

Enhancing Image Processing Efficiency for MRI Data: Comparative Analysis of Threading and Parallel Processing

Mst. Aysa Siddika Meem
Department of CSE
Independent University, Bangladesh
Dhaka, Bangladesh
2220281@iub.edu.bd

Md Maruf Khan
Department of CSE
Independent University, Bangladesh
Dhaka, Bangladesh
2221901@iub.edu.bd

Abdur Rouf
Department of CSE
Independent University, Bangladesh
Dhaka, Bangladesh
2231499@iub.edu.bd

Abstract—Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is a cornerstone in brain tumor diagnosis, offering high-resolution imaging for precise detection and classification. However, the computational demands of processing MRI data pose significant challenges, particularly for real-time applications. This study focuses on enhancing image processing efficiency by employing threading and parallel processing techniques, including GPU programming and Message Passing Interface (MPI). Using the U-Net model with a CNN algorithm, we achieved a high accuracy of 99.6% for tumor segmentation and classification. Our primary goal is to evaluate model performance with and without threading to demonstrate the computational improvements offered by parallel processing techniques. The results of this comparative analysis highlight the potential for threading to optimize MRI workflows, improving both speed and resource utilization while maintaining high diagnostic accuracy.

Keywords—MRI, Threading, Parallel Processing, U-Net, CNN, Image Processing, GPU Programming, Real-Time Efficiency

I. INTRODUCTION

Brain tumors are one of the most significant causes of neurological morbidity and mortality worldwide, accounting for a substantial proportion of cancer-related deaths. Early and accurate detection of brain tumors is crucial for timely intervention and effective treatment. Over the past few decades, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has emerged as the gold standard for brain tumor diagnosis due to its ability to provide high-resolution, non-invasive, and detailed images of the brain's structure. MRI scans can differentiate between healthy and diseased tissues, helping clinicians identify abnormalities such as tumors with precision [1], [2].

However, as MRI scans become more detailed, the computational cost associated with processing high-resolution MRI data increases. This poses significant challenges in terms of both computational time and resource utilization. In clinical environments, time efficiency is crucial, and any delays in processing can lead to slower diagnosis, which is particularly critical in acute care settings where quick decisions are necessary. In research and medical diagnostics, there is a growing need for efficient systems that can process vast amounts of data in a timely manner without compromising accuracy. While

traditional methods in MRI analysis can be computationally expensive and time-consuming, recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and deep learning offer the potential to overcome these limitations [3], [4].

MRI workflows typically involve several stages, including image acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, and model training. Preprocessing involves tasks such as denoising, normalization, and image enhancement, which are essential for improving the quality of MRI images but can be computationally intensive. The second step, feature extraction, is crucial for identifying relevant patterns in the data, and advanced machine learning models, especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have shown remarkable success in extracting high-level features from raw MRI data. Once features are extracted, machine learning models like CNNs are trained to classify images or segment regions of interest, such as brain tumors. These steps require substantial computational resources, especially when dealing with large, high-dimensional datasets. The time it takes to process MRI data can significantly delay diagnosis and treatment, further emphasizing the need for optimization techniques that can speed up these processes while maintaining or improving accuracy [5], [6].

To address these issues, this research investigates the use of threading and parallel processing techniques, such as GPU programming, Message Passing Interface (MPI), and shared memory models, to optimize the performance of MRI processing workflows. These techniques aim to enhance computational efficiency by distributing the workload across multiple processors, thereby reducing the time required for processing large datasets. Threading, in particular, allows different parts of a task to be executed simultaneously, effectively utilizing the full power of multi-core processors and GPUs. For example, tasks such as data augmentation and inference can be parallelized, resulting in faster processing times. In addition to threading, the use of distributed systems through MPI allows large datasets to be split and processed across multiple machines, making it possible to handle even larger MRI datasets in less time. This parallelization not only improves speed but also reduces the strain on individual processing units, which is

essential when working with resource-intensive models like CNNs [7], [8].

While many studies have explored the use of deep learning for MRI-based brain tumor detection, few have comprehensively examined the impact of threading and parallel processing techniques on the entire MRI workflow, from preprocessing to model training and inference. Most existing research focuses on optimizing individual tasks, such as image segmentation or classification, without considering the efficiency of the entire pipeline. Moreover, the scalability of threading and parallel processing in real-time clinical environments remains underexplored. In this study, we aim to fill these gaps by evaluating the performance of the U-Net model combined with a CNN, specifically focusing on comparing the model's performance with and without threading. We hypothesize that the integration of threading and parallel processing will not only improve processing times but also contribute to the efficiency of MRI workflows, enabling real-time deployment in clinical settings.

The primary goals of this study are to:

- 1) Evaluate the impact of threading and parallel processing on MRI workflow efficiency, particularly during preprocessing, feature extraction, and training stages.
- 2) Compare the performance of the U-Net model with CNNs using threading and non-threaded implementations to demonstrate the improvements in computational efficiency.
- 3) Analyze the potential of these optimization techniques in real-time clinical applications, focusing on scalability and resource utilization.
- 4) Provide a comprehensive framework for integrating threading into MRI-based workflows, highlighting its benefits in terms of processing speed, resource management, and diagnostic accuracy.

Through this comparative analysis, we aim to establish a more efficient approach for processing MRI data, potentially revolutionizing the way brain tumors are detected and classified. This will ultimately contribute to enhancing the clinical decision-making process by providing faster, more accurate diagnostic tools for healthcare professionals.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Annual Developments in MRI-Based Brain Tumor Identification

Yang et al. developed a CNN integrated with Local Binary Patterns (LBP) for texture feature extraction, achieving an accuracy of 95.2%. This method optimized texture representation for tumor classification but lacked support for multiclass datasets and real-time deployment [9].

In 2020, significant efforts were made to combine deep learning with hybrid approaches for brain tumor detection. Amin et al. developed a CNN integrated with K-means clustering for segmentation, achieving 96.73% accuracy. Their approach demonstrated effective classification but suffered from limitations in dataset size and real-time testing [7].

Similarly, Zhang et al. focused on cancer metastases detection using a custom CNN with transfer learning. While their model achieved 93.8% accuracy, its dependency on dataset diversity limited its scalability across different tumor types [4]. Another contribution by Çınar and Yildirim introduced a hybrid CNN architecture combining inception modules with fully connected layers. Their method achieved an accuracy of 92.5% but lacked advanced optimization techniques and model interpretability [10].

Hashemzahi et al. proposed a hybrid CNN-NADE model for tumor classification, achieving 94.3% accuracy. This model highlighted the potential of hybrid architectures but required further validation on diverse datasets and real-time evaluation [11].

In 2021, Brindha et al. emphasized improving tumor classification using deep learning techniques. Their work demonstrated enhancements in classification accuracy by training CNN models on augmented datasets. However, their research did not explicitly report accuracy metrics and lacked integration with real-time clinical workflows, leaving room for further optimization [6].

In 2022, there was a notable advancement in combining hybrid models for tumor detection and classification. Khairanish et al. developed a hybrid CNN-SVM approach for tumor detection and classification of MRI brain images, achieving an accuracy of 94.2%. This model utilized threshold segmentation techniques along with CNNs and SVMs for effective tumor segmentation and classification. While their method demonstrated robust performance, it faced challenges related to the scalability of the model and the generalization of results to diverse MRI datasets [12].

Additionally, Chattopadhyay and Maitra proposed an enhanced CNN incorporating dropout regularization and batch normalization, achieving an accuracy of 97.01%. Their model significantly improved classification performance but faced challenges in terms of dataset diversity and mobile deployment [13]. Vankdothu and Hameed explored the use of Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks (R-CNNs) for tumor classification, focusing on temporal feature extraction, and achieved 95.8% accuracy. Their method lacked hybrid architecture exploration and explainable AI capabilities, highlighting an area for future improvement [14].

Mahmud et al. developed a hybrid CNN-SVM model utilizing transfer learning, achieving 98.3% accuracy. While effective for multiclass classification, the model required further optimization for real-time applications [5]. Mahjoubi et al. extended this work by incorporating residual learning layers into CNNs, achieving 98.7% accuracy. However, scalability remained a challenge for resource-constrained environments [15].

Abdusalomov et al. explored multimodal MRI feature fusion, achieving 96.2% accuracy. Despite leveraging MRI modalities effectively, their approach suffered from computational inefficiencies during preprocessing and dataset imbalances [1].

Recent advancements in the field of brain tumor detection

using MRI have focused on optimizing deep learning models, particularly through the use of transfer learning, optimization algorithms, and the integration of real-time processing capabilities. The year 2024 has seen several contributions that demonstrate the efficacy of these methods in improving model performance and ensuring their applicability in clinical environments, where both accuracy and computational efficiency are paramount.

- 1) Al-Shahrani et al. (2024) introduced a deep learning approach for binary brain tumor classification using a convolutional neural network (CNN) trained on augmented MRI datasets. Their model achieved an impressive accuracy of 97.3%. However, while the results demonstrated high classification performance, the authors acknowledged that further validation for multiclass tumor classification is needed. Additionally, the model's deployment in real-time clinical environments remains an area for further exploration. This highlights the need for models that are not only accurate but also scalable and adaptable to diverse tumor types, which is critical for practical use in clinical settings [16].
- 2) Khaliki et al. (2024) conducted a comparative analysis of transfer learning methodologies, using both ResNet50 and a custom-designed 3-layer CNN. Their approach achieved an accuracy of 96.4%. The authors demonstrated the effectiveness of transfer learning in enhancing model performance, particularly for brain tumor detection. However, they identified the need for lightweight optimization techniques to enable the deployment of these models in environments with limited computational resources, such as edge devices and mobile platforms. This finding underscores the importance of optimizing models for real-time, on-site tumor detection without sacrificing accuracy or computational efficiency [2].
- 3) Xu and Mohammadi (2024) explored the use of MobileNetV2, a lightweight architecture optimized through the contracted fox optimization algorithm. Their method achieved a commendable 95.6% accuracy in tumor detection. Despite its potential for real-time tumor classification, the study faced limitations regarding the validation of the model on large-scale, diverse datasets. This limitation is crucial, as real-world clinical applications require models that can generalize well across various patient populations and MRI machines. The authors suggested that further validation on more extensive and heterogeneous datasets is essential to improve the model's robustness and applicability [3].
- 4) Almufareh et al. (2024) employed YOLOv5 for real-time tumor segmentation and classification, achieving a mean average precision (mAP) of 98.2%. YOLO-based models are particularly suitable for real-time applications due to their rapid inference times. However, Almufareh et al. identified that their model struggled with imbalanced datasets, which affected the model's

ability to accurately classify less common tumor types. This challenge highlights a critical issue in many deep learning models: the need for robust data augmentation techniques that can handle the class imbalance often present in medical datasets. Addressing this issue is vital for ensuring that tumor detection models can generalize well across different clinical scenarios [8].

- 5) Mathivanan et al. (2024) applied both ResNet and VGG models using transfer learning to improve tumor detection accuracy, achieving a classification accuracy of 97.6%. Their study demonstrated the effectiveness of transfer learning in leveraging pre-trained models to enhance performance on smaller MRI datasets. However, they noted that the generalization of their model could be improved through additional data augmentation techniques. This is an important consideration, as real-world MRI datasets are often limited in size and diversity, and augmenting the data can improve the model's robustness and ability to handle diverse cases [17].
- 6) Anantharajan et al. (2024) proposed an ensemble approach that combined deep CNNs with random forests, achieving 96.5% accuracy. While this ensemble method demonstrated strong performance, the authors highlighted a significant limitation: the lack of support for edge computing applications. For real-time clinical use, particularly in resource-constrained environments, models must be optimized for efficient deployment on mobile devices and edge servers. This limitation points to a broader challenge in the field—designing models that are not only accurate but also computationally efficient and deployable in diverse clinical settings with limited resources [18].
- 7) Asiri et al. (2024) introduced a dual-module CNN architecture aimed at enhancing MRI image quality for improved tumor classification, achieving an accuracy of 98.5%. The dual-module approach allowed for image enhancement, which contributed to better classification results. However, the study pointed out that further evaluation was required to address dataset imbalances, particularly for rare tumor types, and the model needs to be validated on larger and more diverse datasets. These findings suggest that while image enhancement can improve model performance, the underlying challenge of dataset imbalance remains a critical issue that needs to be addressed in future research [19].
- 8) Amin et al. (2024) proposed a feature fusion approach combining multimodal MRI data with SVM classifiers, achieving 94.7% accuracy. This approach demonstrated the potential of integrating features from multiple MRI modalities, but the model's scalability to larger datasets remains a challenge. Further research is needed to improve the robustness of this approach across varied tumor types and clinical settings [20].

To sum up, the developments in 2024 demonstrate a great deal of progress in refining deep learning models for MRI

data-based brain tumor diagnosis. While tackling issues with dataset imbalance and computational efficiency, researchers have concentrated on enhancing accuracy through transfer learning and optimization strategies. Nevertheless, there are still shortcomings with regard to model generalization, scalability, and deployment in situations with limited resources, even with these advancements. As investigated in this paper, the combination of threading and parallel processing approaches provide a viable way to improve these models' performance and give them the speed and scalability required for real-time clinical applications.

Building upon these advancements, our research employs the U-Net model integrated with CNN algorithms, achieving an accuracy of 99.6%. The U-Net model is specifically designed for medical image segmentation, ensuring precise tumor localization and classification. By implementing threading and parallel processing techniques, we aim to evaluate their impact on computational efficiency. The study compares model performance with and without threading, demonstrating significant improvements in processing time and resource utilization. This comparative analysis highlights the potential for threading to optimize MRI workflows for real-time clinical applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] Akmalbek Bobomirzaevich Abdusalomov, Mukhriddin Mukhiddinov, and Taeg Keun Whangbo. Brain tumor detection based on deep learning approaches and magnetic resonance imaging. *Cancers*, 15(16):4172, 2023.
- [2] Mohammad Zafer Khaliki and Muhammet Sinan Başarslan. Brain tumor detection from images and comparison with transfer learning methods and 3-layer cnn. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1):2664, 2024.
- [3] Lu Xu and Morteza Mohammadi. Brain tumor diagnosis from mri based on mobilenetv2 optimized by contracted fox optimization algorithm. *Heliyon*, 10(1), 2024.
- [4] Min Zhang, Geoffrey S Young, Huai Chen, Jing Li, Lei Qin, J Ricardo McFaline-Figueroa, David A Reardon, Xinhua Cao, Xian Wu, and Xiaoyin Xu. Deep-learning detection of cancer metastases to the brain on mri. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 52(4):1227–1236, 2020.
- [5] Md Ishtyaq Mahmud, Muntasir Mamun, and Ahmed Abdelgawad. A deep analysis of brain tumor detection from mr images using deep learning networks. *Algorithms*, 16(4):176, 2023.
- [6] P Gokila Brindha, M Kavinraj, P Manivasakam, and P Prasanth. Brain tumor detection from mri images using deep learning techniques. In *IOP conference series: materials science and engineering*, volume 1055, page 012115. IOP Publishing, 2021.
- [7] Javeria Amin, Muhammad Sharif, Mussarat Yasmin, and Steven Lawrence Fernandes. A distinctive approach in brain tumor detection and classification using mri. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 139:118–127, 2020.
- [8] Maram Fahaad Almufareh, Muhammad Imran, Abdullah Khan, Mamoon Humayun, and Muhammad Asim. Automated brain tumor segmentation and classification in mri using yolo-based deep learning. *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [9] Aimin Yang, Xiaolei Yang, Wenrui Wu, Huixiang Liu, and Yunxi Zhuansun. Research on feature extraction of tumor image based on convolutional neural network. *IEEE access*, 7:24204–24213, 2019.
- [10] Ahmet Çinar and Muhammed Yildirim. Detection of tumors on brain mri images using the hybrid convolutional neural network architecture. *Medical hypotheses*, 139:109684, 2020.
- [11] Raheleh Hashemzahi, Seyyed Javad Seyyed Mahdavi, Maryam Kheirabadi, and Seyed Reza Kamel. Detection of brain tumors from mri images base on deep learning using hybrid model cnn and nade. *biocybernetics and biomedical engineering*, 40(3):1225–1232, 2020.
- [12] Mohammad Omid Khairandish, Meenakshi Sharma, Vishal Jain, Jyotir Moy Chatterjee, and NZ Jhanjhi. A hybrid cnn-svm threshold segmentation approach for tumor detection and classification of mri brain images. *Irbm*, 43(4):290–299, 2022.
- [13] Arkapravo Chattopadhyay and Mausumi Maitra. Mri-based brain tumour image detection using cnn based deep learning method. *Neuroscience informatics*, 2(4):100060, 2022.
- [14] Ramdas Vankdothu and Mohd Abdul Hameed. Brain tumor mri images identification and classification based on the recurrent convolutional neural network. *Measurement: Sensors*, 24:100412, 2022.
- [15] Mohamed Amine Mahjoubi, Soufiane Hamida, OE Gannour, Bouchaib Cherradi, AE Abbassi, and Abdelhadi Raihani. Improved multiclass brain tumor detection using convolutional neural networks and magnetic resonance imaging. *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, 14(3), 2023.
- [16] Amal Al-Shahrani, Wusaylah Al-Amoudi, Raghad Bazaraah, Atheer Al-Sharief, and Hanan Farouquee. An image processing-based and deep learning model to classify brain cancer. *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*, 14(4):15433–15438, 2024.
- [17] Sandeep Kumar Mathivanan, Sridevi Sonaimuthu, Sankar Murugesan, Hariharan Rajadurai, Basu Dev Shivahare, and Mohd Asif Shah. Employing deep learning and transfer learning for accurate brain tumor detection. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1):7232, 2024.
- [18] Shenbagarajan Anantharajan, Shenbagalakshmi Gunasekaran, Thavasi Subramanian, and R Venkatesh. Mri brain tumor detection using deep learning and machine learning approaches. *Measurement: Sensors*, 31:101026, 2024.
- [19] Abdullah A Asiri, Toufique Ahmed Soomro, Ahmed Ali Shah, Ganna Pogrebna, Muhammad Irfan, and Saeed Alqahtani. Optimized brain tumor detection: A dual-module approach for mri image enhancement and tumor classification. *IEEE Access*, 12:42868–42887, 2024.
- [20] Javeria Amin, Muhammad Sharif, Mudassar Raza, and Mussarat Yasmin. Detection of brain tumor based on features fusion and machine learning. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, pages 1–17, 2024.