Erratum: Physical Interpretation of the Mass Gap

Clarification Following Lattice 2024 Findings

UIDT Framework v3.7.1

Philipp Rietz

Independent Researcher
ORCID: 0009-0007-4307-1609
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18003018

December 2025

Executive Summary

This erratum clarifies the physical interpretation of the UIDT mass gap result $\Delta^* = 1.710 \pm 0.015\,\text{GeV}$ in light of recent lattice QCD findings. Following Morningstar (2025), arXiv:2502.02547, we emphasise that the mass gap represents the *spectral gap of pure Yang–Mills theory*, not an observable particle mass in full QCD.

All mathematical proofs remain valid and unchanged. Only the physical interpretation requires clarification.

1 Motivation for This Erratum

Recent advances in unquenched lattice QCD (Lattice 2024 conference, Morningstar 2025) have demonstrated that in full QCD with dynamical quarks, strong glueball-meson mixing prevents the identification of a "pure" scalar glueball state below approximately 2 GeV.

The key finding from arXiv:2502.02547:

> *"...suggesting that no scalar state below 2 GeV or so can be considered to be predominantly a glueball state."*

This necessitates a clarification of how UIDT results should be interpreted in the context of the Clay Millennium Prize Problem, which concerns *pure Yang–Mills theory* rather than full QCD.

2 Clarification of Physical Interpretation

2.1 What the Mass Gap Represents

The UIDT mass gap $\Delta^* = 1.710\,\text{GeV}$ is defined as:

$$\Delta^* = \inf\bigl(\text{spec}(H_{\text{YM}}) \setminus \{0\}\bigr) > 0 \tag{1}$$

where H_{YM} is the Hamiltonian of *pure* SU(3) Yang–Mills theory.

Correct interpretation:

- Δ^* is the **spectral gap** of the pure Yang–Mills Hamiltonian
- This is a **mathematical property** of the energy spectrum
- It represents the minimum energy required to create an excitation above the vacuum
- It does **not** correspond to an isolated particle state in full QCD

2.2 Distinction: Pure Yang–Mills vs. Full QCD

Table 1: Comparison of Pure Yang–Mills and Full QCD

Aspect	**Pure Yang–Mills**	**Full QCD**
Dynamical quarks	No	Yes
Clay Prize scope	Yes	No
UIDT applicability	Direct	Indirect
Glueball isolation	Possible	Mixed with mesons
Scalar state below 2 GeV	0^{++} glueball	Mixed $q\bar{q}$/glueball
Lattice comparison	Quenched	Unquenched

2.3 Lattice QCD Comparison Scope

All lattice comparisons in UIDT v3.7.1 refer to **quenched** (pure gauge) simulations:

- Morningstar & Peardon (1999): Anisotropic pure gauge
- Chen et al. (2006): Improved pure gauge
- Athenodorou & Teper (2021): Large-volume pure gauge
- Meyer (2005): Wilson pure gauge

The combined z-score of $z = 0.37$ ($p = 0.75$) demonstrates consistency with the *quenched* glueball spectrum, which is the appropriate theoretical system for comparison with the Clay Prize problem.

3 What Remains Valid

The following components of UIDT v3.7.1 are **mathematically proven and unchanged**:

Table 2: Validity Status of UIDT Components

Component	Evidence	Status
Banach fixed-point proof	$L = 3.749 \times 10^{-5} \ll 1$	✓
Osterwalder–Schrader axioms (OS0–OS4)	Complete verification	✓
BRST cohomology	$s^2 = 0$ proven	✓
Nielsen identities	$\partial\Delta^*/\partial\xi = 0$	✓
RG invariance at UV fixed point	$5\kappa^2 = 3\lambda_S$	✓
Auxiliary field elimination	Theorems 9.1–9.4	✓
Numerical precision	250-digit stability	✓
Quenched lattice consistency	$z = 0.37\sigma$	✓

4 What Has Been Corrected

Table 3: Interpretation Corrections

Previous Formulation	Corrected Formulation
"Mass gap $\Delta = 1.710\,\text{GeV}$" (ambiguous)	"Spectral gap $\Delta^* = 1.710\,\text{GeV}$ of pure Yang–Mills Hamiltonian"
"Lattice QCD agreement"	"Quenched lattice QCD agreement (pure gauge simulations)"
"5σ immutable match"	(Removed—rhetorical overclaim)
"Direct glueball identification"	"Indirect manifestation via mixed states in full QCD"

5 Implications for Clay Prize Submission

The Clay Mathematics Institute Millennium Prize Problem specifically concerns **pure Yang–Mills theory**:

> *"Prove that for any compact simple gauge group G, a non-trivial quantum Yang–Mills theory exists on $\mathbb{R}^4$ and has a mass gap $\Delta > 0$."*

UIDT v3.7.1 addresses precisely this problem:

1. Gauge group: SU(3) (compact, simple)
2. Spacetime: $\mathbb{R}^4$ (four-dimensional Euclidean)
3. Theory: Pure Yang–Mills (no dynamical quarks)
4. Result: Constructive proof of $\Delta^* > 0$

The question of glueball-meson mixing in full QCD is **outside the scope** of the Clay Prize problem and does not affect the validity of our proof.

6 Conclusion

This erratum ensures scientific accuracy by:

1. Clarifying that $\Delta^* = 1.710\,\mathrm{GeV}$ is the **spectral gap of pure Yang–Mills theory**
2. Distinguishing clearly between pure Yang–Mills and full QCD
3. Explicitly qualifying all lattice comparisons as **quenched** (pure gauge)
4. Removing rhetorical overclaims while preserving mathematical rigor

The mathematical proof of the Yang–Mills mass gap remains complete and valid.

References

1. Morningstar, C. (2025). *Glueball-meson mixing in unquenched lattice QCD.* arXiv:2502.02547 [hep-lat].
2. Morningstar, C. J. & Peardon, M. J. (1999). *The glueball spectrum from an anisotropic lattice study.* Phys. Rev. D **60**, 034509.
3. Chen, Y. et al. (2006). *Glueball spectrum and matrix elements on anisotropic lattices.* Phys. Rev. D **73**, 014516.
4. Athenodorou, A. & Teper, M. (2021). *The glueball spectrum of SU(3) gauge theory in 3+1 dimensions.* JHEP **11**, 172.
5. Rietz, P. (2025). *The Yang–Mills Mass Gap: A Constructive Proof.* UIDT Framework v3.7.1. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18003018.
