

Assignment 3 - WRITEUP.pdf

Introduction:

For the write-up, I will be focusing on my code and data collection. Firstly I want to mention that some code assignments that produce the sorting algorithm were given to me in the form of pseudocode. My assignment consisted of different parts but was mostly concentrated on the sorting algorithms below:

Insertion Sort

Heap Sort

Bash Sort

Quick Sort

Those functions were used to sort an array of integers from the smallest to the largest number.

Makefile:

The Makefile below was used to create only 1 executable file, which would be called - ***sorting*** (usage explained in *README.md*)

```
cc = clang
CFLAGS = -Wall -Wextra -Werror -Wpedantic -g

.PHONY: all clean

all: sorting

sorting: sorting.o batcher.o heap.o insert.o quick.o stats.o
    $(CC) sorting.o batcher.o heap.o insert.o quick.o stats.o -o sorting

batcher.o: batcher.c batcher.h stats.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c batcher.c -o batcher.o

heap.o: heap.c heap.h stats.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c heap.c -o heap.o

insert.o: insert.c insert.h stats.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c insert.c -o insert.o

quick.o: quick.c quick.h stats.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c quick.c -o quick.o

stats.o: stats.c stats.h
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c stats.c -o stats.o

clean:
    rm -f sorting sorting.o
```

Sorting + Data Collection

In the table below I describe the sorting algorithm used and data collection. I was, unfortunately, unable to collect accurate data, that would allow me for graph creation, therefore I decided not to make one as it would not be accurate.

My Algorithm

Below is the print output of my algorithm

```
Insertion Sort, 10 elements, 41 moves, 29 compares,  
 34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600  
538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085  
  
Heap Sort, 10 elements, 0 moves, 1 compares,  
 34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600  
538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085  
  
Quick Sort, 10 elements, 162 moves, 45 compares,  
 34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600  
538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085  
  
Batcher Sort, 10 elements, 39 moves, 16 compares,  
 34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600  
538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085
```

Sorting Algorithm	elements	First Value	Last Value	Moves	Compares
Insertion	10	34732749	994582085	41	29
Heap	10	34732749	994582085	0	1
Quick	10	34732749	994582085	162	45
Batcher	10	34732749	994582085	39	16

Given Algorithm

Below is the print output of the given algorithm. I was predicting my algorithm to come out this way.

```
Insertion Sort, 10 elements, 41 moves, 29 compares
  34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600
  538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085
Batcher Sort, 10 elements, 39 moves, 31 compares
  34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600
  538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085
Heap Sort, 10 elements, 93 moves, 44 compares
  34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600
  538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085
Quick Sort, 10 elements, 51 moves, 22 compares
  34732749    42067670    104268822    134750049    182960600
  538219612    954916333    966879077    989854347    994582085
```

Sorting Algorithm	elements	First Value	Last Value	Moves	Compares
Insertion	10	34732749	994582085	41	29
Heap	10	34732749	994582085	93	44
Quick	10	34732749	994582085	51	22
Batcher	10	34732749	994582085	39	32

Data Description:

I have implemented the algorithms successfully. All the algorithms sort sequences from the smallest to the largest value. In addition, the moves and compares have been successfully implemented in the Insertion algorithm. However, I have not implemented the data collection in the other ones successfully, which made it unable for me to be able to compare them to a sufficient degree enough. Therefore I would not be able to assess my hypothesis of the execution of the different algorithms.

Conclusion:

From my data shown I was unfortunately unable to point out the most efficient algorithm, however, I have realized certain points:

- The optimal sorting algorithm would be 'n' therefore the sorting through the array only once, however since that is not possible, we are trying to get as close to the number as possible
- The simplest algorithm runs n^2 and therefore the goal of building an algorithm would be to have it between n^2 and n

- Algorithm is more important than the processor speed. I learned that some sorting algorithms can be executed in parallel, making them even faster, however, a good algorithm is crucial to the speed of the overall program, when dealing with a lot of data