Studying the Sterile Baryonic Neutrino Using Direct Detection and Spallation Source Experiments

Martín de los Rios*, David Alonso-González, Dorian Amaral, Adriana Bariego-Quintana, David Cerdeño & Pilar Coloma

*martindelosrios13@gmail.com

Introduction

- Introduction
  - Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering
  - Spallation-Source experiments
  - Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments



Gradient of Xe discovery limit, $n = -(\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma/\mathrm{d}\ln MT)^{-1}$
1.5
2.0
2.5
3.0
Dark matter-nucleon cross section [cm^2]
[pb]
Dark matter mass [GeV/c^2]
DarkSide 62
CDMSlite
EDELWEISS
PICO-60
SBC 10 kg*year
DarkSide-50
DEAP-3600
Argon S2 1 ton*year
SuperCDMS upgrade
SuperCDMS SNOLAB
LUX
PandaX
XENON1T
SBC 1 ton*year
PandaX-4T
LZ
XENONnT
DarkSide-20k
1000 tonne×yr LXe 3σ Discovery
Ar n=2 fog
Xe neutrino fog

Coherent elastic Neutrino-Nucleus scattering





Predicted by the SM: [Freedman (1974)]

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\nu N}}{dE_R} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} Q_v^2 m_N \left(1 - \frac{m_N E_R}{2E_\nu^2}\right) F^2(E_R)$$

$$Q_v = N - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)Z$$

… And detected by the COHERENT collaboration!!

[Akimov et al. 1708.01294 (2017)]

CEνNS @ Spallation Source Experiments

$$N_{\mathrm{CE\nu NS}} = \sum_{\nu_\alpha} N_{\mathrm{targ}} \int_{E_{\mathrm{th}}}^{E_R^{\mathrm{max}}} \int_{E_\nu^{\mathrm{min}}}^{E_\nu^{\mathrm{max}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\nu_\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}E_\nu}\, \epsilon(E_R)\, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\nu_\alpha N}}{\mathrm{d}E_R}\, \mathrm{d}E_\nu\, \mathrm{d}E_R$$







CEνNS @ DM Direct Detection Experiments

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}E_R} = n_T \sum_{\nu_\alpha} \int_{E_\nu^{\mathrm{min}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_{\nu_e}}{\mathrm{d}E_\nu} P(\nu_e \to \nu_\alpha) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\nu_\alpha T}}{\mathrm{d}E_R} \, \mathrm{d}E_\nu$$

Xenon, LZ,
SuperCDM, etc..





Different experimental setups

Spallation Source Experiments	DM Direct Detection Experiments
$\nu_e \quad \nu_\mu \quad \overline{\nu}_\mu$	$\nu_e \; \overline{\nu}_e \quad \nu_\mu \; \overline{\nu}_\mu \; \nu_\tau \; \overline{\nu}_\tau$

Different experimental setups

Spallation Source Experiments	DM Direct Detection Experiments
$\nu_e \quad \nu_\mu \quad \bar{\nu}_\mu$	$\nu_e \quad \nu_e \quad \nu_\mu \quad \nu_\mu \quad \nu_\tau \quad \nu_\tau$
Neutrinos up to ~50 MeV	Neutrinos up to ~20 MeV

Different experimental setups

Spallation Source Experiments	DM Direct Detection Experiments
$\nu_e \quad \nu_\mu \quad \overline{\nu_\mu}$	$\nu_e \quad \nu_e \quad \nu_\mu \quad \nu_\mu \quad \nu_\tau \quad \nu_\tau$
Neutrinos up to ~50 MeV	Neutrinos up to ~20 MeV
Not very small energy thresholds	Very small recoil energy thresholds

Different experimental setups

Spallation Source Experiments	DM Direct Detection Experiments
ν_e ν_μ $\overline{\nu_\mu}$	ν_e ν_e ν_μ ν_μ ν_τ ν_τ
Neutrinos up to ~50 MeV	Neutrinos up to ~20 MeV
Not very small energy thresholds	Very small recoil energy thresholds

So, why not to combine them??

Sterile Baryonic Neutrino Model

- Introduction
  - Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering
  - Spallation-Source experiments
  - Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments
- Sterile Baryonic Neutrino Model

Sterile Baryonic Neutrino (SBN)

Pospelov 1103.3261 (2011)]

$$L_{SBN}^{\text{Int}} \supset g_{Z'} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q} \bar{q}\, \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} q + g_{Z'} \overline{\nu_N} \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} \nu_N$$

PARAMETER SPACE

$g_{Z'}$, $m_{Z'}$

m_N, $|V_{eN}|$, $|V_{\mu N}|$, $|V_{\tau N}|$

Sterile Baryonic Neutrino (SBN)

$$L_{SBN}^{\text{Int}} \supset g_{Z'} \frac{1}{3} \sum_q \bar{q}\, \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} q + g_{Z'} \overline{\nu_N} \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} \nu_N$$

[Foguel et al. 2201.01788 (2022)]



$|V_{eN}|^2 < 1.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$

$|V_{\mu N}|^2 < 9.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$

$|V_{\tau N}|^2 < 1.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$

[Argüelles et al. 2203.10811 (2022)]

*

$m_{Z'} = 1$ GeV

$g_{Z'} = 4 \cdot 10^{-3}$



+

Predicted by the SM: [Freedman (1974)]

$$\boxed{\begin{gathered}\frac{d\sigma_{\nu N}}{dE_R} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} Q_v^2 m_N \left(1 - \frac{m_N E_R}{2E_\nu^2}\right) F^2(E_R) \\ Q_v = N - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W) Z\end{gathered}}$$



$$\frac{d\sigma_{\alpha 4}}{dE_R} = \frac{g_{Z'}^4 A^2 \left|U_{\alpha 4}\right|^2 M_N}{2\pi E_\nu^2 \left(2M_N E_R + m_{Z'}^2\right)^2} \left[4E_\nu^2 - 2E_R\left(M_N - E_R + 2E_\nu\right) - \frac{m_4^2}{M_N}\left(M_N - E_R - E_\nu\right)\right] F^2(E_R)$$

Results

- Introduction
  - Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering
  - Spallation-Source experiments
  - Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments
- Sterile Baryonic Neutrino Model
- Results

Let’s study some benchmark points

	m_4 [GeV]	$\lvert U_{e4}\rvert^2$	$\lvert U_{\mu 4}\rvert^2$	$\lvert U_{\tau 4}\rvert^2$
BP1a	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP1b	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP1c	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP1d	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP1e	2×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0	0
BP2a	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP2b	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP2c	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP2d	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP3a	20×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4a	40×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4f	40×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0
BP5a	60×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0

	m_4 [GeV]	$\|U_{e4}\|^2$	$\|U_{\mu4}\|^2$	$\|U_{\tau4}\|^2$
BP1a	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP1b	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP1c	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP1d	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP1e	2×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0	0
BP2a	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP2b	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP2c	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP2d	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP3a	20×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4a	40×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4f	40×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0
BP5a	60×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0


BP1a
BP2a
BP3a
BP5a
10^{10}
10^{9}
10^{8}
dR/dE_R (ton^{-1} yr^{-1} keV^{-1})
10^{-3}
10^{-1}
10^{1}
10^{3}
E_R (keV)
SM
SBN
ν_μ
$\bar{\nu}_\mu$


ESS
BP1a
BP2a
BP3a
BP5a
−1.5
−2.0
−2.5
−3.0
−3.5
$Log_{10}(U^2_{\mu 4})$
−2.5
−2.0
−1.5
$Log_{10}(m_4)$ [GeV]



ESS + DARWIN
ESS
DARWIN
BP1a
BP2a
BP3a
BP5a
−1.5
−2.0
−2.5
−3.0
−3.5
$Log_{10}(U^2_{\mu 4})$
−2.5
−2.0
−1.5
$Log_{10}(m_4)$ [GeV]



$U^2_{\mu 4}$ = 4.00e-03
U^2_{e4} = 0.00e+00
$U^2_{\mu 4}$ = 9.00e-03
U^2_{e4} = 0.00e+00
$U^2_{\tau 4}$ = 4.00e-03
U^2_{e4} = 0.00e+00
$U^2_{\tau 4}$ = 9.00e-03
U^2_{e4} = 0.00e+00
$U^2_{\tau 4}$ = 0.00e+00
$U^2_{\mu 4}$ = 4.00e-03
$U^2_{\tau 4}$ = 0.00e+00
$U^2_{\mu 4}$ = 9.00e-03
ESS + DARWIN
ESS
DARWIN
σ_{flux} = 1.0%
σ_{flux} = 4.0%
σ_{flux} = 6.0%
σ_{flux} = 12.0%
BP1a
BP1b
BP1c
BP1d
BP2a
BP2b
BP2c
BP2d
BP3a
BP4a
BP5a
BP4f
$U^2_{\tau 4}$
$U^2_{\mu 4}$
U^2_{e4}
m_4 [GeV]
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
10^{-3}

Conclusions

- Introduction
  - Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering
  - Spallation-Source experiments
  - Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments
- Sterile Baryonic Neutrino Model
- Results
- Conclusions

- Sterile neutrino models can be probed with Spallation Source (SS) and Direct Detection (DD) experiments.
- DD will be able to access to very low recoil energies, all the neutrino flavours but not big masses.
- SS will be able to access to heavier sterile neutrinos but not to all neutrino flavours.
- Combining DD and SS may help…
    - improving the significance,
    - constraining the parameter space
    - allowing parameter reconstruction (especially in the couplings),
    - and allowing model discrimination (Sterile Baryonic Neutrino).

THANK YOU

Back up

CEνNS @ DM Direct Detection Experiments

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}R}{\mathrm{d}E_R} = n_T \sum_{\nu_\alpha} \int_{E_\nu^{\min}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_{\nu_e}}{\mathrm{d}E_\nu} P(\nu_e \to \nu_\alpha) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\nu_\alpha T}}{\mathrm{d}E_R} \, \mathrm{d}E_\nu$$

Xenon, LZ, SuperCDM, etc..





Sterile Baryonic Neutrino (SBN)

Pospelov 1103.3261 (2011)]

$$L^{\mathrm{Int}}_{SBN} \supset g_{Z'} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q} \bar{q}\, \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} q + g_{Z'} \overline{\nu_N} \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} \nu_N$$

Z'^{μ}: baryonic vector boson $U(1)_B$ $(m_{Z'})$

$g_{Z'}$: $U(1)_B$ gauge coupling

ν_N: sterile baryonic neutrino (m_N)

Sterile Baryonic Neutrino (SBN)

$$L_{SBN}^{\text{Int}} \supset g_{Z'} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{q} \bar{q}\, \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} q + g_{Z'} \overline{\nu_N} \gamma_\mu Z'^{\mu} \nu_N$$

[Foguel et al. 2201.01788 (2022)]



Let's study some benchmark points

	m_4 [GeV]	$\lvert U_{e4}\rvert^2$	$\lvert U_{\mu 4}\rvert^2$	$\lvert U_{\tau 4}\rvert^2$
BP1a	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP1b	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP2b	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP2c	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP4f	40×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0
BP5a	60×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0

Experiment	$M_{\rm det}$ (ton)	$E_{\rm th}$ (keV$_{\rm nr}$)	$N_{\rm POT}$ ($\times 10^{23}$)	r	L (m)	$\sigma_{\rm sys}$
ESS	1	20	2.8	0.3	20	5%

Experiment	$M_{\rm det}$ (ton)	$E_{\rm th}$ (keV$_{\rm nr}$)	$\sigma_{^8B}$
DARWIN	200	1	1(4)%

	m_4 [GeV]	$\lvert U_{e4}\rvert^2$	$\lvert U_{\mu 4}\rvert^2$	$\lvert U_{\tau 4}\rvert^2$
BP1a	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP1b	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP1c	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP1d	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP1e	2×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0	0
BP2a	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP2b	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP2c	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP2d	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP3a	20×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4a	40×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4f	40×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0
BP5a	60×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0



ESS + DARWIN
ESS
DARWIN
BP1b
BP1c
BP1d
$Log_{10}(U^2_{\mu4})$
$Log_{10}(m_4)$ [GeV]
$Log_{10}(U^2_{\tau4})$
$Log_{10}(U^2_{e4})$

	m_4 [GeV]	$\|U_{e4}\|^2$	$\|U_{\mu4}\|^2$	$\|U_{\tau4}\|^2$
BP1a	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP1b	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP1c	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP1d	2×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP1e	2×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0	0
BP2a	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP2b	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-4}
BP2c	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}
BP2d	9×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}
BP3a	20×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4a	40×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0
BP4f	40×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	9×10^{-3}	0
BP5a	60×10^{-3}	0	9×10^{-3}	0


ESS
BP4a
ESS + DARWIN
ESS
DARWIN
BP4a
$Log_{10}(U^2_{\mu 4})$
$Log_{10}(U^2_{e4})$
$Log_{10}(m_4)$ [GeV]
$Log_{10}(U^2_{\mu 4})$
−1.5
−2.0
−2.5
−3.0
−3.5