

Hello, this is the feedback that we found when reviewing your domain model:

- You should not have split the model into two parts, it would have been better to have one model that covered both the boatpart aswell as the calendar part. You are making it harder for yourself than what it has to be. By e.x using the “Users” twice in the models you are creating some redundancy.

Reference: redundancy can be bad, avoid at all costs.

<http://www.refactoringideas.com/redundancy-in-domain-and-database-design/>

- You are also thinking a bit to much software and not reality/Mapmaking, you do not need to have “*User Login details*” aswell as “*system*” that points towards “*Event history*”, Is it also necessary to show that “*member*” will “*view*” the calendar?

Reference: School material / Lecture “Think mapmaker NOT software

<http://coursepress.lnu.se/kurs/objektorienterad-analys-och-design-med-uml/lectures/domain-modeling/> Smartboard notes (Page 10).

- Attributes are missing, no real classnames eigher, ex. “*Past mooring locations*” What would be a better classname?

Reference:

<http://coursepress.lnu.se/kurs/objektorienterad-analys-och-design-med-uml/lectures/domain-modeling/> Look at the smarboard notes and how he names the classes aswell as the attributes.

We think that if you take the feedback into consideration, you will have a model that passes the grade without problem.

We think that the model is very clear on what it describes and it wouldn't be a problem for either Secretary (Domain expert) or for a programmer to understand what to do.

// ma223ku,lw222gz,al223jw