

# SCS Toolbox — Module Differences and Parity Notes (QGIS vs ArcGIS)

## 1. Introduction

This document summarises the conceptual and functional differences between the QGIS-based SCS Toolbox (Modules 1–4a) and the original ArcGIS/ArcPy implementation.

---

## 2. Terminology and Conventions

- **Centreline:** British spelling standardised to *centreline*.
- **union\_noholes:** Union of channel polygons with internal holes removed.
- **EA:** Erosion–Accumulation processes (Module 3).
- **Assemblage (Module 4a):** EA-based multi-period floodplain composite using chronological overwrite.
- **DED / HACH:** Height Above Channel (derived elevation).
- **CHM:** Canopy Height Model.

Terminology is harmonised across modules and consistent with the updated QGIS workflow.

---

## 3. Module-by-Module Overview

### 3.1 Module 1 — Centreline Extraction

#### Summary

The modules are conceptually equivalent—both generate centrelines from channel polygons in per-year and union/segmentation modes—but differ in algorithms, geometry cleaning, and output handling.

#### QGIS vs ArcGIS

- QGIS reimplements ArcGIS M1 using GRASS skeletonisation or a QGIS Voronoi-clip fallback.
  - Skeletonisation differs subtly from ArcGIS’s Thiessen-based medial axis.
  - QGIS requires file-backed intermediates (SHP) due to Windows memory constraints.
  - QGIS integrates automated geometry repair (`fixgeometries`, `buffer(0)`).
  - Output is standardised as GeoPackage (`centro_YYYY0101.gpkg`, `SegCenterline.gpkg`).
-

## 3.2 Module 2 — Segmentation

### Summary

The modules are conceptually equivalent—both divide a centreline into equal-length intervals and generate Thiessen/Voronoi segments clipped to the channel union—but differ in how unions are sourced and how joins are handled.

### QGIS vs ArcGIS

- QGIS v10 assumes Module 1 has already produced a clean **union\_noholes**, and does not rebuild it.
  - QGIS discovers SegCenterline automatically rather than receiving it as an explicit input.
  - Voronoi polygons use guard points and union healing (zero-distance buffer) for robustness.
  - Attribute joins (ID\_SEQ, Distance) use fallback predicates to avoid empty joins.
- 

## 3.3 Module 3 — EA Calculation

### Summary

The modules are conceptually equivalent—both compute erosion, deposition, islands, and migration direction/rate between successive channel epochs—but QGIS adds greater geometry robustness and finer class distinctions.

### QGIS vs ArcGIS

- Uses explicit polygon unions and coalescing logic to classify change.
  - “Stable” classes refined into: stable\_channel, stable\_floodplain, inactive\_floodplain.
  - Islands handled consistently with ArcGIS but with more robust geometry checks.
  - All intermediates are file-backed GPKGs to avoid FID corruption and memory crashes.
  - Outputs consolidated into a single GeoPackage per period.
- 

## 3.4 Module 4a — Floodplain Assemblage, HACH and CHM

### Summary

ArcGIS Module 4 builds a channel-based Floodplain Age Map (FAM), whereas QGIS Module 4a replaces this with an EA-based floodplain assemblage using chronological overwrite and adds equivalent HACH/CHM surfaces and segment statistics.

---

### 3.4.1 Conceptual Shift: FAM → Assemblage

- **ArcGIS Modul4** constructs a single FAM layer by unioning channel polygons and assigning the youngest occupation date.
- **QGIS Module 4a** constructs a composite floodplain **assemblage** from multiple EA layers:

- Accepts up to 15 EA layers (Module 3 outputs).
- Auto-sorted by end-date parsed from the Period field.
- Uses a strict **newest-wins difference-plus-merge chain**.
- Optionally preserves stable\_floodplain units via a controlled carry-forward rule.
- Final product includes:
  - EA\_Class\_final
  - Period\_final
  - Year

Thus, QGIS 4a encodes both *process* and *temporal* information in a single composite polygon layer.

---

#### **3.4.2 HACH (DED) Computation**

- ArcGIS uses *TopoToRaster* to interpolate a thalweg trend.
  - QGIS uses **GDAL IDW (gdal\_grid)** with explicit control of extent and resolution.
  - DEM is clipped to a buffered AOI derived from the assemblage.
  - Result is DED\_m5.tif, conceptually equivalent to HACH.
- 

#### **3.4.3 CHM Computation**

- ArcGIS: DSM – DEM, masking values  $\leq 0$ .
  - QGIS: DSM – DEM\_clipped, setting  $\leq 0$  to NoData (-9999).
  - Output: veget\_CHM\_m5.tif.
- 

#### **3.4.4 Segment-Based Statistics**

- ArcGIS joins FAM  $\times$  segments  $\times$  DED/CHM.
- QGIS intersects **assemblage  $\times$  segments**, then applies qgis:zonalstatistics for DED and CHM.
- Outputs:
  - M5statistics\_all.gpkg
  - M5statistics\_hach.gpkg
  - M5statistics\_FAMlike.gpkg

Statistics remain directly comparable (MIN, MAX, RANGE, MEAN, STD, SUM) even though the underlying polygon surface is assemblage-based rather than FAM-based.

---

#### 4. Relationship Between ArcGIS FAM and QGIS Module 4a Assemblage

- ArcGIS **FAM** encodes *absolute floodplain age* derived solely from channel occupation.
  - QGIS **Assemblage** encodes *EA class + temporal order* (not absolute age).
  - Assemblage can be *interpreted* temporally via *Period\_final*, but it is not strictly a FAM.
  - Assemblage offers richer information: stability, reworking class, and temporal sequence.
  - FAM and Assemblage are therefore **related but not identical analytical products**.
- 

#### 5. Using Assemblage with EA and Segments

- Assemblage × EA intersections allow identification of long-term stability vs recent reworking.
  - Assemblage × segments supports longitudinal analysis of floodplain processes.
  - Assemblage can validate EA sequencing (e.g., whether deposition overlaps older surfaces).
  - Combining CHM, DED, EA and Assemblage enables multivariate geomorphic interpretation.
- 

#### 6. Summary of Parity and Key Differences

##### Equivalent (Conceptually)

- Channel-based union logic (Module 1) feeding into segmentation and EA.
- Midpoint-based segmentation (Module 2).
- Erosion/deposition classification rules (Module 3).
- Vertical products (HACH/DED, CHM) remain conceptually aligned with ArcGIS.

##### Different (Implementation-Specific)

- **Assemblage replaces FAM** as the main temporal floodplain surface.
  - IDW interpolation replaces TopoToRaster.
  - QGIS uses **file-backed GPKG outputs**, ArcGIS uses SHP.
  - QGIS adds strict chronological overwrite logic and stable-floodplain carry.
  - QGIS refactors PK fields and uses fallback spatial join strategies.
- 

#### 7. Limitations and Considerations

- GDAL IDW produces smoother DED surfaces than ArcGIS TopoToRaster.
  - QGIS in-memory layers are unstable under Windows; file-backed intermediates are mandatory.
  - Attribute field names and behaviour differ between SHP and GPKG; normalisation is required.
  - Assemblage is *not* a strict replacement for FAM; it represents a richer but different construct.
- 

## **8. Recommended Workflow Using Modules 1–4a**

1. **Module 1** – extract centrelines and union\_noholes.
2. **Module 2** – generate channel segments.
3. **Module 3** – compute EA processes per epoch.
4. **Module 4a** – build EA-based floodplain assemblage and HACH/CHM.
5. Combine Assemblage + EA + Segments for geomorphically integrated interpretation.