

UId: u7549569

name: Triyang Han

Exercise 1

1. False

if $\forall \in \mathbb{R}_{3 \times 2}$, $a = (1, 1)$, $b = (0, 0)$, $c = (1, 2)$:

then: $\langle a, c \rangle = 3 > \langle a, b \rangle + \langle b, c \rangle = 0$

\therefore if $a, c \neq 0$ and $\langle a, c \rangle > 0$ and $b = 0$

then $\langle a, c \rangle > \langle a, b \rangle + \langle b, c \rangle$

2. False

if $\forall \in \mathbb{R}_{2 \times 2}$, $a, c \neq 0$, $b = 0$

then satisfied $\langle a, b \rangle = 0$ and $\langle b, c \rangle = 0$, but $\langle a, c \rangle \neq 0$ as long as $\langle a, c \rangle \neq 0$

3. True

$\text{span}(S) = k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n$ (k_i could be any value)

$\because x$ is orthogonal to all of v_i

$\therefore \langle x, v_i \rangle = 0$

\therefore set $y \in \text{span}(S)$, $y = k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n$

$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n \rangle$

$\because \langle x, v_i \rangle = 0$

$\therefore \langle x, y \rangle = 0$

\therefore Proof

4. True

For $\text{span}(S)$, S is an orthonormal basis of $\text{Span}(S)$, which means any $y \in \text{Span}(S)$ can be represented by S linearly:

$$y = k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n \quad (k_i \text{ could be any value})$$

if $x \neq 0$ and $x \in \text{Span}(S)$

$$\text{then } x = k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n$$

$$\therefore \langle x, v_i \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle x, x \rangle = \langle x, k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$$

However $x \neq 0$

\therefore Disproof

5. True

if $x \in \text{Span}(S)$, then: $x = k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + \dots + k_n v_n$ (k_i could be any value)

$$\therefore \langle x, v_i \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$$

However $x \neq 0$

\therefore Disproof

6. True

if not unique, then

$$x = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$$

$$x = d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_n v_n$$

where c_i and d_i are not all the same.

Let's say $d_i \neq c_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). other $c = d$.

$$\text{then } x - x = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n - d_1 v_1 - \dots - d_n v_n$$

$$= (c_1 - d_1) v_1 + \dots + (c_i - d_i) v_i + (c_n - d_n) v_n$$

$$= (c_i - d_i) v_i$$

$$\therefore x - x = 0$$

$$\therefore (c_i - d_i) v_i = 0$$

$$\therefore c_i \neq d_i, v_i \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{conflict}$$

\therefore Prove that conflict.

7. False

For example set $v \in \mathbb{R}_{2 \times 2}$. $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ $x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{then } x = 2v_1 + v_2$$

$$= 4v_1$$

$$= 2v_2$$

$$\therefore x = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_n v_n$$

$\therefore c_1, c_2$ can be $(2, 1)$, $(4, 0)$, $(0, 2)$, not unique

\therefore Disproof

8. false

for example set $V \in \mathbb{R}_{3 \times 1}$. $S = \left\{ \overset{v_1}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \overset{v_2}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \overset{v_3}{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} \right\}$

For v_i in S :

v_1, v_2 are linearly independent

v_2, v_3 are linearly independent

v_1, v_3 are linearly independent

For a linearly independent set S ,

any vector in the set S cannot be linearly represented by other vectors

However:

$$v_1 = v_2 + v_3$$

$\therefore S$ is not linearly independent

\therefore Disproof

Exercise 2.

if $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm, $\|\cdot\|$ should satisfy 3 properties.

1. $\|x\| \geq 0$, if $\|x\| = 0$, then $x = 0$

obviously, $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \geq 0$

To prove:

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 0$$

\therefore Proof

$$2. \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|x\|$$

To proof:

$$\|\lambda x\| = \sqrt{\langle \lambda x, \lambda x \rangle}$$

$$\because \langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\| \|y\|, \text{ only if } x=y, \langle x, y \rangle = \|x\| \|y\|$$

$$\therefore \langle \lambda x, \lambda x \rangle = \|\lambda x\| \cdot \|\lambda x\| = \|\lambda x\|^2$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{\langle \lambda x, \lambda x \rangle} = \sqrt{\|\lambda x\|^2} = |\lambda| \cdot \|x\|$$

$$3. \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

To proof:

$$\|x+y\| = \sqrt{\langle x+y, x+y \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle + 2\|x\|\|y\| + \langle y, y \rangle}$$

(According to CS inequality $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \|x\|\|y\|$)

$$= \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle + 2\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle} + \langle y, y \rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{(\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} + \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle})^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} + \sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle} = \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$$\therefore \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

\therefore Proof

$\therefore \|\cdot\|$ is a norm

Exercise 3

1. Define $y' = X\theta + u$

then $L(\theta, u) = \|y - y'\|_A^2 + \|\theta\|_B^2 + \|c\|^2 A$

$$\nabla_{\theta} L(\theta, u) = \frac{\partial L(\theta, u)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \|y - y'\|_A^2}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \|\theta\|_B^2}{\partial \theta} \quad (\text{according to sum rule})$$

① Using chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial \|y - y'\|_A^2}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \|y - y'\|_A^2}{\partial y'} \cdot \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial (y - y')^T \cdot A \cdot (y - y')}{\partial y} \cdot X$$

$$= -(y - y')^T (A + A^T) X$$

$$= -2(y - y')^T \cdot A \cdot X$$

$$= -2(y^T - \theta^T X^T - c^T) \cdot A \cdot X$$

\Rightarrow To simplify, I use $2A$ to represent $(A + A^T)$ below as A is symmetric then $A = A^T$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{\partial \|\theta\|_B^2}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \theta^T B \theta}{\partial \theta} = 2\theta^T \cdot B$$

$$\therefore \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta, u) = -2(y^T - \theta^T X^T - c^T) A X + 2\theta^T B$$

2. Let $-2(y^T - \theta^T X^T - c^T) A X + 2\theta^T B = 0$

then

$$-y^T A X + \theta^T X^T A X + c^T A X + \theta^T B = 0$$

$$\theta^T (B + X^T A X) = y^T A X - c^T A X$$

To proof $(B + X^T A X)$ is invertible:

$\because B$ is positive symmetric definite matrix

\therefore only need to proof $X^T A X$ is positive symmetric definite matrix
then $(B + X^T A X)$ is positive symmetric definite matrix

Define $Y^T X^T A X Y$, where $X Y$ can be written by C (Y can be any vector)

$\because A$ is positive, symmetric definite matrix

\therefore Any vector $u^T A u > 0$

$\therefore C^T A C > 0$, where $C = X Y$.

\therefore Proof $(B + X^T A X)$ is symmetric, positive definite matrix \Rightarrow invertible

$$\therefore \theta = [(B + X^T A X)^{-1}]^T \cdot (Y^T A X - C^T A X)^T$$

3. Define $y' = X\theta + C$

$$\nabla_C L(\theta, C) = \frac{\partial L(\theta, C)}{\partial C} = \frac{\partial \|y - y'\|_A^2}{\partial C} + \frac{\partial \|C\|_A^2}{\partial C}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \frac{\partial \|y - y'\|_A^2}{\partial C} &= \frac{\partial \|y - y'\|_A^2}{\partial y'} \cdot \frac{\partial y'}{\partial C} = -2(y - y')^T A \\ &= -2(y^T - \theta^T X^T - C^T) A \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \frac{\partial \|C\|_A^2}{\partial C} = 2C^T A$$

$$\therefore \nabla_C L(\theta, C) = -2(y^T - \theta^T X^T - C^T) A + 2C^T A$$

4. let $\nabla_C L(\theta, C) = 0$

$$\text{then } 2C^T A = y^T A - \theta^T X^T A$$

$\because A$ is positive, symmetric definite matrix,

$\therefore A$ is invertible

$$\therefore C^T = \frac{1}{2} (y^T A - \theta^T X^T A) \cdot A^{-1}$$

$$C = \frac{1}{2} (A^{-1})^T \cdot (y^T A - \theta^T X^T A)^T$$

5. For my answer in 3.2:

$$\theta = \left[(y^T A x - c^T A x) \cdot (B + x^T A x)^{-1} \right]^T$$
$$= \left[(B + x^T A x)^{-1} \right]^T \cdot (y^T A x - c^T A x)^T$$

$\therefore (B + x^T A x)$ is symmetric matrix

$$\therefore \left[(B + x^T A x)^{-1} \right]^T = (B + x^T A x)^{-1}$$

when $A = I$, $c = 0$, $B = \lambda I$.

$$\text{then } \theta = (\lambda I + x^T x)^{-1} \cdot x^T y$$

\therefore correct. the same as 3.5