

# COMP3013 2025 Fall

## Assignment 3

Student are expected to submit two files.

- “COMP3013\_25F\_A3\_XXX.sql”, where “XXX” is your student ID. This SQL file contains all the SQL queries for Q1. First line of the file should contain your name and ID as a comment. And question number for each question is also included as a comment. SQL comments are quoted by the sign /\*...\*/.
- “COMP3013\_25F\_A3\_XXX.pdf”, for the rest of the questions.

Submissions which do not following the guideline may **not be marked**.

Q1. The schema of a database is given as follows. Keys are underlined.

- `student=(sID, sname, gender, age, gpa, pname)`  
    // gender is either male or female.
- `program=(pname, division)`  
    // pgname is the program name
- `course=(cID, cname, pname, credit)`  
    // credit is an integer and  $1 \leq \text{credit} \leq 3$ .
- `enroll=(sID, cID, grade)`  
    //grade is one of A, B, C, D, or F.

Write a query for each following question. (8 marks for each)

- a) Find the names of students who have enrolled all courses.  
Ans.

```
SELECT sname
FROM student JOIN enroll as e1 USING (sID)
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    (SELECT cID
     FROM course)
    EXCEPT
    (SELECT cID
     FROM enroll AS e2
     WHERE e1.sID = e2.sID)
)
```

```
SELECT sname
FROM student
JOIN enroll as e1 USING (sID)
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT cID
    FROM course
    WHERE cID NOT IN (
        SELECT cID
```

```

        FROM enroll AS e2
        WHERE e1.sID = e2.sID
    )
)

```

- b) Find the names of students who have enrolled some courses from every program.

Ans.

```

SELECT sname
FROM student
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT pname
    FROM program
    WHERE pname NOT IN (
        SELECT pname
        FROM course JOIN enroll ON course.cID = enroll.cID
        WHERE enroll.sID = student.sID
    )
);

```

- c) Find the names of students who has not received an “F” from any course.

Ans.

```

SELECT sname
FROM student AS s LEFT OUTER JOIN enroll AS e
    ON s.sID = e.sID
WHERE s.sID NOT IN (
    SELECT sID
    FROM enroll
    WHERE grade= 'F'
)

```

- d) Find the name of the student who has enrolled more courses than other students.

Ans.

```

SELECT student.sname
FROM student
JOIN enroll AS e1 ON student.sID = e1.sID
GROUP BY student.sID
HAVING COUNT(e1.cID) >= ALL(
    SELECT COUNT(e2.cID)
    FROM enroll AS e2
    GROUP BY e2.sID
)

```

```

SELECT student.sname
FROM student
JOIN enroll ON student.sID = enroll.sID
GROUP BY student.sID
HAVING COUNT(cID) = (
    SELECT MAX(cnt)
    FROM (
        SELECT COUNT(cID) AS cnt

```

```

        FROM enroll
        GROUP BY Sid
    ) AS count
)

```

- e) Create a constraint to guarantee the credit is in the correct range.

Ans.

```

ALTER TABLE course
ADD CONSTRAINT credit_range
CHECK (credit >= 1.0 AND credit <= 3.0)

```

Q2. Given an instance of a relational schema  $R = \{A, B, C\}$  and a list of functional dependencies.

A	B	C
a	$\alpha$	T
a	$\beta$	T
a	$\gamma$	T
b	$\varepsilon$	F

Decide whether the functional dependencies are satisfied by the instance. (9 pt)

- |                       |                       |                       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a) $A \rightarrow B$  | b) $A \rightarrow C$  | c) $B \rightarrow A$  |
| d) $B \rightarrow C$  | e) $C \rightarrow A$  | f) $C \rightarrow B$  |
| g) $AB \rightarrow C$ | h) $AC \rightarrow B$ | i) $BC \rightarrow A$ |

Ans.

a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)	g)	h)	i)
no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes

Q3. Let  $A, B, C$  be three arbitrary attributes. Assume the functional dependency  $AB \rightarrow C$  holds. Can we prove  $A \rightarrow C$ ? If yes, then prove it by Armstrong's Axiom; if no, then disprove it by a counter example. (10pt)

Ans.

No. Consider the following instance.

	A	B	C
$t_1$	$a_1$	$b_1$	$c_1$
$t_2$	$a_1$	$b_2$	$c_2$

"if  $t_1[A, B] = t_2[A, B]$ , then  $t_1[C] = t_2[C]$ " holds. But, "if  $t_1[A] = t_2[A]$ , then  $t_1[C] = t_2[C]$ " does not hold.

More intuitively, " $AB \rightarrow C$ " is understood as "if we know  $A$  and  $B$ , then we know  $C$ "; and " $A \rightarrow C$ " is understood as "if we know  $A$ , then we know  $C$ ". Then, the answer becomes obvious.

Q4. Given a relational schema and a set of functional dependencies

- $R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$
  - $F = \{AC \rightarrow B, BD \rightarrow C, CE \rightarrow D, DA \rightarrow E\}$
- a) Find all candidate keys of  $R$ . (6 pt)
  - b) Decompose  $R$  into BCNF. Show the steps. (15 pt)
  - c) Does the BCNF decomposition in part b) preserve all functional dependencies? Why? (5 pt)
  - d) Decompose  $R$  into 3NF. Show the steps. (15 pt)

Ans.

- a)  $\{B, C, D, E\}^+ = \{B, C, D, E\}$  Thus,  $A$  is an attribute in an arbitrary key. Then, we can enumerate all the cases.

One attribute:

$$\{A\}^+ = \{A\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

Two attributes:

$$\{AC\}^+ = \{ACB\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

$$\{BD\}^+ = \{BDC\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

$$\{CE\}^+ = \{CED\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

$$\{DA\}^+ = \{DAE\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

Three attributes:

$$\{A, B, C\}^+ = \{A, B, C\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

$$\{A, B, D\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\} \text{ is a key.}$$

$$\{A, B, E\}^+ = \{A, B, E\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

$$\{A, C, D\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\} \text{ is a key.}$$

$$\{A, C, E\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\} \text{ is a key.}$$

$$\{A, D, E\}^+ = \{A, D, E\} \text{ is not a key.}$$

Candidate keys:  $\{ACD\}, \{ABD\}, \{ACE\}$

- b) All FDs in  $F^+$  violates BCNF.

$$result = R_0 = \{ABCDE\}$$

For  $AC \rightarrow B$ ,

$$R_1 = \{ABC\}, F_1 = \{AC \rightarrow ABC\} = \{AC \rightarrow B\}$$

$$R_2 = R_0 \setminus (\{B\} \setminus \{AC\}) = \{ACDE\}, F_2 = \{AD \rightarrow ADE, CE \rightarrow CDE\} = \{AD \rightarrow E, CE \rightarrow D\}$$

$$result = (\{result \setminus R_0\}) \cup \{R_1\} \cup \{R_2\} = \{\{ABC\}, \{ACDE\}\}$$

Situation1:

For  $AD \rightarrow E$ ,

$$R_3 = \{ADE\}, F_3 = \{AD \rightarrow ADE\} = \{AD \rightarrow E\}$$

$$R_4 = \{ACDE\} \setminus (\{E\} \setminus \{AD\}) = \{ACD\}, F_4 = \{\}$$

$$result = (\{result \setminus \{ACDE\}\}) \cup \{R_3\} \cup \{R_4\} = \{\{ABC\}, \{ADE\}, \{ACD\}\}$$

Note: the result above fails to preserve  $BD \rightarrow C$  and  $CE \rightarrow D$ .

Situation2:

For  $CE \rightarrow D$ ,

$$R_3 = \{CDE\}, F_3 = \{CE \rightarrow D\}$$

$$R_4 = \{ACDE\} \setminus (\{D\} \setminus \{CE\}) = \{ACE\}, F_4 = \{\}$$

$$result = (\{result \setminus \{ACDE\}\}) \cup \{R_3\} \cup \{R_4\} = \{\{ABC\}, \{CDE\}, \{ACE\}\}$$

Note: the result above fails to preserve  $AD \rightarrow E$  and  $BD \rightarrow C$ .

- c) The result in b) fails to preserve all functional dependencies in  $F$ .
- d)  $F_c = F$ , there's no redundant dependencies or extraneous attributes in any one of the dependencies. So the 3NF decomposition of  $R$  is  $R_1 = \{ABC\}, R_2 = \{ADE\}, R_3 = \{BCD\}, R_4 = \{CDE\}, R_5 = \{ACD\}$ , or  $R_5 = \{ABD\}$ , or  $R_5 = \{ACE\}$ .