Exploring Tools for Interpretable Machine Learning

Dr. Juan Orduz

PyData Global 2021





Outline

```
Introduction
```

Data Set ([7])

Models Fit ([9])

Model Explainability ([7], [5])

Model Specific

Beta Coefficients and Weight Effects

Tree ensembles

Model Agnostic

PDP and ICE Plots

Permutation Importance

SHAP

References





Introduction

Aim and Scope of the Talk

We want to test explore various techniques to get a better understanding on how machine learning (ML) models generate predictions and how features interact with each other.

Important!

- Domain knowledge on the problem.
- Understanding on the input data.
- Understanding the logic behind the ML algorithms.



Introduction

Aim and Scope of the Talk

We want to test explore various techniques to get a better understanding on how machine learning (ML) models generate predictions and how features interact with each other.

Important!


- Domain knowledge on the problem.
- Understanding on the input data.
- Understanding the logic behind the ML algorithms.

How? We are going to work out a concrete example.

References

This talk is based on my blog post ([9]), which itself is based on these two amazing references:

- ► Interpretable Machine Learning, A Guide for Making Black Box Models Explainable by Christoph Molnar ([7])
- Interpretable Machine Learning with Python by Serg Masís ([5])








Target Variable - cnt: Daily Bike Rents

	season	yr	mnth	holida	weekday	workingday	weathersit	temp	hum	windspeed	cnt	days_since_2011
0	SPRING	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDA	' SAT	NO WORKING DAY	MISTY	8.175849	80.5833	10.749882	985	0
1	SPRING	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDA	' SUN	NO WORKING DAY	MISTY	9.083466	69.6087	16.652113	801	
	SPRING	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDA	' MON	WORKING DAY	GOOD	1.229108	43.7273	16.636703	1349	
3	SPRING	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDA	' TUE	WORKING DAY	GOOD	1.400000	59.0435	10.739832	1562	
4	SPRING	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDA	/ WED	WORKING DAY	GOOD	2.666979	43.6957	12.522300	1600	


cnt: Target Variable








Continuous Regressors








Categorical Regressors







Train-Test Split







Models

Two model flavours

GridSearchCV linear_feature_engineering: Pipeline						
linear_preprocesso						
cat	num	remainder				
OneHotEncoder	StandardScaler	passthrough				
OneHotEncoder(drop='first')	StandardScaler() passthrough				
PolynomialFeatures						
PolynomialFeatures(include_bia	as=False, interac	tion_only=True				
Variance:	eThreshold Threshold() asso sso()					

Figure 1: Linear model Lasso + second order polynomial interactions ([10]).







Figure 2: Tree based model XGBoost regression model ([1]).


Out of sample performance - Errors Distribution







Out of sample performance - Predictions







β coefficients

See [7, Section 5.1]

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + \varepsilon$$
, where $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

	linear_features	coef_	abs_coef_
	mnth_JUL temp	-2305.096894	2305.096894
	mnth_JUL	2227.672335	2227.672335
	weathersit_RAIN/SNOW/STORM	-1710.469071	1710.469071
	mnth_JUN temp	-1299.644413	1299.644413
	season_SPRING	-1279.629779	1279.629779
	mnth_JUN	845.229031	845.229031
	temp	646.609622	646.609622
	mnth_AUG temp	-523.011653	523.011653
	season_SUMMER temp	489.319256	489.319256
	weathersit_RAIN/SNOW/STORM temp	-482.660271	482.660271
10	season_SPRING temp	465.512410	465.512410
11	days_since_2011 yr	465.079169	465.079169
12	weekday_SUN weathersit_RAIN/SNOW/STORM	-462.286059	462.286059
13	season_SUMMER days_since_2011	454.137278	454.137278
14	mnth_MAY temp	-445.268148	445.268148
15	$season_SUMMER$ weathersit_MISTY	-408.809531	408.809531
16	mnth_MAY weathersit_MISTY	404.790954	404.790954
		403.199142	403.199142
18	${\tt season_SUMMER\ weathersit_RAIN/SNOW/STORM}$	-394.157306	394.157306
19	mnth_DEZ temp	363.222114	363.222114



Weight Effects $\beta_i x_i$





Figure 3: For each data instance i and each feature x_k we compute the product $\beta_k x_k^{(i)}$ to get the weight effect.



Weight Effects Importance $w_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\beta_i x_i|$







Weight Effects: Temperature (z-transform)




Figure 4: This plot just shows the effect of the linear term *temp* and not the interactions.





Weight Effects: Interactions




Figure 5: We can visualize the interaction between temp and hum by computing the total weight effect $\beta_{temp}x_{temp} + \beta_{hum}x_{hum} + \beta_{temp \times hum}x_{temp}x_{hum}$.



Explaining Individual Predictions

Let us see weight effects of the linear model for data observation 284





Figure 6: Left: All weight effects. Right: Weight effects of the linear terms.





Feature Importance Metrics: XGBoost ([1])

- Gain: improvement in accuracy brought by a feature to the branches it is on.
- Cover: measures the relative quantity of observations concerned by a feature.
- Frequency / Weight: just counts the number of times a feature is used in all generated trees.







Partial Dependence Plot (PDP) & Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) ([7, Section 8.1 & 9.1])

- ➤ The partial dependence plot shows the marginal effect one or two features have on the predicted outcome of a machine learning model.
- For example, given a trained model \hat{f} , we compute for temp = 8

$$\hat{f}_{temp}(temp = 8) = \frac{1}{146} \left(\hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 80, \cdots) + \hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 70, \cdots) + \cdots \right)$$





Partial Dependence Plot (PDP) & Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) ([7, Section 8.1 & 9.1])

- ➤ The partial dependence plot shows the marginal effect one or two features have on the predicted outcome of a machine learning model.
- For example, given a trained model \hat{f} , we compute for temp = 8

$$\hat{f}_{temp}(temp = 8) = \frac{1}{146} \left(\hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 80, \cdots) + \hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 70, \cdots) + \cdots \right)$$

- Individual conditional expectation (ICE) plot shows one line per instance.
- ▶ A PDP is the average of the lines of an ICE plot





PDP & ICE Examples (1D)





Figure 7: PDP & ICE plots for some numerical variables for the linear and XGBoost models.



PDP & ICE Examples (2D)



Permutation Importance

See [7, Section 5.1]

Measures the increase in the prediction error of the model after we permuted the feature's values, which breaks the relationship between the feature and the true outcome ([7, Section 8.5]).




Figure 8: The permutation importance for these two models have *days_since_2011* and *temp* on their top 3 ranking, which partially explain the trend and seasonality components respectively (see [7, Figure 8.27]).

Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- ▶ Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where M is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.



Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- ▶ Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where M is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.
- ► Get prediction for each z'. For features not in the coalition we replace their values with random samples from the dataset.
 - ► E.g. for a data instance temp = 15 and hum = 60 we compute the prediction $\hat{f}(temp = 15, hum = 60, windspeed = 11)$.



Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- ▶ Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where M is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.
- ► Get prediction for each z'. For features not in the coalition we replace their values with random samples from the dataset.
 - ► E.g. for a data instance temp = 15 and hum = 60 we compute the prediction $\hat{f}(temp = 15, hum = 60, windspeed = 11)$.
- ▶ Compute the weight for each z'_k , with the SHAP kernel,

$$\pi_{x}(z') = \frac{(M-1)}{\binom{M}{|z'|}|z'|(M-|z'|)}$$

• $M = 3, |z'| = 2 \Rightarrow \pi = (3-1)/(3 \times 2 \times (3-2)) = 1/3.$





Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- ▶ Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where M is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.
- ► Get prediction for each z'. For features not in the coalition we replace their values with random samples from the dataset.
 - ► E.g. for a data instance temp = 15 and hum = 60 we compute the prediction $\hat{f}(temp = 15, hum = 60, windspeed = 11)$.
- ▶ Compute the weight for each z'_k , with the SHAP kernel,

$$\pi_{x}(z') = \frac{(M-1)}{\binom{M}{|z'|}|z'|(M-|z'|)}$$

- $M = 3, |z'| = 2 \Rightarrow \pi = (3-1)/(3 \times 2 \times (3-2)) = 1/3.$
- ► Fit weighted linear model and return Shapley values, i.e. the coefficients from the linear model.





SHAP Values





Figure 9: SHAP values per data instance. The *x* position of the dot is determined by the SHAP value of that feature, and dots "pile up" along each feature row to show density. Color is used to display the original value of a feature ([4]).





Mean Abs SHAP Values







SHAP Values: Temperature




Figure 10: This figure shows the SHAP values as a function of temperature. Compare with Figure 7





SHAP Values: Observation 284




Figure 11: This waterfall plot shows how the SHAP values of each feature move the model output from our prior expectation under the background data distribution, to the final model prediction given the evidence of all the features ([4]). Compare with Figure 6.





References I

[1] Tianqi Chen and Carlos Guestrin.

XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system.

In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '16, pages 785–794, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM.

[2] Scott Cunningham.

Causal Inference: The Mixtape.

Yale University Press, 2021.

[3] Scott Lundberg.

Be careful when interpreting predictive models in search of causal insights.

https://towardsdatascience.com/be-careful-when-interpreting-predictive-models-in-search-of-causa May 2021.

[4] Scott M Lundberg and Su-In Lee.

A unified approach to interpreting model predictions.

In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 4765–4774. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.





References II

[5] Serg Masís.

Interpretable Machine Learning with Python.

Packrat, 2021.

https://github.com/PacktPublishing/ Interpretable-Machine-Learning-with-Python.

[6] Richard McElreath.

Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan, 2nd Edition.

CRC Press, 2 edition, 2020.

[7] Christoph Molnar.

Interpretable Machine Learning.

2019.

https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book/.

- [8] Christoph Molnar, Giuseppe Casalicchio, and Bernd Bischl. Interpretable machine learning – a brief history, state-of-the-art and challenges, 2020.
- [9] Juan Orduz.

Exploring tools for interpretable machine learning.

https://juanitorduz.github.io/interpretable_ml/, Jul 2021.





References III

[10] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay.

Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python.

Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12:2825–2830, 2011.





Thank You!

Contact

- ▶ https://juanitorduz.github.io
- ▶ **()** github.com/juanitorduz
- ▶ y juanitorduz
- ▶ juanitorduz@gmail.com





