

Problem 1	#Actions	#Expansions	#Goal_Tests	#New_Nodes	Search Time	Length
<i>breadth_first_search</i>	20	43	56	178	0.00292625300000000037	6
<i>depth_first_graph_search</i>	20	21	22	84	0.00165676299999999917	20
<i>uniform_cost_search</i>	20	60	62	240	0.00745348900000000035	6
<i>GBFGS_h_unmet_goals</i>	20	7	9	29	0.00108889200000000008	6
<i>GBFGS_h_pg_levelsum</i>	20	6	8	28	0.134705557	6
<i>GBFGS_h_pg_maxlevel</i>	20	6	8	24	0.0982545720000000004	6
<i>GBFGS_h_pg_setlevel</i>	20	6	8	28	0.340763434	6
<i>A*h_unmet_goals</i>	20	50	52	206	0.00491359700000000006	6
<i>A*h_pg_levelsum</i>	20	28	30	122	0.303840383	6
<i>A*h_pg_maxlevel</i>	20	43	45	180	0.322063399	6
<i>A*h_pg_setlevel</i>	20	33	35	138	0.78814317	6

Problem 2	#Actions	#Expansions	#Goal_Tests	#New_Nodes	Search Time	Length
breadth_first_search	72	3343	4609	30503	0.9050216589999999	9
depth_first_graph_search	72	624	625	5602	1.182776904	619
uniform_cost_search	72	5154	5156	46618	1.565631534	9
GBFGS_h_unmet_goals	72	17	19	170	0.010181637999999993	9
GBFGS_h_pg_levelsum	72	9	11	86	2.670606264	9
GBFGS_h_pg_maxlevel	72	27	29	249	4.13739291	9
GBFGS_h_pg_setlevel	72	9	11	84	8.495737499999999	9
A*h_unmet_goals	72	2467	2469	22522	1.005573912	9
A*h_pg_levelsum	72	357	359	3426	70.363990342	9
A*h_pg_maxlevel	72	2887	2889	26594	411.399833124	9
A*h_pg_setlevel	72	1037	1039	9605	770.025661394	9

**Problem 3**

#Actions

#Expansions

#Goal\_Tests

#New\_Nodes

search time

length

breadth\_first\_search

88

14663

18098

129625

4.6743462970000005

12

GBFGS\_  
h\_unmet\_goals

88

25

27

230

0.021328787000000002

15

GBFGS\_h\_pg\_levelsum

88

14

16

126

6.370312013

14

A\*h\_unmet\_goals

88

7388

7390

65711

3.639195676

12

A\*h\_pg\_levelsum

88

369

371

3403

135.242610745

12

**Problem 4**
**#Actions**
**#Expansions**
**#Goal\_Tests**
**#New\_Nodes**
**search time**
**length**

<i>breadth_first_search</i>	104	99736	114953	944130	41.032656591	14
<i>GBFGS_ h_unmet_goals</i>	104	29	31	280	0.038426099000000005	18
<i>GBFGS_h_pg_levelsum</i>	104	17	19	165	10.935962441000001	17
<i>A*h_unmet_goals</i>	104	34330	34332	328509	23.652002343	14
<i>A*h_pg_levelsum</i>	104	1208	1210	12210	787.732998287	15

When comparing tables above it is shown that number of expanded nodes increases with increasing domain size. uniform\_cost\_search and breadth first search had the highest number of expansion. Greedy best first graph search with different heuristics, especially LEVELSUM, had the lower number of expansion. Search time in problem 1 is the shortest time record among all algorithms, while in problem 4 is the longest search time. A\*\_h\_pg\_levelsum, A\*\_h\_pg\_maxlevel and A\*\_h\_pg\_setlevel took longer to operate and reach goal state. Also, plan length increases with increasing domain size. Depth-First produced the longest plan for all problems. Plan length for problems 1 is equal to 6 and for problem 2 is equal to 9. For problems 3 and 4, Breadth- First, Uniform-cost, and A\*\_h\_unmet\_goals produced the shortest plans.

Which algorithm or algorithms would be most appropriate for planning in a very restricted domain (i.e., one that has only a few actions) and needs to operate in real time?

1. Greedy Best First Graph Search h\_unmet\_goals
2. Greedy Best First Graph Search h\_pg\_levelsum
3. Greedy Best First Graph Search h\_pg\_maxlevel
4. Greedy Best First Graph Search h\_pg\_setlevel

Which algorithm or algorithms would be most appropriate for planning in very large domains (e.g., planning delivery routes for all UPS drivers in the U.S. on a given day)?

1. Greedy Best First Graph Search h\_unmet\_goals
2. Greedy Best First Graph Search h\_pg\_levelsum

Which algorithm or algorithms would be most appropriate for planning problems where it is important to find only optimal plans?

1. Greedy Best First Graph Search  $h_{unmet\_goals}$
2. Greedy Best First Graph Search  $h_{pg\_levelsum}$