

COMP3013 2025 Fall

Assignment 3

Students are expected to submit two files.

- “COMP3013_25F_A3_XXX.sql”, where “XXX” is your student ID. This SQL file contains all the SQL queries for Q1. First line of the file should contain your name and ID as a comment. And question number for each question is also included as a comment. SQL comments are quoted by the sign /*...*/.
- “COMP3013_25F_A3_XXX.pdf”, for the rest of the questions.

Submissions which do not follow the guideline may **not be marked**.

Q1. The schema of a database is given as follows. Keys are underlined.

- student=(sID, sname, gender, age, gpa, pname)
// gender is either male or female.
- program=(pname, division)
// pname is the program name
- course=(cID, cname, pname, credit)
// credit is an integer and $1 \leq \text{credit} \leq 3$.
- enroll=(sID, cID, grade)
// grade is one of A, B, C, D, or F.

Write a query for each following question. (8 marks for each)

- a) Find the names of students who have enrolled all courses.
Ans.

```
SELECT sname
FROM student JOIN enroll as e1 USING (sID)
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    (SELECT cID
     FROM course)
    EXCEPT
    (SELECT cID
     FROM enroll AS e2
     WHERE e1.sID = e2.sID)
)
```

```
SELECT sname
FROM student
JOIN enroll as e1 USING (sID)
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT cID
    FROM course
    WHERE cID NOT IN (
        SELECT cID
```

```

        FROM enroll AS e2
        WHERE e1.sID = e2.sID
    )
)

```

- b) Find the names of students who have enrolled some courses from every program.

Ans.

```

SELECT sname
FROM student
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT pname
    FROM program
    WHERE pname NOT IN (
        SELECT pname
        FROM course JOIN enroll ON course.cID = enroll.cID
        WHERE enroll.sID = student.sID
    )
);

```

- c) Find the names of students who has not received an “F” from any course.

Ans.

```

SELECT sname
FROM student AS s LEFT OUTER JOIN enroll AS e
    ON s.sID = e.sID
WHERE s.sID NOT IN (
    SELECT sID
    FROM enroll
    WHERE grade= 'F'
)

```

- d) Find the name of the student who has enrolled more courses than other students.

Ans.

```

SELECT student.sname
FROM student
JOIN enroll AS e1 ON student.sID = e1.sID
GROUP BY student.sID
HAVING COUNT(e1.cID) >= ALL(
    SELECT COUNT(e2.cID)
    FROM enroll AS e2
    GROUP BY e2.sID
)

```

```

SELECT student.sname
FROM student
JOIN enroll ON student.sID = enroll.sID
GROUP BY student.sID
HAVING COUNT(cID) = (
    SELECT MAX(cnt)
    FROM (
        SELECT COUNT(cID) AS cnt

```

```

FROM enroll
GROUP BY Sid
) AS count
)

```

- e) Create a constraint to guarantee the credit is in the correct range.

Ans.

```

ALTER TABLE course
ADD CONSTRAINT credit_range
CHECK (credit >= 1.0 AND credit <= 3.0)

```

Q2. Given an instance of a relational schema $R = \{A, B, C\}$ and a list of functional dependencies.

A	B	C
a	α	T
a	β	T
a	γ	T
b	ε	F

Decide whether the functional dependencies are satisfied by the instance. (9 pt)

- | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a) $A \rightarrow B$ | b) $A \rightarrow C$ | c) $B \rightarrow A$ |
| d) $B \rightarrow C$ | e) $C \rightarrow A$ | f) $C \rightarrow B$ |
| g) $AB \rightarrow C$ | h) $AC \rightarrow B$ | i) $BC \rightarrow A$ |

Ans.

a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)	g)	h)	i)
no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes

Q3. Let A, B, C be three arbitrary attributes. Assume the functional dependency $AB \rightarrow C$ holds. Can we prove $A \rightarrow C$? If yes, then prove it by Armstrong's Axiom; if no, then disprove it by a counter example. (10pt)

Ans.

No. Consider the following instance.

	A	B	C
t_1	a_1	b_1	c_1
t_2	a_1	b_2	c_2

"if $t_1[A, B] = t_2[A, B]$, then $t_1[C] = t_2[C]$ " holds. But, "if $t_1[A] = t_2[A]$, then $t_1[C] = t_2[C]$ " does not hold.

More intuitively, " $AB \rightarrow C$ " is understood as "if we know A and B , then we know C "; and " $A \rightarrow C$ " is understood as "if we know A , then we know C ". Then, the answer becomes obvious.

Q4. Given a relational schema and a set of functional dependencies

- $R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$
 - $F = \{AC \rightarrow B, BD \rightarrow C, CE \rightarrow D, DA \rightarrow E\}$
- a) Find all candidate keys of R . (6 pt)
 - b) Decompose R into BCNF. Show the steps. (15 pt)
 - c) Does the BCNF decomposition in part b) preserve all functional dependencies? Why? (5 pt)
 - d) Decompose R into 3NF. Show the steps. (15 pt)

Ans.

- a) $\{B, C, D, E\}^+ = \{B, C, D, E\}$ Thus, A is an attribute in an arbitrary key. Then, we can enumerate all the cases.

One attribute:

$\{A\}^+ = \{A\}$ is not a key.

Two attributes:

$\{AC\}^+ = \{ACB\}$ is not a key.

$\{BD\}^+ = \{BDC\}$ is not a key.

$\{CE\}^+ = \{CED\}$ is not a key.

$\{DA\}^+ = \{DAE\}$ is not a key.

Three attributes:

$\{A, B, C\}^+ = \{A, B, C\}$ is not a key.

$\{A, B, D\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ is a key.

$\{A, B, E\}^+ = \{A, B, E\}$ is not a key.

$\{A, C, D\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ is a key.

$\{A, C, E\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ is a key.

$\{A, D, E\}^+ = \{A, D, E\}$ is not a key.

Candidate keys: $\{ACD\}, \{ABD\}, \{ACE\}$

- b) All FDs in F^+ violates BCNF.

$result = R_0 = \{ABCDE\}$

For $AC \rightarrow B$,

$R_1 = \{ABC\}, F_1 = \{AC \rightarrow ABC\} = \{AC \rightarrow B\}$

$R_2 = R_0 \setminus (\{B\} \setminus \{AC\}) = \{ACDE\}, F_2 = \{AD \rightarrow ADE, CE \rightarrow CDE\} = \{AD \rightarrow E, CE \rightarrow D\}$

$result = (result \setminus R_0) \cup \{R_1\} \cup \{R_2\} = \{\{ABC\}, \{ACDE\}\}$

Situation1:

For $AD \rightarrow E$,

$R_3 = \{ADE\}, F_3 = \{AD \rightarrow ADE\} = \{AD \rightarrow E\}$

$R_4 = \{ACDE\} \setminus (\{E\} \setminus \{AD\}) = \{ACD\}, F_4 = \{\}$

$result = (result \setminus \{ACDE\}) \cup \{R_3\} \cup \{R_4\} = \{\{ABC\}, \{ADE\}, \{ACD\}\}$

Note: the result above fails to preserve $BD \rightarrow C$ and $CE \rightarrow D$.

Situation2:

For $CE \rightarrow D$,

$R_3 = \{CDE\}, F_3 = \{CE \rightarrow D\}$

$R_4 = \{ACDE\} \setminus (\{D\} \setminus \{CE\}) = \{ACE\}, F_4 = \{\}$

$result = (result \setminus \{ACDE\}) \cup \{R_3\} \cup \{R_4\} = \{\{ABC\}, \{CDE\}, \{ACE\}\}$

Note: the result above fails to preserve $AD \rightarrow E$ and $BD \rightarrow C$.

- c) The result in b) fails to preserve all functional dependencies in F .
- d) $F_c = F$, there's no redundant dependencies or extraneous attributes in any one of the dependencies. So the 3NF decomposition of R is $R_1 = \{ABC\}$, $R_2 = \{ADE\}$, $R_3 = \{BCD\}$, $R_4 = \{CDE\}$, $R_5 = \{ACD\}$, or $R_5 = \{ABD\}$, or $R_5 = \{ACE\}$.