

CS217 – Algorithm Design and Analysis

Homework 4

Not Strong Enough

April 19, 2020

┌ 1

Suppose the edges e_1, \dots, e_m are sorted by their cost. Show how to solve MCP in time $O(n + m)$.

Solution. The algorithm is executed in iterations. Suppose that $c(e_1) \geq c(e_2) \geq \dots \geq c(e_m)$. In iteration i , consider e_i .

There is a set of vertices which is reachable from s , and the initial status of the set is $\{s\}$. Each vertex has an predecessor recording the predecessor in the path by which s reaches the vertex. Its initial status is *null*. Each vertex also has a set of unresolved edges whose start point is the vertex. Its initial status is \emptyset .

In each iteration, denote the edge we are handling by $e = (u, v)$.

If u is not reachable, simply add e into the unresolved edge set of u .

If u, v are already reachable, nothing needs to be done.

If u is already reachable but v is not, set v reachable and set its predecessor to u . Then handle all unresolved edges of v . (Let the end point of each edge be reachable if it is not.) Since this may introduce new reachable vertices, handle them too. This procedure is like a BFS.

Once t is reached, the algorithm terminates, and the cost of the newly introduced edge is the cost of MCP. Using the predecessor of each vertex, the maximum capacity path from s to t can be generated. \square

First prove that each vertex in the reachable set is really reachable(so it is well-defined):

Proof. The reachable set has the property that, except for s , all vertices in the set have a predecessor also in the set.

This is trivial since when we add a vertex to the reachable set, we set its predecessor by a reachable vertex.

Using this property, since its predecessor is reachable, the vertex itself is reachable as well. \square

Then prove that all reachable vertices using $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ are in reachable set after iteration n :

Proof. Assume that there is a path from s to v using edges $e_{i_1}, e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_k}, (i_1, i_2 \dots i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$. And $\forall j \in \{1, 2 \dots k\}, e_{i_j} = (u_j, u_{j+1}); u_1 = s, u_{k+1} = v$. Let $M_j = \max\{i_1, i_2 \dots i_j\}$, $m_j = \min\{i_1, i_2 \dots i_j\}$

Assume that after iteration M_{q-1} $u_1, u_2 \dots u_q$ are in reachable set and u_q is added in iteration M_{q-1} . If $i_q < M_{q-1}$, e_q is in unresolved set of u_q . So in iteration $M_{q-1} = M_q$, when adding u_q in reachable set, all unresolved edges of u_q are handled so u_{q+1} is added as well. If $i_q > M_{q-1}$, in iteration $M_q = i_q$, u_q is in reachable set so u_{q+1} is added in reachable set.

Consider the initial status that after iteration $M_1 = i_1$, $u_1 = s, u_2$ are in reachable set and u_2 is added in iteration M_1 .

By induction, it is proved that after iteration M_k , $u_1, u_2 \dots u_{k+1}$ are all in reachable set. \square

Now it is trivial to prove the correctness of the algorithm:

If the cost of MCP is $c^* = e_n$, then the path only uses edges in $\{e_1, \dots e_n\}$, so exactly in iteration n , t is reachable.

Then prove the complexity of the algorithm is $O(n + m)$:

Proof. Consider an arbitrary edge $e_k = (u, v)$. If in iteration k , u is already reachable, it is not added into the unresolved set so it will not be visited. Otherwise, it is added into the unresolved set of u , and when u is added into the reachable set, it will then be visited.

Since u can only be added to reachable set once, e_k is visited at most twice. So the complexity is $O(m)$.

In the initial step, each vertex is initialized, so the complexity is $O(n)$.

Combine the two steps together, the total complexity is $O(n + m)$. \square

2

Give an algorithm for MCP of running time $O(m \log \log m)$.

Solution.

The pseudocode of the algorithm is in the next page.

The correctness of the algorithm comes from below. First, we initialize our c^* candidates to be all capacities of the edges in G . Then, in each iteration, we divide it into many divisions of approximately the same size in order, and test which division c^* is in. Finally, there will be only one candidate left, and the only candidate is c^* .

Now we'll analyze the running time. Note that using median-of-medians algorithm to find the median of a set S has running time $O(|S|)$. In "Loop 2" of function MCP, we find the median of S_1, \dots, S_k in 2^d iterations. Note that there is an invariant equation $\sum_{i=1}^k |S_k| = |C|$. So finding the median requires $O(|C| \cdot 2^d)$ in total. The GETDIVISION function may need to add all the edges into the graph in the worst case. Testing the connectivity will use $O(m)$ time in total if we record a set of reachable vertices as described in Exercise 1. So the running time of GETDIVISION is $O(m)$.

We now prove that $|C| \cdot 2^d = O(m)$ by induction. Initially, $|C| = m$ and $d = 0$. It obviously holds. Suppose $|C_{old}| \cdot 2^{d_{old}} = O(m)$. We have $|C_{new}| = O(|C_{old}| \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^{2^{d_{old}}})$, $d_{new} = d_{old} + 2^{d_{old}}$. So $|C_{new}| \cdot 2^{d_{new}} = O(m)$.

Now we know that a single iteration of "Loop 1" takes time $O(m)$. Note that $2^d \leq m$. Since d increases exponentially, "Loop 1" will iterate $O(\log^* m)$ times. Finally, we get the running time is $O(m \log^* m)$. Obviously this algorithm has a better running time than $O(m \log \log m)$, $O(m \log \log \log m)$, $O(m \log \log \log \log m)$ when m is sufficiently large. \square

3

Give an algorithm for MCP that runs in $O(m \log \log \log m)$? How about $O(m \log \log \log \log m)$? How far can you get?

Solution. See Problem 2. \square

Algorithm 1 An algorithm for MCP problem.

```

function MCP( $V, E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}, c$ )
   $C \leftarrow \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ 
   $oldEdges \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
   $d \leftarrow 0$ 
  while there are multiple capacities of the edges in  $C$  do ▷ Loop 1
     $divisions \leftarrow [C]$  ▷ Let  $divisions$  be a list only containing the set  $C$ .
    for  $i = 1$  to  $2^d$  do ▷ Loop 2
      Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  denote the edge sets in  $divisions$  in order, i.e., representing  $divisions$  as  $[S_1, \dots, S_k]$ .
      Use median-of-medians algorithm  $k$  times to find the median capacity of the capacities of the edges in
      each set(if there are two medians, choose the larger one). Denote them as  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  respectively.
       $newDivisions \leftarrow []$  ▷ Let  $newDivisions$  be an empty list.
      for  $j = 1$  to  $k$  do ▷ Loop 3
        Append  $\{s \in S_j : c(s) \geq m_j\}$  to  $newDivisions$ .
        Append  $\{s \in S_j : c(s) < m_j\}$  to  $newDivisions$ .
      end for
       $divisions \leftarrow newDivisions$ 
    end for
     $C, oldEdges \leftarrow \text{GETDIVISION}(divisions, oldEdges)$ 
     $d \leftarrow 2^d + d$ 
  end while
  return the capacity of the edges in  $C$ 
end function

function GETDIVISION( $divisions, oldEdges$ ) ▷ Using the algorithm of exercise 1.
   $G \leftarrow (V, oldEdges)$ 
  for  $div$  in  $divisions$  in the order of the list do
    Add all edges in  $div$  to  $G$ .
    if  $t$  is now reachable from  $s$  then
      return  $div, oldEdges$ 
    else
       $oldEdges \leftarrow oldEdges \cup div$ 
    end if
  end for
end function

```
