

Deflexionization

Version 1.0

Formal Theory of Structural Divergence

Maryan Bogdanov

Independent Researcher

m7823445@gmail.com

2025

Document Type: Research / Theoretical Model

LaTeX Source: Deflexionization-V1.0.tex

DOI: (assigned after Zenodo publication)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	State Space	2
3	Axiomatic Foundation	3
4	Expansive Operator \tilde{E}	5
5	Dynamics of Deflexionization	7
6	Theorems of Deflexionization	9
7	Critical Scenarios and Boundary States	11
8	Conclusion	14

1 Introduction

Deflexionization is a formal theory of divergent structural dynamics, symmetric and opposite in direction to the foundational Flexionization model. While Flexionization describes contractive equilibrium restoration—where the deviation Δ systematically moves toward zero—Deflexionization formalizes scenarios in which a system **moves away from equilibrium** under the influence of an expansive operator.

In this framework, the system transitions according to

$$F(S_{t+1}) = \tilde{E}(F(S_t)),$$

where the operator \tilde{E} amplifies the deviation, driving the system toward greater asymmetry. This dynamic models situations in which:

- the corrective mechanism weakens or collapses,
- the feedback loop reverses sign,
- structural imbalance grows over time,
- the system moves toward critical or extreme states.

Deflexionization thus provides a universal mathematical language for describing instability, divergence, and structural breakdown in economic, biological, technical, and other dynamical systems. Standing in conceptual symmetry with Flexionization, it expands the theoretical architecture to include the formal study of degradation, escalating imbalance, and collapse.

2 State Space

Deflexionization relies on the same formal state space as Flexionization, because both theories describe the behavior of the same structural system, but with opposite dynamic direction. This symmetry ensures that the framework captures both stabilizing and destabilizing processes within a unified mathematical architecture.

A system state is defined as the tuple

$$S = (Q_p, Q_F, \Delta, q, W, U),$$

where:

- Q_p — the synthetic structural mass of the system (actual state),
- Q_F — the reference or ideal structural mass,

- $\Delta = Q_p - Q_F$ — the structural deviation,
- q — a vector of quantitative parameters,
- W — a vector of structural weights,
- U — a set of internal system parameters.

While Flexionization focuses on keeping the system near equilibrium and maintaining Δ within a stable region, Deflexionization emphasizes motion **toward extreme, asymmetric, and potentially destructive states**.

The admissible state space \mathbb{S} must satisfy:

1. All components of S must lie within their admissible domains.
2. The deviation Δ must be continuously computable with a fixed symmetry point $\Delta = 0$.
3. The structural indicator $F(S)$ must be defined for all $S \in \mathbb{S}$.
4. The expansive operator \tilde{E} must be defined on the full domain of FXI values, including near-extreme regions.
5. The system must allow trajectories that reach highly asymmetric states; otherwise, divergent dynamics cannot be analyzed.

Deflexionization uses the same structural foundation as Flexionization but interprets the state space through the lens of **expanding dynamics**, where the system evolves toward increasing Δ rather than toward symmetry.

3 Axiomatic Foundation

The axiomatic structure of Deflexionization is a mirror image of Flexionization, but with all dynamic directions reversed. Whereas Flexionization is built on contractive, stabilizing operators, Deflexionization introduces an expansive operator \tilde{E} that amplifies structural deviation.

Below is the complete set of axioms establishing mathematical consistency and well-posed divergent dynamics.

Axiom 1: Admissible State Space

The system state S must always belong to the admissible state space \mathbb{S} , and no transition may move the system outside the domain where Δ , F , and \tilde{E} are defined.

Axiom 2: Structural Deviation

Structural deviation is defined as

$$\Delta = Q_p - Q_F,$$

and must be computable for every $S \in \mathbb{S}$. The admissible region for Δ must allow growth toward critical or extreme values.

Axiom 3: Structural Asymmetry Indicator (FXI)

The asymmetry indicator is defined by

$$\text{FXI} = F(S),$$

where F is a strictly monotonic mapping of deviation. It satisfies:

$$\text{FXI} = 1 \text{ (structural symmetry),} \quad \text{FXI} > 1 \text{ (expanded state),} \quad \text{FXI} < 1 \text{ (compressed state).}$$

Axiom 4: Expansive Operator \tilde{E}

The Deflexionization operator

$$\tilde{E} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

must be:

- total (defined for all admissible FXI values),
- continuous,
- strictly monotonic,
- expansive, i.e. there exists $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$|\tilde{E}(x) - 1| \geq \alpha |x - 1|, \quad x \neq 1,$$

- anti-contractive: deviation magnitudes cannot decrease.

Axiom 5: Admissibility of Expanding Transitions

For every state S_t , there must exist an admissible transition to S_{t+1} satisfying:

$$F(S_{t+1}) = \tilde{E}(F(S_t)).$$

Axiom 6: Continuity of Transitions

The transition $S_t \rightarrow S_{t+1}$ must be structurally continuous. No discontinuous jumps in Δ or FXI are allowed.

Axiom 7: Dynamic Consistency

All transitions must satisfy the governing rule:

$$F(S_{t+1}) = \tilde{E}(F(S_t)),$$

ensuring consistency of divergence.

Axiom 8: Admissibility of Extreme Dynamics

The system must support trajectories approaching highly asymmetric states:

$$\text{FXI} \rightarrow M,$$

where M is the upper (or lower) admissible structural limit. The operator \tilde{E} must remain defined at these extremes.

These axioms form the mathematical backbone of Deflexionization, ensuring the existence of divergent trajectories and the continuity of expanding structural dynamics.

4 Expansive Operator \tilde{E}

Unlike Flexionization, where the operator E enforces contraction of deviation and moves the system toward structural symmetry, Deflexionization is governed by an operator \tilde{E} whose role is to **amplify** deviation. The operator transforms an FXI value into a more asymmetric one, making equilibrium dynamically unstable.

4.1 Definition

The expansive operator is a mapping

$$\tilde{E} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+,$$

acting on the structural asymmetry indicator FXI and producing a new, more divergent value.

Its defining property is **anti-contractiveness**:

$$|\tilde{E}(x) - 1| \geq \alpha |x - 1|, \quad \alpha > 1.$$

4.2 Core Properties

The operator \tilde{E} must satisfy the following:

(1) Monotonicity. If $x_1 > x_2$, then $\tilde{E}(x_1) > \tilde{E}(x_2)$, and if $x_1 < x_2$, then $\tilde{E}(x_1) < \tilde{E}(x_2)$.

(2) Symmetry Point.

$$\tilde{E}(1) = 1,$$

but $\text{FXI} = 1$ is now an *unstable* point.

(3) Deviation Amplification. There exists $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$|\tilde{E}(x) - 1| \geq \alpha|x - 1|, \quad x \neq 1.$$

(4) Global Definedness. The operator must be defined on the entire FXI domain, including:

$$\text{FXI} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{FXI} \rightarrow M.$$

(5) Continuity. The mapping $\tilde{E}(x)$ must be continuous everywhere in its domain.

(6) Structural Amplification. For any $x > y > 1$:

$$\tilde{E}(x) - \tilde{E}(y) \geq \alpha(x - y),$$

ensuring acceleration of divergence at higher asymmetry.

4.3 Interpretation

The operator \tilde{E} transforms equilibrium from a stabilizing attractor into a **repeller**. Its behavior can be summarized as:

- If $\text{FXI} > 1$, the operator pushes FXI further upward.
- If $\text{FXI} < 1$, the operator pushes FXI further downward.
- If $\text{FXI} = 1$, any infinitesimal deviation grows.

Thus, \tilde{E} formalizes the mechanism of:

- loss of feedback stability,
- explosive divergence of structural masses,

- cascading imbalance,
- motion toward structural breakdown.

The expansive operator \tilde{E} is therefore the core mechanism governing the divergent dynamics of Deflexionization.

5 Dynamics of Deflexionization

The dynamics of Deflexionization describe how a system transitions from one state to the next while **increasing** its structural deviation Δ under the influence of the expansive operator \tilde{E} . In contrast to Flexionization — where equilibrium is a stable attractor — Deflexionization turns equilibrium into an unstable repeller, causing even small deviations to grow.

The fundamental transition rule is:

$$F(S_{t+1}) = \tilde{E}(F(S_t)).$$

Thus, the system inevitably moves away from structural symmetry and toward increasing asymmetry.

5.1 Evolution of FXI

The evolution of FXI follows:

$$\text{FXI}_{t+1} = \tilde{E}(\text{FXI}_t).$$

The behavior satisfies:

- If $\text{FXI}_t > 1$, then $\text{FXI}_{t+1} > \text{FXI}_t$.
- If $\text{FXI}_t < 1$, then $\text{FXI}_{t+1} < \text{FXI}_t$.
- If $\text{FXI}_t = 1$, any perturbation leads to divergence.

Thus, FXI moves away from symmetry with geometric acceleration.

5.2 Evolution of Deviation Δ

Since F is strictly monotonic and invertible, the evolution of deviation is:

$$\Delta_{t+1} = F^{-1}\left(\tilde{E}(F(\Delta_t))\right).$$

Interpretation:

- Δ grows monotonically,

- divergence rate is dictated by anti-contractiveness of \tilde{E} ,
- self-correction is impossible within this model.

5.3 Geometry of Divergence

Let $\Delta = 0$ correspond to perfect symmetry ($\text{FXI} = 1$). Then:

- Flexionization is contractive ($\Delta \rightarrow 0$),
- Deflexionization is expansive ($|\Delta| \rightarrow \infty$ or to domain limits).

There exists $\alpha > 1$ such that:

$$|\Delta_{t+1}| \geq \alpha |\Delta_t|, \quad \Delta_t \neq 0.$$

Thus:

- divergence accelerates,
- equilibrium is dynamically unstable,
- trajectories always leave the neighborhood of $\Delta = 0$.

5.4 Direction of Motion

The sign of $(\text{FXI}_t - 1)$ determines the divergence direction:

- If $\text{FXI}_t > 1$ — expansion zone,
- If $\text{FXI}_t < 1$ — compression zone.

Both lead to increasing asymmetry.

5.5 Impossibility of Return to Symmetry

The operator \tilde{E} prohibits transitions where $|\Delta_{t+1}| < |\Delta_t|$. Thus:

$$\Delta_t \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Delta_{t+k} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } k > 0.$$

Consequences:

- equilibrium cannot be restored internally,
- FXI cannot return to 1,
- deviation cannot decrease.

Restoration requires switching to the Flexionization operator.

5.6 Asymptotic Dynamics

As $t \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\text{FXI}_t \rightarrow M \quad \text{or} \quad \text{FXI}_t \rightarrow 0,$$

depending on direction of divergence.

Thus:

- the system approaches structural limits,
- asymmetry becomes dominant,
- collapse or extreme imbalance becomes mathematically inevitable.

6 Theorems of Deflexionization

The theorems of Deflexionization formalize the fundamental properties of divergent dynamics. They establish that equilibrium becomes a repelling point, deviation grows geometrically, oscillations are impossible, and the system inevitably moves toward structural extremes.

Theorem 1: Equilibrium is a Repeller

Let $\text{FXI} = 1$ correspond to structural symmetry ($\Delta = 0$). If

$$|\tilde{E}(x) - 1| \geq \alpha|x - 1|, \quad \alpha > 1,$$

then $\text{FXI} = 1$ is a dynamically unstable repelling point.

Proof. For any $x \neq 1$:

$$|\tilde{E}(x) - 1| > |x - 1|.$$

Thus, distance from symmetry grows at every step. □

Theorem 2: Exponential Divergence of FXI

For any initial state $\text{FXI}_0 \neq 1$,

$$|\text{FXI}_t - 1| \geq \alpha^t |\text{FXI}_0 - 1|.$$

Consequences:

- divergence is geometric, not linear,
- the system accelerates away from symmetry,
- deviation increases at an exponential rate.

Theorem 3: Geometric Amplification of Δ

Since F is monotonic and invertible,

$$|\Delta_{t+1}| \geq \alpha |\Delta_t|,$$

and therefore

$$|\Delta_t| \geq \alpha^t |\Delta_0|.$$

This ties structural deformation directly to the expansive behavior of \tilde{E} .

Theorem 4: Uniqueness of the Repelling Point

If \tilde{E} is continuous and monotonic, the equation

$$\tilde{E}(x) = x$$

has exactly one solution: $x = 1$.

Thus:

- the system has a *single* structurally neutral point,
- this point is unstable,
- all trajectories diverge from it.

Theorem 5: Impossibility of Symmetry Restoration

If $\text{FXI}_0 \neq 1$, then for all $t > 0$,

$$\text{FXI}_t \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_t \neq 0.$$

Reason. The operator \tilde{E} never reduces deviation; thus trajectories cannot cross the repelling point. □

Theorem 6: Absence of Oscillations

For any $\text{FXI}_a < \text{FXI}_b$ (and neither equal to 1):

$$\tilde{E}(\text{FXI}_a) < \tilde{E}(\text{FXI}_b).$$

Therefore:

- divergence is monotonic,
- no oscillatory behavior is possible,

- direction of motion cannot reverse.

Theorem 7: Guaranteed Approach to Extreme Regions

For any initial $\text{FXI}_0 \neq 1$ and threshold P such that $P > 1$ or $P < 1$, there exists T such that:

$$t \geq T \Rightarrow \text{FXI}_t \geq P \text{ or } \text{FXI}_t \leq P.$$

Thus the system must reach a critical region of asymmetry.

Theorem 8: No Cyclic Trajectories

In the Deflexionization model, no periodic orbit of length ≥ 2 can exist.

Reason. Monotonicity and anti-contractiveness ensure deviation always grows; a trajectory cannot return to a previous state unless $\text{FXI} = 1$, which is unreachable. \square

These theorems form the foundation of Deflexionization dynamics, establishing the inevitability of divergence, the impossibility of oscillation, and the geometric expansion of structural deviation.

7 Critical Scenarios and Boundary States

Deflexionization formalizes the dynamics of systems moving toward states of maximal structural asymmetry. These scenarios represent mathematical analogues of collapse, runaway imbalance, structural overload, or destructive divergence. Flexionization maintains equilibrium; Deflexionization describes its loss.

Below are the principal classes of critical scenarios.

7.1 Expansion Drift

When $\text{FXI}_t > 1$, the system enters a regime of expanding divergence:

- Δ increases monotonically,
- FXI moves toward critically large values,
- structural parameters begin to dominate the system's behavior.

This represents progressive structural breakdown.

7.2 Compression Collapse

When $\text{FXI}_t < 1$, the system moves in the opposite direction:

- Δ becomes increasingly negative,

- FXI falls below 1 with accelerating speed,
- the system loses structural flexibility.

This corresponds to collapse, rigidity, or over-compression.

7.3 Critical Asymmetry Zone

Let M denote the upper admissible bound for FXI. Approaching the limit:

$$\text{FXI} \rightarrow M,$$

indicates near-breakdown states:

- Δ becomes uncontrolled,
- divergence slows only due to domain saturation,
- structural stability cannot be recovered.

7.4 Cascade Divergence

Under prolonged expansive dynamics:

- each divergent step amplifies the next,
- Δ grows rapidly,
- the structure moves into accelerating pathology.

This models cascades, runaway failure, and uncontrolled positive feedback.

7.5 Multidimensional Divergence

In systems with multiple structural components:

- each deviation component Δ_i increases under its corresponding FXI component,
- divergence unfolds in a multidimensional state space,
- the structure expands along several axes simultaneously.

This is relevant for risk models, biological systems, and complex engineered architectures.

7.6 Edge-of-Domain Dynamics

As FXI approaches 0 or M :

- divergence continues up to admissible limits,
- operator \tilde{E} remains defined at extremes,
- Δ moves toward its structural boundary.

The system enters an “edge of viability” region.

7.7 Irreversible Drift

Deflexionization prohibits self-correction:

- deviation cannot shrink,
- equilibrium cannot be restored,
- FXI cannot return to 1.

This models systems where loss of feedback is permanent:

- financial bubbles,
- tumor growth,
- error accumulation,
- mechanical fatigue,
- systemic collapse.

7.8 Structural Breakdown

At the extreme:

- Δ reaches its maximal admissible value,
- FXI exceeds operational viability,
- the structure collapses or becomes nonfunctional.

This defines mathematical structural failure.

These scenarios formalize the extreme behaviors possible under Deflexionization, describing systems that accelerate imbalance, accumulate asymmetry, and move toward structural limits with geometric divergence.

8 Conclusion

Deflexionization provides a formal mathematical framework for systems whose dynamics lead not toward equilibrium but away from it. As the structural mirror image of Flexionization, it reverses the direction of motion: equilibrium becomes unstable, deviation becomes self-amplifying, and divergence becomes the defining characteristic of system evolution.

At its core is the expansive operator \tilde{E} , which ensures that:

- any deviation grows rather than contracts,
- the equilibrium point is a repeller,
- trajectories move toward structural extremes,
- asymmetry accelerates geometrically,
- stability cannot be restored without switching models.

This framework formally describes:

- structural collapse,
- runaway divergence,
- loss of regulatory control,
- system-wide imbalance,
- irreversible drift into extreme states.

Deflexionization thus complements Flexionization by completing the dual architecture of structural dynamics: while Flexionization governs stability and equilibrium restoration, Deflexionization governs instability, divergence, and breakdown. Together, the two theories form a unified mathematical platform capable of describing both the maintenance and the dissolution of structure across economic, biological, technical, and systemic domains.

Deflexionization is therefore not merely an extension but a full theoretical counterpart, establishing the formal laws of structural divergence and providing a rigorous foundation for analyzing the dynamics of systems that move away from equilibrium.

References

- [1] Bogdanov, M. *Flexionization: Formal Theory of Dynamic Quantitative Equilibrium*. Version 1.5, 2025. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17618947.
- [2] Bogdanov, M. *Deflexionization: Formal Theory of Structural Divergence*. Version 1.0, 2025. Zenodo. DOI: (to be assigned).