## Review Form

**Authors’s Name:\_\_\_李晨昊\_ Author’s Student No.: \_2017011466\_\_\_**

**Reviewer’s Name:\_\_\_张李牛牛\_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer’s Student No.: \_\_2017080084\_\_\_\_ Date：05-16**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Makefile  (10%) | 10 |
| Review Comments | 有makefile |
| Compilation  (编译正确) (10%) | 10 |
| Review Comment | 人工阅读并无问题 但是mingw无法compile |
| Correctness of Results  (结果正确) (40%) | 40 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Naming Convention  (变量命名合理) (5%) | 5 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Code Formatting  (代码格式合理) (5%) | 5 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Code Comments  (代码注释合格) (5%) | 3 |
| Review Comment | 注释较少 |
| Other Coding Style and efficiency (代码运行效率) (10%) | 10 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| OOP Design Style  (15%) | 13 |
| Review Comment | 缺少注释 |
| Total Score (up to 100) | 96 |
| Overall Review Comments | Mingw无法compile |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Makefile  (10%) | 10 |
| Review Comments | 有makefile |
| Compilation  (编译正确) (10%) | 8 |
| Review Comment | 人工阅读并无问题 但是mingw无法compile |
| Correctness of Results  (结果正确) (40%) | 40 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Naming Convention  (变量命名合理) (5%) | 5 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Code Formatting  (代码格式合理) (5%) | 5 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Code Comments  (代码注释合格) (5%) | 5 |
| Review Comment | 无注释但此题不需要 |
| Other Coding Style and efficiency (代码运行效率) (10%) | 10 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| OOP Design Style  (15%) | 15 |
| Review Comment | 正确 |
| Total Score (up to 100) | 98 |
| Overall Review Comments | Mingw无法compile |

因为本人电脑无法compile提交的文件因此以上结果为人工阅读结果

**NOTE:**

1. For coding styles including naming, formatting, code comments, etc., please refer to the Google C++ Style Guide. You may stick to your own coding style if you already have one, provided that your coding style is easy to read and understand by others.

(<http://google-styleguide.googlecode.com/svn/trunk/cppguide.xml>)

2. OOP design: easy for code reuse (代码复用); easy for extension and adaptability to future change for new user requirements (易于扩展，适应未来用户需求的改变). We will learn these OOP design features throughout this semester.

3. The reviewer (评阅人) is responsible for filling in the review form with both credits (分数) and detailed comments/suggestions (评价/建议) for further improvements (改进), and then returns the review form to the author of the code.

4. Finally, each student needs to submit the improved (改进后的) source code according to the comments, as well as a .doc or .pdf file including the returned review forms and statements on the revised code in reply to the reviewers’ comments.

5. Please comply with “**Rules for Submission** (see Lecture 1: Exercises)” when submitting your homework.