### **Housing Book**

Mary Daniels

2025-07-11

### Table of contents

ln	troduction	3
I	Evictions	4
1	Hepburn, Louis, and Desmond (2020)         1.1 Research Question          1.2 Data          1.3 Method          1.4 Results          1.4.1 Racial Disparities          1.4.2 Gender Disparities          1.5 Paper	7 8 8 8 9
2	Collinson et al. (2025) 2.1 Paper	12
3	Collinson et al. (2024) - ERA           3.1 Paper	<b>15</b> 17
4	Collinson et al. (2024) - Legal Counsel           4.1 Paper	<b>18</b> 20
5	Estes and Nelson (2025) 5.1 Paper	<b>21</b>

### Introduction

This book consisting of literature on housing related topics such as

- $\bullet$  evictions
- nuisance ordinances
- housing affordability

# Part I Evictions

This section of the book contains papers related to evictions. Below are a list of the chapters and their abstracts.

### Chapter 1

Title: Racial and Gender Disparities among Evicted Americans

Abstract: Drawing on millions of court records of eviction cases filed between 2012 and 2016 in 39 states, this study documents the racial and gender demographics of America's evicted population. Black renters received a disproportionate share of eviction filings and experienced the highest rates of eviction filing and eviction judgment. Black and Latinx female renters faced higher eviction rates than their male counterparts. Black and Latinx renters were also more likely to be serially filed against for eviction at the same address. These findings represent the most comprehensive investigation to date of racial and gender disparities among evicted renters in the United States.

### Chapter 2

Title: The Effects Of Eviction On Children

**Abstract**: Eviction may be an important channel for the intergenerational transmission of poverty, and concerns about its effects on children are often raised as a rationale for tenant protection policies. We study how eviction impacts children's home environment, school engagement, educational achievement, and high school completion by assembling new data sets linking eviction court records in Chicago and New York to administrative public school records and restricted Census records. To disentangle the consequences of eviction from the effects of correlated sources of economic distress, we use a research design based on the random assignment of court cases to judges who vary in their leniency. We find that eviction increases children's residential mobility, homelessness, and likelihood of doubling up with grandparents or other adults. Eviction also disrupts school engagement, causing increased absences and school changes. While we find little impact on elementary and middle school test scores, eviction substantially reduces high school course credits. Lastly, we find that eviction reduces high school graduation and use a novel bounding method to show that this finding is not driven by differential attrition. The disruptive effects of eviction appear worse for older children and boys. Our evidence suggests that the impact of eviction on children runs through the disruption to the home environment or school engagement rather than deterioration in school or neighborhood quality, and may be moderated by access to family support networks.

#### Chapter 3

**Title**: The Effects Of Emergency Rental Assistance During The Pandemic: Evidence From Four Cities

**Abstract**: Short-term rental assistance programs expanded to unprecedented scale during the COVID-19 pandemic. We evaluate these programs using applications to five assistance programs that disbursed more than\$200 million via lottery. Drawing on administrative and original survey data, we estimate effects on rent payment, housing stability, financial distress,

and health. Assistance led to increases in rent payment and reduced tenants' concerns about eviction. We also find suggestive evidence of improvements in self-reported mental and physical health. However, we find little effect on housing stability or financial distress. Relative to Economic Impact Payments, we find that ERA was well-targeted to high-poverty neighborhoods.

#### Chapter 4

Title: Equilibrium Effects of Eviction Protections: The Case of Legal Assistance

Abstract: "Right-to-counsel" programs provide free legal assistance to tenants in eviction court. Legal assistance can delay or prevent eviction. However, large-scale legal assistance programs can also generate costs for tenants due to equilibrium rental market responses. In this paper, we study how right to counsel impacts rental markets when implemented at scale, and quantify the policy's impact on tenant welfare. Leveraging the geographic rollout of New York City's program, we find listed rent prices rose by \$22-\$38/month within two years of policy implementation, with larger increases in areas with higher baseline eviction rates. We do not find evidence that landlords adjusted on other margins, such as tenant screening or improvements to habitability. Guided by these results, we develop a framework to evaluate the policy's welfare implications for tenants, incorporating the trade-off between protection from eviction and higher rent prices. We quantify the parameters of our framework using linked data on eviction court cases, rental housing listings, and tenant earnings trajectories. Despite the direct benefits and insurance value of stronger eviction protections, the estimated price increases are large enough to generate a small net reduction in ex-ante tenant welfare.

### Chapter 5

**Title**: Justice Divided, Justice Denied? The Effects of Court Rules on Eviction Outcomes in Los Angeles County

**Abstract**: More than 40,000 households in Los Angeles County face eviction each year. Pursuing policies that reduce the number of evictions is of growing importance to state and local policymakers, but the causes and consequences of eviction are poorly understood. By collecting eviction docket records and linking them to administrative data, we are able to study an important institutional determinant of eviction in LA County: how courts assign cases. Because eviction cases are assigned to courthouses based on a unique spatial assignment rule, we test the effect of court assignment on default eviction probability using a regression discontinuity design. We show that courthouse assignment can increase the probability of default eviction by 0.7–23.1 percentage points.

### 1 Hepburn, Louis, and Desmond (2020)

Racial and Gender Disparities among Evicted Americans

Drawing on millions of court records of eviction cases filed between 2012 and 2016 in 39 states, this study documents the racial and gender demographics of America's evicted population. Black renters received a disproportionate share of eviction filings and experienced the highest rates of eviction filing and eviction judgment. Black and Latinx female renters faced higher eviction rates than their male counterparts. Black and Latinx renters were also more likely to be serially filed against for eviction at the same address. These findings represent the most comprehensive investigation to date of racial and gender disparities among evicted renters in the United States.

Citation: Hepburn, Peter, Renee Louis, and Matthew Desmond. 2020. "Racial and Gender Disparities among Evicted Americans." Sociological Science 7: 649-662.

### 1.1 Research Question

This paper uses data on eviction filings spanning 2012-2016 from 39 states to document racial and gender disparities in evictions.

### 1.2 Data

The data on evictions came from Princeton's Eviction Lab. The lab got this data from "court administrative data systems". The data is from 2012 to 2016 and includes data from any county that reported data during this period. They ended up with 3,663 county-year observations spanning 1,195 unique counties. These counties contained 37.5% of U.S. renter households and were generally representative of all counties.

The eviction records do not include race and gender, so the researchers predicted each defendant's gender and race based on their names. For race, they use the wru package in r which calculates the probability of someone being a particular race/ethnicity based on two census data sets: the Surname List and the 2010 Decennial Census. This package also takes

into account the racial/ethnic make up of the individual's census tract to generate better predictions.

For each gender-race/ethnicity category, they calculated three county- and renter-level statistics:

- the eviction filing rate the number of people with an eviction filing divided by the renter population
- the eviction rate the number of people with an eviction judgement divided by the renter population
- serial eviction filing rate the number of people serially filed against divided by the the number of unique filing recipients

### 1.3 Method

They present unconditional statistics and use two-tailed t-test to assess differences between mean rates.

### 1.4 Results

- Including serial filings, there were 1.44 eviction filings across the 1,195 included counties from 2012-2016. Of these filings, 660,000 (45.8%) resulted in an eviction judgement.
- After adjusting for serial eviction filings, the average renter faced a 4.1% chance of having an eviction face filed against them and 2.3% chance of having a judgement entered against them.

#### 1.4.1 Racial Disparities

- Black renters were over-represented in the population of renters facing an eviction filing.
  - Black renters made up 19.9% of the renter population in the sampled counties but 32.7% of eviction filing defendants in those counties.
  - Approximately 4 out of 5 black renters (81%) lived in a county where the share of eviction filings against black renters exceeded the share of renters who were black.
  - Black renters are also over-represented in terms of eviction judgments, account for over 30% of eviction judgments.

- The probability of facing the threat of eviction for black renters was 6.2% and 3.4% faced an eviction judgement on average (average across counties).
- All other racial/ethnic groups were *under-represented* in the population of renters facing an eviction filings and eviction judgments.
  - White renters made up 51.5% of the renter population but only 42.7% of eviction filing defendants.
  - White renters had a 3.4% chance of receiving an eviction filing and 2.0% chance of receiving an eviction judgement on average.
  - The average eviction filing probability for Latino renters was 3.6% and the eviction judgment probability was 1.8%.
  - Asian renters had the lowest probability of being evicted. On average, the probability of an Asian renter facing an eviction filing was 2.4% and the probability of an eviction judgment was 1.2%.

### 1.4.2 Gender Disparities

- Black and Latina women faced higher eviction probabilities than their male counterparts.
  - The average eviction judgement probability for black women was 3.5% compared to 3.3% for black men. Annually, 113,415 black women were evicted, 36.3% more than black men (83,182 evicted).
  - The average eviction judgement probability for Latina women was 1.9% compared to 1.7% for Latino men. Latina women also had an eviction filing probability of 3.8% compared to 3.4% of Latino men. The researcher estimate that Latina women face 9.6% more evictions annually than Latino men.
- Black women experienced an eviction filing probability that was 3 percentage points higher than that of white women (6.4% vs 3.4%).
- Among all renters, "the risk of eviction was two percentage higher for women than for men."
- The authors predicted that 341,756 women were evicted annually compared to 294,908 evicted men. The number of women evicted was 15.9% more than the number of men evicted.
- The gender gap in annual evictions was smaller for white people than for Black and Hispanic People. White women experienced 7.7% more evictions each year than white men.



## Racial and Gender Disparities among Evicted Americans

Peter Hepburn,<sup>a</sup> Renee Louis,<sup>b</sup> Matthew Desmond<sup>b</sup>

a) Rutgers University-Newark; b) Princeton University

**Abstract:** Drawing on millions of court records of eviction cases filed between 2012 and 2016 in 39 states, this study documents the racial and gender demographics of America's evicted population. Black renters received a disproportionate share of eviction filings and experienced the highest rates of eviction filing and eviction judgment. Black and Latinx female renters faced higher eviction rates than their male counterparts. Black and Latinx renters were also more likely to be serially filed against for eviction at the same address. These findings represent the most comprehensive investigation to date of racial and gender disparities among evicted renters in the United States.

Keywords: eviction; race/ethnicity; gender; Bayesian imputation; disparate impact; Fair Housing Act

PORCED dislocation from housing is implicated in the reproduction of poverty and disadvantage. Residential eviction has been linked to a wide array of negative consequences, from homelessness and increased material hardship to depression and suicide (Desmond and Kimbro 2015; Osypuk et al. 2012). Documenting populations disproportionately at risk of eviction informs researchers, advocates, and policymakers striving to better understand and address disparities in access to stable housing. Such evidence may be critical in establishing the statistical basis for a prima facie case of a disparate impact claim under the Fair Housing Act (Schwemm and Bradford 2016).

Local studies have documented the demographic characteristics of evicted renters. The Milwaukee Area Renters Study found that eviction risk was higher for black and Latinx and lower-income renters, as well as those with children (Desmond and Gershenson 2017; Desmond, Gershenson, and Kiviat 2015; Desmond and Sholenberger 2015). Systematic review of names listed in eviction court records from Milwaukee County suggested that female renters—particularly in predominantly black and Latinx neighborhoods—were disproportionately evicted (Desmond 2012).

However informative, studies confined to a single city lack generalizability. Eviction is widespread—an estimated 1.6 million households nationwide are displaced annually (Desmond et al. 2018a)—yet no study has documented the demographics of America's evicted renters in national perspective. Are black and Latinx renters evicted at higher rates than their white counterparts? Are female renters evicted at higher rates than men, and is this true for all racial/ethnic groups? To address these questions, we drew on court records of eviction cases filed between 2012 and 2016 against roughly 4.1 million individuals in 39 states. We used these data to calculate a set of counts and rates that offer the most comprehensive examination to date of racial and gender disparities among evicted renters in the United States.

Citation: Hepburn, Peter, Renee Louis, and Matthew Desmond. 2020. "Racial and Gender Disparities among Evicted Americans." Sociological Science 7: 649-662.

Received: September 21, 2020 Accepted: November 14, 2020 Published: December 16, 2020 Editor(s): Jesper Sørensen, Kim Weeden

DOI: 10.15195/v7.a27

Copyright: © 2020 The Author(s). This open-access article has been published under a Creative Commons Attribution License, which allows unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction, in any form, as long as the original author and source have been credited. **②** ●

### 2 Collinson et al. (2025)

The Effects Of Eviction On Children

Eviction may be an important channel for the intergenerational transmission of poverty, and concerns about its effects on children are often raised as a rationale for tenant protection policies. We study how eviction impacts children's home environment, school engagement, educational achievement, and high school completion by assembling new data sets linking eviction court records in Chicago and New York to administrative public school records and restricted Census records. To disentangle the consequences of eviction from the effects of correlated sources of economic distress, we use a research design based on the random assignment of court cases to judges who vary in their leniency. We find that eviction increases children's residential mobility, homelessness, and likelihood of doubling up with grandparents or other adults. Eviction also disrupts school engagement, causing increased absences and school changes. While we find little impact on elementary and middle school test scores, eviction substantially reduces high school course credits. Lastly, we find that eviction reduces high school graduation and use a novel bounding method to show that this finding is not driven by differential attrition. The disruptive effects of eviction appear worse for older children and boys. Our evidence suggests that the impact of eviction on children runs through the disruption to the home environment or school engagement rather than deterioration in school or neighborhood quality, and may be moderated by access to family support networks.

#### NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

#### THE EFFECTS OF EVICTION ON CHILDREN

Robert Collinson Deniz Dutz John Eric Humphries Nicholas S. Mader Daniel Tannenbaum Winnie van Dijk

Working Paper 33659 http://www.nber.org/papers/w33659

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH 1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 April 2025

The New York portion of this research was conducted at the Center for Innovation Through Data Intelligence (CIDI). The views expressed here are not those of CIDI, the Office of Court Administration, or the New York City Human Resource Administration. Any views expressed are those of the authors and not those of the U.S. Census Bureau. The Census Bureau has reviewed this data product to ensure appropriate access, use, and disclosure avoidance protection of the confidential source data used to produce this product. This research was performed at a Federal Statistical Research Data Center under FSRDC Project Number 2476 (CBDRB-FY24-P2476-R10965, CBDRB-FY24-P2476-R11514). The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation (SES-1757112, SES-1757186, SES-1757187), the Laura and John Arnold Foundation, the Spencer Foundation, the Kreisman Initiative on Housing Law and Policy, the Horowitz Foundation for Social Policy, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the Becker Friedman Institute, and the Yale Tobin Center for Economic Policy. We would like to thank Stephanie Bailey, Melissa C. Chiu, Shirley Liu, and Kathryn McNamara at the U.S. Census Bureau, Carmelo Barbaro, Kelly Hallberg, and Emily Metz at UChicago Urban Labs, Eileen Johns, Andy Martens, and Maryanne Schretzman at CIDI, Anna Aizer, Joe Altonji, Raj Chetty, Eric Chyn, Ingrid Gould Ellen, Jeff Denning, Bill Evans, Alex Frankel, Naomi Gershoni, Daniel Grossman, Kirabo Jackson, Jens Ludwig, Erzo Luttmer, Sarah Miller, Magne Mogstad, Derek Neal, Dan O'Flaherty, Katherine O'Regan, Jeff Smith, Doug Staiger, Jim Sullivan, Chris Taber, Chris Walters, Laura Wherry, Abbie Wozniak, Angela Wyse, and many seminar participants for helpful discussion. Isabel Almazan, Iliana Cabral, Ella Deeken, Katherine Kwok, Maria Clara Rodrigues, Naomi Shimberg, and Goksu Zeybek provided excellent research assistance. Any errors are our own. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

NBER working papers are circulated for discussion and comment purposes. They have not been peer-reviewed or been subject to the review by the NBER Board of Directors that accompanies official NBER publications.

© 2025 by Robert Collinson, Deniz Dutz, John Eric Humphries, Nicholas S. Mader, Daniel Tannenbaum, and Winnie van Dijk. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

### 3 Collinson et al. (2024) - ERA

The Effects Of Emergency Rental Assistance During The Pandemic: Evidence From Four Cities

Short-term rental assistance programs expanded to unprecedented scale during the COVID-19 pandemic. We evaluate these programs using applications to five assistance programs that disbursed more than\$200 million via lottery. Drawing on administrative and original survey data, we estimate effects on rent payment, housing stability, financial distress, and health. Assistance led to increases in rent payment and reduced tenants' concerns about eviction. We also find suggestive evidence of improvements in self-reported mental and physical health. However, we find little effect on housing stability or financial distress. Relative to Economic Impact Payments, we find that ERA was well-targeted to high-poverty neighborhoods.

#### NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES

### THE EFFECTS OF EMERGENCY RENTAL ASSISTANCE DURING THE PANDEMIC: EVIDENCE FROM FOUR CITIES

Robert Collinson Anthony A. DeFusco John Eric Humphries Benjamin J. Keys David C. Phillips Vincent Reina Patrick S. Turner Winnie van Dijk

Working Paper 32463 http://www.nber.org/papers/w32463

#### NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

1050 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 May 2024, Revised February 2025

We thank Blanca Garcia, Emily Davis, Will Day, Kenny Hofmeister, Jacqueline Kelley-Cogdell, Ayush Sinha, Stephen Stapleton, Peter Williams, and Seth Zissette for excellent research assistance. DeFusco gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Peter G. Peterson Foundation Pandemic Response Policy Research Fund. Collinson, Phillips, and Turner acknowledge support from the Wilson-Sheehan Lab for Economic Opportunities (LEO). J-PAL North America and LEO provided financial support for surveys. Special thanks to King County employees Jeremey Breicher-Haimson, Christina McHugh, Emily Reimal, and Jesse Warren for lending expertise on both data and policy; the views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of King County. Keys thanks the research sponsors of Wharton's Zell/Lurie Real Estate Center for support. Reina thanks Claudia Aiken and Sydney Goldstein for their research assistance and the Stoneleigh Foundation for their support for surveys, and Ann Sewell, Anna Ortega, Marcella H. DeShurley and others in the City of Los Angeles for their expertise and data; the views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the City of Los Angeles. Humphries and Van Dijk thank the University of Chicago Women's Board, J-PAL North America, William H. Block, Crown Family Philanthropies, the Polk Bros. Foundation, and the Yale Tobin Center for Economic Policy for financial support; Daniel Hertz, Erendira Rendon, and Paul Williams for sharing their expertise on program design and

### 4 Collinson et al. (2024) - Legal Counsel

Equilibrium Effects of Eviction Protections: The Case of Legal Assistance

'Right-to-counsel' programs provide free legal assistance to tenants in eviction court. Legal assistance can delay or prevent eviction. However, large-scale legal assistance programs can also generate costs for tenants due to equilibrium rental market responses. In this paper, we study how right to counsel impacts rental markets when implemented at scale, and quantify the policy's impact on tenant welfare. Leveraging the geographic rollout of New York City's program, we find listed rent prices rose by \$22-\$38/month within two years of policy implementation, with larger increases in areas with higher baseline eviction rates. We do not find evidence that landlords adjusted on other margins, such as tenant screening or improvements to habitability. Guided by these results, we develop a framework to evaluate the policy's welfare implications for tenants, incorporating the trade-off between protection from eviction and higher rent prices. We quantify the parameters of our framework using linked data on eviction court cases, rental housing listings, and tenant earnings trajectories. Despite the direct benefits and insurance value of stronger eviction protections, the estimated price increases are large enough to generate a small net reduction in ex-ante tenant welfare.

### 4.1 Paper

### Equilibrium Effects of Eviction Protections: The Case of Legal Assistance \*

Rob Collinson, John Eric Humphries, Stephanie Kestelman, Scott Nelson, Winnie van Dijk & Daniel Waldinger<sup>†</sup>

#### December 2024

#### Abstract

"Right-to-counsel" programs provide free legal assistance to tenants in eviction court. Legal assistance can delay or prevent eviction. However, large-scale legal assistance programs can also generate costs for tenants due to equilibrium rental market responses. In this paper, we study how right to counsel impacts rental markets when implemented at scale, and quantify the policy's impact on tenant welfare. Leveraging the geographic rollout of New York City's program, we find listed rent prices rose by \$22-\$38/month within two years of policy implementation, with larger increases in areas with higher baseline eviction rates. We do not find evidence that landlords adjusted on other margins, such as tenant screening or improvements to habitability. Guided by these results, we develop a framework to evaluate the policy's welfare implications for tenants, incorporating the trade-off between protection from eviction and higher rent prices. We quantify the parameters of our framework using linked data on eviction court cases, rental housing listings, and tenant earnings trajectories. Despite the direct benefits and insurance value of stronger eviction protections, the estimated price increases are large enough to generate a small net reduction in ex-ante tenant welfare.

<sup>\*</sup>We are grateful to Milena Almagro, Alex Bartik, Peter Bergman, Eric Chyn, Ignacio Cuesta, Eduardo Dávila, Anthony DeFusco, Manasi Deshpande, Rebecca Diamond, Ingrid Gould Ellen, Andy Garin, Matt Gentzkow, Ed Glaeser, Caitlin Gorback, Arpit Gupta, Adam Guren, Nathan Hendren, Allan Hsiao, Larry Katz, Antoine Levy, Shengwu Li, Erzo Luttmer, Tim McQuade, Jeff Miron, Enrico Moretti, Matt Notowidigdo, Bryan Stuart, Nick Tsivanidis, Juan Carlos Suárez Serrato, Shoshana Vasserman and seminar participants for helpful comments. Aryan Arora, Peter Kress, Hannah Maeder, Thu Pham, Maria Clara Rodrigues da Silva, Ben Workman, and Goksu Zeybek provided excellent research assistance. Data were provided by StreetEasy. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Yale Tobin Center for Economic Policy, the Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics at Yale University, and the Fama-Miller Center at the University of Chicago. The results and opinions are those of the authors and do not reflect the position of StreetEasy or any of its affiliates.

 <sup>†</sup>Collinson: University of Notre Dame. Humphries: Yale University. Kestelman: Harvard University. Nelson: Chicago Booth. Van Dijk: Yale University. Waldinger: New York University.

### 5 Estes and Nelson (2025)

Justice Divided, Justice Denied? The Effects of Court Rules on Eviction Outcomes in Los Angeles County

More than 40,000 households in Los Angeles County face eviction each year. Pursuing policies that reduce the number of evictions is of growing importance to state and local policymakers, but the causes and consequences of eviction are poorly understood. By collecting eviction docket records and linking them to administrative data, we are able to study an important institutional determinant of eviction in LA County: how courts assign cases. Because eviction cases are assigned to courthouses based on a unique spatial assignment rule, we test the effect of court assignment on default eviction probability using a regression discontinuity design. We show that courthouse assignment can increase the probability of default eviction by 0.7–23.1 percentage points.

### Justice Divided, Justice Denied?

The Effects of Court Rules on Eviction Outcomes in Los Angeles County\*

Matthew Estes<sup>†</sup>

Kyle Nelson<sup>‡</sup>

May 25, 2025

#### Abstract

More than 40,000 households in Los Angeles County face eviction each year. Pursuing policies that reduce the number of evictions is of growing importance to state and local policymakers, but the causes and consequences of eviction are poorly understood. By collecting eviction docket records and linking them to administrative data, we are able to study an important institutional determinant of eviction in LA County: how courts assign cases. Because eviction cases are assigned to courthouses based on a unique spatial assignment rule, we test the effect of court assignment on default eviction probability using a regression discontinuity design. We show that courthouse assignment can increase the probability of default eviction by 0.7–23.1 percentage points.

**Keywords:** eviction, court rules, regression discontinuity, empirical legal studies

<sup>\*</sup>Estes acknowledges this work was supported by the Haynes Lindley Doctoral Dissertation Fellowship. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Haynes Foundation. We are also grateful to Mike Alvarez, Ransi Clark, Danny Ebanks, Rebecca Jean Emigh, Jim Greiner, Jonathan Katz, Mitchell Linegar, Jean-Laurent Rosenthal, and Bob Sherman for helpful comments and feedback on this project. †California Institute of Technology.  $\verb|mestes@caltech.edu|$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>University of California, Los Angeles. kyle.robert.nelson@gmail.com