termination and destruction of the nascent polypeptide. Explain how this response would protect cells from the effect of faulty transcription that produces mRNAs with mutated Stop codons.

33. Calculate the energy required, in ATP equivalents, to synthesize a 100-residue protein from free amino acids in *E. coli* (assume that the N-terminal Met remains attached to the polypeptide and that no ribosomal proofreading occurs).

34. Design an mRNA with the necessary prokaryotic control sites that codes for the octapeptide Lys-Pro-Ala-Gly-Thr-Glu-Asn-Ser.

35. How many different types of macromolecules must be minimally contained in a cell-free protein synthesizing system from *E. coli?* Count each type of ribosomal component as a different macromolecule.

36. An antibiotic named fixmycin, which you have isolated from a fungus growing on ripe passion fruit, is effective in curing several types of sexually transmitted diseases. In characterizing fixmycin's mode of action, you have found that it is a bacterial translational inhibitor that binds exclusively to the large subunit of *E. coli* ribosomes. The initiation of protein synthesis in the presence of fixmycin results in the generation of dipeptides that remain associated with the ribosome. Suggest a mechanism of fixmycin action.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1. Viewing and Analyzing Transfer RNA

Exercise 2. Ribosomes, Protein Processing, and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE Not all naturally occurring peptides are synthesized by ribosomes that decode messenger RNA. How does nonribosomal peptide synthesis take place? How does it differ from polyketide synthesis (Box 20-3)? What are some functions of these peptides?

CASE STUDY *www.wiley.com/college/voet*

Case 29 Pseudovitamin D Deficiency

Focus concept: An apparent vitamin D deficiency is actually caused by a mutation in an enzyme leading to the vitamin's synthesis.

Prerequisites: Chapters 9 and 27

- Vitamins and coenzymes
- The genetic code

References

Genetic Code

Judson, H.F., *The Eighth Day of Creation,* Expanded edition, Part II, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1996). [A fascinating historical narrative on the elucidation of the genetic code.]

Knight, R.D., Freeland, S.J., and Landweber, L.F., Selection, history and chemistry: the three faces of the genetic code, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **24,** 241–247 (1999).

Yanofsky, C., Establishing the triplet nature of the genetic code, *Cell* **128,** 815–818 (2007).

Transfer RNA Structure and Aminoacylation

Ibba, M., Becker, H.D., Stathopoulos, C., Tumbula, D.L., and Söll, D., The adaptor hypothesis revisited, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **25,** 311–316 (1999). [Describes exceptions to the 20 aminoacyl synthetase rule.]

Nureki, O., Vassylyev, D.G., Tateno, M., Shimada, A., Nakama, T., Fukai, S., Konno, M., Hendrickson, T.L., Schimmel, P., and Yokoyama, S., Enzyme structure with two catalytic sites for double-sieve selection of substrate, *Science* **280,** 578–582 (1998). [The X-ray structures of IleRS in complexes with isoleucine and valine.]

Ribosomes and Translation

Anger, A.M., Armache, A.-P., Berninghuasen, O., Habeck, M., Subklewe, M., Wilson, D.N., and Beckmann, R., Structures of the human and *Drosophila* 80S ribosome, *Nature* **497,** 80–85 (2013).

Dintzis, H.M., The wandering pathway to determining N to C synthesis of proteins, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **34,** 241–246 (2006). [A personal history of how the direction of polypeptide synthesis was determined.]

Green, R. and Lorsch, J.R., The path to perdition is paved with protons, *Cell* **110,** 665–668 (2002). [Discusses the difficulties in characterizing the catalytic mechanism of the ribosomal peptidyl transferase.]

Hinnebusch, A.G., The scanning mechanism of eukaryotic translation initiation, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **83,** 779–812 (2014).

Jackson, R.J., Hellen, C.U.T., and Pestova, T.V., The mechanism of eukaryotic translation and principles of its regulation, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10,** 113–127 (2010).

Moore, P.B., How should we think about the ribosome? *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **41,** 1–19 (2012). [An insightful discussion about how the ribosome functions.]

Kiel, M.C., Kaji, H., and Kaji, A., Ribosome recycling, *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **35,** 40–44 (2007).

Leung, E.K.Y., Suslov, N., Tuttle, N., Sengupta, R., and Piccirilli, J.A., The mechanism of peptidyl transfer catalysis by the ribosome, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **80,** 527–555 (2011).

Moore, P.B. and Steitz, T.A., RNA, the first macromolecular catalyst: the ribosome is a ribozyme, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **28,** 411–418 (2003); *and* The structural basis of large ribosomal subunit function, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **72,** 813–850 (2003).

Nissen, P., Kjeldgaard, M., and Nyborg, J., Macromolecular mimicry, *EMBO J.* **19,** 489–495 (2000). [Describes how translation factors and other proteins function by adopting the same structures and interacting with the same binding sites as nucleic acids.]

Petrov, A.S., et al., Evolution of the ribosome at atomic resolution, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111,** 10251–10256 (2014).

Rodnina, M.V., Beringer, M., and Wintermeyer, W., How ribosomes make peptide bonds, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **32,** 20–26 (2007); *and* Rodnina, M.V. and Wintermeyer, W., The ribosome goes Nobel, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **35,** 1–5 (2010).

Schmeing, T.M., Voorhees, R.M., Kelley, A.C., Gao, Y.-G., Murphy, F.V., IV, Weir, J.R., and Ramakrishnan, V., The crystal structure of the ribosome bound to EF-Tu and aminoacyl–tRNA; *and* Gao, Y.-G., Selmer, M., Dunham, M., Weixlbaumer, A., Kelley, A.C., and Ramakrishnan, V., The structure of the ribosome with elongation factor G trapped in the posttranslocational state, *Science* **326,** 688–693 and 694–699 (2009).

Selmer, M., Dunham, C.M., Murphy, F.V., IV, Weixlbaumer, A., Petry, S., Kelley, A.C., Weir, J.R., and Ramakrishnan, V., Structure of the 70S ribosome complexed with mRNA and tRNA, *Science* **313,** 1935–1942 (2006); *and* Korostelev, A., Trakhanov, S., Laurberg, M., and Noller, H.F., Crystal structure of a 70S ribosome–tRNA complex reveals functional interactions and rearrangements, *Cell* **126,** 1065–1077 (2006). [Presents high resolution (3.7 and 2.8 Å) X-ray structures of the *T. thermophilus* ribosome.]

Sievers, A., Beringer, M., Rodnina, M.V., and Wolfenden, R., The ribosome as an entropy trap, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101,** 7897–7901 (2004).

Voorhees, R.M. and Ramakrishnan, V., Structural basis of the translational elongation cycle, *Anuu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 203–236 (2013).

Yusupova, G. and Yusupov, M., High-resolution structure of the eukaryotic 80S ribosome, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **83,** 467–486 (2014).

Posttranslational Processing

Fedyukina, D.V. and Cavagnero, S., Protein folding at the exit tunnel, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **40,** 337–359 (2011).

Halic, M., Becker, T., Pool, M.R., Spahn, C.M.T., Grassucci, R.A., Frank, J., and Beckmann, R., Structure of the signal recognition particle interacting with the elongation-arrested ribosome, *Nature* **427,** 808–814 (2004).

Hartl, F.U. and Hayer-Hartl, M., Molecular chaperones in the cytosol: from nascent chain to folded protein, *Science* **295,** 1852–1858 (2002). [Describes the structures, functions, and potential interactions of the various chaperone systems in eukaryotes, eubacteria, and archaea.]

Saio, T., Guan, X., Rossi, P., Economou, A., and Kalodimos, C.G., Structural basis for protein antiaggregation activity of the trigger factor chaperone, *Science* **344,** 1250494 (2014). DOI: 10.1126/science.1250494. This is a digital object identifier (DOI). Also see *Science* **344**, 597–598 (2014). [The NMR structure of trigger factor in complex with unfolded PhoA.]

Voorhees, R.M., Fernández, I.S., Scheres, S.H.W., and Hegde, R.S., Structure of the mammalian ribosome-Sec61 complex to 3.4 Å resolution, *Cell* **157,** 1632–1643 (2014).

Williams, D.B., Beyond lectins: the calnexin/calreticulin chaperone system of the endoplasmic reticulum, *J. Cell Sci.* **119,** 615–623 (2006).

Illustration, Irving Geis. Image from the Irving Geis Collection/Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Rights owned by HHMI. Reproduction by permission only.

The controlled expression of genetic information requires a multitude of factors that interact specifically and nonspecifically with DNA. This painting schematically diagrams transcription factors in the preinitiation complex whose formation in eukaryotes must precede the transcription of DNA to mRNA.

CHAPTER 28

Regulation of Gene Expression

Chapter Contents

1 Genome Organization
- A Gene Number Varies among Organisms
- B Some Genes Occur in Clusters
- C Eukaryotic Genomes Contain Repetitive DNA Sequences

2 Regulation of Prokaryotic Gene Expression
- A The *lac* Operon Is Controlled by a Repressor
- B Catabolite-Repressed Operons Can Be Activated
- C Attenuation Regulates Transcription Termination
- D Riboswitches Are Metabolite-Sensing RNAs

3 Regulation of Eukaryotic Gene Expression
- A Chromatin Structure Influences Gene Expression
- B Eukaryotes Contain Multiple Transcriptional Activators
- C Posttranscriptional Control Mechanisms
- D Antibody Diversity Results from Somatic Recombination and Hypermutation

4 The Cell Cycle, Cancer, Apoptosis, and Development
- A Progress through the Cell Cycle Is Tightly Regulated
- B Tumor Suppressors Prevent Cancer
- C Apoptosis Is an Orderly Process
- D Development Has a Molecular Basis

The faithful replication of DNA ensures that all the descendants of a single cell contain virtually identical sets of genetic instructions. Yet individual cells may differ—sometimes dramatically—from their progenitors, depending on how those instructions are read. The **expression** of genetic information in a given cell or organism, that is, the synthesis of RNA and proteins specified by the DNA sequence, is neither random nor fully preprogrammed. Rather, *the information in an organism's genome must be tapped in an orderly fashion during development and yet must also be available to direct the organism's responses to changes in internal or external conditions.*

Much of the mystery surrounding the regulation of gene expression has to do with how genetic information is organized and how it can be located and accessed on an appropriate time scale. The complexity of the mechanisms for transcribing and translating genes suggests the potential for even more complicated systems for enhancing or inhibiting these processes. Indeed, we have already seen numerous examples of how accessory protein factors influence the rates or specificities of transcription and translation. In this chapter, we consider some additional aspects of gene expression by examining a variety of strategies used by prokaryotes and eukaryotes to control how genetic information specifies cell structures and metabolic functions.

1 Genome Organization

KEY CONCEPTS

- An organism's complexity increases with the number of its genes but not the size of its genome.
- rRNA and tRNA genes occur in clusters.
- Eukaryotic genomes contain segments of repetitive DNA.

Genomics, the study of organisms' genomes, was established as a discipline with the advent of techniques for rapidly sequencing enormous tracts of DNA, such as make up the chromosomes of living things. Studies that once relied on the hybridization of oligonucleotide probes to identify genes can now be conducted by comparing nucleotide sequences deposited in databases (Section 5-3E). In fact, a computerized database is the only practical format for storing the vast amount of information provided by a whole-genome sequence. Even a simplified diagram of the genome of the relatively simple bacterium *Helicobacter pylori* (a major cause of peptic ulcers) reveals a bewildering array of genes (**Fig. 28-1**). Nevertheless, the ability to sequence and map the entire genome of an organism makes it possible to draw far-reaching conclusions about how many genes an organism contains, how they are organized, and how they serve the organism.

A Gene Number Varies among Organisms

The rough correlation between the quantity of an organism's unique genetic material (its **C value**) and the complexity of its morphology and metabolism (Table 3-3) has numerous exceptions, known as the **C-value paradox**. For example, the genomes of lungfishes are 10- to 15-fold larger than those of mammals (**Fig. 28-2**). Some algae have genomes ~10–fold larger still. We know that much of this "extra" DNA is unexpressed, but its function is largely a matter of conjecture. The complete genomic sequences of numerous prokaryotes and eukaryotes, including data from some large, complicated genomes, nevertheless indicate that *the apparent number of genes, like the overall quantity of DNA, roughly parallels the organism's complexity* (**Table 28-1**). Thus, humans have ~21,000 genes compared to *E. coli's* ~4300 genes.

Only a Small Portion of the Human Genome Encodes Proteins. All but about 0.3% of the 3,038,000-kb human genome has been fully sequenced (the remaining

Courtesy of J. Craig Venter, Celera Genomics, Rockville, Maryland

FIG. 28-1 Map of the 1670-kb *H. pylori* circular chromosome. The 1590 predicted protein-coding sequences (91% of the genome) are indicated in different colors on the two outermost rings, which represent the two DNA strands. The third and fourth rings indicate intervening sequence elements and other repeating sequences (2.3% of the genome). The fifth and sixth rings show the genes for tRNAs, rRNAs, and other small RNAs (0.7% of the genome). Intergenic sequences account for 6% of the genome.

FIG. 28-2 Range of haploid genome DNA contents in various categories of organisms. The morphological complexity of the organisms, as estimated from their number of different cell types, increases from bottom to top. Haploid genome size roughly correlates with complexity; exceptions are described by the C-value paradox. [After Raff, R.A. and Kaufman, T.C., *Embryos, Genes, and Evolution,* p. 314, Macmillan (1983).]

? **Identify the vertebrates with the largest and smallest genomes.**

DNA includes gene-poor regions near the centromeres and telomeres). *Only about 1.2% of the genome encodes proteins,* and the ~21,000 protein-coding genes are distributed throughout the genome, sometimes in clusters. Identifying the genes in human or other genomes involves several approaches.

A gene can be identified by its homology to a previously described mRNA or protein sequence. A protein-coding gene may also be identified as an **open**

TABLE 28-1 Genome Size and Gene Number in Some Organisms

Organism	Genome Size (kb)	Number of Genes
Haemophilus influenzae (bacterium)	1,830	1,740
Escherichia coli (bacterium)	4,639	4,289
Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast)	12,070	6,034
Caenorhabditis elegans (nematode)	97,000	19,099
Oryza sativa (rice)	389,000	~35,000
Arabidopsis thaliana (mustard weed)	119,200	~26,000
Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly)	180,000	13,061
Mus musculus (mouse)	2,500,000	~22,000
Homo sapiens (human)	3,038,000	~21,000

reading frame (ORF), a sequence that is not interrupted by Stop codons and that exhibits the same codon-usage preferences as other genes in the organism. However, our incomplete knowledge of the features through which cells recognize genes, combined with the fact that human genes consist of relatively short exons (averaging ~123 nt) separated by much longer introns (averaging ~1800 nt and often much longer), has limited the success of computer-based gene identification algorithms. Such algorithms therefore rely on sequence alignments with **expressed sequence tags** (**ESTs**; cDNAs that have been reverse-transcribed from mRNAs) together with alignments with known genes from other organisms. Genes may also be revealed by the presence of a **CpG island** (Box 25-4). CpG dinucleotides are present in vertebrate genomes at only about one-fifth their randomly expected frequency (because the spontaneous deamination of m^5C yields a normal T and therefore often results in a C·G → T·A mutation), but they appear in clusters or islands at near-normal frequencies in the upstream regions of many genes (~56% of human genes).

The number of human genes is much lower than had once been predicted. The discrepancy between current estimates (~21,000 genes) and earlier estimates of as many as 140,000 protein-coding, or structural, genes is largely attributed to the high prevalence of alternative splicing (Section 26-3B). In addition, variations in posttranslational processing (Section 27-5B) enable a given DNA sequence to give rise to several functionally distinct protein products.

The functions of many human genes have been identified through sequence comparisons at the level both of protein families and of domains (**Fig. 28-3**). Note that nearly 42% of these genes have unknown functions, as is likewise the case with most other genomes of known sequence, including those of prokaryotes. Genes with no known function are called **orphan genes**. Some of these sequences may represent novel genes whose protein products have not yet been characterized. Others may simply be counterparts of known genes but are too different in sequence to be recognized as such.

About three-quarters of all known human genes appear to have counterparts in other species. About one-quarter are present only in other vertebrates, and one-quarter are present in prokaryotes as well as eukaryotes. As expected, the human genome contains approximately the same number of "housekeeping" genes (genes required for the most fundamental cellular activities) as other eukaryotes. But it includes relatively more genes for vertebrate-specific activities, such as those relevant to the immune system and neuronal and hormonal signaling pathways.

FIG. 28-3 Distribution of molecular functions of the putative structural genes in the human genome. Each wedge of the pie chart lists, in parentheses, the percentage of the genes assigned to the indicated category of molecular function. The outer circle indicates the general functional categories whereas the inner circle provides a more detailed breakdown of the categories. [Courtesy of J. Craig Venter, Celera Genomics, Rockville, Maryland.]

? Which categories would contain fewer genes in a single-celled eukaryote?

A Significant Portion of the Human Genome Is Transcribed to RNA. Although the proportion of exonic DNA in the human genome is relatively small (~1.4%), as much as 80% of the genome may be transcribed. This mass of RNA includes the products of ~4000 identified genes for tRNAs, rRNAs, and other small RNAs, as well as tens of thousands of other **noncoding RNAs** (**ncRNAs**), many of which have no known function. Some ncRNAs are polyadenylated, as are mRNAs (Section 26-3A), but do not correspond to any known genes. One possibility is that this RNA represents transcriptional noise arising from promoter-like elements scattered throughout the genome. However, the observation that some ncRNAs exhibit conservation between species and tissue-specific splice variants suggests that such RNAs have regulatory functions.

Many Disease Genes Have Been Identified. The availability of human genomic data has facilitated the identification of sequence variants that are associated with particular diseases. Nearly 15,000 such variants have been catalogued [in the **Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM)** database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim/)], including those for cystic fibrosis (Box 3-1), glycogen storage diseases (Box 16-2), and many hereditary forms of cancer. However, monogenetic diseases are relatively rare. Most diseases result from interactions among several genes and from environmental factors. One goal of genomics is to identify genetic features that can be linked to susceptibility to disease or infection. To that end, a catalog of human DNA sequence variations has been compiled as a database of **single nucleotide polymorphisms** (**SNPs**; pronounced "snips"). A SNP, or single-base difference between individuals, occurs about every ~1250 bp on average. Over 115 million SNPs have been described. Although less than 1% of them result in protein variants, and probably fewer still have functional consequences, it is becoming increasingly apparent that SNPs are largely responsible for an individual's susceptibility to many diseases as well as to adverse reactions to drugs (side effects; Section 12-4). Moreover, SNPs can potentially serve as genetic markers for nearby disease-related genes.

Genome-wide association studies (**GWAS**) attempt to link common genetic variants to specific diseases that have no obvious hereditary component. Such studies typically involve examining the DNA of thousands of individuals to obtain a dataset in which relatively rare sequence variations can be tabulated. For example, 39 loci (sites) have been shown to be associated with type 2 diabetes (Section 22-4B), and 71 loci with **Crohn's disease**, an autoimmune disorder. Although the increased risk of disease assigned to a particular allele may be low, the entire set of variants can explain up to 50% of the heritability of a disease. Moreover, the discovery of new genes associated with a condition can provide additional targets for drug development (Section 12-4).

B Some Genes Occur in Clusters

Genes are not necessarily randomly distributed throughout an organism's genome. For example, the average gene frequency in the human genome is ~1 gene per 100 kb but ranges from 0 to 64 genes per 100 kb. Moreover, some protein-coding genes and other chromosomal elements exhibit a certain degree of organization. Prokaryotic genomes, for example, contain numerous operons, in which, as we have seen (Section 26-1B), genes with related functions (e.g., encoding the proteins involved in a particular metabolic pathway) occur close together, sometimes in the same order in which their encoded proteins act in a metabolic reaction sequence, and are transcribed onto a single polycistronic mRNA.

Gene clusters also occur in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Although most genes occur only once in an organism's haploid genome, genes such as those for rRNA and tRNA, whose products are required in relatively large amounts, may occur in multiple copies. As we saw in Section 26-3C, large rRNA

Courtesy of Oscar L. Miller and Barbara R. Beatty, University of Virginia

FIG. 28-4 An electron micrograph of tandem arrays of actively transcribing 18S, 5.8S, and 28S rRNA genes from the newt *Notophthalmus viridescens*. The axial fibers are DNA. The fibrillar "Christmas tree" matrices, which consist of newly synthesized RNA strands in complex with proteins, outline each transcriptional unit. Note that the longest ribonucleoprotein branches are only ~10% of the length of their corresponding DNA. Apparently, the RNA strands are compacted through secondary structure interactions and/or protein associations. The matrix-free segments of DNA are the untranscribed spacers.

transcripts are cleaved to yield the mature rRNA molecules. Furthermore, the transcribed blocks of 18S, 5.8S, and 28S eukaryotic rRNA genes are arranged in tandem repeats that are separated by nontranscribed spacers (**Fig. 28-4**). The rRNA genes, which may be distributed among several chromosomes, vary in haploid number from less than 50 to over 10,000, depending on the species. Humans, for example, have 50 to 200 blocks of rRNA genes spread over five chromosomes. tRNA genes are similarly reiterated and clustered.

Protein-coding genes almost never occur in multiple copies, presumably because the repeated translation of a few mRNA transcripts provides adequate amounts of most proteins. One exception is histone proteins, which are required in large amounts during the short time when eukaryotic DNA synthesis occurs. Not only are histone genes reiterated (up to ~100 times in *Drosophila*), they often occur as sets of each of the five different histone genes separated by nontranscribed sequences (**Fig. 28-5**). The gene order and the direction of transcription in these quintets are preserved over large evolutionary distances. The spacer sequences vary widely among species and, to a limited extent, among the repeating quintets within a genome. In birds and mammals, which contain 10 to 20 copies of each of the five histone genes, the genes occur in clusters but in no particular order.

Other gene clusters contain genes of similar but not identical sequence. For example, human globin genes are arranged in two clusters on separate chromosomes (**Fig. 28-6**). The various genes are transcribed at different developmental stages. Adult hemoglobin is an $\alpha_2\beta_2$ tetramer, whereas the first hemoglobin made by the human embryo is a $\zeta_2\varepsilon_2$ tetramer in which ζ and ε are α- and β-like subunits, respectively (**Fig. 28-7**). At approximately 8 weeks after conception, fetal hemoglobin containing α and γ subunits appears. The γ subunit is gradually supplanted by β starting a few weeks before birth. Adult human blood normally contains ~97% $\alpha_2\beta_2$ hemoglobin, 2% $\alpha_2\delta_2$ (in which δ is a β variant), and 1% $\alpha_2\gamma_2$.

The **α-globin gene cluster** (Fig. 28-6, *top*), which spans 28 kb, contains three functional genes: the embryonic ζ gene and two slightly different α genes, $\alpha1$ and $\alpha2$, which encode identical polypeptides. The α cluster also contains four **pseudogenes** (nontranscribed relics of ancient gene duplications): $\psi\zeta$, $\psi\alpha2$, $\psi\alpha1$,

FIG. 28-5 The organization and lengths of the histone gene cluster repeating units in a variety of organisms. Coding regions are indicated in color, and spacers are gray. The arrows denote the direction of transcription (the top three organisms are distantly related sea urchins).

FIG. 28-6 The organization of human globin genes. Red boxes represent active genes; green boxes represent pseudogenes; yellow boxes represent repetitive sequences, with the arrows indicating their relative orientations; and triangles represent *Alu* elements in their relative orientations. [After Karlsson, S. and Nienhuis, A.W., *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **54,** 1074 (1985).]

and ψθ. The **β-globin gene cluster** (Fig. 28-6, *bottom*), which spans >60 kb, contains five functional genes: the embryonic ε gene, the fetal genes $^{G}\gamma$ and $^{A}\gamma$ (duplicated genes encoding polypeptides that differ only by having either Gly or Ala at position 136), and the adult genes δ and β. The β-globin cluster also contains one pseudogene, ψβ. Both α and β gene clusters also include copies of **repetitive DNA sequences** (see below).

C Eukaryotic Genomes Contain Repetitive DNA Sequences

Approximately 11% of the *E. coli* genome consists of nontranscribed regions, including the regulatory sequences that separate individual genes and sites that govern the origin and termination of replication. In addition, bacterial genomes typically contain insertion sequences (Section 25-6C) and the remnants of integrated bacteriophages.

The small sizes of prokaryotic genomes probably exert selective pressure against the accumulation of useless DNA. Eukaryotic genomes, however, which are usually much larger than prokaryotic genomes, apparently are not subject to the same selective forces. About 30% of the yeast genome consists of nonexpressed sequences, and the proportion is far greater in higher eukaryotes. Much of this DNA consists of repetitive sequences that contribute significantly to the relatively large genomes of certain plants and amphibians. Some repetitive DNA plays a structural role at the **centromeres** of eukaryotic chromosomes, the regions attached to the microtubular spindle during mitosis. These sequences may help align chromosomes and facilitate recombination. The telomeres also

FIG. 28-7 The progression of human globin chain synthesis with fetal development. Note that any red blood cell contains only one type each of α- and β-like subunits. [After Weatherall, D.J. and Clegg, J.B., *The Thalassaemia Syndromes* (3rd ed.), p. 64, Blackwell Scientific Publications (1981).]

? Identify the predominant form of hemoglobin 20 weeks before and 20 weeks after birth.

Box 28-1 Biochemistry in Health and Disease Trinucleotide Repeat Diseases

At least 14 human diseases are associated with repeated trinucleotides in certain genes. These **trinucleotide repeats** exhibit an unusual genetic instability: Above a threshold of about 35 to 50 copies (100–150 bp), the repeats tend to expand with successive generations. Because the overall length of the repeat typically correlates with the age of onset of the disease, descendants of an individual with a trinucleotide repeat disease tend to be more severely affected and at an earlier age. The disease is therefore said to exhibit **genetic anticipation**.

Some types of trinucleotide repeat diseases are caused by massive expansion (usually to hundreds of copies) of a trinucleotide in the noncoding region of a gene, for example, in a region upstream of the transcription start site, in a 5′ or 3′ untranslated region (**UTR**), or in an intron (see table). These expansions generally affect gene expression. For example, **myotonic dystrophy** results from aberrant expression of a protein kinase. The severity of the symptoms, progressive muscle weakness and wasting, correlate with the number of CTG repeats (>2000 in some cases) in its gene's 5′ UTR.

Some Diseases Associated with Trinucleotide Repeats

Disease	Repeat	Site of Repeat
Fragile X syndrome	CGG	5′ UTR
Myotonic dystrophy	CTG	Upstream region, 3′ UTR
Friedrich's ataxia	GAA	Intron
Spinobulbar muscular atrophy	CAG	Exon
Huntington's disease	CAG	Exon

Fragile X syndrome, the most common cause of mental retardation after Down's syndrome, is so named because the tip of the X chromosome's long arm is connected to the rest of the chromosome by a slender thread that is easily broken. As in many trinucleotide repeat diseases, the genetics of fragile X syndrome are bizarre. The maternal grandfathers of individuals having fragile X syndrome may be asymptomatic. Their daughters are likewise asymptomatic, but these daughters' children of either sex may have the syndrome. Evidently, the fragile X defect is activated by passage through a female.

The affected gene in fragile X syndrome, *FMR1* (for *f*ragile X *m*ental *r*etardation *1*), encodes a 632-residue RNA-binding protein named **FMRP** (for *FMR p*rotein), which apparently functions in the transport of certain mRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. FMRP, which is highly conserved in vertebrates, is heavily expressed in brain neurons, where a variety of evidence indicates that it participates in the proper formation and/or function of synapses. In the general population, the 5′ untranslated region of *FMR1* contains a $(CGG)_n$ sequence with n ranging from 6 to 60 (such a gene is said to be **polymorphic**). However, in individuals with fragile X syndrome, this triplet repeat has undergone an astonishing expansion to values of n ranging from >200 to several thousand. Moreover, these triplet repeats differ in size among siblings and often exhibit heterogeneity within an individual, suggesting that they are somatically generated.

Some trinucleotide repeat diseases result from the moderate expansion of a CAG triplet (which codes for Gln) in the protein-coding region of a gene. For example, in normal individuals, **huntingtin,** a polymorphic, ~3150-residue protein of unknown function, contains a stretch of 11 to 34 consecutive Gln residues beginning 17 residues from its N-terminus. However, in **Huntington's disease (HD),** this poly(Gln) tract

are composed of repeating DNA sequences (Section 25-3C). A variety of neurological diseases result from excessively reiterated trinucleotide sequences (see Box 28-1).

Highly Repetitive Sequences Are Tandemly Repeated Millions of Times. Nearly identical sequences up to 10 bp long that are tandemly repeated in clusters may be present at $>10^6$ copies per haploid genome. Approximately 3% of the human genome consists of **highly repetitive DNA sequences** (also known as **short tandem repeats**; **STRs**), with the greatest contribution (0.5%) provided by dinucleotide repeats, most frequently CA and TA. STRs appear to have arisen by template slippage during DNA replication. This occurs more frequently with short repeats, which therefore have a high degree of length polymorphism in the human population. Because the number of repeats at a given STR locus varies between individuals, analysis of STRs is widely used for DNA fingerprinting (Box 3-2). **Segmental duplications** are long stretches of DNA (1–200 kb) that are present in at least two locations in the genome.

Moderately Repetitive Sequences Arise from Transposons. Moderately repetitive DNA ($<10^6$ copies per haploid genome) occurs in segments of 100 to several thousand base pairs that are interspersed with larger blocks of unique DNA. Most of the repetitive sequences are the remnants of retrotransposons (transposable elements that propagate through the intermediate synthesis of RNA; Section 25-6C). Around 42% of the human genome consists of three types of retrotransposons (**Table 28-2**; **Fig. 28-8**):

1. **Long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs)** are 6- to 8-kb-long segments. The great majority of these molecular parasites have accumulated

has expanded to between 37 and 86 repeats. Synthetic poly(Gln) aggregates as β sheets that are linked by hydrogen bonds involving both their main chain and side chain amide groups.

Individuals with HD, an autosomal dominant condition, suffer progressive choreic (jerky and disordered) movements, cognitive decline, and emotional disturbances over a 15- to 20-year course that is invariably fatal. This devastating disease typically develops at around age 40, or earlier in individuals with high numbers of trinucleotide repeats. One of the hallmarks of HD, as well as of other neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, is the deposition of insoluble protein aggregates in the cytosol and nuclei of neurons (Section 6-5C). The appearance of these intracellular aggregates (presumably huntingtin and/or its proteolytic products in HD) often coincides with the onset of neurological symptoms, although it is unclear whether protein aggregation directly causes neuronal death and its attendant symptoms. The long incubation period before the symptoms of HD become evident is attributed to the lengthy nucleation time for aggregate formation, much like what we have seen occurs in the formation of amyloid fibrils (Section 6-5C).

The expansion of trinucleotide repeats occurs by an unknown mechanism. One theory, that additional nucleotides are introduced by the slippage of DNA polymerases during replication, is inconsistent with the gradual accumulation of trinucleotide repeats over time in cells such as neurons that normally do not divide. Another possibility is that the additional nucleotides are introduced during DNA repair processes (which are ongoing and independent of DNA replication) and may result from hairpin formation within the trinucleotide repeat region. These intrastrand base-paired structures (see figure) may lead to misalignment of DNA strands and the polymerization of additional nucleotides to fill in the gaps.

TABLE 28-2 Moderately Repetitive Sequences in the Human Genome

Type of Repeat	Length (bp)	Number of Copies (× 1000)	Percentage of Genome
LINEs	6000–8000	868	20.4
SINEs	100–300	1558	13.1
LTR retrotransposons	1500–11,000	443	8.3
DNA transposons	80–3000	294	2.8
Total			44.8

Source: International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium, *Nature* **409,** 860 (2001).

FIG. 28-8 The nucleotide sequence content of the human genome. [After Alberts, B., Johnson, A., Lewis, J., Morgan, D., Raff, M., Roberts, K. and Walter, P., *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (6th ed.), p. 218, Garland Science (2015).]

mutations that render them transcriptionally inactive, but a few still appear capable of further transposition. Several hereditary diseases are caused by insertion of a LINE into a gene.

2. **Short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs)** consist of 100- to 400-bp elements. The most common SINEs in the human genome are members of the ***Alu* family,** which are so named because most of their ~300-bp segments contain a cleavage site for the restriction endonuclease AluI (AGCT; Table 3-2). The globin gene cluster contains several *Alu* elements (Fig. 28-6).
3. Retrotransposons with **long terminal repeats (LTRs).**

In addition, the human genome contains DNA transposons that resemble bacterial transposons. Overall, ~45% of the human genome consists of widely dispersed and almost entirely inactive transposable elements.

No function has been unequivocally assigned to **moderately repetitive DNA**, which therefore has been termed **selfish** or **junk DNA**. This DNA apparently is a molecular parasite that, over many generations, has disseminated itself throughout the genome through transposition. The theory of natural selection suggests that the increased metabolic burden imposed by the replication of an otherwise harmless selfish DNA would eventually lead to its elimination. Yet for slowly growing eukaryotes, the relative disadvantage of replicating an additional 100 bp of selfish DNA in an ~1-billion-bp genome would be so slight that its rate of elimination would be balanced by its rate of propagation. *Because unexpressed sequences are subject to little selective pressure, they accumulate mutations at a greater rate than do expressed sequences.*

FIG. 28-9 A phylogenetic tree indicating the lines of descent among 26 representative human populations. The tree is based on the sequences of 29 polymorphic loci. The major groups of human populations are (A) Africans, (B) Caucasians, (C) Greater Asians, (D) Amerindians, and (E) Australopapuans. The number beside each of the branches indicates their relative lengths and is therefore indicative of the genetic distances between the related populations and thus the times between their divergence. [Based on a drawing by Masatoshi Nei, Pennsylvania State University.]

? Which groups are more similar: A and B or C and D?

DNA Polymorphisms Can Establish Genealogies. Unexpressed sequences, which are subject to little selective pressure, evolve so much faster than expressed sequences that they accumulate significant numbers of sequence **polymorphisms** (variations) within a single species. Consequently, the evolutionary relationships among populations within a species can be established by determining how a series of polymorphic DNA sequences are distributed among them. For example, Masatoshi Nei inferred the genealogy of 26 representative human populations from around the world from the sequence variations in 29 polymorphic loci. The resulting phylogenetic tree (**Fig. 28-9**; *opposite*) indicates that non-African (Eurasian) populations are much more closely related to each other than they are to African populations. Fossil evidence indicates that anatomically modern humans arose in Africa ~200,000 years ago and spread throughout that continent. The phylogenetic tree therefore suggests that all Eurasian populations are descended from a surprisingly small "founder population" (perhaps only a few hundred individuals) that left Africa ~100,000 years ago. A similar analysis indicates that the sickle-cell variant of the β-globin gene (Section 7-1E) arose on at least three separate occasions in geographically distinct regions of Africa.

CHECKPOINT

- What is the relationship between genome size and gene number in different organisms?
- What are some factors that allow humans to get by with only slightly more genes than invertebrates?
- What is the purpose of cataloging SNPs and doing genome-wide association studies?
- What are the origins and functions of gene clusters?
- Summarize the relationship between genome size, repetitive DNA, transcribed DNA, and protein-coding exons in the human genome.
- What is the source of the repetitive DNA in the human genome?

2 Regulation of Prokaryotic Gene Expression

KEY CONCEPTS

- The *lac* repressor prevents or allows transcription of the *lac* operon.
- cAMP stimulates transcription of catabolite-repressed operons.
- Attenuation links amino acid availability to operon expression.
- A riboswitch changes its conformation to regulate gene expression.

A complete genome sequence reveals the metabolic capabilities of an organism, but *gene sequences alone do not necessarily indicate when or where the encoded molecules are produced.* The route from gene sequence to fully functional gene product offers many potential points for regulation, but *in prokaryotes, gene expression is primarily controlled at the level of transcription.* This is perhaps because prokaryotic mRNAs have lifetimes of only a few minutes, so translational control is less necessary. In this section, we examine a few well-documented examples of gene regulation in prokaryotes. In the following section, we will consider how eukaryotic cells regulate gene expression.

A The *lac* Operon Is Controlled by a Repressor

Bacteria adapt to their environments by producing enzymes that metabolize certain nutrients only when those substances are available. For example, *E. coli* cells grown in the absence of lactose are initially unable to metabolize the disaccharide. To do so they require two proteins: **β-galactosidase,** which catalyzes the hydrolysis of lactose to its component monosaccharides (*at right*), and **galactoside permease** (also known as **lactose permease;** Section 10-3D), which transports lactose into the cell. Cells grown in the absence of lactose contain only a few molecules of the proteins. Yet a few minutes after lactose is introduced into their medium, the cells increase the rate at which they synthesize the proteins by ~1000-fold and maintain that pace until lactose is no longer available. *This ability to produce a series of proteins only when the substances they metabolize are present permits the bacteria to adapt to their environment without the debilitating need to continuously synthesize large quantities of otherwise unnecessary enzymes.*

WileyPLUS Learning Space

See Guided Exploration
The regulation of gene expression by the *lac* repressor system

Lactose or one of its metabolic products must somehow act as an **inducer** to trigger the synthesis of the above proteins. The physiological inducer of the lactose system, the lactose isomer **1,6-allolactose**,

1,6-Allolactose

arises from lactose's occasional transglycosylation by β-galactosidase. Most *in vitro* studies of lactose metabolism use **isopropylthiogalactoside (IPTG)**,

Isopropylthiogalactoside (IPTG)

a synthetic inducer that structurally resembles allolactose but is not degraded by β-galactosidase. Natural and synthetic inducers also stimulate the synthesis of **thiogalactoside transacetylase,** an enzyme whose physiological role is unknown.

The genes specifying β-galactosidase, galactoside permease, and thiogalactoside transacetylase, designated *Z, Y,* and *A,* respectively, are contiguously arranged in the *lac* operon (Fig. 26-5). All three structural genes are translated from a single mRNA transcript. A nearby gene, *I,* encodes the ***lac* repressor,** a protein that inhibits the synthesis of the three *lac* proteins.

lac* Repressor Recognizes Operator Sequences.** The target of the *lac* repressor is a region of the *lac* operon known as its **operator**, which lies near the beginning of the β-galactosidase gene. *In the absence of inducer, lac repressor specifically binds to the operator to prevent the transcription of mRNA* (**Fig. 28-10*a). *On binding inducer, the repressor dissociates from the operator, thereby permitting the transcription and subsequent translation of the lac enzymes* (**Fig. 28-10*b***).

FIG. 28-10 The expression of the *lac* operon. (*a*) In the absence of inducer, the repressor (the product of the *I* gene) binds to the operator (O_1), thereby preventing transcription of the *lac* operon from the promoter (*P*). (*b*) On binding inducer, the repressor dissociates from the operator, which permits the transcription and subsequent translation of the *lac* structural genes (*Z, Y,* and *A,* which respectively encode β-galactosidase, lactose permease, and thiogalactoside transacetylase).

? Predict the effect of a mutation in *I, P, O, Z,* or *Y*.

FIG. 28-11 The base sequence of the *lac* operator O_1. Its symmetry-related regions, which comprise 28 of its 35 bp, are shaded in red.

The *lac* operon actually contains three operator sequences to which *lac* repressor binds with high affinity, known as O_1, O_2, and O_3. O_1, the primary repressor-binding site, was identified through its protection by *lac* repressor from nuclease digestion. The 26-bp protected sequence lies within a nearly twofold symmetrical sequence of 35 bp (**Fig. 28-11**). O_1 overlaps the transcription start site of the *lacZ* gene. The operator sequence O_2 is centered 401 bp downstream, fully within the *lacZ* gene, and O_3 is centered 93 bp upstream of O_1, at the end of the *lacI* gene. Genetic engineering experiments show that all three operator sequences must be present for maximum repression *in vivo*.

The observed rate constant for the binding of *lac* repressor to *lac* operator is $k \approx 10^{10}\ \mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This "on" rate is much greater than that calculated for the diffusion-controlled process in solution: $k \approx 10^{7}\ \mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for molecules the size of *lac* repressor. Since it is impossible for a reaction to proceed faster than its diffusion-controlled rate, *lac* repressor must not encounter operator from solution in a random three-dimensional search. Rather, it appears that *lac repressor finds its operator by nonspecifically binding to DNA and sliding along it in a far more efficient one-dimensional search.*

lac* Repressor Binds Two DNA Segments Simultaneously.** The isolation of *lac* repressor, by Beno Müller-Hill and Walter Gilbert in 1966, was exceedingly difficult because the repressor constitutes only ~0.002% of the protein in wild-type *E. coli*. Molecular cloning techniques (Section 3-5) later made it possible to produce large quantities of *lac* repressor. Nevertheless, it was not until 1996 that Ponzy Lu and Mitchell Lewis reported the complete three-dimensional structure of the protein. Each 360-residue subunit of the repressor homotetramer has four functional units (**Fig. 28-12*a):

1. An N-terminal "headpiece," which contains a helix–turn–helix (HTH) motif that resembles those in other prokaryotic DNA-binding proteins (Section 24-4B) and which specifically binds operator DNA sequences.
2. A linker, which contains a short α helix that acts as a hinge and also binds DNA. In the absence of DNA, the hinge helices of the *lac* repressor tetramer are disordered, allowing the headpieces to move freely.
3. A two-domain core, which binds inducers such as IPTG.
4. A C-terminal α helix, which is required for the quaternary structure of *lac* repressor. In the tetramer, all four C-terminal helices associate. Surprisingly, the repressor homotetramer does not exhibit the D_2 symmetry of nearly all homotetrameric proteins of known structure (three mutually perpendicular twofold axes; Section 6-3) but is instead V-shaped (with only twofold symmetry) and is therefore best described as a dimer of dimers (**Fig. 28-12*b***).

FIG. 28-12 X-Ray structure of the *E. coli lac* repressor. (*a*) Ribbon diagram of the *lac* repressor monomer. The DNA-binding domain containing its helix–turn–helix motif is red; the hinge helix is yellow; the inducer-binding core is light and dark blue; and the tetramerization helix is purple. (*b*) The *lac* repressor tetramer bound to two 21-bp segments of DNA. The protein subunits are shown in ribbon form in yellow, cyan, green, and orange and the DNA segments are drawn in space-filling form with C white, N blue, O red, and P orange. PDBid 1LBG.

Courtesy of Ponzy Lu and Mitchell Lewis with coordinates generated by Benjamin Wieder, University of Pennsylvania

Courtesy of Ponzy Lu and Mitchell Lewis, University of Pennsylvania

FIG. 28-13 Model of the 93-bp loop formed when the *lac* repressor tetramer binds to O_1 and O_3. The proteins are represented by their C_α backbones, and the DNA is shown in skeletal form with its sugar–phosphate backbones traced by helical ribbons. The model was constructed from the X-ray structure of *lac* repressor (*red*) in complex with two 21-bp operator DNA segments (*orange*) and the X-ray structure of CAP (*purple*) in complex with its 30-bp target DNA (*cyan*). The remainder of the DNA loop was generated by applying a smooth curvature to B-DNA (*white*) with the −10 and −35 regions of the *lac* promoter highlighted in green.

? Where would RNA polymerase bind?

The X-ray structure of a complex between *lac* repressor and a 21-bp synthetic DNA containing a high-affinity binding sequence reveals that each repressor tetramer binds two DNA segments (Fig. 28-12*b*). The repressor's HTH motif fits snugly into the major groove, bending the DNA so that it has a radius of curvature of 60 Å. The two bound DNA segments are laterally separated by ~25 Å.

IPTG binds to the repressor core at the interface between the two domains colored light and dark blue in Fig. 28-12*a*. This binding induces a conformational change in the repressor dimer that is communicated through the hinge helices to the headpieces. This causes the two DNA-binding domains in each dimer to separate by ~3.5 Å so that they can no longer simultaneously bind DNA, thereby causing the repressor to dissociate from the DNA.

The *lac* repressor is an allosteric protein: IPTG binding to one subunit alters the DNA-binding activity of its dimeric partner (but not of the other dimer in the repressor tetramer). Since the allosteric transition occurs within the dimer, why does full repressor activity require a tetramer? Model-building studies provide a plausible answer to this puzzle. *A lac repressor tetramer simultaneously binds to two operators so that it brings them together, forming a loop of DNA either 93 or 401 bp long, depending on whether the repressor binds O_1 and O_3 or O_1 and O_2.* The formation of a stable looped structure may require additional DNA-binding proteins; one candidate is **CAP** (see below), a DNA-binding protein that binds to the DNA between O_1 and O_3 (**Fig. 28-13**). In the model shown in Fig. 28-13, the *lac* promoter is part of the looped DNA.

It was widely assumed for years that *lac* repressor simply physically obstructs the binding of RNA polymerase (RNAP) to the *lac* promoter. However, experiments have demonstrated that RNAP can bind to the promoter in the presence of the repressor but cannot properly initiate transcription. Dissociation of the repressor in response to an inducer would allow unimpeded transcription. If RNAP were already bound to the *lac* promoter, transcription could begin immediately. Nevertheless, in the model shown in Fig. 28-13, the contact surface for RNAP is on the inside of the DNA loop, which presumably would preclude the binding of RNAP. Further studies are needed to resolve this apparent contradiction.

B Catabolite-Repressed Operons Can Be Activated

Glucose is *E. coli's* metabolic fuel of choice; adequate amounts of glucose prevent the full expression of genes specifying proteins involved in the fermentation of numerous other catabolites, including lactose, arabinose, and galactose, even when they are present in high concentrations. This phenomenon, which is known as **catabolite repression**, prevents the wasteful duplication of energy-producing enzyme systems. Catabolite repression is overcome in the absence of glucose by a cAMP-dependent mechanism. cAMP levels are low in the presence of glucose but rise when glucose becomes scarce.

CAP–cAMP Complex Stimulates the Transcription of Catabolite-Repressed Operons. Certain *E. coli* mutants, in which the absence of glucose does not relieve catabolite repression, are missing a cAMP-binding protein that is synonymously named **catabolite gene activator protein (CAP)** or **cAMP receptor protein (CRP).** CAP is a dimeric protein of identical 210-residue subunits that undergoes a large conformational change on binding cAMP. The CAP–cAMP complex, but not CAP alone, binds to the promoter region of the *lac* operon (among others) and stimulates transcription in the absence of repressor. CAP is therefore a **positive regulator** (it turns transcription on), in contrast to *lac* repressor, which is a **negative regulator** (it turns transcription off).

The X-ray structure of CAP–cAMP in complex with a 30-bp segment of DNA, whose sequence resembles the CAP-binding site, reveals that the CAP

FIG. 28-14 X-Ray structures of CAP–cAMP–dsDNA complexes. The dsDNA and cAMP in these twofold symmetric complexes, viewed here with their twofold axes horizontal, are colored with DNA C white, cAMP C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. (*a*) CAP–cAMP in complex with a palindromic 30-bp self-complementary DNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form with its identical subunits pink and blue and with their C-terminal domains in darker shades. The DNA is shown in stick form with successive P atoms in the same strand connected by orange rods and with the cAMP drawn in space-filling form. (*b*) CAP–cAMP in complex with a 44-bp palindromic DNA and four αCTD subunits. The DNA, CAP, and cAMP are viewed as in Part *a* with the DNA drawn in space-filling form. The αCTD subunits are drawn in ribbon form with the $\alpha CTD^{CAP,DNA}$ green and the αCTD^{DNA} dark green. [Part *a* based on an X-ray structure by Thomas Steitz, Yale University. PDBid 1CGP. Part *b* based on an X-ray structure by Helen Berman and Richard Ebright, Rutgers University. PDBid 1LB2.]

dimer binds in successive turns of DNA's major groove via its two HTH motifs so as to bend the DNA by ~90° around the protein dimer (**Fig. 28-14*a***). The bend arises from two ~45° kinks in the DNA between the fifth and sixth bases out from the complex's twofold axis in both directions and results in a closing of the major groove and in an enormous widening of the minor groove at each kink.

Why is the CAP–cAMP complex necessary to stimulate the transcription of its target operons? And how does it do so? The *lac* operon has a weak (low efficiency) promoter; its −10 and −35 sequences (TATGTT and TTTACA; Fig. 26-6) differ significantly from the corresponding consensus sequences of strong (high-efficiency) promoters (TATAAT and TTGACA; Fig. 26-6). Such weak promoters evidently require some sort of help for efficient transcriptional initiation.

Richard Ebright has shown that CAP interacts directly with RNAP via the 85-residue C-terminal domain of RNAP's α subunit (αCTD) in a way that stimulates RNAP to initiate transcription from a nearby promoter. The αCTD, which is flexibly linked to the rest of the α subunit, binds dsDNA nonspecifically but does so with higher affinity at A + T–rich sites. The X-ray structure of CAP–cAMP in complex with the *E. coli* αCTD and a 44-bp palindromic DNA containing the 22-bp CAP–cAMP binding site and 5′-AAAAAA-3′ at each end, determined by Helen Berman and Ebright, reveals how these components interact (**Fig. 28-14*b***). The twofold symmetric CAP–cAMP–αCTD complex contains two differently located pairs of αCTDs. Each member of the pair designated

$\alpha CTD^{CAP,DNA}$ binds both to CAP and in the minor groove of a 6-bp segment of the DNA (5′-AAAAAG-3′) centered 19 bp from the center of the DNA (each member of the other pair of αCTDs, designated αCTD^{DNA}, interacts with the DNA but does not contact other protein molecules). The common portions of the two CAP complexes pictured in Fig. 28-14*a,b* are closely superimposable, thereby indicating that the conformation of CAP and its interaction with DNA are not significantly altered by its association with the αCTD. Evidently, CAP–cAMP transcriptionally activates RNAP via a simple "adhesive" mechanism that facilitates and/or stabilizes its interaction with the promoter DNA.

The model for *lac* repressor binding (Fig. 28-13) paradoxically includes CAP, which is an activator. This dual binding may be a mechanism for conserving cellular energy in the absence of both glucose and lactose. If lactose became available, *lac* repressor would dissociate, and CAP–cAMP would be poised to promote transcription of the *lac* operon.

C Attenuation Regulates Transcription Termination

The *E. coli* ***trp*** **operon** encodes five polypeptides (which form three enzymes) that mediate the synthesis of tryptophan from chorismate (Section 21-5B). The five *trp* operon genes (*A–E;* **Fig. 28-15**) are coordinately expressed under the control of the *trp* repressor, which binds L-tryptophan to form a complex that specifically binds to the *trp* operator to reduce the rate of *trp* operon transcription 70-fold (Section 24-4B). In this system, tryptophan acts as a **corepressor**; its presence prevents what would be superfluous tryptophan biosynthesis.

The *trp* repressor–operator system was at first thought to fully account for the regulation of tryptophan biosynthesis in *E. coli.* However, the discovery of *trp* deletion mutants located downstream from the operator (*trpO*) that increase *trp*

FIG. 28-15 Genetic map of the *E. coli trp* operon indicating the enzymes it specifies and the reactions they catalyze. The gene product of *trpC* catalyzes two sequential reactions in the synthesis of tryptophan (Section 21-5B). [After Yanofsky, C., *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **218,** 1027 (1971).]

operon expression sixfold indicated the existence of an additional transcriptional control element. This element is located ~30 to 60 nucleotides upstream of the structural gene *trpE* in a 162-nucleotide **leader sequence** (*trpL;* Fig. 28-15).

When tryptophan is scarce, the entire 6720-nucleotide polycistronic *trp* mRNA, including the *trpL* sequence, is synthesized. When the encoded enzymes begin synthesizing tryptophan, the rate of *trp* operon transcription decreases as tryptophan binds to *trp* repressor. Of the *trp* mRNA that is transcribed, however, an increasing proportion consists of only a 140-nucleotide segment corresponding to the 5′ end of *trpL. The availability of tryptophan therefore results in the premature termination of trp operon transcription.* The control element responsible for this effect is consequently termed an **attenuator**.

The *trp* Attenuator's Transcription Terminator Is Masked when Tryptophan Is Scarce. The attenuator transcript contains four complementary segments that can form one of two sets of mutually exclusive base-paired hairpins (**Fig. 28-16**). Segments 3 and 4 together with the succeeding residues constitute a transcription terminator (Section 26-1D): a G + C–rich hairpin followed by several sequential U's (compare with Fig. 26-10). Transcription rarely proceeds beyond this termination site unless tryptophan is in short supply.

How does a shortage of tryptophan cause transcription to proceed past the terminator? A section of the leader sequence, which includes segment 1 of the attenuator, is translated to form a 14-residue polypeptide that contains two consecutive Trp residues (Fig. 28-16, *left*). The position of this particularly rare dipeptide (~1% of the residues in *E. coli* proteins are Trp) provided an important clue to the mechanism of attenuation, as proposed by Charles

FIG. 28-16 Alternative secondary structures of *trpL* mRNA. The formation of the base-paired 2 • 3 (antiterminator) hairpin (*right*) precludes formation of the 1 • 2 and 3 • 4 (terminator) hairpins (*left*) and vice versa. Attenuation results in the premature termination of transcription immediately after nucleotide 140 when the 3 • 4 hairpin is present. The arrow indicates the mRNA site past which RNA polymerase pauses until approached by an active ribosome. [After Fisher, R.F. and Yanofsky C., *J. Biol. Chem.* **258,** 8147 (1983).]

FIG. 28-17 Attenuation in the *trp* operon. (*a*) When Trp–tRNA^{Trp} is abundant, the ribosome translates *trpL* mRNA. The presence of the ribosome on segment 2 prevents the formation of the base-paired 2 • 3 hairpin. The 3 • 4 hairpin, an essential component of the transcriptional terminator, can then form, thus aborting transcription. (*b*) When Trp–tRNA^{Trp} is scarce, the ribosome stalls on the tandem Trp codons of segment 1. This situation permits the formation of the 2 • 3 hairpin, which precludes the formation of the 3 • 4 hairpin. RNA polymerase therefore transcribes through this unformed terminator and continues transcribing the *trp* operon.

? Could attenuation occur if mRNA segment 1 or segment 4 were missing?

Yanofsky (Fig. 28-17): An RNA polymerase that has escaped repression initiates *trp* operon transcription. Soon after the ribosomal initiation site of the *trpL* sequence has been transcribed, a ribosome attaches to it and begins translating the leader peptide. When tryptophan is abundant (i.e., there is a plentiful supply of Trp–tRNA^{Trp}), the ribosome follows closely behind the transcribing RNA polymerase. Indeed, RNA polymerase pauses past position 92 of the transcript and continues transcribing only on the approach of a ribosome, thereby ensuring the close coupling of transcription and translation. The progress of the ribosome prevents the formation of the 2 • 3 hairpin and permits the formation of the 3 • 4 hairpin, which terminates transcription (Fig. 28-17*a*). When tryptophan is scarce, however, the ribosome stalls at the tandem UGG codons (which specify Trp) because of the lack of Trp–tRNA^{Trp}. As transcription continues, the newly synthesized segments 2 and 3 form a hairpin because the stalled ribosome prevents the otherwise competitive formation of the 1 • 2 hairpin (Fig. 28-17*b*). The 3 • 4 hairpin does not form, allowing transcription to proceed past this region and into the remainder of the *trp* operon. Thus, attenuation regulates *trp* operon transcription according to the tryptophan supply.

The leader peptides of the five other amino acid–biosynthesizing operons known to be regulated by attenuation are all rich in their corresponding amino acid residues. For example, the *E. coli* ***his* operon,** which specifies enzymes synthesizing histidine, has seven tandem His residues in its 16-residue leader peptide, whereas the ***ilv* operon,** which specifies enzymes participating in isoleucine, leucine, and valine biosynthesis, has five Ile, three Leu, and six Val residues in its 32-residue leader peptide. The leader transcripts of these operons resemble that of the *trp* operon in their capacity to form two alternative secondary structures, one of which contains a trailing transcription terminator.

D Riboswitches Are Metabolite-Sensing RNAs

We have just seen how the formation of secondary structure in a growing RNA transcript can regulate gene expression through attenuation. The conformational flexibility of mRNA also allows it to regulate genes by directly interacting with certain cellular metabolites, thereby eliminating the need for sensor proteins such as the *lac* repressor, CAP, and the *trp* repressor.

In *E. coli,* the biosynthesis of thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP; Section 15-3B) requires the action of several proteins whose levels vary according to the cell's need for TPP. In at least two of the relevant genes the untranslated regions at the 5′ end of the mRNA include a highly conserved sequence called the ***thi* box.** The susceptibility of the *thi* box to chemical or enzymatic cleavage, as Ronald Breaker

showed, differs in the presence and absence of TPP, suggesting that the RNA changes its secondary structure when TPP binds to it (the binding of a metabolite by RNA is not unprecedented; synthetic oligonucleotides known as **aptamers** bind certain molecules with high specificity and affinity). The TPP-sensing mRNA element has been dubbed a **riboswitch**.

The predicted secondary structure of the TPP-sensing riboswitch and its proposed mechanism are shown in Fig. 28-18*a*. In the absence of TPP, the mRNA assumes a conformation that allows a ribosome to begin translation. In the presence of TPP, an alternative secondary structure masks its Shine–Dalgarno sequence (Section 27-4A) so that the ribosome cannot initiate the mRNA's translation. Thus, *the concentration of a metabolite can regulate the expression of genes required for its synthesis.* The X-ray structure of the 80-nt TPP-binding domain from the *E. coli* TPP-sensing riboswitch, determined by Breaker and Dinshaw Patel, reveals an intricately folded RNA that binds TPP in an extended conformation (Fig. 28-18*b*).

More than 20 types of bacterial riboswitches have as yet been identified, including those that regulate the expression of enzymes involved in the synthesis of coenzyme B_{12} (Fig. 20-17), riboflavin (Fig. 14-13), and *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM; Fig. 21-18). These collectively regulate >2% of the genes in certain bacteria. In some cases, metabolite binding to the mRNA controls the formation of an internal transcription termination site so that transcription beyond this site proceeds only when the metabolite is absent. In others, a ribozyme that forms a portion of an mRNA is activated by the binding of a metabolite to self-cleave, thereby inactivating the mRNA. Plants and fungi also contain riboswitches. The fact that the interaction of riboswitches with their effectors does not require the

FIG. 28-18 Structure of the TPP-sensing riboswitch from *E. coli*. (*a*) The predicted secondary structure of a 165-residue segment at the 5′ end of the *thiM* gene is shown in the absence (*left*) and presence (*right*) of TPP. The TPP-binding conformation masks the Shine–Dalgarno sequence (*purple*) required by the ribosome to initiate translation at the AUG sequence (*red*) just downstream. [After Winkler, W., Nahvi, A., and Breaker, R.R., *Nature* **419,** 952 (2002).] (*b*) The X-ray structure of the riboswitch's TPP-sensing domain. The RNA is drawn in cartoon form with its sugar–phosphate backbone represented by an orange rod and its bases represented by paddles with C green, N blue, and O red. The TPP is drawn in space-filling form with C cyan, N blue, O red, and S yellow. Mg^{2+} ions are represented by lavender spheres. [Based on an X-ray structure by Ronald Breaker, Yale University; and Dinshaw Patel, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York. PDBid 2GDI.]

participation of proteins suggests that they are relics of the RNA world (Box 24-3) and hence among the oldest regulatory systems.

Certain riboswitches are the targets of antibiotics. For example, the antibiotic **pyrithiamine** is converted by the bacterial cell to **pyrithiamine pyrophosphate,** a TPP analog,

Pyrithiamine pyrophosphate

Thiamine pyrophosphate (TTP)

which binds to the TPP-sensing riboswitch to inhibit TPP synthesis. Since pyrithiamine pyrophosphate cannot function as a coenzyme (Section 15-3B), this kills the cell.

CHECKPOINT

- What is the regulatory advantage of arranging genes in operons?
- Describe the regulation of the *lac* operon by *lac* repressor and CAP.
- Why does the *lac* operon include more than one operator sequence?
- Compare regulation by the *lac* repressor and the *trp* repressor.
- How does the conformation of mRNA regulate gene expression by attenuation?
- Explain how riboswitches can turn gene expression on and off.

3 Regulation of Eukaryotic Gene Expression

KEY CONCEPTS

- Chromatin structure, as influenced by chromatin-remodeling complexes, histone modification, and DNA methylation, controls gene expression.
- Numerous proteins and DNA elements interact to regulate transcription initiation in eukaryotes.
- mRNA degradation limits gene expression.
- Somatic recombination and hypermutation in immunoglobulin gene segments contributes to antibody diversity.

The general principles that govern the expression of prokaryotic genes apply also to eukaryotic genes: *The expression of specific genes may be actively inhibited or stimulated through the effects of proteins that bind to DNA or RNA.* As in prokaryotes, the majority of known regulatory mechanisms act at the level of gene transcription, but unlike prokaryotic control systems, the eukaryotic mechanisms must contend with much larger amounts of DNA that is packaged in seemingly inaccessible structures. In this section, we describe several of the strategies whereby eukaryotic cells manage their genetic information.

Don W. Fawcett/Photo Researchers, Inc.

FIG. 28-19 Electron micrograph of a B lymphocyte. The large body in the center of the cell is the nucleus in which heterochromatin (*black*) adheres to the inner nuclear membrane and the transcriptionally active euchromatin (*gray*) is more centrally located. The small black body at the center of the nucleus is the nucleolus. Also note the extensive rough endoplasmic reticulum filling the cytoplasm of this antibody-producing cell (Section 7-3). Compare this figure with Fig. 1-8.

? Why does an antibody-producing cell have larger amounts of ER and Golgi apparatus than most other types of cells?

A Chromatin Structure Influences Gene Expression

The majority of DNA in multicellular organisms is not expressed. This includes the portion of the genome that does not encode protein or RNA, as well as the genes whose expression is inappropriate for a particular cell type. Although nearly all the cells in an organism contain identical sets of DNA, genes are expressed in a highly tissue-specific manner. For example, most pancreatic cells synthesize and secrete digestive enzymes, but the pancreatic islet cells synthesize insulin or glucagon instead (Section 22-2).

Nonexpressed DNA is typically highly condensed in a form known as **heterochromatin** (Fig. 28-19). An extreme example of this is the complete inactivation of one of the two X chromosomes in female mammals (Box 28-2).

Box 28-2 Perspectives in Biochemistry X Chromosome Inactivation

Female mammalian cells contain two X chromosomes, whereas male cells have one X and one Y chromosome. *Female somatic cells, however, maintain only one of their X chromosomes in a transcriptionally active state.* Consequently, both males and females make approximately equal amounts of X chromosome-encoded gene products, a phenomenon known as **dosage compensation.**

The inactive X chromosome is visible as a highly condensed and darkly staining **Barr body** at the periphery of the cell nucleus.

[From Moore, K.L. and Barr, M.L., Lancet **2**, 57 (1955).]

[Hank Delespinasse/Age Fotostock America, Inc.]

In marsupials (pouched mammals, such as kangaroos), the Barr body is always the paternally inherited X chromosome, but in placental mammals, one randomly selected X chromosome in every somatic cell is inactivated when the embryo consists of only a few cells. The progeny of each of these cells maintain the same inactive X chromosome. Female placental animals are therefore mosaics of cloned groups of cells in which the active X chromosome is either paternally or maternally inherited. The calico cat, for example, with its patches of black and orange fur, is almost always a female cat whose two X chromosomes specify the different coat colors.

The X chromosome contains the **X-inactivation center (XIC),** which has multiple regulatory sites together with the ***Xist*** gene, which occurs only in placental mammals and is transcribed only from the inactive X chromosome (Xi). The 25-kb *Xist* RNA binds at or near XIC where it induces the heterochromatization of that region of Xi via a process described below. The resulting heterochromatin recruits additional *Xist* RNA to a neighboring site, which in turn, causes its heterochromatization. This process propagates in both directions from XIC until Xi is "painted" over its whole length by *Xist* RNA and the entire chromosome is heterochromatized and thereby transcriptionally inactivated at all sites but *Xist.* XIC is necessary and sufficient to initiate X inactivation as is demonstrated by the observations that the insertion of XIC into an autosome results in its inactivation and X chromosomes that lack XIC are not inactivated.

Localized *Xist* RNA does not by itself inactivate Xi. However, *Xist* RNA recruits enzyme systems that derivatize histones in a way that inactivates their associated genes (histone modification is discussed below). In addition, *Xist* RNA recruits the H2A variant **macroH2A1,** which has a large C-terminal globular domain that H2A lacks. Some or all of these changes are required for X chromosome inactivation and, moreover, are responsible for maintaining Xi's heterochromatic state in subsequent cell generations. In fact, once an X chromosome has been inactivated, *Xist* RNA plays only a minor role in the maintenance of this state.

What is the mechanism through which one X chromosome is inactivated but not the other? XIC also contains a gene named ***Tsix*** (*Xist* spelled backwards) that overlaps *Xist* but is transcribed from the opposite DNA strand. *Tsix* RNA therefore forms a hybrid RNA–DNA double helix with *Xist* that prevents its transcription and vice versa. Thus Xi expresses *Xist* but not *Tsix,* whereas the active X chromosome (Xa) expresses *Tsix* but not *Xist.* If *Tsix* is inactivated on one X chromosome, that chromosome will be inactivated. Apparently, the balance of transcription between *Xist* and *Tsix* selects which X chromosome is inactivated.

Transcriptionally active DNA, which is known as **euchromatin**, is less condensed, presumably to provide access to the transcription machinery. In fact, Harold Weintraub demonstrated that transcriptionally active chromatin is more susceptible to digestion by pancreatic DNase I than is transcriptionally inactive chromatin. Yet nuclease sensitivity apparently reflects a gene's potential for transcription rather than transcription itself.

For genetic information to be expressed, the transcription machinery must gain access to the DNA, which is packaged in nucleosomes (Section 24-5A). Not surprisingly, nucleosomes are not fixed structures but can undergo remodeling. In addition, histones can be covalently modified to alter how they interact with other cellular components, and the canonical (standard) histones can be replaced by histones with specialized functions.

Chromatin-Remodeling Complexes Shuffle Nucleosomes. Sequence specific DNA-binding proteins must gain access to their target DNAs before they can bind to them. Yet nearly all DNA in eukaryotes is sequestered by nucleosomes if not by higher order chromatin. How then do the proteins that bind to DNA segments gain access to their target DNAs? The answer, which has only become apparent since the mid-1990s, is that *chromatin contains ATP-driven complexes that remodel nucleosomes,* that is, they disrupt the interactions between histones and DNA in nucleosomes to make the DNA more accessible. This may cause the

FIG. 28-20 Model of the RSC with a bound nucleosome. The X-ray structure of the nucleosome (with the proteins shown as orange ribbons and the DNA backbone in light green) was fitted into a cryo-EM–based image of the RSC (*gray*). The two views show the back and front of the complex. The DNA's dyad (twofold) axis is represented by a blue rod, and two possible binding sites for the ATP-hydrolyzing translocase subunit are indicated.

histone octamer to slide along the DNA strand to a new location or even relocate to a different DNA strand. Thus, *these* ***chromatin-remodeling complexes*** *impose a "fluid" state on chromatin that maintains the DNA's overall packaging but transiently exposes individual sequences to interacting factors.* They may also partially or fully unwrap the DNA from a nucleosome and exchange the histones in a nucleosome for their subtypes.

Chromatin-remodeling complexes consist of multiple subunits. The first of them to be characterized was the yeast **SWI/SNF** complex, so called because it is essential for mating type switching (SWI for *swi*tching defective) and for growth on sucrose (SNF for *s*ucrose *n*on*f*ermenter). SWI/SNF, an 1150-kD complex of 11 different types of subunits, is essential for the expression of only ~3% of yeast genes and is not required for cell viability. However, a related complex named **RSC** (for *r*emodels the *s*tructure of *c*hromatin) is ~100 times more abundant in yeast and is its only chromatin-remodeling complex required for cell viability. RSC shares two of its 17 subunits with SWI/SNF, and many of their remaining subunits are homologs, including their ATPase subunits. All eukaryotes contain multiple chromatin-remodeling complexes.

Biochemical studies indicate that the RSC binds tightly to nucleosomes in a 1:1 complex. Indeed, cryoelectron microscopy–based images of the yeast RSC reveal that it has an irregular shape containing a large central cavity that is remarkably complementary in size and shape to a single nucleosome core particle (**Fig. 28-20**).

The simultaneous release of all of the many interactions holding DNA to a histone octamer would require an enormous free energy input and hence is unlikely to occur. How, then, do chromatin-remodeling complexes function? Their various ATP-hydrolyzing translocase subunits share a region of homology with helicases (Section 25-2B), although they lack helicase activity. Nevertheless, it seems plausible that, like helicases, chromatin-remodeling complexes "walk" up DNA strands as driven by ATP hydrolysis. If such a complex were somehow tethered to a histone, this would put torsional strain on the DNA in the nucleosome, thereby decreasing its local twist (DNA supercoiling is discussed in Section 24-1C). The region of decreased twist could diffuse along the DNA wrapped around the nucleosome, thereby transiently loosening the histone octamer's grip on a segment of DNA. The torsional strain might also be partially accommodated as a writhe, which would lift a segment of DNA off the nucleosome's surface. In either case, the resulting DNA distortion could diffuse around the surface of the nucleosome in a wave that would locally and transiently release the DNA from the histone octamer as it passed (**Fig. 28-21**). Multiple cycles of ATP hydrolysis would send multiple DNA-loosening waves around the nucleosome, thereby sliding the nucleosome along the DNA and providing DNA-binding proteins access to the DNA.

HMG Proteins Are Architectural Proteins That Help Regulate Gene Expression. The variation of a given gene's transcriptional activity according to cell type indicates that chromosomal proteins participate in the gene activation process. Yet histones' chromosomal abundance and lack of variety make it highly unlikely that they have the specificity required for this role. Among the most common

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 28-21 Model for nucleosome remodeling by chromatin-remodeling complexes. The chromatin-remodeling complex (*green*) couples the free energy of ATP hydrolysis to the translocation and concomitant twisting of the DNA in the nucleosome (*blue,* only half of which is shown for clarity) as depicted by the movement of a fixed point on the DNA (*yellow star*). [After a drawing by Saha, A., Wittmeyer, J., and Cairns, B.R., *Genes Dev.* **16,** 2120 (2002).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

nonhistone protein components of chromatin are the members of the **high mobility group (HMG),** so named because of their high electrophoretic mobilities in polyacrylamide gels. These highly conserved, low molecular mass (<30 kD) proteins, which have the unusual amino acid composition of ~25% basic side chains and 30% acidic side chains, are relatively abundant with ~1 HMG molecule per 10 to 15 nucleosomes.

The yeast HMG protein known as **NHP6A** contains an ~80-residue structural motif called an **HMG box.** Its NMR structure in complex with DNA, determined by Juli Feigon, reveals that it causes the DNA to bend by as much as 70° toward its major groove (Fig. 28-22). Such proteins presumably facilitate the binding of

FIG. 28-22 NMR structure of yeast NHP6A protein in complex with a 15-bp DNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form (*cyan*) and the DNA is drawn in stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow). The three helices of the HMG box form an L shape, with the inside of the L inserted into the DNA's minor groove so as to bend the DNA by ~70° toward its major groove. [Based on an NMR structure by Juli Feigon, University of California at Los Angeles. PDBid 1J5N.]

FIG. 28-23 A selection of histone modifications on the nucleosome core particle. Post-translational modification sites on the four core histones are indicated with colored symbols. The added groups are ac = acetyl, me = methyl, ph = phosphoryl, pr = propionyl, rib = ADP-ribose, and Ub = ubiquitin. Cit represents citrulline (deiminated arginine). Note that some residues can be modified in multiple ways. This diagram is a composite; not all of these modifications occur in all organisms. [After a diagram by Ali Shilatifard, St. Louis University School of Medicine.]

? Which of these modifications significantly changes the charge or size of a histone side chain?

other regulatory proteins to the DNA and are therefore described as **architectural proteins.** Other HMG proteins differ in structure but can also alter DNA conformation by inducing it to bend, straighten, unwind, or form loops. Some HMG proteins compete with histones for DNA, thereby altering nucleosomal structure.

Histones Are Covalently Modified. The posttranslational modifications to which core histones are subject include the acetylation of specific Lys side chains, the methylation of specific Lys and Arg side chains, the phosphorylation of specific Ser and Thr side chains, and the ubiquitination of specific Lys side chains (Fig. 28-23). Moreover, Lys side chains can be mono-, di-, and trimethylated and Arg side chains can be mono- and both symmetrically and asymmetrically dimethylated. All of these modifications can be undone by the action of specific enzymes. Less frequent modifications include ADP-ribosylation of Glu, addition of a propionyl group to Lys, and the conversion of Arg residues to citrullyl residues.

The core histones' N-terminal tails, as we have seen (Section 24-5A), are implicated in stabilizing the structures of both core nucleosomes and higher-order chromatin. Nearly all of the modifications listed above, except methylations, reduce (make more negative) the electronic charge of their attached side chains and hence are likely to weaken histone–DNA interactions so as to promote chromatin decondensation, although as we will see, this is not always the case. Methyl groups, in contrast, increase the basicity and hydrophobicity of the side chains to which they are linked and hence tend to stabilize chromatin structure. Modified histone tails also interact with specific chromatin-associated nonhistone proteins in a way that changes the transcriptional accessibility of their associated genes. Internucleosome and histone–histone interactions are also likely to be affected by covalent modification of the globular cores of the histone proteins, not just their tails.

The characterization of a variety of histone tail modifications led David Allis to hypothesize that *there is a* ***histone code*** *in which specific modifications evoke certain chromatin-based functions and that these modifications act sequentially or in combination to generate unique biological outcomes.* For example, Fig. 28-24 indicates the distribution of the various **histone marks** (modifications) on nucleosomes associated with a typical transcriptionally active human gene. It can be seen from Figs. 28-23 and 28-24 that there are a vast number of possible combinations of histone marks, although the way they interact is largely unknown.

Histone Acetyltransferases Are Components of Multisubunit Transcriptional Coactivators. Histone Lys side chains are acetylated in a sequence-specific

FIG. 28-24 Chromatin map of a typical transcriptionally active human gene. The height of each colored strip at a given position indicates the prevalence of the corresponding histone mark(s) on the nucleosome at that position. For example, H3K4me3 (trimethylated H3 Lys 4) and H2BK5ac (acetylated H2B Lys 5) are associated with the nucleosomes flanking the transcriptional start site (*squared off arrow*) as well as portions of enhancers and insulators (Section 28-3B), whereas H3R2me2a (asymmetrically dimethylated H3 Arg 2) is associated with nucleosomes occupying the downstream region of the gene's protein encoding segment. The positions of nucleosomes containing the H2A variant H2A.Z (*yellow*) are also indicated. [Modified from a drawing by Oliver Rando, University of Massachusetts Medical School, and Howard Chang, Stanford University.]

manner by enzymes known as **histone acetyltransferases** (**HATs**), all of which employ acetyl-CoA as their acetyl group donor:

Most, if not all, HATs function *in vivo* as members of often large (10–20 subunits) multisubunit complexes, many of which were initially characterized as transcriptional regulators. For example, **TAF1,** the largest subunit of the general transcription factor TFIID (TAF for *T*BP-*a*ssociated *f*actor, where TBP is *T*ATA-*b*inding *p*rotein; Section 26-2C), is a HAT. Moreover, many **HAT complexes** share subunits. Thus, the HAT complex named **SAGA** contains **TAF5, TAF6, TAF9, TAF10,** and **TAF12** as does the **PCAF complex** with the exception that TAF5 and TAF6 are replaced in the PCAF complex by their close homologs **PAF65β** and **PAF65α.** Portions of TAF6, TAF9, and TAF12 are structural homologs of histones H3, H4, and H2B, respectively (Section 24-5). Consequently, these TAFs probably associate to form an architectural element that is common to TFIID, SAGA, and the PCAF complex and hence these complexes are likely to interact with TBP in a similar manner. The various HAT complexes presumably target their component HATs to the promoters of active genes.

The X-ray structure of the HAT domain of *Tetrahymena thermophila* **GCN5** (residues 48–210 of the 418-residue protein) in complex with a bisubstrate inhibitor was determined by Ronen Marmorstein. The bisubstrate inhibitor

FIG. 28-25 X-Ray structure of *Tetrahymena* GCN5 in complex with an inhibitor. (*a*) The bisubstrate inhibitor, a peptide–CoA conjugate, consists of CoA covalently linked from its S atom via an isoproprionyl group to the side chain of Lys 14 of the 20-residue N-terminal segment of histone H3. (*b*) The protein is drawn in ribbon form in pink with its core region magenta. The peptide–CoA conjugate is drawn in stick form colored according to atom type (histone C cyan, isopropionyl group C orange, CoA C green, N blue, O red, S yellow, and P gold). [Part *b* based on an X-ray structure by Ronen Marmorstein, University of Pennsylvania. PDBid 1MID.]

(Fig. 28-25*a*) consists of CoA covalently linked from its S atom via an isopropionyl group (which mimics an acetyl group) to the side chain of Lys 14 (the acetyl group acceptor) of the 20-residue N-terminal segment of histone H3. The enzyme (Fig. 28-25*b*) is deeply clefted and contains a core region common to all HATs of known structure (magenta in Fig. 28-25*b*) that consists of a three-stranded antiparallel β sheet connected via an α helix to a fourth β strand that forms a parallel interaction with the β sheet. Only six residues of the histone tail, Gly 12 through Arg 17, are visible in the X-ray structure. The CoA moiety binds in the enzyme's cleft such that it is mainly contacted by core residues. The comparison of this structure with other GCN5-containing structures indicates that the cleft has closed down about the CoA moiety.

Bromodomains Recruit Coactivators to Acetylated Lys Residues in Histone Tails. The different patterns of histone acetylation required for different functions (the histone code) suggest that the function of histone acetylation is more complex than merely attenuating the charge–charge interactions between the cationic histone N-terminal tails and anionic DNA. In fact, specific acetylation patterns appear to be recognized by protein modules of transcriptional **coactivators** in much the same way that specific phosphorylated sequences are recognized by protein modules such as the SH2 domain that mediates signal transduction via protein kinase cascades (Section 13-2B). Thus, nearly all HAT-associated transcriptional coactivators contain ~110-residue modules known as **bromodomains** that specifically bind acetylated Lys residues on histones. For example, GCN5 essentially consists of a HAT domain followed by a bromodomain, whereas TAF1 consists mainly of an N-terminal kinase domain followed by a HAT domain and two tandem bromodomains.

The X-ray structure of human TAF1's double bromodomain (residues 1359–1638 of the 1872-residue protein), determined by Robert Tjian, reveals that it consists of two nearly identical antiparallel four-helix bundles (Fig. 28-26). A variety of evidence, including NMR structures of single bromodomains in complex with their target acetyl-Lys–containing peptides, indicates that the acetyl-Lys–binding

site of each bromodomain occurs in a deep hydrophobic pocket that is located at the end of its four-helix bundle opposite its N- and C-termini. The double bromodomain's two binding pockets are separated by ~25 Å, which makes them ideally positioned to bind two acetyl-Lys residues that are separated by 7 or 8 residues. In fact, the N-terminal tail of histone H4 contains Lys residues at positions 5, 8, 12, and 16 (Fig. 28-23), whose acetylation is correlated with increased transcriptional activity. Moreover, the 36-residue N-terminal peptide of histone H4, when fully acetylated, binds to the TAF1 double bromodomain in 1:1 ratio with 70-fold higher affinity than to single bromodomains but fails to bind when it is unacetylated.

The foregoing structure suggests that the TAF1 bromodomains serve to target TFIID to promoters that are within or near nucleosomes [in contrast to the previously held notion that TFIID targets PICs (preinitiation complexes) to nucleosome-free regions]. Tjian has therefore postulated that the transcriptional initiation process begins with the recruitment of a HAT-containing coactivator complex by an upstream DNA-binding protein (Fig. 28-27). The HAT could then acetylate the N-terminal histone tails of nearby nucleosomes, which would recruit TFIID to an appropriately located promoter via the binding of its TAF1 bromodomains to the acetyl-Lys residues. Moreover, the TAF1 HAT activity could acetylate other nearby nucleosomes, thereby initiating a cascade of acetylation events that would render the DNA template competent for transcriptional initiation.

Histone acetylation is a reversible process. The enzymes that remove the acetyl groups from histones, the **histone deacetylases (HDACs),** promote transcriptional repression and gene silencing. Eukaryotic cells from yeast to humans typically contain numerous different HDACs; 10 HDACs have been identified in yeast and 17 in humans. The enzymes that add histone marks to nucleosomes, such as HATs, are collectively known as "writers"; the proteins, such as those containing bromodomains, that recognize histone marks and thereupon recruit other nonhistone proteins are called "readers"; and the enzymes that remove histone marks, such as HDACs, are termed "erasers."

FIG. 28-26 X-Ray structure of the human TAF1 double bromodomain. Each bromodomain consists of an antiparallel four-helix bundle whose helices are colored, from N- to C-termini, red, yellow, green, and blue, with the remaining portions of the protein orange. The acetyl-Lys binding sites occupy deep hydrophobic pockets at the end of each four-helix bundle opposite its N- and C-termini. [Based on an X-ray structure by Robert Tjian, University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 1EQF.]

Histone Methylation May Silence or Activate Genes. Histone methylation at both the Lys and Arg side chains of histone H3 and H4 N-terminal tails (Fig. 28-23)

FIG. 28-27 Simplified model for the assembly of a transcriptional initiation complex on chromatin-bound templates. Here the DNA is represented by a blue worm, the histone octamers around which the DNA wraps to form nucleosomes are shown as gray spheres, and their N-terminal histone tails are drawn as short beaded chains with the black and red beads representing unacetylated and acetylated Lys residues. The transcription initiation site is represented by the orange segment of the DNA from which the green squared-off arrow points downstream. (*a*) The process begins by the recruitment of a HAT-containing transcriptional coactivator complex (*green*) through its interactions with a DNA-binding activator protein (*pink*) that is bound to an upstream enhancer (*yellow*). The HAT coactivator complex is thereby positioned to acetylate the N-terminal tail on nearby nucleosomes (*curved arrows*). (*b*) The binding of its TAF1's bromodomains to the acetylated histone tails could then help recruit TFIID (*pink*) to a nearby TATA box (*gray*). Further acetylation of nearby histone tails by the TAF1's HAT domain could help recruit other basal factors (*yellow-green*) and RNAP II (*green*) to the promoter, thus inducing PIC formation.

tends to silence the associated genes by inducing the formation of heterochromatin, although many forms of methylation are instead associated with active genes (Fig. 28-24). The enzymes (writers) mediating histone methylation, the **histone methyltransferases (HMTs),** all utilize *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) as their methyl donor. Thus, the lysine HMTs, the most extensively characterized HMTs, catalyze the following reaction:

Histone Lys **S-Adenosylmethionine** ***S*-Adenosylhomocysteine**

These enzymes all have a so-called **SET domain,** which contains their catalytic sites.

The human lysine HMT named **SET7/9** monomethylates Lys 4 of histone H3. The X-ray structure of the SET domain of SET7/9 (residues 108–366 of the 366-residue protein) in complex with SAM and the N-terminal decapeptide of histone H3 in which Lys 4 is monomethylated was determined by Steven Gamblin. Interestingly, SAM and the peptide substrate bind to opposite sides of the protein (Fig. 28-28). However, there is a narrow tunnel through the protein into which the Lys 4 side chain is inserted such that its amine group is properly positioned for methylation by SAM. The arrangement of the hydrogen bonding acceptors for the Lys amino group stabilize the methyl-Lys side chain in its observed orientation about the C_ε—N_ζ bond, thus sterically precluding the methyl-Lys group from assuming a conformation in which it could be further methylated by SAM.

Methylated histones are bound by several kinds of protein domains that recognize specific Lys residues with some preference for their mono-, di-, or trimethyl forms. The so-called **chromodomain** (for *chr*omatin *o*rganization *mo*difier) and the motif known as a **PHD finger** (for *p*lant *h*omeo*d*omain) both include binding sites for methylated Lys residues that can be characterized as aromatic cages (Fig. 28-29). Interestingly, the methyl-Lys–binding proteins often include additional readers such as a bromodomain, or erasers such as a deacetylase.

The chromodomain-containing **heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1),** which binds dimethylated H3 Lys 9, contributes to gene silencing. The bound HP1 recruits the HMT **Suv39h,** which methylates nearby nucleosomes at their H3 Lys 9 residues, thereby recruiting additional HP1, etc. This mechanism could explain why heterochromatin has a tendency to spread so as to silence neighboring genes. In transcriptionally silent chromatin, the DNA itself may also be methylated.

Methyltransferases Flip Their Target Bases Out of the DNA Double Helix. The methylation of DNA, as we have seen (Box 25-4), switches off eukaryotic gene expression. Eukaryotic DNAs are methylated only on their cytosine residues to form 5-methylcytosine (m^5C) residues, a modification that occurs largely on the palindromic CpG islands that commonly occur in the upstream promoter regions of genes (Section 26-2B) and which are typically 300 to 3000 bp long. In fact,

Courtesy of Steven Gamblin, Francis Crick Institute, London, U.K.

FIG. 28-28 X-Ray structure of the human histone methyltransferase SET7/9 in complex with SAM and the histone H3 N-terminal decapeptide with its Lys 4 monomethylated. The protein is represented by its surface diagram colored according to charge (blue most positive, red most negative, and gray neutral) and the H3 decapeptide is represented by a green ribbon. Note the narrow tunnel through the protein in which the methyl-Lys side chain is inserted. The inset above shows a closeup of this Lys access channel containing the methyl-Lys side chain (*green*) as viewed from the opposite side of the protein from the SAM-binding site. PDBid 1O9S.

FIG. 28-29 Binding sites for histone trimethyl-Lys residues. (*a*) H3 trimethyl-Lys 9 bound to the chromodomain of *Drosophila* heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) and (*b*) H3 trimethyl-Lys 4 bound to a human PHD finger. The H3 trimethyl-Lys residues are drawn with C green and N blue and with the peptide backbone yellow. The aromatic side chains of HP1 and the PHD finger that cage the trimethylammonium group are drawn with C cyan, N blue, and O red. The dot surfaces delineate the van der Waals surfaces of the aromatic side chains and the trimethylammonium groups. [Part *a* based on an X-ray structure by Sepideh Khorasanizadeh, University of Virginia. PDBid 1KNE. Part *b* based on an X-ray structure by David Allis, Rockefeller University, and Dinshaw Patel, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York. PDBid 2F6J.]

60% to 80% of the ~30 million CpG dinucleotides in the human genome are methylated. The enzymes (writers) that mediate these modifications, the **DNA methyltransferases (DNA MTases),** all use SAM as their methyl donor. Cells also contain **demethylases,** which catalyze the reaction

$$\text{5-Methylcytosine} + H_2O \rightarrow \text{cytosine} + HO{-}CH_3$$

In DNA MTase reactions, SAM reacts with the enzyme's targeted cytosine base to form a tetrahedral intermediate in which the cytosine C5 is substituted by both its original hydrogen atom and the donated methyl group. This requires that the attacking methyl group approach C5 from above or below the cytosine ring. Yet the faces of nucleic acid bases are inaccessible within the DNA double helix. Consequently, a DNA MTase must induce its target cytosine base to flip out of the double helix to where it can be methylated by SAM. Such a flipped-out intermediate is observed in the X-ray structure of the DNA MTase from *Haemophilus haemolyticus* **(M.HhaI),** determined by Richard Roberts and Xiaodong Cheng, in which the target cytosine base has been replaced by 5-fluorocytosine (**Fig. 28-30**). Although the normally present

FIG. 28-30 X-Ray structure of the M.HhaI DNA methyltransferase. The protein, represented by a semitransparent ribbon, is shown in complex with *S*-adenosylhomocysteine and a dsDNA containing a methylated 5-fluorocytosine base at the enzyme's target site. The DNA is drawn in stick form colored with C green, N blue, O red, and P orange. Its methylated 5-fluorocytidine residue (C atoms cyan) has swung out of the DNA helix into the enzyme's active site pocket, where its C6 forms a covalent bond with the S atom of an enzyme Cys residue (C atoms magenta and S yellow). The methyl group and a fluorine atom at C5 (which prevents the methylation reaction from going to completion) are represented by magenta and yellow-green spheres, respectively. The position of the flipped-out cytosine base in the DNA double helix is occupied by the side chain of a Gln residue (shown in space-filling form with C yellow), which hydrogen bonds to the "orphaned" guanine base. The *S*-adenosylhomocysteine, which is SAM without its methyl group, is drawn in stick form with its C atoms pink. [Based on an X-ray structure by Richard Roberts, New England Biolabs, Beverly, Massachusetts, and Xiaodong Cheng, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York. PDBid 1MHT.]

FIG. 28-31 Maintenance methylation. The pattern of methylation on a parental DNA strand induces the corresponding methylation pattern in the complementary strand. In this way, a stable methylation pattern may be maintained in a cell line.

? Why is it necessary for methylation to occur at palindromic sequences?

C5—H atom is eliminated from the foregoing tetrahedral intermediate as H^+ to yield the methylation reaction's m^5C product, the high electronegativity of fluorine prevents the C5—F atom from being eliminated as F^+, thereby trapping the tetrahedral intermediate on the enzyme (here the 5-fluorouracil residue is a suicide substrate; Box 23-1). Certain DNA repair enzymes that act on individual DNA bases also operate by flipping their target base out of the DNA helix (Section 25-5A).

DNA Methylation in Eukaryotes Is Self-Perpetuating. The palindromic nature of DNA methylation sites in eukaryotes permits the methylation pattern on a parental DNA strand to direct the generation of the same pattern in its daughter strand (**Fig. 28-31**). This **maintenance methylation** would result in the stable "inheritance" of a methylation pattern in a cell line and hence cause these cells to all have the same differentiated phenotype. Such changes to the genome are described as being **epigenetic** (Greek: *epi,* on or beside) because they provide an additional layer of information that specifies when and where specific portions of the otherwise fixed genome are expressed [epigenetic changes that we have already encountered are the lengthening of telomeres in germ cells (Section 25-3C) and the inactivation of a specific X chromosome in female mammals (Box 28-2)]. Epigenetic characteristics, as we will see, are not bound by the laws of Mendelian inheritance.

There is considerable experimental evidence favoring the existence of maintenance methylation, including the observation that artificially methylated viral DNA, when taken up by eukaryotic cells, maintains its methylation pattern for at least 30 cell generations. Maintenance methylation in mammals appears to be mediated mainly by **DNMT1** protein, which has a strong preference for methylating hemimethylated substrate DNAs. In contrast, prokaryotic DNA MTases such as M.HhaI do not differentiate between hemimethylated and fully methylated substrate DNAs. The importance of maintenance methylation is demonstrated by the observation that mice that are homozygous for the deletion of the *DNMT1* gene die early in embryonic development.

The pattern of DNA methylation in mammals varies in early embryonic development. DNA methylation levels are high in mature gametes (sperm and ova) but are nearly eliminated by the time a fertilized ovum has become a **blastocyst** (a hollow ball of cells, the stage at which the embryo implants into the uterine wall). After that stage, however, the embryo's DNA methylation levels globally rise until, by the time the embryo has reached the developmental stage known as a **gastrula**, its DNA methylation levels have risen to adult levels, where they remain for the lifetime of the animal. This *de novo* (new) methylation appears to be mediated by two DNA MTases distinct from DNMT1 named **DNMT3a** and **DNMT3b.** An important exception to this remethylation process is that the CpG islands of germline cells (cells that give rise to sperm or ova) remain unmethylated. This ensures the faithful transmission of the CpG islands to the succeeding generation in the face of the strong mutagenic pressure of m^5C deamination (which yields T, a mutation that mismatch repair occasionally fails to correct; Box 25-5). In female mammals, the inactivation of the same X chromosome from cell generation to cell generation (Box 28-2) is, at least in part, preserved by maintenance methylation.

The change in DNA methylation levels (epigenetic reprogramming) during embryonic development suggests that the pattern of genetic expression differs in embryonic and somatic cells. This explains the observed high failure rate in cloning mammals (sheep, mice, cattle, etc.) by transferring the nucleus of an adult cell into an enucleated oocyte (immature ovum). Few of these animals survive to birth, many of those that do so die shortly thereafter, and most of the ~1% that do survive have a variety of abnormalities, most prominently an unusually large size. However, the survival of any embryos at all indicates that the oocyte has

the remarkable capacity to epigenetically reprogram somatic chromosomes (although it is rarely entirely successful in doing so) and that mammalian embryos are relatively tolerant of epigenetic abnormalities. Presumably, the reproductive cloning of humans from adult nuclei would result in similar abnormalities and for this reason (in addition to social and ethical prohibitions) should not be attempted.

Genomic Imprinting Results from Differential DNA Methylation. It has been known for thousands of years that maternal and paternal inheritance can differ. For example, a mule (the offspring of a mare and a male donkey) and a hinny (the offspring of a stallion and a female donkey) have obviously different physical characteristics, a hinny having shorter ears, a thicker mane and tail, and stronger legs than a mule. This is because, in mammals only, certain maternally and paternally supplied genes are differentially expressed, a phenomenon termed **genomic imprinting.** The genes that are subject to genomic imprinting are, as Rudolph Jaenisch has shown, differentially methylated in the two parents during gametogenesis, and the resulting different methylation patterns are resistant to the wave of demethylation that occurs during the formation of the blastocyst and to the wave of *de novo* methylation that occurs thereafter.

The importance of genomic imprinting is demonstrated by the observation that an embryo derived from the transplantation of two male or two female pronuclei into an ovum fails to develop (pronuclei are the nuclei of mature sperm and ova before they fuse during fertilization). Inappropriate imprinting is also associated with certain diseases. For example, **Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS),** which is characterized by the failure to thrive in infancy, small hands and feet, marked obesity, and variable mental retardation, is caused by a >5000-kb deletion in a specific region of the paternally inherited chromosome 15. In contrast, **Angelman syndrome (AS),** which is manifested by severe mental retardation, a puppetlike ataxic (uncoordinated) gait, and bouts of inappropriate laughter, is caused by a deletion of the same region from the maternally inherited chromosome 15. These syndromes are also exhibited by those rare individuals who inherit both their chromosomes 15 from their mothers for PWS and from their fathers for AS. Evidently, certain genes on the deleted chromosomal region must be paternally inherited to avoid PWS and others must be maternally inherited to avoid AS. Several other human diseases are also associated with either maternal or paternal inheritance or lack of it. Aberrant epigenetic programming may also play a role in tumor growth, as cancer cells often exhibit abnormal patterns of DNA methylation.

B Eukaryotes Contain Multiple Transcriptional Activators

In addition to the six general transcription factors described in Section 26-2C, eukaryotic cells contain a host of other proteins that interact with DNA and/or other transcription factors to stimulate or repress the transcription of class II genes (genes that are transcribed by RNAP II). Some of these proteins bind to any available DNA containing their target sequences, whereas others must be activated and deactivated, often as part of a signal transduction pathway (Section 13-2B). The target DNA sites to which these transcription factors bind are known as **enhancers**. Enhancers are often referred to as **cis-acting regulatory elements** (Latin: *cis,* on this side) because they are located on the same DNA molecule as the genes they control. In contrast, transcription factors are called **trans-acting factors** (Latin: *trans,* across) because they are diffusible substances that influence the expression of genes that may be on different chromosomes from those encoding them. *The human genome contains an estimated one million enhancers (although only a small subset of them is functional in any cell type) and encodes over one thousand transcription factors for class II genes* (Fig. 28-3).

An enhancer typically is not essential for transcription but significantly increases its rate. Unlike promoters, which are necessarily located a short distance from the transcription start site, *enhancers need not have fixed positions and orientations* (Section 26-2B). For example, William Rutter linked the upstream (5′-flanking) sequences of either the insulin or the chymotrypsin gene to the sequence encoding **chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT),** an easily assayed enzyme not normally present in eukaryotic cells. A plasmid containing the insulin gene sequences elicits expression of the CAT gene only when introduced into cultured cells that normally produce insulin. Likewise, the chymotrypsin recombinants are active only in chymotrypsin-producing cells. Dissection of the insulin gene control sequence indicates that the enhancer lies between positions 2103 and 2333 and, in insulin-producing cells only, it stimulates the transcription of the CAT gene with little regard to its position and orientation relative to the promoter.

Transcription Factors Act Cooperatively with Each Other and the PIC. How do transcription factors stimulate (or inhibit) transcription? *Evidently, when these proteins bind to their target DNA sites in the vicinity of a PIC (in some cases, many thousands of base pairs distant), they somehow activate (or repress) its component RNAP II to initiate transcription.* Transcription factors may bind cooperatively to each other and/or the PIC, thereby synergistically stimulating (or repressing) transcriptional initiation. Indeed, molecular cloning experiments indicate that many enhancers consist of segments (modules) whose individual deletion reduces but does not eliminate enhancer activity. *Such complex arrangements presumably permit transcriptional control systems to respond to a variety of stimuli in a graded manner.* In some cases, however, several transcription factors together with so-called **architectural proteins** cooperatively assemble on an ~100-bp enhancer to form a multisubunit complex known as an **enhanceosome**, in which the absence of a single subunit all but eliminates its ability to stimulate transcriptional initiation at the associated promoter. Thus, enhanceosomes function more like on/off switches rather than providing a graded response. Enhanceosomes may also contain coactivators and/or corepressors, proteins that do not bind to DNA but, rather, interact with proteins that do so to activate or repress transcription.

The functional properties of many transcription factors are surprisingly simple. They typically consist of (at least) two domains:

1. A DNA-binding domain that specifically binds to the protein's target DNA sequence (several such domains are described in Section 24-4C).
2. A domain containing the transcription factor's activation function. Sequence analysis indicates that many of these **activation domains** have conspicuously acidic surface regions whose negative charges, if mutationally increased or decreased, respectively raise or lower the transcription factor's activity. This suggests that the associations between these transcription factors and a PIC are mediated by relatively nonspecific electrostatic interactions rather than by conformationally more demanding hydrogen bonds. Other types of activation domains have also been characterized, including those with Gln-rich regions and those with Pro-rich regions.

The DNA-binding and activation functions of eukaryotic transcription factors can be physically separated (which is why they are thought to occur on different domains). In fact, a genetically engineered hybrid protein, containing the DNA-binding domain of one transcription factor and the activation domain of a second, activates the same genes as the first transcription factor. Moreover,

it makes little functional difference as to whether the activation domain is placed on the N-terminal side of the DNA-binding domain or on its C-terminal side. This geometric permissiveness in the binding between the activation domain and its target protein is also indicated by the observation that transcription factors are largely insensitive to the orientations and positions of their corresponding enhancers relative to the transcriptional start site. Of course, *the DNA between an enhancer and its distant transcriptional start site must be looped around for an enhancer-bound transcription factor to interact with the promoter-bound PIC* (Section 26-2C).

The synergy (cooperativity) of multiple transcription factors in initiating transcription may be understood in terms of a simple recruitment model. Suppose an enhancer-bound transcription factor increases the affinity with which a PIC binds to the enhancer's associated promoter so as to increase the rate at which the PIC initiates transcription there by a factor of 10. Then, if another transcription factor binding to a different enhancer subsite likewise increases the initiation rate by a factor of 20, both transcription factors acting together will increase the initiation rate by a factor of 200. *In this way, a limited number of transcription factors can support a much larger number of transcription patterns.* Transcriptional activation, according to this model, is essentially a mass action effect: The binding of a transcription factor to an enhancer increases the transcription factor's effective concentration at the associated promoter (the DNA holds the transcription factor in the vicinity of the promoter), which consequently increases the rate at which the PIC binds to the promoter. This explains why a transcription factor that is not bound to DNA (or even lacks a DNA-binding domain) inhibits transcriptional initiation. Such unbound transcription factors compete with DNA-bound transcription factors for their target sites and thereby reduce the rate at which the PIC is recruited to the associated promoter. This phenomenon, which is known as **squelching**, is apparently why transcription factors in the nucleus are almost always bound to inhibitors unless they are actively engaged in transcriptional initiation.

Mediator Links Transcriptional Activators and RNAP II. Transcriptional activators fail to stimulate transcription by a reconstituted PIC *in vitro.* Evidently, an additional factor is required to do so. *Indeed, genetic studies in yeast by Roger Kornberg led him to discover an ~25-subunit, ~1200-kD complex named* ***Mediator,*** *whose presence is required for transcription from nearly all class II gene promoters in yeast.* Mediator, which is therefore considered to be a coactivator, binds to the C-terminal domain (CTD) of RNAP II's β′ subunit (Section 26-2A). Further investigations revealed that multicellular organisms have similarly functioning Mediators, many of whose numerous subunits (~30 in humans, although this number is variable) are related, albeit distantly, to those of yeast Mediator. *Mediators apparently function as adaptors that bridge DNA-bound transcriptional regulators and RNAP II so as to influence (induce or inhibit) the formation of a stable PIC at the associated promoter. They thereby function to integrate the various signals implied by the binding of these transcriptional regulators to their target DNAs.*

The cryo-EM image of yeast Mediator (Fig. 28-32), determined by Francisco Asturias, reveals that Mediator consists of three intricately shaped modules named its head, middle, and tail, which can be dissociated from each other *in vitro*. Further studies indicate that the connections between these modules vary conformationally with the presence of RNAP II and transcriptional activators. In many cases, the individual deletion of a Mediator subunit affects the expression of only a small subset of genes, which indicates that different transcription factors interact with different Mediator subunits. Evidently, multiple transcription factors can simultaneously bind to Mediator. Moreover, there is mounting

Courtesy of Francisco Asturias, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California

FIG. 28-32 Cryo-EM-based structure of yeast Mediator at ~18 Å resolution. The head, middle, and tail domains are differently colored and, where available, the X-ray structures of its various subunits, represented as ribbon diagrams, have been docked into it. The four images are related by clockwise-successive 90° rotations about the vertical axis.

evidence that the binding of one transcription factor to Mediator facilitates its interactions with other transcription factors.

Including Mediator, the transcriptional machinery for class II genes comprises ~75 polypeptides with an aggregate molecular mass of ~3.7 million D. Nevertheless, as we have seen (Section 28-3A), this ribosome-sized assembly (the eukaryotic ribosome has a molecular mass of ~4.2 million D; Table 27-5) requires considerable assistance from other large particles to gain access to the DNA in chromatin.

Insulators Limit the Effects of Enhancers and Stop Heterochromatin Spreading. Enhancers function independently of their position relative to the promoter. So what prevents an enhancer from affecting the transcription of all the genes in its chromosome? Conversely, heterochromatin, as we have seen (Section 28-3A), appears to be self-nucleating. What prevents heterochromatin from spreading into neighboring segments of euchromatin? Experiments in which DNA sequences near *Drosophila* genes were rearranged revealed that short (<2 kb) segments of DNA known as **insulators** define the boundaries of functional units for transcription. Inserting an insulator between a gene and its upstream enhancer blocks the effect of the enhancer on transcription. Insulators may also prevent heterochromatin from spreading. For example, the **HS4** insulator in the chicken β-globin gene cluster recruits HATs that acetylate H3 Lys 9 on nearby nucleosomes (which is associated with transcriptional activity; Fig. 28-24), thereby blocking their methylation (which induces heterochromatin formation). The mechanism of action of insulators is enigmatic. Presumably, it is not the insulators themselves but the proteins that bind to them that form the active insulator elements. Indeed, the HAT-recruiting activity of HS4 is distinct from its enhancer-blocking function, which is mediated by the binding of an 11-zinc finger protein named **CTCF** (for CC*CTC*-binding *f*actor) to a different subsite of HS4 than that to which HATs bind.

Many Signal Transduction Pathways Activate Transcription Factors. A variety of signaling pathways, including some involving steroid hormones, heterotrimeric G proteins, receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), and phosphoinositide cascades (Chapter 13), result in the activation (or inactivation) of transcription factors. In this way, extracellular factors such as hormones can influence gene expression inside a cell. We have already seen that the Ras signaling cascade (Fig. 13-7) results in the phosphorylation of several transcription factors, including Fos, Jun, and Myc, thereby modulating their activities. The sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP), which regulates the expression of the genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis by binding to their sterol regulatory elements (SREs; Section 20-7B), is also an inducible transcription factor. In the following paragraphs, we discuss two additional examples of signaling pathways that activate transcription factors.

The JAK-STAT Pathway Relays Cytokine-Based Signals. The protein growth factors that regulate the differentiation, proliferation, and activities of numerous types of cells, most conspicuously white blood cells, are known as **cytokines.** The signal that certain cytokines have been extracellularly bound by their cognate receptors is transmitted within the cell, as James Darnell elucidated, by the **JAK-STAT pathway**. **Cytokine receptors** form complexes with proteins of the **Janus kinase (JAK)** family of **nonreceptor tyrosine kinases (NRTKs),** so named because each of its four ~1150-residue members has two tyrosine kinase domains (Janus is the two-faced Roman god of gates and doorways), although only the C-terminal domain is functional. **STATs** (for *s*ignal *t*ransducers and *a*ctivators of *t*ranscription) comprise a family of seven ~800-residue proteins that are the only known transcription factors whose activities are regulated by Tyr phosphorylation and that have SH2 domains.

The JAK-STAT pathway, which is conserved from worms to mammals (but is absent in plants and fungi), functions as is diagrammed in Fig. 28-33:

1. Ligand binding induces the cytokine receptor to dimerize (or, in some cases, to trimerize or even tetramerize) or for a pre-existing dimer to change conformation (Section 13-1D).
2. The cytokine receptor's two associated JAKs are thereby brought into apposition, whereon they reciprocally phosphorylate each other and then their associated receptors, a process resembling the autophosphorylation of dimerized RTKs (Section 13-2A).

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 28-33 The JAK-STAT pathway for the intracellular relaying of cytokine signals. [After Carpenter, L.R., Yancopoulos, G.D., and Stahl, N., *Adv. Prot. Chem.* **52,** 109 (1999).] **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

Courtesy of Christoph W. Müller, EMBL Heidelberg, Germany. From *Nature* **3941**, 145–151 (1998), p. 147, Fig. 2a.

FIG. 28-34 X-Ray structure of the Stat3β homodimer bound to a 17-bp DNA containing its 9-bp target sequence. The complex is viewed along the helix axis of the DNA, which is represented by a purple ribbon. The protein's various domains are drawn in different colors. The phosphorylatable Tyr residues are located in the SH2 domains (*yellow*), which mediate the protein's dimerization. Note how the STAT dimer binds the DNA in a sort of scissors grip. PDBid 1BG1.

3. STATs bind to the phospho-Tyr group on their cognate activated receptor via their SH2 domain and are then phosphorylated on a conserved Tyr residue by the associated JAK.
4. Following their dissociation from the receptor, the phosphorylated STATs homo- or heterodimerize via the association of their phospho-Tyr residue with the SH2 domain on the opposing subunit.
5. The STAT dimers are then translocated to the nucleus, where the now functional transcription factors specifically bind to their target DNA sequences, thereby inducing the transcription of the associated genes. Mammals have seven genetically distinct STATs, each specific for a different DNA sequence.

Like many other components of signal transduction pathways, the STAT signal is inactivated through the action of phosphatases so that a STAT typically remains active for only a few minutes. The X-ray structure of the STAT named **Stat3β,** determined by Christoph Müller, is shown in Fig. 28-34.

Nuclear Receptors Are Activated by Hormones. The **nuclear receptor superfamily,** which occurs in animals ranging from worms to humans, is composed of >150 proteins that bind a variety of hormones including steroids (glucocorticoids, mineralocorticoids, estrogens, and androgens; Sections 9-1E and 13-1C), **thyroid hormones** such as thyroxine (Fig. 4-15) that stimulate metabolism, and vitamin D (Section 9-1E), all of which are nonpolar molecules. The nuclear receptors, many of which activate distinct but overlapping sets of genes, share a conserved modular organization that includes, from N- to C-terminus, a poorly conserved activation domain, a highly conserved DNA-binding domain, a connecting hinge region, and a ligand-binding domain. The DNA-binding domains each contain eight Cys residues that, in groups of four, tetrahedrally coordinate two Zn^{2+} ions.

Many members of the nuclear receptor superfamily recognize specific DNA segments known as **hormone response elements (HREs)** that have the half-site consensus sequences 5′-AGAACA-3′ for steroid receptors and 5′-AGGTCA-3′ for other nuclear receptors. These sequences are arranged in direct repeats (→*n*→), inverted repeats (→*n*←), and everted repeats (←*n*→), where *n* represents a 0- to 8-bp spacer (usually 1–5 bp) to whose length a specific receptor is targeted. **Steroid receptors** bind to their hormone response elements as homodimers, whereas other nuclear receptors do so as homodimers, as heterodimers, and in a few cases as monomers.

Steroid hormones, being nonpolar, readily pass through cell membranes into the cytosol or nucleus, where they bind to their receptors (although in some

FIG. 28-35 X-Ray structure of the dimeric glucocorticoid receptor DNA-binding domain in complex with DNA. The complex is viewed with its approximate twofold molecular axis horizontal. Its 18-bp DNA is drawn in paddle form with the C atoms of its two 6-bp GRE half-sites magenta, the C atoms of the remaining nucleotides green, N blue, O red, and P orange and with successive P atoms connected by orange rods. The protein is shown in ribbon form with its lower subunit colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*) and upper subunit pink. Each subunit contains a zinc finger (Section 24-4C), whose two Zn^{2+} ions (*cyan spheres*) are tetrahedrally liganded by Cys side chains shown in stick form with C green and S yellow. Note how the receptor's two N-terminal helices are inserted into adjacent major grooves of the DNA. However, only the lower subunit binds to the DNA in a sequence-specific manner; the upper subunit binds to the palindromic DNA one base pair closer to the center of the DNA molecule than does the lower subunit and hence does not make sequence-specific contacts with the DNA. [Based on an X-ray structure by Paul Sigler, Yale University. PDBid 1GLU.]

cases, they may also interact with cell-surface receptors). In the absence of their cognate steroid, these receptors are part of large multiprotein complexes. Steroid binding releases these receptors, whereon they dimerize and, if they are cytoplasmic, enter the nucleus, where they bind to their target HREs so as to induce, or in some cases repress, the transcription of the associated genes.

The X-ray structure of the 86-residue DNA-binding domain of rat **glucocorticoid receptor** (**GR;** which regulates carbohydrate, protein, and lipid metabolism) in complex with DNA containing two ideal 6-bp **glucocorticoid response element (GRE)** half-sites arranged in inverted repeats was determined by Paul Sigler and Keith Yamamoto (**Fig. 28-35**). The protein forms a symmetric dimer involving protein–protein contacts even though it exhibits no tendency to dimerize in the absence of DNA (NMR measurements indicate that the contact region is flexible in solution). Each protein subunit consists of two structurally distinct modules, each nucleated by a Zn^{2+} coordination center, that closely associate to form a compact globular fold. The C-terminal module provides the entire dimerization interface and also makes several contacts with the phosphate groups of the DNA backbone. The N-terminal module, which is also anchored to the phosphate backbone, makes all of the GR's sequence-specific interactions with the GRE via three side chains that extend from the N-terminal α helix, its recognition helix, which is inserted into the GRE's major groove.

Afterword. As we have seen, eukaryotic transcriptional initiation is an astoundingly complex process that involves large segments of DNA as well as the synergistic participation of numerous multisubunit complexes comprising several hundred often loosely or sequentially interacting polypeptides (i.e., histones, the PIC, Mediator, transcription factors, architectural factors, coactivators, corepressors, chromatin-remodeling complexes, histone-modifying enzymes, and DNA methylases and demethylases). Moreover, as we shall see below (Section 28-3C), multiple RNA molecules have been implicated in regulating gene expression. Despite the extensive characterization of many of these factors, we are far from having a more than rudimentary understanding of how the various components interact *in vivo* to transcribe only those genes required by their cell under its particular circumstances in the appropriate amounts and with the proper timing. Nevertheless, an increase in the complexity of transcriptional regulation—rather than an increase in gene number per se—is likely to be at least partially responsible for the vast differences in morphology and behavior among nematodes, fruit flies, and humans, which have comparable numbers of genes (Table 28-1).

C Posttranscriptional Control Mechanisms

Although much of the regulation of gene expression in eukaryotes occurs at the level of transcription, additional control mechanisms act after an RNA transcript has been synthesized. In this section, we consider several of these mechanisms, including mRNA degradation, RNA interference, the roles of lncRNAs, and control

of translation initiation. We have already discussed alternative mRNA splicing (Section 26-3B) as a posttranscriptional mechanism for modulating gene expression through the selection of different exons.

mRNAs Are Degraded at Different Rates. The range of mRNA stability in eukaryotic cells, measured in half-lives, varies from a few minutes to many hours or days. The mRNA molecules themselves appear to contain elements that dictate their decay rates. These elements include the poly(A) tail, the 5′ cap, and sequences that are located within the coding region.

A major route for mRNA degradation begins with the progressive removal of its poly(A) tail, a process catalyzed by **deadenylases** that appear to be located throughout the cytosol. When the residual poly(A) tail is less than ~10 nt long and hence no longer capable of interacting with poly(A)-binding protein (Section 26-3A), the mRNA becomes a substrate for a **decapping enzyme,** which hydrolytically excises the mRNA's m^7GDP cap. This is possible because, as we have seen (Section 27-4A), the translational initiation factor eIF4G interacts with both poly(A)-binding protein and cap-binding protein, thereby circularizing the mRNA so that events at its 3′ end can be coupled to events at its 5′ end. The decapped and deadenylated mRNA is then degraded by exonucleases, mainly the 1706-residue 5′ → 3′ exonuclease **Xrn1** and the 3′ → 5′ exonuclease complex named the **exosome** (which, confusingly, has the same name as vesicles that are secreted by mammalian cells). A decapping enzyme, 5′ → 3′ exonucleases, and accessory proteins form complexes called **P bodies** (P for *p*rocessing) that are visible in the light microscope and function both to degrade mRNA and to store it in an inactive form.

Proteins that bind to **AU-rich elements (AREs)** in the 3′ untranslated region of the mRNA also appear to increase or decrease the rate of mRNA degradation, although their exact action is not understood. RNA secondary structure and RNA-binding proteins, which may be susceptible to modification by cellular signaling pathways, play a role in regulating mRNA stability. mRNAs that cannot be translated due to the presence of a premature Stop codon are specifically targeted for degradation (Box 28-3).

In addition to their mRNA degrading function, eukaryotic exosomes have been implicated in the 3′-end processing of stable functional RNAs such as ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), and small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs). The eukaryotic core exosome consists of single copies of nine different subunits. Its X-ray structure (Fig. 28-36), determined by Christopher

Box 28-3 Perspectives in Biochemistry — Nonsense-Mediated Decay

Errors during DNA replication, transcription, or mRNA splicing can give rise to a Stop codon either through substitution of one nucleotide for another or through frameshifting. "Premature" Stop codons that interrupt a coding sequence may account for as many as one-third of human genetic diseases. Interestingly, eukaryotic mRNAs with premature Stop codons rarely produce the corresponding truncated polypeptide because the mRNA is destroyed through **nonsense-mediated decay (NMD)** soon after its synthesis.

How do cells distinguish a premature Stop codon from a normal Stop codon at the end of the coding sequence? Experiments suggest that the signal for NMD depends on RNA splicing. Following intron removal, an **exon-junction protein complex (EJC)** containing splicing factors and other components remains associated with each exon–exon junction, even after the mRNA has been exported to the cytosol for translation. An EJC following a Stop codon apparently marks the transcript for destruction.

A revised model for NMD proposes that quality control occurs before the mRNA leaves the nucleus. Although the bulk of translation occurs in the cytosol, *an estimated 10 to 15% of total translation in mammalian cells takes place inside the nucleus.* During nuclear translation, a ribosome paused at a Stop codon may be converted to a "surveillance" complex that then scans the rest of the mRNA for the presence of an EJC. If an EJC is detected, the mRNA is degraded by removal of its 5′ cap and 3′ poly(A) tail and by the action of exonucleases.

Two other quality-control mechanisms also depend on translation. mRNAs that lack Stop codons entirely are subject to **nonstop decay.** When the ribosome reaches the 3′ end of the defective mRNA, a protein known as **Ski7p,** which structurally resembles the release factor eRF3, binds to the A site and recruits an exosome to degrade the mRNA. In yeast, a mechanism known as **no-go decay** deals with mRNAs that include an obstacle such as a stable stem–loop that halts translation. In this case, the mRNA is cleaved by an endonuclease associated with the stalled ribosome.

FIG. 28-36 X-Ray structure of the human core exosome. The protein complex is drawn in ribbon form embedded in its semitransparent molecular surface, with each of its nine different subunits differently colored. The view is toward the face of the six-membered ring of subunits opposite that to which the three other subunits bind. [Based on an X-ray structure by Christopher Lima, Sloan-Kettering Institute, New York, New York. PDBid 2NN6.]

Lima, reveals that six of these subunits, **Rpr41** (Rpr for *r*RNA *pr*ocessing), **Rrp42, Mtr3, Rrp43, Rrp46,** and **Rrp45,** form a six-membered ring with the remaining three subunits, **Rrp4, Csl4,** and **Rrp40,** bound to the same face of the ring. The subunits are arranged such that the core exosome contains an ~9-Å-wide central channel that allows the entrance of only single-stranded RNAs.

The archaeal exosome appears to be a simpler version of the eukaryotic core exosome. Its six-membered ring consists of only two types of subunit, Rrp41 and Rrp42, that alternate around the ring, with three copies of Rrp4 bound to the same face of the ring. Only Rrp41 contains an active site although Rrp42 is required for activity. Not surprisingly, eukaryotic Rrp4, Mtr3, and Rrp46 are homologs of archaeal Rrp41, eukaryotic Rrp42, Rrp43, and Rrp45 are homologs of archaeal Rrp42, and eukaryotic Rrp4, Csl4, and Rrp40 are homologs of archaeal Rrp4. Nevertheless, despite the fact that each of its core subunits is essential for viability, eukaryotic core exosomes, from yeast to humans, are catalytically inactive. However, the core exosome associates with two 3′-exonucleases, **Rrp6** and **Rrp44,** whose catalytically inactive mutants are individually viable in yeast but lethal in combination. Moreover, the core exosome interacts with numerous mostly multisubunit cofactors that carry out a variety of RNA processing activities in both the nucleus and the cytosol. Thus, the eukaryotic core exosome appears to be a structural platform on which many RNA processing enzymes can be mounted.

RNA Interference Is a Type of Posttranscriptional Gene Silencing. Since the 1990s, it has become increasingly clear that *noncoding RNAs can have important roles in controlling gene expression*. One of the first indications of this phenomenon occurred in Richard Jorgensen's attempt to genetically engineer more vividly purple petunias by introducing extra copies of the gene that directs the synthesis of the purple pigment. Unexpectedly, the resulting transgenic plants had variegated or entirely white flowers. Apparently, the purple-making genes switched each other off. This result was at first attributed to the well-known phenomenon in which **antisense RNA** (RNA that is complementary to a portion of an mRNA) prevents the translation of the corresponding mRNA because the ribosome cannot translate double-stranded RNA. However, injecting **sense RNA** (RNA with the same sequence as the mRNA) into experimental organisms such as the nematode worm *C. elegans* also blocked protein production. Since the added RNA somehow interferes with gene expression, this phenomenon is known as **RNA interference (RNAi)**. RNAi is now known to occur in all eukaryotes except baker's yeast.

Further experiments by Andrew Fire and Craig Mello revealed that double-stranded RNA is even more effective than either of its component strands alone at interfering with gene expression. In fact, RNAi can be induced by just a few molecules of double-stranded RNA, indicating that RNAi is a catalytic rather than a stoichiometric phenomenon.

RNA interference is not merely an artifact of genetic engineering. In many cells, naturally occurring small RNA molecules, called **short interfering RNAs** (**siRNAs**) or **microRNAs** (**miRNAs**), depending on their origin, down-regulate gene expression by binding to complementary mRNA molecules. Thousands of miRNAs, ranging in length from 18 to 25 nucleotides, have been identified in mammals, although their mRNA targets are only beginning to be characterized.

Several Nucleases Participate in RNAi. Work with exogenous double-stranded RNA in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* has led to the elucidation of the following pathway for RNAi (Fig. 28-37):

1. The trigger double-stranded RNA, as Phillip Zamore discovered, is chopped up into ~21- to 23-nt fragments (that is, siRNAs), each of whose

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 28-37 A mechanism of RNA interference. ATP is required for Dicer-catalyzed cleavage of RNA and for RISC-associated helicase unwinding of double-stranded RNA. Depending on the species, the mRNA may not be completely degraded. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **Explain why RNAi is a mechanism for "silencing" genes.**

strands has a 5′ phosphate and a 2-nt overhang at its 3′ end. The cleavage reaction is catalyzed by an ATP-dependent RNase named **Dicer,** a homodimer of ~1900-residue subunits in animals that is a member of the **RNase III** family of double-strand–specific RNA endonucleases.

2. An siRNA is transferred to a 250- to 500-kD enzyme complex known as the **RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC).** RISC has at least four protein components, one of which is an ATP-dependent RNA helicase that separates the two strands of the siRNA. The strand whose 5′ end has the lower free energy of binding, the **guide RNA,** is bound by the RISC whereas its complementary strand, the **passenger RNA,** is cleaved and discarded. In some species, but apparently not in humans, the original siRNA signal is amplified by the action of an **RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.**
3. The **guide RNA** recruits the RISC complex to an mRNA with the complementary sequence.
4. An RNase III component of RISC known as **Argonaute** (**Ago;** also called **Slicer**) cleaves the mRNA opposite the bound guide RNA. The cleaved mRNA is then further degraded by cellular nucleases, thereby preventing its translation.

Although the molecular machinery involved in RNAi is highly conserved from yeast to humans, variations abound, even within a single organism. For example, different Dicer enzymes can potentially generate different lengths of siRNAs, which may differ in their gene-silencing activities. The exact length of the siRNA produced by Dicer, or the degree to which its sequence exactly complements the mRNA sequence, may determine whether a corresponding mRNA is completely degraded or just rendered nontranslatable.

The X-ray structure of Dicer from the parasitic protozoan *Giardia intestinalis,* determined by Jennifer Doudna, reveals a hatchet-shaped enzyme with two RNase active sites, each of which includes four conserved acidic residues that bind two Mg^{2+} ions. The active sites are 17.5 Å apart, the width of dsRNA's major groove, and thus appear positioned to cleave the two strands of a bound dsRNA (Fig. 28-38). The so-called PAZ domain (bottom of Fig. 28-38) specifically binds dsRNA ends that have a 3′ two-nucleotide overhang. The distance between this binding site and the closest RNase active site is 65 Å, the length of a 25-bp dsRNA.

The X-ray structure of the 859-residue human Argonaute2 (Ago2) in complex with an 8-nt segment of guide RNA, determined by Ian MacRae, reveals a bilobal protein that binds the guide RNA in a deep cleft (Fig. 28-39). The RNA,

Courtesy of Jennifer Doudna, Universityof California at Berkeley

FIG. 28-38 X-Ray structure of Dicer from *G. intestinalis.* The protein is represented by its molecular surface colored according to its surface charge with red negative, blue positive, and white neutral. Bound Mg^{2+} ions, which are represented by green spheres, mark the active site of each of the protein's two RNase domains. A dsRNA has been modeled into the structure with its 3′ overhang entering the PAZ domain's binding pocket (asterisk). The white arrows point to the dsRNA's scissile phosphate groups. PDBid 2FFL.

? **Explain why the protein surface is mostly positively charged.**

FIG. 28-39 X-Ray structure of human Ago2 in complex with an 8-nt segment of guide RNA. The protein is drawn in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The RNA is shown in paddle form with C green, N blue, and O red, with successive P atoms joined by orange rods. [Based on an X-ray structure by Ian MacRae, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California. PDBid 4OLA.]

FIG. 28-40 The generation of miRNAs from pri-miRNAs and their RISC-mediated binding to target mRNAs. See the text for details.

which apparently originated from 10- to 20-nt cellular RNAs in the crystallization preparation and was modeled as poly(A), assumes an A-like conformation in which bases 2 to 6 are splayed out to pre-order them for base pairing with a complementary segment of mRNA.

The ease with which RNAi can be induced by exogenous RNAs suggests that the pathway may have arisen as a defense against viruses. In many eukaryotes, the major source of double-stranded RNA is RNA viruses, many of which encode their own RNA-dependent RNA polymerase to convert their single-stranded genome into double-stranded RNA. In fact, many plant viruses contain genes that suppress various steps of RNAi and that are therefore essential for pathogenesis.

Micro RNAs Regulate Gene Expression. *A wide variety of eukaryotes, including plants, nematodes, flies, fish, and mammals, use RNAi to control gene expression.* Certain mRNAs expressed by these organisms contain ~70-nt, imperfectly base-paired, stem–loop structures that are excised by the so-called **microprocessor complex,** which consists of a 1374-residue RNase III named **Drosha** and the 772-residue **DGCR8** (for *D*i*G*eorge syndrome *c*ritical *r*egion gene *8*), a protein that contains two double-stranded RNA-binding domains (**Fig. 28-40**). The stem–loops are exported from the nucleus to the cytosol where they are cleaved by Dicer to liberate ~22-bp dsRNAs known as **micro RNAs (miRNAs;** so called to differentiate these endogenous RNAs from the exogenous siRNAs). The transcripts from which miRNAs are derived are known as **pri-miRNAs** (pri for *pri*mary), whereas the stem–loops are called **pre-miRNAs** (pre for *pre*cursor). Pre-miRNAs can be located within both the introns and, less commonly, the exons of a pri-miRNA. *The miRNAs bind to RISC in which they function to identify the tens to hundreds of mRNAs containing segments that are partially complementary to the miRNA.*

The RISC-bound miRNA binds to its target site, which is usually in the 3′ untranslated region (3′UTR) of an mRNA. A lack of perfect complementarity prevents Argonaute from cleaving the mRNA (Argonaute catalyzes slicing only if there is perfect complementarity to the miRNA's so-called **seed sequence,** which consists of nucleotides 2 to 6 from its 5′ end), and in fact, many species of Argonaute lack the catalytic residues to do so (of the four human Argonautes, only Ago2 is catalytically active). Instead, miRNA-mediated silencing is thought to occur through the removal of its target mRNA's poly(A) tail or its m^7G cap, which leads to the mRNA's degradation, and/or the RISC-mediated repression of the target mRNA's translation by interfering with ribosomal initiation (Section 27-4A) and sequestering or degrading the mRNA in P bodies.

In 1993, Victor Ambros discovered the first known miRNA, which is encoded by the *lin-4* gene of *C. elegans* (**Fig. 28-41*a***). The *lin-4* gene was known to control the timing of larval development, although at the time it was thought that it encoded a protein that repressed the expression of the *lin-14* gene. In fact, the *lin-4* miRNA is complementary to seven sites on the 3′UTR of the *lin-14* gene, which had previously been shown to mediate the repression of *lin-14* by the *lin-4* gene product. A puzzling observation at the time was that this regulation greatly reduces the amount of LIN-14 protein produced without altering the level of *lin-14* mRNA. These findings were eventually followed by the discovery that the *C. elegans let-7* gene encodes what is now known to be an miRNA (**Fig. 28-41*b***) that controls the transition from larval to adult stages of development. Subsequently, *let-7* homologs were identified in the *Drosophila* and human genomes and *let-7* RNA was detected in these organisms as well as in numerous other animals.

Both the *lin-4* and *let-7* miRNAs were discovered by genetic analyses. However most of the nearly 10,000 miRNAs in plants and animals that are now known, including those in **Fig. 28-41*c***, were identified through bioinformatic approaches (Section 6-2E). Nearly all miRNAs are conserved among closely related animals (e.g., mice and humans) and many are more broadly conserved

throughout animal lineages (e.g., more than one-third of the 174 *C. elegans* miRNAs have homologs in humans). *The significance of miRNAs is indicated by the fact that humans express >1000 miRNAs that participate in regulating at least 30% of their protein-coding genes.*

RNAi Has Numerous Applications. The exquisite specificity of RNAi has made it a widely used method for "knocking out" specific genes in plants and invertebrates. For example, in *C. elegans,* RNAi has been used to systematically inactivate over 16,000 of its ~19,000 protein-coding genes in an attempt to assign a function to each gene. *C. elegans* is particularly amenable to the RNAi approach, since these worms eat *E. coli* cells, and it is relatively easy to genetically engineer the bacterial cells to express double-stranded RNA that becomes part of the worms' diet. One limitation of the RNAi method is that only gene inactivation—rather than gene activation—can be examined.

Manipulating the RNAi pathway in mammals is more problematic, mainly due to the difficulty of delivering double-stranded RNA to cells and eliciting more than transient gene silencing. To complicate matters, mammalian cells have additional pathways for dealing with foreign RNA, which result in nonspecific degradation of RNA and cessation of all translation (these responses probably also help prevent infection by RNA viruses). Nevertheless, experiments have demonstrated that it is possible to use RNAi to block the liver's inflammatory response to a hepatitis virus, at least in mice, and to prevent HIV replication in cultured human cells. One challenge for the future is to devise protocols for more specific and longer-lasting gene silencing that would make it possible to prevent viral infections or to block the effects of disease-causing mutant genes.

lncRNAs Have Essential Regulatory Functions. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs; also called **lincRNAs** for **long intervening noncoding RNAs**) are RNAs of >200 nt (to distinguish them from short regulatory RNAs such as miRNAs) that, like mRNAs, are transcribed by RNAP II, 5′-capped, polyadenylated, and spliced, but do not encode proteins. For many years, it was assumed that lncRNAs are nonfunctional and arose through spurious transcription (transcriptional "noise"). However, it is becoming increasingly evident that many lncRNAs have crucial regulatory functions. Indeed, we have already met two such lncRNAs, *Xist* and *Tsix* RNAs, which participate in X chromosome inactivation (Box 28-2).

The large-scale sequencing of cDNA libraries by next-generation sequencing techniques (Section 3-4D) has unexpectedly shown that mammals transcribe tens of thousands of lncRNAs, the majority of which are likely to be functional, although only a small fraction of them have yet been characterized. For example, John Rinn has shown that a lncRNA named HOTAIR [for *Ho*x *t*ranscript *a*nti-sense *R*NA; *Hox* genes organize the body plans of bilateral organisms (Section 28-4D)] binds to **Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2),** which functions to di- and trimethylate Lys 27 of histone H3, a transcriptionally repressive mark. PRC2 is thereby targeted to the *HoxD* gene cluster via base pairing between segments of HOTAIR and *HoxD* DNA. This silences the transcription of the *HoxD* genes, thus regulating the epigenetic differentiation of the skin over different parts of the body as well as the skeleton and other tissues. *Xist* RNA similarly recruits PRC2 to the X chromosome, resulting in its inactivation through histone H3 Lys 27 methylation. In fact, PRC2 has been shown to bind hundreds of lncRNAs, which presumably permits PRC2 to influence the transcription of a wide variety of specific genes.

Other lncRNAs regulate transcription by binding to the general transcription factors, Mediator, or RNAP II. Some bind directly to their target mRNAs so as to influence pre-mRNA processing, transport, translation, and degradation. Clearly, *lncRNAs have critical and widespread roles in the regulation of eukaryotic gene expression.*

FIG. 28-41 The predicted stem–loops of some pre-miRNAs. The miRNAs contained in these pre-mRNAs, all of which are from *C. elegans,* are red. (*a*) lin-4, (*b*) let-7, and (*c*) miR-1 and miR-1* (*in blue*), which are largely complementary to each other.

mRNA Translation May Be Controlled. In some cells, altering the rates of mRNA production or degradation does not provide the necessary level of control. For example, the early embryonic development of sea urchins, insects, and frogs depends on the rapid translation of mRNA that has been stockpiled in the oocyte. The mRNA is stored in inactive form in association with proteins but on fertilization becomes available for translation. This permits embryogenesis to commence immediately, without waiting for mRNAs from paternally supplied genes to be synthesized.

Globin synthesis in reticulocytes (immature red blood cells) also proceeds rapidly, but only if heme is available. The inhibition of globin synthesis occurs at the level of translation initiation. In the absence of heme, reticulocytes accumulate a protein, **heme-regulated inhibitor (HRI).** HRI is a kinase that phosphorylates a specific Ser residue, Ser 51, on the α subunit of eIF2 (the initiation factor that delivers GTP and Met–$tRNA_i^{Met}$ to the ribosome; Section 27-4A).

Phosphorylated eIF2 participates in translation initiation in much the same way as unphosphorylated eIF2, but it is not regenerated normally. At the completion of the initiation process, unmodified eIF2 exchanges its bound GDP for GTP in a reaction mediated by another initiation factor, **eIF2B:**

Phosphorylated eIF2 forms a much tighter complex with eIF2B than does unphosphorylated eIF2. This sequesters eIF2B (Fig. 28-42), which is present in lesser amounts than is eIF2, thereby preventing regeneration of the eIF2 · GTP required for translation.

In the presence of heme, the heme-binding sites in HRI are occupied, which inactivates the kinase. The eIF2 molecules that are already phosphorylated are reactivated through the action of **eIF2 phosphatase,** which is unaffected by heme. The reticulocyte thereby coordinates its synthesis of globin and heme.

D Antibody Diversity Results from Somatic Recombination and Hypermutation

As described in Section 7-3B, an individual can generate an extraordinary number of different antibody molecules from a limited number of immunoglobulin

FIG. 28-42 A model for heme-controlled protein synthesis in reticulocytes.

FIG. 28-43 The organization and rearrangement of the κ chain gene family in humans. The germline κ chain gene family consists of ~40 sequential pairs of L_κ and V_κ gene segments followed by 5 J_κ segments and a C_κ segment. (**1**) During lymphocyte differentiation, a single L_κ–V_κ unit is joined to a J_κ unit through somatic recombination. In the progeny B cells, the rearranged gene is transcribed (**2**) and spliced (**3**) to join the previously selected L_κ, V_κ, and J_κ exons with the C_κ exon. This diagram is not drawn to scale.

gene segments. This feat is accomplished through an unusual mutation process and through **somatic recombination** in differentiating cells of the immune system. Homologous recombination (Section 25-6A), a fundamental feature of reproduction in multicellular organisms, occurs only in germline cells. The mechanism whereby a developing B lymphocyte "selects" light and heavy chain gene segments for expression is described below. Similar events occur in T lymphocytes to generate a large number of unique T cell receptors.

Immunoglobulin Chain Genes Are Assembled from Multiple Gene Segments. One of the two types of immunoglobulin light chains, the **κ chain,** is encoded by four exons (Fig. 28-43):

1. A **leader** or **L_κ segment,** which encodes a 17- to 20-residue hydrophobic signal peptide. This polypeptide directs newly synthesized κ chains to the endoplasmic reticulum and is then excised (Section 9-4D).
2. A **V_κ segment,** which encodes the first 95 residues of the κ chain's 108-residue variable region.
3. A **joining** or **J_κ segment,** which encodes the variable region's remaining 13 residues.
4. The **C_κ segment,** which encodes the κ chain's constant region.

In embryonic tissues (which do not make antibodies), the exons occur in clusters. The human κ chain gene family contains ~40 functional L_κ and V_κ segments, separated by introns, with the L_κ–V_κ units separated from each other by ~7-kb spacers. This sequence of exon pairs is followed, well downstream, by 5 J_κ segments at intervals of ~300 bp, a 2.4-kb spacer, and a single C_κ segment.

The assembly of a κ chain mRNA is a complex process involving both somatic recombination and selective mRNA splicing (Section 26-3B) over several cell generations. The first step of this process, which occurs in B cell progenitor cells, is an intrachromosomal recombination that joins an L_κ–V_κ unit to a J_κ segment and deletes the intervening DNA sequences (Fig. 28-43). Then, in later cell generations, the entire modified gene is transcribed and the resulting transcript is selectively spliced so as to join the L_κ–V_κ–J_κ unit to the C_κ segment. The L_κ and V_κ segments are also spliced together in this step, yielding an mRNA that encodes one of each of the four elements of a κ chain gene.

The joining of 1 of 40 functional V_κ segments to 1 of 5 J_κ segments can generate only $40 \times 5 = 200$ different κ chains, far less than the number observed. However, studies of many joining events involving the same V_κ and J_κ segments revealed that *the V/J recombination site is not precisely defined; the two gene segments can join at different points* (Fig. 28-44). Consequently, the amino acids

FIG. 28-44 Variation at the V_κ/J_κ joint. The point at which the V_κ and J_κ sequences somatically recombine varies by several nucleotides, thereby giving rise to different nucleotide sequences in the active κ gene. In this example of junctional flexibility, the second amino acid can be Ser, Gly, or Asp.

specified by the codons in the vicinity of the *V/J* joint, which corresponds to the third hypervariable loop (Fig. 7-40), depend on which nucleotides are supplied by the germline V_κ segment and which are supplied by the germline J_κ segment. Assuming that this junctional flexibility increases the possible κ chain diversity 10-fold, the expected number of possible different κ chains is increased to around $40 \times 5 \times 10 = 2000$.

The other type of immunoglobulin light chain, the **λ chain,** is similarly encoded by a gene family containing $\boldsymbol{L_\lambda}$, $\boldsymbol{V_\lambda}$, $\boldsymbol{J_\lambda}$, and $\boldsymbol{C_\lambda}$ segments whose recombination likewise yields a large number of possible polypeptides.

Heavy chain genes are assembled in much the same way as are light chain genes but with the additional inclusion of an ~13-bp **diversity** or ***D* segment** between their V_H and J_H segments. The human heavy chain gene family consists of clusters of ~65 different functional L_H–V_H units, ~27 *D* segments, 6 J_H segments, and 9 C_H segments (**Fig. 28-45**). Germline V_H, *D*, and J_H segments are joined in a particular order (*D* is joined to J_H before V_H is joined to DJ_H), and the joining sites are subject to the same junctional flexibility as are light chain *V/J* sites. Thus the number of possible different heavy chains is around $65 \times 27 \times 6 \times 9 \times 10^2 = 9.5 \times 10^6$.

Somatic Recombination Occurs at Specific Sequences. Highly conserved sequences flanking the *V*, *D*, and *J* gene segments act as **recombination signal sequences (RSS;** **Fig. 28-46*a***). Each RSS consists of a palindromic heptamer and an AT-rich nonamer separated by either 12 bp (corresponding to ~1 turn of the DNA helix) or 23 bp (corresponding to ~2 helical turns). The recombination machinery joins a segment with a so-called 12-RSS to a segment with a 23-RSS. Because each V_κ gene segment only has a 12-RSS and each J_κ segment only has a 23-RSS, somatic recombination cannot join two V_κ segments or two J_κ segments. Similarly the distribution of 12-RSS and 23-RSS elements in heavy chain gene segments is such that V_H must join to *D,* and *D* to J_H, which precludes V_H/J_H joining (**Fig. 28-46*b***).

FIG. 28-45 The organization and rearrangement of the heavy chain gene family in humans. This gene family consists of ~65 sequential pairs of L_H and V_H gene segments followed by ~27 *D* segments, 6 J_H segments, and 9 C_H segments (one for each class or subclass of heavy chains; Table 7-2). During lymphocyte differentiation, an L_H–V_H unit is joined to a *D* segment and a J_H segment. In the process, the *D* segment becomes flanked by short stretches of random sequence called *N* regions. In the B cell and its progeny, transcription and splicing join the L_H–V_H–*N*–*D*–*N*–J_H unit to one of the 9 C_H gene segments. This diagram is not drawn to scale.

FIG. 28-46 Recombination signal sequences. (*a*) The RSS elements consist of 7-bp and 9-bp conserved sequences separated by 23-bp or 12-bp spacers (*white and black arrowheads*). (*b*) Locations of 12-RSS (*black*) and 23-RSS (*white*) elements relative to light chain and heavy chain gene segments. Recombination, represented by the double-headed arrows, always links a gene segment with a 12-RSS to a gene segment with a 23-RSS, thereby ensuring that the V_κ/J_κ and $V_H/D/J_H$ gene sequences are properly assembled.

Recombination requires enzymes that are synthesized only in developing lymphocytes, as well as ubiquitous DNA repair proteins. All ***V(D)J* joining** reactions are catalyzed by an evolutionarily conserved ***V(D)J* recombinase** system. Indeed, David Baltimore discovered two proteins, **RAG1** and **RAG2** (RAG for *r*ecombination *a*ctivating *g*enes), that work in concert to recognize the RSS elements of two different gene segments, align them, and catalyze DNA cleavage. This process is assisted by HMG proteins **HMG1** and **HMG2,** which appear to bend the DNA. Under laboratory conditions, RAG1 and RAG2 can catalyze transposition, that is, the relocation of a DNA segment to another DNA molecule (Section 25-6C), consistent with the proposal that the RAG proteins originated as a transposon that appeared in vertebrates around 450 million years ago.

During recombination, the two RSS elements are neatly excised, but their adjacent coding sequences may be trimmed by nucleases and unevenly joined, thereby contributing to junctional flexibility (Fig. 28-44). The trimming and ligating reactions are not unique to lymphocytes but apparently make use of a variety of DNA repair enzymes that function in all cells (Section 25-5).

In addition, a few nucleotides may be added to the recombination joints, either as part of the DNA-repair process or, in the case of heavy chain gene assembly, by the action of the lymphocyte-specific enzyme **terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase.** This DNA polymerase is unusual in that it does not require a template. It may add up to 15 nucleotides at the V_H/D_H and D_H/J_H joints, which, of course, greatly increases the potential for sequence diversity in the encoded variable region of the immunoglobulin chain.

Hypermutation Is a Further Source of Antibody Diversity. Despite the enormous antibody diversity generated by somatic recombination, immunoglobulins are subject to even more variation that arises as the B cells undergo cell division. The amino acid sequences of the variable regions of both heavy and light chains are more diverse than is expected from their original germline nucleotide sequences. Indeed, these regions mutate at rates of up to 10^{-3} base changes per nucleotide per cell generation, rates that are at least a millionfold higher than the rates of spontaneous mutation in other genes. This **somatic hypermutation** of immunoglobulin gene segments is initiated by the expression, in proliferating B

CHECKPOINT

- Why is chromatin remodeling necessary for efficient gene expression?
- List some ways that histones can be covalently modified.
- Describe how histone modifications can affect the structure of nucleosomes and the function of transcription factors.
- Summarize the role of DNA methylation in epigenetic inheritance and imprinting.
- Explain why eukaryotic gene expression requires proteins in addition to the six general transcription factors.
- Why is the spacing between enhancers and promoters variable?
- What is the role of Mediator in transcription?
- How is hormone signaling linked to gene expression?
- Describe the steps of RNA interference.
- Compare gene silencing by siRNA and miRNA.
- Describe how antibody diversity is generated. List the responsible enzymatic activities that are unique to lymphocytes.

cells, of a cytidine deaminase named **activation-induced deaminase (AID).** The resulting uracil may then participate in normal DNA replication, yielding a C · G to T · A transition (Section 25-4A). More frequently, however, the uracil is excised by uracil–DNA glycosylase (Section 25-5B) and replaced by a base excision repair (BER; Section 25-5B), a process that employs error-prone translesion DNA polymerases (Section 25-5E). The mutations occur throughout the *V/J* and *V/D/J* regions but are concentrated at the sequences corresponding to the three hypervariable loops of each chain. DNA sequences in other genes do not seem to be affected.

Somatic hypermutation acts over many cell generations after antigen stimulation. Because B cells producing antibodies with high affinity for their antigen tend to proliferate faster than B cells producing poorly binding antibodies, the pool of antibody molecules becomes exquisitely tailored to a particular antigen over time. The diversity arising from somatic recombination, with its junctional flexibility, and from hypermutation thereby permits an individual's immune system to cope, in a kind of Darwinian struggle, with the rapid mutation rates of pathogenic microorganisms.

4 The Cell Cycle, Cancer, Apoptosis, and Development

KEY CONCEPTS

- Cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases regulate progress through the cell cycle.
- Tumor suppressors such as p53 alter gene expression to arrest the cell cycle.
- Apoptosis leads to cell death in response to internal or external signals.
- Development programs are genetically controlled in a sequential fashion.

In addition to selecting *which* genes to express from among the thousands that are present in its genome, a cell must decide *when* to express them. Thus a cell can undertake a particular developmental program or respond effectively to changing conditions. The events of the eukaryotic cell cycle, encompassing normal and abnormal cell reproduction, as well as cell death, illustrate how particular gene products regulate a cell's activities at different times.

A Progress through the Cell Cycle Is Tightly Regulated

The **cell cycle,** the general sequence of events that occur during the lifetime of a eukaryotic cell, is divided into four distinct phases (Fig. 28-47):

1. Mitosis and cell division occur during the relatively brief **M phase** (for *m*itosis).
2. This is followed by the **G_1 phase** (for *g*ap), which covers the longest part of the cell cycle.
3. G_1 gives way to the **S phase** (for *s*ynthesis), which, in contrast to events in prokaryotes, *is the only period in the cell cycle when DNA is synthesized.*
4. During the relatively short **G_2 phase,** the now tetraploid cell prepares for mitosis. It then enters M phase once again and thereby commences a new round of the cell cycle.

FIG. 28-47 The eukaryotic cell cycle. Cells may enter a quiescent phase (G_0) rather than continuing about the cycle.

? **Describe the types of activities that likely occur during each phase.**

The cell cycle for cells in culture typically occupies a 16- to 24-h period. In contrast, cell cycle times for the different types of cells in a multicellular organism may vary from as little as 8 h to >100 days. Most of this variation occurs in the G_1 phase. Moreover, many terminally differentiated cells, such as neurons or muscle cells, never divide; they assume a quiescent state known as the **G_0 phase.**

Progression through the cell cycle is triggered by external as well as internal signals. In addition, the cell cycle has a series of **checkpoints** that monitor its progress and the health of the cell and arrest the cell cycle if certain conditions have not been satisfied. For example, G_2 has a checkpoint that prevents the initiation of M until all of the cell's DNA has been replicated, thereby ensuring that both daughter cells will receive a full complement of DNA. Similarly, a checkpoint in M prevents mitosis until all chromosomes have properly attached to the mitotic spindle (if this were not the case, even for one chromosome, one daughter cell would lack this chromosome and the other would have two, both deleterious, if not lethal, conditions). Checkpoints in G_1 and S also arrest the cell cycle in response to damaged DNA to give the cell time to repair the damage (Section 25-5). In the cells of multicellular organisms, if after a time the checkpoint conditions have not been met, the cell may be directed to commit suicide, a process named **apoptosis** (see below), thereby preventing the proliferation of an irreparably damaged and hence dangerous (e.g., cancerous) cell.

The Activities of Cyclin-Dependent Protein Kinases Change throughout the Cell Cycle. The progression of a cell through the cell cycle is regulated by proteins known as **cyclins** and **cyclin-dependent protein kinases (Cdks).** Cyclins are so named because they are synthesized during one phase of the cell cycle and are completely degraded during a succeeding phase (protein degradation is discussed in Section 21-1). A particular cyclin specifically binds to and thereby activates its corresponding Cdk(s), which are Ser/Thr protein kinases, to phosphorylate their target nuclear proteins. These proteins, which include histone H1, several oncogene proteins (see below), and proteins involved in nuclear disassembly and cytoskeletal rearrangement, are thereby activated to carry out the processes making up that phase of the cell cycle.

The human Cdk named **Cdk2** is activated by the binding of **cyclin A** and by phosphorylation of its Thr 160 as catalyzed by **Cdk-activating kinase** (**CAK;** this kinase is itself a complex of **cyclin H** and **Cdk7**). Phosphorylation of Cdk2 residues Thr 14 and Tyr 15 negatively regulates Cdk2 activity. The X-ray structure of unphosphorylated Cdk2 in complex with ATP but in the absence of cyclin A (**Fig. 28-48*a***) closely resembles that of the catalytic subunit of protein kinase A (PKA; Fig. 13-21). However, Cdk2 is inactive as a kinase in part because access of protein substrates to the γ-phosphate of the bound ATP is blocked by a 19-residue protein loop dubbed the **T loop** (which contains Thr 160). Phosphorylation of Thr 160 and binding of cyclin A alter the conformation of Cdk2 (**Fig. 28-48*b***). In particular, the N-terminal α helix of Cdk2, which contains the PSTAIRE sequence motif characteristic of the Cdk family, rotates about its axis by 90° and moves several angstroms in order to position several residues in a catalytically active arrangement. In addition, Cdk2's T loop undergoes a dramatic reorganization, involving position shifts of up to 21 Å, that allows protein substrates access to the active site. The phosphate group on Thr 160 fits snugly into a positively charged pocket composed of three Arg residues that forms, in part, on cyclin A binding.

In addition to their control by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation and by the binding of the appropriate cyclin, *Cdk activities are regulated by* ***cyclin dependent kinase inhibitors (CKIs),*** *which arrest the cell cycle in response to such antiproliferative signals as contact with other cells, DNA damage, terminal differentiation, and senescence (in which cell cycle arrest is permanent).* The importance of CKIs is indicated by their frequent alterations in cancer, which is

FIG. 28-48 X-Ray structure of human cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (Cdk2). (*a*) Cdk2 in complex with ATP. The protein is shown in the "standard" protein kinase orientation with its N-terminal lobe pink, its C-terminal lobe cyan, its PSTAIRE helix (residues 45–56) magenta, and its T loop (residues 152–170) orange. The ATP is shown in space-filling form and the phosphorylatable side chains of Thr 14, Tyr 15, and Thr 160 are shown in stick form, all colored with C green, N blue, O red, and P yellow. [Based on an X-ray structure by Sung-Hou Kim, University of California at Berkeley. PDBid 1HCK.] (*b*) The complex of Thr 160–phosphorylated Cdk2 with cyclin A and ATP. The Cdk2 and ATP are represented as in Part *a* and viewed similarly. The cyclin A is colored light and dark green. The phosphoryl group at Thr 160 of Cdk2 is drawn in space-filling form. Note how the binding of cyclin A together with the phosphorylation of Thr 160 has caused a major structural reorganization of the T loop and the Cdk2 N-terminal lobe, including its PSTAIRE helix. Also note the different conformations of the ATP triphosphate group in the two structures. [Based on an X-ray structure by Nikola Pavletich, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York. PDBid 1JST.]

? Compare the structure of Cdk2 with that of protein kinase A (PKA; Fig. 13-21).

manifest as uncontrolled cell division. The pathways by which sensor proteins monitor cellular conditions and activate or inactivate Cdks are not yet fully understood. However, considerable information has been provided by studies of proteins, including p53 and pRb (see below), whose mutations are associated with loss of cell cycle control.

B Tumor Suppressors Prevent Cancer

Individuals with the rare inherited condition known as **Li–Fraumeni syndrome** are highly susceptible to a variety of malignant tumors, particularly breast cancer, which they often develop before their thirtieth birthdays. These individuals have germline mutations in their ***p53*** gene, which suggests that its normal protein product, **p53** (a *p*olypeptide with a nominal mass of *53* kD), is a **tumor suppressor**. In other words, *p53 functions to restrain the uninhibited cell proliferation that is characteristic of cancer.* Indeed, the *p53* gene is the most commonly altered gene in human cancers; ~50% of human cancers contain a mutation in *p53,* and many other oncogenic (cancer-causing) mutations occur in genes that encode proteins that directly or indirectly interact with p53. Evidently, p53 functions as a "molecular policeman" in monitoring genome integrity.

p53 Arrests the Cell Cycle in G_2. Despite the central role of p53 in preventing tumor formation, the way it does so has only gradually come to light. p53 is specifically bound by **Mdm2** protein, a ubiquitin-protein ligase (E3) that specifically ubiquitinates p53, thereby marking it for proteolytic degradation by the proteasome (Section 21-1). Consequently, the amplification (generation of several copies) of the *mdm2* gene, which occurs in >35% of human **sarcomas** (none of which have a mutated *p53* gene; sarcomas are malignancies of connective tissues such as muscle, tendon, and bone), results in an increased rate of degradation of p53, thereby predisposing cells to malignant transformation. Thus, *an additional way that oncogenes (cancer-causing genes; Box 13-3) can cause cancer is by inactivating normal tumor suppressors.*

p53 is an efficient transcriptional activator. Indeed, all point-mutated forms of p53 that are implicated in cancer have lost their sequence-specific DNA-binding properties. How, then, does p53 function as a tumor suppressor? A clue to this riddle came from the observation that the treatment of cells with DNA-damaging ionizing radiation results in the accumulation of normal p53. This led to the discovery that the activated protein kinases **ATM** and **Chk2** both phosphorylate p53 [ATM for *a*taxia *t*elangiectasia *m*utated (**ataxia telangiectasia** is a rare genetic disease characterized by a progressive loss of motor control, growth retardation, premature aging, and a greatly increased risk of cancer); Chk for *ch*eckpoint *k*inase]. ATM and Chk2 had previously been shown to be activated by and participate in a phosphorylation cascade that induces cell cycle arrest at the G_2 checkpoint on the detection of damaged or unreplicated DNA. The phosphorylation of p53 prevents its binding by Mdm2 and hence increases the otherwise low level of p53 in the nucleus. *Although p53 does not initiate cell cycle arrest in G_2, its presence is required to prolong this process. It does so by activating the transcription of the gene encoding the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor (CKI) named* ***$p21^{Cip1}$****, which binds to several Cdk–cyclin complexes so as to inhibit both the G_1/S and G_2/M transitions.*

Cells that are irreparably damaged synthesize excessive levels of p53, which in turn induces these cells to commit suicide by activating the expression of several of the proteins that participate in apoptosis (see below). In the absence of p53 activation, cells control the level of p53 through a feedback loop in which p53 stimulates the transcription of the ***mdm2*** gene.

In addition to the foregoing, p53 represses the expression of numerous genes. One mechanism through which it does so is by directly or indirectly stimulating the transcription of certain microRNAs (miRNAs), which in turn repress the expression of a variety of pro-proliferative proteins (Section 28-3C). The most conspicuous of these miRNAs is **miR-34a,** which is implicated in inducing senescence and facilitating apoptosis (cell death; see below).

A second repressive mechanism involves a lncRNA named **lincRNA-p21** (because its gene neighbors that of p21, although the significance of this, if any, is unknown). The transcription of lincRNA-p21, as Rinn discovered, is induced by p53. LincRNA-p21 forms a complex with **heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (hnRNP-K;** hnRNPs are proteins that bind hnRNAs and influence their posttranscriptional processing) that targets it to hundreds of genes, many of which are pro-proliferative, thereby repressing their transcription.

The X-Ray Structure of p53 Explains Its Oncogenic Mutations. p53 is a tetramer of identical 393-residue subunits, which each contain a sequence-specific DNA-binding core. The X-ray structure of this domain (residues 102–313) in complex with a 21-bp target DNA sequence, determined by Nikola Pavletich, is shown in **Fig. 28-49**. The p53 DNA-binding motif does not resemble any other that has previously been characterized (Sections 24-4B and 24-4C). It makes sequence-specific contacts with the bases of the DNA in its major groove (lower right of Fig. 28-49). In addition, the side chain of Arg 248 extends into the DNA's minor groove (upper right of Fig. 28-49). The protein also contacts the

FIG. 28-49 X-Ray structure of the DNA-binding domain of human p53 in complex with its target DNA. The DNA is drawn in stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P orange) with its successive P atoms joined by orange rods. The protein is shown in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). A tetrahedrally liganded Zn^{2+} ion is represented by a magenta sphere, and the side chains of the six most frequently mutated residues in human tumors are shown in stick form with C yellow and identified with their one-letter codes. [Based on an X-ray structure by Nikola Pavletich, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York. PDBid 1TSR.]

DNA backbone between the major and minor grooves in this region (notably with Arg 273).

The structure's most striking feature is that *its DNA-binding motif consists of conserved regions comprising the most frequently mutated residues in the >1000 p53 variants found in human tumors.* Among them are one Gly and five Arg residues (highlighted in yellow in Fig. 28-49) whose mutations collectively account for over 40% of the *p53* variants in tumors. The two most frequently mutated residues, Arg 248 and Arg 273, as we saw, directly contact the DNA. The other four "mutational hotspot" residues appear to play a critical role in structurally stabilizing p53's DNA-binding surface. The relatively sparse secondary structure in the polypeptide segments forming this surface (one helix and three loops) accounts for this high mutational sensitivity: Its structural integrity mostly relies on specific side chain–side chain and side chain–backbone interactions.

p53 Is a Sensor That Integrates Information from Several Pathways. p53 may be activated by several other pathways. For example, aberrant growth signals, including those generated by oncogenic variants of Ras signaling cascade components (Fig. 13-7) such as Ras, cause the inappropriate activation of a variety of transcription factors. One of them, **Myc,** activates the transcription of the gene encoding **$p14^{ARF}$,** which binds to Mdm2 and thereby inhibits its activity. This prevents the degradation of p53 and hence triggers the p53-dependent transcriptional programs leading to cell cycle arrest as well as apoptosis. Evidently, $p14^{ARF}$ acts as part of a p53-dependent fail-safe system to counteract hyperproliferative signals.

A third activation pathway for p53 is induced by a wide variety of DNA-damaging chemotherapeutic agents, protein kinase inhibitors, and UV radiation. These activate a protein kinase named **ATR** to phosphorylate p53 so as to reduce its affinity for Mdm2 in much the same way as do ATM and Chk2. p53 is also subject to a rich variety of reversible posttranslational modifications that markedly

influence the expression of its target genes, including acetylation at several Lys residues, glycosylation, and sumoylation (Section 27-5B), in addition to its phosphorylation at multiple Ser/Thr residues and ubiquitination.

p53, as we have only glimpsed, is the recipient of a vast number of intracellular signals and, in turn, controls the activities of a large number of downstream regulators. One way to understand the operation of this highly complex and interconnected network is in analogy with the Internet. In the Internet (cell), a small number of highly connected servers or hubs ("master" proteins) transmit information to/from a large number of computers or nodes (other proteins) that directly interact with only a few other nodes (proteins). In such a network, overall performance is largely unperturbed by the inactivation of one of the nodes (other proteins). However, the inactivation of a hub ("master" protein) will greatly impact system performance. p53 is a "master" protein, that is, it is analogous to a hub. Inactivation of one of the many proteins that influences its performance or one of the many proteins whose activity it influences usually has little effect on cellular events due to the cell's redundant and highly interconnected components. However, the inactivation of p53 or several of its most closely associated proteins (e.g., Mdm2) disrupts the cell's responses to DNA damage and tumor-predisposing stresses, thereby leading to tumor formation.

Loss of pRb Protein Leads to Cancer. Retinoblastoma, a cancer of the developing retina that affects infants and young children, is associated with the loss of the *Rb* gene, which encodes the tumor suppressor **pRb.** This 928-residue DNA-binding protein interacts with the **E2F** family of transcription factors, which has six members in mammals. E2F proteins induce the transcription of genes that encode proteins required for entry into S phase. pRb can be phosphorylated at as many as 16 of its Ser/Thr residues by various Cdk–cyclin complexes (the various complexes phosphorylate different sets of sites on pRb). In nonproliferating cells (those in early G_1), pRb is hypophosphorylated. In that state, it binds to E2F to prevent it from activating transcription at the promoters to which it is bound. In response to a mitogenic signal (a signal that induces mitosis), the levels of D-type cyclins increase, which triggers phosphorylation of pRb by **Cdk4/6–cyclin D** complexes. Hyperphosphorylated pRb releases E2F, which then induces the expression of genes that promote cell cycle progression, including genes for additional cyclins and Cdks. The E2F-binding site of pRb is the major site of *Rb* gene alterations in tumors.

C Apoptosis Is an Orderly Process

Programmed cell death or **apoptosis** (Greek: falling off, as leaves from a tree), which was first described by John Kerr in the late 1960s, is a normal part of development as well as maintenance and defense of the adult animal body. For example, in many vertebrates, the digits of the developing hands and feet are initially connected by webbing that is eliminated by programmed cell death (Fig. 28-50), as are the tails of tadpoles and the larval tissues of insects (Section 28-4D) during their metamorphoses into adults. In the adult human body, which consists of nearly 10^{14} cells, an estimated 10^{11} cells are eliminated each day through programmed cell death (which closely matches the number of new cells produced by mitosis). Indeed, the

Reproduced by permission from Wood, W., Turmaine, M., Weber, R.,Camp, V., Maki, R.A., McKercher, S.R., and Martin, P., *Development* **127,** 5245 (2000). Copyright The Company of Biologists.

FIG. 28-50 Programmed cell death in the embryonic mouse paw. At day 12.5 of development, its digits are fully connected by webbing. At day 13.5, the webbing has begun to die. By day 14.5, the apoptotic process is complete.

mass of the cells that we annually lose in this manner approaches that of our entire body. The immune system eliminates virus-infected cells, in part by inducing them to undergo apoptosis, in order to prevent viral replication. Cells with irreparably damaged DNA and hence at risk for malignant transformation undergo apoptosis, thereby protecting the entire organism from cancer. In fact, as Martin Raff pointed out, *apoptosis appears to be the default option for animal cells: Unless they continually receive external hormonal and/or neuronal signals not to commit suicide, they will do so.* Thus, adult organs maintain their constant size by balancing cell proliferation with apoptosis. Not surprisingly, therefore, inappropriate apoptosis has been implicated in several neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's disease (Section 6-5C), Parkinson's disease (Section 21-6B), and Huntington's disease (Box 28-1), as well as much of the damage caused by stroke and heart attack.

Apoptosis is qualitatively different from **necrosis**, the type of cell death caused by trauma (e.g., lack of oxygen, extremes of temperature, and mechanical injury). Cells undergoing necrosis essentially explode: They and their membrane-enclosed organelles swell as water rushes in through their compromised membranes, releasing lytic enzymes that digest the cell contents until the cell lyses, spilling its contents into the surrounding region (Box 18-5). The cytokines that the cell releases often induce an inflammatory response (which can damage surrounding cells). In contrast, apoptosis begins with the loss of intercellular contacts by an apparently healthy cell followed by its shrinkage, the condensation of its chromatin at the nuclear periphery, the collapse of its cytoskeleton, the dissolution of its nuclear envelope, the fragmentation of its DNA, and violent blebbing (blistering) of its plasma membrane. Eventually, the cell disintegrates into numerous membrane-enclosed **apoptotic bodies** that are phagocytosed (engulfed) by neighboring cells as well as by roving macrophages without spilling the cell contents and hence not inducing an inflammatory response. The phagocytotic cells recognize the apoptotic bodies through "eat me" signals. In mammals, this signal is the phospholipid phosphatidylserine, which in the plasma membrane of healthy cells occurs only in its inner leaflet (Fig. 9-32) but in apoptotic cells is translocated to the outer leaflet.

Caspases Participate in Apoptosis. Apoptosis involves a family of proteases known as **caspases** (for *c*ysteinyl *asp*artate-specific prote*ases*), which are **cysteine proteases** whose mechanism resembles that of serine proteases (Section 11-5) but with Cys replacing the active site Ser. Caspases cleave target polypeptides after an Asp residue.

Caspases are $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetramers that consist of two large α subunits (~300 residues) and two small β subunits (~100 residues). They are expressed as single-chained zymogens **(procaspases)** that are activated by proteolytic excision of their N-terminal prodomains and proteolytic separation of their α and β subunits. The activating cleavage sites all follow Asp residues and are, in fact, targets for caspases, suggesting that caspase activation may either be autocatalytic or be catalyzed by another caspase.

The X-ray structure of human **caspase-7** (Fig. 28-51), determined by Keith Wilson and Paul Charifson, reveals that each αβ

FIG. 28-51 X-Ray structure of caspase-7 in complex with a tetrapeptide aldehyde inhibitor. The $\alpha_2\beta_2$ heterotetrameric enzyme is viewed along its twofold axis with its large (α) subunits orange and gold and its small (β) subunits cyan and light blue. The acetyl-Asp-Glu-Val-Asp-CHO inhibitor is drawn in stick form with C green, N blue, and O red. [Based on an X-ray structure by Keith Wilson and Paul Charifson, Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, Massachusetts. PDBid 1F1J.]

heterodimer contains a six-stranded β sheet flanked by five α helices that are approximately parallel to the β strands. The β sheet is continued across the enzyme's twofold axis to form a twisted 12-stranded β sheet. The active site of each αβ heterodimer is located at the C-terminal ends of its parallel β strands. The structures of other caspases differ mainly in the conformations of the four loops forming their active sites. In the inactive **procaspase-7,** these loops are folded so as to obliterate the active site.

More than 60 cellular proteins have been identified as caspase substrates. These include cytoskeletal proteins, proteins involved in cell cycle regulation (including cyclin A, $p21^{Cip1}$, ATM, and pRb), proteins that participate in DNA replication, transcription factors, and proteins that participate in signal transduction. Nevertheless, how the cleavage of these numerous proteins causes the morphological changes that cells undergo during apoptosis is unclear. The induction of apoptosis also causes the rapid degradation of DNA by the action of **caspase-activated DNase.** Presumably, DNA degradation prevents the genetic transformation of other cells that subsequently phagocytose apoptotic bodies containing viral DNA or damaged chromosomal DNA.

Apoptosis Is Triggered Extracellularly or Intracellularly. *Apoptosis in a given cell may be induced either by externally supplied signals in the so-called* ***extrinsic pathway*** *(death by commission) or by the absence of external signals that inhibit apoptosis in the so-called* ***intrinsic pathway*** *(death by omission).* The extrinsic pathway is initiated by the association of a cell destined to undergo apoptosis with a cell that has selected it to do so. In what is perhaps the best characterized such pathway (Fig. 28-52), a transmembrane protein named **Fas ligand (FasL)** that projects from the plasma membrane of the inducing cell, a so-called **death ligand,** binds to a transmembrane protein known as **Fas** that projects from the plasma membrane of the apoptotic cell, a so-called **death receptor.** Fas ligand is a homotrimeric protein whose binding to three Fas molecules causes the Fas cytoplasmic domains to trimerize. The trimerized Fas recruits three molecules of a 208-residue adaptor protein named **FADD** (for *F*as-*a*ssociating *d*eath *d*omain-containing protein), which in turn recruits **procaspase-8** and **procaspase-10.** The consequent clustering of procaspase-8 and procaspase-10 results in the proteolytic autoactivation of these zymogens, thereby generating **caspases-8** and **-10,** which are termed **initiator caspases.** This is because these enzymes then activate **caspase-3,** which is known as an **effector (executioner) caspase** because its actions cause the cell to undergo apoptosis.

The intrinsic pathway for initiating apoptosis follows a slightly different route to caspase-3 activation. Most animal cells are continuously bathed in an extracellular soup, generated in part by neighboring cells, that contains a wide variety of substances that regulate the cell's growth, differentiation, activity, and survival. The withdrawal of this chemical support for its survival or the loss of direct cell–cell interactions induces a cell to undergo apoptosis via the intrinsic pathway. The initial step of this pathway appears to be the activation of one or more members of the **Bcl-2** family (so named because its founding member is involved in *B* cell *l*ymphoma). Association of certain Bcl-2 proteins with the mitochondrion causes it to release cytochrome *c* (Section 18-2E) from the intermembrane space into the cytosol. It is not clear how cytochrome *c* exits the mitochondrion. It may traverse the outer membrane via a newly formed pore or via an existing pore whose conformation is altered to accommodate the ~12-kD cytochrome, or the outer membrane may rupture as a result of Bcl-2 activity.

The cytochrome *c* combines with a 1248-residue protein called **Apaf-1** (for *a*poptosis *p*rotease-*a*ctivating *f*actor-*1*) and ATP or dATP to form an ~1100-kD

PROCESS DIAGRAM

FIG. 28-52 The extrinsic pathway of apoptosis. The square brackets contain specific examples of the indicated protein types. **WPLS See the Animated Process Diagrams.**

? **What features of this pathway would help prevent the accidental triggering of apoptosis?**

Courtesy Dr. Christopher Akey,Boston University School of Medicine

FIG. 28-53 CryoEM-based image of the human apoptosome at 9.5 Å resolution. (*a*) In its top view, the particle is viewed along its sevenfold axis of symmetry. (*b*) The side view reveals the flattened nature of this ~250-Å-diameter wheel-shaped particle. Cytochrome *c* forms the bulge protruding from the top of the large knob at the end of every arm. The magenta disk is of lower resolution than the rest of the structure and hence appears to be flexibly linked to it.

wheel-shaped complex named the **apoptosome** (Fig. 28-53). The apoptosome binds several molecules of **procaspase-9** in a manner that induces their autoactivation. The resulting **caspase-9,** still bound to the apoptosome, then activates procaspase-3 to instigate cell death.

D Development Has a Molecular Basis

Perhaps the most awe-inspiring event in biology is the growth and development of a fertilized ovum to form an extensively differentiated multicellular organism. No outside instruction is required to do so; *fertilized ova contain all the information necessary to form complex multicellular organisms such as human beings.* Much of what we know about the molecular basis of cell differentiation is based on studies of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster.* We therefore begin this section with a synopsis of *Drosophila* embryogenesis.

The *Drosophila* Embryo Is Divided into Segments. Almost immediately after the *Drosophila* egg (Fig. 28-54*a*) is laid (which, rather than the earlier fertilization, triggers development), it commences a series of rapid, synchronized nuclear divisions, one every 6 to 10 min (the fastest known nuclear divisions in animals). Here, the nuclear division process is not accompanied by the formation of new cell membranes; the nuclei continue sharing their common cytoplasm to form a so-called **syncytium** (Fig. 28-54*b*). After the eighth round of nuclear division, the ~256 nuclei begin to migrate toward the cortex (outer layer) of the egg. Up until this point, all of the nuclei are **totipotent;** that is, they can form any type of adult cell (the germ-cell progenitors, the pole cells, are set aside after the ninth division). But subsequently, the location of each nucleus determines its developmental fate (see below). By around the eleventh nuclear division, the ~2000 nuclei have formed a single layer surrounding a yolk-rich core (Fig. 28-54*c*). At this stage, the mitotic cycle time begins to lengthen while the nuclear genes, which have heretofore been fully engaged in DNA replication, become transcriptionally active (a freshly laid egg contains an enormous store of mRNA that has been contributed by the developing oocyte's surrounding "nurse" cells). In the fourteenth nuclear division cycle, which lasts ~60 min, the egg's plasma membrane invaginates around each of the ~6000 nuclei to yield a cellular monolayer called a **blastoderm** (Fig. 28-54*d*). At this point, after ~2.5 h of development, transcriptional activity reaches its maximum and mitotic synchrony is lost.

FIG. 28-54 Development in *Drosophila*. The various stages are explained in the text. Note that the embryos and newly hatched larva are all the same size, ~0.5 mm long. The adult is, of course, much larger. The approximate numbers of cells in the early stages of development are given in parentheses.

During the next few hours, the embryo undergoes **gastrulation** (migration of cells to form a triple-layered structure) and organogenesis. A striking aspect of this remarkable process, in *Drosophila* as well as in higher animals, is the division of the embryo into a series of segments corresponding to the adult organism's organization (**Fig. 28-54*e***). The *Drosophila* embryo has at least three segments that eventually merge to form its head (Md, Mx, and Lb for mandibulary, maxillary, and labial), three thoracic segments (T1–T3), and eight abdominal segments (A1–A8). As development continues, the embryo elongates and several of its abdominal segments fold over its thoracic segments (**Fig. 28-54*f***). At this stage, the segments become subdivided into anterior (forward) and posterior (rear) compartments. The embryo then shortens and unfolds to form a larva that hatches 1 day after beginning development (**Fig. 28-54*g***). Over the next 5 days, the larva feeds, grows, molts twice, pupates, and commences metamorphosis to form an adult (**Fig. 28-54*h***). In the latter process, the larval epidermis is almost entirely replaced (through apoptosis) by the outgrowth of apparently undifferentiated patches of larval epithelium known as **imaginal disks** that are committed to their developmental fates as early as the blastoderm stage. These structures, which maintain the larva's segmental boundaries, form the adult's legs, wings, antennae, eyes, etc. About 10 days after commencing development, the adult emerges and, within a few hours, initiates a new reproductive cycle.

Developmental Patterns Are Genetically Mediated. What is the mechanism of embryonic pattern formation? Much of what we know about this process stems from genetic analyses of a series of bizarre mutations in three classes of *Drosophila* genes that normally specify progressively finer regions of cellular specialization in the developing embryo:

1. ***Maternal-effect genes***, *which define the embryo's polarity,* that is, its anteroposterior (head-to-tail) and dorsoventral (back-to-belly) axes. Mutations of these genes globally alter the embryonic body pattern, producing, for example, nonviable embryos with two anterior or two posterior ends pointing in opposite directions.
2. ***Segmentation genes***, *which specify the correct number and polarity of embryonic body segments.* Investigations by Christiane Nüsslein-Volhard and Eric Wieschaus led to their subclassification as follows:
   (a) **Gap genes**, the first of a developing embryo's to be transcribed, are so named because their mutations result in gaps in the embryo's segmentation pattern. Embryos with defective ***hunchback (hb)*** genes, for example, lack mouthparts and thorax structures.
   (b) **Pair-rule genes** specify the division of the embryo's broad gap domains into segments. These genes are so named because their mutations usually delete portions of every second segment.

(c) **Segment polarity genes** specify the polarities of the developing segments. Thus, homozygous ***engrailed (en)*** mutants lack the posterior compartment of each segment.

3. ***Homeotic selector genes***, *which specify segmental identity.* Mutations of homeotic selector genes transform one body part into another. For instance, ***Antennapedia*** (***antp,*** antenna-foot) mutants have legs in place of antennae (Fig. 28-55*a*), whereas the mutations ***bithorax (bx), anteriorbithorax (abx),*** and ***postbithorax (pbx)*** each transform sections of halteres (vestigial wings that function as balancers), which normally occur only on segment T3, to the corresponding sections of wings, which normally occur only on segment T2 (Fig. 28-55*b*).

The properties of maternal-effect gene mutants suggest that maternal-effect genes specify substances known as ***morphogens*** *whose distributions in the egg cytoplasm define the future embryo's spatial coordinate system.* Indeed, immunofluorescence studies by Nüsslein-Volhard have demonstrated that the product of the ***bicoid (bcd)*** gene is distributed in a gradient that decreases toward the posterior end of the normal embryo (Fig. 28-56*a*), whereas embryos with *bcd*-deficient mothers lack this gradient. The gradient arises through the secretion, by ovarian nurse cells, of *bcd* mRNA into the anterior end of the oocyte during oogenesis. The ***nanos*** gene mRNA is similarly deposited near the egg's posterior pole. The *bcd* and *nanos* gene products regulate the expression of specific gap genes. Some other maternal-effect genes specify proteins that trap the localized mRNAs in their area of deposition. This explains why early embryos produced by females homozygous for maternal-effect mutations can often be "rescued" by the injection of cytoplasm, or sometimes just the mRNA, from early wild-type embryos.

(*a*)

Courtesy of Ginés Morata, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Spain

(*b*)

Science Faction/Super Stock

FIG. 28-55 Developmental mutants of *Drosophila*. (*a*) Head and thorax of a wild-type adult fly (*left*) and one that is homozygous for a mutant form of the homeotic *Antennapedia* (*antp*) gene (*right*). The mutant gene is inappropriately expressed in the imaginal disks that normally form antennae (where the wild-type *antp* gene is not expressed) so that they develop as the legs that normally occur only on segment T2. (*b*) A four-winged *Drosophila* (it normally has two wings) that results from the presence of three mutations in the bithorax complex. These mutations cause the normally haltere-bearing segment T3 to develop as if it were the wing-bearing segment T2.

The mRNA of the gap gene *hunchback (hb)* is deposited uniformly in the unfertilized egg (Fig. 28-56*a*). However, **Bicoid protein** activates the transcription of the embryonic *hb* gene, whereas **Nanos protein** inhibits the translation of *hb* mRNA. Consequently, **Hunchback protein** becomes distributed in a gradient that decreases from anterior to posterior (Fig. 28-56*b*). Footprinting studies have demonstrated that Bicoid protein binds to five homologous sites (consensus sequence TCTAATCCC) in the *hb* gene's upstream promoter region.

Hunchback protein controls the expression of several other gap genes (Fig. 28-56*c, d*): High levels of Hunchback protein induce ***giant*** expression; ***Krüppel*** (German: cripple) is expressed where the level of Hunchback protein begins to decline; ***knirps*** (German: pigmy) is expressed at even lower levels of Hunchback protein; and *giant* is again activated in regions where Hunchback protein is undetectable. These patterns of gene expression are stabilized and maintained by additional interactions. For example, **Krüppel protein** binds to the promoters of the *hb* gene, which it activates, and the *knirps* gene, which it represses. Conversely, **Knirps protein** represses the *Krüppel* gene. This mutual repression is thought to be responsible for the sharp boundaries between the various gap domains.

Pair-rule genes are expressed in sets of seven stripes, each just a few nuclei wide, along the early embryo's anterior–posterior axis (Fig. 28-57). The gap gene products directly control three **primary pair-rule genes:** ***hairy, even-skipped (eve),*** and ***runt.*** The promoters of most primary pair-rule genes consist of a series of modules, each of which contains a particular arrangement of activating and inhibitory binding sites for the various gap gene proteins. As a result, the expression of a pair-rule gene reflects the combination of gap gene proteins present, giving rise to a "zebra stripe" pattern. As with the gap genes, the patterns

FIG. 28-56 The formation and effects of the Hunchback protein gradient in *Drosophila* embryos. (*a*) The unfertilized egg contains maternally supplied *bicoid* and *nanos* mRNAs placed at its anterior and posterior poles, together with a uniform distribution of *hunchback* mRNA. (*b*) On fertilization, the three mRNAs are translated. Bicoid and Nanos proteins are not bound in place as are their mRNAs and hence their gradients are broader than those of the mRNAs. Bicoid protein stimulates the translation of *hunchback* mRNA whereas Nanos protein inhibits its translation, resulting in a gradient of Hunchback protein that decreases nonlinearly from anterior to posterior. (*c*) Specific concentrations of Hunchback protein induce the transcription of the *giant, Krüppel,* and *knirps* genes. The gradient of Hunchback protein thereby specifies the positions at which the latter mRNAs are synthesized. (*d*) A photomicrograph of a *Drosophila* embryo (*anterior end left*) that has been immunofluorescently stained for both Hunchback (*green*) and Krüppel proteins (*red*). The region where the proteins overlap is yellow. [Parts *a, b,* and *c* after Gilbert, S.F., *Developmental Biology* (5th ed.), pp. 550 and 565, Sinauer Associates (1997); Part *d* courtesy of Stephen W. Paddock, Jim Langeland, and Sean Carroll, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Wisconsin–Madison.]

(d)

of expression of the primary pair-rule genes become stabilized through interactions among themselves. The primary pair-rule gene products also induce or inhibit the expression of five **secondary pair-rule genes** including ***fushi tarazu*** (***ftz;*** Japanese for not enough segments). Thus, as Walter Gehring demonstrated, *ftz* transcripts first appear in the nuclei lining the cortical cytoplasm during the embryo's tenth nuclear division cycle. By the fourteenth division cycle, when the cellular blastoderm forms, *ftz* is expressed in a pattern of seven belts around the blastoderm, each 3 or 4 cells wide (Fig. 28-57).

The expression of eight known segment polarity genes is initiated by pair-rule gene products. For example, by the thirteenth nuclear division cycle, as Thomas Kornberg demonstrated, *engrailed (en)* transcripts become detectable but are more or less evenly distributed throughout the embryonic cortex. However, since *en* is preferentially expressed in nuclei containing high concentrations of either Eve or Ftz proteins, by the fourteenth cycle they form a striking pattern of 14 stripes around the blastoderm (half the spacing of *ftz* expression). The *en* gene product thereby induces the posterior half of each segment to develop in a different fashion from its anterior half.

Reproduced with permission from Peter A. Lawrence, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, UK

FIG. 28-57 *Drosophila* embryo stained for pair-rule genes. The **Fushi tarazu** protein **(Ftz)** is stained brown, and the **Eve** protein is stained gray. These proteins are each expressed in seven stripes.

FIG. 28-58 The correspondence between *Drosophila* antennae and legs.
[After Postlethwait, J.H. and Schneiderman, H.A., *Dev. Biol.* **25,** 622 (1971).]

Homeotic Genes Direct Development of Individual Body Parts. The structural components of developmentally analogous body parts, say *Drosophila* antennae and legs, are nearly identical; only their organizations differ (Fig. 28-58). *Consequently, developmental genes must control the pattern of structural gene expression rather than simply turning the genes on or off.*

The *Drosophila* homeotic selector genes map into two large gene families: the **bithorax complex *(BX-C),*** which controls differentiation in the thoracic and abdominal segments, and the **antennapedia complex *(ANT-C),*** which primarily affects head and thoracic segments. *Homozygous mutations in BX-C cause one or more segments to develop as if they were more anterior segments* (e.g., segment T3 develops as if it were segment T2; Fig. 28-55*b*). The entire deletion of *BX-C* causes all segments posterior to T2 to resemble T2; apparently T2 is the developmental "ground state" of the more distal segments. The evolution of homeotic gene families, it is thought, permitted arthropods (the phylum containing insects) to arise from the more primitive annelids (segmented worms) in which all segments are nearly alike.

Detailed genetic analysis of *BX-C* led Edward B. Lewis to formulate a model for segmental differentiation (Fig. 28-59): *BX-C,* Lewis proposed, contains at least one gene for each segment from T3 to A8 (numbered 0 to 8 in Fig. 28-59). Starting with segment T3, progressively more posterior segments express successively more *BX-C* genes until, in segment A8, all of the genes are expressed. Such a pattern of gene expression may result from a gradient in the concentration of a *BX-C* repressor that decreases from the anterior to the posterior end. The developmental fate of a segment is thereby determined by its position in the embryo. Subsequent sequence analysis revealed that the nine "genes" in the Lewis model are actually enhancer elements on three *BX-C* genes.

In characterizing the *Antennapedia (antp)* gene, Gehring and Matthew Scott independently discovered that *antp* cDNA hybridizes to both the *antp* and the *ftz* genes, indicating that *these genes share a common base sequence.* Subsequent experiments revealed that a similar sequence, called a **homeodomain** or **homeobox**, occurs in many *Drosophila* homeotic genes. These sequences, which are 70 to 90% identical to one another, encode even more identical 60-residue polypeptide segments.

Further hybridization studies using homeodomain probes led to the truly astonishing finding that *homeodomains are also present in the genomes of many animals.* Homeodomain-containing genes have collectively become known as ***Hox genes***. In vertebrates, they are organized in four clusters of 9 to 11 genes, each located on a separate chromosome and spanning more than 100 kb. In

FIG. 28-59 Model for the differentiation of embryonic segments in *Drosophila*. Segments T2, T3, and A1–8, as the lower drawing indicates, are each characterized by a unique combination of active (*purple circles*) and inactive (*yellow circles*) *BX-C* "genes." These "genes" (which are really enhancer elements), here numbered 0 to 8, are thought to be sequentially activated from anterior to posterior in the embryo so that segment T2, the developmentally most primitive segment, has no active *BX-C* genes, while in segment A8, all of them are active. [After Ingham, P., *Trends Genet.* **1,** 113 (1985).]

contrast, *Drosophila,* as we saw, have two *Hox* clusters, whereas nematodes, which are evolutionarily more primitive than insects, have only one *Hox* cluster. The various *Hox* clusters, as well as their component genes, almost certainly arose through a series of gene duplications.

***Hox* Genes Encode Transcription Factors.** Some *Hox* genes are remarkably similar; for example, the homeodomains of the *Drosophila antp* gene and the frog ***MM3* gene** encode polypeptides that have 59 of their 60 amino acids in common. Since vertebrates and invertebrates diverged over 600 million years ago, this strongly suggests that the product of the homeodomain has an essential function.

The polypeptide encoded by the homeodomain of the *Drosophila engrailed* gene specifically binds to the DNA sequences just upstream from the transcription start sites of both the *en* and the *ftz* genes. Moreover, fusing the *ftz* gene's upstream sequence to other genes imposes *ftz's* pattern of stripes (Fig. 28-57) on the expression of these genes in *Drosophila* embryos. *These observations suggest that homeodomain-containing genes encode transcription factors that regulate the expression of other genes.*

Thomas Kornberg and Carl Pabo determined the X-ray structure of the 61-residue homeodomain from the *Drosophila* Engrailed protein in complex with a 21-bp DNA (**Fig. 28-60**). The homeodomain consists largely of three α helices, the last two of which form an

FIG. 28-60 X-Ray structure of the Engrailed protein homeodomain in complex with its target DNA. The protein is shown in ribbon form colored in rainbow order from its N-terminus (*blue*) to its C-terminus (*red*). The DNA is shown in stick form colored according to atom type (C green, N blue, O red, and P orange) with the nucleotides forming its TAAT subsite highlighted with C atoms cyan. Successive P atoms in the same chain are linked by orange rods. Note that the protein's recognition helix, its C-terminal helix (*red and orange*), is bound in the DNA's major groove, and that the protein's N-terminal segment (*blue*) is inserted into the DNA's minor groove. [Based on an X-ray structure by Carl Pabo, MIT. PDBid 1HDD.]

Courtesy of Yvan Lallesmand and Phillipe Brulet, Collège de France and the Institut Pasteur, France

FIG. 28-61 Pattern of expression of the *Hox-3.1* gene in a 12.5-day-old mouse embryo. The protein-coding portion of the *Hox-3.1* gene was replaced by the *lacZ* gene. The regions of this transgenic embryo in which *Hox-3.1* is expressed are revealed by soaking the embryo in a buffer containing a substance (X-gal; Section 3-5A) that turns blue when hydrolyzed by the *lacZ* gene product, β-galactosidase.

HTH motif that is closely superimposable on the HTH motifs of prokaryotic repressors (Section 24-4B).

Vertebrate *Hox* genes, like those of *Drosophila,* are expressed in specific patterns and at particular stages during embryogenesis. That the *Hox* genes directly specify the identities and fates of embryonic cells was shown, for example, by the following experiment. Mouse embryos were made transgenic for the *Hox-1.1* gene that had been placed under the control of a promoter that is active throughout the body even though *Hox-1.1* is normally expressed only below the neck. The resulting mice had severe craniofacial abnormalities, such as a cleft palate and an extra vertebra and an intervertebral disk at the base of the skull. Some also had an extra pair of ribs in the neck region. Thus, the altered expression of the *Hox-1.1* gene induced a homeotic mutation, that is, a change in the development pattern, analogous to those observed in *Drosophila* (Fig. 28-55).

Homozygotic mice whose *Hox-3.1* coding sequence has been replaced with that of *lacZ* are born alive but usually die within a few days. They exhibit skeletal deformities in their trunk regions in which several skeletal segments are transformed into the likenesses of more anterior segments. The pattern of β-galactosidase activity, as colorimetrically detected through the use of a substrate analog whose hydrolysis products are blue (**Fig. 28-61**), indicates that *Hox-3.1* deletion modifies the properties but not the positions of the embryonic cells that normally express *Hox-3.1*.

CHECKPOINT

- Summarize the stages of the cell cycle. What is the role of cyclins?
- Explain how p53 and pRb suppress tumor formation.
- Summarize the events of apoptosis.
- Why is apoptosis preferable to necrosis?
- Summarize the sequence of events in *Drosophila* embryogenesis and explain the functions of the substances that control these events.

Summary

1 Genome Organization

- Genomic DNA sequence data reveal the total number of genes, their probable functions, and possible links to disease.
- Certain genes are found in clusters, for example, those in bacterial operons, rRNA and tRNA genes, and eukaryotic histone genes. The human globin gene clusters contain genes expressed at different developmental stages.
- Prokaryotic genomes contain small amounts of nontranscribed DNA, which include control regions for replication and transcription. The genomes of higher eukaryotes contain much larger proportions of nontranscribed DNA in the form of repetitive sequences, many of them the remnants of transposons.

2 Regulation of Prokaryotic Gene Expression

- Prokaryotic gene expression is controlled primarily at the level of transcription. Regulation of the *lac* operon is mediated by the binding of the *lac* repressor to its operator sequences. This binding, which prevents transcription of the operon, is reversed by the binding to the

lac repressor of an inducer whose presence signals the availability of lactose, the substrate for the *lac* operon–encoded enzymes.

- In catabolite repression, a complex of CAP and cAMP, which signals the scarcity of glucose, binds to its target DNA to stimulate the transcription of genes encoding proteins that participate in the metabolism of other sugars.
- Attenuation is a mechanism whereby the translation-dependent formation of alternate mRNA secondary structures in an operon's leader sequence determines whether transcription proceeds or terminates. In a riboswitch, metabolite binding to an mRNA regulates gene expression.

3 Regulation of Eukaryotic Gene Expression

- The expression of eukaryotic genetic information involves the repositioning of nucleosomes and the covalent modification of histones as part of the histone code that is read by regulatory proteins. DNA methylation allows epigenetic inheritance.
- In eukaryotes, activators and repressors, which bind to DNA enhancers, act cooperatively to regulate the rate of transcription initiation. Some of these transcription factors are activated by hormone signaling.
- Eukaryotic gene expression may also be controlled by variable rates of mRNA degradation and regulation of translation initiation. RNA interference (RNAi) is a posttranscriptional gene silencing pathway in which an RNA segment directs the selective degradation of a complementary mRNA. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are transcriptional regulators.
- Antibody diversity results from somatic recombination involving the selection of individual members of clustered gene sequences encoding the different segments of the immunoglobulin light and heavy chains. Diversity is augmented by imprecise *V/D/J* joining and by somatic hypermutation.

4 The Cell Cycle, Cancer, Apoptosis, and Development

- The eukaryotic cell cycle is governed by cyclin-dependent kinases, whose targets include the tumor suppressors p53 and pRb. p53 functions as a transcriptional activator that detects a variety of pathological states such as DNA damage and thereon helps maintain cell cycle arrest and, if the damage cannot be repaired, apoptosis (programmed cell death).
- Extracellular or intracellular signals may trigger apoptosis, a cell-suicide pathway that requires the activities of various caspases.
- The development of the *Drosophila* embryo is controlled by maternal-effect genes, which define the embryo's polarity; gap, pair-rule, and segment polarity genes, which specify the number and polarity of embryonic body segments; and homeotic selector genes (*Hox* genes), which encode transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes and therefore govern cell differentiation. *Hox* genes similarly regulate vertebrate development.

Key Terms

gene expression **1033**
genomics **1034**
C value **1034**
C-value paradox **1034**
ORF **1036**
EST **1036**
CpG island **1036**
orphan gene **1036**
ncRNA **1037**
SNP **1037**
GWAS **1037**
gene cluster **1037**
pseudogene **1038**
centromere **1039**
genetic anticipation **1040**
highly repetitive DNA **1040**
STR **1040**
segmental duplication **1040**
moderately repetitive DNA **1042**
selfish DNA **1042**
polymorphism **1043**
inducer **1044**
repressor **1044**
operator **1044**
catabolite repression **1046**
corepressor **1048**
leader sequence **1049**
attenuation **1049**
aptamer **1051**
riboswitch **1051**
heterochromatin **1052**
Barr body **1053**
euchromatin **1053**
chromatin-remodeling complex **1054**
HMG protein **1055**
histone code **1056**
HAT **1057**
bromodomain **1058**
chromodomain **1060**
epigenetics **1062**
genomic imprinting **1063**
enhancer **1063**
cis-acting regulatory element **1063**
trans-acting factor **1063**
enhanceosome **1064**
squelching **1065**
insulator **1066**
JAK-STAT **1066**
hormone response element **1068**
exosome **1070**
P body **1070**
nonsense-mediated decay **1070**
antisense RNA **1071**
RNAi **1071**
siRNA **1072**
miRNA **1072**
lncRNA **1075**
somatic recombination **1077**
somatic hypermutation **1079**
cell cycle **1080**
cyclin **1081**
tumor suppressor **1082**
apoptosis **1085**
necrosis **1086**
caspase **1086**
syncytium **1089**
totipotency **1087**
blastoderm **1089**
gastrulation **1090**
imaginal disk **1090**
maternal-effect gene **1090**
segmentation gene **1090**
gap gene **1090**
pair-rule gene **1090**
segment polarity gene **1091**
homeotic selector gene **1091**
morphogen **1091**
homeodomain **1093**
Hox gene **1093**

Problems

EXERCISES

1. DNA isolated from an organism can be sheared into fragments of uniform size (~1000 bp), heated to separate the strands, then cooled to allow complementary strands to reanneal. The renaturation process can be followed over time. Explain why the renaturation of *E. coli* DNA is a monophasic process, whereas the renaturation of human DNA is biphasic (an initial rapid phase followed by a slower phase).

2. Explain why the organization of genes in operons facilitates the assignment of functions to previously unidentified ORFs in a bacterial genome.

3. The genome of *Daphnia pulex,* a small freshwater crustacean, includes approximately 30,000 genes in ~200,000 kb of DNA. How does the genome compare to that of *Drosophila melanogaster,* another arthropod, and to that of humans?

4. The marine alga *Ostreococcus tauri* has an unusually high gene density for a eukaryote: ~8000 genes in an ~13,000-kb genome. Assuming that intergene distances are negligible, what is the average size of an *O. tauri* gene? How does this compare to the average gene size in the prokaryote *E. coli* ?

5. A possible mechanism for the pathology of trinucleotide repeat diseases is aberrant translation, in which all three reading frames are used. Which repeating amino acid residues will result from the translation of transcripts made from repeating DNA sequences of (a) CAG and (b) CTG?

6. In addition to SNPs, humans exhibit copy-number polymorphisms (CNPs), which result from relatively large deletions or insertions of certain segments of the genome. One study showed that individuals differed by 11 CNPs with an average length of 465 kb. Calculate the fraction of the human genome represented by copy-number variants.

7. Explain why inactivation of the O_1 sequence of the *lac* operator almost completely abolishes repression of the *lac* operon.

8. Explain why (a) inactivation of the O_2 or O_3 sequence of the *lac* operon causes only a twofold loss in repression, and (b) inactivation of both O_2 and O_3 reduces repression ~70-fold.

9. Why do *E. coli* cells with a defective *lacZ* gene fail to show galactoside permease activity after the addition of lactose in the absence of glucose?

10. Describe the probable genetic defect that abolishes the sensitivity of the *lac* operon to the absence of glucose when other metabolic operons continue to be sensitive to the absence of glucose.

11. Why can't eukaryotic transcription be regulated by attenuation?

12. Predict the effect of deleting the leader peptide sequence on regulation of the *trp* operon.

13. Draw the molecular formula of the covalently modified histone side chain of acetyllysine. How does this modification alter the chemical properties of the side chain?

14. Draw the molecular formulas of the covalently modified histone side chains of methyllysine and methylarginine. How do these modifications alter the chemical properties of the side chains?

15. Monomethylation of histone Arg residues can be reversed by the action of a peptidylarginine deiminase, which requires water to remove the methyl group along with the imino group of Arg. Draw the structure of the resulting amino acid side chain and identify this nonstandard amino acid.

16. Is it possible for a transcription enhancer to be located within the protein-coding sequence of a gene? Explain.

17. Explain why natural selection has favored the instability of RNA.

18. How many different heavy chain variable regions can theoretically be generated by somatic recombination in humans (ignore junctional flexibility)? If each of these heavy chains could combine with any of the ~2000 κ light chains, how many different immunoglobulins could be produced?

19. *V/D/J* recombination frequently yields a gene whose mRNA cannot be successfully translated into an immunoglobulin chain. What aspect of somatic recombination is likely to produce nonproductive gene rearrangement?

20. Explain what might happen if the activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) were activated in a cell other than a B cell.

21. Why is it disadvantageous for single-celled eukaryotes such as yeast to undergo apoptosis?

22. During apoptosis, phosphatidylserine (Section 9-1C) undergoes transverse movement (flip-flop). Explain why this could help identify apoptotic cells to phagocytic cells for engulfment and disposal.

23. In *Drosophila,* an *esc*$^-$ homozygote develops normally unless its mother is also an *esc*$^-$ homozygote. Explain.

24. Describe the appearance of a *Drosophila* embryo, in which the *knirps* gene protein-coding sequence has been replaced by *lacZ,* following incubation in a solution of X-gal.

CHALLENGE QUESTIONS

25. Red–green color blindness is caused by an X-linked recessive genetic defect. Hence females rarely exhibit the red–green colorblind phenotype but may be carriers of the defective gene. When a narrow beam of red or green light is projected onto some areas of the retina of such a female carrier, she can readily differentiate the two colors, but on other areas she has difficulty in doing so. Explain.

26. Why is transcriptionally active chromatin ~10 times more susceptible to cleavage by DNase I than transcriptionally silent chromatin?

27. Explain why a deficiency of the vitamin folic acid could lead to undermethylation of histones and DNA.

28. Proliferation and differentiation of T and B lymphocytes during an immune response are mediated by signaling that leads to phosphorylation of a protein called IκB. Unphosphorylated IκB binds to and inhibits the transcription factor NF-κB. Phosphorylated IκB releases NF-κB and is then ubiquitinated and degraded by a proteasome. (a) Explain why this mechanism for activating NF-κB allows rapid changes in gene expression. (b) Explain why directly phosphorylating NF-κB might not be an effective mechanism for altering gene expression. (c) A protein produced by *Yersinia pestis* (the bacterium that causes plague) removes the ubiquitin molecules from IκB. How would this affect the immune response to the *Yersinia* infection?

29. Explain why RNAi would be a less efficient mechanism for regulating the expression of specific genes if Dicer hydrolyzed double-stranded RNA every 11 bp rather than every 22 bp.

30. B cells suppress the expression of all but one heavy chain allele and one light chain allele, a process known as allelic exclusion, by inhibiting the further somatic recombination of heavy and light chain genes after a productive recombination has occurred. Describe the aberrant properties of immunoglobulins produced by a B cell in which allelic exclusion is defective.

31. The fusion of cancer cells with normal cells often suppresses the expression of the tumorigenic phenotype. Explain.

BIOINFORMATICS

Brief Exercises Brief, online bioinformatics homework exercises can be found in WileyPLUS Learning Space.

Exercise 1. Viewing and Analyzing the *lac* Repressor

Exercise 2. Apoptosis and the KEGG Database

MORE TO EXPLORE Even relatively compact bacterial genomes include some noncoding DNA between genes; large eukaryotic genomes are mostly noncoding DNA. How have genome comparisons helped identify noncoding sequences that have some biological function? Have the functions of any of these sequences been identified? Where are such sequences located?

References

Genome Organization

Cummings, C.J. and Zoghbi, H.Y., Trinucleotide repeats: mechanisms and pathophysiology, *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* **1,** 281–328 (2002).

Jurka, J., Repeats in genomic DNA: mining and meaning, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **8,** 333–337 (1998). [Reviews the evolutionary history and function of repetitive DNA sequences.]

Lander, E., Initial impact of the sequencing of the human genome, *Nature* **470,** 187–197 (2011). [A review of genome structure and insights into its variations and links to human disease.]

The International HapMap Consortium, The International HapMap Project, *Nature* **426,** 789–796 (2003). [Describes how patterns of single-nucleotide polymorphisms can be mapped and used as markers for genetic diseases.]

Prokaryotic Gene Expression

Bell, C.E. and Lewis, M., The lac repressor: a second generation of structural and functional studies, *Curr. Opin Struct. Biol.* **11,** 19–25 (2001).

Kolb, A., Busby, S., Buc, H., Garges, S., and Adhya, S., Transcriptional regulation by cAMP and its receptor protein, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **62,** 749–795 (1993).

Roth, A. and Breaker, R.R., The structural and functional diversity of metabolite-binding riboswitches, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 305–334 (2009).

Tucker, B.J. and Breaker, R.R., Riboswitches as versatile control elements, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **15,** 342–348 (2005).

Yanofsky, C., Transcription attenuation, *J. Biol. Chem.* **263,** 609–612 (1988). [A general discussion of attenuation.]

Eukaryotic Gene Expression

Agrawal, A., Eastman, Q.M., and Schatz, D.G., Transposition mediated by RAG1 and RAG2 and its implications for the evolution of the immune system, *Nature* **394,** 744–751 (1998).

Armstrong, L., *Epigenetics,* Garland Science (2014).

Allen, B.L. and Taatjes, D.J., The mediator complex: a central integrator of transcription, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16,** 155–166 (2015).

Barth, T.K and Imhof, A., Fast signals and slow marks: the dynamics of histone modifications, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **35,** 618–626 (2010).

Bartholomew, B., Regulating the chromatin landscape: structural and mechanistic perspectives, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **83,** 671–696 (2014).

Campos, E.I. and Reinberg, D., Histones: annotating chromatin, *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **43,** 359–399 (2009).

Cech, T.R. and Steitz, J.A., The noncoding RNA revolution—trashing old rules to forge new ones, *Cell* **157,** 77–94 (2013). [Discusses how conceptions of RNA functions have evolved.]

Clapier, C.R. and Cairns, B.R., The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **78,** 273–304 (2009).

Di Noia, J.M. and Neuberger, M.S., Molecular mechanisms of antibody somatic hypermutation, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **76,** 1–22 (2007).

Gilbert, S.F., *Developmental Biology* (10th ed.), Sinauer Associates (2013).

Hickman, E.S., Moroni, M.C., and Helin, K., The role of p53 and pRB in apoptosis and cancer, *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **12,** 60–66 (2002).

Huang, H., Sabari, B.R., Garcia, B.A., Allis, C.D., and Zhoa, Y., Snapshot: Histone modifications, *Cell* **159,** 458 (2014). [A detailed map of histone modifications.]

Hughes, A.L. and Rando, O.J., Mechanisms underlying nucleosome positions in vivo, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **43,** 41–63 (2014).

Jiang, X. and Wang, X., Cytochrome *c*-mediated apoptosis, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **73,** 87–106 (2004).

Kawamata, T. and Tomari, Y., Making RISC, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **35,** 368–376 (2010).

Kornberg, R.D., Mediator and the mechanism of transcriptional activation, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **30,** 235–239 (2005).

Latchman, D.S., *Gene Control* (2nd ed.), Garland Science (2015).

Levine, M., Cattoglio, C., and Tjian, R., Looping back to leap forward: transcription enters a new era, *Cell* **157,** 13–25 (2014). [A review of the mechanisms controlling eukaryotic transcription.]

Levine, M. and Tjian, R., Transcription regulation and animal diversity, *Nature* **424,** 147–151 (2003). [Discusses how multiple regulatory DNA sequences and transcription factors could account for differences in the complexity of organisms with similar numbers of genes.]

Loyola, A. and Almouzni, G., Marking histone H3 variants: How, when and why? *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **32,** 425–433 (2007).

Marmorstein, R. and Trievel, R.C., Histone modifying enzymes: structures, mechanisms, and specificities, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1789,** 58–68 (2009).

Murphy, K., *Janeway's Immunobiology*, 8th ed., Garland Science (2014).

Patel, D.J. and Wang, Z., Readout of epigenetic modifications, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **82,** 81–118 (2013).

Pratt, A.J. and MacRae, I.J., The RNA-induced silencing complex: a versatile gene-silencing machine, *J. Biol. Chem.* **284,** 17897–17901 (2009).

Rinn, J.L., lncRNAs: linking RNA to chromatin, *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **6,** 1–3 (2014).

Schneider, C. and Tollervey, D., Threading the barrel of the RNA exosome, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **38,** 485–493 (2013).

Shabbazian, M. and Grunstein, M., Functions of site-specific histone acetylation and deacetylation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **76,** 75–100 (2007).

Shilatifard, A., Chromatin modifications by methylation and ubiquitination: Implication in the regulation of gene expression. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **75,** 243–269 (2006).

Sumner, A.T., *Chromosomes, Organization and Function,* Blackwell Science (2003).

Teves, S.S., Weber, C.M., and Henikoff, S., Transcribing through the nucleosome, *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **39,** 577–586 (2014).

Tsai, K.-L., Tonomori-Sato, C., Sato, S., Conaway, R.C., Conaway, J.W., and Asturias, F.J., Subunit architecture and functional modular rearrangements of the transcriptional Mediator complex, *Cell* **157,** 1430–1444 (2014).

Ulitsky, I. and Bartel, D.P., lincRNAs: Genomics, evolution, and mechanisms, *Cell* **154,** 26–46 (2013).

Venkatesh, S. and Workman, J.L., Histone exchange, chromatin structure and the regulation of transcription, *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16,** 178–189 (2015).

Wilson, R.C. and Doudna, J.A., Molecular mechanisms of RNA interference, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **42,** 217–239 (2013).

SOLUTIONS TO ODD PROBLEMS

Chapter 1

1. An amino or imino group gives a molecule a positive charge; a carboxylate or phosphoryl group gives a molecule a negative charge.
3. Hydrolysis reactions tend to occur with an increase in entropy, because the highly ordered polymer is broken down into separate units.
5. The data indicate that eukaryotes are more similar to the archaea than to the eubacteria.
7. (a) Decreases; (b) increases; (c) increases; (d) no change.
9. (a) False. A spontaneous reaction occurs in only one direction.
   (b) False. Thermodynamics does not specify the rate of a reaction.
   (c) True. (d) True. A reaction is spontaneous as long as $\Delta S > \Delta H/T$.
11. $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$
   $\Delta G = -7000\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} - (298\ \text{K})(-25\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})$
   $\Delta G = -7000 + 7450\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = 450\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
   The reaction is not spontaneous because $\Delta G > 0$. The temperature must be decreased in order to decrease the value of the $T\Delta S$ term.
13. $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -RT \ln K_{eq} = -RT \ln([C][D]/[A][B])$
   $= -(8.314\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})\ (298\ \text{K})\ \ln[(3\times10^{-6})\ (5\times10^{-6})/(10\times10^{-6})\ (15\times10^{-6})]$
   $= 5700\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = 5.7\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
   Since $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ is positive, the reaction is endergonic under standard conditions.
15. From Eq. 1-17, $K_{eq} = [\text{G6P}]/[\text{G1P}] = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$
   $[\text{G6P}]/[\text{G1P}] = e^{-(-7100\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})/(8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(298\ \text{K})}$
   $[\text{G6P}]/[\text{G1P}] = 17.6$
   $[\text{G1P}]/[\text{G6P}] = 0.057$
17. Membrane-enclosed cellular compartments are typical of eukaryotic but not prokaryotic cells.
19. Number of molecules = (molar conc.)(volume) $(6.022\times10^{23}\ \text{molecules}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})$
   $= (1.0\times10^{-3}\ \text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1})(5.24\times10^{-16}\ \text{L})(6.022\times10^{23}\ \text{molecules}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})$
   $= 3.2\times10^{5}$ molecules
21. (a) Because $K_{eq} = \dfrac{[\text{R}]}{[\text{Q}]} = 25$, at equilibrium, the concentration of R is 25 times greater than the concentration of Q. When equal concentrations of Q and R are mixed, molecules of Q will be converted to molecules of R.
   (b) Let x = amount of Q converted to R, so that [R] will be 50 μM + x and [Q] will be 50 μM $-x$. Since $K_{eq} = \dfrac{[\text{R}]}{[\text{Q}]} = 25$,
   $$50 + x = 25(50 - x)$$
   $$50 + x = 1250 - 25x$$
   $$26x = 1200$$
   $$x = 46.15$$
   $$[\text{R}] = 50\ \mu\text{M} + 46.15\ \mu\text{M} = 96.15\ \mu\text{M}$$
   $$[\text{Q}] = 50\ \mu\text{M} - 46.15\ \mu\text{M} = 3.85\ \mu\text{M}$$
23. This strategy will *not* work because Reaction 1 has a negative enthalpy change, releasing heat, and will therefore become more favorable with decreasing temperature, whereas Reaction 2, which has a positive enthalpy change, will become less favorable. Thus decreasing the temperature will favor Reaction 1, not Reaction 2. To make Reaction 2 more favorable, the temperature must be raised.

   To calculate the amount that the temperature must be raised, Equation 1-18 may be used as follows:
   $$\ln K_{eq} = \frac{-\Delta H^\circ}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R}$$
   $$\ln \frac{K_1^{T_1}}{K_1^{T_2}} = \frac{-\Delta H_1^\circ}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right)$$
   $$\ln \frac{K_2^{T_1}}{K_2^{T_2}} = \frac{-\Delta H_2^\circ}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right)$$
   On subtraction of the previous two equations, and taking into account that $\dfrac{K_2^{T_1}}{K_1^{T_1}} = 1$, we get
   $$\ln\left[\frac{K_1^{T_1}K_2^{T_2}}{K_1^{T_2}K_2^{T_1}}\right] = \ln\frac{K_2^{T_2}}{K_1^{T_2}} = \frac{\Delta H_2^\circ - \Delta H_1^\circ}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right)$$
   We would like $\dfrac{K_2^{T_2}}{K_1^{T_2}} = 10$. Substituting in all values and solving for T_2 we get
   $$\ln\frac{K_2^{T_2}}{K_1^{T_2}} = \ln 10 = 2.3 = \frac{28{,}000 + 28{,}000}{8.31}\left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right)$$
   Solving for T_2 we get
   $$T_2 = \frac{1}{\dfrac{1}{298} - \dfrac{2.3\times8.31}{56{,}000}} = 332\ \text{K}$$
   Hence, to increase K_2/K_1 from 1 to 10, the temperature must be raised from 298 K to 332 K.

Chapter 2

1. (a) Donors: NH1, NH_2 at C2, NH9; acceptors: N3, O at C6, N7.
   (b) Donors: NH^+, NH_2 at C4; acceptors: O at C2, N3. (c) Donors: NH_3^+ group, OH group; acceptors: COO^- group, OH group.
3. 18 mL of water has a mass of about 18 g; one mole contains about 6×10^{23} molecules, and the molecular mass of H_2O is about 18 g · mol^{-1}. Therefore, the spoon holds (18 g)(6×10^{23} molecules · mol^{-1})/(18 g · mol^{-1}) = 6×10^{23} molecules.
5. (a) Water; (b) water.
7. Water molecules move from inside the dialysis bag to the surrounding seawater by osmosis. Ions from the seawater diffuse into the dialysis bag. At equilibrium, the compositions of the solutions inside and outside the dialysis bag are identical. If the membrane were solute-impermeable, essentially all the water would leave the dialysis bag.
9. (a)

(b)

11. pH 4, NH_4^+; pH 8, NH_4^+; pH 11, NH_3.

13. The increase in $[H^+]$ due to the addition of HCl is (50 mL)(1 mM)/(250 mL) = 0.2 mM = 2×10^{-4} M. Because the $[H^+]$ of pure water, 10^{-7} M, is relatively insignificant, the pH of the solution is equal to $-\log(2 \times 10^{-4})$ or 3.7.

15. The pK corresponding to the equilibrium between $H_2PO_4^-$ (HA) and HPO_4^{2-} (A^-) is 6.82 (Table 2-4). The concentration of A^- is (50 mL)(2.0 M)/(200 mL) = 0.5 M, and the concentration of HA is (25 mL)(2.0 M)/(200 mL) = 0.25 M. Substitute these values into the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation (Eq. 2-10):

$$\text{pH} = \text{p}K + \log\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]}$$
$$\text{pH} = 6.82 + \log\frac{0.5}{0.25}$$
$$\text{pH} = 6.82 + \log 2$$
$$\text{pH} = 6.82 + 0.30 = 7.12$$

17. (a) At pH 7.0, $[H^+] = 10^{-7}$ M, so 10^{-7} moles of water molecules have ionized. This is equivalent to $(10^{-7}\ \text{mol})(6 \times 10^{23}\ \text{molecules} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}) = 6 \times 10^{16}$ molecules.

(b) If the concentration of water is 55.5 M, then there are (55.5 mol) $(6 \times 10^{23}\ \text{molecules} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}) = 3.3 \times 10^{25}$ molecules of water in 1 L. The fraction of ionized molecules is 6×10^{16} molecules)/$(3.3 \times 10^{25}$ molecules) = 1.8×10^{-9} or 1.8×10^{-7}%.

19. U, the energy of association of two charged particles, is equal to Kq_1q_2/Dr. Because D, the dielectric constant, for a hydrocarbon is <3 and D for H_2O is 78.5, U is at least 26 times greater (78.5 ÷ 3) in benzene than in water.

21. A protonated (and therefore positively charged) nitrogen would promote the separation of charge in the adjacent C—H bond so that the C would have a partial negative charge and the H would have a partial positive charge. This would make the H more likely to be donated to a hydrogen bond acceptor group.

23. The high solute concentration of honey tends to draw water out of microorganisms by osmosis, thereby preventing their growth.

25. The high concentration of bicarbonate in the dialysate means that some bicarbonate will diffuse from the dialysate across the dialysis membrane into the patient's blood, where it will combine with and neutralize excess protons.

27. The tomato juice is mildly acidic, with a pH of about 4.4 (Table 2-3). The protons in the juice react with the calcium carbonate, eventually producing H_2O and CO_2, which evaporate, leaving a scar on the marble surface.

$$2\,H^+ + CaCO_3 \rightarrow H_2CO_3 + Ca^{2+} \rightarrow H_2O + CO_2 + Ca^{2+}$$

29. Let HA = sodium succinate and A^- = disodium succinate.

$$[A^-] + [HA] = 0.05\ \text{M, so}\ [A^-] = 0.05\ \text{M} - [HA]$$

From Eq. 2-10 and Table 2-4,

$$\log([A^-]/[HA]) = \text{pH} - \text{p}K = 6.0 - 5.64 = 0.36$$
$$[A^-]/[HA] = 10^{0.36} = 2.29$$
$$(0.05\ \text{M} - [HA])/[HA] = 2.29$$
$$[HA] = 0.015\ \text{M}$$
$$[A^-] = 0.05\ \text{M} - 0.015\ \text{M} = 0.035\ \text{M}$$

grams of sodium succinate = $(0.015\ \text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})(140\ \text{g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}) \times (1\ \text{L}) = 2.1$ g

grams of disodium succinate = $(0.035\ \text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})(162\ \text{g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}) \times (1\ \text{L}) = 5.7$ g

31. The dissociation of $TrisH^+$ to its basic form and H^+ is associated with a large, positive enthalpy change. Consequently, heat is taken up by the reactant on dissociation. When the temperature is lowered, there is less heat available for this process, shifting the equilibrium constant toward the associated form (the effect of temperature on the equilibrium constant of a reaction is given by Eq. 1-18). To avoid this problem, the buffer should be prepared at the same temperature as its planned use.

Chapter 3

1. Guanosine 5′-diphosphate

3.

5-Methylcytosine

5. (a) Yes; (b) no.

7. Since the haploid genome contains 21% G, it must contain 21% C (because G = C) and 58% A + T (or 29% A and 29% T, because A = T). Each cell is diploid, containing 90,000 kb or 9×10^7 bases. Therefore,

$$A = T = (0.29)(9 \times 10^7) = 2.61 \times 10^7\ \text{bases}$$
$$C = G = (0.21)(9 \times 10^7) = 1.89 \times 10^7\ \text{bases}$$

9. The high pH tends to eliminate the hydrogen-bonding protons between bases, making it easier to separate the strands of DNA.

11. According to the central dogma, DNA serves as a template for RNA synthesis. The HIV enzyme, called reverse transcriptase, works in reverse by synthesizing DNA from an RNA template.

13. Because each amino acid corresponds to three nucleotides (a codon), a minimum of 90 nucleotides are required. The corresponding gene is likely much larger than that, since it must contain additional sequences—before and after the coding sequence—to facilitate transcription and translation.

15. 5′–ACGT–3′ + 5′–CGAATC–3′
3′–TGCAGC–5′ 3′–TTAG–5′

17. In *O. tauri,* the gene density is 8000 genes/13,000 kb = 0.62, a value that is somewhat lower than that of the prokaryote *E. coli* (4300 genes/4639 kb = 0.93) but more than that of the plant *A. thaliana* (25,500 genes/119,200 kb = 0.21).

19. Use of the enzymes Nar1, BglI, MstI, PvuI, and PvuII would interfere with β-galactosidase production.

21. The genomic library contains DNA sequences corresponding to all the organism's DNA, which includes genes and nontranscribed sequences. A cDNA library represents only the DNA sequences that are transcribed into mRNA.

23.

N^6-methyladenosine

25.

27. The energy of N1 in a purine ring is lowest when it is in its uncharged trivalent form. Thus, protonated and therefore tetravalent and positively charged N1 in adenine readily gives up its proton to water (has a low pK value) to yield its uncharged trivalent form. In contrast, the trivalent N1 of neutral guanine resists donating its proton to water (has a high pK value) to yield a divalent and therefore negatively charged ion.

29.

Hypoxanthine **Adenine**

31. (a) Newly synthesized chains would be terminated less frequently, so the bands representing truncated fragments on the sequencing gel would appear faint.

(b) Chain termination would occur more frequently, so longer fragments would be less abundant.

33. The *C. elegans* genome contains 97,000 kb, so

$$f = 5/97{,}000 = 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$$

Using Eq. 3-2,

$$N = \log(1 - P)/\log(1 - f)$$
$$N = \log(1 - 0.99)/\log(1 - 5.2 \times 10^{-5})$$
$$N = -2/(-2.24 \times 10^{-5}) = 8.91 \times 10^{4}$$

35. (a) Only single DNA strands of variable length extending from the remaining primer would be obtained. The number of these strands would increase linearly with the number of cycles rather than geometrically.

(b) PCR would yield a mixture of DNA segments whose lengths correspond to the distance between the position of the primer with a single binding site and the various sites where the multispecific primer binds.

37. ATAGGCATAGGC and CTGACCAGCGCC.

Chapter 4

1. Gly and Ala, Ser and Thr; Val, Leu and Ile; Asn and Gln; Asp and Glu.
3. $^{+}H_3N—CH_2—CH_2—COO^{-}$
5.

7. Hydrogen bond donors: α-amino group, amide nitrogen. Hydrogen bond acceptors: α-carboxylate group, amide carbonyl.
9. (a) +1; (b) 0; (c) −1; (d) −2.
11. (a) chiral; (b) nonchiral; (c) chiral; (d) chiral; (e) nonchiral; (f) chiral; (g) chiral.
13. (a) Glutamate; (b) aspartate
15. Glutamate
17. Isopeptide bonds can form from the side chain amino group of Lys and from the side chain carboxylate groups of Asp and Glu.
19.

21. The polypeptide would be even less soluble than free Tyr, because most of the amino and carboxylate groups that interact with water and make Tyr at least slightly soluble are lost in forming the peptide bonds in poly(Tyr).
23. (a) pI = (2.35 + 9.87)/2 = 6.11

(b) pI = (6.04 + 9.33)/2 = 7.68

(c) pI = (2.10 + 4.07)/2 = 3.08

25.

(a) The pK's of the ionizable side chains (Table 4-1) are 3.90 (Asp) and 10.54 (Lys); assume that the C-terminal Lys carboxyl group has a pK of 3.5 and the N-terminal Ala amino group has a pK of 8.0 (Section 4-1D). The pI is approximately midway between the pK's of the two ionizations involving the neutral species (the pK of Asp and the N-terminal pK):

$$pI \approx \frac{1}{2}(3.90 + 8.0) \approx 5.95$$

(b) The net charge at pH 7.0 is 0 (as drawn above).

27.

29.

31. (a) Serine (*N*-acetylserine); (b) lysine (5-hydroxylysine); (c) methionine (*N*-formylmethionine)
33.

Chapter 5

1. There are 8^{10} or about 1 billion possibilities.
3. Peptide B, because it contains more Trp and other aromatic residues.
5. Lowering the pH from 7.0 to 5.0 would promote the precipitation of protein Q because the protein will be least soluble when its net charge is zero (when pH = p*I*).
7. (a) Leu, His, Arg. (b) Lys, Val, Glu.
9. The protein behaves like a larger protein during gel filtration, suggesting that it has an elongated shape. The mass determined by SDS-PAGE is more accurate since the mobility of a denatured SDS-coated protein depends only on its size.
11. The protein aggregates at the higher salt concentration.
13. Dansyl chloride reacts with primary amino groups, including the ε-amino group of Lys residues.
15. The mass of an asparagine (N) residue is 114 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$ and the mass of a lysine (K) residue is 128 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$ (Table 4-1). Since an intact peptide contains an additional O atom at its C-terminus and two additional H atoms at its N-terminus, the mass of the pentapeptide is $(4 \times 114) + 128 + 16 + 2\ g \cdot mol^{-1} = 602\ g \cdot mol^{-1}$.
17. Because the side chain of Gly is only an H atom, it often occurs in a protein at a position where no other residue can fit. Consequently, Gly can take the place of a larger residue more easily than a larger residue, such as Val, can take the place of Gly.
19.

21. Add a salt such as ammonium sulfate to the dilute protein solution to reach a final salt concentration that is somewhat higher than the salting-out point for the protein. Centrifuge the solution, collect the protein precipitate, and then dissolve the precipitate in a small volume of buffer.
23. Because protein 1 has a greater proportion of hydrophobic residues (Ala, Ile, Pro, Val) than do proteins 2 and 3, hydrophobic interaction chromatography could be used to isolate it.
25. (a)

Purification step	mg total protein	μmol Mb	Specific activity (μmol Mb/mg total protein)	% yield	Fold purification
1. Crude extract	1550	0.75	4.8×10^{-4}	100	1
2. DEAE-cellulose chromatography	550	0.35	6.4×10^{-4}	47	1.3
3. Affinity chromatography	5.0	0.28	5.6×10^{-2}	80 from affinity chromatography (37 overall)	117-fold overall, (87-fold from affinity chromatography)

(b) The DEAE chromatography step results in only a 47% yield, while the affinity chromatography step results in an 80% yield from the step before it. The DEAE chromatography step therefore results in the greatest loss of Mb.

(c) The DEAE chromatography step results in a 1.3-fold purification, while the affinity chromatography step results in a 87-fold purification from the previous step. The affinity chromatography step therefore results in the greatest purification of Mb.

(d) The affinity chromatography step is the best choice for a one-step purification of Mb in this example.

27. Thermolysin would yield the most fragments (9) and endopeptidase V8 would yield the fewest (2).
29. (a) The positive charges are caused by the protonation of basic side chains (H, K, and R) and the N-terminal amino groups of the protein.

    (b) There are 1 H, 6 K, 11 R, and 1 NH_2 at the N-terminus. Therefore, the maximum number of positive charges that can be obtained is 19.
31. Gln–Ala–Phe–Val–Lys–Gly–Tyr–Asn–Arg–Leu–Glu
33.

Chapter 6

1.

3. The large side chains of the amino acids all project from the side of the helix but would still sterically interfere with each other.
5. The reducing conditions promote cleavage of the disulfide bonds that cross-link α keratin molecules. This helps the larvae digest the wool clothing that they eat.
7. Collagen's primary structure is its amino acid sequence, which is a repeating triplet of mostly Gly–Pro–Hyp. Its secondary structure is the left-handed helical conformation characteristic of its repeating sequence. Its tertiary structure is essentially the same as its secondary structure, as most of the protein consists of one type of secondary structure, but with the addition of its side chains. Collagen's quaternary structure is the arrangement of its three chains in a right-handed triple helix.
9. A fibrous protein such as α keratin does not have a discrete globular core. Most of the residues in its coiled coil structure are exposed to the solvent. The exception is the strip of nonpolar side chains at the interface of the two coils.
11. In a protein crystal, the residues at the end of a polypeptide chain may experience fewer intramolecular contacts and therefore tend to be less ordered (more mobile in the crystal). If their disorder prevents them from generating a coherent diffraction pattern, it may be impossible to map their electron density.
13. (a) α; (b) α/β
15. (a) Phe. Ala and Phe are both hydrophobic, but Phe is much larger and might not fit as well in Val's place.

    (b) Asp. Replacing a positively charged Lys residue with an oppositely charged Asp residue is likely to be more disruptive.

    (c) Glu. The amide-containing Asn would be a better substitute for Gln than the acidic Glu.

(d) His. Pro's constrained geometry is best approximated by Gly, which lacks a side chain, rather than a residue with a bulkier side chain such as His.

17. The brains of the Alzheimer's-prone mice were already burdened with accumulated Aβ, so the PrP^{Sc} aggregation augmented the brain damage, leading to earlier onset of symptoms than in mice whose brains were not already damaged by Aβ accumulation. (There is also some evidence that Aβ oligomers may interact directly with PrP.)

19.

Pyroglutamate

21. (a) 3.6_{13}; (b) steeper.

23. Peptide c is most likely to form an α helix with its three charged residues (Lys, Glu, and Arg) aligned on one face of the helix. Peptide a has adjacent basic residues (Arg and Lys), which would destabilize a helix. Peptide b contains Gly and Pro, both of which are helix-breaking (Table 6-1).

25. Each backbone N—H group in an α helix normally forms a hydrogen bond to the carbonyl oxygen atom four residues back along the chain (toward the N-terminus; Fig. 6-7). Pro, which lacks a backbone N—H group, cannot do so and hence its presence in an interior position of an α helix destabilizes the helix through the loss of hydrogen bonding to the otherwise carbonyl acceptor. However, the four most N-terminal residues of an α helix cannot donate hydrogen bonds within the α helix. In addition, the pyrrolidine ring of a Pro residue in the interior of an α helix sterically interferes with the residue one turn towards the N-terminus. Pro residues in the N-terminal turn of an α helix do not have such steric clashes. Hence Pro residues can occupy the N-terminal turn of an α helix.

27. D_6

29. No.

31. At physiological pH, the positively charged Lys side chains repel each other. Increasing the pH above their pK (>10.5) would neutralize the side chains and allow an α helix to form.

33. The molecular mass of O_2 is 32 D. Hence the ratio of the masses of hemoglobin and 4 O_2, which is equal to the ratio of their volumes, is 65,000/(4 × 32) = 508. The 70-kg office worker has a volume of 70 kg × 1 cm^3/g × (1000 g/kg) × (1 m/100 cm)^3 = 0.070 m^3. Hence the ratio of the volumes of the office and the office worker is (4 × 4 × 3)/0.070 = 686. These ratios are similar in magnitude, which you may not have expected.

35. (a) In one subunit there are 386 residues, each with a (1 − 1/3000) probability of being correctly inserted. For the entire subunit this is $(1 - 1/3000)^{386} = 0.879$. For the entire coat of 180 subunits, the number of subunits that will have to be synthesized, on average, to form a perfect coat is 180/0.879 = 205.

(b) If the coat were one polypeptide chain, it would have 180 × 386 = 69,480 residues. The probability of such a coat being perfectly synthesized is $(1 - 1/3000)^{69480} = 8.71 \times 10^{-11}$. Consequently, $1/(8.71 \times 10^{-11}) = 1.14 \times 10^{10}$ coats would have to be synthesized in order to obtain an average of one perfect coat.

37. Oxidation can cause a protein to unfold, so cells increase the production of heat shock proteins to help the damaged proteins refold. Under the same conditions, the level of reduced glutathione (GSH) decreases and the level of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) increases as oxidized proteins are restored to a reduced state.

39. The GroEL/ES system behaves analogously to a wheel equipped with a ratchet that only permits the wheel to rotate in one direction. Thus, in Step 1 of Fig. 6-45, the binding of GroES to the GroEL–$(ATP)^7$ complex to yield the cis ring induces conformational changes in GroEL that ensure the hydrolysis of the ATP bound to the cis rings, while preventing the binding of ATP to the trans ring. The ensuing hydrolysis of the cis ring-bound ATP to ADP + P_i and the subsequent release of P_i in Step 2 weakens these interactions, thereby permitting 7ATP to bind to the trans ring in Step 3. The resulting conformational changes in GroEL further weaken the interactions in the cis ring, leading to the release of its bound 7ADP + GroES in Step 4. At this point, the cis and trans rings of GroEL have exchanged roles and the cycle repeats. What prevents this process from going backward (the ratchet) are: in Step 1, the free energy of binding of GroES to the cis ring of GroEL; in Step 2, the irreversibility of ATP hydrolysis; in Step 3, the free energy of binding of ATP to the trans ring of GroEL; and in Step 4, the low affinity of the GroEL cis ring for GroES and ADP. Thus, all four steps are irreversible (exergonic). The free energy for this process is ultimately supplied by the irreversible hydrolysis of the ATP.

Chapter 7

1.

3. For hemoglobin, p_{50} = 26 torr. Let the Hill constant, n, equal 3.

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(pO_2)^n}{(p_{50})^n + (pO_2)^n}$$

(a)

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(20)^3}{(26)^3 + (20)^3} = \frac{8000}{17{,}576 + 8000} = 0.31$$

(b)

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(40)^3}{(26)^3 + (40)^3} = \frac{64{,}000}{17{,}576 + 64{,}000} = 0.78$$

(c)

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{(60)^3}{(26)^3 + (60)^3} = \frac{216{,}000}{17{,}576 + 216{,}000} = 0.92$$

5. (a) Vitamin O is useless because the body's capacity to absorb oxygen is not limited by the amount of oxygen available, but by the ability of hemoglobin to bind and transport O_2. Furthermore, oxygen is normally introduced into the body via the lungs, so it is unlikely that the gastrointestinal tract would have an efficient mechanism for extracting oxygen.

(b) The fact that oxygen delivery in vertebrates requires a dedicated O_2-binding protein (hemoglobin) indicates that dissolved oxygen by itself cannot attain the high concentrations required. Moreover, a few drops of vitamin O would make an insignificant contribution to the amount of oxygen already present in a much larger volume of blood.

7. (a) Lower; (b) higher. The Asp 99β → His mutation of hemoglobin Yakima disrupts a hydrogen bond at the α_1–β_2 interface of the T state (Fig. 7-9*a*), causing the T ⇌ R equilibrium to shift toward R state (lower p_{50}). The Asn 102β → Thr of hemoglobin Kansas causes the opposite shift in the T ⇌ R equilibrium by abolishing an R-state hydrogen bond (Fig. 7-9*b*).

9. The increased BPG helps the remaining erythrocytes deliver O_2 to tissues. However, BPG stabilizes the T conformation of hemoglobin, so it promotes sickling and therefore aggravates the disease.

11. Myosin is both fibrous and globular. Its two heads are globular, with several layers of secondary structure. Its tail, however, consists of a lengthy, fibrous coiled coil.

13. Because many myosin heads bind along a thin filament where it overlaps a thick filament, and because the myosin molecules do not execute their power strokes simultaneously, the thick and thin filaments can move past each other by more than 100 Å in the interval between power strokes of an individual myosin molecule.

15. Because cell volume is constant, the sarcomeres must widen as they shorten. As a result, the distance from each myosin head to its binding site on an actin filament increases, diminishing the ability of the myosin heads to pull on the thin filament.

17. (a) 150–200 kD; (b) 150–200 kD; (c) ~23 kD and 53–75 kD

19. The loops are on the surface of the domain, so they can tolerate more amino acid substitutions. Amino acid changes in the β sheets would be more likely to destabilize the domain.

21. According to Eq. 7-6,

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{pO_2}{K + pO_2}$$

When $pO_2 = 10$ torr,

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{10}{2.8 + 10} = 0.78$$

When $pO_2 = 1$ torr,

$$Y_{O_2} = \frac{1}{2.8 + 1} = 0.26$$

The difference in Y_{O_2} values is $0.78 - 0.26 = 0.52$. Therefore, in active muscle cells, myoglobin can transport a significant amount of O_2 by diffusion from the cell surface to the mitochondria.

23.

25. (a) Hyperventilation eliminates CO_2, but it does not significantly affect the O_2 concentration, since the hemoglobin in arterial blood is already essentially saturated with oxygen.

(b) The removal of CO_2 also removes protons, according to the reaction

$$H^+ + HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons H_2O + CO_2$$

The resulting increase in blood pH would increase the O_2 affinity of hemoglobin through the Bohr effect. The net result would be that less oxygen could be delivered to the tissues until the CO_2 balance was restored. Thus, hyperventilation has the opposite of the intended effect (note that since hyperventilation suppresses the urge to breathe, doing so may cause the diver to lose consciousness due to lack of O_2 and hence drown).

27. In the case of anemia, the Hb that is present functions normally and is presumably present in sufficient quantity to carry the required amount of O_2 (at least under conditions of low exertion). In the CO poisoning case, half the O_2 binding sites of Hb bind CO essentially irreversibly. This converts most of the Hb to the R state which greatly increases its O_2 affinity over that of normal Hb. Therefore, at the tissues, little of the O_2 carried by this Hb will be discharged, resulting in the asphyxiation of the victim.

29. Microfilaments consist entirely of actin subunits that are assembled in a head-to-tail fashion so the polarity of the subunits is preserved in the fully assembled fiber. In keratin filaments, however, successive heterodimers align in an antiparallel fashion, so that in a fully assembled intermediate filament, half the molecules are oriented in one direction and half are oriented in the opposite direction (Fig. 6-16).

31. The antigenic site in the native protein usually consists of several peptide segments that are no longer contiguous when the tertiary structure of the protein is disrupted.

33. Cleaving the IgA molecules into separate Fab fragments destroys their ability to cross-link antigens. Although the Fab fragments can still bind to the bacteria, the bacteria will not become trapped in a cross-linked network and can still initiate an infection.

Chapter 8

1. (a) 4; (b) 8; (c) 16

3. (a)

5.

7.

9. Tagatose is derived from galactose.

11.

13. One

15. Glucosamine is a building block of certain glycosaminoglycan components of proteoglycans (Fig. 8-12). Boosting the body's supply of glucosamine might slow the progression of the disease osteoarthritis, which is characterized by the degradation of proteoglycan-rich articular (relating to a joint) cartilage. [Note, however, that clinical trials indicate that glucosamine does not affect the progress of osteoarthritis.]

17. −200

19.

21.

23. 19. C1 of one glucopyranose can form α or β glycosidic linkages with C1, C2, C3, C4, or C6 of a second glucopyranose, which can also be in the α or β form. However, α-glucose-(1→1)-β-glucose is identical to β-glucose-(1→1)-α-glucose, so the total is 19 rather than 20.

25. (a) α-D-glucose-(1→1)-α-D-glucose. (b) The numerous hydrogen-bonding —OH groups of the disaccharide act as substitutes for water molecules. Because trehalose is a nonreducing sugar, it is unlikely to participate in oxidation–reduction reactions with other biomolecules when it is present at high concentrations.

27.

29. (a) One

(b)

31. The cationic Ca^{2+} ions shield the negative charges of the glycosaminoglycans in the proteoglycans, so that they can be stored in a relatively small volume inside the cell. When the Ca^{2+} ions are pumped out, the repulsion between the glycosaminoglycan chains causes them to expand in the extracellular space.

33.

The filled circles represent the glucose residues that can be cleaved away by β-amylase. The remainder is the limit dextrin.

Chapter 9

1. *trans*-Oleic acid has a higher melting point because, in the solid state, its hydrocarbon chains pack together more tightly than those of *cis*-oleic acid.

3.

5. Of the 4 × 4 = 16 pairs of fatty acid residues at C1 and C3, only 10 are unique because a molecule with different substituents at C1 and C3 is identical to the molecule with the reverse substitution order. However, C2 may have any of the four substituents for a total of 4 × 10 = 40 different triacylglycerols.

7. All except choline can form hydrogen bonds.

9. This lipid is ceramide-1-phosphate, produced by the phosphorylation of the ceramide derived from a sphingomyelin.

11. Eicosanoids synthesized from arachidonic acid are necessary for intercellular communication. Cultured cells do not need such communication and therefore do not require linoleic acid.

13. Triacylglycerols lack polar head groups, so they do not orient themselves in a bilayer with their acyl chains inward and their glycerol moiety toward the surface.

15. (a) Saturated; (b) long-chain. By increasing the proportion of saturated and long-chain fatty acids, which have higher melting points, the bacteria can maintain constant membrane fluidity at the higher temperature.

17. (a) (1 turn/5.4 Å)(30 Å) = 5.6 turns

(b) (3.6 residues/turn)(5.6 turns) = 20 residues

(c) The additional residues form a helix, which partially satisfies backbone hydrogen bonding requirements, where the lipid head groups do not offer hydrogen bonding partners.

19. (a) Inner; (b) outer. See Fig. 9-32.

21. (a)

(b) To convert sphingomyelin to sphingosine-1-phosphate, a portion of the head group (typically choline or ethanolamine) must be hydrolytically cleaved, leaving a phosphate group, and the amide linkage to the fatty acyl group must be hydrolyzed.

23. Both DNA and phospholipids have exposed phosphate groups that are recognized by the antibodies.

25. The monosaccharide groups are glucosamine-4-phosphate (left) and glucosamine-1-phosphate (right). Four 3-hydroxy-tetradecanoyl (β-hydroxy-myristoyl) groups are attached via ester or amide bonds to the glucosamine groups, and two of these chains have additional tetradecanoyl (myristoyl) groups esterified to their hydroxyl groups.

27. Proteins that are tethered to membranes via *N*-myristoylation would be set free by the action of an enzyme that cleaved away the N-terminal glycine to which the fatty acyl group was attached. [This is just one event during infection by *Shigella*, which produces ~20 proteins that interfere with host cell function.]

29. (a) Both the intra- and extracellular portions will be labeled. (b) Only the extracellular portion will be labeled. (c) Only the intracellular portion will be labeled.

31. An overactive endocytic pathway would require large amounts of membrane material to form the numerous intracellular vesicles. The cell cannot keep up with the demand, so its membranes become attenuated and rupture, which kills the cell.

33. The mutant signal peptidase would cleave many preproteins within their signal peptides, which often contain Leu–Leu sequences. This would not affect translocation into the ER, since signal peptidase acts after the signal peptide enters the ER lumen. Proteins lacking the Leu–Leu sequence would retain their signal peptides. These proteins, and those with abnormally cleaved signal sequences, would be more likely to fold abnormally and therefore function abnormally.

35. Since all subunits must have the same orientation with respect to the membrane, the only allowed rotational symmetry axes must be perpendicular to the membrane plane. This constraint eliminates all but cyclic symmetries; that is, C_2, C_3, C_4, etc.

Chapter 10

1. $$\Delta G = RT \ln \frac{[\text{glucose}]_{in}}{[\text{glucose}]_{out}}$$

$$= (8.3145)\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})\ (298\ \text{K}) \ln \frac{(0.003)}{(0.005)}$$

$$= -1270\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = -1.27\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

3. Use Equation 10-3 and let $Z = 2$ and $T = 310$ K:

(a) $$\Delta G = RT \ln \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{in}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{out}} + Z\mathcal{F}\Delta\Psi$$

$$= (8.314\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K}) \ln (10^{-7}/10^{-3}) + (2)(96{,}485\ \text{J} \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(-0.050\ \text{V})$$

$$= -23{,}700\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} - 9600\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$= -33{,}300\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = -33.3\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

The negative value of ΔG indicates a thermodynamically favorable process.

(b) $$\Delta G = RT \ln \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{in}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{out}} + Z\mathcal{F}\Delta\Psi$$

$$= (8.314\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K}) \ln (10^{-7}/10^{-3}) + (2)(96{,}485\ \text{J} \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(+0.150\ \text{V})$$

$$= -23{,}700\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} + 28{,}900\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$= +5{,}200\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = +5.2\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

The positive value of ΔG indicates a thermodynamically unfavorable process.

5. (a) Nonmediated; (b) mediated; (c) nonmediated; (d) mediated.

7. An eight-stranded β barrel has a solid core. A β barrel with 16 or 18 strands has a diameter large enough to accommodate a pore for solute transport.

9. The presence of a series of K^+ ions within the channel prevents water molecules from forming a hydrogen-bonded chain.

11. A channel provides an open pore across the membrane, whereas a pump operates by changing its conformation in an ATP-dependent manner. The additional time required for ATP hydrolysis and protein conformation changes causes ion movement through a pump to be slower than through a channel.

13. In the absence of ATP, Na^+ extrusion by the (Na^+-K^+)–ATPase would cease, so no glucose could enter the cell by the Na^+–glucose symport. The glucose in the cell would then exit via the passive-mediated glucose transporter, and the cellular [glucose] would decrease until it matched the extracellular [glucose] (of course, the cell would probably osmotically burst before this could occur).

15. (a) The phosphate transporter is more likely to function like the transport protein GLUT1, because a channel large enough to accommodate phosphate ions would be unable to prevent the transmembrane movement of smaller ions such as Cl^-. (b) The phosphate transporter is a symport system. (c) There are more H^+ ions inside the cell than outside, so phosphate transport cannot be driven by a pH gradient, which would require a higher extracellular [H^+].

17. K^+ transport ceases because the ionophore-K^+ complex cannot diffuse through the membrane when the lipids are immobilized in a gel-like state.

19. (a) No; there is no glycerol backbone.

(b) Miltefosine is amphipathic and therefore cannot cross the parasite cell membrane by diffusion. Since it is not a normal cell component, it probably does not have a dedicated active transporter. It most likely enters the cell via a passive transport protein.

(c) This amphipathic molecule most likely accumulates in membranes, with its hydrophobic tail buried in the bilayer and its polar head group exposed to the solvent.

(d) The protein recognizes the phosphocholine head group, which also occurs in some sphingolipids and some glycerophospholipids.

Since the protein does not bind all phospholipids or triacylglycerols, it does not recognize the hydrocarbon tail.

21. (a) The data do not indicate the involvement of a transport protein, since the rate of transport does not approach a maximum as [X] increases. (b) To verify that a transport protein is involved, increase [X] to demonstrate saturation of the transporter at high [X], or add a structural analog of X to compete with X for binding to the transporter, resulting in a lower flux of X.

23. (a) $pH = -\log[H^+] = -\log(0.15) = 0.82$

The pH of the secreted HCl is more than 6 pH units lower than the cytosolic pH, which corresponds to a $[H^+]$ of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-8}$ M.

(b) $CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + H^+$

(c)

25. CO_2 is converted to carbonic acid, which dissociates to form bicarbonate and protons. The protons block the pain signals that would otherwise be triggered by high $[CO_2]$. This allows the animals to tolerate the crowded conditions in its burrows.

Chapter 11

1. b

3. (a) isomerase (alanine racemase); (b) lyase (pyruvate decarboxylase).

5. There are three transition states ($X^{\ddagger}$) and two intermediates (I). The reaction is not thermodynamically favorable because the free energy of the products is greater than that of the reactants.

7. At 25°C, every 10-fold increase in rate corresponds to a decrease of about 5.7 kJ · mol^{-1} in $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. For the nuclease, with a rate enhancement on the order of 10^{14}, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ is lowered about 14×5.7 kJ · mol^{-1}, or about 80 kJ · mol^{-1}. Alternatively, since the rate enhancement, k, is given by $k = e^{\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/RT}$,

$\ln k = \ln(10^{14}) = \Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat}/8.3145 \times (273 + 25)$

Hence $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{cat} = 80$ kJ · mol^{-1}.

9. As the temperature increases, thermal energy boosts the proportion of reactants that can achieve the transition state per unit time, so the rate increases. Above an optimal temperature, the enzyme becomes denatured and rapidly loses catalytic activity (recall that proteins are typically only marginally stable; Section 6-4).

11. Glu has a pK of ~4 and, in its ionized form, acts as a base catalyst. Lys has a pK of ~10 and, in its protonated form, acts as an acid catalyst.

13. Inhibition by various metal ions suggests that urease requires a metal ion for catalysis, whose replacement by Hg, Co, or Cd inactivates the enzyme. However, the inhibitory metal ions could also disrupt the enzyme's structure by binding somewhere other than the active site, so this inhibitory effect does not prove that urease acts via metal ion catalysis. (In fact, urease activity requires two catalytic Ni ions.)

15. The lysozyme active site is arranged to cleave oligosaccharides between the fourth and fifth residues. Moreover, since the lysozyme active site can bind at least six monosaccharide units, $(NAG)_6$ would be more tightly bound to the enzyme than $(NAG)_4$, and this additional binding free energy would be applied to distorting the D ring to its half-chair conformation, thereby facilitating the reaction.

17.

Tosyl-L-alanine chloromethylketone or

Tosyl-L-valine chloromethylketone

19.

21.

23. The ability of RNA molecules to form complex tertiary structures allows them to bind substrates and catalyze reactions through proximity and orientation effects as well as by transition state stabilization, even though RNA lacks a wide variety of functional groups. In addition, the Mg^{2+} ions that RNA normally binds may also have catalytic functions. DNA lacks the 2′-OH functional group that is present in RNA. Moreover, in its double helical form, DNA is conformationally rigid and is therefore unable to form the required complex tertiary structures.

25. Asp 101 and Arg 114 form hydrogen bonds with the substrate molecule (Fig. 11-19). Ala cannot form these hydrogen bonds, so the substituted enzyme is less active.

27. Yes. An enzyme decreases the activation energy barrier for both the forward and the reverse directions of a reaction.

29. If the soybean trypsin inhibitor were not removed from tofu, it would inhibit the trypsin in the intestine. At best, this would reduce the nutritional value of the meal by rendering its protein indigestible. It might very well also lead to intestinal upset.

31. Activated factor IXa leads, via several steps, to the activation of the final coagulation protease, thrombin. The absence of factor IX therefore slows the production of thrombin, delaying clot formation, and causing the bleeding of hemophilia. Although activated factor XIa also leads to thrombin production, factor XI plays no role until it is activated by thrombin itself. By this point, coagulation is already well underway, so a deficiency of factor XI does not significantly delay coagulation.

Chapter 12

1. (a) $v = k[A]$

$k = v/[A]$

$k = (5\ \mu M \cdot min^{-1})/(20\ mM)$

$= (0.005\ mM \cdot min^{-1})/(20\ mM)$

$= 2.5 \times 10^{-4}\ min^{-1}$

(b) The reaction has a molecularity of 1.

3. From Eq. 12-7, $[A] = [A]_o e^{-kt}$. Since $t_{1/2} = 0.693/k$, $k = 0.693/14\ d = 0.05\ d^{-1}$. (a) 7 mmol; (b) 5 μmol; (c) 3.5 μmol; (d) 0.3 μmol.

5. Only a plot of 1/[reactant] versus t gives a straight line, so the reaction is second order. The slope, k, is $0.15\ mM^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$.

Time (s)	1/[reactant](mM^{-1})
0	0.16
1	0.32
2	0.48
3	0.62
4	0.78
5	0.91

7.

9. $v_o = V_{max}[S]/(K_M + [S])$

$v_o/V_{max} = [S]/(K_M + [S])$

$0.95 = [S]/(K_M + [S])$

$[S] = 0.95\ K_M + 0.95[S]$

$0.05[S] = 0.95\ K_M$

$[S] = (0.95/0.05)K_M = 19\ K_M$

11. Construct a Lineweaver–Burk plot.

$K_M = -1/x\text{-intercept} = -1/(-4\ \mu M^{-1}) = 0.25\ \mu M$

$V_{max} = 1/y\text{-intercept} = 1/(0.8\ mM^{-1} \cdot s) = 1.25\ mM \cdot s^{-1}$

13. (a) *N*-Acetyltyrosine ethyl ester, with the lower value of K_M, has greater apparent affinity for chymotrypsin. (b) The value of V_{max} is not related to the value of K_M, so no conclusion can be drawn.

15. A* will appear if the reaction follows a Ping Pong mechanism, since a double-displacement reaction can exchange an isotope from P back to A in the absence of B.

17. By irreversibly reacting with chymotrypsin's active site, DIPF would decrease $[E]_T$. The apparent V_{max} would decrease since $V_{max} = k_{cat}[E]_T$. K_M would not be affected since the uninhibited enzyme would bind substrate normally.

19. The lines of the double-reciprocal plots intersect to the left of the $1/v_o$ axis (on the 1/[S] axis). Hence, inhibition is mixed (with $\alpha = \alpha'$).

[S]	1/[S]	$1/v_o$	$1/v_o$ with I
1	1.00	0.7692	1.2500
2	0.50	0.5000	0.8333
4	0.25	0.3571	0.5882
8	0.125	0.2778	0.4545
12	0.083	0.2500	0.4167

21. Velocity measurements can be made using any convenient unit of change per unit of time. K_M is, by definition, a substrate concentration (the concentration when $v_o = V_{max}/2$), so its value does not reflect how the velocity is measured.

23. Comparing the two data points, since a 100-fold increase in substrate concentration only produces a 10-fold increase in reaction velocity, it appears that when [S] = 100 mM, the velocity is close to V_{max}. Therefore, assume that $V_{max} \approx 50\ \mu M \cdot s^{-1}$ and use the other data point to estimate K_M using the Michaelis–Menten equation:

$$v_o = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{K_M + [S]}$$

$$K_M + [S] = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{v_o}$$

$$K_M = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{v_o} - [S]$$

$$K_M = \frac{(50\ \mu M \cdot s^{-1})(1\ \mu M)}{(5\ \mu M \cdot s^{-1})} - (1\ \mu M) = 9\ \mu M$$

The true V_{max} must be greater than the estimated value, so the value of K_M is an underestimate of the true K_M.

25. The enzyme concentration is comparable to the lowest substrate concentration and therefore does not meet the requirement that [E] ≪ [S]. You could fix this problem by decreasing the amount of enzyme used for each measurement.

27. If an irreversible inhibitor is present, the enzyme solution's activity would be exactly 100 times lower when the sample is diluted 100-fold. Dilution would not significantly change the enzyme's degree of inhibition.

29. (a) Inhibition is most likely mixed (noncompetitive) with $\alpha = \alpha'$ since it is reversible and only V_{max} is affected.

(b) Since $V_{max}^{app} = 0.8\ V_{max}$, 80% of the enzyme remains uninhibited. Therefore, 20% of the enzyme molecules have bound inhibitor.

(c) As indicated in Table 12-2 for mixed inhibition, $V_{max}^{app} = V_{max}/\alpha'$. Thus,

$$\alpha' = \frac{V_{max}}{V_{max}^{app}} = \frac{1}{0.8} = 1.25$$

From Eq. 12-32,

$$1.25 = 1 + \frac{[I]}{K'_I}$$

$$K'_I = \frac{5\ nM}{1.25 - 1} = 20\ nM$$

31. Since the molecular mass of methanol (MeOH) is 32 D, 100 mL of methanol in 40 L of solution has the concentration

$$[MeOH] = \frac{100\ mL \times 0.79\ g \cdot mL^{-1}}{32\ g \cdot mol^{-1} \times 40\ L} = 0.062\ M$$

Ethanol (EtOH) is a competitive inhibitor of methanol with LADH.

$$v_o(\text{uninhibited}) = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{K_M + [S]}$$

$$v_o(\text{inhibited}) = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{\alpha K_M + [S]}$$

where $\alpha = \left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I}\right)$, [MeOH] = [S], and $K_M = 0.01\ M$.

We require that

$$\frac{v_o(\text{inhibited})}{v_o(\text{uninhibited})} = 0.05 = \frac{K_M + [MeOH]}{\alpha K_M + [MeOH]}$$

$$0.05 = \frac{0.01 + 0.062}{0.01\alpha + 0.062}$$

$$0.0005\alpha + 0.0031 = 0.072$$

$$\alpha = 138 = \left(1 + \frac{[I]}{K_I}\right)$$

$$[I] = (138 - 1)K_I = 137K_I = 137 \times 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$[I] = 0.137\ M = [EtOH]$$

Mol EtOH = 0.137 M × 40 L = 5.48 mol

Molecular mass EtOH = 46 D

$$\text{Mass EtOH} = 46\ g \cdot mol^{-1} \times 5.48\ mol = 252\ g$$

$$\text{Volume pure EtOH} = \frac{252\ g}{0.79\ g \cdot mL^{-1}} = 319\ mL$$

Since 100 proof whiskey is 50% ethanol by volume, it is necessary to imbibe 2 × 319 mL = 638 mL (which is about 5/6 of a "fifth" of whiskey).

Chapter 13

1. Somatostatin is a 14-residue peptide hormone and is not lipid-soluble, so it would require a cell-surface receptor.

3. (a) Norepinephrine is synthesized by the decarboxylation of Tyr and by hydroxylation of its β carbon and its phenyl group. (b) Epinephrine is derived from norepinephrine by *N*-methylation.

5. A plot of fractional saturation (*Y*) versus [L] yields a hyperbola where the estimated value of 0.5*Y* corresponds to a ligand concentration, or K_L, of about 3.5 μM.

7.

9. The SH2 domain allows the enzyme to bind to phospho-Tyr residues on its target proteins. Because targets typically contain more than one phospho-Tyr group, the phosphatase can recognize and bind to one site on the target protein while dephosphorylating another site on the same protein.

11. Transformation to the cancerous state results from several genetic changes in a cell. Thus, a single oncogene supplied to an otherwise normal cell will be insufficient to transform it. However, an immortalized cell already has some of the genetic changes necessary for transformation (malignant cells are also immortal). In such cells, the additional oncogene may be all they require to complete their transformation.

13. Because the GTP analog cannot be hydrolyzed, G_α remains active. Analog binding to G_s therefore increases cAMP production. Analog binding to G_i decreases cAMP production.

15. Like cholera toxin, *B. anthracis* EF leads to the overproduction of cAMP which triggers the release of fluid from cells. The result is edema.

17. Diacylglycerol kinase converts DAG to phosphatidic acid (Section 9-1C).

19. Li^+ blocks the conversion of phosphorylated inositol species to inositol, thereby preventing the recycling of IP_3 and its degradation products back to inositol. This in turn prevents the synthesis of phosphatidylinositol and PIP_2, the precursor of the IP_3 second messenger.

21. Use Equation 13-8, letting [L] = 1 μM and [B] = $[I_{50}]$ = 2.5 μM,

$$K_I = \frac{[I_{50}]}{\left(1 + \frac{[L]}{K_L}\right)} = \frac{(2.5 \times 10^{-6})}{\left(1 + \frac{(1 \times 10^{-6})}{(5 \times 10^{-6})}\right)} = \frac{2.5 \times 10^{-6}}{1.2} = 2.1\,\mu M$$

23. The PH domain allows the IRS to be localized to the intracellular leaflet of the cell membrane, close to the insulin receptor and ready to participate in signal transduction. The PTB domain, like an SH2 domain, recognizes phospho-Tyr residues, in this case on the autophosphorylated insulin receptor. As a result, the IRS can be activated following insulin binding to its receptor. The phospho-Tyr residues on the IRS itself are recognition points for SH2-containing proteins, which can thereby become activated.

25. (a) Yes, because the binding of Src's SH3 domain to the linker that connects its SH2 domain to the N-terminal lobe of its PTK domain is required for Src to maintain its autoinhibited conformation. Hence, the deletion of this SH3 domain would constitutively activate Src, thereby driving the cell with this mutation to a state of unrestrained proliferation.

(b) No, because the phosphorylation of Tyr 416 is required for the activation of Src and hence the Y416F mutation would inhibit the mutant cell's proliferation.

27. When $G_{s\alpha}$ catalyzes the hydrolysis of its bound GTP to GDP + P_i, the Arg side chain that cholera toxin ADP-ribosylates functions to stabilize the transition state's developing negative charge. The ADP-ribosylated $G_{s\alpha}$ therefore hydrolyzes its bound GTP at a greatly reduced rate and hence remains activated far longer than normal $G_{s\alpha}$. Mutating this Arg will have a similar effect. Consequently, in cells in which a $G_{s\alpha}$. GTP functions to induce cell proliferation, mutating this Arg will drive the cell into a state of unrestrained proliferation, a requirement for the malignant transformation of the cell. The gene encoding such a $G_{s\alpha}$ subunit is a proto-oncogene and the mutation of its Arg residue converts it to an oncogene.

29. No. Although the diacylglycerol second messengers are identical, phosphatidylethanolamine does not generate an IP_3 second messenger that triggers the release of Ca^{2+}, which in turn alters protein kinase C activity.

31. (a) The positively charged Ca^{2+} ions bind to negatively charged lipids at the membrane surface. This disrupts the electrostatic interactions between CD3 and the membrane so that the proteins dissociate from the membrane and expose their Tyr side chains to the NRTKs for phosphorylation. (b) This is an example of feed-forward activation: the binding of antigen initiates signaling that leads to Ca^{2+} influx, which in turn promotes additional events (phosphorylation of the CD3 proteins); the two-step mechanism means a stronger cellular response to the antigen.

Chapter 14

1. A heterotroph relies on other organisms for food, which may include substances the heterotroph cannot synthesize (including vitamins). An autotroph can produce all the molecules it needs.

3. Arsenic, which resembles phosphorus, is incorporated into nucleic acids and other compounds that ordinarily contain phosphate groups.

5. C, D, A, E, B

7. (a) $K = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$

$K = e^{-(-31{,}500\ \mathrm{J\cdot mol^{-1}})/(8.3145\ \mathrm{J\cdot K^{-1}\cdot mol^{-1}})(310\ \mathrm{K})}$

$K = 2.0 \times 10^5$

(b) The large change in free energy makes the citrate synthase reaction irreversible, so it could (and does; Section 17-4B) serve as a control point for the citric acid cycle.

9. We can assume that ATP's three phosphoryl groups behave like phosphoric acid, which has three p*K* values (2.15, 6.82, and 12.38). At physiological pH (7.4), ionization of the first proton on each phosphoryl group is complete (since its p*K* value is 2.15), and ionization of the second proton (if present) is more than halfway complete (since pH 7.4 is greater than the p*K* value of 6.82). Therefore the net charge of an ATP molecule is between −3.5 and −4.

11. The theoretical maximum yield of ATP is equivalent to ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for fuel oxidation)/($\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ for ATP synthesis) = $(-2850\ \mathrm{kJ\cdot mol^{-1}})/(-30.5\ \mathrm{kJ\cdot mol^{-1}}) \approx 93$ ATP

13. The exergonic hydrolysis of PP_i by pyrophosphatase ($\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -19.2\ \mathrm{kJ\cdot mol^{-1}}$) drives fatty acid activation.

15. The more positive the reduction potential, the greater the oxidizing power. From Table 14-4,

Compound	$\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$(V)
SO_4^{2-}	−0.515
Acetoacetate	–0.346
NAD^+	−0.315
Pyruvate	−0.185
Cytochrome *b* (Fe^{3+})	0.077

17. Using the data in Table 14-4:

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^-\text{acceptor})} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^-\text{donor})} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(\text{fumarate})} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(\text{NAD}^+)}$$
$$= 0.031\ \text{V} - (-0.315\ \text{V}) = 0.346\ \text{V}$$

Because $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} > 0$, $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} < 0$ and the reaction will spontaneously proceed as written.

19. Probably not. Although all cells carry out a similar set of basic metabolic reactions, the enzymes that catalyze the reactions have different amino acid sequences and hence different gene sequences. cDNAs produced from mammalian mRNAs would be unlikely to hybridize with bacterial DNA segments.

21. Unlike nucleic acids, metabolites cannot be amplified, and metabolite structures are not encoded by genetic information. In addition, attaching a large fluorescent molecule to a metabolite might alter its biological activity.

23. (a) Since $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -RT \ln K$,

$$K = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$$
$$K = e^{-(7500\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})/(8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(298\ \text{K})}$$
$$K = 0.048$$

(b) $\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ\prime} + RT \ln \dfrac{[\text{B}]}{[\text{A}]}$

$$\Delta G = 7500\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} + (8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K}) \ln \frac{(0.0001)}{(0.0005)}$$
$$\Delta G = 7500\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} - 4150\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$
$$\Delta G = 3350\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = 3.35\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

The reaction is not spontaneous since $\Delta G > 0$.

(c) The reaction can proceed in the cell if the product B is the substrate for a second reaction such that the second reaction continually draws off B, causing the first reaction to continually produce more B from A.

25. At pH 6, the phosphate groups are more ionized than they are at pH 5, which increases their electrostatic repulsion and therefore increases the magnitude of ΔG for hydrolysis (makes it more negative).

27. Calculating ΔG for the reaction ATP + creatine $\rightleftharpoons$ phosphocreatine + ADP, using Eq. 14-1:

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ\prime} + RT \ln \left(\frac{[\text{phosphocreatine}][\text{ADP}]}{[\text{creatine}][\text{ATP}]} \right)$$
$$= 12.6\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} + (8.3145\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(298\ \text{K}) \ln \left(\frac{(2.5\ \text{mM})(0.15\ \text{mM})}{(1\ \text{mM})(4\ \text{mM})} \right)$$
$$= 12.6\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} - 5.9\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = 6.7\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Since $\Delta G > 0$, the reaction will proceed in the opposite direction as written above, that is, in the direction of ATP synthesis.

29.

31. The ubiquinone half-reaction has a higher reduction potential (0.045 V) than the NAD^+ half-reaction (−0.315 V). Therefore, electrons will flow from NADH (which becomes oxidized) to ubiquinone (which becomes reduced).

33. The balanced equation is

$$2\ \text{cyto}\ c\ (\text{Fe}^{3+}) + \text{ubiquinol} \rightarrow 2\ \text{cyto}\ c\ (\text{Fe}^{2+}) + \text{ubiquinone} + 2\text{H}^+$$

Using the data in Table 14-4,

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^-\ \text{acceptor})} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(e^-\ \text{donor})} = 0.235\ \text{V} - 0.045\ \text{V}$$
$$= 0.190\ \text{V}$$
$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -(2)(96{,}485\ \text{J}\cdot\text{V}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(0.190\ \text{V})$$
$$= -36.7\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

35. $Z \xrightarrow{B} W \xrightarrow{C} Y \xrightarrow{A} X$

Chapter 15

1. (a) Reactions 1, 3, 7, and 10; (b) Reactions 2, 5, and 8; (c) Reaction 6; (d) Reaction 9; (e) Reaction 4.

3. This reaction resembles Step 4 of glycolysis and is carried out by an aldolase, which links dihydroxyacetone phosphate to an aldehyde (erythrose-4-phosphate).

5. C1 of DHAP and C1 of GAP are achiral but become chiral in FBP (as C3 and C4). There are four stereoisomeric products that differ in configuration at C3 and C4: fructose-1,6-bisphosphate, psicose-1,6-bisphosphate, tagatose-1,6-bisphosphate, and sorbose-1,6-bisphosphate (see Fig. 8-2).

7. The reaction intermediate is glucose-1,6-bisphosphate (G1,6P).

9. Alcoholic fermentation, unlike homolactic fermentation, includes a step (the pyruvate decarboxylase reaction) in which a carbon is lost as CO_2. Because the CO_2 diffuses (bubbles) away, the reaction cannot proceed in reverse.

11. ΔG values differ from $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ values because $\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ\prime} + RT \ln[\text{products}]/[\text{reactants}]$ and cellular reactants and products are not in their standard states.

13. Pyruvate kinase regulation is important for controlling the flux of metabolites, such as fructose (in liver), which enter glycolysis after the PFK step.

15. The high glycolytic flux rapidly generates the ATP needed for cell growth and division.

17. The three glucose molecules that proceed through glycolysis yield 6 ATP. The bypass through the pentose phosphate pathway results in a yield of 5 ATP.

19.

1,2-Enediolate intermediate

21. Transketolase transfers 2-carbon units from a ketose to an aldose, so the products are a 3-carbon sugar and a 7-carbon sugar.

23. (a) Glucose + 2 NAD^+ + 2 ADP + 2 P_i →
2 pyruvate + 2 NADH + 2 ATP + 2 H_2O

(b) Glucose + 2 NAD^+ + 2 ADP + 2 AsO_4^{3-} →
2 pyruvate + 2 NADH + 2 ADP—AsO_3^{2-} + 2 H_2O

2 ADP—AsO_3^{2-} + 2 H_2O → 2 ADP + AsO_4^{3-}

Overall: Glucose + 2 NAD^+ → 2 pyruvate + 2 NADH

(c) Arsenate is a poison because it uncouples ATP generation from glycolysis. Consequently, glycolytic energy generation cannot occur.

25. (a) The volume of the balloon would increase as the yeast produce CO_2 by fermenting the sugar. (b) The balloon would not inflate because iodoacetate inhibits the activity of GAPDH and prevents fermentation. (c) Because yeast do not normally metabolize lactose (milk sugar), the balloon would inflate much more slowly than if sucrose were present.

27. When $[GAP] = 10^{-4}$ M, $[DHAP] = 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ M. According to Eq. 1-17,

$$K = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$$

$$\frac{[GAP][DHAP]}{[FBP]} = e^{-(22{,}800\ \mathrm{J \cdot mol^{-1}})/(8.3145\ \mathrm{J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol})(310\ \mathrm{K})}$$

$$\frac{(10^{-4})(5.5 \times 10^{-4})}{[FBP]} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$[FBP] = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}\ \mathrm{M}$$

$$[FBP]/[GAP] = (3.8 \times 10^{-4}\ \mathrm{M})/(10^{-4}\ \mathrm{M}) = 3.8$$

29. Galactokinase, which catalyzes a highly exergonic reaction, is a possible control point. Because galactose enters the glycolytic pathway as glucose-6-phosphate, the PFK reaction is also likely to be a major control point.

31. (a) Glycerol can be converted to the glycolytic intermediate DHAP by the activity of glycerol kinase and glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase (Fig. 15-27). (b) One ATP is consumed by the glycerol kinase reaction, but two ATP are produced (by the PGK and PK reactions), for a net yield of one ATP per glycerol (this does not count the ATP that might be generated through oxidative phosphorylation from the NADH produced in the glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase reaction).

33. Even when the flux of glucose through glycolysis and hence the citric acid cycle is blocked, glucose can be oxidized by the pentose phosphate pathway, with the generation of CO_2.

35. (a) Reaction 8, (b) Reaction 5, (c) Reaction 1, (d) Reaction 2, (e) Reaction 3.

Chapter 16

1. Glycogen is broken down when the cell needs to catabolize glucose to produce ATP. The G1P generated by the glycogen phosphorylase reaction is quickly isomerized to G6P and enters glycolysis. The continual consumption of G1P "pulls" the phosphorylase reaction forward, making it thermodynamically favorable.

3. Phosphoglucokinase activity generates G1,6P, which is necessary to "prime" phosphoglucomutase that has become dephosphorylated and thereby inactivated through the loss of its G1,6P reaction intermediate.

5. The conversion of circulating glucose to lactate in the muscle generates 2 ATP. If muscle glycogen could be mobilized, the energy yield would be 3 ATP, since phosphorolysis of glycogen bypasses the hexokinase-catalyzed step that consumes ATP in the first stage of glycolysis.

7. A glycogen molecule with 28 tiers would represent the most efficient arrangement for storing glucose, and its outermost tier would contain considerably more glucose residues than a glycogen molecule with only 12 tiers. However, densely packed glucose residues would be inaccessible to phosphorylase. In fact, such a dense glycogen molecule could not be synthesized because glycogen synthase and branching enzyme would have no room to operate (see Box 16-3 for a discussion of glycogen structure).

9. (a) Circulating [glucose] is high because cells do not respond to the insulin signal to take up glucose.

(b) Insulin is unable to activate phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 in muscle, so glycogen synthesis is not stimulated. Moreover, glycogen synthesis is much reduced by the lack of available glucose in the cell.

11. During the domestication process, wolves, which are carnivores, would have been provided with grain-based (starchy) foods produced by humans. Additional amylase genes would have made starch digestion more efficient, giving the animals a survival advantage.

13. The equation for glycolysis is

$$\text{Glucose} + 2\ NAD^+ + 2\ ADP + 2\ P_i \rightarrow 2\ \text{pyruvate} + 2\ NADH + 4\ H^+ + 2\ ATP + 2\ H_2O$$

The equation for gluconeogenesis is

$$2\ \text{Pyruvate} + 2\ NADH + 4\ H^+ + 4\ ATP + 2\ GTP + 6\ H_2O \rightarrow \text{glucose} + 2\ NAD^+ + 4\ ADP + 2\ GDP + 6\ P_i$$

For the two processes operating sequentially,

$$2\ ATP + 2\ GTP + 4\ H_2O \rightarrow 2\ ADP + 2\ GDP + 4\ P_i$$

15. (a) +9 ATP, (b) +6 ATP, (c) −18 ATP.

17. (a) At the beginning of a fast, blood glucose levels are normal, because dietary sources or glycogenolysis can supply glucose. (b) After a fast, the blood glucose levels are very low, because dietary glucose and glycogen have been depleted and gluconeogenesis is impaired due to the fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase deficiency.

19. PFK-2 converts fructose-6-phosphate to fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, an allosteric activator of PFK-1. The result is increased glycolytic flux to generate the ATP to power cell division during angiogenesis.

21. (a) Microorganisms that produce fucosidase can cleave fucose residues from the glycoproteins, thereby obtaining a source of free energy from the host. (b) The pathogens cannot use this food source and therefore are less likely to survive when no other food is available.

23. In the course of glucose catabolism, a detour through glycogen synthesis and glycogen breakdown begins and ends with G6P. The energy cost of this detour is 1 ATP equivalent, consumed in the UDP–glucose pyrophosphorylase step. The overall energy lost is therefore 1/32 or ~3%.

25. (a) Aspartate can be transaminated to produce oxaloacetate, a gluconeogenic precursor. (b) To convert 2 aspartate to glucose, 2 GTP are consumed in the PEPCK reaction and 2 ATP are consumed in the phosphoglycerate kinase reaction, for a total of 4 ATP equivalents.

27. The reactions catalyzed by pyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase are phosphate transfer reactions.

29. A high level of AMP results from a high rate of ATP consumption in the cell, so it would act to promote flux through ATP-generating pathways such as glycolysis. Therefore, AMP would be expected to inhibit the activity of the gluconeogenic enzyme fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase.

31.

33. The first tier has $2^1 - 1 = 1$ branch; the 2nd tier has $2^{2-1} = 2$ branches for a total of $2 + 1 = 3 = 2^2 - 1$ branches through that tier; the 3rd tier has $2^{3-1} = 4$ branches for a total of $4 + 3 = 7 = 2^3 - 1$ branches through that tier; etc. Hence in n tiers, there are a total of $2^n - 1$ branches. The particle therefore has a total of $2^{12} - 1$ branches of 13 residues each for a total of $(2^{12} - 1) \times 13 = 53{,}235$ glucose residues.

Chapter 17

1. The labeled carbon becomes C4 of the succinyl moiety of succinyl-CoA. Because succinate is symmetrical, the label appears at C1 and C4 of succinate. When the resulting oxaloacetate begins the second round, the labeled carbons appear as $^{14}CO_2$ in the isocitrate dehydrogenase and the α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase reactions (see Fig. 17-2).
3. In mammals, pyruvate can be converted to lactate (reduction), to alanine (transamination), to acetyl-CoA (oxidative decarboxylation), and to oxaloacetate (carboxylation). In yeast, pyruvate is also converted to acetaldehyde (decarboxylation).
5. The decarboxylation step is most likely to be metabolically irreversible since the CO_2 product is rapidly hydrated to bicarbonate. The reverse reaction, a carboxylation, requires the input of free energy to become favorable (Section 16-4A). The other four reactions are transfer reactions or oxidation–reduction reactions (transfer of electrons) that are more easily reversed.
7. Unlike the CO_2 generated by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, the formate produced in the reaction is a charged molecule and therefore does not diffuse out of the cell. Instead, it can be used for other biosynthetic reactions.
9. $^{-}OOC{-}\underset{}{\overset{OH}{\overset{|}{CH}}}{-}CH_2{-}CH_2{-}COO^-$
11. Competitive inhibition can be overcome by adding more substrate—in this case, succinate. Oxaloacetate overcomes malonate inhibition because it is converted to succinate by the reactions of the citric acid cycle.
13. The $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ value is the sum of the $\Delta G^{\circ\prime}$ values for the malate dehydrogenase reaction (29.7 kJ · mol^{-1}) and the citrate synthase reaction (−31.5 kJ · mol^{-1}): −1.8 kJ · mol^{-1}.
15. From Table 17-2, for the succinate dehydrogenase reaction, $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} =$ 6 kJ · mol^{-1} and $\Delta G = \sim 0$.

$$\Delta G = \Delta G^{\circ\prime} + RT \ln \left(\frac{[\text{fumarate}]}{[\text{succinate}]} \right)$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -RT \ln \left(\frac{[\text{fumarate}]}{[\text{succinate}]} \right)$$

$$\left(\frac{[\text{fumarate}]}{[\text{succinate}]} \right) = e^{-\Delta G^{\circ\prime}/RT}$$

$$= e^{-(6000\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})/(8.3145\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(310\ \text{K})}$$

$$= e^{-2.33} = 0.10$$

17. When pyruvate concentrations rise, indicating a need for increased flux through the citric acid cycle, some of the pyruvate is carboxylated to produce oxaloacetate to boost the cycle's capacity. If this step cannot occur, then excess pyruvate is converted to lactate instead.
19. (a) Because citric acid cycle intermediates such as citrate and succinyl-CoA are precursors for the biosynthesis of other compounds, anaerobes must be able to synthesize them.

    (b) These organisms do not need a complete citric acid cycle, which would yield reduced coenzymes that must be reoxidized.
21. Oxaloacetate + $FADH_2$ + NADH + H^+ → succinate + FAD + NAD^+ + H_2O
23.
25. NAD^+ ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.315$ V) does not have a high enough reduction potential to support oxidation of succinate to fumarate ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = +0.031$ V); that is, the succinate dehydrogenase reaction has insufficient free energy to reduce NAD^+. Enzyme-bound FAD ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} \approx 0$) is more suitable for oxidizing succinate.
27. The phosphofructokinase reaction is the major flux-control point for glycolysis. Inhibiting phosphofructokinase slows the entire pathway, so the production of acetyl-CoA by glycolysis followed by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex can be decreased when the citric acid cycle is operating at maximum capacity and the citrate concentration is high. As citric acid cycle intermediates are consumed in synthetic pathways, the citrate concentration drops, relieving phosphofructokinase inhibition and allowing glycolysis to proceed in order to replenish the citric acid cycle intermediates.
29.

31. To synthesize citrate, pyruvate must be converted to oxaloacetate by pyruvate carboxylase:

    Pyruvate + CO_2 + ATP + H_2O → oxaloacetate + ADP + P_i

    A second pyruvate is converted to acetyl-CoA by pyruvate dehydrogenase:

    Pyruvate + CoASH + NAD^+ → acetyl-CoA + CO_2 + NADH

    The acetyl-CoA then combines with oxaloacetate to produce citrate:

    Oxaloacetate + acetyl-CoA + H_2O → citrate + CoASH + H^+

    The net reaction is

    2 Pyruvate + ATP + NAD^+ + 2 H_2O → citrate + ADP + P_i + NADH + H^+
33. The malic enzyme reaction yields reducing power in the form of NADPH, which is required for many biosynthetic processes (Section 15-6).
35. First, the six-carbon isocitrate is decarboxylated to α-ketoglutarate. Next, glutamate dehydrogenase catalyzes reductive amination to produce glutamate. Finally, glutamate is isomerized to methylaspartate.

Chapter 18

1. Mitochondria with more cristae have more surface area and therefore more proteins for electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation. Tissues with a high demand for ATP synthesis (such as heart) contain mitochondria with more cristae than tissues with lower demand for oxidative phosphorylation (such as liver).

3. When NADH participates in the glycerophosphate shuttle, the electrons of NADH flow to FAD and then to CoQ, bypassing Complex I. Thus, about 1.5 ATP are synthesized per NADH.

5. If the mitochondrial electron-transport chain cannot function normally, then cells cannot rely on oxidative phosphorylation to meet their ATP needs. Instead, glycolysis occurs at a high rate, which helps generate the necessary ATP but also produces lactate as an end product.

7. The relevant half-reactions (Table 14-4) are

$$\text{FAD} + 2\,\text{H}^+ + 2\,e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{FADH}_2 \qquad \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = -0.219\ \text{V}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\,\text{O}_2 + 2\,\text{H}^+ + 2\,e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O} \qquad \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.815\ \text{V}$$

Since the O_2/H_2O half-reaction has the more positive $\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$, the FAD half-reaction is reversed and the overall reaction is

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\,\text{O}_2 + \text{FADH}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{FAD}$$

$$\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} = 0.815\,\text{V} - (-0.219\,\text{V}) = 1.034\,\text{V}$$

Since $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$,

$$\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -(2)(96{,}485\ \text{J}\cdot\text{V}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(1.034\,\text{V}) = -200\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

The maximum number of ATPs that could be synthesized under standard conditions is therefore 200 kJ · mol^{-1}/30.5 kJ · mol^{-1} = 6.6 mol ATP/mol $FADH_2$ oxidized by O_2.

9. Vitamin K resembles ubiquinone and is likely to play a similar role as a membrane-soluble carrier that delivers electrons from Complexes I and II to Complex III.

11. Cytochrome *c* has several positively charged lysine residues on its surface (Fig. 18-16), which would allow it to interact electrostatically with cardiolipin, which has a net charge of −2 (Table 9-2).

13. NO_3^- is the electron acceptor and NADH is the electron donor. Their standard reduction potentials are listed in Table 14-4.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime} &= \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(NO_3^-)} - \mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}_{(NADH)}\\ &= (0.42\,\text{V}) - (-0.315\,\text{V}) = 0.735\,\text{V}\\ \Delta G &= -n\mathscr{F}\Delta\mathscr{E}\\ &= -(2)(96{,}485\ \text{J}\cdot\text{V}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(0.735\,\text{V})\\ &= -142{,}000\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = -142\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Since the standard free energy change for ATP synthesis is 30.5 kJ · mol^{-1}, approximately 142/30.5 = 4.6 ATP could be synthesized.

15. $\mathscr{E}$ may differ from $\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$, depending on the redox center's microenvironment and the concentrations of reactants and products. In addition, the tight coupling between successive electron transfers within a complex may "pull" electrons so that the overall process is spontaneous.

17.

19. The import of ADP (net charge −3) and the export of ATP (net charge −4) represents a loss of negative charge from inside the mitochondrion. This decreases the difference in electrical charge across the membrane, since the outside is positive due to the translocation of protons during electron transport. Consequently, the electrochemical gradient is diminished by the activity of the ADP–ATP translocator. The activity of the P_i–H^+ symport protein diminishes the proton gradient by allowing protons from the intermembrane space to re-enter the matrix.

21. The protonation and subsequent deprotonation of Asp 61 of the F_1F_0-ATPase's *c* subunits induces the rotation of the *c*-ring, which in turn, mechanically drives the synthesis of ATP. DCCD reacts with Asp 61 in a manner that prevents it from binding a proton and thereby prevents the synthesis of ATP.

23. DNP and related compounds dissipate the proton gradient required for ATP synthesis. The dissipation of the gradient decreases the rate of synthesis of ATP, decreasing the ATP mass action ratio. Decreasing this ratio relieves the inhibition of the electron transport chain, causing an increase in metabolic rate.

25. The switch to aerobic metabolism allows ATP to be produced by oxidative phosphorylation. The phosphorylation of ADP increases the [ATP]/[ADP] ratio, which then increases the [NADH]/[NAD^+] ratio because a high ATP mass action ratio slows electron transport. The increases in [ATP] and [NADH] inhibit their target enzymes in glycolysis and the citric acid cycle (Fig. 18-30) and thereby slow these processes.

27. Glucose is shunted through the pentose phosphate pathway to provide NADPH, whose electrons are required to reduce O_2 to $O_2^{-\cdot}$.

29.

(a) O_2 consumption ceases because amytal blocks electron transport in Complex I.

(b) Electrons from succinate bypass the amytal block by entering the electron-transport chain at Complex II and thereby restore electron transport through Complexes III and IV.

31. For the transport of a proton from outside to inside (Eq. 18-1),

$$\Delta G = 2.3\,RT\,[\text{pH}\,(\textit{side 1}) - \text{pH}\,(\textit{side 2})] + Z\mathscr{F}\Delta\Psi$$

The difference in pH is −1.4. Since an ion is transported from the positive to the negative side of the membrane, $\Delta\Psi$ is negative.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta G &= (2.3)(8.314\ \text{J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(298\ \text{K})(-1.4)\\ &\quad + (1)(96{,}485\ \text{J}\cdot\text{V}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1})(-0.06\ \text{V})\\ \Delta G &= -7980\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} - 5790\ \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} = -13.8\ \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

33. (a) NAD^+ and $NADP^+$ have similar standard reduction potentials ($\mathscr{E}^{\circ\prime}$ values of −0.315 V and −0.320 V, respectively; Table 14-4), so it is unlikely that the value of $\Delta\mathscr{E}$ under cellular conditions would generate a large value of ΔG. (b) The NNT reaction reduces the efficiency of oxidative phosphorylation by consuming NADH that could otherwise pass electrons to the electron-transport chain, and by translocating a proton that could otherwise help drive the rotation of ATP synthase.

35. In an ATP synthase with more *c* subunits, more proton translocation events are required to drive one complete rotation of the *c*-ring. Consequently, more substrate oxidation (O_2 consumption) is required to synthesize three ATP (the yield of one cycle of the rotary engine), and the P/O ratio is lower.

    (b) 15/3 = 5 protons are required to synthesize 1 ATP.

37. Oxygen consumption will decrease when α-ketoglutarate levels increase because the activity of ATP synthase is coupled to the activity of the electron transport chain, which includes the O_2-consuming Complex IV.

39. The dead algae are a source of food for aerobic microorganisms lower in the water column. As the growth of these organisms increases, the rate of respiration and O_2 consumption increase to the point where the concentration of O_2 in the water becomes too low to sustain larger aerobic organisms.

41. (a) Antimycin A binds to the Q_i site of cytochrome bc_1. This blocks the binding of CoQ to this site and hence prevents its reduction by heme b_H. However, a simplistic interpretation of the Q cycle (Fig. 18-15) might suggest that this would not prevent $CoQH_2$ at the Q_o site from reducing cytochrome c_1 via the iron–sulfur protein (ISP) and shedding its two protons in the intermembrane space, thereby pumping 50% of the four protons that it does in the absence of inhibitor.

    (b) The foregoing scenario implicitly assumes that either (1) $CoQ^{\cdot-}$ is released from the Q_o site to allow subsequent rounds of the Q cycle's first cycle and that the $CoQ^{\cdot-}$ is then reconverted to $CoQH_2$ by Complex I and/or Complex II without binding protons from the intermembrane space; or (2) that $CoQ^{\cdot-}$ is able to reduce the ISP (which, in turn, reduces cytochrome c_1) and is then released as CoQ. However, since $CoQ^{\cdot-}$ binds in a different subsite of Q_o than does $CoQH_2$, it is both unlikely that $CoQ^{\cdot-}$ would be readily released by Q_o and that the ISP would be in a position to be reduced by $CoQ^{\cdot-}$. Hence neither of these scenarios appears to be correct.

Chapter 19

1. $2\ H_2O + 2\ NADP^+ \rightarrow 2\ NADPH + 2\ H^+ + O_2$

3. The color of the seawater indicates that the photosynthetic pigments of the algae absorb colors of visible light other than red.

5. The energy per photon is $E = hc/\lambda$, so the energy per mole of photons (λ = 700 nm) is

$$\begin{aligned} E &= Nhc/\lambda \\ &= (6.022 \times 10^{23}\ \text{mol}^{-1})(6.626 \times 10^{-34}\ \text{J} \cdot \text{s}) \\ &\quad (2.998 \times 10^{8}\ \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})/(7 \times 10^{-7}\ \text{m}) \\ &= 1.71 \times 10^{5}\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \\ &= 171\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

7. The order of action is water–plastoquinone oxidoreductase (Photosystem II), plastoquinone–plastocyanin oxidoreductase (cytochrome b_6f), and plastocyanin–ferredoxin oxidoreductase (Photosystem I).

9. Both systems mediate cyclic electron flows. The photooxidized bacterial reaction center passes electrons through a series of electron carriers so that electrons return to the reaction center (e.g., $P960^+$) and restore it to its original state. During cyclic electron flow in PSI, electrons from photooxidized P700 are transferred to cytochrome b_6f and, via plastoquinone and plastocyanin, back to P700. In both cases, there is no net change in the redox state of the reaction center, but the light-driven electron movements are accompanied by the transmembrane movement of protons.

11. The change in reduction potential is about −1.5 V (Fig. 19-10). Since $\Delta G^{\circ\prime} = -n\mathcal{F}\Delta\mathcal{E}^{\circ\prime}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G &= -(1)(96{,}485\ \text{J} \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(-1.5\ \text{V}) \\ &= 140{,}000\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = 140\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

13. Because the light-dependent reactions (measured as O_2 produced by PSII) and the light-independent reactions (measured as CO_2 fixed by the Calvin cycle) are only indirectly linked via ATP and NADPH, they may vary. Cyclic electron flow, which increases ATP production without increasing NADPH production, may increase the amount of O_2 produced without increasing CO_2 fixation.

15. Because chloroplast cytochrome b_6f *is* functionally and structurally similar to mitochondrial Complex III, myxothiazol would be expected to block electron transport in the chloroplast. As a result, the Q cycle would not function and no proton gradient would be generated. No ATP would be produced and no electrons would reach $NADP^+$.

17. Using Equation 18-1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G &= 2.3\ RT\ [\text{pH}\ (\textit{side}\ 1) - \text{pH}\ (\textit{side}\ 2)] \\ \Delta G &= 2.3(8.3145\ \text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})(298\ \text{K})(-3.4) \\ &= -19{,}400\ \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = -19.4\ \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

19. An uncoupler dissipates the transmembrane proton gradient by providing a route for proton translocation other than ATP synthase. Therefore, chloroplast ATP production would decrease.

21. By allowing K^+ ions to cross the thylakoid membrane from the lumen to the stroma, the channel would dissipate a portion of the membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$) without affecting ΔpH. This would help maintain electrical neutrality and fine-tune the protonmotive force needed for ATP synthesis.

23. After the light is turned off, ATP and NADPH levels fall as these substances are used up in the Calvin cycle without being replaced by the light reactions. The RuBP level drops because it is consumed by the RuBP carboxylase reaction (which requires neither ATP nor NADPH) and its replenishment is blocked by the lack of ATP for the phosphoribulokinase reaction.

25. The carbonic anhydrase catalyzes the conversion of bicarbonate to CO_2, which is the substrate for RuBP carboxylase.

27. The cyanobacterial enzyme is more efficient at carboxylation but is still limited by the amount of CO_2 available. Hence augmenting the CO_2 supply by introducing bicarbonate transporters is beneficial (carbonic anhydrase converts the bicarbonate to CO_2).

29. These plants store CO_2 by CAM. At night, CO_2 reacts with PEP to form malate. By morning, so much malate (malic acid) has accumulated that the leaves have a sour taste (the taste of H^+). During the day, the malate is converted to pyruvate + CO_2. The leaves therefore become less acidic and hence tasteless. Late in the day, when all the malate is consumed, the leaves become slightly basic; that is, bitter.

31. The increased concentration of CO_2 would mean that plants would need to open their stomata less to obtain the CO_2 needed for the Calvin cycle. Consequently, less water would be lost through the stomata and the plants' water consumption would decrease.

33. The longer-wavelength absorption maximum allows the bacteria to collect more low-energy (longer-wavelength) light to use for photosynthesis.

35. One mole of photons of red light (λ = 700 nm) has an energy of 171 kJ. Therefore, 438/171 = 2.6 moles of photons are theoretically required to drive the oxidation of H_2O by $NADP^+$ to form one mole of O_2.

37. The buildup of the proton gradient is indicative of a high level of activity of the photosystems. A steep gradient could therefore trigger photoprotective activity to prevent further photooxidation when the proton-translocating machinery is operating at maximal capacity.

39. The net synthesis of 2 GAP from 6 CO_2 in the initial stage of the Calvin cycle (Fig. 19-26) consumes 18 ATP and 12 NADPH (equivalent to 30 ATP). The conversion of 2 GAP to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) by gluconeogenesis does not require energy input (Section 16-4B), nor does the isomerization of G6P to glucose-1-phosphate (G1P). The activation of G1P to its nucleotide derivative consumes 2 ATP equivalents (Section 16-5), but ADP is released when the glucose residue is incorporated into starch. These steps represent an overall energy investment of 18 + 30 + 1 = 49 ATP.

Starch breakdown by phosphorolysis yields G1P, whose subsequent degradation by glycolysis yields 3 ATP, 2 NADH (equivalent to 5 ATP), and 2 pyruvate. Complete oxidation of 2 pyruvate to 6 CO_2 by the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction and the citric acid cycle (Section 17-1) yields 8 NADH (equivalent to 20 ATP), 2 $FADH_2$ (equivalent to 3 ATP), and 2 GTP (equivalent to 2 ATP). The overall ATP yield is therefore 3 + 5 + 20 + 3 + 2 = 33 ATP.

The ratio of energy spent to energy recovered is 49/33 = 1.5.

41. If nighttime warming exceeds daytime warming, then respiration (which occurs day and night) might proceed at a rate greater than could be sustained by photosynthesis (which occurs only during the day). As a result, plant growth would be slowed.

43. The partial pressure of CO_2 at which a C_4 plant can photosynthesize is much lower than that of a C_3 plant. Moreover, at very low partial pressures of CO_2, the C_3 plant reverses the effects of photosynthesis by photorespiration. In the sealed box, the C_4 plant maintains the CO_2 partial pressure so low that the C_3 plant wastes away through photorespiration. In effect, the C_4 plant devours the C_3 plant.

Chapter 20

1.

3. (a) The various bile acids bear carboxylic acid or sulfonic acid groups that are ionized at neutral pH, so they have a net negative charge. (b) The bile acids are amphiphilic and act as detergents that solubilize bacterial cell membranes, killing the cells.

5. Lipoprotein B, with a greater proportion of protein, has a higher density.

7. A defect in carnitine palmitoyl transferase II prevents normal transport of activated fatty acids into the mitochondria for β oxidation. Tissues such as muscle that use fatty acids as metabolic fuels therefore cannot generate ATP as needed.

9. The first three steps of β oxidation resemble the reactions that convert succinate to oxaloacetate (Sections 17-3F–17-3H).

11. Because ACP carries the growing acyl chain between the separate enzymes of the bacterial fatty acid synthesis pathway, it must accommodate reaction intermediates, which are mostly hydrophobic,

13. Six rounds of β oxidation are required to convert the 16-carbon palmitate to the 4-carbon butyrate.

15. There are not as many usable nutritional calories per gram in unsaturated fatty acids as there are in saturated fatty acids. This is because oxidation of fatty acids containing double bonds yields fewer reduced coenzymes whose oxidation drives the synthesis of ATP. In the oxidation of fatty acids with a double bond at an odd-numbered carbon, the enoyl-CoA isomerase reaction bypasses the acyl-CoA dehydrogenase reaction and therefore does not generate $FADH_2$ (equivalent to 1.5 ATP). A double bond at an even-numbered carbon must be reduced by NADPH (equivalent to the loss of 2.5 ATP).

17. Oxidation of odd-chain fatty acids generates succinyl-CoA, an intermediate of the citric acid cycle. Because the citric acid cycle operates as a multistep catalyst to convert acetyl groups to CO_2, increasing the concentration of a cycle intermediate can increase the catalytic activity of the cycle.

19. 3-Ketoacyl-CoA transferase is required to convert ketone bodies to acetyl-CoA. If the liver contained this enzyme, it would be unable to supply ketone bodies as fuels for other tissues.

21. The label does not appear in palmitate because $^{14}CO_2$ is released in Reaction 2b of fatty acid synthesis (Fig. 20-26).

23. The breakdown of glucose by glycolysis generates the dihydroxyacetone phosphate that becomes the glycerol backbone of triacylglycerols (Fig. 20-29).

25. ACC catalyzes the first committed step of fatty acid synthesis, so blocking this step might decrease the fatty acids available for storage as triacylglycerols (fat). The malonyl-CoA produced in the ACC reaction inhibits import of fatty acyl-CoA into the mitochondria, so lowering the level of malonyl-CoA might help promote fatty acid oxidation and reduce fat accumulation.

27. (a) Yes; the egg phosphatidylcholine is a source of choline that is eventually converted to TMAO. (b) No; excess phosphatidylcholine would increase the concentration of TMAO and promote atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis is a progressive disease that often accompanies aging, so this condition would only worsen with increased intake of phosphatidylcholine.

29. This molecule consists of palmitate that has been esterified to 12-hydroxy stearate.

31. The products are heptadecane ($C_{17}H_{36}$) and pentadecane ($C_{15}H_{32}$).

33. (a) Phytanate can be esterified to CoA, but the methyl group at the β position prevents the dehydrogenation catalyzed by hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (reaction 3 of the β oxidation pathway).

(b)

2-Hydroxyphytanoyl-CoA

(c)

Pristanal

35. Palmitate (C_{16}) synthesis requires 14 NADPH. The transport of 8 acetyl-CoA to the cytosol by the tricarboxylate transport system supplies 8 NADPH (Fig. 20-23), which represents $8/14 \times 100 = 57\%$ of the required NADPH.

37. The synthesis of stearate (18:0) from mitochondrial acetyl-CoA requires 9 ATP to transport 9 acetyl-CoA from the mitochondria to the cytosol. Seven rounds of fatty acid synthesis consume 7 ATP (in the acetyl-CoA carboxylase reaction) and 14 NADPH (equivalent to 35 ATP). Elongation of palmitate to stearate requires 1 NADH and 1 NADPH (equivalent to 5 ATP). The energy cost is therefore $9 + 7 + 35 + 5 = 56$ ATP.

The degradation of stearate to 9 acetyl-CoA consumes 2 ATP (in the acyl-CoA synthetase reaction) but generates, in eight rounds of β oxidation, 8 $FADH_2$ (equivalent to 12 ATP) and 8 NADH (equivalent to 20 ATP). Thus, the energy yield is $12 + 20 - 2 = 30$ ATP. This represents only about half of the energy consumed in synthesizing stearate (30 ATP versus 56 ATP).

39. Palmitate biosynthesis consumes 7 ATP and 14 NADPH (equivalent to 35 ATP). The addition of four more 2-carbon units as acetyl-CoA in the mitochondrion (Fig. 20-28) consumes 4 NADH (equivalent to 10 ATP) and 4 NADPH (equivalent to 10 ATP), so that a total of 62 ATP are consumed.

41. Statins inhibit the HMG-CoA reductase reaction, which produces mevalonate, a precursor of cholesterol. Although lower cholesterol levels induce the synthesis of HMG-CoA reductase to make up for the loss in activity, some decrease in activity may still be present. Because mevalonate is also the precursor of ubiquinone (coenzyme Q), supplementary ubiquinone may be necessary.

43. The patient is suffering from a deficiency of lipoprotein lipase (an inherited disease), which normally functions to hydrolyze the triacylglycerols of chylomicrons and VLDL. The symptoms of the disease may be minimized by maintaining a low fat diet since chylomicrons are intestinally packaged dietary lipids.

Chapter 21

1. Proteasome-dependent proteolysis requires ATP to activate ubiquitin in the first step of linking ubiquitin to the target protein (Fig. 21-2) and for denaturing the protein as it enters the proteasome.

3. The proteasome would facilitate the degradation of intracellular proteins that have been damaged or denatured by heat or oxidation and would therefore help the cell eliminate these nonfunctional and possibly toxic proteins so that they could be replaced by newly synthesized proteins.

5. A glutamate receptor would have helped human ancestors recognize protein-rich foods, because foods containing significant amounts of protein also contain relatively large amounts of glutamate, one of the most abundant amino acids.

7. (a) Ala, Arg, Asn, Asp, Cys, Gln, Glu, Gly, His, Met, Pro, Ser, and Val

(b) Leu and Lys

(c) Ile, Phe, Thr, Trp, and Tyr

9. Since the three reactions converting tiglyl-CoA to acetyl-CoA and propionyl-CoA are analogous to those of fatty acid oxidation (β oxidation; Fig. 20-12), the reactions are

Tiglyl-CoA

Acetyl-CoA **Propionyl-CoA**

11. Tyrosine is derived from the essential amino acid phenylalanine, and cysteine is derived from the essential amino acid methionine. A diet lacking sufficient phenylalanine and methionine will lead to shortages of tyrosine and cysteine also.

13. Glutamate dehydrogenase, glutamine synthetase, and carbamoyl phosphate synthetase.

15. In the absence of uridylyl-removing enzyme, adenylyltransferase · P_{II} will be fully uridylylated, since there is no mechanism for removing the uridylyl groups once they are attached. Uridylylated adenylyltransferase · P_{II} adenylylates glutamine synthetase, which activates it. Hence, the defective *E. coli* cells will have a hyperactive glutamine synthetase and thus a higher than normal glutamine concentration. Reactions requiring glutamine will therefore be accelerated, thereby depleting glutamate and the citric acid cycle intermediate α-ketoglutarate. Consequently, biosynthetic reactions requiring transamination, as well as energy metabolism, will be suppressed.

17. Glycine

19. 2-Phenylethanol is derived from phenylalanine by removal of the amino group and reduction of the carboxylate group to a hydroxide group.
21. Agmatine is derived by decarboxylation from arginine.
23. A methyl group is added to the hydroxyl group of the phenyl ring, and the amino group is replaced by a carboxylate group by oxidative deamination.
25. The pigment coloring skin and hair is melanin, which is synthesized from tyrosine. When tyrosine is in short supply, as when dietary protein is not available, melanin cannot be synthesized in normal amounts, and the skin and hair become depigmented.
27. The standard nitrogenase reaction, $N_2 \rightarrow NH_3$, also produces H_2. This H_2 is used to reduce CO to C_2H_6 and C_3H_8.
29. The urea cycle transforms excess nitrogen from protein breakdown to an excretable form, urea. In a deficiency of a urea cycle enzyme, the preceding urea cycle intermediates may build up to a toxic level. A low-protein diet minimizes the amount of nitrogen that enters the urea cycle and therefore reduces the concentrations of the toxic intermediates.
31. (a) Three ATP are converted to 2 ADP and AMP + PP_i, for a total of 4 ATP equivalents.

    (b) The fumarate produced in the urea cycle can be converted to malate and then to pyruvate by malic enzyme, generating NADPH (equivalent to 2.5 ATP). Conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA generates NADH (2.5 ATP equivalents), and the oxidation of the acetyl-CoA by the citric acid cycle yields another 10 ATP, for a total of 15 ATP.
33. The ε-amino group is removed by the addition of α-ketoglutarate followed by the departure of glutamate (Fig. 21-22, Reactions 1 and 2). The α-amino group is eliminated when α-aminoadipate undergoes transamination with α-ketoglutarate (Fig. 21-22, Reaction 4).
35. *a* bond cleavage: transaminases (Fig. 21-8) and serine–threonine dehydratase (Fig. 21-15). *b* bond cleavage: amino acid decarboxylases (Section 21-6B). *c* bond cleavage: serine hydroxymethyltransferase (Fig. 21-14).
37. Yes; one product of the glycine cleavage system is N^5, N^{10}-methylene-THF, which provide the one-carbon group for converting dUMP to dTMP.
39. Threonine dehydrogenase converts threonine to α-amino-β-ketobutyrate (Fig. 21-14), which is then broken down to acetyl-CoA and glycine. The glycine cleavage system transfers a one-carbon group to THF. Homocysteine reacts with methyl-THF to yield methionine (Fig. 21-18), which is a precursor for *S*-adenosylmethionine.
41. Ferrochelatase is a homodimer. Thus in heterozygotes for a defective ferrochelatase, if it is expressed in normal amounts, 25% of the dimers will have both subunits defective, 50% will have one subunit defective and the other normal, and 25% will have both subunits normal. Apparently, only a dimer with two normal subunits is enzymatically active, thereby accounting for the observed activity of ferrochelatase in heterozygotes.

Chapter 22

1. At high altitude, less oxygen is available for aerobic metabolism, so glycolysis, an anaerobic pathway, would become relatively more important in active muscles. An increase in GLUT1 would increase the intracellular glucose concentration, and an increase in PFK would increase the flux of glucose through the pathway.
3. Cardiac muscle relies on oxidation of fatty acids to supply ATP for muscle contraction. The muscle performs poorly when β oxidation is inhibited, and the blood glucose concentration drops as the heart takes up glucose instead to meet its need for ATP through glycolysis.
5. GLUT2 has a higher K_M than GLUT1 so that the rate of glucose entry into liver cells can vary directly with the concentration of glucose in the blood. A transporter with a high K_M is less likely to be saturated with its ligand and therefore would not limit the rate of transport.
7. The portal vein delivers NH_4^+-rich blood from the intestine directly to the liver, which can convert it to urea (only the liver carries out the urea cycle; Section 21-3). The remaining NH_4^+ is carried through the circulation to other tissues, where glutamine synthetase converts glutamate to glutamine (Section 21-5A).
9. The availability of nutrients in the colon is limited, so organisms that can extract more free energy from metabolic fuels through nitrate-based respiration have an advantage over organisms that are strictly limited to fermentation.
11. Insulin promotes the uptake of glucose via the increase in GLUT4 receptors on the adipocyte surface. A source of glucose is necessary to supply the glycerol-3-phosphate backbone of triacylglycerols.
13. Insulin activates ATP-citrate lyase, which is the enzyme that converts citrate to oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA (Section 20-4A). The activity of this enzyme is essential for making acetyl units available for fatty acid biosynthesis in the cytosol. The acetyl units, generated from pyruvate in the mitochondria, combine with oxaloacetate to form citrate, which can then be transported from the mitochondria to the cytosol for reconversion to acetyl-CoA.
15. Adipose tissue synthesizes and releases the polypeptide hormones adiponectin, leptin, and resistin.
17. Since PYY_{3-36} is a peptide hormone, it would be digested if taken orally. Introducing it directly into the bloodstream avoids degradation.
19. Adiponectin is a polypeptide hormone (247 residues) that exists as multimers *in vivo*, so it is difficult to prepare a form of the hormone that is stable and whose concentration can be accurately monitored. A small molecule agonist would be easier to evaluate and to use as a drug. Moreover, if taken orally, adiponectin would be digested, whereas a small molecule agonist could survive the digestive tract.
21. Type 1 diabetics lack β cells that produce insulin, so providing the hormone is an effective treatment for the disorder. In type 2 diabetes, cells do not respond efficiently to insulin. Increasing the availability of the hormone may boost its signaling activity in some patients, but in the majority of type 2 diabetics, insulin levels are already elevated and further increases are ineffective.
23. PFK-2 catalyzes the production of fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, so increasing PFK-2 activity would increase the concentration of this activator of phosphofructokinase. The effect would be increased flux of glucose through glycolysis, which would help lower the concentration of glucose in the blood.
25. 3-Phosphoglycerate is an intermediate of glycolysis; its concentration increases when glycolytic flux slows. By inhibiting 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, 3PG slows pentose phosphate pathway activity also. Slower consumption of glucose would tend to slow the growth of the cancer cell.
27. ATP generating pathways such as glycolysis and fatty acid oxidation require an initial investment of ATP (the hexokinase and phosphofructokinase steps of glycolysis and the acyl-CoA synthetase activation step that precedes β oxidation). This "priming" cannot occur when ATP has been exhausted.
29. Because fatty acids, like glucose, are metabolic fuels, it makes metabolic sense for them to stimulate insulin release, which is a signal of abundant fuel.

31. Leucine is an essential amino acid; it cannot be synthesized by humans. Circulating leucine therefore serves as a marker of sufficient food intake.
33. Ingesting glucose while in the resting state causes the pancreas to release insulin. This stimulates the liver, muscle, and adipose tissue to synthesize glycogen, fat, and protein from the excess nutrients while inhibiting the breakdown of these metabolic fuels. Hence, ingesting glucose before a race will gear the runner's metabolism for resting rather than for running.
35. An intermediate in the biosynthesis of triacylglycerols is diacylglycerol (DAG), a second messenger responsible for activating PKC.
37. Physical inactivity would lead to a decreased need for ATP in muscle, which would be reflected by a decreased AMP to ATP ratio. A decrease in the ratio would lead to a decrease in AMPK activity. AMPK activity is positively associated with glucose uptake by cells due to an increase in GLUT4 activity. GLUT4 activity is also increased by insulin. A decrease in AMPK activity causes a decrease in GLUT4 activity, making insulin's job more difficult.
39. The liver is the only organ capable of urea biosynthesis so that, upon liver failure, the ammonia level in the blood rises, leading to ammonia toxicity. Severe hypoglycemia also ensues because the liver normally functions to maintain blood glucose levels between meals via gluconeogenesis. Many toxic substances will accumulate in the blood because the liver is unable to detoxify them.

Chapter 23

1. Following aspartate addition to IMP, adenylosuccinate lyase removes fumarate, leaving an amino group. In the urea cycle, following the addition of aspartate to citrulline, argininosuccinase removes fumarate, leaving an amino group.
3. Guanine has an amino group attached to C2; in azahypoxanthine, nitrogen is part of the ring structure.
5. Amidophosphoribosyl transferase (step 2 of IMP synthesis), FGAM synthetase (step 5 of IMP synthesis), GMP synthetase (GMP synthesis), carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II (step 1 of UMP synthesis), and CTP synthetase (CTP synthesis).
7. (a) 7 ATP; (b) 8 ATP; (c) 7 ATP
9. Hydroxyurea destroys the tyrosyl radical that is essential for the activity of ribonucleotide reductase. Tumor cells are generally fast-growing and cannot survive without this enzyme, which supplies dNTPs for nucleic acid synthesis. In contrast, most normal cells grow slowly, if at all, and hence have less need for nucleic acid synthesis.
11. Serine donates a hydroxymethyl group to THF in order to regenerate the cofactor for the conversion of dUMP to dTMP by thymidylate synthase (Fig. 23-16).
13. The synthesis of histidine and methionine requires THF. The cell's THF is converted to DHF by the thymidylate synthase reaction, but in the presence of methotrexate, THF cannot be regenerated.
15. Trimethoprim binds to bacterial dihydrofolate reductase but does not permanently inactivate the enzyme. Therefore, it is not a mechanism-based inhibitor.
17. Allopurinol is oxidized by xanthine oxidase to a product that irreversibly binds to the enzyme. It is therefore a mechanism-based inhibitor of xanthine oxidase.
19. Uracil and thymine accumulate in the urine because they cannot be further degraded in the absence of the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (Fig. 23-25).
21.

Nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN)

Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD^+)

23. The kinase-catalyzed phosphorylation of riboflavin consumes one "high-energy" bond. In the second reaction, an AMP group is transferred from ATP to FMN (thereby breaking a second "high-energy" bond), leaving PP_i, whose subsequent hydrolysis breaks a third "high-energy" bond.
25. UTP functions as a feedback inhibitor of its own synthesis, to prevent the cell from synthesizing too many pyrimidine nucleotides. ATP activates pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis so that when ATP concentrations are high, the production of other nucleotides will increase to match it.
27. Threonine is broken down to acetyl-CoA and glycine, either directly via the serine hydroxymethyltransferase reaction (reaction 5 in Fig. 21-14) or through the intermediacy of α-amino-β-ketobutyrate via the threonine dehydrogenase reaction (reaction 6 of Fig. 21-14) followed by the α-amino-β-ketobutyrate lyase reaction (reaction 7 of Fig. 21-14). The acetyl-CoA can enter the citric acid cycle to produce considerable ATP via oxidative phosphorylation. The glycine is a substrate of the glycine cleavage system, which generates N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF (reaction 3 of Fig. 21-14), the methyl-group donor required for thymidylate synthesis.
29. In muscles, the purine nucleotide cycle functions to convert aspartate to fumarate to boost the capacity of the citric acid cycle. If glutamate dehydrogenase activity were high, then it would combine the NH_4^+ produced by the purine nucleotide cycle with α-ketoglutarate to yield glutamate, a reaction that depletes a citric acid cycle intermediate.
31. Meat contains relatively large quantities of nucleic acids, which are broken down to yield, among other products, uric acid. This additional uric acid may increase the uric acid concentration beyond the solubility limit in some otherwise gout-free individuals, thereby precipitating a gout attack.
33. (a) The recovered deoxycytidylate would be equally labeled in its base and ribose components (i.e., the same labeling pattern as in the original cytidine).

    (b) The recovered deoxycytidylate would be unequally labeled in its base and ribose components because the separated ^{14}C-cytosine and ^{14}C-ribose would mix with the different-sized

pools of unlabeled cellular cytosine and ribose before recombining as the deoxycytidylate that becomes incorporated into DNA. [This experiment established that deoxyribonucleotides, in fact, are synthesized from their corresponding ribonucleotides (alternative a).]

Chapter 24

1. Hypoxanthine pairs with cytosine in much the same way as does guanine.

C **Hypoxanthine**

3. Since amino acids have an average molecular mass of ~110 D, the 50-kD protein contains 50,000 D ÷ 110 D/residue = ~455 residues. These residues are encoded by 455 × 3 = 1365 nucleotides. In B-DNA, the rise per base pair is 3.4 Å, so the contour length of 1365 bp is 3.4 Å/bp × 1365 bp = 4641 Å, or 0.46 μm.

5.

7.

9. Assuming all the DNAs in Figure 24-8 contain the same number of base pairs, the most supercoiled structure would move farthest during electrophoresis, because its compact structure allows it to move fastest through the agarose matrix.

11. Its T_m decreases because the charges on the phosphate groups are less shielded from each other at lower ionic strength and hence repel each other more strongly, thereby destabilizing the double helix.

13. The segment with 20% A residues (i.e., 40% A · T base pairs) contains 60% G · C base pairs and therefore melts at a higher temperature than a segment with 30% A residues (i.e., 40% G · C base pairs).

15.

17.

19. The target sequence consists of 6 symmetry-related base pairs. Since there are 4 possible base pairs (A · T, T · A, G · C, and C · G), the probability that any two base pairs are randomly related by symmetry is 1/4. Hence, the probability of finding all 6 pairs of base pairs by random chance is $(1/4)^6 = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

21. Because they interact closely with DNA, protamines must be rich in basic amino acids. In fact, they are particularly rich in arginine.

23. (a) Increased ion concentrations would interfere with the electrostatic interactions between M1 and histones and would weaken binding. (b) Decreasing the pH would protonate and neutralize negatively charged carboxylate groups, which are important for interacting with the positively charged groups on the histones. Neutral M1 would then bind to histones less tightly.

25. (a) The contour length is 5×10^7 bp × 3.4 Å/bp = 1.7×10^8 Å = 17 mm.

(b) A nucleosome, which binds ~200 bp, compresses the DNA to an 80-Å-high supercoil. The length of the DNA is therefore (80 Å/200 bp) × (5×10^7 bp) = 2×10^7 Å = 2 mm.

27. Histones are required in large amounts during a relatively short period when DNA is replicated prior to cell division. The large number of histone genes allows the efficient production of histones.

29.

A **T**

The helix diameter is smaller in this alternate arrangement (Hoogsteen base pairing).

31.

33. The bonds opposite atoms C2′ and C3′ in the ribose ring both contain O1′. Since O1′ has no extra-annular substituents, none of the substituents to the ribose ring will be eclipsed if either C2′ or C3′ is out of the plane of the other ring atoms. This minimizes the steric interference between ring substituents.

35. $L = T + W$. For the constrained DNA circle, $W = 0$ so that $L = T = 207$. For the unconstrained DNA circle, $L = 207$ since this quantity is invariant, $T = 2310$ bp/(10.5 bp/turn) = 220, and $W = L - T = 207 - 220 = -13$. For the constrained DNA circle, $\sigma = W/T = 0/207 = 0$. For the unconstrained DNA circle, $\sigma = -13/220 = -0.059$ (a value that is typical of naturally occurring DNA circles *in vivo*).

37. Type I is closed circular duplex DNA, type II is a nicked circular duplex DNA, and type III is linear duplex DNA. Type I DNA has such a broad melting curve and a high T_m because its strands cannot separate from each other without breaking a covalent bond (its ΔS of melting is unusually small). The bases therefore tend to remain paired above the T_m for the equivalent linear DNA.

The slightly greater sedimentation coefficient of type I in comparison with type II DNA indicates that type I is supercoiled, which makes it more compact than type II. The relaxed circles of type II DNA are more compact than type III, the linear duplex, and hence type II DNA sediments faster than type III.

At pH 13, which denatures duplex DNA, type III DNA undergoes strand separation to yield linear single strands of 16S. Type II DNA also undergoes strand separation to yield a 16S linear single strand and a slightly more compact 18S single-stranded circle. Type I DNA denatures but its strands cannot unwind from each other (L remains constant). However, the stiff double-helical structure has collapsed so that denatured type I DNA is a highly compact entity. This accounts for its 53S sedimentation coefficient.

Chapter 25

1. Okazaki fragments are 1000 to 2000 nt long, and the *E. coli* chromosome contains 4.6×10^6 bp. Therefore, *E. coli* chromosomal replication requires 2300 to 4600 Okazaki fragments.

3. The drug would inhibit DNA synthesis because the polymerization reaction is accompanied by the release and hydrolysis of PP_i. Failure to hydrolyze the PP_i would remove the thermodynamic driving force for polymerization, that is, it would be reversible.

5. When DNA polymerase begins synthesizing a new strand, it binds the template DNA to which an RNA primer is already base paired. In order to extend the primer, the polymerase active site must accommodate the DNA–RNA hybrid helix, which has an A-DNA-like structure (Fig. 24-4).

7. AT-rich DNA is less stable than GC-rich DNA and therefore would more readily melt apart, a requirement for initiating replication.

9. DNA polymerase could extend a primer that entered its active site, but polymerization would not be highly processive unless the sliding clamp was in place. If the primer first associates with the clamp, which then interacts with DNA polymerase, the primer can be extended in a processive and therefore more efficient manner.

11. The *E. coli* replication system can fully replicate only circular DNAs. Bacteria do not have a mechanism (e.g., telomerase-catalyzed extension of telomeres) for replicating the extreme 3′ ends of linear template strands.

13. After adding the 6-nt telomere sequence, telomerase translocates to the new 3′ end to add another repeat. The RNA template includes a region of overlap (~3 nt) that helps position the enzyme and template for the next addition of nucleotides (see Fig. 25-27).

15. (a)

5BU (enol tautomer) **Guanine**

(b) When 5BU incorporated into DNA pairs with G, the result is an A · T → G · C transition after two more rounds of DNA replication:

A · T → A · 5BU → G · 5BU → G · C

17. (a) The original A · T base pair becomes an I · T base pair. When the DNA replicates, the template T will pair with A, and the template I will pair with C. Thus, one daughter cell will be normal and one will have an abnormal I · C base pair. (b) After the second round of cell division, two cells will be normal (A · T base pairs). One cell will have an abnormal I · C base pair, and one will have a normal but mutated C · G base pair.

19. The triphosphatase destroys nucleotides containing the modified base before they can be incorporated into DNA during replication.

21. (a) Without an active site Cys residue, the alkyl transfer reaction cannot occur.

(b) Although the proteins cannot remove the alkyl group attached to the guanine by direct repair, they can still bind to the modified residue, thereby marking this region of DNA for repair by NER enzymes.

23. When 5-methylcytosine residues deaminate, they form thymine residues.

5-Methyl-C **T**

Since thymine is a normal DNA base, the repair systems cannot determine whether such a T or its opposing G is the mutated base. Consequently, only about half of the deaminated 5-methylcytosines are correctly repaired.

25. Base excision repair. The deaminated base can be recognized because hypoxanthine does not normally occur in DNA.

27. First, an endoribonuclease must cleave one of the two phosphodiester bonds involving the ribonucleotide, then a second endonuclease must cleave the other bond to completely remove the ribonucleotide. The resulting gap can be filled in by DNA polymerase, and the nick sealed by DNA ligase.

29. Topoisomerases maintain the appropriate degree of supercoiling during DNA replication. These enzymes act by cleaving one or both DNA strands and covalently linking the 3′- or 5′-phosphate to an enzyme Tyr residue (Section 24-1D). If the catalytic cycle is not completed, the enzyme remains associated with the cut DNA and impedes replication and transcription. A tyrosyl–DNA phosphodiesterase frees the trapped topoisomerase so that the DNA can be repaired by other enzymes.

31. Pol V is less processive than Pol III. When the progress of Pol III is arrested by the presence of a thymine dimer, Pol V can take over, allowing replication to continue at a high rate, although with a greater incidence of mispairings. The damage is minimal, however, since Pol V soon dissociates from the DNA, allowing the more accurate Pol III to resume replicating DNA.

33. In conjugation, the ssDNA becomes incorporated into the recipient's DNA through homologous recombination. RecBCD includes nuclease and helicase activity, which are necessary to nick and unwind the recipient dsDNA so that the incoming ssDNA can be introduced.

35. As indicated in Fig. *a* (*below*), nucleotides would be added to a polynucleotide strand by attack of the 3′-OH of the incoming nucleotide on the 5′ triphosphate group of the growing strand with the elimination of PP_i. The hydrolytic removal of a mispaired nucleotide by the 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity (Fig. *b, below*) would leave only an OH group or a monophosphate group at the 5′ end of the DNA chain. This would require an additional activation step before further chain elongation could commence.

37. The conversion factor between the real and expanded systems is 1 m/20 Å = 0.05 m/Å.

(a) The length of B-DNA is 3.4 Å/bp. The replisome replicates DNA at the rate of ~1000 bp/s. Hence, the rate of travel of the expanded replisome is

$$3.4\ \text{Å/bp} \times 1000\ \text{bp/s} \times 0.05\ \text{m/Å} \times 3600\ \text{s/hr} \times 0.001\ \text{km/m} = 610\ \text{km/hr}$$

(b) The *E. coli* genome consists of ~4.6 million bp (Table 3-3). Each replisome in *E. coli's* bidirectional replication fork replicates half this DNA. Hence the distance each replisome must travel is

$$1/2 \times 4.6 \times 10^6\ \text{bp} \times 3.4\ \text{Å/bp} \times 0.05\ \text{m/Å} \times 0.001\ \text{km/m} = 390\ \text{km}$$

(c) Okazaki fragments in *E. coli* range in length from 1000 to 2000 nt. Hence their expanded lengths would be

$$(1000\ \text{to}\ 2000)\ \text{nt} \times 3.4\ \text{Å/nt} \times 0.05\ \text{m/Å} \times 0.001\ \text{km/m} = (0.17\ \text{to}\ 0.34)\ \text{km}$$

(d) The *E. coli* replisome makes around one error for every 10 million bases it correctly replicates. Hence the distance between errors that it would travel in our expanded system is

$$10^7\ \text{nt} \times 3.4\ \text{Å/nt} \times 0.05\ \text{m/Å} \times 0.001\ \text{km/m} = 1700\ \text{km}$$

39. The Klenow fragment, which lacks 5′ → 3′ exonuclease activity (and therefore cannot catalyze nick translation), is used to ensure that all the replicated DNA chains have the same 5′ terminus. For the chain terminator method of sequencing DNA, this is a necessity because a sequence is assigned according to fragment length. For pyrosequencing or Illumina sequencing, the DNA segments at a particular position on the sequencing well or slide must all be identical or the identification of each nucleotide in the sequence will be ambiguous.

41. Use Equation 12-6,

$$\ln[A] = \ln[A]_o - kt$$

where $[A]_o = 6.0 \times 10^9$, $[A] = [A]_o - 2 \times 10^4 = 5.99998 \times 10^9$, and $t = 1$ d. Solve the equation for k:

$$k = \left(\ln\frac{[A]_o}{[A]}\right)/t = \left(\ln\frac{6 \times 10^9}{5.99998 \times 10^9}\right)/1\ \text{d}$$

$$k = \ln(1.0000033)/1\ \text{d} = 0.0000033\ \text{d}^{-1}$$

Using Equation 12.9,

$$t_{1/2} = 0.693/k = 0.693/0.0000033\ \text{d}^{-1}$$
$$= 2.08 \times 10^5\ \text{d} \times (1\ \text{y}/365\ \text{d}) = 570\ \text{y}$$

43. By aligning its identical tracts of DNA side by side, *D. radiodurans* has prepared these sequences for rapid recombinational repair, the only nonmutagenic mode for restoring the double-strand breaks that ionizing radiation frequently induces in DNA.

Chapter 26

1. (a) Cordycepin is the 3′-deoxy analog of adenosine.

(b) Because it lacks a 3′-OH group, the cordycepin incorporated into a growing RNA chain cannot support further chain elongation in the 5′ → 3′ direction.

3. The probe should have a sequence complementary to the consensus sequence of the 6-nt Pribnow box: 5′-ATTATA-3′.

5. (a) Operons allow cells to turn sets of related genes on and off together, thereby maximizing efficiency, since all the necessary genes are expressed at the same time and in the same amount.

(b) In eukaryotes, genes in different locations can be turned on or off at the same time if they share the same transcriptional regulatory sequences, such as core promoter elements and enhancers that interact with the same transcription factors.

7. Increasing the error rate of transcription increases the chances of introducing a mutation that prevents the virus from completing its life cycle in the host cell.

9. In the presence of bicyclomycin, transcription of Rho-dependent genes does not terminate, causing read-through into adjacent coding regions. This results in the transcription of the adjacent gene(s), often causing the inappropriate expression of the corresponding protein(s).

11. The cell lysates can be applied to a column containing a matrix with immobilized poly(dT). The poly(A) tails of processed mRNAs will bind to the poly(dT) while other cellular components are washed away. The mRNAs can be eluted by decreasing the salt concentration to destabilize the A · T base pairs.

13. DNA polymerase needs a primer; poly(A) polymerase uses the pre-mRNA as a primer; CCA-adding polymerase uses the immature tRNA as a primer; and RNA polymerase does not require a primer. Both DNA polymerase and RNA polymerase require a DNA template, but neither poly(A) polymerase nor CCA-adding polymerase uses a template. The four polymerases use different sets of nucleotides: DNA polymerase uses all four dNTPS; RNA polymerase uses all four NTPs; poly(A) polymerase uses only ATP; and CCA-adding polymerase uses ATP and CTP.

15. The mechanism of RNase hydrolysis requires a free 2′-OH group to form a 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate intermediate (Figure 11-10).

Nucleotide residues lacking a 2′-OH group would therefore be resistant to RNase-catalyzed hydrolysis.

17. The mRNA splicing reaction, which requires no free energy input and results in no loss of phosphodiester bonds, is theoretically reversible *in vitro*. However, the degradation of the excised intron makes the reaction irreversible in the cell.

19. Histone genes lack introns, so their mRNAs do not undergo splicing, and their mRNAs do not have a poly(A) tail.

21. Inhibition of snRNA processing interferes with mRNA splicing. As a result, host mRNA cannot be translated, so the host ribosomes will synthesize only viral proteins.

23. The top strand is the sense strand. Its TATGAT segment differs by only one base from the TATAAT consensus sequence of the promoter's −10 sequence; its TTTACA sequence differs by only one base from the TTGACA consensus sequence of the promoter's −35 sequence and is appropriately located ~25 nt to the 5′ side of the −10 sequence; and the initiating G nucleotide is the only purine that is located ~10 nt downstream of the –10 sequence.

5′-CAACGTAACACTTTACAGCGGCGCGTCATTTGATATGATGCGCCCCGCTTCCCGATA-3′

–35 region –10 region start point

25. Promoter elements for RNA polymerase II include sequences at −27 (the TATA box) and between –50 and –100. The insertion of 10 bp would separate the promoter elements by the distance of the turn of the DNA helix, thereby diminishing the binding of proteins required for transcription initiation. However, the protein-binding sites would still be on the same side of the helix. Inserting 5 bp (half of a helical turn) would move the protein-binding sites to opposite sides of the helix, making it even more difficult to initiate transcription.

27. Because TFIIB can bind directly to DNA at the promoter and indirectly to DNA at the end of the gene, it can cause the intervening DNA to form a loop. As a result, an RNA polymerase that has finished transcribing a gene will be positioned near the promoter so that transcription can be quickly reinitiated.

29. Enhancers are recognized by specific transcription factors that stimulate RNAP II to bind to the associated promoter. Enhancers are usually distant from the promoter on the same DNA and hence the interaction between the enhancer-bound transcription factor and the promoter-bound RNAP II requires that the DNA loop around. If the enhancer and promoter are on different but catenated plasmids, the enhancer-bound transcription factor and the promoter-bound RNAP II can arrange themselves to contact one another and thus stimulate translational initiation at the promoter. However, if the two plasmids are not catenated, the transcription factor and the RNAP II are unlikely to find each other and hence transcription initiation will not occur.

Chapter 27

1. A 4-nt insertion would add one codon and shift the gene's reading frame by one nucleotide. The proper reading frame could be restored by deleting a nucleotide. Gene function, however, would not be restored if (a) the 4-nt insertion interrupted the codon for a functionally critical amino acid; (b) the 4-nt insertion created a codon for a structure-breaking amino acid; (c) the 4-nt insertion introduced a Stop codon early in the gene; or (d) the 1-nt deletion occurred far from the 4-nt insertion so that even though the reading frame was restored, a long stretch of frame-shifted codons separated the insertion and deletion points.

3. The possible codons are UUU, UUG, UGU, GUU, UGG, GUG, GGU, and GGG. The encoded amino acids are Phe, Leu, Cys, Val, Trp, and Gly (Table 27-1).

5. There are still two other Stop codons, UAA and UAG, to terminate translation.

7. (a) Like an aaRS, Xpot must recognize features of tRNA structure that are present in all tRNAs, such as the acceptor stem and the TψC loop. (b) Xpot can distinguish mature and pre-tRNAs because mature tRNAs have a processed 5′ end with a single phosphate group, and the 3′ end must be a —CCA sequence (see Fig. 27-3).

9. Gly and Ala; Val and Leu; Ser and Thr, Asn and Gln; and Asp and Glu.

11.

13. Only newly synthesized bacterial polypeptides have fMet at their N-terminus. Consequently, the appearance of fMet in a mammalian system signifies the presence of invading bacteria. Leukocytes that recognize the fMet residue can therefore combat these bacteria through phagocytosis.

15. Ribosomes cannot translate double-stranded RNA, so the base pairing of a complementary antisense RNA to an mRNA prevents its translation.

17. The constrained geometry of Pro could affect the efficiency of peptidyl transfer, or the poly-Pro segment could fit poorly in the ribosome exit tunnel, slowing the rate of chain lengthening.

19.

21. The repeating sequence can be translated as GGG-GCC, GGG-CCG, and GGC-CGG, which correspond to the repeating peptides –Gly–Ala–, –Gly–Pro–, and –Gly–Arg–.

23. (a) Each ORF begins with an initiation codon (ATG) and ends with a Stop codon (TGA):

ATGCTCAACTATATGTGA encodes *vir-2* and ATGCCGCATGCTCTGTTAATCACATATAGTTGA on the complementary strand encodes *vir-1*.

(b) *vir-1:* MPHALLITYS; *vir-2:* MLNYM.

(c) *vir-1:* MPHALLIPYS; *vir-2:* MLNYMGLTEHAA.

25. As expected, the correctly charged tRNAs (Ala–$tRNA^{Ala}$ and Gln–$tRNA^{Gln}$) bind to EF-Tu with approximately the same affinity, so they are delivered to the ribosomal A site with the same efficiency.

The mischarged Ala–tRNA^{Gln} binds to EF-Tu much more loosely, indicating that it may dissociate from EF-Tu before it reaches the ribosome. The mischarged Gln-tRNA^{Ala} binds to EF-Tu much more tightly, indicating that EF-Tu may not be able to dissociate from it at the ribosome. These results suggest that either a higher or a lower binding affinity could affect the ability of EF-Tu to carry out its function, which would decrease the rate at which mischarged aminoacyl–tRNAs bind to the ribosomal A site during translation.

27. Transpeptidation involves the nucleophilic attack of the amino group of the aminoacyl–tRNA on the carbonyl carbon of the peptidyl–tRNA. As the pH increases, the amino group becomes more nucleophilic (less likely to be protonated).

29. From Table 27-2: The 2 amino acids specified by only one codon each require a tRNA. The 12 amino acids that are specified by PQX where X may be either purine or else either pyrimidine can each be specified by a single tRNA according to the wobble pairing rules (Table 27-3). Ile, which is specified by AUY, where Y = U, C or A, also requires a single tRNA. However, the 8 amino acids that are specified by RSZ, where Z = U, C, A or G, must each have 2 tRNAs specifying them (Leu, Arg and Ser are specified by both PQX and RSZ). Finally, an initiator tRNA is required. Thus,

$2 + 12 + 1 + 2 \times 8 + 1 = 32$ tRNAs are minimally required

31. The enzyme hydrolyzes peptidyl–tRNA molecules that dissociate from a ribosome before normal translation termination takes place. Because peptide synthesis is prematurely halted, the resulting polypeptide, which is still linked to tRNA, is likely to be nonfunctional. Peptidyl–tRNA hydrolase is necessary for recycling the amino acids and the tRNA.

33. Aminoacylation occurs via pyrophosphate cleavage of ATP, and hence the aminoacylation of 100 tRNAs requires 200 ATP equivalents; translation initiation requires 1 GTP (1 ATP equivalent); 99 cycles of elongation require 99 GTP (99 ATP equivalents) for EF-Tu action; 99 cycles of ribosomal translocation require 99 GTP (99 ATP equivalents) for EF-G action; and translation termination requires 1 GTP (1 ATP equivalent), bringing the total energy cost to $200 + 1 + 99 + 99 + 1 = 400$ ATP equivalents.

35. The *E. coli* ribosome contains 52 proteins + 3 RNAs.

A minimum of 31 noninitiating tRNAs and their 20 cognate aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases is required.

$tRNA_f^{Met}$ is also required (it is charged by the aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase for Met).

Initiation requires 3 factors: IF-1, IF-2, and IF-3.

Elongation requires 3 factors: EF-Tu, EF-Ts, and EF-G.

Termination requires 4 factors: RF-1, RF-2, RF-3, and RRF.

mRNA is also required.

Thus, the total number of macromolecules is:

$52 + 3 + 31 + 20 + 1 + 3 + 3 + 4 + 1 = 118$ different macromolecules.

Chapter 28

1. Virtually all the DNA sequences in *E. coli* are present as single copies, so the renaturation of *E. coli* DNA is a straightforward process of each fragment reassociating with its complementary strand. In contrast, the human genome contains many repetitive DNA sequences. The many DNA fragments containing these sequences find each other to form double-stranded regions (renature) much faster than the single-copy DNA sequences that are also present, giving rise to a biphasic renaturation curve.

3. The *Daphnia* and *Drosophila* genomes are similar in size (200,000 kb versus 180,000 kb), but *Daphnia* contains far more genes (~30,000 versus ~13,000). The *Daphnia* genome is much smaller than the human genome (200,000 kb versus 3,038,000 kb) but appears to contain more genes (~30,000 versus ~21,000).

5. (a) Translation of CAG repeats will yield polypeptides containing polyglutamine (from the CAG codon), polyserine (from the AGC codon), and polyalanine (from the GCA codon). (b) Translation of CTG repeats will yield polypeptides containing polyleucine (from the CUG codon), polycysteine (from the UGC codon), and polyalanine (from the GCU codon).

7. O_1 is the primary repressor-binding site, so *lac* repressor cannot stably bind to the operator in its absence and repression cannot occur.

9. In the absence of β-galactosidase (the product of the *lacZ* gene), lactose is not converted to the inducer allolactose. Consequently, *lac* enzymes, including galactoside permease, are not synthesized.

11. In eukaryotes, transcription takes place in the nucleus and translation occurs in the cytoplasm. Hence, in eukaryotes, ribosomes are never in contact with nascent mRNAs, an essential aspect of the attenuation mechanism in prokaryotes.

13.

Acetyllysine

In acetyllysine, the cationic side chain of Lys has been converted to a polar but uncharged side chain.

15. The product is a citrulline side chain (Fig. 21-9).

17. The susceptibility of RNA to degradation *in vivo* makes it possible to regulate gene expression by adjusting the rate of mRNA degradation. If mRNA were very stable, it might continue to direct translation even when the cell no longer needed the encoded protein.

19. The imprecise joining of *V, D,* and *J* segments, along with nucleotide addition or removal at the junction, can generate a Stop codon (yielding a truncated and hence nonfunctional immunoglobulin chain) or create a shift in the reading frame (yielding a misfolded and nonfunctional protein).

21. In multicellular organisms, apoptosis of damaged cells minimizes damage to the entire organism. For a single-celled organism, survival of a genetically damaged cell is preferable (in a Darwinian sense) to its death.

23. The *esc* gene is apparently a maternal-effect gene. Thus, the proper distribution of the *esc* gene product in the fertilized egg, which is maternally specified, is sufficient to permit normal embryonic development regardless of the embryo's genotype.

25. Red–green color blindness is conferred by a mutation in an X-linked gene so female carriers of the condition, who do not appear to be red–green colorblind, have one wild-type gene and one mutated

gene. In placental mammals such as humans, females are mosaics of clones of cells in which only one of their two X chromosomes is transcriptionally active. Hence in a female carrier of red–green color blindness, the transcriptionally active X chromosome in some clones will contain the wild-type gene and the others will contain the mutated gene. The former type of retinal clone is able to differentiate red and green light, whereas the latter type of retinal clone is unable to do so. Apparently, these retinal clones are small enough so that a narrow beam of light is necessary to separately interrogate them.

27. Histone and DNA methylation requires *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM), which becomes *S*-adenosylhomocysteine after it gives up its methyl group (Fig. 21-18). *S*-Adenosylhomocysteine is converted back to methionine, the precursor of SAM, in a reaction in which the methyl group is donated by the folic acid derivative tetrahydrofolate (THF; Fig. 21-18). A shortage of this cofactor could limit cellular production of SAM, which would result in the undermethylation of histones and DNA.

29. A 22-bp segment of RNA, incorporating all four nucleotides, has $4^{22} = 1.8 \times 10^{13}$ possible unique sequences. An RNA half this size would have only 4^{11} or 4.2×10^6 possible sequences. The shorter the siRNA, the greater the probability that it could hybridize with more than one complementary mRNA, thereby making it less efficient in silencing a specific gene. (In the 3.0×10^9-bp human genome, a sequence of 16 bp has a high probability of randomly occurring at least once.)

31. If the cancer cell's transformed state results, at least in part, from the absence of a functional tumor suppressor gene such as that expressing pRb, and if the chromosomes that the normal cell contribute to the fused cell express that tumor suppressor gene, then the fused cell will have a nontumorigenic phenotype. This is because tumor suppressor gene products suppress uncontrolled cell proliferation (cancer) so that cells requiring such a gene product for normal growth, but lacking it, will assume the cancerous state.

GLOSSARY

Numbers and Greek letters are alphabetized as if they were spelled out.

aaRS. See aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase.
aa–tRNA. See aminoacyl–tRNA.
ABC transporter. A member of a large family of transmembrane proteins that use the free energy of ATP to mediate the transport of polar or nonpolar substances across a membrane.
ABO blood group antigens. The oligosaccharide components of glycolipids on the surfaces of erythrocytes and other cells.
Absolute configuration. The spatial arrangement of chemical groups around a chiral center.
Absorbance (*A*). A function of the amount of light transmitted through a solution (I) relative to the incident light (I_0) at a given wavelength: $A = \log(I_0/I)$. Also called optical density.
Absorptivity (ε). A constant that relates the absorbance of a solution to the concentration of the solute at a given wavelength. Also called the extinction coefficient.
Acceptor stem. The base-paired region of a tRNA molecule that contains the 5′ end and the 3′ end, to which an amino acid is attached.
Accessory pigment. A molecule in a photosynthetic system that absorbs light at wavelengths other than those absorbed by chlorophyll.
Acid. A substance that can donate a proton.
Acidic solution. A solution whose pH is less than 7.0 ($[H^+] > 10^{-7}$ M).
Acidosis. A pathological condition in which the pH of the blood drops below its normal value of 7.4.
Action potential. The wave of transient depolarization and repolarization that constitutes the electrical signal generated by a nerve cell.
Active site. The region of an enzyme in which catalysis takes place.
Active transport. The transmembrane movement of a substance from low to high concentrations by a protein that couples this endergonic transport to an exergonic process such as ATP hydrolysis.
Activity. A solute's concentration, corrected for its nonideal behavior at concentrations greater than infinite dilution.
Acyl-carrier protein (ACP). A phosphopantetheine-containing protein that binds the intermediates of fatty acid synthesis as thioesters.
Acyl–enzyme intermediate. An intermediate of peptide bond hydrolysis in which the carbonyl carbon of the scissile bond is covalently bound to the enzyme nucleophile that attacked it.
Adenylylation. Addition of an adenylyl (AMP) group.
Adipocyte. Fat cell, which is specialized for the synthesis and storage of triacylglycerols from free fatty acids.
Adipose tissue. Fat cells; distributed throughout an animal's body.
ADP–ATP translocator. A membrane transport protein with an adenine nucleotide–binding site that alternately allows ADP to enter and ATP to exit the mitochondrial matrix.
Adrenergic receptor. A cell-surface receptor that binds and responds to adrenal hormones such as epinephrine and norepinephrine. Also called an adrenoreceptor.
Aerobic glycolysis. The rapid consumption of glucose that is directed toward anabolic processes to support the rapid growth of cells such as cancer cells.
Affinity chromatography. A procedure in which a molecule is separated from a mixture of other molecules by its ability to bind specifically to an immobilized ligand. See also metal chelate affinity chromatography.
Affinity labeling. A technique in which a labeled substrate analog reacts irreversibly with, and can thereby be used to identify, a group in an enzyme's active site.
Agarose. Linear carbohydrate polymers, made by red algae, that form a loose mesh.
Agonist. A substance that binds to a receptor to evoke a cellular response.
Alcoholic fermentation. A metabolic pathway that synthesizes ethanol from pyruvate through decarboxylation and reduction.
Alditol. A sugar produced by reduction of an aldose or ketose to a polyhydroxy alcohol.
Aldol cleavage. A carbon–carbon cleavage reaction of an aldol (an aldehyde or ketone with a β hydroxyl group) that yields smaller carbonyl compounds.
Aldonic acid. A sugar produced by oxidation of an aldose aldehyde group to a carboxylic acid group.
Aldose. A sugar whose carbonyl group is an aldehyde.
Alkalosis. A pathological condition in which the pH of the blood rises above its normal value of 7.4.
Allele. An alternate form of a gene; diploid organisms contain two alleles for each gene, which may or may not be identical.
Allosteric effector. A small molecule whose binding to a protein affects the function of another site on the protein.
Allosteric interaction. The binding of ligand at one site in a macromolecule that affects the binding of other ligands at other sites in the molecule. See also cooperative binding.
αα motif. A protein motif consisting of two α helices packed against each other with their axes inclined.
α-amino acid. See amino acid.
α anomer. See anomers.
α/β barrel. A β barrel in which successive parallel β strands are connected by α helices such that a barrel of α helices surrounds the β barrel.
α carbon. The carbon atom of an amino acid to which the amino and carboxylic acid groups are attached.
α-glycoside. See glycoside.
α helix. A regular secondary structure of polypeptides, with 3.6 residues per right-handed turn, a pitch of 5.4 Å, and hydrogen bonds between each backbone N—H group and the backbone C═O group that is four residues earlier.
Alternative gene splicing. The tissue-specific patterns of splicing of a given pre-mRNA that result in variations in the excision and retention of introns and exons.
Alternative splicing. The tissue-specific patterns of splicing of a given pre-mRNA that result in variations in the excision and retention of introns and exons.
Alzheimer's disease. A neurodegenerative disease characterized by the precipitation of β amyloid protein in the brain.
Ames test. A method for assessing the mutagenicity of a compound from its ability to cause genetically defective strains of bacteria to revert to normal growth.
Amino acid. A compound consisting of a carbon atom to which are attached a primary amino group, a carboxylic acid group, a side chain (R group), and an H atom. Also called an α-amino acid.
Aminoacyl site (A site). The ribosomal site where a tRNA with an attached aminoacyl group binds during protein synthesis.
Aminoacyl–tRNA (aa–tRNA). The covalent ester complex between an "activated" amino acid and a tRNA molecule.
Aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase (aaRS). An enzyme that catalyzes the ATP-dependent esterification of an amino acid to a tRNA with high specificity for the amino acid and the tRNA molecule.
Amino sugar. A sugar in which one or more OH groups are replaced by an amino group, which is often acetylated.
Amino terminus. The end of a polypeptide that has a free amino group. Also called the N-terminus.
Amphibolic. A term to describe a metabolic process that can be either catabolic or anabolic.

Amphipathic substance. See amphiphilic substance.

Amphiphilic substance. A substance that contains both polar and nonpolar regions and is therefore both hydrophilic and hydrophobic. Also called an amphipathic substance.

Amyloid. Insoluble extracellular aggregates of fibrous protein that characterize such diseases as Alzheimer's disease and the transmissible spongiform encephalopathies.

Anabolism. The reactions by which biomolecules are synthesized from simpler components.

Anaerobe. An organism that does not use O_2 as an oxidizing agent for nutrient breakdown. An obligate anaerobe cannot grow in the presence of O_2, whereas a facultative anaerobe can grow in the presence or absence of O_2.

Anaplerotic reaction. A reaction that replenishes the intermediates of a metabolic pathway.

Androgen. A steroid that functions primarily as a male sex hormone.

Angina pectoris. Chest pain due to insufficient blood supply to the heart. Often just called angina.

Anion exchanger. A cationic matrix used to bind anionic molecules in ion exchange chromatography.

Anneal. To maintain conditions that allow loose base pairing between complementary single polynucleotide strands so that properly paired double-stranded segments form.

Anomeric carbon. The carbonyl carbon of a monosaccharide unit, which becomes a chiral center when the sugar cyclizes to a hemiacetal or hemiketal.

Anomers. Sugars that differ only in the configuration around the anomeric carbon. In the α anomer, the OH substituent of the anomeric carbon is on the opposite side of the ring from the CH_2OH group at the chiral center that designates the D or L configuration. In the β anomer, the OH substituent is on the same side.

Antagonist. A substance that binds to a receptor but does not elicit a cellular response.

Antenna chlorophyll. A chlorophyll group that absorbs light energy and passes it on to a photosynthetic reaction center by exciton transfer.

Antibody. A protein produced by an animal's immune system in response to the introduction of a foreign substance (an antigen); it contains at least one pair each of identical heavy and light chains. Also called an immunoglobulin (Ig).

Anticodon. The sequence of three nucleotides in tRNA that recognizes an mRNA codon through complementary base pairing.

Anticodon arm. The conserved stem–loop structure in a tRNA molecule that includes the anticodon.

Anti conformation. A purine or pyrimidine nucleotide conformation in which the ribose and the base point away from each other. See also syn conformation.

Antigen. A substance that elicits an immune response (production of antibodies) when introduced into an animal; it is specifically recognized by an antibody.

Antioxidant. A substance that destroys reactive oxygen species such as $O_2^{-}\cdot$ or $\cdot OH$.

Antiparallel. Extending in opposite directions.

Antiport. A transmembrane channel that simultaneously transports two molecules or ions in opposite directions. See also symport and uniport.

Antisense RNA. A single-stranded RNA molecule that forms a double-stranded structure with a complementary mRNA to block its translation into protein.

Antisense strand. The DNA strand that serves as a template for transcription; it is complementary to the RNA. Also called the noncoding strand.

Apoenzyme. An enzyme that is inactive due to the absence of a cofactor.

Apolipoprotein. A protein component of a lipoprotein. Also called an apoprotein.

Apoprotein. A protein without the prosthetic group or metal ion that renders it fully functional. See also apoenzyme and apolipoprotein.

Apoptosis. Cell death by a regulated process in which the cell shrinks and fragments into membrane-bounded portions for phagocytosis by other cells. See also necrosis.

Aptamer. A nucleic acid whose conformation allows it to bind a particular ligand with high specificity and high affinity.

Aquaporin. A membrane protein that mediates the rapid diffusion of water molecules but not protons or other ions across a membrane.

Archaea. One of the two major groups of prokaryotes (the other is eubacteria). Also known as archaebacteria.

Archaebacteria. See archaea.

A site. See aminoacyl site.

Assay. A laboratory technique for detecting, and in many cases quantifying, a macromolecule or its activity.

Asymmetric center. See chiral center.

Atherosclerosis. A disease characterized by the formation of cholesterol-containing fibrous plaques in the walls of blood vessels, leading to loss of elasticity and blockage of blood flow.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM). A technique for determining molecular topography at subnanometer resolution by passing a mechanical probe over a surface and monitoring its deflection by optical or electronic means.

ATPase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of ATP to ADP + P_i or the reverse reaction.

ATP mass action ratio. The ratio $[ATP]/[ADP][P_i]$, which influences the rate of electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation.

Attenuator. A prokaryotic control element that governs transcription of an operon according to the availability of an amino acid synthesized by the proteins encoded by the operon.

Autocatalytic reaction. A reaction in which a product molecule can act as a catalyst for the same reaction; the reactant molecule therefore appears to catalyze its own reaction.

Autoimmune disease. A disease in which the immune system has lost some of its self-tolerance and attacks certain self-antigens.

Autophagy. The process in which damaged or unneeded organelles are enclosed by a membrane and the resulting vesicle fuses with a lysosome that digests its contents.

Autophosphorylation. The kinase-catalyzed phosphorylation of itself or an identical molecule.

Autoradiography. A process in which X-ray film records the positions of radioactive entities, such as proteins or nucleic acids, that have been immobilized in a matrix such as a nitrocellulose membrane or an electrophoretic gel.

Autotroph. An organism that can synthesize all its cellular components from simple molecules using the energy obtained from sunlight (photoautotroph) or from the oxidation of inorganic compounds (chemolithotroph).

Axial substituent. A group that extends approximately perpendicularly from the plane of the ring to which it is bonded. See also equatorial substituent.

Backbone. The atoms that form the repeating linkages between successive residues of a polymeric molecule, exclusive of the side chains. Also called the main chain.

Bacteria. The organisms comprising the two major groups of prokaryotes, the archaea and the eubacteria.

Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). A plasmid-derived DNA molecule that can replicate in a bacterial cell. BACs are commonly used as cloning vectors.

Bacteriophage. A virus specific for bacteria. Also known as a phage.

Barr body. The condensed and darkly staining inactive X chromosome in the nucleus of a female mammalian cell.

Base. (1) A substance that can accept a proton. (2) A purine or pyrimidine component of a nucleoside, nucleotide, or nucleic acid.

Base excision repair (BER). The removal and replacement of a damaged nucleotide in DNA that is initiated by the removal of its base.

Base pair. The specific hydrogen-bonded association between nucleic acid bases. The Watson–Crick base pairs are A · T and G · C.

Basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) motif. A eukaryotic protein motif that includes a basic DNA-binding region followed by two amphipathic helices connected by a loop. The second helix, which mediates protein dimerization, is often continuous with a leucine zipper motif.

Basic solution. A solution whose pH is greater than 7.0 ($[H^+] < 10^{-7}$ M).

Beer–Lambert law. The equation that describes the relationship between a solute's absorbance (A) and its concentration (c): $A = \varepsilon cl$ where ε is the solute's molar absorptivity and l is the length of the light path.

Beriberi. A disease caused by a deficiency of thiamine (vitamin B_1), which is a precursor of the cofactor thiamine pyrophosphate.

βαβ motif. A protein motif consisting of an α helix connecting two parallel strands of a β sheet.

β anomer. See anomers.

β barrel. A protein motif consisting of a β sheet rolled into a cylinder.

β bend. See reverse turn.

β bulge. An irregularity in a β sheet resulting from an extra residue that is not hydrogen bonded to a neighboring chain.

β-glycoside. See glycoside.

β hairpin. A protein motif in which two antiparallel β strands are connected by a reverse turn.

β sheet. A regular secondary structure in which extended polypeptide chains form interstrand hydrogen bonds. In parallel β sheets, the polypeptide chains all run in the same direction; in antiparallel β sheets, neighboring chains run in opposite directions.

bHLH motif. See basic helix–loop–helix motif.

Bilayer. An ordered, double layer of amphiphilic molecules in which polar segments point toward the two solvent-exposed surfaces and the nonpolar segments associate in the center.

Bile acid (bile salt). An amphiphilic cholesterol derivative that acts as a detergent to solubilize lipids for digestion and absorption.

Binding change mechanism. The mechanism whereby the subunits of the F_1F_0-ATP synthase adopt three successive conformations to convert ADP + P_i to ATP as driven by the dissipation of the transmembrane proton gradient.

Bioavailability. A measure of the fraction of a drug that reaches its target tissue, which depends on the drug's dosage and pharmacokinetics.

Biochemical standard state. A set of conditions including unit activities of the species of interest, a temperature of 25°C, a pressure of 1 atm, and a pH of 7.0.

Biofilm. A surface-associated aggregate of bacterial cells and extracellular polysaccharides that protect the cells from environmental assault.

Bioinformatics. The study of biological information in the form of molecular sequences and structures; e.g., structural bioinformatics.

Blastoderm. The single layer of cells surrounding a yolklike core that forms during the early development of an insect larva.

Blunt ends. The fully base-paired ends of a DNA fragment that has been cleaved by a restriction endonuclease that cuts the DNA strands at opposing sites.

Bohr effect. The decrease in O_2 binding affinity of hemoglobin in response to a decrease in pH.

bp. Base pair, the two hydrogen-bonded bases that form the repeating units of double helical nucleic acids. Thousands of base pairs (kilobase pairs) are abbreviated kb.

Branch migration. The movement of a crossover point in a Holliday junction during DNA recombination.

Breathing. The small conformational fluctuations of a protein molecule.

Bromodomain. A protein module that binds acetylated Lys residues in histones.

Brownian ratchet. A molecular mechanism in which random thermal (Brownian) back-and-forth fluctuations are converted to coherent forward motion by inhibiting the backward motion.

Buffer. A solution of a weak acid and its conjugate base in approximately equal quantities. Such a solution resists changes in pH on the addition of acid or base.

Buffering capacity. The ability of a buffer solution to resist pH changes on addition of acid or base. Buffers are most useful when the pH is within one unit of its component acid's pK.

Cahn–Ingold–Prelog system (*RS* system). A system for unambiguously describing the configurations of molecules with one or more asymmetric centers by assigning a priority ranking to the substituent groups of each asymmetric center.

Calmodulin (CaM). A small Ca^{2+}-binding protein that binds to other proteins in the presence of Ca^{2+} and thereby regulates their activities.

Calvin cycle. The sequence of photosynthetic dark reactions in which ribulose-5-phosphate is carboxylated, converted to three-carbon carbohydrate precursors, and regenerated. Also called the reductive pentose phosphate cycle.

cAMP. 3′,5′-Cyclic AMP, an intracellular second messenger.

CaM. See calmodulin.

CAM. See crassulacean acid metabolism.

Cap. A 7-methylguanosine residue that is posttranscriptionally appended to the 5′ end of a eukaryotic mRNA.

Capillary electrophoresis (CE). Electrophoretic procedures carried out in small-diameter capillary tubes.

Carbamate. The product of a reaction between CO_2 and an amino group: —NH—COO^-.

Carbohydrate. A compound with the formula $(C \cdot H_2O)_n$ where $n \geq 3$. Also called a saccharide.

Carboxyl terminus. The end of a polypeptide that has a free carboxylate group. Also called the C-terminus.

Carcinogen. An agent that damages DNA to induce a mutation that leads to uncontrolled cell proliferation (cancer).

Caspase. A heterotetrameric cysteine protease that hydrolyzes cellular proteins, including caspase zymogens, as part of the process of apoptosis.

Catabolism. The degradative metabolic reactions in which nutrients and cell constituents are broken down for energy and raw materials.

Catabolite repression. A phenomenon in bacteria in which the presence of glucose prevents the expression of genes involved in the metabolism of other fuels.

Catalyst. A substance that promotes a chemical reaction without itself undergoing permanent change. A catalyst increases the rate at which a reaction approaches equilibrium but does not affect the free energy change of the reaction.

Catalytic perfection. The ability of an enzyme to catalyze a reaction as fast as diffusion allows it to bind its substrates.

Catalytic triad. The hydrogen-bonded Ser, His, and Asp residues that participate in catalysis in serine proteases and related enzymes.

Cataplerotic reaction. A reaction that drains the intermediates of a metabolic pathway.

Catecholamine. A hydroxylated tyrosine derivative, such as dopamine, norepinephrine, or epinephrine.

Catenate. To interlink circular DNA molecules like the links of a chain.

Cation exchanger. An anionic matrix used to bind cationic molecules in ion exchange chromatography.

CCAAT box. A eukaryotic promoter element with the consensus sequence CCAAT that, in many structural genes, is located 70 to 90 nucleotides upstream from the transcription start site.

cDNA. See complementary DNA.

Cell cycle. The sequence of events between eukaryotic cell divisions; it includes mitosis and cell division (M phase), a gap stage (G_1 phase), a period of DNA synthesis (S phase), and a second gap stage (G_2 phase) before the next M phase.

Cellular immunity. Immunity mediated by T lymphocytes (T cells).

Central dogma of molecular biology. The paradigm that DNA directs its own replication as well as its transcription to RNA, which is then translated into a polypeptide. The flow of information is from DNA to RNA to protein.

Centromere. The eukaryotic chromosomal region that attaches to the mitotic spindle during cell division; it contains high concentrations of repetitive DNA.

Ceramide. A sphingosine derivative with an acyl group attached to its amino group.

Cerebroside. A ceramide with a sugar residue as a head group.

Chain-terminator method. A technique for determining the nucleotide sequence of a DNA using dideoxy nucleotides so as to yield a collection of daughter strands of all different lengths. Also called the dideoxy method.

Channeling. The transfer of an intermediate product from one enzyme active site to another in such a way that the intermediate remains protected by the protein.

Chaotropic agent. A substance that increases the solubility of nonpolar substances in water and thereby tends to denature proteins.

Chargaff's rules. The observation, first made by Erwin Chargaff, that duplex DNA has equal numbers of adenine and thymine residues and equal numbers of guanine and cytosine residues.

Chemical potential. The partial molar free energy of a substance.

Chemiosmotic theory. The postulate, now known to be correct, that the free energy of electron transport is conserved by the formation of a transmembrane proton gradient. The electrochemical potential of the gradient is used to drive ATP synthesis.

Chemolithotroph. An autotrophic organism that obtains energy from the oxidation of inorganic compounds.

Chimera. See recombinant.

Chiral center. An atom whose substituents are arranged such that it is not superimposable on its mirror image. Also called an asymmetric center.

Chirality. The property of having one or more chiral centers. A chiral molecule cannot be superimposed on its mirror image.

Chloroplasts. The plant organelles in which photosynthesis takes place.

Chromatin-remodeling complex. An ATP-dependent multisubunit protein in eukaryotes that transiently disrupts DNA–histone interactions so as to alter the accessibility of DNA in nucleosomes.

Chromatin. The complex of DNA and protein that comprises the eukaryotic chromosomes.

Chromatography. A technique for separating the components of a mixture of molecules based on their partition between a mobile solvent phase and a porous matrix (stationary phase).

Chromodomain. A protein module that binds methylated Lys residues in histones.

Chromophore. A light-absorbing group or molecule.

Chromosome. The complex of protein and a single DNA molecule that comprises some or all of an organism's genome.

Chromosome territory. The region of the nucleus occupied by a single chromosome.

Chylomicrons. Lipoprotein particles that transport dietary triacylglycerols and cholesterol from the intestines to the tissues.

Cis conformation. An arrangement of the peptide group in which successive C_α atoms are on the same side of the peptide bond.

Cistron. An archaic term for a gene.

Citric acid cycle. A set of eight enzymatic reactions, arranged in a cycle, in which free energy in the form of ATP, NADH, and $FADH_2$ is recovered from the oxidation of the acetyl group of acetyl-CoA to CO_2. Also called the Krebs cycle and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle.

Clathrin. A three-legged protein that polymerizes to form a polyhedral structure defining the shape of membranous vesicles that travel between the plasma membrane and intracellular organelles such as the Golgi apparatus.

Clinical trials. A three-phase series of tests of a drug's safety, effectiveness, and side effects in human subjects.

Clone. A collection of identical cells derived from a single ancestor.

Cloning. The production of exact copies of a DNA segment or the organism that harbors it.

Closed system. A thermodynamic system that can exchange energy but not matter with its surroundings.

Coated vesicle. A membranous intracellular transport vesicle that is encased by clathrin or another coat protein.

Coding strand. See sense strand.

Codon. The sequence of three nucleotides in DNA or RNA that specifies a single amino acid.

Coenzyme. A small organic molecule that is required for the catalytic activity of an enzyme. A coenzyme may be either a cosubstrate or a prosthetic group.

Coenzyme Q (CoQ). An isoprenoid that functions in electron-transport pathways as a lipid-soluble electron carrier. Also called ubiquinone.

Cofactor. A small organic molecule (coenzyme) or metal ion that is required for the catalytic activity of an enzyme.

Coiled coil. An arrangement of polypeptide chains in which two α helices wind around each other, as in α keratin.

Cointegrate. The product of the fusion of two plasmids, which occurs as an intermediate in transposition.

Colligative property. A physical property, such as freezing point depression or osmotic pressure, that depends on the concentration of a dissolved substance rather than on its chemical nature.

Colony hybridization. A procedure in which DNA from multiple cell colonies is transferred to a membrane or filter and incubated with a DNA or RNA probe to test for the presence of a desired DNA fragment in the cell colonies. Also called *in situ* hybridization.

Combinatorial chemistry. A method for rapidly and inexpensively synthesizing large numbers of related compounds by systematically varying a portion of their structure.

Compartmentation. The division of a cell into smaller functionally discrete systems.

Competitive inhibition. A form of enzyme inhibition in which a substance competes with the substrate for binding to the enzyme active site and thereby appears to increase its K_M.

Complementary DNA (cDNA). A DNA molecule, usually synthesized by the action of reverse transcriptase, that is complementary to an mRNA molecule.

Composite transposon. A genetic sequence that may include a variety of genes and is flanked by IS-like elements; such transposons apparently arose by the association of two independent IS elements.

Condensation reaction. The formation of a covalent bond between two molecules, during which the elements of water are lost; the reverse of hydrolysis.

Conjugate acid. The compound that forms when a base accepts a proton.

Conjugate base. The compound that forms when an acid donates a proton.

Conjugate redox pair. An electron donor and acceptor that form a half-reaction. Also called a redox couple.

Conservative replication. A hypothetical mode of DNA duplication in which the parental molecule remains intact and both strands of the daughter duplex are newly synthesized.

Conservative substitution. A change of an amino acid residue in a protein to one with similar properties, e.g., Leu to Ile or Asp to Glu.

Constant region. The C-terminal portion of an antibody (immunoglobulin) subunit, which does not exhibit the high sequence variability of the antigen-recognizing (variable) region of the antibody.

Constitutive enzyme. An enzyme that is synthesized at a more or less steady rate and that is required for basic cell function. Also called a housekeeping enzyme. See also inducible enzyme.

Contour length. The end-to-end length of a stretched-out polymer molecule.

Convergent evolution. The independent development of similar characteristics in unrelated species or proteins.

Cooperative binding. A situation in which the binding of a ligand at one site on a macromolecule affects the affinity of other sites for the same ligand. Both negative and positive cooperativity occur. See also allosteric interaction.

Corepressor. A substance that acts together with a protein repressor to block gene transcription.

Cori cycle. An interorgan metabolic pathway in which lactate produced by glycolysis in the muscles is transported via the bloodstream to the liver,

where it is used for gluconeogenesis. The resulting glucose returns to the muscles.

Cosubstrate. A coenzyme that is only transiently associated with an enzyme so that it functions as a substrate.

Coupled enzymatic reaction. A technique in which the activity of an enzyme is measured by the ability of a second enzyme to use the product of the first enzymatic reaction to produce a detectable product.

Covalent catalysis. A catalytic mechanism in which the transient formation of a covalent bond between the catalyst and a reactant lowers the free energy of a reaction's transition state.

CpG island. A cluster of CG dinucleotides located just upstream of many vertebrate genes; such sequences occur elsewhere in the genome at only one-fifth their randomly expected frequency.

Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM). A variation of the C_4 photosynthetic cycle in which CO_2 is temporarily stored as malate.

CRISPR–Cas. A prokaryotic system in which short RNA transcripts direct the hydrolysis of complementary DNA sequences; components of this system are used to alter specific eukaryotic genes.

Cristae. The invaginations of the inner mitochondrial membrane.

Crosstalk. The interactions of different signal transduction pathways through activation of the same signaling components, generation of a common second messenger, or similar patterns of target protein phosphorylation.

Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM). A technique in electron microscopy in which a sample is rapidly frozen to very low temperatures so it retains its native shape to a greater extent than in conventional electron microscopy.

C-terminus. See carboxyl terminus.

C2′-*endo*. A ribose conformation in which C2′ is displaced toward the same side of the ring as C5′.

C3′-*endo*. A ribose conformation in which C3′ is displaced toward the same side of the ring as C5′.

Curved arrow convention. A notation for indicating the movement of an electron pair in a chemical reaction by drawing a curved arrow emanating from the electrons and pointing to the electron-deficient center that attracts the electron pair.

C value. A measure of the quantity of an organism's unique genetic material.

C-value paradox. The occurrence of exceptions to the rule that an organism's DNA content (C value) is correlated with the complexity of its morphology and metabolism.

Cyanosis. A bluish skin color indicating the presence of deoxyhemoglobin in the arterial blood.

Cyclic symmetry. A type of symmetry in which the asymmetric units of a symmetric object are related by a single axis of rotation.

Cyclin. A member of a family of proteins that participate in regulating the stages of the cell cycle and whose concentrations change dramatically over the course of the cell cycle.

Cytochrome. A redox-active protein that carries electrons via a prosthetic Fe-containing heme group.

Cytochromes P450. Heme-containing monooxygenases that catalyze the addition of OH groups to drugs and toxins in order to detoxify them and facilitate their excretion.

Cytoplasm. The entire contents of a cell, excluding the nucleus.

Cytoskeleton. The network of intracellular fibers that gives a cell its shape and structural rigidity.

Cytosol. The contents of a cell excluding its nucleus and other membrane-bounded organelles.

D. Dalton, a unit of molecular mass; 1/12th the mass of a ^{12}C atom.

D arm. A conserved stem–loop structure in a tRNA molecule that usually contains the modified base dihydrouracil.

Dark reactions. The portion of photosynthesis in which NADPH and ATP produced by the light reactions are used to incorporate CO_2 into carbohydrates.

ddNTP. An abbreviation for any dideoxynucleoside triphosphate.

Deamination. The hydrolytic removal of an amino group.

Debranching. The enzymatic removal of side chains from a branched polymer such as glycogen.

Degenerate code. A code in which more than one "word" encodes the same entity.

$\Delta\mathscr{E}$. Electromotive force. Change in reduction potential.

$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$. See free energy of activation.

$\Delta\Psi$. See membrane potential.

Denature. To disrupt the native conformation of a polymer.

Density gradient. A solution used in ultracentrifugation, in which the concentration of a substance such as sucrose or CsCl increases from the top to the bottom of the centrifuge tube.

Deoxynucleotide. See deoxyribonucleotide.

Deoxyribonucleic acid. See DNA.

Deoxyribonucleotide. A nucleotide in which the pentose is 2′-deoxyribose. Also known as a deoxynucleotide.

Deoxy sugar. A monosaccharide produced by replacement of an OH group by H.

Desaturase. An enzyme that introduces double bonds into a fatty acid.

Desensitization. A cell's or organism's adaptation to a long-term stimulus through a reduced response to the stimulus.

Dextrorotatory. Rotating the plane of plane-polarized light clockwise from the point of view of the observer; the opposite of levorotatory.

Diabetes mellitus. A disease in which the pancreas does not secrete sufficient insulin (also called type 1, insulin-dependent, or juvenile-onset diabetes) or in which the body has insufficient response to circulating insulin (type 2, non-insulin-dependent, or maturity-onset diabetes). Diabetes is characterized by elevated levels of glucose in the blood.

Dialysis. A procedure in which solvent molecules and solutes smaller than the pores in a semipermeable membrane freely exchange with the bulk medium, while larger solutes are retained, thereby changing the solution in which the larger molecules are dissolved.

Diazotroph. A bacterium that can fix nitrogen.

Dideoxy method. See chain-terminator procedure.

Diet-induced thermogenesis. See thermogenesis.

Diffraction pattern. The record of the destructive and constructive interferences of radiation scattered from an object. In X-ray crystallography, this takes the form of a series of discrete spots resulting from a collimated beam of X-rays scattering from a single crystal.

Diffusion. The transport of molecules through their random movement.

Diffusion-controlled limit. The theoretical maximum rate of an enzymatic reaction in solution; about 10^8 to $10^9\ M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$.

Dihedral angle. See torsion angle.

Dihedral symmetry. A type of symmetry in which the asymmetric units are related by a twofold rotation axis that intersects another rotation axis at a right angle.

Dimer. An assembly consisting of two monomeric units (protomers).

Dinucleotide binding fold. A protein structural motif consisting of two βαβαβ units, which binds a dinucleotide such as NAD^+. Also called a Rossmann fold.

Dipeptide. A polypeptide consisting of two amino acids.

Diploid. Having two equivalent sets of chromosomes.

Dipolar ion. A compound bearing oppositely charged groups. Also called a zwitterion.

Disaccharide. A carbohydrate consisting of two monosaccharides linked by a glycosidic bond.

Dissociation constant (*K*). The ratio of the products of the concentrations of the dissociated species to those of their parent compounds at equilibrium.

DNA. Deoxyribonucleic acid. A polymer of deoxynucleotides whose sequence of bases encodes genetic information in all living cells.

DNA chip. See DNA microarray.

DNA fingerprinting. A technique for distinguishing individuals on the basis of DNA polymorphisms, such as the number of short tandem repeats (STR).

DNA microarray. A set of DNA segments of known sequence that are immobilized on a solid support for the purpose of hybridizing them with nucleic acids in test samples. Also called a DNA chip.

dNTP. A deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate.

Dolichol. A long-chain polyisoprenoid that serves as a lipid-soluble carrier of an *N*-linked oligosaccharide during its synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum.

Domain. A group of one or a few polypeptide segments of about 40–200 residues that folds into a globular unit.

Double-displacement reaction. A reaction in which a substrate binds and a product is released in the first stage, and another substrate binds and another product is released in the second stage.

Double-reciprocal plot. See Lineweaver–Burk plot.

Drug–drug interactions. Increases or decreases in the bioavailability of a drug caused by the metabolic effects of another drug.

$\mathscr{E}$. See reduction potential.

EC classification. The Enzyme Commission's system for classifying and numbering enzymes according to the type of reaction catalyzed.

Edman degradation. A chemical reaction that is used for the stepwise removal and identification of the N-terminal residues of a polypeptide.

EF hand. A widespread helix–loop–helix structural motif that forms a Ca^{2+}-binding site.

Eicosanoids. C_{20} compounds derived from the C_{20} fatty acid arachidonic acid and which act as local mediators. Prostaglandins, prostacyclins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes, and lipoxins are eicosanoids.

Electrochemical cell. A device in which two half-reactions occur in separate compartments linked by a wire for transporting electrons and a salt bridge for maintaining electrical neutrality; the simultaneous activity of the half-reactions forms a complete oxidation–reduction reaction.

Electrochemical potential. The partial molar free energy of a substance (chemical potential) in the presence of an electrical potential.

Electromotive force (emf). $\Delta\mathscr{E}$. Change in reduction potential.

Electron crystallography. A technique for determining molecular structure, in which the electron beam of an electron microscope is used to elicit diffraction from a two-dimensional crystal of the molecules of interest.

Electron density. The distribution of electrons that gives rise to a diffraction pattern in X-ray crystallography.

Electron Transport. The metabolic pathway through which NADH and $FADH_2$ are oxidized by O_2 with the concomitant translocation of protons through the membrane.

Electrospray ionization (ESI). A method for vaporizing macromolecules for their mass spectrometry in which a macromolecular solution is sprayed from a narrow capillary at high voltage to produce fine, highly charged droplets that rapidly evaporate, leaving the now charged macromolecule in the gas phase.

Electrostatic catalysis. A catalytic mechanism in which the distribution of charges about the catalytic site lowers the free energy of a reaction's transition state.

Elementary reaction. A simple one-step chemical process, several of which may occur in sequence in a chemical reaction.

Elongase. An enzyme that adds acetyl units to a fatty acid previously synthesized by fatty acid synthase.

Elongation factor. A protein that interacts with tRNA and/or the ribosome during polypeptide synthesis.

Eluant. The solution used to wash material through a chromatographic column.

Elution. The process of dislodging a molecule that has bound to a chromatographic matrix.

Emergent property. A property of a complex system that is not attributable to any of its individual components but becomes apparent when all components are present.

emf. Electromotive force. Change in reduction potential.

Enantiomers. Molecules that are nonsuperimposable mirror images of one another. Enantiomers are a type of stereoisomer.

Endergonic process. A process that has an overall positive free energy change (a nonspontaneous process).

Endocrine gland. A tissue in higher animals that synthesizes and releases hormones into the bloodstream.

Endocytosis. The internalization of extracellular material through the formation of a vesicle that buds off from the plasma membrane; the opposite of exocytosis. See also receptor-mediated endocytosis.

Endoglycosidase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of the glycosidic bonds between two monosaccharide units within a polysaccharide.

Endonuclease. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of the phosphodiester bonds between two nucleotide residues within a polynucleotide strand.

Endopeptidase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of a peptide bond within a polypeptide chain.

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER). A labyrinthine membranous organelle in eukaryotic cells in which membrane lipids are synthesized and proteins destined for secretion, membrane insertion, and residence in certain organelles undergo posttranslational modification.

Endosome. A membrane-bounded vesicle that receives materials that the cell ingests via receptor-mediated endocytosis and passes them to lysosomes for degradation.

Enediol intermediate. A reaction intermediate containing a carbon–carbon double bond and a hydroxyl group attached to each carbon.

Energy coupling. The conservation of the free energy of electron transport in a form that can be used to synthesize ATP from ADP + P_i.

Enhanceosome. A complex containing several transcription factors that regulates gene expression in eukaryotes.

Enhancer. A eukaryotic DNA sequence located some distance from the transcription start site, where an activator of transcription may bind.

Enthalpy (*H*). A thermodynamic quantity, $H = U + PV$, that is equivalent to the heat absorbed at constant pressure (q_P).

Entropy (*S*). A measure of the degree of randomness or disorder of a system. It is defined as $S = k_B \ln W$, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and W is the number of equivalent ways the system can be arranged in its particular state.

Enzyme. A biological catalyst. Most enzymes are proteins; a few are RNA.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). A technique in which a molecule is detected, and in many cases quantified, by its ability to bind an antibody to which an enzyme with an easily detected reaction product is attached.

Epigenetics. The inheritance of patterns of gene expression that are maintained from generation to generation independent of DNA's base sequence. This occurs, for example, through the methylation of DNA.

Epimers. Sugars that differ only by the configuration at one C atom (excluding the anomeric carbon).

Equatorial substituent. A group that extends largely in the plane of the ring to which it is bonded. See also axial substituent.

Equilibrium. The point in a process at which the forward and reverse reaction rates are exactly balanced so that it undergoes no net change.

Equilibrium constant (K_{eq}). The ratio, at equilibrium, of the product of the concentrations of reaction products to those of its reactants. K_{eq} is related to $\Delta G°$ for the reaction: $\Delta G° = -RT \ln K_{eq}$. Usually abbreviated *K*.

ER. See endoplasmic reticulum.

Erythrocyte. A red blood cell, which functions to transport O_2 to the tissues. It is essentially a membranous sack of hemoglobin.

ES complex. The enzyme–substrate complex, whose formation is a key component of the Michaelis–Menten model of enzyme action. Also called the Michaelis complex.

E site. See exit site.

Essential amino acid. An amino acid that an animal cannot synthesize and must therefore obtain in its diet.

Essential fatty acid. A required fatty acid that an animal cannot synthesize and must therefore obtain in its diet.

EST. See expressed sequence tag.
Estrogen. A steroid that functions primarily as a female sex hormone.
Eubacteria. One of the two major groups of prokaryotes (the other is archaea).
Euchromatin. The transcriptionally active, relatively uncondensed chromatin in a eukaryotic cell.
Eukarya. See eukaryote.
Eukaryote. An organism consisting of a cell (or cells) whose genetic material is contained in a membrane-bounded nucleus.
Evolution. The gradual alteration of an organism or one of its components through natural selection as a result of genetic changes that are passed from parent to offspring.
Exciton transfer. A mode of decay of an energetically excited molecule, in which electronic energy is transferred to a nearby unexcited molecule. Also known as resonance energy transfer.
Exergonic process. A process that has an overall negative free energy change (a spontaneous process).
Exit site (E site). The ribosomal binding site that accommodates a tRNA molecule that has previously transferred its peptidyl group to an incoming aminoacyl–tRNA and is ready to dissociate from the ribosome.
Exocytosis. The release outside the cell of a vesicle's contents through the fusion of the vesicle membrane with the plasma membrane; the opposite of endocytosis.
Exoglycosidase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolytic excision of a monosaccharide unit from the end of a polysaccharide.
Exome. A complete set of a cell's mRNAs.
Exon. A portion of a gene that appears in both the primary and mature mRNA transcripts. Also called an expressed sequence.
Exonuclease. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolytic excision of a nucleotide residue from one end of a polynucleotide strand.
Exopeptidase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolytic excision of an amino acid residue from one end of a polypeptide chain.
Expressed sequence. See exon.
Expressed sequence tag (EST). A cDNA segment corresponding to a cellular mRNA, that can be used to identify genes that are transcribed.
Expression vector. A plasmid containing the transcription and translation control sequences required for the production of a foreign DNA gene product (RNA or protein) in a host cell.
Extinction coefficient. See absorptivity.
Extrinsic protein. See peripheral protein.

$\mathcal{F}$. Faraday, the electrical charge of one mole of electrons (96,485 $C \cdot mol^{-1}$).
Fab fragment. A proteolytic fragment of an antibody molecule that contains the antigen-binding site. See also Fc fragment.
Facilitated diffusion. See passive-mediated transport.
Familial hypercholesterolemia. See hypercholesterolemia.
Fat. A mixture of triacylglycerols that is solid at room temperature.
Fatty acid. A carboxylic acid with a long-chain hydrocarbon side group.
Fc fragment. A proteolytic fragment of an antibody molecule that contains the two C-terminal domains of its two heavy chains. See also Fab fragment.
Feedback inhibition. The inhibition of an early step in a reaction sequence by the product of a later step.
Feedforward activation. The activation of a later step in a reaction sequence by the product of an earlier step.
Fibrous protein. A protein characterized by a stiff, elongated conformation, that tends to form fibers.
First-order reaction. A reaction whose rate is proportional to the concentration of a single reactant.
Fischer convention. A system for describing the absolute configurations of chiral molecules by relating their structures to that of D- or L-glyceraldehyde.
Fischer projection. A graphical convention for specifying molecular configuration in which horizontal lines represent bonds that extend above the plane of the drawing and vertical lines represent bonds that extend below the plane of the drawing.
FISH. See fluorescence *in situ* hybridization.
5′ end. The terminus of a polynucleotide whose C5′ is not esterified to another nucleotide residue.
Flip-flop. See transverse diffusion.
Flippase. An enzyme that catalyzes the translocation of a membrane lipid across a lipid bilayer (a flip-flop).
Fluid mosaic model. A model of biological membranes in which integral membrane proteins float and diffuse laterally in a fluid lipid bilayer.
Fluorescence. A mode of decay of an excited molecule, in which electronic energy is emitted in the form of a photon.
Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH). A microscopic technique in which a fluorescently labeled DNA or RNA probe is allowed to bind to a complementary segment of chromosomal DNA in an intact cell.
Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). A technique for assessing the diffusion of membrane components from the rate at which the fluorescently labeled component moves into an area previously bleached by an intense pulse of laser light.
Fluorophore. A fluorescent group or molecule.
Flux. (1) The rate of flow of metabolites through a metabolic pathway, J. (2) The rate of transport per unit area.
Footprinting. A procedure in which the DNA sequence to which a protein binds is identified by determining which bases are protected by the protein from chemical or enzymatic modification.
Fractional saturation (Y). The fraction of a protein's ligand-binding sites that are occupied by ligand. For example, Y_{O_2} is the fractional saturation of a protein's oxygen-binding sites.
Fractionation procedure. A laboratory technique for separating the components of a mixture of molecules through differences in their chemical and physical properties.
Frameshift mutation. An insertion or deletion of nucleotides in DNA that alters the sequential reading (the frame) of sets of three nucleotides (codons) during translation.
FRAP. See fluorescence recovery after photobleaching.
Free energy (G). A thermodynamic quantity, $G = H - TS$, whose change at constant pressure is indicative of the spontaneity of a process. For spontaneous processes, $\Delta G < 0$, whereas for a process at equilibrium, $\Delta G = 0$. Also called the Gibbs free energy.
Free energy of activation ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$). The free energy of the transition state minus the free energies of the reactants in a chemical reaction.
Free radical. A molecule with an unpaired electron.
Functional group. A portion of a molecule that participates in interactions with other substances. Common functional groups in biochemistry are acyl, amido, amino, carbonyl, carboxyl, diphosphoryl (pyrophosphoryl), ester, ether, hydroxyl, imino, phosphoryl, and sulfhydryl groups.
Furanose. A sugar with a five-membered ring.
Futile cycle. See substrate cycle.

Ganglioside. A ceramide whose head group is an oligosaccharide containing at least one sialic acid residue.
Gap genes. See segmentation genes.
Gap junction. An intercellular channel for ions and small molecules that is formed by protein complexes in the membranes of apposed cells.
Gastrulation. The stage of embryonic development in which cells migrate to form a triple-layered structure.
Gates and fences model. A model for membrane structure that includes cytoskeletal proteins that prevent or limit the free diffusion of other membrane proteins.
Gating. The opening and closing of a transmembrane channel in response to a signal such as mechanical stimulation, ligand binding, presence of a signaling molecule, or change in membrane voltage.
Gel electrophoresis. A procedure in which macromolecules are separated on the basis of charge or size by their differential migration through a gel-like matrix under the influence of an applied electric field. In polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), the matrix is cross-linked polyacrylamide. Agarose gels are used to separate molecules of very

large masses, such as DNAs. See also SDS-PAGE and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.

Gel filtration chromatography. A procedure in which macromolecules are separated on the basis of their size and shape. Also called size exclusion or molecular sieve chromatography.

Gene. A unique sequence of nucleotides that encodes a polypeptide or RNA; it may include nontranscribed and nontranslated sequences, some of which have regulatory functions.

Gene cluster. A region of DNA containing multiple copies of genes, usually tRNA or rRNA genes, whose products are required in large amounts.

Gene duplication. An event, such as aberrant crossover, that gives rise to two copies of a gene on the same chromosome, each of which can then evolve independently.

Gene expression. The decoding, via transcription and translation, of the information contained in a gene to yield a functional RNA or protein product.

Gene knockout. A genetic engineering process that deletes or inactivates a specific gene in an animal.

Gene product. The RNA or protein that is encoded by a gene and that is the end point of the gene's expression through transcription and translation.

Gene therapy. The transfer of genetic material to the cells of an individual in order to produce a therapeutic effect.

General acid catalysis. A catalytic mechanism in which partial proton transfer from an acid lowers the free energy of a reaction's transition state.

General base catalysis. A catalytic mechanism in which partial proton abstraction by a base lowers the free energy of a reaction's transition state.

General transcription factor (GTF). One of a set of eukaryotic proteins that are required for the synthesis of all mRNAs.

Genetic anticipation. A pattern of inheritance of a genetic disease, in which the age of onset of symptoms decreases with each generation.

Genetic code. The correspondence between the sequence of nucleotides in a nucleic acid and the sequence of amino acids in a polypeptide; a series of three nucleotides (a codon) specifies an amino acid.

Genome. The complete set of genetic instructions in an organism.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS). A systematic examination of genetic differences between individuals with and without a disease in order to identify DNA sequence variations that might contribute to the disease.

Genomic library. A set of cloned DNA fragments representing an organism's entire genome.

Genomics. The study of the size, organization, and gene content of organisms' genomes.

Gibbs free energy. See free energy.

Globin. The polypeptide components of myoglobin and hemoglobin.

Globular protein. A water-soluble protein characterized by a compact, highly folded structure.

Glucocorticoid. A steroid hormone that affects a range of metabolic pathways and the inflammatory response.

Gluconeogenesis. The synthesis of glucose from noncarbohydrate precursors.

Glucose–alanine cycle. An interorgan metabolic pathway that transports nitrogen to the liver, in which pyruvate produced by glycolysis in the muscles is converted to alanine and transported to the liver. There the alanine is converted back to pyruvate and its amino group is used to synthesize urea for excretion. The pyruvate is converted, via gluconeogenesis, to glucose, which is returned to the muscles.

Glucose–fatty acid cycle. The downregulation of glycolysis by fatty acid oxidation, caused by the acetyl-CoA–induced inhibition of phosphofructokinase by citrate. Also called the Randle cycle (although it is not actually a cycle).

Glycan. See polysaccharide.

Glycerophosphate shuttle. A metabolic pathway that uses the interconversion of dihydroxyacetone phosphate and 3-phosphoglycerol to transport cytosolic reducing equivalents into the mitochondria.

Glycerophospholipid. An amphiphilic lipid in which two fatty acyl groups are attached to a glycerol-3-phosphate whose phosphate group is linked to a polar group. Also called a phosphoglyceride.

Glycoconjugate. A molecule, such as a glycolipid or glycoprotein, that contains covalently linked carbohydrate.

Glycoforms. Glycoproteins that differ in the sequence, location, and number of covalently attached carbohydrates.

Glycogen. An $\alpha(1\rightarrow6)$ branched polymer of $\alpha(1\rightarrow4)$-linked glucose residues that serves as a glucose storage molecule in animals.

Glycogenolysis. The enzymatic degradation of glycogen to glucose-6-phosphate.

Glycogen storage disease. An inherited disorder of glycogen metabolism affecting the size and structure of glycogen molecules or their mobilization in the muscle and/or liver.

Glycolipid. A lipid to which carbohydrate is covalently attached.

Glycolysis. The 10-reaction pathway by which glucose is broken down to 2 pyruvate with the concomitant production of 2 ATP and the reduction of 2 NAD^+ to 2 NADH.

Glycomics. The study of the structures and functions of all of a cell's carbohydrates, including large glycans and the small oligosaccharides of glycoproteins.

Glycoprotein. A protein to which carbohydrate is covalently attached.

Glycosaminoglycan. An unbranched polysaccharide consisting of alternating residues of uronic acid and hexosamine.

Glycosidic bond. The covalent linkage (acetal or ketal) between the anomeric carbon of a saccharide and an alcohol (*O*-glycosidic bond) or an amine (*N*-glycosidic bond). Glycosidic bonds link the monosaccharide residues of a polysaccharide.

Glycosylation. The attachment of carbohydrate chains to a protein or lipid through *N*- or *O*-glycosidic linkages.

Glyoxysome. A membrane-bounded plant organelle in which the reactions of the glyoxylate cycle take place. It is a specialized type of peroxisome.

Golgi apparatus. A eukaryotic organelle consisting of a set of flattened membranous sacs in which newly synthesized proteins and lipids are modified.

Gout. A disease characterized by elevated levels of uric acid, usually the result of impaired uric acid excretion. Its most common manifestation is painful arthritic joint inflammation caused by the deposition of sodium urate.

GPCR. G-protein–coupled receptor, a cell-surface protein with seven transmembrane helices that interacts with an associated G protein on ligand binding.

GPI-linked protein. A protein that is anchored in a membrane via a covalently linked glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) group.

G protein. A guanine nucleotide–binding protein, most of which are involved in signal transduction, that is inactive when it binds GDP and active when it binds GTP. The GTPase activity of the G protein limits its own activity. Heterotrimeric G proteins consist of three subunits, which dissociate to form G_α (to which GTP binds) and $G_{\beta\text{-}\gamma}$ components on activation.

G-quartet. A cyclic tetramer of hydrogen-bonded guanine groups. Stacks of G-quartets result from the antiparallel association of G-rich telomeric DNA hairpin structures.

Gram-negative bacterium. A bacterium that does not take up Gram stain, indicating that its cell wall is surrounded by a complex outer membrane that excludes Gram stain.

Gram-positive bacterium. A bacterium that takes up Gram stain, indicating that its outermost layer is a cell wall.

Grana (*sing*. granum). The stacked disks of the thylakoid in a chloroplast.

gRNA. See guide RNA.

Group I intron. An intron in an rRNA molecule whose self-splicing reaction requires a guanine nucleotide and generates a cyclized intron product.

Group II intron. An intron in a eukaryotic rRNA molecule whose self-splicing reaction does not require a free nucleotide and generates a lariat intron product.

Growth factor. A protein hormone that stimulates the proliferation and differentiation of its target cells.
GTF. See general transcription factor.
GTPase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of GTP to GDP + P_i.
Guide RNA (gRNA). Small RNA molecules that pair with an immature mRNA to direct its posttranscriptional editing.
GWAS. See genome-wide association study.

H. See enthalpy.
Half-life. See half-time.
Half-reaction. The single oxidation or reduction process, involving an electron donor and its conjugate electron acceptor, that occurs in electrical cells but requires direct contact with another such reaction to form a complete oxidation–reduction reaction.
Half-time ($t_{1/2}$). The time required for half the reactant initially present to undergo reaction. Also called half-life.
Halobacteria. Bacteria that thrive in (and may require) high salinity.
Haploid. Having one set of unique chromosomes.
HAT. See histone acetyltransferase.
Haworth projection. A representation of a sugar ring in which ring bonds that project in front of the plane of the paper are drawn as thick lines and ring bonds that project behind the plane of the paper are drawn as thin lines.
HDL. High density lipoprotein; see lipoprotein.
Heat shock protein (Hsp). See molecular chaperone.
Helicase. An enzyme that unwinds a double-stranded nucleic acid.
Helix cap. A protein structural element in which the side chain of a residue preceding or succeeding a helix folds back to form a hydrogen bond with the backbone of one of the helix's four terminal residues.
Helix–turn–helix (HTH) motif. An ~20-residue protein motif that forms two α helices that cross at an angle of ~120°. The motif, which occurs in numerous prokaryotic DNA-binding proteins, binds in DNA's major groove to specific base sequences.
Heme. A porphyrin derivative whose central Fe(II) atom is the site of reversible oxygen binding (in myoglobin and hemoglobin) or oxidation–reduction (in cytochromes).
Hemiacetal. The product of the reaction between an alcohol and the carbonyl group of an aldehyde.
Hemiketal. The product of the reaction between an alcohol and the carbonyl group of a ketone.
Hemolytic anemia. Loss of red blood cells through their lysis (destruction) in the bloodstream.
Henderson–Hasselbalch equation. The mathematical expression of the relationship between the pH of a solution of a weak acid and its p*K*: $pH = pK + \log([A^-]/[HA])$.
Heptad repeat. A repeating sequence, *a-b-c-d-e-f-g,* in an α-helical polypeptide in which residues *a* and *d* are hydrophobic and thus line one face of the helix, thereby inducing the formation of a coiled coil with a second similar helix.
Heterochromatin. Highly condensed, nonexpressed eukaryotic DNA.
Heterogeneous nuclear RNA (hnRNA). Eukaryotic mRNA primary transcripts whose introns have not yet been excised.
Heterologous DNA. A segment of DNA consisting of imperfectly complementary strands.
Heterolytic cleavage. Cleavage of a bond in which one of two chemically bonded atoms acquires both of the electrons that formed the bond.
Heteropolysaccharide. A polysaccharide consisting of more than one type of monosaccharide.
Heterotrimeric G protein. See G protein.
Heterotroph. An organism that obtains free energy from the oxidation of organic compounds produced by other organisms.
Heterozygous. Having one each of two gene variants.
Hexose monophosphate shunt. See pentose phosphate pathway.
"High-energy" intermediate. A substance whose degradation is highly exergonic (yields at least as much free energy as is required to synthesize ATP from ADP + P_i; $\geq$30.5 kJ · mol^{-1} under standard biochemical conditions). Also called an "energy-rich" compound.
High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). An automated chromatographic procedure for fractionating molecules using precisely fabricated matrix materials and pressurized flows of precisely mixed solvents.
Highly repetitive DNA. Clusters of nearly identical sequences of up to 10 bp that are repeated thousands of times; the sequences are present at $>10^6$ copies per haploid genome. Also known as short tandem repeats (STRs).
Hill equation. A mathematical expression for the degree of saturation of ligand binding to a molecule with multiple binding sites as a function of the ligand concentration.
Histone acetyltransferase (HAT). An enzyme that catalyzes the sequence-specific acetylation of histones to regulate gene transcription.
Histone code. The correlation between the pattern of histone modification and the transcriptional activity of the associated DNA.
Histones. Highly conserved basic proteins that constitute the protein core to which DNA is bound to form a nucleosome.
HIV. Human immunodeficiency virus, the causative agent of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS).
HMG protein. A member of the high mobility group (HMG) of nonhistone chromosomal proteins whose abundant charged groups give them high electrophoretic mobility.
hnRNA. See heterogeneous nuclear RNA.
Holliday junction. The four-stranded structure that forms as an intermediate in DNA recombination.
Holoenzyme. A catalytically active enzyme–cofactor complex.
Homeobox. See homeodomain.
Homeodomain. An ~60-amino acid DNA-binding motif common to many genes that specify the identities and fates of embryonic cells; such genes encode transcription factors. Also called a homeobox.
Homeostasis. The maintenance of a steady state in an organism. See also metabolic homeostasis.
Homeotic selector genes. Insect genes that specify the identities of body segments.
Homolactic fermentation. The reduction of pyruvate to lactate with the concomitant oxidation of NADH to NAD^+.
Homologous end-joining. A pathway in which DNA with double-strand breaks is repaired nonmutagenically through recombination with an intact homologous chromosome.
Homologous proteins. Proteins that resemble each other due to their evolution from a common ancestor.
Homologous recombination. See recombination.
Homology-directed repair (HDR). See homologous end-joining.
Homolytic cleavage. Cleavage of a bond in which each participating atom acquires one of the electrons that formed the bond.
Homopolysaccharide. A polysaccharide consisting of one type of monosaccharide unit.
Homozygous. Having two identical copies of a particular gene.
Hoogsteen base pair. A form of base pairing in which thymine or uracil atom N3 hydrogen bonds to adenine atom N7 and adenine N6 hydrogen bonds to thymine or uracil O4. See also Watson–Crick base pair.
Hormone. A substance (e.g., a peptide or a steroid) that is secreted by one tissue and induces a physiological response (e.g., growth and metabolism) in other tissues.
Hormone response element (HRE). A DNA sequence to which a hormone–receptor complex binds so as to enhance or repress the transcription of an associated gene.
Hormone-sensitive lipase. An adipose tissue enzyme that releases fatty acids from triacylglycerols in response to a hormonally generated increase in cAMP. Also known as hormone-sensitive triacylglycerol lipase.
***Hox* gene.** A gene encoding a transcription factor that includes a homeodomain and which specifies segmental identity.
HRE. See hormone response element.
HTH motif. See helix–turn–helix motif.
Humoral immunity. Immunity mediated by antibodies (immunoglobulins) produced by B lymphocytes (B cells).

Hybridization. The formation of double-stranded segments of complementary DNA and/or RNA sequences.

Hybridoma. The cell clones produced by the fusion of an antibody-producing lymphocyte and an immortal myeloma cell. These are the cells that produce monoclonal antibodies.

Hydration. The molecular state of being surrounded by and interacting with several layers of solvent water molecules, that is, solvated by water.

Hydrogen bond. A largely electrostatic interaction between a weakly acidic donor group such as O—H or N—H and a weakly basic acceptor atom such as O or N.

Hydrolysis. The cleavage of a covalent bond accomplished by adding the elements of water; the reverse of a condensation.

Hydronium ion. A proton associated with a water molecule, H_3O^+.

Hydropathy. A measure of the combined hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of an amino acid residue; it is indicative of the likelihood of finding that residue in a protein interior.

Hydrophilic substance. A substance whose high polarity allows it to readily interact with water molecules and thereby dissolve in water.

Hydrophobic collapse. A driving force in protein folding, resulting from the tendency of hydrophobic residues to avoid contact with water and hence form the protein core.

Hydrophobic effect. The tendency of water to minimize its contacts with nonpolar substances, thereby inducing the substances to aggregate.

Hydrophobic interaction chromatography. A procedure in which molecules are selectively retained on a nonpolar matrix by virtue of their hydrophobicity.

Hydrophobic substance. A substance whose nonpolar nature reduces its ability to be solvated by water molecules. Hydrophobic substances tend to be soluble in nonpolar solvents but not in water.

Hydroxide ion. OH^-, a product of the ionization of a water molecule.

Hyperammonemia. Elevated levels of ammonia in the blood, a toxic situation.

Hypercholesterolemia. High levels of cholesterol in the blood, a risk factor for heart disease. Familial hypercholesterolemia usually results from an inherited defect in the LDL receptor.

Hyperchromic effect. The increase in duplex DNA's ultraviolet absorbance resulting from the loss of stacking interactions as the DNA denatures.

Hyperglycemia. Elevated levels of glucose in the blood.

Hyperpolarization. The decrease of membrane potential below the resting state that occurs during the latter portion of an action potential in nerve cells.

Hypervariable residue. An amino acid residue occupying a position in a protein that is occupied by many different residues among evolutionarily related proteins. The opposite of a hypervariable residue is an invariant residue.

Hypoxia. A condition in which the oxygen level in the blood is lower than normal.

I-cell disease. A hereditary deficiency in a lysosomal hydrolase that causes the accumulation of glycosaminoglycan and glycolipid inclusions in the lysosomes.

IDL. Intermediate density lipoprotein; see lipoprotein.

Ig. Immunoglobulin. See antibody.

Imaginal disk. A patch of apparently undifferentiated but developmentally committed cells in an insect larva that ultimately gives rise to a specific external structure in the adult.

Immune system. The cells and organs that respond to infection by producing antibodies and by killing pathogens and infected host cells.

Immunoaffinity chromatography. A procedure in which a molecule is separated from a mixture of other molecules by its ability to bind specifically to an immobilized antibody.

Immunoassay. A procedure for detecting, and in some cases quantifying, the activity of a macromolecule by using an antibody or mixture of antibodies that reacts specifically with that substance.

Immunoblot. A technique in which a molecule immobilized on a membrane filter can be detected through its ability to bind to an antibody directed against it. A Western blot is an immunoblot to detect an immobilized protein after electrophoresis.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. A technique in microscopy in which a fluorescent-tagged antibody is used to reveal the presence of the antigen to which it binds.

Immunoglobulin (Ig). See antibody.

Immunoglobulin fold. A disulfide-linked domain consisting of a sandwich of a three-stranded and a four-stranded antiparallel β sheet that occurs in antibody molecules and other proteins.

Inactivator. An inhibitor that reacts irreversibly with an enzyme to inactivate it.

Indirect readout. The ability of a DNA-binding protein to detect its target base sequence through the sequence-dependent conformation and/or flexibility of its DNA backbone rather than through direct interaction with its bases.

Induced fit. An interaction between a protein and its ligand, which induces a conformational change in the protein that increases the protein's affinity for the ligand.

Inducer. A substance that facilitates gene expression.

Inducible enzyme. An enzyme that is synthesized only when required by the cell. See also constitutive enzyme.

Inhibition constant (K_I). The dissociation constant for an enzyme–inhibitor complex.

Inhibitor. A substance that reduces an enzyme's activity by affecting its substrate binding or turnover number.

Initiation factor. A protein that interacts with mRNA and/or the ribosome and which is required to initiate translation.

Insertion sequence. A simple transposon that is flanked by short inverted repeats. Also called an IS element.

Insertion/deletion mutation. A genetic change resulting from the addition or loss of nucleotides; also called an indel.

***in situ* hybridization.** See colony hybridization.

Insulator. A segment of DNA that delimits the effective range of a transcription-regulating element.

Insulin resistance. The decreased ability of cells to respond to insulin, which results in a decrease in their ability to take up glucose.

Integral protein. A membrane protein that is embedded in the lipid bilayer and can be separated from it only by treatment with agents that disrupt membranes. Also called an intrinsic protein.

Intercalation agent. A substance, usually a planar aromatic cation, that slips in between the stacked bases of a double-stranded polynucleotide.

Interfacial activation. The increase in activity when a lipid-specific enzyme contacts the lipid–water interface.

Intermembrane space. The compartment between the inner and outer mitochondrial membranes. Because of the porosity of the outer membrane, the intermembrane space is equivalent to the cytosol in its small-molecule composition.

Internal conversion. A mode of decay of an excited molecule, in which electronic energy is converted to heat (the kinetic energy of molecular motion).

Intervening sequence. See intron.

Intrinsic protein. See integral protein.

Intrinsic terminator. A conserved G + C-rich hairpin followed by a U-rich segment that causes Rho-independent transcription termination in *E. coli*.

Intron. A portion of a gene that is transcribed but excised prior to translation. Also called an intervening sequence.

Invariant residue. A residue in a protein that is the same in all evolutionarily related proteins. The opposite of an invariant residue is a hypervariable residue.

***in vivo*.** In a living organism.

Ion exchange chromatography. A fractionation procedure in which ions are selectively retained by a matrix bearing oppositely charged groups.

Ion pair. An electrostatic interaction between two ionic groups of opposite charge. In proteins, it is also called a salt bridge.

Ionophore. An organic molecule, often an antibiotic, that increases the permeability of a membrane to a particular ion. A carrier ionophore diffuses with its ion through the membrane, whereas a channel-forming ionophore forms a transmembrane pore.
Iron–sulfur protein. A protein that contains a prosthetic group consisting most commonly of equal numbers of iron and sulfur ions (i.e., [2Fe–2S] and [4Fe–4S]) and that usually participates in oxidation–reduction reactions.
Ischemia. The blockage of blood flow to a tissue or organ.
IS element. See insertion sequence.
Isoaccepting tRNA. A tRNA that carries the same amino acid as another tRNA.
Isoelectric focusing (IEF). Electrophoresis through a stable pH gradient such that a charged molecule migrates to a position corresponding to its isoelectric point.
Isoelectric point (p*I*). The pH at which a molecule has no net charge and hence does not migrate in an electric field.
Isoforms. See isozymes.
Isolated system. A thermodynamic system that cannot exchange matter or energy with its surroundings.
Isomerase. An enzyme that catalyzes an isomerization reaction.
Isopeptide bond. An amide linkage between an α-carboxylate group of an amino acid and the ε-amino group of Lys, or between the α-amino group of an amino acid and the β- or γ-carboxylate group of Asp or Glu.
Isoprenoid. A lipid consisting of five-carbon units with the same carbon skeleton as isoprene.
Isoschizomers. Restriction endonucleases that cleave at the same nucleotide sequence.
Isozymes. Enzymes that catalyze the same reaction but are encoded by different genes. Also called isoforms.

JAK-STAT pathway. A signal transduction pathway involving a JAK (Janus kinase) protein and a STAT (signal tranducer and activator of transcription) protein that relays cytokine-based signals.
Jaundice. A yellowing of the skin and whites of the eyes as a result of the deposition of the heme degradation product bilirubin in those tissues. It is a symptom of liver dysfunction, bile-duct obstruction, or a high rate of red cell destruction.
Junk DNA. See selfish DNA.

***k*.** See rate constant.
k_B. Boltzmann constant (1.3807×10^{-23} J · K^{-1}); it is equivalent to R/N, where R is the gas constant and N is Avogadro's number.
kb. Kilobase pair; 1 kb = 1000 base pairs (bp).
k_{cat}. The catalytic constant for an enzymatic reaction, equivalent to the ratio of the maximal velocity (V_{max}) and the enzyme concentration ($[E]_T$). Also called the turnover number.
k_{cat}/K_M. The apparent second-order rate constant for an enzyme-catalyzed reaction; it is a measure of an enzyme's catalytic efficiency.
kD. Kilodaltons; 1000 daltons (D).
Ketogenesis. The synthesis of ketone bodies from acetyl-CoA.
Ketone bodies. Acetoacetate, D-β-hydroxybutyrate, and acetone; these compounds are produced from acetyl-CoA by the liver for use as metabolic fuels in peripheral tissues.
Ketose. A sugar whose carbonyl group is a ketone.
Ketosis. A potentially pathological condition in which ketone bodies are produced in excess of their utilization.
K_I. See inhibition constant.
Kinase. An enzyme that transfers a phosphoryl group between ATP and another molecule.
Kinase cascade. A set of reactions in which kinase-catalyzed phosphorylation activates the next kinase in a series, thereby amplifying the effect of the initial kinase.
K_M. See Michaelis constant.
K_M^{app} The apparent (observed) Michaelis constant for an enzyme-catalyzed reaction, which may differ from the true value due to the presence of an enzyme inhibitor.
Krebs cycle. See citric acid cycle.
K_w. The ionization constant of water; equal to 10^{-14}.

***L*.** See linking number.
Lactose intolerance. The inability to digest the disaccharide lactose due to a deficiency of the enzyme β-galactosidase (lactase).
Lagging strand. A newly synthesized DNA strand that extends 3′ → 5′ in the direction of travel of the replication fork. This strand is synthesized as a series of discontinuous fragments that are later joined.
Lateral diffusion. The movement of a lipid within one leaflet of a bilayer.
LBHB. See low-barrier hydrogen bond.
LDL. Low density lipoprotein; see lipoprotein.
Lead compound. A drug molecule that serves as the starting point for the development of more effective drug molecules.
Leader sequence. (1) A nucleotide sequence that precedes the coding region of an mRNA. (2) A signal sequence.
Leading strand. A newly synthesized DNA strand that extends 5′ → 3′ in the direction of travel of the replication fork. This strand is synthesized continuously.
Le Châtelier's principle. The phenomenon that a change in concentration, temperature, volume, or pressure in a system at equilibrium causes the equilibrium position to shift so as to counteract the change.
Lectin. A protein that binds to a specific saccharide.
Lesch–Nyhan syndrome. A genetic disease caused by the deficiency of hypoxanthine–guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT), an enzyme required for purine salvage reactions. Affected individuals produce excessive uric acid and exhibit neurological abnormalities.
Leucine zipper. A protein structural motif in which two α helices, each with a hydrophobic strip along one side, associate as a coiled coil. This motif, which has a Leu at nearly every seventh residue, mediates the association of many types of DNA-binding proteins.
Leukocyte. White blood cell.
Levorotatory. Rotating the plane of polarized light counterclockwise from the point of view of the observer; the opposite of dextrorotatory.
Ligand. (1) A small molecule that binds to a larger molecule. (2) A molecule or ion bound to a metal ion.
Ligand-gated channel. A channel whose opening and closing (gating) is controlled by the binding of a specific molecule (ligand). Also called a signal-gated channel.
Ligation. The joining together of two molecules such as two DNA segments.
Light-harvesting complex (LHC). A pigment-containing membrane protein that collects light energy and transfers it to a photosynthetic reaction center.
Light reactions. The portion of photosynthesis in which specialized pigment molecules capture light energy and are thereby oxidized. Electrons are transferred to generate NADPH and a transmembrane proton gradient that drives ATP synthesis.
Limited proteolysis. A technique in which a polypeptide is incompletely digested by proteases.
lincRNA. See **long** intergenic noncoding RNA.
Lineweaver–Burk plot. A graph of a rearrangement of the Michaelis–Menten equation to a linear form that permits the determination of K_M and V_{max}. Also called a double-reciprocal plot.
Linker DNA. The ~55-bp segment of DNA that links nucleosome core particles in chromatin.
Linking number (*L*). The number of times that one strand of a covalently closed circular double-stranded DNA winds around the other; it cannot be changed without breaking covalent bonds.
Lipid. Any member of a broad class of biological molecules that are largely or wholly hydrophobic and therefore tend to be insoluble in water but soluble in organic solvents such as hexane.
Lipid bilayer. See bilayer.
Lipid-linked protein. A protein that is anchored to a biological membrane via a covalently attached lipid such as a farnesyl, geranylgeranyl, myristoyl, palmitoyl, or glycosylphosphatidylinositol group.

Lipid raft. A semicrystalline region of a cell membrane containing tightly packed glycosphingolipids and cholesterol.

Lipid storage disease. A defect in a lipid-degrading enzyme that causes the substrate for the enzyme to accumulate in lysosomes.

Lipoprotein. A globular particle consisting of a nonpolar lipid core surrounded by an amphiphilic coat of protein, phospholipid, and cholesterol. Lipoproteins, which transport lipids between tissues via the bloodstream, are classified by their density as high, low, intermediate, and very low density lipoproteins (HDL, LDL, IDL, and VLDL).

Liposome. A synthetic vesicle bounded by a single lipid bilayer.

Lipoyllysyl arm. An extended structure, consisting of lipoic acid linked to a lysine side chain, that delivers intermediates between active sites in multienzyme complexes such as the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex.

London dispersion forces. The weak attractive forces between electrically neutral molecules in close proximity, which arise from electrostatic interactions among their fluctuating dipoles.

Long intervening noncoding RNA (lincRNA). Large nontranslated eukaryotic RNAs that are transcribed by RNA polymerase II.

Low-barrier hydrogen bond (LBHB). An unusually short and strong hydrogen bond that forms when the donor and acceptor groups have nearly equal p*K* values so the hydrogen atom is equally shared between them.

Lung surfactant. The amphipathic protein and lipid mixture that prevents collapse of the lung alveoli (microscopic air spaces) on the expiration of air.

Lysis. The disintegration of cells by rupturing their cell walls.

Lysophospholipid. A glycerophospholipid derivative lacking a fatty acyl group at position C2 whose detergentlike properties can disrupt cell membranes.

Lysosome. A membrane-bounded organelle in a eukaryotic cell that contains a battery of hydrolytic enzymes and which functions to digest ingested material and to recycle cell components.

Macronutrient. A nutrient that is required in relatively large amounts, such as proteins, carbohydrates, and fats. See also micronutrient.

Main chain. See backbone.

Major groove. The groove on a DNA double helix whose bounding glycosidic bonds from each base pair form an angle of >180°. In B-DNA, this groove is wider than the minor groove.

Malaria. A mosquito-borne disease caused by protozoa of the genus *Plasmodium*, most notably *Plasmodium falciparum,* which reside in red blood cells during much of their life cycle.

Malate–aspartate shuttle. A metabolic circuit that uses the malate and aspartate transporters and the interconversion of malate, oxaloacetate, and aspartate to ferry reducing equivalents into the mitochondrion.

Malignant tumor. A mass of cells that proliferate uncontrollably; a cancer.

Mass spectrometry. A technique for identifying molecules by measuring the mass-to-charge ratios of molecular ions in the gas phase.

Maternal-effect genes. Insect genes whose mRNA or protein products are deposited by the mother in the ovum and which define the polarity of the embryonic body.

Matrix. The gel-like solution of enzymes, substrates, cofactors, and ions in the interior of the mitochondrion.

Mechanism-based inhibitor. A molecule that chemically inactivates an enzyme only after undergoing part or all of its normal catalytic reaction. Also called a suicide substrate.

Mechanosensitive channel. A channel whose opening and closing (gating) is controlled by mechanical stimuli such as touch, sound, and changes in osmotic pressure.

Mediated transport. The transmembrane movement of a substance through the action of a specific carrier protein; the opposite of nonmediated transport.

Melting temperature (T_m). The midpoint temperature of the melting curve for the thermal denaturation of a macromolecule.

Membrane-enveloped virus. A virus produced by budding from the surface of a host cell such that the viral particle is surrounded by a membrane derived from the host cell.

Membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$). The electrical potential difference across a membrane.

Memory B cell. A B cell that can recognize its corresponding antigen and rapidly proliferate to produce specific antibodies weeks to years after the antigen was first encountered.

Mercaptan. A compound containing an —SH group.

Messenger RNA (mRNA). A ribonucleic acid whose sequence is complementary to that of a protein-coding gene in DNA. In the ribosome, mRNA directs the polymerization of amino acids to form a polypeptide with the corresponding sequence.

Metabolic homeostasis. The ability of an organism to maintain a balance between energy inflow and output.

Metabolic syndrome. An obesity-related disorder that includes insulin resistance, hypertension, and atherosclerosis.

Metabolism. The total of all degradative and biosynthetic cellular reactions.

Metabolite. A reactant, intermediate, or product of a metabolic reaction.

Metabolomics. The study of all the metabolites produced by a cell under a given set of conditions, including their concentrations and functions.

Metabolon. A loose complex of the enzymes that catalyze the steps of a metabolic pathway such that intermediates can be efficiently transferred between enzymes.

Metagenomic sequencing. The analysis of all the DNA sequences in a sample containing multiple organisms.

Metal chelate affinity chromatography. A procedure in which a molecule bearing metal-chelating groups is separated from a mixture of other molecules by its ability to bind to metal ions attached to a chromatographic matrix.

Metalloenzyme. An enzyme that contains a tightly bound metal ion cofactor, typically a transition metal ion such as Fe^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, or Mn^{2+}.

Methanogen. An organism that produces CH_4.

Micelle. A globular aggregate of amphiphilic molecules in aqueous solution that are oriented such that polar segments form the surface of the aggregate and the nonpolar segments form a core that is out of contact with the solvent.

Michaelis complex. See ES complex.

Michaelis constant (K_M). For an enzyme that follows the Michaelis–Menten model, $K_M = (k_{-1} + k_2)/k_1$; K_M is equal to the substrate concentration at which the reaction velocity is half-maximal.

Michaelis–Menten equation. A mathematical expression that describes the initial rate of an enzymatic reaction (v_0) in terms of the substrate concentration ([S]), the enzyme's maximal velocity (V_{max}), and its Michaelis constant (K_M): $v_0 = V_{max}[S]/(K_M + [S])$.

Microbiome. The collection of microorganisms that live in or on the human body.

Microfilament. A 70-Å-diameter cytoskeletal element composed of actin.

Microheterogeneity. The variability in carbohydrate composition in glycoproteins.

Micronutrient. A nutrient that is required in relatively small amounts, such as vitamins and minerals. See also macronutrient.

Micro RNA (miRNA). An endogenous RNA of ~22 nucleotides that inhibits gene expression through RNA interference. See also short interfering RNA (siRNA).

Mineral. An inorganic substance required for metabolic activity, including sodium, potassium, chloride, and calcium. Minerals such as iron, copper, and zinc, which are required in small amounts, are known as trace elements.

Mineralocorticoid. A steroid hormone that regulates the excretion of salt and water by the kidneys.

Minor groove. The groove on a DNA double helix whose bounding glycosidic bonds from each base pair form an angle of <180°. In B-DNA, this groove is narrower than the major groove.

(–) end. The end of a polymeric filament where growth is slower. See also (+) end.

miRNA. See micro RNA.

Mismatch repair (MMR). A postreplication process, in which mispaired nucleotides are excised and replaced, that distinguishes between the parental (correct) and daughter (incorrect) strands of DNA.

Mitochondrial matrix. See matrix.

Mitochondria (*sing*. mitochondrion). The double-membrane-enveloped eukaryotic organelles in which aerobic metabolic reactions occur, including those of the citric acid cycle, fatty acid oxidation, and oxidative phosphorylation.

Mixed inhibition. A form of enzyme inhibition in which an inhibitor binds to both the enzyme and the enzyme–substrate complex and thereby differently affects K_M and V_{max}. Also called noncompetitive inhibition.

MMR. See mismatch repair.

Moderately repetitive DNA. Segments of hundreds to thousands of base pairs that are present at $<10^6$ copies per haploid genome.

Modification methylase. A bacterial enzyme that methylates a specific sequence of DNA as part of a restriction–modification system.

Molecular chaperone. A protein that binds to unfolded or misfolded proteins to promote normal folding and the formation of native quaternary structure. Also known as a heat shock protein (Hsp).

Molecular cloning. See recombinant DNA technology.

Molecularity. The number of molecules that participate in an elementary chemical reaction.

Molecular sieve chromatography. See gel filtration chromatography.

Molecular weight. See M_r.

Molten globule. A collapsed but conformationally mobile intermediate in protein folding that has much of the native protein's secondary structure but little of its tertiary structure.

Monoclonal antibody. A single species of antibody molecule produced by a clone of hybridoma cells, which are derived by the fusion of a myeloma cell with a lymphocyte producing that antibody.

Monomer. (1) A structural unit from which a polymer is built up. (2) A single subunit or protomer of a multisubunit protein.

Monosaccharide. A carbohydrate consisting of a single saccharide (sugar).

Morphogen. A substance whose distribution in an embryo directs, in part, the embryo's developmental pattern.

Motif. See supersecondary structure.

Motor protein. An intracellular protein that couples the free energy of ATP hydrolysis to molecular movement relative to another protein that often acts as a track for the linear movement of the motor protein.

M_r. Relative molecular mass. A dimensionless quantity that is defined as the ratio of the mass of a particle to 1/12th the mass of a ^{12}C atom. Also known as molecular weight. It is numerically equal to the grams/mole of a compound.

Multienzyme complex. A group of noncovalently associated enzymes that catalyze two or more sequential steps in a metabolic pathway.

Multiple myeloma. A disease in which a cancerous B cell proliferates and produces massive quantities of a single antibody known as a myeloma protein.

Multisubunit protein. A protein consisting of more than one polypeptide chain (subunit).

Mutagen. An agent that induces a mutation in an organism.

Mutase. An enzyme that catalyzes the transfer of a functional group from one position to another on a molecule.

Mutation. A heritable alteration in an organism's genetic material.

Myocardial infarction. The death of heart tissue caused by the loss of blood supply (a heart attack).

Myofibril. The bundle of fibers that are arranged in register in striated muscle cells.

Myristoylation. The attachment of a myristoyl group to a protein to form a lipid-linked protein.

Native structure. The fully folded conformation of a macromolecule.

Natural selection. The evolutionary process by which the continued existence of a replicating entity depends on its ability to survive and reproduce under the existing conditions.

ncRNA. See noncoding RNA.

NDP. A ribonucleoside diphosphate.

Near-equilibrium reaction. A reaction whose ΔG value is close to zero, so that it can operate in either direction depending on the substrate and product concentrations.

Necrosis. Trauma-induced cell death that results in the unregulated disintegration of the cell and the release of proinflammatory substances. See also apoptosis.

Negative cooperativity. See cooperative binding.

N-end rule. The correlation between the identity of a polypeptide's N-terminal residue and its half-life in the cell.

Nernst equation. An expression of the relationship between reduction potential difference ($\Delta\mathscr{E}$) and the concentrations of the electron donors and acceptors (A, B): $\Delta\mathscr{E} = \Delta\mathscr{E}^\circ - RT/n\mathscr{F} \ln([A_{red}][B_{ox}]/[A_{ox}][B_{red}])$.

Neurotransmitter. A substance released by a nerve cell that alters the activity of a neighboring nerve cell.

Neutral drift. Evolutionary changes that become fixed at random rather than through natural selection.

Neutral solution. A solution whose pH is equal to 7.0 ($[H^+] = 10^{-7}$ M).

Nick translation. The progressive movement of a single-strand break (nick) in duplex DNA through the coordinated actions of a $5' \rightarrow 3'$ exonuclease function that removes residues from the 5′ side of the break and a polymerase function that adds residues to the 3′ side.

Nitrogen cycle. The series of reactions in which N_2 and ammonia are interconverted, often via nitrate and nitrite, by various organisms.

Nitrogen fixation. The process by which atmospheric N_2 is converted to a biologically useful form such as NH_3.

NMD. See nonsense-mediated decay.

Noncoding RNA (ncRNA). An RNA molecule, such as rRNA, tRNA, or another small RNA, that is not translated.

Noncoding strand. See antisense strand.

Noncompetitive inhibition. (1) A synonym for mixed inhibition. (2) A special case of mixed inhibition in which the inhibitor binds the enzyme and enzyme–substrate complex with equal affinities ($K_I = K_I'$), thereby reducing the apparent value of V_{max} but leaving K_M unchanged.

Noncooperative binding. A situation in which binding of a ligand to a macromolecule does not affect the affinities of other binding sites on the same molecule.

Nonessential amino acid. An amino acid that animals can synthesize from common intermediates.

Nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ). An error-prone pathway for repairing DNA with double-strand breaks.

Nonmediated transport. The transmembrane movement of a substance through simple diffusion; the opposite of mediated transport.

Nonreceptor tyrosine kinase. An intracellular tyrosine kinase that is indirectly activated by ligand binding to a receptor.

Nonsense codon. See Stop codon.

Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD). The degradation of an mRNA that contains a premature Stop codon.

Nonsense mutation. A mutation that converts a codon that specifies an amino acid to a Stop codon, thereby causing the premature termination of translation.

Nonsense suppressor tRNA. A mutated tRNA that recognizes a Stop codon so that its attached aminoacyl group is appended to the polypeptide chain; it mitigates the effect of a nonsense mutation in a structural gene.

Northern blotting. A procedure for identifying an RNA containing a particular base sequence through its ability to hybridize with a complementary single-stranded segment of DNA or RNA. See also Southern blotting.

nt. Nucleotide.

N-terminus. See amino terminus.

NTP. A ribonucleoside triphosphate.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). A spectroscopic method for characterizing atomic and molecular properties based on the signals emitted by radiofrequency-excited atomic nuclei in a magnetic field. It can be used to determine the three-dimensional molecular structure of a protein or nucleic acid.

Nuclease. An enzyme that hydrolytically degrades nucleic acids.

Nucleic acid. A polymer of nucleotide residues. The major nucleic acids are deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA). Also known as a polynucleotide.

Nucleolus (*pl.* nucleoli). The dark-staining region of the eukaryotic nucleus, where ribosomal RNA (rRNA) is transcribed and processed and where ribosomes are assembled.

Nucleoside. A compound consisting of a nitrogenous base and a five-carbon sugar (ribose or deoxyribose) in *N*-glycosidic linkage.

Nucleosome core particle. The complex of histones and ~146 bp of DNA that forms a compact disk-shaped particle in which the DNA is wound in ~2 helical turns around the outside of the histone octamer.

Nucleosome. The complex of a histone octamer and ~200 bp of DNA that forms the lowest level of DNA organization in the eukaryotic chromosome.

Nucleotide. A compound consisting of a nucleoside esterified to one or more phosphate groups. Nucleotides are the monomeric units of nucleic acids.

Nucleotide excision repair (NER). A multistep process in which a portion of DNA containing a lesion is excised and replaced by normal DNA.

Nucleotide sugar. A saccharide linked to a nucleotide by a phosphoester bond, the cleavage of which drives the formation of a glycosidic bond.

Nucleus. The membrane-enveloped organelle in which the eukaryotic cell's genetic material is located.

Nutrition. The intake and utilization of food as a source of raw materials and free energy.

OEC. See oxygen-evolving center.

Oil. A mixture of triacylglycerols that is liquid at room temperature.

Okazaki fragments. The short segments of DNA formed in the discontinuous lagging-strand synthesis of DNA.

Oligomer. (1) A short polymer consisting of a few linked monomer units. (2) A protein consisting of a few protomers (subunits).

Oligopeptide. A polypeptide containing a few amino acid residues.

Oligosaccharide. A polymeric carbohydrate containing a few monosaccharide residues.

Oncogene. A mutant version of a normal gene (a proto-oncogene), which may be acquired through viral infection; it interferes with the mechanisms that normally control cell growth and differentiation and thereby contributes to uncontrolled proliferation (cancer).

Open reading frame (ORF). A portion of the genome that potentially codes for a protein. This sequence of nucleotides begins with a Start codon, ends with a Stop codon, contains no internal Stop codons, is flanked by the proper control sequences, and exhibits the same codon-usage preference as other genes in the organism.

Open system. A thermodynamic system that can exchange matter and energy with its surroundings.

Operator. A DNA sequence at or near the transcription start site of a gene, to which a repressor binds so to control transcription of the gene.

Operon. A prokaryotic genetic unit that consists of several genes with related functions that are transcribed as a single mRNA molecule.

Optical activity. The ability of a molecule to rotate the plane of polarized light. Molecules with optical activity are chiral.

Optical density. See absorbance.

Ordered mechanism. A sequential reaction with a compulsory order of substrate addition to the enzyme.

ORF. See open reading frame.

Organelle. A differentiated structure within a eukaryotic cell, such as a mitochondrion, ribosome, or lysosome, that performs specific functions.

Organic compound. A compound that contains the element carbon.

Orphan gene. A gene, usually identified through genome sequencing, with no known function.

Orthologous genes. Evolutionarily related genes in different species that have the same function.

Orthophosphate cleavage. The hydrolysis of ATP that yields ADP + P_i.

Osmosis. The movement of solvent across a semipermeable membrane from a region of low solute concentration to a region of high solute concentration.

Osmotic pressure. The pressure that must be applied to a solution containing a high concentration of solute to prevent the net flow of solvent across a semipermeable membrane separating it from a solution with a lower concentration of solute. The osmotic pressure of a 1 M solution of any solute separated from solvent by a semipermeable membrane is ideally 22.4 atm.

Overproducer. A genetically engineered organism that produces massive quantities of a foreign DNA gene product.

Oxidation. The loss of electrons. Oxidation of a substance is accompanied by the reduction of another substance.

Oxidative phosphorylation. The process by which the free energy obtained from the oxidation of metabolic fuels is used to generate ATP from ADP + P_i.

Oxidizing agent. A substance that can accept electrons from other substances, thereby oxidizing them and becoming reduced.

Oxonium ion. A resonance-stabilized and oxygen-containing carbocation such as occurs during the lysozyme-catalyzed hydrolysis of a glycoside.

Oxyanion hole. A structure in an enzyme active site that preferentially binds and thereby stabilizes the oxyanionic tetrahedral transition state of the reaction.

Oxygen debt. The postexertion continued elevation in O_2 consumption that is required to replenish the ATP consumed by the liver during operation of the Cori cycle.

Oxygen-evolving center (OEC). The portion of photosystem II that contains a Mn_4CaO_5 complex where 2 H_2O is converted to O_2 during photosynthesis.

Pair-rule genes. See segmentation genes.

Palindrome. A word or phrase or a nucleotide sequence that reads the same forward or backward.

Palmitoylation. The attachment of a palmitoyl group to a protein to form a lipid-linked protein.

Paralogous genes. Related genes in the same organism derived from a gene duplication event.

Partial oxygen pressure (pO_2). The concentration of gaseous O_2 in units of pressure (e.g., torr).

Passive-mediated transport. The thermodynamically spontaneous carrier-mediated transmembrane movement of a substance from high to low concentration. Also called facilitated diffusion.

Pasteur effect. The greatly increased sugar consumption of yeast grown under anaerobic conditions compared to that of yeast grown under aerobic conditions.

Pathogen. A disease-causing microorganism.

P body. A complex of proteins that stores or degrades eukaryotic mRNAs.

Pellagra. The vitamin deficiency disease resulting from a lack of niacin (nicotinic acid) or nicotinamide, precursors of the nicotinamide-containing cofactors NAD^+ and $NADP^+$.

Pentose phosphate pathway. A pathway for glucose degradation that yields ribose-5-phosphate and NADPH. Also called the hexose monophosphate shunt.

Peptidase. An enzyme that hydrolyzes peptide bonds. Also called a protease.

Peptide. A polypeptide of less than about 40 residues.

Peptide bond. An amide linkage between the α-amino group of one amino acid and the α-carboxylate group of another. Peptide bonds link the amino acid residues in a polypeptide.

Peptide group. The planar —CO—NH— group that encompasses the peptide bond between amino acid residues in a polypeptide.

Peptidoglycans. The cross-linked bag-shaped macromolecules consisting of polysaccharide and polypeptide chains that form bacterial cell walls.

Peptidyl site (P site). The ribosomal site that accommodates a tRNA with an attached peptidyl group during protein synthesis.

Peptidyl–tRNA. The covalent complex between a tRNA molecule and a growing polypeptide chain during protein synthesis.

Peripheral protein. A protein that is weakly associated with the surface of a biological membrane. Also called an extrinsic protein.

Peroxisome. A eukaryotic organelle with specialized oxidative functions.

Perutz mechanism. A model for the cooperative binding of oxygen to hemoglobin, in which O_2 binding causes the protein to shift conformation from the deoxy (T state) to the oxy (R state).

PFGE. See pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.

p_{50}. For a gaseous ligand, the ligand concentration, in units of pressure (e.g., torr), at which a binding protein such as hemoglobin is half-saturated with ligand.

pH. A quantity used to express the acidity of a solution, equal to $-\log[H^+]$.

Pharmacogenomics. The study of how an individual's genetic makeup influences a drug's effectiveness.

Pharmacokinetics. The behavior of a drug in the body over time, including its tissue distribution and rate of elimination or degradation.

Phenotype. An organism's physical characteristics.

ϕ (phi). The torsion angle that describes the rotation around the C_α—N bond in a peptide group; the dihedral angle made by the bonds connecting the C—N—C_α—C atoms in a peptide chain.

Phosphagen. A phosphoguanidine whose phosphoryl group-transfer potential is greater than that of ATP; these compounds can therefore phosphorylate ADP to generate ATP.

Phosphatase. An enzyme that hydrolyzes phosphoryl ester groups. See also protein phosphatase.

Phosphatidic acid. The simplest glycerophospholipid, consisting of two fatty acyl groups attached to glycerol-3-phosphate.

Phosphodiester bond. The linkage in which a phosphate group is esterified to two alcohol groups, e.g., the phosphate groups that join the adjacent nucleoside residues in a polynucleotide.

Phosphoglyceride. See glycerophospholipid.

Phospholipase. An enzyme that hydrolyzes one or more bonds of a glycerophospholipid.

Phosphorolysis. The cleavage of a chemical bond by the substitution of a phosphate group rather than water.

Phosphoryl group-transfer potential. A measure of the tendency of a phosphorylated compound to transfer its phosphoryl group to water; the negative of its free energy of hydrolysis.

Photoautotroph. An autotrophic organism that obtains energy from sunlight.

Photon. A packet (quantum) of light energy. See also Planck's law.

Photooxidation. A mode of decay of an excited molecule, in which oxidation occurs through the transfer of an electron to an acceptor molecule.

Photophosphorylation. The synthesis of ATP from ADP + P_i coupled to the dissipation of a proton gradient that has been generated through light-driven electron transport.

Photoreactivation. The conversion of pyrimidine dimers, a form of DNA damage, to monomers using light energy.

Photorespiration. The consumption of O_2 and evolution of CO_2 by plants (a dissipation of the products of photosynthesis), resulting from the competition between O_2 and CO_2 for binding to ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase.

Photosynthesis. The reduction of CO_2 to $(CH_2O)_n$ in plants and bacteria as driven by light energy.

Photosynthetic reaction center. The pigment-containing protein complex that undergoes photooxidation during the light reactions of photosynthesis.

Phylogenetic tree. A reconstruction of the probable paths of evolution of a set of related organisms, usually based on sequence variations among their homologous proteins and nucleic acids; a sort of family tree.

Phylogeny. The study of the evolutionary relationships among organisms.

p*I*. See isoelectric point.

PIC. See preinitiation complex.

Ping Pong reaction. A group-transfer reaction in which one or more products are released before all substrates have bound to the enzyme.

Pitch. The distance a helix rises along its axis per turn; 5.4 Å for an α helix, 34 Å for B-DNA.

p*K*. A quantity used to express the tendency for an acid to donate a proton (dissociate); equal to $-\log K$, where K is the acid's dissociation constant. Also known as pK_a.

Planck's law. An expression for the energy (E) of a photon: $E = hc/\lambda = h\nu$, where c is the speed of light in vacuum, λ is its wavelength, ν is its frequency, and h is Planck's constant (6.626×10^{-34} J · s).

Plaque. (1) A region of lysed cells on a "lawn" of cultured bacteria, which indicates the presence of infectious bacteriophage. (2) A deposit of insoluble material in an animal's tissues.

Plasmalogen. A glycerophospholipid in which the C1 substituent is attached via an ether rather than an ester linkage.

Plasmid. A small circular DNA molecule that autonomously replicates in a bacterial or yeast cell. Plasmids are often modified for use as cloning vectors.

(+) end. The end of a polymeric filament where growth is faster. See also (−) end.

pmf. See protonmotive force.

Point mutation. The substitution of one base for another in DNA. Point mutations may arise from mispairing during DNA replication or from chemical alterations of existing bases.

Point mutation. The substitution of one base for another in DNA. Point mutations may arise from mispairing during DNA replication or from chemical alterations of existing bases.

Polarimeter. A device that measures the optical rotation of a solution. It can be used to determine the optical activity of a substance.

Polar molecule. A molecule with one or more groups that have permanent dipoles.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). See gel electrophoresis.

Polycythemia. A condition characterized by an increased number of erythrocytes.

Polyelectrolyte. A macromolecule that bears multiple charged groups.

Polyketide. A molecule produced by the stepwise condensation and modification of 2- to 4-carbon units, catalyzed by an enzyme containing multiple active sites arranged in modular fashion.

Polymer. A molecule consisting of numerous smaller units that are linked together in an organized manner. Polymers may be linear or branched and may consist of one or more kinds of structural units (monomers).

Polymerase. An enzyme that catalyzes the addition of nucleotide residues to a polynucleotide through nucleophilic attack of the chain's 3′-OH group on the α-phosphoryl group of the incoming nucleoside triphosphate. DNA- and RNA-directed polymerases require a template molecule with which the incoming nucleotide must base pair.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR). A procedure for amplifying a segment of DNA by repeated rounds of replication centered between primers that hybridize with the two ends of the DNA segment of interest.

Polymorphism. A variation in DNA or amino acid sequences between individuals.

Polynucleotide. See nucleic acid.

Polypeptide. A polymer consisting of amino acid residues linked in linear fashion by peptide bonds.

Polyprotic acid. A substance with more than one proton that can ionize. Polyprotic acids have multiple ionization states.

Polyribosome. An mRNA transcript bearing multiple ribosomes in the process of carrying out translation. Also called a polysome.

Polysaccharide. A polymeric carbohydrate consisting of multiple monosaccharide residues. Also called a glycan.

Polysome. See polyribosome.

Polyunsaturated fatty acid. A fatty acid that contains more than one double bond in its hydrocarbon chain.

P/O ratio. The ratio of the number of molecules of ATP synthesized from ADP + P_i to the number of atoms of oxygen reduced.

Porphyrias. Genetic defects in heme biosynthesis that result in the accumulation of porphyrins.

Positive cooperativity. See cooperative binding.

Posttranscriptional modification. The removal or addition of nucleotide residues or their modification following the synthesis of RNA.

Posttranslational processing. The removal or derivatization of amino acid residues following their incorporation into a polypeptide, or the cleavage of a polypeptide.

Prebiotic era. The period of time between the formation of the earth ~4.6 billion years ago and the appearance of living organisms at least 3.5 billion years ago.

Precursor. An entity that gives rise, through a process such as evolution or chemical reaction, to another entity.

Preinitiation complex (PIC). An assembly of eukaryotic transcription factors bound to DNA that renders the DNA available for transcription by RNA polymerase.

Prenylation. The attachment of an isoprenoid group to a protein to form a lipid-linked protein.

Preproprotein. A protein bearing both a signal peptide (preprotein) and a propeptide (proprotein).

Preprotein. A protein bearing a signal peptide that is cleaved off following the translocation of the protein through the endoplasmic reticulum membrane.

pre-rRNA. An immature rRNA transcript.

Pribnow box. The prokaryotic promoter element with the consensus sequence TATAAT that is centered at around the −10 position relative to the transcription start site.

Primary active transport. Transmembrane transport that is driven by the exergonic hydrolysis of ATP.

Primary structure. The sequence of residues in a polymer.

Primary transcript. The immediate product of transcription, which may be modified before becoming fully functional.

Primase. The RNA polymerase responsible for synthesizing the RNA segment that primes DNA synthesis.

Primer. An oligonucleotide that serves as a starting point for additional polymerization reactions catalyzed by DNA polymerase to form a polynucleotide. A primer base pairs with a segment of a template polynucleotide strand to form a short double-stranded segment that can then be extended through template-directed polymerization.

Primosome. The protein complex that synthesizes the RNA primers in DNA synthesis.

Prion. A protein whose misfolding causes it to aggregate and produce the neurodegenerative symptoms of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies and related diseases. Prions act as infectious agents by inducing their corresponding properly folded proteins to misfold and thereby form more prions.

Probe. A labeled single-stranded DNA or RNA segment that can hybridize with a DNA or RNA of interest in a screening procedure.

Processive enzyme. An enzyme that catalyzes many rounds of a polymerization reaction without dissociating from the growing polymer.

Prochirality. A property of certain nonchiral molecules such that they contain a group whose substitution by another group yields a chiral molecule.

Product inhibition. A case of enzyme inhibition in which product that accumulates during the course of the reaction competes with substrate for binding to the active site.

Proenzyme. An inactive precursor of an enzyme.

Prokaryote. A unicellular organism that lacks a membrane-bounded nucleus. All bacteria are prokaryotes.

Promoter. The DNA sequence at which RNA polymerase binds to initiate transcription.

Proofreading. An additional catalytic activity of an enzyme, which acts to correct errors made by the primary enzymatic activity.

Proprotein. The inactive precursor of a protein that, to become fully active, must undergo limited proteolysis to excise its propeptide.

Prostaglandin. See eicosanoids.

Prosthetic group. A cofactor that is permanently (often covalently) associated with an enzyme.

Protease. See peptidase.

Protein. A macromolecule that consists of one or more polypeptide chains.

Protein kinase. An enzyme that catalyzes the transfer of a phosphoryl group from ATP to the OH group of a protein Ser, Thr, or Tyr residue.

Protein phosphatase. An enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolytic excision of phosphoryl groups from proteins.

Proteoglycan. An extracellular aggregate of protein and glycosaminoglycan.

Proteomics. The study of all of a cell's proteins, including their quantitation, localization, modifications, interactions, and activities.

Protomer. One of two or more identical units of an oligomeric protein. A protomer may consist of one or more polypeptide chains.

Proton jumping. The sequential transfer of protons between hydrogen-bonded water molecules. Proton jumping is largely responsible for the rapid rate at which hydronium and hydroxyl ions appear to move through an aqueous solution.

Protonmotive force (pmf). The free energy of the electrochemical proton gradient that forms during electron transport.

Proton wire. A group of hydrogen-bonded protein groups and water molecules that serves as a conduit for protons to traverse a transmembrane protein via proton jumping.

Proto-oncogene. The normal cellular analog of an oncogene; the mutation of a proto-oncogene may yield an oncogene that contributes to uncontrolled cell proliferation (cancer).

Proximity effect. A catalytic mechanism in which a reaction's free energy of activation is reduced by the prior bringing together of its reacting groups.

Pseudo-first-order reaction. A bimolecular reaction whose rate appears to be proportional to the concentration of only a single reactant because the second reactant is present in large excess.

Pseudogene. An unexpressed sequence of DNA that is apparently the defective remnant of a duplicated gene.

ψ (psi). The torsion angle that describes the rotational position around the C_α—C bond in a peptide group; the dihedral angle made by the bonds connecting the N—C_α—C—N atoms in a peptide chain.

P site. See peptidyl site.

Pulse labeling. A technique for tracing metabolic fates, in which cells or a reacting system are exposed briefly to high levels of a labeled compound.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). An electrophoretic procedure in which electrodes arrayed around the periphery of an agarose slab gel are sequentially pulsed so that DNA molecules must continually reorient, thereby allowing very large molecules to be separated by size.

Purine nucleotide cycle. The conversion of aspartate to fumarate, which replenishes citric acid cycle intermediates, through the deamination of AMP to IMP.

Purines. Derivatives of the compound purine, a planar aromatic, heterocyclic compound. Adenine and guanine, two of the nitrogenous bases of nucleotides, are purines.

Pyranose. A monosaccharide with a six-membered ring.

Pyrimidine dimer. The cyclobutane-containing structure resulting from UV irradiation of adjacent thymine or cytosine residues in the same strand of DNA.

Pyrimidines. Derivatives of the compound pyrimidine, a planar aromatic, heterocyclic compound. Cytosine, uracil, and thymine, three of the nitrogenous bases of nucleotides, are pyrimidines.

Pyrophosphate cleavage. The hydrolysis of ATP that yields AMP + PP_i.

Pyrosequencing. A procedure for determining the sequence of nucleotides in DNA by copying a DNA strand in such a way that a flash of light is generated when the correct nucleotide is incorporated.

Q cycle. The cyclic flow of electrons accompanied by the transport of protons, involving a stable semiquinone intermediate of CoQ in Complex III of mitochondrial electron transport and in photosynthetic electron transport.

Quantum (*pl.* quanta). A packet of energy. See also photon.

Quantum yield. The ratio of molecules reacted to photons absorbed in a light-induced reaction.

Quaternary structure. The spatial arrangement of a macromolecule's individual subunits.

Racemic mixture. A sample of a compound in which both enantiomers are present in equal amounts.

Radioimmunoassay (RIA). A technique for measuring the concentration of a molecule based on its ability to block the binding of a small amount of the radioactively labeled molecule to its corresponding antibody.

Radionuclide. A radioactive isotope.

Ramachandran diagram. A plot of ψ versus ϕ that indicates the sterically allowed conformations of a polypeptide.

Randle cycle. See glucose–fatty acid cycle.
Random coil. A totally disordered and rapidly fluctuating polymer conformation.
Random mechanism. A sequential reaction without a compulsory order of substrate addition to the enzyme.
Rate constant (*k*). The proportionality constant between the velocity of a chemical reaction and the concentration(s) of the reactant(s).
Rate-determining step. The step with the highest transition state free energy in a multistep reaction; the slowest step.
Rate enhancement. The ratio of the rates of a catalyzed to an uncatalyzed chemical reaction.
Rational drug design. See structure-based drug design.
Reaction coordinate. The path of minimum free energy for the progress of a reaction.
Reaction order. The sum of the exponents of the concentration terms that appear in a reaction's rate equation.
Reactive oxygen species (ROS). Partially reduced forms of O_2, such as superoxide ($O_2^-\cdot$) and the hydroxyl radical ($\cdot OH$), that react with and therefore damage cellular components.
Reading frame. The grouping of nucleotides in sets of three whose sequence corresponds to a polypeptide sequence.
Receptor-mediated endocytosis. A process in which an extracellular ligand binds to a specific cell-surface receptor and the resulting receptor–ligand complex is engulfed by the cell.
Receptor tyrosine kinase. A hormone receptor whose intracellular domain is activated, as a result of hormone binding, to phosphorylate tyrosine residues on other proteins and/or on other subunits of the same receptor.
Recombinant. A DNA molecule constructed by combining DNA from different sources. Also called a chimera.
Recombinant DNA technology. The isolation, amplification, and modification of specific DNA sequences. Also called molecular cloning or genetic engineering.
Recombination. The exchange of polynucleotide strands between separate DNA segments. Homologous recombination occurs between DNA segments with extensive homology, whereas site-specific recombination occurs between two short, specific DNA sequences.
Recombination repair. A mechanism for repairing damaged DNA, in which recombination exchanges a portion of a damaged strand for a homologous segment that can then serve as a template for the replacement of the damaged bases.
Redox center. A group that can undergo an oxidation–reduction reaction.
Redox couple. See conjugate redox pair.
Reducing agent. A substance that can donate electrons, thereby reducing another substance and becoming oxidized.
Reducing equivalent. A term used to describe the number of electrons that are transferred from one molecule to another during a redox reaction.
Reducing sugar. A saccharide bearing an anomeric carbon that has not formed a glycosidic bond and can therefore reduce mild oxidizing agents.
Reduction. The gain of electrons. Reduction of a substance is accompanied by the oxidation of another substance.
Reduction potential ($\mathscr{E}$). A measure of the tendency of a substance to gain electrons.
Reductive pentose phosphate cycle. See Calvin cycle.
Regular secondary structure. A segment of a polymer in which the backbone adopts a regularly repeating conformation.
Release factor. A protein that recognizes a Stop codon and thereby helps induce ribosomes to terminate polypeptide synthesis.
Renaturation. The refolding of a denatured macromolecule so as to regain its native conformation.
Repetitive DNA. Stretches of DNA of up to several thousand bases that occur in multiple copies in an organism's genome; they are often arranged in tandem.
Replica plating. The transfer of yeast colonies, bacterial colonies, or phage plaques from a culture plate to another culture plate, a membrane, or a filter in a manner that preserves the distribution of the cells on the original plate.
Replication. The process of making an identical copy of a DNA molecule. During DNA replication, the parental polynucleotide strands separate so that each can direct the synthesis of a complementary daughter strand, resulting in two complete DNA double helices.
Replication fork. The branch point in a replicating DNA molecule at which the two strands of the parental molecule are separated and serve as templates for the synthesis of the daughter strands.
Replicon. A unit of eukaryotic DNA that is replicated from one replication origin.
Replisome. The DNA polymerase–containing protein assembly that catalyzes the synthesis of both the leading and lagging strands of DNA at the replication fork.
RER. See rough endoplasmic reticulum.
Residue. A term for a monomeric unit of a polymer.
Resonance energy transfer. See exciton transfer.
Respiratory distress syndrome. Difficulty in breathing in prematurely born infants, caused by alveolar collapse resulting from insufficient synthesis of lung surfactant.
Restriction endonuclease. A bacterial enzyme that recognizes a specific DNA sequence and cleaves the DNA as part of a restriction–modification system.
Restriction–modification system. A matched pair of bacterial enzymes that recognize a specific DNA sequence: a modification methylase that methylates bases in that sequence, and a restriction endonuclease that cleaves the DNA if it has not been methylated in that sequence. It is a defensive system that eliminates foreign (e.g., viral) DNA.
Reticulocyte. An immature red blood cell, which actively synthesizes hemoglobin.
Retrotransposon. A transposon whose sequence and mechanism of transposition suggest that it arose from a retrovirus.
Retrovirus. A virus whose genetic material is RNA that must be reverse-transcribed to double-stranded DNA during host cell infection.
Reverse transcriptase. A DNA polymerase that uses RNA as its template.
Reverse transcriptase (RT). A DNA polymerase that uses RNA as its template.
Reverse turn. A polypeptide conformation in which the chain makes an abrupt reversal in direction; usually consisting of four successive residues. Also called a β bend.
R group. A symbol for a variable portion of an organic molecule, such as the side chain of an amino acid.
Rho factor. A prokaryotic helicase that separates DNA and RNA to promote transcription termination.
Ribonucleic acid. See RNA.
Ribonucleoprotein. A complex of protein and RNA.
Ribonucleotide. A nucleotide in which the pentose is ribose.
Ribosomal RNA (rRNA). The RNA molecules that constitute the bulk of the ribosome, the site of polypeptide synthesis. rRNA provides structural scaffolding for the ribosome and catalyzes peptide bond formation.
Ribosome. The organelle that synthesizes polypeptides under the direction of mRNA. It consists of around two-thirds RNA and one-third protein.
Riboswitch. An mRNA segment that regulates gene expression through alterations in its structure triggered by the presence of the metabolite that is synthesized by the encoded protein.
Ribozyme. An RNA molecule that has catalytic activity.
Rickets. A vitamin D-deficiency disease in children that is characterized by stunted growth and deformed bones.
Rigor mortis. The stiffening of muscles after death due to lack of ATP.
RNA. Ribonucleic acid. A polymer of ribonucleotides. The major forms of RNA include messenger RNA (mRNA), transfer RNA (tRNA), and ribosomal RNA (rRNA).
RNA editing. The posttranscriptional insertion, deletion, or alteration of bases in mRNA.
RNA interference (RNAi). A form of posttranscriptional gene regulation in which a short double-stranded RNA segment triggers the degradation of the homologous mRNA molecule.

RNAi. See RNA interference.
RNAP. RNA polymerase, the enzyme that synthesizes RNA using a DNA template.
Rossmann fold. See dinucleotide binding fold.
Rotational symmetry. A type of symmetry in which the asymmetric units of a symmetric object can be brought into coincidence through rotation.
Rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER). That portion of the endoplasmic reticulum associated with ribosomes; it is the site of synthesis of membrane proteins and proteins destined for secretion or residence in certain organelles.
R state. One of two conformations of an allosteric protein; the other is the T state. The R state is customarily the catalytically more active state.
***RS* system.** See Cahn–Ingold–Prelog system.

***S*.** See entropy.
Saccharide. See carbohydrate.
Salt bridge. See ion pair.
Salting in. The increase in solubility of a protein (or other molecule) with increasing (low) salt concentration.
Salting out. The decrease in solubility of a protein (or other molecule) with increasing (high) salt concentration.
Salvage pathway. A metabolic pathway for converting free purines and pyrimidines to their nucleotide forms.
Sarcomere. The repeating unit of a myofibril, which contains thin and thick filaments that slide past each other during muscle contraction.
Saturated fatty acid. A fatty acid that does not contain any double bonds in its hydrocarbon chain.
Saturation. The state in which all of a macromolecule's ligand-binding sites are occupied by ligand. See also enzyme saturation and saturated fatty acid.
Schiff base. An imine that forms between an amine and an aldehyde or ketone.
SCID. See severe combined immunodeficiency disease.
Scrapie. See transmissible spongiform encephalopathy.
Screening. A technique for identifying clones that contain a desired gene.
Scurvy. A disease caused by vitamin C (ascorbic acid) deficiency, which results in inadequate formation of 4-hydroxyprolyl residues in collagen, thereby reducing collagen's stability.
SDS-PAGE. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) in the presence of the detergent sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), which denatures and imparts a uniform charge density to polypeptides and thereby permits them to be fractionated on the basis of size rather than inherent charge.
Secondary active transport. Transmembrane transport that is driven by the energy stored in an electrochemical gradient, which itself is generated utilizing the free energy of ATP hydrolysis or electron transport.
Secondary structure. The local spatial arrangement of a polymer's backbone atoms without regard to the conformations of its substituent side chains. α helices and β sheets are common secondary structural elements of proteins.
Second messenger. An intracellular ion or molecule that acts as a signal for an extracellular event such as ligand binding to a cell-surface receptor.
Second-order reaction. A reaction whose rate is proportional to the square of the concentration of one reactant or to the product of the concentrations of two reactants.
Secretory pathway. The series of steps in which a protein destined for secretion, membrane insertion, or residence in certain organelles is recognized by the signal recognition particle as it emerges from the ribosome, has its signal peptide excised by a signal peptidase, and is translocated across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane or inserted into it via a translocon.
Segmentation genes. Insect genes that specify the correct number and polarity of body segments. Gap genes, pair-rule genes, and segment polarity genes are all segmentation genes.
Segment polarity genes. See segmentation genes.
Selectable marker. A gene whose product has an activity, such as antibiotic resistance, such that, under the appropriate conditions, cells harboring the gene can be distinguished from those that lack the gene.
Selfish DNA. Genomic DNA that has no apparent function. Also called junk DNA.
Semiconservative replication. The natural mode of DNA duplication in which each new duplex molecule contains one strand from the parent molecule and one newly synthesized strand.
Semidiscontinuous replication. The mode of DNA replication in which one strand is replicated as a continuous polynucleotide strand (the leading strand) while the other is replicated as a series of discontinuous fragments (Okazaki fragments) that are later joined (the lagging strand).
Sense strand. The DNA strand complementary to the strand that is transcribed; it has the same base sequence (except for the replacement of U with T) as the synthesized RNA. Also called the coding strand.
Sequential model of allosterism. A model for allosteric behavior in which the subunits of an oligomeric protein change conformation in a stepwise manner as the number of bound ligands increases.
Sequential reaction. A reaction in which all substrates must combine with the enzyme before a reaction can occur; it can proceed by an Ordered or Random mechanism.
Severe combined immunodeficiency disease (SCID). An inherited disease that greatly impairs the immune system. One such defect is a deficiency of the enzyme adenosine deaminase.
Shear degradation. The fragmentation of DNA by the mechanical force of shaking or stirring.
Shine–Dalgarno sequence. A purine-rich sequence ~10 nucleotides upstream from the start codon of many prokaryotic mRNAs that is partially complementary to the 3′ end of the 16S rRNA. This sequence helps position the ribosome to initiate translation.
Short interfering RNA (siRNA). An exogenous RNA of 18 to 25 nucleotides that inhibits gene expression through RNA interference. See also microRNA.
Short tandem repeat (STR). See highly repetitive DNA.
Shotgun cloning. The cloning of an organism's genome in the form of a set of random fragments.
Sickle-cell anemia. An inherited disease in which erythrocytes are deformed and damaged by the presence of a mutant hemoglobin (Glu 6β → Val) that in its deoxy form polymerizes into fibers.
σ factor. A subunit of the bacterial RNA polymerase holoenzyme that recognizes a gene's promoter and is released once chain initiation has occurred.
Sigmoidal curve. The S-shaped graphical representation of the cooperative binding of a ligand to a molecule.
Signal-gated channel. See ligand-gated channel.
Signal peptide. A short (13–36 residues) N-terminal peptide sequence that targets a nascent secretory or transmembrane protein to the endoplasmic reticulum (in eukaryotes) or plasma membrane (in prokaryotes). This leader peptide is subsequently cleaved away by a signal peptidase.
Signal recognition particle (SRP). A protein–RNA complex that binds to the signal peptide of a nascent transmembrane or secretory protein and escorts it to the endoplasmic reticulum (in eukaryotes) or plasma membrane (in prokaryotes) for translocation through the membrane.
Signal transduction. The transmittal of an extracellular signal to the cell interior by the binding of a ligand to a receptor so as to elicit a cellular response through the activation of a sequence of intracellular events that often include the generation of second messengers.
Single-displacement reaction. A reaction in which a group is transferred from one molecule to another in a concerted fashion (with no intermediates).
Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). A single base difference in the genomes of two individuals; such differences occur every 1250 bp, on average, in the human genome.
Single-strand binding protein (SSB). A tetrameric protein, many molecules of which coat single-stranded DNA during replication to prevent the re-formation of double-stranded DNA.
siRNA. See short interfering RNA.

Site-directed mutagenesis. A technique in which a cloned gene is mutated in a specific manner.

Site-specific recombination. See recombination.

Size exclusion chromatography. See gel filtration chromatography.

Sliding filament model. A mechanism for muscle contraction in which interdigitated thin and thick filaments move past each other to shorten the overall length of a sarcomere.

Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP). A complex of protein and small nuclear RNA that participates in mRNA splicing.

Small nuclear RNA (snRNA). Highly conserved 60- to 300-nt RNAs that participate in mRNA splicing.

Small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA). Eukaryotic RNA molecules of 70 to 100 nt that pair with immature rRNAs to direct their sequence-specific methylation.

SNARE. A membrane-associated protein that participates in vesicle fusion; SNAREs from the two fusing membranes form bundles of four helices that bring the membranes together.

snoRNA. See small nucleolar RNA.

SNP. See single nucleotide polymorphism.

snRNA. See small nuclear RNA.

snRNP. See small nuclear ribonucleoprotein.

Solvation. The state of being surrounded by several layers of ordered solvent molecules. Hydration is solvation by water.

Somatic hypermutation. The greatly increased rate of mutation that occurs in the immunoglobulin genes of proliferating B lymphocytes and leads, over several cell generations, to antibodies with higher antigen affinity.

Somatic recombination. Genetic rearrangement that occurs in cells other than germline cells.

Sonication. Irradiation with high-frequency sound waves. Such treatment is used to mechanically disrupt cells and subcellular membranous structures.

SOS response. A bacterial system that recognizes damaged DNA, halts its replication, and repairs the damage, although in an error-prone fashion.

Southern blotting. A procedure for identifying a DNA base sequence after electrophoresis, through its ability to hybridize with a complementary single-stranded segment of labeled DNA or RNA. See also Northern blotting.

Special pair. The set of two closely spaced chlorophyll molecules in a photosynthetic system that undergo photooxidation.

Spherocytosis. A hereditary abnormality in the erythrocyte cytoskeleton that renders the cells rigid and spheroidal and which causes hemolytic anemia.

Sphingolipid. A derivative of the C_{18} amino alcohol sphingosine. Sphingolipids include the ceramides, cerebrosides, and gangliosides. Sphingolipids with phosphate head groups are called sphingophospholipids.

Spliceosome. A ~60S particle containing proteins, snRNPs, and pre-mRNA; it carries out the splicing reactions whereby a pre-mRNA is converted to a mature mRNA.

Splicing. The usually ribonucleoprotein-catalyzed process by which introns are removed and exons are joined to produce a mature transcript. Some RNAs are self-splicing.

Spontaneous process. A thermodynamic process that occurs without the input of free energy from outside the system. Spontaneity is independent of the rate of a process.

Squelching. The inhibition of the activity of a transcription factor by another transcription factor that competes with it for binding to DNA.

SRP. See signal recognition particle.

SRP receptor (SR). The endoplasmic reticulum protein that serves as a docking site for the signal recognition particle (SRP) during the synthesis of a transmembrane or secretory protein.

SSB. See single-strand binding protein.

Stacking interactions. The stabilizing van der Waals interactions between successive (stacked) bases and base pairs in a polynucleotide.

Standard state. A set of conditions including unit activity of the species of interest, a temperature of 25°C, a pressure of 1 atm, and a pH of 0.0. See also biochemical standard state.

Starch. A mixture of linear and branched glucose polymers that serve as the principal energy reserves of plants.

STAT. See JAK-STAT.

State function. Quantities such as energy, enthalpy, entropy, and free energy, whose values depend only on the current state of the system, not on how they reached that state.

Steady state. A set of conditions in an open system under which the formation and degradation of individual components are balanced such that the system does not change over time.

Steady state assumption. A condition for the application of the Michaelis–Menten model to an enzymatic reaction, in which the concentration of the ES complex remains unchanged over the course of the reaction.

Stem–loop. A secondary structural element in a single-stranded nucleic acid, in which two complementary segments form a base-paired stem whose strands are connected by a loop of unpaired bases.

Stereoisomers. Chiral molecules with different configurations about at least one of their asymmetric centers but that are otherwise identical.

Steroid. Any of numerous naturally occurring lipids composed of four fused rings; many are hormones that are derived from cholesterol.

Sterol. An alcohol derivative of a steroid.

Sticky end. The single-stranded extension of a DNA fragment that has been cleaved at a specific sequence (often by a restriction endonuclease) in a staggered cut such that the single-stranded extension is complementary to those of similarly cleaved DNAs.

Stop codon. A sequence of three nucleotides that does not specify an amino acid but instead causes the termination of translation. Also called a nonsense codon.

STR. Short tandem repeat. See highly repetitive DNA.

Striated muscle. The voluntary or skeletal muscles, which have a striped microscopic appearance.

Stroma. The concentrated solution of enzymes, small molecules, and ions in the interior of a chloroplast; the site of carbohydrate synthesis.

Stromal lamellae. The membranous assemblies that connect grana in a chloroplast.

Strong acid. An acid that is essentially completely ionized in aqueous solution. A strong acid has a dissociation constant much greater than unity ($pK < 0$).

Structural bioinformatics. See bioinformatics.

Structural gene. A gene that encodes a protein.

Structure-based drug design. The synthesis of more effective drug molecules as guided by knowledge of the target protein's structure. Also called rational drug design.

Substrate. A reactant in an enzymatic reaction.

Substrate cycle. Two opposing sets of metabolic reactions that, in many cases, function together to hydrolyze ATP, but provide a control point for regulating metabolic flux. Also called a futile cycle.

Substrate-level phosphorylation. The direct transfer of a phosphoryl group to ADP to generate ATP.

Subunit. One of several polymer chains that make up a macromolecule.

Suicide substrate. See mechanism-based inhibitor.

Supercoiling. The topological state of covalently closed circular double helical DNA in which the double helix is twisted around itself. It arises through the over- or underwinding of the double helix. Also called superhelicity.

Superhelicity. See supercoiling.

Superoxide radical. $O_2^{\cdot-}$, a partially reduced oxygen species that can damage biomolecules through free-radical reactions.

Supersecondary structure. A common grouping of secondary structural elements. Also called a motif.

Suppressor mutation. A mutation that cancels the effect of another mutation.

Surface labeling. A technique in which a lipid-insoluble protein-labeling reagent is used to identify the portion of a membrane protein that is exposed to solvent.

Surroundings. In thermodynamics, the universe other than the particular system that is of interest.

Svedberg (S). Equivalent to 10^{-13} s; used to describe a particle's sedimentation velocity per unit of centrifugal force in an ultracentrifuge.

Symbiosis. A mutually dependent relationship between two organisms.

Symmetry model of allosterism. A model for allosteric behavior in which all the subunits of an oligomeric protein are constrained to change conformation in a concerted manner so as to maintain the symmetry of the oligomer.

Symport. A transmembrane channel that simultaneously transports two different molecules or ions in the same direction. See also antiport and uniport.

Syn conformation. A purine nucleotide conformation in which the ribose and the base are eclipsed. See also anti conformation.

Syncytium. A single cell containing multiple nuclei that results from repeated nuclear division without the formation of new plasma membranes.

Synonymous codons. Codons that specify the same amino acid.

System. In thermodynamics, the part of the universe that is of interest; the rest of the universe is the surroundings. See also closed system, isolated system, and open system.

Systems biology. The computer-based collection and analysis of data sets for the purpose of discerning relationships between dynamic or multifactorial biological entities.

T. See twist.

T state. One of two conformations of an allosteric protein; the other is the R state. The T state is customarily the catalytically less active state.

TAFs. TBP-associated factors, which, along with TBP, constitute the general transcription factor TFIID required for the transcription of eukaryotic structural genes.

TATA box. A eukaryotic promoter element with the consensus sequence $TATA^{A}_{T}A^{A}_{T}$ located 10 to 27 nucleotides upstream from the transcription start site.

Tautomers. Isomers that differ only in the positions of their hydrogen atoms and double bonds.

Taxonomy. The study of biological classification.

Tay–Sachs disease. A fatal sphingolipid storage disease caused by a deficiency of hexosaminidase A, the lysosomal enzyme that breaks down ganglioside G_{M2}.

TBP. TATA-binding protein, a DNA-binding protein that is required for transcription of all eukaryotic genes.

TCA cycle. Tricarboxylic acid cycle. See citric acid cycle.

Telomerase. An RNA-containing DNA polymerase that, using the RNA as a template, catalyzes the repeated addition of a specific G-rich sequence to the 3′ end of a eukaryotic DNA molecule to form a telomere.

Telomere. The end of a linear eukaryotic chromosome, which consists of tandem repeats of a short G-rich sequence on the 3′-ending strand and its complementary sequence on the 5′-ending strand.

Tertiary structure. The entire three-dimensional structure of a single-chain polymer, including that of its side chains.

Tetrahedral intermediate. An intermediate of peptide bond hydrolysis in which the carbonyl carbon of the scissile bond has undergone nucleophilic attack so that it has four substituents.

Tetramer. An assembly consisting of four monomeric units.

Therapeutic index. The ratio of the dose of a drug that produces toxicity to the dose that produces the desired effect.

Thermodynamics. The study of the relationships among various forms of energy.

Thermophile. An organism that thrives at high temperatures.

3′ end. The terminus of a polynucleotide whose C3′ is not esterified to another nucleotide residue.

θ structure. The appearance of a circular DNA molecule undergoing replication by the progressive separation of its two strands.

Thick filament. The sarcomere element that is composed primarily of several hundred myosin molecules.

Thin filament. The sarcomere element that is composed primarily of actin, together with tropomyosin and troponin.

Thylakoid. The innermost compartment in chloroplasts, which is formed by invaginations of the chloroplast's inner membrane. The thylakoid membrane is the site of the light reactions of photosynthesis.

Titration curve. The graphical presentation of the relationship between the pH of an acid- or base-containing solution and the degree of proton dissociation (roughly equal to the number of equivalents of strong base or strong acid that have been added to the solution).

Topoisomerase. An enzyme that alters DNA supercoiling by catalyzing breaks in one or both strands, passing DNA through the break, and resealing the break.

Topology. The study of the geometric properties of an object that are not altered by deformations such as bending and stretching.

Torsion angle. The dihedral angle described by the bonds between four successive atoms. The torsion angles ϕ and ψ indicate the backbone conformation of a peptide group in a polypeptide.

TψC arm. A conserved stem–loop structure in a tRNA molecule that usually contains the sequence TψC, where ψ is pseudouridine.

Trans conformation. An arrangement of the peptide group in which successive C_α atoms are on opposite sides of the peptide bond.

Transamination. The transfer of an amino group from an amino acid to an α-keto acid to yield a new α-keto acid and a new amino acid.

Transcription. The process by which RNA is synthesized under the direction of a DNA template, thereby transferring genetic information from the DNA to the RNA. Transcription is catalyzed by RNA polymerase as facilitated by numerous other proteins.

Transcription factor. A protein that promotes the transcription of a gene by binding to DNA sequences at or near the gene or by interacting with other proteins that do so.

Transcriptomics. The study of all the RNA molecules that a cell transcribes.

Transfer RNA (tRNA). The small L-shaped RNAs that deliver specific amino acids, which have been esterified to the tRNA's 3′ ends, to ribosomes according to the sequence of a bound mRNA. The proper tRNA is selected through the complementary base pairing of its three-nucleotide anticodon with the mRNA's codon, and the growing polypeptide is transferred to its aminoacyl group.

Transformation. (1) The permanent alteration of a bacterial cell's genetic message through the introduction of foreign DNA. (2) The genetic changes that convert a normal cell to a cancerous cell.

Transgene. A foreign gene that is stably expressed in a host organism.

Transition. A mutation in which one purine (or pyrimidine) replaces another.

Transition state. A molecular assembly at the point of maximal free energy in the reaction coordinate diagram of a chemical reaction.

Transition state analog. A stable substance that geometrically and electronically resembles the transition state of a reaction.

Transition temperature. The temperature at which a lipid bilayer shifts from a gel-like solid to a more fluid liquid crystal form.

Translation. The process by which a polypeptide is synthesized under the direction of the sequence information contained in a messenger RNA as specified by the genetic code. Translation is catalyzed by ribosomes and requires the additional participation of messenger RNA, transfer RNA, and a variety of protein factors.

Translocation. (1) The movement of a polypeptide through a membrane during the synthesis of a secreted protein. (2) The movement, by one codon, of the ribosome relative to the mRNA after peptide bond synthesis.

Translocon. A multisubunit protein that forms an aqueous pore across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane that functions to translocate a nascent polypeptide across the membrane or to install it in the membrane as part of the secretory pathway.

Transmembrane (TM) protein. An integral protein that completely spans the membrane.

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE). An invariably fatal neurodegenerative disease resulting from a prion infection, such as scrapie in sheep.

Transpeptidation. The ribosomal process in which a tRNA-bound nascent polypeptide is transferred to a tRNA-bound aminoacyl group so as to form a new peptide bond, thereby lengthening the polypeptide by one residue at its C-terminus.

Transposable element. See transposon.

Transposition. The movement (copying) of genetic material from one part of the genome to another or, in some cases, from one organism to another.
Transposon. A genetic unit that can move (be copied) from one position to another in a genome; some transposons carry genes. Also called a transposable element.
Transverse diffusion. The movement of a lipid from one leaflet of a bilayer to the other. Also called flip-flop.
Transversion. A mutation in which a purine is replaced by a pyrimidine or vice versa.
Treadmilling. The addition of monomeric units to one end of a linear assembly, such as an actin filament, and their simultaneous removal from the opposite end such that the length of the assembly remains unchanged.
Triacylglycerol. A lipid in which three fatty acids are esterified to a glycerol backbone. Also called a triglyceride.
Tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. See citric acid cycle.
Triglyceride. See triacylglycerol.
Trimer. An assembly consisting of three monomeric units.
Tripeptide. A polypeptide containing three amino acids.
Tumor suppressor. A protein whose loss or inactivation may lead to cancer.
Turnover number. See k_{cat}.
Twist (*T*). The number of complete revolutions that one strand of a covalently closed circular double helical DNA makes around the duplex axis. It is positive for right-handed superhelical coils and negative for left-handed superhelical coils.
Two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis. A technique in which proteins are first subjected to isoelectric focusing, which separates them by net charge, and then to SDS-PAGE in a perpendicular direction, which separates them by size.

Ubiquinone. See coenzyme Q.
Ubiquitin. A small, highly conserved protein that is covalently attached to a eukaryotic intracellular protein to mark it for degradation by a proteasome.
Ultracentrifugation. A procedure that subjects macromolecules to a strong centrifugal force (in an ultracentrifuge), thereby separating them by size and/or density and providing a method for determining their mass and subunit structure.
Uncompetitive inhibition. A form of enzyme inhibition in which an inhibitor binds to the enzyme–substrate complex and thereby decreases its apparent K_M and its apparent V_{max} by the same factor.
Uncoupler. A substance that allows the proton gradient across a membrane to dissipate without ATP synthesis so that electron transport proceeds without oxidative phosphorylation.
Uniport. A transmembrane channel that transports a single molecule or ion. See also antiport and symport.
Unsaturated fatty acid. A fatty acid that contains at least one double bond in its hydrocarbon chain.
Urea cycle. A catalytic cycle in which amino groups donated by ammonia and aspartate combine with a carbon atom from HCO_3^- to form urea for excretion and which provides the route for the elimination of nitrogen from protein degradation.
Uridylylation. Addition of a uridylyl (UMP) group.
Uronic acid. A sugar produced by oxidation of an aldose primary alcohol group to a carboxylic acid group.

Vacuole. An intracellular vesicle for storing water or other molecules.
van der Waals distance. The distance of closest approach between two nonbonded atoms.
van der Waals forces. The noncovalent associations between molecules that arise from the electrostatic interactions among permanent and/or induced dipoles.
van't Hoff plot. A graph of K_{eq} versus $1/T$ that is used to determine $\Delta H°$ and $\Delta S°$ and therefore $\Delta G°$ for a chemical reaction.
Variable arm. A nonconserved region of a tRNA molecule that contains 3 to 21 nucleotides and that may include a base-paired stem.
Variable region. The N-terminal portions of an antibody molecule, where antigen binding occurs and which are characterized by high sequence variability.
Variant. A naturally occurring mutant form.
Vector. See cloning vector.
Vesicle. A fluid-filled sac enclosed by a membrane.
Virulence. The disease-evoking power of a microorganism.
Virus. A nonliving entity that co-opts the metabolism of a host cell to reproduce.
Vitamin. A metabolically required organic substance that cannot be synthesized by an animal and must therefore be obtained from its diet.
VLDL. Very low density lipoprotein; see lipoprotein.
V_{max}. Maximal velocity of an enzymatic reaction.
Voltage-gated channel. A channel whose opening and closing (gating) is controlled by a change in membrane potential.

***W*.** (1) See writhing number. (2) The number of energetically equivalent ways of arranging the components of a system.
Water of hydration. The shell of relatively immobile water molecules that surrounds and interacts with (solvates) a dissolved molecule.
Watson–Crick base pair. A stable pairing of nucleotide bases, either adenine with thymine or guanine with cytosine, that occurs in DNA and, to a lesser extent, in RNA (in which thymine is replaced by uracil). See also Hoogsteen base pair.
Weak acid. An acid that is only partially ionized in aqueous solution. A weak acid has a dissociation constant less than unity ($pK > 0$).
Western blot. See immunoblot.
Wild type. The naturally occurring version of an organism or gene.
Wobble hypothesis. An explanation for the permissive tRNA–mRNA pairing at the third anticodon position that includes non-Watson–Crick base pairs. This allows many tRNAs to recognize two or three different (degenerate) codons.
Writhing number (*W*). The number of turns that the duplex axis of a covalently closed circular double helical DNA makes around the superhelix axis. It is a measure of the DNA's superhelicity.

Xenobiotic. A molecule that is not normally present in an organism.
X-ray crystallography. A method for determining three-dimensional molecular structures from the diffraction pattern produced by exposing a crystal of a molecule to a beam of X-rays.

Yeast artificial chromosome (YAC). A linear DNA molecule that contains the chromosomal structures required for normal replication and segregation in a yeast cell. YACs are commonly used as cloning vectors.
Ylid. A molecule with opposite charges on adjacent atoms.

Zero-order reaction. A reaction whose rate does not vary with the concentration of any of its reactants.
Zinc finger. A protein structural motif, often involved in DNA binding, that consists of 25 to 60 residues that include His and/or Cys residues to which one or two Zn^{2+} ions are each tetrahedrally coordinated.
Z-scheme. A Z-shaped diagram indicating the sequence of events and their reduction potentials in the two-center photosynthetic electron-transport system of plants and cyanobacteria.
Zwitterion. See dipolar ion.
Zymogen. The inactive precursor (proenzyme) of a proteolytic enzyme.

INDEX

Page numbers in **bold** refer to a major discussion of the entry. F after a page number refers to a figure. T after a page number refers to a major discussion of the entry. Positional and configurational designations in chemical names (e.g., 3-, α, *N*-, *p*-, *trans*, D-) are ignored in alphabetizing. Numbers and Greek letters are otherwise alphabetized as if they were spelled out.

A, *see* Adenine
Å (angstrom), 12
AAA+ family, 889, 890
A antigens, 242, 242T
aaRSs, *see* Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases
aa-tRNA, *see* Aminoacyl-tRNA
A bands, 201
Abasic sites, 910, 912
ABCA1 (ATP-cassette binding protein A1), 714
ABC transporters, 310, **314–315**
Aβ precursor protein (APP), 173
Aβ protein (amyloid-β protein), 173
Ab initio protein design, 167
Abl protein, 414F, 420, 420F
ABO blood group antigens, 241, 242
Abortive initiation, 944, 945
Absolute configuration, 89
Absorbance, 101
Absorbance spectroscopy, 101–102
Absorption spectrum, 101
Absorptivity, 101, 102F
abx (anteriobithorax) mutant, 1091
AC, *see* Adenylate cyclase
ACAT (acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase), 670F, 710
ACC (acetyl-CoA carboxylase), **688–689,** 787
ACC1, 689
ACC2, 689
Accepted (recommended) name, 324
Acceptor stem (tRNA), 988
Accessory BChl, 638
Accessory pigments, 634–635
Acesulfame, 229
Acetal, hydrolysis of, 342F
Acetaldehyde, 325, 326, 378, 497, 499, 501
Acetamide, 319
Acetaminophen, 396–397, 396F, 705
Acetic acid, 36F
Acetimidoquinone, 396–397, 396F
Acetoacetate:
  in amino acid degradation, 742F
  decarboxylation, 334, 334F
  in ketogenesis, 685, 685F, 686F
  in ketone body conversion, 686F
  from leucine and lysine breakdown, **743–744,** 743F
  from phenylalanine/tyrosine breakdown, 745, **745,** 745F, **746**
  from tryptophan breakdown, **744,** 744F
Acetoacetyl-ACP, 690, 691F
Acetoacetyl-CoA, 685, 685F, 686F, 743F
α-Aceto-α-hydroxybutyrate, 754, 754F
Acetolactate, 754, 754F
Acetolactate synthase, 754F
Acetone, 325, 334F, 685
Acetyl-ACP, in fatty acid synthesis, 691F
Acetylaldehyde, 734F
Acetylcholine, hydrolysis, 346
Acetylcholinesterase, 346
Acetyl-CoA (acetyl-coenzyme A), 446, 461, 461F
  in amino acid degradation, 733F, 734F, 742F
  in cholesterol biosynthesis, **707–710**
  in citric acid cycle, 560F, **562–568,** 565F, 576, 578
  degradation of fatty acids to, **674–676**
  in fatty acid elongation, 695F
  in fatty acid synthesis, **687, 688,** 691F
  in glyoxylate cycle, 584F
  and histone acetyltransferases, 1057
  in ketogenesis, 685, 685F
  ketone body conversion to, 686F
  from leucine and lysine breakdown, **743–744**
  in mammalian metabolism, 775
  synthesis of, **562–567**
  in triacyclglycerol biosynthesis, 697
  in tricarboxylate transport system, 688F
Acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, 685
Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), **688–689,** 787
Acetyl-dihydrolipoamide, 565F
Acetyl-dihydrolipoamide–E2, 566
N-Acetyl-D-galactosamine (GalNAC), 233, 253F
N-Acetylglucosamine (NAG; GlcNAc):
  and asparagine, 238
  and hyaluronate, 232
  and lysozyme, 237, 237F, 339–341, 339F–341F, 344
N-Acetylglutamate, 732
N-Acetylglutamate synthase, 732–733
N-Acetyllactosamine, 552
ε-*N*-Acetyllysine, 92F
N-Acetylmannosamine, 226
N-Acetylmuramic acid (NAM, MurNAc):
  in bacterial cell walls, 236, 237, 237F
  and lysozyme, 339, 339F, 341, 341F, 344
N-Acetylneuraminic acid (NANA), 226, 226F
N-Acetylneuraminidate, 253F
Acetyl phosphate, 458, 490
Acids, 33–36
  conjugate, 33
  and conjugate bases, 35–36
  dissociation constants of, 34–35
  polyprotic, 37, 37F
  as proton donors, 33
  strong, 34
  weak, 34–36
Acid–base catalysis (enzymes), **330–334**
Acid–base chemistry, 33
  and amino acid side chains, 85
  in glycolysis, 487
  and ionization of water, 32–33
  standard state conventions for, 17
Acidic solutions, 32
Acidosis, 38, 793
Aconitase, 324, 325, **570–571,** 578
Aconitate, 559
cis-Aconitate, 570, 571
ACP (acyl-carrier protein), 689–692
Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), 75, 374, 376
Acridine orange, 857, 907
Acromegaly, 407
Actin, 205–206, 205F, 206F, **210–211,** 210F
α-Actinin, 207
β-Actinin, 207
Actinomycin D, 950
Action potentials, 300–301, 300F
Activated lipids, 700–702
Activation domains, 1064
Activation energy, **327–330**
Activation-induced deaminase (AID), 1080
Activators (regulatory proteins), **1063–1069**
Active membrane transport, 275, **309–318**
  ABC transporters, **314–315**
  calcium ATPase, **312–314**
  endergonic process, 310
  ion-gradient-driven, **315–318**
  sodium and potassium ATPase, **310–312,** 310F
  thermodynamics of, 295
Active site:
  of enzymes, 323
  phosphoglycerate mutase, 492F
  of serine proteases, **345–346,** 349F
  of TIM, 488
  of zymogens, 357
Activity, 18
Activity site, 816, 817F
Acute intermittent porphyria, 760
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, 737
Acute pancreatitis, 355
Acyclovir, 826
Acyl-carnitine, 673
Acyl-carrier protein (ACP), 689–692
Acyl-CoA, 675, 695F, 742–743
Acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT), 670F, 710
Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (AD), 674, 675
Acyl-CoA oxidase, 684
Acyl-CoA synthetases, 672, 673F
Acyl-dihydroxyacetone phosphate, 696F
Acyl-enzyme intermediate:
  chymotrypsin, 350, 354–355, 354F
  serine protease, 351F
2-Acylglycerol, 665
1-Acyl-glycerol-3-phosphate, 251
1-Acylglycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, 696
Acyl phosphates, 458
N-Acylsphingosine, 700, 704F
Acyl thioester, 490
AD (acyl-CoA dehydrogenase), 674, 675
ADA (adenosine deaminase), 375–376, 822–823
Adaptive thermogenesis, **789–790**
Adaptor, 414
ADAR2, 972
Addison's disease, 255
Addition site (A), RNAP II, 953, 953F
Adenine (A), 43
  base pairing, 849F
  and Chargaff's rules, 47
  as common nucleotide, 44
  complementary base pairing with, 49F
  modified forms of, in tRNA, 989F
  oxidative deamination, 906F
  as primordial base, 986
  stacking interactions, 850F
Adenine nucleotide translocase, 592–593
Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT), 808
Adenine ribonucleotide synthesis, **806–807**
Adenosine, 375, 454, 822F
Adenosine-3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate, *see* cAMP
Adenosine-5′(β,γ-imido)triphosphate (AMPPNP, ADPNP), 411, 418F
Adenosine deaminase (ADA), 375–376, 822–823
Adenosine diphosphate, *see* ADP
Adenosine monophosphate, *see* AMP
Adenosine triphosphate, *see* ATP
Adenosylcobalamin, 681
S-Adenosylhomocysteine, 738, 739F, 1060
S-Adenosylmethionine (SAM, AdoMet), 738, 739F, 1060
Adenovirus-2, 964
Adenylate cyclase (AC), 424, **428–431,** 428F, 430F, 538
Adenylate cyclase pathway, 785, 785F
Adenylate kinase, 459, 460, 460F, 506
Adenylation, 457F
Adenylosuccinate, 806, 806F
Adenylosuccinate lyase, 806
Adenylylation, 750
Adenylyltransferase, 750
Adeonosine, 454F
ADH, *see* Alcohol dehydrogenase
Adipocytes, 248, 248F, 778, 787, 788
Adiponectin, 119F, 788, 788F
Adiponectin receptors, 788
Adipose tissue, 248, 249
  AMPK effects on, 787, 787F
  brown, 620
  fuel storage in, 782–783
  mammalian metabolism in, 776F, **778**
A-DNA, 834–838, 835T, 836F–837F
AdoCbl, 679–682, 681F
AdoMet, *see S*-Adenosylmethionine
ADP (adenosine diphosphate), 45, 454F, 454T, 481, 579
ADP–ATP translocator, 592–593, 592F
ADP–glucose, 45, 45F, 552
ADP–glucose pyrophosphorylase, 655

ADPNP (adenosine-5′(β,γ-imido)triphosphate; AMPPNP), 411, 418F
Adrenal glands, 405–407
Adrenaline, *see* Epinephrine
Adrenergic receptors (adrenoreceptors), 405
α-, 405–406, 543
β-, 405–406, 543
β_2-, 425, 425F
Adrenocortical steroids, 406
Adsorption, 101
Adult respiratory distress syndrome, 251
Adverse drug reactions, **395–397**
Aequorea victoria, 93
Aerobic glycolysis, 797
Aerobic heterotrophs, 443
Aerobic metabolism, 497, 623–626, 778
Affinity chromatography, 106, 106F
Affinity labeling, 346
AFM (atomic force microscopy), 236
Agarose, 56
Agarose gel electrophoretogram, 858F
Aging, 624, 905
Agmatine, 771
Ago (Argonaute), 1073
Ago, Hideo, 644
Ago2 (Argonaute2), 1073, 1073F
Agonists, 405
Agre, Peter, 304
AICAR, *see* 5-Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide
AID (activation-induced deaminase), 1080
AIDS, *see* Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
AIR (5-aminoimidazole ribotide), 804F, 805
AIR carboxylase, in IMP synthesis, 805
Akt protein, 438F
ALA (δ-aminolevulinic acid), in heme biosynthesis, 758, 759F
Alanine (Ala):
biosynthesis, 748–749, 748F
biosynthesis of, 581
breakdown, 734, 734F
glucose–alanine cycle, 781, 781F
nonpolar side chain, 84, 85F
transamination, 551
from tryptophan breakdown, **744,** 744F
Alanine transaminase (ALT), 726
Alanine tRNA ($tRNA^{Ala}$), 988
β-Alanine, 827, 827F
Alber, Thomas, 866
Alberts, Bruce, 892, 945
Albumin, 671
Alcaptonuria, 471, 746
Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), 324, 326, 372
Alcoholic fermentation, 322, **498–501,** 499F
Aldehyde, 331
Aldimine, 727F
Alditols, 226
Aldolase, **484–486,** 485F, 486F, 652
Aldol cleavage, 485, 485F
Aldonic acids, 225
Aldoses, **222,** 222F
Aldosterone, 254, 255F, 406
Alitame, 229
Alkalosis, 38
Alkylacylglycerophospholipids, 702–703
Alkylating agents, 906–907
O^6-Alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase, 910
Alkyltransferases, 910
Allantoic acid, 825, 825F
Allantoin, 825, 825F
Alleles, 73
Allis, David, 1056
1,6-Allolactase, 1044
Allopurinol, 826
D-Allose, 222F
Allosteric control:
of citric acid cycle, 579
of enzymes, **383–387,** 451
of gluconeogenesis, 551
of glycogen phosphorylase, **536**
of glycogen synthase, 534–536
of phosphofructokinase, 504–505, 505F
phosphorylation and dephosphorylation vs., 389–390
Allosteric effectors, 383, 551
Allosteric interactions, 196
Allosteric modifiers, 386
Allosteric proteins, 195–197
Allosterism, 196–197, 196F, 197F
Alloxanthine, 826
Allysine, 144
Almassy, Robert, 805
α-adrenergic receptors, 405–406, 543
αα motif, 151F, 152
α-amino acids, 81, 81F, 89, 727F
α anomers, 224, 225F
α band (Soret band), 602
α/β barrel (TIM barrel), 154, 488, 680, 682
α/β proteins, 153, 154
α carbon, 81
α helix:
formation of, **135–137,** 137F
propensity of amino acid residues to form, 145T
side chain locations, 150F
in transmembrane proteins, 264–266
α keratins, 140–142
α_1–β_2 interface, hemoglobin, 191, 191F
α proteins, 152–153
ALT (alanine transaminase), 726
Alternative splicing, 63, 969–971, 969F, 970F
Altman, Sidney, 977
D-Altrose, 222F
Alu family, 1042
Alzheimer's disease, 173–175, 173F, 624
Amanita phalloides, 951
α-Amanitin, 951
Amatoxins, 951
Ambros, Victor, 1074
Ames, Bruce, 907
Ames test, 907–909, 908F
Amethopterin, 821
Amidophosphoribosyl transferase, in IMP synthesis, 803
Amines, 3F, 331, **762–763**
Amino acids, **80–94**
biologically active, **92–94,** 93F
derivatives of, **91–94**
as dipolar ions, **84,** 84F
essential, 747T
general properties, 81–83, 82T–83T
genetic code specification, 987T
glucogenic, 733
ketogenic, 733
nomenclature, **87–88,** 88F
nonessential, 747T
nutritive importance, 80
peptide bonds, **84**
p*K* values, 82T–83T, **86–87**
and polypeptide diversity, 99
and sickle-cell anemia, 198–199
side chains of, **84–86, 92**
specificity of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases for, 991
specifying, by codon, 983
stereochemistry, **88–91**
structure, **81–88,** 82T–83T
transport between tissues, 781
tRNA attachment to, 990–994
UV absorbance spectra, 102, 102F
D-amino acids, 89–91
L-amino acids, 89–91
Amino acid biosynthesis, **746–757**
citric acid cycle intermediates, 580
essential amino acids, 746, 747, **752–757**
mammalian metabolism, 775
nonessential amino acids, **747–752**
Amino acid breakdown, **733–746,** 733F
alanine, cysteine, glycine, serine, and threonine, **734–736**
arginine, glutamate, glutamine, histidine, and proline, **737–738**
asparagine and aspartate, **736–737**
branched-chain amino acids, 742–743, 742F
common intermediates, 733F
leucine and lysine, **743–744**
mammalian metabolism, 775, 779–780
methionine, threonine, isoleucine, and valine, **738–743**
phenylalanine and tyrosine, **745, 746**
tryptophan, **744**
Amino acid catabolism, 725F
Amino acid deamination, **724–728**
Amino acid derivatives, **91–94**
Amino acid metabolism, **718–770**
biosynthesis of amino acids, **746–757**
deamination, **724–728**
degradation of amino acids, **733–746**
heme from, **758–762**
nitric oxide from, **763–764**
nitrogen fixation, **764–770**
physiologically active amines from, **762–763**
protein degradation, **719–724**
urea cycle, **728–733**
Amino acid residues, 84, 84F
α helix/β sheet propensities, 145T
conservative substitution in, 122
Edman degradation of, **114–116**
hydropathies, 160T
hypervariable, 122
invariant, 122
pH effects, 332
Amino acid stem (tRNA), 988
Aminoacrylate, 735, 735F
Aminoacyl-adenylate, 457F, 991
Aminoacylation site (aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases), 991
Aminoacyl site (A), 1001, 1002, 1020, 1023–1024
Aminoacyl-tRNA (aa-tRNA), 457F, 991, 991F, 1005, 1015
Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs), **990–994**
class I vs. class II, 991
organisms lacking, 994
proofreading (editing) step, 993–994
and unique structural features of tRNA, 992–993
α-Aminoadipate, 743F
α-Aminoadipate-6-semialdehyde, 743F
Amino alcohols, **252–253**
p-Aminobenzoic acid, 738, 740
γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA), 93, 93F, 758, 762
N-(2-Aminoethyl)glycine, 877
Aminoglycosides, 1020
Amino group, 335F, **725–727**
5-Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide (AICAR), 757, 757F, 804F, 805
5-Aminoimidazole ribotide (AIR), 804F, 805
5-Aminoimidazole-4-(*N*-succinylocarboxamide) ribotide, *see* SAICAR
β-Aminoisobutyrate, 827, 827F
α-Amino-β-ketobutyrate, 734F, 735
α-Amino-β-ketobutyrate lyase, 735
δ-Aminolevulinic acid (ALA), 758, 759F
Aminopterin, 821
p-Aminosalicylate, 829
Amino sugars, 226
Amino terminus, *see N*-terminus
Aminotransferase, 725
Ammonia, 36F, 728–729, 825F
Ammonification, 770
Ammonium ions, 27F
Ammonium sulfate, 103
AMP (adenosine monophosphate):
in catabolism pathway of animals, 822F
from IMP, 806F
and inhibition of PFK, 505–506
structure of ATP and, 454F, 454T
synthesis, **806–807**
AMP deaminase, 822
AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK):
in cholesterol synthesis, 711
in fatty acid biosynthesis, 689
in metabolic homeostasis, **786–788,** 787F
regulation by, 698
amp gene, 930
Amphibolic pathways, 579, 580F
Amphipathic molecules, 29
Amphiphiles, 29, **249–252,** 263
Ampicillin, 67F
AMPK, *see* AMP-dependent protein kinase
AMPPNP (adenosine-5'(β,γ-imido)triphosphate; ADPNP), 411, 418F
amp^R gene, 69
Amylase, 232
β-Amylase, 244
Amylo-1,6-glucosidase deficiency (Cori's disease), 530
Amyloids, 173
Amyloid-β protein (Aβ), 173
Amyloid fibrils, 175–177, 175F
Amyloidoses, 173
Amylopectin, 231, 232, 537

α-Amylose, 231, 231F, 537
Amylo-(1,4 → 1,6)-transglycosylase (branching enzyme), 530, **535–536**
Amylo-(1,4 → 1,6)-transglycosylase deficiency (Andersen's disease), 530
Amytal, 595, 596
Anabolic steroids, 407
Anabolism, 442, 450, 798
Anacystis nidulans, 909, 910F
Anaerobes, 443
Anaerobic metabolism, 497, 777–778
Anaerobic muscle contraction, 777–778
Anaerobiosis, 479
Analbuminemia, 671
Anaplerotic reactions, 581
Andersen's disease, 530
Androgens, 254, 406, 407
Anemia:
  hemolytic, 198
  pernicious, 680
  sickle-cell, 61, 198–200, 200F, 519
Anencephaly, 740
Anfinsen, Christian, 163, 168
Angelman syndrome (AS), 1063
Angina pectoris, 763
Angstrom (Å), 12
Animal cells, 8F
Anions, separating cations from, 103–104
Anion channels, 303
Anion exchangers, 103
Ankyrin, 272
Ankyrin repeats, 272, 273F
Annealing, 68, 852, 891
α Anomers, 224, 225F
β Anomers, 224, 225F
Anomeric carbon, 224, 227
Anomeric forms, 224
Antagonists, 405, 543, 544
Antenna chlorophyll, 633, 633F
Antennapedia complex *(ANT-C),* 1093
Antennapedia mutant *(antp),* 1091
Anteriobithorax mutant *(abx),* 1091
Anterograde transport, 280
Anthranilate, 755, 755F
Antibiotics:
  peptidoglycan-specific, 238
  and protein synthesis, 1020–1021
  transcription inhibitors, 950, 951
  Type II topoisomerase inhibitors as, 848
Antibiotic-resistant transposons, 930, 931
Antibodies, **212–217**
  antigen cross-linking by, 215F
  antigen recognition by, **214–217**
  constant and variable regions of, **212–214**
  diversity of, 215–217, **1076–1080**
  in immunoassays, 101
  monoclonal, 215, 216
  X-ray structure, 213F
Antibody–drug conjugates, 216
Anticancer drugs, 420
Anticodons, 984
  codon–anticodon interactions, 994–995, 1013–1014, 1014F
  recognition of, 991
  and tRNA secondary structure, 977F
Anticodon arm (tRNA), 988
Anti conformation, 839, 839F
Antifolates, 821
Antigens, 101, 212
  antibody recognition of, **214–217,** 215F
  oligosaccharides as antigenic determinants, 241, 242, 242T
Antimycin A, 595, 596
Antioxidants, 625–626
Antiparallel β sheet, 138, 138F
Antiparallel strands, DNA, 48
Antiport, 309, 309F
Antiprism, 299
Antisense RNA, 1030, 1071
Antisense (noncoding) strand, 941, 941F
antp (antennapedia) mutant, 1091
Ap_5A, 460
Apaf-1 protein, 1087, 1089
AP endonuclease, 910
Apical domain, 276
ApoA-I (apolipoprotein A-I), 668, 668F
ApoB (apolipoprotein B), 971–972
ApoB-48 (apolipoprotein B-48), 971–972
ApoB-100 (apolipoprotein B-100), 668, 971–972
Apoenzymes, 327
Apolipoproteins, 668, 971–972
Apolipoprotein A-I (apo A-I), 668, 668F
Apolipoprotein B (apoB), 971–972
Apolipoprotein B-48 (apoB-48), 971–972
Apolipoprotein B-100 (apoB-100), 668, 971–972
Apomyoglobin, 116F
Apoproteins, 668
Apoptosis, 913, **1085–1089,** 1085F
Apoptosome, 1089, 1089F
Apoptotic bodies, 1086
APP (Aβ precursor protein), 173
APRT (Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase), 808
APS/Cbl complex, 439
AP (apurinic; antipyrmidinic) sites, 910, 912
Aptamers, 1051
Apurinic (AP; antipyrmidinic) sites, 910, 912
AQP0 (aquaporin-0), 262F
AQP1, 304–305, 305F
Aquaporins, **304–305,** 305F
Aquaporin-0 (AQP0), 262F
Arabidopsis species, 63
Arabidopsis thaliana, 585
D-Arabinose, 222F
Arachidonate, 705
Arachidonic acid, 257–258, 258F
Archaea (archaebacteria), 9, 9F
Architectural proteins, 1054–1056, 1064
AREs (AU-rich elements), 1070
Arginase, 732
Arginine (Arg):
  biosynthesis, 751, 751F, 752
  breakdown, **737–738,** 737F
  charged polar side chain, 86
  genetic code specification, 986
  as NO precursor, **763–764**
  in urea cycle, 730F, 732
Argininosuccinase, 732
Argininosuccinate, 730F, 732F
Argininosuccinate synthetase, 732
Argonaute (Ago), 1073
Argonaute2 (Ago2), 1073, 1073F
Aromatic amino acids, 755
ARS (autonomously replicating sequences), 901
Arsenicals, 568
Arsenic poisoning, 568
Arsenite, 568
Arteries, hardening of, 713–714
Artificial sweeteners, 229
Arylidene diamides, 393F
AS (Angelman syndrome), 1063
Ascorbic acid (vitamin C), 136, 142
A site, *see* Aminoacyl site
A (addition) site, 953, 953F
Asn, *see* Asparagine
AsnRS, 994
Asp, *see* Aspartic acid
Asp 52 residue, 342–344
L-Asparaginase, 736, 737
Asparagine (Asn):
  biosynthesis, 748–749, 748F
  breakdown, **736–737**
  and *N*-linked oligosaccharides, 238–239
  uncharged polar side chain, 85
Asparagine synthetase, 749
Aspartame, 229, 746
Aspartate:
  from amino acid degradation, 725
  amino acids from, 752–754, 753F
  in ATCase reaction, 383F
  biosynthesis, 581, 748–749, 748F
  breakdown, **736–737**
  charged polar side chain, 86, 86F
  reaction with carbamoyl phosphate, 383
  in urea cycle, 729, 730F, 732F
Aspartate aminotransferase, 548
β-Aspartate-semialdehyde, 753F
Aspartate transaminase (AST), 726
Aspartate transcarbamoylase (ATCase), 383–387
  binding sites, 384–386
  conformational changes, 386F
  feedback inhibition, 383–384
  and hemoglobin vs. other enzymes, 387
  regulation of nucleotide biosynthesis, **811–812**
  structural changes in, 386
  in UMP synthesis, 810
  v_o and aspartate concentration, 383F
  X-ray structure, 385F
Aspartic acid (Asp), 86, 332, 335
Aspartic protease, 376, 377
Aspartokinase, 753
Aspartyl phosphate residue, 311
Aspartyl-β-phosphate, 753, 753F
Aspartyl-tRNA synthetase (AspRS), 992, 993, 993F
Aspirin, 704, 705
AspRS • $tRNA^{Asp}$, 993F
Assimilation, nitrogen, 764, 768–770
Association studies, genome-wide, 1037
Assumptions, Michaelis–Menten, 364–367
AST (aspartate transaminase), 726
Asthma, 406, 432
Asturias, Francisco, 1065
Asx, 87
Asymmetric centers, 89, 90
Ataxia telangiectasia (ATM), 1083
ATCase, *see* Aspartate transcarbamoylase
Atherosclerosis, **713–714**
ATM (ataxia telangiectasia), 1083
Atomic force microscopy (AFM), 236
Atorvastatin (Lipitor), 712F
ATP (adenosine triphosphate):
  ATCase inhibition, 383, 386
  biological importance of, 453, 454
  in Calvin cycle, 651, 652
  cells' use of, 427
  and chemiosmotic theory, **610–613,** 610F
  as citric acid cycle regulator, 579
  coupled reactions involving, 455, 456F
  in dark reactions, 631, 658–660
  DNA ligase activation by, 895
  formation of, 45
  and free energy of phosphate hydrolysis, 454T
  and G-actin, 205F
  in gluconeogenesis, 549
  in glycolysis, **482, 484, 491–492, 494–496**
  and GroEL/GroES, 170–172, 170F
  in group transfer, **454–455**
  high-energy reservoir for formation of, 459
  and high-/low-energy phosphate compounds, 458F
  inhibition of PFK by, 505–506
  K_I for segments of, 379
  in light reactions, 631, 637, **650–651**
  metabolic role, 445F
  and molecular chaperones, 170
  and muscle contraction, 502, 777–778, 778F
  PGK and 3PG in complex with, 491F
  P/O ratio and synthesis of, **618–619**
  production control, 619–620, 622
  in purine biosynthesis regulation, 807
  in pyruvate carboxylase mechanism, 547F
  rate of oxidative phosphorylation and, **622–623**
  regeneration of, 458–459
  and standard free energy, 455
  structure, 454F
ATPase(s), 170, 205
  A-type, 310
  Ca^{2+}–, **312–314**
  F-type, 310
  P-type, 310
  (Na^+–K^+)–, **310–312,** 310F, 315, 316
  V-type, 310
ATP-cassette binding protein A1 (ABCA1), 714
ATP-citrate lyase, 580, 687
ATP driven complexes, 1053
ATP hydrolysis:
  and active transport, 310, 311
  and nitrogenase conformation, 766–767
  by Type II topoisomerases, 845–847
ATP mass action ratio, 622, 623
ATP synthase (F_1F_0-ATPase), 610, **613–618**. *See also* Complex V
  binding change mechanism, 615–616, 616F
  F_0 component, 613–615
  F_1 component, 613–614, 614F
  of mitochondria vs. chloroplasts, 650
  as rotary engine, 616–618
Atractyloside, 592
ATR protein kinase, 1084–1085
Attenuation, **1048–1050**

Attenuators, 1048–1050
atto- (prefix), 12
Attractylis gummifera, 592
A-type ATPases, 310
AU-rich elements (AREs), 1070
Autocatalytic trypsinogen activation, 356
Autoimmune diseases, 217, 217T
Autoinhibitor segments, 429
Autonomously replicating sequences (ARS), 901
Autophagy, 719
Autophosphorylation, 409–412
Autoradiographs, 106–107
Autoradiography, 71
Autotrophs, 443
Avandia, 395, 795
Avery, Oswald, 51
Avogadro's number *(N),* 12
2-Axahypoxanthine, 828
Axial positions, 225
Azathioprine, 826
3′-Azido-3′-deoxy thymidine (AZT, Zidovudine), 376
Azidomet myohemerythrin, 146F
Azotobacter vinelandii, 765, 765F, 985
AZT (3′-Azido-3′-deoxythymidine, Zidovudine), 376

Bacillus stearothermophilus:
DnaB, 889–890, 889F
PFK allosterism, 504, 505F
pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, 563F
Bacillus subtilis, 236, 237, 237F, 943
Bacillus thuringiensis, 75
Bacitracin, 554F, 555
Backbone, proteins, 133, 134, 134F
BACs (bacterial artificial chromosomes), 67, 70
Bacteria. *See also specific species*
cell walls, **235–237,** 236F, 237F
evolutionary domain, 9
gram-negative vs. -positive, 236
lipid biosynthesis, 275F
lysozyme action, 339
microfossil, 2F
tetracycline-resistant, 1021
transformed pneumococci, 51F
Bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs), 67, 70
Bacterial biofilms, 234
Bacterial oxalate transporter, 309
Bacteriochlorophyll *a* (BChl *a),* 633
Bacteriochlorophyll *b* (BChl *b),* 633
Bacteriophages, 54
Bacteriophage 434, 862, 862F
Bacteriophage λ, 67, 67F, 69, 69F
Bacteriophage SP01, 943
Bacteriophage T4, 897, 983
Bacteriopheophytin (BPheo), 637
Bacteriorhodopsin, 264–265, 264F, 600, 600F
Baculoviruses, 67
Baker, David, 167
Baker's yeast, *see Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
Baltimore, David, 900, 1079
BamHI-DNA complex, 861
Band 4.1 protein, 273F
B antigens, 242, 242T
Banting, Frederick, 468, 793, 794
Barber, James, 642
Barcroft, Joseph, 194
Barnett, John, 307
Barr bodies, 1053
Bases. *See also* Nucleotide bases
conjugate, 33, 35–36
defined, 33
weak, 35–36
Base excision repair (BER), **910–912**
Base flipping, 910
Base pairs (bp), 49, 834, 834F, **849,** 1006–1007
Basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH), 712, 867
Basic region leucine zipper (bZIP) proteins, 866, 867, 867F
Basic solutions, 32
Basolateral domain, 276
Bassham, James, 651
bcd (bicoid) gene, 1091
B cells (B lymphocytes), 212, 1052F
BChl *a* (bacteriochlorophyll *a*), 633
BChl *b* (bacteriochlorophyll *b*), 633
BCKDH (branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase), 572, 742
Bcl-2 family, 1087
Bcr protein, 420
B-DNA, 832–838
conformation, 832, 834, 836F–837F
dimer stacking energies, 850T
structural features, 835T
Beadle, George, 51
Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD), 207
Beckmann, Roland, 1004, 1027
Beer-Lambert law, 101
Ben-Shem, Adam, 1003
Benson, Andrew, 651
Benzoic acid, 672
BER (base excision repair), **910–912**
Berg, Paul, 993
Berger, James, 846, 847, 947
Beriberi, 500, 501
Berman, Helen, 142, 1047
Bernal, J. D., 131, 186, 680
Berson, Solomon A., 404
Berzelius, Jacob, 80
Best, Charles, 468, 793, 794
β-adrenergic receptors, 405–406, 543
β_2-adrenergic receptor (β_2AR), 425, 425F
β-adrenergic receptor kinase (βARK), 431
βαβ motif, 151, 151F
β anomers, 224, 225F
β_2AR (β_2-adrenergic receptor), 425, 425F
βARK (β-adrenergic receptor kinase), 431
β-arrestins, 431
β band (Soret band), 602
β barrels, 153–154, 153F, 266–267, **297**
β bends, 140
β bulge, 145
β-clamp, 893–895, 893F
β,γ double bond, 678
β hairpin motif, 151F, 152
β oxidation, **674–676**
fatty acid biosynthesis vs., 687F
Franz Knoop's hypothesis, 672, 672F
in mitochondria vs. peroxisomes, **684**
pathway, 674F
of unsaturated fatty acids, 677F
β propeller, 427
β proteins, 153
β-secretases, 173
β sheet, 135
amyloid fibrils as, 175–176
formation of, **138–140,** 138F, 139F, 145T
reverse turns, 140, 140F
side chain locations, 150F
β subunit, 893
b_H (cytochrome b_{562}), 604
BHA, 288, 289F
bHLH (basic helix–loop–helix), 712, 867
bHLH/Z protein, 867
BI167107 (analog), 425, 425F
Bibliome, 472
Bicoid (bcd) gene, 1091
Bicoid protein, 1091
Bidirectional replication, 881
Bilayers, 29, 29F. *See also* Lipid bilayers
Bile acids, 665–667, 665F
Bile salts, 665
Bilirubin, 760–762, 761F
Biliverdin, 760, 761F
Bimolecular nucleophilic substitution (S_N2) reactions, 337, 337F
Bimolecular reactions, 362–364
Binding affinity, protein, 103
Binding change mechanism, 615–616, 616F
Binding specificity, protein purification by, 102T
Bioavailability, 393
Biochemical signaling, **402–440**. *See also* Hormones
and heterotrimeric G proteins, **423–432**
and metabolic regulation, 785–786
and phosphoinositide pathway, **432–439**
and receptor tyrosine kinases, **408–423**
Biochemical standard-state, 18
Biochemistry, 1, 12
Biocytin, 546
Bioethics, 75
Biofilms, 234, 234F
Bioinformatics, **155–158,** 156T
Bioinformatics Project 2, 123
Biologically active amino acids, **92–94,** 93F
Biological molecules (biomolecules):
assimilation of fixed nitrogen, **768–770**
bond energies, 26T
from inanimate substances, **2–3**
self-replicating systems from, **3–5**
weak interactions between, 26–27
Biopterin, 745, 745F
Biosphere, energy flow in, 19, 19F
Biosynthetic pathways, 446
Biotin, 546, 546F, 547
Biotinyl–enzyme, 547F, 688
Biotinyllysine, 546
Bipartite promoters, 954
1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate (1,3-BPG), 458
and 2,3-BPG, 494
in Calvin cycle, 652, 653F
in gluconeogenesis, 545F
in glycolysis, 489–491
2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate (BPG), 194, 194F, 195, 494
Bisphosphoglycerate mutase, 494
2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate phosphatase, 494
Bisubstrate reactions, **372–373,** 372F
Bithorax complex *(BX-C),* 1093
Bithorax mutant *(bx),* 1091
b_L (cytochrome b_{566}), 604
Black, James, 826
Blackburn, Elizabeth, 902
Blastocyst, 1062
Blastoderm, 1089
Blobel, Günter, 277
Bloch, Konrad, 686, 707
Blood:
clotting of, 126F, 356–357
oxygen carrying capacity, 494
pH, 780, **780**
Blood–brain barrier, 393
Blood buffering system, 38
Blood glucose:
and diabetes mellitus, 792–795, 793F
and gluconeogenesis, 550F
and liver glucose conversion to G6P, 778–779, 779F
and starvation, 791
Bloodstream, 778
Blood types, 241, 242
Blood vessels, lipids in, 713
Blow, David, 347
Blue copper proteins, 646F
Blunt ends, 56
Blunt end ligation, 895
B lymphocytes (B cells), 212, 1052F
BMD (Becker muscular dystrophy), 207
Bohr, Christian, 193
Bohr effect, 192–194, 193F
Boltzmann constant (k_B), 12, 13, 369
Bond energies, 26T
Bond rotation, DNA, 838–840, 838F, 839F
Bone, growth hormones and, **407–408**
BoNT/A, 286
BoNT/G, 286
Bordetella pertussis, 431
Botulism, 286
Bovine carboxypeptidase A, 139F
Bovine chymotrypsinogen, hydropathic index plot, 160F
Bovine cytochrome *c* oxidase, 607F
Bovine F1-ATPase, 614F
Bovine insulin, 98F, 110
Bovine milk xanthine oxidase, 824F
Bovine pancreatic RNase A, 332–334, 334F
Bovine pancreatic RNase S, 332F
Bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI), 353–354, 353F
Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE; mad cow disease), 174
Boyer, Herbert, 68
Boyer, Paul, 615
bp, *see* Base pairs
BPG, *see* 2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate
1,3-BPG, *see* 1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate
BPheo (bacteriopheophytin), 637
B proteins, 967, 967F
BPTI (bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor), 353–354, 353F

Brain:
blood–brain barrier, 393
mammalian metabolism in, **775–776,** 776F
Branched-chain amino acid degradation, 742–743, 742F
Branched-chain α-keto acid dehydrogenase (BCKDH), 572, 742
Branching enzyme (amylo-(1,4 → 1,6)-transglycosylase), 530, **535–536**
Branch migration, 917
Brändén, Carl-Ivar, 654
Braunschweig Enzyme Database (BRENDA), 472
Braunstein, Alexander, 726
BRCA1, 925
BRCA2, 925
BRE, 955F
Breaker, Ronald, 1050–1051
Breathing, conformational, 164
BRENDA (Braunschweig Enzyme Database), 472
Brenner, Sidney, 983
Bridge helix, 953
Briggs, George E., 366
Brittle bone disease, 143
Broad beans, 518
Broad-spectrum antibiotics, 1021
Brodsky, Barbara, 142
5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-hydroxyindole, 68
5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactosidase (X-gal), 68
Bromodomains, 1058–1059, 1059F
5-Bromouracil (5BU), 935
Brønsted, Johannes, 33
Brown, Adrian, 364
Brown, Michael, 670, 711
Brown adipose tissue, 620
Brown fat, 620
Brownian ratchet, 953
Bruice, Thomas, 339
BSE (bovine spongiform encephalopathy), 174
Bt corn, 75
b-type cytochromes, *see specific cytochromes*
5BU (5-bromouracil), 935
Bubonic plague, 422
Buchanan, John, 803
Buchner, Eduard, 322, 478
Buffers, **36–39**
Buffering capacity, 38
Bundle-sheath cells, 660
Bunick, Gerard, 869
Burk, Dean, 370
Burley, Stephen, 957
α,β-*trans*-Butenoyl-ACP, 691F
N-Butyldeoxynojirimycin, 707
Butyramide, 319
Butyryl-ACP, 691F
BX-C (bithorax complex), 1093
bx (bithorax) mutant, 1091
bZIP proteins, *see* Basic region leucine zipper proteins

C (Coulomb), 12
C2 (purine atom), 805
C2′-*endo* conformation, 839–840, 839F
C3′-*endo* conformation, 839–840, 839F
C3G, 438F
C_3 plants, 661
C4 (purine atom), 803
C_4 cycle, 660–661
C_4 plants, 660–661
C5 (purine atom), 803
C6 (purine atom), 805
C8 (purine atom), 805
C_{20} fatty acids, **703–704**
CA1P (2-carboxyarabinitol-1-phosphate), 657
Ca^{2+} ion:
active transport, **312–314**
and calmodulin, **434–436,** 436F
citric acid cycle control, 579
cytoplasmic release of, **433–434**
and G-actin, 205F
and glycogen breakdown control, 538, 539
with metal-activated enzymes, 335
and tropomyosin, 210, 210F
vitamin D control of, 255–256
Ca^{2+}–ATPase (Ca^{2+} pump), **312–314**
Cadmium (Cd^{2+}) ion, Zn^{2+} ion replacement, 326
Caenorhabditis elegans, 63, 414, 1071, 1072, 1074, 1075
Caffeine, 431, 828
Cahn, Robert, 90
Cahn–Ingold–Prelog *(RS)* system, 90
CAIR (Carboxyaminoimidazole ribotide), in IMP synthesis, 804F, 805
Cairns, John, 881, 907
CAK (Cdk-activating kinase), 1081
Calcineurin (PP2B), 423
Calcium, muscle contraction and, 208–210, 208F–209F
Calcium ion, *see* Ca^{2+} ion
Calf thymus histones, 868T
Calmodulin (CaM), **434–436,** 434F–436F, 539
Calnexin, 1029
Caloric restriction, 792
Calorie (cal), 12
Calreticulin, 1029
Calvin, Melvin, 651, 654
Calvin cycle (reductive pentose phosphate cycle), **651–658,** 651F
carbon dioxide fixation in, **651–655**
control of, **656–658**
free energy changes for reactions, 657T
starch, sucrose, and cellulose from, **655–656**
CaM (calmodulin), **434–436,** 434F–436F, 539
CAM (crassulacean acid metabolism), 661
Cambillau, Christian, 665
Camels, 664
cAMP (adenosine-3',5'-cyclic monophosphate):
in complex with CAP, 1046–1048, 1047F
of G proteins, 424
PKA activation by, 538, 698
synthesis of, **427–431**
cAMP-dependent protein kinase (cAPK), 428
CAM plants, 661
cAMP-phosphodiesterases (cAMP-PDEs), 432
cAMP receptor protein (CRP), 1046
Camptothecin, 848
Cancer, 479
L-asparaginase as anticancer agent, 737
and fatty acid synthase expression, 693
metabolism of cancer cells, **796–798**
oncogenes, 416
PTKs as targets for anticancer drugs, 420
and telomerase, 905
and thymidylate synthesis, 821
tumor suppressors, **1082–1085**
Type II topoisomerases as anticancer agent, 848
Candida albicans, 585
Canine signal recognition particle, 1027F
Cantor, Charles, 857
CAP (catabolite activator protein), 1046–1048, 1047F
CAP (Cbl-associated protein), 438F
Cap-binding complex (CBC), 962
Cap-binding protein (eIF4E), 1010, 1010F
CAP-cAMP complex, 1046–1048
Capillary electrophoresis (CE), 107–108
cAPK (cAMP-dependent protein kinase), 428
Capping (process), 905
Capping enzyme, 962
Cap structure, eukaryotic mRNA, 962, 962F
CapZ, 207
Carbamate, 194, 731, 731F
Carbamoyl aspartate, 809F, 810
N-Carbamoyl aspartate, 383
Carbamoyl phosphate, 453
reaction with aspartate, 383
in UMP synthesis, 809F, 810
in urea cycle, 729, 730F, 731F
Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase (CPS), 729–731, 731F
Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase I, *see* CPS I
Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase II (CPS II), 729, **810–812**
Carbinolamine, 331, 727F
Carbohydrates, **221–242**
defined, 221
glycoproteins, **234–242**
metabolism of, in liver, 779
monosaccharides, **222–227**
and obesity, 795–796
from photosynthesis, 630, 651–658
polysaccharides, **228–234**
starvation and degradation of, 791
Carbohydrate biosynthesis, **551–555**. *See also* Gluconeogenesis
and glycosidic bond formation, 552
N-linked oligosaccharides, 553–555
O-linked oligosaccharides, 553, 553F, 554F
Carbohydrate recognition markers, 284
Carbon:
α, 81
anomeric, 224, 227
oxidation states of, 447
Carbon bonds:
C_α—C_β, 735–736
in glycolysis, **484–485**
in pentose phosphate pathway, **515–517**
reactions that make or break, 448
Carbon dioxide:
from C_4 cycle, 660–661
from citric acid cycle, 562, **571–572**
fixation of, **651–655**
GAP from, 652–654
and oxygen dissociation, 194F
from photorespiration, 659–661
in photosynthesis, 630, 631, 651–655
from pyruvate fermentation, **498–501**
transport of, 193–194, 193F
Carbonic anhydrase, 193, 336F
Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase, 680
Carbonyl carbon atom, 335F
Carboxyaminoimidazole ribotide (CAIR), 804F, 805
2-Carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate, 654F
2-Carboxyarabinitol-1-phosphate (CA1P), 657
Carboxyatractyloside (CATR), 592, 592F
Carboxybiotinyl–enzyme, 546F, 547F, 688
γ-Carboxyglutamate, 92F
Carboxyl group, 27F
Carboxylic acids, 3F
Carboxyl terminus, *see C*-terminus
Carboxymethylcysteine, 113, 489
Carboxymethyl (CM) groups, in cation exchangers, 103
Carboxypeptidase A, 139F
Carboxyphosphate, 547F, 731
2-Carboxypropyl-CoA, 680, 681F
Carcinogens, **907–909**
Cardiac glycosides, 312
Cardiolipin, 702
Cardiovascular disease, 249
Carnitine, **672–673**
Carnitine palmitoyl transferase I, 673, 698, 787
Carnitine palmitoyl transferase II, 673
β-Carotene, 75, 257, 634
Carotenoids, 634
Carriers, 295
Carrier ionophores, 295–296, 296F
Cartilage, growth hormones and, **407–408**
Cas9 protein, 926–979
Caspases (cysteinyl aspartate-specific proteases), 1086–1087
Caspase-1, 520
Caspase-3, 1087
Caspase-7, 1086–1087, 1086F
Caspase-8, 1087
Caspase-9, 1089
Caspase-10, 1087
Caspase-activated DNase, 1087
Cas (CRISPR-associated) proteins, 926–929
Cassette exons, 970
CAT (chloramphenicol acetyltransferase), 1064
Catabolism, 442. *See also* Glucose catabolism
overview, 446F
purine ribonucleotides, **823–825**
thermodynamics, 450
Catabolite gene activator protein (CAP), 1046–1048, 1047F
Catabolite repression, **1046–1048**
Catalase, 324, 626
Catalysts, 6, 20
cytochrome *c* oxidase as, 608–609
enzymes as, 323, 324, 447–448
and free energy of activation, 329–330, 329F
pyruvate dehydrogenase as, **564–567**
RNA as, 853–856
RuBP carboxylase as, 654–655
Catalytic constant, *see* k_{cat}

Catalytic mechanisms, **330–339**
acid-base catalysis, **330–334**
chymotrypsin, 350–355, 351F
covalent catalysis, **334–335,** 334F
DNA polymerase, 887
lysozyme, **339–345,** 343F
metal ion catalysis, **335–336**
orientation effects in, **336–338,** 337F
preferential transition state binding, **338–339,** 338F
proximity effects in, **336–338**
serine proteases, **345–358**
Catalytic perfection, 488
Catalytic residues, of lysozyme mechanism, 342, 344
Catalytic triads, 348, 348F
Cataplerotic reactions, 580
Cataracts, 793F
Catechol, 405, 762
Catecholamines, 405, 762, 763, 763F, **783–786**
Catenation, 843
CATH (computer program), 157
Cathepsins, 719
Cathepsin D, 284
Cations, 103–104, 850
Cation channels, 303–304
Cation exchanger, 103
Cationic imines, 335F
CATR (carboxyatractyloside), 592, 592F
CBC (cap-binding complex), 962
Cbl-associated protein (CAP), 438F
CCA-adding polymerase, 978
CCAAT box, 955
C_α—C_β bond, 735–736
CCVs, *see* Clathrin-coated vesicles
Cd^{2+} ion, Zn^{2+} ion replacement, 326
Cdks (cyclin-dependent protein kinases), 1081–1082, 1082F
Cdk2, 1081, 1082F
Cdk4/6–cyclin D complexes, 1085
Cdk7, 1081
Cdk-activating kinase (CAK), 1081
cDNA (complementary DNA), 70–71, 900
cDNA libraries, **70–71**
CDP–choline, 701F
CDP–diacylglycerol, 702, 703F
CDP–ethanolamine, 701F
CDP–glucose, 552
CE (capillary electrophoresis), 107–108
CE (computer program), 157
Cech, Thomas, 853, 974, 975
Celecoxib (Celebrex), 392, 395, 705
Cells:
architecture of, **5–11**
membranes and shape of, **271–273**
metabolic pathways in, 448–449
metabolic reactions of, **6–7**
prokaryotic and eukaryotic, **7–8**
Cell cycle, 721, 868, **1080–1082**
Cellular immunity, 212
Cellular prion protein (PrP^C), 174
Cellulases, 231
Cellulose, **230–231,** 230F, 656
Cell wall(s), 230F, **235–237,** 236F, 237F
Central dogma of molecular biology, 52, 53, 880, 938, 982
Central pores, of voltage-gated cation channels, 303
Centromeres, 868F, 869, 902, 1039
Ceramides, 252, 700, 704F, 706
Cerebrosides, 253, 703, 704
Cer-phosphocholine, 706
CFI (cleavage factor I), 962
CF_1CF_0 complex, 650
CFII (cleavage factor II), 962
CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator), 315
cGMP, 432
cGMP-PDEs, 432
CGN (cis Golgi network), 280
C_H (constant region), 214
C_H1, 214
C_H2, 214
C_H3, 214
Chaetomorpha species, 230F
Chain elongation, **943–946,** 944F, 1005, 1019F
Chain initiation, **1006–1011**
Chain termination, **1023–1024**
Chain-terminator method, **57–59,** 58F
Chair conformation, 341F
Chamberlin, Michael, 946
Chang, Geoffrey, 314
Changeux, Jean-Pierre, 196
Channels, 295
Channel-forming ionophores, 296, 296F
Channeling, 731
Chaotropic agents, 162
Chaperones:
molecular, **168–172**
protein folding by, **1025–1026**
Chaperonins, 170–172, 171F
Chargaff, Erwin, 47, 51
Chargaff's rules, 47, 49, 51
Charges, **106–108,** 850
Charged polar side chains, 83T, 86, 86F, 150
Charifson, Paul, 1086, 1087
Charpentier, Emmanuelle, 927
Checkpoints, cell cycle, 1081
Chemical agents, **905–907**
Chemical kinetics, **362–364**
Chemical mutagens, 905
Chemical potential, 16, 294
Chemical potential difference, 294
Chemical protons, 609
Chemiosmotic theory, **610–613**
Chemolithotrophs, 443
Cheng, Xiaodong, 1061
Chicken:
Complex II, 603F
skeletal muscle troponin, 207F
Chimera, 67
Chiral centers, 89, 90
Chirality, 89–91
Chiral organic synthesis, 91
Chi sequence, 920
Chitin, **230**
Chk2 (activated protein kinase), 1083
Chl *a* (chlorophyll *a*), 633
Chl *b* (chlorophyll *b*), 633
Chloramphenicol, 1021
Chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT), 1064
Chloride (Cl^-) ion, 297, 304
Chlorocruorins, 185
Chlorophylls, 632–633, 632F, 633F
Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), 633
Chlorophyll *b* (Chl *b*), 633
Chloroplasts, 8, **631–635,** 631F
evolution, 10
light-absorbing pigments, **632–635**
oxygen generation, 644F
oxygen yield per flash, 643F
thylakoid membranes, **631–632,** 640F
Chloroquine, 391F
Cholecalciferol (Vitamin D_3), 255, 256
Cholera toxin, 253, 431
Cholesterol, 254, 254F
biosynthesis, **706–714**
as membrane fluidity modulator, 261
synthesis regulation, **710–713**
transport, 669F, 671, **713–714**
uses of, 710
Cholesteryl esters, 254, 710
Cholesteryl stearate, 254
Choline, 252, 346, 701, 701F
Chondroitin-4-sulfate, 233, 233F
Chondroitin-6-sulfate, 233, 233F
Chorismate, 755, 755F
Chorthippus parallelus, 916F
Chou, Peter, 145, 167
Chromatids, 868F
Chromatin:
ATP-driven complexes, 1053
bromodomains, 1058–1059
chromatin-remodeling complexes, 1053–1054
and genomic imprinting, 1063
and histone acetyltransferases, 1056–1058
and histones, 1056, 1059–1060
and HMG proteins, 1054–1056
and methyltransferases, 1060–1062
nucleosomes in, 869F
self-perpetuating DNA methylation, 1062–1063
structure, 868, **870–874, 1052–1063**
Chromatin map, 1057F
Chromatin-remodeling complexes, 1053–1054, 1055F
Chromatography, **103–106,** 104F–106F, **856**
Chromodomains, 1060
Chromophore, 102
Chromosomes, 49, 868F
end-to-end fusion of, 905
eukaryotic, **868–874**
histone-depleted metaphase, 872–874, 872F, 873F
histones, 868–870, 868T
insertions and deletions, 931
interphase, 874
nucleosomes, **868–870**
rearrangements, 931, 933F
recombination, 933F
replication of linear, 902, 902F
Chromosome inactivation, 1053
Chromosome territories, 874, 874F
Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), 420
Chylomicrons, 668, 669
Chylomicron remnants, 669
Chymotrypsin, 129
active site, 346–347, 348F, 349F
catalytic mechanism, 350–355, 351F
function of, 346
geometric specificity, 325–326
polypeptide degradation, 724
specificity, 348, 348F
TPCK binding with, 346, 347F
X-ray structure, 346–350
Chymotrypsinogen, 160F, 348, 356, 357
Ciechanover, Aaron, 720
Cimetidine, 826
Cinchona tree, 391
Ciprofloxacin, 848
Circular duplex DNA, 840F
Cis-acting regulatory elements, 1063
Cis cisternae, 280
Cis conformation, 133
Cis Golgi network (CGN), 280
Cisternae, 280
Cisternal progression, 280
Cistron, 941
Citrate:
in citric acid cycle, 559, 560F, **570–571**
in glyoxylate cycle, 584F
interconversion of isocitrate and, 325
in tricarboxylate transport system, 688F
Citrate synthase:
in citric acid cycle, **568–570,** 570F, 577–579
conformational changes, 569F
Citric acid cycle (Krebs cycle; tricarboxylic acid cycle; TCA cycle), 446, **558–586**
acetyl-CoA synthesis, **562–568**
aconitase in, **570–571,** 578
amino acid degradation, 733F
amphibolic functions, 579, 580F
citrate synthase, **568–570,** 577–579
coordinated control, 623F
electron transport sites, 589F
energy-producing capacity, 575
enzymes of, **568–575,** 577–579
evolution, 582–583
free energy of reactions with, 577T
fumarase in, **574**
and gluconeogenesis, 546, 547
glyoxylate cycle, **582–585**
and glyoxylate cycle, 583
isocitrate dehydrogenase in, **571–572,** 571F, 577, 578
α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase in, **572,** 577–579
malate dehydrogenase in, **574–575**
in mammalian metabolism, 775
overview, **559–562**
pathways using citric acid cycle intermediates, **580–581**
products of, 575F
pyruvate dehydrogenase in, **562–567, 576–577**
reactions of, 560F
regulation of, **575–579,** 578F
related reactions, **579–585**
replenishment of citric acid cycle intermediates, **581, 582**
succinate dehydrogenase in, **574,** 574F
succinyl-CoA in, 682–684
succinyl-CoA synthetase in, **572–573,** 573F
Citrulline, 730F, 731–732, 732F, 763
Citrullyl-AMP, 732F
Citryl-CoA, 569, 570
CJD (Cruetzfeldt–Jakob disease), 174

CKIs (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors), 1081
C_L (constant region), 214
Cl^- ion, 297, 304
Claisen ester cleavage, 675–676
C_λ, 1078
Clamp (of Rpb2), 952
Clamp loader, 894, 895
Clark, Brian, 1012
Class I aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, 991, 991T
Class II aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, 991, 991T
Class II genes, 1063
Clathrin, 282–284
Clathrin-coated pits, 670
Clathrin-coated vesicles (CCVs), 282–284, 283F, 670, 671
ClC Cl^- channels, 303–304, 303F
Cl^- channels, 303–304
Cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF), 962
Cleavage factor I (CFI), 962
Cleavage factor II (CFII), 962
Cleland, W. W., 373
Clinical trials, **393–395**
Clones, 66, 67
Cloned DNA, **66–71**
  creating, **66–70**
  in DNA libraries, **70–71**
  expression of, 72, 73
  and selection, 68–70
Cloning:
  and DNA methylation, 1062–1063
  molecular, 66
  shotgun, 70
  techniques, 66–68
Cloning vectors, 67
Closed complex, 940
Closed systems, 18
Clostridium botulinum, 286, 906
Clostridium sticklandii, 338
Clp, 723
ClpP, 724, 724F
CM (carboxymethyl) groups, 103
CML (chronic myelogenous leukemia), 420
CMP (cytidine monophosphate), 827F
Cn3D (computer program), 158
CNBr treatment, 129
Co^{2+}, as cofactor, 335
CoA, *see* Coenzyme A
Coactivators, 1056–1059
Coagulation cascade, 356–357
CoASH, *see* Coenzyme A
Coated vesicles, 281–282, 281F
  clathrin, 282–284, 283F, 670, 671
  fusion with plasma membrane, 282F
  protein transportation, 281–282
Coatomer, 282
Cobalamin, 679, 680
Coccolithophores, 34
Cochaperones, 169
Cockayne syndrome (CS), 913
Coding (sense) strand, 941, 941F, 942, 942F
Codons, 52F, **983–984,** 987T
  codon–anticodon interactions, **994–995,** 1013–1014, 1014F
  evolution, 986
  frequently used, 995
  nonsense, 986
  phenotypically silent, 986
  Start, 986, 987
  Stop, 970, 986, 1023, 1024
Coenzymes, 326, 444–445, 444T
Coenzyme A (CoA; CoASH), 446, 453, 461, 561, 672
Coenzyme B_{12}, 679, 679F
Coenzyme Q (CoQ; ubiquinone), 594
  and Complex II, **601–602**
  electron path from, 604–605
  metabolic role, 256
  oxidation state, 599F
  and proton translocation, 600–601
Coenzyme Q_6, 598
Coenzyme Q_8, 598
Coenzyme Q_{10}, 598
Coenzyme Q-cytochrome *c* oxidoreductase, *see* Complex III
Coenzyme QH•, 599F
Coenzyme QH_2, 599F
Cofactors, **326–327,** 326F, 335–336
Cohen, Philip, 729
Cohen, Stanley, 68
Coils, random, 144
Coiled coil structure, 140–142, 141F
Cointegrate, 931, 932F
Colipase, 665, 666, 666F
Collagen, 142–144, 142F–144F
Collagen diseases, 143
Colligative properties, 29, 30
Collins, Francis, 60, 63
Collip, James, 794
Colony *(in situ)* hybridization, 71, 71F
Combinatorial chemistry, 392–393, 393F
Committed step (metabolic pathways), 450
Compartmentation, in cells, 6
Competition, 308
Competitive enzyme inhibition, **374–380,** 380T
  degree of, 377, 378, 378F
  HIV enzyme inhibitors, 376–377
  measuring K_I, 378–379, 379F
Competitive inhibitors, 374–379
Complementarity, 5F, 324
Complementary arrangements, 3, 3F, 5
Complementary base pairing, DNA, 49, 49F
Complementary DNA (cDNA), 70–71, 900
Complex I (NADH-coenzyme Q oxidoreductase), **597–601,** 597F
  and bacteriorhodopsin, 600
  coenzymes of, 598
  electrons in, 598, 599
  sequence of operation, 594, 597F
  translocation of protons by, 599–601
Complex II (succinate-coenzyme Q oxidoreductase):
  electron donation by, **601, 602**
  redox cofactors in, 602, 603F
  sequence of operation, 594, 595, 597F
Complex III (coenzyme Q-cytochrome *c* oxidoreductase; cytochrome bc_1), **602–607,** 604F
  and cytochrome *c,* 606–607
  electrons from, 604–606
  return of electrons by, 639
  sequence of operation, 594, 597F
Complex IV (cytochrome *c* oxidase):
  control, 622
  oxygen reduction by, **607–609,** 607F, 608F
  sequence of operation, 594, 595, 597F
Complex systems, emergent properties of, **437–439**
Complex V (ATP synthase; protonpumping ATP synthase; F_1F_0-ATPase), 610, **613–618**
Composite transposons, 931, 931F
Concanavalin A, 139F, 150F
Concentration, protein, 101–102
Concerted acid–base catalyzed reactions, 330
Condensation reactions, 3, 84, 84F, 708–710
Condensing enzyme, 690
Configuration(s):
  absolute, 89
  of monosaccharides, **223–224**
  number of equivalent, 13
  relative, 89, 90
Conformation(s):
  citrate synthase, 569F
  DNA, **832–838**
  glycogen phosphorylase, 528
  hemoglobin, **185–187, 190–197**
  monosaccharides, **224, 225**
  polypeptides, **132–135,** 133F
  transport proteins, **305–309**
Congenital erythropoietic porphyria, 760
Conjugate acids, 33
Conjugate bases, 33, 35–36
Conjugate redox pair, 463
Connexins, 305, 306
Connexin 26, 306
Connexons, 306
Consensus sequence, 942, 965F
Conservative replication, 879, 880
Conservative substitution, 122
Constant region, **212–214**
Constitutive enzymes, 946
Constriction region (aquaporin), 304
Contiguous genes, transcription of, 945, 946F
Contours (in electron density maps), 146
Contour length, 868
Contraction, muscle, *see* Muscle contraction
Controlled rotation mechanism, 844, 845
Convergent evolution, 350
Cooperative denaturation, 162
Cooperative oxygen binding, **187–190**
Cooperativity, transcription factor, 1064–1065
COPI, 282
COPII, 282
Copper ions, *see* Cu ions
Coproporphyrinogen III, 759F
Coproporphyrinogen oxidase, 759
CoQ, *see* Coenzyme Q
Cordycepin, 979
Core, *lac* repressor, 1045
Core enzyme, 939, 943
Core particles:
  nucleosome, 869
  nucleosomes, 870F
  of nucleosomes, 869F
  posttranslational modifications of, 1056F
Corepressors, 1048
Core promoter element, 954
Core proteins, 235
Corey, Robert, 133, 136, 138
Cori, Carl, 526, 780
Cori, Gerty, 526, 780
Cori cycle, 526, 780, 780F, 781
Cori's disease, 530
Corn (maize), 64, 64F, 75, 631F, 929
Corrin ring, 680
Cortex, adrenal gland, 405
Cortisol, 254, 255F, 406
Cosubstrates, 326
Cotranslational glycosylation, 238
Coulomb (C), 12
Coulomb's law, 26
Coupled reactions:
  enzymatic, 101
  in glycolysis, 491–492
  high-energy compounds in, **455–457**
Covalent catalysis, **334–335,** 334F, 344
Covalent intermediate, lysozyme reaction, 342–345
Covalent linkages, in sugars, **227**
Covalent modification, 383
  of glycogen phosphorylase, **536–539**
  of glycogen synthase, **536–542**
  of histones, 1056
  for metabolic flux control, 451
  and protein phosphorylation, **387–391**
  of proteins, **1026–1029**
  of pyruvate dehydrogenase, **576–577,** 576F
Covariance, 1003
COX, 704
Cox, Michael, 922
COX-1, 705
COX-2, 705
COX-2 inhibitors, 705
COX-3, 705
Coxibs, 705
Cozymase, 478
CP43 (PsbC), 641
CP47 (PsbB), 641
CpG islands, 908, 954, 1036
CPS (carbamoyl phosphate synthetase), 729–731, 731F
CPS I (carbomyl phosphate synthetase I), 729, 731, 731F
CPS II (carbomyl phosphate synthetase II), 729, **810–812**
CPSF (cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor), 962
Cramer, William, 645
c-*ras* gene, 416
Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM), 661
Crawling macrophage, 211, 211F
Creatine kinase, 459, 506
Creatine phosphate, 453
CREB (cyclic AMP response element-binding protein), 164–165, 165F
Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD), 174
Crick, Francis, 47, 52, 132, 203, 832, 833, 879, 938, 983, 986, 988, 995
CRISPRs, 925–926
CRISPR-associated (cas) proteins, 926–929
CRISPR–Cas9 system, **925–929,** 925F
Cristae, 590, 613F
CrkII, 438F
Crohn's disease, 1037

Crossing-over, 916, 916F
Crosstalk, 417
Crotonyl-CoA, 743F
CRP (cAMP receptor protein), 1046
crRNAs, 926
Cruciforms, 876
Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), **149**
Cryptic splice sites, 971
CS (Cockayne syndrome), 913
C_κ segment, 1077
Csl4, 1071
c-*src* gene, 416
c subunit, ATP synthase, 617–618, 617F, 618F
CTCF, 1066
CTD, *see* C-terminal domain
CTD kinases, 951
CTD phosphatases, 951
C-terminal α helix, *lac* repressor, 1045
C-terminal domain (CTD), 950, 951, 961, 966
C-terminus (carboxyl terminus), 84, 1004, 1004F
CTP (cytidine triphosphate):
  ATCase inhibition, 383–384, 384F, 386
  synthesis, **811,** 811F
CTP synthetase, 811
c-type cytochromes, *see specific cytochromes*
Cu_A center, cytochrome c oxidase, 607
Cu_B, cytochrome c oxidase, 607
Cu ions:
  as cofactors, 326, 335
  Cu_A center, 607
  Cu_B, 607
Curie, Eve, 404
Curved arrow convention, 331
Cushing's syndrome, 255
Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase, 625F
C value, 1034
C-value paradox, 1034
Cyanide, 595
Cyanobacteria, 630
Cyanocobalamin, 681
Cyanogen bromide, 114, 114F
Cyanosis, 198
CybL (Complex II subunit), 602
CybS (Complex II subunit), 602
Cyclic AMP response element-binding protein (CREB), 164–165, 165F
Cyclic sugars, 224
Cyclic symmetry, 159, 159F
Cyclins, 721, 1081
Cyclin A, 1081
Cyclin-dependent kinase-2 (Cdk2), 1081, 1082F
Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CKIs), 1081
Cyclin-dependent protein kinases (Cdks), 1081–1082, 1082F
Cyclin H, 1081
Cyclization, in IMP synthesis, 805
Cyclooxygenase, 704
Cyclopentanoperhydrophenanthrene, 254
Cyclosporin A, 423
CYP2E1, 396
CYP3A4, 395, 397
Cys, *see* Cysteine
Cys_2–His_2 zinc finger, 864–866
Cys_6 zinc finger, 865
CysRS, 994
Cystathionine, 738, 739F
Cysteine (Cys):
  acid-base catalysis by, 332
  biosynthesis of, 752
  breakdown of, 734, 734F, 735
  covalent catalysis by, 335
  disulfide bonds, 85, 85F, 113
  genetic code specification for, 985
  in methionine breakdown, 738, 739F
  uncharged polar side chains of, 85
Cysteine proteases, 1086
Cysteinyl aspartate-specific proteases (caspases), 1085–1086
Cystic fibrosis, 60
Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), 315
Cystine, 113
Cyt, *see* Cytosine
Cytidine, 827F
Cytidine deaminase, 972
Cytidine monophosphate (CMP), 827F
Cytidine triphosphate, *see* CTP
Cytochromes, 327, 602–603
Cytochrome *a,* 607
Cytochrome a_3, 607
Cytochrome *b,* 602, 604
Cytochrome b_6, 645
Cytochrome b_6f complex, 640, 641, 645–646, 645F
Cytochrome b_{559}, 641
Cytochrome b_{560}, 602
Cytochrome b_{562}, 152–153, 152F
Cytochrome b_{562} (b_H), 604
Cytochrome b_{566} (b_L), 604
Cytochrome bc_1, *see* Complex III
Cytochrome *c,* 594, 604F
  evolution, 120–121, 125, 125F
  occurrence, 155, 155F
  phylogenetic tree for, 122, 123F
  ribbon diagram, 606F
  of selected species, 120T–121T
  side chain location, 151F
  as soluble electron carrier, 606–607
Cytochrome c_1, 602
Cytochrome c_2, 639
Cytochrome *c* oxidase, *see* Complex IV
Cytochrome *d,* 612
Cytochrome *f,* 645
Cytochrome P450, **395–397,** 395F
Cytoglobin, 183
Cytokines, 417–418, 1066–1068
Cytokine receptors, 1066
Cytoplasm, 7, 972–973
Cytosine (Cyt), 43
  base pairing, 49F, 849F
  and Chargaff's rules, 47
  as common nucleotide, 44
  and hydroxylamine, 935
  modified forms of, in tRNA, 989F
  oxidative deamination, 906F
Cytoskeleton, 8
Cytosol, 8
  acetyl-CoA transport to, **687, 688**
  heme biosynthesis in, 759F
  metabolic functions, 448F
  metabolite transport from, 548–549, 548F
Cytosolic reducing equivalents, 591–592

D (dalton), 12
D (dielectric constant), 26
D (Fischer convention), 89
D1 (PsbA), 641
D1 proteins, 967
D2 (PsbD), 641
D2 proteins, 967
D3 proteins, 967, 967F
DAG, *see* 1,2-Diacylglycerol
Dalgarno, Lynn, 1007
Dalton (D), 12
Dam methyltransferase, 908
Dansylamino acid, 113F
Dansyl chloride, 110, 112, 113F
Dark reactions (photosynthesis), 630–631, **651–661**
  Calvin cycle, **651–658**
  carbon dioxide fixation, **651–655**
  control of Calvin cycle, **656–658**
  overview, 651F
  and photorespiration, **658–661**
  starch, sucrose, and cellulose from, **655–656**
D arm (tRNA), 988
Darnell, James, 1066
Darst, Seth, 940
Darunavir, 377, 397
Darwin, Charles, 10, 64, 568
Databases:
  nucleotide sequence, 61, 118T
  protein sequence, **118–119,** 118T
Davies, David, 756
Davis, Ron, 68
Dawkins, Richard, 10
Dayhoff, Margaret, 122
Dbp5, 972
dCas9 protein, 928–929
DCCD (dicyclohexylcarbodiimide), 627
D-channel, 609
Dcm methyltransferase, 908
DCMU (3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea), 639
ddC (2′,3′-dideoxycytidine, Zalcitabine), 376
ddI (2′,3′-dideoxyinosine, Didanosine), 376
ddNTP (2′,3′-Dideoxynucleoside triphosphate), 58
Deadenylases, 1070
DEAE (diethylaminoethyl) groups, in anion exchangers, 103
Deamination, 718, **724–728**
Death ligand, 1087
Death receptor, 1087
5-Deazatetrahydrofolate (5dTHF), 805
Debranching enzyme, 232, 525, **528–529,** 529F
DEBS (deoxyerthronolide B synthase), 694
Decapping enzyme, 1070
Decarboxylation, of acetoacetate, 334, 334F
Decoding, 1011–1013, 1014F
deDuve, Christian, 526
Deep View (computer program), 157
Degeneracy, codon, 995
Degenerate code, 983, 986, 995
Degradative enzymes, 101
Degradative pathways, 445–446
7-Dehydrocholesterol, 255, 256
Deletion mutations, 906, 907, 931
Delipidation of chylomicrons, 668, 669
$\Delta\mathscr{E}$ (electrical potential difference), 464
ΔG, *see* Free energy changes
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}$, *see* Free energy of activation
$\Delta\psi$ (membrane potential), 294
Δ^4 Double bond, 678
Demethylases, 1061
Denaturation:
  DNA, **850–852,** 851F
  protein, 100, 144, **162–163,** 163F
Denisovans, 66
Denitrification, 770
De novo nucleotide synthesis, 802, 804F, 809F
De novo protein design, 168
Density gradients, 109
5′-Deoxyadenosylcobalamin, 679, 679F
6-Deoxyerythronolide B (6dEB), 694
Deoxyerythronolide B synthase (DEBS), 694
Deoxyhemoglobin:
  BPG binding to, 194, 194F, 195
  ion pairs and hydrogen bonds, 192F
  structure, 186, 187F
Deoxyhemoglobin S, 199F
Deoxyribonucleic acid, *see* DNA
Deoxyribonucleotides (deoxynucleotides), **812–820**
  ribonucleotide conversion to, **812–817**
  structure, 43, 43F
  thymine formation, **817–820**
2′-Deoxyribose, 43, 226
Deoxy sugars, 226
Deoxythymidine, 827F
Deoxythymidine monophosphate, *see* Thymidylate (dTMP)
Dephosphorylation, 389–390, 541F
Depolarization, 300
Depsipeptides, 951
Dermatan sulfate, 233, 233F
Desaturases, 695
Desensitization, 430, 431
Detergents, 162
Development:
  of body parts, 1093–1094
  embryo segments, 1089–1090
  genetically mediated patterns, 1090–1092
  Hox genes, 1094–1095
  molecular basis of, **1089–1095**
Dextrins, limit, 244
Dextrotatory molecules, 89
DGCR8, 1074
DHAP, *see* Dihydroxyacetone phosphate
DHF, *see* Dihydrofolate
DHFR, *see* Dihydrofolate reductase
Diabetes, 38
Diabetes mellitus, **792–795**
1,2-Diacylglycerol (DAG):
  activation of protein kinase C by, **436–437**
  in fatty acid synthesis, 700
  lipases and formation of, 665
  in phosphoinositide pathway, 432
  and phospholipase C, 251
  in triacylglycerol biosynthesis, 696F
Diacylglycerol acyltransferase, 696, 696F
Diacylglycerophospholipids, synthesis of, 700–702
Dialysis, 31, 31F

Diarrhea, 316
Diazotrophs, 764
Dicer, 1073, 1073F
3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU), 639
Dickerson, Richard, 347
Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCCD), 627
Didanosine (2′,3′-Dideoxyinosine, ddI), 376
2′,3′-Dideoxycytidine (ddC, Zalcitabine), 376
2′,3′-Dideoxyinosine (ddI, Didanosine), 376
Dideoxy method, 57. *See also* Chain-terminator method
2′,3′-Dideoxynucleoside triphosphate (ddNTP), 58
Dielectric constant *(D),* 26
3,5–2,4-Dienoyl-CoA isomerase, 678
2,4-Dienoyl-CoA reductase, 678
Diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) groups, in anion exchangers, 103
Diet-induced thermogenesis, 789–790
Diffraction patterns, 146
Diffusion, **29–31**
  facilitated, 274, 296
  lateral, 260, 260F, **269–271**
  thermodynamics, 294
  transverse, 260, 260F
Diffusion-controlled limit, 369
Digitalin, 312
Digitalis, 312, 391
Dihedral angles, polypeptides, 133
Dihedral symmetry, 159, 159F
7,8-Dihydrobiopterin, 746, 747F
Dihydroceramide, 704, 704F
Dihydroceramide dehydrogenase, 704
Dihydrofolate (DHF), 740F, 818, 819F, 820
Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), 739, 740, 820, 820F
1,6-Dihydroinosine, 376
Dihydrolipoamide, 565, 565F
Dihydrolipoamide–E2, 566
Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3), 563, 563F, 566–567, 567F, 572
Dihydrolipoyl transacetylase (E2), 563, 563F, 566, 567
Dihydrolipoyl transsuccinylase (E2), 572
Dihydroorotase, 810
Dihydroorotate, 809F, 810
Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, 810
Dihydropteridine reductase, 746
Dihydrosphingosine, 704, 704F
Dihydrouracil, 827F
Dihydrouridine, 988, 989F
Dihydroxyacetone, 223, 223F
Dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP):
  in Calvin cycle, 652, 653F
  in fructose metabolism, 509F
  in glycerophosphate shuttle, 591F
  in glycolysis, **484–488,** 545F
Dihydroxyacetone phosphate acyltransferase, 696
1α,25-Dihydroxycholecalciferol, 256
2-(1,2-Dihydroxyethyl)-TPP, 516F
Dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA), 762–763, 763F
Dihydroxythymine, 827F
Diimine, 767
Diisopropylphosphofluoridate (DIPF), 345–346, 352
Diketopiperazine, 147F
Dimers, 47, 141F
N^6,N^6-Dimethyladenine, 974
Dimethylallyl pyrophosphate, 708, 709F
5-Dimethylamino-1-naphthalenesulfonyl chloride, 110, 112, 113F
5,6-Dimethylbenzimidazole (DMB), 680
N^2,N^2-Dimethylguanosine, 989F
Dimethyl sulfate (DMS), 943
2,4-Dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB), 112
2,4-Dinitrophenol (DNP), 620, 620F
Dintzis, Howard, 1004
Dinucleotide-binding fold, protein, 154
Dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC), 251
Dipeptides, 84
DIPF (Diisopropylphosphofluoridate), 345–346, 352
Diphosphatidylglycerol (cardiolipin), 702
Diplococcus pneumoniae, 51
Diploid organisms, 49
Dipolar amino acid, **84,** 84F
Dipolar ions, **84,** 84F
Dipole-dipole interactions, 26, 26F
Disaccharides, **228, 229**
Dissociation constant *(K),* 32, 34–35, 35T
Disulfide bonds:
  cleavage in protein sequencing, 112–113
  cross-linking extracellular proteins, 161
  cysteine, 85, 85F
  position determination, 118, 118F
Divergent evolution, 349
Diversity, antibody, **1076–1080**
Diversity segment, 1078
DMB (5,6-dimethylbenzimidazole), 680
DMD (Duchenne muscular dystrophy), 207
DMS (dimethyl sulfate), 943
DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid). *See also* B-DNA
  A-DNA, 834–838, 835T, 836F–837F
  base composition, 47
  base pairing, 834F, **849,** 849F
  base stacking interactions, 849, 850, 850F, 850T
  binding of, by *lac* repressor, 1045–1046
  complementary base pairing, 49, 49F
  conformations, **832–838**
  contour length, 868
  denaturation, **850–852,** 851F
  double helix, **47–50, 832–848**
  flexibility, **838–840**
  as genetic information carrier, **51**
  hybridization, 852
  ionic interactions, **850**
  lack of uracil in, 911
  melting curve, 851, 851F
  methylation, 908
  in nucleosomes, **868–870**
  nucleotides of, 44
  palindrome sequences, 55
  protein–DNA interactions, **859–867**
  recombinant, **72–76**
  renaturation, **852,** 852F
  repetitive sequences, **1039–1043**
  RNA–DNA hybrids, 838, 838F
  and RNA synthesis, 940–941
  selfish (junk), 1042
  sequence polymorphisms, 1043
  shear degradation, 868
  size of, 49–50
  subcloning of, 70
  supercoiling, **840–848**
  three-dimensional structure, 48–49, 48F
  UV absorbance, 851, 851F
  Watson-Crick base pairs, 834F
  X-ray diffraction photograph, 47, 47F
  Z-DNA, 834–837, 835T, 836F–837F, 839
DnaA protein, 889
DNA-binding helices, **861–863**
DnaB protein, 889–890
DNA chips, 70, 472–474, 473F
DNA circles, 840F, 841–842, 842F
DnaC protein, 890, 891
DNA damage, **904–910**
  carcinogens, **907–909**
  direct reversal of, **909–910**
  mutagens, **905–909**
DNA-directed DNA polymerases, 880. *See also* DNA polymerases
DNA fingerprinting, 73
DNA glycosylases, **910–912,** 910F
DnaG protein, 891
DNA gyrase, 845, 847, 889
DnaJ, 1025
DnaK, 1025
DNA libraries, **70–71**
DNA ligase, 68, 882, 895, 895F
DNA loops, 872–874
DNA manipulation, **66–76**
cloning, **66–70**
genomic and cDNA libraries, **70–71**
polymerase chain reaction, **71–72,** 72F
recombinant DNA applications, **72–76**
DNA methylation, 908, 1060–1063, 1062F
DNA methyltransferases (DNA MTases), 1061, 1061F, 1062
DNA microarrays, 70, 472–474, 474F
DNA photolyases, 909–910, 910F
DNA polymerase(s), 880F
  catalytic mechanism, 887
  collisions of RNA polymerase and, 945
  defined, 880
  as DNA replicases, 887, 888
  and enzymes in DNA replication, 899–900
  eukaryotic, **898–900**
  exonuclease activity of, 883–885
  Klenow fragment as model of, 885–886
  prokaryotic, **883–888**
  properties of, 888T
  sensing of Watson—Crick base pairs, 886–887
DNA polymerase I, *see* Pol I
DNA polymerase II, *see* Pol II
DNA polymerase III, *see* Pol III
DNA polymerase IV, 915
DNA polymerase V, 915
DNA polymerase α, *see* Pol α
DNA polymerase γ (pol γ), 899
DNA polymerase η (pol η), 914
DNA–protein interactions, **859–867**
  eukaryotic transcription factors, **864–867**
  prokaryotic repressors, **861–863**
  restriction endonucleases, **860–861,** 860F, 861F
DNA repair, **909–915**
  base excision repair, **910–912**
  direct damage reversal, **909–910**
  introduction of errors, **914–915**
  mismatch repair, **913–914**
  nucleotide excision repair, **912–913**
  by recombination, **922–925,** 923F, 924F
DNA replication, 51, 51F, **880–909**
  complementary arrangements in, 5
  and DNA damage, **904–909**
  eukaryotic, **898–904**
  and nucleic acid structure, 832
  overview, **880–882**
  prokaryotic, **882–897**
  recombination repair, 922–925, 923F, 924F
  replication forks, 881
  RNA primers, 882, 884F
  semiconservative, 879, 880
  semidiscontinuous, 881–882
DNase, caspase-activated, 1087
DNase I, 885
dNDPs, 813, 817
DNFB (2,4-Dinitrofluorobenzene), 112
DNMT1 protein, 1062
DNMT3a (DNA MTase), 1062
DNMT3b (DNA MTase), 1062
DNP (2,4-dinitrophenol), 620, 620F
dNTPs, 57, 817, 897
Docking protein, 278
Dolichol, 553
Dolichol-PP-oligosaccharide synthesis pathway, 554F
Dolichol pyrophosphate, 553–555, 553F
Domains, protein, 123, 154, 161–162
Donohue, Jerry, 47, 833
L-DOPA (dihydroxyphenylalanine), 762–763, 763F
Dopamine, 93, 93F, 762, 763F
Dosage compensation, 1053
Double blind tests, 394
Double-displacement reactions, 373
Double helix, **47–50,** 48F, **832–848**
Double-reciprocal plot, *see* Lineweaver-Burk plot
Double-stranded breaks (DSBs), 914–915, 924–925, 924F
Double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), 885, 897, 897F
Double-stranded RNA, 852–853
Doudna, Jennifer, 927, 1073
Down syndrome, 173
Doxorubicin, 848
DPE, 955, 955F
DPPC (dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine), 251
Drennan, Catherine, 816
D ring, 344, 345F
Drosha, 1074
Drosophila species:
  alternative splicing, 970–971, 970F
  antenna and legs, 1093F
  development, 1089–1095, 1089F–1094F
  differentiation of embryonic segments, 1089–1090, 1094F
  80S ribosome, 1004
  gene clusters, 1038
  genome sequencing, 63
  homeotic genes, 1093–1094
  Hox genes, 1094–1095
  insulators, 1066
  microRNAs, 1074
  replication forks, 901F
  RNA interference, 1072

Drosophila cacophony, 972
Drosophila melanogaster, 435F, 721F, 869F
Drug design, **391–397**
adverse drug reactions, **395–397**
bioavailability and toxicity, **393**
clinical trials, **393–395**
discovery techniques, **392–393**
Drug–drug interactions, 395–396
Drug resistance, **314–315**
Druker, Brian, 420
DSBs, *see* Double-stranded breaks
DSCAM protein, 970
dsDNA, *see* Double-stranded DNA
D segment, 1078
dTMP, *see* Deoxythymidine monophosphate (thymidylate)
Dual-specificity tyrosine phosphatases, 421
Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), 207
dUMP, **817–820**
DUTP diphosphohydrolase (dUTPase), 817, 817F
Dutzler, Raimund, 303
Dwarfism, 407
Dystrophin, 207, 964

$\mathscr{E}^{o\prime}$ (standard reduction potential), 465–466, 466T
E. coli, see Escherichia coli
E (entry site), RNAP II, 953, 953F
E1, *see* Pyruvate dehydrogenase
E-1 (low-affinity lactose binding site), 316, 317
E1 (ubiquitin-activating enzyme), 720
E1 conformational state, 311
E2, *see* Dihydrolipoyl transacetylase
E2 (dihydrolipoyl transsuccinylase), 572
E-2 (high-affinity lactose binding site), 316, 317
E2 (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme), 720
E2 conformational state, 311, 312
E2F family (of transcription factors), 1085
E3, *see* Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase
E3 (ubiquitin-protein ligase), 720
E3a, 721
E3 binding protein, 563
E4P, *see* Erythrose-4-phosphate
Early genes, 943
EBI (European Bioinformatics Institute), 118T
Ebright, Richard, 940, 1047
EC classification number, 324
EcoRI endonuclease, 860, 860F, 861
EcoRV endonuclease, 861, 861F
Ectodomain, insulin receptor, 409, 409F
Edema, 255
Edidin, Michael, 270
Editing, RNA, 971–972
Edman, Pehr, 114
Edman degradation, **114–116,** 115F
Edman's reagent, 114
EDRF (endothelium-derived relaxing factor), 763
eEF1A, 1021
eEF1B, 1021
eEF2, 1021
Effector (executioner) caspase, 1087
EF-G, 1017–1021, 1018F
EF hands, 434, 435F
EF-Ts, 1012
EF-Tu, 1012–1015, 1012F, 1013F, 1018, 1020
EF-Tu • tRNA complex, 1018
Egelman, Edward, 917
EGF (epidermal growth factor) receptor, 416
EH, *see* Enoyl-CoA hydratase
Ehlers–Danlos syndromes, 143
Eicosanoids, 257–258, 258F, 695
eIF2, 1010
eIF2B (initiation factor), 1076
eIF2 phosphatase, 1076
eIF4A, 1010
eIF4E, 1010, 1010F
eIF4F, 1010
eIF4G, 1010
eIF5B, 1011
18S rRNA, 973, 1002
80S rRNA, 1003F, 1003T
Eisenberg, David, 654
EJC (exon-junction protein complex), 972, 1070
Eklund, Hans, 813
Elastase:
function, 346
polypeptide degradation, 724
proelastase activation to, 356
substrate specificity, 348, 348F
X-ray structure, 346–350
Elastin, 348
ELC (essential light chains), 203, 204
Electrical potential difference ($\Delta\mathscr{E}$), 464
Electroblotting, 859
Electrochemical cells, 464, 464F
Electrochemical gradients, 310, 315, 316
Electrochemical potential, 294
Electrogenic antiport, 593
Electromotive force (emf), 464
Electrons:
in Complex I, 598, 599
from Complex II, **601–602**
from Complex III, 604–606
in N_2 reduction, 767F
from NADH, **597–601**
in Q cycle, 604–606
Electron acceptors, 463
Electron affinities, 465
Electron crystallography, 264
Electron density, 146–147, 146F, 147F
Electron density maps, 146–147, 146F, 147F
Electron donors, 463
Electronic complementarity, 324
Electron-transfer flavoprotein (ETF), 675
Electron-transfer reactions, 467, 766–767
Electron transport, 446, 462–463, 496, **593–609**
and acyl-CoA, 675
ATP synthesis, **610–613,** 610F
bacteria, 612
Complex I, **597–601**
Complex II, **601, 602,** 603F
Complex III, **602–607**
Complex IV, **607–609**
electron carrier sequence, **594–597**
as exergonic process, **593–594**
in mitochondria, 595F
photosynthesis, **637–650**
in photosynthetic bacteria, **637–639,** 638F
sequence of events, 588, 589
two-center, **639–650**
uncoupling, **619–620**
by xanthine oxidase, 824–825
Electron transport chain, 597F
Electrophilic groups, 335F
Electrophoresis:
capillary (CE), 107–108
nucleic acids, **857–859,** 857F
nucleic acid sequencing, **56–57,** 56F, 59, 59F
polyacrylamide gel, 106–107, 857
protein purification, **106–108**
pulsed-field gel (PFGE), 857, 857F
SDS-PAGE, 107
two-dimensional, 108, 108F
Electrophoretic mobility, 106, 107F
Electrospray ionization (ESI), 116F, 117
Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS), 344
Electrostatic catalysis, 337
Electrostatic interactions, protein, 161
Electrostatic interactions, proteins, 161
Elements, in human body, 2T
Elementary reactions, 362–363
Eliminations, 447
Elion, Gertrude, 826
ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay), 101, 101F
Elongases, 695
Elongation factors, 1008T, 1012
Elongator, 961
Eluant, 104
Elution, 104
Embden, Gustav, 479
Embden-Meyerhoff-Parnas pathway, 479
Emergent properties, of complex systems, **437–439**
emf (electromotive force), 464
Enantiomers, 89–91, 89F
Endergonic processes, 14, **455–457**
End groups, 110, 112
End-joining, 914–915, 928
Endo conformation, 839–840, 839F
Endocrine glands, 403
Endocrine hormones, 403, 403F, 404
Endocrine system, 403F
Endocytosis, 284, 287, 288, 670–671, 670F
Endoglycosidases, 228
Endonucleases, **54–56,** 55F, 55T, 841
Endopeptidases, 114, 115T
Endoplasmic reticulum (ER), 8
glycosylated protein synthesis in, 238
lipid biosynthesis in, 274
proteins in, 284
rough, 274F, 277, 448F
and secreted/transmembrane proteins, 277–279
smooth, 274F, 448F
Endosomes, 287, 671
Endosymbiosis, 10
Endothelium-derived relaxing factor (EDRF), 763
Endotoxic shock, 764
End-to-end fusion of chromosomes, 905
Enediol (enediolate) intermediate, 485, 487
Energy, 11. *See also* Free energy *(G)*
activation, **327–330**
and adaptive thermogenesis, **789–790**
conservation of, 11–13
flow in biosphere, 19, 19F
light, **656–658**
for nitrogen fixation, 767–768
as state function, 15
Energy coupling, 610
Energy–entropy diagram, 166F
Energy reserves, triacylglycerols as, 248–249
Energy-rich bonds, 454–455
Energy transformation:
in active transport, 310
in Calvin cycle, 652
in metabolic reactions, 453
in nitrogen fixation, 767–768
in oxidative phosphorylation, 618
in photosynthetic light reactions, **635–636**
Engrailed mutant *(en),* 1091, 1092
Engrailed protein, 1094, 1094F, 1095
Enhanceosome, 1064
Enhancers, 955–956, 1063–1064, 1066
en (engrailed) mutant, 1091, 1092
Enolase, **493, 494**
Enolate, 334F, 485, 485F
Enolpyruvate, 495
2-Enoyl-CoA, 675
2,5-Enoyl-CoA, 678
trans-Δ^2-Enoyl -CoA, 674F
α,β-*trans*-Enoyl-CoA, 695F
Enoyl-CoA hydratase (EH), 674, 675, 678
Enoyl-CoA isomerase, 678
3,2-Enoyl-CoA isomerase, 678
Enteropeptidase, 355
Enthalpy *(H),* 12, 14T, 15
Entner–Doudoroff pathway, 521
Entropy *(S),* 13F, 14T
and hydrophobic effect, 28
of peptidyl transfer, 1016–1017
and second law of thermodynamics, 13
as state function, 15
Entry site (E), RNAP II, 953, 953F
Environmental agents, mutations and, **905–907**
Enzymatically interconvertible enzyme systems, 536, 538F
Enzymes, 20. *See also specific enzymes*
catalytic perfection of, 488
catalytic power of, 323T
of citric acid cycle, **568–575,** 577–579
classification of, **324,** 324T
coenzymes, 326, 444–445
cofactors, **326–327**
constitutive, 946
coupled enzymatic reactions, 101
degradative, 101
electrophilic groups, 335F
enzymatic reactions in metabolism, **445–449**
in eukaryotic DNA replication, 899, 900
general properties, **323–327**
half-lives of, 719, 719T
inducible, 946
interconvertible systems of, 536, 538F
isozymes, 388
in metabolic pathways, 447–448
metallo, 335–336
Michaelis–Menten parameters of selected, 368T
nucleophilic groups of, 335F

for oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids, **676–678**
processive, 883, 893–895, 945
saturated, 367
sequencing oligonucleotides with, 54F
substrate specificity of, **324–326**
of urea cycle, **729–732**
X-ray crystallography, 340, 340F
Enzyme activity, **382–391**
allosteric control, **383–387**
covalent modification, **387–391**
Enzyme cascades, 402
Enzyme catalysis, **322–357**
acid–base, **330–334**
activation energy and reaction coordinate, **327–330**
covalent, **334–335,** 334F
electrostatic catalysis, 337
in lysozyme, **339–345**
metal ion, **335–336**
preferential transition state binding, **338–339,** 338F
and properties of enzymes, **323–327**
in serine proteases, **345–358**
through orientation effects, **336–338,** 337F
through proximity effects, **336–338**
Enzyme-catalyzed reactions, progress of, 365F
Enzyme Commission, 324
Enzyme inhibition, **374–382**
competitive, 374–380, 378F–379F, 380T
mixed, 380–382, 380T, 382F
noncompetitive, 381–382
transition state analogs, 339
uncompetitive, **380–381,** 380T, 381F, 382F
Enzyme kinetics, **362–373**
bisubstrate reactions, **372–373,** 372F
for citric acid cycle, **577–579**
data analysis, **369–372**
defined, 361
Michaelis–Menton equation, **364–369**
rate equations, **362–364**
steady state kinetics and mechanism, 371–373
and transition state theory, 369
Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), 101, 101F
Enzyme–PLP Schiff base, 725F, 727F
Enzyme saturation, 367
Enzyme–substrate (ES) complex, 324F, 338, 351F, 364–367, 380–382
Enzyme-transition state ($ES^{\ddagger}$) complex, 338
Enzymology, 322, 371
Epidermal growth factor, 126F
Epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor, 416
Epigenetics, 1062
Epimers, 223
Epimerization, 225
Epinephrine (adrenaline), **405–406**
and fatty acid metabolism, 698
and fuel metabolism, 783–785, 783T
and glycogen metabolism, 542–544
and insulin, 543, 544
and synthesis of amino acids, 762, 763F
E proteins, 967
Equal®, 229
Equatorial positions, 225
Equilibrium:
Michaelis–Menten assumption, 365
near-equilibrium reactions, 449–450
and passive-mediated transport, 297
and temperature, 17
Equilibrium constant (K_{eq}), 16–17
ER, *see* Endoplasmic reticulum
Erasers, 1059
eRF, 1023
eRF1, 1023
Ergocalciferol (Vitamin D_2), 255, 256
Ergosterol, 255, 256
ERKs (extracellular-signal-regulated kinases), 415
Ernst, Richard, 147
ER-resident proteins, 284
Erythrocytes (red blood cells), 185
antigenic determinants of, 242T
and 2,3-BPG synthesis, 494
and glycocalyx, 241F
glycophorin A, 263F
heme biosynthesis in, 760
lysis of, 198
membranes of, 271–273, 273F, 274F
scanning electron micrograph, 198F
Erythrocyte ghosts, 271–272
Erythrocyte glucose transporter (GLUT1), 305, 307–309, 308F
Erythromycin A, 694
Erythropoietic protoporphyria, 760
D-Erythrose, 222F
Erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P):
in amino acid biosynthesis, 755F
in Calvin cycle, 653F, 654
in pentose phosphate pathway, 513F, 516, 517F
D-Erythrulose, 223F
Escherichia coli (E. coli), 7
adenylate kinase, 460F
aminoacyl-tRNA synthtases, 991T
ATCase, 383–386, 385F
ATP synthase, 614–618, 615F, 617F, 618F
carbomyl phosphate synthetase, 731F
catabolite repression, 1046–1048
cellular contents, 985
chain elongation, 1011F, 1017
chain initiation, 1006–1010, 1009F
chromosomes, 59, 70, 881, 881F
cloning vectors from, 67–69
codon usage bias, 995
cross section, 6F
Dam methyltransferase in, 908
DnaG, 891
DNA ligase, 895, 895F
DNA polymerases, 57, 883–888, 885F, 887T
fatty acid biosynthesis, 689
fructose-2,6-bisphosphate, 504F
GAR transformylase, 805F
gene number, 1034
GlnRS • $tRNA^{Gln}$ • ATP complex, 992, 992F
glutamine synthetase, 750
and GroEL/ES system, 172
gyrases, 846, 847
helicases, 889
his operon, 1050
hot spots, 935
ilv operon, 1050
lac repressor, 1043–1046, 1045F
lactose metabolism, 1043
lactose permease, 316–318, 317F
leading and lagging strand synthesis, 891–895
maltoporin, 297, 297F
met repressor, 862, 863, 863F
mismatch repair in, 913–914, 913F
molecules in, 6
nonsense suppressors, 1024
nontranscribed DNA, 1039
nucleotide excision repair, 912
OmpF porin, 266F, 297
proteins from, 100
pyrimidine synthesis regulation, 811–812, 811F
pyruvate dehydrogenase, 563, 563F
recombination in, 917–921, 918F–921F
replication fidelity, 897
replication termination, 895–896, 895F–897F
ribonucleotide reductase, 813–816, 813F, 817F
ribosomes, 997T, 998F
RNA polymerase, 939, 939F
RNA primers, 882
rRNA, 973, 973F, 998F
self-contained proteases, 723–724, 724F
single-strand binding protein, 891
SOS response, 915
SRP, 1027
thiamine pyrophosphate biosynthesis, 1050–1052
topoisomerase III, 843–844, 843F
transcription, 939, 941–948, 941F, 942F
translation, 1007F, 1022F
transposition in, 929
trigger factor, 1025–1026, 1025F
tRNA processing, 977, 978
trp operon, 1048–1050, 1048F
trp repressor, 862, 863F
two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, 108F
UV absorbance of DNA, 851, 851F
ES complex, *see* Enzyme–substrate complex
$ES^{\ddagger}$ complex, 338
ESEs (exonic splicing enhancers), 971
ESI (electrospray ionization), 116F, 117
ESI-MS (electrospray ionization mass spectrometry), 344
E (exit) site, 1002, 1020
Essential amino acids, 746, 747, 747T, **752–757**
Essential fatty acids, 290, 695
Essential light chains (ELC), 203, 204
ESSs (exonic splicing silencers), 971
Esters, 325–326
Esterification of fatty acids, **696–697**
β-Estradiol, 254, 255F, 406–407
Estrogens, 254, 406–407
ESTs (expressed sequence tags), 1036
ETF (electron-transfer flavoprotein), 675
ETF:ubiquinone oxidoreductase, 675
Ethanol, 325, 326, 378, **498–501**
Ethanolamine, 252, 701, 701F
Ether linkages, 252
Ethidium ion, 857, 857F, 858
Ethylene gylcol poisoning, 378
Ethylnitrosurea, 906
Etoposide, 848
Eubacteria, 9, 9F, 723, 724
Euchromatin, 1053
Eukaryotes (eukarya), **7, 8**
cell cycle, 868, 1080F
chromosome structure, **868–874**
citric acid cycle in, 561, 562
classification, 9, 9F
DNA methylation in, 1062–1063
elongation in prokaryotes vs., 1021
gene number in, 1035T
glycosylated proteins, **238–240**
metabolic functions, 448F
photosynthesis in, 631
preproteins, 278T
pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, 563
repetitive DNA sequences, **1039–1043**
sgRNA–Cas9 system and genomes of, 927–929
translation initiation, 1010–1011
transposons, 933
Eukaryotic DNA replication, **898–904**
DNA polymerases, **898–900**
origins of, **900–902**
telomerase, **902–904**
Eukaryotic gene expression, **1052–1080**
and antibody diversity, **1076–1080**
chromatin structure, **1052–1063**
molecular basis of development, **1089–1095**
posttranscriptional control mechanisms, **1069–1076**
selective, by differentiated cells, 956
transcriptional activators, **1063–1069**
transcription factors, 864–867
Eukaryotic mRNAs, 962–973
Eukaryotic pre-tRNAs, 978
Eukaryotic ribosomes, **1002–1004**
Eukaryotic rRNAs, 974–975
Eukaryotic transcription, **948–961**
promoter recognition, **954–956**
RNA polymerases, **949–956**
transcription factors, **956–961**
Eukaryotic tRNAs, 978
European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI), 118T
Evans, Philip, 504, 680
Even-chain fatty acid oxidation, 672F
even-skipped (eve) gene, 1091
Evolution:
citric acid cycle, 582–583
continuous, **10–11**
domains of organisms, **9–10,** 9F
genetic code, 986
histones, 868–869
human, 66
and mRNA splicing, 967–969
and mutations in DNA sequence, **63–66**
by natural selection, 120
and nucleic acid sequence, **63–66**
nucleotides, 42
protein, **119–126,** 154–155, 157–158, 172
serine proteases, 349–350, 349F
Exciton transfer, 636, 636F
Executioner (effector) caspase, 1087
Exergonic processes, 14, **593–594,** 676
Exit channel, 609
Exit site (E), 1002, 1020
Exo conformation, 839
Exocrine glands, 404
Exocytosis, 285
Exoglycosidases, 228
Exome, 70–71

Exons, **963–972,** 966F, 978
Exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs), 971
Exonic splicing silencers (ESSs), 971
Exon-junction protein complex (EJC), 972, 1070
Exon skipping, 966
Exonucleases, 54, 883–885
Exopeptidases, 114
Exosomes, 1070, 1071F
Expansion segments, rRNA, 1003
ExPASy Proteomics Server, 118T
Expressed sequences, 70–73. *See also* Exons; Gene expression
Expressed sequence tags (ESTs), 1036
Expression vector, 72
Extinction coefficient, 101
Extracellular matrix, 234
Extracellular proteins, 161
Extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERKs), 415
Extrinsic membrane proteins, 268
Extrinsic pathways, 356, 1087, 1088F
Eyring, Henry, 327

$\mathcal{F}$ (Faraday), 12, 464
F_0 component (ATP synthase), 613–615
F_1 component (ATP synthase), 613–614, 615F, 616F
F_1F_0-ATPase, *see* ATP synthase
F1P (fructose-1-phosphate), 508, 509F
F2,6P, *see* Fructose-2,6-bisphosphate
F6P, *see* Fructose-6-phosphate
Fab fragments, 213, 214
Fab New fragment, 152F
Facilitated diffusion, 274, 296
F-actin, 205, 206, 206F
Factor V, 356
Factor Va, 356
Factor VII, 356
Factor VIIa, 356, 357
Factor VIII, 356
Factor VIIIa, 356
Factor IX, 356
Factor IXa, 356, 357
Factor X, 356
Factor Xa, 356, 357
Factor XI, 356
Factor XIII, 356
Facultative anaerobes, 443
FAD (flavin adenine dinucleotide), **462–463**
  in citric acid cycle, 561, 562, 567, 574, 574F
  and ferredoxin–$NADP^+$reductase, 648F
  reduction to FADH2, 446F, 463F
FADD (fas-associating death domain-containing protein), 1087
$FADH_2$ (flavin adenine dinucleotide, reduced form), 446
  in citric acid cycle, 559, 561, 562, 567, **574**
  FAD reduction to, 446F, 463F
FAICAR (5-formaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide), 804F, 805
Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH), 713
Faraday ($\mathcal{F}$), 12, 464
Farnesyl pyrophosphate, 708–710, 709F
Farnesyl residue, 267
Fas (protein), 1087
Fas-associating death domain-containing protein (FADD), 1087
Fascicle, 201F
Fas ligand (FasL), 1087
Fasman, Gerald, 145, 167
Fasting, 781, 792F. *See also* Starvation
Fast-twitch muscle fibers, 502
Fats, 248, 249, 795–796
Fat-soluble vitamins, 257, 444, 444T
Fatty acids, **246–248**
  amphiphilic nature of anions, 29, 29F
  essential, 290
  structural formulas, 246F
  structures and melting points, 247T
  in triacylglycerols, **248–249**
Fatty acid binding protein, 667, 667F
Fatty acid biosynthesis, **686–697,** 687F
  acetyl-CoA carboxylase, **688–689**
  acetyl-CoA transport, **687, 688**
  β oxidation vs., 687F
  citric acid cycle intermediates, 580
  elongation and desaturation in, **695**
  fatty acid synthase, **689–694**
  mammalian metabolism, 775, 779
  triacylglycerols, **696–697**
Fatty acid metabolism:
  mammalian, **775–778**
  regulation of, **697–700,** 699F
Fatty acid oxidation, **671–684**
  activation, **672**
  AMPK and promotion of, 787, 787F
  β oxidation, 672, 672F, **674–676,** 674F, 677F, **684,** 687F
  even-chain fatty acids, 672F
  fatty acid synthesis vs., 687F
  mammalian metabolism, 775
  odd-chain fatty acids, 672F, 677F, **678–684**
  transport across mitochondrial membrane, **672–673,** 673F
  unsaturated fatty acids, **676–678**
Fatty acid synthase, **689–694,** 693F
Fatty acyl-CoA, 674F
Δ^4-Fatty acyl-CoA desaturase, 695
Δ^5-Fatty acyl-CoA desaturase, 695
Δ^6-Fatty acyl-CoA desaturase, 695
Δ^9-Fatty acyl-CoA desaturase, 695
Fava beans, 518
Favism, 518
Favorable processes, 14
FBP, *see* Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate
FBPase, *see* Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase
FBPase-2 (fructose bisphosphatase-2), 550
Fc fragment, 213, 214
Fd, *see* Ferredoxin
FDA (Food and Drug Administration), 394
FdUMP (5-fluorodeoxyuridylate), 821
Fe^{2+} ions, 335
Fe^{3+} ions, 326, 335
Feedback inhibitors, 384
Feedback network, ribonucleotide reductase, 816
Feedforward activation, 808
Feigon, Juli, 1055
Female sex hormones, 406–407
FeMo-cofactor, in nitrogenase, 766
femto- (prefix), 12
Fen (fenfluramine), 394–395
FEN1, *see* Flap endonuclease-1
Fenfluramine (fen), 394–395
Fenn, John, 117
Fen-phen, 394–395
Fe-protein, 765
Fermentation, 478, **497–502**
  alcoholic, 322, **498–501,** 499F
  energetics of, **501, 502**
  homolactic, **498**
Ferredoxin (Fd), 648, 648F, 766
Ferredoxin–$NADP^+$ reductase (FNR), 641, 648F
Ferredoxin–thioredoxin reductase, 658
Ferritin, 75, 571
Ferrochelatase, 759
Ferryl oxidation state, 608
Fetal hemoglobin, 195
Ffh, 1027
FGAM (formylglycinamidine ribotide), 804F, 805
FGAR (formylglycinamide ribotide), 804F, 805
FH (familial hypercholesterolemia), 713
F helix (hemoglobin), 190F
Fibrin, 173, 356
Fibrinogen, 125, 173, 356
Fibrinopeptides, 125, 125F
Fibronectin, 126F
Fibrous proteins, **140–144**
Fidelity, of DNA replication, **897**
50S subunit (rRNA), 997, 997T, 999–1002, 1016F
"Fight or flight" reaction, 543
Fire, Andrew, 1072
FirstGlance, 157
First law of thermodynamics, **11–13**
First-order reactions, 362, 363, 363F
Fischer, Emil, 89, 324, 366
Fischer convention, 89, 89F, 90
Fischer projections, 89
FISH (fluorescence *in situ* hybridization), 874, 874F
5.8S rRNA, 973, 1003
5S rRNA, 852–853, 853F, 973
53S rRNA, 997
5dTHF (5-deazatetrahydrofolate), 805
5′ capping, mRNA, 962
5′ end, nucleic acid, 46
Five-stage water splitting reaction, 643–644
Flap endonuclease-1 (FEN1), 899, 900, 900F
Flavin, 745F
Flavin adenine dinucleotide, *see* FAD
Flavin adenine dinucleotide, reduced form, *see* FADH2
Flavin mononucleotide (FMN), 598, 599F
Flavin mononucleotide, reduced form ($FMNH_2$), 599F
Flavin mononucleotide, reduced form radical (FMNH•), 599F
Flavoprotein dehydrogenase, 592
Fleming, Alexander, 238
Fletterick, Robert, 388
Flexibility, of DNA, **838–840**
Flip-flop, 260, 260F
Flippases, 274
Fluidity, lipid bilayer, **260–261**
Fluid mosaic model, **269–271**
Fluorescence, 636
Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), 874, 874F
Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP), 270, 271, 271F
Fluorochlorobromomethane, 89F
5-Fluorocytosine, 1061, 1062
5-Fluorodeoxyuridylate (FdUMP), 821
Fluorophores, 270, 874
Flux:
  in cancer cells, 797
  and citric acid cycle, 575
  control of metabolic, **450–452**
  gluconeogenic, 551
  of glycolysis in muscle, **503–507**
  in K^+ channels, 299
  for mediated vs. nonmediated transport, 308
fMet (*N*-formylmethionine), 1006
fMet–$tRNA_f^{Met}$, 1007–1010
FMN (flavin mononucleotide), 598, 599F
FMNH• (flavin mononucleotide, reduced form radical), 599F
$FMNH_2$ (flavin mononucleotide, reduced form), 599F
FMRP, 1040
FNR (ferredoxin-$NADP^+$ reductase), 641, 648F
Foam cells, 713
Folate, 739, 740, 740F, 745F
Folding funnel, 166–167, 166F
Folic acid, 739, 820F
Following substrates, 373
Fomaldehyde, 325
Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 394
Footprinting, 943
Force(s):
  generation of, in muscle, 208F–209F
  in protein stability, **160–162**
Formaldehyde, 378
5-Formaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribotide (FAICAR), 804F, 805
Formate, 309, 741F
N-Formiminoglutamate, 737F, 738
N^5-Formimino-tetrahydrofolate (N^5-Formimino-THF), 738, 741F
Formylglycinamide ribotide (FGAR), 804F, 805
Formylglycinamidine ribotide (FGAM), 804F, 805
N-Formylkynurenine, 744F
N-Formylmethionine (fMet), 1006
N^5-Formyl-tetrahydrofolate, 741F
N^{10}-Formyl-tetrahydrofolate, 741F
40S subunit, 1002, 1003T
43S preinitiation complex, 1010
45S rRNA, 973–974
48S initiation complex, 1011
Fos, 415
Foster, Simon, 236
[4Fe–4S] clusters, 570–571, 598
434 repressor, 862, 862F
454 sequencing, 60
Fowler's solution, 568
Foxglove plant, 391
Fp (Complex II subunit), 602
F proteins, 967
Fractional saturation (Y_{O_2}), 183–184, 189–190
Fractionation, 102, 102F, **856–859**
Fragile X syndrome, 1040
Frameshift mutations, 789, 983
Franceschi, François, 1008
Frank, Joachim, 999, 1015, 1027
Franklin, Rosalind, 47, 832, 833

FRAP, *see* Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching
Free energy *(G),* **14–18,** 14T
and direction of reaction, 18
and reactant/product concentrations, **16–18**
and spontaneity, **14–15**
as state function, 15
Free energy changes (ΔG):
and ATP, 455
for glycolysis, 503F, 503T
for near-equilibrium reactions, 450
for reactions of Calvin cycle, 657T
Free energy of activation ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$), 327–330, 338, 369
Free radicals, 598, 624, 682
Free-radical theory of aging, 624
Fridovich, Irwin, 625
Frozen-accident theory of codon evolution, 986
Fructofuranose, 224, 224F
β-D-Fructofuranose, 224F
β-Fructofuranosidase, 364
Fructokinase, 508
Fructose:
configuration, 223
metabolism, **508–510,** 509F
overconsumption, 509–510
D-Fructose, 223F, 224F
Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase):
in Calvin cycle, 652, 657, 658, 658F
in gluconeogenesis, 549
substrate cycling with, 506–507
Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP):
in Calvin cycle, 651, 653F
in gluconeogenesis, 545F, 549–551
in glycolysis, 484
Fructose-2,6-bisphosphate (F2,6P), 504, 504F, 549–551, 550F
Fructose bisphosphatase-2 (FBPase-2), 550
Fructose intolerance, 510
Fructose-1-phosphate (F1P), 508, 509F
Fructose-6-phosphate (F6P):
in Calvin cycle, 653F
in fructose metabolism, **508–510,** 509F
in gluconeogenesis, 545F, 549, 550F
in glycolysis, **482–484**
in mannose metabolism, **512,** 512F
in pentose phosphate pathway, 513F, 517, 517F, 518
Fructose-1-phosphate aldolase, 508
FSD-1, 168
F-type ATPases, 310
ftz (fushi tarazu) gene, 1092
L-Fucose, 226
Fuel allocation, 790–791
Fuel metabolism, **773–799**
disturbances in, **790–798**
hormonal control of, **781–786,** 783T, 784F
metabolic homeostasis, **786–790**
and organ specialization, **774–781**
oxidative, *see* Oxidative metabolism
and pancreatic islet hormones, **404–405**
Fumarase, 332, **574**
Fumarate, 559
in amino acid degradation, 733F
in citric acid cycle, 374, 560F, 574
and glyoxylate cycle, 584F
in IMP synthesis, 805
from phenylalanine breakdown, **745,** 745F, **746**
from purine nucleotide cycle, 823
in urea cycle, 729, 730F, 732
4-Fumarylacetoacetate, 745F
Functional groups, 3, 4T, 27F, 85, 249
Furan, 224
Furanoses, 224
Furylfuramide, 909
Fused rings, steroid, **254–256**
fushi tarazu (ftz), 1092
Fusidic acid, 1018
Fusion, vesicle, 282F, **284–289,** 285F, 287F
Fusion peptides, 287, 288
Fusion pores, 286
Fusion proteins, 286–289
Futai, Masamitsu, 618
Futile cycle, 507
Fyn, 418

G, *see* Guanine
G, see Free energy
G_0 phase, 1081
G1,6P (glucose-1,6-bisphosphate), 529–530, 529F
G1P, *see* Glucose-1-phosphate
G_1 phase, 1080, 1081
G_2 phase, 1080, 1081, 1083
G6P, *see* Glucose-6-phosphate
G6Pase (Glucose-6-phosphatase), 530, 531
G6PD (Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase), 514, 518–519
G6P translocase, 531
$\overline{G}_A$ (partial molar free energy), 16, 294
$\overline{G}_A^\circ$ (chemical potential at standard state), 16
Gab-1 (Grb2-associated binder-1), 438
GABA, *see* γ-Aminobutyric acid
G-actin, 205, 205F
Gaia hypothesis, 10
GAL4, 865–866, 865F
Galactitol, 511
Galactocerobrosides, 253, 706
Galactokinase, 510
D-Galactosamine, 226
Galactose, 1043
D-Galactose, 222F, 253F, **510–512,** 511F
β-D-Galactose, 68
Galactosemia, 511, 512
Galactose-1-phosphate, 510, 511F
Galactose-1-phosphate uridylyl transferase, 510
β-Galactosidase, 67F, 68, 228, 941F, 1043
Galactoside permease, *see* Lactose permease
β-Galactosyl-(1n3)-α-*N*-acetylgalactosaminyl-Ser/Thr, 240
Galactosyltransferase, 552
Galectin-2, 241F
GalNAC (*N*-acetyl-D-galactosamine), 233, 253F
GalNAc transferase, 553
Gamblin, Steven, 1060
γ (Soret) band, 602
γ complex (Pol III holoenzyme), 894
γ-secretases, 173
Gangliosides, 253, 253F, 703–704, 706
Ganglioside G_{M1}, 253, 253F, 706
Ganglioside G_{M2}, 253, 253F, 706
Ganglioside G_{M3}, 253, 253F, 706
GAP, *see* Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
GAP (GTPase-activating protein), 414, 415
GAP334 • Ras • GDP • AlF_3 complex, 415F
GAPDH, *see* Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
Gap genes, 1090
Gap junctions, 305–307
GAR (glycinamide ribotide), 803, 804F
Garrod, Archibald, 471, 746
GAR transformylase, 805, 805F
Gas constant *(R),* 12
Gastrula, 1062
Gastrulation, 1090
Gates and fences model, 272
Gated ion channels, 300–303
Gating, ion channel, 300–303
Gaucher's disease, 707
GCN4, 866–867, 866F–867F
GCN5 protein, 1057–1058, 1058F
GDH, *see* Glutamate dehydrogenase
GDP (guanosine diphosphate), 278, 561, 572, 573F, 1013F
GDPNP, *see* Guanosine-5′-(β,γ-imido) triphosphate (GMPPNP)
GEF (guanine nucleotide exchange factor), 414
Gehring, Walter, 1092, 1093
Gels, **232–234,** 857
Gel electrophoresis, 56–57, 56F, 59, 59F
Gel filtration chromatography, 105, 105F
Gel filtration effects, 106, 107
Geminal diamine intermediate, 727F
GenBank, 60, 118T
Genes, 51. *See also specific genes*
antibody diversity and rearrangement of, 215–217
class II, 1063
contiguous, 945, 946F
controlling metabolic pathways via, 452
CRISPR–Cas9 techniques for modifying, 928–929, 929F
early, 943
exons and introns, **963–972,** 978
function identification, 1036F
gap, 1090
homeotic selector, 1091, 1093–1094
housekeeping, 1036
late, 943
maternal-effect, 1090
metabolic pathways and manipulations of, 471
middle, 943
movement of, by transposons, 929–931
orphan, 1036
pair-rule, 1090–1092
paralogous, 124
polymorphic, 1040
and protein evolution, **122–126**
protein synthesis direction, **51–53**
pseudogenes, 124
recombination, **916–933**
reporter, 93
segmentation, 1090
segment polarity, 1091
site-directed mutagenesis, 73–74
structural, 941
of transgenic organisms, 74–75
Gene activation, **1046–1048,** 1059–1060
Genealogies, 1043
Gene clusters, **1037–1039,** 1038F
Gene duplication, **122–126**
Gene expression, 53, **1033–1096**
for apoptosis, **1085–1089**
and cancer, **1082–1085**
and cDNA libraries, 70–71
and cell cycle, **1080–1082**
of cloned genes, 72, 73
and DNA microarrays, 472–474
enhancers, 955–956
gene clusters, **1037–1039,** 1038F
gene number, **1034–1037**
genome organization, **1034–1043**
and micro RNAs, 1074–1075
molecular basis of development, **1089–1095**
and nucleic acid sequencing, 54
regulation of eukaryotic, **1052–1080**
regulation of prokaryotic, **1043–1052**
and repetitive DNA sequences, **1039–1043**
and σ-factors, 943
and transcriptomics, 53
Gene knockouts, 75
Gene number, **1034–1037,** 1035T
Gene products, 941
General acid catalysis, 330
General base catalysis, 330
General (homologous) recombination, **916–922,** 916F
General transcription factor (GTF), 956–957, 956T
Gene silencing, 1059–1060, 1071–1072
Gene therapy, 75, 76
Genetically modified foods, 75
Genetic anticipation, 1040
Genetic code, **983–988**
codons, **983–984**
deciphering, **984–986**
defined, 982
DNA as carrier of, **51**
evolution, 986
expanding, 996
nature of, **986–988**
nonuniversality of, 988
standard, 987T, 988
Genetic defects, 75, 76, 471
Genetic diseases, 65–66, 65T, 1037
Genetic engineering, 66, 73T
Genome(s), 49
human, 63, 1034–1037, 1036F, 1041F
metabolic studies based on, 471, 472
regulation of, **925–929**
repetitive DNA sequences in, **1039–1043**
sequencing of, 62–63, 62T
Genome Net, 118T
Genome organization, **1034–1043**
gene clusters, **1037–1039**
gene number, **1034–1037**
repetitive DNA sequences in genomes, **1039–1043**
Genome-wide association studies (GWAS), 1037
Genomics, 53, 167, 472, 1034
Genomic imprinting, 908, 1063
Genomic library, **70**
Genotypes, 472F
Geometric complementarity, 324
Geometric specificity, 325–326
George III, 760

Geranylgeranyl residue, 267
Geranyl pyrophosphate, 708, 709F
Gerhart, John, 383
GFP (green fluorescent protein), 93
GH (growth hormone), **407–408**
Ghosts, erythrocyte, 271–272
Ghrelin, 789
$G_{i\alpha}$ protein, 427
giant gene, 1091
Giardia intestinalis, 1073, 1073F
Gibbs, J. Willard, 14
Gibbs free energy *(G), see* Free energy
Gigantism, 407
Gilbert, Walter, 1045
Gillray, James, 825F
Gilman, Alfred, 426
G_i protein, 427
G_L (glycogen-binding subunit), 540
Glc, *see* Glucose
GlcNAc, *see N*-Acetylglucosamine
Gleevec (imatinib), 420, 420F
Gln, *see* Glutamine
GlnRS (Glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase), 992, 992F
Globin family:
  chain synthesis and fetal development, 1039F
  gene clusters, 1038, 1039
  gene duplication, 124, 124F
  heme group, 182
α-Globin gene cluster, 1038, 1039, 1039F
β-Globin gene cluster, 1039, 1039F
Globosides, 706
Globular domain (ribosomal proteins), 1000
Globular proteins, 140, 149–150
Glu, *see* Glutamic acid
Glu 35 residue, 342–344
Glucagon:
  and fatty acid metabolism, 698
  and fuel metabolism, 404–405, 783T
  glycogen metabolism effects, 542
  and insulin, **783–786**
Glucans, 244
Glucocerebrosidase, 707
Glucocerebrosides, 253, 706, 707
Glucocerebroside synthase, 707
Glucocorticoids, 254, 406
Glucocorticoid receptor (GR), 1069, 1069F
Glucocorticoid response element (GRE), 1069
Glucogenic amino acids, 733
Glucokinase, 482, 508, 778–779, 779F, 782
Glucokinase regulatory protein, 779
Gluconeogenesis, 523, **544–551**
  AMPK and inhibition of, 787
  and blood glucose, 550F
  cellular location of, 448
  and citric acid cycle, 546, 547, 580
  and fuel metabolism, 405, 481, 774
  glycolysis vs., 545F
  hydrolytic reactions, **549**
  insulin as blocker of, 783
  pyruvate to phosphoenolpyruvate in, **545–549**
  regulation, **549–551**
  during starvation, 792
Gluconic acid, 225
1,5-Gluconolactone, 534
Glucopyranose, 224, 224F
α-D-Glucopyranose, 225F
β-D-Glucopyranose, 224F, 225F
D-Glucosamine, 226
Glucose (Glc):
  aerobic vs. anaerobic metabolism of, 624
  in amino acid degradation, 733F
  AMPK and uptake of, 787, 787F
  in cancer metabolism, 797–798
  complete oxidation, 588
  in Cori cycle, 780, 781
  in gluconeogenesis, 545F
  in glycolysis, 481
  and insulin release, **782–783**
  from lactose metabolism, 1043
  levels of, during starvation, 791, 792
  mammalian metabolism, **775–778**
[1-^{13}C]Glucose, 470F
α-D-Glucose, 227F
D-Glucose, 222–224, 222F, 224F, 225F, 253F
Glucose-1,6-bisphosphate (G1,6P), 529–530, 529F
Glucose–alanine cycle, 781, 781F
Glucose binding sites, 307
Glucose catabolism, **478–520**
  fermentation, **497–502**
  glycolysis overview, **479–481**
  and metabolism of other hexoses, **508–512**
  pentose phosphate pathway, **512–519**
  reactions of glycolysis, **481–497**
  regulation of glycolysis, **502–507**
Glucose derivatives, 755, 755F
Glucose-fatty acid cycle (Randle cycle), 623
Glucose hydroxyl groups, 297
Glucose metabolism, 523, 524F, 549F. *See also* Glucose catabolism
Glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase), 530, 531
Glucose-6-phosphatase deficiency (von Gierke's disease), 530
Glucose-1-phosphate (G1P), 511F
  Carl and Gerty Coris' study of, 526
  covalent modification, 387–388
  in galactose metabolism, 510
  in glycogen breakdown, **524–531,** 529F
  in glycogen synthesis, 533F
Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P):
  in coupled reactions, 455, 456
  covalent modification, 390
  fats in liver, 779F
  galactose metabolism, **510–512**
  gluconeogenesis, 523, 545F
  glycogen breakdown, **529–531,** 529F
  glycolysis, **482–484**
  high muscle exertion conditions, 777
  hydrolysis free energy, 458
  in mammalian metabolism, 778–779, 779F
  pentose phosphate pathway, 513F
Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), 514, 518–519
Glucose transport, 307F, 308, 315, 316, 316F
Glucose transporters, 782
α-Glucosidase, 232
α-1,4-Glucosidase deficiency (Pompe's disease), 530
Glucosyl oxonium ion, 344
Glucosyl residue, 297
Glucosyltransferase, **528–529**
D-Glucuronic acid, 226
GLUT1, 305, 307–309, 308F
GLUT2, 531
GLUT4, 544, 782–783, 782F, 787
GLUT4 storage vesicles, 782
Glutaconyl-CoA, 743F
Glutamate, 86
  from amino acid breakdown, 725, **728**
  biosynthesis, 581, 748–749, 748F
  breakdown, **737–738,** 737F
  in cancer metabolism, 798
  glutamate synthase reaction, 769F
  proline, ornithine, and arginine synthesis from, 751, 751F, 752
Glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), 580, 728, 798
Glutamate-5-phosphate, 751, 751F
Glutamate-5-semialdehyde, 737F, 738, 751, 751F
Glutamate synthase, 768, 769F
Glutamic acid (Glu), 86, 88F, 332
Glutaminase, 731, 737
Glutamine (Gln):
  biosynthesis, 748–749, 748F
  breakdown, **737–738,** 737F
  in cancer metabolism, 798
  glutamate synthase reaction, 769F
  uncharged polar side chain, 85, 85F
Glutamine synthetase, 749–751, 749F, 750F
Glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase (GlnRS), 992, 992F
γ-Glutamyl kinase, 751
γ-Glutamylphosphate, 748F, 749
Glutaryl-CoA, 743F
Glutathione (GSH), 94, 396F, 518, 626
Glutathione peroxidase, 518, 626
Glutathione reductase, 518
Gluthathione disulfide (GSSG), 94, 518
Glu–tRNA^{Gln} amidotransferase, 994
Glx, 87
Gly, *see* Glycine
Glycans, 228
Glyceraldehyde, 89, 90, 222F, 223, 508, 509F
(S)-Glyceraldehyde, 90
D-Glyceraldehyde, 89F, 222F
L-Glyceraldehyde, 89, 89F, 90
Glyceraldehyde kinase, 508
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP):
  and 2,3-BPG, 494
  Calvin cycle, 652–654, 653F
  fructose metabolism, **508–510,** 509F
  glycolysis, 481, 484, **485, 487–488,** 545F
  pentose phosphate pathway, 513F, 516F, 517, 517F, 518
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH):
  coupling of PGK reaction and, 491–492
  domains, 154, 154F
  enzymatic mechanism, 489F, 490F
  in glycolysis, **489–491**
Glycerate, from photorespiration, 659, 659F, 660
Glycerol, 226, 248, 509F
Glycerol kinase, 508
Glycerol-3-phosphate:
  amphiphilic nature of, 249, 249F
  in fructose metabolism, 508, 509F
  hydrolysis free energy, 458
  in triacylglycerol biosynthesis, 696F
Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, 696
Glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase, 508
Glyceroneogenesis, 697
Glycerophosphate shuttle, 591, 591F
Glycerophospholipids, **249–252**
  amphiphilic nature of, 249–251
  classes, 250T
  hydrolysis, 251–252, 251F
  structure, 249F
  synthesis, **700–703,** 700F
Glycinamide ribotide (GAR), 803, 804F
Glycine (Gly):
  biosynthesis, 752
  breakdown, 734, 734F, 735
  conformation, 135
  in heme biosynthesis, **758–762,** 759F
  and N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF, 819F
  nonpolar side chain, 84
  in photorespiration, 659F
  and THF, 741F
Glycine cleavage system, 735
Glycine decarboxylase multienzyme system, 735
Glycocalyx, 241F
Glycoconjugates, 241
Glycoforms, 240
Glycogen:
  branching of, 535F
  as glucose stockpile, 523
  as storage polysaccharide, **232,** 232F
  structure, 525F, 537
Glycogen-binding subunit (G_L), 540
Glycogen branching enzyme, 532, **535–536**
Glycogen debranching enzyme, 232, 525, **528–529,** 529F
Glycogen degradation, *see* Glycogenolysis
Glycogenin, 535
Glycogen metabolism, **524–544**
  allosteric control, **536**
  Carl and Gerty Coris' studies of, 526
  covalent modification control, **536–542**
  glycogenolysis, **524–531**
  hormonal control, **542–544,** 543F
  opposing pathways, 532F
  phosphorylation and dephosphorylation systems, 541F
  and synthesis, **532–536**
Glycogenolysis (glycogen breakdown), 405, **524–531**
  during fasting, 792F
  glycogen debranching enzyme, 525, **528–529**
  glycogen phosphorylase, **525–528**
  insulin as blocker of, 783
  mammalian metabolism, 775
  overview, 524, 525
  phosphoglucomutase, 525, **529–531**
Glycogen phosphorylase:
  activation, 538
  conformational changes, 389F
  control, 390F, **536**
  covalent modification, 387–388, 388F, **536–539**
  and fructose, 510
  in glycogen breakdown, 232, **524–528**
  interconvertible enzyme system, 538F
  and McArdle's disease, 530
  reaction mechanism, 527F
Glycogen storage diseases, 530–531

Glycogen synthase:
allosteric control, 534–536
covalent modification, **536–542**
function, **532–535**
Glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK3β), 438F, 541, 544
Glycogen synthesis, 523, **532–536**
glycogen branching enzyme, 532, **535–536**
glycogen synthase, **533–535**
mammalian metabolism, 775
UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, **532–533**
Glycolate, 658, 659F
Glycolate oxidase, 659
Glycolipids, 227, 274
Glycolysis, 479
aerobic, 797
aldolase, **484–486,** 486F
AMPK activation, 786–787
cellular location, 448
control, **502–507, 549–551,** 623F
electron transport sites, 589F
energy-generating capacity, aerobic vs. anaerobic, 575–576
enolase, **493, 494**
free energy changes, 503F, 503T
gluconeogenesis vs., 545F
glucose metabolism vs., 624
glyceraldeyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, **489–491**
hexokinase, **482,** 482F
hydrolytic reactions vs., **549**
mammalian metabolism, 774, 780
of other hexoses, 508F
overview, **479–481,** 480F
and pentose phosphate pathway, 518, 519F
phosphofructokinase, **484, 503–506**
phosphoglucose isomerase, **482–484**
phosphoglycerate kinase, **491–492**
phosphoglycerate mutase, **492–493,** 493F
pyruvate kinase, **494–497**
stage II summary, 481, 496–497, 496F
stage I summary, 481, 488, 488F
substrate cycling, **506–507**
triose phosphate isomerase, **485, 487–488**
Glycolytic flux, 797
Glycomics, 235
Glycophorin A, 263, 263F
Glycoprotein(s), **234–242**
bacterial cell walls, **235–237**
glycosylated proteins, **238–240**
membrane, 274
oligosaccharides, **240–242**
P-, 314, 314F, 315F
proteoglycans, **235,** 235F
sialic acids in, 227
Glycosaminoglycans, **232–235,** 233F
Glycosides, 227, 227F
α-Glycosides, 227
β-Glycosides, 227
Glycosidic bonds, 227, 552
Glycosphingolipids, 253
Glycosylases, **910–912,** 910F
Glycosylated proteins, **238–240**
Glycosylation, 238, 1029
Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked protein (GPI-linked protein), 268, 268F
Glycosyltransferases, 239
Glyoxylate cycle, 579, **582–585,** 584F
Glyoxylic acid, 825F
Glyoxysomes, 448F, 582, 584F
GMP (guanosine monophosphate), **806–807,** 806F, 822F
GMPPCP, 1021
GMPPNP, *see* Guanosine-5′-(β,γ-imido) triphosphate (GDPNP)
G_M subunit, 539, 540
Goldberg, Johnathan, 914
Golden rice, 75, 75F
Goldstein, Joseph, 670, 711
Golgi apparatus, 8
glycosylated protein synthesis in, 238, 239
metabolic functions, 448F
protein transport by, 280–281, 280F
Gonads, 406
Gonadal steroids, 406–407
Gout, 825, 826
The Gout (James Gillray), 825F
GPCRs (G protein-coupled receptors), **424–426**
GPCR kinase 2 (GRK2), 431
GPI-linked protein (glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked protein), 268, 268F
G proteins, 412–414, 538, 967
G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), **424–426**
G_q protein, 433
G-quartet, 904, 904F
GR (glucocorticoid receptor), 1069, 1069F
Gram, Christopher, 236
Gram-negative bacteria, 236, 236F, 316
Gram-positive bacteria, 236, 236F
Granum(--a), 632
Grb2, 414, 414F
Grb2-associated binder-1 (Gab-1), 438
GRE (glucocorticoid response element), 1069
GreA, 954
Greasy slide, 297
GreB, 954
Greek key motif, 151F, 152
Greek nomenclature, for amino acids, 87–88, 88F
Greenberg, G. Robert, 803
Green fluorescent protein (GFP), 93
Green sulfur bacteria, 648
Greider, Carol, 902
GRK2 (GPCR kinase 2), 431
gRNA (guide RNA), 926, 971, 1073, 1073F
GroEL, 170–172, 170F, 171F
GroEL–GroES–$(ADP)_7$ complex, 170–171, 170F
GroEL/GroES chaperonin, 170–172, 171F
GroES, 170–172, 170F, 171F
Group I introns, 975, 976F
Group II introns, 975
Group-transfer reactions, 373, 447, 454, 454T
Growth factors, 234
Growth hormone (GH), **407–408**
$G_{s\alpha}$ protein, 427
GSH, *see* Glutathione
GSK3β, *see* Glycogen synthase kinase 3β
G_s protein, 427
GSSG (gluthathione disulfide), 94, 518
GTF (general transcription factor), 956–957, 956T
GTP (guanosine triphosphate):
cells' use of, 427
in citric acid cycle, 561, 562, 573F
from GMP, 807
in PEPCK mechanism, 547F
in secetory pathway, 278
from succinyl-CoA synthetase, **572–573**
GTPase-activating protein (GAP), 414, 415
GTP hydrolysis, 1015, 1020
GTPγS, 440
Guanidinium ion, 162
Guanidino group, 459
Guanine (G), 43
and Chargaff's rules, 47
as common nucleotide, 44
complementary base pairing with, 49F
modified forms of, in tRNA, 989F
in purine catabolism, 822F
tautomeric forms of, 47F
Guanine-7-methyltransferase, 962
Guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), 414
Guanine ribonucleotide synthesis, **806–807**
Guanosine, 822F
Guanosine diphosphate, *see* GDP
Guanosine monophosphate, *see* GMP
Guanosine triphosphate, *see* GTP
Guanosine-5′-(β,γ-imido)triphosphate (GMPPNP, GDPNP), 1012, 1012F, 1013F, 1018, 1018F
Guanylate cyclase, 432
Guanylate kinase, 807
Guanylytransferase, 962
Guide RNA, *see* gRNA
D-Gulose, 222F
GWAS (genome-wide association studies), 1037
Gyrases, 845, 847, 889

H, see Enthalpy
H (heavy chains), 202, 213
h (Planck's constant), 12
H1 histones, 868–871, 871F
H2A histones, 868–870, 870F, 872
H2B histones, 868–870, 870F, 872
H3 histones, 868–870, 870F, 872
H4 histones:
in chromosome structure, 868–870, 872
sequence divergence for, 124–125, 125F
X-ray structure, 870F
HA, *see* Hemagglutinin
Haber-Bosch process, 764
HAD (3-L-Hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase), 674, 675
Haemophilus haemolyticus, 1061
Haemophilus influenzae, 62
hairy gene, 1091
Haldane, John Burdon Sanderson, 2, 366
Half-cell, 464, 464F
Half-chair conformation, 341F
Half-life, 363–364, 719, 719T
Half-reactions, 463–467, 466T
Half-time, 363–364
Haloarcula marismortui, 853F, 999, 999F, 1016, 1025
Halobacter halobium, 265
Halobacteria, 9
Halobacterium salinarium, 264, 265, 601
Hamm, Heidi, 426
Hammerhead ribozyme, 854–856, 855F
Hand-over-hand mechanism, 890, 890F
Hanson, Jean, 203
H antigens, 242, 242T
Haploid DNA, 49
Haploid genome DNA contents, 1035F
Harden, Arthur, 478
Hardening of the arteries, 713–714
Hard keratins, 141
Harrison, Stephen, 862
HATs (histone acetyltransferases), 1056–1058
Hatch, Marshall, 660
HAT complexes, 1057
Haworth projections, 224, 224F
Hb, *see* Hemoglobin
hb (Hunchback) genes, 1090
HCC (hepatocellular carcinoma), 474F
HD, *see* Huntington's disease
HDACs (histone deacetylases), 1059
HDL, *see* High density lipoproteins
HDPR (6-hydroxy-1,6-dihydropurine ribonucleoside), 823F
HDR, *see* Homology-directed repair
Heart:
aerobic metabolism in, 778
AMPK-activated glycolysis in, 786–787
citric acid cycle in, 577, 578
free energy changes for glycolysis in, 503T
Heart attack, 449, 625, 705, 713
Heat *(q),* 12, 15
Heat at constant pressure (q_P), 12
Heating, protein denaturation and, 162
Heat-labile enterotoxin, 431
HEAT sequence, 423
Heat shock proteins (Hsp), 168
Heavy chains (H), 202, 213
Heavy chain genes, 1078, 1078F
HECT domain, 720
Hegde, Ramanujan, 1028
Helicase, 889–891
Helicase II (UvrD), 912
Helices, left- vs. right-handed, 48F
Helicobacter pylori, 1034, 1034F
Helix capping, 145
Helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif, 861–863
Hemagglutinin (HA), 287, 288, 288F
Heme *a,* 603
Heme *b,* 603
Heme *c,* 603
Heme c_i, 645
Heme-controlled protein synthesis, 1076F
Heme *f,* 645
Heme groups, 632F, 759F
biosynthesis, **758–762**
degradation, 760–762, 761F
hemoglobin, 190F
iron porphyrins, 479
myoglobin, 182–183, 182F
Heme proteins, 602–603
Heme-regulated inhibitor (HRI), 1076
Hemerythrin, 185
Heme *x,* 645
Hemiacetals, 223, 224, 342F
Hemifusion, 286

Hemiketals, 223, 224
Hemin, 760
Hemochromatosis, 571
Hemocyanin, 185
Hemoglobin (Hb), **185–200**
as allosteric protein, 195–197, 387
BPG binding to, 195
and carbon dioxide transport, 193F
cooperative binding by, **187–190**
deoxy-, 186, 187F, 192F, 194, 194F, 195, 199F
evolution rate, 125, 125F
fetal, 195
function, 186–190
gene cluster, 1038
and globin family, 124
leg-, 185, 768
met-, 182
mutations, **197–200,** 197F
oxy-, 186, 187F
oxygen affinities, **190–197**
oxygen binding curve, 188–190, 188F
oxygen dissociation curve, 194F
protein crystals, 146F
structure, 132F, 181, **185–187,** 187F
Hemoglobin S (sickle-cell hemoglobin), 198–200
Hemolymph, 185
Hemolytic anemia, 198
Hemophilia a, 356
Hemophilia b, 356
Henderson, Richard, 264, 265
Henderson–Hasselbalch equation, 36, 37
Hen egg white lysozyme (HEW), 340–342, 341F, 344
Henri, Victor, 365
Henseleit, Kurt, 729
Heparin, 233–234, 233F
Heparin sulfate, 234
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), 474F
Hepatomegaly, 530
Heptad repeats, 866
Heptoses, 223
HER2 receptor, 216, 420
Herceptin, 216, 420
Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome, 913
Hereditary spherocytosis, 272
Hers' disease, 530
Hershko, Avram, 720
Heterochromatin, 1052, 1066
Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), 1060
Heterogeneous nuclear mRNAs (hnRNAs), 963
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) family, 971
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (hnRNP-K), 1083
Heterologous DNA, 917
Heterolytic cleavage, 682
Heteropolysaccharides, 228
Heterotrimeric G proteins, **423–432,** 426F
and adenylate cyclase, **427–431**
components, 423–424
dissociation, **426–427**
and phosphodiesterases, **432**
transmembrane helices in, **424–426**
Heterotrophs, 443
Heterozygotes, 198
HEW, *see* Hen egg white lysozyme
Hexokinase (HK):
activity of glucokinase vs., 779F
in glycolysis, **482,** 482F, 503
in mannose metabolism, 512
and phosphoanhydride hydrolysis, 456, 457
Hexokinase IV, 778–779
Hexose, 223, 341F, **508–512,** 508F
Hexose monophosphate shunt, 513
Hexosiminidase A, 706, 707
Hg^{2+} ion, zinc ion replacement, 326
hGH (Human growth hormone), 407–408, 408F
hGHbp, 407, 408
HGPRT (hypoxanthine-guanine phosphorihosyl transferase), 808
High-affinity lactose binding site (E-2), 316, 317
High-altitude adaptation, 195
High density lipoproteins (HDL), 667, 668, 671, 713, 714F
High-energy bonds, 454–455
High-energy compounds, **452–461**
ATP and phosphoryl group transfer, **454–455**
coupled reactions, **455–457**
with high phosphoryl group-transfer potentials, **457–460**
Fritz Lippman's studies, 453
thioesters, **460–461**
High-energy intermediates, 453, **489–491, 493–494**
Highly repetitive DNA sequences, 1040
High-mannose oligosaccharides, 239
High mobility group (HMG), 1054–1056
High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), 103
High-throughput screening, 392–393
HI/HA (hyperammonemia), 728, 733
Hill, Archibald, 188
Hill constant, 188
Hill equation, **188–190**
Hill plot, 189–190, 189F
Hippuric acid, 672
His, *see* Histidine
his operon, 1050
His tags, 106, 618
Histamine, 93, 93F, 424, 762
Histidine (His):
acid-base catalysis by, 332
biosynthesis, 757, 757F
breakdown, **737–738,** 737F
charged polar side chain, 86
covalent catalysis by, 335, 336
Histidinodehydrohydroxymerodesmosine, 144
Histones, 868–872
calf thymus, 868T
covalently modified, 1056, 1056F
evolutionary stability, 868–869
gene clusters, selected organisms, 1038, 1038F
H1, 868–871, 871F
H2A, 868–870, 870F, 872
H2B, 868–870, 870F, 872
H3, 868–870, 870F, 872
H4, 124–125, 125F, 868–870, 870F, 872
linker, 870
in nucleosomes, **868–870,** 870F
Histone acetylation, 1056–1058
Histone Acetyl-Lys, 1057
Histone acetyltransferases (HATs), 1056–1058
Histone code, 1056
Histone deacetylases (HDACs), 1059
Histone-depleted metaphase chromosomes, 872–874, 872F, 873F
Histone Lys, 1057, 1060
Histone marks, 1056
Histone methylation, 1059–1060
Histone methyltransferases (HMTs), 1060–1062, 1060F
Histone trimethyl-Lys residues, 1061F
Hitchings, George, 826
HIV (human immunodeficiency virus), 900, 901
HIV-1 reverse transcriptase, 900, 901
HIV enzyme inhibitors, 376–377
HIV protease, 376, 377, 397
HK, *see* Hexokinase
HMG (high mobility group), 1054–1056
HMG1, 1079
HMG2, 1079
HMG box, 1055
HMG-CoA (β-Hydroxy-β-methlglutaryl-CoA):
in cholesterol biosynthesis, 707–708
and competitive inhibitors of HMG-CoA reductase, 712F
in ketogenesis, 685F, 686
in lysine degradation, 743F
HMG-CoA lyase, 686
HMG-CoA reductase (hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase), 670F
and cholesterol synthesis, 707–708, **710–713**
statins and, 712–713, 712F
HMG-CoA synthase, 686
HMG proteins, 1054–1056
HMTs (histone methyltransferases), 1060–1062, 1060F
hnRNAs (heterogeneous nuclear mRNAs), 963
hnRNP (heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein) family, 971
hnRNP-K (heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K), 1083
Ho, Chien, 196, 197
Hodgkin, Dorothy Crowfoot, 131, 186, 679–682
Hol, Wim, 844
Holden, Hazel, 203, 731
Holley, Robert, 54, 988
Holliday, Robin, 916
Holliday junction, 917
RuvA complex with, 921–922, 921F, 922F
X-ray structure, 917F
Holoenzymes. *See also* Pol III holoenzyme
PP2A, 422F, 423
RNA polymerase, 939, 939F, 940F, 942, 943
Homeobox, 1093
Homeodomain, 1093
Homeostasis, **18–20,** 403, **786–790**
Homeotic selector genes, 1091, 1093–1094
Homocitrate, 766
Homocysteine, 738, 739F, 753F
Homocysteine methyltransferase, 753
Homocysteinuria, 740
Homogentisate, 471F, 745F
Homogentisate dioxygenase, 746
Homogentisic acid, 471, 746
Homolactic fermentation, **498**
Homologous end-joining, *see* Homology-directed repair (HDR)
Homologous proteins, 122
Homologous (general) recombination, **916–922,** 916F
RecA in, 917–920
RecBCD in, 920–921
RuvA, RuvB, and RuvC in, 921–922
Homology-directed repair (HDR), 924–925, 924F, 928
Homology modeling, 167
Homolytic cleavage, 682
Homopolysaccharides, 228
Homoserine, 753F
Homozygotes, 198
Hoogsteen base pairs, 849F
Hoogsteen geometry, 849
Hormones, **402–408**. *See also specific hormones, e.g.:* Epinephrine (adrenaline)
adrenal glands, 406–407
endocrine, 403, 403F, 404
fuel metabolism regulation by, **404–405, 781–786,** 784F
glycogen metabolism regulation by, **542–544,** 543F
growth, **407–408**
mammalian metabolism, 778
nuclear receptors activated by, 1068–1069
pancreatic islet, **404–405**
sex, **406–407**
steroid, 254–255, 255F
Hormone response elements (HREs), 1068
Hormone-sensitive lipase, 671, 698
Hormone-sensitive triacyglycerol lipase, 621
Horseshoe crab, 185
Horwich, Arthur, 170
HOTAIR (lncRNA), 1075
Hot spots, 935
Housekeeping genes, 1036
Hox-1.1 gene, 1095
Hox-3.1 gene, 1095, 1095F
Hox genes, 1075, 1093–1095
HP1 (heterochromatin protein 1), 1060
HPLC (high-performance liquid chromatography), 103
HPRT (hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase), 216
HREs (hormone response elements), 1068
HRI (heme-regulated inhibitor), 1076
HS4 insulator, 1066
Hsp (heat shock proteins), 168
Hsp40, 169
Hsp70, 169
Hsp90, 170
HTH (helix-turn-helix) motif, 861–863
Huber, Robert, 353, 722
Humans:
apolipoprotein A-I, 668F
Argonaute2, 1073F
chromosome size, 59
daily metabolic energy needs, 620
dUTPase, 817F
elements in human body, 2T
evolution/migration of, 66
exosomes, 1071F
fuel reserves, normal 70-kg man, 791T
gene number, 1034–1036
genetic diseases, 65–66, 65T
histone methyltransferase, 1060F
human-mouse cell fusion, 270F
p53 protein, 1084F

phylogenetic tree, 1042F, 1043
thioredoxin, 816F
triacylglycerol and cholesterol transport in, 669F
ubiquitin, 720F
Human genome:
genes associated with disease, 1037
nucleotide sequence content, 1041F
protein encoding by, 1034–1036, 1036F
sequencing, 63
transcription, 1037
Human growth hormone (hGH), 407–408, 408F
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), 900, 901
The Human Microbiome Project, 777
Humoral immunity, 212
Hunchback (hb) genes, 1090
Hunchback protein, 1091, 1092F
Huntingtin, 1040
Huntington's disease (HD), 624, 1040, 1041
Hurwitz, Jerard, 939
Huxley, Andrew, 203
Huxley, Hugh, 202, 203
Hyaluronic acid (hyaluronate), 232, 233, 233F, 235F
Hybrid helices, 838, 838F
Hybridization (RNA-DNA), 852
Hybridomas, 216
Hyde, Craig, 756
Hydrated gels, **232–234**
Hydration, 27
Hydrazine, 767
Hydrocarbons, 28, 28T, **246–248**
Hydrocortisone, 255F, 406
Hydrogen bonds, 24F
in deoxyhemoglobin, 192F
in DNA base pairing, 49
in functional groups, 27F
nucleic acids, 849
proteins, 161
in water, 24–27
Hydrogen ion, 17
Hydrolysis, 3, 171, 172, **549**. *See also specific compounds*
Hydronium ion, 32, 33F
Hydropathy, 160, 160F, 160T
Hydropathy scale, 160T
Hydrophilic substances, **27**
Hydrophobic collapse, 166
Hydrophobic effect:
and bilayer formation, **259–260**
nonpolar substances in water, **27–29**
nucleic acids, 849, 850
proteins, 160
Hydrophobic forces, 29
Hydrophobic interaction chromatography, 104
Hydrophobic substances, 27
Hydrothermal vent, 3, 3F
Hydroxide ion, 32, 33F
3-L-Hydroxyacyl-CoA, 674, 674F
L-β-Hydroxyacyl-CoA, 695F
3-L-Hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HAD), 674, 675
3-Hydroxyanthranilate, 744F
L-Hydroxyarginine, 763
D-β-Hydroxybutyrate, 685, 686, 686F
β-Hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase, 686, 686F
D-β-Hydroxybutyryl-ACP, 691F
β-Hydroxybutyryl-CoA, 743F
6-Hydroxy-1,6-dihydropurine ribonucleoside (HDPR), 823F
Hydroxyethylthiamine pyrophosphate, 500
Hydroxyethyl-TPP, 565F, 754F
2-Hydroxyglutarate, 798
3-Hydroxykynurenine, 744F
Hydroxylamine, 935
Hydroxyl group, 27F, 335F
Hydroxyl radical, 764
5-Hydroxylysyl (Hyl), 142
β-Hydroxy-β-methlglutaryl-CoA, *see* HMG-CoA
Hydroxymethylbilane, 758
Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase, *see* HMG-CoA reductase
p-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate, 471F, 745F
4-Hydroxyproline, 92F
3-Hydroxyprolyl, 142
4-Hydroxyprolyl (Hyp), 142
Hydroxypyruvate, 659, 659F
5-Hydroxytryptamine, 762
Hydroxyurea, 199, 829
Hyl (5-Hydroxylysyl), 142
Hyp (4-Hydroxyprolyl), 142
Hyperammonemia (HI/HA), 728, 733
Hyperbola, 184
Hypercholesterolemia, 712–714, 712F
Hyperchromic effect, 851
Hyperglycemia, 255, 793, 794
Hyperhomocysteinemia, 740
Hyperlysinemia, 744
Hyperlysinuria, 744
Hypermutation, somatic, 217, 1079–1080
Hyperphenylalaninemia, 746
Hyperpolarization, 300
Hyperthermophiles, 162
Hypervariable residues, 122
Hypervariable sequences, 214
Hypoglycemia, 255, 510, 530
Hypothalamus, 789
Hypotonic environments, 235–236
Hypoxanthine, 78
in nucleotide degradation, 822F, 824, 826
and oxidative deamination, 906F
in ribonucleotide synthesis, 803
Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HGPRT), 808
Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase (HPRT), 216
Hypoxia, 195
H zone, 201

I bands, 201
Ibuprofen, 91, 91F, 705
Ice, 25, 25F
I-cell disease, 284
Icosahedral symmetry, 159F
Identity elements (synthetase), 992, 992F
IDL (intermediate density lipoproteins), 667
D-Idose, 222F
Iduronate, 233
IEF (isoelectric focusing), 108
IFs (initiation factors), **1006–1011,** 1008T
IF-1, 1008
IF_1 (protein), 622–623
IF-2, 1008, 1009
IF-3, 1008
I-FABP (intestinal fatty-acid binding protein), 667, 667F
Ig, *see* Immunoglobulin
IgA (immunoglobulin A), 213
IgD (immunoglobulin D), 213
IgE (immunoglobulin E), 213
IgG, *see* Immunoglobulin G
IgM (immunoglobulin M), 213
Ile, *see* Isoleucine
IleRS, 993, 993F
Illumina sequencing, 60–61, 60F
ilv operon, *E. coli,* 1050
Imaginal disks, 1090
Imatinib, 420, 420F
Imidazole, 335F, 336F, 805
4-Imidazolone-5-propionate, 737F
Imines, 331, 334, 334F, 335F
α-Iminoglutarate, 728, 769F
Immune responses, primary and secondary, 212F
Immune system, 212, 217, 723, 823
Immunoaffinity chromatography, 106
Immunoassays, 101
Immunoblotting, 107, 859
Immunoflourescence microscopy, 210
Immunoglobulin (Ig), **212–217,** 213T. *See also* Antibodies
Immunoglobulin A (IgA), 213
Immunoglobulin chain genes, 1077–1078, 1077F
Immunoglobulin D (IgD), 213
Immunoglobulin E (IgE), 213
Immunoglobulin fold, 152F, 153, 214, 215F
Immunoglobulin G (IgG), 213, 214, 214F
Immunoglobulin M (IgM), 213
IMP (inosine monophosphate):
AMP or GMP from, **806–807,** 806F
animal catabolism pathway, 822F
pathway regulation, 807, 807F
synthesis, **803–806,** 804F
Inactivation, 301, 302, 308
"Inactivation ball," 301, 302
Inactivators, 374
Inclusion bodies, 100F
Inderol (propanolol), 826
Indirect readout, 862
Indole, 755–757, 755F
Indole-3-glycerol phosphate, 755F, 756
Indolepropanol phosphate, 756F
Induced fit, 324, 860
Inducers, 1044, 1044F
Inducible enzymes, 946
-ine (suffix), 87
Inflammatory bowel diseases, 63
Influenza virus, 286, 288F
Ingold, Christopher, 90
Ingram, Vernon, 198
Inhibition. *See also* Enzyme inhibition
of lipolysis, 787
product, 375, **576–577**
of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase activation, 576
Inhibition constant, (K_I), 375, 378–379
Inhibitors:
autoinhibitor segments, 429
bovine pancreatic trypsin, 353–354, 353F
competitive, **374–379**
COX-2, 705
cyclin-dependent kinase, 1081
in electron-transport chain studies, 595–597
feedback, 384
heme-regulated, 1076
HIV enzyme, 376–377
mechanism-based, 821
metabolic, 471
in mixed inhibition, 381–382
phosphoprotein phosphatase inhibitor 1, 539–541
protease, 354
α_1-proteinase, 354
Type II topoisomerase, 848
Inhibitor-1 (phosphoprotein phosphatase inhibitor 1), 539–541
Initial velocity of reaction (v_o), 367, 367F
Initiation:
abortive, 944, 945
of DNA recombination, 920–921
DNA replication, **889–891**
of eukaryotic transcription, **956–961**
of prokaryotic transcription, **942–943**
of protein synthesis, **1006–1011**
of translation, 1009F
Initiation factors (IFs), **1006–1011,** 1008T
Initiator caspases, 1087
Initiator element (Inr), 955
Inner membrane, mitochondrial, **590–591,** 591F
Inorganic pyrophosphatase, 457, 457F
Inosine, 375, 822F, 989F
Inosine monophosphate, *see* IMP
myo-Inositol, 226
Inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase, 437
Inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate (IP_3), **432–434**
Inr (initiator) element, 955
Insertion mutations, 906, 907
Insertion sequence (IS), 929, 929F
In situ hybridization, 71, 71F
Insulators, 1066
Insulin, **782–786**
and catecholamines, **783–786**
diabetes mellitus, 793–795
discovery of, 794
epinephrine as antagonist, 543, 544
and fatty acid metabolism, 698
and fuel metabolism, 783T
and fuel storage, 782–783
and glucagon, **783–786**
glucose as trigger for, **782–783**
and liver gluconeogenesis/glycogenolysis, 783
from proinsulin, 1026, 1026F
structure, 98, 98F, 110
Insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, 793
Insulin receptor, 409, 409F, 411F
Insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1), 412
Insulin receptor substrate 2 (IRS-2), 412
Insulin resistance, 793
Insulin signaling system, 438, 438F
Insulin-stimulated protein kinase, 432, 540
Integral membrane proteins, **262–267,** 262F
Integrases, 933
Intercalating agents (intercalation agents), 857–858, 907

Intercalation, 857
Intercellular channels, 306
Interconvertible enzymes, 536, 538F
Interfacial activation, 665
Interferons, 418, 968
Intermediates, 362
  acyl-enzyme, 350, 351F, 354–355, 354F
  covalent, 342–345
  enediol (enediolate), 485, 487
  geminal diamine, 727F
  high-energy, 453, **489–491, 493–494**
  β-keto acid, 515F
  resonance-stabilized, 727F
  tetrahedral, 350, 351F, 353–355, 354f
Intermediate density lipoproteins (IDL), 667
Intermembrane space, 590
Intermolecular binding, 215
Intermolecular interactions, 27
Internal conversion, 636
Internal resolution site, 930
International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (IUBMB), 324
Interorgan metabolic pathways, **780–781**
Interphase, 874
Interphase chromosomes, 874F
Intestinal epithelium, 316F
Intestinal fatty-acid binding protein (I-FABP), 667, 667F
Intestinal microbiome, 777
Intoxication, vitamin D, 256
Intracellular vesicles:
  fusion of, **284–289**
  in membranes, **280–284**
Intrasteric mechanisms, 435, 436
Intrinsically disordered proteins, 164–167
Intrinsic factor, 680
Intrinsic pathways:
  apoptosis, 1087, 1089
  blood coagulation cascade, 356, 357
Intrinsic proteins, **262–267,** 262F
Intrinsic terminators, 946, 946F
Introns (intervening sequences), 72
  discovery, 964
  eukaryotic pre-tRNAs, 978
  group I, 975, 976F
  group II, 975
  lariat structure, 965
  splicing exons and, **963–972**
Intronic splicing silencers (ISSs), 971
Invariant residues, 122
Inversions, 931
In vitro assessment, 66, 392
In vivo assessment, 15, 66
Iodoacetate, 113
Ions. *See also specific ions*
  amino acids as, **84**
  dipolar, 84, 84F
  metal, 161–162, 326, **335–336,** 335F, 850, 887, 887F
  mitochondrial transporters for, **591–593**
  (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase transport of, **310–312**
  solvation of, 27, 27F
  transition metal, 335–336
Ion channels, **297–303**
  and action potentials, 300–301
  central pores of, 303
  Cl^- channels, 303–304
  gating, 300–303
  KcsA K^+ channel, 298–299
  Kv channels, 301–303
  multiple gates of, 301–303
Ion exchange chromatography, 103–104, 104F
Ion-gradient-driven active transport, **315–318**
Ionic charge, protein purification by, 102T
Ionic interactions, **850**
Ionizable groups, p*K* values of, **86–87**
Ionization, water, **32–33**
Ionization constant of water (K_w), 32
Ionophores, **295–297,** 296F
Ion pairs, 161, 161F, 192F
Ion specificity, 299, 299F
Ip (Complex II subunit), 602
IP_3 (inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate), **432–434**
IPTG (isopropylthiogalactoside), 1044
Irisin, 790
Iron, aconitase and, 571
Iron ions, *see* Fe^{2+} ions; Fe^{3+} ions
Iron porphyrins, 479
Iron–protoporphyrin IX, 632F
Iron regulatory protein-1 (IRP1), 571
Iron–sulfur clusters:
  [2Fe–2S], 598
  [4Fe–4S], 570–571, 598
Iron–sulfur protein (ISP), 598, 604
Irreversible processes, 14
IRS-1 (insulin receptor substrate 1), 412
IRS-2 (insulin receptor substrate 2), 412
IS (insertion sequence), 929, 929F
IS1, 929
IS2, 929
Ischemia, 183
Ischemic cardiac muscle, glycolysis in, 786–787
Islets of Langerhans, 404
Isoaccepting tRNAs, 992, 995
Isoalloxazine, 745F
Isocitrate, 325, 560F, **570–571,** 584F
Isocitrate dehydrogenase, **571–572,** 571F, 577, 578
Isocitrate lyase, 582, 585
Isoelectric focusing (IEF), 108
Isoelectric point (p*I*), 86–87, 103, 103T
Isoforms, 388, 689
Isolated systems, 18
Isoleucine (Ile):
  biosynthesis, 754–755, 754F
  breakdown, 742–743
  nonpolar side chain, 84, 85F
Isomerizations, 447
Isopentyl pyrophosphate, 707, 708, 709F
Isopentyl pyrophosphate isomerase, 708
Isopeptide bond, 94, 720
Isoprene, 256, 707
Isoprene units, 707–710
Isoprenoids, 256–257
Isopropanol, 325
Isopropylthiogalactoside (IPTG), 1044
Isoproterenol, 405
Isotopes, radioactive, 469, 469T
Isotopic tracers, 468–469
Isozymes, 388, 449
ISP (iron–sulfur protein), 598, 604
ISSs (intronic splicing silencers), 971
IUBMB (International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology), 324
Iwata, So, 317, 642

J (Joule), 12
Jaenisch, Rudolph, 1063
JAK-STAT pathway, 1066–1068, 1067F
Janus kinase (JAK), 1066–1068
Jaundice, 762
Jelly roll, 153F
Jinek, Martin, 926
J_κ (joining) segment, 1077, 1078
J_λ, 1078
Jmol, 157
Johnson, Louise, 388
Joining (J_κ) segment, 1077, 1078
Joliet, Pierre, 643
Jones, Mary Ellen, 810
Jorgensen, Richard, 1071
Joule (J), 12
J subunit, 213
Jun, 415
Junk DNA, 968–969, 1042
Juvenile-onset diabetes mellitus, 793

K, see Dissociation constant
K (Kelvin), 12
k (rate constant), 362, 364
K^+ ion, 296, 297, 335
k_1, 364
k_{-1}, 364
k_2, 364
Kaback, Ronald, 316, 317
Kabat, Elvin, 214
Kaji, Akira, 1023
κ chain gene family, 1077–1078, 1077F
K_M^{app}, 378, 379
Karplus, Martin, 164
k_B, *see* Boltzmann constant
kb (kilobase pairs), 49
k_{cat} (catalytic constant), 368, 368T, 369
k_{cat}/K_M, 368, 368T, 369
K-channel, 609
K^+ channel, 297–301, 301F
KcsA K^+ channel, 298–299, 298F, 299F
kD (kilodaltons), 12
KDEL receptors, 284
KDPG (2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate), 521
KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) Pathway Database, 472
Keilin, David, 602, 611
Kelvin (K), 12
Kendrew, John, 131, 181, 186, 203, 964
Kennedy, Eugene, 274, 672
K_{eq} (equilibrium constant), 16–17
Keratan sulfate, 233, 233F
Keratins, 140–142
α Keratins, 140–142, 141F
Kerr, John, 1085
Ketimine, 727F
α-Keto acid, 724–727, 727F, 730F, 781
2-Keto acid dehydrogenases, 572
β-Keto acid intermediate, 515F
Ketoacidosis, 793
β-Ketoacyl-ACP synthase (KS), 690
β-Ketoacyl CoA, 695F
β-Ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (KT), 674, 674F, 675, 676F
α-Ketoadipate, 743F, 744F, 754F
α-Ketobutyrate, 738, 739F, 754
2-Keto-3-deoxy-*D*-arabinoheptulosonate7-phosphate, 755, 755F
2-Keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (KDPG), 521
Keto-enol tautomerization, 331F
Ketogenesis, 685, 685F, 686F
Ketogenic amino acids, 733
α-Ketoglutarate, 559, 586
  amino acid biosynthesis, 581, 748–749, 748F
  amino acid degradation, 725, 728, 733F, **737–738,** 737F
  cancer metabolism, 798
  citric acid cycle, 560F, 572
  glutamate synthase reaction, 769F
α-Ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, 571F, **572,** 577–579
Keto group, 27F
α-Ketoisocaproate, 754F
α-Ketoisovalerate, 754F
α-Keto-β-methylvalerate, 754F
Ketones, 331
Ketone bodies, **685–686**
  conversion to acetyl-CoA, 686F
  in diabetes, 793
  mammalian metabolism, **776–778**
  during starvation, 792
Ketoses, **223,** 223F
Ketosis, 686, 793
3-Ketosphinganine, 704, 704F
3-Ketosphinganine reductase, 704
3-Ketosphinganine synthase, 704
KFERQ proteins, 719
Khorana, H. Gobind, 985
K_I (inhibition constant), 375, 378–379
Kidney, 776F, **780**
Kidney stones, 825
kilo- (prefix), 12
Kilobase pairs (kb), 49
Kilodaltons (kD), 12
Kim, Jung-Ja, 675
Kim, Peter, 866
Kim, Sung-Hou, 853
Kinases, 373, 402, 458. *See also specific types*
Kinase cascades, **412–417**
  completion of signaling pathway by, 415, 416
  and GAPs, 414–415
  G protein Ras, 412–414
  in mammalian cells, 417F
  and scaffold proteins, 416–417
  and SH2 domains, 412
  and SH3 domains, 412, 414, 414F
Kinemages, 157
Kinetics, *see* Enzyme kinetics
Kinetically stable (term), 454
KiNG, 157
King, Charles, 143
Kirromycin, 1013
Klenow fragment, 885–886, 885F
Klentaq1, 886–887, 886F
Klug, Aaron, 853, 864, 870

K_M (Michaelis constant), 368T
and catalytic efficiency, 368, 369
from kinetic data, **369–372**
Lineweaver–Burk plot for, 370–371, 370F
operational definition, 366–368
knirps gene, 1091
Knirps protein, 1091
Knoop, Franz, 468, 561, 672
Knowles, Jeremy, 488
Kobilka, Brian, 424
Köhler, Georges, 216
Kok, Bessel, 643
Kornberg, Arthur, 526, 883
Kornberg, Roger, 869, 949, 951–952, 957, 959, 1065
Kornberg, Thomas, 1092, 1094
Kornfeld, Stuart, 238
Koshland, Daniel, 196
Kraut, Joseph, 350
Krebs, Edwin G., 526
Krebs, Hans, 559, 561, 574, 729
Krüppel gene, 1091
Krüppel protein, 1091
KS (β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase), 690
KSR protein, 417
KT, *see* β-Ketoacyl-CoA thiolase
Ku (protein), 914–915, 915F
Ku70 subunit, 914
Ku80 subunit, 914
Kühne, Will, 203
Kunkel, Thomas, 899
Kuriyan, John, 893, 898
Kuru, 174
Kv channels, 301–303
Kv1.2 channels, 301, 302F
K_w (ionization constant of water), 32
Kwashiorkor, 771
Kynureate, 771
Kynureninase, 744
Kynurenine, 744F
Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Pathway Database, 472

L, *see* Light chains
L (Fischer convention), 89
L (linking number), 840, 841
L23 protein, 1025, 1028
lac operator, 1044, 1045, 1045F
lac operon, 941, 941F, **1043–1046,** 1044F
lac repressor, **1043–1046,** 1045F, 1046F, 1048
α-Lactalbumin, 552
β-Lactamase, 238, 930
Lactase, 228
Lactate:
in cancer metabolism, 798
in Cori cycle, 780, 781
from homolactic fermentation, 497, 498
isozyme action, 449
and muscle fatigue, 777–778
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), 152F, 153, 449, 498
δ-Lactone analog of NAG, 344, 344F
Lactose, **228,** 552, 1043
Lactose binding sites (E-1 and E-2), 316–318
Lactose intolerance, 228
Lactose permease (galactoside permease), 316–318, 317F, 941F, 1043
Lactose synthase, 552
Lactosyl ceramide, 706
lacZ gene, 68, 69
LADH (liver alcohol dehydrogenase), 400, 501
Lagging strand, DNA, 881, 884, 884F, **891–895**
λ chain, 1078
Lander, Eric, 63
Lands, William, 702
Lanosterol, 710, 711F
Large ribosomal subunit, 997, 997T, 999–1002, 1003T
Lariat structure, 965
Lasers, 644
Late genes, 943
Lateral diffusion, 260, 260F, **269–271**
Lathyrism, 143
LBHBs (low-barrier hydrogen bonds), 352–353
LCAT (lecithin–cholesterol acyltransferase), 671
Lck, 418
LDH, *see* Lactate dehydrogenase
LDL, *see* Low density lipoproteins
LDL receptors, 670, 713–714
Lead compound, 392
Leader (L_κ) segment, 1077
Leader sequence, 977, 1049
Leading strand, DNA, 881, **891–895**
Leading substrates, 373
Lead poisoning, 758
Leber's congenital amaurosis, 76
Le Châtelier's principle, 17, 449
Lecithin (phosphatidylcholine), 701, 701F
Lecithin–cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT), 671
Lectins, 241, 241F
Lefkowitz, Robert, 424
Leghemoglobins, 185, 768
Lehninger, Albert, 672
Leloir, Luis, 526
Leptin, 788–789, 788F
Lesch-Nyhan syndrome, 808, 826
Leslie, Andrew, 613
let-7 miRNA, 1074
Leucine (Leu):
biosynthesis, 754–755, 754F
breakdown, 742–744, 743F
genetic code specification, 985, 986
nonpolar side chain, 84
Leucine zippers, **866–867,** 866F
Leukemia, 76
Leukocytes, 241
Leukocyte elastase, 354
Leukotrienes, 258
Leupeptin, 347F
Levinthal, Cyrus, 165
Levorotatory molecules, 89
Lewis, Edward B., 1093
Lewis, Mitchell, 1045
Lewy bodies, 175
LexA, 915
LH-2, 634, 634F
LHC, *see* Light-harvesting complex
Li, Guohong, 871
Lienhard, Gustav, 338
Life, **1–20**
cellular architecture, **5–11**
and chiral molecules, 90–91
homeostasis in, **18–20**
origin, **2–5**
and thermodynamics, **11–20**
and water, 23
Li–Fraumeni syndrome, 1082
Ligands, 106, 184
Ligand binding, 407, 408, 410, **433–434**
Ligand-gated channels, 300
Ligases, 68, 882, 895, 895F, 978
Ligation, 68
Light-absorbing pigments, **632–635**
Light chains (L), 203, 213, 215F
Light energy, in Calvin cycle, **656–658**
Light-harvesting complex (LHC), 633–635, 634F, 649
Light reactions (photosynthesis), 630–631, **635–651**
chemical energy from light energy, **635–636**
and electron transport in photosynthetic bacteria, **637–639**
proton gradient in, 648–651
two-center electron transport, **639–650**
Lignin, 230
Lima, Christopher, 1070, 1071
Limit dextrins, 244
Limited proteolysis, 114
Limulus polyphemus, 185
lin-14 miRNA, 1074
lincRNAs (long noncoding RNAs), 1075, 1083
lincRNA-p21, 1083
Lind, James, 143
LINEs (long interspersed nuclear elements), 1040, 1042
Lineweaver, Hans, 370
Lineweaver-Burk plot (double-reciprocal plot):
competitive inhibition, 379F, 380T
Michaelis–Menten parameters on, 370–371, 370F
mixed inhibition, 380T, 382F
uncompetitive inhibition, 380T, 381F
Linkages, 3
Linker, *lac* repressor, 1045
Linker DNA, 869
Linker histones, 870
Linking number, supercoiled DNA, 840, 841
Link proteins, 235
Linoleic acid, 246, 246F, 676, 677F, 695
α-Linolenic acid, 246F, 247
Lipases, 665–666, 671
Lipids, 245
activated, 700–702
aggregates of, 259F
asymmetrical distribution in membranes, **274–276**
biological functions, 245
classification, **246–258**
digestion and absorption, **664–667**
fatty acids, **246–248,** 246F, 247T
glycerophospholipids, **249–252**
and integral membrane proteins, **262–267**
in lipoproteins, 667–668
metabolic roles of, **256–258**
sphingolipids, **252–253**
steroids, **254–256**
synthesis, 512–519, **701–706**
transport of, **667–671**
triacylglycerols, **248–249**
Lipid bilayers, **259–261**
fluidlike properties of, **260–261**
formation of, **259–260,** 259F
lateral diffusion in, 260F, **269–271**
model, 260F
phase transition in, 261F
Lipid-linked membrane proteins, **267–268**
Lipid metabolism, **664–715,** 697F
cholesterol, **706–714**
digestion, absorption, and transport, **664–671**
fatty acid biosynthesis, **686–697**
fatty acid oxidation, **671–684**
ketone bodies in, **685–686**
regulation of fatty acid metabolism, **697–700**
and synthesis of other lipids, **701–706**
Lipidomics, 474
Lipid rafts, 276
Lipid storage diseases, 704, 706–707
Lipitor, 712F
Lipmann, Fritz, 453, 612
Lipoamide, 564, 565, 565F
Lipoamide-E2, 566
Lipogenesis, 787
Lipoic acid, 565
Lipolysis, 787
Lipoproteins, **667–671**
Lipoprotein lipase, 668, 700
Liposomes, 260, 260F
Lipoxins, 258
Lipoyllylsyl arm, dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase, 567
Lipscomb, William, 384
Liquid crystals, lipid bilayers as, 261
Liver:
alanine transport to, 781
AMPK-inhibited lipogenesis/gluconeogenesis in, 787, 787F
cholesterol transport to, 671
glucose generation in, 530, 531
glycogen in, 232F
heme biosynthesis in, 760
insulin and gluconeogenesis/glycogenolysis in, 783, 787F
lactate transport to from muscles, 780
lysine breakdown in, 743F
mammalian metabolism in, 775, 776F, **778–780**
triacylglycerols in, 779
Liver alcohol dehydrogenase (LADH), 400, 501
Liver glycogen synthase deficiency, 531
Liver phosphorylase deficiency (Hers' disease), 530
L_κ (leader segment), 1077
LKB1 kinase, 786
L_λ, 1078
lncRNAs (long noncoding RNAs), 1075, 1083
Lock-and-key model, 324
Locomotion, 210–211, 211F
Locus, 926
Loewenstein, Werner, 306
Lon (protein), 723
London dispersion forces, 27
Longevity, and caloric intake, 792
Long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), 1040, 1042
Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs; lincRNAs), 1075, 1083
Long terminal repeats (LTRs), 1042
Long-term regulation, 551, 698–700

Long-term storage, protein, 101
Lovastatin (Mevacor), 712F
Lovelock, James, 10
Low-affinity lactose binding site (E-1), 316, 317
Low-barrier hydrogen bonds (LBHBs), 352–353
Low density lipoproteins (LDL):
  and atherosclerosis, 713–714, 714F
  lipid transportation in, 667, 668F
  receptor-mediated endocytosis, 670–671, 670F
Lowenstein, John, 823
Lowry, Thomas, 33
LTB_4, 258F
LTRs (long terminal repeats), 1042
Lu, Ponzy, 1045
Luciferase, 60
Lung surfactant, 249, 251
$15\text{-}LXA_4$, 258F
Lycopene, 634F
Lydon, Nicholas, 420
Lymphocytes, 212, 1052F
Lysidine, 989F
Lysine (Lys):
  biosynthesis, 752–753, 753F
  breakdown, **743–744**
  catalysis by, 332, 335
  charged polar side chain, 86, 86F
  Greek nomenclature, 88F
Lysis, 198
Lysophosphatidic acid, 251, 696, 696F
Lysophospholipid, 251, 251F
Lysosomal proteins, 281–282
Lysosomes, 8, 284, 448F, **719**
Lysozyme, **339–345**
  and amyloidoses, 173
  catalytic site, **340–342,** 340F
  cleavage site, 339F
  mechanism, **342–345,** 343F, 345F
  and peptidoglycan, 237
  substrate interactions, 341F
  transition state analog inhibition, 344, 344F
Lysyl oxidase, 144
D-Lyxose, 222F

m^4C (N^4-methylcytosine), 908
m^5C (5-methylcytosine), 908
m^6A (N^6-methyladenine), 908
m^7G (7-methylguanosine), 962
m^7GDP, 1010, 1010F
McArdle's disease, 523, 530
McCarty, Maclyn, 51
McClintock, Barbara, 929
MacKinnon, Roderick, 298, 303
McKnight, Steven, 866
MacLeod, Colin, 51
MacLeod, John J. R., 794
macroH2A1, 1053
Macromolecules, **108–109,** 149, 157
Macronutrients, 443
Macrophages, 211F
Mad cow disease (bovine spongiform encephalopathy), 174
Magnesium ion, *see* Mg^2 ion
Main chain, proteins, 133, 134
Maintenance methylation, 1062, 1062F
Maize (corn), 64, 64F, 75, 631F, 929
Major facilitator superfamily, 307
Major grooves, DNA, 49
Major histocompatibility complex (MHC), 723
Malaria, 199–200, 200F, 519
Malate:
  in citric acid cycle, 559, 560F, **574**
  conversion to pyruvate, 683
  in glyoxylate cycle, 584F
  and PEPCK, 548
  in tricarboxylate transport system, 688F
  in urea cycle, 730F
Malate–aspartate shuttle, 591
Malate dehydrogenase, 548, **574–575**
Malate synthase, 582, 585
Malathion, 346
Male sex hormones, 406
4-Maleylacetoacetate, 745F
Malic enzyme, 683
Malignant transformations, 416
Malignant tumors, 416
Malonate, 374, 375, 574
Malonic semialdehyde, 827F
Malonyl-ACP, 691F
Malonyl-CoA:
  and ACC inhibition, 787
  in fatty acid synthesis, **687–689,** 691F
  from pyrimidine catabolism, **827,** 827F
  regulation of fatty acid metabolism by, 698
Malonyl-CoA-ACP transacylase (MAT), 690
Malonyl-enzyme, 688
Maltodextrins, 297
Maltoheptaose, 388F
Maltoporin, 297, 297F
Maltose, 244
Mammals. *See also specific species*
  acetyl-CoA carboxylase of, 689
  fatty acid synthase, 693F
  fuel metabolism in, *see* Fuel metabolism
  RNAP I promoter of, 954
Man, *see* Mannose
Manganese (Mn^{2+}) ion, 335
Manipulating DNA, **66–76**
Mannose (Man), 222F, 223, **512,** 512F
Mannose-6-phosphate, 512, 512F
MAP kinase, 417F
MAP kinase kinase kinases (MKKKs), 416, 417
MAP kinase kinases (MKKs), 415–417
MAPKs (mitogen-activated protein kinases), 415
Maple syrup urine disease, 742–743
Margoliash, Emanuel, 120
Margulis, Lynn, 9, 10
Marmorstein, Ronen, 1057
Marmur, Julius, 852
Marsupials, 1053
Martius, Carl, 561
Mass spectrometry, **117–118,** 117F, 344
Master proteins, 1085
Mastigocladus laminosus, 645F
MAT (malonyl-CoA-ACP transacylase), 690
Maternal-effect genes, 1090
Mating type switching, 1054
Matrix, mitochondrial, 574, 590
Matthaei, Heinrich, 985
Maturation, 280
Maturity-onset diabetes mellitus, 793–795
Maximal reaction velocity, *see* V_{max}
Max protein, 867, 867F
Mayo, Stephen, 168
Mb, *see* Myoglobin
MCAD (medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase), 675
MCM, 899
M disk, 201
mdm2 gene, 1083
Mdm2 protein, 1083
MDR (multidrug resistance) transporter, 314
Mechanism-based inhibitors, 821
Mechanosensitive channels, 300
Medial cisternae, 280
Mediated membrane transport, 294–295, 308
Mediator complex, 1065–1066, 1065F
Medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MCAD), 675
Medulla, adrenal gland, 405
mega- (prefix), 12
MEK, 415
Melanin, 746, 763, 763F
Melatonin, 771
Mello, Craig, 1072
Melting curve, DNA, 851, 851F
Melting temperature (T_m), DNA, 851, 851F, 852
Membranes:
  cell shape and skeleton of, **271–273**
  of erythrocytes, 271–273, 273F, 274F
  fluid mosaic model, **269–271**
  gates and fences model, 272
  glycerophospholipids in, 249
  intracellular vesicles in, **280–284**
  lipid distribution in, **274–276**
  lipid synthesis in, 700–706
  and peripheral proteins, **268**
  protein asymmetry in, 263
  secretory pathway, **276–280**
  sphingolipids in, 252–253
  structure and assembly, **269–289,** 269F
  vesicle fusion with, **284–289**
Membrane anchor, 279
Membrane-enveloped virus, 286
Membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$), 294
Membrane proteins, **262–268**
  integral, **262–267**
  in intracellular vesicles, **280–284**
  lipid-linked, **267–268**
  mobility of, 273F
  peripheral, **268**
  secreted, **277–279,** 281–282
  secretory pathway, **276–280**
  transmembrane, 263, **276–280**
  in vesicle fusion, **284–289**
Membrane subdomains, 276
Membrane transport, **293–318**
  active transport, **309–318**
  ion-gradient-driven, **315–318**
  mediated, 294–295, 308
  nonmediated, 294–295, 308
  passive-mediated transport, **295–309**
  thermodynamics, **294–295**
Memory B cells, 212
Menaquinone, 257, 637
Mendel, Gregor, 10, 50, 51
Menton, Maud, 365, 366
Mercaptans, disulfide bond cleavage with, 113
2-Mercaptoethanol, 107, 113
6-Mercaptopurine, 826, 829
Mercury (Hg^{2+}) ions, 326
Mertz, Janet, 68
Meselson, Matthew, 879, 880F
Mesophiles, 162
Mesophyll cells, 660, 661
Messenger RNA, *see* mRNA
Met, *see* Methionine
Metabolic disturbances, **790–798**
  cancer, **796–798**
  diabetes mellitus, **792–795**
  obesity, 507, **795–796**
  starvation, **790–792**
Metabolic flux:
  citric acid cycle and, 575
  control of, **450–452, 503–507**
  gluconeogenesis, 551
  and glycolysis in muscle, **503–507**
Metabolic homeostasis, 781, **786–790**
  and adaptive thermogenesis, **789–790**
  and adiponectin, 788
  and AMP-dependent protein kinase, **786–787**
  and appetite regulation, **788–789**
  and ghrelin, 789
  and leptin, 788–789
  and $PYY_{3\text{-}36}$, 789
Metabolic inhibitors, 471
Metabolic pathways, 6, **445–449**
  cellular locations of, 448–449
  committed step of, 450
  common intermediates of, 471
  direction and regulatory capacity of, **449–450**
  enzyme catalysis for, 447–448
  experimental approaches to study of, **468–474**
  and genetic manipulation, 471
  mammalian fuel metabolism, 774–775, 774F
  near-equilibrium nature of, 449–450
  short-and long-term regulation, 698–700
Metabolic reactions, **6–7, 44–45**
Metabolic studies, 479
Metabolic syndrome, 796
Metabolism, **442–475**
  aerobic, 497, 623–626, 778
  amino acid, **718–770**
  anaerobic, 497, 777–778
  calcium ion, 255–256
  crassulacean acid, 661
  enzymatic reactions, **445–449**
  experimental approaches to study of, **468–474**
  fatty acid, **697–700,** 699F, **775–778**
  fructose, **508–510,** 509F
  fuel, **773–799**
  galactose, **510–512,** 511F
  glucose, 523, 524F, 549F. *See also* Glucose catabolism
  glycogen, **524–544**
  hexoses other than glucose, **508–512**
  high-energy compounds, **452–461**
  hormonal control of fuel metabolism, **781–786**
  interrelationships among brain, adipose tissue, muscle, and liver, 776F
  lipid, **664–715,** 697F

mannose, **512,** 512F
metabolite tracing, **468–470**
nitrogen, 749–751
nucleotide, **802–828,** 822F
nutrition, **443**
overview, **443–452**
oxidation–reduction reactions, **463–467**
oxidative, 558, 559F, **620–626**
and protein kinase cascades, 390–391
roles of lipids, **256–258**
and steroid hormones, **406–407**
system perturbation, **470, 471**
systems biology and study of, **471–474**
thermodynamics, **449–450**
vitamins and minerals, **443–445**
Metabolites:
analysis of, 474
biosynthesis regulation by, 1051
in blood, **780–781**
for citric acid cycle intermediates, 582
defined, 445
labeled, **468–470**
mitochondrial transporters for, **591–593**
nonessential amino acids from, **747–752**
tracing, **468–470**
transport of gluconeogenesis, 548–549
Metabolome, 471
Metabolomics, 474
Metabolon, 579
Metagenomic sequencing, 62, 63
Metal chelate affinity chromatography, 106
Metal ions:
as catalysts, **335–336**
as cofactors, 326
and DNA polymerase, 887, 887F
as electrophiles, 335F
nucleic acid stabilization by, 850
protein stabilization, 161–162
Metalloenzymes, 335–336
Metaphase chromosomes, 868F, 872–874, 872F, 873F
Metformin, 795
Methanococcus jannaschii, 279–280, 279F
Methanogens, 9
Methanol, 325, 378
Methanol poisoning, 378
Methemoglobin, 182
N^5,N^{10}-Methenyl-tetrahydrofolate, 741F
N^5,N^{10}-Methenyltetrahydrofolate, 909
Methionine (Met):
biosynthesis, 752–754, 753F
breakdown, 738, 739F
genetic code specification, 986, 987
nonpolar side chain, 84
Methionine synthase, 753
Methotrexate, 821
Methylacrylyl-CoA, 742F
9-Methyladenine, 850F
N^6-Methyladenine (m^6A), 908
1-Methyladenosine, 989F
Methylamine methyltransferase, 996
Methylation:
DNA, 908, 1060–1063, 1062F
dUMP, **817–820**
histone, 1059–1060
rRNA, 973–974
Methylcobalamin, 753–754
β-Methylcrotonyl-CoA, 742F
3-Methylcytidine, 989F
5-Methylcytosine (m^5C), 908
N^4-Methylcytosine (m^4C), 908
N^5,N^{10}-Methylene-tetrahydrofolate, 741F
N^5,N^{10}-Methylenetetrahydrofolate (N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF), 735, 818, 819F, 820
N^5,N^{10}-Methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR), 740
Methyl-D-glucosides, 227F
Methyl-α-D-glucosides, 227F
Methyl-β-D-glucosides, 227F
Methylglyoxal, 488
2-Methylguanine, 974
O^6-Methylguanine, 906
7-Methylguanosine (m^7G), 962
N^7-Methylguanosine, 989F
3-Methylhistidine, 92F
Methylmalonic semialdehyde, 827F
Methylmalonyl-CoA, **827,** 827F
(R)-Methylmalonyl-CoA, 678F, 679, 683F
(S)-Methylmalonyl-CoA, 678F, 694
Methylmalonyl-CoA mutase, 680–682, 681F, 683F
Methylmalonyl-CoA racemase, 678
N′-Methyl-*N′*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG), 906
$O^{2'}$-Methylribose, 974
N^5-Methyltetrahydrofolate, 738, 741F
Methyltransferases, 908, 1060–1062, 1061F
2′-*O*-Methyltransferase, 962
Metmyoglobin, 182
met repressor, 862, 863, 863F
Metzler, David, 726
Mevacor, 712F
Mevalonate, 708, 712F
Mevalonate-5-phosphotransferase, 708
Meyerhof, Otto, 479
Mg^{2+} ion:
in chlorophyll, 637
as cofactor, 336
nucleic acid stabilization by, 850
and ribozymes, 975, 976
MHC (major histocompatibility complex), 723
M.HhaI, 1061, 1061F
Micelles, 29, 29F, 30F
Michaelis, Leonor, 365, 366
Michaelis complex, 365
Michaelis constant, *see* K_M
Michaelis–Menten equation, **364–369,** 367
catalytic efficiency, 368–369
competitive inhibition, 378F–379F
effects of inhibitors on, 380T
mixed inhibition, 381–382, 382F
operational definition of constant, 367–368
steady-state assumption in, 364–367
uncompetitive inhibition, 380
micro- (prefix), 12
Microbiome, 63, 777
Micrococcal nuclease, 869
Microdomains, 276
Microfibrils, 141F
Microfilaments, **210–211,** 210F, 211F
Microheterogeneity, 235
Micronutrients, 443
Microorganisms, essential amino acids from, **752–757**
Microprocessor complex, 1074
micro RNA (miRNA), 1074–1075, 1074F
Microscopy, **149,** 210, 236
Middle genes, 943
Miescher, Friedrich, 51
Miglustat, 707
Miles, Edith, 756
Miller, Stanley, 2
Miller-Urey experiment, 2
milli- (prefix), 12
Milstein, César, 216, 277
Miltefosine, 319
Minerals, **443–445,** 444T
Mineralocorticoids, 254, 406
Minor grooves, DNA, 49
Minot, George, 680
(-) end, 206, 210, 211
miR-34a, 1083
miRNA (micro RNA), 1074–1075, 1074F
Mismatch repair (MMR), 908, **913–914,** 913F
Mitchell, Peter, 610, 611
Mitochondria, 8, **589–593,** 590F
acetyl-CoA transport by, **687, 688**
ADP-ATP translocator, 592F
β oxidation in, **684**
citric acid cycle in, 561, 562
cristae, 590, 613F
degenerative disease and oxidative damage to, 624, 625
electron-chain component reduction potentials, 596T
electron-transport chain in, *see* Electron transport
evolution of, 10
F_1 component of ATP synthase, 616F
fatty acid elongation, 695, 695F
fatty acid transport into, **672–673,** 673F
genetic code variants, 988
glyoxylate cycle in, 581, 584F
heme biosynthesis in, 759F
inner membrane of, **590–591,** 591F
ion and metabolite transporters for, **591–593**
metabolic functions, 448F
metabolite transport to, 548–549, 548F
rat liver, 590F
Mitochondrial matrix, 574, 590
Mitochondrial trifunctional protein, 675
Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), 415
Mixed enzyme inhibition, **380–382,** 380T, 382F
Mixtures, racemic, 90
MKKKs (MAP kinase kinase kinases), 416, 417
MLCK (myosin light chain kinase), 435, 436
MM3 gene, 1094
MMDB (Molecular Modeling Database), 158
MMR, *see* Mismatch repair
Mn^{2+} ion, 335
MNNG (*N′*-Methyl-*N′*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine), 906
Mobile phase, chromatography, **103–106**
Moderately repetitive DNA sequences, 1040–1042, 1041T
Modification methylase, 54
MoFe-protein, in nitrogenase, 765, 766F
Molar absorptivity, 102F
Molecular behavior, 25, 103, 192, 249, 856
Molecular biology, central dogma of, 52, 53, 880, 938, 982
Molecular chaperones, **168–172**
Molecular cloning, 66
Molecular dynamics simulations, lipid bilayer, 260, 261
Molecularity, 362
Molecular mass:
and electrophoretic mobility, 107F
from mass spectroscopy, 117–118
protein purification by, 102T
relative, 12
separating macromolecules by, **108–109**
separating molecules according to, **106–108**
Molecular Modeling Database (MMDB), 158
Molecular sieve chromatography, 105, 105F
Molecular visualization, 84, 149
Molecular weight, 12
Molten globule (protein state), 166
Molybopterin complex, 824, 825
Mondragón, Alfonso, 843, 977
2-Monoacylglycerol, 696F
Monocistronic mRNA, 941
Monoclonal antibodies, 215, 216
Monod, Jacques, 196
Monomers, 3, 5T, 47
Monooxygenases, 395
Monosaccharides, **222–227**
aldoses and ketoses, **222–223**
classification, 222–225
configuration and conformation, **223–225**
modifications and covalent linkages, **225–227**
Monosodium glutamate (MSG), 747
Monoubiquitination, 721
Moore, Peter, 999
Moras, Dino, 992
Morikawa, Kosuke, 921
Morphogens, 1091
Motifs, protein, 151–152, 151F
Motor proteins, 208
Mouse:
Hox-3.1 gene expression, 1095, 1095F
mouse-human cell fusion, 270F
normal vs. obese, 788, 789, 789F
programmed cell death in mouse paw, 1085F
protein kinase A, 429F
transgenic, 74F
Moyle, Jennifer, 612
M phase, 1080, 1081
M-protein, 207
M_r (relative molecular mass), 12
mRNA (messenger RNA), 52, 938
affinity chromatography, 856
alternative splicing, 969–971
base pairing with rRNA, 1006–1007
control of translation, 1076
degradation, 1070, 1071
editing, 971–972
evolutionary advantages of splicing, 967–969
exon splicing, 965–966, 966F
5′ capping, 962, 962F
intron splicing, **963–972**
monocistronic, 941
polycistronic, 941

mRNA (messenger RNA) (*cont.*)
poly(A) tails, 962–963
posttranscriptional processing, **961–973**
production of, 964, 965F
with ribosome and tRNA, 1001F
and snRNPs, 966–967
transport of, to cytoplasm, 972–973
and tRNA, 1002F
MSG (monosodium glutamate), 747
MS/MS (tandem mass spectrometry), 117F
MTE, 955, 955F
MTHFR (N^5,N^{10}-Methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase), 740
mTOR, 438F
Mtr3, 1071
Mucolipidosis II, 284
Mucosa, intestinal, 666
Müller, Christoph, 1068
Müller-Hill, Beno, 1045
Mullis, Kary, 71
Multidomain proteins, 126F
Multidrug resistance (MDR) transporter, 314
Multienzyme complexes, **562–563**
Multiple myeloma, 215
Multisubunit proteins, 98
Mupirocin, 993F
Murine adenosine deaminase, 823F
Murphy, William, 680
Muscle:
alanine transport to liver, 781
AMPK and oxidation/glucose uptake, 787, 787F
force generation mechanism, 208F–209F
fuel storage, 782–783
glycolytic ATP production, 502
and growth hormones, **407–408**
lactate transport from, 780
mammalian metabolism, **776–778,** 776F
oxygen usage, 777–778
phosphofructokinase, **503–506**
structure, **200–207,** 201F
thick filaments, **201–205,** 204F
thin filaments, **201, 205–207,** 206F, 210F
Muscle contraction, **200–211**
and actin, **210–211**
aerobic, 778
anaerobic, 777–778
and cardiac glycosides, 312
and glycogen breakdown, 541F
and myosin heads, **208–210**
structure of muscle, **200–207**
Muscle fiber, 201F, 502
Muscle phosphofructokinase deficiency (Tarui's disease), 530–531
Muscle phosphorylase deficiency (McArdle's disease), 523, 530
Muscular dystrophy, 207
Mushroom poisoning, 951
Mutagens, 471, 905–906
Mutagenesis, site-directed, 73–74, 74F
Mutarotation, 224
Mutases, 492
Mutations, 10, **905–909**
Ames test, 907–909
and antibody diversity, 215–217
built-in protection against, within genetic code, 986
carcinogens, **907–909**
defined, 905
deletion, 906, 907, 931
environmental and chemical agents, **905–907**
and evolution, **63–66**
frameshift, 789, 983
in hemoglobin, **197–200,** 197F
insertion, 906, 907
nonsense, 1024
in nucleic acid sequence, **63–66**
of *p53* gene, 1083–1084
point, 64, 906–907
and protein synthesis, 53
random nature of, 907
somatic hypermutation, 217, 1079–1080
and SOS response, 915
transitions, 905
transversions, 905, 907
Mutational hotspots, 1084
MutH, 914
MutL, 913
MutS, 913
Myc, 415, 1084
Mycobacterium leprae, 921
Mycobacterium tuberculosis, 585
Mycophenolic acid, 806
Myelinated nerve fibers, 253, 253F
Myelomas, multiple, 215
Myoadenylate deaminase deficiency, 823
Myocardial infarction (heart attack), 449, 625, 705, 713
Myofibrils, 201, 201F, 202F
Myoglobin (Mb), **181–184**
function, 183, 193F
and globin family, 124
heme group, 182–183, 182F
Hill plot, 189–190, 189F
ion pairs, 161F
molecular dynamics, 164F
oxygen binding by, **181–184,** 184F, 367
side chain location, 150F
structure, 181, 181F
X-ray studies, 146F
Myomensin, 207
Myosin, 202–205, 204F, 206F
Myosin-binding protein C, 207
Myosin heads, 203–204, 206F, **208–210**
Myosin light chain kinase (MLCK), 435, 436
Myotonic dystrophy, 1040
Myristoylation, 267

N (Avogadro's number), 12
N1 (purine atom), 805
N_2, 767–768, 767F
N3 (purine atom), 805
N7 (purine atom), 803
N9 (purine atom), 803
Na^+ ion, 297, 301, 335, 850
NAD^+ (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), **462–463**
and cell signaling, 431
in citric acid cycle, 561, 562, 567, **571–572,** 574–575
as cosubstrate, 326, 326F
DNA ligase activation, 895
in fatty acid oxidation, 676
reduction to NADH, 462F
regeneration of, 501
Na^+-dependent symport, 315–316, 316F
NADH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, reduced form), 446
in citric acid cycle, 559, 561, 562, 576–578
and Complex I, **597–601**
as electron-transfer coenzyme, 467
in glycolysis, 496
NAD^+ reduction to, 462F
in pentose phosphate pathway, 512–513
rate of oxidative phosphorylation and, **622–623**
reducing equivalents, 548–549
thermodynamics of electron transport, 593–594
NADH-coenzyme Q oxidoreductase, *see* Complex I
$NADP^+$ (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate):
cosubstrate, 326, 326F
and ferredoxin–$NADP^+$reductase, 648F
metabolic role overview, 445F
in pentose phosphate pathway, **514–515,** 515F
in photosynthesis, 631, 639–641, 648–649
NADPH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, reduced form):
in Calvin cycle, 651, 652
in dark reactions, 631, 658–660
from light reactions, 631, **639–650**
in metabolic pathways, 446
in pentose phosphate pathway, 512–515
NADPH oxidase, 627
NADPH-P450 reductase, 395
NAG, *see N*-Acetylglucosamine
NAG2FGlcF (NAGβ(1→4)-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-β-D-glucopyransosyl fluoride), 344
Nagai, Kiyoshi, 967
Nakamura, Yoshikazu, 1023
(Na^+–K^+)–ATPase, **310–312,** 310F, 316
(Na^+–K^+) pump, 310–312
NANA (*N*-Acetylneuraminic acid), 226, 226F
nano- (prefix), 12
nanos gene, 1091
Nanos protein, 1091
Napoleon I, 568
National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), 158
Native conformations, of crystalline proteins, 147
Native (folded) proteins, 144, 160
Natural selection, 5, 64, 120
NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information), 158
ncRNAs, *see* Noncoding RNAs
NDB (Nucleic Acid Database), 156–157
NDP, *see* Nucleoside diphosphate
Neanderthals (Neandertals), 66
Near-equilibrium reactions, 449–450
Nebulin, 207
Necrosis, 1086
Negatively cooperative binding, 189
Negatively supercoiled DNA, 843–844
Negative regulators, 1046
Nei, Masatoshi, 1043
N-end rule, 720–721
NER (nucleotide excision repair), **912–913,** 912F
Nernst, Walther, 464
Nernst equation, **463–465**
Nerve fibers, myelinated, 253, 253F
Nerve impulses, 300–301
Nerve poisons, 346
Neural tube defects, 740
Neuraminidase, 375
Neuroglobin, 183
Neuropeptide Y, 789
Neurospora, 51, 52
Neurotoxins, 346
Neurotransmitters:
amino acids as, 93
and nerve poisons, 346
synthesis of, from tyrosine, 762–763, 763F
in vesicles, 285
Neutral drift, 122
Neutral solutions, 32
Nevirapine, 376
Newsholme, Eric, 507
New variant CJD (nvCJD), 174
NHEJ (nonhomologous end-joining), 914–915, 928
NHP6A, 1055, 1055F
Ni^{2+}-nitriloacetic acid, 618
Niacin (nicotinic acid), 444–445, 444F
Nick translation, 884–885
Nicolson, Garth, 269
Nicotinamide, 431, 444, 444F, 479, 829
Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, *see* NAD^+
Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, reduced form, *see* NADH
Nicotinamide coenzymes, 326F
Nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN^+), 895
Nicotinic acid (niacin), 444–445, 444F
Niedergerke, Rolf, 203
Nierhaus, Knud, 1020
19S caps, 721, 723
Ninhydrin, 112
Nirenberg, Marshall, 985
Nitrate reductase, 770
Nitric oxide (NO), **763–764**
Nitric oxide synthase, 763–764
Nitrification, 770
Nitrogenase, **764–768**
Nitrogen assimilation, 764, 768–770
Nitrogen cycle, 768–770, 769F
Nitrogen dioxide, 764
Nitrogen excretion, 825
Nitrogen fixation, **764–770**
Nitrogen metabolism, 749–751
Nitrogen mustard, 906
Nitroglycerin, 763
p-Nitrophenolate ion, 336
p-Nitrophenylacetate, 336
Nitrous acid, 906, 906F
N-linked oligosaccharides, 235, 238–239, 239F, 553–555
NMD (nonsense-mediated decay), 1070
NMN^+ (nicotinamide mononucleotide), 895
NMR, *see* Nuclear magnetic resonance
NO (nitric oxide), **763–764**
NOESY (Nuclear Overhauser spectroscopy), 148, 148F
No-go decay, 1070
Noller, Harry, 997

Nomenclature:
amino acid, **87–88,** 88F
enzyme, 324
Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), 938, 939T, 1037
Noncoding (antisense) strand, 941, 941F
Noncooperative binding, 188
Noncovalent interactions, 49, 158–159
Non-equilibrium steady state, 19–20
Nonessential amino acids, **747–752,** 747T
Nonheme iron proteins, 598
Nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ), 914–915
Non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, 793–795
Nonketotic hyperglycinemia, 735
Nonmediated membrane transport, 294–295, 308
Nonpolar molecules, 27–29, 29F, 104
Nonpolar side chains, amino acid, 82T, 84, 85F, 149
Nonpolar solutes, 28, 28F
Nonreceptor tyrosine kinases (NRTKs), **417–420,** 1066
Nonreducing sugars, 227
Nonsense codons, 986
Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), 1070
Nonsense mutations, 1024
Nonsense suppressors, 1024
Nonshivering thermogenesis, 507, 620
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), 705
Non-stop decay, 1070
Non Watson–Crick base pairs, 849, 849F
N-nonyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, 308F
Norepinephrine (noradrenaline):
and fatty acid metabolism, 698
and fuel metabolism, 783
function, **405–406**
and glycogen metabolism, 542, 543
synthesis of, from amino acids, 762, 763F
and thermogenesis, 790
Northern blot, 859
Notophthalmus viridescens, 1038F
Novobiocin, 848
NPCs (nuclear pore complexes), 972
NRTKs (nonreceptor tyrosine kinases), **417–420,** 1066
NSAIDs (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), 705
N-terminal "headpiece," *lac* repressor, 1045
N-terminus (amino terminus):
end-group analysis, 110, 112
peptide bonds at, 84
as starting end of polypeptide synthesis, 1004, 1004F
NTP (nucleoside triphosphate), 459–460, 807
Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR):
determining protein structure with, **147–149,** 148F
metabolic pathway studies, 470, 470F
2D, 148
Nuclear Overhauser spectroscopy (NOESY), 148, 148F
Nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), 972
Nuclear receptors, 1068–1069, 1069F
Nuclear receptor superfamily, 1068
Nuclear territories, chromosome, 874, 874F
Nucleases, 101, 856, 1072–1074. *See also specific nucleases*
Nucleic acids, 42
charges of, 850
chromatography, **856**
electrophoresis, **857–859,** 857F
fractionation, **856–859**
function, **50–53**
genetic information in, 831–832
ionic interactions, **850**
single-stranded, **50**
stabilizing forces, **848–856**
stacking interactions, **849, 850,** 850F, 850T
structure, **46–50,** 46F
Nucleic Acid Database (NDB), 156–157
Nucleic acid sequencing, **53–66**
chain-terminator method, **57–59,** 58F
databases, 118–119, 118T
electrophoresis, **56–57**
gel electrophoresis, 56F, 59, 59F
of genomes, **62–63**
mutations and evolution, **63–66**
ordering DNA fragments, 59, 59F
restriction endonucleases, **54–56**
sequencing-by-synthesis methods, **59–61**
Nucleic acid structure, **831–875**
DNA helix, **832–848**
DNA–protein interactions, **859–867**
eukaryotic chromosome structure, **868–874**
fractionation of nucleic acids, **856–859**
stabilizing forces, **848–856**
Nucleolus, 973
Nucleophilic catalysis, 334–335
Nucleophilic groups, 335F
Nucleoporins, 972
Nucleosidases, 820
Nucleosides, 43, 44T
Nucleoside diphosphate (NDP), 459, 807, 813, 816F
Nucleoside diphosphate kinases, 459, 807
Nucleoside monophosphates, 807
Nucleoside monophosphate kinases, 807
Nucleoside phosphorylases, 820
Nucleoside triphosphate (NTP), 459–460, 807
Nucleosomes:
and chromosome structure, **868–870**
DNA in eukaryotes, 1053–1054, 1054F, 1055F
histone binding to, 871F
histone modifications on, 1056F
and replication forks, 902
Nucleosome core particle, 869, 869F, 870F
Nucleotides, **43–45,** 44T
biosynthesis, 757
chemical structures, 43, 43F
databases of, 61
defined, 42
determining sequence of, *see* Nucleic acid sequencing
DNA polymerase and correctly paired, **883–888**
in metabolic reactions, **44–45**
in nucleic acids, **46–47**
and site-directed mutagenesis, 73–74
and sugar-phosphate backbone, 839F
torsion angles and conformation, 838F
Nucleotide bases, 44T, 47
and Chargaff's rules, 47
and methyltransferases, 1060–1062
point mutations and altered, 906–907
tautomeric forms of, 47F
termination of DNA synthesis, 58–59
of tRNAs, 989
Nucleotide degradation, **820–827**
purine catabolism, **822–825**
pyrimidine breakdown, **827**
uric acid degradation, **825–826**
Nucleotide excision repair (NER), **912–913,** 912F
Nucleotide metabolism, **802–828,** 822F
deoxyribonucleotide formation, **812–820**
nucleotide degradation, **820–827**
purine ribonucleotide synthesis, **802–809**
pyrimidine ribonucleotide synthesis, **809–812**
Nucleotide residues, 46
Nucleotide sugars, 552, 552F
Nucleotidyl transfer, 887, 887F
Nucleus, 7, 448F, 972–973
Nurse cells, 1089
Nüsslein-Volhard, Christiane, 1090, 1091
Nutrasweet®, 229, 746
Nutrition, **443**
nvCJD (new variant CJD), 174
Nyborg, Jens, 1012

Obesity, 507, 789, **794–796**
Obligate aerobes, 443
Obligate anaerobes, 443
Ocean acidification, 34
Ochoa, Severo, 526, 985
Octahedral symmetry, 159F
Octanoyl-CoA, 675
ODCase (OMP decarboxylase), 810
Odd-chain fatty acid oxidation, **678–684**
Knoop experiment, 672F
methylmalonyl-CoA mutase, 680, 682
succinyl-CoA, 682–684
O'Donnell, Michael, 893
OEC (oxygen-evolving center), 642–644, 644F
Ogston, Alexander, 571
Oils, 248
Okazaki, Reiji, 881
Okazaki fragments:
and activity of DNA polymerases, 884, 884F
in semidiscontinuous replication, 881, 882
and sliding clamp mechanism, 893, 894
Oleate, 29F
Oleic acid, 246, 246F, 676
Oligomers, 47, 158, 159F
Oligonucleotides, 54F
Oligopeptides, 84
Oligosaccharides, 228
dynamics of, 240F
and glycoproteins, **240–242**
in glycosylation, 1029, 1029F
high-mannose, 239
N-linked, 235, 238–239, 553–555
O-linked, 235, 240, 553, 553F
processing of, 238–239
in proteoglycans, 235F
O-linked oligosaccharides, 235, 240, 553, 553F
OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man) database, 1037
OMP (orotidine-5′-monophosphate), in UMP synthesis, 809F, 810
OMP decarboxylase (ODCase), in UMP synthesis, 810
OmpF porin, 266F, 297
Oncogenes, 416
One gene–one enzyme theory, 51, 52
Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database, 1037
Oparin, Alexander, 2
Open complex, 940
Open reading frame (ORF), 63, 1035, 1036
Open systems, 18–19
Operators, 861, 1044–1045, 1045F
Operons, 941
his, 1050
ilv, 1050
lac, 941, 941F, **1043–1046,** 1044F
trp, 941, 1048–1050, 1048F, 1050F
Optical activity, 88, 89
Optical density, 101
Oral rehydration therapy, 316
ORC (origin recognition complex), 901
Ordered mechanisms, 372–373
ORF, *see* Open reading frame
Organelles, 8, 8F, 448F
Organic arsenicals, 568
Organic compounds, 2
Organic synthesis, chiral, 90
Organisms:
continuous evolution of, **10–11**
diploid, 49
evolutionary domains of, **9–10,** 9F
transformation of, 51, 51F
transgenic, 74–75, 74F
Organ specialization, **774–781**
adipose tissue, **778**
blood, **780–781**
brain, **775–776**
kidney, **780**
liver, **778–780**
muscle, **776–778**
oriC locus, 889
Orientation effects (enzymes), **336–338,** 337F
Origin-independent replication restart, 924
Origin recognition complex (ORC), 901
Ornithine:
in amino acid degradation, 737F
biosynthesis, 751, 751F, 752
in urea cycle, 730F, 731–732
Ornithine-δ-aminotransferase, 752
Ornithine transcarbamoylase, 731–732
Orotate, 809F, 810
Orotate phosphoribosyl transferase, 810
Orotic aciduria, 812
Orotidine-5′-monophosphate (OMP), 809F, 810
Orphan genes, 1036
Orthologous proteins, 124
Orthophosphate cleavage, 457
Oseltamivir (Tamiflu), 375, 375F
Oseltamivir carboxylate, 375
Osmosis, **29–31**
Osmotic pressure, 30, 30F
Osteogenesis imperfecta (brittle bone disease), 143
Ouabain, 312
Ovalbumin, 963F, 964
Overproducers, 72

Oxalate, 309
Oxaloacetate:
in amino acid biosynthesis, 581, 748–749, 748F
in amino acid degradation, 725, 733F, **736–737**
from cancer metabolism, 798
in citric acid cycle, 559, 560F, **568–570, 574–575,** 578
in gluconeogenesis, 544–548, 545F–547F
in glyoxylate cycle, 584F
in tricarboxylate transport system, 688F
in urea cycle, 730F
Oxalosuccinate, 571, 571F
Oxidant, 463
Oxidation, 447. *See also* Fatty acid oxidation
acyl-CoA, 742–743
photo-, 636, 638–639
Oxidation–reduction reactions, **463–467**
cytochrome *c* in, 608–609
in electron transport, 596
FAD in, **462–463**
NAD^+ in, **462–463**
Nernst equation for, **463–465**
in pentose phosphate pathway, **514–515**
redox centers, 588
reduction potential measurements, **465–467**
Oxidative deamination, **728,** 906, 906F
Oxidative metabolism, 558, 559F
aerobic, 623–626
control of, **620–626**
coordinated control of, 623
rate of oxidative phosphorylation, **622–623**
Oxidative phosphorylation, **609–620**
ATP synthase in, 610, **613–618**
in catabolism, 446
chemiosmotic theory, **610–613**
control, **622–623**
high-energy compounds in, 458
in mammalian metabolism, 775
and mitochondrial electron transport, 589
NAD^+ from, 496
P/O ratio, **618–619**
uncoupling, **619–620**
Oxidized (term), 446
Oxidizing agents, 463
2,3-Oxidosqualene, 710, 711F
Oxidosqualene cyclase, 710, 711F
2-Oxoglutarate, 571
8-Oxoguanine (oxoG), 906
Oxonium ion, 342, 344
6-Oxo-$PGF_{1\alpha}$, 258F
Oxyanion hole, 350, 355
Oxygen:
affinities, **190–197**
diffusion, 183
inactivation of nitrogenase by, 768
in photosynthesis, 630, 631, **639–650**
reactive species, 602, 624, 625
reduction of, **607–609, 618–619,** 624, 625
from water-splitting reaction, 643–644, 643F, 644F
Oxygenation, 182
Oxygen binding, **181–200**
and affinities for oxygen, **190–197**
cooperative, **187–190**
by hemoglobin, **185–200**
and mutations in hemoglobin, **197–200**
by myoglobin, **181–184,** 184F
Oxygen binding curves, 183–184, 184F, 188–190
Oxygen debt, 781
Oxygen deprivation, 625
Oxygen-evolving center (OEC), 642–644, 644F
Oxygen transport, 192–194, 193F
Oxygen-transport proteins, 185
Oxyhemoglobin, 186, 187F

P (proofreading site), RNAP, 954
$p14^{ARF}$ protein, 1084
p21^{Cip1} gene, 1083
p_{50}, 184
p51, 900, 901
p53 gene, 1082–1085
p53 protein, 1082–1085, 1084F
p66, 900, 901
P680, 643, 649
P700, 646, 647, 649
P870, 637
P960, 637
PAB II (Poly(A) binding protein II), 962
Pabo, Carl, 864, 1094
PABP (Poly(A) binding protein), 963
PAF65α, 1057
PAF65β, 1057
PAGE, *see* Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PAgK84, restriction digests, 56F
Pair-rule genes, 1090–1092
PALA (*N*-(phosphonacetyl)-L-aspartate), 385
Palindromic DNA sequences, 55
Palmitate, 29F, 691F, 695
Palmitic acid, 246
1-Palmitoleoyl-2-linoleoyl-3-stearoylglycerol, 248
1-Palmitoyl-2,3-dioleoyl-glycerol, 665
Palmitoyl-ACP, 691F, 692
Palmitoylation, 268
Palmitoyl-CoA, **703–704,** 704F
Palmitoyl thioesterase (TE), 268, 692
Pancreatic β cells, 793
Pancreatic DNase I, 841
Pancreatic islets, **404–405**
Pancreatic lipase, 666, 666F
Pantothenic acid (vitamin B_3), 461
PAP (Poly(A) polymerase), 962
Papain, 213
Parallel β sheet, 138, 138F
Paralogous genes, 124
Paraoxonase, 346
Parathion, 346
Parkinson's disease, 175, 624, 762
Parnas, Jacob, 479
Paromomycin, 1031
Partial molar free energy ($\bar{G}_A$), 16, 294
Partial oxygen pressure (p_{O_2}), 184, 189–190
Passenger RNA, 1073
Passive-mediated transport, **295–309**
aquaporins, **304–305**
ion channels, **297–304**
ionophores, **295–297**
porins, **297**
transport proteins, **305–309**
Pasteur, Louis, 322, 323, 478
Pasteur effect, 502
Patel, Dinshaw, 1051
Pathogens, 212
Pauling, Linus, 132, 135–136, 138, 198, 203, 338, 366, 833
Pavletich, Nikola, 1083
PBG (porphobilinogen), 758, 759F
P body (processing body), 1070
PbRCs, *see* Purple photosynthetic bacteria reaction centers
pbx (postbithorax) mutant, 1091
PC, *see* Plastocyanin
PCAF complex, 1057
P-cluster, nitrogenase, 765
PCNA, *see* Proliferating cell nuclear antigen
PCR (polymerase chain reaction), **71–72,** 72F
PDB (Protein Data Bank), 155–157
PDBid, 156
PDI (protein disulfide isomerase), 168, 169F
PDK1 (phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1), 439
PE, *see* Phosphatidylethanolamine
Pebay-Peyrola, Eva, 592
Pectins, 234
Pellagra, 445
Penicillin, 238, 680
Penicillinase, 238
Penicillium notatum, 238
Pentose, 223
Pentose phosphate pathway, 479, **512–519,** 513F
carbon-carbon bond cleavage and formation, **515–517**
and glycolysis, 518, 519F
NADPH production, **514–515**
regulation of, **518, 519**
ribulose-5-phosphate isomerization and epimerization, **515**
PEP, *see* Phosphoenolpyruvate
PEPCK, *see* Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEP carboxykinase)
Pepsin, 131, 376, 680, 724
Peptidases, 90, 91
Peptides, 98. *See also* Polypeptides
as chymotrypsin substrates, 325–326
fusion, 287, 288
mass spectrometry sequencing of, **117–118**
and polypeptide conformation, 132–135
signal, 277, 278
Peptide-N^4-(*N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminyl)asparagine amidase F, 153F
Peptide bonds, **84,** 372, 1016, 1017
Peptide groups, 133
planar, **132–135**
steric interference of, 134F
torsion angles between, 133, 134
trans, 133F
Peptidoglycans, **235–238,** 237F
Peptidomimetic drugs, 377
Peptidyl homoserine lactone, 114F
Peptidyl site (P), 1001, 1002
Peptidyl transfer, 1016–1017, 1017F
Peptidyl transferase, 1004, 1005, 1005F
Peptidyl-tRNA, 1001, 1005, 1015
Peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase, 1031
Peptococcus aerogenes, 648F
Perioxisome proliferator–activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ), 795
Peripheral membrane proteins, **268**
Peripheral tissues, chylomicrons in, 668, 669
Permeases, 295. *See also* Lactose permease (galactoside permease)
Pernicious anemia, 680
Peroxidase, 704
Peroxisomal β oxidation, **684**
Peroxisomes, 8, 448F, 684, 684F
Peroxynitrite, 764
Pertussis, 431
Pertussis toxin, 431
Perutz, Max, 185, 186, 190, 203, 833
Perutz mechanism, 190–192, 190F, 191F
PEST proteins, 721
Pfam (computer program), 157
PFGE (pulsed-field gel electrophoresis), 857, 857F
PFK, *see* Phosphofructokinase
PFK-2 (phosphofructokinase-2), 549–551, 550F
PFK-2/FBPase-2 complex, 786–787
2PG, *see* 2-Phosphoglycerate
3PG, *see* 3-Phosphoglycerate
PGF_α, 258F
PGH_2, 705
PGH_2 (prostaglandin H_2), 258F
PGI (phosphoglucose isomerase), **482–484,** 483F
PGK (phosphoglycerate kinase), **491–492,** 491F
P-glycoprotein, 314, 314F, 315F
PGM, *see* Phosphoglycerate mutase
pH, **33–39**
acid and base impact on, 33–36
biochemical standard state, 17
of blood, **780**
and buffers, 36–39
of common substances, 33T
defined, 32
enzyme effects, 332
and ion concentrations, 33F
and protein denaturation, 162
and protein stability, 100
Phage λ, *see* Bacteriophage λ
Phagocytosis, 211F
Pharmacogenomics, 397
Pharmacokinetics, 393
Pharming, 75
Phase I clinical trials, 394
Phase II clinical trials, 394
Phase III clinical trials, 394
Phase transition, in lipid bilayer, 261F
PHD finger, 1060
Phe, *see* Phenylalanine
Phen (phentermine), 394
Phenotypes, 64, 472F
Phenotypically silent codons, 986
Phentermine (phen), 394
Phentolamine, 405
Phenylacetic acid, 672
Phenylaceturic acid, 672
Phenylalanine (Phe):
biosynthesis, 755, 755F
breakdown, 471F, **745,** 745F, **746,** 747F
genetic code specification, 985

nonpolar side chain, 84, 85F
UV absorbance spectra, 102F
Phenylalanine hydroxylase, 745, 747F
2-Phenylethanol, 771
Phenylisothiocyanate (PITC), 114, 115F
Phenylketonuria (PKU), 229, 746
Phenylpyruvate, 746
Phenylthiocarbamyl (PTC), 114, 115F
Phenylthiohydantoin (PTH), 115F, 116
Pheophytin *a* (Pheo *a*), 642
Phe–tRNA^{Phe}, 1012F
ϕ angle, 133, 134
Philadelphia chromosome, 420
Phillips, David, 340, 341
Phillips, Simon, 862
Phorbol-13-acetate, 437, 437F
Phosphagens, 459
Phosphatases, 402
Phosphate, 36F, 593
Phosphate carrier, 593
Phosphatidic acid, 249, 696F, 703F
Phosphatidic acid phosphatase, 696
Phosphatidylcholine (lecithin), 701, 701F
Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), 274, 275F, 701, 701F, 702
Phosphatidylethanolamine serine transferase, 701, 702
Phosphatidylglycerol, 702, 703F
Phosphatidylglycerol phosphate, 702, 703F
Phosphatidylinositol, 258, 702, 703F
Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP_2), 434, 434F
Phosphatidylserine, 701, 702
Phosphoanhydrides, 455F, 456–457
Phosphoanhydride bond, 454
Phosphoarginine, 458, 459
Phosphocholine, 701F
Phosphocreatine, 458, 459, 777
Phosphodiesterases, **432**
Phosphodiester bond, 46
Phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP):
in amino acid biosynthesis, 755F
formation, 547F
and free energy of phosphate hydrolysis, 456, 456F
in gluconeogenesis, **545–549,** 545F, 546F
in glycolysis, 493–495
hydrolysis, 495F
metabolite transport, 548–549, 548F
Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK; PEP carboxykinase), 546–548, 548F, 697
Phosphoester bond, 454
Phosphoethanolamine, 701F
Phosphofructokinase (PFK):
citrate inhibition, 623
deactivation by thioredoxin, 658
and F6P concentration, 504, 504F, 505
in glycolysis, **484, 503–506**
substrate cycling and regulation of, 507F
X-ray structure, 504F
Phosphofructokinase-2 (PFK-2), 549–551, 550F
Phosphofructokinase-2/fructose bisphosphatase-2, 797
Phosphoglucomutase:
Carl and Gerty Coris' study of, 526
in galactose conversion, 510
in glycogen breakdown, 525, **529–531**
mechanism of, 529F
6-Phosphogluconate, 513F, 514, 515F
6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, 514, 515
6-Phosphogluconolactonase, 514
6-Phosphoglucono-δ-lactone, 513F, 514
Phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI), **482–484,** 483F
2-Phosphoglycerate (2PG), 21, **492–494,** 545F
3-Phosphoglycerate (3PG), 21
amino acid biosynthesis from, 752, 752F
ATP and PGK in complex with, 491F
and 2,3-BPG, 494
in Calvin cycle, 651, 653F
in gluconeogenesis, 545F
in glycolysis, **491–493**
in photorespiration, 659F
Phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK), **491–492,** 491F
Phosphoglycerate mutase (PGM), **492–493,** 492F, 493F
Phosphoglycerides, *see* Glycerophospholipids
3-Phosphoglycerol, 591F, 592
3-Phosphoglycerol dehydrogenase, 592
Phosphoglycohydroxamate, 487
2-Phosphoglycolate, 487, 487F, 658, 659F
Phosphoglycolate phosphatase, 658
Phosphoguanidines, 458–459
3-Phosphohydroxypyruvate, 752, 752F
Phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1 (PDK1), 439
Phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3Ks), 438–439
Phosphoinositide pathway, **432–439,** 433F
and calmodulin, **434–436**
and diacylglycerol, **436–437**
and emergent properties of complex systems, **437–439**
and ligand binding, **433–434**
other signal transduction pathways vs., 785, 785F
Phospholipases, 251–252, 251F, 433, 666F
Phospholipase A_2, 251, 251F, 666F
Phospholipase C (PLC), 251, 433, 434
Phospholipids, 251F
asymmetric distribution of, 274, 274F
diffusion of, in lipid bilayer, 260F
formation of lipid bilayers with, 259F
in triacylglycerol biosynthesis, 696F
Phospholipid translocases, 274, 275
Phosphomannose isomerase, 512
Phosphomevalonate, 708
Phosphomevalonate kinase, 708
N-(Phosphonacetyl)-L-aspartate (PALA), 385
Phosphopantetheine group, 689
Phosphopentose epimerase, 654
Phosphoprotein phosphatase, 390–391
Phosphoprotein phosphatase-1 (PP1), 422, 423, 538–541, 540F
Phosphoprotein phosphatase inhibitor 1 (inhibitor 1), 539–541
β-5-Phosphoribosylamine (PRA), 803, 804F, 808
N^1-5′-Phosphoribosyl ATP, 757, 757F
5-Phosphoribosyl-α-pyrophosphate (PRPP), 757, 757F, 803, 804F, 808
Phosphoribulokinase, 652
N^1-5′-Phosphoribulosylformimino-5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide, 757F
Phosphorlyase kinase deficiency, 531
Phosphorolysis, 387–388, 524
Phosphorylase, *see* Glycogen phosphorylase
Phosphorylase *a,* 388, 390F, 538, 539
Phosphorylase *b,* 388, 538, 539
Phosphorylase kinase, 390, 391, 538, 539, 539F
Phosphorylated compounds, 453, **457–460**
Phosphorylation. *See also* Oxidative phosphorylation
auto-, 409–412
de-, 389–390, 541F
of dNDPs, 817
in glycogen metabolism, 541F
for glycogen phorsphorylase activation, 538
for phorsphorylase kinase activation, 538, 539
photo-, 458, **650–651**
protein, 387–391
substrate-level, 458, 492
Phosphoryl group transfer, **454–455**
Phosphoryl group-transfer potentials, 454, 454T
3-Phosphoserine, 752F
O-Phosphoserine, 92F
Photoautotrophs, 443
Photons, 633, 638, 650–651
Photooxidation, 636, 638–639
Photophosphorylation, 458, **650–651**
Photoreactivation, 909–910
Photorespiration, **658–661,** 659F
Photosynthesis, 6, 7, 479, **630–662**
Calvin cycle, **651–658,** 653F
chemical energy from light energy, **635–636**
chloroplasts, **631–635,** 631F
dark reactions, **651–661**
electron transport, **637–650**
light reactions, **635–651**
net reaction, 630
photophosphorylation, **650–651**
and photorespiration, **658–661**
two-center electron transport, **639–650**
Z-scheme, 641, 641F
Photosynthetic bacteria, 633–635, 633F, **637–639**
Photosynthetic pigment molecules, **632–635**
Photosynthetic reaction centers (RCs), 633, 636F. *See also* Purple photosynthetic bacteria reaction centers (PbRCs)
Photosystem I, *see* PSI
Photosystem II, *see* PSII
Phycocyanobilin, 635
Phycoerythrobilin, 635
Phylloquinone, 257, 646, 647
Phylogenetic tree, 9F, 122, 123F, 1042F, 1043
Phylogeny, 9, 122
Phytanate, 716
Phytoplankton, 630
p*I, see* Isoelectric point
PI3Ks (phosphoinositide 3-kinases), 438–439
PIC, *see* Preinitiation complex
Pickart, Cecile, 723
pico- (prefix), 12
Picot, Daniel, 645
Pigments, light-absorbing, **632–635**
P_{II} (regulatory protein), 750
Ping Pong reactions, 373
Pioneer polymerase, 961
π orbitals, 736, 736F
PIP_2 (phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate), 434, 434F
PIR (Protein Information Resource), 118T
PITC (phenylisothiocyanate), 114, 115F
Pitch, α helix, 137
Pitch, DNA, 834
PK, *see* Pyruvate kinase
PKA, *see* Protein kinase A
PKB (protein kinase B), 438F
PKC (protein kinase C), **436–437**
PKM2 (pyruvate kinase), 797
PKU (phenylketonuria), 229, 746
p*K* values, 34–35, 35T, 82T–83T, **86–87**
Placebo, 394
Planar peptide group, **132–135**
Planck's constant, 369, 635
Planck's constant *(h),* 12
Planck's law, 635
Plants, 75, 660–661, **752–757**
Plaques, 70, 173, 713, 713F
Plasmalogens, 252, 702–703
Plasma membrane, 269F, 282F
Plasmids, 67, 929–931
Plasmodium falciparum, 200, 519
Plastocyanin (PC), 641, 645–646, 646F, 649
Plastoquinol (QH_2), 640
Plastoquinone (Q), 640, 649
PLC, *see* Phospholipase C
Pleated β sheet, 138, 139F
PLP, *see* Pyridoxal-5′-phosphate
PLP-amino acid Schiff base, 736, 736F
($^+$) end, 206, 210
Pmf (protonmotive force), 612
PMP, *see* Pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate
PNP (purine nucleoside phosphorylase), 822
p_{O_2} (partial oxygen pressure), 184, 189–190
Point mutations, 64, 906–907
Pol I (DNA polymerase I):
discovery, 883
in DNA sequencing, 57, 57F
exonuclease function, 883–885, 884F
Klenow fragment, 885F
properties, 888T
and replication fidelity, 897
Pol II (DNA polymerase II), 887, 888, 888T
Pol III (DNA polymerase III):
function, 887–888, 888F
processivity, 893–895
properties, 888T
and replication fidelity, 897
Pol III holoenzyme, 888, 891–895, 893F
Pol α (DNA polymerase α), 898, 898T, 899
Polarimeter, 88, 88F
Polarity:
protein purification by, 102T
of side chains, **149–151,** 151F
of water, **24–27**
Polar molecules, 24–27
Polar side chains, 83T, 85–86, 85F, 86F, 150
Pol γ (DNA polymerase γ), 899
Pol δ, 898, 898T, 899, 900F
Pol ε, 898, 898T, 899
Pol η (DNA polymerase η), 914
Polpot, Jean-Luc, 645
Poly(A), 985
Poly(C), 985
Poly(Lys), 985
Poly(Phe), 985

Poly(Pro), 985
Polyacrylamide, 56
Polyacrylamide gel, 857
Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), 106–107, 107F, 857
Poly(A) binding protein (PABP), 963
Poly(A) binding protein II (PAB II), 962
Polycistronic mRNA, 941
Polyclonal immunoglobins, 214
Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2), 1075
Polycythemia, 198
Polyelectrolytes, 103
Polylinker sequence, 67F
Polymers, 3, 5T
Polymerase α, 898, 898T, 899
Polymerase δ, 898, 898T, 899, 900F
Polymerase ε, 898, 898T, 899
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), **71–72,** 72F
Polymorphic cytochromes P450, 397
Polymorphic genes, 1040
Polymorphisms, 73, 1043
Polynucleotides, 46
Polynucleotide phosphorylase, 985
Polypeptides, 84, 87
  conformations, **132–135,** 133F
  disulfide bond cleavage, 112–113
  diversity, **98–99**
  in domains, 154
  in protein sequencing, **114,** 114F
  size and composition, 98–99
  ubiquitinated, **721–724**
Poly(A) polymerase (PAP), 962
Polyproteins, 376
Polyprotic acids, 37, 37F
Polyribosomes (polysomes), 1006, 1006F
Polysaccharides, 221, **228–234**
  catabolism, 446F
  disaccharides, **228, 229**
  glycosaminoglycans, **232–234**
  storage, **231–232**
  structural, **230–231**
Polysomes, 1006, 1006F
Poly(A) tails, 962–963
Polytopic transmembrane proteins, 280
Polyubiquitin, 720
Polyunsaturated fatty acids, 247, 695
Pompe's disease, 530
P/O ratios, **618–619**
Porcine Sec61, 1028F
Pores, 286, 303, 972
Porins, 266–267, 266F, **297,** 591, 972
Porphobilinogen (PBG), 758, 759F
Porphobilinogen deaminase, 758
Porphobilinogen synthase, 758
Porphyrias, 760
Porphyrin, 182
Positively cooperative binding, 188
Positive regulators, 1046
Postbithorax mutant *(pbx),* 1091
Postsynaptic membrane, 285
Posttranscriptional control mechanisms, **1069–1076**
  control of mRNA translation, 1076
  lncRNAs, 1075
  micro RNAs, 1074–1075
  and mRNA degradation rates, 1070, 1071
  RNA interference, 1071–1075
Posttranscriptional modification, 961
Posttranscriptional processing, **961–978**
  5′ capping, 962
  intron splicing, **963–972,** 978
  mRNA, **961–973**
  rRNA, **973–976**
  3′ tail for, 962–963
  tRNA, **977–978**
Posttranslational protein processing, **1024–1029**
  covalent modifications, **1026–1029**
  by ribsome-associated chaperones, **1025–1026**
  for transmembrane proteins, 278–279, 281F
Posttranslocational state, 1018, 1019
Potassium (K^+) channels, 297–301, 301F
Potassium (K^+) ion, 296, 297, 335
Poyketides, 694
PP1, *see* Phosphoprotein phosphatase-1
PP1c, 539, 540
PP2A, 422F, 423
PP2B (calcineurin), 423
PPAR-γ (perioxisome proliferator–activated receptor-γ), 795
PP_i, *see* Pyrophosphate
PPM family, 422
PPP family, 422, 423
PRA, *see* β-5-Phosphoribosylamine
Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS), 1063
Pravastatin (Pravachol), 712F
pRb protein, 1085
PRC2 (Polycomb Repressive Complex 2), 1075
Prebiotic era, 2
Precursors, 6
Preferential transition state binding, **338–339,** 338F
Preinitiation complex (PIC):
  formation of, 957–958, 958F
  structure of, 960F
  and transcriptional activators, 1064–1065
Prelog, Vladimir, 90
Pre-miRNAs, 1074, 1075F
Pre-mRNAs, 963
Prenylated proteins, 267
Prenyltransferase, 708
Prephenate, 755, 755F
Preproproteins, 1026
Preproteins, 278, 278T, 1026
Pre-RC (prereplication complex), 901–902
Prereplication complex (pre-RC), 901–902
Pre-rRNAs, 973–974
Presequences, 278
Pressure, osmotic, 30, 30F
Presynaptic membrane, 285
Pretranslocational state, 1018
Pre-tRNAs, 978
Pribnow, David, 942
Pribnow box, 942
Priestley, Joseph, 630
Primaquine, 518, 519
Primary active transport, 310
Primary immune responses, 212F
Primary pair-rule genes, 1091, 1092
Primary structure (proteins), **97–126**
  polypeptide diversity, **98–99**
  and protein evolution, **119–126**
  and protein purification and analysis, **99–109**
  and protein sequencing, **110–119**
Primary transcripts, 961
Primase, 882, 891
Primers, 57, 897, 900F
Pri-miRNAs, 1074, 1074F
Primosome, 891
Prions, 174–175, 174F
Prion diseases, 174–175
Prion protein (PrP), 174, 175F
Pro, *see* Proline
Probes, colony hybridization, 71
Procarboxypeptidase A, 356
Procarboxypeptidase B, 356
Procaspases, 1086, 1089
Procaspase-9, 1089
Processing body (P body), 1070
Processive enzymes, 883, 893–895, 945
Prochiral molecules, 325
ProCysRS, 994
Product inhibition, 375, **576–577**
Products (reaction), **16–18**
Proelastase, 356
Proenzymes, 355
Proflavin, 857, 907
Progeria, 905
Progestins, 407
Programmed cell death, 1085–1089
Proinsulin, 1026, 1026F
Prokaryotes, **7,** 7F
  classification, 9
  DNA economy of, 1039
  elongation in eukaryotes vs., 1021
  gene number in, 1035T
  ribosomes, **997–1002**
  translation initiation in, 1006–1011
Prokaryotic DNA replication, **882–897**
  DNA polymerases, **883–888,** 884F
  fidelity, **897**
  initiation, **889–891**
  leading and lagging strand synthesis, **891–895**
  termination, **895–897**
Prokaryotic gene expression, **1043–1052**
  attenuation, **1048–1050**
  catabolic repression, **1046–1048**
  lac repressor, **1043–1046**
  repressors, 861–863
  riboswitches, **1050–1052**
Prokaryotic transcription, **939–948**
  5′ to 3′ chain growth, **943–946,** 944F
  initiation of, **942–943**
  RNA polymerase and other polymerases, **939–941**
  termination of, **946–948**
Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), 898, 899, 899F
Proline (Pro):
  biosynthesis, 751, 751F, 752
  breakdown, **737–738,** 737F
  conformation, 135
  nonpolar side chain, 84
Proline racemase, 339
Prolyl hydroxylase, 142
Promoters, **942–943, 954–956**
Proofreading, 884, 993–994, 1015
Proofreading site (P), RNAP, 954
Propanolol, 826
Prophospholipase A_2, 356
Propionibacterium shermanii, 680, 681F
Propionyl-CoA:
  in amino acid degradation, 738, 739F, 742F
  from fatty acid oxidation, **678–684,** 678F
  in polyketide synthesis, 694
Propionyl-CoA carboxylase, 678
Propranolol, 405–406
Proproteins, 1026
Prostacyclins, 258
Prostaglandins, 257–258, 695, **704–705**
Prostaglandin H_2 (PGH_2), 258F
Prostaglandin H_2 synthase, 704
Prosthetic groups, 327
Proteases, 101, 114
Protease inhibitors, 354
Proteasome, **721–724,** 722F
Protein(s), 80. *See also specific proteins*
  allosteric, 195–197
  α/β, 153, 154
  α, 152–153
  α helix, 135–137
  from alternative splicing, 969–971
  amino acid derivatives in, **91–94**
  analysis of, 474
  assaying, 101
  β, 153
  catabolism overview, 446F
  in coated vesicles, 281–282
  composition of selected, 99T
  concentrations of, 101–102
  core, 235
  covalent modification, **1026–1029**
  depictions of, 149
  DNA–protein interactions, **859–867**
  dynamics of, **164–165**
  fibrous, **140–144**
  folding of, **1025–1026**
  globular, 140, 149–150
  glycosylated, **238–240**
  heterotrimeric G protein as activator of, 427
  homologous, 122
  for homologous recombination, **916–922**
  human genome coding for, 1034–1037
  intrinsically disordered, 164–167
  isoelectric point, 103, 103T
  link, 235
Proteins:
  in lipoproteins, 667–668
Protein(s):
  membrane, *see* Membrane proteins
  motor, 208
  multidomain, 126F
  multisubunit, 98
  orthologous, 124
  oxygen-transport, 185
  possible sequences of, 98
  posttranslational modification, 280, 281F
  prenylated, 267
  preproteins, 278, 278T
  receptor, 402
  ribosomal, 1000, 1000F, 1001

solubility, **102–103,** 102T
stabilizing, 100–101
starvation and degradation of, 790–791
thermostable, 162
transport, 293, **305–309**
α_1-Proteinase inhibitor, 354
Protein crystals, 146–147, 146F
Protein Data Bank (PDB), 155–157
Protein degradation, **719–724**
lysosomal, **719**
proteasome, **721–724,** 721F, 722F
ubiquitin, **720–721,** 720F
Protein denaturation, 100, 144, **162–163,** 163F
Protein design, 167–168
Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), 168, 169F
Protein domains, 125–126, 154, 161–162
Protein evolution, **119–126**
comparing structure to study, 157–158
conservation of sequence vs. structure, 154–155
gene duplication and gene segments, **122–126**
molecular chaperones in, 172
from protein sequences, **120–122**
rates of, 125F
Protein families, 124
Protein folding, **165–176**
diseases from misfolding, **173–176**
energy-entropy diagram, 166F
molecular chaperones in, **168–172**
pathways, **165–168,** 166F
unfolded regions of proteins, 164–165
Protein function, **180–218**
of antibodies, **212–217**
information from sequence comparisons on, 122
muscle contraction, **200–211**
oxygen binding, **181–200**
and structure, 97
Protein Information Resource (PIR), 118T
Protein kinases, 252, 387, 390–391
Protein kinase A (PKA), **427–431,** 429F, 538
Protein kinase B (PKB), 438F
Protein kinase C (PKC), **436–437**
Protein mass fingerprinting, 117
Protein misfolding diseases, **173–176,** 173T
Protein phosphatases, 253, 387, **420–423**
Protein phosphorylation, 387–391
Protein purification, **99–109,** 102T
chromatography, **103–106**
electrophoresis, **106–108**
by solubility, **102–103**
strategy for, **100–102**
ultracentrifugation, **108–109**
Protein renaturation, **163–164,** 163F
Protein scaffold (metaphase chromosomes), 872–874
Protein sequences:
divergence rate for, 124–125
evolutionary relationships revealed by, **120–122**
and secondary structure, 144–145
storage of, **118–119**
Protein sequencing, **110–119,** 111F
database storage of sequences, **118–119**
Edman degradation, **114–116,** 115F
mass spectrometry, **117–118**
polypeptide cleavage, **114**
separating subunits, **110–113**
Protein Ser/Thr phosphatases, 422, 423
Protein stability, **160–165**
denaturation and renaturation, **162–164**
forces involved, **160–162**
and protein dynamics, **164–165**
Protein structure(s):
bioinformatics, structural, **155–158,** 156T
conservation of, **154–155**
information from sequence comparisons on, 122
nonrepetitive, **144–145**
and oligosaccharides, 240–241
predicting, 167–168
primary structure, **97–126**
and protein folding, **165–176**
quaternary structure, 132, 132F, **158–159**
secondary structure, **132–145,** 132F
and stability, **160–165**
supersecondary, 151–152, 151F
symmetry, **159,** 159F
tertiary structure, 131, 132F, **145–158**
Protein synthesis, **982–1030**
chain elongation, 1005, **1011–1022**
chain initiation, **1006–1011**
chain termination, **1023–1024**
genes as directors of, **51–53**
and genetic code, **983–988**
heme-controlled, 1076F
posttranslational processing, **1024–1029**
by recombinant DNA techniques, 72, 73, 73T
ribosomes, role of, 938
and ribosomes, **996–1004,** 1011–1022
translation, **1004–1024**
translation initiation, 1009F
and tRNA, **988–996**
Protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs), 409, 420
Protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), **421–423**
Proteoglycans, **235,** 235F
Proteolysis, 114
Proteome, 53, 471, 474
Proteomics, 53, 108, 474
Proteopedia, 157
Prothrombin, 356
Protofilaments, 141F
Protomers, 158
Protons:
acids as donors of, 33
and ATP synthase, **613–618**
as electrophiles, 335F
translocation by Complex I, 599–601
translocation by Complex III, **602–607**
translocation by cytochrome *c* oxidase, 609–610
Proton gradients, 316–318
and ATP synthesis by photophosphorylation, **650–651**
from electron transport in cytochrome b_6f, 645
in light reactions, 648–651
in oxidative phosphorylation, 611–613
and PSI-activated electrons, 648–649
Proton jumping, 32, 32F
Protonmotive force (pmf), 612
Proton pumps, 600, 600F
Protonpumping ATP synthase, *see* ATP synthase
Proton wire, 600
Proto-oncogenes, 416
Protoporphyrin IX, 602, 759, 759F
Protoporphyrinogen IX, 759F
Protoporphyrinogen oxidase, 759
Protospacers, 925, 926
Protosterol, 710, 711F
Proximity effects (enzymes), **336–338**
PrP (prion protein), 174, 175F
PrP^C (cellular prion protein), 174
PRPP, *see* 5-Phosphoribosyl-α-pyrophosphate
PrP^{Sc} (scrapie form of prion protein), 174, 176
Pruisner, Stanley, 174
PSI (photosystem I), 639–641
cofactors of, 647F
electron pathways, 648–650
electron transport by plastocyanin to, 645–646
and PSII, 646–650
segregation, 649
X-ray structure, 646F
PSII (photosystem II), 639–643
PbRC and reaction center of, 641–643, 646–648
and PSI, 646–650
segregation, 649
of *T. elongatus,* 642F
PsaA, 646
PsaB, 646
PsaC, 647F
PsaC–E, 646, 648
PsaF, 646
PsaI–M, 646
PsaX, 646
PsbA (D1), 641
PsbB (CP47), 641
PsbC (CP43), 641
PsbD (D2), 641
Pseudo-first-order reaction, 364
Pseudogenes, 124, 1038
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 234F
Pseudouridine (ψ), 968F, 974, 988, 989F
ψ angles, 133, 134
D-Psicose, 223F
P (peptidyl) site, 1001, 1002
PTC (phenylthiocarbamyl), 114, 115F
Pteridine, 745, 745F
Pterins, 745, 745F, 746
Pterin-4a-carbinolamine, 746
Pterin-4a-carbinolamine dehydratase, 746, 747F
PTH (phenylthiohydantion), 115F, 116
PTKs (protein tyrosine kinases), 409, 420
PTPs (protein tyrosine phosphatases), **421–423**
P-type ATPases, 310
pUC18 plasmid, 67–69, 67F
Pulmonary emphysema, 354
Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), 857, 857F
Pulse-labeling, 881
Pumped protons, 609
PurE, 805
Pure noncompetitive inhibition, 382
Purines, 43, 839F
Purine derivatives, 826
Purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP), 822
Purine nucleotide cycle, 823
Purine ribonucleotides, **808, 822–825,** 822F
Purine ribonucleotide synthesis, **802–809,** 804F
AMP, **806–807**
GMP, **806–807**
and IMP, **803–807,** 804F
regulation of, **807–808,** 807F
PurK, 805
Puromycin, 1020
Purple photosynthetic bacteria reaction centers (PbRCs):
electron transport in, 637–638, 637F
and PSI, 646–648
and PSII, 641–643, 646–648
PWS (Prader-Willi syndrome), 1063
Pyl (Pyrrolysine), 996
PylRS, 996
Pyocyanin, 627
Pyran, 224
Pyranoses, 224
Pyridine nucleotides, 326F
Pyridoxal-5′-phosphate (PLP):
as covalent catalyst, 335
forms of, 725F
as glycogen phosphorylase cofactor, 526
and serine hydroxymethyltransferase, 735–736
in transamination, **725–727,** 727F
Pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate (PMP), 725F, 726, 727F
Pyridoxine, 526, 725, 725F
Pyrimidine, 43, 839F
Pyrimidine dimers, 905
Pyrimidine ribonucleotides, **827,** 827F
Pyrimidine ribonucleotide synthesis, 384F, **809–812**
CTP, **811,** 811F
regulation, **811–812**
UMP, **809–811,** 809F
UTP, **811,** 811F
Pyrithiamine, 1052
Pyrithiamine pyrophosphate, 1052
Pyrobaculum aerophilum, 967
Pyrolobus fumarii, 162
Pyrophosphatase, 457
Pyrophosphate (PP_i), 57F, 454, 454T
Pyrophosphate cleavage, 457, 457F
5-Pyrophosphomevalonate, 708
Pyrophosphomevalonate decarboxylase, 708
Pyrorline-5-carboxylate, 737F
Pyrosequencing, 60
Pyrrole, 182
Pyrrole-2-carboxylate, proline racemase inhibition, 339
Δ-1-Pyrroline-2-carboxylate, 339
Δ^1-Pyrroline-5-carboxylate, 751F, 752
Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase, 752
Pyrrolysine (Pyl), 996
Pyruvate, 456F
alcoholic fermentation of, **498–501**
amino acid biosynthesis from, 581, 748–749, 748F, 754–755, 754F
in amino acid degradation, 733F–735F, **734–736**
in citric acid cycle, 560F, 563–565, 565F, 577
in gluconeogenesis, **545–549,** 545F, 546F, 581
in glucose–alanine cycle, 781
in glycolysis, 494–497
homolactic fermentation of, **498**
isozyme action of, 449
and malic enzyme, 683
in mammalian metabolism, 775
metabolic fate of, 497, 497F
in tricarboxylate transport system, 688F

Pyruvate carboxylase, 546–547, 547F
Pyruvate decarboxylase, 499–500, 500F
Pyruvate dehydrogenase (E1), 563F
  in citric acid cycle, **562–567**
  coenzymes and prosthetic groups, 564T
  and α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, **572**
  reactions of, 565F
  regulation of, **576–577,** 576F
Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, 576
Pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase, 576
Pyruvate enolate, 547F
Pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase, 583
Pyruvate kinase (PK):
  in coupled reactions, 456
  in glycolysis, **494–497,** 503
  mechanism of, 495F
  PKM2, 797
Pyruvate-phosphate dikinase, 661
Pyruvic acid, 226
PYY_{3-36}, 789

q (heat), 12, 15
Q (plastoquinone), 640, 649
Q_6, 598
Q_8, 598
Q_{10}, 598
Q cycle, **602–607,** 605F
QH_2 (plastoquinol), 640
q_P (heat at constant pressure), 12
Q-SNAREs, 285, 286
Quanta, 635
Quantum yield, 638
Quaternary structure (proteins), 132, 132F, **158–159**
Quinine, 391, 391F
Quinod form, 7,8-dihydrobiopterin, 746, 747F
Quinol oxidase, 612

R (gas constant), 12
R5P, *see* Ribose-5-phosphate
Rabbit:
  G-actin, 205F
  glycogen phosphorylase, 388F
  muscle phosphorylase kinase, 539F
Racemic mixtures, 90
Racker, Efraim, 613
Rad51, 920
Radioactive isotopes, 469, 469T
Radioimmunoassay (RIA), 101, 404
Radionuclides, 469, 469T
Raff, Martin, 1086
Raf kinase, 415
RAG1, 1079
RAG2, 1079
Ramachandran, G. N., 134
Ramachandran diagram, 134–135, 135F
Ramakrishnan, Venki, 999, 1013, 1024
Randall, John, 833
Randle, Phillip, 623
Randle cycle (glucose-fatty acid cycle), 623
Random coils, protein, 144
Random mechanism, sequential reactions with, 372, 373
Randomness, of genetic code, 986
Rapamycin, 438F
Ras, 412–414, 413F
RasGAP, 414, 415
Ras signaling cascade, 413F, 785, 785F, 1069
Rat:
  intestinal fatty-acid binding protein (I-FABP), 666, 667F
  liver enzymes half-lives, 719, 719F
  liver mitochondrion, 590F
  liver peroxisome, 684F
  PFK-2/FBPase-2, 550F
  rat liver cytoplasmic ribosomes, 1002, 1003T
  testis calmodulin, 434F
Rat1 (Xrn2), 963
Ratcheting, 1021
Rate constant *(k),* 362, 364
Rate-determining step, 329
Rate enhancement, 329–330
Rate equations, **362–364**
Rational drug design, 392
Ratner, Sarah, 729
Rayment, Ivan, 203, 731
RCs, *see* Photosynthetic reaction centers
Reactants, **16–18**
Reaction conditions, 323
Reaction coordinate diagram, **327–330,** 328F, 329F
Reaction kinetics, *see* Enzyme kinetics
Reaction mechanism, steady state kinetics and, 371–373
Reaction order, 362–363
Reaction rate, for enzymes vs. chemical catalysts, 323
Reactive oxygen species (ROS), 602, 624, 625
Reads (DNA sequences), 59
Readers, 1059
Reading frame, 983–984
Rearrangements, 448, 931, 933, 933F
$RecA_5$–(ADP–AlF_4-)$_5$–$(dT)_{15}(dA)_{12}$ complex, 918, 918F, 919
RecA-mediated pairing, 919, 919F
RecA-mediated strand exchange, 919–920, 920F
RecA protein, 915, 917–920, 918F, 920F
RecBCD protein, 920–921, 920F, 921F
Receptors, 402. *See also specific receptors*
Receptor–ligand binding, 407, 410
Receptor-mediated endocytosis, 287, 288, 670–671, 670F
Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), **408–423**
  and kinase cascades, **412–417**
  and nonreceptor tyrosine kinases, **417–420**
  and protein phosphatases, **420–423**
  signal transmission by, **409–412**
Recognition events, 241
Recombinant DNA, 67, 69F
Recombinant DNA technology, 66, 69F, **72–76**
Recombination, 64, **916–933**
  and CRISPR–Cas9 system, **925–929**
  homologous, **916–922,** 916F
  repair by, **922–925,** 923F, 924F
  somatic, 1078–1079
  transposition, 64, **929–933**
Recombination repair, **922–925,** 923F, 924F
Recombination signal sequences (RSS), 1077, 1079, 1079F
Recommended name, 324
Recruitment model for transcription factors, 1065
Red blood cells, *see* Erythrocytes
Redox centers, 588, 607F, 765–766
Redox cofactors, 602
Redox couples, 463
Redox reactions, *see* Oxidation–reduction reactions
Reduced (term), 446
Reducing agents, 463
Reducing end, 232
Reducing equivalents, 548–549, 591–592
Reducing sugars, 227
Reductant, 463
Reduction, 447. *See also* Oxidation–reduction reactions
  with nitrogen, 767–768, 767F
  of oxygen, **607–609, 618–619,** 624, 625
  of ribonucleotide reductases, 814–816
Reduction potential, **465–467**
Reductive pentose phosphate cycle, *see* Calvin cycle
Rees, Douglas, 765
Regeneration, 327, 458–459
Regular secondary structures (proteins), **135–140**
Regulatory light chains (RLC), 203, 204
Regulatory proteins, 1063–1064
Reichard, Peter, 813
Relative configuration, 89, 90
Relative molecular mass (M_r), 12
Relaxed circles, 840F, 841
Release factor (RF), 1008T, **1023–1024**
Remington, James, 569
Renaturation:
  DNA, **852,** 852F
  protein, **163–164,** 163F
Reperfusion injury, 183
Repetitive DNA sequences, **1039–1043**
Replica plating, 71
Replicases, 887, 888
Replication. *See also* DNA replication
  of linear chromosomes, 902, 902F
  of molecules, 3, 5F
  of transposons, 931
Replication eye, 881F
Replication factor C (RFC), 899
Replication forks, 881, 897, 902, 922, 923F
Replication protein A (RPA), 899
Replicative transposons, 931
Replicons, 902
Replisome, 891, 894F
Reporter genes, 93
Repressors, 862F
  corepressors, 1048
  lac, **1043–1046,** 1045F, 1046F, 1048
  met, 862, 863, 863F
  prokaryotic, **861–863**
  trp, 862, 863F
RER, *see* Rough endoplasmic reticulum
Resequencing, 65, 66
Resistin, 795
Resolution, of protein crystals, 146–147, 147F
Resolvase, 931
Resonance, bond, 455
Resonance energy transfer, 636
Resonance-stabilized intermediates, 727F
Respiration, 479
Respiratory distress syndrome, 251
Restart primosome, 924
Restriction endonucleases:
  DNA–protein interactions, 860–861, 861F
  for nucleic acid sequencing, 54–56
  recognition and cleavage sites, 55T
Restriction–modification system, 54
Restriction sites, 55F
Reticulocyte heme-controlled protein synthesis, 1076
Reticulocytes, 760, 1004, 1076, 1076F
Retinal, 257, 600
Retinoblastoma, 1085
Retinol, 257
Retinol binding protein, 153F
Retro aldol condensation, 485
Retrograde transport, 280
Retrotransposons, 933
Retroviruses, 900–901, 933
Reverse transcriptase (RT), 70, 376, 899–901
Reverse turns, 140, 140F
Reversible processes, 14, 450
RF (release factor), 1008T, **1023–1024**
RF-1, 1023
RF-2, 1023
RF-3, 1023
RFC (replication factor C), 899
R groups, amino acids, 81
Rhamnose, 234
Rhizobium, 764F, 768
Rhodobacter sphaeroides, 637, 637F
Rhodopseudomonas viridis, 637, 637F
Rhodopsin, 424
Rhodospirillum molischianum, 634, 634F
Rho factor, 947–948, 948F
RIA (radioimmunoassay), 101, 404
Ribitol, 226
Riboflavin (vitamin B_2), 463, 463F
Ribonucleases, *see specific RNases*
Ribonucleic acid, *see* RNA
Ribonucleoproteins, 902, 1026–1028
Ribonucleotides, 43, 43F, **812–817**. *See also specific types*
Ribonucleotide reductases (RNRs), **812–817**
  and phosphorylation of dNDPs, 817
  reduction by thioredoxin, 814–816, 815F
  regulation of, 816, 817F
  structure, 813F
  substrate binding by, 814
  tyrosyl radicals and, 608
Ribose, 43, 222F, 223
Ribose-5-phosphate (R5P):
  in Calvin cycle, 653F, 654
  in IMP synthesis, 803, 804F
  in pentose phosphate pathway, 513F, 514, **515,** 516F
Ribose phosphate isomerase, 654
Ribose phosphate pyrophosphokinase, 803
Ribosomal proteins, backbone structures of, 1000, 1000F, 1001
Ribosomal RNA, *see* rRNA
Ribosomes, **996–1004**
  binding sites of, 1019F
  in chain elongation, **1011–1022**
  decoding, 1011–1013, 1014F
  distribution of protein and RNA in, 1000, 1000F
  and EF-G, 1018, 1018F
  and elongation in prokaryotes vs. eukaryotes, 1021
  as entropy trap, 1016–1017

eukaryotic, **1002–1004**
membrane protein synthesis in, 276–280
monitoring of codon–anticodon pairing by, 1013–1014
prokaryotic, **997–1002**
proofreading by, 1015
in protein synthesis, 52–53
and SRP, 1027F
structure of, 997–1001, 998F
translocation in, 1017–1021
and transpeptidation, 1015–1016
with tRNA and mRNA, 1001F
tRNA binding sites of, 1001–1002
Ribosome recycling factor (RRF), 1023–1024, 1023F, 1024F
Riboswitches, **1050–1052**
Ribozymes, 20
enzymatic activity, 322–323
hammerhead, 853–856, 855F
Tetrahymena species, 975–976, 976F
Ribulose, 223, 223F
D-Ribulose, 223F
Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), 652, 659F
Ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P), 513F, 514, 515F, 651, 653F
Ribulose-5-phosphate epimerase, 515
Ribulose-5-phosphate isomerase, 515
Rice, golden, 75, 75F
Rich, Alexander, 835, 853
Richmond, Timothy, 869
Ricin, 1021
Rickets, 256
Rieske, John, 604
Rieske center, 604
Rifampicin, 950
Rifamycin B, 950
Rigor mortis, 219
RING finger, 720, 721
Rinn, John, 1075, 1083
RISC, *see* RNA-induced silencing complex
Ritonavir, 377, 397
Rittenberg, David, 469, 687, 758
RLC (Regulatory light chains), 203, 204
RNA (ribonucleic acid):
A-DNA type helix formation, 836, 838
catalytic properties, 323
DNA–RNA hybrids, 838, 838F
human genome transcribed to, 1037
hybridization, 852
metabolite-sensing, **1050–1052**
nucleotides, 44
single-stranded nucleic acids in, **50**
structure of, **852–856**
synthesis of, 52–53
as telomere template, 902–904
RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, 1073
RNA–DNA hybrids, 852
RNA editing, 971–972
RNAi (RNA interference), 1071–1075, 1072F
RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), 1073, 1074, 1074F
RNA interference (RNAi), 1071–1075, 1072F
RNA ligase, 978
RNAP, *see* RNA polymerase
RNAP I (RNA polymerase I), 949, 954
RNAP II, *see* RNA polymerase II
RNAP III, *see* RNA polymerase III
RNAP II-Mediator complex, 1065–1066, 1065F
RNA polymerase (RNAP), 939
chain growth, **943–946,** 944F
collisions of DNA polymerase and, 945
eukaryotic, **949–956**
as processive enzyme, 945
prokaryotic, 939–948
promoter recognition and binding by, **942–943, 954–956**
proofreading by, 953–954
structure, **939–941,** 939F, 940F, 951–953
transcription termination sites, **946–948**
RNA polymerase I (RNAP I), 949, 954
RNA polymerase II (RNAP II), 949–953
and elongation, 961
promoters for, 954–955, 955F
structure and function of, 951–953, 952F, 953F
TFIIA and TFIIB interaction with, 958–960
RNA polymerase III (RNAP III), 949, 954, 956
RNA polymerase holoenzyme, 939, 939F, 940F, 942, 943
RNA primers, 882, 884F, 891, 897, 900F
RNA-recognition motifs (RRM), 971
RNase III, 973, 1073
RNase A (ribonuclease A), 163–164, 163F, 332–334, 333F
RNase B, 240F
RNase D, 973
RNase E, 973
RNase F, 973
RNase H, 892, 893
RNase H1, 899, 900F
RNase M5, 973
RNase M16, 973
RNase M23, 973
RNase P, 973, 977, 977F
RNase S (ribonuclease S), 332F
RNA triphosphatase, 962
RNA world, 50, 854
RNRs, *see* Ribonucleotide reductases
Roberts, Jeffrey, 947
Roberts, Richard, 963, 964, 1061
Rodnina, Marina, 1016
Rofecoxib (Vioxx), 392, 395, 705
ROS, *see* Reactive oxygen species
Rose, Irwin, 484, 720
Rose, William C., 81
Rosenberg, John, 860
Rosetta program, 167
Rosiglitazone (Avandia), 395, 795
Rossmann, Michael, 154
Rossmann fold, 154
Rotational symmetry (proteins), 159
Rotenone, 595, 596
Rothman, James, 274, 285
Rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER), 274F, 277, 448F
Rous sarcoma virus (RSV), 416
RPA (replication protein A), 899
Rpb1 subunit, 950, 951, 961
Rpb2 subunit, 952
Rpr4, 1071
Rpr6, 1071
Rpr40, 1071
Rpr41, 1071
Rpr42, 1071
Rpr43, 1071
Rpr44, 1071
Rpr45, 1071
Rpr46, 1071
RRF, *see* Ribosome recycling factor
RRM (RNA-recognition motifs), 971
rRNA (ribosomal RNA), 52, 938
base pairing of mRNA and, 1006–1007
double-stranded segments, 853
posttranscriptional processing, **973–976**
secondary structure of, 997, 998, 998F
self-splicing, 974–975, 974F
tertiary structure, 999F
RSC complex, 1054, 1054F
RS domain, 971
R-SNAREs, 285, 286
RSS, *see* Recombination signal sequences
RS (Cahn–Ingold–Prelog) system, 90
R state:
of glycogen phosphorylase, 389, 389F, 390, 390F
of hemoglobin, 190–192, 196, 197
RSV (rous sarcoma virus), 416
RT, *see* Reverse transcriptase
RTKs, *see* Receptor tyrosine kinases
Rtt103, 963
Ru5P, *see* Ribulose-5-phosphate
RuBisCO, 658
RuBP (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate), 652, 659F
RuBP carboxylase, 654–655, 654F, 655F, 657
RuBP carboxylase activase, 655
RuBP carboxylase-oxygenase, 658, 658F
Rudder, 953
runt gene, 1091
Rut sites, 947
Rutter, William, 1064
RuvA protein, 921–922, 921F, 922F
RuvB protein, 921–922
RuvC protein, 922
S, see Entropy
S (svedbergs), 109, 721
S1P (site-1 protease), 712
S2P (site-2 protease), 712
S4 helix, 301
S5 helix, 301
S6′, 723
S6 helix, 301
S6 protein, 438F
S7P, *see* Sedoheptulose-7-phosphate
Sabatini, David, 277
Saccharides, 221. *See also* Carbohydrates
Saccharine, 229
Saccharomyces cerevisiae, 100, 995
Saccharomyces uvarum, 499F
Saccharopine, 743, 743F
Saccharopolyspora erythraea, 694
Saenger, Wolfram, 642
SAGA, 1057
SAICAR (5-aminoimidazole-4-(*N*-succinylocarboxamide) ribotide), 797, 804F, 805
Saiety, 788–789
Salmonella typhimurium, 303F, 749F, 756, 756F, 907
Salts, solvation in water, 27
Salt bridge, 161
Salting in, 102
Salting out, **102–103,** 102F
Salvage pathways, **808**
SAM, *see S*-Adenosylmethionine
Sanger, Frederick, 57, 110, 112, 680, 964
Santi, Daniel, 818
Sarcomas, 416, 1083
Sarcomere, 201
Sarcoplasmic reticulum, 208, 313
Sarcosine, 771
Sarin, 346
Saturated enzymes, 367
Saturation, 184, 247–248, 247T, 308, 367
Sazanov, Leonid, 597, 601
SBP (sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate), 653F
SBPase, *see* Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase
Scaffolds, metaphase chromosome, 872–874
Scaffold proteins, 416–417
Scalar protons, 609
SCAP (SREBP cleavage-activating protein), 711, 711F
Scatchard, George, 410
Scatchard plot, 410
Schachman, Howard, 383
Schekman, Randy, 285
Schiff bases:
formation (transimination), 725, 726
imines as, 331, 334, 334F, 335F
PLP-amino acid, 736, 736F
Schistosoma mansoni, 854, 855
Schulz, Georg, 460
SCID (severe combined immunodeficiency disease), 76, 823
SCID-X1, 76
SCOP (computer program), 158
Scott, Matthew, 1093
Scott, William, 855
Scrapie, 174
Scrapie form of prion protein (PrP^{Sc}), 174, 176
Screening, DNA, 65, 65T, 71
Scr protein, 412
Scrunching, 945
Scurvy, 142, 143
SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate), 107
SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl phosphatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis), 107, 107F
Sec (selenocysteine), 996
Sec61, 279, 1028, 1028F
Secondary active transport, 310
Secondary channel, Rpb2, 952
Secondary immune responses, 212F
Secondary lysosome, 670F
Secondary pair-rule genes, 1092
Secondary structure (proteins), **131–145,** 132F
α helix, **135, 137**
β sheet, **138–140**
of fibrous proteins, **140–144**
nonrepetitive, **144–145**
planar peptide group of, **132–135**
regular, **135–140**
and sequence, 144–145
super-, 151–152, 151F
in tertiary structure, **150–154**

Second law of thermodynamics, **13**
Second messengers, 424
activation of protein kinase C by, **436–437**
cytoplasmic release of, **433–434**
and glycogen metabolism, 542
phosphodiesterases and activity of, **432**
in signaling pathways, 402
Second-order reactions, 362–364
Secreted membrane proteins, **277–279,** 281–282
Secretory pathway, **276–280,** 277F
Secretory vesicles, 281F
SecY, 279–280, 279F, 1028
Sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate (SBP), 653F
Sedoheptulose bisphosphatase (SBPase), 652, 654, 657, 658, 658F
Sedoheptulose-7-phosphate (S7P), 513F, 516, 516F, 517F, 653F
Seed sequence, 1074
Segmental duplications (DNA), 1040
Segmentation genes, 1090
Segment polarity genes, 1091
SELB, 996
Selectable markers, 69
Selectins, 241
Selection, for cloned DNA, 68–70
Selectivity filters, 298F, 299, 303
Selenocysteine (Sec), 996
Selenoproteins, 996
Self-compartmentalized proteases, 723–724, 724F
Selfish DNA, 1042
Self-replicating systems, **3–5**
Self-splicing rRNA, 974–975
Sem-5 protein, 414
Semiconservative replication, 879, 880
Semidiscontinuous replication, 881–882, 881F
Semi-invariant positions (tRNA), 989
Semiquinone, 463F
Senescence, 905
Sense RNA, 1071
Sense (coding) strand, 941, 941F, 942, 942F
Sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins, 859, 860
Sequencing-by-synthesis methods, **59–61**
Sequential model of allosterism, 196–197, 197F
Sequential reactions, 372–373
Serine (Ser):
biosynthesis, 752, 752F
breakdown, 734–735, 734F
in ceramide biosynthesis, 704F
covalent catalysis by, 335
genetic code specification, 985, 986
and N^5,N^{10}-methylene-THF, 819F
and *O*-linked oligosaccharides, 240
phosphatidylserine from, 702
in photorespiration, 659F
in sphingolipid synthesis, **703–704**
and THF, 741F
uncharged polar side chain, 85, 85F
Serine carboxypeptidase II, 349F
Serine hydroxymethyltransferase, 735–736, 820
Serine proteases, **345–358**
active site, **345–346,** 349F
catalytic mechanism, **350–355,** 351F
preferential transition state binding, 350, 352, 352F
specificity pockets, 348F
X-ray structure, **346–350**
zymogens, **355–357**
Serine–threonine dehydratase, 734, 735F
Serotonin, 424, 762
Serum albumin, 671
Serum glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase (SGOT), 726
Serum glutamate-pyruvate transaminase (SGPT), 726
SET7/9, 1060, 1060F
SET domain, 1060
7S RNA, 1027F
70S ribosome, 997T
Severe combined immunodeficiency disease (SCID), 76, 823
Sex hormones, **406–407**
SGOT (serum glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase), 726
SGPT (serum glutamate-pyruvate transaminase), 726
sgRNA (single-guide RNA), 926–929, 927F
sgRNA–Cas9 system, 927–929
SH2 domains, 412, 412F, 418–419, 969
SH3 domains, 148F
in kinase cascades, 412, 414, 414F
splicing and evolution of, 969
of Src, 418–419
Sharp, Phillip, 963, 964
Shc protein, 438
Shear degradation, 868
Shemin, David, 469, 758
Shen, Jian-Ren, 644
Shine, John, 1007
Shine–Dalgarno sequence, 1007
Short interfering RNAs (siRNAs), 1072
Short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), 1042
Short tandem repeats (STRs), 73, 1040
Short-term regulation, 698
Shotgun cloning, 70
Shotton, David, 347
SHP-2, 421, 421F, 438F
Shulman, George, 794
Sialic acid, 227
Sickle-cell anemia, 61, 198–199, 200F, 519
Sickle-cell hemoglobin (hemoglobin S), 198–200
Side chains, amino acid, **84–86**
charged polar, 83T, 86, 86F, 150
hydropathy, 160T
modifications of, **92,** 92F
nonpolar, 82T, 84, 85F, 149
polarity and location of, **149–151,** 151F
uncharged polar, 83T, 85, 85F, 150
SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome), 675
Sigler, Paul, 170, 426, 862, 957, 1069
σ^{32}, 943
σ^{70}, 943
σ factor, 943
σ^{gp28}, 943
$\sigma^{gp33/34}$, 943
Sigmoidal binding curve, 188, 188F
Signal-anchor sequences, 280
Signal-gated channels, 300
Signal peptidase, 278
Signal peptides, 277, 278
Signal recognition particle (SRP), 278, 1026–1028, 1027F
Signal recognition particle receptor, 278
Signal strength, 301
Signal transduction:
hormones for, 408
in metabolic regulation, 785–786, 785F
and non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, 793–795
and transcription factors, 1066
Signal-transduction pathways, 1069
Signature sequence (TVGYG), 299
Signer, Rudolf, 833
Sildenafil, 432
Simian virus 40 (SV40), 842F, 955
Simmons, Daniel, 705
Simvastatin (Zocor), 712F
SINEs (short interspersed nuclear elements), 1042
Singer, S. Jonathan, 269
Single blind tests, 394
Single-displacement reactions, 372–373
Single-guide RNA (sgRNA), 926–929, 927F
Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 65, 1037
Single-strand binding protein (SSB), 891, 891F
Single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), 880
Single-stranded nucleic acids, **50**
siRNAs (short interfering RNAs), 1072
Site-1 protease (S1P), 712
Site-2 protease (S2P), 712
Site-directed mutagenesis, 73–74, 74F
Site-specific recombination, 916
SI units, 12
6dEB (6-deoxyerthythronolide B), 694
16S rRNA, 973, 997, 999, 1006–1007
60S subunit, 1002, 1003T
Size exclusion chromatography, 105
Skehel, John, 288
Skeletal muscles, *see* Muscle
Ski7p protein, 1070
Skou, Jens, 310
Slack, Rodger, 660
SLI, 960
Slicer, 1073
Sliding clamp, 893–895, 893F
Sliding filament model, 202, 203
Slow-twitch muscle fibers, 502
Small intestine, 666–667
Small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs), 966–967
Small nuclear RNA (snRNA), 966
Small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA), 973–974
Small ribosomal subunit, 997, 997T, 999–1002, 1003T
Small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO), 1026
Smith, Cassandra, 857
Smith, Emil, 120
Smith, Janet, 645
Smith, Michael, 73
Smooth endoplasmic reticulum, 274F, 448F
Sm proteins, 967, 967F
Sm RNA motif, 967
S_N2 reaction, 337, 337F
SNAP-25, 285F
SNAREs, 285–287, 285F, 287F
Snell, Esmond, 726
snoRNA (small nucleolar RNA), 973–974
SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms), 65, 1037
snRNA (small nuclear RNA), 966
snRNPs (small nuclear ribonucleoproteins), 966–967
snRNP core protein, 967
SOD (superoxide dismutase), 625–626, 625F
Sodium (Na^+) channels, 300, 301
Sodium dodecyl phosphatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), 107, 107F
Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 107
Sodium (Na^+) ion, 297, 301, 335, 850
Sodium–potassium ATPase, *see* (Na^+–K^+)–ATPase
Sodium–potassium (Na^+–K^+) pump, 310–312
Soft keratins, 141
Solubility, protein purification by, **102–103,** 102T
Soluble protein factors, 1007–1010, 1008T
Solvation, 27, 27F
Solvent, water as, 27
Somatic hypermutation, 217, 1079–1080
Somatic recombination, 216, 1078–1079
Somatostatin, 404
Sonication, 59, 627
D-Sorbose, 223F
Sørenson, Søren, 32
Soret bands, 602
Sos protein, 414
SOS response, 915
Southern, Edwin, 858
Southern blotting, 858–859
Sowadski, Janusz, 428
spCas9 protein, 926, 927F
Special pair, 637–639
Specificity:
of enzymes vs. chemical catalysts, 323, 324
geometric, 325–326
ion, 299, 299F
of mediated vs. nonmediated transport, 308
protein purification by, 102T
of serine proteases, 348–349, 349F
stereo-, 325
Specificity site, 816, 817F
Spectrin, 272, 272F
Spectroscopy, 101–102, 148, 148F
Speed, of mediated vs. nonmediated transport, 308
S phase, 1080, 1081
Sphinganine, 704, 704F
Sphingoglycolipids, 703–704
Sphingolipids:
and amino alcohols, **252–253**
degradation, 706, 707
structure, 700F
synthesis, **703–704**
Sphingolipid storage diseases, 253, 706
Sphingomyelins, 252–253, 252F, 704, 706
Sphingophospholipids, 252
Sphingosine, 252, 253F
Spina bifida, 740
Splenda®, 229
Spliceosome, 966–967
Splicing, **963–972,** 966F, 978
Spontaneity, 11, **14–15, 465–467**
Spontaneous processes, 12, 13
Sprang, Stephan, 426
Squalene, 708–710, 709F
Squalene epoxidase, 710
Squalene synthase, 709, 709F
Squelching, 1065
SR-BI, 671
Src (protein), 148F

Src activation, 419, 419F
Src • AMPPNP, 418, 418F
Src family, 418–419
Src homology domains, *see* SH2 domains; SH3 domains
SRE (sterol regulatory element), 711
SREBP, *see* Sterol regulatory element binding protein
SREBP cleavage-activating protein (SCAP), 711, 711F
SRP, *see* Signal recognition particle
SRP9, 1027
SRP14, 1027
SRP19, 1027
SRP54, 1027, 1028
SRP68, 1027
SRP72, 1027
SRP receptor, 278
SR proteins, 971
SSB (single-strand binding protein), 891, 891F
ssDNA (single-stranded DNA), 880
Ssl2, 959
Stacking interactions:
  DNA, **849, 850,** 850F, 850T
  RNA, 853, 853F
Stadtman, Earl, 749
Stahl, Franklin, 879, 880F
Standard reduction potential ($\mathscr{E}^{o\prime}$), 465–466, 466T
Standard state, 16–18
Staphylococcus aureus, 236, 993F
Starch, **231–232,** 655–656, 656F
Starch synthase, 655, 656
Start codons, 986, 987
Starvation, **790–792**
Stat3β, 1068, 1068F
State functions, 15
Statins, 712–713
Stationary phase, in chromatography, **103–106**
STATs, 1066–1068
Ste5p, 417
Steady state:
  and enzyme kinetics, 364–367, 371–373
  and mammalian fuel metabolism, 775
  and metabolic pathways, 450
  non-equilibrium, 19–20
Steady state assumption, 364–367
Stearic acid, 246, 246F, 253F
1-Stearoyl-2-oleoyl-3-phosphatidylcholine, 250F
Steitz, Joan, 966
Steitz, Thomas, 885, 992, 993, 999
Stem–loop structures, 50, 50F
Stercobilin, 761, 761F
Stereochemistry, **88–91**
Stereoelectronic control, 488
Stereoisomers, 89, 90
Stereospecificity, 325, 325F
Steric interference:
  in DNA, 838–840, 838F, 839F
  of peptide groups, 134F
Steroids, **254–256, 406–407**
Steroid hormones, 254–255, 255F
Steroid receptors, 406, 1068
Sterols, 254
Sterol regulatory element (SRE), 711
Sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP), 711, 711F, 1066
Sterol-sensing domain, 711
Stevia, 229
Sticky ends, 55, 846
Stigmatellin, 604F, 606
Stop codons, 970, 986, 1023, 1024
Storage diseases, 704, 706–707
Storage polysaccharides, **231–232**
STRs (short tandem repeats), 73, 1040
Strain, in lysozyme mechanism, 344
Strand-passage mechanism, 843, 845–847
Streptavidin, 618
Streptomyces antibioticus, 950
Streptomyces lividans, 298
Streptomyces mediterranei, 950
Streptomycin, 1020, 1021
Striated muscle, 201–207
  thick filaments, **201–205,** 204F
  thin filaments, **201, 205–207,** 206F, 210F
Stroke, 625, 705, 713
Stroma, 631
Stromal lamellae, 632
Strong acids, 34
Stroud, Robert, 347
Structural bioinformatics, **155–158,** 156T
Structural genes, 941
Structural genomics, 167
Structural polysaccharides, **230–231**
Structure-based drug design, 392
Stubbe, JoAnne, 814
*su*3 suppressor, 1024
Subcloned DNA, 70
Subdomains, 276
Submitochondrial particles, 627
Substitutional editing, 971–972
Substrates, 323
  co-, 326
  in competitive inhibition, 374–379
  dNTP, 58
  leading vs. following, 373
  lysozyme interactions with, 341F
  Michaelis–Menten parameters, 368T
  ribonucleotide reductase, 814
  specificity of, **324–326**
  suicide, 821
  urea cycle and availability of, **732–733**
Substrate cycles, 452, 506–507, 549F
Substrate cycling, **506–507,** 507F
Substrate-level phosphorylation, 458, 492
Subtilisin, 349F
Subunits, 98. *See also specific subunits*
  protein, 158–159
  separating, **110–113**
Subunit IV (cytochrome b_6f), 645
Succinate, 586
  in citric acid cycle, 374, 559, 560F, 573F, 574
  in glyoxylate cycle, 584F
  in ketone body conversion, 686F
Succinate-coenzyme Q oxidoreductase, *see* Complex II
Succinate dehydrogenase, 374, 375, **574,** 574F
Succinate semialdehyde, 586
Succinate thiokinase, **572–573,** 573F
Succinyl-CoA, 461
  in amino acid degradation, 733F, **738–743,** 739F, 742F
  in citric acid cycle, 560F, **572–573,** 573F
  in heme biosynthesis, **758–762,** 759F
  in ketone body conversion, 686F
  in oxidation of odd-chain fatty acids, 678F, 679
  in oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids, 682–684, 683F
Succinyl-CoA synthetase, **572–573,** 573F
Succinyl-phosphate, in citric acid cycle, 573, 573F
Sucralose, 229
Sucrose, **229,** 364, 556, 656
Sucrose-phosphate phosphatase, 656
Sucrose-phosphate synthase, 656
Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), 675
Südhof, Thomas, 285
Sugars. *See also specific sugars*
  amino, 226
  anomeric forms, 224, 225F
  conformations, 224, 225
  cyclic, 224
  deoxy, 226
  modification and covalent linking of, **225–227**
  nucleotide, 552, 552F
  reducing and nonreducing, 227
Sugar–phosphate backbone, DNA, 840
Suicide substrates, 821
Sulbactam, 238
Sulfa drugs, 740
Sulfanated glycosaminoglycans, 233–234
Sulfanilamide, 740
Sulfatide, 706
Sulfhydryl group, 335F
Sulfonamides, 740, 805
Sulston, John, 63
Sumner, James, 322
SUMO (small ubiquitin-related modifier), 1026
Supercoiled DNA, **840–848,** 840F, 841F
  controlled rotation to relax, 844, 845
  nicking a strand to relax, 841
  progressive unwinding, 842F
  relaxing negatively supercoiled DNA, 843–844
  superhelix topology, 840–841
  and topoisomerase, **842–847**
  during transcription, 944, 944F
  unwinding DNA circles, 841–842, 842F
Supercoiling, 840, 840F
Superhelicity, 840
Superhelix topology, 840–841
Superoxide dismutase (SOD), 625–626, 625F
Superoxide radical, 624
Supersecondary structures, 151–152, 151F
Supply–demand processes, 452
Suppressors, 983, 1024, **1082–1085**
Surface labeling, 263
Surfactant, lung, 249, 251
Surroundings, 11
Sutherland, Earl, 424, 526, 542
Suv39h, 1060
SV40 (simian virus 40), 842F, 955
Svedbergs (S), 109, 721
Sweet N Low®, 229
SWI/SNF, 1054
Swiss-PDB Viewer, 157
Swiss roll barrel, 153F
Switch regions, 427
sxl gene, 970
SXL protein, 970
Symbiosis, 9
Symmetry:
  of F_1 component, 613–614
  of proteins in membranes, 263
  of protein subunits, 159, 159F
Symmetry model of allosterism, 196, 196F
Symport, 309, 309F, 315–316, 316F
Synapses, 285, 285F
Synaptic cleft, 285
Synaptic vesicles, 285
Synaptobrevin, 285F
Synchrotron, 146
Syn conformation, 839, 839F
Syncytium, 1089
Synonyms (codon), 986
Syntaxin, 285F
Synthase, 693F
α-Synuclein, 175
Syphilis, 568
Systematic name, 324
Systems, 11, 18–19
Systems biology, **471–474**
Szent-Györgyi, Albert, 143, 203, 561
Szostak, Jack, 902

T, *see* Thymine
T (supercoiled DNA twist), 841, 841F
$t_{1/2}$, *see* Half-life
TAFs (TBP-associated factors), 958–960
TAF1, 1057–1059, 1059F
TAF5, 1057
TAF6, 1057
TAF9, 1057
TAF10, 1057
TAF12, 1057
Tagamet (cimetidine), 826
D-Tagatose, 223F
Tails, ribosomal proteins, 1000
Tainer, John, 817, 911
D-Talose, 222F
Tamiflu (oseltamivir), 375, 375F
Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), 117F
Tangier disease, 714
Taq polymerase, 71
T arm (tRNA), 988
Tarui's disease, 530–531
TATA-binding protein (TBP), 957–961, 957F
TATA box, 955, 957–958, 958F, 960
Tatum, Edward, 51
Taurine, 665
Tautomers, 47, 47F
Taxonomy, 9
Taylor, Susan, 428
Tay-Sachs disease, 253, 706, 707
TBHA2, 288, 289F
TBP, *see* TATA-binding protein
TBP-associated factors (TAFs), 958–960
TC10 protein, 439
T cells (T lymphocytes), 212
T cell receptors, 418
TE (palmitoyl thioesterase), 268, 692
Telomerase, **902–905**

Telomeres, 869, 902–904
Temin, Howard, 900
Temperature:
  and equilibrium constant, 17
  and fluidity of lipid bilayers, 261
  melting, 851, 851F, 852
  and protein stability, 100
  transition, 261
Template, DNA, 49, 57
Template switching, 899
Teosinte, 64, 64F
TerA site, 895, 896
TerB site, 895, 896
TerC site, 895, 896
TerD site, 895, 896
TerE site, 895, 896
TerF site, 895, 896
TerG site, 895, 896
TerH site, 895, 896
TerI site, 895, 896
TerJ site, 895, 896
Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase, 1079
Terminal desaturases, 695
Termination:
  in chain-terminator technique, 58–59
  DNA replication, **895–897**
  of eukaryotic transcription, 961
  of prokaryotic transcription, **946–948**
  of transcription, **1048–1050**
Termolecular reactions, 363
Terpenoids, 256–257
Tertiary structure (proteins), 131, 132F, **145–158**
  combinations of secondary structures in, **150–154**
  conservation of, **154–155**
  determination of, **145–149**
  polarity and side chain location, **149–151**
  and structural bioinformatics, 155–158
TERT subunit (telomerase), 903, 903F, 904
Ter–Tus system, 895–897
Testicular feminization, 407
Testosterone, 254, 255F, 406
Tetanus, 286
Tetracycline, 1021
Tetracycline-resistant bacteria, 1021
Tetrahedral intermediates:
  chymotrypsin, 350, 353–355, 354f
  serine protease, 351F
Tetrahedral symmetry, 159F
5,6,7,8-Tetrahydrobiopterin, 746, 747F
Tetrahydrofolate (THF):
  in amino acid degradation, 738–741, 740F, 741F, 741T
  in dUMP formation, 818–820
Tetrahydropterotlglutamic acid, 738
Tetrahymena species:
  ribozyme tertiary structure, 975–976
  self-splicing rRNAs, 974–975, 974F
  telomerase, 902, 903F, 905
Tetrahymena thermophila, 976F, 1057–1058, 1058F
Tetraloop, 975
Tetramers, 47
Tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine, 619
Tetroses, 223
TeTx (tetanus neurotoxin), 286
TFIIA, 958–960
TFIIB, 958–961, 959F
$TFIIB_C$, 959
$TFIIB_N$, 959
TFIID, 957, 960, 1059
TFIIE, 959, 960
TFIIF, 959, 961
TFIIH, 959–961
TFIIS, 954
TFIIIA, 864
TFIIIB, 960
TGN (trans Golgi network), 280
β-Thalassemia, 76
Thalidomide, 91, 91F
ThDP, *see* Thiamine pyrophosphate
Theobromine, 431
Theophylline, 431
Therapeutic index, 395
Thermodynamics, **11–20**
  of catabolic vs. anabolic pathways, 450
  of diffusion, 294–295
  of electron transport, 593–594
  of fatty acid oxidation, 676
  of fermentation, 501, 502
  first law of, **11–13**
  free energy in, **14–18**
  and homeostasis, **18–20**
  of membrane transport, **294–295**
  of metabolism, **449–450**
  of nonpolar molecules with solvents, 28, 28T
  second law of, **13**
Thermogenesis, 507, 620, 789–790
Thermogenin, 620, 790
Thermophiles, 9
Thermostable proteins, 162
Thermosynechococcus elongatus, 642F, 646, 647F
Thermosynechococcus vulcanus, 644, 644F
Thermotoga maritima, 977, 977F
Thermus aquaticus, 71
  DNA polymerase I, 886
  DNA polymerase III, 888F
  EF-Tu, 1012F
  RNA polymerase, 940, 940F
Thermus thermophilus:
  ATPase, 615
  Complex I, 597F, 598
  EF-G with GMPPNP, 1018F
  mRNA in 30S subunit, 1007F
  ribosomal RNA, 999, 999F
  ribosomes, 1001F, 1004, 1013, 1013F, 1014F
  RNA polymerase, 940, 940F
  RRF, 1023–1024, 1023F, 1024F
θ replication, 881
θ structures, 881
THF, *see* Tetrahydrofolate
Thiamine (vitamin B_1), 499–501
Thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP; ThDP):
  in acetyl-CoA synthesis, 564, 565
  as cofactor for pyruvate decarboxylate, 499–500
  as covalent catalyst, 335
  in pentose phosphate pathway, 516F
  riboswitches for biosynthesis of, 1050–1052
  of *Saccharomyces uvarum,* 499F
Thiazolidinediones (TZDs), 795
Thiazolinone, 115F
Thiazolium ring, 500
thi box, 1050
Thick filaments, **201–205,** 204F
Thin filaments, **201, 205–210,** 206F, 210F
Thioesters, **460–461**
Thiogalactoside transacetylase, 941F, 1044
Thiohemiacetal, 490
Thiokinases, 672, 673F
Thiolase, 674–676
Thioredoxin, 658, 814–816, 816F
Thioredoxin reductase, 816
30-nm fiber, 871, 871F, 872
30S subunit (rRNA), 997, 997T, 999–1002
Thompson, Leonard, 794
Thr, *see* Threonine
Threading, 167
3′ end, nucleic acids, 46
Threonine (Thr):
  biosynthesis, 752–753, 753F
  breakdown, 734–736, 734F, 738, 739F
  discovery, 81
  and *O*-linked oligosaccharides, 240
  uncharged polar side chain, 85
Threonine dehydrogenase, 735
D-Threose, 222F
Thrombin, 356, 357
Thromboxanes, 258
ThrRS, 994
Thylakoid, 632, 650F
Thylakoid membrane, **631–632,** 640F, 649
Thymidylate (deoxythymidine monophosphate; dTMP), 818, 819F, 820, 821
Thymidylate synthase, 818, 819F
Thymine (T), 43
  base pairing, 49F, 849F
  and Chargaff's rules, 47
  as deoxynucleotide, 44
  origin, **817–820**
  in pyrimidine catabolism, 827F
  tautomeric forms, 47F
Thymine dimer, 905
Thyroid hormones, 1068
Thyroxine, 93–94, 93F
Tiglyl-CoA, 742F
TIM, *see* Triose phosphate isomerase
TIM barrel, *see* α/β barrel
Time, in rate equations, 363–364
Tissue damage, 726
Tissue factor, 356
Titin, 98, 207, 964
Titration curves, 36–37, 36F, 37F
Tjian, Robert, 1058
T loops, 1081
T lymphocytes (T cells), 212
T_m, *see* Melting temperature, DNA
TMs, *see* Transmembrane proteins
Tn3 transposon, 930
TNBS (trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid), 274, 275F
TnC, 207
TnI, 207
tnpA gene, 930
TnpA transposon, 930
tnpR gene, 930
TnpR transposon, 930
TnT, 207
α-Tocopherol, 257
Tomato bushy stunt virus, 178
Topoisomerases, 842F
  and DNA supercoiling, **842–847**
  inhibitors of, 848
  Type I, 842–845, 845F
  Type IA, 842–844, 843F, 844F
  Type IB, 842, 844, 845, 845F
  Type II, 842, 845–848, 846F, 847F
  Type III, 843–844, 843F
  Type IV, 897
Topologically linked DNA strands, 841
Topology, of β sheet strands, 139, 140, 140F
Torpedo model, 963
Torsion angles, polypeptides, 133, 134, 134F
Tosyl-L-lysine chloromethylketone, 346
Tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethylketone (TPCK), 346, 347F
Totipotent nuclei, 1089
Toxicity, bioavailability and, 393
Toxoplasma gondii, 810, 810F
Toxoplasmosis, 810
Toyoshima, Chikashi, 310, 313
TPCK (tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethylketone), 346, 347F
TPP, *see* Thiamine pyrophosphate
TPP-sensing riboswitch, 1050, 1051F
TψC arm (tRNA), 988
tracrRNA, 926
tra gene, 970–971, 970F
Trans-acting factors, 1063
Transaldolase, 515–517, 517F
Transaminases, 725
Transamination, 551, **725–727**
Trans cisternae, 280
Transcobalamins, 680
Trans conformation, 133, 133F
Transcortin, 406
Transcripotome, 70
Transcription, 52, 52F, 832, **938–979**
  in carcinomas, 474F
  chain elongation, **943–946,** 944F
  eukaryotic, **948–961**
  of human genome, 1037
  inhibitors, 950–951
  initiation of, **942–943, 956–961**
  posttranscriptional control, **1069–1076**
  posttranscriptional processing, **961–978**
  prokaryotic, **939–948**
  promoters, **942–943, 954–956**
  rate of, 945–946
  repressors of, 861–863
  RNA polymerases, **939–941, 949–956**
  termination of, **946–948, 1048–1050**
  transcription factors, **956–961**
Transcriptional activators, **1063–1069**
  insulators, 1066
  JAK-STAT pathway, 1066–1068
  Mediator, 1065–1066
  for nuclear receptors, 1068–1069
  and PIC, 1064–1065
  and signal transduction pathways, 1066
Transcriptional coactivators, 1056–1059
Transcriptional initiation complex model, 1059F

Transcription bubble, 943
Transcription factors, 415
  DNA–protein interactions, **864–867**
  for elongation, 961
  eukaryotic, **956–961**
  Hox genes for, 1094–1095
  leucine zippers, 866–867, 866F
  PIC formation, 957–958, 958F
  promoters without TATA boxes, 960
  TBP, 960–961
  and termination of transcription, 961
  TFIIA and TFIIB, 958–960
Transcriptome, 53, 471
Transcriptomics, 53, 472–474
Trans fats, 249
Transferrin, 571
Transferrin receptors, 571
Transfer RNA, *see* tRNA
Transformations, 51, 51F, 416
Transformylase, 1006
Transgenes, 74–75
Transgenic organisms, 74–75, 74F
Transgenic plants, 75
α(1 → 4) Transglycosylase, 528–529, 529F
Trans Golgi network (TGN), 280
Transimination, 727F
Transition metal ions, 335–336
Transition mutations, 905
Transition state:
  defined, 327
  enzyme preferential binding in, **338–339,** 338F, 350, 352, 352F
Transition state analogs, 339, 344, 344F, 375–376
Transition state diagram, 327–330, 328F, 329F
Transition state theory, **327,** 369
Transition temperature, 261
Transketolase, 515–517, 516F, 652
Translation, **1004–1024**
  chain elongation, **1011–1022**
  chain initiation, **1006–1011**
  chain termination, **1023–1024**
  control of, 1076
  direction of ribosome read, 1005
  initiation, 1009F
  and nucleic acid structure, 832
  and *O*-linked oligosaccharide formation, 553
  posttranslational protein processing, **1024–1029**
  and protein synthesis, 52, 52F, 53F
  ribosomal activities, **1011–1022**
Translocation, 297, 1012, 1017–1021
Translocation systems, 309F
Translocons, 279–280
Transmembrane domains, 301F
Transmembrane helices, 264–266, 264F, **424–426**
Transmembrane proteins (TMs), **276–280**
  α helices in, 264–266
  amphiphilic nature of, 263
  β barrels in, 266–267
  in endoplasmic reticulum, 277–279
  in purple photosynthetic bacteria, 637–638
  translocons as, 279–280
Transmembrane ring, of F_0, 614–615
Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), 174
Transmission coefficient, 369
Transpeptidation, 1012, 1015–1016
Transport cycle, 307
Transporters, 295
Transport proteins, 293, **305–309,** 714
Transport speed, 299
Transposable elements, 929–931
Transposase, 929
Transposition, 64, **929–933**
Transposons, 916, 929–931, 930F, 1040–1042
Transverse diffusion, 260, 260F
Transversion mutations, 905, 907
TRA protein, 970, 971
Trastuzumab (Herceptin), 216, 420
Treadmilling, 210–211, 211F
Trentham, David, 490
Triacylglycerols:
  biosynthesis of, **696–697,** 696F, **700–703**
  catabolism of, 446F
  digestion and absorption of, **665–667**
  function and structure, **248–249,** 664–665
  in liver, 779
  in starvation, 791
  transport, 669F
Triacylglycerol lipase, 665–666, 698
Tribolium castaneum, 903, 903F
Tricarboxylate transport system, 687, 688F
TRiC chaperonin, 172
Triclosan, 716
2,5,8-Trienoyl-CoA, 677F
3,5,8-Trienoyl-CoA, 677F
$\Delta^2,\Delta^4,\Delta^8$-Trienoyl-CoA, 677F
Trifluoroacetic acid, 114, 116
Trigger factor, 169, 1025–1026, 1025F
Triglycerides, *see* Triacylglycerols
Trihexosylceramide, 706
Trimers, 47
Trimethoprim, 821
Trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS), 274, 275F
Trinucleotide repeats, 1040–1041
Trinucleotide repeat diseases, 1040–1041
Trioses, 223
Triose phosphate isomerase (TIM), 153F, **485, 487–488,** 487F
Tripeptides, 84
Triple helix, of collagen, 142–144, 142F, 143F
Triskelions, 283, 283F
Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate, 909
tRNA (transfer RNA), 53, 938, **988–996**
  aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, **990–994**
  cloverleaf secondary structure, 984F, 989F
  codon recognition by, **994–995**
  EF-Tu • tRNA complex, 1018
  identity elements, 992, 992F
  initiator, **1006–1011**
  isoaccepting, 992, 995
  modified bases, 989, 989F
  and mRNA, 1002F
  nonsense suppressor, 1024
  posttranscriptional processing, **977–978**
  proofreading, 993–994
  with ribosome and mRNA, 1001F
  ribosome binding sites, 1001–1002
  stacking interaction stabilization, 853, 853F
  structure, **988–990,** 989F, 992–993
  tertiary structure, 989, 990, 990F
$tRNA^{Ala}$ (alanine tRNA), 988
$tRNA^{Asp}$, 992, 993, 993F
$tRNA_f^{Met}$, 1006
$tRNA^{Gln}$, 992, 992F, 993
$tRNA_i$, 1010
$tRNA^{Ile}$, 993F
$tRNA_m^{Met}$, 1006
$tRNA^{Phe}$, 853, 853F, 977, 977F, 990, 990F
$tRNA^{Pyl}$, 996
$tRNA^{Sec}$, 996
$tRNA^{Tyr}$, 978, 978F
Trombone model, 892, 892F
Tropomodulin, 207
Tropomyosin, 206, 210, 210F
α-Tropomyosin, 969, 969F
Troponin, 207, 207F
Trp, *see* Tryptophan
trpL mRNA, 1049, 1049F
trp operon, 941, 1048–1050, 1048F, 1050F
trp repressor, 862, 863F
Trueblood, Kenneth, 681
Trypsin, 129, 346
  and BPTI, 353–354, 353F
  peptide bond hydrolysis, 372
  polypeptide degradation, 114, 724
  substrate specificity, 348–349, 348F
  trypsinogen activation to, 355, 355F, 356
  X-ray structure, 346–350, 347F
Trypsinogen, 355–357, 355F
Tryptophan (Trp):
  biosynthesis, 755, 755F
  breakdown, **744,** 744F
  genetic code specification, 986
  nonpolar side chain, 84
  and *trp* operon, 1049–1050, 1050F
  UV absorbance spectra, 102F
Tryptophan synthase, 756–757, 756F
TSEs (transmissible spongiform encephalopathies), 174
Tsix gene, 1053
T state:
  glycogen phosphorylase, 389, 389F, 390, 390F
  hemoglobin, 190–192, 196, 197
Tsukihara, Tomitake, 306
Tswett, Mikhail, 103
Tth RNAP holoenzyme, 940, 940F
Tumor necrosis factor-α, 788
Tumor suppressors, **1082–1085**
Turnover number, 368
tus gene, 896
Tus protein, 896, 896F
TVGYG (signature sequence), 299
20S proteasome, 721–723
23S rRNA, 973, 997–999
26S proteasome, 721, 721F, 722F
28S rRNA, 973
Twist, supercoiled DNA, 841, 841F
[2Fe–2S] clusters, 598
Two-center electron transport, photosynthesis, **639–650**
  cytochrome b_6f, 645–646
  plastocyanin, 645–646
  PSI-activated electrons, 648–650
  PSII and PbRC, 641–643
  PSI RC and PSII RC, 646–648
  water-splitting reaction, 643–644
Two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis, 108, 108F
Two-dimensional (2D) nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, 148
Two-step reactions, 328–329, 328F
TxB_2, 258F
Type 1 diabetes mellitus, 793
Type 2 diabetes mellitus, 793–795
Type I glycogen storage disease, 531
Type I restriction endonucleases, 54
Type II restriction endonucleases, 54–56, 55F
Type III restriction endonucleases, 54
Type I topoisomerases, 842–845, 845F
Type IA topoisomerases, 842–844, 843F, 844F
Type IB topoisomerases, 842, 844, 845, 845F
Type II topoisomerases, 842, 845–848, 846F, 847F
Type III topoisomerases, 843–844, 843F
Type IV topoisomerases, 897
Tyramine, 771
Tyrosine (Tyr):
  acid-base catalysis by, 332
  biosynthesis, 755, 755F
  breakdown, **745, 746,** 747F
  in neurotransmitter synthesis, 762–763, 763F
  in phenylalanine breakdown, 471F, 745F
  uncharged polar side chain, 85
  UV absorbance spectra, 102F
Tyrosine kinase-associated receptors, 418
Tyrosyl–tRNA, 1020
TyrRS, 994
TZDs (thiazolidinediones), 795

U, *see* Uracil
U, see Energy
U1-70K, 967
U1-A, 967
U1-C, 967, 968F
U1-snRNA, 966, 967
U2-snRNP, 966, 967
U2-snRNP auxiliary factor (U2AF), 970, 971
U4-snRNP, 966, 967
U5-snRNP, 966, 967
U6-snRNP, 966
Ubiquinone, *see* Coenzyme Q
Ubiquitin, **720–721,** 720F
Ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), 720
Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2), 720
Ubiquitin isopeptidases, 720
Ubiquitin-protein ligase (E3), 720
UCP1, 620, 790
UCP2, 620
UCP3, 620
UDG (uracil-DNA glycosylase), 911–912, 911F
UDP (uridine diphosphate), 510
UDPG (UDP-glucose), 511F, 532
UDP-galactose, 510, 511F
UDP-galactose (uridine diphosphate galactose), 552
UDP-galactose-4-epimerase, 510
UDP-glucose (UDPG), 511F, 532
UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, **532–533,** 533F
Ultracentrifugation, **106–108**
Ultracentrifuge, 109
Umami, 747
UMP (uridine monophosphate), **809–811,** 809F, 827F

Uncharged polar side chains, amino acid, 83T, 85, 85F, 150
Uncompetitive enzyme inhibition, **380–381,** 380T, 381F, 382F
Uncouplers, 620
Uncoupling protein, 620
Underwinding, of DNA circles, 841, 842
Unfavorable processes, 14
Unfolded regions, protein, 164–165
Unimolecular reactions, 362, 363, 363F
Uniport, 309, 309F
UniProt database, 118T, 119F
Units, 12
Unsaturated fatty acids, 247, 247T, **676–678**
Untranslated region (UTR), 1040
Unwin, Nigel, 264, 265
Upstream promoter element, 954
Uracil (U), 43
  base pairing, 849F
  and DNA, 911
  excision, 911, 912
  modified forms of, in tRNA, 989F
  from oxidative deamination, 906F
  as primordial base, 986
  in pyrimidine catabolism, 827F
  as ribonucleotide, 44
Uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG), 911–912, 911F
Urate, 824
Urate oxidase, 825
Urea, 319
  as chaotropic agent, 162
  in urea cycle, 729, 730F, 732
  from uric acid, 825F
Urea cycle, **728–733,** 730F
Urease, 322
Ureido group, 546
β Ureidoisobutyrate, 827F
β Ureidopropionate, 827F
Urey, Harold, 2
Uric acid, 824
  degradation of, **825–826**
  from purine catabolism, **822–825,** 822F, 825F
  and purine ribonucleotide synthesis, 803
  in urea cycle, 729
Uridine, 827F, 974
Uridine diphosphate (UDP), 510
Uridine diphosphate galactose (UDP-galactose), 552
Uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP-glucose), 511F, 532
Uridine monophosphate, *see* UMP
Uridine triphosphate, *see* UTP
Uridylylation, 750, 751
Uridylyl-removing enzyme, 751
Uridylyltransferase, 750, 751
Urobilin, 761, 761F
Urobilinogen, 761, 761F
Urocanate, 737F
Uronic acid, 226
Uroporphyrinogen III, 758, 759F
Uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase, 759
Uroporphyrinogen synthase, 758
Uroporphyrinogen III synthase, 758
UTP (uridine triphosphate), 533F, **811,** 811F
UTR (untranslated region), 1040
U4–U6-snRNP, 966
UV absorbance spectra, 102, 102F, 851, 851F
UvrABC endonuclease, 912
UvrA protein, 912
UvrB protein, 912
UvrC protein, 912
UvrD (helicase II), 912

V (volt), 12
v (velocity of reaction), 362
v_o (initial velocity of reaction), 367, 367F
Vacuoles, 8
Valine (Val):
  biosynthesis, 754–755, 754F
  breakdown, 742–743
  genetic code specification, 985, 987
  nonpolar side chain, 84
Valine aminotransferase, 754F
Valinomycin, 296–297, 296F
ValRS, 994
Vancomycin, 238
Van der Waals distance, 24
Van der Waals forces, 26
Vane, John, 705
Van Leeuwenhoek, Antoni, 203
Van Schaftingen, Emile, 779
Van't Hoff plot, 17
V_M^{app}, 380
Variable arm (tRNA), 989
Variable region, **212–214**
Variants (hemoglobin), 197–198, 197T
Varshavsky, Alexander, 720
Vassylyev, Dmitry, 940
VAST (computer program), 158
v-*ebrB* oncogene, 416
Vectorial protons, 609
Vectors, 67, 72
Velocity of reaction *(v),* 362
Venter, Craig, 62, 63
Very low density lipoproteins (VLDL), 667, 669
Vesicles:
  clathrin-coated, 282–284, 283F, 670, 671
  as first cells, 6
  fusion of, 282F, **284–289,** 285F, 287F
  GLUT4 storage, 782
  intracellular, 280–289
  protein transport by, **280–284**
  secretory, 281F
  synaptic, 285
Vestibules, Cl^- channel, 304
v-*fos* viral gene, 416
V_H (variable region), 214
Viagra (sildenafil), 432
Vibrio cholerae, 431, 472
Vioxx, 392, 395, 705
Viral fusion protein, 287
Virulence, bacterial, 236
Viruses, 7
  adenovirus-2, 964
  baculo-, 67
  fusion protein use by, 286–289
  HIV, 900, 901
  influenza, 286, 288F
  membrane-enveloped, 286
  retro-, 900–901, 933
  Rous sarcoma, 416
  SV40, 842F, 955
  tomato bushy stunt, 178
Virus-induced human cancer, 479
Vitamins, 255–257, **443–445,** 444T
Vitamin A, 75, 257
Vitamin B_1 (thiamine), 499–501
Vitamin B_2 (riboflavin), 463, 463F
Vitamin B_3 (pantothenic acid), 461
Vitamin B_6 (pyridoxine), 526, 725, 725F
Vitamin B_{12} (cobalamin), 679, 680
Vitamin C, 136, 142
Vitamin D, 255–256
Vitamin D_2 (ergocalciferol), 255, 256
Vitamin D_3 (cholecalciferol), 255, 256
Vitamin D intoxication, 256
Vitamin E, 257
Vitamin K, 257
V(D)J joining, 1079
V(D)J recombinase, 1079
v-*jun* viral gene, 416
V_L (variable region), 214
V_λ, 1078
VLDL (very low density lipoproteins), 667, 669
V_{max} (maximal reaction velocity), 367
  from kinetic data, **369–372**
  Lineweaver-Burk plot for, 370–371, 370F
Volt (V), 12
Voltage-gated channels, 300, 301
Voltage gating, in Kv, 301–303
von Euler, Ulf, 258
von Gierke's disease, 530
von Liebig, Justus, 322
Voorhees, Rebecca, 1028
v-*ras* oncogene, 416
v-Ras protein, 416
V_κ segment, 1077, 1078
v-*src* gene, 416
V-type ATPases, 310

W (number of equivalent configurations), 13
w (work), 12, 15
W (writhing number), 841, 841F
Waksman, Gabriel, 886
Walker, John, 613
Wall (of Rpb2), 952
Wallin, Ivan, 10
Wang, Andrew, 835
Wang, James, 842
Warburg, Otto, 453, 479, 561, 796
Water, **23–39**
  activity, 17
  buffers, **36–39**
  chemical properties, **31–39**
  diffusion, **29–31**
  hydrocarbon transfer to nonpolar solvents, 28, 28T
  hydrogen bonds in, 24–25
  hydrophilic substances in, **27**
  hydrophobic effect, **27–29**
  interface of lipids and, 665–667
  ionization, **32–33**
  nitrogen excretion to conserve, 825
  osmosis, **29–31**
  pH, **33–39**
  in photosynthesis, 630, 631, 639–641, 643
  physical properties, **24–31**
  polarity of, **24–27**
  reduction of oxygen to, **607–609**
  rings of water molecules, 25F
  structure of, 24F, 25–26
  transmembrane movement of, **304–305**
Waters of hydration, 27
Water-soluble vitamins, 444–445, 444T
Water-splitting enzyme, 643–644
Watson, Herman, 347
Watson, James, 47, 131, 203, 832, 833, 879, 997
Watson–Crick base pairs, 834F, 849, 886–887
Watson–Crick structure, of DNA, 47–50
WD repeat, 711
Weak acids, 34–36
Weak bases, 35–36
Weintraub, Harold, 1053
Weiss, Samuel, 939
Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome, 500, 501
Western blotting, 107, 859
Wheat germ, 1027F
Wieschaus, Eric, 1090
Wigley, Dale, 920
Wild-type sequences, 74
Wiley, Don, 288
Wilkins, Maurice, 833
Williams, Loren, 1004
Wilson, Keith, 1086, 1087
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, 76
Withers, Stephen, 344
Wobble hypothesis, 995, 995T
Wobble pairs, 995F
Woese, Carl, 9, 1004
Wolfenden, Richard, 338, 1016
Work *(w),* 12, 15
Writhing number, DNA, 841, 841F
Wüthrich, Kurt, 147
Wyman, Jeffries, 196

X-ALD (X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy), 76, 684
Xanthine, 806, 822F, 824
Xanthine oxidase (XO), 824–825, 824F
Xanthomas, 713
Xanthosine, 822F
Xanthosine monophosphate, *see* XMP
X chromosome inactivation, 1053
Xenobiotics, 393
Xenopus borealis, 956
Xenopus laevis, 864
Xeroderma pigmentosum (XP), 913
X-gal, 68
X-inactivation center (XIC), 1053
Xist gene, 1053
X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy (X-ALD), 76, 684
X-linked phosphorlyase kinase deficiency, 531
XMP (xanthosine monophosphate), 806, 806F, 822F
XO (xanthine oxidase), 824–825, 824F
XP (xeroderma pigmentosum), 913
X-ray crystallography:
  enzymes, 340, 340F, 344
  hemoglobin, 186
  protein tertiary structure, **145–147**
X-ray free-electron laser, 644
Xrn1, 1070
Xrn2 (Rat1), 963

Xu5P, *see* Xylulose-5-phosphate
Xylitol, 226
D-Xylose, 222F
D-Xylulose, 223F
Xylulose-5-phosphate (Xu5P):
in Calvin cycle, 653F, 654
in pentose phosphate pathway, 513F, 514, 515, 516F

Y_{O_2} (fractional saturation), 183–184, 189–190
Y723F mutant topoisomerase I, 845F
YACs (yeast artificial chromosomes), 67, 70
YADH (yeast alcohol dehydrogenase), 501
Yalow, Rosalyn, 404
Yamamoto, Keith, 1069
Yamamoto, Masaki, 644
Yanofsky, Charles, 1049, 1050
Yeast, 498F. *See also specific species*
AspRS • $tRNA^{Asp}$, 993F
Complex III, 604F
fermentation, 478, 498–499, 499F
Mediator complex, 1065–1066, 1065F
NHPHA, 1055, 1055F, 1056
Phe–$tRNA^{Phe}$, 1012F
phosphoglycerate kinase, 491F
phosphoglycerate mutase, 492F
preinititiation complex, 960F
RNA polymerase II (RNAP II), 949, 949F, 950
topoisomerase II, 846F
$tRNA^{Phe}$, 853F, 990, 990F
$tRNA^{Tyr}$, 978, 978F
26S proteasome, 722F
Yeast alcohol dehydrogenase (YADH), 501
Yeast artificial chromosomes (YACs), 67, 70
Yeast hexokinase, 482F
Yersinia pestis, 422
-*yl* (suffix), 87
Ylid, 500
Yonath, Ada, 999, 1008
YopH, 422
Yoshikawa, Shinya, 607
Young, William, 478
Yusupov, Marat, 1003
Zalcitabine (2′,3′-dideoxycytidine, ddC), 376
Zα, 835, 837F
Zamecnik, Paul, 996
Zamore, Phillip, 1072
Z disk, 201
Z-DNA, 834–837, 835T, 836F–837F, 839
Zellweger syndrome, 684
Zeroth order reactions, 364
Zidovudine (3′-Azido-3′-deoxythymidine, AZT), 376
Zif268, zinc finger motif, 161F, 864, 864F
Zinc fingers, 161–162, 161F
Cys_2–His_2, 864–866
Cys_6, 865
DNA-binding structures, 865F
in DNA-binding structures, **864–866,** 864F
Zn^{2+} ion, 326, 336, 336F
Zocor, 712F
Zovir (acyclovir), 826
Z-scheme, 641, 641F
Zwitterions, 84
Zymase, 478
Zymogens, **355–357**

APPLICATIONS INDEX

Diet & Nutrition
artificial sweeteners 229
essential amino acids 752
essential fatty acids 695
fructose 509
lactose intolerance 228
pellagra 444
riboflavin 463
scurvy 142
starvation 790
thiamine deficiency 500
threonine 81
trans fats 249
vitamin B_{12} deficiency 680
vitamin D disorders 256

Drugs & Toxins
adrenergic receptor inhibitors 406
anabolic steroids 407
antibiotics and protein synthesis 1020
antibiotics and transcription 950
antifolates 821
arsenic poisoning 568
aspirin 705
bacitracin 555
cardiac glycosides 312
COX-2 inhibitors 705
diabetes drugs 795
drug design 392
drug resistance 314
drug–drug interactions 395
enantiomeric drugs 91
fatty acid synthase inhibitors 693
heparin 234
HIV enzyme inhibitors 376
influenza drugs 375
lead poisoning 758
malaria drugs 391
methanol poisoning 378
nerve poisons 346
oral rehydration therapy 316
penicillin and vancomycin 238
purine derivatives 826
sulfa drugs 740
tetanus and botulinum toxins 286
topoisomerase inhibitors 848
viagra 432

Evolution & Comparative Biochemistry
aerobic metabolism 558
α/β barrel enzymes 488
anaerobic metabolism 443
bacterial electron transport 612
citric acid cycle evolution 582
cytochrome *c* evolution 120
domains of life 9
endosymbiosis 10
fatty acid synthase evolution 689
gene duplication 124
genetic code evolution 986
globin evolution 124
histone conservation 868
HIV drug resistance 377
homeotic genes 1093
human evolution 66
human phylogenetic tree 1042
mutation 905
natural selection 10
nitrogen disposal strategies 825
oxygen-transport proteins 185
parasite nucleotide metabolism 810
photosystem evolution 641, 648
prebiotic evolution 3
protein structure conservation 154
ribosome structure conservation 1004
RNA world 854
serine protease evolution 349
shared genes 1036
sickle-cell anemia and malaria 199
thioester chemistry 460
transposition 931
uracil in DNA 911
vitamin synthesis 445

Health & Disease
ABO blood groups 241
acidosis and alkalosis 38
acute pancreatitis 355
Addison's disease 255
adrenoleukodystrophy 684
aging and telomerase 905
alcaptonuria 746
Angelman syndrome 1063
antibody diversity 1076
atherosclerosis 713
autoimmune diseases 217
blood buffering 38
blood coagulation 356
breast cancer 925
brown adipose tissue 621
bubonic plague 422
cancer 416, 796, 1082
carcinogenesis 907
cholera and pertussis 431
Cockayne syndrome 913
collagen diseases 143
Cushing's disease 255
cystic fibrosis 315
diabetes 792
emphysema 354
erythrocyte enzyme deficiencies 494
Galactosemia 511
Gaucher's disease 707
genetic diseases 65
glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency 518
glycogen storage diseases 530
glyoxylate cycle in pathogens 585
gout 825
growth disorders 407
heart attack and stroke 625
hemoglobin variants 197
hereditary spherocytosis 272
high-altitude adaptation 195
homocysteine as disease marker 740
human splice site variants 969
hyperammonemia 728
hypercholesterolemia 713
I-cell disease 284
jaundice 762
leptin and obesity 789
Lesch–Nyhan syndrome 808
leukemia 420
lipid storage diseases 706
lipoproteins 667
lung surfactant 251
maple syrup urine disease 742
metabolic syndrome 796
microbiome 777
muscle glucose metabolism 502
muscular dystrophy 207
neurodegenerative diseases 624
obesity 792
oncogenes and cancer 416
orotic aciduria 812
pernicious anemia 680
phenylketonuria 746
porphyria 760
Prader–Willi syndrome 1063
protein misfolding diseases 173
retinoblastoma 1085
severe combined immunodeficiency 823
spina bifida and anencephaly 740
sudden infant death syndrome 675
Tangier disease 714
Tay–Sachs disease 706
thermogenesis 507
toxoplasmosis 810
trinucleotide repeat diseases 1040
xeroderma pigmentosum 913
Zellweger syndrome 684

Laboratory & Clinical Tools
clinical trials 393
CRISPR-Cas system 927
DNA fingerprinting 73
expanding the genetic code 996
gastric bypass surgery 796
gene therapy 75
green fluorescent protein 93
kidney dialysis 31
monoclonal antibodies 216
organ transplants 423
polyketide synthesis 694
protein design 167
protein sequencing 112
radioimmunoassay 404
receptor–ligand binding 410
recombinant DNA technology, ethical aspects 75
transaminase assays 726

Some Common Biochemical Abbreviations

A	adenine
aaRS	aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase
ACAT	acyl-CoA:cholesterol acyltransferase
ACP	acyl-carrier protein
ADA	adenosine deaminase
ADP	adenosine diphosphate
AIDS	acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
ALA	δ-aminolevulinic acid
AMP	adenosine monophosphate
ATCase	aspartate transcarbamoylase
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
BChl	bacteriochlorophyll
bp	base pair
BPG	D-2,3-bisphosphoglycerate
BPheo	bacteriopheophytin
BPTI	bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor
C	cytosine
CaM	calmodulin
CAM	crassulacean acid metabolism
cAMP	3',5'-cyclic AMP
CAP	catabolite gene activator protein
CDK	cyclin-dependent protein kinase
cDNA	complementary DNA
CDP	cytidine diphosphate
CE	capillary electrophoresis
Chl	chlorophyll
CM	carboxymethyl
CMP	cytidine monophosphate
CoA or CoASH	coenzyme A
CoQ	coenzyme Q (ubiquinone)
COX	cyclooxygenase
CPS	carbamoyl phosphate synthetase
CTP	cytidine triphosphate
D	dalton
d	deoxy
DAG	1,2-diacylglycerol
DCCD	dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
dd	dideoxy
ddNTP	2′,3′-dideoxynucleoside triphosphate
DEAE	diethylaminoethyl
DHAP	dihydroxyacetone phosphate
DHF	dihydrofolate
DHFR	dihydrofolate reductase
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNP	2,4-dinitrophenol
dNTP	2′-deoxynucleoside triphosphate
E4P	erythrose-4-phosphate
EF	elongation factor
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EM	electron microscopy
emf	electromotive force
ER	endoplasmic reticulum
ESI	electrospray ionization
ETF	electron-transfer flavoprotein
F1P	fructose-1-phosphate
F2,6P	fructose-2,6-bisphosphate
F6P	fructose-6-phosphate
FAD	flavin adenine dinucleotide, oxidized form
FADH·	flavin adenine dinucleotide, radical form
$FADH_2$	flavin adenine dinucleotide, reduced form
FBP	fructose-1,6-bisphosphate
FBPase	fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase
Fd	ferredoxin
FH	familial hypercholesterolemia
fMet	*N*-formylmethionine
FMN	flavin mononucleotide
G	guanine
G1P	glucose-1-phosphate
G6P	glucose-6-phosphate
G6PD	glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase
GABA	γ-aminobutyric acid
Gal	galactose
GalNAc	N-acetylgalactosamine
GAP	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
GAPDH	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GDH	glutamate dehydrogenase
GDP	guanosine diphosphate
Glc	glucose
GlcNAc	*N*-acetylglucosamine
GMP	guanosine monophosphate
GPI	glycosylphosphatidylinositol
GSH	glutathione
GSSH	glutathione disulfide
GTF	general transcription factor
GTP	guanosine triphosphate
Hb	hemoglobin
HDL	high density lipoprotein
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
HMG-CoA	β-hydroxy-β-methylglutaryl-CoA
hnRNA	heterogeneous nuclear RNA
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
Hsp	heat shock protein
HTH	helix–turn–helix
Hyl	5-hydroxylysine
Hyp	4-hydroxyproline
IDL	intermediate density lipoprotein
IF	initiation factor
IgG	immunoglobulin G
IMP	inosine monophosphate
IP_3	inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate
IPTG	isopropylthiogalactoside
IR	infrared
IS	insertion sequence
ISP	iron–sulfur protein
kb	kilobase pair
kD	kilodalton
K_M	Michaelis constant
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
LDL	low density lipoprotein
LHC	light-harvesting complex
Man	mannose

(table continued on following page)

Mb	myoglobin
mRNA	messenger RNA
MS	mass spectrometry
MurNAc	N-acetylmuramic acid
NAD^+	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, oxidized form
NADH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, reduced form
$NADP^+$	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, oxidized form
NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, reduced form
NAG	*N*-acetylglucosamine
NAM	*N*-acetylmuramic acid
NANA	*N*-acetylneuraminic (sialic) acid
NDP	nucleoside diphosphate
NER	nucleotide excision repair
NeuNAc	*N*-acetylneuraminic acid
NMN	nicotanamide mononucleotide
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
nt	nucleotide
NTP	nucleoside triphosphate
OEC	oxygen-evolving center
OMP	orotidine monophosphate
ORF	open reading frame
P or p	phosphate
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PBG	porphobilinogen
PC	plastocyanin
PCNA	proliferating cell nuclear antigen
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PDB	protein data bank
PDBid	PDB identification code
PDI	protein disulfide isomerase
PE	phosphatidylethanolamine
PEP	phosphoenolpyruvate
PEPCK	PEP carboxykinase
PFGE	pulsed-field gel electrophoresis
PFK	phosphofructokinase
2PG	2-phosphoglycerate
3PG	3-phosphoglycerate
PGI	phosphoglucose isomerase
PGK	phosphoglycerate kinase
PGM	phosphoglycerate mutase
Pheo	pheophytin
P_i	orthophosphate
PIC	preinitiation complex
PIP_2	phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate
PK	pyruvate kinase
PKA	protein kinase A
PKB	protein kinase B
PKU	phenylketonuria
PLP	pyridoxal-5′-phosphate
pmf	protonmotive force
PMP	pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate
PNP	purine nucleotide phosphorylase
Pol	DNA polymerase
PP_i	pyrophosphate
PRPP	5-phosphoribosyl-α-pyrophosphate
PS	photosystem
PTK	protein tyrosine kinase
PTP	protein tyrosine phosphatase
Q	ubiquinone (CoQ) or plastoquinone
QH_2	ubiquinol or plastoquinol
r	ribo
R5P	ribose-5-phosphate
RER	rough endoplasmic reticulum
RF	release factor
RFLP	restriction fragment length polymorphism
RIA	radioimmunoassay
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNAi	RNA interference
rRNA	ribosomal RNA
RS	aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase
RT	reverse transcriptase
RTK	receptor tyrosine kinase
Ru5P	ribulose-5-phosphate
RuBP	ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
S	Svedberg unit
S7P	sedoheptulose-7-phosphate
SAM	S-adenosylmethionine
SCID	severe combined immunodeficiency disease
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
siRNA	small interfering RNA
SNAP	soluble NSF attachment protein
SNARE	SNAP receptor
snRNA	small nuclear RNA
snRNP	small nuclear ribonucleoprotein
SOD	superoxide dismutase
SRP	signal recognition particle
SSB	single-strand binding protein
STAT	signal transducer and activator of transcription
T	thymine
TAF	TBP-associated factor
TBP	TATA box–binding protein
TCA	tricarboxylic acid
THF	tetrahydrofolate
TIM	triose phosphate isomerase
TNBS	trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid
TPP	thiamine pyrophosphate
tRNA	transfer RNA
TTP	thymidine triphosphate
U	uracil
UDP	uridine diphosphate
UDPG	uridine diphosphate glucose
UMP	uridine monophosphate
UTP	uridine triphosphate
UV	ultraviolet
VLDL	very low density lipoprotein
V_{max}	maximal velocity
XMP	xanthosine monophosphate
Xu5P	xylulose-5-phosphate
YAC	yeast artificial chromosome
YADH	yeast alcohol dehydrogenase

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

DAVID ICKE

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

DAVID ICKE

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

ickonic
publishing

First published in July 2021.

New Enterprise House
St Helens Street
Derby
DE1 3GY
UK

email: gareth.icke@davidicke.com

Copyright © 2021 David Icke

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission from the Publisher, except for the quotation of brief passages in criticism

Cover Design: Gareth Icke
Book Design: Neil Hague

British Library Cataloguing-in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

eISBN 978-18384153-1-0

PERCEPTIONS OF A RENEGADE MIND

DAVID ICKE

Dedication:

To *Freeeeeedom!*

ICKONIC
THE ALTERNATIVE

NEW. DIFFERENT. REVOLUTIONARY

HUNDREDS OF CUTTING EDGE DOCUMENTARIES,
FEATURE FILMS, SERIES & PODCASTS.

SIGN UP NOW AT ICKONIC.COM

THE LIFE STORY OF DAVID ICKE

RENEGADE

THE FEATURE LENGTH FILM

AVAILABLE NOW AT DAVIDICKE.COM

Renegade:
Adjective
'Having rejected tradition: Unconventional.'
Merriam-Webster Dictionary

Acquiescence to tyranny is the death of the spirit

You may be 38 years old, as I happen to be. And one day, some great opportunity stands before you and calls you to stand up for some great principle, some great issue, some great cause. And you refuse to do it because you are afraid … You refuse to do it because you want to live longer … You're afraid that you will lose your job, or you are afraid that you will be criticised or that you will lose your popularity, or you're afraid that somebody will stab you, or shoot at you or bomb your house; so you refuse to take the stand.

Well, you may go on and live until you are 90, but you're just as dead at 38 as you would be at 90. And the cessation of breathing in your life is but the belated announcement of an earlier death of the spirit.

Martin Luther King

How the few control the many and always have – the many do whatever they're told

'Forward, the Light Brigade!'
Was there a man dismayed?
Not though the soldier knew
Someone had blundered.
Theirs not to make reply,
Theirs not to reason why,
Theirs but to do and die.
Into the valley of Death
Rode the six hundred.

Cannon to right of them,
Cannon to left of them,
Cannon in front of them
Volleyed and thundered;
Stormed at with shot and shell,
Boldly they rode and well,
Into the jaws of Death,
Into the mouth of hell
Rode the six hundred

Alfred Lord Tennyson (1809-1892)

The mist is lifting slowly
I can see the way ahead
And I've left behind the empty streets
That once inspired my life
And the strength of the emotion
Is like thunder in the air
'Cos the promise that we made each other
Haunts me to the end

The secret of your beauty
And the mystery of your soul
I've been searching for in everyone I meet
And the times I've been mistaken
It's impossible to say
And the grass is growing
Underneath our feet

The words that I remember
From my childhood still are true
That there's none so blind
As those who will not see
And to those who lack the courage
And say it's dangerous to try
Well they just don't know
That love eternal will not be denied

I know you're out there somewhere
Somewhere, somewhere
I know you're out there somewhere

Somewhere you can hear my voice
I know I'll find you somehow
Somehow, somehow
I know I'll find you somehow
And somehow I'll return again to you

The Moody Blues

Are you a gutless wonder - or a Renegade Mind?

Monuments put from pen to paper,
Turns me into a gutless wonder,
And if you tolerate this,
Then your children will be next.
Gravity keeps my head down,
Or is it maybe shame ...

Manic Street Preachers

Rise like lions after slumber
In unvanquishable number.
Shake your chains to earth like dew
Which in sleep have fallen on you.
Ye are many – they are few.

Percy Shelley

Contents

CHAPTER 1 'I'm thinking' – Oh, but *are* you?
CHAPTER 2 Renegade perception
CHAPTER 3 The Pushbacker sting
CHAPTER 4 'Covid': The calculated catastrophe
CHAPTER 5 There *is no* 'virus'
CHAPTER 6 Sequence of deceit
CHAPTER 7 War on your mind
CHAPTER 8 'Reframing' insanity
CHAPTER 9 We must have it? So what is it?
CHAPTER 10 Human 2.0
CHAPTER 11 Who controls the Cult?
CHAPTER 12 Escaping Wetiko

POSTSCRIPT
APPENDIX Cowan-Kaufman-Morell Statement on Virus Isolation
BIBLIOGRAPHY
INDEX

CHAPTER ONE

I'm thinking' – Oh, but *are* you?

Think for yourself and let others enjoy the privilege of doing so too
Voltaire

French-born philosopher, mathematician and scientist René Descartes became famous for his statement in Latin in the 17th century which translates into English as: 'I think, therefore I am.'

On the face of it that is true. Thought reflects perception and perception leads to both behaviour and self-identity. In that sense 'we' are what we think. But who or what is doing the thinking and is thinking the only route to perception? Clearly, as we shall see, 'we' are not always the source of 'our' perception, indeed with regard to humanity as a whole this is rarely the case; and thinking is far from the only means of perception. Thought is the village idiot compared with other expressions of consciousness that we all have the potential to access and tap into. This has to be true when we *are* those other expressions of consciousness which are infinite in nature. We have forgotten this, or, more to the point, been manipulated to forget.

These are not just the esoteric musings of the navel. The whole foundation of human control and oppression is control of perception. Once perception is hijacked then so is behaviour which is dictated by perception. Collective perception becomes collective behaviour and collective behaviour is what we call human society. Perception is all and those behind human control know that which is

why perception is the target 24/7 of the psychopathic manipulators that I call the Global Cult. They know that if they dictate perception they will dictate behaviour and collectively dictate the nature of human society. They are further aware that perception is formed from information received and if they control the circulation of information they will to a vast extent direct human behaviour. Censorship of information and opinion has become globally Nazi-like in recent years and never more blatantly than since the illusory 'virus pandemic' was triggered out of China in 2019 and across the world in 2020. Why have billions submitted to house arrest and accepted fascistic societies in a way they would have never believed possible? Those controlling the information spewing from government, mainstream media and Silicon Valley (all controlled by the same Global Cult networks) told them they were in danger from a 'deadly virus' and only by submitting to house arrest and conceding their most basic of freedoms could they and their families be protected. This monumental and provable lie became the *perception* of the billions and therefore the *behaviour* of the billions. In those few words you have the whole structure and modus operandi of human control. Fear is a perception – **F**alse **E**motion **A**ppearing **R**eal – and fear is the currency of control. In short … get them by the balls (or give them the impression that you have) and their hearts and minds will follow. Nothing grips the dangly bits and freezes the rear-end more comprehensively than fear.

World number 1

There are two 'worlds' in what appears to be one 'world' and the prime difference between them is knowledge. First we have the mass of human society in which the population is maintained in coldly-calculated ignorance through control of information and the 'education' (indoctrination) system. That's all you really need to control to enslave billions in a perceptual delusion in which what are perceived to be *their* thoughts and opinions are ever-repeated mantras that the system has been downloading all their lives through 'education', media, science, medicine, politics and academia

in which the personnel and advocates are themselves overwhelmingly the perceptual products of the same repetition. Teachers and academics in general are processed by the same programming machine as everyone else, but unlike the great majority they never leave the 'education' program. It gripped them as students and continues to grip them as programmers of subsequent generations of students. The programmed become the programmers – the programmed programmers. The same can largely be said for scientists, doctors and politicians and not least because as the American writer Upton Sinclair said: 'It is difficult to get a man to understand something when his salary depends upon his not understanding it.' If your career and income depend on thinking the way the system demands then you will – bar a few free-minded exceptions – concede your mind to the Perceptual Mainframe that I call the Postage Stamp Consensus. This is a tiny band of perceived knowledge and possibility 'taught' (downloaded) in the schools and universities, pounded out by the mainstream media and on which all government policy is founded. Try thinking, and especially speaking and acting, outside of the 'box' of consensus and see what that does for your career in the Mainstream Everything which bullies, harasses, intimidates and ridicules the population into compliance. Here we have the simple structure which enslaves most of humanity in a perceptual prison cell for an entire lifetime and I'll go deeper into this process shortly. Most of what humanity is taught as fact is nothing more than programmed belief. American science fiction author Frank Herbert was right when he said: 'Belief can be manipulated. Only knowledge is dangerous.' In the 'Covid' age belief is promoted and knowledge is censored. It was always so, but never to the extreme of today.

World number 2

A 'number 2' is slang for 'doing a poo' and how appropriate that is when this other 'world' is doing just that on humanity every minute of every day. World number 2 is a global network of secret societies and semi-secret groups dictating the direction of society via

governments, corporations and authorities of every kind. I have spent more than 30 years uncovering and exposing this network that I call the Global Cult and knowing its agenda is what has made my books so accurate in predicting current and past events. Secret societies are secret for a reason. They want to keep their hoarded knowledge to themselves and their chosen initiates and to hide it from the population which they seek through ignorance to control and subdue. The whole foundation of the division between World 1 and World 2 is *knowledge*. What number 1 knows number 2 must not. Knowledge they have worked so hard to keep secret includes (a) the agenda to enslave humanity in a centrally-controlled global dictatorship, and (b) the nature of reality and life itself. The latter (b) must be suppressed to allow the former (a) to prevail as I shall be explaining. The way the Cult manipulates and interacts with the population can be likened to a spider's web. The 'spider' sits at the centre in the shadows and imposes its will through the web with each strand represented in World number 2 by a secret society, satanic or semi-secret group, and in World number 1 – the world of the seen – by governments, agencies of government, law enforcement, corporations, the banking system, media conglomerates and Silicon Valley (Fig 1 overleaf). The spider and the web connect and coordinate all these organisations to pursue the same global outcome while the population sees them as individual entities working randomly and independently. At the level of the web governments *are* the banking system *are* the corporations *are* the media *are* Silicon Valley *are* the World Health Organization working from their inner cores as one unit. Apparently unconnected countries, corporations, institutions, organisations and people are on the *same team* pursuing the same global outcome. Strands in the web immediately around the spider are the most secretive and exclusive secret societies and their membership is emphatically restricted to the Cult inner-circle emerging through the generations from particular bloodlines for reasons I will come to. At the core of the core you would get them in a single room. That's how many people are dictating the direction of human society and its transformation

through the 'Covid' hoax and other means. As the web expands out from the spider we meet the secret societies that many people will be aware of – the Freemasons, Knights Templar, Knights of Malta, Opus Dei, the inner sanctum of the Jesuit Order, and such like. Note how many are connected to the Church of Rome and there is a reason for that. The Roman Church was established as a revamp, a rebranding, of the relocated 'Church' of Babylon and the Cult imposing global tyranny today can be tracked back to Babylon and Sumer in what is now Iraq.

Figure 1: The global web through which the few control the many. (Image Neil Hague.)

Inner levels of the web operate in the unseen away from the public eye and then we have what I call the cusp organisations located at the point where the hidden meets the seen. They include a series of satellite organisations answering to a secret society founded in London in the late 19th century called the Round Table and among them are the Royal Institute of International Affairs (UK, founded in 1920); Council on Foreign Relations (US, 1921); Bilderberg Group (worldwide, 1954); Trilateral Commission (US/worldwide, 1972); and the Club of Rome (worldwide, 1968) which was created to exploit environmental concerns to justify the centralisation of global power to 'save the planet'. The Club of Rome instigated with others the human-caused climate change hoax which has led to all the 'green

new deals' demanding that very centralisation of control. Cusp organisations, which include endless 'think tanks' all over the world, are designed to coordinate a single global policy between political and business leaders, intelligence personnel, media organisations and anyone who can influence the direction of policy in their own sphere of operation. Major players and regular attenders will know what is happening – or some of it – while others come and go and are kept overwhelmingly in the dark about the big picture. I refer to these cusp groupings as semi-secret in that they can be publicly identified, but what goes on at the inner-core is kept very much 'in house' even from most of their members and participants through a fiercely-imposed system of compartmentalisation. Only let them know what they need to know to serve your interests and no more. The structure of secret societies serves as a perfect example of this principle. Most Freemasons never get higher than the bottom three levels of 'degree' (degree of knowledge) when there are 33 official degrees of the Scottish Rite. Initiates only qualify for the next higher 'compartment' or degree if those at that level choose to allow them. Knowledge can be carefully assigned only to those considered 'safe'. I went to my local Freemason's lodge a few years ago when they were having an 'open day' to show how cuddly they were and when I chatted to some of them I was astonished at how little the rank and file knew even about the most ubiquitous symbols they use. The mushroom technique – keep them in the dark and feed them bullshit – applies to most people in the web as well as the population as a whole. Sub-divisions of the web mirror in theme and structure transnational corporations which have a headquarters somewhere in the world dictating to all their subsidiaries in different countries. Subsidiaries operate in their methodology and branding to the same centrally-dictated plan and policy in pursuit of particular ends. The Cult web functions in the same way. Each country has its own web as a subsidiary of the global one. They consist of networks of secret societies, semi-secret groups and bloodline families and their job is to impose the will of the spider and the global web in their particular country. Subsidiary networks control and manipulate the national political system, finance, corporations, media, medicine, etc. to

ensure that they follow the globally-dictated Cult agenda. These networks were the means through which the 'Covid' hoax could be played out with almost every country responding in the same way.

The 'Yessir' pyramid

Compartmentalisation is the key to understanding how a tiny few can dictate the lives of billions when combined with a top-down sequence of imposition and acquiescence. The inner core of the Cult sits at the peak of the pyramidal hierarchy of human society (Fig 2 overleaf). It imposes its will – its agenda for the world – on the level immediately below which acquiesces to that imposition. This level then imposes the Cult will on the level below them which acquiesces and imposes on the next level. Very quickly we meet levels in the hierarchy that have no idea there even is a Cult, but the sequence of imposition and acquiescence continues down the pyramid in just the same way. 'I don't know why we are doing this but the order came from "on-high" and so we better just do it.' Alfred Lord Tennyson said of the cannon fodder levels in his poem *The Charge of the Light Brigade*: 'Theirs not to reason why; theirs but to do and die.' The next line says that 'into the valley of death rode the six hundred' and they died because they obeyed without question what their perceived 'superiors' told them to do. In the same way the population capitulated to 'Covid'. The whole hierarchical pyramid functions like this to allow the very few to direct the enormous many. Eventually imposition-acquiescence-imposition-acquiescence comes down to the mass of the population at the foot of the pyramid. If they acquiesce to those levels of the hierarchy imposing on them (governments/law enforcement/doctors/media) a circuit is completed between the population and the handful of super-psychopaths in the Cult inner core at the top of the pyramid. Without a circuit-breaking refusal to obey, the sequence of imposition and acquiescence allows a staggeringly few people to impose their will upon the entirety of humankind. We are looking at the very sequence that has subjugated billions since the start of 2020. Our freedom has not been taken from us. Humanity has given it

away. Fascists do not impose fascism because there are not enough of them. Fascism is imposed by the population acquiescing to fascism. Put another way allowing their perceptions to be programmed to the extent that leads to the population giving their freedom away by giving their perceptions – their mind – away. If this circuit is not broken by humanity ceasing to cooperate with their own enslavement then nothing can change. For that to happen people have to critically think and see through the lies and window dressing and then summon the backbone to act upon what they see. The Cult spends its days working to stop either happening and its methodology is systematic and highly detailed, but it can be overcome and that is what this book is all about.

Figure 2: The simple sequence of imposition and compliance that allows a handful of people at the peak of the pyramid to dictate the lives of billions.

The Life Program

Okay, back to world number 1 or the world of the 'masses'. Observe the process of what we call 'life' and it is a perceptual download from cradle to grave. The Cult has created a global structure in which perception can be programmed and the program continually topped-up with what appears to be constant confirmation that the program is indeed true reality. The important word here is 'appears'.

This is the structure, the fly-trap, the Postage Stamp Consensus or Perceptual Mainframe, which represents that incredibly narrow band of perceived possibility delivered by the 'education' system, mainstream media, science and medicine. From the earliest age the download begins with parents who have themselves succumbed to the very programming their children are about to go through. Most parents don't do this out of malevolence and mostly it is quite the opposite. They do what they believe is best for their children and that is what the program has told them is best. Within three or four years comes the major transition from parental programming to full-blown state (Cult) programming in school, college and university where perceptually-programmed teachers and academics pass on their programming to the next generations. Teachers who resist are soon marginalised and their careers ended while children who resist are called a problem child for whom Ritalin may need to be prescribed. A few years after entering the 'world' children are under the control of authority figures representing the state telling them when they have to be there, when they can leave and when they can speak, eat, even go to the toilet. This is calculated preparation for a lifetime of obeying authority in all its forms. Reflex-action fear of authority is instilled by authority from the start. Children soon learn the carrot and stick consequences of obeying or defying authority which is underpinned daily for the rest of their life. Fortunately I daydreamed through this crap and never obeyed authority simply because it told me to. This approach to my alleged 'betters' continues to this day. There can be consequences of pursuing open-minded freedom in a world of closed-minded conformity. I spent a lot of time in school corridors after being ejected from the classroom for not taking some of it seriously and now I spend a lot of time being ejected from Facebook, YouTube and Twitter. But I can tell you that being true to yourself and not compromising your self-respect is far more exhilarating than bowing to authority for authority's sake. You don't have to be a sheep to the shepherd (authority) and the sheep dog (fear of not obeying authority).

The perceptual download continues throughout the formative years in school, college and university while script-reading 'teachers', 'academics' 'scientists', 'doctors' and 'journalists' insist that ongoing generations must be as programmed as they are. Accept the program or you will not pass your 'exams' which confirm your 'degree' of programming. It is tragic to think that many parents pressure their offspring to work hard at school to download the program and qualify for the next stage at college and university. The late, great, American comedian George Carlin said: 'Here's a bumper sticker I'd like to see: We are proud parents of a child who has resisted his teachers' attempts to break his spirit and bend him to the will of his corporate masters.' Well, the best of luck finding many of those, George. Then comes the moment to leave the formal programming years in academia and enter the 'adult' world of work. There you meet others in your chosen or prescribed arena who went through the same Postage Stamp Consensus program before you did. There is therefore overwhelming agreement between almost everyone on the basic foundations of Postage Stamp reality and the rejection, even contempt, of the few who have a mind of their own and are prepared to use it. This has two major effects. Firstly, the consensus confirms to the programmed that their download is really how things are. I mean, everyone knows that, right? Secondly, the arrogance and ignorance of Postage Stamp adherents ensure that anyone questioning the program will have unpleasant consequences for seeking their own truth and not picking their perceptions from the shelf marked: 'Things you must believe without question and if you don't you're a dangerous lunatic conspiracy theorist and a harebrained nutter'.

Every government, agency and corporation is founded on the same Postage Stamp prison cell and you can see why so many people believe the same thing while calling it their own 'opinion'. Fusion of governments and corporations in pursuit of the same agenda was the definition of fascism described by Italian dictator Benito Mussolini. The pressure to conform to perceptual norms downloaded for a lifetime is incessant and infiltrates society right

down to family groups that become censors and condemners of their own 'black sheep' for not, ironically, being sheep. We have seen an explosion of that in the 'Covid' era. Cult-owned global media unleashes its propaganda all day every day in support of the Postage Stamp and targets with abuse and ridicule anyone in the public eye who won't bend their mind to the will of the tyranny. Any response to this is denied (certainly in my case). They don't want to give a platform to expose official lies. Cult-owned-and-created Internet giants like Facebook, Google, YouTube and Twitter delete you for having an unapproved opinion. Facebook boasts that its AI censors delete 97-percent of 'hate speech' before anyone even reports it. Much of that 'hate speech' will simply be an opinion that Facebook and its masters don't want people to see. Such perceptual oppression is widely known as fascism. Even Facebook executive Benny Thomas, a 'CEO Global Planning Lead', said in comments secretly recorded by investigative journalism operation Project Veritas that Facebook is 'too powerful' and should be broken up:

> I mean, no king in history has been the ruler of two billion people, but Mark Zuckerberg is … And he's 36. That's too much for a 36-year-old ... You should not have power over two billion people. I just think that's wrong.

Thomas said Facebook-owned platforms like Instagram, Oculus, and WhatsApp needed to be separate companies. 'It's too much power when they're all one together'. That's the way the Cult likes it, however. We have an executive of a Cult organisation in Benny Thomas that doesn't know there is a Cult such is the compartmentalisation. Thomas said that Facebook and Google 'are no longer companies, they're countries'. Actually they are more powerful than countries on the basis that if you control information you control perception and control human society.

I love my oppressor

Another expression of this psychological trickery is for those who realise they are being pressured into compliance to eventually

convince themselves to believe the official narratives to protect their self-respect from accepting the truth that they have succumbed to meek and subservient compliance. Such people become some of the most vehement defenders of the system. You can see them everywhere screaming abuse at those who prefer to think for themselves and by doing so reminding the compliers of their own capitulation to conformity. 'You are talking dangerous nonsense you Covidiot!!' Are you trying to convince me or yourself? It is a potent form of Stockholm syndrome which is defined as: 'A psychological condition that occurs when a victim of abuse identifies and attaches, or bonds, positively with their abuser.' An example is hostages bonding and even 'falling in love' with their kidnappers. The syndrome has been observed in domestic violence, abused children, concentration camp inmates, prisoners of war and many and various Satanic cults. These are some traits of Stockholm syndrome listed at goodtherapy.org:

- Positive regard towards perpetrators of abuse or captor [see 'Covid'].
- Failure to cooperate with police and other government authorities when it comes to holding perpetrators of abuse or kidnapping accountable [or in the case of 'Covid' cooperating with the police to enforce and defend their captors' demands].
- Little or no effort to escape [see 'Covid'].
- Belief in the goodness of the perpetrators or kidnappers [see 'Covid'].
- Appeasement of captors. This is a manipulative strategy for maintaining one's safety. As victims get rewarded – perhaps with less abuse or even with life itself – their appeasing behaviours are reinforced [see 'Covid'].
- Learned helplessness. This can be akin to 'if you can't beat 'em, join 'em'. As the victims fail to escape the abuse or captivity, they may start giving up and soon realize it's just easier for everyone if they acquiesce all their power to their captors [see 'Covid'].

- Feelings of pity toward the abusers, believing they are actually victims themselves. Because of this, victims may go on a crusade or mission to 'save' [protect] their abuser [see the venom unleashed on those challenging the official 'Covid' narrative].
- Unwillingness to learn to detach from their perpetrators and heal. In essence, victims may tend to be less loyal to themselves than to their abuser [*definitely* see 'Covid'].

Ponder on those traits and compare them with the behaviour of great swathes of the global population who have defended governments and authorities which have spent every minute destroying their lives and livelihoods and those of their children and grandchildren since early 2020 with fascistic lockdowns, house arrest and employment deletion to 'protect' them from a 'deadly virus' that their abusers' perceptually created to bring about this very outcome. We are looking at mass Stockholm syndrome. All those that agree to concede their freedom will believe those perceptions are originating in their own independent 'mind' when in fact by conceding their reality to Stockholm syndrome they have by definition conceded any independence of mind. Listen to the 'opinions' of the acquiescing masses in this 'Covid' era and what gushes forth is the repetition of the official version of everything delivered unprocessed, unfiltered and unquestioned. The whole programming dynamic works this way. I must be free because I'm told that I am and so I think that I am.

You can see what I mean with the chapter theme of 'I'm thinking – Oh, but *are* you?' The great majority are not thinking, let alone for themselves. They are repeating what authority has told them to believe which allows them to be controlled. Weaving through this mentality is the fear that the 'conspiracy theorists' are right and this again explains the often hysterical abuse that ensues when you dare to contest the official narrative of anything. Denial is the mechanism of hiding from yourself what you don't want to be true. Telling people what they want to hear is easy, but it's an infinitely greater challenge to tell them what they would rather not be happening.

One is akin to pushing against an open door while the other is met with vehement resistance no matter what the scale of evidence. I don't want it to be true so I'll convince myself that it's not. Examples are everywhere from the denial that a partner is cheating despite all the signs to the reflex-action rejection of any idea that world events in which country after country act in exactly the same way are centrally coordinated. To accept the latter is to accept that a force of unspeakable evil is working to destroy your life and the lives of your children with nothing too horrific to achieve that end. Who the heck wants that to be true? But if we don't face reality the end is duly achieved and the consequences are far worse and ongoing than breaking through the walls of denial today with the courage to make a stand against tyranny.

Connect the dots – but how?

A crucial aspect of perceptual programming is to portray a world in which everything is random and almost nothing is connected to anything else. Randomness cannot be coordinated by its very nature and once you perceive events as random the idea they could be connected is waved away as the rantings of the tinfoil-hat brigade. You can't plan and coordinate random you idiot! No, you can't, but you can hide the coldly-calculated and long-planned behind the *illusion* of randomness. A foundation manifestation of the Renegade Mind is to scan reality for patterns that connect the apparently random and turn pixels and dots into pictures. This is the way I work and have done so for more than 30 years. You look for similarities in people, modus operandi and desired outcomes and slowly, then ever quicker, the picture forms. For instance: There would seem to be no connection between the 'Covid pandemic' hoax and the human-caused global-warming hoax and yet they are masks (appropriately) on the same face seeking the same outcome. Those pushing the global warming myth through the Club of Rome and other Cult agencies are driving the lies about 'Covid' – Bill Gates is an obvious one, but they are endless. Why would the same people be involved in both when they are clearly not connected? Oh, but they

are. Common themes with personnel are matched by common goals. The 'solutions' to both 'problems' are centralisation of global power to impose the will of the few on the many to 'save' humanity from 'Covid' and save the planet from an 'existential threat' (we need 'zero Covid' and 'zero carbon emissions'). These, in turn, connect with the 'dot' of globalisation which was coined to describe the centralisation of global power in every area of life through incessant political and corporate expansion, trading blocks and superstates like the European Union. If you are the few and you want to control the many you have to centralise power and decision-making. The more you centralise power the more power the few at the centre will have over the many; and the more that power is centralised the more power those at the centre have to centralise even quicker. The momentum of centralisation gets faster and faster which is exactly the process we have witnessed. In this way the hoaxed 'pandemic' and the fakery of human-caused global warming serve the interests of globalisation and the seizure of global power in the hands of the Cult inner-circle which is behind 'Covid', 'climate change' *and* globalisation. At this point random 'dots' become a clear and obvious picture or pattern.

Klaus Schwab, the classic Bond villain who founded the Cult's Gates-funded World Economic Forum, published a book in 2020, *The Great Reset*, in which he used the 'problem' of 'Covid' to justify a total transformation of human society to 'save' humanity from 'climate change'. Schwab said: 'The pandemic represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world.' What he didn't mention is that the Cult he serves is behind both hoaxes as I show in my book *The Answer*. He and the Cult don't have to reimagine the world. They know precisely what they want and that's why they destroyed human society with 'Covid' to 'build back better' in their grand design. Their job is not to imagine, but to get humanity to imagine and agree with their plans while believing it's all random. It must be pure coincidence that 'The Great Reset' has long been the Cult's code name for the global imposition of fascism and replaced previous code-names of the 'New World

Order' used by Cult frontmen like Father George Bush and the 'New Order of the Ages' which emerged from Freemasonry and much older secret societies. New Order of the Ages appears on the reverse of the Great Seal of the United States as 'Novus ordo seclorum' underneath the Cult symbol used since way back of the pyramid and all seeing-eye (Fig 3). The pyramid is the hierarchy of human control headed by the illuminated eye that symbolises the force behind the Cult which I will expose in later chapters. The term 'Annuit Coeptis' translates as 'He favours our undertaking'. We are told the 'He' is the Christian god, but 'He' is not as I will be explaining.

Figure 3: The all-seeing eye of the Cult 'god' on the Freemason-designed Great Seal of the United States and also on the dollar bill.

Having you on

Two major Cult techniques of perceptual manipulation that relate to all this are what I have called since the 1990s Problem-Reaction-Solution (PRS) and the Totalitarian Tiptoe (TT). They can be uncovered by the inquiring mind with a simple question: Who benefits? The answer usually identifies the perpetrators of a given action or happening through the concept of 'he who most benefits from a crime is the one most likely to have committed it'. The Latin 'Cue bono?' – Who benefits? – is widely attributed to the Roman orator and statesman Marcus Tullius Cicero. No wonder it goes back so far when the concept has been relevant to human behaviour since

history was recorded. Problem-Reaction-Solution is the technique used to manipulate us every day by covertly creating a problem (or the illusion of one) and offering the solution to the problem (or the illusion of one). In the first phase you create the problem and blame someone or something else for why it has happened. This may relate to a financial collapse, terrorist attack, war, global warming or pandemic, anything in fact that will allow you to impose the 'solution' to change society in the way you desire at that time. The 'problem' doesn't have to be real. PRS is manipulation of perception and all you need is the population to believe the problem is real. Human-caused global warming and the 'Covid pandemic' only have to be *perceived* to be real for the population to accept the 'solutions' of authority. I refer to this technique as NO-Problem-Reaction-Solution. Billions did not meekly accept house arrest from early 2020 because there was a real deadly 'Covid pandemic' but because they perceived – believed – that to be the case. The antidote to Problem-Reaction-Solution is to ask who benefits from the proposed solution. Invariably it will be anyone who wants to justify more control through deletion of freedom and centralisation of power and decision-making.

The two world wars were Problem-Reaction-Solutions that transformed and realigned global society. Both were manipulated into being by the Cult as I have detailed in books since the mid-1990s. They dramatically centralised global power, especially World War Two, which led to the United Nations and other global bodies thanks to the overt and covert manipulations of the Rockefeller family and other Cult bloodlines like the Rothschilds. The UN is a stalking horse for full-blown world government that I will come to shortly. The land on which the UN building stands in New York was donated by the Rockefellers and the same Cult family was behind Big Pharma scalpel and drug 'medicine' and the creation of the World Health Organization as part of the UN. They have been stalwarts of the eugenics movement and funded Hitler's race-purity expert' Ernst Rudin. The human-caused global warming hoax has been orchestrated by the Club of Rome through the UN which is

manufacturing both the 'problem' through its Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and imposing the 'solution' through its Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 which demand the total centralisation of global power to 'save the world' from a climate hoax the United Nations is itself perpetrating. What a small world the Cult can be seen to be particularly among the inner circles. The bedfellow of Problem-Reaction-Solution is the Totalitarian Tiptoe which became the Totalitarian Sprint in 2020. The technique is fashioned to hide the carefully-coordinated behind the cover of apparently random events. You start the sequence at 'A' and you know you are heading for 'Z'. You don't want people to know that and each step on the journey is presented as a random happening while all the steps strung together lead in the same direction. The speed may have quickened dramatically in recent times, but you can still see the incremental approach of the Tiptoe in the case of 'Covid' as each new imposition takes us deeper into fascism. Tell people they have to do this or that to get back to 'normal', then this and this and this. With each new demand adding to the ones that went before the population's freedom is deleted until it disappears. The spider wraps its web around the flies more comprehensively with each new diktat. I'll highlight this in more detail when I get to the 'Covid' hoax and how it has been pulled off. Another prime example of the Totalitarian Tiptoe is how the Cult-created European Union went from a 'free-trade zone' to a centralised bureaucratic dictatorship through the Tiptoe of incremental centralisation of power until nations became mere administrative units for Cult-owned dark suits in Brussels.

The antidote to ignorance is knowledge which the Cult seeks vehemently to deny us, but despite the systematic censorship to that end the Renegade Mind can overcome this by vociferously seeking out the facts no matter the impediments put in the way. There is also a method of thinking and perceiving – *knowing* – that doesn't even need names, dates, place-type facts to identify the patterns that reveal the story. I'll get to that in the final chapter. All you need to know about the manipulation of human society and to what end is still out there – *at the time of writing* – in the form of books, videos

and websites for those that really want to breach the walls of programmed perception. To access this knowledge requires the abandonment of the mainstream media as a source of information in the awareness that this is owned and controlled by the Cult and therefore promotes mass perceptions that suit the Cult. Mainstream media lies all day, every day. That is its function and very reason for being. Where it does tell the truth, here and there, is only because the truth and the Cult agenda very occasionally coincide. If you look for fact and insight to the BBC, CNN and virtually all the rest of them you are asking to be conned and perceptually programmed.

Know the outcome and you'll see the journey

Events seem random when you have no idea where the world is being taken. Once you do the random becomes the carefully planned. Know the outcome and you'll see the journey is a phrase I have been using for a long time to give context to daily happenings that appear unconnected. Does a problem, or illusion of a problem, trigger a proposed 'solution' that further drives society in the direction of the outcome? Invariably the answer will be yes and the random – *abracadabra* – becomes the clearly coordinated. So what is this outcome that unlocks the door to a massively expanded understanding of daily events? I will summarise its major aspects – the fine detail is in my other books – and those new to this information will see that the world they thought they were living in is a very different place. The foundation of the Cult agenda is the incessant centralisation of power and all such centralisation is ultimately in pursuit of Cult control on a global level. I have described for a long time the planned world structure of top-down dictatorship as the Hunger Games Society. The term obviously comes from the movie series which portrayed a world in which a few living in military-protected hi-tech luxury were the overlords of a population condemned to abject poverty in isolated 'sectors' that were not allowed to interact. 'Covid' lockdowns and travel bans anyone? The 'Hunger Games' pyramid of structural control has the inner circle of the Cult at the top with pretty much the entire

population at the bottom under their control through dependency for survival on the Cult. The whole structure is planned to be protected and enforced by a military-police state (Fig 4).

Here you have the reason for the global lockdowns of the fake pandemic to coldly destroy independent incomes and livelihoods and make everyone dependent on the 'state' (the Cult that controls the 'states'). I have warned in my books for many years about the plan to introduce a 'guaranteed income' – a barely survivable pittance – designed to impose dependency when employment was destroyed by AI technology and now even more comprehensively at great speed by the 'Covid' scam. Once the pandemic was played and lockdown consequences began to delete independent income the authorities began to talk right on cue about the need for a guaranteed income and a 'Great Reset'. Guaranteed income will be presented as benevolent governments seeking to help a desperate people – desperate as a direct result of actions of the same governments. The truth is that such payments are a trap. You will only get them if you do exactly what the authorities demand including mass vaccination (genetic manipulation). We have seen this theme already in Australia where those dependent on government benefits have them reduced if parents don't agree to have their children vaccinated according to an insane health-destroying government-dictated schedule. Calculated economic collapse applies to governments as well as people. The Cult wants rid of countries through the creation of a world state with countries broken up into regions ruled by a world government and super states like the European Union. Countries must be bankrupted, too, to this end and it's being achieved by the trillions in 'rescue packages' and furlough payments, trillions in lost taxation, and money-no-object spending on 'Covid' including constant all-medium advertising (programming) which has made the media dependent on government for much of its income. The day of reckoning is coming – as planned – for government spending and given that it has been made possible by printing money and not by production/taxation there is inflation on the way that has the

potential to wipe out monetary value. In that case there will be no need for the Cult to steal your money. It just won't be worth anything (see the German Weimar Republic before the Nazis took over). Many have been okay with lockdowns while getting a percentage of their income from so-called furlough payments without having to work. Those payments are dependent, however, on people having at least a theoretical job with a business considered non-essential and ordered to close. As these business go under because they are closed by lockdown after lockdown the furlough stops and it will for everyone eventually. Then what? The 'then what?' is precisely the idea.

Figure 4: The Hunger Games Society structure I have long warned was planned and now the 'Covid' hoax has made it possible. This is the real reason for lockdowns.

Hired hands

Between the Hunger Games Cult elite and the dependent population is planned to be a vicious military-police state (a fusion of the two into one force). This has been in the making for a long time with police looking ever more like the military and carrying weapons to match. The pandemic scam has seen this process accelerate so fast as

lockdown house arrest is brutally enforced by carefully recruited fascist minds and gormless system-servers. The police and military are planned to merge into a centrally-directed world army in a global structure headed by a world government which wouldn't be elected even by the election fixes now in place. The world army is not planned even to be human and instead wars would be fought, primarily against the population, using robot technology controlled by artificial intelligence. I have been warning about this for decades and now militaries around the world are being transformed by this very AI technology. The global regime that I describe is a particular form of fascism known as a technocracy in which decisions are not made by clueless and co-opted politicians but by unelected technocrats – scientists, engineers, technologists and bureaucrats. Cult-owned-and-controlled Silicon Valley giants are examples of technocracy and they already have far more power to direct world events than governments. They are with their censorship *selecting* governments. I know that some are calling the 'Great Reset' a Marxist communist takeover, but fascism and Marxism are different labels for the same tyranny. Tell those who lived in fascist Germany and Stalinist Russia that there was a difference in the way their freedom was deleted and their lives controlled. I could call it a fascist technocracy or a Marxist technocracy and they would be equally accurate. The Hunger Games society with its world government structure would oversee a world army, world central bank and single world cashless currency imposing its will on a microchipped population (Fig 5). Scan its different elements and see how the illusory pandemic is forcing society in this very direction at great speed. Leaders of 23 countries and the World Health Organization (WHO) backed the idea in March, 2021, of a global treaty for 'international cooperation' in 'health emergencies' and nations should 'come together as a global community for peaceful cooperation that extends beyond this crisis'. Cut the Orwellian bullshit and this means another step towards global government. The plan includes a cashless digital money system that I first warned about in 1993. Right at the start of 'Covid' the deeply corrupt Tedros

Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the crooked and merely gofer 'head' of the World Health Organization, said it was possible to catch the 'virus' by touching cash and it was better to use cashless means. The claim was ridiculous nonsense and like the whole 'Covid' mind-trick it was nothing to do with 'health' and everything to do with pushing every aspect of the Cult agenda. As a result of the Tedros lie the use of cash has plummeted. The Cult script involves a single world digital currency that would eventually be technologically embedded in the body. China is a massive global centre for the Cult and if you watch what is happening there you will know what is planned for everywhere. The Chinese government is developing a digital currency which would allow fines to be deducted immediately via AI for anyone caught on camera breaking its fantastic list of laws and the money is going to be programmable with an expiry date to ensure that no one can accrue wealth except the Cult and its operatives.

Figure 5: The structure of global control the Cult has been working towards for so long and this has been enormously advanced by the 'Covid' illusion.

Serfdom is so smart

The Cult plan is far wider, extreme, and more comprehensive than even most conspiracy researchers appreciate and I will come to the true depths of deceit and control in the chapters 'Who controls the

Cult?' and 'Escaping Wetiko'. Even the world that we know is crazy enough. We are being deluged with ever more sophisticated and controlling technology under the heading of 'smart'. We have smart televisions, smart meters, smart cards, smart cars, smart driving, smart roads, smart pills, smart patches, smart watches, smart skin, smart borders, smart pavements, smart streets, smart cities, smart communities, smart environments, smart growth, smart planet ... smart *everything* around us. Smart technologies and methods of operation are designed to interlock to create a global Smart Grid connecting the entirety of human society including human minds to create a centrally-dictated 'hive' mind. 'Smart cities' is code for densely-occupied megacities of total surveillance and control through AI. Ever more destructive frequency communication systems like 5G have been rolled out without any official testing for health and psychological effects (colossal). 5G/6G/7G systems are needed to run the Smart Grid and each one becomes more destructive of body and mind. Deleting independent income is crucial to forcing people into these AI-policed prisons by ending private property ownership (except for the Cult elite). The Cult's Great Reset now openly foresees a global society in which no one will own any possessions and everything will be rented while the Cult would own literally everything under the guise of government and corporations. The aim has been to use the lockdowns to destroy sources of income on a mass scale and when the people are destitute and in unrepayable amounts of debt (problem) Cult assets come forward with the pledge to write-off debt in return for handing over all property and possessions (solution). Everything – literally everything including people – would be connected to the Internet via AI. I was warning years ago about the coming Internet of Things (IoT) in which all devices and technology from your car to your fridge would be plugged into the Internet and controlled by AI. Now we are already there with much more to come. The next stage is the Internet of Everything (IoE) which is planned to include the connection of AI to the human brain and body to replace the human mind with a centrally-controlled AI mind. Instead of perceptions

being manipulated through control of information and censorship those perceptions would come direct from the Cult through AI. What do you think? You think whatever AI decides that you think. In human terms there would be no individual 'think' any longer. Too incredible? The ravings of a lunatic? Not at all. Cult-owned crazies in Silicon Valley have been telling us the plan for years without explaining the real motivation and calculated implications. These include Google executive and 'futurist' Ray Kurzweil who highlights the year 2030 for when this would be underway. He said:

> Our thinking ... will be a hybrid of biological and non-biological thinking ... humans will be able to extend their limitations and 'think in the cloud' ... We're going to put gateways to the cloud in our brains ... We're going to gradually merge and enhance ourselves ... In my view, that's the nature of being human – we transcend our limitations.
>
> As the technology becomes vastly superior to what we are then the small proportion that is still human gets smaller and smaller and smaller until it's just utterly negligible.

The sales-pitch of Kurzweil and Cult-owned Silicon Valley is that this would make us 'super-human' when the real aim is to make us post-human and no longer 'human' in the sense that we have come to know. The entire global population would be connected to AI and become the centrally-controlled 'hive-mind' of externally-delivered perceptions. The Smart Grid being installed to impose the Cult's will on the world is being constructed to allow particular locations – even one location – to control the whole global system. From these prime control centres, which absolutely include China and Israel, anything connected to the Internet would be switched on or off and manipulated at will. Energy systems could be cut, communication via the Internet taken down, computer-controlled driverless autonomous vehicles driven off the road, medical devices switched off, the potential is limitless given how much AI and Internet connections now run human society. We have seen nothing yet if we allow this to continue. Autonomous vehicle makers are working with law enforcement to produce cars designed to automatically pull over if they detect a police or emergency vehicle flashing from up to 100 feet away. At a police stop the car would be unlocked and the

window rolled down automatically. Vehicles would only take you where the computer (the state) allowed. The end of petrol vehicles and speed limiters on all new cars in the UK and EU from 2022 are steps leading to electric computerised transport over which ultimately you have no control. The picture is far bigger even than the Cult global network or web and that will become clear when I get to the nature of the 'spider'. There is a connection between all these happenings and the instigation of DNA-manipulating 'vaccines' (which aren't 'vaccines') justified by the 'Covid' hoax. That connection is the unfolding plan to transform the human body from a biological to a synthetic biological state and this is why synthetic biology is such a fast-emerging discipline of mainstream science. 'Covid vaccines' are infusing self-replicating synthetic genetic material into the cells to cumulatively take us on the Totalitarian Tiptoe from Human 1.0 to the synthetic biological Human 2.0 which will be physically and perceptually attached to the Smart Grid to one hundred percent control every thought, perception and deed. Humanity needs to wake up and *fast*.

This is the barest explanation of where the 'outcome' is planned to go but it's enough to see the journey happening all around us. Those new to this information will already see 'Covid' in a whole new context. I will add much more detail as we go along, but for the minutiae evidence see my mega-works, *The Answer, The Trigger* and *Everything You Need to Know But Have Never Been Told*.

Now – how does a Renegade Mind see the 'world'?

CHAPTER TWO

Renegade Perception

It is one thing to be clever and another to be wise
George R.R. Martin

A simple definition of the difference between a programmed mind and a Renegade Mind would be that one sees only dots while the other connects them to see the picture. Reading reality with accuracy requires the observer to (a) know the planned outcome and (b) realise that everything, but *everything*, is connected.

The entirety of infinite reality is connected – that's its very nature – and with human society an expression of infinite reality the same must apply. Simple cause and effect is a connection. The effect is triggered by the cause and the effect then becomes the cause of another effect. Nothing happens in isolation because it *can't*. Life in whatever reality is simple choice and consequence. We make choices and these lead to consequences. If we don't like the consequences we can make different choices and get different consequences which lead to other choices and consequences. The choice and the consequence are not only connected they are indivisible. You can't have one without the other as an old song goes. A few cannot control the world unless those being controlled allow that to happen – cause and effect, choice and consequence. Control – who has it and who doesn't – is a two-way process, a symbiotic relationship, involving the controller and controlled. 'They took my freedom away!!' Well, yes, but you also gave it to them. Humanity is

subjected to mass control because humanity has acquiesced to that control. This is all cause and effect and literally a case of give and take. In the same way world events of every kind are connected and the Cult works incessantly to sell the illusion of the random and coincidental to maintain the essential (to them) perception of dots that hide the picture. Renegade Minds know this and constantly scan the world for patterns of connection. This is absolutely pivotal in understanding the happenings in the world and without that perspective clarity is impossible. First you know the planned outcome and then you identify the steps on the journey – the day-by-day apparently random which, when connected in relation to the outcome, no longer appear as individual events, but as the proverbial *chain* of events leading in the same direction. I'll give you some examples:

Political puppet show

We are told to believe that politics is 'adversarial' in that different parties with different beliefs engage in an endless tussle for power. There may have been some truth in that up to a point – and only a point – but today divisions between 'different' parties are rhetorical not ideological. Even the rhetorical is fusing into one-speak as the parties eject any remaining free thinkers while others succumb to the ever-gathering intimidation of anyone with the 'wrong' opinion. The Cult is not a new phenomenon and can be traced back thousands of years as my books have documented. Its intergenerational initiates have been manipulating events with increasing effect the more that global power has been centralised. In ancient times the Cult secured control through the system of monarchy in which 'special' bloodlines (of which more later) demanded the right to rule as kings and queens simply by birthright and by vanquishing others who claimed the same birthright. There came a time, however, when people had matured enough to see the unfairness of such tyranny and demanded a say in who governed them. Note the word – *governed* them. Not served them – *governed* them, hence government defined as 'the political direction and control exercised over the

actions of the members, citizens, or inhabitants of communities, societies, and states; direction of the affairs of a state, community, etc.' Governments exercise control over rather than serve just like the monarchies before them. Bizarrely there are still countries like the United Kingdom which are ruled by a monarch *and* a government that officially answers to the monarch. The UK head of state and that of Commonwealth countries such as Canada, Australia and New Zealand is 'selected' by who in a *single family* had unprotected sex with whom and in what order. Pinch me it can't be true. Ouch! Shit, it is. The demise of monarchies in most countries offered a potential vacuum in which some form of free and fair society could arise and the Cult had that base covered. Monarchies had served its interests but they couldn't continue in the face of such widespread opposition and, anyway, replacing a 'royal' dictatorship that people could see with a dictatorship 'of the people' hiding behind the concept of 'democracy' presented far greater manipulative possibilities and ways of hiding coordinated tyranny behind the illusion of 'freedom'.

Democracy is quite wrongly defined as government selected by the population. This is not the case at all. It is government selected by *some* of the population (and then only in theory). This 'some' doesn't even have to be the majority as we have seen so often in first-past-the-post elections in which the so-called majority party wins fewer votes than the 'losing' parties combined. Democracy can give total power to a party in government from a minority of the votes cast. It's a sleight of hand to sell tyranny as freedom. Seventy-four million Trump-supporting Americans didn't vote for the 'Democratic' Party of Joe Biden in the distinctly dodgy election in 2020 and yet far from acknowledging the wishes and feelings of that great percentage of American society the Cult-owned Biden government set out from day one to destroy them and their right to a voice and opinion. Empty shell Biden and his Cult handlers said they were doing this to 'protect democracy'. Such is the level of lunacy and sickness to which politics has descended. Connect the dots and relate them to the desired outcome – a world government run by self-appointed technocrats and no longer even elected

politicians. While operating through its political agents in government the Cult is at the same time encouraging public distain for politicians by putting idiots and incompetents in theoretical power on the road to deleting them. The idea is to instil a public reaction that says of the technocrats: 'Well, they couldn't do any worse than the pathetic politicians.' It's all about controlling perception and Renegade Minds can see through that while programmed minds cannot when they are ignorant of both the planned outcome and the manipulation techniques employed to secure that end. This knowledge can be learned, however, and fast if people choose to get informed.

Politics may at first sight appear very difficult to control from a central point. I mean look at the 'different' parties and how would you be able to oversee them all and their constituent parts? In truth, it's very straightforward because of their structure. We are back to the pyramid of imposition and acquiescence. Organisations are structured in the same way as the system as a whole. Political parties are not open forums of free expression. They are hierarchies. I was a national spokesman for the British Green Party which claimed to be a different kind of politics in which influence and power was devolved; but I can tell you from direct experience – and it's far worse now – that Green parties are run as hierarchies like all the others however much they may try to hide that fact or kid themselves that it's not true. A very few at the top of all political parties are directing policy and personnel. They decide if you are elevated in the party or serve as a government minister and to do that you have to be a yes man or woman. Look at all the maverick political thinkers who never ascended the greasy pole. If you want to progress within the party or reach 'high-office' you need to fall into line and conform. Exceptions to this are rare indeed. Should you want to run for parliament or Congress you have to persuade the local or state level of the party to select you and for that you need to play the game as dictated by the hierarchy. If you secure election and wish to progress within the greater structure you need to go on conforming to what is acceptable to those running the hierarchy

from the peak of the pyramid. Political parties are perceptual gulags and the very fact that there are party 'Whips' appointed to 'whip' politicians into voting the way the hierarchy demands exposes the ridiculous idea that politicians are elected to serve the people they are supposed to represent. Cult operatives and manipulation has long seized control of major parties that have any chance of forming a government and at least most of those that haven't. A new party forms and the Cult goes to work to infiltrate and direct. This has reached such a level today that you see video compilations of 'leaders' of all parties whether Democrats, Republicans, Conservative, Labour and Green parroting the same Cult mantra of 'Build Back Better' and the 'Great Reset' which are straight off the Cult song-sheet to describe the transformation of global society in response to the Cult-instigated hoaxes of the 'Covid pandemic' and human-caused 'climate change'. To see Caroline Lucas, the Green Party MP that I knew when I was in the party in the 1980s, speaking in support of plans proposed by Cult operative Klaus Schwab representing the billionaire global elite is a real head-shaker.

Many parties – one master

The party system is another mind-trick and was instigated to change the nature of the dictatorship by swapping 'royalty' for dark suits that people believed – though now ever less so – represented their interests. Understanding this trick is to realise that a single force (the Cult) controls all parties either directly in terms of the major ones or through manipulation of perception and ideology with others. You don't need to manipulate Green parties to demand your transformation of society in the name of 'climate change' when they are obsessed with the lie that this is essential to 'save the planet'. You just give them a platform and away they go serving your interests while believing they are being environmentally virtuous. America's political structure is a perfect blueprint for how the two or multi-party system is really a one-party state. The Republican Party is controlled from one step back in the shadows by a group made up of billionaires and their gofers known as neoconservatives or Neocons.

I have exposed them in fine detail in my books and they were the driving force behind the policies of the imbecilic presidency of Boy George Bush which included 9/11 (see *The Trigger* for a comprehensive demolition of the official story), the subsequent 'war on terror' (war *of* terror) and the invasions of Afghanistan and Iraq. The latter was a No-Problem-Reaction-Solution based on claims by Cult operatives, including Bush and British Prime Minister Tony Blair, about Saddam Hussein's 'weapons of mass destruction' which did not exist as war criminals Bush and Blair well knew.

Figure 6: Different front people, different parties – same control system.

The Democratic Party has its own 'Neocon' group controlling from the background which I call the 'Democons' and here's the penny-drop – the Neocons and Democons answer to the same masters one step further back into the shadows (Fig 6). At that level of the Cult the Republican and Democrat parties are controlled by the same people and no matter which is in power the Cult is in power. This is how it works in almost every country and certainly in Britain with Conservative, Labour, Liberal Democrat and Green parties now all on the same page whatever the rhetoric may be in their feeble attempts to appear different. Neocons operated at the time of Bush through a think tank called The Project for the New American Century which in September, 2000, published a document entitled *Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategies, Forces, and Resources*

For a New Century demanding that America fight 'multiple, simultaneous major theatre wars' as a 'core mission' to force regime-change in countries including Iraq, Libya and Syria. Neocons arranged for Bush ('Republican') and Blair ('Labour Party') to front-up the invasion of Iraq and when they departed the Democons orchestrated the targeting of Libya and Syria through Barack Obama ('Democrat') and British Prime Minister David Cameron ('Conservative Party'). We have 'different' parties and 'different' people, but the same unfolding script. The more the Cult has seized the reigns of parties and personnel the more their policies have transparently pursued the same agenda to the point where the fascist 'Covid' impositions of the Conservative junta of Jackboot Johnson in Britain were opposed by the Labour Party because they were not fascist enough. The Labour Party is likened to the US Democrats while the Conservative Party is akin to a British version of the Republicans and on both sides of the Atlantic they all speak the same language and support the direction demanded by the Cult although some more enthusiastically than others. It's a similar story in country after country because it's all centrally controlled. Oh, but what about Trump? I'll come to him shortly. Political 'choice' in the 'party' system goes like this: You vote for Party A and they get into government. You don't like what they do so next time you vote for Party B and they get into government. You don't like what they do when it's pretty much the same as Party A and why wouldn't that be with both controlled by the same force? Given that only two, sometimes three, parties have any chance of forming a government to get rid of Party B that you don't like you have to vote again for Party A which … you don't like. This, ladies and gentlemen, is what they call 'democracy' which we are told – wrongly – is a term interchangeable with 'freedom'.

The cult of cults

At this point I need to introduce a major expression of the Global Cult known as Sabbatian-Frankism. Sabbatian is also spelt as Sabbatean. I will summarise here. I have published major exposés

and detailed background in other works. Sabbatian-Frankism combines the names of two frauds posing as 'Jewish' men, Sabbatai Zevi (1626-1676), a rabbi, black magician and occultist who proclaimed he was the Jewish messiah; and Jacob Frank (1726-1791), the Polish 'Jew', black magician and occultist who said he was the reincarnation of 'messiah' Zevi and biblical patriarch Jacob. They worked across two centuries to establish the Sabbatian-Frankist cult that plays a major, indeed central, role in the manipulation of human society by the Global Cult which has its origins much further back in history than Sabbatai Zevi. I should emphasise two points here in response to the shrill voices that will scream 'anti-Semitism': (1) Sabbatian-Frankists are NOT Jewish and only pose as such to hide their cult behind a Jewish façade; and (2) my information about this cult has come from Jewish sources who have long realised that their society and community has been infiltrated and taken over by interloper Sabbatian-Frankists. Infiltration has been the foundation technique of Sabbatian-Frankism from its official origin in the 17th century. Zevi's Sabbatian sect attracted a massive following described as the biggest messianic movement in Jewish history, spreading as far as Africa and Asia, and he promised a return for the Jews to the 'Promised Land' of Israel. Sabbatianism was not Judaism but an inversion of everything that mainstream Judaism stood for. So much so that this sinister cult would have a feast day when Judaism had a fast day and whatever was forbidden in Judaism the Sabbatians were encouraged and even commanded to do. This included incest and what would be today called Satanism. Members were forbidden to marry outside the sect and there was a system of keeping their children ignorant of what they were part of until they were old enough to be trusted not to unknowingly reveal anything to outsiders. The same system is employed to this day by the Global Cult in general which Sabbatian-Frankism has enormously influenced and now largely controls.

Zevi and his Sabbatians suffered a setback with the intervention by the Sultan of the Islamic Ottoman Empire in the Middle East and what is now the Republic of Turkey where Zevi was located. The

Sultan gave him the choice of proving his 'divinity', converting to Islam or facing torture and death. Funnily enough Zevi chose to convert or at least appear to. Some of his supporters were disillusioned and drifted away, but many did not with 300 families also converting – only in theory – to Islam. They continued behind this Islamic smokescreen to follow the goals, rules and rituals of Sabbatianism and became known as 'crypto-Jews' or the 'Dönmeh' which means 'to turn'. This is rather ironic because they didn't 'turn' and instead hid behind a fake Islamic persona. The process of appearing to be one thing while being very much another would become the calling card of Sabbatianism especially after Zevi's death and the arrival of the Satanist Jacob Frank in the 18th century when the cult became Sabbatian-Frankism and plumbed still new depths of depravity and infiltration which included – still includes – human sacrifice and sex with children. Wherever Sabbatians go paedophilia and Satanism follow and is it really a surprise that Hollywood is so infested with child abuse and Satanism when it was established by Sabbatian-Frankists and is still controlled by them? Hollywood has been one of the prime vehicles for global perceptual programming and manipulation. How many believe the version of 'history' portrayed in movies when it is a travesty and inversion (again) of the truth? Rabbi Marvin Antelman describes Frankism in his book, *To Eliminate the Opiate*, as 'a movement of complete evil' while Jewish professor Gershom Scholem said of Frank in *The Messianic Idea in Judaism*: 'In all his actions [he was] a truly corrupt and degenerate individual ... one of the most frightening phenomena in the whole of Jewish history.' Frank was excommunicated by traditional rabbis, as was Zevi, but Frank was undeterred and enjoyed vital support from the House of Rothschild, the infamous banking dynasty whose inner-core are Sabbatian-Frankists and not Jews. Infiltration of the Roman Church and Vatican was instigated by Frank with many Dönmeh 'turning' again to convert to Roman Catholicism with a view to hijacking the reins of power. This was the ever-repeating modus operandi and continues to be so. Pose as an advocate of the religion, culture or country that you want to control and then

manipulate your people into the positions of authority and influence largely as advisers, administrators and Svengalis for those that appear to be in power. They did this with Judaism, Christianity (Christian Zionism is part of this), Islam and other religions and nations until Sabbatian-Frankism spanned the world as it does today.

Sabbatian Saudis and the terror network

One expression of the Sabbatian-Frankist Dönmeh within Islam is the ruling family of Saudi Arabia, the House of Saud, through which came the vile distortion of Islam known as Wahhabism. This is the violent creed followed by terrorist groups like Al-Qaeda and ISIS or Islamic State. Wahhabism is the hand-chopping, head-chopping 'religion' of Saudi Arabia which is used to keep the people in a constant state of fear so the interloper House of Saud can continue to rule. Al-Qaeda and Islamic State were lavishly funded by the House of Saud while being created and directed by the Sabbatian-Frankist network in the United States that operates through the Pentagon, CIA and the government in general of whichever 'party'. The front man for the establishment of Wahhabism in the middle of the 18th century was a Sabbatian-Frankist 'crypto-Jew' posing as Islamic called Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab. His daughter would marry the son of Muhammad bin Saud who established the first Saudi state before his death in 1765 with support from the British Empire. Bin Saud's successors would establish modern Saudi Arabia in league with the British and Americans in 1932 which allowed them to seize control of Islam's major shrines in Mecca and Medina. They have dictated the direction of Sunni Islam ever since while Iran is the major centre of the Shiite version and here we have the source of at least the public conflict between them. The Sabbatian network has used its Wahhabi extremists to carry out Problem-Reaction-Solution terrorist attacks in the name of 'Al-Qaeda' and 'Islamic State' to justify a devastating 'war on terror', ever-increasing surveillance of the population and to terrify people into compliance. Another insight of the Renegade Mind is the streetwise understanding that

just because a country, location or people are attacked doesn't mean that those apparently representing that country, location or people are not behind the attackers. Often they are *orchestrating* the attacks because of the societal changes that can be then justified in the name of 'saving the population from terrorists'.

I show in great detail in *The Trigger* how Sabbatian-Frankists were the real perpetrators of 9/11 and not '19 Arab hijackers' who were blamed for what happened. Observe what was justified in the name of 9/11 alone in terms of Middle East invasions, mass surveillance and control that fulfilled the demands of the Project for the New American Century document published by the Sabbatian Neocons. What appear to be enemies are on the deep inside players on the same Sabbatian team. Israel and Arab 'royal' dictatorships are all ruled by Sabbatians and the recent peace agreements between Israel and Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and others are only making formal what has always been the case behind the scenes. Palestinians who have been subjected to grotesque tyranny since Israel was bombed and terrorised into existence in 1948 have never stood a chance. Sabbatian-Frankists have controlled Israel (so the constant theme of violence and war which Sabbatians love) and they have controlled the Arab countries that Palestinians have looked to for real support that never comes. 'Royal families' of the Arab world in Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, UAE, etc., are all Sabbatians with allegiance to the aims of the cult and not what is best for their Arabic populations. They have stolen the oil and financial resources from their people by false claims to be 'royal dynasties' with a genetic right to rule and by employing vicious militaries to impose their will.

Satanic 'illumination'

The Satanist Jacob Frank formed an alliance in 1773 with two other Sabbatians, Mayer Amschel Rothschild (1744-1812), founder of the Rothschild banking dynasty, and Jesuit-educated fraudulent Jew, Adam Weishaupt, and this led to the formation of the Bavarian Illuminati, firstly under another name, in 1776. The Illuminati would

be the manipulating force behind the French Revolution (1789-1799) and was also involved in the American Revolution (1775-1783) before and after the Illuminati's official creation. Weishaupt would later become (in public) a Protestant Christian in archetypal Sabbatian style. I read that his name can be decoded as Adam-Weishaupt or 'the first man to lead those who know'. He wasn't a leader in the sense that he was a subordinate, but he did lead those below him in a crusade of transforming human society that still continues today. The theme was confirmed as early as 1785 when a horseman courier called Lanz was reported to be struck by lighting and extensive Illuminati documents were found in his saddlebags. They made the link to Weishaupt and detailed the plan for world takeover. Current events with 'Covid' fascism have been in the making for a very long time. Jacob Frank was jailed for 13 years by the Catholic Inquisition after his arrest in 1760 and on his release he headed for Frankfurt, Germany, home city and headquarters of the House of Rothschild where the alliance was struck with Mayer Amschel Rothschild and Weishaupt. Rothschild arranged for Frank to be given the title of Baron and he became a wealthy nobleman with a big following of Jews in Germany, the Austro-Hungarian Empire and other European countries. Most of them would have believed he was on their side.

The name 'Illuminati' came from the Zohar which is a body of works in the Jewish mystical 'bible' called the Kabbalah. 'Zohar' is the foundation of Sabbatian-Frankist belief and in Hebrew 'Zohar' means 'splendour', 'radiance', 'illuminated', and so we have 'Illuminati'. They claim to be the 'Illuminated Ones' from their knowledge systematically hidden from the human population and passed on through generations of carefully-chosen initiates in the global secret society network or Cult. Hidden knowledge includes an awareness of the Cult agenda for the world and the nature of our collective reality that I will explore later. Cult 'illumination' is symbolised by the torch held by the Statue of Liberty which was gifted to New York by French Freemasons in Paris who knew exactly what it represents. 'Liberty' symbolises the goddess worshipped in

Babylon as Queen Semiramis or Ishtar. The significance of this will become clear. Notice again the ubiquitous theme of inversion with the Statue of 'Liberty' really symbolising mass control (Fig 7). A mirror-image statute stands on an island in the River Seine in Paris from where New York Liberty originated (Fig 8). A large replica of the Liberty flame stands on top of the Pont de l'Alma tunnel in Paris where Princess Diana died in a Cult ritual described in *The Biggest Secret*. Lucifer 'the light bringer' is related to all this (and much more as we'll see) and 'Lucifer' is a central figure in Sabbatian-Frankism and its associated Satanism. Sabbatians reject the Jewish Torah, or Pentateuch, the 'five books of Moses' in the Old Testament known as Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, and Deuteronomy which are claimed by Judaism and Christianity to have been dictated by 'God' to Moses on Mount Sinai. Sabbatians say these do not apply to them and they seek to replace them with the Zohar to absorb Judaism and its followers into their inversion which is an expression of a much greater global inversion. They want to delete all religions and force humanity to worship a one-world religion – Sabbatian Satanism that also includes worship of the Earth goddess. Satanic themes are being more and more introduced into mainstream society and while Christianity is currently the foremost target for destruction the others are planned to follow.

Figure 7: The Cult goddess of Babylon disguised as the Statue of Liberty holding the flame of Lucifer the 'light bringer'.

Figure 8: Liberty's mirror image in Paris where the New York version originated.

Marx brothers

Rabbi Marvin Antelman connects the Illuminati to the Jacobins in *To Eliminate the Opiate* and Jacobins were the force behind the French Revolution. He links both to the Bund der Gerechten, or League of the Just, which was the network that inflicted communism/Marxism on the world. Antelman wrote:

> The original inner circle of the Bund der Gerechten consisted of born Catholics, Protestants and Jews [Sabbatian-Frankist infiltrators], and those representatives of respective subdivisions formulated schemes for the ultimate destruction of their faiths. The heretical Catholics laid plans which they felt would take a century or more for the ultimate destruction of the church; the apostate Jews for the ultimate destruction of the Jewish religion.

Sabbatian-created communism connects into this anti-religion agenda in that communism does not allow for the free practice of religion. The Sabbatian 'Bund' became the International Communist Party and Communist League and in 1848 'Marxism' was born with the Communist Manifesto of Sabbatian assets Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels. It is absolutely no coincidence that Marxism, just a different name for fascist and other centrally-controlled tyrannies, is being imposed worldwide as a result of the 'Covid' hoax and nor that Marxist/fascist China was the place where the hoax originated. The reason for this will become very clear in the chapter 'Covid: The calculated catastrophe'. The so-called 'Woke' mentality has hijacked

traditional beliefs of the political left and replaced them with far-right make-believe 'social justice' better known as Marxism. Woke will, however, be swallowed by its own perceived 'revolution' which is really the work of billionaires and billionaire corporations feigning being 'Woke'. Marxism is being touted by Wokers as a replacement for 'capitalism' when we don't have 'capitalism'. We have cartelism in which the market is stitched up by the very Cult billionaires and corporations bankrolling Woke. Billionaires love Marxism which keeps the people in servitude while they control from the top. Terminally naïve Wokers think they are 'changing the world' when it's the Cult that is doing the changing and when they have played their vital part and become surplus to requirements they, too, will be targeted. The Illuminati-Jacobins were behind the period known as 'The Terror' in the French Revolution in 1793 and 1794 when Jacobin Maximillian de Robespierre and his Orwellian 'Committee of Public Safety' killed 17,000 'enemies of the Revolution' who had once been 'friends of the Revolution'. Karl Marx (1818-1883), whose Sabbatian creed of Marxism has cost the lives of at least 100 million people, is a hero once again to Wokers who have been systematically kept ignorant of real history by their 'education' programming. As a result they now promote a Sabbatian 'Marxist' abomination destined at some point to consume them. Rabbi Antelman, who spent decades researching the Sabbatian plot, said of the League of the Just and Karl Marx:

> Contrary to popular opinion Karl Marx did not originate the Communist Manifesto. He was paid for his services by the League of the Just, which was known in its country of origin, Germany, as the Bund der Geaechteten.

Antelman said the text attributed to Marx was the work of other people and Marx 'was only repeating what others already said'. Marx was 'a hired hack – lackey of the wealthy Illuminists'. Marx famously said that religion was the 'opium of the people' (part of the Sabbatian plan to demonise religion) and Antelman called his books, *To Eliminate the Opiate*. Marx was born Jewish, but his family converted to Christianity (Sabbatian modus operandi) and he

attacked Jews, not least in his book, *A World Without Jews*. In doing so he supported the Sabbatian plan to destroy traditional Jewishness and Judaism which we are clearly seeing today with the vindictive targeting of orthodox Jews by the Sabbatian government of Israel over 'Covid' laws. I don't follow any religion and it has done much damage to the world over centuries and acted as a perceptual straightjacket. Renegade Minds, however, are always asking *why* something is being done. It doesn't matter if they agree or disagree with what is happening – *why* is it happening is the question. The 'why?' can be answered with regard to religion in that religions create interacting communities of believers when the Cult wants to dismantle all discourse, unity and interaction (see 'Covid' lockdowns) and the ultimate goal is to delete all religions for a one-world religion of Cult Satanism worshipping their 'god' of which more later. We see the same 'why?' with gun control in America. I don't have guns and don't want them, but why is the Cult seeking to disarm the population at the same time that law enforcement agencies are armed to their molars and why has every tyrant in history sought to disarm people before launching the final takeover? They include Hitler, Stalin, Pol Pot and Mao who followed confiscation with violent seizing of power. You know it's a Cult agenda by the people who immediately race to the microphones to exploit dead people in multiple shootings. Ultra-Zionist Cult lackey Senator Chuck Schumer was straight on the case after ten people were killed in Boulder, Colorado in March, 2121. Simple rule … if Schumer wants it the Cult wants it and the same with his ultra-Zionist mate the wild-eyed Senator Adam Schiff. At the same time they were calling for the disarmament of Americans, many of whom live a long way from a police response, Schumer, Schiff and the rest of these pampered clowns were sitting on Capitol Hill behind a razor-wired security fence protected by thousands of armed troops in addition to their own armed bodyguards. Mom and pop in an isolated home? They're just potential mass shooters.

Zion Mainframe

Sabbatian-Frankists and most importantly the Rothschilds were behind the creation of 'Zionism', a political movement that demanded a Jewish homeland in Israel as promised by Sabbatai Zevi. The very symbol of Israel comes from the German meaning of the name Rothschild. Dynasty founder Mayer Amschel Rothschild changed the family name from Bauer to Rothschild, or 'Red-Shield' in German, in deference to the six-pointed 'Star of David' hexagram displayed on the family's home in Frankfurt. The symbol later appeared on the flag of Israel after the Rothschilds were centrally involved in its creation. Hexagrams are not a uniquely Jewish symbol and are widely used in occult ('hidden') networks often as a symbol for Saturn (see my other books for why). Neither are Zionism and Jewishness interchangeable. Zionism is a political movement and philosophy and not a 'race' or a people. Many Jews oppose Zionism and many non-Jews, including US President Joe Biden, call themselves Zionists as does Israel-centric Donald Trump. America's support for the Israel government is pretty much a gimme with ultra-Zionist billionaires and corporations providing fantastic and dominant funding for both political parties. Former Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney has told how she was approached immediately she ran for office to 'sign the pledge' to Israel and confirm that she would always vote in that country's best interests. All American politicians are approached in this way. Anyone who refuses will get no support or funding from the enormous and all-powerful Zionist lobby that includes organisations like mega-lobby group AIPAC, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee. Trump's biggest funder was ultra-Zionist casino and media billionaire Sheldon Adelson while major funders of the Democratic Party include ultra-Zionist George Soros and ultra-Zionist financial and media mogul, Haim Saban. Some may reel back at the suggestion that Soros is an Israel-firster (Sabbatian-controlled Israel-firster), but Renegade Minds watch the actions not the words and everywhere Soros donates his billions the Sabbatian agenda benefits. In the spirit of Sabbatian inversion Soros pledged $1 billion for a new university network to promote 'liberal values and tackle intolerance'. He made the announcement during his annual speech

at the Cult-owned World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, in January, 2020, after his 'harsh criticism' of 'authoritarian rulers' around the world. You can only laugh at such brazen mendacity. How *he* doesn't laugh is the mystery. Translated from the Orwellian 'liberal values and tackle intolerance' means teaching non-white people to hate white people and for white people to loathe themselves for being born white. The reason for that will become clear.

The 'Anti-Semitism' fraud

Zionists support the Jewish homeland in the land of Palestine which has been the Sabbatian-Rothschild goal for so long, but not for the benefit of Jews. Sabbatians and their global Anti-Semitism Industry have skewed public and political opinion to equate opposing the violent extremes of Zionism to be a blanket attack and condemnation of all Jewish people. Sabbatians and their global Anti-Semitism Industry have skewed public and political opinion to equate opposing the violent extremes of Zionism to be a blanket attack and condemnation of all Jewish people. This is nothing more than a Sabbatian protection racket to stop legitimate investigation and exposure of their agendas and activities. The official definition of 'anti-Semitism' has more recently been expanded to include criticism of Zionism – a *political movement* – and this was done to further stop exposure of Sabbatian infiltrators who created Zionism as we know it today in the 19th century. Renegade Minds will talk about these subjects when they know the shit that will come their way. People must decide if they want to know the truth or just cower in the corner in fear of what others will say. Sabbatians have been trying to label me as 'anti-Semitic' since the 1990s as I have uncovered more and more about their background and agendas. Useless, gutless, fraudulent 'journalists' then just repeat the smears without question and on the day I was writing this section a pair of unquestioning repeaters called Ben Quinn and Archie Bland (how appropriate) outright called me an 'anti-Semite' in the establishment propaganda sheet, the London *Guardian*, with no supporting evidence. The

Sabbatian Anti-Semitism Industry said so and who are they to question that? They wouldn't dare. Ironically 'Semitic' refers to a group of languages in the Middle East that are almost entirely Arabic. 'Anti-Semitism' becomes 'anti-Arab' which if the consequences of this misunderstanding were not so grave would be hilarious. Don't bother telling Quinn and Bland. I don't want to confuse them, bless 'em. One reason I am dubbed 'anti-Semitic' is that I wrote in the 1990s that Jewish operatives (Sabbatians) were heavily involved in the Russian Revolution when Sabbatians overthrew the Romanov dynasty. This apparently made me 'anti-Semitic'. Oh, really? Here is a section from *The Trigger*:

British journalist Robert Wilton confirmed these themes in his 1920 book *The Last Days of the Romanovs* when he studied official documents from the Russian government to identify the members of the Bolshevik ruling elite between 1917 and 1919. The Central Committee included 41 Jews among 62 members; the Council of the People's Commissars had 17 Jews out of 22 members; and 458 of the 556 most important Bolshevik positions between 1918 and 1919 were occupied by Jewish people. Only 17 were Russian. Then there were the 23 Jews among the 36 members of the vicious Cheka Soviet secret police established in 1917 who would soon appear all across the country.

Professor Robert Service of Oxford University, an expert on 20th century Russian history, found evidence that ['Jewish'] Leon Trotsky had sought to make sure that Jews were enrolled in the Red Army and were disproportionately represented in the Soviet civil bureaucracy that included the Cheka which performed mass arrests, imprisonment and executions of 'enemies of the people'. A US State Department Decimal File (861.00/5339) dated November 13th, 1918, names [Rothschild banking agent in America] Jacob Schiff and a list of ultra-Zionists as funders of the Russian Revolution leading to claims of a 'Jewish plot', but the key point missed by all is they were not 'Jews' – they were Sabbatian-Frankists.

Britain's Winston Churchill made the same error by mistake or otherwise. He wrote in a 1920 edition of the *Illustrated Sunday Herald* that those behind the Russian revolution were part of a 'worldwide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilisation and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality' (see 'Woke' today because that has been created by the same network). Churchill said there was no need to exaggerate the part played in the creation of Bolshevism and in the actual bringing about of the Russian

Revolution 'by these international and for the most part atheistical Jews' ['atheistical Jews' = Sabbatians]. Churchill said it is certainly a very great one and probably outweighs all others: 'With the notable exception of Lenin, the majority of the leading figures are Jews.' He went on to describe, knowingly or not, the Sabbatian modus operandi of placing puppet leaders nominally in power while they control from the background:

> Moreover, the principal inspiration and driving power comes from the Jewish leaders. Thus Tchitcherin, a pure Russian, is eclipsed by his nominal subordinate, Litvinoff, and the influence of Russians like Bukharin or Lunacharski cannot be compared with the power of Trotsky, or of Zinovieff, the Dictator of the Red Citadel (Petrograd), or of Krassin or Radek – all Jews. In the Soviet institutions the predominance of Jews is even more astonishing. And the prominent, if not indeed the principal, part in the system of terrorism applied by the Extraordinary Commissions for Combatting Counter-Revolution has been taken by Jews, and in some notable cases by Jewesses.

What I said about seriously disproportionate involvement in the Russian Revolution by Jewish 'revolutionaries' (Sabbatians) is provable fact, but truth is no defence against the Sabbatian Anti-Semitism Industry, its repeater parrots like Quinn and Bland, and the now breathtaking network of so-called 'Woke' 'anti-hate' groups with interlocking leaderships and funding which have the role of discrediting and silencing anyone who gets too close to exposing the Sabbatians. We have seen 'truth is no defence' confirmed in legal judgements with the Saskatchewan Human Rights Commission in Canada decreeing this: 'Truthful statements can be presented in a manner that would meet the definition of hate speech, and not all truthful statements must be free from restriction.' Most 'anti-hate' activists, who are themselves consumed by hatred, are too stupid and ignorant of the world to know how they are being used. They are far too far up their own virtue-signalling arses and it's far too dark for them to see anything.

The 'revolution' game

The background and methods of the 'Russian' Revolution are straight from the Sabbatian playbook seen in the French Revolution

and endless others around the world that appear to start as a revolution of the people against tyrannical rule and end up with a regime change to more tyrannical rule overtly or covertly. Wars, terror attacks and regime overthrows follow the Sabbatian cult through history with its agents creating them as Problem-Reaction-Solutions to remove opposition on the road to world domination. Sabbatian dots connect the Rothschilds with the Illuminati, Jacobins of the French Revolution, the 'Bund' or League of the Just, the International Communist Party, Communist League and the Communist Manifesto of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels that would lead to the Rothschild-funded Russian Revolution. The sequence comes under the heading of 'creative destruction' when you advance to your global goal by continually destroying the status quo to install a new status quo which you then also destroy. The two world wars come to mind. With each new status quo you move closer to your planned outcome. Wars and mass murder are to Sabbatians a collective blood sacrifice ritual. They are obsessed with death for many reasons and one is that death is an inversion of life. Satanists and Sabbatians are obsessed with death and often target churches and churchyards for their rituals. Inversion-obsessed Sabbatians explain the use of inverted symbolism including the *inverted* pentagram and *inverted* cross. The inversion of the cross has been related to targeting Christianity, but the cross was a religious symbol long before Christianity and its inversion is a statement about the Sabbatian mentality and goals more than any single religion.

Sabbatians operating in Germany were behind the rise of the occult-obsessed Nazis and the subsequent Jewish exodus from Germany and Europe to Palestine and the United States after World War Two. The Rothschild dynasty was at the forefront of this both as political manipulators and by funding the operation. Why would Sabbatians help to orchestrate the horrors inflicted on Jews by the Nazis and by Stalin after they organised the Russian Revolution? Sabbatians hate Jews and their religion, that's why. They pose as Jews and secure positions of control within Jewish society and play the 'anti-Semitism' card to protect themselves from exposure

through a global network of organisations answering to the Sabbatian-created-and-controlled globe-spanning intelligence network that involves a stunning web of military-intelligence operatives and operations for a tiny country of just nine million. Among them are Jewish assets who are not Sabbatians but have been convinced by them that what they are doing is for the good of Israel and the Jewish community to protect them from what they have been programmed since childhood to believe is a Jew-hating hostile world. The Jewish community is just a highly convenient cover to hide the true nature of Sabbatians. Anyone getting close to exposing their game is accused by Sabbatian place-people and gofers of 'anti-Semitism' and claiming that all Jews are part of a plot to take over the world. I am not saying that. I am saying that Sabbatians – the *real* Jew-haters – have infiltrated the Jewish community to use them both as a cover and an 'anti-Semitic' defence against exposure. Thus we have the Anti-Semitism Industry targeted researchers in this way and most Jewish people think this is justified and genuine. They don't know that their 'Jewish' leaders and institutions of state, intelligence and military are not controlled by Jews at all, but cultists and stooges of Sabbatian-Frankism. I once added my name to a pro-Jewish freedom petition online and the next time I looked my name was gone and text had been added to the petition blurb to attack me as an 'anti-Semite' such is the scale of perceptual programming.

Moving on America

I tell the story in *The Trigger* and a chapter called 'Atlantic Crossing' how particularly after Israel was established the Sabbatians moved in on the United States and eventually grasped control of government administration, the political system via both Democrats and Republicans, the intelligence community like the CIA and National Security Agency (NSA), the Pentagon and mass media. Through this seriously compartmentalised network Sabbatians and their operatives in Mossad, Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) and US agencies pulled off 9/11 and blamed it on 19 'Al-Qaeda hijackers' dominated by men from, or connected to, Sabbatian-ruled Saudi

Arabia. The '19' were not even on the planes let alone flew those big passenger jets into buildings while being largely incompetent at piloting one-engine light aircraft. 'Hijacker' Hani Hanjour who is said to have flown American Airlines Flight 77 into the Pentagon with a turn and manoeuvre most professional pilots said they would have struggled to do was banned from renting a small plane by instructors at the Freeway Airport in Bowie, Maryland, just *six weeks* earlier on the grounds that he was an incompetent pilot. The Jewish population of the world is just 0.2 percent with even that almost entirely concentrated in Israel (75 percent Jewish) and the United States (around two percent). This two percent and globally 0.2 percent refers to *Jewish* people and not Sabbatian interlopers who are a fraction of that fraction. What a sobering thought when you think of the fantastic influence on world affairs of tiny Israel and that the Project for the New America Century (PNAC) which laid out the blueprint in September, 2000, for America's war on terror and regime change wars in Iraq, Libya and Syria was founded and dominated by Sabbatians known as 'Neocons'. The document conceded that this plan would not be supported politically or publicly without a major attack on American soil and a Problem-Reaction-Solution excuse to send troops to war across the Middle East. Sabbatian Neocons said:

> ... [The] process of transformation ... [war and regime change] ... is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalysing event – like a new Pearl Harbor.

Four months later many of those who produced that document came to power with their inane puppet George Bush from the long-time Sabbatian Bush family. They included Sabbatian Dick Cheney who was officially vice-president, but really de-facto president for the entirety of the 'Bush' government. Nine months after the 'Bush' inauguration came what Bush called at the time 'the Pearl Harbor of the 21st century' and with typical Sabbatian timing and symbolism 2001 was the 60th anniversary of the attack in 1941 by the Japanese Air Force on Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, which allowed President Franklin Delano Roosevelt to take the United States into a Sabbatian-

instigated Second World War that he said in his election campaign that he never would. The evidence is overwhelming that Roosevelt and his military and intelligence networks knew the attack was coming and did nothing to stop it, but they did make sure that America's most essential naval ships were not in Hawaii at the time. Three thousand Americans died in the Pearl Harbor attacks as they did on September 11th. By the 9/11 year of 2001 Sabbatians had widely infiltrated the US government, military and intelligence operations and used their compartmentalised assets to pull off the 'Al-Qaeda' attacks. If you read *The Trigger* it will blow your mind to see the utterly staggering concentration of 'Jewish' operatives (Sabbatian infiltrators) in essential positions of political, security, legal, law enforcement, financial and business power before, during, and after the attacks to make them happen, carry them out, and then cover their tracks – and I do mean *staggering* when you think of that 0.2 percent of the world population and two percent of Americans which are Jewish while Sabbatian infiltrators are a fraction of that. A central foundation of the 9/11 conspiracy was the hijacking of government, military, Air Force and intelligence computer systems in real time through 'back-door' access made possible by Israeli (Sabbatian) 'cyber security' software. Sabbatian-controlled Israel is on the way to rivalling Silicon Valley for domination of cyberspace and is becoming the dominant force in cyber-security which gives them access to entire computer systems and their passcodes across the world. Then add to this that Zionists head (officially) Silicon Valley giants like Google (Larry Page and Sergey Brin), Google-owned YouTube (Susan Wojcicki), Facebook (Mark Zuckerberg and Sheryl Sandberg), and Apple (Chairman Arthur D. Levinson), and that ultra-Zionist hedge fund billionaire Paul Singer has a $1 billion stake in Twitter which is only nominally headed by 'CEO' pothead Jack Dorsey. As cable news host Tucker Carlson said of Dorsey: 'There used to be debate in the medical community whether dropping a ton of acid had permanent effects and I think that debate has now ended.' Carlson made the comment after Dorsey told a hearing on Capitol Hill (if you cut through his bullshit) that he

believed in free speech so long as he got to decide what you can hear and see. These 'big names' of Silicon Valley are only front men and women for the Global Cult, not least the Sabbatians, who are the true controllers of these corporations. Does anyone still wonder why these same people and companies have been ferociously censoring and banning people (like me) for exposing any aspect of the Cult agenda and especially the truth about the 'Covid' hoax which Sabbatians have orchestrated?

The Jeffrey Epstein paedophile ring was a Sabbatian operation. He was officially 'Jewish' but he was a Sabbatian and women abused by the ring have told me about the high number of 'Jewish' people involved. The Epstein horror has Sabbatian written all over it and matches perfectly their modus operandi and obsession with sex and ritual. Epstein was running a Sabbatian blackmail ring in which famous people with political and other influence were provided with young girls for sex while everything was being filmed and recorded on hidden cameras and microphones at his New York house, Caribbean island and other properties. Epstein survivors have described this surveillance system to me and some have gone public. Once the famous politician or other figure knew he or she was on video they tended to do whatever they were told. Here we go again …when you've got them by the balls their hearts and minds will follow. Sabbatians use this blackmail technique on a wide scale across the world to entrap politicians and others they need to act as demanded. Epstein's private plane, the infamous 'Lolita Express', had many well-known passengers including Bill Clinton while Bill Gates has flown on an Epstein plane and met with him four years after Epstein had been jailed for paedophilia. They subsequently met many times at Epstein's home in New York according to a witness who was there. Epstein's infamous side-kick was Ghislaine Maxwell, daughter of Mossad agent and ultra-Zionist mega-crooked British businessman, Bob Maxwell, who at one time owned the *Daily Mirror* newspaper. Maxwell was murdered at sea on his boat in 1991 by Sabbatian-controlled Mossad when he became a liability with his

business empire collapsing as a former Mossad operative has confirmed (see *The Trigger*).

Money, money, money, funny money ...

Before I come to the Sabbatian connection with the last three US presidents I will lay out the crucial importance to Sabbatians of controlling banking and finance. Sabbatian Mayer Amschel Rothschild set out to dominate this arena in his family's quest for total global control. What is freedom? It is, in effect, choice. The more choices you have the freer you are and the fewer your choices the more you are enslaved. In the global structure created over centuries by Sabbatians the biggest decider and restrictor of choice is … money. Across the world if you ask people what they would like to do with their lives and why they are not doing that they will reply 'I don't have the money'. This is the idea. A global elite of multi-billionaires are described as 'greedy' and that is true on one level; but control of money – who has it and who doesn't – is not primarily about greed. It's about control. Sabbatians have seized ever more control of finance and sucked the wealth of the world out of the hands of the population. We talk now, after all, about the 'One-percent' and even then the wealthiest are a lot fewer even than that. This has been made possible by a money scam so outrageous and so vast it could rightly be called the scam of scams founded on creating 'money' out of nothing and 'loaning' that with interest to the population. Money out of nothing is called 'credit'. Sabbatians have asserted control over governments and banking ever more completely through the centuries and secured financial laws that allow banks to lend hugely more than they have on deposit in a confidence trick known as fractional reserve lending. Imagine if you could lend money that doesn't exist and charge the recipient interest for doing so. You would end up in jail. Bankers by contrast end up in mansions, private jets, Malibu and Monaco.

Banks are only required to keep a fraction of their deposits and wealth in their vaults and they are allowed to lend 'money' they don't have called 'credit. Go into a bank for a loan and if you succeed

the banker will not move any real wealth into your account. They will type into your account the amount of the agreed 'loan' – say £100,000. This is not wealth that really exists; it is non-existent, fresh-air, created-out-of-nothing 'credit' which has never, does not, and will never exist except in theory. Credit is backed by nothing except wind and only has buying power because people think that it has buying power and accept it in return for property, goods and services. I have described this situation as like those cartoon characters you see chasing each other and when they run over the edge of a cliff they keep running forward on fresh air until one of them looks down, realises what's happened, and they all crash into the ravine. The whole foundation of the Sabbatian financial system is to stop people looking down except for periodic moments when they want to crash the system (as in 2008 and 2020 ongoing) and reap the rewards from all the property, businesses and wealth their borrowers had signed over as 'collateral' in return for a 'loan' of fresh air. Most people think that money is somehow created by governments when it comes into existence from the start as a debt through banks 'lending' illusory money called credit. Yes, the very currency of exchange is a *debt* from day one issued as an interest-bearing loan. Why don't governments create money interest-free and lend it to their people interest-free? Governments are controlled by Sabbatians and the financial system is controlled by Sabbatians for whom interest-free money would be a nightmare come true. Sabbatians underpin their financial domination through their global network of central banks, including the privately-owned US Federal Reserve and Britain's Bank of England, and this is orchestrated by a privately-owned central bank coordination body called the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, created by the usual suspects including the Rockefellers and Rothschilds. Central bank chiefs don't answer to governments or the people. They answer to the Bank for International Settlements or, in other words, the Global Cult which is dominated today by Sabbatians.

Built-in disaster

There are so many constituent scams within the overall banking scam. When you take out a loan of thin-air credit only the amount of that loan is theoretically brought into circulation to add to the amount in circulation; but you are paying back the principle plus interest. The additional interest is not created and this means that with every 'loan' there is a shortfall in the money in circulation between what is borrowed and what has to be paid back. There is never even close to enough money in circulation to repay all outstanding public and private debt including interest. Coldly weaved in the very fabric of the system is the certainty that some will lose their homes, businesses and possessions to the banking 'lender'. This is less obvious in times of 'boom' when the amount of money in circulation (and the debt) is expanding through more people wanting and getting loans. When a downturn comes and the money supply contracts it becomes painfully obvious that there is not enough money to service all debt and interest. This is less obvious in times of 'boom' when the amount of money in circulation (and the debt) is expanding through more people wanting and getting loans. When a downturn comes and the money supply contracts and it becomes painfully obvious – as in 2008 and currently – that there is not enough money to service all debt and interest. Sabbatian banksters have been leading the human population through a calculated series of booms (more debt incurred) and busts (when the debt can't be repaid and the banks get the debtor's tangible wealth in exchange for non-existent 'credit'). With each 'bust' Sabbatian bankers have absorbed more of the world's tangible wealth and we end up with the One-percent. Governments are in bankruptcy levels of debt to the same system and are therefore owned by a system they do not control. The Federal Reserve, 'America's central bank', is privately-owned and American presidents only nominally appoint its chairman or woman to maintain the illusion that it's an arm of government. It's not. The 'Fed' is a cartel of private banks which handed billions to its associates and friends after the crash of 2008 and has been Sabbatian-controlled since it was manipulated into being in 1913 through the covert trickery of Rothschild banking agents Jacob Schiff and Paul

Warburg, and the Sabbatian Rockefeller family. Somehow from a Jewish population of two-percent and globally 0.2 percent (Sabbatian interlopers remember are far smaller) ultra-Zionists headed the Federal Reserve for 31 years between 1987 and 2018 in the form of Alan Greenspan, Bernard Bernanke and Janet Yellen (now Biden's Treasury Secretary) with Yellen's deputy chairman a Israeli-American duel citizen and ultra-Zionist Stanley Fischer, a former governor of the Bank of Israel. Ultra-Zionist Fed chiefs spanned the presidencies of Ronald Reagan ('Republican'), Father George Bush ('Republican'), Bill Clinton ('Democrat'), Boy George Bush ('Republican') and Barack Obama ('Democrat'). We should really add the pre-Greenspan chairman, Paul Adolph Volcker, 'appointed' by Jimmy Carter ('Democrat') who ran the Fed between 1979 and 1987 during the Carter and Reagan administrations before Greenspan took over. Volcker was a long-time associate and business partner of the Rothschilds. No matter what the 'party' officially in power the United States economy was directed by the same force. Here are members of the Obama, Trump and Biden administrations and see if you can make out a common theme.

Barack Obama ('Democrat')

Ultra-Zionists Robert Rubin, Larry Summers, and Timothy Geithner ran the US Treasury in the Clinton administration and two of them reappeared with Obama. Ultra-Zionist Fed chairman Alan Greenspan had manipulated the crash of 2008 through deregulation and jumped ship just before the disaster to make way for ultra-Zionist Bernard Bernanke to hand out trillions to Sabbatian 'too big to fail' banks and businesses, including the ubiquitous ultra-Zionist Goldman Sachs which has an ongoing staff revolving door operation between itself and major financial positions in government worldwide. Obama inherited the fallout of the crash when he took office in January, 2009, and fortunately he had the support of his ultra-Zionist White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emmanuel, son of a terrorist who helped to bomb Israel into being in 1948, and his ultra-Zionist senior adviser David Axelrod, chief strategist in Obama's two

successful presidential campaigns. Emmanuel, later mayor of Chicago and former senior fundraiser and strategist for Bill Clinton, is an example of the Sabbatian policy after Israel was established of migrating insider families to America so their children would be born American citizens. 'Obama' chose this financial team throughout his administration to respond to the Sabbatian-instigated crisis:

Timothy Geithner (ultra-Zionist) Treasury Secretary; Jacob J. Lew, Treasury Secretary; Larry Summers (ultra-Zionist), director of the White House National Economic Council; Paul Adolph Volcker (Rothschild business partner), chairman of the Economic Recovery Advisory Board; Peter Orszag (ultra-Zionist), director of the Office of Management and Budget overseeing all government spending; Penny Pritzker (ultra-Zionist), Commerce Secretary; Jared Bernstein (ultra-Zionist), chief economist and economic policy adviser to Vice President Joe Biden; Mary Schapiro (ultra-Zionist), chair of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC); Gary Gensler (ultra-Zionist), chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC); Sheila Bair (ultra-Zionist), chair of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC); Karen Mills (ultra-Zionist), head of the Small Business Administration (SBA); Kenneth Feinberg (ultra-Zionist), Special Master for Executive [bail-out] Compensation. Feinberg would be appointed to oversee compensation (with strings) to 9/11 victims and families in a campaign to stop them having their day in court to question the official story. At the same time ultra-Zionist Bernard Bernanke was chairman of the Federal Reserve and these are only some of the ultra-Zionists with allegiance to Sabbatian-controlled Israel in the Obama government. Obama's biggest corporate donor was ultra-Zionist Goldman Sachs which had employed many in his administration.

Donald Trump ('Republican')

Trump claimed to be an outsider (he wasn't) who had come to 'drain the swamp'. He embarked on this goal by immediately appointing ultra-Zionist Steve Mnuchin, a Goldman Sachs employee for 17

years, as his Treasury Secretary. Others included Gary Cohn (ultra-Zionist), chief operating officer of Goldman Sachs, his first Director of the National Economic Council and chief economic adviser, who was later replaced by Larry Kudlow (ultra-Zionist). Trump's senior adviser throughout his four years in the White House was his sinister son-in-law Jared Kushner, a life-long friend of Israel Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu. Kushner is the son of a convicted crook who was pardoned by Trump in his last days in office. Other ultra-Zionists in the Trump administration included: Stephen Miller, Senior Policy Adviser; Avrahm Berkowitz, Deputy Adviser to Trump and his Senior Adviser Jared Kushner; Ivanka Trump, Adviser to the President, who converted to Judaism when she married Jared Kushner; David Friedman, Trump lawyer and Ambassador to Israel; Jason Greenblatt, Trump Organization executive vice president and chief legal officer, who was made Special Representative for International Negotiations and the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict; Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General; Elliot Abrams, Special Representative for Venezuela, then Iran; John Eisenberg, National Security Council Legal Adviser and Deputy Council to the President for National Security Affairs; Anne Neuberger, Deputy National Manager, National Security Agency; Ezra Cohen-Watnick, Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence; Elan Carr, Special Envoy to monitor and combat anti-Semitism; Len Khodorkovsky, Deputy Special Envoy to monitor and combat anti-Semitism; Reed Cordish, Assistant to the President, Intragovernmental and Technology Initiatives. Trump Vice President Mike Pence and Secretary of State Mike Pompeo, both Christian Zionists, were also vehement supporters of Israel and its goals and ambitions.

Donald 'free-speech believer' Trump pardoned a number of financial and violent criminals while ignoring calls to pardon Julian Assange and Edward Snowden whose crimes are revealing highly relevant information about government manipulation and corruption and the widespread illegal surveillance of the American people by US 'security' agencies. It's so good to know that Trump is on the side of freedom and justice and not mega-criminals with

allegiance to Sabbatian-controlled Israel. These included a pardon for Israeli spy Jonathan Pollard who was jailed for life in 1987 under the Espionage Act. Aviem Sella, the Mossad agent who recruited Pollard, was also pardoned by Trump while Assange sat in jail and Snowden remained in exile in Russia. Sella had 'fled' (was helped to escape) to Israel in 1987 and was never extradited despite being charged under the Espionage Act. A Trump White House statement said that Sella's clemency had been 'supported by Benjamin Netanyahu, Ron Dermer, Israel's US Ambassador, David Friedman, US Ambassador to Israel and Miriam Adelson, wife of leading Trump donor Sheldon Adelson who died shortly before. Other friends of Jared Kushner were pardoned along with Sholom Weiss who was believed to be serving the longest-ever white-collar prison sentence of more than 800 years in 2000. The sentence was commuted of Ponzi-schemer Eliyahu Weinstein who defrauded Jews and others out of $200 million. I did mention that Assange and Snowden were ignored, right? Trump gave Sabbatians almost everything they asked for in military and political support, moving the US Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem with its critical symbolic and literal implications for Palestinian statehood, and the 'deal of the Century' designed by Jared Kushner and David Friedman which gave the Sabbatian Israeli government the green light to substantially expand its already widespread program of building illegal Jewish-only settlements in the occupied land of the West Bank. This made a two-state 'solution' impossible by seizing all the land of a potential Palestinian homeland and that had been the plan since 1948 and then 1967 when the Arab-controlled Gaza Strip, West Bank, Sinai Peninsula and Syrian Golan Heights were occupied by Israel. All the talks about talks and road maps and delays have been buying time until the West Bank was physically occupied by Israeli real estate. Trump would have to be a monumentally ill-informed idiot not to see that this was the plan he was helping to complete. The Trump administration was in so many ways the Kushner administration which means the Netanyahu administration which means the Sabbatian administration. I understand why many opposing Cult fascism in all its forms gravitated to Trump, but he

was a crucial part of the Sabbatian plan and I will deal with this in the next chapter.

Joe Biden ('Democrat')

A barely cognitive Joe Biden took over the presidency in January, 2021, along with his fellow empty shell, Vice-President Kamala Harris, as the latest Sabbatian gofers to enter the White House. Names on the door may have changed and the 'party' – the force behind them remained the same as Zionists were appointed to a stream of pivotal areas relating to Sabbatian plans and policy. They included: Janet Yellen, Treasury Secretary, former head of the Federal Reserve, and still another ultra-Zionist running the US Treasury after Mnuchin (Trump), Lew and Geithner (Obama), and Summers and Rubin (Clinton); Anthony Blinken, Secretary of State; Wendy Sherman, Deputy Secretary of State (so that's 'Biden's' Sabbatian foreign policy sorted); Jeff Zients, White House coronavirus coordinator; Rochelle Walensky, head of the Centers for Disease Control; Rachel Levine, transgender deputy health secretary (that's 'Covid' hoax policy under control); Merrick Garland, Attorney General; Alejandro Mayorkas, Secretary of Homeland Security; Cass Sunstein, Homeland Security with responsibility for new immigration laws; Avril Haines, Director of National Intelligence; Anne Neuberger, National Security Agency cybersecurity director (note, cybersecurity); David Cohen, CIA Deputy Director; Ronald Klain, Biden's Chief of Staff (see Rahm Emanuel); Eric Lander, a 'leading geneticist', Office of Science and Technology Policy director (see Smart Grid, synthetic biology agenda); Jessica Rosenworcel, acting head of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) which controls Smart Grid technology policy and electromagnetic communication systems including 5G. How can it be that so many pivotal positions are held by two-percent of the American population and 0.2 percent of the world population administration after administration no matter who is the president and what is the party? It's a coincidence? Of course it's not and this is why Sabbatians have built their colossal global web of interlocking 'anti-

hate' hate groups to condemn anyone who asks these glaring questions as an 'anti-Semite'. The way that Jewish people horrifically abused in Sabbatian-backed Nazi Germany are exploited to this end is stomach-turning and disgusting beyond words.

Political fusion

Sabbatian manipulation has reversed the roles of Republicans and Democrats and the same has happened in Britain with the Conservative and Labour Parties. Republicans and Conservatives were always labelled the 'right' and Democrats and Labour the 'left', but look at the policy positions now and the Democrat-Labour 'left' has moved further to the 'right' than Republicans and Conservatives under the banner of 'Woke', the Cult-created far-right tyranny. Where once the Democrat-Labour 'left' defended free speech and human rights they now seek to delete them and as I said earlier despite the 'Covid' fascism of the Jackboot Johnson Conservative government in the UK the Labour Party of leader Keir Starmer demanded even more extreme measures. The Labour Party has been very publicly absorbed by Sabbatians after a political and media onslaught against the previous leader, the weak and inept Jeremy Corbyn, over made-up allegations of 'anti-Semitism' both by him and his party. The plan was clear with this 'anti-Semite' propaganda and what was required in response was a swift and decisive 'fuck off' from Corbyn and a statement to expose the Anti-Semitism Industry (Sabbatian) attempt to silence Labour criticism of the Israeli government (Sabbatians) and purge the party of all dissent against the extremes of ultra-Zionism (Sabbatians). Instead Corbyn and his party fell to their knees and appeased the abusers which, by definition, is impossible. Appeasing one demand leads only to a new demand to be appeased until takeover is complete. Like I say – 'fuck off' would have been a much more effective policy and I have used it myself with great effect over the years when Sabbatians are on my case which is most of the time. I consider that fact a great compliment, by the way. The outcome of the Labour Party capitulation is that we now have a Sabbatian-controlled

Conservative Party 'opposed' by a Sabbatian-controlled Labour Party in a one-party Sabbatian state that hurtles towards the extremes of tyranny (the Sabbatian cult agenda). In America the situation is the same. Labour's Keir Starmer spends his days on his knees with his tongue out pointing to Tel Aviv, or I guess now Jerusalem, while Boris Johnson has an 'anti-Semitism czar' in the form of former Labour MP John Mann who keeps Starmer company on his prayer mat.

Sabbatian influence can be seen in Jewish members of the Labour Party who have been ejected for criticism of Israel including those from families that suffered in Nazi Germany. Sabbatians despise real Jewish people and target them even more harshly because it is so much more difficult to dub them 'anti-Semitic' although in their desperation they do try.

CHAPTER THREE

The Pushbacker sting

Until you realize how easy it is for your mind to be manipulated, you remain the puppet of someone else's game
Evita Ochel

I will use the presidencies of Trump and Biden to show how the manipulation of the one-party state plays out behind the illusion of political choice across the world. No two presidencies could – on the face of it – be more different and apparently at odds in terms of direction and policy.

A Renegade Mind sees beyond the obvious and focuses on outcomes and consequences and not image, words and waffle. The Cult embarked on a campaign to divide America between those who blindly support its agenda (the mentality known as 'Woke') and those who are pushing back on where the Cult and its Sabbatians want to go. This presents infinite possibilities for dividing and ruling the population by setting them at war with each other and allows a perceptual ring fence of demonisation to encircle the Pushbackers in a modern version of the Little Big Horn in 1876 when American cavalry led by Lieutenant Colonel George Custer were drawn into a trap, surrounded and killed by Native American tribes defending their land of thousands of years from being seized by the government. In this modern version the roles are reversed and it's those defending themselves from the Sabbatian government who are surrounded and the government that's seeking to destroy them. This trap was set years ago and to explain how we must return to 2016

and the emergence of Donald Trump as a candidate to be President of the United States. He set out to overcome the best part of 20 other candidates in the Republican Party before and during the primaries and was not considered by many in those early stages to have a prayer of living in the White House. The Republican Party was said to have great reservations about Trump and yet somehow he won the nomination. When you know how American politics works – politics in general – there is no way that Trump could have become the party's candidate unless the Sabbatian-controlled 'Neocons' that run the Republican Party wanted that to happen. We saw the proof in emails and documents made public by WikiLeaks that the Democratic Party hierarchy, or Democons, systematically undermined the campaign of Bernie Sanders to make sure that Sabbatian gofer Hillary Clinton won the nomination to be their presidential candidate. If the Democons could do that then the Neocons in the Republican Party could have derailed Trump in the same way. But they didn't and at that stage I began to conclude that Trump could well be the one chosen to be president. If that was the case the 'why' was pretty clear to see – the goal of dividing America between Cult agenda-supporting Wokers and Pushbackers who gravitated to Trump because he was telling them what they wanted to hear. His constituency of support had been increasingly ignored and voiceless for decades and profoundly through the eight years of Sabbatian puppet Barack Obama. Now here was someone speaking their language of pulling back from the incessant globalisation of political and economic power, the exporting of American jobs to China and elsewhere by 'American' (Sabbatian) corporations, the deletion of free speech, and the mass immigration policies that had further devastated job opportunities for the urban working class of all races and the once American heartlands of the Midwest.

Beware the forked tongue

Those people collectively sighed with relief that at last a political leader was apparently on their side, but another trait of the Renegade Mind is that you look even harder at people telling you

what you want to hear than those who are telling you otherwise. Obviously as I said earlier people wish what they want to hear to be true and genuine and they are much more likely to believe that than someone saying what they don't want to here and don't want to be true. Sales people are taught to be skilled in eliciting by calculated questioning what their customers want to hear and repeating that back to them as their own opinion to get their targets to like and trust them. Assets of the Cult are also sales people in the sense of selling perception. To read Cult manipulation you have to play the long and expanded game and not fall for the Vaudeville show of party politics. Both American parties are vehicles for the Cult and they exploit them in different ways depending on what the agenda requires at that moment. Trump and the Republicans were used to be the focus of dividing America and isolating Pushbackers to open the way for a Biden presidency to become the most extreme in American history by advancing the full-blown Woke (Cult) agenda with the aim of destroying and silencing Pushbackers now labelled Nazi Trump supporters and white supremacists.

Sabbatians wanted Trump in office for the reasons described by ultra-Zionist Saul Alinsky (1909-1972) who was promoting the Woke philosophy through 'community organising' long before anyone had heard of it. In those days it still went by its traditional name of Marxism. The reason for the manipulated Trump phenomenon was laid out in Alinsky's 1971 book, *Rules for Radicals*, which was his blueprint for overthrowing democratic and other regimes and replacing them with Sabbatian Marxism. Not surprisingly his to-do list was evident in the Sabbatian French and Russian 'Revolutions' and that in China which will become very relevant in the next chapter about the 'Covid' hoax. Among Alinsky's followers have been the deeply corrupt Barack Obama, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Hillary Clinton who described him as a 'hero'. All three are Sabbatian stooges with Pelosi personifying the arrogant corrupt idiocy that so widely fronts up for the Cult inner core. Predictably as a Sabbatian advocate of the 'light-bringer' Alinsky features Lucifer on the dedication page of his book as the original radical who gained

his own kingdom ('Earth' as we shall see). One of Alinsky's golden radical rules was to pick an individual and focus all attention, hatred and blame on them and not to target faceless bureaucracies and corporations. *Rules for Radicals* is really a Sabbatian handbook with its contents repeatedly employed all over the world for centuries and why wouldn't Sabbatians bring to power their designer-villain to be used as the individual on which all attention, hatred and blame was bestowed? This is what they did and the only question for me is how much Trump knew that and how much he was manipulated. A bit of both, I suspect. This was Alinsky's Trump technique from a man who died in 1972. The technique has spanned history:

> Pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, polarize it. Don't try to attack abstract corporations or bureaucracies. Identify a responsible individual. Ignore attempts to shift or spread the blame.

From the moment Trump came to illusory power everything was about him. It wasn't about Republican policy or opinion, but all about Trump. Everything he did was presented in negative, derogatory and abusive terms by the Sabbatian-dominated media led by Cult operations such as CNN, MSNBC, *The New York Times* and the Jeff Bezos-owned *Washington Post* – 'Pick the target, freeze it, personalize it, polarize it.' Trump was turned into a demon to be vilified by those who hated him and a demi-god loved by those who worshipped him. This, in turn, had his supporters, too, presented as equally demonic in preparation for the punchline later down the line when Biden was about to take office. It was here's a Trump, there's a Trump, everywhere a Trump, Trump. Virtually every news story or happening was filtered through the lens of 'The Donald'. You loved him or hated him and which one you chose was said to define you as Satan's spawn or a paragon of virtue. Even supporting some Trump policies or statements and not others was enough for an assault on your character. No shades of grey were or are allowed. Everything is black and white (literally and figuratively). A Californian I knew had her head utterly scrambled by her hatred for Trump while telling people they should love each other. She was so totally consumed by

Trump Derangement Syndrome as it became to be known that this glaring contradiction would never have occurred to her. By definition anyone who criticised Trump or praised his opponents was a hero and this lady described Joe Biden as 'a kind, honest gentleman' when he's a provable liar, mega-crook and vicious piece of work to boot. Sabbatians had indeed divided America using Trump as the fall-guy and all along the clock was ticking on the consequences for his supporters.

In hock to his masters

Trump gave Sabbatians via Israel almost everything they wanted in his four years. Ask and you shall receive was the dynamic between himself and Benjamin Netanyahu orchestrated by Trump's ultra-Zionist son-in-law Jared Kushner, his ultra-Zionist Ambassador to Israel, David Friedman, and ultra-Zionist 'Israel adviser', Jason Greenblatt. The last two were central to the running and protecting from collapse of his business empire, the Trump Organisation, and colossal business failures made him forever beholding to Sabbatian networks that bailed him out. By the start of the 1990s Trump owed $4 billion to banks that he couldn't pay and almost $1billion of that was down to him personally and not his companies. This mega-disaster was the result of building two new casinos in Atlantic City and buying the enormous Taj Mahal operation which led to crippling debt payments. He had borrowed fantastic sums from 72 banks with major Sabbatian connections and although the scale of debt should have had him living in a tent alongside the highway they never foreclosed. A plan was devised to lift Trump from the mire by BT Securities Corporation and Rothschild Inc. and the case was handled by Wilber Ross who had worked for the Rothschilds for 27 years. Ross would be named US Commerce Secretary after Trump's election. Another crucial figure in saving Trump was ultra-Zionist 'investor' Carl Icahn who bought the Taj Mahal casino. Icahn was made special economic adviser on financial regulation in the Trump administration. He didn't stay long but still managed to find time to make a tidy sum of a reported $31.3 million when he sold his

holdings affected by the price of steel three days before Trump imposed a 235 percent tariff on steel imports. What amazing bits of luck these people have. Trump and Sabbatian operatives have long had a close association and his mentor and legal adviser from the early 1970s until 1986 was the dark and genetically corrupt ultra-Zionist Roy Cohn who was chief counsel to Senator Joseph McCarthy's 'communist' witch-hunt in the 1950s. *Esquire* magazine published an article about Cohn with the headline 'Don't mess with Roy Cohn'. He was described as the most feared lawyer in New York and 'a ruthless master of dirty tricks ... [with] ... more than one Mafia Don on speed dial'. Cohn's influence, contacts, support and protection made Trump a front man for Sabbatians in New York with their connections to one of Cohn's many criminal employers, the 'Russian' Sabbatian Mafia. Israel-centric media mogul Rupert Murdoch was introduced to Trump by Cohn and they started a long friendship. Cohn died in 1986 weeks after being disbarred for unethical conduct by the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court. The wheels of justice do indeed run slow given the length of Cohn's crooked career.

QAnon-sense

We are asked to believe that Donald Trump with his fundamental connections to Sabbatian networks and operatives has been leading the fight to stop the Sabbatian agenda for the fascistic control of America and the world. Sure he has. A man entrapped during his years in the White House by Sabbatian operatives and whose biggest financial donor was casino billionaire Sheldon Adelson who was Sabbatian to his DNA?? Oh, do come on. Trump has been used to divide America and isolate Pushbackers on the Cult agenda under the heading of 'Trump supporters', 'insurrectionists' and 'white supremacists'. The US Intelligence/Mossad Psyop or psychological operation known as QAnon emerged during the Trump years as a central pillar in the Sabbatian campaign to lead Pushbackers into the trap set by those that wished to destroy them. I knew from the start that QAnon was a scam because I had seen the same scenario many

times before over 30 years under different names and I had written about one in particular in the books. 'Not again' was my reaction when QAnon came to the fore. The same script is pulled out every few years and a new name added to the letterhead. The story always takes the same form: 'Insiders' or 'the good guys' in the government-intelligence-military 'Deep State' apparatus were going to instigate mass arrests of the 'bad guys' which would include the Rockefellers, Rothschilds, Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, George Soros, etc., etc. Dates are given for when the 'good guys' are going to move in, but the dates pass without incident and new dates are given which pass without incident. The central message to Pushbackers in each case is that they don't have to do anything because there is 'a plan' and it is all going to be sorted by the 'good guys' on the inside. 'Trust the plan' was a QAnon mantra when the only plan was to misdirect Pushbackers into putting their trust in a Psyop they believed to be real. Beware, beware, those who tell you what you want to hear and always check it out. Right up to Biden's inauguration QAnon was still claiming that 'the Storm' was coming and Trump would stay on as president when Biden and his cronies were arrested and jailed. It was never going to happen and of course it didn't, but what did happen as a result provided that punchline to the Sabbatian Trump/QAnon Psyop.

On January 6th, 2021, a very big crowd of Trump supporters gathered in the National Mall in Washington DC down from the Capitol Building to protest at what they believed to be widespread corruption and vote fraud that stopped Trump being re-elected for a second term as president in November, 2020. I say as someone that does not support Trump or Biden that the evidence is clear that major vote-fixing went on to favour Biden, a man with cognitive problems so advanced he can often hardly string a sentence together without reading the words written for him on the Teleprompter. Glaring ballot discrepancies included serious questions about electronic voting machines that make vote rigging a comparative cinch and hundreds of thousands of paper votes that suddenly appeared during already advanced vote counts and virtually all of

them for Biden. Early Trump leads in crucial swing states suddenly began to close and disappear. The pandemic hoax was used as the excuse to issue almost limitless numbers of mail-in ballots with no checks to establish that the recipients were still alive or lived at that address. They were sent to streams of people who had not even asked for them. Private organisations were employed to gather these ballots and who knows what they did with them before they turned up at the counts. The American election system has been manipulated over decades to become a sick joke with more holes than a Swiss cheese for the express purpose of dictating the results. Then there was the criminal manipulation of information by Sabbatian tech giants like Facebook, Twitter and Google-owned YouTube which deleted pro-Trump, anti-Biden accounts and posts while everything in support of Biden was left alone. Sabbatians wanted Biden to win because after the dividing of America it was time for full-on Woke and every aspect of the Cult agenda to be unleashed.

Hunter gatherer

Extreme Silicon Valley bias included blocking information by the *New York Post* exposing a Biden scandal that should have ended his bid for president in the final weeks of the campaign. Hunter Biden, his monumentally corrupt son, is reported to have sent a laptop to be repaired at a local store and failed to return for it. Time passed until the laptop became the property of the store for non-payment of the bill. When the owner saw what was on the hard drive he gave a copy to the FBI who did nothing even though it confirmed widespread corruption in which the Joe Biden family were using his political position, especially when he was vice president to Obama, to make multiple millions in countries around the world and most notably Ukraine and China. Hunter Biden's one-time business partner Tony Bobulinski went public when the story broke in the *New York Post* to confirm the corruption he saw and that Joe Biden not only knew what was going on he also profited from the spoils. Millions were handed over by a Chinese company with close

connections – like all major businesses in China – to the Chinese communist party of President Xi Jinping. Joe Biden even boasted at a meeting of the Cult's World Economic Forum that as vice president he had ordered the government of Ukraine to fire a prosecutor. What he didn't mention was that the same man just happened to be investigating an energy company which was part of Hunter Biden's corrupt portfolio. The company was paying him big bucks for no other reason than the influence his father had. Overnight Biden's presidential campaign should have been over given that he had lied publicly about not knowing what his son was doing. Instead almost the entire Sabbatian-owned mainstream media and Sabbatian-owned Silicon Valley suppressed circulation of the story. This alone went a mighty way to rigging the election of 2020. Cult assets like Mark Zuckerberg at Facebook also spent hundreds of millions to be used in support of Biden and vote 'administration'.

The Cult had used Trump as the focus to divide America and was now desperate to bring in moronic, pliable, corrupt Biden to complete the double-whammy. No way were they going to let little things like the will of the people thwart their plan. Silicon Valley widely censored claims that the election was rigged because it *was* rigged. For the same reason anyone claiming it was rigged was denounced as a 'white supremacist' including the pathetically few Republican politicians willing to say so. Right across the media where the claim was mentioned it was described as a 'false claim' even though these excuses for 'journalists' would have done no research into the subject whatsoever. Trump won seven million more votes than any sitting president had ever achieved while somehow a cognitively-challenged soon to be 78-year-old who was hidden away from the public for most of the campaign managed to win more votes than any presidential candidate in history. It makes no sense. You only had to see election rallies for both candidates to witness the enthusiasm for Trump and the apathy for Biden. Tens of thousands would attend Trump events while Biden was speaking in empty car parks with often only television crews attending and framing their shots to hide the fact that no one was there. It was pathetic to see

footage come to light of Biden standing at a podium making speeches only to TV crews and party fixers while reading the words written for him on massive Teleprompter screens. So, yes, those protestors on January 6th had a point about election rigging, but some were about to walk into a trap laid for them in Washington by the Cult Deep State and its QAnon Psyop. This was the Capitol Hill riot ludicrously dubbed an 'insurrection'.

The spider and the fly

Renegade Minds know there are not two 'sides' in politics, only one side, the Cult, working through all 'sides'. It's a stage show, a puppet show, to direct the perceptions of the population into focusing on diversions like parties and candidates while missing the puppeteers with their hands holding all the strings. The Capitol Hill 'insurrection' brings us back to the Little Big Horn. Having created two distinct opposing groupings – Woke and Pushbackers – the trap was about to be sprung. Pushbackers were to be encircled and isolated by associating them all in the public mind with Trump and then labelling Trump as some sort of Confederate leader. I knew immediately that the Capitol riot was a set-up because of two things. One was how easy the rioters got into the building with virtually no credible resistance and secondly I could see – as with the 'Covid' hoax in the West at the start of 2020 – how the Cult could exploit the situation to move its agenda forward with great speed. My experience of Cult techniques and activities over more than 30 years has showed me that while they do exploit situations they haven't themselves created this never happens with events of fundamental agenda significance. Every time major events giving cultists the excuse to rapidly advance their plan you find they are manipulated into being for the specific reason of providing that excuse – Problem-Reaction-Solution. Only a tiny minority of the huge crowd of Washington protestors sought to gain entry to the Capitol by smashing windows and breaching doors. That didn't matter. The whole crowd and all Pushbackers, even if they did not support Trump, were going to be lumped together as dangerous

insurrectionists and conspiracy theorists. The latter term came into widespread use through a CIA memo in the 1960s aimed at discrediting those questioning the nonsensical official story of the Kennedy assassination and it subsequently became widely employed by the media. It's still being used by inept 'journalists' with no idea of its origin to discredit anyone questioning anything that authority claims to be true. When you are perpetrating a conspiracy you need to discredit the very word itself even though the dictionary definition of conspiracy is merely 'the activity of secretly planning with other people to do something bad or illegal' and 'a general agreement to keep silent about a subject for the purpose of keeping it secret'. On that basis there are conspiracies almost wherever you look. For obvious reasons the Cult and its lapdog media have to claim there are no conspiracies even though the word appears in state laws as with conspiracy to defraud, to murder, and to corrupt public morals.

Agent provocateurs are widely used by the Cult Deep State to manipulate genuine people into acting in ways that suit the desired outcome. By genuine in this case I mean protestors genuinely supporting Trump and claims that the election was stolen. In among them, however, were agents of the state wearing the garb of Trump supporters and QAnon to pump-prime the Capital riot which some genuine Trump supporters naively fell for. I described the situation as 'Come into my parlour said the spider to the fly'. Leaflets appeared through the Woke paramilitary arm Antifa, the anti-fascist fascists, calling on supporters to turn up in Washington looking like Trump supporters even though they hated him. Some of those arrested for breaching the Capitol Building were sourced to Antifa and its stable mate Black Lives Matter. Both organisations are funded by Cult billionaires and corporations. One man charged for the riot was according to his lawyer a former FBI agent who had held top secret security clearance for 40 years. Attorney Thomas Plofchan said of his client, 66-year-old Thomas Edward Caldwell:

He has held a Top Secret Security Clearance since 1979 and has undergone multiple Special Background Investigations in support of his clearances. After retiring from the Navy, he

worked as a section chief for the Federal Bureau of Investigation from 2009-2010 as a GS-12 [mid-level employee].

He also formed and operated a consulting firm performing work, often classified, for U.S government customers including the US. Drug Enforcement Agency, Department of Housing and Urban Development, the US Coast Guard, and the US Army Personnel Command.

A judge later released Caldwell pending trial in the absence of evidence about a conspiracy or that he tried to force his way into the building. *The New York Post* reported a 'law enforcement source' as saying that 'at least two known Antifa members were spotted' on camera among Trump supporters during the riot while one of the rioters arrested was John Earle Sullivan, a seriously extreme Black Lives Matter Trump-hater from Utah who was previously arrested and charged in July, 2020, over a BLM-Antifa riot in which drivers were threatened and one was shot. Sullivan is the founder of Utah-based Insurgence USA which is an affiliate of the Cult-created-and-funded Black Lives Matter movement. Footage appeared and was then deleted by Twitter of Trump supporters calling out Antifa infiltrators and a group was filmed changing into pro-Trump clothing before the riot. Security at the building was *pathetic* – as planned. Colonel Leroy Fletcher Prouty, a man with long experience in covert operations working with the US security apparatus, once described the tell-tale sign to identify who is involved in an assassination. He said:

No one has to direct an assassination – it happens. The active role is played secretly by permitting it to happen. This is the greatest single clue. Who has the power to call off or reduce the usual security precautions?

This principle applies to many other situations and certainly to the Capitol riot of January 6th, 2021.

The sting

With such a big and potentially angry crowd known to be gathering near the Capitol the security apparatus would have had a major police detail to defend the building with National Guard troops on

standby given the strength of feeling among people arriving from all over America encouraged by the QAnon Psyop and statements by Donald Trump. Instead Capitol Police 'security' was flimsy, weak, and easily breached. The same number of officers was deployed as on a regular day and that is a blatant red flag. They were not staffed or equipped for a possible riot that had been an obvious possibility in the circumstances. No protective and effective fencing worth the name was put in place and there were no contingency plans. The whole thing was basically a case of standing aside and waving people in. Once inside police mostly backed off apart from one Capitol police officer who ridiculously shot dead unarmed Air Force veteran protestor Ashli Babbitt without a warning as she climbed through a broken window. The 'investigation' refused to name or charge the officer after what must surely be considered a murder in the circumstances. They just lifted a carpet and swept. The story was endlessly repeated about five people dying in the 'armed insurrection' when there was no report of rioters using weapons. Apart from Babbitt the other four died from a heart attack, strokes and apparently a drug overdose. Capitol police officer Brian Sicknick was reported to have died after being bludgeoned with a fire extinguisher when he was alive after the riot was over and died later of what the Washington Medical Examiner's Office said was a stroke. Sicknick had no external injuries. The lies were delivered like rapid fire. There was a narrative to build with incessant repetition of the lie until the lie became the accepted 'everybody knows that' truth. The 'Big Lie' technique of Nazi Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels is constantly used by the Cult which was behind the Nazis and is today behind the 'Covid' and 'climate change' hoaxes. Goebbels said:

> If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the State to use all of its powers to repress dissent, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, the truth is the greatest enemy of the State.

Most protestors had a free run of the Capitol Building. This allowed pictures to be taken of rioters in iconic parts of the building including the Senate chamber which could be used as propaganda images against all Pushbackers. One Congresswoman described the scene as 'the worst kind of non-security anybody could ever imagine'. Well, the first part was true, but someone obviously did imagine it and made sure it happened. Some photographs most widely circulated featured people wearing QAnon symbols and now the Psyop would be used to dub all QAnon followers with the ubiquitous fit-all label of 'white supremacist' and 'insurrectionists'. When a Muslim extremist called Noah Green drove his car at two police officers at the Capitol Building killing one in April, 2021, there was no such political and media hysteria. They were just disappointed he wasn't white.

The witch-hunt

Government prosecutor Michael Sherwin, an aggressive, dark-eyed, professional Rottweiler led the 'investigation' and to call it over the top would be to understate reality a thousand fold. Hundreds were tracked down and arrested for the crime of having the wrong political views and people were jailed who had done nothing more than walk in the building, committed no violence or damage to property, took a few pictures and left. They were labelled a 'threat to the Republic' while Biden sat in the White House signing executive orders written for him that were dismantling 'the Republic'. Even when judges ruled that a mother and son should not be in jail the government kept them there. Some of those arrested have been badly beaten by prison guards in Washington and lawyers for one man said he suffered a fractured skull and was made blind in one eye. Meanwhile a woman is shot dead for no reason by a Capitol Police officer and we are not allowed to know who he is never mind what has happened to him although that will be *nothing*. The Cult's QAnon/Trump sting to identify and isolate Pushbackers and then target them on the road to crushing and deleting them was a resounding success. You would have thought the Russians had

invaded the building at gunpoint and lined up senators for a firing squad to see the political and media reaction. Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez is a child in a woman's body, a terrible-twos, me, me, me, Woker narcissist of such proportions that words have no meaning. She said she thought she was going to die when 'insurrectionists' banged on her office door. It turned out she wasn't even in the Capitol Building when the riot was happening and the 'banging' was a Capitol Police officer. She referred to herself as a 'survivor' which is an insult to all those true survivors of violent and sexual abuse while she lives her pampered and privileged life talking drivel for a living. Her Woke colleague and fellow mega-narcissist Rashida Tlaib broke down describing the devastating effect on her, too, of *not being* in the building when the rioters were there. Ocasio-Cortez and Tlaib are members of a fully-Woke group of Congresswomen known as 'The Squad' along with Ilhan Omar and Ayanna Pressley. The Squad from what I can see can be identified by its vehement anti-white racism, anti-white men agenda, and, as always in these cases, the absence of brain cells on active duty.

The usual suspects were on the riot case immediately in the form of Democrat ultra-Zionist senators and operatives Chuck Schumer and Adam Schiff demanding that Trump be impeached for 'his part in the insurrection'. The same pair of prats had led the failed impeachment of Trump over the invented 'Russia collusion' nonsense which claimed Russia had helped Trump win the 2016 election. I didn't realise that Tel Aviv had been relocated just outside Moscow. I must find an up-to-date map. The Russia hoax was a Sabbatian operation to keep Trump occupied and impotent and to stop any rapport with Russia which the Cult wants to retain as a perceptual enemy to be pulled out at will. Puppet Biden began attacking Russia when he came to office as the Cult seeks more upheaval, division and war across the world. A two-year stage show 'Russia collusion inquiry' headed by the not-very-bright former 9/11 FBI chief Robert Mueller, with support from 19 lawyers, 40 FBI agents plus intelligence analysts, forensic accountants and other

staff, devoured tens of millions of dollars and found no evidence of Russia collusion which a ten-year-old could have told them on day one. Now the same moronic Schumer and Schiff wanted a second impeachment of Trump over the Capitol 'insurrection' (riot) which the arrested development of Schumer called another 'Pearl Harbor' while others compared it with 9/11 in which 3,000 died and, in the case of CNN, with the Rwandan genocide in the 1990s in which an estimated 500,000 to 600,000 were murdered, between 250, 000 and 500,000 women were raped, and populations of whole towns were hacked to death with machetes. To make those comparisons purely for Cult political reasons is beyond insulting to those that suffered and lost their lives and confirms yet again the callous inhumanity that we are dealing with. Schumer is a monumental idiot and so is Schiff, but they serve the Cult agenda and do whatever they're told so they get looked after. Talking of idiots – another inane man who spanned the Russia and Capitol impeachment attempts was Senator Eric Swalwell who had the nerve to accuse Trump of collusion with the Russians while sleeping with a Chinese spy called Christine Fang or 'Fang Fang' which is straight out of a Bond film no doubt starring Klaus Schwab as the bloke living on a secret island and controlling laser weapons positioned in space and pointing at world capitals. Fang Fang plays the part of Bond's infiltrator girlfriend which I'm sure she would enjoy rather more than sharing a bed with the brainless Swalwell, lying back and thinking of China. The FBI eventually warned Swalwell about Fang Fang which gave her time to escape back to the Chinese dictatorship. How very thoughtful of them. The second Trump impeachment also failed and hardly surprising when an impeachment is supposed to remove a sitting president and by the time it happened Trump was no longer president. These people are running your country America, well, officially anyway. Terrifying isn't it?

Outcomes tell the story - always

The outcome of all this – and it's the *outcome* on which Renegade Minds focus, not the words – was that a vicious, hysterical and

obviously pre-planned assault was launched on Pushbackers to censor, silence and discredit them and even targeted their right to earn a living. They have since been condemned as 'domestic terrorists' that need to be treated like Al-Qaeda and Islamic State. 'Domestic terrorists' is a label the Cult has been trying to make stick since the period of the Oklahoma bombing in 1995 which was blamed on 'far-right domestic terrorists'. If you read *The Trigger* you will see that the bombing was clearly a Problem-Reaction-Solution carried out by the Deep State during a Bill Clinton administration so corrupt that no dictionary definition of the term would even nearly suffice. Nearly 30, 000 troops were deployed from all over America to the empty streets of Washington for Biden's inauguration. Ten thousand of them stayed on with the pretext of protecting the capital from insurrectionists when it was more psychological programming to normalise the use of the military in domestic law enforcement in support of the Cult plan for a police-military state. Biden's fascist administration began a purge of 'wrong-thinkers' in the military which means anyone that is not on board with Woke. The Capitol Building was surrounded by a fence with razor wire and the Land of the Free was further symbolically and literally dismantled. The circle was completed with the installation of Biden and the exploitation of the QAnon Psyop.

America had never been so divided since the civil war of the 19th century, Pushbackers were isolated and dubbed terrorists and now, as was always going to happen, the Cult immediately set about deleting what little was left of freedom and transforming American society through a swish of the hand of the most controlled 'president' in American history leading (officially at least) the most extreme regime since the country was declared an independent state on July 4th, 1776. Biden issued undebated, dictatorial executive orders almost by the hour in his opening days in office across the whole spectrum of the Cult wish-list including diluting controls on the border with Mexico allowing thousands of migrants to illegally enter the United States to transform the demographics of America and import an election-changing number of perceived Democrat

voters. Then there were Biden deportation amnesties for the already illegally resident (estimated to be as high as 20 or even 30 million). A bill before Congress awarded American citizenship to anyone who could prove they had worked in agriculture for just 180 days in the previous two years as 'Big Ag' secured its slave labour long-term. There were the plans to add new states to the union such as Puerto Rico and making Washington DC a state. They are all parts of a plan to ensure that the Cult-owned Woke Democrats would be permanently in power.

Border – what border?

I have exposed in detail in other books how mass immigration into the United States and Europe is the work of Cult networks fuelled by the tens of billions spent to this and other ends by George Soros and his global Open Society (open borders) Foundations. The impact can be seen in America alone where the population has increased by *100 million* in little more than 30 years mostly through immigration. I wrote in *The Answer* that the plan was to have so many people crossing the southern border that the numbers become unstoppable and we are now there under Cult-owned Biden. El Salvador in Central America puts the scale of what is happening into context. A third of the population now lives in the United States, much of it illegally, and many more are on the way. The methodology is to crush Central and South American countries economically and spread violence through machete-wielding psychopathic gangs like MS-13 based in El Salvador and now operating in many American cities. Biden-imposed lax security at the southern border means that it is all but open. He said before his 'election' that he wanted to see a surge towards the border if he became president and that was the green light for people to do just that after election day to create the human disaster that followed for both America and the migrants. When that surge came the imbecilic Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez said it wasn't a 'surge' because they are 'children, not insurgents' and the term 'surge' (used by Biden) was a claim of 'white supremacists'.

This disingenuous lady may one day enter the realm of the most basic intelligence, but it won't be any time soon.

Sabbatians and the Cult are in the process of destroying America by importing violent people and gangs in among the genuine to terrorise American cities and by overwhelming services that cannot cope with the sheer volume of new arrivals. Something similar is happening in Europe as Western society in general is targeted for demographic and cultural transformation and upheaval. The plan demands violence and crime to create an environment of intimidation, fear and division and Soros has been funding the election of district attorneys across America who then stop prosecuting many crimes, reduce sentences for violent crimes and free as many violent criminals as they can. Sabbatians are creating the chaos from which order – their order – can respond in a classic Problem-Reaction-Solution. A Freemasonic moto says 'Ordo Ab Chao' (Order out of Chaos) and this is why the Cult is constantly creating chaos to impose a new 'order'. Here you have the reason the Cult is constantly creating chaos. The 'Covid' hoax can be seen with those entering the United States by plane being forced to take a 'Covid' test while migrants flooding through southern border processing facilities do not. Nothing is put in the way of mass migration and if that means ignoring the government's own 'Covid' rules then so be it. They know it's all bullshit anyway. Any pushback on this is denounced as 'racist' by Wokers and Sabbatian fronts like the ultra-Zionist Anti-Defamation League headed by the appalling Jonathan Greenblatt which at the same time argues that Israel should not give citizenship and voting rights to more Palestinian Arabs or the 'Jewish population' (in truth the Sabbatian network) will lose control of the country.

Society-changing numbers

Biden's masters have declared that countries like El Salvador are so dangerous that their people must be allowed into the United States for humanitarian reasons when there are fewer murders in large parts of many Central American countries than in US cities like

Baltimore. That is not to say Central America cannot be a dangerous place and Cult-controlled American governments have been making it so since way back, along with the dismantling of economies, in a long-term plan to drive people north into the United States. Parts of Central America are very dangerous, but in other areas the story is being greatly exaggerated to justify relaxing immigration criteria. Migrants are being offered free healthcare and education in the United States as another incentive to head for the border and there is no requirement to be financially independent before you can enter to prevent the resources of America being drained. You can't blame migrants for seeking what they believe will be a better life, but they are being played by the Cult for dark and nefarious ends. The numbers since Biden took office are huge. In February, 2021, more than 100,000 people were known to have tried to enter the US illegally through the southern border (it was 34,000 in the same month in 2020) and in March it was 170,000 – a 418 percent increase on March, 2020. These numbers are only known people, not the ones who get in unseen. The true figure for migrants illegally crossing the border in a single month was estimated by one congressman at 250,000 and that number will only rise under Biden's current policy. Gangs of murdering drug-running thugs that control the Mexican side of the border demand money – thousands of dollars – to let migrants cross the Rio Grande into America. At the same time gun battles are breaking out on the border several times a week between rival Mexican drug gangs (which now operate globally) who are equipped with sophisticated military-grade weapons, grenades and armoured vehicles. While the Capitol Building was being 'protected' from a non-existent 'threat' by thousands of troops, and others were still deployed at the time in the Cult Neocon war in Afghanistan, the southern border of America was left to its fate. This is not incompetence, it is cold calculation.

By March, 2021, there were 17,000 unaccompanied children held at border facilities and many of them are ensnared by people traffickers for paedophile rings and raped on their journey north to America. This is not conjecture – this is fact. Many of those designated

children are in reality teenage boys or older. Meanwhile Wokers posture their self-purity for encouraging poor and tragic people to come to America and face this nightmare both on the journey and at the border with the disgusting figure of House Speaker Nancy Pelosi giving disingenuous speeches about caring for migrants. The woman's evil. Wokers condemned Trump for having children in cages at the border (so did Obama, *Shhhh*), but now they are sleeping on the floor without access to a shower with one border facility 729 percent over capacity. The Biden insanity even proposed flying migrants from the southern border to the northern border with Canada for 'processing'. The whole shambles is being overseen by ultra-Zionist Secretary of Homeland Security, the moronic liar Alejandro Mayorkas, who banned news cameras at border facilities to stop Americans seeing what was happening. Mayorkas said there was not a ban on news crews; it was just that they were not allowed to film. Alongside him at Homeland Security is another ultra-Zionist Cass Sunstein appointed by Biden to oversee new immigration laws. Sunstein despises conspiracy researchers to the point where he suggests they should be banned or *taxed* for having such views. The man is not bonkers or anything. He's perfectly well-adjusted, but adjusted to what is the question. Criticise what is happening and you are a 'white supremacist' when earlier non-white immigrants also oppose the numbers which effect their lives and opportunities. Black people in poor areas are particularly damaged by uncontrolled immigration and the increased competition for work opportunities with those who will work for less. They are also losing voting power as Hispanics become more dominant in former black areas. It's a downward spiral for them while the billionaires behind the policy drone on about how much they care about black people and 'racism'. None of this is about compassion for migrants or black people – that's just wind and air. Migrants are instead being mercilessly exploited to transform America while the countries they leave are losing their future and the same is true in Europe. Mass immigration may now be the work of Woke Democrats, but it can be traced back to the 1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act (it

wasn't) signed into law by Republican hero President Ronald Reagan which gave amnesty to millions living in the United States illegally and other incentives for people to head for the southern border. Here we have the one-party state at work again.

Save me syndrome

Almost every aspect of what I have been exposing as the Cult agenda was on display in even the first days of 'Biden' with silencing of Pushbackers at the forefront of everything. A Renegade Mind will view the Trump years and QAnon in a very different light to their supporters and advocates as the dots are connected. The QAnon/Trump Psyop has given the Cult all it was looking for. We may not know how much, or little, that Trump realised he was being used, but that's a side issue. This pincer movement produced the desired outcome of dividing America and having Pushbackers isolated. To turn this around we have to look at new routes to empowerment which do not include handing our power to other people and groups through what I will call the 'Save Me Syndrome' – 'I want someone else to do it so that I don't have to'. We have seen this at work throughout human history and the QAnon/Trump Psyop is only the latest incarnation alongside all the others. Religion is an obvious expression of this when people look to a 'god' or priest to save them or tell them how to be saved and then there are 'save me' politicians like Trump. Politics is a diversion and not a 'saviour'. It is a means to block positive change, not make it possible.

Save Me Syndrome always comes with the same repeating theme of handing your power to whom or what you believe will save you while your real 'saviour' stares back from the mirror every morning. Renegade Minds are constantly vigilant in this regard and always asking the question 'What can I do?' rather than 'What can someone else do for me?' Gandhi was right when he said: 'You must be the change you want to see in the world.' We are indeed the people we have been waiting for. We are presented with a constant raft of reasons to concede that power to others and forget where the real power is. Humanity has the numbers and the Cult does not. It has to

use diversion and division to target the unstoppable power that comes from unity. Religions, governments, politicians, corporations, media, QAnon, are all different manifestations of this power-diversion and dilution. Refusing to give your power to governments and instead handing it to Trump and QAnon is not to take a new direction, but merely to recycle the old one with new names on the posters. I will explore this phenomenon as we proceed and how to break the cycles and recycles that got us here through the mists of repeating perception and so repeating history.

For now we shall turn to the most potent example in the entire human story of the consequences that follow when you give your power away. I am talking, of course, of the 'Covid' hoax.

CHAPTER FOUR

'Covid': Calculated catastrophe

Facts are threatening to those invested in fraud
DaShanne Stokes

We can easily unravel the real reason for the 'Covid pandemic' hoax by employing the Renegade Mind methodology that I have outlined this far. We'll start by comparing the long-planned Cult outcome with the 'Covid pandemic' outcome. Know the outcome and you'll see the journey.

I have highlighted the plan for the Hunger Games Society which has been in my books for so many years with the very few controlling the very many through ongoing dependency. To create this dependency it is essential to destroy independent livelihoods, businesses and employment to make the population reliant on the state (the Cult) for even the basics of life through a guaranteed pittance income. While independence of income remained these Cult ambitions would be thwarted. With this knowledge it was easy to see where the 'pandemic' hoax was going once talk of 'lockdowns' began and the closing of all but perceived 'essential' businesses to 'save' us from an alleged 'deadly virus'. Cult corporations like Amazon and Walmart were naturally considered 'essential' while mom and pop shops and stores had their doors closed by fascist decree. As a result with every new lockdown and new regulation more small and medium, even large businesses not owned by the Cult, went to the wall while Cult giants and their frontmen and women grew financially fatter by the second. Mom and pop were

denied an income and the right to earn a living and the wealth of people like Jeff Bezos (Amazon), Mark Zuckerberg (Facebook) and Sergei Brin and Larry Page (Google/Alphabet) have reached record levels. The Cult was increasing its own power through further dramatic concentrations of wealth while the competition was being destroyed and brought into a state of dependency. Lockdowns have been instigated to secure that very end and were never anything to do with health. My brother Paul spent 45 years building up a bus repair business, but lockdowns meant buses were running at a fraction of normal levels for months on end. Similar stories can told in their hundreds of millions worldwide. Efforts of a lifetime coldly destroyed by Cult multi-billionaires and their lackeys in government and law enforcement who continued to earn their living from the taxation of the people while denying the right of the same people to earn theirs. How different it would have been if those making and enforcing these decisions had to face the same financial hardships of those they affected, but they never do.

Gates of Hell

Behind it all in the full knowledge of what he is doing and why is the psychopathic figure of Cult operative Bill Gates. His puppet Tedros at the World Health Organization declared 'Covid' a pandemic in March, 2020. The WHO had changed the definition of a 'pandemic' in 2009 just a month before declaring the 'swine flu pandemic' which would not have been so under the previous definition. The same applies to 'Covid'. The definition had included… 'an infection by an infectious agent, occurring simultaneously in different countries, with a significant mortality rate relative to the proportion of the population infected'. The new definition removed the need for 'significant mortality'. The 'pandemic' has been fraudulent even down to the definition, but Gates demanded economy-destroying lockdowns, school closures, social distancing, mandatory masks, a 'vaccination' for every man, woman and child on the planet and severe consequences and restrictions for those that refused. Who gave him this power? The

Cult did which he serves like a little boy in short trousers doing what his daddy tells him. He and his psychopathic missus even smiled when they said that much worse was to come (what they knew was planned to come). Gates responded in the matter-of-fact way of all psychopaths to a question about the effect on the world economy of what he was doing:

> Well, it won't go to zero but it will shrink. Global GDP is probably going to take the biggest hit ever [Gates was smiling as he said this] … in my lifetime this will be the greatest economic hit. But you don't have a choice. People act as if you have a choice. People don't feel like going to the stadium when they might get infected … People are deeply affected by seeing these stats, by knowing they could be part of the transmission chain, old people, their parents and grandparents, could be affected by this, and so you don't get to say ignore what is going on here.
>
> There will be the ability to open up, particularly in rich countries, if things are done well over the next few months, but for the world at large normalcy only returns when we have largely vaccinated the entire population.

The man has no compassion or empathy. How could he when he's a psychopath like all Cult players? My own view is that even beyond that he is very seriously mentally ill. Look in his eyes and you can see this along with his crazy flailing arms. You don't do what he has done to the world population since the start of 2020 unless you are mentally ill and at the most extreme end of psychopathic. You especially don't do it when to you know, as we shall see, that cases and deaths from 'Covid' are fakery and a product of monumental figure massaging. 'These stats' that Gates referred to are based on a 'test' that's not testing for the 'virus' as he has known all along. He made his fortune with big Cult support as an infamously ruthless software salesman and now buys global control of 'health' (death) policy without the population he affects having any say. It's a breathtaking outrage. Gates talked about people being deeply affected by fear of 'Covid' when that was because of *him* and his global network lying to them minute-by-minute supported by a lying media that he seriously influences and funds to the tune of hundreds of millions. He's handed big sums to media operations including the BBC, NBC, Al Jazeera, Univision, *PBS NewsHour*,

ProPublica, National Journal, The Guardian, The Financial Times, The Atlantic, Texas Tribune, USA Today publisher Gannett, *Washington Monthly, Le Monde,* Center for Investigative Reporting, Pulitzer Center on Crisis Reporting, National Press Foundation, International Center for Journalists, Solutions Journalism Network, the Poynter Institute for Media Studies, and many more. Gates is everywhere in the 'Covid' hoax and the man must go to prison – or a mental facility – for the rest of his life and his money distributed to those he has taken such enormous psychopathic pleasure in crushing.

The Muscle

The Hunger Games global structure demands a police-military state – a fusion of the two into one force – which viciously imposes the will of the Cult on the population and protects the Cult from public rebellion. In that regard, too, the 'Covid' hoax just keeps on giving. Often unlawful, ridiculous and contradictory 'Covid' rules and regulations have been policed across the world by moronic automatons and psychopaths made faceless by face-nappy masks and acting like the Nazi SS and fascist blackshirts and brownshirts of Hitler and Mussolini. The smallest departure from the rules decreed by the psychos in government and their clueless gofers were jumped upon by the face-nappy fascists. Brutality against public protestors soon became commonplace even on girls, women and old people as the brave men with the batons – the Face-Nappies as I call them – broke up peaceful protests and handed out fines like confetti to people who couldn't earn a living let alone pay hundreds of pounds for what was once an accepted human right. Robot Face-Nappies of Nottingham police in the English East Midlands fined one group £11,000 for attending a child's birthday party. For decades I charted the transformation of law enforcement as genuine, decent officers were replaced with psychopaths and the brain dead who would happily and brutally do whatever their masters told them. Now they were let loose on the public and I would emphasise the point that none of this just happened. The step-by-step change in the dynamic between police and public was orchestrated from the shadows by

those who knew where this was all going and the same with the perceptual reframing of those in all levels of authority and official administration through 'training courses' by organisations such as Common Purpose which was created in the late 1980s and given a massive boost in Blair era Britain until it became a global phenomenon. Supposed public 'servants' began to view the population as the enemy and the same was true of the police. This was the start of the explosion of behaviour manipulation organisations and networks preparing for the all-war on the human psyche unleashed with the dawn of 2020. I will go into more detail about this later in the book because it is a core part of what is happening.

Police desecrated beauty spots to deter people gathering and arrested women for walking in the countryside alone 'too far' from their homes. We had arrogant, clueless sergeants in the Isle of Wight police where I live posting on Facebook what they insisted the population must do or else. A schoolmaster sergeant called Radford looked young enough for me to ask if his mother knew he was out, but he was posting what he *expected* people to do while a Sergeant Wilkinson boasted about fining lads for meeting in a McDonald's car park where they went to get a lockdown takeaway. Wilkinson added that he had even cancelled their order. What a pair of prats these people are and yet they have increasingly become the norm among Jackboot Johnson's Yellowshirts once known as the British police. This was the theme all over the world with police savagery common during lockdown protests in the United States, the Netherlands, and the fascist state of Victoria in Australia under its tyrannical and again moronic premier Daniel Andrews. Amazing how tyrannical and moronic tend to work as a team and the same combination could be seen across America as arrogant, narcissistic Woke governors and mayors such as Gavin Newsom (California), Andrew Cuomo (New York), Gretchen Whitmer (Michigan), Lori Lightfoot (Chicago) and Eric Garcetti (Los Angeles) did their Nazi and Stalin impressions with the full support of the compliant brutality of their enforcers in uniform as they arrested small business owners defying

fascist shutdown orders and took them to jail in ankle shackles and handcuffs. This happened to bistro owner Marlena Pavlos-Hackney in Gretchen Whitmer's fascist state of Michigan when police arrived to enforce an order by a state-owned judge for 'putting the community at risk' at a time when other states like Texas were dropping restrictions and migrants were pouring across the southern border without any 'Covid' questions at all. I'm sure there are many officers appalled by what they are ordered to do, but not nearly enough of them. If they were truly appalled they would not do it. As the months passed every opportunity was taken to have the military involved to make their presence on the streets ever more familiar and 'normal' for the longer-term goal of police-military fusion.

Another crucial element to the Hunger Games enforcement network has been encouraging the public to report neighbours and others for 'breaking the lockdown rules'. The group faced with £11,000 in fines at the child's birthday party would have been dobbed-in by a neighbour with a brain the size of a pea. The technique was most famously employed by the Stasi secret police in communist East Germany who had public informants placed throughout the population. A police chief in the UK says his force doesn't need to carry out 'Covid' patrols when they are flooded with so many calls from the public reporting other people for visiting the beach. Dorset police chief James Vaughan said people were so enthusiastic about snitching on their fellow humans they were now operating as an auxiliary arm of the police: 'We are still getting around 400 reports a week from the public, so we will respond to reports …We won't need to be doing hotspot patrols because people are very quick to pick the phone up and tell us.' Vaughan didn't say that this is a pillar of all tyrannies of whatever complexion and the means to hugely extend the reach of enforcement while spreading distrust among the people and making them wary of doing anything that might get them reported. Those narcissistic Isle of Wight sergeants Radford and Wilkinson never fail to add a link to their Facebook posts where the public can inform on their fellow slaves.

Neither would be self-aware enough to realise they were imitating the Stasi which they might well never have heard of. Government psychologists that I will expose later laid out a policy to turn communities against each other in the same way.

A coincidence? Yep, and I can knit fog

I knew from the start of the alleged pandemic that this was a Cult operation. It presented limitless potential to rapidly advance the Cult agenda and exploit manipulated fear to demand that every man, woman and child on the planet was 'vaccinated' in a process never used on humans before which infuses self-replicating *synthetic* material into human cells. Remember the plan to transform the human body from a biological to a synthetic biological state. I'll deal with the 'vaccine' (that's not actually a vaccine) when I focus on the genetic agenda. Enough to say here that mass global 'vaccination' justified by this 'new virus' set alarms ringing after 30 years of tracking these people and their methods. The 'Covid' hoax officially beginning in China was also a big red flag for reasons I will be explaining. The agenda potential was so enormous that I could dismiss any idea that the 'virus' appeared naturally. Major happenings with major agenda implications never occur without Cult involvement in making them happen. My questions were twofold in early 2020 as the media began its campaign to induce global fear and hysteria: Was this alleged infectious agent released on purpose by the Cult or did it even exist at all? I then did what I always do in these situations. I sat, observed and waited to see where the evidence and information would take me. By March and early April synchronicity was strongly – and ever more so since then – pointing me in the direction of *there is no 'virus'*. I went public on that with derision even from swathes of the alternative media that voiced a scenario that the Chinese government released the 'virus' in league with Deep State elements in the United States from a top-level bio-lab in Wuhan where the 'virus' is said to have first appeared. I looked at that possibility, but I didn't buy it for several reasons. Deaths from the 'virus' did not in any way match what they

would have been with a 'deadly bioweapon' and it is much more effective if you sell the *illusion* of an infectious agent rather than having a real one unless you can control through injection who has it and who doesn't. Otherwise you lose control of events. A made-up 'virus' gives you a blank sheet of paper on which you can make it do whatever you like and have any symptoms or mutant 'variants' you choose to add while a real infectious agent would limit you to what it actually does. A phantom disease allows you to have endless ludicrous 'studies' on the 'Covid' dollar to widen the perceived impact by inventing ever more 'at risk' groups including one study which said those who walk slowly may be almost four times more likely to die from the 'virus'. People are in psychiatric wards for less.

A real 'deadly bioweapon' can take out people in the hierarchy that are not part of the Cult, but essential to its operation. Obviously they don't want that. Releasing a real disease means you immediately lose control of it. Releasing an illusory one means you don't. Again it's vital that people are extra careful when dealing with what they want to hear. A bioweapon unleashed from a Chinese laboratory in collusion with the American Deep State may fit a conspiracy narrative, but is it true? Would it not be far more effective to use the excuse of a 'virus' to justify the real bioweapon – the 'vaccine'? That way your disease agent does not have to be transmitted and arrives directly through a syringe. I saw a French virologist Luc Montagnier quoted in the alternative media as saying he had discovered that the alleged 'new' severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus , or SARS-CoV-2, was made artificially and included elements of the human immunodeficiency 'virus' (HIV) and a parasite that causes malaria. SARS-CoV-2 is alleged to trigger an alleged illness called Covid-19. I remembered Montagnier's name from my research years before into claims that an HIV 'retrovirus' causes AIDs – claims that were demolished by Berkeley virologist Peter Duesberg who showed that no one had ever proved that HIV causes acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or AIDS. Claims that become accepted as fact, publicly and medically, with no proof whatsoever are an ever-recurring story that profoundly applies to

'Covid'. Nevertheless, despite the lack of proof, Montagnier's team at the Pasteur Institute in Paris had a long dispute with American researcher Robert Gallo over which of them discovered and isolated the HIV 'virus' and with *no evidence* found it to cause AIDS. You will see later that there is also no evidence that any 'virus' causes any disease or that there is even such a thing as a 'virus' in the way it is said to exist. The claim to have 'isolated' the HIV 'virus' will be presented in its real context as we come to the shocking story – and it is a story – of SARS-CoV-2 and so will Montagnier's assertion that he identified the full SARS-CoV-2 genome.

Hoax in the making

We can pick up the 'Covid' story in 2010 and the publication by the Rockefeller Foundation of a document called 'Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development'. The inner circle of the Rockefeller family has been serving the Cult since John D. Rockefeller (1839-1937) made his fortune with Standard Oil. It is less well known that the same Rockefeller – the Bill Gates of his day – was responsible for establishing what is now referred to as 'Big Pharma', the global network of pharmaceutical companies that make outrageous profits dispensing scalpel and drug 'medicine' and are obsessed with pumping vaccines in ever-increasing number into as many human arms and backsides as possible. John D. Rockefeller was the driving force behind the creation of the 'education' system in the United States and elsewhere specifically designed to program the perceptions of generations thereafter. The Rockefeller family donated exceptionally valuable land in New York for the United Nations building and were central in establishing the World Health Organization in 1948 as an agency of the UN which was created from the start as a Trojan horse and stalking horse for world government. Now enter Bill Gates. His family and the Rockefellers have long been extremely close and I have seen genealogy which claims that if you go back far enough the two families fuse into the same bloodline. Gates has said that the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation was inspired by the Rockefeller Foundation and why not

when both are serving the same Cult? Major tax-exempt foundations are overwhelmingly criminal enterprises in which Cult assets fund the Cult agenda in the guise of 'philanthropy' while avoiding tax in the process. Cult operatives can become mega-rich in their role of front men and women for the psychopaths at the inner core and they, too, have to be psychopaths to knowingly serve such evil. Part of the deal is that a big percentage of the wealth gleaned from representing the Cult has to be spent advancing the ambitions of the Cult and hence you have the Rockefeller Foundation, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (and *so* many more) and people like George Soros with his global Open Society Foundations spending their billions in pursuit of global Cult control. Gates is a global public face of the Cult with his interventions in world affairs including Big Tech influence; a central role in the 'Covid' and 'vaccine' scam; promotion of the climate change shakedown; manipulation of education; geoengineering of the skies; and his food-control agenda as the biggest owner of farmland in America, his GMO promotion and through other means. As one writer said: 'Gates monopolizes or wields disproportionate influence over the tech industry, global health and vaccines, agriculture and food policy (including biopiracy and fake food), weather modification and other climate technologies, surveillance, education and media.' The almost limitless wealth secured through Microsoft and other not-allowed-to-fail ventures (including vaccines) has been ploughed into a long, long list of Cult projects designed to enslave the entire human race. Gates and the Rockefellers have been working as one unit with the Rockefeller-established World Health Organization leading global 'Covid' policy controlled by Gates through his mouth-piece Tedros. Gates became the WHO's biggest funder when Trump announced that the American government would cease its donations, but Biden immediately said he would restore the money when he took office in January, 2021. The Gates Foundation (the Cult) owns through limitless funding the world health system and the major players across the globe in the 'Covid' hoax.

Okay, with that background we return to that Rockefeller Foundation document of 2010 headed 'Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development' and its 'imaginary' epidemic of a virulent and deadly influenza strain which infected 20 percent of the global population and killed eight million in seven months. The Rockefeller scenario was that the epidemic destroyed economies, closed shops, offices and other businesses and led to governments imposing fierce rules and restrictions that included mandatory wearing of face masks and body-temperature checks to enter communal spaces like railway stations and supermarkets. The document predicted that even after the height of the Rockefeller-envisaged epidemic the authoritarian rule would continue to deal with further pandemics, transnational terrorism, environmental crises and rising poverty. Now you may think that the Rockefellers are our modern-day seers or alternatively, and rather more likely, that they well knew what was planned a few years further on. Fascism had to be imposed, you see, to 'protect citizens from risk and exposure'. The Rockefeller scenario document said:

During the pandemic, national leaders around the world flexed their authority and imposed airtight rules and restrictions, from the mandatory wearing of face masks to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets. Even after the pandemic faded, this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of increasingly global problems – from pandemics and transnational terrorism to environmental crises and rising poverty – leaders around the world took a firmer grip on power.

At first, the notion of a more controlled world gained wide acceptance and approval. Citizens willingly gave up some of their sovereignty – and their privacy – to more paternalistic states in exchange for greater safety and stability. Citizens were more tolerant, and even eager, for top-down direction and oversight, and national leaders had more latitude to impose order in the ways they saw fit.

In developed countries, this heightened oversight took many forms: biometric IDs for all citizens, for example, and tighter regulation of key industries whose stability was deemed vital to national interests. In many developed countries, enforced cooperation with a suite of new regulations and agreements slowly but steadily restored both order and, importantly, economic growth.

There we have the prophetic Rockefellers in 2010 and three years later came their paper for the Global Health Summit in Beijing, China, when government representatives, the private sector, international organisations and groups met to discuss the next 100 years of 'global health'. The Rockefeller Foundation-funded paper was called 'Dreaming the Future of Health for the Next 100 Years and more prophecy ensued as it described a dystopian future: 'The abundance of data, digitally tracking and linking people may mean the 'death of privacy' and may replace physical interaction with transient, virtual connection, generating isolation and raising questions of how values are shaped in virtual networks.' Next in the 'Covid' hoax preparation sequence came a 'table top' simulation in 2018 for another 'imaginary' pandemic of a disease called Clade X which was said to kill 900 million people. The exercise was organised by the Gates-funded Johns Hopkins University's Center for Health Security in the United States and this is the very same university that has been compiling the disgustingly and systematically erroneous global figures for 'Covid' cases and deaths. Similar Johns Hopkins health crisis scenarios have included the Dark Winter exercise in 2001 and Atlantic Storm in 2005.

Nostradamus 201

For sheer predictive genius look no further prophecy-watchers than the Bill Gates-funded Event 201 held only six weeks before the 'coronavirus pandemic' is supposed to have broken out in China and Event 201 was based on a scenario of a global 'coronavirus pandemic'. Melinda Gates, the great man's missus, told the BBC that he had 'prepared for years' for a coronavirus pandemic which told us what we already knew. Nostradamugates had predicted in a TED talk in 2015 that a pandemic was coming that would kill a lot of people and demolish the world economy. My god, the man is a machine – possibly even literally. Now here he was only weeks before the real thing funding just such a simulated scenario and involving his friends and associates at Johns Hopkins, the World Economic Forum Cult-front of Klaus Schwab, the United Nations,

Johnson & Johnson, major banks, and officials from China and the Centers for Disease Control in the United States. What synchronicity – Johns Hopkins would go on to compile the fraudulent 'Covid' figures, the World Economic Forum and Schwab would push the 'Great Reset' in response to 'Covid', the Centers for Disease Control would be at the forefront of 'Covid' policy in the United States, Johnson & Johnson would produce a 'Covid vaccine', and everything would officially start just weeks later in China. Spooky, eh? They were even accurate in creating a simulation of a 'virus' pandemic because the 'real thing' would also be a simulation. Event 201 was not an exercise preparing for something that might happen; it was a rehearsal for what those in control knew was *going* to happen and very shortly. Hours of this simulation were posted on the Internet and the various themes and responses mirrored what would soon be imposed to transform human society. News stories were inserted and what they said would be commonplace a few weeks later with still more prophecy perfection. Much discussion focused on the need to deal with misinformation and the 'anti-vax movement' which is exactly what happened when the 'virus' arrived – was said to have arrived – in the West.

Cult-owned social media banned criticism and exposure of the official 'virus' narrative and when I said there *was* no 'virus' in early April, 2020, I was banned by one platform after another including YouTube, Facebook and later Twitter. The mainstream broadcast media in Britain was in effect banned from interviewing me by the Tony-Blair-created government broadcasting censor Ofcom headed by career government bureaucrat Melanie Dawes who was appointed just as the 'virus' hoax was about to play out in January, 2020. At the same time the Ickonic media platform was using Vimeo, another ultra-Zionist-owned operation, while our own player was being created and they deleted in an instant hundreds of videos, documentaries, series and shows to confirm their unbelievable vindictiveness. We had copies, of course, and they had to be restored one by one when our player was ready. These people have no class. Sabbatian Facebook promised free advertisements for the Gates-

controlled World Health Organization narrative while deleting 'false claims and conspiracy theories' to stop 'misinformation' about the alleged coronavirus. All these responses could be seen just a short while earlier in the scenarios of Event 201. Extreme censorship was absolutely crucial for the Cult because the official story was so ridiculous and unsupportable by the evidence that it could never survive open debate and the free-flow of information and opinion. If you can't win a debate then don't have one is the Cult's approach throughout history. Facebook's little boy front man – front boy – Mark Zuckerberg equated 'credible and accurate information' with official sources and exposing their lies with 'misinformation'.

Silencing those that can see

The censorship dynamic of Event 201 is now the norm with an army of narrative-supporting 'fact-checker' organisations whose entire reason for being is to tell the public that official narratives are true and those exposing them are lying. One of the most appalling of these 'fact-checkers' is called NewsGuard founded by ultra-Zionist Americans Gordon Crovitz and Steven Brill. Crovitz is a former publisher of *The Wall Street Journal*, former Executive Vice President of Dow Jones, a member of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), and on the board of the American Association of Rhodes Scholars. The CFR and Rhodes Scholarships, named after Rothschild agent Cecil Rhodes who plundered the gold and diamonds of South Africa for his masters and the Cult, have featured widely in my books. NewsGuard don't seem to like me for some reason – I really can't think why – and they have done all they can to have me censored and discredited which is, to quote an old British politician, like being savaged by a dead sheep. They are, however, like all in the censorship network, very well connected and funded by organisations themselves funded by, or connected to, Bill Gates. As you would expect with anything associated with Gates NewsGuard has an offshoot called HealthGuard which 'fights online health care hoaxes'. How very kind. Somehow the NewsGuard European Managing Director Anna-Sophie Harling, a remarkably young-

looking woman with no broadcasting experience and little hands-on work in journalism, has somehow secured a position on the 'Content Board' of UK government broadcast censor Ofcom. An executive of an organisation seeking to discredit dissidents of the official narratives is making decisions for the government broadcast 'regulator' about content?? Another appalling 'fact-checker' is Full Fact funded by George Soros and global censors Google and Facebook.

It's amazing how many activists in the 'fact-checking', 'anti-hate', arena turn up in government-related positions – people like UK Labour Party activist Imran Ahmed who heads the Center for Countering Digital Hate founded by people like Morgan McSweeney, now chief of staff to the Labour Party's hapless and useless 'leader' Keir Starmer. Digital Hate – which is what it really is – uses the American spelling of Center to betray its connection to a transatlantic network of similar organisations which in 2020 shapeshifted from attacking people for 'hate' to attacking them for questioning the 'Covid' hoax and the dangers of the 'Covid vaccine'. It's just a coincidence, you understand. This is one of Imran Ahmed's hysterical statements: 'I would go beyond calling anti-vaxxers conspiracy theorists to say they are an extremist group that pose a national security risk.' No one could ever accuse this prat of understatement and he's including in that those parents who are now against vaccines after their children were damaged for life or killed by them. He's such a nice man. Ahmed does the rounds of the Woke media getting soft-ball questions from spineless 'journalists' who never ask what right he has to campaign to destroy the freedom of speech of others while he demands it for himself. There also seems to be an overrepresentation in Ofcom of people connected to the narrative-worshipping BBC. This incredible global network of narrative-support was super-vital when the 'Covid' hoax was played in the light of the mega-whopper lies that have to be defended from the spotlight cast by the most basic intelligence.

Setting the scene

The Cult plays the long game and proceeds step-by-step ensuring that everything is in place before major cards are played and they don't come any bigger than the 'Covid' hoax. The psychopaths can't handle events where the outcome isn't certain and as little as possible – preferably nothing – is left to chance. Politicians, government and medical officials who would follow direction were brought to illusory power in advance by the Cult web whether on the national stage or others like state governors and mayors of America. For decades the dynamic between officialdom, law enforcement and the public was changed from one of service to one of control and dictatorship. Behaviour manipulation networks established within government were waiting to impose the coming 'Covid' rules and regulations specifically designed to subdue and rewire the psyche of the people in the guise of protecting health. These included in the UK the Behavioural Insights Team part-owned by the British government Cabinet Office; the Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours (SPI-B); and a whole web of intelligence and military groups seeking to direct the conversation on social media and control the narrative. Among them are the cyberwarfare (on the people) 77th Brigade of the British military which is also coordinated through the Cabinet Office as civilian and military leadership continues to combine in what they call the Fusion Doctrine. The 77th Brigade is a British equivalent of the infamous Israeli (Sabbatian) military cyberwarfare and Internet manipulation operation Unit 8200 which I expose at length in *The Trigger*. Also carefully in place were the medical and science advisers to government – many on the payroll past or present of Bill Gates – and a whole alternative structure of unelected government stood by to take control when elected parliaments were effectively closed down once the 'Covid' card was slammed on the table. The structure I have described here and so much more was installed in every major country through the Cult networks. The top-down control hierarchy looks like this: The Cult – Cult-owned Gates – the World Health Organization and Tedros – Gates-funded or controlled chief medical officers and science 'advisers' (dictators) in each country –

political 'leaders'– law enforcement – The People. Through this simple global communication and enforcement structure the policy of the Cult could be imposed on virtually the entire human population so long as they acquiesced to the fascism. With everything in place it was time for the button to be pressed in late 2019/early 2020.

These were the prime goals the Cult had to secure for its will to prevail:

1) Locking down economies, closing all but designated 'essential' businesses (Cult-owned corporations were 'essential'), and putting the population under house arrest was an imperative to destroy independent income and employment and ensure dependency on the Cult-controlled state in the Hunger Games Society. Lockdowns had to be established as the global blueprint from the start to respond to the 'virus' and followed by pretty much the entire world.

2) The global population had to be terrified into believing in a deadly 'virus' that didn't actually exist so they would unquestioningly obey authority in the belief that authority must know how best to protect them and their families. Software salesman Gates would suddenly morph into the world's health expert and be promoted as such by the Cult-owned media.

3) A method of testing that wasn't testing for the 'virus', but was only claimed to be, had to be in place to provide the illusion of 'cases' and subsequent 'deaths' that had a very different cause to the 'Covid-19' that would be scribbled on the death certificate.

4) Because there was no 'virus' and the great majority testing positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' would have no symptoms of anything the lie had to be sold that people without symptoms (without the 'virus') could still pass it on to others. This was crucial to justify for the first time quarantining – house arresting – healthy people. Without this the economy-destroying lockdown of *everybody* could not have been credibly sold.

5) The 'saviour' had to be seen as a vaccine which beyond evil drug companies were working like angels of mercy to develop as quickly as possible, with all corners cut, to save the day. The public must absolutely not know that the 'vaccine' had nothing to do with a 'virus' or that the contents were ready and waiting with a very different motive long before the 'Covid' card was even lifted from the pack.

I said in March, 2020, that the 'vaccine' would have been created way ahead of the 'Covid' hoax which justified its use and the following December an article in the New York *Intelligencer* magazine said the Moderna 'vaccine' had been 'designed' by

January, 2020. This was 'before China had even acknowledged that the disease could be transmitted from human to human, more than a week before the first confirmed coronavirus case in the United States'. The article said that by the time the first American death was announced a month later 'the vaccine had already been manufactured and shipped to the National Institutes of Health for the beginning of its Phase I clinical trial'. The 'vaccine' was actually 'designed' long before that although even with this timescale you would expect the article to ask how on earth it could have been done that quickly. Instead it asked why the 'vaccine' had not been rolled out then and not months later. Journalism in the mainstream is truly dead. I am going to detail in the next chapter why the 'virus' has never existed and how a hoax on that scale was possible, but first the foundation on which the Big Lie of 'Covid' was built.

The test that doesn't test

Fraudulent 'testing' is the bottom line of the whole 'Covid' hoax and was the means by which a 'virus' that did not exist *appeared* to exist. They could only achieve this magic trick by using a test not testing for the 'virus'. To use a test that *was* testing for the 'virus' would mean that every test would come back negative given there was no 'virus'. They chose to exploit something called the RT-PCR test invented by American biochemist Kary Mullis in the 1980s who said publicly that his PCR test … *cannot detect infectious disease*. Yes, the 'test' used worldwide to detect infectious 'Covid' to produce all the illusory 'cases' and 'deaths' compiled by Johns Hopkins and others *cannot detect infectious disease*. This fact came from the mouth of the man who invented PCR and was awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1993 for doing so. Sadly, and incredibly conveniently for the Cult, Mullis died in August, 2019, at the age of 74 just before his test would be fraudulently used to unleash fascism on the world. He was said to have died from pneumonia which was an irony in itself. A few months later he would have had 'Covid-19' on his death certificate. I say the timing of his death was convenient because had he lived Mullis, a brilliant, honest and decent man, would have been

vociferously speaking out against the use of his test to detect 'Covid' when it was never designed, or able, to do that. I know that to be true given that Mullis made the same point when his test was used to 'detect' – not detect – HIV. He had been seriously critical of the Gallo/Montagnier claim to have isolated the HIV 'virus' and shown it to cause AIDS for which Mullis said there was no evidence. AIDS is actually not a disease but a series of diseases from which people die all the time. When they die from those *same diseases* after a positive 'test' for HIV then AIDS goes on their death certificate. I think I've heard that before somewhere. Countries instigated a policy with 'Covid' that anyone who tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' and died of any other cause within 28 days and even longer 'Covid-19' had to go on the death certificate. Cases have come from the test that can't test for infectious disease and the deaths are those who have died of *anything* after testing positive with a test not testing for the 'virus'. I'll have much more later about the death certificate scandal.

Mullis was deeply dismissive of the now US 'Covid' star Anthony Fauci who he said was a liar who didn't know anything about anything – 'and I would say that to his face – nothing.' He said of Fauci: 'The man thinks he can take a blood sample, put it in an electron microscope and if it's got a virus in there you'll know it – he doesn't understand electron microscopy and he doesn't understand medicine and shouldn't be in a position like he's in.' That position, terrifyingly, has made him the decider of 'Covid' fascism policy on behalf of the Cult in his role as director since 1984 of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) while his record of being wrong is laughable; but being wrong, so long as it's the *right kind* of wrong, is why the Cult loves him. He'll say anything the Cult tells him to say. Fauci was made Chief Medical Adviser to the President immediately Biden took office. Biden was installed in the White House by Cult manipulation and one of his first decisions was to elevate Fauci to a position of even more control. This is a coincidence? Yes, and I identify as a flamenco dancer called Lola. How does such an incompetent criminal like Fauci remain in that

pivotal position in American health since *the 1980s*? When you serve the Cult it looks after you until you are surplus to requirements. Kary Mullis said prophetically of Fauci and his like: 'Those guys have an agenda and it's not an agenda we would like them to have … they make their own rules, they change them when they want to, and Tony Fauci does not mind going on television in front of the people who pay his salary and lie directly into the camera.' Fauci has done that almost daily since the 'Covid' hoax began. Lying is in Fauci's DNA. To make the situation crystal clear about the PCR test this is a direct quote from its inventor Kary Mullis:

> It [the PCR test] doesn't tell you that you're sick and doesn't tell you that the thing you ended up with was really going to hurt you ...'

Ask yourself why governments and medical systems the world over have been using this very test to decide who is 'infected' with the SARS-CoV-2 'virus' and the alleged disease it allegedly causes, 'Covid-19'. The answer to that question will tell you what has been going on. By the way, here's a little show-stopper – the 'new' SARS-CoV-2 'virus' was 'identified' as such right from the start using … *the PCR test not testing for the 'virus'*. If you are new to this and find that shocking then stick around. I have hardly started yet. Even worse, other 'tests', like the 'Lateral Flow Device' (LFD), are considered so useless that they have to be *confirmed* by the PCR test! Leaked emails written by Ben Dyson, adviser to UK 'Health' Secretary Matt Hancock, said they were 'dangerously unreliable'. Dyson, executive director of strategy at the Department of Health, wrote: 'As of today, someone who gets a positive LFD result in (say) London has at best a 25 per cent chance of it being a true positive, but if it is a self-reported test potentially as low as 10 per cent (on an optimistic assumption about specificity) or as low as 2 per cent (on a more pessimistic assumption).' These are the 'tests' that schoolchildren and the public are being urged to have twice a week or more and have to isolate if they get a positive. Each fake positive goes in the statistics as a 'case' no matter how ludicrously inaccurate and the

'cases' drive lockdown, masks and the pressure to 'vaccinate'. The government said in response to the email leak that the 'tests' were accurate which confirmed yet again what shocking bloody liars they are. The real false positive rate is *100 percent* as we'll see. In another 'you couldn't make it up' the UK government agreed to pay £2.8 billion to California's Innova Medical Group to supply the irrelevant lateral flow tests. The company's primary test-making centre is in China. Innova Medical Group, established in March, 2020, is owned by Pasaca Capital Inc, chaired by Chinese-American millionaire Charles Huang who was born in Wuhan.

How it works – and how it doesn't

The RT-PCR test, known by its full title of Polymerase chain reaction, is used across the world to make millions, even billions, of copies of a DNA/RNA genetic information sample. The process is called 'amplification' and means that a tiny sample of genetic material is amplified to bring out the detailed content. I stress that it is not testing for an infectious disease. It is simply amplifying a sample of genetic material. In the words of Kary Mullis: 'PCR is … just a process that's used to make a whole lot of something out of something.' To emphasise the point companies that make the PCR tests circulated around the world to 'test' for 'Covid' warn on the box that it can't be used to detect 'Covid' or infectious disease and is for research purposes only. It's okay, rest for a minute and you'll be fine. This is the test that produces the 'cases' and 'deaths' that have been used to destroy human society. All those global and national medical and scientific 'experts' demanding this destruction to 'save us' *KNOW* that the test is not testing for the 'virus' and the cases and deaths they claim to be real are an almost unimaginable fraud. Every one of them and so many others including politicians and psychopaths like Gates and Tedros must be brought before Nuremburg-type trials and jailed for the rest of their lives. The more the genetic sample is amplified by PCR the more elements of that material become sensitive to the test and by that I don't mean sensitive for a 'virus' but for elements of the genetic material which

is *naturally* in the body or relates to remnants of old conditions of various kinds lying dormant and causing no disease. Once the amplification of the PCR reaches a certain level *everyone* will test positive. So much of the material has been made sensitive to the test that everyone will have some part of it in their body. Even lying criminals like Fauci have said that once PCR amplifications pass 35 cycles everything will be a false positive that cannot be trusted for the reasons I have described. I say, like many proper doctors and scientists, that 100 percent of the 'positives' are false, but let's just go with Fauci for a moment.

He says that any amplification over 35 cycles will produce false positives and yet the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have recommended up to *40 cycles* and the National Health Service (NHS) in Britain admitted in an internal document for staff that it was using *45 cycles* of amplification. A long list of other countries has been doing the same and at least one 'testing' laboratory has been using *50 cycles*. Have you ever heard a doctor, medical 'expert' or the media ask what level of amplification has been used to claim a 'positive'. The 'test' comes back 'positive' and so you have the 'virus', end of story. Now we can see how the government in Tanzania could send off samples from a goat and a pawpaw fruit under human names and both came back positive for 'Covid-19'. Tanzania president John Magufuli mocked the 'Covid' hysteria, the PCR test and masks and refused to import the DNA-manipulating 'vaccine'. The Cult hated him and an article sponsored by the Bill Gates Foundation appeared in the London *Guardian* in February, 2021, headed 'It's time for Africa to rein in Tanzania's anti-vaxxer president'. Well, 'reined in' he shortly was. Magufuli appeared in good health, but then, in March, 2021, he was dead at 61 from 'heart failure'. He was replaced by Samia Hassan Suhulu who is connected to Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum and she immediately reversed Magufuli's 'Covid' policy. A sample of cola tested positive for 'Covid' with the PCR test in Germany while American actress and singer-songwriter Erykah Badu tested positive in one nostril and negative in the other. Footballer Ronaldo called

the PCR test 'bullshit' after testing positive three times and being forced to quarantine and miss matches when there was nothing wrong with him. The mantra from Tedros at the World Health Organization and national governments (same thing) has been test, test, test. They know that the more tests they can generate the more fake 'cases' they have which go on to become 'deaths' in ways I am coming to. The UK government has its Operation Moonshot planned to test multiple millions every day in workplaces and schools with free tests for everyone to use twice a week at home in line with the Cult plan from the start to make testing part of life. A government advertisement for an 'Interim Head of Asymptomatic Testing Communication' said the job included responsibility for delivering a 'communications strategy' (propaganda) 'to support the expansion of asymptomatic testing that *'normalises testing as part of everyday life'*. More tests means more fake 'cases', 'deaths' and fascism. I have heard of, and from, many people who booked a test, couldn't turn up, and yet got a positive result through the post for a test they'd never even had. The whole thing is crazy, but for the Cult there's method in the madness. Controlling and manipulating the level of amplification of the test means the authorities can control whenever they want the number of apparent 'cases' and 'deaths'. If they want to justify more fascist lockdown and destruction of livelihoods they keep the amplification high. If they want to give the illusion that lockdowns and the 'vaccine' are working then they lower the amplification and 'cases' and 'deaths' will appear to fall. In January, 2021, the Cult-owned World Health Organization suddenly warned laboratories about over-amplification of the test and to lower the threshold. Suddenly headlines began appearing such as: 'Why ARE "Covid" cases plummeting?' This was just when the vaccine rollout was underway and I had predicted months before they would make cases appear to fall through amplification tampering when the 'vaccine' came. These people are so predictable.

Cow vaccines?

The question must be asked of what is on the test swabs being poked far up the nose of the population to the base of the brain? A nasal swab punctured one woman's brain and caused it to leak fluid. Most of these procedures are being done by people with little training or medical knowledge. Dr Lorraine Day, former orthopaedic trauma surgeon and Chief of Orthopaedic Surgery at San Francisco General Hospital, says the tests are really a *'vaccine'*. Cows have long been vaccinated this way. She points out that masks have to cover the nose and the mouth where it is claimed the 'virus' exists in saliva. Why then don't they take saliva from the mouth as they do with a DNA test instead of pushing a long swab up the nose towards the brain? The ethmoid bone separates the nasal cavity from the brain and within that bone is the cribriform plate. Dr Day says that when the swab is pushed up against this plate and twisted the procedure is 'depositing things back there'. She claims that among these 'things' are nanoparticles that can enter the brain. Researchers have noted that a team at the Gates-funded Johns Hopkins have designed tiny, star-shaped micro-devices that can latch onto intestinal mucosa and release drugs into the body. Mucosa is the thin skin that covers the inside surface of parts of the body such as *the nose* and mouth and produces mucus to protect them. The Johns Hopkins micro-devices are called 'theragrippers' and were 'inspired' by a parasitic worm that digs its sharp teeth into a host's intestines. Nasal swabs are also coated in the sterilisation agent ethylene oxide. The US National Cancer Institute posts this explanation on its website:

> At room temperature, ethylene oxide is a flammable colorless gas with a sweet odor. It is used primarily to produce other chemicals, including antifreeze. In smaller amounts, ethylene oxide is used as a pesticide and a sterilizing agent. The ability of ethylene oxide to damage DNA makes it an effective sterilizing agent but also accounts for its cancer-causing activity.

The Institute mentions lymphoma and leukaemia as cancers most frequently reported to be associated with occupational exposure to ethylene oxide along with stomach and breast cancers. How does anyone think this is going to work out with the constant testing

regime being inflicted on adults and children at home and at school that will accumulate in the body anything that's on the swab?

Doctors know best

It is vital for people to realise that 'hero' doctors 'know' only what the Big Pharma-dominated medical authorities tell them to 'know' and if they refuse to 'know' what they are told to 'know' they are out the door. They are mostly not physicians or healers, but repeaters of the official narrative – or else. I have seen alleged professional doctors on British television make shocking statements that we are supposed to take seriously. One called 'Dr' Amir Khan, who is actually telling patients how to respond to illness, said that men could take the birth pill to 'help slow down the effects of Covid-19'. In March, 2021, another ridiculous 'Covid study' by an American doctor proposed injecting men with the female sex hormone progesterone as a 'Covid' treatment. British doctor Nighat Arif told the BBC that face coverings were now going to be part of ongoing normal. Yes, the vaccine protects you, she said (evidence?) … but the way to deal with viruses in the community was always going to come down to hand washing, face covering and keeping a physical distance. That's not what we were told before the 'vaccine' was circulating. Arif said she couldn't imagine ever again going on the underground or in a lift without a mask. I was just thanking my good luck that she was not my doctor when she said – in March, 2021 – that if 'we are *behaving* and we are doing all the right things' she thought we could 'have our nearest and dearest around us at home … around *Christmas* and N*ew Year!* Her patronising delivery was the usual school teacher talking to six-year-olds as she repeated every government talking point and probably believed them all. If we have learned anything from the 'Covid' experience surely it must be that humanity's perception of doctors needs a fundamental rethink. NHS 'doctor' Sara Kayat told her television audience that the 'Covid vaccine' would '100 percent prevent hospitalisation and death'. Not even Big Pharma claimed that. We have to stop taking 'experts' at their word without question when so many of them are

clueless and only repeating the party line on which their careers depend. That is not to say there are not brilliants doctors – there are and I have spoken to many of them since all this began – but you won't see them in the mainstream media or quoted by the psychopaths and yes-people in government.

Remember the name – Christian Drosten

German virologist Christian Drosten, Director of Charité Institute of Virology in Berlin, became a national star after the pandemic hoax began. He was feted on television and advised the German government on 'Covid' policy. Most importantly to the wider world Drosten led a group that produced the 'Covid' testing protocol for the PCR test. What a remarkable feat given the PCR cannot test for infectious disease and even more so when you think that Drosten said that his method of testing for SARS-CoV-2 was developed 'without having virus material available'. *He developed a test for a 'virus' that he didn't have and had never seen*. Let that sink in as you survey the global devastation that came from what he did. The whole catastrophe of Drosten's 'test' was based on the alleged genetic sequence published by Chinese scientists on the Internet. We will see in the next chapter that this alleged 'genetic sequence' has never been produced by China or anyone and cannot be when there *is no* SARS-CoV-2. Drosten, however, doesn't seem to let little details like that get in the way. He was the lead author with Victor Corman from the same Charité Hospital of the paper 'Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time PCR' published in a magazine called *Eurosurveillance*. This became known as the Corman-Drosten paper. In November, 2020, with human society devastated by the effects of the Corman-Drosten test baloney, the protocol was publicly challenged by 22 international scientists and independent researchers from Europe, the United States, and Japan. Among them were senior molecular geneticists, biochemists, immunologists, and microbiologists. They produced a document headed 'External peer review of the RTPCR test to detect SARS-Cov-2 Reveals 10 Major Flaws At The Molecular and Methodological Level: Consequences

For False-Positive Results'. The flaws in the Corman-Drosten test included the following:

- The test is non-specific because of erroneous design
- Results are enormously variable
- The test is unable to discriminate between the whole 'virus' and viral fragments
- It doesn't have positive or negative controls
- The test lacks a standard operating procedure
- It is unsupported by proper peer view

The scientists said the PCR 'Covid' testing protocol was not founded on science and they demanded the Corman-Drosten paper be retracted by *Eurosurveillance*. They said all present and previous Covid deaths, cases, and 'infection rates' should be subject to a massive retroactive inquiry. Lockdowns and travel restrictions should be reviewed and relaxed and those diagnosed through PCR to have 'Covid-19' should not be forced to isolate. Dr Kevin Corbett, a health researcher and nurse educator with a long academic career producing a stream of peer-reviewed publications at many UK universities, made the same point about the PCR test debacle. He said of the scientists' conclusions: 'Every scientific rationale for the development of that test has been totally destroyed by this paper. It's like Hiroshima/Nagasaki to the Covid test.' He said that China hadn't given them an isolated 'virus' when Drosten developed the test. Instead they had developed the test from *a sequence in a gene bank*.' Put another way … *they made it up*! The scientists were supported in this contention by a Portuguese appeals court which ruled in November, 2020, that PCR tests are unreliable and it is unlawful to quarantine people based solely on a PCR test. The point about China not providing an isolated virus must be true when the 'virus' has never been isolated to this day and the consequences of that will become clear. Drosten and company produced this useless 'protocol' right on cue in January, 2020, just as the 'virus' was said to

be moving westward and it somehow managed to successfully pass a peer-review in 24 hours. In other words there was no peer-review for a test that would be used to decide who had 'Covid' and who didn't across the world. The Cult-created, Gates-controlled World Health Organization immediately recommended all its nearly 200 member countries to use the Drosten PCR protocol to detect 'cases' and 'deaths'. The sting was underway and it continues to this day.

So who is this Christian Drosten that produced the means through which death, destruction and economic catastrophe would be justified? His education background, including his doctoral thesis, would appear to be somewhat shrouded in mystery and his track record is dire as with another essential player in the 'Covid' hoax, the Gates-funded Professor Neil Ferguson at the Gates-funded Imperial College in London of whom more shortly. Drosten predicted in 2003 that the alleged original SARS 'virus' (SARS-1') was an epidemic that could have serious effects on economies and an effective vaccine would take at least two years to produce. Drosten's answer to every alleged 'outbreak' is a vaccine which you won't be shocked to know. What followed were just 774 official deaths worldwide and none in Germany where there were only nine cases. That is even if you believe there ever was a SARS 'virus' when the evidence is zilch and I will expand on this in the next chapter. Drosten claims to be co-discoverer of 'SARS-1' and developed a test for it in 2003. He was screaming warnings about 'swine flu' in 2009 and how it was a widespread infection far more severe than any dangers from a vaccine could be and people should get vaccinated. It would be helpful for Drosten's vocal chords if he simply recorded the words 'the virus is deadly and you need to get vaccinated' and copies could be handed out whenever the latest made-up threat comes along. Drosten's swine flu epidemic never happened, but Big Pharma didn't mind with governments spending hundreds of millions on vaccines that hardly anyone bothered to use and many who did wished they hadn't. A study in 2010 revealed that the risk of dying from swine flu, or H1N1, was no higher than that of the annual seasonal flu which is what at least most of 'it' really was as in

the case of 'Covid-19'. A media investigation into Drosten asked how with such a record of inaccuracy he could be *the* government adviser on these issues. The answer to that question is the same with Drosten, Ferguson and Fauci – they keep on giving the authorities the 'conclusions' and 'advice' they want to hear. Drosten certainly produced the goods for them in January, 2020, with his PCR protocol garbage and provided the foundation of what German internal medicine specialist Dr Claus Köhnlein, co-author of *Virus Mania*, called the 'test pandemic'. The 22 scientists in the *Eurosurveillance* challenge called out conflicts of interest within the Drosten 'protocol' group and with good reason. Olfert Landt, a regular co-author of Drosten 'studies', owns the biotech company TIB Molbiol Syntheselabor GmbH in Berlin which manufactures and sells the tests that Drosten and his mates come up with. They have done this with SARS, Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), MERS, Zika 'virus', yellow fever, and now 'Covid'. Landt told the *Berliner Zeitung* newspaper:

> The testing, design and development came from the Charité [Drosten and Corman]. We simply implemented it immediately in the form of a kit. And if we don't have the virus, which originally only existed in Wuhan, we can make a synthetic gene to simulate the genome of the virus. That's what we did very quickly.

This is more confirmation that the Drosten test was designed without access to the 'virus' and only a synthetic simulation which is what SARS-CoV-2 really is – a computer-generated synthetic fiction. It's quite an enterprise they have going here. A Drosten team decides what the test for something should be and Landt's biotech company flogs it to governments and medical systems across the world. His company must have made an absolute fortune since the 'Covid' hoax began. Dr Reiner Fuellmich, a prominent German consumer protection trial lawyer in Germany and California, is on Drosten's case and that of Tedros at the World Health Organization for crimes against humanity with a class-action lawsuit being prepared in the United States and other legal action in Germany.

Why China?

Scamming the world with a 'virus' that doesn't exist would seem impossible on the face of it, but not if you have control of the relatively few people that make policy decisions and the great majority of the global media. Remember it's not about changing 'real' reality it's about controlling *perception* of reality. You don't have to make something happen you only have make people *believe* that it's happening. Renegade Minds understand this and are therefore much harder to swindle. 'Covid-19' is not a 'real' 'virus'. It's a mind virus, like a computer virus, which has infected the minds, not the bodies, of billions. It all started, publically at least, in China and that alone is of central significance. The Cult was behind the revolution led by its asset Mao Zedong, or Chairman Mao, which established the People's Republic of China on October 1st, 1949. It should have been called The Cult's Republic of China, but the name had to reflect the recurring illusion that vicious dictatorships are run by and for the people (see all the 'Democratic Republics' controlled by tyrants). In the same way we have the 'Biden' Democratic Republic of America officially ruled by a puppet tyrant (at least temporarily) on behalf of Cult tyrants. The creation of Mao's merciless communist/fascist dictatorship was part of a frenzy of activity by the Cult at the conclusion of World War Two which, like the First World War, it had instigated through its assets in Germany, Britain, France, the United States and elsewhere. Israel was formed in 1948; the Soviet Union expanded its 'Iron Curtain' control, influence and military power with the Warsaw Pact communist alliance in 1955; the United Nations was formed in 1945 as a Cult precursor to world government; and a long list of world bodies would be established including the World Health Organization (1948), World Trade Organization (1948 under another name until 1995), International Monetary Fund (1945) and World Bank (1944). Human society was redrawn and hugely centralised in the global Problem-Reaction-Solution that was World War Two. All these changes were significant. Israel would become the headquarters of the Sabbatians

and the revolution in China would prepare the ground and control system for the events of 2019/2020.

Renegade Minds know there are no borders except for public consumption. The Cult is a seamless, borderless global entity and to understand the game we need to put aside labels like borders, nations, countries, communism, fascism and democracy. These delude the population into believing that countries are ruled within their borders by a government of whatever shade when these are mere agencies of a global power. America's illusion of democracy and China's communism/fascism are subsidiaries – vehicles – for the same agenda. We may hear about conflict and competition between America and China and on the lower levels that will be true; but at the Cult level they are branches of the same company in the way of the McDonald's example I gave earlier. I have tracked in the books over the years support by US governments of both parties for Chinese Communist Party infiltration of American society through allowing the sale of land, even military facilities, and the acquisition of American business and university influence. All this is underpinned by the infamous stealing of intellectual property and technological know-how. Cult-owned Silicon Valley corporations waive their fraudulent 'morality' to do business with human-rights-free China; Cult-controlled Disney has become China's PR department; and China in effect owns 'American' sports such as basketball which depends for much of its income on Chinese audiences. As a result any sports player, coach or official speaking out against China's horrific human rights record is immediately condemned or fired by the China-worshipping National Basketball Association. One of the first acts of China-controlled Biden was to issue an executive order telling federal agencies to stop making references to the 'virus' by the 'geographic location of its origin'. Long-time Congressman Jerry Nadler warned that criticising China, America's biggest rival, leads to hate crimes against Asian people in the United States. So shut up you bigot. China is fast closing in on Israel as a country that must not be criticised which is apt, really, given that Sabbatians control them both. The two countries have

developed close economic, military, technological and strategic ties which include involvement in China's 'Silk Road' transport and economic initiative to connect China with Europe. Israel was the first country in the Middle East to recognise the establishment of Mao's tyranny in 1950 months after it was established.

Project Wuhan – the 'Covid' Psyop

I emphasise again that the Cult plays the long game and what is happening to the world today is the result of centuries of calculated manipulation following a script to take control step-by-step of every aspect of human society. I will discuss later the common force behind all this that has spanned those centuries and thousands of years if the truth be told. Instigating the Mao revolution in China in 1949 with a 2020 'pandemic' in mind is not only how they work – the 71 years between them is really quite short by the Cult's standards of manipulation preparation. The reason for the Cult's Chinese revolution was to create a fiercely-controlled environment within which an extreme structure for human control could be incubated to eventually be unleashed across the world. We have seen this happen since the 'pandemic' emerged from China with the Chinese control-structure founded on AI technology and tyrannical enforcement sweep across the West. Until the moment when the Cult went for broke in the West and put its fascism on public display Western governments had to pay some lip-service to freedom and democracy to not alert too many people to the tyranny-in-the-making. Freedoms were more subtly eroded and power centralised with covert government structures put in place waiting for the arrival of 2020 when that smokescreen of 'freedom' could be dispensed with. The West was not able to move towards tyranny before 2020 anything like as fast as China which was created as a tyranny and had no limits on how fast it could construct the Cult's blueprint for global control. When the time came to impose that structure on the world it was the same Cult-owned Chinese communist/fascist government that provided the excuse – the 'Covid pandemic'. It was absolutely crucial to the Cult plan for the Chinese response to the 'pandemic' –

draconian lockdowns of the entire population – to become the blueprint that Western countries would follow to destroy the livelihoods and freedom of their people. This is why the Cult-owned, Gates-owned, WHO Director-General Tedros said early on:

> The Chinese government is to be congratulated for the extraordinary measures it has taken to contain the outbreak. China is actually setting a new standard for outbreak response and it is not an exaggeration.

Forbes magazine said of China: '… those measures protected untold millions from getting the disease'. The Rockefeller Foundation 'epidemic scenario' document in 2010 said 'prophetically':

> However, a few countries did fare better – China in particular. The Chinese government's quick imposition and enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well as its instant and near-hermetic sealing off of all borders, saved millions of lives, stopping the spread of the virus far earlier than in other countries and enabling a swifter post-pandemic recovery.

Once again – *spooky*.

The first official story was the 'bat theory' or rather the bat diversion. The source of the 'virus outbreak' we were told was a ''wet market' in Wuhan where bats and other animals are bought and eaten in horrifically unhygienic conditions. Then another story emerged through the alternative media that the 'virus' had been released on purpose or by accident from a BSL-4 (biosafety level 4) laboratory in Wuhan not far from the wet market. The lab was reported to create and work with lethal concoctions and bioweapons. Biosafety level 4 is the highest in the World Health Organization system of safety and containment. Renegade Minds are aware of what I call designer manipulation. The ideal for the Cult is for people to buy its prime narrative which in the opening salvoes of the 'pandemic' was the wet market story. It knows, however, that there is now a considerable worldwide alternative media of researchers sceptical of anything governments say and they are often given a version of events in a form they can perceive as credible while misdirecting them from the real truth. In this case let them

think that the conspiracy involved is a 'bioweapon virus' released from the Wuhan lab to keep them from the real conspiracy – *there is no 'virus'*. The WHO's current position on the source of the outbreak at the time of writing appears to be: 'We haven't got a clue, mate.' This is a good position to maintain mystery and bewilderment. The inner circle will know where the 'virus' came from – *nowhere*. The bottom line was to ensure the public believed there *was* a 'virus' and it didn't much matter if they thought it was natural or had been released from a lab. The belief that there was a 'deadly virus' was all that was needed to trigger global panic and fear. The population was terrified into handing their power to authority and doing what they were told. They had to or they were 'all gonna die'.

In March, 2020, information began to come my way from real doctors and scientists and my own additional research which had my intuition screaming: 'Yes, that's it! *There is no virus*.' The 'bioweapon' was not the 'virus'; it was the *'vaccine'* already being talked about that would be the bioweapon. My conclusion was further enhanced by happenings in Wuhan. The 'virus' was said to be sweeping the city and news footage circulated of people collapsing in the street (which they've never done in the West with the same 'virus'). The Chinese government was building 'new hospitals' in a matter of ten days to 'cope with demand' such was the virulent nature of the 'virus'. Yet in what seemed like no time the 'new hospitals' closed – even if they even opened – and China declared itself 'virus-free'. It was back to business as usual. This was more propaganda to promote the Chinese draconian lockdowns in the West as the way to 'beat the virus'. Trouble was that we subsequently had lockdown after lockdown, but never business as usual. As the people of the West and most of the rest of the world were caught in an ever-worsening spiral of lockdown, social distancing, masks, isolated old people, families forced apart, and livelihood destruction, it was party-time in Wuhan. Pictures emerged of thousands of people enjoying pool parties and concerts. It made no sense until you realised there never was a 'virus' and the

whole thing was a Cult set-up to transform human society out of one its major global strongholds – China.

How is it possible to deceive virtually the entire world population into believing there is a deadly virus when there is not even a 'virus' let alone a deadly one? It's nothing like as difficult as you would think and that's clearly true because it happened.

Postscript: See end of book Postscript for more on the 'Wuhan lab virus release' story which the authorities and media were pushing heavily in the summer of 2021 to divert attention from the truth that the 'Covid virus' is pure invention.

CHAPTER FIVE

There *is no* 'virus'

You can fool some of the people all of the time, and all of the people some of the time, but you cannot fool all of the people all of the time
Abraham Lincoln

The greatest form of mind control is repetition. The more you repeat the same mantra of alleged 'facts' the more will accept them to be true. It becomes an 'everyone knows that, mate'. If you can also censor any other version or alternative to your alleged 'facts' you are pretty much home and cooking.

By the start of 2020 the Cult owned the global mainstream media almost in its entirety to spew out its 'Covid' propaganda and ignore or discredit any other information and view. Cult-owned social media platforms in Cult-owned Silicon Valley were poised and ready to unleash a campaign of ferocious censorship to obliterate all but the official narrative. To complete the circle many demands for censorship by Silicon Valley were led by the mainstream media as 'journalists' became full-out enforcers for the Cult both as propagandists and censors. Part of this has been the influx of young people straight out of university who have become 'journalists' in significant positions. They have no experience and a headful of programmed perceptions from their years at school and university at a time when today's young are the most perceptually-targeted generations in known human history given the insidious impact of technology. They enter the media perceptually prepared and ready to repeat the narratives of the system that programmed them to

repeat its narratives. The BBC has a truly pathetic 'specialist disinformation reporter' called Marianna Spring who fits this bill perfectly. She is clueless about the world, how it works and what is really going on. Her role is to discredit anyone doing the job that a proper journalist would do and system-serving hacks like Spring wouldn't dare to do or even see the need to do. They are too busy licking the arse of authority which can never be wrong and, in the case of the BBC propaganda programme, *Panorama*, contacting payments systems such as PayPal to have a donations page taken down for a film company making documentaries questioning vaccines. Even the BBC soap opera *EastEnders* included a disgracefully biased scene in which an inarticulate white working class woman was made to look foolish for questioning the 'vaccine' while a well-spoken black man and Asian woman promoted the government narrative. It ticked every BBC box and the fact that the black and minority community was resisting the 'vaccine' had nothing to do with the way the scene was written. The BBC has become a disgusting tyrannical propaganda and censorship operation that should be defunded and disbanded and a free media take its place with a brief to stop censorship instead of demanding it. A BBC 'interview' with Gates goes something like: 'Mr Gates, sir, if I can call you sir, would you like to tell our audience why you are such a great man, a wonderful humanitarian philanthropist, and why you should absolutely be allowed as a software salesman to decide health policy for approaching eight billion people? Thank you, sir, please sir.' Propaganda programming has been incessant and merciless and when all you hear is the same story from the media, repeated by those around you who have only heard the same story, is it any wonder that people on a grand scale believe absolute mendacious garbage to be true? You are about to see, too, why this level of information control is necessary when the official 'Covid' narrative is so nonsensical and unsupportable by the evidence.

Structure of Deceit

The pyramid structure through which the 'Covid' hoax has been manifested is very simple and has to be to work. As few people as possible have to be involved with full knowledge of what they are doing – and why – or the real story would get out. At the top of the pyramid are the inner core of the Cult which controls Bill Gates who, in turn, controls the World Health Organization through his pivotal funding and his puppet Director-General mouthpiece, Tedros. Before he was appointed Tedros was chair of the Gates-founded Global Fund to 'fight against AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria', a board member of the Gates-funded 'vaccine alliance' GAVI, and on the board of another Gates-funded organisation. Gates owns him and picked him for a specific reason – Tedros is a crook and worse. 'Dr' Tedros (he's not a medical doctor, the first WHO chief not to be) was a member of the tyrannical Marxist government of Ethiopia for decades with all its human rights abuses. He has faced allegations of corruption and misappropriation of funds and was exposed three times for covering up cholera epidemics while Ethiopia's health minister. Tedros appointed the mass-murdering genocidal Zimbabwe dictator Robert Mugabe as a WHO goodwill ambassador for public health which, as with Tedros, is like appointing a psychopath to run a peace and love campaign. The move was so ridiculous that he had to drop Mugabe in the face of widespread condemnation. American economist David Steinman, a Nobel peace prize nominee, lodged a complaint with the International Criminal Court in The Hague over alleged genocide by Tedros when he was Ethiopia's foreign minister. Steinman says Tedros was a 'crucial decision maker' who directed the actions of Ethiopia's security forces from 2013 to 2015 and one of three officials in charge when those security services embarked on the 'killing' and 'torturing' of Ethiopians. You can see where Tedros is coming from and it's sobering to think that he has been the vehicle for Gates and the Cult to direct the global response to 'Covid'. Think about that. A psychopathic Cult dictates to psychopath Gates who dictates to psychopath Tedros who dictates how countries of the world must respond to a 'Covid virus' never scientifically shown to exist. At the same time psychopathic Cult-owned Silicon Valley information

giants like Google, YouTube, Facebook and Twitter announced very early on that they would give the Cult/Gates/Tedros/WHO version of the narrative free advertising and censor those who challenged their intelligence-insulting, mendacious story.

The next layer in the global 'medical' structure below the Cult, Gates and Tedros are the chief medical officers and science 'advisers' in each of the WHO member countries which means virtually all of them. Medical officers and arbiters of science (they're not) then take the WHO policy and recommended responses and impose them on their country's population while the political 'leaders' say they are deciding policy (they're clearly not) by 'following the science' on the advice of the 'experts' – the same medical officers and science 'advisers' (dictators). In this way with the rarest of exceptions the entire world followed the same policy of lockdown, people distancing, masks and 'vaccines' dictated by the psychopathic Cult, psychopathic Gates and psychopathic Tedros who we are supposed to believe give a damn about the health of the world population they are seeking to enslave. That, amazingly, is all there is to it in terms of crucial decision-making. Medical staff in each country then follow like sheep the dictates of the shepherds at the top of the national medical hierarchies – chief medical officers and science 'advisers' who themselves follow like sheep the shepherds of the World Health Organization and the Cult. Shepherds at the national level often have major funding and other connections to Gates and his Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation which carefully hands out money like confetti at a wedding to control the entire global medical system from the WHO down.

Follow the money

Christopher Whitty, Chief Medical Adviser to the UK Government at the centre of 'virus' policy, a senior adviser to the government's Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE), and Executive Board member of the World Health Organization, was gifted a grant of $40 million by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for malaria research in Africa. The BBC described the unelected Whitty as 'the

official who will probably have the greatest impact on our everyday lives of any individual policymaker in modern times' and so it turned out. What Gates and Tedros have said Whitty has done like his equivalents around the world. Patrick Vallance, co-chair of SAGE and the government's Chief Scientific Adviser, is a former executive of Big Pharma giant GlaxoSmithKline with its fundamental financial and business connections to Bill Gates. In September, 2020, it was revealed that Vallance owned a deferred bonus of shares in GlaxoSmithKline worth £600,000 while the company was 'developing' a 'Covid vaccine'. Move along now – nothing to see here – what could possibly be wrong with that? Imperial College in London, a major player in 'Covid' policy in Britain and elsewhere with its 'Covid-19' Response Team, is funded by Gates and has big connections to China while the now infamous Professor Neil Ferguson, the useless 'computer modeller' at Imperial College is also funded by Gates. Ferguson delivered the dramatically inaccurate excuse for the first lockdowns (much more in the next chapter). The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) in the United States, another source of outrageously false 'Covid' computer models to justify lockdowns, is bankrolled by Gates who is a vehement promotor of lockdowns. America's version of Whitty and Vallance, the again now infamous Anthony Fauci, has connections to 'Covid vaccine' maker Moderna as does Bill Gates through funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Fauci is director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), a major recipient of Gates money, and they are very close. Deborah Birx who was appointed White House Coronavirus Response Coordinator in February, 2020, is yet another with ties to Gates. Everywhere you look at the different elements around the world behind the coordination and decision making of the 'Covid' hoax there is Bill Gates and his money. They include the World Health Organization; Centers for Disease Control (CDC) in the United States; National Institutes of Health (NIH) of Anthony Fauci; Imperial College and Neil Ferguson; the London School of Hygiene where Chris Whitty worked; Regulatory agencies like the UK Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA)

which gave emergency approval for 'Covid vaccines'; Wellcome Trust; GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance; the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI); Johns Hopkins University which has compiled the false 'Covid' figures; and the World Economic Forum. A Nationalfile.com article said:

> Gates has a lot of pull in the medical world, he has a multi-million dollar relationship with Dr. Fauci, and Fauci originally took the Gates line supporting vaccines and casting doubt on [the drug hydroxychloroquine]. Coronavirus response team member Dr. Deborah Birx, appointed by former president Obama to serve as United States Global AIDS Coordinator, also sits on the board of a group that has received billions from Gates' foundation, and Birx reportedly used a disputed Bill Gates-funded model for the White House's Coronavirus effort. Gates is a big proponent for a population lockdown scenario for the Coronavirus outbreak.

Another funder of Moderna is the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), the technology-development arm of the Pentagon and one of the most sinister organisations on earth. DARPA had a major role with the CIA covert technology-funding operation In-Q-Tel in the development of Google and social media which is now at the centre of global censorship. Fauci and Gates are extremely close and openly admit to talking regularly about 'Covid' policy, but then why wouldn't Gates have a seat at every national 'Covid' table after his Foundation committed $1.75 billion to the 'fight against Covid-19'. When passed through our Orwellian Translation Unit this means that he has bought and paid for the Cult-driven 'Covid' response worldwide. Research the major 'Covid' response personnel in your own country and you will find the same Gates funding and other connections again and again. Medical and science chiefs following World Health Organization 'policy' sit atop a medical hierarchy in their country of administrators, doctors and nursing staff. These 'subordinates' are told they must work and behave in accordance with the policy delivered from the 'top' of the national 'health' pyramid which is largely the policy delivered by the WHO which is the policy delivered by Gates and the Cult. The whole 'Covid' narrative has been imposed on medical staff by a climate of fear although great numbers don't even need that to comply. They do so through breathtaking levels of ignorance and

include doctors who go through life simply repeating what Big Pharma and their hierarchical masters tell them to say and believe. No wonder Big Pharma 'medicine' is one of the biggest killers on Planet Earth.

The same top-down system of intimidation operates with regard to the Cult Big Pharma cartel which also dictates policy through national and global medical systems in this way. The Cult and Big Pharma agendas are the same because the former controls and owns the latter. 'Health' administrators, doctors, and nursing staff are told to support and parrot the dictated policy or they will face consequences which can include being fired. How sad it's been to see medical staff meekly repeating and imposing Cult policy without question and most of those who can see through the deceit are only willing to speak anonymously off the record. They know what will happen if their identity is known. This has left the courageous few to expose the lies about the 'virus', face masks, overwhelmed hospitals that aren't, and the dangers of the 'vaccine' that isn't a vaccine. When these medical professionals and scientists, some renowned in their field, have taken to the Internet to expose the truth their articles, comments and videos have been deleted by Cult-owned Facebook, Twitter and YouTube. What a real head-shaker to see YouTube videos with leading world scientists and highly qualified medical specialists with an added link underneath to the notorious Cult propaganda website *Wikipedia* to find the 'facts' about the same subject.

HIV – the 'Covid' trial-run

I'll give you an example of the consequences for health and truth that come from censorship and unquestioning belief in official narratives. The story was told by PCR inventor Kary Mullis in his book *Dancing Naked in the Mind Field*. He said that in 1984 he accepted as just another scientific fact that Luc Montagnier of France's Pasteur Institute and Robert Gallo of America's National Institutes of Health had independently discovered that a 'retrovirus' dubbed HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) caused AIDS. They

were, after all, Mullis writes, specialists in retroviruses. This is how the medical and science pyramids work. Something is announced or *assumed* and then becomes an everybody-knows-that purely through repetition of the assumption as if it is fact. Complete crap becomes accepted truth with no supporting evidence and only repetition of the crap. This is how a 'virus' that doesn't exist became the 'virus' that changed the world. The HIV-AIDS fairy story became a multi-billion pound industry and the media poured out propaganda terrifying the world about the deadly HIV 'virus' that caused the lethal AIDS. By then Mullis was working at a lab in Santa Monica, California, to detect retroviruses with his PCR test in blood donations received by the Red Cross. In doing so he asked a virologist where he could find a reference for HIV being the cause of AIDS. 'You don't need a reference,' the virologist said … *'Everybody knows it.'* Mullis said he wanted to quote a reference in the report he was doing and he said he felt a little funny about not knowing the source of such an important discovery when everyone else seemed to. The virologist suggested he cite a report by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on morbidity and mortality. Mullis read the report, but it only said that an organism had been identified and did not say how. The report did not identify the original scientific work. Physicians, however, *assumed* (key recurring theme) that if the CDC was convinced that HIV caused AIDS then proof must exist. Mullis continues:

I did computer searches. Neither Montagnier, Gallo, nor anyone else had published papers describing experiments which led to the conclusion that HIV probably caused AIDS. I read the papers in Science for which they had become well known as AIDS doctors, but all they had said there was that they had found evidence of a past infection by something which was probably HIV in some AIDS patients.

They found antibodies. Antibodies to viruses had always been considered evidence of past disease, not present disease. Antibodies signaled that the virus had been defeated. The patient had saved himself. There was no indication in these papers that this virus caused a disease. They didn't show that everybody with the antibodies had the disease. In fact they found some healthy people with antibodies.

Mullis asked why their work had been published if Montagnier and Gallo hadn't really found this evidence, and why had they been fighting so hard to get credit for the discovery? He says he was hesitant to write 'HIV is the probable cause of AIDS' until he found published evidence to support that. 'Tens of thousands of scientists and researchers were spending billions of dollars a year doing research based on this idea,' Mullis writes. 'The reason had to be there somewhere; otherwise these people would not have allowed their research to settle into one narrow channel of investigation.' He said he lectured about PCR at numerous meetings where people were always talking about HIV and he asked them how they knew that HIV was the cause of AIDS:

> Everyone said something. Everyone had the answer at home, in the office, in some drawer. They all knew, and they would send me the papers as soon as they got back. But I never got any papers. Nobody ever sent me the news about how AIDS was caused by HIV.

Eventually Mullis was able to ask Montagnier himself about the reference proof when he lectured in San Diego at the grand opening of the University of California AIDS Research Center. Mullis says this was the last time he would ask his question without showing anger. Montagnier said he should reference the CDC report. 'I read it', Mullis said, and it didn't answer the question. 'If Montagnier didn't know the answer who the hell did?' Then one night Mullis was driving when an interview came on National Public Radio with Peter Duesberg, a prominent virologist at Berkeley and a California Scientist of the Year. Mullis says he finally understood why he could not find references that connected HIV to AIDS – *there weren't any!* No one had ever proved that HIV causes AIDS even though it had spawned a multi-billion pound global industry and the media was repeating this as fact every day in their articles and broadcasts terrifying the shit out of people about AIDS and giving the impression that a positive test for HIV (see 'Covid') was a death sentence. Duesberg was a threat to the AIDS gravy train and the agenda that underpinned it. He was therefore abused and castigated after he told the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

there was no good evidence implicating the new 'virus'. Editors rejected his manuscripts and his research funds were deleted. Mullis points out that the CDC has defined AIDS as one of more than 30 diseases *if accompanied* by a positive result on a test that detects antibodies to HIV; but those same diseases are not defined as AIDS cases when antibodies are not detected:

> If an HIV-positive woman develops uterine cancer, for example, she is considered to have AIDS. If she is not HIV positive, she simply has uterine cancer. An HIV-positive man with tuberculosis has AIDS; if he tests negative he simply has tuberculosis. If he lives in Kenya or Colombia, where the test for HIV antibodies is too expensive, he is simply presumed to have the antibodies and therefore AIDS, and therefore he can be treated in the World Health Organization's clinic. It's the only medical help available in some places. And it's free, because the countries that support WHO are worried about AIDS.

Mullis accuses the CDC of continually adding new diseases (see ever more 'Covid symptoms') to the grand AIDS definition and of virtually doctoring the books to make it appear as if the disease continued to spread. He cites how in 1993 the CDC enormously broadened its AIDS definition and county health authorities were delighted because they received $2,500 per year from the Federal government for every reported AIDS case. Ladies and gentlemen, I have just described, via Kary Mullis, the 'Covid pandemic' of 2020 and beyond. Every element is the same and it's been pulled off in the same way by the same networks.

The 'Covid virus' exists? Okay – prove it. Er … still waiting

What Kary Mullis described with regard to 'HIV' has been repeated with 'Covid'. A claim is made that a new, or 'novel', infection has been found and the entire medical system of the world repeats that as fact exactly as they did with HIV and AIDS. No one in the mainstream asks rather relevant questions such as 'How do you know?' and 'Where is your proof?' The SARS-Cov-2 'virus' and the 'Covid-19 disease' became an overnight 'everybody-knows-that'. The origin could be debated and mulled over, but what you could not suggest was that 'SARS-Cov-2' didn't exist. That would be

ridiculous. 'Everybody knows' the 'virus' exists. Well, I didn't for one along with American proper doctors like Andrew Kaufman and Tom Cowan and long-time American proper journalist Jon Rappaport. We dared to pursue the obvious and simple question: 'Where's the evidence?' The overwhelming majority in medicine, journalism and the general public did not think to ask that. After all, *everyone knew* there was a new 'virus'. Everyone was saying so and I heard it on the BBC. Some would eventually argue that the 'deadly virus' was nothing like as deadly as claimed, but few would venture into the realms of its very existence. Had they done so they would have found that the evidence for that claim had gone AWOL as with HIV causes AIDS. In fact, not even that. For something to go AWOL it has to exist in the first place and scientific proof for a 'SARS-Cov-2' can be filed under nothing, nowhere and zilch.

Dr Andrew Kaufman is a board-certified forensic psychiatrist in New York State, a Doctor of Medicine and former Assistant Professor and Medical Director of Psychiatry at SUNY Upstate Medical University, and Medical Instructor of Hematology and Oncology at the Medical School of South Carolina. He also studied biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and trained in Psychiatry at Duke University. Kaufman is retired from allopathic medicine, but remains a consultant and educator on natural healing, I saw a video of his very early on in the 'Covid' hoax in which he questioned claims about the 'virus' in the absence of any supporting evidence and with plenty pointing the other way. I did everything I could to circulate his work which I felt was asking the pivotal questions that needed an answer. I can recommend an excellent pull-together interview he did with the website The Last Vagabond entitled *Dr Andrew Kaufman: Virus Isolation, Terrain Theory and Covid-19* and his website is andrewkaufmanmd.com. Kaufman is not only a forensic psychiatrist; he is forensic in all that he does. He always reads original scientific papers, experiments and studies instead of second-third-fourth-hand reports about the 'virus' in the media which are repeating the repeated repetition of the narrative. When he did so with the original Chinese 'virus' papers Kaufman

realised that there was no evidence of a 'SARS-Cov-2'. They had never – from the start – shown it to exist and every repeat of this claim worldwide was based on the accepted existence of proof that was nowhere to be found – see Kary Mullis and HIV. Here we go again.

Let's postulate

Kaufman discovered that the Chinese authorities immediately concluded that the cause of an illness that broke out among about 200 initial patients in Wuhan was a 'new virus' when there were no grounds to make that conclusion. The alleged 'virus' was not isolated from other genetic material in their samples and then shown through a system known as Koch's postulates to be the causative agent of the illness. The world was told that the SARS-Cov-2 'virus' caused a disease they called 'Covid-19' which had 'flu-like' symptoms and could lead to respiratory problems and pneumonia. If it wasn't so tragic it would almost be funny. *'Flu-like' symptoms'? Pneumonia? Respiratory disease?* What in *CHINA* and particularly in *Wuhan*, one of the most polluted cities in the world with a resulting epidemic of respiratory disease?? Three hundred thousand people get pneumonia in China every year and there are nearly a billion cases worldwide of 'flu-like symptoms'. These have a whole range of causes – including pollution in Wuhan – but no other possibility was credibly considered in late 2019 when the world was told there was a new and deadly 'virus'. The global prevalence of pneumonia and 'flu-like systems' gave the Cult networks unlimited potential to re-diagnose these other causes as the mythical 'Covid-19' and that is what they did from the very start. Kaufman revealed how Chinese medical and science authorities (all subordinates to the Cult-owned communist government) took genetic material from the lungs of only a few of the first patients. The material contained their own cells, bacteria, fungi and other microorganisms living in their bodies. The only way you could prove the existence of the 'virus' and its responsibility for the alleged 'Covid-19' was to isolate the virus from all the other material – a process also known as 'purification' – and

then follow the postulates sequence developed in the late 19th century by German physician and bacteriologist Robert Koch which became the 'gold standard' for connecting an alleged causation agent to a disease:

1. The microorganism (bacteria, fungus, virus, etc.) must be present in every case of the disease and all patients must have the same symptoms. It must also *not be present in healthy individuals*.

2. The microorganism must be isolated from the host with the disease. If the microorganism is a bacteria or fungus it must be grown in a pure culture. If it is a virus, it must be purified (i.e. containing no other material except the virus particles) from a clinical sample.

3. The specific disease, with all of its characteristics, must be reproduced when the infectious agent (the purified virus or a pure culture of bacteria or fungi) is inoculated into a healthy, susceptible host.

4. The microorganism must be recoverable from the experimentally infected host as in step 2.

Not one of these criteria has been met in the case of 'SARS-Cov-2' and 'Covid-19'. Not ONE. *EVER*. Robert Koch refers to bacteria and not viruses. What are called 'viral particles' are so minute (hence masks are useless by any definition) that they could only be seen after the invention of the electron microscope in the 1930s and can still only be observed through that means. American bacteriologist and virologist Thomas Milton Rivers, the so-called 'Father of Modern Virology' who was very significantly director of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research in the 1930s, developed a less stringent version of Koch's postulates to identify 'virus' causation known as 'Rivers criteria'. 'Covid' did not pass that process either. Some even doubt whether any 'virus' can be isolated from other particles containing genetic material in the Koch method. Freedom of Information requests in many countries asking for scientific proof that the 'Covid virus' has been purified and isolated and shown to exist have all come back with a 'we don't have that' and when this happened with a request to the UK Department of Health they added this comment:

However, outside of the scope of the [Freedom of Information Act] and on a discretionary basis, the following information has been advised to us, which may be of interest. Most infectious diseases are caused by viruses, bacteria or fungi. Some bacteria or fungi have the capacity to grow on their own in isolation, for example in colonies on a petri dish. Viruses are different in that they are what we call 'obligate pathogens' – that is, they cannot survive or reproduce without infecting a host ...

… For some diseases, it is possible to establish causation between a microorganism and a disease by isolating the pathogen from a patient, growing it in pure culture and reintroducing it to a healthy organism. These are known as 'Koch's postulates' and were developed in 1882. However, as our understanding of disease and different disease-causing agents has advanced, these are no longer the method for determining causation [Andrew Kaufman asks why in that case are there two published articles falsely claiming to satisfy Koch's postulates].

It has long been known that viral diseases cannot be identified in this way as viruses cannot be grown in 'pure culture'. When a patient is tested for a viral illness, this is normally done by looking for the presence of antigens, or viral genetic code in a host with molecular biology techniques [Kaufman asks how you could know the origin of these chemicals without having a pure culture for comparison].

For the record 'antigens' are defined so:

Invading microorganisms have antigens on their surface that the human body can recognise as being foreign – meaning not belonging to it. When the body recognises a foreign antigen, lymphocytes (white blood cells) produce antibodies, which are complementary in shape to the antigen.

Notwithstanding that this is open to question in relation to 'SARS-Cov-2' the presence of 'antibodies' can have many causes and they are found in people that are perfectly well. Kary Mullis said: 'Antibodies … had always been considered evidence of past disease, not present disease.'

'Covid' really is a *computer* 'virus'

Where the UK Department of Health statement says 'viruses' are now 'diagnosed' through a 'viral genetic code in a host with molecular biology techniques', they mean … *the PCR test* which its inventor said cannot test for infectious disease. They have no credible method of connecting a 'virus' to a disease and we will see that there is no scientific proof that any 'virus' causes any disease or there is any such thing as a 'virus' in the way that it is described. Tenacious Canadian researcher Christine Massey and her team made

some 40 Freedom of Information requests to national public health agencies in different countries asking for proof that SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated and not one of them could supply that information. Massey said of her request in Canada: 'Freedom of Information reveals Public Health Agency of Canada has no record of 'SARS-COV-2' isolation performed by anyone, anywhere, ever.' If you accept the comment from the UK Department of Health it's because they can't isolate a 'virus'. Even so many 'science' papers claimed to have isolated the 'Covid virus' until they were questioned and had to admit they hadn't. A reply from the Robert Koch Institute in Germany was typical: 'I am not aware of a paper which purified isolated SARS-CoV-2.' So what the hell was Christian Drosten and his gang using to design the 'Covid' testing protocol that has produced all the illusory Covid' cases and 'Covid' deaths when the head of the Chinese version of the CDC admitted there was a problem right from the start in that the 'virus' had never been isolated/purified? Breathe deeply: What they are calling 'Covid' is actually created by a *computer program* i.e. *they made it up* – er, that's it. They took lung fluid, with many sources of genetic material, from one single person alleged to be infected with Covid-19 by a PCR test which they *claimed*, without clear evidence, contained a 'virus'. They used several computer programs to create a model of a theoretical virus genome sequence from more than fifty-six million small sequences of RNA, each of an unknown source, assembling them like a puzzle with no known solution. The computer filled in the gaps with sequences from bits in the gene bank to make it look like a bat SARS-like coronavirus! A wave of the magic wand and poof, an in silico (computer-generated) genome, a scientific fantasy, was created. UK health researcher Dr Kevin Corbett made the same point with this analogy:

> … It's like giving you a few bones and saying that's your fish. It could be any fish. Not even a skeleton. Here's a few fragments of bones. That's your fish … It's all from gene bank and the bits of the virus sequence that weren't there they made up.
>
> They synthetically created them to fill in the blanks. That's what genetics is; it's a code. So it's ABBBCCDDD and you're missing some what you think is EEE so you put it in. It's all

synthetic. You just manufacture the bits that are missing. This is the end result of the geneticization of virology. This is basically a computer virus.

Further confirmation came in an email exchange between British citizen journalist Frances Leader and the government's Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (the Gates-funded MHRA) which gave emergency permission for untested 'Covid vaccines' to be used. The agency admitted that the 'vaccine' is not based on an isolated 'virus', but comes from a *computer-generated model*. Frances Leader was naturally banned from Cult-owned fascist Twitter for making this exchange public. The process of creating computer-generated alleged 'viruses' is called 'in silico' or 'in silicon' – computer chips – and the term 'in silico' is believed to originate with biological experiments using only a computer in 1989. 'Vaccines' involved with 'Covid' are also produced 'in silico' or by computer not a natural process. If the original 'virus' is nothing more than a made-up computer model how can there be 'new variants' of something that never existed in the first place? They are not new 'variants'; they are new *computer models* only minutely different to the original program and designed to further terrify the population into having the 'vaccine' and submitting to fascism. You want a 'new variant'? Click, click, enter – there you go. Tell the medical profession that you have discovered a 'South African variant', 'UK variants' or a 'Brazilian variant' and in the usual HIV-causes-AIDS manner they will unquestioningly repeat it with no evidence whatsoever to support these claims. They will go on television and warn about the dangers of 'new variants' while doing nothing more than repeating what they have been told to be true and knowing that any deviation from that would be career suicide. Big-time insiders will know it's a hoax, but much of the medical community is clueless about the way they are being played and themselves play the public without even being aware they are doing so. What an interesting 'coincidence' that AstraZeneca and Oxford University were conducting 'Covid vaccine trials' in the three countries – the UK, South Africa and Brazil – where the first three 'variants' were claimed to have 'broken out'.

Here's your 'virus' – it's a unicorn

Dr Andrew Kaufman presented a brilliant analysis describing how the 'virus' was imagined into fake existence when he dissected an article published by *Nature* and written by 19 authors detailing *alleged* 'sequencing of a complete viral genome' of the 'new SARS-CoV-2 virus'. This computer-modelled *in silico* genome was used as a template for all subsequent genome sequencing experiments that resulted in the so-called variants which he said now number more than *6,000*. The fake genome was constructed from more than 56 million individual short strands of RNA. Those little pieces were assembled into longer pieces by finding areas of overlapping sequences. The computer programs created over two million possible combinations from which the authors simply chose the longest one. They then compared this to a 'bat virus' and the computer 'alignment' rearranged the sequence and filled in the gaps! They called this computer-generated abomination the 'complete genome'. Dr Tom Cowan, a fellow medical author and collaborator with Kaufman, said such computer-generation constitutes scientific fraud and he makes this superb analogy:

> Here is an equivalency: A group of researchers claim to have found a unicorn because they found a piece of a hoof, a hair from a tail, and a snippet of a horn. They then add that information into a computer and program it to re-create the unicorn, and they then claim this computer re-creation is the real unicorn. Of course, they had never actually seen a unicorn so could not possibly have examined its genetic makeup to compare their samples with the actual unicorn's hair, hooves and horn.
>
> The researchers claim they decided which is the real genome of SARS-CoV-2 by 'consensus', sort of like a vote. Again, different computer programs will come up with different versions of the imaginary 'unicorn', so they come together as a group and decide which is the real imaginary unicorn.

This is how the 'virus' that has transformed the world was brought into fraudulent 'existence'. Extraordinary, yes, but as the Nazis said the bigger the lie the more will believe it. Cowan, however, wasn't finished and he went on to identify what he called the real blockbuster in the paper. He quotes this section from a paper written

by virologists and published by the CDC and then explains what it means:

> Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection.
>
> No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection.

Cowan explains that when virologists attempt to prove infection they have three possible 'hosts' or models on which they can test. The first was humans. Exposure to humans was generally not done for ethical reasons and has never been done with SARS-CoV-2 or any coronavirus. The second possible host was animals. Cowan said that forgetting for a moment that they never actually use purified virus when exposing animals they do use solutions that they *claim* contain the virus. Exposure to animals has been done with SARS-CoV-2 in an experiment involving mice and this is what they found: *None of the wild (normal) mice got sick*. In a group of genetically-modified mice, a statistically insignificant number lost weight and had slightly bristled fur, but they experienced nothing like the illness called 'Covid-19'. Cowan said the third method – the one they mostly rely on – is to inoculate solutions they *say* contain the virus onto a variety of tissue cultures. This process had never been shown to kill tissue *unless* the sample material was starved of nutrients and poisoned as *part of the process*. Yes, incredibly, in tissue experiments designed to show the 'virus' is responsible for killing the tissue they starve the tissue of nutrients and add toxic drugs including antibiotics and they do not have control studies to see if it's the starvation and poisoning that is degrading the tissue rather than the 'virus' they allege to be in there somewhere. You want me to pinch you? Yep, I understand. Tom Cowan said this about the whole nonsensical farce as he explains what that quote from the CDC paper really means:

The shocking thing about the above quote is that using their own methods, the virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 – even in high amounts – were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. In plain English, this means they proved, on their terms, that this 'new coronavirus' is not infectious to human beings. It is ONLY infective to monkey kidney cells, and only then when you add two potent drugs (gentamicin and amphotericin), known to be toxic to kidneys, to the mix.

My friends, read this again and again. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed a clear proof, on their terms, showing that the SARS-CoV-2 virus is harmless to human beings. That is the only possible conclusion, but, unfortunately, this result is not even mentioned in their conclusion. They simply say they can provide virus stocks cultured only on monkey Vero cells, thanks for coming.

Cowan concluded: 'If people really understood how this "science" was done, I would hope they would storm the gates and demand honesty, transparency and truth.' Dr Michael Yeadon, former Vice President and Chief Scientific Adviser at drug giant Pfizer has been a vocal critic of the 'Covid vaccine' and its potential for multiple harm. He said in an interview in April, 2021, that 'not one [vaccine] has the virus. He was asked why vaccines normally using a 'dead' version of a disease to activate the immune system were not used for 'Covid' and instead we had the synthetic methods of the 'mRNA Covid vaccine'. Yeadon said that to do the former 'you'd have to have some of [the virus] wouldn't you?' He added: 'No-one's got any – seriously.' Yeadon said that surely they couldn't have fooled the whole world for a year without having a virus, 'but oddly enough ask around – no one's got it'. He didn't know why with all the 'great labs' around the world that the virus had not been isolated – 'Maybe they've been too busy running bad PCR tests and vaccines that people don't need.' What is today called 'science' is not 'science' at all. Science is no longer what is, but whatever people can be manipulated to *believe* that it is. Real science has been hijacked by the Cult to dispense and produce the 'expert scientists' and contentions that suit the agenda of the Cult. How big-time this has happened with the 'Covid' hoax which is entirely based on fake science delivered by fake 'scientists' and fake 'doctors'. The human-caused climate change hoax is also entirely based on fake science delivered by fake 'scientists' and fake 'climate experts'. In both cases real

scientists, climate experts and doctors have their views suppressed and deleted by the Cult-owned science establishment, media and Silicon Valley. This is the 'science' that politicians claim to be 'following' and a common denominator of 'Covid' and climate are Cult psychopaths Bill Gates and his mate Klaus Schwab at the Gates-funded World Economic Forum. But, don't worry, it's all just a coincidence and absolutely nothing to worry about. *Zzzzzzzz*.

What is a 'virus' REALLY?

Dr Tom Cowan is one of many contesting the very existence of viruses let alone that they cause disease. This is understandable when there is no scientific evidence for a disease-causing 'virus'. German virologist Dr Stefan Lanka won a landmark case in 2017 in the German Supreme Court over his contention that there is no such thing as a measles virus. He had offered a big prize for anyone who could prove there is and Lanka won his case when someone sought to claim the money. There is currently a prize of more than 225,000 euros on offer from an Isolate Truth Fund for anyone who can prove the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 and its genetic substance. Lanka wrote in an article headed 'The Misconception Called Virus' that scientists think a 'virus' is causing tissue to become diseased and degraded when in fact it is the *processes they are using* which do that – not a 'virus'. Lanka has done an important job in making this point clear as Cowan did in his analysis of the CDC paper. Lanka says that all claims about viruses as disease-causing pathogens are wrong and based on 'easily recognisable, understandable and verifiable misinterpretations.' Scientists believed they were working with 'viruses' in their laboratories when they were really working with 'typical particles of specific dying tissues or cells …' Lanka said that the tissue decaying process claimed to be caused by a 'virus' still happens when no alleged 'virus' is involved. It's the *process* that does the damage and not a 'virus'. The genetic sample is deprived of nutrients, removed from its energy supply through removal from the body and then doused in toxic antibiotics to remove any bacteria. He confirms again that establishment scientists do not (pinch me)

conduct control experiments to see if this is the case and if they did they would see the claims that 'viruses' are doing the damage is nonsense. He adds that during the measles 'virus' court case he commissioned an independent laboratory to perform just such a control experiment and the result was that the tissues and cells died in the exact same way as with alleged 'infected' material. This is supported by a gathering number of scientists, doctors and researchers who reject what is called 'germ theory' or the belief in the body being infected by contagious sources emitted by other people. Researchers Dawn Lester and David Parker take the same stance in their highly-detailed and sourced book *What Really Makes You Ill – Why everything you thought you knew about disease is wrong* which was recommended to me by a number of medical professionals genuinely seeking the truth. Lester and Parker say there is no provable scientific evidence to show that a 'virus' can be transmitted between people or people and animals or animals and people:

> The definition also claims that viruses are the cause of many diseases, as if this has been definitively proven. But this is not the case; there is no original scientific evidence that definitively demonstrates that any virus is the cause of any disease. The burden of proof for any theory lies with those who proposed it; but none of the existing documents provides 'proof' that supports the claim that 'viruses' are pathogens.

Dr Tom Cowan employs one of his clever analogies to describe the process by which a 'virus' is named as the culprit for a disease when what is called a 'virus' is only material released by cells detoxing themselves from infiltration by chemical or radiation poisoning. The tidal wave of technologically-generated radiation in the 'smart' modern world plus all the toxic food and drink are causing this to happen more than ever. Deluded 'scientists' misread this as a gathering impact of what they wrongly label 'viruses'.

Paper can infect houses

Cowan said in an article for davidicke.com – with his tongue only mildly in his cheek – that he believed he had made a tremendous

discovery that may revolutionise science. He had discovered that small bits of paper are alive, 'well alive-ish', can 'infect' houses, and then reproduce themselves inside the house. The result was that this explosion of growth in the paper inside the house causes the house to explode, blowing it to smithereens. His evidence for this new theory is that in the past months he had carefully examined many of the houses in his neighbourhood and found almost no scraps of paper on the lawns and surrounds of the house. There was an occasional stray label, but nothing more. Then he would return to these same houses a week or so later and with a few, not all of them, particularly the old and decrepit ones, he found to his shock and surprise they were littered with stray bits of paper. He knew then that the paper had infected these houses, made copies of itself, and blew up the house. A young boy on a bicycle at one of the sites told him he had seen a demolition crew using dynamite to explode the house the previous week, but Cowan dismissed this as the idle thoughts of silly boys because 'I was on to something big'. He was on to how 'scientists' mistake genetic material in the detoxifying process for something they call a 'virus'. Cowan said of his house and paper story:

If this sounds crazy to you, it's because it should. This scenario is obviously nuts. But consider this admittedly embellished, for effect, current viral theory that all scientists, medical doctors and virologists currently believe.

He takes the example of the 'novel SARS-Cov2' virus to prove the point. First they take someone with an undefined illness called 'Covid-19' and don't even attempt to find any virus in their sputum. Never mind the scientists still describe how this 'virus', which they have not located attaches to a cell receptor, injects its genetic material, in 'Covid's' case, RNA, into the cell. The RNA once inserted exploits the cell to reproduce itself and makes 'thousands, nay millions, of copies of itself … Then it emerges victorious to claim its next victim':

If you were to look in the scientific literature for proof, actual scientific proof, that uniform SARS-CoV2 viruses have been properly isolated from the sputum of a sick person, that actual spike proteins could be seen protruding from the virus (which has not been found), you would find that such evidence doesn't exist.

If you go looking in the published scientific literature for actual pictures, proof, that these spike proteins or any viral proteins are ever attached to any receptor embedded in any cell membrane, you would also find that no such evidence exists. If you were to look for a video or documented evidence of the intact virus injecting its genetic material into the body of the cell, reproducing itself and then emerging victorious by budding off the cell membrane, you would find that no such evidence exists.

The closest thing you would find is electron micrograph pictures of cellular particles, possibly attached to cell debris, both of which to be seen were stained by heavy metals, a process that completely distorts their architecture within the living organism. This is like finding bits of paper stuck to the blown-up bricks, thereby proving the paper emerged by taking pieces of the bricks on its way out.

The Enders baloney

Cowan describes the 'Covid' story as being just as make-believe as his paper story and he charts back this fantasy to a Nobel Prize winner called John Enders (1897-1985), an American biomedical scientist who has been dubbed 'The Father of Modern Vaccines'. Enders is claimed to have 'discovered' the process of the viral culture which 'proved' that a 'virus' caused measles. Cowan explains how Enders did this 'by using the EXACT same procedure that has been followed by every virologist to find and characterize every new virus since 1954'. Enders took throat swabs from children with measles and immersed them in 2ml of milk. Penicillin (100u/ml) and the antibiotic streptomycin (50,g/ml) were added and the whole mix was centrifuged – rotated at high speed to separate large cellular debris from small particles and molecules as with milk and cream, for example. Cowan says that if the aim is to find little particles of genetic material ('viruses') in the snot from children with measles it would seem that the last thing you would do is mix the snot with other material – milk –that also has genetic material. 'How are you ever going to know whether whatever you found came from the snot or the milk?' He points out that streptomycin is a 'nephrotoxic' or poisonous-to-the-kidney drug. You will see the relevance of that

shortly. Cowan says that it gets worse, much worse, when Enders describes the culture medium upon which the virus 'grows': 'The culture medium consisted of bovine amniotic fluid (90%), beef embryo extract (5%), horse serum (5%), antibiotics and phenol red as an indicator of cell metabolism.' Cowan asks incredulously: 'Did he just say that the culture medium also contained fluids and tissues that are themselves rich sources of genetic material?' The genetic cocktail, or 'medium', is inoculated onto tissue and cells from rhesus monkey *kidney* tissue. This is where the importance of streptomycin comes in and currently-used antimicrobials and other drugs that are *poisonous to kidneys* and used in ALL modern viral cultures (e.g. gentamicin, streptomycin, and amphotericin). Cowan asks: 'How are you ever going to know from this witch's brew where any genetic material comes from as we now have five different sources of rich genetic material in our mix?' Remember, he says, that all genetic material, whether from monkey kidney tissues, bovine serum, milk, etc., is made from the exact same components. The same central question returns: 'How are you possibly going to know that it was the virus that killed the kidney tissue and not the toxic antibiotic and starvation rations on which you are growing the tissue?' John Enders answered the question himself – *you can't*:

A second agent was obtained from an uninoculated culture of monkey kidney cells. The cytopathic changes [death of the cells] it induced in the unstained preparations could not be distinguished with confidence from the viruses isolated from measles.

The death of the cells ('cytopathic changes') happened in exactly the same manner, whether they inoculated the kidney tissue with the measles snot or not, Cowan says. 'This is evidence that the destruction of the tissue, the very proof of viral causation of illness, was not caused by anything in the snot because they saw the same destructive effect when the snot was not even used … the cytopathic, i.e., cell-killing, changes come from the process of the culture itself, not from any virus in any snot, period.' Enders quotes in his 1957 paper a virologist called Ruckle as reporting similar findings 'and in addition has isolated an agent from monkey kidney tissue that is so

far indistinguishable from human measles virus'. In other words, Cowan says, these particles called 'measles viruses' are simply and clearly breakdown products of the starved and poisoned tissue. For measles 'virus' see all 'viruses' including the so-called 'Covid virus'. Enders, the 'Father of Modern Vaccines', also said:

There is a potential risk in employing cultures of primate cells for the production of vaccines composed of attenuated virus, since the presence of other agents possibly latent in primate tissues cannot be definitely excluded by any known method.

Cowan further quotes from a paper published in the journal *Viruses* in May, 2020, while the 'Covid pandemic' was well underway in the media if not in reality. 'EVs' here refers to particles of genetic debris from our own tissues, such as exosomes of which more in a moment: 'The remarkable resemblance between EVs and viruses has caused quite a few problems in the studies focused on the analysis of EVs released during viral infections.' Later the paper adds that to date a reliable method that can actually guarantee a complete separation (of EVs from viruses) DOES NOT EXIST. This was published at a time when a fairy tale 'virus' was claimed in total certainty to be causing a fairy tale 'viral disease' called 'Covid-19' – a fairy tale that was already well on the way to transforming human society in the image that the Cult has worked to achieve for so long. Cowan concludes his article:

To summarize, there is no scientific evidence that pathogenic viruses exist. What we think of as 'viruses' are simply the normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues and cells. When we are well, we make fewer of these particles; when we are starved, poisoned, suffocated by wearing masks, or afraid, we make more.

There is no engineered virus circulating and making people sick. People in laboratories all over the world are making genetically modified products to make people sick. These are called vaccines. There is no virome, no 'ecosystem' of viruses, viruses are not 8%, 50% or 100 % of our genetic material. These are all simply erroneous ideas based on the misconception called a virus.

What is 'Covid'? Load of bollocks

The background described here by Cowan and Lanka was emphasised in the first video presentation that I saw by Dr Andrew Kaufman when he asked whether the 'Covid virus' was in truth a natural defence mechanism of the body called 'exosomes'. These are released by cells when in states of toxicity – see the same themes returning over and over. They are released ever more profusely as chemical and radiation toxicity increases and think of the potential effect therefore of 5G alone as its destructive frequencies infest the human energetic information field with a gathering pace (5G went online in Wuhan in 2019 as the 'virus' emerged). I'll have more about this later. Exosomes transmit a warning to the rest of the body that 'Houston, we have a problem'. Kaufman presented images of exosomes and compared them with 'Covid' under an electron microscope and the similarity was remarkable. They both attach to the same cell receptors (*claimed* in the case of 'Covid'), contain the same genetic material in the form of RNA or ribonucleic acid, and both are found in 'viral cell cultures' with damaged or dying cells. James Hildreth MD, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Meharry Medical College at Johns Hopkins, said: 'The virus is fully an exosome in every sense of the word.' Kaufman's conclusion was that there is no 'virus': 'This entire pandemic is a completely manufactured crisis … there is no evidence of anyone dying from [this] illness.' Dr Tom Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell, authors of *The Contagion Myth*, published a statement with Dr Kaufman in February, 2021, explaining why the 'virus' does not exist and you can read it that in full in the Appendix.

'Virus' theory can be traced to the 'cell theory' in 1858 of German physician Rudolf Virchow (1821-1920) who contended that disease originates from a single cell infiltrated by a 'virus'. Dr Stefan Lanka said that findings and insights with respect to the structure, function and central importance of tissues in the creation of life, which were already known in 1858, comprehensively refute the cell theory. Virchow ignored them. We have seen the part later played by John Enders in the 1950s and Lanka notes that infection theories were only established as a global dogma through the policies and

eugenics of the Third Reich in Nazi Germany (creation of the same Sabbatian cult behind the 'Covid' hoax). Lanka said: 'Before 1933, scientists dared to contradict this theory; after 1933, these critical scientists were silenced'. Dr Tom Cowan's view is that ill-heath is caused by too much of something, too little of something, or toxification from chemicals and radiation – not contagion. We must also highlight as a major source of the 'virus' theology a man still called the 'Father of Modern Virology' – Thomas Milton Rivers (1888-1962). There is no way given the Cult's long game policy that it was a coincidence for the 'Father of Modern Virology' to be director of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research from 1937 to 1956 when he is credited with making the Rockefeller Institute a leader in 'viral research'. Cult Rockefellers were the force behind the creation of Big Pharma 'medicine', established the World Health Organisation in 1948, and have long and close associations with the Gates family that now runs the WHO during the pandemic hoax through mega-rich Cult gofer and psychopath Bill Gates.

Only a Renegade Mind can see through all this bullshit by asking the questions that need to be answered, not taking 'no' or prevarication for an answer, and certainly not hiding from the truth in fear of speaking it. Renegade Minds have always changed the world for the better and they will change this one no matter how bleak it may currently appear to be.

CHAPTER SIX

Sequence of deceit

If you tell the truth, you don't have to remember anything
Mark Twain

Against the background that I have laid out this far the sequence that took us from an invented 'virus' in Cult-owned China in late 2019 to the fascist transformation of human society can be seen and understood in a whole new context.

We were told that a deadly disease had broken out in Wuhan and the world media began its campaign (coordinated by behavioural psychologists as we shall see) to terrify the population into unquestioning compliance. We were shown images of Chinese people collapsing in the street which never happened in the West with what was supposed to be the same condition. In the earliest days when alleged cases and deaths were few the fear register was hysterical in many areas of the media and this would expand into the common media narrative across the world. The real story was rather different, but we were never told that. The Chinese government, one of the Cult's biggest centres of global operation, said they had discovered a new illness with flu-like and pneumonia-type symptoms in a city with such toxic air that it is overwhelmed with flu-like symptoms, pneumonia and respiratory disease. Chinese scientists said it was a new – 'novel' – coronavirus which they called Sars-Cov-2 and that it caused a disease they labelled 'Covid-19'. There was no evidence for this and the 'virus' has never to this day been isolated, purified and its genetic code established from that. It

was from the beginning a computer-generated fiction. Stories of Chinese whistleblowers saying the number of deaths was being supressed or that the 'new disease' was related to the Wuhan bio-lab misdirected mainstream and alternative media into cul-de-sacs to obscure the real truth – there was no 'virus'.

Chinese scientists took genetic material from the lung fluid of just a few people and said they had found a 'new' disease when this material had a wide range of content. There was no evidence for a 'virus' for the very reasons explained in the last two chapters. The 'virus' has never been shown to (a) exist and (b) cause any disease. People were diagnosed on symptoms that are so widespread in Wuhan and polluted China and with a PCR test that can't detect infectious disease. On this farce the whole global scam was sold to the rest of the world which would also diagnose respiratory disease as 'Covid-19' from symptoms alone or with a PCR test not testing for a 'virus'. Flu miraculously disappeared *worldwide* in 2020 and into 2021 as it was redesignated 'Covid-19'. It was really the same old flu with its 'flu-like' symptoms attributed to 'flu-like' 'Covid-19'. At the same time with very few exceptions the Chinese response of draconian lockdown and fascism was the chosen weapon to respond across the West as recommended by the Cult-owned Tedros at the Cult-owned World Health Organization run by the Cult-owned Gates. All was going according to plan. Chinese scientists – everything in China is controlled by the Cult-owned government – compared their contaminated RNA lung-fluid material with other RNA sequences and said it appeared to be just under 80 percent identical to the SARS-CoV-1 'virus' claimed to be the cause of the SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) 'outbreak' in 2003. They decreed that because of this the 'new virus' had to be related and they called it SARS-CoV-2. There are some serious problems with this assumption and *assumption* was all it was. Most 'factual' science turns out to be assumptions repeated into everyone-knows-that. A match of under 80-percent is meaningless. Dr Kaufman makes the point that there's a *96 percent* genetic correlation between humans and chimpanzees, but 'no one would say our genetic material is part

of the chimpanzee family'. Yet the Chinese authorities were claiming that a much lower percentage, less than 80 percent, proved the existence of a new 'coronavirus'. For goodness sake human DNA is 60 percent similar to a *banana*.

You are feeling sleepy

The entire 'Covid' hoax is a global Psyop, a psychological operation to program the human mind into believing and fearing a complete fantasy. A crucial aspect of this was what *appeared* to happen in Italy. It was all very well streaming out daily images of an alleged catastrophe in Wuhan, but to the Western mind it was still on the other side of the world in a very different culture and setting. A reaction of 'this could happen to me and my family' was still nothing like as intense enough for the mind-doctors. The Cult needed a Western example to push people over that edge and it chose Italy, one of its major global locations going back to the Roman Empire. An Italian 'Covid' crisis was manufactured in a particular area called Lombardy which just happens to be notorious for its toxic air and therefore respiratory disease. Wuhan, China, déjà vu. An hysterical media told horror stories of Italians dying from 'Covid' in their droves and how Lombardy hospitals were being overrun by a tidal wave of desperately ill people needing treatment after being struck down by the 'deadly virus'. Here was the psychological turning point the Cult had planned. Wow, if this is happening in Italy, the Western mind concluded, this indeed could happen to me and my family. Another point is that Italian authorities responded by following the Chinese blueprint so vehemently recommended by the Cult-owned World Health Organization. They imposed fascistic lockdowns on the whole country viciously policed with the help of surveillance drones sweeping through the streets seeking out anyone who escaped from mass house arrest. Livelihoods were destroyed and psychology unravelled in the way we have witnessed since in all lockdown countries. Crucial to the plan was that Italy responded in this way to set the precedent of suspending freedom and imposing fascism in a 'Western liberal democracy'. I emphasised in an

animated video explanation on davidicke.com posted in the summer of 2020 how important it was to the Cult to expand the Chinese lockdown model across the West. Without this, and the bare-faced lie that non-symptomatic people could still transmit a 'disease' they didn't have, there was no way locking down the whole population, sick and not sick, could be pulled off. At just the right time and with no evidence Cult operatives and gofers claimed that people without symptoms could pass on the 'disease'. In the name of protecting the 'vulnerable' like elderly people, who lockdowns would kill by the tens of thousands, we had for the first time healthy people told to isolate as well as the sick. The great majority of people who tested positive had no symptoms because there was nothing wrong with them. It was just a trick made possible by a test not testing for the 'virus'.

Months after my animated video the Gates-funded Professor Neil Ferguson at the Gates-funded Imperial College confirmed that I was right. He didn't say it in those terms, naturally, but he did say it. Ferguson will enter the story shortly for his outrageously crazy 'computer models' that led to Britain, the United States and many other countries following the Chinese and now Italian methods of response. Put another way, following the Cult script. Ferguson said that SAGE, the UK government's scientific advisory group which has controlled 'Covid' policy from the start, wanted to follow the Chinese lockdown model (while they all continued to work and be paid), but they wondered if they could possibly, in Ferguson's words, 'get away with it in Europe'. 'Get away with it'? Who the hell do these moronic, arrogant people think they are? This appalling man Ferguson said that once Italy went into national lockdown they realised they, too, could mimic China:

> It's a communist one-party state, we said. We couldn't get away with it in Europe, we thought … and then Italy did it. And we realised we could. Behind this garbage from Ferguson is a simple fact: Doing the same as China in every country was the plan from the start and Ferguson's 'models' would play a central role in achieving that. It's just a coincidence, of course, and absolutely nothing to worry your little head about.

Oops, sorry, our mistake

Once the Italian segment of the Psyop had done the job it was designed to do a very different story emerged. Italian authorities revealed that 99 percent of those who had 'died from Covid-19' in Italy had one, two, three, or more 'co-morbidities' or illnesses and health problems that could have ended their life. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) published a figure of 94 percent for Americans dying of 'Covid' while having other serious medical conditions – on average two to three (some five or six) other potential causes of death. In terms of death from an unproven 'virus' I say it is 100 percent. The other one percent in Italy and six percent in the US would presumably have died from 'Covid's' flu-like symptoms with a range of other possible causes in conjunction with a test not testing for the 'virus'. Fox News reported that even more startling figures had emerged in one US county in which 410 of 422 deaths attributed to 'Covid-19' had other potentially deadly health conditions. The Italian National Health Institute said later that the average age of people dying with a 'Covid-19' diagnosis in Italy was about 81. Ninety percent were over 70 with ten percent over 90. In terms of other reasons to die some 80 percent had two or more chronic diseases with half having three or more including cardiovascular problems, diabetes, respiratory problems and cancer. Why is the phantom 'Covid-19' said to kill overwhelmingly old people and hardly affect the young? Old people continually die of many causes and especially respiratory disease which you can re-diagnose 'Covid-19' while young people die in tiny numbers by comparison and rarely of respiratory disease. Old people 'die of Covid' because they die of other things that can be redesignated 'Covid' and it really is that simple.

Flu has flown

The blueprint was in place. Get your illusory 'cases' from a test not testing for the 'virus' and redesignate other causes of death as 'Covid-19'. You have an instant 'pandemic' from something that is nothing more than a computer-generated fiction. With near-on a

billion people having 'flu-like' symptoms every year the potential was limitless and we can see why flu quickly and apparently miraculously disappeared *worldwide* by being diagnosed 'Covid-19'. The painfully bloody obvious was explained away by the childlike media in headlines like this in the UK '*Independent*': 'Not a single case of flu detected by Public Health England this year as Covid restrictions suppress virus'. I kid you not. The masking, social distancing and house arrest that did not make the 'Covid virus' disappear somehow did so with the 'flu virus'. Even worse the article, by a bloke called Samuel Lovett, suggested that maybe the masking, sanitising and other 'Covid' measures should continue to keep the flu away. With a ridiculousness that disturbs your breathing (it's 'Covid-19') the said Lovett wrote: 'With widespread social distancing and mask-wearing measures in place throughout the UK, the usual routes of transmission for influenza have been blocked.' He had absolutely no evidence to support that statement, but look at the consequences of him acknowledging the obvious. With flu not disappearing at all and only being relabelled 'Covid-19' he would have to contemplate that 'Covid' was a hoax on a scale that is hard to imagine. You need guts and commitment to truth to even go there and that's clearly something Samuel Lovett does not have in abundance. He would never have got it through the editors anyway.

Tens of thousands die in the United States alone every winter from flu including many with pneumonia complications. CDC figures record *45 million* Americans diagnosed with flu in 2017-2018 of which 61,000 died and some reports claim 80,000. Where was the same hysteria then that we have seen with 'Covid-19'? Some 250,000 Americans are admitted to hospital with pneumonia every year with about 50,000 cases proving fatal. About 65 million suffer respiratory disease every year and three million deaths makes this the third biggest cause of death worldwide. You only have to redesignate a portion of all these people 'Covid-19' and you have an instant global pandemic or the *appearance* of one. Why would doctors do this? They are told to do this and all but a few dare not refuse those who must be obeyed. Doctors in general are not researching their own

knowledge and instead take it direct and unquestioned from the authorities that own them and their careers. The authorities say they must now diagnose these symptoms 'Covid-19' and not flu, or whatever, and they do it. Dark suits say put 'Covid-19' on death certificates no matter what the cause of death and the doctors do it. Renegade Minds don't fall for the illusion that doctors and medical staff are all highly-intelligent, highly-principled, seekers of medical truth. *Some are*, but not the majority. They are repeaters, gofers, and yes sir, no sir, purveyors of what the system demands they purvey. The 'Covid' con is not merely confined to diseases of the lungs. Instructions to doctors to put 'Covid-19' on death certificates for anyone dying of *anything* within 28 days (or much more) of a positive test not testing for the 'virus' opened the floodgates. The term dying *with* 'Covid' and not *of* 'Covid' was coined to cover the truth. Whether it was a *with* or an *of* they were all added to the death numbers attributed to the 'deadly virus' compiled by national governments and globally by the Gates-funded Johns Hopkins operation in the United States that was so involved in those 'pandemic' simulations. Fraudulent deaths were added to the ever-growing list of fraudulent 'cases' from false positives from a false test. No wonder Professor Walter Ricciardi, scientific advisor to the Italian minister of health, said after the Lombardy hysteria had done its job that 'Covid' death rates were due to Italy having the second oldest population in the world and to *how hospitals record deaths*:

> The way in which we code deaths in our country is very generous in the sense that all the people who die in hospitals with the coronavirus are deemed to be dying of the coronavirus. On re-evaluation by the National Institute of Health, only 12 per cent of death certificates have shown a direct causality from coronavirus, while 88 per cent of patients who have died have at least one pre-morbidity – many had two or three.

This is extraordinary enough when you consider the propaganda campaign to use Italy to terrify the world, but how can they even say twelve percent were genuine when the 'virus' has not been shown to exist, its 'code' is a computer program, and diagnosis comes from a test not testing for it? As in China, and soon the world, 'Covid-19' in

Italy was a redesignation of diagnosis. Lies and corruption were to become the real 'pandemic' fuelled by a pathetically-compliant medical system taking its orders from the tiny few at the top of their national hierarchy who answered to the World Health Organization which answers to Gates and the Cult. Doctors were told – ordered – to diagnose a particular set of symptoms 'Covid-19' and put that on the death certificate for any cause of death if the patient had tested positive with a test not testing for the virus or had 'Covid' symptoms like the flu. The United States even introduced big financial incentives to manipulate the figures with hospitals receiving £4,600 from the Medicare system for diagnosing someone with regular pneumonia, $13,000 if they made the diagnosis from the same symptoms 'Covid-19' pneumonia, and $39, 000 if they put a 'Covid' diagnosed patient on a ventilator that would almost certainly kill them. A few – painfully and pathetically few – medical whistleblowers revealed (before Cult-owned YouTube deleted their videos) that they had been instructed to 'let the patient crash' and put them straight on a ventilator instead of going through a series of far less intrusive and dangerous methods as they would have done before the pandemic hoax began and the financial incentives kicked in. We are talking cold-blooded murder given that ventilators are so damaging to respiratory systems they are usually the last step before heaven awaits. Renegade Minds never fall for the belief that people in white coats are all angels of mercy and cannot be full-on psychopaths. I have explained in detail in *The Answer* how what I am describing here played out across the world coordinated by the World Health Organization through the medical hierarchies in almost every country.

Medical scientist calls it

Information about the non-existence of the 'virus' began to emerge for me in late March, 2020, and mushroomed after that. I was sent an email by Sir Julian Rose, a writer, researcher, and organic farming promotor, from a medical scientist friend of his in the United States. Even at that early stage in March the scientist was able to explain

how the 'Covid' hoax was being manipulated. He said there were no reliable tests for a specific 'Covid-19 virus' and nor were there any reliable agencies or media outlets for reporting numbers of actual 'Covid-19' cases. We have seen in the long period since then that he was absolutely right. 'Every action and reaction to Covid-19 is based on totally flawed data and we simply cannot make accurate assessments,' he said. Most people diagnosed with 'Covid-19' were showing nothing more than cold and flu-like symptoms 'because most coronavirus strains *are* nothing more than cold/flu-like symptoms'. We had farcical situations like an 84-year-old German man testing positive for 'Covid-19' and his nursing home ordered to quarantine only for him to be found to have a common cold. The scientist described back then why PCR tests and what he called the 'Mickey Mouse test kits' were useless for what they were claimed to be identifying. 'The idea these kits can isolate a specific virus like Covid-19 is nonsense,' he said. Significantly, he pointed out that 'if you want to create a totally false panic about a totally false pandemic – pick a coronavirus'. This is exactly what the Cult-owned Gates, World Economic Forum and Johns Hopkins University did with their Event 201 'simulation' followed by their real-life simulation called the 'pandemic'. The scientist said that all you had to do was select the sickest of people with respiratory-type diseases in a single location – 'say Wuhan' – and administer PCR tests to them. You can then claim that anyone showing 'viral sequences' similar to a coronavirus 'which will inevitably be quite a few' is suffering from a 'new' disease:

> Since you already selected the sickest flu cases a fairly high proportion of your sample will go on to die. You can then say this 'new' virus has a CFR [case fatality rate] higher than the flu and use this to infuse more concern and do more tests which will of course produce more 'cases', which expands the testing, which produces yet more 'cases' and so on and so on. Before long you have your 'pandemic', and all you have done is use a simple test kit trick to convert the worst flu and pneumonia cases into something new that doesn't ACTUALLY EXIST [my emphasis].

He said that you then 'just run the same scam in other countries' and make sure to keep the fear message running high 'so that people

will feel panicky and less able to think critically'. The only problem to overcome was the fact *there is no* actual new deadly pathogen and only regular sick people. This meant that deaths from the 'new deadly pathogen' were going to be way too low for a real new deadly virus pandemic, but he said this could be overcome in the following ways – all of which would go on to happen:

1. You can claim this is just the beginning and more deaths are imminent [you underpin this with fantasy 'computer projections']. Use this as an excuse to quarantine everyone and then claim the quarantine prevented the expected millions of dead.

2. You can [say that people] 'minimizing' the dangers are irresponsible and bully them into not talking about numbers.

3. You can talk crap about made up numbers hoping to blind people with pseudoscience.

4. You can start testing well people (who, of course, will also likely have shreds of coronavirus [RNA] in them) and thus inflate your 'case figures' with 'asymptomatic carriers' (you will of course have to spin that to sound deadly even though any virologist knows the more symptom-less cases you have the less deadly is your pathogen).

The scientist said that if you take these simple steps 'you can have your own entirely manufactured pandemic up and running in weeks'. His analysis made so early in the hoax was brilliantly prophetic of what would actually unfold. Pulling all the information together in these recent chapters we have this is simple 1, 2, 3, of how you can delude virtually the entire human population into believing in a 'virus' that doesn't exist:

- A 'Covid case' is someone who tests positive with a test not testing for the 'virus'.

- A 'Covid death' is someone who dies of *any cause* within 28 days (or much longer) of testing positive with a test not testing for the 'virus.

- Asymptomatic means there is nothing wrong with you, but they claim you can pass on what you don't have to justify locking

down (quarantining) healthy people in totality.

The foundations of the hoax are that simple. A study involving ten million people in Wuhan, published in November, 2020, demolished the whole lie about those without symptoms passing on the 'virus'. They found '300 asymptomatic cases' and traced their contacts to find that not one of them was detected with the 'virus'. 'Asymptomatic' patients and their contacts were isolated for no less than two weeks and nothing changed. I know it's all crap, but if you are going to claim that those without symptoms can transmit 'the virus' then you must produce evidence for that and they never have. Even World Health Organization official Dr Maria Van Kerkhove, head of the emerging diseases and zoonosis unit, said as early as June, 2020, that she doubted the validity of asymptomatic transmission. She said that 'from the data we have, it still seems to be rare that an asymptomatic person actually transmits onward to a secondary individual' and by 'rare' she meant that she couldn't cite any case of asymptomatic transmission.

The Ferguson factor

The problem for the Cult as it headed into March, 2020, when the script had lockdown due to start, was that despite all the manipulation of the case and death figures they still did not have enough people alleged to have died from 'Covid' to justify mass house arrest. This was overcome in the way the scientist described: 'You can claim this is just the beginning and more deaths are imminent … Use this as an excuse to quarantine everyone and then claim the quarantine prevented the expected millions of dead.' Enter one Professor Neil Ferguson, the Gates-funded 'epidemiologist' at the Gates-funded Imperial College in London. Ferguson is Britain's Christian Drosten in that he has a dire record of predicting health outcomes, but is still called upon to advise government on the next health outcome when another 'crisis' comes along. This may seem to be a strange and ridiculous thing to do. Why would you keep turning for policy guidance to people who have a history of being

monumentally wrong? Ah, but it makes sense from the Cult point of view. These 'experts' keep on producing predictions that suit the Cult agenda for societal transformation and so it was with Neil Ferguson as he revealed his horrific (and clearly insane) computer model predictions that allowed lockdowns to be imposed in Britain, the United States and many other countries. Ferguson does not have even an A-level in biology and would appear to have no formal training in computer modelling, medicine or epidemiology, according to Derek Winton, an MSc in Computational Intelligence. He wrote an article somewhat aghast at what Ferguson did which included taking no account of respiratory disease 'seasonality' which means it is far worse in the winter months. Who would have thought that respiratory disease could be worse in the winter? Well, certainly not Ferguson.

The massively China-connected Imperial College and its bizarre professor provided the excuse for the long-incubated Chinese model of human control to travel westward at lightning speed. Imperial College confirms on its website that it collaborates with the Chinese Research Institute; publishes more than 600 research papers every year with Chinese research institutions; has 225 Chinese staff; 2,600 Chinese students – the biggest international group; 7,000 former students living in China which is the largest group outside the UK; and was selected for a tour by China's President Xi Jinping during his state visit to the UK in 2015. The college takes major donations from China and describes itself as the UK's number one university collaborator with Chinese research institutions. The China communist/fascist government did not appear phased by the woeful predictions of Ferguson and Imperial when during the lockdown that Ferguson induced the college signed a five-year collaboration deal with China tech giant Huawei that will have Huawei's indoor 5G network equipment installed at the college's West London tech campus along with an 'AI cloud platform'. The deal includes Chinese sponsorship of Imperial's Venture Catalyst entrepreneurship competition. Imperial is an example of the enormous influence the Chinese government has within British and North American

universities and research centres – and further afield. Up to 200 academics from more than a dozen UK universities are being investigated on suspicion of 'unintentionally' helping the Chinese government build weapons of mass destruction by 'transferring world-leading research in advanced military technology such as aircraft, missile designs and cyberweapons'. Similar scandals have broken in the United States, but it's all a coincidence. Imperial College serves the agenda in many other ways including the promotion of every aspect of the United Nations Agenda 21/2030 (the Great Reset) and produced computer models to show that human-caused 'climate change' is happening when in the real world it isn't. Imperial College is driving the climate agenda as it drives the 'Covid' agenda (both Cult hoaxes) while Patrick Vallance, the UK government's Chief Scientific Adviser on 'Covid', was named Chief Scientific Adviser to the UN 'climate change' conference known as COP26 hosted by the government in Glasgow, Scotland. 'Covid' and 'climate' are fundamentally connected.

Professor Woeful

From Imperial's bosom came Neil Ferguson still advising government despite his previous disasters and it was announced early on that he and other key people like UK Chief Medical Adviser Chris Whitty had caught the 'virus' as the propaganda story was being sold. Somehow they managed to survive and we had Prime Minister Boris Johnson admitted to hospital with what was said to be a severe version of the 'virus' in this same period. His whole policy and demeanour changed when he returned to Downing Street. It's a small world with these government advisors – especially in their communal connections to Gates – and Ferguson had partnered with Whitty to write a paper called 'Infectious disease: Tough choices to reduce Ebola transmission' which involved another scare-story that didn't happen. Ferguson's 'models' predicted that up to150, 000 could die from 'mad cow disease', or BSE, and its version in sheep if it was transmitted to humans. BSE was not transmitted and instead triggered by an organophosphate pesticide used to treat a pest on

cows. Fewer than 200 deaths followed from the human form. Models by Ferguson and his fellow incompetents led to the unnecessary culling of millions of pigs, cattle and sheep in the foot and mouth outbreak in 2001 which destroyed the lives and livelihoods of farmers and their families who had often spent decades building their herds and flocks. Vast numbers of these animals did not have foot and mouth and had no contact with the infection. Another 'expert' behind the cull was Professor Roy Anderson, a computer modeller at Imperial College specialising in the epidemiology of *human*, not animal, disease. Anderson has served on the Bill and Melinda Gates Grand Challenges in Global Health advisory board and chairs another Gates-funded organisation. Gates is everywhere.

In a precursor to the 'Covid' script Ferguson backed closing schools 'for prolonged periods' over the swine flu 'pandemic' in 2009 and said it would affect a third of the world population if it continued to spread at the speed he claimed to be happening. His mates at Imperial College said much the same and a news report said: 'One of the authors, the epidemiologist and disease modeller Neil Ferguson, who sits on the World Health Organisation's emergency committee for the outbreak, said the virus had "full pandemic potential".' Professor Liam Donaldson, the Chris Whitty of his day as Chief Medical Officer, said the worst case could see 30 percent of the British people infected by swine flu with 65,000 dying. Ferguson and Donaldson were indeed proved correct when at the end of the year the number of deaths attributed to swine flu was 392. The term 'expert' is rather liberally applied unfortunately, not least to complete idiots. Swine flu 'projections' were great for GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) as millions rolled in for its Pandemrix influenza vaccine which led to brain damage with children most affected. The British government (taxpayers) paid out more than £60 million in compensation after GSK was given immunity from prosecution. Yet another 'Covid' déjà vu. Swine flu was supposed to have broken out in Mexico, but Dr Wolfgang Wodarg, a German doctor, former member of parliament and critic of the 'Covid' hoax, observed 'the spread of swine flu' in Mexico City at the time. He

said: 'What we experienced in Mexico City was a very mild flu which did not kill more than usual – which killed even fewer people than usual.' Hyping the fear against all the facts is not unique to 'Covid' and has happened many times before. Ferguson is reported to have over-estimated the projected death toll of bird flu (H5N1) by some three million-fold, but bird flu vaccine makers again made a killing from the scare. This is some of the background to the Neil Ferguson who produced the perfectly-timed computer models in early 2020 predicting that half a million people would die in Britain without draconian lockdown and 2.2 million in the United States. Politicians panicked, people panicked, and lockdowns of alleged short duration were instigated to 'flatten the curve' of cases gleaned from a test not testing for the 'virus'. I said at the time that the public could forget the 'short duration' bit. This was an agenda to destroy the livelihoods of the population and force them into mass control through dependency and there was going to be nothing 'short' about it. American researcher Daniel Horowitz described the consequences of the 'models' spewed out by Gates-funded Ferguson and Imperial College:

> What led our government and the governments of many other countries into panic was a single Imperial College of UK study, funded by global warming activists, that predicted 2.2 million deaths if we didn't lock down the country. In addition, the reported 8-9% death rate in Italy scared us into thinking there was some other mutation of this virus that they got, which might have come here.
>
> Together with the fact that we were finally testing and had the ability to actually report new cases, we thought we were headed for a death spiral. But again … we can't flatten a curve if we don't know when the curve started.

How about it *never* started?

Giving them what they want

An investigation by German news outlet *Welt Am Sonntag (World on Sunday)* revealed how in March, 2020, the German government gathered together 'leading scientists from several research institutes and universities' and 'together, they were to produce a [modelling]

paper that would serve as legitimization for further tough political measures'. The Cult agenda was justified by computer modelling not based on evidence or reality; it was specifically constructed to justify the Cult demand for lockdowns all over the world to destroy the independent livelihoods of the global population. All these modellers and everyone responsible for the 'Covid' hoax have a date with a trial like those in Nuremberg after World War Two when Nazis faced the consequences of their war crimes. These corrupt-beyond-belief 'modellers' wrote the paper according to government instructions and it said that that if lockdown measures were lifted then up to one million Germans would die from 'Covid-19' adding that some would die 'agonizingly at home, gasping for breath' unable to be treated by hospitals that couldn't cope. All lies. No matter – it gave the Cult all that it wanted. What did long-time government 'modeller' Neil Ferguson say? If the UK and the United States didn't lockdown half a million would die in Britain and 2.2 million Americans. Anyone see a theme here? 'Modellers' are such a crucial part of the lockdown strategy that we should look into their background and follow the money. Researcher Rosemary Frei produced an excellent article headlined 'The Modelling-paper Mafiosi'. She highlights a guy called John Edmunds, a British epidemiologist, and professor in the Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. He studied at Imperial College. Edmunds is a member of government 'Covid' advisory bodies which have been dictating policy, the New and Emerging Respiratory Virus Threats Advisory Group (NERVTAG) and the Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE).

Ferguson, another member of NERVTAG and SAGE, led the way with the original 'virus' and Edmunds has followed in the 'variant' stage and especially the so-called UK or Kent variant known as the 'Variant of Concern' (VOC) B.1.1.7. He said in a co-written report for the Centre for Mathematical modelling of Infectious Diseases at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, with input from the Centre's 'Covid-19' Working Group, that there was 'a realistic

possibility that VOC B.1.1.7 is associated with an increased risk of death compared to non-VOC viruses'. Fear, fear, fear, get the vaccine, fear, fear, fear, get the vaccine. Rosemary Frei reveals that almost all the paper's authors and members of the modelling centre's 'Covid-19' Working Group receive funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and/or the associated Gates-funded Wellcome Trust. The paper was published by e-journal *Medr χiv* which only publishes papers not peer-reviewed and the journal was established by an organisation headed by Facebook's Mark Zuckerberg and his missus. What a small world it is. Frei discovered that Edmunds is on the Scientific Advisory Board of the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI) which was established by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Klaus Schwab's Davos World Economic Forum and Big Pharma giant Wellcome. CEPI was 'launched in Davos [in 2017] to develop vaccines to stop future epidemics', according to its website. 'Our mission is to accelerate the development of vaccines against emerging infectious diseases and enable equitable access to these vaccines for people during outbreaks.' What kind people they are. Rosemary Frei reveals that Public Health England (PHE) director Susan Hopkins is an author of her organisation's non-peer-reviewed reports on 'new variants'. Hopkins is a professor of infectious diseases at London's Imperial College which is gifted tens of millions of dollars a year by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Gates-funded modelling disaster Neil Ferguson also co-authors Public Health England reports and he spoke in December, 2020, about the potential danger of the B.1.1.7. 'UK variant' promoted by Gates-funded modeller John Edmunds. When I come to the 'Covid vaccines' the 'new variants' will be shown for what they are – bollocks.

Connections, connections

All these people and modellers are lockdown-obsessed or, put another way, they demand what the Cult demands. Edmunds said in January, 2021, that to ease lockdowns too soon would be a disaster and they had to 'vaccinate much, much, much more widely than the

elderly'. Rosemary Frei highlights that Edmunds is married to Jeanne Pimenta who is described in a LinkedIn profile as director of epidemiology at GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and she held shares in the company. Patrick Vallance, co-chair of SAGE and the government's Chief Scientific Adviser, is a former executive of GSK and has a deferred bonus of shares in the company worth £600,000. GSK has serious business connections with Bill Gates and is collaborating with mRNA-'vaccine' company CureVac to make 'vaccines' for the new variants that Edmunds is talking about. GSK is planning a 'Covid vaccine' with drug giant Sanofi. Puppet Prime Minister Boris Johnson announced in the spring of 2021 that up to 60 million vaccine doses were to be made at the GSK facility at Barnard Castle in the English North East. Barnard Castle, with a population of just 6,000, was famously visited in breach of lockdown rules in April, 2020, by Johnson aide Dominic Cummings who said that he drove there 'to test his eyesight' before driving back to London. Cummings would be better advised to test his integrity – not that it would take long. The GSK facility had nothing to do with his visit then although I'm sure Patrick Vallance would have been happy to arrange an introduction and some tea and biscuits. Ruthless psychopath Gates has made yet another fortune from vaccines in collaboration with Big Pharma companies and gushes at the phenomenal profits to be made from vaccines – more than a 20-to-1 return as he told one interviewer. Gates also tweeted in December, 2019, with the foreknowledge of what was coming: 'What's next for our foundation? I'm particularly excited about what the next year could mean for one of the best buys in global health: vaccines.'

Modeller John Edmunds is a big promotor of vaccines as all these people appear to be. He's the dean of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine's Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health which is primarily funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Gates-established and funded GAVI vaccine alliance which is the Gates vehicle to vaccinate the world. The organisation Doctors Without Borders has described GAVI as being 'aimed more at supporting drug-industry desires to promote new

products than at finding the most efficient and sustainable means for fighting the diseases of poverty'. But then that's why the psychopath Gates created it. John Edmunds said in a video that the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine is involved in every aspect of vaccine development including large-scale clinical trials. He contends that mathematical modelling can show that vaccines protect individuals and society. That's on the basis of shit in and shit out, I take it. Edmunds serves on the UK Vaccine Network as does Ferguson and the government's foremost 'Covid' adviser, the grim-faced, dark-eyed Chris Whitty. The Vaccine Network says it works 'to support the government to identify and shortlist targeted investment opportunities for the most promising vaccines and vaccine technologies that will help combat infectious diseases with epidemic potential, and to address structural issues related to the UK's broader vaccine infrastructure'. Ferguson is acting Director of the Imperial College Vaccine Impact Modelling Consortium which has funding from the Bill and Melina Gates Foundation and the Gates-created GAVI 'vaccine alliance'. Anyone wonder why these characters see vaccines as the answer to every problem? Ferguson is wildly enthusiastic in his support for GAVI's campaign to vaccine children en masse in poor countries. You would expect someone like Gates who has constantly talked about the need to reduce the population to want to fund vaccines to keep more people alive. I'm sure that's why he does it. The John Edmunds London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) has a Vaccines Manufacturing Innovation Centre which develops, tests and commercialises vaccines. Rosemary Frei writes:

> The vaccines centre also performs affiliated activities like combating 'vaccine hesitancy'. The latter includes the Vaccine Confidence Project. The project's stated purpose is, among other things, 'to provide analysis and guidance for early response and engagement with the public to ensure sustained confidence in vaccines and immunisation'. The Vaccine Confidence Project's director is LSHTM professor Heidi Larson. For more than a decade she's been researching how to combat vaccine hesitancy.

How the bloody hell can blokes like John Edmunds and Neil Ferguson with those connections and financial ties model 'virus' case

and death projections for the government and especially in a way that gives their paymasters like Gates exactly what they want? It's insane, but this is what you find throughout the world.

'Covid' is not dangerous, oops, wait, yes it is

Only days before Ferguson's nightmare scenario made Jackboot Johnson take Britain into a China-style lockdown to save us from a deadly 'virus' the UK government website gov.uk was reporting something very different to Ferguson on a page of official government guidance for 'high consequence infectious diseases (HCID)'. It said this about 'Covid-19':

> As of 19 March 2020, COVID-19 *is no longer considered to be a high consequence infectious diseases (HCID) in the UK* [my emphasis]. The 4 nations public health HCID group made an interim recommendation in January 2020 to classify COVID-19 as an HCID. This was based on consideration of the UK HCID criteria about the virus and the disease with information available during the early stages of the outbreak.
>
> Now that more is known about COVID-19, the public health bodies in the UK have reviewed the most up to date information about COVID-19 against the UK HCID criteria. They have determined that several features have now changed; in particular, more information is available about mortality rates (low overall), and there is now greater clinical awareness and a specific and sensitive laboratory test, the availability of which continues to increase. The Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens (ACDP) is also of the opinion that COVID-19 should no longer be classified as an HCID.

Soon after the government had been exposed for downgrading the risk they upgraded it again and everyone was back to singing from the same Cult hymn book. Ferguson and his fellow Gates clones indicated that lockdowns and restrictions would have to continue until a Gates-funded vaccine was developed. Gates said the same because Ferguson and his like were repeating the Gates script which is the Cult script. 'Flatten the curve' became an ongoing nightmare of continuing lockdowns with periods in between of severe restrictions in pursuit of destroying independent incomes and had nothing to do with protecting health about which the Cult gives not a shit. Why wouldn't Ferguson be pushing a vaccine 'solution' when he's owned by vaccine-obsessive Gates who makes a fortune from them and

when Ferguson heads the Vaccine Impact Modelling Consortium at Imperial College funded by the Gates Foundation and GAVI, the 'vaccine alliance', created by Gates as his personal vaccine promotion operation? To compound the human catastrophe that Ferguson's 'models' did so much to create he was later exposed for breaking his own lockdown rules by having sexual liaisons with his married girlfriend Antonia Staats at his home while she was living at another location with her husband and children. Staats was a 'climate' activist and senior campaigner at the Soros-funded Avaaz which I wouldn't trust to tell me that grass is green. Ferguson had to resign as a government advisor over this hypocrisy in May, 2020, but after a period of quiet he was back being quoted by the ridiculous media on the need for more lockdowns and a vaccine rollout. Other government-advising 'scientists' from Imperial College' held the fort in his absence and said lockdown could be indefinite until a vaccine was found. The Cult script was being sung by the payrolled choir. I said there was no intention of going back to 'normal' when the 'vaccine' came because the 'vaccine' is part of a very different agenda that I will discuss in Human 2.0. Why would the Cult want to let the world go back to normal when destroying that normal forever was the whole point of what was happening? House arrest, closing businesses and schools through lockdown, (un)social distancing and masks all followed the Ferguson fantasy models. Again as I predicted (these people are so predictable) when the 'vaccine' arrived we were told that house arrest, lockdown, (un)social distancing and masks would still have to continue. I will deal with the masks in the next chapter because they are of fundamental importance.

Where's the 'pandemic'?

Any mildly in-depth assessment of the figures revealed what was really going on. Cult-funded and controlled organisations still have genuine people working within them such is the number involved. So it is with Genevieve Briand, assistant program director of the Applied Economics master's degree program at Johns Hopkins

University. She analysed the impact that 'Covid-19' had on deaths from *all* causes in the United States using official data from the CDC for the period from early February to early September, 2020. She found that allegedly 'Covid' *related*-deaths exceeded those from heart disease which she found strange with heart disease always the biggest cause of fatalities. Her research became even more significant when she noted the sudden decline in 2020 of *all* non-'Covid' deaths: 'This trend is completely contrary to the pattern observed in all previous years … the total decrease in deaths by other causes almost exactly equals the increase in deaths by Covid-19.' This was such a game, set and match in terms of what was happening that Johns Hopkins University deleted the article on the grounds that it 'was being used to support false and dangerous inaccuracies about the impact of the pandemic'. No – because it exposed the scam from official CDC figures and this was confirmed when those figures were published in January, 2021. Here we can see the effect of people dying from heart attacks, cancer, road accidents and gunshot wounds – *anything* – having 'Covid-19' on the death certificate along with those diagnosed from 'symptoms' who had even not tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus'. I am not kidding with the gunshot wounds, by the way. Brenda Bock, coroner in Grand County, Colorado, revealed that two gunshot victims tested positive for the 'virus' within the previous 30 days and were therefore classified as 'Covid deaths'. Bock said: 'These two people had tested positive for Covid, but that's not what killed them. A gunshot wound is what killed them.' She said she had not even finished her investigation when the state listed the gunshot victims as deaths due to the 'virus'. The death and case figures for 'Covid-19' are an absolute joke and yet they are repeated like parrots by the media, politicians and alleged medical 'experts'. The official Cult narrative is the only show in town.

Genevieve Briand found that deaths from all causes were not exceptional in 2020 compared with previous years and a Spanish magazine published figures that said the same about Spain which was a 'Covid' propaganda hotspot at one point. *Discovery Salud*, a

health and medicine magazine, quoted government figures which showed how 17,000 *fewer* people died in Spain in 2020 than in 2019 and more than 26,000 fewer than in 2018. The age-standardised mortality rate for England and Wales when age distribution is taken into account was significantly lower in 2020 than the 1970s, 80s and 90s, and was only the ninth highest since 2000. Where is the 'pandemic'?

Post mortems and autopsies virtually disappeared for 'Covid' deaths amid claims that 'virus-infected' bodily fluids posed a risk to those carrying out the autopsy. This was rejected by renowned German pathologist and forensic doctor Klaus Püschel who said that he and his staff had by then done 150 autopsies on 'Covid' patients with no problems at all. He said they were needed to know why some 'Covid' patients suffered blood clots and not severe respiratory infections. The 'virus' is, after all, called SARS or 'severe acute respiratory syndrome'. I highlighted in the spring of 2020 this phenomenon and quoted New York intensive care doctor Cameron Kyle-Sidell who posted a soon deleted YouTube video to say that they had been told to prepare to treat an infectious disease called 'Covid-19', but that was not what they were dealing with. Instead he likened the lung condition of the most severely ill patients to what you would expect with cabin depressurisation in a plane at 30,000 feet or someone dropped on the top of Everest without oxygen or acclimatisation. I have never said this is not happening to a small minority of alleged 'Covid' patients – I am saying this is not caused by a phantom 'contagious virus'. Indeed Kyle-Sidell said that 'Covid-19' was not the disease they were told was coming their way. 'We are operating under a medical paradigm that is untrue,' he said, and he believed they were treating the wrong disease: 'These people are being slowly starved of oxygen.' Patients would take off their oxygen masks in a state of fear and stress and while they were blue in the face on the brink of death. They did not look like patients dying of pneumonia. You can see why they don't want autopsies when their virus doesn't exist and there is another condition in some people that they don't wish to be uncovered. I should add here that

the 5G system of millimetre waves was being rapidly introduced around the world in 2020 and even more so now as they fire 5G at the Earth from satellites. At 60 gigahertz within the 5G range that frequency interacts with the oxygen molecule and stops people breathing in sufficient oxygen to be absorbed into the bloodstream. They are installing 5G in schools and hospitals. The world is not mad or anything. 5G can cause major changes to the lungs and blood as I detail in *The Answer* and these consequences are labelled 'Covid-19', the alleged symptoms of which can be caused by 5G and other electromagnetic frequencies as cells respond to radiation poisoning.

The 'Covid death' scam

Dr Scott Jensen, a Minnesota state senator and medical doctor, exposed 'Covid' Medicare payment incentives to hospitals and death certificate manipulation. He said he was sent a seven-page document by the US Department of Health 'coaching' him on how to fill out death certificates which had never happened before. The document said that he didn't need to have a laboratory test for 'Covid-19' to put that on the death certificate and that shocked him when death certificates are supposed to be about facts. Jensen described how doctors had been 'encouraged, if not pressured' to make a diagnosis of 'Covid-19' if they thought it was probable or *'presumed*'. No positive test was necessary – not that this would have mattered anyway. He said doctors were told to diagnose 'Covid' by symptoms when these were the same as colds, allergies, other respiratory problems, and certainly with influenza which 'disappeared' in the 'Covid' era. A common sniffle was enough to get the dreaded verdict. Ontario authorities decreed that a single care home resident with *one* symptom from a long list must lead to the isolation of the entire home. Other courageous doctors like Jensen made the same point about death figure manipulation and how deaths by other causes were falling while 'Covid-19 deaths' were rising at the same rate due to re-diagnosis. Their videos rarely survive long on YouTube with its Cult-supporting algorithms courtesy of CEO Susan Wojcicki and her bosses at Google. Figure-tampering was so glaring

and ubiquitous that even officials were letting it slip or outright saying it. UK chief scientific adviser Patrick Vallance said on one occasion that 'Covid' on the death certificate doesn't mean 'Covid' was the cause of death (so why the hell is it there?) and we had the rare sight of a BBC reporter telling the truth when she said: 'Someone could be successfully treated for Covid, in say April, discharged, and then in June, get run over by a bus and die … That person would still be counted as a Covid death in England.' Yet the BBC and the rest of the world media went on repeating the case and death figures as if they were real. Illinois Public Health Director Dr Ngozi Ezike revealed the deceit while her bosses must have been clenching their buttocks:

> If you were in a hospice and given a few weeks to live and you were then found to have Covid that would be counted as a Covid death. [There might be] a clear alternate cause, but it is still listed as a Covid death. So everyone listed as a Covid death doesn't mean that was the cause of the death, but that they had Covid at the time of death.

Yes, a 'Covid virus' never shown to exist and tested for with a test not testing for the 'virus'. In the first period of the pandemic hoax through the spring of 2020 the process began of designating almost everything a 'Covid' death and this has continued ever since. I sat in a restaurant one night listening to a loud conversation on the next table where a family was discussing in bewilderment how a relative who had no symptoms of 'Covid', and had died of a long-term problem, could have been diagnosed a death by the 'virus'. I could understand their bewilderment. If they read this book they will know why this medical fraud has been perpetrated the world over.

Some media truth shock

The media ignored the evidence of death certificate fraud until eventually one columnist did speak out when she saw it first-hand. Bel Mooney is a long-time national newspaper journalist in Britain currently working for the *Daily Mail*. Her article on February 19th, 2021, carried this headline: 'My dad Ted passed three Covid tests

and died of a chronic illness yet he's officially one of Britain's 120,000 victims of the virus and is far from alone ... so how many more are there?' She told how her 99-year-old father was in a care home with a long-standing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and vascular dementia. Maybe, but he was still aware enough to tell her from the start that there was no 'virus' and he refused the 'vaccine' for that reason. His death was not unexpected given his chronic health problems and Mooney said she was shocked to find that 'Covid-19' was declared the cause of death on his death certificate. She said this was a 'bizarre and unacceptable untruth' for a man with long-time health problems who had tested negative twice at the home for the 'virus'. I was also shocked by this story although not by what she said. I had been highlighting the death certificate manipulation for ten months. It was the confirmation that a professional full-time journalist only realised this was going on when it affected her directly and neither did she know that whether her dad tested positive or negative was irrelevant with the test not testing for the 'virus'. Where had she been? She said she did not believe in 'conspiracy theories' without knowing I'm sure that this and 'conspiracy theorists' were terms put into widespread circulation by the CIA in the 1960s to discredit those who did not accept the ridiculous official story of the Kennedy assassination. A blanket statement of 'I don't believe in conspiracy theories' is always bizarre. The dictionary definition of the term alone means the world is drowning in conspiracies. What she said was even more daft when her dad had just been affected by the 'Covid' conspiracy. Why else does she think that 'Covid-19' was going on the death certificates of people who died of something else?

To be fair once she saw from personal experience what was happening she didn't mince words. Mooney was called by the care home on the morning of February 9th to be told her father had died in his sleep. When she asked for the official cause of death what came back was 'Covid-19'. Mooney challenged this and was told there had been deaths from Covid on the dementia floor (confirmed by a test not testing for the 'virus') so they considered it 'reasonable

to assume'. 'But doctor,' Mooney rightly protested, 'an assumption isn't a diagnosis.' She said she didn't blame the perfectly decent and sympathetic doctor – 'he was just doing his job'. Sorry, but that's *bullshit*. He wasn't doing his job at all. He was putting a false cause of death on the death certificate and that is a criminal offence for which he should be brought to account and the same with the millions of doctors worldwide who have done the same. They were not doing their job they were following orders and that must not wash at new Nuremberg trials any more than it did at the first ones. Mooney's doctor was 'assuming' (presuming) as he was told to, but 'just following orders' makes no difference to his actions. A doctor's job is to serve the patient and the truth, not follow orders, but that's what they have done all over the world and played a central part in making the 'Covid' hoax possible with all its catastrophic consequences for humanity. Shame on them and they must answer for their actions. Mooney said her disquiet worsened when she registered her father's death by telephone and was told by the registrar there had been very many other cases like hers where 'the deceased' had not tested positive for 'Covid' yet it was recorded as the cause of death. The test may not matter, but those involved at their level *think* it matters and it shows a callous disregard for accurate diagnosis. The pressure to do this is coming from the top of the national 'health' pyramids which in turn obey the World Health Organization which obeys Gates and the Cult. Mooney said the registrar agreed that this must distort the national figures adding that 'the strangest thing is that every winter we record countless deaths from flu, and this winter there have been none. Not one!' She asked if the registrar thought deaths from flu were being misdiagnosed and lumped together with 'Covid' deaths. The answer was a 'puzzled yes'. Mooney said that the funeral director said the same about 'Covid' deaths which had nothing to do with 'Covid'. They had lost count of the number of families upset by this and other funeral companies in different countries have had the same experience. Mooney wrote:

The nightly shroud-waving and shocking close-ups of pain imposed on us by the TV news bewildered and terrified the population into eager compliance with lockdowns. We were invited to 'save the NHS' and to grieve for strangers – the real-life loved ones behind those shocking death counts. Why would the public imagine what I now fear, namely that the way Covid-19 death statistics are compiled might make the numbers seem greater than they are?

Oh, just a little bit – like 100 percent.

Do the maths

Mooney asked why a country would wish to skew its mortality figures by wrongly certifying deaths? What had been going on? Well, if you don't believe in conspiracies you will never find the answer which is that *it's a conspiracy*. She did, however, describe what she had discovered as a 'national scandal'. In reality it's a global scandal and happening everywhere. Pillars of this conspiracy were all put into place before the button was pressed with the Drosten PCR protocol and high amplifications to produce the cases and death certificate changes to secure illusory 'Covid' deaths. Mooney notes that normally two doctors were needed to certify a death, with one having to know the patient, and how the rules were changed in the spring of 2020 to allow one doctor to do this. In the same period 'Covid deaths' were decreed to be all cases where Covid-19 was put on the death certificate even without a positive test or any symptoms. Mooney asked: 'How many of the 30,851 (as of January 15) care home resident deaths with Covid-19 on the certificate (32.4 per cent of all deaths so far) were based on an assumption, like that of my father? And what has that done to our national psyche?'All of them is the answer to the first question and it has devastated and dismantled the national psyche, actually the global psyche, on a colossal scale. In the UK case and death data is compiled by organisations like Public Health England (PHE) and the Office for National Statistics (ONS). Mooney highlights the insane policy of counting a death from any cause as 'Covid-19' if this happens within 28 days of a positive test (with a test not testing for the 'virus') and she points out that ONS statistics reflect deaths 'involving Covid' 'or due to Covid' which meant in practice any

death where 'Covid-19' was mentioned on the death certificate. She described the consequences of this fraud:

> Most people will accept the narrative they are fed, so panicky governments here and in Europe witnessed the harsh measures enacted in totalitarian China and jumped into lockdown. Headlines about Covid deaths tolled like the knell that would bring doomsday to us all. Fear stalked our empty streets. Politicians parroted the frankly ridiculous aim of 'zero Covid' and shut down the economy, while most British people agreed that lockdown was essential and (astonishingly to me, as a patriotic Brit) even wanted more restrictions.
>
> For what? Lies on death certificates? Never mind the grim toll of lives ruined, suicides, schools closed, rising inequality, depression, cancelled hospital treatments, cancer patients in a torture of waiting, poverty, economic devastation, loneliness, families kept apart, and so on. How many lives have been lost as a direct result of lockdown?

She said that we could join in a national chorus of shock and horror at reaching the 120,000 death toll which was surely certain to have been totally skewed all along, but what about the human cost of lockdown justified by these 'death figures'? *The British Medical Journal* had reported a 1,493 percent increase in cases of children taken to Great Ormond Street Hospital with abusive head injuries alone and then there was the effect on families:

> Perhaps the most shocking thing about all this is that families have been kept apart – and obeyed the most irrational, changing rules at the whim of government – because they believed in the statistics. They succumbed to fear, which his generation rejected in that war fought for freedom. Dad (God rest his soul) would be angry. And so am I.

Another theme to watch is that in the winter months when there are more deaths from all causes they focus on 'Covid' deaths and in the summer when the British Lung Foundation says respiratory disease plummets by 80 percent they rage on about 'cases'. Either way fascism on population is always the answer.

Nazi eugenics in the 21st century

Elderly people in care homes have been isolated from their families month after lonely month with no contact with relatives and grandchildren who were banned from seeing them. We were told

that lockdown fascism was to 'protect the vulnerable' like elderly people. At the same time Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) orders were placed on their medical files so that if they needed resuscitation it wasn't done and 'Covid-19' went on their death certificates. Old people were not being 'protected' they were being culled – murdered in truth. DNR orders were being decreed for disabled and young people with learning difficulties or psychological problems. The UK Care Quality Commission, a non-departmental body of the Department of Health and Social Care, found that 34 percent of those working in health and social care were pressured into placing 'do not attempt cardiopulmonary resuscitation' orders on 'Covid' patients who suffered from disabilities and learning difficulties without involving the patient or their families in the decision. UK judges ruled that an elderly woman with dementia should have the DNA-manipulating 'Covid vaccine' against her son's wishes and that a man with severe learning difficulties should have the jab despite his family's objections. Never mind that many had already died. The judiciary always supports doctors and government in fascist dictatorships. They wouldn't dare do otherwise. A horrific video was posted showing fascist officers from Los Angeles police forcibly giving the 'Covid' shot to women with special needs who were screaming that they didn't want it. The same fascists are seen giving the jab to a sleeping elderly woman in a care home. This is straight out of the Nazi playbook. Hitler's Nazis committed mass murder of the mentally ill and physically disabled throughout Germany and occupied territories in the programme that became known as Aktion T4, or just T4. Sabbatian-controlled Hitler and his grotesque crazies set out to kill those they considered useless and unnecessary. The Reich Committee for the Scientific Registering of Hereditary and Congenital Illnesses registered the births of babies identified by physicians to have 'defects'. By 1941 alone more than 5,000 children were murdered by the state and it is estimated that in total the number of innocent people killed in Aktion T4 was between 275,000 and 300,000. Parents were told their children had been sent away for 'special treatment' never to return. It is rather pathetic to see claims about plans for new extermination camps being dismissed today

when the same force behind current events did precisely that 80 years ago. Margaret Sanger was a Cult operative who used 'birth control' to sanitise her programme of eugenics. Organisations she founded became what is now Planned Parenthood. Sanger proposed that 'the whole dysgenic population would have its choice of segregation or sterilization'. These included epileptics, 'feeble-minded', and prostitutes. Sanger opposed charity because it perpetuated 'human waste'. She reveals the Cult mentality and if anyone thinks that extermination camps are a 'conspiracy theory' their naivety is touching if breathtakingly stupid.

If you don't believe that doctors can act with callous disregard for their patients it is worth considering that doctors and medical staff agreed to put government-decreed DNR orders on medical files and do nothing when resuscitation is called for. I don't know what you call such people in your house. In mine they are Nazis from the Josef Mengele School of Medicine. Phenomenal numbers of old people have died worldwide from the effects of lockdown, depression, lack of treatment, the 'vaccine' (more later) and losing the will to live. A common response at the start of the manufactured pandemic was to remove old people from hospital beds and transfer them to nursing homes. The decision would result in a mass cull of elderly people in those homes through lack of treatment – *not* 'Covid'. Care home whistleblowers have told how once the 'Covid' era began doctors would not come to their homes to treat patients and they were begging for drugs like antibiotics that often never came. The most infamous example was ordered by New York governor Andrew Cuomo, brother of a moronic CNN host, who amazingly was given an Emmy Award for his handling of the 'Covid crisis' by the ridiculous Wokers that hand them out. Just how ridiculous could be seen in February, 2021, when a Department of Justice and FBI investigation began into how thousands of old people in New York died in nursing homes after being discharged from hospital to make way for 'Covid' patients on Cuomo's say-so – and how he and his staff covered up these facts. This couldn't have happened to a nicer psychopath. Even then there was a 'Covid' spin. Reports said that

thousands of old people who tested positive for 'Covid' in hospital were transferred to nursing homes to both die of 'Covid' and transmit it to others. No – they were in hospital because they were ill and the fact that they tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' is irrelevant. They were ill often with respiratory diseases ubiquitous in old people near the end of their lives. Their transfer out of hospital meant that their treatment stopped and many would go on to die.

They're old. Who gives a damn?

I have exposed in the books for decades the Cult plan to cull the world's old people and even to introduce at some point what they call a 'demise pill' which at a certain age everyone would take and be out of here by law. In March, 2021, Spain legalised euthanasia and assisted suicide following the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg and Canada on the Tiptoe to the demise pill. Treatment of old people by many 'care' homes has been a disgrace in the 'Covid' era. There are many, many, caring staff – I know some. There have, however, been legions of stories about callous treatment of old people and their families. Police were called when families came to take their loved ones home in the light of isolation that was killing them. They became prisoners of the state. Care home residents in insane, fascist Ontario, Canada, were not allowed to leave their *room* once the 'Covid' hoax began. UK staff have even wheeled elderly people away from windows where family members were talking with them. Oriana Criscuolo from Stockport in the English North West dropped off some things for her 80-year-old father who has Parkinson's disease and dementia and she wanted to wave to him through a ground-floor window. She was told that was 'illegal'. When she went anyway they closed the curtains in the middle of the day. Oriana said:

> It's just unbelievable. I cannot understand how care home staff – people who are being paid to care – have become so uncaring. Their behaviour is inhumane and cruel. It's beyond belief.

She was right and this was not a one-off. What a way to end your life in such loveless circumstances. UK registered nurse Nicky Millen, a proper old school nurse for 40 years, said that when she started her career care was based on dignity, choice, compassion and empathy. Now she said 'the things that are important to me have gone out of the window.' She was appalled that people were dying without their loved ones and saying goodbye on iPads. Nicky described how a distressed 89-year-old lady stroked her face and asked her 'how many paracetamol would it take to finish me off'. Life was no longer worth living while not seeing her family. Nicky said she was humiliated in front of the ward staff and patients for letting the lady stroke her face and giving her a cuddle. Such is the dehumanisation that the 'Covid' hoax has brought to the surface. Nicky worked in care homes where patients told her they were being held prisoner. 'I want to live until I die', one said to her. 'I had a lady in tears because she hadn't seen her great-grandson.' Nicky was compassionate old school meeting psychopathic New Normal. She also said she had worked on a 'Covid' ward with no 'Covid' patients. Jewish writer Shai Held wrote an article in March, 2020, which was headlined 'The Staggering, Heartless Cruelty Toward the Elderly'. What he described was happening from the earliest days of lockdown. He said 'the elderly' were considered a group and not unique individuals (the way of the Woke). Shai Held said:

> Notice how the all-too-familiar rhetoric of dehumanization works: 'The elderly' are bunched together as a faceless mass, all of them considered culprits and thus effectively deserving of the suffering the pandemic will inflict upon them. Lost entirely is the fact that the elderly are individual human beings, each with a distinctive face and voice, each with hopes and dreams, memories and regrets, friendships and marriages, loves lost and loves sustained.

'The elderly' have become another dehumanised group for which anything goes and for many that has resulted in cold disregard for their rights and their life. The distinctive face that Held talks about is designed to be deleted by masks until everyone is part of a faceless mass.

'War-zone' hospitals myth

Again and again medical professionals have told me what was really going on and how hospitals 'overrun like war zones' according to the media were virtually empty. The mantra from medical whistleblowers was please don't use my name or my career is over. Citizen journalists around the world sneaked into hospitals to film evidence exposing the 'war-zone' lie. They really *were* largely empty with closed wards and operating theatres. I met a hospital worker in my town on the Isle of Wight during the first lockdown in 2020 who said the only island hospital had never been so quiet. Lockdown was justified by the psychopaths to stop hospitals being overrun. At the same time that the island hospital was near-empty the military arrived here to provide *extra beds*. It was all propaganda to ramp up the fear to ensure compliance with fascism as were never-used temporary hospitals with thousands of beds known as Nightingales and never-used make-shift mortuaries opened by the criminal UK government. A man who helped to install those extra island beds attributed to the army said they were never used and the hospital was empty. Doctors and nurses 'stood around talking or on their phones, wandering down to us to see what we were doing'. There were no masks or social distancing. He accused the useless local island paper, the *County Press*, of 'pumping the fear as if our hospital was overrun and we only have one so it should have been'. He described ambulances parked up with crews outside in deck chairs. When his brother called an ambulance he was told there was a two-hour backlog which he called 'bullshit'. An old lady on the island fell 'and was in a bad way', but a caller who rang for an ambulance was told the situation wasn't urgent enough. Ambulance stations were working under capacity while people would hear ambulances with sirens blaring driving through the streets. When those living near the stations realised what was going on they would follow them as they left, circulated around an urban area with the sirens going, and then came back without stopping. All this was to increase levels of fear and the same goes for the 'ventilator shortage crisis' that cost tens of millions for hastily produced ventilators never to be used.

Ambulance crews that agreed to be exploited in this way for fear propaganda might find themselves a mirror. I wish them well with that. Empty hospitals were the obvious consequence of treatment and diagnoses of non-'Covid' conditions cancelled and those involved handed a death sentence. People have been dying at home from undiagnosed and untreated cancer, heart disease and other life-threatening conditions to allow empty hospitals to deal with a 'pandemic' that wasn't happening.

Death of the innocent

'War-zones' have been laying off nursing staff, even doctors where they can. There was no work for them. Lockdown was justified by saving lives and protecting the vulnerable they were actually killing with DNR orders and preventing empty hospitals being 'overrun'. In Britain the mantra of stay at home to 'save the NHS' was everywhere and across the world the same story was being sold when it was all lies. Two California doctors, Dan Erickson and Artin Massihi at Accelerated Urgent Care in Bakersfield, held a news conference in April, 2020, to say that intensive care units in California were 'empty, essentially', with hospitals shutting floors, not treating patients and laying off doctors. The California health system was working at minimum capacity 'getting rid of doctors because we just don't have the volume'. They said that people with conditions such as heart disease and cancer were not coming to hospital out of fear of 'Covid-19'. Their video was deleted by Susan Wojcicki's Cult-owned YouTube after reaching five million views. Florida governor Ron Desantis, who rejected the severe lockdowns of other states and is being targeted for doing so, said that in March, 2020, every US governor was given models claiming they would run out of hospital beds in days. That was never going to happen and the 'modellers' knew it. Deceit can be found at every level of the system. Urgent children's operations were cancelled including fracture repairs and biopsies to spot cancer. Eric Nicholls, a consultant paediatrician, said 'this is obviously concerning and we need to return to normal operating and to increase capacity as soon as possible'. Psychopaths

in power were rather less concerned *because* they are psychopaths. Deletion of urgent care and diagnosis has been happening all over the world and how many kids and others have died as a result of the actions of these cold and heartless lunatics dictating 'health' policy? The number must be stratospheric. Richard Sullivan, professor of cancer and global health at King's College London, said people feared 'Covid' more than cancer such was the campaign of fear. 'Years of lost life will be quite dramatic', Sullivan said, with 'a huge amount of avoidable mortality'. Sarah Woolnough, executive director for policy at Cancer Research UK, said there had been a 75 percent drop in urgent referrals to hospitals by family doctors of people with suspected cancer. Sullivan said that 'a lot of services have had to scale back – we've seen a dramatic decrease in the amount of elective cancer surgery'. Lockdown deaths worldwide has been absolutely fantastic with the *New York Post* reporting how data confirmed that 'lockdowns end more lives than they save':

> There was a sharp decline in visits to emergency rooms and an increase in fatal heart attacks because patients didn't receive prompt treatment. Many fewer people were screened for cancer. Social isolation contributed to excess deaths from dementia and Alzheimer's.
>
> Researchers predicted that the social and economic upheaval would lead to tens of thousands of "deaths of despair" from drug overdoses, alcoholism and suicide. As unemployment surged and mental-health and substance-abuse treatment programs were interrupted, the reported levels of anxiety, depression and suicidal thoughts increased dramatically, as did alcohol sales and fatal drug overdoses.

This has been happening while nurses and other staff had so much time on their hands in the 'war-zones' that Tic-Tok dancing videos began appearing across the Internet with medical staff dancing around in empty wards and corridors as people died at home from causes that would normally have been treated in hospital.

Mentions in dispatches

One brave and truth-committed whistleblower was Louise Hampton, a call handler with the UK NHS who made a viral Internet video saying she had done 'fuck all' during the 'pandemic'

which was 'a load of bollocks'. She said that 'Covid-19' was rebranded flu and of course she lost her job. This is what happens in the medical and endless other professions now when you tell the truth. Louise filmed inside 'war-zone' accident and emergency departments to show they were empty and I mean *empty* as in no one there. The mainstream media could have done the same and blown the gaff on the whole conspiracy. They haven't to their eternal shame. Not that most 'journalists' seem capable of manifesting shame as with the psychopaths they slavishly repeat without question. The relative few who were admitted with serious health problems were left to die alone with no loved ones allowed to see them because of 'Covid' rules and they included kids dying without the comfort of mum and dad at their bedside while the evil behind this couldn't give a damn. It was all good fun to them. A Scottish NHS staff nurse publicly quit in the spring of 2021 saying: 'I can no longer be part of the lies and the corruption by the government.' She said hospitals 'aren't full, the beds aren't full, beds have been shut, wards have been shut'. Hospitals were never busy throughout 'Covid'. The staff nurse said that Nicola Sturgeon, tragically the leader of the Scottish government, was on television saying save the hospitals and the NHS – 'but the beds are empty' and 'we've not seen flu, we always see flu every year'. She wrote to government and spoke with her union Unison (the unions are Cult-compromised and *useless*, but nothing changed. Many of her colleagues were scared of losing their jobs if they spoke out as they wanted to. She said nursing staff were being affected by wearing masks all day and 'my head is splitting every shift from wearing a mask'. The NHS is part of the fascist tyranny and must be dismantled so we can start again with human beings in charge. (Ironically, hospitals were reported to be busier again when official 'Covid' cases *fell* in spring/summer of 2021 and many other conditions required treatment at the same time as *the fake vaccine rollout*.)

I will cover the 'Covid vaccine' scam in detail later, but it is another indicator of the sickening disregard for human life that I am highlighting here. The DNA-manipulating concoctions do not fulfil

the definition of a 'vaccine', have never been used on humans before and were given only emergency approval because trials were not completed and they continued using the unknowing public. The result was what a NHS senior nurse with responsibility for 'vaccine' procedure said was 'genocide'. She said the 'vaccines' were not 'vaccines'. They had not been shown to be safe and claims about their effectiveness by drug companies were 'poetic licence'. She described what was happening as a 'horrid act of human annihilation'. The nurse said that management had instigated a policy of not providing a Patient Information Leaflet (PIL) before people were 'vaccinated' even though health care professionals are supposed to do this according to protocol. Patients should also be told that they are taking part in an ongoing clinical trial. Her challenges to what is happening had seen her excluded from meetings and ridiculed in others. She said she was told to 'watch my step … or I would find myself surplus to requirements'. The nurse, who spoke anonymously in fear of her career, said she asked her NHS manager why he/she was content with taking part in genocide against those having the 'vaccines'. The reply was that everyone had to play their part and to 'put up, shut up, and get it done'. Government was 'leaning heavily' on NHS management which was clearly leaning heavily on staff. This is how the global 'medical' hierarchy operates and it starts with the Cult and its World Health Organization.

She told the story of a doctor who had the Pfizer jab and when questioned had no idea what was in it. The doctor had never read the literature. We have to stop treating doctors as intellectual giants when so many are moral and medical pygmies. The doctor did not even know that the 'vaccines' were not fully approved or that their trials were ongoing. They were, however, asking their patients if they minded taking part in follow-ups for research purposes – yes, the *ongoing clinical trial*. The nurse said the doctor's ignorance was not rare and she had spoken to a hospital consultant who had the jab without any idea of the background or that the 'trials' had not been completed. Nurses and pharmacists had shown the same ignorance.

'My NHS colleagues have forsaken their duty of care, broken their code of conduct – Hippocratic Oath – and have been brainwashed just the same as the majority of the UK public through propaganda …' She said she had not been able to recruit a single NHS colleague, doctor, nurse or pharmacist to stand with her and speak out. Her union had refused to help. She said that if the genocide came to light she would not hesitate to give evidence at a Nuremberg-type trial against those in power who could have affected the outcomes but didn't.

And all for what?

To put the nonsense into perspective let's say the 'virus' does exist and let's go completely crazy and accept that the official manipulated figures for cases and deaths are accurate. *Even then* a study by Stanford University epidemiologist Dr John Ioannidis published on the World Health Organization website produced an average infection to fatality rate of … *0.23 percent!* Ioannidis said: 'If one could sample equally from all locations globally, the median infection fatality rate might even be substantially lower than the 0.23% observed in my analysis.' For healthy people under 70 it was … *0.05 percent*! This compares with the 3.4 percent claimed by the Cult-owned World Health Organization when the hoax was first played and maximum fear needed to be generated. An updated Stanford study in April, 2021, put the 'infection' to 'fatality' rate at just 0.15 percent. Another team of scientists led by Megan O'Driscoll and Henrik Salje studied data from 45 countries and published their findings on the Nature website. For children and young people the figure is so small it virtually does not register although authorities will be hyping dangers to the young when they introduce DNA-manipulating 'vaccines' for children. The O'Driscoll study produced an average infection-fatality figure of 0.003 for children from birth to four; 0.001 for 5 to 14; 0.003 for 15 to 19; and it was still only 0.456 up to 64. To claim that children must be 'vaccinated' to protect them from 'Covid' is an obvious lie and so there must be another reason and there is. What's more the average age of a 'Covid' death is akin

to the average age that people die in general. The average age of death in England is about 80 for men and 83 for women. The average age of death from alleged 'Covid' is between 82 and 83. California doctors, Dan Erickson and Artin Massihi, said at their April media conference that projection models of millions of deaths had been 'woefully inaccurate'. They produced detailed figures showing that Californians had a 0.03 chance of dying from 'Covid' based on the number of people who tested positive (with a test not testing for the 'virus'). Erickson said there was a 0.1 percent chance of dying from 'Covid' in the *state* of New York, not just the city, and a 0.05 percent chance in Spain, a centre of 'Covid-19' hysteria at one stage. The Stanford studies supported the doctors' data with fatality rate estimates of 0.23 and 0.15 percent. How close are these figures to my estimate of *zero*? Death-rate figures claimed by the World Health Organization at the start of the hoax were some 15 times higher. The California doctors said there was no justification for lockdowns and the economic devastation they caused. Everything they had ever learned about quarantine was that you quarantine the *sick* and not the healthy. They had never seen this before and it made no medical sense.

Why in the in the light of all this would governments and medical systems the world over say that billions must go under house arrest; lose their livelihood; in many cases lose their mind, their health and their life; force people to wear masks dangerous to health and psychology; make human interaction and even family interaction a criminal offence; ban travel; close restaurants, bars, watching live sport, concerts, theatre, and any activity involving human togetherness and discourse; and closing schools to isolate children from their friends and cause many to commit suicide in acts of hopelessness and despair? The California doctors said lockdown consequences included increased child abuse, partner abuse, alcoholism, depression, and other impacts they were seeing every day. Who would do that to the entire human race if not mentally-ill psychopaths of almost unimaginable extremes like Bill Gates? We must face the reality of what we are dealing with and come out of

denial. Fascism and tyranny are made possible only by the target population submitting and acquiescing to fascism and tyranny. The whole of human history shows that to be true. Most people naively and unquestioning believed what they were told about a 'deadly virus' and meekly and weakly submitted to house arrest. Those who didn't believe it – at least in total – still submitted in fear of the consequences of not doing so. For the rest who wouldn't submit draconian fines have been imposed, brutal policing by psychopaths *for* psychopaths, and condemnation from the meek and weak who condemn the Pushbackers on behalf of the very force that has them, too, in its gunsights. 'Pathetic' does not even begin to suffice. Britain's brainless 'Health' Secretary Matt Hancock warned anyone lying to border officials about returning from a list of 'hotspot' countries could face a jail sentence of up to ten years which is more than for racially-aggravated assault, incest and attempting to have sex with a child under 13. Hancock is a lunatic, but he has the state apparatus behind him in a Cult-led chain reaction and the same with UK 'Vaccine Minister' Nadhim Zahawi, a prominent member of the mega-Cult secret society, Le Cercle, which featured in my earlier books. The Cult enforces its will on governments and medical systems; government and medical systems enforce their will on business and police; business enforces its will on staff who enforce it on customers; police enforce the will of the Cult on the population and play their essential part in creating a world of fascist control that their own children and grandchildren will have to live in their entire lives. It is a hierarchical pyramid of imposition and acquiescence and, yes indeedy, of clinical insanity.

Does anyone bright enough to read this book have to ask what the answer is? I think not, but I will reveal it anyway in the fewest of syllables: Tell the psychos and their moronic lackeys to fuck off and let's get on with our lives. We are many – They are few.

CHAPTER SEVEN

War on your mind

One believes things because one has been conditioned to believe them
Aldous Huxley, *Brave New World*

I have described the 'Covid' hoax as a 'Psyop' and that is true in every sense and on every level in accordance with the definition of that term which is psychological warfare. Break down the 'Covid pandemic' to the foundation themes and it is psychological warfare on the human individual and collective mind.

The same can be said for the entire human belief system involving every subject you can imagine. Huxley was right in his contention that people believe what they are conditioned to believe and this comes from the repetition throughout their lives of the same falsehoods. They spew from government, corporations, media and endless streams of 'experts' telling you what the Cult wants you to believe and often believing it themselves (although *far* from always). 'Experts' are rewarded with 'prestigious' jobs and titles and as agents of perceptual programming with regular access to the media. The Cult has to control the narrative – control *information* – or they lose control of the vital, crucial, without-which-they-cannot-prevail public perception of reality. The foundation of that control today is the Internet made possible by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), the incredibly sinister technological arm of the Pentagon. The Internet is the result of military technology.

DARPA openly brags about establishing the Internet which has been a long-term project to lasso the minds of the global population. I have said for decades the plan is to control information to such an extreme that eventually no one would see or hear anything that the Cult does not approve. We are closing in on that end with ferocious censorship since the 'Covid' hoax began and in my case it started back in the 1990s in terms of books and speaking venues. I had to create my own publishing company in 1995 precisely because no one else would publish my books even then. I think they're all still running.

Cult Internet

To secure total control of information they needed the Internet in which pre-programmed algorithms can seek out 'unclean' content for deletion and even stop it being posted in the first place. The Cult had to dismantle print and non-Internet broadcast media to ensure the transfer of information to the appropriate-named 'Web' – a critical expression of the *Cult* web. We've seen the ever-quickening demise of traditional media and control of what is left by a tiny number of corporations operating worldwide. Independent journalism in the mainstream is already dead and never was that more obvious than since the turn of 2020. The Cult wants all information communicated via the Internet to globally censor and allow the plug to be pulled any time. Lockdowns and forced isolation has meant that communication between people has been through electronic means and no longer through face-to-face discourse and discussion. Cult psychopaths have targeted the bars, restaurants, sport, venues and meeting places in general for this reason. None of this is by chance and it's to stop people gathering in any kind of privacy or number while being able to track and monitor all Internet communications and block them as necessary. Even private messages between individuals have been censored by these fascists that control Cult fronts like Facebook, Twitter, Google and YouTube which are all officially run by Sabbatian place-people and from the background by higher-level Sabbatian place people.

Facebook, Google, Amazon and their like were seed-funded and supported into existence with money-no-object infusions of funds either directly or indirectly from DARPA and CIA technology arm In-Q-Tel. The Cult plays the long game and prepares very carefully for big plays like 'Covid'. Amazon is another front in the psychological war and pretty much controls the global market in book sales and increasingly publishing. Amazon's limitless funds have deleted fantastic numbers of independent publishers to seize global domination on the way to deciding which books can be sold and circulated and which cannot. Moves in that direction are already happening. Amazon's leading light Jeff Bezos is the grandson of Lawrence Preston Gise who worked with DARPA predecessor ARPA. Amazon has big connections to the CIA and the Pentagon. The plan I have long described went like this:

1. Employ military technology to establish the Internet.

2. Sell the Internet as a place where people can freely communicate without censorship and allow that to happen until the Net becomes the central and irreversible pillar of human society. If the Internet had been highly censored from the start many would have rejected it.

3. Fund and manipulate major corporations into being to control the circulation of information on your Internet using cover stories about geeks in garages to explain how they came about. Give them unlimited funds to expand rapidly with no need to make a profit for years while non-Cult companies who need to balance the books cannot compete. You know that in these circumstances your Googles, YouTubes, Facebooks and Amazons are going to secure near monopolies by either crushing or buying up the opposition.

4. Allow freedom of expression on both the Internet and communication platforms to draw people in until the Internet is the central and irreversible pillar of human society and your communication corporations have reached a stage of near monopoly domination.

5. Then unleash your always-planned frenzy of censorship on the basis of 'where else are you going to go?' and continue to expand that until nothing remains that the Cult does not want its human targets to see.

The process was timed to hit the 'Covid' hoax to ensure the best chance possible of controlling the narrative which they knew they had to do at all costs. They were, after all, about to unleash a 'deadly virus' that didn't really exist. If you do that in an environment of free-flowing information and opinion you would be dead in the

water before you could say Gates is a psychopath. The network was in place through which the Cult-created-and-owned World Health Organization could dictate the 'Covid' narrative and response policy slavishly supported by Cult-owned Internet communication giants and mainstream media while those telling a different story were censored. Google, YouTube, Facebook and Twitter openly announced that they would do this. What else would we expect from Cult-owned operations like Facebook which former executives have confirmed set out to make the platform more addictive than cigarettes and coldly manipulates emotions of its users to sow division between people and groups and scramble the minds of the young? If Zuckerberg lives out the rest of his life without going to jail for crimes against humanity, and most emphatically against the young, it will be a travesty of justice. Still, no matter, cause and effect will catch up with him eventually and the same with Sergey Brin and Larry Page at Google with its CEO Sundar Pichai who fix the Google search results to promote Cult narratives and hide the opposition. Put the same key words into Google and other search engines like DuckDuckGo and you will see how different results can be. Wikipedia is another intensely biased 'encyclopaedia' which skews its content to the Cult agenda. YouTube links to Wikipedia's version of 'Covid' and 'climate change' on video pages in which experts in their field offer a different opinion (even that is increasingly rare with Wojcicki censorship). Into this 'Covid' silence-them network must be added government media censors, sorry 'regulators', such as Ofcom in the UK which imposed tyrannical restrictions on British broadcasters that had the effect of banning me from ever appearing. Just to debate with me about my evidence and views on 'Covid' would mean breaking the fascistic impositions of Ofcom and its CEO career government bureaucrat Melanie Dawes. Gutless British broadcasters tremble at the very thought of fascist Ofcom.

Psychos behind 'Covid'

The reason for the 'Covid' catastrophe in all its facets and forms can be seen by whom and what is driving the policies worldwide in such a coordinated way. Decisions are not being made to protect health, but to target psychology. The dominant group guiding and 'advising' government policy are not medical professionals. They are psychologists and behavioural scientists. Every major country has its own version of this phenomenon and I'll use the British example to show how it works. In many ways the British version has been affecting the wider world in the form of the huge behaviour manipulation network in the UK which operates in other countries. The network involves private companies, government, intelligence and military. The Cabinet Office is at the centre of the government 'Covid' Psyop and part-owns, with 'innovation charity' Nesta, the Behavioural Insights Team (BIT) which claims to be independent of government but patently isn't. The BIT was established in 2010 and its job is to manipulate the psyche of the population to acquiesce to government demands and so much more. It is also known as the 'Nudge Unit', a name inspired by the 2009 book by two ultra-Zionists, Cass Sunstein and Richard Thaler, called *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. The book, as with the Behavioural Insights Team, seeks to 'nudge' behaviour (manipulate it) to make the public follow patterns of action and perception that suit those in authority (the Cult). Sunstein is so skilled at this that he advises the World Health Organization and the UK Behavioural Insights Team and was Administrator of the White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Obama administration. Biden appointed him to the Department of Homeland Security – another ultra-Zionist in the fold to oversee new immigration laws which is another policy the Cult wants to control. Sunstein is desperate to silence anyone exposing conspiracies and co-authored a 2008 report on the subject in which suggestions were offered to ban 'conspiracy theorizing' or impose 'some kind of tax, financial or otherwise, on those who disseminate such theories'. I guess a psychiatrist's chair is out of the question?

Sunstein's mate Richard Thaler, an 'academic affiliate' of the UK Behavioural Insights Team, is a proponent of 'behavioural economics' which is defined as the study of 'the effects of psychological, cognitive, emotional, cultural and social factors on the decisions of individuals and institutions'. Study the effects so they can be manipulated to be what you want them to be. Other leading names in the development of behavioural economics are ultra-Zionists Daniel Kahneman and Robert J. Shiller and they, with Thaler, won the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences for their work in this field. The Behavioural Insights Team is operating at the heart of the UK government and has expanded globally through partnerships with several universities including Harvard, Oxford, Cambridge, University College London (UCL) and Pennsylvania. They claim to have 'trained' (reframed) 20,000 civil servants and run more than 750 projects involving 400 randomised controlled trials in dozens of countries' as another version of mind reframers Common Purpose. BIT works from its office in New York with cities and their agencies, as well as other partners, across the United States and Canada – this is a company part-owned by the British government Cabinet Office. An executive order by President Cult-servant Obama established a US Social and Behavioral Sciences Team in 2015. They all have the same reason for being and that's to brainwash the population directly and by brainwashing those in positions of authority.

'Covid' mind game

Another prime aspect of the UK mind-control network is the 'independent' [joke] Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours (SPI-B) which 'provides behavioural science advice aimed at anticipating and helping people adhere to interventions that are recommended by medical or epidemiological experts'. That means manipulating public perception and behaviour to do whatever government tells them to do. It's disgusting and if they really want the public to be 'safe' this lot should all be under lock and key. According to the government website SPI-B consists of

'behavioural scientists, health and social psychologists, anthropologists and historians' and advises the Whitty-Vallance-led Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) which in turn advises the government on 'the science' (it doesn't) and 'Covid' policy. When politicians say they are being guided by 'the science' this is the rabble in each country they are talking about and that 'science' is dominated by behaviour manipulators to enforce government fascism through public compliance. The Behaviour Insight Team is headed by psychologist David Solomon Halpern, a visiting professor at King's College London, and connects with a national and global web of other civilian and military organisations as the Cult moves towards its goal of fusing them into one fascistic whole in every country through its 'Fusion Doctrine'. The behaviour manipulation network involves, but is not confined to, the Foreign Office; National Security Council; government communications headquarters (GCHQ); MI5; MI6; the Cabinet Office-based Media Monitoring Unit; and the Rapid Response Unit which 'monitors digital trends to spot emerging issues; including misinformation and disinformation; and identifies the best way to respond'.

There is also the 77th Brigade of the UK military which operates like the notorious Israeli military's Unit 8200 in manipulating information and discussion on the Internet by posing as members of the public to promote the narrative and discredit those who challenge it. Here we have the military seeking to manipulate *domestic* public opinion while the Nazis in government are fine with that. Conservative Member of Parliament Tobias Ellwood, an advocate of lockdown and control through 'vaccine passports', is a Lieutenant Colonel reservist in the 77th Brigade which connects with the military operation jHub, the 'innovation centre' for the Ministry of Defence and Strategic Command. jHub has also been involved with the civilian National Health Service (NHS) in 'symptom tracing' the population. The NHS is a key part of this mind control network and produced a document in December, 2020, explaining to staff how to use psychological manipulation with different groups and ages to get them to have the DNA-manipulating 'Covid vaccine'

that's designed to cumulatively rewrite human genetics. The document, called 'Optimising Vaccination Roll Out – Do's and Dont's for all messaging, documents and "communications" in the widest sense', was published by NHS England and the NHS Improvement *Behaviour Change Unit* in partnership with Public Health England and Warwick Business School. I hear the mantra about 'save the NHS' and 'protect the NHS' when we need to scrap the NHS and start again. The current version is far too corrupt, far too anti-human and totally compromised by Cult operatives and their assets. UK government broadcast media censor Ofcom will connect into this web – as will the BBC with its tremendous Ofcom influence – to control what the public see and hear and dictate mass perception. Nuremberg trials must include personnel from all these organisations.

The fear factor

The 'Covid' hoax has led to the creation of the UK Cabinet Office-connected Joint Biosecurity Centre (JBC) which is officially described as providing 'expert advice on pandemics' using its independent [all Cult operations are 'independent'] analytical function to provide real-time analysis about infection outbreaks to identify and respond to outbreaks of Covid-19'. Another role is to advise the government on a response to spikes in infections – 'for example by closing schools or workplaces in local areas where infection levels have risen'. Put another way, promoting the Cult agenda. The Joint Biosecurity Centre is modelled on the Joint Terrorism Analysis Centre which analyses intelligence to set 'terrorism threat levels' and here again you see the fusion of civilian and military operations and intelligence that has led to military intelligence producing documents about 'vaccine hesitancy' and how it can be combated. Domestic civilian matters and opinions should not be the business of the military. The Joint Biosecurity Centre is headed by Tom Hurd, director general of the Office for Security and Counter-Terrorism from the establishment-to-its-fingertips Hurd family. His father is former Foreign Secretary Douglas Hurd. How coincidental that Tom

Hurd went to the elite Eton College and Oxford University with Boris Johnson. Imperial College with its ridiculous computer modeller Neil Ferguson will connect with this gigantic web that will itself interconnect with similar set-ups in other major and not so major countries. Compared with this Cult network the politicians, be they Boris Johnson, Donald Trump or Joe Biden, are bit-part players 'following the science'. The network of psychologists was on the 'Covid' case from the start with the aim of generating maximum fear of the 'virus' to ensure compliance by the population. A government behavioural science group known as SPI-B produced a paper in March, 2020, for discussion by the main government science advisory group known as SAGE. It was headed 'Options for increasing adherence to social distancing measures' and it said the following in a section headed 'Persuasion':

- A substantial number of people still do not feel sufficiently personally threatened; it could be that they are reassured by the low death rate in their demographic group, although levels of concern may be rising. Having a good understanding of the risk has been found to be positively associated with adoption of COVID-19 social distancing measures in Hong Kong.

- The perceived level of personal threat needs to be increased among those who are complacent, using hard-hitting evaluation of options for increasing social distancing emotional messaging. To be effective this must also empower people by making clear the actions they can take to reduce the threat.

- Responsibility to others: There seems to be insufficient understanding of, or feelings of responsibility about, people's role in transmitting the infection to others … Messaging about actions need to be framed positively in terms of protecting oneself and the community, and increase confidence that they will be effective.

- Some people will be more persuaded by appeals to play by the rules, some by duty to the community, and some to personal risk.

> All these different approaches are needed. The messaging also needs to take account of the realities of different people's lives. Messaging needs to take account of the different motivational levers and circumstances of different people.

All this could be achieved the SPI-B psychologists said by *using the media to increase the sense of personal threat* which translates as terrify the shit out of the population, including children, so they all do what we want. That's not happened has it? Those excuses for 'journalists' who wouldn't know journalism if it bit them on the arse (the great majority) have played their crucial part in serving this Cult-government Psyop to enslave their own kids and grandkids. How they live with themselves I have no idea. The psychological war has been underpinned by constant government 'Covid' propaganda in almost every television and radio ad break, plus the Internet and print media, which has pounded out the fear with taxpayers footing the bill for their own programming. The result has been people terrified of a 'virus' that doesn't exist or one with a tiny fatality rate even if you believe it does. People walk down the street and around the shops wearing face-nappies damaging their health and psychology while others report those who refuse to be that naïve to the police who turn up in their own face-nappies. I had a cameraman come to my flat and he was so frightened of 'Covid' he came in wearing a mask and refused to shake my hand in case he caught something. He had – naïveitis – and the thought that he worked in the mainstream media was both depressing and made his behaviour perfectly explainable. The fear which has gripped the minds of so many and frozen them into compliance has been carefully cultivated by these psychologists who are really psychopaths. If lives get destroyed and a lot of young people commit suicide it shows our plan is working. SPI-B then turned to compulsion on the public to comply. 'With adequate preparation, rapid change can be achieved', it said. Some countries had introduced mandatory self-isolation on a wide scale without evidence of major public unrest and a large majority of the UK's population appeared to be supportive of more coercive measures with 64 percent of adults saying they would

support putting London under a lockdown (watch the 'polls' which are designed to make people believe that public opinion is in favour or against whatever the subject in hand).

For 'aggressive protective measures' to be effective, the SPI-B paper said, special attention should be devoted to those population groups that are more at risk. Translated from the Orwellian this means making the rest of population feel guilty for not protecting the 'vulnerable' such as old people which the Cult and its agencies were about to kill on an industrial scale with lockdown, lack of treatment and the Gates 'vaccine'. Psychopath psychologists sold their guilt-trip so comprehensively that Los Angeles County Supervisor Hilda Solis reported that children were apologising (from a distance) to their parents and grandparents for bringing 'Covid' into their homes and getting them sick. '… These apologies are just some of the last words that loved ones will ever hear as they die alone,' she said. Gut-wrenchingly Solis then used this childhood tragedy to tell children to stay at home and 'keep your loved ones alive'. Imagine heaping such potentially life-long guilt on a kid when it has absolutely nothing to do with them. These people are deeply disturbed and the psychologists behind this even more so.

Uncivil war – divide and rule

Professional mind-controllers at SPI-B wanted the media to increase a sense of responsibility to others (do as you're told) and promote 'positive messaging' for those actions while in contrast to invoke 'social disapproval' by the unquestioning, obedient, community of anyone with a mind of their own. Again the compliant Goebbels-like media obliged. This is an old, old, trick employed by tyrannies the world over throughout human history. You get the target population to keep the target population in line – *your* line. SPI-B said this could 'play an important role in preventing anti-social behaviour or discouraging failure to enact pro-social behaviour'. For 'anti-social' in the Orwellian parlance of SPI-B see any behaviour that government doesn't approve. SPI-B recommendations said that 'social disapproval' should be accompanied by clear messaging and

promotion of strong collective identity – hence the government and celebrity mantra of 'we're all in this together'. Sure we are. The mind doctors have such contempt for their targets that they think some clueless comedian, actor or singer telling them to do what the government wants will be enough to win them over. We have had UK comedian Lenny Henry, actor Michael Caine and singer Elton John wheeled out to serve the propagandists by urging people to have the DNA-manipulating 'Covid' non-'vaccine'. The role of Henry and fellow black celebrities in seeking to coax a 'vaccine' reluctant black community into doing the government's will was especially stomach-turning. An emotion-manipulating script and carefully edited video featuring these black 'celebs' was such an insult to the intelligence of black people and where's the self-respect of those involved selling their souls to a fascist government agenda? Henry said he heard black people's 'legitimate worries and concerns', but people must 'trust the facts' when they were doing exactly that by not having the 'vaccine'. They had to include the obligatory reference to Black Lives Matter with the line … 'Don't let coronavirus cost even more black lives – because we matter'. My god, it was pathetic. 'I know the vaccine is safe and what it does.' How? 'I'm a comedian and it says so in my script.'

SPI-B said social disapproval needed to be carefully managed to avoid victimisation, scapegoating and misdirected criticism, but they knew that their 'recommendations' would lead to exactly that and the media were specifically used to stir-up the divide-and-conquer hostility. Those who conform like good little baa, baas, are praised while those who have seen through the tidal wave of lies are 'Covidiots'. The awake have been abused by the fast asleep for not conforming to fascism and impositions that the awake know are designed to endanger their health, dehumanise them, and tear asunder the very fabric of human society. We have had the curtain-twitchers and morons reporting neighbours and others to the face-nappied police for breaking 'Covid rules' with fascist police delighting in posting links and phone numbers where this could be done. The Cult cannot impose its will without a compliant police

and military or a compliant population willing to play their part in enslaving themselves and their kids. The words of a pastor in Nazi Germany are so appropriate today:

First they came for the socialists and I did not speak out because I was not a socialist.

Then they came for the trade unionists and I did not speak out because I was not a trade unionist.

Then they came for the Jews and I did not speak out because I was not a Jew.

Then they came for me and there was no one left to speak for me.

Those who don't learn from history are destined to repeat it and so many are.

'Covid' rules: Rewiring the mind

With the background laid out to this gigantic national and global web of psychological manipulation we can put 'Covid' rules into a clear and sinister perspective. Forget the claims about protecting health. 'Covid' rules are about dismantling the human mind, breaking the human spirit, destroying self-respect, and then putting Humpty Dumpty together again as a servile, submissive slave. Social isolation through lockdown and distancing have devastating effects on the human psyche as the psychological psychopaths well know and that's the real reason for them. Humans need contact with each other, discourse, closeness and touch, or they eventually, and literarily, go crazy. Masks, which I will address at some length, fundamentally add to the effects of isolation and the Cult agenda to dehumanise and de-individualise the population. To do this while knowing – in fact *seeking* – this outcome is the very epitome of evil and psychologists involved in this *are* the epitome of evil. They must like all the rest of the Cult demons and their assets stand trial for crimes against humanity on a scale that defies the imagination. Psychopaths in uniform use isolation to break enemy troops and agents and make them subservient and submissive to tell what they know. The technique is rightly considered a form of torture and

torture is most certainly what has been imposed on the human population.

Clinically-insane American psychologist Harry Harlow became famous for his isolation experiments in the 1950s in which he separated baby monkeys from their mothers and imprisoned them for months on end in a metal container or 'pit of despair'. They soon began to show mental distress and depression as any idiot could have predicted. Harlow put other monkeys in steel chambers for three, six or twelve months while denying them any contact with animals or humans. He said that the effects of total social isolation for six months were 'so devastating and debilitating that we had assumed initially that twelve months of isolation would not produce any additional decrement'; but twelve months of isolation 'almost obliterated the animals socially'. This is what the Cult and its psychopaths are doing to you and your children. Even monkeys in partial isolation in which they were not allowed to form relationships with other monkeys became 'aggressive and hostile, not only to others, but also towards their own bodies'. We have seen this in the young as a consequence of lockdown. UK government psychopaths launched a public relations campaign telling people not to hug each other even after they received the 'Covid-19 vaccine' which we were told with more lies would allow a return to 'normal life'. A government source told *The Telegraph*: 'It will be along the lines that it is great that you have been vaccinated, but if you are going to visit your family and hug your grandchildren there is a chance you are going to infect people you love.' The source was apparently speaking from a secure psychiatric facility. Janet Lord, director of Birmingham University's Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, said that parents and grandparents should avoid hugging their children. Well, how can I put it, Ms Lord? Fuck off. Yep, that'll do.

Destroying the kids – where are the parents?

Observe what has happened to people enslaved and isolated by lockdown as suicide and self-harm has soared worldwide,

particularly among the young denied the freedom to associate with their friends. A study of 49,000 people in English-speaking countries concluded that almost half of young adults are at clinical risk of mental health disorders. A national survey in America of 1,000 currently enrolled high school and college students found that 5 percent reported attempting suicide during the pandemic. Data from the US CDC's National Syndromic Surveillance Program from January 1st to October 17th, 2020, revealed a *31 percent* increase in mental health issues among adolescents aged 12 to 17 compared with 2019. The CDC reported that America in general suffered the biggest drop in life expectancy since World War Two as it fell by a year in the first half of 2020 as a result of 'deaths of despair' – overdoses and suicides. Deaths of despair have leapt by more than 20 percent during lockdown and include the highest number of fatal overdoses ever recorded in a single year – 81,000. Internet addiction is another consequence of being isolated at home which lowers interest in physical activities as kids fall into inertia and what's the point? Children and young people are losing hope and giving up on life, sometimes literally. A 14-year-old boy killed himself in Maryland because he had 'given up' when his school district didn't reopen; an 11-year-old boy shot himself during a zoom class; a teenager in Maine succumbed to the isolation of the 'pandemic' when he ended his life after experiencing a disrupted senior year at school. Children as young as nine have taken their life and all these stories can be repeated around the world. Careers are being destroyed before they start and that includes those in sport in which promising youngsters have not been able to take part. The plan of the psycho-psychologists is working all right. Researchers at Cambridge University found that lockdowns cause significant harm to children's mental health. Their study was published in the *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, and followed 168 children aged between 7 and 11. The researchers concluded:

> During the UK lockdown, children's depression symptoms have increased substantially, relative to before lockdown. The scale of this effect has direct relevance for the continuation of different elements of lockdown policy, such as complete or partial school closures …

… Specifically, we observed a statistically significant increase in ratings of depression, with a medium-to-large effect size. Our findings emphasise the need to incorporate the potential impact of lockdown on child mental health in planning the ongoing response to the global pandemic and the recovery from it.

Not a chance when the Cult's psycho-psychologists were getting exactly what they wanted. The UK's Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health has urged parents to look for signs of eating disorders in children and young people after a three to four fold increase. Specialists say the 'pandemic' is a major reason behind the rise. You don't say. The College said isolation from friends during school closures, exam cancellations, loss of extra-curricular activities like sport, and an increased use of social media were all contributory factors along with fears about the virus (psycho-psychologists again), family finances, and students being forced to quarantine. Doctors said young people were becoming severely ill by the time they were seen with 'Covid' regulations reducing face-to-face consultations. Nor is it only the young that have been devastated by the psychopaths. Like all bullies and cowards the Cult is targeting the young, elderly, weak and infirm. A typical story was told by a British lady called Lynn Parker who was not allowed to visit her husband in 2020 for the last ten and half months of his life 'when he needed me most' between March 20th and when he died on December 19th. This vacates the criminal and enters the territory of evil. The emotional impact on the immune system alone is immense as are the number of people of all ages worldwide who have died as a result of Cult-demanded, Gates-demanded, lockdowns.

Isolation is torture

The experience of imposing solitary confinement on millions of prisoners around the world has shown how a large percentage become 'actively psychotic and/or acutely suicidal'. Social isolation has been found to trigger 'a specific psychiatric syndrome, characterized by hallucinations; panic attacks; overt paranoia; diminished impulse control; hypersensitivity to external stimuli; and difficulties with thinking, concentration and memory'. Juan Mendez,

a United Nations rapporteur (investigator), said that isolation is a form of torture. Research has shown that even after isolation prisoners find it far more difficult to make social connections and I remember chatting to a shop assistant after one lockdown who told me that when her young son met another child again he had no idea how to act or what to do. Hannah Flanagan, Director of Emergency Services at Journey Mental Health Center in Dane County, Wisconsin, said: 'The specificity about Covid social distancing and isolation that we've come across as contributing factors to the suicides are really new to us this year.' But they are not new to those that devised them. They are getting the effect they want as the population is psychologically dismantled to be rebuilt in a totally different way. Children and the young are particularly targeted. They will be the adults when the full-on fascist AI-controlled technocracy is planned to be imposed and they are being prepared to meekly submit. At the same time older people who still have a memory of what life was like before – and how fascist the new normal really is – are being deleted. You are going to see efforts to turn the young against the old to support this geriatric genocide. Hannah Flanagan said the big increase in suicide in her county proved that social isolation is not only harmful, but deadly. Studies have shown that isolation from others is one of the main risk factors in suicide and even more so with women. Warnings that lockdown could create a 'perfect storm' for suicide were ignored. After all this was one of the *reasons* for lockdown. Suicide, however, is only the most extreme of isolation consequences. There are many others. Dr Dhruv Khullar, assistant professor of healthcare policy at Weill Cornell Medical College, said in a *New York Times* article in 2016 long before the fake 'pandemic':

> A wave of new research suggests social separation is bad for us. Individuals with less social connection have disrupted sleep patterns, altered immune systems, more inflammation and higher levels of stress hormones. One recent study found that isolation increases the risk of heart disease by 29 percent and stroke by 32 percent. Another analysis that pooled data from 70 studies and 3.4 million people found that socially isolated individuals had a 30 percent higher risk of dying in the next seven years, and that this effect was largest in middle age.

Loneliness can accelerate cognitive decline in older adults, and isolated individuals are twice as likely to die prematurely as those with more robust social interactions. These effects start early: Socially isolated children have significantly poorer health 20 years later, even after controlling for other factors. All told, loneliness is as important a risk factor for early death as obesity and smoking.

There you have proof from that one article alone four years before 2020 that those who have enforced lockdown, social distancing and isolation knew what the effect would be and that is even more so with professional psychologists that have been driving the policy across the globe. We can go back even further to the years 2000 and 2003 and the start of a major study on the effects of isolation on health by Dr Janine Gronewold and Professor Dirk M. Hermann at the University Hospital in Essen, Germany, who analysed data on 4,316 people with an average age of 59 who were recruited for the long-term research project. They found that socially isolated people are more than 40 percent more likely to have a heart attack, stroke, or other major cardiovascular event and nearly 50 percent more likely to die from any cause. Given the financial Armageddon unleashed by lockdown we should note that the study found a relationship between increased cardiovascular risk and lack of financial support. After excluding other factors social isolation was still connected to a 44 percent increased risk of cardiovascular problems and a 47 percent increased risk of death by any cause. Lack of financial support was associated with a 30 percent increase in the risk of cardiovascular health events. Dr Gronewold said it had been known for some time that feeling lonely or lacking contact with close friends and family can have an impact on physical health and the study had shown that having strong social relationships is of high importance for heart health. Gronewold said they didn't understand yet why people who are socially isolated have such poor health outcomes, but this was obviously a worrying finding, particularly during these times of prolonged social distancing. Well, it can be explained on many levels. You only have to identify the point in the body where people feel loneliness and missing people they are parted from – it's in the centre of the chest where they feel the ache of loneliness and the ache of missing people. 'My heart aches for

you' … 'My heart aches for some company.' I will explain this more in the chapter Escaping Wetiko, but when you realise that the body is the mind – they are expressions of each other – the reason why state of the mind dictates state of the body becomes clear.

American psychologist Ranjit Powar was highlighting the effects of lockdown isolation as early as April, 2020. She said humans have evolved to be social creatures and are wired to live in interactive groups. Being isolated from family, friends and colleagues could be unbalancing and traumatic for most people and could result in short or even long-term psychological and physical health problems. An increase in levels of anxiety, aggression, depression, forgetfulness and hallucinations were possible psychological effects of isolation. 'Mental conditions may be precipitated for those with underlying pre-existing susceptibilities and show up in many others without any pre-condition.' Powar said personal relationships helped us cope with stress and if we lost this outlet for letting off steam the result can be a big emotional void which, for an average person, was difficult to deal with. 'Just a few days of isolation can cause increased levels of anxiety and depression' – so what the hell has been the effect on the global population of *18 months* of this at the time of writing? Powar said: 'Add to it the looming threat of a dreadful disease being repeatedly hammered in through the media and you have a recipe for many shades of mental and physical distress.' For those with a house and a garden it is easy to forget that billions have had to endure lockdown isolation in tiny overcrowded flats and apartments with nowhere to go outside. The psychological and physical consequences of this are unimaginable and with lunatic and abusive partners and parents the consequences have led to tremendous increases in domestic and child abuse and alcoholism as people seek to shut out the horror. Ranjit Powar said:

> Staying in a confined space with family is not all a rosy picture for everyone. It can be extremely oppressive and claustrophobic for large low-income families huddled together in small single-room houses. Children here are not lucky enough to have many board/electronic games or books to keep them occupied.

Add to it the deep insecurity of running out of funds for food and basic necessities. On the other hand, there are people with dysfunctional family dynamics, such as domineering, abusive or alcoholic partners, siblings or parents which makes staying home a period of trial. Incidence of suicide and physical abuse against women has shown a worldwide increase. Heightened anxiety and depression also affect a person's immune system, making them more susceptible to illness.

To think that Powar's article was published on April 11th, 2020.

Six-feet fantasy

Social (unsocial) distancing demanded that people stay six feet or two metres apart. UK government advisor Robert Dingwall from the New and Emerging Respiratory Virus Threats Advisory Group said in a radio interview that the two-metre rule was 'conjured up out of nowhere' and was not based on science. No, it was not based on *medical* science, but it didn't come out of nowhere. The distance related to *psychological* science. Six feet/two metres was adopted in many countries and we were told by people like the criminal Anthony Fauci and his ilk that it was founded on science. Many schools could not reopen because they did not have the space for six-feet distancing. Then in March, 2021, after a year of six-feet 'science', a study published in the *Journal of Infectious Diseases* involving more than 500,000 students and almost 100,000 staff over 16 weeks revealed no significant difference in 'Covid' cases between six feet and three feet and Fauci changed his tune. Now three feet was okay. There is no difference between six feet and three *inches* when there is no 'virus' and they got away with six feet for psychological reasons for as long as they could. I hear journalists and others talk about 'unintended consequences' of lockdown. They are not *unintended* at all; they have been coldly-calculated for a specific outcome of human control and that's why super-psychopaths like Gates have called for them so vehemently. Super-psychopath psychologists have demanded them and psychopathic or clueless, spineless, politicians have gone along with them by 'following the science'. But it's not science at all. 'Science' is not what is; it's only what people can be manipulated to believe it is. The whole 'Covid' catastrophe is

founded on mind control. Three word or three statement mantras issued by the UK government are a well-known mind control technique and so we've had 'Stay home/protect the NHS/save lives', 'Stay alert/control the virus/save lives' and 'hands/face/space'. One of the most vocal proponents of extreme 'Covid' rules in the UK has been Professor Susan Michie, a member of the British Communist Party, who is not a medical professional. Michie is the director of the Centre for Behaviour Change at University College London. She is a *behavioural psychologist* and another filthy rich 'Marxist' who praised China's draconian lockdown. She was known by fellow students at Oxford University as 'Stalin's nanny' for her extreme Marxism. Michie is an influential member of the UK government's Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) and behavioural manipulation groups which have dominated 'Covid' policy. She is a consultant adviser to the World Health Organization on 'Covid-19' and behaviour. Why the hell are lockdowns anything to do with her when they are claimed to be about health? Why does a behavioural psychologist from a group charged with changing the behaviour of the public want lockdown, human isolation and mandatory masks? Does that question really need an answer? Michie *absolutely* has to explain herself before a Nuremberg court when humanity takes back its world again and even more so when you see the consequences of masks that she demands are compulsory. This is a Michie classic:

> The benefits of getting primary school children to wear masks is that regardless of what little degree of transmission is occurring in those age groups it could help normalise the practice. Young children wearing masks may be more likely to get their families to accept masks.

Those words alone should carry a prison sentence when you ponder on the callous disregard for children involved and what a statement it makes about the mind and motivations of Susan Michie. What a lovely lady and what she said there encapsulates the mentality of the psychopaths behind the 'Covid' horror. Let us compare what Michie said with a countrywide study in Germany published at researchsquare.com involving 25,000 school children and 17,854 health complaints submitted by parents. Researchers

found that masks are harming children physically, psychologically, and behaviourally with 24 health issues associated with mask wearing. They include: shortness of breath (29.7%); dizziness (26.4%); increased headaches (53%); difficulty concentrating (50%); drowsiness or fatigue (37%); and malaise (42%). Nearly a third of children experienced more sleep issues than before and a quarter developed new fears. Researchers found health issues and other impairments in 68 percent of masked children covering their faces for an average of 4.5 hours a day. Hundreds of those taking part experienced accelerated respiration, tightness in the chest, weakness, and short-term impairment of consciousness. A reminder of what Michie said again:

> The benefits of getting primary school children to wear masks is that regardless of what little degree of transmission is occurring in those age groups it could help normalise the practice. Young children wearing masks may be more likely to get their families to accept masks.

Psychopaths in government and psychology now have children and young people – plus all the adults – wearing masks for hours on end while clueless teachers impose the will of the psychopaths on the young they should be protecting. What the hell are parents doing?

Cult lab rats

We have some schools already imposing on students microchipped buzzers that activate when they get 'too close' to their pals in the way they do with lab rats. How apt. To the Cult and its brain-dead servants our children *are* lab rats being conditioned to be unquestioning, dehumanised slaves for the rest of their lives. Children and young people are being weaned and frightened away from the most natural human instincts including closeness and touch. I have tracked in the books over the years how schools were banning pupils from greeting each other with a hug and the whole Cult-induced Me Too movement has terrified men and boys from a relaxed and natural interaction with female friends and work colleagues to the point where many men try never to be in a room

alone with a woman that's not their partner. Airhead celebrities have as always played their virtue-signalling part in making this happen with their gross exaggeration. For every monster like Harvey Weinstein there are at least tens of thousands of men that don't treat women like that; but everyone must be branded the same and policy changed for them as well as the monster. I am going to be using the word 'dehumanise' many times in this chapter because that is what the Cult is seeking to do and it goes very deep as we shall see. Don't let them kid you that social distancing is planned to end one day. That's not the idea. We are seeing more governments and companies funding and producing wearable gadgets to keep people apart and they would not be doing that if this was meant to be short-term. A tech start-up company backed by GCHQ, the British Intelligence and military surveillance headquarters, has created a social distancing wrist sensor that alerts people when they get too close to others. The CIA has also supported tech companies developing similar devices. The wearable sensor was developed by Tended, one of a number of start-up companies supported by GCHQ (see the CIA and DARPA). The device can be worn on the wrist or as a tag on the waistband and will vibrate whenever someone wearing the device breaches social distancing and gets anywhere near natural human contact. The company had a lucky break in that it was developing a distancing sensor when the 'Covid' hoax arrived which immediately provided a potentially enormous market. How fortunate. The government in big-time Cult-controlled Ontario in Canada is investing $2.5 million in wearable contact tracing technology that 'will alert users if they may have been exposed to the Covid-19 in the workplace and will beep or vibrate if they are within six feet of another person'. Facedrive Inc., the technology company behind this, was founded in 2016 with funding from the Ontario Together Fund and obviously they, too, had a prophet on the board of directors. The human surveillance and control technology is called TraceSCAN and would be worn by the human cyborgs in places such as airports, workplaces, construction sites, care homes and … *schools*.

I emphasise schools with children and young people the prime targets. You know what is planned for society as a whole if you keep your eyes on the schools. They have always been places where the state program the next generation of slaves to be its compliant worker-ants – or Woker-ants these days; but in the mist of the 'Covid' madness they have been transformed into mind laboratories on a scale never seen before. Teachers and head teachers are just as programmed as the kids – often more so. Children are kept apart from human interaction by walk lanes, classroom distancing, staggered meal times, masks, and the rolling-out of buzzer systems. Schools are now physically laid out as a laboratory maze for lab-rats. Lunatics at a school in Anchorage, Alaska, who should be prosecuted for child abuse, took away desks and forced children to kneel (know your place) on a mat for five hours a day while wearing a mask and using their chairs as a desk. How this was supposed to impact on a 'virus' only these clinically insane people can tell you and even then it would be clap-trap. The school banned recess (interaction), art classes (creativity), and physical exercise (getting body and mind moving out of inertia). Everyone behind this outrage should be in jail or better still a mental institution. The behavioural manipulators are all for this dystopian approach to schools. Professor Susan Michie, the mind-doctor and British Communist Party member, said it was wrong to say that schools were safe. They had to be made so by 'distancing', masks and ventilation (sitting all day in the cold). I must ask this lady round for dinner on a night I know I am going to be out and not back for weeks. She probably wouldn't be able to make it, anyway, with all the visits to her own psychologist she must have block-booked.

Masking identity

I know how shocking it must be for you that a behaviour manipulator like Michie wants everyone to wear masks which have long been a feature of mind-control programs like the infamous MKUltra in the United States, but, there we are. We live and learn. I spent many years from 1996 to right across the millennium

researching mind control in detail on both sides of the Atlantic and elsewhere. I met a large number of mind-control survivors and many had been held captive in body and mind by MKUltra. MK stands for mind-control, but employs the German spelling in deference to the Nazis spirited out of Germany at the end of World War Two by Operation Paperclip in which the US authorities, with help from the Vatican, transported Nazi mind-controllers and engineers to America to continue their work. Many of them were behind the creation of NASA and they included Nazi scientist and SS officer Wernher von Braun who swapped designing V-2 rockets to bombard London with designing the Saturn V rockets that powered the NASA moon programme's Apollo craft. I think I may have mentioned that the Cult has no borders. Among Paperclip escapees was Josef Mengele, the Angel of Death in the Nazi concentration camps where he conducted mind and genetic experiments on children often using twins to provide a control twin to measure the impact of his 'work' on the other. If you want to observe the Cult mentality in all its extremes of evil then look into the life of Mengele. I have met many people who suffered mercilessly under Mengele in the United States where he operated under the name Dr Greene and became a stalwart of MKUltra programming and torture. Among his locations was the underground facility in the Mojave Desert in California called the China Lake Naval Weapons Station which is almost entirely below the surface. My books *The Biggest Secret*, *Children of the Matrix* and *The Perception Deception* have the detailed background to MKUltra.

The best-known MKUltra survivor is American Cathy O'Brien. I first met her and her late partner Mark Phillips at a conference in Colorado in 1996. Mark helped her escape and deprogram from decades of captivity in an offshoot of MKUltra known as Project Monarch in which 'sex slaves' were provided for the rich and famous including Father George Bush, Dick Cheney and the Clintons. Read Cathy and Mark's book *Trance-Formation of America* and if you are new to this you will be shocked to the core. I read it in 1996 shortly before, with the usual synchronicity of my life, I found

myself given a book table at the conference right next to hers. MKUltra never ended despite being very publicly exposed (only a small part of it) in the 1970s and continues in other guises. I am still in touch with Cathy. She contacted me during 2020 after masks became compulsory in many countries to tell me how they were used as part of MKUltra programming. I had been observing 'Covid regulations' and the relationship between authority and public for months. I saw techniques that I knew were employed on individuals in MKUltra being used on the global population. I had read many books and manuals on mind control including one called *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars* which came to light in the 1980s and was a guide on how to perceptually program on a mass scale. 'Silent Weapons' refers to mind-control. I remembered a line from the manual as governments, medical authorities and law enforcement agencies have so obviously talked to – or rather at – the adult population since the 'Covid' hoax began as if they are children. The document said:

> If a person is spoken to by a T.V. advertiser as if he were a twelve-year-old, then, due to suggestibility, he will, with a certain probability, respond or react to that suggestion with the uncritical response of a twelve-year-old and will reach in to his economic reservoir and deliver its energy to buy that product on impulse when he passes it in the store.

That's why authority has spoken to adults like children since all this began.

Why did Michael Jackson wear masks?

Every aspect of the 'Covid' narrative has mind-control as its central theme. Cathy O'Brien wrote an article for davidicke.com about the connection between masks and mind control. Her daughter Kelly who I first met in the 1990s was born while Cathy was still held captive in MKUltra. Kelly was forced to wear a mask as part of her programming from the age of *two* to dehumanise her, target her sense of individuality and reduce the amount of oxygen her brain and body received. *Bingo*. This is the real reason for compulsory

masks, why they have been enforced en masse, and why they seek to increase the number they demand you wear. First one, then two, with one disgraceful alleged 'doctor' recommending four which is nothing less than a death sentence. Where and how often they must be worn is being expanded for the purpose of mass mind control and damaging respiratory health which they can call 'Covid-19'. Canada's government headed by the man-child Justin Trudeau, says it's fine for children of two and older to wear masks. An insane 'study' in Italy involving just 47 children concluded there was no problem for babies as young as *four months* wearing them. Even after people were 'vaccinated' they were still told to wear masks by the criminal that is Anthony Fauci. Cathy wrote that mandating masks is allowing the authorities literally to control the air we breathe which is what was done in MKUltra. You might recall how the singer Michael Jackson wore masks and there is a reason for that. He was subjected to MKUltra mind control through Project Monarch and his psyche was scrambled by these simpletons. Cathy wrote:

> In MKUltra Project Monarch mind control, Michael Jackson had to wear a mask to silence his voice so he could not reach out for help. Remember how he developed that whisper voice when he wasn't singing? Masks control the mind from the outside in, like the redefining of words is doing. By controlling what we can and cannot say for fear of being labeled racist or beaten, for example, it ultimately controls thought that drives our words and ultimately actions (or lack thereof).
>
> Likewise, a mask muffles our speech so that we are not heard, which controls voice … words … mind. This is Mind Control. Masks are an obvious mind control device, and I am disturbed so many people are complying on a global scale. Masks depersonalize while making a person feel as though they have no voice. It is a barrier to others. People who would never choose to comply but are forced to wear a mask in order to keep their job, and ultimately their family fed, are compromised. They often feel shame and are subdued. People have stopped talking with each other while media controls the narrative.

The 'no voice' theme has often become literal with train passengers told not to speak to each other in case they pass on the 'virus', singing banned for the same reason and bonkers California officials telling people riding roller coasters that they cannot shout and scream. Cathy said she heard every day from healed MKUltra survivors who cannot wear a mask without flashing back on ways

their breathing was controlled – 'from ball gags and penises to water boarding'. She said that through the years when she saw images of people in China wearing masks 'due to pollution' that it was really to control their oxygen levels. 'I knew it was as much of a population control mechanism of depersonalisation as are burkas', she said. Masks are another Chinese communist/fascist method of control that has been swept across the West as the West becomes China at lightning speed since we entered 2020.

Mask-19

There are other reasons for mandatory masks and these include destroying respiratory health to call it 'Covid-19' and stunting brain development of children and the young. Dr Margarite Griesz-Brisson MD, PhD, is a Consultant Neurologist and Neurophysiologist and the Founder and Medical Director of the London Neurology and Pain Clinic. Her CV goes down the street and round the corner. She is clearly someone who cares about people and won't parrot the propaganda. Griesz-Brisson has a PhD in pharmacology, with special interest in neurotoxicology, environmental medicine, neuroregeneration and neuroplasticity (the way the brain can change in the light of information received). She went public in October, 2020, with a passionate warning about the effects of mask-wearing laws:

> The reinhalation of our exhaled air will without a doubt create oxygen deficiency and a flooding of carbon dioxide. We know that the human brain is very sensitive to oxygen deprivation. There are nerve cells for example in the hippocampus that can't be longer than 3 minutes without oxygen – they cannot survive. The acute warning symptoms are headaches, drowsiness, dizziness, issues in concentration, slowing down of reaction time – reactions of the cognitive system.

Oh, I know, let's tell bus, truck and taxi drivers to wear them and people working machinery. How about pilots, doctors and police? Griesz-Brisson makes the important point that while the symptoms she mentions may fade as the body readjusts this does not alter the fact that people continue to operate in oxygen deficit with long list of

potential consequences. She said it was well known that neurodegenerative diseases take years or decades to develop. 'If today you forget your phone number, the breakdown in your brain would have already started 20 or 30 years ago.' She said degenerative processes in your brain are getting amplified as your oxygen deprivation continues through wearing a mask. Nerve cells in the brain are unable to divide themselves normally in these circumstances and lost nerve cells will no longer be regenerated. 'What is gone is gone.' Now consider that people like shop workers and *schoolchildren* are wearing masks for hours every day. What in the name of sanity is going to be happening to them? 'I do not wear a mask, I need my brain to think', Griesz-Brisson said, 'I want to have a clear head when I deal with my patients and not be in a carbon dioxide-induced anaesthesia'. If you are told to wear a mask anywhere ask the organisation, police, store, whatever, for their risk assessment on the dangers and negative effects on mind and body of enforcing mask-wearing. They won't have one because it has never been done not even by government. All of them must be subject to class-action lawsuits as the consequences come to light. They don't do mask risk assessments for an obvious reason. They know what the conclusions would be and independent scientific studies that *have* been done tell a horror story of consequences.

'Masks are criminal'

Dr Griesz-Brisson said that for children and adolescents, masks are an absolute no-no. They had an extremely active and adaptive immune system and their brain was incredibly active with so much to learn. 'The child's brain, or the youth's brain, is thirsting for oxygen.' The more metabolically active an organ was, the more oxygen it required; and in children and adolescents every organ was metabolically active. Griesz-Brisson said that to deprive a child's or adolescent's brain of oxygen, or to restrict it in any way, was not only dangerous to their health, it was absolutely criminal. 'Oxygen deficiency inhibits the development of the brain, and the damage that has taken place as a result CANNOT be reversed.' Mind

manipulators of MKUltra put masks on two-year-olds they wanted to neurologically rewire and you can see why. Griesz-Brisson said a child needs the brain to learn and the brain needs oxygen to function. 'We don't need a clinical study for that. This is simple, indisputable physiology.' Consciously and purposely induced oxygen deficiency was an absolutely deliberate health hazard, and an absolute medical contraindication which means that 'this drug, this therapy, this method or measure should not be used, and is not allowed to be used'. To coerce an entire population to use an absolute medical contraindication by force, she said, there had to be definite and serious reasons and the reasons must be presented to competent interdisciplinary and independent bodies to be verified and authorised. She had this warning of the consequences that were coming if mask wearing continued:

> When, in ten years, dementia is going to increase exponentially, and the younger generations couldn't reach their god-given potential, it won't help to say 'we didn't need the masks'. I know how damaging oxygen deprivation is for the brain, cardiologists know how damaging it is for the heart, pulmonologists know how damaging it is for the lungs. Oxygen deprivation damages every single organ. Where are our health departments, our health insurance, our medical associations? It would have been their duty to be vehemently against the lockdown and to stop it and stop it from the very beginning.
>
> Why do the medical boards issue punishments to doctors who give people exemptions? Does the person or the doctor seriously have to prove that oxygen deprivation harms people? What kind of medicine are our doctors and medical associations representing? Who is responsible for this crime? The ones who want to enforce it? The ones who let it happen and play along, or the ones who don't prevent it?

All of the organisations and people she mentions there either answer directly to the Cult or do whatever hierarchical levels above them tell them to do. The outcome of both is the same. 'It's not about masks, it's not about viruses, it's certainly not about your health', Griesz-Brisson said. 'It is about much, much more. I am not participating. I am not afraid.' They were taking our air to breathe and there was no unfounded medical exemption from face masks. Oxygen deprivation was dangerous for every single brain. It had to be the free decision of every human being whether they want to

wear a mask that was absolutely ineffective to protect themselves from a virus. She ended by rightly identifying where the responsibility lies for all this:

> The imperative of the hour is personal responsibility. We are responsible for what we think, not the media. We are responsible for what we do, not our superiors. We are responsible for our health, not the World Health Organization. And we are responsible for what happens in our country, not the government.

Halle-bloody-lujah.

But surgeons wear masks, right?

Independent studies of mask-wearing have produced a long list of reports detailing mental, emotional and physical dangers. What a definition of insanity to see police officers imposing mask-wearing on the public which will cumulatively damage their health while the police themselves wear masks that will cumulatively damage *their* health. It's utter madness and both public and police do this because 'the government says so' – yes a government of brain-donor idiots like UK Health Secretary Matt Hancock reading the 'follow the science' scripts of psychopathic, lunatic psychologists. The response you get from Stockholm syndrome sufferers defending the very authorities that are destroying them and their families is that 'surgeons wear masks'. This is considered the game, set and match that they must work and don't cause oxygen deficit. Well, actually, scientific studies have shown that they *do* and oxygen levels are monitored in operating theatres to compensate. Surgeons wear masks to stop spittle and such like dropping into open wounds – not to stop 'viral particles' which are so miniscule they can only be seen through an electron microscope. Holes in the masks are significantly bigger than 'viral particles' and if you sneeze or cough they will breach the mask. I watched an incredibly disingenuous 'experiment' that claimed to prove that masks work in catching 'virus' material from the mouth and nose. They did this with a slow motion camera and the mask did block big stuff which stayed inside the mask and

against the face to be breathed in or cause infections on the face as we have seen with many children. 'Viral particles', however, would never have been picked up by the camera as they came through the mask when they are far too small to be seen. The 'experiment' was therefore disingenuous *and* useless.

Studies have concluded that wearing masks in operating theatres (and thus elsewhere) make no difference to preventing infection while the opposite is true with toxic shite building up in the mask and this had led to an explosion in tooth decay and gum disease dubbed by dentists 'mask mouth'. You might have seen the Internet video of a furious American doctor urging people to take off their masks after a four-year-old patient had been rushed to hospital the night before and nearly died with a lung infection that doctors sourced to mask wearing. A study in the journal *Cancer Discovery* found that inhalation of harmful microbes can contribute to advanced stage lung cancer in adults and long-term use of masks can help breed dangerous pathogens. Microbiologists have said frequent mask wearing creates a moist environment in which microbes can grow and proliferate before entering the lungs. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, or CADTH, a Canadian national organisation that provides research and analysis to healthcare decision-makers, said this as long ago as 2013 in a report entitled 'Use of Surgical Masks in the Operating Room: A Review of the Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines'. It said:

- No evidence was found to support the use of surgical face masks to reduce the frequency of surgical site infections
- No evidence was found on the effectiveness of wearing surgical face masks to protect staff from infectious material in the operating room.
- Guidelines recommend the use of surgical face masks by staff in the operating room to protect both operating room staff and patients (despite the lack of evidence).

We were told that the world could go back to 'normal' with the arrival of the 'vaccines'. When they came, fraudulent as they are, the story changed as I knew that it would. We are in the midst of transforming 'normal', not going back to it. Mary Ramsay, head of immunisation at Public Health England, echoed the words of US criminal Anthony Fauci who said masks and other regulations must stay no matter if people are vaccinated. The Fauci idiot continued to wear two masks – different colours so both could be clearly seen – after he *claimed* to have been vaccinated. Senator Rand Paul told Fauci in one exchange that his double-masks were 'theatre' and he was right. It's all theatre. Mary Ramsay back-tracked on the vaccine-return-to-normal theme when she said the public may need to wear masks and social-distance for years despite the jabs. 'People have got used to those lower-level restrictions now, and [they] can live with them', she said telling us what the idea has been all along. 'The vaccine does not give you a pass, even if you have had it, you must continue to follow all the guidelines' said a Public Health England statement which reneged on what we had been told before and made having the 'vaccine' irrelevant to 'normality' even by the official story. Spain's fascist government trumped everyone by passing a law mandating the wearing of masks on the beach and even when swimming in the sea. The move would have devastated what's left of the Spanish tourist industry, posed potential breathing dangers to swimmers and had Northern European sunbathers walking around with their forehead brown and the rest of their face white as a sheet. The ruling was so crazy that it had to be retracted after pressure from public and tourist industry, but it confirmed where the Cult wants to go with masks and how clinically insane authority has become. The determination to make masks permanent and hide the serious dangers to body and mind can be seen in the censorship of scientist Professor Denis Rancourt by Bill Gates-funded academic publishing website ResearchGate over his papers exposing the dangers and uselessness of masks. Rancourt said:

ResearchGate today has permanently locked my account, which I have had since 2015. Their reasons graphically show the nature of their attack against democracy, and their corruption of

science … By their obscene non-logic, a scientific review of science articles reporting on harms caused by face masks has a 'potential to cause harm'. No criticism of the psychological device (face masks) is tolerated, if the said criticism shows potential to influence public policy.

This is what happens in a fascist world.

Where are the 'greens' (again)?

Other dangers of wearing masks especially regularly relate to the inhalation of minute plastic fibres into the lungs and the deluge of discarded masks in the environment and oceans. Estimates predicted that more than 1.5 billion disposable masks will end up in the world's oceans every year polluting the water with tons of plastic and endangering marine wildlife. Studies project that humans are using 129 billion face masks each month worldwide – about three million a minute. Most are disposable and made from plastic, non-biodegradable microfibers that break down into smaller plastic particles that become widespread in ecosystems. They are littering cities, clogging sewage channels and turning up in bodies of water. I have written in other books about the immense amounts of microplastics from endless sources now being absorbed into the body. Rolf Halden, director of the Arizona State University (ASU) Biodesign Center for Environmental Health Engineering, was the senior researcher in a 2020 study that analysed 47 human tissue samples and found microplastics in all of them. 'We have detected these chemicals of plastics in every single organ that we have investigated', he said. I wrote in *The Answer* about the world being deluged with microplastics. A study by the Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF) found that people are consuming on average every week some 2,000 tiny pieces of plastic mostly through water and also through marine life and the air. Every year humans are ingesting enough microplastics to fill a heaped dinner plate and in a life-time of 79 years it is enough to fill two large waste bins. Marco Lambertini, WWF International director general said: 'Not only are plastics polluting our oceans and waterways and killing marine life – it's in all of us and we can't escape consuming plastics,' American

geologists found tiny plastic fibres, beads and shards in rainwater samples collected from the remote slopes of the Rocky Mountain National Park near Denver, Colorado. Their report was headed: 'It is raining plastic.' Rachel Adams, senior lecturer in Biomedical Science at Cardiff Metropolitan University, said that among health consequences are internal inflammation and immune responses to a 'foreign body'. She further pointed out that microplastics become carriers of toxins including mercury, pesticides and dioxins (a known cause of cancer and reproductive and developmental problems). These toxins accumulate in the fatty tissues once they enter the body through microplastics. Now this is being compounded massively by people putting plastic on their face and throwing it away.

Workers exposed to polypropylene plastic fibres known as 'flock' have developed 'flock worker's lung' from inhaling small pieces of the flock fibres which can damage lung tissue, reduce breathing capacity and exacerbate other respiratory problems. *Now* … commonly used surgical masks have three layers of melt-blown textiles made of … polypropylene. We have billions of people putting these microplastics against their mouth, nose and face for hours at a time day after day in the form of masks. How does anyone think that will work out? I mean – what could possibly go wrong? We posted a number of scientific studies on this at davidicke.com, but when I went back to them as I was writing this book the links to the science research website where they were hosted were dead. Anything that challenges the official narrative in any way is either censored or vilified. The official narrative is so unsupportable by the evidence that only deleting the truth can protect it. A study by Chinese scientists still survived – with the usual twist which it why it was still active, I guess. Yes, they found that virtually all the masks they tested increased the daily intake of microplastic fibres, but people should still wear them because the danger from the 'virus' was worse said the crazy 'team' from the Institute of Hydrobiology in Wuhan. Scientists first discovered microplastics in lung tissue of some patients who died of lung cancer

in the 1990s. Subsequent studies have confirmed the potential health damage with the plastic degrading slowly and remaining in the lungs to accumulate in volume. Wuhan researchers used a machine simulating human breathing to establish that masks shed up to nearly 4,000 microplastic fibres in a month with reused masks producing more. Scientists said some masks are laced with toxic chemicals and a variety of compounds seriously restricted for both health and environmental reasons. They include cobalt (used in blue dye) and formaldehyde known to cause watery eyes, burning sensations in the eyes, nose, and throat, plus coughing, wheezing and nausea. No – that must be 'Covid-19'.

Mask 'worms'

There is another and potentially even more sinister content of masks. Mostly new masks of different makes filmed under a microscope around the world have been found to contain strange black fibres or 'worms' that appear to move or 'crawl' by themselves and react to heat and water. The nearest I have seen to them are the self-replicating fibres that are pulled out through the skin of those suffering from Morgellons disease which has been connected to the phenomena of 'chemtrails' which I will bring into the story later on. Morgellons fibres continue to grow outside the body and have a form of artificial intelligence. Black 'worm' fibres in masks have that kind of feel to them and there is a nanotechnology technique called 'worm micelles' which carry and release drugs or anything else you want to deliver to the body. For sure the suppression of humanity by mind altering drugs is the Cult agenda big time and the more excuses they can find to gain access to the body the more opportunities there are to make that happen whether through 'vaccines' or masks pushed against the mouth and nose for hours on end.

So let us summarise the pros and cons of masks:

Against masks: Breathing in your own carbon dioxide; depriving the body and brain of sufficient oxygen; build-up of toxins in the mask that can be breathed into the lungs and cause rashes on the face and 'mask-mouth'; breathing microplastic fibres and toxic chemicals into the lungs; dehumanisation and deleting individualisation by literally making people faceless; destroying human emotional interaction through facial expression and deleting parental connection with their babies which look for guidance to their facial expression.

For masks: They don't protect you from a 'virus' that doesn't exist and even if it did 'viral' particles are so minute they are smaller than the holes in the mask.

Governments, police, supermarkets, businesses, transport companies, and all the rest who seek to impose masks have done no risk assessment on their consequences for health and psychology and are now open to group lawsuits when the impact becomes clear with a cumulative epidemic of respiratory and other disease. Authorities will try to exploit these effects and hide the real cause by dubbing them 'Covid-19'. Can you imagine setting out to force the population to wear health-destroying masks without doing any assessment of the risks? It is criminal and it is evil, but then how many people targeted in this way, who see their children told to wear them all day at school, have asked for a risk assessment? Billions can't be imposed upon by the few unless the billions allow it. Oh, yes, with just a tinge of irony, 85 percent of all masks made worldwide come from *China*.

Wash your hands in toxic shite

'Covid' rules include the use of toxic sanitisers and again the health consequences of constantly applying toxins to be absorbed through the skin is obvious to any level of Renegade Mind. America's Food and Drug Administration (FDA) said that sanitisers are drugs and issued a warning about 75 dangerous brands which contain

methanol used in antifreeze and can cause death, kidney damage and blindness. The FDA circulated the following warning even for those brands that it claims to be safe:

> Store hand sanitizer out of the reach of pets and children, and children should use it only with adult supervision. Do not drink hand sanitizer. This is particularly important for young children, especially toddlers, who may be attracted by the pleasant smell or brightly colored bottles of hand sanitizer.
>
> Drinking even a small amount of hand sanitizer can cause alcohol poisoning in children. (However, there is no need to be concerned if your children eat with or lick their hands after using hand sanitizer.) During this coronavirus pandemic, poison control centers have had an increase in calls about accidental ingestion of hand sanitizer, so it is important that adults monitor young children's use.
>
> Do not allow pets to swallow hand sanitizer. If you think your pet has eaten something potentially dangerous, call your veterinarian or a pet poison control center right away. Hand sanitizer is flammable and should be stored away from heat and flames. When using hand sanitizer, rub your hands until they feel completely dry before performing activities that may involve heat, sparks, static electricity, or open flames.

There you go, perfectly safe, then, and that's without even a mention of the toxins absorbed through the skin. Come on kids – sanitise your hands everywhere you go. It will save you from the 'virus'. Put all these elements together of the 'Covid' normal and see how much health and psychology is being cumulatively damaged, even devastated, to 'protect your health'. Makes sense, right? They are only imposing these things because they care, right? *Right?*

Submitting to insanity

Psychological reframing of the population goes very deep and is done in many less obvious ways. I hear people say how contradictory and crazy 'Covid' rules are and how they are ever changing. This is explained away by dismissing those involved as idiots. It is a big mistake. The Cult is delighted if its cold calculation is perceived as incompetence and idiocy when it is anything but. Oh, yes, there are idiots within the system – lots of them – but they are *administering* the Cult agenda, mostly unknowingly. They are not deciding and dictating it. The bulwark against tyranny is self-

respect, always has been, always will be. It is self-respect that has broken every tyranny in history. By its very nature self-respect will not bow to oppression and its perpetrators. There is so little self-respect that it's always the few that overturn dictators. Many may eventually follow, but the few with the iron spines (self-respect) kick it off and generate the momentum. The Cult targets self-respect in the knowledge that once this has gone only submission remains. Crazy, contradictory, ever-changing 'Covid' rules are systematically applied by psychologists to delete self-respect. They *want* you to see that the rules make no sense. It is one thing to decide to do something when *you* have made the choice based on evidence and logic. You still retain your self-respect. It is quite another when you can see what you are being told to do is insane, ridiculous and makes no sense, and *yet you still do it*. Your self-respect is extinguished and this has been happening as ever more obviously stupid and nonsensical things have been demanded and the great majority have complied even when they can see they are stupid and nonsensical.

People walk around in face-nappies knowing they are damaging their health and make no difference to a 'virus'. They do it in fear of not doing it. I know it's daft, but I'll do it anyway. When that happens something dies inside of you and submissive reframing has begun. Next there's a need to hide from yourself that you have conceded your self-respect and you convince yourself that you have not really submitted to fear and intimidation. You begin to believe that you are complying with craziness because it's the right thing to do. When first you concede your self-respect of 2+2 = 4 to 2+2 = 5 you *know* you are compromising your self-respect. Gradually to avoid facing that fact you begin to *believe* that 2+2=5. You have been reframed and I have been watching this process happening in the human psyche on an industrial scale. The Cult is working to break your spirit and one of its major tools in that war is humiliation. I read how former American soldier Bradley Manning (later Chelsea Manning after a sex-change) was treated after being jailed for supplying WikiLeaks with documents exposing the enormity of

government and elite mendacity. Manning was isolated in solitary confinement for eight months, put under 24-hour surveillance, forced to hand over clothing before going to bed, and stand naked for every roll call. This is systematic humiliation. The introduction of anal swab 'Covid' tests in China has been done for the same reason to delete self-respect and induce compliant submission. Anal swabs are mandatory for incoming passengers in parts of China and American diplomats have said they were forced to undergo the indignity which would have been calculated humiliation by the Cult-owned Chinese government that has America in its sights.

Government-people: An abusive relationship

Spirit-breaking psychological techniques include giving people hope and apparent respite from tyranny only to take it away again. This happened in the UK during Christmas, 2020, when the psycho-psychologists and their political lackeys announced an easing of restrictions over the holiday only to reimpose them almost immediately on the basis of yet another lie. There is a big psychological difference between getting used to oppression and being given hope of relief only to have that dashed. Psychologists know this and we have seen the technique used repeatedly. Then there is traumatising people before you introduce more extreme regulations that require compliance. A perfect case was the announcement by the dark and sinister Whitty and Vallance in the UK that 'new data' predicted that 4,000 could die every day over the winter of 2020/2021 if we did not lockdown again. I think they call it lying and after traumatising people with that claim out came Jackboot Johnson the next day with new curbs on human freedom. Psychologists know that a frightened and traumatised mind becomes suggestable to submission and behaviour reframing. Underpinning all this has been to make people fearful and suspicious of each other and see themselves as a potential danger to others. In league with deleted self-respect you have the perfect psychological recipe for self-loathing. The relationship between authority and public is now demonstrably the same as that of

subservience to an abusive partner. These are signs of an abusive relationship explained by psychologist Leslie Becker-Phelps:

Psychological and emotional abuse: Undermining a partner's self-worth with verbal attacks, name-calling, and belittling. Humiliating the partner in public, unjustly accusing them of having an affair, or interrogating them about their every behavior. Keeping partner confused or off balance by saying they were just kidding or blaming the partner for 'making' them act this way … Feigning in public that they care while turning against them in private. This leads to victims frequently feeling confused, incompetent, unworthy, hopeless, and chronically self-doubting. [Apply these techniques to how governments have treated the population since New Year, 2020, and the parallels are obvious.]

Physical abuse: The abuser might physically harm their partner in a range of ways, such as grabbing, hitting, punching, or shoving them. They might throw objects at them or harm them with a weapon. [Observe the physical harm imposed by masks, lockdown, and so on.]

Threats and intimidation: One way abusers keep their partners in line is by instilling fear. They might be verbally threatening, or give threatening looks or gestures. Abusers often make it known that they are tracking their partner's every move. They might destroy their partner's possessions, threaten to harm them, or threaten to harm their family members. Not surprisingly, victims of this abuse often feel anxiety, fear, and panic. [No words necessary.]

Isolation: Abusers often limit their partner's activities, forbidding them to talk or interact with friends or family. They might limit access to a car or even turn off their phone. All of this might be done by physically holding them against their will, but is often accomplished through psychological abuse and intimidation. The more isolated a person feels, the fewer resources they have to help gain perspective on their situation and to escape from it. [No words necessary.]

Economic abuse: Abusers often make their partners beholden to them for money by controlling access to funds of any kind. They might prevent their partner from getting a job or withhold access to money they earn from a job. This creates financial dependency that makes leaving the relationship very difficult. [See destruction of livelihoods and the proposed meagre 'guaranteed income' so long as you do whatever you are told.]

Using children: An abuser might disparage their partner's parenting skills, tell their children lies about their partner, threaten to take custody of their children, or threaten to harm their children. These tactics instil fear and often elicit compliance. [See reframed social service mafia and how children are being mercilessly abused by the state over 'Covid' while their parents look on too frightened to do anything.]

A further recurring trait in an abusive relationship is the abused blaming themselves for their abuse and making excuses for the abuser. We have the public blaming each other for lockdown abuse by government and many making excuses for the government while attacking those who challenge the government. How often we have heard authorities say that rules are being imposed or reimposed only because people have refused to 'behave' and follow the rules. We don't want to do it – it's *you*.

Renegade Minds are an antidote to all of these things. They will never concede their self-respect no matter what the circumstances. Even when apparent humiliation is heaped upon them they laugh in its face and reflect back the humiliation on the abuser where it belongs. Renegade Minds will never wear masks they know are only imposed to humiliate, suppress and damage both physically and psychologically. Consequences will take care of themselves and they will never break their spirit or cause them to concede to tyranny. UK newspaper columnist Peter Hitchens was one of the few in the mainstream media to speak out against lockdowns and forced vaccinations. He then announced he had taken the jab. He wanted to see family members abroad and he believed vaccine passports were inevitable even though they had not yet been introduced. Hitchens

has a questioning and critical mind, but not a Renegade one. If he had no amount of pressure would have made him concede. Hitchens excused his action by saying that the battle has been lost. Renegade Minds never accept defeat when freedom is at stake and even if they are the last one standing the self-respect of not submitting to tyranny is more important than any outcome or any consequence.

That's why Renegade Minds are the only minds that ever changed anything worth changing.

CHAPTER EIGHT

'Reframing' insanity

Insanity is relative. It depends on who has who locked in what cage
Ray Bradbury

'Reframing' a mind means simply to change its perception and behaviour. This can be done subconsciously to such an extent that subjects have no idea they have been 'reframed' while to any observer changes in behaviour and attitudes are obvious.

Human society is being reframed on a ginormous scale since the start of 2020 and here we have the reason why psychologists rather than doctors have been calling the shots. Ask most people who have succumbed to 'Covid' reframing if they have changed and most will say 'no'; but they *have* and fundamentally. The Cult's long-game has been preparing for these times since way back and crucial to that has been to prepare both population and officialdom mentally and emotionally. To use the mind-control parlance they had to reframe the population with a mentality that would submit to fascism and reframe those in government and law enforcement to impose fascism or at least go along with it. The result has been the fact-deleted mindlessness of 'Wokeness' and officialdom that has either enthusiastically or unquestioningly imposed global tyranny demanded by reframed politicians on behalf of psychopathic and deeply evil cultists. 'Cognitive reframing' identifies and challenges the way someone sees the world in the form of situations, experiences and emotions and then restructures those perceptions to view the same set of circumstances in a different way. This can have

benefits if the attitudes are personally destructive while on the other side it has the potential for individual and collective mind control which the subject has no idea has even happened.

Cognitive therapy was developed in the 1960s by Aaron T. Beck who was born in Rhode Island in 1921 as the son of Jewish immigrants from the Ukraine. He became interested in the techniques as a treatment for depression. Beck's daughter Judith S. Beck is prominent in the same field and they founded the Beck Institute for Cognitive Behavior Therapy in Philadelphia in 1994. Cognitive reframing, however, began to be used worldwide by those with a very dark agenda. The Cult reframes politicians to change their attitudes and actions until they are completely at odds with what they once appeared to stand for. The same has been happening to government administrators at all levels, law enforcement, military and the human population. Cultists love mind control for two main reasons: It allows them to control what people think, do and say to secure agenda advancement and, by definition, it calms their legendary insecurity and fear of the unexpected. I have studied mind control since the time I travelled America in 1996. I may have been talking to next to no one in terms of an audience in those years, but my goodness did I gather a phenomenal amount of information and knowledge about so many things including the techniques of mind control. I have described this in detail in other books going back to *The Biggest Secret* in 1998. I met a very large number of people recovering from MKUltra and its offshoots and successors and I began to see how these same techniques were being used on the population in general. This was never more obvious than since the 'Covid' hoax began.

Reframing the enforcers

I have observed over the last two decades and more the very clear transformation in the dynamic between the police, officialdom and the public. I tracked this in the books as the relationship mutated from one of serving the public to seeing them as almost the enemy and certainly a lower caste. There has always been a class divide

based on income and always been some psychopathic, corrupt, and big-I-am police officers. This was different. Wholesale change was unfolding in the collective dynamic; it was less about money and far more about position and perceived power. An us-and-them was emerging. Noses were lifted skyward by government administration and law enforcement and their attitude to the public they were *supposed* to be serving changed to one of increasing contempt, superiority and control. The transformation was so clear and widespread that it had to be planned. Collective attitudes and dynamics do not change naturally and organically that quickly on that scale. I then came across an organisation in Britain called Common Purpose created in the late 1980s by Julia Middleton who would work in the office of Deputy Prime Minister John Prescott during the long and disastrous premiership of war criminal Tony Blair. When Blair speaks the Cult is speaking and the man should have been in jail a long time ago. Common Purpose proclaims itself to be one of the biggest 'leadership development' organisations in the world while functioning as a *charity* with all the financial benefits which come from that. It hosts 'leadership development' courses and programmes all over the world and claims to have 'brought together' what it calls 'leaders' from more than 100 countries on six continents. The modus operandi of Common Purpose can be compared with the work of the UK government's reframing network that includes the Behavioural Insights Team 'nudge unit' and 'Covid' reframing specialists at SPI-B. WikiLeaks described Common Purpose long ago as 'a hidden virus in our government and schools' which is unknown to the general public: 'It recruits and trains "leaders" to be loyal to the directives of Common Purpose and the EU, instead of to their own departments, which they then undermine or subvert, the NHS [National Health Service] being an example.' This is a vital point to understand the 'Covid' hoax. The NHS, and its equivalent around the world, has been utterly reframed in terms of administrators and much of the medical personnel with the transformation underpinned by recruitment policies. The outcome has been the criminal and psychopathic behaviour of the

NHS over 'Covid' and we have seen the same in every other major country. WikiLeaks said Common Purpose trainees are 'learning to rule without regard to democracy' and to usher in a police state (current events explained). Common Purpose operated like a 'glue' and had members in the NHS, BBC, police, legal profession, church, many of Britain's 7,000 quangos, local councils, the Civil Service, government ministries and Parliament, and controlled many RDA's (Regional Development Agencies). Here we have one answer for how and why British institutions and their like in other countries have changed so negatively in relation to the public. This further explains how and why the beyond-disgraceful reframed BBC has become a propaganda arm of 'Covid' fascism. They are all part of a network pursuing the same goal.

By 2019 Common Purpose was quoting a figure of 85,000 'leaders' that had attended its programmes. These 'students' of all ages are known as Common Purpose 'graduates' and they consist of government, state and local government officials and administrators, police chiefs and officers, and a whole range of others operating within the national, local and global establishment. Cressida Dick, Commissioner of the London Metropolitan Police, is the Common Purpose graduate who was the 'Gold Commander' that oversaw what can only be described as the murder of Brazilian electrician Jean Charles de Menezes in 2005. He was held down by psychopathic police and shot seven times in the head by a psychopathic lunatic after being mistaken for a terrorist when he was just a bloke going about his day. Dick authorised officers to pursue and keep surveillance on de Menezes and ordered that he be stopped from entering the underground train system. Police psychopaths took her at her word clearly. She was 'disciplined' for this outrage by being *promoted* – eventually to the top of the 'Met' police where she has been a disaster. Many Chief Constables controlling the police in different parts of the UK are and have been Common Purpose graduates. I have heard the 'graduate' network described as a sort of Mafia or secret society operating within the fabric of government at all levels pursuing a collective policy

ingrained at Common Purpose training events. Founder Julia Middleton herself has said:

> Locally and internationally, Common Purpose graduates will be 'lighting small fires' to create change in their organisations and communities … The Common Purpose effect is best illustrated by the many stories of small changes brought about by leaders, who themselves have changed.

A Common Purpose mission statement declared:

> Common Purpose aims to improve the way society works by expanding the vision, decision-making ability and influence of all kinds of leaders. The organisation runs a variety of educational programmes for leaders of all ages, backgrounds and sectors, in order to provide them with the inspirational, information and opportunities they need to change the world.

Yes, but into what? Since 2020 the answer has become clear.

NLP and the Delphi technique

Common Purpose would seem to be a perfect name or would common programming be better? One of the foundation methods of reaching 'consensus' (group think) is by setting the agenda theme and then encouraging, cajoling or pressuring everyone to agree a 'consensus' in line with the core theme promoted by Common Purpose. The methodology involves the 'Delphi technique', or an adaption of it, in which opinions are expressed that are summarised by a 'facilitator or change agent' at each stage. Participants are 'encouraged' to modify their views in the light of what others have said. Stage by stage the former individual opinions are merged into group consensus which just happens to be what Common Purpose wants them to believe. A key part of this is to marginalise anyone refusing to concede to group think and turn the group against them to apply pressure to conform. We are seeing this very technique used on the general population to make 'Covid' group-thinkers hostile to those who have seen through the bullshit. People can be reframed by using perception manipulation methods such as Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) in which you change perception with the use of

carefully constructed language. An NLP website described the technique this way:

> … A method of influencing brain behaviour (the 'neuro' part of the phrase) through the use of language (the 'linguistic' part) and other types of communication to enable a person to 'recode' the way the brain responds to stimuli (that's the 'programming') and manifest new and better behaviours. Neuro-Linguistic Programming often incorporates hypnosis and self-hypnosis to help achieve the change (or 'programming') that is wanted.

British alternative media operation UKColumn has done very detailed research into Common Purpose over a long period. I quoted co-founder and former naval officer Brian Gerrish in my book *Remember Who You Are*, published in 2011, as saying the following years before current times:

> It is interesting that many of the mothers who have had children taken by the State speak of the Social Services people being icily cool, emotionless and, as two ladies said in slightly different words, '… like little robots'. We know that NLP is cumulative, so people can be given small imperceptible doses of NLP in a course here, another in a few months, next year etc. In this way, major changes are accrued in their personality, but the day by day change is almost unnoticeable.

In these and other ways 'graduates' have had their perceptions uniformly reframed and they return to their roles in the institutions of government, law enforcement, legal profession, military, 'education', the UK National Health Service and the whole swathe of the establishment structure to pursue a common agenda preparing for the 'post-industrial', 'post-democratic' society. I say 'preparing' but we are now there. 'Post-industrial' is code for the Great Reset and 'post-democratic' is 'Covid' fascism. UKColumn has spoken to partners of those who have attended Common Purpose 'training'. They have described how personalities and attitudes of 'graduates' changed very noticeably for the worse by the time they had completed the course. They had been 'reframed' and told they are the 'leaders' – the special ones – who know better than the population. There has also been the very demonstrable recruitment of psychopaths and narcissists into government administration at all

levels and law enforcement. If you want psychopathy hire psychopaths and you get a simple cause and effect. If you want administrators, police officers and 'leaders' to perceive the public as lesser beings who don't matter then employ narcissists. These personalities are identified using 'psychometrics' that identifies knowledge, abilities, attitudes and personality traits, mostly through carefully-designed questionnaires and tests. As this policy has passed through the decades we have had power-crazy, power-trippers appointed into law enforcement, security and government administration in preparation for current times and the dynamic between public and law enforcement/officialdom has been transformed. UKColumn's Brian Gerrish said of the narcissistic personality:

> Their love of themselves and power automatically means that they will crush others who get in their way. I received a major piece of the puzzle when a friend pointed out that when they made public officials re-apply for their own jobs several years ago they were also required to do psychometric tests. This was undoubtedly the start of the screening process to get 'their' sort of people in post.

How obvious that has been since 2020 although it was clear what was happening long before if people paid attention to the changing public-establishment dynamic.

Change agents

At the centre of events in 'Covid' Britain is the National Health Service (NHS) which has behaved disgracefully in slavishly following the Cult agenda. The NHS management structure is awash with Common Purpose graduates or 'change agents' working to a common cause. Helen Bevan, a Chief of Service Transformation at the NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement, co-authored a document called 'Towards a million change agents, a review of the social movements literature: implications for large scale change in the NHS'. The document compared a project management approach to that of change and social movements where 'people change

themselves and each other – peer to peer'. Two definitions given for a 'social movement' were:

A group of people who consciously attempt to build a radically new social order; involves people of a broad range of social backgrounds; and deploys politically confrontational and socially disruptive tactics – Cyrus Zirakzadeh 1997

Collective challenges, based on common purposes and social solidarities, in sustained interaction with elites, opponents, and authorities – Sidney Tarrow 1994

Helen Bevan wrote another NHS document in which she defined 'framing' as 'the process by which leaders construct, articulate and put across their message in a powerful and compelling way in order to win people to their cause and call them to action'. I think I could come up with another definition that would be rather more accurate. The National Health Service and institutions of Britain and the wider world have been taken over by reframed 'change agents' and that includes everything from the United Nations to national governments, local councils and social services which have been kidnapping children from loving parents on an extraordinary and gathering scale on the road to the end of parenthood altogether. Children from loving homes are stolen and kidnapped by the state and put into the 'care' (inversion) of the local authority through council homes, foster parents and forced adoption. At the same time children are allowed to be abused without response while many are under council 'care'. UKColumn highlighted the Common Purpose connection between South Yorkshire Police and Rotherham council officers in the case of the scandal in that area of the sexual exploitation of children to which the authorities turned not one blind eye, but both:

We were alarmed to discover that the Chief Executive, the Strategic Director of Children and Young People's Services, the Manager for the Local Strategic Partnership, the Community Cohesion Manager, the Cabinet Member for Cohesion, the Chief Constable and his predecessor had all attended Leadership training courses provided by the pseudo-charity Common Purpose.

Once 'change agents' have secured positions of hire and fire within any organisation things start to move very quickly. Personnel are then hired and fired on the basis of whether they will work towards the agenda the change agent represents. If they do they are rapidly promoted even though they may be incompetent. Those more qualified and skilled who are pre-Common Purpose 'old school' see their careers stall and even disappear. This has been happening for decades in every institution of state, police, 'health' and social services and all of them have been transformed as a result in their attitudes to their jobs and the public. Medical professions, including nursing, which were once vocations for the caring now employ many cold, callous and couldn't give a shit personality types. The UKColumn investigation concluded:

By blurring the boundaries between people, professions, public and private sectors, responsibility and accountability, Common Purpose encourages 'graduates' to believe that as new selected leaders, they can work together, outside of the established political and social structures, to achieve a paradigm shift or CHANGE – so called 'Leading Beyond Authority'. In doing so, the allegiance of the individual becomes 'reframed' on CP colleagues and their NETWORK.

Reframing the Face-Nappies

Nowhere has this process been more obvious than in the police where recruitment of psychopaths and development of unquestioning mind-controlled group-thinkers have transformed law enforcement into a politically-correct 'Woke' joke and a travesty of what should be public service. Today they wear their face-nappies like good little gofers and enforce 'Covid' rules which are fascism under another name. Alongside the specifically-recruited psychopaths we have software minds incapable of free thought. Brian Gerrish again:

An example is the policeman who would not get on a bike for a press photo because he had not done the cycling proficiency course. Normal people say this is political correctness gone mad. Nothing could be further from the truth. The policeman has been reframed, and in his reality it is perfect common sense not to get on the bike 'because he hasn't done the cycling course'.

Another example of this is where the police would not rescue a boy from a pond until they had taken advice from above on the 'risk assessment'. A normal person would have arrived, perhaps thought of the risk for a moment, and dived in. To the police now 'reframed', they followed 'normal' procedure.

There are shocking cases of reframed ambulance crews doing the same. Sheer unthinking stupidity of London Face-Nappies headed by Common Purpose graduate Cressida Dick can be seen in their behaviour at a vigil in March, 2021, for a murdered woman, Sarah Everard. A police officer had been charged with the crime. Anyone with a brain would have left the vigil alone in the circumstances. Instead they 'manhandled' women to stop them breaking 'Covid rules' to betray classic reframing. Minds in the thrall of perception control have no capacity for seeing a situation on its merits and acting accordingly. 'Rules is rules' is their only mind-set. My father used to say that rules and regulations are for the guidance of the intelligent and the blind obedience of the idiot. Most of the intelligent, decent, coppers have gone leaving only the other kind and a few old school for whom the job must be a daily nightmare. The combination of psychopaths and rule-book software minds has been clearly on public display in the 'Covid' era with automaton robots in uniform imposing fascistic 'Covid' regulations on the population without any personal initiative or judging situations on their merits. There are thousands of examples around the world, but I'll make my point with the infamous Derbyshire police in the English East Midlands – the ones who think pouring dye into beauty spots and using drones to track people walking in the countryside away from anyone is called 'policing'. To them there are rules decreed by the government which they have to enforce and in their bewildered state a group gathering in a closed space and someone walking alone in the countryside are the same thing. It is beyond idiocy and enters the realm of clinical insanity.

Police officers in Derbyshire said they were 'horrified' – *horrified* – to find 15 to 20 'irresponsible' kids playing a football match at a closed leisure centre 'in breach of coronavirus restrictions'. When they saw the police the kids ran away leaving their belongings behind and the reframed men and women of Derbyshire police were seeking to establish their identities with a view to fining their parents. The most natural thing for youngsters to do – kicking a ball about – is turned into a criminal activity and enforced by the moronic software programs of Derbyshire police. You find the same mentality in every country. These barely conscious 'horrified' officers said they had to take action because 'we need to ensure these rules are being followed' and 'it is of the utmost importance that you ensure your children are following the rules and regulations for Covid-19'. Had any of them done ten seconds of research to see if this parroting of their masters' script could be supported by any evidence? Nope. Reframed people don't think – others think for them and that's the whole idea of reframing. I have seen police officers one after the other repeating without question word for word what officialdom tells them just as I have seen great swathes of the public doing the same. Ask either for 'their' opinion and out spews what they have been told to think by the official narrative. Police and public may seem to be in different groups, but their mentality is the same. Most people do whatever they are told in fear not doing so or because they believe what officialdom tells them; almost the entirety of the police do what they are told for the same reason. Ultimately it's the tiny inner core of the global Cult that's telling both what to do.

So Derbyshire police were 'horrified'. Oh, really? Why did they think those kids were playing football? It was to relieve the psychological consequences of lockdown and being denied human contact with their friends and interaction, touch and discourse vital to human psychological health. Being denied this month after month has dismantled the psyche of many children and young people as depression and suicide have exploded. Were Derbyshire police *horrified by that*? Are you kidding? Reframed people don't have those

mental and emotional processes that can see how the impact on the psychological health of youngsters is far more dangerous than any 'virus' even if you take the mendacious official figures to be true. The reframed are told (programmed) how to act and so they do. The Derbyshire Chief Constable in the first period of lockdown when the black dye and drones nonsense was going on was Peter Goodman. He was the man who severed the connection between his force and the Derbyshire Constabulary *Male Voice* Choir when he decided that it was not inclusive enough to allow women to join. The fact it was a male voice choir making a particular sound produced by male voices seemed to elude a guy who terrifyingly ran policing in Derbyshire. He retired weeks after his force was condemned as disgraceful by former Supreme Court Justice Jonathan Sumption for their behaviour over extreme lockdown impositions. Goodman was replaced by his deputy Rachel Swann who was in charge when her officers were 'horrified'. The police statement over the boys committing the hanging-offence of playing football included the line about the youngsters being 'irresponsible in the times we are all living through' missing the point that the real relevance of the 'times we are all living through' is the imposition of fascism enforced by psychopaths and reframed minds of police officers playing such a vital part in establishing the fascist tyranny that their own children and grandchildren will have to live in their entire lives. As a definition of insanity that is hard to beat although it might be run close by imposing masks on people that can have a serious effect on their health while wearing a face nappy all day themselves. Once again public and police do it for the same reason – the authorities tell them to and who are they to have the self-respect to say no?

Wokers in uniform

How reframed do you have to be to arrest a *six-year-old* and take him to court for *picking a flower* while waiting for a bus? Brain dead police and officialdom did just that in North Carolina where criminal proceedings happen regularly for children under nine. Attorney Julie Boyer gave the six-year-old crayons and a colouring book

during the 'flower' hearing while the 'adults' decided his fate. County Chief District Court Judge Jay Corpening asked: 'Should a child that believes in Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny and the tooth fairy be making life-altering decisions?' Well, of course not, but common sense has no meaning when you have a common purpose and a reframed mind. Treating children in this way, and police operating in American schools, is all part of the psychological preparation for children to accept a police state as normal all their adult lives. The same goes for all the cameras and biometric tracking technology in schools. Police training is focused on reframing them as snowflake Wokers and this is happening in the military. Pentagon top brass said that 'training sessions on extremism' were needed for troops who asked why they were so focused on the Capitol Building riot when Black Lives Matter riots were ignored. What's the difference between them some apparently and rightly asked. Actually, there is a difference. Five people died in the Capitol riot, only one through violence, and that was a police officer shooting an unarmed protestor. BLM riots killed at least 25 people and cost billions. Asking the question prompted the psychopaths and reframed minds that run the Pentagon to say that more 'education' (programming) was needed. Troop training is all based on psychological programming to make them fodder for the Cult – 'Military men are just dumb, stupid animals to be used as pawns in foreign policy' as Cult-to-his-DNA former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger famously said. Governments see the police in similar terms and it's time for those among them who can see this to defend the people and stop being enforcers of the Cult agenda upon the people.

The US military, like the country itself, is being targeted for destruction through a long list of Woke impositions. Cult-owned gaga 'President' Biden signed an executive order when he took office to allow taxpayer money to pay for transgender surgery for active military personnel and veterans. Are you a man soldier? No, I'm a LGBTQIA+ with a hint of Skoliosexual and Spectrasexual. Oh, good man. Bad choice of words you bigot. The Pentagon announced in March, 2021, the appointment of the first 'diversity and inclusion

officer' for US Special Forces. Richard Torres-Estrada arrived with the publication of a 'D&I Strategic Plan which will guide the enterprise-wide effort to institutionalize and sustain D&I'. If you think a Special Forces 'Strategic Plan' should have something to do with defending America you haven't been paying attention. Defending Woke is now the military's new role. Torres-Estrada has posted images comparing Donald Trump with Adolf Hitler and we can expect no bias from him as a representative of the supposedly non-political Pentagon. Cable news host Tucker Carlson said: 'The Pentagon is now the Yale faculty lounge but with cruise missiles.' Meanwhile Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin, a board member of weapons-maker Raytheon with stock and compensation interests in October, 2020, worth $1.4 million, said he was purging the military of the 'enemy within' – anyone who isn't Woke and supports Donald Trump. Austin refers to his targets as 'racist extremists' while in true Woke fashion being himself a racist extremist. Pentagon documents pledge to 'eradicate, eliminate and conquer all forms of racism, sexism and homophobia'. The definitions of these are decided by 'diversity and inclusion committees' peopled by those who see racism, sexism and homophobia in every situation and opinion. Woke (the Cult) is dismantling the US military and purging testosterone as China expands its military and gives its troops 'masculinity training'. How do we think that is going to end when this is all Cult coordinated? The US military, like the British military, is controlled by Woke and spineless top brass who just go along with it out of personal career interests.

'Woke' means fast asleep

Mind control and perception manipulation techniques used on individuals to create group-think have been unleashed on the global population in general. As a result many have no capacity to see the obvious fascist agenda being installed all around them or what 'Covid' is really all about. Their brains are firewalled like a computer system not to process certain concepts, thoughts and realisations that are bad for the Cult. The young are most targeted as the adults they

will be when the whole fascist global state is planned to be fully implemented. They need to be prepared for total compliance to eliminate all pushback from entire generations. The Cult has been pouring billions into taking complete control of 'education' from schools to universities via its operatives and corporations and not least Bill Gates as always. The plan has been to transform 'education' institutions into programming centres for the mentality of 'Woke'. James McConnell, professor of psychology at the University of Michigan, wrote in *Psychology Today* in 1970:

> The day has come when we can combine sensory deprivation with drugs, hypnosis, and astute manipulation of reward and punishment, to gain almost absolute control over an individual's behaviour. It should then be possible to achieve a very rapid and highly effective type of brainwashing that would allow us to make dramatic changes in a person's behaviour and personality ...
>
> … We should reshape society so that we all would be trained from birth to want to do what society wants us to do. We have the techniques to do it... no-one owns his own personality you acquired, and there's no reason to believe you should have the right to refuse to acquire a new personality if your old one is anti-social.

This was the potential for mass brainwashing in 1970 and the mentality there displayed captures the arrogant psychopathy that drives it forward. I emphasise that not all young people have succumbed to Woke programming and those that haven't are incredibly impressive people given that today's young are the most perceptually-targeted generations in history with all the technology now involved. Vast swathes of the young generations, however, have fallen into the spell – and that's what it is – of Woke. The Woke mentality and perceptual program is founded on *inversion* and you will appreciate later why that is so significant. Everything with Woke is inverted and the opposite of what it is claimed to be. Woke was a term used in African-American culture from the 1900s and referred to an awareness of social and racial justice. This is not the meaning of the modern version or 'New Woke' as I call it in *The Answer*. Oh, no, Woke today means something very different no matter how much Wokers may seek to hide that and insist Old Woke and New

Woke are the same. See if you find any 'awareness of social justice' here in the modern variety:

- Woke demands 'inclusivity' while excluding anyone with a different opinion and calls for mass censorship to silence other views.

- Woke claims to stand against oppression when imposing oppression is the foundation of all that it does. It is the driver of political correctness which is nothing more than a Cult invention to manipulate the population to silence itself.

- Woke believes itself to be 'liberal' while pursuing a global society that can only be described as fascist (see 'anti-fascist' fascist Antifa).

- Woke calls for 'social justice' while spreading injustice wherever it goes against the common 'enemy' which can be easily identified as a differing view.

- Woke is supposed to be a metaphor for 'awake' when it is solid-gold asleep and deep in a Cult-induced coma that meets the criteria for 'off with the fairies'.

I state these points as obvious facts if people only care to look. I don't do this with a sense of condemnation. We need to appreciate that the onslaught of perceptual programming on the young has been incessant and merciless. I can understand why so many have been reframed, or, given their youth, framed from the start to see the world as the Cult demands. The Cult has had access to their minds day after day in its 'education' system for their entire formative years. Perception is formed from information received and the Cult-created system is a life-long download of information delivered to elicit a particular perception, thus behaviour. The more this has expanded into still new extremes in recent decades and ever-increasing censorship has deleted other opinions and information why wouldn't that lead to a perceptual reframing on a mass scale? I

have described already cradle-to-grave programming and in more recent times the targeting of young minds from birth to adulthood has entered the stratosphere. This has taken the form of skewing what is 'taught' to fit the Cult agenda and the omnipresent techniques of group-think to isolate non-believers and pressure them into line. There has always been a tendency to follow the herd, but we really are in a new world now in relation to that. We have parents who can see the 'Covid' hoax told by their children not to stop them wearing masks at school, being 'Covid' tested or having the 'vaccine' in fear of the peer-pressure consequences of being different. What is 'peer-pressure' if not pressure to conform to group-think? Renegade Minds never group-think and always retain a set of perceptions that are unique to them. Group-think is always underpinned by consequences for not group-thinking. Abuse now aimed at those refusing DNA-manipulating 'Covid vaccines' are a potent example of this. The biggest pressure to conform comes from the very group which is itself being manipulated. 'I am programmed to be part of a hive mind and so you must be.'

Woke control structures in 'education' now apply to every mainstream organisation. Those at the top of the 'education' hierarchy (the Cult) decide the policy. This is imposed on governments through the Cult network; governments impose it on schools, colleges and universities; their leadership impose the policy on teachers and academics and they impose it on children and students. At any level where there is resistance, perhaps from a teacher or university lecturer, they are targeted by the authorities and often fired. Students themselves regularly demand the dismissal of academics (increasingly few) at odds with the narrative that the students have been programmed to believe in. It is quite a thought that students who are being targeted by the Cult become so consumed by programmed group-think that they launch protests and demand the removal of those who are trying to push back against those targeting the students. Such is the scale of perceptual inversion. We see this with 'Covid' programming as the Cult imposes the rules via psycho-psychologists and governments on

shops, transport companies and businesses which impose them on their staff who impose them on their customers who pressure Pushbackers to conform to the will of the Cult which is in the process of destroying them and their families. Scan all aspects of society and you will see the same sequence every time.

Fact free Woke and hijacking the 'left'

There is no more potent example of this than 'Woke', a mentality only made possible by the deletion of factual evidence by an 'education' system seeking to produce an ever more uniform society. Why would you bother with facts when you don't know any? Deletion of credible history both in volume and type is highly relevant. Orwell said: 'Who controls the past controls the future: who controls the present controls the past.' They who control the perception of the past control the perception of the future and they who control the present control the perception of the past through the writing and deleting of history. Why would you oppose the imposition of Marxism in the name of Wokeism when you don't know that Marxism cost at least 100 million lives in the 20th century alone? Watch videos and read reports in which Woker generations are asked basic historical questions – it's mind-blowing. A survey of 2,000 people found that six percent of millennials (born approximately early1980s to early 2000s) believed the Second World War (1939-1945) broke out with the assassination of President Kennedy (in 1963) and one in ten thought Margaret Thatcher was British Prime Minister at the time. She was in office between 1979 and 1990. We are in a post-fact society. Provable facts are no defence against the fascism of political correctness or Silicon Valley censorship. Facts don't matter anymore as we have witnessed with the 'Covid' hoax. Sacrificing uniqueness to the Woke group-think religion is all you are required to do and that means thinking for yourself is the biggest Woke no, no. All religions are an expression of group-think and censorship and Woke is just another religion with an orthodoxy defended by group-think and censorship. Burned at

the stake becomes burned on Twitter which leads back eventually to burned at the stake as Woke humanity regresses to ages past.

The biggest Woke inversion of all is its creators and funders. I grew up in a traditional left of centre political household on a council estate in Leicester in the 1950s and 60s – you know, the left that challenged the power of wealth-hoarding elites and threats to freedom of speech and opinion. In those days students went on marches defending freedom of speech while today's Wokers march for its deletion. What on earth could have happened? Those very elites (collectively the Cult) that we opposed in my youth and early life have funded into existence the antithesis of that former left and hijacked the 'brand' while inverting everything it ever stood for. We have a mentality that calls itself 'liberal' and 'progressive' while acting like fascists. Cult billionaires and their corporations have funded themselves into control of 'education' to ensure that Woke programming is unceasing throughout the formative years of children and young people and that non-Wokers are isolated (that word again) whether they be students, teachers or college professors. The Cult has funded into existence the now colossal global network of Woke organisations that have spawned and promoted all the 'causes' on the Cult wish-list for global transformation and turned Wokers into demanders of them. Does anyone really think it's a coincidence that the Cult agenda for humanity is a carbon (sorry) copy of the societal transformations desired by Woke?? These are only some of them:

Political correctness: The means by which the Cult deletes all public debates that it knows it cannot win if we had the free-flow of information and evidence.

Human-caused 'climate change': The means by which the Cult seeks to transform society into a globally-controlled dictatorship imposing its will over the fine detail of everyone's lives 'to save the planet' which doesn't actually need saving.

Transgender obsession: Preparing collective perception to accept the 'new human' which would not have genders because it would be created technologically and not through procreation. I'll have much more on this in Human 2.0.

Race obsession: The means by which the Cult seeks to divide and rule the population by triggering racial division through the perception that society is more racist than ever when the opposite is the case. Is it perfect in that regard? No. But to compare today with the racism of apartheid and segregation brought to an end by the civil rights movement in the 1960s is to insult the memory of that movement and inspirations like Martin Luther King. Why is the 'anti-racism' industry (which it is) so dominated by privileged white people?

White supremacy: This is a label used by privileged white people to demonise poor and deprived white people pushing back on tyranny to marginalise and destroy them. White people are being especially targeted as the dominant race by number within Western society which the Cult seeks to transform in its image. If you want to change a society you must weaken and undermine its biggest group and once you have done that by using the other groups you next turn on them to do the same … 'Then they came for the Jews and I was not a Jew so I did nothing.'

Mass migration: The mass movement of people from the Middle East, Africa and Asia into Europe, from the south into the United States and from Asia into Australia are another way the Cult seeks to dilute the racial, cultural and political influence of white people on Western society. White people ask why their governments appear to be working against them while being politically and culturally biased towards incoming cultures. Well, here's your answer. In the same way sexually 'straight' people, men and women, ask why the

authorities are biased against them in favour of other sexualities. The answer is the same – that's the way the Cult wants it to be for very sinister motives.

These are all central parts of the Cult agenda and central parts of the Woke agenda and Woke was created and continues to be funded to an immense degree by Cult billionaires and corporations. If anyone begins to say 'coincidence' the syllables should stick in their throat.

Billionaire 'social justice warriors'

Joe Biden is a 100 percent-owned asset of the Cult and the Wokers' man in the White House whenever he can remember his name and for however long he lasts with his rapidly diminishing cognitive function. Even walking up the steps of an aircraft without falling on his arse would appear to be a challenge. He's not an empty-shell puppet or anything. From the minute Biden took office (or the Cult did) he began his executive orders promoting the Woke wish-list. You will see the Woke agenda imposed ever more severely because it's really the *Cult* agenda. Woke organisations and activist networks spawned by the Cult are funded to the extreme so long as they promote what the Cult wants to happen. Woke is funded to promote 'social justice' by billionaires who become billionaires by destroying social justice. The social justice mantra is only a cover for dismantling social justice and funded by billionaires that couldn't give a damn about social justice. Everything makes sense when you see that. One of Woke's premier funders is Cult billionaire financier George Soros who said: 'I am basically there to make money, I cannot and do not look at the social consequences of what I do.' This is the same Soros who has given more than $32 billion to his Open Society Foundations global Woke network and funded Black Lives Matter, mass immigration into Europe and the United States, transgender activism, climate change activism, political correctness and groups targeting 'white supremacy' in the form of privileged white thugs that dominate Antifa. What a scam it all is and when

you are dealing with the unquestioning fact-free zone of Woke scamming them is child's play. All you need to pull it off in all these organisations are a few in-the-know agents of the Cult and an army of naïve, reframed, uninformed, narcissistic, know-nothings convinced of their own self-righteousness, self-purity and virtue.

Soros and fellow billionaires and billionaire corporations have poured hundreds of millions into Black Lives Matter and connected groups and promoted them to a global audience. None of this is motivated by caring about black people. These are the billionaires that have controlled and exploited a system that leaves millions of black people in abject poverty and deprivation which they do absolutely nothing to address. The same Cult networks funding BLM were behind the *slave trade!* Black Lives Matter hijacked a phrase that few would challenge and they have turned this laudable concept into a political weapon to divide society. You know that BLM is a fraud when it claims that *All* Lives Matter, the most inclusive statement of all, is 'racist'. BLM and its Cult masters don't want to end racism. To them it's a means to an end to control all of humanity never mind the colour, creed, culture or background. What has destroying the nuclear family got to do with ending racism? Nothing – but that is one of the goals of BLM and also happens to be a goal of the Cult as I have been exposing in my books for decades. Stealing children from loving parents and giving schools ever more power to override parents is part of that same agenda. BLM is a Marxist organisation and why would that not be the case when the Cult created Marxism *and* BLM? Patrisse Cullors, a BLM co-founder, said in a 2015 video that she and her fellow organisers, including co-founder Alicia Garza, are 'trained Marxists'. The lady known after marriage as Patrisse Khan-Cullors bought a $1.4 million home in 2021 in one of the whitest areas of California with a black population of just 1.6 per cent and has so far bought *four* high-end homes for a total of $3.2 million. How very Marxist. There must be a bit of spare in the BLM coffers, however, when Cult corporations and billionaires have handed over the best part of $100 million. Many black people can see that Black Lives Matter is not

working for them, but against them, and this is still more confirmation. Black journalist Jason Whitlock, who had his account suspended by Twitter for simply linking to the story about the 'Marxist's' home buying spree, said that BLM leaders are 'making millions of dollars off the backs of these dead black men who they wouldn't spit on if they were on fire and alive'.

Black Lies Matter

Cult assets and agencies came together to promote BLM in the wake of the death of career criminal George Floyd who had been jailed a number of times including for forcing his way into the home of a black woman with others in a raid in which a gun was pointed at her stomach. Floyd was filmed being held in a Minneapolis street in 2020 with the knee of a police officer on his neck and he subsequently died. It was an appalling thing for the officer to do, but the same technique has been used by police on peaceful protestors of lockdown without any outcry from the Woke brigade. As unquestioning supporters of the Cult agenda Wokers have supported lockdown and all the 'Covid' claptrap while attacking anyone standing up to the tyranny imposed in its name. Court documents would later include details of an autopsy on Floyd by County Medical Examiner Dr Andrew Baker who concluded that Floyd had taken a fatal level of the drug fentanyl. None of this mattered to fact-free, question-free, Woke. Floyd's death was followed by worldwide protests against police brutality amid calls to defund the police. Throwing babies out with the bathwater is a Woke speciality. In the wake of the murder of British woman Sarah Everard a Green Party member of the House of Lords, Baroness Jones of Moulescoomb (Nincompoopia would have been better), called for a 6pm curfew for all men. This would be in breach of the Geneva Conventions on war crimes which ban collective punishment, but that would never have crossed the black and white Woke mind of Baroness Nincompoopia who would have been far too convinced of her own self-righteousness to compute such details. Many American cities did defund the police in the face of Floyd riots

and after $15 million was deleted from the police budget in Washington DC under useless Woke mayor Muriel Bowser car-jacking alone rose by 300 percent and within six months the US capital recorded its highest murder rate in 15 years. The same happened in Chicago and other cities in line with the Cult/Soros plan to bring fear to streets and neighbourhoods by reducing the police, releasing violent criminals and not prosecuting crime. This is the mob-rule agenda that I have warned in the books was coming for so long. Shootings in the area of Minneapolis where Floyd was arrested increased by 2,500 percent compared with the year before. Defunding the police over George Floyd has led to a big increase in dead people with many of them black. Police protection for politicians making these decisions stayed the same or increased as you would expect from professional hypocrites. The Cult doesn't actually want to abolish the police. It wants to abolish local control over the police and hand it to federal government as the psychopaths advance the Hunger Games Society. Many George Floyd protests turned into violent riots with black stores and businesses destroyed by fire and looting across America fuelled by Black Lives Matter. Woke doesn't do irony. If you want civil rights you must loot the liquor store and the supermarket and make off with a smart TV. It's the only way.

It's not a race war – it's a class war

Black people are patronised by privileged blacks and whites alike and told they are victims of white supremacy. I find it extraordinary to watch privileged blacks supporting the very system and bloodline networks behind the slave trade and parroting the same Cult-serving manipulative crap of their privileged white, often billionaire, associates. It is indeed not a race war but a class war and colour is just a diversion. Black Senator Cory Booker and black Congresswoman Maxine Waters, more residents of Nincompoopia, personify this. Once you tell people they are victims of someone else you devalue both their own responsibility for their plight and the power they have to impact on their reality and experience. Instead

we have: 'You are only in your situation because of whitey – turn on them and everything will change.' It won't change. Nothing changes in our lives unless *we* change it. Crucial to that is never seeing yourself as a victim and always as the creator of your reality. Life is a simple sequence of choice and consequence. Make different choices and you create different consequences. *You* have to make those choices – not Black Lives Matter, the Woke Mafia and anyone else that seeks to dictate your life. Who are they these Wokers, an emotional and psychological road traffic accident, to tell you what to do? Personal empowerment is the last thing the Cult and its Black Lives Matter want black people or anyone else to have. They claim to be defending the underdog while *creating* and perpetuating the underdog. The Cult's worst nightmare is human unity and if they are going to keep blacks, whites and every other race under economic servitude and control then the focus must be diverted from what they have in common to what they can be manipulated to believe divides them. Blacks have to be told that their poverty and plight is the fault of the white bloke living on the street in the same poverty and with the same plight they are experiencing. The difference is that your plight black people is due to him, a white supremacist with 'white privilege' living on the street. Don't unite as one human family against your mutual oppressors and suppressors – fight the oppressor with the white face who is as financially deprived as you are. The Cult knows that as its 'Covid' agenda moves into still new levels of extremism people are going to respond and it has been spreading the seeds of disunity everywhere to stop a united response to the evil that targets *all of us*.

Racist attacks on 'whiteness' are getting ever more outrageous and especially through the American Democratic Party which has an appalling history for anti-black racism. Barack Obama, Joe Biden, Hillary Clinton and Nancy Pelosi all eulogised about Senator Robert Byrd at his funeral in 2010 after a nearly 60-year career in Congress. Byrd was a brutal Ku Klux Klan racist and a violent abuser of Cathy O'Brien in MKUltra. He said he would never fight in the military 'with a negro by my side' and 'rather I should die a thousand times,

and see Old Glory trampled in the dirt never to rise again, than to see this beloved land of ours become degraded by race mongrels, a throwback to the blackest specimen from the wilds'. Biden called Byrd a 'very close friend and mentor'. These 'Woke' hypocrites are not anti-racist they are anti-poor and anti-people not of their perceived class. Here is an illustration of the scale of anti-white racism to which we have now descended. Seriously Woke and moronic *New York Times* contributor Damon Young described whiteness as a 'virus' that 'like other viruses will not die until there are no bodies left for it to infect'. He went on: '… the only way to stop it is to locate it, isolate it, extract it, and kill it.' Young can say that as a black man with no consequences when a white man saying the same in reverse would be facing a jail sentence. *That's* racism. We had super-Woke numbskull senators Tammy Duckworth and Mazie Hirono saying they would object to future Biden Cabinet appointments if he did not nominate more Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders. Never mind the ability of the candidate what do they look like? Duckworth said: 'I will vote for racial minorities and I will vote for LGBTQ, but anyone else I'm not voting for.' Appointing people on the grounds of race is illegal, but that was not a problem for this ludicrous pair. They were on-message and that's a free pass in any situation.

Critical race racism

White children are told at school they are intrinsically racist as they are taught the divisive 'critical race theory'. This claims that the law and legal institutions are inherently racist and that race is a socially constructed concept used by white people to further their economic and political interests at the expense of people of colour. White is a 'virus' as we've seen. Racial inequality results from 'social, economic, and legal differences that white people create between races to maintain white interests which leads to poverty and criminality in minority communities'. I must tell that to the white guy sleeping on the street. The principal of East Side Community School in New York sent white parents a manifesto that called on

them to become 'white traitors' and advocate for full 'white abolition'. These people are teaching your kids when they urgently need a psychiatrist. The 'school' included a chart with 'eight white identities' that ranged from 'white supremacist' to 'white abolition' and defined the behaviour white people must follow to end 'the regime of whiteness'. Woke blacks and their privileged white associates are acting exactly like the slave owners of old and Ku Klux Klan racists like Robert Byrd. They are too full of their own self-purity to see that, but it's true. Racism is not a body type; it's a state of mind that can manifest through any colour, creed or culture.

Another racial fraud is *'equity'*. Not equality of treatment and opportunity – equity. It's a term spun as equality when it means something very different. Equality in its true sense is a raising up while 'equity' is a race to the bottom. Everyone in the same level of poverty is 'equity'. Keep everyone down – that's equity. The Cult doesn't want anyone in the human family to be empowered and BLM leaders, like all these 'anti-racist' organisations, continue their privileged, pampered existence by perpetuating the perception of gathering racism. When is the last time you heard an 'anti-racist' or 'anti-Semitism' organisation say that acts of racism and discrimination have *fallen?* It's not in the interests of their fund-raising and power to influence and the same goes for the professional soccer anti-racism operation, Kick It Out. Two things confirmed that the Black Lives Matter riots in the summer of 2020 were Cult creations. One was that while anti-lockdown protests were condemned in this same period for 'transmitting 'Covid' the authorities supported mass gatherings of Black Lives Matter supporters. I even saw self-deluding people claiming to be doctors say the two types of protest were not the same. No – the non-existent 'Covid' was in favour of lockdowns and attacked those that protested against them while 'Covid' supported Black Lives Matter and kept well away from its protests. The whole thing was a joke and as lockdown protestors were arrested, often brutally, by reframed Face-Nappies we had the grotesque sight of police officers taking the knee to Black Lives Matter, a Cult-funded Marxist

organisation that supports violent riots and wants to destroy the nuclear family and white people.

He's not white? Shucks!

Woke obsession with race was on display again when ten people were shot dead in Boulder, Colorado, in March, 2021. Cult-owned Woke TV channels like CNN said the shooter appeared to be a white man and Wokers were on Twitter condemning 'violent white men' with the usual mantras. Then the shooter's name was released as Ahmad Al Aliwi Alissa, an anti-Trump Arab-American, and the sigh of disappointment could be heard five miles away. Never mind that ten people were dead and what that meant for their families. Race baiting was all that mattered to these sick Cult-serving people like Barack Obama who exploited the deaths to further divide America on racial grounds which is his job for the Cult. This is the man that 'racist' white Americans made the first black president of the United States and then gave him a second term. Not-very-bright Obama has become filthy rich on the back of that and today appears to have a big influence on the Biden administration. Even so he's still a downtrodden black man and a victim of white supremacy. This disingenuous fraud reveals the contempt he has for black people when he puts on a Deep South Alabama accent whenever he talks to them, no, *at* them.

Another BLM red flag was how the now fully-Woke (fully-Cult) and fully-virtue-signalled professional soccer authorities had their teams taking the knee before every match in support of Marxist Black Lives Matter. Soccer authorities and clubs displayed 'Black Lives Matter' on the players' shirts and flashed the name on electronic billboards around the pitch. Any fans that condemned what is a Freemasonic taking-the-knee ritual were widely condemned as you would expect from the Woke virtue-signallers of professional sport and the now fully-Woke media. We have reverse racism in which you are banned from criticising any race or culture except for white people for whom anything goes – say what you like, no problem. What has this got to do with racial harmony and

equality? We've had black supremacists from Black Lives Matter telling white people to fall to their knees in the street and apologise for their white supremacy. Black supremacists acting like white supremacist slave owners of the past couldn't breach their self-obsessed, race-obsessed sense of self-purity. Joe Biden appointed a race-obsessed black supremacist Kristen Clarke to head the Justice Department Civil Rights Division. Clarke claimed that blacks are endowed with 'greater mental, physical and spiritual abilities' than whites. If anyone reversed that statement they would be vilified. Clarke is on-message so no problem. She's never seen a black-white situation in which the black figure is anything but a virtuous victim and she heads the Civil Rights Division which should treat everyone the same or it isn't civil rights. Another perception of the Renegade Mind: If something or someone is part of the Cult agenda they will be supported by Woke governments and media no matter what. If they're not, they will be condemned and censored. It really is that simple and so racist Clarke prospers despite (make that because of) her racism.

The end of culture

Biden's administration is full of such racial, cultural and economic bias as the Cult requires the human family to be divided into warring factions. We are now seeing racially-segregated graduations and everything, but everything, is defined through the lens of perceived 'racism. We have 'racist' mathematics, 'racist' food and even 'racist' *plants*. World famous Kew Gardens in London said it was changing labels on plants and flowers to tell its pre-'Covid' more than two million visitors a year how racist they are. Kew director Richard Deverell said this was part of an effort to 'move quickly to decolonise collections' after they were approached by one Ajay Chhabra 'an actor with an insight into how sugar cane was linked to slavery'. They are *plants* you idiots. 'Decolonisation' in the Woke manual really means colonisation of society with its mentality and by extension colonisation by the Cult. We are witnessing a new Chinese-style 'Cultural Revolution' so essential to the success of all

Marxist takeovers. Our cultural past and traditions have to be swept away to allow a new culture to be built-back-better. Woke targeting of long-standing Western cultural pillars including historical monuments and cancelling of historical figures is what happened in the Mao revolution in China which 'purged remnants of capitalist and traditional elements from Chinese society' and installed Maoism as the dominant ideology'. For China see the Western world today and for 'dominant ideology' see Woke. Better still see Marxism or Maoism. The 'Covid' hoax has specifically sought to destroy the arts and all elements of Western culture from people meeting in a pub or restaurant to closing theatres, music venues, sports stadiums, places of worship and even banning *singing*. Destruction of Western society is also why criticism of any religion is banned except for Christianity which again is the dominant religion as white is the numerically-dominant race. Christianity may be fading rapidly, but its history and traditions are weaved through the fabric of Western society. Delete the pillars and other structures will follow until the whole thing collapses. I am not a Christian defending that religion when I say that. I have no religion. It's just a fact. To this end Christianity has itself been turned Woke to usher its own downfall and its ranks are awash with 'change agents' – knowing and unknowing – at every level including Pope Francis (*definitely* knowing) and the clueless Archbishop of Canterbury Justin Welby (possibly not, but who can be sure?). Woke seeks to coordinate attacks on Western culture, traditions, and ways of life through 'intersectionality' defined as 'the complex, cumulative way in which the effects of multiple forms of discrimination (such as racism, sexism, and classism) combine, overlap, or intersect especially in the experiences of marginalised individuals or groups'. Wade through the Orwellian Woke-speak and this means coordinating disparate groups in a common cause to overthrow freedom and liberal values.

The entire structure of public institutions has been infested with Woke – government at all levels, political parties, police, military, schools, universities, advertising, media and trade unions. This abomination has been achieved through the Cult web by appointing

Wokers to positions of power and battering non-Wokers into line through intimidation, isolation and threats to their job. Many have been fired in the wake of the empathy-deleted, vicious hostility of 'social justice' Wokers and the desire of gutless, spineless employers to virtue-signal their Wokeness. Corporations are filled with Wokers today, most notably those in Silicon Valley. Ironically at the top they are not Woke at all. They are only exploiting the mentality their Cult masters have created and funded to censor and enslave while the Wokers cheer them on until it's their turn. Thus the Woke 'liberal left' is an inversion of the traditional liberal left. Campaigning for justice on the grounds of power and wealth distribution has been replaced by campaigning for identity politics. The genuine traditional left would never have taken money from today's billionaire abusers of fairness and justice and nor would the billionaires have wanted to fund that genuine left. It would not have been in their interests to do so. The division of opinion in those days was between the haves and have nots. This all changed with Cult manipulated and funded identity politics. The division of opinion today is between Wokers and non-Wokers and not income brackets. Cult corporations and their billionaires may have taken wealth disparity to cataclysmic levels of injustice, but as long as they speak the language of Woke, hand out the dosh to the Woke network and censor the enemy they are 'one of us'. Billionaires who don't give a damn about injustice are laughing at them till their bellies hurt. Wokers are not even close to self-aware enough to see that. The transformed 'left' dynamic means that Wokers who drone on about 'social justice' are funded by billionaires that have destroyed social justice the world over. It's *why* they are billionaires.

The climate con

Nothing encapsulates what I have said more comprehensively than the hoax of human-caused global warming. I have detailed in my books over the years how Cult operatives and organisations were the pump-primers from the start of the climate con. A purpose-built vehicle for this is the Club of Rome established by the Cult in 1968

with the Rockefellers and Rothschilds centrally involved all along. Their gofer frontman Maurice Strong, a Canadian oil millionaire, hosted the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992 where the global 'green movement' really expanded in earnest under the guiding hand of the Cult. The Earth Summit established Agenda 21 through the Cult-created-and-owned United Nations to use the illusion of human-caused climate change to justify the transformation of global society to save the world from climate disaster. It is a No-Problem-Reaction-Solution sold through governments, media, schools and universities as whole generations have been terrified into believing that the world was going to end in their lifetimes unless what old people had inflicted upon them was stopped by a complete restructuring of how everything is done. Chill, kids, it's all a hoax. Such restructuring is precisely what the Cult agenda demands (purely by coincidence of course). Today this has been given the codename of the Great Reset which is only an updated term for Agenda 21 and its associated Agenda 2030. The latter, too, is administered through the UN and was voted into being by the General Assembly in 2015. Both 21 and 2030 seek centralised control of all resources and food right down to the raindrops falling on your own land. These are some of the demands of Agenda 21 established in 1992. See if you recognise this society emerging today:

- End national sovereignty
- State planning and management of all land resources, ecosystems, deserts, forests, mountains, oceans and fresh water; agriculture; rural development; biotechnology; and ensuring *'equity'*
- The state to 'define the role' of business and financial resources
- Abolition of private property
- 'Restructuring' the family unit (see BLM)
- Children raised by the state
- People told what their job will be
- Major restrictions on movement
- Creation of 'human settlement zones'

- Mass resettlement as people are forced to vacate land where they live
- Dumbing down education
- Mass global depopulation in pursuit of all the above

The United Nations was created as a Trojan horse for world government. With the climate con of critical importance to promoting that outcome you would expect the UN to be involved. Oh, it's involved all right. The UN is promoting Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 justified by 'climate change' while also driving the climate hoax through its Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), one of the world's most corrupt organisations. The IPCC has been lying ferociously and constantly since the day it opened its doors with the global media hanging unquestioningly on its every mendacious word. The Green movement is entirely Woke and has long lost its original environmental focus since it was co-opted by the Cult. An obsession with 'global warming' has deleted its values and scrambled its head. I experienced a small example of what I mean on a beautiful country walk that I have enjoyed several times a week for many years. The path merged into the fields and forests and you felt at one with the natural world. Then a 'Green' organisation, the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust, took over part of the land and proceeded to cut down a large number of trees, including mature ones, to install a horrible big, bright steel 'this-is-ours-stay-out' fence that destroyed the whole atmosphere of this beautiful place. No one with a feel for nature would do that. Day after day I walked to the sound of chainsaws and a magnificent mature weeping willow tree that I so admired was cut down at the base of the trunk. When I challenged a Woke young girl in a green shirt (of course) about this vandalism she replied: 'It's a weeping willow – it will grow back.' This is what people are paying for when they donate to the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust and many other 'green' organisations today. It is not the environmental movement that I knew and instead has become a support-system – as with Extinction Rebellion – for a very dark agenda.

Private jets for climate justice

The Cult-owned, Gates-funded, World Economic Forum and its founder Klaus Schwab were behind the emergence of Greta Thunberg to harness the young behind the climate agenda and she was invited to speak to the world at … the UN. Schwab published a book, *Covid-19: The Great Reset* in 2020 in which he used the 'Covid' hoax and the climate hoax to lay out a new society straight out of Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030. Bill Gates followed in early 2021 when he took time out from destroying the world to produce a book in his name about the way to save it. Gates flies across the world in private jets and admitted that 'I probably have one of the highest greenhouse gas footprints of anyone on the planet … my personal flying alone is gigantic.' He has also bid for the planet's biggest private jet operator. Other climate change saviours who fly in private jets include John Kerry, the US Special Presidential Envoy for Climate, and actor Leonardo DiCaprio, a 'UN Messenger of Peace with special focus on climate change'. These people are so full of bullshit they could corner the market in manure. We mustn't be sceptical, though, because the Gates book, *How to Avoid a Climate Disaster: The Solutions We Have and the Breakthroughs We Need*, is a genuine attempt to protect the world and not an obvious pile of excrement attributed to a mega-psychopath aimed at selling his masters' plans for humanity. The Gates book and the other shite-pile by Klaus Schwab could have been written by the same person and may well have been. Both use 'climate change' and 'Covid' as the excuses for their new society and by coincidence the Cult's World Economic Forum and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation promote the climate hoax and hosted Event 201 which pre-empted with a 'simulation' the very 'coronavirus' hoax that would be simulated for real on humanity within weeks. The British 'royal' family is promoting the 'Reset' as you would expect through Prince 'climate change caused the war in Syria' Charles and his hapless son Prince William who said that we must 'reset our relationship with nature and our trajectory as a species' to avoid a climate disaster. Amazing how many promotors of the 'Covid' and 'climate change' control

systems are connected to Gates and the World Economic Forum. A 'study' in early 2021 claimed that carbon dioxide emissions must fall by the equivalent of a global lockdown roughly every two years for the next decade to save the planet. The 'study' appeared in the same period that the Schwab mob claimed in a video that lockdowns destroying the lives of billions are good because they make the earth 'quieter' with less 'ambient noise'. They took down the video amid a public backlash for such arrogant, empathy-deleted stupidity You see, however, where they are going with this. Corinne Le Quéré, a professor at the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, was lead author of the climate lockdown study, and she writes for … the World Economic Forum. Gates calls in 'his' book for changing 'every aspect of the economy' (long-time Cult agenda) and for humans to eat synthetic 'meat' (predicted in my books) while cows and other farm animals are eliminated. Australian TV host and commentator Alan Jones described what carbon emission targets would mean for farm animals in Australia alone if emissions were reduced as demanded by 35 percent by 2030 and zero by 2050:

> Well, let's take agriculture, the total emissions from agriculture are about 75 million tonnes of carbon dioxide, equivalent. Now reduce that by 35 percent and you have to come down to 50 million tonnes, I've done the maths. So if you take for example 1.5 million cows, you're going to have to reduce the herd by 525,000 [by] 2030, nine years, that's 58,000 cows a year. The beef herd's 30 million, reduce that by 35 percent, that's 10.5 million, which means 1.2 million cattle have to go every year between now and 2030. This is insanity!
>
> There are 75 million sheep. Reduce that by 35 percent, that's 26 million sheep, that's almost 3 million a year. So under the Paris Agreement over 30 million beasts. dairy cows, cattle, pigs and sheep would go. More than 8,000 every minute of every hour for the next decade, do these people know what they're talking about?

Clearly they don't at the level of campaigners, politicians and administrators. The Cult *does* know; that's the outcome it wants. We are faced with not just a war on humanity. Animals and the natural world are being targeted and I have been saying since the 'Covid' hoax began that the plan eventually was to claim that the 'deadly virus' is able to jump from animals, including farm animals and

domestic pets, to humans. Just before this book went into production came this story: 'Russia registers world's first Covid-19 vaccine for cats & dogs as makers of Sputnik V warn pets & farm animals could spread virus'. The report said 'top scientists warned that the deadly pathogen could soon begin spreading through homes and farms' and 'the next stage is the infection of farm and domestic animals'. Know the outcome and you'll see the journey. Think what that would mean for animals and keep your eye on a term called zoonosis or zoonotic diseases which transmit between animals and humans. The Cult wants to break the connection between animals and people as it does between people and people. Farm animals fit with the Cult agenda to transform food from natural to synthetic.

The gas of life is killing us

There can be few greater examples of Cult inversion than the condemnation of carbon dioxide as a dangerous pollutant when it is the gas of life. Without it the natural world would be dead and so we would all be dead. We breathe in oxygen and breathe out carbon dioxide while plants produce oxygen and absorb carbon dioxide. It is a perfect symbiotic relationship that the Cult wants to dismantle for reasons I will come to in the final two chapters. Gates, Schwab, other Cult operatives and mindless repeaters, want the world to be 'carbon neutral' by at least 2050 and the earlier the better. 'Zero carbon' is the cry echoed by lunatics calling for 'Zero Covid' when we already have it. These carbon emission targets will deindustrialise the world in accordance with Cult plans – the post-industrial, post-democratic society – and with so-called renewables like solar and wind not coming even close to meeting human energy needs blackouts and cold are inevitable. Texans got the picture in the winter of 2021 when a snow storm stopped wind turbines and solar panels from working and the lights went down along with water which relies on electricity for its supply system. Gates wants everything to be powered by electricity to ensure that his masters have the kill switch to stop all human activity, movement, cooking, water and warmth any time they like. The climate lie is so

stupendously inverted that it claims we must urgently reduce carbon dioxide when we *don't have enough*.

Co2 in the atmosphere is a little above 400 parts per million when the optimum for plant growth is 2,000 ppm and when it falls anywhere near 150 ppm the natural world starts to die and so do we. It fell to as low as 280 ppm in an 1880 measurement in Hawaii and rose to 413 ppm in 2019 with industrialisation which is why the planet has become *greener* in the industrial period. How insane then that psychopathic madman Gates is not satisfied only with blocking the rise of Co2. He's funding technology to suck it out of the atmosphere. The reason why will become clear. The industrial era is not destroying the world through Co2 and has instead turned around a potentially disastrous ongoing fall in Co2. Greenpeace co-founder and scientist Patrick Moore walked away from Greenpeace in 1986 and has exposed the green movement for fear-mongering and lies. He said that 500 million years ago there was *17 times* more Co2 in the atmosphere than we have today and levels have been falling for hundreds of millions of years. In the last 150 million years Co2 levels in Earth's atmosphere had reduced by *90 percent*. Moore said that by the time humanity began to unlock carbon dioxide from fossil fuels we were at '38 seconds to midnight' and in that sense: 'Humans are [the Earth's] salvation.' Moore made the point that only half the Co2 emitted by fossil fuels stays in the atmosphere and we should remember that all pollution pouring from chimneys that we are told is carbon dioxide is in fact nothing of the kind. It's pollution. Carbon dioxide is an invisible gas.

William Happer, Professor of Physics at Princeton University and long-time government adviser on climate, has emphasised the Co2 deficiency for maximum growth and food production. Greenhouse growers don't add carbon dioxide for a bit of fun. He said that most of the warming in the last 100 years, after the earth emerged from the super-cold period of the 'Little Ice Age' into a natural warming cycle, was over by 1940. Happer said that a peak year for warming in 1988 can be explained by a 'monster El Nino' which is a natural and cyclical warming of the Pacific that has nothing to do with 'climate

change'. He said the effect of Co2 could be compared to painting a wall with red paint in that once two or three coats have been applied it didn't matter how much more you slapped on because the wall will not get much redder. Almost all the effect of the rise in Co2 has already happened, he said, and the volume in the atmosphere would now have to *double* to increase temperature by a single degree. Climate hoaxers know this and they have invented the most ridiculously complicated series of 'feedback' loops to try to overcome this rather devastating fact. You hear puppet Greta going on cluelessly about feedback loops and this is why.

The Sun affects temperature? No you *climate denier*

Some other nonsense to contemplate: Climate graphs show that rises in temperature do not follow rises in Co2 – *it's the other way round* with a lag between the two of some 800 years. If we go back 800 years from present time we hit the Medieval Warm Period when temperatures were higher than now without any industrialisation and this was followed by the Little Ice Age when temperatures plummeted. The world was still emerging from these centuries of serious cold when many climate records began which makes the ever-repeated line of the 'hottest year since records began' meaningless when you are not comparing like with like. The coldest period of the Little Ice Age corresponded with the lowest period of sunspot activity when the Sun was at its least active. Proper scientists will not be at all surprised by this when it confirms the obvious fact that earth temperature is affected by the scale of Sun activity and the energetic power that it subsequently emits; but when is the last time you heard a climate hoaxer talking about the Sun as a source of earth temperature?? Everything has to be focussed on Co2 which makes up just 0.117 percent of so-called greenhouse gases and only a fraction of even that is generated by human activity. The rest is natural. More than *90 percent* of those greenhouse gases are water vapour and clouds (Fig 9). Ban moisture I say. Have you noticed that the climate hoaxers no longer use the polar bear as their promotion image? That's because far from becoming extinct polar

bear communities are stable or thriving. Joe Bastardi, American meteorologist, weather forecaster and outspoken critic of the climate lie, documents in his book *The Climate Chronicles* how weather patterns and events claimed to be evidence of climate change have been happening since long before industrialisation: 'What happened before naturally is happening again, as is to be expected given the cyclical nature of the climate due to the design of the planet.' If you read the detailed background to the climate hoax in my other books you will shake your head and wonder how anyone could believe the crap which has spawned a multi-trillion dollar industry based on absolute garbage (see HIV causes AIDs and Sars-Cov-2 causes 'Covid-19'). Climate and 'Covid' have much in common given they have the same source. They both have the contradictory *everything* factor in which everything is explained by reference to them. It's hot – 'it's climate change'. It's cold – 'it's climate change'. I got a sniffle – 'it's Covid'. I haven't got a sniffle – 'it's Covid'. Not having a sniffle has to be a symptom of 'Covid'. Everything is and not having a sniffle is especially dangerous if you are a slow walker. For sheer audacity I offer you a Cambridge University 'study' that actually linked 'Covid' to 'climate change'. It had to happen eventually. They concluded that climate change played a role in 'Covid-19' spreading from animals to humans because … wait for it … I kid you not … *the two groups were forced closer together as populations grow*. Er, that's it. The whole foundation on which this depended was that 'Bats are the likely zoonotic origin of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2'. Well, they are not. They are nothing to do with it. Apart from bats not being the origin and therefore 'climate change' effects on bats being irrelevant I am in awe of their academic insight. Where would we be without them? Not where we are that's for sure.

Figure 9: The idea that the gas of life is disastrously changing the climate is an insult to brain cell activity.

One other point about the weather is that climate modification is now well advanced and not every major weather event is natural – or earthquake come to that. I cover this subject at some length in other books. China is openly planning a rapid expansion of its weather modification programme which includes changing the climate in an area more than one and a half times the size of India. China used weather manipulation to ensure clear skies during the 2008 Olympics in Beijing. I have quoted from US military documents detailing how to employ weather manipulation as a weapon of war and they did that in the 1960s and 70s during the conflict in Vietnam with Operation Popeye manipulating monsoon rains for military purposes. Why would there be international treaties on weather modification if it wasn't possible? Of course it is. Weather is energetic information and it can be changed.

How was the climate hoax pulled off? See 'Covid'

If you can get billions to believe in a 'virus' that doesn't exist you can get them to believe in human-caused climate change that doesn't exist. Both are being used by the Cult to transform global society in the way it has long planned. Both hoaxes have been achieved in pretty much the same way. First you declare a lie is a fact. There's a

'virus' you call SARS-Cov-2 or humans are warming the planet with their behaviour. Next this becomes, via Cult networks, the foundation of government, academic and science policy and belief. Those who parrot the mantra are given big grants to produce research that confirms the narrative is true and ever more 'symptoms' are added to make the 'virus'/'climate change' sound even more scary. Scientists and researchers who challenge the narrative have their grants withdrawn and their careers destroyed. The media promote the lie as the unquestionable truth and censor those with an alternative view or evidence. A great percentage of the population believe what they are told as the lie becomes an everybody-knows-that and the believing-masses turn on those with a mind of their own. The technique has been used endlessly throughout human history. Wokers are the biggest promotors of the climate lie *and* 'Covid' fascism because their minds are owned by the Cult; their sense of self-righteous self-purity knows no bounds; and they exist in a bubble of reality in which facts are irrelevant and only get in the way of looking without seeing.

Running through all of this like veins in a blue cheese is control of information, which means control of perception, which means control of behaviour, which collectively means control of human society. The Cult owns the global media and Silicon Valley fascists for the simple reason that it *has* to. Without control of information it can't control perception and through that human society. Examine every facet of the Cult agenda and you will see that anything supporting its introduction is never censored while anything pushing back is always censored. I say again: Psychopaths that know why they are doing this must go before Nuremberg trials and those that follow their orders must trot along behind them into the same dock. 'I was just following orders' didn't work the first time and it must not work now. Nuremberg trials must be held all over the world before public juries for politicians, government officials, police, compliant doctors, scientists and virologists, and all Cult operatives such as Gates, Tedros, Fauci, Vallance, Whitty, Ferguson, Zuckerberg, Wojcicki, Brin, Page, Dorsey, the whole damn lot of

them – including, no *especially*, the psychopath psychologists. Without them and the brainless, gutless excuses for journalists that have repeated their lies, none of this could be happening. Nobody can be allowed to escape justice for the psychological and economic Armageddon they are all responsible for visiting upon the human race.

As for the compliant, unquestioning, swathes of humanity, and the self-obsessed, all-knowing ignorance of the Wokers … don't start me. God help their kids. God help their grandkids. God *help them*.

CHAPTER NINE

We must have it? So what is it?

Well I won't back down. No, I won't back down. You can stand me up at the Gates of Hell. But I won't back down
Tom Petty

I will now focus on the genetically-manipulating 'Covid vaccines' which do not meet this official definition of a vaccine by the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC): 'A product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease.' On that basis 'Covid vaccines' are not a vaccine in that the makers don't even claim they stop infection or transmission.

They are instead part of a multi-levelled conspiracy to change the nature of the human body and what it means to be 'human' and to depopulate an enormous swathe of humanity. What I shall call Human 1.0 is on the cusp of becoming Human 2.0 and for very sinister reasons. Before I get to the 'Covid vaccine' in detail here's some background to vaccines in general. Government regulators do not test vaccines – the makers do – and the makers control which data is revealed and which isn't. Children in America are given 50 vaccine doses by age six and 69 by age 19 and the effect of the whole combined schedule has never been tested. Autoimmune diseases when the immune system attacks its own body have soared in the mass vaccine era and so has disease in general in children and the young. Why wouldn't this be the case when vaccines target the *immune system?* The US government gave Big Pharma drug

companies immunity from prosecution for vaccine death and injury in the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (NCVIA) and since then the government (taxpayer) has been funding compensation for the consequences of Big Pharma vaccines. The criminal and satanic drug giants can't lose and the vaccine schedule has increased dramatically since 1986 for this reason. There is no incentive to make vaccines safe and a big incentive to make money by introducing ever more. Even against a ridiculously high bar to prove vaccine liability, and with the government controlling the hearing in which it is being challenged for compensation, the vaccine court has so far paid out more than $4 billion. These are the vaccines we are told are safe and psychopaths like Zuckerberg censor posts saying otherwise. The immunity law was even justified by a ruling that vaccines by their nature were 'unavoidably unsafe'.

Check out the ingredients of vaccines and you will be shocked if you are new to this. *They put that in children's bodies?? What??* Try aluminium, a brain toxin connected to dementia, aborted foetal tissue and formaldehyde which is used to embalm corpses. World-renowned aluminium expert Christopher Exley had his research into the health effect of aluminium in vaccines shut down by Keele University in the UK when it began taking funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Research when diseases 'eradicated' by vaccines began to decline and you will find the fall began long *before* the vaccine was introduced. Sometimes the fall even plateaued after the vaccine. Diseases like scarlet fever for which there was no vaccine declined in the same way because of environmental and other factors. A perfect case in point is the polio vaccine. Polio began when lead arsenate was first sprayed as an insecticide and residues remained in food products. Spraying started in 1892 and the first US polio epidemic came in Vermont in 1894. The simple answer was to stop spraying, but Rockefeller-created Big Pharma had a better idea. Polio was decreed to be caused by the *poliovirus* which 'spreads from person to person and can infect a person's spinal cord'. Lead arsenate was replaced by the lethal DDT which had the same effect of causing paralysis by damaging the brain and central nervous

system. Polio plummeted when DDT was reduced and then banned, but the vaccine is still given the credit for something it didn't do. Today by far the biggest cause of polio is the vaccines promoted by Bill Gates. Vaccine justice campaigner Robert Kennedy Jr, son of assassinated (by the Cult) US Attorney General Robert Kennedy, wrote:

> In 2017, the World Health Organization (WHO) reluctantly admitted that the global explosion in polio is predominantly vaccine strain. The most frightening epidemics in Congo, Afghanistan, and the Philippines, are all linked to vaccines. In fact, by 2018, 70% of global polio cases were vaccine strain.

Vaccines make fortunes for Cult-owned Gates and Big Pharma while undermining the health and immune systems of the population. We had a glimpse of the mentality behind the Big Pharma cartel with a report on WION (World is One News), an international English language TV station based in India, which exposed the extraordinary behaviour of US drug company Pfizer over its 'Covid vaccine'. The WION report told how Pfizer had made fantastic demands of Argentina, Brazil and other countries in return for its 'vaccine'. These included immunity from prosecution, even for Pfizer negligence, government insurance to protect Pfizer from law suits and handing over as collateral sovereign assets of the country to include Argentina's bank reserves, military bases and embassy buildings. Pfizer demanded the same of Brazil in the form of waiving sovereignty of its assets abroad; exempting Pfizer from Brazilian laws; and giving Pfizer immunity from all civil liability. This is a 'vaccine' developed with government funding. Big Pharma is evil incarnate as a creation of the Cult and all must be handed tickets to Nuremberg.

Phantom 'vaccine' for a phantom 'disease'

I'll expose the 'Covid vaccine' fraud and then go on to the wider background of why the Cult has set out to 'vaccinate' every man, woman and child on the planet for an alleged 'new disease' with a survival rate of 99.77 percent (or more) even by the grotesquely-

manipulated figures of the World Health Organization and Johns Hopkins University. The 'infection' to 'death' ratio is 0.23 to 0.15 percent according to Stanford epidemiologist Dr John Ioannidis and while estimates vary the danger remains tiny. I say that if the truth be told the fake infection to fake death ratio is zero. Never mind all the evidence I have presented here and in *The Answer* that there is no 'virus' let us just focus for a moment on that death-rate figure of say 0.23 percent. The figure includes all those worldwide who have tested positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' and then died within 28 days or even longer of any other cause – *any other cause*. Now subtract all those illusory 'Covid' deaths on the global data sheets from the 0.23 percent. What do you think you would be left with? *Zero*. A vaccination has never been successfully developed for a so-called coronavirus. They have all failed at the animal testing stage when they caused hypersensitivity to what they were claiming to protect against and made the impact of a disease far worse. Cult-owned vaccine corporations got around that problem this time by bypassing animal trials, going straight to humans and making the length of the 'trials' before the public rollout as short as they could get away with. Normally it takes five to ten years or more to develop vaccines that still cause demonstrable harm to many people and that's without including the long-term effects that are never officially connected to the vaccination. 'Covid' non-vaccines have been officially produced and approved in a matter of months from a standing start and part of the reason is that (a) they were developed before the 'Covid' hoax began and (b) they are based on computer programs and not natural sources. Official non-trials were so short that government agencies gave *emergency,* not full, approval. 'Trials' were not even completed and full approval cannot be secured until they are. Public 'Covid vaccination' is actually a *continuation of the trial*. Drug company 'trials' are not scheduled to end until 2023 by which time a lot of people are going to be dead. Data on which government agencies gave this emergency approval was supplied by the Big Pharma corporations themselves in the form of Pfizer/BioNTech, AstraZeneca, Moderna, Johnson & Johnson, and

others, and this is the case with all vaccines. By its very nature *emergency* approval means drug companies do not have to prove that the 'vaccine' is 'safe and effective'. How could they with trials way short of complete? Government regulators only have to *believe* that they *could* be safe and effective. It is criminal manipulation to get products in circulation with no testing worth the name. Agencies giving that approval are infested with Big Pharma-connected place-people and they act in the interests of Big Pharma (the Cult) and not the public about whom they do not give a damn.

More human lab rats

'Covid vaccines' produced in record time by Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna employ a technique *never approved before for use on humans*. They are known as mRNA 'vaccines' and inject a synthetic version of 'viral' mRNA or 'messenger RNA'. The key is in the term 'messenger'. The body works, or doesn't, on the basis of information messaging. Communications are constantly passing between and within the genetic system and the brain. Change those messages and you change the state of the body and even its very nature and you can change psychology and behaviour by the way the brain processes information. I think you are going to see significant changes in personality and perception of many people who have had the 'Covid vaccine' synthetic potions. Insider Aldous Huxley predicted the following in 1961 and mRNA 'vaccines' can be included in the term 'pharmacological methods':

> There will be, in the next generation or so, a pharmacological method of making people love their servitude, and producing dictatorship without tears, so to speak, producing a kind of painless concentration camp for entire societies, so that people will in fact have their own liberties taken away from them, but rather enjoy it, because they will be distracted from any desire to rebel by propaganda or brainwashing, or brainwashing enhanced by pharmacological methods. And this seems to be the final revolution.

Apologists claim that mRNA synthetic 'vaccines' don't change the DNA genetic blueprint because RNA does not affect DNA only the other way round. This is so disingenuous. A process called 'reverse

transcription' can convert RNA into DNA and be integrated into DNA in the cell nucleus. This was highlighted in December, 2020, by scientists at Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). Geneticists report that more than 40 percent of mammalian genomes results from reverse transcription. On the most basic level if messaging changes then that sequence must lead to changes in DNA which is receiving and transmitting those communications. How can introducing synthetic material into cells not change the cells where DNA is located? The process is known as transfection which is defined as 'a technique to insert foreign nucleic acid (DNA or RNA) into a cell, typically with the intention of altering the properties of the cell'. Researchers at the Sloan Kettering Institute in New York found that changes in messenger RNA can deactivate tumour-suppressing proteins and thereby promote cancer. This is what happens when you mess with messaging. 'Covid vaccine' maker Moderna was founded in 2010 by Canadian stem cell biologist Derrick J. Rossi after his breakthrough discovery in the field of transforming and reprogramming stem cells. These are neutral cells that can be programmed to become any cell including sperm cells. Moderna was therefore founded on the principle of genetic manipulation and has never produced any vaccine or drug before its genetically-manipulating synthetic 'Covid' shite. Look at the name – Mode-RNA or Modify-RNA. Another important point is that the US Supreme Court has ruled that genetically-modified DNA, or complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesized in the laboratory from messenger RNA, can be patented and owned. These psychopaths are doing this to the human body.

Cells replicate synthetic mRNA in the 'Covid vaccines' and in theory the body is tricked into making antigens which trigger antibodies to target the 'virus spike proteins' which as Dr Tom Cowan said have *never been seen*. Cut the crap and these 'vaccines' deliver *self-replicating* synthetic material to the cells with the effect of changing human DNA. The more of them you have the more that process is compounded while synthetic material is all the time self-replicating. 'Vaccine'-maker Moderna describes mRNA as 'like

software for the cell' and so they are messing with the body's software. What happens when you change the software in a computer? Everything changes. For this reason the Cult is preparing a production line of mRNA 'Covid vaccines' and a long list of excuses to use them as with all the 'variants' of a 'virus' never shown to exist. The plan is further to transfer the mRNA technique to other vaccines mostly given to children and young people. The cumulative consequences will be a transformation of human DNA through a constant infusion of synthetic genetic material which will kill many and change the rest. Now consider that governments that have given emergency approval for a vaccine that's not a vaccine; never been approved for humans before; had no testing worth the name; and the makers have been given immunity from prosecution for any deaths or adverse effects suffered by the public. The UK government awarded *permanent legal indemnity* to itself and its employees for harm done when a patient is being treated for 'Covid-19' or 'suspected Covid-19'. That is quite a thought when these are possible 'side-effects' from the 'vaccine' (they are not 'side', they are effects) listed by the US Food and Drug Administration:

Guillain-Barre syndrome; acute disseminated encephalomyelitis; transverse myelitis; encephalitis; myelitis; encephalomyelitis; meningoencephalitis; meningitis; encephalopathy; convulsions; seizures; stroke; narcolepsy; cataplexy; anaphylaxis; acute myocardial infarction (heart attack); myocarditis; pericarditis; autoimmune disease; death; implications for pregnancy, and birth outcomes; other acute demyelinating diseases; non anaphylactic allergy reactions; thrombocytopenia ; disseminated intravascular coagulation; venous thromboembolism; arthritis; arthralgia; joint pain; Kawasaki disease; multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children; vaccine enhanced disease. The latter is the way the 'vaccine' has the potential to make diseases far worse than they would otherwise be.

UK doctor and freedom campaigner Vernon Coleman described the conditions in this list as 'all unpleasant, most of them very serious, and you can't get more serious than death'. The thought that anyone at all has had the 'vaccine' in these circumstances is testament to the potential that humanity has for clueless, unquestioning, stupidity and for many that programmed stupidity has already been terminal.

An insider speaks

Dr Michael Yeadon is a former Vice President, head of research and Chief Scientific Adviser at vaccine giant Pfizer. Yeadon worked on the inside of Big Pharma, but that did not stop him becoming a vocal critic of 'Covid vaccines' and their potential for multiple harms, including infertility in women. By the spring of 2021 he went much further and even used the no, no, term 'conspiracy'. When you begin to see what is going on it is impossible not to do so. Yeadon spoke out in an interview with freedom campaigner James Delingpole and I mentioned earlier how he said that no one had samples of 'the virus'. He explained that the mRNA technique originated in the anti-cancer field and ways to turn on and off certain genes which could be advantageous if you wanted to stop cancer growing out of control. 'That's the origin of them. They are a very unusual application, really.' Yeadon said that treating a cancer patient with an aggressive procedure might be understandable if the alternative was dying, but it was quite another thing to use the same technique as a public health measure. Most people involved wouldn't catch the infectious agent you were vaccinating against and if they did they probably wouldn't die:

> If you are really using it as a public health measure you really want to as close as you can get to zero sides-effects … I find it odd that they chose techniques that were really cutting their teeth in the field of oncology and I'm worried that in using gene-based vaccines that have to be injected in the body and spread around the body, get taken up into some cells, and the regulators haven't quite told us which cells they get taken up into … you are going to be generating a wide range of responses … with multiple steps each of which could go well or badly.

I doubt the Cult intends it to go well. Yeadon said that you can put any gene you like into the body through the 'vaccine'. 'You can certainly give them a gene that would do them some harm if you wanted.' I was intrigued when he said that when used in the cancer field the technique could turn genes on and off. I explore this process in *The Answer* and with different genes having different functions you could create mayhem – physically and psychologically – if you turned the wrong ones on and the right ones off. I read reports of an experiment by researchers at the University of Washington's school of computer science and engineering in which they encoded DNA to infect computers. The body is itself a biological computer and if human DNA can inflict damage on a computer why can't the computer via synthetic material mess with the human body? It can. The Washington research team said it was possible to insert malicious malware into 'physical DNA strands' and corrupt the computer system of a gene sequencing machine as it 'reads gene letters and stores them as binary digits 0 and 1'. They concluded that hackers could one day use blood or spit samples to access computer systems and obtain sensitive data from police forensics labs or infect genome files. It is at this level of digital interaction that synthetic 'vaccines' need to be seen to get the full picture and that will become very clear later on. Michael Yeadon said it made no sense to give the 'vaccine' to younger people who were in no danger from the 'virus'. What was the benefit? It was all downside with potential effects:

> The fact that my government in what I thought was a civilised, rational country, is raining [the 'vaccine'] on people in their 30s and 40s, even my children in their 20s, they're getting letters and phone calls, I know this is not right and any of you doctors who are vaccinating you know it's not right, too. They are not at risk. They are not at risk from the disease, so you are now hoping that the side-effects are so rare that you get away with it. You don't give new technology … that you don't understand to 100 percent of the population.

Blood clot problems with the AstraZeneca 'vaccine' have been affecting younger people to emphasise the downside risks with no benefit. AstraZeneca's version, produced with Oxford University, does not use mRNA, but still gets its toxic cocktail inside cells where

it targets DNA. The Johnson & Johnson 'vaccine' which uses a similar technique has also produced blood clot effects to such an extent that the United States paused its use at one point. They are all 'gene therapy' (cell modification) procedures and not 'vaccines'. The truth is that once the content of these injections enter cells we have no idea what the effect will be. People can speculate and some can give very educated opinions and that's good. In the end, though, only the makers know what their potions are designed to do and even they won't know every last consequence. Michael Yeadon was scathing about doctors doing what they knew to be wrong. 'Everyone's mute', he said. Doctors in the NHS must know this was not right, coming into work and injecting people. 'I don't know how they sleep at night. I know I couldn't do it. I know that if I were in that position I'd have to quit.' He said he knew enough about toxicology to know this was not a good risk-benefit. Yeadon had spoken to seven or eight university professors and all except two would not speak out publicly. Their universities had a policy that no one said anything that countered the government and its medical advisors. They were afraid of losing their government grants. This is how intimidation has been used to silence the truth at every level of the system. I say silence, but these people could still speak out if they made that choice. Yeadon called them 'moral cowards' – 'This is about your children and grandchildren's lives and you have just buggered off and left it.'

'Variant' nonsense

Some of his most powerful comments related to the alleged 'variants' being used to instil more fear, justify more lockdowns, and introduce more 'vaccines'. He said government claims about 'variants' were nonsense. He had checked the alleged variant 'codes' and they were 99.7 percent identical to the 'original'. This was the human identity difference equivalent to putting a baseball cap on and off or wearing it the other way round. A 0.3 percent difference would make it impossible for that 'variant' to escape immunity from the 'original'. This made no sense of having new 'vaccines' for

'variants'. He said there would have to be at least a *30 percent* difference for that to be justified and even then he believed the immune system would still recognise what it was. Gates-funded 'variant modeller' and 'vaccine'-pusher John Edmunds might care to comment. Yeadon said drug companies were making new versions of the 'vaccine' as a 'top up' for 'variants'. Worse than that, he said, the 'regulators' around the world like the MHRA in the UK had got together and agreed that because 'vaccines' for 'variants' were so similar to the first 'vaccines' *they did not have to do safety studies*. How transparently sinister that is. This is when Yeadon said: 'There is a conspiracy here.' There was no need for another vaccine for 'variants' and yet we were told that there was and the country had shut its borders because of them. 'They are going into hundreds of millions of arms without passing 'go' or any regulator. Why did they do that? Why did they pick this method of making the vaccine?'

The reason had to be something bigger than that it seemed and 'it's not protection against the virus'. It's was a far bigger project that meant politicians and advisers were willing to do things and not do things that knowingly resulted in avoidable deaths – 'that's already happened when you think about lockdown and deprivation of health care for a year.' He spoke of people prepared to do something that results in the avoidable death of their fellow human beings and it not bother them. This is the penny-drop I have been working to get across for more than 30 years – the level of pure evil we are dealing with. Yeadon said his friends and associates could not believe there could be that much evil, but he reminded them of Stalin, Pol Pot and Hitler and of what Stalin had said: 'One death is a tragedy. A million? A statistic.' He could not think of a benign explanation for why you need top-up vaccines 'which I'm sure you don't' and for the regulators 'to just get out of the way and wave them through'. Why would the regulators do that when they were still wrestling with the dangers of the 'parent' vaccine? He was clearly shocked by what he had seen since the 'Covid' hoax began and now he was thinking the previously unthinkable:

> If you wanted to depopulate a significant proportion of the world and to do it in a way that doesn't involve destruction of the environment with nuclear weapons, poisoning everyone with anthrax or something like that, and you wanted plausible deniability while you had a multi-year infectious disease crisis, I actually don't think you could come up with a better plan of work than seems to be in front of me. I can't say that's what they are going to do, but I can't think of a benign explanation why they are doing it.

He said he never thought that they would get rid of 99 percent of humans, but now he wondered. 'If you wanted to that this would be a hell of a way to do it – it would be unstoppable folks.' Yeadon had concluded that those who submitted to the 'vaccine' would be allowed to have some kind of normal life (but for how long?) while screws were tightened to coerce and mandate the last few percent. 'I think they'll put the rest of them in a prison camp. I wish I was wrong, but I don't think I am.' Other points he made included: There were no coronavirus vaccines then suddenly they all come along at the same time; we have no idea of the long term affect with trials so short; coercing or forcing people to have medical procedures is against the Nuremberg Code instigated when the Nazis did just that; people should at least delay having the 'vaccine'; a quick Internet search confirms that masks don't reduce respiratory viral transmission and 'the government knows that'; they have smashed civil society and they know that, too; two dozen peer-reviewed studies show no connection between lockdown and reducing deaths; he knew from personal friends the elite were still flying around and going on holiday while the public were locked down; the elite were not having the 'vaccines'. He was also asked if 'vaccines' could be made to target difference races. He said he didn't know, but the document by the Project for the New American Century in September, 2000, said developing 'advanced forms of biological warfare that can target *specific genotypes* may transform biological warfare from the realm of terror to a politically useful tool.' Oh, they're evil all right. Of that we can be *absolutely* sure.

Another cull of old people

We have seen from the CDC definition that the mRNA 'Covid vaccine' is not a vaccine and nor are the others that *claim* to reduce 'severity of symptoms' in *some* people, but not protect from infection or transmission. What about all the lies about returning to 'normal' if people were 'vaccinated'? If they are not claimed to stop infection and transmission of the alleged 'virus', how does anything change? This was all lies to manipulate people to take the jabs and we are seeing that now with masks and distancing still required for the 'vaccinated'. How did they think that elderly people with fragile health and immune responses were going to be affected by infusing their cells with synthetic material and other toxic substances? They *knew* that in the short and long term it would be devastating and fatal as the culling of the old that began with the first lockdowns was continued with the 'vaccine'. Death rates in care homes soared immediately residents began to be 'vaccinated' – infused with synthetic material. Brave and committed whistleblower nurses put their careers at risk by exposing this truth while the rest kept their heads down and their mouths shut to put their careers before those they are supposed to care for. A long-time American Certified Nursing Assistant who gave his name as James posted a video in which he described emotionally what happened in his care home when vaccination began. He said that during 2020 very few residents were sick with 'Covid' and no one died during the entire year; but shortly after the Pfizer mRNA injections 14 people died within two weeks and many others were near death. 'They're dropping like flies', he said. Residents who walked on their own before the shot could no longer and they had lost their ability to conduct an intelligent conversation. The home's management said the sudden deaths were caused by a 'super-spreader' of 'Covid-19'. Then how come, James asked, that residents who refused to take the injections were not sick? It was a case of inject the elderly with mRNA synthetic potions and blame their illness and death that followed on the 'virus'. James described what was happening in care homes as 'the greatest crime of genocide this country has ever seen'. Remember the NHS staff nurse from earlier who used the same

word 'genocide' for what was happening with the 'vaccines' and that it was an 'act of human annihilation'. A UK care home whistleblower told a similar story to James about the effect of the 'vaccine' in deaths and 'outbreaks' of illness dubbed 'Covid' after getting the jab. She told how her care home management and staff had zealously imposed government regulations and no one was allowed to even question the official narrative let alone speak out against it. She said the NHS was even worse. Again we see the results of reframing. A worker at a local care home where I live said they had not had a single case of 'Covid' there for almost a year and when the residents were 'vaccinated' they had 19 positive cases in two weeks with eight dying.

It's not the 'vaccine' – honest

The obvious cause and effect was being ignored by the media and most of the public. Australia's health minister Greg Hunt (a former head of strategy at the World Economic Forum) was admitted to hospital after he had the 'vaccine'. He was suffering according to reports from the skin infection 'cellulitis' and it must have been a severe case to have warranted days in hospital. Immediately the authorities said this was nothing to do with the 'vaccine' when an effect of some vaccines is a 'cellulitis-like reaction'. We had families of perfectly healthy old people who died after the 'vaccine' saying that if only they had been given the 'vaccine' earlier they would still be alive. As a numbskull rating that is off the chart. A father of four 'died of Covid' at aged 48 when he was taken ill two days after having the 'vaccine'. The man, a health administrator, had been 'shielding during the pandemic' and had 'not really left the house' until he went for the 'vaccine'. Having the 'vaccine' and then falling ill and dying does not seem to have qualified as a possible cause and effect and 'Covid-19' went on his death certificate. His family said they had no idea how he 'caught the virus'. A family member said: 'Tragically, it could be that going for a vaccination ultimately led to him catching Covid …The sad truth is that they are never going to know where it came from.' The family warned people to remember

that the virus still existed and was 'very real'. So was their stupidity. Nurses and doctors who had the first round of the 'vaccine' were collapsing, dying and ending up in a hospital bed while they or their grieving relatives were saying they'd still have the 'vaccine' again despite what happened. I kid you not. You mean if your husband returned from the dead he'd have the same 'vaccine' again that killed him??

Doctors at the VCU Medical Center in Richmond, Virginia, said the Johnson & Johnson 'vaccine' was to blame for a man's skin peeling off. Patient Richard Terrell said: 'It all just happened so fast. My skin peeled off. It's still coming off on my hands now.' He said it was stinging, burning and itching and when he bent his arms and legs it was very painful with 'the skin swollen and rubbing against itself'. Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna vaccines use mRNA to change the cell while the Johnson & Johnson version uses DNA in a process similar to AstraZeneca's technique. Johnson & Johnson and AstraZeneca have both had their 'vaccines' paused by many countries after causing serious blood problems. Terrell's doctor Fnu Nutan said he could have died if he hadn't got medical attention. It sounds terrible so what did Nutan and Terrell say about the 'vaccine' now? Oh, they still recommend that people have it. A nurse in a hospital bed 40 minutes after the vaccination and unable to swallow due to throat swelling was told by a doctor that he lost mobility in his arm for 36 hours following the vaccination. What did he say to the ailing nurse? 'Good for you for getting the vaccination.' We are dealing with a serious form of cognitive dissonance madness in both public and medical staff. There is a remarkable correlation between those having the 'vaccine' and trumpeting the fact and suffering bad happenings shortly afterwards. Witold Rogiewicz, a Polish doctor, made a video of his 'vaccination' and ridiculed those who were questioning its safety and the intentions of Bill Gates: 'Vaccinate yourself to protect yourself, your loved ones, friends and also patients. And to mention quickly I have info for anti-vaxxers and anti-Coviders if you want to contact Bill Gates you can do this through me.' He further ridiculed the dangers of 5G. Days later he

was dead, but naturally the vaccination wasn't mentioned in the verdict of 'heart attack'.

Lies, lies and more lies

So many members of the human race have slipped into extreme states of insanity and unfortunately they include reframed doctors and nursing staff. Having a 'vaccine' and dying within minutes or hours is not considered a valid connection while death from any cause within 28 days or longer of a positive test with a test not testing for the 'virus' means 'Covid-19' goes on the death certificate. How could that 'vaccine'-death connection not have been made except by calculated deceit? US figures in the initial rollout period to February 12th, 2020, revealed that a third of the deaths reported to the CDC after 'Covid vaccines' happened within 48 hours. Five men in the UK suffered an 'extremely rare' blood clot problem after having the AstraZeneca 'vaccine', but no causal link was established said the Gates-funded Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) which had given the 'vaccine' emergency approval to be used. Former Pfizer executive Dr Michael Yeadon explained in his interview how the procedures could cause blood coagulation and clots. People who should have been at no risk were dying from blood clots in the brain and he said he had heard from medical doctor friends that people were suffering from skin bleeding and massive headaches. The AstraZeneca 'shot' was stopped by some 20 countries over the blood clotting issue and still the corrupt MHRA, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the World Health Organization said that it should continue to be given even though the EMA admitted that it 'still cannot rule out definitively' a link between blood clotting and the 'vaccine'. Later Marco Cavaleri, head of EMA vaccine strategy, said there was indeed a clear link between the 'vaccine' and thrombosis, but they didn't know why. So much for the trials showing the 'vaccine' is safe. Blood clots were affecting younger people who would be under virtually no danger from 'Covid' even if it existed which makes it all the more stupid and sinister.

The British government responded to public alarm by wheeling out June Raine, the terrifyingly weak infant school headmistress sound-alike who heads the UK MHRA drug 'regulator'. The idea that she would stand up to Big Pharma and government pressure is laughable and she told us that all was well in the same way that she did when allowing untested, never-used-on-humans-before, genetically-manipulating 'vaccines' to be exposed to the public in the first place. Mass lying is the new normal of the 'Covid' era. The MHRA later said 30 cases of rare blood clots had by then been connected with the AstraZeneca 'vaccine' (that means a lot more in reality) while stressing that the benefits of the jab in preventing 'Covid-19' outweighed any risks. A more ridiculous and disingenuous statement with callous disregard for human health it is hard to contemplate. Immediately after the mendacious 'all-clears' two hospital workers in Denmark experienced blood clots and cerebral haemorrhaging following the AstraZeneca jab and one died. Top Norwegian health official Pål Andre Holme said the 'vaccine' was the only common factor: 'There is nothing in the patient history of these individuals that can give such a powerful immune response … I am confident that the antibodies that we have found are the cause, and I see no other explanation than it being the vaccine which triggers it.' Strokes, a clot or bleed in the brain, were clearly associated with the 'vaccine' from word of mouth and whistleblower reports. Similar consequences followed with all these 'vaccines' that we were told were so safe and as the numbers grew by the day it was clear we were witnessing human carnage.

Learning the hard way

A woman interviewed by UKColumn told how her husband suffered dramatic health effects after the vaccine when he'd been in good health all his life. He went from being a little unwell to losing all feeling in his legs and experiencing 'excruciating pain'. Misdiagnosis followed twice at Accident and Emergency (an 'allergy' and 'sciatica') before he was admitted to a neurology ward where doctors said his serious condition had been caused by the

'vaccine'. Another seven 'vaccinated' people were apparently being treated on the same ward for similar symptoms. The woman said he had the 'vaccine' because they believed media claims that it was safe. 'I didn't think the government would give out a vaccine that does this to somebody; I believed they would be bringing out a vaccination that would be safe.' What a tragic way to learn that lesson. Another woman posted that her husband was transporting stroke patients to hospital on almost every shift and when he asked them if they had been 'vaccinated' for 'Covid' they all replied 'yes'. One had a 'massive brain bleed' the day after his second dose. She said her husband reported the 'just been vaccinated' information every time to doctors in A and E only for them to ignore it, make no notes and appear annoyed that it was even mentioned. This particular report cannot be verified, but it expresses a common theme that confirms the monumental underreporting of 'vaccine' consequences. Interestingly as the 'vaccines' and their brain blood clot/stroke consequences began to emerge the UK National Health Service began a publicity campaign telling the public what to do in the event of a stroke. A Scottish NHS staff nurse who quit in disgust in March, 2021, said:

> I have seen traumatic injuries from the vaccine, they're not getting reported to the yellow card [adverse reaction] scheme, they're treating the symptoms, not asking why, why it's happening. It's just treating the symptoms and when you speak about it you're dismissed like you're crazy, I'm not crazy, I'm not crazy because every other colleague I've spoken to is terrified to speak out, they've had enough.

Videos appeared on the Internet of people uncontrollably shaking after the 'vaccine' with no control over muscles, limbs and even their face. A Scottish mother broke out in a severe rash all over her body almost immediately after she was given the AstraZeneca 'vaccine'. The pictures were horrific. Leigh King, a 41-year-old hairdresser from Lanarkshire said: 'Never in my life was I prepared for what I was about to experience … My skin was so sore and constantly hot … I have never felt pain like this …' But don't you worry, the 'vaccine' is perfectly safe. Then there has been the effect on medical

staff who have been pressured to have the 'vaccine' by psychopathic 'health' authorities and government. A London hospital consultant who gave the name K. Polyakova wrote this to the *British Medical Journal* or *BMJ*:

> I am currently struggling with … the failure to report the reality of the morbidity caused by our current vaccination program within the health service and staff population. The levels of sickness after vaccination is unprecedented and staff are getting very sick and some with neurological symptoms which is having a huge impact on the health service function. Even the young and healthy are off for days, some for weeks, and some requiring medical treatment. Whole teams are being taken out as they went to get vaccinated together.
>
> Mandatory vaccination in this instance is stupid, unethical and irresponsible when it comes to protecting our staff and public health. We are in the voluntary phase of vaccination, and encouraging staff to take an unlicensed product that is impacting on their immediate health … it is clearly stated that these vaccine products do not offer immunity or stop transmission. In which case why are we doing it?

Not to protect health that's for sure. Medical workers are lauded by governments for agenda reasons when they couldn't give a toss about them any more than they can for the population in general. Schools across America faced the same situation as they closed due to the high number of teachers and other staff with bad reactions to the Pfizer/BioNTech, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson 'Covid vaccines' all of which were linked to death and serious adverse effects. The *BMJ* took down the consultant's comments pretty quickly on the grounds that they were being used to spread 'disinformation'. They were exposing the truth about the 'vaccine' was the real reason. The cover-up is breathtaking.

Hiding the evidence

The scale of the 'vaccine' death cover-up worldwide can be confirmed by comparing official figures with the personal experience of the public. I heard of many people in my community who died immediately or soon after the vaccine that would never appear in the media or even likely on the official totals of 'vaccine' fatalities and adverse reactions when only about ten percent are estimated to be

reported and I have seen some estimates as low as one percent in a Harvard study. In the UK alone by April 29th, 2021, some 757,654 adverse reactions had been officially reported from the Pfizer/BioNTech, Oxford/AstraZeneca and Moderna 'vaccines' with more than a thousand deaths linked to jabs and that means an estimated ten times this number in reality from a ten percent reporting rate percentage. That's seven million adverse reactions and 10,000 potential deaths and a one percent reporting rate would be ten times *those* figures. In 1976 the US government pulled the swine flu vaccine after *53* deaths. The UK data included a combined 10,000 eye disorders from the 'Covid vaccines' with more than 750 suffering visual impairment or blindness and again multiply by the estimated reporting percentages. As 'Covid cases' officially fell hospitals virtually empty during the 'Covid crisis' began to fill up with a range of other problems in the wake of the 'vaccine' rollout. The numbers across America have also been catastrophic. Deaths linked to *all* types of vaccine increased by *6,000 percent* in the first quarter of 2021 compared with 2020. A 39-year-old woman from Ogden, Utah, died four days after receiving a second dose of Moderna's 'Covid vaccine' when her liver, heart and kidneys all failed despite the fact that she had no known medical issues or conditions. Her family sought an autopsy, but Dr Erik Christensen, Utah's chief medical examiner, said proving vaccine injury as a cause of death almost never happened. He could think of only one instance where an autopsy would name a vaccine as the official cause of death and that would be anaphylaxis where someone received a vaccine and died almost instantaneously. 'Short of that, it would be difficult for us to definitively say this is the vaccine,' Christensen said. If that is true this must be added to the estimated ten percent (or far less) reporting rate of vaccine deaths and serious reactions and the conclusion can only be that vaccine deaths and serious reactions – including these 'Covid' potions' – are phenomenally understated in official figures. The same story can be found everywhere. Endless accounts of deaths and serious reactions among the public, medical

and care home staff while official figures did not even begin to reflect this.

Professional script-reader Dr David Williams, a 'top public-health official' in Ontario, Canada, insulted our intelligence by claiming only four serious adverse reactions and no deaths from the more than 380,000 vaccine doses then given. This bore no resemblance to what people knew had happened in their owns circles and we had Dirk Huyer in charge of getting millions vaccinated in Ontario while at the same time he was Chief Coroner for the province investigating causes of death including possible death from the vaccine. An aide said he had stepped back from investigating deaths, but evidence indicated otherwise. Rosemary Frei, who secured a Master of Science degree in molecular biology at the Faculty of Medicine at Canada's University of Calgary before turning to investigative journalism, was one who could see that official figures for 'vaccine' deaths and reactions made no sense. She said that doctors seldom reported adverse events and when people got really sick or died after getting a vaccination they would attribute that to anything except the vaccines. It had been that way for years and anyone who wondered aloud whether the 'Covid vaccines' or other shots cause harm is immediately branded as 'anti-vax' and 'anti-science'. This was 'career-threatening' for health professionals. Then there was the huge pressure to support the push to 'vaccinate' billions in the quickest time possible. Frei said:

> So that's where we're at today. More than half a million vaccine doses have been given to people in Ontario alone. The rush is on to vaccinate all 15 million of us in the province by September. And the mainstream media are screaming for this to be sped up even more. That all adds up to only a very slim likelihood that we're going to be told the truth by officials about how many people are getting sick or dying from the vaccines.

What is true of Ontario is true of everywhere.

They KNEW – and still did it

The authorities knew what was going to happen with multiple deaths and adverse reactions. The UK government's Gates-funded

and Big Pharma-dominated Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) hired a company to employ AI in compiling the projected reactions to the 'vaccine' that would otherwise be uncountable. The request for applications said: 'The MHRA urgently seeks an Artificial Intelligence (AI) software tool to process the expected high volume of Covid-19 vaccine Adverse Drug Reaction …' This was from the agency, headed by the disingenuous June Raine, that gave the 'vaccines' emergency approval and the company was hired before the first shot was given. 'We are going to kill and maim you – is that okay?' 'Oh, yes, perfectly fine – I'm very grateful, thank you, doctor.' The range of 'Covid vaccine' adverse reactions goes on for page after page in the MHRA criminally underreported 'Yellow Card' system and includes affects to eyes, ears, skin, digestion, blood and so on. Raine's MHRA amazingly claimed that the 'overall safety experience … is so far as expected from the clinical trials'. The death, serious adverse effects, deafness and blindness were *expected?* When did they ever mention that? If these human tragedies were expected then those that gave approval for the use of these 'vaccines' must be guilty of crimes against humanity including murder – a definition of which is 'killing a person with malice aforethought or with recklessness manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life.' People involved at the MHRA, the CDC in America and their equivalent around the world must go before Nuremberg trials to answer for their callous inhumanity. We are only talking here about the immediate effects of the 'vaccine'. The longer-term impact of the DNA synthetic manipulation is the main reason they are so hysterically desperate to inoculate the entire global population in the shortest possible time.

Africa and the developing world are a major focus for the 'vaccine' depopulation agenda and a mass vaccination sales-pitch is underway thanks to caring people like the Rockefellers and other Cult assets. The Rockefeller Foundation, which pre-empted the 'Covid pandemic' in a document published in 2010 that 'predicted' what happened a decade later, announced an initial $34.95 million grant in February, 2021, 'to ensure more equitable access to Covid-19

testing and vaccines' among other things in Africa in collaboration with '24 organizations, businesses, and government agencies'. The pan-Africa initiative would focus on 10 countries: Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia'. Rajiv Shah, President of the Rockefeller Foundation and former administrator of CIA-controlled USAID, said that if Africa was not mass-vaccinated (to change the DNA of its people) it was a 'threat to all of humanity' and not fair on Africans. When someone from the Rockefeller Foundation says they want to do something to help poor and deprived people and countries it is time for a belly-laugh. They are doing this out of the goodness of their 'heart' because 'vaccinating' the entire global population is what the 'Covid' hoax set out to achieve. Official 'decolonisation' of Africa by the Cult was merely a prelude to financial colonisation on the road to a return to physical colonisation. The 'vaccine' is vital to that and the sudden and convenient death of the 'Covid' sceptic president of Tanzania can be seen in its true light. A lot of people in Africa are aware that this is another form of colonisation and exploitation and they need to stand their ground.

The 'vaccine is working' scam

A potential problem for the Cult was that the 'vaccine' is meant to change human DNA and body messaging and not to protect anyone from a 'virus' never shown to exist. The vaccine couldn't work because it was not designed to work and how could they make it *appear* to be working so that more people would have it? This was overcome by lowering the amplification rate of the PCR test to produce fewer 'cases' and therefore fewer 'deaths'. Some of us had been pointing out since March, 2020, that the amplification rate of the test not testing for the 'virus' had been made artificially high to generate positive tests which they could call 'cases' to justify lockdowns. The World Health Organization recommended an absurdly high 45 amplification cycles to ensure the high positives required by the Cult and then remained silent on the issue until January 20th, 2021 – Biden's Inauguration Day. This was when the

'vaccinations' were seriously underway and on that day the WHO recommended after discussions with America's CDC that laboratories *lowered their testing amplification*. Dr David Samadi, a certified urologist and health writer, said the WHO was encouraging all labs to reduce their cycle count for PCR tests. He said the current cycle was much too high and was 'resulting in any particle being declared a positive case'. Even one mainstream news report I saw said this meant the number of 'Covid' infections may have been 'dramatically inflated'. Oh, just a little bit. The CDC in America issued new guidance to laboratories in April, 2021, to use 28 cycles *but only for 'vaccinated' people*. The timing of the CDC/WHO interventions were cynically designed to make it appear the 'vaccines' were responsible for falling cases and deaths when the real reason can be seen in the following examples. New York's state lab, the Wadsworth Center, identified 872 positive tests in July, 2020, based on a threshold of 40 cycles. When the figure was lowered to 35 cycles *43 percent* of the 872 were no longer 'positives'. At 30 cycles the figure was 63 percent. A Massachusetts lab found that between *85 to 90 percent* of people who tested positive in July with a cycle threshold of 40 would be negative at 30 cycles, Ashish Jha, MD, director of the Harvard Global Health Institute, said: 'I'm really shocked that it could be that high … Boy, does it really change the way we need to be thinking about testing.' I'm shocked that I could see the obvious in the spring of 2020, with no medical background, and most medical professionals still haven't worked it out. No, that's not shocking – it's terrifying.

Three weeks after the WHO directive to lower PCR cycles the London *Daily Mail* ran this headline: 'Why ARE Covid cases plummeting? New infections have fallen 45% in the US and 30% globally in the past 3 weeks but experts say vaccine is NOT the main driver because only 8% of Americans and 13% of people worldwide have received their first dose.' They acknowledged that the drop could not be attributed to the 'vaccine', but soon this morphed throughout the media into the 'vaccine' has caused cases and deaths to fall when it was the PCR threshold. In December, 2020, there was

chaos at English Channel ports with truck drivers needing negative 'Covid' tests before they could board a ferry home for Christmas. The government wanted to remove the backlog as fast as possible and they brought in troops to do the 'testing'. Out of 1,600 drivers just *36* tested positive and the rest were given the all clear to cross the Channel. I guess the authorities thought that 36 was the least they could get away with without the unquestioning catching on. The amplification trick which most people believed in the absence of information in the mainstream applied more pressure on those refusing the 'vaccine' to succumb when it 'obviously worked'. The truth was the exact opposite with deaths in care homes soaring with the 'vaccine' and in Israel the term used was 'skyrocket'. A re-analysis of published data from the Israeli Health Ministry led by Dr Hervé Seligmann at the Medicine Emerging Infectious and Tropical Diseases at Aix-Marseille University found that Pfizer's 'Covid vaccine' killed 'about 40 times more [elderly] people than the disease itself would have killed' during a five-week vaccination period and *260 times* more younger people than would have died from the 'virus' even according to the manipulated 'virus' figures. Dr Seligmann and his co-study author, Haim Yativ, declared after reviewing the Israeli 'vaccine' death data: 'This is a new Holocaust.'

Then, in mid-April, 2021, after vast numbers of people worldwide had been 'vaccinated', the story changed with clear coordination. The UK government began to prepare the ground for more future lockdowns when Nuremberg-destined Boris Johnson told yet another whopper. He said that cases had fallen because of *lockdowns* not 'vaccines'. Lockdowns are irrelevant when *there is no 'virus'* and the test and fraudulent death certificates are deciding the number of 'cases' and 'deaths'. Study after study has shown that lockdowns don't work and instead kill and psychologically destroy people. Meanwhile in the United States Anthony Fauci and Rochelle Walensky, the ultra-Zionist head of the CDC, peddled the same line. More lockdown was the answer and not the 'vaccine', a line repeated on cue by the moron that is Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau. Why all the hysteria to get everyone 'vaccinated' if lockdowns and

not 'vaccines' made the difference? None of it makes sense on the face of it. Oh, but it does. The Cult wants lockdowns *and* the 'vaccine' and if the 'vaccine' is allowed to be seen as the total answer lockdowns would no longer be justified when there are still livelihoods to destroy. 'Variants' and renewed upward manipulation of PCR amplification are planned to instigate never-ending lockdown *and* more 'vaccines'.

You *must* have it – we're desperate

Israel, where the Jewish and Arab population are ruled by the Sabbatian Cult, was the front-runner in imposing the DNA-manipulating 'vaccine' on its people to such an extent that Jewish refusers began to liken what was happening to the early years of Nazi Germany. This would seem to be a fantastic claim. Why would a government of Jewish people be acting like the Nazis did? If you realise that the Sabbatian Cult was behind the Nazis and that Sabbatians hate Jews the pieces start to fit and the question of why a 'Jewish' government would treat Jews with such callous disregard for their lives and freedom finds an answer. Those controlling the government of Israel *aren't Jewish* – they're Sabbatian. Israeli lawyer Tamir Turgal was one who made the Nazi comparison in comments to German lawyer Reiner Fuellmich who is leading a class action lawsuit against the psychopaths for crimes against humanity. Turgal described how the Israeli government was vaccinating children and pregnant women on the basis that there was no evidence that this was dangerous when they had no evidence that it *wasn't* dangerous either. They just had no evidence. This was medical experimentation and Turgal said this breached the Nuremberg Code about medical experimentation and procedures requiring informed consent and choice. Think about that. A Nuremberg Code developed because of Nazi experimentation on Jews and others in concentration camps by people like the evil-beyond-belief Josef Mengele is being breached by the *Israeli* government; but when you know that it's a *Sabbatian* government along with its intelligence and military agencies like Mossad, Shin Bet and the Israeli Defense Forces, and that Sabbatians

were the force behind the Nazis, the kaleidoscope comes into focus. What have we come to when Israeli Jews are suing their government for violating the Nuremberg Code by essentially making Israelis subject to a medical experiment using the controversial 'vaccines'? It's a shocker that this has to be done in the light of what happened in Nazi Germany. The Anshe Ha-Emet, or 'People of the Truth', made up of Israeli doctors, lawyers, campaigners and public, have launched a lawsuit with the International Criminal Court. It says:

> When the heads of the Ministry of Health as well as the prime minister presented the vaccine in Israel and began the vaccination of Israeli residents, the vaccinated were not advised, that, in practice, they are taking part in a medical experiment and that their consent is required for this under the Nuremberg Code.

The irony is unbelievable, but easily explained in one word: Sabbatians. The foundation of Israeli 'Covid' apartheid is the 'green pass' or 'green passport' which allows Jews and Arabs who have had the DNA-manipulating 'vaccine' to go about their lives – to work, fly, travel in general, go to shopping malls, bars, restaurants, hotels, concerts, gyms, swimming pools, theatres and sports venues, while non-'vaccinated' are banned from all those places and activities. Israelis have likened the 'green pass' to the yellow stars that Jews in Nazi Germany were forced to wear – the same as the yellow stickers that a branch of UK supermarket chain Morrisons told exempt mask-wears they had to display when shopping. How very sensitive. The Israeli system is blatant South African-style apartheid on the basis of compliance or non-compliance to fascism rather than colour of the skin. How appropriate that the Sabbatian Israeli government was so close to the pre-Mandela apartheid regime in Pretoria. The Sabbatian-instigated 'vaccine passport' in Israel is planned for everywhere. Sabbatians struck a deal with Pfizer that allowed them to lead the way in the percentage of a national population infused with synthetic material and the result was catastrophic. Israeli freedom activist Shai Dannon told me how chairs were appearing on beaches that said 'vaccinated only'. Health Minister Yuli Edelstein said that anyone unwilling or unable to get

the jabs that 'confer immunity' will be 'left behind'. The man's a liar. Not even the makers claim the 'vaccines' confer immunity. When you see those figures of 'vaccine' deaths these psychopaths were saying that you must take the chance the 'vaccine' will kill you or maim you while knowing it will change your DNA or lockdown for you will be permanent. That's fascism. The Israeli parliament passed a law to allow personal information of the non-vaccinated to be shared with local and national authorities for three months. This was claimed by its supporters to be a way to 'encourage' people to be vaccinated. Hadas Ziv from Physicians for Human Rights described this as a 'draconian law which crushed medical ethics and the patient rights'. But that's the idea, the Sabbatians would reply.

Your papers, please

Sabbatian Israel was leading what has been planned all along to be a global 'vaccine pass' called a 'green passport' without which you would remain in permanent lockdown restriction and unable to do anything. This is how badly – *desperately* – the Cult is to get everyone 'vaccinated'. The term and colour 'green' was not by chance and related to the psychology of fusing the perception of the green climate hoax with the 'Covid' hoax and how the 'solution' to both is the same Great Reset. Lying politicians, health officials and psychologists denied there were any plans for mandatory vaccinations or restrictions based on vaccinations, but they knew that was exactly what was meant to happen with governments of all countries reaching agreements to enforce a global system. 'Free' Denmark and 'free' Sweden unveiled digital vaccine certification. Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, and Spain have all committed to a vaccine passport system and the rest including the whole of the EU would follow. The satanic UK government will certainly go this way despite mendacious denials and at the time of writing it is trying to manipulate the public into having the 'vaccine' so they could go abroad on a summer holiday. How would that work without something to prove you had the synthetic toxicity injected into you?

Documents show that the EU's European Commission was moving towards 'vaccine certificates' in 2018 and 2019 before the 'Covid' hoax began. They knew what was coming. Abracadabra – Ursula von der Leyen, the German President of the Commission, announced in March, 2021, an EU 'Digital Green Certificate' – green again – to track the public's 'Covid status'. The passport sting is worldwide and the Far East followed the same pattern with South Korea ruling that only those with 'vaccination' passports – again the *green* pass – would be able to 'return to their daily lives'.

Bill Gates has been preparing for this 'passport' with other Cult operatives for years and beyond the paper version is a Gates-funded 'digital tattoo' to identify who has been vaccinated and who hasn't. The 'tattoo' is reported to include a substance which is externally readable to confirm who has been vaccinated. This is a bio-luminous light-generating enzyme (think fireflies) called … *Luciferase*. Yes, named after the Cult 'god' Lucifer the 'light bringer' of whom more to come. Gates said he funded the readable tattoo to ensure children in the developing world were vaccinated and no one was missed out. He cares so much about poor kids as we know. This was just the cover story to develop a vaccine tagging system for everyone on the planet. Gates has been funding the ID2020 'alliance' to do just that in league with other lovely people at Microsoft, GAVI, the Rockefeller Foundation, Accenture and IDEO.org. He said in interviews in March, 2020, before any 'vaccine' publicly existed, that the world must have a globalised digital certificate to track the 'virus' and who had been vaccinated. Gates knew from the start that the mRNA vaccines were coming and when they would come and that the plan was to tag the 'vaccinated' to marginalise the intelligent and stop them doing anything including travel. Evil just doesn't suffice. Gates was exposed for offering a $10 million bribe to the Nigerian House of Representatives to invoke compulsory 'Covid' vaccination of all Nigerians. Sara Cunial, a member of the Italian Parliament, called Gates a 'vaccine criminal'. She urged the Italian President to hand him over to the International Criminal Court for crimes against

humanity and condemned his plans to 'chip the human race' through ID2020.

You know it's a long-planned agenda when war criminal and Cult gofer Tony Blair is on the case. With the scale of arrogance only someone as dark as Blair can muster he said: 'Vaccination in the end is going to be your route to liberty.' Blair is a disgusting piece of work and he confirms that again. The media has given a lot of coverage to a bloke called Charlie Mullins, founder of London's biggest independent plumbing company, Pimlico Plumbers, who has said he won't employ anyone who has not been vaccinated or have them go to any home where people are not vaccinated. He said that if he had his way no one would be allowed to walk the streets if they have not been vaccinated. Gates was cheering at the time while I was alerting the white coats. The plan is that people will qualify for 'passports' for having the first two doses and then to keep it they will have to have all the follow ups and new ones for invented 'variants' until human genetics is transformed and many are dead who can't adjust to the changes. Hollywood celebrities – the usual propaganda stunt – are promoting something called the WELL Health-Safety Rating to verify that a building or space has 'taken the necessary steps to prioritize the health and safety of their staff, visitors and other stakeholders'. They included Lady Gaga, Jennifer Lopez, Michael B. Jordan, Robert DeNiro, Venus Williams, Wolfgang Puck, Deepak Chopra and 17th Surgeon General Richard Carmona. Yawn. WELL Health-Safety has big connections with China. Parent company Delos is headed by former Goldman Sachs partner Paul Scialla. This is another example – and we will see so many others – of using the excuse of 'health' to dictate the lives and activities of the population. I guess one confirmation of the 'safety' of buildings is that only 'vaccinated' people can go in, right?

Electronic concentration camps

I wrote decades ago about the plans to restrict travel and here we are for those who refuse to bow to tyranny. This can be achieved in one go with air travel if the aviation industry makes a blanket decree.

The 'vaccine' and guaranteed income are designed to be part of a global version of China's social credit system which tracks behaviour 24/7 and awards or deletes 'credits' based on whether your behaviour is supported by the state or not. I mean your entire lifestyle – what you do, eat, say, everything. Once your credit score falls below a certain level consequences kick in. In China tens of millions have been denied travel by air and train because of this. All the locations and activities denied to refusers by the 'vaccine' passports will be included in one big mass ban on doing almost anything for those that don't bow their head to government. It's beyond fascist and a new term is required to describe its extremes – I guess fascist technocracy will have to do. The way the Chinese system of technological – technocratic – control is sweeping the West can be seen in the Los Angeles school system and is planned to be expanded worldwide. Every child is required to have a 'Covid'-tracking app scanned daily before they can enter the classroom. The so-called Daily Pass tracking system is produced by Gates' Microsoft which I'm sure will shock you rigid. The pass will be scanned using a barcode (one step from an inside-the-body barcode) and the information will include health checks, 'Covid' tests and vaccinations. Entry codes are for one specific building only and access will only be allowed if a student or teacher has a negative test with a test not testing for the 'virus', has no symptoms of anything alleged to be related to 'Covid' (symptoms from a range of other illness), and has a temperature under 100 degrees. No barcode, no entry, is planned to be the case for everywhere and not only schools.

Kids are being psychologically prepared to accept this as 'normal' their whole life which is why what they can impose in schools is so important to the Cult and its gofers. Long-time American freedom campaigner John Whitehead of the Rutherford Institute was not exaggerating when he said: 'Databit by databit, we are building our own electronic concentration camps.' Canada under its Cult gofer prime minister Justin Trudeau has taken a major step towards the real thing with people interned against their will if they test positive with a test not testing for the 'virus' when they arrive at a Canadian

airport. They are jailed in internment hotels often without food or water for long periods and with many doors failing to lock there have been sexual assaults. The interned are being charged sometimes $2,000 for the privilege of being abused in this way. Trudeau is fully on board with the Cult and says the 'Covid pandemic' has provided an opportunity for a global 'reset' to permanently change Western civilisation. His number two, Deputy Prime Minister Chrystia Freeland, is a trustee of the World Economic Forum and a Rhodes Scholar. The Trudeau family have long been servants of the Cult. See *The Biggest Secret* and Cathy O'Brien's book *Trance-Formation of America* for the horrific background to Trudeau's father Pierre Trudeau another Canadian prime minister. Hide your fascism behind the façade of a heart-on-the-sleeve liberal. It's a well-honed Cult technique.

What can the 'vaccine' *really* do?

We have a 'virus' never shown to exist and 'variants' of the 'virus' that have also never been shown to exist except, like the 'original', as computer-generated fictions. Even if you believe there's a 'virus' the 'case' to 'death' rate is in the region of 0.23 to 0.15 percent and those 'deaths' are concentrated among the very old around the same average age that people die anyway. In response to this lack of threat (in truth none) psychopaths and idiots, knowingly and unknowingly answering to Gates and the Cult, are seeking to 'vaccinate' every man, woman and child on Planet Earth. Clearly the 'vaccine' is not about 'Covid' – none of this ever has been. So what is it all about *really*? Why the desperation to infuse genetically-manipulating synthetic material into everyone through mRNA fraudulent 'vaccines' with the intent of doing this over and over with the excuses of 'variants' and other 'virus' inventions? Dr Sherri Tenpenny, an osteopathic medical doctor in the United States, has made herself an expert on vaccines and their effects as a vehement campaigner against their use. Tenpenny was board certified in emergency medicine, the director of a level two trauma centre for 12 years, and moved to Cleveland in 1996 to start an integrative

medicine practice which has treated patients from all 50 states and some 17 other countries. Weaning people off pharmaceutical drugs is a speciality.

She became interested in the consequences of vaccines after attending a meeting at the National Vaccine Information Center in Washington DC in 2000 where she 'sat through four days of listening to medical doctors and scientists and lawyers and parents of vaccine injured kids' and asked: 'What's going on?' She had never been vaccinated and never got ill while her father was given a list of vaccines to be in the military and was 'sick his entire life'. The experience added to her questions and she began to examine vaccine documents from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). After reading the first one, the 1998 version of *The General Recommendations of Vaccination*, she thought: 'This is it?' The document was poorly written and bad science and Tenpenny began 20 years of research into vaccines that continues to this day. She began her research into 'Covid vaccines' in March, 2020, and she describes them as 'deadly'. For many, as we have seen, they already have been. Tenpenny said that in the first 30 days of the 'vaccine' rollout in the United States there had been more than 40,000 adverse events reported to the vaccine adverse event database. A document had been delivered to her the day before that was 172 pages long. 'We have over 40,000 adverse events; we have over 3,100 cases of [potentially deadly] anaphylactic shock; we have over 5,000 neurological reactions.' Effects ranged from headaches to numbness, dizziness and vertigo, to losing feeling in hands or feet and paraesthesia which is when limbs 'fall asleep' and people have the sensation of insects crawling underneath their skin. All this happened in the first 30 days and remember that only about *ten percent* (or far less) of adverse reactions and vaccine-related deaths are estimated to be officially reported. Tenpenny said:

So can you think of one single product in any industry, any industry, for as long as products have been made on the planet that within 30 days we have 40,000 people complaining of side effects that not only is still on the market but … we've got paid actors telling us how great

they are for getting their vaccine. We're offering people $500 if they will just get their vaccine and we've got nurses and doctors going; 'I got the vaccine, I got the vaccine'.

Tenpenny said they were not going to be 'happy dancing folks' when they began to suffer Bell's palsy (facial paralysis), neuropathies, cardiac arrhythmias and autoimmune reactions that kill through a blood disorder. 'They're not going to be so happy, happy then, but we're never going to see pictures of those people' she said. Tenpenny described the 'vaccine' as 'a well-designed killing tool'.

No off-switch

Bad as the initial consequences had been Tenpenny said it would be maybe 14 months before we began to see the 'full ravage' of what is going to happen to the 'Covid vaccinated' with full-out consequences taking anything between two years and 20 years to show. You can understand why when you consider that variations of the 'Covid vaccine' use mRNA (messenger RNA) to in theory activate the immune system to produce protective antibodies without using the actual 'virus'. How can they when it's a computer program and they've never isolated what they claim is the 'real thing'? Instead they use *synthetic* mRNA. They are inoculating synthetic material into the body which through a technique known as the Trojan horse is absorbed into cells to change the nature of DNA. Human DNA is changed by an infusion of messenger RNA and with each new 'vaccine' of this type it is changed even more. Say so and you are banned by Cult Internet platforms. The contempt the contemptuous Mark Zuckerberg has for the truth and human health can be seen in an internal Facebook video leaked to the Project Veritas investigative team in which he said of the 'Covid vaccines': '… I share some caution on this because we just don't know the long term side-effects of basically modifying people's DNA and RNA.' At the same time this disgusting man's Facebook was censoring and banning anyone saying exactly the same. He must go before a Nuremberg trial for crimes against humanity when he *knows* that he

is censoring legitimate concerns and denying the right of informed consent on behalf of the Cult that owns him. People have been killed and damaged by the very 'vaccination' technique he cast doubt on himself when they may not have had the 'vaccine' with access to information that he denied them. The plan is to have at least annual 'Covid vaccinations', add others to deal with invented 'variants', and change all other vaccines into the mRNA system. Pfizer executives told shareholders at a virtual Barclays Global Healthcare Conference in March, 2021, that the public may need a third dose of 'Covid vaccine', plus regular yearly boosters and the company planned to hike prices to milk the profits in a 'significant opportunity for our vaccine'. These are the professional liars, cheats and opportunists who are telling you their 'vaccine' is safe. Given this volume of mRNA planned to be infused into the human body and its ability to then replicate we will have a transformation of human genetics from biological to synthetic biological – exactly the long-time Cult plan for reasons we'll see – and many will die. Sherri Tenpenny said of this replication:

> It's like having an on-button but no off-button and that whole mechanism … they actually give it a name and they call it the Trojan horse mechanism, because it allows that [synthetic] virus and that piece of that [synthetic] virus to get inside of your cells, start to replicate and even get inserted into other parts of your DNA as a Trojan-horse.

Ask the overwhelming majority of people who have the 'vaccine' what they know about the contents and what they do and they would reply: 'The government says it will stop me getting the virus.' Governments give that false impression on purpose to increase take-up. You can read Sherri Tenpenny's detailed analysis of the health consequences in her blog at Vaxxter.com, but in summary these are some of them. She highlights the statement by Bill Gates about how human beings can become their own 'vaccine manufacturing machine'. The man is insane. ['Vaccine'-generated] 'antibodies' carry synthetic messenger RNA into the cells and the damage starts, Tenpenny contends, and she says that lungs can be adversely affected through varying degrees of pus and bleeding which

obviously affects breathing and would be dubbed 'Covid-19'. Even more sinister was the impact of 'antibodies' on macrophages, a white blood cell of the immune system. They consist of Type 1 and Type 2 which have very different functions. She said Type 1 are 'hyper-vigilant' white blood cells which 'gobble up' bacteria etc. However, in doing so, this could cause inflammation and in extreme circumstances be fatal. She says these affects are mitigated by Type 2 macrophages which kick in to calm down the system and stop it going rogue. They clear up dead tissue debris and reduce inflammation that the Type 1 'fire crews' have caused. Type 1 kills the infection and Type 2 heals the damage, she says. This is her punchline with regard to 'Covid vaccinations': She says that mRNA 'antibodies' block Type 2 macrophages by attaching to them and deactivating them. This meant that when the Type 1 response was triggered by infection there was nothing to stop that getting out of hand by calming everything down. There's an on-switch, but no off-switch, she says. What follows can be 'over and out, see you when I see you'.

Genetic suicide

Tenpenny also highlights the potential for autoimmune disease – the body attacking itself – which has been associated with vaccines since they first appeared. Infusing a synthetic foreign substance into cells could cause the immune system to react in a panic believing that the body is being overwhelmed by an invader (it is) and the consequences can again be fatal. There is an autoimmune response known as a 'cytokine storm' which I have likened to a homeowner panicked by an intruder and picking up a gun to shoot randomly in all directions before turning the fire on himself. The immune system unleashes a storm of inflammatory response called cytokines to a threat and the body commits hara-kiri. The lesson is that you mess with the body's immune response at your peril and these 'vaccines' seriously – fundamentally – mess with immune response. Tenpenny refers to a consequence called anaphylactic shock which is a severe and highly dangerous allergic reaction when the immune system

floods the body with chemicals. She gives the example of having a bee sting which primes the immune system and makes it sensitive to those chemicals. When people are stung again maybe years later the immune response can be so powerful that it leads to anaphylactic shock. Tenpenny relates this 'shock' with regard to the 'Covid vaccine' to something called polyethylene glycol or PEG. Enormous numbers of people have become sensitive to this over decades of use in a whole range of products and processes including food, drink, skin creams and 'medicine'. Studies have claimed that some 72 percent of people have antibodies triggered by PEG compared with two percent in the 1960s and allergic hypersensitive reactions to this become a gathering cause for concern. Tenpenny points out that the 'mRNA vaccine' is coated in a 'bubble' of polyethylene glycol which has the potential to cause anaphylactic shock through immune sensitivity. Many reports have appeared of people reacting this way after having the 'Covid vaccine'. What do we think is going to happen as humanity has more and more of these 'vaccines'? Tenpenny said: 'All these pictures we have seen with people with these rashes … these weepy rashes, big reactions on their arms and things like that – it's an acute allergic reaction most likely to the polyethylene glycol that you've been previously primed and sensitised to.'

Those who have not studied the conspiracy and its perpetrators at length might think that making the population sensitive to PEG and then putting it in these 'vaccines' is just a coincidence. It is not. It is instead testament to how carefully and coldly-planned current events have been and the scale of the conspiracy we are dealing with. Tenpenny further explains that the 'vaccine' mRNA procedure can breach the blood-brain barrier which protects the brain from toxins and other crap that will cause malfunction. In this case they could make two proteins corrupt brain function to cause Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) , a progressive nervous system disease leading to loss of muscle control, and frontal lobe degeneration – Alzheimer's and dementia. Immunologist J. Bart Classon published a paper connecting mRNA 'vaccines' to prion

disease which can lead to Alzheimer's and other forms of neurogenerative disease while others have pointed out the potential to affect the placenta in ways that make women infertile. This will become highly significant in the next chapter when I will discuss other aspects of this non-vaccine that relate to its nanotechnology and transmission from the injected to the uninjected.

Qualified in idiocy

Tenpenny describes how research has confirmed that these 'vaccine'-generated antibodies can interact with a range of other tissues in the body and attack many other organs including the lungs. 'This means that if you have a hundred people standing in front of you that all got this shot they could have a hundred different symptoms.' Anyone really think that Cult gofers like the Queen, Tony Blair, Christopher Whitty, Anthony Fauci, and all the other psychopaths have really had this 'vaccine' in the pictures we've seen? Not a bloody chance. Why don't doctors all tell us about all these dangers and consequences of the 'Covid vaccine'? Why instead do they encourage and pressure patients to have the shot? Don't let's think for a moment that doctors and medical staff can't be stupid, lazy, and psychopathic and that's without the financial incentives to give the jab. Tenpenny again:

> Some people are going to die from the vaccine directly but a large number of people are going to start to get horribly sick and get all kinds of autoimmune diseases 42 days to maybe a year out. What are they going to do, these stupid doctors who say; 'Good for you for getting that vaccine.' What are they going to say; 'Oh, it must be a mutant, we need to give an extra dose of that vaccine.'
>
> Because now the vaccine, instead of one dose or two doses we need three or four because the stupid physicians aren't taking the time to learn anything about it. If I can learn this sitting in my living room reading a 19 page paper and several others so can they. There's nothing special about me, I just take the time to do it.

Remember how Sara Kayat, the NHS and TV doctor, said that the 'Covid vaccine' would '100 percent prevent hospitalisation and death'. Doctors can be idiots like every other profession and they

should not be worshipped as infallible. They are not and far from it. Behind many medical and scientific 'experts' lies an uninformed prat trying to hide themselves from you although in the 'Covid' era many have failed to do so as with UK narrative-repeating 'TV doctor' Hilary Jones. Pushing back against the minority of proper doctors and scientists speaking out against the 'vaccine' has been the entire edifice of the Cult global state in the form of governments, medical systems, corporations, mainstream media, Silicon Valley, and an army of compliant doctors, medical staff and scientists willing to say anything for money and to enhance their careers by promoting the party line. If you do that you are an 'expert' and if you won't you are an 'anti-vaxxer' and 'Covidiot'. The pressure to be 'vaccinated' is incessant. We have even had reports claiming that the 'vaccine' can help cure cancer and Alzheimer's and make the lame walk. I am waiting for the announcement that it can bring you coffee in the morning and cook your tea. Just as the symptoms of 'Covid' seem to increase by the week so have the miracles of the 'vaccine'. American supermarket giant Kroger Co. offered nearly 500,000 employees in 35 states a $100 bonus for having the 'vaccine' while donut chain Krispy Kreme promised 'vaccinated' customers a free glazed donut every day for the rest of 2021. Have your DNA changed and you will get a doughnut although we might not have to give you them for long. Such offers and incentives confirm the desperation.

Perhaps the worse vaccine-stunt of them all was UK 'Health' Secretary Matt-the-prat Hancock on live TV after watching a clip of someone being 'vaccinated' when the roll-out began. Hancock faked tears so badly it was embarrassing. Brain-of-Britain Piers Morgan, the lockdown-supporting, 'vaccine' supporting, 'vaccine' passport-supporting, TV host played along with Hancock – 'You're quite emotional about that' he said in response to acting so atrocious it would have been called out at a school nativity which will presumably today include Mary and Jesus in masks, wise men keeping their camels six feet apart, and shepherds under tent arrest. System-serving Morgan tweeted this: 'Love the idea of covid vaccine passports for everywhere: flights, restaurants, clubs, football, gyms,

shops etc. It's time covid-denying, anti-vaxxer loonies had their bullsh*t bluff called & bar themselves from going anywhere that responsible citizens go.' If only I could aspire to his genius. To think that Morgan, who specialises in shouting over anyone he disagrees with, was lauded as a free speech hero when he lost his job after storming off the set of his live show like a child throwing his dolly out of the pram. If he is a free speech hero we are in real trouble. I have no idea what 'bullsh*t' means, by the way, the * throws me completely.

The Cult is desperate to infuse its synthetic DNA-changing concoction into everyone and has been using every lie, trick and intimidation to do so. The question of '*Why*?' we shall now address.

CHAPTER TEN

Human 2.0

I believe that at the end of the century the use of words and general educated opinion will have altered so much that one will be able to speak of machines thinking without expecting to be contradicted –
Alan Turing (1912-1954), the 'Father of artificial intelligence'

I have been exposing for decades the plan to transform the human body from a biological to a synthetic-biological state. The new human that I will call Human 2.0 is planned to be connected to artificial intelligence and a global AI 'Smart Grid' that would operate as one global system in which AI would control everything from your fridge to your heating system to your car to your mind. Humans would no longer be 'human', but post-human and sub-human, with their thinking and emotional processes replaced by AI.

What I said sounded crazy and beyond science fiction and I could understand that. To any balanced, rational, mind it *is* crazy. Today, however, that world is becoming reality and it puts the 'Covid vaccine' into its true context. Ray Kurzweil is the ultra-Zionist 'computer scientist, inventor and futurist' and co-founder of the Singularity University. Singularity refers to the merging of humans with machines or 'transhumanism'. Kurzweil has said humanity would be connected to the cyber 'cloud' in the period of the ever-recurring year of 2030:

Our thinking … will be a hybrid of biological and non-biological thinking … humans will be able to extend their limitations and 'think in the cloud' … We're going to put gateways to the

cloud in our brains ... We're going to gradually merge and enhance ourselves ... In my view, that's the nature of being human – we transcend our limitations. As the technology becomes vastly superior to what we are then the small proportion that is still human gets smaller and smaller and smaller until it's just utterly negligible.

They are trying to sell this end-of-humanity-as-we-know-it as the next stage of 'evolution' when we become super-human and 'like the gods'. They are lying to you. Shocked, eh? The population, and again especially the young, have been manipulated into addiction to technologies designed to enslave them for life. First they induced an addiction to smartphones (holdables); next they moved to technology on the body (wearables); and then began the invasion of the body (implantables). I warned way back about the plan for microchipped people and we are now entering that era. We should not be diverted into thinking that this refers only to chips we can see. Most important are the nanochips known as smart dust, neural dust and nanobots which are far too small to be seen by the human eye. Nanotechnology is everywhere, increasingly in food products, and released into the atmosphere by the geoengineering of the skies funded by Bill Gates to 'shut out the Sun' and 'save the planet from global warming'. Gates has been funding a project to spray millions of tonnes of chalk (calcium carbonate) into the stratosphere over Sweden to 'dim the Sun' and cool the Earth. Scientists warned the move could be disastrous for weather systems in ways no one can predict and opposition led to the Swedish space agency announcing that the 'experiment' would not be happening as planned in the summer of 2021; but it shows where the Cult is going with dimming the impact of the Sun and there's an associated plan to change the planet's atmosphere. Who gives psychopath Gates the right to dictate to the entire human race and dismantle planetary systems? The world will not be safe while this man is at large.

The global warming hoax has made the Sun, like the gas of life, something to fear when both are essential to good health and human survival (more inversion). The body transforms sunlight into vital vitamin D through a process involving … *cholesterol*. This is the cholesterol we are also told to fear. We are urged to take Big Pharma

statin drugs to reduce cholesterol and it's all systematic. Reducing cholesterol means reducing vitamin D uptake with all the multiple health problems that will cause. At least if you take statins long term it saves the government from having to pay you a pension. The delivery system to block sunlight is widely referred to as chemtrails although these have a much deeper agenda, too. They appear at first to be contrails or condensation trails streaming from aircraft into cold air at high altitudes. Contrails disperse very quickly while chemtrails do not and spread out across the sky before eventually their content falls to earth. Many times I have watched aircraft cross-cross a clear blue sky releasing chemtrails until it looks like a cloudy day. Chemtrails contain many things harmful to humans and the natural world including toxic heavy metals, aluminium (see Alzheimer's) and nanotechnology. Ray Kurzweil reveals the reason without actually saying so: 'Nanobots will infuse all the matter around us with information. Rocks, trees, everything will become these intelligent creatures.' How do you deliver that? *From the sky*. Self-replicating nanobots would connect everything to the Smart Grid. The phenomenon of Morgellons disease began in the chemtrail era and the correlation has led to it being dubbed the 'chemtrail disease'. Self-replicating fibres appear in the body that can be pulled out through the skin. Morgellons fibres continue to grow outside the body and have a form of artificial intelligence. I cover this at greater length in *Phantom Self*.

'Vaccine' operating system

'Covid vaccines' with their self-replicating synthetic material are also designed to make the connection between humanity and Kurzweil's 'cloud'. American doctor and dedicated campaigner for truth, Carrie Madej, an Internal Medicine Specialist in Georgia with more than 20 years medical experience, has highlighted the nanotechnology aspect of the fake 'vaccines'. She explains how one of the components in at least the Moderna and Pfizer synthetic potions are 'lipid nanoparticles' which are 'like little tiny computer bits' – a 'sci-fi substance' known as nanobots and hydrogel which can be 'triggered

at any moment to deliver its payload' and act as 'biosensors'. The synthetic substance had 'the ability to accumulate data from your body like your breathing, your respiration, thoughts and emotions, all kind of things' and each syringe could carry a *million* nanobots:

This substance because it's like little bits of computers in your body, crazy, but it's true, it can do that, [and] obviously has the ability to act through Wi-Fi. It can receive and transmit energy, messages, frequencies or impulses. That issue has never been addressed by these companies. What does that do to the human?

Just imagine getting this substance in you and it can react to things all around you, the 5G, your smart device, your phones, what is happening with that? What if something is triggering it, too, like an impulse, a frequency? We have something completely foreign in the human body.

Madej said her research revealed that electromagnetic (EMF) frequencies emitted by phones and other devices had increased dramatically in the same period of the 'vaccine' rollout and she was seeing more people with radiation problems as 5G and other electromagnetic technology was expanded and introduced to schools and hospitals. She said she was 'floored with the EMF coming off' the devices she checked. All this makes total sense and syncs with my own work of decades when you think that Moderna refers in documents to its mRNA 'vaccine' as an 'operating system':

Recognizing the broad potential of mRNA science, we set out to create an mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer. It is designed so that it can plug and play interchangeably with different programs. In our case, the 'program' or 'app' is our mRNA drug – the unique mRNA sequence that codes for a protein …

… Our MRNA Medicines – 'The 'Software Of Life': When we have a concept for a new mRNA medicine and begin research, fundamental components are already in place. Generally, the only thing that changes from one potential mRNA medicine to another is the coding region – the actual genetic code that instructs ribosomes to make protein. Utilizing these instruction sets gives our investigational mRNA medicines a software-like quality. We also have the ability to combine different mRNA sequences encoding for different proteins in a single mRNA investigational medicine.

Who needs a real 'virus' when you can create a computer version to justify infusing your operating system into the entire human race on the road to making living, breathing people into cyborgs? What is missed with the 'vaccines' is the *digital* connection between synthetic material and the body that I highlighted earlier with the study that hacked a computer with human DNA. On one level the body is digital, based on mathematical codes, and I'll have more about that in the next chapter. Those who ridiculously claim that mRNA 'vaccines' are not designed to change human genetics should explain the words of Dr Tal Zaks, chief medical officer at Moderna, in a 2017 TED talk. He said that over the last 30 years 'we've been living this phenomenal digital scientific revolution, and I'm here today to tell you, that we are actually *hacking the software of life*, and that it's changing the way we think about prevention and treatment of disease':

In every cell there's this thing called messenger RNA, or mRNA for short, that transmits the critical information from the DNA in our genes to the protein, which is really the stuff we're all made out of. This is the critical information that determines what the cell will do. So we think about it as an operating system. So if you could change that, if you could introduce a line of code, or change a line of code, it turns out, that has profound implications for everything, from the flu to cancer.

Zaks should more accurately have said that this has profound implications for the human genetic code and the nature of DNA. Communications within the body go both ways and not only one. But, hey, no, the 'Covid vaccine' will not affect your genetics. Cult fact-checkers say so even though the man who helped to develop the mRNA technique says that it does. Zaks said in 2017:

If you think about what it is we're trying to do. We've taken information and our understanding of that information and how that information is transmitted in a cell, and we've taken our understanding of medicine and how to make drugs, and we're fusing the two. We think of it as information therapy.

I have been writing for decades that the body is an information field communicating with itself and the wider world. This is why

radiation which is information can change the information field of body and mind through phenomena like 5G and change their nature and function. 'Information therapy' means to change the body's information field and change the way it operates. DNA is a receiver-transmitter of information and can be mutated by information like mRNA synthetic messaging. Technology to do this has been ready and waiting in the underground bases and other secret projects to be rolled out when the 'Covid' hoax was played. 'Trials' of such short and irrelevant duration were only for public consumption. When they say the 'vaccine' is 'experimental' that is not true. It may appear to be 'experimental' to those who don't know what's going on, but the trials have already been done to ensure the Cult gets the result it desires. Zaks said that it took decades to sequence the human genome, completed in 2003, but now they could do it in a week. By 'they' he means scientists operating in the public domain. In the secret projects they were sequencing the genome in a week long before even 2003.

Deluge of mRNA

Highly significantly the Moderna document says the guiding premise is that if using mRNA as a medicine works for one disease then it should work for many diseases. They were leveraging the flexibility afforded by their platform and the fundamental role mRNA plays in protein synthesis to pursue mRNA medicines for a broad spectrum of diseases. Moderna is confirming what I was saying through 2020 that multiple 'vaccines' were planned for 'Covid' (and later invented 'variants') and that previous vaccines would be converted to the mRNA system to infuse the body with massive amounts of genetically-manipulating synthetic material to secure a transformation to a synthetic-biological state. The 'vaccines' are designed to kill stunning numbers as part of the long-exposed Cult depopulation agenda and transform the rest. Given this is the goal you can appreciate why there is such hysterical demand for every human to be 'vaccinated' for an alleged 'disease' that has an estimated 'infection' to 'death' ratio of 0.23-0.15 percent. As I write

children are being given the 'vaccine' in trials (their parents are a disgrace) and ever-younger people are being offered the vaccine for a 'virus' that even if you believe it exists has virtually zero chance of harming them. Horrific effects of the 'trials' on a 12-year-old girl were revealed by a family member to be serious brain and gastric problems that included a bowel obstruction and the inability to swallow liquids or solids. She was unable to eat or drink without throwing up, had extreme pain in her back, neck and abdomen, and was paralysed from the waist down which stopped her urinating unaided. When the girl was first taken to hospital doctors said it was all in her mind. She was signed up for the 'trial' by her parents for whom no words suffice. None of this 'Covid vaccine' insanity makes any sense unless you see what the 'vaccine' really is – a body-changer. Synthetic biology or 'SynBio' is a fast-emerging and expanding scientific discipline which includes everything from genetic and molecular engineering to electrical and computer engineering. Synthetic biology is defined in these ways:

- A multidisciplinary area of research that seeks to create new biological parts, devices, and systems, or to redesign systems that are already found in nature.

- The use of a mixture of physical engineering and genetic engineering to create new (and therefore synthetic) life forms.

- An emerging field of research that aims to combine the knowledge and methods of biology, engineering and related disciplines in the design of chemically-synthesized DNA to create organisms with novel or enhanced characteristics and traits (synthetic organisms including humans).

We now have synthetic blood, skin, organs and limbs being developed along with synthetic body parts produced by 3D printers. These are all elements of the synthetic human programme and this comment by Kurzweil's co-founder of the Singularity University,

Peter Diamandis, can be seen in a whole new light with the 'Covid' hoax and the sanctions against those that refuse the 'vaccine':

Anybody who is going to be resisting the progress forward [to transhumanism] is going to be resisting evolution and, fundamentally, they will die out. It's not a matter of whether it's good or bad. It's going to happen.

'Resisting evolution'? What absolute bollocks. The arrogance of these people is without limit. His 'it's going to happen' mantra is another way of saying 'resistance is futile' to break the spirit of those pushing back and we must not fall for it. Getting this genetically-transforming 'vaccine' into everyone is crucial to the Cult plan for total control and the desperation to achieve that is clear for anyone to see. Vaccine passports are a major factor in this and they, too, are a form of resistance is futile. It's NOT. The paper funded by the Rockefeller Foundation for the 2013 'health conference' in China said:

We will interact more with artificial intelligence. The use of robotics, bio-engineering to augment human functioning is already well underway and will advance. Re-engineering of humans into potentially separate and unequal forms through genetic engineering or mixed human-robots raises debates on ethics and equality.

A new demography is projected to emerge after 2030 [that year again] of technologies (robotics, genetic engineering, nanotechnology) producing robots, engineered organisms, 'nanobots' and artificial intelligence (AI) that can self-replicate. Debates will grow on the implications of an impending reality of human designed life.

What is happening today is so long planned. The world army enforcing the will of the world government is intended to be a robot army, not a human one. Today's military and its technologically 'enhanced' troops, pilotless planes and driverless vehicles are just stepping stones to that end. Human soldiers are used as Cult fodder and its time they woke up to that and worked for the freedom of the population instead of their own destruction and their family's destruction – the same with the police. Join us and let's sort this out. The phenomenon of enforce my own destruction is widespread in the 'Covid' era with Woker 'luvvies' in the acting and entertainment

industries supporting 'Covid' rules which have destroyed their profession and the same with those among the public who put signs on the doors of their businesses 'closed due to Covid – stay safe' when many will never reopen. It's a form of masochism and most certainly insanity.

Transgender = transhumanism

When something explodes out of nowhere and is suddenly everywhere it is always the Cult agenda and so it is with the tidal wave of claims and demands that have infiltrated every aspect of society under the heading of 'transgenderism'. The term 'trans' is so 'in' and this is the dictionary definition:

> A prefix meaning 'across', 'through', occurring … in loanwords from Latin, used in particular for denoting movement or conveyance from place to place (transfer; transmit; transplant) or complete change (transform; transmute), or to form adjectives meaning 'crossing', 'on the other side of', or 'going beyond' the place named (transmontane; transnational; trans-Siberian).

Transgender means to go beyond gender and transhuman means to go beyond human. Both are aspects of the Cult plan to transform the human body to a synthetic state with *no gender*. Human 2.0 is not designed to procreate and would be produced technologically with no need for parents. The new human would mean the end of parents and so men, and increasingly women, are being targeted for the deletion of their rights and status. Parental rights are disappearing at an ever-quickening speed for the same reason. The new human would have no need for men or women when there is no procreation and no gender. Perhaps the transgender movement that appears to be in a permanent state of frenzy might now contemplate on how it is being used. This was never about transgender rights which are only the interim excuse for confusing gender, particularly in the young, on the road to *fusing* gender. Transgender activism is not an end; it is a *means* to an end. We see again the technique of creative destruction in which you destroy the status quo to 'build back better' in the form that you want. The gender status quo had to be

destroyed by persuading the Cult-created Woke mentality to believe that you can have 100 genders or more. A programme for 9 to 12 year olds produced by the Cult-owned BBC promoted the 100 genders narrative. The very idea may be the most monumental nonsense, but it is not what is true that counts, only what you can make people *believe* is true. Once the gender of 2 + 2 = 4 has been dismantled through indoctrination, intimidation and 2 + 2 = 5 then the new no-gender normal can take its place with Human 2.0. Aldous Huxley revealed the plan in his prophetic *Brave New World* in 1932:

> Natural reproduction has been done away with and children are created, decanted', and raised in 'hatcheries and conditioning centres'. From birth, people are genetically designed to fit into one of five castes, which are further split into 'Plus' and 'Minus' members and designed to fulfil predetermined positions within the social and economic strata of the World State.

How could Huxley know this in 1932? For the same reason George Orwell knew about the Big Brother state in 1948, Cult insiders I have quoted knew about it in 1969, and I have known about it since the early 1990s. If you are connected to the Cult or you work your balls off to uncover the plan you can predict the future. The process is simple. If there is a plan for the world and nothing intervenes to stop it then it will happen. Thus if you communicate the plan ahead of time you are perceived to have predicted the future, but you haven't. You have revealed the plan which without intervention will become the human future. The whole reason I have done what I have is to alert enough people to inspire an intervention and maybe at last that time has come with the Cult and its intentions now so obvious to anyone with a brain in working order.

The future is here

Technological wombs that Huxley described to replace parent procreation are already being developed and they are only the projects we know about in the public arena. Israeli scientists told *The Times of Israel* in March, 2021, that they have grown 250-cell embryos

into mouse foetuses with fully formed organs using artificial wombs in a development they say could pave the way for gestating humans outside the womb. Professor Jacob Hanna of the Weizmann Institute of Science said:

> We took mouse embryos from the mother at day five of development, when they are just of 250 cells, and had them in the incubator from day five until day 11, by which point they had grown all their organs.
>
> By day 11 they make their own blood and have a beating heart, a fully developed brain. Anybody would look at them and say, 'this is clearly a mouse foetus with all the characteristics of a mouse.' It's gone from being a ball of cells to being an advanced foetus.

A special liquid is used to nourish embryo cells in a laboratory dish and they float on the liquid to duplicate the first stage of embryonic development. The incubator creates all the right conditions for its development, Hanna said. The liquid gives the embryo 'all the nutrients, hormones and sugars they need' along with a custom-made electronic incubator which controls gas concentration, pressure and temperature. The cutting-edge in the underground bases and other secret locations will be light years ahead of that, however, and this was reported by the London *Guardian* in 2017:

> We are approaching a biotechnological breakthrough. Ectogenesis, the invention of a complete external womb, could completely change the nature of human reproduction. In April this year, researchers at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia announced their development of an artificial womb.

The article was headed 'Artificial wombs could soon be a reality. What will this mean for women?' What would it mean for children is an even bigger question. No mother to bond with only a machine in preparation for a life of soulless interaction and control in a world governed by machines (see the *Matrix* movies). Now observe the calculated manipulations of the 'Covid' hoax as human interaction and warmth has been curtailed by distancing, isolation and fear with people communicating via machines on a scale never seen before.

These are all dots in the same picture as are all the personal assistants, gadgets and children's toys through which kids and adults communicate with AI as if it is human. The AI 'voice' on Sat-Nav should be included. All these things are psychological preparation for the Cult endgame. Before you can make a physical connection with AI you have to make a psychological connection and that is what people are being conditioned to do with this ever gathering human-AI interaction. Movies and TV programmes depicting the transhuman, robot dystopia relate to a phenomenon known as 'pre-emptive programming' in which the world that is planned is portrayed everywhere in movies, TV and advertising. This is conditioning the conscious and subconscious mind to become familiar with the planned reality to dilute resistance when it happens for real. What would have been a shock such is the change is made less so. We have young children put on the road to transgender transition surgery with puberty blocking drugs at an age when they could never be able to make those life-changing decisions.

Rachel Levine, a professor of paediatrics and psychiatry who believes in treating children this way, became America's highest-ranked openly-transgender official when she was confirmed as US Assistant Secretary at the Department of Health and Human Services after being nominated by Joe Biden (the Cult). Activists and governments press for laws to deny parents a say in their children's transition process so the kids can be isolated and manipulated into agreeing to irreversible medical procedures. A Canadian father Robert Hoogland was denied bail by the Vancouver Supreme Court in 2021 and remained in jail for breaching a court order that he stay silent over his young teenage daughter, a minor, who was being offered life-changing hormone therapy without parental consent. At the age of 12 the girl's 'school counsellor' said she may be transgender, referred her to a doctor and told the school to treat her like a boy. This is another example of state-serving schools imposing ever more control over children's lives while parents have ever less.

Contemptible and extreme child abuse is happening all over the world as the Cult gender-fusion operation goes into warp-speed.

Why the war on men – and now women?

The question about what artificial wombs mean for women should rightly be asked. The answer can be seen in the deletion of women's rights involving sport, changing rooms, toilets and status in favour of people in male bodies claiming to identify as women. I can identify as a mountain climber, but it doesn't mean I can climb a mountain any more than a biological man can be a biological woman. To believe so is a triumph of belief over factual reality which is the very perceptual basis of everything Woke. Women's sport is being destroyed by allowing those with male bodies who say they identify as female to 'compete' with girls and women. Male body 'women' dominate 'women's' competition with their greater muscle mass, bone density, strength and speed. With that disadvantage sport for women loses all meaning. To put this in perspective nearly 300 American high school boys can run faster than the quickest woman sprinter in the world. Women are seeing their previously protected spaces invaded by male bodies simply because they claim to identify as women. That's all they need to do to access all women's spaces and activities under the Biden 'Equality Act' that destroys equality for women with the usual Orwellian Woke inversion. Male sex offenders have already committed rapes in women's prisons after claiming to identify as women to get them transferred. Does this not matter to the Woke 'equality' hypocrites? Not in the least. What matters to Cult manipulators and funders behind transgender activists is to advance gender fusion on the way to the no-gender 'human'. When you are seeking to impose transparent nonsense like this, or the 'Covid' hoax, the only way the nonsense can prevail is through censorship and intimidation of dissenters, deletion of factual information, and programming of the unquestioning, bewildered and naive. You don't have to scan the world for long to see that all these things are happening.

Many women's rights organisations have realised that rights and status which took such a long time to secure are being eroded and that it is systematic. Kara Dansky of the global Women's Human Rights Campaign said that Biden's transgender executive order immediately he took office, subsequent orders, and Equality Act legislation that followed 'seek to erase women and girls in the law as a category'. *Exactly*. I said during the long ago-started war on men (in which many women play a crucial part) that this was going to turn into a war on them. The Cult is phasing out *both* male and female genders. To get away with that they are brought into conflict so they are busy fighting each other while the Cult completes the job with no unity of response. Unity, people, *unity*. We need unity everywhere. Transgender is the only show in town as the big step towards the no-gender human. It's not about rights for transgender people and never has been. Woke political correctness is deleting words relating to genders to the same end. Wokers believe this is to be 'inclusive' when the opposite is true. They are deleting words describing gender because gender *itself* is being deleted by Human 2.0. Terms like 'man', 'woman', 'mother' and 'father' are being deleted in the universities and other institutions to be replaced by the *no*-gender, not trans-gender, 'individuals' and 'guardians'. Women's rights campaigner Maria Keffler of Partners for Ethical Care said: 'Children are being taught from kindergarten upward that some boys have a vagina, some girls have a penis, and that kids can be any gender they want to be.' Do we really believe that suddenly countries all over the world at the same time had the idea of having drag queens go into schools or read transgender stories to very young children in the local library? It's coldly-calculated confusion of gender on the way to the fusion of gender. Suzanne Vierling, a psychologist from Southern California, made another important point:

> Yesterday's slave woman who endured gynecological medical experiments is today's girl-child being butchered in a booming gender-transitioning sector. Ovaries removed, pushing her into menopause and osteoporosis, uncharted territory, and parents' rights and authority decimated.

The erosion of parental rights is a common theme in line with the Cult plans to erase the very concept of parents and 'ovaries removed, pushing her into menopause' means what? Those born female lose the ability to have children – another way to discontinue humanity as we know it.

Eliminating Human 1.0 (before our very eyes)

To pave the way for Human 2.0 you must phase out Human 1.0. This is happening through plummeting sperm counts and making women infertile through an onslaught of chemicals, radiation (including smartphones in pockets of men) and mRNA 'vaccines'. Common agriculture pesticides are also having a devastating impact on human fertility. I have been tracking collapsing sperm counts in the books for a long time and in 2021 came a book by fertility scientist and reproductive epidemiologist Shanna Swan, *Count Down: How Our Modern World Is Threatening Sperm Counts, Altering Male and Female Reproductive Development and Imperiling the Future of the Human Race*. She reports how the global fertility rate dropped by *half* between 1960 and 2016 with America's birth rate 16 percent below where it needs to be to sustain the population. Women are experiencing declining egg quality, more miscarriages, and more couples suffer from infertility. Other findings were an increase in erectile dysfunction, infant boys developing more genital abnormalities, male problems with conception, and plunging levels of the male hormone testosterone which would explain why so many men have lost their backbone and masculinity. This has been very evident during the 'Covid' hoax when women have been prominent among the Pushbackers and big strapping blokes have bowed their heads, covered their faces with a nappy and quietly submitted. Mind control expert Cathy O'Brien also points to how global education introduced the concept of 'we're all winners' in sport and classrooms: 'Competition was defused, and it in turn defused a sense of fighting back.' This is another version of the 'equity' doctrine in which you drive down rather than raise up. What a contrast in Cult-controlled China with its global ambitions

where the government published plans in January, 2021, to 'cultivate masculinity' in boys from kindergarten through to high school in the face of a 'masculinity crisis'. A government adviser said boys would be soon become 'delicate, timid and effeminate' unless action was taken. Don't expect any similar policy in the targeted West. A 2006 study showed that a 65-year-old man in 2002 had testosterone levels *15 percent* lower than a 65-year-old man in 1987 while a 2020 study found a similar story with young adults and adolescents. Men are getting prescriptions for testosterone replacement therapy which causes an even greater drop in sperm count with up to 99 percent seeing sperm counts drop to zero during the treatment. More sperm is defective and malfunctioning with some having two heads or not pursuing an egg.

A class of *synthetic* chemicals known as phthalates are being blamed for the decline. These are found everywhere in plastics, shampoos, cosmetics, furniture, flame retardants, personal care products, pesticides, canned foods and even receipts. Why till receipts? Everyone touches them. Let no one delude themselves that all this is not systematic to advance the long-time agenda for human body transformation. Phthalates mimic hormones and disrupt the hormone balance causing testosterone to fall and genital birth defects in male infants. Animals and fish have been affected in the same way due to phthalates and other toxins in rivers. When fish turn gay or change sex through chemicals in rivers and streams it is a pointer to why there has been such an increase in gay people and the sexually confused. It doesn't matter to me what sexuality people choose to be, but if it's being affected by chemical pollution and consumption then we need to know. Does anyone really think that this is not connected to the transgender agenda, the war on men and the condemnation of male 'toxic masculinity'? You watch this being followed by 'toxic femininity'. It's already happening. When breastfeeding becomes 'chest-feeding', pregnant women become pregnant people along with all the other Woke claptrap you know that the world is going insane and there's a Cult scam in progress. Transgender activists are promoting the Cult agenda while Cult

billionaires support and fund the insanity as they laugh themselves to sleep at the sheer stupidity for which humans must be infamous in galaxies far, far away.

'Covid vaccines' and female infertility

We can now see why the 'vaccine' has been connected to potential infertility in women. Dr Michael Yeadon, former Vice President and Chief Scientific Advisor at Pfizer, and Dr Wolfgang Wodarg in Germany, filed a petition with the European Medicines Agency in December, 2020, urging them to stop trials for the Pfizer/BioNTech shot and all other mRNA trials until further studies had been done. They were particularly concerned about possible effects on fertility with 'vaccine'-produced antibodies attacking the protein Syncytin-1 which is responsible for developing the placenta. The result would be infertility 'of indefinite duration' in women who have the 'vaccine' with the placenta failing to form. Section 10.4.2 of the Pfizer/BioNTech trial protocol says that pregnant women or those who might become so should not have mRNA shots. Section 10.4 warns men taking mRNA shots to 'be abstinent from heterosexual intercourse' and not to donate sperm. The UK government said that it *did not know* if the mRNA procedure had an effect on fertility. *Did not know?* These people have to go to jail. UK government advice did not recommend at the start that pregnant women had the shot and said they should avoid pregnancy for at least two months after 'vaccination'. The 'advice' was later updated to pregnant women should only have the 'vaccine' if the benefits outweighed the risks to mother and foetus. What the hell is that supposed to mean? Then 'spontaneous abortions' began to appear and rapidly increase on the adverse reaction reporting schemes which include only a fraction of adverse reactions. Thousands and ever-growing numbers of 'vaccinated' women are describing changes to their menstrual cycle with heavier blood flow, irregular periods and menstruating again after going through the menopause – all links to reproduction effects. Women are passing blood clots and the lining of their uterus while men report erectile dysfunction and blood effects. Most

significantly of all *un*vaccinated women began to report similar menstrual changes after interaction with *'vaccinated'* people and men and children were also affected with bleeding noses, blood clots and other conditions. 'Shedding' is when vaccinated people can emit the content of a vaccine to affect the unvaccinated, but this is different. 'Vaccinated' people were not shedding a 'live virus' allegedly in 'vaccines' as before because the fake 'Covid vaccines' involve synthetic material and other toxicity. Doctors exposing what is happening prefer the term 'transmission' to shedding. Somehow those that have had the shots are transmitting effects to those that haven't. Dr Carrie Madej said the nano-content of the 'vaccines' can 'act like an antenna' to others around them which fits perfectly with my own conclusions. This 'vaccine' transmission phenomenon was becoming known as the book went into production and I deal with this further in the Postscript.

Vaccine effects on sterility are well known. The World Health Organization was accused in 2014 of sterilising millions of women in Kenya with the evidence confirmed by the content of the vaccines involved. The same WHO behind the 'Covid' hoax admitted its involvement for more than ten years with the vaccine programme. Other countries made similar claims. Charges were lodged by Tanzania, Nicaragua, Mexico, and the Philippines. The Gardasil vaccine claimed to protect against a genital 'virus' known as HPV has also been linked to infertility. Big Pharma and the WHO (same thing) are criminal and satanic entities. Then there's the Bill Gates Foundation which is connected through funding and shared interests with 20 pharmaceutical giants and laboratories. He stands accused of directing the policy of United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), vaccine alliance GAVI, and other groupings, to advance the vaccine agenda and silence opposition at great cost to women and children. At the same time Gates wants to reduce the global population. Coincidence?

Great Reset = Smart Grid = new human

The Cult agenda I have been exposing for 30 years is now being openly promoted by Cult assets like Gates and Klaus Schwab of the World Economic Forum under code-terms like the 'Great Reset', 'Build Back Better' and 'a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world'. What provided this 'rare but narrow window of opportunity'? The 'Covid' hoax did. Who created that? *They* did. My books from not that long ago warned about the planned 'Internet of Things' (IoT) and its implications for human freedom. This was the plan to connect all technology to the Internet and artificial intelligence and today we are way down that road with an estimated 36 billion devices connected to the World Wide Web and that figure is projected to be 76 billion by 2025. I further warned that the Cult planned to go beyond that to the Internet of *Everything* when the human brain was connected via AI to the Internet and Kurzweil's 'cloud'. Now we have Cult operatives like Schwab calling for precisely that under the term 'Internet of Bodies', a fusion of the physical, digital and biological into one centrally-controlled Smart Grid system which the Cult refers to as the 'Fourth Industrial Revolution'. They talk about the 'biological', but they really mean the synthetic-biological which is required to fully integrate the human body and brain into the Smart Grid and artificial intelligence planned to replace the human mind. We have everything being synthetically manipulated including the natural world through GMO and smart dust, the food we eat and the human body itself with synthetic 'vaccines'. I said in *The Answer* that we would see the Cult push for synthetic meat to replace animals and in February, 2021, the so predictable psychopath Bill Gates called for the introduction of synthetic meat to save us all from 'climate change'. The climate hoax just keeps on giving like the 'Covid' hoax. The war on meat by vegan activists is a carbon (oops, sorry) copy of the manipulation of transgender activists. They have no idea (except their inner core) that they are being used to promote and impose the agenda of the Cult or that they are only the *vehicle* and not the *reason*. This is not to say those who choose not to eat meat shouldn't be respected and supported in that right, but there are ulterior motives

for those in power. A *Forbes* article in December, 2019, highlighted the plan so beloved of Schwab and the Cult under the heading: 'What Is The Internet of Bodies? And How Is It Changing Our World?' The article said the human body is the latest data platform (remember 'our vaccine is an operating system'). *Forbes* described the plan very accurately and the words could have come straight out of my books from long before:

> The Internet of Bodies (IoB) is an extension of the IoT and basically connects the human body to a network through devices that are ingested, implanted, or connected to the body in some way. Once connected, data can be exchanged, and the body and device can be remotely monitored and controlled.

They were really describing a human hive mind with human perception centrally-dictated via an AI connection as well as allowing people to be 'remotely monitored and controlled'. Everything from a fridge to a human mind could be directed from a central point by these insane psychopaths and 'Covid vaccines' are crucial to this. Forbes explained the process I mentioned earlier of holdable and wearable technology followed by implantable. The article said there were three generations of the Internet of Bodies that include:

- Body external: These are wearable devices such as Apple Watches or Fitbits that can monitor our health.

- Body internal: These include pacemakers, cochlear implants, and digital pills that go inside our bodies to monitor or control various aspects of health.

- Body embedded: The third generation of the Internet of Bodies is embedded technology where technology and the human body are melded together and have a real-time connection to a remote machine.

Forbes noted the development of the Brain Computer Interface (BCI) which merges the brain with an external device for monitoring and controlling in real-time. 'The ultimate goal is to help restore function to individuals with disabilities by using brain signals rather than conventional neuromuscular pathways.' Oh, do fuck off. The goal of brain interface technology is controlling human thought and emotion from the central point in a hive mind serving its masters wishes. Many people are now agreeing to be chipped to open doors without a key. You can recognise them because they'll be wearing a mask, social distancing and lining up for the 'vaccine'. The Cult plans a Great Reset money system after they have completed the demolition of the global economy in which 'money' will be exchanged through communication with body operating systems. Rand Corporation, a Cult-owned think tank, said of the Internet of Bodies or IoB:

> Internet of Bodies technologies fall under the broader IoT umbrella. But as the name suggests, IoB devices introduce an even more intimate interplay between humans and gadgets. IoB devices monitor the human body, collect health metrics and other personal information, and transmit those data over the Internet. Many devices, such as fitness trackers, are already in use … IoB devices … and those in development can track, record, and store users' whereabouts, bodily functions, and what they see, hear, and even think.

Schwab's World Economic Forum, a long-winded way of saying 'fascism' or 'the Cult', has gone full-on with the Internet of Bodies in the 'Covid' era. 'We're entering the era of the Internet of Bodies', it declared, 'collecting our physical data via a range of devices that can be implanted, swallowed or worn'. The result would be a huge amount of health-related data that could improve human wellbeing around the world, and prove crucial in fighting the 'Covid-19 pandemic'. Does anyone think these clowns care about 'human wellbeing' after the death and devastation their pandemic hoax has purposely caused? Schwab and co say we should move forward with the Internet of Bodies because 'Keeping track of symptoms could help us stop the spread of infection, and quickly detect new cases'. How wonderful, but keeping track' is all they are really bothered

about. Researchers were investigating if data gathered from smartwatches and similar devices could be used as viral infection alerts by tracking the user's heart rate and breathing. Schwab said in his 2018 book *Shaping the Future of the Fourth Industrial Revolution*:

> The lines between technologies and beings are becoming blurred and not just by the ability to create lifelike robots or synthetics. Instead it is about the ability of new technologies to literally become part of us. Technologies already influence how we understand ourselves, how we think about each other, and how we determine our realities. As the technologies … give us deeper access to parts of ourselves, we may begin to integrate digital technologies into our bodies.

You can see what the game is. Twenty-four hour control and people – if you could still call them that – would never know when something would go ping and take them out of circulation. It's the most obvious rush to a global fascist dictatorship and the complete submission of humanity and yet still so many are locked away in their Cult-induced perceptual coma and can't see it.

Smart Grid control centres

The human body is being transformed by the 'vaccines' and in other ways into a synthetic cyborg that can be attached to the global Smart Grid which would be controlled from a central point and other sub-locations of Grid manipulation. Where are these planned to be? Well, China for a start which is one of the Cult's biggest centres of operation. The technological control system and technocratic rule was incubated here to be unleashed across the world after the 'Covid' hoax came out of China in 2020. Another Smart Grid location that will surprise people new to this is Israel. I have exposed in *The Trigger* how Sabbatian technocrats, intelligence and military operatives were behind the horrors of 9/11 and not '19 Arab hijackers' who somehow manifested the ability to pilot big passenger airliners when instructors at puddle-jumping flying schools described some of them as a joke. The 9/11 attacks were made possible through control of civilian and military air computer systems and those of the White House, Pentagon and connected agencies. See *The Trigger* – it

will blow your mind. The controlling and coordinating force were the Sabbatian networks in Israel and the United States which by then had infiltrated the entire US government, military and intelligence system. The real name of the American Deep State is 'Sabbatian State'. Israel is a tiny country of only nine million people, but it is one of the global centres of cyber operations and fast catching Silicon Valley in importance to the Cult. Israel is known as the 'start-up nation' for all the cyber companies spawned there with the Sabbatian specialisation of 'cyber security' that I mentioned earlier which gives those companies access to computer systems of their clients in real time through 'backdoors' written into the coding when security software is downloaded. The Sabbatian centre of cyber operations outside Silicon Valley is the Israeli military Cyber Intelligence Unit, the biggest infrastructure project in Israel's history, headquartered in the desert-city of Beersheba and involving some 20,000 'cyber soldiers'. Here are located a literal army of Internet trolls scanning social media, forums and comment lists for anyone challenging the Cult agenda. The UK military has something similar with its 77th Brigade and associated operations. The Beersheba complex includes research and development centres for other Cult operations such as Intel, Microsoft, IBM, Google, Apple, Hewlett-Packard, Cisco Systems, Facebook and Motorola. Techcrunch.com ran an article about the Beersheba global Internet technology centre headlined 'Israel's desert city of Beersheba is turning into a cybertech oasis':

> The military's massive relocation of its prestigious technology units, the presence of multinational and local companies, a close proximity to Ben Gurion University and generous government subsidies are turning Beersheba into a major global cybertech hub. Beersheba has all of the ingredients of a vibrant security technology ecosystem, including Ben Gurion University with its graduate program in cybersecurity and Cyber Security Research Center, and the presence of companies such as EMC, Deutsche Telekom, PayPal, Oracle, IBM, and Lockheed Martin. It's also the future home of the INCB (Israeli National Cyber Bureau); offers a special income tax incentive for cyber security companies, and was the site for the relocation of the army's intelligence corps units.

Sabbatians have taken over the cyber world through the following process: They scan the schools for likely cyber talent and develop them at Ben Gurion University and their period of conscription in the Israeli Defense Forces when they are stationed at the Beersheba complex. When the cyber talented officially leave the army they are funded to start cyber companies with technology developed by themselves or given to them by the state. Much of this is stolen through backdoors of computer systems around the world with America top of the list. Others are sent off to Silicon Valley to start companies or join the major ones and so we have many major positions filled by apparently 'Jewish' but really Sabbatian operatives. Google, YouTube and Facebook are all run by 'Jewish' CEOs while Twitter is all but run by ultra-Zionist hedge-fund shark Paul Singer. At the centre of the Sabbatian global cyber web is the Israeli army's Unit 8200 which specialises in hacking into computer systems of other countries, inserting viruses, gathering information, instigating malfunction, and even taking control of them from a distance. A long list of Sabbatians involved with 9/11, Silicon Valley and Israeli cyber security companies are operatives of Unit 8200. This is not about Israel. It's about the Cult. Israel is planned to be a Smart Grid hub as with China and what is happening at Beersheba is not for the benefit of Jewish people who are treated disgustingly by the Sabbatian elite that control the country. A glance at the Nuremberg Codes will tell you that.

The story is much bigger than 'Covid', important as that is to where we are being taken. Now, though, it's time to really strap in. There's more … much more …

CHAPTER ELEVEN

Who controls the Cult?

Awake, arise or be forever fall'n
John Milton, *Paradise Lost*

I have exposed this far the level of the Cult conspiracy that operates in the world of the seen and within the global secret society and satanic network which operates in the shadows one step back from the seen. The story, however, goes much deeper than that.

The 'Covid' hoax is major part of the Cult agenda, but only part, and to grasp the biggest picture we have to expand our attention beyond the realm of human sight and into the infinity of possibility that we cannot see. It is from here, ultimately, that humanity is being manipulated into a state of total control by the force which dictates the actions of the Cult. How much of reality can we see? Next to damn all is the answer. We may appear to see all there is to see in the 'space' our eyes survey and observe, but little could be further from the truth. The human 'world' is only a tiny band of frequency that the body's visual and perceptual systems can decode into *perception* of a 'world'. According to mainstream science the electromagnetic spectrum is 0.005 percent of what exists in the Universe (Fig 10). The maximum estimate I have seen is 0.5 percent and either way it's miniscule. I say it is far, far, smaller even than 0.005 percent when you compare reality we see with the totality of reality that we don't. Now get this if you are new to such information: Visible light, the only band of frequency that we can see, is a *fraction* of the 0.005

percent (Fig 11 overleaf). Take this further and realise that our universe is one of infinite universes and that universes are only a fragment of overall reality – *infinite* reality. Then compare that with the almost infinitesimal frequency band of visible light or human sight. You see that humans are as near blind as it is possible to be without actually being so. Artist and filmmaker, Sergio Toporek, said:

Figure 10: Humans can perceive such a tiny band of visual reality it's laughable.

Figure 11: We can see a smear of the 0.005 percent electromagnetic spectrum, but we still know it all. Yep, makes sense.

Consider that you can see less than 1% of the electromagnetic spectrum and hear less than 1% of the acoustic spectrum. 90% of the cells in your body carry their own microbial DNA and are not 'you'. The atoms in your body are 99.9999999999999999% empty space and none of them are the ones you were born with ... Human beings have 46 chromosomes, two less than a potato.

The existence of the rainbow depends on the conical photoreceptors in your eyes; to animals without cones, the rainbow does not exist. So you don't just look at a rainbow, you create it. This is pretty amazing, especially considering that all the beautiful colours you see represent less than 1% of the electromagnetic spectrum.

Suddenly the 'world' of humans looks a very different place. Take into account, too, that Planet Earth when compared with the projected size of this single universe is the equivalent of a billionth of a pinhead. Imagine the ratio that would be when compared to infinite reality. To think that Christianity once insisted that Earth and humanity were the centre of everything. This background is vital if we are going to appreciate the nature of 'human' and how we can be manipulated by an unseen force. To human visual reality virtually *everything* is unseen and yet the prevailing perception within the institutions and so much of the public is that if we can't see it, touch it, hear it, taste it and smell it then it cannot exist. Such perception is indoctrinated and encouraged by the Cult and its agents because it isolates believers in the strictly limited, village-idiot, realm of the five senses where perceptions can be firewalled and information controlled. Most of those perpetuating the 'this-world-is-all-there-is' insanity are themselves indoctrinated into believing the same delusion. While major players and influencers know that official reality is laughable most of those in science, academia and medicine really believe the nonsense they peddle and teach succeeding generations. Those who challenge the orthodoxy are dismissed as nutters and freaks to protect the manufactured illusion from exposure. Observe the dynamic of the 'Covid' hoax and you will see how that takes the same form. The inner-circle psychopaths knows it's a gigantic scam, but almost the entirety of those imposing their fascist rules believe that 'Covid' is all that they're told it is.

Stolen identity

Ask people who they are and they will give you their name, place of birth, location, job, family background and life story. Yet that is not who they are – it is what they are *experiencing*. The difference is *absolutely crucial*. The true 'I', the eternal, infinite 'I', is consciousness,

a state of being aware. Forget 'form'. That is a vehicle for a brief experience. Consciousness does not come *from* the brain, but *through* the brain and even that is more symbolic than literal. We are awareness, pure awareness, and this is what withdraws from the body at what we call 'death' to continue our eternal beingness, *isness*, in other realms of reality within the limitlessness of infinity or the Biblical 'many mansions in my father's house'. Labels of a human life, man, woman, transgender, black, white, brown, nationality, circumstances and income are not who we are. They are what we are – awareness – is *experiencing* in a brief connection with a band of frequency we call 'human'. The labels are not the self; they are, to use the title of one of my books, a *Phantom* Self. I am not David Icke born in Leicester, England, on April 29th, 1952. I am the consciousness *having that experience*. The Cult and its non-human masters seek to convince us through the institutions of 'education', science, medicine, media and government that what we are *experiencing* is who we *are*. It's so easy to control and direct perception locked away in the bewildered illusions of the five senses with no expanded radar. Try, by contrast, doing the same with a humanity aware of its true self and its true power to consciously create its reality and experience. How is it possible to do this? We do it all day every day. If you perceive yourself as 'little me' with no power to impact upon your life and the world then your life experience will reflect that. You will hand the power you don't think you have to authority in all its forms which will use it to control your experience. This, in turn, will appear to confirm your perception of 'little me' in a self-fulfilling feedback loop. But that is what 'little me' really is – a *perception*. We are all 'big-me', infinite me, and the Cult has to make us forget that if its will is to prevail. We are therefore manipulated and pressured into self-identifying with human labels and not the consciousness/awareness *experiencing* those human labels.

The phenomenon of identity politics is a Cult-instigated manipulation technique to sub-divide previous labels into even smaller ones. A United States university employs this list of letters to

describe student identity: LGBTTQQFAGPBDSM or lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, transsexual, queer, questioning, flexual, asexual, gender-fuck, polyamorous, bondage/discipline, dominance/submission and sadism/masochism. I'm sure other lists are even longer by now as people feel the need to self-identity the 'I' with the minutiae of race and sexual preference. Wokers programmed by the Cult for generations believe this is about 'inclusivity' when it's really the Cult locking them away into smaller and smaller versions of Phantom Self while firewalling them from the influence of their true self, the infinite, eternal 'I'. You may notice that my philosophy which contends that we are all unique points of attention/awareness within the same infinite whole or Oneness is the ultimate non-racism. The very sense of Oneness makes the judgement of people by their body-type, colour or sexuality utterly ridiculous and confirms that racism has no understanding of reality (including anti-white racism). Yet despite my perception of life Cult agents and fast-asleep Wokers label me racist to discredit my information while they are themselves phenomenally racist and sexist. All they see is race and sexuality and they judge people as good or bad, demons or untouchables, by their race and sexuality. All they see is *Phantom Self* and perceive themselves in terms of Phantom Self. They are pawns and puppets of the Cult agenda to focus attention and self-identity in the five senses and play those identities against each other to divide and rule. Columbia University has introduced segregated graduations in another version of social distancing designed to drive people apart and teach them that different racial and cultural groups have nothing in common with each other. The last thing the Cult wants is unity. Again the pump-primers of this will be Cult operatives in the knowledge of what they are doing, but the rest are just the Phantom Self blind leading the Phantom Self blind. We *do* have something in common – we are all *the same consciousness* having different temporary experiences.

What is this 'human'?

Yes, what *is* 'human'? That is what we are supposed to be, right? I mean 'human'? True, but 'human' is the experience not the 'I'. Break it down to basics and 'human' is the way that information is processed. If we are to experience and interact with this band of frequency we call the 'world' we must have a vehicle that operates within that band of frequency. Our consciousness in its prime form cannot do that; it is way beyond the frequency of the human realm. My consciousness or awareness could not tap these keys and pick up the cup in front of me in the same way that radio station A cannot interact with radio station B when they are on different frequencies. The human body is the means through which we have that interaction. I have long described the body as a biological computer which processes information in a way that allows consciousness to experience this reality. The body is a receiver, transmitter and processor of information in a particular way that we call human. We visually perceive only the world of the five senses in a wakened state – that is the limit of the body's visual decoding system. In truth it's not even visual in the way we experience 'visual reality' as I will come to in a moment. We are 'human' because the body processes the information sources of human into a reality and behaviour system that we *perceive* as human. Why does an elephant act like an elephant and not like a human or a duck? The elephant's biological computer is a different information field and processes information according to that program into a visual and behaviour type we call an elephant. The same applies to everything in our reality. These body information fields are perpetuated through procreation (like making a copy of a software program). The Cult wants to break that cycle and intervene technologically to transform the human information field into one that will change what we call humanity. If it can change the human information field it will change the way that field processes information and change humanity both 'physically' and psychologically. Hence the *messenger* (information) RNA 'vaccines' and so much more that is targeting human genetics by changing the body's information – *messaging* – construct through food, drink, radiation, toxicity and other means.

Reality that we experience is nothing like reality as it really is in the same way that the reality people experience in virtual reality games is not the reality they are really living in. The game is only a decoded source of information that appears to be a reality. Our world is also an information construct – a *simulation* (more later). In its base form our reality is a wavefield of information much the same in theme as Wi-Fi. The five senses decode wavefield information into electrical information which they communicate to the brain to decode into holographic (illusory 'physical') information. Different parts of the brain specialise in decoding different senses and the information is fused into a reality that appears to be outside of us but is really inside the brain and the genetic structure in general (Fig 12 overleaf). DNA is a receiver-transmitter of information and a vital part of this decoding process and the body's connection to other realities. Change DNA and you change the way we decode and connect with reality – see 'Covid vaccines'. Think of computers decoding Wi-Fi. You have information encoded in a radiation field and the computer decodes that information into a very different form on the screen. You can't see the Wi-Fi until its information is made manifest on the screen and the information on the screen is inside the computer and not outside. I have just described how we decode the 'human world'. All five senses decode the waveform 'Wi-Fi' field into electrical signals and the brain (computer) constructs reality inside the brain and not outside – 'You don't just look at a rainbow, you create it'. Sound is a simple example. We don't hear sound until the brain decodes it. Waveform sound waves are picked up by the hearing sense and communicated to the brain in an electrical form to be decoded into the sounds that we hear. Everything we hear is inside the brain along with everything we see, feel, smell and taste. Words and language are waveform fields generated by our vocal chords which pass through this process until they are decoded by the brain into words that we hear. Different languages are different frequency fields or sound waves generated by vocal chords. Late British philosopher Alan Watts said:

Figure 12: The brain receives information from the five senses and constructs from that our perceived reality.

[Without the brain] the world is devoid of light, heat, weight, solidity, motion, space, time or any other imaginable feature. All these phenomena are interactions, or transactions, of vibrations with a certain arrangement of neurons.

That's exactly what they are and scientist Robert Lanza describes in his book, *Biocentrism*, how we decode electromagnetic waves and energy into visual and 'physical' experience. He uses the example of a flame emitting photons, electromagnetic energy, each pulsing electrically and magnetically:

… these … invisible electromagnetic waves strike a human retina, and if (and only if) the waves happen to measure between 400 and 700 nano meters in length from crest to crest, then their energy is just right to deliver a stimulus to the 8 million cone-shaped cells in the retina.

Each in turn send an electrical pulse to a neighbour neuron, and on up the line this goes, at 250 mph, until it reaches the … occipital lobe of the brain, in the back of the head. There, a cascading complex of neurons fire from the incoming stimuli, and we subjectively perceive this experience as a yellow brightness occurring in a place we have been conditioned to call the 'external world'.

You hear what you decode

If a tree falls or a building collapses they make no noise unless someone is there to decode the energetic waves generated by the disturbance into what we call sound. Does a falling tree make a noise? Only if you hear it – *decode* it. Everything in our reality is a frequency field of information operating within the overall 'Wi-Fi' field that I call The Field. A vibrational disturbance is generated in The Field by the fields of the falling tree or building. These disturbance waves are what we decode into the sound of them falling. If no one is there to do that then neither will make any noise. Reality is created by the observer – *decoder* – and the *perceptions* of the observer affect the decoding process. For this reason different people – different *perceptions* – will perceive the same reality or situation in a different way. What one may perceive as a nightmare another will see as an opportunity. The question of why the Cult is so focused on controlling human perception now answers itself. All experienced reality is the act of decoding and we don't experience Wi-Fi until it is decoded on the computer screen. The sight and sound of an Internet video is encoded in the Wi-Fi all around us, but we don't see or hear it until the computer decodes that information. Taste, smell and touch are all phenomena of the brain as a result of the same process. We don't taste, smell or feel anything except in the brain and there are pain relief techniques that seek to block the signal from the site of discomfort to the brain because if the brain doesn't decode that signal we don't feel pain. Pain is in the brain and only appears to be at the point of impact thanks to the feedback loop between them. We don't see anything until electrical information from the sight senses is decoded in an area at the back of the brain. If that area is damaged we can go blind when our eyes are perfectly okay. So why do we go blind if we damage an eye? We damage the information processing between the waveform visual information and the visual decoding area of the brain. If information doesn't reach the brain in a form it can decode then we can't see the visual reality that it represents. What's more the brain is decoding only a fraction of the information it receives and the rest is absorbed by the

sub-conscious mind. This explanation is from the science magazine, *Wonderpedia*:

> Every second, 11 million sensations crackle along these [brain] pathways ... The brain is confronted with an alarming array of images, sounds and smells which it rigorously filters down until it is left with a manageable list of around 40. Thus 40 sensations per second make up what we perceive as reality.

The 'world' is not what people are told to believe that is it and the inner circles of the Cult *know that*.

Illusory 'physical' reality

We can only see a smear of 0.005 percent of the Universe which is only one of a vast array of universes – 'mansions' – within infinite reality. Even then the brain decodes only 40 pieces of information ('sensations') from a potential *11 million* that we receive every second. Two points strike you from this immediately: The sheer breathtaking stupidity of believing we know anything so rigidly that there's nothing more to know; and the potential for these processes to be manipulated by a malevolent force to control the reality of the population. One thing I can say for sure with no risk of contradiction is that when you can perceive an almost indescribable fraction of infinite reality there is always more to know as in tidal waves of it. Ancient Greek philosopher Socrates was so right when he said that wisdom is to know how little we know. How obviously true that is when you think that we are experiencing a physical world of solidity that is neither physical nor solid and a world of apartness when everything is connected. Cult-controlled 'science' dismisses the so-called 'paranormal' and all phenomena related to that when the 'para'-normal is perfectly normal and explains the alleged 'great mysteries' which dumbfound scientific minds. There is a reason for this. A 'scientific mind' in terms of the mainstream is a material mind, a five-sense mind imprisoned in see it, touch it, hear it, smell it and taste it. Phenomena and happenings that can't be explained that way leave the 'scientific mind' bewildered and the rule is that if they

can't account for why something is happening then it can't, by definition, be happening. I beg to differ. Telepathy is thought waves passing through The Field (think wave disturbance again) to be decoded by someone able to connect with that wavelength (information). For example: You can pick up the thought waves of a friend at any distance and at the very least that will bring them to mind. A few minutes later the friend calls you. 'My god', you say, 'that's incredible – I was just thinking of you.' Ah, but *they* were thinking of *you* before they made the call and that's what you decoded. Native peoples not entrapped in five-sense reality do this so well it became known as the 'bush telegraph'. Those known as psychics and mediums (genuine ones) are doing the same only across dimensions of reality. 'Mind over matter' comes from the fact that matter and mind are the *same*. The state of one influences the state of the other. Indeed one *and* the other are illusions. They are aspects of the same field. Paranormal phenomena are all explainable so why are they still considered 'mysteries' or not happening? Once you go down this road of understanding you begin to expand awareness beyond the five senses and that's the nightmare for the Cult.

Figure 13: Holograms are not solid, but the best ones appear to be.

Figure 14: How holograms are created by capturing a waveform version of the subject image.

Holographic 'solidity'

Our reality is not solid, it is holographic. We are now well aware of holograms which are widely used today. Two-dimensional information is decoded into a three-dimensional reality that is not solid although can very much appear to be (Fig 13). Holograms are created with a laser divided into two parts. One goes directly onto a holographic photographic print ('reference beam') and the other takes a waveform image of the subject ('working beam') before being directed onto the print where it 'collides' with the other half of the laser (Fig 14). This creates a *waveform* interference pattern which contains the wavefield information of whatever is being photographed (Fig 15 overleaf). The process can be likened to dropping pebbles in a pond. Waves generated by each one spread out across the water to collide with the others and create a wave representation of where the stones fell and at what speed, weight and distance. A waveform interference pattern of a hologram is akin to the waveform information in The Field which the five senses decode into electrical signals to be decoded by the brain into a holographic illusory 'physical' reality. In the same way when a laser (think human attention) is directed at the waveform interference pattern a three-dimensional version of the subject is projected into apparently 'solid' reality (Fig 16). An amazing trait of holograms reveals more 'paranormal mysteries'. Information of the *whole*

hologram is encoded in waveform in every part of the interference pattern by the way they are created. This means that every *part* of a hologram is a smaller version of the whole. Cut the interference wave-pattern into four and you won't get four parts of the image. You get quarter-sized versions of the *whole* image. The body is a hologram and the same applies. Here we have the basis of acupuncture, reflexology and other forms of healing which identify representations of the whole body in all of the parts, hands, feet, ears, everywhere. Skilled palm readers can do what they do because the information of whole body is encoded in the hand. The concept of as above, so below, comes from this.

Figure 15: A waveform interference pattern that holds the information that transforms into a hologram.

Figure 16: Holographic people including 'Elvis' holographically inserted to sing a duet with Celine Dion.

The question will be asked of why, if solidity is illusory, we can't just walk through walls and each other. The resistance is not solid against solid; it is electromagnetic field against electromagnetic field and we decode this into the *experience* of solid against solid. We should also not underestimate the power of belief to dictate reality. What you believe is impossible *will be*. Your belief impacts on your decoding processes and they won't decode what you think is impossible. What we believe we perceive and what we perceive we experience. 'Can't dos' and 'impossibles' are like a firewall in a computer system that won't put on the screen what the firewall blocks. How vital that is to understanding how human experience has been hijacked. I explain in *The Answer*, *Everything You Need To Know But Have Never Been Told* and other books a long list of 'mysteries' and 'paranormal' phenomena that are not mysterious and perfectly normal once you realise what reality is and how it works. 'Ghosts' can be seen to pass through 'solid' walls because the walls are not solid and the ghost is a discarnate entity operating on a frequency so different to that of the wall that it's like two radio stations sharing the same space while never interfering with each other. I have seen ghosts do this myself. The apartness of people and objects is also an illusion. Everything is connected by the Field like all sea life is connected by the sea. It's just that within the limits of our visual reality we only 'see' holographic information and not the field of information that connects everything and from which the holographic world is made manifest. If you can only see holographic 'objects' and not the field that connects them they will appear to you as unconnected to each other in the same way that we see the computer while not seeing the Wi-Fi.

What you don't know *can* hurt you

Okay, we return to those 'two worlds' of human society and the Cult with its global network of interconnecting secret societies and satanic groups which manipulate through governments, corporations, media, religions, etc. The fundamental difference between them is *knowledge*. The idea has been to keep humanity

ignorant of the plan for its total enslavement underpinned by a crucial ignorance of reality – who we are and where we are – and how we interact with it. 'Human' should be the interaction between our expanded eternal consciousness and the five-sense body experience. We are meant to be *in* this world in terms of the five senses but not *of* this world in relation to our greater consciousness and perspective. In that state we experience the small picture of the five senses within the wider context of the big picture of awareness beyond the five senses. Put another way the five senses see the dots and expanded awareness connects them into pictures and patterns that give context to the apparently random and unconnected. Without the context of expanded awareness the five senses see only apartness and randomness with apparently no meaning. The Cult and its other-dimensional controllers seek to intervene in the frequency realm where five-sense reality is supposed to connect with expanded reality and to keep the two apart (more on this in the final chapter). When that happens five-sense mental and emotional processes are no longer influenced by expanded awareness, or the True 'I', and instead are driven by the isolated perceptions of the body's decoding systems. They are in the world *and* of it. Here we have the human plight and why humanity with its potential for infinite awareness can be so easily manipulatable and descend into such extremes of stupidity.

Once the Cult isolates five-sense mind from expanded awareness it can then program the mind with perceptions and beliefs by controlling information that the mind receives through the 'education' system of the formative years and the media perceptual bombardment and censorship of an entire lifetime. Limit perception and a sense of the possible through limiting knowledge by limiting and skewing information while censoring and discrediting that which could set people free. As the title of another of my books says *… And The Truth Shall Set You Free*. For this reason the last thing the Cult wants in circulation is the truth about anything – especially the reality of the eternal 'I' – and that's why it is desperate to control information. The Cult knows that information becomes perception

which becomes behaviour which, collectively, becomes human society. Cult-controlled and funded mainstream 'science' denies the existence of an eternal 'I' and seeks to dismiss and trash all evidence to the contrary. Cult-controlled mainstream religion has a version of 'God' that is little more than a system of control and dictatorship that employs threats of damnation in an afterlife to control perceptions and behaviour in the here and now through fear and guilt. Neither is true and it's the 'neither' that the Cult wishes to suppress. This 'neither' is that everything is an expression, a point of attention, within an infinite state of consciousness which is the real meaning of the term 'God'.

Perceptual obsession with the 'physical body' and five-senses means that 'God' becomes personified as a bearded bloke sitting among the clouds or a raging bully who loves us if we do what 'he' wants and condemns us to the fires of hell if we don't. These are no more than a 'spiritual' fairy tales to control and dictate events and behaviour through fear of this 'God' which has bizarrely made 'God-fearing' in religious circles a state to be desired. I would suggest that fearing *anything* is not to be encouraged and celebrated, but rather deleted. You can see why 'God fearing' is so beneficial to the Cult and its religions when *they* decide what 'God' wants and what 'God' demands (the Cult demands) that everyone do. As the great American comedian Bill Hicks said satirising a Christian zealot: 'I think what God meant to say.' How much of this infinite awareness ('God') that we access is decided by how far we choose to expand our perceptions, self-identity and sense of the possible. The scale of self-identity reflects itself in the scale of awareness that we can connect with and are influenced by – how much knowing and insight we have instead of programmed perception. You cannot expand your awareness into the infinity of possibility when you believe that you are little me Peter the postman or Mary in marketing and nothing more. I'll deal with this in the concluding chapter because it's crucial to how we turnaround current events.

Where the Cult came from

When I realised in the early 1990s there was a Cult network behind global events I asked the obvious question: When did it start? I took it back to ancient Rome and Egypt and on to Babylon and Sumer in Mesopotamia, the 'Land Between Two Rivers', in what we now call Iraq. The two rivers are the Tigris and Euphrates and this region is of immense historical and other importance to the Cult, as is the land called Israel only 550 miles away by air. There is much more going with deep esoteric meaning across this whole region. It's not only about 'wars for oil'. Priceless artefacts from Mesopotamia were stolen or destroyed after the American and British invasion of Iraq in 2003 justified by the lies of Boy Bush and Tony Blair (their Cult masters) about non-existent 'weapons of mass destruction'. Mesopotamia was the location of Sumer (about 5,400BC to 1,750BC), and Babylon (about 2,350BC to 539BC). Sabbatians may have become immensely influential in the Cult in modern times but they are part of a network that goes back into the mists of history. Sumer is said by historians to be the 'cradle of civilisation'. I disagree. I say it was the re-start of what we call human civilisation after cataclysmic events symbolised in part as the 'Great Flood' destroyed the world that existed before. These fantastic upheavals that I have been describing in detail in the books since the early1990s appear in accounts and legends of ancient cultures across the world and they are supported by geological and biological evidence. Stone tablets found in Iraq detailing the Sumer period say the cataclysms were caused by non-human 'gods' they call the Anunnaki. These are described in terms of extraterrestrial visitations in which knowledge supplied by the Anunnaki is said to have been the source of at least one of the world's oldest writing systems and developments in astronomy, mathematics and architecture that were way ahead of their time. I have covered this subject at length in *The Biggest Secret* and *Children of the Matrix* and the same basic 'Anunnaki' story can be found in Zulu accounts in South Africa where the late and very great Zulu high shaman Credo Mutwa told me that the Sumerian Anunnaki were known by Zulus as the Chitauri or 'children of the serpent'. See my six-hour video interview with Credo on this subject entitled *The*

Reptilian Agenda recorded at his then home near Johannesburg in 1999 which you can watch on the Ickonic media platform.

The Cult emerged out of Sumer, Babylon and Egypt (and elsewhere) and established the Roman Empire before expanding with the Romans into northern Europe from where many empires were savagely imposed in the form of Cult-controlled societies all over the world. Mass death and destruction was their calling card. The Cult established its centre of operations in Europe and European Empires were Cult empires which allowed it to expand into a global force. Spanish and Portuguese colonialists headed for Central and South America while the British and French targeted North America. Africa was colonised by Britain, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Italy, and Germany. Some like Britain and France moved in on the Middle East. The British Empire was by far the biggest for a simple reason. By now Britain was the headquarters of the Cult from which it expanded to form Canada, the United States, Australia and New Zealand. The Sun never set on the British Empire such was the scale of its occupation. London remains a global centre for the Cult along with Rome and the Vatican although others have emerged in Israel and China. It is no accident that the 'virus' is alleged to have come out of China while Italy was chosen as the means to terrify the Western population into compliance with 'Covid' fascism. Nor that Israel has led the world in 'Covid' fascism and mass 'vaccination'.

You would think that I would mention the United States here, but while it has been an important means of imposing the Cult's will it is less significant than would appear and is currently in the process of having what power it does have deleted. The Cult in Europe has mostly loaded the guns for the US to fire. America has been controlled from Europe from the start through Cult operatives in Britain and Europe. The American Revolution was an illusion to make it appear that America was governing itself while very different forces were pulling the strings in the form of Cult families such as the Rothschilds through the Rockefellers and other subordinates. The Rockefellers are extremely close to Bill Gates and

established both scalpel and drug 'medicine' and the World Health Organization. They play a major role in the development and circulation of vaccines through the Rockefeller Foundation on which Bill Gates said his Foundation is based. Why wouldn't this be the case when the Rockefellers and Gates are on the same team? Cult infiltration of human society goes way back into what we call history and has been constantly expanding and centralising power with the goal of establishing a global structure to dictate everything. Look how this has been advanced in great leaps with the 'Covid' hoax.

The non-human dimension

I researched and observed the comings and goings of Cult operatives through the centuries and even thousands of years as they were born, worked to promote the agenda within the secret society and satanic networks, and then died for others to replace them. Clearly there had to be a coordinating force that spanned this entire period while operatives who would not have seen the end goal in their lifetimes came and went advancing the plan over millennia. I went in search of that coordinating force with the usual support from the extraordinary synchronicity of my life which has been an almost daily experience since 1990. I saw common themes in religious texts and ancient cultures about a non-human force manipulating human society from the hidden. Christianity calls this force Satan, the Devil and demons; Islam refers to the Jinn or Djinn; Zulus have their Chitauri (spelt in other ways in different parts of Africa); and the Gnostic people in Egypt in the period around and before 400AD referred to this phenomena as the 'Archons', a word meaning rulers in Greek. Central American cultures speak of the 'Predators' among other names and the same theme is everywhere. I will use 'Archons' as a collective name for all of them. When you see how their nature and behaviour is described all these different sources are clearly talking about the same force. Gnostics described the Archons in terms of 'luminous fire' while Islam relates the Jinn to 'smokeless fire'. Some refer to beings in form that could occasionally be seen, but the most common of common theme is that they operate from

unseen realms which means almost all existence to the visual processes of humans. I had concluded that this was indeed the foundation of human control and that the Cult was operating within the human frequency band on behalf of this hidden force when I came across the writings of Gnostics which supported my conclusions in the most extraordinary way.

A sealed earthen jar was found in 1945 near the town of Nag Hammadi about 75-80 miles north of Luxor on the banks of the River Nile in Egypt. Inside was a treasure trove of manuscripts and texts left by the Gnostic people some 1,600 years earlier. They included 13 leather-bound papyrus codices (manuscripts) and more than 50 texts written in Coptic Egyptian estimated to have been hidden in the jar in the period of 400AD although the source of the information goes back much further. Gnostics oversaw the Great or Royal Library of Alexandria, the fantastic depository of ancient texts detailing advanced knowledge and accounts of human history. The Library was dismantled and destroyed in stages over a long period with the death-blow delivered by the Cult-established Roman Church in the period around 415AD. The Church of Rome was the Church of Babylon relocated as I said earlier. Gnostics were not a race. They were a way of perceiving reality. Whenever they established themselves and their information circulated the terrorists of the Church of Rome would target them for destruction. This happened with the Great Library and with the Gnostic Cathars who were burned to death by the psychopaths after a long period of oppression at the siege of the Castle of Monségur in southern France in 1244. The Church has always been terrified of Gnostic information which demolishes the official Christian narrative although there is much in the Bible that supports the Gnostic view if you read it in another way. To anyone studying the texts of what became known as the Nag Hammadi Library it is clear that great swathes of Christian and Biblical belief has its origin with Gnostics sources going back to Sumer. Gnostic themes have been twisted to manipulate the perceived reality of Bible believers. Biblical texts have been in the open for centuries where they could be changed while Gnostic

documents found at Nag Hammadi were sealed away and untouched for 1,600 years. What you see is what they wrote.

Use your *pneuma* not your *nous*

Gnosticism and Gnostic come from 'gnosis' which means knowledge, or rather *secret* knowledge, in the sense of spiritual awareness – knowledge about reality and life itself. The desperation of the Cult's Church of Rome to destroy the Gnostics can be understood when the knowledge they were circulating was the last thing the Cult wanted the population to know. Sixteen hundred years later the same Cult is working hard to undermine and silence me for the same reason. The dynamic between knowledge and ignorance is a constant. 'Time' appears to move on, but essential themes remain the same. We are told to 'use your nous', a Gnostic word for head/brain/intelligence. They said, however, that spiritual awakening or 'salvation' could only be secured by expanding awareness *beyond* what they called *nous* and into *pneuma* or Infinite Self. Obviously as I read these texts the parallels with what I have been saying since 1990 were fascinating to me. There is a universal truth that spans human history and in that case why wouldn't we be talking the same language 16 centuries apart? When you free yourself from the perception program of the five senses and explore expanded realms of consciousness you are going to connect with the same information no matter what the perceived 'era' within a manufactured timeline of a single and tiny range of manipulated frequency. Humans working with 'smart' technology or knocking rocks together in caves is only a timeline appearing to operate within the human frequency band. Expanded awareness and the knowledge it holds have always been there whether the era be Stone Age or computer age. We can only access that knowledge by opening ourselves to its frequency which the five-sense prison cell is designed to stop us doing. Gates, Fauci, Whitty, Vallance, Zuckerberg, Brin, Page, Wojcicki, Bezos, and all the others behind the 'Covid' hoax clearly have a long wait before their range of frequency can make that connection given that an open heart is

crucial to that as we shall see. Instead of accessing knowledge directly through expanded awareness it is given to Cult operatives by the secret society networks of the Cult where it has been passed on over thousands of years outside the public arena. Expanded realms of consciousness is where great artists, composers and writers find their inspiration and where truth awaits anyone open enough to connect with it. We need to go there fast.

Archon hijack

A fifth of the Nag Hammadi texts describe the existence and manipulation of the Archons led by a 'Chief Archon' they call 'Yaldabaoth', or the 'Demiurge', and this is the Christian 'Devil', 'Satan', 'Lucifer', and his demons. Archons in Biblical symbolism are the 'fallen ones' which are also referred to as fallen angels after the angels expelled from heaven according to the Abrahamic religions of Judaism, Christianity and Islam. These angels are claimed to tempt humans to 'sin' ongoing and you will see how accurate that symbolism is during the rest of the book. The theme of 'original sin' is related to the 'Fall' when Adam and Eve were 'tempted by the serpent' and fell from a state of innocence and 'obedience' (connection) with God into a state of disobedience (disconnection). The Fall is said to have brought sin into the world and corrupted everything including human nature. Yaldabaoth, the 'Lord Archon', is described by Gnostics as a 'counterfeit spirit', 'The Blind One', 'The Blind God', and 'The Foolish One'. The Jewish name for Yaldabaoth in Talmudic writings is Samael which translates as 'Poison of God', or 'Blindness of God'. You see the parallels. Yaldabaoth in Islamic belief is the Muslim Jinn devil known as Shaytan – Shaytan is Satan as the same themes are found all over the world in every religion and culture. The 'Lord God' of the Old Testament is the 'Lord Archon' of Gnostic manuscripts and that's why he's such a bloodthirsty bastard. Satan is known by Christians as 'the Demon of Demons' and Gnostics called Yaldabaoth the 'Archon of Archons'. Both are known as 'The Deceiver'. We are talking about the same 'bloke' for sure and these common themes

using different names, storylines and symbolism tell a common tale of the human plight.

Archons are referred to in Nag Hammadi documents as mind parasites, inverters, guards, gatekeepers, detainers, judges, pitiless ones and deceivers. The 'Covid' hoax alone is a glaring example of all these things. The Biblical 'God' is so different in the Old and New Testaments because they are not describing the same phenomenon. The vindictive, angry, hate-filled, 'God' of the Old Testament, known as Yahweh, is Yaldabaoth who is depicted in Cult-dictated popular culture as the 'Dark Lord', 'Lord of Time', Lord (Darth) Vader and Dormammu, the evil ruler of the 'Dark Dimension' trying to take over the 'Earth Dimension' in the Marvel comic movie, *Dr Strange*. Yaldabaoth is both the Old Testament 'god' and the Biblical 'Satan'. Gnostics referred to Yaldabaoth as the 'Great Architect of the Universe'and the Cult-controlled Freemason network calls their god 'the 'Great Architect of the Universe' (also Grand Architect). The 'Great Architect' Yaldabaoth is symbolised by the Cult as the all-seeing eye at the top of the pyramid on the Great Seal of the United States and the dollar bill. Archon is encoded in *arch*-itect as it is in *arch*-angels and *arch*-bishops. All religions have the theme of a force for good and force for evil in some sort of spiritual war and there is a reason for that – the theme is true. The Cult and its non-human masters are quite happy for this to circulate. They present themselves as the force for good fighting evil when they are really the force of evil (absence of love). The whole foundation of Cult modus operandi is inversion. They promote themselves as a force for good and anyone challenging them in pursuit of peace, love, fairness, truth and justice is condemned as a satanic force for evil. This has been the game plan throughout history whether the Church of Rome inquisitions of non-believers or 'conspiracy theorists' and 'anti-vaxxers' of today. The technique is the same whatever the timeline era.

Yaldabaoth is revolting (true)

Yaldabaoth and the Archons are said to have revolted against God with Yaldabaoth claiming to *be* God – the *All That Is*. The Old Testament 'God' (Yaldabaoth) demanded to be worshipped as such: '*I am* the LORD, and there is none else, there is no God beside me' (Isaiah 45:5). I have quoted in other books a man who said he was the unofficial son of the late Baron Philippe de Rothschild of the Mouton-Rothschild wine producing estates in France who died in 1988 and he told me about the Rothschild 'revolt from God'. The man said he was given the name Phillip Eugene de Rothschild and we shared long correspondence many years ago while he was living under another identity. He said that he was conceived through 'occult incest' which (within the Cult) was 'normal and to be admired'. 'Phillip' told me about his experience attending satanic rituals with rich and famous people whom he names and you can see them and the wider background to Cult Satanism in my other books starting with *The Biggest Secret*. Cult rituals are interactions with Archontic 'gods'. 'Phillip' described Baron Philippe de Rothschild as 'a master Satanist and hater of God' and he used the same term 'revolt from God' associated with Yaldabaoth/Satan/Lucifer/the Devil in describing the Sabbatian Rothschild dynasty. 'I played a key role in my family's revolt from God', he said. That role was to infiltrate in classic Sabbatian style the Christian Church, but eventually he escaped the mind-prison to live another life. The Cult has been targeting religion in a plan to make worship of the Archons the global one-world religion. Infiltration of Satanism into modern 'culture', especially among the young, through music videos, stage shows and other means, is all part of this.

Nag Hammadi texts describe Yaldabaoth and the Archons in their prime form as energy – consciousness – and say they can take form if they choose in the same way that consciousness takes form as a human. Yaldabaoth is called 'formless' and represents a deeply inverted, distorted and chaotic state of consciousness which seeks to attached to humans and turn them into a likeness of itself in an attempt at assimilation. For that to happen it has to manipulate

humans into low frequency mental and emotional states that match its own. Archons can certainly appear in human form and this is the origin of the psychopathic personality. The energetic distortion Gnostics called Yaldabaoth *is* psychopathy. When psychopathic Archons take human form that human will be a psychopath as an expression of Yaldabaoth consciousness. Cult psychopaths are Archons in human form. The principle is the same as that portrayed in the 2009 *Avatar* movie when the American military travelled to a fictional Earth-like moon called Pandora in the Alpha Centauri star system to infiltrate a society of blue people, or Na'vi, by hiding within bodies that looked like the Na'vi. Archons posing as humans have a particular hybrid information field, part human, part Archon, (the ancient 'demigods') which processes information in a way that manifests behaviour to match their psychopathic evil, lack of empathy and compassion, and stops them being influenced by the empathy, compassion and love that a fully-human information field is capable of expressing. Cult bloodlines interbreed, be they royalty or dark suits, for this reason and you have their obsession with incest. Interbreeding with full-blown humans would dilute the Archontic energy field that guarantees psychopathy in its representatives in the human realm.

Gnostic writings say the main non-human forms that Archons take are *serpentine* (what I have called for decades 'reptilian' amid unbounded ridicule from the Archontically-programmed) and what Gnostics describe as 'an unborn baby or foetus with grey skin and dark, unmoving eyes'. This is an excellent representation of the ET 'Greys' of UFO folklore which large numbers of people claim to have seen and been abducted by – Zulu shaman Credo Mutwa among them. I agree with those that believe in extraterrestrial or interdimensional visitations today and for thousands of years past. No wonder with their advanced knowledge and technological capability they were perceived and worshipped as gods for technological and other 'miracles' they appeared to perform. Imagine someone arriving in a culture disconnected from the modern world with a smartphone and computer. They would be

seen as a 'god' capable of 'miracles'. The Renegade Mind, however, wants to know the source of everything and not only the way that source manifests as human or non-human. In the same way that a Renegade Mind seeks the original source material for the 'Covid virus' to see if what is claimed is true. The original source of Archons in form is consciousness – the distorted state of consciousness known to Gnostics as Yaldabaoth.

'Revolt from God' is energetic disconnection

Where I am going next will make a lot of sense of religious texts and ancient legends relating to 'Satan', Lucifer' and the 'gods'. Gnostic descriptions sync perfectly with the themes of my own research over the years in how they describe a consciousness distortion seeking to impose itself on human consciousness. I've referred to the core of infinite awareness in previous books as Infinite Awareness in Awareness of Itself. By that I mean a level of awareness that knows that it is all awareness and is aware of all awareness. From here comes the frequency of love in its true sense and balance which is what love is on one level – the balance of all forces into a single whole called Oneness and Isness. The more we disconnect from this state of love that many call 'God' the constituent parts of that Oneness start to unravel and express themselves as a part and not a whole. They become individualised as intellect, mind, selfishness, hatred, envy, desire for power over others, and such like. This is not a problem in the greater scheme in that 'God', the *All That Is*, can experience all these possibilities through different expressions of itself including humans. What we as expressions of the whole experience the *All That Is* experiences. We are the *All That Is* experiencing itself. As we withdraw from that state of Oneness we disconnect from its influence and things can get very unpleasant and very stupid. Archontic consciousness is at the extreme end of that. It has so disconnected from the influence of Oneness that it has become an inversion of unity and love, an inversion of everything, an inversion of life itself. Evil is appropriately live written backwards. Archontic consciousness is obsessed with death, an inversion of life,

and so its manifestations in Satanism are obsessed with death. They use inverted symbols in their rituals such as the inverted pentagram and cross. Sabbatians as Archontic consciousness incarnate invert Judaism and every other religion and culture they infiltrate. They seek disunity and chaos and they fear unity and harmony as they fear love like garlic to a vampire. As a result the Cult, Archons incarnate, act with such evil, psychopathy and lack of empathy and compassion disconnected as they are from the source of love. How could Bill Gates and the rest of the Archontic psychopaths do what they have to human society in the 'Covid' era with all the death, suffering and destruction involved and have no emotional consequence for the impact on others? Now you know. Why have Zuckerberg, Brin, Page, Wojcicki and company callously censored information warning about the dangers of the 'vaccine' while thousands have been dying and having severe, sometimes life-changing reactions? Now you know. Why have Tedros, Fauci, Whitty, Vallance and their like around the world been using case and death figures they're aware are fraudulent to justify lockdowns and all the deaths and destroyed lives that have come from that? Now you know. Why did Christian Drosten produce and promote a 'testing' protocol that he knew couldn't test for infectious disease which led to a global human catastrophe. Now you know. The Archontic mind doesn't give a shit (Fig 17). I personally think that Gates and major Cult insiders are a form of AI cyborg that the Archons want humans to become.

Figure 17: Artist Neil Hague's version of the 'Covid' hierarchy.

Human batteries

A state of such inversion does have its consequences, however. The level of disconnection from the Source of All means that you withdraw from that source of energetic sustenance and creativity. This means that you have to find your own supply of energetic power and it has – *us*. When the Morpheus character in the first *Matrix* movie held up a battery he spoke a profound truth when he said: 'The Matrix is a computer-generated dream world built to keep us under control in order to change the human being into one of

these.' The statement was true in all respects. We do live in a technologically-generated virtual reality simulation (more very shortly) and we have been manipulated to be an energy source for Archontic consciousness. The Disney-Pixar animated movie *Monsters, Inc*. in 2001 symbolised the dynamic when monsters in their world had no energy source and they would enter the human world to terrify children in their beds, catch the child's scream, terror (low-vibrational frequencies), and take that energy back to power the monster world. The lead character you might remember was a single giant eye and the symbolism of the Cult's all-seeing eye was obvious. Every thought and emotion is broadcast as a frequency unique to that thought and emotion. Feelings of love and joy, empathy and compassion, are high, quick, frequencies while fear, depression, anxiety, suffering and hate are low, slow, dense frequencies. Which kind do you think Archontic consciousness can connect with and absorb? In such a low and dense frequency state there's no way it can connect with the energy of love and joy. Archons can only feed off energy compatible with their own frequency and they and their Cult agents want to delete the human world of love and joy and manipulate the transmission of low vibrational frequencies through low-vibrational human mental and emotional states. *We are their energy source*. Wars are energetic banquets to the Archons – a world war even more so – and think how much low-frequency mental and emotional energy has been generated from the consequences for humanity of the 'Covid' hoax orchestrated by Archons incarnate like Gates.

The ancient practice of human sacrifice 'to the gods', continued in secret today by the Cult, is based on the same principle. 'The gods' are Archontic consciousness in different forms and the sacrifice is induced into a state of intense terror to generate the energy the Archontic frequency can absorb. Incarnate Archons in the ritual drink the blood which contains an adrenaline they crave which floods into the bloodstream when people are terrorised. Most of the sacrifices, ancient and modern, are children and the theme of 'sacrificing young virgins to the gods' is just code for children. They

have a particular pre-puberty energy that Archons want more than anything and the energy of the young in general is their target. The California Department of Education wants students to chant the names of Aztec gods (Archontic gods) once worshipped in human sacrifice rituals in a curriculum designed to encourage them to 'challenge racist, bigoted, discriminatory, imperialist/colonial beliefs', join 'social movements that struggle for social justice', and 'build new possibilities for a post-racist, post-systemic racism society'. It's the usual Woke crap that inverts racism and calls it anti-racism. In this case solidarity with 'indigenous tribes' is being used as an excuse to chant the names of 'gods' to which people were sacrificed (and still are in secret). What an example of Woke's inability to see beyond black and white, us and them, They condemn the colonisation of these tribal cultures by Europeans (quite right), but those cultures sacrificing people including children to their 'gods', and mass murdering untold numbers as the Aztecs did, is just fine. One chant is to the Aztec god Tezcatlipoca who had a man sacrificed to him in the 5th month of the Aztec calendar. His heart was cut out and he was eaten. Oh, that's okay then. Come on children … after three … Other sacrificial 'gods' for the young to chant their allegiance include Quetzalcoatl, Huitzilopochtli and Xipe Totec. The curriculum says that 'chants, affirmations, and energizers can be used to bring the class together, build unity around ethnic studies principles and values, and to reinvigorate the class following a lesson that may be emotionally taxing or even when student engagement may appear to be low'. Well, that's the cover story, anyway. Chanting and mantras are the repetition of a particular frequency generated from the vocal cords and chanting the names of these Archontic 'gods' tunes you into their frequency. That is the last thing you want when it allows for energetic synchronisation, attachment and perceptual influence. Initiates chant the names of their 'Gods' in their rituals for this very reason.

Vampires of the Woke

Paedophilia is another way that Archons absorb the energy of children. Paedophiles possessed by Archontic consciousness are used as the conduit during sexual abuse for discarnate Archons to vampire the energy of the young they desire so much. Stupendous numbers of children disappear every year never to be seen again although you would never know from the media. Imagine how much low-vibrational energy has been generated by children during the 'Covid' hoax when so many have become depressed and psychologically destroyed to the point of killing themselves. Shocking numbers of children are now taken by the state from loving parents to be handed to others. I can tell you from long experience of researching this since 1996 that many end up with paedophiles and assets of the Cult through corrupt and Cult-owned social services which in the reframing era has hired many psychopaths and emotionless automatons to do the job. Children are even stolen to order using spurious reasons to take them by the corrupt and secret (because they're corrupt) 'family courts'. I have written in detail in other books, starting with *The Biggest Secret* in 1997, about the ubiquitous connections between the political, corporate, government, intelligence and military elites (Cult operatives) and Satanism and paedophilia. If you go deep enough both networks have an interlocking leadership. The Woke mentality has been developed by the Cult for many reasons: To promote almost every aspect of its agenda; to hijack the traditional political left and turn it fascist; to divide and rule; and to target agenda pushbackers. But there are other reasons which relate to what I am describing here. How many happy and joyful Wokers do you ever see especially at the extreme end? They are a mental and psychological mess consumed by emotional stress and constantly emotionally cocked for the next explosion of indignation at someone referring to a female as a female. They are walking, talking, batteries as Morpheus might say emitting frequencies which both enslave them in low-vibrational bubbles of perceptual limitation and feed the Archons. Add to this the hatred claimed to be love; fascism claimed to 'anti-fascism', racism claimed to be 'anti-racism';

exclusion claimed to inclusion; and the abuse-filled Internet trolling. You have a purpose-built Archontic energy system with not a wind turbine in sight and all founded on Archontic *inversion*. We have whole generations now manipulated to serve the Archons with their actions and energy. They will be doing so their entire adult lives unless they snap out of their Archon-induced trance. Is it really a surprise that Cult billionaires and corporations put so much money their way? Where is the energy of joy and laughter, including laughing at yourself which is confirmation of your own emotional security? Mark Twain said: 'The human race has one really effective weapon, and that is laughter.' We must use it all the time. Woke has destroyed comedy because it has no humour, no joy, sense of irony, or self-deprecation. Its energy is dense and intense. *Mmmmm*, lunch says the Archontic frequency. Rudolf Steiner (1861-1925) was the Austrian philosopher and famous esoteric thinker who established Waldorf education or Steiner schools to treat children like unique expressions of consciousness and not minds to be programmed with the perceptions determined by authority. I'd been writing about this energy vampiring for decades when I was sent in 2016 a quote by Steiner. He was spot on:

> There are beings in the spiritual realms for whom anxiety and fear emanating from human beings offer welcome food. When humans have no anxiety and fear, then these creatures starve. If fear and anxiety radiates from people and they break out in panic, then these creatures find welcome nutrition and they become more and more powerful. These beings are hostile towards humanity. Everything that feeds on negative feelings, on anxiety, fear and superstition, despair or doubt, are in reality hostile forces in super-sensible worlds, launching cruel attacks on human beings, while they are being fed ... These are exactly the feelings that belong to contemporary culture and materialism; because it estranges people from the spiritual world, it is especially suited to evoke hopelessness and fear of the unknown in people, thereby calling up the above mentioned hostile forces against them.

Pause for a moment from this perspective and reflect on what has happened in the world since the start of 2020. Not only will pennies drop, but billion dollar bills. We see the same theme from Don Juan Matus, a Yaqui Indian shaman in Mexico and the information source for Peruvian-born writer, Carlos Castaneda, who wrote a series of

books from the 1960s to 1990s. Don Juan described the force manipulating human society and his name for the Archons was the predator:

> We have a predator that came from the depths of the cosmos and took over the rule of our lives. Human beings are its prisoners. The predator is our lord and master. It has rendered us docile, helpless. If we want to protest, it suppresses our protest. If we want to act independently, it demands that we don't do so ... indeed we are held prisoner!
>
> They took us over because we are food to them, and they squeeze us mercilessly because we are their sustenance. Just as we rear chickens in coops, the predators rear us in human coops, humaneros. Therefore, their food is always available to them.
>
> Different cultures, different eras, same recurring theme.

The 'ennoia' dilemma

Nag Hammadi Gnostic manuscripts say that Archon consciousness has no 'ennoia'. This is directly translated as 'intentionality', but I'll use the term 'creative imagination'. The *All That Is* in awareness of itself is the source of all creativity – all possibility – and the more disconnected you are from that source the more you are subsequently denied 'creative imagination'. Given that Archon consciousness is almost entirely disconnected it severely lacks creativity and has to rely on far more mechanical processes of thought and exploit the creative potential of those that do have 'ennoia'. You can see cases of this throughout human society. Archon consciousness almost entirely dominates the global banking system and if we study how that system works you will appreciate what I mean. Banks manifest 'money' out of nothing by issuing lines of 'credit' which is 'money' that has never, does not, and will never exist except in theory. It's a confidence trick. If you think 'credit' figures-on-a-screen 'money' is worth anything you accept it as payment. If you don't then the whole system collapses through lack of confidence in the value of that 'money'. Archontic bankers with no 'ennoia' are 'lending' 'money' that doesn't exist to humans that *do* have creativity – those that have the inspired ideas and create businesses and products. Archon banking feeds off human creativity

which it controls through 'money' creation and debt. Humans have the creativity and Archons exploit that for their own benefit and control while having none themselves. Archon Internet platforms like Facebook claim joint copyright of everything that creative users post and while Archontic minds like Zuckerberg may officially head that company it will be human creatives on the staff that provide the creative inspiration. When you have limitless 'money' you can then buy other companies established by creative humans. Witness the acquisition record of Facebook, Google and their like. Survey the Archon-controlled music industry and you see non-creative dark suit executives making their fortune from the human creativity of their artists. The cases are endless. Research the history of people like Gates and Zuckerberg and how their empires were built on exploiting the creativity of others. Archon minds cannot create out of nothing, but they are skilled (because they have to be) in what Gnostic texts call 'countermimicry'. They can imitate, but not innovate. Sabbatians trawl the creativity of others through backdoors they install in computer systems through their cybersecurity systems. Archon-controlled China is globally infamous for stealing intellectual property and I remember how Hong Kong, now part of China, became notorious for making counterfeit copies of the creativity of others – 'countermimicry'. With the now pervasive and all-seeing surveillance systems able to infiltrate any computer you can appreciate the potential for Archons to vampire the creativity of humans. Author John Lamb Lash wrote in his book about the Nag Hammadi texts, *Not In His Image*:

> Although they cannot originate anything, because they lack the divine factor of ennoia (intentionality), Archons can imitate with a vengeance. Their expertise is simulation (HAL, virtual reality). The Demiurge [Yaldabaoth] fashions a heaven world copied from the fractal patterns [of the original] ... His construction is celestial kitsch, like the fake Italianate villa of a Mafia don complete with militant angels to guard every portal.

This brings us to something that I have been speaking about since the turn of the millennium. Our reality is a simulation; a virtual reality that we think is real. No, I'm not kidding.

Human reality? Well, virtually

I had pondered for years about whether our reality is 'real' or some kind of construct. I remembered being immensely affected on a visit as a small child in the late 1950s to the then newly-opened Planetarium on the Marylebone Road in London which is now closed and part of the adjacent Madame Tussauds wax museum. It was in the middle of the day, but when the lights went out there was the night sky projected in the Planetarium's domed ceiling and it appeared to be so real. The experience never left me and I didn't know why until around the turn of the millennium when I became certain that our 'night sky' and entire reality is a projection, a virtual reality, akin to the illusory world portrayed in the *Matrix* movies. I looked at the sky one day in this period and it appeared to me like the domed roof of the Planetarium. The release of the first *Matrix* movie in 1999 also provided a synchronistic and perfect visual representation of where my mind had been going for a long time. I hadn't come across the Gnostic Nag Hammadi texts then. When I did years later the correlation was once again astounding. As I read Gnostic accounts from 1,600 years and more earlier it was clear that they were describing the same simulation phenomenon. They tell how the Yaldabaoth 'Demiurge' and Archons created a 'bad copy' of original reality to rule over all that were captured by its illusions and the body was a prison to trap consciousness in the 'bad copy' fake reality. Read how Gnostics describe the 'bad copy' and update that to current times and they are referring to what we would call today a virtual reality simulation.

Author John Lamb Lash said 'the Demiurge fashions a heaven world copied from the fractal patterns' of the original through expertise in 'HAL' or virtual reality simulation. Fractal patterns are part of the energetic information construct of our reality, a sort of blueprint. If these patterns were copied in computer terms it would indeed give you a copy of a 'natural' reality in a non-natural frequency and digital form. The principle is the same as making a copy of a website. The original website still exists, but now you can change the copy version to make it whatever you like and it can

become very different to the original website. Archons have done this with our reality, a *synthetic* copy of prime reality that still exists beyond the frequency walls of the simulation. Trapped within the illusions of this synthetic Matrix, however, were and are human consciousness and other expressions of prime reality and this is why the Archons via the Cult are seeking to make the human body synthetic and give us synthetic AI minds to complete the job of turning the entire reality synthetic including what we perceive to be the natural world. To quote Kurzweil: 'Nanobots will infuse all the matter around us with information. Rocks, trees, everything will become these intelligent creatures.' Yes, *synthetic* 'creatures' just as 'Covid' and other genetically-manipulating 'vaccines' are designed to make the human body synthetic. From this perspective it is obvious why Archons and their Cult are so desperate to infuse synthetic material into every human with their 'Covid' scam.

Let there be (electromagnetic) light

Yaldabaoth, the force that created the simulation, or Matrix, makes sense of the Gnostic reference to 'The Great Architect' and its use by Cult Freemasonry as the name of its deity. The designer of the Matrix in the movies is called 'The Architect' and that trilogy is jam-packed with symbolism relating to these subjects. I have contended for years that the angry Old Testament God (Yaldabaoth) is the 'God' being symbolically 'quoted' in the opening of Genesis as 'creating the world'. This is not the creation of prime reality – it's the creation of the *simulation*. The Genesis 'God' says: 'Let there be Light: and there was light.' But what is this 'Light'? I have said for decades that the speed of light (186,000 miles per second) is not the fastest speed possible as claimed by mainstream science and is in fact the frequency walls or outer limits of the Matrix. You can't have a fastest or slowest anything within all possibility when everything is possible. The human body is encoded to operate within the speed of light or *within the simulation* and thus we see only the tiny frequency band of visible *light*. Near-death experiencers who perceive reality outside the body during temporary 'death' describe a very different

form of light and this is supported by the Nag Hammadi texts. Prime reality beyond the simulation ('Upper Aeons' to the Gnostics) is described as a realm of incredible beauty, bliss, love and harmony – a realm of 'watery light' that is so powerful 'there are no shadows'. Our false reality of Archon control, which Gnostics call the 'Lower Aeons', is depicted as a realm with a different kind of 'light' and described in terms of chaos, 'Hell', 'the Abyss' and 'Outer Darkness', where trapped souls are tormented and manipulated by demons (relate that to the 'Covid' hoax alone). The watery light theme can be found in near-death accounts and it is not the same as *simulation* 'light' which is electromagnetic or radiation light within the speed of light – the 'Lower Aeons'. Simulation 'light' is the 'luminous fire' associated by Gnostics with the Archons. The Bible refers to Yaldabaoth as 'that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world' (Revelation 12:9). I think that making a simulated copy of prime reality ('countermimicry') and changing it dramatically while all the time manipulating humanity to believe it to be real could probably meet the criteria of deceiving the whole world. Then we come to the Cult god Lucifer – the *Light Bringer*. Lucifer is symbolic of Yaldabaoth, the bringer of radiation light that forms the bad copy simulation within the speed of light. 'He' is symbolised by the lighted torch held by the Statue of Liberty and in the name 'Illuminati'. Sabbatian-Frankism declares that Lucifer is the true god and Lucifer is the real god of Freemasonry honoured as their 'Great or Grand Architect of the Universe' (simulation).

I would emphasise, too, the way Archontic technologically-generated luminous fire of radiation has deluged our environment since I was a kid in the 1950s and changed the nature of The Field with which we constantly interact. Through that interaction technological radiation is changing us. The Smart Grid is designed to operate with immense levels of communication power with 5G expanding across the world and 6G, 7G, in the process of development. Radiation is the simulation and the Archontic manipulation system. Why wouldn't the Archon Cult wish to unleash radiation upon us to an ever-greater extreme to form

Kurzweil's 'cloud'? The plan for a synthetic human is related to the need to cope with levels of radiation beyond even anything we've seen so far. Biological humans would not survive the scale of radiation they have in their script. The Smart Grid is a technological sub-reality within the technological simulation to further disconnect five-sense perception from expanded consciousness. It's a technological prison of the mind.

Infusing the 'spirit of darkness'

A recurring theme in religion and native cultures is the manipulation of human genetics by a non-human force and most famously recorded as the biblical 'sons of god' (the god*s* plural in the original) who interbred with the daughters of men. The Nag Hammadi *Apocryphon of John* tells the same story this way:

> He [Yaldabaoth] sent his angels [Archons/demons] to the daughters of men, that they might take some of them for themselves and raise offspring for their enjoyment. And at first they did not succeed. When they had no success, they gathered together again and they made a plan together ... And the angels changed themselves in their likeness into the likeness of their mates, filling them with the spirit of darkness, which they had mixed for them, and with evil ... And they took women and begot children out of the darkness according to the likeness of their spirit.

Possession when a discarnate entity takes over a human body is an age-old theme and continues today. It's very real and I've seen it. Satanic and secret society rituals can create an energetic environment in which entities can attach to initiates and I've heard many stories of how people have changed their personality after being initiated even into lower levels of the Freemasons. I have been inside three Freemasonic temples, one at a public open day and two by just walking in when there was no one around to stop me. They were in Ryde, the town where I live, Birmingham, England, when I was with a group, and Boston, Massachusetts. They all felt the same energetically – dark, dense, low-vibrational and sinister. Demonic attachment can happen while the initiate has no idea what is going on. To them it's just a ritual to get in the Masons and do a bit of good

business. In the far more extreme rituals of Satanism human possession is even more powerful and they are designed to make possession possible. The hierarchy of the Cult is dictated by the power and perceived status of the possessing Archon. In this way the Archon hierarchy becomes the Cult hierarchy. Once the entity has attached it can influence perception and behaviour and if it attaches to the extreme then so much of its energy (information) infuses into the body information field that the hologram starts to reflect the nature of the possessing entity. This is the *Exorcist* movie type of possession when facial features change and it's known as shapeshifting. Islam's Jinn are said to be invisible tricksters who change shape, 'whisper', confuse and take human form. These are all traits of the Archons and other versions of the same phenomenon. Extreme possession could certainty infuse the 'spirit of darkness' into a partner during sex as the Nag Hammadi texts appear to describe. Such an infusion can change genetics which is also energetic information. Human genetics is information and the 'spirit of darkness' is information. Mix one with the other and change must happen. Islam has the concept of a 'Jinn baby' through possession of the mother and by Jinn taking human form. There are many ways that human genetics can be changed and remember that Archons have been aware all along of advanced techniques to do this. What is being done in human society today – and far more – was known about by Archons at the time of the 'fallen ones' and their other versions described in religions and cultures.

Archons and their human-world Cult are obsessed with genetics as we see today and they know this dictates how information is processed into perceived reality during a human life. They needed to produce a human form that would decode the simulation and this is symbolically known as 'Adam and Eve' who left the 'garden' (prime reality) and 'fell' into Matrix reality. The simulation is not a 'physical' construct (there is no 'physical'); it is a source of information. Think Wi-Fi again. The simulation is an energetic field encoded with information and body-brain systems are designed to decode that information encoded in wave or frequency form which

is transmitted to the brain as electrical signals. These are decoded by the brain to construct our sense of reality – an illusory 'physical' world that only exists in the brain or the mind. Virtual reality games mimic this process using the same sensory decoding system. Information is fed to the senses to decode a virtual reality that can appear so real, but isn't (Figs 18 and 19). Some scientists believe – and I agree with them – that what we perceive as 'physical' reality only exists when we are looking or observing. The act of perception or focus triggers the decoding systems which turn waveform information into holographic reality. When we are not observing something our reality reverts from a holographic state to a waveform state. This relates to the same principle as a falling tree not making a noise unless someone is there to hear it or decode it. The concept makes sense from the simulation perspective. A computer is not decoding all the information in a Wi-Fi field all the time and only decodes or brings into reality on the screen that part of Wi-Fi that it's decoding – focusing upon – at that moment.

Figure 18: Virtual reality technology 'hacks' into the body's five-sense decoding system.

Figure 19: The result can be experienced as very 'real'.

Interestingly, Professor Donald Hoffman at the Department of Cognitive Sciences at the University of California, Irvine, says that our experienced reality is like a computer interface that shows us only the level with which we interact while hiding all that exists beyond it: 'Evolution shaped us with a user interface that hides the truth. Nothing that we see is the truth – the very language of space and time and objects is the wrong language to describe reality.' He is correct in what he says on so many levels. Space and time are not a universal reality. They are a phenomenon of decoded *simulation* reality as part of the process of enslaving our sense of reality. Near-death experiencers report again and again how space and time did not exist as we perceive them once they were free of the body – body decoding systems. You can appreciate from this why Archons and their Cult are so desperate to entrap human attention in the five senses where we are in the Matrix and of the Matrix. Opening your mind to expanded states of awareness takes you beyond the information confines of the simulation and you become aware of knowledge and insights denied to you before. This is what we call 'awakening' – *awakening from the Matrix* – and in the final chapter I will relate this to current events.

Where are the 'aliens'?

A simulation would explain the so-called 'Fermi Paradox' named after Italian physicist Enrico Fermi (1901-1954) who created the first nuclear reactor. He considered the question of why there is such a lack of extraterrestrial activity when there are so many stars and planets in an apparently vast universe; but what if the night sky that we see, or think we do, is a simulated projection as I say? If you control the simulation and your aim is to hold humanity fast in essential ignorance would you want other forms of life including advanced life coming and going sharing information with humanity? Or would you want them to believe they were isolated and apparently alone? Themes of human isolation and apartness are common whether they be the perception of a lifeless universe or the fascist isolation laws of the 'Covid' era. Paradoxically the very

existence of a simulation means that we are not alone when some force had to construct it. My view is that experiences that people have reported all over the world for centuries with Reptilians and Grey entities are Archon phenomena as Nag Hammadi texts describe; and that benevolent 'alien' interactions are non-human groups that come in and out of the simulation by overcoming Archon attempts to keep them out. It should be highlighted, too, that Reptilians and Greys are obsessed with *genetics* and *technology* as related by cultural accounts and those who say they have been abducted by them. Technology is their way of overcoming some of the limitations in their creative potential and our technology-driven and controlled human society of today is *arch*etypical Archon-Reptilian-Grey modus operandi. Technocracy is really *Archon*tocracy. The Universe does not have to be as big as it appears with a simulation. There is no space or distance only information decoded into holographic reality. What we call 'space' is only the absence of holographic 'objects' and that 'space' is The Field of energetic information which connects everything into a single whole. The same applies with the artificially-generated information field of the simulation. The Universe is not big or small as a physical reality. It is decoded information, that's all, and its perceived size is decided by the way the simulation is encoded to make it appear. The entire night sky as we perceive it only exists in our brain and so where are those 'millions of light years'? The 'stars' on the ceiling of the Planetarium looked a vast distance away.

There's another point to mention about 'aliens'. I have been highlighting since the 1990s the plan to stage a fake 'alien invasion' to justify the centralisation of global power and a world military. Nazi scientist Werner von Braun, who was taken to America by Operation Paperclip after World War Two to help found NASA, told his American assistant Dr Carol Rosin about the Cult agenda when he knew he was dying in 1977. Rosin said that he told her about a sequence that would lead to total human control by a one-world government. This included threats from terrorism, rogue nations, meteors and asteroids before finally an 'alien invasion'. All of these

things, von Braun said, would be bogus and what I would refer to as a No-Problem-Reaction-Solution. Keep this in mind when 'the aliens are coming' is the new mantra. The aliens are not coming – they are *already here* and they have infiltrated human society while looking human. French-Canadian investigative journalist Serge Monast said in 1994 that he had uncovered a NASA/military operation called Project Blue Beam which fits with what Werner von Braun predicted. Monast died of a 'heart attack' in 1996 the day after he was arrested and spent a night in prison. He was 51. He said Blue Beam was a plan to stage an alien invasion that would include religious figures beamed holographically into the sky as part of a global manipulation to usher in a 'new age' of worshipping what I would say is the Cult 'god' Yaldabaoth in a one-world religion. Fake holographic asteroids are also said to be part of the plan which again syncs with von Braun. How could you stage an illusory threat from asteroids unless they were holographic inserts? This is pretty straightforward given the advanced technology outside the public arena and the fact that our 'physical' reality is holographic anyway. Information fields would be projected and we would decode them into the illusion of a 'physical' asteroid. If they can sell a global 'pandemic' with a 'virus' that doesn't exist what will humans not believe if government and media tell them?

All this is particularly relevant as I write with the Pentagon planning to release in June, 2021, information about 'UFO sightings'. I have been following the UFO story since the early 1990s and the common theme throughout has been government and military denials and cover up. More recently, however, the Pentagon has suddenly become more talkative and apparently open with Air Force pilot radar images released of unexplained craft moving and changing direction at speeds well beyond anything believed possible with human technology. Then, in March, 2021, former Director of National Intelligence John Ratcliffe said a Pentagon report months later in June would reveal a great deal of information about UFO sightings unknown to the public. He said the report would have 'massive implications'. The order to do this was included bizarrely

in a $2.3 trillion 'coronavirus' relief and government funding bill passed by the Trump administration at the end of 2020. I would add some serious notes of caution here. I have been pointing out since the 1990s that the US military and intelligence networks have long had craft – 'flying saucers' or anti-gravity craft – which any observer would take to be extraterrestrial in origin. Keeping this knowledge from the public allows craft flown by *humans* to be perceived as alien visitations. I am not saying that 'aliens' do not exist. I would be the last one to say that, but we have to be streetwise here. President Ronald Reagan told the UN General Assembly in 1987: 'I occasionally think how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world.' That's the idea. Unite against a common 'enemy' with a common purpose behind your 'saviour force' (the Cult) as this age-old technique of mass manipulation goes global.

Science moves this way ...

I could find only one other person who was discussing the simulation hypothesis publicly when I concluded it was real. This was Nick Bostrom, a Swedish-born philosopher at the University of Oxford, who has explored for many years the possibility that human reality is a computer simulation although his version and mine are not the same. Today the simulation and holographic reality hypothesis have increasingly entered the scientific mainstream. Well, the more open-minded mainstream, that is. Here are a few of the ever-gathering examples. American nuclear physicist Silas Beane led a team of physicists at the University of Bonn in Germany pursuing the question of whether we live in a simulation. They concluded that we probably do and it was likely based on a lattice of cubes. They found that cosmic rays align with that specific pattern. The team highlighted the Greisen–Zatsepin–Kuzmin (GZK) limit which refers to cosmic ray particle interaction with cosmic background radiation that creates an apparent boundary for cosmic ray particles. They say in a paper entitled 'Constraints on the Universe as a Numerical Simulation' that this 'pattern of constraint' is exactly what you

would find with a computer simulation. They also made the point that a simulation would create its own 'laws of physics' that would limit possibility. I've been making the same point for decades that the *perceived* laws of physics relate only to this reality, or what I would later call the simulation. When designers write codes to create computer and virtual reality games they are the equivalent of the laws of physics for that game. Players interact within the limitations laid out by the coding. In the same way those who wrote the codes for the simulation decided the laws of physics that would apply. These can be overridden by expanded states of consciousness, but not by those enslaved in only five-sense awareness where simulation codes rule. Overriding the codes is what people call 'miracles'. They are not. They are bypassing the encoded limits of the simulation. A population caught in simulation perception would have no idea that this was their plight. As the Bonn paper said: 'Like a prisoner in a pitch-black cell we would not be able to see the "walls" of our prison,' That's true if people remain mesmerised by the five senses. Open to expanded awareness and those walls become very clear. The main one is the speed of light.

American theoretical physicist James Gates is another who has explored the simulation question and found considerable evidence to support the idea. Gates was Professor of Physics at the University of Maryland, Director of The Center for String and Particle Theory, and on Barack Obama's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. He and his team found *computer codes* of digital data embedded in the fabric of our reality. They relate to on-off electrical charges of 1 and 0 in the binary system used by computers. 'We have no idea what they are doing there', Gates said. They found within the energetic fabric mathematical sequences known as error-correcting codes or block codes that 'reboot' data to its original state or 'default settings' when something knocks it out of sync. Gates was asked if he had found a set of equations embedded in our reality indistinguishable from those that drive search engines and browsers and he said: 'That is correct.' Rich Terrile, director of the Centre for Evolutionary Computation and Automated Design at NASA's Jet

Propulsion Laboratory, has said publicly that he believes the Universe is a digital hologram that must have been created by a form of intelligence. I agree with that in every way. Waveform information is delivered electrically by the senses to the brain which constructs a *digital* holographic reality that we call the 'world'. This digital level of reality can be read by the esoteric art of numerology. Digital holograms are at the cutting edge of holographics today. We have digital technology everywhere designed to access and manipulate our digital level of perceived reality. Synthetic mRNA in 'Covid vaccines' has a digital component to manipulate the body's digital 'operating system'.

Reality is numbers

How many know that our reality can be broken down to numbers and codes that are the same as computer games? Max Tegmark, a physicist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), is the author of *Our Mathematical Universe* in which he lays out how reality can be entirely described by numbers and maths in the way that a video game is encoded with the 'physics' of computer games. Our world and computer virtual reality are essentially the same. Tegmark imagines the perceptions of characters in an advanced computer game when the graphics are so good they don't know they are in a game. They think they can bump into real objects (electromagnetic resistance in our reality), fall in love and feel emotions like excitement. When they began to study the apparently 'physical world' of the video game they would realise that everything was made of pixels (which have been found in our energetic reality as must be the case when on one level our world is digital). What computer game characters thought was physical 'stuff', Tegmark said, could actually be broken down into numbers:

And we're exactly in this situation in our world. We look around and it doesn't seem that mathematical at all, but everything we see is made out of elementary particles like quarks and electrons. And what properties does an electron have? Does it have a smell or a colour or a texture? No! ... We physicists have come up with geeky names for [Electron] properties, like

electric charge, or spin, or lepton number, but the electron doesn't care what we call it, the properties are just numbers.

This is the illusory reality Gnostics were describing. This is the simulation. The A, C, G, and T codes of DNA have a binary value – A and C = 0 while G and T = 1. This has to be when the simulation is digital and the body must be digital to interact with it. Recurring mathematical sequences are encoded throughout reality and the body. They include the Fibonacci sequence in which the two previous numbers are added to get the next one, as in ... 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, etc. The sequence is encoded in the human face and body, proportions of animals, DNA, seed heads, pine cones, trees, shells, spiral galaxies, hurricanes and the number of petals in a flower. The list goes on and on. There are fractal patterns – a 'never-ending pattern that is infinitely complex and self-similar across all scales in the as above, so below, principle of holograms. These and other famous recurring geometrical and mathematical sequences such as Phi, Pi, Golden Mean, Golden Ratio and Golden Section are *computer codes* of the simulation. I had to laugh and give my head a shake the day I finished this book and it went into the production stage. I was sent an article in *Scientific American* published in April, 2021, with the headline 'Confirmed! We Live in a Simulation'. Two decades after I first said our reality is a simulation and the speed of light is it's outer limit the article suggested that we do live in a simulation and that the speed of light is its outer limit. I left school at 15 and never passed a major exam in my life while the writer was up to his eyes in qualifications. As I will explain in the final chapter *knowing* is far better than thinking and they come from very different sources. The article rightly connected the speed of light to the processing speed of the 'Matrix' and said what has been in my books all this time … 'If we are in a simulation, as it appears, then space is an abstract property written in code. It is not real'. No it's not and if we live in a simulation something created it and it wasn't *us*. 'That David Icke says we are manipulated by aliens' – he's crackers.'

Wow ...

The reality that humanity thinks is so real is an illusion. Politicians, governments, scientists, doctors, academics, law enforcement, media, school and university curriculums, on and on, are all founded on a world that *does not exist* except as a simulated prison cell. Is it such a stretch to accept that 'Covid' doesn't exist when our entire 'physical' reality doesn't exist? Revealed here is the knowledge kept under raps in the Cult networks of compartmentalised secrecy to control humanity's sense of reality by inducing the population to believe in a reality that's not real. If it wasn't so tragic in its experiential consequences the whole thing would be hysterically funny. None of this is new to Renegade Minds. Ancient Greek philosopher Plato (about 428 to about 347BC) was a major influence on Gnostic belief and he described the human plight thousands of years ago with his Allegory of the Cave. He told the symbolic story of prisoners living in a cave who had never been outside. They were chained and could only see one wall of the cave while behind them was a fire that they could not see. Figures walked past the fire casting shadows on the prisoners' wall and those moving shadows became their sense of reality. Some prisoners began to study the shadows and were considered experts on them (today's academics and scientists), but what they studied was only an illusion (today's academics and scientists). A prisoner escaped from the cave and saw reality as it really is. When he returned to report this revelation they didn't believe him, called him mad and threatened to kill him if he tried to set them free. Plato's tale is not only a brilliant analogy of the human plight and our illusory reality. It describes, too, the dynamics of the 'Covid' hoax. I have only skimmed the surface of these subjects here. The aim of this book is to crisply connect all essential dots to put what is happening today into its true context. All subject areas and their connections in this chapter are covered in great evidential detail in *Everything You Need To Know, But Have Never Been Told* and *The Answer*.

They say that bewildered people 'can't see the forest for the trees'. Humanity, however, can't see the forest for the *twigs*. The five senses

see only twigs while Renegade Minds can see the forest and it's the forest where the answers lie with the connections that reveals. Breaking free of perceptual programming so the forest can be seen is the way we turn all this around. Not breaking free is how humanity got into this mess. The situation may seem hopeless, but I promise you it's not. We are a perceptual heartbeat from paradise if only we knew.

CHAPTER TWELVE

Escaping Wetiko

Life is simply a vacation from the infinite
Dean Cavanagh

Renegade Minds weave the web of life and events and see common themes in the apparently random. They are always there if you look for them and their pursuit is aided by incredible synchronicity that comes when your mind is open rather than mesmerised by what it thinks it can see.

Infinite awareness is infinite possibility and the more of infinite possibility that we access the more becomes infinitely possible. That may be stating the apparently obvious, but it is a devastatingly-powerful fact that can set us free. We are a point of attention within an infinity of consciousness. The question is how much of that infinity do we choose to access? How much knowledge, insight, awareness, wisdom, do we want to connect with and explore? If your focus is only in the five senses you will be influenced by a fraction of infinite awareness. I mean a range so tiny that it gives new meaning to infinitesimal. Limitation of self-identity and a sense of the possible limit accordingly your range of consciousness. We are what we think we are. Life is what we think it is. The dream is the dreamer and the dreamer is the dream. Buddhist philosophy puts it this way: 'As a thing is viewed, so it appears.' Most humans live in the realm of touch, taste, see, hear, and smell and that's the limit of their sense of the possible and sense of self. Many will follow a religion and speak of a God in his heaven, but their lives are still

dominated by the five senses in their perceptions and actions. The five senses become the arbiter of everything. When that happens all except a smear of infinity is sealed away from influence by the rigid, unyielding, reality bubbles that are the five-sense human or Phantom Self. Archon Cult methodology is to isolate consciousness within five-sense reality – the simulation – and then program that consciousness with a sense of self and the world through a deluge of life-long information designed to instil the desired perception that allows global control. Efforts to do this have increased dramatically with identity politics as identity bubbles are squeezed into the minutiae of five-sense detail which disconnect people even more profoundly from the infinite 'I'.

Five-sense focus and self-identity are like a firewall that limits access to the infinite realms. You only perceive one radio or television station and no other. We'll take that literally for a moment. Imagine a vast array of stations giving different information and angles on reality, but you only ever listen to one. Here we have the human plight in which the population is overwhelmingly confined to CultFM. This relates only to the frequency range of CultFM and limits perception and insight to that band – limits *possibility* to that band. It means you are connecting with an almost imperceptibly minuscule range of possibility and creative potential within the infinite Field. It's a world where everything seems apart from everything else and where synchronicity is rare. Synchronicity is defined in the dictionary as 'the happening by chance of two or more related or similar events at the same time'. Use of 'by chance' betrays a complete misunderstanding of reality. Synchronicity is not 'by chance'. As people open their minds, or 'awaken' to use the term, they notice more and more coincidences in their lives, bits of 'luck', apparently miraculous happenings that put them in the right place at the right time with the right people. Days become peppered with 'fancy meeting you here' and 'what are the chances of that?' My entire life has been lived like this and ever more so since my own colossal awakening in 1990 and 91 which transformed my sense of reality. Synchronicity is not 'by chance'; it is by accessing expanded

realms of possibility which allow expanded potential for manifestation. People broadcasting the same vibe from the same openness of mind tend to be drawn 'by chance' to each other through what I call frequency magnetism and it's not only people. In the last more than 30 years incredible synchronicity has also led me through the Cult maze to information in so many forms and to crucial personal experiences. These 'coincidences' have allowed me to put the puzzle pieces together across an enormous array of subjects and situations. Those who have breached the bubble of five-sense reality will know exactly what I mean and this escape from the perceptual prison cell is open to everyone whenever they make that choice. This may appear super-human when compared with the limitations of 'human', but it's really our natural state. 'Human' as currently experienced is consciousness in an unnatural state of induced separation from the infinity of the whole. I'll come to how this transformation into unity can be made when I have described in more detail the force that holds humanity in servitude by denying this access to infinite self.

The Wetiko factor

I have been talking and writing for decades about the way five-sense mind is systematically barricaded from expanded awareness. I have used the analogy of a computer (five-sense mind) and someone at the keyboard (expanded awareness). Interaction between the computer and the operator is symbolic of the interaction between five-sense mind and expanded awareness. The computer directly experiences the Internet and the operator experiences the Internet via the computer which is how it's supposed to be – the two working as one. Archons seek to control that point where the operator connects with the computer to stop that interaction (Fig 20). Now the operator is banging the keyboard and clicking the mouse, but the computer is not responding and this happens when the computer is taken over – *possessed* – by an appropriately-named computer 'virus'. The operator has lost all influence over the computer which goes its own way making decisions under the control of the 'virus'. I have

just described the dynamic through which the force known to Gnostics as Yaldabaoth and Archons disconnects five-sense mind from expanded awareness to imprison humanity in perceptual servitude.

Figure 20: The mind 'virus' I have been writing about for decades seeks to isolate five-sense mind (the computer) from the true 'I'. (Image by Neil Hague).

About a year ago I came across a Native American concept of Wetiko which describes precisely the same phenomenon. Wetiko is the spelling used by the Cree and there are other versions including wintiko and windigo used by other tribal groups. They spell the name with lower case, but I see Wetiko as a proper noun as with Archons and prefer a capital. I first saw an article about Wetiko by writer and researcher Paul Levy which so synced with what I had been writing about the computer/operator disconnection and later the Archons. I then read his book, the fascinating *Dispelling Wetiko, Breaking the Spell of Evil*. The parallels between what I had concluded long before and the Native American concept of Wetiko were so clear and obvious that it was almost funny. For Wetiko see the Gnostic Archons for sure and the Jinn, the Predators, and every other name for a force of evil, inversion and chaos. Wetiko is the Native American name for the force that divides the computer from

the operator (Fig 21). Indigenous author Jack D. Forbes, a founder of the Native American movement in the 1960s, wrote another book about Wetiko entitled *Columbus And Other Cannibals – The Wetiko Disease of Exploitation, Imperialism, and Terrorism* which I also read. Forbes says that Wetiko refers to an evil person or spirit 'who terrorizes other creatures by means of terrible acts, including cannibalism'. Zulu shaman Credo Mutwa told me that African accounts tell how cannibalism was brought into the world by the Chitauri 'gods' – another manifestation of Wetiko. The distinction between 'evil person or spirit' relates to Archons/Wetiko possessing a human or acting as pure consciousness. Wetiko is said to be a sickness of the soul or spirit and a state of being that takes but gives nothing back – the Cult and its operatives perfectly described. Black Hawk, a Native American war leader defending their lands from confiscation, said European invaders had 'poisoned hearts' – Wetiko hearts – and that this would spread to native societies. Mention of the heart is very significant as we shall shortly see. Forbes writes: 'Tragically, the history of the world for the past 2,000 years is, in great part, the story of the epidemiology of the wetiko disease.' Yes, and much longer. Forbes is correct when he says: 'The wetikos destroyed Egypt and Babylon and Athens and Rome and Tenochtitlan [capital of the Aztec empire] and perhaps now they will destroy the entire earth.' Evil, he said, is the number one export of a Wetiko culture – see its globalisation with 'Covid'. Constant war, mass murder, suffering of all kinds, child abuse, Satanism, torture and human sacrifice are all expressions of Wetiko and the Wetiko possessed. The world is Wetiko made manifest, *but it doesn't have to be*. There is a way out of this even now.

Figure 21: The mind 'virus' is known to Native Americans as 'Wetiko'. (Image by Neil Hague).

Cult of Wetiko

Wetiko is the Yaldabaoth frequency distortion that seeks to attach to human consciousness and absorb it into its own. Once this connection is made Wetiko can drive the perceptions of the target which they believe to be coming from their own mind. All the horrors of history and today from mass killers to Satanists, paedophiles like Jeffrey Epstein and other psychopaths, are the embodiment of Wetiko and express its state of being in all its grotesqueness. The Cult is Wetiko incarnate, Yaldabaoth incarnate, and it seeks to facilitate Wetiko assimilation of humanity in totality into its distortion by manipulating the population into low frequency states that match its own. Paul Levy writes: 'Holographically enforced within the psyche of every human being the wetiko virus pervades and underlies the entire field of consciousness, and can therefore potentially manifest through any one of us at any moment if we are not mindful.' The 'Covid' hoax has achieved this with many people, but others have not fallen into Wetiko's frequency lair. Players in the 'Covid' human catastrophe including Gates, Schwab, Tedros, Fauci, Whitty, Vallance, Johnson, Hancock, Ferguson, Drosten, and all the rest, including the psychopath psychologists, are expressions of Wetiko. This is why

they have no compassion or empathy and no emotional consequence for what they do that would make them stop doing it. Observe all the people who support the psychopaths in authority against the Pushbackers despite the damaging impact the psychopaths have on their own lives and their family's lives. You are again looking at Wetiko possession which prevents them seeing through the lies to the obvious scam going on. *Why can't they see it?* Wetiko won't let them see it. The perceptual divide that has now become a chasm is between the Wetikoed and the non-Wetikoed.

Paul Levy describes Wetiko in the same way that I have long described the Archontic force. They are the same distorted consciousness operating across dimensions of reality: '… the subtle body of wetiko is not located in the third dimension of space and time, literally existing in another dimension … it is able to affect ordinary lives by mysteriously interpenetrating into our three-dimensional world.' Wetiko does this through its incarnate representatives in the Cult and by weaving itself into The Field which on our level of reality is the electromagnetic information field of the simulation or Matrix. More than that, the simulation *is* Wetiko / Yaldabaoth. Caleb Scharf, Director of Astrobiology at Columbia University, has speculated that 'alien life' could be so advanced that it has transcribed itself into the quantum realm to become what we call physics. He said intelligence indistinguishable from the fabric of the Universe would solve many of its greatest mysteries:

> Perhaps hyper-advanced life isn't just external. Perhaps it's already all around. It is embedded in what we perceive to be physics itself, from the root behaviour of particles and fields to the phenomena of complexity and emergence ... In other words, life might not just be in the equations. It might BE the equations [My emphasis].

Scharf said it is possible that 'we don't recognise advanced life because it forms an integral and unsuspicious part of what we've considered to be the natural world'. I agree. Wetiko/Yaldabaoth *is* the simulation. We are literally in the body of the beast. But that doesn't mean it has to control us. We all have the power to overcome Wetiko

influence and the Cult knows that. I doubt it sleeps too well because it knows that.

Which Field?

This, I suggest, is how it all works. There are two Fields. One is the fierce electromagnetic light of the Matrix within the speed of light; the other is the 'watery light' of The Field beyond the walls of the Matrix that connects with the Great Infinity. Five-sense mind and the decoding systems of the body attach us to the Field of Matrix light. They have to or we could not experience this reality. Five-sense mind sees only the Matrix Field of information while our expanded consciousness is part of the Infinity Field. When we open our minds, and most importantly our hearts, to the Infinity Field we have a mission control which gives us an expanded perspective, a road map, to understand the nature of the five-sense world. If we are isolated only in five-sense mind there is no mission control. We're on our own trying to understand a world that's constantly feeding us information to ensure we do not understand. People in this state can feel 'lost' and bewildered with no direction or radar. You can see ever more clearly those who are influenced by the Fields of Big Infinity or little five-sense mind simply by their views and behaviour with regard to the 'Covid' hoax. We have had this division throughout known human history with the mass of the people on one side and individuals who could see and intuit beyond the walls of the simulation – Plato's prisoner who broke out of the cave and saw reality for what it is. Such people have always been targeted by Wetiko/Archon-possessed authority, burned at the stake or demonised as mad, bad and dangerous. The Cult today and its global network of 'anti-hate', 'anti-fascist' Woke groups are all expressions of Wetiko attacking those exposing the conspiracy, 'Covid' lies and the 'vaccine' agenda.

Woke as a whole is Wetiko which explains its black and white mentality and how at one it is with the Wetiko-possessed Cult. Paul Levy said: 'To be in this paradigm is to still be under the thrall of a two-valued logic – where things are either true or false – of a

wetikoized mind.' Wetiko consciousness is in a permanent rage, therefore so is Woke, and then there is Woke inversion and contradiction. 'Anti-fascists' act like fascists because fascists *and* 'anti-fascists' are both Wetiko at work. Political parties act the same while claiming to be different for the same reason. Secret society and satanic rituals are attaching initiates to Wetiko and the cold, ruthless, psychopathic mentality that secures the positions of power all over the world is Wetiko. Reframing 'training programmes' have the same cumulative effect of attaching Wetiko and we have their graduates described as automatons and robots with a cold, psychopathic, uncaring demeanour. They are all traits of Wetiko possession and look how many times they have been described in this book and elsewhere with regard to personnel behind 'Covid' including the police and medical profession. Climbing the greasy pole in any profession in a Wetiko society requires traits of Wetiko to get there and that is particularly true of politics which is not about fair competition and pre-eminence of ideas. It is founded on how many backs you can stab and arses you can lick. This culminated in the global 'Covid' coordination between the Wetiko possessed who pulled it off in all the different countries without a trace of empathy and compassion for their impact on humans. Our sight sense can see only holographic form and not the Field which connects holographic form. Therefore we perceive 'physical' objects with 'space' in between. In fact that 'space' is energy/consciousness operating on multiple frequencies. One of them is Wetiko and that connects the Cult psychopaths, those who submit to the psychopaths, and those who serve the psychopaths in the media operations of the world. Wetiko is Gates. Wetiko is the mask-wearing submissive. Wetiko is the fake journalist and 'fact-checker'. The Wetiko Field is coordinating the whole thing. Psychopaths, gofers, media operatives, 'anti-hate' hate groups, 'fact-checkers' and submissive people work as one unit *even without human coordination* because they are attached to the *same* Field which is organising it all (Fig 22). Paul Levy is here describing how Wetiko-possessed people are drawn together and refuse to let any information breach their rigid

perceptions. He was writing long before 'Covid', but I think you will recognise followers of the 'Covid' religion *oh just a little bit*:

> People who are channelling the vibratory frequency of wetiko align with each other through psychic resonance to reinforce their unspoken shared agreement so as to uphold their deranged view of reality. Once an unconscious content takes possession of certain individuals, it irresistibly draws them together by mutual attraction and knits them into groups tied together by their shared madness that can easily swell into an avalanche of insanity.
>
> A psychic epidemic is a closed system, which is to say that it is insular and not open to any new information or informing influences from the outside world which contradict its fixed, limited, and limiting perspective.

There we have the Woke mind and the 'Covid' mind. Compatible resonance draws the awakening together, too, which is clearly happening today.

Figure 22: The Wetiko Field from which the Cult pyramid and its personnel are made manifest. (Image by Neil Hague).

Spiritual servitude

Wetiko doesn't care about humans. It's not human; it just possesses humans for its own ends and the effect (depending on the scale of

possession) can be anything from extreme psychopathy to unquestioning obedience. Wetiko's worst nightmare is for human consciousness to expand beyond the simulation. Everything is focussed on stopping that happening through control of information, thus perception, thus frequency. The 'education system', media, science, medicine, academia, are all geared to maintaining humanity in five-sense servitude as is the constant stimulation of low-vibrational mental and emotional states (see 'Covid'). Wetiko seeks to dominate those subconscious spaces between five-sense perception and expanded consciousness where the computer meets the operator. From these subconscious hiding places Wetiko speaks to us to trigger urges and desires that we take to be our own and manipulate us into anything from low-vibrational to psychopathic states. Remember how Islam describes the Jinn as invisible tricksters that 'whisper' and confuse. Wetiko is the origin of the 'trickster god' theme that you find in cultures all over the world. Jinn, like the Archons, are Wetiko which is terrified of humans awakening and reconnecting with our true self for then its energy source has gone. With that the feedback loop breaks between Wetiko and human perception that provides the energetic momentum on which its very existence depends as a force of evil. Humans are both its target and its source of survival, but only if we are operating in low-vibrational states of fear, hate, depression and the background anxiety that most people suffer. We are Wetiko's target because we are its key to survival. It needs us, not the other way round. Paul Levy writes:

> A vampire has no intrinsic, independent, substantial existence in its own right; it only exists in relation to us. The pathogenic, vampiric mind-parasite called wetiko is nothing in itself – not being able to exist from its own side – yet it has a 'virtual reality' such that it can potentially destroy our species …
>
> …The fact that a vampire is not reflected by a mirror can also mean that what we need to see is that there's nothing, no-thing to see, other than ourselves. The fact that wetiko is the expression of something inside of us means that the cure for wetiko is with us as well. The critical issue is finding this cure within us and then putting it into effect.

Evil begets evil because if evil does not constantly expand and find new sources of energetic sustenance its evil, its *distortion*, dies with the assimilation into balance and harmony. Love is the garlic to Wetiko's vampire. Evil, the absence of love, cannot exist in the presence of love. I think I see a way out of here. I have emphasised so many times over the decades that the Archons/Wetiko and their Cult are not all powerful. *They are not*. I don't care how it looks even now *they are not*. I have not called them little boys in short trousers for effect. I have said it because it is true. Wetiko's insatiable desire for power over others is not a sign of its omnipotence, but its insecurity. Paul Levy writes: 'Due to the primal fear which ultimately drives it and which it is driven to cultivate, wetiko's body politic has an intrinsic and insistent need for centralising power and control so as to create imagined safety for itself.' *Yeeeeeees!* Exactly! Why does Wetiko want humans in an ongoing state of fear? Wetiko itself *is* fear and it is petrified of love. As evil is an absence of love, so love is an absence of fear. Love conquers all and *especially* Wetiko which *is* fear. Wetiko brought fear into the world when it wasn't here before. *Fear* was the 'fall', the fall into low-frequency ignorance and illusion – fear is **F**alse **E**motion **A**ppearing **R**eal. The simulation is driven and energised by fear because Wetiko/Yaldabaoth (fear) *are* the simulation. Fear is the absence of love and Wetiko is the absence of love.

Wetiko today

We can now view current events from this level of perspective. The 'Covid' hoax has generated momentous amounts of ongoing fear, anxiety, depression and despair which have empowered Wetiko. No wonder people like Gates have been the instigators when they are Wetiko incarnate and exhibit every trait of Wetiko in the extreme. See how cold and unemotional these people are like Gates and his cronies, how dead of eye they are. That's Wetiko. Sabbatians are Wetiko and everything they control including the World Health Organization, Big Pharma and the 'vaccine' makers, national 'health'

hierarchies, corporate media, Silicon Valley, the banking system, and the United Nations with its planned transformation into world government. All are controlled and possessed by the Wetiko distortion into distorting human society in its image. We are with this knowledge at the gateway to understanding the world. Divisions of race, culture, creed and sexuality are diversions to hide the real division between those possessed and influenced by Wetiko and those that are not. The 'Covid' hoax has brought both clearly into view. Human behaviour is not about race. Tyrants and dictatorships come in all colours and creeds. What unites the US president bombing the innocent and an African tribe committing genocide against another as in Rwanda? What unites them? *Wetiko*. All wars are Wetiko, all genocide is Wetiko, all hunger over centuries in a world of plenty is Wetiko. Children going to bed hungry, including in the West, is Wetiko. Cult-generated Woke racial divisions that focus on the body are designed to obscure the reality that divisions in behaviour are manifestations of mind, not body. Obsession with body identity and group judgement is a means to divert attention from the real source of behaviour – mind and perception. Conflict sown by the Woke both within themselves and with their target groups are Wetiko providing lunch for itself through still more agents of the division, chaos, and fear on which it feeds. The Cult is seeking to assimilate the entirety of humanity and all children and young people into the Wetiko frequency by manipulating them into states of fear and despair. Witness all the suicide and psychological unravelling since the spring of 2020. Wetiko psychopaths want to impose a state of unquestioning obedience to authority which is no more than a conduit for Wetiko to enforce its will and assimilate humanity into itself. It needs us to believe that resistance is futile when it fears resistance and even more so the game-changing non-cooperation with its impositions. It can use violent resistance for its benefit. Violent impositions and violent resistance are *both* Wetiko. The Power of Love with its Power of No will sweep Wetiko from our world. Wetiko and its Cult know that. They just don't want us to know.

AI Wetiko

This brings me to AI or artificial intelligence and something else Wetikos don't want us to know. What is AI *really*? I know about computer code algorithms and AI that learns from data input. These, however, are more diversions, the expeditionary force, for the real AI that they want to connect to the human brain as promoted by Silicon Valley Wetikos like Kurzweil. What is this AI? It is the frequency of *Wetiko*, the frequency of the Archons. The connection of AI to the human brain is the connection of the Wetiko frequency to create a Wetiko hive mind and complete the job of assimilation. The hive mind is planned to be controlled from Israel and China which are both 100 percent owned by Wetiko Sabbatians. The assimilation process has been going on minute by minute in the 'smart' era which fused with the 'Covid' era. We are told that social media is scrambling the minds of the young and changing their personality. This is true, but what is social media? Look more deeply at how it works, how it creates divisions and conflict, the hostility and cruelty, the targeting of people until they are destroyed. That's Wetiko. Social media is manipulated to tune people to the Wetiko frequency with all the emotional exploitation tricks employed by platforms like Facebook and its Wetiko front man, Zuckerberg. Facebook's Instagram announced a new platform for children to overcome a legal bar on them using the main site. This is more Wetiko exploitation and manipulation of kids. Amnesty International likened the plan to foxes offering to guard the henhouse and said it was incompatible with human rights. Since when did Wetiko or Zuckerberg (I repeat myself) care about that? Would Brin and Page at Google, Wojcicki at YouTube, Bezos at Amazon and whoever the hell runs Twitter act as they do if they were not channelling Wetiko? Would those who are developing technologies for no other reason than human control? How about those designing and selling technologies to kill people and Big Pharma drug and 'vaccine' producers who know they will end or devastate lives? Quite a thought for these people to consider is that if you are Wetiko in a human life you are Wetiko on the 'other side' unless your frequency

changes and that can only change by a change of perception which becomes a change of behaviour. Where Gates is going does not bear thinking about although perhaps that's exactly where he wants to go. Either way, that's where he's going. His frequency will make it so.

The frequency lair

I have been saying for a long time that a big part of the addiction to smartphones and devices is that a frequency is coming off them that entraps the mind. People spend ages on their phones and sometimes even a minute or so after they put them down they pick them up again and it all repeats. 'Covid' lockdowns will have increased this addiction a million times for obvious reasons. Addictions to alcohol overindulgence and drugs are another way that Wetiko entraps consciousness to attach to its own. Both are symptoms of low-vibrational psychological distress which alcoholism and drug addiction further compound. Do we think it's really a coincidence that access to them is made so easy while potions that can take people into realms beyond the simulation are banned and illegal? I have explored smartphone addiction in other books, the scale is mind-blowing, and that level of addiction does not come without help. Tech companies that make these phones are Wetiko and they will have no qualms about destroying the minds of children. We are seeing again with these companies the Wetiko perceptual combination of psychopathic enforcers and weak and meek unquestioning compliance by the rank and file.

The global Smart Grid is the Wetiko Grid and it is crucial to complete the Cult endgame. The simulation is radiation and we are being deluged with technological radiation on a devastating scale. Wetiko frauds like Elon Musk serve Cult interests while occasionally criticising them to maintain his street-cred. 5G and other forms of Wi-Fi are being directed at the earth from space on a volume and scale that goes on increasing by the day. Elon Musk's (officially) SpaceX Starlink project is in the process of putting tens of thousands of satellites in low orbit to cover every inch of the planet with 5G and other Wi-Fi to create Kurzweil's global 'cloud' to which the

human mind is planned to be attached very soon. SpaceX has approval to operate 12,000 satellites with more than 1,300 launched at the time of writing and applications filed for *30,000* more. Other operators in the Wi-Fi, 5G, low-orbit satellite market include OneWeb (UK), Telesat (Canada), and AST & Science (US). Musk tells us that AI could be the end of humanity and then launches a company called Neuralink to connect the human brain to computers. Musk's (in theory) Tesla company is building electric cars and the driverless vehicles of the smart control grid. As frauds and bullshitters go Elon Musk in my opinion is Major League.

5G and technological radiation in general are destructive to human health, genetics and psychology and increasing the strength of artificial radiation underpins the five-sense perceptual bubbles which are themselves expressions of radiation or electromagnetism. Freedom activist John Whitehead was so right with his 'databit by databit, we are building our own electronic concentration camps'. The Smart Grid and 5G is a means to control the human mind and infuse perceptual information into The Field to influence anyone in sync with its frequency. You can change perception and behaviour en masse if you can manipulate the population into those levels of frequency and this is happening all around us today. The arrogance of Musk and his fellow Cult operatives knows no bounds in the way that we see with Gates. Musk's satellites are so many in number already they are changing the night sky when viewed from Earth. The astronomy community has complained about this and they have seen nothing yet. Some consequences of Musk's Wetiko hubris include: Radiation; visible pollution of the night sky; interference with astronomy and meteorology; ground and water pollution from intensive use of increasingly many spaceports; accumulating space debris; continual deorbiting and burning up of aging satellites, polluting the atmosphere with toxic dust and smoke; and ever-increasing likelihood of collisions. A collective public open letter of complaint to Musk said:

We are writing to you … because SpaceX is in process of surrounding the Earth with a network of thousands of satellites whose very purpose is to irradiate every square inch of the

Earth. SpaceX, like everyone else, is treating the radiation as if it were not there. As if the mitochondria in our cells do not depend on electrons moving undisturbed from the food we digest to the oxygen we breathe.

As if our nervous systems and our hearts are not subject to radio frequency interference like any piece of electronic equipment. As if the cancer, diabetes, and heart disease that now afflict a majority of the Earth's population are not metabolic diseases that result from interference with our cellular machinery. As if insects everywhere, and the birds and animals that eat them, are not starving to death as a result.

People like Musk and Gates believe in their limitless Wetiko arrogance that they can do whatever they like to the world because they own it. Consequences for humanity are irrelevant. It's absolutely time that we stopped taking this shit from these self-styled masters of the Earth when you consider where this is going.

Why is the Cult so anti-human?

I hear this question often: Why would they do this when it will affect them, too? Ah, but will it? Who is this *them*? Forget their bodies. They are just vehicles for Wetiko consciousness. When you break it all down to the foundations we are looking at a state of severely distorted consciousness targeting another state of consciousness for assimilation. The rest is detail. The simulation is the fly-trap in which unique sensations of the five senses create a cycle of addiction called reincarnation. Renegade Minds see that everything which happens in our reality is a smaller version of the whole picture in line with the holographic principle. Addiction to the radiation of smart technology is a smaller version of addiction to the whole simulation. Connecting the body/brain to AI is taking that addiction on a giant step further to total ongoing control by assimilating human incarnate consciousness into Wetiko. I have watched during the 'Covid' hoax how many are becoming ever more profoundly attached to Wetiko's perceptual calling cards of aggressive response to any other point of view ('There is no other god but me'), psychopathic lack of compassion and empathy, and servile submission to the narrative and will of authority. Wetiko is the psychopaths *and* subservience to psychopaths. The Cult of Wetiko is

so anti-human because it is *not* human. It embarked on a mission to destroy human by targeting everything that it means to be human and to survive as human. 'Covid' is not the end, just a means to an end. The Cult with its Wetiko consciousness is seeking to change Earth systems, including the atmosphere, to suit them, not humans. The gathering bombardment of 5G alone from ground and space is dramatically changing The Field with which the five senses interact. There is so much more to come if we sit on our hands and hope it will all go away. It is not meant to go away. It is meant to get ever more extreme and we need to face that while we still can – just.

Carbon dioxide is the gas of life. Without that human is over. Kaput, gone, history. No natural world, no human. The Cult has created a cock and bull story about carbon dioxide and climate change to justify its reduction to the point where Gates and the ignoramus Biden 'climate chief' John Kerry want to suck it out of the atmosphere. Kerry wants to do this because his master Gates does. Wetikos have made the gas of life a demon with the usual support from the Wokers of Extinction Rebellion and similar organisations and the bewildered puppet-child that is Greta Thunberg who was put on the world stage by Klaus Schwab and the World Economic Forum. The name Extinction Rebellion is both ironic and as always Wetiko inversion. The gas that we need to survive must be reduced to save us from extinction. The most basic need of human is oxygen and we now have billions walking around in face nappies depriving body and brain of this essential requirement of human existence. More than that 5G at 60 gigahertz interacts with the oxygen molecule to reduce the amount of oxygen the body can absorb into the bloodstream. The obvious knock-on consequences of that for respiratory and cognitive problems and life itself need no further explanation. Psychopaths like Musk are assembling a global system of satellites to deluge the human atmosphere with this insanity. The man should be in jail. Here we have two most basic of human needs, oxygen and carbon dioxide, being dismantled.

Two others, water and food, are getting similar treatment with the United Nations Agendas 21 and 2030 – the Great Reset – planning to

centrally control all water and food supplies. People will not even own rain water that falls on their land. Food is affected at the most basic level by reducing carbon dioxide. We have genetic modification or GMO infiltrating the food chain on a mass scale, pesticides and herbicides polluting the air and destroying the soil. Freshwater fish that provide livelihoods for 60 million people and feed hundreds of millions worldwide are being 'pushed to the brink' according the conservationists while climate change is the only focus. Now we have Gates and Schwab wanting to dispense with current food sources all together and replace them with a synthetic version which the Wetiko Cult would control in terms of production and who eats and who doesn't. We have been on the Totalitarian Tiptoe to this for more than 60 years as food has become ever more processed and full of chemical shite to the point today when it's not natural food at all. As Dr Tom Cowan says: 'If it has a label don't eat it.' Bill Gates is now the biggest owner of farmland in the United States and he does nothing without an ulterior motive involving the Cult. Klaus Schwab wrote: 'To feed the world in the next 50 years we will need to produce as much food as was produced in the last 10,000 years … food security will only be achieved, however, if regulations on genetically modified foods are adapted to reflect the reality that gene editing offers a precise, efficient and safe method of improving crops.' Liar. People and the world are being targeted with aluminium through vaccines, chemtrails, food, drink cans, and endless other sources when aluminium has been linked to many health issues including dementia which is increasing year after year. Insects, bees and wildlife essential to the food chain are being deleted by pesticides, herbicides and radiation which 5G is dramatically increasing with 6G and 7G to come. The pollinating bee population is being devastated while wildlife including birds, dolphins and whales are having their natural radar blocked by the effects of ever-increasing radiation. In the summer windscreens used to be splattered with insects so numerous were they. It doesn't happen now. Where have they gone?

Synthetic everything

The Cult is introducing genetically-modified versions of trees, plants and insects including a Gates-funded project to unleash hundreds of millions of genetically-modified, lab-altered and patented male mosquitoes to mate with wild mosquitoes and induce genetic flaws that cause them to die out. Clinically-insane Gates-funded Japanese researchers have developed mosquitos that spread vaccine and are dubbed 'flying vaccinators'. Gates is funding the modification of weather patterns in part to sell the myth that this is caused by carbon dioxide and he's funding geoengineering of the skies to change the atmosphere. Some of this came to light with the Gates-backed plan to release tonnes of chalk into the atmosphere to 'deflect the Sun and cool the planet'. Funny how they do this while the heating effect of the Sun is not factored into climate projections focussed on carbon dioxide. The reason is that they want to reduce carbon dioxide (so don't mention the Sun), but at the same time they do want to reduce the impact of the Sun which is so essential to human life and health. I have mentioned the sun-cholesterol-vitamin D connection as they demonise the Sun with warnings about skin cancer (caused by the chemicals in sun cream they tell you to splash on). They come from the other end of the process with statin drugs to reduce cholesterol that turns sunlight into vitamin D. A lack of vitamin D leads to a long list of health effects and how vitamin D levels must have fallen with people confined to their homes over 'Covid'. Gates is funding other forms of geoengineering and most importantly chemtrails which are dropping heavy metals, aluminium and self-replicating nanotechnology onto the Earth which is killing the natural world. See *Everything You Need To Know, But Have Never Been Told* for the detailed background to this.

Every human system is being targeted for deletion by a force that's not human. The Wetiko Cult has embarked on the process of transforming the human body from biological to synthetic biological as I have explained. Biological is being replaced by the artificial and synthetic – Archontic 'countermimicry' – right across human society. The plan eventually is to dispense with the human body altogether

and absorb human consciousness – which it wouldn't really be by then – into cyberspace (the simulation which is Wetiko/Yaldabaoth). Preparations for that are already happening if people would care to look. The alternative media rightly warns about globalism and 'the globalists', but this is far bigger than that and represents the end of the human race as we know it. The 'bad copy' of prime reality that Gnostics describe was a bad copy of harmony, wonder and beauty to start with before Wetiko/Yaldabaoth set out to change the simulated 'copy' into something very different. The process was slow to start with. Entrapped humans in the simulation timeline were not technologically aware and they had to be brought up to intellectual speed while being suppressed spiritually to the point where they could build their own prison while having no idea they were doing so. We have now reached that stage where technological intellect has the potential to destroy us and that's why events are moving so fast. Central American shaman Don Juan Matus said:

> Think for a moment, and tell me how you would explain the contradictions between the intelligence of man the engineer and the stupidity of his systems of belief, or the stupidity of his contradictory behaviour. Sorcerers believe that the predators have given us our systems of beliefs, our ideas of good and evil; our social mores. They are the ones who set up our dreams of success or failure. They have given us covetousness, greed, and cowardice. It is the predator who makes us complacent, routinary, and egomaniacal.
>
> In order to keep us obedient and meek and weak, the predators engaged themselves in a stupendous manoeuvre – stupendous, of course, from the point of view of a fighting strategist; a horrendous manoeuvre from the point of those who suffer it. They gave us their mind. The predators' mind is baroque, contradictory, morose, filled with the fear of being discovered any minute now.

For 'predators' see Wetiko, Archons, Yaldabaoth, Jinn, and all the other versions of the same phenomenon in cultures and religions all over the world. The theme is always the same because it's true and it's real. We have reached the point where we have to deal with it. The question is – how?

Don't fight – walk away

I thought I'd use a controversial subheading to get things moving in terms of our response to global fascism. What do you mean 'don't fight'? What do you mean 'walk away'? We've got to fight. We can't walk away. Well, it depends what we mean by fight and walk away. If fighting means physical combat we are playing Wetiko's game and falling for its trap. It wants us to get angry, aggressive, and direct hate and hostility at the enemy we think we must fight. Every war, every battle, every conflict, has been fought with Wetiko leading both sides. It's what it does. Wetiko wants a fight, anywhere, any place. Just hit me, son, so I can hit you back. Wetiko hits Wetiko and Wetiko hits Wetiko in return. I am very forthright as you can see in exposing Wetikos of the Cult, but I don't hate them. I refuse to hate them. It's what they want. What you hate you become. What you *fight* you become. Wokers, 'anti-haters' and 'anti-fascists' prove this every time they reach for their keyboards or don their balaclavas. By walk away I mean to disengage from Wetiko which includes ceasing to cooperate with its tyranny. Paul Levy says of Wetiko:

> The way to 'defeat' evil is not to try to destroy it (for then, in playing evil's game, we have already lost), but rather, to find the invulnerable place within ourselves where evil is unable to vanquish us – this is to truly 'win' our battle with evil.

Wetiko is everywhere in human society and it's been on steroids since the 'Covid' hoax. Every shouting match over wearing masks has Wetiko wearing a mask and Wetiko not wearing one. It's an electrical circuit of push and resist, push and resist, with Wetiko pushing *and* resisting. Each polarity is Wetiko empowering itself. Dictionary definitions of 'resist' include 'opposing, refusing to accept or comply with' and the word to focus on is 'opposing'. What form does this take – setting police cars alight or 'refusing to accept or comply with'? The former is Wetiko opposing Wetiko while the other points the way forward. This is the difference between those aggressively demanding that government fascism must be obeyed who stand in stark contrast to the great majority of Pushbackers. We saw this clearly with a march by thousands of Pushbackers against lockdown in London followed days later by a Woker-hijacked

protest in Bristol in which police cars were set on fire. Masks were virtually absent in London and widespread in Bristol. Wetiko wants lockdown on every level of society and infuses its aggression to police it through its unknowing stooges. Lockdown protesters are the ones with the smiling faces and the hugs, The two blatantly obvious states of being – getting more obvious by the day – are the result of Wokers and their like becoming ever more influenced by the simulation Field of Wetiko and Pushbackers ever more influenced by The Field of a far higher vibration beyond the simulation. Wetiko can't invade the heart which is where most lockdown opponents are coming from. It's the heart that allows them to see through the lies to the truth in ways I will be highlighting.

Renegade Minds know that calmness is the place from which wisdom comes. You won't find wisdom in a hissing fit and wisdom is what we need in abundance right now. Calmness is not weakness – you don't have to scream at the top of your voice to be strong. Calmness is indeed a sign of strength. 'No' means I'm not doing it. *NOOOO!!!* doesn't mean you're not doing it even more. Volume does not advance 'No – I'm not doing it'. You are just not doing it. Wetiko possessed and influenced don't know how to deal with that. Wetiko wants a fight and we should not give it one. What it needs more than anything is our *cooperation* and we should not give that either. Mass rallies and marches are great in that they are a visual representation of feeling, but if it ends there they are irrelevant. You demand that Wetikos act differently? Well, they're not going to are they? They are Wetikos. We don't need to waste our time demanding that something doesn't happen when that will make no difference. We need to delete the means that *allows* it to happen. This, invariably, is our cooperation. You can demand a child stop firing a peashooter at the dog or you can refuse to buy the peashooter. If you provide the means you are cooperating with the dog being smacked on the nose with a pea. How can the authorities enforce mask-wearing if millions in a country refuse? What if the 74 million Pushbackers that voted for Trump in 2020 refused to wear masks, close their businesses or stay in their homes. It would be unenforceable. The

few control the many through the compliance of the many and that's always been the dynamic be it 'Covid' regulations or the Roman Empire. I know people can find it intimidating to say no to authority or stand out in a crowd for being the only one with a face on display; but it has to be done or it's over. I hope I've made clear in this book that where this is going will be far more intimidating than standing up now and saying 'No' – I will not cooperate with my own enslavement and that of my children. There might be consequences for some initially, although not so if enough do the same. The question that must be addressed is what is going to happen if we don't? It is time to be strong and unyieldingly so. No means no. Not here and there, but *everywhere* and *always*. I have refused to wear a mask and obey all the other nonsense. I will not comply with tyranny. I repeat: Fascism is not imposed by fascists – there are never enough of them. Fascism is imposed by the population acquiescing to fascism. *I will not do it*. I will die first, or my body will. Living meekly under fascism is a form of death anyway, the death of the spirit that Martin Luther King described.

Making things happen

We must not despair. This is not over till it's over and it's far from that. The 'fat lady' must refuse to sing. The longer the 'Covid' hoax has dragged on and impacted on more lives we have seen an awakening of phenomenal numbers of people worldwide to the realisation that what they have believed all their lives is not how the world really is. Research published by the system-serving University of Bristol and King's College London in February, 2021, concluded: 'One in every 11 people in Britain say they trust David Icke's take on the coronavirus pandemic.' It will be more by now and we have gathering numbers to build on. We must urgently progress from seeing the scam to ceasing to cooperate with it. Prominent German lawyer Reiner Fuellmich, also licenced to practice law in America, is doing a magnificent job taking the legal route to bring the psychopaths to justice through a second Nuremberg tribunal for crimes against humanity. Fuellmich has an impressive record of

beating the elite in court and he formed the German Corona Investigative Committee to pursue civil charges against the main perpetrators with a view to triggering criminal charges. Most importantly he has grasped the foundation of the hoax – the PCR test not testing for the 'virus' – and Christian Drosten is therefore on his charge sheet along with Gates frontman Tedros at the World Health Organization. Major players must be not be allowed to inflict their horrors on the human race without being brought to book. A life sentence must follow for Bill Gates and the rest of them. A group of researchers has also indicted the government of Norway for crimes against humanity with copies sent to the police and the International Criminal Court. The lawsuit cites participation in an internationally-planned false pandemic and violation of international law and human rights, the European Commission's definition of human rights by coercive rules, Nuremberg and Hague rules on fundamental human rights, and the Norwegian constitution. We must take the initiative from hereon and not just complain, protest and react.

There are practical ways to support vital mass non-cooperation. Organising in numbers is one. Lockdown marches in London in the spring in 2021 were mass non-cooperation that the authorities could not stop. There were too many people. Hundreds of thousands walked the London streets in the centre of the road for mile after mile while the Face-Nappies could only look on. They were determined, but calm, and just *did it* with no histrionics and lots of smiles. The police were impotent. Others are organising group shopping without masks for mutual support and imagine if that was happening all over. Policing it would be impossible. If the store refuses to serve people in these circumstances they would be faced with a long line of trolleys full of goods standing on their own and everything would have to be returned to the shelves. How would they cope with that if it kept happening? I am talking here about moving on from complaining to being pro-active; from watching things happen to making things happen. I include in this our relationship with the police. The behaviour of many Face-Nappies

has been disgraceful and anyone who thinks they would never find concentration camp guards in the 'enlightened' modern era have had that myth busted big-time. The period and setting may change – Wetikos never do. I watched film footage from a London march in which a police thug viciously kicked a protestor on the floor who had done nothing. His fellow Face-Nappies stood in a ring protecting him. What he did was a criminal assault and with a crowd far outnumbering the police this can no longer be allowed to happen unchallenged. I get it when people chant 'shame on you' in these circumstances, but that is no longer enough. They *have* no shame those who do this. Crowds needs to start making a citizen's arrest of the police who commit criminal offences and brutally attack innocent people and defenceless women. A citizen's arrest can be made under section 24A of the UK Police and Criminal Evidence (PACE) Act of 1984 and you will find something similar in other countries. I prefer to call it a Common Law arrest rather than citizen's for reasons I will come to shortly. Anyone can arrest a person committing an indictable offence or if they have reasonable grounds to suspect they are committing an indictable offence. On both counts the attack by the police thug would have fallen into this category. A citizen's arrest can be made to stop someone:

- Causing physical injury to himself or any other person
- Suffering physical injury
- Causing loss of or damage to property
- Making off before a constable can assume responsibility for him

A citizen's arrest may also be made to prevent a breach of the peace under Common Law and if they believe a breach of the peace will happen or anything related to harm likely to be done or already done in their presence. This is the way to go I think – the Common Law version. If police know that the crowd and members of the public will no longer be standing and watching while they commit

their thuggery and crimes they will think twice about acting like Brownshirts and Blackshirts.

Common Law – common sense

Mention of Common Law is very important. Most people think the law is the law as in one law. This is not the case. There are two bodies of law, Common Law and Statute Law, and they are not the same. Common Law is founded on the simple premise of do no harm. It does not recognise victimless crimes in which no harm is done while Statute Law does. There is a Statute Law against almost everything. So what is Statute Law? Amazingly it's the law of the *sea* that was brought ashore by the Cult to override the law of the land which is Common Law. They had no right to do this and as always they did it anyway. They had to. They could not impose their will on the people through Common Law which only applies to do no harm. How could you stitch up the fine detail of people's lives with that? Instead they took the law of the sea, or Admiralty Law, and applied it to the population. Statute Law refers to all the laws spewing out of governments and their agencies including all the fascist laws and regulations relating to 'Covid'. The key point to make is that Statute Law is *contract law*. It only applies between *contracting* corporations. Most police officers don't even know this. They have to be kept in the dark, too. Long ago when merchants and their sailing ships began to trade with different countries a contractual law was developed called Admiralty Law and other names. Again it only applied to *contracts* agreed between *corporate* entities. If there is no agreed contract the law of the sea had no jurisdiction *and that still applies to its new alias of Statute Law*. The problem for the Cult when the law of the sea was brought ashore was an obvious one. People were not corporations and neither were government entities. To overcome the latter they made governments and all associated organisations corporations. All the institutions are *private corporations* and I mean governments and their agencies, local councils, police, courts, military, US states, the whole lot. Go to the

Dun and Bradstreet corporate listings website for confirmation that they are all corporations. You are arrested by a private corporation called the police by someone who is really a private security guard and they take you to court which is another private corporation. Neither have jurisdiction over you unless you consent and *contract* with them. This is why you hear the mantra about law enforcement policing by *consent* of the people. In truth the people 'consent' only in theory through monumental trickery.

Okay, the Cult overcame the corporate law problem by making governments and institutions corporate entities; but what about people? They are not corporations are they? Ah ... well in a sense, and *only* a sense, they are. Not people exactly – the illusion of people. The Cult creates a corporation in the name of everyone at the time that their birth certificate is issued. Note birth/ *berth* certificate and when you go to court under the law of the sea on land you stand in a *dock*. These are throwbacks to the origin. My Common Law name is David Vaughan Icke. The name of the corporation created by the government when I was born is called Mr David Vaughan Icke usually written in capitals as MR DAVID VAUGHAN ICKE. That is not me, the living, breathing man. It is a fictitious corporate entity. The trick is to make you think that David Vaughan Icke and MR DAVID VAUGHAN ICKE are the same thing. *They are not*. When police charge you and take you to court they are prosecuting the corporate entity and not the living, breathing, man or woman. They have to trick you into identifying as the corporate entity and contracting with them. Otherwise they have no jurisdiction. They do this through a language known as legalese. Lawful and legal are not the same either. Lawful relates to Common Law and legal relates to Statute Law. Legalese is the language of Statue Law which uses terms that mean one thing to the public and another in legalese. Notice that when a police officer tells someone why they are being charged he or she will say at the end: 'Do you understand?' To the public that means 'Do you comprehend?' In legalese it means 'Do you stand under me?' Do you stand under my authority? If you say

yes to the question you are unknowingly agreeing to give them jurisdiction over you in a contract between two corporate entities.

This is a confidence trick in every way. Contracts have to be agreed between informed parties and if you don't know that David Vaughan Icke is agreeing to be the corporation MR DAVID VAUGHAN ICKE you cannot knowingly agree to contract. They are deceiving you and another way they do this is to ask for proof of identity. You usually show them a driving licence or other document on which your corporate name is written. In doing so you are accepting that you are that corporate entity when you are not. Referring to yourself as a 'person' or 'citizen' is also identifying with your corporate fiction which is why I made the Common Law point about the citizen's arrest. If you are approached by a police officer you identify yourself immediately as a living, breathing, man or woman and say 'I do not consent, I do not contract with you and I do not understand' or stand under their authority. I have a Common Law birth certificate as a living man and these are available at no charge from commonlawcourt.com. Businesses registered under the Statute Law system means that its laws apply. There are, however, ways to run a business under Common Law. Remember all 'Covid' laws and regulations are Statute Law – the law of *contracts* and you do not have to contract. This doesn't mean that you can kill someone and get away with it. Common Law says do no harm and that applies to physical harm, financial harm etc. Police are employees of private corporations and there needs to be a new system of non-corporate Common Law constables operating outside the Statute Law system. If you go to davidicke.com and put Common Law into the search engine you will find videos that explain Common Law in much greater detail. It is definitely a road we should walk.

With all my heart

I have heard people say that we are in a spiritual war. I don't like the term 'war' with its Wetiko dynamic, but I know what they mean. Sweep aside all the bodily forms and we are in a situation in which two states of consciousness are seeking very different realities.

Wetiko wants upheaval, chaos, fear, suffering, conflict and control. The other wants love, peace, harmony, fairness and freedom. That's where we are. We should not fall for the idea that Wetiko is all-powerful and there's nothing we can do. Wetiko is not all-powerful. It's a joke, pathetic. It doesn't have to be, but it has made that choice for now. A handful of times over the years when I have felt the presence of its frequency I have allowed it to attach briefly so I could consciously observe its nature. The experience is not pleasant, the energy is heavy and dark, but the ease with which you can kick it back out the door shows that its real power is in persuading us that it has power. It's all a con. Wetiko is a con. It's a trickster and not a power that can control us if we unleash our own. The con is founded on manipulating humanity to give its power to Wetiko which recycles it back to present the illusion that it has power when its power is *ours* that we gave away. This happens on an energetic level and plays out in the world of the seen as humanity giving its power to Wetiko authority which uses that power to control the population when the power is only the power the population has handed over. How could it be any other way for billions to be controlled by a relative few? I have had experiences with people possessed by Wetiko and again you can kick its arse if you do it with an open heart. Oh yes – the *heart* which can transform the world of perceived 'matter'.

We are receiver-transmitters and processors of information, but what information and where from? Information is processed into perception in three main areas – the brain, the heart and the belly. These relate to thinking, knowing, and emotion. Wetiko wants us to be head and belly people which means we think within the confines of the Matrix simulation and low-vibrational emotional reaction scrambles balance and perception. A few minutes on social media and you see how emotion is the dominant force. Woke is all emotion and is therefore thought-free and fact-free. Our heart is something different. It *knows* while the head *thinks* and has to try to work it out because it doesn't know. The human energy field has seven prime vortexes which connect us with wider reality (Fig 23). Chakra means

'wheels of light' in the Sanskrit language of ancient India. The main ones are: The crown chakra on top of the head; brow (or 'third eye') chakra in the centre of the forehead; throat chakra; heart chakra in the centre of the chest; solar plexus chakra below the sternum; sacral chakra beneath the navel; and base chakra at the bottom of the spine. Each one has a particular function or functions. We feel anxiety and nervousness in the belly where the sacral chakra is located and this processes emotion that can affect the colon to give people 'the shits' or make them 'shit scared' when they are nervous. Chakras all play an important role, but the Mr and Mrs Big is the heart chakra which sits at the centre of the seven, above the chakras that connect us to the 'physical' and below those that connect with higher realms (or at least should). Here in the heart chakra we feel love, empathy and compassion – 'My heart goes out to you'. Those with closed hearts become literally 'heart-less' in their attitudes and behaviour (see Bill Gates). Native Americans portrayed Wetiko with what Paul Levy calls a 'frigid, icy heart, devoid of mercy' (see Bill Gates).

Figure 23: The chakra system which interpenetrates the human energy field. The heart chakra is the governor – or should be.

Wetiko trembles at the thought of heart energy which it cannot infiltrate. The frequency is too high. What it seeks to do instead is close the heart chakra vortex to block its perceptual and energetic influence. Psychopaths have 'hearts of stone' and emotionally-damaged people have 'heartache' and 'broken hearts'. The astonishing amount of heart disease is related to heart chakra

disruption with its fundamental connection to the 'physical' heart. Dr Tom Cowan has written an outstanding book challenging the belief that the heart is a pump and making the connection between the 'physical' and spiritual heart. Rudolph Steiner who was way ahead of his time said the same about the fallacy that the heart is a pump. *What*? The heart is not a pump? That's crazy, right? Everybody knows that. Read Cowan's *Human Heart, Cosmic Heart* and you will realise that the very idea of the heart as a pump is ridiculous when you see the evidence. How does blood in the feet so far from the heart get pumped horizontally up the body by the heart?? Cowan explains in the book the real reason why blood moves as it does. Our 'physical' heart is used to symbolise love when the source is really the heart vortex or spiritual heart which is our most powerful energetic connection to 'out there' expanded consciousness. That's why we feel *knowing* – intuitive knowing – in the centre of the chest. Knowing doesn't come from a process of thoughts leading to a conclusion. It is there in an instant all in one go. Our heart knows because of its connection to levels of awareness that *do* know. This is the meaning and source of intuition – intuitive *knowing*.

For the last more than 30 years of uncovering the global game and the nature of reality my heart has been my constant antenna for truth and accuracy. An American intelligence insider once said that I had quoted a disinformer in one of my books and yet I had only quoted the part that was true. He asked: 'How do you do that?' By using my heart antenna was the answer and anyone can do it. Heart-centred is how we are meant to be. With a closed heart chakra we withdraw into a closed mind and the bubble of five-sense reality. If you take a moment to focus your attention on the centre of your chest, picture a spinning wheel of light and see it opening and expanding. You will feel it happening, too, and perceptions of the heart like joy and love as the heart impacts on the mind as they interact. The more the chakra opens the more you will feel expressions of heart consciousness and as the process continues, and becomes part of you, insights and knowings will follow. An open

heart is connected to that level of awareness that knows all is *One*. You will see from its perspective that the fault-lines that divide us are only illusions to control us. An open heart does not process the illusions of race, creed and sexuality except as brief experiences for a consciousness that is all. Our heart does not see division, only unity (Figs 24 and 25). There's something else, too. Our hearts love to laugh. Mark Twain's quote that says 'The human race has one really effective weapon, and that is laughter' is really a reference to the heart which loves to laugh with the joy of knowing the true nature of infinite reality and that all the madness of human society is an illusion of the mind. Twain also said: 'Against the assault of laughter nothing can stand.' This is so true of Wetiko and the Cult. Their insecurity demands that they be taken seriously and their power and authority acknowledged and feared. We should do nothing of the sort. We should not get aggressive or fearful which their insecurity so desires. We should laugh in their face. Even in their no-face as police come over in their face-nappies and expect to be taken seriously. They don't take themselves seriously looking like that so why should we? Laugh in the face of intimidation. Laugh in the face of tyranny. You will see by its reaction that you have pressed all of its buttons. Wetiko does not know what to do in the face of laughter or when its targets refuse to concede their joy to fear. We have seen many examples during the 'Covid' hoax when people have expressed their energetic power and the string puppets of Wetiko retreat with their tail limp between their knees. Laugh – the world is bloody mad after all and if it's a choice between laughter and tears I know which way I'm going.

Figure 24: Head consciousness without the heart sees division and everything apart from everything else.

Figure 25: Heart consciousness sees everything as One.

'Vaccines' and the soul

The foundation of Wetiko/Archon control of humans is the separation of incarnate five-sense mind from the infinite 'I' and closing the heart chakra where the True 'I' lives during a human life. The goal has been to achieve complete separation in both cases. I was interested therefore to read an account by a French energetic healer of what she said she experienced with a patient who had been given the 'Covid' vaccine. Genuine energy healers can sense information and consciousness fields at different levels of being which are referred to as 'subtle bodies'. She described treating the patient who later returned after having, without the healer's knowledge, two doses of the 'Covid vaccine'. The healer said:

I noticed immediately the change, very heavy energy emanating from [the] subtle bodies. The scariest thing was when I was working on the heart chakra, I connected with her soul: it was detached from the physical body, it had no contact and it was, as if it was floating in a state of total confusion: a damage to the consciousness that loses contact with the physical body, i.e. with our biological machine, there is no longer any communication between them.

I continued the treatment by sending light to the heart chakra, the soul of the person, but it seemed that the soul could no longer receive any light, frequency or energy. It was a very powerful experience for me. Then I understood that this substance is indeed used to detach consciousness so that this consciousness can no longer interact through this body that it possesses in life, where there is no longer any contact, no frequency, no light, no more energetic balance or mind.

This would create a human that is rudderless and at the extreme almost zombie-like operating with a fractional state of consciousness at the mercy of Wetiko. I was especially intrigued by what the healer said in the light of the prediction by the highly-informed Rudolf Steiner more than a hundred years ago. He said:

> In the future, we will eliminate the soul with medicine. Under the pretext of a 'healthy point of view', there will be a vaccine by which the human body will be treated as soon as possible directly at birth, so that the human being cannot develop the thought of the existence of soul and Spirit. To materialistic doctors will be entrusted the task of removing the soul of humanity.
>
> As today, people are vaccinated against this disease or that disease, so in the future, children will be vaccinated with a substance that can be produced precisely in such a way that people, thanks to this vaccination, will be immune to being subjected to the 'madness' of spiritual life. He would be extremely smart, but he would not develop a conscience, and that is the true goal of some materialistic circles.

Steiner said the vaccine would detach the physical body from the etheric body (subtle bodies) and 'once the etheric body is detached the relationship between the universe and the etheric body would become extremely unstable, and man would become an automaton'. He said 'the physical body of man must be polished on this Earth by spiritual will – so the vaccine becomes a kind of arymanique (Wetiko) force' and 'man can no longer get rid of a given materialistic feeling'. Humans would then, he said, become 'materialistic of constitution and can no longer rise to the spiritual'. I have been writing for years about DNA being a receiver-transmitter of information that connects us to other levels of reality and these 'vaccines' changing DNA can be likened to changing an antenna and what it can transmit and receive. Such a disconnection would clearly lead to changes in personality and perception. Steiner further predicted the arrival of AI. Big Pharma 'Covid vaccine' makers, expressions of Wetiko, are testing their DNA-manipulating evil on children as I write with a view to giving the 'vaccine' to babies. If it's a soul-body disconnector – and I say that it is or can be – every child would be disconnected from 'soul' at birth and the 'vaccine' would create a closed system in which spiritual guidance from the greater self would play no part. This has been the ambition of Wetiko all

along. A Pentagon video from 2005 was leaked of a presentation explaining the development of vaccines to change behaviour by their effect on the brain. Those that believe this is not happening with the 'Covid' genetically-modifying procedure masquerading as a 'vaccine' should make an urgent appointment with Naivety Anonymous. Klaus Schwab wrote in 2018:

> Neurotechnologies enable us to better influence consciousness and thought and to understand many activities of the brain. They include decoding what we are thinking in fine levels of detail through new chemicals and interventions that can influence our brains to correct for errors or enhance functionality.

The plan is clear and only the heart can stop it. With every heart that opens, every mind that awakens, Wetiko is weakened. Heart and love are far more powerful than head and hate and so nothing like a majority is needed to turn this around.

Beyond the Phantom

Our heart is the prime target of Wetiko and so it must be the answer to Wetiko. We *are* our heart which is part of one heart, the infinite heart. Our heart is where the true self lives in a human life behind firewalls of five-sense illusion when an imposter takes its place – *Phantom Self*; but our heart waits patiently to be set free any time we choose to see beyond the Phantom, beyond Wetiko. A Wetikoed Phantom Self can wreak mass death and destruction while the love of forever is locked away in its heart. The time is here to unleash its power and let it sweep away the fear and despair that is Wetiko. Heart consciousness does not seek manipulated, censored, advantage for its belief or religion, its activism and desires. As an expression of the One it treats all as One with the same rights to freedom and opinion. Our heart demands fairness for itself no more than for others. From this unity of heart we can come together in mutual support and transform this Wetikoed world into what reality is meant to be – a place of love, joy, happiness, fairness, justice and freedom. Wetiko has another agenda and that's why the world is as

it is, but enough of this nonsense. Wetiko can't stay where hearts are open and it works so hard to keep them closed. Fear is its currency and its food source and love in its true sense has no fear. Why would love have fear when it knows it is *All That Is, Has Been, And Ever Can Be* on an eternal exploration of all possibility? Love in this true sense is not the physical attraction that passes for love. This can be an expression of it, yes, but Infinite Love, a love without condition, goes far deeper to the core of all being. It *is* the core of all being. Infinite realty was born from love beyond the illusions of the simulation. Love infinitely expressed is the knowing that all is One and the swiftly-passing experience of separation is a temporary hallucination. You cannot disconnect from Oneness; you can only *perceive* that you have and withdraw from its influence. This is the most important of all perception trickery by the mind parasite that is Wetiko and the foundation of all its potential for manipulation.

If we open our hearts, open the sluice gates of the mind, and redefine self-identity amazing things start to happen. Consciousness expands or contracts in accordance with self-identity. When true self is recognised as infinite awareness and label self – Phantom Self – is seen as only a series of brief experiences life is transformed. Consciousness expands to the extent that self-identity expands and everything changes. You see unity, not division, the picture, not the pixels. From this we can play the long game. No more is an experience something in and of itself, but a fleeting moment in the eternity of forever. Suddenly people in uniform and dark suits are no longer intimidating. Doing what your heart knows to be right is no longer intimidating and consequences for those actions take on the same nature of a brief experience that passes in the blink of an infinite eye. Intimidation is all in the mind. Beyond the mind there is no intimidation.

An open heart does not consider consequences for what it knows to be right. To do so would be to consider not doing what it knows to be right and for a heart in its power that is never an option. The Renegade Mind is really the Renegade Heart. Consideration of consequences will always provide a getaway car for the mind and

the heart doesn't want one. What is right in the light of what we face today is to stop cooperating with Wetiko in all its forms and to do it without fear or compromise. You cannot compromise with tyranny when tyranny always demands more until it has everything. Life is your perception and you are your destiny. Change your perception and you change your life. Change collective perception and we change the world.

Come on people … One human family, One heart, One goal … *FREEEEEEDOM*!

We must settle for nothing less.

Postscript

The big scare story as the book goes to press is the 'Indian' variant and the world is being deluged with propaganda about the 'Covid catastrophe' in India which mirrors in its lies and misrepresentations what happened in Italy before the first lockdown in 2020.

The *New York Post* published a picture of someone who had 'collapsed in the street from Covid' in India in April, 2021, which was actually taken during a gas leak in May, 2020. Same old, same old. Media articles in mid-February were asking why India had been so untouched by 'Covid' and then as their vaccine rollout gathered pace the alleged 'cases' began to rapidly increase. Indian 'Covid vaccine' maker Bharat Biotech was funded into existence by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (the pair announced their divorce in May, 2021, which is a pity because they so deserve each other). The Indian 'Covid crisis' was ramped up by the media to terrify the world and prepare people for submission to still more restrictions. The scam that worked the first time was being repeated only with far more people seeing through the deceit. Davidicke.com and Ickonic.com have sought to tell the true story of what is happening by talking to people living through the Indian nightmare which has nothing to do with 'Covid'. We posted a letter from 'Alisha' in Pune who told a very different story to government and media mendacity. She said scenes of dying people and overwhelmed hospitals were designed to hide what was really happening – genocide and starvation. Alisha said that millions had already died of starvation during the ongoing lockdowns while government and media were lying and making it look like the 'virus':

Restaurants, shops, gyms, theatres, basically everything is shut. The cities are ghost towns. Even so-called 'essential' businesses are only open till 11am in the morning. You basically have just an hour to buy food and then your time is up.

Inter-state travel and even inter-district travel is banned. The cops wait at all major crossroads to question why you are traveling outdoors or to fine you if you are not wearing a mask.

The medical community here is also complicit in genocide, lying about hospitals being full and turning away people with genuine illnesses, who need immediate care. They have even created a shortage of oxygen cylinders.

This is the classic Cult modus operandi played out in every country. Alisha said that people who would not have a PCR test not testing for the 'virus' were being denied hospital treatment. She said the people hit hardest were migrant workers and those in rural areas. Most businesses employed migrant workers and with everything closed there were no jobs, no income and no food. As a result millions were dying of starvation or malnutrition. All this was happening under Prime Minister Narendra Modi, a 100-percent asset of the Cult, and it emphasises yet again the scale of pure anti-human evil we are dealing with. Australia banned its people from returning home from India with penalties for trying to do so of up to five years in jail and a fine of £37,000. The manufactured 'Covid' crisis in India was being prepared to justify further fascism in the West. Obvious connections could be seen between the Indian 'vaccine' programme and increased 'cases' and this became a common theme. The Seychelles, the most per capita 'Covid vaccinated' population in the world, went back into lockdown after a 'surge of cases'.

Long ago the truly evil Monsanto agricultural biotechnology corporation with its big connections to Bill Gates devastated Indian farming with genetically-modified crops. Human rights activist Gurcharan Singh highlighted the efforts by the Indian government to complete the job by destroying the food supply to hundreds of millions with 'Covid' lockdowns. He said that 415 million people at the bottom of the disgusting caste system (still going whatever they say) were below the poverty line and struggled to feed themselves every year. Now the government was imposing lockdown at just the

time to destroy the harvest. This deliberate policy was leading to mass starvation. People may reel back at the suggestion that a government would do that, but Wetiko-controlled 'leaders' are capable of any level of evil. In fact what is described in India is in the process of being instigated worldwide. The food chain and food supply are being targeted at every level to cause world hunger and thus control. Bill Gates is not the biggest owner of farmland in America for no reason and destroying access to food aids both the depopulation agenda and the plan for synthetic 'food' already being funded into existence by Gates. Add to this the coming hyper-inflation from the suicidal creation of fake 'money' in response to 'Covid' and the breakdown of container shipping systems and you have a cocktail that can only lead one way and is meant to. The Cult plan is to crash the entire system to 'build back better' with the Great Reset.

'Vaccine' transmission

Reports from all over the world continue to emerge of women suffering menstrual and fertility problems after having the fake 'vaccine' and of the non-'vaccinated' having similar problems when interacting with the 'vaccinated'. There are far too many for 'coincidence' to be credible. We've had menopausal women getting periods, others having periods stop or not stopping for weeks, passing clots, sometimes the lining of the uterus, breast irregularities, and miscarriages (which increased by 400 percent in parts of the United States). Non-'vaccinated' men and children have suffered blood clots and nose bleeding after interaction with the 'vaccinated'. Babies have died from the effects of breast milk from a 'vaccinated' mother. Awake doctors – the small minority – speculated on the cause of non-'vaccinated' suffering the same effects as the 'vaccinated'. Was it nanotechnology in the synthetic substance transmitting frequencies or was it a straight chemical bioweapon that was being transmitted between people? I am not saying that some kind of chemical transmission is not one possible answer, but the foundation of all that the Cult does is frequency and

this is fertile ground for understanding how transmission can happen. American doctor Carrie Madej, an internal medicine physician and osteopath, has been practicing for the last 20 years, teaching medical students, and she says attending different meetings where the agenda for humanity was discussed. Madej, who operates out of Georgia, did not dismiss other possible forms of transmission, but she focused on frequency in search of an explanation for transmission. She said the Moderna and Pfizer 'vaccines' contained nano-lipid particles as a key component. This was a brand new technology never before used on humanity. 'They're using a nanotechnology which is pretty much little tiny computer bits … nanobots or hydrogel.' Inside the 'vaccines' was 'this sci-fi kind of substance' which suppressed immune checkpoints to get into the cell. I referred to this earlier as the 'Trojan horse' technique that tricks the cell into opening a gateway for the self-replicating synthetic material and while the immune system is artificially suppressed the body has no defences. Madej said the substance served many purposes including an on-demand ability to 'deliver the payload' and using the nano 'computer bits' as biosensors in the body. 'It actually has the ability to accumulate data from your body, like your breathing, your respiration, thoughts, emotions, all kinds of things.'

She said the technology obviously has the ability to operate through Wi-Fi and transmit and receive energy, messages, frequencies or impulses. 'Just imagine you're getting this new substance in you and it can react to things all around you, the 5G, your smart device, your phones.' We had something completely foreign in the human body that had never been launched large scale at a time when we were seeing 5G going into schools and hospitals (plus the Musk satellites) and she believed the 'vaccine' transmission had something to do with this: '… if these people have this inside of them … it can act like an antenna and actually transmit it outwardly as well.' The synthetic substance produced its own voltage and so it could have that kind of effect. This fits with my own contention that the nano receiver-transmitters are designed to connect people to the

Smart Grid and break the receiver-transmitter connection to expanded consciousness. That would explain the French energy healer's experience of the disconnection of body from 'soul' with those who have had the 'vaccine'. The nanobots, self-replicating inside the body, would also transmit the synthetic frequency which could be picked up through close interaction by those who have not been 'vaccinated'. Madej speculated that perhaps it was 5G and increased levels of other radiation that was causing the symptoms directly although interestingly she said that non-'vaccinated' patients had shown improvement when they were away from the 'vaccinated' person they had interacted with. It must be remembered that you can control frequency and energy with your mind and you can consciously create energetic barriers or bubbles with the mind to stop damaging frequencies from penetrating your field. American paediatrician Dr Larry Palevsky said the 'vaccine' was not a 'vaccine' and was never designed to protect from a 'viral' infection. He called it 'a massive, brilliant propaganda of genocide' because they didn't have to inject everyone to get the result they wanted. He said the content of the jabs was able to infuse any material into the brain, heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, sperm and female productive system. 'This is genocide; this is a weapon of mass destruction.' At the same time American colleges were banning students from attending if they didn't have this life-changing and potentially life-ending 'vaccine'. Class action lawsuits must follow when the consequences of this college fascism come to light. As the book was going to press came reports about fertility effects on sperm in 'vaccinated' men which would absolutely fit with what I have been saying and hospitals continued to fill with 'vaccine' reactions. Another question is what about transmission via blood transfusions? The NHS has extended blood donation restrictions from seven days after a 'Covid vaccination' to 28 days after even a sore arm reaction.

I said in the spring of 2020 that the then touted 'Covid vaccine' would be ongoing each year like the flu jab. A year later Pfizer CEO, the appalling Albert Bourla, said people would 'likely' need a 'booster dose' of the 'vaccine' within 12 months of getting 'fully

vaccinated' and then a yearly shot. 'Variants will play a key role', he said confirming the point. Johnson & Johnson CEO Alex Gorsky also took time out from his 'vaccine' disaster to say that people may need to be vaccinated against 'Covid-19' each year. UK Health Secretary, the psychopath Matt Hancock, said additional 'boosters' would be available in the autumn of 2021. This is the trap of the 'vaccine passport'. The public will have to accept every last 'vaccine' they introduce, including for the fake 'variants', or it would cease to be valid. The only other way in some cases would be continuous testing with a test not testing for the 'virus' and what is on the swabs constantly pushed up your noise towards the brain every time?

'Vaccines' changing behaviour

I mentioned in the body of the book how I believed we would see gathering behaviour changes in the 'vaccinated' and I am already hearing such comments from the non-'vaccinated' describing behaviour changes in friends, loved ones and work colleagues. This will only increase as the self-replicating synthetic material and nanoparticles expand in body and brain. An article in the *Guardian* in 2016 detailed research at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville which developed a new method for controlling brain circuits associated with complex animal behaviour. The method, dubbed 'magnetogenetics', involves genetically-engineering a protein called ferritin, which stores and releases iron, to create a magnetised substance – 'Magneto' – that can activate specific groups of nerve cells from a distance. This is claimed to be an advance on other methods of brain activity manipulation known as optogenetics and chemogenetics (the Cult has been developing methods of brain control for a long time). The ferritin technique is said to be non-invasive and able to activate neurons 'rapidly and reversibly'. In other words, human thought and perception. The article said that earlier studies revealed how nerve cell proteins 'activated by heat and mechanical pressure can be genetically engineered so that they become sensitive to radio waves and magnetic fields, by attaching them to an iron-storing protein called ferritin, or to inorganic

paramagnetic particles'. Sensitive to radio waves and magnetic fields? You mean like 5G, 6G and 7G? This is the human-AI Smart Grid hive mind we are talking about. The *Guardian* article said:

> … the researchers injected Magneto into the striatum of freely behaving mice, a deep brain structure containing dopamine-producing neurons that are involved in reward and motivation, and then placed the animals into an apparatus split into magnetised and non-magnetised sections.
>
> Mice expressing Magneto spent far more time in the magnetised areas than mice that did not, because activation of the protein caused the striatal neurons expressing it to release dopamine, so that the mice found being in those areas rewarding. This shows that Magneto can remotely control the firing of neurons deep within the brain, and also control complex behaviours.

Make no mistake this basic methodology will be part of the 'Covid vaccine' cocktail and using magnetics to change brain function through electromagnetic field frequency activation. The Pentagon is developing a 'Covid vaccine' using ferritin. Magnetics would explain changes in behaviour and why videos are appearing across the Internet as I write showing how magnets stick to the skin at the point of the 'vaccine' shot. Once people take these 'vaccines' anything becomes possible in terms of brain function and illness which will be blamed on 'Covid-19' and 'variants'. Magnetic field manipulation would further explain why the non-'vaccinated' are reporting the same symptoms as the 'vaccinated' they interact with and why those symptoms are reported to decrease when not in their company. Interestingly 'Magneto', a 'mutant', is a character in the Marvel Comic *X-Men* stories with the ability to manipulate magnetic fields and he believes that mutants should fight back against their human oppressors by any means necessary. The character was born Erik Lehnsherr to a Jewish family in Germany.

Cult-controlled courts

The European Court of Human Rights opened the door for mandatory 'Covid-19 vaccines' across the continent when it ruled in a Czech Republic dispute over childhood immunisation that legally

enforced vaccination could be 'necessary in a democratic society'. The 17 judges decided that compulsory vaccinations did not breach human rights law. On the face of it the judgement was so inverted you gasp for air. If not having a vaccine infused into your body is not a human right then what is? Ah, but they said human rights law which has been specifically written to delete all human rights at the behest of the state (the Cult). Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights relates to the right to a private life. The crucial word here is *'except'*:

> There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right EXCEPT such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic wellbeing of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others [My emphasis].

No interference *except* in accordance with the law means there *are* no 'human rights' *except* what EU governments decide you can have at their behest. 'As is necessary in a democratic society' explains that reference in the judgement and 'in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others' gives the EU a coach and horses to ride through 'human rights' and scatter them in all directions. The judiciary is not a check and balance on government extremism; it is a vehicle to enforce it. This judgement was almost laughably predictable when the last thing the Cult wanted was a decision that went against mandatory vaccination. Judges rule over and over again to benefit the system of which they are a part. Vaccination disputes that come before them are invariably delivered in favour of doctors and authorities representing the view of the state which owns the judiciary. Oh, yes, and we have even had calls to stop putting 'Covid-19' on death certificates within 28 days of a 'positive test' because it is claimed the practice makes the 'vaccine' appear not to work. They are laughing at you.

The scale of madness, inhumanity and things to come was highlighted when those not 'vaccinated' for 'Covid' were refused evacuation from the Caribbean island of St Vincent during massive volcanic eruptions. Cruise ships taking residents to the safety of another island allowed only the 'vaccinated' to board and the rest were left to their fate. Even in life and death situations like this we see 'Covid' stripping people of their most basic human instincts and the insanity is even more extreme when you think that fake 'vaccine'-makers are not even claiming their body-manipulating concoctions stop 'infection' and 'transmission' of a 'virus' that doesn't exist. St Vincent Prime Minister Ralph Gonsalves said: 'The chief medical officer will be identifying the persons already vaccinated so that we can get them on the ship.' Note again the power of the chief medical officer who, like Whitty in the UK, will be answering to the World Health Organization. This is the Cult network structure that has overridden politicians who 'follow the science' which means doing what WHO-controlled 'medical officers' and 'science advisers' tell them. Gonsalves even said that residents who were 'vaccinated' after the order so they could board the ships would still be refused entry due to possible side effects such as 'wooziness in the head'. The good news is that if they were woozy enough in the head they could qualify to be prime minister of St Vincent.

Microchipping freedom

The European judgement will be used at some point to justify moves to enforce the 'Covid' DNA-manipulating procedure. Sandra Ro, CEO of the Global Blockchain Business Council, told a World Economic Forum event that she hoped 'vaccine passports' would help to 'drive forced consent and standardisation' of global digital identity schemes: 'I'm hoping with the desire and global demand for some sort of vaccine passport – so that people can get travelling and working again – [it] will drive forced consent, standardisation, and frankly, cooperation across the world.' The lady is either not very bright, or thoroughly mendacious, to use the term 'forced consent'.

You do not 'consent' if you are forced – you *submit*. She was describing what the plan has been all along and that's to enforce a digital identity on every human without which they could not function. 'Vaccine passports' are opening the door and are far from the end goal. A digital identity would allow you to be tracked in everything you do in cyberspace and this is the same technique used by Cult-owned China to enforce its social credit system of total control. The ultimate 'passport' is planned to be a microchip as my books have warned for nearly 30 years. Those nice people at the Pentagon working for the Cult-controlled Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) claimed in April, 2021, they have developed a microchip inserted under the skin to detect 'asymptomatic Covid-19 infection' before it becomes an outbreak and a 'revolutionary filter' that can remove the 'virus' from the blood when attached to a dialysis machine. The only problems with this are that the 'virus' does not exist and people transmitting the 'virus' with no symptoms is brain-numbing bullshit. This is, of course, not a ruse to get people to be microchipped for very different reasons. DARPA also said it was producing a one-stop 'vaccine' for the 'virus' and all 'variants'. One of the most sinister organisations on Planet Earth is doing this? Better have it then. These people are insane because Wetiko that possesses them is insane.

Researchers from the Salk Institute in California announced they have created an embryo that is part human and part monkey. My books going back to the 1990s have exposed experiments in top secret underground facilities in the United States where humans are being crossed with animal and non-human 'extraterrestrial' species. They are now easing that long-developed capability into the public arena and there is much more to come given we are dealing with psychiatric basket cases. Talking of which – Elon Musk's scientists at Neuralink trained a monkey to play Pong and other puzzles on a computer screen using a joystick and when the monkey made the correct move a metal tube squirted banana smoothie into his mouth which is the basic technique for training humans into unquestioning compliance. Two Neuralink chips were in the monkey's skull and

more than 2,000 wires 'fanned out' into its brain. Eventually the monkey played a video game purely with its brain waves. Psychopathic narcissist Musk said the 'breakthrough' was a step towards putting Neuralink chips into human skulls and merging minds with artificial intelligence. *Exactly*. This man is so dark and Cult to his DNA.

World Economic Fascism (WEF)

The World Economic Forum is telling you the plan by the statements made at its many and various events. Cult-owned fascist YouTube CEO Susan Wojcicki spoke at the 2021 WEF Global Technology Governance Summit (see the name) in which 40 governments and 150 companies met to ensure 'the responsible design and deployment of emerging technologies'. Orwellian translation: 'Ensuring the design and deployment of long-planned technologies will advance the Cult agenda for control and censorship.' Freedom-destroyer and Nuremberg-bound Wojcicki expressed support for tech platforms like hers to censor content that is 'technically legal but could be harmful'. Who decides what is 'harmful'? She does and they do. 'Harmful' will be whatever the Cult doesn't want people to see and we have legislation proposed by the UK government that would censor content on the basis of 'harm' no matter if the information is fair, legal and provably true. Make that *especially* if it is fair, legal and provably true. Wojcicki called for a global coalition to be formed to enforce content moderation standards through automated censorship. This is a woman and mega-censor so self-deluded that she shamelessly accepted a 'free expression' award – *Wojcicki* – in an event sponsored by her own *YouTube*. They have no shame and no self-awareness.

You know that 'Covid' is a scam and Wojcicki a Cult operative when YouTube is censoring medical and scientific opinion purely on the grounds of whether it supports or opposes the Cult 'Covid' narrative. Florida governor Ron DeSantis compiled an expert panel with four professors of medicine from Harvard, Oxford, and Stanford Universities who spoke against forcing children and

vaccinated people to wear masks. They also said there was no proof that lockdowns reduced spread or death rates of 'Covid-19'. Cult-gofer Wojcicki and her YouTube deleted the panel video 'because it included content that contradicts the consensus of local and global health authorities regarding the efficacy of masks to prevent the spread of Covid-19'. This 'consensus' refers to what the Cult tells the World Health Organization to say and the WHO tells 'local health authorities' to do. Wojcicki knows this, of course. The panellists pointed out that censorship of scientific debate was responsible for deaths from many causes, but Wojcicki couldn't care less. She would not dare go against what she is told and as a disgrace to humanity she wouldn't want to anyway. The UK government is seeking to pass a fascist 'Online Safety Bill' to specifically target with massive fines and other means non-censored video and social media platforms to make them censor 'lawful but harmful' content like the Cult-owned Facebook, Twitter, Google and YouTube. What is 'lawful but harmful' would be decided by the fascist Blair-created Ofcom.

Another WEF obsession is a cyber-attack on the financial system and this is clearly what the Cult has planned to take down the bank accounts of everyone – except theirs. Those that think they have enough money for the Cult agenda not to matter to them have got a big lesson coming if they continue to ignore what is staring them in the face. The World Economic Forum, funded by Gates and fronted by Klaus Schwab, announced it would be running a 'simulation' with the Russian government and global banks of just such an attack called Cyber Polygon 2021. What they simulate – as with the 'Covid' Event 201 – they plan to instigate. The WEF is involved in a project with the Cult-owned Carnegie Endowment for International Peace called the WEF-Carnegie Cyber Policy Initiative which seeks to merge Wall Street banks, 'regulators' (I love it) and intelligence agencies to 'prevent' (arrange and allow) a cyber-attack that would bring down the global financial system as long planned by those that control the WEF and the Carnegie operation. The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace sent an instruction to First World

War US President Woodrow Wilson not to let the war end before society had been irreversibly transformed.

The Wuhan lab diversion

As I close, the Cult-controlled authorities and lapdog media are systematically pushing 'the virus was released from the Wuhan lab' narrative. There are two versions – it happened by accident and it happened on purpose. Both are nonsense. The perceived existence of the never-shown-to-exist 'virus' is vital to sell the impression that there is actually an infective agent to deal with and to allow the endless potential for terrifying the population with 'variants' of a 'virus' that does not exist. The authorities at the time of writing are going with the 'by accident' while the alternative media is promoting the 'on purpose'. Cable news host Tucker Carlson who has questioned aspects of lockdown and 'vaccine' compulsion has bought the Wuhan lab story. 'Everyone now agrees' he said. Well, I don't and many others don't and the question is *why* does the system and its media suddenly 'agree'? When the media moves as one unit with a narrative it is always a lie – witness the hour by hour mendacity of the 'Covid' era. Why would this Cult-owned combination which has unleashed lies like machine gun fire suddenly 'agree' to tell the truth??

Much of the alternative media is buying the lie because it fits the conspiracy narrative, but it's the *wrong* conspiracy. The real conspiracy is that *there is no virus* and that is what the Cult is desperate to hide. The idea that the 'virus' was released by accident is ludicrous when the whole 'Covid' hoax was clearly long-planned and waiting to be played out as it was so fast in accordance with the Rockefeller document and Event 201. So they prepared everything in detail over decades and then sat around strumming their fingers waiting for an 'accidental' release from a bio-lab? *What??* It's crazy. Then there's the 'on purpose' claim. You want to circulate a 'deadly virus' and hide the fact that you've done so and you release it down the street from the highest-level bio-lab in China? I repeat – *What??*

You would release it far from that lab to stop any association being made. But, no, we'll do it in a place where the connection was certain to be made. Why would you need to scam 'cases' and 'deaths' and pay hospitals to diagnose 'Covid-19' if you had a real 'virus'? What are sections of the alternative media doing believing this crap? Where were all the mass deaths in Wuhan from a 'deadly pathogen' when the recovery to normal life after the initial propaganda was dramatic in speed? Why isn't the 'deadly pathogen' now circulating all over China with bodies in the street? Once again we have the technique of tell them what they want to hear and they will likely believe it. The alternative media has its 'conspiracy' and with Carlson it fits with his 'China is the danger' narrative over years. China *is* a danger as a global Cult operations centre, but not for this reason. The Wuhan lab story also has the potential to instigate conflict with China when at some stage the plan is to trigger a Problem-Reaction-Solution confrontation with the West. Question everything – *everything* – and especially when the media agrees on a common party line.

Third wave ... fourth wave ... fifth wave ...

As the book went into production the world was being set up for more lockdowns and a 'third wave' supported by invented 'variants' that were increasing all the time and will continue to do so in public statements and computer programs, but not in reality. India became the new Italy in the 'Covid' propaganda campaign and we were told to be frightened of the new 'Indian strain'. Somehow I couldn't find it within myself to do so. A document produced for the UK government entitled 'Summary of further modelling of easing of restrictions – Roadmap Step 2' declared that a third wave was inevitable (of course when it's in the script) and it would be the fault of children and those who refuse the health-destroying fake 'Covid vaccine'. One of the computer models involved came from the Cult-owned *Imperial College* and the other from Warwick University which I wouldn't trust to tell me the date in a calendar factory. The document states that both models presumed extremely high uptake

of the 'Covid vaccines' and didn't allow for 'variants'. The document states: 'The resurgence is a result of some people (mostly children) being ineligible for vaccination; others choosing not to receive the vaccine; and others being vaccinated but not perfectly protected.' The mendacity takes the breath away. Okay, blame those with a brain who won't take the DNA-modifying shots and put more pressure on children to have it as 'trials' were underway involving children as young as six months with parents who give insanity a bad name. Massive pressure is being put on the young to have the fake 'vaccine' and child age consent limits have been systematically lowered around the world to stop parents intervening. Most extraordinary about the document was its claim that the 'third wave' would be driven by 'the resurgence in both hospitalisations and deaths … dominated by *those that have received two doses of the vaccine*, comprising around 60-70% of the wave respectively'. The predicted peak of the 'third wave' suggested 300 deaths per day with 250 of them *fully 'vaccinated' people*. How many more lies do acquiescers need to be told before they see the obvious? Those who took the jab to 'protect themselves' are projected to be those who mostly get sick and die? So what's in the 'vaccine'? The document went on:

> It is possible that a summer of low prevalence could be followed by substantial increases in incidence over the following autumn and winter. Low prevalence in late summer should not be taken as an indication that SARS-CoV-2 has retreated or that the population has high enough levels of immunity to prevent another wave.

They are telling you the script and while many British people believed 'Covid' restrictions would end in the summer of 2021 the government was preparing for them to be ongoing. Authorities were awarding contracts for 'Covid marshals' to police the restrictions with contracts starting in July, 2021, and going through to January 31st, 2022, and the government was advertising for 'Media Buying Services' to secure media propaganda slots worth a potential £320 million for 'Covid-19 campaigns' with a contract not ending until March, 2022. The recipient – via a list of other front companies – was reported to be American media marketing giant Omnicom Group

Inc. While money is no object for 'Covid' the UK waiting list for all other treatment – including life-threatening conditions – passed 4.5 million. Meantime the Cult is seeking to control all official 'inquiries' to block revelations about what has really been happening and why. It must not be allowed to – we need Nuremberg jury trials in every country. The cover-up doesn't get more obvious than appointing ultra-Zionist professor Philip Zelikow to oversee two dozen US virologists, public health officials, clinicians, former government officials and four American 'charitable foundations' to 'learn the lessons' of the 'Covid' debacle. The personnel will be those that created and perpetuated the 'Covid' lies while Zelikow is the former executive director of the 9/11 Commission who ensured that the truth about those attacks never came out and produced a report that must be among the most mendacious and manipulative documents ever written – see *The Trigger* for the detailed exposure of the almost unimaginable 9/11 story in which Sabbatians can be found at every level.

Passive no more

People are increasingly challenging the authorities with amazing numbers of people taking to the streets in London well beyond the ability of the Face-Nappies to stop them. Instead the Nappies choose situations away from the mass crowds to target, intimidate, and seek to promote the impression of 'violent protestors'. One such incident happened in London's Hyde Park. Hundreds of thousands walking through the streets in protest against 'Covid' fascism were ignored by the Cult-owned BBC and most of the rest of the mainstream media, but they delighted in reporting how police were injured in 'clashes with protestors'. The truth was that a group of people gathered in Hyde Park at the end of one march when most had gone home and they were peacefully having a good time with music and chat. Face-Nappies who couldn't deal with the full-march crowd then waded in with their batons and got more than they bargained for. Instead of just standing for this criminal brutality the crowd used their numerical superiority to push the Face-Nappies out of the

park. Eventually the Nappies turned and ran. Unfortunately two or three idiots in the crowd threw drink cans striking two officers which gave the media and the government the image they wanted to discredit the 99.9999 percent who were peaceful. The idiots walked straight into the trap and we must always be aware of potential agent provocateurs used by the authorities to discredit their targets.

This response from the crowd – the can people apart – must be a turning point when the public no longer stand by while the innocent are arrested and brutally attacked by the Face-Nappies. That doesn't mean to be violent, that's the last thing we need. We'll leave the violence to the Face-Nappies and government. But it does mean that when the Face-Nappies use violence against peaceful people the numerical superiority is employed to stop them and make citizen's arrests or Common Law arrests for a breach of the peace. The time for being passive in the face of fascism is over.

We are the many, they are the few, and we need to make that count before there is no freedom left and our children and grandchildren face an ongoing fascist nightmare.

COME ON PEOPLE – IT'S TIME.

One final thought …

The power of love
A force from above
Cleaning my soul
Flame on burn desire
Love with tongues of fire
Purge the soul
Make love your goal

I'll protect you from the hooded claw
Keep the vampires from your door
When the chips are down I'll be around
With my undying, death-defying
Love for you

Envy will hurt itself
Let yourself be beautiful
Sparkling love, flowers
And pearls and pretty girls
Love is like an energy
Rushin' rushin' inside of me

This time we go sublime
Lovers entwine, divine, divine,
Love is danger, love is pleasure
Love is pure – the only treasure

I'm so in love with you
Purge the soul
Make love your goal

The power of love
A force from above
Cleaning my soul
The power of love
A force from above
A sky-scraping dove

Flame on burn desire
Love with tongues of fire
Purge the soul
Make love your goal

Frankie Goes To Hollywood

APPENDIX

Cowan-Kaufman-Morell Statement on Virus Isolation (SOVI)

Isolation: The action of isolating; the fact or condition of being isolated or standing alone; separation from other things or persons; solitariness
Oxford English Dictionary

The controversy over whether the SARS-CoV-2 virus has ever been isolated or purified continues. However, using the above definition, common sense, the laws of logic and the dictates of science, any unbiased person must come to the conclusion that the SARS-CoV-2 virus has never been isolated or purified. As a result, no confirmation of the virus' existence can be found. The logical, common sense, and scientific consequences of this fact are:

- the structure and composition of something not shown to exist can't be known, including the presence, structure, and function of any hypothetical spike or other proteins;
- the genetic sequence of something that has never been found can't be known;
- "variants" of something that hasn't been shown to exist can't be known;
- it's impossible to demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 causes a disease called Covid-19.

In as concise terms as possible, here's the proper way to isolate, characterize and demonstrate a new virus. First, one takes samples (blood, sputum, secretions) from many people (e.g. 500) with symptoms which are unique and specific enough to characterize an illness. Without mixing these samples with ANY tissue or products that also contain genetic material, the virologist macerates, filters and ultracentrifuges i.e. *purifies* the specimen. This common virology technique, done for decades to isolate bacteriophages[1] and so-called giant viruses in every virology lab, then allows the virologist to demonstrate with electron microscopy thousands of identically sized and shaped particles. These particles are the isolated and purified virus.

These identical particles are then checked for uniformity by physical and/or microscopic techniques. Once the purity is determined, the particles may be further characterized. This would include examining the structure, morphology, and chemical composition of the particles. Next, their genetic makeup is characterized by extracting the genetic material directly from the purified particles and using genetic-sequencing techniques, such as Sanger sequencing, that have also been around for decades. Then one does an analysis to confirm that these uniform particles are exogenous (outside) in origin as a virus is conceptualized to be, and not the normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues.[2] (As of May 2020, we know that virologists have no way to determine whether the particles they're seeing are viruses or just normal breakdown products of dead and dying tissues.)[3]

---

1 Isolation, characterization and analysis of bacteriophages from the haloalkaline lake Elmenteita, KenyaJuliah Khayeli Akhwale et al, PLOS One, Published: April 25, 2019. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0215734 – accessed 2/15/21

2 "Extracellular Vesicles Derived From Apoptotic Cells: An Essential Link Between Death and Regeneration," Maojiao Li1 et al, Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2020 October 2. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2020.573511/full – accessed 2/15/21

3 "The Role of Extraellular Vesicles as Allies of HIV, HCV and SARS Viruses," Flavia Giannessi, et al, Viruses, 2020 May

If we have come this far then we have fully isolated, characterized, and genetically sequenced an exogenous virus particle. However, we still have to show it is causally related to a disease. This is carried out by exposing a group of healthy subjects (animals are usually used) to this isolated, purified virus in the manner in which the disease is thought to be transmitted. If the animals get sick with the same disease, as confirmed by clinical and autopsy findings, one has now shown that the virus actually causes a disease. This demonstrates infectivity and transmission of an infectious agent.

None of these steps has even been attempted with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, nor have all these steps been successfully performed for any so-called pathogenic virus. Our research indicates that a single study showing these steps does not exist in the medical literature.

Instead, since 1954, virologists have taken unpurified samples from a relatively few people, often less than ten, with a similar disease. They then minimally process this sample and inoculate this unpurified sample onto tissue culture containing usually four to six other types of material – all of which contain identical genetic material as to what is called a "virus." The tissue culture is starved and poisoned and naturally disintegrates into many types of particles, some of which contain genetic material. Against all common sense, logic, use of the English language and scientific integrity, this process is called "virus isolation." This brew containing fragments of genetic material from many sources is then subjected to genetic analysis, which then creates in a computer-simulation process the alleged sequence of the alleged virus, a so called in silico genome. At no time is an actual virus confirmed by electron microscopy. At no time is a genome extracted and sequenced from an actual virus. This is scientific fraud.

The observation that the unpurified specimen — inoculated onto tissue culture along with toxic antibiotics, bovine fetal tissue, amniotic fluid and other tissues — destroys the kidney tissue onto which it is inoculated is given as evidence of the virus' existence and pathogenicity. This is scientific fraud.

From now on, when anyone gives you a paper that suggests the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been isolated, please check the methods sections. If the researchers used Vero cells or any other culture method, you know that their process was not isolation. You will hear the following excuses for why actual isolation isn't done:

1. There were not enough virus particles found in samples from patients to analyze.

2. Viruses are intracellular parasites; they can't be found outside the cell in this manner.

If No. 1 is correct, and we can't find the virus in the sputum of sick people, then on what evidence do we think the virus is dangerous or even lethal? If No. 2 is correct, then how is the virus spread from person to person? We are told it emerges from the cell to infect others. Then why isn't it possible to find it?

Finally, questioning these virology techniques and conclusions is not some distraction or divisive issue. Shining the light on this truth is essential to stop this terrible fraud that humanity is confronting. For, as we now know, if the virus has never been isolated, sequenced or shown to cause illness, if the virus is imaginary, then why are we wearing masks, social distancing and putting the whole world into prison?

Finally, if pathogenic viruses don't exist, then what is going into those injectable devices erroneously called "vaccines," and what is their purpose? This scientific question is the most urgent and relevant one of our time.

We are correct. The SARS-CoV2 virus does not exist.

Sally Fallon Morell, MA
Dr. Thomas Cowan, MD
Dr. Andrew Kaufman, MD

Bibliography

Alinsky, Saul: *Rules for Radicals* (Vintage, 1989)

Antelman, Rabbi Marvin: *To Eliminate the Opiate* (Zahavia, 1974)

Bastardi, Joe: *The Climate Chronicles* (Relentless Thunder Press, 2018)

Cowan, Tom: *Human Heart, Cosmic Heart* (Chelsea Green Publishing, 2016)

Cowan, Tom, and Fallon Morell, Sally: *The Contagion Myth* (Skyhorse Publishing, 2020)

Forbes, Jack D: *Columbus And Other Cannibals – The Wetiko Disease of Exploitation, Imperialism, and Terrorism* (Seven Stories Press, 2008 – originally published in 1979)

Gates, Bill: *How to Avoid a Climate Disaster: The Solutions We Have and the Breakthroughs We Need* (Allen Lane, 2021)

Huxley, Aldous: *Brave New World* (Chatto & Windus, 1932)

Köhnlein, Dr Claus, and Engelbrecht, Torsten: *Virus Mania* (emu-Vertag, Lahnstein, 2020)

Lanza, Robert, and Berman, Bob: *Biocentrism* (BenBella Books, 2010)

Lash, John Lamb: *Not In His Image* (Chelsea Green Publishing, 2006)

Lester, Dawn, and Parker, David: *What Really Makes You Ill – Why everything you thought you knew about disease is wrong* (Independently Published, 2019)

Levy, Paul: *Dispelling Wetiko, Breaking the Spell of Evil* (North Atlantic Books, 2013)

Marx, Karl: *A World Without Jews* (Philosophical Library, first edition, 1959)

Mullis, Kary: *Dancing Naked in the Mine Field* (Bloomsbury, 1999)

O'Brien, Cathy: *Trance-Formation of America* (Reality Marketing, 1995)

Scholem, Gershon: *The Messianic Idea in Judaism* (Schocken Books, 1994)

Schwab, Klaus, and Davis, Nicholas: *Shaping the Future of the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A guide to building a better world* (Penguin Books, 2018)

Schwab, Klaus: *The Great Reset* (Agentur Schweiz, 2020)

Sunstein, Cass and Thaler, Richard: *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness* (Penguin, 2009)

Swan, Shanna: *Count Down: How Our Modern World Is Threatening Sperm Counts, Altering Male and Female Reproductive Development and Imperiling the Future of the Human Race* (Scribner, 2021)

Tegmark, Max: *Our Mathematical Universe: My Quest for the Ultimate Nature of Reality* (Penguin, 2015)

Velikovsky, Immanuel: *Worlds in Collision* (Paradigma, 2009)

Wilton, Robert: *The Last Days of the Romanovs* (Blurb, 2018, first published 1920)

Index

A

abusive relationships
blaming themselves, abused as ref1
children ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
conspiracy theories ref1
domestic abuse ref1, ref2
economic abuse and dependency ref1
isolation ref1
physical abuse ref1
psychological abuse ref1
signs of abuse ref1
addiction
alcoholism ref1
frequencies ref1
substance abuse ref1, ref2
technology ref1, ref2, ref3
Adelson, Sheldon ref1, ref2, ref3
Agenda 21/Agenda 2030 (UN) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
AIDs/HIV ref1
causal link between HIV and AIDs ref1, ref2
retroviruses ref1
testing ref1, ref2
trial-run for Covid-19, as ref1, ref2
aliens/extraterrestrials ref1, ref2
aluminium ref1
Amazon ref1, ref2, ref3

amplification cycles ref1, ref2
anaphylactic shock ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
animals ref1, ref2, ref3
antibodies ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Antifa ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
antigens ref1, ref2
anti-Semitism ref1, ref2, ref3
Archons ref1, ref2
  consciousness ref1, ref2, ref3
  energy ref1, ref2, ref3
  ennoia ref1
  genetic manipulation ref1, ref2
  inversion ref1, ref2, ref3
  lockdowns ref1
  money ref1
  radiation ref1
  religion ref1, ref2
  technology ref1, ref2, ref3
  Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
army made up of robots ref1, ref2
  Human 2.0 ref1, ref2
  Internet ref1
  MHRA ref1
  Morgellons fibres ref1, ref2
  Smart Grid ref1
  Wetiko factor ref1
asymptomatic, Covid-19 as ref1, ref2, ref3
aviation industry ref1

B

banking, finance and money ref1, ref2, ref3
2008 crisis ref1, ref2
boom and bust ref1
cashless digital money systems ref1
central banks ref1
credit ref1
digital currency ref1
fractional reserve lending ref1
Great Reset ref1
guaranteed income ref1, ref2, ref3
Human 2.0 ref1
incomes, destruction of ref1, ref2
interest ref1
one per cent ref1, ref2
scams ref1
BBC ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Becker-Phelps, Leslie ref1
Behavioural Insights Team (BIT) (Nudge Unit) ref1, ref2, ref3
behavioural scientists *and* **psychologists, advice from** ref1, ref2
Bezos, Jeff ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Biden, Hunter ref1
Biden, Joe ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12, ref13, ref14, ref15, ref16, ref17
Big Pharma
cholesterol ref1
health professionals ref1, ref2
immunity from prosecution in US ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2
WHO ref1, ref2, ref3
Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

billionaires ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9 ref10, ref11
bird flu (H5N1) ref1
Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Blair, Tony ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Brin, Sergei ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
British Empire ref1
Bush, George HW ref1, ref2
Bush, George W ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Byrd, Robert ref1

C

Canada
  Global Cult ref1
  hate speech ref1
  internment ref1
  masks ref1
  old people ref1
  SARS-COV-2 ref1
  satellites ref1
  vaccines ref1
  wearable technology ref1
Capitol Hill riot ref1, ref2
  agents provocateur ref1
  Antifa ref1
  Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1, ref2
  QAnon ref1
  security precautions, lack of ref1, ref2, ref3
carbon dioxide ref1, ref2
care homes, deaths in ref1, ref2
cashless digital money systems ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

fact-checkers ref1
masks ref1
media ref1, ref2
private messages ref1
social media ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
transgender persons ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3
Wokeness ref1
Centers for Disease Control (CDC) (United States) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12, ref13
centralisation ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
chakras ref1
change agents ref1, ref2, ref3
chemtrails ref1, ref2, ref3
chief medical officers and scientific advisers ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
children *see also* **young people**
abuse ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
care, taken into ref1, ref2, ref3
education ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
energy ref1
family courts ref1
hand sanitisers ref1
human sacrifice ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3
masks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
mental health ref1
old people ref1
parents, replacement of ref1, ref2
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1, ref2
reframing ref1
smartphone addiction ref1

social distancing and isolation ref1
social media ref1
transgender persons ref1, ref2
United States ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
Wetiko factor ref1
China ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
anal swab tests ref1
Chinese Revolution ref1, ref2, ref3
digital currency ref1
Global Cult ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
guaranteed income ref1
Imperial College ref1
Israel ref1
lockdown ref1, ref2
masculinity crisis ref1
masks ref1
media ref1
origins of virus in China ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
pollution causing respiratory diseases ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2
Smart Grid ref1, ref2
social credit system ref1
testing ref1, ref2
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2
Wetiko factor ref1
wet market conspiracy ref1
Wuhan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
cholesterol ref1, ref2
Christianity ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
criticism ref1
cross, inversion of the ref1

Nag Hammadi texts ref1, ref2, ref3
Roman Catholic Church ref1, ref2
Sabbatians ref1, ref2
Satan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wokeness ref1
class ref1, ref2
climate change hoax ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Agenda 21/Agenda 2030 ref1, ref2, ref3
carbon dioxide ref1, ref2
Club of Rome ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
fear ref1
funding ref1
Global Cult ref1
green new deals ref1
green parties ref1
inversion ref1
perception, control of ref1
PICC ref1
reframing ref1
temperature, increases in ref1
United Nations ref1, ref2
Wikipedia ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2
Clinton, Bill ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Clinton, Hillary ref1, ref2, ref3
the cloud ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Club of Rome and climate change hoax ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
cognitive therapy ref1
Cohn, Roy ref1
Common Law ref1
Admiralty Law ref1
arrests ref1, ref2

contractual law, Statute Law as ref1
corporate entities, people as ref1
legalese ref1
sea, law of the ref1
Statute Law ref1
Common Purpose leadership programme ref1, ref2
communism ref1, ref2
co-morbidities ref1
computer-generated virus,
Covid-19 as ref1, ref2, ref3
computer models ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
connections ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
consciousness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
expanded ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
experience ref1
heart ref1
infinity ref1, ref2
religion ref1, ref2
self-identity ref1
simulation thesis ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2
conspiracy theorists ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
contradictory rules ref1
contrails ref1
Corman-Drosten test ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
countermimicry ref1, ref2, ref3
Covid-19 vaccines *see* vaccines
Covidiots ref1, ref2
Cowan, Tom ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
crimes against humanity ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

cyber-operations ref1
cyberwarfare ref1

D

DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) ref1
deaths
  care homes ref1
  certificates ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
  mortality rate ref1
  post-mortems/autopsies ref1
  recording ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
  vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
deceit
  pyramid of deceit ref1, ref2
  sequence of deceit ref1
decoding ref1, ref2, ref3
dehumanisation ref1, ref2, ref3
Delphi technique ref1
democracy ref1
dependency ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Descartes, René ref1
DNA
  numbers ref1
  vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
DNR (do not resuscitate)
  orders ref1
domestic abuse ref1, ref2
downgrading of Covid-19 ref1
Drosten, Christian ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Duesberg, Peter ref1, ref2

E

economic abuse ref1
Edmunds, John ref1, ref2
education ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
electromagnetic spectrum ref1, ref2
Enders, John ref1
energy
  Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
  children and young people ref1
  consciousness ref1
  decoding ref1
  frequencies ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
  heart ref1
  human energy field ref1
  source, humans as an energy ref1, ref2
  vaccines ref1
  viruses ref1
ennoia ref1
Epstein, Jeffrey ref1, ref2
eternal 'I' ref1, ref2
ethylene oxide ref1
European Union ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Event ref1 *and* **Bill Gates** ref2
exosomes, Covid-19 as natural defence mechanism called ref1
experience ref1, ref2
Extinction Rebellion ref1, ref2

F

Facebook
  addiction ref1, 448–50
  Facebook

Archons ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3
hate speech ref1
monopoly, as ref1
private messages, censorship of ref1
Sabbatians ref1
United States election fraud ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
fact-checkers ref1
Fauci, Anthony ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12
fear ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
climate change ref1
computer models ref1
conspiracy theories ref1
empty hospitals ref1
Italy ref1, ref2, ref3
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
masks ref1, ref2
media ref1, ref2
medical staff ref1
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2
female infertility ref1
Fermi Paradox ref1
Ferguson, Neil ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
fertility, decline in ref1
The Field ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
finance *see* **banking, finance and money**
five-senses ref1, ref2
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3

censorship ref1
consciousness, expansion of ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
decoding ref1
education ref1, ref2
the Field ref1, ref2
God, personification of ref1
infinity ref1, ref2
media ref1
paranormal ref1
perceptual programming ref1, ref2
Phantom Self ref1
pneuma not nous, using ref1
reincarnation ref1
self-identity ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
5G ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Floyd, George and protests, killing of ref1
flu, re-labelling of ref1, ref2, ref3
food and water, control of ref1, ref2
Freemasons ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Frei, Rosemary ref1
frequencies
addictions ref1
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
awareness ref1
chanting and mantras ref1
consciousness ref1
decoding ref1, ref2
education ref1
electromagnetic (EMF) frequencies ref1
energy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
fear ref1

the Field ref1, ref2 5G ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
five-senses ref1, ref2
ghosts ref1
Gnostics ref1
hive-minds ref1
human, meaning of ref1
light ref1, ref2
love ref1, ref2
magnetism ref1
perception ref1
reality ref1, ref2, ref3
simulation ref1
terror ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko ref1, ref2, ref3
Fuellmich, Reiner ref1, ref2, ref3
furlough/rescue payments ref1

G

Gallo, Robert ref1, ref2, ref3
Gates, Bill
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
climate change ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Daily Pass tracking system ref1
Epstein ref1
fascism ref1
five senses ref1
GAVI ref1
Great Reset ref1
GSK ref1
Imperial College ref1, ref2
Johns Hopkins University ref1, ref2, ref3

lockdowns ref1, ref2
masks ref1
Nuremberg trial, proposal for ref1, ref2
Rockefellers ref1, ref2
social distancing and isolation ref1
Sun, dimming the ref1
synthetic meat ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Wellcome Trust ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3
WHO ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
Wokeness ref1
World Economic Forum ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Gates, Melinda ref1, ref2, ref3
GAVI vaccine alliance ref1
genetics, manipulation of ref1, ref2, ref3
Germany ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6 *see also* **Nazi Germany**
Global Cult ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
anti-human, why Global Cult is ref1
Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
China ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
climate change hoax ref1
contradictory rules ref1
Covid-19 ref1, ref2, ref3
fascism ref1
geographical origins ref1
immigration ref1
Internet ref1
mainstream media ref1, ref2
masks ref1, ref2
monarchy ref1
non-human dimension ref1

perception ref1
political parties ref1, ref2
pyramidal hierarchy ref1, ref2, ref3
reframing ref1
Sabbantian-Frankism ref1, ref2
science, manipulation of ref1
spider and the web ref1
transgender persons ref1
vaccines ref1
who controls the Cult ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
globalisation ref1, ref2
Gnostics ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Google ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
government
behavioural scientists and psychologists, advice from ref1, ref2
definition ref1
Joint Biosecurity Centre (JBC) ref1
people, abusive relationship with ref1
Great Reset ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
fascism ref1, ref2, ref3
financial system ref1
Human 2.0 ref1
water and food, control of ref1
green parties ref1
Griesz-Brisson, Margarite ref1
guaranteed income ref1, ref2, ref3

H

Hancock, Matt ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
hand sanitisers ref1
heart ref1, ref2

hive-minds/groupthink ref1, ref2, ref3
holographs ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
hospitals, empty ref1
human, meaning of ref1
Human 2.0 ref1
addiction to technology ref1
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1, ref2
elimination of Human 1.0 ref1
fertility, decline in ref1
Great Reset ref1
implantables ref1
money ref1
mRNA ref1
nanotechnology ref1
parents, replacement of ref1, ref2
Smart Grid, connection to ref1, ref2
synthetic biology ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
testosterone levels, decrease in ref1
transgender = transhumanism ref1, ref2, ref3
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
human sacrifice ref1, ref2, ref3
Hunger Games Society ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Huxley, Aldous ref1, ref2, ref3

I

identity politics ref1, ref2, ref3
Illuminati ref1, ref2
illusory physical reality ref1
immigration ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Imperial College ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
implantables ref1, ref2

incomes, destruction of ref1, ref2
Infinite Awareness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Internet ref1, ref2 *see also* social media
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
independent journalism, lack of ref1
Internet of Bodies (IoB) ref1
Internet of Everything (IoE) ref1, ref2
Internet of Things (IoT) ref1, ref2
lockdowns ref1
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
trolls ref1
intersectionality ref1
inversion
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3
climate change hoax ref1
energy ref1
Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3
symbolism ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
Islam
Archons ref1
crypto-Jews ref1
Islamic State ref1, ref2
Jinn and Djinn ref1, ref2, ref3
Ottoman Empire ref1
Wahhabism ref1
isolation *see* **social distancing** *and* **isolation**
Israel
China ref1
Cyber Intelligence Unit Beersheba complex ref1
expansion of illegal settlements ref1

formation ref1
Global Cult ref1
Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
medical experiments, consent for ref1
Mossad ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Palestine-Israel conflict ref1, ref2, ref3
parents, replacement of ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on United States ref1
Silicon Valley ref1
Smart Grid ref1, ref2
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1

Italy
fear ref1, ref2, ref3
Lombardy ref1, ref2, ref3
vaccines ref1

J

Johns Hopkins University ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Johnson, Boris ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Joint Biosecurity Centre (JBC) ref1
Judaism
anti-Semitism ref1, ref2, ref3
Archons ref1, ref2
crypto-Jews ref1
inversion ref1, ref2, ref3
Israel ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Labour Party ref1
Nazi Germany ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Silicon Valley ref1
Torah ref1
United States ref1, ref2
Zionists ref1, ref2, ref3

K

Kaufman, Andrew ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
knowledge ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Koch's postulates ref1
Kurzweil, Ray ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Kushner, Jared **ref1**, **ref2**

L

Labour Party ref1, ref2
Lanka, Stefan ref1, ref2
Lateral Flow Device (LFD) ref1
Levy, Paul ref1, ref2, ref3
Life Program ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3
amplification tampering ref1
Archons ref1
Behavioural Insights Team ref1
Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1
care homes, deaths in ref1
children
abuse ref1, ref2
mental health ref1
China ref1, ref2
computer models ref1
consequences ref1, ref2
dependency ref1, ref2, ref3

domestic abuse ref1
fall in cases ref1
fear ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
guaranteed income ref1
Hunger Games Society ref1, ref2, ref3
interaction, destroying ref1
Internet ref1, ref2
overdoses ref1
perception ref1
police-military state ref1, ref2
protests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
psychopathic personality ref1, ref2, ref3
reporting/snitching, encouragement of ref1, ref2
testing ref1
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
WHO ref1
love ref1, ref2, ref3
Lucifer ref1, ref2, ref3

M

Madej, Carrie ref1, ref2
Magufuli, John ref1, ref2
mainstream media ref1
BBC ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
censorship ref1, ref2
China ref1
climate change hoax ref1
fear ref1, ref2
Global Cult ref1, ref2
independent journalism, lack of ref1
Ofcom ref1, ref2, ref3

perception ref1, ref2
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2
social disapproval ref1
social distancing and isolation ref1
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Mao Zedong ref1, ref2, ref3
Marx and Marxism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
masculinity ref1
masks/face coverings ref1, ref2, ref3
censorship ref1
children ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
China, made in ref1
dehumanisation ref1, ref2, ref3
fear ref1, ref2
flu ref1
health professionals ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
isolation ref1
laughter ref1
mass non-cooperation ref1
microplastics, risk of ref1
mind control ref1
multiple masks ref1
oxygen deficiency ref1, ref2, ref3
police ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
pollution, as cause of plastic ref1
Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
reframing ref1, ref2
risk assessments, lack of ref1, ref2
self-respect ref1
surgeons ref1

United States ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Wetiko factor ref1
'worms' ref1
The Matrix movies ref1, ref2, ref3
measles ref1, ref2
media see mainstream media
Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Mesopotamia ref1
messaging ref1
military-police state ref1, ref2, ref3
mind control ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6 *see also* **MKUltra**
MKUltra ref1, ref2, ref3
monarchy ref1
money *see* **banking, finance and money**
Montagnier, Luc ref1, ref2, ref3
Mooney, Bel ref1
Morgellons disease ref1, ref2
mortality rate ref1
Mullis, Kary ref1, ref2, ref3
Musk, Elon ref1

N

Nag Hammadi texts ref1, ref2, ref3
nanotechnology ref1, ref2, ref3
narcissism ref1
Nazi Germany ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
near-death experiences ref1, ref2
Neocons ref1, ref2, ref3

Neuro-Linguistic Programming (NLP) and the Delphi technique ref1
NHS (National Health Service)
amplification cycles ref1
Common Purpose ref1, ref2
mind control ref1
NHS England ref1
saving the NHS ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
whistle-blowers ref1, ref2, ref3
No-Problem-Reaction-Solution ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
non-human dimension of Global Cult ref1
nous ref1
numbers, reality as ref1
Nuremberg Codes ref1, ref2, ref3
Nuremberg-like tribunal, proposal for ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12

O

Obama, Barack ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
O'Brien, Cathy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Ochel, Evita ref1
Ofcom ref1, ref2, ref3
old people ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Oneness ref1, ref2, ref3
Open Society Foundations (Soros) ref1, ref2, ref3
oxygen 406, 528–34

P

paedophilia ref1, ref2
Page, Larry ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

Palestine-Israel conflict ref1, ref2, ref3
pandemic, definition of ref1
pandemic and health crisis scenarios/simulations ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
paranormal ref1
PCR tests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Pearl Harbor attacks, prior knowledge of ref1
Pelosi, Nancy ref1, ref2, ref3
perception ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
  climate change hoax ref1
  control ref1, ref2, ref3
  decoding ref1, ref2
  enslavement ref1
  externally-delivered perceptions ref1
  five senses ref1
  human labels ref1
  media ref1, ref2
  political parties ref1, ref2
  Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1
  sale of perception ref1
  self-identity ref1, ref2
  Wokeness ref1
Phantom Self ref1, ref2, ref3
pharmaceutical industry *see* **Big Pharma**
phthalates ref1
Plato's Allegory of the Cave ref1, ref2
pneuma ref1
police
  Black Lives Matter (BLM) ref1
  brutality ref1
  citizen's arrests ref1, ref2
  common law arrests ref1, ref2

Common Purpose ref1
defunding ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2
masks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
police-military state ref1, ref2, ref3
psychopathic personality ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
reframing ref1
United States ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wokeness ref1

polio ref1

political correctness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

political parties ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

political puppets ref1

pollution ref1, ref2, ref3

post-mortems/autopsies ref1

Postage Stamp Consensus ref1, ref2

pre-emptive programming ref1

Problem-Reaction-Solution ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

Project for the New American Century ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

psychopathic personality ref1
Archons ref1
heart energy ref1
lockdowns ref1, ref2, ref3
police ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
recruitment ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
wealth ref1
Wetiko ref1, ref2

Psyop (psychological operation), Covid as a ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Pushbackers ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

pyramid structure ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

Q

QAnon Psyop ref1, ref2, ref3

R

racism *see also* **Black Lives**
Matter (BLM)
anti-racism industry ref1
class ref1
critical race theory ref1
culture ref1
intersectionality ref1
reverse racism ref1
white privilege ref1, ref2
white supremacy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
radiation ref1, ref2
randomness, illusion of ref1, ref2, ref3
reality ref1, ref2, ref3
reframing ref1, ref2
change agents ref1, ref2
children ref1
climate change ref1
Common Purpose leadership programme ref1, ref2
contradictory rules ref1
enforcers ref1
masks ref1, ref2
NLP and the Delphi technique ref1
police ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2
religion *see also* particular religions
alien invasions ref1

Archons ref1, ref2
consciousness ref1, ref2
control, system of ref1, ref2, ref3
criticism, prohibition on ref1
five senses ref1
good and evil, war between ref1
hidden non-human forces ref1, ref2
Sabbatians ref1
save me syndrome ref1
Wetiko ref1
Wokeness ref1
repetition and mind control ref1, ref2, ref3
reporting/snitching, encouragement of ref1, ref2
Reptilians/Grey entities ref1
rewiring the mind ref1
Rivers, Thomas Milton ref1, ref2
Rockefeller family ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
Rockefeller Foundation documents ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Roman Empire ref1
Rothschild family ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
RT-PCR tests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
Russia
collusion inquiry in US ref1
Russian Revolution ref1, ref2
Sabbatians ref1

S

Sabbantian-Frankism ref1, ref2
anti-Semitism ref1, ref2
banking and finance ref1, ref2, ref3
China ref1, ref2
Israel ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Lucifer ref1
media ref1, ref2
Nazis ref1, ref2
QAnon ref1
Rothschilds ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Russia ref1
Saudi Arabia ref1
Silicon Valley ref1
Sumer ref1
United States ref1, ref2, ref3
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3

SAGE (Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

SARS-1 ref1

SARs-CoV-2 ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

Satan/Satanism ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

satellites in low-orbit ref1

Saudi Arabia ref1

Save Me Syndrome ref1

scapegoating ref1

Schwab, Klaus ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12

science, manipulation of ref1

self-identity ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

self-respect, attacks on ref1

September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on United States ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

77th Brigade of UK military ref1, ref2, ref3

Silicon Valley/tech giants ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6 *see also* **Facebook**

Israel ref1
Sabbatians ref1
technocracy ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
Wokeness ref1
simulation hypothesis ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Smart Grid ref1, ref2, ref3
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
China ref1, ref2
control centres ref1
the Field ref1
Great Reset ref1
Human 2.0 ref1, ref2
Israel ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
social disapproval ref1
social distancing *and* **isolation** ref1, ref2, ref3
abusive relationships ref1, ref2
children ref1
flats and apartments ref1
heart issues ref1
hugs ref1
Internet ref1
masks ref1
media ref1
older people ref1, ref2
one-metre (three feet) rule ref1
rewiring the mind ref1
simulation, universe as a ref1
SPI-B ref1
substance abuse ref1

suicide and self-harm ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
technology ref1
torture, as ref1, ref2
two-metre (six feet) rule ref1
women ref1
social justice ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
social media *see also* **Facebook bans on alternative views** ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
children ref1
emotion ref1
perception ref1
private messages ref1
Twitter ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
Wetiko factor ref1
YouTube ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Soros, George ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Spain ref1
SPI-B (Scientific Pandemic Insights Group on Behaviours) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
spider and the web ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Starmer, Keir ref1
Statute Law ref1
Steiner, Rudolf ref1, ref2, ref3
Stockholm syndrome ref1
streptomycin ref1
suicide and self-harm ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Sumer ref1, ref2
Sunstein, Cass ref1, ref2, ref3
swine flu (H1N1) ref1, ref2, ref3
synchronicity ref1
synthetic biology ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
synthetic meat ref1, ref2

T

technology *see also* **artificial intelligence (AI); Internet;**
social media addiction ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Archons ref1, ref2
the cloud ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
cyber-operations ref1
cyberwarfare ref1
radiation ref1, ref2
social distancing and isolation ref1
technocracy ref1
Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12, ref13
telepathy ref1
Tenpenny, Sherri ref1
Tesla, Nikola ref1
testosterone levels, decrease in ref1
testing for Covid-19 ref1, ref2
anal swab tests ref1
cancer ref1
China ref1, ref2, ref3
Corman-Drosten test ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
death certificates ref1, ref2
fraudulent testing ref1
genetic material, amplification of ref1
Lateral Flow Device (LFD) ref1
PCR tests ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3
Thunberg, Greta ref1, ref2, ref3
Totalitarian Tiptoe ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
transgender persons
activism ref1
artificial wombs ref1

censorship ref1
child abuse ref1, ref2
Human 2.0 ref1, ref2, ref3
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
women, deletion of rights and status of ref1, ref2
young persons ref1
travel restrictions ref1
Trudeau, Justin ref1, ref2, ref3
Trump, Donald ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11
Twitter **ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7**

U

UKColumn ref1, ref2
United Nations (UN) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5 *see also* **Agenda 21/Agenda 2030 (UN)**
United States ref1, ref2
American Revolution ref1
borders ref1, ref2
Capitol Hill riot ref1, ref2
children ref1
China ref1, ref2
CIA ref1, ref2
Daily Pass tracking system ref1
demographics by immigration, changes in ref1
Democrats ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
election fraud ref1
far-right domestic terrorists, pushbackers as ref1
Federal Reserve ref1
flu/respiratory diseases statistics ref1
Global Cult ref1, ref2
hand sanitisers, FDA warnings on ref1

immigration, effects of illegal ref1
impeachment ref1
Israel ref1, ref2
Judaism ref1, ref2, ref3
lockdown ref1
masks ref1
mass media ref1, ref2
nursing homes ref1
Pentagon ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
police ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
pushbackers ref1
Republicans ref1, ref2
borders ref1, ref2
Democrats ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Russia, inquiry into collusion with ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3
September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
UFO sightings, release of information on ref1
vaccines ref1
white supremacy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Woke Democrats ref1, ref2

V

vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3
adverse reactions ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Africa ref1
anaphylactic shock ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
animals ref1, ref2
anti-vax movement ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
AstraZeneca/Oxford ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
autoimmune diseases, rise in ref1, ref2
Big Pharma ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8

bioweapon, as real ref1, ref2
black and ethnic minority communities ref1
blood clots ref1, ref2
Brain Computer Interface (BCI) ref1
care homes, deaths in ref1
censorship ref1, ref2, ref3
chief medical officers and scientific advisers, financial interests of ref1, ref2
children ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
China ref1, ref2
clinical trials ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
compensation ref1
compulsory vaccinations ref1, ref2, ref3
computer programs ref1
consciousness ref1
cover-ups ref1
creation before Covid ref1
cytokine storm ref1
deaths and illnesses caused by vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
definition ref1
developing countries ref1
digital tattoos ref1
DNA-manipulation ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10
emergency approval ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
female infertility ref1
funding ref1
genetic suicide ref1
Global Cult ref1
heart chakras ref1
hesitancy ref1
Human 2.0 ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
immunity from prosecution ref1, ref2, ref3

implantable technology ref1
Israel ref1
Johnson & Johnson ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
lockdowns ref1
long-term effects ref1
mainstream media ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
masks ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) ref1, ref2
messaging ref1
Moderna ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
mRNA vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
nanotechnology ref1, ref2
NHS ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
older people ref1, ref2
operating system ref1
passports ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Pfizer/BioNTech ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7
polyethylene glycol ref1
pregnant women ref1
psychopathic personality ref1
races, targeting different ref1
reverse transcription ref1
Smart Grid ref1
social distancing ref1
social media ref1
sterility ref1
synthetic material, introduction of ref1
tests ref1, ref2, ref3
travel restrictions ref1
variants ref1, ref2
viruses, existence of ref1
whistle-blowing ref1

WHO ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wokeness ref1
working, vaccine as ref1
young people ref1
Vallance, Patrick ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
variants ref1, ref2, ref3
vegans ref1
ventilators ref1, ref2
virology ref1, ref2
virtual reality ref1, ref2, ref3
viruses, existence of ref1
visual reality ref1, ref2
vitamin D ref1, ref2
von Braun, Wernher ref1, ref2

W

war-zone hospital myths ref1
waveforms ref1, ref2
wealth ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9 ref10, ref11
wet market conspiracy ref1
Wetiko factor ref1
alcoholism and drug addiction ref1
anti-human, why Global Cult is ref1
Archons ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
artificial intelligence (AI) ref1
Big Pharma ref1, ref2
children ref1
China ref1
consciousness ref1, ref2
education ref1
Facebook ref1

fear ref1, ref2
frequency ref1, ref2
Gates ref1, ref2
Global Cult ref1, ref2
heart ref1, ref2
lockdowns ref1
masks ref1
Native American concept ref1
psychopathic personality ref1, ref2
reframing/retraining programmes ref1
religion ref1
Silicon Valley ref1
Smart Grid ref1
smartphone addiction ref1, ref2
social media ref1
war ref1, ref2
WHO ref1
Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
Yaldabaoth ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

whistle-blowing ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

white privilege ref1, ref2

white supremacy ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5

Whitty, Christopher ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10

'who benefits' ref1

Wi-Fi ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

Wikipedia ref1, ref2

Wojcicki, Susan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7

Wokeness
Antifa ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
anti-Semitism ref1
billionaire social justice warriors ref1, ref2, ref3

Capitol Hill riot ref1, ref2
censorship ref1
Christianity ref1
climate change hoax ref1, ref2
culture ref1
education, control of ref1
emotion ref1
facts ref1
fascism ref1, ref2, ref3
Global Cult ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
group-think ref1
immigration ref1
indigenous people, solidarity with ref1
inversion ref1, ref2, ref3
left, hijacking the ref1, ref2
Marxism ref1, ref2, ref3
mind control ref1
New Woke ref1
Old Woke ref1
Oneness ref1
perceptual programming ref1
Phantom Self ref1
police ref1
defunding the ref1
reframing ref1
public institutions ref1
Pushbackers ref1, ref2, ref3
racism ref1, ref2, ref3
reframing ref1, ref2
religion, as ref1
Sabbatians ref1, ref2, ref3
Silicon Valley ref1
social justice ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4

transgender ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
United States ref1, ref2
vaccines ref1
Wetiko factor ref1, ref2, ref3
young people ref1, ref2, ref3
women, deletion of rights and status of ref1, ref2
World Economic Forum (WEF) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
World Health Organization (WHO) ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9
AIDs/HIV ref1
amplification cycles ref1
Big Pharma ref1, ref2, ref3
cooperation in health emergencies ref1
creation ref1, ref2
fatality rate ref1
funding ref1, ref2, ref3
Gates ref1
Internet ref1
lockdown ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
Wetiko factor ref1
world number 1 (masses) ref1, ref2
world number 2 ref1
Wuhan ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7 ref8

Y

Yaldabaoth ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6
Yeadon, Michael ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4
young people *see also* **children** addiction to technology ref1
Human 2.0 ref1
vaccines ref1, ref2

Wokeness ref1, ref2, ref3
YouTube ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5
WHO 548

Z

Zaks, Tal ref1
Zionism ref1, ref2, ref3
Zuckerberg, Mark ref1, ref2, ref3, ref4, ref5, ref6, ref7, ref8, ref9, ref10, ref11, ref12
Zulus ref1

ICKONIC
THE ALTERNATIVE

Ickonic is something that has been a dream of mine for the last 5 years, growing up around alternative information I have always had a natural interest in what is going on in the World and what could I do to make it better.
Across the range of subjects and positions of influence occupied mainly by people who don't strive to make things better it's the Media that I have always found the most frustrating and fascinating. Mainly because if the Media did their Jobs properly then so much of the negative things happening in the World simply would not be able to happen, because they would be exposed within a heartbeat.
Free Press and the Opportunities that the internet could have given would mean that the Media are able to expose things like never before and hold people to account for their actions. As we all know there are 'Untouchables' that walk among us, people the Media simply won't touch, expose or investigate and that leads to the dark underworlds that infest the establishment the World over.
Well I say enough, it's time for something different, a different kind of Media, where no one is off limits from exposing and investigating. All we're interested in at Ickonic is the truth of what is really going on in the World on whichever subject we're covering.
We hope you enjoy what we have created and take something away from the platform, we aim to deliver information that's informative and most importantly self-empowering, you're not a little person, you're part of something much bigger than that and its time we as a collective race began to understand that and look to the future as ours to take.

It's time...

Jaymie Icke - Founder Ickonic Alternative Media.

SIGN UP NOW AT ICKONIC.COM

DAVID ICKE

THE ANSWER

We live in extraordinary times with billions bewildered and seeking answers for what is happening. David Icke, the man who has been proved right again and again, has spent 30 years uncovering the truth behind world affairs and in a stream of previous books he predicted current events.

The Answer will change your every perception of life and the world and set you free of the illusions that control human society. There is nothing more vital for our collective freedom than humanity becoming aware of what is in this book.

Available now at davidicke.com.

THE TRIGGER

THE LIE THAT CHANGED THE WORLD – WHO REALLY DID IT AND WHY

DAVID ICKE

EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO KNOW

BUT HAVE NEVER BEEN TOLD

DAVID ICKE

DAVIDICKE.COM

DAVID ICKE STORE

LATEST NEWS ARTICLES

DAVID ICKE VIDEOS

WEEKLY DOT-CONNECTOR PODCASTS

LIVE EVENTS

WWW.DAVIDICKE.COM

THE LIFE STORY OF DAVID ICKE

RENEGADE

THE FEATURE LENGTH FILM

/ˈren·ɪˌgeɪd/

noun

A person who behaves in a rebelliously unconventional manner.

AVAILABLE NOW AT DAVIDICKE.COM

2 NEW BOOKS
BY NEIL HAGUE
ORION'S DOOR
SYMBOLS OF CONSCIOUSNESS & BLUEPRINTS OF CONTROL
- THE STORY OF ORION'S INFLUENCE OVER HUMANITY
CUTTING EDGE VISIONARY ART
& UNIQUE ILLUSTRATED BOOKS
THE DR. COVID UNIVERSE
ADVENTURES IN CLOWNLAND
NEIL HAGUE
FOR
BOOKS, PRINTS & T-SHIRTS
VISIT:
NEILHAGUEBOOKS.COM
OR NEILHAGUE.COM

Before you go ...

For more detail, background and evidence about the subjects in *Perceptions of a Renegade Mind* – and so much more – see my others books including *And The Truth Shall Set You Free; The Biggest Secret; Children of the Matrix; The David Icke Guide to the Global Conspiracy; Tales from the Time Loop; The Perception Deception; Remember Who You Are; Human Race Get Off Your Knees; Phantom Self; Everything You Need To Know But Have Never Been Told, The Trigger and The Answer*.

You can subscribe to the fantastic new Ickonic media platform where there are many hundreds of hours of cutting-edge information in videos, documentaries and series across a whole range of subjects which are added to every week. This includes my 90 minute breakdown of the week's news every Friday to explain *why* events are happening and to what end.