Structural Operational Semantics

Martin Bråthen

November 30, 2019

1 SOS

I have no rules for declaration of new functions and variable, and not for function calls either. For variable declarations, I will use the [assign] rule. And I will pretend the return from function calls are just the expression that they return.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} m_i & \text{Store} \\ e_i & \text{Expression} \\ n_i & \text{Integer} \\ b_i & \text{Boolean} \\ v_i & \text{Boolean or Integer} \\ s_i & \text{Statement} \end{array}$

Table 1: Notations

1.1 Arithmetic

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0 + e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0 + n_1 \rangle}$$
 [add]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0 * e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0 * n_1 \rangle}$$
 [mul]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0 / e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0 / n_1 \rangle}$$
 [div]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0 \% e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0 \% n_1 \rangle}$$
 [mod]

$$\frac{\langle m, e \rangle \longrightarrow n}{\langle m, -e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, -1 * n \rangle}$$
 [neg]

1.2 Boolean

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0 \ AND \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false \rangle}$$
 [and1]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0 \ AND \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false \rangle}$$
 [and2]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0 \ AND \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false \rangle}$$
 [and3]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0 \ AND \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true \rangle}$$
 [and4]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow b}{\langle m, NOT \ e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, NOT \ b \rangle}$$
 [not]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0 \ OR \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false \rangle} \quad [or 1]$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0 \ OR \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true \rangle} \quad [\text{or2}]$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0 \ OR \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true \rangle} \quad [\text{or3}]$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0 \ OR \ e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true \rangle} \quad [\text{or4}]$$

1.3 Comparison

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow v_0, \langle m, e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow v_1}{\langle m, e_0 == e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, v_0 == v_1 \rangle}$$
 [eq]

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0 \rangle \longrightarrow v_0, \langle m, e_1 \longrightarrow v_1}{\langle m, e_0 \mid = e_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, v_0 \mid = v_1 \rangle}$$
 [neq]

1.4 Statements

$$\frac{\langle m, e \rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle m, \operatorname{assign}(x, e) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m[x \mapsto v], \operatorname{skip} \rangle}$$
 [assign]

$$\frac{\langle m, e \rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, \text{if}(e, s_{\text{then}}, s_{\text{else}}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, s_{\text{then}} \rangle}$$
 [if1]

$$\frac{\langle m, e \rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, \text{if}(e, s_{\text{then}}, s_{\text{else}}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, s_{\text{else}} \rangle}$$
 [if2]

$$\frac{}{\langle m, \operatorname{seq}(\operatorname{skip}, s) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, s \rangle}$$
 [seq1]

$$\frac{\langle m_0, s_0 \rangle \longrightarrow m'_0, \langle m_1, s_1 \rangle \longrightarrow m'_1}{\langle m, \operatorname{seq}(s_0, s_1) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m', \operatorname{seq}(s'_0, s_1) \rangle}$$
 [seq2]

$$\frac{\langle m,e\rangle \longrightarrow b}{\langle m, \text{while}(e,s)\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, \text{if}(e, \text{seq}(s, while}(e,s)), \text{skip})\rangle} \text{ [while]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e \rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle m, \operatorname{return}(e) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m', \operatorname{return}(v) \rangle}$$
 [return]

1.5 Variable reference

$$\overline{\langle m, x \rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, v \rangle}$$
 [var]

2 Interpretation of example

2.1 Code

```
fn f2(x: i32, y: i32) -> i32 {
    return x*y
}
fn f1() -> i32 {
    let a : i32 = f2(5,3);
    let b : i32 = 0;
    while b != 10 {
        b = b + 1;
    }
    if true && true {
        a = a + 3;
    } else {
        a = a + 5;
    }
    return a + b;
}
```

2.2 Interpretation

We start interpretation of f1(), which can be thought of as the main function.

• let a : i32 = f2(5,3);

Pretend we have: a = 5*3; and use rule [assign]; Right hand expression has to evaluate into either a boolean or interger, rule [mul] can be applied since both operands are integer values, and the result is the product 5*3 = 15 which is an integer value. The store is then updated so that variable a gets the value 15.

• let b : i32 = 0;

This is very similar to the interpretation of the line above. b gets the value 0.

```
• while b != 10 {
   b = b + 1;
}
```

Rule [while] states that the expression b != 10 has to evaluate to a boolean value. Rule [neq] requires that both operands evaluate to a value, 10 is already an integer value, and b is evaluated into 0 using rule [var]. Rule [neq] then gives 0 != 10 which evaluates into true. We then have to evaluate if(true, seq(s, while(e, s)), skip) with rule [if1] which determines that seq(s, while(e, s)) is to be evaluated, which is done by rule [seq2]. It is then determined that s should be evaluated followed by while(e, s). s is in this case seq(b = b + 1, skip) which evaluates into b = b + 1 which in turn is evaluated following rule [assign], rule [add] and rule [var]. Rule [add] will evaluate 0 + 1 into 1. b is therefore incremented by 1. seq(skip, while(e, s)) will then be evaluated by rule [seq1] into while(e, s), which will restart the whole process. The loop will exit when b is equal to 10.

```
• if true && true {
        a = a + 3;
} else {
        a = a + 5;
}
```

Rule [if1] requires that the expression true && true is evaluated by rule [and4] into true, which it is. This means that a = a + 3; would be evaluated, and a would get the value of 18.

• return a + b;

Rule [return] states that the expression a + b should be evaluated into a value, in this case by rule [add] and rule [var], which results in 28, which is then returned from the function.

3 Requirements

- Function definitions
- Commands (let, assignment, if then (else), while)
- Expressions (includig function calls)
- Primitive types (boolean, i32) and their literals

- $\bullet\,$ Explicit types everywhere
- Explicit return(s)
- Your interpreter should be able to correctly execute programs according to your SOS.
- \bullet Your interpreter should panic (with an appropriate error message) when encountering an evaluation error (e.g., 1 + false)

All of the above requirements have been met. I implemented everything myself.