| | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 300717 | 20/02/2025 | 4 |
| Algorithmics | Surname: Almoina Iglesias | Escuela de | |



Ingeniería

Name: Martín

Activity 1. BUBBLE ALGORITHM

| n | t ordered | t reverse | t random |
|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 10000 | 304 | 1487 | 1066 |
| 20000 | 1215 | 5948 | 4259 |
| 40000 | 4917 | 24098 | 17175 |
| 80000 | 19389 | 95969 | 68664 |
| 160000 | 78174 | Oot | Oot |

The values all grow at the expected rate for the bubble algorithm, O (n^2) in all cases, however there are some noticeable differences between each case, the algorithm works the fastest for an already ordered vector, as it won't perform any swaps, and it is slowest when the vector is reversed as this is when the most swaps will be performed.

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 300717 | 20/02/2025 | 4 |
| | Surname: Almoina Iglesias | | |
| | Name: Martín | | |

Activity 2. SELECTION ALGORITHM

| n | t ordered | t reverse | t random |
|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 10000 | 300 | 282 | 309 |
| 20000 | 1196 | 1115 | 1213 |
| 40000 | 4867 | 4460 | 4864 |
| 80000 | 19210 | 17892 | 19633 |
| 160000 | 77218 | 72860 | 77646 |

The times are almost identical in all three cases as expected, the selection algorithm always performs the same number of comparisons and exchanges so the state of the vector before it is sorted does not affect the complexity or the execution time.

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 300717 | 20/02/2025 | 4 |
| | Surname: Almoina Iglesias | | |
| | Name: Martín | | |

| n | t ordered | t reverse | t random |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 10000 | LoR | 296 | 149 |
| 20000 | LoR | 1165 | 583 |
| 40000 | LoR | 4633 | 2346 |
| 80000 | LoR | 18528 | 9416 |
| 160000 | LoR | 76159 | 37857 |
| 320000 | LoR | Oot | 150988 |
| 640000 | LoR | Oot | Oot |
| 1280000 | LoR | Oot | Oot |
| 2560000 | 46 | Oot | Oot |
| 5120000 | 89 | Oot | Oot |
| 10240000 | 185 | Oot | Oot |
| 20480000 | 367 | Oot | Oot |
| 40960000 | 722 | Oot | Oot |
| 81920000 | 1451 | Oot | Oot |
| 163840000 | 2929 | Oot | Oot |
| 327680000 | 5846 | Oot | Oot |
| 655360000 | 11889 | Oot | Oot |

As the insertion algorithm has linear complexity for the best case scenario (the vector already being ordered) we can see that in that case its a lot faster and grows linearly, every time n doubles the time doubles, on the other hand when the vector isn't ordered the times grow at the same rate as the previous algorithms as it has the same complexity O(n^2), the execution times are better in the random case as this is a better case than it being inverted.

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 300717 | 20/02/2025 | 4 |
| | Surname: Almoina Iglesias | | |
| | Name: Martín | | |

Activity 4. QUICKSORT ALGORITHM

| n | t ordered | t reverse | t random |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 250000 | 31 | 36 | 95 |
| 500000 | 60 | 70 | 189 |
| 1000000 | 122 | 140 | 407 |
| 2000000 | 256 | 291 | 856 |
| 4000000 | 527 | 599 | 1866 |
| 8000000 | 1111 | 1226 | 4252 |
| 16000000 | 2320 | 2516 | 10516 |
| 32000000 | 4703 | 5281 | 28505 |

As expected the quicksort algorithm is the most efficient of the four, however due to how it works it is the slowest when the vector is randomized instead of when it is reversed.

Activity 5. QUICKSORT + INSERTION ALGORITHM

| Algorithmics | Student information | Date | Number of session |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | UO: 300717 | 20/02/2025 | 4 |
| | Surname: Almoina Iglesias | | |
| | Name: Martín | | |

| n | t random |
|--------------|----------|
| Quicksort | 10516 |
| Q+I (k=5) | 1816 |
| Q+I (k=10) | 1758 |
| Q+I (k=20) | 1738 |
| Q+I (k=30) | 1723 |
| Q+I (k=50) | 1603 |
| Q+I (k=100) | 1580 |
| Q+I (k=200) | 1342 |
| Q+I (k=500) | 1449 |
| Q+I (k=1000) | 1813 |

Using the insertion algorithm when the vector goes under a specific length (k) makes the quicksort algorithm even quicker, it appears that the best size threshold is around 200 as the times are bigger both when it is higher and lower than 200.