

COMP 5711: Advanced Algorithm
2014 Fall Semester
Midterm Exam Solutions

Problem 1 (15pts)

- (a) $k = O(\log n / \log \log n)$.

Proof: $k^k = n^{O(1)} \Leftrightarrow k \log k = O(\log n)$. When $k = O(\log n / \log \log n)$, this certainly holds. Meanwhile, we can't have $k = \omega(\log n / \log \log n)$, since this would lead to $k \log k = \omega(\log n / \log \log n) \cdot \log \log n = \omega(\log n)$.

- (b) If $k = n$, then FPT does not impose any running time constraint on the algorithm, as long as it terminates. So FPT equals to the class of all decidable problems.
- (c) False. Suppose the optimal vertex cover is C^* . A 2-approximation algorithm for vertex cover returns a C such that $|C| \leq 2|C^*|$, while a 2-approximation for independent set should return an I such that $|I| \geq \frac{1}{2}(|V| - |C^*|)$. Approximating $|C^*|$ does not mean you also approximate $|V| - |C^*|$.

Problem 2 (20pts) We number the vertices as $1, 2, \dots, n$. The ILP is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, n \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \text{ or } e_{ij} \in E \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To obtain a linear program, we relax the last constraint to the following

$$0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From the optimal fractional solution of the LP, we round every $x_i \geq \frac{1}{d+1}$ to 1 and 0 otherwise. It is easy to see that the rounded solution forms a dominating set. Consider any vertex v_i . In the LP problem we have $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq 1$, so at least one neighbor of x_i (or itself) will have a fractional solution larger or equal to $\frac{1}{d+1}$. Meanwhile, the rounding increases the objective function by at most a factor of $d+1$, so it is a $(d+1)$ -approximation.

Problem 3 (20pts)

- (a) Yes. Let S be the solution found by our algorithm and S^* the optimal solution, then

$$\sum_{i \in S} v_i \geq \sum_{i \in S} \bar{v}_i \geq \sum_{i \in S^*} \bar{v}_i \geq \sum_{i \in S^*} (v_i - \theta) \geq \sum_{i \in S^*} v_i - n\theta \geq (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i \in S^*} v_i,$$

where $\theta = \epsilon v_{\max} / n$. The running time is still $O(\frac{n^3}{\epsilon})$.

- (b) No. The algorithm actually still provides a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation, but the running time is not polynomial. The key is that rounding to the multiples of θ reduces the values to a small (polynomial in n and $1/\epsilon$) integer domain, but exponential rounding does not. It only reduces the number of *distinct* values.

Problem 4 (15pts) First, since no edge can be added to a locally optimal matching, that means every edge has at least one endpoint matched. If we pick both endpoints of all the matched edges, then all edges must be covered.

Let S be a locally optimal solution, and S^* the optimal. Note that S consists of $|S|/2$ edges of the matching and these edges are disconnected. Each of these edges must be covered by a different vertex, so $|S^*| \geq |S|/2$, i.e., $|S| \leq 2|S^*|$.

Problem 5 (10pts) (a) Nash Equilibrium: $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow t, s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$, price: $5 + 2 + 2 + 5 = 14$; Social Optimum: $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow t, s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$, price: 14;

(b) Nash Equilibrium: $s \rightarrow v \rightarrow u \rightarrow t, s \rightarrow v \rightarrow u \rightarrow t$, price: $2 * 2 * 2 + 2 * 2 * 2 = 16$; Social Optimum: $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow t, s \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$, price: 14.

Problem 6 (20pts) Let O be the set of elements covered by the optimal solution, and C_i be the set of elements covered by the greedy algorithm after the i -th iteration. We will show by induction that $|O| - |C_i| \leq (1 - 1/k)^i |O|$. This will imply that after k iterations, $|O| - |C_k| \leq (1 - 1/k)^k |O| \leq 1/e \cdot |O|$, which means that the greedy algorithm provides a $(1 - 1/e)$ -approximation.

The base case $i = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $|O| - |C_i| \leq (1 - 1/k)^i |O|$ for some i , we will show $|O| - |C_{i+1}| \leq (1 - 1/k)^{i+1} |O|$. Let A_{i+1} be the set of newly covered elements in the i -th iteration of the greedy algorithm, and we have $|C_{i+1}| = |C_i| + |A_{i+1}|$. We know that O is covered by k sets, so $O - C_i$ is covered by at most k sets. Thus, there must exist a set that covers at least a fraction of $1/k$ of $O - C_i$. The greedy algorithm will cover at least this many elements, so $|A_{i+1}| \geq \frac{1}{k} |O - C_i| \geq \frac{1}{k} (|O| - |C_i|)$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |O| - |C_{i+1}| &= |O| - |C_i| - |A_{i+1}| \leq |O| - |C_i| - \frac{1}{k} (|O| - |C_i|) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) (|O| - |C_i|) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^i |O| \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{i+1} |O|. \end{aligned}$$