

Assignment 2

1

a) Debug/See P1

b)

```
$ ./P1.exe ../../Texts/Novels/DostoevskyKaramazov.txt 0.5
the, 15173
and, 11436
to, 9528
he, 8149
i, 7995
of, 7281
a, 6804
you, 6305
that, 6177
it, 5852
in, 5514
was, 4776
his, 4345
s, 3480
for, 3471
him, 3377
but, 3267
at, 3004
with, 2986
not, 2907
had, 2854
is, 2433
on, 2334
me, 2292
all, 2196
as, 2132
t, 2108
have, 1983
her, 1885
be, 1874
she, 1784
what, 1743
so, 1705
my, 1691
one, 1603
from, 1486
there, 1357
they, 1310
alyosha, 1243
this, 1241
are, 1222
by, 1205
no, 1205
will, 1168
if, 1162
been, 1105
```

would, 1102
up, 1091
your, 1064
only, 1055
were, 1000
said, 995
them, 987
out, 959
an, 943
now, 927
mitya, 917
man, 908
who, 898
do, 866
50.0261 %

60 words are necessary for understanding 50% of the text, representing 0.5% of the language.

```
$ ./Pl.exe ../../Texts/Novels/DrSeuss.txt 0.5
```

the, 89
and, 66
i, 62
of, 45
a, 41
king, 32
that, 31
he, 23
to, 22
yertle, 19
all, 19
in, 19
turtle, 18
m, 16
turtles, 15
you, 15
one, 15
s, 12
they, 12
my, 12
was, 11
up, 11
them, 11
throne, 10
it, 10
going, 10
his, 10
but, 9
on, 9
see, 9
t, 9
down, 8
here, 8
south, 8
zax, 8
ll, 8
50.5176 %

36 words are necessary for understanding 50% of the text, representing 8.53081% of the language. (Some of these are not real words.)

2

a) Debug/See P2.cpp

b)

```
./P2.exe ...DostoevskyPart1.txt ...DostoevskyPart2.txt N 1
For N-Grams of size: 18 --> 100%
For N-Grams of size: 18 --> 100%
For N-Grams of size: 17 --> 99.9994%
    repulsion that s what i m afraid of that s what may be too much for me
For N-Grams of size: 16 --> 99.9989%
For N-Grams of size: 15 --> 99.9983%
For N-Grams of size: 14 --> 99.9978%
For N-Grams of size: 13 --> 99.9972%
For N-Grams of size: 12 --> 99.9961%
For N-Grams of size: 11 --> 99.995%
For N-Grams of size: 10 --> 99.9922%
For N-Grams of size: 9 --> 99.9889%
For N-Grams of size: 8 --> 99.9805%
For N-Grams of size: 7 --> 99.9504%
For N-Grams of size: 6 --> 99.837%
For N-Grams of size: 5 --> 99.2807%
For N-Grams of size: 4 --> 96.7292%
For N-Grams of size: 3 --> 87.5173%
For N-Grams of size: 2 --> 68.6534%
For N-Grams of size: 1 --> 33.1106%
```

No common N-Grams: $N > 17$

Longest common N-Gram:

- repulsion that s what i m afraid of that s what may be too much for me

c)

```
./P2.exe ...Dickens.txt ...KafkaTrial.txt N 1
For N-Grams of size: 8 --> 100%
For N-Grams of size: 7 --> 99.9977%
    in the middle of the table and
    there is no such thing as a
For N-Grams of size: 6 --> 99.9768%
For N-Grams of size: 5 --> 99.8854%
For N-Grams of size: 4 --> 99.1954%
For N-Grams of size: 3 --> 94.5332%
For N-Grams of size: 2 --> 77.4582%
For N-Grams of size: 1 --> 32.8801%
```

No common N-Grams: $N > 7$

Longest common N-Grams:

- In the middle of the table and
- there is no such thing as a

d)

```
./P2.exe ...MarxEngelsManifest.txt ...SmithWealthNations.txt N 1
For N-Grams of size: 7 --> 100%
For N-Grams of size: 6 --> 99.9984%
    of nature and of reason the
    is the same as that of
    to keep up the rate of
    in order to keep up the
    of a man s own labour
    from them what they have not
For N-Grams of size: 5 --> 99.987%
For N-Grams of size: 4 --> 99.9199%
For N-Grams of size: 3 --> 99.5337%
For N-Grams of size: 2 --> 97.468%
For N-Grams of size: 1 --> 84.1851%
```

No common N-Grams: $N > 6$

Longest common N-Grams:

- of nature and of reason the
- is the same as that of
- to keep up the rate of
- in order to keep up the
- of a man s own labour
- from them what they have not

- e) As expected, comparing both the Dostoevsky texts would yield the longest N-Grams, attributable to the fact that Dostoevsky likely used a very similar writing style across the same novel. As the works and their authors began to differ, there is a noticeable decrease in the length of common N-Grams, as well as with the number of common N-Grams. Barring the works of Marx and Smith, they seemed to converge as the length of N-Grams approached 1, likely because much of written text can be largely represented by a small proportion of the English language.

3

a) Debug/See P3.cpp

b)

N = 1: k that had wall parts t but the the be into <END>

N = 2: not and opened the front of the judge who would i didn t understand but she made doors were <END>

N = 3: but now just tell me now <END>

N = 4: at himself at his own na vety in court matters <END>

N = 5: that for the time being questioning and observing the accused are much more important than anything written <END>

N = 6: for his own business <END>

The length of sentences seem to be consistently increasing except for the cases of N=3 and N=6. For N=1, there is almost no meaning that can be derived from the sentence, whereas for N=4 and 5, there is a lot more structure. N=6, for some reason, although it makes sense, is very short.

c) N = 3: it creates capital i <END>

Interestingly, the Manifest case created a very short sentence of only four words, which is very similar to that of the Kafka case.

d)

4

- a) Release/See P4.cpp
- b) (In order of the assignment)
 - 183.035
 - 200.678
 - 111.105
 - 187.372

5

- c) Release/See P5.cpp
- d) (In order of the assignment)
 - 137.203
 - 147.345
 - 134.165
 - 135.452

6

a) Release/See P6.exe

b)

Command	Error Rate (percent)	Confusion Matrix
P6 1 0.0000 50	7.41036	<pre> 135 3 0 0 0 0 8 347 4 4 2 1 4 0 109 6 6 0 5 3 4 214 11 0 0 0 7 9 244 0 14 2 0 0 0 113 </pre>
P6 2 0.0000 50	40.6375	<pre> 134 3 0 0 0 1 40 325 1 0 0 0 107 2 16 0 0 0 103 0 0 134 0 0 168 3 0 2 87 0 78 2 0 0 0 49 </pre>
P6 3 0.0000 50	84.7012	<pre> 138 0 0 0 0 0 341 25 0 0 0 0 125 0 0 0 0 0 218 0 0 19 0 0 249 1 0 0 10 0 128 1 0 0 0 0 </pre>

c)

Command	Error Rate (percent)	Confusion Matrix
P6 1 0.0500 50	5.9761	<pre> 135 3 0 0 0 0 8 347 4 4 2 1 0 0 113 6 6 0 0 3 4 219 11 0 0 0 7 8 245 0 5 3 0 0 0 121 </pre>
P6 2 0.0500 50	1.03586	<pre> 136 1 0 0 0 1 0 365 0 0 1 0 0 0 123 0 2 0 0 0 0 236 1 0 0 0 1 2 257 0 4 0 0 0 0 125 </pre>
P6 3 0.0500 50	43.8247	<pre> 59 75 0 4 0 0 0 366 0 0 0 0 0 123 0 2 0 0 0 20 0 217 0 0 0 187 0 15 58 0 0 116 0 8 0 5 </pre>

d)

Command	Error Rate (percent)	Confusion Matrix
P6 3 0.0500 50	43.8247	<pre> 59 75 0 4 0 0 0 366 0 0 0 0 0 123 0 2 0 0 0 20 0 217 0 0 0 187 0 15 58 0 0 116 0 8 0 5 </pre>
P6 3 0.0050 50	10.8367	<pre> 122 11 1 2 1 1 0 363 0 1 0 2 </pre>

		0 53 56 13 3 0 0 0 0 237 0 0 0 9 0 10 241 0 3 22 0 4 0 100
P6 3 0.0005 50	3.34661	127 4 1 0 1 5 0 361 3 0 0 2 0 6 113 4 2 0 0 0 0 237 0 0 0 1 0 2 257 0 4 5 1 1 0 118

- e) There are a few large trends here: as the length of N-Grams increases, so does the error rate, and as delta increases, so does the error rate.
The former could be because, as the N-Gram length increases, it is less likely to appear in the training text. With that being the case, the program cannot identify what language the text belongs to, and is thus less accurate.
The latter could indicate that higher values of delta are adding a lot of noise, causing the other languages to be overweighed, and thus causing the program to be less accurate. However, that c)'s second configuration shows a case where the delta and N-Gram length is balanced, and thus able to predict the language with great accuracy.

f)

Command	Error Rate (percent)	Confusion Matrix
P6 2 0.0500 10	21.0434	538 49 7 16 18 63 53 1448 83 87 113 50 13 35 445 57 64 14 14 33 32 1027 69 12 16 29 44 164 1031 17 86 32 13 17 23 475
P6 2 0.0500 50	1.03586	136 1 0 0 0 1 0 365 0 0 1 0 0 0 123 0 2 0 0 0 0 236 1 0 0 0 1 2 257 0 4 0 0 0 0 125
P6 2 0.0500 100	0.159744	69 0 0 0 0 0 0 183 0 0 0 0 0 0 62 0 0 0 0 0 0 118 0 0 0 0 0 0 130 0 1 0 0 0 0 63

g)

Command	Error Rate (percent)	Confusion Matrix
P6 1 0.0000 50	17.2443	87 4 1 0 0 34 2 326 10 2 0 13 2 0 80 15 18 0 0 4 17 187 11 1 0 0 36 16 200 0 14 4 0 0 0 99
P6 2 0.0000 50	4.81826	111 1 0 0 0 14 4 347 1 1 0 0 1 6 102 1 4 1 1 0 0 218 1 0 1 1 2 1 247 0 14 2 0 0 0 101

P6 3 0.0000 50	75.8242	122 2 0 0 0 2 302 51 0 0 0 0 99 5 10 0 1 0 148 2 0 70 0 0 232 1 0 0 19 0 103 0 0 0 0 14
P6 1 0.0500 50	17.2443	87 4 1 0 0 34 2 326 10 2 0 13 2 0 80 15 18 0 0 4 17 187 11 1 0 0 36 16 200 0 14 4 0 0 0 99
P6 2 0.0500 50	2.11327	113 1 0 0 0 12 0 351 1 0 0 1 0 0 113 1 1 0 0 0 0 219 1 0 0 0 1 1 250 0 5 0 0 0 0 112
P6 3 0.0500 50	1.0989	122 0 0 1 0 3 0 351 0 1 1 0 0 0 114 1 0 0 0 0 0 220 0 0 0 0 0 0 252 0 4 0 0 1 1 111
P6 3 0.0500 50	1.0989	122 0 0 1 0 3 0 351 0 1 1 0 0 0 114 1 0 0 0 0 0 220 0 0 0 0 0 0 252 0 4 0 0 1 1 111
P6 3 0.0050 50	1.69062	120 1 0 1 0 4 0 352 0 0 1 0 0 3 107 3 2 0 0 0 0 220 0 0 0 0 0 0 252 0 4 0 0 0 1 112
P6 3 0.0005 50	2.36686	116 3 0 1 0 6 0 353 0 0 0 0 0 4 105 4 2 0 0 0 0 220 0 0 0 0 0 1 251 0 7 0 0 0 0 110

7

- a) Release/See P7.cpp
b)

Command	Output
P7 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 1 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to her tye story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will rec in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read thewy story

P7 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 0.1 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to hear the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will read in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read thewy story
P7 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 0.01 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to hear the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will read in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read the story

c)

Command	Output
P7 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 1 3 0.01 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to he the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will re in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the to of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will drink milk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read the story
P7 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 0.01 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to hear the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will read in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read the story
P7 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 3 3 0.01 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would loge to her the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will red ain the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello frog the tp of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i jill drink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i jill read they story

- a) I have chosen to implement a new word distance formula: the Damaerau Levenshtein distance. This was selected because it allows for the same actions as the Levenshtein distance plus the

ability to swap characters. Since this is being used as a spell checker, the assumption here is that many mistakes would be typos that accidentally swap the position of two characters, and so including such an operation was deemed valuable.

Command	Output
P8 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 1 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to her tye story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will rec in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read thewy story
P8 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 0.1 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to hear the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will read in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read thewy story
P8 hugeTrain.txt textCheck.txt dictionary.txt 2 3 0.01 1	Sentence: i would love to her the story Suggestion: i would love to hear the story Sentence: you will red in the garden Suggestion: you will read in the garden Sentence: hello from the tp of the world Suggestion: hello from the top of the world Sentence: i will drink mlk in the morning Suggestion: i will dink mlk in the morning Sentence: i will read they story Suggestion: i will read the story

Oddly enough, it did not seem to have any effect on the output of the program in these cases, yet that could be a result of the parameters.