

extravaGAN

Sabina Askerova, Yannis Fontaine, Newman Chen

WGAN (GP)

Pseudocode:

while θ has not converged **do**

for $t = 0, \dots, n_{\text{critic}}$ **do**

 Sample $\{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^m \sim \mathbb{P}_r$ a batch from the real data.

 Sample $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^m \sim p(z)$ a batch of prior samples.

$g_w \leftarrow \nabla_w \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_w(x^{(i)}) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_w(g_\theta(z^{(i)})) \right] \rightarrow L^{(i)} \leftarrow D_w(\tilde{x}) - D_w(x) + \lambda(\|\nabla_{\hat{x}} D_w(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2$

$w \leftarrow w + \alpha \cdot \text{RMSProp}(w, g_w)$

$w \leftarrow \text{clip}(w, -c, c)$

end for

 Sample $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^m \sim p(z)$ a batch of prior samples.

$g_\theta \leftarrow -\nabla_\theta \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_w(g_\theta(z^{(i)}))$

$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \cdot \text{RMSProp}(\theta, g_\theta)$

Wasserstein / Earth-moving distance:

$$W(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g)} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \gamma} [\|x - y\|]$$

alpha (Learning rate) = 0.0004

lambda (gradient penalty coefficient) = 10

n_critic (ratio of training loops of discriminator to generator = 5

FID: 42.21, Precision: 0.54, Recall: 0.25.



LSGAN

$$\min_D V_{\text{LSGAN}}(D) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})} [(D(\mathbf{x}) - 1)^2] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_z(\mathbf{z})} [(D(G(\mathbf{z})))^2]$$

$$\min_G V_{\text{LSGAN}}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_z(\mathbf{z})} [(D(G(\mathbf{z})) - 1)^2].$$

Equivalent to minimizing Pearson χ^2 divergence, quantifying how different one probability distribution is from another

Reduces but does not eliminate vanishing gradient nor mode collapse problems





Precision: 0.391 (run locally)
Recall: 0.1737
FID: 26.58

CN-LSGAN


$$\begin{cases} \min_D V(D, G) = E_{x \sim P_{\text{data}}(x)}[(D(x) - 1)^2] + E_{z \sim P_z(z)}[(D(G(z)))^2] + \lambda_1 E_{\hat{x} \sim P_{\hat{x}}}[(\|\nabla_{\hat{x}} D(\hat{x})\|_2 - 1)^2], \\ \min_G V(D, G) = E_{z \sim P_z(z)}[(D(G(z)) - 1)^2] + \lambda_2 E_{z \sim P_z(z), x \sim P_{\text{data}}(x)}[(G(z) - x)^2]. \end{cases}$$

Adding constraint (gradient penalty / reconstruction constraint) terms to the discriminator and generator loss functions

Aims to further reduce vanishing gradient / mode collapse




CN-LSGAN




Loss of Discriminator and Generator over epochs for LSGAN and CN-LSGAN

CN-LSGAN



Generation for LSGAN every 10 epochs

Generation for CN-LSGAN every 10 epochs



Precision: 0.373 (run locally)

Recall: 0.2016

FID: 26.34

Improved Consistency Regularization (ICR)

Goal: Improve GAN training stability and output quality

Combines two consistency regularization techniques:

- Balanced Consistency Regularization (bCR)
- Latent Consistency Regularization (zCR)

ICR for GANs

Algorithm 1 Balanced Consistency Regularization (bCR)

Input: parameters of generator θ_G and discriminator θ_D , consistency regularization coefficient for real images λ_{real} and fake images λ_{fake} , augmentation transform T (for images, e.g. shift, flip, cutout, etc).

for number of training iterations **do**

 Sample batch $z \sim p(z)$, $x \sim p_{\text{real}}(x)$

 Augment both real $T(x)$ and fake $T(G(z))$ images

$L_D \leftarrow D(G(z)) - D(x)$

$L_{\text{real}} \leftarrow \|D(x) - D(T(x))\|^2$

$L_{\text{fake}} \leftarrow \|D(G(z)) - D(T(G(z)))\|^2$

$\theta_D \leftarrow \text{AdamOptimizer}(L_D + \lambda_{\text{real}}L_{\text{real}} + \lambda_{\text{fake}}L_{\text{fake}})$

$L_G \leftarrow -D(G(z))$

$\theta_G \leftarrow \text{AdamOptimizer}(L_G)$

end for

$T(x)$: Augmented image

x : Original image

$G(z)$: Generated image

$D()$: Discriminator output

Algorithm 2 Latent Consistency Regularization (zCR)

Input: parameters of generator θ_G and discriminator θ_D , consistency regularization coefficient for generator λ_{gen} and discriminator λ_{dis} , augmentation transform T (for latent vectors, e.g. adding small perturbation noise $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{noise}})$).

for number of training iterations **do**

 Sample batch $z \sim p(z)$, $x \sim p_{\text{real}}(x)$

 Sample perturbation noise $\Delta z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{noise}})$

 Augment latent vectors $T(z) \leftarrow z + \Delta z$

$L_D \leftarrow D(G(z)) - D(x)$

$L_{\text{dis}} \leftarrow \|D(G(z)) - D(G(T(z)))\|^2$

$\theta_D \leftarrow \text{AdamOptimizer}(L_D + \lambda_{\text{dis}}L_{\text{dis}})$

$L_G \leftarrow -D(G(z))$

$L_{\text{gen}} \leftarrow -\|G(z) - G(T(z))\|^2$

$\theta_G \leftarrow \text{AdamOptimizer}(L_G + \lambda_{\text{gen}}L_{\text{gen}})$


end for

z : Original latent vector

δ : Small random perturbation

$G()$: Generator output

Improved Consistency Regularization (ICR) for GANs



Balanced Consistency
Regularization (bCR)

Latent Consistency
Regularization (zCR)

ICR LSGAN training visualization

Epoch 10



Epoch 20



Epoch 30



Epoch 40



Epoch 50



Epoch 60



Epoch 70



Epoch 80



Epoch 90



Epoch 100



* Noise factor of 0.03 for latent space in the generator
Horizontal flip as augmentation in the discriminator

Results

We tried noise factor parameter values of 0.03, 0.05 and 0.1

sigma = 0.03



FID 61.626
precision 0.282
recall 0.079

Example artifacts



sigma = 0.05



FID 60.286,
precision 0.243,
recall 0.101

Example artifacts



sigma = 0.1



FID 54.920,
precision 0.299,
recall 0.088

Example artifacts



**Thank you for your
attention**