Supplementary material/Statistical modelling

F#ck in digital networks, Laitinen et al. Contact: paula.rautionaho@uef.fi

Section 1 provides a summary of the prediction and classification accuracy measures, and Section 2 provides the summary of the GLMM tree results.

1. Prediction and classification accuracy

C-index	Somers dxy	Confusion matrix accuracy
0.77	0.53	62.8%

Confusion Matrix

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

Reference Prediction Low High Low 1941 1232 High 876 1611

Accuracy: 0.6276

95% CI : (0.6148, 0.6402)

No Information Rate : 0.5023 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa: 0.2555

Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 1.059e-14

Sensitivity: 0.5667 Specificity: 0.6890 Pos Pred Value: 0.6478 Neg Pred Value: 0.6117 Prevalence: 0.5023 Detection Rate: 0.2846

Detection Prevalence: 0.4394 Balanced Accuracy: 0.6278

'Positive' Class : High

The GLMM tree performs significantly better than a baseline model (p < 2.2e-16). 62.8% of all instances are predicted correctly. 68.9% of the Lows and 56.67% of the Highs are predicted correctly. The accuracies for variety-specific predictions are AU 65.5%, UK 55.8% and US 63.2%.

2. Summary of the GLMM trees

```
> print(gtmedian)
Model formula:
freqmedian ~ 1 | variety + network_size + NSI
Fitted party:
[1] root
| [2] variety in AU, UK
| | [3] variety in AU
(Intercept)
I I I I
       -1.417066
I I I I
I I I I
      (Intercept)
       -0.4483421
I I I I
(Intercept)
      -1.215607
(Intercept)
-0.2351919
| [9] variety in US
| | [10] NSI <= 0.46
(Intercept)
0.02584123
I I I
     (Intercept)
I I I
      0.725466
```

```
| | [13] NSI > 0.46: n = 436
| | (Intercept)
| | -0.1562806
```

Number of inner nodes: 6

Number of terminal nodes: 7

Number of parameters per node: 1

Objective function (negative log-likelihood): 3672.863