

Homework #3 comments

Student name: *Massimo Borelli*

Course: *2024 Statistics for Medicine* – Professor: *Massimo Borelli*

Due date: *Saturday 28th January, 2024*

Question

1. Reconsider the data (the `breastioert.csv` dataset) considered during Homework #1 about the paper by Mara Severgnini, Mario de Denaro et al., entitled *In vivo dosimetry and shielding disk alignment verification by EBT3 ...* (PMID 25679150).
2. According to a proper test, is the *Area outside shielding* different, in a statistical sense, with respect of the two levels of *Energy*?
3. Imagine that your analysis belongs to a research project and you are required to publish it. How would you report your finding in the 'Result' section of a paper?
4. Would you report any important issue concerning the analysis in the 'Limitation' section of the paper?
5. Go to <https://forms.gle/rTCaN2gbyRMK8mJR7> in order to upload your final .pdf document.

Comments.

Table 1: Frequencies for Energy

Energy	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
6	7	18.919	18.919	18.919
9	30	81.081	81.081	100.000
Missing	0	0.000		
Total	37	100.000		

As a relevant limitation of the study, one observes that the dataset is strongly unbalanced: only seven data have been observed for the 'low energy' (6 MeV) group.

According to a frequentist approach, groups appear to be homoskedastic (Levene's test $p = 0.56$), but not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test, $p = 0.003$ in 9 MeV group): therefore, according to Mann-Whitney U test ($p = 0.451$) there is no significant evidence that Area outside shielding differs at different energies.