

# COURSE "AUTOMATED PLANNING: THEORY AND PRACTICE"

## CHAPTER 01: INTRODUCTION TO PLANNING

Teacher: **Marco Roveri** - marco.roveri@unitn.it

M.S. Course: Artificial Intelligence Systems (LM)

A.A.: 2025-2026

Where: DISI, University of Trento

URL: <https://shorturl.at/A81hf>



Last updated: Sunday 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2025

# TERMS OF USE AND COPYRIGHT

## USE

This material (including video recording) is intended solely for students of the University of Trento registered to the relevant course for the Academic Year 2025-2026.

## SELF-STORAGE

Self-storage is permitted only for the students involved in the relevant courses of the University of Trento and only as long as they are registered students. Upon the completion of the studies or their abandonment, the material has to be deleted from all storage systems of the student.

## COPYRIGHT

The copyright of all the material is held by the authors. Copying, editing, translation, storage, processing or forwarding of content in databases or other electronic media and systems without written consent of the copyright holders is forbidden. The selling of (parts) of this material is forbidden. Presentation of the material to students not involved in the course is forbidden. The unauthorised reproduction or distribution of individual content or the entire material is not permitted and is punishable by law.

The material (text, figures) in these slides is authored by Jonas Kvarnström and Marco Roveri.

# WHAT IS “PLANNING”?

A simple structured toy application



## POSSIBLE ACTIONS

Move topmost block from A to B


## OBJECTIVE

All blocks in piles of the same color

# WHAT IS “PLANNING”? : SHAKEY

- Classical robot example: Shakey (1969)
  - Available actions:
    - Move from location A to location B
    - Turn light switches on/off
    - Open/Close door
    - Push an object around
    - ...
  - Objective:
    - Be in room X with objects O1, O2 and O3

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qXdn6ynwpiI>



© Wikipedia:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shakey\\_the\\_robot](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shakey_the_robot)

# WHAT IS “PLANNING”? : MICONIC 10 ELEVATORS

- Shindler Miconic 10 system
  - Tall buildings, equipped with multiple elevators
  - Available actions:
    - Move from one floor to another floor
    - Open/Close door
    - ...
  - Objective:
    - Enter destination before you board
    - Synthesize a “plan” telling which elevator goes to which floor and in which order



[https://elevation.fandom.com/wiki/Schindler\\_Miconic\\_10](https://elevation.fandom.com/wiki/Schindler_Miconic_10)

# WHAT IS “PLANNING”? : SPACE MISSIONS



[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/  
Samantha\\_Cristoforetti](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Samantha_Cristoforetti)



Perseverance © NASA 2021




NASA Mapgen/Mars Rover © NASA


<https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/>

Create daily activity plans for Spirit, Opportunity, Perseverance and for the International Space Station.  
Mixed initiative tool with Human in the Loop

# WHAT IS “PLANNING”? : EARTH MISSIONS



© NASA - Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment



[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220308820\\_SIADEX\\_An\\_interactive\\_knowledge-based\\_planner\\_for\\_decision\\_support\\_in\\_forest\\_fire\\_fighting](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220308820_SIADEX_An_interactive_knowledge-based_planner_for_decision_support_in_forest_fire_fighting)



<https://nms.kcl.ac.uk/planning/currentprojects.html>

- Create daily activity plans for satellite earth observations
- Plan for firefighting considering limited resources
- Plans for autonomy in hostile environments

# WHAT IS “PLANNING”?: OTHER APPLICATIONS



F.E.A.R. © Warner Bros. Interactive Entertainment



FIFA 2X © EA Sports



# WHAT IS “PLANNING”? : OTHER APPLICATIONS (CONT.)



Logistics




Greenhouse logistics




Modular Printing Control


# WHAT IS “PLANNING”??: OTHER APPLICATIONS (CONT.)



Natural Language Generation



Penetration Testing



Business Process Templates Generation

# PLANNING DEFINITION

## ONE WAY TO DEFINE PLANNING:

*Using knowledge about the world, including possible actions and their results, to decide what to do and when in order to achieve an objective, before actually start doing it.*

# WHY “PLANNING”?

- Manual planning can be boring and inefficient!
- Automated planning can create higher quality plans
  - It is possible to apply systematic optimizations
- Can be applied where the agent is!
  - Satellites/Exploration robots cannot always communicate with Ground operators
  - Spacecraft robots on other planets may be hours away by radio (Earth-Mars about 30min delay)

# PLANNING VS REACTING: UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES

- A modern context for planning:
  - Autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV)



*Using knowledge about the world, including possible actions and their results, to decide what to do and when in order to achieve an objective, before actually start doing it.*


# ACTIONS FOR UAVS

- General knowledge about the world
  - Location of UAVs and objects
  - Fuel levels, ...
- Available actions:
  - Takeoff
    - Before: The UAV must be on the ground
    - Result: The UAV is flying
  - Fly to a point
    - Before: Must have sufficient fuel
    - Result: Will end up at the indicated point
  - Land
  - Fly a trajectory curve
  - Point camera, take picture
  - Transmit data to operator
  - ...

*Using knowledge about the world, including possible actions and their results, to decide what to do and when in order to achieve an objective, before actually start doing it.*

# UAV OBJECTIVES

- Emergency Service Logistics
  - Assist in emergency situations
    - Deliver packages of food, medicines, water
    - Inspect the zone to detect fires, people
    - ...



- Photogrammetry
  - Find best way to take pictures to ensure proper 3D rendering
    - From specific locations
    - In the specific directions




*Using knowledge about the world, including possible actions and their results, to decide what to do and when in order to achieve an objective, before actually start doing it.*

# METHOD 0: REACTIVE AND DUMB!


- Let's be **reactive** and dumb!
  - Reactive: No planning, don't explicitly consider future!
  - Very fast decision and execution algorithm

```

while (exists unvisited position) {
  if (greedy) {
    pos := some random unvisited position;
  } else {
    pos := some nearest unvisited position;
  }
  flyto(pos);
  aim();
  take-picture();
}
  
```



Tour Length = 10.84457040




<https://www.maplesoft.com/applications/view.aspx?SID=6873&view=html>

- Somewhat sub-optimal for flight in both cases
- Fly too far → run out of fuel!

*Using knowledge about the world, including possible actions and their results, to decide what to do and when in order to achieve an objective, before actually start doing it.*

# METHOD 1: THINK AHEAD!

- First create a complete plan by **searching**, considering multiple choices
  - Keeping track of (fuel, visited, remaining)



- Second **execute** the plan after verifying it

# METHOD 1: FIRST STEP: SEARCH (E.G. DEPTH FIRST)

```

call solve(100, [pos1], {pos2, ..., pos100})
solve (fuel-before, plan, remaining) {
    if (remaining ==  $\emptyset$ ) return plan;
    currpos := last(plan)
    foreach position nextpos in remaining
        in order of increasing
        distance(curpos, nextpos) {
            after := fuel-before - fuel_usage(curpos, nextpos);
            if (after > 0) {
                plan := plan+[nextpos];
                remaining := remaining-[nextpos];
                plan2 := solve(after, plan, remaining);
                if (plan2 != NULL) return plan2;
            }
        }
    return NULL;
}


```

Backtrack if there is no feasible continuation!

Have we already achieved the goal?

First choice: as before (greedy heuristic)  
 If not feasible: try next nearest pos  
 Usually won't have to try each position

Check fuel in simulation not in reality!




This is (one form of) planning!

[https://www.maplesoft.com/applications/  
view.aspx?SID=6873&view=html](https://www.maplesoft.com/applications/view.aspx?SID=6873&view=html)

# METHOD 1: SECOND STEP: EXECUTION

```
seq := solve(100, [pos1], {pos2, ..., pos100})  
if (seq != NULL) {  
    foreach position pos in seq) {  
        flyto(pos);  
        aim();  
        take-picture();  
    }  
} else signal error!;
```




[https://www.maplesoft.com/applications/  
view.aspx?SID=6873&view=html](https://www.maplesoft.com/applications/view.aspx?SID=6873&view=html)


# PLANNING AS DELIBERATION: THREE MAIN APPROACHES

Using **knowledge** about the world, including possible actions and their results, to **decide** what to do and when in order to achieve an **objective**, **before** actually start doing it.


- *Programming-based agent* - writing the agent control by **hand**
- *Learning-based agent* - infer the control by **experience** (Reinforcement Learning)
- *Model-based approach* - derive the control automatically by **reasoning**



Programming Based



Learning-Based



Model-Based

These approaches are not orthogonal!

# WHAT IS PLANNING?

- **Programming-based:**

- Puts all the burden on the programmer
- No formalisation of the solution proposed
- Programs are brittle and error-prone

- **Learning-based:**

- Need of data to learn and generalize behavior
- Difficulties in generalising to different domains
- Difficulties in defining high level preferences, goals

- **Model-based**

- The model of the environment is not written, or not available in the language we want!
- Models can be complex, burden on the machine

But at least you can **reason** about it.

These solutions are domain specific, highly specialized, less flexible, efficiency might be difficult



*AI Planning is Model Based Planning.*

# WHAT IS MODEL-BASED PLANNING?

*“Planning is the reasoning side of acting. It is an explicit deliberation process that chooses and organises actions, on the basis of their expected outcomes, in order to achieve some objective as best as possible.” Ghallab et al. [3]*


*“...planning is the model based approach to action selection...” Geffner and Bonet [2]*

*“AI Planning is general problem solving” Sievers et al. [7], Newell et al. [6]*

# WHAT IS MODEL-BASED PLANNING?

Main ingredients about model-based planning:

- In AI Planning the agent has **access to world dynamic**
  - **actions** describe how the world changes
  - **sensor-model** describe how to update the knowledge of the world
  - **goals** denote what the agent wants
  - **states** capture evolving relevant conditions of the world the agent is operating in
- A **Planner** is a *domain independent* program that can solve (find a *plan* for) **all** the planning problems starting from
  - an *environment* description (including action capabilities)
  - a *problem* description (initial state(s) and goal(s))



# AI PLANNING PROBLEM

## GIVEN:

- A description of (possible) **initial state(s)**
- A description of **desired goal states**
- A description of a set of **possible actions**

## GENERATE:

- A **sequence of actions** that **leads to** one of **the goal states**.

# PLANNING PROBLEM: BLOCKS



# PLANNING PROBLEM: TOWER OF HANOI (ToH)




# WHY IS PLANNING SO DIFFICULT?

- Uncertainty about effects of actions
- Uncertainty about environment
- Uncertain sensing and perception
- Agents own actions may have bad effects
- Time and resources are limited!
- Preferences
- Complex goals
- Optimality

# INTRODUCTION

## FORMAL MODEL

$$\langle \Sigma = \langle S, A, \gamma \rangle, s_0, S_g \rangle$$



## LANGUAGE TO DESCRIBE MODELS

```

;; The Towers of Hanoi problem
;; (formalisation by Hector Geffner).
(define (domain hanoi)
  (:requirements :strips)
  (:predicates (clear ?x) (on ?x ?y) (smaller ?x ?y))
  (:action move
    :parameters (?disc ?from ?to)
    :precondition (and (smaller ?to ?disc)
                       (on ?disc ?from)
                       (clear ?disc) (clear ?to))
    :effect (and (clear ?from) (on ?disc ?to)
                 (not (on ?disc ?from))
                 (not (clear ?to)))))

)

```

# CLASSICAL PLANNING

**Assumption 0:** Finite number of states

Can't model continuous positions of disks in ToH

We are interested in some discrete alternatives: On peg 1, on peg 2, above disk 3, ...

The world is always in a given state, which we want to affect

Photogrammetry state:  
(fuel left, visited positions, remaining positions)

- We need **states of the world**
  - $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_S\}$  is a **finite** set of states

# CLASSICAL PLANNING (II)

**Assumption 2:** The world can only  
be affected by executing an action

No random changes in the world

No other agents acting in the world

*At least not in the part of the world we model!*

The world is always in a given  
state, which we want to affect



- We need **actions to affect the world**
  - $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, s_A\}$  is a **finite** set of actions;

# CLASSICAL PLANNING (III)

**Assumption 3:** Every action results in a discrete state transition

No concept of time

No concept of continuous change (crane swinging from A to B), only:  
before pickup, the container is on truck y;  
after, the container is carried by crane z



The world is always in a given state, which we want to affect



Another possible state

- We must know **when an action is executable** and **what it achieves**


- $\gamma : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{S}}$        $\gamma(s, a)$  is the set of states you may end-up in if you execute  $a$  in  $s$ ;
- $\gamma(s, a) = \emptyset$       means  $a$  cannot be executed in  $s$ ;
- $\gamma(s, a) = \{s'\}$       means executing  $a$  in  $s$  leads to  $s'$ ;

# CLASSICAL PLANNING (IV)

## Assumption 4: Deterministic actions

If we know the current state and the action that is executed, *we know in advance* exactly which state we will end up in

The world is always in a given state, which we want to affect



- We must know **when an action is executable** and **what it achieves**

- $\gamma : S \times A \rightarrow 2^S$        $\gamma(s, a)$  is the set of states you may end-up in if you execute  $a$  in  $s$ ;
- $\gamma(s, a) = \emptyset$       means  $a$  cannot be executed in  $s$ ;
- $\gamma(s, a) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$       means executing  $a$  in  $s$  leads to either  $s_1$  or  $s_2$  or...;
- $|\gamma(s, a)| > 1$       impossible in classical planning, due to Assumption 4;

$\Sigma = \langle S, A, \gamma \rangle$  is a *State Transition System (STS)*


## CLASSICAL PLANNING (V): STS EXAMPLE

- Part of an STS for an instance of the Hanoi problem

27 states, 78 transitions  
How many actions?

Can always have one action per transition:  
 $a_1, \dots, a_{78}$

Each action only executable in a single state



## CLASSICAL PLANNING (VI): STS EXAMPLE, CONT.


- Part of an STS for an instance of the Hanoi problem

Common meaningful model:

Every red arrow is the same action,  
**move-diskA-from-peg1-to-peg3**


18 context dependent actions

Or we could get by using three actions:  
 at most three transitions  
 from any state → Action “option1”,  
 “option2”, “option3”



# STS: HOW THE WORLD EVOLVES IN GENERAL

What's the formal model to solve?



# PROBLEM: OBJECTIVES

## Assumption 5: Restricted objectives

The objective is always to end up in a goal state  $s \in S_g$

(No constraint on cost, time requirements, states to avoid on the way, ...)


The world is always in a given state, which we want to affect



Another possible state



Another possible state



Another possible state

Another possible state

Another possible state

Goal states



Another possible state

# PROBLEM: INITIAL STATE

**Assumption 6:** We can always detect the initial state

The world is always in a given state, which we want to affect

**Assumption 7: Offline planning**


No need to consider changes that may happen while generating plans.

We know now what the state of the world will be when we start executing a plan!



Initial state:  $s_0$

# PROBLEM DEFINITION

- A complete classical planning problem:  $\langle \Sigma, s_0, S_g \rangle$



# TRANSITION SYSTEM AND PROBLEM




## STATE TRANSITION SYSTEM $\langle S, A, \gamma \rangle$

Tells us: **How the world works** (Only those aspects that we need in our model in order to solve interesting problems!)

## PLANNING PROBLEM $\langle \langle S, A, \gamma \rangle, s_0, S_g \rangle$

Tells us: **Which specific problem to solve**

# WHAT'S A SOLUTION?



# ACTION SEQUENCE

**Assumption 9:** Sequential execution

A solution never executes two actions concurrently (Many planners do allow concurrency → "semi-classical")

- **Action sequence**  $\sigma = \langle a_0, \dots, a_N \rangle$  where  $\forall i. a_i \in A$ 
  - Sometimes called **plan**
- An action sequence is **executable** in state  $s \in S$  if  $\exists s_1, \dots, s_{N+1}$  such that:
  - $\gamma(s, a_0) = \{s_1\}$
  - $\gamma(s_1, a_1) = \{s_2\}$
  - ...
  - $\gamma(s_N, a_N) = \{s_{N+1}\}$
  - Sometimes called "executable action sequence", "plan", "executable plan", ..

# SOLUTION

- An action sequence is a **solution** to  $\langle \langle S, A, \gamma \rangle, s_0, S_g \rangle$  if:
  - It is executable in  $s_0$
  - It results in a state  $s_{N+1} \in S_g$
  - Sometimes called "plan", "solution plan", ...
- A **good** solution:
  - Add a cost function  $c : A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  to the STS
  - Minimize total plan cost:

$$\sum_{a_i \in \sigma} c(a_i)$$

# PLAN GENERATION

- Is classical planning simply graph search?

- Can be, but:

- **Graphs are enormous**

Requires advanced heuristics, adapted to planning

Requires advanced search methods

- **Alternatives to searching the STS** can be used to "indirectly" find paths!
  - Many forms of **non-classical** planning do not map into searching an STS

# Is STS USEFUL?

## VERY USEFUL

- As a conceptual model, explaining important concepts
- To analyze expressivity, clarify restrictions
- To prove properties

## VERY USELESS

- As a way of actually **writing down** realistic planning problems (enumerate all possible states?)
- As an implementation structure for planners

# REFERENCES I

- [1] Hector Geffner and Blai Bonet. *A Concise Introduction to Models and Methods for Automated Planning*. Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2013. ISBN 9781608459698. doi: 10.2200/S00513ED1V01Y201306AIM022. URL <https://doi.org/10.2200/S00513ED1V01Y201306AIM022>.
- [2] Hector Geffner and Blai Bonet. *A Concise Introduction to Models and Methods for Automated Planning*. Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2013. ISBN 9781608459698. doi: 10.2200/S00513ED1V01Y201306AIM022. URL <https://doi.org/10.2200/S00513ED1V01Y201306AIM022>. 26
- [3] Malik Ghallab, Dana S. Nau, and Paolo Traverso. *Automated planning - theory and practice*. Elsevier, 2004. ISBN 978-1-55860-856-6. 26
- [4] Malik Ghallab, Dana S. Nau, and Paolo Traverso. *Automated Planning and Acting*. Cambridge University Press, 2016. ISBN 978-1-107-03727-4. URL <http://www.cambridge.org/de/academic/subjects/computer-science/artificial-intelligence-and-natural-language-processing/automated-planning-and-acting?format=HB>.
- [5] Patrik Haslum, Nir Lipovetzky, Daniele Magazzeni, and Christian Muise. *An Introduction to the Planning Domain Definition Language*. Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2019. doi: 10.2200/S00900ED2V01Y201902AIM042. URL <https://doi.org/10.2200/S00900ED2V01Y201902AIM042>.
- [6] Allen Newell, J. C. Shaw, and Herbert A. Simon. Report on a general problem-solving program. In *Information Processing, Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Information Processing, UNESCO, Paris 15-20 June 1959*, pages 256–264. UNESCO (Paris), 1959. 26

## REFERENCES II

- [7] Silvan Sievers, Martin Wehrle, and Malte Helmert. Bounded intention planning revisited. In Torsten Schaub, Gerhard Friedrich, and Barry O’Sullivan, editors, *ECAI 2014 - 21st European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 18-22 August 2014, Prague, Czech Republic - Including Prestigious Applications of Intelligent Systems (PAIS 2014)*, volume 263 of *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, pages 1097–1098. IOS Press, 2014. doi: 10.3233/978-1-61499-419-0-1097. URL <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-419-0-1097.26>