

Assignment 4

Name: Triyang Han

uid: 07549569

Question 1

① $\because P$ is a permutation matrix, which have a single 1 in every row and column

$\therefore P$ can convert to a identity matrix just by exchanging the row or column

$$\Rightarrow \text{Rank}(P) = \text{Rank}(I^{n \times n}) = n \quad (I \text{ is identity matrix})$$

$\therefore P$ is invertible

② $\because P \cdot P^T = I$

\therefore easily we can get P^T is invertible.

Suppose $M = P \cdot P^T$, P and P^T are permutation matrix.

So when $(P^T)_{ij} = 1$, j^{th} column of M is i^{th} column of P .

$$\Rightarrow \because P_{ij}^T = P_{ji}$$

$$\therefore (P \cdot P^T)_{ii} = 1$$

$$\therefore M \text{ is an identity matrix. } M = I, \quad P \cdot P^T = I$$

$\therefore P^T$ can be converted to identity matrix by just changing columns or rows (because P is a permutation matrix)

$\therefore P^T$ is a permutation matrix.

Question 2

① Using Mathematical induction

(1) When $n^* = 1$, since eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, then $x_1 \in \{\lambda_1\}$ linearly independent.

(2) Suppose when $n^* = n-1$, conclusion established

To prove when $n^* = n$ conclusion established:

\Rightarrow Suppose eigenvectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} linearly independent
then we need to prove:

$$k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 + \dots + k_n x_n = 0 \quad (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \text{ is any value}) \quad ①$$

Using A left multiply:

$$k_1 A x_1 + k_2 A x_2 + \dots + k_n A x_n = 0$$

Using $Ax = \lambda x$

$$\Rightarrow k_1 \lambda_1 x_1 + k_2 \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + k_n \lambda_n x_n = 0 \quad ②$$

② $-\lambda_n$ ①:

$$\Rightarrow k_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) x_1 + k_2 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_n) x_2 + \dots + k_n (\lambda_n - \lambda_n) x_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n) x_1 + \dots + k_{n-1} (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) x_{n-1} = 0$$

As supposed: $n^* = n-1$ linearly independent, then:

$$k_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_n) = 0$$

\because eigenvalues are distinct

$\therefore k_i = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$

\therefore According to ①: $k_n x_n = 0$ ↑

$\because x_n$ is non-zero

$\therefore k_n = 0$

\therefore Proof x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n linearly independent

②

$$\therefore Ax = \lambda x$$

$$\therefore (A - \lambda E)x = 0 \quad (E \text{ is identity matrix})$$

$\therefore x$ are non-zero

$$\therefore |A - \lambda E| = 0$$

\therefore Determinant $|A - \lambda E|$ order of magnitude of the unknowns λ is $n \Rightarrow \lambda^n$ (suppose $A_{n \times n}$)

\therefore For equation of $f(\lambda^n) = 0$. we have at most n distinct λ .

\therefore Proof

Question 3

① Suppose set R of all lower triangular matrices.

for $\forall A, B$ which can multiply in R . let's say: $A_{n \times n}, B_{m \times n}$

$$\begin{cases} A = \{a_{ij}\} \\ B = \{b_{ij}\} \end{cases} \quad \text{when } i \leq j. \quad \begin{matrix} a_{ij} = 0 \\ b_{ij} = 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$C = A \cdot B = \{c_{ij}\}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{ij} = a_{i1} \cdot b_{1j} + a_{i2} \cdot b_{2j} + \dots + a_{in} \cdot b_{nj} = 0 \quad (i^{\text{th}} \text{ row of } A \cdot \text{multiply } j^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } B)$$

$\therefore A \cdot B$ are lower triangular

\Rightarrow When $i < j$, $b_{ij} = b_{ij} = \dots = b_{j-i,j} = 0$

$$a_{i(i+1)} = a_{i(i+1)} = \dots = a_{in} = 0$$

$\therefore C_{ij} = 0$ when $i < j$

$\therefore C = A \cdot B$ is lower triangular matrix.

\therefore For R :

$$\forall A, B \in R, A+B \in R$$

$$\forall A \in R, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda A \in R$$

$$\forall A, B \in R, A \cdot B \in R$$

\therefore Proof

$$\textcircled{2} \quad |u| = \begin{vmatrix} u_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ u_{1n} & u_{2n} & \dots & u_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = u_{11} \cdot (-1)^{1+1} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} u_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ u_{23} & u_{33} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ u_{2n} & \dots & & u_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= u_{11} \cdot u_{22} \cdot (-1)^{2+2} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} u_{33} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ u_{34} & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ u_{3n} & \dots & & u_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$= u_{11} \cdot u_{22} \cdot u_{33} \dots u_{nn}$$

\therefore Proof

Question 4

To proof $v_1^T \cdot v_2 = 0$

We have :

$$Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1, \quad Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2 \quad \text{do transpose}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1^T A^T = \lambda_1 v_1^T$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1^T A^T \cdot v_2 = \lambda_1 v_1^T \cdot v_2 \quad (\text{right multiply } v_2) \quad ①$$

Left multiply v_1^T on $Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$

$$\Rightarrow v_1^T A v_2 = \lambda_2 v_1^T v_2 \quad ②$$

$$② - ①: \quad 0 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) v_1^T v_2$$

$$\because \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$$

$$\therefore v_1^T v_2 = 0$$

\therefore Proof

Question 5

$$① \quad (A - \lambda E) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} 6-\lambda & 4 \\ 3 & 5-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow (6-\lambda)(5-\lambda) - 12 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^2 - 11\lambda + 18 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 2, \quad \lambda_2 = 9$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \lambda_1 = 2: \quad Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot v_1 = 0, \quad \text{let } v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 4v_{11} + 4v_{12} \\ 3v_{11} + 3v_{12} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow v_{11} = -v_{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 = k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \text{ could be any value}$$

$$E_{\lambda_1} = \left\{ k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, k \in \mathbb{R} \right. \\ \left. k \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 9$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 4 \\ 3 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot v_2 = 0, \quad \text{let } v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} v_{21} \\ v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -3v_{21} + 4v_{22} \\ 3v_{21} - 4v_{22} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow 4v_{22} = 3v_{21} \Rightarrow v_{21} = \frac{4}{3}v_{22}$$

$$\therefore v_2 = \frac{4}{3} \cdot k \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_{\lambda_2} = \left\{ k \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, k \in \mathbb{R} \right. \\ \left. k \neq 0 \right\}$$

③ For v_1 and v_2 ,

Obviously, $m \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $n \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are linearly independent.

\therefore 2 linearly independent vectors
can span \mathbb{R}^2

\therefore Proof

④ For $Av = \lambda v$

$$\Rightarrow Av = \lambda v \cdot E$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \lambda v \cdot E \cdot v^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow A = v \cdot \lambda E \cdot v^{-1}$$

$$\therefore P = v, \text{ let's say } k=1, \text{ then } P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

P is invertible obviously, $\text{rank}(P) = 2$

$$D = \lambda E = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

⑤

$$A^2 = A \cdot A = P \cdot D \cdot P^{-1} \cdot P \cdot D \cdot P^{-1} = P D^2 P^{-1} \quad (\because P^{-1} \cdot P = I)$$

$$A^3 = A^2 \cdot A = P D^2 P^{-1} \cdot P D P^{-1} = P D^3 P^{-1}$$

$$\therefore A^n = P D^n P^{-1}, \text{ which can be}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2^n & 0 \\ 0 & 9^n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$