Concis disque

Martin, François

February 19, 2019

Abstract

We do the same as for the segment but this time with the disk.

1 Laplace

We define

$$D = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 1, \quad z = 0 \}$$

the unit disk in the plane z = 0 and

$$\mathbb{S}^2 = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1 \right\}$$

the unit sphere in dimension 3.

Definition 1. For $x \in D$, we put

$$\omega(x) = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$$

where $\rho^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$.

We define the single layer operator S by

$$S\varphi(x) = \int_{D} \frac{1}{4\pi \|x - y\|} \varphi(y) dD(y) \tag{1}$$

and $S := \gamma S$, where $||x|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$ denotes the Euclidean norm of x and dD is the surface measure on D. The hypersingular operator is

$$N\varphi(x) := \text{f.p.} \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n_x n_y} \frac{1}{\|x - y\|} \varphi(y) dD(y)$$
 (2)

We let $S_{\omega} = S \frac{1}{\omega}$ and $N_{\omega} = N\omega$.

Let us review some properties of the spherical harmonics Y_l^m .

Definition 2. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-l \leq m \leq l$, the function $Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$ is defined by

$$Y_l^m(\theta,\varphi) = \gamma_l^m P_{lm}(\cos\theta) e^{im\varphi},$$

where $P_{lm}(x)$ is the so-called "Associated Legendre" polynomial which is the solution of the differential equation

$$(1-x^2)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}P_{lm}(x) - 2x\frac{d}{dx}P_{lm}(x) + \left[l(l+1) - \frac{m^2}{1-x^2}\right]P_{lm}(x) = 0.$$

The spherical harmonics are eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^2 which takes the following form on the sphere:

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} u(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial_{\theta}} u \right) - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \varphi^2}.$$

With this definition, one has

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_l^m = l(l+1)Y_l^m$$

Proposition 1. The single-layer operator and the hypersingular operator $S_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ and $N_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ defined as in eqs. (1) and (2) replacing the domain of integration by \mathbb{S}^2 , satisfy

$$S_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_l^m = \frac{1}{2l+1} Y_l^m$$

$$N_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_l^m = -\frac{l(l+1)}{2l+1} Y_l^m$$

This proposition illustrates the fact that $S_{\mathbb{S}^2}N_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is an order 0 operator on the unit sphere, with a spectrum concentrated towards $\frac{1}{4}$. Moreover, both operators have the property that they map smooth functions to smooth functions bijectively. This fails to be the case for the operators S and N on the disk. However, once they are appropriately weighted, we have explicit eigenfunctions and eigenvalues. Let us introduce the "projected spherical harmonics" PSH:

Definition 3. We define the PSH y_l^m by

$$y_{lm}(\rho,\varphi) = \eta_l^m e^{im\varphi} P_{lm}(\sqrt{1-\rho^2}) \propto Y_{lm}(\pi/2 - \arccos(\rho), \varphi)$$

where ρ, φ is a system of cylindrical coordinates on D. The constant η_l^m is defined by

$$\eta_l^m = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)}{4\pi} \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}}.$$

If l_1 and m_1 and l_2 m_2 have the same parity, there holds

$$\int_D \frac{y_{l_1}^{m_1} y_{l_2}^{m_2}}{\omega} = \delta_{l_1 = l_2} \delta_{m_1 = m_2} \,.$$

Definition 4. To reinforce the connection between this work and our previous work in 2d, we denote

$$T_l^m(x) = y_l^m(x,\varphi)$$

$$U_l^m(x) = \frac{y_{l+1}^m(x,\varphi)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

where l+m is even. Both T_l^m and U_l^m are polynomials of order l in ρ .

Proposition 2. The functions T_l^m form an orthogonal basis of $L^2_{\frac{1}{\omega}}(D)$ and the functions U_l^m an orthogonal basis of $L^2_{\omega}(D)$.

Proposition 3. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with l + m even. Then

$$S_{\omega}T_l^m = \frac{1}{2\lambda_l^m}T_l^m$$

and

$$N_{\omega}U_{l}^{m} = \frac{\lambda_{l+1}^{m}}{2}U_{l}^{m}$$

where

$$\lambda_l^m = 2 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{l+m+2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{l-m+2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{l+m+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{l-m+1}{2}\right)}$$

Definition 5. We define the "angular moments" \mathcal{L}_+ and \mathcal{L}_- on the disk by the following formulas for smooth functions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}u(\rho,\varphi) := e^{\pm i\varphi} \left(\pm \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} + i\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi} \right)$$

One has

$$abla_D = rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_+ - \mathcal{L}_- \ -i(\mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-) \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore, using $\Delta_D = \nabla_D \cdot \nabla_D$,

$$\Delta_D = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_+ \mathcal{L}_- + \mathcal{L}_- \mathcal{L}_+)$$

Ici je pense qu'il y a une erreur dans la thèse de Pedro, les deux termes ne sont pas égaux (p. 62) Later on, we drop the subscript D.

Proposition 4. Let l, m such that l + m is even. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm}T_{l,m} = \sqrt{(l \pm m)(l \pm m + 1)}U_{l-1}^{m\pm 1}$$

while

$$\omega \mathcal{L}_{\pm} \omega U_l^m = \sqrt{(l \pm m)(l \pm m + 1)} T_{l+1}^{m \pm 1}$$

Proof. Those identities are easily deduced from the formulas for $\mathcal{L}_+ y_l^m$ and $\mathcal{L}_- y_l^m$ established in [4] and summarized in [2].

One can deduce the following identities

Corollary 1.

$$\omega \mathcal{L}_{+} \omega \mathcal{L}_{-} T_{l}^{m} = (l(l+1) - m^{2} + m) T_{l,m}$$

$$\omega \mathcal{L}_{-} \omega \mathcal{L}_{+} T_{l}^{m} = (l(l+1) - m^{2} - m) T_{l,m}$$

while

$$\mathcal{L}_{+}\omega\mathcal{L}_{-}\omega U_{l}^{m} = ((l+1)(l+2) - m^{2} + m)U_{l,m}$$

$$\omega\mathcal{L}_{-}\omega\mathcal{L}_{+}U_{l}^{m} = ((l+1)(l+2) - m^{2} - m)U_{l,m}$$

We further introduce two other operators, $(\omega \nabla \omega) \cdot \nabla$ and $\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \omega)$ which satisfy

$$(\omega \nabla \omega) \cdot \nabla = \frac{1}{2} (\omega \mathcal{L}_{+} \omega \mathcal{L}_{-} + \omega \mathcal{L}_{-} \omega \mathcal{L}_{+})$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{+} \omega \mathcal{L}_{-} \omega + \mathcal{L}_{-} \omega \mathcal{L}_{+} \omega \right)$$

Corollary 2. One has, for l + m even,

$$(\omega \nabla \omega) \cdot \nabla T_l^m = (l(l+1) - m^2) T_{l,m} ,$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \omega) U_l^m = ((l+1)(l+2) - m^2) U_{l,m}.$$

Proposition 5. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-l \leq m \leq l$, such that l and m are not both zero. Then

$$1 \le \frac{4(\lambda_l^m)^2}{l(l+1) - m^2} \le 2 \tag{3}$$

Proof. By Gauschi's inequality [1], there holds for all x > 0 and $s \in (0,1)$,

$$x^{1-s} \le \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+s)} \le (x+1)^{1-s}$$

This inequality, applied with $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $x = \frac{l \pm m}{2}$ yields

$$\frac{3}{4} \le \frac{4(\lambda_l^m)^2}{l(l+1) - m^2} \le 4.$$

Note that for $s = \frac{1}{2}$, both sides of Gautstchi's inequality remain valid for x = 0, so the cases $m = \pm l$ are permitted. To find the constants 1 and 2 instead of $\frac{3}{4}$ and 4, one can use the following improvement of Gautschi's inequality [3]:

$$\left(x + \frac{s}{2}\right)^{1-s} < \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+s)} < \left(x - \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{s + \frac{1}{4}}\right)^{1-s}$$
.

Again, this inequality remains valid in the case x=0 for $s=\frac{1}{2}$. Taking again $x=\frac{l\pm m}{2}$, multiplying the squares of the resulting inequalities, and multiplying by $\frac{1}{l(l+1)-m^2}$, one finds

$$\frac{(l+\frac{1}{2})^2 - m^2}{l(l+1) - m^2} < \frac{4(\lambda_l^m)^2}{l(l+1) - m^2} < \frac{(l+\frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2})^2 - m^2}{l(l+1) - m^2}$$
(4)

Some manipulations on those inequalities yields

$$1 + \frac{1}{4(l(l+1) - m^2)} < \frac{4(\lambda_l^m)^2}{l(l+1) - m^2} < 1 + \frac{(2\sqrt{3} - 3)l}{l(l+1) - m^2} + \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{3}}{l(l+1) - m^2}$$

The left side of the latter inequality immediately implies the left inequality of (3). For the right side, we obtain the result after using $l(l+1) - m^2 \ge l$ for the denominator of the second term, and $l(l+1) - m^2 \ge 1$ for the third term. \square

As it can be seen in the proof, the lower bound is sharp, since for m=0 and in the limit $l\to\infty$, the two sides of (4) converge to 1. For the upper bound, it seems, based on numerical evidence, that the highest value of the quantity being estimated is reached for l=1 and $m=\pm 1$. In this case, one has $\frac{4(\lambda_l^m)^2}{l(l+1)-m^2}=\frac{16}{\pi^2}\approx 1.6$.

2 Helmholtz

Much like in the 2-dimensional case, the following commutation holds.

Theorem 1. There holds the commutations

$$\left[(\omega \nabla \omega) \cdot \nabla - k^2 \omega^2 \right] S_{k,\omega} = S_{k,\omega} \left[(\omega \nabla \omega) \cdot \nabla - k^2 \omega^2 \right]$$

and

$$\left[\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \omega) - k^2 \omega^2\right] N_{k,\omega} = N_{k,\omega} \left[\nabla \cdot (\omega \nabla \omega) - k^2 \omega^2\right]$$

Proof.

References

- [1] Walter Gautschi. Some elementary inequalities relating to the gamma and incomplete gamma function. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 38(1-4):77–81, 1959.
- [2] Ralf Hiptmair, Carlos Jerez-Hanckes, and Carolina Urzua-Torres. Closed-form exact inverses of the weakly singular and hypersingular operators on disks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.08556, 2017.

- [3] D Kershaw. Some extensions of w. gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function. *Mathematics of Computation*, pages 607–611, 1983.
- [4] Pedro Ramaciotti Morales. Theoretical and numerical aspects of wave propagation phenomena in complex domains and applications to remote sensing. PhD thesis, Paris Saclay, 2016.