Signalbehandling for computer-ingeniører COMTEK-5, E22 & Signalbehandling

8. Digital FIR Filters – Linear Phase and The Window Method

EIT-5, E22


Assoc. Prof. Peter Koch, AAU

8th LECTURE

1


LET'S START WITH A SHORT REVIEW OF LECTURE 7.


IN THE EXERCISE WE WERE ASKED TO APPLY
THE BILINEAR TRANSFORMATION ON A SET OF
SPECIFICATIONS FOR A DIGITAL FILTER



THE EFFECTIVE FILTER FOR WHICH THE SPECS APPLY

THUS, WHEN WE LOOK INTO THE YD WE SHOULD SEE A FILTER RUNNING WITH of Frample





$$\delta_1 = -1 dB \implies \delta_1 = 0.89 \text{ Hz}$$


 $\delta_2 = -10 dB \implies \delta_2 = 0.21623$

WE CAN NOW DETERMINE "THE STRONGEST"

CEQUIREMENT;

Since WE AFTERWARD HAS TO DO HIGH +1(Z)
USING THE BILINEAR TRANSFORMATION, WE MUST
APPLY THE PRE-WARPED VERSIONS OF Q, Q AND

$$\Omega' = \frac{2}{7} \cdot + \alpha_1(\frac{\omega}{2})$$






 $1 + 3z + 3z + z^{-3}$ $1 - 1,4590z + 0.9104z - 0,1978z^{-3}$

3 ORDER 11R-FILTER. SPONES

* 3 POLES

* 3 POLES

* 3 ZEROS




 $Z_1 = 0.4140$ $(Z_2, Z_3) = G_15225 \pm iG_14525$


$$OVERFORINGSFUNKTION;$$

$$1 + 3z^{1} + 3z^{2} + z^{-3}$$

$$1 - 1.4590z^{2} + 0.9104z^{2} - 0.1978z^{-3}$$







- + MULTIPLICATIONS
- & Appirions
 - OPDATE OF INTERNAL VARIABLES

IN CONCLUSION WE THEREFOR CAN SAY

(7000 DEVS :

- @ TEW NUMBER OF ARITHMETIC
- & APPROX. TO ANALOG TRANSFER -

BAD DEWS :

& POTENTIALLY UNISTABLE


BE ACCEPTED AS IS.


AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH.

A FILTER WITH LINITE IMPULSE RESPONSE (FIR)

$$\frac{1}{(z)} = \frac{1}{(z)} = \frac{1}$$

a=0, l=1...





JO, OUR PURPOSE TODAY is TO STUDY;

@ ONE WETHOD FOR FIR-FILTER DESIGN — THE WINDOW WETHOD.

3 ad SIH LINEAR PHASE

HILE S = -x.w+B


A SYSTEU WITH THIS PHASE CHARACTERISTIC

IS MOST WANTED WHEN WE HAVE TO LODIFY

THE SPECTRAL CONTENT OF A SIGNAL AND AT

THE SAME TIME MAINTAIN THE WAVEFORM

AS GOOD AS POSSIBLE".



THE GROUP DELAY

Greding 1 - du Hier = a


SO, NO HATTER WHAT FREQUENCY THE SIGNAL HAS (WE[-TI;TI]), IT WILL BE DELAYED THE SAME AMOUNT OF TIME

Aurio/Communication/Contreal/...

A system with constant Group Delay (gruppeløbs-tid), is a system which maintains the waveform "as good as possible"


Example: A signal is the sum of three sinusoids having frequencies f, 3f and 5f.


If this signal is the input to an LTI system with linear phase, then these frequencies will see the same delay, and thus at the output we will also observe a signal which has approximately the same waveform as the signal on the input. The three components will potentially be scaled differently in amplitude – thus "approximately"...



If the same signal is fed into an LTI system with non-linear phase, i.e., frequency dependent group delay, then the components will be delayed differently, and thus the waveform cannot be maintained.

	TREQUENCY RESPONSE FOR LINEAR PHASE SYSTEMS.
0	$H(e^{j\omega}) = H(z)$ $ z=e^{j\omega} - A(e^{j\omega})e^{j(x\omega-\beta)} $
	1
	WET'S ASSUME THAT OUR SYSTEM HAS THIS FREQ RESPONSE, WHERE ACEDO IS A REAL FUNCTION.
0	@ AMPLITUDE RESPONSE
	Huein = A(e) = A(e)
	@ PHASE RESPONSE
	Hite) = arg Are) [cos(aw-B)-jsin(aw-B)]
	= ag $\{A(e)\}$ + Arctar $\left(\frac{-\sin(\alpha\omega-\beta)}{\cos(\alpha\omega-\beta)}\right)$
	- (xw-s) for Ace 20
	- (aw-B)-TT for Aces <0
	HUS FOR A SYSTEM WITH CTENERALIZED LINEAR
	PHASE, THE ARGULENT OF HILE'W) IS THE EQUATION FOR A STRAIGHT WINE, I.E., LINEAR
	EQUATION FOR A STRAIGHT WINE, I.E. LINEAR
0	PHASE





OUR OUTSET IS THE FLEQUENCY RESPONSE

1) FOR A SYSTEM WITH LINEAR PHASE


L) FOR A SYSTEM WITH GENERAL TRANSFER FUNCTION HIZ)

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{2}h[n]\cdot cos(\omega n) - \frac{1}{2}h[n]\cdot sin(\omega n)$$

$$0 = -\infty$$

EQU, 129, P. 341 WHERE WIND IS A REAL SEQUENCE.

FOR 1) AND 2) WE NOW CALCULATE THE 1) tan/ [Hierin] = tan/ Arctan(cos(B-aw)) = sin/B-aw) = cos(B-aw) 2) tan { H(e) } = tan { Arctan (- \(\sin \) h[n] \cdot \(\sin \) \(\text{Ling} \) \) DENTICAL LEFT. HAND SIDE $\frac{\sin(\beta-\alpha\omega)}{\cos(\beta-\alpha\omega)} = \frac{-\frac{2}{h[n]}\cdot\sin(\omega n)}{-\frac{2}{h[n]}\cdot\cos(\omega n)}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h[n] \sin(\beta - \alpha \omega) \cdot \cos(\omega n) = -\frac{1}{2} h[n] \sin(\omega n) \cdot \cos(\beta - \alpha \omega)$ WE NOW APPLY THE TRIGONOMETRIC IDENTITY Sin(x). cos(y) = 2/sin(x-y)+sin(x+y) $\sum_{n} h[n] \cdot sin(\beta + (n-\alpha)\omega) = 0$ EQU 130 p. 341 (=-00 WHICH SHOULD BE VALID FOR ALL W.



Ah [n]

1-4, even

1-5, odd

1-234


1-101


1-101

1-5, odd


hen] is symmetric AROUND &= 1/2










PK Vis AT HOW = / h[1/2]+22 h[1/2+k]·cos(wk) JENEREL FLEKVENDERESPONS + (e) =) FOR FIR SYSTEM Y VACIABEL k: n= 1/2+k=> k= n-1/2 H(ejw) =) h[1/2+k] · ejw(1/2+k) Hein = ejw/ / h[1/2+k] -jwk =101/2 = 1/2 h[1/2+k] = +h[1/2]+ h[1/2+k].e -jw/ | h[1/2+k] -jwk + h[1/2] + \ h[1/2+k] -jwk ouligt at verde somationsfortegn pga. = = iw/2 | h[//2] +) h[//2+k]. (e + e)



0	WE ARE FACING A PROBLEM HERE;
	f Hg 1
	The state of the s
	t t
	OBS!
	AS A CONSEQUENCE. N. ENJ BECOMES
\circ	AS A CONSEQUENCE, h, EN BECOMES NON-CAUSAL AND INFINITE
	THUS: ONCE WE HAVE DERIVED IN [1] IT HUST BE TRUNCATED.
	IT WUST BE TRUNCATED.
	J MENJ OENEM
	h[n] = OTHERWISE
	OTHERWISE
	<i>f</i>
0	
	THIS IS THE "MPULSE RESPONSE FOR A FILTER
	THAT WE CAN IMPLEMENT CANCAL ! FIRE
	> FINITE FIR
	THE IDEA IS TO APPLY A WINDOW
	(IN THE TIME DOMAIN)







(a) Convolution process implied by truncation of the ideal impulse response. (b) Typical approximation resulting from windowing the ideal impulse response.


DUE TO THE RIPPLES IN PASS-AND STOP BAND, AND THE NON-ZERO WIDTH TRANSITION BAND, WE WANT Weelw) TO BE AN IMPULSE TRAIN WITH PERIOD 2TT

Hier = Hiceju)

THE IMPLICATION IN THE TIME DOMAIN HOWEVER, IS A CHALLENGE?

TRUNCATION OF h, Enj.


WE NEED TO FIND A COMPROMISE ?



We are looking for a Window Function with

- Narrow mainlobe (impulse train)
- Small sidelobes (small ripples)





Rectangular

$$w[n] = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le n \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Bartlett (triangular)

$$w[n] = \begin{cases} 2n/M, & 0 \le n \le M/2, \\ 2 - 2n/M, & M/2 < n \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hanning


$$w[n] = \begin{cases} 0.5 - 0.5\cos(2\pi n/M), & 0 \le n \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hamming

$$w[n] = \begin{cases} 0.54 - 0.46\cos(2\pi n/M), & 0 \le n \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Blackman

$$w[n] = \begin{cases} 0.42 - 0.5\cos(2\pi n/M) + 0.08\cos(4\pi n/M), & 0 \le n \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



(c)

Figure 7.22 Fourier transforms (log magnitude) of windows of Figure 7.21. with M = 50. (a) Rectangular. (b) Bartlett. (c) Hanning. (d) Hamming.

(e) Blackman.



24

TABLE 2	COMPARISON OF COMMONLY USED WINDOWS

Type of Window	Peak Side-Lobe Amplitude (Relative)	Approximate Width of Main Lobe	Peak Approximation Error, 20 log ₁₀ δ (dB)	Equivalent Kaiser Window, β	Transition Width of Equivalent Kaiser Window
Rectangular	-13	$4\pi/(M+1)$	-21	0	1.81π/M
Bartlett	-25	8π/M	-25	1.33	$2.37\pi/M$
Hann	-31	8π/M	-44	3.86	$5.01\pi/M$
Hamming	-41	$8\pi/M$	-53	4.86	$6.27\pi/M$
Blackman	-57	$12\pi/M$	-74	7.04	$9.19\pi/M$

oel oel

DESGN PROCEDURE

- @ Hyle) + LINEAR PHASE
- · FIND hald, INVERSE TOURIER TRANSFORM
- & CHOOSE WINDOW FUNCTION W[1]
- [n]w.[n] = [n]do

FILTER CEDER

- @ EXPRESS HICEON DE M
- @ PLOT | HEETING AND CHECK SPECIFICATIONS
- BOK? YES -> DONE, LO NEW WENT

ITERATIVE PROCESS