Structural Operational Semantics

Martin Bråthen

December 4, 2019

1 SOS

I have no rules for declaration of new functions and variable, and not for function calls either. For variable declarations, I will use the [assign] rule. And I will pretend the return from function calls are just the expression that they return.

m_i	Store
e_i	Expression
n_i	Integer
b_i	Boolean
v_i	Boolean or Integer
s_i	Statement

Table 1: Notations

1.1 Arithmetic

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0 + e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0 + n_1\rangle} \quad \text{[add]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0 * e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0 * n_1\rangle} \quad \text{[mul]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0/e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0/n_1\rangle} \quad \text{[div]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow n_0, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow n_1}{\langle m, e_0\%e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, n_0\%n_1\rangle} \quad \text{[mod]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e\rangle \longrightarrow n}{\langle m, -e\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, -1 * n\rangle} \quad \text{[neg]}$$

1.2 Boolean

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0\ AND\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false\rangle} \quad \text{[and1]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0\ AND\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false\rangle} \quad \text{[and2]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0\ AND\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false\rangle} \quad \text{[and3]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0\ AND\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true\rangle} \quad \text{[and4]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow b}{\langle m, NOT\ e\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, NOT\ b\rangle} \quad \text{[not]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0\ OR\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, false\rangle} \quad \text{[or1]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, e_0\ OR\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true\rangle} \quad \text{[or2]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow false, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0\ OR\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true\rangle} \quad \text{[or3]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow true, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, e_0\ OR\ e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, true\rangle} \quad \text{[or4]}$$

1.3 Comparison

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow v_0, \langle m, e_1\rangle \longrightarrow v_1}{\langle m, e_0 == e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, v_0 == v_1\rangle} \quad \text{[eq]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e_0\rangle \longrightarrow v_0, \langle m, e_1 \longrightarrow v_1}{\langle m, e_0\ != e_1\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, v_0\ != v_1\rangle} \quad \text{[neq]}$$

1.4 Statements

$$\frac{\langle m, e\rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle m, \text{assign}(x, e)\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m[x \mapsto v], \text{skip}\rangle} \quad \text{[assign]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e\rangle \longrightarrow true}{\langle m, \text{if}(e, s_{\text{then}}, s_{\text{else}})\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, s_{\text{then}}\rangle} \quad \text{[if1]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e\rangle \longrightarrow false}{\langle m, \text{if}(e, s_{\text{then}}, s_{\text{else}})\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, s_{\text{else}}\rangle} \quad \text{[if2]}$$

$$\frac{}{\langle m, \text{seq}(\text{skip}, s)\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, s\rangle} \quad \text{[seq1]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, s_0\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m', s_0'\rangle}{\langle m, \text{seq}(s_0, s_1)\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m', \text{seq}(s_0', s_1)\rangle} \quad \text{[seq2]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e\rangle \longrightarrow b}{\langle m, \text{while}(e, s)\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, \text{if}(e, \text{seq}(s, \mathit{while}(e, s)), \text{skip})\rangle} \quad \text{[while]}$$

$$\frac{\langle m, e\rangle \longrightarrow v}{\langle m, \text{return}(e)\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m', \mathit{return}(v)\rangle} \quad \text{[return]}$$

1.5 Variable lookup

$$\frac{}{\langle m, x\rangle \longrightarrow \langle m, m[x]\rangle} \quad \text{[var]}$$

2 Interpretation of example

2.1 Code

```
fn f2(x: i32, y: i32) -> i32 {
    return x*y
}
fn f1() -> i32 {
    let a : i32 = f2(5,3);
    let b : i32 = 0;
    while b != 10 {
        b = b + 1;
    }
    if true && true {
        a = a + 3;
    } else {
        a = a + 5;
    }
    return a + b;
}
```

2.2 Interpretation

We start interpretation of f1(), which can be thought of as the main function.

- `let a :  i32 = f2(5,3);`

  Pretend we have: `a = 5*3;` and use rule [assign]; Right hand expression has to evaluate into either a boolean or interger, rule [mul] can be applied since both operands are integer values, and the result is the product $5*3 = 15$ which is an integer value. The store is then updated so that variable `a` gets the value 15.

- `let b :  i32 = 0;`

  This is very similar to the interpretation of the line above. `b` gets the value 0.

- ```
  while b != 10 {
      b = b + 1;
  }
  ```

  Rule [while] states that the expression `b != 10` has to evaluate to a boolean value. Rule [neq] requires that both operands evaluate to a value, 10 is already an integer value, and `b` is evaluated into 0 using rule [var]. Rule [neq] then gives `0 != 10` which evaluates into `true`. We then have to evaluate `if(true, seq(s, while(e, s)), skip)` with rule [if1] which determines that `seq(s, while(e, s))` is to be evaluated, which is done by rule [seq2]. It is then determined that `s` should be evaluated followed by `while(e, s)`. `s` is in this case `seq(b = b + 1, skip)` which evaluates into `b = b + 1` which in turn is evaluated following rule [assign], rule [add] and rule [var]. Rule [add] will evaluate `0 + 1` into 1. `b` is therefore incremented by 1. `seq(skip, while(e, s))` will then be evaluated by rule [seq1] into `while(e, s)`, which will restart the whole process. The loop will exit when `b` is equal to 10.

- ```
  if true && true {
      a = a + 3;
  } else {
      a = a + 5;
  }
  ```

  Rule [if1] requires that the expression `true && true` is evaluated by rule [and4] into true, which it is. This means that `a = a + 3;` would be evaluated, and `a` would get the value of 18.

- `return a + b;`

  Rule [return] states that the expression `a + b` should be evaluated into a value, in this case by rule [add] and rule [var], which results in 28, which is then returned from the function.

3 Requirements

- Function definitions
- Commands (let, assignment, if then (else), while)
- Expressions (includig function calls)
- Primitive types (boolean, i32) and their literals

- Explicit types everywhere
- Explicit return(s)
- Your interpreter should be able to correctly execute programs according to your SOS.
- Your interpreter should panic (with an appropriate error message) when encountering an evaluation error (e.g., 1 + false)

All of the above requirements have been met. I implemented everything myself.