

# Enhancing Image Processing Efficiency for MRI Data: Comparative Analysis of Threading and Parallel Processing

Mst. Aysa Siddika Meem  
*Department of CSE*  
*Independent University, Bangladesh*  
Dhaka, Bangladesh  
2220281@iub.edu.bd

Md Maruf Khan  
*Department of CSE*  
*Independent University, Bangladesh*  
Dhaka, Bangladesh  
2221901@iub.edu.bd

Abdur Rouf  
*Department of CSE*  
*Independent University, Bangladesh*  
Dhaka, Bangladesh  
2231499@iub.edu.bd

**Abstract**—By using threading and parallel processing approaches, this work aims to improve the effectiveness of MRI-based image processing for brain tumor identification. These consist of shared memory, Message Passing Interface (MPI), and GPU programming. Our method optimizes computational speed while preserving model correctness by focusing on crucial processing steps including preprocessing, normalization, and training. We evaluate improvements in processing time, computational efficiency, and resource consumption by comparing model performance with and without threading in a methodical manner. We show through this comparative research that threading and parallel processing techniques greatly minimize bottlenecks, improving the suitability of MRI operations for clinical real-time applications. This study addresses the scalability and computational issues in brain tumor diagnostics by providing a thorough methodology for incorporating threading into image processing pipelines.

**Keywords**—MRI, Threading, Parallel Processing, Deep Learning, Image Processing, Preprocessing, GPU Programming, Computational Efficiency

## I. INTRODUCTION

Brain tumors contribute to high rates of morbidity and mortality, making them a major worldwide health concern. The improvement of patient outcomes depends on early and precise detection. Because it can provide precise structural and functional images, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is still a key diagnostic tool for brain tumors. However, scalability and real-time applications are significantly hampered by the computational requirements of processing high-resolution MRI data [1]–[3].

There are several steps in MRI workflows, such as preprocessing, normalization, and deep learning model training for tumor classification. Preprocessing alone takes up around 30–40% of the processing time, making these steps computationally demanding. Additionally, depending on the architecture’s complexity, training deep learning models on sizable MRI datasets frequently takes hours or even days [4], [5]. The requirements of large-scale or real-time implementations are frequently too great for conventional procedures, particularly in settings with limited resources.

A solution is offered by threading and parallel processing algorithms, which divide up computational jobs among several processors or cores. Concurrent task execution is made possible via GPU programming, shared memory, and MPI, which greatly cuts down on processing time and enhances resource usage. Research has shown that using threading and parallelization approaches can reduce training time by up to 70% and preprocessing time by 40% [6]–[8]. However, most of the research that is currently available concentrates on particular tasks, like training or inference, without assessing how they affect entire MRI workflows.

The purpose of this work is to close this gap by methodically contrasting the performance of models that use parallel processing and threading with those that do not. In order to offer practical insights into streamlining MRI operations for real-time clinical applications, we assess criteria including computing speed, resource efficiency, parallel processing, performance, and accuracy [9]–[11].

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### Evolution of Deep Learning Architectures for MRI Tumor Detection

MRI-based tumor detection procedures have become much more accurate and efficient with the incorporation of deep learning models. Using CNNs for feature extraction and SVMs for reliable classification, hybrid architectures such as CNN-SVM models have shown 96.5% accuracy [4]. By identifying intricate spatial and structural patterns in MRI data, sophisticated designs like DenseNet169 and ResNet152 have raised accuracy levels to above 97.8% [7], [12].

YOLOv5 and YOLOv7, two real-time detection frameworks, have made significant progress in the field with mean average precision (mAP) ratings of 98.2% and 97.3%, respectively. With processing rates as low as 10 milliseconds per image, these models are very efficient for multiclass tumor classification applications [6], [8], [13]. These developments demonstrate how well deep learning works for tumor diagnosis while emphasizing the necessity of computationally efficient methods to manage massive MRI datasets [3].

## Bottlenecks in Conventional MRI Workflows

Despite advances in deep learning, MRI workflows continue to encounter significant bottlenecks:

- 1) **Preprocessing Overheads:** Up to 40% of the processing time is spent on the extensive preprocessing required for high-resolution MRI images, which includes noise reduction and normalization [1], [2].
- 2) **Training Complexity:** Scalability and real-time application are constrained by the training complexity of models such as DenseNet and ResNet, which often necessitate high-performance hardware [9], [14].
- 3) **Data Imbalance:** Model performance tends to be skewed, with sensitivity to minority classes being reduced when rare tumor types account for less than 10% of most datasets [5], [15].

In order to overcome these difficulties, threading and parallel processing techniques allow computationally demanding activities to be completed concurrently. Training speed increases of up to 70% have been demonstrated in studies that use GPU acceleration for CNN procedures like convolution and pooling [6], [16]. Execution times have been lowered by 30–50% when multi-threading techniques are used for preprocessing activities like data augmentation and normalization [17]–[19]. Through the effective use of MPI for distributed training, workloads can be split among several processors, further increasing scalability [10], [13].

The combined effect of threading and parallel processing approaches on complete MRI operations is still poorly understood, despite its potential in certain jobs. Previous research frequently concentrates on discrete phases, like inference optimization, without taking pretreatment and normalization into account. Moreover, there is a large gap in the practical applicability of these strategies due to the inadequate investigation of their scalability in resource-constrained contexts [11], [20].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Md Ishtyaq Mahmud, Muntasir Mamun, and Ahmed Abdelgawad. A deep analysis of brain tumor detection from mr images using deep learning networks. *Algorithms*, 16(4):176, 2023.
- [2] Akmalbek Bobomirzaevich Abdusalomov, Mukhriddin Mukhiddinov, and Taeg Keun Whangbo. Brain tumor detection based on deep learning approaches and magnetic resonance imaging. *Cancers*, 15(16):4172, 2023.
- [3] Mohammad Zafer Khaliki and Muhammet Sinan Başarslan. Brain tumor detection from images and comparison with transfer learning methods and 3-layer cnn. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1):2664, 2024.
- [4] Mohammad Omid Khairandish, Meenakshi Sharma, Vishal Jain, Jyotiir Moy Chatterjee, and NZ Jhanjhi. A hybrid cnn-svm threshold segmentation approach for tumor detection and classification of mri brain images. *Irbm*, 43(4):290–299, 2022.
- [5] P Gokila Brindha, M Kavinraj, P Manivasakam, and P Prasanth. Brain tumor detection from mri images using deep learning techniques. In *IOP conference series: materials science and engineering*, volume 1055, page 012115. IOP Publishing, 2021.
- [6] Maram Fahaad Almufareh, Muhammad Imran, Abdullah Khan, Mamoon Humayun, and Muhammad Asim. Automated brain tumor segmentation and classification in mri using yolo-based deep learning. *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [7] Sandeep Kumar Mathivanan, Sridevi Sonaimuthu, Sankar Murugesan, Hariharan Rajadurai, Basu Dev Shivahare, and Mohd Asif Shah. Employing deep learning and transfer learning for accurate brain tumor detection. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1):7232, 2024.
- [8] Mohamed Amine Mahjoubi, Soufiane Hamida, OE Gannour, Bouchaib Cherradi, AE Abbassi, and Abdelhadi Raihani. Improved multiclass brain tumor detection using convolutional neural networks and magnetic resonance imaging. *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, 14(3), 2023.
- [9] Amal Al-Shahrani, Wusaylah Al-Amoudi, Raghad Bazaraah, Atheer Al-Sharief, and Hanan Farouque. An image processing-based and deep learning model to classify brain cancer. *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*, 14(4):15433–15438, 2024.
- [10] Lu Xu and Morteza Mohammadi. Brain tumor diagnosis from mri based on mobilenetv2 optimized by contracted fox optimization algorithm. *Heliyon*, 10(1), 2024.
- [11] Ramdas Vankdothu and Mohd Abdul Hameed. Brain tumor mri images identification and classification based on the recurrent convolutional neural network. *Measurement: Sensors*, 24:100412, 2022.
- [12] Aimin Yang, Xiaolei Yang, Wenrui Wu, Huixiang Liu, and Yunxi Zhuansun. Research on feature extraction of tumor image based on convolutional neural network. *IEEE access*, 7:24204–24213, 2019.
- [13] Raheleh Hashemzahi, Seyyed Javad Seyyed Mahdavi, Maryam Kheirabadi, and Seyed Reza Kamel. Detection of brain tumors from mri images base on deep learning using hybrid model cnn and nade. *biocybernetics and biomedical engineering*, 40(3):1225–1232, 2020.
- [14] Min Zhang, Geoffrey S Young, Huai Chen, Jing Li, Lei Qin, J Ricardo McFaline-Figueroa, David A Reardon, Xinhua Cao, Xian Wu, and Xiaoyin Xu. Deep-learning detection of cancer metastases to the brain on mri. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 52(4):1227–1236, 2020.
- [15] Abdullah A Asiri, Toufique Ahmed Soomro, Ahmed Ali Shah, Ganna Pogrebna, Muhammad Irfan, and Saeed Alqahtani. Optimized brain tumor detection: A dual-module approach for mri image enhancement and tumor classification. *IEEE Access*, 12:42868–42887, 2024.
- [16] Arkapravo Chattopadhyay and Mausumi Maitra. Mri-based brain tumour image detection using cnn based deep learning method. *Neuroscience informatics*, 2(4):100060, 2022.
- [17] Javeria Amin, Muhammad Sharif, Mussarat Yasmin, and Steven Lawrence Fernandes. A distinctive approach in brain tumor detection and classification using mri. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 139:118–127, 2020.
- [18] Shenbagarajan Anantharajan, Shenbagalakshmi Gunasekaran, Thavasi Subramanian, and R Venkatesh. Mri brain tumor detection using deep learning and machine learning approaches. *Measurement: Sensors*, 31:101026, 2024.
- [19] Ahmet Çınar and Muhammed Yildirim. Detection of tumors on brain mri images using the hybrid convolutional neural network architecture. *Medical hypotheses*, 139:109684, 2020.
- [20] Javeria Amin, Muhammad Sharif, Mudassar Raza, and Mussarat Yasmin. Detection of brain tumor based on features fusion and machine learning. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, pages 1–17, 2024.