

The relation between the normal distribution and least squares is rooted in the concept of maximum likelihood estimation (MLE). Let's walk through the details step by step.

## Normal Distribution and Likelihood

First, consider a simple linear regression model where the observed data  $y_n$  is assumed to be normally distributed around a linear combination of the predictors  $x_n^\top \theta$  with some variance  $\sigma^2$ . Mathematically, this can be written as:

$$y_n \sim \mathcal{N}(x_n^\top \theta, \sigma^2)$$

This means the probability density function for each  $y_n$  given  $x_n$  and  $\theta$  is:

$$p(y_n | x_n, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

## Log-Likelihood

To find the parameters  $\theta$  that best fit the data, we maximize the likelihood function. The likelihood of the entire dataset  $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$  given the predictors  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  and the parameters  $\theta$  is the product of the individual probabilities:

$$p(Y | X, \theta) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(y_n | x_n, \theta)$$

To simplify the optimization, we typically maximize the log-likelihood instead of the likelihood itself. The log-likelihood function is:

$$\log p(Y | X, \theta) = \log \left( \prod_{n=1}^N p(y_n | x_n, \theta) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \log p(y_n | x_n, \theta)$$

Substituting the expression for  $p(y_n | x_n, \theta)$ :

$$\log p(y_n | x_n, \theta) = \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{(y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \right)$$

## Simplifying the Log-Likelihood

Breaking down the log expression:

$$\log p(y_n | x_n, \theta) = \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) + \log \left( \exp \left( -\frac{(y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \right)$$

$$\log p(y_n | x_n, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

Note that the first term  $-\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$  is a constant with respect to  $\theta$ . Therefore, it can be absorbed into the constant term when we focus on the log-likelihood function:

$$\log p(y_n | x_n, \theta) = -\frac{(y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \text{const}$$

## Connecting to Least Squares

When we maximize the log-likelihood, it's equivalent to minimizing the negative log-likelihood. Dropping the constant term for optimization purposes:

$$-\log p(y_n | x_n, \theta) = \frac{(y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \text{const}$$

Since  $\sigma^2$  is also a constant (it represents the variance of the normal distribution), minimizing this expression with respect to  $\theta$  is equivalent to minimizing the sum of squared residuals:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (y_n - x_n^\top \theta)^2$$

This is the least squares objective function. Hence, maximizing the likelihood for normally distributed errors (under the assumptions of a linear model and constant variance) leads directly to minimizing the least squares cost function.