# CM1202 Developing Quality Software Test Marksheet

Τ	eam:	

## Test Cases (20%):

Each test case will be assessed against the following criteria:

### Clarity of Test <u>Data</u> (input and output):

1st	Test case provides a comprehensive set of information to carry out the test including appropriate input test data and expected outputs for all of the steps included in the test case.
2.1	Test case provides the user with appropriate information to carry out the test including appropriate input data and expected outputs for most of the steps in the test case.
2.2	Test case gives the user a reasonable indication of how to carry out the test, including appropriate input data and expected outputs for most of the core steps
3rd	Test case gives the user a reasonable indication of how to carry out the test but provides only a vague indication of the data to input and only a vague indication of what outputs to expect.
Fail	Test case gives only a vague indication of how to carry out the test with no input data or expected outputs.

### Clarity of step in basic flow

	The test case covers all essential steps in the basic flow that are relevant for
1st	validating whether the prototype has met the main requirement.
	The test case covers almost all essential steps in the basic flow that are
2.1	relevant for validating whether the prototype has met the main requirement.
	The test case covers <b>most</b> essential steps in the basic flow that are relevant
2.2	for validating whether the prototype has met the main requirement.
	The test case provides some reasonable steps for validating whether the
3rd	prototype has met the main requirement
	Steps provided (if any) give little confidence that the main requirement can be
Fail	validated.

### **Consistency of the Set of Test Cases**

**Test Case Descriptions:** 

Excellent: All test cases used the same appropriate test case template	
Good: <b>Most</b> test cases used a similar test case template.	
Adequate: At least half of the test cases were reasonably similar.	
Poor: <b>Most</b> of the test cases were inconsistent	

#### Test case 1: Enable student to take a summative assessment.

Clarity of test data	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor
Clarity of Basic Flow	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐ Adequate ☐ Poor

Test case 2: The lecturer can view student performance on summative assessments							
Clarity of test data	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Clarity of Basic Flow	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Test Case 3: Lecturer must be able to create as assessment							
Clarity of test data	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Clarity of Basic Flow	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Test Case 4: Enable student to take a formative assessment							
Clarity of test data	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Clarity of Basic Flow	☐ Excellent	□Good	∏Adequate ∏Poor				
Test Case 5: Teams of five or more performance on formative assessment		can view	statistics about cohort's				
Clarity of test data	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Clarity of Basic Flow	☐ Excellent	□Good	∏Adequate ∏Poor				
Test Case 6: Teams of six: Lecturer must be able to modify the formative or summative assessments.							
Clarity of test data	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Clarity of Basic Flow	☐ Excellent	□Good	☐Adequate ☐Poor				
Comments:							
	Morks						
Mark:							