## Appendix for "Spatial Correlation Between Myocyte's Repolarization Times and their Alternans Drives T-Wave Alternans on the ECG"

Massimo W. Rivolta, Juan Pablo Martínez, Roberto Sassi, Pablo Laguna\*

The following sections must be considered as Appendix of the manuscript "Spatial Correlation Between Myocyte's Repolarization Times and their Alternans Drives T-Wave Alternans on the ECG" published in IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics.

All cited sections, equations and figures refer to the original manuscript.

## 1 Expected value of TWA

We report the mathematical derivation of the expected value of TWA on the surface ECG, according to the second order approximation of  $t_d(t)$  in (12) performed with a Taylor's expansion around  $\bar{\rho}$ . TWA can be computed by the difference of the expected values of the lead factors  $w_{1,k,l}$  and  $w_{2,k,l}$  on consecutive beats, weighted by the beat independent  $t_d(t)$  and  $\dot{t}_d(t)$ , respectively. The derivation is as follows

$$\mathbb{E}\left[w_{1,k,l}\right] = -\sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m} \mathbb{E}\left[\theta_{m} + \bar{\phi}_{k} + \phi_{k,m} + (-1)^{k} \frac{a_{m}}{2}\right]$$

$$= -\sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m} \left(\theta_{m} + (-1)^{k} \frac{a_{m}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[w_{2,k,l}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\theta_{m} + \bar{\phi}_{k} + \phi_{k,m} + (-1)^{k} \frac{a_{m}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m} \left(\theta_{m}^{2} + \sigma_{\bar{\phi}}^{2} + \sigma_{\phi}^{2} + \frac{a_{m}^{2}}{4} + (-1)^{k} \theta_{m} a_{m}\right).$$

Then, since  $t_d(t)$  is constant across beats, the expected value of TWA becomes

$$\begin{split} & \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \psi_{2i,l}(t) - \psi_{2i-1,l}(t) \right] \right| \\ & \approx \left| - t_d(t) \sum_{m=1}^M A_{l,m} a_m + \dot{t}_d(t) \sum_{m=1}^M A_{l,m} \theta_m a_m \right| \\ & = \left| - t_d(t) \sum_{m=1}^M A_{l,m} \delta_m + \dot{t}_d(t) \sum_{m=1}^M A_{l,m} \theta_m (\bar{\delta} + \delta_m) \right|, \end{split}$$

## 2 Quantification of alternating V-index

Here, we report the mathematical derivation of the difference between the V-index  $v_{2i,l}^2$  and  $v_{2i-1,l}^2$  when Taylor's expansion is performed around either  $\bar{\rho}$  or  $\bar{\rho}_k$ .

<sup>\*</sup>M. W. R. and R. S. are with the Dipartimento di Informatica, Università degli Studi di Milano, 20133, Milan (MI), Italy. Corresponding author's email: massimo.rivolta@unimi.it

J. P. M. and P. L. are with the BSICoS Group, Aragón Institute of Engineering Research, IIS Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain and with the CIBER en Bioingenieria, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN), Spain.

When considering the Taylor's expansion in  $\bar{\rho}$ , following the methodology reported in sec. II.B, the  $\mathcal{V}$ -index is the ratio of ensemble variance  $w_{2,k,l}$  and  $w_{1,k,l}$  at k-th beat and l-th lead. For compactness, let us define the quantity  $x_{k,m} = \bar{\phi}_k + \phi_{k,m} + b_{k,m}$  where  $b_{k,m} = \theta_m + (-1)^k a_m/2$ .

The variance of  $w_{1,k,l}$  becomes

$$\operatorname{var}[w_{1,k,l}] = \operatorname{var}\left[-\sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m} x_{k,m}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m}^{2} \operatorname{var}[x_{k,m}]$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n \neq m}^{M} A_{l,m} A_{l,n} \operatorname{cov}[x_{k,m}, x_{k,n}]$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m}^{2} \left(\sigma_{\bar{\phi}}^{2} + \sigma_{\phi}^{2}\right) - \sigma_{\bar{\phi}}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m}^{2} = \sigma_{\phi}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m}^{2},$$

Differently from the original formulation of the  $\mathcal{V}$ -index,  $\operatorname{cov}[x_{k,m},x_{k,n}]\neq 0$  because each myocyte of a beat k shares  $\bar{\phi}_k$  with all the others. In addition,  $\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n\neq m}^M A_{l,m} A_{l,n} = -\sum_{m=1}^M A_{l,m}^2$  due to the fact that  $\sum_{m=1}^M A_{l,m} = 0$ .

Similarly, the variance of  $w_{2,k,l}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{var} \left[ w_{2,k,l} \right] = \text{var} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m} x_{k,m}^2 \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_{l,m}^2 \text{var} \left[ x_{k,m}^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n \neq m}^{M} A_{l,m} A_{l,n} \text{cov} [x_{k,m}^2, x_{k,n}^2] \\ & = \frac{\sigma_{\phi}^4}{2} \sum_{m} A_{l,m}^2 + \sigma_{\bar{\phi}}^2 \sigma_{\phi}^2 \sum_{m} A_{l,m}^2 + \sigma_{\phi}^2 \sum_{m} A_{l,m}^2 b_{k,m}^2 \\ & + \sigma_{\bar{\phi}}^2 \sum_{m} A_{l,m} A_{l,m} b_{k,n} b_{k,n} \end{aligned}$$

The V-index becomes

$$\begin{split} v_{k,l}^2 &= \frac{\text{var}[w_{2,k,l}]}{\text{var}[w_{1,k,l}]} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{\phi}^2}{2} + \sigma_{\phi}^2 + s_{\theta\theta} + \frac{1}{4}s_{aa} + (-1)^k s_{\theta\delta} \\ &+ \eta_{\theta\theta,l} + \frac{1}{4}\eta_{aa,l} + (-1)^k \eta_{\theta a,l} \\ &+ \frac{\sigma_{\phi}^2}{\sigma_{\phi}^2} \frac{\sum_{m,n} A_{l,m} A_{l,n} \left(\theta_m + (-1)^k \frac{a_m}{2}\right) \left(\theta_n + (-1)^k \frac{a_n}{2}\right)}{\sum_m A_{l,m}^2} \end{split}$$

Finally, the difference between  $v_{2i,l}^2$  and  $v_{2i-1,l}^2$  is

$$|v_{2i,l}^2 - v_{2i-1,l}^2| = 2 |s_{\theta\delta} + \eta_{\theta a,l} + f_l^2|$$

where  $f_l^2$  is the one reported in (15).

When considering the Taylor's expansion in  $\bar{\rho}_k$  (as in our second formulation), the terms  $\bar{\phi}_k$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  are absorbed, thus making the standard deviation of the former  $\sigma_{\bar{\phi}}$  and the latter quantity equal to 0, obtaining

$$|v_{2i,l}^2 - v_{2i-1,l}^2| = 2|s_{\theta\delta} + \eta_{\theta\delta,l}|$$