DATA WRANGLING REPORT

By Mohammed Youssry

As an assignment for the Udacity Data Analysis Nanodegree; This report illustrates the main steps involved in Data Wrangling of Twitter account "We Rate Dogs".

DATA GATHERING

In this step, data collected from three main sources

- 1- Twitter Archive Enhanced, it was formatted as twitter_archive_enhanced.csv This file was delivered by email and downloaded manually then uploaded to workspace by using pandas function "pd.read.csv"
- 2- Image_predictions.tsv ,which were hosted by webpage and downloaded using requests library get function then read using pandas function "pd.read.csv"
- 3- The final dataset gathered from TWITTER API through tweepy library by querying API to get extra informations related to the tweet_IDs in the first file eg,retweet_count and Favorite_count.

DATA ASSESSMENT

In this step, we checked our imported datasets both vissually and programmatically for detecting Quality And Tidiness Issues.

- 1- The visual assessment scrolling through the data in software application (Excel, Google sheets, Text editor,...etc.)
- 2- Programmatic assessment :using code to view specific portions and summaries of the data (pandas head ,tail ,info ,and describe methods for example).
- 3- After both visual and programmatic assessment, Noticed that:

A- Tidiness Issues:

- 1- Dog types are separated to 4 columns.
- 2- All data are related but divided to 3 datasets.

B- Quality Issues:

- 1) Twitter Enhanced Archive:
 - 1- Tweet_id datatype are integer not string.
 - 2- Timestamp datatype are string not datetime.
 - 3- There are 181 retweets are indicated by retweeted_status_id.
 - 4- Some dog names are invalid like(such, a ,and an) instead of the name.
 - 5- There are 440 rating numerator less than 10.
 - 6- There are 23 rating denominator not equal 10.
 - 7- (rating_numerator and rating_denominator)datatype are 'int' not 'float'

- 2) Tweet Image Predictions:
 - 1- There are 66 Jpg_url duplicated, so we have some IDs with missing photos .
 - 2- Columns (P1, P2, P3) have undercrosses in multi_words names, instead of spaces.
- 3) Twitter Api:
 - 1- Missing Entries (have only 2354 instead of 2356).

CLEANING DATA

In this step , we are following the structure for this process(work flow): Define, Code, Test.

The first step : by creating copies from all dataframes. Through using .Copy() Functions. then , we moved to solve both Tidiness and Quality Issues. As following.

Table Name	Quality Issues	Solution
Twitter Enhanced Archive	convert tweet_id datatype to 'str' in all dataframes	By using .astype(str) function
	convert 'timestamp' datatype to datetime	By using .to_datetime function
	convert (rating_numerator and rating_denominator)datatype to 'float'	By using .astype(float) function
	check for unclear dog	By using df ['name'].unique()
	names	function
	replace incorrect name with NaN	By using .replace function.
	put all NaN values in "None"	By using .fillna(value="None", inplace=True) function.
	Remove rating_denominator which are less than 10.	By using .drop function
Image Prediction	Delete rows with missing photos	By using .drop function
	Replace(_) and (-) to spce in p1,p2 and p3 columns.	By using .replace('_',' ') function

Tidiness Issues	Solution
melt dog types into one column.	By using (pd. Melt) function
Merge all three datasets to one datasets	By using (pd .merge) function

After cleaning, we get file which are ready for analyse and visualize.

Storing Data

After creating file ready for analysis and visualization we save it as(twitter archive master)with _csv format by using to_csv('twitter_archive_master.csv',index=False) function