

# Foundation of Statistical Modeling Assignment 3

March 18, 2024

10

1

**Prof.Dr.Stefan Kettemann**

**exercise sheet 3**

**Protogene Hahirwabayo**

## 1. Basic Operations on sets

**Given:**  $S1=\{2,3,4\}$   $S2=\{3,4,5\}$   $S=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$

U: Union

I: Intersection

a) Union ( $S1 \cup S2$ ):  $S1 \cup S2=\{2,3,4\} \cup \{3,4,5\}=\{2,3,4,5\}$

Intersection ( $S1 \cap S2$ ):  $S1 \cap S2=\{2,3,4\} \cap \{3,4,5\}=\{3,4\}$

## b) Union and Intersection of Complements of S1 and S2:

**Given:**  $S1^c = S \setminus S1 = \{1,5,6\}$

$S2^c = S \setminus S2 = \{1,2,6\}$

**Union of Complements ( $S1^c \cup S2^c$ ):**  $S1^c \cup S2^c = \{1,5,6\} \cup \{1,2,6\} = \{1,2,5,6\}$

**Intersection of Complements ( $S1^c \cap S2^c$ ):**  $S1^c \cap S2^c = \{1,5,6\} \cap \{1,2,6\} = \{1,6\}$

## Verification of de Morgan's Laws:

1.  $(S1 \cup S2)^c = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\} \setminus \{2,3,4,5\} = \{1,6\}$

$S1^c \cap S2^c = \{1,6\}$

2.  $(S1 \cap S2)^c = \{2,3,4\} \setminus \{3,4\} = \{2\}$

$S1^c \cup S2^c = \{1,2,6\}$

The results confirm de Morgan's laws.

**c) Union and Intersection of Cartesian Products Sa and Sb:**

**Given:**  $S_a = S_1 \times S_2$   $S_b = S_2 \times S_1$

Sa:  $S_a = \{(2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (3,3), (3,4), (3,5), (4,3), (4,4), (4,5)\}$

Sb:  $S_b = \{(3,2), (3,3), (3,4), (4,2), (4,3), (4,4), (5,2), (5,3), (5,4)\}$

**Union of Cartesian Products (Sa u Sb):**  $S_a \cup S_b = \{(2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (3,2), (3,3), (3,4), (4,2), (4,3), (4,4), (4,5), (5,2), (5,3), (5,4)\}$

**Intersection of Cartesian Products (Sa I Sb):**  $S_a \cap S_b = \{(3,3), (3,4), (4,3), (4,4)\}$

**2. Sigma Fields**

**a) Power Set of S:** Given set  $S = \{3,4,5,6\}$ , the power set, denoted as  $\text{Pot}(S)$  or  $P(S)$ , is the set of all subsets of  $S$ , including the empty set and  $S$  itself.

$\text{Pot}(S) = \{\emptyset, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{6\}, \{3,4\}, \{3,5\}, \{3,6\}, \{4,5\}, \{4,6\}, \{5,6\}, \{3,4,5\}, \{3,4,6\}, \{3,5,6\}, \{4,5,6\}, S\}$

**b) Showing F1 and F2 as Sigma Fields on S:** Sigma Field F1:

$F_1 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4\}, \{5,6\}\}$

Sigma Field F2:

$F_2 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4\}, \{5,6\}\}$

Both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  satisfy the three properties of a sigma field:

1. Contains the sample space  $S$ .
2. Closed under complementation.
3. Closed under countable unions.

Union of Sigma Fields ( $F_1 \cup F_2$ ):  $F_1 \cup F_2 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4\}, \{5,6\}\}$

Intersection of Sigma Fields ( $F_1 \cap F_2$ ):  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4\}, \{5,6\}\}$

**c) Finding Other Sigma Fields F3 and F4:** Sigma Field F3:

$F_3 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4\}\}$

Sigma Field F4:

$F_4 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{5,6\}\}$

Both  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  satisfy the properties of a sigma field.

Union of  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  ( $G = F_3 \cup F_4$ ):  $G = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}, \{3,4\}, \{5,6\}\}$

Although the union  $G$  contains all elements of a sigma field, it is not closed under complementation. For example,  $\{3, 4\} \in G$ , but its complement  $\{5, 6\} \notin G$ .

Intersection of  $F_3$  and  $F_4$ :  $F_3 \cap F_4 = \{\emptyset, \{3,4,5,6\}\}$

The intersection of  $F_3$  and  $F_4$  contains the empty set and the sample space  $S$ , which satisfies the properties of a sigma field. Therefore,  $F_3 \cap F_4$  is indeed a sigma field.

### 3. Generation of Sigma Fields and Borel Sigma Fields

**a) Generating Sigma Field from  $G_1$ :** Given  $G_1 = \{o, S, (a, b) \text{ with } a < b \in S\}$ , we need to generate the sigma field generated by  $G_1$ , denoted as  $\sigma(G_1)$ .

Contains Empty Set and Sample Space:  $o, S \in \sigma(G_1)$

Closed under Complementation: if  $(a, b) \in G_1$ , then  $[0, a] \cup [b, 1] = S \setminus (a, b) \in \sigma(G_1)$

Closed under Countable Unions: Any countable union of sets in  $G_1$  should be in  $\sigma(G_1)$ .

**b) Generating Sigma Field from  $G_2$ :** Given

$G_2 = \{o, S, (a, b), (c, d) \text{ with } a < b < c < d \in S\}$ , we aim to generate the sigma field  $\sigma(G_2)$ .

Contains Empty Set and Sample Space:  $o, S \in \sigma(G_2)$

Closed under Complementation: If  $(a, b) \in G_2$ , then  $[0, a] \cup [b, 1] = S \setminus (a, b) \in \sigma(G_2)$ . Similarly, if  $(c, d) \in G_2$ , then  $[0, c] \cup [d, 1] = S \setminus (c, d) \in \sigma(G_2)$ .

Closed under Countable Unions: Any countable union of sets in  $G_2$  should be in  $\sigma(G_2)$ .

**c) Comparing  $\sigma(G_1)$  and  $\sigma(G_2)$  with Borel Sigma Field:** The Borel sigma field on  $S = [0, 1]$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{B}[0, 1]$ , contains all open intervals in  $S$  and all countable unions of these intervals.

Both  $\sigma(G_1)$  and  $\sigma(G_2)$  are sigma fields that contain open intervals, but they may also contain additional sets not in the Borel sigma field. Their relationship with the Borel sigma field depends on the specific sets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

Without detailed information about the specific intervals in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , it's not possible to definitively compare  $\sigma(G_1)$  and  $\sigma(G_2)$  with the Borel sigma field. However, in general,  $\sigma(G_1)$  and  $\sigma(G_2)$  may contain subsets not present in the Borel sigma field, making them potentially larger than the Borel sigma field.

**4. Sigma Fields and Measurable Spaces** construct a non-trivial sigma field  $F$  on  $S = \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and a sigma field  $A$  on  $\Omega = \{a, b, c, d\}$ , along with an RV-function  $X: \Omega \rightarrow S$  such that  $X$  is  $A$ - $F$ -measurable, we need to define  $F$ ,  $A$ , and  $X$  accordingly.

**Sigma Field  $F$  on  $S$ :** We can construct a non-trivial sigma field  $F$  on  $S$  by considering subsets of  $S$  and their complements.

For instance, one possible choice for  $F$  could be:  $F = \{o, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, S\}$

**Sigma Field  $A$  on  $\Omega$ :** Since we want to construct a non-trivial sigma field  $A$  on  $\Omega$ , we can consider subsets of  $\Omega$  and their complements.

For instance, one possible choice for  $A$  could be:  $A = \{o, \{a\}, \{b, c, d\}, \Omega\}$

**RV-function X from  $\Omega$  to S:** To define X, we need to specify the mapping from elements of  $\Omega$  to elements of S. We want X to be A–F-measurable, meaning that pre-images of sets in F are in A.

One possible definition for X could be:

$$X(a)=3, X(b)=4, X(c)=5, X(d)=6$$

With this definition, the pre-image of any set in F (which consists of subsets of S) would be in A, satisfying the condition for X to be A–F-measurable.

**Verification** Let's verify that X is A–F-measurable:

For any set B  $\in$  F:

if  $B=\emptyset$ , then  $X^{-1}(B)=\emptyset \in A$

if  $B=\{3,4\}$ , then  $X^{-1}(B)=\{a,b\} \in A$ .

if  $B=\{5,6\}$ , then  $X^{-1}(B)=\{c,d\} \in A$ .

if  $B=S$ , then  $X^{-1}(B)=\Omega \in A$ .

Since the pre-images of all sets in F belong to A, X is indeed A–F-measurable.

This construction satisfies the requirements of the problem by providing a non-trivial sigma field F on S, a sigma field A on  $\Omega$ , and an RV-function X that is A–F-measurable.

**5.Sigma Fields and Measurable Functions** if  $f_2 \circ f_1 : S_1 \rightarrow S_3$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$  -  $\mathcal{F}_3$  measurable, where  $f_2 \circ f_1(x) := f_2(f_1(x))$ , we need to show that pre-image of every set in  $\mathcal{F}_3$  under  $f_2 \circ f_1$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

let  $B \in \mathcal{F}_3$  be an arbitrary set in  $S_3$ . we want to show that  $(f_2 \circ f_1)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ . since  $f_2$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$  -  $\mathcal{F}_3$  measurable, the pre-image of every set in  $\mathcal{F}_3$  under  $f_2$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . similarly since  $f_1$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$  -  $\mathcal{F}_2$  measurable, the pre-image of every set in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  under  $f_1$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_1$

consider the pre-image of B under  $f_2$ :  $f_2^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_2$

since  $f_1$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$  -  $\mathcal{F}_2$  measurable, the pre-image of  $f_2^{-1}(B)$  under  $f_1$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ :  $f_1^{-1}(f_2^{-1}(B)) \in \mathcal{F}_1$

Now, consider the composition  $f_2 \circ f_1$ . the pre-image of B under  $f_2 \circ f_1$  is given by:  $(f_2 \circ f_1)^{-1}(B) = f_1^{-1}(f_2^{-1}(B))$

since  $f_1^{-1}(f_2^{-1}(B)) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , we conclude that  $(f_2 \circ f_1)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_1$  therefore,  $f_2 \circ f_1$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$  -  $\mathcal{F}_3$  measurable