

**COMP 5711: Advanced Algorithm**  
**2014 Fall Semester**  
**Assignment 3**

**Chapter 13**

Problem 11 (20 pts) Suppose you assign  $k$  jobs to  $k$  machines, each job to any of the  $k$  machines uniformly and independently at random.

- (a) Let  $N(k)$  be the expected number of machines that do not receive any jobs, so that  $N(k)/k$  is the expected fraction of machines with nothing to do. What is  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(k)/k$ ? Give the exact value, without using asymptotic notation.
- (b) Suppose that each machine will only do one job if more than one has been assigned to it, and reject the rest. Let  $R(k)$  be the expected number of rejected jobs. What is  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(k)/k$ ?
- (c) Now assume that each machine is able to do two jobs assigned to it, and reject the rest. Let  $R_2(k)$  be the expected number of rejected jobs. What is  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R_2(k)/k$ ?

Problem 14 (20 pts) Suppose we have a set of  $k$  *basic processes* and want to assign each process to run on one of two machines,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . There are  $n$  *jobs*, and each job requires exactly  $2n$  of these basic processes to be running (each on its assigned machine). We say that an assignment is *nearly balanced* if for each job, no more than  $\frac{4}{3}n$  of the basic processes associated with that job have been assigned to the same machine. Design a randomized polynomial-time algorithm that finds a nearly balanced assignment. You may assume that  $n$  is sufficiently large. (Indeed, if  $n$  is less than any constant, you can solve the problem with brute force.)

Problem 15 (20 pts) (Note that this problem is slightly different from the one in the textbook.) Suppose you have an array  $S$  of  $n$  real numbers, and you'd like to approximate the median by sampling (with replacement). You may assume that all numbers in the array are distinct. We will say that a number  $x$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -*approximate median* of  $S$  if at least  $(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)n$  numbers in  $S$  are less than  $x$ , and at least  $(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)n$  numbers in  $S$  are greater than  $x$ . After obtaining a sample, you will simply return the median of the sampled numbers. How large should the sample be if we want the output to be indeed an  $\varepsilon$ -approximate median with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ? Express your asymptotic bound on the sample size, but do not treat  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  as constants. What if we use a pairwise independent hash function to sample the locations of the array?

## Extra problems

1. (20 pts) Consider the following sorting algorithm that is based on the *randomized incremental construction* framework. We first randomly permute all the  $n$  elements to be sorted, and then insert them into a sorted list (which is initially empty) one by one. Of course, if we build a binary search tree on this list, then we can insert each element in  $O(\log n)$  time, and the total running time is  $O(n \log n)$ . But this is not a randomized algorithm and we do not actually need the random permutation at all. Here we consider a different way to perform each insertion. For each element  $x$  yet to be inserted, we maintain a pointer to the element  $y$  in the list such that  $x$  should be inserted after  $y$ . Then for each element  $y$ , we maintain a list of pointers pointing to all such  $x$ 's. Give the details on how to maintain these pointers after an element has been inserted into the list, and analyze the expected running time of this algorithm.
2. (20 pts) The following problem is known as the *ski rental problem*. Suppose you are going skiing for an unknown number of days (e.g., you don't know when you might lose interest, break your legs, or encounter bad weather). Assume that renting skis costs 1 dollar per day and buying skis costs  $n$  dollars. Of course, you no longer need to rent after you have bought it. Every day you have to decide whether to continue renting skis for one more day or buy one.
  - (a) Design a deterministic strategy that pays at most  $(2 - 1/n) \cdot \text{OPT}$ , where  $\text{OPT}$  is the minimum cost if you had known the number of days you would go skiing in advance.
  - (b) Show that no deterministic strategy can do better than  $(2 - 1/n) \cdot \text{OPT}$  in the worst case, using an adversarial argument.
  - (c) Design a randomized strategy that has a better competitive ratio in expectation.